# ECOLOGICAL STUDIES OF THE PSOCID LIPOSCELIS

### RUFA BROADHEAD

### (PSOCOPTERA: LIPOSCELIDIDAE)

By

### SANDIPA G. GAUTAM

Bachelor of Science in Agriculture

Tribhuvan University

Rampur, Chitwan, Nepal

2007

Submitted to the Faculty of the Graduate College of the Oklahoma State University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of MASTER OF SCIENCE December, 2010

## ECOLOGICAL STUDIES OF THE PSOCID LIPOSCELIS

### RUFA BROADHEAD

### (PSOCOPTERA: LIPOSCELIDIDAE)

Thesis Approved:

Dr. George P. Opit

Thesis Adviser

Dr. Kristopher L. Giles

Dr. Brian Adam

Dr. Mark E. Payton

Dean of the Graduate College

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like express my sincere gratitude to my major advisor, Dr. George P. Opit for his professional guidance, endless support, and encouragement. I will always be grateful to him for encouraging and supporting me in every aspect of each assignment. I also thank my committee members Dr. Kristopher L. Giles, and Dr. Brian Adam for their professional guidance and input into this thesis.

I am very thankful to Edmond Bonjour, Kandara Shakya, and Binita K. C. for their excellent technical assistance and for creating a good working environment. I also thank all faculty members, the staff and my fellow graduate students of the Department of Entomology and Plant Pathology for providing me with a friendly atmosphere in which to work.

I would like thank my sister Sudipa and my brother in-law Keshab Rijal, my friends Bhawana, Sandhya, Sabita, Narshing, and Gunjan for their moral support. I especially thank my husband Dhiraj Gautam for the unconditional encouragement and help he rendered towards the completion of this thesis. Finally, I am obliged to my parents, Dr. I. C. Ghimire and Srijana Ghimire for providing me the spirit of hard work, discipline, and perseverance without which this work would not have been authored.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION       1         Introduction       1         Objectives       4         II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE       6         Psocoptera       6         Worldwide distribution of psocids       7         Psocids as pests in stored grain       8         Economic importance of psocids       10         Management of psocids       13         Psocid ecological studies       15         References Cited       21         III. POPULATION GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE PSOCID LIPOSCELIS         RUFA BROADHEAD (PSOCOPTERA: LIPOSCELIDIDAE) AT CONSTANT         TEMPERATURES AND RELATIVE HUMIDITIES       32         Abstract       34         Introduction       35         Materials and Methods       36         Results       41         Discussion       44         Acknowledgements       50         References Cited       51	Chapter	Page
Introduction       1         Objectives       4         II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE       6         Psocoptera       6         Worldwide distribution of psocids       7         Psocids as pests in stored grain       8         Economic importance of psocids       10         Management of psocids       13         Psocid ecological studies       15         References Cited       21         III. POPULATION GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE PSOCID LIPOSCELIS         RUFA BROADHEAD (PSOCOPTERA: LIPOSCELIDIDAE) AT CONSTANT         TEMPERATURES AND RELATIVE HUMIDITIES       32         Abstract       34         Introduction       35         Materials and Methods       36         Results       41         Discussion       44         Acknowledgements       50         References Cited       51	I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE.       .6         Psocoptera       .6         Worldwide distribution of psocids       .7         Psocids as pests in stored grain       .8         Economic importance of psocids       .10         Management of psocids       .13         Psocid ecological studies       .15         References Cited       .21         III. POPULATION GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE PSOCID LIPOSCELIS         RUFA BROADHEAD (PSOCOPTERA: LIPOSCELIDIDAE) AT CONSTANT         TEMPERATURES AND RELATIVE HUMIDITIES       .32         Abstract       .34         Introduction       .35         Materials and Methods       .36         Results       .41         Discussion       .44         Acknowledgements       .50         References Cited       .51	Introduction Objectives	1 4
Psocoptera6Worldwide distribution of psocids7Psocids as pests in stored grain8Economic importance of psocids10Management of psocids13Psocid ecological studies15References Cited21III. POPULATION GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE PSOCID LIPOSCELISRUFA BROADHEAD (PSOCOPTERA: LIPOSCELIDIDAE) AT CONSTANTTEMPERATURES AND RELATIVE HUMIDITIES32Abstract34Introduction35Materials and Methods36Results41Discussion44Acknowledgements50References Cited51	II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	6
III. POPULATION GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE PSOCID LIPOSCELIS         RUFA BROADHEAD (PSOCOPTERA: LIPOSCELIDIDAE) AT CONSTANT         TEMPERATURES AND RELATIVE HUMIDITIES	Psocoptera Worldwide distribution of psocids Psocids as pests in stored grain Economic importance of psocids Management of psocids Psocid ecological studies References Cited	
Abstract34Introduction35Materials and Methods36Results41Discussion44Acknowledgements50References Cited51	III. POPULATION GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT OF <i>RUFA</i> BROADHEAD (PSOCOPTERA: LIPOSCELIDII TEMPERATURES AND RELATIVE HUMIDITIES	THE PSOCID <i>LIPOSCELIS</i> DAE) AT CONSTANT 32
	Abstract         Introduction         Materials and Methods         Results         Discussion         Acknowledgements         References Cited	

Chapter

## IV. REPRODUCTIVE PARAMETERS OF THE PSOCID *LIPOSCELIS RUFA* (PSOCOPTERA: LIPOSCELIDIDAE) AT CONSTANT TEMPERATURES....67

Introduction	
Materials and Methods	
Results	
Discussion	77
Acknowledgements	
References Cited	
References ened	
/. CONCLUSION	

# LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
	Chapter III
1.	Final number (mean $\pm$ SE) of motile <i>Liposcelis rufa</i> present in vials after 30 d
	(n = 18)
2.	Duration (days $\pm$ SE) of immature stages of male <i>Liposcelis rufa</i> at eight constant
	temperatures and 75% RH
3.	Parameters ( $\pm$ SE) for quadratic equations describing the duration of the egg,
	individual nymphal, combined nymphal, and combined immature stages of male
	<i>Liposcelis rufa</i> at constant temperatures
4.	Parameters ( $\pm$ SE) for linear and quadratic equations describing the effects of
	constant temperatures on the development rate of the combined immature stage of
	<i>Liposcelis rufa</i> males and females
5.	Duration (d $\pm$ SE) of immature stages of female <i>Liposcelis rufa</i> at eight constant
	temperatures and 75% RH60
6.	Parameters ( $\pm$ SE) for quadratic equations describing the duration of the egg,
	individual nymphal, combined nymphal, and combined immature stages of female
	<i>Liposcelis rufa</i> at constant temperatures
7.	Proportion of <i>Liposcelis rufa</i> nymphs (± SE) surviving to adult62

Table

# Chapter IV

1.	Effects of constant temperatures on <i>Liposcelis rufa</i> preoviposition period (mean $\pm$
	SE), oviposition period, postoviposition period, longevity, fecundity, and the
	percentage of adult lifespan spent in oviposition
2.	Parameters ( $\pm$ SE) describing the effects of constant temperatures on <i>Liposcelis</i>
	rufa preoviposition period, oviposition period, postoviposition period, oviposition
	rate, longevity, fecundity, and the percentage of life spent in ovipostion94

3. Life table parameters (mean ± SE) of *Liposcelis rufa* ......96

### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure

Page

### Chapter III

1.	Development of male <i>Liposcelis rufa</i> at constant temperatures and 75% RH: (A)
	eggs, (B) first, (C) second, and (D) third instars, and (E) combined nymphal and
	(F) combined immature stages64
2.	Development of female <i>Liposcelis rufa</i> at constant temperatures and 75% RH: (A)
	eggs, (B) first, (C) second, and (D) third instars
3.	Development of female <i>Liposcelis rufa</i> at constant temperature and 75% RH: (A)
	fourth instars and (B) combined nymphal and (C) combined immature stages66

### Chapter IV

1.	Oviposition	and survival	of Liposcel	<i>is rufa</i> at c	constant temperatur	es98
----	-------------	--------------	-------------	---------------------	---------------------	------

- 3. Preoviposition period, postoviposition period, oviposition period, longevity, fecundity, and percentage of life spent in oviposition of *Liposcelis rufa* at constant temperatures. Parameters for the fitted lines are in Table 2......100

### **CHAPTER** I

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Psocoptera (psocids) is a relatively small order of insects with about 5,500 species worldwide. In the last two decades, psocids have risen to prominence because species in the genus *Liposcelis* (Liposcelididae) have become serious stored product pests in many parts of the world (Kalinovic et al. 2006, Kučerová 2006, Nayak 2006, Throne et al. 2006, Rees 2008, Ahmedani et al. 2010, Phillips and Throne 2010). Traditionally, psocids have been considered scavengers that fed on molds and were thought to be of negligible importance as pests. Psocids were overlooked because of their small size and due to the presence of more serious coleopteran and lepidopteran stored product pests that influence pest management strategies in stored commodities. However, over the last 20 years they have emerged as serious pests of stored commodities worldwide and recent studies have shown that the status of psocids has changed from nuisance pests to worldwide pests of stored products (Turner 1999, Kučerová 2002, Pascual-Vil-Lalobos et al. 2005, Throne et al. 2006, Opit and Throne 2008, Gautam et al. 2010). For example, prior to the 1990's in Australia and China and before the 2000's in the United States, psocids were not considered pests of serious economic importance (Nayak 2006, Phillips ad Throne 2010).

Most of the psocid species that are pests of stored product commodities are in the family Liposcelididae and belong to genus Liposcelis. These have been reported as serious pests of stored product in several countries around the world including the United States (Lienhard and Smithers 2002, Mockford and Krushelnycky 2008), United Kingdom (Turner 1994), Spain (Pascual-Villalobos et al. 2005), Australia (Rees 1998, 2003, 2008), Mexico (Garcia-Aldrete and Gutierrez Diaz 1995), Portugal (Kučerová 2006), Zimbabwe (Mashaya 2001), and Asian countries like India, Indonesia, China, Malaysia, Singapore, Philippines, and Thailand (Rajendran 1994, Kleih and Pike 1995, Leong and Ho 1995, Wang et al. 1999a, Chin et al. 2010). Psocid species known to infest stored grain in North America (Mockford 1993, Lienhard and Smithers 2002) are; Liposcelis bostrychophila Badonnel (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae), Liposcelis decolor (Pearman), Liposcelis entomophila (Enderlein), Liposcelis paeta Pearman, Liposcelis brunnea Motschulsky, Liposcelis corrodens (Heymons), and Lepinotus reticulatus Enderlein (Psocoptera: Trogiidae). The former four *Liposcelis* species are reported to be a serious problem in Australia (Rees 2008). In addition, *Liposcelis rufa* Broadhead has been found infesting stored wheat in Stillwater, OK, USA (Gautam et al. 2010).

The rise in the pest status of psocids can be attributed to: 1) their varying response to physical and chemical management tactics that are effectively used to control coleopteran pests of stored products, e.g., some psocid species are resistant to residual insecticides and the fumigant phosphine (Nayak et al. 2002a); 2) repeated failure of usual practices of protection and disinfection to control psocids (Wang et al. 1999a, Beckett and Morton 2003, Nayak et al. 2003a, 2003b; Nayak and Daglish 2007); 3) the quantitative loss they cause by feeding on the endosperm and germ (Kučerová 2002); and

4) their ability to deteriorate the quality of stored commodities by the presence of live and dead insects, fecal material, and exuviae and distribution of moulds (Obr 1978, Chin et al. 2010). In addition, the rising consumer concern about quality produce may lead to rejection of commodities destined for export that are infested with psocids; thereby causing economic losses. In countries such as Australia, psocid infested grain is banned for export (Nayak 2006, Nayak 2010). Furthermore, psocids are reported to be associated with health problems because they cause allergic reactions in sensitized people.

As previously mentioned, L. rufa has been found infesting stored commodities in large numbers in Portugal, Australia, and the United States (Kučerová 2006, Rees 2008, Gautam et al. 2010). Faunistic records show that L. rufa was first reported in the United States from Hawaii in 1985 (Mockford and Krushelnycky 2008), yet published studies are lacking for this species. Detailed biological studies have been done on L. reticulatus (Opit and Throne 2008, Opit et al. 2010a), Liposcelis badia Wang, Wang and Lienhard (Jiang et al. 2008), L. bostrychophila (Rees and Walker 1990, Turner 1994, Wang et al 2000), L. brunnea (Opit and Throne 2009), L. decolor (Tang et al. 2008), L. entomophila (Rees and Walker 1990, Leong and Ho 1995, Wang et al. 1998), L. paeta (Rees and Walker 1990, Wang et al. 2009), and *Liposcelis tricolor* Badonnel (Dong et al. 2007). However, there is a dearth of published information on the biology of L. rufa and L corrodens. Increased understanding of the ecology and biology L. rufa is crucial for the development of management strategies against this pest. Therefore, in order to develop effective management strategies for L. rufa, I initiated experiments to elucidate the effects of environmental conditions on its biology and ecology.

### **Objectives**

Psocids have emerged as serious pests of stored commodities in the United States during the last decade. Prior to 2000, there was little published information on the biology and the ecology of psocids (Opit et al. 2010b). However, the recognition of psocids as pests of stored grain and grain processing facilities in the United States (Phillips and Throne, 2010) and the limited amount of published information on their biology prior to the 2000s led USDA-ARS Center for Grain and Animal Health Research (CGAHR), Manhattan, KS, USA to launch ecological studies of psocids infesting stored commodities in the United States in 2004 (Opit et al. 2010b). The only other place that conducts psocid research in the United States is the Stored-Product Entomology Laboratory at Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK, USA (Opit et al. 2010b).

The general lack of information on psocid ecology is partly due to their recent emergence as stored grain pests and their small size. In addition, their taxonomic complexity makes them difficult to identify (Mockford 1971). Furthermore, techniques to perform biological studies that existed prior to 2004 were not user friendly (Opit et al. 2010b). New techniques have since been developed (Opit and Throne 2008). As a result, detailed biological studies have been conducted on several pest species of psocids (see above). However, there is no published information available on the ecology and biology of *L. rufa* which has been reported as a pest of stored wheat in Oklahoma (Gautam et al. 2010). Given this lack of information, I initiated experiments to elucidate the influence of temperature and relative humidity on the population growth of *L. rufa* and the effects of temperature on its development and reproductive parameters. The objectives of my thesis are:

# **Objective 1:**

Determine the effects of constant temperatures and relative humidities on the population

growth of L. rufa.

## **Objective 2:**

Determine the effects of constant temperatures on the development of L. rufa.

# **Objective 3:**

Determine the effects of constant temperatures on the reproductive parameters of L. rufa.

### **CHAPTER II**

#### LITERATURE REVIEW

#### **Psocoptera**

Fossil records indicate that, psocids first originated in the Permian era, about 295-248 million years ago (Christopher 2002). Phylogenetically, psocids (Psocoptera) are related to lice (Phthiraptera), thrips (Thysanoptera), and aphids (Hemiptera) (Lyal 1985). All these orders belong to the super order Paraneoptera, reflecting diverse feeding habits. Among insects in this group, psocids are considered as the most primitive hemipteroids (Lyal 1985). Their name, psocoptera is derived from the Greek word, *psokos* which means gnawed or rubbed, and ptera, which means wings (Ahemdani et al. 2010). Psocids are small (1-6 mm), fast running insects with large heads, prominent black eyes, long antennae, and small thoraces. Body color varies with species, but many are pale yellow with brown abdominal bands (Mockford 1971). They are commonly known as book lice or bark lice, which describes their habitat among old and moldy books, where they feed on fungus growing on the paper and starchy glue used for binding. Despite their common name, which associates them with human head and body lice, psocids are not parasitic in nature. There are more than 5,500 species of psocids from 3 suborders and 41 families distributed all over the world (Ahmedani et al. 2010). Most psocid species are found

outdoors and have well developed wings. They live under the bark of trees and among dead leaves and feed on molds, and are therefore, of little importance as pests. Other psocid species are found indoors, preferring to live in closed building structures. These species, especially *Liposcelis* spp., create problems in domestic conditions (Baz and Monserrat 1999), in grain storage areas (Turner 1994, Rees 2003, Throne et al. 2006), and in museums where they feed on dead specimens (Chin et al. 2010). Although, indoor species of psocids are suspected to feed on molds and fungus, they have been observed feeding on the endosperm and germ of grain kernels (Kučerová 1999, 2002), which have no fungal contamination. Psocids can potentially cause distinct problems for e.g. qualitative degradation of the stored commodities by contamination with live and dead specimen (Obr 1978) and through the transfer of microorganisms (Kalinovic et al. 2006, Beher et al. 2010) and quantitative losses by feeding (Kučerová 1999, 2002) in areas of food and grain storage, and are especially prevalent in hot and humid areas (Wang et al. 1998). However, the psocid pest problem is not confined to tropical areas but exists in temperate regions as well (Opit and Throne 2008).

### **Worldwide Distribution of Psocids**

Psocids are believed to be native to tropical and sub tropical regions of the world, for example Africa. However, reports indicate that they have a cosmopolitan distribution and are continually disseminated throughout the world by means of trade (Kučerová 2006, Rees 2008, Ahemdani et al. 2010). According to Schneider (2010), of the 231 species of psocids found in Europe, 49 are of alien origin adapted to live in indoor habitats where they cause distinct problems as pests. Psocids have cosmopolitan distribution. Infestations of psocids have been reported in stored grains from the United

States (Throne et al. 2006, Gautam et al. 2010), United Kingdom (Turner 1994), Australia (Rees and Walker 2000, Nayak 2006, Rees 2008), Europe (Schneider 2010), China (Wang et al. 1999a, 1999b; Jiang et al. 2008), Czech Republic and Portugal (Kučerová 2006), India and Indonesia (Kleih and Pike 1995), Zimbabwe (Mashaya 2001), and Croatia (Kalinovic et al. 2006). Psocid species that have been found infesting stored grain in the United States are: L. reticulatus, L. bostrychophila, L. decolor, L. entomophila, L. paeta, L. brunnea, and L. corrodens (Mockford 1993, Lienhard and Smithers 2002). In addition, L. rufa has been found infesting stored wheat in Oklahoma (Gautam et al. 2010). According to Ahemdani et al. (2010), besides the eight species of psocids already listed, seven other species infest stored commodities in the United States which includes: Lepinotus inquilinus Heyden (Trogiidae), Lepinotus patruelis Pearman, Trogium pulsatorium Linnaeus (Trogiidae), Lachesilla pedicularia Linnaeus (Psocoptera: Lachesillidae), Liposcelis divinatorius Muller, Liposcelis mendax Pearman, Liposcelis terricolis Badonnel. According to Rees (2008), eleven species of psocids, eight of which are *Liposcelis* spp., are reported as of grain storage in Australia. In addition, seventeen species of psocids are reported from Croatia, where L. decolor is the most encountered species along with nine species from the family Liposcelididae (Kalinovic et al. 2006).

### Psocids as pests in stored grains

The small size of stored grain psocids ( $\approx 1 \text{ mm}$ ), the manner in which they feed on grain, and the presence of more damaging stored product pest have limited their perceived importance as pests (Nayak 2006, Jiang et al. 2008). Several reports published during the 1990s and the 2000s have elucidated their status as an additional threat to global food security (Nayak 2006, Throne et al. 2006, Rees 2008, Phillips and Throne

2010). L. entomophila and L. decolor are the predominant species infesting stored wheat in the United States (Throne et al. 2006, Opit et al. 2009a). On the other hand, L. *bostrychophila* along with *L. decolor* are the predominant species infesting stored barley, wheat, and lupins in Australia followed by L. entomophila, and L. paeta (Rees 2008). In Portugal, L. decolor and L. entomophila are the most encountered species on stored rice, followed by L. tricolor, L. rufa, and L. bostrychophila (Kučerová 2006). In other countries such as Britain, Denmark, and the Netherlands, L. bostrychophila is a major pest in households (Turner 1986). Seasonal fluctuations of temperature and relative humidity may be key factors determining the species composition in storage areas (Mashaya 2001, Opit et al. 2009b). Psocid infestations usually involve a couple of species or multiple species of *Liposcelis* and/ or *Lepinotus* spp.; however, there are cases of only single species infestation (Opit et al. 2009b). It is likely there are other psocid species infesting stored grain that have not been documented. This observation is supported by the fact that L. rufa, which was previously not considered a pest (Mockford and Krushelnycky 2008), was recently found infesting stored wheat in Oklahoma (Gautam et al. 2010). However, before this, it had also been found in stored rice in Portugal (Kučerová 2006) and in imported wood in Australia (Rees 2008). It is very likely that L. rufa has been infesting stored commodities for a long time before these preceding recent reports.

#### **Economic importance of Psocids**

#### The rise of psocids to prominence

Despite the fact that psocids have been associated with stored commodities for a long time, they were regarded as secondary pests of low economic importance until the 1990's in Australia and China and the 2000's in the United States (Phillips and Throne 2010). At present, psocids are one of the most frequently encountered stored product pests in certain parts of some countries such as Australia (Rees 2003). Differential response of psocid species to the most commonly used chemicals for the control of stored product pests is a possible key contributing factor to their rise to prominence. For example, several species of psocids have developed resistance to phosphine; the most commonly used stored grain fumigant (Nayak et al. 2003a, 2003b). Physiological and behavioral peculiarities have provided psocids with tools to fight chemical treatments, for example, their ability to delay egg hatch in phosphine-rich environments and the ease with which they move out of storage structures that are under fumigation allows them to avoid exposure to grain protectants (Nayak et al. 2003a, Phillips and Throne 2010). Another factor thought to contribute to the increasing prominence of psocids is the fact that most pesticides used for the control of stored product pests are effective against coleopteran pests, which predate on psocids, but are not effective against psocids. This frees the psocids from predation and competition thereby contributing to population explosions (CSIRO 2004). The fact that commodities infested by psocids can be rejected for export (Kučerová 2002, Nayak 2006, 2010) has also contributed to their increased prominence. There are other attributes of psocids that make them serious pests. For example, some species are parthenogenetic, with an ability to survive for long periods

without food (Turner and Maude-Roxby 1988), and the high reproductive capacity (Opit et al. 2010a) facilitates colonization and establishment in new habitats.

#### Quantitative losses caused by psocids

Psocids are documented as being predominant in moist and damp habitats, which are favorable for fungal growth (Mashaya 2001). However, recent literature suggests that psocids can thrive in less than damp and moist environments (Opit et al. 2009a, 2009b; Gautam et al. 2010, Opit et al. 2010b). According to Kučerová (1999), psocids feed and thrive on germ and endosperm which do not have any fungal contamination. Psocids appear to have a preference for germ but will also feed on the soft endosperm of damaged or cracked kernels (Kučerová 1999). As a result of harvesting and handling operations, stored grain has plenty of damaged kernels which provide an ideal environment for the psocids to multiply (Rees 2008); in fact, cracked (damaged) wheat has been demonstrated to increase progeny production (Athanassiou et al. 2010a). Large populations of psocids, typical of those found in grain storages during certain times of the year, have been reported to cause considerable weight loss in grain by feeding on the endosperm and germ (Kučerová 2002). McFarlane (1982) recorded weight losses of up to 4-5% caused by L. bostrychophila feeding on rice after 6 months of storage. Pike (1994) also reported 2.9% weight loss in lightly milled rice after 3.5 months of *L. paeta* infestation. A study by Kučerová (2002) showed that L. bostrychophila causes weight losses of up to 9.7% in cracked wheat kernels after 3 months infestation. Besides negatively impacting quantitative aspects of grain, psocids also cause qualitative loss. Psocid infested products are of low economic value, due to contamination by live and dead specimens, cast skin, and feces (Kučerová 2002), and by the presence of microorganism (Obr 1978).

#### Health concerns and safety hazards associated with psocids

Psocids can multiply rapidly resulting in large populations under favorable environmental conditions (Opit and Throne 2008, 2009, Gautam et al. 2010, Opit et al. 2010b). Psocid numbers in grain storages can become so large that they appear like brown carpets on surfaces. Populations this large cause discomfort among store-house workers by crawling on them. They are also a safety hazard because they create slippery condition on floors used by workers. Psocids are documented to cause health problems in humans. Turner and Ali (1996) demonstrated that at least 5% of allergy patients exhibited strong positive reactions to the *L. bostrychophila* antigen. Psocids may not be responsible for allergies only, Beher et al. (2010), demonstrated that L. bostrychophila is capable of harboring and transmitting *Rickettsia felis*, bacteria that causes typhus-like fever in humans. Psocids may also affect human health by being "ectoparasites". Lin et al. (2004) trapped L. bostrychophila abandoning patient's nail infected with onychomycosis, fungal infection of nail which causes nail hardening (Rehmus 2009). Psocids have also been reported to act as intermediate hosts by consuming tapeworm eggs, harboring the larvae inside their gut, and disseminating the parasites in their environment (Turner 1994). In some studies, psocids that fed on disease causing fungi were found capable of disseminating these pathogens (Kalinovic et al. 2006). Much more extensive research on psocid-associated health problems needs to be conducted to confirm their role as a vector of human and animal diseases.

### Management of psocids

Compared to the major stored-product beetle pests, little research has been conducted on the ecology and biology of stored-product psocids. In addition, many of the studies conducted on stored-product psocids have focused on their management with a few studies on their ecology and biology. Moreover, management studies have been particularly focused on *L. bostrychophila*, *L. decolor*, *L. entomophila*, and *L. paeta*, which are reported to be the most encountered species in stored commodities in country such as Australia (Rees 2008). Phosphine, which is a widely used fumigant for the control stored-product pests, has been demonstrated to effectively control psocids if applied to grain in a fully sealed system (Nayak et al. 1998, CSIRO-SGRL 2003). However, efficiency is reduced because many systems are leaky. According to Nayak et al. (2002a, 2002b), resistance to phosphine builds rapidly and high levels of resistance to phosphine have been detected in psocids infesting stored commodities in Australia. In many cases, phosphine has failed to control psocids (Rees 1998).

Organophosphates such as fenitrothion and diazinon are highly effective (Turner 1988), however carbamates and pyrethroid insecticides are not (Turner 1994). Athanassiou et al. (2010) demonstrated approximately 100% mortality when a combination of chlorpyriphos-methyl and deltamethrin was used against *L. bostrychophila*, *L. decolor*, *L. entomophila*, and *L. paeta*. According to Nayak (2010), synergized pyrethrin (Piperonyl butoxide) provided up to 3 months protections for these species of psocid on treated grain (6 mgkg<sup>-1</sup>). Carbamates in combinations with organophosphate insecticides (azamethiphos, chlorpyrifos-methyl, or pirimiphos-methyl) applied as structural treatments are reported to provide long-term protection of up to 40

weeks (Nayak et al. 2003b), whereas carbamate with fenitrothion gives a shorter protection of up to 8 weeks against *L. bostrychophila*, *L. entomophila*, and *L. paeta*. Nicotinoids such as imidacloprid are effective against *L. bostrychophila*, *L. decolor*, *L. entomophila*, and *L. paeta* (Nayak and Daglish 2007), but the high application rate of 10 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> required makes it more suitable as a seed treatment rather than a grain protectant.

Spinosad is a newly developed bacterium-derived protectant that can be effectively used to manage *Rhyzopertha dominica* and *Cryptolestes ferrugineus* (Subramanyam et al. 2007). However, spinosad has been shown to be ineffective against *L. bostrychophila*, *L. decolor*, *L. entomophila*, and *L. paeta* on wheat (Nayak and Daglish 2007). A combined treatment of 1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> spinosad plus 10 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> chlorpyrifos-methyl can control all the four *Liposcelis* species, but the high application rate of 10 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of chlorpyrifos methyl may restrict its use to seed treatments only (Nayak and Daglish 2007). The insect growth regulator fenoxycarb effectively reduced progeny production of *L bostrychophila* at low doses and seems to be effective against psocids (Buchi 1994). According to Wei et al. (2002), controlled atmosphere (35% CO<sub>2</sub> and 1% O<sub>2</sub>) and organophosphate insecticides (e.g. dichlorvos) slows the development of resistance by psocids to both tactics, and also provides a significant increase in mortality compared with using these procedure individually. However, according to Wang et al. (1999a) psocids are capable of developing resistance to controlled atmosphere.

Psocids are external feeding stored product pests which can be effectively controlled using cultural management practices. Good sanitation, such as empty bin clean-up and disinfestations using fumigants or residual pesticides could ensure that psocid numbers are at extremely low levels when storages are filled with grain or other

commodities. Removal of grain debris eliminates an ideal environment for the development of early populations and may play a key role in the management of psocids (Rees 2008). Psocids flourish in environments where relative humidities are high (> 63% RH) but do not survive below 55% RH (Opit and Throne 2008, Opit and Throne 2009, Gautam et al. 2010). Physical control through, for example, lowering of relative humidity slows the population growth, development, and multiplication of psocids (Opit and Throne 2008, Opit and Throne 2009, Gautam et al. 2010). Reduction of relative humidity levels in grain storages can be achieved through storage of low moisture content grain and ensuring there are no water leaks.

Beckett and Morton (2003) have demonstrated that psocids are considerably more vulnerable to heat disinfestation at moderately elevated temperatures ( $45-55^{\circ}C$ ) than other stored product insect pests, for example *R. dominica* and *S. oryzae*. Therefore, heat disinfestation appears to hold some promise for the control of psocids. This could especially be used in the disinfestation of empty storage structures before they are filled with grain or other commodities.

#### **Psocid ecological studies**

A few detailed studies have been conducted on the biology of psocids (Fahy 1971, Khalafalla 1990, Wang et al. 1999b, Wang et al. 2000; Wang et al. 2001). Moreover, many of these studies have been conducted outside North America and have focused on *L. bostrychophila*. These three preceding facts and the recognition of psocids as pests of stored grain and grain processing facilities in the United States (Phillips and Throne 2010) led the USDA-ARS Center for Grain and Animal Health Research (CGAHR), Manhattan, KS, USA initiate ecological studies of psocids infesting stored commodities

in the United States in 2004. The Stored-Product Entomology Laboratory at Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK, USA has since joined CGAHR in conducting psocid research in the United States.

The fact that psocids were considered mere nuisance pests until the 1990s was probably partly responsible for the limited amount of published information available on their biology. The small size of psocids ( $\approx$ 1 mm) and the difficulty handling and identifying them could also be other contributing factors. Furthermore, the techniques used to conduct biological studies on psocids prior to 2004 were laborious, imprecise, and not user friendly. The aforementioned studies (Fahy 1971, Khalafalla 1990, Wang et al. 1999b, Wang et al. 2000, Wang et al. 2001) mostly investigated the effects on physical conditions on the development and population dynamics of psocids. These studies showed that psocids do not survive at relative humidities (RH) below 60% and thrive at temperatures of 30 to 33°C. Other studies have also found the optimal temperature for development of the *Liposcelis* spp. to be between 30 and 35°C, at which is development completed in 21 to 30 days (Opit and Throne 2008, 2009; Gautam et al. 2010, Opit unpublished data).

In recent years several studies have been conducted on the biology of stored product psocids, and the species investigated were *L. brunnea*, *L. rufa*, *L. pearmani*, and *L. reticulatus* (Opit and Throne 2008, 2009; Gautam et al. 2010, Opit et al. 2010a, 2010b). Specifically, these studies have investigated the effects of temperature and relative humidity on population growth, developmental, and reproductive parameters of these four species.

#### Effects of Temperature and Relative Humidity on Population Growth.

L. brunnea, L. rufa, L. pearmani, and L. reticulatus will not survive at 43% RH. At 55% RH, L. reticulatus will not survive; L. rufa and L. pearmani will survive between 22.5 and 30°C; and L. brunnea between 22.5 and 35°C. At 63% and 75% RH, L. pearmani and L. brunnea will not survive above 35°C. L. rufa will not survive at 40°C and 63% RH but will survive at 40°C and 75% RH (Opit and Throne 2008, 2009; Gautam et al. 2010, Opit et al. 2010b). Of these four species, L. reticulatus appears to survive under the narrowest set of conditions and appears suited to cooler and more humid conditions. L. brunnea and L. pearmani appear capable of surviving under a much wider range of conditions ranging from 22.5 to 35°C and 55% to 75% RH (Opit and Throne 2009, Opit et al. 2010b). The ability of *L. rufa* to multiply at 55% RH, at temperatures of 22.5, 25, 27.5, and 30.0°C may allow it to thrive under conditions of low relative humidity where other *Liposcelis* species may not. In addition, its ability to multiply rapidly at high temperatures of 35 and 37.5°C and 75% RH may allow it to thrive at temperatures too high for most *Liposcelis* species. Therefore, *L. rufa* appears to have the widest distribution of these four species (Gautam et al 2010). Optimal breeding conditions for L. brunnea, L. rufa, L. pearmani, and L. reticulatus were 32.5°C and 63% RH; 35°C and 75% RH; 32.5°C and 75% RH; and 30 and 32.5°C and 75% RH, respectively. Starting from an initial population of five females each, populations of these psocid species grew by 17-, 73-, 31-, and 21-fold, respectively, under optimal conditions (L. reticulatus populations increased over a 46-d period whereas those of the other three species was over a 30-d period). Among these species, L. rufa populations grew fastest. Another fact to note here is *L. reticulatus* is parthenogenetic species while others are not.

Based on the studies cited, the predicted size of the range of the four species in declining order would be: *L. rufa, L. brunnea* and *L. pearmani*, and *L. reticulatus*.

#### Effects of Temperature on Development.

Temperatures have been shown to have no effect on egg viability of L. rufa, L. *brunnea*, and *L. reticulatus*. Average percentages of viable eggs across all temperatures that were tested (22.5, 25.0, 27.5, 30.0, 32.5, 35.0, 37.5, and 40.0°C) were 90, 87, and 80%, respectively. Nymphal survivorship averaged 78, 63, and 36%, respectively. The low nymphal survivorship for L. brunnea and L. reticulatus may be due to the susceptibility of nymphs to handling or that the relative humidity in which the development studies were conducted (75%) was not the optimal RH for these species. The fact that *L. brunnea* populations were higher at 63% RH than at 75% RH appears to support this explanation (Opit and Throne 2009). The shortest development times for the egg and combined nymphal and combined immature stages of L. rufa females generally occurred at higher temperatures compared to L. brunnea and L. reticulatus (Opit et al. 2010b). This observation further shows that L. rufa is adapted to surviving in relatively high temperature environments. Prior to ecological studies on L. rufa, the only two *Liposcelis* species that were known to survive well under relatively high temperatures were *Liposcelis paeta* (Wang et al. 2009) and *L. decolor* (Tang et al. 2008). In its development from egg to adult, *L. reticulatus*, which is a parthenogenetic species, has four instars (Opit et al. 2010a). Exuviae consumption after molting has been found to be quite common in L. reticulatus. In the case of L. rufa and L. brunnea, which are described from both males and females, there were at least two different numbers of instars for males and three different numbers of instars for females; exuviae consumption in these species is not as common as in *L. reticulatus*. In *L. reticulatus* where exuviae consumption is common, the general trend is that development is slower for psocids that did not eat exuviae. The possible reason for this could lie in the nutritional status of exuviae. Lipids and nitrogenous compounds (protein and chitin) can account for as much as 4.4% (Nelson and Sukkestad 1975) and 87% (Mira 2000), respectively, of the total weight of insect exuvia. Therefore, eating exuviae would be beneficial to psocids.

#### Effects of Temperature on Reproductive Parameters of L. reticulatus.

All reproductive parameters were found to vary with temperature. Intrinsic rate of population increase for *L. reticulatus* increased with temperature until 32.5°C (0.128) and then declined. If the intrinsic rate of increase at 32.5°C is considered the optimal fitness of 1, then the fitness of *L. reticulatus* at 22.5, 25, 27.5, 30, and 35°C equals 0.52, 0.70, 0.84, 0.87, and 0.84, respectively. Highest intrinsic rates of increase for *Liposcelis badia* (Jiang et al. 2008), *L. bostrychophila* (Wang et al. 2000), *L. decolor* (Tang et al. 2008), *L. paeta* (Wang et al. 2009), and *Liposcelis tricolor* Badonnel (Dong et al. 2007) occurred at 27.5, 30, 32.5, 32.5, and 30°C, respectively. Intrinsic rates of increase at these temperatures were 0.0455, 0.0946, 0.0609, 0.0542, and 0.0367, respectively. At optimal temperatures for intrinsic rate of increase, *L. reticulatus* has the highest potential for population growth among these psocid species.

All reproductive parameters varied with temperature (preoviposition period, oviposition period, postoviposition period, oviposition rate [eggs/female/wk], and longevity) and regression equations described the relationship between temperature and each of the reproductive parameters quite well (Opit et al. 2010a). *L. reticulatus* 

oviposition period and longevity declined with increasing temperature (Opit et al. 2010a). A possible explanation for this may be that the higher egg maturation rates that occur at higher temperatures are associated with an overall higher metabolism which could reduce the life span (Papaj 2000, Jervis et al. 2005). At higher temperatures, they may also be allocating significantly more energy resources to egg production than maintenance of body functions thereby resulting in reduced performance and survival (Papaj 2000, Carey 2001, Jervis et al. 2005, 2007). It is plausible that at 22.5 and 35°C, *L. reticulatus* has a proportionately shorter egg laying period than at optimal temperatures because of the diversion of resources from egg production and maturation that may occur at these suboptimal temperatures, for example, resources could be diverted to maintenance of body functions other than reproduction.

Postoviposition periods for temperatures of 22.5, 25, and 27.5°C are longer than preoviposition periods; at temperatures of 30, 32.5, and 35°C they were either similar or shorter (Opit et al. 2010a). Preoviposition period declined with temperature most probably due to already stated reasons related to resource allocation, egg production, and egg maturation. Postoviposition also showed the same trend except there was an increase in the postoviposition period at 35°C for the same reasons.

#### **References Cited**

Ahmedani, M. S., N. Shagufta, M. Aslam, and S. A. Hussnain. 2010. Psocid: A new risk for global food security and safety. Appl. Entomol. Zool. 45: 89-100.

Athanassiou, C. G., G. P. Opit, and J. E. Throne. 2010a. Influence of Commodity Type, Percentage of Cracked Kernels, and Wheat Class on Population Growth of Stored-Product Psocids (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae). J. Econ. Entomol. 103: 985-990.

Athanassiou, C. G., F. H. Arthur, J. E. Throne, G. P. Opit, M. M. Hasan, M. J.

Aikins, T. W. Phillips, N. G. Kavallieratos. 2010b. Efficacy of insecticides for control

of stored-product psocid, pp: 805-814. In: Carvalho, O.M, P. G. Fields, C. S. Adler, F. H.

Arthur, C. G. Athanassiou, J. F. Campbell, F. Fleurat-Lessard, P. W. Flinn, R. J. Hodges,

A. A. Isikber, S. Navarro, R. T. Noyes, J. Riudavets, K. K. Sinha, G. R. Thorpe, B. H.

Timlick, P. Trematerra, N. D. G. White (Eds), Proceedings of the 10<sup>th</sup> International

Working Conference on Stored Product Protection, 27 June-2 July 2010, Estoril,

Portugal, Julius-Kuhn-Archiv, Berlin, Germany, DOI: 10.5073/jka.2010.425.257.

**Baz, A. and V. J. Monserrat. 1999**. Distribution of domestic Psocoptera in Madrid apartments. Med and Vet. Entomol. 13: 259-264.

**Beckett, S. J., and R. Morton. 2003**. The mortality of three species of Psocoptera, *Liposcelis bostrychophila* Badonnel, *Liposcelis decolor* Pearman, and *Liposcelis paeta* Pearman, at moderately elevated temperatures. J. Stored Prod. Res. 39: 103-347.

**Behar, A., E. Mockford, G. Opit, and S. Perlman. 2010.** Not necessary after all: Ecology of Rickettsia felis in the common household pest *Liposcelis bostrychophila* (Psocoptera: Liposcelidae). Mol. Eco. (in press). **Bucci, R. 1994.** Effects of two insect growth regulators on the booklouse *Liposcelis bostrychophila*. J. Stored Prod. Res. 30: 157-161.

Carey, J. R. 2001. Insect biodemography. Annu. Rev. Entomol.46: 79-110.

Chin, H. C., J. Jeffery, N. W. Ahmad, H. S. Kiang, B. Omar, H. Othman, and L. H.

Lim. 2010. First Report of Liposcelis bostrychophila Badonnel (Psocoptera:

Liposcelidae) as a Museum Insect Pest in Malaysia. Sains Malaysiana 39: 329-331.

**Christopher, O. T. 2002.** Firefly Encyclopedia of Insects and Spiders, pp-240. Firefly books, Willow dale, ON.

**CSIRO Stored Grain Research Laboratory. 2003**. Psocids march into storage history. Outturn, winter/spring 2003.

CSIRO. 2004. http://sgrl.csiro.au/comm/outturn/outturn edition01.pdf

**Dong, P., J. J. Wang, F. X. Jia, and F. Hu. 2007.** Development and reproduction of the psocid *Liposcelis tricolor* (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) as a function of temperature. Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 100: 228-235.

**Fahy, E.D. 1971**. Some factors in the ecology and dispersal of *Lepinotus patruelis* Pearman (Psocoptera), a pest of stored products. J. Stored Prod. Res. 7: 107-123.

Garcia-Aldrete, A. N., and L. J. Gutierrez Diaz. 1995. Species of psocids

(Psocoptera), associated with stored grains in Mexico. Anales Intstituto Biologia,

Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico, Servicio, Zoologia 66: 47-51.

Gautam, S. G., G. P. Opit, and K. L. Giles. 2010. Population Growth and Development of the Psocid *Liposcelis rufa* (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) at Constant Temperatures and Relative Humidities. J. Econ. Entomol. 103: 1920-1928.

Jervis, M. A., C. L. Boggs, and P. N. Ferns. 2005. Egg maturation strategy and its associated trade-offs: a synthesis focusing on Lepidoptera. Ecol. Entomol. 30: 359-375.

Jervis, M. A., P. N. Ferns, and C. L. Boggs. 2007. A trade-off between female lifespan and larval diet breadth at the interspecific level in Lepidoptera. Evol. Ecol. 30: 359-375.

Jiang, H., J. Liu, Z. I. Wang, and J. Wang. 2008. Temperature-dependent development and reproduction of a novel stored product psocid, *Liposcelis badia* (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae). Environ. Entomol. 37: 1105-1112.

Kalinovic I., V. Rozman, and A. Liska. 2006. Significance and feeding of psocids
(Liposcelididae, Psocoptera) with microorganisms, pp. 1087-1094. *In* I. Lorini, B.
Bacaltchuk, H. Beckel, D. Deckers, E. Sundfeld, J. P. dos Santos, J. D. Biagi, J. C.
Celaro, L. R. D'A. Faroni, L de O. F. Bortolini, M. R. Sartori, M. C. Elias, R.N.C.
Guedes, R. G. da Fonseca, and V. M. Scussel (Eds.). Proceedings of the 9<sup>th</sup> International
Working Conference on Stored Product Protection, October 15-18, 2006, Campinas, São
Paulo, Brazil. Brazilian Post-harvest Association – ABRAPOS, Campinas, Brazil.
Brazilian Post-harvest Association – Associação Brasileira de Pós-Colheita (ABRAPOS),
Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil.

**Khalafalla, S.A. 1990.** Biological studies of a parthenogenetic psocid, *Liposcelis obscurus* Broadhead (Liposcelidae, Psocoptera). Bull. Entomol. Society of Egypt 69: 111-121.

Kleih, U., and V. Pike. 1995. Economic assessment of psocid infestations in rice storage. Trop. Sci. 35: 280-289.

**Kučerová**, **Z. 1999.** Vulnerability of wheat varieties to stored-product psocids. pp 1251-1254. *In* Z. Jin, Q. Liang, Y. Liang, X. Tan, and L. Guan (Eds.), Proceedings of the 7<sup>th</sup> International Working Conference on Stored Product Protection Beijing, China . Sichuan Publishing House of Science and Technology, Chengdu, China.

Kučerová, Z. 2002. Weight losses of wheat grains caused by psocid infestation

(Liposcelis bostrychophila: Liposcelididae: Psocoptera). Plant Prot. Sci. 38: 103-107.

Kučerová, Z., M. O. Carvalho, and V. Stejskal. 2006. Faunistic records of new stored product psocids (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) for Portugal. pp. 1104-1107. *In* I. Lorini, B. Bacaltchuk, H. Beckel, D. Deckers, E. Sundfeld, J. P. dos Santos, J. D. Biagi, J. C. Celaro, L. R. D'A. Faroni, L de O. F. Bortolini, M. R. Sartori, M. C. Elias, R.N.C.

Guedes, R. G. da Fonseca, and V. M. Scussel (Eds.). Proceedings of the 9<sup>th</sup> International Working Conference on Stored Product Protection, October 15-18, 2006, Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil. Brazilian Post-harvest Association – ABRAPOS, Campinas, Brazil.

Brazilian Post-harvest Association – Associação Brasileira de Pós-Colheita (ABRAPOS), Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil.

Leong, E. C., and S. H. Ho. 1995. Life cycle of *Liposcelis entomophila* (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) and culturing regime for Liposcelids. Bull. Entomol. Res. 85:501-506.

Lienhard, C., and N. Smithers. 2002. Psocoptera (Insecta) world catalogue and bibliography. Instrumenta Biodiversitatis V, Museum d'histoire naturelle, Genève, Switzerland.

Lin, Y. C., M. L. Chan, C. W. Ko, and M. Y. Hsieh 2004. Nail infestation by *Liposcelis bostrychophila* Badonnel. Clin. Exp. Dermatol. 29:620-621.

**Lyal, C. H. C., 1985**. Phylogeny and classification of the Psocodea, with particular reference to the lice (Psocodea: Phthiraptera). Sys. Entomol. 10: 145-165.

Mashaya, N. 2001. Influence of ambient temperature and relative humidity on changes in numbers of a natural population of *Liposcelis entomophila* (Enderlein) (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae). J. Stored Prod. Res. 37: 1-12.

Mcfarlane, J. A. 1982. Damage to milled rice by psocids. Trop. Stored Prod. Inf. 44: 3-10.

Mira, A. 2000. Exuviae eating: a nitrogen meal? J. Insect Physiol. 46: 605-610.

**Mockford E., 1971**. A new species of *Liposcelis* (Psocoptera, Liposcelidae) from North America with records of another species. J. Nat. Hist, 5: 263-270.

**Mockford, E. L. 1993.** North American Psocoptera. The Sandhill Crane Press, Inc., Gainesville, FL.

Mockford, E. L. and P. D. Krushelnycky. 2008. New species and records of *Liposcelis* Motschulsky (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) from Hawaii with first description of the male of *Liposcelis bostrychophila* Badonnel. Zootaxa. 1766: 53-68.

Nayak, M. K. 2006. Psocid and mite pests of stored commodities: small but formidable enemies, pp. 1061-1073. *In* I. Lorini, B. Bacaltchuk, H. Beckel, D. Deckers, E. Sundfeld, J. P. dos Santos, J. D. Biagi, J. C. Celaro, L. R. D'A. Faroni, L de O. F. Bortolini, M. R. Sartori, M. C. Elias, R.N.C. Guedes, R. G. da Fonseca, and V. M. Scussel (Eds.), Proceedings of the 9th International Working Conference on Stored Product Protection, October 15-18, 2006, Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil. Brazilian Post-harvest Association – Associação Brasileira de Pós-Colheita (ABRAPOS), Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil.

Nayak, M. K. 2010. Potential of piperonyl butoxide-synergised pyrethrins against

psocids Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) for stored-grain protection.

(www.interscience.wiley.com) DOI 10.1002/ps.1873.

Nayak, M. K., and G. J. Daglish. 2007. Combined treatments of spinosad and chlorpyrifos-methyl for management of resistant psocid pests (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) of stored grain. Pest Manag. Sci. 63:104-109.

Nayak, M. K., P. J. Collins, and S. R. Reid. 1998. Efficacy of grain protectants and phosphine against *Liposcelis bostrychophila*, *L. entomophila*, *and L. paeta* (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae). J. Econ. Entomol. 91: 1208-1212.

Nayak, M. K., P. J. Collins, and H. Pavic. 2002a. Resistance to phosphine in psocids: Challenges ahead!, pp. 113-118. In E. J. Wright, H. J. Banks, and E. Highley (Eds.), Proceedings of the 2nd Australian Postharvest Technical Conference, August 1-4, 2000, Adelaide, Australia. Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization -CSIRO, Adelaide, Australia.

Nayak, M. K., P. J. Collins, and H. Pavic. 2002b. Long-term effectiveness of grain protectants and structural treatments against *Liposcelis decolor* (Pearman) (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae), a pest of stored products. Pest Manag. Sci. 58: 1223-1228.

Nayak, M. K., P. J. Collins, H. Pavic, and R. A. Kopittke. 2003a. Inhibition of egg development by phosphine in the cosmopolitan pest of stored products *Liposcelis bostrychophila* (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae). Pest Manag. Sci. 59: 1191-1196.

Nayak, M. K., P. J. Collins, H. Pavic, and R. A. Kopittke. 2003b. Residual toxicities and persistence of organophosphorus insecticides mixed with carbaryl as structural treatments against three liposcelidid psocid species (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) infesting stored grain. J. Stored Prod. Res. 39: 343-353.

Nelson, D. R., and D. R. Sukkestad. 1975. Normal and branched alkanes from cast skins of the grasshopper *Schistocerca vaga* (Scudder). J. Lipid Res. 80: 353-358.

**Obr, S. 1978.** Psocoptera of food-processing plants and storages, dwellings and collections of natural objects in Czechoslovakia. Acta Entomol. Bohemoslov. 75: 226-242.

**Opit, G. P., and J. E. Throne. 2008.** Population growth and development of the psocid *Lepinotus reticulatus* at constant temperatures and relative humidities. J. Econ. Entomol. 101: 605-615.

**Opit, G. P., and J. E. Throne. 2009.** Population growth and development of the psocid *Liposcelis brunnea* (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) at constant temperatures and relative humidities. J. Econ. Entomol. 102: 1360-1368.

**Opit, G. P., J. E. Throne, and P. W. Flinn. 2009a.** Evaluation of Five Sampling Methods for the Psocids *Liposcelis entomophila* and *L. decolor* (Psocoptera:

Liposcelididae) in Steel Bins Containing Wheat. J. Econ. Entomol. 102: 1377-1382.

**Opit, G. P., J. E. Throne, and P. W. Flinn. 2009b.** Temporospatial Distribution of the Psocids *Liposcelis entomophila* and *L. decolor* (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) in Steel Bins Containing Wheat. J. Econ. Entomol. 102: 1369-1376.

**Opit, G. P., J. E. Throne, and M. E. Payton. 2010**. Reproductive Parameters of the Parthenogenetic Psocid *Lepinotus reticulatus* (Psocoptera: Trogiidae) at Constant Temperatures. Environ. Entomol. 39: 1004-1011.

**Opit, G.P., S.G. Gautam, B.A. Aminatou, and J.E. Throne. 2010.** Ecological studies of the psocids *Liposcelis brunnea, L. rufa, L. pearmani,* and *Lepinotus reticulatus,* pp: 173-179. *In*: Carvalho, O.M, Fields, P.G., Adler, C.S., Arthur, F.H., Athanassiou, C.G., Campbell, J.F., Fleurat-Lessard, F., Flinn, P.W., Hodges, R.J., Isikber, A.A. Navarro, S., Noyes, R.T., Riudavets, J., Sinha, K.K., Thorpe, G.R., Timlick, B.H., Trematerra, P.,

White, N.D.G. (Eds), Proceedings of the Tenth International Working Conference on Stored Product Protection, 27 June-2 July, 2010, Estoril, Portugal, Julius-Kuhn-Archiv, Berlin, Germany.

Papaj, D. R. 2000. Ovarian dynamics and host use. Ann. Rev. Entomol. 45: 423-448.
Pascual-Vil-Lalobos, M. J., A. Baz, and P. Del Estal. 2005. Occurrence of psocids and natural predators on organic rice Calasparra (Murcia, Spain). J. Stored Prod. Res. 41: 231-235.

**Pike, V. 1994.** Impact of milling degree on *Liposcelis paeta* population growth rate and assessment of milled rice weight loss due to infestation. Crop Protect. 13: 425-428.

**Phillips, T.W., and J. E. Throne. 2010.** Biorational approaches to managing stored-product insects. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 55: 375-397.

Rajendran, S. 1994. Psocids in food commodities and their control. Pestology. 28:14-19.Rees, D. P. 1998. Psocids as pests of Australian grain storages, pp. 46–51. *In* H. J. Banks,

E. J. Wright, and K. A. Damcevski (eds.), Stored Grain in Australia. Proceedings of the Australian Postharvest Technical Conference, May 26–29, 1998. Canberra, Australia.

**Rees, D. P. 2003.** Psocoptera (psocids) as pests of bulk grain storage in Australia: a cautionary tale to industry and researchers, pp. 59-64. *In* P. F. Credland, D. M. Armitage, C. H. Bell, P. M. Cogan, and E. Highley (eds.), Advances in stored product protection, Proceedings of the 8th International Working Conference on Stored Product Protection, 22-26 July 2002, York, UK. CAB International, Wallingford, UK.

**Rees, D. 2008**. Distribution and status of Psocoptera infesting stored products in Australia, Proceeding of the 6<sup>th</sup> International Working Conference on Stored-product Protection, Dec 16. pp 570-582. *In* Highley, E.; Wright, E. J.; Banks, H. J.; Champ, B. R.
(Eds.), Stored Product Protection, Proceedings of the 6th International Working Conference on Stored-Product Protection, 17-23 April 1994, Canberra, Australia. CAB International, Wallingford, United Kingdom, 1994. (ISBN 0851989322).

**Rees, D. P., and A. J. Walker. 1990.** The effect of temperature and relative humidity on population growth of three *Liposcelis* species infesting stored products in tropical countries. Bull. Entomol. Res. 80: 353-358.

**Rhemus, W. E. 2009**. Onychomycosis. The Merek Manuals, Online Medical Library. http://www.merck.com/mmpe/sec10/ch125/ch125c.html

Schneider, N. 2010. Psocids (Psocoptera) Chapter 13.2. Biorisk. 4: 793-2010

Subramanyam, B., M. D. Toews, K. E. Ileleji, D. E. Maier, G. D. Thompson, and T. J. Pitts. 2007. Evaluation of spinosad as a grain protectant on three Kansas farms. Crop Protection 26: 1021-1030.

Tang, P. A., J. J. Wang, Y. He, H. B. Jiang, and Z. Y. Wang. 2008. Development, Survival, and Reproduction of the Psocid *Liposcelis decolor* (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) at Constant Temperatures. Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 101: 1017-1025.

Throne J. E., G. P. Opit, and P. W. Flinn. 2006. Seasonal distribution of psocids in stored wheat, pp. 1095-1103. *In* I. Lorini, B. Bacaltchuk, H. Beckel, D. Deckers, E. Sundfeld, J. P. dos Santos, J. D. Biagi, J. C. Celaro, L. R. D'A. Faroni, L de O. F. Bortolini, M. R. Sartori, M. C. Elias, R.N.C. Guedes, R. G. da Fonseca, and V. M. Scussel (Eds.), Proceedings of the 9th International Working Conference on Stored Product Protection, October 15-18, 2006, Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil. Brazilian Postharvest Association – Associação Brasileira de Pós-Colheita (ABRAPOS), Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil.

Turner, B. 1986. What's moving in muesli? New Science 1513 (19 June), 43-45.

**Turner, B.D. 1988.** Psocids: A problem to control, pp. F1-F10, in Pest Control Without Pesticides: Proceedings of a Symposium of the Society of Food Hygiene Technology, Huddersfield, UK.

Turner, B. D. 1994. *Liposcelis bostrychophila* (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae), a stored food pest in the UK. Int. J. Pest. Manag. 40: 179-190.

**Turner B. 1999.** Psocid as pests: The global perspective, International pest Control. 41:179-190.

Turner, B. D., and H. Maude-Roxby.1988. Starvation survival of the stored products pest *Liposcelis bostrychophilus* Badonnel (Psocoptera, Liposcelidae). J. Stored Prod. Res. 24: 23-28

Turner, B.D., and N. Ali. 1996. The pest status of psocids in the UK. pp 515-523. *In* K.
B. Wildey(Ed), Proceedings of the 2<sup>nd</sup> International Conference on Insect Pests in the Urban Environment. Edinburgh, UK.

Wang, J. J., Z. Zhao, and L. S. Li. 1998. Studies on bionomics of *Liposcelis* entomophila (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) infesting stored products. Entomol. Sinica 5: 149-158.

Wang, J. J., Z. M. Zhao, and L. S. Li 1999a. Induced tolerance of the psocid, *Liposcelis bostrychophila* Badonnel (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae), to controlled atmosphere. Int. J. Pest Manag. 45: 75–79.

Wang, J. J., Z. M. Zhao, and L. S. Li 1999b. An ecological study on the laboratory population of psocid, *Liposcelis bostrychophila* Badonnel (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae).
Acta Entomologica Sinica 42: 277-283.

Wang, J. J., J. H. Tsai, Z. M. Zhao, and L. S. Li. 2000. Development and reproduction of the psocid *Liposcelis bostrychophila* (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) as a function of temperature. Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 93: 261-270.

Wang, J.J., J. H. Tsai, Z. M. Zhao, and L. S. Li. 2001. Interactive effects of temperature and controlled atmosphere at biologically relevant levels on development and reproduction of the psocid, *Liposcelis bostrychophila* Badonnel (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae). Inter. J. Pest Manag. 47: 55-62.

Wang, J. J., Y. Ren, X. Q. Wei, and W. Dou. 2009. Development, Survival, and Reproduction of the Psocid *Liposcelis paeta* (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) as a Function of Temperature. J. Econ. Entomol. 102: 1705-1713.

Wei, D., J. J. Wang, Z. M. Zhao, and J. H. Tsai. 2002. Effects of controlled atmosphere and DDVP on population growth and resistance development by the psocid, *Liposcelis bostrychophila* Badonnel (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae). J. Stor. Prod. Res. 38: 229-237. CHAPTER III

# POPULATION GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE PSOCID LIPOSCELIS RUFA (PSOCOPTERA: LIPOSCELIDIDAE) AT CONSTANT TEMPERATURES AND RELATIVE HUMIDITIES

(Journal of Economic Entomology, 2010, 103: 1920-1928)

# Population Growth and Development of the Psocid *Liposcelis rufa* (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) at Constant Temperatures and Relative Humidities

S. G. GAUTAM, G. P. OPIT, and K. L. GILES

Department of Entomology and Plant Pathology, Oklahoma State University, 127 Noble Research Center, Stillwater, OK, 74078-3033

### Abstract

I investigated the effects of eight temperatures (22.5, 25.0, 27.5, 30.0, 32.5, 35.0, 37.5, and 40.0°C) and four relative humidities (43, 55, 63, and 75%) on population growth and development of the psocid *Liposcelis rufa* Broadhead. L. rufa did not survive at 43% RH, at all temperatures tested; at 55% RH, at the highest four temperatures; and at 63% RH and 40.0°C. The greatest population growth was recorded at 35.0°C and 75% RH (73-fold growth). At 40.0°C, L. rufa populations declined or barely grew. L. rufa males have two to four nymphal instars, and the percentages of males with two, three, and four instars were 31, 54, and 15%, respectively. Female L. rufa have two to five instars, and the percentages of females with two, three, four, and five instars were 2, 44, 42, and 12%, respectively. The life cycle was shorter for males than females. I have developed temperature-dependent development equations for male and female eggs, individual nymphal, combined nymphal, and combined immature stages. The ability of L. rufa to reproduce at a relative humidity of 55% and temperatures of 22.5 to 30.0°C and at relative humidities of 63 to 75% and temperatures of 22.5 to 37.5°C, in addition to being able to survive at 40.0°C, suggests that this species would be expected to have a broader distribution than other *Liposcelis* species. These data provide a better understanding of L. *rufa* population dynamics and can be used to help develop effective management strategies for this psocid.

**KEY WORDS** Stored products, population growth, development rates, stored grain

## Introduction

Psocids belonging to the genus *Liposcelis* (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) increasingly pose a threat to stored products (Rees 1998). Before the 1990s in Australia and China and 2000s in the United States, psocids were not considered serious pests of stored products (Phillips and Throne 2010). However, in some countries such as Australia, they have now become the most frequently encountered stored-product pest in some areas (Rees 2003). Psocids infestations occur in grain storages on farms, collection centers, export terminals, warehouses with bagged commodities, and grain processing facilities worldwide. Heavy psocid infestations can lead to serious germ damage and significant weight losses in stored grain (Kučerová 2002) and they can cause health problems by transferring microorganisms and contaminating food materials with their feces and cast skins (Obr 1978, Sidik et al. 1986). The relative importance of psocids as stored-product pests has risen because of their varied response to management tactics that have been developed for beetle pests (Nayak et al. 1998, 2002a, 2002b, 2003; Nayak 2006) and the fact that markets increasingly view psocids as contaminants (Nayak 2006).

Psocid species known to infest stored grain in North America (Mockford 1993, Lienhard and Smithers 2002) are *Lepinotus reticulatus* Enderlein (Psocoptera: Trogiidae), *Liposcelis bostrychophila* Badonnel (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae), *Liposcelis brunnea* Motschulsky, *Liposcelis corrodens* (Heymons), *Liposcelis decolor* (Pearman), *Liposcelis entomophila* (Enderlein), and *Liposcelis paeta* Pearman. In addition, *Liposcelis rufa* Broadhead has been found infesting wheat stored in steel bins in Stillwater, OK, USA (unpublished data).

There are no published studies on the biology of *L. corrodens* and *L. rufa*.

However, development of an effective management program for any pest is dependent on having sound knowledge of its ecology. Given the lack of information on the ecology of *L. rufa*, I initiated studies on population growth and development of this species in order to provide an experimental basis for developing management strategies for this pest. My objectives were to determine the effects of constant temperatures and relative humidities on population growth of *L. rufa* and to quantify the effects of temperature on *L. rufa* development.

## **Materials and Methods**

**Insects.** Cultures used in the study were started using insects collected from steel bins containing wheat located at the Stored Product Research and Education Center, Stillwater, OK. Voucher specimens of 100 male and female *L. rufa* preserved in 95% ethyl alcohol that were used in this study were deposited at K. C. Emerson Entomology Museum at Oklahoma State University under lot numbers 100 (males) and 101 (females). Psocids were reared on a mixture of 93% cracked hard red winter wheat, 5% rice krispies (Kellogg Company, Battle Creek, MI), and 2% wheat germ (wt/wt; referred to as psocid diet below) in 360-ml glass canning jars with mite-proof lids (Opit and Throne 2008), and the top 3 cm of the inner surface of each jar was coated with Fluon® (polytetrafluoroethylene; Northern Products, Woonsocket, RI) to prevent psocids accessing and gathering on the inside of the lid. Cultures were maintained at  $30.0 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C and 75 ± 5% RH.

#### Effects of Temperature and Relative Humidity on Population Growth. I

determined effects of temperature and relative humidity on the increase in number of psocids over a 30-d period at eight temperatures (22.5, 25.0, 27.5, 30.0, 32.5, 35.0, 37.5, and 40.0°C) and four relative humidities (43, 55, 63, and 75%). The top third of the inner surface of 192 vials was coated with Fluon<sup>®</sup> to prevent psocids from escaping, and 5 g of cracked hard red winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) were placed in each vial. A screen (US #40 mesh) was placed in the snap-cap lid to allow air movement. Vials were randomly placed in each of four plastic boxes (42 by 29 by 24 cm high) containing saturated solutions of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, NaBr, NaNO<sub>2</sub>, and NaCl below perforated false floors to maintain relative humidity (RH) of 43, 55, 63, and 75% (Greenspan 1977), respectively, and the cracked wheat in the vials was equilibrated for moisture content at room temperature for 4 wk.

One- to two-wk-old female *L. rufa* for the experiment were obtained by placing 0.5 g of colored psocid diet (Opit and Throne 2008), five particles of cracked wheat, 20 mg of wheat germ, and 40 adult female psocids of unknown age from the laboratory culture in each of one hundred and twenty 35-mm-diameter Petri dishes (Greiner Bio-One, Kaysville, UT), which had a coat of Fluon<sup>®</sup> on the inner walls to prevent psocids from escaping. Colored diet was used because *L. rufa* prefers laying eggs on and among diet particles, and colored diet makes it easier to see eggs and, therefore, make an assessment of whether sufficient numbers of eggs are being laid for the experiment. The Petri dishes were placed on false floors in three Rubbermaid plastic boxes (37 by 22 by 13 cm high) that contained saturated NaCl solution beneath false floors. The boxes had been painted black to exclude light and mimic dark conditions in which *L. rufa* is usually

found. Boxes were placed in an incubator maintained at  $30.0 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C and  $75 \pm 5\%$  RH. Psocids were removed from each Petri dish after 7 d, and the contents of 60 Petri dishes were poured into a 360-ml glass jar containing 80 g of psocid diet. The inner top part ('neck') of the jar had a coat of Fluon<sup>®</sup> and was closed using a mite-proof lid. The jar was placed back in the incubator. After 30 d, adult psocids found in the jar were approximately 1-2 wk old (based on preliminary work which indicated that development of females from egg to adult took approximately 23 d at  $30.0^{\circ}$ C).

Five 1- to 2-wk-old adult females were added to each of the 192 vials containing equilibrated diet, which were then incubated at each of the 32 temperature-RH combinations. Eight incubators were set at temperatures of 22.5, 25.0, 27.5, 30.0, 32.5, 35.0, 37.5, and 40.0°C, where four plastic boxes (17 by 17 by 12 cm high) containing saturated solutions of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, NaBr, NaNO<sub>2</sub>, and NaCl were placed. Six vials containing diet, equilibrated at room temperature and each relative humidity, were randomly assigned to the corresponding relative humidity box in all incubators. Four locations were established in every incubator for the boxes to occupy. Every 7 d, the boxes in each incubator were shuffled so that each box spent a total of at least 7 d in each location during the course of the experiment to counteract any temperature variability that may have existed in the incubators. During shuffling, the boxes were also checked to ensure that the salt solutions were still saturated; this was done by making sure that the desired amounts of the solute and solution of the saturated solution were present in each box. Environmental conditions in each incubator were monitored using a temperature and RH sensor (HOBO U12, Onset Computer Corporation, Bourne, MA). Live insects in each vial were counted after 30 d by spreading a portion of the contents of a vial on a 9-cm

Petri dish, which had a coat of Fluon® on the inner walls, and removing all motile *L. rufa* using a moist brush under a stereomicroscope (Zeiss Stemi 2000-C; Thornwood, NY, USA).

The experiment had three temporal replications, and the experimental design was a randomized complete block (RCBD) with sub sampling. All statistical procedures were accomplished using Statistical Analysis System software (SAS Institute 2001). PROC MIXED was used for analysis of variance (ANOVA) to determine the effects of temperature and relative humidity on numbers of psocids in vials, which were transformed using the square-root transformation to stabilize variances before analysis. Untransformed means and standard errors are reported to simplify interpretation. Least significant difference (LSD) test was used to determine differences among mean numbers of psocids produced at different temperatures and relative humidities, despite the quantitative independent variables, because I was not able to quantify the relationship using a biologically meaningful equation (Table Curve 3D) (Systat Software, Inc. 2002b). A biologically meaningful equation is a mathematical expression that adequately describes a relationship in a biologically-meaningful way.

**Effects of Temperature on Development.** The procedures used for setting up the experiment were analogous to those used by Opit and Throne (2008) except each vial cap had three cracked wheat kernels. Thirty centrifuge caps containing eggs were then randomly placed in each of eight plastic boxes (37 by 22 by 13 cm high) that were painted black and contained saturated NaCl solution to maintain 75% RH. One box was placed in each of eight incubators set to maintain treatment temperatures of 22.5, 25.0, 27.5, 30.0, 32.5, 35.0, 37.5, and 40.0°C. The procedures for monitoring egg and nymphal

development were similar to those used by Opit and Throne (2008) where psocids were marked using fluorescent powder. The experiment consisted of three temporal replications.

In the determination of the effects of temperature on the duration of development of L. rufa, data for males and females were analyzed separately. For both data sets, the design used for analysis was a RCBD with sub sampling. PROC MIXED was used for ANOVA to determine the effects of temperature on development. Regression (TableCurve 2D) (Systat Software, Inc. 2002a) was used to describe the relationship between temperature and development time for the egg, individual nymphal, combined nymphal, and combined immature stages of males and females. Selection of an equation to describe the data was based on the magnitude and pattern of residuals, lack-of-fit tests, and whether the curve had a shape that was reasonable for describing the data. In the analysis of the proportions of viable eggs and nymphs that developed to the adult stage (male plus female), the design for analysis was a RCBD. To analyze these proportions, PROC GLM was used for ANOVA after arcsine square-root transformation to stabilize variances. Least significant difference (LSD) test was used to determine differences among proportions of nymphs that survived to adult at different temperatures, despite the quantitative independent variable, because I was not able to quantify the relationship using a biologically meaningful equation (TableCurve 2D) (Systat Software, Inc. 2002a).

The lower development threshold for *L. rufa* males and females was determined by fitting linear equations to development rate (reciprocal of development time) and temperature data using TableCurve 2D (Systat Software, Inc. 2002a). Because the rate of development varies when temperatures become suboptimal for development, data were

only fit to linear regression within the linear portion of the curve (Arnold 1959).

Therefore, for both males and females, 40.0°C data were not used for linear regression. Upper development thresholds for *L. rufa* males and females were found by determining the temperature at which the rate of development begins to decrease (Zilahi-Balogh and Pfeiffer 1998); these temperatures were obtained by fitting the appropriate equation to all the development rate and temperature data and using the 'EVALUATE' procedure in TableCurve 2D (Systat Software, Inc. 2002a) to determine upper development threshold.

### Results

Effects of Temperature and Relative Humidity on Population Growth. The pattern of psocid numbers at the eight temperatures was not similar at the four relative humidities (F = 22.4; df = 21,62; and P < 0.01). No live *L. rufa* were found in treatments maintained at 43% RH; at 55% RH at the four highest temperatures tested; and at 63% RH at 40.0°C (Table 1). Population growth at 30.0, 32.5, and 35.0°C and 63% RH, and at 30.0, 32.5, 35.0, and 37.5°C and 75% RH was higher than that at other treatments. Population growth at 32.5 and 37.5°C and 75% RH was similar (64- and 60-fold, respectively, were recorded). Numbers of *L. rufa* increased most rapidly at 35.0°C and 75% RH where the average increase in population was 73-fold (Table 1). At 40.0°C, *L. rufa* populations declined or barely grew. *L. rufa* appears capable of surviving under a wide range of conditions ranging from 22.5 to 40.0°C and 55% to 75% RH.

**Effects of Temperature on Male Development.** *Eggs.* Incubation time varied with temperature, and a quadratic equation described the relationship between temperature and incubation time well (Fig. 1A, Tables 2 and 3). Based on the quadratic

equation, the predicted optimal incubation temperature is 36.3°C, and development is completed in 6.3 d at this temperature.

*Nymphal, Combined Nymphal, and Combined Immature Stages.* Duration of the nymphal, combined nymphal, and combined immature stages varied with temperature (Figs. 1B-F; Tables 2 and 3). Quadratic equations described the relationship between temperature and development time well for nymphal, combined nymphal, and combined immature stages (Table 3). Based on the quadratic equations for N1, N2, and N3, the predicted optimal development temperatures are 35.7, 37.0, and 35.3°C, and development is completed in 5.4, 4.5, and 3.9 d, respectively. For the combined nymphal and combined immature stages, these parameters are 34.8 and 35.3°C, and 11.6 and 16.8 d, respectively. The lower development threshold for the combined immature stage was estimated as 12.2°C using a linear equation; the upper development threshold for the combined immature stage was estimated as 36.9°C using a quadratic equation. The linear and quadratic equations described the development rate and temperature data well (Table 4).

**Effects of Temperature on Female Development.** *Eggs.* Incubation time varied with temperature and a quadratic equation described the relationship between temperature and incubation time well (Fig. 2A, Tables 5 and 6). Based on the quadratic equation, the predicted optimal incubation temperature is 37.3°C, and development is completed in 4.7 d at this temperature.

*Nymphal, Combined Nymphal, and Combined Immature Stages.* Duration of the nymphal, combined nymphal, and combined immature stages varied with temperature

(Figs. 2B-D and 3A-C; Tables 5 and 6). Quadratic equations described the relationship between temperature and development time well for nymphal, combined nymphal, and combined immature stages (Table 6). Based on the quadratic equations for N1, N2, N3, and N4, the predicted optimal development temperatures are 35.2, 35.0, 40.0, and 36.5°C, and development is completed in 5.3, 4.7, 4.2, and 4.9 d, respectively. For the combined nymphal and combined immature stages, these parameters are 35.1 and 35.8°C, and 16.1 and 21.0 d, respectively. The lower development threshold for the combined immature stage was estimated as 8.5°C using a linear equation; the upper development threshold for the combined immature stage was estimated as 38.7°C using a quadratic equation. The linear and quadratic equations described the development rate and temperature data well (Table 4).

#### Effects of Temperature on Egg Viability and Nymphal Survivorship.

Temperature had no effect on egg viability (F = 0.9; df = 7,14; P = 0.52). The proportion of viable eggs for all temperatures ranged from 0.85 to 0.95 and averaged 0.90 over all temperatures. Nymphal survivorship at temperatures of 22.5 to 37.5°C ranged from 0.76 to 0.91 and averaged 0.84. However, survivorship was lower at 40.0°C where the proportion of nymphs surviving was only 0.34 (Table 7).

The mean developmental period of females was longer than that of males. This corresponded to females generally having one more instar than the males (Tables 2 and 5). I found that male *L. rufa* have two to four nymphal instars, and the percentages of males with two, three, and four instars were 31, 54, and 15%, respectively. Female *L. rufa* were found to have two to five nymphal instars, and the percentages of females with two, three, four, and five instars were 2, 44, 42, and 12%, respectively.

#### Discussion

My data show that L. rufa will not survive at 43% RH, in the temperature range of 22.5 to 40.0°C; at 55% RH, in the temperature range of 32.5 to 40.0°C; and at 63% RH at 40.0°C. The optimal relative humidity for population growth is 75%. The inability of stored-product psocid pests to survive at 43% RH was also demonstrated for L. reticulatus (Opit and Throne 2008) and L. brunnea (Opit and Throne 2009). The ability of L. rufa to multiply at 55% RH, in the temperature range of 22.5 to 30.0°C, seems to indicate that it is better adapted to surviving under low humidity conditions compared to some other Liposcelis species. For example, Weng (1986) showed that mortality of adult female L. entomophila increased sharply at humidities below 56% and Rees and Walker (1990) found that none of the three psocid species they studied (L. bostrychophila, L. entomophila, and L. paeta) were able to survive at relative humidities below 60%. The fact that L. entomophila in one study did not survive below 60% RH and yet did so in another study, may be due to differences in the geographical strains used in the two studies. Besides L. rufa, L. brunnea is also capable of reproducing at 55% RH, in the temperature range of 22.5 to 32.5°C (Opit and Throne 2009). In fact, at the 22.5 to 27.5°C temperature range, the reproduction rate of *L. brunnea* is much higher than that of L. rufa at 55% RH (Opit and Throne 2009). Psocids maintain body water levels by absorbing atmospheric water vapor when RH is 60% or above; however, below this level, more water is lost than gained resulting in dehydration and death (Devine 1982). Therefore, it is likely that *L. rufa* and *L. brunnea* are adapted in a manner that enables them to absorb atmospheric water vapor when relative humidity is as low as 55%.

The highest population increase for *L. rufa* occurred at 35.0°C and 75% RH; generally reproduction was higher at 75% RH. Optimal humidities for L. entomophila (Wang et al. 1998) and L. reticulatus (Opit and Throne 2008) were similar, 80-90% and 75%, respectively. However, Opit and Throne (2009) found that the optimal humidity for L. brunnea was 63%; in fact, population increase at 55% was not different from that at 63% in the 22.5 to 30.0°C range. This would seem to indicate that *L. brunnea* would be expected to have a much broader distribution than L. entomophila and L. reticulatus and would be found in relatively dry habitats as well. As a matter of fact, L. brunnea occurs commonly throughout the dry parts of United States (Mockford 1993). Because L. brunnea will not survive at temperatures of 35.0°C or higher (Opit and Throne 2009), high temperatures appear to limit its distribution. Despite being adapted to higher temperatures (37.5°C) and relative humidities (75%), L. rufa also survives and reproduces relatively well at 55 and 63% RH and temperatures as low as 22.5 and 25.0°C. This would imply that L. rufa would be expected to have an even broader distribution than *L. brunnea*. Based on my data, *L. rufa* is capable of surviving at 40.0°C; however, its population will either decline or barely grow at this high temperature. This observation may be partly explained by the fact that only 34% of the nymphs are able to develop to adult at  $40.0^{\circ}$ C. Prior to the present study, the only two *Liposcelis* species that were known to survive and reproduce well under relatively high temperatures ( $\geq 37.5^{\circ}$ C) were L. decolor (Tang et al. 2008) and L. paeta (Wang et al. 2009).

I found that 58 to 71% of total nymphal mortality was due to N1 and N2 mortality. This mortality is lower than that for *L. reticulatus* (80-100%) (Opit and Throne 2008) and *L. brunnea* (87-100%) (Opit and Throne 2009). A possible explanation for this

could be that *L. rufa* nymphs are much hardier and cope much better with the handling they are exposed to during experiments. In addition, the relative humidity in which the nymphs developed (75%) is the optimal relative humidity for *L. rufa*, hence the reduced mortality. The high mortality in the case of *L. brunnea* may be due to the 75% RH in which nymphal development was studied. The optimal relative humidity for *L. brunnea* is 63%. *L. rufa* nymphal survivorship at temperatures of 22.5 to 37.5°C ranged from 76% to 91% and averaged 84%. As already mentioned, survivorship was lower at 40.0°C where only 34% of the nymphs survived.

The developmental period of female *L. rufa* was longer than that of males. This corresponded to females generally having one more instar than males. According to Mockford (1993), insects in the order Psocoptera usually have four to six nymphal stages. However, my study shows that male *L. rufa* have two to four instars, and the percentages of males with two, three, and four instars were 31, 54, and 15%, respectively. I have also shown that female *L. rufa* have two to five instars, and the percentages of females with two, three, four, and five instars were 2, 44, 42 and 12%, respectively. In comparison with *L. brunnea* (Opit and Throne 2009), both species have two to four male instars and proportionately more of them have three instars. In the case of females, proportionately more *L. brunnea* have four instars whereas a higher proportion of *L. rufa* females have three and four instars.

At 75% RH, the optimal temperature for development of *L. rufa* from egg to adult is 37.5°C and at this temperature development is completed in 21.6 d. At the same RH, the optimal temperature for the development of *L. badia* Wang, Wang, and Lienhard (Jiang et al. 2008), *L. bostrychophila* (Wang et al. 2000), *L. brunnea* (Opit and Throne

2009), L. reticulatus (Opit and Throne 2008), and L. tricolor Badonnel (Dong et al. 2007) was 32.5°C; at this temperature development is completed in 17.2, 18.1, 30.5, 22.9, and 30.7 d, respectively. In the case of *L. entomophila* (Wang et al. 1998), *L. decolor* (Tang et al. 2008), and L. paeta (Wang et al. 2009), the optimal temperatures are 35.0, 35.0, and 37.5°C and development is completed in 21.7, 16.1, and 11.5 d, respectively. This suggests that L. decolor, L. entomophila, L. paeta, and L. rufa with higher optimal temperatures for development will probably occur commonly in warmer environments. In fact, this observation may also explain why these species are commonly found in large numbers in grain storages where temperatures get quite high during warmer periods of the year. The development time of L. rufa under optimal conditions is similar to that of two major stored-product beetle pests, namely, *R. dominica* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae) and Tribolium castaneum (Herbst) (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). Under optimal conditions of 34.0°C and 70% RH, R. dominica completes development in 25 d whereas T. castaneum completes development in 20 d under optimal conditions of 35.0 to 37.5°C and > 70% RH (Rees 2004).

Quadratic equations describe the relationship between temperature and development time for the various stages of male and female *L. rufa* well. Similar results were found by Wang et al. (2000) in their study on the biology of *L. bostrychophila* and by Opit and Throne (2008, 2009) in their studies on the biology of *L. reticulatus* and *L. brunnea*. This relationship between temperature and development time is a result of the inability of ectotherms (such as psocids) to regulate their body temperature, thus body temperature is a function of the temperature of their surroundings, such that within a certain range, the rate of metabolic reactions will be directly related to temperature

(Speight et al. 1999). Beyond optimal temperatures for development, temperature negatively impacts biological processes and results in increased development time. It is important to state that the predictive accuracy of the equations I developed may be reduced by the fact that the number of observations taken at 40.0°C was small due to high mortality that occurs at this temperature. Notwithstanding, for female *L. rufa*, the predicted optimal temperatures for egg, combined nymphal, and combined immature stage development, are 37.3, 35.1, and 35.8°C, respectively. At these temperatures, development is completed in 4.7, 16.1, and 21.0 d, respectively.

Based on my data, the lower and upper developmental thresholds for *L. rufa* males are 12.2 and 36.9°C, respectively; for females, these temperatures are 8.5 and  $38.7^{\circ}$ C, respectively. My results show that the lower developmental threshold for *L. rufa* females is lower than that for *L. bostrychophila* (Wang et al. 2000), *L. tricolor* (Dong et al. 2007), *L. badia* (Jiang et al. 2008), and *L. paeta* (Wang et al. 2009), which are 15.5, 11.3, 10.0, and 20.2°C, respectively, but that for males is similar to these. The upper developmental thresholds for both male and female *L. rufa* are similar to those of the aforementioned *Liposcelis* species which were 38.8 (Wang et al. 2000), 38.9 (Dong et al. 2007), 40.0 (Jiang et al. 2008), and 40.4°C (Wang et al. 2009), respectively. The lower and upper developmental thresholds I have developed for *L. rufa* are important for integrated management of this pest (Briere et al. 1999) because they can be used to reliably predict its population dynamics.

Despite my expectations that *L. rufa* would be broadly distributed and a major pest in areas with hot and humid climate, this does not seem to be the case as this is only the second report of this insect in stored grain, to the best of my knowledge. The first

case was a report of *L. rufa* as a new stored product pest species found in stored rice in Portugal (Kučerová et al. 2006). Possible reasons for why broader occurrence of *L. rufa* has not been reported in hot and humid climates are that the species has not been well investigated and it has probably been misidentified.

My work has shown that *L. rufa* can reproduce at a relative humidity of 55% and temperatures of 22.5 to 30.0°C and at relative humidities of 63 to 75% and temperatures of 22.5 to 37.5°C. In addition, it's capable of surviving at 40.0°C. The optimal conditions for reproduction for this species are 35.0°C and 75% RH. I have also shown that males have two to four instars whereas females have two to five instars. Given the short life cycle of *L. rufa* and its ability to multiply rapidly at high temperatures and relative humidities, *L. rufa* would be expected to be a serious stored product pest in hot and humid climates. Also, I would expect it to have a broader distribution than other *Liposcelis* species because it can reproduce at 55% RH. Finally, temperature-dependent development equations I have developed can be used to elucidate *L. rufa* population dynamics and to help develop effective management strategies.

## Acknowledgements

I thank Binita Khattri Chettri, Kandara Shakya, and Edmond Bonjour for their technical support; I also thank J. Dillwith, R. Grantham, and J. Throne for reviewing an earlier draft of this manuscript. In addition, I would like to extend my appreciation to E. Mockford who confirmed the identity of *L. rufa*. This work was funded by the Oklahoma Agricultural Experiment Station (Project No. OKLO2695). Trade names or commercial products mentioned in this publication is solely for the purpose of providing specific information and does not imply recommendation or endorsement by Oklahoma State University.

#### **References Cited**

**Arnold, C. Y. 1959.** The determination and significance of the base temperature in a linear heat unit system. J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 4: 431–445.

Briere, J. F., P. Pracros, A. Y. Roux, and J. S. Pierre. 1999. A novel model of temperature-dependent development for arthropods. Environ. Entomol. 28: 22-29.

Devine, T. L. 1982. The dynamics of body water in the booklouse *Liposcelis* 

bostrychophilus (Badonnel). J. Exp. Zool. 222: 335-347.

**Dong, P., J. J. Wang, F. X. Jia, and F. Hu. 2007.** Development and reproduction of the psocid *Liposcelis tricolor* (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) as a function of temperature. Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 100: 228-235.

**Greenspan, L. 1977.** Humidity fixed points of binary saturated aqueous solutions. J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand. A81: 89-96.

Jiang, H., J. Liu, Z. I. Wang, and J. Wang. 2008. Temperature-dependent development and reproduction of a novel stored product psocid, *Liposcelis badia* (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae). Environ. Entomol. 37: 1105-1112.

**Kučerová**, **Z. 2002.** Weight losses of wheat grains caused by psocid infestation (*Liposcelis bostrychophila*: Liposcelididae: Psocoptera). Plant Prot. Sci. 38: 103-107.

Kučerová, Z., M. O. Carvalho, and V. Stejskal. 2006. Faunistic records of new stored

product psocids (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) for Portugal, pp. 1104-1107. In I. Lorini, B.

Bacaltchuk, H. Beckel, D. Deckers, E. Sundfeld, J. P. dos Santos, J. D. Biagi, J. C.

Celaro, L. R. D'A. Faroni, L de O. F. Bortolini, M. R. Sartori, M. C. Elias, R.N.C.

Guedes, R. G. da Fonseca, and V. M. Scussel (Eds.). Proceedings of the 9<sup>th</sup> International

Working Conference on Stored Product Protection, October 15-18, 2006, Campinas, São

Paulo, Brazil. Brazilian Post-harvest Association – ABRAPOS, Campinas, Brazil.
Brazilian Post-harvest Association – Associação Brasileira de Pós-Colheita (ABRAPOS),
Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil.

Lienhard, C., and N. Smithers. 2002. Psocoptera (Insecta) world catalogue and bibliography. Instrumenta Biodiversitatis V, Museum d'histoire naturelle, Genève, Switzerland.

**Mockford, E. L. 1993.** North American Psocoptera. The Sandhill Crane Press, Inc., Gainesville, FL.

Nayak, M. K. 2006. Psocid and mite pests of stored commodities: small but formidable enemies, pp. 1061-1073. In I. Lorini, B. Bacaltchuk, H. Beckel, D. Deckers, E. Sundfeld, J. P. dos Santos, J. D. Biagi, J. C. Celaro, L. R. D'A. Faroni, L de O. F. Bortolini, M. R. Sartori, M. C. Elias, R.N.C. Guedes, R. G. da Fonseca, and V. M. Scussel (Eds.).
Proceedings of the 9th International Working Conference on Stored Product Protection, October 15-18, 2006, Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil. Brazilian Post-harvest Association – Associação Brasileira de Pós-Colheita (ABRAPOS), Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil.

Nayak, M. K., P. J. Collins, and S. R. Reid. 1998. Efficacy of grain protectants and phosphine against *Liposcelis bostrychophila*, *L. entomophila*, and *L. paeta* (Psocoptera: Liposcelidae). J. Econ. Entomol. 91: 1208-1212.

Nayak, M. K., P. J. Collins, and H. Pavic. 2002a. Resistance to phosphine in psocids: Challenges ahead!, pp. 113-118. In E. J. Wright, H. J. Banks, and E. Highley (Eds.), Proceedings of the 2nd Australian Postharvest Technical Conference, August 1-4, 2000, Adelaide, Australia. Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization -CSIRO, Adelaide, Australia. Nayak, M. K., P. J. Collins, and H. Pavic. 2002b. Long-term effectiveness of grain protectants and structural treatments against *Liposcelis decolor* (Pearman) (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae), a pest of stored products. Pest Manag. Sci. 58: 1223-1228.

Nayak, M. K., P. J. Collins, H. Pavic, and R. A. Kopittke. 2003. Inhibition of egg development by phosphine in the cosmopolitan pest of stored products *Liposcelis bostrychophila* (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae). Pest Manag. Sci. 59: 1191-1196.

**Obr, S. 1978.** Psocoptera of food-processing plants and storages, dwellings and collections of natural objects in Czechoslovakia. Acta Entomol. Bohemoslov. 75: 226-242.

**Opit, G. P., and J. E. Throne. 2008.** Population growth and development of the psocid *Lepinotus reticulatus* at constant temperatures and relative humidities. J. Econ. Entomol. 101: 605-615.

**Opit, G. P., and J. E. Throne. 2009.** Population growth and development of the psocid *Liposcelis brunnea* (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) at constant temperatures and relative humidities. J. Econ. Entomol. 102: 1360-1368.

**Phillips, T.W., and J. E. Throne. 2010.** Biorational approaches to managing stored-product insects. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 55: 375-397.

**Rees, D. P. 1998.** Psocids as pests of Australian grain storages, pp. 46–51. *In* H. J. Banks, E. J. Wright, and K. A. Damcevski (eds.), Stored Grain in Australia. Proceedings of the Australian Postharvest Technical Conference, May 26–29, 1998. Canberra, Australia.

Rees, D. P. 2003. Psocoptera (psocids) as pests of bulk grain storage in Australia: a cautionary tale to industry and researchers, pp. 59-64. *In* P. F. Credland, D. M. Armitage, C. H. Bell, P. M. Cogan, and E. Highley (eds.), Advances in stored product protection,

Proceedings of the 8th International Working Conference on Stored Product Protection, 22-26 July 2002, York, UK. CAB International, Wallingford, UK.

Rees, D. P. 2004. Insects of stored products. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria.

**Rees, D. P., and A. J. Walker. 1990.** The effect of temperature and relative humidity on population growth of three *Liposcelis* species infesting stored products in tropical countries. Bull. Entomol. Res. 80: 353-358.

**SAS Institute. 2001.** The SAS system for Windows, version 8. SAS Institute, Cary, NC. **Sidik, M., H. Halid, R. I. Pranata. 1986.** Pest problems and the use of pesticides in grain storage in Indonesia, pp. 37-43. *In* B.R Champ, E. Highley (eds.), Pesticides and Humid Tropical Grain Storage Systems. Proceedings of an International Conference on Pesticides and Humid Tropical Grain Storage Systems, May 27-30, 2005, Manila, Philippines. Australian Center for International Agricultural Research (ACIAR) Proceedings No. 14, Canberra, Australia.

Speight, M. R., M. D. Hunter, and A. D. Watt. 1999. Ecology of insects: Concepts and applications. Blackwell Science Limited, Maiden, MA.

**Systat Software Inc. 2002a.** TableCurve 2D, version 5.01. Systat Software Inc., San Jose, CA.

**Systat Software Inc. 2002b.** TableCurve 3D, version 4.0. Systat Software Inc., San Jose, CA.

Tang, P. A., J. J. Wang, Y. He, H. B. Jiang, and Z. Y. Wang. 2008. Development, Survival, and Reproduction of the Psocid *Liposcelis decolor* (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) at Constant Temperatures. Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 101: 1017-1025. Wang, J. J., Z. Zhao, and L. S. Li. 1998. Studies on bionomics of *Liposcelis* entomophila (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) infesting stored products. Entomol. Sinica 5: 149-158.

Wang, J. J., J. H. Tsai, Z. M. Zhao, and L. S. Li. 2000. Development and reproduction of the psocid *Liposcelis bostrychophila* (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) as a function of temperature. Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 93: 261-270.

Wang, J. J., Y. Ren, X. Q. Wei, and W. Dou. 2009. Development, Survival, and Reproduction of the Psocid *Liposcelis paeta* (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) as a Function of Temperature. J. Econ. Entomol. 102: 1705-1713.

**Weng, E. L. C. 1986.** Some studies on *Liposcelis entomophilus* (Enderlein) (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) with emphasis on culturing techniques and the effects of carbon dioxide and relative humidity. B.Sc thesis, Department of Zoology, National University of Singapore.

**Zilahi-Balogh, G., and D. G. Pfeiffer. 1998.** Understanding degree-days and using them in pest management decision making. Project for ENT 4987: Arthropod Management in Fruit Crops. Department of Entomology, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA.

Temperature	Relative humidity (%)							
( )	43	55	63	75				
22.5	$0.0 \pm 0.0a$	$15.6\pm2.01b$	$38.1 \pm 4.00e$	$27.0 \pm 3.63$ d				
25	$0.0 \pm 0.0a$	$12.9\pm2.34b$	$75.8\pm7.29g$	$60.8\pm6.78f$				
27.5	$0.0 \pm 0.0a$	$13.1\pm2.01b$	$80.1 \pm 6.31$ g	$70.4\pm7.65 fg$				
30	$0.0 \pm 0.0a$	$58.4\pm 6.95 f$	$144.6\pm15.79h$	$138.4\pm17.48h$				
32.5	$0.0 \pm 0.0a$	$0.0 \pm 0.0a$	$251.5\pm37.02i$	$323.9\pm23.36 jk$				
35	$0.0 \pm 0.0a$	$0.0 \pm 0.0 a$	$130.8\pm20.06h$	$363.4\pm32.88k$				
37.5	$0.0 \pm 0.0a$	$0.0 \pm 0.0a$	$17.7 \pm 7.56 bc$	$300.7\pm24.49j$				
40	$0.0\pm0.0a$	$0.0 \pm 0.0a$	$0.0 \pm 0.0a$	$2.7 \pm 1.25a$				

Table 1. Final number (± SE) of motile *Liposcelis rufa* present in vials after 30 d (n = 18).

Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different.

Temperature			Duration (d)							
( C)	n	Eggs	N1	N2	N3 <sup>a</sup>	Nymphs	Eggs + nymphs			
22.5	24	$15.6\pm0.22$	$7.9 \pm 0.26$	$7.3 \pm 0.35$	$7.2 \pm 0.33$	$27.7\pm0.67$	$43.4\pm0.70$			
25	34	$11.6\pm0.19$	$7.8\pm0.22$	$6.1\pm0.29$	$5.7\pm0.28$	$21.1\pm0.56$	$32.6\pm0.59$			
27.5	33	$8.8\pm0.19$	$6.3\pm0.23$	$5.8\pm0.31$	$5.4\pm0.29$	18. $1 \pm 0.59$	$26.9\pm0.62$			
30	29	$7.5\pm0.18$	$5.8\pm0.24$	$4.5\pm0.32$	$4.0\pm0.41$	$13.1\pm0.62$	$20.6\pm0.65$			
32.5	38	$6.4\pm0.18$	$4.9\pm0.22$	$4.8\pm0.29$	$5.0\pm0.52$	$11.5\pm0.55$	$17.8\pm0.58$			
35	21	$5.1\pm0.24$	$5.9\pm0.28$	$5.1\pm0.38$	$3.4\pm0.65$	$12.7\pm0.72$	$17.9\pm0.76$			
37.5	31	$5.3\pm0.20$	$5.8\pm0.24$	$4.5\pm0.31$	$3.8\pm0.44$	$12.3\pm0.61$	$17.5\pm0.63$			
40	13	$5.8\pm0.31$	$5.3\pm0.37$	$4.3\pm0.49$	$4.5\pm0.54$	$14.2\pm0.94$	$19.9\pm0.98$			

Table 2. Duration (d ± SE) of immature stages of male *Liposcelis rufa* at eight constant temperatures and 75% RH.

ANOVA results for egg, N1, N2, N3, combined nymphal, and combined immature stages were F = 275.1, 4.3, 8.7, 11.2, 63.8 and 130.7 respectively. In all cases df = 7,14 and P < 0.01.

<sup>a</sup> Values of n for N3 at 30, 32.5, 35, 37.5, and 40°C were 19, 12, 10, 14, and 9, respectively.

			scens ruju	at constant temp	tratures.		
Subject	Maximum R <sup>2</sup>	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	F	a	b	с	
Egg duration	0.99	0.98	663.3	74.68 ± 3.13	$-3.83 \pm 0.2051$	$0.0527 \pm 0.0033$	
N1 duration	0.61	0.43	10.5	$26.24\pm6.96$	$-1.17 \pm 0.4563$	$0.0164 \pm 0.0073$	
N2 duration	0.77	0.67	21.8	$21.77 \pm 4.59$	$-0.934 \pm 0.3011$	$0.0126 \pm 0.0048$	

 $28.55 \pm 7.76$ 

 $138.92 \pm 12.25$ 

 $214.67 \pm 12.44$ 

 $-1.396 \pm 0.5084$ 

 $-7.319 \pm 0.802$ 

 $-11.212 \pm 0.81$ 

 $0.0198 \pm 0.0081$ 

 $0.1052{\pm}\,0.0128$ 

 $0.1588 \pm 0.0130$ 

Table 3. Parameters  $(\pm SE)$  for quadratic equations describing the duration of the egg, individual nymphal, combined nymphal, and combined immature stages of male *Liposcelis rufa* at constant temperatures.

N1, N2 and N3 represent the 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, and 3<sup>rd</sup> instars, respectively.

0.60

0.92

0.97

0.43

0.89

0.96

N3 duration

Nymphal duration

Egg + nymphal duration

In all cases df = 2,21 and P < 0.001. Lack-of-fit *P*-values for the duration of the egg, N1, N2, N3, combined nymphal, and combined immature stages were 0.06, 0.51, 0.29, 0.62, 0.65, and 0.75 respectively.

10.7

101.7

270.2

Subject	Maxim R <sup>2</sup>	$\operatorname{R}^2$ and $\operatorname{R}^2$	F	a	b	С
Males*	0.94	0.87	127.2	$-0.0305 \pm 0.0067$	$0.0025 \pm 0.0022$	-
Females*	0.85	0.74	54.5	$-0.0145 \pm 0.0070$	$0.0017 \pm 0.0023$	-
Males	0.91	0.85	70.6	$-0.189 \pm 0.034$	$0.0135 \pm 0.0022$	$-0.0002 \pm 0.00004$
Females	0.84	0.77	41.7	$-0.112 \pm 0.032$	$0.0083 \pm 0.0021$	$-0.0001 \pm 0.00003$

Table 4. Parameters ( $\pm$  SE) for linear and quadratic equations describing the effects of constant temperatures on the development rate of the combined immature stage of *Liposcelis rufa* males and females.

In cases with an asterisk (\*), an  $\mathbb{R}^2$  value is presented. For both linear equations, df = 1,19 and *P* < 0.001. Lack-of-fit *P*-values for males and females were 0.06 and 0.13, respectively. For both quadratic equations, df = 2,21 and *P* < 0.001. Lack-of-fit *P*-values for males and females were 0.35 and 0.55, respectively.

Temperature			Durat	ion (d)				
(°C)								
	n	Eggs	N1	N2	N3 <sup>a</sup>	N4 <sup>b</sup>	Nymphs	Eggs+nymphs
22.5	24	$14.2\pm0.25$	$10.0\pm0.32$	$6.8\pm0.37$	$7.1\pm0.38$	$7.4\pm0.45$	$33.2\pm0.93$	$47.4\pm0.96$
25	32	$10.2\pm0.22$	$7.6\pm0.28$	$6.0\pm0.34$	$6.4\pm0.34$	$6.4\pm0.44$	$27.0\pm0.84$	$37.3\pm0.87$
27.5	35	$8.4\pm0.20$	$6.4\pm0.26$	$5.5\pm0.31$	$5.0\pm0.31$	$5.6\pm0.50$	$21.1\pm0.77$	$29.5\pm0.79$
30	42	$6.67\pm0.18$	$5.8\pm0.23$	$4.3\pm0.28$	$4.7\pm0.28$	$5.3\pm0.59$	$17.2\pm0.69$	$24.5\pm0.72$
32.5	32	$5.7\pm0.23$	$5.2\pm0.30$	$4.6\pm0.35$	$4.6\pm0.36$	$4.7\pm0.88$	$15.9\pm0.88$	$21.7\pm0.91$
35	37	$5.1\pm0.20$	$6.1\pm0.25$	$5.8\pm0.29$	$5.2\pm0.31$	$5.8\pm0.63$	$19.4\pm0.74$	$24.6\pm0.76$
37.5	29	$5.0\pm0.22$	$5.9\pm0.29$	$4.3\pm0.34$	$4.9\pm0.35$	$4.7\pm0.88$	$16.6\pm0.84$	$21.6\pm0.87$
40	9	$4.5 \pm 0.50$	5.3 ± 0.63	$4.9\pm0.74$	$3.5 \pm 0.75$	$4.8\pm0.97$	17.4 ± 1.84	22.0 ± 1.91

Table 5 Duration (	d + SE	) of immature stages	of female I	inoscolis rufa at	t eight constant tem	neratures and 75% RH
Table 5. Duration (	u – or	) of minature stages	UI ICIIIAIC L	ιρυδιεμό τάγα α	i eigni constant tem	peratures and 7570 mm.

ANOVA results for egg, N1, N2, N3, N4, combined nymphal, and combined immature stages were F = 91.8, P < 0.0001; F = 8.1, P = 0.0005; F = 5.2, P = 0.0043; F = 3.3, P = 0.0281; F = 3.4, P = 0.0245; F = 23.6, P < 0.0001; and F = 46.3, P < 0.0001, respectively; in all cases df = 7,14.

<sup>a</sup> Values of n for N3 at 35 and 37.5°C were 34 and 28 respectively.

<sup>b</sup> Values of n for N4 at 22.5, 25, 27.5, 30, 32.5, 35, 37.5, and 40°C were 23, 27, 20, 17, 10, 17, 10, and 6 respectively.

	iniatui e stages		scens raju	at constant temp	cratures.	
Subject	$\frac{Maximum}{R^2}$	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	F	a	b	С
Egg duration	0.98	0.96	285.3	62.07 ± 4.31	$-3.08 \pm 0.287$	$0.0413 \pm 0.0045$
N1 duration	0.77	0.65	23.6	$37.815 \pm 6.83$	$-1.846 \pm 0.447$	$0.0262 \pm 0.0071$
N2 duration	0.65	0.34	7.8	$20.879\pm5.78$	$-0.924\pm0.378$	$0.0132 \pm 0.0060$

 $17.969 \pm 7.98$ 

 $20.782\pm 6.02$ 

 $145.12 \pm 19.14$ 

 $204.69 \pm 20.22$ 

 $-0.676 \pm 0.523$ 

 $-0.869 \pm 0.394$ 

 $-7.359 \pm 1.254$ 

 $-10.26 \pm 1.32$ 

 $0.0083 \pm 0.0083$ 

 $0.0119 \pm 0.0063$ 

 $0.1049 \pm 0.020$ 

 $0.1434 \pm 0.0211$ 

Table 6. Parameters  $(\pm SE)$  for quadratic equations describing the duration of the egg, individual nymphal, combined nymphal, and combined immature stages of female *Liposcelis rufa* at constant temperatures.

N1, N2, N3, and N4 represent the 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, and 4<sup>th</sup> instars, respectively;

0.33

0.40

0.79

0.89

0.54

0.59

0.87

0.94

In all cases df = 2,21 and P < 0.001.

N3 duration

N4 duration

Nymphal duration

Egg + nymphal duration

Lack-of-fit *P*-values for the duration of the egg, N1, N2, N3, N4, combined nymphal, and combined immature stages were 0.09, 0.37, 0.13, 0.55, 0.55, 0.27, and 0.23 respectively.

7.5

9.8

45.9

99.0

Temperature (°C)	Proportion of nymphs surviving to adult
22.5	$0.76 \pm 0.03c$
25	$0.90 \pm 0.01a$
27.5	$0.86 \pm 0.03$ abc
30	$0.91 \pm 0.03a$
32.5	$0.88 \pm 0.04 ab$
35	$0.78 \pm 0.02 bc$
37.5	$0.82 \pm 0.04$ abc
40	$0.34 \pm 0.08 d$

 Table 7. Proportion of Liposcelis rufa nymphs (± SE) surviving to adult.

ANOVA results for proportion of nymphs surviving were F = 16.6; df = 7,14; and P < 0.01.

Means within the column followed by the same letter are not significantly different.

## **List of Figures**

**Fig. 1.** Development of male *Liposcelis rufa* at constant temperatures and 75% RH: (A) eggs, (B) first, (C) second, and (D) third instars, and (E) combined nymphal and (F) combined immature stages.

**Fig. 2.** Development of female *Liposcelis rufa* at constant temperatures and 75% RH: (A) eggs, (B) first, (C) second, and (D) third instars.

**Fig 3**. Development of female *Liposcelis rufa* at constant temperature and 75% RH: (A) fourth instars and (B) combined nymphal and (C) combined immature stages.



Fig. 1.


Fig. 2



Fig. 3.

**CHAPTER IV** 

# REPRODUCTIVE PARAMETERS OF THE PSOCID *LIPOSCELIS RUFA* (PSOCOPTERA: LIPOSCELIDIDAE) AT CONSTANT TEMPERATURES

(To be submitted to Journal of Economic Entomology)

#### Abstract

The effects of seven temperatures on the reproductive parameters of the psocid Liposcelis rufa Broadhead (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) were investigated at 75% RH. Peak oviposition rates (eggs/female/wk) at temperatures of 25, 27.5, 30, 32.5, 35, 37.5, and 40.0°C were 8.2, 10.9, 13.7, 14.7, 15.4, 13.8, and 8.7, respectively. At these temperatures, L. rufa laid 60, 64, 71, 88, 89, 94 and 94%, respectively, of the total number of eggs in the first four weeks. Mean weekly oviposition rate increased with temperature and was highest at 35°C (4.7 eggs/female/wk). The longest preoviposition and postoviposition periods were observed at 25°C and were 2.5 d and 42.9 d, respectively. Oviposition period and longevity decreased with increasing temperature - at  $25^{\circ}$ C, these parameters were 93 and 139 d, respectively, and at 40°C, they were 26 and 36 d, respectively. The longest lived individuals lived for 32, 32, 22, 15, 17, 12, and 9 wk at 25, 27.5, 30, 32.5, 35, 37.5, and 40°C, respectively. Intrinsic rate of population increase increased with temperature until  $32.5^{\circ}C$  (0.18) and then declined. The temperature-dependent equations that I have developed for preoviposition, postoviposition, and oviposition periods, oviposition rate, fecundity, longevity, and percentage of life spent in oviposition can be used in simulation models to predict L. rufa population dynamics for the development of effective management strategies.

**KEY WORDS** Stored products, longevity, fecundity, oviposition period, stored grain

Psocids of the genus *Liposcelis* (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) have risen to prominence as serious pests of stored-product commodities all over the world (Rees 1998, Nayak 2006, Throne et al. 2006) in the last two decades. Prior to 1990s in Australia and China and 2000s in the United States, psocids were not considered as pests (Phillips and Throne 2010). In some parts of countries such as Australia, they have now become the most frequently encountered storage pests in some areas (Rees 2003). Differential response of psocids to commonly used residual insecticides and the fumigant phosphine (Nayak et al. 1998, Nayak 2006, Nayak and Collins 2008); the economic losses they cause by feeding on germ and endosperm (Kučerová 2002a); the deterioration in quality of stored products they cause through the presence of live and dead specimens, exuviae, and feces (Obr 1978); and the fact that markets increasingly view psocids as contaminants (Nayak 2006) have contributed to the worldwide recognition of psocids as pests of stored-product commodities.

Fifteen out of sixteen species of Psocoptera that are considered pests of stored products are found in the United States (Ahemdani et al. 2010). However, not all are reported as pests. For example, *Liposcelis rufa* Broadhead, recently found infesting stored wheat in Oklahoma (Gautam et al. 2010), was previously reported from Hawaii (Mockford and Krushelnycky 2008), but was not considered a pest then. Psocid species known to infest stored grain in North America (Mockford 1993, Lienhard and Smithers 2002) are *Lepinotus reticulatus* Enderlein (Psocoptera: Trogiidae), *Liposcelis bostrychophila* Badonnel, *Liposcelis brunnea* Motschulsky, *Liposcelis corrodens* Heymons, *Liposcelis decolor* (Pearman), *Liposcelis entomophila* (Enderlein), and *Liposcelis paeta* Pearman. In addition, *L. rufa* has been found infesting stored wheat in

Oklahoma (Gautam et al. 2010). Prior to this, *L. rufa* had also been found in stored rice in Portugal (Kučerová 2006) and in imported wood in Australia (Rees 2008). It is very likely that *L. rufa* has been infesting stored commodities for a long time before these preceding recent reports.

Until recently, there was no published information on the biology and ecology of *L. rufa.* However, development of an effective management program for any pest is dependent on having sound knowledge of its ecology. Therefore, Gautam et al. (2010) studied the effects of temperature and relative humidity on population growth and development of *L. rufa* over a range of temperatures and relative humidities. They found that *L. rufa* may have a broader ecological distribution compared to other *Liposcelis* spp. because it can survive and multiply at 55% RH at temperatures of 22.5 to 30°C and at temperatures as high as 40°C, at 75% RH. They also developed temperature dependent developmental equations to elucidate *L. rufa* population dynamics. However, there are currently no published studies on the effects of temperature on the reproductive parameters of *L. rufa*. Reproductive parameters affect population dynamics, and information on these parameters can be used in simulation models to predict *L. rufa* population dynamics. Therefore, the objective of the present study is to determine the reproductive parameters of this species over a range of temperatures.

#### **Materials and Methods**

*Insects.* Cultures used in this study were started with insects collected from steel bins containing wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) located at the Stored Product Research and Education Center, Stillwater, OK. Voucher specimens of 100 male and female *L. rufa* preserved in 95% ethyl alcohol that were used for this study were deposited at K. C.

Emerson Entomology Museum at Oklahoma State University under lot numbers 100 (males) and 101 (females). Psocids were reared on a mixture of cracked hard red winter wheat, rice krispies (Kellogg Company, Battle Creek, MI), and wheat germ (93, 5, and 2% wt/wt: referred to as psocid diet hereafter) in 360-ml glass canning jars with mite-proof lids (Opit and Throne 2008a). The inner top one third portion of the glass jar was coated with Fluon® (polytetrafluoroethylene; Northern Products, Woonsocket, RI) to prevent psocids from gathering on the lids. The cultures were maintained at  $30 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C and  $75 \pm 5\%$  RH.

Obtaining 1- to 2-Week-Old Adults. One to two-wk-old female L. rufa were obtained by placing 0.5 g of colored psocid diet (Opit and Throne 2008b), five particles of cracked wheat, 20 mg of wheat germ, and 30 adult females from the laboratory cultures in each of two hundred 35-mm-diameter Petri dishes (Greiner Bio-One, Kaysville, UT). The inner walls of the Petri dishes were coated with Fluon® to prevent psocids from escaping. Colored diet was used as substrate for laying eggs because L. rufa prefers laying on and among diet particles, which makes it easier to determine the number of eggs being laid for the experiment. The Petri dishes were placed on false floors in four Rubbermaid plastic boxes (37 by 22 by 13 cm in height) which contained saturated NaCl beneath their false floors to maintain  $75 \pm 5\%$  RH (Greenspan 1977). The plastic boxes used for the experiment were painted black to exclude light and mimic dark conditions in which L. rufa is usually found. The boxes were then kept in  $30 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C. After seven days, all live females from each Petri dish were removed using a moist brush and the contents of fifty Petri dishes were poured in a 360-ml glass jar containing 80 g of psocid diet. The inner one third portion of each jar was coated with Fluon<sup>®</sup> and closed

using a mite proof lid. The jars were returned to the incubator  $(30 \pm 1^{\circ}C \text{ and } 75 \pm 5\%$  RH). After 30 days, adult females found in the jars were approximately 1-2 wk old (Gautam et al. 2010).

**Obtaining Freshly Emerged Adults.** Freshly emerged adults for the experiment were obtained by placing 0.5 g of colored-psocid diet, five particles of cracked wheat, 20 mg wheat germ, and thirty 1-to 2-wk-old females in each of sixty 35-mm Petri dishes. The inner walls of the Petri dishes were coated with Fluon<sup>®</sup> to prevent psocids from escaping. The Petri dishes were then placed on perforated false floors in two plastic boxes (37 by 22 by 13 cm in height) which contained saturated NaCl solution beneath the false floors and were kept in an incubator maintained at  $30 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C. After 7 d, all live psocids were removed from each Petri dish. The colored diet containing eggs in each 35mm Petri dish was transferred to a 9-cm Petri dish containing 20 pieces of cracked wheat. Transfer was done in such a way that the top part of the colored diet in each 35-mm Petri dish remained at the top in a 9-cm Petri dish to ensure psocids could move freely after hatching. Sixty 9-cm Petri dishes were then placed in box (42 by 29 by 24 cm in height) containing saturated NaCl beneath the false floor to attain  $75 \pm 5\%$  RH and held at  $30 \pm$ 1°C. L. rufa takes approximately 25 d to develop from egg to adult at 30°C (Gautam et al. 2010). Therefore, after 10 days, each Petri dish was checked daily for adult females. Freshly emerged adult females found were removed and used for determining reproductive parameters. The date each adult female was removed from a 9-cm Petri dish was noted. Adult females are easily distinguished from nymphs using body color - adults are brownish whereas nymphs are pale yellowish in color.

*Diet Equilibration.* Five pieces of cracked wheat were placed in each of one hundred and forty 35-mm Petri dishes, inner walls of which were coated with Fluon<sup>®</sup>. The contents of all Petri dishes were then equilibrated at room temperature and  $75 \pm 5\%$  RH over a 4-wk period before use. Fifty grams of colored diet were also equilibrated under the aforementioned conditions. On the day daily checking of 9-cm Petri dishes for freshly emerged adult females was initiated, 20 mg of colored diet were added to each of the 35-mm Petri dishes. Freshly emerged females were transferred from 9-cm Petri dishes to 35-mm Petri dishes with equilibrated diet; each 35-mm Petri dish received a single female. A single freshly emerged male was also placed in each 35-mm Petri dish. The 20 mg of colored diet in each Petri dish provided a substrate for psocids to lay eggs. Petri dishes containing pairs of psocids were randomly assigned to each of seven plastic boxes (37 by 22 by 13 cm in height) containing saturated NaCl beneath the false floors.

*Effects of Temperature on Reproductive Parameters.* The seven plastic boxes, containing 35-mm Petri dishes with pairs of newly emerged adult male and female psocids and equilibrated diet, were randomly assigned to one of seven incubators set at temperatures of 25, 27.5, 30, 32.5, 35, 37.5, and 40°C. Because adult emergence in the 9-cm Petri dishes could not provide all the 140 adult females required to set up all the Petri dishes on a single day, care was taken to ensure that a similar number of freshly emerged adult females were allocated to each of the seven boxes (temperatures) each day until every box received 20 females. After each box received 20 females, all the adult males in the 9-cm Petri dishes were transferred to a single 9-cm Petri dish containing 15 g of red colored diet and 2.5 g of cracked wheat and were kept at  $30 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C and  $75 \pm 5\%$  RH. This was done to ensure that the males used for replacement of dead or lost males (paired with

females) during the course of the experiment were of similar age. However, loss or death of males seldom happened during the experiment.

Each Petri dish containing a pair of psocids was checked daily using a stereomicroscope (Stemi 2000-C, Carl Zeiss, Thornwood, NY) until the adult female in it died. During checking, any eggs found were counted and removed using a moist brush. When the amount of colored diet in a Petri dish was depleted to 30% of the original amount present (due to egg removal), 20 mg were added. However, addition of colored diet seldom happened. In order to keep the Petri dishes clean, psocid feces were removed using a moist brush during the checking of the Petri dishes for eggs. Boxes were also checked to ensure that the salt solution (NaCl) was still saturated; this was done by making sure that the desired amount of the solute and solution of the saturated solution were present in each box.

*Data Analysis*. The experiment had three temporal replications and the experimental design used was randomized complete block (RCBD) with sub sampling. All statistical procedures were accomplished using Statistical Analysis System software (SAS Institute 2001). PROC GLM was used for analysis of variance (ANOVA) to determine the effects of temperature on preoviposition period, postoviposition period, oviposition period, fecundity, longevity, and the percentage of total life span spent in oviposition. Data for the first five parameters and the percentage of the life span spent in oviposition were transformed using the square-root and arcsine square-root transformations, respectively, to stabilize variances before analysis. Untransformed means and standard errors are reported to simplify interpretation. Temperature-dependent equations for preoviposition period, postoviposition period, oviposition period,

oviposition rate, fecundity, longevity, and percentage of the life span spent in oviposition were developed by regressing data for each of these parameters against temperature using TableCurve 2D (Systat Software, Inc. 2002). Weekly survivorship data were subjected to survival analysis using PROC LIFEREG and the Wald chi-square to test the equality of the survival curves among different temperatures (SAS Institute 2002).

*Life Table parameters.* The net reproductive rate ( $R_o$ ) for each temperature was calculated using age-specific life tables [ $R_o = \sum l_x m_x$ , where  $l_x$  and  $m_x$  are age-specific survival rate and fecundity, respectively] (Birch 1948). The generation time (T) for each temperature was calculated by adding development time from egg to adult (Gautam et al. 2010) to the preoviposition period. The intrinsic rate of increase (r) (Birch 1948) was calculated as:

$$r = \ln(R_o)/T$$

The population doubling time, *t*, was calculated as:

$$t = 0.693/r$$
.

#### Results

Temperature had significant effect on all the parameters studied. Fecundity increased with temperature up to 30°C and then declined (Table 1, Fig 3E). Numbers of eggs laid during a female's lifetime varied from 63 at 30°C to 28 at 40°C (Table 1). At 25, 27.5, 30, 35, and 37.5°C the highest oviposition rates (eggs/female/week) occurred in week 2, and oviposition rates attained were 8.2, 10.9, 13.7, 15.4, and 13.8 respectively (Fig. 1). At these temperatures, *L. rufa* laid 60, 64, 71, 89, and 94%, respectively, of the total number of eggs in the first four weeks. At 32.5°C, the highest oviposition rate occurred in week 1 (14.7) and 88% of all eggs were laid in the first four weeks. At 40°C

the highest oviposition rate occurred in week 1 and was 8.7 (Fig. 1); *L. rufa* laid 94% of all eggs in the first four weeks at this temperature. The steepness of the decline in oviposition rate from the peak rate increased with temperature until 37.5°C then declined (Fig. 1). Highest individual oviposition rate recorded was at 35°C, and was 3.1 eggs per day (21.8 eggs per wk); the oviposition period for this female was 34 days, during which it laid 106 eggs. However, the highest individual fecundity was recorded at 32.5°C (137 eggs). Mean weekly oviposition rate increased with temperature up to 35°C (4.7 eggs/female/wk) and then declined (Fig. 2, Table 2).

Survival analysis of the weekly survivorship data showed significant differences among insects exposed to different temperatures (Wald  $\chi^2 = 775.9$ ; df = 1; *P* < 0.0001). Survivorship decreased more rapidly with increasing temperature. At 25, 27.5, 30, 32.5, 35, 37.5, and 40°C, it took 32, 32, 22, 15, 17, 12, and 9 wks, respectively, for all females to die (Fig. 1). For higher temperatures (32.5, 35, 37.5 and 40°C), the steepest decline in survivorship occurred immediately or nearly so after the peak oviposition rate had been reached (Fig. 1).

Preoviposition and postoviposition periods generally decreased with temperature then increased (Fig. 3A & B, Tables 1 and 2). The longest mean preoviposition period (2.53 d) was recorded at 25°C, which decreased with temperature until 35°C and increased (Fig 3 A, Table 1). The longest mean postoviposition period (42.9 d) was recorded at 25°C, it decreased to 6.2 d at 37.5°C before increasing to 8.2 d at 40°C (Fig. 3B, Table 1).

Oviposition period and longevity also decreased with temperature (Fig. 3C & D, respectively; Tables 1 and 2). At 25°C, these parameters averaged 93 and 139 d,

respectively, and at 40°C, they were 26 and 36 d, respectively. The longest lifespan recorded was 219 d at 27.5°C. The percentage of the total lifespan spent in oviposition increased from 67% at 25°C to 82% at 32.5°C and then declined to 74% at 40°C (Fig 3 F, Tables 1 & 2).

Generation time and population doubling time declined with temperature until 32.5°C (22.8 and 3.9 d, respectively) and then increased (Table 3). Intrinsic rate of population increase increased with temperature until 32.5°C (0.18) and then declined (Table 3). The net reproductive rate increased with temperature until 32.5°C (60.24) and then declined at higher temperatures (Table 3).

#### Discussion

All reproductive parameters varied with temperature. Intrinsic rate of population increase for *L. rufa* increased with temperature until 32.5°C (0.179) and then declined. If the intrinsic rate of increase at 32.5°C is considered the optimal fitness of 1, then the fitness of *L. rufa* at 25, 27.5, 30, 35, 37.5, and 40°C equals 0.53, 0.72, 0.90, 0.86, 0.84, and 0.72, respectively. Highest intrinsic rates of increase for *Lepinotus reticulatus* (Opit et al. 2010a), *Liposcelis badia* Wang, Wang, and Lienhard (Jiang et al. 2008), *L. bostrychophila* (Wang et al. 2000), *L. decolor* (Tang et al. 2008), *L. paeta* (Wang et al. 2009), and *L. tricolor* Badonnel (Dong et al. 2007) occurred at 32.5, 27.5, 30, 32.5, 32.5, and 30°C, respectively. Intrinsic rates of increase at these temperatures were 0.128, 0.0455, 0.0946, 0.0609, 0.0542, and 0.0367, respectively. At optimal temperatures for intrinsic rate of increase, *L. rufa* has the highest potential for population growth among these psocid species. Even at suboptimal temperatures for intrinsic rate of population

increase, the potential for *L. rufa* population growth appears to be higher than that of other *Liposcelis* spp.

Fecundity was highest at 30°C (63 eggs per female). The lowest fecundity was observed at  $40^{\circ}$ C (28 eggs per female), which may indicate this species is adapted to live in high temperature environments. I found that L. rufa can live for up to 9 wk at 40°C. The optimum temperatures for population growth of most psocid species infesting stored products seems to range from 30 to 35°C (Rees and Walker 1990). However, Gautam et al. (2010), in their study on the effects of temperature and relative humidity on L. rufa population growth and development, demonstrated this species can reproduce at temperatures as high as 40°C. They found that populations of L. rufa increased under conditions of 22.5 to 30°C at 55% RH and 22.5 to 40°C at 75% RH; and population growth at 32.5 and 37.5°C was similar. However, a temperature of 40°C resulted in retarded population growth which may partly be explained by the fact that only 34% of eggs that hatch develop into adults at  $40^{\circ}$ C (Gautam et al. 2010). The observation that the highest population growth for L. rufa occurs at 35°C (Gautam et al. 2010) and the fact that the highest oviposition rate is at 35°C, a temperature at which L. reticulatus, L. brunnea, and L. pearmani would barely grow (Opit and Throne 2008, 2009; Opit et al. 2010a, 2010b) seem to suggest that *L. rufa* is suited to warm and humid environments. This may explain why L. rufa infestation has been found on stored wheat in Oklahoma but not in Kansas.

*L. decolor* and *L. paeta* are the only other psocid species believed to be adapted to higher temperatures (Beckett and Mortan 2003). In the present study, I found that the intrinsic rate of increase, fecundity, and longevity of *L. rufa* are greater than those of *L*.

*decolor* and *L. paeta* at higher temperatures (Tang et al. 2008, Wang et al. 2009). For example, at 37.5°C, intrinsic rate of population increase, fecundity, and longevity for *L. decolor* and *L. paeta* are 0.0112 and 0.0504; 20.3 and 17.8; and 27 and 25 d respectively, whereas the values of these parameters for *L. rufa* are 0.151, 44.4, and 38 d, respectively. This may mean that *L. rufa* is more suited to warm climates than *L. paeta* and *L. decolor*. According to Guedes et al (2008), the presence of heat inducible proteins in genus *Liposcelis* may explain the tolerance of these three species to higher temperatures.

As expected, oviposition period was longer and the percentage of eggs laid in the first 4 wk was smaller at lower temperatures than at higher temperatures. At 25, 27.5, 30, 35, and 37.5°C, oviposition peaked in the second week and oviposition rates (eggs/female/week) were 8.2, 10.9, 13.7, 15.4, and 13.8, respectively. The last egg oviposited was after 31, 25, 21, 17, and 10 wk, respectively, and the percentages of eggs laid in the first 4-wk were 60, 64, 71, 89, and 94%, respectively. For 32.5°C, oviposition rate was highest in week 1 (14.7) and the last egg oviposited was after 13 wk; L. rufa laid 88% of the total number of eggs in the first four weeks. At 40°C, oviposition peaked in the first wk, oviposition rate was 8.7; and the last egg oviposited was after 7 wk. At this temperature, 94%, of the eggs were laid in the first 4 wk. These observations may be explained by the fact that the physiological processes of converting resources to eggs and of egg maturation are temperature dependent (Berger et al. 2008). According to them, under certain thermal regimes, realized fecundity can be limited by the temperature dependence of egg maturation and oviposition, because at suboptimal temperatures, insect would be diverting the energy towards the maintenance of body functions. Other studies have also determined that oviposition peaks occur earlier with increasing

temperature. For example, L. reticulatus oviposition which peaked in week 3 at 25°C peaked at week 2 at 32.5° (Opit et al. 2010a). L. rufa produces the largest number of eggs at 30°C; L. bostrychophila at 27.5 and 30°C (Wang et al. 2000); L. reticulatus (Opit et al. 2010a), L. tricolor (Dong et al. 2007), and L. paeta (Wang et al. 2009) at 27.5°C, and L. decolor (Tang et al. 2008) at 32.5°C. At these optimal temperatures, L. rufa, L. bostrychophila, L. reticulatus, L. tricolor, L. paeta, and L. decolor produce 63, 75, 41, 54, 108, and 130 eggs, respectively. L. rufa produces 44 and 28 eggs at 37.5 and 40°C, respectively. As already mentioned, other species which have been documented to oviposit large numbers of eggs at high temperatures are, L. decolor (Tang et al. 2008) and L. paeta (Wang et al. 2009), which produce 74 and 25, and 102 and 20 eggs, respectively, at 35 and 37.5°C. It is quite remarkable for these small (up to 1mm long), soft bodied insects to produce such a large number of eggs at these high temperatures and indicates an adaptation to warmer climates as mentioned earlier. L. badia produces an average of 19, 30, 18, and 15 eggs at 27.5, 30, 32.5, and 35°C, respectively, but produces the largest number of eggs (52) at 20°C (Jiang et al. 2008). Therefore, based on fecundity alone, the order of increasing adaptation to warm climates for these psocids is L. badia, L. reticulatus, L. tricolor, L. bostrychophila, L. decolor, L. paeta, and L. rufa. At the optimal egg laying temperatures, the average lifetime fecundities of L. bostrychophila, L. paeta, and L. decolor are higher than those of L. badia, L. reticulatus, L. rufa, and L. *tricolor*; coupled with this, the first group can lay on average 52, 50, and 65 eggs, respectively, at the low temperature of 20°C. These two facts may explain why L. bostrychophila, L. decolor, and L. paeta are much more serious pests of stored products worldwide compared to L. badia, L. reticulatus, L. rufa, and L. tricolor. In addition, the

fecundity of *L. entomophila* is probably higher than that of *L. reticulatus* based on a study by Opit and Throne (2008a) which showed that the maximum number of progeny produced by five *L. reticulatus* females in 32 d at 30°C on a diet of cracked wheat was 115, while *L. entomophila* produced 502. I found some *L. rufa* individuals that were capable of prolific egg production, laying up to 7 eggs at 32.5 and 35°C in one day. A psocid egg measures 0.33 to 0.38 mm in length (Kučerová 2002b), this fact, together with the small size of psocid (1mm) implies that *L. rufa* can lay about 250% of the total body volume of eggs per day.

*L. rufa* and other aforementioned psocid species produce fewer eggs compared to stored-product beetle pests. For example, *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Fabricius) (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae) and *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) produce 400 eggs in a lifetime of 3 mo; *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst) (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) produces up to 1,000 eggs in a lifetime of a few months (Pedersen 1992, Rees 2004). According to Throne (1994) a maize weevil female, *Sitophilus zeamais* Motschulsky, can lay as many as 6.7 eggs per day at optimal conditions. However, psocids appear to compensate for their low fecundity by having higher intrinsic rates of increase. For example, the intrinsic rate of increase of *L. rufa* at 25°C is 0.097 while that of *T. castaneum* ranges between 0.005 and 0.025 (Pimentel et al. 2006). This may explain why much larger psocid populations are found infesting stored products compared to beetles.

I observed that *L. rufa* oviposition period and longevity declined with increasing temperature. A possible explanation for this may be that the higher egg maturation rates that occur at higher temperatures are associated with an overall higher metabolism which could reduce the life span (Papaj 2000, Jervis et al. 2005). At higher temperatures, they

may also be allocating significantly more energy resources to egg production than maintenance of body functions thereby resulting in reduced performance and survival (Papaj 2000, Carey 2001, Jervis et al. 2005, 2007). It is reasonable that at 25 and 40°C, L. rufa has a proportionately shorter oviposition period than at optimal temperatures because of the diversion of resources from egg production and maturation that may occur at these temperatures. This may also explain why the percentage of the total lifespan spent in oviposition increased from 67% at 25°C to 82.0% at 32.5°C and then declined to 74% at 40°C. Decline in oviposition period with temperature has also been shown in L. reticulatus (Opit et al. 2010a), where this parameter increased from 79% at 22.5°C to 85% at 32.5°C and declined to 75% at 35°C. Similar results have been demonstrated for L. badia (Jiang et al. 2008), L. decolor (Tang et al. 2008), and L. paeta (Wang et al. 2009). Moreover, longevity has also been shown to decline with increasing temperature in L. badia, L. reticulatus, L. tricolor, L. decolor, and L. paeta. However, contrary to what has been observed for other psocid species, longevity of L. bostrychophila increased with increasing temperature from 20°C until 30°C and then declined (Wang et al. 2000). Average life span of L. rufa at 25°C (139 d) is higher when compared to L. badia, L. bostrychophila, L. reticulatus, L. decolor, L. paeta, (60, 85, 39, 71 and 107 d, respectively). However, longevity of L. tricolor is exceptionally longer (262 d at  $20^{\circ}$ C), compared to other psocids.

Preoviposition period at 25, 27.5, 37.5, and 40°C was longer than at of 30, 32.5, and 35°C, it declined with temperature up to optimum temperature range and then increased. This is most probably due to already stated reasons related to resource allocation, egg production, and egg maturation. Similar trends were demonstrated for preoviposition period in *L. badia*, *L. bostrychophila*, *L. decolor*, and *L. tricolor*. However, Opit et al. (2010) only observed a decline in preoviposition period of *L. reticulatus* with increasing temperature. Postoviposition period declined with increasing temperature up to 37.5°C and then increased for the same reasons. Similar trends were shown by Opit et al. (2010). However, they found that all *L. reticulatus* adults died within 8 and 4 d after cessation of oviposition at 25 and 27.5°C. In the case of *L. rufa*, I found this period to be 43 and 27 d, respectively.

My research has shown that *L. rufa* has a higher intrinsic rate of increase compared to other psocid species. It oviposits over a wide temperature range of 25 to 40°C, but the greatest numbers of eggs are laid at 30°C. *L. rufa* appears to be adapted to surviving in higher temperatures and relative humidities (40°C and 75% RH) and may therefore be a problem in hot and humid climates. I have also shown that *L. rufa* adults can live for 7 months at temperatures of 25 and 27.5°C. Finally, I have developed temperature-dependent equations for preoviposition period, postoviposition period, oviposition period, fecundity, longevity, oviposition rate, and percentage of lifespan spent in oviposition, which can be used in simulation models to aid in developing more effective management strategies for this species.

### Acknowledgements

I thank Edmond Bonjour and Kandara Shakya for their technical support; and J. Dillwith, J. Throne, and R. Grantham, for reviewing an earlier draft of this manuscript. In addition, I would like to extend my appreciation to Edward Mockford who confirmed the identity of *L. rufa*. This work was funded by the Oklahoma Agricultural Experiment Station (Project No. OKLO2695). Mention of trade names or commercial products in this publication is solely for the purpose of providing specific information and does not imply recommendation or endorsement by Oklahoma State University.

#### **References Cited**

Ahmedani, M. S., N. Shagufta, M. Aslam, and S. A. Hussnain. 2010. Psocid: A new risk for global food security and safety. Appl. Entomol. Zool. 45: 89-100.

**Beckett, S. J., and R. Morton. 2003.** The mortality of three species of Psocoptera, *Liposcelis bostrychophila* Badonnel, *Liposcelis decolor* Pearman, and *Liposcelis paeta* Pearman, at moderately elevated temperatures. J. Stored Prod. Res. 39: 103-347.

**Berger, D., R. Walters, and K. Gotthard. 2008.** What limits insect fecundity? Body size- and temperature-dependent egg maturation and oviposition in a butterfly. Funct. Ecol. 22: 523-529.

**Birch, L. C. 1948.** The intrinsic rate of natural increase of an insect population. J. Anim. Ecol. 17: 15-26.

Carey, J. R. 2001. Insect biodemography. Ann. Rev. Entomol. 46: 79-110.

**Dong, P., J. J. Wang, F. X. Jia, and F. Hu. 2007.** Development and reproduction of the psocid *Liposcelis tricolor* (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) as a function of temperature. Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 100: 228-235.

Gautam, S. G., G. P. Opit, and K. L. Giles. 2010. Population Growth and Development of the Psocid *Liposcelis rufa* (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) at Constant Temperatures and Relative Humidities. J. Econ. Entomol. 103: 1920-1928.

**Greenspan, L. 1977.** Humidity fixed points of binary saturated aqueous solutions. J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand. A. 81: 89-96.

Guedes, R.N.C., K. Y. Zhu, G. P. Opit, and J. E. Throne. 2008. Differential heat shock tolerance and expression of heat-inducible proteins in two stored-product psocids. J. Econ. Entomol. 101: 1974-1982.

Jervis, M. A., C. L. Boggs, and P. N. Ferns. 2005. Egg maturation strategy and its associated trade-offs: a synthesis focusing on Lepidoptera. Ecol. Entomol. 30: 359-375.

Jervis, M. A., P. N. Ferns, and C. L. Boggs. 2007. A trade-off between female lifespan and larval diet breadth at the interspecific level in Lepidoptera. Evol. Ecol. 30: 359-375.

Jiang, H., J. Liu, Z. I. Wang, and J. Wang. 2008. Temperature-dependent development and reproduction of a novel stored product psocid, *Liposcelis badia* (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae). Environ. Entomol. 37: 1105-1112.

**Kučerová, Z. 2002a.** Weight losses of wheat grains caused by psocid infestation (*Liposcelis bostrychophila*: Liposcelididae: Psocoptera). Plant Prot. Sci. 38: 103-107.

**Kučerová, Z. 2002b.** Stored product psocids (Psocoptera): External morphology of eggs. Eur. J. Entomol. 99: 491-503.

**Kučerová, Z., M. O. Carvalho, and V. Stejskal. 2006.** Faunistic records of new stored product psocids (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) for Portugal. pp. 1104-1107. *In* I. Lorini, B. Bacaltchuk, H. Beckel, D. Deckers, E. Sundfeld, J. P. dos Santos, J. D. Biagi, J. C. Celaro, L. R. D'A. Faroni, L de O. F. Bortolini, M. R. Sartori, M. C. Elias, R.N.C. Guedes, R. G. da Fonseca, and V. M. Scussel (Eds.). Proceedings of the 9<sup>th</sup> International Working Conference on Stored Product Protection, October 15-18, 2006, Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil. Brazilian Post-harvest Association – ABRAPOS, Campinas, Brazil. Brazilian Post-harvest Associação Brasileira de Pós-Colheita (ABRAPOS), Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil.

Lienhard, C., and C. N. Smithers. 2002. Psocoptera (Insecta) world catalogue and bibliography. Instrumenta Biodiversitatis V, Museum d'histoire naturelle, Geneve, Switzerland.

**Mockford, E. L. 1993.** North American Psocoptera. The Sandhill Crane Press, Inc., Gainesville, FL.

**Mockford, E. L., and P. D. Krushelnycky. 2008.** New species and records of *Liposcelis* Motschulsky (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) from Hawaii with first description of the male of *Liposcelis bostrychophila* Badonnel. Zootaxa 1766: 53-68.

Nayak, M. K. 2006. Psocid and mite pests of stored commodities: small but formidable enemies, pp. 1061-1073. *In* I. Lorini, B. Bacaltchuk, H. Beckel, D. Deckers, E. Sundfeld, J. P. dos Santos, J. D. Biagi, J. C. Celaro, L. R. D'A. Faroni, L de O. F. Bortolini, M. R. Sartori, M. C. Elias, R.N.C. Guedes, R. G. da Fonseca, and V. M. Scussel (eds.), Proceedings of the 9th International Working Conference on Stored Product Protection, October 15-18, 2006. Brazilian Post-harvest Association – ABRAPOS, Campinas, Brazil. Brazilian Post-harvest Association – Associação Brasileira de Pós-Colheita (ABRAPOS), Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil.

Nayak, M. K., and P. J. Collins. 2008. Influence of concentration, temperature, and humidity on the toxicity of phosphine to the strongly phosphine resistant psocid *Liposcelis bostrychophila* Badonnel (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae). Pest Manag. Sci. 64: 971-976.

Nayak, M. K., P. J. Collins, and S. R. Reid. 1998. Efficacy of grain protectants and phosphine against *Liposcelis bostrychophila*, *L. entomophila*, and *L. paeta* (Psocoptera: Liposcelidae). J. Econ. Entomol. 91: 1208-1212.

**Obr, S. 1978.** Psocoptera of food-processing plants and storages, dwellings and collections of natural objects in Czechoslovakia. Acta Entomol. Bohemoslov. 75: 226-242.

**Opit, G. P., and J. E. Throne. 2008a.** Effects of diet on population growth of the psocids *Lepinotus reticulatus* Enderlein and *Liposcelis entomophila* (Enderlein). J. Econ. Entomol. 101: 616-622.

**Opit, G. P., and J. E. Throne. 2008b.** Population growth and development of the psocid *Lepinotus reticulatus* at constant temperatures and relative humidities. J. Econ. Entomol. 101: 605-615.

**Opit, G. P., and J. E. Throne. 2009.** Population growth and development of the psocid *Liposcelis brunnea* (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) at constant temperatures and relative humidities. J. Econ. Entomol. 102: 1360-1368.

**Opit, G. P., J. E. Throne, and M. E. Payton. 2010a**. Reproductive Parameters of the Parthenogenetic Psocid *Lepinotus reticulatus* (Psocoptera: Trogiidae) at Constant Temperatures. Environ. Entomol. 39: 1004-1011.

**Opit, G.P., S.G. Gautam, B.A. Aminatou, and J.E. Throne. 2010b.** Ecological studies of the psocids *Liposcelis brunnea, L. rufa, L. pearmani*, and *Lepinotus reticulatus*, pp: 173-179. *In*: Carvalho, O.M, Fields, P.G., Adler, C.S., Arthur, F.H., Athanassiou, C.G., Campbell, J.F., Fleurat-Lessard, F., Flinn, P.W., Hodges, R.J., Isikber, A.A. Navarro, S., Noyes, R.T., Riudavets, J., Sinha, K.K., Thorpe, G.R., Timlick, B.H., Trematerra, P., White, N.D.G. (Eds), Proceedings of the Tenth International Working Conference on Stored Product Protection, 27 June-2 July 2010, Estoril, Portugal, Julius-Kuhn-Archiv, Berlin, Germany.

Papaj, D. R. 2000. Ovarian dynamics and host use. Ann. Rev. Entomol. 45: 423-448.

Pedersen, J. R. 1992. Insects: identification, damage, and detection, pp. 435-489. *In* D.B. Sauer (ed.), Storage of cereal grain and their products. American Association of Cereal Chemists, Inc., St. Paul, MN.

**Phillips, T.W., and J. E. Throne. 2010.** Biorational approaches to managing stored-product insects. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 55: 375-397.

Pimentel, M.A.G., L. R. D'A. Faroni, R.N.C. Guedes, A. P. Neto, and F. M. Garcia.
2006. Phosphine resistance, respiration rate and fitness consequences in *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst) (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae), pp. 345-351. *In* I. Lorini, B.

Bacaltchuk, H. Beckel, D. Deckers, E. Sundfeld, J. P. dos Santos, J. D. Biagi, J. C.

Celaro, L. R. D'A. Faroni, L de O. F. Bortolini, M. R. Sartori, M. C. Elias, R.N.C.

Guedes, R. G. da Fonseca, and V. M. Scussel (eds.). Proceedings of the 9th International

Working Conference on Stored Product Protection. Brazilian Post-harvest Association -

ABRAPOS, Campinas, Brazil. Brazilian Post-harvest Association – Associação

Brasileira de Pós-Colheita (ABRAPOS), Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil.

Rees, D. P. 1998. Psocids as pests of Australian grain storages, pp. 46-51. *In* H. J. Banks, E. J. Wright, and K. A. Damcevski (eds.), Stored grain in Australia. Proceedings of the Australian Postharvest Technical Conference, May 26-29, 1998, Canberra, Australia. CSIRO Entomology, Canberra, Australia.

Rees, D. 2003. Psocoptera (psocids) as pests of bulk grain storage in Australia: a cautionary tale to industry and researchers, pp. 59-64. *In* P. F. Credland, D. M. Armitage, C. H. Bell, P. M. Cogan, and E. Highley (eds.), Advances in stored product protection, Proceedings of the 8th International Working Conference on Stored Product Protection, 22-26 July 2002, York, UK. CAB International, Wallingford, UK.

Rees, D. 2004. Insects of stored products. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria.
Rees, D. 2008. Distribution and status of Psocoptera infesting stored products in
Australia, Proceeding of the 6<sup>th</sup> International Working Conference on Stored-product
Protection, Dec 16. pp 570-582. *In* Highley, E.; Wright, E. J.; Banks, H. J.; Champ, B. R.
(Eds.), Stored Product Protection, Proceedings of the 6th International Working
Conference on Stored-Product Protection, 17-23 April 1994, Canberra, Australia. CAB
International, Wallingford, United Kingdom, 1994. (ISBN 0851989322).

**Rees D. P. and A. J. Walker. 1990.** The effect of temperature and relative humidity on population growth of three *Liposcelis* species (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) infesting stored products in tropical countries. Bull. Entomol. Res. 80: 353-358.

SAS Institute. 2001. The SAS system for Windows, version 8. SAS Institute, Cary, NC.
SAS Institute. 2002. The SAS system for Windows, version 9. SAS Institute, Cary, NC.
Systat Software Inc. 2002. TableCurve 2D, version 5.01. Systat Software Inc., San Jose, CA.

Tang, P. A., J. J. Wang, Y. He, H. B. Jiang, and Z. Y. Wang. 2008. Development, survival, and reproduction of the psocid *Liposcelis decolor* (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) at constant temperatures. Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 101: 1017-1025.

**Throne, J. E. 1994.** Life history of immature maize weevils (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) on corn stored at constant temperatures and relative humidities in the laboratory. Environ. Entomol. 23: 1459-1471.

Throne, J. E., G. P. Opit, and P. W. Flinn. 2006. Seasonal distribution of psocids in stored wheat, pp. 1095-1103. *In* I. Lorini, B. Bacaltchuk, H. Beckel, D. Deckers, E. Sundfeld, J. P. dos Santos, J. D. Biagi, J. C. Celaro, L. R. D'A. Faroni, L de O. F.

Bortolini, M. R. Sartori, M. C. Elias, R.N.C. Guedes, R. G. da Fonseca, and V. M. Scussel (eds.). Proceedings of the 9th International Working Conference on Stored Product Protection. Brazilian Post-harvest Association – ABRAPOS, Campinas, Brazil. Brazilian Post-harvest Association – Associação Brasileira de Pós-Colheita (ABRAPOS), Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil.

Wang, J. J., J. H. Tsai, Z. M. Zhao, and L. S. Li. 2000. Development and reproduction of the psocid *Liposcelis bostrychophila* (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) as a function of temperature. Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 93: 261-270.

Wang, J. J., Y. Ren, X. Q. Wei, and W. Dou. 2009. Development, survival, and reproduction of the psocid *Liposcelis paeta* (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) as a function of temperature. J. Econ. Entomol. 102: 1705-1713.

## Footnotes

<sup>1</sup> Department of Entomology and Plant Pathology, Oklahoma State University, 127 Noble Research Center, Stillwater, OK, 74078-3033, USA.

Temp (°C)	Preoviposition period (d)	Oviposition period (d)	Postoviposition period (d)	Longevity (d)	Fecundity (eggs/♀)	% of life spent in oviposition
25	$2.53 \pm 0.55$	$93.3\pm4.6$	$42.9\pm2.7$	$138.7\pm2.1$	44.7 ± 5.9	$67.4 \pm 2.9$
27.5	$1.51\pm0.19$	90.6 ± 1.5	27.1 ± 3.3	$119.1 \pm 4.8$	$54.6\pm3.8$	$78.6 \pm 1.7$
30	$0.99\pm0.29$	$66.6\pm2.6$	$19.8 \pm 1.5$	$87.3 \pm 4.1$	$62.5\pm4.7$	$78.3 \pm 1.1$
32.5	$1.14\pm0.33$	45.2 ±0.5	$9.4\pm0.6$	$55.7\pm0.2$	$58.6\pm5.6$	81.9 ± 1.1
35	$0.85\pm0.37$	$40.9\pm3.9$	$10.2\pm0.5$	52.1 ± 3.9	$54.1\pm4.8$	$78.7 \pm 1.3$
37.5	$2.13 \pm 1.14$	$29.8\pm2.4$	$6.2\pm0.8$	38.2± 1.4	$44.4\pm9.1$	$78.9 \pm 5.2$
40	$1.99\pm0.93$	$26.3\pm2.7$	$8.2 \pm 1.1$	$36.4 \pm 1.8$	$27.9\pm5.7$	$73.9\pm5.1$

Table 1. Effects of constant temperatures on *Liposcelis rufa* preoviposition period (mean  $\pm$  SE), oviposition period, postoviposition period, longevity, fecundity, and the percentage of adult lifespan spent in oviposition.

F values of ANOVA results for the preoviposition period, oviposition period, postoviposition period, longevity, fecundity, and percentage of lifespan spent in oviposition were 14.32, 17.14, 6.51, 25.94, 7.31, and 1.69. In all cases df = 6,12 and P < 0.0001.

Subject	Maximum R <sup>2</sup>	$R^2$	F	a	b	с
Preoviposition period	0.26	0.03	1.9	7.65 ± 3.20	$-0.011 \pm 0.006$	$0.000005 \pm 0.000003$
Oviposition period	0.96	0.92	123.8	$209.75\pm20.52$	$-0.217 \pm 0.039$	$0.00006 \pm 0.00001$
Postoviposition period*	0.94	0.92	116.7	$-410.33 \pm 76.29$	$-5.54 \pm 1.192$	$7861.068 \pm 1195.954$
Oviposition rate	0.63	0.48	11.4	$-8.169 \pm 3.063$	$0.0203 \pm 0.006$	$-0.0000079 \pm 0.000001$
Longevity	0.98	0.96	261.5	$342.73\pm20.87$	$-0.40 \pm 0.039$	0.00013±0.000017
Fecundity*	0.53	0.43	9.8	$945.421 \pm 228.65$	$-14.46 \pm 3.57$	-13499.01± 3584.39
% life spent in Ovipositi	on* 0.39	0.24	4.9	$434.286 \pm 125.12$	-5.40±1.95	$-5766.537 \pm 1961$

Table 2. Parameters ( $\pm$  SE) describing the effects of constant temperatures on *Liposcelis rufa* preoviposition period, oviposition period, not postoviposition period, oviposition rate, longevity, fecundity, and % of life spent in oviposition.

In the case with an asterisk (\*), equation is of the type y = a + bx + c/x with an adjusted R<sup>2</sup> value. In all other cases, equation is of the type  $y = a + bx^2 + cx^4$ . P values and lack-of-fit *P*-values for preoviposition period, oviposition period, postoviposition period, oviposition rate, longevity, fecundity and percentage of life span spent in oviposition were 0.82, 0.056, 0.59, 0.59, 0.08,

0.99, and 0.89, respectively and P = 0.17, P = 0, P = 0, P = 0.0006, P = 0, P = 0.0013, and P = 0.0196, respectively. In all cases df = 2,20.

Temperature (°C)	Ν	r	$R_o$	Т	t	
25.0	38	$0.094 \pm 0.004$	43.5 ± 6.71	39.8	7.4	
27.5	53	$0.128 \pm 0.002$	$52.7\pm3.24$	31.0	5.4	
30.0	57	$0.161\pm0.003$	$59.75\pm5.47$	25.4	4.3	
32.5	50	$0.179\pm0.005$	$60.24 \pm 7.82$	22.8	3.9	
35.0	59	$0.154\pm0.004$	$51.35\pm6.07$	25.5	4.5	
37.5	53	$0.151 \pm 0.007$	$37.65 \pm 6.24$	23.7	4.6	
40.0	55	0.129± 0.013	$24.65{\pm}7.28$	24.0	5.5	

Table 3. Life table parameters (mean ± SE) of *Liposcelis rufa*.

*N*, number of females in the analysis;  $r_1$  intrinsic rate of population increase;  $R_o$ , net reproductive rate; *T*, generation time (d); and *t*, population doubling time (d).

## **Figure Captions**

Fig. 1. Oviposition and survival of *Liposcelis rufa* at constant temperatures.

Fig. 2. Mean weekly oviposition rate of *Liposcelis rufa* at constant temperatures.

Parameters for the fitted line are in Table 2.

**Fig. 3.** Preoviposition period, postoviposition period, oviposition period, longevity, fecundity, and percentage of life spent in oviposition of *Liposcelis rufa* at constant temperatures. Parameters for the fitted lines are in Table 2.



Fig. 1



Fig. 2



Temperature (°C)

Fig. 3.
#### CHAPTER V

#### CONCLUSIONS

In the last two decades, psocids have become recognized pests of stored products all over the world, including the United States. Despite this, very little information is available on their ecology and biology. However, a good understanding of pest ecology is crucial to the development of effective management strategies. Given the lack of information on *L. rufa*, I initiated experiments to study *L. rufa* biology and the ecology. I investigated the effects of eight constant temperatures (22.5, 25, 27.5, 30, 32.5, 35, 37.5 and 40°C) and four relative humidities (43, 55, 63, and 75%) on the population growth, effects of eight constant temperatures and 75% RH on development, and effects of seven temperatures and 75% RH on reproductive parameters of the psocid *Liposcelis rufa*.

The study on population growth at different temperatures and relative humidities showed that *L. rufa* can survive and multiply at a low relative humidity of 55% RH at 22.5 to 30°C and at the high temperature of 40°C at 75% RH. The optimal conditions for reproduction for this species are 35.0°C and 75% RH; under these conditions *L. rufa* population increased by up to 73-fold. The optimal temperature range for population growth of L. rufa is 30-37.5°C. The ability of *L. rufa* to reproduce at 55% RH (at temperatures 22.5 to 30°C) and their ability to rapidly multiply at higher temperatures

101

(37.5 and 40°C) and high relative humidity (75%) implies that this species may have a broader ecological distribution compared to other psocid species. The lower and upper developmental thresholds for *L. rufa* were calculated to be 8.5 and 38.7°C, respectively, which aids to the earlier statement of adoption of this species to ecological areas with varying temperature and relative humidity.

My findings from the study where I investigated the effects of temperature on L. rufa development show that L. rufa males have a shorter developmental period from egg to adult compared to females. This might be due to the fact that females usually have one more instar compared to males - L. rufa males have two to four instars whereas females have two to five instars. The percentage of males with two, three and four instars were, 31, 54, and 14 %, respectively whereas the percentage of females with two, three, four, and five instars were 2, 24, 42, and 12%, respectively. The shortest development period occurred at 37.5°C; at this temperature, development was completed in 17.5 d for males and 21.6 d for females. Temperature had a significant effect on the developmental time for all life stages of L. rufa. However, egg viability was not affected by temperature. We found nymphal survivorship to be extremely low at 40°C. This low nymphal survivorship at 40°C means high temperature is detrimental to psocid development. I have developed temperature dependent equations which can be used to predict developmental periods for male and female L. rufa. In the study where I investigated the effects of temperature on the reproductive parameters of L. rufa, my data show that L. rufa has a higher intrinsic rate of population increase (0.18) and a shorter population doubling time (3.9 d)compared to other *Liposcelis* species. It oviposits at a wider range of temperatures ranging from 25 to 40°C; and the highest fecundity was at 30°C (63 eggs). L. rufa can

102

survive for up to 9 wk at 40°C and up to 32 wk at 25 and 27.5°C. I have also developed temperature-dependent equations which can be used to predict reproductive parameters of *L. rufa*.

Given that *L. rufa* has a short life cycle, high intrinsic rate of increase, and an ability to multiply rapidly at high temperatures over a range of relative humidities, it can be expected to be a serious stored product pest in Oklahoma. Winter wheat production contributed \$1.082 billion to the Oklahoma economy in 2008 (NASS 2009). Following harvest in June, wheat is stored before selling. According to NASS and Oklahoma Agricultural Statistics Service data states, 89% of the total stored wheat is stored commercially whereas 11% is stored on farm. Farmers are negative feed-back traders since they sell after the price increases and tend to hold when the price declines. Therefore, depending on market value, wheat can be stored for longer periods making it more susceptible to increased infestation by pests such as psocids.

Wheat stored on-farm is especially more vulnerable to infestation by psocids and other stored-product insects primarily due to limitations of infrastructures that can be used towards preventing invasions and to control population explosions. However, simple techniques such as sanitation, storage of low moisture content wheat, sealing leaks in storage structures, and close monitoring of storage conditions will prevent population explosions. My results have demonstrated *L. rufa* population will grow quickly in hot and humid environments, but are inhibited by low relative humidities (< 55%), especially at higher temperatures. Broken kernels and grain spills in storage areas, cracks, and crevices where relative humidity is higher than ambient provide ideal environments for psocid multiplication. Physical management approaches that minimize psocid infestations

103

storage structures include proper sanitation of storage areas and equipment prior to harvest and bin sealing, cleaning, and disinfestation using either heat or pesticides. Given that high moisture in grain can encourage fungal growth and causes increase in relative humidity of the storage environment, storing well dried wheat (<13% moisture content) is important for the prevention of psocid infestation. Cooling stored grain using aeration also helps to slow the growth of psocid populations.

My research is the first ecological study conducted on *L. rufa* and has contributed valuable information that improves our understanding of *L. rufa* ecology. Information from my research can be used to elucidate *L. rufa* population dynamics and to facilitate the development of effective management strategies against food-associated psocids.

#### VITA

## SANDIPA G. GAUTAM

#### Candidate for the Degree of

### Master of Science

## Thesis: ECOLOGICAL STUDIES OF THE PSOCID *LIPOSCELIS RUFA* BROADHEAD (PSOCOPTERA: LIPOSCELIDIDAE)

Major Field: Entomology

**Biographical**:

Personal Data: Born in Chitwan, Narayani, Nepal on February 25, 1984, daughter of Dr. I. C. Ghimire and Mrs. Srijana Ghimire.

Education: Completed the requirements for the Master of Science in Entomology at Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma in December, 2010.

Received Bachelor of Science in Agriculture from the Institute of Agriculture and Animal Science (IAAS), Tribhuvan University, Rampur, Chitwan, Nepal in 2007.

Experience: Graduate Research Assistant at the Department of Entomology and Plant Pathology, Oklahoma State University, from January 2009 to December 2010.

Professional Memberships: Entomological Society of America

Name: Sandipa G. Gautam

Date of Degree: December, 2010

Institution: Oklahoma State University

Location: Stillwater, Oklahoma

# Title of Study: ECOLOGICAL STUDIES OF THE PSOCID *LIPOSCELIS RUFA* BROADHEAD (PSOCOPTERA: LIPOSCELIDIDAE)

Pages in Study: 104

Candidate for the Degree of Master of Science

Major Field: Entomology

Scope and Method of Study:

Psocids (Psocoptera) have risen to prominence as serious pests of stored-products worldwide in the last two decades. However, very little is known about their ecology and biology which is crucial for the development of effective management strategies. In this study, I investigated the effects of constant temperatures and relative humidities on the population growth, effect of constant temperatures at optimal relative humidity on the development and reproductive parameters of *Liposcelis rufa* in order to elucidate the influence of environmental conditions on its biology and the ecology.

#### Findings and Conclusions:

My research has shown that *L. rufa* can survive and multiply at a low relative humidity of 55% at temperatures of 22.5 to 30°C and a high temperature of 40.0°C at 75% RH. The optimal conditions for reproduction for this species are 35.0°C and 75% RH where population increased 73- fold. The shortest developmental time from egg to adult was recorded at 37.5°C; at this temperature development of female was completed in 21.6 d. Males have shorter life cycle than females and this may be due to the fact that females have one more instar compared to males. L. rufa males had two to four instars whereas females had two to five instars. Temperature has significant effect on development time for all developmental stages. Also, my work has demonstrated that L. rufa has a higher intrinsic rate of population increase compared to other psocid species (0.18). It oviposits at a wider range of temperature from 25 to 40°C, and the highest fecundity was recorded at 30°C (63 eggs). The highest oviposition rate was recorded at 35°C (15.4 eggs/ female/ wk), 2 wk after the initiation of oviposition. L. rufa can live up to 9 wk at 40°C, and the longest living individual lived 219 d at 27.5°C. Given its ability to multiply rapidly at high temperatures and relative humidities, its short life cycle, high intrinsic rate of increase, and the ability to live for long, especially at higher temperatures suggests L. rufa has great potential to be a serious stored product pest in hot and humid climates. In addition, it is likely to have a broader ecological distribution because it can survive and multiply at temperatures of 22.5 - 40°C and 55-75% RH. Finally, the temperature-dependent equations I have developed for L. rufa developmental stages and reproductive parameters can be used to elucidate its population dynamics and to facilitate the development of effective management strategies for this pest.

ADVISER'S APPROVAL: Dr. George P. Opit