INFORMATION TO USERS

This material was produced from a microfilm copy of the original document. While the most advanced technological means to photograph and reproduce this document have been used, the quality is heavily dependent upon the quality of the original submitted.

The following explanation of techniques is provided to help you understand markings or patterns which may appear on this reproduction.

1. The sign or "target" for pages apparently lacking from the document photographed is "Missing Page(s)". If it was possible to obtain the missing page(s) or section, they are spliced into the film along with adjacent pages. This may have necessitated cutting thru an image and duplicating adjacent pages to insure you complete continuity.

2. When an image on the film is obliterated with a large round black mark, it is an indication that the photographer suspected that the copy may have moved during exposure and thus cause a blurred image. You will find a good image of the page in the adjacent frame.

3. When a map, drawing or chart, etc., was part of the material being photographed the photographer followed a definite method in "sectioning" the material. It is customary to begin photoing at the upper left hand corner of a large sheet and to continue photoing from left to right in equal sections with a small overlap. If necessary, sectioning is continued again — beginning below the first row and continuing on until complete.

4. The majority of users indicate that the textual content is of greatest value, however, a somewhat higher quality reproduction could be made from "photographs" if essential to the understanding of the dissertation. Silver prints of "photographs" may be ordered at additional charge by writing the Order Department, giving the catalog number, title, author and specific pages you wish reproduced.

5. PLEASE NOTE: Some pages may have indistinct print. Filmed as received.

Xerox University Microfilms
300 North Zeeb Road
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106

Downloaded from SHAREOK. Persistent Handle URL: https://hdl.handle.net/11244/3572

73-31,465

BENNETT, John Bruce, 1933-
VOLTERRA INTEGRAL EQUATIONS AND FRÉCHET DIFFERENTIALS.

The University of Oklahoma, Ph.D., 1973
Mathematics

University Microfilms, A XEROX Company, Ann Arbor, Michigan

THIS DISSERTATION HAS BEEN MICROFILMED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED.

THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA

GRADUATE COLLEGE

VOLTERRA INTEGRAL EQUATIONS AND FRÉCHET DIFFERENTIALS

A DISSERTATION

SUBMITTED TO THE GRADUATE FACULTY

in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the

degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

BY

JOHN BRUCE BENNETT

Norman, Oklahoma

1973

VOLTERRA INTEGRAL EQUATIONS AND

FRÉCHET DIFFERENTIALS

APPROVED BY

W. T. Reid

Gene Levy

George M. Ewing

John C. Burkill

T. K. Pan

DISSERTATION COMMITTEE

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to express his sincere appreciation to Professor W.T. Reid for suggesting this line of inquiry and saving the author from several grievous errors during the preparation of this paper.

Also, thanks are due to my wife, Martha, who typed and aided in the proofreading of this manuscript.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION 1

Chapter

1. DIFFERENTIABILITY OF SOLUTIONS OF VOLTERRA INTEGRAL EQUATIONS 2

   Notation and Conventions
   Preparatory Results
   Equations of Variation

2. STABILITY PROPERTIES OF A VOLTERRA INTEGRAL EQUATION 16

   Notation and Conventions
   Existence and Differentiability of Solutions of E[f,k,h]
   Stability and Linearization of E[f,k,g]
   Stability of Perturbed Equations
   A Nonlinear Variation of Constants Formula

REFERENCES 52

VOLTERRA INTEGRAL EQUATIONS AND FRÉCHET DIFFERENTIALS

INTRODUCTION

In Chapter 1 we will be concerned with the nonlinear Volterra integral equation,

(E[f,g]) $$x(t) = f(t) + \int_a^t g(t,s,x(s))ds,$$

where f, g and x are functions whose definitions will be made precise in the theorems that follow. In particular, variational equations for E[f,g] will be found by computing the Fréchet differential of the solution of E[f,g] with respect to the functions f and g. We will follow the work of Bliss [2], who carried out the same program for ordinary differential equations.

In Chapter 2 we will study certain solutions of the integral equation

(E[f,k,g]) $$x(t) = f(t) + \int_0^t k(t,s)g(s,x(s))ds$$

which exist on the interval $[0,\infty)$. An implicit function theorem of Hildebrandt and Graves, [8], will be used to establish the existence, uniqueness and Fréchet differentiability of these solutions. As a result, these solutions will possess a stability property with respect to changes in the function f. The Banach and Schauder-Tychonoff fixed point theorems will be used to establish existence and stability of solutions of perturbed equations corresponding to E[f,k,g]. Also, a nonlinear variation of constants formula will be presented.

CHAPTER 1

DIFFERENTIABILITY OF SOLUTIONS OF VOLTERRA INTEGRAL EQUATIONS

1. Notation and conventions. Let R denote the set of real numbers and $R^n = \{ x = (x_1,\ldots, x_n);\ x_i \in R \text{ for } i = 1,\ldots, n\}$. The symbol $|a|$ will mean the absolute value of the real number a and if $x \in R^n$, the norm of x is defined to be $||x|| = \Sigma_{i=1}^n |x_i|$. If $A = [a_{ij}]$ is an $n \times n$ matrix with real elements, define the norm of A by $||A|| = \Sigma_{i=1}^n \Sigma_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|$. If $B = [b_{ij}]$ is an $n \times n$ matrix function defined on a set U with real valued functions as elements, then B(u) denotes the $n \times n$ matrix $[b_{ij}(u)]$. Thus, the meaning of the symbol $||B(u)||$ is $\Sigma_{i=1}^n \Sigma_{j=1}^n |b_{ij}(u)|$. Let $D \subset R \times R^n$ be a domain (connected open set) and represent elements of D by (s,x) where $s \in R$ and $x \in R^n$. Let $I = [a, a + p]$ be a nondegenerate closed interval of real numbers. We consider I and D to be fixed throughout Chapter 1. If $f: I \to R^n$ and $g: I \times D \to R^n$, denote their respective component functions by f_i and g_i for $i = 1,\ldots, n$. We will use the symbol g_x to represent the $n \times n$ matrix function $[\partial g_i/\partial x_j]$, where i is the row index and j is the column index. Let

(1.1.1) $$C(I,R^n) = \{ f: I \to R^n;\ f \text{ is continuous on } I\},$$

(1.1.2) $$C(I \times D,R^n) = \{ g: I \times D \to R^n;\ g \text{ is continuous on } I \times D\}$$

and

(1.1.3) $$BC^1(I \times D,R^n) = \{ g: I \times D \to R^n;\ g_x \text{ exists and } g_i \text{ and}$$

$\partial g_i/\partial x_j$ are bounded and continuous on $I \times D\}$.

The sets $C(I,R^n)$ and $BC^1(I \times D,R^n)$ become Banach spaces upon introducing the following norms:

$$||f|| = \sup \{||f(t)||;\ t \in I\}, \tag{1.1.4}$$

and

$$||g||_1 = \sup \{||g(t,s,x)||;\ (t,s,x) \in I \times D\} + \sup \{||g_x(t,s,x)||;\ (t,s,x) \in I \times D\} \tag{1.1.5}$$

where $f \in C(I,R^n)$ and $g \in BC^1(I \times D,R^n)$.

If X and Y are Banach spaces and $(x,y) \in X \times Y$, define the norm of (x,y) by $||(x,y)|| = ||x|| + ||y||$, where $||x||$ and $||y||$ are the respective norms of $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. It is well known that $X \times Y$ is a Banach space with the above definition of the norm. If $F: X \to Y$ is a bounded linear operator, denote the norm (uniform) of F by $||F||$.

If x is a member of a Banach space, then $B(x,\delta)$ represents the open ball of radius δ centered at x. Let $\overline{A}$ be the closure of the subset A of a Banach space.

A solution of the integral equation,

$$x(t) = f(t) + \int_a^t g(t,s,x(s))ds, \tag{E[f,g]}$$

is defined to be a continuous function ξ with domain $[a,b]$, $(a < b)$, such that $(t,s,\xi(s))$ is in the domain of g for $a \leq s \leq t \leq b$ and

$$\xi(t) = f(t) + \int_a^t g(t,s,\xi(s))ds.$$

2. Preparatory results. Two theorems of Sato [13] will be stated since they will be used in the sequel.

THEOREM 1.2.1 (Sato). Let $f \in C(I,R^n)$ and $\Delta(a + p,f,b) = \{(t,s,x);\ x \in R^n,\ a \leq s \leq t \leq a + p$ and $||x - f(t)|| \leq b (b > 0)\}$. Suppose $g: \Delta(a + p,f,b) \to R^n$ is continuous. Then E[f,g] has at least one solution defined on $[a,a + \alpha]$, where $\alpha = \min\{p,b/M\}$ and

$$M = \sup \{ ||g(t,s,x)||; \ (t,s,x) \in \Delta(a + p,f,b)\}.$$

Furthermore, if there exists a non-negative real number L such that if (t,s,x) and (t,s,y) belong to $\Delta(a + p,f,b)$ then

$$||g(t,s,x) - g(t,s,y)|| \leq L||x - y||, \tag{1.2.1}$$

then E[f,g] has a unique solution.

THEOREM 1.2.2 (Sato). Let $f \in C(I,R^n)$ and $g \in C(I \times D,R^n)$. If a solution, ξ, of E[f,g] exists on $[a,t_0)$ $(a < t_0 < a + p)$, then there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that ξ is defined on $[a,t_0 + \varepsilon]$.

LEMMA 1.2.1. Let $f^o \in C(I,R^n)$ and $g^o \in C(I \times D,R^n)$ and assume that $E[f^o,g^o]$ has a solution, ξ^o, defined on I. Then there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if (f,g) belongs to $B(f^o,\delta) \times C(I \times D,R^n)$, then E[f,g] has a solution.

Since ξ^o: $I \to R^n$ is a solution of $E[f^o,g^o]$, it follows that $gr(\xi^o) = \{(s,\xi^o(s)); \ s \in I\} \subset D$. In particular, $(a,f^o(a)) \in D$. Since D is open, there exists a $\beta > 0$ such that $\overline{B}(a,\beta) \times \overline{B}(f^o(a),\beta) \subset D$. Define a real valued function h on I by $h(t) = \beta/3 - ||f^o(t) - f^o(a)||$ and observe that h is continuous. If h has a zero on I, let t_0 be the smallest one; otherwise, set $t_0 = a + p$. Also, observe that $0 \leq h(t) \leq \beta/3$ on $[a,t_0)$, so that $||f^o(t) - f^o(a)|| < \beta/3$ on $[a,t_0)$.

Let $T = \min \{t_0 - a,\beta/3\}$. It will be verified that $\Delta(T,f^o,\beta/3) = \{(t,s,x); \ a \leq s \leq t \leq T, \ ||x - f^o(t)|| \leq \beta/3\}$ is contained in $I \times D$. If $(t,s,x) \in \Delta(T,f^o,\beta/3)$, then $t \in I$, $|s - a| \leq T \leq \beta/3$ and $||x - f^o(t)|| \leq \beta/3$. It follows that $||x - f^o(a)|| \leq ||x - f^o(t)|| + ||f^o(t) - f^o(a)|| \leq 2\beta/3 < \beta$. Hence, $(t,s,x) \in I \times \overline{B}(a,\beta) \times \overline{B}(f^o(a),\beta) \subset I \times D$, and so $\Delta(T,f^o,\beta/3) \subset I \times D$.

If $f \in B(f^o,\beta/3)$, then the set $\Delta(T,f,\beta/3)$ is also in $I \times D$. For, as before, if $(t,s,x) \in \Delta(T,f,\beta/3)$, then $t \in I$, $|s - a| \leq T \leq \beta/3$ and

$||x - f(t)|| \leq \beta/3$, and $||x - f^o(a)|| \leq ||x - f(t)|| + ||f(t) - f^o(t)|| + ||f^o(t) - f^o(a)|| \leq \beta/3 + \beta/3 + \beta/3 = \beta$. Consequently, $(t,s,x) \in I \times \overline{B}(a,\beta) \times \overline{B}(f^o(a),\beta)$ and so $\Delta(T,f,\beta/3) \subset I \times D$.

With $(f,g) \in B(f^o,\beta/3) \times C(I \times D,R^n)$, an application of Theorem 1.2.1 yields the existence of a solution of $E[f,g]$ defined on some subinterval of I. The result follows on setting δ equal to $\beta/3$.

If $g^o \in C(I \times D,R^n)$ and δ is a positive real number, define $V(g^o,\delta)$ as $\{ g \in C(I \times D,R^n)$; $\sup \{ ||g(t,s,x) - g^o(t,s,x)||$; $(t,s,x) \in I \times D\} < \delta\}$.

THEOREM 1.2.3. Let $g^o \in C(I \times D,R^n)$ satisfy (1.2.1) on $I \times D$ with $L > 0$. Assume that $f^o \in C(I,R^n)$ and that $E[f^o,g^o]$ has a solution, ξ^o, defined on I. Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$, such that if (f,g) belongs to $B(f^o,\delta_\varepsilon) \times V(g^o,\delta_\varepsilon)$, then $E[f,g]$ has a solution; and if ξ is a solution of $E[f,g]$, then ξ is defined on I and $\xi \in B(\xi^o,\varepsilon) \subset C(I,R^n)$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. As $gr(\xi^o) \subset D$, the distance between the sets ∂D, the boundary of D, and $gr(\xi^o)$ is positive, provided ∂D is not empty. Let β^o be less than this distance and also less than ε. Define a neighborhood of $gr(\xi^o)$ in D by $N(\xi^o,\beta^o) = \{ (s,x)$; $a \leq s \leq a + p$, $||x - \xi^o(s)|| < \beta^o\}$. If ∂D is empty, then $D = R^{n+1}$, so that in either case there is a β^o, satisfying $0 < \beta^o < \varepsilon$, such that $I \times N(\xi^o,\beta^o) \subset I \times D$.

It follows from Lemma 1.2.1 that there is a δ such that if $(f,g) \in B(f^o,\delta) \times V(g^o,\delta)$, then at least one solution of $E[f,g]$ exists. Let $\delta_\varepsilon = \min \{ \delta,\beta^o L/[2((1 + L)\exp(pL) - 1)]\}$, where L is the Lipschitz constant of (1.2.1), and suppose that (f,g) is fixed in $B(f^o,\delta_\varepsilon) \times V(g^o,\delta_\varepsilon)$. If ξ is a solution of $E[f,g]$, let $T = \sup \{ t \in I$; ξ exists on $[a,t]\}$. We will show that ξ is defined at T and that $T = a + p$.

If $T < a + p$, then for $t \in [a,T)$ we have that,

$$\begin{aligned}||\xi(t) - \xi^o(t)|| &\leq ||f(t) - f^o(t)|| \\ &+ \int_a^t ||g(t,s,\xi(s)) - g^o(t,s,\xi(s))||ds \\ &+ \int_a^t ||g^o(t,s,\xi(s)) - g^o(t,s,\xi^o(s))||ds \\ &\leq \delta_\varepsilon + \delta_\varepsilon(t - a) + L\int_a^t ||\xi(s) - \xi^o(s)||ds.\end{aligned}$$

An application of the Gronwall-Reid inequality yields

(1.2.2) $||\xi(t) - \xi^o(t)|| \leq \delta_\varepsilon[((1 + L)\exp(pL) - 1)/L] \leq \beta^o/2 < \beta^o < \varepsilon$

for $t \in [a,T)$.

Consequently, $gr(\xi)$ is contained in the compact set $\overline{N}(\xi^o,\beta^o/2)$.

It will be established that $\lim_{t \to T-} \xi(t)$ exists. We note that f is uniformly continuous on I and that g is uniformly continuous on $I \times \overline{N}(\xi^o,\beta^o/2)$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be fixed. There is a δ_ϵ such that if $t_2 < t_1$, $0 < T - t_1 < \delta_\epsilon$ and $0 < T - t_2 < \delta_\epsilon$, then $||f(t_1) - f(t_2)|| < \epsilon/3$ and $||g(t_1,s,\xi(s)) - g(t_2,s,\xi(s))|| < \epsilon/[3(T - a)]$. Also, select $\delta_\epsilon < \epsilon/[2(3M + 1)]$ where $M = \sup\{ ||g(t,s,x)||; (t,s,x) \in I \times \overline{N}(\xi^o,\beta^o/2) \}$. Then it follows that,

$$\begin{aligned}||\xi(t_1) - \xi(t_2)|| &\leq ||f(t_1) - f(t_2)|| \\ &+ \int_a^{t_2} ||g(t_1,s,\xi(s)) - g(t_2,s,\xi(s))||ds \\ &+ \int_{t_2}^{t_1} ||g(t_1,s,\xi(s))||ds.\end{aligned}$$

As a result we have that

(1.2.3) $||\xi(t_1) - \xi(t_2)|| < \epsilon/3 + \epsilon(t - a)/[3(T - a)] + M\epsilon/[3M + 1] < \epsilon.$

Hence, $\lim_{t \to T-} \xi(t)$ exists by Cauchy's condition for convergence.

Let $\xi(T)$ be defined as $\lim_{t \to T-} \xi(t)$ and note that $(T,\xi(T)) \in \overline{N}(\xi^o,\beta^o/2) \subset D$. Also, for $a \leq t < T$, it follows that,

$$\begin{aligned}||\xi(T) - f(T) - \int_a^T g(T,s,\xi(s))ds|| &\leq ||\xi(T) - \xi(t)|| + ||f(t) - f(T)|| \\ &+ \int_a^t ||g(t,s,\xi(s)) - g(T,s,\xi(s))||ds \\ &+ \int_t^T ||g(T,s,\xi(s))||ds.\end{aligned}$$

That the right hand side of the above inequality is arbitrarily small for $|t - T|$ sufficiently small, follows by the same type of estimates used to obtain (1.2.3). Consequently, ξ is a solution of E[f,g] on [a,T]. By Theorem 1.2.2, ξ may be extended to the right of T. This contradicts the definition of T. Hence, $T = a + p$ and so ξ is a solution of E[f,g] on I. Since the inequality (1.2.2) also holds with $t = T$, it follows that $\xi \in B(\xi^o,\varepsilon)$.

In the proof of the above theorem it was shown that if $\eta^o \in C(I,R^n)$ and $gr(\eta^o) \subset D$, then there is a neighborhood $N(\eta^o,\beta)$ of $gr(\eta^o)$ such that $N(\eta^o,\beta) \subset D$. Note that if $\eta \in C(I,R^n)$, then $gr(\eta) \subset N(\eta^o,\beta)$ if and only if $\eta \in B(\eta^o,\beta) \subset C(I,R^n)$. Consequently, if $\eta^o \in C(I,R^n)$ and $gr(\eta^o) \subset D$, then there is a $\beta > 0$ such that if $\eta \in B(\eta^o,\beta)$, then $gr(\eta) \subset D$. In what follows, use will be made of the convexity of $B(\eta^o,\beta)$.

We digress to discuss linear integral equations. Let $\Delta(p) = \{(t,s);\ a \leq s \leq t \leq a + p\}$ and $f \in C(I,R^n)$. If k is a continuous $n \times n$ matrix function defined on $\Delta(p)$, then the equation

$$x(t) = f(t) + \int_a^t k(t,s)\, x(s)ds \tag{1.2.4}$$

has a unique solution on I. It is well known, [5; p. 125], that the solution is given by

$$\xi(t) = f(t) + \int_a^t r(t,s)\, f(s)ds \tag{1.2.5}$$

where r, the reciprocal kernel associated with k, is a continuous $n \times n$ matrix function defined on $\Delta(p)$ and

$$r(t,s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} k^{(m)}(t,s). \tag{1.2.6}$$

The matrices $k^{(m)}(t,s)$ are defined by

$$k^{(1)}(t,s) = k(t,s)$$

$$k^{(m)}(t,s) = \int_s^t k(t,u)k^{(m-1)}(u,s)du$$

for $m = 2,3,\ldots$. Also well-known is the fact that if $|k(t,s)| \leq M$

on $\Delta(p)$, then

$$||k^{(m)}(t,s)|| \leq M^m(t-s)^{m-1}/(m-1)! \tag{1.2.7}$$

for $(t,s) \in \Delta(p)$ and $m = 2,3,\ldots$. The inequality (1.2.7) together with the expression (1.2.6), yields the inequality

$$||r(t,s)|| \leq M \exp(Mp) \text{ for } (t,s) \in \Delta(p). \tag{1.2.8}$$

Perhaps less well-known are the inequalities which will be established in the next lemma.

LEMMA 1.2.2. *If* k *and* $\hat{k}$ *are continuous* $n \times n$ *matrix functions defined on* $\Delta(p)$ *such that* $||k(t,s)|| \leq M$, $||\hat{k}(t,s)|| \leq M$ *and* $||\hat{k}(t,s) - k(t,s)|| \leq \delta$ *on* $\Delta(p)$, *then*

$$||\hat{k}^{(m)}(t,s) - k^{(m)}(t,s)|| \leq m\delta M^{m-1}(t-s)^{m-1}/(m-1)!$$

on $\Delta(p)$ *for* $m = 2,3,\ldots$. *Furthermore, if* r *and* $\hat{r}$ *are the kernels reciprocal to* k *and* $\hat{k}$ *respectively, then* $||\hat{r}(t,s) - r(t,s)|| \leq \delta(1 + Mp)\exp(Mp)$ *on* $\Delta(p)$.

By hypothesis, $||\hat{k}^{(1)}(t,s) - k^{(1)}(t,s)|| \leq \delta$. If

$$||\hat{k}^{(m)}(t,s) - k^{(m)}(t,s)|| \leq m\delta M^{m-1}(t-s)^{m-1}/(m-1)!,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
&||\hat{k}^{(m+1)}(t,s) - k^{(m+1)}(t,s)|| \\
&\quad \leq \int_s^t ||\hat{k}(t,u)\hat{k}^{(m)}(u,s) - k(t,u)k^{(m)}(u,s)||du \\
&\quad \leq \int_s^t ||\hat{k}(t,u)||\,||\hat{k}^{(m)}(u,s) - k^{(m)}(u,s)||du \\
&\quad + \int_s^t ||\hat{k}(t,u) - k(t,u)||\;||k^{(m)}(u,s)||du \\
&\quad \leq m\delta M^m/(m-1)! \int_s^t (u-s)^{m-1}du + \delta M^m/(m-1)! \int_s^t (u-s)^{m-1}du \\
&\quad \leq (m+1)\delta M^m(t-s)^m/m!.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
||\hat{r}(t,s) - r(t,s)|| &\leq \Sigma_{m=1}^{\infty} ||\hat{k}^{(m)}(t,s) - k^{(m)}(t,s)|| \\
&\leq \Sigma_{m=1}^{\infty} m\delta M^{m-1}(t-s)^{m-1}/(m-1)! \\
&\leq (1 + M(t-s))\exp[M(t-s)]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \delta(1 + Mp)\exp(Mp).$$

We recall that if $g^o \in BC^1(I \times D, R^n)$, then $B(g^o,\delta) = \{g \in BC^1(I \times D,R^n);\ ||g - g^o||_1 < \delta\}$ where $BC^1(I \times D,R^n)$ and $||\cdot||_1$ were defined in (1.1.3) and (1.1.5) respectively.

LEMMA 1.2.3. Let (f^o,g^o) be an element of $C(I,R^n) \times BC^1(I \times D,R^n)$ for which ξ^o, the solution of $E[f^o,g^o]$, is defined on I. Then with each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is associated a $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $(f,g) \in B(f^o,\delta_\varepsilon) \times B(g^o,\delta_\varepsilon)$, then:

(i) the solution, ξ, of $E[f,g]$ is defined on I,

(ii) if r^o, r^1 and r^2 are the reciprocal kernels associated with $g_x^o(t,s,\xi^o(s))$, $\int_0^1 g_x(t,s,\xi^o(s) + \alpha(\xi(s) - \xi^o(s)))d\alpha$ and $g_x(t,s,\xi(s))$, respectively, then:

(a) $||r^1(t,s) - r^o(t,s)|| < \varepsilon$ for $(t,s) \in \Delta(p)$,

(b) $||r^2(t,s) - r^o(t,s)|| < \varepsilon$ for $(t,s) \in \Delta(p)$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. As in Theorem 1.2.3, there exists a $\beta > 0$ such that $N(\xi^o,2\beta) = \{(s,x);\ t \in I,\ ||x - \xi^o(t)|| < 2\beta\}$ is a subset of D. Also, it follows from Theorem 1.2.3 that there is a $\delta_1 > 0$ such that if $(f,g) \in B(f^o,\delta_1) \times B(g^o,\delta_1)$ then the solution of $E[f,g]$ has its graph in $N(\xi^o,\beta)$. Let $M = \sup\{||g||_1;\ g \in B(g^o,\delta_1)\}$ and set $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon/[4(1 + Mp)\exp(Mp)]$.

The function g_x^o is continuous on the compact set $I \times \overline{N}(\xi^o,\beta)$, so there is a $\delta_2 > 0$ such that if (t',s',x') and (t,s,x) belong to $I \times \overline{N}(\xi^o,\beta)$ and $||(t',s',x') - (t,s,x)|| < \delta_2$, then $||g_x^o(t',s',x') - g_x^o(t,s,x)|| < \varepsilon_1$. Once again it follows from Theorem 1.2.3 that there is a $\delta_3 > 0$ such that if $(f,g) \in B(f^o,\delta_3) \times B(g^o,\delta_3)$, then the solution ξ of $E[f,g]$ satisfies $\xi \in B(\xi^o,\delta_2)$. In particular, it is to be noted that if $\xi \in B(\xi^o,\delta_2)$, then $||(t,s,\xi(s)) - (t,s,\xi^o(s))|| < \delta_2$ for $a \leq s \leq t \leq a + p$.

Set $\delta_\varepsilon = \min\{\delta_1,\delta_3,\varepsilon_1\}$, and suppose that $(f,g) \in B(f^o,\delta_\varepsilon) \times B(g^o,\delta_\varepsilon)$. Let ξ be the solution of $E[f,g]$ and denote $\xi - \xi^o$ by $\Delta\xi$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\left|\int_0^1 g_x(t,s,\xi^o(s) + \alpha\Delta\xi(s))d\alpha - g_x^o(t,s,\xi^o(s))\right|\right| \\
&\leq \int_0^1 \left|\left| g_x(t,s,\xi^o(s) + \alpha\Delta\xi(s)) - g_x^o(t,s,\xi^o(s) + \alpha\Delta\xi(s))\right|\right| d\alpha \\
&+ \int_0^1 \left|\left| g_x^o(t,s,\xi^o(s) + \alpha\Delta\xi(s)) - g_x^o(t,s,\xi^o(s))\right|\right| d\alpha,
\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\left|\left|\int_0^1 g_x(t,s,\xi^o(s) + \alpha\Delta\xi(s))d\alpha - g_x^o(t,s,\xi^o(s))\right|\right| < \delta_\varepsilon + \varepsilon_1 < 2\varepsilon_1.$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\left| g_x(t,s,\xi(s)) - g_x^o(t,s,\xi^o(s))\right|\right| \leq\ & \left|\left| g_x(t,s,\xi(s)) - g_x^o(t,s,\xi(s))\right|\right| \\
&+ \left|\left| g_x^o(t,s,\xi(s)) - g_x^o(t,s,\xi^o(s))\right|\right|,
\end{aligned}$$

and we have that $\left|\left| g_x(t,s,\xi(s)) - g_x^o(t,s,\xi^o(s))\right|\right| < 2\varepsilon_1$. Since $2\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon/[2(1 + Mp)\exp(Mp)]$, it follows from Lemma 1.2.2 that $\left|\left| r^1(t,s) - r^o(t,s)\right|\right| < \varepsilon$ and $\left|\left| r^2(t,s) - r^o(t,s)\right|\right| < \varepsilon$ for $(t,s) \in \Delta(p)$.

3. Equations of variation. Recall the definition of the Fréchet differential. Let X and Y be normed linear spaces and let A be an open set containing $x \in X$. A mapping $F: A \to Y$ is differentiable at x if there exists a bounded linear operator $dF(x;\cdot): X \to Y$ such that $\left|\left| F(x + \xi) - F(x) - dF(x;\xi)\right|\right| / \left|\left|\xi\right|\right| \to 0$ as $\left|\left|\xi\right|\right| \to 0$. The linear operator $dF(x;\cdot)$ is called the Fréchet differential of F at x. If such a linear operator exists, then it is unique.

If $g \in BC^1(I \times D,R^n)$, then the boundedness of $\left|\left| g_x\right|\right|$ implies that g satisfies a Lipschitz condition with respect to x. Also, if $(f^o,g^o) \in C(I,R^n) \times BC^1(I \times D,R^n)$ is such that the solution of $E[f^o,g^o]$ is defined on I, then it follows from Theorem 1.2.3 that there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $(f,g) \in B(f^o,\delta) \times B(g^o,\delta)$, then the solution of $E[f,g]$ is also defined on I. Consequently, a function $F: B(f^o,\delta) \times B(g^o,\delta) \to C(I,R^n)$ may be defined by $\xi = F(f,g)$ where ξ

is the unique solution of E[f,g]. That ξ exists and is unique follows from Theorem 1.2.1.

THEOREM 1.3.1. Let $(f^o,g^o) \in C(I,R^n) \times BC^1(I \times D,R^n)$ be an element for which the solution ξ^o of $E[f^o,g^o]$ exists on I. Then F is Fréchet differentiable at (f^o,g^o).

There exists a $\beta > 0$ such that any element of $B(\xi^o,\beta)$ has graph in D. There exists a $\delta_1 > 0$ such that if $(f,g) \in B(f^o,\delta_1) \times B(g^o,\delta_1)$, then F(f,g), the solution of E[f,g], is in $B(\xi^o,\beta)$.

For $(f,g) \in B(f^o,\delta_1) \times B(g^o,\delta_1)$, define the difference function $\Delta\xi$ by $\Delta\xi = \xi - \xi^o = F(f,g) - F(f^o,g^o)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\xi(t) &= f(t) - f^o(t) + \int_a^t g(t,s,\xi(s))ds - \int_a^t g^o(t,s,\xi^o(s))ds \\ &= f(t) - f^o(t) + \int_a^t [g(t,s,\xi^o(s)) - g^o(t,s,\xi^o(s))]ds \\ &\quad + \int_a^t [g(t,s,\xi(s)) - g(t,s,\xi^o(s))]ds.\end{aligned}$$

Making use of Taylor's theorem with integral form of the remainder, and denoting $f - f^o$ by Δf and $g - g^o$ by Δg, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\xi(t) &= \Delta f(t) + \int_a^t \Delta g(t,s,\xi^o(s))ds \\ &\quad + \int_a^t \left(\int_0^1 g_x(t,s,\xi^o(s) + \alpha\Delta\xi(s))d\alpha\right)\Delta\xi(s)ds.\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (1.2.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\xi(t) &= \Delta f(t) + \int_a^t \Delta g(t,s,\xi^o(s))ds + \int_a^t r(t,s)f(s)ds \\ &\quad + \int_a^t r(t,s)\left(\int_a^t \Delta g(s,u,\xi^o(u))du\right)ds\end{aligned}$$

where r(t,s) is the reciprocal kernel associated with $\int_0^1 g_x(t,s,\xi^o(s) + \alpha\Delta\xi(s))d\alpha$. The equation

$$x(t) = \Delta f(t) + \int_a^t \Delta g(t,s,\xi^o(s))ds + \int_a^t g_x^o(t,s,\xi^o(s))x(s)ds$$

is linear, so it has a solution

$$\begin{aligned}dF(f^o,g^o;\ \Delta f,\Delta g)(t) &= \Delta f(t) + \int_a^t \Delta g(t,s,\xi^o(s))ds \\ &\quad + \int_a^t r^o(t,s)\Delta f(s)ds \\ &\quad + \int_a^t r^o(t,s)\left(\int_a^s \Delta g(s,u,\xi^o(u))du\right)ds\end{aligned}$$

where $r^o(t,s)$ is the reciprocal kernel for the kernel $g_x^o(t,s,\xi^o(s))$.

The difference $||\Delta\xi(t) - dF(f^o,g^o;\ \Delta f,\Delta g)(t)||$ may be bounded as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&||\Delta\xi(t) - dF(f^o,g^o;\ \Delta f,\Delta g)(t)|| \\
&\qquad \leq \int_a^t ||r(t,s) - r^o(t,s)||\ ||\Delta f(s)||ds \\
&\qquad + \int_a^t ||r(t,s) - r^o(t,s)||\left(\int_a^s ||\Delta g(s,u,\xi^o(u))||du\right)ds \\
&\qquad \leq ||(\Delta f,\Delta g)||\int_a^t ||r(t,s) - r^o(t,s)||(1 + (s - a))ds \\
&\qquad \leq ||(\Delta f,\Delta g)||(1 + p)\int_a^t ||r(t,s) - r^o(t,s)||ds.
\end{aligned}$$

For fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, we will establish the existence of a $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that, if $(f,g) \in B(f^o,\delta_\varepsilon) \times B(g^o,\delta_\varepsilon)$, then $\sup\{||\Delta\xi(t) - dF(f^o,g^o;\ \Delta f,\Delta g)(t)||;\ t \in I\} < \varepsilon||(\Delta f,\Delta g)||$. It follows from Lemma 1.2.3 that there is a $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ satisfying $\delta_\varepsilon < \delta_1$, and such that if $(f,g) \in B(f^o,\delta_\varepsilon) \times B(g^o,\delta_\varepsilon)$, then $||r(t,s) - r^o(t,s)|| < \varepsilon/[2p(1 + p)]$ for $a \leq s \leq t \leq a + p$. So, if $(f,g) \in B(f^o,\delta_\varepsilon) \times B(g^o,\delta_\varepsilon)$, then $||\Delta\xi(t) - dF(f^o,g^o;\ \Delta f,\Delta g)(t)|| < \varepsilon||(\Delta f,\Delta g)||/2$. It follows that $||\Delta\xi - dF(f^o,g^o;\ \Delta f,\Delta g)|| = \sup\{||\Delta\xi(t) - dF(f^o,g^o;\ \Delta f,\Delta g)(t)||;\ t \in I\} < \varepsilon||(\Delta f,\Delta g)||$.

It is clear that if $(\phi,\gamma) \in C(I,R^n) \times BC^1(I \times D,R^n)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
(1.3.1)\qquad dF(f^o,g^o;\ \phi,\gamma)(t) &= \phi(t) + \int_a^t \gamma(t,s,\xi^o(s))ds + \int_a^t r^o(t,s)\phi(s)ds \\
&\quad + \int_a^t r^o(t,s)\left(\int_a^s \gamma(s,u,\xi^o(u))du\right)ds
\end{aligned}$$

is an element of $C(I,R^n)$. Consequently, a mapping

$$dF(f^o,g^o;\ \cdot,\cdot):\ C(I,R^n) \times BC^1(I \times D,R^n) \to C(I,R^n)$$

is defined by (1.3.1).

It is easily verified that $dF(f^o,g^o;\ \cdot,\cdot)$ is linear on $C(I,R^n) \times BC^1(I \times D,R^n)$. The boundedness of $dF(f^o,g^o;\ \cdot,\cdot)$ as a linear operator will now be established. If $(\phi,\gamma) \in C(I,R^n) \times BC^1(I \times D,R^n)$, then

$$||dF(f^o,g^o;\ \phi,\gamma)(t)|| \leq\ ||\phi(t)|| + \int_a^t ||\gamma(t,s,\xi^o(s))||ds$$
$$+ \int_a^t ||r^o(t,s)||\ \ ||\phi(s)||ds$$
$$+ \int_a^t ||r^o(t,s)|| \left(\int_a^s ||\gamma(s,u,\xi^o(u))||du\right)ds$$
$$\leq\ ||(\phi,\gamma)||[1 + (t - a) + \int_a^t ||r^o(t,s)||ds$$
$$+ \int_a^t ||r^o(t,s)||(s - a)ds].$$

It follows from (1.2.8) that $||r^o(t,s)|| \leq M \exp(Mp)$ where M is an upper bound for $||g_x^o(t,s,x)||$ on $I \times D$. Moreover,

$$||dF(f^o,g^o;\ \phi,\gamma)(t)|| \leq\ (1 + p + Mp \exp(Mp) + Mp^2 \exp(Mp))||(\phi,\gamma)||$$

for $t \in I$, and hence,

$$\sup\{||dF(f^o,g^o;\ \phi,\gamma)(t)||;\ t \in I\} \leq\ ((1 + p)(1 + Mp \exp(Mp))||(\phi,\gamma)||.$$

As (ϕ,γ) is arbitrary in $C(I,R^n) \times BC^1(I \times D,R^n)$, it follows that $dF(f^o,g^o;\ \cdot,\cdot)$ is a bounded linear operator and thus it is the Fréchet differential of F at (f^o,g^o).

As was brought out in Theorem 1.3.1 above, $dF(f^o,g^o;\ \phi,\gamma)$ is the solution of

(1.3.2) $$x(t) = \phi(t) + \int_a^t \gamma(t,s,\xi^o(s))ds + \int_a^t g_x^o(t,s,\xi^o(s))x(s)ds$$

where $\xi^o = F(f^o,g^o)$. Consequently, equation (1.3.2) is termed the <u>variational equation</u> associated with $E[f^o,g^o]$.

Since F is differentiable at (f^o,g^o), it is well known [9; p. 153] that F has two partial differentials,

$$d_1F(f^o,g^o;\ \phi)(t) = \phi(t) + \int_a^t r^o(t,s)\phi(s)ds$$

and

$$d_2F(f^o,g^o;\ \gamma)(t) = \int_a^t \gamma(t,s,\xi^o(s))ds + \int_a^t r^o(t,s)\left(\int_a^s \gamma(s,u,\xi^o(u))du\right)ds.$$

Moreover, the differentials $d_1F(f^o,g^o;\ \phi)$ and $d_2F(f^o,g^o;\ \gamma)$ are solutions of the respective equations

(1.3.3) $$x(t) = \phi(t) + \int_a^t g_x^o(t,s,\xi^o(s))x(s)ds$$

and

(1.3.4) $\quad x(t) = \int_a^t \gamma(t,s,\xi^o(s))ds + \int_a^t g_x^o(t,s,\xi^o(s))x(s)ds.$

Equation (1.3.3) is the variational equation associated with $E[f^o,g^o]$ when only f is allowed to vary, and equation (1.3.4) is the variational equation associated with $E[f^o,g^o]$ when g alone varies.

THEOREM 1.3.2. *Let* (f^o,g^o) *be an element of* $C(I,R^n) \times BC^1(I \times D,R^n)$ *for which* ξ^o, *the solution of* $E[f^o,g^o]$, *is defined on* I. *Then* dF *is continuous at* (f^o,g^o).

It follows from Theorem 1.2.3 that there is a $\beta > 0$ such that if $(f,g) \in B(f^o,\beta) \times B(g^o,\beta)$, then the solution of E[f,g] exists on I. By Theorem 1.3.1, the function F is defined and differentiable on $B(f^o,\beta) \times B(g^o,\beta)$.

Suppose $\varepsilon > 0$ is fixed and for $M = \sup\{ ||g||_1;\ g \in B(g^o,\beta)\}$ let $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon/[2(2p + p^2(1 + Mp)\exp(Mp))]$. Also, let $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ be a number for which any element of $B(\xi^o,\varepsilon_2)$ has graph in D and choose $\varepsilon_3 = \min\{\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2\}$.

It follows from Theorem 1.2.3 and Lemma 1.2.3 that there exists a $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $(f,g) \in B(f^o,\delta_\varepsilon) \times B(g^o,\delta_\varepsilon)$, then $\xi = F(f,g)$ belongs to $B(\xi^o,\varepsilon_3)$ and $||r(t,s) - r^o(t,s)|| < \varepsilon_1$ where r(t,s) and $r^o(t,s)$ are the kernels reciprocal to $g_x(t,s,\xi(s))$ and $g_x^o(t,s,\xi^o(s))$, respectively.

Let $(\phi,\gamma) \in C(I,R^n) \times BC^1(I \times D,R^n)$ and $(f,g) \in B(f^o,\delta_\varepsilon) \times B(g^o,\delta_\varepsilon)$. Then, in view of (1.3.1)

$$\begin{aligned} ||dF(f,g;\ \phi,\gamma)(t) - dF(f^o,g^o;\ \phi,\gamma)(t)|| \\ \leq\ & ||\int_a^t(\gamma(t,s,\xi(s)) - \gamma(t,s,\xi^o(s)))ds \\ & + \int_a^t(r(t,s) - r^o(t,s))\phi(s)ds \\ & + \int_a^t(r(t,s) - r^o(t,s))\int_a^s\gamma(s,u,\xi(u))duds \\ & + \int_a^t r^o(t,s)\int_a^s(\gamma(s,u,\xi(u)) - \gamma(s,u,\xi^o(u))duds||\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \int_a^t \left(\int_0^1 ||\gamma_x(t,s,\xi^o(s) + \alpha\Delta\xi(s))||d\alpha\right)||\Delta\xi(s)||ds$$
$$+ \int_a^t ||r(t,s) - r^o(t,s)||\ ||\phi(s)||ds$$
$$+ \int_a^t ||r(t,s) - r^o(t,s)|| \int_a^s ||\gamma(s,u,\xi(u))||duds$$
$$+ \left[\int_a^t ||r^o(t,s)|| \int_a^s \left(\int_0^1 ||\gamma_x(s,u,\xi^o(u) + \alpha\Delta\xi(u))||d\alpha\right)\right.$$
$$\left.||\Delta\xi(u)||duds\right].$$

It follows from (1.2.8) that $||r^o(t,s)|| \leq M \exp(Mp)$. Consequently,

$$||dF(f,g;\ \phi,\gamma)(t) - dF(f^o,g^o;\ \phi,\gamma)(t)||$$
$$\leq ||\gamma||_1||\xi - \xi^o||p + ||\phi||\varepsilon_1 p + ||\gamma||_1\varepsilon_1 p^2$$
$$+ ||\gamma||_1 M \exp(Mp)||\xi - \xi^o||p^2$$
$$\leq ||(\phi,\gamma)||(\varepsilon_3 p + \varepsilon_1 p + \varepsilon_1 p^2 + \varepsilon_3 p^2 M \exp(Mp))$$
$$\leq ||(\phi,\gamma)||(2p + p^2(1 + M \exp(Mp))\varepsilon_1.$$

In view of the definition of ε_1 we have that

$$||dF(f,g;\ \phi,\gamma) - dF(f^o,g^o;\ \phi,\gamma)|| \leq \varepsilon||(\phi,\gamma)||/2.$$

Since (ϕ,γ) is arbitrary in $C(I,R^n) \times BC^1(I \times D,R^n)$, it follows that $||dF(f,g;\ \cdot,\cdot) - dF(f^o,g^o;\ \cdot,\cdot)|| < \varepsilon$. Hence, dF is continuous at (f^o,g^o).

CHAPTER 2

STABILITY PROPERTIES OF A VOLTERRA INTEGRAL EQUATION

1. Notation and conventions. Some further notation will be introduced although the notation of Chapter 1 remains in force unless there is a specific statement to the contrary. If $R^+ = [0,\infty)$, let

$$BC(R^+) = \{ f: R^+ \to R^n; f \text{ is bounded and continuous on } R^+\}.$$

The function defined by

$$||x|| = \sup \{||x(t)||; t \in R^+\}$$

establishes a norm for $BC(R^+)$ and $(BC(R^+),||\cdot||)$ is a Banach space. In the sequel we will use $BC(R^+)$ to denote $(BC(R^+),||\cdot||)$.

Denote $\{(t,x) \in R \times R^n; 0 \leq t < \infty \text{ and } ||x|| < q\}$ by $D(q)$, where q is a positive real number. Let $B(0,q)$ be the ball of radius q centered at 0 in $BC(R^+)$. The ball of radius q_m centered at 0 in R^m is denoted by $B(0,q_m)$. We observe that if $x \in B(0,q)$, then $gr(x)$ is in $D(q)$. Let h be a function defined on $D(q) \times B(0,q_m)$ with range in R^n. If the component functions of h are h_i, let h_x denote the $n \times n$ matrix $[\partial h_i/\partial x_j]$ of partial derivatives of the h_i with respect to the components x_j of $x \in R^n$. Let h_c denote the $n \times m$ matrix $[\partial h_i/\partial c_s]$ of partial derivatives of the h_i with respect to the components c_s of $c \in R^m$. The $n \times (n + m)$ matrix $[h_{ix_j}, h_{ic_s}]$ whose first n columns consist of h_x and whose last m columns are h_c is denoted by h_z.

Assume that h satisfies the following:

(A_1^o) h is continuous on $D(q) \times B(0,q_m)$ and $h(t,0,0) = 0$ for $t \in R^+$;

(A_2^o) the component functions of h have continuous first order partial derivatives with respect to the components of $x \in R^n$ and $c \in R^m$, and the $n \times (n + m)$ matrix $||h_z(t,x,c)||$ is bounded above by P^o for $(t,x,c) \in D(q) \times B(0,q_m)$;

(A_3^o) for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that if (t,x,c) and (t',x',c') belong to $D(q) \times B(0,q_m)$ and $||x - x'|| < \delta_\varepsilon$ and $||c - c'|| < \delta_\varepsilon$, then $||h_z(t,x,c) - h_z(t',x',c')|| < \varepsilon$.

Let $\Delta = \{(t,s) \in R^2;\ 0 \leq s \leq t < \infty\}$ and for $b > 0$ define $\Delta(b)$ as $\{(t,s) \in R^2;\ 0 \leq s \leq t \leq b\}$. Suppose that k is a continuous $n \times n$ matrix function defined on Δ with the property that there exists an $M > 0$ such that

(2.1.1) $$\int_0^t ||k(t,s)||ds \leq M, \text{ for } t \in R^+.$$

We will denote the integral equation

$$x(t) = f(t) + \int_0^t k(t,s)h(s,x(s),c)ds$$

by $E[f,k,h]$.

2. Existence and differentiability of solutions of $E[f,k,h]$. With f, x and c denoting arbitrary elements of $BC(R^+)$, $B(0,q)$ and $B(0,q_m)$ respectively, define a function G^o: $BC(R^+) \times B(0,q_m) \times B(0,q) \to BC(R^+)$ by

(2.2.1) $$G^o(f,c,x)(t) = f(t) + \int_0^t k(t,s)h(s,x(s),c)ds - x(t).$$

Since f, k, h and x are continuous, $G^o(f,c,x)$ is a continuous function of t. Also,

$$\int_0^t k(t,s)h(s,x(s),c)ds = \int_0^t k(t,s)[h(s,x(s),c) - h(s,0,0)]ds$$
$$= \int_0^t \left(\int_0^1 k(t,s)h_z(s,\alpha x(s),\alpha c)d\alpha\right)z(s)ds$$

where Taylor's theorem with remainder has been applied and z(s) is the transpose of the $n + m$ dimensional row vector $[x(s),c]$. In view of

hypothesis (A_2^o) and the inequality (2.1.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}||G^o(f,c,x)(t)|| &\leq ||f(t)|| \\ &\quad + \int_0^t(\int_0^1||k(t,s)||\ ||h_z(s,\alpha x(s),\alpha c)||d\alpha)||z(s)||ds \\ &\quad + ||x(t)|| \\ &\leq ||f|| + P^oM(||c|| + ||x||) + ||x||.\end{aligned}$$

That is, $||G^o(f,c,x)(t)||$ is bounded, so that $G^o(f,c,x) \in BC(R^+)$.

In [1; p. 517], R. Bellman established a result which contains the following theorem as a special case.

THEOREM 2.2.1. A necessary and sufficient condition for $\int_0^t k(t,s)x(s)ds \in BC(R^+)$ whenever $x \in BC(R^+)$ is that the inequality (2.1.1) be satisfied.

LEMMA 2.2.1. The partial differential of G^o with respect to the first variable exists and is continuous on $BC(R^+) \times B(0,q_m) \times B(0,q)$.

With $(f,c,x) \in BC(R^+) \times B(0,q_m) \times B(0,q)$ and $\phi \in BC(R^+)$, we have that $G^o(f + \phi,c,x) - G^o(f,c,x) = \phi(t)$, and hence

$$d_1G^o(f,c,x;\ \phi) = \phi. \tag{2.2.2}$$

Since (f,c,x) is arbitrary in $BC(R^+) \times B(0,q_m) \times B(0,q)$ and d_1G^o is the identity map on $BC(R^+)$, the result follows.

LEMMA 2.2.2. The partial differential of G^o with respect to the second variable exists and is continuous on $BC(R^+) \times B(0,q_m) \times B(0,q)$.

If $(f,c,x) \in BC(R^+) \times B(0,q_m) \times B(0,q)$ and $\lambda \in R^m$ are such that $c + \lambda \in B(0,q_m)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}&G^o(f,c + \lambda,x) - G^o(f,c,x) \\ &\qquad = \int_0^t(\int_0^1 k(t,s)h_c(s,x(s),\alpha\lambda)d\alpha)ds\lambda.\end{aligned}$$

We proceed to show that

$$d_2G^o(f,c,x;\ \lambda)(t) = \int_0^t k(t,s)h_c(s,x(s),c)ds\lambda. \tag{2.2.3}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. From hypothesis (A_3^o), there is a $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that if (t,u,c) and (t,u,c') belong to $D(q) \times B(0,q_m)$ and $||c - c'|| < \delta_\varepsilon$, then $||h_c(t,u,c) - h_c(t,u,c')|| < \varepsilon/(2M)$, where M is defined by (2.1.1). Let $\lambda \in B(0,q_m)$ be such that $c + \lambda \in B(0,q_m)$ and $0 < ||\lambda|| < \delta_\varepsilon$. Then $||h_c(s,x(s),c + \alpha\lambda) - h_c(s,x(s),c)|| < \varepsilon/(2M)$ for each $\alpha \in [0,1]$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &||G^o(f,c + \lambda,x)(t) - G^o(f,c,x)(t) - d_2G^o(f,c,x;\lambda)(t)|| \\ &\quad \leq \int_0^t\left(\int_0^1 ||k(t,s)||\ ||h_c(s,x(s),c + \alpha\lambda) - h_c(s,x(s),c)||d\alpha\right)ds\lambda \\ &\quad \leq ||\lambda||\varepsilon/2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$||G^o(f,c + \lambda,x) - G^o(f,c,x) - d_2G^o(f,c,x;\ \lambda)||/||\lambda|| < \varepsilon.$$

With λ an arbitrary element of R^m, it is clear that $d_2G^o(f,c,x;\ \lambda)$ is linear in λ. Also,

$$||d_2G^o(f,c,x;\ \lambda)(t)|| \leq \int_0^t ||k(t,s)||\ ||h_c(s,x(s),c)\lambda||ds,$$

and in view of (2.2.1) and the fact that $h_c(t,x(t),c)\lambda \in BC(R^+)$ for each $\lambda \in R^m$, it follows from the above Theorem 2.2.1 that $d_2G^o(f,c,x;\ \cdot)$ is a bounded linear map of R^m into $BC(R^+)$. Hence, $d_2G^o(f,c,x;\ \cdot)$ is the required partial differential.

In order to establish the continuity of d_2G^o, let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed and select a $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that if (t,u,c) and (t,u',c') are elements of $D(q) \times B(0,q_m)$, while $||u - u'|| < \delta_\varepsilon$ and $||c - c'|| < \delta_\varepsilon$, then $||h_c(t,u,c) - h_c(t,u',c')|| < \varepsilon/(2M)$. Let (f,c,x) and (f',c',x') belong to $BC(R^+) \times B(0,q_m) \times B(0,q)$ and be such that $||(f,c,x) - (f',c',x')|| < \delta_\varepsilon$. Then, with $\lambda \in R^m$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &||d_2G^o(f,c,x;\ \lambda)(t) - d_2G^o(f',c',x';\ \lambda)(t)|| \\ &\qquad \leq \int_0^t ||k(t,s)||\ ||h_c(s,x(s),c) - h_c(s,x'(s),c)||ds||\lambda|| \\ &\qquad \leq ||\lambda||\varepsilon/2. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $||d_2G^o(f,c,x;\lambda) - d_2G^o(f',c',x';\lambda)|| \leq \varepsilon||\lambda||/2$, and since λ is an arbitrary element of R^m, it follows that $||d_2G^o(f,c,x;\cdot) - d_2G^o(f',c',x';\cdot)|| < \varepsilon$, and hence, d_2G^o is continuous on $BC(R^+) \times B(0,q_m) \times B(0,q)$.

LEMMA 2.2.3. The partial differential of G^o with respect to the third variable exists and is continuous on $BC(R^+) \times B(0,q_m) \times B(0,q)$.

If $(f,c,x) \in BC(R^+) \times B(0,q_m) \times B(0,q)$ and $\xi \in B(0,q)$ are such that $x + \xi \in B(0,q)$, then

$$G^o(f,c,x+\xi)(t) - G^o(f,c,x)(t) = \int_0^t\left(\int_0^1 k(t,s)h_x(s,x(s) + \alpha\xi(s),c)d\alpha\right)\xi(s)ds - \xi(t).$$

We shall proceed to establish that

$$(2.2.4)\quad d_3G^o(f,c,x;\xi)(t) = \int_0^t k(t,s)h_x(s,x(s),c)\xi(s)ds - \xi(t).$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. From hypothesis (A_3^o), there exists a $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that if (t,u,c) and (t,u',c) belong to $D(q) \times B(0,q_m)$ and $||u - u'|| < \delta_\varepsilon$, then $||h_x(t,u,c) - h_x(t,u',c)|| < \varepsilon/(2M)$. In particular, if $\xi \in B(0,q)$ with $x + \xi \in B(0,q)$ and $0 < ||\xi|| < \delta_\varepsilon$, then $||h_x(s,x(s) + \alpha\xi(s),c) - h_x(s,x(s),c)|| < \varepsilon/(2M)$ for $\alpha \in [0,1]$. It follows that if $d_3G^o(f,c,x;\xi)$ is defined by (2.2.4), then

$$\begin{aligned}||G^o(f,c,x+\xi)(t) - G^o(f,c,x)(t) - d_3G^o(f,c,x;\xi)(t)|| &\leq \int_0^t\left(\int_0^1 ||k(t,s)||\ ||h_x(s,x(s) + \alpha\xi(s),c) - h_x(s,x(s),c)||d\alpha\right)\\ &\qquad ||\xi(s)||ds\\ &\leq \varepsilon||\xi||/2.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have that

$$||G^o(f,c,x+\xi) - G^o(f,c,x) - d_3G^o(f,c,x;\xi)||/||\xi|| < \varepsilon.$$

With $\xi \in BC(R^+)$, it is clear that $d_3G^o(f,c,x;\xi)$ is linear in ξ. Also, we have the inequality

$$||d_3G^o(f,c,x;\xi)(t)|| \leq \int_0^t ||k(t,s)h_x(s,x(s),c)||\ ||\xi(s)||ds,$$

and since $\int_0^t ||k(t,s)h_x(s,x(s),c)||ds \leq MP^o$ it follows from the above Theorem 2.2.1 that $d_3G^o(f,c,x;\ \cdot)$ is a bounded linear transformation on $BC(R^+)$. Hence, $d_3G^o(f,c,x;\ \cdot)$ is the required partial differential.

An argument similar to the one given in Lemma 2.2.2 serves to establish the continuity of d_3G^o as a function of (f,c,x).

THEOREM 2.2.2. *Suppose that hypotheses* (A_1^o), (A_2^o), (A_3^o) *and* (2.1.1) *are satisfied and that* G^o *is the function defined by* (2.2.1). *Then* G^o *is continuously differentiable on* $BC(R^+) \times B(0,q_m) \times B(0,q)$. *Furthermore, if* $(f,c,x) \in BC(R^+) \times B(0,q_m) \times B(0,q)$ *and* $(\phi,\lambda,\xi) \in BC(R^+) \times R^m \times BC(R^+)$, *then*

$$dG^o(f,c,x;\ \phi,\lambda,\xi)(t) = \phi(t) + (\int_0^t k(t,s)h_c(s,x(s),c)ds)\lambda + \int_0^t k(t,s)h_x(s,x(s),c)\xi(s)ds - \xi(t).$$

From Lemmas 2.2.1, 2.2.2 and 2.2.3, we have that $d_1G^o(f,c,x;\ \phi)$, $d_2G^o(f,c,x;\ \lambda)$ and $d_3G^o(f,c,x;\ \xi)$ exist and are continuous on $BC(R^+) \times B(0,q_m) \times B(0,q)$. It follows from a well known theorem [9; p. 154] that G^o is continuously differentiable on $BC(R^+) \times B(0,q_m) \times B(0,q)$ and

$$dG^o(f,c,x;\ \phi,\lambda,\xi) = d_1G^o(f,c,x;\ \phi) + d_2G^o(f,c,x;\ \lambda) + d_3G^o(f,c,x;\ \xi).$$

LEMMA 2.2.4. *Let the hypotheses of Theorem* 2.2.2 *be satisfied. Suppose there exists a real number* $M_{ro} \geq 0$ *such that* $r^o(t,s)$, *the reciprocal kernel associated with* $k(t,s)h_x(s,0,0)$, *satisfies*

$$\int_0^t ||r^o(t,s)||ds \leq M_{ro} \text{ for } t \in R^+.$$

Then, $d_3G^o(0,0,0;\ \cdot)$ *is a linear homeomorphism of* $BC(R^+)$ *onto* $BC(R^+)$.

For each $f \in BC(R^+)$ the linear equation

$$\xi(t) = -f(t) + \int_0^t k(t,s)h_x(s,0,0)\xi(s)ds \tag{2.2.5}$$

has exactly one continuous solution which is given by

$$\xi(t) = -f(t) - \int_0^t r^o(t,s)\xi(s)ds.$$

Theorem 2.2.1 shows that $\xi \in BC(R^+)$ and since (2.2.5) is equivalent to $d_3G^o(0,0,0;\ \xi) = f$, it follows that $d_3G^o(0,0,0;\ \cdot)$ is bijective.

It was established in Lemma 2.2.3 that $d_3G^o(0,0,0;\ \cdot)$ is bounded and linear. Since $BC(R^+)$ is a Banach space, it is well known [14; p. 180] that $(d_3G^o(0,0,0;\ \cdot))^{-1}$ exists and is a bounded linear operator on $BC(R^+)$. Therefore, $d_3G^o(0,0,0;\ \cdot)$ is a linear homeomorphism of $BC(R^+)$ onto $BC(R^+)$.

THEOREM 2.2.3. Let the hypotheses of Lemma 2.2.4 be satisfied. Then there exist open balls $B((0,0),\hat{r}_1) \subset BC(R^+) \times B(0,q_m)$ and $B(0,\hat{r}_2) \subset BC(R^+)$ and a function F^o: $B((0,0),\hat{r}_1) \to B(0,\hat{r}_2)$ with the following properties:

(i) the point $((f,c), F^o(f,c)) \in B((0,0),\hat{r}_1) \times B(0,\hat{r}_2)$ is a solution of $G^o(f,c,x) = 0$ for each $(f,c) \in B((0,0),\hat{r}_1)$, and there is no other solution with the same (f,c) in $B(0,\hat{r}_2)$;

(ii) the partial differential $d_3G^o(f,c,F^o(f,c);\ \cdot)$ is invertible for each $(f,c) \in B((0,0),\hat{r}_1)$;

(iii) F^o is continuously differentiable on $B((0,0),\hat{r}_1)$.

The proof is immediate for we observe that $G^o(0,0,0) = 0$ and that the hypotheses of the implicit function theorem of Hildebrandt and Graves [8; p. 150] are satisfied.

THEOREM 2.2.4. Assume that the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2.3 are satisfied and that F^o: $B((0,0),\hat{r}_1) \to B(0,\hat{r}_2)$ is the corresponding implicit function. Also, suppose $(f,c) \in B((0,0),\hat{r}_1)$ and $x \in B(0,\hat{r}_2)$ satisfy $x = F^o(f,c)$. Then,

$$(2.2.6)\qquad dF^o(f,c,;\ \phi,\lambda)(t) = \phi(t) + \int_0^t r^o(t,s,c)\phi(s)ds + \left(\int_0^t k(t,s)h_c(s,x(s),c)ds\right)\lambda + \left(\int_0^t r^o(t,s,c)\left[\int_0^s k(s,u)h_c(u,x(u),c)du\right]ds\right)\lambda$$

where $r^o(t,s,c)$ is the kernel reciprocal to $k(t,s)h_x(s,x(s),c)$. Consequently, $dF^o(f,c;\phi,\lambda)$ is the solution of the variational equation

$$\xi(t) = \phi(t) + \int_0^t k(t,s)h_c(s,x(s),c)ds\lambda + \int_0^t k(t,s)h_x(s,x(s),c)\xi(s)ds. \tag{2.2.7}$$

By Lemma 2.2.4, $(d_3G^o(f,c,x;\cdot))^{-1}$ exists and is a bounded linear transformation on $BC(R^+)$. If $d_3G^o(f,c,x;\xi) = \phi$, then

$$\xi(t) = -\phi(t) + \int_0^t k(t,s)h_x(s,x(s),c)\xi(s)ds.$$

The solution of this linear equation is

$$\xi(t) = -\phi(t) - \int_0^t r^o(t,s,c)\phi(s)ds,$$

so

$$(d_3G^o(f,c,x;\cdot))^{-1}(\phi)(t) = -(\phi(t) + \int_0^t r^o(t,s,c)\phi(s)ds).$$

Using the chain rule, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} dF^o(f,c;\phi,\lambda)(t) &= [-(d_3G^o(f,c,x;\cdot))^{-1} \circ (d_1G^o(f,c,x;\cdot) + \\ &\qquad d_2G^o(f,c,x;\cdot))](\phi,\lambda)(t) \\ &= [-(d_3G^o(f,c,x;\cdot))^{-1}(d_1G^o(f,c,x;\phi) + \\ &\qquad d_2G^o(f,c,x;\lambda))](t) \\ &= [-(d_3G^o(f,c,x;\cdot))^{-1}(\phi + \\ &\qquad \int_0^{\cdot} k(\cdot,s)h_c(s,x(s),c)ds\lambda)](t) \\ &= \phi(t) + \int_0^t r^o(t,s,c)\phi(s)ds \\ &\qquad + [\int_0^t k(t,s)h_c(s,x(s),c)ds]\lambda \\ &\qquad + [\int_0^t r^o(t,s,c) \\ &\qquad\quad (\int_0^s k(s,u)h_c(u,x(u),c)du)ds]\lambda. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.8}$$

Since the right hand side of (2.2.8) is the solution of (2.2.7), the result follows.

Since F^o is differentiable at $(f,c) \in B((0,0),\hat{r}_1)$, it follows [9; p. 153] that the two partial differentials of F^o at (f,c) are given by

$$d_1F^o(f,c;\phi)(t) = \phi(t) + \int_0^t r^o(t,s,c)\phi(s)ds$$

and

$$d_2F^o(f,c;\lambda)(t) = \int_0^t k(t,s)h_c(s,x(s),c)ds\lambda + \int_0^t r^o(t,s,c)\int_0^s k(s,u)h_c(u,x(u),c)duds\lambda.$$

We observe that $d_1F^o(f,c;\phi)$ is the solution of the variational equation

$$\xi(t) = \phi(t) + \int_0^t k(t,s)h_x(s,x(s),c)\xi(s)ds$$

and $d_2F^o(f,c;\lambda)$ is the solution of

$$\xi(t) = \int_0^t k(t,s)h_c(s,x(s),c)ds\lambda + \int_0^t k(t,s)h_x(s,x(s),c)\xi(s)ds.$$

In the following sections we wish to suppress the dependence of h on the parameter c. With this in mind we make the following definitions and hypotheses.

Let g be a function defined on D(q) with range in R^n that satisfies the following conditions:

(A_1) g is continuous on D(q) and $g(t,0) = 0$ for $t \in R^+$;

(A_2) the components g_i of g have continuous partial derivatives with respect to the components x_j of $x \in R^n$ and the $n \times n$ matrix function $||g_x(t,x)||$ is bounded above by P for $(t,x) \in D(q)$;

(A_3) for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that if (t,x) and (t,y) are in D(q) and $||x - y|| < \delta_\varepsilon$, then $||g_x(t,x) - g_x(t,y)|| < \varepsilon$.

In order to facilitate further discussion we will list two more hypotheses.

(A_4) Let k be a continuous $n \times n$ matrix function defined on Δ for which there exists an $M > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^t ||k(t,s)||ds \leq M \text{ for } t \in R^+.$$

(A_5) Let r(t,s) be the kernel reciprocal to $k(t,s)g_x(s,0)$ and suppose that there exists an $M_r > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^t ||r(t,s)||ds \leq M_r \text{ for } t \in R^+.$$

Even though the hypothesis (A_4) is already in force we list it again so that in the sequel we may refer to the above hypotheses collectively as hypotheses (A).

Let G: $BC(R^+) \times B(0,q) \to BC(R^+)$ be defined by

(2.2.9) $$G(f,x) = f(t) + \int_0^t k(t,s)g(s,x(s))ds - x(t).$$

Arguments similar to those used to establish that the range of G^o is in $BC(R^+)$ suffice to show that the range of G is also in $BC(R^+)$.

THEOREM 2.2.4. *If hypotheses* (A_1) *through* (A_4) *are satisfied, then* G *is continuously differentiable on* $BC(R^+) \times B(0,q)$. *Furthermore, if* $(f,x) \in BC(R^+) \times B(0,q)$ *and* $(\phi,\xi) \in BC(R^+) \times BC(R^+)$, *then*

(2.2.10) $$dG(f,x;\phi,\xi)(t) = \phi(t) + \int_0^t k(t,s)g_x(s,x(s))\xi(s)ds - \xi(t).$$

Let $h(t,x,c) = g(t,x)$ for $(t,x) \in D(q)$ and $c \in B(0,q_m)$. In view of Theorem 2.2.2, the result follows.

THEOREM 2.2.5. *If hypotheses* (A) *are satisfied, then there exist open balls* $B(0,r_1) \subset BC(R^+)$ *and* $B(0,r_2) \subset B(0,q)$ *and a function* $F: B(0,r_1) \to B(0,r_2)$ *with the following properties:*

(i) *the point* $(f,F(f)) \in B(0,r_1) \times B(0,r_2)$ *is a solution of* $G(f,x) = 0$ *for each* $f \in B(0,r_1)$, *and there is no other solution with the same* f *in* $B(0,r_2)$;

(ii) *the partial differential* $d_2G(f,F(f);\cdot)$ *is invertible for each* $f \in B(0,r_1)$;

(iii) F *is continuously differentiable on* $B(0,r_1)$.

The result follows from Theorem 2.2.3 on setting $h(t,x,c) = g(t,x)$ for $(t,x) \in D(q)$ and $c \in B(0,q_m)$.

THEOREM 2.2.6. *Assume hypotheses* (A) *are satisfied and let* $F: B(0,r_1) \to B(0,r_2)$ *be the implicit function of Theorem* 2.2.5. *Also, suppose that* $(f,x) \in B(0,r_1) \times B(0,r_2)$ *satisfies* $x = F(f)$. *Then*

(2.2.11) $\qquad dF(f;\phi) = \phi(t) + \int_0^t r(t,s;x)\phi(s)ds,$

where $r(t,s;x)$ is the kernel reciprocal to $k(t,s)g_x(s,x(s))$. Consequently, $dF(f;\phi)$ is the solution of the variational equation

(V[ϕ,k,g,x]) $\qquad \xi(t) = \phi(t) + \int_0^t k(t,s)g_x(s,x(s))\xi(s)ds.$

Once more we set $h(t,x,c) = g(t,x)$ for $(t,x) \in D(q)$ and $c \in B(0,q_m)$. In view of Theorem 2.2.2, (2.2.11) follows from (2.2.6), since $h_c = 0$. Also, it follows from (2.2.8) that $dF(f;\phi)$ satisfies V[ϕ,k,g,x].

If $f \in B(0,r_1)$, Theorem 2.2.5 guarantees the existence of exactly one solution of

(E[f,k,g]) $\qquad x(t) = f(t) + \int_0^t k(t,s)g(s,x(s))ds$

in $B(0,r_2)$. However, the possibility of the existence of solutions not in $B(0,r_2)$ remains. Consequently, the following theorem is appropriate.

THEOREM 2.2.7. Let hypotheses (A) be satisfied. If $f \in B(0,r_1)$, then E[f,k,g] has exactly one continuous solution and this solution is in $B(0,r_2)$.

Let f be fixed in $B(0,r_1)$ and $x = F(f)$ be the solution of E[f,k,g] given by the implicit function ofTheorem 2.2.5. Assume y is a continuous solution of the same equation and that y is defined on a non-degenerate interval J. The interval J is closed on the left with left hand end point 0.

Suppose there exists a $t_1 \in J$ with $y(t_1) \neq x(t_1)$. The set $\{t \in J; ||y(t) - x(t)|| > 0\}$ is bounded below by 0 since $y(0) = f(0) = x(0)$. Set $t_2 = \inf \{t \in J; ||y(t) - x(t)|| > 0\}$. Let $t_0 = \sup \{t \in J; t \leq t_2$ and $||y(t) - x(t)|| = 0\}$. If $t_0 < t_2$, it follows from the definition of t_0 that there exists a $t \in (t_0,t_2)$ such that $||y(t) - x(t)|| > 0$, which contradicts the definition of t_2. We conclude that $t_0 = t_2$. If

$t_0 > 0$, then $y(t_0) = x(t_0)$ because $||y(t) - x(t)||$ is continuous on $[0,t_0]$. Note that t_0 is not the right hand end point of J because $t_1 \in J$ and $||y(t_1) - x(t_1)|| > 0$.

Since $x \in B(0,r_2)$ and $r_2 \leq q$, it follows that $\{(t,x(t)); 0 \leq t \leq t_0\} \subset D(q)$. As $(t_0,y(t_0)) = (t_0,x(t_0)) \in D(q)$, the real number $q - ||y(t_0)||$ is positive and, due to the continuity of $q - ||y(t)||$, there exists a $\beta > 0$ such that $[t_0,t_0 + \beta] \subset J$ and $q - ||y(t)|| > 0$ on $[t_0,t_0 + \beta]$. With $b = t_0 + \beta$, it follows that $\{(t,y(t)); t \in [0,b]\} \subset D(q)$.

The set $\Delta(b) = \{(t,s); 0 \leq s \leq t \leq b\}$ is compact and so there exists an $N \geq 0$ such that $||k(t,s)|| \leq N$ on $\Delta(b)$. For $t \in [0,b]$, we have the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} ||y(t) - x(t)|| &\leq \int_0^t ||k(t,s)||\ ||g(s,y(s)) - g(s,x(s))||ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t\int_0^1 (||k(t,s)||\ ||g_x(s,x(s) + \alpha(y(s) - x(s))|| \\ &\qquad ||y(s) - x(s)||)d\alpha ds \\ &\leq PN\int_0^t ||y(s) - x(s)||ds \end{aligned}$$

where P is a bound for $||g_x(t,x)||$. It follows from Gronwall's inequality that $||y(t) - x(t)|| = 0$ on $[0,b]$. From the definition of t_0, there exists a $t \in (t_0,b)$ such that $||y(t) - x(t)|| > 0$. So, the assumption of the existence of a $t \in J$ such that $y(t) \neq x(t)$ leads to a contradiction. Hence, $x = F(f)$ is the only continuous solution of $E[f,k,g]$ and it is in $B(0,r_2)$.

3. Stability and linearization of $E[f,k,g]$. With the exception of Theorem 2.3.2, the theorems of this and the next section are generalizations of results concerning the Liapunov stability of solutions of differential equations of the type $\dot{x} = w(t,x)$, which may be written in the form $x(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t w(s,x(s))ds$. In our theorems the above equation

is replaced by E[f,k,g], and f plays the role of the initial vector x_0.

A common technique used in studying perturbed linear equations of the form $\dot{x} = A(t)x + w(t,x)$ is to express the solution of the equation in the form

$$x(t) = \Phi(t)\Phi^{-1}(0)x_0 + \int_0^t \Phi(t)\Phi^{-1}(s)w(s,x(s))ds$$

where Φ is a fundamental matrix for the system $\dot{x} = A(t)x$. This is accomplished by the method of variation of parameters. Various authors (see [11] and [12]) have adapted this technique to the study of integral equations of the form

$$x(t) = f(t) + \int_0^t k(t,s)[x(s) + g(s,x(s))]ds.$$

In our work, the implicit function of Theorem 2.2.5 will play a role similar to the variation of constants formula.

THEOREM 2.3.1. Assume that hypotheses (A) hold and let $F: B(0,r_1) \to B(0,r_2)$ be the implicit function of Theorem 2.2.5. Then, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $||f|| < \delta_\varepsilon$, then the unique solution x of E[f,k,g] satisfies $||x|| < \varepsilon$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed and suppose that $\varepsilon \leq r_2$. The function F is continuous and, as a result, $F^{-1}(B(0,\varepsilon))$ is open in $B(0,r_1)$. Since $0 \in F^{-1}(B(0,\varepsilon))$, there exists a $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ with $\delta_\varepsilon < r_1$ and such that $B(0,\delta_\varepsilon) \subset F^{-1}(B(0,\varepsilon))$. So, if $f \in B(0,\delta_\varepsilon)$, then $x = F(f) \in B(0,\varepsilon)$.

In the language of differential equations, the above theorem might be stated as follows: The zero solution of E[0,k,g] is stable.

The next result concerns the linearization of E[f,k,g].

THEOREM 2.3.2. Assume that hypotheses (A) hold and let $F: B(0,r_1) \to B(0,r_2)$ be the implicit function of Theorem 2.2.5. Let x and ξ denote solutions of E[f,k,g] and V[ϕ,k,g,0] respectively. Then, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that if f and ϕ belong to $B(0,\delta_\varepsilon)$, then

$||x - \xi|| < \varepsilon$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. For each $\phi \in BC(R^+)$ the solution ξ of $V[\phi,k,g,0]$ is given by

$$\xi(t) = \phi(t) + \int_0^t r(t,s)\phi(s)ds,$$

where $r(t,s)$ is the kernel reciprocal to $k(t,s)g_x(s,0)$. From (A_5), we have that $\int_0^t ||r(t,s)||ds \leq M_r$ for $t \in R^+$. With $\delta_1 = \varepsilon/[2(1 + M_r)]$, we have that, if $||\phi|| < \delta_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} ||\xi(t)|| &\leq ||\phi(t)|| + \int_0^t ||r(t,s)|| \, ||\phi(s)||ds \\ &\leq (1 + M_r)||\phi||, \end{aligned}$$

and so $||\xi|| < \varepsilon/2$. The function F is continuous and satisfies $F(0) = 0$. As a result, there exists a $\delta_2 > 0$, satisfying $\delta_2 < r_1$, and such that if $||f|| < \delta_2$, then $||x|| < \varepsilon/2$, where $x = F(f)$. Let $\delta_\varepsilon = \min\{\delta_1,\delta_2\}$ and f and ϕ belong to $B(0,\delta_\varepsilon)$; then $||x - \xi|| \leq ||x|| + ||\xi|| < \varepsilon$.

We digress in order to examine the restrictive nature of the hypothesis $g(t,0) = 0$ for $t \in R^+$. Let $x^o \in BC(R^+)$ be a solution of the equation

$(E[f^o,k,w])$ $$y(t) = f^o(t) + \int_0^t k(t,s)w(s,y(s))ds,$$

where $f^o \in BC(R^+)$, and w is defined on $D(x^o,q) = \{(t,x) \in R^{n+1};\ t \in R^+$ and $||x - x^o(t)|| < q\}$ with range in R^n. The function $w^o(t,z) = w(t,x^o(t) + z) - w(t,x^o(t))$ is defined on $D(q) = \{(t,z) \in R^{n+1};\ t \in R^+$ and $||z|| < q\}$ and satisfies $w^o(t,0) = 0$ for $t \in R^+$.

Assume the zero solution of

$(E[0,k,w^o])$ $$z(t) = \int_0^t k(t,s)w^o(s,z(s))ds$$

has the following property: <u>for each</u> $\varepsilon > 0$ <u>there exists a</u> $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ <u>such that if</u> $f \in B(0,\delta_\varepsilon)$, <u>then</u> $E[f,k,w^o]$ <u>has a solution in</u> $B(0,\varepsilon)$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and corresponding $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed and suppose $f \in B(f^o,\delta_\varepsilon)$. Then $\Delta f = f - f^o \in B(0,\delta_\varepsilon)$, and so a solution, Δx, of

$$z(t) = \Delta f(t) + \int_0^t k(t,s)w^o(s,z(s))ds$$

exists and satisfies $\Delta x \in B(0,\varepsilon)$. With $x(t) = x^o(t) + \Delta x(t)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) - x^o(t) &= \Delta x(t) \\ &= \Delta f(t) + \int_0^t k(t,s)w^o(s,\Delta x(s))ds \\ &= \Delta f(t) + \int_0^t k(t,s)[w(s,x(s)) - w(s,x^o(s))]ds \end{aligned}$$

and so,

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= \Delta x(t) + x^o(t) \\ &= f(t) + \int_0^t k(t,s)w(s,x(s))ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, x is a solution of E[f,k,w] and since $\Delta x \in B(0,\varepsilon)$, it follows that $x \in B(x^o,\varepsilon)$.

Therefore, if one wishes to examine the change in a solution of $E[f^o,k,w]$ induced by a change in f^o, it is sufficient to consider the zero solution of $E[0,k,w^o]$.

In the event that w^o satisfies the same hypotheses as the function g in our previous theorems, it follows that the solution, ξ, of $V[\Delta f,k,w^o,0]$ satisfies $||\Delta x - \xi|| < \varepsilon$ so that ξ approximates Δx when Δf is small.

4. Stability of perturbed equations. In this section we direct our attention to perturbed equations corresponding to E[f,k,g].

THEOREM 2.4.1. *Assume that hypotheses* (A) *hold and let* $F: B(0,r_1) \to B(0,r_2)$ *be the implicit function of Theorem* 2.2.5. *Let* $B(0,q_1) \subset BC(R^+)$ *and suppose* $H: B(0,q_1) \to BC(R^+)$ *satisfies* $H(0) = 0$ *and* $||H(u) - H(v)|| \leq M_1||u - v||$ *for some* $M_1 > 0$ *and all* u *and* v *that belong to* $B(0,q_1)$. *If* $||dF(0;\cdot)||M_1 < 1$, *then for each* $\varepsilon > 0$ *there is a* $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ *such that if* $||f|| < \delta_\varepsilon$, *then the integral equation*

(E[f,k,g,H]) $x(t) = f(t) + \int_0^t k(t,s)g(s,x(s))ds + H(x)(t)$

has a solution in $B(0,\varepsilon)$. Furthermore, if $E[f,k,g,H]$ has a unique solution for each f in $B(0,\delta_\varepsilon)$, then the solution $x(\cdot,f)$, corresponding to f, is a continuous function of f.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed and select $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ so that

$$(\varepsilon_1 + ||dF(0;\cdot)||)M_1 < 1. \tag{2.4.1}$$

Since F is continuously differentiable on $B(0,r_1)$, there is a δ_1 satisfying $0 < \delta_1 < r_1$, and such that if $f \in B(0,\delta_1)$, then $||dF(f;\cdot) - dF(0;\cdot)|| < \varepsilon_1$. Alternately,

$$||dF(f;\cdot)|| < \varepsilon_1 + ||dF(0;\cdot)||. \tag{2.4.2}$$

Choose $\delta_2 < \min\{\delta_1, M_1 q_1, M_1 \varepsilon\}$. It follows from the mean value theorem [9; p. 149] that if f and f' belong to $\overline{B}(0,\delta_2)$, then

$$||F(f) - F(f')|| \leq (\varepsilon_1 + ||dF(0;\cdot)||)||f - f'||. \tag{2.4.3}$$

Let λ be a real number that satisfies

$$(\varepsilon_1 + ||dF(0;\cdot)||)M_1 = 1 - \lambda \tag{2.4.4}$$

and note that $0 < \lambda < 1$. Set

$$\rho = (1 - \lambda)\delta_2/M_1 \tag{2.4.5}$$

and define $\hat{H}$: $B(0,\lambda\delta_2) \times \overline{B}(0,\rho) \to BC(R^+)$ by

$$\hat{H}(f,u)(t) = f(t) + H(u)(t). \tag{2.4.6}$$

Fix $f \in B(0,\lambda\delta_2)$ and let $u \in \overline{B}(0,\rho)$; then it follows from (2.4.6) and the hypotheses on H that

$$\begin{aligned} ||\hat{H}(f,u)(t)|| &\leq ||f(t)|| + ||H(u)(t) - H(0)(t)|| \\ &\leq ||f|| + ||H(u) - H(0)||. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f \in B(0,\lambda\delta_2)$ and $u \in \overline{B}(0,\rho)$, it follows from the hypotheses on H and (2.4.5) that

$$||f|| + ||H(u) - H(0)|| \leq ||f|| + M_1||u|| < \lambda\delta_2 + M_1\rho = \delta_2$$

and therefore,

$$||\hat{H}(f,u)|| < \delta_2. \tag{2.4.7}$$

With $f \in B(0,\lambda\delta_2)$ fixed, the composite function $F \circ \hat{H}(f,\cdot)$ is defined on $\overline{B}(0,\rho)$ since $\hat{H}(f,u) \in B(0,\delta_2)$ for $u \in \overline{B}(0,\rho)$.

We will verify that $F \circ \hat{H}(f,\cdot)$ is a contraction map on $\overline{B}(0,\rho)$. Since $F(0) = 0$,

$$||F(\hat{H}(f,u))|| = ||F(\hat{H}(f,u)) - F(0)||$$

and, in view of (2.4.3) and (2.4.7), we have

$$||F(\hat{H}(f,u)) - F(0)|| \leq (\varepsilon_1 + ||dF(0;\cdot)||)\delta_2.$$

In view of (2.4.4) and (2.4.5), we have that

$$(\varepsilon_1 + ||dF(0;\cdot)||)\delta_2 = (1-\lambda)\delta_2/M_1 = \rho;$$

so, $||F(\hat{H}(f,u))|| \leq \rho$ for each $u \in \overline{B}(0,\rho)$. It follows that $F \circ \hat{H}(f,\cdot)$: $\overline{B}(0,\rho) \to \overline{B}(0,\rho)$. Also, if u and v belong to $\overline{B}(0,\rho)$, then, from (2.4.6), $||\hat{H}(f,u) - \hat{H}(f,v)|| = ||H(u) - H(v)||$. By hypothesis, $||H(u) - H(v)|| \leq M_1||u - v||$ and so, $||\hat{H}(f,u) - \hat{H}(f,v)|| \leq M_1||u - v||$. In view of (2.4.3) and (2.4.4), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}(2.4.8)\quad ||F(\hat{H}(f,u)) - F(\hat{H}(f,v))|| &\leq (\varepsilon_1 + ||dF(0;\cdot)||)M_1||u - v|| \\ &\leq (1-\lambda)||u - v||.\end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < 1 - \lambda < 1$, we have that $F \circ \hat{H}(f,\cdot)$ is a contraction map on $\overline{B}(0,\rho)$, and it follows from the Banach fixed point theorem that there exists a unique $x \in \overline{B}(0,\rho)$ such that $x = F(\hat{H}(f,x))$. Since $\hat{H}(f,x)(t) = f(t) + H(t)$, the above statement is equivalent to the existence of a solution of $E[f,k,g,H]$ in $\overline{B}(0,\rho)$. As $\rho = (1-\lambda)\delta_2/M_1 < \varepsilon$, the first conclusion follows on setting $\delta_\varepsilon = \lambda\delta_2$.

Now suppose the solutions of $E[f,k,g,H]$ are unique for $f \in B(0,\delta_\varepsilon)$. This hypothesis is necessary because it is possible that $E[f,k,g,H]$ has solutions not in $\overline{B}(0,\rho)$ even though $f \in B(0,\delta_\varepsilon)$. As we have seen in (2.4.8), $||F(\hat{H}(f,u)) - F(\hat{H}(f,v))|| \leq (1-\lambda)||u - v||$ for each $f \in B(0,\delta_\varepsilon)$, and hence, $F \circ \hat{H}$: $B(0,\delta_\varepsilon) \times \overline{B}(0,\rho) \to \overline{B}(0,\rho)$ is a

contraction in u, uniformly over f. That is, the contraction constant, $1 - \lambda$, is independent of f. Also, if f and f' belong to $B(0,\delta_\varepsilon)$ and $u \in \overline{B}(0,\rho)$, then it follows from (2.4.6) that $||\hat{H}(f,u) - \hat{H}(f',u)|| = ||f - f'||$ and consequently, $\hat{H}$ is continuous in f for each fixed u. Since F is continuous, $F \circ \hat{H}$ is continuous in f for each fixed u and it follows from a well known theorem [9; p. 230] that $x(\cdot,f) = F \circ \hat{H}(f,x(\cdot,f))$ is a continuous function of f.

COROLLARY 2.4.1. Assume that hypotheses (A) hold and let $F: B(0,r_1) \to B(0,r_2)$ be the implicit function of Theorem 2.2.5. Suppose that k^1 is a continuous function defined on $\Delta \times B(0,q_1) \subset R^{n+2}$ with range in R^n. Assume further that k^1 satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $k^1(t,s,0) = 0$, for $(t,s) \in \Delta$;

(ii) there exists a positive continuous function $k^0: \Delta \to R$ and a real number $M^0 > 0$ such that $||k^1(t,s,x) - k^1(t,s,y)|| \leq k^0(t,s)||x - y||$ and $\int_0^t k^0(t,s)ds \leq M^0$ for $t \in R^+$ and (t,s,x) and (t,s,y) in $\Delta \times B(0,q_1)$.

If $||dF(0;\cdot)||M^0 < 1$, then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $||f|| < \delta_\varepsilon$, then

(E[f,k,g,k^1]) $\quad x(t) = f(t) + \int_0^t k(t,s)g(s,x(s))ds + \int_0^t k^1(t,s,x(s))ds$

has at least one solution in $B(0,\varepsilon)$.

With $u \in B(0,q_1)$, define $H: B(0,q_1) \to BC(R^+)$ by $H(u)(t) = \int_0^t k^1(t,s,u(s))ds$. Since k^1 and u are continuous, H(u) is a continuous function of t. Also, if u and v belong to $B(0,q_1)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} ||H(u)(t) - H(v)(t)|| &\leq \int_0^t ||k^1(t,s,u(s)) - k^1(t,s,v(s))||ds \\ &\leq ||u - v|| \int_0^t k^0(t,s)ds \\ &\leq M^0||u - v||. \end{aligned}$$

Since $H(0) = 0$, it follows on replacing v with 0 that $H(u) \in BC(R^+)$.

An application of Theorem 2.4.1 establishes the result.

LEMMA 2.4.1. Under the hypotheses of Corollary 2.4.1, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that if $f \in B(0,\delta)$, then the solution of $E[f,k,g,k^1]$ is unique.

Recall that g is defined on D(q) and that k^1 is defined on $\Delta \times B(0,q_1)$. Let ε be a real number that satisfies $0 < \varepsilon < \min\{q,q_1\}$. In view of Corollary 2.4.1, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that if $||f|| < \delta$, then $E[f,k,g,k^1]$ has a solution, x, in $B(0,\varepsilon)$.

Assume that y is another solution of $E[f,k,g,k^1]$ defined on a non-degenerate interval J. The interval J has left hand end point 0 and is closed on the left.

Suppose there exists a t in J such that $y(t) \neq x(t)$. As in Theorem 2.2.7, this implies the existence of a $t_0 \in J$ such that t_0 is not the right hand end point of J and $y(t) = x(t)$ for $t \in [0,t_0]$. Also, if $t_1 \in J$ and $t_1 > t_0$, then there exists a $t \in (t_0,t_1)$ such that $y(t) \neq x(t)$.

Since $(t,x(t)) \in D(q)$ for $t \in R^+$ and $(t,s,x(s)) \in \Delta \times B(0,q_1)$, it follows that $\{(t,y(t));\ t \in [0,t_0]\} \subset D(q)$ and $\{(t,s,y(s));\ (t,s) \in \Delta(t_0)\} \subset \Delta \times B(0,q_1)$. Consequently, there are positive numbers β_1 and β_2 such that $[0,t_0 + \beta_1] \subset J$, $[0,t_0 + \beta_2] \subset J$ while $\{(t,y(t));\ t \in [t_0,t_0 + \beta_1] \subset D(q)$ and $\{(t,s,y(s));\ (t,s) \in \Delta(t_0 + \beta_2)\} \subset \Delta \times B(0,q_1)$.

Set $b = t_0 + \min\{\beta_1,\beta_2\}$ and note that $\Delta(b)$ is compact. As a result, there exist real numbers $N \geq 0$ and $N^o \geq 0$ such that $||k(t,s)|| \leq N$ and $k^o(t,s) \leq N^o$ on $\Delta(b)$. In view of hypothesis (ii) of Corollary 2.4.1 and hypotheses (A_2) and (A_3) we have the following inequalities:

$$||y(t) - x(t)|| \leq \int_0^t ||k(t,s)||\ ||g(s,y(s)) - g(s,x(s))||ds$$

$$+ \int_0^t ||k^1(t,s,y(s)) - k^1(t,s,x(s))||ds$$

$$\leq (NP + N^o) \int_0^t ||y(s) - x(s)||ds.$$

It follows from Gronwall's inequality that $y(t) - x(t) = 0$ on $[0,b]$. This contradicts the assumption of the existence of $t \in J$ such that $y(t) \neq x(t)$, and hence the lemma is established.

COROLLARY 2.4.2. *Let the hypotheses of Corollary 2.4.1 be satisfied. Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $f \in B(0,\delta_\varepsilon)$, then $E[f,k,g,k^1]$ has a unique solution in $B(0,\varepsilon)$ and the solution is a continuous function of f.*

With $\varepsilon > 0$ fixed, it follows from Corollary 2.4.1 and Lemma 2.4.1 that there exists a $\delta_1 > 0$ such that if $||f|| < \delta_1$, then $E[f,k,g,k^1]$ has a unique solution in $B(0,\varepsilon)$.

From Theorem 2.4.1, there is a $\delta_2 > 0$ such that if $||f|| < \delta_2$ and solutions of $E[f,k,g,k^1]$ are unique, then the solution of $E[f,k,g,k^1]$ is a continuous function of f.

The result follows on setting $\delta_\varepsilon = \min\{\delta_1,\delta_2\}$.

COROLLARY 2.4.3. *Assume that hypotheses (A) hold and let* $F: B(0,r_1) \to B(0,r_2)$ *be the implicit function of Theorem 2.2.5. Suppose k^o is an $n \times n$ matrix function with corresponding real number M^o, that satisfies hypothesis (A_4). Assume g^o is a continuous function defined on $D(q_2) = \{(t,x) \in R^{n+1}: t \in R^+$ and $||x|| < q_2\}$ with range in R^n. Assume further that g^o satisfies the following conditions:*

(i) $g^o(t,0) = 0$ *for* $t \in R^+$;

(ii) *for each $\zeta > 0$ there exists an $\eta > 0$ such that if (t,x) and (t,y) belong to $D(q_2)$ with $||x|| < \eta$ and $||y|| < \eta$, then* $||g^o(t,x) - g^o(t,y)|| \leq \zeta||x - y||$.

Then, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $||f|| < \delta_\varepsilon$, then

$$x(t) = f(t) + \int_0^t k(t,s)g(s,x(s))ds + \int_0^t k^o(t,s)g^o(s,x(s))ds$$

has a unique solution in $B(0,\varepsilon)$. Furthermore, the solution is a continuous function of f.

We will verify the hypotheses of Corollary 2.4.1. Let $\zeta^o > 0$ satisfy $||dF(0;\cdot)||M^o\zeta^o < 1$; then from the above hypothesis (ii), there exists an η^o, with $0 < \eta^o \leq q_2$, such that if (t,x) and (t,y) belong to $D(q_2)$ and $||x|| < \eta^o$ and $||y|| < \eta^o$, then $||g^o(t,x) - g^o(t,y)|| \leq \zeta^o||x - y||$.

Since $\eta^o \leq q_2$, g^o is defined on $D(\eta^o) = \{(t,x) \in R^{n+1};\ t \in R^+$ and $||x|| < \eta^o\}$. Define k^1 by $k^1(t,s,x) = k^o(t,s)g^o(s,x)$ for $(t,s,x) \in \Delta \times B(0,\eta^o)$. Then k^1 satisfies the conditions:

(a) $k^1(t,s,0) = k^o(t,s)g^o(s,0) = 0$ for $(t,s) \in \Delta$;

(b) $$||k^1(t,s,x) - k^1(t,s,y)|| \leq ||k^o(t,s)||\ ||g^o(s,x) - g^o(s,y)|| \leq \zeta^o||k^o(t,s)||\ ||x - y||,$$

for all (t,s,x) and (t,s,y) that belong to $\Delta \times B(0,\eta^o)$. Also, $\int_0^t \zeta^o||k^o(t,s)||ds \leq \zeta^o M^o$ for $t \in R^+$.

In view of Corollary 2.4.2, the result follows.

COROLLARY 2.4.4. Assume that hypotheses (A) hold and let $F: B(0,r_1) \to B(0,r_2)$ be the implicit function of Theorem 2.2.5. Suppose k^o is a continuous $n \times n$ matrix function defined on $R^+ \times R^+$ that satisfies the following conditions:

(i) there exists an $M^o > 0$ such that $\int_0^\infty ||k^o(t,s)||ds \leq M^o$ for $t \in R^+$;

(ii) for each $\eta > 0$ and $T > 0$, there exists a $\zeta > 0$ such that if t and t' belong to [0,T] and $|t - t'| < \zeta$, then $\int_0^\infty ||k^o(t,s) - k^o(t',s)||ds < \eta$.

If $||dF(0;\cdot)||M^o < 1$, then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

if $||f|| < \delta_\varepsilon$, *then there exists at least one solution of*

$$x(t) = f(t) + \int_0^t k(t,s)g(s,x(s))ds + \int_0^\infty k^0(t,s)x(s)ds$$

in $B(0,\varepsilon)$.

Define H: $BC(R^+) \to BC(R^+)$ by $H(u)(t) = \int_0^\infty k^0(t,s)u(s)ds$. We will verify that $H(u) \in BC(R^+)$ whenever $u \in BC(R^+)$. Let $\eta > 0$, $u \in BC(R^+)$, and $t_0 \in R^+$ be fixed. Set $T = t_0 + 1$ and choose $\zeta > 0$ so that if $t \in [0,T]$ and $|t - t_0| < \zeta$, then

$$\int_0^\infty ||k^0(t,s) - k^0(t_0,s)||ds < \eta/(1 + ||u||).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} ||H(u)(t) - H(u)(t_0)|| &\leq \int_0^\infty ||k^0(t,s) - k^0(t_0,s)||\ ||u(s)||ds \\ &\leq ||u|| \int_0^\infty ||k^0(t,s) - k^0(t_0,s)||ds, \end{aligned}$$

and so $||H(u)(t) - H(u)(t_0)|| \leq ||u||/(1 + ||u||) < \eta$. Since t_0 is arbitrary in R^+, it follows that $H(u)$ is a continuous function on R^+.

If u and v belong to $BC(R^+)$, then,

$$\begin{aligned} ||H(u)(t) - H(v)(t)|| &\leq \int_0^\infty ||k^0(t,s)||\ ||u(s) - v(s)||ds \\ &\leq M^0||u - v||. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $||H(u) - H(v)|| \leq M^0||u - v||$. Since $H(0) = 0$, we may set $v = 0$ and conclude that $H(u) \in BC(R^+)$.

In conclusion, observe that we have verified those hypotheses of Theorem 2.4.1 which guarantee the existence of a solution of E[f,k,g,H] in $B(0,\varepsilon)$ whenever $||f|| < \delta_\varepsilon$.

Theorem 2.4.1, Corollary 2.4.2 and Corollary 2.4.4 are similar to theorems established by Corduneanu [6]. The principal difference is that our results pertain to perturbations of nonlinear systems while Corduneanu confined his attention to perturbed linear systems.

The hypotheses placed on the function g^0 in Corollary 2.4.3 are similar to those found in Miller, Nohel and Wong [11].

For completeness, we state a version of the Schauder-Tychonoff Fixed Point Theorem that will be used in the sequel. This form of the theorem may be found in [4; p. 9].

SCHAUDER-TYCHONOFF THEOREM. *Let $C(R^+,R^n)$ denote the set of continuous functions on R^+ with range in R^n. Let S be the subset of $C(R^+,R^n)$ that consists of those functions x such that $||x(t)|| \leq \mu(t)$ for $t \in R^+$, where μ is a fixed positive continuous function defined on R^+. Let T be a mapping of S into itself with the following properties:*

(i) *T is continuous, in the sense that if (x_m) is a sequence in S and $x_m \to x$ uniformly on every compact subinterval of R^+, then $T(x_m) \to x$ uniformly on every compact subinterval of R^+;*

(ii) *the functions in the image set T(S) are equicontinuous and bounded at every point of R^+.*

Then T has at least one fixed point in S.

LEMMA 2.4.2. *Assume hypotheses (A) hold and let F: $B(0,r_1) \to B(0,r_2)$ be the implicit function of Theorem 2.2.5. Suppose (f_m) is a sequence in $\bar{B}(0,\hat{r})(0 < \hat{r} < r_1)$ that converges to f uniformly on each compact subinterval of R^+. Then, $F(f_m)$ converges to F(f) uniformly on each compact subinterval of R^+.*

It is clear that if $f_m \to f$ uniformly on each compact subinterval of R^+, then $f \in \bar{B}(0,\hat{r}) \subset B(0,r_1)$.

With $x = F(f)$, $x_m = F(f_m)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ fixed, let J be a compact subinterval of R^+. There exists a $T > 0$ such that $J \subset [0,T]$. It follows from the compactness of $\Delta(T)$ that there is a positive real number K such that $||k(t,s)|| \leq K$ on $\Delta(T)$. Since $f_m \to f$ uniformly on $[0,T]$,

there is a positive integer N such that if $m > N$, then

$$||f_m(t) - f(t)|| < \varepsilon \exp(-KPT)/2$$

where P is an upper bound for $||g_x||$ on D(q). Taking note of the fact that g is Lipschitzian in x with Lipschitz constant P, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} ||x_m(t) - x(t)|| &\leq ||f_m(t) - f(t)|| \\ &\quad + \int_0^t ||k(t,s)||\, ||g(s,x_m(s)) - g(s,x(s))||ds \\ &\leq \varepsilon \exp(-KPT) + KP\int_0^t ||x_m(s) - x(s)||ds \end{aligned}$$

for $m > N$ and $t \in [0,T]$. An application of Gronwall's inequality yields

$$||x_m(t) - x(t)|| \leq \varepsilon \exp(-KPT)\exp(KPT)/2 < \varepsilon,$$

and so $F(f_m) \to F(f)$ uniformly on [0,T]. Therefore, $F(f_m) \to F(f)$ uniformly on J. Since J is an arbitrary compact subinterval of R^+, the result follows.

THEOREM 2.4.2. Assume that hypotheses (A) hold and let $F: B(0,r_1) \to B(0,r_2)$ be the implicit function of Theorem 2.2.5. Let γ^o be a positive number and suppose $H^o: B(0,\gamma^o) \to BC(R^+)$ satisfies $||H^o(u)|| \leq M^o||u||$ for some M^o and all $u \in B(0,\gamma^o)$. Suppose further that if $0 < \hat{r} < \gamma^o$, then the functions in $H^o(\bar{B}(0,\hat{r}))$ are equicontinuous at each $t \in R^+$; and if (u_m) is a sequence in $\bar{B}(0,\hat{r})$ such that $u_m \to u$ uniformly on each compact subinterval of R^+, then $H^o(u_m) \to H^o(u)$ uniformly on each compact subinterval of R^+.

If $||dF(0;\cdot)||M^o < 1$, then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $f \in B(0,\delta_\varepsilon)$, then

$$(E[f,k,g,H^o])\, x(t) = f(t) + \int_0^t k(t,s)g(s,x(s))ds + H^o(x)(t)$$

has at least one solution in $B(0,\varepsilon)$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed and select ε_1 so that

$$(\varepsilon_1 + ||dF(0;\cdot)||)M^o = 1 - \lambda \tag{2.4.9}$$

where $0 < \lambda < 1$. Since F is continuously differentiable on $B(0,r_1)$,

there is a δ_1 satisfying $0 < \delta_1 < r_1$, and such that if $f \in B(0,\delta_1)$, then

$$||dF(f; \cdot)|| < \varepsilon_1 + ||dF(0; \cdot)||. \tag{2.4.10}$$

Choose a $\delta_2 > 0$ such that

$$\delta_2 < \min\{\delta_1, M^o\gamma^o, M^o\varepsilon\}. \tag{2.4.11}$$

It follows from the mean value theorem that if f and f' belong to $B(0,\delta_2)$, then

$$||F(f) - F(f')|| \leq (\varepsilon_1 + ||dF(0; \cdot)||)||f - f'||. \tag{2.4.12}$$

Set

$$\rho^o = (1 - \lambda)\delta_2/M^o \tag{2.4.13}$$

and, for fixed $f \in B(0,\lambda\delta_2)$, define $\hat{H^o}$: $B(0,\rho^o) \to BC(R^+)$ by

$$\hat{H^o}(u)(t) = f(t) + H^o(u)(t). \tag{2.4.14}$$

If $u \in B(0,\rho^o)$, then from (2.4.11), (2.4.13), (2.4.14) and the hypotheses on H^o, it follows that $||H^o(u)|| \leq ||f|| + M^o||u||$. However, $(||f|| + M^o||u||) < (\lambda\delta_2 + M^o\rho^o)$ and from (2.4.13) it follows that $(\lambda\delta_2 + M^o\rho^o) = (\lambda\delta_2 + (1 - \lambda)\delta_2) = \delta_2$. So, $||\hat{H^o}(u)|| < \delta_2$ and since $\overline{B}(0,\delta_2) \subset B(0,r_1)$, the function $F \circ \hat{H^o}$ is well defined. Also, $||F(\hat{H^o}(u))|| = ||F(\hat{H^o}(u)) - F(0)||$ and, on using (2.4.12) and (2.4.9) one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} ||F(\hat{H^o}(u)) - F(0)|| &\leq (\varepsilon_1 + ||dF(0; \cdot)||)||\hat{H^o}(u)|| \\ &\leq (\varepsilon_1 + ||dF(0; \cdot)||)\delta_2 \\ &\leq (1 - \lambda)\delta_2/M^o. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.4.13) that $F \circ \hat{H^o}$: $\overline{B}(0,\rho^o) \to \overline{B}(0,\rho^o)$.

We will verify the hypotheses of the Schauder-Tychonoff Theorem for the set $\overline{B}(0,\rho^o)$ and the mapping $F \circ \hat{H^o}$.

Clearly, $u \in \overline{B}(0,\rho^o)$ if and only if $||u(t)|| \leq \rho^o$. So with $\mu(t) = \rho^o$, one of the hypotheses is verified.

Suppose (u_m) is a sequence in $\overline{B}(0,\rho^o)$ and $u_m \to u$ uniformly on

compact subintervals of R^+. Then $u \in \bar{B}(0,\rho^o)$ and, it follows from (2.4.14) that $||\hat{H}^o(u_m)(t) - \hat{H}^o(u)(t)|| = ||H^o(u_m)(t) - H^o(u)(t)||$. Since $H^o(u_m) \to H^o(u)$ uniformly on compact subintervals of R^+, the statement also holds for $\hat{H}^o$. It follows from Lemma 2.4.2 that $F \circ \hat{H}^o(u_m) \to F \circ \hat{H}^o(u)$ uniformly on compact subintervals of R^+.

Since $F \circ \hat{H}^o$: $\bar{B}(0,\rho^o) \to \bar{B}(0,\rho^o)$, the functions in the image set are bounded at each point.

In order to establish that the functions in $\bar{B}(0,\rho^o)$ are equicontinuous at each point, we suppose that $\eta > 0$ is fixed, $t_0 \in R^+$, $T = t_0 + 1$, $u \in \bar{B}(0,\rho^o)$ and $x = F(\hat{H}^o(u))$. The set $\Delta(T)$ is compact and so there exists a $K > 0$ such that $||k(t,s)|| \leq K$ on $\Delta(T)$. If $t > t_0$ and $t \in [0,T]$, then, on taking note of the relation

$$||g(t,s,x(s))|| \leq \int_0^1 ||g_x(s,\alpha x(s))||d\alpha||x(s)|| \leq P||x||,$$

we obtain the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned}(2.4.15)\quad ||x(t) - x(t_0)|| &\leq ||f(t) - f(t_0)|| \\ &\quad + \int_0^{t_0} ||k(t,s) - k(t_0,s)||\ ||g(s,x(s))||ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^{t} ||k(t,s)||\ ||g(s,x(s))||ds \\ &\quad + ||H^o(u)(t) - H^o(u)(t_0)|| \\ &\leq ||f(t) - f(t_0)|| \\ &\quad + P\rho^o \int_0^{t_0} ||k(t,s) - k(t_0,s)||ds \\ &\quad + KP\rho^o|t - t_0| + ||H^o(u)(t) - H^o(u)(t_0)||.\end{aligned}$$

There exists a $\zeta_1 > 0$ such that if $|t - t_0| < \zeta_1$, then $||f(t) - f(t_0)|| < \eta/4$. There exists a $\zeta_2 > 0$ such that if $|t - t_0| < \zeta_2$, then $||k(t,s) - k(t_0,s)|| < \eta/[4(PT\rho^o + 1)]$. There is a $\zeta_3 > 0$ such that if $|t - t_0| < \zeta_3$, then $||H^o(u)(t) - H^o(u)(t_0)|| < \eta/4$ for all $u \in \bar{B}(0,\rho^o)$. If $\zeta = \min\{\zeta_1,\zeta_2,\zeta_3,1/(KP\rho^o + 1)\}$, then, in view of (2.4.15), if $|t - t_0| < \zeta$, then $||x(t) - x(t_0)|| < \eta$. Since ζ does not depend on u, the functions

in $F \circ \hat{H}^o(\overline{B}(0,\rho^o))$ are equicontinuous at each point.

A similar argument holds if $t < t_0$. As the hypotheses of the Schauder-Tychonoff Theorem have been verified, if $||f|| < \lambda\delta_2$, then $E[f,k,g,H^o]$ has a solution in $\overline{B}(0,\rho^o)$. Also, in view of (2.4.13) and (2.4.11), $\rho^o < \varepsilon$ and the theorem follows on setting $\delta_\varepsilon = \lambda\delta_2$.

COROLLARY 2.4.5. Assume that hypotheses (A) hold and let $F: B(0,r_1) \to B(0,r_2)$ be the implicit function of Theorem 2.2.5. Suppose k^o is an $n \times n$ matrix function with corresponding real number M^o, that satisfies hypothesis (A_4). Let g^o be a continuous function defined on $D(q_1) = \{(t,x) \in R^{n+1};\ t \in R^+$ and $||x|| < q_1\}$ with range in R^n that satisfies:

(i) $g^o(t,0) = 0$ for $t \in R^+$;

(ii) for each $\beta > 0$ there is a $\gamma > 0$ such that if $(t,x) \in D(q_1)$ and $||x|| < \gamma$, then $||g^o(t,x)|| \leq \beta||x||$.

Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $||f|| < \delta_\varepsilon$, then

$$x(t) = f(t) + \int_0^t k(t,s)g(s,x(s))ds + \int_0^t k^o(t,s)g^o(s,x(s))ds$$

has at least one solution in $B(0,\varepsilon)$.

Let $\beta^o > 0$ be such that $||dF(0;\cdot)||\beta^o M^o < 1$. There exists a $\gamma^o > 0$, satisfying $\gamma^o < q_1$, and such that if $||x|| < \gamma^o$, then

$$||g^o(t,x)|| < \beta^o||x||. \tag{2.4.16}$$

Define $H^o: B(0,\gamma^o) \to BC(R^+)$ by

$$H^o(u)(t) = \int_0^t k^o(t,s)g^o(s,x(s))ds.$$

Then

$$||H^o(u)(t)|| \leq \beta^o \int_0^t ||k^o(t,s)||\ ||u(s)||ds \leq \beta^o M^o||u||$$

and, since $H^o(u)$ is a continuous function of t, it follows that $H^o(u) \in BC(R^+)$.

Let ρ^o be a real number that satisfies $0 < \rho^o < \gamma^o$, and suppose that (u_m) is a sequence in $\bar{B}(0,\rho^o)$ that converges to u uniformly on compact subintervals of R^+. Assume that $J \subset R^+$ is a compact interval and suppose $T > 0$ is such that $J \subset [0,T]$. Let $K^o = \sup\{||k^o(t,s)||; (t,s) \in \Delta(T)\}$. Then for $t \in [0,T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ||H^o(u_m)(t) - H^o(u)(t)|| &\leq \int_0^t ||k^o(t,s)||\ ||g^o(s,u_m(s)) \\ &\qquad - g^o(s,u(s))||ds \\ &\leq TK^o \sup\{||g^o(s,u_m(s)) \\ &\qquad - g^o(s,u(s))||; s \in [0,T]\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since g^o is continuous and $u_m \to u$ uniformly on $[0,T]$, it follows that $H^o(u_m) \to H^o(u)$ uniformly on $[0,T]$, and therefore on J. Hence, $H^o(u_m) \to H^o(u)$ uniformly on compact subintervals of R^+.

If $u \in \bar{B}(0,\rho^o)$, $t_0 \in R^+$ and $t > t_0$ (a similar argument holds if $t < t_0$), then

$$\begin{aligned} ||H^o(u)(t) - H^o(u)(t_0)|| &\leq \int_0^t ||k^o(t,s) - k^o(t_0,s)||\ ||g^o(s,u(s))||ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t ||k^o(t,s)||\ ||g^o(s,u(s))||ds. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (2.4.16), the continuity of k^o and the boundedness of $\int_0^t ||k(t,s)||ds$, we have that the functions in $H(\bar{B}(0,\rho^o))$ are equicontinuous at t_0.

As the hypotheses of Theorem 2.4.2 are satisfied, the result follows.

COROLLARY 2.4.6. *Assume that hypotheses* (A) *hold and let* $F: B(0,r_1) \to B(0,r_2)$ *be the implicit function of Theorem* 2.2.5. *Suppose that* k^o *is a continuous* $n \times n$ *matrix function defined on* $R^+ \times R^+$ *and that* M^o *is a positive real number which together satisfy the following conditions:*

(i) $\int_0^\infty ||k^o(t,s)||ds \leq M^o$ *for* $t \in R^+$;

(ii) $\lim_{h \to 0} \int_0^\infty ||k^0(t+h,s) - k^0(t,s)||ds = 0$ *for* $t \in R^+$.

Let g^0 *satisfy the hypotheses of Corollary* 2.4.5.

Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ *there is a* $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ *such that if* $||f|| < \delta_\varepsilon$, *then*

$$x(t) = f(t) + \int_0^t k(t,s)g(s,x(s))ds + \int_0^\infty k^0(t,s)g^0(s,x(s))ds$$

has at least one solution in $B(0,\varepsilon)$.

Let $\beta^0 > 0$ satisfy $||dF(0;\cdot)||\beta^0 M^0 < 1$. There exists a $\gamma^0 > 0$, satisfying $\gamma^0 < q_1$, such that if $||x|| < \gamma^0$, then $||g^0(t,x)|| < \beta^0||x||$.

Define H^0: $B(0,\gamma^0) \to BC(R^+)$ by

$$H^0(u)(t) = \int_0^\infty k^0(t,s)g^0(s,u(s))ds.$$

Then, if $u \in B(0,\gamma^0)$,

$$||H^0(u)(t)|| \leq \int_0^\infty ||k^0(t,s)||\ ||g^0(s,u(s))||ds \leq \beta^0 M^0||u||.$$

Consequently, $H^0(u) \in BC(R^+)$ and $||H^0(u)|| \leq \beta^0 M^0||u||$.

Let ρ^0 be a real number satisfying $0 < \rho^0 < \gamma^0$ and suppose (u_m) is a sequence in $\bar{B}(0,\rho^0)$ that converges to u uniformly on compact subintervals of R^+. If T is a positive real number, then, with $t \in [0,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} ||H^0(u_m)(t) - H^0(u)(t)|| &\leq \int_0^\infty ||k^0(t,s)||\ ||g^0(s,u_m(s)) \\ &\qquad - g^0(s,u(s))||ds \\ &\leq M^0 \sup\{||g^0(s,u_m(s)) \\ &\qquad - g^0(s,u(s))||;\ s \in [0,T]\}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $H^0(u_m) \to H^0(u)$ uniformly on $[0,T]$. As we have seen before, this is sufficient to insure that $H^0(u_m) \to H^0(u)$ uniformly on compact subintervals of R^+.

If $u \in \bar{B}(0,\rho^0)$ and $t_0 \in R^+$, then

$$||H^0(u)(t) - H^0(u)(t_0)|| \leq \beta^0\rho^0\int_0^\infty ||k^0(t,s) - k^0(t_0,s)||ds.$$

By hypothesis, $\int_0^\infty ||k^0(t,s) - k^0(t_0,s)||ds \to 0$ as $t \to t_0$ and so it follows that the functions in $H^0(\bar{B}(0,\rho^0))$ are equicontinuous at each point.

An application of Theorem 2.4.2 yields the result.

Corollaries 2.4.5 and 2.4.6 are similar to results obtained by Nohel [12]. The result of Nohel corresponding to our Corollary 2.4.6 is strictly nonlinear in the sense that Nohel's hypotheses exclude the case where $g(t,x) = x$. However, our hypotheses admit the possibility that $g(t,x) = x$.

5. A nonlinear variation of constants formula. In this section we will make use of the notions of derivative and integral of a function defined on an interval of real numbers with values in a Banach space. A full discussion of these topics may be found in [7; Ch. 8]. However, we will list those definitions and results that will be used in the sequel.

Let $[a,b]$ be a non-degenerate compact interval of real numbers, and suppose that X and Y are Banach spaces. Let $A \subset X$ be an open set and let $h: [a,b] \to A$ and $H: A \to Y$ be functions.

For fixed $s_0 \in [a,b]$, $h'(s_0)$ is defined by $h'(s_0) = \lim_{s \to s_0} [(h(s) - h(s_0))/(s - s_0)]$ provided the limit exists. The vectors $h'(a)$ and $h'(b)$ are defined by one-sided limits. If H is Fréchet differentiable on A and h' exists on $[a,b]$, then it follows from the discussion in [7; pp. 149, 150] that $(H \circ h)'$ exists and

$$(H \circ h)'(s) = dH(h(s); h'(s)) \tag{2.5.1}$$

for each $s \in [a,b]$. It is also true that if h' is continuous on $[a,b]$, then

$$h(b) - h(a) = \int_a^b h'(s)ds, \tag{2.5.2}$$

where the preceding integral and formula are respectively defined and deduced in [7; pp. 160, 161].

Recall that $E[f,k,g]$ denotes the equation

$$x(t) = f(t) + \int_0^t k(t,s)g(s,x(s))ds.$$

THEOREM 2.5.1. Assume that hypotheses (A) hold and let $F: B(0,r_1) \to B(0,r_2)$ be the implicit function of Theorem 2.2.5. Suppose that f^o and f belong to $B(0,r_1)$ and that x and y are the respective solutions of $E[f^o,k,g]$ and $E[f,k,g]$. Then y satisfies

$$y(t) = x(t) + f(t) - f^o(t) + \int_0^1\int_0^t r(t,s,\sigma)(f(s) - f^o(s))ds d\sigma \tag{2.5.3}$$

where $r(t,s,\sigma)$ is the kernel reciprocal to $k(t,s)g_x(s,x(s,\sigma))$, and $x(t,\sigma)$ is the solution of $E[f^o + \sigma(f - f^o),k,g]$ for each $\sigma \in [0,1]$.

Theorem 2.2.5 and Theorem 2.2.7 guarantee the existence of a unique solution, $x = x(t,\sigma)$, in $B(0,r_2)$ of $E[f^o + \sigma(f - f^o),k,g]$ for each $\sigma \in [0,1]$.

Define $\lambda: [0,1] \to B(0,r_1)$ by

$$\lambda(\sigma) = f^o + \sigma(f - f^o), \tag{2.5.4}$$

and note that

$$\lambda'(\sigma) = f - f^o \tag{2.5.5}$$

for each $\sigma \in [0,1]$. Also, define $\Lambda: [0,1] \to B(0,r_2)$ by $\Lambda(\sigma) = (F \circ \lambda)(\sigma)$. It follows from Theorem 2.2.5 that $x(\cdot,\sigma) = (F \circ \lambda)(\sigma) = \Lambda(\sigma)$ is the solution of $E[f^o + \sigma(f - f^o),k,g]$ and that F is continuously differentiable on $B(0,r_1)$. Since λ is continuously differentiable on $[0,1]$, it follows that Λ is continuously differentiable on $[0,1]$. Also, in view of (2.5.1) and Theorem 2.2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda'(\sigma) &= dF(\lambda(\sigma); \lambda'(\sigma)) \\ &= \lambda'(\sigma) + \int_0^{\cdot} r(\cdot,s,\sigma)\lambda'(\sigma)(s)ds.\end{aligned}$$

Taking note of (2.5.2), we obtain

$$\Lambda(1) - \Lambda(0) = \lambda(1) - \lambda(0) + \int_0^1\int_0^{\cdot} r(\cdot,s,\sigma)\lambda'(\sigma)(s)ds d\sigma$$

or

(2.5.6) $x(\cdot,1) - x(\cdot,0) = f - f^0 + \int_0^1 \int_0^{\cdot} r(\cdot,s,\sigma)(f(s) - f^0(s))ds d\sigma$.

On evaluating each side of (2.5.6) at $t \in R^+$, we obtain

(2.5.7) $x(t,1) - x(t,0) = f(t) - f^0(t) + \int_0^1 \int_0^t r(t,s,\sigma)(f(s) - f^0(s))ds d\sigma$.

Since $y(t) = x(t,1)$ and $x(t) = x(t,0)$, the result is established.

COROLLARY 2.5.1. Assume that hypotheses (A) hold and let $F: B(0,r_1) \to B(0,r_2)$ be the implicit function of Theorem 2.2.5. If C is a subset of $BC(R^+)$ and $H: C \to B(0,r_1)$, suppose $y \in C$ and $f \in B(0,r_1)$ are such that $f + H(y) \in B(0,r_1)$. Assume further that y satisfies

(E[f,k,g,H]) $y(t) = f(t) + \int_0^t k(t,s)g(s,y(s))ds + H(y)(t)$

on R^+. Then, if x is the solution of E[f,k,g],

(2.5.8) $y(t) = x(t) + \int_0^1 \int_0^t r(t,s,\sigma)H(y)(s)ds d\sigma + H(y)(t)$.

Since $f + H(y) \in B(0,r_1)$, the equation

$$z(t) = f(t) + \int_0^t k(t,s)g(s,z(s))ds + H(y)(t),$$

has a unique solution which we will call z. Hence,

$$y(t) - z(t) = \int_0^t k(t,s)[g(s,y(s)) - g(s,z(s))]ds$$

and the argument given in Theorem 2.2.7, concerning uniqueness, establishes that $y(t) = z(t)$ for $t \in R^+$. An appeal to Theorem 2.5.1 establishes the result.

We will call equation (2.5.3) a variation of constants formula.

We note that in the case $g(t,x) = x$, the difference in the solutions of $y(t) = f(t) + \int_0^t k(t,s)y(s)ds$ and $x(t) = f^0(t) + \int_0^t k(t,s)x(s)ds$ may be computed directly and it is found to be

(2.5.9) $y(t) - x(t) = f(t) - f^0(t) + \int_0^t r(t,s)(f(s) - f^0(s))ds$,

where $r(t,s)$ is the kernel reciprocal to $k(t,s)$. In this case, $k(t,s)g_x(t,x(t,\sigma)) = k(t,s)$ so that $r(t,s,\sigma) = r(t,s)$. It follows that (2.5.3) reduces to (2.5.9).

LEMMA 2.5.1. Assume that hypotheses (A) hold and let $F: B(0,r_1)$

$\to B(0,r_2)$ *be the implicit function of Theorem* 2.2.5. *Suppose* f *and* f^0 *belong to* $B(0,r_1)$, $x(\cdot,\sigma)$ *is the solution of* $E[f^0 + \sigma(f - f^0),k,g]$ *for each* σ *in* $[0,1]$ *and* $r(t,s,\sigma)$ *is the kernel reciprocal to* $k(t,s)g_x(s,x(s,\sigma))$. *Then, for* T *fixed in* R^+ *and* $(t,s,\sigma) \in \Delta(T) \times [0,1]$, *the kernel* $r(t,s,\sigma)$ *is continuous in* (t,s,σ).

The map $\sigma \mapsto f^0 + \sigma(f - f^0)$ from $[0,1]$ to $B(0,r_1)$ is continuous; and since F is continuous, $x(\cdot,\sigma) = F(f^0 + \sigma(f - f^0))$ is a continuous function of σ in the topology of $BC(R^+)$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and (t_0,s_0,σ_0) be fixed in R and $\Delta(T) \times [0,1]$ respectively. Then with $(t,s,\sigma) \in \Delta(T) \times [0,1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} ||k(t,s)g_x(s,x(s,\sigma)) - k(t,s)g_x(s,x(s,\sigma_0))|| \\ \leq \sup\{||k(t,s)||;\ (t,s) \in \Delta(T)\} \\ ||g_x(s,x(s,\sigma)) - g_x(s,x(s,\sigma_0))||. \end{aligned}$$

From hypothesis (A_3) and Lemma 1.2.2, it follows that there is a $\delta_1 > 0$ such that if $|\sigma - \sigma_0| < \delta_1$ and $\sigma \in [0,1]$, then $||r(t,s,\sigma) - r(t,s,\sigma_0)|| < \varepsilon/2$ uniformly in (t,s) on $\Delta(T)$.

For each fixed σ, $r(t,s,\sigma)$ is a continuous function of (t,s). So there is a $\delta_2 > 0$, depending on (t_0,s_0,σ_0), such that $||r(t,s,\sigma_0) - r(t_0,s_0,\sigma_0)|| < \varepsilon/2$ provided $||(t,s) - (t_0,s_0)|| < \delta_2$ and $(t,s) \in \Delta(T)$. If $\delta_\varepsilon = \min\{\delta_1,\delta_2\}$, then it follows that if $(t,s,\sigma) \in \Delta(T) \times [0,1]$ and $||(t,s,\sigma) - (t_0,s_0,\sigma_0)|| < \delta_\varepsilon$, then

$$\begin{aligned} ||r(t,s,\sigma) - r(t_0,s_0,\sigma_0)|| &\leq ||r(t,s,\sigma) - r(t,s,\sigma_0)|| \\ &\quad + ||r(t,s,\sigma_0) - r(t_0,s_0,\sigma_0)|| \\ &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

The result is established.

COROLLARY 2.5.2. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem* 2.5.1, *the formula* (2.5.3) *may be written*

$$(2.5.10)\qquad y(t) = x(t) + f(t) - f^{o}(t) + \int_0^t [\int_0^1 r(t,s,\sigma)d\sigma](f(s) - f^{o}(s))ds.$$

Let t be fixed in R^+. Making use of Lemma 2.5.1, we see that the map, $(s,\sigma) \mapsto r(t,s,\sigma)(f(s) - f^{o}(s))$ from $[0,t] \times [0,1]$ into R^n, is continuous. It follows from elementary calculus that

$$\int_0^1 [\int_0^t r(t,s,\sigma)(f(s) - f^{o}(s))ds]d\sigma = \int_0^t [\int_0^1 r(t,s,\sigma)d\sigma](f(s) - f^{o}(s))ds,$$

and consequently equation (2.5.10) holds.

THEOREM 2.5.2. *Assume that hypotheses* (A) *hold and let* $F: B(0,r_1) \to B(0,r_2)$ *be the implicit function of Theorem* 2.2.5. *If* $f \in B(0,r_1)$ *and* x *is the solution of* $E[f,k,g]$, *then*

$$(2.5.11)\qquad x(t) = f(t) + \int_0^t [\int_0^1 r(t,s,\sigma)d\sigma]f(s)ds$$

where $r(t,s,\sigma)$ *is the kernel reciprocal to* $k(t,s)g_x(s,x(s,\sigma))$, *and* $x(t,\sigma)$ *is the solution of* $E[\sigma f,k,g]$ *for each* σ *in* $[0,1]$.

Furthermore, if the following additional conditions hold,

(i) $\lim_{t \to \infty} ||f(t)|| = 0$,

(ii) $\lim_{t \to \infty} \int_0^T ||\int_0^1 r(t,s,\sigma)d\sigma||ds = 0$ *for each* $T > 0$,

(iii) *there exists a real number* $M_1 > 0$ *such that*

$$\int_0^t ||\int_0^1 r(t,s,\sigma)d\sigma||ds \leq M_1 \text{ for } t \in R^+,$$

then $\lim_{t \to \infty} ||x(t)|| = 0$.

Since 0 is the solution of $E[0,f,g]$, it follows from Theorem 2.5.1 and Corollary 2.5.2 that (2.5.11) holds.

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose a real number $T_1 > 0$ so that if $t \geq T_1$, then

$$(2.5.12)\qquad ||f(t)|| < \max\{\varepsilon/3, \varepsilon/(3M_1)\}.$$

Select a real number T_2 satisfying $T_2 > T_1$ such that if $t > T_2$, then

$$(2.5.13)\qquad \int_0^{T_1} ||\int_0^1 r(t,s,\sigma)d\sigma||ds < \varepsilon/[3(1 + ||f||)].$$

Then, with $t > T_2$ we have that

$$||x(t)|| \leq ||f(t)|| + \int_0^{T_1} ||\int_0^1 r(t,s,\sigma)d\sigma||\,||f(s)||ds$$

$$+ \int_{T_1}^{t} ||\int_0^1 r(t,s,\sigma)d\sigma||\ ||f(s)||ds.$$

In view of (2.5.12) and (2.5.13) it follows that $||x(t)|| < \varepsilon$ and therefore $\lim_{t \to \infty} ||x(t)|| = 0$.

COROLLARY 2.5.3. Assume that hypotheses (A) hold and let $F: B(0,r_1) \to B(0,r_2)$ be the implicit function of Theorem 2.2.5. If f and f^0 belong to $B(0,r_1)$ and x and x^0 are the respective solutions of $E[f,k,g]$ and $E[f^0,k,g]$, then $\lim_{t \to \infty} ||x(t) - x^0(t)|| = 0$ provided $\lim_{t \to \infty} ||f(t) - f^0(t)|| = 0$ and $r(t,s,\sigma)$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.5.2.

In view of Theorem 2.5.1 and Corollary 2.5.2, we have

$$x(t) - x^0(t) = f(t) - f^0(t) + \int_0^t (\int_0^1 r(t,s,\sigma)d\sigma)(f(s) - f^0(s))ds.$$

An application of Theorem 2.5.2 yields the result.

The hypotheses placed on the kernel $\int_0^1 r(t,s,\sigma)d\sigma$ are similar to those of Nohel [12], which considered the case of kernels not depending on σ.

In a recent paper Brauer [3] established a variation of constants formula for nonlinear Volterra integral equations under different hypotheses and by entirely different methods. The connection between these two formulas is unknown to this author. However, our work does permit us to give a formal derivation of a variation of constants formula which Brauer [3] attributes to Miller [10].

In Corollary 2.5.1, let $g(t,x) = x$ and

$$H(y)(t) = \int_0^t k(t,s)w(y(s))ds$$

where w is a suitably defined function. Then

$$y(t) - x(t) = \int_0^t k(t,s)w(y(s))ds + \int_0^1 \int_0^t r(t,s) \int_0^s k(s,u)w(y(u))dudsd\sigma \tag{2.5.14}$$

where $r(t,s)$ is the kernel reciprocal to $k(t,s)$. As in [5; p. 125], one

may verify that

$$r(t,s) - k(t,s) = \int_s^t r(t,u)k(u,s)du. \tag{2.5.15}$$

On using Dirichlet's formula for interchanging the order of integration, then exchanging the dummy variables s and u and finally, invoking (2.5.15), the equation (2.5.14) may be written

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) - x(t) &= \int_0^t k(t,s)w(y(s))ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t (\int_s^t r(t,u)k(u,s)du)w(y(s))ds \\ &= \int_0^t r(t,s)w(y(s))ds, \end{aligned}$$

which is Miller's formula.

REFERENCES

1. Bellman, R., On an application of a Banach-Steinhaus theorem to the study of the boundedness of solutions of nonlinear differential and difference equations, Ann. of Math., 49(1948), 515-522.

2. Bliss, G.A., Differential equations containing arbitrary functions, Trans. Am. Math. Soc., 21(1920), 79-92.

3. Brauer, F., A nonlinear variation of constants formula for Volterra equations, Math. Systems Theory, 6(1972), 226-234.

4. Coppel, W.A., Stability and Asymptotic Behavior of Differential Equations, Heath, Boston, 1965.

5. Corduneanu, C., Principles of Differential and Integral Equations, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1971.

6. Corduneanu, C., Some perturbation problems in the theory of integral equations, Math. Systems Theory, 1(1967), 143-155.

7. Dieudonné, J., Foundations of Modern Analysis, Academic Press, New York, 1960.

8. Hildebrandt, T.H. and Graves, L.M., Implicit functions and their differentials in general analysis, Trans. Am. Math. Soc., 29(1927), 127-153.

9. Loomis, L.H. and Sternberg, S., Advanced Calculus, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1968.

10. Miller, R.K., On the linearization of Volterra integral equations, Jour. of Math. Anal. and Applications, 23(1968), 198-208.

11. Miller, R.K., Nohel, J.A. and Wong, J.S.W., Perturbations of Volterra integral equations, Jour. of Math. Anal. and Applications, 25(1969), 676-691.

12. Nohel, J.A., Asymptotic relationships between systems of Volterra integral equations, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., 90(1971), 149-165.

13. Sato, T., Sur l'équation intégrale non lineaire de Volterra, Compositio Math., 11(1953), 271-290.

14. Taylor, A.E., Introduction to Functional Analysis, John Wiley, New York, 1958.