

MINUTES OF A REGULAR MEETING
REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA
THURSDAY, JANUARY 8, 1953 - 10:00 A.M.

The Regents of the University of Oklahoma met in regular session on Thursday, January 8, 1953, in the office of the President of the University, Norman, at 10:00 a.m.

The following were present: Dr. Oscar White, President, presiding; Regents Benedum, Morgan, Short, Little, McBride. Absent: Regent Foster.

The minutes of the regular meeting held on December 11, 1952, were approved.

The following communication from Norman Leonard of the law offices of Gladstein, Andersen and Leonard, 240 Montgomery Street, San Francisco 4, California, under date of December 17, representing Dr. Richard Blanc, was discussed:

Board of Regents
University of Oklahoma
Norman, Oklahoma

Attention: Mr. Emil R. Kraettli,
Secretary

Gentlemen:

This office has been retained to represent Professor Richard Blanc in connection with his efforts to obtain his salary "for at least a year from the date of notification of dismissal" as provided for in the minutes of the Board of Regents of the university dated May 14, 1947.

We think we hardly need review the facts of Professor Blanc's academic background and his tenure with the university. As you know, he obtained his Doctor of Philosophy degree in zoology at the University of California in 1943 after five years of graduate work in that field. Thereafter he spent a year at Yale University on a research fellowship. He taught at the University of Rochester from 1944 to 1946, and was called to the University of Oklahoma as an Assistant Professor in the fall of 1946.

Under the tenure regulations of the university, which we understand parallel those of the American Association of University Professors, he became entitled to tenure in 1949 and continued to hold tenure until his appointment for the academic year 1952-53 was refused.

We might point out in passing that the original notification to Professor Blanc which was followed by the proceedings which resulted in the refusal to renew his contract was contained in a letter from President Cross of May 19, 1952, in which it was asserted that Professor Blanc had violated the loyalty oath law of the State of Oklahoma. That, of course, is the law which the Supreme Court of the United States just the day before yesterday declared to be invalid.

January 8, 1953

Be that as it may, the fact remains that the minutes of the Board of Regents of May 14, 1947, paralleling as we have indicated the position of the AAUP, provide that teachers on continuous appointment who are dismissed for reasons not involving moral turpitude "should receive their salaries for at least a year from the date of notification of dismissal whether or not they are continued in their duties at the institution." We contend that when Professor Blanc obtained tenure and continued to teach at the university after 1949 and while the foregoing minutes were ineffect, there came into existence between him and the university a contractual relationship which justifies his present demand for a year's salary. In any case, and without in the least waiving the point just made, it seems that only common fairness and decency as well as a regard for the status of an Assistant Professor in an American university would indicate that Professor Blanc ought to receive his year's severance pay.

For the foregoing reasons, demand is made on behalf of Professor Blanc in the sum of \$4300, representing his pay for the academic year 1952-53.

Very truly yours,
/s/ Norman Leonard

Norman Leonard

NL:n

dpowa 34

cc: Ralph E. Himstead, General Secretary
American Association of University Professors
1785 Massachusetts Avenue, N. W.
Washington 6, D. C.

On motion by Regent Little, it was moved that the communication, together with all other pertinent information, be referred to the Attorney General.

President Cross stated that it is contemplated to remodel the top floor of the old Education Building, which was vacated following the completion of the new Education Building, in order to provide space for the Veterans Guidance Center which is being reactivated. The Guidance Center was formerly located on the North Campus, but the Veterans Administration objects to it being located on the Campus on account of the distance. The cost for remodeling will be between \$6,500 and \$8,000. President Cross requested authorization to allocate this amount from balances in the Modernization and Repair Fund for this remodeling program.

On motion by Regent Little, it was voted to approve the recommendation.

President Cross reported that he had had a conference with Coach Wilkinson concerning Gomer Jones. He recommended that the expense account for Mr. Jones be increased in the amount of \$300, which will make the total \$1,500 for the year beginning January 1, 1953.

On motion by Regent Little, it was voted to approve the recommendation.

January 8, 1953

President Cross reported that he had not had final discussions with Mr. Wilkinson concerning renewal of contracts with Assistant Coaches, and that Mr. Wilkinson is at the present time in Honolulu. He recommended that the contracts for the Assistant Coaches be extended through the month of January at the current salary rates.

It was moved by Regent Little and voted that the recommendation be approved.

President Cross read the following letter from the Women's Panhellenic Association concerning the housing of freshmen women in dormitories:

Dr. George L. Cross
Office of the President
Faculty Exchange

Dear Dr. Cross:

With the establishment of Cross Center this year there has been campus-wide discussion about the innumerable problems that arise concerning fraternity pledges. Four years ago the sororities faced the same problems and we believe that many of the areas of concern have been worked out to the satisfaction of all groups involved. At first the sororities thought that requiring freshmen to live in university housing would decrease the number of freshmen rush-ees. The opposite of this has proven true, as the number of freshmen attending rush has increased every year.

Another apprehension was that freshmen grades would not be as satisfactory as when the sororities maintained 16 hours of supervised study hall. This problem was met by dual control of both sororities and the university through allowing the sororities to require 8 hours of supervised study hall at the house and the university enforcing 6 hours of study in individual rooms of the dorms.

Under this system, the all-women's average has improved from 2.739 to 2.841. Statistics prove that more pledges have made their grades to be initiated in the last 3 years than in the previous ones. And each year the grade averages of the freshmen dormitories have improved.

One of the main purposes of having the freshmen dorms is to widen friendships and we feel that this purpose has been fulfilled as demonstrated by better co-operation between sororities in Panhellenic. We feel that the atmosphere on the campus has become more democratic because the girls have made friends as freshmen, with members of all groups.

The problem of girls intramurals was worked out by agreement of the dorms and Panhellenic so that freshmen pledges could participate with the sorority if needed, otherwise, they participate with their dorms. The same policy prevails for Homecoming, Dads' Day, Mothers' Day and the University Sing. Since many of the pledges do participate with their sororities the dorms combine in groups of four, promoting inter-dorm relationships.

January 8, 1953

It is the unanimous opinion of Panhellenic that our present plan of rushing and pledging is the most satisfactory from the standpoint of the sororities and the freshmen. We feel strongly that the majority of the freshmen interested in sororities wish to pledge at the beginning of their college careers because it gives them a sense of security through identification with a social group. Furthermore, under our present system there is no disturbance of the academic year by rushing, which is confined to the summer.

Due to the fact that freshmen are segregated, the contact with the sororities is valuable to the pledges because they have the benefit of the guidance of upper-class members. And at the beginning of their sophomore year they are able to make an easy adjustment to sorority living and still maintain their wider contacts on campus made during their freshman year.

We feel that the sororities' aims and the university's aims coincide for the individual students. And we will be happy to discuss with you any of the matters presented in this letter. Certainly if you are contemplating any major change in the time of pledging, Panhellenic Council would welcome the opportunity to discuss this matter with you.

Very truly yours,

/s/ O. U. Panhellenic Council

O. U. Panhellenic Council

President Cross called the Regents attention to this matter since fraternities have objected to freshmen living in University housing. No action was taken.

President Cross called attention to the action of the United States Supreme Court with reference to the loyalty oath. He recommended that the Regents rescind its previous action requiring new University employees to sign the loyalty oath form specified by House Bill No. 8 of the 1951 Legislature, effective as of the date when the Attorney General of the State of Oklahoma notifies the President of the University that the signing of such oath forms no longer is required by law.

On motion by Regent Morgan, it was voted to approve the recommendation.

The following report and recommendation concerning the football broadcasting contract entered into with Radio Station KOME was made by President Cross:

Radio Station KOME, Tulsa, which was awarded contract for radio broadcasting of all University of Oklahoma football games for the 1952 season, objected strongly to the televising of the Oklahoma-Texas game from Dallas on television stations at Oklahoma City and Tulsa; and also objected to broadcasting by radio of the O.U.-Kansas game at Lawrence by certain ABC chains in the same Oklahoma towns as KOME network stations.

January 8, 1953

On October 7, 1952, the manager of KOME addressed a letter to the Board of Regents requesting that steps be taken to prevent such televising of the Texas game scheduled October 11.

In a letter dated October 10, the President's Office notified Mr. Gibson that the Board of Regents decided not to protest the arrangements which apparently had been made for televising the Texas game, and explained that the principal reasons for the decision were as follows:

- 1) There is great public demand for the game to be broadcast
- 2) The telecasting of the game over stations in Oklahoma and Texas, with the game definitely a sellout, appears to be within the framework of NCAA policy with respect to 'local' televising of football games
- 3) The contract between the Regents and KOME does not appear to contain any clause that would be violated by the televising of the Texas game.

The manager of KOME was told that "if KOME decides that the unanticipated televising of the game causes sufficient financial injury to justify a request for renegotiation of terms of the radio broadcasting contract, such request will be considered on its merits."

About the end of October Mr. Gibson, accompanied by legal counsel, met in conference with President Cross, with the University Vice President and Business Manager, and with the President's Legal Advisor. The net result of this conference was that Mr. Gibson and his legal counsel were notified that they should prepare a detailed substantiation of their claim, basing it on a complete, detailed financial report of the income and expense incurred by KOME in connection with all of the O.U. football broadcasts for the season.

In early December, Radio Station KOME sent the University a check in the amount of \$3,127.50, which was \$1,972.50 less than the amount due under terms of the original broadcasting contract.

After consulting legal counsel, the University's Business Manager replied to this letter as follows:

This acknowledges receipt of your check in the amount of \$3,127.50 in partial settlement of the \$5,100 due the University under terms of the 1952 football broadcasting contract.

If I understand your letter correctly, KOME suffered a loss of \$1,972.50 because of cancellation of the KBYE contract with a sponsor in Oklahoma City, resulting from the unexpected televising of the Texas game in Oklahoma City.

I do not believe the University could consider any readjustment of your total obligation due under terms of the contract without having a complete financial statement on your operation. If, for example, your earnings from contracts other than the one with KBYE have provided sufficient net earnings to more than offset the loss

January 8, 1953

in cancellation of KBYE's original contract, the over all situation would have to be considered along with your loss in connection with one particular station.

In other words, I do not believe the Board of Regents is under obligation to adjust the terms of your contract for the purpose of increasing your profit, or for the purpose of guaranteeing any profit at all.

The only basis on which it seems to me you can ask for a contract adjustment is a net loss on the entire football broadcasting program for the season. I therefore suggest that you submit a certified statement as to total income received by KOME in connection with the football broadcasts, the total cost chargeable to these broadcasts, and the net profit or loss. To reduce the amount of money due the University under terms of a contract awarded after taking competitive bids would be a rather serious matter, and would require careful substantiation in the records of the Board of Regents. I see no alternative to your submitting a complete financial report on the operation.

Under date of December 17, 1952, I received a communication from Milsten, Milsten and Morehead, legal counsel for KOME, which reads in part as follows:

In the third paragraph of your letter you request a complete financial statement of KOME's operation under the contract. However, we interpret your request to mean a complete financial statement of the specific losses. As heretofore pointed out by Mr. Gibson in his letter of December 10, 1952, the major loss occurs by reason of the transactions with Radio Station KBYE, from which source KOME would have been able to realize a total of \$6,000, had the variation in the contract not occurred by reason of the cancellation of the Acme Fence and Iron Company Account, which made it necessary for KOME to exert its best effort in mitigating the loss as a result thereof.

Attached hereto you will find the breakdown. You will note that as a result of these cancellations it was necessary for KOME to pay out commissions totaling \$247.50. Consequently, the amount of the commissions, when deducted from the gross, leaves a balance of \$4,027.50, which, when deducted from the \$6,000 which otherwise would have been realized under the contract with Acme Fence and Iron Company, leaves the loss at \$1,972.50, as set forth in Mr. Gibson's letter to you of December 10, as referred to herein above.

In arriving at the loss figure quoted above, KOME has not taken into consideration such expenses as telephone calls, traveling expenses and other incidentals, which they are willing to waive.

We regret that we cannot go along with you on the fourth paragraph of your letter, because certainly a loss of profit is an element of damage. We believe that the offer of compromise

January 8, 1953

contained in Mr. Gibson's letter to you of December 10 is fair and equitable and in view of the explanation contained herein and the breakdown attached hereto we trust that the Board of Regents will see fit to allow the concessions so that the file may be retired.

The reply of the University Business Manager to the above letter from KOME's legal counsel stated in part:

I am sure you are aware that neither the contract itself nor the instructions for bidding which were issued to Radio Station KOME prior to submission of a bid provided for any readjustment of the contract amount because of conflicting radio or television broadcasts from University games played out of the state.

Therefore, it is the opinion of our legal counsel that the University is not under any obligation to make any adjustment for the circumstances stated by Mr. Riley R. Gibson in his letter of December 10, 1952.

The only basis on which the Board of Regents could justify re-negotiation of the terms of the contract at this late date would be, in the opinion of legal counsel and myself, a specific showing on the part of KOME that it had suffered a net loss in the handling of the football broadcasting contract for the 1952 season.

Milsten, Milsten and Morehead under date of December 22 stated:

We regret that we cannot share the opinion of your legal counsel on the proposition that KOME would only be entitled to an adjustment, provided they had suffered a net loss.

In view of the conference held in Norman on October 31st, and the attitude on the part of all present to reach an amicable disposition of the matter, we suggest that you go ahead and submit the claim to the Board of Regents in its present form. We are confident that the Board of Regents will appreciate the fact that the claim is fair and equitable.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the claim of KOME for an adjustment in the contract amount from \$5,100 to \$4,027.50 be denied, for the reasons that

- a) KOME has not shown any violation of the contract terms on the part of the University
- b) KOME has not submitted any evidence showing a net loss from all of the operations carried on under the broadcasting contract, although repeatedly invited to submit a complete financial statement if any net loss actually occurred.

January 8, 1953

Regent Little moved, "In view of the information at hand, the recommendation be approved." The motion carried.

Reported the Danielsen Construction Company has submitted its claim for final payment in the amount of \$8,861.07 in connection with contract for construction of laboratory and dormitory buildings at the University Biological Station at Willis.

The contractor has submitted satisfactory certification that all labor and material bills have been paid, and has furnished consent of the bonding company to payment of the final estimate.

Coston and Frankfurt, architects for this project, have certified that all work has been completed in accordance with the plans and specifications, and amendments thereto, and recommend acceptance of the completed project. Mr. Walter W. Kraft, Director of Physical Plant, concurs in this recommendation.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Board of Regents authorize payment of the final claim of the Danielsen Construction Company, in the amount of \$8,861.07, in connection with the contract for construction of the laboratory and dormitory buildings at the University Biological Station at Willis, and that the completed project be accepted by the Regents.

Approved.

Reported the Architect for construction of the Addition to the Crippled Children's Hospital (Hudgins, Thompson, Ball and Associates) has certified that the addition has been sufficiently completed for occupancy by certain departments of the Hospital which must move out of their old quarters in order to permit the remodeling work in the old building that is included in the same contract.

Since the delay in starting work on remodeling of the old building was for the convenience of the Hospital and its patients rather than for the Contractor, a recommendation has been made that the construction contract be amended to permit payment to the Contractor of 2/3 of the 15% retainage that has accumulated from payments to date.

The Architect and the University's Director of Physical Plant have certified that the new addition is substantially complete and they recommend that the retainage on the work already done be reduced from 15% to 5% -- from \$82,837.20 to \$27,612.40. The University's Business Manager concurs in the recommendation.

Recommended that the Board of Regents authorize an amendment to the contract with the Secor Building Co., Inc., covering construction of an Addition to Children's Hospital, to provide:

January 8, 1953

1) that upon proper recommendation from the Architect for the project, the Regents will pay the Contractor 2/3 of the retainage from previous payments up to and including Estimate No. 11, dated November 4, 1952; the said 2/3 being 2/3 of \$82,837.20 or \$55,224.80; and

2) that 15% of the value of labor and materials furnished and used in periods subsequent to the period covered by Estimate No. 11 be retained from payments to the Contractor until completion of all work covered by the contract and acceptance by the Regents.

Approved.

President Cross made the following report with reference to the Stadium indebtedness:

Stadium bonds are eligible for call prior to maturity on March 1, 1953, for a call premium of 3%. If any bonds are to be called, notice must be given the bondholders by February 1.

A decision as to whether to call bonds therefore should be made at the January meeting of the Board.

Following is a brief analysis of the present financial status of the Athletic Department.

The surplus at the beginning of the present fiscal year was \$249,181.06 (not including \$308,037.09 in prepaid income for the 1952-53 operations).

The current estimate for 1952-53 budget operations predicts an operating loss of \$41,055.40 for the year, and a net surplus of \$208,125.66 as of June 30, 1953.

The income estimate of \$721,183.74 for this fiscal year does not include the sum of \$105,000 which the Regents are obligated to collect in student fees and apply on the Stadium indebtedness; nor does it show the indirect cost of fee waivers granted athletes.

The proposed expenditure of \$707,841.54 does not include any allocation of funds to apply on the Stadium indebtedness.

Some of the factors to be considered in policy with respect to the Athletic Department surplus are:

1) None of the income from intercollegiate activities has yet been used to retire any of the present Stadium bond issue.

2) \$105,000 of student fee income otherwise available for the educational budget of the University has been applied yearly on the Stadium indebtedness.

3) Subsidy of intercollegiate athletics with educational and

January 8, 1953

general funds is subject to criticism from the State Regents Board and might affect the University's allocation of state funds.

4) Recent public attention given athletic financial affairs might result in Legislative attention to the surplus and suggestions from the Legislature as to how it should be used.

5) Maintenance of a large surplus makes it difficult to justify the prices currently charged for admission to intercollegiate athletic events.

6) Maintenance of a large surplus is not in compliance with the expectation of Stadium bondholders that surplus revenue would be used either to meet current needs of the athletic program or to call bonds ahead of maturity.

7) Expenditure of \$105,000 per year from student fee income to apply on the Stadium indebtedness might cause some embarrassment with the North Central Association, in view of Section I of the Association's present criteria for judging athletic programs, which states in part:

"If an institution is spending large sums for the support of athletics or the erection of athletic facilities, it is under special obligation to justify these obligations in the light of its educational objectives."

The President stated there will be approximately \$50,000 in the athletics funds in addition to that shown in the above report, since some of the schools have not reported on our share of income. Also, our share of income from the TV of the Notre Dame game.

President Cross recommended as follows:

(a) That the Board of Regents adopt the general policy of not subsidizing intercollegiate athletics with student fee income.

(b) That in order to implement such policy without violating terms of the Stadium bond issue, the University continue to apply \$105,000 of student fee income per year to the Stadium indebtedness, and that the educational and general budget be reimbursed from athletic funds by that amount beginning with the fiscal year starting July 1, 1953.

(c) That \$50,000 of the present surplus be transferred to the Educational and General income account of the University as partial reimbursement for the \$105,000 in student fee income applied on the Stadium indebtedness during this fiscal year.

(d) That the Regents authorize calling \$100,000 face value of Stadium bonds prior to maturity on March 1, 1953, at par plus 3% call premium, using part of the present surplus for such purpose; the result of recommendations (c) and (d) being to reduce the estimated surplus as of June 30, 1953, to \$56,625.66.

January 8, 1953

On motion by Regent Benedum, the President's recommendation was approved.

PERSONNEL ITEMS

FACULTY

LEAVES OF ABSENCE:

Return from Leave of Absence Without Pay:

Dr. Henry S. Robinson, Professor of Classical Languages and Literature, termination date of Leave of absence without pay changed from September 1 to June 1, 1953.

Sabbatical Leave of Absence:

Alfred B. Sears, Professor of History, granted leave of absence under regulations for sabbatical leaves, January 16, 1953, to June 1, 1953. To study and research in Germany and England.

Othel D. Westfall, Associate Professor of Accounting, sabbatical leave of absence terminal date changed from September 1 to June 1, 1953.

Leaves of Absence Without Pay:

Walter Haderer, Assistant Professor of Music, leave of absence without pay, June 1 to August 1, 1953.

Gaston Litton, Professor of History, Archivist, leave of absence without pay, March 1, 1953, to January 1, 1954.

Leave of Absence, Illness:

Dorothy Cram, Associate Professor of Social Work, leave of absence on account of illness, under the University sick leave policy which provides for payment of full salary for three months, January 16 to April 16, and \$100 per month to the end of her contract year, June 30, 1953.

APPOINTMENTS:

Paul MacMinn, Dean of Students, given the additional title of Lecturer in Education, January 16, 1953.

Sarah Helen Youngblood, Instructor in English, salary of \$1,500 for second semester, 4½ months, January 16, 1953.

RESIGNATIONS:

William C. Gresham, Assistant Professor of Speech, Speech and Hearing Clinic, January 1, 1953.

January 8, 1953

Earl T. Warren, Professor of Law, January 15, 1953.

GRADUATE ASSISTANTS

APPOINTMENT:

Charles Benton Erbe, Graduate Assistant in Petroleum Engineering, \$450 for 4½ months, January 16, 1953.

CHANGE:

Jack Miller, Title changed from Research Assistant to Graduate Assistant, Bureau of Business Research, no change in salary, February 1, 1953. (Off payroll in August)

RESIGNATION:

Oliver Guinn, Graduate Assistant, Bureau of Business Research, January 31, 1953.

SCHOLARSHIP AND RESEARCH ASSISTANTS

SCHOLARSHIP:

Robert Stanley Kirkland, Monsanto Chemical Company Scholarship, \$400 for 8 months, part time, October 1, 1952.

RESEARCH ASSISTANT:

Victor E. Paulos, Research Assistant, Bureau of Business Research, \$100 per month for 11 months, February 1, 1953. (Off payroll in August)

NON-ACADEMIC

RESIGNATION:

Joseph Glander, Assistant Athletic Trainer and Swimming Coach, effective December 15, 1952.

SCHOOL OF MEDICINE AND UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS

APPOINTMENTS:

Edward Martin Schneider, M. D., Clinical Assistant in the Department of Medicine, clinical rates, January 1, 1953.

January 8, 1953

Harry Bernard Weinberg, M. D., Clinical Assistant in the Department of Medicine, clinical rates, January 1, 1953.

Approved.

President Cross requested confirmation of the telephone vote on December 17 and 18 of the following applicants for the 1953 Freshmen Class in the School of Medicine.

<u>Name</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>School Attended</u>	<u>Pres. Average</u>	<u>Genl. Average</u>	<u>Age</u>
Arthurs, Donald Duane	Lookeba	Caddo	A. & M.	2.57	2.50	20
Boles, Gerald William	Blackwell	Kay	A. & M.	2.51	2.41	23
Hummer, Lloyd M.	Elmwood	Beaver	McPherson			
			Col., Kan.	2.50	2.32	19
Kennedy, Williard Charles	Okla. Cy.	Okla.	OU & OCU	2.26	1.79	20
Phillips, Donald M.	Fairview	Major	OU & Colo.	2.23	2.05	20
Reimer, J. Paul	Mt. View	Kiowa	A. & M.	2.25	2.36	20
Savage, William Lee	Okla. Cy.	Okla.	OU	2.26	1.95	24
Sprague, James D.	Forgan	Beaver	OU	2.0	1.99	19
Sprehe, Daniel J.	Okla. Cy.	Okla.	Creighton			
			& OU	2.29	2.56	20

Also, the President requested confirmation of the mail vote on the following:

<u>Name</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>School Attended</u>	<u>Pres. Average</u>	<u>Genl. Average</u>	<u>Age</u>
Bliss, Bryce Owen	Tahlequah	Cherokee	NESC	2.66	2.61	19
Brown, Barbara Anne	Enid	Garfield	Phillips	2.7	2.76	21
Durham, Wilson E.	Muskogee	Muskogee	NESC	2.91	2.76	20
Gepetsberger, Charles	Okmulgee	Okmulgee	NESC & A&M	2.46	2.24	20
Smith, Bradley E.	Tulsa	Tulsa	Tulsa U.	2.97	2.76	19

Also, the President recommended approval of the following submitted by the Admissions Committee following their meeting on December 29.

<u>Name</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>School Attended</u>	<u>Pres. Average</u>	<u>Genl. Average</u>	<u>Age</u>
Goodman, Thomas Allan	Henryetta	Okmulgee	Loyola	2.72	2.54	21
Hope, Sherman Allen	Oklahoma	Oklahoma	Baylor	2.66	2.52	20
Hughes, William Lyons	Tulsa	Tulsa	NW Univ.	1.87	1.88	20
Jayne, Elta Howard	Edmond	Oklahoma	Cent. St.	2.17	1.92	22
Parsons, Bernie	Idabel	McCurtain	A & M	1.6	1.7	21
White, Wayne Franklin	Tulsa	Tulsa	Cornell	2.71	2.61	19

Regent Little stated he would oppose approval of any additional candidates until the Regents and the Admissions Committee could hold a joint meeting for a discussion on admissions. It was noted that, including the

January 8, 1953

twenty (20) recommended above, forty-three (43) students have been recommended by the Committee. The suggestion was made that it might be possible to have a meeting with the Admissions Committee on Saturday afternoon, February 7, and meet in the forenoon to take care of items that would normally be considered on the regular date of the Regents meeting, February 12. It was noted that the Admissions Committee of the School of Medicine meets each Friday, and Regent McBride suggested that no approvals either by telephone or mail in excess of a total of seventy-five (75) be made until after the joint meeting on Saturday, February 7. Regent Little agreed to this proposal, and President Cross was asked to investigate the feasibility of holding a joint meeting in Oklahoma City on that date.

Regent Morgan moved, and it was voted, that the applications now before the Board (the three lists shown on the previous page) be approved.

As an item of new business, Regent Benedum brought up the question of broadcasting of the football games next fall. He made the suggestion that WNAD, University Broadcasting Station, broadcast the games. President Cross stated that arrangements to do this are being made, with permission to stations through the state to broadcast upon payment of line charges.

President Cross called attention to a communication from Chancellor Nash, under date of January 7, calling a meeting of all governing boards at 10:30 a.m. on Monday, January 26, at the Huckins Hotel in Oklahoma City, and he urged that as many of the University Regents as possible attend this meeting. There is to be a buffet luncheon at noon, and Governor Murray will speak at this luncheon. The Secretary was asked to send a reminder of the conference and luncheon to the Regents in advance of the meeting.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

Emil R. Kraettli, Secretary