

NOTE TO USERS

This reproduction is the best copy available.

UMI[®]

UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA

GRADUATE COLLEGE

“IN SILENCE I STRUGGLE”: VOICES OF SOUTH VIETNAMESE LIVING IN
AMERICA

A Dissertation

SUBMITTED TO THE GRADUATE FACULTY

in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the

degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

By

Nguyễn Thị Mai Thúy
Norman, Oklahoma
2004

UMI Number: 3150968



UMI Microform 3150968

Copyright 2005 by ProQuest Information and Learning Company.
All rights reserved. This microform edition is protected against
unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code.

ProQuest Information and Learning Company
300 North Zeeb Road
P.O. Box 1346
Ann Arbor, MI 48106-1346

“IN SILENCE I STRUGGLE”: VOICES OF SOUTH VIETNAMESE LIVING IN
AMERICA

A Dissertation APPROVED FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH

BY

Professor Robert Con Davis-Undiano

Professor Dan Cottom

Professor George Henderson

Professor Ronald Schleifer

Professor Nora Taylor

Professor Alan Velie

© Copyright by Nguyễn Thị Mai Thúy 2004
All Rights Reserved.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This dissertation is written in honor of my father and my mother, my sisters and brothers, the prisoners and their families, my children Minh, Pauline, Augustine, and Christine, and all those who suffered from the Vietnam War. This dissertation could not have been written without Mr. ThiEt Nguy  n, Mr. S  , Mr. V  , Mr. Ph  c, Mrs. H  nh, and Ms. Th  o who shared their stories with me. My friends, David,     , Nga, Karola, Nick and Roberta edited my work. Moira and the Sisters of Benedict in Piedmont gave me a room in their homes to write my dissertation. Professor Robert Con Davis-Undiano and the Ph.D. Committee gave me a third chance to complete my dissertation. I am especially thankful to my chair, Professor Davis-Undiano, who said yes to this project and believed that it was important. A special thanks to Dr. Cottom who believed that my story was worth writing about, and to Dr. Taylor, a beautiful and courageous pioneer, who opened Vietnam to me in a very special way.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter

1. INTRODUCTION	1
Why Vietnamese Voices Matter to America	
Purpose of the Study	
2. Writing Pain: A Personal Journey into Chaos and Despair.....	8
3. Knowing Mr. ThiCet, Knowing Prisons.....	44
4. Historical Background of the Vietnamese Gulags	74
5. Mr. SỪ and His Band of Brothers.....	86
6. Mr. Sứ's Life and His Conviction.....	99
7. Captain Báo Võ: The Home of My Return.....	116
8. Mr. Nguyễn Phúc: "The Family I Lost".....	123
9. The Beautiful Lies in Mrs. Lữ Hạnh's Life.....	155
10. The Ugly and the Damned: The Story of Nguyễn Thị Thảo.....	175
11. Nguyễn Thị Thảo: America Deferred	188
12. Listening to the Voices of Vietnamese Political Prisoners	192
13. The Difference between Living and Surviving: A Journey Back to Vietnam Ms. Hạnh	218

Appendix

1. Letter of Introduction, Consent Form, and Questionnaire	256
2. Transcription of Interview with Mr. SÛ	266
3. Mr. SÛ's Letter	296
4. Mr. Báo Võ's Letter.....	305
5. Mr. Phuc's Interview	309
6. Ms. Lữ Hãnh's Essay	345
7. Ms. Nguyễn Thị Thảo's Interview	361
REFERENCES	364

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

WHY SOUTH VIETNAMESE VOICES MATTER

I want people to know what happened to us when America abandoned us to the Communists, what it was like to live under Communism not as idealized in books but as people actually live it. Americans should hear how our freedoms were taken away, how we were sent off to reeducation camps, starved, put in metal connex boxes for punishment, overworked with excessive labor, and allowed to die without medical treatment. I remember the horrible screams of prisoners as their gangrenous limbs were sawed off without anesthesia. We must never forget those who resisted reeducation at great personal risk of torture or execution.

Captain Hùng¹

One of the most disturbing phenomena about the post-Vietnam War era is the lack of awareness among Americans about the plight of former South Vietnamese political prisoners and their families. For most Americans, the Vietnam War ended when the last Americans evacuated Vietnam before North Vietnamese troops raided Saigon. In American literature and in school curriculum regarding the Vietnam War, voices of former political prisoners remain unheard and obscure. Currently, no anthology in American literature commemorates the lives of South Vietnamese political prisoners and their families. History books fail to mention the 65,000 Vietnamese who perished in the reeducation camps or the torture and abuse of millions of South Vietnamese as part of the

¹ Hùng, “Ten Indochinese Days: 1975-1978” in *Hearts of Sorrow: Vietnamese-American Lives*, ed. James Freeman (Stanford: Stanford UP, 1989), 256.

casualties of America's war in Vietnam.² South Vietnam's failure to defend its nation is directly related to American foreign policies that cut financial, military, and intelligence support to Vietnam. Yet Americans continue to ignore the suffering of the Vietnamese in the aftermath of the Vietnam War. In this rhetorical silence, Americans assume no responsibility for the full extent of war casualties suffered by the Vietnamese.

Reference and history books in local libraries refer to the end of the Vietnam War as April 30, 1975.³ Americans know little or nothing about what happened to their defeated former allies—the nineteen million South Vietnamese who stayed in Vietnam after the Communist victory.⁴ The aftermath of the Vietnam War is a chapter of history absent from the general discourse on America's war in Vietnam. Historical accounts of the Vietnam War are incomplete and one-sided, written mostly from the perspectives of Americans. The Vietnam War continues to be invoked, especially since 9/11, by political leaders, policy makers, journalists, commentators, and the general public as a dark reminder from the past, a syndrome, or a mistake never to be repeated again. In effect, the current social and political discussions about the Iraq War are shaped by U.S. actions in Vietnam. At the heart of the 2004 Presidential election is an overwhelming concern for American soldiers. The main lesson from Vietnam is the 58,000 dead American soldiers who still haunt our national consciousness. Voices of American veterans of the Vietnam War who fought in battlefields, trenches, and dugouts, carry credibility and

² Peter Bui-Xuan-Luong, "South Vietnamese Officer Prisoners of War: Their Resilience and Acculturation Experiences in Prison and in the U.S." (Ph.D. diss., The Fielding Institute, 2000), 37.

³ See James S. Olson, ed. *Dictionary of the Vietnam War*, (New York: Greenwood Press, 1988); Stanley L. Kutler, ed., *Encyclopedia of the Vietnam War* (New York: Scribner's, 1996), xxxi; Harry G. Summers, Jr., *Historical Atlas of the Vietnam War* (New York: Houghton, 1995), 202-09.

⁴ The United Nations estimated that the population of South Vietnam was 19,370,000 in 1975. Newspaper Enterprise Association, *The World Almanac and the Book of Facts* (New York: Newspaper Enterprise Association, 1976), 667.

authority. Notably, for example, John Kerry ran his presidential campaign drawing upon his heroic deeds during his Vietnam War service and his courage to protest the war. While these veterans' voices are important, they are limited in scope in assessing the destruction of war fought on foreign soil. In America, the Vietnam Memorial commemorates and honors the Americans. Books, articles, and photos portray Americans experience in Vietnam. In Vietnam today, the Revolutionary Museum, the Ho Chi Minh Museums, the Reunification Museum, and various other museums represent the victories of the North and the sacrifice their soldiers made in order to obtain the victory. But the defeated South Vietnamese have no permanent collection of which to represent their experiences or history.

Without their own country, the defeated South Vietnamese have neither the means nor the resources to build a society which honors their lives. What they have are their stories. Captain Hùng is one of among ten existing voices who spoke about the horror of the reeducation camps. This dissertation hopes to remedy the absence of Vietnamese voices in the current discourse on the Vietnam War. It will add four South Vietnamese political prisoners' narratives to the collection. This dissertation expands the few published works of Vietnamese political prisoner narratives by including the voices of two women who are related to one of the political prisoners. It will represent the survivors' past life as well as their current lives.

While methods of oral history including interviewing, documenting, and recording were applied to the process of writing the narratives, this dissertation does not represent these narratives as historical narratives. Reconstructed almost three decades

after the actual historical events, lapses in time and memory call into question the historical accuracy of the narratives. These narratives are appropriately presented in the English Department, instead of the History Department, because they were reconstructed through imagination and memory. Imaginative reconstruction of a historical event makes no claim that what is presented is objectively true and factual nor that the style of presentation is objective. Rather, the imaginative reconstruction of these narratives allows readers to gain a perspective into a particular group's beliefs, their values, and their motives. Readers gain insight into the lives of a small group of Vietnamese-Americans living in Oklahoma City. The imaginative reconstruction of the past enables the voices to become part of a larger American immigration story.

This dissertation documents the survivors' narratives from an insider's point of view, drawing analogies from personal experiences, detailing observations and conversations between the interviewer and the interviewees, and narrating the subjects' stories through a journey motif. Written as a personal journey, I will include my personal experiences as a survivor of the Vietnam War. On April 1975, my family escaped the collapse of Vietnam and was rescued by an American ship. After three decades of living in America, I still live with the trauma of war. I am finally able to record the devastating effect the war has had on my family and me.

This dissertation, written for the English Department of the University of Oklahoma, weaves my experiences of war, loss, and assimilation into the stories of Vietnamese political prisoners and their families living in Oklahoma City. These stories are about loss, exile, and homelessness; they are told by Vietnamese people who want Americans to know about their experiences. I am aware of the stark contrast between

imagining oneself to be imprisoned and the actual experiences of being a political prisoner. The collection of stories in this dissertation presents multiple perspectives of the aftermath of the war. These stories explore the common experience of living in America while carrying within ourselves a dark and troubled past from Vietnam. The condition of exile is a mixture of loneliness and despair in addition to financial and material comfort. This dissertation presents an updated twenty-first century perspective of a small group of Vietnamese men and women who still grapple with the past, three decades after the fall of Saigon. These Vietnamese narratives, never before recorded, depict prison experiences, metaphorical and physical, in the aftermath of the war.

Unlike *Reeducation in Postwar Vietnam: Personal Postscripts to Peace*,⁵ the only known anthology devoted entirely to Vietnamese political prisoners in the reeducation camps, this study includes my voice and the voices of one prisoner's spouse and his daughter. The narratives of one family admittedly do not represent a systematic pattern of treatment. However, the inclusion of female voices in a male-dominated genre⁶ and the inclusion of perspectives from a younger generation offer a broader picture of what life was like for the Vietnamese people after April 30, 1975. This study differs from *Reeducation* in that it balances the focus of the historical experience in Vietnam with the contemporary exiled experience in America. Central to the narratives of former Vietnamese political prisoners is the past, which is Vietnam. The narratives in this collection provide a clearer picture of what life in America has been for some Vietnamese

⁵ Edward P. Metzner, ed. *Reeducation in Postwar Vietnam: Personal Postscripts to Peace* (College Station: Texas A & M UP, 2001).

⁶ Female political prisoners made up less than 1% of the total South political prisoners. No English collections feature female prisoners. Trần K. Them, *A Profile of Vietnamese Reeducation Camp Detainees in U.S.A.* (Los Angeles, Unified Vietnamese Community Council, 1992), 12.

because it explores the survivors' attitudes, personal, social, and political struggles in America. The focus on their contemporary American life also expands their discourse as part of America's immigrant stories that reach out to a broader audience.

Chapter 1 discusses the relevance and purpose of the dissertation. Chapter 2 is a narration of my personal struggle as a Vietnamese immigrant in America; it is about the domestic prison which shaped my thoughts, actions, and identity. It examines the purpose of narratives and why writing my life's story was an essential way to cope with my family tragedy. In Chapter 3, I narrate Mr. ThiEt's story. I present his contemporary life lived in America and how his fifteen years spent in the reeducation camps still affects him. In Chapter 4, I present historical facts of the Vietnamese gulags in order to provide a historical context out of which these narratives were produced. Following Chapter 4, are the stories of Mr. Nguyễn Văn SỪ, Mr. Báo Võ, Mr. Nguyễn Phúc, Mrs. Lữ Hạnh, and Ms. Nguyễn Thảo in this consecutive order. In these chapters, the italicized passages represent my interactions and observations of the interviewees, introducing them to the readers. The non-italicized passages are the interviewees' own words recorded in taped interviews or written by the subjects themselves. Chapter 12 will be an analysis on the narratives that I have collected and the ten published Vietnamese prison narratives. The final chapter, Chapter 13, will be my narration of my trip to Vietnam.

War casualties are not only military men who die on battlefields. On soils where wars are fought, casualties include families, homes, schools, communities, and religious institutions—the way of life that gives people's lives meaning, identity, and purpose. Survivors of the Vietnamese gulags testify to the demise of South Vietnam's people,

culture, political and economic independence, infrastructure and state. South Vietnamese who stayed in Vietnam after the fall of Saigon witnessed first-hand the complete destruction of an entire way of life. Their personal testimonies speak of cultural annihilation. They tell of the methodical process of oppression, abuse, torture, and slow gradual killings committed by the Vietnamese Communists.

One way to reconstruct what was irretrievably lost is through personal narratives. Personal narratives enable victims of oppression to restore the loss through memories and dialogue. Without narratives of former political prisoners, their spouses, and their children, the damage done to generations of South Vietnamese after April 30, 1975, is omitted from history as though the Vietnamese gulags never happened.

Voices of South Vietnamese who survived the gulags have important significance to the current situation in Iraq. If America is to fully assess the cost of wars, then it is critical that perspectives of local people, Iraqis and Vietnamese, whose homes become battlegrounds in wars, are included in the general discussions of America's international policies. It is important to understand that America may do more harm than good to people whom we "liberate" in our democratization of other countries.

Currently voices of South Vietnamese political prisoners are particularly necessary as they bring to bear on what could possibly happen to men and women after America leaves. These voices offer a perspective about the life of a people once America pulls out its troops, journalists depart, and public attention turns away. The aftermath of the Vietnam War must be included as part of the American debate because it carries implications not only in the current situation in Iraq but for any state which is occupied by U.S. military forces.

CHAPTER 2

WRITING PAIN: A PERSONAL JOURNEY INTO CHAOS & DESPAIR

For twenty-four years, I have tried unsuccessfully to write my life's story, to reconstruct my life through words. As a young girl of thirteen, I came home to a sad house in Oklahoma City where I would go to my room and write poems about the ship that brought my family and me out of Vietnam to America. I shared these poems with my older brother who loved them and encouraged me to submit them for a poetry contest in high school. I did not submit them. Instead, I tore them up when things got bad for my family. In my adult life, I wrote fragments and stored them in my filing cabinets, never sending them out for publication. I would begin with something very dramatic, a traumatic horrible scene from real life. I would read it and hate myself for writing it, then I would quit. Doubt, shame, grief, and depression paralyzed my ability to write. I believed that what I had to say was not worthy of people's attention.

All through my adult life I felt there was something that I needed to tell the world. I carried a notebook in my purse or in my backpack anticipating that I would sit down and write my life's story. My mother would ask me why it was so important for me to write. "I just have to write like I have to eat," I would reply. When I told her this, my eyes would swell up with tears as they do now. "Just forget about it," she would say, trying to comfort me. "Why relive the past?" She would say. She was worried. She had seen me lose sleep and get sick because I had not written my life's story. I eased my mother's worries by telling her that a book was on its way. My mother has been waiting for me to finish so that I could live in the present moment of life. "You've been absent

from me for a long time,” she has been saying this for quite sometime. She was right. I have been somewhere back there or dreaming out there for a long time. She has concluded that I was fated to have a difficult life. Even my spiritual director told me that if there were a hard way to do something, I would surely do it that way.

Writing one’s life story for the public is a hard road. It is like opening sores for others to see. The Vietnamese have a saying about people who make public their private affairs: ripping the back of the shirt so that others can see through (Vết áo cho người xem). It warns people to not expose their weaknesses or their family’s weaknesses for others to see. It is viewed as betrayal and disloyalty to one’s family and friends. Talking about oneself to a public audience is disrespectful and demeaning. So why write your life’s story when the task is so difficult and painful? Why struggle with the past, the horror, and the unresolved? Does it matter to anyone else? Who cares about what happens to you? When does your private life become a concern for the public? What good does it do? I have been asking these questions for most of my adult life.

Part of my answer is to them that writing one’s life is a way to come to terms with the chaos, traumas, and unresolved conflicts. Though painful, writing is the only way that I know to give order to chaos and to make sense of my family’s tragedy. Writing my life’s story has made me miserable and ecstatic at different times, and yet I know I could not have proper peace any other way. I have been at war with the voices inside for a long time. I needed to confess the part I played in the destruction of my sister’s mind. I needed to expose the wounds, so I could close them and go on. Writing is a confession chamber in which I tell my sins and sorrow in order to obtain grace and peace.

For over two decades, I have tried to breathe my sister's life on paper. Chi%on is my older sister, five years my elder, whose name literally means war. Chi%on has occupied my thoughts, dreams, and nightmares ever since I came to understand that there was something different about Chi%on. For two decades I have been trying to tell her story. Fragments are scattered here and there of writings done in Creative Writing classes that I have taken over the years—all of them about Chi%on. She is the muse who haunts me.

I have been collecting records, stories, and facts about my life primarily to understand why Chi%on lost her mind. In my father's home, silence pervades and honest meaningful communication is not the norm. My father forbade me to ask questions about my family history. He called me a busybody and shooed me away with one headshake. With his slight headshake, my mother and I stopped talking about her life. I accepted voids and gaps in my history because I had no recollection of my eight years of childhood in Vietnam. It was like living with an excised brain in which eight years of collected memories and experiences were wiped out.

I gathered the details in bits and pieces about my family's past and jotted them down in my journal. The scattered entries became incomprehensible, and they were not accurate when I repeated them to my mother. Sometimes dates, events, and names differ from one entry to another. Information and stories were disjointed, scattered, and inaccurate, but they were all I had since my father's headshake prevailed over truths. The accounts below are written from memory based on things that I have heard and things that were told to me. Things left unsaid were filled with my imagination.

When I spent the nights in the hospital with my mother during her recovery after suffering a heart attack in November 2001, I asked her what had happened to Chi%on. There was not much to do in the hospital except talk. My mother almost died during surgery. I did not want her to die without me knowing what had happened to Chi%on.

“Chi%on should have been dead by all accounts. She was two months old when she came down with a fever. She got sweaty, then she vomited. She would just lie down shaking. I could not see the pupils in her eyes. I took all my money and rushed her to the hospital. I paid for a rickshaw to take us to the hospital in Biên Hòa. When I got there, the doctor said to me, ‘You women wait until they’re near death before you bring them. Why don’t you just hang them instead.’ The doctor was indignant, but he treated her. She survived, but she was never the same after that,” my mother said.

Named for the fate of her country, Chi%on was born in 1962 during the Vietnam War. My oldest sister’s name is ãĩ, which means great. The Great War. My oldest brother’s name is ViEt, and another baby boy born after was named Nam (South), but he died. The Great War of ViEt Nam. With the death of South Vietnam, my siblings’ names seem to befit the fate of our country. Chi%on survived what might have been scarlet fever, but she suffered brain damage. Born with the fate of her country embodied in her name, she suffered from a split personality. Her girlish intellect never aligned to her growing body. She was afraid of water and fire, of extremities of hot and cold, and of people. My mother had no time for Chi%on’s fears. Bearing girls for my father, my mother got no help from him because he detested girls. He came home to see the sex of the child and left.

Once my mother came home from a long day's work and found that Chi%on had not warmed up the stove. All Chi%on had to do was go over to the neighbor's house and borrow fire to burn the wood for cooking. She was afraid to ask, so my mother and older sister had nothing to eat after a long day's work. My mother did not starve in peace. She beat Chi%on with tree branches, breaking them over Chi%on's until my mother got tired and slept. The next morning my mother set out to planting rice in the dikes. Her feet were soaked in water and her legs were bitten by leeches. My mother has said over and over how sorry she was that she had beaten Chi%on. "I have marked that event in my heart," she said painfully. But my mother was not the only one who beat Chi%on and marked the beatings in her heart.

It was so easy to beat Chi%on because she never fought back. She would just stand still, cower her head, and let a person club her down. My sister ããi said Chi%on was not a fighter so she could never survive in the world. I do not remember what Chi%on was like when we were in Vietnam. The first memory I have of Chi%on was when my family escaped to America by boat. My Aunt Mạo, my mother's sister, was a multimillionaire whose boat got us out of Vietnam. Three families: Aunt Mạo's family, Aunt Mạo's husband's brother, Chú Ba's family, and my family—twenty-seven people in all—boarded the boat to leave two days before the fall of Saigon. Arguments ensued right away. Chi%on and I ran from the lower deck to see what had happened. We heard Mrs. Ba crying. Her oldest daughter stepped off the boat and bid farewell to her parents. She was accused by Bích, Aunt Mạo's oldest daughter, of eating too much food and using up too much soap. Mrs. Ba wanted her daughter to apologize, but she would not. Instead, she rebelled by bidding her family farewell and leaving the deck.

We sailed until we came to a big naval ship. Many boats like ours clamored around the ship to be rescued. From the ship hung a ladder and a net. “Jump, jump!” People yelled and cried under the net and the ladder. People shoved, jumped, and fell off the boat to get under the net, which dangled above our heads. We had to jump from boat to boat to get under the net so we could be lifted up to the navy ship. My mother and my oldest sister had to carry my youngest cousins. That was the agreement my mother made with her younger sister in order for us to board her boat.

“Sister, you must help me with my youngest sons,” Aunt Mảo told my mother, “you promised to help me with my children.” Aunt Mạo had ten children, and she needed my mother to care for them. My mother was my cousins’ nanny. My sister nãi was my cousins’ tutor. Chi%on was a live-in babysitter. My mother held her youngest nephew and jumped from boat to boat. My sister held Aunt Mạo’s other boy. My sisters, brothers, and I were left on our own to get to the net. I jumped following my mother. I heard yelling and splashing all around me. When I got under the net, someone picked me up and put me in it. When we got on board, there were hundreds of Vietnamese walking around looking for their families. Some were looking down from above. Hundreds of people walked aimlessly, crying. Miraculously, all twenty-seven of us got on the ship safely. We huddled together.

Chi%on walked me to the bathroom, which was a frightening experience. Just five feet beneath my feet was deep ocean water splashing against the ship. I was terrified by the immense blue waves hitting against the ship. Anyone could have fallen through the wobbly wooden planks. Chi%on called me for me to come out of the toilet. When Chi%on and I walked back to our corner, there was a terrible commotion. A man dragged

my mother across the deck by the hair and beat her. People pulled him off. He fell on his hands and knees and pounded his head on the ship floor. He cracked his head, bleeding. Men in uniform strapped his hands, placed him on a carrier, and brought him to the lower deck. People gathered around my mother. She explained that her husband did not want to come to America and had blamed her for making him. She said it was nothing new in her life. I learned that the man who hit his head on the deck was my father. He would be the man who tormented my mother and his children our entire lives.

I never knew I had a father until that time. I have no memory of him in my eight years in Vietnam. Then I heard my aunt speak about him to other people.

“He has treated her like that all her life,” my aunt told the women, “I’ve told her so many times to leave him. She won’t. I give up! He’s an ingrate. People would give anything to come to America, but not he,” my aunt said. I remember Aunt Mạo’s blue raincoat. She brought back rice soup held in her blue rain bonnet. We cupped our hands and scooped out our food. We slept on the open deck: when it rained, we were soaked, and when it was hot, we burned. I did not know how long we were on the ship, but it was terrifying. To this day, my sisters and I will not get on a boat, canoe, or ship.

Aunt Mạo had traversed countries of continents with my mother. They crossed the 17th Parallel in 1954 with their husbands, leaving their mother to the care of their oldest brother who was a Communist. They lived close together in Tân Mai, a village in the province of Biên Hòa about twenty miles south of Saigon. This is where my sisters, brothers, and I were all born. My aunt left Tân Mai a couple years later and moved to Vũng Tàu where her husband became chief of police. They got rich quick making money in the black market. Aunt Mạo gave birth to fifteen children, but five of them died.

When my mother boarded the American ship, she returned stacks of 24-karat gold leaves that were taped to my mother's body to Aunt Mạo. Aunt Mạo trusted only my mother, whom she knew would take nothing from her. My mother would never have had the means to get us on the ship to America. My mother and father were peasants from the north. My mother continued to chew betel, an indigenous socializing activity dating back to at least the tenth century before Vietnam's first emperor, Đinh Bo Linh, was enthroned. My aunt and her husband, though they were brought up in the same peasant culture, abandoned their rustic background. He became chief of the police in Vũng Tàu, and she worked the market. Together they made millions. Had it not been for them, I would not have been the person that I am today.

The ship landed in Guam, a beautiful hot and sandy island. I liked how the hot sand slipped through my toes. We slept on cots under a green shade held by four poles. The little kids and I went to school to learn to count. Food was so delicious and plenty. There was always a commotion when we returned to the camp. Bích was crying because her husband wanted a divorce. He came from a poor family who owed money to my aunt. He married to pay off his family's debts. When he realized that Aunt Mạo was just as dispossessed as the rest, he left. The marriage was dissolved by him filing as a single man in need of sponsorship. My mother and ãi bid him farewell. My mother had always liked him because he came from a poor family. Bích was no longer the daughter of a powerful man; she was another refugee like the rest of us. We could start all over again, and my aunt did.

Aunt Mạo came to our tent with her children one afternoon. Her sons carried poles and sticks. I was drawing numbers on the cement with a rock when I heard yelling.

I looked up and saw my aunt's two older sons hit my father. They beat him with a long pole.

"This will teach you. You've beaten my sister long enough," my aunt yelled. She had the backs of her hand on her hip.

I ran to hide behind a tree. I became afraid of sudden movements.

My father sat up after he realized he had been surrounded. I felt sorrow for him because he looked afraid.

"I beg you Aunt," my mother cried. "Please don't hurt him. If he hurts me, let God deal with him. He's my cross which I have to carry. Don't hurt him," she cried. She wiped her tears with the tail of her shirt showing her bra and the laminated picture of the Our Lady of Perpetual Help which she wore as a necklace.

"You've got no right to come at him with sticks and stones," ñãi said defending my father.

"It's not your business," he told my aunt meekly. This made her angrier.

"You ingrate! You are getting to come to America. People are dying to come here, and you tell everyone that you were forced to come. If you are so smart, you can still go back home. Tell the officials you want to go home. They will take you back. There are people going home. You don't know how to count your blessings."

"So I have to be grateful to you. Ah, this is what it has come to," he smiled wryly. My cousin raised the stick to his face.

"You don't be rude to my mother. You ingrate!" he said.

"Shut up, you," my mother told my father.

My brothers cried. The Red Cross workers came.

“You touch my sister one more time, I’ll have my sons finish you. They will kill you!” Aunt Mạo yelled.

Three families separated and went in three different directions. I do not know if this was by choice, but my family went to Fort Chaffee in Arkansas to process paperwork before being sponsored while the other two families went to California.

Fear and trepidation followed my father who raged against my mother and sister *nhà*. In Fort Chaffee, Vietnamese refugees awaited sponsorship. It was a military base, and we stayed in dorm rooms. My mother, sisters, and brothers slept together in one room while my father slept alone in another room. We were immunized and taught English throughout our stay. My father raged on. He grabbed my mother’s head and held it under a water faucet. People tore him away and again my mother shared her life’s pain with them. People hid us in their dorm rooms to escape my father.

I understood my mother’s life with each beating. My mother’s life unfolded with each passing storm. She told of her arranged marriage to him when she was fourteen years old and the violence that plagued her life. After the first beating, she left my father and came home to her mother. My grandmother was so ashamed of my mother for leaving her husband’s family after they had arranged the marriage that she beat her nearly to death so that she would never want to return home again. A runaway bride was a source of shame in a culture regulated by family pride and honor. This save-face culture was cruel to young girls who grew up to be apathetic mothers. Later in life, my mother would tell others the stories of mistresses who slept in our house. She told of how my father beat her with shoes, fists, belts, or whatever he could find. My eyes swell up with tears when I think of it. I wish that I do not think about it, but this is not possible. I

believed that if I understood a person's childhood, I could explain his interior life and it would lessen the pain.

I write to learn about my father's pain. My father's name is Hách, which means pride; my mother's name is Thuốc, which means medicine. They were born in the village of Ngọc Châu in north Vietnam. In the 1940's when both my parents were in their early teens, there was much famine and in north Vietnam, then under French occupation. My father lost his father at this time. My father starved; he went into the jungle and ate what he could find. He came down with beriberi, diarrhea, and other intestinal diseases. My mother said that when she was young she would see people begging in the morning; when she came home, they had stiffened and fallen over dead. The mother was very afraid of the French. The whole village hid in the church when the French came. My mother dirtied her face with mud to keep from being rape. My father fought with the Việt Minh at Điện Biên Phủ. The top two parts of his right index finger were cut off. Afterwards, they feared the Communists more than the French. My mother witnessed rich landowners being buried up to their necks, and their heads chopped off by the Communists. One night, a large group of people abandoned the village of Ngọc Châu and walked the Hải Phòng River taking the open mouth boat south. Most of them were Catholics and feared religious persecution by the Communists. These escapees heard that a Catholic priest in Tân Mai would welcome them, so they asked for directions to that village. My father's mother, my mother's sister, her husband, her husband's brother, and his wife crossed the 17th Parallel and settled in Tân Mai. My father's mother lived with my mother who cared for her until she died. Her son, my father, drifted back and forth between cultures. He detested my mother's peasantry ways. My father had no brother

and no education to guide him when Vietnam was ravaged by war. From a poor peasant boy, he became a soldier trained by American troops, he had good looks, a steady income, and lots of chances to frequent the cities. He, like Chi%on, was an underdeveloped child in the body of an adult. He wandered aimlessly from his family coming home only when he wanted to see his own mother. In contrast to my father, my mother built her life on the foundations of the teachings of the Catholic Church. She clung to the church for directions and raised us to suffer and endure through war and peace. And suffer we did. As an adult, I concluded that my father had no childhood, therefore he was determined to rob us of ours.

From Fort Chaffee on, the beatings by my father worsened, and they extended to ñải. She hid in people's dorm room. Ms. Nhiệm, another Vietnamese refugee who became my mother's friend, told my father to leave his family alone. She told my mother that she had left her husband in Vietnam because he beat her and forbade her to visit her mother.

"Those miserable types! Those cowards!" Ms. Nhiệm would say with her clenched teeth and fist of anger even when my father was there. Her skin would turn from clear to pinkish red. Ms. Nhiệm warned my mother to leave my father, to claim that he was dead or in Vietnam. But my mother would not listen.

ñải was a very beautiful, tall, slim nineteen year-old girl with light skin and big eyes. She was courted by many young Vietnamese men at Fort Chaffee. In Vietnam she had passed the exam to enter Ngô Quyền High School and had earned a scholarship. This was a great accomplishment for my mother who had very little money. ñải was everything a Vietnamese girl should be: dutiful, obedient, caring, virginal, educated,

religious, self-sacrificing, and enduring. Some families at Fort Chaffee offered to adopt her or marry her into their families so she would not have to endure my father's beatings. But she and my mother were very close, so there was no chance that she would leave my mother.

At Fort Chaffee, I received the Sacrament of Reconciliation and the Sacrament of Holy Eucharist. I was taught about the devil and his ways, but nothing made sense to me. I knew that Chi%on and I should stay away from my father. My family got sponsored by a Catholic church in Dixon, IL. Before we left, we exchanged addresses with many families at Fort Chaffee who admired my sister and mother.

Our First Home in America

When we landed at the Chicago Airport, three American families greeted us. They were happy, and their children smiled at me. Loud buzzes on the airport intercom startled me. One of the sponsors asked my father questions, and he answered. He knew conversational English because he had worked as a cook for an American base in Vietnam. We followed our sponsors until a man grabbed Fern's purse. Fern was one of the main sponsors who smiled and was happy to see us. Fern ran after him. The others called Security. We waited until a man in uniform returned the purse to Fern who inspected it.

The ride in our sponsor's car from Chicago to Dixon sickened Chi%on. I sat on her lap. The buildings soared over us. "Oh God, Oh God," she whispered and laid her head on my back, her face shaking against my back. Fern turned her head toward us and smiled.

"Mother," Chi%on whispered, "my stomach hurts."

“Get away,” I wiggled to get her off my back. She threw up on my purple shirt. I cried quietly. My mother told us to shut up. We walked into a sofa that sat in front of a curtained window. On the left was a dining room with a separate room to cook. Fern showed me how to use the shower, letting me know that she understood what had happened in the car. Fern showed us our beds: the girls had two beds stacked on top and one on the side. All the twin beds had red covers with green mistletoe embroidered in the center. The boys had two beds and my parents had one queen size bed. Chi%on slept with me on the bottom bunk; ñãi slept on the queen bed with my brothers. No one ever slept on the top bunk. Chi%on was very afraid of the dark and afraid of ghosts. I told her to hide beneath the red cover for protection. We have never slept on beds before our sponsor’s house. I was mesmerized by our new home: the different compartments in the house and things that were in them. Our house in Tân Mai was a one-room hut where we ate, studied, fought, and slept together. It was built by people kind enough to take northerners into their community.

There were clothes in our closet for all of us. I touched the beautiful patterns on the clothes and danced around in them. Our sponsors came to visit us and took care of our needs. They even washed our hair with lice medicine. We learned to brush our teeth and bath regularly. Eventually we attended St. Ann’s Catholic Church that sponsored us. Fern and Tom and their children came to pick us up. I wore a red dress with red stockings and black shoes. I remember this because they took a picture of all of us in our Sunday clothes. We sat close to the altar. There were people smiling at us, touching us. Fern lifted my elbow, and we stood while the church clapped hands. But what I remember most about that first Sunday was the meal we had in the dining hall which was connected

to the church. Corn ears stacked high like a golden pyramid and lots of other food filled the table. It was continually replenished, and it seemed the more I ate, the more was brought out. I played hide and seek after the meal; I hid under the table after grabbing more food from the table. I laughed with Fern's children: Melissa, Cathy, and Paula. Paula had short red hair and freckles. At first, I thought she was a boy until she gave me some of her clothes. That night, I thought about the food and felt my heart race towards the table covered with edibles. I felt happy, and I loved visiting our neighbors, Mr. Jones and Mrs. Jones, who gave us a badminton set and taught us how to play. I liked wearing the same clothes as Chi%on and riding to school with my brothers and sister. All of us, except for ñãi, who was eighteen years old, attended St. Ann's school; a small bus picked us up from school and dropped us off. Jennifer, a girl in my class, asked if I could spend the night at her home. It was a two-story home with a deck surrounded by woods. Her home was so peaceful and quiet. Even when her father came home from work, we were not disturbed. She showed me all the little toys in her closet: the nicely stacked boxes of games, the doll house that opened then shut, the nice set of tea cups and the little chairs and tables for all of us to sit. She wanted me to sleep with her. Her bed was high off the ground with four poles soaring up towards the ceiling. I was afraid that I would fall off, so I asked to sleep on the floor.

We had a tutor who taught us to read the Dick and Jane books on the weekends. The first English song I sang was "Red River Valley" as part of a class project. Fern sewed a country dress for me to wear. After school, we came home and watched Bozo the clown and other shows on TV.

My sister ñãi had a good friend, Mary, whom we visited. I remember visiting Mary who had four different pianos. On top of her pianos were ships in bottles. Chi%on and I looked at everything with amazement. Mary played all these beautiful tunes for us. I asked my sister to ask her if she would teach me. Mary smiled and nodded her head. I loved sliding on the piano bench. When Mary turned on the piano, which played by itself, Chi%on and I were amazed. After Mary showed me it was a mechanical invention, we were still amazed.

That year in 1976 with our sponsors was the closest that my sisters, brothers, and I came to having a normal childhood. It was filled with numerous wonderful first experiences of snow, Christmas, a nativity set, a birthday party, a picnic cookout, regular classes, and a semblance of peace and tranquility at home. My mother and ñãi had a chance to learn English and skills, to start again with the help of our sponsors. Yet, these good things that happened, happened only once. Our dreams were shattered because my father's demons again took control of him.

My sister and mother worked at a cleaner's while my father worked in the meat department at a grocery store. It was not like any work he had ever known or done. This was not work that he was used to as a former soldier for the Republic of Vietnam. He had no tolerance for 8 hours on the clock; he had no tolerance for the refrigerator that chilled his bones; he said he was sick. Fern and Tom brought him thermals and sweaters, but it seemed impossible to get him to work and support his family. He loved to drink beer and talk all night with other Vietnamese men who lived close by. When Monday came for him to work, he called work purgatory for his sins.

Darkness pervaded around him. There was just something so awfully defiant and disgusting about how he saw the world. Women and children were there to serve him. We were not important in this world. Our entire family revolved around him. He was the head, and we were his appendages. In my father's house, no one, not my mother, my sisters, my brothers, nor I had an individual room. We had our own rooms, but when he was in his dark spells, he forced to sleep on the floor in the living room. My mother and father never slept together in the queen bed. He told her that she was ugly to his eyes. My mother and ñai originally went to school at night, but they stopped because he forbade them. He wanted them to serve him when he got home from work. When Chi%on cooked him something he did not like, he threw the plate at her. I ran to my room. My father hated the sponsors coming to check up on us because they knew that we were not happy. They asked many questions and got no answers. At night, he would ask me quietly if anyone had been over threatening to hang me on a tree limb if I did not tell him the truth.

Fern came regularly to check in on us because she saw the bruises on my mother's face. She told my father, "You go to jail next time." My father hated Fern and called her a fat bitch. That was the way he talked in front of us, foul and insulting. My father hit my mother in front of Fern. Fern shook her head in disgust and got up to call her husband Tom. She pointed at my father's face.

"You never never never do that again. You can't do that here, no not in America. You go to jail for that. Police come get you," she crossed her wrists, signaling handcuffs. "Next time, you go to jail. Police come they . . ." My father leaned over Fern with his furious eyes.

“Catham [God damn] you. You no tell me what to do. She my wife. Catham, catham!” he yelled and punched his head.

“No, no, me no care she your wife, you no do that here,” she made the wrist gesture again. “I go call Tom.” She dashed to the phone in the kitchen where my mother and sister stood. My mother sat. She was crying. I stood behind the curtain in the living room, about ten feet from where my father sat.

My mother cursed in Vietnamese. She was afraid of the police. My father sat leaning back. He held his right knee above the ground and rocked slowly. *nhà* begged Fern not to call fearing that he would just get madder and hit us more. Fern called her husband and not the police.

When Tom came, he said the same things to my father.

“Hack, you cannot hit her. No, you control yourself. I never hit Fern. Never hit children. Okay?”

My father was leaning back with both his hands behind his head. Then he leaned forward and hit his forehead repeatedly with the fisted palm of his right hand. You could hear the thumping sounds of hard knocks. I held the curtain over my face, but I watched.

“No, you no need do that, Hack. No need for that,” Tom waved his right hand. But my father beat his head more violently as he paced back and forth around the coffee table. With each beat he muttered, “Catham. Catham...” [God damn.] You, *Thuốc*, why did you bring me here?” (*Con Thuốc mà mang tao Ờ làm gì?*) He struggled to get out of his sweater. He was a small body in white thermal underwear and hair that flared up.

“Uncle, what are you doing?” *nhà* said. (*Cậu là gì đấy?*) We called this stranger who was our father uncle. My mother stood up from where she sat, “No, chỉ dả bộ,

thằng quỷ,” which translates as, “He’s faking. He’s the devil.” My father was on his hands and knees on the living room floor. He leaped up at my sister nãi like a dog and bit her. He barked. He rushed towards Chi%on who screamed. He never came after his sons, but he growled at my mother. Tom told Fern to call the police. My father crawled to the bathroom. Tom followed him and watched as my father plunged his head in the toilet bowl, then threw it back, then plunged in again and again and again. When he came back to the living room, he tried to bite my sister again. When Tom grabbed him from behind, he locked his teeth in Tom’s arm. Tom pinned him to the sofa. The ambulance workers strapped him to a stretcher—just like they did on the ship—and took him to a psychiatric hospital.

Our first Christmas in 1976 was so magical because it was the first time we celebrated Christmas as a family but also because it was the only one without my father. Coming home from church, we saw what we had never seen before: a Christmas tree lit with a star at the very top and wrapped gifts underneath. Our sponsors awed us with what they had done. We asked Fern’s family repeatedly if these gifts were really for us. I played with the nativity figure rearranging their positions. My sisters, brothers, and I were so happy that night.

Our happiness would turn to grief because my father was released from the hospital about one week after the New Year. No one at the hospital knew how to treat him because no one spoke Vietnamese. He knew enough English but he would not speak. We never got to the heart of what was wrong with him. When he left the hospital, he became more vindictive and more violent.

Twenty-three years later, while I was on a business trip in Chicago, Illinois, I visited Fern and Tom. They told me that they had enough grounds to deport my father back to Vietnam that first year we were in America if only my mother would have okayed it. But she would not. I keep thinking back to what my mother told me about her mother, how she beat her to the point where she could hardly walk when she returned from husband's house after he had beaten her. My grandmother traumatized my mother for life. She made sure that my mother would fulfill her obligation as a wife to a husband whom she had chosen for her. It was that old culture of chewing betel of building family dynasties for generations to come. My mother's decision to stay with a man who abused her in spite of her own happiness was inbred in her for at least a thousand years. Duty, save-face, family honor, and animism shielded her from searching new ways to live.

When my father came home from the hospital, he forced us to move away from Fern and Tom, isolating his victims to better dominate us. Moving away from people who cared about us, who knew the American terrain, who valued children and women, and who had the moral and legal authority to put an end to my father's dark side proved fatal for Chi%on, who was entering puberty. After we moved, my father's dark side won over reason and love. Fern and Tom: farewell friends and heroes! From here on out, tears. Nothing but tears! I grieve when I think about what our lives could have been if my parents had decided to stay in Dixon.

The Hot Beating Oklahoma Sun

My father flew out of Dixon first and stayed with Aunt Mạo who had resettled her family from Denver, Colorado to Oklahoma City. My mother, brothers, Chi%on and I rode the bus with Ms. NhiEm and Ms. Thành, Ms. NhiEm's sister. ããi hitched a U-haul to the station wagon and drove the goods that our sponsors gave us to Aunt Mạo's three bedroom house in Oklahoma City. Always, there was male priority ruling over everything. My father flew while the rest of us took the long road trips. My mother knew no English, and she had to keep all four of us children close to her. At each stop, she hurried us into the bus fearing that we would be left behind. When we rode into Oklahoma, the landscape was so different. Everything was different, the weather, the land, and the home. I felt alone in America. I remember Dixon's winter and Oklahoma's heat. The sun was like my father—it beat down on us, and there was nowhere to hide.

At my aunt's house, nineteen people shared three bedrooms and one bathroom which was stopped up the whole time I was there. We were piled on top of our cousins. Mice and roaches ran over my feet at night. Chi%on screamed nights she felt something crawl past her.

After a couple of months at my aunt's house, we rented the bottom of a two-story duplex divided into three living units. We lived on the half of the bottom. Against the wall on the right side was a piano which was covered by red carpet and nailed over in the dining room. My father drank through the night with Vietnamese men whom he met at work; some were young enough to be his sons.

Before leaving Dixon, ããi had written to my aunt about my father's condition. She informed my aunt's family that he had been taken to the psychiatric hospital. She warned my uncle that my father was ill. When my father arrived in Oklahoma City, my

uncle gave my father the letter. When we moved to the rental house, and he got all of us alone to himself, ññai got the beating of her life. He belted her all over, chasing her through the red-carpeted dining room. I watched it all. He would show her how crazy he really was. I knew about what dying was like when I was eight. Dying was wishing that you were not alive to witness the horror before you. Dying was like wanting to sleep and never wake up.

ññai rose from the beatings and worked two jobs to help buy the house where my parents still live. At nineteen, she was the head of the household and supplanted my parents in all their essential duties of caring for their children. She enrolled us in school, drove us to school, made doctors' appointments, and made sure we got there. She cosigned with my parents when they bought their home, which she and my mother had chosen. She bought her own car, a white Thunderbird. All the paperwork regarding immigration, health care, home, and schooling were done by her. When my younger brother, ñÛc was failing at Gatewood Elementary School, it would be ññai who enrolled him, my brother, ViCet, and me at John Carroll, a parochial school. She would be the one to plead with the nuns and bargain for an affordable price. Yet, though she fulfilled her duties as an adult, she remained a Vietnamese child. She had to be loyal to her parents and did what they wanted her to do. My sister became my mother. I used to envy my friends whose parents were able to drive them to wherever.

ññai worked at Villa Teresa catholic school as a daycare provider, at dry cleaners, at restaurants, wherever she could to support the family. She never got a chance to go to school. She was the only adult in the family who knew how to drive. My parents never learned English, so they never learned to drive, fill out applications for our schooling,

enroll their children in schools, take themselves to the doctors, tell the doctors what was wrong with their children, or apply for their own jobs. In short, they stopped being parents in America. They stopped doing the things that parents do. They depended on their children to carry on the duties of their lives before they were fifty. Everything was left to ñãi and on down the line to the other children, and yet when my father got drunk, he went after us.

In My Father's House

It is possible to live in a home where you are clothed and fed, where you attend school, where you come and go, and still feel like you are in prison. Two years after they moved away from our sponsors, my parents bought a house across the street from Tony's Italian Restaurant where my mother and ñãi worked. The house was the first house on the corner of a busy intersection behind the alleys of a drug store, a photo shop, and other small businesses. My mother and ñãi paid for most of it, but the house belonged to my father. I had the most traumatic experiences of my life. In that house, I witnessed Chi%on's madness, the deterioration of her mind.

What my father did to the physical house, he did to us. The house had bars of its own—black iron bars in front of all the windows and the front door because of a daytime robbery. My father painted over the white columns of iron vines with a glossy black paint. The windows were painted shut by Vietnamese workers hired for cheap. The evergreen row of bushes on the porch was dug up and tossed aside. Same with the cherry blossom tree and the oak tree in the backyard. He tore down the drapes of hanging bells and curtains of men on horsebacks and put up plastic strips that never opened or shut because he could not read the directions. Wallpapers of patterned flowers were painted

over with a sick aqua blue. White baseboards and the doors were painted a glossy burgundy red. He built an altar which protruded from out the wall of the living room: a wooden arch board set against a 4-foot plank. At the center of the altar stood an ivory statue of the Virgin Mary with her hands clasped wearing a long gown and a gold crown on her head. On the upper left side of her was a silver crucifix. Plastic flowers, candles, and lace adorned the feet of Jesus and Mary. He put up Christmas lights and cleaned the altar religiously. My father hung a print of the Last Supper that slanted from the ceiling to the top of the door's frame in the dining room. He hung pictures and wooden carvings of the Holy family and crucifixes throughout the house. He hardly ever missed church. He, my mother, and sister paid for my two brothers and I to attend John Carroll, a parochial school affiliated with our church, Our Lady's Cathedral of Perpetual Help, because a Vietnamese priest recommended that we do so. We had priests over for meals regularly. I grew up aware of two different families: my family and the Holy family. As a Catholic, I believed in the Heavenly Father through the embodiment of Christ. I believed in the Holy family, praying to Jesus during mass at school and on Sunday when I was in church. I stared at the picture of Jesus which hung on the left side of my bed. It was a picture of Jesus painted mostly in brown. His face, turned towards a light brown light, slightly lifted upward. Long wavy hair sat on his shoulders. His expression was peaceful and kind, and I realized how much I liked brown. Brown was a humbling and quiet color. I wished my father were like Joseph, a carpenter who loved his family and like Jesus, the kindest man who ever lived. But he was the antithesis of Joseph and Jesus.

My father could go to any room in the house and dominate it. He barred, shut, blackened, dug, killed, tossed, and stripped his family of joys and laughter as he had done

to the house. Anything breathing and beautiful was sure to be destroyed or at least be tainted by his mind's shadow. He accused us of despising him, so he tortured us before we could despise him. He worked up irrational accusations then stormed at us. On Sundays when my mother was off from work, my father invited his friends to drink with him from about noon into the late evening. My mother served his friends, not sitting down to eat herself but rather serving and cleaning up after them. We were thankful for his friends who kept him company until we slept.

Every day from 2 to 11 Monday through Saturday, my mother and sister worked at an Italian Restaurant across from the house. They worked through the important hours of our lives. We had to be with my father everyday after school and not see my mother and sister. For the rest of my young life, and even after I became an adult, my mother and sister stayed away from us. For the next ten years, my brothers and sister and I would never experience the presence of our mother and our sister at suppertime. They accepted working conditions despite what was happening to the children. Chi%on had to go to Classen High School, a big public school which was so different from St. Ann's. Coming home, we ate whatever we could find, usually ramen noodles and canned ham. There was either silence or violent outburst or my father would call his friends over and drank all night.

Many nights we waited up for my mother and sister. They came home in white aprons smeared with orange spaghetti sauce. Sometimes they came home to a group of strangers, men my father had invited to drink with him. My mother and sister did not want to talk. They just wanted to eat in silence and go to sleep. My mother could not get used to the food at the restaurant. She ate rice and vegetables when she came home. My

mother reached out to the community of Vietnamese families who settled in Oklahoma City.

Since 1975, Vietnamese people have been coming to Oklahoma City by the thousands. Vietnamese priests and deacons at Our Lady's Church were eventually able to celebrate masses in Vietnamese. Near the N.W. 23rd and Classen area, a Vietnamese community centered around a church, a Vietnamese food market, and Vietnamese restaurants. My family was one of the first Vietnamese families to settle in this area. The Vietnamese have done quite well, and most of them have bought bigger, newer houses, but I felt like my family would never change. Many Vietnamese men and women advanced to better paying jobs with benefits, their families became adjusted, but my family was in deep trouble.

My father could not keep a steady job. He got fired everywhere he worked. I could tell new jobs by his shirts—Coca Cola, Intempo, and companies that did not have logos. Drinking, staying up late, and fighting his demons made getting up early in the morning a miserable task for all of us. A typical Monday morning was a storm. At 6:30 a.m., before the morning sun crept through the cracks, the house broke with arguments. My father's ride was honking outside. My father clumsily hopped into his pants and cursed at my mother for not getting him up earlier. She hurried to pack his lunch. He rushed out the door gritting his teeth in anger.

At night, my father's black lunch box, which opened into an upper and lower half empty, sat on the kitchen counter. Chi, Việt, Đức, and I ate whatever was in the refrigerator and watched TV in the back half of the house. My father called Chi out to the living room. He ordered her to make dinner. Chi, fearful of water and fire,

feared the chicken which was wrapped in plastic, the cleaver, and the skillet. She was about fifteen years old. It took a long time for her to cut up the chicken. She did not have my mother's skill of chopping meat with the cleaver. She jabbed the chicken, poked holes through its flesh, and stuck it in the oven. She baked it on a cookie sheet. Hours went by. My father drank his Martell and fell asleep on the sofa. Chien and I went to the room to study. He called us out and asked for his dinner. Chien carried the whole chicken out on the plate and set it in front of my father on the coffee table. Blood dripped on the side of the plate and a puddle of pink fat settled in the middle of the plate. My father cursed a storm. Chien laughed nervously and uncontrollably; she had the habit of laughing out of fear. My father thought she was laughing at him. He pulled his belt off and whipped her. She fell on the floor. He pounded on her head and shoulders with his fist.

Nobody knew what was happening to Chien back then. She was paralyzed with fear. I slept with her and saw that she would not get up to go to the bathroom. She would shake her legs, then wet the bed. She spat along the side of the bed rather than brushing her teeth. She was so terrified of water. She stopped bathing herself, brushing her teeth, and washing her face. Acne and bumps covered her cheeks and forehead. My mother asked me to bathe with her so that I could wash her hair and make sure she did not smell. I was so ashamed of being her sister. She talked to herself and conversed with the voices inside her head. She pointed to the ceiling at night and said, "Devil stay away from me." She zoned in and out of existence. She sat paralyzed with her head down, immobile. People around the community called her crazy Chien. She hid all day from school for an entire semester before a Vietnamese teacher reported her absence to my

mother. Vietnamese kids at the school called her crazy Chi%on. She stopped going to school. After my mother forced her to go back to school, she came home writing “James Cowden” on the pavement and in small pieces of papers. She was in love with this boy and had no way to process what was happening to her body. She masturbated in bed with me sleeping beside her. I pounded on her in my rage. I was becoming like my father, and I was so ashamed of my life and all the people around me. Chi%on went to a place where no one could reach her just like the time when the pupils of her eyes disappeared leaving on the white of her eyes. But nobody tried to reach her.

I hated staying at home, so I walked over to Tony’s Italian Restaurant where my mother and Đại was working. I helped out with the dishes and later cleaned the tables. Tony, the owner, hired me at \$2.00 an hour. I did not get home until 10 p.m. on the weekdays and 11:00 p.m. on Fridays and Saturdays. I was tired at school and fell asleep during class. But it was better than being at home. After Chi%on totally quit school, she worked for Tony too. But she did not follow orders right, and Tony eventually fired her. “Something’s wrong with your sister,” Tony warned. After she got fired, Chi%on became obsessed with Tony’s son, Joe. She said his name, Joe Anzelmo, over and over. She made repeated calls to Tony’s restaurant and would say nothing.

One day when Đại went to pick Chi%on up from a Chinese restaurant where she was supposed to be working, but she was not there. She wandered around the city, and days later the hospital called us. She was admitted to the state’s psychiatric hospital where she did not recognize us at first. She never told anyone what us was going on with her. I visited her one time, and she made me a red box with stickers of birds and flowers

on top. No one at the hospital spoke Vietnamese, so they released her. Neither my father nor Chi%on was ever treated for their mental conditions.

The years in which my mother, Đại, and I worked at Tony's, when Chi%on was left at home with my father, were dark years for Chi%on. My father never picked on my brothers, focusing his rage on her. We got to see a glimpse of it one night when Chi%on threatened to call the police on my father. When we came home, my father screamed, gritted his teeth, and punched his head. He told us that he had told her to do something, and she did not do it. Instead she cursed at him, so he beat her. How dare she threaten to call the police? Would she be satisfied if he was handcuffed? Did she want to ruin the family? He announced. After that, he backed off from beating her, but he would start an argument with her by insulting her. He called her a dirty ugly. I heard him say throughout her young adult life that even if he were desperate, he would not touch her. He stared her down, intimidated her by raising his fist to her face, and gritted his teeth at her. She lowered her head and shook it, especially when he said this in front of others. She had no friends, and she stopped driving because she drove the family station wagon into a tree when she picked us up from school. She said she heard voices that told her to drive the car into the tree trunk.

My mother saved Chi%on the only way that she knew how. She tried to marry Chi%on off. Men in Oklahoma City knew Chi%on, so nobody ever asked her out. My mother asked people to introduce men who were from out-of-state. Mrs. Ba, whose daughter left the boat in Vietnam, knew a man named DŨng who had just moved to Oklahoma and was interested in Chi%on. These young men came to America by themselves. They wanted to settle down with a wife and make enough money to sponsor

their parents and siblings to America. My mother spent money setting the table for DŨng and his friends. DŨng and his friends were more in love with my mother's hospitality and charm than with Chi%on. He agreed to the engagement. My mother prepared tables for about 70 people for the engagement party and gave DŨng money. Before the wedding, DŨng left Chi%on, and took the money. Chi%on felt so guilty, and she blamed Mrs. Ba for introducing her to a thief. Chi%on called Mrs. Ba and told her that she was the demon incarnate. She whispered Mrs. Ba's name saying she would kill her. When she saw Mrs. Ba, she called her the devil.

Then, our next door neighbor, Mr. ñŨ@c, introduced Hănh Phămh, a man from Houston, to Chi%on. She could not wait to get away from my father, so married him on the rebound from DŨng. My mother warned Hănh about Chi%on's mental condition, but he did not care. He wanted a wife and my mother made the offer so enticing for him. She paid for the wedding and promised him that whatever money the guests gave the couple, he got to keep. Vietnamese people are traditionally very generous in giving cash as wedding gifts. My mother wanted Chi%on to stay in Oklahoma City, so that she could help her. She knew there was something wrong with Chi%on. Hănh lied to my mother and told her that he had planned to live in Oklahoma City. The day after the wedding, he said he was taking Chi%on to Houston. I wrote this scene in a play for a college course. It was unbelievable how awful it was. Hănh had been drinking with my father and my father's friend. My father's friend and Hănh got into a fight. They threatened to tear down the house. I ran across the street to get my mother from work. Hănh threatened to beat my father and his friend. He declared he was not going to live in Oklahoma City,

had never planned on it, and that if anyone tried to stop him, he would kill them. My father had met his match and was frightened.

Thus Chi%on went from one crazy man's house to another. She married a version of my father. She lived in and out of shelters and apartments and was homeless with her children. H nh beat Chi%on and the children. Chi%on would take the children to shelters and then return to H nh. This went on for seven years. When they visited us, her children came with gum in their hair and without shoes. They were never properly dressed and were dirty and underfed. Chi%on's teeth caused her much pain, but H nh refused to pay for her to get treatment. So she came to us in pain, with her children who were out of control. I visited Chi%on in her home at Houston when I was nineteen after I had completed one year of college. The bathroom walls were stained with feces, soiled diapers were left open, roaches crawled around the counters, food was uncovered, and the house smelled like old urine on carpet. I did not want to spend the night, but I stayed. H nh drank with his friends all night while his children ran around the coffee table nibbling on food that they picked from the table. It was like I was back in my father's house.

Then in August 1992, when I was accepted by the Peace Corps to go to Botswana, my family received a phone call from Laurelwood Psychiatric Hospital. H nh had broken a ceramic bowl on my sister's head, and she and the children had been taken to the hospital. Would my parents please come and pick them up so they would not return to H nh? The social worker pleaded, but my parents and     said absolutely not. I happened to be at my father's house from college for a weekend visit when I overheard my parents talking about Chi%on and her children. I called Laurelwood and talked to

Chi%on's doctor who said that she was diagnosed with schizophrenia. It was the first description of her condition that I could relate to having taken a couple of psychology courses in the undergraduate program.

I felt like something dark and awful had been unveiled. It explained the voices, the delusions, the hallucinations, the paralysis, and the inability to adjust to normal life. There was no such word in the Vietnamese language for her condition except kung which means crazy. I went to Laurelwood with my boyfriend, who later became my husband, to pick up her four children: Minh (7), Pauline (5), Augustine (3), and Christine (2). I knew schizophrenia in theory, but I did not know the extent of her illness. I was appalled after settling her family into a university apartment that she had plans to return to her husband with the children. I did not know that she had never matured into motherhood and that protecting her children and providing for them were not important to her. I became an instant Mom and an adversary to my sister. The Peace Corps was out of the question. Chi%on, like so many abused women, like my mother, unconsciously and consciously chose their abusive husbands over their children. Chi%on and her husband abandoned their four children, and because no one else would take them, I was left with the responsibility of raising them.

I had no money, no career, and no experience. I had my demonic father and my apathetic mother as models for proper parenting. Sometimes, I lost control and raged against Chi%on's children, marking their bodies in my dark hours of fear and despair. My stolen childhood had fostered anger and resentment towards them. I hurt them, and I am so sorry. I am sorry that I was not able to change the patterns of violence soon enough. I am sorry that I did not heal in time to enjoy them when they were small. I am sorry that I

was not able to value their childhood. I am sorry that I played a part in hurting them and making them feel less valued as human beings. For all these things and more, I am sorry. Now they have to carry on with their own stories of sorrow in which I played a part. But as we have all grown, I have tried to reconcile with them with each passing day.

I experienced the Vietnam War—the horrors and pain—through my father and Chi%on's insanity. I left for college, but the war never left me. A phone call from home or a weekend visit home would leave me to wonder why the hell I wasted my time with my family. My worldview was shattered by my father's violence and the destructive effect it had on all of us. For a long time, I wanted to check myself into a mental institution where I could break down, sleep deeply, and wake up a different person with different parents, different sisters, and different brothers. I hated being Vietnamese because it meant being in chaos, in crisis, and in anguish. I could not separate my family trauma from my Vietnamese identity. Enmeshed as one, I was ashamed of myself, my family, and my culture. I made a personal vow that I would never get involved with a Vietnamese man. Depressed and desperate, I searched for explanations about my life in the periphery, taking classes in psychology, literature, and history in order to piece together a picture of my family's tragedy. My junior year in college, I took a class called Vietnam in Literature. All the books were written by native-born Americans. With the exception of Bobbie Ann Mason's *In Country*, all the books were written by male authors, veterans, and journalists writing mostly about American veterans and the battles that they fought. In 1987, no Vietnamese voice could be found in the university campuses. Around 1990, I read Le Ly Hayslip's *When Heaven and Earth Changed Placed: A Vietnamese Woman's Journey from War to Peace* about her experiences of

being raped by two Vietnamese comrades, her one-time prostitution, and her marriage to her American husband who saved her from the atrocities in Vietnam. I was stunned at the content of the book. I did not think that personal experiences of rape and prostitution could be revealed in literature. I was deeply moved by the memoir and related to the impoverished condition of losing the family's ancestral home and the brokenness of the new home in the city. However, Hayslip's journey differed from mine. As suggested by the title, America was a place of peace where Hayslip felt secure and safe to relive her Vietnamese past, whereas for me it was the starting point of my war. Hayslip's father killed himself because he could not tolerate the destruction of his home; my father inflicted his wounds on his family for reasons that were unknown to me. While Hayslip's memoir struck me as powerful, I identified more with Mason's about a family torn apart by a raging Vietnam veteran returning home. My journey began in America. My war was not fought in the battlefields but at home. For a long time I was not sure that I had a valid voice to speak about the war. I burned with shame and guilt that damaged my ability to confront my family's history. It was by knowing Mr. ThiCet that I was able to overcome my insecurities about writing about my life. Mr. ThiCet was the first Vietnamese man whom I knew who had written a manuscript of his life. In addition, he had written numerous poems and spoke to different groups of people about his prison experiences. He opened up to me as an adult which gave me a perspective into his hellish journey.

I could relate to the rage, anger, and destructive impulses that Mr. ThiCet carried from the wounds of war. I knew a different sort of prison from him, but I could empathize with his agonies when it came to his relationships with his family. He inspired

me to return to the English Department at the University of Oklahoma, after I had left it, and write the stories of Vietnamese political prisoners. In the process of interviewing the former prisoners, it became apparent to me that the stories were incomplete without addressing what was happening to the families. Over and over again, I heard prisoners talk about what had happened to their wives and children before, during, and after their imprisonment. They claimed that had it not been for their wives they would have been dead. They talked painfully of how their children were deprived of a good education and job opportunities because of their relationship to their fathers. For the Vietnamese whose culture is marked by deep family ties, no current anthology in American literature commemorates and pays tribute to the South Vietnamese political prisoners and their families in the aftermath of the Vietnam War. The traumas suffered by Vietnamese women and children have not yet been included in the discourse of the Vietnam War. I requested permission to interview wives and children of the prisoners, and the permission was granted. I focused on one particular family because all the family members of that family living in America were willing to share their stories with me and with a larger audience.

The damages of the war continue to be felt and lived among the third generations of Vietnamese whose parents were born in Vietnam but who are themselves born and raised in America. I think about my family, particularly my sisters and I and our children, who continue to suffer from the war. My personal narrative is a testimony of how our lives, thirty years after we left our country, continue to be plagued by the past. I weave my story of trauma with those of the political prisoners because it was through Mr. ThiEt that I was able to complete my own personal narrative. I found a way to relate my

past with his. It was easier for me to talk to people whose past trauma was completely different from mine because of the distance created by the differences. I could be more objective in how I presented the prisoners' experiences and less condemning of my family's. However different, we share a common ground: the Vietnam War and its aftermath changed our lives forever. The war left its marks on us, and we left them on our children. I hate war; I hate what it has done to us.

CHAPTER 3

KNOWING MR. THIẾT: KNOWING PRISONS

I had never known anyone who was imprisoned until I met Mr. Thiết Nguyễn. I was thirty, and he was fifty-nine. “Thiết” means truth or damage. It is a fictive name, one which I made up based on a former Vietnamese political prisoner whom I have known since Christmas of 1998. This composite protects the identity of the man, respecting his private life while enabling me to explore core struggles that he faced as a former prisoner. Although Mr. Thiết is a fictive character, how I met him and how this dissertation project began are factual. The details of my own life are also factual.

Around Christmas of 1998, I was a manager for Lucent Technologies, a leading company in technology equipment and designs in telecommunication. My main job was to investigate cases of misconduct involving violations of Title 7 of the Civil Rights Act. I had come to Lucent after leaving the English Ph.D. program at the University of Oklahoma. I could not concentrate on my studies, and I needed to make money to support my sister’s four children. In that year, Lucent’s stocks doubled with each share valued at more than \$100.00. Thousands of employees became millionaires through their long-term investments. All employees who worked in the office got pagers and their own phone line. Lucent’s managers went to conferences and stayed at 4-star hotels. Each had his/her own credit card account. We ate full-course meals ending with rich desserts and cappuccinos. There seemed to be no limits to how much we could spend. I remember once ordering a \$22 dollar dish of bull steak somewhere at some expensive restaurant. I ate heartily, but my heart was sick inside. I was suffering from a spiritual kind of

sickness, a hollowness from within. I was unpleasant and judgmental toward my colleagues. My peers were women from diverse backgrounds: African-American, Hispanic, Jewish, Chinese, White—all professional women, yet I could not connect with them. When I went back to my hotel, I felt lonely, burdened, and out of place. It was such a terrible dilemma to feel unsatisfied when signs of success were everywhere. I felt like a fake, a phony. Life was heavy, intense, and dark. I felt no happiness with the money that I was making though it enabled me to purchase a house and a new car. I could not shake the blues. The gap between “real time,” that is the time in which you feel present at whatever is before you, and being crippled in time was a daily experience.

I bridged the gap of my discontent by reaching out to the Vietnamese employees at Lucent. Together we formed an Asian non-profit organization to support each other and people outside our workplace. We committed to serving the needs of less-advantaged Asians who had not climbed the ladder of corporate success. We raised money for our social cause, and the Company matched the money. As president of the organization, I could decide on which cause I wanted to donate the money to for Christmas. I chose Catholic Charities because they sponsored Vietnamese families who were still stranded in Thailand or Cambodia awaiting sponsorship. I remembered my family’s own connection to Catholic Charities, who assisted us in getting St. Ann’s Church to sponsor us.

In those days at Lucent I tried to lift myself out of this terrible cloud and be in the moment-to-moment of each day, but I retreated into a state of darkness. Some afternoons at work, I locked my office door and lay down under the desk covering my head with my coat. I was paralyzed with fear and loneliness when I met Mr. Thiệt. Mr. Thiệt worked

as a clerk in the Vietnamese Refugee Resettlement Program, one of the programs of Catholic Charities. His supervisor, Andrew Greene, whom I contacted, introduced me to him. Mr. Thiệt's face was oval and slender as was the frame of his body. He stood about 5'4", weighing no more than 120 pounds. Nothing about his gentle manners or physique indicated that he had spent time in the brutal concentration camps in Vietnam. Meeting him for the first time, I thought he was one of the Vietnamese who settled in America at the same time my family did. Watching him interact with Vietnamese and non-Vietnamese and talking to him, I knew he was different from other Vietnamese men of my father's generation. I remember thinking that he was the only Vietnamese man of that age who was working in an office. My father and his friends worked in factories, restaurants, or opened their own shops because they did not know English. Mr. Thiệt read and spoke Vietnamese, French, and English fluently. I was stunned when I learned that he had been in America for only seven years.

Mr. Thiệt treated everyone who came to his office with respect and dignity regardless of their status as refugee or director. He sympathized with the struggle of newly arrived refugees and was compassionate to them by the way he talked to them and by the time he spent with them outside of work. He was very thorough in explaining to them what their benefits were and what they had to do in order to keep those benefits. He was very patient. Many Vietnamese who settled in Oklahoma City in 1975, like my family, kept their distance from the Vietnamese who arrived many years after 1975. Underneath this distance was fear and hatred for who we were and what we went through.

The first mass of Vietnamese who settled in Oklahoma City confronted agonies known to first-generation of immigrants. Changes in language, culture, food, and climate were some of the immediate problems that tried them. The Vietnamese differed from other immigrants because America had fought for them and lost. We heard about the 58,000 American soldiers who died in Vietnam. My sister told me that in Dixon when my father rode home from work on his bike, young men threw rocks at him and told him to go home. There were jokes about gooks. In the early 1980's, when I was in high school, a friend told me she heard a joke on the radio which was very hurtful to her. A disc jockey on one of the major Oklahoma City radio stations said, "What do you do with an extra large closet? You rent it out to a Vietnamese family." In the late 1980's, Bruce Springsteen's "Born in the U.S.A." was played repeatedly adding new fuel to the already bitter feelings about Vietnam. Growing up, I did not like telling people that I was Vietnamese, and I wished people would not ask.

The Vietnamese newcomers of 1975 in Oklahoma City desperately held onto their culture, their language, and their beliefs. As first-generation of immigrants, they resisted branching outside of their circle of acquaintances. After my parents left Dixon, they did not develop relationships with any non-Vietnamese person. My parents, like hundreds of Vietnamese who attended Our Lady's Cathedral, met on the weekends with other Vietnamese families. They resisted assimilation into the new society. My mother worked long hours at hard laborious jobs. Their leisure time was spent at church or at the homes of other Vietnamese. They stayed within their circle of friends and did not move past those boundaries. Relationships like these were strong and prominent in establishing Vietnamese social, religious, and economic institutions. The Vietnamese in Oklahoma

built a Vietnamese church, two Buddhist temples, businesses, a Vietnamese-American association, and a Vietnamese staff in the Refugee Resettlement Program which served mostly Vietnamese.

By the time Mr. Thiệt arrived in Oklahoma City in 1997, the Vietnamese had constructed shops, markets, and restaurants throughout the city. They had social and religious centers, and had begun to participate in the social and political fabric of their new society. Residents of Oklahoma City, Vietnamese and Non-Vietnamese, could point to the surrounding areas on Northwest 23rd and Classen as the Asian markets. The Vietnamese in Oklahoma City reinvigorated the landscape in ways that had never been done before, revamping entire sections of the city into viable businesses located within walking distance from their homes and church. Signs of their economic, social, religious, and cultural life were visible everywhere in the community. They had achieved remarkable success after twenty years. But something else was happening. But there was a price to pay for their successes. The older generation of Vietnamese did not pass down their language, culture, and stories to their children. My friends and I knew little about the world that our parents left behind.

That Christmas in 1998, I came to Catholic Charities to have lunch with Andrew, the supervisor who was to give us information on a Vietnamese family whom our Lucent group wished to sponsor. Because it was a couple of days before the Christmas break, the office was empty of workers except for Mr. Thiệt. He sat answering phone calls. I approached him and told him that I had heard he was a poet and wondered if he would entrust me to translate his poems into English. He immediately shook his head and raised his hand to tell me that he was not interested. I took the motion as a rebuke and backed

away. I did not ask anymore. There was a reverential and respectful way in which young Vietnamese women were expected to address men who were their father's age. Younger women call themselves daughter (con) or niece (cháu) and refer to older men as uncle (bác). These relationships do not go beyond the point of casual familiarity. I thought that I had violated this formality. I have never tried to get to know any of my father's friends, and I felt ill-equipped to relate to him. I was so surprised when Mr. Thiệt called me one day at Lucent and asked me if I still wanted to translate his poems. More than half a year after "the rebuke," Mr. Thiệt told me he had dreams of publishing his poems in English.

"You know I had written my entire life down about what happened to me in prison when I first came to America. It was still fresh in my mind. I was living in Boston at the time. But I burned it." He said over the phone.

"You burned it? You don't have it anymore? Why? What happened?"

"My son. I asked my son to send it off for me, but he was not interested. I got so mad, I threw it in the fireplace," he said reflectively.

Mr. Thiệt had burned the manuscript of his life. Records of his fifteen years in prison turned to ashes in front of his family. After being in America for only one year, he had constructed the past as part of his private and public record of his imprisonment.

"I remembered everything as if it were yesterday. Everything was in that book," he said mournfully.

I grieved with him in silence on the phone. I know the pain involved in writing about the past. Numerous times, I started on my family history only to quit because I did not have the strength to continue much less complete it. But he did. And then destroyed it.

“I wanted him to send it off, contact people who would be interested, and get on with something else, but my son was not interested. He did not want to do that,” he said.

I wanted to move away from pain, and I thought changing the topic would. “I did not know you lived in Boston. When were you there?” I asked.

“In 1992. My sister lives there. I discovered that I had eye cancer after I got to America. I was living in California with my oldest daughter. We had no health insurance. My sister told me there was a Catholic hospital in Boston that would treat me for nothing. I know Mother Mary cured me of cancer. I don’t have it anymore,” he said in confidence.

I was so happy that Mr. Thiệt opened up to me. I told him I would be honored to translate his poems. I did not tell him that I hardly knew Vietnamese. I had only a second-grade knowledge of Vietnamese. I have not had any opportunity to learn Vietnamese. I did not want to let Mr. Thiệt down, so I vowed to learn as much as I could for the sake of translating his poems. I told him that I wanted to translate 25 of his poems to commemorate 25 years after the fall of Saigon. We projected that we would complete everything in the year 2000.

The translation of Mr. Thiệt’s poems was the beginning of a friendship that I never thought was possible. Because I did not know Vietnamese well, I relied on him to explain to me what he meant. Word by word, line by line, poem by poem, I came to understand Mr. Thiệt’s fifteen years in prison. His poems were extraordinarily vivid and spiritual. The physically torturous conditions were integrated into the fabric of Mr. Thiệt’s spiritual journey. The poems mirrored his development from a man who was at

the height of power, though spiritually empty, to a man who was reduced to a prisoner but regained his spiritual life. It was a deep parable lived out in full.

“I met a priest in prison who taught me about God. He was exemplary. When his family sent him things, he would share the best portions with everyone. He gave his last piece of bread to others. I saw him praying. I asked him who are you praying to? He loaned me the Bible. I read it night after night. I asked him many many questions,” Mr. Thiệt spoke solemnly.

“I thought you were born Catholic from your poems since you evoke Mother Mary so often,” I said.

“No, I studied the Bible in prison. Before that, I honored my father and my mother. The priest who taught me the Bible later baptized me. Later when I got out, I told my wife and children about Jesus and Mary. They were all baptized,” he said.

Mr. Thiệt confessed, “The more I studied, the more pain I felt for my wife and children. What I had done, how I failed them. I was so sorry for my actions,” he said sadly. “I had enough money and connections to send my children abroad to study America, but didn’t. I could have taken my wife or gone there myself before the collapse.”

“It’s suicide to think like that,” I said.

Andrew told me that Mr. Thiệt had everything before the collapse of South Vietnam. I was stunned into silence because there was not trace of social elitism in him. Nothing in Mr. Thiệt’s person indicated that cutting shrewdness, competitive instinct, and worldly ambition characteristic of high-ranking officials. It was a stretch to imagine this

frail, mild-mannered man arguing in front of a courtroom. It was shocking to know that at one point in his life he was not poor but affluent and socially powerful.

Mr. Thiệt laughed when he talked about what he used to be and what he had. When we went out to eat at a Ph^a Hòa Restaurant, he said, “Imagine, Ms. Thúy, I had everything before Saigon fell. Then, overnight I had nothing. Huh, can you imagine?” There was so much pain in his laughter. I wanted to leave. But instead I said something about myself.

“No, I cannot imagine having more than \$5,000 in my hands,” I said. It did not take away the bitterness in the conversation.

“It is nothing, the past. None of it matters now,” he said softly. I have never known him to raise his voice or get mad. He has the temperament of Buddha: understanding, compassionate, and detached from worldly cares. His dark side is revealed mostly in his poetry.

Mr. Thiệt’s poetry reveals a deep remorse at being entangled in sumptuous living.

“I hurt my wife deeply,” he said.

“I am sure you did,” I said. I bridged the world that was unknown to me with the familiar world of deception and pain. I was reminded of my father’s younger self in that distant land of Vietnam where he had affairs with young women and fathered numerous unwanted children.

My father was not a millionaire like Mr. Thiệt, but he earned a good steady salary as a soldier of the Army of the Republic of Vietnam. Unlike Mr. Thiệt, who provided for his family, my father was irresponsible with money, and my mother had to work in the rice paddies and for her younger sister as a nanny to my cousins. It was such a terrible

shame, an issue that none of us discussed openly. In my home, discussions of the past were muted. The dust of the past swept in when my parents argued. Amidst their screams, I got glimpses into bygone days.

Piecing together the fragments of my parents' lives, I realized how much our personal lives were affected by the war. There was only one picture of our entire family taken in Vietnam, and even then my father was absent from the picture. My mother had her hair rolled up in the tradition of a northern peasant woman. She stood next to my oldest sister who was at that time about eighteen years old. Four other children stood in front of my mother. No one smiled in the picture. We stood before the flag of the Republic. Under our feet a sign read, "My family does not accept the Communist government." The photo identified us as pro- American and anti-Communist. I found the picture when I was looking through information about my family for a class assignment. It was stashed away with our birth records that my parents kept in a red-cloth bag. No one ever talked about it.

I developed a theory when I was young that Vietnamese, unlike non-Vietnamese, rarely spent anytime alone. A room of one's own which enabled a person to read, write, and be was a foreign experience to many Vietnamese. Even in America, the idea of reading in one's room and examining one's self through literary texts is a foreign experience to many Vietnamese.

But Mr. Thiệt was different. He had lived in a luxurious home and had a personal collection of rare books in Vietnam. Mr. Thiệt had read Dostoevski, Tolstoy, Pasternak, and Hugo along with Vietnam's great poets, novelists, and historians. He valued time alone more than any Vietnamese man I know. Mr. Thiệt said he needed to talk, to get

things off his chest so he could be healed. He was willing to share with me about the past, knowing that I was studying to get a second masters in Human Relations in order to become a counselor. I was more than ready to hear the story of a man who was my father's age.

"I want you to help me heal," he said laughingly but then got serious.

"I am very depressed, Ms. Thúy. Many many things I cannot handle. My job, my family, what I want to do," he said.

"What do you want to do?"

"I want to go back to Vietnam to live and to die. I want to do something for my poor people, and I have so little time left. I just want to go build a school and teach English," he said quickly. "What do you think?"

"Man proposes, God disposes," I said, thinking about his teenage daughter and his wife who depended on him.

"Why would you ever want to go back to a place which had unjustly imprisoned you and taken everything away from you?" I asked, quite confused about his desire.

"America is not my home. Vietnam is still home. The people, the land, it is so beautiful. I will never become a U.S. citizen. My son and I still have Vietnamese citizenship."

"What about your wife and daughter?"

"If I make arrangements for them, maybe they can stay with one of my older children," he said coolly.

"Does your wife know your intention?"

"Yes, she knows I want to go back."

“What does she say?”

“She’s quiet.”

“What about your daughter? Does she know you want to leave her?”

“She knows something.”

I was beginning to learn about the cracks in Mr. Thiệt’s world. I had the impression from meeting his wife and daughter that his family was close, united, and inseparable. America was the only stable home that his youngest daughter has ever known, and his wife and other children have accepted America as the best possible alternative. I could not believe that he would ever leave them. Mr. Thiệt said he has no home in America. A foreigner in America and an enemy in Vietnam, he was restlessly trying to find a place of his own. He had been uprooted from his homeland.

“What are you planning to do there?” I asked.

“Teach English, build a school, read, write. It is so much easier to live there than here. You don’t have to worry about a place to live or food to eat. Not like here where you pay rent each month until you die. No. I have it set up with people I have kept in contact with all these years. There are at least three places I can go and live.”

“Is it safe with your status?”

“That I don’t know,” his voice wavered. Then he laughed, “You are right. Man proposes, God disposes. We will see.”

Mr. Thiệt wanted space and time to read and write. He was ready to retire. In an ideal world, a sixty-year-old man would be approaching the time in his life where leisure and a lighter workday went hand in hand. But in reality, he was at the green stage of

supporting a teenage daughter, Linh, and his wife. His youngest daughter was fifteen when I met her, a tall beautiful young girl who spoke Vietnamese better than I did.

What struck me about Linh was how I felt about her the first time I met her. I remember being so jealous of her. She had manners indicative of a well-brought-up Vietnamese daughter. I could tell meeting her for the first time that she came from a home where traditions and stories were passed down for generations. When Mr. Thiệt told her to greet me, she folded her hands, bowed, and said, “*Dạ chào cô Thúy.*” (hello Ms. Thúy). This greeting shows the utmost respect for the person being greeted. Her manner told much about her family. Vietnamese parents who care about passing down their tradition teach their toddlers how to fold their hands, bow their heads, and say “*dạ.*” You can tell immediately the family’s value by the way a child greets the family’s guests. I could not believe it when Linh greeted me in that manner. I never greeted my parents or any of their friends that way. None of my teenage children would think to greet me that way. I remember thinking that I wished I had Linh’s upbringing; I wished Mr. Thiệt were my father.

I knew Mr. Thiệt for about four months before I met Linh and her mother, Mrs. Thi. The family was victimized by the May 3rd tornado in 1999. Mr. Thiệt lived in Midwest City, and his house was struck by the tornado. He had no renter’s insurance. A corporate grant from Lucent to tornado victims enabled me to offer Mr. Thiệt money, which he gladly accepted.

“I got nothing for myself from the tornado,” he said. “I barely make enough to survive. At the end of the day, I have nothing in savings.”

This was the first time Mr. Thiệt revealed his vulnerable financial state to me. I was shocked by his forwardness and happy that he was honest with me. I have always wanted to know how he got by with one person working. His house in Midwest City was twenty miles from his workplace. His job at Catholic Charity paid him a little above the minimum wage. He paid insurance premiums for him and Mrs. Thi. After the tornado, I became very good friends with Mr. Thiệt. I entrusted Mr. Thiệt to distribute the rest of the money to needy families. Mr. Thiệt said there were people he knew who badly needed the money.

Mr. Thiệt brought bags of rice, boxes of fish sauce, and boxes of oranges to desperate families who lived in London Square, a HUD approved housing project. Mrs. Thi, Linh, Andrew Greene, Mrs. Nhãn (Mr. Thiệt's coworker), Mr. Thiệt, and I went to the apartments to donate the goods. It was dark when we went. The gray rectangular two-story apartments looked drearier because of the rain. The water filled up the red dirt potholes that dipped into the uneven ground. The breezeway was cluttered with small rusted charcoal grills, old bikes, and pairs of worn-out shoes. Most Vietnamese leave their shoes outside their homes. None of the windows opened. No trees blocked the tall light poles that arched high over the gray landscape. A yellow plastic slide and a red tilted swing sat on a dirt slope that was fenced in. Small children climbed in and out of the playground.

"When I first came to Oklahoma City, I used to live here. This one," Mr. Thiệt pointed. We carried in the goods. The others had been there many times, but this was my first visit to what is known by many as the Vietnamese ghetto.

These residents pay from \$5.00 and up based on their income. They have to prove to the government that they would be homeless were it not for this program. It usually takes up to a year or longer to get in.

We walked into apartments with leaks from the ceiling, peeled tiles, stained carpets, and smells that reeked from walls. One family had buckets and towels underneath where dirty water from the second floor dripped onto their kitchen floors.

An old Vietnamese widow greeted Mr. Thiệt with tears. She held onto his hands. He told Linh to “dả” her. Linh bowed her head.

“It is so sad here, day in and day out, I just walk in these walls,” the old lady said and cried. She wore a scarf wrapped around her head, a brown button-down shirt and black polyester pants. We did not sit; the old woman talked real fast. “I can’t live by myself. It is so awful. I’m afraid,” she said desperately as if fearing that we would leave in the middle of her talk. She did not look at the gifts. She continued to clasp Mr. Thiệt’s hands as she talked to all of us about her fears. She begged us to come back and said we did not need to bring her anything. Linh went up to her and “dả” her again. Linh referred to herself as “con” which means child. This is a deep form of respect and deference to an elder. It is something I have never thought to do. When I saw it done, I was filled with awe and insecurity. Mr. Thiệt looked at Linh proudly.

On our way to the next home, Mr. Thiệt told me that the old woman’s husband had died not too long ago. Her son had sponsored her and her husband to America. The son and his wife live in a six figure home in some faraway suburb. The woman told Mr. Thiệt she wishes she could die but she cannot. She has a son and grandchildren in

Vietnam who want her to live with them, but her son here will not help her return to her native land.

In another unit lived a family of two deaf adults and their father. Their father spoke Vietnamese with a heavy Huế accent which I could not understand. The deaf women smiled at us. Later, Mr. Thiệt explained to me that the family want to return to Vietnam because the father had no means of caring for his deaf daughters here. Applying for disability for his daughters and getting them connected to a community of other deaf people were impossible tasks for a man who knew no English and had no relatives in America.

After seeing these broken homes, I dreaded going to the next apartment and the one after that. In the third apartment was a young Amerasian mother with two young children. She held the baby so we could sit on the one lawn chair. She walked around the living area carrying her baby while she talked. She said she was cut from welfare because Catholic Charities did not certify her eligibility. She told Mr. Thiệt that she did not know why Catholic Charities kept the money from her. He assured her that he would take care of it. Mr. Thiệt told me that it was unethical how Catholic Charities was running the program because the director did not allow people time to go to school or stay home with their young children. She wanted them to work immediately after their arrival, or else their benefits would be cut.

In the last apartment lived six adults and one child. That was the one that had buckets and towels on the floor to catch the dripping waters. They were getting ready for dinner and invited us to eat. Mr. Thiệt thanked them, but we quickly left because we were all bunched up in the doorway avoiding the drips and the cluttered furniture.

After our visit, Mr. Thiệt talked to Linh about the conditions of these families. His wife sat close to him and listened. “What a beautiful family,” I thought. My family would never, as a family, visit others for almsgiving. After living in America for more than twenty years, we have hardened ourselves to the pain of other Vietnamese. We have never lived in these poor conditions because our American sponsors made sure we got a house with a front and back yard. It was only when we left Dixon, Illinois after one year that we lived in crowded quarters, at first with my aunt and her family, and then we moved into a duplex. Catholic Charities employed Vietnamese to help newly-arrived Vietnamese immigrants and Mr. Thiệt earned the trust of everyone he met.

Mr. Thiệt reflected on his time at London Square. “It was filthy. When things break, they never come fix it. One time the toilet flooded our entire apartment. It took them days to come fix it. They never changed the carpet.” Mr. Thiệt said. “I told my children not to come see me.”

When I went home, I imagined Mr. Thiệt, his wife, and his children reading in their home in Vietnam. The change in their status did not make them bitter or hateful. Instead, they were compassionate and kind. They were teaching Linh to be just that. Linh not only had character training from her parents, but they also taught her to read and write Vietnamese. When I visited them at their home, Mr. Thiệt showed me her composition in Vietnamese. He told me she was writing an essay about Vietnam. When I had a hard time understanding one of Mr. Thiệt’s poems because I could not visualize what he was describing, Linh drew a picture for me. We used the picture as a cover for Mr. Thiệt’s manuscript. Linh and Mr. Thiệt wrote music to accompany one of Mr. Thiệt’s poems. She sang this song at her father’s reading of his manuscript which was

held at my house on February 19, 2000. In front of thirty guests, her parents, and one of her father's best friends, Linh and her father sang a song which he had written while he was in prison. What a moving day that was! I thought about the deep bonds between Mr. Thiệt and Linh that come from shared experiences of imprisonment and escape and wished that I had that connection with my own father. Linh had the support of her parents who modeled ethical and moral responsibility. With a strong father and a devoted stay-at-home mother to guide her, Linh had all the opportunities to excel in America. She also had an older brother and three older sisters to help her. She had the potential to complete college and have a professional career. This was Mr. Thiệt's dream for her.

"I wished my children could finish college. Without a college degree, they will never have a career. They will struggle all their lives," he said. "They will never know who they are," he said sorely. "I was not there to teach them. During my fifteen years in prison, they had no father. They became adults without me there to guide them. I don't want them to just make money. I want them to know skills and have careers. But it is not possible. They have to work using their hands and feet rather than their minds. It is a trap, an awful trap. We cannot get ahead in this society," Mr. Thiệt mourned. He was robbed of fifteen years with his children. Their education was fragmented and fractured.

Coming to America as teenagers, Mr. Thiệt's children missed opportunities to get a solid education in Vietnam as well as in the U.S. Their education was disrupted after the fall of Saigon. Their villa was confiscated. Her mother, sisters, and brother moved in with the mother's family. Mr. Thiệt's oldest daughter, HÒng, escaped Vietnam when she was eighteen years old. She settled in California and married her husband without any of

her family members being there. She single-handedly opened her own beauty salon and in 1991 sponsored her family to America. Mr. Thiệt's entire family lived in her home in California for a short time before moving to Boston. Her younger sister eventually worked for her and also opened her own shop. Mr. Thiệt's son became a computer technician having earned an associate degree. His other daughter in Florida quit school and worked for Walt Disney. None of his children were as well-educated as he was. Mr. Thiệt earned a law degree at the University of Saigon. He became an attorney and later an elected official before he was 30.

"You got Linh. I know she's going to make it," I said confidently. I said this with genuine belief that Linh was a straight arrow.

Mr. Thiệt and his wife pinned their hopes on Linh. Mrs. Thi was a quiet woman whose smile was pleasant and kind. She knew no English and did not drive. She had no friends except for a woman who worked in the office with Mr. Thiệt. Mr. Thiệt was determined not to let his wife work. She stayed at home taking care of Linh. She cooked and cleaned. When I came to visit, their home was warm and loving.

But one year after the February 2000 reading, something changed. When I came to visit Mr. Thiệt's family, Linh would not come out to greet me. She stayed in her room. Mr. Thiệt did not call her out though I asked about her. At times, though it was late in the evening time, she would not be home. I saw six pairs of high heeled shoes lined up along the entryway. Linh was entering the prime of puberty.

Mr. Thiệt met me on his break at work. "I got a letter from Vietnam. I just want to get on the plane and leave for good," he said desperately. "I am burning to get away."

"Wait until Linh goes to college and then go," I said assuredly.

“They are different here, children in America. They don’t need you as much like they do in Vietnam. What they want most of all is the freedom to do what they want. Then when they get it, they don’t need you,” Mr. Thiệt said sadly. Then he showed me pictures of people and places in Vietnam that awaited him. There was a picture of a cottage surrounded by huge trees.

“Do you know what these trees are? They are tamarind trees. I’ve been sending money to this couple so they could start a business. They have added acres to their businesses from the profits they made. I could start a school there. That’s in Central Vietnam,” he smiled. The thought of him sending money to Vietnam shocked me. He was barely making ends meet with a minimum-wage salary and having to support an entire household.

“My goodness, Mr. Thiệt, you should keep the money for yourself,” I exclaimed.

“No, it is okay. They do so much with so little there,” he said, then showed me another picture of a monk standing in front of a temple.

“And here is the beloved monk who runs an orphanage. He has been writing for me to come home to teach the children for years. I don’t know if I can wait any longer.”

I felt uneasy and sad. I have been on both ends of the spectrum. I know what it was like to have a father disappear for years, then show up. I also know what it was like to pick up the pieces where family members abandoned their responsibilities. When I met Mr. Thiệt in 1998, I had been raising my sister’s four children for six years. I had gone to court for custody of the children and won. Eventually, my sister went back to her husband and left her children. I became an instant mom to two boys and two girls when I was twenty-three years old. I knew about the desire to flee when I felt drowned by

familial duties. I know what it is like to want to live for yourself and not be bothered by the needs of others. I have always wanted a room of my own so I could write and sort things out, but that was luxury that would have to wait. I had time, but Mr. Thiệt felt he had no time left.

“You will regret leaving now while Linh is still so young. I know she will get a scholarship at a university. Just wait until then,” I said.

Mr. Thiệt was quiet. Then he asked me, “Is there a curfew law in America as to how long a child can stay out? I’m asking for a friend of mine whose child has been sneaking out of the house.”

“There is. The parent can call the police. I would ground the child, take privileges away,” I said.

“What if he sneaks out again?”

“I would lock him out. Take the house keys away. You’ve got to set curfews. You can’t let a child dominate the household. Get the police involved.”

“Is there a law that says they have to be in a certain time? Will the police really come out for that?”

“Yes.”

We talked in this coded language for months before Mr. Thiệt identified the child who was breaking curfews and sneaking out the window as Linh.

“This is worse than prison,” he said. “In prison, I knew what I had to do to stay alive. I knew who my enemy was. Even in the worst days of torture when I carried buckets of feces and shit fell all over me, I survived it. When they set us out to cut down trees, we ate frogs or whatever we could find, I knew who I was and who the bad guys

were. I am lost here. I don't know what to do. She won't listen to threats. My wife will not allow me to lock her out. She gets the keys and gives them to her. I have no say so in my own home. She comes and goes whenever she wants."

"Who is he?" I asked.

"A military man she met working at McDonald's."

"You need to report him to his superior because he is dating a minor."

"No, my wife will not let me. The guy will come after us out of vengeance. I have heard stories where they come shoot the girl's families. My other children will not let me do that."

"Then the only thing to do is put her on the pill," I said.

"No, that would be unthinkable. My wife would not go for that. She will talk to her."

I volunteered to talk to Linh, but Mr. Thiệt could not accept the idea that his daughter was getting involved with a man before marriage.

"It happens to the best of families," I said trying to comfort him. He was pale and troubled. He started to smoke. I met him for lunch, and sometimes talked to him for hours in the evening. Every chance I got, I tried to get him to look at the reality of the situation, persuading him to talk to her about birth control. It was unbearable for him.

"I've met this guy. He's no good. No father. His father left when he was a child. His mother—who knows. He honks the car for my daughter to come out. At times he parks his car far away from the house. He drops her off and picks her up to who knows where. He knows I do not like him because Linh told him."

Mr. Thiệt was confronted with raising an American girl in a traditional Vietnamese home. The beautiful girl who bowed her head to me no longer bows to anyone except the desire to fulfill her passion. Mr. Thiệt took the rejection hard. He was determined to save her from herself. He could not accept that Linh was not going to obey him as he obeyed his father. His relationship with his father was profound. His father, a retired postmaster, had traveled to north Vietnam to visit Mr. Thiệt when he was in prison. Mr. Thiệt told me what his father said to him.

“My son, I will wait for you when you get out. I will not die until I see you free.”

Mr. Thiệt’s father stood outside the prison and hugged him the day he got out of prison and said, “Now I can die in peace.”

Mr. Thiệt would not leave Vietnam while his father was still alive, though his father urged him to go. “There is nothing here for you, my son,” he told Mr. Thiệt.

When Mr. Thiệt’s father died, Mr. Thiệt buried him with the full rites of a traditional Vietnamese burial.

“I hired men to carry my father’s coffin. I placed a small glass of whiskey on top of the coffin. If one drop spilled, they would not get paid. My father’s final passage in this world was without a tremor. Not one drop spilled; the glass stood just as I laid it.”

Mr. Thiệt said proudly.

Mr. Thiệt’s mother died when he was a young man. His father never remarried, devoting his entire life to his children. Mr. Thiệt showed me his parents’ pictures.

“Every night, I pray to them. These pictures sit over my bed,” he said.

Mr. Thiệt’s relationship with his father and mother exemplified loyalty, obedience, duty, respect, commitment, and love. His relationship towards his parents

was based on his original religion, which was the worshipping of ancestors. Linh's rebellion went against the core of that religion. I could not imagine a teenage Mr. Thiêt in defiance of his parents in search of his own identity. And yet this was what was happening to Linh. It happened at a time when Mr. Thiêt had come to view the positive aspects of his prison experience—that something good and holy had come out of it. He was looking forward to the idea that his poems could be published.

When the poems and their translations were bound together at the end of 1999, Mr. Thiêt sent copies to his children.

Thumbing through the manuscript, Mr. Thiêt said proudly, “You cannot believe what it was like in prison. I saw so many things that you cannot believe. There were great and beautiful things that I saw about people, not just the ugly. Yes, it was awful. Some days you thought you would die from hunger. You could not carry one more load on your shoulder. But there were so many wonderful stories of people who were marvelous. My best friends today are men I met in prison. Some have died, but three are still living. We have kept in touch after all these years.”

I sat quietly and listened.

“You know, not all the comrades in the camps were bad. There were some decent ones who helped us carry letters to our families. They slipped in food for us. The guards were different. When I went north, it was a different story.”

“What was the difference?”

“Northern camps were run by professional guards, men trained to punish. We were sent to places that were once used as prisons. We worked all day and at night we

were locked up in cells. A cell as big as your bedroom held about 200 people in there. You can imagine the smell. The comrades were local boys hired to secure the area.”

“Why were you in there so long? Fifteen years is a long time.”

“I tried to escape. They also knew my history,” he said. I had read what happened to prisoners who tried to escape. The guards kept them in dark cells chained up for days. This was not something Mr. Thiệt discussed with me. They locked Mr. Thiệt up along with all the elite South Vietnamese government officials. He told me that he was in Saigon City when President DÜÖng Van Minh announced a complete and total surrender. He was one of the loyal men who stayed behind believing that the Republic would stand and that America would never abandon Vietnam. It also seemed impossible to leave a powerful and privileged life consisting of marble tiles imported from Italy, a personal collection of rare books, two Peugeots, nannies, and chauffeurs. Mr. Thiệt believed that he lost the material world but gained his soul in prison.

“Prison was a spiritual gift to me,” he said assuredly. “I realized how much I had wasted my life.” This was an astounding statement coming from a man who was living in a modest two-bedroom home in Midwest City, 25 minutes away from his workplace where he was a clerk. He could discuss the past with some distance but he was not always consistent in his conciliatory attitude against his enemy. He shifted between peace and fury.

“Why could we not unite like the Zionists did and bring them to justice? Our people could never unite like the Jews after WWII,” he said bitterly. “They slaughtered us in silence. I saw hundreds die in my fifteen years, not to mention the damage done to

the people. We did not know they were lying to us all that time. I wrote the truth in the confession which condemned me," he said.

Months after his discussions with me about Linh and her boyfriend, he became silent about her. We talked more about his prison experiences instead.

"Are you writing any of this down?" I asked.

"What time do I have to do this? I get up so early, drive to work. When I go home I have no energy. On the weekend, I work with my wife at the *Daily Oklahoman*. I am so tired by the end of the day," he answered curtly.

Writing was one of the avenues that made Mr. Thiêt feel that everything was not lost. He could shape the past into the present with an eye toward the future. It was the one thing he could control, but he had no peace of mind to do so. He wanted to leave his story behind for his children. But living got in the way. He was becoming more depressed and said nothing about Linh.

One day at work I got a call from him.

"I need to see you. When can I see you?" It was a voice of desperation. "Do you need a new sofa? I'm getting rid of everything in my house. I want you to have the sofa because you liked it so much."

The sofa was the only new piece of furniture that Mr. Thiêt had bought with his wife in America. I liked it because they picked a simple blue pattern and left the tag on it because they were so proud of their purchase.

"Where are you going?" I asked.

"I'm leaving Oklahoma City and moving in with my son," he said as if he had planned to move all along.

"Why are you doing that? You're going to leave Oklahoma?"

"Yes. There's nothing for me here."

I was appalled. "Doesn't your son have a family?"

"Yes, but he needs us to help with him with his children."

"You can't move in with them. What about your wife and Linh?"

"Linh is pregnant. She will live with her husband."

I wanted to cry, but I did not. It was just a matter of time. I begged him to consider other options.

"You do not want to be like King Lear. You have to have your own home. You've got to stay and work towards a future for you and Mrs. Thi."

"No. It is all settled. I've got a realtor. Will you take the sofa?"

I was losing one of my best friends to a terrible situation. The whole family was locked into a trap of their own making. They were all running from each other. Mr. Thiết gave up fighting for Linh, his wife, and himself. The only way he thought he could avoid losing a power struggle with his daughter was to leave her. He was raw from his fifteen years away from his children. He was in prison when Linh was born. He first knew her when she was seven. He felt guilty because he was not there for her in those critical seven years. Guilt is irrational; it makes no sense, but it is driven by our emotions. But emotions are powerful, and we act out of them! Mr. Thiết was more susceptible than most because he was a deep thinking person. It affected him profoundly, and he reacted out of it.

Linh did not have a home until she was thirteen. Linh experienced prison and freedom at a very young age. Mr. Thiết attempted to escape Vietnam with his family 17

times by boat and by land after he was released from the re-education camps and after his father had died. As a result of these escape attempts, the family was imprisoned three times, once at the Cambodian border and twice in Vietnam. Throughout her childhood, Linh was in and out of prisons with her parents. Now at the young age of seventeen, she had to make a home for herself without her parents.

Mr. Thiệt felt personally responsible for her bad choices. He could not get a perspective on his daughter's rebellion. He had no cultural reference to accept that he had no control over her. He wanted her to have a brighter future than anyone in his family, but it did not happen the way he wanted.

"You're going to regret this," I said when I picked up the sofa. "I'm saving this sofa for when you get back," I said angrily.

With Mr. Thiệt, I could express disappointment and frustration with him because he knew how much I loved him and cared for him.

Mr. Thiệt did not write his story. He and his wife lived with his son's family until it got unbearable. Then he and his wife moved in with his daughter in Florida. What was home to Mr. Thiệt? Since his release from prison, he had lived on the outskirts of home. If home was the outward expression of peace, comfort, happiness, and purpose, then Mr. Thiệt raged against home. Restlessness, exile, displacement, and discontent marked his retirement age.

Mr. Thiệt introduced me to other former prisoners and their families. I have come to know four families by knowing Mr. Thiệt. Vietnamese families characteristically pride themselves on their children's accomplishments. Vietnamese parents often make enormous sacrifices so that their children will have better lives than they do. In three of

the four prisoners' families, adult children have not advanced beyond their parents' education or career. They are less educated than their parents, hence their social mobility in American society is hindered. Their knowledge of Vietnamese history and culture is hampered because of the discrimination practiced against them in Vietnam while their fathers were imprisoned. They are caught between two cultures, not able to claim either as their own. This legacy of the war continues to leave its mark on the second and third generation.

The fragmentation in the family is revealed by the desire of children to escape these homes at great costs to themselves. The children in all three families were so anxious to make a home for themselves; two young daughters married against the wishes of their fathers and bore their children without their fathers' blessings.

I was given a glimpse into the mind of Mr. Thiệt as he was trying to find a way to express what had become of him after the fall of Saigon. War and peace inhabit his daily existence. He has inspired me to study the lives of those who lived through the Communist concentration camps post-1975. In knowing Mr. Thiệt, I have come to know a segment of American society who lives on the outskirts of America's mainstream culture and the Vietnamese communities that were established by some of the first Vietnamese settlers in America. Like Mr. Thiệt, their voices are silenced by their very own suffering. Knowing Mr. Thiệt, I have come to know about prisons with walls and without. Knowing Mr. Thiệt, I have come to know the silence of his struggle. He was the one who gave me the title for this book, "In Silence I Struggle." Mr. Thiệt was haunted by his past and his truths. The past and the truth paralyzed him. He could never

reconcile his truth to the failure of the South Vietnamese to unite, America's betrayal, and the demands of America's capitalist society.

“It just continues, Ms. Thúy. They'll do to the Iraqis what they did to us. We are dispensable to them.”

CHAPTER 4

HISTORICAL BACKGROUND OF THE VIETNAMESE GULAGS

The Vietnamese gulags were manifestations of North Vietnam's vengeance and America's quiet abandonment of South Vietnamese. On April 11, 1975, a little more than two weeks before the fall of Saigon, Alexander Solzhenitsyn predicted with Communist victory that "all of Vietnam will be transformed into a concentration camp."⁷ Other writers were sure that Saigon would be bathed in blood.⁸ Both predications proved true. General Trần Văn Trà held a press conference on May 12, 1975, at which he announced to an assembled group of foreign correspondents:

The United States has taken many Vietnamese away from their home[s] by fabricating a 'mythical reason' that if liberation soldiers came to Saigon, they would carry out mass executions. If any of you has witnessed or heard about such executions, I want you to speak up now.⁹

General Trần Văn Trà dared reporters to find evidence of mass graves and executions among the southern landscapes of Vietnam. His public statements suggested that he would not tolerate heinous acts of violence against South Vietnamese. According to the

⁷ Alexander Solzhenitsyn said this in a televised interview with Bernard Pivot during a broadcast of *Apostrophes* cited in Olivier Todd, *Cruel April: The Fall of Saigon*, trans. Stephen Becker (New York: Norton, 1990), 427.

⁸ Cited in Jaqueline Desbarats and Karl D. Jackson, "Political Violence in Vietnam: The Dark Side of Liberation." *Indochina Report* 6 (April-June 1986): 20. Ken Kashiwahara from ABC talked about rumors of bloodbaths as the North Vietnamese troops moved South in Larry Engelman, *Tears before the Rain: An Oral History of the Fall of South Vietnam*, (Oxford: Oxford UP, 1990) 164, as did Reverend Peter Dinh Ngoc Father Que in his memoir, *Memoirs of a Priest in the Communist Reeducation Camp (1975-1988)*, trans. Trần Văn Dien (Baldwin Park: privately printed, 2000), 72. Le Huu Tri also mentions such bloodshed in *Prisoner of the Word: A Memoir of the Vietnamese Reeducation Camps*, (Seattle: Black Heron Press, 2001), 13.

⁹ Reported in the Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) IV. 14 May 1975: 8. Cited in Desbarats, 7.

declaration, the Governor of Saigon's military would personally hold those committing such acts accountable.

General Trần Văn Trà, a two-star general of the North Vietnamese army, had fought to defeat the Army of the Republic of Vietnam (ARVN) for most of his adult life since 1959.¹⁰ He coordinated the attack on Saigon in 1968, masterminded the proposal to attack Ban Mê Thuột by surprise, and commanded the attack on Saigon in 1975 which led to the complete defeat of South Vietnam. Trà's public statements at the May 1975 press conference were supported in Hanoi by broadcasts proclaiming the policy of nonviolent reconciliation with the South Vietnamese:

The victorious Vietnamese nation is opening its arm[s] to welcome its stray children. In Saigon, the enemy's den, hundreds of thousands of officers, troops, and policemen and thousands of people who formerly served the puppet administration apparatus have responded to the revolution's call, handed over guns and rejoined the nation, and not all of these took part in the recent general offensive and uprisings. This is a victory of our nation's traditional unity and a brilliant success of the national concord policy which reflects the sentiments of love and loyalty and the noble ethics of our nation. The path has been wide open for our entire people to join efforts to rebuild the country, to heal the war wounds on our territory, and to restore the relationships and natural sentiments within each street, hamlet, and family. . . . All mistakes are forgiven and all suspicions eliminated. All of us are participating in the great joy of the nation over the complete liberation of the South.¹¹

Addressing the South Vietnamese, the Hanoi government promised more than nonviolence. It guaranteed clemency, forgiveness, and equal integration of all Vietnamese into the newly reconciled society. The passage cited above suggests that all Vietnamese would be treated as equal citizens regardless of their prior political allegiance during the war. The tone was celebratory, uplifting, and positive. And the rhetoric

¹⁰ The Provisional Revolutionary Government was a product of the National Liberation Front (NLF). It presented itself as an independent party, but in reality it was guided by Hanoi. Todd, 17.

¹¹ Reported in the *Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS)* IV. 23 May 1975: L3. Cited in Desbarats, 6.

trumpeted the end of the war as a victory for all Vietnamese: “All mistakes are forgiven and all suspicions eliminated” meant that one’s past would have no negative impact on one’s future. Indeed no mass graves were found immediately after Communist victory. However, when foreign correspondents left and the world was assured that no bloodbath had occurred, Hanoi carried out a strategy of systematically killing its enemies, South Vietnamese men and women.

A bloodbath did take place in South Vietnam after the Communist takeover, though not immediately. Hanoi managed to severely punish its enemies while simultaneously convincing an international audience that large-scale liquidation did not take place. The Socialist Republic of Vietnam was admitted to the United Nations on September 29, 1977, at the same time it had incarcerated an estimated 2.5 million political prisoners.¹² Laws and edicts pronounced by the Vietnamese Communists, along with survivors’ personal accounts, however, reveal that clemency toward and integration of South Vietnamese was but a rhetorical fabrication, a “mythical reality” used to gain international praise and respect. In reality, the Vietnamese Communists created a totalitarian state, one in which terror, coercion, violence, and vengeance.

Vietnam became a giant gulag of sorts with the following facts pointing to various types of oppression. One week after April 30, 1975, the Saigon-Gia Dinh Military Management Committee, headed by General Tra, issued the first order which required all

¹² Stephen B. Young, “The Legality of Vietnamese Reeducation Camps,” *Harvard International Law Journal* 20, no. 3 (Fall 1979): 527 and Douglas Pike, “Vietnam in 1977: More of the Same,” *Asian Survey* 18, no. 1 (Jan., 1978): 72. Father Andre Gelinass left 15 months after the fall of Saigon, testified before the House that about 300,000 people were held in reeducation camps. House of Representatives, Committee on International Relations, *Human Rights in Vietnam*, 95th Cong., 1st sess., 16, 21 June and 26 July, 1977, 37-39. Reports that prisoners did not have access to proper medical treatment was reported by Amnesty International mission to South Vietnam December 10-21, 1979. *Report 10-21 December 1979* (Amnesty International Publications, 1981), 14-15.

former soldiers, officers, policemen, or civil servants of the Republic of Vietnam to register with the new government.¹³ One month later, the Committee announced its plans to “re-educate” persons who registered. The transcription of the announcement shows a shift in the clemency policy. The Committee announced the following on June 10, 1975:

Now that the country has become completely independent and free and peaceful forever, this is the once-in-a-thousand-years opportunity for the soldiers, officers, policemen and personnel of the puppet administration who have, for one reason or another, more or less sinned against the compatriots, to struggle to reform themselves into genuine Vietnamese.

With our nations’ traditional clemency and humanitarianism and fully understanding President Ho’s ethics and implementing the policies on the great unity and national concord promulgated by the revolutionary government to create conditions for soldiers, officers, policemen and personnel of the puppet administration to reform themselves and cleanse their wrongs in order to quickly become honest citizens loving the fatherland and peace, and to return to the nation, the Military Management Committee of Saigon-Gia Dinh city makes this appeal:

Soldiers, officers, policemen and personnel of the puppet administration should be aware fully of the clemency policy and humanitarians of the revolution and should strive to study and reform themselves so as to become quickly genuine Vietnamese citizens. . . . Those who make quick progress will be considered by the revolutionary government for exoneration of their sins and restoration of their rights as citizens.¹⁴

Adults linked with the former government were condemned as sinners in need of exculpation. Peoples’ pasts mattered. Not yet “genuine Vietnamese citizens,” South Vietnamese in the clemency program could become “honest citizens” if they “str[o]ve to study and reform themselves.” The ideas expressed in these standards are ambiguous and deceptively open-ended. Concepts of sins, cleansing, genuine Vietnamese, and exoneration are indicative of arbitrary and subjective judgments not based on any specific deeds or actions. Punishing people without clearly identifying their crimes was the goal of the reeducation program. No specific laws were cited; no specific crimes were

¹³ Young, 519.

¹⁴ FBIS II, 10 June 1975: LI cited in Young, 520-21.

charged; no trials were set. Vietnam's laws and edicts post-1975 showed that the political and social agendas of the new state were to dispose of former enemies and to annihilate South Vietnamese culture, community, families, and economy. The laws dictated by the Socialist Republic of Vietnam (SRV) violated the individual human rights of the South Vietnamese. They discriminated against, punished, and eliminated South Vietnamese people who were affiliated with the former Republic of Vietnam. The decree of June 10, 1975 was one of the first edicts issued by the new government that set into motion a different social order for the "puppet administration." This social order consisted of a purging of an entire class of society. It enabled an arbitrary method of determining the fate of millions detained in camps and prisons; it empowered common guards and chiefs to wield control over prisoners; it charged people with crimes against the state or "counterrevolutionary crimes" without specific individual charges, and hence held no trials; it executed without cause. As with other totalitarian regimes, what ultimately followed was the elimination of former foes. A law enacted by the new regime one year later, after detention of its former enemies, was more definite about the fate of those who were detained by the government.

On May 25, 1976, the Provisional Revolutionary Government announced policy statement No. 02/CS/76, which categorized three groups among the former personnel of the South Vietnamese government:

- (a) "those who have rendered services to the revolution."
- (b) "those who have behaved well during the political course."

(c) “those who must continue their ‘reeducation’ course or who must be brought to trial.”¹⁵

Soldiers, non-commissioned officers, officers of all the armed forces, and former civil administration who fit in categories (b) and (c) by this law were forced to serve for three years. Most of those who fit in category (c) were top-level officials and officers.

Amnesty International identified four types of detention camps: 1) camps that processed individuals; 2) camps that held criminals and political prisoners (detainees were asked to write accounts of their background for the sake of identification); 3) camps that held prisoners based on their past offenses; and 4) camps that held former officers and members of the intelligence services (they were judged as “ác ôn” or wicked), which were located mostly north of Hanoi.¹⁶

Three years after the surrender of South Vietnam, the world became aware of the so-called reeducation program. Reports published by The Foreign Affairs and National Defense Division, Congressional Research Service, House Committee on International Relations, 95th Congress, 2nd Session on Human Rights Conditions in Selected Countries, and the U.S. Response 312 of 1978, Amnesty International, the *Far Eastern Economic Review*, and *The New York Times* noted that detainees were held longer than the ten days or three months that was originally promised. They noted that the reeducation camps were hard labor work camps.¹⁷ Three years after the surrender of South Vietnam,

¹⁵ Amnesty International, *Report of an Amnesty International Mission to the Socialist Republic of Viet Nam 10-21 December 1979* (Amnesty International Publications, 1981), 2.

¹⁶ Amnesty International, *Amnesty International Annual Report* (1978), 196.

¹⁷ Young, 522. Amnesty International, *Report of an Amnesty International Mission to the Socialist Republic of Vietnam 10-21 December 1979*, (Amnesty International Publication, 1981), 2.

hundreds of thousands of Vietnamese were participating in the reeducation program.¹⁸

The promise of clemency and restored citizenship through reeducation programs proved to be a ploy to get men and women to self-identify for the sake of placing them in different detention camps. In this configuration, the new regime created a mass of political prisoners—people incarcerated not for their crimes, but for what they believed.¹⁹ High-ranking officials, professionals, and intellectuals were sent to harsher camps in the north and their time in the camps were much longer than three years.²⁰ On February 3, 1977, Vô Vang Sung, the Vietnamese ambassador to France stated that there were some 50,000 detainees being held.²¹ Prime Minister Phạm Văn Nông on September 1, 1978 declared that his government was holding

only those who had held high positions of power in the war machinery of the old regime and had committed grave crimes, so that they could be transformed into Vietnamese having a homeland, loving their compatriots and useful to themselves, to their families and to society. In this process of reeducation, those who made progress were in turn set free and their citizenship gradually restored. The majority of them have proved worthy of the government's lenient policy. . . . At present we are holding only a very small number of people who committed intolerable crimes in the past for further careful consideration.²²

The government admitted to a penal system. Leniency was the policy and few were those held, according to Phạm Văn Nông. Nông informed Amnesty International on December 1979 that 26,000 people remained in detention and that 14,000 had been released since

¹⁸ Young, 522.

¹⁹ Amnesty International defines a political prisoner as anyone who is incarcerated for what he/she believes rather than for his/her crime. At least 30,000 Vietnamese people were considered political prisoners by Amnesty International in 1978. Cited in Douglas Pike, "Vietnam in 1977: More of the Same," *Asian Survey* 18, no. 1 (Jan., 1978): 72.

²⁰ Young, 525.

²¹ Young, 522.

²² Quoted in Young, 523.

1975.²³ History disproved both of ãông’s claims: the numbers killed and the conditions of the camps attested to totalitarianism , coercion, torture, deprivation, and brutality.

A conservatively estimated 65,000 Vietnamese were killed between 1975 and 1982.²⁴ The suggestively benign program of *học tập* (education) or *cải tạo* (reeducation) had incarcerated about 2.5 million Vietnamese in the two and a half years after Communist victory.²⁵ Of the 2.5 million detainees, an estimated 300,000 were detained in the camps for three to five years²⁶ or more. More than 150 reeducation camps and subcamps were created for the purpose of incarceration.²⁷ The promise of releasing prisoners after three years did not materialize. The government invoked a different law to justify the ongoing retention of prisoners past their three years. This was Resolution 49-NQ/TVQH of the National Assembly of 1961.²⁸ Resolution 49 made legal the indefinite imprisonment of Vietnamese without provisions for court hearings. Under this resolution, anyone who belonged to “obstinate counter-revolutionary elements who threaten public security” (category a) and “all professional scoundrels” (category b) were subject to an indeterminate term in the reeducation camps.²⁹ The capricious nature of the laws eroded the possibility of building a “consolidating community.” Moreover, it

²³ Amnesty International, *Report 10-21 December 1979*, 3.

²⁴ Desbarats, 22.

²⁵ Pike, 72.

²⁶ Ibid.

²⁷ Ginetta Sagan and Stephen Denney, *Violations of Human Rights in the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: April 30, 1975 – April 30, 1983* (n.p.: Aurora Foundation, 1983), 21.

²⁸ Amnesty International, *Report 10-21 December 1979*, 4.

²⁹ Ibid.

violated basic human rights to justice and a fair trial; it rendered absolute power to those in charge. Laws were put into place or invoked after the fact of arrests and detainment. As a result, thousands of people were detained longer than five years. The Vietnamese government reported that there were still 128,975 political prisoners detained in 1990, fifteen years after Communist victory.³⁰ The Communist Party released the last four prisoners in 1992.³¹

The machinery of the totalitarian government penetrated fundamental aspects of religious, educational, political, and socio-economic life. Anyone could be detained indefinitely for whatever people in authority considered offensive or obstructionist to the new order. The apparatus of the totalitarian regime uprooted families and communities and exceeded the goal of eliminating those whom the government deemed as wicked or those who had held high offices. The reeducation program had a negative impact on virtually every South Vietnamese in post-1975 reconstruction.

The redistribution of the work force relocated families to uninhabitable areas under the New Economic Zone (NEZ) plan. NEZ, established as punitive communes, relocated 1.3 million people in the first two and half years after Communist victory.³² Vietnamese who attempted to flee the country were arrested and detained.³³ From the

³⁰ Arthur Matson, "Culture, Coping, and Meaning in the Reeducation Camp Experience" (Ph.D. diss., Antioch University, 2001), 8.

³¹ Peter Ngoc Father Que Dinh, Reverend, *Memoirs of a Priest in the Communist Reeducation Camp (1975-1988)*, trans. Trần Văn Dien (n.p.: privately printed, 2000) 31.

³² Pike, 71.

³³ Amnesty International, *Report 10-21 December 1979*, 2.

spring of 1978 to 1982, 600,000 Vietnamese fled by boat or by land. The exodus of Vietnamese out of their country, unprecedented in history, attested to the oppressive policies implemented by the Communists. Out of this number, more than one third were ethnic Chinese whose businesses and properties were confiscated.³⁴ Religious persecution took the form of arrests of religious leaders. Fifty to fifty-five Protestant pastors were held in reeducation camps; two hundred to three hundred Catholic priests and six bishops were jailed; nineteen Buddhist clergymen were sent to prison; three known Buddhist leaders were arrested.³⁵ Desecration of Buddhist temples, closing down of churches, religious schools and institutions run by the churches, restrictions on religious ceremonies, the prohibition of training of priests, and the requirement of government approval for religious activity such as the ordination of priests and bishops were ways that Communists forced people to put nationalistic ideas ahead of their religious beliefs.³⁶ Buddhist monks and nuns were forbidden from holding retreats, fasting, or accepting new members to their orders. They were ordered to participate in government-planned activities.³⁷ Father Andre Gelinas, a Canadian Jesuit Priest, a professor of Chinese history at the University of Saigon since 1957, testified before the House of Representatives Committee on International Relations, the 95th Congress, on June 16, 1977 that 12 Buddhist nuns self-immolated by fire to protest religious

³⁴ Sagan, 3.

³⁵ Quang Do, Huyen Quang, and Tuyen An were the Buddhist leaders arrested on April 6, 1977. Sagan, 13. Gelinas, House of Representative, Committee on International Relations, *Human Rights in Vietnam*, 95th Cong., 1st sess., 16, 21 June and 26 July, 1977, 37-39.

³⁶ Ibid.

³⁷ Ibid.

persecution. Arrests were made of anyone who opposed the government.³⁸ Before the Communist victory, South Vietnam published 27 daily newspapers and 200 scholarly journals. After that victory, all publications were suppressed. Magazines, books, records, and cassette tapes were confiscated and burned in the streets in huge bonfires. The government controlled sources of information through publication, television, and radio.³⁹

America did not intervene on behalf of the political prisoners and their families until July 1988, although reports of human rights violations against South Vietnamese former allies were made public shortly after the implementation of the reeducation camps. An agreement between the United States and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam (SRV) allowed South Vietnamese political prisoners and their families to migrate to the United States under the Orderly Departure Program (ODP).⁴⁰ The latest figures show that 35,795 former political prisoners and 129,807 family members of former South Vietnamese political prisoners now live in America.⁴¹ Their assimilation in American society is relatively recent, making them the newest political exiles from Vietnam.

The compiled facts expose the lies beneath the Vietnamese Communist Party propaganda. They convey a world where the iron fist of arbitrary laws transformed a country into a society of concentration camps. Vietnam was sharply divided between victors and vanquished without an integrated consolidating community. The annals of

³⁸ Amnesty International, *Report 10-21 December 1979*, 2.

³⁹ 95th Congress, Gelinas, 8.

⁴⁰ Peter Bui-Xuân-LŨŨng, "South Vietnamese Officer Prisoners of War: Their Resilience and Acculturation Experiences in Prison and in the U.S." (Ph.D. diss., The Fielding Institute, 2000), 57.

⁴¹ Ibid.

Vietnamese history after 1975 recorded a world “in which need is everywhere present, in which scarcity is the rule of existence, and in which all of the possible agencies of satisfaction are lacking or absent or exist under imminent threat of death.”⁴²

⁴² Hayden White, *The Content of the Form: Narrative Discourse and Historical Representation* (Baltimore: John Hopkins UP, 1987), 11.

CHAPTER 5

MR. SÚ AND HIS BAND OF BROTHERS

Unlike Mr. Thiệt who silently struggles to contain his past, Mr. Súr Nguyễn openly and publicly claims his past. Mr. Súr is not silent about his struggles. He makes known to the public—Vietnamese and non-Vietnamese—who he is. A former political prisoner of the Army of Republic of Vietnam, he is now an American citizen. His prison experiences make him more determined to rectify the injustices of the past. Vietnam, his homeland, is his past. He will not return to Vietnam unless the Communist system becomes more humane or collapses. He hopes for that day, but in the meanwhile he works to disrupt, dismantle, and discredit the Communist government from where he now lives. At the Hợp Ký Restaurant, which he owns, Mr. Súr mixes social activism with roast duck and pork rolls. Hợp Ký is more than a restaurant; it is also a social center. A band of brothers meets there almost daily. His brothers are men who fought for South Vietnam, lost, and were imprisoned. On any given day when the restaurant opens, or on Tuesdays when it is closed, you can walk into Hợp Ký and find a group of Vietnamese former political prisoners and their sympathizers talking, sharing a cup of coffee, or just hanging out. A Vietnamese radio station carrying Vietnamese news or talk shows blares in the back. A stack of the Oklahoma Việt Báo Newspaper, a local Vietnamese newspaper, sits on the shelf for anyone's taking. The flag of the former Republic of Vietnam hangs just outside the restaurant. Vietnamese have gathered in front of Hợp Ký in years past to commemorate April 30, South Vietnamese National Day of Mourning. Holding hand-size Republican flags, they listened to speakers talk about what it was like to leave their homeland and start again.

As President of the Former South Vietnamese Political Prisoners' Association, Mr. Sứ is busy making plans for upcoming activities. His concern for former political prisoners has broadened to include other human rights issues. Events that he helps plan include Vietnamese New Year, the National Day of Mourning-April 30, Honoring Ancestors Day, and Veterans Day. Mr. Sứ writes articles for the *Việt Báo*, annual bulletins, letters, and petitions. He does not hide how he feels about the Vietnamese Communists. "Old," "bitter," and "wicked" were the words he used to describe them to me.

For him, the Vietnam War was a struggle between freedom and oppression. South Vietnamese wanted freedom, and the Communists wanted power to oppress them. . But the fight is not over for Mr. Sứ. He fights a different kind of war against the Communists. "Former prisoners do not have ammunition or arms to fight against Communism. We have to find other means to fight against oppression," Mr. Sứ told me. He applies the weapons of a free press, due process, voting, and petitioning to bring about changes in the oppressive conditions in Vietnam. Included in his social and political activism are local, national, and international causes.

When one speaks of *Họp Ký*, one speaks of Mr. Sứ. *Họp Ký* is synonymous with Mr. Sứ. The place draws people out of their private homes and into a public forum. The *Họp Ký* Restaurant has been featured in the *Daily Oklahoman* and *National Geographic*, as well as in various Vietnamese newspapers across the U.S. Known throughout the metropolitan Oklahoma City and in surrounding states, *Họp Ký* is a place where Mr. Sứ's personal, political, and social lives intersect. Patrons who frequent *Họp Ký* know that they are not just buying roast duck; they are supporting and participating in Mr. Sứ's

struggle for justice and freedom. While growing up, I have often heard my father say, “The Communists would kill him [Mr. Sứ] if he ever sets foot in Vietnam.”

Before the arrival of political prisoners to Oklahoma City in 1992, there was not a place where Vietnamese people rallied to protest against the conditions that their brothers and sisters had to endure in the homeland. The Vietnamese in Oklahoma did not seem as united as they are now, according to Mr. Sứ. With the establishment of the Former South Vietnamese Political Prisoners Association, the Vietnamese in Oklahoma City had focused political agenda: to fight for human rights and freedom for all Vietnamese. It was Mr. Sứ who helped shape this agenda. His years in the Vietnamese reeducation camps, his office as chairman of the Prisoners’ Association, and his restaurant have given him status and credibility as a leader in the eyes of many Vietnamese in Oklahoma City. Most recently, Mr. Sứ gathered thousands of signatures asking Governor Brad Henry to pardon Mr. Hùng Lê, a Vietnamese man convicted of the murder of another Vietnamese man.

My mother told me, “If you want to go to the rally at the state capitol to save Mr. Hùng Lê from execution, be at Hòp Ký by 10:00. People are meeting there and riding together to the Capitol.” Indeed, Hòp Ký means “place of gathering.”

Hòp Ký is a landmark where the private, public, social, and political dimensions merge. Though the South Vietnamese flag is no longer recognized by the world, it lives in the hearts and minds of those owners of this all-Vietnamese strip mall where Mr. Sứ’s restaurant sits in the middle. The mall was one of the first all-Vietnamese clusters of shops carved out of what used to be empty spaces left by unsuccessful businesses.

Mr. Sứ agreed to meet with me for an interview at Hạp Ký Restaurant rather than at his home. I was disappointed at first because I wanted to have a private meeting without any interruptions. Even though the restaurant was closed on Tuesday, I knew Mr. SỨ does not lock the door and people can still come in. We met on Tuesday July 30, 2001. The meeting began with just the two of us, but this format would not last long. Soon, Mr. Sứ's brothers arrived. Mr. Sứ called his friends, *anh em*, which means "brothers."

Mr. Sứ's band of brothers consisted of five men that summer afternoon. Present were Mr. Lê Thiệp, President of the Former South Vietnamese Political Prisoners in Washington, D.C., Mr. Lê Thu, Mr. Nguyễn Giao, and Mr. Nguyễn Long. I had expected that when a restaurant posted a CLOSED sign no one would come in, or that if they did, Mr. Sứ would turn them away. That was not so. Men came in, sat, drank coffee, smoked, and joined in the discussions.

"My brothers and I meet here regularly for coffee. I told them a little about your project," he said casually.

I was not prepared to talk to a group of men, therefore I did not bring copies of the introduction letter, the questions to guide the interview, or the consent form for five people. I had expected to only speak with Mr. Sứ. Mr. Sứ read the interview paperwork while the men stared at me. I saw Quick Print Copy shop across the restaurant and dashed out to make copies, glad to get away from feeling insecure and ill-prepared. I left the tape recorder on because I did not want to miss anything important. I later heard comments made my inept Vietnamese writing skills. Mr. Sứ reminded his brothers that I was only eight years old when I came to America, and that I had a desire to learn more

about the reeducation camps. When I returned with the additional forms, all the men had read Mr. Sứ's copy.

Thoughts raced through my head about my awkward situation: Why did Mr. Sứ not meet me at his home for a one-on-one interview? Why didn't he inform me there would be others? How do I talk to these men? There is a protocol in Vietnamese culture regarding how a younger person should address older men and women, especially one's parents' age. My rapport with Mr. Thiết was unique, but even then I had to overcome many obstacles before we came to an understanding. Mr. Thiết had called Mr. Sứ on my behalf to ask for an interview. Mr. Sứ told him that I could have come to him directly because he has known my parents for years. My parents were long-standing church members of Our Lady's Cathedral Church where Mr. Sứ and his family attend. Vietnamese protocol calls for a relationship with the parents to precede that of their children.

I felt particularly inadequate speaking to a group of Vietnamese who were my parents' age because of my deficiency in Vietnamese. It took days for me to write the form and the letter, and I did it with much editing help from friends. I reminded myself that I could replay the recorded interview over and over until I understood what was being said. The tape recorder became a security blanket. The men read the forms carefully and questioned the usage of words and meanings.

"These two words that you used in your questions when you state, '*tự do*' [freed, free, or freedom], are not accurate. We were not freed from prison. I think the words you need to use is 'was released' which accurately describes what we went through. We were released from prison, not freed. You need to clarify that statement or else people

will misunderstand our condition,” Mr. Th_l, one of Mr. S_U’s brothers, said directly to me.

“We lost our citizenship,” Mr. Th_l continued. “Don’t think for one minute that we were freed after we left prison,” Mr. Th_l reemphasized. He blasted the words “*tư do*.” The misrepresentation of being freed opened Pandora’s Box. It became the heart of the discussion.

“The Communists are very clever about their wordings. The prisoner’s release certificate reads, ‘Release to the responsibility of the family under house arrest by the local government.’ House arrest means that the prisoner has lost all his rights. He has to present himself to the local officials who control his life. For a minimum of two years after his release, he has to get permission from the officials everywhere he goes and for whatever he does,” Mr. Long, another brother, explained in Vietnamese and English.

Long bridged my ignorance and the prisoners’ discourse with helpful pronouncements. In his forties, Long was the youngest man there. In fact, he said he knew my family and hence knew me.

“Communism governs by suspicion,” he said. “Two years after prisoners are released, they have to get permission to travel back and forth. They cannot travel with ease. If they are even suspected of subversive activity, they are in trouble. An official could ask, ‘Why did you leave the premises without informing us? Are you meeting to protest against us?’ That person is in deep trouble. The Communists will make life a living hell for him. Once they consider you an opponent, you are forever branded a sinner. A committee is set up to examine whether or not you have been good according

to their standards. If you do not abide by their rules, you have no rights as a citizen,” Mr. Long emphasized.

The others followed Mr. Long’s argument.

“That was how they controlled us, by stripping us of our rights,” Mr. Thiệp said. He was from Washington D.C., where he was president of the Prisoners’ Association. He was in town for his niece’s wedding.

“Without citizenship, we could not vote or participate in social or political activities.” Mr. Kiêm said. He had been quiet up to now.

“The only jobs we had were the ones we created because no one hired us,” Mr. Thiệp said. Their voices merged in unison. Two or three spoke at once. They spoke with conviction and without hesitation, almost forgetting that I was there until they remembered what had started the whole conversation, the misuse of the word “freed.”

Mr. Thiệp’s voice softened as he said, “I understand your circumstance because you came here when you were eight years old; you do not know Vietnamese well. I suggest that you change the word “freed” to ‘released’,” he said smiling.

“I will, and I am sorry,” I said. I felt more comfortable because my limitation of the Vietnamese language had become a point for clarification about being released and being freed. A distinction was being made about the past and the present, Vietnam and America. Everyone was conscious of the difference between being freed from prison and being released from prison. I felt comfortable just to be silent, to let the dust from the past settle in. I did not want to spoil it with my own words. Mr. Sứ broke the silence.

“After my release in 1981, living with my family was but a temporary condition until I proved to the Communists that I could follow their criteria. If at anytime I violated

any of their laws, I could be sent to the New Economic Zone. Each week I had to meet with the local official who examined me. I had to keep a file which list all my activities down to the hour and minute.”

“The whole day had to be accounted for,” Long interjected.

“This very day, for instance, Mr. SÚ resumed, “on this day of July 30, 2001 at 10:00 a.m., who was I with? What did I talk about? How long? And for what purpose? I had to answer all these questions to officials who verified my activities. If they agreed, they stamped their approval. If not, they tell you to rewrite your file saying, ‘Mr. Súr, include this activity because I saw you there that day.’ It went on like that for 52 weeks. They made life miserable! I wrote an entire book about where I was and what I was doing day in and day out. After one year, I stood in front of a committee to make a case for why I should get citizenship. I had my book with me so that I could highlight my activities. Forty to fifty other men made a case for citizenship. I got my citizenship status, then I had to work to get my residential status,” Mr. Súr said.

The room fell quiet. A man came in wanting to buy a roast duck. Mr. Súr said he was not open and the man went away.

“What is a residential status?” I asked. I knew that prisoners lost their citizenship, but I knew nothing about residential status.

“It is a family report card,” Long answered right away. “Every family even today has to have a report card. It informs the officials about the inhabitants of the home. If they search a home, they match the report card with the people in the home. If there’s an extra person not accounted for, the family has to explain why. You cannot have people spend the night at your home anytime you want without getting prior authorization.”

“Without the report card, I could not work or buy goods like sugar or a train ticket to travel. So much depends on this report card. I still have my copy that I brought from Vietnam. You can have a copy of it for your dissertation. It is representative of that society. I had to carry at least three documents with me at all times: my certificate of release from prison, my citizenship paper, and my report card,” Mr. Súr said. The others were surprised that he kept these papers after all these years.

“The Communists told my wife that if she went to the New Economic Zone, I would be returned sooner. She left her home, took the children with her, and went to an uninhabitable place hoping for my return. They did not need to come at you with guns and bullets to force you to move. They used the love of a wife for her husband to rob people of their homes. What wife would not want her husband home?” Mr. Thiêt exclaimed. He was a handsome man with healthy brown skin which glows. A quick image of his wife and he eating together at a wedding flashed through my mind.

“The New Economic Zone sounds as glorious as rainbows,” Mr. Long said sarcastically. “You need to be very clear to the Americans about the reality of the zones, or else they would say, ‘They brought you to a new region so you could start your new life. What more do you want?’” Mr. Long retorted. His sarcasm drew derisive laughter. He continued, “The Zone was far away from the city. Its purpose was to confiscate homes, businesses, and properties. When they see a beautiful home belonging to a former South officer, they drove them out of their homes. The owners have no recourse to claim their homes.”

“They did not want us in the city, so they forced us to uninhabitable places so that we would have to create a whole new way to survive! At such places, how could our

children attend schools?” Mr. Thiệp said. I was sure he was still thinking of his wife and children and their journey to a forsaken zone awaiting his return.

“The only reason I got to work in Saigon was because my parents knew these builders who gave me a job. I worked for them building houses in Saigon but could not live there myself, though it was my hometown,” Mr. Sứ said bitterly, then added, “My family and I could be sent to the New Economic Zone at anytime for any reason.”

I scribbled in my notebook, “New Economic Zone: Reeducation Camps for Women and Children: Exile, Displacement, and Punishment.” “A Family Broken.” “The Vietnamese Family.” The Vietnamese are all about family. I thought of Mr. Thiệt. I thought about my family. It has always been about families. “Family Matters” could be used as a book title to talk about these men and their experiences.

“Our children were not accepted to specialized schools because their fathers were former prisoners. They were separated into a different point system. They required higher points for our children to get into specialized schools,” Mr. Kiệm, who had been mostly quiet up to now, interjected.

“They got special discounts if you will,” Mr. Long said sarcastically. Laughter followed, and he continued, “They posted this in all the different colleges. If 85 points were the score for college entrance for cadres’ children, then it was 90 points for prisoners’ children.”

“The English word for it is discrimination,” Mr. Kiệm said. “The Communist system ranks us as the 14th among the 14 categories of very important people in society. Numbers 1, 2, 3 are reserved for high-ranking Communist officials. We were on the

bottom of their list. So our children were disqualified from schools where they could prepare to work in medicine or engineering.”

“It would be extremely exceptional for any of our children to enter such colleges,” Mr. Thiệt said.

“Sure, our children could go to school,” Mr. Sứ smirked. He had taken on some of Long’s sarcastic tone. “They could take all the general classes they wanted, but they would not get skilled jobs.”

“Communism organizes its society according to a person’s background and history. A high-ranking member of the former government is forever treated as an enemy. He is placed on the lowest level of society. His children will have no chance of making it. He works on the street in secrecy and in hiding. He does the work nobody wants to do. His work is not protected or supported. He does whatever it takes to eat. He works as a street vendor, a peddler, a hard laborer. No laws protect him. He can be forced to move at anytime for any reason. He is an outsider, a social outcast. If he is sick, the hospital does not treat him since it reserves treatment for the Communists. He is trampled down. He is kicked down like an animal,” Long said, impassioned.

These statements chilled me. Dust had sprinkled and hit my eyes, my face, and my body. I was thinking that this could have been my family’s fate. Everyone was silent. The discussion had come to a halt.

I scribbled “Prisoners: #14, the lowest level in Communist Society.”

Mr. Kiệm broke the silence and moved us onto something else.

“All this,” Mr. Kiệm said, “and we were never charged, tried, represented, and judged. Kangaroo courts condemned us.”

Mr. Long exclaimed loudly, “No trial, no attorneys, no stipulation of time, no one knew when they would be released. Their system is not just. That’s why this dissertation is so important. I am moved by this project because it is more than just completing a Ph.D. degree. It is about telling the truth about people who have up until now been misunderstood. You must write this so that our children could read it. They do not read Vietnamese, but they can read it in English. You must tell the Americans what happened. It is their responsibility to know what happened to the people they left behind! Generations were trampled on and have not yet recovered!”

Mr. Long insisted, “You must explain that the prison system was a completely different system than the one in America. Here you commit a crime, you are charged, you do your time, and you are let go. There it is like being on probation forever!”

After a long pause, when the sun had beamed down and it was hot, Mr. Thiệp excused himself because he had to leave to go back to Washington, D.C. He thanked me for wanting to know what had happened. I thanked him for his story. Mr. Th_l and Ki_lem said they would help me get more information, and they left. Mr. S_l talked to them privately on their way out.

Mr. Long, I thought, was a philosopher, a pontificator, and a great story-teller. He summed things up in big pictures. I was so glad to talk to him one-on-one.

I asked him, “How long were you in prison?”

“No. I was not a political prisoner. I came here just like you, in 1975. I have been with these men for about a decade. I have heard them talk, and I have read accounts and historical documents about what they went through,” he said.

It was a shocking revelation. His restaurant Ca Dao was two doors west of Hòp Ký. No one protested his statements and exhortations. No one minded that he spoke about their stories as if they were his. In fact, they built on what he said. Mr. Kiệm quoted him, “When Mr. Long talked about. . . .” He was an outsider who had lived their stories for nearly a decade. Their story became his story. He understood them, and he was their friend. Most importantly, he knew he could have easily been one of them had he stayed.

A day with Mr. Sứ was a day spent with his brothers in a restaurant where the past came alive, where the South Vietnamese cause was alive. It was a day in which the dust of the past swept in and formed a picture of families surviving in different prisons. I imagined Mr. Thiệp’s wife and her children carrying all their possessions on their backs, leaving their home, and living in an unknown remote place. I thought Mr. Thiệp’s wife could have been my mother, that my brothers, sisters, and I could have been raised in the New Economic Zone.

CHAPTER 6

MR. SÚ'S LIFE AND HIS CONVICTION

Mr. Sú wrote his story in the form of a letter to me. I have added parts from the interview to clarify the letter.

April 30, 1975 was the most painful and tragic event for the South Vietnamese people. We lost our country in shame and humiliation! We lost because we were no longer part of America's interests. We lost because America, our SOLE SUPPORTER, cold-bloodedly dropped us, left us, and abandoned us! America cut us off totally! We would never have lost if America had continued to support us. Weapons, tanks, gas, food, clothing—every commodity needed to fight a war—were cut! We fought with guns and no bullets, cars and no gas, planes and no fuels. We fought against a well-equipped enemy who was supported by the then Soviet Union, Communist China, Cuba, and other Eastern European nations such as Czechoslovakia, Poland, East Germany, Hungary, and Romania. The enemy invaded us firing thousands of rounds of 105mm, 122mm, 130 mm, and 155mm, while we fired five or ten rounds. An army completely cut off against a well-supplied army is doomed. We did not stand a chance; we had to lose. The Communists overran us, and hence, April 30, 1975 has now become our national day of loss, shame, and mourning. Vietnamese refugees from all over the world observe this day: the day the Communists violated the Paris Peace Treaty by invading South Vietnam while America did nothing to stop them. America betrayed us, and I deeply resent the U.S. government for this.

America's policy toward South Vietnam had always been one of imperialism, not of equal allies. We were regarded as a satellite country, a vassal to the U.S., one who had to depend on America for its survival but had no voice in its own government. The murder of President Ngô Đình Diệm was an example of how America, through its own volition, fomented a *coup d'état* using the likes of Dương Văn Minh, Mai Hữu Xuân, Trần Văn Tôn, and Tôn Thất Ninh. They approved Diệm's murder against the will of the South Vietnamese people. The murder of Diệm proved to be a terrible mistake in the fight against Communism because it was immoral, demoralizing, and destabilizing to our government. The Republican Party of South Vietnam, a divided house with weak leaders, was infiltrated by traitors, spies, and agents like Dương Văn Minh.

The Central region and highlands of South Vietnam were completely overrun by Communist troops in the last days of April 1975. The First and Second Divisions of the armed forces were captured. Soldiers and officers deserted to return to their families or escape with the Americans. Those who stayed fought to the end, many knowing that they would not survive. The 18th Division Infantry fought with limited supplies and troops against five Communist Divisions in Long Khánh. We were the lone tiger fighting against a band of foxes, so we withdrew from Long Khánh to a base in Long Bình, Biên Hòa. The day we withdrew was the day President Nguyễn Văn Thiệu announced his resignation and transfer of power to Vice-President Trần Văn Hương. Then, shortly thereafter, Dương Văn Minh became president and surrendered. I fought with my men until Dương Văn Minh announced a complete surrender. I cried when I heard this. I cried because the surrender was so humiliating and shameful! I evacuated my unit along with the 18th Infantry to the headquarter of the Engineering Corps on Nguyễn Tri

Phố Ông Street in Saigon on April 30, 1975. I rode my bike to the U.S. Embassy on Thong Nhat Street. I saw people climbing fences, shoving and pushing each other to get inside the Embassy so they could leave. Then I rode to Port Bạch Đằng, and I saw the same thing. Thousands of people rushed to get ships already packed with people. Everyone was running, and I felt so sorry for them.

I knew the danger of staying because I saw Sergeant Thỉnh, one of my men, dragged and shot dead by a Communist in Ban Mê Thuột on March 10, 1975. I also heard of such executions from others, so I hid in a relative's home for one week before returning home when I saw that no one was coming after me. Former officers were very worried that the Communists would seek revenge against us. Two weeks after the fall of Saigon, a childhood friend from North Vietnam, Cép, came to visit my family. He was a Lieutenant for the Communists.

When he saw me he said, “Why didn’t you go into exile to another country? You will suffer greatly if you stay. It is not too late for you to escape. You must find a way to get out at all cost.” Then he told my parents, “You must do everything you can to keep your house in Saigon. Do not go to the New Economic Zone. It is a death trap for city residences.” After that day, we never saw Cép again.

The thought of leaving and deserting my family never crossed my mind. I had a four-year-old daughter, and my wife, Nguyễn Thị Thảo, was late in her second pregnancy. On June 16, 1975, I took my wife to a medical clinic in Trưng Vương in Sài Gòn to have our baby, who was born June 17, 1975.

As the oldest son, I could not bear to leave my parents, five brothers, and two sisters. I loved them all, so I decided to stay come what may. Moreover, where else could we run to get away from the Communists once they defeated us?

My family had been running from the Việt Minh (original name of the Vietnamese Communist Party) our whole lives. I was born in the village of PhũÖng Cầu in the Province of Bắc Ninh in north Vietnam on August 20, 1945. When the Việt Minh invaded north Vietnam in 1949-1950, my family left our village to escape them. My father, a sergeant in the French Army, fought against them until the French were defeated in 1954. Then we self-exiled to South Vietnam to get away from the Communists in 1954, after the Geneva Accord was signed. Then in 1975, when the Communists had completely overrun South Vietnam, where else could we go?

At that time, I knew nothing about politics. Over the years, I have read and studied historical accounts and historians' interpretations of Vietnam and the Vietnam War. North and south Vietnamese, brothers and relatives, fought against each other. So much bloodshed on both sides—why? Who started this war that spilled so much blood? I have concluded that the Communist Party headed by HỒ Chí Minh was responsible for provoking the war. I believe that the Vietnamese Communist Party, past and present, used every means to gain power. They gained power through deception and lies. They killed their own people without shame or disgust. They crushed the heads and necks of people for personal gains. The Communists have committed unspeakable, heinous, and grave crimes against the Vietnamese people that will never be fully recorded. The "reeducation camps" were examples of their crimes.

The Communists deceived all South Vietnamese who presented ourselves for the reeducation camps. It was not ten or thirty day orientation as broadcasts on radio and television stations has suggested. The *Saigon Giải Phóng* (Saigon Liberation) *Newspaper* printed a policy of "tolerance and clemency" pronounced by the Provisionary Revolutionary Government of South Vietnam. All lies! They lured thousands of military and police officers, cadres who developed villages and hamlets, Republican government employees, and government officials who were intermediate to high-ranking to horrible prisons dubbed as "reeducation camps." They even incarcerated religious leaders from different faiths: Catholics, Buddhists, and Protestants. Many pastors, mostly Catholics, were there with me in Hóc Môn and in Tân HiẾp: Father Cao, Father Khäi, Father Nam, and Father Trinh. Thousands were killed outright without ever being tried. Thousands were left to starve, to remain ill, or to die in the camps. The Communists robbed people who had money and possessions by forcing them to go the camps or to the "New Economic Zone." We presented ourselves only to become prisoners for one, five, ten, and even up to seventeen years like Lê Minh ñào, the Commander of the 18th Division. I was incarcerated for six years in various camps.

The first week in camp Hóc Môn, we had to write our personal history: what our duties were when we served under the Republicans and our family history going back to three generations. We wrote over and over, five or seven times, and still they wanted us to confess more. We had to write a confession at least once a year. It would seem like an easy task, but in reality it was very difficult and dangerous.

After the second week, we were ordered to dig a well, one well for each unit of thirty people. Puzzled and scared, we thought it was strange because we thought that we

would be there for ten days and water from our old army base was still running. We asked one of the guards why we were still being held after being there for over a month.

He answered, "Who told you that you would be here for ten days?" We told him the newspapers told us to bring enough food and provisions for ten days. Then he said, "You men were officers, and yet you are so naïve. Reread the order. It says bring enough food for ten days. It never said that you would be released in ten days. You will rot to death in prison. What you have been doing is part of your reeducation. The more truthful you are in your confessions, the more you are deemed to be progressives and you will be released sooner. Those who oppose us will be killed."

Several men tried to escape and were shot to death. Their bodies lay beside the barbwire fences that separated the camps. Among the thousand of us at the camps, more than half came down with dysentery, edema, and other debilitating illnesses that immobilized our walking. After half a year of being without adequate food and medicine, almost all got sick. The Communists saw the danger and allowed us to write home for food and medicine. Had it not been for the goods sent to us by our families, we would surely have died.

Many did die, however, from starvation, sickness, and hard labor. Some openly resisted their oppression and were killed, confined, or beaten to death. There were many who were killed that way. In 1976, I was transferred to camp Long Giao in Long Khánh. I was there not long when I saw escapees get shot and killed. So many of these prisoners were men I knew who belonged to Division 18. Some lost hope, despaired, and killed themselves. A man hung himself with a phone cord and died. When a person has no hope left, death comes quickly.

Ironically, the seed of hope was planted by the Communists who released groups of prisoners at different times. By comforting and encouraging each other, prisoners defied their miserable condition and oppression. They kept each other alive by keeping hope alive. If we thought that we had no chance of living, then we would have shed blood. We die; they die, too. Hope was all we had because the conditions in the camps were like capital punishment to many of us who lived in cities most of our lives.

We were not used to cutting down trees, clearing land, building houses, and growing our own food. Yet, this was my work in these labor-intensive camps of Bù Gia Mập in the Province of Phú Thọ Long, Minh Hùng near Bầm Bo, and in Gia Trung in Pleiku at the end of 1979. We ate two bowls of rice with a small piece of potato and a dash of salt the entire day. Many ate wild plants, bamboo, and wood—whatever they could find in the forest—to keep from starving. From the early hours in the morning into evening, we worked always accompanied by a chief and two guards with AK-47 rifles to inspect our work. Those too weak to work were struck with rifles or locked in cells with their feet chained. They were allowed out only to get their bowl of rice. As if the work were not enough, we were forced to study Communist dictums late into the evening. They got through with us at about 9 or 10 in the evening, and then we were allowed to sleep.

In Bù Gia Mập, prisoners threw themselves into burning bushes because they could not tolerate the horrible conditions. I saw Nguyễn Văn Thông throw himself into the fire, and I watched him burn to death. No one could save him because the fire, used to clear the land, burned fiercely. Because Bù Gia Mập's border was only six miles from the Cambodian border, prisoners tried to escape hoping to get to Thailand, but they

were caught and killed. These are the names of my friends who were killed: Captain Tài, Captain Sanh, and Captain ThiẾp. Some prisoners escaped to Saigon, having made arrangements with their families to be picked up by buses using fake I.D.s. These people got away.

People ask me how eight guards could control 400 to 500 inmates. Of course, we could have easily overpowered eight men. But after killing them, where would we run? All the concentration camps had stops that were thoroughly searched. In case of a breakout, they would send an army of thousands to slaughter us. Each and every one of us would surely die. We had no weapons to fight and no food to sustain us. If we went home, we would wreak havoc on our families. Before 1975, when we had our government and our army, we would break out of jail and kill the enemy if we were captured. After 1975, all roads were dead ends.

In 1978, when the Communists fought against Cambodia, I was transferred to the “New Economic Zone,” Minh HƯng near Bù ãng, to avoid the crossfire. I starved and suffered, and yet as a city person watching the local people work so hard for their food, I felt so sorry for them because they starved and suffered worse than I. These were people whom the Communists had imprisoned since 1954, people who did not escape to South ViẾt Nam. After rows and rows of rubber trees and wheat were planted, I was transferred to Pleiku to camp Gia Trung.

When we got there, the camp chief named HiỚn said to us, “Those who have not been through this camp, have not truly been reeducated.”

The camp had a reputation for being among the most treacherous and most horrible camps along with northern camps like C°ng Tr©i. For every thousand prisoners,

only 50 or so people survived. I was assigned to a group called the "Green Plants." My daily work consisted of gathering cow, bull, and human manure to fertilize the plants. The work was hard, filthy, and disgusting, but there was no way to avoid it. I worked and starved at this most wretched camp for eighteen months before I was released to my family.

"Release" did not mean freedom. The certificate of release ordered me to report to the local security officer and be under his control for one year. Before being released, I signed a promissory note stating that I would report weekly to the officials and work according to their directives. They issued a set of clothing and \$100 Vietnamese dollars for travel expenses. I was released on June 11, 1981, but I did not get home until five days later. It was late in the evening when I arrived because I waited for days for the train to Saigon.

My family, having been notified of my release one week before, waited anxiously for my return. My wife, parents, brothers, sisters, and neighbors greeted me with joy and love. It was beautiful to see how much they cared for me. My old father pedaled a rickshaw; my mother baked rice papers to sell; my skinny pale wife rode her bike to Long An to buy and sell rice to care for me and our two daughters. My daughters, who were four and an infant when I went to prison, were ten and six years old. They watched me from a distance; it took some time for them to get to know me as their father.

I rested for ten days and then worked to help my wife. My father told me to pedal the rickshaw. "Pedaling for a living was hard," he said, "but at least you are 'free.'" But I was not free. Life after prison was another struggle. I had to report to the local security each week with a notebook detailing my daily activities: what I did, where I went, whom

I talked to, what I talked about, and how much money I made. If I had no problems after one year, then my citizenship was restored. I would then be eligible for residential status. Several committees and chiefs were involved in deciding if they wanted to restore my rights.

I pedaled for over one year making very little money, then I got sick with pneumonia. I took a year off work to recover; all the while I had to present myself to a security chief weekly. These chiefs would say to me, “Brother SÛ, let’s have dinner tonight.” They knew I had no money because I pedaled a rickshaw and hung bamboo leaves, a job I got with the Post Exchange, to make a living. We ate lightly. If I had any money, I was expected to take them out to a restaurant.

One chief said to me, “Brother SÛ, I have to do nightly watch and I am out of batteries. Could you please give me four batteries?”

“How much does each battery cost?” I asked. “\$500 dollars each,” he said. I gave him \$2000 HỒ Chí Minh dollars. They knew how much I suffered; yet they continued to harass me. There was so much of that going on. If you did not cooperate, they would make trouble for you. They wanted to make money, and you had to give them what they wanted.

My family was blessed because my younger brother, who was in the Navy, escaped to America in 1975. He sent medicine and money to help us, and I was restored back to health. He was the same brother who sponsored my father, mother, brothers, and sisters to America. My wife, children, and I were allowed to come under the Humanitarian Operation Program. My entire family, immediate and extended, flew out

of Vietnam to Oklahoma City on March 15, 1991. We have lived in Oklahoma City for thirteen years and three months, and I have no intention of ever returning to Vietnam.

My fear of returning to Vietnam is that the Communists can do anything they want to me no matter how wicked the acts are. They may take revenge against me because of what I have done in Oklahoma during the last thirteen years. I have continued my fight against Vietnamese Communist oppression, not with bullets, but with weapons called organization and a free press. Former prisoners do not have the ammunition to fight against Communism. Our weapon against oppression is our united stance with each other and with other organizations.

The Former South Vietnamese Political Prisoners' Association of Oklahoma City was established in January 1991 with twenty members. We have grown to 240 members of men and women who were former political prisoners.⁴³ The Association's primary purpose is to support each other because many former prisoners today are physically, emotionally, and spiritually scarred. We share similar pasts, so we come together as a group to gain strength and support each other. Our agenda is first to make sure that we are safe where we live. If we did not organize in states and cities, then the Communists would organize and disrupt our functions. They might emerge with their red-yellow-star flag, the flag stained with our blood. It is our political organization that deters them from self-promoting. Our secondary objective is to continue our fight for freedom, democracy, and human rights for Vietnam.

⁴³ Mr. SÛ stated that there were a couple of women members who were sent to separate reeducation camps from the men. These were female officers in the Army of the Republic of Vietnam. He knew Ms. HỒ Thi Ve who was a commander of the female army. She came to America in 1995.

For the last thirteen years, I have devoted myself to the Association. I have been entrusted with the office of President of the Association from 1996 to now (July 2004). I meet with the Executive Committee of twenty people once a month or as needed at H@p Kš Restaurant, which is my restaurant. I have met many comrades in arms and heard many happy and sad stories of the past as well as current stories at H@p Kš.

Special meetings are called to plan special activities or to support other domestic as well as international organizations in the fight for human rights and freedom. Right now, we, along with other Vietnamese social organizations are calling for the release of Father Nguyễn Văn Lý, Monk Thích Quảng Liên, Monk Thích Nhất Hạnh, and Monk Lê Quang Liên. We have written letters and petitioned the President of the United States, the United Nations, and the Secretary of State, Colin Powell. When Colin Powell visited Vietnam, we sent him a letter calling for him to make the release of political prisoners, human rights, and freedom part of his agenda.

As president of the Association, I have written letters, articles, and petitions to protest the abuse of human rights and freedom. I have sent letters and notices to the people in Oklahoma and in other states. I have written about violations of human rights and religious freedom committed by the Vietnamese Communists. I have declared publicly how I feel about what the Communists have done. I have written about the history of the Former South Vietnamese Political Prisoners' Association to mark our tenth year anniversary with a special edition book which includes how our Association got started and how it has grown over the last decade. I am sure my activism has not gone unnoticed by the Communists, whose lackeys live here in Oklahoma to spy and report on us.

The Association used to publish a monthly bulletin about our activities and the latest news about struggles for human rights in Communist Vietnam. Because of our limited funds, which come from our members' annual fees, we publish only on special occasions such as our Memorial Day on April 30, Veterans' Day on June 19, and Ancestral Day. For the New Year, we put out a special bulletin, which highlights our activities for that year. Our bulletins consist of literary, scientific, and political writings, as well as news and annual activities. Besides our bulletin, there is a local newspaper called the *Oklahoma ViEt Báo*. If there is important news that needs to be dispersed, we submit the information to the *Oklahoma ViEt Báo*. We submit monthly news of our activities and updates on our struggles with the Communists to voice our concerns.

Former prisoners have made a significant difference in America in the fight against Communism. From the time that the former political prisoners of South Vietnam came to America, which was mostly in the early 1990s, a movement to resist Communism and demand freedom has flourished. In the first years after 1975, the spirit to fight against the Communists was weakened because people were so depressed about losing their country. The strength of the movement comes from the 40,000 to 50,000 ex-political prisoners who now live in America. If you count family members, there are about 200,000 people connected to the prison experience. That is a lot of people who share a common political agenda. Strength in numbers and the steadfast conviction to continue the fight have enabled the Republican Flag of South Vietnam to hang in different parts of America.

A nation's soul is exhibited in the national flag. Though South Vietnam is lost, its flag lives in the hearts and minds of the South Vietnamese people, especially those who

fought the Communists. Wherever we see a Vietnamese Communist flag hanging, the Executive Committee of the Prisoners' Association asks those who raise the flag to take it down and put in its place the flag of the Republic of Vietnam, the yellow flag with three red stripes. We have taken down the five Communist flags here in Oklahoma City. About three years ago, people who shopped at the Buy-4-Less saw the Vietnamese Communist flag hanging in the entrance on the left side along with other flags. They informed me about what they had seen. I met with the brothers, and afterwards went to meet with the manager of Buy-4-Less. I told him, "This is the Communist flag of Vietnam. This very flag is the reason we have had to leave our homeland. This very flag buried the bodies of 58,000 American soldiers in South Vietnam. We, the Vietnamese people of the Republic, do not accept this flag. We request that you take down the flag and raise the people's flag of Vietnam." The manager asked me, "What does this flag of yours look like?" We brought our flag and gave it to him to hang. He was very pleased. If you shop at that Buy-4-Less, you will see the flag of the Republic of Vietnam hanging along with the other flags.

Vietnamese who shopped at the local flea market saw the Communist flag and told me what they had seen. I spoke with the owner of the stand. He was happy to replace the Communist flag with the Republican flag. The same situation occurred at two Baptist churches. We spoke to the pastor and the persons in charge at those churches. They happily complied. They apologized for not knowing that it was the Communist flag. They wanted to welcome the many Vietnamese who attend their churches by raising the national flag. Although we were successful in deposing the Communist flag at C.M.I. Company, many Vietnamese mistake the Moroccan flag that still hangs there—

which is also a red flag with a green star, but it has a black border—for the Vietnamese Communist flag. They called me to tell me, and I assured them that the flag was Moroccan, not Vietnamese. The University of Oklahoma raised the Communist flag after America normalized diplomatic relations with Vietnam and a group of students from Vietnam came there to study. They raised the Communist flag along with other flags during graduation and did away with Vietnam's Republican flag. Through the activism of the Vietnamese community here and the students at the University of Oklahoma, the University has allowed for both flags to stand during graduation. Perhaps in the future, with strong student involvement, the students will be able to prevent the Communist flag from ever flying on campus.

Let us be very clear about students who are born in Vietnam and come to the U.S. to study. They are sons and daughters of high-ranking Communists who have oppressed and stolen from the South Vietnamese. Either that or their families must be extremely wealthy in order to send their children here. Vietnamese former political prisoners want to meet with the students to talk with them about what we believe. Their knowledge of the South Vietnamese people and our former nation is like a blank sheet of paper. They know nothing about us, nothing about our government or the people of the South. We bear no grudge or hatred against these students. Absolutely none. We want to share with them our experiences as refugees. We want to meet them, but they tend not to socialize with us. Their host parents do not want the students to interact with us. When we heard about the first nine students from Vietnam attending the University of Oklahoma, many of the Vietnamese in the community met with the residential Vietnamese student leaders at the University. We told them they should treat students from Vietnam with the utmost

sincerity and honesty. If they get a chance to talk to them, they should speak honestly about their experiences in South Vietnam and in America. If the opportunity allows, they should invite these students to their homes so that they can see how freedom works in America. After spending three or four years in America, these students will return to Vietnam. They will tell people closest to them about what life was like in America. Who are those closest to these students but their mothers, fathers, and other families? Who are their parents but rich Communist officials? The conversation about American life would have to include a discussion about freedom in America. They would surely compare the system of democracy in America versus the Communist system in Vietnam. The Communists see that their children do not lie to them. However, will the parents be bold enough to speak openly about what their sons and daughters have told them to anyone else? I am sure not. They do not dare because the Communist system keenly monitors and inspects them. I hope these students become leaders of Vietnam, and then perhaps the situation may change. Right now, those in power are the old and bitter Communists who despise us, and we, likewise, despise them. There is no avoiding that.

In my seven years at the 23rd Division of the Army Engineers 18th Infantry of South Vietnam, I fought many battles in Ban Mê Thuột, ÑÛc LÆp, Quãng ÑÛc, Pleiku in the red fiery summers from 1972-1973 to keep Communist troops from invading Kontum. I lost a dozen or more of my friends. I served to the best of my ability and was decorated with two Crosses of Gallantry, the Kontum Bravery Award, and other certificates of recognition for outstanding performances. I served with valor and conviction believing that I was protecting South Vietnam against Communist aggression.

Vietnam has had peace for the last twenty-nine years. Yet, under Communist dictatorship, why are people so wretchedly poor? Why are young Vietnamese girls being sold as prostitutes to foreign lands because of their impoverished condition? Why does an average Vietnamese person eat only a potato a day despite the fact that over three million dollars each year are sent to Vietnam from people abroad? The answer is that the Communists are not interested in building the country. They want to steal the peoples' resources for themselves. Who started this awful human tragedy? The answer is emphatically HỒ Chí Minh, whose name is synonymous with wickedness and evil, and all his followers associated with the Communist Party.

Today, I am not a soldier but a businessman who works at H@p K\$ Restaurant to make a living and to protect South Vietnam against Communist aggression. I hang the Republican flag of South Vietnam in front of H@p K\$ because I believe freedom, democracy, and human rights are still worth fighting for. I believe that the South Vietnamese have lost the war, but we will win the peace.

CHAPTER 7

CAPTAIN BÁO VÕ: THE HOME OF MY RETURN

“They drove her out of her mind,” Mr. Báo Võ told me. “She was a beautiful math teacher when I married her. We had a daughter. When I was in prison, my daughter died because she did not get proper medical attention. My wife kept this sad news from me, fearing that I could not go on. She also kept from me that she had been admitted to the mental hospital. It had not always been this way for us.” When I met them over three years ago, Mrs. Võ has had another episode and was admitted to a mental hospital in Oklahoma City. The doctor told her she could never work again and that she would be on medication for the rest of her life. She heard voices, noises, and bangs from those days long ago when Communist cadres banged at her door in the middle of the night. She was diagnosed with paranoid schizophrenia. She swore to me that she was once smart and pretty woman. Mr. and Mrs. Võ have one son who has graduated from high school and now works and lives with them. His main goal in life is to take care of his mother.

I was an officer in the Quân Lực Việt Nam Cộng Hòa (The Army of the Republic of Viet Nam—ARVN) serving as a captain in charge of the army engineers for the battalion of the 18th Infantry. Our mission was to eliminate the Communists in the provinces of Long Khánh, Biên Hòa, and Tây Ninh.

I was taking part in the fighting to protect the province of Binh Long, An Loc in the burning hot summer of 1972. After that our military operation moved to Kampuchia

to support the Division attacking the R unit belonging to the National Liberation Front, which was created by the Vietnamese Communists.

My family consisted of my wife and a newborn daughter. My wife was a teacher in Saigon. She was a humane, kind, and diligent person; therefore, our lives were very harmonious.

In the latter days of April 1975, the Communists invaded and occupied the central provinces. The situation of the South was unstable because masses of refugees fled south. The ARVN had to withdraw and rushed to defend and to protect the Third Region tactical air base. Involved in this mission was the 18th Infantry, to which I belonged. At that time, the U.S. embassy, the Vietnamese President, and a number of the commanders fled the country leaving it without leaders to guide it, like a snake with no head. This worsened the already chaotic and confused condition.

As an officer of the ARVN in charge of the more than one hundred soldiers, I was not able to think clearly. My mind was confused. I thought that I could not leave when my country is in a critical state of war. I could not the men who fought with you and protected you. Leaving them was like deserting your duty. To run and hide was the most cowardly, shameful, disgraceful thing to do. It was better, I thought, to stay and share a common fate with your brothers and sisters. Moreover, my wife refused to leave her home, and she comforted me, saying: "One swallow alone cannot create spring. Let it be. Let us accept our destiny. Courageously live with honor and fulfill our duties that God has entrusted us no matter what circumstances may come our way. The more one struggles through life's most painful challenges, the more valuable is one's knowledge.

Our lives will be more meaningful and only then can we be proud and worthy of our tradition as a people.”

Then on June 24, 1975, the Communists ordered all officers of the ARVN to gather and attend reeducation classes. In reality, these were actually prisons.

After they gathered all the former officers of the ARVN, the Communists forced all of us to confess our background then divided us: some got on boats heading north, some went deep into the jungle, and the ones who stayed went to a deserted island far away from society where it would be easier for the Communists to kill them. There they terrorized our bodies and spirits.

The Communists brought a number of young soldiers, young enough to be our children, to control the camps. The young “teachers of life” insulted us, humiliated us, and treated us worst than beasts.

We ate and drank worse than the pigs the Communists raised. The rice they gave us was full of termites. When you poured the water out, the termites floated on top of the water. When they ran out of rice, they gave us sorghum, yellow corn grains, or manioc. One meal consisted of only one bowl of cooked grain seasoned with salt water. We jokingly called the seasoning ocean water. Once in a while, they would give us few pieces of white tofu which we jokingly called elephant meat. Sometimes we would even get dry salted fish. When there was a big celebration, we were given small bits of pork, the size of your pinky finger. These were the kinds of food that the Communists provided.

When it was raining, then we bathed, washed, and drank—all from the rain. When it was hot, we dug a well for water. We were allowed one can of water for

bathing. Once a week we washed clothes which amounted to dipping our clothes in water and hanging them to dry. When we came across a lake or a river on our way to work, we bathed and washed there.

At night hundreds of us were locked up in a house no bigger than 100m² without bedding or covers. Only a piece of nylon used as a raincoat was spread on the floor. My brothers gathered all the scrap fabrics and linens and made blankets that covered two or three persons to one blanket. The closer we lay together, the warmer we became.

That was our system of eating, drinking, and sleeping. We were forced to do hard labor, and still the Communists frequently broadcasted that “Labor is glory.” We plowed the land, grew manioc, sweet potatoes, and vegetables. We went into the forest to cut trees and carried the wood back to the camp to be used for cooking. We wove bamboo baskets. We worked eight hard hours, and usually walked to fields far away about two kilometers.

Many of my brothers could not survive the meager diet, horrible sleeping situation, hard labor, and the depressing surrounding, so they came down with sick with edema, inflamed liver (hepatitis B), inflamed intestine, diarrhea, tuberculosis, malnutrition, and food poisoning. Many ate the wrong food because they were starving. There was no sufficient medicine and timely treatment for those who were sick, therefore many of the brothers passed away in the camp. There was no coffin or covering to bury them. We just wrapped them in a mat and buried them.

In the harsh conditions of the prisons, my brothers and I were determined to endure the hardship. We struggled with the guards to take our brothers who were ill to the doctors and nurses. We helped establish a medical place to cure illnesses for those

who were sick. The ones who were sick did not have to do hard labor. We raised vegetables and animals to improve our meals. Before us was nothing but despair because we did not know if we would ever get to go home. The hopeless situation and our suffering brought us closer together. We loved, protected, and shared with one another whatever we had: few grains of salt, a stalk of vegetables, a cube of sugar, a slice of cake etc. One cigarette smoked by a bunch of us warmed our souls. On the day when we did not have to work, we drank tea and talked about our country and the world in general. We reassured each other and cheered each other on in our daily struggles.

But with their games, treachery, tricks, deceit, and lies, the Communists would never leave us alone. Every three months they would mix us up by moving us to different camps and back again.

After one year of imprisonment, the outside world condemned the camps and family members of prisoners protested because hunger, cold, and disease caused so many of our brothers to pass away. Therefore, the Communists allowed family members to visit and care for the prisoners. The guards lured a number of weak gullible brothers into becoming informants against their own prison mates. They allowed these weaklings to spend nights with their wives and children in exchange for information on their brothers. A number of people wrongfully suffered at the hands of these informants and comrades. Some had their feet chained until they died, and some were just taken to the execution ground and shot.

After six years of being in prison and not knowing if I would ever get to go home, I became so hateful of the Communists. I felt sorrow for the Vietnamese people and

wondered when we would be rid of the Communists' cruelties. We have paid such a high price for losing the war.

Each time my wife came to visit, she tried to inspire and comforted us by saying: "Be happy, brothers; accept suffering. You must be hopeful and have faith in God. Tomorrow the sun will shine again. In 1975, the Communists did not kill you, then they dare not do so now. The whole world knows about this tragedy, about their savage inhumanity, their deception, their greedy selfishness. There is no one in this world who does not know about them. Your years of suffering in prison have much meaning."

In November 1980, I was released home to my family. My happiness was not yet spent to before sorrow was felt. I found out that my young daughter had not gotten medical treatment and died, and my home and property were confiscated 13 days after I was imprisoned. Only after my release did I know clearly what went on while I had been gone. My wife kept the details from me and carried these sorrows in her soul. She became mentally ill and was admitted into the psychiatric ward several times. She had lost her mind and part of her memory. It was a permanent condition.

In the year after I was released and placed under the control of the local authority, I worked as a carpenter in order to live day to day. In 1982, the Communists arrested me because my file application for the Orderly Departure Program (ODP) which I had sent to Thailand was intercepted. Upon my arrest, my wife's mental state worsened. She screamed and hollered, and finally they released me.

In 1990, I applied for the Humanitarian Operation (H.O.) program. In September 1995, my family came to America, leaving our homeland to become refugees in a foreign land.

After our arrival in America, my family settled in Oklahoma. We witnessed first hand the kind ways in which the U.S. government treated the former political prisoners. Though we were of different skin color, spoke a different language, and came from a different culture, they were so dedicated in helping us. They created opportunities for my family to adapt to a new way of life and to rebuild our lives.

That was why we tried to stabilize our new life as quickly as possible so that we could join in the social functions in Oklahoma City. We did not want to take advantage of the kindness bestowed on us by the U.S. government and the people of the United States.

My family will always remember the kind deeds bestowed on us by the U.S. government and the American people. It is only so unfortunate that my wife's mental illness will never be cured.

Now I only wish for the following: that my wife will be cured from her illness and regain her senses just like before; that the Vietnamese people will gain control of the government and defeat the cruel and inhumane Communists; that Vietnam and the Vietnamese people will have wealth, freedom, clothes, food, and peace; that all the former political prisoners will unite to fight and win over the Communists.

My family and I will always stand side by side with the Former Political Prisoners of Oklahoma. I leave the readers with a message from one of the high-ranking leaders of Vietnam: "Do not listen to what the Communists say, but only look at what the Communists do."

CHAPTER 8

MR. NGUYỄN PHÚC: THE FAMILY I LOST

Phúc means blessing and peace. Blessing and peace are what I hope for this man whom I interviewed who does not wish to reveal his real identity because he fears retribution by the Communists. His son, grandson, father, brothers, and sisters are still living in Vietnam. Mr. Phúc wants to visit them without any problems from the authorities. He still has nightmares and wakes up shaking with fear from his prison experiences. I have known Mr. Phúc and his family here for over three years. In this taped interview, Mr. Phúc reveals the state of his family. After being imprisoned for eight years, Mr. Phúc returned to find his wife and two children living in a one-room house that leaked up to their knees when it rained. His children at ten and twelve did not know basic math and reading. They quit school because they were treated like second-class student, being forced to sit in the back and were humiliated in other ways. His children did not get past the seventh grade. Yet more tragic than all this was that his son was not allowed to come to America. Mr. Phúc did not say why. His son's absence in America has been a source of great suffering for everyone in the family. His son has three children who do not know their grandparents, aunt, or cousin in America.

Life before the Fall of 1975

I was born in 1945 in a small village called Trà Vinh in South Vietnam at the time that Vietnam and France were fighting for control. The Việt Minh, not yet called the Việt Cộng, were fighting against French occupation in Vietnam. War was everywhere in our land. When I was ten years old, my parents relocated to Saigon to find work in order to live in 1955. The war made it impossible for them make a living in the village where they worked in the rice fields.

In Saigon, I attended a state elementary school near my home. Afterwards, I attended the Patrist Tô Vĩnh Khoa high school. When I graduated from high school, I had to enlist three years in the military because the Vietnamese government issued a draft calling for young men of age to fight. I knew that sooner or later I would have to go, so I followed the order and enlisted. Had it not been for the war, I would have gone on to college.

At that time, I knew nothing of politics. I lived in the South my whole life since I was ten years old. I did not know what the Communists were like. I felt no hatred towards them because I was not affected by them. Had I lived in the village and seen killings or destruction caused by them, I would bear grudges against them. But I lived in the city from the time I was a child, therefore I did not know who the Communists were and what they wanted. I joined the army out of duty; I did what I was supposed to do. I followed orders. If they told me to shoot, then I shot. Up to the time that I lost my country, I was still not clear who the Communists were and what they represented.

When I joined the army, I was trained in Thủ Đức for one year. In that year, the commanders recognized that I had a special aptitude for math based on my test scores;

therefore, they transferred me to an artillery school in Nha Trang. After six months there, I graduated as a third lieutenant in 1968 when Nguyễn Văn Thiệu was president of the Republic of Vietnam. I was assigned to the 3rd Army Corps of the 35th Artillery Battalion stationed in Biên Hòa. I was with an operation which teamed up with the rangers. We supported the troops by firing artillery when the troops came into contact with the Communists. I was in charge of the 105 mm. cannons supporting all the operations in Tây Ninh, Hòa Nghĩa, and Bình Dương. When the infantry would go into the jungle, they would call into headquarters for support. That was when I fired however many cannons and at what range. I was responsible for calculating the exact location to fire taking into consideration the wind and other factors of resistance.

As soon as I graduated from officer training, I was immediately involved in the Tet Offensive of 1968. I was part of a joint operation with the Vietnam Air Force based in Cholon. The operation had two parts, Saigon and Cholon. The Viet Cong troops had infiltrated the city but because they did not know their way around the city, they got lost in blind alleys. They tried to take over all the homes in Cholon, but we went there to fight them and drove them out of the city. They planned to conquer the entire South, but they failed. We took total control of all the areas outside of Huế, Central, and South Vietnam. The Communists lost in 1968.

After our victory in the Tet Offensive, I was promoted from third lieutenant to second lieutenant. My job assignment also changed. I did not have to go out in the field with the operations like before. I oversaw a military post which aided and supported the operations. I commanded the artillery from the headquarters. I did not see any Viet Cong because I was always with officers who usually directed the offensives from behind

their soldiers. I only saw a couple of Vĩt C¶ng who were still alive when they were captured and brought back to the headquarters. Many of the Vĩt C¶ng I saw were dead ones.

Three or four months after the T%ot Offense, when things were more settled, I was transferred to PhÛsc Long where I was stationed. I commanded a platoon made up of two artillery squads. PhÛsc Long was a small village; you could walk around the entire village. I met my wife who was working as a secretary for an American office. I married her in 1969, and in that same year our son was born. In 1971 my daughter was born.

It was also in PhÛsc Long that I got to work with the Americans who were stationed next to us. I had to ask them for help and in re turn I tried to help them. The Americans aided South Vietnam from the top-level on down. I was considered among the lower level; therefore I did not work as closely with the Americans as other officers did. The artillery unit was a battalion, and there was a commander of a battalion who had an American advisor. The American advisor's purpose was to aid us in every way, such as calling in helicopters or supplying us with more ammunition. Whatever we needed, we asked him. He would call in and speed up the orders. Once in a while he and the commander of the battalion would visit all the units to see what troubles we were having so they could help us. At that time, they were our allies meaning they were our friends. If they could, they would provide us with technical support or just about anything we needed. This relationship did not last.

In the years 1971, 1972, and 1973, the fighting grew fierce. South Vietnamese troops had to go to the borders of Cambodia, Laos, and Vietnam in order to fight because those were the routes the enemy used to infiltrate. The battlefields in 1972 were reaching

a boiling point. That was the time that the Viet Cong quickly transported many of their troops into the south. They went through mountain ranges of Central Vietnam to reach the south where they established many of their army corps. That was what was reported in the newspapers. South Vietnamese troops were ordered to block the enemy at all the borders of Cambodia, Laos, and across the borders of Vietnam. I was promoted to first lieutenant. I followed the artillery regiment as a field artillery observer in Cambodia. I did not see actual fighting because I was part of the command units; I was inside the fort protected by the surrounding troops. Even so, I saw many deaths day after day. Every day there were deaths.

In 1972, the battles were very violent. When I was in Cambodia, the enemy surrounded us and cut our lines of communication. Our ammunition, food, and medical supplies had to be flown in by helicopters from Tây Ninh. I was there for several months before reinforcements came and built blockades. When that was done, we withdrew in to Tây Ninh, a province next to Cambodia.

In 1973, the Americans began to withdraw their troops, and then their advisers left. I knew that they lost lots of money, poured blood into South Vietnam, and fought for so long but could not beat the Communists. They got tired and left. Those of us in the lower echelon knew nothing about politics. I only knew that orders were issued telling us that we were short of ammunition, so we had to restrict our fires to ten or fifteen cannons each day, according to orders. We were short on artillery, medicine, and food. We were not being supported by the Americans like before when they provided us with clothes, ammunition, medical supplies, and food. Our support was cut by 80 to 90 percent. In all of my years in the service, this was the worst time.

There were no supplies coming in. We had no means; it got to the point where there was no gas for our vehicles. Usually those in command were issued a Jeep to get around. From 1973 onward, there was no gas for any transportation. Even if you had a vehicle, you could not go anywhere. That was one of the main reasons why it was such an awful time for us. We were deprived of every means necessary to fight a war. At the same time, the VC forces received enough supplies enough to attack us. We were completely on the defensive, meaning that we sat in our posts and waited for the enemies to attack. Then we fired back.

In those years, so many of our troops died, especially young officers who went out in the battlefield. They walked out in the jungles, fought, and died. They died en masse. They did not have enough ammunition. It was devastating to be there.

From 1971 to 1975, I was constantly away on military duty. I was so consumed in fighting the war I hardly came home to visit my family. When I was away fighting, my wife and children lived with her family in Saigon. Each year I was allowed a seven-day leave. My wife traveled many difficult roads to visit me. Wherever I was posted, I contacted her and she and the children would come to see me about once a month. When they came, they stayed in the dug up cellars with sand bags around them.

In 1973, I served under the 25th Division Infantry stationed at Củ Chi. I was Captain of the 253rd Artillery Battalion under the command of the 25th Division. I commanded six 105 mm. cannon squads supporting the surrounding operations in Tây Ninh, Hố Nghĩa, and Bình Dũ Ông.

From 1973 to 1975, there was constant fighting and shooting every day. I was mad because I could not support my friends because we did not have sufficient

ammunition. Out there in the battlefield, they called in telling us they had come into contact with the enemy. They reported that many of our men were down and asked us to fire cannons to stop the influx of enemy. We did not have enough to fire so we fired sparingly. If our soldiers asked us to fire ten missiles to shield them, we fired one.

There were many deserters in 1973; soldiers who were afraid to die went home for good. It was no different in my battalion. I would let them go home on leave, and they left for good. I was an officer. I did not think of leaving because I was afraid of being interned. If you were caught deserting, you were forced to go to the same battlefields as the soldiers but you could not bear arms or weapons to protect yourself. You were also forced to dig tunnels and do whatever work the units wanted you to do. At night, they locked you up in a different place like prisoners. I did not dare desert.

By the end of 1974 to the beginning of 1975, the South army was completely on the defensive; we stayed in our posts, and the Vietnamese attacked us day after day. Today they attacked this post and tomorrow the next post. They attacked us nonstop. It was very frightening. We had no idea where they were hiding. Only when we came under fire did we know we had come into contact with them, and then we fought.

From the beginning of 1975 to April of that year, conditions worsened. All roads were cut off. Family members were not allowed to visit. I did not dare leave my post to visit my family. Those were some of the most violent days of the war. We had no bullets to shoot. We fired whatever few the division supplied us, but mostly we were the ones being shot at. The dead and the injured were many.

Days before the collapse of Saigon, I was stationed in the district of Trảng Bàng, which belonged to the province of Tây Ninh. The radio announced that south provinces

were being lost to the enemy; one post after another. I had to stay at my post. By then the enemy had joined forces and blocked all the roads. Roads to and from districts and towns to Saigon were cut off. Cars could not get through, especially cars with Southern soldiers in them. The Viêt Cōngs allowed citizens to go through, but they shot at and blew up cars carrying soldiers. I saw everyone abandoning their posts on April 27th and 28th. It was utter chaos and confusion. On the 28th of April, I saw many of my colleagues stationed next to me withdraw into the jungle. I had no intention of deserting my responsibility as a commander when hundreds of my men depended on me. I could never abandon them and evade my responsibility. I thought that after this battle was over, I would still be in charge of them. If I deserted them, what would they think of me? How could I have any authority over them afterwards? They would see me as a nothing.

Being in the battlefield, I did not know that President Thiệu had left the country and that Americans had evacuated. I still could not believe that the South Vietnamese army could lose so easily to the Communists. That was why I was captured in the middle of the battlefield in Củ Chi along with the other officers of the 25th Division. Had I known what the real situation was, I would have left my battalion and returned home. Under those circumstances, how could I have done differently?

In those last days, I contacted my commander to ask him what I should do. He said that the decisions were left up to individual officers to make according to their discretions. He said he did not know what orders to issue. No one issued orders. The soldiers were too scared to leave, fearing that they would come into contact with the enemy. They did not leave their posts. They stayed and waited for my command. I told them that everyone had left, and so we, too, must go. Before we abandoned our post, I

ordered the men to destroy all the artillery. We threw grenades and bullets into a fire and watched them explode. Military decree stated that in the final hour when before withdrawing, you must destroy weapons or else the enemy would seize them and use them against you. The ammunitions were too heavy to man carry so we put them all in the Jeep and blew them up. We could not drive the Jeep anyway because if we did, would get shot at. We walked leaving everything behind, even our personal bags so we lighten our load.

I led my troops into the jungle. We ran and hid, not knowing how many days or nights we would be out. We went into a village with the purpose of finding roads to Saigon, which were twenty-some miles away. In the jungle, I saw many of my friends from the units trying to find their way to Saigon. None of us knew what the situation was concerning the ViEt C¶ngs. We saw lots of people heading to Saigon, so we followed. All of the troops were heading to Saigon with no one knowing why we were going there.

From Tr¶ng B¶ng to C¶ Chi all the roads were blocked. The ViEt C¶ng blocked them with tanks and gun carriages in the jungles. They got on a loudspeaker and told us to surrender, put down our guns so we could go home to our families. When the soldiers heard that, all of us dropped our guns and surrendered. We walked out. Small guns, big guns—we gave them all to the ViEt C¶ngs hoping we could go home. But they kept all the officers.

I was among the officers who were captured on April 29, 1975 at C¶ Chi along with other officers of the 25th Division. From that day on, I was imprisoned until my release in July 1982.

Life in Captivity: April 29, 1975 to July 1982

The first time I knew what the Vi t C ngs were like was when I was captured by them. They took us to a tunnel in C  Chi which had been used as a protection site against air raids. I was already injured. When I was hiding out, an enemy bullet ripped through my neck in one side and out the other. It did not pierce my bone. Blessed Mary had mercy on me because my injury did not permanently harm me though I was not treated for the wound. My capturers did not give us bandages or medicine. I asked a friend to pour salt water and rub the salt water into the open wound to kill the germs. The wound miraculously healed. There was no medical treatment for any of us in the months that we were held.

The Vi t C ngs guarded the post and later moved us to outhouses. We were only allowed to stay in a small guarded area. They guarded us with guns and warned us that if we went outside the area, they would shoot us. Each day they gave us bags of rice and left the cooking up to us. I was interned like that for two months.

After April 30, many of South Vietnamese troops came home to their families. When my wife did not see me, she thought that I was dead. She still went looking for me in the area where I was last stationed and in the jungle. She asked people in the area if they knew which route the Southern troops took on their way to Saigon. One person told her this; the next person told her that. She went back and forth looking for me, but she could not find me. For one week, she went over looking for me. Sometimes she would see a corpse and turn the body over to see if it was me. Several weeks later, she found me captive in C  Chi.

After two months of internment, radios and newspapers announced that all officers of the former regime had to go to reeducation training.

The announcement stated, “Bring enough food for ten days.”

Everyone who heard the announcement thought that it meant that reeducation would last for ten days. Then we would go home. I thought the same as everyone else, that the decree stating for us to bring provisions for ten days meant that we would go home after ten days. Our punishment was losing the war, so why would they retain us for longer than ten days, I thought. I never thought that I would be imprisoned in such a terrifying way. I never thought I would go to prison camps for seven years and three months.

I was transferred to Saigon where they gathered former officers in a high school. When I got there, people were already registering at the high school. They presented themselves as ordered by the Saigon Commissioner. They kept coming and coming. We stayed at the school for several days. The Việt Cộngs ordered food from the local restaurants to be delivered by cars to feed us. They loosely examined our belongings, but at that time, it was not strict, not intense, just normal searches. I did not think we were in prison. My family came to see me. My wife and children stood outside the fence and waved at me, but they could not visit me. We stayed at the high school for several days and then were transported to Biên Hòa. It was not until 1979, three years later, that I would see my family again.

Everything the Việt Cộngs did, they did in secrecy. We never knew what to expect. In the middle of the night at about eleven o'clock or midnight, they blew the horn for everyone to go outside. They gathered us outside where military vehicles waited

to take us. We always traveled from midnight to morning, never by day. Everything they did was done in these hours. That was why everything was so secretive. They were afraid that if they transported us in the day, family members would block the roads and make it difficult for them. I did not think about it at the time, but now I believe that they were used to turning nights into days. That was how they operated when during the war. They slept in the day and worked from midnight on. They were used to working that way; therefore, when they came in and supposedly “liberated” Saigon, they continued that routine. It was very unexpected; no one could predict anything they did. At night they guarded us with guns. Each vehicle had two armed guards in the front and two armed guards in the back. The vehicles were from the Southern army issued by the Americans.

We were taken to our old military camp in Biên Hòa. The guards inspected our belongings. They issued blue prisoner uniforms, and a pair of rubber thongs made from rubber tires, the very same kind that the comrades wore. They gathered us together, passed out blank sheets of paper, and forced us to write our personal confessions. Several days each month, instead of making us work outside, we were forced to stay in and write about our armed units, the period we served during the war, and what our duties were in the military. They told us that we had to declare what our extra-curricular activities were in the military. We had to confess what we did and admit that our actions were sinful. They told us that if we confessed truthfully, we would get to go home early.

Each time we wrote, they read our statements out loud. I was so truthful in my statements about what I did in the artillery units. The warden called me up to the front.

In front of everyone he claimed, “An ordinary soldier shoots a gun. With his single bullet, he kills one person. But you alone, when you fire a missile, you kill tens of people because your bullet is big. Therefore, in the ten years you were in the army, you fired thousands of missiles killing thousands of people.”

He told me that my wicked sins were that I had commanded an artillery unit. I thought his accusations were laughable, since up to now, I had never seen a Việt Cộng. I did not know if I killed any of them. He asked me what I thought.

I answered, “I was just doing what I was ordered to do. If my commander said to fire, I fired. I didn’t know anything different.”

He said, “You don’t know, but we know. Each time you fired one bullet, tens of thousand people died. One of your missiles killed many people. In ten years, you fired countless rounds;therefore you killed countless number of people.”

Month after month, this one talked, and then the other one talked. They kept saying the same thing over and over, and at times I got so angry. I thought to myself, say whatever you want—so what if I killed lots of people. I did not dare speak these thoughts to them. If you say something against them, they beat you. They did not beat people in front of a crowd. They waited until everyone returned to their areas. Then a guard called the person who had openly protested against them.

They would say, “I invite you to work.” Behind closed doors, when no one was there, the guard would beat the prisoners. Though I never saw anyone get killed that way, I only heard rumors of this practice.

People died because they tried to escape. They climbed fences and were shot dead, or if they made it past the fences to outside areas, they were informed by the local

people. In South Vietnam in those first years, lots of people tried to escape. In the first couple of years in the Southern camps, many prisoners were so emotionally shaken up that they attempted to escape. In the first few months, several people died. The comrades gathered to inform us of the names of those who died trying to escape. It was their way of warning us. They told us about the execution, but we did not see it because we were sectioned off. Each section had thirty to fifty prisoners separated by a fence. You could not speak to prisoners in other sections. After several months, they selected and sorted out the prisoners.

Officers who committed “wicked sins,” those who commanded units, were lumped together in one batch. It was also midnight when they gathered us, called us out by name, and divided us into groups. They drove us to unknown places. I saw that we were heading toward Saigon. On the outskirts of Saigon was a port where we were dropped off. There many vehicles carrying many prisoners. They loaded us onto a ship. They did not let us stay on board the deck; instead, they forced us into the bowels of the ship used to store equipment. I could not see clearly because it was dark. It was crowded, hot, and disgusting. They threw food tied to strings down for us to divide among ourselves. We had no idea where we were going. Some predicted we were going to the Công Sơn Island, a prison camp for the worst offenders. Vietnamese has a saying that the worst criminals go to the islands. We stayed down in the basement of the ship for three days and three nights before the ship arrived on land.

It was again midnight when we stepped on strange land. I could not make out where we were. Everything looked odd. It was only after I heard the natives speak in their northern dialect that I knew we had been taken to Hải Phòng at the foot of Hà Nội.

Captains, majors, lieutenant colonels, and colonels—the higher echelon ended up north. There were only a few captains. I was unlucky because I got stuck with the group captured on the battlefield; otherwise captains who presented themselves for reeducation were usually sent to the camps in the south. They lumped me in with the big guys.

They brought vehicles in and drove us to the train station. When political prisoners of the south boarded the trains at Hải Phòng through Sŏn La to the mountain ranges that bordered China and whenever our trains stopped, the northern people threw rocks at us. Because of the propaganda instigated by the North Communists in the first days after April 30, 1975 and the organized campaigns against the political prisoners from the South thereafter, people on both sides of the street threw rocks at us from where we got off the boat, to the train stop at Hải Phòng, to the mountain ranges of Sŏn La.

The train cargo cars were shut tight like the basement of the ship. They locked us in empty boxcars for several days until we reached Y%on Bái province bordering China, way up in the summit. One man died on the way. From the trains, we boarded a ferry on the Sông HỒng Hà River. A worker who manned the ferry told us that he had carried many people to these places in the last twenty years. Those dropped off did not return. We were sick to our stomachs already. Everyone was depressed and quiet.

From the ferry, they drove us for the first time in daylight. In the north, they transported us in the day. People who worked out in the fields would walk along the streets; their mean of transportation was walking. There were no bikes or cars. When they saw us, they threw rocks.

The guards drove us through mountain ranges. There was nothing but mountains upon mountains. There were hardly any homes. Few of the homes were sparse out. It would be a long time before you saw a home; homes were spaced out like an open jungle.

There were carloads of prisoners, rows and rows of cars carrying us. They dropped us off at different camps. We stopped at a place where there was an empty brick structure with nothing in it. It was a long, large, and roofless rectangular structure with only four surrounding walls. The floor was old and dirty, covered with mossy grass. The guards left us more than one hundred of us there.

Camp SÖn Lai Bái

There is not enough ink to describe in full how hard prison life was. A person who has never experienced prison life could not imagine it. Its conditions could only be compared to hell on earth. In the seven years and three months that I was imprisoned, the hardest days for me were those days in that first camp in SÖn Lai. I thought I was going to die because I was hungry, without medicine, had no proper clothing for the winter, and had to work so hard.

We had to do everything ourselves. We had to clear the jungle to grow corn, grain, and potatoes. Because the camp had only a four-walled structure without a roof, we had to construct a camp with its own fence, a separate house for the comrades, a separate house for us, several watchtowers so they could keep an eye on us day and night, a kitchen, and bathrooms. We built on to this structure and eventually we constructed a full camp with its own fence. The main chief told us that if we stepped outside the fence, they would kill us. No one tried to escape. They put you where you didn't know the area and you were surrounded by jungles and mountains.

Hell on earth went something like this: We climbed tall mountains to cut down trees and carry them back to build shelters. We crossed mountains upon mountains to look for tree trunks that were straight for building. We chopped down the trees. The trees that we could not carry were launched down first. They tumbled down. We slid down the mountainside grabbing onto trees or whatever else was there to help us get down. We looked for the cut trees at the foot of the mountain and carried them back to the camp. Everyone walked barefoot because you could not wear thongs climbing mountains. Thorns pierced our feet and legs, and we bled. We were cold and hungry, and the trees were so heavy.

The winters in the north were freezing cold. November and December months there were like the winters here in Oklahoma City. Waters froze to ice. There was no snow, but it was very cold. We wore two or three shirts, but they were not enough to keep warm. Regardless of the freezing cold, torrential rain, or burning hot weather, we had to work extremely hard. Imagine wading in a rice field at 0° Celsius. They did not torture us outright but the hard work and the hunger weakened us.

Hunger was the worst form of torture. When I was starving in prison, I saw clearly the wickedness and cruelties of the Communists. They were wicked and cruel in a clever way. They did not need to beat or torture us. They starved us. They knotted our intestines so that we did everything they wanted us to do. Each day they gave us only two small manioc potatoes or one bowl of cooked corn to eat. Not enough food and yet the work was extremely hard. Those things killed.

They said, "The state is facing a food shortage; therefore, we have to grow our own food. If we grow a lot, we will be full. If we grow little, it was your problem."

Each month, we received our food rations consisting of one hundred gram per person. Four or five people were assigned to cook. There were people who could not endure the starvation exacerbated by the work day after day. So when they went into the jungle, looked for wild plants or wild animals hissing in the mountains, and ate whatever they could find or catch. Some prisoners did not know that the food was poisonous, and some died. One man ate poisonous mushrooms and died. One lieutenant-colonel caught a frog, ate it, and died.

In my camp five people died. The causes of death included being sick without getting medical treatment. Doctors in the camps were people interned like us, so they had no medicine to treat their prison mates. We wrapped him in a mat made into a hammock. Two people carried him to the foot of the mountain where we dug a hole and buried him. After a while trees and grasses grew all over, and we could not find the place of burial. They had disappeared. That was what a dead person got: a mat and a sloppy burial at the foot of the mountain. We buried five people that way.

When a person is so hungry, he has no energy left to resist or oppose. If we were good, we were fed a minimum barely enough to get by the day. Many comrades could not stand the hunger, and they became the comrades' right-hand man. They got to eat more. The ViệT Cŕng were very clever. They saw prisoners who craved food and drinks and would do anything even sell their friends for such items. So they tempted them. They told them that if they informed on prisoners who were troublemakers, they would be fed more and would have to work less. There were those who were weak-hearted and hid among us, secretly informing on us. We did not know who they were. There were many like that.

After a short time, there were revolts that exposed the informants. We saw how they got two bowls of rice while we got one. That was how we knew who they were. During the revolts, prisoners threw blankets over the informants and beat them. I did not do anything to get informed on except once when I left my work area to go relieve myself. When I returned, a guard called me over and accused me of trying to escape. He was going to beat me. I spoke carefully and told him that I had no such intent. I had to go real bad, so I went outside the area. I knew someone told on me. The guard did not do anything to me.

I do not know how I survived those days except by the grace of God. I was thirty-something so I was still young and had energy. The suffering was so great. I came back from a long day's work and thought that I would surely die and be buried here. I did not know.

In prison, I nourished the hope that someday the political situation would change and that I would one day reunite with my family. That was what kept me fighting for my life. It kept me alive so that I worked hard and suffered in silence day after day after day. Patience and endurance—there was no other way to survive except those. I kept hearing rumors that in three years we could go home.

My wife knew that I was held in the north and that I was alive. They allowed us to write home, but we could not disclose where we were. They told us we could write but whether or not they sent the letters no one knew. Three years later, my family visited me. After being in SŌn Lai for two or three years, the northern natives began sneaking food for us when we were working out in the jungle. They were very poor, so they had to go in the jungle to cut down trees for wood to use or to sell. Usually in the north, people

worked in the jungle from morning to evening. They packed rice in bags for lunch. At midday, they ate rice. We worked in the jungle with them, and they came to love us. Whenever they saw us, they asked if we were hungry and if we wanted some rice. They gave us some. Perhaps in those two years they saw that we worked hard in the jungle as prisoners. When we saw them we asked how they were doing. Perhaps they also listened to the radio and read newspapers about northern people who went south and reported back about making a good living in the south. What they thought, I did not know. I only know that after two years, we became very close to them. When the comrades were not around, they sneaked us packed rice.

Camp Hà Nặm Ninh

At the end of 1979, they allowed family members to visit. My wife and two children visited me in 1979. It had been three years since I had seen them. My son was ten years old, and my daughter was nine or ten years old. They did not recognize me because I was so skinny. It had been so long since I had seen them; we didn't say much to each other because it was so emotional. I asked my wife why she didn't leave the country with our two children. Why did she stay? She said she could not leave without me. She could have left because her American supervisor told her to leave. But she could not get a hold of me in the chaos of the war. She couldn't get me on the phone or come to see me. We lost touch. I didn't know if I would have advised her to leave at that time. Only in prison did I think that my family would be better off leaving. I'm afraid I might have been selfish and wanted her to stay because I did not know what would happen to them.

Travels in the north were fraught with difficulties and dangers. My family had to travel by foot through the mountains and jungles because there were no cars. When they got to the province, they had to walk and ask for directions. Many people did not know exactly where we were.

When they allowed our families to visit, I was in an actual police camp. At the end of 1979, China crossed the borders and fought [the Việt C°ng] at one of the bordering camps and captured some of the prisoners. After that, the Communists did not let their military army run the camps; instead, they turned the camps over to the police. They transferred prisoners to camps near the Chinese border to camps inside north Vietnam, further away from the border. I was transferred from S°n Lai to Hà N°m Ninh.

Camp Hà N°m Ninh was an actual prison run by the police. It used to be an actual prison. The other camp was run by the comrades who mostly kept us inside the confines of the fences. We were allowed to move around as long as we did not go pass the fences. We made each person his own bed from bamboo trees. At night we could walk around outside. They never locked us up at night. The police, however, applied their system of control.

We worked in the day, and at night they locked us up. The prison was built with cement. In a room was a walkway with two rows of cement blocks raised slightly above ground on each side of the aisle. The bathroom was built in the room where you slept. We threw our mats on the cement beds and slept piled on top of each other. Each person had about three feet of space to sleep. Each room held forty or fifty people.

In the evening after the meal and roll call, they locked us in at about 6:00 p.m. We told stories of their past, prayed, played cards or guitars. When it was time for bed, they blew the horn and all the lights were turned off. We could not talk or move around except to use the bathroom. They watched us from outside the steel bars. The winds from the windows made the room less stinky. In the morning, they blew the horn and unlocked the door for us to work out to the field.

When families were allowed to visit and bring food and medicine, no one died after that. Everyone who came brought medicine for cold and diarrhea. Everyone had medicine. Those who did not have family members visit them were given medicine. Everyone gained weight. We were no longer skin and bones and unrecognizable.

Whenever I think about the months and years in the north, I still shiver. Sometimes in my sleep, I have nightmares about those prisons. I was at Hà Nặm Ninh camp from 1979 to 1981. I did not know about the negotiations between Việt Cộngs and the Americans. But in 1981, I was transferred from Hà Nặm Ninh to Long Khánh which was a province of Biên Hòa.

Camp Long Khánh in Biên Hòa

I must admit that the day I boarded the train heading south was a joyful day despite the fact that we were handcuffed in pairs on the trains! At every train stop from north to south, people rushed up to bid us farewell. They gave us food and asked about our health. There was nothing more moving than seeing that after so many years since the so-called “liberation of South Vietnam,” the northern people understood the lies behind the Communist propaganda. South Vietnamese soldiers were nice and decent people, not the kind who “drank human blood and ate human flesh,” as the Việt Cộng

propagandized. It was nothing like the train rides into the north in 1976 where crowds of people threw rocks at us.

At every train stop, people threw food for us to eat. Poor people selling baskets of rice, bananas, potatoes stopped what they were doing and gave us whatever little they had. They threw food across the windows for us. I did not know if they had given all they had, how they were going to have enough to live on. There was such joy in that! Finally security guards stood on both sides of the train and kept people from coming near the train.

The camp in BiCEn Hòa was also run by the police. In this regard it was the similar to the camp in the north. In the south, however, the facility was much nicer. It had a higher ceiling and a roof. The floors were tiled, and the walls were painted. Everything was already in place. There were about one thousand prisoners.

The Communists tried to break us down by forcing us to write personal statements. Every several months, they passed out papers for you to declare your sins. It was so sickening. I prepared a ready copy so that when they passed out papers, I copied verbatim what I had written and gave it to them. All my declarations were the same. Everyone did that for speed because it was so tiring to think about those things over and over. Sometimes they read it out loud; sometimes they made you read it out loud in front of everyone. We whispered to each other, "I don't care whatever sins I've committed."

In the north and south camps, the Communists punished those who broke the rules by confining them to cells where they would lie on a bed with both their hands and feet chained either by steel or by wood. The person was restricted to sitting or lying down without being able to turn around. These were people who opposed, resisted, sang

“yellow” south Vietnamese songs written before 1975, or hid forbidden things like a knife or a pincer. Any violation of their rules was punished this way.

From 1979 onward, when they allowed families to visit at the second camp onward, I did not see anyone die. Camp life, which consisted of five hundred to six hundred people, became easier to bear. Families visited regularly. Every day there was a family visiting, so we were much happier than in the north.

Release: July 1982

In July 1982, after negotiations between the U.S. and the Vi t C ngs, many of the prisoners, including me, were released. Each month, they read a roster, and one day my name was called. It was a joyous and emotional day. I could not sleep that night. I could not sleep the whole week because I anxiously waited for the release papers. One week before my release, I stayed in a “free zone” where I could walk freely around the area. I did not have to work at all. In that week, they processed our paperwork and returned confiscated items and money that were withheld. But nobody got their stuff back. They returned only a few things, and then they said that they had lost other items and told us to wait. We were so excited to go home that when they passed out the release papers, we didn’t care about waiting for our things. We took our papers and said good-bye right away.

When I left the camp, I took a bus to Saigon. I became delirious. It was hard to describe. I was happy to see the people I loved the most in my life and sad because I did not know what I would do when I got to Saigon. What work could I do to support my family? I stepped into an empty house, and when I saw my wife and two children, I knew right away that the new life was fraught with difficulties. I had to struggle so hard

to adapt to the new society. I saw clearly that the new society discriminated against those who had been imprisoned. I went to apply for jobs, but no one hired me. Each week, I had to present myself to the security post. I still had to report what I was doing and where I was living.

I came home to a family with no money and my two children doing poorly in school. Early in the morning, my wife sat at the marketplace with a spread of small bags of beans hoping to sell those bags. I was filled with grief at seeing this, so I rented a rickshaw and hired myself out. I helped my wife set up her table, and then I pedaled for fees until evening. When I came home, I checked my children's schoolwork. That was one of my first projects.

My son was thirteen, and my daughter was ten. I thought that at their age and grade level, they would know quite a bit. But they did not. When I asked them basic questions about math and literature, they did not know the answers. They couldn't even do simple adding and subtracting, and yet they were old enough. They understood nothing from their textbooks. They were so ignorant. I sat down with them at night and tried to teach them. But in the morning, they were on their own and nobody knew if they went to school or played hooky. I was busy working from morning to night. They told us that they were treated differently at school because they were children of a "puppet officer." They were discouraged and did not want to go to school. I felt so hopeless because they did so poorly in school. Several months after my return, my son's teacher sent a note home informing me that my son had not been in school. I had to stop work to go in and talk to the teacher. I asked her how we could help him. But he was sick of school because it had discriminated against him. The teacher made him sit in the back of

the classroom. He did not do well, so he lost interest in his studies. I was so sad and angry. I took a switch and whipped him, but he kept ditching school. In the end, I gave up, too. About two years after I was released from prison, my son left school for good. So did my daughter. They did badly and felt badly about school. I was so depressed. My wife and I had to work to take care of them. They left school at such a young age. That was the thing that hurt me most.

I said to myself that had I not been in prison, then I could have taught them and surely they would not be so badly off. Not to the point where they gave up completely and did not even finish 12th grade. I got to high school and would have gone on to college had it not been for the war. My son finished seventh grade, and my daughter finished fifth grade.

Eight years! In those eight years I was gone no one had taught my children anything. Those were the years that they needed their mother and father to guide them and teach them each day. They needed us to attend to their studies. In those eight years, my children were completely let loose upon society. Their mother was consumed with work to support her children and husband. Their father was in prison. Their grandparents had so many grandchildren; they could not take care of them. They were on their own. How could they think for themselves? It was up to them to go to school or not. They were left on their own to decide.

After I was imprisoned, my wife saved up enough money to buy a one-room home, the size of my living room now. Every time it rained, we had to bail water out. I could not find work. Companies and firms in Vietnam did not hire former “puppet officers.” At the marketplace where I sat to sell, the guards said, “Former puppet officer,

now selling things.” They threatened to put former prisoners in jail for any offense. If I charged too much according to their standard, I could be arrested. They were very wicked. For example, if I purchased an item for \$100 and sold it for \$101, they claimed it was too high. They would fine me. They forced me to sell it for \$90. How could you earn a living? If you raised concerns about it, the authorities let you know real quickly in a sentence or two what they could do to you.

They said, “Is the puppet officer opposing us?”

That was why it was so difficult to make a living. They raised our taxes in the same way, whenever they felt like it. There was no other way for us to live in Vietnam. We had to leave in order to survive.

It was not until 1993 that I received paperwork to interview with the U.S. delegation under the H.O. program.⁴⁴ I left my son’s name out of the application entirely. I applied for my wife and daughter. I thought that once I came to America, I could sponsor him.

I am currently sponsoring my son. He has been called for an interview, but I do not know the final decision. I hope he comes here and works hard so he can appreciate the value of money and spends it wisely. He is getting older, and I hope more thoughtful. He is so ignorant and never studies. His life will not amount to much of anything. My son is so far away. I talk to him once a month for several minutes. I do not know what is going on with him. He keeps hanging out with his friends and gets into lots of trouble. He has a son, my first grandson, born in 1999. My son sent me pictures of the baby. Just last year in December 2001, I visited my grandson when he was two years old.

⁴⁴ This was the Humanitarian Operation’s Program under the Orderly Departure Program.

America 1996 to present

I came to America under the H.O. program. I thought that in America I could live without hardship. My friends who lived in America told me that people in America were well off.

When the U.S. delegation asked us if we had any relatives in America who could sponsor us, we told them that we did not know anyone there. We told them that they could choose a place for us. They entrusted Catholic Charities in Oklahoma City to sponsor us. Catholic Charity workers met us at the airport and gave us a warm reception. They rented a home for us on N.W. 28th street. I felt so happy and relaxed the first few days as though my sufferings from Vietnam had vanished. For the first time since Vietnam, I thought that perhaps our lives would be more joyful.

I do not feel the same way now. One month later, I realized that the new life in America was not easy because of the language barrier and the different culture. I had to get use to a different kind of weather, customs, food, and language. Suddenly, the new life changed me into an illiterate person because I knew no English, a deaf person because I could not understand others, and a mute person because I could not answer questions that people asked me. I tried to learn English, but I am getting old and my memory wanes. I have not been able to study much.

Here in America, there are so many things that bind me. Whatever money we make goes to paying all the bills: home mortgage, car payments, utilities bills, etc. There is hardly any money left. At times, I would be very sick, but I did not get off work out of fear. The regulations at my company add points against your record when you take off work. With enough points, they docked your pay, or fired you. Those are the things that

weigh on me. Even when my body aches in the morning, I still got up to go to work. The work is so hard, but I have to do the best I can. I get so exhausted only after six hours of work. When the eight-hour shift is over, and the bell rings, I can barely walk. If I were younger, I would like it here more because I would be healthy and not so tired. Perhaps the hardest thing about American life is my failing health and the hard work that I have to do. If I were healthy, then I have no fear of work because I am used to hardship already. However, the work demand exceeds my strength. Rather than work light jobs suitable for people my age, I have to carry heavy loads. I have to do it no matter what! I'm older, fifty-seven years old. I have ten more years before I retire. In the end, I see that life [in America] is not so comfortable after all.

Day in and day out, the door is shut tight around me. I work, go home, turn on the T.V., and then go to bed. The next morning, I do the same thing over day in and day out. In Vietnam, I suffer, but I have joy. When I was sad, I went to my friend's house to talk. We would go to the coffee joints and talk more. It relieved my spirit. Here I do not have to worry about eating, drinking, and clothing, only the bills, my health, and my spirit.

Two days ago, my air conditioner broke. It was burning hot. I did not know English well enough to call someone to come fix it. I had to wait one night and one day. It was eighty-some degrees. My English is not good. There is no one to really help you. America is very straightforward in that once you are here, you are left on your own to work and pay taxes. I currently work at Unit Parts. Whatever I make, I spend. I have lived in Oklahoma City for almost five years; our lives are just now beginning to become more stable.

My daughter has more opportunities available to her in America, but she does not have a mind to study. She is lured into the money mire. She does not make enough money. She makes cakes to sell to the different stores. The work is very hard. There is little joy in her work. If only she came here when she was younger and was diligent in her studies, then she would have a future. As it is, she is not much better off than I am. I'm afraid she is worse off than I.

Even if I knew beforehand all the problems I would face in America beforehand, I would still leave Vietnam. We came to America largely because of the economy. There was no other way to live in Vietnam. America was our only hope of just and equitable treatment. In America there is freedom with no discrimination against former officers. No one calls me a "puppet officer" in America. Owing to my working in America, I am able to send money to my father in Vietnam to support him. My father was recently sick and had to go to the hospital. I sent money home to buy his medicine. Had I not come to America, there would be no such money.

Because the Communists conquered south Vietnam, they completely destroyed my family. Had it not been for them, my life would not be so bad. I lived a better life in Vietnam before 1975. The work was easier, and so was the living. I have had to struggle so hard so that my family can have a stable life. I suffer from stress and shock. I try to find enjoyment by reading news about Vietnam's economy and politics on the internet. It is very enjoyable.

South Vietnam is completely destroyed. The older people, the armies, and the leaders are slowly dying. As for me, my life has been a torment, and I have only so many years left. I can do nothing for my country. In my old age, I have no energy to do

anything other than work and enjoy my grandchildren. I want a peaceful loving life for my family. I want to retire and die in my country Vietnam.

I do not think Communism will collapse. It has been twenty-some years. They are more oppressive and are getting wealthier each day. When I was young, I knew nothing about them. Now in my old age, I have no energy left to think about Communism. Even if the current system collapses, it would not affect my life.

The saddest thing I saw when I visited Vietnam last year [December 2001] was the staggering number of heroin users. They were higher than after 1975. In 1996, there were many users that I heard about, but it never got to the point where you could see people shoot up as you walk down the streets.

It was absolutely terrifying when I crossed the streets and saw used needles thrown all over the streets of Saigon. No one picked them up. I am afraid that my son might have gotten mixed up in that business because so many Vietnamese youths are heroin addicts, especially the ones from poor families. In their great suffering, they try to escape. Drinking is one way, and narcotic is another. At night along the streets near bars, young men sat outside and shot up. Security was powerless to stop them because they were rampant. It was never like that before. Before people did it in secret; they did not throw needles all over the place. It was never that pervasive and out in the open. In certain places along the streets, I was afraid that a needle might pierce my leg.

Comrades' children have many means to advance; therefore, they are successful. They study abroad. They study in big schools. They try to improve in their studies daily. Those who are 18, 19, 20 years old are excellent internet users. They have the means to buy computers and get on the internet. They have chances to study and excel. But the

children of the former South Vietnamese government have no chance at education, so they fall behind. They have no chance to come to the U.S. to study. Students who study in America, 80 to 90% of them are comrades' children. I guarantee this 100%. I hope that those who come here to study look back to Vietnam and compare it to other countries and choose the right path for the people and the country of Vietnam.

CHAPTER 9

THE BEAUTIFUL LIES IN MRS. LÝ HANH'S LIFE

When I met Mr. Phúc, Mrs. Lữ Hân, and her daughter, Thảo, for the first time in 2001, I believed that the family survived the Vietnamese reeducation camps. Mrs. Lữ Hân and Thảo came to Andrew Greene's office to inquire about a divorce for Thảo. Ms. Hân, was fifty-three when I first met her, but she looked frazzled, worn down, and beaten. The top part of her hair was white with patches of black at the ends where she clasped her hair back. The skin around her eyes was puffy and her face was blotched with brown spots. She worked two jobs, getting up at 4 a.m. Monday through Friday to work at Unit Parts and at Burger King on the weekends. Thảo's long black hair was also tied to the back. She wore sweat pants, a man's flannel shirt, and cheap rubber thongs. She was not yet thirty, and yet she too looked incredibly old and tired. They sat past the regular appointed time. They asked questions but did not want to fill out any paperwork.

"We came to ask if Andrew would accept the case," Ms. Hân said meekly and smiled. When I explained that Thảo had to be ready to fill out divorce papers in order for Andrew to accept the case, the women did not want to go ahead with the proceedings. Ms. Hân said that since she arrived in America in 1996, she has never had any dealings with the law. When she said 1996, I knew right away that the family must have come under the H.O. Program. It all made sense to me. Their slow and cautious manners, their fears and anxiety of the law reminded me of Mr. Thiệu's reluctance to go to Linh's boyfriend's commander, their aloneness in America, and their plain demeanor are

suggestive of newcomers in America. I questioned them about their family situation and learned that a baby girl named Betty was the reason for Ms. Hân's involvement in the couple's life. Betty was staying with her grandparents, Ms. Hân and Mr. Phúc, off and on until her parents settled their separation. When I met Mr. Phúc at their home, I asked him how long he was imprisoned.

I have known four Vietnamese families whose father was imprisoned, and I noticed that Mr. ThiEt and Mr. Phúc had one daughter living with them, and Mr. Báo Võ had one son living with him. None of them had relatives living close by whom they could turn to for help. They seemed eager to talk to me and build a close relationship with me, unlike many Vietnamese families who have been here since 1975. They seemed fresh with pain from Vietnam. Weighed with work and worries, leisure and enjoyment were not part of their daily existence. It was more than enough just to get by and survive the day. Unlike Mr. SÛ, who was a natural leader, Mr. ThiEt, Mr. Võ, and Mr. Phúc and their families lived in seclusion and isolation from the Vietnamese community and the general American mainstream society. They kept to themselves, usually not participating in church social events, gatherings such as the April 30th Commemoration, or the annual Former Political Prisoners' dinner. They attended church and went home. Like Mr. ThiEt, Mr. Phúc attended the Prisoners' Association once and never came back. Their family problems overwhelmed them, leaving no room for communal and social involvement. They struggled to stay together under difficult circumstances. My interview with Mr. SÛ and his brothers showed me that the voices of family members were an essential part the larger story of political prisoners. After knowing Ms. Hân, Mr. Phúc,

and Thảo for over a year, I asked them to participate in the dissertation project, and they agreed.

Ms. HânH chose the Golden Moon Restaurant to meet for the interview. “I know the owner,” Ms. HânH told me, “she used to work with me at Unit Parts Company until she saved enough money to open the Golden Moon Restaurant.” Ms. HânH continued, “\$110,000 was how much it cost to take over the Restaurant. That did not include monthly lease and utility bills,” Ms. HânH said boastfully of her friend.

The owner greeted us with many questions. To Ms. HânH, she asked, “When is your son coming to America? Who is your granddaughter living with? Is Thảo still baking goods for the stores? I saw her the other day leaving packages of goods at Chinatown. How is your husband?”

Ms. HânH asked her friend, “Has it been two or three years since you opened this restaurant? Does it have a buffet? Do you have Vietnamese dishes at the buffet yet?”

Their inquiries were a competition, a match to outdo each other by the goods in their lives. In this game, Ms. HânH was clearly losing because the questions directed at her were so deeply connected to what she lacked. Her son was left in Vietnam; her daughter was in a troubled marriage; and her granddaughter was shifted back and forth from one house to another. Her daughter was still unemployed and doing odd jobs. Her husband’s health was deteriorating. Ms. HânH answered with a smile and then a light laugh to convey that her family was fine. When her friend got up to get our drinks, Ms. HânH said, “Her daughter has a nail shop on N.W. 23rd.” I did not know what to say, so I remained silent. Ms. HânH continued, “I told Thảo to go into nails because so many people do well in it, but she does not want to do that. She wants to bake goods and sell

them at the market.” She waited for a reply, but I did not answer. “People who got here early in 1975 had more chances to make it. There’s nothing left for those of us who came later to open something new,” Ms. Hân said. “It is so hard to make it!” she said as she looked at me. “I came late. We did not get here until 1996,” she explained.

Ms. Hân wanted me to know why she thought her family was as well off like her friend. “Comparison is suicide,” I wrote after the interview. We all compare ourselves to others when we feel our life is a wreck. Comparison is despair which works its way into our rhetoric, the things we say about ourselves. Ms. Hân feels she has been cheated out of a good life. Ms. Hân’s narrative gives an insight into why she feels that way. The following narrative is a compilation of an interview with Ms. Hân and her written essay.

Ms. Hân's Story

I had a chance to board the plane and leave Vietnam one week before April 30, 1975. I was a secretary for USAID and the Defense Attaché for ten years. I was given priority by my American supervisor who told me, "Hân, you let me know when you want to leave. I'll get your family on the plane." Then, the American supervisor left, but before leaving, he told my Vietnamese supervisor to make sure my family and I got a seat on the plane should I decide to leave. My colleague called me into his office days before he was departing. He said, "The situation is out of control. What do you plan to do?"

"What can I do? I can't get a hold of my husband. I called and called and called, but I can't get through. I cannot leave without him!" I said. My colleague's wife, children, and he boarded the plane to America days before April 30, 1975.

I watched hundreds of boats and ships leave, and I panicked. I called my husband whose unit was fighting in Cù Chi to tell him to come home so we could all leave: my two children, my husband, and I. His commander told me he was in Tân Bân. So I called there. I heard my husband say, "Hello. Hello? Hello!" I heard him, but he could not hear me. I called again and again. I kept calling. I heard him say over and over again, "Hello. Hello. Hello," but he did not hear me. Then, the line was cut off. I had to stay. There was no way that I would leave without him, though I had two young children, a boy and a girl.

Rich and poor, I saw them leave their homes, lands, gold, money, but I could not go. So then I reasoned that one system of government was just as good as another. Born in Saigon on October 16, 1947, I had no idea what Vietnamese Communists were like. I had a friend whose family migrated from north Vietnam in 1954. She swore to me that

no matter what she would never live under Communism. She left before April 30. The only other information I had was a film that I had seen when I was younger called “We Want to Live.” The film depicted landowners being dragged out of their homes, accused of crimes against the state by their children, buried alive, and beheaded.

It was too late to leave once Communist troops overran and bombed Saigon. We knew we did not stand a chance, so no one dared oppose them. After President Minh announced a surrender, their troops appeared everywhere in the streets of Saigon. They shot at army jeeps with their B40s until the jeeps exploded. Corpse of army men and ordinary people were scattered everywhere. Disfigured and unrecognizable, they were left to rot for days before they were buried.

I panicked when my husband did not come home after April 30, 1975. Other South Vietnamese soldiers like my two brothers made it home, but there was no sign of my husband even after May 1, 1975. I waited for one week, and when he did not return I thought that he had died. One of his men from the army unit came to my home to tell me that Captain Phúc was last seen in Trảng Bần. I rode my Honda motorcycle there. When I got there, I asked the local people if they had seen Republican troops being taken. They told me that they saw a Molotova carry high-ranking prisoners to B© L©i, a place where many of the Vietnamese Communist troops were stationed. I drove to B©i L©i where a young woman in black pajamas stood in front of a small house outside the base. She was probably a ViCt C¶ng or a sympathizer since she wore pajamas.⁴⁵ I asked about my husband, so she handed me a big stack of pictured military IDs. I went through them,

⁴⁵ According to Mrs.Hạnh, ViCt C¶ng sympathizers are people who live in the South but work for the Vietnamese Communists. They were easier to deal with than those who were from the North. Sympathizers were called *du kích* which means “one in hiding,” and they usually wear black pajamas.

but I did not see my husband. She told me that the prisoners might be held in Kontum. I drove to Kontum which bordered Cambodia and saw prisoners but not my husband. I saw many women like me searching for our husbands. I saw this one woman turn over corpse thinking that any one of them could be her husband. When I could not find my husband, I became disheartened and came home.

The next day, a Communist sympathizer handed me several letters from my husband. He was writing from Cû Chi where the 25th Division was stationed. He was captured and held as a prisoner there. He also informed me that his friend was with him. So I went to the friend's wife and asked her to come with me to Cû Chi.

When we got there, hundreds of women like us wanted to see our husbands. The guards told us, "We are not holding prisoners here. You women go home." They shooed us away, and many women left and went home. A group of about five or six women stayed and waited until evening. We were walking to the bus stop and a Communist sympathizer asked us what the matter was. We told him the situation, and he promised to help us get in. He led us toward the back entrance, through woods and fields, until we got inside. The sympathizer asked permission from the head cadre for us to see our husbands, and we were granted permission. We walked by rows and rows of prisoners. Then, they called out my husband's name, and he came forward.

His head was bandaged from the neck up. A piece of shrapnel went through his neck but did not pierce his throat. He stayed back, ordered his men to destroy weapons and tanks, and got caught in the crossfire. They would not treat his wound; he rubbed salt and water to keep the infection from spreading. It was only by grace that he did not

suffer permanent damages. We saw each other for ten minutes. I asked him how he was, and he asked me about our family. He comforted me, and I comforted him. When I left, prisoners handed letters to me to give to their families. From that moment on, I would not see my husband again for another five years.

On June 1, 1975, the Communists broadcast that officers had to report for reeducation camps and bring enough food for one month. My husband sent a letter home telling me that he was held at the Don Bosco High School. He wanted me to send food and clothing to last for one month. I went to the school, but I did not see him. His sisters, my friends, and I believed that all the prisoners would return home after one month. But it was not like that at all. I found out later that those who presented themselves for reeducation like my brothers were categorized according to their rank and position in the military. They were evaluated according to their “wickedness,” according to how many people they had supposedly murdered and cannibalized. Prisoners were sent to different camps where communications to the outside world were cut off.

More than a month later, I asked the security guard in my neighborhood, “My husband has been in reeducation for one month and has not returned. Why?”

He said, “We told him to bring enough food for one month. We never said he would be released after one month.” How they twist words and logic to suit them!

I asked him, “So where is my husband now?”

“I don’t know. You’ll hear from him. He’ll write you,” he answered.

My brothers who went to the camps in the south wrote within three months. I lost contact with my husband for five years. In those five years, I continued to work for an export company ran by the cadres. They kept me employed after they took over the

exporting business. My boss was an eighteen or nineteen-year-old kid. Above him was a Mrs. Bông, whose husband was a ViệT C[on]g officer. Because I was an officer's wife, she treated me with disdain. I was excluded from every social event, and I was forbidden to join the union because my husband was a former officer. I was paid almost nothing, and I was never consulted or asked to do work of any substance though I was the head secretary. I did not get raises as the others, so I had to earn money by trading goods at the market after work. My former colleague, a man from Central Vietnam who was in his forties, was the only person from the old regime besides me who stayed with the company. He missed his chance at leaving, though he had traveled twice to America. He said to me, "How could they let such an idiot take charge of us ? They insult us." We would look at each other in amazement that a stupid fool could be in charge. We had to sit and listen to the insults thrown at us at work and after work. From 3:30 to 6:00 p.m., we had to attend reeducation sessions. Only on Sunday, when we did not work, was I free from their indoctrination.

We listened to insults and lies. One guy said, "South Vietnam was like an American doll. She gets tossed up and down, left and right. Anyway the Americans want." Another said, "The entire world looks up to us because we are a small country who defeated a big powerful country like America." In another session, "In north Vietnam, we do not have flat one-story homes like the south. All our homes are two-story. We are, all of us, very wealthy in the north." That was not what I saw when I went north to visit my husband.

In January 1980, I received a letter from my husband. He told me that he was being held in camp HAZ25 in north Vietnam. He said that he was fine and to do my best

for the children until he got home. In 1980, I traveled with my two children for the first time to Hanoi and then to the camp in Han Tam. After years of the military police rejecting my plea to visit my husband, the regular police in charge of the camp prisoners allowed me to visit him. This was due to the fact that China attacked Vietnam's borders in 1979, and the prisoners were transferred to camps away from the borders. My husband told me later that the regular cadres were less brutal than the military police.

We crossed the 17th Parallel, and I saw the stark contrast between Vietnamese Communism and the Vietnamese Republic. North of the 17th Parallel were small homes made out straw that was glued together. The foundation of these homes was mud not cement like the cadres boasted. Homes and temples destroyed by American bombs were left as they were: molded, rusted, and shattered. From 1954 to 1980, nothing had been rebuilt. The train we took to Hà Nội had broken windows taped over; the seats had holes. The bus was the same. Only one bus ran from 7:00 in the morning to 12:00 noon taking us to the foot of Tầm Hà Mountain. I crossed a bridge that was called the Dragon Bridge; it turned out to be a small rickety monkey bridge. South Vietnam, with the American occupation, had built one and two-story homes, streets, and buildings.

When we got off the train after being on board for two days and one night, a man who pedaled a rickshaw approached us. He knew we were visitors and had money to spend, so he offered us his service. When we got up the hill, he could not pedal anymore. I had to get out and push the rickshaw up the hill which carried my two children and the load of things. We were so tired; we had to rest along the way. I brought a carrying stick for us to load on both sides of the shoulders: my children on one end, I on the other, and the heavy goods tied in the middle. We walked and the goods dipped and dipped until

the stick broke. We tied the bag to the middle of the pole, and each of my children placed the load on their shoulder.

My oldest Hi%ou was so clever. He went ahead of his sister Thão. He was older and taller, and she was younger and shorter. He walked ahead and she walked behind. All the weight of the bag fell on her. She stood crying, “Brother Hi%ou you go too fast.” She cried, “My legs hurt.” Hi%ou would not stop; he kept marching up the hill.

Some local people came out of their homes. They saw what was happening, so they sent their young daughter to help us. A girl no bigger than Hi%ou carried all our bags. We loaded our bags on her back, and she carried them up the hill like they were nothing. My two children and I were still at the bottom struggling to make it up, and we had no bags to carry. Many of us were not used to this kind of labor, so we straggled behind. I have to admit, the northerners were something else. I paid the little girl, and we spent the night in a one-room house built for prison visitors since it was too late for visitation.

The house was crowded with women and children visiting their husbands and fathers. The women were preparing their dishes for their visits tomorrow. So my Hi%ou and Thão, bless them, went to the bottom of the hill to fetch water for cooking. It was the same commotion with my son ahead. When they got done, they were tired and slept soundly. But I could not sleep because it was so uncomfortable.

I sorted out the goods, throwing out the rotted bananas and fruit from the days of travel. A pile of rotted food formed outside the house. My son got up early in the morning and saw a group of prisoners working out in the field. He scooted over to them. They whispered for him to come over. They asked him, “Do you have anything to eat?”

Hi%ou said, “No, there’s nothing.” The prisoners pointed to the pile of food that we had thrown out and asked my son to bring them that pile. They ate everything. Then they gave him a bundle of letters and told him to give them to me.

My son told me these things, and I have never forgotten the pile of trash that the prisoners ate. Each wife brought goods only for her husband. We could not give to other prisoners who starved too.

I did not recognize my husband, and he did not recognize his children. That first time we visited, he cried. We sat across from one another separated by a wooden table. We were allowed 30 minutes. I brought him food and clothing. I packed mostly dry food so they would last.

Many wives did not recognize their husbands and were terrified at how they looked. They were sacks of bones, thin and sickly. I saw men who could hardly walk who had to hang onto sticks to hold themselves up. People were starved to death, and yet the Communists can claim this beautiful lie that they never slaughtered a mass of people. That was their legacy. Indeed, they were more wicked than anybody because they killed people slowly and ominously.

When I came home from visiting my husband that first time, I quit my job. I could not stand the blatant hatred and discrimination any longer. I decided to go into buying and selling because this was the only work a person with my family background could do without challenging the current political system. I went to the market and bought 20 pounds of sugar. Then I would divide the sugar into smaller portions, and sell them to different vendors to make a profit. I traveled by boat to Nha Trang to buy 20 pounds of coffee beans which I ground and sold to the Saigon vendors. At times, I would

get on my Honda and travel to HÓ Nai to buy soybeans, mung beans, peanuts, vermicelli, eggplants, and goods indigenous to the area. I stashed them in small bags and hid them in my person. If I were caught, my goods were confiscated or I would have to pay a fine and lose my profit. It was illegal to buy goods and take them outside of their local precinct. It was not an easy way to make money because I could not collect the money right away. I had to go to the different vendors first to ask what they needed. I came home and divided the goods according to the order. Then, I delivered the goods and got paid. I have had my goods confiscated and been shooed away from the place where I sold my goods. I was fined and lost profit along the way, but I continued to work because it was the only way I could support my children and husband.

Many women and families were not so lucky. They were fouled up to the point where they had to sell their homes and go to the New Economic Zone. The Communists made it so that you would mess up so they could take your house. You could not work for them, and when you tried to work for yourself, they fined you and confiscated your goods. Some people had to sell their homes so they could have enough money to go to the New Economic Zone. I did not lose my home because I had rented different places, depending on where my husband was stationed. I bought a home from a woman who had to sell it for a meager leaf of gold. She and others had to go to the Zone. Those who could not sell their homes extracted the tin from the roof and wood paneling to take with them to the Zone. They had to leave their home in the city to go to a barren land far away.

Women my age who did not know how to earn money had to depend on others to survive. Some became prostitutes; some married; and some became second wives to

their husbands' enemies. I knew six or seven women who became second wives. Very few stayed true to their husbands.

People have condemned these women saying, "While their husbands were in prison, they were at home messing around." In reality, it was not like that at all. These women did not want things to happen the way they did. They were responding to the demands of society. Not everyone could work and earn money in that society. If you had not traded for profit before, could you all of a sudden do it? These people had no other way to care for themselves and their children. One should not judge them harshly. They did the best they could to survive in a system which hated and discriminated against them because of their family background. The prisoners suffered inside the camps, but we suffered outside too.

I endured the loneliness and the hardship because I had the ability to earn money. Work kept me faithful to my family. Like so many other single mothers with husbands in prison, I was a young woman of twenty-eight with two young children when my husband went to prison. Buying and selling was illegal, and I was constantly harassed, inspected, and forced to leave when I traded. But I did not lose heart. Six months after my first visit, I saved up enough money to visit my husband the second time.

I took my children with me every time I visited my husband. The second time I went, my father told me he wanted to go visit my husband and see his old village in the north. My father and mother were northerners. He migrated with a group of rubber workers from north Vietnam to Thailand, Cambodia, and finally to south Vietnam where he met my mother in 1945.

My husband looked much better than the first time I had seen him because of the food I brought six months before. He told me that I should have left and taken the children when I had the chance. But there was no way I could ever leave him.

I remember a month before the fall of Saigon when my husband came home. He was thinking about deserting. He said, "I am not going back. Things are out of control. I am staying home." I did not say anything. He thought more about desertion and what it would mean to us if the South Vietnamese army gained control of the situation. It would mean imprisonment, loss of rank, and loss of wages. He thought about it and went back to fight. Had he stayed home, we would have escaped to America before April 30, 1975. He looked so strong and handsome in his uniform.

When we left the prison, my father, children and I walked twenty miles to my father's village. My father, who recently died at the age of 86 in January 2001, was in his 70's when he walked with my children and me to his home village. He had not been back to his native home for fifty years. My father said that after fifty years, not much has changed. There was no indoor plumbing, so my children went to the river to bathe. When they got out, leeches sucked their flesh and bled them. We stayed for one week. When we got home, my children's heads oozed with pus from insect bites and lack of proper hygiene. The poor north, and yet they boasted that they were much better off than the south. How my children suffered!

My children went to school. At school, they were excluded from all extra-curricular activities. They were branded as *ngõy* children meaning sons and daughters of "puppet soldiers." My son ditched school, never finishing, and his sister followed in his footsteps. They would never have gotten far in school anyway because universities were

closed to them. I knew that, so I did not encourage them to finish. What was the point? When I traveled to buy goods, they stayed with their grandparents. My son Hi%ou hung out with the wrong crowd and went astray. He got into so much trouble.

I visited my husband for the third and last time in north Vietnam before he was transferred to the southern camp in 1981. Then, I visited him every three months. His sister accompanied me on my third visit. Before the third visit, we buried his mother who was only 57 years old when she died of liver complications. Her stomach bloated. She went to the hospital to get treatment. Her family had to sell everything in the house including their sewing machine to pay for her treatment. She died anyway. She loved Phúc and kept asking for him. She was not well enough to visit him. We hid the news of her death from my husband because his father told us to not tell him, fearing that the news would sadden him. He found out about his mother's death from a friend in prison whose relative informed him. He wrote home asking us why we hid his mother's death from him. He was heartbroken all the way around!

In Vietnam if you did not have money to pay for treatment, you were left to die. It was that simple. It is not like here where you receive treatment regardless of your inability to pay. If you go to the emergency room in Vệtn am and do not have money to pay upfront, they let you die. You have to have money for everything. I was blessed in that none of my children suffered from terrible diseases requiring them to be hospitalized. My husband's family was left with an empty house by the time his mother died.

My husband was released from prison in 1983. He wanted to work right away to help me. I bought a rickshaw for him to pedal for money. By that time, I had a small table of goods set up at the Thì Nghè market. I sat in the early morning to the afternoon

selling goods. Traders from other markets about 30 to 40 miles away would come to Thì Nghè to buy the goods and vice versa. My husband seized the opportunity to pedal these traders to the bus stop, so he profited from my business. The traders brought pork and vegetables to sell, and they in turn bought other goods. Eventually, my business grew and the table expanded to include more food items. My husband sold the rickshaw and helped me sell at the market.

Our business had its ups and downs, fluctuating with the changing tides. When it was good, we were asked to sell our goods at a bigger stand. We had to pay a monthly fee to the shop committee who controlled the market. Then, our business went down, and we did not have enough money to pay our monthly tax dues. We had to borrow money, and the interest ate up our profits. If I borrowed \$100, then I would have to pay \$4 in interest each day. We sold our house thinking we would get to leave for America for good.

My husband and I went to Hanoi in 1991 to submit our application to emigrate to America under the H.O. Program. We had to send our application to the American embassy in Thailand because there was not one in Vietnam. We were called to interview in 1993, and we thought that we were set to go. So we sold our home, paid our debts, closed our business, and said farewell to our families and friends. Then, we got rejected because there was complication with my son's application.

We started from scratch again. People mocked us. Women whom I thought were my friends said awful things to me. Worse than all of these was what happened to my children.

My daughter, Thảo, went to school to learn English, thinking that she was going to come to America. She met this boy Tuấn who was also preparing for his departure soon. He was already interviewed and ready to go when BANG! Tuấn's father changed his mind and scrapped the file. The father had remarried a woman in Houston, Texas and did not want Tuấn and his mother to complicate his life, so he decided nullify the application to sponsor his first wife and two sons. When Tuấn knew that Thảo was leaving for America, he became intimate with her. Then BANG! We could not go either. They dated off and on from 1992 to 1996. If he truly loved my daughter, he would have married her. But his family saw that our file was rejected, we lost our home and business, and therefore there was nothing in it for them. They rejected Thảo.

My husband appealed to the embassy in Thailand and pleaded for a second chance. In 1996, we were called for an interview. My son, who had a strained relationship with his father, had been kicked out of the house by my husband at the young age of sixteen or so. They have never gotten along: my son was unruly and my husband was strict. Yet my son said, "I don't want to mess your chance of going this time. Scratch my name from the file. I'll stay in Vietnam. You, Mom, and Sister Thảo go." I did not want to leave my son, but there was no chance for me to make it in that Vietnamese society. When Tuấn's family heard that we were leaving for America, they quickly made wedding plans. Had we not gotten to go, they would never have agreed to the engagement dinner. I told my husband, "Let us make it a simple feast because they are young. If they should change their minds, there would be less heartache." He got angry and said, "You let me handle this for my daughter." My husband prepared eleven tables for his daughter's engagement dinner. He took the rejected file from Tuấn's

mother to Oklahoma City. When he got here, he contacted Catholic Charities to ask for help. They wrote a letter to the embassy in Thailand to inquire about the file. The embassy told them that Tuấn's father was the only person who could sponsor the family. My husband called Tuấn's father and pleaded with him to sponsor his sons and wife to America. The man did, but he was very calculating about it.

Tuấn's father called my daughter and told her to work for him at the restaurant he just opened in Houston. We had just moved to Oklahoma City with our only daughter, and he wanted her to leave us to go to Houston to work for him. He also made Tuấn sign a promissory note vowing that he would have to work for him for five years to pay for the expense of sponsoring him. Thảo told him no, that she did not want to go work for him. So the man became angry with her and sent his second wife's oldest daughter to Vietnam to marry Tuấn. When Thảo asked Tuấn about it, he denied everything. My daughter was so depressed. She was heartbroken. My husband saw how sad she was, so he told me to call Tuấn's mother, who arrived earlier than her son.

I asked her, "Your sons will be here next month, what are you planning to do about Tuấn?"

She said, "I think I'll let my sons stay with their father in Houston so he can care for them." She kept her sons with her in Houston. When she was in Vietnam, she wrote me cards telling me that she wishes Tuấn and Thảo would soon be united. Lies. Lies. All lies.

We helped people, and they betrayed us. If only we did not have that engagement party, then Thảo might not have been so bad off. When she met this loser at work in Oklahoma City, she married him on the rebound. We did not attend her wedding. None

of her family was there; we knew that it would not last. And my son still lives in Vietnam waiting to come to America. I only hope he can change when he gets here.

CHAPTER 10

THE UGLY AND THE DAMNED: THE STORY OF NGUYỄN THỊ THẢO

Thảo came up to the reference desk at the Belle Isle Library where I work. I looked closely at her and saw a transformation. Her beautiful thick black hair was dyed a blondish brown. She wore a black skirt and black sweater with brown strapless shoes. Thảo leaned over and said, “We’ve got to go to the Great Fire Mountain Restaurant this evening after you get off!”

I was stunned at her new look. The light color hair offset her soft ivory skin against her black eyebrows which had still the natural color I had known for four years.

“Okay. Gosh,” I teased, overtly glancing at her from top to bottom, “I will surely be underdressed.” We laughed and giggled, both of us conscious of her new and different self.

Thảo walked away to the Children’s Room where her daughter, Betty, grabbed a video from the shelf. I saw Thảo’s skirt sway rhythmically with her long blond hair swerving from side to side. Her walk reminded me of the side-to-side swings in young girls ponytails. Thảo swung side to side; her body hummed to new and different rhythm, and I would soon find out what she was humming to.

Great Fire Mountain Restaurant was set one block closer to Interstate 40 than Ross Dress for Less, Hobby Lobby, Wal-Mart, Office Depot, and the other conglomerates that busied the once open fields. Trucks, RVs, vans, SUVs filled the parking lots of these conglomerates. In front of me was a family of sixteen whose seating needs held up the line, which snaked outside the doors. Steaks, sausage links, chicken

breasts, and pork chops seared on the grill. Rows of pizzas, hamburgers, hotdogs, spaghetti, and other main courses lined the walkways.

I jokingly asked the hostess for a booth with a view. She walked me to a crammed corner booth, but I pointed to a clean one by the window. The hostess picked up the plates and left. I waited until another waitress cleaned the booth and sat down.

I tried to write while I waited for Thảo and Betty, but I was distracted by waitresses and customers busily passing by. I went to the snake line to see if Thảo and Betty had arrived. I waved them in and told the hostess that I had already paid for the meals.

“This is an excellent place. I came here with my mother once before,” Thảo said beaming. Betty, who was only four, went to the line to get her food. Thảo opened with a happy smile, “So how are you these days, Chê (Sister) Thúy?”

“Not as well as you I’m sure,” I said, continuing to tease her.

Betty came back with a plate of jello, corn, and beans.

Thảo and I laughed. “Who is he?” I asked. “Someone at work?” I teased.

“Chê Thúy you are so funny, I met someone,” she repeated what I said but did not deny the content.

I persisted, more sure than before, “Who is he?”

“Nam,” Betty answered laughing.

“Quiet, girl,” she giggled and pinched Betty’s cheek, “she’s so ornery.”

“So that’s what’s behind your new look,” I said smiling. “Tell me. Tell me everything: where you met him, how, how long, what’s he like. Everything!”

“I’ve known him for a long time, since Vietnam. Then we got together when I returned to visit last year. We’ve kept in contact by phone and email. Betty talked to him over the phone. Didn’t you Betty?” she said to Betty, who nodded and giggled.

“He’s still in Vietnam?” I asked.

“He works in Thailand as an engineer, but he lives in Vietnam,” she said.

“How old is he?” I asked, afraid of what she would say.

“About your age, thirty-something, a little older than I,” she continued but with a deflated voice. “I knew him and his wife from way back when. They are divorced with one daughter.”

I finished my plate and joined the multitudes in the line for more meat and other food items. I saw Betty on tiptoes reaching to scoop food on her plate. I went to the other line fearing that Betty would cause an accident. Thảo walked to Betty. I saw them together and thought how much they have changed over the years.

I met Thảo four years ago when she came to Andrew’s law office with her mother to file for a divorce. Betty was only three months old. After Mr. ThiEt left, I interpreted for Andrew.

Thảo wore sweatpants, men’s flannels, and thongs in those days. Her hair was pulled back in a ponytail which widened her large face. She was short and chubby and looked worn out and tired. She averaged four to six months of work at her jobs, which changed regularly.

At that time, Thảo was married to KiEt, a man whose name means miserly and deprived. He had a square face with sharp jawbones. KiEt came to the office with Thảo. Leaning with his arms folded, he said, “Can’t you see what my mother-in-law is

doing? She's trying to separate us. She has never accepted me. They didn't even come to their own daughter's wedding," he persisted.

"You did threaten her though didn't you?" I said.

"I wasn't going to do it. I was just mad because she grabbed my own daughter from me," he shifted his weight to the other side. It took a long time before Thảo finally divorce her husband. She visited me but would not file. Months went by and we heard nothing from her. KiEt moved out and then moved back. She held onto him even though he threatened to shoot her parents in their own home.

Ms. H nh called me and asked me to come over immediately right after that incident. They lived south of Oklahoma City, far away from the original Vietnamese markets. Their house was quiet and simple. When I walked in, an altar of family pictures, candles, fruit, incense, the crucifix, and a small statue of Mary covered the entire side of the wall.

Ms. H nh and Mr. Ph c were both short and stocky. Mr. Ph c had gone bald on top and had strings of hair hanging from the sides of his ears; Ms. H nh's hair was mostly white with some black on the ends.

Mr. Ph csat far back against the loveseat sofa while Ms. H nh leaned forward. She spoke, "They drop off Betty whenever they want, day or night. Often without notice. We don't mind because we love her. But KiEt frightens her. He dangles her in the air and drops her. He dangles her like this, swinging her. So I couldn't take it any more. I used to say politely, 'please give her to me.' But the baby screamed that day. We just got home from work. I asked him to leave my house. He said he was going to his truck to get his gun. My husband called the police."

Then, she slumped back in the sofa. They shook their heads in disbelief.

“At times, he would not let us give the baby her medicine. ‘My daughter doesn’t need it,’ he says and pushes my hand away. He’s like that. Then, my daughter doesn’t tell us everything. She hides things from us, but we know he beats her,” Ms. Hân said.

“We ask you to help her. Counsel her to get away from him. Come home and live with us if she needs to,” Ms. Hân pleaded. Her voice broke and the tears rushed down her cheeks. “We came here with our only daughter,” she blurted.

It was unusual for a Vietnamese couple to tell a stranger about their family situation. Usually, they will go to distant relatives for help rather confide in a stranger. It was also unusual that Thảo was their only daughter because Vietnamese families are usually bigger in size.

“Where are your families?” I asked.

“We came alone, just the three of us. The two of us and our daughter.” Mr. Phúc said.

I asked him, “How long were you in prison?”

“Seven, eight years,” he said and sat silently. He shook his head, “It is the past. There is nothing more to say.”

Mr. Phúc got up, and I thought he was going to leave. I thought that I had overstepped my boundaries and offended him. Instead, he reached up to the wall and pulled down a picture.

“I want to show you my grandchildren. Here’s Betty and here’s Vi at exactly the same age. That’s my son’s oldest boy, and this is Thảo’s daughter,” he said excitedly. It was the first time I had seen him smile.

I saw a color picture of Betty crawling and smiling behind in front of a wall of blue next to a black and white picture of her skinnier male cousin crawling on the floor and staring into the camera. He was not smiling.

“I am trying to sponsor that boy and my son who are still left in Vietnam,” he shared.

Catholic Charities sponsored Mr. Phúc’s family. It was Mr. ThiEt, Andrew, and the other staff members who came to greet the three of them at Will Rogers Airport. All three went to work at Unit Parts. Hundreds of newly-arrived Vietnamese work there. Mr. ThiEt told me that the Vietnamese director recommends people to work almost immediately after their arrival.

At Unit Parts, Thảo met KiEt on the rebound of her failed attempt to marry her first love after being engaged to him on September 1995, six months before she came to America. Her fiancé was dating a woman his father had sent to Vietnam to wed because the father did not want Thảo.

I knew about the Battered Women’s Syndrome because my mother and Chi%on helped me to understand the cycles that women go through before they finally leave their abusers if they leave at all. I had also studied the syndrome in my formal training as a counselor.

“It will not be four months, six months, or one year. It will take years before she can leave him,” I explained. “She may file divorce papers. But she’ll be right back with him. She’ll be back and forth, over and over and over. She will have to hit bottom. There will be more fighting, more hurt, more pain. It is a life-long process of helping her,” I said sadly but surely.

“Thảo will need your unconditional support. She’ll tell you one day she’s through, then she’ll go back. You just have to accept that and try not to be mad at her,” I said confidently. “Do not be judgmental or condemning,” I said. They asked me to befriend her and guide her like a younger sister.

I was no longer hesitant or afraid. I wished someone would have spoken to my parents this way when Chi%on was in trouble. They might not have given up on her. “If only” are two sad words in the English language, and they are words that I often say about my sister. With this family, I felt there was hope.

I called Thảo and invited her out to eat, but she said she was busy. I knew she was still with him. A couple of months went by after the gun threat and still nothing happened. Then one day, I got a phone call from Ms. Hãnh. Betty had been taken to the hospital. She had suffered a severe head injury from Shaken Baby Syndrome under KtEt’s care. Oklahoma Child Welfare immediately removed Betty from her parents and placed her in foster care. Ms. Hãnh wanted me to help her get custody of her granddaughter. Betty was placed with her grandparents. I spoke to the state social worker. I told him about the gun threat and gave him a copy of the police report.

“This was a classic case of Shaken Baby Syndrome,” the worker explained. “Father is home alone with a baby. He is tired. Baby cries. He gets mad. He holds the baby up and shakes the baby so hard the baby’s eyeballs roll back. He starts to panic because he can’t see the color in his baby’s eyes. He rushes her to the hospital and says, ‘I don’t know why she’s like that.’”

The state social worker recommended termination of KtEt’s rights. The court handed Thảo an ultimatum. She could live with her husband, but lose her daughter or

leave her husband and gain her daughter. Thảo filed for a divorce. KiEt was forced out of the apartment. If the social worker found him near Betty, Thảo would lose custody of her daughter permanently. I interpreted this to Thảo at the courthouse.

Outside the courthouse, I explained to her what brain injury does to a person. I wanted her to know that it was not just her who was affected, but everyone involved was hurting because of her bad choice. I felt like I was preaching to my sister. I wanted to scream at her, but I left.

The court proceedings were long, complicated, and fraught with red tape, but in the end the divorce papers were finalized. After living with her grandparents for over a year, Betty was returned to Thảo when she was about two years old. I kept in touch with Thảo and tried to help her get through her loneliness. I invited her out to eat at LeSam Restaurant a couple of months after the final court hearing. She was quiet and did not share much. She hardly made eye contact with me, so I knew that KiEt was still in her life. Betty said Daddy throughout dinner. When I went to my car, I saw that the glass on my car window was smashed. I had a hunch that KiEt knew that she was there with me. I asked Thảo about KiEt, but she denied it.

When she called me to invite me to eat, I knew that there were problems with KiEt. But we talked about her pain in a very indirect way.

“Everything in life happens as a matter of karma, a debt, a fortune. My mother had a chance to get us out before April 30, 1975, but she didn’t. We would have gone by plane, not by boat. Had it not been for my father, I could have come at a young age and gone to school here. I could have had a good education like you, then my life would not

be so difficult,” she ranted at time and circumstance. Many Vietnamese people are fatalists. They believe fate determines much of a person goes through in life.

Thảo interpreted the suffering in her life as a debt she owed from her previous lives. Suffering was a pay to pay off your debt. I viewed suffering as the consequence of lots of bad choices made in haste rather than through self-examination.

“You can still go to school now,” I said hoping to engage her in something she could do each day.

“I don’t have the focus to study, the time, or the money to go to school. The only thing I can hope to do is pay off my debt one month at a time like my car,” she said.

I helped her get government housing in the London Square apartments where Mr. ThiEt used to live. I knew that KiEt had keys to the apartment. Ms. H nh told me that Betty kept talking about her Daddy playing with her. Betty was starting to talk and say Daddy and KiEt while her mother tried to hush her. Then I did not hear much talk about KiEt. Ms. H nh talked about finding a husband for her daughter when she went back to Vietnam, but nothing became of it. The storm seemed as though it subsided. I was brought back to the present.

When I got back from the buffet lines, I smiled at Thảo. She did not look like the same woman who had come to the office years ago pondering about a divorce. I wanted to celebrate her progress, but I was also worried about this new relationship.

“I’m glad you got over KiEt!” I said, sincerely happy for how far they had come.

“He did such a bad turn on me. I had to get rid of him. He took my credit card, bought a computer and printer and sold them for cash. Then, when I was working at Kim  n  Restaurant, he brought women there. The owner called me to the front when I was

washing dishes and pointed them out. He got me in a \$10,000 mess with the credit card company. His brother-in-law is suing him for a debt. He's being served a warrant now."

I was worried Thảo's finances because she was making \$1 above the minimum wage. Once she told me, "What you make working part-time as a librarian, I make working three jobs as a dishwasher and a laborer."

I thought about Betty and Thảo and how far they have come along. But I also thought about the roads ahead. I tried to temper my enthusiasm for Thảo's strength in overcoming Kiệt with my concern that she might be opening herself for another fall. The night was young still; there was much food to eat; and much to talk about. I doubled the waitress's tips so she would not lose out because of our long stay.

"What happened to Nam's marriage?" I asked.

"His wife's a gambler. She's lost the family fortune. He cannot handle it anymore," she said.

"What will he do with his daughter if he does come here? Abandon her?"

"She stays with her mother," she said.

Betty's plates piled up with unwanted food. I picked up the meat scraps from the plates for my dogs. Betty got bored and got more.

Thảo sensed my disapproval of this man and protested, "I can't live like this forever. Men I meet don't come unattached." She explained, "They are roughish. They're not the educated ones like where you work. The people who have an education don't want someone like me. I can't live like this forever," she repeated.

I understood the loneliness and desperation in her voice. I divorced my husband in 1997. Thảo, like so many women, wants security, family, and love. It did not matter

what it would take, nor did it matter who the man was. It didn't matter that perhaps he was her friend's husband or that by having him, she was depriving a young girl about her daughter's age of her daddy. Thào was going to have love and security at whatever cost to others. She lost security and comfort with a man when she was four years old, about Betty's age, when her father went to prison.

The father-daughter relationship sets a precedent for what a young woman looks for in her intimate relationship with a man. A daughter's relationship with her father becomes the blueprint for what she will put up with from other men. Thào's male model was her brother who, by all accounts, was a thief, a womanizer, and a druggie. KiEt was not that different. He stole from her, beat her, and injured their daughter.

Thào knew her father when she was ten years old. "He was dark, old, and ugly when he came home. He looked like a man in his sixties or seventies rather than a man who was forty-something years old," she told me. "He looked worse then than he does now. He wasn't so much violent or lost his temper as he was just set in his own ways. He was very formal. Everything had to be done according to regulated time. There was a time for getting up, eating, and sleeping. When I lived with him even as an adult, the latest I could stay up was nine. He told stories of how his friends starved. How they ate grasshoppers and locusts and died," she said. "It sounded very horrible."

I imagined her father, like my father, traumatized and silent. Two men in two totally different circumstances, and yet the effect of their absences were very similar. My father was absent for many events in my life: eighth grade graduation, high school graduation, B.A. graduation, M.A. graduation, M.H.R. graduation, wedding, my oldest daughter's high school graduation, and probably my Ph.D. graduation. Thào's father and

mother did not come to her wedding, but at least they were involved in her daughter's life. I watched Mr. Phúc play with Betty, feed her, hold her, and teach her. He was getting to be the father to Betty that he was not able to be for Thảo because he was imprisoned.

Thảo, my sisters, and I have different circumstances but we share the common fate of not being the most important person in our fathers' lives. Thus we have longed to be the most important person in some man's life all our lives. Fathers and husbands, how our identities as women are so enmeshed with theirs? I have searched all my life for a man whom I thought would make me feel as though I were the most important person in his life. I have been searching for the security of my father in my intimate relationships with men. I empathized with Thảo. Parents, children, money, friendship, and personal safety COULD NOT move her to make that break with Kiệt. It was the hope of another man's love that enabled Thảo to get over Kiệt.

"Will you cosign for me if I decide to sponsor him?" Thảo asked playfully but forthrightly.

I smiled at her, knowing that we were sisters of a common fate: the damned unimportant daughters who had grown up without our fathers and are now desperate for another man's love. "I have to see what this guy's all about before I do," I said gently and smilingly.

"Okay. Maybe you can meet him when you visit Vietnam. I want to go there with you as soon as I pass my U.S. citizenship exam. I finally applied," she said boastfully.

“Excellent! I’m so proud of you,” I said laughingly as I was thinking of how hard her parents had tried to convince her for months to apply for her citizenship and failed. We ate too much, and it was time to leave.

Thảo and Betty’s necklaces and earrings sparkled in the window; a sign of new life. We ate more, and the plates piled up. I forked the meat from Betty’s plates onto a napkin to save for my dogs. I thought about her cousin in Vietnam and how these buffet lines would serve entire towns there. I thought about Betty’s trauma as a baby and her progress as a little girl and I was happy for her. Then, I thought also about her entitlement as an American in contrast to her Vietnamese cousin. I saw all the food that Thảo and Betty did not eat that was left on the plates on our table and the tables around. I thought about where I was: in an outlet of America’s fast, big, consuming, and wasteful empire called Great Fire Mountain Restaurant surrounded by other institutions—big expansive chain stories. As I walked out, more fresh animal carcasses were burning on the grill to serve Americans’ insatiable appetites. I wanted to break down and cry, but I did not. Instead, I gave Thảo and Betty a hug and told them that I was happy for them. What else could I have done?

CHAPTER 11

NGUYỄN THỊ THẢO: AMERICA DEFERRED

Shortly after I interviewed Ms. Hân, I met Thảo at the Grand House Restaurant for an interview. Thảo did not talk much about her past. She asked me questions about what I thought of the nail business. I recommended that she go to school to learn

English, but she told me it was too late for her. The following passages are from the interview.

I was four years old when Saigon fell and my father went to prison. I did not see my father until I was about ten. My mother, brother, and I moved into live my mother's parents in Saigon. My mother worked morning to night. We hardly saw her. She left for work before we got up and was not there when we slept. We had enough to eat at my grandparents, but no cared for us. We were left on our own. This was when my brother hung around with the wrong crowd. He ditched school and got in trouble with the law before he reached thirteen. By the time my father was released from the reeducation camps, my brother had gotten into a lot of trouble already. He did drugs, messed around with girls, and is still a lost soul.

When my father got out of prison, he was dark, old, and ugly. He looks better today at sixty-something than he did when he first came home from prison. He looked like a man in his sixties or seventies, not someone who was in his early forties. He talked to me about what prison was like: how he starved, the work he did, and about the other men. He said they were hungry all the time. His friends ate grasshoppers and locusts and died.

My father was not temperamental or violent, but he was very serious and formal. Waking, eating, and sleeping had to be done at a certain time just like in prison. He was strict about it. When I lived even as an adult, the latest I could stay up was 9 o'clock. When Betty came from my parents' house to live with me, she lost her sleeping routine that her grandfather set for her when he took care of her. I got yelled at for not getting

her to bed in time. I stay up as late as 11 and sometimes later. My daughter stays up late too.

I did not know that my husband was horrible until I married him and lived with him. I believe that it was my karma, a debt I owed from my previous life. I was not meant to marry the man that I was engaged to in Vietnam. My engagement was set in September 1995, and I came to America in March 1996. I stopped loving him; I do not know why. Had I married him, my life would not be as difficult as it is now. We were not meant to be together. I was meant to marry KiEt.

Just like I was not meant to come to America in April 1975, though my mother had a chance to board the planes and take my brother and me. But because she could not locate my father, she did not want to leave him behind. I could have finished college and had a career by now had I come to America when I was young. Now, I do not have the mind to study anything. I am swamped with bills to pay. I make \$6.50 an hour working three jobs to support my daughter. All I see are the corners of dishwashing stations and the assembly lines that run through the belts. I missed so many chances of coming to America sooner than when I did. My mother, brother, and I attempted four times to escape Vietnam, but we failed each time.⁴⁶ Each time we tried, we were caught or the operation failed because of security reasons. It was dangerous and expensive. My mother had to pay so much money to buy our way out. One dark night, we rode a bus packed with people all trying to flee the country. We were robbed by men carrying guns and dynamite who threatened to blow us up if we did not give them money. We gave them everything only to learn later that their weapons were fake guns. We got caught

one time and were thrown in the reeducation jail. They separated the men and boys in one place and women and girls in another. When they saw that my brother was young, they sent all three of us to the back of the camp. When we saw that they were not guarding us, we stripped off all our belongings, ran to the street, and caught the bus back to Saigon. That was the last time my mother tried to escape Viet Nam.

My brother and I missed a lot of school because we went with our mother to try and escape. We could never catch up, so we were held back from classes. My brother quit school, and so did I. I only completed 8th grade.⁴⁷ I worked as a seamstress at various places.

Then we missed coming to America in 1993. That was the worst time of all. My father filed paperwork to come to America under the H.O. Program because he was a former political prisoner. We were interviewed, so we thought we would surely say farewell to Vietnam. We sold our home and moved in with my grandparents. My father, mother, and I quit our work to get ready to go. Then, we were denied. My grandparents kicked us out of their home. We had to rent a home, which was not easy in Vietnam. We had to live inside the home with another family. It was crowded and uncomfortable.

Then, my father resubmitted his file. We had to leave my brother's name off the application and not claim him as a family member. It was just as well. He was too far gone; there is no hope for him. Then our file was cleared, and we came to Oklahoma City.

⁴⁶ There is a contradiction between Ms. Hân's narrative and Thảo's. Ms. Hân implied that she never tried to leave Vietnam while her husband was in prison.

⁴⁷ Ms. Hân stated that Thảo completed 5th grade, but Thảo stated 8th grade.

I met KiŒEt at Unit Parts where I worked. I knew my parents did not like him, but I married him anyway. I should have listened to them, but I rebelled against them to my detriment. Now I suffer, and my life is unstable. Yet, with all life's troubles, my daughter Betty makes life worth living. Without her, I do not know that I want to go on.

CHAPTER 12

LISTENING TO THE VOICES OF THE VIETNAMESE POLITICAL PRISONERS

Stories told by survivors of the Vietnamese reeducation camps horrify and chill me. For the last five years, I have been listening and reading the chronicles of their prison experiences. They describe a world where wading in freezing cold water without shoes or proper clothing, carrying trees cut down by hand tools, building shelters from nothing, and eating 500 grams of rice each day were the norms of their existence. Their world consisted of starvation, disease, and death. Listening to these men tell their story has deepened my reading of the ten written narratives of the South Vietnamese political prisoners that have been translated into English. This total of ten accounts that have emerged for the English speaking audience from a pool of a conservatively estimated 300,000 prisoners illustrates a wide disparity between a tragic historical experience and the lack of written historical narratives.⁴⁸ No English stories have been written at all by female political prisoners. Therefore, I will refer to all these prisoner narratives discussed as he.

The prison stories that I have documented and the stories that have been published carry common themes and structures. A clearer image of their experiences emerges in these prison narratives. All prisoner narrators merged their personal fate with the fate of their nation fusing the historical and political with the personal. Their stories begin with *ngày mất nước*, the day of national loss, April 30, 1975, then move to imprisonment, release, and exile. All prison narrators reveal who they were on the day of mourning: the

positions they held, their family life, their state of mind, and what they saw. The identity of the narrator connects him to the reader and sets up a plot in which the narrator/hero is a condemned enemy of the new state. April 30, 1975 signifies the beginning of the end of an entire way of life; the setting of the fall of the nation mirrors the narrators' own diminished political, social, and economic situation.

Vietnamese prison narrators employ various literary modes in bringing the remote into the world of the familiar. As agents of history, they assume multiple roles: storyteller, historian, reporter, victim, survivor, actor, and protagonist. The "I" embodies all these roles simultaneously. Voices of survivors of historical events carry the authority of experience both as actors and as eyewitnesses. The elements of a story are encoded in each of the narratives. April 30, 1975 is a historical referent. However, in prison narratives the secondary referent which connects readers to the experience is the plot structure. The plot reflects the order of events set by the new state: beguilement, captivity, release and exile.

All prison narrators depict the details of the day they heard the announcement to register for reeducation. The first and foremost experience of Vietnam under Communism was being deceived by the false promise made by the Communists. Their journey of captivity begins with a false promise of clemency. In retrospect, the day would prove to be another day of mourning—when people turned themselves into prisoners. For some, it would be the last day they would see their families. Colonel Trần Văn Phúc entitled the account of that day as "Turning Myself In."⁴⁹ He would serve for

⁴⁸ Ginetta Sagan and Stephen Denney, 21; Pike, 72.

⁴⁹ Trần Văn Phúc in *Reeducation in Postwar Vietnam: Personal Postscript to Peace*, ed. Edward P. Metzner (College Station: Texas A&M UP), 7.

13 years from that day in camps and in prisons. For those who survived, that day marked the beginning of a discovery and an unveiling of the vengeful and destructive intent of the Vietnamese Communists.

On another level narratives of former political prisoners of South Vietnam uncover the blueprint of the Communist Party's strategies and devices for incarceration and detainment. Le Hu'u Tri's *Prisoner of the Word: A Memoir of the Vietnamese Reeducation Camps*, detailed accounts of multiple schemes that enabled the Communists to control prisoners. *Prisoner of the Word* is one of two narratives written by the prisoners in English. Le organizes his memoir in periods. Each period pronounces at least one repressive tactic in action. For example, a chapter entitled "January 1976" is a narration of a prisoner in Le's company who was sent to observe the execution of a prisoner named Mr. Nghia. The report was a tactic used to discourage others from escaping which succeeded through words. Le brings the execution to life by quoting the reporter's words. A narrative within a narrative, Le combines the imaginative with the historical to convey how information was transmitted in the camps and the impact information has on prisoners. The Communists succeeded in deterring others from escape: "Political Officer Luan explained Mr. Nghia's case. My friends and I all agreed that it was too dangerous to escape now. We felt it was better to wait until the Communists released us."⁵⁰ Other forms of control detailed by Le include divide and conquer tactics that were detrimental to prisoners. This strategy created moles who reported on their fellow co-prisoners in exchange for lighter work duties or shorter

⁵⁰ Le, 41.

sentences. Such tactics succeeded because they preyed on the hopes of prisoners in despair. Hope, in Le's memoir as in all the narratives, was a double-edged sword. Hope compelled prisoners to live when they would rather die; but, hope was exploited by the Communists to repress prisoners. Le writes in the preface, "It was usually the hope of being released and the fear of getting punished that kept me from escaping from these low-security camps."⁵¹ Le's memoir is a study into the Communist's masterful strategies of control, brilliant in its design and yet diabolical in its implementation.

Like Le Hu'u Tri, Lu Van Thanh also wrote his memoir in English. *The Inviting Call of Wandering Souls* expresses despair, cruelties, and deaths by illustration and narrative. Like other narratives, the journey of captivity begins with a false promise: "As prisoners we were in a hopeless situation, but we were still innocent enough to believe what they said. My personal experience has been that communists do not carry out what they promise. To them, a promise means only that it is a promise and there is no obligation to fulfill it."⁵² The day of registration is described by Lu Van Thanh in *The Inviting Call of Wandering Souls*:

Official news by the local authorities also stated that anyone who obeyed this order [to report to the local authorities] would receive an act of clemency from the Communist party and the government. Those who tried to show stubbornness or who deliberately disobeyed the order would have to accept full responsibility for their actions before the party and the people.

Every face was beaming with joy at the news. Wives packed up everything for their husbands days before the due date; parents encouraged their beloved sons to report on time; and sisters were busy with preparing food, rice, cookies, candies along with some medicine for their brothers.⁵³

⁵¹ Le, 12.

⁵² Thanh, 78.

⁵³ Lu Van Thanh, *The Inviting Call of Wandering Souls: Memoir of an ARVN Liaison Officer to United States Forces in Vietnam* (North Carolina: McFarlin & Company, 1997) 48.

These two passages are painfully revealing of how vulnerable a defeated people are. Fear of retribution made clemency a cause for celebration. The image of families being joyfully compliant and the reality of what awaited Lu and his family suggest the trust among the people and how that trust would soon be violated. Lu would not be freed until April 30, 1982, seven years later, when 59 people, six of whom are his family members, escaped on a small boat and were rescued by a U.S. ship. His four years in the reeducation camp along with the three years after release were depicted as a journey into death and salvation. Like other prison narrators, the plot naturally moves toward experiences of death.

In Lu's memoir, twenty-two starkly black and white ink images of men subjected to excessive brutality immediately draw readers into the hellish experiences of the reeducation camps. Drawings of deaths are interspersed throughout the book: men hung dead on poles, man shot through the barbed wire, men killed in minefields, a man hung upside down in a dark cell, men chopping trees without shirts or shoes, men carrying tree trunks on their backs, men crossing rivers with tree trunks on their backs. Through these images, Lu depicts the different ways in which the Communists killed South Vietnam. He uses the metaphor of water buffaloes as an analogy of what human lives are worth in the new state. Underneath one of the illustrations, Lu writes: "Under the communist regime, human life is worthless. Political prisoners forcibly cleared a minefield by hands and sticks to protect the buffaloes."⁵⁴ The texts elaborate and detail the particularities of deaths captured in the images.

⁵⁴ Lu, 81.

Lu records the suicide of Lieutenant Colonel Hoa and the deadly escape of Loi as a testimony of Communist “victory amongst their prisoners after their 1975 onslaught.”⁵⁵ Naming the dead honors them at the same time it condemns their killers. The execution of Captain Than by the firing squad is commemorated by an ink drawing of men tied to poles with their heads hung down in a bamboo field and by characterization:

Lieutenant Commander Than, who was one of the most dangerous opponents in the regime according to the communists, went before a firing squad after his escape from camp did not succeed (illustration 8.3). He had stopped to help another escapee whose leg wound became seriously infected after a couple of days in the deep jungle. As a result, he was recaptured by the guards.

Commander Than was an unyielding officer in camp. His cool and contemptuous manner toward the enemy drew our admiration. Many times he daringly asked Major Hanh to shoot him in front of the central hall. Than overtly criticized the arbitrary policy of the camp, the misuse of the prisoners’ manpower, and the mistreatment from the cadres and guards, as well as the different forms of corruption.⁵⁶

A portrait of a hero is drawn textually and pictorially. Captain Than’s life and death embodies what prisoners most admired and most feared: the courage to resist oppression and the ultimate consequence of courage which is death.

In Trần Tri Vu 381-page *Lost Years: My 1,632 Days in Vietnamese Reeducation Camps* death has no heroes, no purpose and is senseless and meaningless. *Lost Years* is the longest narrative out of all the ten prison narratives. Written in Vietnamese, it was translated into English. Trần Tri Vu extraordinarily expounds on facets of prison life ranging from ghastly death experiences to humorous music performances that served as entertainment for the New Year. Trần treats occurrences as subject matters, providing readers with vivid details and insight. Underneath the stories is a strong moral message

⁵⁵ Lu, 103.

⁵⁶ Lu, 97.

expressing Trần's outrage. An explosion that brought death and destruction in the camps is told with precision and clarity with a specific date in a specific social milieu. The following passage exemplifies Trần's skill as a storyteller:

During my whole period of reeducation, many events occurred that I can never forget; they are intensely alive in my memory; they still haunt me and maybe will forever. One of these events happened on the eve of election day, the first election of its kind to be held nationwide since the Northern armies swarmed the South. It was April 24, 1976. That day, loudspeakers installed on cars and vans were constantly urging the citizens of Xuan Loc to go to vote the next day. . . . I was sitting near the fence, facing the area where the *bodoi* were quartered, and I watched them idly as they joyfully distributed some sugar among themselves. Perhaps the imminent election had called for celebration. A *bodoi* called out, 'Please, comrades in the cell assemble for the distribution of sugar! And mind you, this is Cuban sugar!'⁵⁷

The celebration of election day turned deadly when the *bodois* (cadres or guard) threw phosphorous into the air and set fire to the ammunition dump. The explosions are described vividly:

Our cries were drowned out by deafening explosions, and suddenly there was chaos all around. Those who had been taking a midday nap roused from sleep and dashed back and forth in search of shelter. I ran from the fire and took refuge behind the raised concrete floor of a building that we had just repaired. . . .

I heard the frightening shriek of rockets added to the boom of artillery shells, then the deep, hollow sound of explosions coming from afar. Clearly, the fire had reached the rocket dump. The shrieking noise filled our hearts with terror.⁵⁸

The explosion was the result of foul play of the *bodois*, but what was most repulsive to Trần was how the death and injury of seventeen were treated. Trần expresses his incredulous indignation in the following passage:

The next day we were allowed to prepare our mate Duong for proper burial. In contrast to previous occasions at Trang Lon camp, where our dead companions had

⁵⁷ Trần Tri Vu, *Lost Years: My 1632 Days in Reeducation Camps*, trans. Nguyễn Phúc (Berkeley: University of California, 1988), 85.

⁵⁸ Trần, 86.

been wrapped carelessly in ponchos, Duong was placed in a real coffin purchased from the undertaker in town. This was the first and only time I ever witnessed a reeducation camp inmate being buried in a coffin as if he were an ordinary citizen. On occasions that followed, corpses were wrapped in sleeping mats or placed in rough coffins we made ourselves.

Although it was obvious that the explosions had occurred because the young *bodoi* had been playing their silly game, loudspeaker vans went around the town that night announcing the culprits had been caught!⁵⁹

The story of the explosion exposes a system where ignorance, senseless death, and oppression converge with deadly consequences. Trần's moral outrage is directed at the senseless death and the lies propagated in the cause of death.

Debunking Communist lies with evidence from their personal lives is one of the most prominent themes in all the prison narratives. Statements like Reverend Peter Dinh Ngoc Que's *Memoirs of a Priest in the Communist Reeducation Camp* are found in all the prison narratives: "Living with the communists for 13 years, I come to know that nothing is true in what they say. To them, truth does not exist. Back home, after my release in 1988, one of my friends asked me: 'What have you learned from the communists?' 'Only one thing,' I answered, 'That is how to lie.' I was serious about what I was saying. But my friend could not help bursting into laughter."⁶⁰ Que's tone is sarcastic and bitter. The evidence of the lie is in Que's thirteen years confinement. Colonel Huynh Van Chinh entitled the chapter in which he registered for reeducation program, "The Lie of 'Thirty Days'"⁶¹ because 30 days became 13 years. What narrators explicitly state by detailing the false promise of clemency is that they were

⁵⁹ Trần, 88.

⁶⁰ Peter Ngoc Father Que Dinh, Reverend, *Memoirs of a Priest in the Communist Reeducation Camp (1975-1988)*, trans. Trần Văn Dien (n.p.: privately printed, 2000) 81.

⁶¹ Huynh Van Chinh, "Colonel Huynh Van Chinh's Story," in *Reeducation in Postwar Vietnam: Personal Postscript to Peace*, ed. Edward P. Metzner (College Station: Texas A&M UP), 55.

condemned men from the onset of Communist victory. Narrators make a strong case that this was preplanned in the reconstruction of the South. The annihilation of Vietnam's most capable men had always been in the works of Communist victory. They set the date and time of when they heard the announcement to register, only a few days after April 30, 1975. The date of registration is a critical date in the plot structure of imprisonment. In written and oral narratives, this date changed their lives forever. The date serves as proof to the prisoners that the reeducation camps and prisons were pre-designed to punish the South Vietnamese after victory.

Reverend Peter Dinh Ngoc Que's *Memoirs of a Priest in the Communist Reeducation Camp (1975-1988)* and Archbishop F.X. Nguyễn Văn Thuan's *The Road of Hope* not only expose Communist lies but also the misperception that reeducation camps were reserved only for military and official civilian personnel. Religious leaders were categorized as part of the higher-ranking officials, professionals, and intellectuals who were persecuted and incarcerated for longer periods than lower-ranking military and civilian personnel. They were mostly sent to the north after 1975. Historically, the northern camps, situated in Hanoi, were reserved for senior military and intelligence officers because conditions in the north were harsher.⁶² Father Que and Archbishop Thuan's memoirs testify to the religious persecution that is often not associated with the Communist's penal systems. Father Que and Archbishop Thuan spent 13 years in the Communist reeducation camps; Archbishop Thuan spent the last 9 years in isolation and

⁶² Young, 525.

Father Que was sent to the north camps from 1976 up until the time of his release.

Father Que notes that religious people were regarded as criminals against the state:

Camp Nam Ha with a big gate, high walls and a very large yard looked so peaceful amidst romantic natural surroundings. It was ironic that it turned out to be a prison. That was one of the camps run by public security service notorious for severity. Of three divisions A, B and C totaling 15,000 political prisoners of the South, Division A was the largest with the presence of virtual all the representatives of the entire former government of the South, I might say, Prime Ministers, Congressmen, City-Mayors, District-Chiefs, religious leaders, members of political parties, high-ranking officers of the army, military police, security and intelligence agents, not to mention Fulro members and fighters for the recovery of national independence who came late.⁶³

Religious leaders, according to the above passage, pose as much a national security threat as high-ranking politicians, and military officers. The passage indicates that in a totalitarian society, those who practice religion were disdained and regarded as enemies of the state. He records the solitary confinement of 39 priests and one seminarist, most of whom were former chaplains from the South. One priest who was imprisoned before the fall of Saigon spent 20 years in confinement because of his religious conviction.⁶⁴ *The Road* and *Memoirs of a Priest* are two most widely read books among the Vietnamese communities overseas especially among Vietnamese Catholics. Both were translated into English and promoted by Archbishop Bernard Cardinal Law who wrote the Preface.

Those who write about the journey to north Vietnam represent the physical journey to the prison camps as part of a death sentence. For Que, the gruesome journey was telling of what lies ahead:

We were ordered to go down and get in line to climb aboard [a ship], one after the other. A shaky ladder hung steep against the side of the ship served as the only

⁶³ Que, 167.

⁶⁴ Que, 256-57.

entrance. My hair stood on end when I watched people risk their lives, trying to climb up the ladder under the pressure of Communist cadres who kept urging them to go fast. I personally saw a person miss a step and fall down to the river. The cadres, however, did not care to rescue him. He must be drowned in the dark, cold water. I could do nothing but pray for him, thinking to myself how cheap human life is to the Communists.⁶⁵

The common sentiment expressed by prisoners is the devaluation of human lives as reiterated by Que in his assessment of “how cheap human life is to the Communists.”

The image of a man drowned in the dark cold water, the ship in the night, a hanging ladder, the Communist cadres confirm Que’s belief. The devaluation of human lives descends further as the ship sails north:

At the corner of the hold were placed two barrels covered with two large mats for toilet. Each person was provided with two nylon bags for urination. Yes, we were given means of relieving ourselves. But how to use them was quite a problem. Can you imagine the situation when so many people go toilet in a narrow hold of the ship, right where they eat and sleep? Adding to the misery was the strong bad smelling of body’s waste matter which filled the air throughout a long voyage. Due to a great number of people, two barrels were soon filled. Time and again, we had to carry them up deck in order to dump the putrid waste into the ocean. While moving, the barrels dropped the waste everywhere in the ship, smearing even those sitting and lying around. In addition, the washing and cleaning were very limited for shortage of water which made the situation even more unbearable.⁶⁶

The state of human misery is profound in the above passage. Four hundred people were pushed to the bottom of the ship. People were reduced to eating and sleeping next to their own wastes. Father Que exposes the filth that prisoners experienced. The misery of the trip was responsible for the death of one man who “not being able to stand the misery during the voyage . . . poisoned himself that night and died.”⁶⁷ His body was dumped in

⁶⁵ Que, 111.

⁶⁶ Que, 112.

⁶⁷ Que, 113.

the ocean. The train rides were not that much different because the carriages were packed “filled to capacity, the back entrance . . . shut down, letting no air in.”⁶⁸ Someone died from suffocation and exhaustion, Father Que notes. What awaited Father Que and thousands of prisoners were the northern cold, the hostile northern people, their isolated agrarian culture, the barrenness of their existence, the long separation for families, and the hard labor imposed on them.

All narrators distinguish their narratives of experiences in the South camps from their years in the camps north of Hanoi. The narratives of Peter Dinh Ngoc Que, Colonel Trần Văn Phúc, Colonel Huỳnh Văn Chính, the unnamed Colonel (Narrator 12), and Nguyễn Ngọc Ngạn depict the severe cold northern terrain as hostile, foreign, and unsuitable for living. In this remote terrain, prisoners were hidden from the international scrutiny. While the unnamed Colonel spent five years and Nguyễn Ngọc Ngạn who spent one year in the northern camps, the other three spent 13 years in prison, mostly in the north. Northerners lacked technological advances in farming and were still using human wastes as fertilizer. Labor was done by hands without the aid of machines. The misery of the northern camps was further exacerbated by the fact that prisoners were locked up in actual prison cells run by the police rather than by the local *bodois*. Rather than the open space in which one big fence enclosed the entire campus such as the camps in the south, these northern camps were compartments of barracks and cells. Trần Văn Phúc

⁶⁸ Que, 114.

was handcuffed in barracks where “ninety or a hundred . . . inmates lie down side by side like sardines.”⁶⁹ The death journey is pronounced in witnessing the deaths of others.

Trần Van Phúc records deaths that he saw and heard about in his years in the North: “The final and irrevocable release by death continued to come to many. Professor X died of a stomach ulcer and inmate L. died of leukemia. General N. passed away from prostrate cancer, Colonel Ph.Nh.H. from tuberculosis, and Colonel H. and General Q. from strokes. These were the only deaths that I witnessed, but there were many more which I did not see.”⁷⁰ The cause of death is not execution but extreme starvation combined with hard labor. Chapters “Winter Weather” and “Slow Starvation” tell why prisoners die over time:

Our minimum daily need for rice alone was six hundred grams, additional food not considered. Many times we had to eat rotten rice, spoiled by worms and years in storage. Now and then, the rice was replaced by some manioc, potatoes, corn, *bo bo*, which was a kind of cereal normally fed to cattle or pigs, or boiled rolls of wheat flour. Exhausted by daylong hard labor and plagued by constant hunger and thirst, we resorted to eating rare, unlucky grasshoppers caught and roasted over small fires. Those who worked in the fields were fortunate to have the opportunity to catch and eat frogs, earthworms, and crickets, in addition to some edible wild weeds. Those who worked the rice paddies occasionally found small shrimp and tiny crabs, which were quickly consumed raw before the guards discovered them. It was a sad, uncivilized spectacle of frail, deteriorating bodies seeking any way to survive.⁷¹

“Deteriorating bodies seeking any way to survive” is suggestive of killing through deprivation of the basic necessities of life. Men were left to eat whatever they could find. Deaths by food poisoning, dysentery, and lack of nutrition were the result of such

⁶⁹ Trần, 17.

⁷⁰ Trần, 26.

⁷¹ Trần, 19.

deprivation. An eight-hour workday ranged from blacksmithing, carpentry, gardening, and farming. Hunger is described by all the narrators as one of the most effective means of control. Hunger, along with extreme hard labor, culminated in death and destruction.

Colonel Huynh Van Chinh represents his death-like experience by displaying two sets of photos: two taken in 1973 and two taken in 1988, after he had spent thirteen years in prison. The image of a young man in his military outfit standing proudly, his wide face with full cheeks smiling, contrasts with a picture of an old man whose face is skeletal with sunken eyes. This photographic juxtaposition functions as testimony of his “deteriorating body.” Similarly to other prison narratives, Chinh describes the executions of those who attempted to escape as further proof to the horror of the camp. Chinh describes their punishment:

The Communists had us dig four holes up in the mountain. The holes were just deep enough and wide enough for a man to stand in. We laid planks across the top and covered these with dirt, as though burying the men alive. The escapees were shackled in these holes night and day, and were let out only to relieve themselves. They were not given blankets or nets to keep off insects or to protect them against the daytime heat and nighttime cold and dampness of the ground. After a short time, the three prisoners who were not battle trained could no longer stand the punishment. They confessed everything and were released to hard labor. As for the leader, he was found hanged, although we thought the Communists had beaten and strangled him, since we knew he had not been allowed to have anything on him with which he might hang himself. For burial, the Communists simply placed him on a mat deep in the jungle.⁷²

Chinh mentions another South Vietnamese resister who was tortured and executed. His burial is characteristic of how in life as in death the value of South Vietnamese prisoners amounted to nothing.

⁷² Huynh, 76-77.

The burial of these men is characteristic of the value of South Vietnamese prisoners. Unlike Father Que's memoir, Archbishop Thuan's *The Road of Hope* is not a detailed account of his 13 years in the camps. Thuan's narrative is unlike any other prison narratives in that the 13 years experiences in prison is condensed to a brief statement of fact of when he was detained and the exact date of his release. Details or accounts about his life in confinement are not recorded. The fact of his 13 years of imprisonment functions primarily as an example of Thuan's endurance through suffering. The details of those 13 years are not the focus of Thuan's book. They serve as an example of what is possible through faith his faith in God. Thuan's message to his readers is that there is hope even in the most trying circumstances. Hope is a theme implied in all prison narratives, but it is a theme made most explicitly in Thuan's spiritual biography. His book is a guide on how to overcome hardship and live a holy life. Each chapter reflects on spiritual components toward a holy life. By not making his prison experiences the primary focus of his book, Thuan reaches out to people who might not have experienced prison but who suffer in other ways. Thuan's life is the embodiment of great suffering, but more importantly, it is his transcendence that he chooses to record. Isolation did not strip him of his moral or spiritual beliefs. In fact, the incarceration strengthened his faith. His message of hope is unequivocal: hope is possible in all circumstances. Hope is a gift which the Communists could not strip from him. *The Road* shares one common theme which underscores all prison narratives: the Communists failed to completely strip men of their humanity; they failed to break a men's faith; and they failed to destroy souls. Political prisoners narrate their stories to testify not only to the horror of the camps, but they write to celebrate their strengths of surviving the horror.

This is one of the reasons that prisoners who do not want to write their stories record their experiences orally.

Prison narrators who did not write their own books relied on non-Vietnamese oral historians, ethnographers, researchers, and translators to record their stories. Once the prisoners knew that I was going to have their stories published as a dissertation, they agreed to have their stories recorded, translated, and made public. They wanted their truths made known to the public. They wanted people to know what they lived through.

Five former officers of the Army of Republic of Vietnam (ARVN) have had stories documented through oral interviews. An unnamed Colonel (Narrator 12) and Captain Hùng are two narrators are part of a collection of fourteen Vietnamese-american voices compiled by James Freeman in *Hearts of Sorrow: Vietnamese American Lives*. Thus far, the only known anthology devoted entirely to Vietnamese political prisoners in the reeducation camps is *Reeducation in Postwar Vietnam: Personal Postscripts to Peace* compiled by Edward Metzner. This work consists of narratives of two prisoners: Colonel Trần Văn Phúc and Colonel Huỳnh Văn Chính. Metzner narrates aspects of General Lê Minh Đảo that Metzner knew and what became of Đảo who “rotted in North Vietnamese jails for seventeen years.”⁷³ Because Đảo did not narrate his own story, the discussion will not include him.

A total of five out of ten prison narratives obtained through the collaborative process of an interviewer demonstrates the importance of the role of researchers and translators. As American editors, Freeman and Metzner, add a different dimension to prison narratives. They discuss their relationship with the men whom they interviewed,

⁷³ Metzner, 122.

how they came to know these men, what they saw that was particularly interesting, the interview process, and the general motive which underscores the narratives. Because Freeman and Metzner are Americans, former Vietnamese prisoners knew that they are speaking directly to their American interviewers with the possibility of reaching a larger American audience. As observers, they could give a broader view of their subjects. Metzner states that the main conviction behind the collection of prison narratives was to set the record straight: “Each of my former comrades who has contributed to this book has chosen to tell his story so history and moral record might be set straight and that accurate presentation of fact will illuminate and distinguish truth from the blatant fabrication and fiction spewed from Hanoi.”⁷⁴ As a long-time friend of the prisoners and a Vietnam veteran, Metzner sympathetically reasserts the narrators’ motivation behind their willingness to talk about their past. Metzner becomes an agent by which Vietnamese prisoners’ truths are voiced in the American discourse.

Freeman enabled 14 Vietnamese refugees to tell their stories. Unlike Metzner, who knew the men whom he interviewed, Freeman began his research project knowing very little about Vietnamese-Americans. After talking to some 40 Vietnamese exiles over the course of six years, Freeman gained insight into what divided their nation, why North and South Vietnam exists. His interview with Captain Hùng a former political prisoner, shed some insight into the deep division that exists among Vietnamese. Freeman explains: “I wanted to understand why he [Captain Hùng], like so many other Vietnamese-Americans spoke of Communism with such vehemence. That his father, a wealthy contractor, had been killed by the Communists, came as no surprise,

⁷⁴ Metzner, xiii.

nor did his personal reaction. But as I listened to his story, I came to see in it not the individual sufferings of one man, but the despair of a people who witnessed the fall of their nation, the destruction of their way of life.”⁷⁵ Freeman not only gained insight into the sentiments shared by Vietnamese-Americans but also insight into their historical relationship with the Communist Party. Captain Hùng’s father was one of thousands of landowners who were slaughtered by the Communists during the land reform program. In 1954, the Geneva Accord allowed for open migration of North and South Vietnamese across the 17th Parallel. One million North Vietnamese left their ancestral land and migrated south; they chose to live in a non-communist state because they had experienced the violent annihilation of a class of people. Captain Hùng calls, “When I was six years old, the Communists came to our house in Hanoi, killed my father, and took away our property. My mother died of grief years later. I never forgot that, so in 1954, when the opportunity came, I left for the South; I was fifteen years old.”⁷⁶ Captain Hùng provides the historical context out of which his hatred for Communism stems. He shares this view with other South Vietnamese, non-prisoners and prisoners, who were unified in their purpose to have their works made available in English: they want to expose and testify to the inhumane practices of torture, abuse, and oppression committed by the Vietnamese Communist Party as experienced in their own lives.

Captain Hùng, more than any other prisoner, expressed outrage at the Americans and at Vietnamese Communism for the fate of Vietnamese prisoners. “I want people to

⁷⁵ Hùng 256.

⁷⁶ Ibid.

know what happened to us when America abandoned us to the Communists,”⁷⁷ he remonstrates. He is seemingly less hesitant than other Vietnamese in caring about sounding as though he is ungrateful to the Americans for their intervention in his exile. He holds Americans partly responsible for the imprisonment of former allies. He lashes out at South Vietnamese leader Nguyễn Văn Thiệu and high-ranking officers who “ran away and left us to our fate.”⁷⁸ Captain Hùng’s “blunt uncompromising manner”⁷⁹ as assessed by Freeman pervades in his narrative.

Shorter than other prison narratives, Captain Hùng’s 13-page account of his three-year in the reeducation camps consists of near death experiences similar to those recorded by other prisoners. Execution, death, starvation, and hard work are told in an uncompromising style. Grotesque images of deteriorated bodies arise from Captain Hùng’s matter-of-fact mode of speaking to Freeman. Here is an example of Captain Hùng telling Freeman about the causes of death in the camps:

In my group of 30, two men died; some groups had more and some had less who died. The causes were first that we were forced to do very heavy work while receiving little food. We quickly became exhausted; we deteriorated fast. Second, many people had dysentery. Third, because of diet deficiencies, particularly meat and milk, many people developed rheumatism and other crippling and paralyzing diseases that looked like polio. Finally, although we had doctors, we had no medicines and no surgery room. The amputation of limbs was done with a handsaw, without anesthesia. I know of six prisoners in our battalion who had their limbs taken off in this way. Several of them died from infections.

The reason they lost their limbs in the first place was infection. While working in the jungle, they would get a slight injury. Because we had no medicines, infections developed: pustules grew, the infection spread until the arm or leg was full of

⁷⁷ Ibid.

⁷⁸ Hùng 258.

⁷⁹ Hùng 260.

gangrenous pus. Then the limb had to go. The prisoner was put in sick bay. Inside a mosquito net they cut off his limb, applying the only medicine they had, hot water. The patients cried loudly because there was nothing to stop their enormous pain. Everyone in the camp heard their terrible screams. Then their stumps became infected, and they died.⁸⁰

The horror of the camps is revealed in these two paragraphs. The image of the handsaw cutting flesh, hot water, no anesthesia, and the terrible screams is perhaps one of the most terrifying images of deteriorating bodies. This bleak picture invokes the reality of the Nazi internment camps where people were also operated on without proper modern medical apparatus. The magnitude of crimes committed against humanity by the Vietnamese Communists is described in these passages. They underscore the brutality and inhumane practices of the Communists in slowly slaughtering their enemies. Freeman's interview with an unnamed Colonel (Narrator 12) shows mental afflictions that prisoners endured.

Freeman was able to interview the Colonel within three weeks after his arrival in America which may explain his passion, anger, and vivid details. Freeman knew of the Colonel by a Vietnamese man who had been a life-long friend of the Colonel. Freeman spent 28 hours with the Colonel and observed this: "During his year as a prisoner, the colonel's body weight dropped by almost one-half, from 75 to about 40 kilograms. The ravages of his experience showed in his gaunt face, deep sunken eyes, prematurely gray hair, and in his slow speech and weary movements. Despite the pain it brought, he insisted on recalling his experiences in detail so that Americans will know of the ordeal of the reeducation camps' prisoners."⁸¹ This detail is compelling because it shows the the

⁸⁰ Hùng 261.

⁸¹ Freeman, 203.

Colonel's conviction and his purpose in talking to Freeman. Freeman's description of the Colonel is significant because it revealed the toll prison life had on the Colonel.

The Colonel's narrative is startlingly vivid in images and expansive in his subject matter.

Like Que, the Colonel spent five of his six years in the northern camps. What is strikingly different about the Colonel's narrative is his willingness to reveal his own mental collapse which led to attempts at self-annihilation. A chapter entitled "Attempts At Suicide" recounts the unbearable conditions that led the Colonel to attempt to take his own life. Suicide is a reality in the reeducation camps as recorded in other prison narratives such as the suicide of Lieutenant Colonel Hoa in Lu Van Thanh's *The Wandering*. In narrating his own attempt at suicide, the Colonel sheds light on the unbearable circumstances led him to that point. He was criticized and later ostracized by fellow prisoners because he did not finish his work on time and kept others behind. Compounded with the extreme conditions of hunger, exhaustion, and an untreated ulcer, the Colonel's emotional state descends into total despair. Suicide was an alternative except that his chloroquine was confiscated. Prisoners took their frustrations out on each other and inflicted pain onto one another by socially alienating them from the group. The Colonel's narration of his second suicide reveals his alienation from his prison mates and from his immediate family.

Colonel's second attempt at his own life was made shortly after his wife's surprise visit. She informed him that his two sons were held in the Cong Son prison, a remote island which held criminal and political detainees, for trying to flee the country. The Colonel's wife blamed him for not leaving Vietnam earlier when the family had the chance. As reflected in the narratives, prisoners were confronted with terrible choices to

leave their homeland or stay and persevere under the new state. The Colonel says: “My wife was very angry with me. She reminded me that in 1975 she had implored me by telephone to let her and the children escape in advance of me, but I had preferred that we escape together. My plan of escape had failed.”⁸² Thousands of South Vietnamese families faced similar miseries, regrets, and post-1975 depression because of their decision to stay together as a family. The Colonel's narrative stresses the fact that part of the misery was knowing that families were mistreated and deprived. One of the major themes in prison narratives is the suffering endured by family members because of their relationship to the prisoner. This theme is accentuated especially among prisoners who were married with children at the time of their imprisonment.

Like other prisoner narratives, Colonel Trần Văn Phúc and Colonel Huỳnh Văn Chính invoke the struggle of their families as a source of suffering. Phúc writes, “That absence of twelve years, eight months and four days is clearly burned into my memory because of the great and constant pain endured during the separation from the family.”⁸³ The loss of 13 years spent away from families can never be replaced. Chính describes his family's life when he was released and saw what they had lived through: “The family enjoyed the blessing of being together for the lunar New Year celebration even though the house was in terrible condition. The roof was half thatch and half tin and leaked badly when it rained. Posts were propped up in many places to keep the roof from collapsing. It grieved me to realize that my wife and children had been living like this for

⁸² Colonel, 252.

⁸³ Trần Văn Phúc, 10.

thirteen years.”⁸⁴ In the post-prison narrative, prisoners share the unjust and oppressive experiences with family members. Release from prison did not mean freedom. Prisoners represent their post-prison life as one of poverty, discrimination, alienation, “big brother” interference, “jungle law,” and second-class status. Branded as ex-prisoners, they confronted a society which had degenerated into lawlessness, corruption, and treachery. Prisoners went from one state of imprisonment to another. Chinh describes his post-prison life as an entering another mode of imprisonment: “Under the Communists, every place was like a prison, but at least now I was with my family and not trapped inside four walls, waking each morning to the clanging of a gong.”⁸⁵

South Vietnamese political prisoners set up the post-prison context as a deprived and dead-end kind of existence to convey that exile was the only means for the family to survive. They represent their feelings of despair, ineptitude, alienation, and emasculation by portraying the victimization of their family members. Prisoners saw their children being disqualified from attending universities because of their father’s prison status. Most likely, their children received an education inferior to their fathers’ because they were discriminated against in schools. Their wives worked long hours in menial jobs to support the entire family. Family properties were confiscated, forcing them to live in impoverished conditions. They had to report to the hamlet chiefs who had the power to certify their citizenship rights or send them to prisons. They could be arrested at anytime for whatever charges and be thrown back into the camps. Family businesses and profits

⁸⁴ Huynh, 95.

⁸⁵ Ibid.

were forced to pay taxes and debts that exceeded their capability. Furniture and goods were confiscated to pay for debts owed to the government. The fear of being sent to the New Economic Zone loomed over them. These facets of post prison life are represented as a continuance of Communist revenge and hatred against their former enemies. Exile was the only means to achieve freedom and equal opportunity for the prisoner and his family to thrive socially, economically, and politically. Lu more than any other narrator elaborated on the oppressive state of the post-prison experience.

Lu devotes fifty pages of his 180-page memoir to the post-prison condition. He recounts his two desperate attempts to illegally escape the country as a testimony of the unbearable weight of the iron clad regime. He builds his narrative towards a dramatic escape at the end when 59 on a small rickety boat were rescued by an American naval ship. The chapter entitled “Freedom at Last” depicts the dangerous journey to escape out of Vietnam, a “land seething with hatred and revenge.”⁸⁶

All Vietnamese prison narratives, reconstruct post-prison life as a continual experience of Communist revenge and hatred which extends to all members of their families. In a similar conclusion, Le Huu Tri’s *Prison of the Word* recounts his dangerous escape with his wife and other family members as the only means to a better life. Living in an assigned hamlet for five years after his release from prison, he sums up the misery and despair in this way:

The government had conducted its ‘agricultural revolution’ in my hamlet for two and one half years now. I had farmed and worked hard every day, but I was not able to earn enough rice for my family to eat. If my mother had not helped me, the Communist would have taken the tin roof off of my house. I wanted to move my family back to Ho Chi Minh City, but I could not. Because I had been an officer for the Old Government, the Communists would refuse my application for permanent

⁸⁶ Lu, 10.

residence in this or any other city. I decided to escape.⁸⁷

This passage reveals government's interference in fundamental aspects of life. The unbearable condition led 52 people to risk their freedom. In *Hearts of Sorrow*, the Colonel's life after his release consisted of a series of hellish experiences, including six escape attempts, imprisonment in the Biên Hòa with his two sons, and brutality in the Thailand refugee camp before his exile to America. Captain Hùng also escaped by boat after his release from prison.

Not all escapes out of Vietnam ended in safety. Nguyễn Ngọc Ngạn's *The Will of Heaven: A Story of One Vietnamese and the End of His World* ends with the shock and horror which one associate more with the reeducation camps rather than toward the journey to America. *The Will of Heaven* is different from other prisoner narratives because it is Nguyễn Ngọc Ngạn's autobiography. While the contents of the prison journey bear similar death-like experiences to other prisoner narratives, they alone do not comprise the entire book. Deaths, in Nguyễn's story, become his wife, Tuyet-Lan, and their son Tran. The Malaysian police fired at the boat which sank. Nguyễn never found his wife's body, and the Malaysians buried his son's body without allowing Nguyễn to be there. At the end of the book, Nguyễn sits silently alone looking at the sea became a tomb. Nguyễn autobiography honors his wife and his son. His chronicle of their deaths underscores the dangers and risks that prisoners and their families take for the sake of living in a free society. Their deaths represent the thousands of nameless Vietnamese who die in their search for freedom. Their deaths make up the casualties of war. On American soil, Vietnamese casualties inflicted by the Vietnam War have no resting place.

⁸⁷ Le, 269.

Vietnam, the country, becomes synonymous with the repressive regime rather than the prisoners' homeland. America is synonymous with freedom and equal opportunities. The success stories exemplify in the employment, children's education, and the families' overall well being indicate that the experiences of atrocities and traumas are those of a remote past. America is represented as a physical, political, social, and psychological safe haven in contrast to Vietnam. The final aspects of these stories echo other successful American immigrant stories of hope realized in the face of great adversity.

The prison stories that I have collected are a continuation of the adversities that confront them.

CHAPTER 13

THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN LIVING AND SURVIVING: A JOURNEY BACK TO VIETNAM WITH MS. H NH

I have wanted to come back to Vietnam since 1991, when I was twenty-four years old. At the time, I had applied for a \$1000 grant at the University of Oklahoma and received the money to travel to Vietnam and write about my experience. I did not go through with the trip because my parents adamantly opposed my travel home. They were frightened for my safety and told me it was a country everyone was trying to flee from, so why would I want to return? Yet, I wanted to see who I was before I came to America, how we lived, and how I grew up. I wanted to know what my life could have been had I stayed back in Vietnam. Living in America as a Vietnamese, I often felt like an outsider. I longed to find a path to the land where I was born so that I could settle an existential problem once and for all. Was I better off in America or in Vietnam? What would I have been had our family stayed behind? Who could I have been? Because I have no recollection of my childhood, Vietnam was a mystery to me.

In 2004, at age 37, I decided that I was going to Vietnam no matter what my mother says. When I told her of my decision, she reiterated the same fears and observation, that Vietnam was a place of escape, not a place of travel. Her mother and brother died in Vietnam, but she never went back to bury them. What was important to her was that I remained alive here in America no matter how confused I felt as a person.

“Remember, we left that country, not once but twice. You are traveling to Hanoi, the nesting ground of the Communists. They will kill you. You have two children who

depend on you. What if you die? What if they kept you there? Don't say I didn't warn you," she yelled on the phone.

Any talk about traveling overseas to work or live was out of the question for her. When I was 24, I still cared what my mother had to say, so I stayed.

Thirteen years later, I had a mission to go to Vietnam. I had to complete a writing a family's story. I had to get Hi%ou's story. Hi %ou, Mr. Phúc and Hãnh's son, was left in Vietnam when his parents and sister went to America. Mr. Phúc, Ms. Hãnh, and Thảo spoke to me about their stories, but none came right out and told me what had happened to Hi%ou. I wanted to know why he was left behind and how he was living.

When I told my mother that I would like to visit the Tân Mai, Biên Hòa, the village where I was born and grew up, she became indignant.

"Don't call on your cousins to pick you up. If you have no money to give them, they will secretly curse at you. They are very poor. You being there will cost them more to host you. You do not have money to pay them," she warned. I did not want to hurt her, so I did not contact my cousins. I was determined to go partly because Professor Nora Taylor had invited me to stay at her home in Hanoi.

I had planned the trip with Ms. Hãnh in the Spring of 2003, but she cancelled at the last minute because she did not have enough money. When Ms. Hãnh told me again in the fall of 2004 that she had no money to go to Vietnam, I booked my own ticket traveling to Hanoi and departing from HỒ Chí Minh (HCM) City. I was hoping that she would arrange for me to meet her son once I was in HCM. After Ms. Hãnh learned that I had booked a ticket to Vietnam, she asked me if I would please charge her ticket on my credit card. She said that she would pay me back later. I hesitated for a couple of days

before I called her back. I did not want to get into a situation where I would have to ask Ms. Hân for the money back. Besides that, I was hardly making ends meet for my own family. But for the sake of the getting a story, I put both our tickets on my credit card. A part of me feared traveling alone to Vietnam. I did not know how they would treat me. For the last five years, I have been hearing stories of horror and persecution told to me by political prisoners and Ms. Hân. Vietnam seemed like an oppressive and dangerous place. I had pictured in my mind comrades armed with guns walking the streets directing people where to go. I imagined guards going through my bags searching for suspicious items. My travels, I thought, would be confined to just the addresses that I had put down. I even had to politely refuse to bring this dissertation to one of my committee members who was then residing in Hanoi. I thought that I could be arrested at anytime for any reason and denied due process. In short, the Vietnam of my imagination was the Vietnam of the political prisoners.

However, Ms. Hân, my father, my older sister, her husband and children have traveled to Vietnam and have come back safely. Ms. Hân had been back to Vietnam three times: the first time was in 2000, the second time was in 2001, and the third time was in 2003. Fear is not based on reason but on emotions.

Not only did Ms. Hân borrow money from me to go to Vietnam, she wanted me to change my itinerary. I was set to travel to Hanoi first, then to HCM City, and back to the States. Ms. Hân told me that she had heavy baggage to take to her family, so she could not go with me directly to Hanoi. “I have no heavy baggage,” I told her. “Still, you don’t want to carry your luggage around in Hanoi?” I told her that I could meet her in HCM City later, but she insisted that I go step by step with her. “Don’t you want to

travel together with me?” she persisted. The way I was traveling was wasteful, according to Ms. Hân. She could show me the whole country. I trusted her because she had lived in Vietnam up until she was 47 years old. She had traveled to north Vietnam to visit her husband when he was incarcerated. Though I thought she was pushy and unreasonable, I agreed to let her book the flights and charge the tickets to my credit card.

I traveled blindly, allowing Ms. Hân to show me Vietnam. I resisted my friend’s idea that I should get a tour book and get information about different tours. “No, I’m going with Ms. Hân. She knows that country inside and out. She’s lived there most of her life,” I told my friend who was deeply worried about me. He insisted that I get a hotel room and not stay with Ms. Hân’s son. I told him that I would think about it. I wanted to travel as a Vietnamese not as an American. There was something authentic about traveling with Ms. Hân.

The flight left at 9:55 a.m., but Ms. Hân said I would have to be at Will Rogers Airport by 5:30 a.m. to check in baggage. I told her that was too early, but she said her daughter would come pick me up. At the airport, I immediately understood why Ms. Hân insisted that I travel step-by-step with her. Each person was allowed two 70-pound pieces of luggage. Ms. Hân checked in two bags in my name and told me to carry my own bag on the plane. She was taking 280 pounds of goods to Vietnam. These bags bulged out at the seam as if they would soon bust open. This was main reason for the alteration of my flight. These 280 pounds weighed me down the entire trip.

Ms. Hân was not the only who traveled with heavy luggage to Vietnam and heavy luggage back to America. At the Los Angeles airport, hundreds of Vietnamese going back to their country loaded big boxes and suitcases of goods to give to their

families. Ms. H  nh told me that sending a box like that to Vietnam would cost her about \$125 dollars each box.

We arrived at Los Angeles at 11:00 a.m., and I was shocked to know that my flight would not leave until 1:15 in the morning, a delay of fourteen hours. This did not include the 20 hours airtime to Vietnam. The travel time, which should have only taken one day, was now extended to two days. Ms. H  nh said that we were going to her nephew's apartment. He arranged a man to pick us up at the airport and drive us to the apartment. It was a Saturday, and the nephew and his wife worked until 7:00 at night. We walked their apartment, and I felt like an intruder. After a few hours of waiting, I could not stand it anymore in the apartment. I suggested that we walk around the neighborhood. I wanted to buy some mosquito repellent, so we stopped at the dollar store. Ms. H  nh bought \$40 worth of bar soaps and stuffed them in my luggage. She said the soaps were for Hi  u and his wife to sell.

"I'm not going to give them money from now on. I'm going to give them things they can sell. It will make them happy to earn their own living. They can start a business," she said.

I felt cornered. How did I let myself get involved? From 11:00 a.m. on Saturday morning to 1:15 a.m. Sunday, we lingered in Los Angeles. It was her nephew's apartment; but I was walking into a stranger's house. I could have accepted the delay better if I felt that it was a good opportunity for her to see her sister. But this was not the case. Ms. H  nh's sister did not get off work early to see her. Instead, she worked the whole day and got to her son's house, which was three hours away, at 7:30 that evening. They did not speak much to each other. Ms. H  nh asked her sister to pay for two boxes

of green menthol that she bought for her mother. A gift from Ms. H  nh to her mother cost her sister, H  ng \$100.

I was beginning to feel like an idiot. I felt used and stupid for allowing another person to dictate my travel. At her nephew's house, Ms. H  nh was outrageously tactless. She pointed to her nephew and said, "Do you know who his father is? His father is a V  t C  ng from the north who came to the south after liberation. He told H  ng that he did not have a family. When she got pregnant, he left her and returned north to his wife and children. He came one time to visit the boy. I was selling goods on the street when he asked me to take him to his son. I didn't know what to do. I felt sorry for him, so I took him to visit his son. When my sister found out, she rushed to find him immediately and forbade him to see his father. She was afraid that his father would kidnap him." H  ng remarried a man who was a political prisoner and came to America with him under the H.O. Program. They have one daughter together.

When we landed in Tân S  n Nh  t, the airport in H   Chí Minh City (HCM), people on the plane pushed their way down the stairs. Ms. H  nh said that we must hurry down because people have been known to have their goods stolen. We hurried through to register with the Vietnamese Customs. The first time I saw men in olive green uniforms, I was terrified. I thought about the comrades that the prisoners talked about. I remembered the man's face who processed our custom paper. He had a broad face with sideburns. Ms. H  nh told me to put \$2 in my passport so I could be processed without any problems. I did, and it worked. An American who did not do so was sent to a longer line. Ms. H  nh rushed down the stairs.

“Stay put,” she yelled, “I’ll go get our stuff.” She ran down the passageway to gather four large suitcases. I watched as people pushed carts piled with oversized boxes and heavy bags. People screamed and yelled around the baggage pick up, out to the security gates, and around the airport. People carted huge boxes to the gates. I saw a six-foot Toshiba freezer box and other boxes wrapped with duct tapes being pushed through.

A security guard told us to open two of the bags. “You are bringing quite a lot into the country,” the guard said. “If these items are new, you will have to pay taxes.” Ms. H  nh yelled for me to come over, “Give him a couple of dollars,” she ordered. Ms. H  nh paced around pretending to look for the keys. I handed him a couple of dollars, but it did not work. The guard shook his head, smiled, and said, “You don’t need to do that. I just want to see what’s inside these big bags.” One bag was a long oblong shaped like a coffin. Ms. H  nh finally unzipped the bag and a bunch of colorful plastic watches fell out. “These are for my grandchildren. I got them at work for them,” Ms. H  nh smiled, and so did the guard. The bags that Ms. H  nh opened were stuffed with individual plastic bags that were knotted. Inside them were old clothes. The guard looked at them and saw no price tags on them. He told us to open the other luggage and saw the same things, so he let us go.

Walking out of the airport was like walking into an auditorium. Hundreds of people gathered outside waiting for their families. Their faces beamed with smiles. They brought flowers, held up signs, clapped, and whistled as they searched out the faces of their loved ones. The Vietnamese say, “There are more greeters than there are travelers.” For every one person coming home, seven people or more came out to meet them. It was like watching these travelers walk into the arms of adoring fans after a great performance.

Indeed they have performed greatly! They performed the duties of carrying goods and money for their families and saving them from poverty.

I pushed my cart out following Ms. H  nh and a group of people gathered around her. They were her three brothers, sister (V  n), son (Hi  u), daughter-in-law (C  c), and granddaughter (Th  ), who was about eighteen months. I felt Ms. H  nh's reception was quite different than other families around us. No one hugged each other or cried. Ms. H  nh told me to wait with her family while she and V  n went to buy a one way ticket to Hanoi and exchange money. I handed her \$200: \$100 for the plane ticket and \$100 to exchange for spending money. What a way to travel! Get there at 10:00 in the morning on Sunday, drag 280 pounds of goods to a house, fly out of Tân S  n Nh  t at 8:30 at night, and arrive in Hanoi at 11:30. I was not traveling as a tourist, but as a Vietnamese encumbered by my relationship with Ms. H  nh whose responsibility to her family weighed us both down. I was just beginning to see how heavy her loads were.

We got to the parking lot where a van sat, but the driver was not there. They had to go look for him. V  n carried my bag and sat next to me in the van.

Hi  u, C  c, and Th   rode to the airport by motorbikes, so they could not ride in the van with us. From the airport, I saw trails and trails of Honda motorbikes called *x  m*, which means "hugger" bikes. These bikes are the main transportation in Vietnam. People put everything on these bikes. Anything they need to transport, they carried on these huggers. I saw two children put between a father and mother in the middle, or children dangle on the front across the bar. Men carried glass panes; others carry pots, statues, etc. Children as young as twelve or fifteen drove their friends on these huggers. The majority of them did not wear helmets or safety shoes. Many women protected their

hands from the sun by wearing long gloves, and they covered their mouths with cloths against the dust and smog. However, they still exposed themselves to the open elements: rain, cars, trucks, buses, bikes, rickshaws that clog up the deadly streets. You could hear beeps blaring from the huggers and honking from cars and trucks all around. No laws or regulations governed the directions where these motorbikes go. No laws regulated who goes and who stops. Vehicles, bikes, huggers, trucks, buses, cars, rickshaws, walkers, and the likes, rushed up and swerved to avoid hitting other vehicles. Professor Taylor told me that 100 people die daily from fatal car accidents in HCM City and 40 in Hanoi. Those who cross the streets do so at their own risk. I saw a man without legs wobble his way to the curb trying to cross the street.

The van dropped us off at the end road of an alley. Next to the alley was a large piece of land fenced off for construction. We walked through an alley to a one-room house no bigger than a standard American dining room. Several one-room houses stacked one on top of the other. A couple of feet behind the house belonged to another family who had a brown Shih Tzu tied to a fence. The dog barked non-stop as we walked in. Ms. Hân's mother greeted me and told me to sit. Almost immediately, Ms. Hân told me to wait there for her because she had to go take care of some businesses for her son.

Before Ms. Hân left with Hân, I looked at him closely for the first time. He was about 5'5 and of medium build. He had dark rough skin. There was nothing distinguishing about his looks, but he talked slower than everyone there. He did not look meet anyone's gaze for long. He and his wife had fearful looks in their eyes. They cowered away when I looked in their faces. No one talked to them. The only person who

spoke to Hieu was Ms. Hân who sat at the table with him. Ms. Hân had arranged to have the 280-pound goods taken to Hieu's apartment. A bony old man without teeth and shoes came to pedal the goods to Hieu's house. Ms. Hân left me at her mother's home because she said she had many errands to run before going to Hanoi. She had to distribute goods that Vietnamese-Americans gave her to give to their relatives. She had to get clothes for Thảo and her, and she had to attend to her son. I had six hours to wait before we were to get on the plane for Hanoi.

I sat on a wooden platform that served as a bed, a table, and a sitting place. To my right was an altar of Ms. Hân's father, and five feet away was a bedroom. The walls were painted an aqua blue like my parents' house, but this was nothing like my parents' house in America. The cleanest part of the house was a glass chest used as an altar. A picture of the father was placed in the center surrounded by brass candles and fruits.

Vân handed me a set of her clothes and insisted that I go bathe, though I told her that I was fine. She told me my jeans were too heavy and uncomfortable. She was very persistent and smiled as she handed a washcloth. Out of the whole family, she was the prettiest. She had light skin, her eyebrows were perfectly arched, her teeth were straight and white, she wore makeup, and she was thin. She asked me when we were riding in the van from the airport if I went outside a lot because my skin was dark. Vietnamese people value light skin as a mark of beauty. I told her yes.

I relented, allowing Vân to walk me to the backend of the house. I walked past two partitioned rooms. The bathroom was the same place where the dishes were washed and set. It was an open room with no roof. The floor of the bathroom was wet and muddy. There was a hole in the corner to serve as a toilet. It was the only water drain for

the whole house. There was no toilet paper, only water from a faucet. A plastic bucket and a pot were set underneath the faucet already filled with brown water. Vân came in just as I was changing and handed me shampoo to wash my hair. I just got my hair wet, changed clothes, and walked out. Her clothes fit tightly around my body. I knew right away that I had to go to a hotel room when we returned to HCM City from the north. I am an American who has to piss, shit, and bathe in a nice clean room. I have to sleep on clean sheets, and I have to have a private room where no one can walk in on me when I am in the bathroom.

Vân made me coffee and asked if I wanted to eat something. I told her that I would wait for Ms. Hân who finally came back about two hours later. Ms. Hân complained to Vân and her mother that the motorbike that Tùng bought for her son was a piece of junk. Tùng was the oldest son next to Ms. Hân who was the eldest. She had called Tùng two days before her arrival to Vietnam and asked him to buy a motorbike for her son and have it insured and licensed for her when she got there. The motorbike cost Ms. Hân \$600. H%u was dissatisfied with his mother's gift; he told her that the motor was not a genuine Honda. He wanted something more expensive, and he wanted his uncle to return the bike and genuine Honda everything. Ms. Hân's mother begged her not to exchange the hugger, fearing that it would hurt Tùng's feelings, but Ms. Hân did not relent. She told Tùng that what he bought for her son was a piece of junk.

When H%u's family walked into the house, no one spoke to them or looked at them. Cúc had on tight jeans, a spaghetti strap shirt, and high open-toe heels. She was a very pale looking girl whose neck and front chest had bite marks. She dangled her daughter as if she were a doll. When her daughter peed on the floor, she wiped it up with

the girl's underwear and said softly, "I told you not to do that. Why did you do that?" Then she put the underwear in her purse. No one tried to help her clean up. The family ignored Ms. Hân, Hân, and his family. Cúc crouched down and bowed, hardly saying anything, perhaps because she knew no one in the family liked her husband.

Ms. Hân told me to stay at her mother's house because she had to go do yet more business with Hân. She was going to look at where he was currently living, which was with his wife's parents. She wanted to rent him a new apartment for his family. When Ms. Hân left, Vân came out to talk to me about what I thought about Vietnam so far. Then, Tùng came back with Ms. Hiêu's hugger and parked it in the living room of the house. It was a nice maroon Honda which looked like new to me. Tùng sat on the platform and talked to me. Vân left and went to the back.

"If I had known there would a day such as today, I would have left V»ng TÀu thirty years ago. I was a translator for the Americans. The day Saigon fell, my commander told me to get on the plane. I asked him if the plane would ever fly back to Vietnam. He said never. I was twenty something and alone in VÛng TÀu. I had to get back to Saigon to see my parents no matter what. If only that officer would have lied and said the plane was heading to Saigon, I would be in America right now and would never be as bad off as I am today. I work at 3:00 in the morning, distributing papers to other local vendors. My wife and I make \$80 to 100 a month. When we don't make enough, we starve," Tùng said. He was about 53, but he looked old. He smoked and sat scrunched up. "Did you have to go to reeducation camps?" I asked.

"I burned all my paperwork that linked me to my job as a translator. I lied and told them that I worked for my father. I knew English, but I had to act like I was

illiterate. I tried to escape the country in 1986 but was captured and sent to four years in prison. The prison condition was so horrible and grotesque,” Tùng said as he shook his head. “I’ve lived under two government systems, Republican and Communism. You can tell which one is better by the number of people trying to leave the country. I would leave immediately if I had a chance, but there is no chance. My brother missed leaving under the political prisoner’s program by two weeks. He was let go two weeks before serving three years, a criterion to leave the country through the H.O. program.

Tùng walked me outside to the fence where they are doing construction. “You see this site. This used to be the archbishop’s place of residence, Ngô ãnh DiEm’s brother. They tore it down to build a new shopping mall,” he pointed and gave me a cynical smile. “It will be good for us because it make the value of our home go up.”

Behind their house were the zoo and a museum. I told him that I would love to visit these places when I returned from the north. The rain poured down heavily. His other brothers who lived there came in and out of the house, while his mother and sister stayed in the back. Tùng left to go to his home, which was about ten miles away, and Vân came out to talk to me. Vân told me that they had to build a cement dam at their door or they were flooded every time it rained. Vân told me to come to the back room, lie on the bed, and watch TV with her. I sat for a little while, but I got uncomfortable and went to the living room. I still had nearly four hours to kill before we departed for Hanoi. I asked Vân if she could take me to a local barber to get my haircut. I had let my hair get too long.

Vân drove me on her hugger. I was terrified sitting in the back. It was raining, so she draped her raincoat over my head. It was dark. The only light came from where my

left foot rested. Puddles of wet water hit against my feet. I saw wheels drive by and heard huggers beeping. We finally stopped at a barbershop. The owner of the shop told me I needed to condition and straighten my hair. I told him I just want a haircut. Vân said it would make my hair very soft and delicate. A group of young girls gathered and told me that I need to get my hair conditioned. He said it will take three hours to get it done. I looked at Vân who told me it was fine with her. I did not want to wait at Ms. Hân's house, so I agreed to get my hair straightened and conditioned.

While the man was cutting my hair, I saw a long rat's tail underneath Vân's hugger. A woman took me to a chair to wash my hair. I leaned back and saw thousands of ants on the ceiling. Then a green lizard crawled across the wall. Three feet from where my foot rested was a man sleeping on the floor. I realized that businesses are worked out of people's homes. Restaurants, salons, vendors, welding shops, bike shops are partitioned halfway by a curtain or a wall separating the domestic space from the public space. You can stop to get a coke and peer into a family's life.

At the barbershop, I saw women balancing two baskets of goods on a long pole over their shoulders, children playing barefooted in the streets, people walking, and huggers driving by. Vân stayed to talk to me. She told me that her eyes were cosmetically tattooed and so were her lips and eyebrows. If I was interested, she could take me to have it all done for less than \$50, but I told her that I was definitely not interested in that. She told me that her sister in California came back to Vietnam and had liposuction for \$1000. Because the barber knew that I was a *ViEt KiŠu*, a Vietnamese foreigner, he charged me \$15, which was more than what I had planned to spend. But it was worth getting away from the house for a little while.

When we came home, Ms. H nh was very upset that I was not home. I told her that I was not sure when she would be back. We ate a lunch that V n cooked. It was delicious duck eggs and caramel pork. I told Ms. H nh that I would get a hotel room when we came back from the north because I needed space to get my work done. We went to look at a hotel close by. I was glad to see that it was just as nice as a U.S. hotel but for only \$12 a night. I was so happy that I even put an \$8 deposit for them to reserve the room for me.

When we left for Tân S n Nh t, no one said much to me Perhaps they felt angry at me for taking Ms. H nh away. We rode the taxi out to the airport, and Ms. H nh did not want to pay for her share of the taxi, which was \$3. I had to ask her explicitly to contribute her share. She got very angry and told me that this trip to Hanoi was for my sake not hers. I could not believe that she was arguing over \$3. I told her that I would pay a hotel in Hanoi because it was too late when we arrived to go to Professor Taylor's home. I had planned to meet her face-to-face for the first time and talk to her about my dissertation.

Ms. H nh was not enjoying herself. Her mind was with her son. She had to spend more money on him than she had expected. He had asked for an additional \$400 more to buy a better motorbike. When she returned to HCM, she would have to rent a new place for him. She told me that before she left with me for Hanoi, he told her, "You are abandoning me again." She was anxious to get home. He called to tell her that he found an apartment. She told him to take it, that she would pay for it when she got back.

On the plane, I saw a tall white man dressed in a business suit who seemed to know where he was going. I asked him if he could suggest a hotel. His name was Alf.

He recommended the one he was staying which was the Polumbo Hotel on Nguyễn Du's street. It was \$22 and came with breakfast for two.

We slept well, and the breakfast was delicious. Ms. Hanh wanted to stay there the next night and then leave right away to return to HCM City. She thought that I would agree to pay for all the hotel costs. But I told her that I had already made plans to meet my professor and stay with her. Compared to HCM City, I found Hanoi less hectic, less painful, less rushed, and not so sad. People walked around, and the city seemed less clamorous. I realized that I could not count on Ms. Hân to show me around because she did not know where to go. The city had changed, and she never knew anything but the route to the prison. I asked Alf and later my professor about places to go. Ms. Hân and I went to the HỒ Chí Minh's Mausoleum, the HỒ Chí Minh Museum, the Revolutionary Museum, and the Opera House. Ms. Hân and I had mixed feelings about our visit to the Mausoleum. It was the coolest and cleanest place in all of Vietnam. From the steps up to the coffin and all around the body, the air conditioner was the cool crisp air comparable to an American shopping mall. It felt so refreshing. Guards dressed in clean white uniforms stood at attention guarded the dead body. Ms. Hân said it was sickening to see, and I agreed. But we had to see it. People came in busloads to see it. HỒ Chi Minh was everywhere in Vietnam. His presence was everywhere in Vietnam. On billboards, in post offices, and in all the museums, HỒ Chí Minh was Vietnam's god.

I asked the taxi driver where the prisoners used to be in Hanoi, and he took us to the Hoa Lo Prison. Ms. Hanh said that was where she used to visit her husband. The Hoa Lo Prison where Mr. Phúc stayed was now a 26-floor tower used for offices and a hotel. I insisted that we stop at it. The wall was preserved to honor patriots and

communist prisoners, but not the South Vietnamese political prisoners. In fact, none of Vietnam's museums and exhibits that I visited acknowledged the facts of the reeducation camps. I visited several well-known museums in north and south Vietnam, and there was no mention of the reeducation camps existing after 1975. I was beginning to see Communist lies and propaganda.

Ms. Hân and I found Professor Taylor's house in an alley away from the busy street vendors. We got to her home ten minutes early. When we saw her, she was riding the hugger wearing a helmet. Dr. Taylor was very much the person I imagined her to be, a tall beautiful Swedish woman with long hair. She wore kaki pants and a loose knit shirt. She parked her hugger inside the iron locked gate next to a girl's bike and a tricycle. Three raincoats hung against the iron wall opposite of the gate. Little girls' shoes scattered around the entryway which had a wooden stairway. On the right was the guest bedroom, and the left was a living room which further extended to the kitchen. A three-bedroom two-bathroom house, it resembled America's condos. Ms. Hân and I were curious about how much Dr. Taylor was paying for rent.

"\$550 not including bills," Dr. Taylor said. That was how much I was paying for my home in America. "Vietnam has changed a lot since I was here in 1991," Dr. Taylor said. "Everything the prisoners said about Vietnam, food coupons, inspectors, poverty was all true. People were starving not like now when people could afford giving their leftover food to dogs." She lived in Hanoi in 1991 when Vietnam was not a free market. She learned Vietnamese and did most of her research for her dissertation in her five years in Hanoi. She spoke Vietnamese fluently. Ms. Hân had never met a non-Vietnamese

who could speak Vietnamese, so she could not get used to talking to Dr. Taylor directly. She spoke to her through me.

Dr. Taylor graciously showed us our bedroom and offered to make us lunch, but we told her we had eaten. Ms. Hân and I brought mangoes and papayas as gifts. Dr. Taylor said that her daughters loved mangoes. They were not home because they were at school learning French, the language Professor Taylor spoke to her daughters. What an incredible courageous woman, I thought. I wondered how she managed to live in Hanoi when it was not an open society with businesses blooming everywhere. I became more in awe of after I met her two daughters, Lune (Moon-8 years old) and Soleil (Sun-2 years old). Dr. Taylor was not just an incredible scholar, she was an attentive and loving mother. After giving her daughters snacks, Dr. Taylor checked Lune's French homework. After Lune got comfortable with me, she showed me a Japanese picture book. She read the English translation from pages that her father typed for her. Her father, who was Danish, spoke Japanese, Thai, and other languages. Professor Taylor spoke French, English, Vietnamese, and Russian. This was a high-functioning cultured family, I thought. Soleil, a darling two-year old toddler with blond curls and big blue eyes crawled around her mother.

Ms. Hân went to sleep and spoke very little to the family. I could not have asked for a better hostess than Dr. Taylor, but she let me know upfront that she did not have much time to show me around the city. She was very busy with her children who came first in her life. She regulated their sleep, making sure they got full sleep. She saw to their education, their diet, and their health. When we went out to eat, she carried bug spray for her daughters. They have traveled across the world already. They stood worlds

apart from Thom, Ms. Hạnh's granddaughter. Thom learned to "dạ" all the adults around her. She learned to bow down to adults, but her future was left up to chance rather than to the care of her parents who invest in their children. Lune and Soleil were given the very best that their parents could give them, Thom drifted from one place of living to another depending on her parents' state. Vietnamese children should obey their parents and elders, but they have very few rights and resources.

"I feel for the Vietnamese," Dr. Taylor said. "My father fought in WWII. He was an Englishman. I try not to waste anything." Dr. Taylor was a wonderful host, but her care for her children came first.

In Vietnamese culture, the host focuses her whole attention on the guests. If the host has children, their needs became secondary. It was the save-face culture which dictates that hosts should go out of their way to entertain and ensure her guests' comfort. In a Vietnamese household, children suffer through the wants and desires of the adults. Dr. Taylor was not Vietnamese. Her care for her daughters was uninterrupted even though she had guests. She made sure her children slept, bathe, ate, and studied accordingly. When we went out to eat the first night we arrived, we left the restaurant early because the girls were getting sleepy. I could move in and out of both worlds, but Ms. Hạnh felt estranged from Dr. Taylor's family. She withdrew from everyone around her and seemed to resent Dr. Taylor. She did not speak to anyone.

Ms. Hân could not sleep. Her son had been in contact with her, asking her when she was going to come home. I woke up at about 2:00 a.m. to find her rummaging through her bags. She told me she wanted to leave. She hated Hanoi because she felt people were ripping her off. I told her to go ahead. She said she did not want to go to

Hu% with me anymore. She said that she was out of money, but I did not believe her. It was just a ploy to get me to pay the entire trip back to HCM City. I told her to leave, but she said that she would not because she felt responsible for me. I felt confident that I could handle traveling around Vietnam because it was open, safe, and easy to get around. She said she had my plane ticket, that I had to get back to HCM City with her. I agreed to leave Hanoi Tuesday on the train if she agreed to go to Hu%. She fussed about paying for her share of the hotel and taxi cost, but I told her that it was only fair. It was a miserable affair.

We rushed through Hu%, and stayed there for only one night. As soon as we got there, Ms. Hanh bought us a train ticket to HCM leaving the next morning. We went to the Thiên Mø Pagoda. When we got there, Ms. Hãnh left and went back to the hotel because there was a 25,000 ÇỒng entrance fee, which was about \$1.50. She became indignant and hateful at the idea of sightseeing. She felt like everyone was taking her for a ride, and that she would have no money left for her son. When we walked around the street close to our hotel in Hu%, she bargained with a vendor for a dress below half the marked price. The vendor tried so hard to sell the dress. Ms. Hanh pretended that she left her purse at another store and ran away. She was behaving like a miser, and I was embarrassed by her. Everywhere she traveled, no matter whom she was with, her son's plight plagued her.

I was starting to catch a cold and develop diarrhea. A nurse once told me that a cold was a sign that a person was unhappy; I was very unhappy. I could not believe we had traveled all this way to go home without seeing much of north Vietnam. I was hoping we would travel to SỒn La, the northern region, or the border of China where her

husband was also imprisoned. He had described tall mountains and trees. But nothing of scenery or connection to her husband's past was present in Ms. H  nh's mind. She did not care about anyone except her son who was suffering in HCM City. She felt guilty for taking a vacation with me.

The train ride from Hu   to HCM was 20 hours. The bathroom situation was so miserable. When the train moved, shit that went down the hole shot right out of the hole onto the seats and your buttocks. When there were no toilet papers, you had to wash yourself with a cup of water. It was so miserable and filthy. I was so sick of Vietnam. When I asked Ms. H  nh for the Pepto Bismal from my bag, she told me she did not bring it because she forgot. At 3:00 a.m., the train came to a loud screeching halt. A young man rose from the floor and screamed. His scream woke everyone up.

Rumor was that the train wheels had broken on the last four cars. No one official told us anything. People began shoving, pushing, and screaming their way to the first-class cars. It didn't matter if an old woman or a woman with a child stood right in front of them, they would push their way through. People loaded their things in beds where first-class passengers slept. They sat at the end of beds where first-class passengers were sleeping. We rode the last four standing outside the walkway of the first-class cars. Ramen noodle soup was handed out to everyone. People ate and threw their paper bowls dripping with juice on the floor. When we got off and onto a taxi, Ms. H  nh said that our ride was nothing compared to the train rides she took with her children twenty years ago. "They didn't even have seats. Hi  u stood the whole time. This is much better compared to the time I took the trains to visit my husband," she said proudly. But I was

too angry to pay attention. I was mad because she had taken my medicine out of the bag. I was mad because she had rushed us back. I didn't care if she had it worse.

Friday at 11:00 a.m., I checked into the hotel I had left a room deposit with. I bathed and rested and felt almost human again. Vân brought me my fresh clothes and took my dirty clothes to wash. I was refreshed.

Vân asked, "How was the trip." I did not say much. "Was it fun?" she prompted. I did not reply; instead, I asked her what Ms. Hân was doing.

"What else? She's taking care of her son. She's getting him another apartment. Getting him a new refrigerator. Getting him a gas stove. Getting him a different motorbike. She's not happy with the one Tùng gave her," Vân said sarcastically. "She bought all these things for him the last time she was here. When she leaves, he sells everything."

I stayed quiet, not knowing whether or not I should tell Vân about my troubles with Ms. Hân.

"None of us are happy when she comes," Vân continued. "There are always problems when she comes. Problems with her son. She thinks he's sick and dying so she sends him \$600 each month. You know all the stuff she brought home. We saw nothing of it. In fact, I know nothing of what she brought. She took it all straight to her son. We, each of her brothers and sisters, got \$50. My mother she gave \$100, then borrowed the money back. That's how she treats us," Vân did not let up. "You wonder why we are so cold to her when she comes? We know she works hard. We told her that her son was a bad boy but she does not believe us. She thinks we speak ill of him for no reason. He

has no idea how hard his mother works to support him. Do you know what he does with the monthly \$600 U.S. dollars?"

"Heroin," I said. "He's an addict."

"Anyone with eyes can see through it except my sister," Vân said. "She's killing him faster by giving him so much money, while her family gets nothing. She is sending him to the death squad," Vân said.

"What do you mean?" I asked.

"The comrades know Hi%ou's history well. All he has to do is not pay them and he's through. If something happens to her to where she can't send him money, he will steal or not pay the officials to keep quiet. They will charge him with conspiracy to deal. Then, they will execute him. They execute people here for heroin distribution," Vân said. "They are lying low because they know his mother in America is sending him lots of money. The apartment she rented for him is an area known as a nest for heroine users, prostitutes, and gamblers. All the officials have to do is arrest a group of heroin users at his apartment, accuse him of being a drug dealer, then he'll be executed," Vân said assuredly. She was angry. I could tell she had wanted to talk to me before I left for Hanoi.

I had suspected drug addiction all along, but I never heard anyone come out and say it. Heroin is a big problem in Vietnam. I flipped through the *Thanh Niên* (Young Men) newspaper dated September 1, 2004, which reported that the Hanoi officials had captured two heroin dealers.

"What happened to his first wife and son?" I asked. I was fearful of what she would say, but I wanted to know.

“His first wife is dying of AIDS from her heroin days with him. She’s locked up in the reeducation camps. Their son has AIDS too. He’s about Betty’s [Thảo’s daughter] age. They won’t let Hân see him. The grandmother would rather see the boy die than let my sister sponsor him. That’s how much she hates Hi%ou. He has several wives not just these two,” Vân added emphatically.

I was horrified at the facts, but also at why Vân was telling me all this. Why was she betraying her own sister to a stranger?

“What about his current wife? Is she a heroin addict?” I asked, wanting to know the full story.

“No. She clings to him because she does not work. He has money. But any decent girl would have nothing to do with him. Her family is poor and needs the money, so they allowed the marriage. At first, she sent money to her son through us. But ever since we told her that her son was a drug addict, she stopped sending us the money. Instead, she sent it to other people, who report to her that her son is terminally ill. They get a portion of it. What do they care? They tell her he’s sick, and that’s what she wants to believe. They tell her what she wants to hear,” Vân said emotionally.

I was sad that Vân was telling me this, but I had come to Vietnam to get the story and I was getting it. Still, I felt like I was betraying Ms. Hân. I tried to find something good in the whole situation.

“Was it always this way? Hân told me how much fun she had when she came home the first time. What was that like?”

“That was when Hi%ou was in jail. He’s been in and out of jail five times. The first time she came home was good. The second time she came home, we buried our

father, and Hieu was still in jail. The last time she came home was a disaster. She yelled at everybody. Everybody was at fault because of her son,” Vân cried. “Now, she gives us nothing. Her own mother she gave \$100, then borrowed it right back.”

“But Hân spends no money on herself,” I said defending Ms. Hân. “She is selfless. She works so hard in America. She and her husband take no vacations. They don’t even go to the doctor when they are sick.”

“We know. So we don’t ask her for money. But she knows nobody except her son. She didn’t always used to be like that. It was only when her son went to jail that she lost her mind. She’s crazy. From the time he was 14 and been in jail, she has been driven out of her mind,” Vân said coldly.

“A heroin addict would sell his own mother for a hit,” someone once told me. As I listened to Vân, the words rang literally true. Vân had a burning hate for her sister. Ms. Hân knocked on the door. The sisters hardly said a word to each other. Vân left. She told me she would come back later to take me to Cù Chi. I wanted to visit the place where Mr. Phúc was captured.

Vân was the only person in her family who works for the state. A registered nurse, she was born in 1961 making her fourteen years old when Saigon fell. Her parents were street vendors, so she had a clean record. She earned a salary like those who work for the military, security, and public schools. She will get a pension when she retires. The state provided housing for her and allows her to go on tour around the country. Even with these benefits, she wanted to leave Vietnam.

“If you know an American who is interested in meeting a Vietnamese woman, please introduce him to me,” she said frankly. “I’m tired of Vietnamese men. They have

little to offer, yet they do the most outrageous things that are beneath words.” Van teared up and started crying. “I said nothing to my husband when I found out that he was living with a mistress. There was nothing for me to say. I went to the house where they lived. I introduced myself to the young woman. I told her I just wanted to see for myself if the rumors were true. Then I filed for a divorce. I never even argued with him. He’s a bus driver; I am a nurse. I never thought he would stoop so low.”

I asked Vân if she spoke English. She said no, but she told me she has always been good in school and can learn quickly if she has to. While I was in HCM City, Vân took me to nice expensive restaurants. Unlike Ms. Hân who ate at vendors’ partitioned homes, Vân ate at restaurants where hand towels were given for us to wipe our hands and faces. I imagined Vân in America shopping happily in the big malls. I told her I knew of no man who was interested in going overseas. It was strange for someone whom I did not know to ask for such a big favor. I was sure that I was not going to help Vân and wondered why her two sisters in America did not try to help her.

Vân took me to the Củ Chi tunnels after she shared with me the devastating struggles of her sister’s life. I was glad to get away from Ms. Hân. I wanted to see something about the war. Củ Chi tunnels were the place where Mr. Phúc was captured as well as where Ms. Hân went to visit him. Going through the tunnels, seeing the booby traps that were laid there, any person would know there was no way the Americans could have won the war. There was a whole way of living and fighting that took discipline, ingenuity, and sacrifice. When the guide took us to show us the different weapons used to kill Americans, I said, “It must be hard for Americans to come to the tunnels.” He told me that the prior week, a young American woman told him that her father was killed in

Củ Chi. She came to see where and how her father had been killed. She cried through the whole tour.

Vân took me to shopping malls and nice restaurants around HCM City. I paid for our expenses, gave her gas money for her hugger, and extra money for her time.

Ms. Hân asked if I was okay. She asked me if I wanted her to spend the night with me at the hotel. I said no. I wanted be alone. She told me to be careful and not allow Vân to take me to expensive places fearing that I would have no money to spend on myself. “You two are similar in age, so you have more in common,” Ms. Hân said, almost apologetically. “Age has nothing to do with it,” I said, still angry about how she had treated me in Hanoi.

On Sunday morning, I went to mass at the Notre Dame Cathedral with Vân. Later, we went to the old Republican Presidential Palace now called the Reunification Museum. It was so sad to imagine what the last days of April 1975 were like for the South Vietnamese. I thought of what Mr. SỰ said about seeing people climb the fences at the Palace and at the U.S. Embassy.

Later that day, I went to the Thì Nghĩ church with Ms. Hân. Outside the church, I saw a man who had no legs and fingers. We walked into a middle of a church service. Ms. Hân was telling me significant things about how much the church has changed. But I felt uncomfortable because people were trying to worship. I asked her if we could go. When we walked through the gates, a beggar was sitting outside the church. His thighs oozed out bloody pus. I saw a similar situation at the Fatima Church where Mary supposedly appeared in 1954, a man used a long metal canister to extend his leg. Sitting at the church corner, the man said nothing, shook his head in sorrow, and

reached out his hand. We did not stay in Hanoi long enough, so I did not see much begging there. All over Vietnam, I saw a lot of unemployed young men sitting on huggers begging people to hire them for rides. In Huế, HCM City, Cần Thơ, Châu Ninh, An Giang, and Biên Hòa people rushed up to me begging. People without arms or feet, old people, children, children pushing their parent's wheelchairs around all came up to beg for money. At Chợ Lớn, in the Chinese quarters, old women roaming the streets came up to where Tùng and I ate and begged for money. There, Tùng reiterated his family's painful story.

"You know the sad situation in my home," Tùng said. "You must wonder why my family is so cold to Hạnh. It is her son. He is a drug addict. Everyone tells her, but she does not listen. My father when he was alive told me to take him home and teach him. When he got out of jail, who did he stay with but me? My wife and I washed his clothes, cooked food for him, and waited at night to open the door for him when he came home. Yet, he told my sister that every pair of his pants I washed, I charged him 10,000 Đồng. When his mother came home, I asked in front of him and my whole family if I did that. He sat still. My sister told me it was just a rumor. Every dime that my sister gave my son, I gave it to him. I was the one who took that boy to my home when he got out of jail. He lived in my house. His mother sent him money, I gave it to him. I never shortchanged him a penny." Tùng said.

I asked him if he knew anything about Ms. Hạnh's grandson.

"I took him home from the hospital when he was just born. His mother was so sick. He was shaking from heroin withdrawal. I had to wrap him up. I raised him for

several months. Then he got better. But his grandmother came for him. I had no rights over him, so she took the boy. My sister has not forgiven me for that.”

Everywhere people were selling lottery tickets, earning .10 cents each booklet that they could sell. In one of the pagodas in Hu%, a young boy with a deformed hand held out his other hand to beg. I sensed that anything could happen in this bizarre country. I saw a man roll around in mud. I saw bike accidents where the victims laid on the side of the road unable to get up or get help. Outside my hotel, a woman, whose husband left her, laid on her elbows all day. She had lost her mind, so the state gave her a small corner of the house to live. Her children were sleeping in that corner when Ms. H nh opened their shade from the outside to show me.

On Monday, I called Ms. H nh at her son’s home to see what she was doing. H    answered the phone and said his mother was on her way to see me. I told him, “Hi    your father loves you. He wants you to have a good life. He wants you to do right by yourself. He does not express his feelings because he’s a man, but I know deep down inside he loves you.” Hi    remained silent over the phone. At last he said, “V ng,” which is a polite “yes.” Hi    knows his father wants nothing to do with him. V n told me that Hi   ’s father did not see him when he was in Vietnam about two years ago. What can you say to a man who was on a destructive path?

Ms. H nh came to see me and told me she had time now to spend with me because all her businesses were taken care of. V n was at work, so Ms. H nh and I walked to the zoo and the history museum inside it. These were places that Ms. H nh grew up in, so she knew it well. Her husband and she had often taken Hi    to this zoo when he was a child. She pointed to the school where she attended and where Hi    had

attended. “Hi%ou was a naughty boy since he was a little boy,” Ms. H nh said. “He ditched school, came to the zoo, and taunted a monkey, who bit him. The teacher rushed him to the hospital where he was treated. They yelled at both of us when I picked him up from school.”

Indeed, the zoo was an awful place. I dreaded going to the zoo because I had seen how dogs roam the streets in Vietnam, spotted and diseased. In Hanoi, several restaurants advertised cheap dog meat. The trees, flowers, and hedges were magnificent, but the animals were badly treated. Two large crocodiles laid on top of one another next to a small puddle of water. Monkeys were kept alone in small cages. A tiger was pacing aimlessly around in his small den. The animals of the zoo were a metaphor for the people, I thought. They lived in a beautiful landscape but were caged and badly treated.

Later that day, Ms. H nh took me to her father-in-law’s house. When we parked the hugger, a woman came up to us. She was dressed in a black sweater and black pants. She wore a hat, and carried a gold purse. She held out her hand. When I looked at her face, half of the bottom of her nose and mouth did not exist. She did not have half a nose or a mouth. Her cheeks rose, so I could tell she was trying to smile. “I was burned in a fire,” she said. We gave her some money, and parked the hugger. Ms. H nh told me, “She did not get burned. She was a young woman in her teens when she dated a high-ranking commander. The commander’s wife got jealous. She hired a hit man who threw acid on this woman’s face. That stuff happened all the time when I was growing up.” Seeing the woman who tried to smile at me, horrified me. She seemed to have come out of nowhere. That night, I woke up in a nightmare. I dreamt that I was sleeping in my bed with my pet dog Misty, a white Shih Tzu. When I touched her head, boils grew out

from her white hair. She clawed me because she was in so much pain. I woke up in sweat and fear. I felt like everything in South Vietnam was oozing with pain. Everywhere I went I saw pain.

Ms. H nh came home in 2001 to bury her father in B n G i, about twenty miles outside of HCM City. She wanted to visit her father’s grave. She asked me to split the cost of the gas. “Fine,” I said.

We got lost to trying to find the burial site. When we found it, I saw Ms. H nh’s dignity, worth, and humanity in the way she treated her father’s coffin. She flew back to Vietnam in 2001, bought two burial sites for her parents, had a coffin built for her father, and prepared for her mother’s final resting place. It cost her \$600 for the coffin, the inscription, and the picture. The body was buried above ground. Beautiful small maroon tiles covered the entire rectangular shaped casket. Above the casket was an overhead cover. Ms. H nh wiped the dust off the coffin and hugged it. We sat on the coffin and talked. A family close sang songs and ate food on the coffin. Their coffins and mausoleums are elaborate. They build a house or fortress around their coffins. Near Ms. H nh’s father’s coffin was a coffin of a young girl which was decorated like a house. Tung told me that he pays a man \$8 a month to keep his father’s coffin clean. He said no matter if he starved, he would still pay the \$8. T ng makes \$80 a month, and 10% of it goes to his dead father. Although I respected a need to honor his dead father, I did not see a need to put oneself out for the dead. The Vietnamese honor their dead more so than Americans.

On the way home, Ms. H nh saw a sign that said school for the blind and deaf. She wanted to visit it, so we went in. The school served as a school for some and an

orphanage for others. The nun told us there were 320 students who live on the campus. The state gives the school \$9 per child each month for the orphans. Those with parents have to pay that much to keep their child there, and many could not afford it. Some families, she said, were cursed with two or three deaf children. They have no other choice then to send their children here because they have to work to earn a living. The sisters have buried children who live there until their deaths. These were children who were left from the war. Her oldest student was a sixty-year-old woman whom she buried not too long ago. The children weave and do needlepoint for a living. When we visited one of the classes, the children stood up, folded their arms, and bowed. The children were treated very well by the sister and teacher, and seemed happy to be there. It was refreshing to see something good happening for children who otherwise would not have a chance. Ms. HânH bought a framed picture of a needlepoint. Then, she was ready to spend time with her family.

I took a three-day, two-night trip to the Mekong Delta with Vân who asked for time off to accompany me. People live out of small wooden boats on the delta. The tour guide said, "They eat, sleep, marry, and make babies in the boats." They bathed in the rivers where shit, piss, and dirt congregate. Companies pay local people to scoop up the sand from the bottom, causing erosion of the land. The flood of 2000 killed 3000 kids who had thought it was a lot of fun to play in the rain. The guide said the people in the deltas have more TV antennas than there are babies. "T.V. antennas make less babies. That is good," he said sincerely. The tides were high when we landed in CẦN THƠ. The streets were flooded up to our knees. The walk to the hotel was dreadful because we knew what the streets were like. I carried my tennis shoes, hiked up my jeans, and waded

through the water. I heard Michael Jackson's "Beat It" song blaring from one of the houses that we passed. I thought it was strange and sad at the same time.

When we got to the hotel, Vân and I washed our feet immediately. She said it was truly disgusting, and I agreed. Throughout the trip, she remained aloof to other foreign travelers whom the Vietnamese call Western backpackers. At night, she told me stories about the plight of divorced women in her district. None of the stories, though, was as painful to me as that of her nephew. I realized that I had very little in common with Vân. She remained quiet and to herself during the long four-hour boat ride and three-hour bus ride back. In my native country of 81 million people, I felt lonely and homesick. I wished that someone in America was with me to share in the experience. America is my real home, I thought. There lived all the people whom I love. I thought about the saying which I used to detest, "Home is where the heart is."

The day before I left was a Saturday and Tùng was off from work. He wanted to take me to Tây Ninh to the Cao ãi Temple. I wanted to go there because Mr. Thiết told me that if he returned to Vietnam, he wanted to settle in the central area near Tây Ninh. Vân was very upset because she said there was nothing to see. She preferred that I go shopping with her, but I told her that I wanted to see the temple. I had a friend in Oklahoma City who gave us the address to his brother's house. "If you get lost," my friend told me, "just ask anyone where Ms. Nhãn's house is and they'll tell you. My father is known in all of Tây Ninh." It was just as she had said. Tùng and I did get lost and were told to go to the ceramic and tile shop. The back of the house was a beautiful two-story house with a wooden staircase and a full American standard bathroom. A young boy was playing video in his bedroom. An and his wife greeted us. They had

been expecting us for weeks now. By Vietnamese standards, he has done very well. Tùng asked him how he acquired his business. The man snickered, “My father owned most of properties in Tây Ninh. He owned a bike shop and became wealthy. The Communists took all our properties and left my sisters and I our homes. There’s a school down the street which used to belong to us. My sister rents out her home to the barbers and lives upstairs. We make enough to get by. They don’t buy any of my tiles for state use. I sell strictly to the private sectors.” An and Tùng got along very well. They agreed that if the state thought An owned too much, they could take it away from him at any time. An took us to the Cao Dai temple where his father’s funeral had taken place the month before ago.

The Cao ãi temple was an incredible work of art. The columns were vibrant, colorful, and majestic. I asked the spiritual leader if there was any literature that I could buy. She told me that the government forbids them to publish prayer books, history books, and religious books. She said they were not even supposed to teach Cao ãi religion. The temple extends to smaller temples and living quarters that seemed peaceful and respectful. There was not the begging or desperation in Tây Ninh that there were in other parts of South Vietnam. The priests and sisters fast eating mostly rice and potatoes. They willingly give of their meals to strangers.

On our way back, torrential rain flooded the streets, and we had to pull to a gas station. We were drenched and it was freezing. I was worried that Ms. Hãnh would be mad at us for being late to the dinner party. She had invited her whole family and me to a dinner the night before our departure. She asked me to contribute money for the dinner. I said sarcastically that it wasn’t much of an invitation if I was asked to pay. I gave her

the money, but I could not help commenting. We were late getting back to Ms. Hân's dinner party, but she was happy to see us. She ordered an extra plate of egg rolls for us. I told her that Tùng and I had nothing to eat all day, but that was all she ordered for us. I knew where all the money was going, and the situation angered me. Her son and his family were not at the dinner party. He did not want to face his uncles and aunts. Văn was not there. I had given her \$20 for taking me all over the place. Ms. Hân told me that was too much, so she told me to tell Văn to give Tùng half of it. I did.

At the family dinner, not much was said. Ms. Hân was asked by one of her brothers to give money to his son, and she did so. Afterwards, Ms. Hân took me to her son's apartment. It was in the dead-end alley. There was a king-size mattress on the floor of the apartment. Next to it was a glass case which contained shampoos, soaps, toothpastes, toothbrushes, children's plastic watches and such that Ms. Hân had bought. Ms. Hân told me that many of the items had already been sold. Though she tried to persuade me to spend the night there. I insisted going back to my hotel.

The next morning, I could not wait to leave the country. Ms. Hân told me leaving Vietnam was different than coming. "We go alone. No one takes us," she said sadly. At the airport, Hiou and his family, Tùng, and another brother were there. "Be well. Remember what I said about your father," I told Hiou. They did not hug their mother. Tùng gave me a farewell shake. "If there is anyway you could contact my former commander to help me get out, I would be so grateful," he said. "I can't promise anything, but I will try."

Ms. Hân and I went through the check-in counter of China Airlines. Hiou and his family left. When we went through the baggage counter, it was the same 280-pound

maximum capacity drag only worse. We were asked to reduce the weight of our carry-on by half. Ms. H nh spent 45-minutes repacking all our things. We were asked by an airline employee to please go to a corner, to get out of people’s way. When she opened the luggage, I saw about 30 packages of coffee, boxes of candies, dry shrimps, dry squid, and other food items. On the plane, Ms. H nh bought 20 boxes of 555 brand cigarettes. When we landed in Los Angeles, she called her nephew to come get the goods she had brought back. She told her sister in L.A. to sell the cigarettes for her and send the money to her mother because Ms. H nh owed her mother some money.

Ms. H nh never stops working to make an extra dollar here and there for her son. She takes the items she gets on her trip to Vietnam and sells them to people at her work. She told me she would sell the coffee packages for \$4 a bag. The cigarettes were \$20 a carton. I decided to buy nothing from her. I remember what Th o said to me one day, “The only way my mother would ever be free is if my brother dies. His death would set her free.” Then I remember what T ng said about Hi u’s life, “The way he’s living, he won’t last but two or three years max.” My son, Minh, whom I sent to another foster when he was fourteen, was in no way as bad off as Hi u. I thought about what would have happened to my family had my parents not left Vietnam. My father would have died in the reeducation camps. My mother would have tried to escape and been thrown in jail. My sister Chi n, who was schizophrenic, may have been entitled to a subsidized shack. I would have been one of the children running up to people begging them to buy a lottery ticket. My older brother, who had lung surgery when he was thirteen, would surely have died in Vietnam. Even with all our traumas with my father, we were better off in America than we would have been had my parents stayed in Vietnam.

I now know from first-hand experience what my mother did for me when she left her land, home, family, friends, and everything she had known to go to Vũng Tàu to escape Vietnam. She saved me from a life of poverty, ignorance, disease, and cruelty. The government of Vietnam subjected its people to horrendous living situations. There was no middle class in Vietnam. There's only the very wealthy and everybody else. Everybody else lived a hand to mouth, day-by-day kind of existence. If you did not work, they starve. If they got sick and could not afford hospital treatment, they stayed sick. Despite the Communist rhetoric of unity, independence, and freedom, it was capitalism at its worst. It was buying and selling minus any social programs. The system was rotten and corrupt, so it trickles down to a people who must work so hard just to get by. As the barber in HCM City told me, "They say a wealthy country makes for a stronger people. Here it is a wealthy country makes for a weaker people." He meant that the few wealthy comrades who rule the country make everyone else poor. There were wealthy business people building hotels and selling real estates. They make up the wealthy few.

I have been having nightmares since my return from Vietnam. One night, I dreamt that I was still sailing the boat around the Mekong Delta and seeing these small boats where entire families lived. I was one of the children living on a boat. I woke up sweating. I was sick for a week with a cold and stomach problems.

I went to visit my mother after my return. My mother said, "Are you cured of Vietnam forever?"

"Yes, I am cured for good. I never have to see it again," I said somberly.

"Forever?" she asked surprised that at last we had agreed on something.

Mr. Thiệt guided me to the infernos of the Vietnam War. He told me he had gone to the deep far-reaching regions of hell and emerged. He led me to other Vietnamese who shared their hell, their triumph, their disappointments, and their hopes. I became less afraid and ashamed of the Vietnamese. I became less fearful and ashamed of myself.

Appendix 1

Thờ Cho Phép

Công Trình Nghiên-Cửu Bảo Tr® Của Trữ©ng ãi H†c University of Oklahoma

LuÆn Án Ti%on Sĩ Môn Anh-Væn tã ÇŞ: “Trong thÀm lÿng, tôi tranh ÇẤu: Ti%ong nói của nh»ng ngŰ©i tù chính trĭ MiŞn Nam ViEt Nam” (“In Silence, I Struggle: Voices from the South Vietnamese Political Prisoners”)

Giáo SŰ Ti%on Sĩ Robert Con Davis-Undiano:

Chũ Tĭch H¶i ãi Ông Giám

Khảo

Nguyễn Thĭ Mai Thúy

NgŰ©i Thĭc HiEn Dĭ Án

Nguyễn ThiEt

Phø Tá

Møc Çích của công trình nghiên-cửu này là Ç< Çúc k%ot sĭ tranh ÇẤu của nh»ng ngŰ©i tù chính trĭ MiŞn Nam ViEt Nam sau ngày 30 tháng 4 năm 1975. PhÀn chẤt liEt sĕ ÇŰ©c Çúc k%ot bệng sĭ phŌng vĂn có thâu bệng và sĕ ÇŰ©c ghi lăi, dĭch sang ti%ong Anh Ç< dùng vì%ot bản luÆn án ti%on sĩ. LuÆn án có th< ÇŰ©c in thành sách sau này.

Sĭ tham dĭ của Bác trong công trình nghiên-cửu là hoàn toàn tĭ nguyEn. BẤt cŰ lúc nào Bác không ÇŌng sĕ ti%op tọc tham dĭ cu¶c phŌng vĂn và công trình nghiên-cửu thì Bác có quyŞn chẤm dŰt và sĕ không có gì trª ngăi hoÆc khó khăn cho Bác và cháu không có quyŞn có phãn Ùng gì ÇŌi vŕi Bác. Cháu sĕ giao lăi cho Bác nh»ng cuŌn bệng, bản sao phÀn chẤt liEt và bản phiên dĭch Bác Çã cho cháu. Bác có quyŞn yêu cẦu cháu không ÇŰ©c in m¶t phÀn hoÆc tẤt cả bài n%ou Bác thẤy không Çúng vŕi sĕ của Bác. N%ou bản luÆn án này ÇŰ©c in thành sách sau này, thì cháu sĕ vì%ot thŌ thông báo cho Bác. Bác có quyŞn yêu cẦu cháu không in ÇŰ©c phÀn phŌng vĂn Bác trong quyn sách thì cháu sĕ làm theo sĕ Bác.

Sĭ tham dĭ của Bác trong cu¶c phŌng vĂn này có th< làm Bác nhĕ lăi nh»ng năm Bác bĭ tù Çày và cŰng có th< ành hŰng Ç%on trắng thái tâm thÀn của Bác khi%on Bác cãm thẤy vô v†ng, buŌn chán, cô ÇŌn và tŰc giÆn. Vì vÆy cŌ quan St. Joseph’s Family Counseling Center tại 1501 N. Classen, Oklahoma City, OK 73106 số ÇiEn thoăi (405) 524-0969 sãn sàng giúp Bác gi» cho trắng thái tâm thÀn ÇŰ©c thæng bệng. ãi là cŌ quan chuyên giúp các cá nhân và gia Çĩnh bĭ rŌi loạn tâm thÀn. CŌ quan có ngŰ©i thông dĭch ti%ong ViEt n%ou Bác cẦn.

N%ou Bác cẦn hŌi ÇĩŞu gì liên quan Ç%on cu¶c phŌng vĂn và bản luÆn án ti%on sĩ của cháu, Bác có th< liên lăc:

1. Office of Research Administration: (405) 325-4757
2. Giáo SŰ Ti%on Sĩ R. C. Davis-Undiano: (405) 325-4531
3. Nguyễn Thúy: (405) 946-8304

Tên của Bác ghi trong thŰ cho phép này sĕ ÇŰ©c cháu ghi trong bản luÆn án ti%on sĩ và trong sách sau này.

Tôi tên là _____.

Tôi CỜng ỉ cho phép cô Nguyễn Thúy phỜng vẮn tôi và s° dờng nh»ng CịSũ do tôi cung cẤP vắ nh»ng nặm tôi bỈ giam trong các trắ tẶp trung của C¶ng Săn ViỆt Nam C< vi%ot bản luẬn án ti%on sĩ của cô tặ a CỖ: “Trong ThẦm LẶng, Tôi Tranh ãẤu: Ti%ong Nói Cũa Nh»ng NgỰ©i Tù Chính TrỈ MiSĩn Nam ViỆt Nam.” (“In Silence, I Struggle: Voices from the South Vietnamese Political Prisoners.”)

Tôi CỰ©c cô Nguyễn Thúy cho bi%ot rờng bản luẬn án ti%on sĩ sẽ CỰ©c CỆ trình lên H¶i ãỜng Giám Khảo trỰ©ng ãải H†c University of Oklahoma và sẽ có th< CỰ©c in thành sách sau này.

Tôi hoàn toàn hi<u rõ rờng cu¶c phỜng vẮn này có th< làm tôi nhắ lắ nh»ng nặm tôi bỈ tù Cày và cỪng có th< sẽ ănh hỰ°ng C%on trắng thái tâm thẦn của tôi khi%on tôi cảm thẤy vô v†ng, buồn chán, cô CỖn và tỪc giẬn. Cô Thúy Cặ cho tôi bi%ot chỉ ti%ot vắ cỖ quan St. Joseph’s Family Counseling Center, chuyên giúp C< vắ tâm thẦn cho cá nhân và gia Cình.

Tôi hoàn toàn hi<u rõ rờng cu¶c phỜng vẮn này sẽ CỰ©c thâu bặng C< cô Thúy dùng vi%ot bản luẬn án ti%on sĩ của cô và sẽ có th< in thành sách sau này. BẮt cỪ lúc nào tôi cỪng có quySĩn yêu cẦu cô Thúy không CỰ©c in m¶t phẦn hoẶc tẮt cả bài phỜng vẮn. Cô Thúy phải giao lắ cho tôi nh»ng cuỐn bặng Cặ thâu trong bu°i phỜng vẮn và không CỰ©c có bẮt cỪ phắn Ủng gì CỖi vớì tôi.

Tôi CỜng ỉ cho phép cô Thúy CỰ©c ghi tên tôi ghi trong thỜ cho phép trong C< in vào bản luẬn án ti%on sĩ của cô và trong sách CỰ©c xuẮt bản sau này.

Tôi hoàn toàn CỜng ỉ vớì tẮt cả nh»ng Cị<m ghi trong lá thỪ cô Nguyễn Thúy gặ cho tôi và trong thỪ cho phép này.

KS tên _____

Ngày

Consent Form

Research Project Supported by the University of Oklahoma

Dissertation Title: “In Silence, I Struggle: Voices from the Former the South Vietnamese Political Prisoners” (“Trong ThẦm LẶng, Tôi Tranh ãẤu: Tiếng Nói Của Nh»ng NgŰi Tù Chính Trĩ MiŚn Nam ViỆt Nam”)

Dr. Robert Con Davis-Undiano
Nguyễn Thị Mai Thúy
Mr. Nguyễn ThịCt

Ph.D. Committee Chair & Faculty Sponsor
Principal Investigator
Co-Principal Investigator

The purpose of this study is to collect stories and narratives from the experiences of former South Vietnamese political prisoners in the concentration camps after April 30, 1975. The stories and narratives will be collected through oral interviews which will be tape recorded, transcribed, and translated into English to be used as part of a Ph.D. dissertation, which may be published as a book.

Your participation in this project is voluntary. You may withdraw at anytime in the process without any consequences at all. All the materials including tapes and written materials will be returned to you. You have the final editorial right to exclude any part of the written product, regardless of the methods by which you choose to narrate your stories. The dissertation may be published as a book. If this happens, you will be notified in writing. You have the right to withdraw your story at this time so as to not be included in the book if you choose.

Your involvement in this project may expose you to possible risks. The questioning may bring to mind painful events. You may relive traumatic experiences that may cause you to feel helpless, depressed, alone, and angry. Because of this risk, you are encouraged to contact the St. Joseph’s Family Counseling Center at 1501 N. Classen, Oklahoma City, OK 73106, phone (405) 524-0969. The counseling center provides family and individual counseling assisted by a Vietnamese interpreter.

You may contact the Office of Research Administration at (405) 325-4757, Dr. R.C. Davis-Undiano at (405) 325-4531, and Thúy Nguyễn at (405) 613-7708.

The name that you provide in this form will be the name you wish to be used in the dissertation.

My name is _____.

I agree to give Nguyễn Thúy permission to use the collected material regarding my experiences in the Vietnamese Communist concentration camps to be submitted to the dissertation committee as part of her Ph.D. dissertation at the University of Oklahoma entitled: “In Silence, I Struggle: Voices from the Former the South Vietnamese Political Prisoners” (“Trong Thầm Lặng, Tôi Tranh ãẤu: Tiếng Nói Của Nh»ng NgŰ©i Tù Chính Trĩ MiŚn Nam ViĆt Nam”)

Ms. Thúy has informed me that the dissertation will be submitted to the Ph.D. dissertation committee at the University of Oklahoma. The dissertation may be published as a book afterwards.

I understand that my involvement in this project may expose me to possible risks. The questioning may bring to mind painful events. I may relive traumatic experiences that may cause me to feel helpless, depressed, alone, and angry. Ms. Thúy has given me adequate information about St. Joseph’s Family Counseling Center. I understand that I may withdraw at anytime without any retaliation against me.

I understand that the interviews will be used by Ms. Thúy to write her dissertation which may be published as a book. I have the right to exclude any portion of the interview. Ms. Thúy will return all tapes and written materials to me without retaliation against me.

I give Ms. Thúy permission to use the name indicated on this form to be used in the dissertation.

I completely agree with all the criteria set forth in the letter and in this form.

Signature

Date

July 31, 2001

Nguyen Thi Mai Thuy
University of Oklahoma
Ph.D. Candidate

Dear Mr. :

My name is Nguyen Thi Mai Thuy. I am currently a student at the University of Oklahoma.

In 1975, my family and I fled to America when I was eight years old. It has been twenty-five years since we have lost our country and yet still I do not have a clear understanding about our country, Việt Nam. This incomprehension has been a source of pain and sadness for me; nonetheless, I continue to search for knowledge and understanding of the Vietnamese people and our culture and history.

Two years ago, I came to know Mr. Trần Chung when I taught English to the Vietnamese refugees at Catholic Charities. From Mr. Trần, I became more aware of the tragic and sorrowful realities of our country in the days before April 1975 and in the days after. Solely through Mr. Trần, I came to know the truth about concentration camps instituted by the Vietnamese Communists regime under the guise of “Reeducation Camps.”

This truth has moved me greatly and has inspired me to pursue this conviction: to speak out about the whole truth about your struggles and the struggles of others like you who were interned by the Communists in the dehumanizing concentration camps; to speak about your struggle against hunger, against the cold winter days, against inhumane oppression by the Communist guards; to speak about your struggle against your aloneness in the silent longing for the people you love; to speak about your struggle against the temptation offered by the guards to become their informant.

Your struggles required great courage and demanded extraordinary strength to endure the non-stop day to day, moment-to-moment torture and deprivation. When you were released, and after you were allowed to immigrate to America, you continued in your struggle to adapt to the new life.

I propose to write my Ph.D. dissertation entitled “In Silence, I Struggle: Voices from South Vietnamese Prisoners” [“Trong Thăm Lặng, Tôi Tranh ãu: Tiếng Nói Của Những Người Tù Miền Nam Việt Nam”]. This dissertation will be a collection of voices of the political prisoners of the defunct South Vietnamese government, voices that have been up to now virtually been unheard. The voices speak not only to Vietnamese readers alone but also for non-Vietnamese Americans and to readers worldwide. I am sure that readers do not know the full account of the South Vietnamese political prisoners. I have submitted this proposal to the dissertation committee for their approval.

The completion and realization of this dissertation is only made possible with your help. Your experiences form the primary source of material for this dissertation. Mr. Trần encouraged and helped me develop a set of questions that will be given to you as a guide. In case you do not wish to write about your experiences, I will give you cassette tapes for you to record at your convenience. If you wish for Mr. Trần and I to interview you instead of the other two options, we would be happy to accommodate you.

Before submitting the written work to the dissertation committee, I will send you the transcribed and translated written work for your review. If you wish to exclude any part of the written work. In accordance with the University's policy, I ask that you please read and sign the Consent Form which gives me the permission to gather the narrative you have given me for the dissertation. I include this in the attachment.

I hope the voices of the South Vietnamese political prisoners collected in the dissertation will portray the reality of your struggles rather than the often distorted representations of books written about the Vietnamese Communist concentration camps and about the and about the South Vietnamese people.

I hope you will be able to help me with this project. Thank you very much.

Sincerely,

Nguyen Thi Mai Thuy

Ngày 31 Tháng 7

Nguyễn Thị Mai Thúy

Kính ThÛa B́́c:

Cháu tên Nguyễn Thị Mai Thúy, hiện là sinh viên trường năm Hởc University of Oklahoma.

Năm 1975, cháu theo gia đình vượt biên, và lúc còn nhỏ, cháu chỉ có tám tuổi. Hiện nay cháu đã hơn 25 năm trôi qua kể từ ngày mất nước mà cháu vẫn chưa hề quên và chưa bao giờ quên quê hương của mình. Sự hiện diện không quên của cháu trên quê hương là một sự thật rất buồn cười với cháu. Tuy nhiên cháu vẫn cố gắng tìm kiếm quê hương dân tộc, văn hóa, và lịch sử Việt Nam.

Cách đây hơn hai năm, lúc cháu đầy Anh-Văn cho người mẹ nỡ rời Việt Nam tại Hội USCC, cháu cũng bị bắt Bắc Chung Tràn. Tờ Có cháu bị Cháu hiều nhiều hơn và nhúng bị chọn chuyển Cầu buồn của Át nữ để chúng ta vào nhúng ngày trở về và sau tháng 4-1975. Nhiều biệt qua Bắc Chung Tràn, cháu bị bắt Cũng chính quyền Cộng Sản Việt Nam Cả ngợi danh các trải từ tập trung khổ sai là “Trở lại Hội Tập Cải tạo.”

Sự thật này làm cháu xúc động và Cả Cha cháu mong muốn quy luật Cảnh: Có là phải nói lên tất cả mặt sự tranh Cháu của Bác và những người cùng cảnh như Bác trong suốt thời gian bị giam cầm khổ trong ngục tù Cộng Sản; nói lên sự tranh Cháu chống với cái Cối trịch miên, chống với cái rét mùa Đông; nói lên sự tranh Cháu chống lại những áp bức vô nhân Đạo của bọn cai tù Cộng Sản; nói lên sự tranh Cháu âm thầm vì những những người mình thương yêu; nói lên sự tranh Cháu ngay cả với chính bản thân mình C_h không bỏ sa ngã làm tay sai cho bọn cai tù.

nÓi vớì cháu Cọ là sị tranh CẤu vô cùng can Cãm, Còi hÕi ^a BắC mắT nghĩ lịC siêu phàm
 C< BắC có th< sÓng sót trong mắT hoàn cảnh bĩ thi%ou thÕn mặT thỪ mà thân xác lẨn tinh
 thÀn lắI bĩ hành hắ tÕng gi© tÕng phút không ngÕng. Khi CỪ©C phóng thích, và sau
 này CỪ©C tái CỨnh cỪ tắ MỈ, BắC lắ ti%op tợc tranh CẤu C< thích nghi vớì hoàn cảnh
 mắI.

Cháu Cả quy%ot Cĩnh ch†n CŠ tài cho luÆn án ti%on sĩ của cháu vŠ môn Anh-Væn (Ph.D. in English) t†a là: “Trong ThÀm LẶng, Tôi Tranh ãẤu: Ti%ong Nói TØ Nh»ng NgŰ©i Tù MiŠn Nam ViÆt Nam” “[In Silence, I Struggle: Voices from South Vietnamese Prisoners].” LuÆn án này sẽ là ti%ong nói của ngŰ©i tù chính trř MiŠn Nam ViÆt Nam tØ bao lâu nay không CŰ©c ai bi%ot C%on; không phải nói cho riêng ngŰ©i ViÆt chúng ta nghe mà nói cho ngŰ©i Mĩ và nh»ng ngŰ©i ngoài quÓc Cả chŰa CŰ©c bi%ot h%ot vŠ ngŰ©i tù chính trř MiŠn Nam ViÆt Nam. Cháu Cả CÆ trình lên h†i CÒng giám khảo t†a CŠ và ti%on trình Cúc k%ot C† vi%ot bản luÆn án này.

Thưa Bác, bản luận án của cháu Cử®c thành hình là nhờ sự giúp đỡ của Bác. Thật vậy, Bác chính là người cho cháu biết về **Cúng và thết** của cháu Cúc không phải vì bản luận án. Bác Chung Trần Cả khuyên khích và phở giúp cháu soạn một số câu hỏi và cháu xin gửi Bác Cúc C< giúp cho cháu. Ngoài ra cháu xin gửi Bác một cuốn băng cassette C< trong trường hợp vì không có thời giờ nghỉ vì, Bác có thể nói vào băng và gửi hoàn lại cho cháu theo địa chỉ Cúc trên lá thư. Nếu Bác Đồng ý cho phép cháu phỏng vấn Bác thì cháu và Bác Chung Trần sẽ sẵn sàng làm theo ý Bác.

Trường khi Cúc trình bản luận án lên hội Đồng giám khảo, cháu sẽ gửi Bác bản sao phần biết về và bản phiên dịch Bác Cả cho cháu C< Bác ki<m lại, và nếu có vấn đề nào cần bổ C< thì cháu sẽ làm theo ý của Bác. Ngoài ra, C< theo Cúng qui Cính của trường, cháu xin Bác vui lòng ký giấy cho phép cháu Cử®c Cúc không phải phần biết về Bác Cả cho cháu C< vì bản luận án. (Mẫu giấy cho phép Cính kèm.)

Cháu hy vọng rằng thông tin nói của người tù chính trị Miền Nam Việt Nam qua chính lời của Bác Cử®c Cúc không thành luận án này sẽ trình bày trung thực sự tranh cãi của Bác và rằng người Đồng cảnh ngộ với Bác, cháu không phải lời trình bày bóp méo sự kiện lịch sử của nhiều cuốn sách vì trải từ tập trung của Công Sản Việt Nam và về Miền Nam Việt Nam.

Xin Bác giúp cháu. Cháu cảm ơn Bác.

Kính chào Bác.

Cháu,

Nguyễn Thị Mai Thúy

Project Title: “In Silence, I Struggle”

Date of the interview:

Name of the Person:

Age:

Former rank in the SVNG:

Questions

- 1. Trước Bác, trước ngày 30 tháng 4, 1975, Bác phục vụ trong cơ quan nào của chính quyền Miền Nam Việt Nam. Chức vụ và cấp bậc của Bác khi đó là gì? Gia cảnh của Bác lúc đó như thế nào?**

Before April 30, 1975, what was your position/rank in the SVNG? What was your family life like?
- 2. Vào những ngày cuối tháng 4-1975, lúc tình hình Miền Nam Việt Nam bất ổn, một số tỉnh đã lọt vào tay Cộng Sản, nhất là khi làn sóng di tản của Cộng bào Miền Nam đã lên cao, Bác có ý định di tản hay không? Lý do nào Bác đã từ chối và chịu cảnh tù đày?**

In the last days of April 1975, when you first realized that the conditions of South Vietnam were unstable and that many of the provinces were under the Vietnamese Communists' control, and when a great number of people had evacuated the country, did you have intentions of fleeing the country? Why did you stay?
- 3. Bác đã ở tù mấy năm? Cuộc sống trong tù rất cơ cực và thiếu thốn mọi thứ những Bác đã sống sót cho đến ngày được phóng thích. Đó là một sự tranh đấu vô cùng can đảm và khó khăn. Bác có thể cho biết Bác đã phải đấu tranh và tranh đấu chống lại mọi thiếu thốn, mọi khó khăn như thế nào để tồn tại. Kinh nghiệm cá nhân của Bác rất hữu ích cho mọi người.**

How long were you in prison? During your time in prison, you must have endured many deprivations and harsh conditions until the time you were released. It must have been an extremely difficult and courageous struggle. Please let me know how you struggled under such deprived and harsh conditions to survive. Your own experiences are helpful to everyone.
- 4. Bác được phóng thích vào năm nào, tháng nào? Khi trở về, gia đình Bác có những thay đổi gì. Bác phải phải đấu tranh để có thể hòa nhập vào xã hội mới. Bác có thể cho biết Bác đã phải đấu tranh như thế nào với mọi hoàn cảnh mới để có thể sống hòa hợp với mọi người kể cả với vợ con.**

When were you released? There must have been many changes in your family. How did you adapt to the new society? Please tell me about your struggle under the new circumstances to live harmoniously with everyone around you, including your family.
- 5. Bác có thể cho biết những khó khăn trong việc mưu sinh ở xã hội hoàn toàn mới là gì với Bác, và Bác đã phải đấu tranh như thế nào để có thể sống?**

Please let me know about the difficulties in earning a living in the new society. How did you struggle to survive?

6. **Cảm tưởng và Ước mơ của Bác khi biết tin về chương trình H.O.? Bác đã nghĩ gì về tương lai khi Chính phủ tái Mỹ?**
What were some of your hopes and dreams when you first learned about the H.O. Program? What did you think your future would be like when you came to America?
7. **Sau khi đến Mỹ, Bác đã thấy có sự khác biệt nào so với những gì Bác đã cảm nghĩ khi còn ở Việt Nam? Bác cho biết những khó khăn Bác đã gặp trong những ngày tháng đầu tiên. Sự phấn đấu và sự tranh đấu của Bác như thế nào trước tổ chức mới cuộc sống mới tương lai mới ở Chính phủ Đất Mỹ. Gia cảnh của Bác bây giờ như thế nào?**
After you came to America, what were the differences between the realities of American life and what you imagined? Please let me know some of the difficulties that you experienced in the first days in America. What were your struggles in creating a relatively stable life in America? What is your family life like today?
8. **Hiện nay Bác có suy nghĩ gì hoặc có Ước mơ gì cho tương lai hay không?**
What are your thoughts and dreams about the future?

Appendix 2

Có mặt trong buổi phỏng vấn Thứ Ba Ngày 30 Tháng 7, Năm 2001:

Nguyễn SỪ

Lê Thịệp (Washington D.C.)

Lê Thị

Nguyễn Long

Nguyễn Giáo

SỪ: Có Anh Thịệp ở Washington D.C. anh sẽ đi thăm cậu con cháu. Ông ấy sang

sang thăm cậu con cháu của cô. Anh em tình cảm gặp nhau đây, uống cà phê.

Thịệp: Cái chị Cậu tiên trước cái sự hi vọng cậu gặp bạn mới rồi buồn. Phải vì thế sự hi vọng không còn nữa.

SỪ: Cô sẽ muốn tìm hiểu thêm. Cô sẽ qua đây mỗi tám tuần.

Thịệp: Câu số 4 và câu số 5, mình phải nói xã hội nào. Cô vì thế, “Xã hội Mỹ hoàn toàn xã hội mới.” Nói với tôi xã hội Cộng Sản hoàn toàn mới. Câu hỏi 5, “Làm thế nào để thích nghi với xã hội Mỹ?”, chứ còn Mỹ cậu có gì mà phải tranh cãi. Qua đây cái gì cũng trả lời cho mình cậu thì chỉ có cái mình thích nghi với cái xã hội này chứ không có gì phải tranh cãi hết.

Thị: Tôi có số kiểm tra số 3 và số 4. Cô dùng hai chữ, từ đó. Thì câu Cô nói, “Bị cậu

sốt cho cậu ngày từ đó.” Thì rõ ra nó thả chúng tôi về chứ không phải từ đó.

Theo

tôi nghĩ cô dùng chữ release, là cậu thả. Chúng tôi cậu thả chứ chúng tôi không

phải là cậu từ đó. Khi mà nó thả tôi ra khỏi trại rồi thì sau đó về cậu

phỏng vấn nó

còn quan trọng.

Long: Nó vẫn gọi là house arrest có nghĩa là sự quản trọng. Thì cậu phải khai báo phải xin phép trong vòng hai năm.

Thị: Mà mất quyền công dân. Cô đừng nghĩ rằng chúng tôi ra cải tạo gọi là thả từ đó.

Long: Sau khi hai năm cậu thả, thì chính quyền của phỏng vấn tiếp rồi nó cứ xét là sau

một năm hai năm nó nói người có tốt theo quan niệm của nó chứ không phải là tốt theo quan niệm của mình thì mỗi cậu gọi là phục hồi công dân. Còn năm không là vẫn tiếp không có quyền công dân của xã hội Cộng Sản.

Kim: Không có quyền công dân là không cậu bị bầu trong cái bầu cử. Nó có nhúng

cái hội tiếp và xin tiếp thì mình không cậu thăm đi.

Thịệp: Cái nghề nghiệp làm của mình chính thức là self-employ vì nó không nhúng mình.

Long: Nghĩa là tất cả rồi với tôi Cộng Sản không có quyền công dân thì mọi việc đi làm

cứ không cậu bảo đảm bảo lộc. nhúng hết tất cả nhúng cậu con bị tiếp

Ci

xin viẾc làm có cha mỄ là tù nhân chính trỈ nó không nhẬn.

ThiẾp: Nó ghi s^o h^ot.

Ki<m: Nó ỰỪ©c Ựi h⁺c, nhỮng mà vô h⁺c trỪ©ng chuyên môn thì không Ựu Ựi<m.

Long: Khi mà ỰẬu song mà vô nh»ng cái trỪ©ng chuyên môn thì nó ghắ ra ngoài.

SỪ: Là cái sỔ Ựi<m Ựòi hỒi cao hỒn. Thí dợ nhỮ vào trỪ©ng Y Khoa thì con cán b[¶] khoảng 5 chẤm. Con của tụi cái tảo là phải cao hỒn.

Long: Nghĩa là nó có discount. Bậy gi© là Ựi búi ỰiЄn ngỪ©i Veteran nó chỉ cẦn 85 Ựi<m nó ỰỪ©c g⁺i. NhỮng mà ngỪ©i không phải là Veteran là phải tỜ 90 Ựi<m ch^a nên nó v⁺i g⁺i là có cái point discount. Thì nh»ng con em của Ựản C[¶]ng Săn thì ỰỪ©c giảm Ựi<m. Còn nh»ng ngỪ©i con em của miŚn Nam là không ỰỪ©c giảm Ựi<m.

Tảo khó khæn Ự< không có cỒ h[¶]i Ựi h⁺c.

Ki<m: Ti%ong MỈ g⁺i là discrimination. Cái kỹ thỈ.

Long: Cái Ựó nó thông báo rõ ràng. Nó thông báo tẮt cả cái trỪ©ng Ựải h⁺c.

SỪ: Nó không có tr^a thành luẬt. Nó chỉ là m[¶]t cái thông cáo. Bởi vì C[¶]ng Săn nó cai chỈ bệng nh»ng cái thông cáo, bệng nh»ng cái quy%ot ỰỈnh. Nó không ỰỪa vào cái luẬt của nó.

Long: Nó ra thông cáo Ự< lỪỒng Ự< cho con em của tụi nó. Con nh»ng con em của MiŚn Nam là làm khó khæn.

SỪ: Nó vi%ot nh»ng t© thông cáo. Chính gia Ựình tôi hỒi Ựó cỪng nhẬn ỰỪ©c t© thông cáo. Tôi Ự⁺c rỒi gợc Ựi chỪ ai mà gi» Ựó làm chi. Nó ỰỪa ra mẤy bản thông cáo gián^a phỪ©ng^a quẬn. RỒi cỪng Ựôi khi mẤy ông mà t^o trỪ^ang ỰỪa vŚ thông cho các dân^a trong sóm. Nh»ng ông t^o trỪ^ang Ựó thỪ©ng thỪ©ng là ngỪ©i của mình. TỪc là ngỪ©i chỈ Ự[¶] cỪ. Cái thông cáo Ựó cỪng ỰỪ©c Ựæng lên trên báo chí chỪ C[¶]ng Săn không lén lút Ựâu.

Long: Báo chí trong ViỆt Nam là của Ựảng C[¶]ng Săn. Nó Ựæng nh»ng cái thông cáo Ự< cho bi%ot là ỰiŚu kiЄn nhỮ vẬy. Báo Nhân Dân, Báo Sài Gòn, Giải Phóng, Tù TrỀ, Thanh Liên, Lao ã[¶]ng Ựæng mẤy thỔng cáo C[¶]ng Săn.

Ki<m: VŚ cái ỰiŚu kiЄn thì c^o của con nh»ng sĩ quan mà Ựã Ựi cái tảo thì tôi nói cho cô rõ. Nó có 14 cái ỰỎi tỪ©ng. Thì cái ỰỎi tỪ©ng sỔ m[¶]t là thu[¶]c vŚ Ựảng viên Ựảng C[¶]ng Săn. SỔ 2, 3, 4 cỪng của nó. SỔ mà của tù nhân chính trỈ là sỔ Ự⁺i tu©ng 14 là chót h^ot. M⁺i m[¶]t cái Ự⁺i tỪ©ng thì dù con cán b[¶] nó lẤy 19 Ựi<m 20 Ựi<m

KiEm: Theo linh ta thì nó cũng cho vô, nhưng vô nó không sên sóc.

Long: Không cho thuỐc. ChỈ là hình thỪc thôi, chỪ treatment thì không có. Thành ra cái

ÇŞ tài này rÃt là t%o nghĩ, rÃt là hay. Mặi anh em chú Bác^a Çây ÇŞu là nh»ng ngŰ©i

cầm nhÆn và sÓng trong cái xã h¶i Çó.

ThiEp: Tôi ÇŞ nghĩ cô soạn câu hỎi.

Long: Câu hỎi quá t°ng quát.

KiEm: Thí dờ nhŰ câu sÓ ba nhŰ Chú Long k< chuyÆn qu¶c sÓng trong tù rÃt cŞ cŞc, thì

nên vi%ot cŞ cŞc nhŰ th%o nào.

Long: Nên hỎi hoàn cảnh nhŰ th%o nào? Nó ÇÓi s° vŞi Bác nhŰ th%o nào? Thi%ou thÓN nhŰ

th%o nào? Thi%ou thÓN nh»ng gì? Có quÀn áo Ç< mẶc không? Cái ÇiŞu kiÆn nhŰ th%o

nào? Cái tÓi thiÆu con ngŰ©i nhŰ th%o nào? NgŰ©i tù bÆnh nhân ÇŰ@c sên sóc vŞ

sŰc khoÈ không? ThuỐc men Çày Çû không? Nghĩa là càng nhiŞu câu hỎi càng đĩ

ràng hỎn.

KiEm: MÃy cái ch%o Ç¶ C¶ng Sãn ÇÓi vŞi ngŰ©i tù nhân chính trř th%o nào là quan tr†ng

lám. HỈ nói là qu¶c sÓng thi%ou thÓN thì nó t°ng quát quá, nó không nói vŞi cái sâu sÓ.

Long: Xin các Bác^a Çây vi%ot thêm câu hỎi rồi gom lại giúp Ç«.

KiEm: Vi%ot câu hỎi và trả l©i câu hỎi của mình luôn.

ThiEp: Bãy gi© Bác gŞp ş. Nh»ng câu hỎi này hÀu h%ot thì cŰng ÇŰ@c, nhŰng phải sịp s%op

lãi cho rõ cái nghĩa. Bác thông cảm cho cháu vì ti%ong ViÆt không rành. Ví dờ nhŰ

ch» ÇŰ@c thả tŞ do, were free, thì cháu dùng ÇŰ@c thả ra, were release.

Long: Cái vÃn ÇŞ vŞ væn hóa nhŰ th%o này, nó hay lắm. Thợng ViÆt C¶ng nó s° dõng cái

câu trả vŞ cho gia Çình trả ÇỖn sŞ vŞ cho gia Çình nhŰng mà giỪ sŞ quản ch%o của

Çĩa phŰÕng. Gia Çình phải chŰ trách nhiEm má tỪ các cái sŞ sÓng của ngŰŞi Çó tải vì ngŰ©i Çó không phải là công dân. Release to family under house arrest by the local government. The family is responsible for everything when the person is released. House arrest is like probation.

ThiEp: Nó quản ch%o.

Long: Khi thả ra cho gia Çình ngŰ©i Çó không phải là công dân.

ThiEp: Nó chỈ thả ra khỎi trãi tù thôi.

Long: NgŰ©i Çó mÃt quyŞn công dân và gia Çình phải chŰ trách nhiEm Ç< ngŰ©i Çó

sÓng theo xã h¶i mŞi. TỖ Çó phải sÓng theo sŞ phi phán của nịm nhiEm của

local government. Có mọt cái committee C< cỪu xét sau probation. Phải theo CỉSử kiEn h† muOn chỪng tỜ thì CỪ@c là ti<u thọc. Khi không có quySĩn công nhân thì Cỉ làm không CỪ@c. Phải xin phép và không CỪ@c Cỉ tị Cỉ lui dĩ dàng. N%ou nó nghi ng© mình thôi là ch%ot rỜi. Nó mà hỜi, “Tải sao anh Cỉ ra khỜi phạm vi mà không báo. Anh Cỉ hoắt C¶ng chỜng chúng tôi.” C¶ng Sãn cai trỉ bệng cái sỉ nghi ng©. ãĩ tài này rẤt là hay n%ou cô làm CỪ@c thì các Bác tù nhân chính trỉ vinh dỉ. TẤt cả nh»ng cái Cỏ phải giải thích kĩ càng vì a ngoài xẽ không hi<u.

ThiEp: Mình Cỉ tỜng bỪs c mọt. ThỪ nhẤt là mình soạn câu hỜi. Cái n¶i dung nói hoàn

toàn vS hoàn cảnh tù nhân chính trỉ thì rõ rỜi. Tôi CŞS nghi nh»ng ngỪ©i nói

phải

nói bệng sỉ, trẽn thÆt. ãỜng nói quá vì ngỪ©i ta không tin. Tôi Cỉ phỜng vẤn

phái

Çoàn của MỈ thì ngỪ©i ta hỜi, “Tải sao anh Cỉ kinh t%o mşĩ?” Có ngỪ©i nói, “Nó bjt bu¶c dĩ c° tôi nói là tôi phải Cỉ. N%ou không thì nó bỜ tù.” Cái Cỏ MỈ không tin. MỈ hỜi tôi thì tôi nói, “Lẽ do tôi Cỉ kinh t%o mşĩ vì chính quySĩn nói vşĩ v@ tôi rằng n%ou bà Cỉ kinh t%o mşĩ thì chỜng bà CỪ@c thả vS sşm. Vì v@ tôi muOn tôi vS sşm cho nên phải chẤp nhÆn Cỉ kinh t%o mşĩ C< tôi CỪ@c vS sşm. MỈ nó tin tôi. ViEt C¶ng nó lỜa Çảo. N%ou h† không muOn Cỉ thì nó bảo rằng là không phọc h¶i quySĩn công dân thì vẤn ti%op tọc probation. MẶc dù nó không bjt bu¶c, nhỪng cái Cỏ hình thỪc là bjt bu¶c vì v@ nào không thỪng chỜng. ãĩ là mọt cái bjt bu¶c nhỪng rẤt tinh lý. Nó không phải Çem sủng Çản tị bjt mình Cỉ. CỪng có trỪng h@p nó tị bjt Cỉ tùy theo Çĩa phỪng, nhỪng nó không ph° bi%on cái sỉ Cỏ.

Long: Kinh t%o mşĩ là New Economic Zone. Ý ngoài này nghe thẤy Cỏ là mọt cái chỪng

tr©i chính sáng nhỪ mọt cái rainbow. Nghe rẤt là ÇỀp nhỪng phải dĩn tả Cỏ là mọt cái vùng trỪa develop. Ch† Cỏ là rỜng, không ai SỎng Cỏ h%ot. ChỈ Çem

tị

mi%ong ÇẤt chung quan có cây. Nó ÇỪa nh»ng ngỪ©i miSĩn Nam tị Cỏ không cung cẤp Çày Çủ nh»ng cái CỉSử kiEn cho ngỪ©i ta sinh SỎng.

Không

có nhà c°a.

ThiEp: Nó không cho mình a thành phỐ; nó bjt mình phải Cỉ tị mẤy khoảng vùng

C< mà tị khai thác tị SỎng. SỎng a Cỏ thì con mình làm sao CỪ@c Cỉ h†c.

Long: Không có nh»ng dộng cở C< làm nhà long thì tị làm sao thì làm. Phải giải thích trong cái thesis cho ngỪ©i ngoài quỐc hi<u chỪ không có ngỪ©i ta nghi, “Nó ÇỪa anh Cỉ vùng sinh SỎng mşĩ thì có sao Çâu mà phải thọc mịc.” Phải ÇỪa ra cái CỉSử kiEn, cái condition, cái environment của kinh t%o mşĩ la mọt cái vùng rẤt xa C< cho nh»ng ngỪ©i không trª lải thành phỐ cũ mình n»a.

SỪ: Thêm cái phẢn lúc nẤy anh em CŞS cÆp tị vS con cái của ngỪ©i tù nhân chính trỉ

có bỈ ảnh hỪªng nhỪ th%o nào vS vẤn CŞS giáo dục, vS vẤn CŞS h†c vẤn, thì bªi thọc rẤt là ảnh hỪªng. Trong mọt cái dĩp vào khoảng năm 1981 tôi vS. Tôi phải

có mọt cái công viEc làm tải thành phỐ của mọt cái h@p tác xã thì v@i CỤ@C cỦ
trú^a
thành phỐ mẶc dù trỦ\$C mình^a CỐ. N%ou không có h@p tác thì nó xẽ CỬa Cì
chỈ
CỈnh cỦ trú có nghĩa là nó xẽ CỬa Cì kinh t%o m@i theo cái CỈa phỦỔng nó
muỐn
mình Cì.
Thiêp: Nó b;it bu@C cỦ trú. Mình Câu có quy\$N ch;it n@i^a.
Long: Nó chỈ CỈnh ch;it nào là phải^a ch;it CỐ. Nó dùng cái kinh t%o m@i C< chi%om lãnh
nhà
của và tài sản của ngỦ@i mi\$N Nam ViEt Nam. Nó vô trong mi\$N Nam nó thẤy
cái
nhà CỄp của ngỦ@i viên chỪc phải Cì tù thì nó hỪa hỀn rằng Cì C%on cái vùng
chỈ
CỈnh r@i thì nó xẽ thả ngỦ@i chỜng v\$\$. NgỦ@i ta Cì r@i ngỦ@i chỜng nó
cỪng không
thả thì coi nhỦ mẮt nhà luôn. T@i nó vô^a là không bao gi© lẤy l@i. Cái
Cố phải giải thích.
KiEm: Tù nhân này là không có bẮt án, không xét x°, không CỬa ra tòa.
Long: Không trial, không luEt sỦ, không qui lỈch là^a bao lâu. Lúc nào CỤ@C trả v\$
không ai bi%ot. ỉt nhẮt mẤy câu h@i này phải thành 200 câu h@i. T@ nh»ng câu
h@i
này là có th< làm thành 10 câu h@i thêm . Phải break nó càng nh^a thì càng tỐt C<
cho mẤy B@C đĩ nh\$. Vì lâu ngày quá mẤy B@C quên thì câu h@i xác CỈnh có th<
nh;ic nh^a. Cô có th< h@i mẤy câu nhỦ v@y: Tù chính trỈ bỈ C@n áp nhỦ th%o
nào?
S; lo l;ng của B@C là cái gì? Cái tâm lĩ rẮt là quan tr;ng h@n là thi%ou vEt chẮt,
h@n
là c;ic kh° vì lao C@ng. Ai cỪng lao C@ng c;ic kh°. C« nào cỪng chỈu C;ng
CỤ@C.
NhỪng con ngỦ@i mà bỈ Cánh vào tâm lĩ là đĩ bỈ g@c. Cái happiness của family
breakdown. Con cái không CỤ@C h;ic hành. Cả mọt cái xã h@i, generation, và
generation chỪa CỤ@C recover. Cái CỐ C\$u có phải Cánh vô.
ViEt C@ng dùng hy v;ng C< tảo s; tranh Cua gi»a anh em trong tù vì nó hỪa là
xẽ CỤ@C ch^a v\$. Nh»ng ngỦ@i bỈ k> l;ic xẽ làm C\$u không tỐt C@i v@i anh em
C< CỤ@C v\$ s\$u. N%ou không thì ngỦ@i MỈ xẽ tỦang là tù dỔng nhỦ của MỈ.
nây là mọt cái nhiEm v@ chung. Cô t; CỪng ra vi%ot cái luEn án vì thẤy cảm
C@ng, còn nh»ng ngỦ@i vi%ot C< lẤy b@ng thì khác. Cái luEn ;n này phải nói
thEt và rõ ràng. LẤy chính nghĩa l@i cái righteousness cho nh»ng ngỦ@i t@i b@y
gi© bỈ hi<u l@i. này không v\$ v\$N C\$ nhân Cảo mà là trách nghiEm của
ngỦ@i MỈ. Minh phải C\$it v\$N C\$ C< nói lên cho ngỦ@i MỈ bi%ot. Khi mà làm
cái này ra rõ ràng nhỦ chúng ta C@ tin, thì mẤy CỬa trỀ nó xẽ C;ic CỤ@C tải vì
nó xẽ C;ic CỤ@C ti%ong anh. MẤy CỬa trỀ không C;ic CỤ@C thi%ong ViEt.
LuEn án xẽ CỤ@C b@ vô thỦ viEn. ChỈ gi» CỐ r@i release to family theo cái
quy%ot CỈnh cảm hỪng của nh»ng ngỦ@i C@ng S@n. n@i s@ng^a MỈ khi qua C@y
ph@n l;en nh»ng ngỦ@i tù nhân chính trỈ nh»ng các B@C vá Chú rẮt cảm @n xã
h@i này C@ cho cái c@ h@i C< s@ng CỤ@C làm mọt con ngỦ@i CỪng C;in. Có

quyển như cầu hạnh phúc và con cái có công hời có công ăn việc làm cho mẹ em mà không được gì ở bên Việt Nam. Còn con em như được gì ở bên và thành công. Nhưng ở bên Việt Nam chỉ là second citizen. Các công ăn việc làm trong cửa sổ của Công Sản là những công ty, cửa sổ thì ông mãi là thu nhập quốc dân, là của government chứ không phải từ nhân. Nhưng mà của quốc dân thì nó chỉ thu nhập của dân của Công Sản. Nhưng mình không thu nhập với Công Sản thì nó sẽ không thu. Công việc của những người từ nhân chính trị và người liên hệ thì bị bám ở ngoài long ròng thối thối làm những nghề mà Công Sản không muốn làm. Tôi xếp xích lô, chày xe, mấy việc lao động là phải làm. Tuy nhiên cái gì sự kiện, cái psychology của một con người bị tràn ngập. Con người sống bằng cái hy vọng bởi cái từ ông lai. Nó uống gộp thì con người trở thành con thú.

SU: Bây giờ tóm lại là như vậy. Những câu hỏi soạn lại cho thêm nhiều câu hỏi vì mấy câu này quá tổng quát thì nó không có gì vào chi tiết. Ví dụ như là nó phân biệt có sự với những cái người sống dưới chế độ Cộng sản Nam Việt Nam thì nào và phân biệt trong bản thân những người có như thế nào? Phân biệt có sự với gia đình với con cái như thế nào nhất là trong vấn đề sự việc? Hay là khi thời gian về bị quản chế như thế nào. Tôi bị quản chế một năm sau khi tôi được trả về.

phải Trong cái năm có thì một một tuần là tôi phải làm cái hồ sơ, cái report cho nó. Ngày nào, đi đâu, làm gì, nói chuyện với ai, nói về cái gì, tiếp xúc với ai thì khai vào cái report từ ông ngày từ ông gọi một. Ví dụ hôm nay ngày 30 tháng 7 buổi sáng anh làm cái gì, gọi nào buổi nào.

Long: Nguyên ngày.

SU: Tôi phải viết ra cái quyển sách có sự nó dùng quản chế mình. Một tuần lễ nó xét.

Tôi Nhưng nó ông sẽ với những lời khai mà nó cho mình làm tốt thì nó có cho cái điểm. Mỗi khi nó nói, “Anh về anh làm lại cái hồ sơ vì buổi hôm có anh đi có.

nó “Thầy anh.” Nó tạo ra những cái khó khăn và làm rục rối ghê gớm lắm với những người từ cái ta. Trong suốt năm 52 tuần tôi phải nộp cái report tới công an phòng có nó sát nhập. Nhưng tôi không làm thì nó sẽ tìm những biệt pháp có làm khó dễ hay là nó kìm hãm. Sau một năm thì có một cái tiêu hợp ở trong cái tờ dân phố có nó xét có trả quyền công dân cho người có hay không. Một cái tờ dân phố thì từ ông khoảng 40-50 gia đình hợp lại theo cái lệnh của công an phòng và cảnh sát của công an khu vực. Trước một người thì mình ít nhất phải có cuốn sách có sự sự lại những phần quan trọng. Tôi phải trình bày lên cái

việc mình làm cái gì thì là phải báo ra trước họ. Lúc Có thì nhúng ngửi
 Cĩa phở trong t° Có h† nhén xét tốt thì lúc bấy giờ nó vào viên bản và nó trả
 quyển công dân cho mình. Khi có quyển công dân thì nó xét vấn đề cũ. Trước
 Cây mình vẫn chỉ tạm trú với gia đình. Trong thời gian Có mà bị phẩm t† thì nó xẽ
 phẩm tong Cộng kinh t% mỗi ngày. Sau khi tốt tất cả mọi cái rồi tức là mình không vì
 mình C< nhúng luật lệ hay luật pháp của Cĩa phở thì lúc bấy giờ nó xẽ xét cho
 mình C< nháp h† khâu tức là Cũc thủ công chú. Cái h† khâu a Việt Nam rất là quan
 trọng. Bấy giờ cái công việc gì làm, Cộng xin việc, mua thuốc phẩm, Cộng mua vé xe Có hay
 xe l°a C< Cộng ch† này ch† kia thì cần h† khâu. H† khâu là s° gia đình.
 Long: H† khâu là cái report card của gia đình. Ờn Câu cũng phải mang theo C< có sẵn
 sàng. Ờn Cây thân nhân cộng t† nhà a không sao họ. Những a bên Việt Nam là
 cô phải khai báo rằng là hôm Có có khách t† a, khách t† Câu và c mấy ngày là
 phải báo trước. Nó xét nhà lúc tối. Nó xét t† h† khâu mà thấy dữ ngửi a nhà là
 nó làm khó dễ. Ai cũng có bằng h† khâu t† cái thời bấy giờ và trước Cây cũng
 vậy.
 SỦ: Tôi mang cái h† khâu theo t† Việt Nam tôi có th< cho m† cái bang copy. Có
 m† quyển sách report của tôi không biết tôi mang theo hay d< a Việt Nam rồi.
 M† vài nhúng chúng t† khác mà thí dụ như trả quyển công dân tôi mang theo
 và tôi có th< cho bằng copy C< ít nhất có cái mẫu về cái xã h† Có. Ờn ch† nào
 cũng phải mang theo. Có cái bang photo Cút trong túi, 3-4 t†: Giấy ra trả g† là
 Release Certificate, giấy Công Dân, giấy h† khâu.

Phở Văn Bắc SỦ riêng

Về vấn đề báo chí của h† Từ Nhân Chính-Trí Có thì Cũc trước Cây cũ hàng
 tháng là chúng tôi c&ng m† cái bản tin tóm tắt về m† số các hoạt động của h† và tin
 tức c&ng nh&ng mỗi nhất về vấn đề C&ng S&ng S&ng C&ng cái t† do dân chủ cho
 Việt Nam. Cái tài chánh cũng eo-hẹp cho nên là không có th< phát hành hàng tháng
 Cũc n>a. Bấy giờ ch& vào nhúng cái dịp lễ như ngày 30 tháng 4, hoặc là ngày quân
 lực ngày 19 tháng 6, hoặc là vào ngày D† T° H&ng Vũ&ng thì khu h† chúng tôi ra m†
 cái bản tin về bi&ng C< mà ph° bi&ng trong nhúng ngày lễ Có. Và riêng cái ngày t†
 Nguyên-đán thì với ra Cũc cái t† C† s† C< Cảnh d&ng m† năm hoạt động khu h†.
 Trong Có thì có nhúng cái bài viết về văn hóa, khoa h†, C&ng tranh chính trị, và sinh
 hoạt của h† trong năm. Ngoài ra a Cĩa phở này cũng có m† t† báo mà Cũc s<
 t†on nhi&ng của C&ng bào c&ng C&ng a Cây là t† Oklahoma Việt Báo. Khi mà có
 nhúng cái tin tức gì có liên quan cần phải ph° bi&ng thì chúng tôi nh&ng cái t† báo Có.

Thủ©ng thủ©ng hàng tháng chúng tôi cũng có nh»ng cái bài gởi lên Oklahoma ViEt Báo < ph° bi%on ra nh»ng cái tin tức sinh hoạt, hoặc là nh»ng cái cu¶c CẤu tranh với C¶ng Sản nhữ th%o nào < ít nhấ nh»ng ngườì tù nhân chính trị Oklahoma này cũng có ti%ong nói qua cái t© báo <ó. Ph° bi%on r¶ng rãi tặi CỜng bào ti-u bang này và m¶t số ti-u bang cảnh sát.

Sợ dĩ chúng tôi không th< ra t© báo là nói th¶ng không có tiŚn. B°i vì in 200 bằng tin thì tỐn h%ot \$400.00 nên không có tiŚn < làm trong khi <ó không có gì thẤu nhÆp vào h%ot. Thì cái tiŚn <ó là do anh em Cống góp là niên liĩm. Cái tiŚn <ó rẤt là hàm ch%o. Mặì m¶t ngườì m¶t nặm Cống \$30.00. TiŚn CĩŚu hành h¶i vŚ quan hòm tang ch%o hoặc là mẤy cái thỦ m©i hoặc là in nh»ng cái tài liỆu < gởi C%on anh em. Thì cái tiŚn <ó cũng chĩ vỜa < chi phí ra nh»ng CĩŚu hành của h¶i thôi. N%ou mà có dũ thì gi»^a <ó cho khi thi%ou. Vào nh»ng t° chỪc ngày lĩ thì anh em Cống góp. H¶i không có bẤt cỦ m¶t cái fund nào của chính quyŚn hay là m¶t cái t° chỪc nào cho h%ot. Chúng tôi là mẤy ngườì qua sau thì nh»ng cái t° chỪc mà xin fund < tài tr@ giúp <« cho nh»ng ngườì mà anh em tù nhân chính trị. H¶i ViEt Mĩ Trung Tâm Toe Nản Cã xin cái fund <ó < h¶ giúp cho chúng tôi trong nh»ng ngày CẦu. Trong <ó cũng có h¶i U.S.C.C. Ngườì ta giúp <« vŚ vẤn CŚ làm giẤy t©, Cĩ khám sỪc khỜe, hoặc là Cĩ thông dĩch. Chúng tôi Cạ số là không có dành ti%ong Mĩ hỜn n»a mặì qua không bi%ot gì thì nh© h¶ giúp <« trong th©i gian CẦu. Nh»ng cái t° chỪc thiЄn nguyЄn <ó rẤt là tỐt. H¶ cũng có nh»ng cái fund của chính phủ < tài tr@ cho h¶ < h¶ giúp cho chúng tôi. H¶ cỖ s° có s¶n rỜi. Hàng nặm cỦ xin fund. Chúng tôi không có cỖ s° thì không th< chỪng minh CỰ@c vặi chính quyŚn liên bang cũng nhữ ti-u bang < xin fund. Và muỐn có m¶t cái cỖ s° hoạt C¶ng bây gi© thì m¶t tháng thuê mỦşn cũng tỐn hàng b¶c ngàn.

Ngườì ViEt Nam, nói chung ngườì Á ñông, cái tình cảm CỖi vặi gia Cĩnh rẤt là cao, cho nên nh»ng ngườì Cĩ nặm 75 cũng nhữ nh»ng ngườì Cĩ sau này theo cái diЄn H.O. nhữ chúng tôi thì vẤn còn < lải cha mỄ anh chĩ em bên <ó rẤt nhiŚu. Chĩ Cĩ CỰ@c m¶t gia Cĩnh của mình tỪc là v@ và các con của mình thôi. Cha mỄ và anh chĩ em còn lải ViEt Nam. Cái tình gia Cĩnh vẤn quy%on luy%on. Sau khi °n Cĩnh rỜi cũng có m¶t số anh em tr° vŚ thăm cha mỄ, gia Cĩnh, h¶ hàng, và bà con. Hoặc là nh»ng ngườì Cĩ nặm 75, h¶ cũng tr° vŚ ViEt Nam. B°i vì cái ngườì ViEt Nam mình tình cảm gia Cĩnh quê hỪng nẶng nẶ lịm cho nên ai cũng muỐn tr° vŚ < thăm hỜi, gặp g« nh»ng ngườì thỪng yêu của mình thì riêng vặi tôi thì tôi chỪa có s Cĩnh ch° vŚ ViEt Nam trong lúc này. Khi nào ch%o C¶ C¶ng Sản ViEt Nam không còn n»a hoặc ít nhấ cái vẤn CŚ bỐn Hi%on Pháp của C¶ng Sản nó không còn. Có nghĩa là C¶ng Sản nó không còn có C¶c tài C¶c C¶ng n»a, có nh»ng tị do thì lúc <ó tôi vặi vŚ quê hỪng thăm thân nhân ° ViEt Nam. HiЄn nay tôi chỪa có s nghĩ <ó.

BỐ MỄ và các Anh Em tôi nh© cái sợ bảo lãnh của m¶t ngườì em bên này CỰ@c qua Mĩ sau ba nặm tôi qua CẦy. Làm CỜn bảo lãnh tỜ nặm 1981 cho C%on nặm 1994 thì BỐ MỄ và các em qua. Bây gi© gia Cĩnh tôi ru¶t thĩt thì chĩ còn lải cô em bên <ó. Còn gia Cĩnh bên v@ thì Cha MỄ và tất cả Anh Em vẤn còn lải ° ViEt Nam. Chính v@ tôi cũng chỪa có dĩp < vŚ ViEt Nam. Cái mỖi s@ của tôi CỖi vặi là C¶ng Sản là nó có th< làm bẤt cỦ lịc viEc gì gian ác nhấ dù gian ác c° nào nó cũng vẤn làm. Tôi e ngại khi vŚ ViEt Nam. Nó có th< trả thù b°i vì m¶t số hoạt C¶ng của tôi. Tôi nghĩ rặng nó xẽ nghe tất vŚ hoạt C¶ng của tôi b°i vì nh»ng cái bài vi%ot của tôi khi tôi kể tên, khi tôi gởi Cạng báo không nh»ng ° riêng ti-u bang này mà Cĩ xa cho nhiŚu ti-u bang

khác và trong nh»ng cái n»m ít nh»t là sáu bảy n»m nay tôi gi» cái ch»c h»i tr»ng. Tôi k» r»t nhi»u v»n th» ch»ng c»ng C»i h»i nh»ng v»n C»S t» do tôn giáo, t» do nhân qu»n, ho»c liên án C»ng S»n. Trong nh»ng ngày l» tôi c»ng có nh»ng cái bang tuyên cáo C»c tr»c cu»c dân C»ng bào » C»y. Tôi nghĩ rằng nh»ng cái ho»t C»ng của tôi c»ng không qua kh»i cái m»t của m»t s» nh»ng C»ng S»n h» làm v»ng » tay sai C»ng S»n t»i C»a ph»»ng này. n»»ng nhiên h» th» nào c»ng báo cáo v» tòa C»i s» Vi»t C»ng » C»y ho»c là cho nh»ng cái t» ch»c C»ng S»n chỉ huy th» nào c»ng phải có cái C»S C».

Nh»ng cái con em mà sinh viên du h»c t» Vi»t Nam qua phải nói th»ng là h»u h»ot là con cái của nh»ng b» C»ng S»n cao c»p ho»c là m»t s» cái ng»i gi»u có thì v»i có ti»n C» gi» cho các con em của h» qua M» h»c hành C»»c. Cái vi»c mà C» ti»op s»c v»i các em h»c sinh t» Vi»t Nam qua là »c v»ng của nh»ng ng»i ho»t C»ng v» v»n C»S chính tr» » C»y thì chúng tôi coi h» c»ng nh» là t» gi»y tr»ng. H» không bi»ot gì v» dân qu»c gia và ng»i mi»n Nam. C»ng có »c v»ng C» g»p các em C» C» nói cho các em bi»ot là cái s» l»u v»ng qua C»y nh» th» nào. V»i các em ch»c ch»n không có h»n thù. Mu»n ti»op s»c nói chuy»n nh»ng mà nh»ng em C» h» c»ng có cái t» ch»c r»t là ch»t h»p. H» không bao gi» C» cho các em ti»op súc v»i nh»ng c»ng C»ng ho»c là m»t s» các t» ch»c ho»t C»ng v» v»n C»S h»i C»àn chính tr» » C»y. n»i khi h» C» ph» ho»c C» tr» thì c»ng g»p c»ng nói chuy»n, không có gì h»n thù v»i các em. Riêng v» cái vi»c mà nghe tin chín em C»u tiên qua h»c OU, thì C»ng C»ng c»ng nh» m»t cái s» các h»i C»àn » C»y có g»p m»t s» các em lãnh C»o của tr»ng OU C» nói cho các em là phải ti»op s»c v»i các h»c sinh của Vi»t Nam qua nh» th» nào phải dùng tình cảm, b»ng cái s» chân th»t, b»ng cái t» do ph»ng phá của mi»n Nam, và của M» nh» th» nào C» cho m»y em bi»ot. Mà n»ou có th» C»»c thì m»i các em v» nhà C» sinh ho»t. Thì các em v»i th»y C»»c cái t» do t»i thi»u t» do của n»c M» C»i v»i con ng»i nh» th» nào. Thì nh»ng cái em C» sau th»i gian h»c » bên này có th» ba n»m, nam n»m, hay m»t vài n»m h» ch» v» Vi»t Nam thì ít nh»t h» c»ng C» nói lên cho nh»ng ng»i » Vi»t Nam C»c bi»t là thân nhân của h» v» cái C»i s»ng » bên M» nh» th» nào và C»c bi»t là v»n C» t» do ra là v»n C»S t» do ra làm sao. Thì c»ng hy v»ng r»ng trong t»»ng lai nh»ng em C» là ch» th»ng nh»ng cái lãnh C»o của Vi»t Nam thì tình hình có th» nó có cái C»i m»i h»n ch»u b»y gi» là nh»ng cái thành ph»n gia nua k» c» C»ng lãnh C»o là nó còn h»n thù l»m. H» h»n thù t»i tôi thì tôi c»ng h»n thù h» th»i. Làm sao mà s»a b» C»»c.

Thì vì v»y riêng C»i v»i các em h»c sinh thì tôi c»ng có cái hy v»ng r»ng khi mà tr» v» Vi»t Nam thì hy v»ng r»ng h» x» nói lên C»»c cho nh»ng cái ng»i » Vi»t Nam là chính B» M» và gia C»nh h» bi»ot là cái t» do bên M» nh» th» nào và cái dân ch» bên M» làm sao mà Vi»t Nam C»i d»i C»ng S»n là không có gì t» do. n»y là v»n C»S tâm l» thì chính cha m» h» cha m» h» là ai là nh»ng cái cán b» C»ng S»n là nh»ng ng»i gi»u có h» th»y là con cái mình nó không nói d»i không nói sai. Có C»S là b» m» C» có dám nói ra nh»ng C»S con cái nói cho nh»ng ng»i khác nghe hay không. Ch»c ch»n là ng»i C» không dám nói cho nh»ng ng»i khác t»i vì ch» C» C»ng S»n ki»m soát r»t là g»t gao. Nh»ng ng»i C» có ch»c ch»ng là x» g»p nhi»u cái khó khăn.

V» v»n C»S cái ng»i t» t»i cao ảnh h»ng t»i súc kh»e v» tinh th»n không thì th»c t» là ảnh h»ng. Trong tù ch»ot nhi»u l»m. Ch»ot vì thi»ou »n, ch»ot vì b»n t»t ch»ot vì lao C»ng, ch»ot vì tai nạn. Cái tinh th»n r»t là khu kh»e. Tôi mu»n nói t»i tinh th»n của nh»ng ng»i mà qu»c da ch»ng c»ng thì nhi»u nh»ng anh em C» b»c l»

cái tinh thần Có bệnh cách cực th%o trong cái trải tù cho nên bắt xữ t° trong tù hoặc bị giam cầm cho tới chết hoặc bắt Cảnh chết. Và nh»ng anh em Có bị u l¶ bệnh hành C¶ng b¶ ngoài cái số này có nhiều chết trong tù nhiều lắm. Còn Cha số thì h† chÓng bệnh hình thù khác. Không chÓng bệnh hình thù ở bên ngoài. Cho nên C¶ng Săn nó không bị%ot CỬ@ mình d» v»ng tinh thần anh em.

Lẽ do chính hi%ou là a cái chết này. Tám cái ngŭ@i cũn b¶ C¶ng Săn Có có vũ trang, nó có súng với m¶t cái số lŭ@ng 400-500 ngŭ@i tù có th< gi%ot nó dĩ giảng, th%o nhŭng mà gi%ot nó rồi Cị Câu b¶i vì chính quySñ mình không còn n»a. N%ou chính quySñ mình còn trÓn v¶ với chính quySñ của mình với nữS của mình. Bây gi© gi%ot nó rồi chảy vào rÕng. RÕng vũ khí mình không có C< chÓng lắm rồi lŭ@ng th< không có C< ền chảy v¶ với gia Cình là bắt ngay. Chảy Cị Câu. ñŭ@ng cùng là h%ot ch° rồi. Mà khi mà gi%ot CỬ@ tám ngŭ@i nhŭ vÆy với 400 ngŭ@i chảy vào rÕng thì ch< cũn nó xẽ mang huy C¶ng cả hàng trung Còan ra tải ch° rất là nhan trọng bao vây gi%ot tr†n. Nó xẽ gi%ot h%ot ngay. Mà không chảy Cị Câu CỬ@ h%ot b¶i vì nh»ng cái khu vực mà nó làm ra trải cải tạo Có là nó Cã bao vây chặt chẽ h%ot rồi, số Có là không có dân chũ có nó bị< chung quan h%ot cả. Nó có b° chỉ tất cả nh»ng cái chốt C< nó kiểm tra kiểm soát h%ot rồi. Khi mà có cái chuyển s¶y ra, nó bùng nguyên m¶t trung Còan của nó hợng nhân quân Cị với vũ khí thì nó tiêu gi%ot nếm sáu trăm ngŭ@i Có nhŭ trời. Nó xẽ gi%ot h%ot. Chảy v¶ với gia Cình là bắt ngay mà liên hÆ với gia Cình n»a. Mà chính phủ mình không còn. HỒi sửa trŭS 75, mình bắt hay bắt nhót a chết nào thì mình còn phá tù hoặc là gi%ot tới nó C< mình chÓn v¶ với CÒng C¶i của mình. Mình CỬ@ bảo vệ và CỬ@ chặn bắt vũ khí C< chÓng lắm nó. ñặng này h%ot rồi, CỬ@ng cùng rồi không chết nào h%ot.

Th%o còn cái việc mà nó nói rặng có nh»ng cái ngŭ@i mà có cái hy vọng C< CỬ@ thả v¶ thì nó tạo ra cái hy vọng Có C< bắt cái s< chÓng CỎi a trong trải tù. Ai cũng hy vọng C< tr¶ v¶ với gia Cình cho nên không n°i loạn. Lâu lâu nó lái cho v¶ vài chóc ngŭ@i có th< sau thời gian hÕn m¶t nếm nó cho v¶ m¶t số, sau hÕn hai nếm lái cho v¶ m¶t số, sau thời gian hÕn ba nếm lái v¶ m¶t số. Th%o thì nh»ng cái số ngŭ@i anh em Có CỬ@ v¶ Có thì CỬ@ng nhiên khi mình CỬ@ thả v¶ với gia Cình là m¶t s< vui mừng cho nên tạo ra cái hy vọng cho ngŭ@i còn a lái. H† v¶ thì mình cũng xẽ CỬ@ v¶. Nh© cái hy vọng Có mà anh em cũng có CỬ@ sống sót. N%ou còn ngŭ@i mà tuyỆt vọng thì xẽ CỬa C%on n°i loạn và cái s< chết C%on rất nhanh. Còn n%ou mình bị%ot ch< cũn là không có cái hy vọng v¶ thì xẽ n°i loạn mà n°i loạn thì bị< buộc phải có C° máu. H† chết thì mình cũng chết.

Khi nó thả tôi ra khỏi tù, thì có th< hai ba nếm nó m¶i cho I.D. card. Cũng tuy ngŭ@i. Nhiều thặng khó, nhiều thặng dĩ. Nó bảo, “Anh SỦ, chỉSủ mai nhÆu, anh SỦ.” Mình cũng phải chỉSủ nó b¶i vì không là có chuyển. N%ou mình không có tiSñ thì ền nhÆu số số. Có tiSñ thì phải Cị nhà hợng. Nó bị%ot rặng tôi v¶ tôi Cặp sách lô hoặc Cị làm m¶y chẻ lá, tiSñ Câu có. Nó bị%ot mình Câu có. C< kh° nó v¶n qu¶y r¶y. Nh»ng chuyển nhõ nó cũng xin, bây gi© nó nói, “Anh SỦ hợng Cêm tôi phải Cị tuấn canh mà Cền bin h%ot rồi, anh SỦ cho xin m¶y cọc bin.”

Tôi hỏi, “Bao nhiêu m¶t cọc?”

Th%o dỏ bỏ bảo, “\$500 CÒng m¶t cọc.” Thì tôi CỬa nó \$2000 tiSñ HỒ Chí Minh C< nó mua bốn cọc bin. Nó mua hay không là việc nó. Th< ra thì nó muốn kiểm tiSñ mình. Nó có rất nhiều khó khăn nhŭ vÆy.

Trong tù Càn bà cũng rất là nhĩu nhặng cán b¶. Sĩ quan quân cảnh trình Việt Nam Cộng Hòa hỏi sửa nam cũng có nh» cũng có. Những thàn phần Có cán b¶ Có là Cỗu bị t bịt sau năm 75 C< vào trại cải tạo h%ot. Trãi n» h† nhót riêng không bao gi© nhót chung h%ot. Còn a h¶i tù nhân chính trị có m¶t vài ngŭi tù nhân chính trị là n». Bà Trung Tá HỒ Thi Vê, bà Ấy là chũ huy trŭng n» quân nhân l¶ng hòa hỏi sửa bà s bĩ tù mŭi mẤy năm rồi bà Ấy qua Cây năm 1995. HiEn nay bà s sŏng a Oklahoma. Bà cõ hôm nay cũng trên bẤy chộc tu°i. Thỉnh thoảng vào nh»ng ngày thí dợ nhŭ ngày Cãi h¶i vŝ t%ot thì bà s thăm dũ. Tôi vi%ot trong cái quy<n CẶc xã của h¶i tù nhân chính trị năm t%ot năm vŏa rồi k> nhiEm mŭi năm thành lÆp h¶i thì tôi vi%ot ra cái quá trình hoạt C¶ng mŭi năm. Là nh»ng cái hoạt C¶ng của khu h¶i CẶc biỆt là có vi%ot vŝ cái tr;c Cŭng mŭi năm hoạt C¶ng của tù nhân chính trị. Nói vŝ nh»ng thành lÆp.

H¶i TŖ Nhân Chính Trị Cŭc thành lÆp CẤu năm 1991. Chúng tôi qua cuŏi năm 1990. ãu năm 1991 là thành lÆp. H¶i có 300 ngŭi. Tôi là ngŭi h¶ trŭng thŭ năm. ãu tiên là Bác Lê Thành Danh. ãon Bác thŭ hai là Mô Quang Thi, rồi Cõon Bác Quỳnh Ng†c Thách. Bác Ấy ra rồi làm Cŭc hai tháng rồi ch%ot. B;c thŭ tũ là ãi Uy Chũng, bây gi© là chủ tíc c¶ng CŖng. Bác Lũ Xuân M¶c lên làm h¶ trŭng Cŭc hŏn m¶t năm thì Bác Ấy ch%ot. Tôi lên làm thay quyŝn h¶ trŭng. ão là năm 1995. TŖ 1996 tũ bây gi© tôi là h¶ trŭng Tù Nhân Chính Trị. H%ot nhiEm kỷ lăi bAu lên ngŭi khác. M†i m¶t nhiEm kỷ là hai năm. Cái h¶ Tù Nhân Chính Trị Cŭc thành lÆp gia cái mợc Cích là C< anh em tũŖng tr@ lãn nhau vì nh»ng cái ngŭi tù nhân chính trị m¶t cái hoàn cảnh. Ngŭi Cì qua trũc thì giúp ngŭi Cì qua sau. ãŖng thŏi cũng C< tạo thành m¶t cái Cŏan th< C< tÆp trung Cŭc sŭc mãnh. Cho nên sau cái thŏi gian CẤu g†i là á h»u thì chuy<n qua CẤu tranh chính trị vŝi C¶ng Săn. ãu tranh chính trị ngoài giao, CẤu tranh tuyên vÆn và nhĩu nh»ng mŷt khác. ãu vŝi C¶ng Săn b†i vì n%ou mà mình lÆp ra m¶t cái h¶ Cŏan mà chũ á h»u tũŖng tr@ nhau không, nó cũng phí phẩm Cì. Mà CẶc biỆt là nh»ng ngŭi tú nhân chính trị là nh»ng ngŭi mà h† Cã hy sinh xũŖng máu C< bảo vÆ t° quŏc miŝn nam ViỆt Nam.

Sau này cái sũ phản b¶i của Mĩ cũng nhũ cái sũ lãnh Cảo y%ou kém của cẤp lãnh Cảo mi%on nam. Mĩ Cã bŏ miŝn nam cho nên miŝn Nam mŝi l†t vào tay C¶ng Săn miŝn B;c. Phải có Mĩ y<m tr@ vŝ vũ khí, vŝ Cãn dũc và nhĩu vãn Cŝ khác cho m¶t cái v;c chi%on tranh. B†i vì C¶ng Săn Cŭc khŏi C¶ng Săn th%o giŝi trong Cŏ có Liên Sô, Trung C¶ng, Hungary, TiỆp Kh;c, Ba Lan, Cuba y<m tr@. Trong khi Cŏ Mĩ c†t y<m tr@ cho miŝn Nam ViỆt Nam thì quân l;c ViỆt Nam C¶ng Hòa có th< nói là quân l;c rất là hùng mãnh. Khi mà bĩ c†t y<m tr@ nhũ th%o tũc là có súng mà không có Cãn, có máy bay mà không có xæng, có xe mà không có sang. Thi%ou thŏn nhĩu mŷt khác n»a thì hŏi làm sao có th< chŖng cũ Cŭc vŝi quân C¶i b;c tuyỆt Cŭc khŏi C¶ng Săn y<m tr@ nhũ th%. B†t bu¶c phải thua. Thua Cây là thua theo cái sách lũc cái chi%on lũc của Mĩ, chũ th;c ra n%ou mà có d»a miŝn Nam vŝi miŝn B;c mà CŖng lũc Cánh nhau chũa trác bên nào thắng bên nào thua.

Vì cái quyŝn l@i của Mĩ nhũ th%o nào Cŏ nó bŏ miŝn Nam. Cuŏi cùng chúng tôi không Cŭc ti%op t%o súng Cãn, Cánh nhau a ngoài mŷt trÆn không có Cãn, hoẶc là sŏ Cãn rất là hãnh ch%o làm sao Cánh. M¶t ngày có th< m¶t cái canh cŭ ViỆt C¶ng nó có th< pháo kích vào m¶t chộc ngàn trái Cãi b;c Cũ loài tŖ 105 ly, 155 ly, 130 ly, 122 ly vãn vãn cả hợng ngàn trái. Trong khi Cŏ chúng tôi phản pháo lăi thì chũ có 5, 10 trái thôi, làm sao Cánh. B†t bu¶c là miŝn Nam phải thua. Thua vì Mĩ bŏ phải nói thŝng. Th;c ra

Bây giờ các Bác Câu có súng Cẩn C< CẤu tranh bợng vũ k& thì mình phải CẤu tranh bợng phŭŕng ti&en khác. Cái nhi&em vợ của tôi tôi trŭc m&t là phải d> cái s< mà cùng có ăen toàn ăen ninh > cái CẤa phŭŕng này. N%ou > ti<u bang và thành ph& nào mà không có cái t° chŭc c&ng C&ng ngŭi Vi&et, hay h&i C&an quân nhân, hay t° chŭc Tù Nhân Chính Trĩ thì C&ng Săn nó sẽ t< tung t< tác và nó x& xu&at hi&en > các thành ph& ch& búa và có th< là nh>ng cái c& C& sao vàng của C&ng Săn, c& máu mình, nó x& xu&at hi&en nhi&u n&i. Và nó x& t< do nó làm gì nó làm. Nh& có c&ng C&ng nh& cái C&an th< qu&oc gia và trong C& có nh>ng C&an th< nhŭ là Tù Nhân Chính Trĩ thì h& không dám t< tung t< tác h%ot. Khi mà ch& nào xu&at hi&en lá c& C&ng Săn thì chúng tôi t&i C< liên lạc v&i nh>ng c& quan ho&c nh>ng t° chŭc C& C< mình C&et v&an C& v&i h& và yêu cầu h& h& lá c& C&ng Săn và d<ng lá c& qu&oc gia của mình lên, lá c& vàng ba s<c C&. T&i Oklahoma này là chúng tôi h& Cŭc n&em lá c& C&ng Săn. Ch& Buy 4 Less cách C&y khoảng 3 n&em h& treo r&at nhi&u c&. Trong C& có m&t cái lá c& C&ng Săn. H& treo nay > c&a bŭc vào bên tay trái. Có ngŭi C& ch& th&y thì h& v&s báo tôi. Tôi h&p v&i m&t số anh em thì t&i g&p cái ngŭi quản lý của ch& nói cho h& bi%ot là C&y là lá c& C&ng Săn Vi&et Nam. Mà chính vì lá c& này mà chúng tôi phải b& nŭc ra C&. Chính vì lá c& này mà 58,000 quân nhân Hoa Kỳ C& làm xu&ng tải mi&n nam Vi&et Nam. Vì v&y chúng tôi có th< nói r&ng c&ng C&ng ngŭi Vi&et qu&oc gia chúng tôi không ch&p nh&en lá c& này. ã nghĩ ông quản lý h& lá c& C& su&ng và d<ng lá c& qu&oc gia Vi&et Nam lên. H& h&i lá c& nhŭ th%o nào. Thì chúng tôi Cŭa ra cái lá c&. H& r&at vui v&. H& nhan chóng h& lá c& xu&ng trŭc m&t mình và h& treo lá c& của mình lên. Nay n%ou C& ch& Buy 4 Less, cŭ C& vào bên tay phải gi&y tính ti&n thì th&y lá c& Vi&et Nam C&ng Hòa treo > C&. Chính lá c& tôi trao cho h&. Ho&c là > trong cái ch& fleamarket Cŭ&ng N.W. 10 và Penn. Kh& C& cŭng v&y, cŭng có nh>ng ngŭi h& C& trong C& hô th&y m&t cái ti&em C& bán nhi&u thŭ l&m cả c& n>a. Trong C& nó treo r&at nhi&u c& cŭng có c& C&ng Săn Vi&et Nam. Nh>ng ngŭi C& ch& C& th&y nhŭ v&y thì h& v&s báo tôi. Tôi t&ep chung m&t số anh em C< t&i g&p chủ nhân của ti&em. Tôi trình bày cŭng gi&ng nhŭ > ch& Buy 4 Less. Tôi m&ng s&n c& Qu&oc Gia. Sau cái trình bày h& r&at vui v&. H& g< xu&ng và h& xin l&i h& không bi%ot C&y là lá c& C&ng Săn. Ho&c là g&an C&y có hai nhà th& Tin Lành > tải Oklahoma. H& cŭng treo nhi&u c&, thì trong C& cŭng có lá c& C&ng Săn. Nh>ng ngŭi C& l&i h& bi%ot, h& v&s báo. Tôi tôi liên lạc v&i M&c Sŭ ho&c ngŭi quản tr& > cái nhà th& tin lành C< yêu cầu h& tháo g< lá c& Vi&et Nam C&ng Hòa mình lên. Thì h& cŭng vui v& h& làm. H& xin l&i là h& không bi%ot. Nhà th& C& có nhi&u ngŭi Vi&et Nam t&i th&em d< thánh lí Chúa Nh&et. H& mu&n treo lá c& Vi&et Nam lên C< làm vui long nh>ng ngŭi t&i th&em gi< các thánh lí C&. Khi mà h& d& sách ho&c Internet thì h& th&y Vi&et Nam tŭc là c& C& sao vàng là h& l&y ra h& treo. H& không bi%ot b&i vì c& Vi&et Nam C&ng Hòa coi nhŭ bây giờ b&i qu&oc t%o không còn công nh&en n>a. Nhŭng C&i v&i nh>ng ngŭi Vi&et Qu&oc Gia thì lá c& t&on tải mãi. B&at cŭ > C&y tải Oklahoma này mà phát hi&en cái lá c& C&ng Săn treo lên thì chúng tôi t&i yêu cầu h& xu&ng. H&ng CMI h&i C& > xe l& I-40 > Yukon treo r&at nhi&u c&. Cái kỳ C& nó cŭng treo m&t cái lá c& C&ng Săn. Tôi liên lạc v&i C&ng ã&ng. Nh& bên H&i C&u Chi%on Bình Hoa Kỳ v&i bà dân bi<u Debbie Blackburn Burn, và m&y t& báo American Hero liên lạc v&i chủ h&ng C< h& lá c& xu&ng. Và k%ot cu&c C& cŭng thành công. Thì > C& hi&en nay có lá c& Moroque, cŭng c& C& sao vàng nhŭng mà có cái vi&n C&en. Nhi&u ngŭi C& qua nhi&n thì tŭ&ng lá c& C&ng Săn. Tôi cŭng b&on n&em l&an Cŭc C&ng bào báo cáo nhŭ v&y. Thì m&i l&an báo cáo thì tôi C& xem. Thì sau

Có tôi vi%ot thỦ hoẶc là ỚiEen toải cho h† bi%ot là Ớó là c© của Moroque chỦ không phải lá c© C¶ng Săn.

Ỗ Ớải h†c OU thì trỦsc Ớây không có c© C¶ng Săn. TØ khi bang giao thì có m¶t số sinh viên chính quySñ Mĩ bang giao v¶i chính quySñ C¶ng Săn ViEet Nam. Có m¶t số sinh viên TØ ViEet Nam qua du h†c tải trỦng OU thì h† Ớũa lá c© Ớỗ sao vàng lên vào nh°ng cái ngày măn khóa ra trỦng hoẶc là Ới diỈn hành thì h† dỀp cái lá c© của ViEet Nam C¶ng Hòa. Qua nh»ng cái s¶ liên hE tranh Ớẫu của c¶ng Ớòng^a Ớây cỦng nhỦ là ỚẶc biEet các em h†c sinh OU, thì ban đánh hiEen nhà trỦng Ớã chẤP nhÆn cho lá c© ViEet Nam C¶ng Hòa ỚỦc Ới diỈn hành trong nh»ng các ngày Ấ. NhỦng mà có vẤn ỚỖ tòn tải cái lá c© của ViEet C¶ng thì trong tỦng lai mà n%ou mà các em h†c sinh OU có nh»ng cái vÆn Ớ¶ng mảnh mẽ hỒn n»a thì có th< lá c© C¶ng Săn xẽ không còn xuẤt hiEen trong bu°i viỈn hành của trong quân viên nhà trỦng.

M¶t cái quÓc gia bao gi© cỦng là m¶t cái bi<u tỦng lá c© là cái bi<u tỦng cái linh hỒn. Mà bây gi© cái miSñ Nam mình là bi<u tỦng ba s†c Ớỗ mà miSñ Nam mình bỈ C¶ng Săn chi%om rỒi. MiSñ Nam không còn n»a. Nh»ng lá c© còn tòn tải^a trong long nh»ng ngỦi miSñ Nam ViEet Nam. NhẤt là nh»ng ngỦi Ớã chi%on Ớẫu v¶i C¶ng Săn và khi mà cu¶c di tăn cỦng nhỦ là Ới tỈ nản^a nỦsc ngoài thì ngỦi ViEet Ớó mang theo cái hỒn Ới. TỪc là cái lá c©.

Interview July 30, 2001

Nguyễn SÙ

Lê Thịệp (Washington D.C.)

Lê Thị

Nguyễn Long

Nguyễn Giáo

Su: Mr. Thiep is here from Washington D.C. He is attending his niece's wedding. He would be happy to answer any questions you have. We brothers meet here regularly drinking coffee.

Thiep: The first part of the letter in which you wrote, "my understanding was shattered," it needs to be written that your understanding was not complete.

Su: She wants to learn more. She came here when she was eight years old.

Thiep: Questions 4 & 5, you need to clarify which society you are referring. You wrote that American society was a new society. To me, the Vietnamese Communist society was something completely foreign as well. Question 5 should read, "How were you able to adapt to the American culture?" because once we are in America, you do not have to struggle. We were given everything we need to live; the only thing we had to do was to adapt to the new society.

Thu: I have a suggestion concerning questions 3 and 4. You used the word freedom, "You were incarcerated until the day you were freed." The truth is that they let us go home but we were not freed. I think you should use the word release which means to be let out. After they released us to a location, they still directed us.

Long: It is called house arrest. Everywhere we went, we had to get permission. This went on for two years.

Thu: We lost our citizenship. Do not think that we were freed once they let us go from the prison.

Long: After two years, the local committee meets to examine whether you have been good, according to their standard of goodness, and whether they should restore your citizenship. If you have not been good, citizenship in the new Communist society is not granted.

Kiem: Without citizenship, we could not participate in the election and political and social activities.

Thiep: The only work we could do was work we created because they would not hire us.

Long: This means that none of the work was sponsored. No citizenship affects every aspect of life from education for the children to employment. Former political prisoners are not employed by the state.

Thiep: They write everything on your file.

Kiem: Children do get to go to school, but they are not accepted to specialize schools because they do not have enough points.

Long: Children whose fathers' were prisoners were scratched off from being admitted to specialized schools.

Su: They demanded higher scores for those children. For instance, the school of medicine would require cadres' children 5 points whereas prisoners' children higher than 5 points.

Long: They get special discounts. For example, a librarian position may require one to earn 85 points for veterans but 90 points for nonveterans. Communists' children were given discounts on their points but not prisoners' children. They created hardship so that there would be no opportunities.

Kiem: The English word for that is discrimination! Discrimination!

Long: They post those notices at the universities.

Su: They did not write this into laws, but they wrote these notices in the bulletins. The Communists governed by bulletins and decisions not by laws.

Long: They come out with announcements to support their own children while South Vietnamese children are left out.

Su: They wrote these bulletins. My family got one. I read it and threw it away. Why would I want to keep it? They post those bulletins on streets and at county and district meetings. Sometimes, county chiefs, our people from the old government, would notify us about these bulletins. They print these edicts in newspapers. The Communists do not hide these agenda.

Long: These newspapers are published by the Communists: Nhan Dan, Sai Gon, Giai Phong, Tui Tre, Thanh Lien, Lao Dong.

Kiem: There are 14 categories, and we were the 14th one, the last one. The first one, second, third, and fourth belong to the different Communist ranks. Political prisoners of South Vietnam were placed as the last one on the list of priority. If the criteria to get into specialized schools were 19 or 20 points for the Communist cadres, then our children would have to have higher points than that to get in.

Long: They organized society by categories.

Kiem: They favored their children.

Long: They favored their children and the ones affiliated with them. South Vietnamese were placed on the bottom of the list.

Thiep: It would be an exceptional case for children of prisoners to enter college.

Kiem: The school of medicine where one could get work would not admit children of prisoners.

Su: Our children could attend general schools and take general courses, but the specialized schools such as medicine and engineer are closed to them. The criteria are biased. For example, cadres' children require 70 points; prisoners' children would require 100 points. Even with 100 points, they would select the students based on their parents' background. There is no way our children could attend such schools.

Long: Whatever degree programs that would enable a person to develop skills or craft would be shut to prisoners and their children. Communism organizes society by a person's background or history. If a person was a high-ranking military member of the old government of South Vietnam, he is classified as #14th, the lowest category in the new society. With that background, their children's entrance into college is but a dream. Once a person is classified as being oppositional or defiant, he is marked forever a sinner. He will always be at the bottom of society. His children will have no chance. He works on the street in secret and in hiding. His work is considered illegal. He does whatever it takes to eat. No laws protect him or his work. He cannot enter a hospital because he is not a citizen. Hospitals treat citizens of the Communist state. They are reserved for them. It is extremely rare for him to get treatment at the hospital. He lives like an animal, not a human being.

Thiep: He can go to local clinics, but it costs a lot of money.

Kiem: They may admit him, but they would not treat him.

Long: They would not give him medicine. If they admit him at all, it is just a formality because they have no intention in treating him.

Long: This is a very decent project. All of these men have witnessed and lived through that society.

Thiep: I suggest you rework these questions.

Long: They are too general.

Kiem: Question 3 just as Long told you about the hardship under Communist society. You should ask, what hardship did you face?

Long: What is your circumstance? How did they treat you? What did you lack? Did you have proper clothes? What were the living conditions? How were the sick and injured treated? Was there enough medicine? The more specific you can be, the deeper the discussion. I ask all of you to write more questions for Thuy.

Kiem: Write the questions and answer them.

Thiep: I understand your circumstance since you came here when you were eight years old, you do not know Vietnamese well. Change the word freedom to release.

Long: The Vietnamese Communists were very clever about their wordings. When they released prisoners, they specifically wrote, "Release to family under house arrest by the local government." The family is responsible for everything but the person is still under house arrest, placed under probation.

Thiep: That was how they controlled us.

Long: Once released, they were still not citizens.

Thiep: They only let us out of the camps.

Long: They lost all their rights as citizens. Their families were responsible for them. They had to obey local officials who controlled their lives. A committee examines their progress. Without their citizenship, they are not allowed to work. They have to get permission to go back and forth; they cannot travel with ease. If they are even suspected of subversive activity, they are in deep trouble. An official could ask, "Why did you leave the premise without informing us. Are you meeting to protest us?" That person is in deep trouble. The Communists govern by suspicion. You must explain all of that so that others would understand.

Thiep: Go one step at a time. First, redo these questions. The contents pertaining to the political prisoner situation is clear. I suggest that we speak truthfully or else people will not believe us. Do not exaggerate. When I went to interview with the American delegation, they asked us if we had to go to the New Economic Zone. One person said yes. They forced him to go. If he did not go, they would imprison him. The Americans did not believe him. When I was asked why I went to live in the New Economic Zone, I told them that a Communist official told my wife that if she lived in the Zone, then I would be returned home sooner. Because my wife wanted me to quickly return home,

she accepted the condition to go live in the Zone. The Communists swindled us. They don't have to use force; they use other means like the love of a wife for her husband. What wife would not want her husband to return home. Using force with germinal reasons, they did not need to carry guns and bullets to get us to move. In certain cases they had to resort to guns to force people out of their home; but this was done by local officials and not at all published.

Long: People hearing about the New Economic Zone may think it is glorious like a rainbow. New economic zone sounds pretty, but this is an undeveloped inhabitable place surrounded by jungles. No one lives there. South Vietnamese were sent there without proper provisions. There was no housing.

Thiep: They did not allow us to live in the city. They forced us to live in undeveloped regions so that we would have to create a whole new way to survive. At such places, how could our children attend schools?

Long: No tools to build houses. You must explain in your thesis so that others do not misunderstand and say, "They brought you to a new region so you could start your life anew. What more do you want?" You must bring out the conditions, the environment of the New Economic Zone. They sent them to regions far away from the city, so that they would not return.

Su: Our children's education was severely affected by our status as prisoners. When I returned home in 1981, I had to prove that I was part of the post exchange in order for me to work in the city, even though it used to be my home. If you could not prove that you were part of the cooperative, they would send you to the New Economic Zone according to the region which they pick for you.

Thiep: They forced you to live where they want you to live; you could not choose where you want to live.

Long: They assign you your place of residence. They use the New Economic Zone to seize homes, businesses, and properties from South Vietnamese. When they see a beautiful home belonging to a former South officer, they promise that family that if they go to the Zone, the husband would return soon. The family leaves never to own their homes again and the husband is not returned. Once they live in that house, the owners can never reclaim that house. You must explain that.

Kiem: This is a kind of prison where there was no trial, no court, no judge.

Long: No trial, no attorney, no stipulation of time as to how long a person would be detained. No one knew when they would be released. There should be at least 200 questions. Each question raises ten more. Break the questions into smaller units so that they can recall easier the past. When you get specific in your questions, the questions would help them remember. You may ask questions like these: How repressive was the prison? What were your worries? Their state of mind was crucial to their survival. It

was more important than deprivation of material goods, more important than labor. Everyone has to labor and endure the hardship of labor. But if a person is struck down emotionally, he falls easily. Their family's happiness was broken. Their children could not attend school. Generation after generation has not yet recovered. You must write about that. The Communists used hope to get prisoners to betray their own brothers so they could leave early. I am moved by this project because you were not moved to write to complete a degree but you were moved by righteousness for those who up to this point have been misunderstood. It is not even a matter of being humane but an American responsibility to know the truth about what happened. Once you have written clearly as we believe you will, then young Vietnamese Americans will be able to read it. They do not know Vietnamese, but they can read your dissertation in English. The dissertation will be available in libraries. They held prisoners for an indefinite time and release them to their families under jurisdiction of Communist local officials.

Long: Their lives in America. For the most part political prisoners and I are grateful to America for giving us a chance to live as human beings. In this country, we have a right to fulfill our needs and desires to happiness. We have a right to work. Our children have a right to have an education. For those who do not want an education, they have a right to work and be successful. Whereas in Vietnam, they would be second-class citizens. Good jobs leading towards careers are reserved for Communists' children. Cooperation, and trade and commerce belong to the government and are not privately owned. Because they are not members of the Communist, they were not considered for those jobs. Prisoners and their families work at jobs nobody wants. As street vendors, peddlers, and hard laborers, they work on the outskirts of society. Such conditions go to the heart of a their psychology which were trampled down. A person lives by hope for a better future. They kicked these people down to a state no less than animals.

Su: In short, here is what I suggest: that you rewrite these questions so that they will be more specific since they are too general. You need to differentiate between how prisoners were treated versus non prisoners. You also need to distinguish in how prisoners' families were treated especially in terms of their education. After being released, how were you controlled? I was controlled for one year after my release. Each week, I had to file a report about my daily activities. Where I went, what I did, whom I talked to, whom I socialized with had to be explained in the report down to the hours and minutes. For example, this very day of July 30, 2001, in the morning. What I did, whom I spoke with, for how long, and for what purpose?

Long: The whole day has to be accounted for in such manner.

Su: After release, my living with my family is considered temporary until I meet all the criteria set out by the regional officials. If at anytime after my release, I violate the local officials' rules, I would be immediately sent to the New Economic Zone. I had to write it in a book. That was how they controlled me. Each week I had to be examined. At times, they would say, "You need to rewrite this file and include this activity because I saw you there on that day." They made life a living hell for prisoners. This went on for 52 weeks. I had to go up to the security official to verify all my activities. If the

committee agrees, they stamped my file. If I did not do according to what they want, they would make it unbearable for me, restrict me even more. After one year, there is a meeting held by the citizens of the Communists to review whether my citizenship should be restored or not. Forty to fifty families meet with the police of the local security unit. In front of everyone, I had to make a case of why I should be given citizenship. I had to explain what I have done in that last year. I had my book with me so that I could highlight my work and what I have learned. They had to evaluate and decide on whether or not I should be a citizen. Once I was granted citizenship, they continued to control where I lived. I could be sent to the Zone at any time for offenses against the officials. They continued to monitor my progress before issuing me my residential status. This status of residence is imperative for work, for purchasing goods, for traveling etc. If you wanted to buy a train ticket, you have to have a residence card. A residence card is a family's report card.

Long: You have to carry that card with you wherever you go. Here if you want your family to spend the night at your home, there is no problem. In Vietnam, you have to inform them ahead of time as to which day, where the person is coming from, and how long the person would be staying. They search your home and examine the family's report card. If an extra person is there who is not on the report card, they will make life hard on you. Everyone has a family report card, even today.

Su: I brought my family report card from Vietnam. I would be happy to provide you with a copy as representing that society. I have an entire book of my reports. I do not know if I brought that with me or not. I had to carry three or four documents with me at all times: the Certificate of release from prison, my citizenship paper, and my report card.

Mr. Su speaking to me alone

America's betrayal—their abandonment—caused us to lose our country. South Vietnam lost because we were victims of America's policy of withdrawal. America cut off our supplies of weapons, ammunitions, and so many other things necessary for combat. We had guns and no bullet; cars without gas, planes with no fuel. We fought against an enemy who was well supported by the then Soviet Union, China, Hungary, Poland, and Cuba—the Communists countries. On any given day, the enemy would fire thousands of 105mm, 122mm, 130mm, 155mm. While we fired 5 or 10 rounds back. We did not stand a chance; we had to lose. An army completely cut-off against a well-supplied army is doomed. If North and South Vietnamese armies had fought each other

on equal ground, it is uncertain who would have won or lost. But, the Communists overran us and hence, April 30, 1975.

Former prisoners today are physically, emotionally, and spiritually scarred. There are about 300 former political prisoners living in Oklahoma City. Former prisoners share similar pasts, so come together as a group to gain strength and support from each other. Our primary purpose in establishing this Association is to support each other. Our secondary objective is to continue our fight against the Communists. If we exist only to support our members, then it would be wasteful. These are people who have sacrificed with blood to protect South Vietnam. Each year in Oklahoma City and in other parts of the world, people commemorate April 30 as a national day of mourning, a day of national shame when South Vietnam was lost to the Communists. Vietnamese who could not live under the Communists had to flee their country in search of freedom. Vietnamese are scattered all over the world. They live in America, Canada, Australia, Israel, Africa, etc. They went to whatever nations that picked them up from their escapes by boats.

The Vietnam War cost us so many lives. So much bloodshed on both sides, North and South! We are all Vietnamese! That is what is so painful. Who started this war? Who caused this bloodshed? The most direct answer I can give you judging from my reading of historical documents and historians' interpretation is the Vietnamese Communists headed by Ho Chi Minh. He provoked the war.

I have written about the history of the Former South Vietnamese Political Prisoners to mark our tenth year anniversary in a special edition book. I wrote about how our Association got started and how it has grown over the last decade. Many of our members came to the United States at the end of 1990. The Association was established

at the beginning of 1991. The first president was Mr. Le Thanh Danh. Then after that Mr. Mo Quang Thi served as the second president. Mr. Quynh Ngoc Thanh, our third president, served for only two months before he died. The fourth president was Mr. Doi Uy Chuy who is now President of the Vietnamese-American Association. Mr. Ly Xuan Moc was our fifth president who served for over one year before he died in 1995. I replaced Mr. Moc and have served from then to now (July 2002).

Many died in prison. They died from starvation, sickness, and hard labor. But their spirit in prison was very strong. Some openly resisted their conditions and were killed or confined and beaten to death. There were many of them killed that way. The majority of our other brothers resisted in ways that were invisible to the Communists. They opposed the Communists by keeping the spirit of their brothers alive. It is hope that kept us alive. When a man is in despair, death comes quickly to him. The seed of hope is planted by the fact that the Communists would release a number of prisoners after one year. The 2nd year, another group, the 3rd, another group For those who remained, it gave us enough hope to endure. If we thought we had no chance to survive then we would shed blood. We die; they die too.

People ask me why eight cadres could control 400 to 500 inmates. Of course we could have easily taken over the eight. But after killing them where would we run? All the concentration camps had stops that were thoroughly searched. In case of a breakout, they would send an army of thousands to slaughter us. Each and every one of us would surely die. We had no weapons to fight and no food to sustain us. If we went home, we would wreck havoc on our families. Before 1975, when we had our government, our

own troops, we would break out of jail and kill the enemy if we were captured. After 1975, there were only dead ends.

Life after prison was another struggle. We would not be issued I.D. cards that would allow us to be full citizens until after two or three years, depending on the local party chief. Some make it hard; some make it easy. The bottom line is they want to make money off of you.

These chiefs would say to me, “Brother Sú, let’s have dinner tonight.”

They knew I had no money because I pedaled a rickshaw and hung bamboo leaves to make a living. We ate lightly. If I had money, I was expected to take them out to a restaurant.

One chief said to me, “Brother Sú, I have to do nightly watch and I am out of batteries. Could you please give me four batteries?”

“How much does each battery cost?” I asked.

“\$500 dollars each,” he said.

I gave him \$2000 Ho Chi Minh dollars. They knew how much I suffered; yet they continued to harass me. There was so much of that going on. If you did not cooperate, they would make trouble for you.

Women who were officers of the military police force were also imprisoned. They were held at camps separate from the men.

There are a couple of women who are members of our Association. Lieutenant Colonel Ho Thi Ve commanded the women’s army and spent 10 years in the re-education camps. She came to America in 1995. She is over 70 years old. She attends the New Year celebration.

In the past, Former South Vietnamese Political Prisoners published a monthly bulletin about our activities, the latest news about human rights struggles in Communist Vietnam. Our funding was very limited so we could not continue the monthly publication. We publish on special occasions such as our Memorial Day April 30, Veterans day on June 19, and day of honoring our ancestors. For the New Year, we put out a special bulletin, which highlights our activities for that year. Our bulletins consist of literary, scientific, political writings, and year-round activities. I have signed my name to letters, articles, and writings for newspapers in Oklahoma and other states. I have sent letters and notices to the people in Oklahoma and in other states. I write about human rights violations and oppression of religious freedom and rights committed by the Vietnamese Communists. I have declared in front of the people in Oklahoma how I feel about what the Communists have done. I am sure these things that I do have not gone unnoticed by the Communists, whose lackeys live here in Oklahoma. I am sure that they have heard what I have been doing in the last six or seven years as President of the Former South Vietnamese Political Prisoners. These lackeys, living in America who spy on us, have surely reported to the embassy or the Communists in Vietnam. I have no plans to go back. My fear in returning to Vietnam is that the Communists can do anything they want to me no matter how wicked are the acts. They might take revenge against me because of what I have done here.

Besides our bulletin, there is a local newspaper called the *Oklahoma Viet Bao*. If there is important news that needs to be dispersed then we submit the information to *Oklahoma Viet Bao*. We submit monthly news of our activities, updates on our struggles

with the Communists so that at least prisoners in Oklahoma have a voice to broadly communicate to those in this state and other states.

Vietnamese people and Asian people in general have deep connection with their families. Vietnamese who came in 1975, as well as those who came later like the political prisoners left many blood relatives behind. We were only allowed to have our wife and children come under the Humanitarian Operation Program. Many of our deepest attachments were left in Vietnam. As for me, my younger brother, after he was stabilized, sponsored by Father, Mother, Sisters, and Brothers. I have only one sister still living in Vietnam. My wife's family, her Father, Mother, and all her brothers and sisters are left in Vietnam. She has had no opportunity to return. Many Vietnamese have returned to their native home because their attachment to their family is so deep. They miss their families greatly.

The reason our Association does not have our own newspaper is because we have no money. If we print 200 news bulletin, the cost could run to \$400 while we have no incoming fund. Our annual membership fee is very limited. Each member pays an annual fee of \$30 which barely covers our yearly activities and the cost of printing invitations. Our members have to contribute additional funds on certain special occasions when we do not have enough money. We do not get any government funds to finance our cause. We were the Vietnamese who came to America later than other Vietnamese. Most of the prisoners came in 1991. Existing groups such as the Vietnamese American Refugee Center and Catholic Charities helped us in the first days of our new life. They filled out paperwork for us, took us to our physicals, and translated for us, since we did not English. They were very charitable to us. These existing groups

could apply for annual funds because they have established a social mission. If we wanted to start a similar mission, we would have to rent a place which would cost us thousands of dollars that we do not have.

Let us be very clear about students from Vietnam who come to the U.S. to study. They are sons and daughter of high-ranking Communists. Either that or their families must be extremely wealthy in order to send their children here. Vietnamese former political prisoners want to meet with the students to talk with them about what we believe. Their knowledge of the South Vietnamese people and our former nation is like a blank sheet of paper. They know nothing about us, nothing about our government or the people of the South. We bear no grudge or hatred against the students. Absolutely none. We want to share with them our experiences as refugees. We want to meet them, but they are also limited in socializing with us. The host parents do not want the students to interact with us. When we heard about the first nine students from Vietnam attending the University of Oklahoma, many of the Vietnamese in the community met with the residential Vietnamese student leaders at the University. We told them they should treat students from Vietnam with the utmost sincerity and honesty. If they get a chance to talk to them, they should speak honestly about their experiences in South Vietnam and in America. If the opportunity allows, they should invite these students to their homes so that they can see how freedom works in America. After spending three or four years in America, these students will return to Vietnam. They will tell people closest to them about what life is like in America. Who are those closest to these students but their mothers, fathers, and other families? Who are their parents but the rich Communist cadres. The conversation about American life would have to include freedom that is

America. It would have to make comparisons about freedom in America and the lack of it in Vietnam. The Communists see that their children do not lie to them. The thing is will the parents be bold enough to speak about this to anyone else. For sure not. They do not dare because the Communist system keenly monitors them. I hope these students become leaders of Vietnam, then perhaps the situation may change. Right now, those in power are the old and bitter Communist cadres who despise us, and we likewise despise them. There is no avoiding that.

Former prisoners do have ammunitions to fight against Communism. We have to find other means to fight against oppression. Our weapon against oppression is in our united stances with other organizations who fight for human rights and freedom. We meet once a month, sometimes weekly, or as needed. Special meetings are called to plan special activities or to support other domestic as well and international organizations in the fight for human rights and freedom. Right now, we along with other Vietnamese social organizations, also committed to ending human rights violation in Vietnam, are calling for the release of Father Nguyễn Văn Lý, Monk Thúc Quang Liêm, Monk Thông Nhất, Monk Cu Lê Quang Liêm. We have written letters and petitioned to the president of the United States, to the United Nations, and to the Secretary of State, Colin Powell. When Colin Powell visited Vietnam, we sent him a letter to request that he call for the release of political prisoners and place human rights and freedom as part of his agenda. As president of the Association, I have signed my name to letters and petitions that protest the abuse of human rights and freedom.

In the first years after 1975, the spirit to fight against the Communists was weakened. From the time that the former political prisoners of South Vietnam came to

America, a movement to resist Communism and demand freedom has flourished. The strength of the movement came from the 40,000 to 50,000 political prisoners who now live in America. If you count family members, there are about 200,000 people connected to the prison experience. That's a lot of people who share a common political agenda. Our agenda is first to make sure that we are safe where we live. If we did not organize in states and cities, then the Communists would instigate disorder and chaos. They might emerge with their red-yellow-star flag, the flag stained with our blood. It is in our political organization that deters them from self-promoting. Wherever a Vietnamese Communist flag flies, we ask those who put them up to take them down and put in its place the flag of the Republic of Vietnam, the yellow flag with three red stripes. We have taken down the five Communist flags here in Oklahoma City.

About three years ago, people who shopped at Buy 4 Less saw the Vietnamese Communist flag hanging at the entrance on the left side along with other flags. They informed me about what they had seen. I met with the brothers, and after that went to meet with the manager of Buy 4 Less.

I told him, "This is the Communist flag of Vietnam. This very flag is the reason we have had to leave our homeland. This very flag was responsible for the deaths of 58,000 American soldiers who died in South Vietnam. We, the Vietnamese people of the Republic, do not accept this flag. We request that you take down the flag and raise this flag, the people's flag of Vietnam."

The manager asked me, "What does this flag of yours look like?"

We brought our flag out and gave it to him to hang. He was very pleased. If you shop at Buy 4 Less, you will see the flag of the Republic of Vietnam hanging along with

the other flags. The same incident occurred at the A.M.C. flea market on N.W. 10th and Penn, two Baptist churches, at C.M.I. Company, and at the University of Oklahoma. We acted in the same way as similar to the Buy 4 Less situation.

Vietnamese who shopped at the flea market saw the Communist flag and told me what they had seen. I spoke with the owner of the stand. He was happy to replace the Communist flag with the Republican flag. The same situation occurred at two Baptist churches. We spoke to the Pastor and the person in charge at those churches. They happily complied. They apologized for not knowing that it was the Communist flag. They wanted to welcome the many Vietnamese who attend their churches by raising the national flag. Although we were successful in deposing the Communist flag at C.M.I. Company, many Vietnamese mistake the Moroccan flag which is a yellow flag with a yellow star but it has a black border for the Vietnamese Communist flag. They called me to tell me, and I assured them that the flag is Moroccan not Vietnamese. The University of Oklahoma raised the Communist flag after America normalized diplomatic relations with Vietnam and a group of students from Vietnam came there to study. They raised the Communist flag along with other flags during graduation and did away with Vietnam's Republican flag. Through the activism of the Vietnamese community here and the students at the University of Oklahoma, the University has allowed for both flags to stand. Perhaps in the future, with strong active involvement, the students will be able to rid the Communist flag from ever flying on the campus.

A nation's soul is exhibited in the national flag. Though South Vietnam is lost, its flag lives in the people especially those who fought to the Communists. These people carry the Republican flag in their hearts.

Appendix 3

Oklahoma City, ngày 6 tháng 6 năm 2004

Coà Thuyê Nguyễn thân mến,

Trong lá thư của cô viết cho tôi về ngày 6 tháng 5 năm 2004, cô cho biết rằng trình luận của Tiến Sĩ về nỗi sợ hãi của những người cộng sản nhân chính trị Việt Nam trước và sau ngày 30.4.1975. Những ngày bị giam cầm trong “Trại Cải tạo” và cuộc sống của họ hiện nay ở nước Mỹ. Khi theo lá thư là 66 câu hỏi của cô. Tôi rất vui lòng trả lời tôi một câu hỏi. Những câu hỏi thiếu sót, vì thời gian quá lâu quá rồi nên tôi quên một số những chi tiết. Tôi chỉ trả lời tôi một phần, vì nếu trả lời chi tiết thì tôi nghĩ rằng phải viết thành một cuốn sách. Vì tôi biết cô đang làm một công việc rất hữu ích là phỏng vấn cho một người, nhất là những người Mỹ biết về những người Việt Nam từ năm Cộng Sản tại hải ngoại và nên biết là những người cộng sản từ nhân chính trị. Những người này bỏ cộng sản trả thù một cách tàn nhẫn trong các trại cải tạo.

Trước khi trả lời những câu hỏi của cô, tôi xin tôi giới thiệu về cá nhân tôi. Tôi là Nguyễn Văn Sầu, sinh ngày 20.8.1945 tại làng Phụng Cầu, xã Ba Chúc Ninh, Ba Chúc Việt Nam. Tôi theo cha mẹ di cư từ Ba Chúc vào Nam năm 1954 sau khi Cộng Sản chiếm nước miền Bắc. Năm tôi 6 tuổi Sầu Goan từ 1956 cho đến ngày nay tôi ở nước Mỹ. Cha mẹ chúng tôi sinh năm 1908 có 8 người con, gồm 5 trai, 3 gái. Tôi là anh cả trong gia đình. Tôi lập gia đình ngày 10.8.1969. Với tôi tên là Nguyễn Thọ Thuần, chúng tôi có 2 người con gái, hiện nay cả hai đều lập gia đình và đều có con, gia đình của con tôi đều có người tại Oklahoma City. Gia đình chúng tôi nên thành phố ngày ngày 15.3.1991 theo diện H.O.

Sau đây tôi là một phần trả lời những câu hỏi mà cô đã gửi cho tôi:

A. Nội dung trước ngày 30.4.1975:

Tôi nhớ có gọi nhập học hoặc khoảng 27 Số Quan Trại Bò Thuần Núi. Ra trường ngày 10.8.1968 với cấp bậc Chuẩn Úy. Tôi vào năm tiên tôi phục vụ là Năm Núi 409 Trại Kích thích thuốc Sỏi Núi 23 Bả Bình, hoàn trả thành phố Ban Mê Thuột, xã Darlac. Trước tôi là Trung Núi Trại. Năm 1971, tôi về làm việc tại Bả Tả Lãnh Sỏi Núi 23/ Phường 1 (Nhân Viên). Tại đây tôi giới thiệu với Trại Ban Chông Trình Qua Sơn. Trong thời gian gần 7 năm phục vụ tại SN23BB (1968 - 1974), tôi đã tham gia nhiều cuộc hành quân tại Ban Mê Thuột, Núi Lập, Qua Núi Núi, Pleiku, nên biết là cuộc hành quân “Mùa Hè Núi Lửa” nên chiến CSVN tiến chiếm Kontum vào cuối năm 1972 – 1973. Tôi nên nhớ là gần 2 năm tôi gây ra nhiều thiệt hại về nhân mạng cho cả hai bên cộng sản và quân đội Việt Nam Cộng Hòa. Hành chiến người bạn thân của tôi đã hy sinh tại chiến trường này.

Tháng 4 năm 1974, tôi nhớ chuyển chuyển về Tiểu Núi 18 Công Binh (TN18CB) thuộc Sỏi Núi 18 Bả Bình (SN18BB) hoàn trả tại xã Long Khánh và gần cầu Long Bình (Biên Hòa), tôi phục vụ ở đơn vị này cho đến ngày 30.4.1975. Giới thiệu với Trại Ban 1. Nhiệm vụ của tôi và các nhân viên thuộc quyền là quân trả quân số của Tiểu Núi, lưu giữ và nhất là hòa số của nhân của quân nhân các cấp trong đơn vị.

Toài ñăõ phước vui trong quân ñoài 7 năm 4 tháng. Toài ñăõ làm việc với tất cả khẩu súng của mình ñể phước với tất cả quốc, chống lại Cộng Sản Bắc Việt gây chiến xâm lăng Miền Nam Việt Nam, bảo vệ miền Nam không bỏ rơi vào tay Cộng Sản và bảo vệ ñời sống và sự an lành của ñàng bào. Toài ñăõ ñôi khen thưởng 2 huy chương Anh Dũng Bội Tinh, nhiều loại huy chương khác và nhiều bằng Tổ quốc Lực. Toài cũng ñôi mang huy hiệu “Kontum Kieu Hưng”.

Lịch sử ñăõ chứng minh cộng sản cai trị dân bằng bạo lực, bằng sự lừa bịp và dối trá. Chứng dương mọi thủ ñoạn tàn bạo nhất ñể cướp ñôi chính quyền. Chứng giết ñàng bào của chứng không gồm tay, miễn làm sao giết ñôi cai chính quyền ñăc ñưng của chứng ñể ñe ñau ñe cả bóc lột nhân dân, làm giàu trên xương máu và sự lao ñang của ñàng bào.

Sau khi chiến ñôi miền Nam Việt Nam. Cộng Sản ñăõ lừa hăng traên ngàn Số Quan, Cảnh sát, cán bộ Xây Dựng Nông Thôn, cán bộ Xã Ái, thanh viên các ñưng phái Quốc Gia và nhân viên Chính Quyền tổ trung cấp ñến cao cấp VNCH vào trong các trại tù khổ sai mà chứng gọi là “Trại Cải Tạo”. Thậm chí chứng bắt giam tất cả những tu sĩ tuýn uy Cộng Giáo, Phật Giáo, Tin Lành... tập trung cải tạo, nhiều lính mui tuýn uy Cộng giáo cũng trại với tôi ở Huân Môn và trại Tân Hiệp nhờ lính mui Cao, LM. Khai, LM. Nam, LM. Trình... sau ñó các lính mui này chuyển ra cải tạo ngoại Bắc. Những người giàu có chứng tìm ñu mọi thủ ñoạn cướp hết tài sản rồi ñi cải tạo hoặc bỏ ñi này ở các vùng khác họ có gây mà chứng gọi là “Kinh Tế Mới”. Nhiều ngàn người ñăõ bỏ cộng sản giết tất cả khoả gần ñem ra toàn xéu. Nhiều ngàn người ñăõ bỏ bỏ ñời, oán ñau bệnh tật không có thuốc chữa bệnh, hoặc bỏ giết trong các trại cải tạo. Tôi lúc của cộng sản ñăc với dân tộc Việt Nam thật kinh hoàng và ñăc lúc nhiều không kể xiết.

Biến cố 30.4.1975 xảy ra làm một năm ñau ñón vô cùng lớn lao cho dân tộc Việt Nam. Miền Nam Việt Nam bỏ mất vào tay cộng sản Bắc Việt phái ñời tháng ra làm Mỹ ñăõ bỏ rơi Miền Nam Việt Nam vì quyền lợi của ñộc Mỹ. Cải cách của Tổng Thống Ngô Ñình Diệm ngày 2.11.1963 do chính phủ Mỹ chủ mưu gây ra, Mỹ ñăõ mồi bẫy tay của một số tướng lãnh phái ñời nhờ Đông viên Minh, Mai hân Xuân, Trần viên Nhuận, Toàn thất Ñinh.... làm cuộc ñău chiến giết Tổng Thống Ngô Ñình Diệm, làm một sai lầm lớn của chính phủ Mỹ trong công cuộc ngăn chặn làn sóng bệnh trở ñưng của cộng sản quốc tế. Với ñộc loá, chính sách của chính phủ Mỹ ñăõ làm cho Miền Nam VN hoàn toàn lệ thuộc vào Mỹ nhờ làm một “chỗ dựa” chủ không phái ñàng ñời. Chê có một ñộc Mỹ duy nhất viên trôi ñời cho Miền Nam VN trong chiến tranh. Trong khi cộng sản Bắc Việt ñôi cai khống cộng sản quốc tế nhờ Liên Bang Xô Viết, Trung Cộng, Cu-Ba và các ñộc cộng sản Nông Ái nhờ Tiệp Khắc, Ba Lan, Ñông Ñộc, Hungary, Bungary, Rumany.... viên trôi vũ khí chiến tranh ñến ñăi và hàng chục ngàn có vấn quân sự sang giúp cộng sản Bắc Việt. Sau hiệp ñình Paris năm 1973, Mỹ cắt viên trôi quân sự cho Miền Nam trong lúc cộng sản Bắc Việt ñang ñem ñăi quân ở ñể xâm chiến Miền Nam lại ñôi sự hoả trở tích cực về vũ khí ñăi ñôi và các trang thiết bị cho chiến tranh không ñăi chế của cải khống cộng sản quốc tế. Nhờ thế thì làm sao quân ñăi Việt Nam Cộng Hoà ñông có ñăi với các quân cộng sản. Chứng tôi rất ñau lòng vì bỏ Mỹ bỏ rơi và uất hận vì cải thua nhục này. Ngày 30.4.1975 ñăõ trôi thanh ngày ñau thông của cải dân tộc Việt Nam mà chứng tôi gọi

lạc Ngaoy Quóc Hañ. Vì theá moãi naêm còu ñeán ngaoy 30.4, ngaoy ñau thông cuûa ñaát nồùc, Ngaoy Quóc Hañ, ngaoy maø CSBV xeù boù Hieäp Ñònñ Paris ñòa quaân xaâm chieám Mieàn Nam VN ñem tang thông, cheát choùc vaø ñoù ngheø ñeán cho daân toác, trong loøng moïi ngôôøi laïi daâng lên nieàm ñau uaát hañ vì toả quóc ñang bò loạï quyû ñoù daøy xeùo. Coäng ñoàng ngôôøi Vieät tí nañ coäng saùn taï haui ngoai khaép nôï trên theá giòu ñeàu toả chòu Ngaoy Quóc Hañ ñeã tööùng nhòu ñeán ngaoy ñau thông cuûa ñaát nồùc.

BNgaoy 30.4.1975 :

Vaøo nhöõng ngaoy cuoái cuøng cuûa thaùng 4 naêm 1975. Luùc ñoù Sô Ñoàøn 18 Boä Binh phaui ñöông ñaàu vòu 5 sô ñoàøn quaân coäng saùn Baéc Vieät ôu tænh Long Khaùnh. “Nhaát hoả nan ñòch quaàn hoả”, trong khi laïi khoâng ñöôïc yeãm trôï vaø thieáu vũ khí ñaï ñöôïc cho naên Sô Ñoàøn 18 BB ñaõ phaui môu ñöông màu ruùt veà caên còu Long Bình, Bieân Hoà. Cuøng ngaoy hoâm ñoù, neáu toái nhòu khoâng làm lạc ngaoy 23.4.1975 cuøng lạc ngaoy Toảng Thoáng Nguyeãn Vaên Thieäu lên ñaï truyeàn hình tuyeân boá töø chòu vaø trao quyềøn Toảng Thoáng cho Phò Toảng Thoáng Traàn Vaên Höông. Ngôôøi ngôôøi hoang mang, quaân ñaï hoang mang. Luùc ñoù, maéc dù toái ñaõ mang caáp baéc Ñaï UÛy nhöng sô hieäu bieát cuûa toái veà chính trò coøn raát non keùm. Toái khoâng hieäu gì caù! Taï sao Toảng Thoáng Thieäu laïi töø chòu? AÛp löïc cuûa Myõ hay cuûa moät theá löïc naø khaùc? Toái hoàøn toàøn khoâng hieäu! Vaø chæ ít ngaoy sau Toảng Thoáng Traàn vaên Höông phaui trao quyềøn Toảng Thoáng cho Ñaï Tööùng Döông vaên Minh vì bò aùp löïc cuûa phe thaân coäng saùn vaø phaun chieán naêm trong chính quyềøn vaø Quóc Hoäi!

Luùc ñoù, mieàn Trung vaø vuøng Cao Nguyeãn Trung Phaàn ñaõ löit vaøo tay Coäng Saùn Baéc Vieät (CSBV). Quaân Ñoàøn I vaø Quaân Ñoàøn II ñaõ tan raõ tröôùc söùc taán coäng nhò vũ baõ cuûa CSBV. Nhieäu ngôôøi lính cuøng nhò só quan caùc caáp vaø moät soá tööùng laõnh ñaõ troán khoù ñôn vò. Hòï ñaøo nguõ veà vòu gia ñinh, hoặç troán ñi theo nhöõng chuyeán bay di taùn cuûa Myõ. Nhöõng ngôôøi coøn ôu laïi vaãn tieáp tuïc chieán ñaáu . Hòï chieán ñaáu cho ñeán khi Döông Vaên Minh tuyeân boá ñaàu haøng, dù chieán ñaáu trong tuyeät vöïng. Toái khoâng ñaøo nguõ, toái lạc nhöõng ngôôøi ôu laïi chieán ñaáu ñeán giaây phuùt cuoái cuøng, vaø chính tai toái ñaõ nghe Döông Vaên Minh tuyeân boá ñaàu haøng coäng saùn trên ñaï phaùt thanh. Toái ñaõ khoùc, thöïc sô toái ñaõ khoùc vì caùl nhuïc ñaàu haøng cuûa Döông Vaên Minh. Ñôn vò cuûa toái di taùn theo Boä Tö Leãnh Sô Ñoàøn 18BB veà ñeán Cùc Coäng Binh ôu ñöông Nguyeãn Tri Phöông, Saï Goøn vaøo buoäi saùng ngaoy 30.4.1975.

Thöïc tình toái khoâng bao giôø nghó Quaân Löïc Vieät Nam Coäng Hoà hươg maïnh nhò theá maø laïi thua coäng saùn. Neáu Myõ vaãn tieáp tuïc vieãn trôï chaéc chaén chuùng toái khoâng theá thua coäng saùn. Nhöng, chính phuù Myõ vì quyềøn löï rieâng tö cuûa nồùc Myõ, vaø nhaát lạc bò aùnh höôùng naëng neà cuûa nhöõng ngôôøi phaun chieán naên ñaõ boù rôi ñoàng minh moät caùch khoâng thông tieác!

Buoäi tròa ngaoy 30.4.1975, toái ñi xe ñaïp lên Toaø Ñaï Sòu Myõ ôu ñöông Thoáng Nhaát, nhìn caùnh haøng ngaøn ngôôøi chen chuùc, xoá laán taï coäng ra vaøo, thaãm chí treø lên haøng raø Toaø Ñaï Sòu coá vöùt vaøo beãn trong ñeã ñöôïc Myõ cho di taùn, toái thaät ngao ngaùn. Toái gheù Beán Baïch Ñaëng, haøng ngaøn ngôôøi cuøng ñang xoá laán nhau lên chieác taàu ñaõ ñaày aép ngôôøi choán

chạy công sân. Tôi thông hỏi, tôi thông tôi và tôi thông dân tộc tôi. Không tôi không làm nổi gì cả!

Luộc rồi tôi nữa còn một con gái và với tôi nâng còn bầu nữa con thỏ hai gaon nên ngày sinh. Thối rồi tôi không còn yù nòng di tâm vì ngoại với con tôi ra, tôi còn cha mẹ già và 7 người em còn nhỏ. Tôi không thể bỏ với con, bỏ cha mẹ già và nên em nên thoát thân một mình. Tôi rất thông yêu với con tôi, thông yêu cha mẹ và tất cả các em tôi. Tôi quyết nòng ous lại. Tôi nữa hay tôi rồi!

Ngày còn rất nhỏ, vào những năm 1949 - 1950 tôi nữa theo cha mẹ tâm cơ khôi lạc tôi vì Việt Minh (tiền thân của Công Sản) nên chiếm mất chuồng gọi là “đốt tẻ”. Năm 1954, sau hiệp định Genève, lúc rồi tôi 10 tuổi, tôi theo cha mẹ di cư vào Nam nên choán chạy công sân. Bây giờ công sân tiến chiếm miền Nam, tôi chạy rồi nữa?

Buổi chiều ngày 16.6.1975 tôi nữa với tôi thì sinh ous beanh viên Trồng Vông, nông Nguyễn Văn Thoại, Sỏi Gòn. Với tôi nữa sinh cháu gái thỏ hai vào ngày hôm sau 17.6.1975. Lúc này trên nhà phát thanh, Ủy Ban Quân Quân nữa kêu gọi các Số Quan thì trình diện hoặc tập. Số quan cấp Ủy thì trình diện mang quân áo và lòng thối 10 ngày. Số quan cấp Tàu trôi lên 30 ngày. Ai cũng oán nạo thì trình diện hoặc tập nên còn trôi về với gia đình lo làm ăn sinh sống. Trong bụng nghĩ và nghĩ nhiều tại sao công sân nòng không trôi thu? Vì thấy rằng trước rồi hàng trên ngày Hai Số Quan và hàng trên ngày Bình Số chẻ hoặc tập còn 3 ngày hoặc 1 tuần lễ, sáng thì chiều về, và giờ này rồi nữa ous nhạo với gia đình. Thế là mỗi người trong các diện mất chuồng kêu gọi nên “yên tâm” tở giao gia đình thì trình diện “tập trung hoặc tập cuối tở”.

Theo yù Nguyễn của với tôi, ngày 22.6.1975 tôi nữa với tôi và nữa con gái nhỏ mỗi sinh nổi 6 ngày về nhà Ngoại (cha mẹ với) ous Xóm Mũi, Gò Vấp. Tôi nuôi với tôi sau 10 ngày “hoặc tập” về tôi sẽ lên nòng về Nối (cha mẹ tôi) nên rồi tôi cho cháu, theo luật nhà Công Giáo. Chiều ngày 23 tháng 6, em trai tôi chỏ tôi trên chiếc xe nữa thì trình diện ous Trồng Nối Hoặc Y Khoa ous nông Trai Hoang Quân, Chỏ Lùn. Ngày nên hôm rồi công sân đoàn chuồng tôi trên những chiếc xe Molotova bít bụng chỏ nên trai cuối tở nữa tiến ous Húc Mỏn (doanh trai cũ của Liên Nỏn 5 Công Binh VNCH).

C. Sau Ngày 30 tháng 4 năm 1975:

Những người bạn con, hồi hàng tìm trỏ tại nhà cha mẹ tôi tở tháng 3.75 sau khi miền Trung và vương Cao Nguyễn bỏ mất vào tay công sân, nay tìm nông trôi về quê quán. Cha mẹ tôi nghe, những nữa phải nuôi ăn trên hai chục người kẻ cả anh em chuồng tôi, cho nên nữa phải nên bần thì tất cả những nỏ nên trong nhà còn thể bần nổi nên láy tiền mua gạo. Cuối cùng là chiếc xe gác mấy chiếc Honda là phòng tiền di chuyển duy nhất của cả gia đình cũng phải nên bần.

Những ngày nữa tháng 5/1975, tôi và những anh em với của tôi là những số quan VNCH rất lo sợ công sân trôi thu. Vì chuồng tôi nữa nghe và nhìn thấy bỏ nỏ công sân bán giá nhiều người ngay ngoại nông phó. Một người lính cũ của tôi là Trung số Thành nữa bỏ công sân lái ra khỏi nhà bán chẻ khi công sân chiếm Ban Mê Thuột ngày 10.3.1975. Vì thế chuồng tôi không dám ous nhạo, phải tìm trỏ trên ous nhạo người Cô. Sau một tuần lễ thấy không còn ai nên nhạo tìm kiếm, nên chuồng tôi trôi về với gia đình.

Khoaung nõua thaung sau, moät ngöôøi baïn hoïc cuûa toái hoài coøn nouõ ôu ngoaøi Baéc teân laø Caáp ñaõ ñeán nhaø toái thaêm hoûi cha meï toái - Caáp laø Trung UÛy boä ñoài coäng saün - Gaëp toái, Caáp noui taïi sao toái khoâng boû chaïy ra nõôùc ngoaøi. Ôû laïi laø toái seõ khoả laém! Baây giòø tìm ñöôøng ñi vaãn kòp. Baèng moïi giaù toái phaûi troán ñi. Nhöng nhö treân toái ñaõ noui, toái khoâng theá troán chaïy moät mình boû laïi vôï con vaø cha meï giaø vaø ñaøn em nouõ. Toái ñaõ ôu laïi muoán ra sao thì ra! Trong chieán tranh toái khoâng cheát thì baây giòø toái cuõng khoâng theá cheát ñöôïc. Caáp coøn caên daën cha meï toái raèng baèng moïi giaù phaûi ôu laïi Saøi Goøn, ñöôøng vì baát còu lyù do gì ñi “Kinh Teá Môûi”. Vì thöïc teá “kinh teá môûi” laø noui ñaây aûi nhöõng ngöôøi daën thaønh thò, ôu noui khoâng theá soáng ñöôïc! Sau ngaøy noui thì toái khoâng coøn gaëp Caáp nõõa.

Theá laø taát caù ñeàu bò löøa! Caù mieàn Nam Vieät Nam bò löøa! Ñaâu phaûi 10 ngaøy hay 30 ngaøy nhö chuùng ñöïc ra raû treân ñaøi phaùt thanh cuõng nhö ñaêng treân baøu Saøi Goøn Giaùu Phòùng (SGGP) veà chính saùch “khoan hoàng nhaân ñaõ” cuûa “Chính Phuû Caùch Maïng Laâm Thôøi Mieàn Nam Vieät Nam”. Ngöôøi ít nhaát laø bò “caùu taïo” treân moät naêm, còu ngöôøi 5 naêm, 10 naêm vaø thaãm chí ñeán 17 naêm nhö Thieáu Tôûng Lê Minh Ñaõu, Tô Lênh Sô Ñoaøn 18 Boä Binh. Rieäng caù nhaân toái bò tuø 6 naêm. Toái ñaõ traûi qua 6 traïi tuø: Traïi caùu taïo Hoüc Moân, Long Giao (Long Khaùnh), traïi Taân Hieäp (Bieân Hoaø), traïi Buø Gia Maäp (Phöôùc Long), traïi Minh Höng ôu Buø Ñaêng, vaø cuoái cuøng laø tuø ôu traïi Gia Trung (Pleiku). Toái ra traïi ngaøy 11.6.1981. Chính caùn boä quaûn giaùo teân laø Hieän (cai tuø coäng saün) noui vôùi chuùng toái raèng ngöôøi naøo chöa qua traïi caùu taïo Gia Trung coi nhö chöa caùu taïo! Ñeàu naøy còu nghóa laø traïi caùu taïo Gia Trung laø traïi gian khoả nhaát! Khoâng bieát laø haén noui thaät hay chæ laø huø doaï. Vì nhöõng ngöôøi baïn toái bò tuø ôu ngoaøi Baéc cho bieát taïi caùu taïo Coäng Trôøi laø kinh khuûng hôn caù. Moät ngaøn ngöôøi thì may ra chæ soáng söùt ñöôïc vaøi chöïc ngöôøi! Kinh hoaøng hôn caù traïi Lyù Baù Sô ôu Thanh Hoaù.

Tuaàn leä ñaâu tieân ôu traïi caùu taïo Hoüc Moân, chuùng toái phaûi khai lyù lòch baûn thaân, quaù trình hoaït ñoäng phuïc vuï cho chính phuû VNCH... khai lyù lòch ba ñöøi cuûa döøng hoï. Phaûi khai ñi khai laïi naêm laàn baây löôit maø caùn boä coäng saün cuõng vaãn coøn muoán chuùng toái khai nõõa, cho neân sau noui còu moãi naêm phaûi khai laïi lyù lòch ít nhaát laø moät laàn, ñaây laø vieäc laøm töôûng nhö deã daøng, nhöng söi thaät laø moät ñeàu khuø khaên vaø nguy hieäm! Ñoàng thòøi döïn deïp veä sinh trong traïi. Sang tuaàn leä thòu hai, chuùng toái ñöôïc ñöôïc leänh phaûi ñaøo gieáng, moãi ñöøi (30 ngöôøi) ñaøo moät caùu gieáng ñeä laáy nõôùc aên uoáng vaø taém röûa. Chuùng toái nghó khoâng hieäu taïi sao hoïc taäp chæ còu 10 ngaøy maø laïi baét phaûi ñaøo gieáng trong khi ñaõ còu nõôùc maùy cuûa Lieân Ñoaøn 5 Coäng Binh tröôùc ñaây vaãn xöù döïng, hay laø hoï baét ñaøo gieáng ñeä choân soáng mình? Chuùng toái baét ñaâu lo söi, nhöng cuõng vaãn phaûi laøm! Gaàn moät thaung sau còu moät soá anh em thaéc maéc hoûi caùn boä coäng saün: “*Taïi sao “caùch maïng” noui hoïc 10 ngaøy maø ñeán nay ñaõ gaàn moät thaung roài maø chöa thaáy hoïc taäp gì caù?”* Hoï noui: “*Ai baùo caùc anh laø hoïc taäp 10 ngaøy hay 30 ngaøy?”* Chuùng toái traû lôøi: “*Thi ñaøi phaùt thanh vaø baøu SGGP còu ñaêng tin naøy, chuùng toái còu ñeâm theo töø baøu SGGP*”. Hoï laïi noui: “*Sao caùc anh laø só quan maø ngaây thô theá! Caùc anh ñöïc laïi baøi baùo cho chuùng toái nghe xem naøo! Lênh chæ noui caùc anh ñeâm theo quaàn aùo vaø löông thöïc 10 ngaøy, chöù còu baùo caùc anh hoïc taäp 10 ngaøy hay 30 ngaøy ñaâu. Caùc anh seõ cheát ruø tuø caù luõ, chöù ñöôøng còu noui 10 ngaøy hay 30 ngaøy. Nhöõng coäng vieäc laøm cuûa caùc anh hieän nay cuõng laø hoïc taäp caùu taïo ñaáy! Ai thaønh thöïc khai baøu vaø hoïc taäp “tieán boä” thì treân xeùt cho veä ñoaøn tuï vôùi gia ñình. Ai ngoan coá thì cheát ruø tuø!”*”

Sau hôn một tháng, cò một vài số quan ôu khu bên cạnh gần cách vùi khu tôi bôi hương rạo kem gai tìm cách trốn trại nào bỏ Việt Cộng bán chắt cạnh hương rạo kem gai! Vì lâu quá rồi tôi không còn nhớ tên và cấp bậc của những số quan bỏ giết rồi. Khoảng ba, bốn tháng sau trên phân nửa số người trong trại bỏ bệnh ghê ghê, kiệt lý, phụ thuộc sức khỏe suy giảm thì khoảng một phần ba choáng gầy hoặc vón vẹo vai người khác mùi cò thể thì một, tôi cũng bỏ bệnh nhờ những người rồi. Khoảng năm tháng sau thì ¾ số người trong trại bỏ bệnh. Lý do là ăn uống thiếu thốn, không đủ chất dinh dưỡng lại còn bỏ không báo tình thần liên tục. Trại Hoàng Môn chờ khoảng một ngàn người. Thấy tình trạng nguy ngập nhờ vậy, cộng sản nào cho mỗi người viết thư về gia đình xin tiếp tế thuốc chữa bệnh và thức phẩm, giới hạn mỗi người 3 kilogram. Sợ thật, nếu không cò thuốc và nào ăn của gia đình gửi cho chúng tôi thì cò lẽ chúng tôi nào chắt vì bệnh ngay những tháng nào tiền trong trại cái tai Hoàng Môn.

Năm 1976, chúng tôi một phần nào nên trại Long Giao, tỉnh Long Khánh, (trại này nguyên là khu gia binh cũ của một đơn vị thuộc SN18BB). Ở đây một phần hôn 1 tháng nào cò mấy người trốn trại bỏ bán chắt và một anh thoát cả bằng giấy nên thoát tới tở. Lâu này quá nên tôi cũng không nhớ tên những người này. Cuối năm 1976, chúng tôi lại một phần chuyển về trại Tân Hiệp, tỉnh Biên Hòa. Ở đây một phần gần một năm, qua năm 1977 chúng tôi bỏ nào thì lao nào khoảng sai ôu Bù Gia Mập thuộc tỉnh Phước Long.

Bù Gia Mập là một khu của cộng sản trước đây, cách biên giới Campuchia khoảng 6 cây số một phần chim bay. Chúng tôi phải chặt cây, phải rừng nên làm như ôu, làm ruộng rẫy trồng lúa, bắp, khoai mì, khoai lang.... Chúng tôi chờ bao giờ làm những công việc nặng nhọc này, vì thế đây là một cốc hình nào vùi chúng tôi, trong khi ăn uống lại rất thiếu thốn. Bữa chúng tôi luân phiên rồi, phải kiếm rau rừng, măng tre, củ rừng... ăn cho đỡ rồi. Một số người nào bỏ ngoài nào vì ăn rau rừng, củ rừng và nấm rừng... Ngay tháng nào tiền nào cò một người tôi còn nhớ tên là Thiệu Tài Nguyên vẫn Thoảng chờ cốc hình khoảng ngoài phải này và nào uống lúa tới tở. Chính một tôi và nhiều người chúng tôi kiếm những củ một phần vì lúa cháy quá lùn tở một nào cây khoai một phần nào cháy nên lá tro làm phân bón và trồng cho nên trồng khoai mì, trồng lúa... Với ba tháng sau, cò nhiều người trốn trại vượt biên giới sang Campuchia nên thì Thái Lan bằng một phần bỏ. Những người này khoảng thoát một phần, họ nên bỏ giết ôu một phần biên giới, những người bán của tôi là Nãi uy Tạ, Nãi Uy Sanh và Nãi Uy Thiệp nào bỏ giết khi trốn trại. Một số trốn về Sài Gòn bằng xe nhờ do gia đình trả cho vùi giá trị tôi gửi thì thoát.

Chúng tôi lao nào liên tục tở sàng nên chắt, nhờ lên rừng chặt cây gỗ, chặt tre, chặt nên... làm như, trồng lúa, trồng khoai lang, khoai mì, bắp, làm củ lúa... Nên mùa thì tập trung thu hoạch nên về trại. Những chúng tôi không một phần nên những nào tôi tở tở này mà phải ăn toàn nào củ gạo mốc, khoai sọ. Làm việc thật cốc nhọc những chắt một phần nên hai chắt cơm nên vùi khoai lang, khoai mì và một củ khoai vùi một phần mua và rau rừng, hoặc măng tre chúng tôi tới kiếm một phần khi thì lao rừng trong rừng. Ngày này cũng lao nào khoảng sai và ăn uống thiếu thốn nhờ vậy, nên chúng tôi kiệt sức rất nhanh, người chắt còn da bọc xương. Khoảng một phần khổ kiệt! Nào thế, mỗi buổi tối chúng tôi còn phải học tập, kiếm kiếm công việc hương này nên chín, một phần giờ nên một phần thì ngủ! Làm không đủ chắt tiền thì bỏ cộng sản nên nên, giam cầm và bỏ ruột một phần nên vào nên

quau ít. Chæ sau moät naêm, ít nhaát ñaõ coù vaøi chuïc ngôôøi cheát vì kieät sôùc, hoaëc ñau beänh kieät lĩ, soát reùt røøng... nhöng khoâng coù thuoác chõõa. Taát caù nhöõng ngôôøi naøy toái ñeàu khoâng nhòu teân.

Naêm 1978, khi coäng saün Vieät Nam ñaunh chieám Kampuchia. Chuùng toái phaùu chuyeån traïi ñeán “Khu Kinh Teá Môùi” Minh Höng gaàn soùc Baêm Bo, nôï giam caàm nhöõng thaønh phaàn teá ñoan xaõ hoãi ñeã traunh chieán tranh. Veà traïi naøy chuùng toái laïi phaùu chaët caây phaù røøng ñeã troàng luùa, troàng ñaâu phuing, troàng khoai mì, khoai lang vaø troàng caây cao su. Chuùng toái laø nhöõng ngôôøi tuø ñaõ bò ñaøy ñoia aên uoáng khoã sôù thieáu thoán, ñoùi aên vaø laøm vieäc coïc nhoïc, nhöng khi nhìn thaáy nhöõng ngôôøi daân thaønh thò veà soáng ôu ñaây goïi laø “vùng kinh teá môùi”, chuùng toái laïi caøng thöông hoï hôn, vì hoï coøn ñoùi khoã hôn chuùng toái, nhaát laø nhöõng ngôôøi giaø vaø caùc em nhòu. Sau khi ñaõ troàng haøng traêm maãu cao su vaø luùa cuõng nhò caùc caây noàng nghieäp khaùc. Chuùng chuùng toái laïi phaùu chuyeån ñi traïi Gia Trung, tænh Pleiku vaøo cuoái naêm 1979 vaø moät soá lòun chuyeån ñi Haøm Taân, tænh Bình Tuy.

Ôù traïi Gia Trung, toái ñöôïc xeáp vaøo “ñoù rau xanh”, coäng vieäc haøng ngaøy cuõa toái laø ñi láy phaân traâu, phaân boø, vaø phaân ngôôøi veà boun cho ruoäng rau. Vieäc laøm thaät coïc khoã, baân thæu vaø maát veã sinh, nhöng toái khoâng theã traunh neù. Khi ñi lao ñoäng luôn luôn coù moät caùn boã quaùn giaùo vaø hai veã binh vuõ trang caàm suùng AK daãn ñi vaø kieám soaùt chuùng toái laøm vieäc. Nhieàu ngôôøi quau yeáu keùm sôùc khoùe khoâng laøm ñuù chæ tieâu ñaõ bò ñaunh baèng baùng suùng hoaëc nhoát trong nhaø giam vaø bò cuøm chaân. Buoãi chieàu veà ñeán traïi laø bò nhoát ngay vaøo trong phoøng giam, ñeán böõa aên ñöôïc môù coõu ra ngoaøi nhaân ñoà aên. Böõa aên cuõng chæ coù moät cheùn côõ ñoàn vòu khoai mì hoaëc khoai lang khoã, aên vòu canh rau vaø chuët nõõc muoái. Côõ gaõ vaø khoai ñeàu cuõ vaø aâm moác boác lên muøi raát khoù chòu vaø chaéc chaén khoâng coøn chaát boá döõng. Nhöng neáu khoâng aên thì aên caùi gì ñaây!

Lao ñoäng khoã sai ôù traïi Gia Trung 18 thaùng thì toái ñöôïc tha veà vòu gia ñình. Trong Giaáy Ra Traïi ghi veà ñeán ñò phöông phaùu trình dieãn coäng an phöông vaø bò quaùn cheá 1 naêm. Tröôùc khi nhaân Giaáy Ra Traïi chuùng toái phaùu laøm tô cam keát, phaùu tuaân haønh theo leänh veà cô truù vaø lao ñoäng cuõa chính quyèan ñò phöông. Thôøi gian quaùn cheá 1 naêm cuõng laø moät coïc hình vaø thòu thaùch, haøng tuaàn chuùng toái phaùu trình dieãn coäng an phöông vòu cuoán soá ghi chi tieát nhöõng ngaøy trong tuaàn ñaõ laøm caùi gì, ñi nhöõng ñaâu vaø tieáp xuùc vòu ai, trao ñoái nhöõng caùu chuyeån gì vòu nhöõng ngôôøi naøy. Ñi laøm vieäc thì laøm caùi gì, laøm vòu ai, kieám ñöôïc bao nhieäu tieàn moät ngaøy.v.v... Sau moät naêm neáu khoâng vì phaïm nhöõng ñeàu luaät do chính quyèan ñeà ra chuùng toái seõ ñöôïc xeùt cho phuïc hoài quyèan coäng daân, vaø sau ñoù ñöôïc xeùt cho nhaäp khoã khaùu thöông truù. Khi xeùt traù quyèancoäng daân hay xeùt cho thöông truù, coäng an khu vöïc seõ hoïp toã daân phoá. Nhöõng ngôôøi trong toã seõ nhaân xeùt veà caùc haønh vi ñaõ ñöùc, coäng vieäc laøm aên cuõa mình coù löõng thieän khoâng? Thaù ñò ñoái vòu chính quyèan ñò phöông vaø ngôôøi daân trong toã daân phoá... Nhieàu ngôôøi phaùt bieäu yù kieán vaø nhaân xeùt, neáu khoâng coù gì sai phaïm thì xeùt cho nhaäp hoã khaùu thöông truù hoaëc traù quyèan coäng daân

Khi chuùng toái ñöôïc tha ra traïi. Chuùng toái ñöôïc traïi phaùt moät böõ quaàn aùo vaø 100\$ VN ñeã laøm loã phí ñi veà vòu gia ñình. Toái vaø moät soá ngôôøi baïn duøng phöông tieän xe lôùu veà Saøi Goøn. Toái ra traïi ngaøy 11.6.1981, nhöng maõ ñeán ngaøy 16.6.81 môù veà ñeán nhaø vì phaùu chò ñi maáy ngaøy môù coù xe lôùu veà Saøi Goøn.

Maáy ngaøy nay gia ñình toái vaãn mong chò toái veà vì chính quyèan ñò phöông ñaõ baùo tin cho gia ñình toái töø hôn moät tuaàn leã tröôùc. Khoaùg 9 giöø

toái toái veà ñeán nhaø. Cha meï toái, vôi con toái, caùc em toái möøng rôø voà cuøng. Meï toái ñaõ khoùc khi nhìn thaáy toái. Haøng xoùm laùng gieàng cuøng ñeán thaêm hoùì toái. Thaät laø caùm ñoäng. Tình caùm cuøa moïi ngôøøi ñoái vôi toái thaät toát ñeip.

Cha meï toái troàng giaø ñi raát nhieàu, gaày goø vaø ñen ñuôi vì haøng ngaøy cha toái phaùì ñi ñaïp xích lô, meï toái nöøùng baùnh traùng ñi baùn ñeà kieám soáng haøng ngaøy. Vôi toái thì quaù gaày vaø xanh xao, haøng ngaøy vaãn phaùì ñaïp xe ñaïp ñeán taãn Long An, Beán Lồc mua gaïo veà baùn nuôi con vaø nuôi toái trong tuø. Caùc con toái nay ñaõ goïi laø lòu, vì khi toái ñi tuø, con gaùi lòu mồi coù 4 tuổì thì nay ñaõ 10 tuổì. Con gaùi nhôu mồi sanh ñoïc 6 ngaøy nay ñaõ 6 tuổì. Caùc con toái nhìn toái thaät xa la, phaùì moät luùc laâu sau caùc con toái mồi nhaãn ra toái vaø toù veù thaãn thieãn.

Nghæ döøng söc ñoïc 10 ngaøy, toái phaùì baét tay vaøo coàng vieäc laøm ñeà phui giuùp vôi toái nuôi caùc con. Laøm gì ñeà kieám tieàn baây giò? Cha toái baùo coù ñi ñaïp xích lô, tuy coù coïc khoả nhöng ñoïc “tôi do”, luùc naøo khoeù thì ñi chôi khaùch, luùc naøo meät thì tìm goác cây coù boùng maùt naèm nghæ treãn xích lô. Tieàn kieám khoàng ñoïc nhieàu nhöng cuøng taïm ñuôi aên. Toái nghe lòu cha toái vaø baét tay vaøo “ngheà” xích lô. Ñaïp xích lô ñoïc hôn moät naèm toái bò kieät söc vaø bò beãn phoái coù nöøc. Toái phaùì nghæ gaàn moät naèm trôøi ñeà chôi beãn. Raát may, toái coù ngôøøi em trai laø lính Haùì Quaân ñaõ theo taàu chieán ñi Mỹ töø naèm 1975 ñaõ goùì thuóc vaø tieàn veà cho cho chôi beãn vaø aên uoáng boài döøng söc khoeù. Trong thôøi gian naøy, toái xin vieäc laøm ôu Hôip Taùc Xaõ Hôip Nhaát, sau ñoù laø Hôip Taùc Xaõ Xuaân Yeán, chuyeãn laøm haøng maý tre laù vaø maøn truùc xuaát khaù.

Khoùì beãn, toái boù vieäc laøm ôu hôip taùc xaõ, theo cha toái ñi laøm thôi hoà, thôi moác - luùc naøy cha toái khoàng coøn ñi ñaïp xích lô, oàng ñaõ trôø veà ngheà cuø laø ngheà xaây döïng nhaø coù - Trôø ñeà ñaây cha toái ñi lính cho quaân ñoái Phaùp, caáp baäc Trung Só. Khi quaân ñoái Phaùp ruùt veà nöøc, cha toái giaùì nguõ naèm 1955, vaø oàng ñi laøm ngheà thôi xaây döïng nhaø coù töø naèm ñoù. Toái laøm ngheà xaây döïng nhaø coù cho ñeán ngaøy ñi Mỹ.

Toái vaø vôi con rôøi Saøi Goøn ngaøy 8.3.1991 ñeán Oklahoma City, tieâu bang Oklahoma ngaøy 15.3.1991. Gia ñình toái vaø nhöng gia ñình cuøng ñi moät chuyeãn bay phaùì ôu laïi nhaø tuø Suan Plu, Thaùì Lan moät tuàn laë ñeà laøm thuù tuïc nhaäp co vaøo Hoa Kyø. Tính ñeán nay, toái ñaõ soáng ôu nöøc Mỹ ñoïc 13 naèm vaø 3 thaùng. Toái caùm ôn nöøc Mỹ ñaõ coù mang gia ñình toái, coù mang haøng trieäu ngôøøi Vieät Nam tí naïn coàng saùn, trong ñoù coù treãn 100 ngaøn coù tuø nhaãn chính trò.

Nhõ toái ñaõ trình baøy vôi coà Thuý trong cuoäc phöng vaãn ngaøy 30.7.2001. Qua ñaây, toái vaø vôi laøm ngheà quay heo, quay vòt vaø nhieàu mồi aên khaùc nhõ baùnh cuoán, chaùo loøng, caø pheà... Tieäm Hôip Kyù laø nôi toái laøm vieäc töø hôn 13 naèm nay do vôi choàng ngôøøi em ruoät toái giuùp voán. Tieäm Hôip Kyù cuøng laø nôi hoài hoïp cuøa Hoài Coù Tuø Nhaãn Chính Trò maø hieãn nay toái laøm Hoài Trôø ñeà vaø haøng ngaøy toái gaép gôø caùc chieán hoù trao ñoái nhöng caù chuyeãn veà thôøi sö, chính trò vaø nhöng chuyeãn vui buoàn trong ñoái soáng haøng ngaøy. Vaø baøn tính phöng thöc ñaáu tranh giaùì theá cheá ñoä coàng saùn.

Hoài Coù Tuø Nhaãn Chính Trò ñoïc thaøn laäp thaùng 1/1991. Nhaãn soá luùc ñaùu chæ coù hôn 20 ngôøøi. Luùc cao ñeäm nhaát laø naèm 1996 – 1997 leãn ñeán 300 gia ñình, (khoàng 1500 ngôøøi) nay chæ coøn khoảng 220 ngôøøi vì coù moät soá gia ñình di chuyeãn ñi tieâu bang khaùc, vaø 20 ngôøøi ñaõ cheát vì beãn. Hoài Coù TNCT ñoïc thaøn laäp vôi mồi ñích ban ñaù laø töøng trôø laãn nhau.

Sau vợ năm Hai võng mãin chúng tôi chuyển sang nấu tranh vôi công sơn Việt nam dẫu nhiều hình thù, tranh nấu cho một nòng Việt Nam Tội Do, Dân Chu và Nhân Quyền. Tôi nhớ các chiến hữu trong Hai tin nhiều bầu làm Chu Tịch từ năm 1996 đến nay. Tôi làm việc với Ban Chấp Hành gồm 21 người.

Năm 1993, tôi nhớ ông chủ tịch Công Nông Việt Nam/OK mới làm việc trong Ban Xã Hai. Năm 1996, tôi nhớ ông nông hồng tin nhiều bầu vào chức vụ Phó Chủ tịch Nội Vụ Công Nông nông chung liên danh với cựu Chủ tịch Tổng Tịch vận Be, Chủ tịch Công Nông. Sau đó với cựu Trung Tịch Duy Chông. Tôi làm PCT Nội Vụ/CNVN/OK cho đến năm 2003 thì mất nhiều. Vì bận rộn nhiều công việc nên tôi đã từ chối ra ứng cử chức Chủ tịch Công Nông nhiều kỳ 2003 - 2006. Ngoài ra tôi cũng nhớ bầu vào chức vụ Phó Chủ tịch Nội Vụ Tổng Hai Cựu Tịch Nhân Chính Tr Việt Nam nhiều kỳ 2003 – 2005.

Tâm nguyện của tôi là tiếp tục nấu tranh vôi công sơn Việt Nam. Nấu tranh cho tội do, dân chu và nhân quyền cho Việt Nam. Nấu tranh cho hình phạt của nông bạo và sự trở lại toàn của dân tộc Việt Nam

Nhìn lại quê hương sau 29 năm rời bỏ thoáng nhất nhất nòng, chúng ta chæ nhìn thấy sự nghèo đói của người dân, chæ nhìn thấy sự tha hóa tất cả của xã hai. Thử hỏi ai không đau lòng trước cảnh những cô gái và những trẻ em bỏ bừa ra nòng ngoài làm nô lệ tình dục chæ vì sự nghèo. Ai đã gây ra cảnh đói nghèo này? Xin thưa, chính Hà Chí Minh, tên nòng tên gian ác và những tên lãnh đạo nông CS hiện nay là thủ phạm gây ra tội ác này.

Tại sao 29 năm không có chiến tranh, mà nhất nòng vẫn nghèo, tại sao số nông bạo trong nòng hàng ngày vẫn phải ăn khổ sai, mặc dù Việt Nam nhớ nhiều nòng trên thế giới vẫn trôi kinh tế, vẫn trôi nhân đạo hàng tá nô-la...và trên 3 tá nô-la hàng năm nông bạo ô nhiễm ngoại giới về? Câu trả lời thật đơn giản: Nếu là nông CSVN không có thời tâm xây dựng nhất nòng, chúng chæ có vô vàn sự tàn sát của nhân dân, tại nguyên của nhất cho này tại.

Nếu nông CSVN có thời tâm xây dựng nhất nòng, thời sự muốn nhất nòng phải triển và giàu mãin, nông CSVN hay thời thì dân chu và nhân quyền, trả tội do cho những người yêu nòng nâng đỡ giam cầm và tước đoạt của tội do có quốc tế giúp sát nòng toàn dân cho người tại nòng ra lãnh đạo nhất nòng. Bằng không tất cả những chính sách an dân, kêu gọi quân đội đó, hoặc hội hoặc giúp hay kêu gọi thanh niên, trí thức ô nhiễm ngoại về xây dựng nhất nòng chæ là chiến bại rồi bỏ tay.

Thế chæ chính trò này qua rồi, chæ có dân tộc là trở lại toàn. 29 năm qua, người Việt ô nhiễm ngoại cũng nhờ ô nhiễm nòng nấu liên tục nấu tranh cho Tội Do, Dân Chu và Nhân Quyền, phong trào mỗi ngày một lớn mãin. Nhìn về tổng lại, chúng ta rất lạc quan và tin tưởng chúng bao lâu nữa chæ nông CSVN sẽ sụp đổ. Tôi có thể khẳng định: Chúng tôi thua trong cuộc chiến, nhưng chúng tôi sẽ thắng trong hòa bình.

Trên đây là một lời về gia đình của tôi, về cuộc sống và những hoài niệm và những tâm tư nguyện vọng của cá nhân tôi. Tôi mong sẽ

giúp ích nōōic phàn nảo cho cuoăc nghiêân cōu cuôa coă veà nhōōng ngōōi cōu
tuở nhâân chính trò dōōui cheá nōă coăng saun. Kỉnh chuộc coă thaởnh coăng.
Thaân meán chao coă Thuỳ,

Nguyeãn vaên Sōu

Nhà chæ:

2315 N. Barnes Ave.

Oklahoma City, OK. 73107

Tel: (405) 557 – 1530 vaø (405) 524 – 4827.

Nính keøm cauc baun sao:

- Giaáy Ra Traĩ.
- Quyêát nōnh Phuĩc Hoàì Quyêân Coăng Daân
- Phieáu Ñeà Xuaát Ñaêng Kyù Hoă Khaầu
- Giaáy Baùo nōōic nhaăp hoă khaầu
- Giaáy Chōùng chæ Cỗ Truù
- Giaáy baùo Tin Xuaát Caunh
- Thō Mōi Ñaêng Kyù Chuyêân Bay
- Hoă chieáu (Passeport)

Appendix 4

“Trong Thăm Lặng, Tôi Tranh ãẤu
Tiếng Nói Của Nhặng Ngủi Từ Chính Trĩ Tả Miến Nam Việt Nam”

Tôi là mĩ Sĩ Quan quân lĩc Việt Nam Cộng Hòa vậi chũc vớ dĩ dĩ kĩ Cẩ hĩ trũng công binh, tiũ Çoàn 18 bĩ binh, trong các hành quân tiêu dĩet bĩn Công Sản, tũ mĩt khu mây tào, tánh linh, vớ xu, vớ Çĩc thuĩc tỉnh Long Khánh Bình tuy, Çon tân uyên Biên Hoà, b%on cát lai khê bình dĩũng, mĩet khu dĩũng minh châu Tây Ninh. Tam gia trẽn Cẩnh bảo vĩ Bình Long An Lĩc trong mùa hè Çĩ dĩ 1972. Sau Çĩ hành quân sang Kampuchia Çĩ yĩm trũ cho sũ Çoàn Cẩnh vào cợc R của mĩt trẽn giải phóng miến nam là tiĩn thân của Cĩng Sản Bĩc Việt.

Gia Çĩnh tôi lúc Çĩ gĩm vũ và Çũa con gái mĩi sinh nhà tôi là giáo sũ dĩ dĩ thành phố Sài Gòn, bãn chẩt nhĩn tũ, hiĩn lành, chiợ khó nên chúng tôi sũng rĩt hĩnh phúc.

Vào nhặng ngày cuĩi tháng tũ năm 1975, CS Cẩng chi%om các tỉnh miến trung, tình hình miến nam bẩt òn vì dân chúng chẩy vào Nam lĩn nĩn, quân lĩc Việt Nam Cộng Hòa phải rút vũ cĩ thủ và bảo vĩ Vùng 3 chi%on thuĩet, trong Çĩ cĩ sũ ãoàn 18 Bĩ Binh của chúng tôi, trong lúc Çĩ tòa Cẩ sũ Mĩ^a Sài Gòn rút Çĩ kèm theo tũng thông mĩt số tũĩng lành, Çĩ Cẩt nũĩc không còn ai chỉ huy Çĩon khi%on nhũ rĩn mẩt Çũ, càng làm cho lĩnh th%o càng h%on Çĩn và rũĩ ren them.

Là mĩt Sĩ Quan quân lĩc Việt Nam Cộng Hoà chỉ huy hũn 100 binh sĩ, lúc Çĩ tâm trí tôi bẩt òn, Çũ ỏc nghi quĩn luĩn, trong lúc Cẩt nũĩc lĩm nguy mình không thũ bũ mĩc thuĩc hẩ Cẩ tũng sát cẩnh chi%on Cẩũ vậi mình bảo vĩ mình, bĩy giũ ra Çĩ là trũn tránh trách nhiĩem, trũn chẩy là hĩn nhất nhợc nhũ vô cùng, thũ^a lĩ dĩ cùng chung số phẽn vậi anh em. Hũn nũa nhà tôi mĩt lòng không chũĩ lĩ xa quê nhà và Cẩ an vĩ tôi “mĩt an eũ không tảo Çũũc mùa Xuân” cũ Çĩ tĩ nhĩn, chẩp nhẽn Çĩnh mệnh an bĩy. Can Çĩm sũng hiĩn ngang và làm tròn b%on phẽn mà Thũũng ã%o Cẩ giao phó, dù bẩt cũ hoàn cẩnh nào Çũa Ç%on cho chúng ta, Çũĩ càng gia chĩm nhiũu nghiĩch cẩnh càng Çũu, thì sũ khĩn lĩn càng cĩ giá trũ. Cuĩc sũng của chúng ta mĩĩ cĩ sũ nghiĩ và ta mĩĩ dĩm tĩ hào và xũũng Cẩng vậi truyĩn thũũng bẩt khuĩt của dân tĩc.

Rũĩ ngày 24-6-1975, Cĩng Sản ra lĩnh tẩt cũ Sĩ Quan QLVNCH tĩp trung hĩc tĩp cũ tảo, nhũũg thĩc chẩt Çĩ là trũĩ tù kh% sai.

Sau khi tĩp trung Çũy Çũ tẩt cũ Sĩ Quan QLVNCH bĩn Cĩng Sản bĩt anh em chúng tôi kũ khai lĩ lĩch. Rũĩ chia chúng tôi, kũ lên tàu hoũ ra miến Bĩc, ngũĩ Çũy lên tẽn rũũg sũu nũĩc Çĩc, số còn lĩĩ tũũg ra hũĩ Çũũ xa xũĩ, Çĩ bĩn chúng dĩ bũ Çũy ẩĩ khũũg bũ chúng tôi tũĩn thũn Ç%on thũ xác.

Cũng Sản bĩc hiĩet Çũũ mĩt số lĩnh trũ tu%ĩ Cẩng con chũũ chúng tôi vào làm cũ tũ lên lĩp dĩĩ Çũĩ chũũ bũĩ nhợc mẩ chúng tôi mĩt cách vô liũ sĩ, bĩn chúng xem anh em chúng tôi còn thua con vĩet.

Con ău uŏng, còn thua con heo của bñn chúng nuôi. Gạo chúng phát toàn mặt gạo Ớ ra n°i l%ou bŠu trêm mŷt nŭšc, h%ot gạo Ớ%on bo bo, b; p h¶t vàng, khoai mì lát m°i b°a ău chĩ 1 chén, thŭc ău là toàn nŭšc muŏi (chúng tôi gĩi “t%ou” Ớó là nŭšc m; m Cãi dŭŏng) lâu lâu chúng bŏ thí cho mi%ong ỚÆu hũ tr; ng (anh em gĩi là thĩ/voi) hoŷc con khô cá mŏi. Khi có l< l@c l; n thì cho mi%ong thĩ heo bŏng ngón tay út, Ớó là ău huÆ khoan hŏng của C¶ng Săn.

Còn t; m giŷt. N%ou mùa mŭa thì tŭ t; m, giŷt giŭ, nŭšt uŏng ỚŠu nh© nŭšc mŭa. Mùa n; ng chúng tôi phẫ Ớào gi%ong lăy nŭšc. T; m chĩ 1 lon guigo, tuÀn lí giŷt giŭ 1 lăn nhŭng nŭšc ũšt rŏi Ớem phŏi, n%ou Ới làm gŷp ao hò vŭng nŭšc thì t; m giŷt tải chĩ luôn.

Bau Ớem chúng nh%ot cả trêm mảng ngŭ©i vào căn nhà chŭa ỚẬy 100m², không gŭŏng chi%ou, chĩ có tĂm nylon cá nhân vŏa làm áo mŭa vŏa traĩ dŭšI ỚẤt Ớ< ngũ, chău mŠn thì ai có gì ỚẤp nĂy, anh em chúng tôi t; k%ot bao cát vãi làm mŠn và Ớún bñc nhau 2, 3 ngŭ©i chung 1 tĂm mŠn, hŏn n»a Ớŏng ngŭ©I nŏm khĩt vŷi nhau cŭng Ớª lạnh.

Ch%o Ớ¶ ău uŏng, ngũ nghĩ nhŭ th%. Ban ngày chúng còn bñt chúng tôi lao Ớ¶ng kh° sai, mà chúng thŭŏng râu rao là Lao ñ¶ng là vinh quang. Cuŏc ỚẤt trŏng khoai mì, khoai lang trŏng rau, ra nh»ng Ớŏn cây tre khiêng vŷ làm củi, rĕ g°, Ớan giŏ, thúng. Ngày làm 8 ti%ong, Ới b¶ ra rĂy xa 1 hoŷ 2 km là thŭŏng.

Vŷi cách ău uŏng nhŭ th%, kèm theo tình thĂn bĩ khũng hoăng ngũ ỚẤt Ấm thĂp, vì th%o nhiŠu anh em không Ớũ sŭc Ớ< kháng nên nhiŠu chŭng bĩnh phát sách phũ thŭng, viêm gan, viêm ru¶t tiêu chăy, lao ph°i, say dinh dŭŏng, ngŏ Ớ¶c do Ớŏi quá ău bÆy, lăi không có thuŏc men ỚẬy Ớũ và ch»a chăy kĩp thŏi nên nhiŠu anh em Ớã qua Ớŏi trong trăi, 0 hòm, 0 quách chĩ hó chi%ou Ớem chôn.

Trong hoàn cảnh tũ Ớày kh° aĩ nhŭ th%, anh em chúng tôi vĂn m¶t long kiên trì chiŏ Ớ; ng gian kh°, mŷc khác ỚẤu tranh vŷI bñn cai tù, Ớŭa anh em trŭšc là Bác Sĩ, y tá, tr® y, lÆp tram ŷ t%o Ớ< ch°a bệnh cho anh em, ngŭŏi bĩnh Ớŭŏc miĩn lao Ớ¶ng, t° chŭc trŏng rau chău nuôi Ớ< caĩ thi%on b»a ău. ThĂy trŭšc mĩt ỚẬy gian kh° ngày vŷ o bi%ot Ớŭŏc nên anh em chúng tôi càng Ớoàn k%ot lăi vŷi nhau, tình huynh ỚÆ chi bĩnh hŏn bao gi©, thŭŏng yêu Ớùn bñc nhau, san sĕ cho nhau tŏng h¶t muŏi, cñng rau, cŏc Ớŭŏng, cái bánh, hay Ới%ou thuŏc hi%ot cả chŏc ngŭ©i m¶i ngŭ©i m¶t hŏi cho Ấm long. Ngày nghĩ tŏ hñp lăi uŏng trà bàn chuyĕn tình hình ỚẤt nŭšc và th%o giŷi, Ớ< anh em v»ng long tin mà cŏ g; ng phĂn Ớău trong cu¶c sŏng.

Nh»ng vŷi chiêu bài xảo trá, lñc lŏa, nghi kŏ. Bñn CS có bao gi© Ớ< cho chúng tôi yên, vài ba tháng chúng lăi, xáo tr¶n di chuyĕn chúng tôi tŏ trăi nŷy sang trăi khác và ngŭŏc lăi.

Sau gĂn m¶t nău giam cĂm chúng tôi, bĩ th%o giŷi bên ngoài lêu án, gia Ớĩnh than nhân ỚẤu tranh, vì Ớŏi rét bĩnh tÆt anh em chúng tôi qua Ớŏi quá nhiŠu. Nên bñn CS cho gia Ớĩnh lên thău nuôi và chúng Ớã chiêu du m¶t sŏ anh em nhe dă Ớŭŏc ngũ

vợ và con qua Cầm, rồi làm tay sai chờ Cầm cho chúng nhúng tay vào dám Cầm tranh, nên mới số bất tử từ khi sai cùn chân cho Cầm chôn, hoặc Cầm ra pháp trường xó bìn.

Gần 6 năm bị giam cầm không biết ngày về, vợ chồng sống vất vả chật chội tinh thần tôi cảm thấy thù hận CS chặt chẽ như núi, thấy thù địch cho dân tộc bị bao vây mỗi giờ bất ổn hơn CS gian ác. Chúng tôi chờ trả mới giá quá đắt.

Mỗi lần lên thăm nuôi, nhà tôi luôn nhắc nhà Công viên an ủi, “Các anh cứ từ nhiên vui sống, phải chấp nhận gian khổ, phải hy vọng và tin tưởng tương lai ngày mai trời lại sáng.

Năm 1975 bị CS không gọi anh, thì bây giờ không dám cầu thăm hỏi nữa, họ gọi Cầm rồi cái dã tâm vô nhân đạo, sự đối xử tàn bạo, sự tham lam ích kỷ của bị chúng còn mới ai trên họ gọi họ không hiểu mà tin tưởng bị chúng. Bao năm gian khổ từ ngày của các anh cũng có nghĩa lý gì đâu.”

Tháng 11 năm 1980 tôi được trả tự do về với gia đình niềm vui chưa cần, như ông cho sự cầu nguyện rất hồn nhiên vì mới mất Cầm con thơ khi không thu được men chửa bẻ, tài sản bị CS chiếm đoạt sau 13 ngày bị tù, mà giờ đây tôi mới rõ vì nhà tôi có giấu dìm, Cầm mang trong mình mới cần bình tâm kinh vào bình yên tâm thần mấy lần, và chờ mất trí nhớ vĩnh viễn.

Suốt 1 năm quản chế tại trại cải tạo tôi làm việc khổ sở qua ngày.

Năm 1982 tôi bị CS bắt nhốt vì làm hồ sơ ODP gửi qua Thái Lan bị chúng bắt gặp. Nhà tôi tâm thần bất ổn, la hét lung tung, chúng cảnh thả tôi ra.

Năm 1990 tôi làm hồ sơ theo chương trình HO sự lià xa quê hương xứ sở, Cầm toé nản nỗi chờ đợi.

Sau khi Cầm Mĩ, gia đình tôi định chờ đợi tái di cư bang Oklahoma, chờ nhận thấy chính sách của chính phủ Mỹ đối với các cựu tù nhân chính trị này lành nhân đạo. Mặc dầu khác màu da, tiếng nói, nòi giống sống sinh hoạt, vẫn tận tình giúp đỡ, tạo điều kiện cho gia đình tôi thích nghi với cuộc sống mới và xây dựng cuộc sống mới.

Vì thế chúng tôi cố gắng mau chóng ổn định cuộc sống và hòa nhập vào sinh hoạt tại thành phố Oklahoma, chờ khôi phục long kỷ vọng của chính phủ và nhân dân Mỹ.

Gia đình chúng tôi luôn luôn nhớ ơn Chính phủ và toàn dân Mỹ duy trì có Cầm bất hạnh cho chúng tôi là cần bình tâm thần của nhà tôi không bao giờ hối hận.

Hiện tôi có ước mơ là!

- Nhà tôi hết bệnh tật như xưa.
- Đất nước dân tộc Việt Nam thoát khỏi sự cai trị của bị CS tàn ác, vô nhân đạo.
- Đất nước dân tộc Việt Nam giàu mạnh, có cuộc sống tự do, ấm no, hạnh phúc.

- Các cù tù nhân chính trị mất lòng Cờn k%ot CẤu tranh dĩ dành thịng l@i tỖ tay b†n CS.
- Bản thân tôi và gia Cĩnh luôn luôn CỪng trong hang ngŭ của Cù tù nhân chính trị tại Oklahoma.

Xin g^i C%on quý vĨ l©i kêu g†i của mất vĨ lãnh Cảo tỐi cao của nŨsc ViEt Nam:

“ñùng nghe nh»ng gì C¶ng Săn nói mà hãy nhìn nh»ng gì C¶ng Săn làm.”

Kính chào Cờn k%ot,

Báo Võ Van

Appendix 5

ñãi Ủy PhÛşc Hoàng Nguyễn

ñãi SÓng TrÛşc Tháng 4 Năm 1975

Tôi sinh năm 1945^a tỉnh Trà Vinh của miền Nam Việt Nam. Tôi sinh ra vào nh»ng ngày chiến tranh giữa Pháp và Việt Nam. Việt Minh lúc Có chưa phải là Việt C»ng. Chiến tranh khiếp m»i miền của Ắt nữşc. Khoảng chừng mười tu»i, Ba Má tôi đi tản lên Sài Gòn vì^a dÛşĩ quê làm ruộng không Ựş sÓng. Chiến tranh quá cho lên không làm ẹn Ựş. Lúc Có là năm 1955.

Lên Sài Gòn rồi thì tôi Ựş Ựş h»c trÛşng tiểu h»c của nhà nữşc^a gần nhà. Sau h»c tiểu h»c thì thi vào trÛşng trung h»c Patrict TrÛşng Vĩnh Kş. Sau khi thi tốt nghiẾp trung h»c thì tôi bị d»ng viên Ựşon tu»i phải nhÆp ngũ, theo lỆnh của chánh phủ miền nam Việt Nam. N»ou mà không có tình trạng chiến tranh Ắng lẽ tôi lên Ặải h»c Ựş.

ThÆt ş, lúc Có tôi không nghĩ gì Ựşon chính trị. Tôi sÓng^a thành phố miền Nam tỖ nhỖ tşĩ lşn cho nên chङng biết C»ng Sản là cái gì; không biết C»ng Sản như thế nào. Tôi không có cái lòng thù hận gì hết. N»ou tôi^a miền Bắc quê mà bị C»ng Sản h» gi»ot chết hay phá hại thì có thù hận. ñÓng quân^a miền nam Việt Nam trong suốt thời gian Ựş lính vì tşĩ tu»i phải Ựş quân dịch theo nghiẾm vợ của ngÛş công dân, bảo sao thì mình cứ làm theo vÆy. Chỉ biết là nghiẾm vợ của mình là Ựş lính rồi kêu bịn là bịn. Tşĩ khi mất nữşc tôi cũng không hiểu rõ rằng vşş ngÛş C»ng Sản như thế nào.

Khi tôi vào trong quân Ựş, tôi theo h»c trÛşng trung tâm huấn luyện TrỖ Bị Thủ Đức và mấy năm sau thì tốt nghiẾp. Trong thời gian theo h»c, h» thấy tôi có

nặng khi%ou vấ toán thì h† chuy<n tôi Çi vào binh chủng của Pháo Binh. Tôi h†c tru©ng Pháo Binh ^a Nha Trang. Sáu tháng sau tôi ra trÛ©ng vớì cẤp bÆc ChuẤn Úy. Lúc Çó là năm 1968, Ông Nguyễn Văn ThiÊu là T°ng ThỔng. Khi ra trÛ©ng tôi ÇÛ©c ÇÛa vấ quân Çoàn 3 Ti<u ãoàn 35 Pháo Binh Çóng ^a Biên Hòa, h† ÇÛa tôi Çi hành quân chung vớì ti<u đoàn BiÊt ã¶ng Quân Ç< tôi Çi theo ÇÖn vớì B¶ Binh. Møc Çích của tôi là khi nào mà ÇÖn vớì Çong trÆn vớì C¶ng Sãn thì tôi sẽ kêu Pháo Binh bìn Ç< y<m tr©. NhiÊm vø của tôi lúc Çó là chỉ huy m¶t Pháo ã¶i súng 105 ly ãủi Bác Ç< y<m tr© cho các cu¶c hành quân trong vùng hoạt Ç¶ng ^a Tây Ninh, HÆu Nghĩa, Bình DÛÖng. Nh»ng ngu©i lính B¶ Binh hành quân Çi b¶ trong rỔng. H† g†i vấ hÆu cÙ, cần Pháo Binh y<m tr© cho cu¶c hành quân. H† yêu cầu tôi bìn ch† nào thì tôi bìn ch† Çó. Vì tôi giỔi toán nên nhiÊm vø tôi là phải tính toán tốc Ç¶ gió nhÛ th%o nào, bao nhiêu góc Ç¶ m¶i lần viên Çãn Çi nó cần trã nhÛ th%o nào. Tôi phải tính làm sao Ç< cho nó bìn Çúng t†a d¶ m¶t cịch chính sát.

Khi tôi m¶i ra trÛ©ng là tôi gặp Çúng ngay cái t°ot MÆu Thân 1968. Lúc Çó tôi Çi hành quân coi nhÛ phÓi h®p vớì binh chủng Không Quân ^a trong Ch® Lñn. Ỗ Sài Gòn chia ra hai khu, m¶t khu Sài Gòn, m¶t khu Ch® Lñn. Trong nh»ng ngày Çó thì ViÊt C¶ng tràn ngÆp vô trong thành phÓ rồi. H† chi%om tấ cả nhà c°a ^a trong Ch® Lñn. Mình vô Ç< Çánh Çu°i h† ra miÊt ngoài ô khỔi thành phÓ. Lúc Çó là coi nhÛ C¶ng Sãn nó tính Çánh chi%om MiỐn Nam mà bớ thấ bải. Nó vô tặ Sài Gòn thì không có bi%ot ÇÛ©ng xá gì mà Çi h°ot thành ra nó cÙ lãn quẤn trong mẤy cái ÇÛ©ng hỀm rồi nó bớ mình gi%ot ch%ot, Çánh Çu°i ra khỔi thành phÓ. Coi nhÛ miỐn Nam Viêt Nam Çã làm chũ tình hình năm MÆu Thân. Quân ta Çã chi%om lải hoàn toàn tỖ ngoài Hu%o vô tặ miỐn Trung rồi miỐn Nam. Năm 1968 là C¶ng Sãn bớ thấ bải.

Sau trƣên chi%on T%ot MÆu Thân, tôi Ớũ®c tƣng lên m¶t chũc tở ChuẤn Ủy lên Thi%ou Ủy. Tôi lƣi Ớ°i nhiEm vớ, và không còn phải Ới hành quân n»a nhũ trũsc. Tôi vớ coi m¶t cái Ớõn vớ ¹ hÆu cỪ y<m tr®. Tôi chỉ huy súng b;n ra phía ch† hành quân. Tôi ch£ng nhìn thẤy C¶ng Săn vì luôn luôn lúc nào t¶i cỪng Ới vớ b¶ chỉ huy, thũ©ng là Ới sau toán quân. Minh ¹ trung Ủõng, chỉ khi nào b;t Ớũ®c ViEt C¶ng làm tù binh và Ớũa vớ B¶ Chỉ Huy thì tôi mớ thẤy mýt ngũ©i ViEt C¶ng. Nhũng cỪng chỉ thẤy m¶t hai ngũ©i thôi k< cả nh»ng xát ch%ot.

Sau khi T%ot MÆu Thân khoảng chởng tháng ba tháng tũ là yên, thì h† mớ thuyên chuy<n tôi lên tỉnh Phũsc Long và Ớóng quân ¹ Ớó. NhiEm vớ tôi là coi hai khẤu Ớải bác g†i là trung Ớ¶i. M¶t trung Ớ¶i gỒm hai khẤu Ớải bác. Tỉnh Phũóc Long nhũ xú Ới b¶ m¶t vòng là h%ot. Tôi gỷp v® tôi ¹ Phũsc Long. V® tôi làm viEc trong một Ớõn vớ của Mĩ. Bà Ấy là thũ kớ cho vƣn phòng. Tôi cũsĩ v® tôi Ớầu năm 1969 và trong năm Ớó tôi có Ớũa con trai. Năm 1971 thì con gái tôi sinh ra Ớ©i.

Coi nhũ cỪng ¹ Phũsc Long năm 1969, tôi làm viEc gẦn vớ ngũ©i Mĩ. Tôi Ớóng quân gẦn bên cạnh ch° Mĩ Ớóng quân. NhiEm vớ tôi là phải qua liên lƣc vớ h† Ớ< mà có th< giúp Ớ« lẦn nhau trong vẤn Ớổ hành quân. Lúc Ớó Mĩ Ớ%on vớ cái danh nghĩa là có vẤn tỪc là h† giúp Ớ« mà ¹ cẤp b;c cao chũ không phải ¹ cẤp thẤp. Tôi là cẤp thẤp nhũ thì không có làm viEc chung. Pháo Binh tôi là cẤp ti<u Ớoàn thì có m¶t ông Ti<u ñoàn Trũang. Ông Ấy cẦm Ớầu của m¶t ti<u Ớòan. Bên cạnh ông Ti<u ñoàn Trũang là có m¶t ông có vẤn Mĩ. Mợc Ớích của ông Ấy là chỉ giúp Ớ« trong m†i phũõng tiEn thí dợ nhũ cẦn kêu máy bay, hay cẦn ti%op t%o Ớổn Ớỷn. CẦn cái gì thì nói ông Ấy, ông Ấy g†i mau hõn. Lâu lâu ông có VẤn Mĩ Ới thƣm các Ớõn vớ và

ông ta Cì chung vớì Tiu ñoàn TrÛang. H† thẳm coi mình có gặp khó khẳn gì thì h† giúp C«. Lúc Có h† là CỜng minh tỪc là bản mình.

Trong nh»ng năm 1971, 1972, 1973 chi%on tranh rẤt ác liỆt. Quân ñi ViỆt Nam C¶ng Hòa ^a miŚn nam phải CỬa quân ra các biên giớì gi»a Cao Miên, Lào C< ngẳn chÆn CỜng d< h† tẤn công qua.

Chi%on trÛỜng b†t CẦu sôi C¶ng khoảng năm 1972. Lúc Có C¶ng Săn Cã CỬa quân Ò ắt vào trong miŚn nam rẤt nhiŚu. H† Cì theo dăi TrÛỜng SỜn tỪc là dăi núi ^a miŚnTrung. H† Cì theo con CÛỜng Có C< vô trong miŚn Nam thì quân C¶i của h† Cã thành lÆp CÛỜc rẤt nhiŚu sÛ Còàn rỜi. Theo tin tình báo nhÛ vÆy. Trong lúc Có thì lúc ^a miŚn nam mớì ra m¶t cái chi%on đỉch lợn là phải ngẳn chỖn C¶ng Săn ^a tẤt cả các cái biên giớì Cao Miên vớì Lào đ†c theo biên giớì Việť Nam. Lúc Có thì tôi CÛỜc tẳng lên m¶t cẤp n»a là Trung Úy. Tôi Cì Trung ñoàn B¶ Binh C< làm quan sát viên cẤp Trung ñoàn Cì qua tÆn Cao Miên Cánh ^a bên Ấy. Tôi ^a trong b¶ chỉ huy không Cì ra ngoài Cánh trÆn trệc ti%op vớì C¶ng Săn cho nên không thẤy nhiŚu. Tôi ^a trong CỜn có quân h† bảo vỆ. NhÛng sỜng ch%ot lúc Có có th< xảy ra lúc nào.

Năm 1972 nh»ng trÆn Cánh rẤt là ác liỆt. Tôi qua bên Cambodia Cống quân ^a Có thì bỈ C¶ng Săn bao vây c†t h%ot CÛỜng Cì, không còn liên lắ CÛỜc vớì bên ngoài. Ti%op t%o Cản dÛỜc, lÛỜng thệc, thuỐC men phải Cì bợng trệc thẳng tỜ tỉnh Tây Ninh. Tôi ^a mẤy tháng bên Có cho d%on khi quân C¶i tẳng cÛỜng và CỬa quân qua giải tỜa cà rút quân vŚ. Khoảng năm 1973, chúng tôi rút h%ot vŚ Tây Ninh, cái tỉnh giáp ranh vớì Cambodia.

Năm 1973 Mỹ bắt Cộng sản rút quân ra khỏi Việt Nam. Tôi chỉ biết họ rất
 nhút nhát và Cộng sản không máu võ nam Việt Nam mà rồi Cộng sản không
 tính Cộng sản, họ chán nản bỏ đi. Những cái nhà ở dưới Cộng sản có biết gì về
 tình hình chính trị. Tôi chỉ biết là họ gọi những lệnh xuống báo là Cộng sản rất là
 thiêu và bây giờ phải bị nhân dân chôn. Mỗi ngày phải bị nhân dân quết hoặc bị
 quết. Mình chỉ biết thi hành theo luật. Nhân dân, thuộc men, thực phẩm, thiêu
 không Cộng sản tiếp cộng Cộng sản như xưa. Tất cả những hoạt động trong quân Cộng sản
 xưa là do Mỹ cung cấp tiền quân áo, Cộng sản, thuộc men, thực phẩm. Họ chỉ giảm
 bớt 80-90%. Trong quân Cộng sản thời gian có là thời gian không nhất trong những năm tôi
 trong quân Cộng sản.

Coi như CỐ tiệp t không có gì, phởng tiến rất thiều thốn thêm chỉ Cơn nãi xæng cũng không có mà chảy xe nũa. Thởng thởng cấp chũ huy thì Mĩ cho mình xe Jeep C< mình s' động Cị liên lặc Cậy Cố. Trong nhữg năm 1973 và nhữg năm vř sau, xæng h† cũng không có cấp. Thành ra có cái xe cũng không chảy Cẩu Cũc hốt. Do Cố tình trạng chiốn Cẩu lúc Cố rất là khó khăn, thiều thốn Cũ m†i phởng tiến. Mà C¶ng Săn thì h† lải Cũc tiệp t Cản dũc rất nhiếu và h† lải tẫn công mình. Lúc Cố mình chũ thờ C¶ng tũc là mình chũ ngòì a trong Cờn mình chũ h† tẫn công mình, rồi mình bịn ra thôi.

Nh»ng n»m C3 coi nhŰ là trong C3n vŰ c3 nhiŰ binh sĩ bŰ ch%ot nhŰt là nh»ng sĩ quan C3Ű©c C3Űa ra ngoài chi%on C3Űu mŰt tr»n a ngoài C3. H† CŰ hành quân ra ngoài r3ng ti%op c»n v3i ViŰt C¶ng. Coi nhŰ ch%ot rŰt nhiŰ. Nh»ng sĩ quan trỀ sau này ch%ot rŰt nhiŰ. Ra là C3ng tr»n là ch%ot vì không C3 C»n dŰ©c. Nh»ng n»m C3 chi%on tranh sôi C¶ng rŰt nhiŰ.

Năm 1971 tới năm 1975 tôi đi hành quân hoài, ít có vợ nhà. Khi mà tôi đi Cảnh trên thì vợ tôi về Sài Gòn^a với gia đình. Tôi cũng phải bỏ vợ mà lo công việc trong quân sự. Lúc khi về nhà lại. Một năm trước phép thôi nên chỉ có bảy ngày. Vợ tôi phải lên lại đi thăm. Tôi có quân^a câu thì tôi liên lạc về cho vợ tôi biết, thì một tháng vợ con tôi lên thăm một lần. Lên thăm thì vợ con tôi cũng^a trong trại với tôi. Tôi^a trên trại cũng có chào hỏi rồi đi bao cát gởi là cái hầm nhúng^a cũng trước.

Năm 1973, tôi phục vụ trong sư đoàn 25 Bình có căn cứ có quân^a Củ Chi. Tôi lên cấp bậc nữa Ủy pháo đi trước của tiểu đoàn 253 pháo binh. Tiểu đoàn này trước đây quy định chỉ huy của sư đoàn 25 Bình. Tôi chỉ huy sáu khẩu 105 mm. Các bác đi kèm theo cho các cuộc hành quân trong vùng hoạt động Tây Ninh, Hậu Nghĩa và Bình Dương.

Từ năm 1973 cho đến năm 1975 trong vòng hai năm có là cũng ngày nào cũng có chiến tranh ngày nào cũng có bị. Mình rất tức nhất là không được đi kèm theo cho quân bản của mình bị vì bản không có. Ý ngoài ngoài ta kêu bây giờ có ông trên rồi, chết rất nhiều, yêu cầu bị đi ngăn chặn sự tấn công của Cộng Sản. Nhưng mà mình không có bản để đi bị. Mình cũng bị cầm chông. Ngoài ta xin mua quả pháo binh thì mình bị một quả thôi.

Từ năm 1973 dĩ nhiên cái tình trạng của ông nhiều. Họ sẽ chết họ bỏ rất nhiều. Trong đơn vị của tôi cũng vậy. Nhiều khi cho họ đi phép rồi họ về nhà họ^a luôn. Tôi là sĩ quan, lúc có tôi không có nghĩ này, không dám làm như vậy vì sẽ đi tù. Họ bị mình đi lao công chào bình tức là mình đi Cảnh gì mà mình không có trước quy định mang súng mình chỉ ra mình làm chào hỏi chào hỏi làm như công việc

lao C¶ng^a trong cái C¶n v¶ C¶ th¶i. T¶i h¶ nh¶t mình vào m¶t cái khu. Coi nh¶ l¶c C¶ là mình tù t¶i r¶i. T¶i s¶ C¶u có d¶m.

Cu¶i n¶m 1974 C¶u n¶m 1975 coi nh¶ mình hoàn toàn^a trong cái th¶o th¶ C¶ng t¶c là mình chỉ C¶ng^a nh¶ng cái C¶n r¶i Vi¶t C¶ng ngày này t¶n công C¶n này ngày mai t¶n công C¶n kia. T¶n công liên t¶c r¶t là s¶ h¶i. Mình C¶i mình C¶u có bi¶ot h¶ n¶p^a ch¶ nào. Mình C¶i thành hình h¶ n¶ s¶ng thì mình m¶i bi¶ot^a C¶ có Vi¶t C¶ng r¶i b¶t C¶u C¶nh nhau.

T¶ C¶u n¶m 1975 cho C¶on tháng t¶ là tình tr¶ng càng ngày càng g¶y c¶n. ñ¶ng xá b¶ c¶t C¶t h¶ot r¶i. Lúc C¶ v¶ con không th¶ C¶i th¶m C¶c. T¶i c¶ng không th¶ d¶m b¶ C¶n v¶ mà v¶ th¶m nhà n¶a. Ng¶ng ngày C¶ chi¶on tranh r¶t là ác li¶t. ñ¶n thì không có mà b¶n. Nh¶ng mà r¶i nh¶ng qu¶c hành quân ti¶op t¶o s¶ng C¶n nào thì b¶n s¶ng C¶n Ấy. Nh¶ng mà th¶ng th¶ng mình b¶ h¶ b¶n vô trong C¶n nhi¶u l¶m. Ch¶ot ch¶c, th¶ng t¶t, th¶ng vong c¶ng nhi¶u.

Riêng trong cái tháng t¶ chi¶on tranh d¶ r¶i. Vào nh¶ng ngày cu¶i tháng 4 n¶m 1975, trong lúc tình hình mi¶n Nam Vi¶t Nam xôi C¶ng, lúc C¶ t¶i C¶ng C¶ng quân t¶i qu¶n Tr¶ng B¶ng thu¶c tỉnh Tây Ninh. C¶ nghe tin tỉnh này m¶t, qu¶n này m¶t, qu¶n kia m¶t liên ti¶op do C¶i phát thanh loan tin hay là báo chí thông tin. Lúc C¶ thì t¶i phải^a t¶i C¶n v¶. ñ¶ng t¶ Sài Gòn lên qu¶n Tr¶ng B¶ng b¶ c¶t C¶t, xe c¶ không l¶u thông. Quân C¶i thì không d¶c r¶i c¶n c¶.

Dân th¶ng thì Vi¶t C¶ng còn cho C¶i, ch¶ quân C¶i C¶i là nó b¶n cháy xe. Lúc C¶ Vi¶t C¶ng C¶ tr¶n vào thành ph¶.

T¶i th¶y m¶i ng¶i b¶ C¶i h¶ot vào nh¶ng ngày 27 và 28 tháng t¶. Trong nh¶ng ngày Ấy là m¶t t¶ C¶n này, C¶on C¶n kia. Thì tình th¶n C¶ng C¶i c¶ng h¶n

loản rồi. Cho đến ngày 28 thì tôi thấy các quân bản địa cảnh bên, họ rút vào trong rừng. Tôi không có sự Chỉ huy di tản để tránh nhiệm vụ của mình. Tôi chỉ huy của mình. Trong lúc họ đang tìm kiếm lính để quy thuận thì tôi cũng vào với mình tôi. Tôi không thể nào để họ trốn tránh nhiệm vụ của mình. Tôi còn nghĩ sau này tôi còn phải chỉ huy họ thì họ làm như vậy lính tráng coi tôi ra cái gì. Làm sao tôi chỉ huy được họ?

Ở ngoài mặt trận tôi không biết là Ông Thiệu bỏ đi, không biết là Mỹ rút họ đi. Tôi cũng có thể nghe radio. Tôi cũng không tin là Việt Nam Cộng Hòa có thể thua Cộng Sản một cách dễ dàng như vậy. Họ mà tôi biết như vậy tôi cũng bỏ đi. Tôi chỉ nghĩ thôi tôi còn làm gì khác?

Vì vậy tôi là lính thì bị Cộng Sản bắt giam giữ tại mặt trận quân của Chi cùng với một số sĩ quan khác thuộc Sư đoàn 25 Bình. Tôi liên lạc với bộ chỉ huy của họ để biết họ đang ở đâu. Ông ấy nói là thì tùy theo tình hình mà quyết định chỉ huy. Tôi cũng không biết làm sao mà ra lệnh được. Không ai ra lệnh được họ. Lính thì họ cũng không dám bỏ đi vì ra ngoài cũng gặp Việt Cộng. Họ cũng dám đi. Họ là trong đơn vị cũng chỉ huy của tôi. Thì tôi mới nói là thôi bây giờ tất cả mọi người của tôi bỏ đi họ rồi tôi cũng phải đi. Trước khi đi tôi mới ra lệnh là phải phá hủy họ. Họ cũng phá hủy. Họ cũng phá hủy họ trong mấy cái nòng súng của họ. Cái họ phá hủy là trong quân của họ thì khi mà mình bỏ rút chạy là mình phải phá hủy họ. Họ cũng phá hủy họ. Ông ấy không thì họ vô họ lấy súng của mình bắn mình. Những người trong quân của họ nói là trong giờ phút cuối cùng mà mình không có thể gì nữa nên cái họ phá hủy mà quân của họ giao thì mình phải phá hủy họ không phải Cộng Sản chỉ huy họ. Súng của họ phải đi bằng xe. Xe Jeep của tôi cũng cho họ phá luôn theo

Cúng nhữ lEnh. Lúc Có Câu có Cì xe CÛ@c. Ra là bỈ nó bịn cháy. ChỈ Cì b¶ CÛ@c
thôi. Phải bỗ h%ot cả hành trang của mình cũng bỗ Cì b¶ cho nó nhỀ. Mang Cì Câu
n°i.

Rồi bịt CÀu tôi kéo quân vô trong rừng. Lúc Có là chảy trỐn bỗ chảy không
bi%ot Cì mÃy ngày mÃy Cêm. Ỉi vô trong cái làng thì mợc Cích là rút vổ tìm CÛ@ng vổ
Sài Gòn khoảng chỜng hai mÛỖi mÃy mile. Khi vô trong rừng thì gặp các Cỗn vỈ bản
h† cũng Cì trên con CÛ@ng rút vổ Sài Gòn. Cũng chẽng ai bi%ot tình hình lúc Có C¶ng
Săn nhữ th%o nào. ChỈ bi%ot là ai Cì Câu mình Cì theo Có vÆy thôi. TẮt cả các C¶i
quân Cỗu rút vổ Sài Gòn. Cũng không bi%ot mợc Cích mình Cì C< làm gì.

Khi mà tỜ Trắng Bằng vổ tặi Cũ Chi thì Cã bỈ C¶ng Săn h† chÆn h%ot rỒi. H†
chÆn ngang, h† có xe tặng, h† giàn súng vô trong khu rừng. H† bịt loa kêu g†i bỗ
súng CÀu hàng thì h† sẽ cho vổ sum h†p vổi gia Cình. TẮt cả lính trắng h† nghe theo và
bỗ súng CÀu hàng. H† Cì ra. Súng nhỗ súng lộn giao cho ViỆt C¶ng thì nó thả h† vổ
vổi gia Cình. Những riêng các sĩ quan thì h† gi» lắ bỈ bịt h%ot.

Tôi ^a trong trÛ@ng h@p những ngÛ@i sĩ quan Có. H† bịt CÛa vổ cái trải tÆp
chung ^a Cũ Chi. Ngày Có là ngày 29 tháng 4 năm 1975. Tôi bỈ bịt giam gi° ^a tắ mỷt
trÆn quân Cũ Chi cùng vổi m¶t số sĩ quan khác thu¶c SÛ ãoàn 25 B¶ Binh. TỜ ngày Có
tôi bỈ bịt ^a tù luôn cho C%on tháng 7 năm 1982.

nhĩ SÓng Trong Tủ: Tháng 4 năm 1975 cho C%on tháng 7 năm 1982

Lần Cầu tiên tôi bi%ot ngŭĩ ViEt C¶ng là ai là lúc tôi bĩ h† b†t. Nó Cầu tôi trong cái trái có m¶t cái hẦm hỒi xŭa C< khi nào có bĩ pháo kích hay bĩ Cản ngoài b†n thì mình vô trong cái hẦm Cỏ mình núp. H† nhỐt tụi tôi^a trong cái hẦm Cỏ. Lúc Cỏ tôi cŭng bĩ thŭŕng rồi. Trên Cŭŕng Cĩ bĩ C¶ng Săn b†n lỀ tỀ trong Cŕn quân thì bẤt ng© m¶t viên Cản xuyên qua c° tôi tỞ bên này qua bên kia rồi nó ra ngoài. Viên Cản không có trúng xŭŕng tôi. Nh© nhŭc MỀ thŭŕng chŭ còn không có trỄ. Nó không có bạng bó không cho thuỐc men. Tôi nh© ngŭĩ bản quÆy nŭŕc muỐi C° vô cho nó chẩy qua C< diEt trùng vÆy mà nó lạnh. Chŭ không có thuỐc men trong mẤy tháng tr©i bĩ giam^a Cỏ.

H† canh gi» tôi sau này^a cái nhà^a ngoài. H† gác mình bệng sŭng. Mình chỉ Cŭŕc phép quanh quẮn^a trong cái khu Cỏ thôi chŭ mình không Cŭŕc Cĩ Cầu. Hĩ Cĩ ra ngoài thì h† b†n. H† nói trŭŕc. Cŭ m†i ngày h† thẤy cho mẤy bao gạo sẤy C< mình tũ nẤu. Tôi bĩ giam nhŭ vÆy hai tháng.

Sau ngày 30 tháng tŭ thì lính vŕ vŕi gia Cĩnh sum h†p cŭng nhiŕu. V® tôi không thẤy tôi vŕ, bà Ấy tŭ^ang tôi ch%ot rồi. Thành ra cŭng Cĩ lên trong cái vùng Cỏ, l¶i trong rŕng ki%om tôi. Bà Ấy xuỐng hỒi thặm dân^a Cỏ ngŭĩ ta bi%ot là quân C¶i ViEt Nam C¶ng Hòa Cĩ trong khu nào Cĩ vào Sài Gòn. Ngŭĩ này ngŭĩ kia Cŕn vŕi nhau. Bà Ấy Cĩ qua Cĩ lải ki%om hoài không thẤy. Cả tuẦn lĩ, v® tôi Cĩ lên Cĩ xuỐng ki%om hoài không thẤy. Nhiŕu khi thẤy xác ch%ot lải lÆt coi có phải tôi không. Vài tuẦn sau, v® tôi tìm tôi^a trái Cũ Chi.

Hai tháng rồi thì lúc Cỏ tôi nghe radio, C†c báo chí là h† nói là tẤt cả sĩ quan ch%o C¶ cŭ Cĩ h†c tÆp cải tạo.

H† nói, “Mang theo lŭÖng th¼c mŭ©i ngày.”

Toàn dân miŖn nam ÇŠu bĩ cái l©i tuyên bố là Çem theo lŭÖng th¼c Çû dùng trong mŭ©i ngày. Tŭªng là Çi h†c tÆp mŭ©i ngày rồi vŖ. TẮt cả m†i ngŭ©i ÇŠu hi¼u vÆy h%ot. Riêng tôi cũng nghĩ là Çem theo lŭÖng th¼c phĂm mŭ©i ngày mình tŭªng Çi h†c tÆp mŭ©i ngày rồi vŖ. Tôi chĩ nghĩ bây gi© tôi bĩ thẮt bải rồi h† cũng giải quy%ot mà cho tôi vŖ sŖm. Tôi chŭa nghĩ là nó giam tôi lâu Ç%on n†i khi%op Çăm vÆy. Tôi không nh© là nó giam tôi bĂy nặm ba tháng nhŭ vÆy.

Tôi Çŭ©c Çŭa vŖ Sài Gòn Ç< nó tÆp trung sĩ quan lải ª cái trŭ©ng trung h†c Çó. Khi tôi vô Çó, Çã có m†t số ngŭ©i Ç%on Çó trình diÆn. Nh»ng ngŭ©i mà không có bĩ b†t vào tháng 4, nặm 1975 h† ª nhà Çŭ©c hai tháng h† cũng Ç%on Çó trình diÆn theo nhŭ cái lÆnh của ủy ban nhân dân thành phỐ g†i là Quân Ñy Sài Gòn. Tôi thĂy h† vô hoài. Ý Çó mĂy ngày. H† kêu mĂy cái nhà hàng nĂu cÖm chª xe lải phân phát cho mình æn. H† ki¼m soát ÇÒ Çắc mang theo nhŭng lúc Çó cũng buồng lÖng chŭa có ki¼m soát g†t gạo, chĩ bình thŭ©ng. Không nghĩ là mình Çi ª tù. Gia Çinh tôi cũng Çŭ©c hay và lên thặm. V® tôi và hai Çŭa con tôi chĩ Çŭ©c ÇỪng ª ngoài hàng rào thĂy m†t vĂy tay chŭ không Çŭ©c thặm. Tôi ª trŭ©ng trung h†c Çŭ©c mĂy ngày rồi thì nó Çŭa tôi lên Biên Hòa. TŖi nặm 1979, bốn nặm sau tôi vŖi Çŭ©c g†p v® con.

ViÆt C¶ng làm gì cũng bí mÆt l¼m. Mình không bao gi© bi%ot Çŭ©c cái hành Ç¶ng của h†. ñùng cái Çêm mŭ©i m†t mŭ©i hai gi© khuya h† Çánh kèn báo Ç¶ng ra tÆp h†p. TÆp h†p là thĂy xe c¶ ÇÆu sœn sàng Çŭa tôi Çi lên xe chª Çi. ñĩ toàn là Çi tØ 12 gi© Çêm cho Ç%on sáng. Làm cái gì cũng vÆy.

H† Çi toàn Çem không chỪ không có Çi ban ngày thành ra cái gì cỪng bí mÆt lịm. H† s® Çi ban ngày ngỪ©i thân nhân Çón ÇÜ©ng chÆn ÇÜ©ng làm khó khăn trª ngãi cho h†. HỒi Çó thì tôi không nghĩ ra nhỮng bây gi© tôi nghĩ lải là h† quen cái kiu mà lẤy Çem làm ngày rỒi. HỒi sỪa Çánh giỠc v§i nhau cỪng vÆy, ban ngày thì h† ngừ, ban Çem thì h† thỪc. H† quen cái kiu làm viẾc vÆy rỒi thành th° ra bây gi© vô g†i là “giải phóng” Sài Gòn h† cỪng làm vÆy. TØ 12 gi© Çem t§i sáng là h† làm viẾc. BẮt ng© lịm. Không ai Çoán ÇÜ©c cái gì. ñem thì h† áp tải bợng súng. M†i cái xe có hai ngỪ©i cẦm súng Çi phía sau hai ngỪ©i phía trỪşc. Nó dùng mẤy chi%oc xe quân Ç¶i lẤy của MỈ.

T§i trải Biên Hòa, trỪşc là của quân Ç¶i, thì nó m§i khịm xét ÇÒ ÇỠc của mình. Nó phát nh»ng b¶ quẦn áo ÇỒng phọc màu xanh dỪõng cho mình bÆn. Dép thì nó phát mẤy Çôi dép bợng vỖ xe hỒi, ÇÒ của b¶ Ç¶i mang. M†i ngày nó tÆp trung lải rồi phát giẤy b†t mình khai hoải. M¶t tháng có mẤy ngày nó không cho mình Çi ra ngoài làm lao Ç¶ng. Mà ^a nhà phải vi%ot ra mình ^a trong quân Ç¶i tØ ngày nào t§i ngày nào, mình làm gì trong quân Ç¶i. Nó kêu mình khai lải trong cái khóa trình mà mình làm viẾc trong quân Ç¶i. Mình khai mình làm t¶i l†i của mình nhỪ th%o nào. Mình phải nhÆn cái ÇiŞu mình làm là t¶i l†i. Nó nói khai thÆt thì Çi vŞ s§m.

M†i lẦn mình vi%ot, nó Ç†c cho m†i ngỪ©i nghe. Tôi cỪ thÆt thà tôi khai là tôi ^a trong quÆn Pháo Binh. Ông trỪõng tù kêu tôi lên.

TrỪşc m¥t m†i ngỪ©i ông Ấy hô, "NgỪ©i lính thỪ©ng cẦm cây súng h† b†n chúng tôi m¶t viên Çắn chỈ ch%ot có m¶t ngỪ©i thôi. NhỮng mà riêng ông là b†n vỖ Çắn, t§i mẤy chộc ngỪ©i ch%ot vì Çắn ông Çắn lşn mà. Thành th° ra trong vòng mỪ©i

nằm trong quân C¶i, ông b¶n bi%ot bao nhiêu nhân vŔ C¶n thì bi%ot bao nhiêu nhân ngŨi ch%ot.”

Ông Ấy nói là t¶i ác của tôi là ch¶ huy ti<u Còan Pháo Binh. Tôi nghĩ trong lòng buồn cũi vì hŔi nào C%on gi© tôi C¶u thẤy ViEt C¶ng C¶u. Tôi C¶u bi%ot có gi%ot ViEt C¶ng hay không. Nó hŔi tôi nghĩ làm sao.

Tôi trả lŔi, “Tôi làm theo phÆn s¶ ngŨi ta kêu tôi b¶n thì tôi b¶n. Tôi C¶u bi%ot gì h%ot.”

Nó nói, “Anh không bi%ot nhŨng chúng tôi bi%ot là m¶i lẦn anh b¶n vÆy là mẤy chŏc ngŨi ch%ot. M¶t quả C¶n của anh làm cho bao nhiêu ngŨi ch%ot. Mà bây gi© trong mŨi nằm anh b¶n bao nhiêu quả C¶n thì nhân lên coi bao nhiêu ngŨi ch%ot.”

Tháng này nó nói tháng kia nó nói. Nó nói ri%ot rŔi nhiŠu khi tôi giÆn quá tôi nói thẦm trong bŏng thôi mà y muŔn nói gì mà y nói, kÆ tao gi%ot vÆy Cŏ. Tôi không dám nói ra s® nó Cánh mình. N%ou mình nói cái gì không phải mà không vŔa ť, nó Cánh mình. Nó không Cánh trŨťc mŸt C¶m Cŏng. Khi vŤ trên tr¶i rŔi nó g¶i ngŨi mà ph¶n CŔi ra mŸt.

Nó nói, “MŔi anh C¶i làm viEc.” Vô trong cái phòng kín m¶t mình vťi nó, thì nó Cánh. Tôi không thẤy ai b¶ gi%ot.

Ch%ot thì có vì h¶ trŔn tr¶i leo ra ngoài hàng rào thì b¶ b¶n ch%ot, hoŤc trŔn ra CŨ®c khŔi hàng rào C¶i ra ngoài thì b¶ dân h¶ b¶t l¶i h¶ báo cáo. HŔi ^a trong Nam nh»ng cái năm CẦu thì còn chŔn. Nh»ng năm CẦu là tinh thẦn b¶ giao C¶ng nhiŠu l¶m cho nên h¶ trŔn. Cái tháng Cŏ có vài ngŨi b¶ ch%ot. H¶ tÆp h¶p l¶i h¶ báo tên ngŨi mà b¶ b¶n ch%ot. H¶ rành m¶nh mình C< mình s®. H¶ nói vÆy nhŨng mình C¶u thẤy CŨ®c tải vì nó nhŔt mình tŔng khu tŔng khu m¶t. M¶t khu 30 ngŨi m¶t khu 50

ngŭi cách nhau cái hàng rào. Nó không cho mình nói chuyện với ngŭi^a khu khác. Mấy tháng sau nó tŭn lịa.

Nó lịc lịa ra nh»ng sĩ quan mà nó kêu b»ng ác ôn tŭc là nh»ng ngŭi cẦm CẦu mŕt cái CỖn vĩ. Mŕt Cēm cŭng 12 gi© nó tÆp trung lải, nó Cịc tên, nó chia số ngŭi qua mŕt bên. Nó cho lên xe ch^a mình Cị Cầu không ai bi%ot Cị Cầu. Tôi thấy nó lải Cị vŕ hŭng Sài Gòn. Ý Sài Gòn có cái b%on tàu^a ngoài ô thì nó tÆp trúng tôi^a Cỗ. ãnh ngŭi lịm. Có cả ch»c xe. Hị Cŭa xuống tàu. Hị không cho^a trên tàu mà Cị xuống cái hầm tàu chđ C< chŭa CỖ gia d»ng. Tôi không dòm rõ vì Cēm tỖi. Ngŭi dŭŕi hầm tàu chÆt mà nịc nŕi, ghê lịm. Nó thả dây cŕt CỖ æn xuống rồi kêu phân phát ra. Mình không bi%ot tàu chđ Cầu. Ngŭi Cỗán là ra Cỗo Côn SỖn, cái Cỗo hỖi xŭa ũa Cầi nh»ng ngŭi từ nŕng. ViÆt Nam thŭc»ng nói nh»ng ngŭi từ nŕng Cầi ra côn Cỗo. Ý dŭŕi hầm tàu 3 ngày 3 Cēm thì tàu cÆp b%on.

Cŭng 12 gi© Cēm thì bŭŕc lên dòm thấy lải. Tôi không nhÆn Cĩnh ra Cŭc. Nhìn thấy lải. Tŕi vùng thì nghe nói ti%ong Bịc thì mŕi bi%ot là nó Cŭa mình ra Hải Phòng, cŕng lŕn của Hà Nŕi. miŕn Bịc. ãnỉ Úy, Thi%ou Tá, Trung Tá, ãnỉ Tá, nh»ng ngŭi cẦp bịc lŕn phải Cị từ^a miŕn Bịc. ãnỉ úy thì rÃt ít. Tôi sui là tôi dính trong cái toán mà bĩ bịt tải mŕt trÆn, chŭ thŭc»ng thŭc»ng ãnỉ úy ra trình diÆn thì cŭng còn trong Nam chŭ không ra Bịc. Nó giam tôi chung với cẦp bịc lŕn.

Nó Cēm xe lải ch^a ra chđ xe l^a CÆu. Nh»ng ngày CẦu của 30 tháng 4 năm 1975, với sị tuyên truyŕn của Cŕng Săn, nh»ng ngŭi từ chính trŭi miŕn Nam khi bĩ Cŭa xuống tàu ra Bịc và khi chŭn lên xe l^a tỖ Hải Ph»ng Cị SỖn La vùng núi phía Bịc giáp ranh với Trung QuỖc, thì khi Cị dđc Cŭc»ng Công Săn Cầ t° chŭc cho ngŭi dân hai bên Cŭc»ng ném Cầ vào Cỗàn xe ch^a từ.

Trong xe l°a kín mít nhŭ cái hÀm tàu. Nó nhót trong mÃy cái hÀm không gh% không bàn. Vô ròi nó Cóng c°a khóa c°a a ngoài. Tôi thÃy có m¶t ông ch%ot. ãi xe l°a mÃy ngày mÃy Cêm tị cái vùng tỉnh Y%on Bái, tỉnh gÀn giáp ranh vớì Trung Quốc tuót trên Cĩnh.

Xuống xe l°a thì Cị qua phà qua Sông HỒng Hà, sông lện của Bịc. Khi mà trên phà ròi m¶t ngŭi làm viẾc nói Cừa hay nói thÆt thì mình không bi%ot. Ông Ấy nói là tØ xŭa C%on gi© ông Ấy làm Cậy mÃy chộc nặm ròi cỪ Cŭa tù qua Cậy thì không có ngày vŕ. Lúc Cọ cŭng rÀu thối ru¶t, không còn nghĩ gì, buồn lịm ròi. Ngŭi nào cŭng im lặng.

TØ phà lên xe nó chª Cị. Lúc Cọ gÀn sáng. Ỗ ngoài Bịc thì nó cho Cị ban ngày. ãi đ†c Cŭi là bĩ dân hai bên Cŭi ch†i Cạ. H† Cị làm ru¶ng làm rẤy h† Cị đ†c đ†c Cị b¶. Ch† Cọ không có xe Cặp không có xe hŕi. Phuŕng tiẾn của h† là Cị b¶ không. H† thÃy là h† lẤy Cạ h† ch†i lên.

H† chª tị cái khu mà thÃy toàn là núi không thÃy gì h%ot chĩ núi. Nh»ng cái khu Cọ khoảng vịng chung quanh không có nhà dân. Nhà lŭa thŭa. Xa thÆt xa mŕi có cæn nhà. Leo lét nhŭ là a rŕng khoảng.

Nhiŕu mÃy Còan xe chª tị tôi Cị trải. H† phân tán Cị h%ot chŭ CẬu phải tÆp trung. H† dŕng lải thì thÃy cái nhà xây gạch a trong thì trŕng, không có gì h%ot. Cái nhà hình ch» nhÆt dài lện thÆt lện. Nóc thì không có, trŕng trŕn. Chĩ có bŕn bŭc tŭi Cị quanh thôi. Nŕn xi mæng thì lâu ngày, cŕ bắm rêu, dŕ lịm. H† bŕ Cọ. Ch° của tôi khoảng trên m¶t trãm ngŭi.

Trải Sŕn Lai Bái

Cu¶c sÓng trong tù rÃt là cÖ c¶c mà không có bút m¶c nào có th¶ dĩn tả h¶ot ÇÜ®c. Cũng không ai có th¶ tÛªng tÛ®ng n¶i n¶ou không phải chính bản thân mình b¶ª tù. Bởi vì phải ví nhÛ Çó là m¶¶t cái cảnh Çĩa ngọcª th¶o gian. Tôiª tù 7 năm 3 tháng. Ý SÖn Lai Bái ch¶ Çàu tiên là kh¶ nhÃt. Tôi tÛªng tôi sẽ ch¶ot vì tôi Çói, không có thuÓc men, không Çu quẦn áo cho mùa lạnh, mà làm viÆc rÃt vÃt vĩa.

Vì trải chỉ có bốn bức tÛ®ng trÓng không lóc, ngÛ®i tù phải xây lên thành m¶¶t cái trải có hàng rào chung quanh, có nhà riêng cho b¶ Ç¶i, nhà riêng cho ngÛ®i tù, có nhà gác Ç¶ b¶ Ç¶c gác tới tôi Çêm ngày, phải xây b¶op và cẦu tiêu. Phải phá rÖng Ç¶ làm rÃy cuÓc cÖ cuÓc ÇÃt rồi gieo h¶¶t trÒng b¶p, trÒng lúa, trÒng khoai. ãn æn phải t¶ trÒng lÃy. T¶ mình phải làm lÃy h¶ot. M¶i ngày m¶i xây d¶ng xây d¶ng ri¶ot rồi mình làm thành m¶¶t cái trải có hàng rào chung quanh. H¶ nói n¶ou mình bÛ§c ra khÖi hàng rào h¶ b¶n ch¶ot bÖ. ãn có ai dám. CỪª trong hàng rào. Ra ngoài B¶c h¶ot trÖn n¶i. Nó bÖ mìnhª cái khu Çó nó bi¶ot mình không Çi Çâu ÇÜ®c. Ra ngoài rÖng cũng không. ãn®ng xá mình cũng không bi¶ot. Không ai dám trÖn.

M¶i ngày tới tôi phải Çi lên núi cao thÆt cao ÇÓcây mang v§ cÃt nhà. Phải leo lên tÖ núi tÖ núi này bãng qua núi khác Ç¶ tìm nh»ng thân cây rÖng thÆt th¶ng Çón v§ làm c¶¶t nhà. ãn m¶¶t cây rồi phải phóng cây xuÓng trÛ§c vì lúc xuÓng Çâu có d¶t cây mà Çi xuÓng ÇÜ®c. Nó cỪ lao xuÓng rồi mình m¶i lẦn mò v¶n cây tốt xuÓng ki¶om cây Çóª dÛ§i chân núi. Ai cũng Çi chân không vì Çi núi không có th¶ nào mæng dép ÇÜ®c. Gai nó Çâm chãy máu chân. VÖa lạnh, vÖa Çói, v¶t n¶ng Çi v§.

Mùa Çôngª mi§n B¶c lạnh rét. Khí hÆu t¶i tháng 11 tháng 12 lạnh giÓng nhÛª Çæy. NÛ§c nó cũng Çông vãng lải thành Çá. Không có tuy¶ot nhÛng mà lạnh l¶m. QuẦn áo thì Çâu Çu Ấm vì mình chỉ m¶c hai ba cái áo Çi làm. Trong nh»ng ngày lạnh

rét, mùa tằm tã, hay hè nóng nực, bắt cừu khi hễu gì cũng phải làm lao Cầm rắt vắt vã. Thế tằm tã cũng nhứt Cầm 0° C mà phải lẹi xuồng ruồng Cầm cày lúa thì cái lạnh nó Cầm tểi Cầm. Nó không hành hạ tểi tểi nhứt nó bặt làm khi mình Cầm bồng mà làm viếc quá sứt thì mình tểi xừ.

Cái kh° nhứt là cái Cầm. Trong cái thời gian mà nó bở Cầm khát tểi thì tểi mễi nhén ra Cầm Săn là ai. Hết ác quá. Hết ác mễi cách rắt khéo léo. Bởn Cầm Săn chỉ có mễi phởng pháp duy nhứt là bở Cầm nh»ng ngừi từ chổng Cầm. Chử thừ cái bao t° mình rồi là hết bảo cái gì mình cũng theo. Khỏi cần Cầm Cầm, Cầm Cầm, tra tằm mình. Mễi ngày hết phát cho mình hai củ khoai mì nhứt chút xíu hoặc mễi chén bở nầu ăn vô không thấy thắm tháp gì mà Cầm làm rắt cừ. Bao nhiêu Cầm cũng Cầm gi%ot mồn con ngừi.

Nó nói, “Bây giờ nhà nừc Cầm trong tình trạng không có Cầm lừng thừ cho các anh. N%ou các anh trổng nhứt thì no, trổng ít thì Cầm rần chừ.”

M°i tháng mình Cầm lãnh lừng thừ thừ là cởm gạo theo nó cừ cho mình bồng mễi Cầm ngừi mễi trảm gram. Trong nhóm từ c° ra trong mễi trải bốn năm ngừi lo chuyên nầu ăn. Có nh»ng ngừi không chừ Cầm n°i vểi cái Cầm cừn cào tở ngày này qua ngày kia, nên khi vào rổng Cầm phải tìm thêm rau rổng, nầm hoặc, và bắt cừ thừ gì có thừ ăn Cừ. Thêm chí cả cào cào hoặc bắt cừ con gì bặt Cừ ăn cho C° Cầm. Có ngừi vì không bi%ot rở ăn phải nh»ng thừ có chắt Cầm Cầm phải ch%ot trong tù.

Trong trải tểi có khoảng năm ngừi ch%ot. Do bở bởnh mà không có thuóc men ch%ot là mễi. Có Bác sĩ ngừi từ mà là bác sĩ trong quân Cầm khám bởnh nhứt không có thuóc. Do ăn phải nh»ng cái C° C° C° C°, C° quá vô trong rổng thấy cái gì

cũng lấy ăn. Ớn phải trúng Ớợc ch%ot. Có m%ọt ngỬ@i Ớn phải nẤm không bi%ot là có chẤt Ớợc vỚ nẤu nỬng r@i ch%ot. Có m%ọt Ớng trung tá Ớng Ấy Ớóí quá Ớng Ớ b; t ỚỬ@c con cóc nẤu Ớn r@i ch%ot. Khi Ớng Ấy ch%ot, lấy chi%ou quẤn lải, làm cái vớng. Hai ngỬ@i khiêng Ớng Ấy ỚỬa lên núi Ớào l; chôn. Chôn r@i th@i gian sau cây cỔ m; c tỪ tung bi%on mẤt ki%om không ra. NgỬ@i ch%ot thì ỚỬ@c quẤn m%ọt manh chi%ou và khiêng lên núi chôn m%ọt cách sỔ sai. Trải tôi chôn nặm ngỬ@i.

Con ngỬ@i khi Ớã Ớóí không còn sỪc Ớ< chỚng Ớóí phản kháng n»a. N%ou ngoan ngoãn thì cho Ớn cẦm chỚng Ớủ sỚng qua ngày. Ai chỈu Ớ;ng Ớóí không n@i chỈu làm tay sai cho chúng thì ỚỬ@c Ớn thêm. C%ng Săn nó hay l; m. Nó bi%ot bỈ Ớóí. Nó thẤy ngỬ@i nào ham Ớn ham uỚng quá. Nó nhìn ra. Nó kêu lên làm viẾc nó dợ dợ. Nó nói bây gi@ anh báo cho tôi bi%ot trong này có ai chỚng Ớóí, anh sẽ ỚỬ@c Ớn no hỒn nh»ng ngỬ@i khác hay làm viẾc nhỂ hỒn. H; có nh»ng cái Ủu Ớãi vÆy. Có ngỬ@i h; mỚm lòng y%ou ỚuỚi h; làm nhỬng h; Ớâu có cho mình bi%ot. H; lén lút, báo cáo, nhét giẤy cho C%ng Săn mình Ớâu bi%ot ỚỬ@c. Trong trải cũng có nhiỚu ngỬ@i nhỪ vÆy.

Sau này có m%ọt th@i gian phong trào nặi dÆy^a kh; p nỒi l@i mỖt h; ra. Trải này cũng có ngỬ@i chỚng Ớóí trải kia chỚng Ớóí rẦn rẦn ra m; bi%ot mỖt. Vì thẤy tải sao mình có m%ọt chén cỔm mà nó ỚỬ@c ba chén cỔm thì là bi%ot Ớng Ớó làm tay sai cho C%ng Săn. Sau này có cái phong trào cỪ mẤy ngỬ@i Ớó là h; lấy mỚn h; chùm lải h; Ớánh. Tôi không làm gì cho ai mét, nhỬng có m%ọt lẦn tôi bỈ mét. Tôi làm viẾc r@i tôi bỔ Ới xa ch; khu làm viẾc chút xú Ớ< Ới cẦu. Nó kêu tôi lải nó cũng hặm he nó nói b% anh tính chỚn trải hay sao. Nó tính Ớánh tôi mẤy lẦn. NhỬng tôi khéo nói. Tôi

nói tôi Cậu có làm gì Cậu. Tôi chỉ mặc cÀu tôi Cì ra ngoài Cỏ rồi tôi về thôi. Tôi biết có người ghét tôi nhưng tôi không biết ai.

Tôi không nghĩ làm sao tôi có thể sống qua Cũc như»ng ngày Cỏ. Có lẽ nhờ Ông Chúa thôi chứ mình không biết cái gì hết. Lúc Cỏ tôi có ba mÙi mấy tu»i cũng còn trẻ còn sức. Kh» quá. Về tìm r»a suy nghĩ không biết ch»ng nào Cũc về thăm gia Cình hay là mình biết bố xác » trong tù này. Mình Cậu biết Cũc.

Ở trong tù phải nuôi một hy vọng mong manh là sẽ có một ngày nào Cỏ, tình hình chính trị thay C»i thì Cũc trở về sum họp với gia Cình. Vì vậy cứ phải cố gắng mà sống, mà th»m l»ng lao C»ng m»i ngày. Kiên nhẫn và chịu C»ng không có cách nào khác. Ở Cỏ cứ nghe phong phanh ba năm Cũc về. Mình cũng hy vọng gắng sống C» Cì về với gia Cình. Nhưng tôi biết là tôi » ngoài B»c và biết là tôi còn sống. Nó chỉ cho biết về thăm thôi. Nó không cho mình nói là mình » Cậu. Nó nói là biết rồi nó C»m g»i. Nhưng có cái nó g»i có cái không mình Cậu biết Cũc. Bốn năm sau nó mới cho gia Cình Cì thăm nuôi.

Trải Hà Nặm Ninh

Cuối năm 1979 nó mới cho thêm nuôi. Vợ tôi và hai đứa con tôi lên thăm tôi.

Bốn năm rồi chưa gặp. Gia đình tôi nhẽn không ra vì tôi ốm nhom ốm nhách.

Con trai tôi mới tu còn con gái tôi chín tu. Lâu quá không gặp rồi lúc gặp

cũng chẳng nói chuyện nhĩu vì xúc động. Tôi nói vợ tôi tại sao lúc có

không chịu đẻ mà đẻ làm chi. Tại sao không đẻ hai đứa con đẻ. Bà mẹ nói còn

tôi bà mẹ đẻ có đẻ nữa. Lúc có đứa con của bà mẹ kêu bà mẹ đẻ mà bà mẹ

không có đẻ tại vì kết không có liên lạc nữa với tôi. Lúc có chỉ tranh tùm lum

rồi không có gì đẻ thoải lên nữa mà cũng không có lên thăm nữa đẻ mà

báo cho biết. Lúc có tôi cũng không biết tôi nên khuyên làm sao. Khi mà tôi vô

tù thì tôi với nghĩ là nếu mình đẻ cho vợ con mình đẻ thì tốt hơn. Những mà hồi

có thì không biết làm sao. Số hồi có tôi không cho đẻ không chớ. Không biết

đẻ ra làm sao nữa.

Lên thăm các bạn. Qua miền Bắc cũng xa xa xôi đẻ vô trong rừng núi đẻ có xe

đi. Tại cái tình rồi phải đẻ biết vô vì đẻ ai biết gì. Lúc nó cho gia đình thăm nuôi

thì tôi đẻ trải cảnh sát rồi.

Cuối năm 1979 là Trung Quốc Cảnh sát Việt Nam sang biên giới không biết do

hiện tình gì? Trung Quốc Cảnh sát sang qua giải tỏa mới cái trải sát biên giới rồi nó

bớt mấy đứa tù. Sau có nó không còn cho quân đội coi nữa mà giao lại cho công

an cảnh sát coi. Nó mới chuyển mấy cái trải khác rút về sâu trong này. Tôi chuyển

trải khu Sơn Lai tại Hà Nặm Ninh cũng đẻ miền Bắc.

Trải Hà Nặm Ninh là chính thức đẻ tù vì nó giao cho cảnh sát coi lúc trước là nhà tù

sơn. Trước đẻ trải quân đội có nhiệm vụ coi tù thì hết rồi đẻ mình thôi. Hết rào

chung quanh cho mình ^a. Mình Chợng có ra khỏi hàng rào thôi chứ h† không cho vô phòng khóa c^oa. Trước ^a trải quân Chợi thì nó là cái nhà mà t_l mình Chợn cây mình c^ãt. Rồi mình Chợn tre mình làm gi^ũong l^ãy. M†i ng^ũoi có cái gi^ũong tre riêng. Ban Chợm mình có th_l th^ũc d^ãy mình Chợ ra ngoài khỏi nhà Chợ Chợ ti_u. H† không bao gi[©] khóa c^oa ban Chợm. Cảnh sát áp d^õng theo cái ch^o Chợ từ cửa ngành h†.

Ban ngày làm vi^ẽc song t^ói nó cho v^ẽ cái phòng rồi nó khóa c^oa ^a ngoài l^ãi. Nhà tù xây b^ịng gạch xi măng. Ỗ nhà tù này nó xây luôn cái cầu tiêu cầu ti_u ^a trong phòng luôn. M†t cái phòng có con Chợ^ũong Chợ ^a gi[»]a còn hai bên là gi^ãy xi măng xây cao lên chút xíu Chợ trải chi^ou Chợ ng^ũ. Cái gi^ũong b^õng xi măng dài dài bên Chợ khu xi măng bên kia khu xi măng n^ẽm x^op l^õp nhau. Nó cho m†i ng^ũoi khoảng ba th^ũsc Chợ ng^ũ. M†t cái phòng ch^ũa bốn năm ch^õc ng^ũoi.

T^ói chi^ĩsu khi Chợ làm v^ẽ ăⁿ c^õm song rồi nó Chợ^ĩm danh rồi nó nh^{ót} vô trong phòng khoá c^oa l^ãi khoảng ch^õng năm sáu gi[©] chi^ĩsu. T^ói mình không Chợ^ũc Chợ ra khỏi phòng. ã^ẽm chỉ nói chuy^ẽn. Hai ba ng^ũoi l^ãi nói chuy^ẽn, k_l chuy^ẽn Chợ^ĩ x^ũa. Nh[»]ng cái gì mà nó th^ãy không nhuy hi^ĩm nó cho gi[»]. Có ng^ũoi t^ãp h†p l^ãi Chợ^ĩc kinh cầu nguy^ẽn. Có ng^ũoi ng^òi Chợ^ãnh c[©] ca rô nói d^õc, Chợ^ãnh Chợ^ũng có. Song t^ĩgi[©] nó quy^{ot} Chợ^ĩnh Chợ ng^ũ thì nó Chợ^ãnh k^ẽn rồi là t^ĩt Chợ^ũ h^{ot}. Không Chợ^ũc nói chuy^ẽn không Chợ^ũc Chợ t^ĩgi[©] Chợ lui chỉ m^ĩc ti_u là Chợ thôi. Ngoài có c^oa s^o song s^ĩt Chợ^ũng ^a ngoài nó d^õm vô Chợ ki^ĩm soát mình. C^oa s^o cho gi^o th^oi vô c^ũng Chợ^ũ h^{oi}. Sáng nó Chợ^ãnh k^ẽn nó m^ĩgi[©] m^a c^oa cho mình ra. Trải này ghê h^õn trải tr^ũsc là t^ói nó nh^{ót} mình còn ban ngày nó c^ũng b^ĩt mình ra ru^ĩng làm nh^ũ trải tr^ũsc.

Khi mà Chợ^ũc th^ãm nuôi rồi thì không có ng^ũoi ch^{ot} vì có thu^óc men Chợ^ũy C^ũ. Ai c^ũng v^ãy. Th^ãm là cho thu^óc men. Thu^óc Chợ cầu tháo d^ã, thu^óc c^ũm.

Ngũ©i nào cũng có thuỐC. Thí dợ mình không có gia Ờnh thặm nuôi thì nh»ng ngũ©i khác h† có thặm nuôi h† cho mình. Lúc Ớó không có ngũ©i ch%ot n»a. K< tỚ khi có gia Ờnh thặm nuôi rồi thì coi nhữ là ngũ©i nào cũng tặng lên ki lô. Không còn Óm n»a. Ngũ©i nào cũng Óm nhom Óm nhách nhìn không ra.

Gi© Ớây nghĩ lải nh»ng năm tháng ^a trong tù miỚn Bịc, tôi vẮn còn phải rùng mình và thỉnh thoảng trong giẤc ngủ vẮn còn mỚ thẤy nh»ng cảnh hãi hùng mà tôi Ớã phải trải qua. Tôi ^a cái trải Hà Nặm Ninh tỚ năm 1979 tợ 1981. Năm 1981, tôi chuy<n tỚ Hà Nặm Ninh vỚ Long Khánh, ^a trên tỉnh Biên Hòa. Sài Gòn Ới Biên Hòa tợ Long Khánh. Tôi không bi%ot cái tình hình lúc Ớó ViỆt C¶ng nói vớ i Mĩ k%ot h@p Ớòng làm sao thì lúc Ớó h† mợi cho lên xe l°a ch°a vỚ bên Nam. ThỚ tỚ ^a ngoài h† gợ i vô ngũ©i ta cũng nói là chịc có lẽ có chuyЄn gì Ớó thành th° ra h† mợi dặ Ớỉnh ch°a vỚ trong Nam Ớ< thả ra.

Trại Long Khánh Trên Biên Hòa

Phải công nhÆn là cái ngày lên xe l°a mà vỚ miỚn Nam rồi vui lịm mỖc dù là cỪ hai ngũ©i thì nó cùng tay chung vớ i nhau lên xe l°a ngỜi. Trên chuy%on xe l°a tỚ miỚn Bịc vào Nam, d†c ỚỬ©ng nh»ng ngũ©i dân tìm cách Ớ%on gẦn Ớ< nhìn mỖt, Ớ< ti%op t%o thỪc ăn và Ớ< hỚi thặm sỪc khỚe. ThÆt không có gì căm Ớ¶ng bợng ngũ©i dân sau bao nhiêu năm k< tỚ cái ngày g† i là “giải phóng miỚn Nam,” ngũ©i dân Ớã hi<u ra là mình bỈ tuyên truyỚn sai sộ thÆt. Nh»ng ngũ©i lính trong quân Ớ¶i ViỆt Nam C¶ng Hòa rẮt là hiỚn và t° t%o chỪ không hỚ “uỚng máu ăn thỈt ngũ©i” nhữ nh»ng ngũ©i C¶ng Săn Ớã tuyên truyỚn. Khác hãnh cái năm 1976 mà khi vô Bịc cỪ Ới ngang ch† nào mà có ngũ©i Ớông ngũ©i chen chúc là bỈ h† ch† i Ớá.

TØ ngoài Bịc vô tịi Nam trên xuỐt khoảng CÛŉng Cị mÃy ngày mÃy Cēm là xe l'ªa ngŉng ch† nào là h† thÃy CỜ ²en cho mình. Dân chúng bán CỜ, nhiŠu khi có nh»ng ngŰi nghèo h† ch† bán cái thúng sôi, hay chuŉi, hay khoai, chúc Cình gì thôi, h† thÃy lên xe l'ªa. Ngŉng là h† qu²eng qua c'ªa x'ª cho mình ²en, không bi%ot ngày Có h† cho mình h%ot h† lÃy cái gì h† sŉng? NhŰng mà h† cho h%ot. ã%on n†i ri%ot rŉi h† ngŉng m†t cái là công ²en phải CỪng hai bên xe l'ªa ch²En h%ot không cho ai g²An xe l'ªa h%ot. NiŠm vui là ª cái Cị<m Cŉ.

Coi nhŰ là ª Sŉn Lai trong chŉng m†t n²em hai n²em qua tịi n²em thŰ ba là khi mình Cị ra ngoài làm mình g²p nh»ng ngŰi dân Cŉ thì h† lén cho mình ²en. Th† dŉ nhŰ h† cỪng nghèo h† cỪng Cị vô rŉng Cŉn cűi Cēm vŠ s²i hay h† bán. H† cỪng d†t cŉm n²m bŉ trong cái túi C²eo vai Cị làm C< ²en trŰa. ThŰŉng thŰŉng ª ngoài Bịc h† Cị làm vô rŉng là Cị tŉ sáng tịi chiŠu h† m²i vŠ. TrŰa h† ²en cŉm. Thì mình cỪng Cị làm vô trong cỪng g²p nh»ng ngŰi Cị làm trong rŉng v²Ey. Lúc Cŉ h† r²t thŰŉng mình không bi%ot t²i sao. H† cỪ g²p là hŉi mình có Cŉi không, ²en cŉm không, h† cho. Có lẽ là h† thÃy m†t hai n²em Cŉ mình Cị làm tù Cị vô trong rŉng làm rŉi vŠ. G²p cỪng chào hŉi vui cŰi v²Ey thôi. Có lẽ là ngŰi dân cỪng nghe radio hay C†c báo chí h† thÃy sao mà nh»ng ngŰi Bịc mà vô trong Nam trª nên gi²u có cu†c sŉng ª ngoài làm sao. H† nghi gì làm sao mình bi%ot CŰc. Ch† bi%ot là trong hai n²em C²au thì b†t C²au là mình thân thi²En v²i ngŰi dân rŉi. NgŰi dân g²p h† cỪng chào hŉi, B²c lúc này có khŉe không. Rŉi nhìn qua không thÃy b† C†i C²au h%ot, thì h† lén cho mình gŉi cŉm rŉi h† Cị v²Ey thôi.

Trong Nam thì cái nhà c^oa làm Chợ h^on Bⁱc. Thay vì xi măng thì h[†] l[†]p gạch bông, l^op men. Sạch sẽ h^on mà nhà c^oa Chợ cao ráo r^oi quét vôi, C^on nê ôn sáng. Vô Chợ lá có s^on r^oi. Trong Chợ khoảng 1000 ng^oi.

Lúc nào c^ong v^oey cái chính sách của C^ong S^on là c^o b[†]t khai báo hoài. C^o vài ba tháng l[†] phát cho t^o gi^oky kêu khai, báo khai báo hoài chⁱn lⁱm. Thành ra tôi vi^ot m^ot cái b^on s^on r^oi nó Chợ m^ot cái là chép y nguyên v^on v^oey r^oi th^oky cho nó xong chuy^on cho r^oi. C^o vi^ot t^o nào c^ong gi^ong t^o nào. Mà ng^oi nào c^ong v^oey h^ot. H[†] làm v^oey cho mau ch^o ai mà ng^oi suy nghĩ hoài m^ot lⁱm. Nhi^ou khi nó Chợ, nhi^ou khi nó b[†]t mình Chợ lên c^om cái t^o mình Chợ cho ng^oi ta nghe. Ri^ot r^oi ai c^ong chán h[†] nói th^om trong mi^ong r^ong tao có t^oi gi^o c^ong Chợ, k^o nó tao chap nh^on t^oi l[†]i tao.

Trong su^ot m^oky năm ^a ngoài Bⁱc và trong Nam thì ai vi phạm k^o lu^oet gì thì nó cho nh^ot vô trong phòng riêng r^oi nó c^om hai chân l[†]i có khi b^ong s[†]t có khi b^ong g[†] r^oi nó khóa l[†]i nh^o là mình b[†] k^om c^ong nhⁱc chỉ có ng^oi lên n^om xu^ong v^oey thôi không có c^oa qu^oey gi^o Chợ h^ot. T^oi ph^on Chợ, t^oi ch^ong Chợ, t^oi hát nh^oc vàng t^ou là nh^oc tr^ou^oc năm 1975, ho^oc là gi^ou nh^ong cái Chợ nó c^om nh^o dao hay là k^om c[†]t gi^ou nó khám xét th^oky Chợ nó c^ong ph^ot. Coi nh^o là phẩm k^o lu^oet v^oey ho^oc Chợ làm mà làm bi^ong không ch^ou Chợ làm nó b[†]t nó ph^ot v^oey.

T^o năm 1979 lúc mà h[†] cho gia C^onh th^om nu^oi ^a cái tr^oi th^o nhⁱ và sau này thì tôi không th^oky ng^oi nào ch^ot. C^o m^ot tr^oi là khoảng 500-600 ng^oi. Tr^oi vô trong mi^on Nam này thì d[†] r^oi. Gia C^onh lên th^om nu^oi th^ong xuy^on. Ngày nào c^ong có gia C^onh này th^om gia C^onh kia th^om c^ong vui r^oi.

T^o Do Tháng 7 Năm 1982

Tháng 7 năm 1982 sau khi có sự can thiệp của chính phủ Mỹ tôi cũng như bao
 nhiều người khác lần lượt được trả lại tự do. Cùng tháng đó được danh sách chọn
 vài chục người thả về thì tôi được danh sách có tên tôi được cho về. Cái ngày đó quá vui.
 Tôi như là xúc động lắm có người bạn. Trong một tuần lễ khi mà tôi được
 cái tên cho ra về thì bạn có người bạn. nên cứ thế nôn nóng chờ cho nó phát cái
 giấy ra trả rồi tôi về. Trước ngày được về tôi được một tuần lễ^a một khu tự do
 không biết đâu. Muốn đi đâu thì đi, muốn đi vòng vòng chơi cũng được. Không có
 phải làm việc gì đâu. Rồi trong tuần lễ đó nó làm thủ tục giấy tờ rồi trả mình lại
 phòng hộ, nhân, cà rá, tiền bạc gì mình cần cho nó lúc được gia đình thêm nuôi,
 những mà nó thu vào lại. Khi mà nó phát tờ giấy cho ra trả đó thì nó sẽ trả cho
 cho mình luôn. Nó chỉ trả một ít thôi rồi nói là thật là chưa tìm được phải chờ. Có
 người thì được, có người thì nó hỏi là bây giờ chưa tìm được. Bây giờ phát cái
 giấy ra trả thôi rồi^a giấy gửi đi, chờ chờ nào kiếm ra được thì trả lại rồi về.
 Mà mình được về thì còn quá rồi bây giờ nó phát cho tờ giấy rồi mình bạn có muốn
 chờ lại nữa. Những mà mình hãy nhớ được tờ giấy ra trả mình bye bye rồi, về
 rồi.

Ra khỏi trại tù có xe về về Sài Gòn mà lòng bồi hồi với một cảm giác khó tả.
 Vui vì sắp gặp lại những người thân yêu như trong cõi. Bởi vì lo không biết về
 Sài Gòn làm việc gì được có thể kiếm tiền lo cho gia đình. Bước vào căn nhà trống trải
 nhìn thấy vợ và hai con tôi đã thấy ngay cuộc sống mới khó khăn bất cứ đâu trong cuộc
 cõi tôi. Tôi đã phải phải chờ đợi rất nhiều sự có thể xảy ra vào một xã hội mới. Tôi
 thấy rõ xã hội rất phân biệt có người có người với những người tù như tôi. nên con bạn xin

việc làm cũng bất ngờ chới. Mọi tuôn lí phải còn công an trình diện mặt lần.
Rồi vì thế gì ấy khi em, cũng lại vì thế gì ấy khi em mình sẽ làm cái gì ở nhà.

Lúc tôi sẽ thấy gia đình thiếu vắng trong nhà không có ai nào hết, rồi hai đứa con học hành gì quá rồi, và tôi thì sáng lại ra ngoài ở chỗ chỗ cái bàn nhỏ chỗ lên máy cái gói cũng gói đều nhỏ nhỏ ngoài bán ở ngoài chỗ. Tôi thấy xót ruột tôi mỗi đi mua sắm xe xích lô cấp. Sáng sớm tôi đến hàng phố với và tôi ở chỗ chỗ cho và tôi bán rồi tôi sẽ tôi lấy xe cấp tôi đi chạy taxi chi trả tới mỗi sẽ khi bắt sách và tới nó học rồi mỗi bị bắt đầu ngoài đây hai đứa nó học. Lúc có tôi sẽ cái việc bắt đầu tiên là tôi gì ở nhúng cái tập sách của nó đi học ra.

Lúc tôi sẽ thì con trai lớn tôi đã mười ba tuổi còn con gái tôi mười tuổi. Tôi coi thì tôi thấy là nhà mà ở cái tuổi có ở cái lớp có mà theo nhà tôi nghĩ nó phải đi học, những ngày này mà thấy này tôi hỏi nó không biết gì sẽ toán, sẽ anh văn. Toán cũng toán trừ mà cũng không rành nữa mà đã mười mấy tuổi rồi. Bài và trong trường gì ở ra nó không biết gì hết rồi. Học dứt học. Rồi tôi mỗi đây nó học ban đêm. Những mà sáng sớm rồi không biết là nó có vô trường học hay là đi chơi thì tôi câu biết bài vì tôi phải lo đi làm chạy xe xích lô tới mỗi sẽ. Con tôi sẽ là bây giờ ở trong trường học có học cách viết, nghĩa là con sĩ quan ngày khác với con dân bình thành thạo ra nó học nó chán. Tôi thấy nản quá vì nó học dở quá. Rồi sau vài tháng thì thấy gì ấy ở trường báo sẽ thặng con trai nó trốn, nó bỏ nó chơi không vô trường. Lại phải nghỉ chạy xích lô, chạy vô trong trường hỏi thầy cô giáo làm sao mà đây nó. Những nó chán vô trường vì bị phân biệt. Cô giáo đưa cho nó ngoài tuổi phía sau bàn chót. Nó học không hiểu gì nên nó bỏ không chịu học. Tôi buồn, tức giận, tôi lấy roi tôi quất mà rồi nó nản nó bỏ học nó chọn hoài rít rồi tôi cũng nản luôn. Tôi sẽ cũng mặt hai

năm sau rồi con tôi bỏ học hết. Cả con gái tôi. Tải vì nó học đả nó chán. Lúc Có tôi buồn lắm. Nó cù Cị chửi vạy thôi. Tôi vậy v® tôi phải Cị làm nuôi tời nó. Hai CùA nó bỏ học s§m lắm. Tôi buồn nhất là cái chuyện Có.

Tôi nói là n%ou tôi không Cị từ có lẽ là tôi dÆy đặ tời nó học Càng hoàng chứ không C%on nặi mà bỏ ngang. Tôi cũng học xong trung học rồi n%ou mà không có tình trạng chi%on tranh Cáng lẽ tôi lên Cãi học CỬ®c. Thợng con trai tôi lên lớp bảy, con gái tôi lên lớp năm vài năm rồi bỏ.

Tám năm tr©i, tám năm tr©i Có là coi như không có dÆy đặ con cái gì CỬ®c hết mà cái tu°i Có là cần phải có cha mẹ kèm theo mặi ngày theo sát s; học tập của nó thì tám năm Có lại hoàn toàn buông thả nó cho xã hội. Mẹ thì lo lao vào việc mà ki%om ti§n C< nuôi con nuôi chồng. Cha thì ² trong tù. Hai CùA con thì cù mẶc nó. Nó suy nghĩ gì? Nó chấp sách C%on trỪ®ng mẶc nó thôi, nó t; lo quy%ot không có ai dÆy đặ hết. Ông bà vì con cháu Công quá Cậu có lo n°i.

Khi mà tôi bắt vô tù rồi v® tôi mua CỬ®c cái căn nhà nhỏ, nhỏ bợng cái phòng khách. Thì cũng ² CỬ®c nhưn cái nhà cũng kh° lắm. Nhà cù tr©i mùa là nỰc ngÆp vô lại phải tặ nỰc ra. ãi làm hăng s² nào cũng Cậu có l¶p CỖn xin làm CỬ®c vì sĩ quan ngợy nó Cậu có cho làm.

Ỗ ViÆt Nam ra ngoài ch® ngỖi bán nó Cị ngang nó nói, “Sĩ quan ngợy hỖi sỪa mà bây gi© buôn bán.”

Mà làm không Cúng là bắt. Nó hãm đặ Cù thù hết. Thí dợ bán quá giá. Nhưn mà nó C¶c lắm. Thí dợ mình mua m¶t tram CỖng mà mình bán m¶t tram lỀ m¶t thì l©i m¶t CỖng nó hô bán quá giá nó phất. Nó Ắn CỈnh mình phải bán chín chộc CỖng. Làm sao mình bán CỬ®c C< mà sỔng. Mà lên ti%ong nói ti%ong trỰc ti%ong

sau nó hô, “Sĩ quan ngợy chỐng CỎi hay sao.” Thành ra nó làm khó khăn. Rồi thu% má cỪ nó hô nó tính toán làm sao thu% thu nhỮ vÆy là chỪa có vØa h®p lĩ phải tæng lên. Tæng lên tæng lên ri%ot rồi buôn bán cỉc kh° quá mà không có ti\$n. Thành ra không có còn CỪ®ng sÓng, Cành phải Cỉ.

Mãi cho C%on năm 1993 thì tôi nhÆn CỪ®c giẤy Cỉ phỔng vẤn trỪ®ng trình H.O. Khi mà Cỉ phỔng vẤn thì vØa Cúng lúc thợng con trai tôi Cỉ chỔi v§i bản bè rồi æn cỉp xe Cặp làm sao Cỏ khai ra nó phải Cỉ tù, coi nhỮ là CỪa Cỉ cải tạo thanh thi%ou nhi hỮ hỔng. Lúc Cỏ nó mỪ®i sáu mỪ®i bảy tu°i gì Cỏ chỪa C%on tu°i ngỪ®i l\$n. Khi tôi nhÆn CỪ®c giẤy Cỉ phỔng vẤn thì nó Cæng ° tù. Ban CẦu tôi quy%ot CỈnh thời kE bỔ nó ° lải Cỉ rồi qua b%on mình tính sau. Tôi nghĩ là nó Cæng ° tù làm sao nó CỪ®c Cỉ. Mà v® tôi nói có m¶t mình nó là con trai bỔ nó lải t¶i quá nó sÓng làm sao. BẤy gi© tôi không bi%ot làm sao Cỉ mà bỔ nó ° lải thì không Cành mà muỐn Cẽm nó theo thì phải làm sao. Lúc Cỏ tôi bỔ ra khoảng m¶t cây vàng chảy ch†t xin cho nó ra C< phỔng vẤn. Tôi nghĩ là dùng cái sÓ ti\$n Cỏ có th< cho nó Cỉ luôn. Khi vô phỔng vẤn thì nó hỔi thợng con trai thì tôi Cậu có dám nói nó Cæng ° tù. Tôi nói láo là bây gi© nó vẤn ° nhà nó không Cỉ h†c. Tôi không ng© là trong cái giẤy t© của C¶ng Săn nó CỪa cho phái Còan MỈ nó báo cáo CẦy Cừ h%ot. Ỗ trải ngỪ®i ta báo cáo thợng này nó trỐn Cỉ Cậu rồi. Thành th° ra phái Còan phỔng vẤn nói là tôi nói láo không có thành thÆt h† không cho Cỉ. Lúc Cỏ tôi Cặ bán cæn nhà rồi, cæn nhà bán C< mà Cỉ. Bán lo trả n® xong xuôi h%ot u C< mà Cỉ MỈ thì không CỪ®c Cỉ. VÆy v\$ không có nhà ° phải Cỉ mỪ\$n nhà ° tằm. ThẮt v†ng lịm rồi.

Tôi m§i làm m¶t cái CỖn g°i qua Thái Lan khi%ou nải. Tôi nói tình trạng tôi là hai CỪa con tôi không CỪ®c giáo dục trong vòng tám năm tr©i tôi ° tù. Thành th° ra nó

bắt đầu học rồi làm nghề chuyên bán sữa. Không phải là hoàn toàn của tôi mà phần là hoàn cảnh. Xin phải chờ con chờ xét lại cho chị. Ba năm sau học mới chờ xét lại.

Năm 1996 cho tôi chị.

Năm 1996 tôi làm hồ sơ lại tại vì nó có cái hồ sơ chị từ trước tôi sợ nó có vấn đề gì nữa tôi sợ. Thành ra lúc có tôi bỏ nó ra. Tôi khai gia đình chỉ có vợ tôi với con gái tôi. Tôi tính qua bên chị rồi chị coi nó ngoan ngoãn rồi tôi bảo lãnh cho nó qua. Con gái tôi nó ngoan lắm, nó là nhà phở với má nó buôn bán nấu cơm nấu nước giúp việc nhà. Lúc có thì có lẽ con trai tôi ghen tôi. Lúc có nó có bồ rồi cũng không muốn chị nữa.

Thì cũng bàn thảo với nó, “Thôi bây giờ hồ sơ của con sợ mẹ bị từ chối bây giờ con nghĩ thế nào con muốn chị hay không.”

Thì nó nói, “Thôi bây giờ Ba Mẹ với em chị chị. Rồi gửi tiền về cho con xin cũng được.” Nó nói vậy. Khi mà tôi khai như vậy thì phải chờ học rồi cũng phải chờ gì học cho chị lại.

Tôi đang bảo lãnh chị qua con trai tôi. Phải chờ chị kêu chị phỏng vấn rồi mà không biết quy định như thế nào. Cũng mong rặng nó qua bên chị nó chị làm việc rồi nó mới biết quý trọng tiền tài tiền lãi với lãi càng ngày càng lên tuổi nó mới suy nghĩ lại thì hy vọng vậy. Nó dốt nát quá không có học hành gì hết. Cuộc sống nó cũng không ra gì đâu. Nó là bên Việt Nam xa xôi quá thì cũng một tháng nói chuyện nó được vài ba phút cũng phải có hạn dành lắm. Nó cũng chờ chị theo bản bè nó mà bắt đầu học vậy. Cháu nội tôi sinh năm 1999. Có chụp hình gửi qua. Mỗi một năm về rồi tháng 12 năm 2001 tôi gặp cháu tôi lần đầu tiên. Nó hai tuổi rồi.

Chị SỐNG NƯỚC MỸ 1996

Khi mà tôi Ừc bảo lãnh ị qua Mỹ tôi ị theo cái diEn H.O. Tôi nghĩ là mình qua Ậy mình sẽ có mĩt ịi sÓng tỪÖng ịi khá hÕn không còn cịc kh° n»a. Thì cỪng do nh»ng ngỪi bản hị ị qua trỪsc hị nói vÆy hị qua bên Ậy có tiSn g°i vS ViEt Nam thì ịó ° ViEt Nam ai cỪng nghĩ qua bên Mỹ này có tiSn g°i vS là khá gĩa.

Khi phái ịoàn hÕi bên này có ngỪi thân nào ị< bảo lãnh không thì hị xẽ cho vS ti<u bang ịó. Thì tôi nói là tôi không có ai thân thuĩc ° bên Mỹ h%ot. Thì tùy theo muỐn cho tôi ° ti<u bang nào cỪng Ừc h%ot. Ngày 4 tháng 3 nãm 1996 tôi ịYt chân ị%on ịẤt Mỹ Ừc USCC ịón ti%op và ịỪa vS cæn nhà ° Ừcng NW 28th. Tôi rẤt là vui mỜng và th° phào nhỄ nhòm nhỪ trút h%ot nh»ng n°i buỒn khi còn ° ViEt Nam. LẦN ịẦu thì ° bên ViEt Nam sÓng kh° s° không có nhà c°a gì h%ot. Qua có Ừc cái mái nhà Ấm thẤy cỪng thoải mái. MẤy ngày ịẦu rẤt là thoải mái, thẤy cuĩc sÓng mình có lẽ tỪOi hÕn rồi.

Tịi bây gi© thì tôi không cãm thẤy nhỪ vÆy n»a. ChỈ ° Ừc mĩt tháng thì tôi ịã nhÆn ra cuĩc sÓng mịi nẦy cỪng không phải dĩ dàng vì ngôn ng», vì cuĩc sÓng khác biEt vS tinh thÀN LẨN vÆt chẤt th©i ti%ot, phong tọc tÆp quán, thỪc æn, ngôn ng». B°ng nhiên cuĩc sÓng mịi bi%on ịi tôi tr° thành mù ch» vì không bi%ot ti%ong Anh, “ịi%oc” vì không nghe hi<u Ừc ngỪi khác nói, “câm” vì không trả l©i Ừc khi ngỪi khác hÕi mình. Tôi ịã có gịng hịc hÕi nhỪng tu°i ịã cao, trí nhş kém cÕi tôi ịã không hịc hÕi Ừc nhiSũ.

Qua bên Ậy thì bỈ bó buĩc rẤt nhiSũ. Làm lãnh ra lỪÖng nhiSũ vS trả tiSn bill, tiSn nhà, tiSn xe h%ot trỒn không có dỪ Ừc cái gì h%ot. Bây gi© thẤy sỪc khÕe thì cặng ngày cặng y%ou ịi mà ịi làm cịc quá. NhiSũ khi bÆnh quá tr©i mà cỪng không có nghĩ phép Ừc ị< mà ° nhà. Theo cái luÆt l©e ° s° làm nghĩ là bỈ ịi<m. NhiSũ

Çi<m thì bĩ trØ lÜÖng. Mà tĩi mÜ©i Çi<m thì bĩ Çu°i. Nó gò bó bĩt bu¶c nhŰ vÆy thành th° ra nhiŰu khi ngũ sáng thỪc dÆy Çau nhỪc mình mÄy h%ot mà cỪng phải ráng Çi làm. Mà vô làm c¿c quá Çi cỪng phải ráng thôi. Bậy gi© tôi làm sáu ti%ong ÇÒng hò là thÄy nó mEt ÇØ rØi. Làm tám ti%ong ÇÒng hò là kỀng reo m¶t cái lão Çảo Çi không n°i. MEt l¿m. Bậy gi© lşn tu°i rØi mà bÕ cái hăng Çi chặ khác thì cỪng khó xin. Mà cái lÜÖng thì cỪng Çâu ki%om ÇŰ@c bợng cái hăng này. N%ou tôi còn trỀ thì có lẽ là thích h@p sÓng^a Çây hỖn vì mình có sỪc khỎe Çi làm mình không có mEt. Qua Çây cái kh° nhÄt của tôi có lẽ là vì sỪc khỎe kém mà phải Çi làm c¿c. ChỪ n%ou mà tôi có sỪc khỎe thì tôi không s@ Çi làm vì tôi Çã quen c¿c rØi. NhŰng vì cái viEc làm của mình nó quá Çáng vşi sỪc khỎe của mình. Thay vì^a cái tu°i tôi, tôi có th< làm cái viEc nhỄ hỖn chút sủu nhŰng mà vô hăng làm phải ôm cái cợc rÄt nỖng. CỪng phải làm thôi! Nặm nay tôi nặm mŰÖi bÄy tu°i. Còn phải làm mŰ©i nặm n»a. Tôi phải ráng làm mŰ©i nặm n»a thì ÇŰ@c vş hŰu. Rşt cu¶c rØi thÄy cu¶c sÓng không có cái gì thoải mái h%ot.

Ỗ Çây tÓi ngày cỪ vô Çóng c°a kín mít vÆy. CỪ Çi làm rØi vş nhà rØi m° ti vi coi, coi Çã rØi ngũ sáng Çi làm cỪ vÆy ngày này qua ngày kia trong khung nhà chán quá không có gì vui h%ot. Còn^a ViEt Nam kh° mà vui. BuỒn Çi ki%om bản bè ngỖi nói chuyЄn uÓng cà phê ra quán uÓng cà phê ngỖi nói chuyЄn này nặ nó giải khuây ÇŰ@c tinh thẦN. Cái æn, cái mẶc là không phải lo. ^n, uÓng, quÀN áo không phải lo. Phải lo tiŰn bill mặi tháng, sỪc khỎe, vşi tinh thẦN.

Mặi cách Çây hai ngày t¿, nhiên mÄt cái bolt^a ngoài cái máy lạnh. Tr©i Öi nó nóng khùng khi%op. Tôi không bi%ot làm cách nào mà gặ Çiên thoải kêu ngŰ©i ta lải liŰn ÇŰ@c. Phải ch© h%ot m¶t ngày m¶t Çêm. Tr©i Öi nó lóng trong phòng nó lóng

quá. Tám mŭŏi mǎy chín chøc Ç¶. Ti%ong Mĩ thì không có nói rành. Cũng chđng giúp Ç« Ç¿c mình t¿ làm lǎy h%ot. Mĩ nó song phăng tŭc là Çŭa anh qua bên Çây rồi thì anh phải t¿ Ç¿ làm lǎy rồi anh phải Çóng thu%. Thì có bao nhiêu thì anh sai bǎy nhiều. Gi© Çây sau gÀn 5 nǎm sÓng ^a Oklahoma. Tôi Çã Ç¿ làm ^a Công Ty Unit Parts. Cu¶c sÓng mŷi Çã tŭŔng Çóí òn Çŭnh.

Con gái tôi thì có nhiŷu cŏ h¶i nhŭng nó không có cái trí Ç< nó h†c tập. Nhŭng mà bây gi© nó cũng bĩ cái Çòng tiŷn nó lôi kéo nó. Cu¶c sÓng của nó có Çû Çâu. Nó cŭ vŔa phải Ç¿ làm vŔa vŷ làm bánh bŏ mŏi ^a ch® cũng rǎt là c¿c kh°. Cũng chđng thǎy sung sŭŷng gì h%ot. Phải chi mà nó chŭu khó mà Çŭ@c qua Çây lúc còn nhŏ chúc sŭu rồi nó Ç¿ h†c thì có lẽ là có tŭŔng lai hŔn. Nó có bệng cǎp thì cu¶c sÓng nó Ç« hŔn. Còn Çøng này nó cũng không có hŔn gì Çŭ@c tôi h%ot. Mà nhiŷu khi nó còn thua.

Nhŭng tôi bi%ot vǎy tôi cũng phải Ç¿ tải vì ^a ViEt Nam không có xin Çŭ@c viEc làm mà bĩ Çóí x° rǎt là kh° l¿m. Cái chuyEn mà Ç¿ tŔ ViEt Nam qua bên Çây là vŷ phŭŔng diEn kinh t%o thôi. Chŭ còn mình không có con Çŭ@c nào sÓng h%ot thành ra rŷt cu¶c rồi bi%ot là kh° cũng phải Ç¿ thôi. Mình phải qua Mĩ Ç< sÓng tải mình cŭ hy v†ng là mình Ç¿ Ç< mình qua bên Çây Çŭ@c Çóí x° cho nó công bệng không có thành ki%on của m¶t ngŭŔi Sĩ Quan. Cái thŭ hai n»a qua Çây mình Çŭ@c t¿ do. Làm không có ai nói nǎng tŷi mình h%ot, cŭ cái gì cũng hô Sĩ Quan ngŷy Sĩ Quan ngŷy. Cũng nh© qua Çây mà m¶i tháng tôi g¶i tiŷn vŷ giúp cho Ba tôi ^a bên nhà sÓng qua ngày Çŭ@c. Nhŭ vŔa rồi Ba tôi bEnh Çŭa ra nhà thŭŔng và tôi cũng g¶i tiŷn vŷ mua thuÓc men. N%ou mà không Çŭ@c qua bên Çây mà ^a ViEt Nam thì ch¿c không bi%ot làm sao có tiŷn Çó.

Tải vì C¶ng Sãn chi%om miŠn Nam làm cho gia Çình tôi tan nát nhŰ vÆy. ChŰ n%ou mà không có C¶ng Sãn qua miŠn Nam, thì cu¶c sÓng của tôi không có tÊ nhŰ vÆy. Tôi nghĩ là trŰc năm 1975 thì sÓng ^a ViEt Nam sŰng hÖn ^a Çây. Là mình ÇŰ@c làm viEc cŰng thoải mái mà sÓng cŰng thoải mái. Tôi Çã phÃn ÇẤu rÃt nhiŠu Ç< Çi làm tảo cho gia Çình m¶t cu¶c sÓng tŰÖng ÇÓi òn ÇÎnh. Tôi bÊ stress và shock. Tôi tìm ra m¶t cái niŠm vui là tôi h†c máy vi tính. Vô internet rồi mình có th< ghé vŰ ViEt Nam Ç†c nh»ng bài báo tình hình kinh t%o chính trř ^a ViEt Nam, thẤy cŰng thoải mái ÇŰ@c.

MiŠn Nam ViEt Nam coi nhŰ bây gi© tan rã h%ot rồi Çâu còn gì. Nh»ng ngŰ©i lşn tu°i lẦn IŰ@t ch%ot h%ot rồi. Nh»ng ngŰ©i lãnh Çảo nh»ng vř tŰşng lẦn IŰ@t qua Ç©i h%ot. ãÓi vŰi riêng bản thân tôi, tôi thẤy trải qua m¶t qu¶c sÓng sóng gió nhŰ vÆy rồi thì bây gi© còn lã nh»ng ngày cuối Ç©i này thì mình không có khả năng gì làm ÇŰ@c cho ÇẤt nŰşc h%ot. Theo cái tu°i già của tôi không còn sŰc nào Ç< làm chuyEn gì khác hÖn h%ot ngoài cái chuyEn nghĩ còn lã vài năm cuối Ç©i ráng sÓng Ç< mà nhìn con cái trŰang thành rồi ch%ot Çi là ÇŰ@c rồi. Tôi ráng cố gıng Ç< mà xây dıng ÇŰ@c m¶t cái gia Çình cho êm Ấm hạnh phúc ^a cái ÇẤt nŰşc xa lã này Ç< mà mong sau này khi ÇŰ@c vŰ hŰu rồi mà còn sÓng sót thì quay trã lã ÇẤt nŰşc sÓng ch%ot ^a nŰşc ViEt Nam. VÆy thôi.

Tôi nghĩ ch%o Ç¶ C¶ng Sãn không có sỏp Ç° vì mẤy chỏc năm nay rồi. Bây gi© cợng ngày nó cợng gi» chıc hÖn mà cợng ngày nó có nhiŠu tiŠn hÖn thì chıc cŰng khó sỏp Ç° lım. Tôi thẤy cái tu°i tôi già rồi không còn cái Ű trí mà phân ÇẤu n»a. Lúc trŰc thì lã không bi%ot. NhŰng mà sau khi ^a tù bi%ot ÇŰ@c C¶ng Sãn rồi thì bây gi©

Con cái tuởi mới mỗi không còn mất chút sinh lực nào. Con nghĩ về Công Sản bây giờ nó có tan rã thì cuộc sống cũng chẳng có gì thay đổi khác hơn nữa.

Tôi đi về thăm Việt Nam tháng 12 năm 2001. Có một chị buồn nhất là hồi xưa rồi tôi đi về Việt Nam thấy cái tình trạng síh ke ma túy quá nhiều. Nhiều hơn hồi trước gần phóng 75 năm, quá xá. Tôi đi về cũng từ thấy mấy ông chính thấy chị cũng không có ai đi đẹp. Tôi chỉ sợ con trai tôi dung vô cái chuyện có tài vì thanh niên ở Việt Nam bây giờ dùng nhiều quá nhất là những gia đình nghèo khổ. Khó quá rồi họ tìm những cái gì lãng quên. Uống rượu là một chất síh ke ma túy thứ hai. Cái có nhiều người thấy chính mặt tôi thấy. Còn chị tôi là đi theo ngoài cũng, những cái quán uống rượu uống bia ngoài chị cũng hết mà toàn thanh niên nhỏ không. Bây giờ hình như là chính quyển họ đẹp không nói nữa rồi, càng ngày càng nhiều quá sức. Hồi xưa cũng có những mà lén lút thôi. Không có con nít quá sức như bây giờ. Có những khu đi ngoài cũng mình thấy mấy cái ông kim chính mình sợ lắm rồi mình sợ mà nó âm lưng vô cùng mình không biết có gì không nữa. Ghê vậy có. Ngay ở Sài Gòn có những khu, những cái khu mà thí dụ như ban đêm thì có bóng đèn điện tối là những cái khu trống trống này nít. Nhiều lắm. Hồi xưa câu có như vậy. Hồi xưa làm như vậy nó cũng kín đáo câu có quàng trùm lum vậy câu. Lúc tôi đi năm 1996 cũng có khá nhiều những mà cũng chỉ nghe thôi chứ không con nít đi cũng mà thấy như vậy.

Con của cán bộ thì họ có chị kiển họ lái lên. Họ cho đi du học nước ngoài. Họ tìm những cái trường lớn họ học. Thì con cán bộ càng ngày càng khá. Bây giờ coi như là cái tuởi 18, 19, 20 là nó sống máy vi tính giỏi lắm rồi. Nó có chị kiển mua máy vi tính, có chị kiển vô internet có chị kiển họ hành khá lắm. Những mà con

dân thì nghèo lắm không có Cũ@c h+ hành thì càng ngày càng Cị xuỐng. Nh»ng sinh viên mà qua bên Mĩ này vô các trŰ@ng Cẩ h+ Cị<m lắm 80-90% là con cán b¶. Ch; c ch;n m¶t trãm phẦn là nhŰ vÆy. Còn dân thŰ@ng là không có th< nào có tiŚn qua Cậy h+c. N%ou mà h+ qua Cậy rồi h+ sÓng ^ ngoài quÓc nhŰ th%o này h+ nhìn lắm cái nŰc ViEt Nam C< có cái dĭp mà h+ so sánh C< ch+ n m¶t con Cũ@ng Cúng cho dân t¶c cho CÃt nŰc của mình.

Appendix 6

PhỔng VẮn Lỗ Hắnh

Tôi sinh ngày 10/16/1947 tại Sài Gòn Nam ViỆt Nam. Quê quán cha mẹ^a miỂn Bắc ViỆt Nam trải qua cuộc hành trình rất dài thời gian. TỜ Cam bóT, Lào, Thái Lan và sau cùng cha mẹ CỨnh cũ tại miỂn Nam ViỆt Nam. Cha tôi mất năm 2001 tháng 1 lúc ông Ấy 86 tuổ. Hiện nay mẹ tôi 79 tuổ Càng sinh sống tại miỂn Nam ViỆt Nam.

Tôi là con cả trong gia CỨnh gồm 11 ngườI con (4 gái, 7 trai). Ba mẹ tôi phải làm long vất vả mới có thể chăm sóc 11 ngườI con. Vì thế cuộc sống kinh tế trong gia CỨnh luôn gặp nhiều khó khăn. Tuy nhiên nhờ ngườI con ai cũng tốt nghiệp cấp Trung Hợc. Tôi gặp Phúc, chồng tôi vào Tờot Mậu Thân năm 1968 lúc anh Ấy phục vụ trong quân CỨI ViỆt Nam CỨng Hòa mang cấp bậc thiếu úy. Chúng tôi kết hôn vào ngày 2/2/1969. Chúng tôi có CỨc 2 con (1 trai, Hiệu sinh năm 1969 và 1 gái Thảo sinh 1971.

Cũng như Cha số gia CỨnh quân nhân khác, cuộc sống của gia CỨnh của gia CỨnh tôi cũng rất khó khăn. Nhà^a của vợ chồng tôi luôn thay Cộ. Vì anh Ấy phải Cống quân^a nhiều nơi khác: Sông Bé, Lợc Ninh, Biên Hòa, Long An v.v. . .

Tháng 4/1975 một biến cố lớn Cũ xảy ra. Đầu năm 1975 ViỆt CỨng tỜ miỂn Bắc ViỆt Nam tấn công vào miỂn Nam ViỆt Nam. Đầu tiên chúng tấn công tỉnh ñồng Hà, Quảng Trử. Sau Cộ ViỆt CỨng tấn công Huố và ñà Nẵng. Tháng 3/1975 ViỆt CỨng tấn công Ban Mê Thuợc, ñà Lạt, Nha Trang sau Cộ ViỆt CỨng tấn công Phan Rang và Long Khánh. Quân cán chính và ngườI dân phải di chuyển vào Thù ñô Sài Gòn và các vùng phợ cận.

Cũng vào thời gian này chính phủ Mỹ tuyên bố cúp viện trợ quân sự và rút quân khỏi miền Nam Cộng Hòa. Tổng Thống Nguyễn Văn Thiệu xin được thay thế là Ông Trần Văn Hương. Sau 3 ngày tổng thống Hương xin được vợ tổng thống cho nghỉ dưỡng tại Đà Lạt. Việt Cộng vẫn liên tiếp tấn công vào các vùng phía đông Sài Gòn. Thành phố Sài Gòn bị pháo kích liên tục. Nhận thấy không thể chống trả với Việt Cộng được. 7 giờ sáng ngày 4/30/1975, Tổng Thống Minh tuyên bố trên đài phát thanh Sài Gòn, Yêu cầu quân Việt bỏ súng và đầu hàng vô điều kiện. Sau đó chuyển ra Việt Cộng trên ngai khi quân Cộng hòa phía đông Sài Gòn chuyển ra Việt Cộng gặp xe quân của Việt Nam Cộng Hòa thì chúng bắn B40 và Cột cháy, xác lính và xác người dân chết rất nhiều. Có hàng xác chết không còn nguyên vẹn thân thể và có hàng xác chết nằm ngoài đường phố hai ngày. Việt Cộng chiếm các cơ quan quan trọng, dân sự, sự quân Mỹ, dinh thự. Sau khi Mỹ tuyên bố rút quân khỏi miền Nam Cộng Hòa thì phong trào di tản nổi lên. Nhiều gia đình, gia đình di tản năm 1954, vì họ không có thể đi tù hoặc đi xa từ hình phạt. Tất cả mọi người có tài sản lớn hoặc nhỏ đều muốn bỏ tất cả: nhà, đất, của cải, tiền vàng và chuyển đi đến nơi có cuộc sống và chuyển đi đến nơi có cuộc sống tự do. Cơ quan DAO của chính phủ và họ chuyển đi bằng máy bay hoặc tàu thủy. Số người này chuyển đến đảo Guam.

Vào nh»ng ngày cuÓi tháng 4/1975 chÒng tôi chỈ huy m¶t Ç¶i ã¶I Pháo Binh 155 Ly Çóng quân tãi Tr»ng Bàn, Tây Ninh. Th©I gian này tôi ª Thù nữC Sài Gòn v¶I hai con và làm viCec cho cÖ quan ch% xuẤt thu¶c b¶ kinh t%. Tôi cƯng có s¶ muỐn di t»n

những mặt người khác. Tôi có thời gian 10 năm làm việc cho cơ quan USAID của Mỹ bên tôi có ưu trong danh sách DAO. Tôi gửi chị em thoải cho chồng tôi chị anh ấy về Sài Gòn cùng đi tìm về con. Những chị em thoải xâu tôi chỉ nghe anh ấy nói Hello. Hello. Những anh ấy thì không nghe được tiếng nói của tôi. Vì vậy tôi bỏ về quê đi tìm. Sau ngày mất nước, những người lính Việt Nam Cộng Hòa có quân các nội chiến lần đầu về sum họp về gia đình. Hai người em tôi cũng về về quê Sài Gòn về gia đình. Sau hơn một tuần lễ chồng tôi vẫn không về về gia đình. Tôi lo sợ anh ấy mất tích hoặc về quê không về. Tôi lái xe đến mấy chị Trưng Bán, nội anh ấy có quân lần cuối. Người dân ở Trưng Bán chỉ tôi thị khu Việt Cộng Bối Lối, Tam Giác Sỏi, Tây Ninh, chị hỏi thăm tin tức chồng. Nội đây tôi gặp một chỉ du kích. Chỉ ấy đưa cho tôi xem một số ảnh của quân nhân chị tôi nhân diện chồng tôi. Những tôi không thể tìm thấy hình ảnh chồng tôi trong số những ảnh của quân nhân ấy. Chỉ ấy chỉ tôi thị Catum, gần biên giới Campuchia. Nội đây tôi gặp một toán tù binh Việt Nam Cộng Hòa bị Việt Cộng bắt giữ. Tôi vẫn chưa tìm ra anh ấy. Trên những chị tìm chồng tôi được biết có vài chị em chị cũng gặp xác chết bị Việt Cộng bán ném ngoài những chị ấy lại xác chết lên chị nhìn mặt xem người chết có phải là người thân của mình không. Sau cùng bằng một lá thư viết tay tôi được biết chồng tôi bị bắt giữ tại Căn cứ Đồng Dù, căn cứ Củ Chi nay là Sư đoàn 25 Bình Minh có quân cũ.

Sáng ngày 5/8/1975 tôi chị Củ Chi và vào Căn cứ Đồng dù hỏi thăm tin tức chồng tôi. Nội đây có nhiều chị em về lính Việt Nam Cộng Hòa cùng hoàn cảnh như tôi. Chúng tôi hỏi thăm tin tức chồng thì Việt Cộng trả lời là Nội đây không bắt giữ ai hết. Các bà chị về chị. Chúng tôi thì Việt Cộng chỉ thị chỉ thị và chán nản về về.

Trên Cũong Cị b¶ tØ Cæn CÙ ãỒng Dù ra b%on xe Cù Chi chúng tôi g¶p m¶t du kích. Chúng tôi nói cho anh Ấy bi%ot m¶I s¿ viEc. Anh Ấy hỪa sẽ giúp C« chúng tôi g¶p m¶t chỒng. Anh Ấy dẫn chúng tôi tậi c°ng phía sau Cæn CÙ ãỒng Dù và xin giẤy phép cho chúng tôi Cũ@c vào trải thặm chỒng. ChỒng tôi nói là trong lúc ViEt C¶ng tẤn công anh Ấy và quân lính của Cại C¶i anh không chỒng trả Cũ@c nên phải phá súng, xe, máy móc rồi rút quân vô rỒng. Trên Cũong trỒn chảy anh Ấy bỈ Cản ViEt C¶ng bịn trúng sát cẹm. Viên Cản xuyên qua da thỉt nhỪng không trúng xỪong cẹm. Máu ra rẤt nhiỂu. Lúc Ấy thuốc men không có chỈ có nỮsc lạnh pha muối rồi r°a sạch v%ot thỪong nhiỂu lẦN m¶i Cũ@c lạnh hén.

ñ%on 6/1/1975, ViEt C¶ng thông tin trên Cại phát thanh, Yêu cầu nh»ng quân nhân ViEt Nam C¶ng Hòa nh»ng sĩ quan mang cẤp bÆc tØ thi%ou úy, Cại tỪng phải trình diEn C< Cị h†c tÆp cải tạo. LỪong th¿c mang theo æn Cù 1 tháng. ChỒng tôi từ binh vi%ot thỦ tay nh© du kích mang vỂ cho tôi bi%ot là anh Ấy muỐn tôi bi%ot là anh Ấy hiEn bỈ tÆp trung tải trỪong trung h†c DON BOSCO tải Gò VẤp. Anh Ấy muỐn tôi mang lỪong th¿c g¶i cho anh Ấy æn Cù m¶t tháng. Tôi g¶i lỪong th¿c cho anh Ấy nhỪng không Cũ@c g¶p m¶t. Tôi và các chỈ em chỒng Cị h†c tÆp cải tạo CỂu có chung m¶t suy nghĩ là chỒng chúng tôi các anh Ấy chỈ Cị từ m¶t tháng rồi Cũ@c thả vỂ với gia Cình. Vì theo l©i ViEt C¶ng nói Nh»ng ngỮ©I h†c tÆp cải tạo phải mang lỪong th¿c æn Cù m¶t tháng. Có nghĩa là trong th©i gian h†c tÆp cải tạo ViEt C¶ng phải mang lỪong th¿c æn Cù m¶t tháng. Có nghĩa là trong th©I gian h†c tÆp cải tạo ViEt C¶ng không cung cẤp lỪong th¿c. LỪong th¿c mang theo æn Cù m¶t tháng thì các anh Ấy chỈ h†c tÆp cải tạo m¶t tháng. NhỪng không phải nhỦ th%o.

Sau này chúng tôi Ớc bi%ot là số ngŭi trình diEn h+c tÆp cải tạo Ớc ViEt C¶ng phân loài h+ thành nhiŕu thành phẦn khác nhau tùy theo cẤp bÆc và ngành nghŕ. Sau Ớó ViEt C¶ng Ớt tên cho nh»ng thành phẦn Ớó: Thành phẦn ác ôn , thành phẦn gi%ot ngŭi, thành phẦn æn thŕt ngŭi, thành phẦn uỔng máu ngŭi, thành phẦn c® n®i máu nhân dân v.v... ViEt C¶ng di chuy«n nh»ng thành phẦn này tŕi nhiŕu trải tù khác nhau rải rác tØ Lãng SỔn tŕi Cà Mau. M+ i liên lạc vŕi nh»ng ngŭi h+c tÆp cải tạo bŕi Ới th©i gain là 5 năm. Tôi và chỜng tôi mẮt liên lạc 5 năm. Trong 5 năm này tôi vẮn ti%op tọc làm cho xŭỔng may Bình LŖi, giám ỚÓc là cán b¶ ViEt C¶ng. Vì là v® sĩ quan ngøy nên viEc làm của tôi gŕp nhiŕu khó khăn. ViEt C¶ng không tin tŭng tôi nên chŕi cho tôi làm nh»ng viEc nhŭ m¶t la công. Tôi là thŭ kŕ hành chánh/dánh máy væn phòng. Tôi không Ớc lên lŭỔng nhŭ nh»ng chŕi em khác. Kinh t%o gia Ớinh không Ớu nên sau th©i gian làm viEc tôi phải Ới buôn bán. ViEc h+c của hai con tôi gŕp trª ngãi. Chúng chŕi h+c xong cẤp Trung H+c thì phải có lŕ lŕch tỐt. Phải là con của cán b¶ C¶ng Săn hoŕc con nh»ng ngŭi có công vŕi cánh mắng. Lŕ lŕch của hai con tôi xẤu vì là con của gia Ớinh sĩ quan ngøy. Vì vÆy chúng không có cŕ h¶i ti%op tọc h+c Ớải h+c.

Tháng 1/1980, chỜng tôi gªI thŭ vŕt tôi Ớc bi%ot hiEn anh Ấy bŕ giamª trãi HAZ25 miŕn Bŕc ViEt Nam. Anh Ấy cho bi%ot sŭc khŖe anh Ấy bình thŭỔng và khuyên tôi có gŕng sæn sóc hai ỚÙa con ch© ngày anh Ấy h+c tÆp cải tạo tỐt ViEt C¶ng thả anh Ấy Ớc sum hŕp gia Ớinh.

Tháng 3/1980, tôi và hai con tôi, Hi%ou 10 tu°I, Thảo 8 tu°I, ra Bŕc thăm anh Ấy bệng phŭỔng tiEn tẦu hŖa. Trong cu¶c hành trŕnh này tôi gŕp nhiŕu chŕi em cŭng Ới thăm chỜng nhŭ tôi. Th%o là chúng tôi k%ot bản vŕi nhau. Chúng tôi mang rẮt nhiŕu

IÜÖng th_lc cho các anh Ấy. Có ngÜ©I mang t§I 100 k§ IÜÖng th_lc Ç< thæm nuô
chÖng cúa h†.

Sau hai Çêm m¶t ngày tÀu hÖa Ç° chúng tôi tải Phú L§. Vì tr©I tÖi chúng tôi
phäi ^a nhà tr† m¶t Çêm. Ngày sau chúng tôi Çi xe Çò t§I Tam Ha và Ba Sao. Chúng tôi
phäi Çi b¶ theo sÜ©I núi Ç< t§i trải giam các anh Ấy. Vì hành l§ quá nhi§u và rÃt nÿng
chúng tôi phäi nh© dân ÇÏa phÜÖng mang dùm và trả ti§n công cho h†. Lên Ç§ñ trải
thì Çã tÖi. Chúng tôi phäi ngü ^a nhà khách cúa trải 1 Çêm Ç< sáng ngày mai m§I ÇÜ©c
gÿp mÿt chÖng.

Sau 5 næm h†c tÆp cải tạo, sÜc khÖe cúa các ngÜ©i nay rÃt là xÃu. ña sÖ Óm
y%ou có ngÜ©i thành tàn tÆt phäi chÖng gÆy mà Çi. Nhi§u ngÜ©i vô khi gÿp chÖng
không nhÆn ra ngÜ©I Ấy là chÖng cúa mình. Vì h† rÃt Óm y%ou và bÆnh tÆt. .
ChÖnt tôi nói Cu¶c sÖng cúa nh»ng ngÖÖi tù rÃt c_lc kh° tØ tinh thÀn cho t§i vÆt
chat. Nhi§u ngÜ©i bÏ ch%ot vì Çöi. ñó là chính sách chung cúa b†n ViÆt C¶ng.

LẦN thÜ hai tôi Çi thæm chÖng là 10/1980. Tôi và hai con và ba tôi ra mi§ñ B_lc
thæm chÖng lẦN n»a. Trong lẦN thæm này sÜc khÖe cúa anh Ấy khá hÖn m¶t chút
nh© IÜÖng th_lc thæm nuô lẦN ÇÀu. LẦN này tôi cÜng ÇÜ©c v§ thæm quê quán cúa
ba tôi. NÖi Çây ba tôi Çã bÖ ra Çi cách nay 50 næm. Ba tôi nói sau 50 næm v§ thæm
quê nhà m†I cÖ cẤy ki%on trúc không có gì thay Ç°i. NhÜng tàn tích chi%on tranh vì
bom Çản Mĩ vẢn không ÇÜ©c xây Çúng lải.

Tháng 3/1981 tôi, hai con, và em chÖng tôi lải ra mi§ñ B_lc thæm chÖng. Khi v§
chúng tôi có thæm Hà N¶i 1 ngày. Hà N¶i cÜng không có gì thay Ç°i. ñÜ©ng xá, c¶t
dèn, ÇÜ©ng giao thông, nhà c°a, nhà tù hÖa ló, cÖ quan v. v. . . rÃt xÜa và lác hÆu.
Nh»ng thÜ này ^a th©i Pháp thu¶c Ç< lải nhÜ th%o nào thì vẢn nhÜ th%o không thay Ç°i.

Không như Thủ phủ Sài Gòn sau 30 năm Cộng Sản tái thiết lại và mọi hoàn toàn.

Tháng 12/1981 chồng tôi và một số bạn từ Cộng Sản vào miền Nam Việt Nam. Chồng tôi bị giam ở trại T4 Long Khánh. Vì thế nên 3 tháng tôi Cộng Sản đi thăm nuôi chồng một lần. Tháng 7/1982 chồng tôi Cộng Sản thả tự do. Nhưng người khác tiếp cải tạo Cộng Sản thả rồi thì không có công việc gì để làm. Tôi đã nghỉ việc và về chồng tôi lúc có con thật nhiều. Tôi phải đi buôn bán ở chợ. Chồng tôi thuê xe xích lô đạp và cho thuê cho khách. Kinh tế gia đình xuống thấp. Từ đó lại của chồng tôi rất ít.

Tháng 1/1990 chồng tôi H.O. thì gia đình tôi làm hồ sơ Cộng Sản lên danh sách H.O.

Tháng 7/1995 gia đình tôi Cộng Sản kêu phỏng vấn. Sau có chính phủ Mỹ chấp thuận cho nhập cư sang Mỹ và tháng 3/1996 gia đình tôi Cộng Sản định cư tại Mỹ. Nhờ hội U.S.C.C. giúp chúng tôi trong thời gian đầu. Chúng tôi thuê một căn nhà ở Cộng Sản N.W. 29. Tất cả vật dụng trong nhà từ quần áo, thức ăn, t.v., tủ lạnh, tủ lạnh, tủ lạnh Cộng Sản USCC giúp chúng tôi. Cũng nhờ hội USCC giúp chúng tôi tháng 4/1996 chúng tôi Cộng Sản vào làm việc cho công ty Unit Parts. Bây giờ làm Cộng Sản 8 năm 3 tháng.

Năm tháng 7/1997, chúng tôi chúng tôi di chuyển tới Cộng Sản S.W. 68 vì chúng tôi mua Cộng Sản nhà trả góp ở khu vực này.

Nhờ có việc làm và có bảo hiểm sức khỏe chồng tôi anh ấy đã chữa trị Cộng Sản bệnh khó khăn, bệnh trĩ. Bệnh này anh ấy mắc phải khi bị đi tù. Anh ấy phải mang bệnh này hơn 10 năm ở Việt Nam vì chúng tôi không có tiền chữa trị bệnh này.

Cu¶c sÓng của gia C¶nh chúng tôi hi¶n gi© r¶t hạnh phúc và sung sỦng.
Chúng tôi CỦc m¶t cháu ngoại Betty. Cháu r¶t ngoan, thông minh, và xinh CỀp.

Ỗ MỈ có nh»ng qỦy hỦu b°ng, ngỦi gia, th¶t nhi¶p. B¶ y t% MỈ có chỦÖng
trình tr© c¶p sỦc khỎe cho nh»ng ngỦi có thu nh¶p th¶p ho¶c th¶t nhi¶p. Vì th%
chúng tôi luôn s¶n sàng làm vi¶c và Cóng thu% Cày Cù C< khi v¶ giá ho¶c khi bỈ m¶t
vi¶c chúng tôi có Cũc nh»ng quy¶n l©i này.

Chúng tôi có CỦc 21 năm tØ 1975-1996 sÓng dỦi ch% C¶ C¶n S¶n. Sau
Cày là nh»ng gì tôi Cã nghe và Cã th¶y^a ch% C¶ C¶ng S¶n trong nh»ng bu°i h†c t¶p
chính trỈ nỖi tôi làm vi¶c. H† nói mi¶n B¶c không có nhà lá mà chỈ có nhà xây cement.
LẦN CẦu ra B¶c th¶m chỜng tôi chỈ th¶y r¶t nhi¶u nhà lá, mái nhà b¶ng lá, vách nhà
b¶ng C¶t sinh tr¶n rỀ tranh. Tôi không tìm ra CỦc nhà xây cement nhỦ l©i b†n
chúng nói. Vi¶t C¶ng nói láo. Mi¶n B¶c r¶t nghèo nhỦng b†n chúng nói là r¶t giẦu.

Vi¶t C¶ng nói cả th% gi¶ kh¶m ph¶c Vi¶t Nam vì Vi¶t C¶ng Cã Cánh th¶ng
cu¶ng quÓc MỈ. H† Cã Cánh CỦi CỦc x¶m l¶ng MỈ ra khỎi C¶t nỦ¶c Vi¶t Nam.
Cái C© là láo.

Vi¶t C¶ng nói G¶o^a mi¶n B¶c Vi¶t Nam tón kho r¶t nhi¶u. NgỦi dân sÓng
no Ấm. Không ph¶i  n C¶n. NhỦng tôi CỦc th¶y là sau ngày 4/30/1975 nhi¶u C°àn
xe v n t i ch^a g o tØ mi¶n Nam ra B¶c. Trong th©i gian này dân^a mi¶n Nam ph¶i  n
C¶n vì không Cù g o  n. Vi¶t C¶ng l i nói láo.

Vi¶t C¶ng r¶t ¶c  n và Cã man. Chúng tôi k< l i B†n chúng x° t° hình nh»ng tù
binh mà b†n chúng cho là có n© máu nh n dân. Nh»ng ngỦi lính Vi¶t Nam C¶ng
Hòa thu¶c nh»ng ngành nhỦ an ninh quân C¶i, c nh s t C¶c bi¶t, t nh b o, phỦÖng

hoáng, tác chi%on. Nh»ng ngŰ©i này ÇŰ@c b†n ViÆt C¶ng cho là có n® máu nhân dân. Khi b†n chúng nhìn ra ai thì b†n chúng Çem Çi x° b†n tải ch†.

Bây gi© chúng ta hãy cùng suy nghĩ câu nói của T°ng Thốg ViÆt Nam C¶ng Hòa NguyỄn Væn ThiÆu ãØng nghe nh»ng gì C¶ng Săn nói mà hãy nhìn kĩ nh»ng gì C¶ng Săn làm.

Mrs. Ly Hanh

I was born in October 16, 1947 in Saigon. My father and mother were from North Vietnam. They endured a long hard journey to migrate to South Vietnam traversing through Cambodia, Laos, and Thailand. My father died in January 2001 when he was eighty six years old. My mother is now seventy nine years old and lives in South Vietnam.

I am the oldest daughter of eleven children (four girls and seven boys). My parents worked so hard to care for eleven of us. We were poor, but all of us graduated from high school. I met Phuc Duc, my husband, during Tet 1968 when he was a captain. We married on February 2, 1969 and had two children: Hieu was born in 1969, and Thao was born in 1971.

Like many army families, we had our share of hardship since we moved around according to wherever my husband was stationed. He was transferred to many places like: Song Be, Loc Ninh, Bien Hoa, Long An etc.

April 1975, an awful event took place. At the beginning of 1975, the Communists invaded South Vietnam beginning in Dong Ha. They moved to Quang Tri then to Hue and Da Nang. On March 1975, they were overran us in Ban Me Thuot, Da Lat, and Nha Trang then finally to Phan Rang and Long Khanh. People escaped their homes and flooded to Sai Gon and in other areas.

It was at this time that the U.S. government cut all funding and military support to the Republic of South Vietnam. President Nguyen Van Thieu resigned and was replaced by Vice President Tran Van Huong. After just three days, President Huong resigned and was replaced by Commander Duong Van Minh.

The North Vietnamese troops kept pouring in all over Saigon which was bombed incessantly. The people of Saigon knew they had no chance whatsoever to stand up to the Viet Cong. In the early morning of April 30, 1975, President Minh announced on the Saigon radio a complete surrender asking his men to put down their arms. Viet Cong troops appeared everywhere in the streets of Saigon. When they saw South Vietnamese army jeeps, they shot at them with their B40s until they blow them up. Dead corpses of army men and ordinary people scattered everywhere. Many corpses were disfigured and unrecognizable; they were left to rot for days. The Viet Congs took over office buildings, the American embassy, the Presidential Palace. When the Vietnamese saw Americans pulling out, they began a mass migration out of Vietnam. Families who migrated from the North in 1954 knew firsthand what it was like to live under Communism. They knew that they would either lose their home and properties and be tried for whatever crime no without cause or merit. They believed that they would either be imprisoned or beheaded. Rich and poor, they left everything—their home, land, gold, money—for freedom.

People who worked for the Defense Attache Office (DAO), a U.S. mission, left Vietnam by planes or by ships. Many of them landed in Guam.

In the final days of April 1975, my husband commanded Division 155 stationed at Trang Ban in Tay Ninh. I was in Thu Duc in Saigon with my two children working for an export company. Like other people, I wanted to escape from Vietnam. I had worked for ten years for USAID, therefore I was given priority with the DAO.

I kept calling my husband for him to come home so we could all leave together, but the phone line was bad. I heard him say, “Hello. Hello.” But he could not hear me. So I decided not to go.

After the day we lost our country, South Vietnamese Republican troops came home to their families. Both of my brothers came home, but my husband did not. After waiting for one week, I feared that he must have died. I drove my Honda to Trang Ban, the last place where his troops and he fought. People at Trang Ban told me to go to Boi Loi to ask for my husband's whereabouts. I saw a female cadre dressed in black pajamas. She handed me a stack of military ID cards with pictures, but my husband's ID was not among them. She told me to go to Kontum which bordered Cambodia. There I saw a group of prisoners guarded by the Communists, but I did not see my husband. On the way, I met women like me who were also searching for their husbands. One woman turned over dead corpses to see if they were her husband.

I finally got a letter from him informing me that he was held in Cu Chi, a place where the Division 25 was stationed.

On the morning of May 8, 1975, I went to Cu Chi to ask about my husband. Many wives of army soldiers gathered in search of our husbands. The guard told us, "You women go home. We are not holding anyone captive here." We waited to evening, and many got frustrated and went home. On the way to catch the bus home, a Communist sympathizer asked us what we came for, so we told him. He promised to help us and led us to the back entrance of the base. He also got permission for us to go in.

I saw my husband. He was bleeding at the throat. He told me that a bullet went through his throat, but it did not hit any bone. It happened when, knowing that they would lose, his men stayed back to destroy all their weapons. He got caught in the

crossfire. They would not treat him for the wound; he washed it clean and kept rubbing salt to it. It healed miraculously.

June 1, 1975, the Communists broadcast on the radios, “We request that all officers of the Republic of South Vietnam from lieutenant on up need to present themselves for reeducation. Bring enough provisions for one month.” My husband sent a letter home with a help of a sympathizer telling me that he was held at the Don Bosco High School and to send him food and clothing for one month. I went to the school, but I did not see him. His sisters, my friends, and I believed that all the men would return home in one month. We thought that bringing enough provisions for month meant that they would come home after that one month. But indeed it was not like that at all.

We found out afterwards that those who presented themselves for reeducation camps were categorized according to their rank and position in the military. They were ranked according to their wickedness, how many they murdered, and how they ate human flesh and drank human blood. Then they were transported to different camps where communications with the outside world was cut.

I lost contact with my husband for five years. In those five years, I continued to work for an export company run by the cadres. Because I was an officer’s wife, I was treated differently. The cadres did not trust me, therefore I was not given much responsibilities though I was the head secretary. I did not get raises as the others, so I had to earn more money by trading goods on the markets. My children’s education was disrupted. If they finished high school and wanted to advance, they could not do so because of their family background. Students advancing to college must be sons and

daughters of those belonging to the Party. My children's family background was deemed as bad, therefore they did not go on to college.

On January 1980, my husband sent a letter home and told me that he was being held in camp HAZ25 in north Vietnam. He said that he was fine. He told me to do my best for the children and wait for his return.

On March 1980, my two children, Hieu, who was then ten, and Thao, who was eight, and I went by boat to visit my husband, their father. We spent two nights and one day on the boat which dropped us off at Phu Ly. Because it was dark, we spent the night in a very small house. The next day, we took the bus to Tam Ha. We walked along the mountain slope for quite sometime before we reached the prison camp. Our load was so heavy, we had to hire the local people to help us carry. It was nighttime when we got to the camp, so we had to sleep at the guesthouse with other families who were visiting. I quickly befriended other women who like me loaded as much as we could to go visit our husbands. One woman brought 100 kilogram of food to feed her husband.

The next morning, we got up early and started to walk to the camp. After five years in the reeducation camps, I could not recognize who he was at first. It was like that for all of us the first time. They were so thin and sickly. My husband told me he was starving physically and emotionally. He told me many people starved to death. That was the Communist legacy.

I saved up more money and went to visit him again in October 1980. Always, I brought my two children, and the second time my father came along. He said that he wanted to visit my husband and also visit his native village where he grew up fifty years

ago. He said that after fifty years, not much has changed. Places that were bombed by the U.S. were still in ruins. Nothing was being rebuilt.

On the third visit, my husband's sister went with my two children and me. On our way back, we visited Hanoi. The streets, street lamps, houses, prisons, offices were old and dilapidated from the days of the French occupation. Things were left as they were unlike the streets of Saigon that were completely rebuilt by Americans.

On December 1981, my husband, along with other prisoners, was transferred to South Vietnam in Camp T4 in Long Khanh. Every three months, my children and I would go visit him. He was released in July 1982.

We were both unemployed when he was released. I quit my job and worked the market full-time while my husband hired himself on the rickshaw. Our future looked black.

On January 1990, we applied for the H.O. Program, and we were called for an interview on July 1995. We were accepted to come to America on March 1996.

Catholic Charities helped us greatly at the beginning. They paid for all our clothes, food, and rent for several months. They also helped us find work at Unit Parts after being in America for one month. We have worked at Unit Parts for eight years and three months. We were blessed to have a good job with health insurance because my husband suffered for ten years from digestive problems, incurred during his imprisonment.

We rented a house on N.W. 29th and later bought our own house on S.W. 68th on July 1997.

We have a peaceful life in America. Our granddaughter, Betty, is sweet, pretty, and smart. There are many programs for the poor, the unemployed, and the old. I do not mind working to pay taxes for those times that I may need those services.

We lived under Communism for twenty-one years. They held reeducation classes for us at the work place. They told us that in north Vietnam, they did not have houses built of straws but of cement. The first time I went to visit north Vietnam, I saw houses straw houses built on mud. I did not see one home built on cement. The north was poor, but they said that it was rich. They said that no one in the north suffered from poverty or malnutrition because they had loads of rice in storage. That was a lie. I saw saw cars loaded with rice from the south driven to north Vietnam.

They said that the world admires them because they defeated America and forced them out of Vietnam. What a lie.

The Communists were wicked and barbaric. My husband told me that they executed former security guards, special forces, news journalists, members of the Phoenix operations, and combat units were deemed as those who owed blood to the people.

Living through all that, I remember President Thieu's words, "Do not listen to what the Communists say, but only look at what they do."

Appendix 7

Phỏng vấn 9/29/02 Em Nguyễn Thị Lan

Em sinh năm 1971. Lúc mới nũc em mới bốn tu*oi* cho nên nh*o* qua không nh*h* gì. Bây gi*o* em chỉ nh*h* mang máng v*h* dĩ vãng chứ không nh*h* rõ vì cũng C*a* m*ay* ch*o*c năm rồi. Lúc C*i* tham ba em thì em gi*u*i 10 tu*oi*. n*i* t*au* ra Hà N*g*i thì g*h*p m*ay* ng*u*i xe C*h*p th*o* ng*u*i O*i* ta ch*a* C*o* cho mình C*i* rồi mình trả ti*h*n cho ng*u*i ta C*h*p mình.

Lúc nh*o* thì chỉ bi*h*ot ch*o*i thôi. n*i* h*h*c em thấy bình th*u*ng ch*u*a có suy nghĩ v*h* chính tr*i* cho nên không bi*h*ot là giáo viên có C*o*i s*o* v*h*i mình nh*u* th*o* nào. Em h*h*c t*h*i l*o*p chín xong l*h*p tám thì nghĩ C*h* C*i* v*u*t biên. Không C*i* C*u*c rồi phải tr*a* l*ai* h*h*c ti*h*op. Lúc tr*a* l*ai* thì không theo bài ki*h*ep cho nên em phải *a* l*ai* l*h*p tám.

n*i* v*u*t biên ba bốn lần thì cái lần cuối b*i*t. Nó C*u*a ra cái trái nó nh*o*t. n*h*an bà con gái thì nh*o*t riêng. Nh*o*t trong vòng năm ti*h*ong m*h*t ngày. Vì anh em còn nh*o* cho nên nó nh*o*t anh em chung v*h*i má em v*h* em *a* trái ngoài. L*o* động t*o*i nó không C*h* s*h* rồi bỏ ch*o*n, quang h*h*ot qu*an* áo C*o* C*h*c g*ay* g*h*p. Quang h*h*ot. Chỉ sách cái ng*u*i rồi chạy ra ngoài C*u*ng rồi ki*h*om xe C*i* tr*a* v*h* Sài Gòn.

M*h*t lúc C*i* v*u*t biên thì chi*h*oc xe ch*h*t l*h*ch ch*a* ng*u*i C*i* v*u*t. Trong cái r*o*ng t*o*i t*am* thì b*i* t*o*i *h*en c*h*p ch*h*a s*u*ng h*h*m đo*ai* là nó x*h* bán. Nó l*ay* c*u* khoai nó nói là C*ay* là l*u*u C*h*n nó x*h* l*o* n*h*ou không cho nó ti*h*n. M*ay* ng*u*i C*i* v*u*t biên l*ai* phải C*u*i có cái t*o* ch*u*c n*h*a rồi C*i* n*h*a. M*h*i l*an* C*i* v*h*ey t*o*n ti*h*n l*h*m.

V*h* thì m*h* em bán ch*a* l*ai* rồi em C*i* h*h*c. M*h* em C*i* bán cả ngày. Em và anh em *a* chung v*h*i bên ngoài. Y*h* C*o* C*u* *h*en u*o*n nh*u*ng không ai san sóc cho nên ông anh thành

hỦ. ãi troi v§I bản rØi trª thành hỦ hỔng. Ông Ấy quÆy lĩm. TrỦ§c khi ba em ra tù ông Ấy Çã vÆy rØi. Lúc ba em ra thì ông Ấy 13 tu°i là Çã hỦ rØi.

Em bi%ot ba em Çi h†c tÆp bỈ hành hủ vì ba em v§ k<. Ba em ra tù thì buỔn lĩm. Nói là ª trong tù c†c kh° lĩm. ^n uỔng thi%ou thỔn. Nhi§u ngỦ©i bản tù chỈu nhiЄn Çói không ÇỦ®c thì b†t con cầu cầu châu chẤu æn rØi bỈ bЄnh ch%ot. Ba em nhìn còn tЄ hỔn bây gi©. Ông Ấy nhìn già nhỦ ngỦ©i sáu bảy chøc tu°i mà lúc ra thì chỈ 45 tu°i. Nhìn Çen ÇuỔi cãnh cà, không ÇỦ®c nhỦ bây gi©. ChỈ Ç< § mẤy ngỦ©i m§ ª ViЄt Nam qua không có ai mà nhìn ÇỄp. ThỪ nhẤt là Çen thỪ hai là nhìn già. Ba em không có gách gong, nhỪng nhiêm khách. Cái gì cỪng phải theo gi© gẤc tải ª tù æn phải có gi©, ngủ phải có gi©.

HØi em ª v§I ba em ngủ chỈ nhẤt là 9 gi©. Còn em thì có khi 11 gi©i m§i ngủ. Con em tØ ngày nó v§ v§i em nó ngủ không Çúng gi© thì nó lải Ç§ chØi thì quên dẤc rØi thỪc khuya thì em bỈ la mẤy lÀn.

Sau ba em ra thì làm giẤy t© Çi qua MỈ. Cái lÀn ÇẦu tiên hy v†ng Çi thì nhà cªa bán rØi d@n qua bên ngoài ª tằm. Cái lúc lên phỔng vẤn Çâu có Çi ÇỦ®c thì bên ngoài Çu°i Çi rØi phải Çi mỦ§n nhà. Mà Çi mỦ§n Çâu ÇỦ®c ª riêng nhỦ Çây. Lúc Çó ông anh Çæng ª tù Çâu Çi ÇỦ®c. Phải ª chung v§i ngỦ©i ta rẤt là chÆt ch¶i. Không ÇỦ®c Çi v§ buồn lám. Em lải Çi làm sí nhiЄp may. May máy công nhiЄp dĩ vì máy moc tang ti%ong. Sau Çó cái sí nhiЄp may bỈ bankruptcy nó Çóng của thì em lên Thù ãi em may cho tới ngày Çi MỈ. Lúc Çó em làm tỪỔng ÇÓi \$50 m¶t tháng.

Ỗ ViЄt Nam em có bỒ mà Çã làm Çám hỔi rØi. Em làm Çám hỔi tháng 9, tháng 3 nặm sau là em Çi. Em cỪng không hi<u tải sao em không thỪỔng ông Ấy Ç< cho bây gi© cỪng không có hạnh phúc. Tỉ nhiên em h%ot thỪỔng ông Ấy. SỚ em phải

các kh^o nh^ũ v^à y. nó là cái số riêng n^o. N^ou em l^ấy ng^ũ i C^ó thì bây gi[©] C^{âu} c^ác kh^o nh^ũ bây gi[©]. Nó là cái duyên số, cái n^o. Ông Ấy c^ũng Cⁱ C^ũ c^ác bây gi[©] C^æng^a bên Seattle, Washington, có v^à có con r^òi. Ông Ấy qua r^òi v^ớ Vi^ệt Nam c^ũng v^à. nó là c^ũng cái duyên cái n^o. Lúc m^ỗi l^ấy ông Ki^ệt thì C^{âu} bi^{ết}, l^ấy nhau thì m^ỗi bi^{ết}. C^ác nh^ũng em có Sandi thì nó c^ũng æn ùi

Nhi^êu ng^ũ i qua M^ĩ lâu thì h^à g^{ặp} em h^à nghi^ĩ là h^à h^ỗn vì ti^ơng anh ng^ũ i ta d^ễi v^ới h^à có ti^ển h^ỗn em. Em ch^ũa có nhà mà không bi^{ết} bao gi[©] C^ũ c^ác ti^ển C^ó mua. Bây gi[©] em gi^{áng} trả h^{ết} ti^ển xe. Em tính Cⁱ h^àc nail. Y^ẽ M^ĩ cái dⁱ h^à c^ũng làm r^òi. Cái gì c^ũng phải nói ti^ơng anh gi^a. Em không h^àc ti^ơng anh n^oi. Bây gi[©] phải ráng Cⁱ làm C^ó trả ti^ển xe.

REFERENCES

- Amnesty International, *Report of an Amnesty International Mission to the Socialist Republic of Viet Nam 10-21 December 197*. Amnesty International Publications, 1981.
- Bui-Xuan-Luong, Peter. "South Vietnamese Officer Prisoners of War: Their Resilience and Acculturation Experiences in Prison and in the U.S." Ph.D. diss., n.p. The Fielding Institute, 2000.
- Desbarats, Jacqueline, and Karl D. Jackson. "Political Violence in Vietnam: The Dark Side of Liberation." *Indochina Report* 6 (April-June 1986): 1-29.
- Dinh, Reverend Peter Ngoc Que. *Memoirs of a Priest in the Communist Reeducation Camp (1975-1988)*. Translated by Trần Văn Dien. n.p.: privately printed, 2001.
- Doan Van Toai and David Chanoff. *The Vietnamese Gulag*. New York: Simon, 1986.
- Engelmann, Larry. *Tears before the Rain: An Oral History of the Fall of South Vietnam*. Oxford: Oxford UP, 1990.
- Freeman, James M., ed. *Hearts of Sorrow: Vietnamese-American Lives*. Stanford: Stanford UP, 1989.
- Kutler, Stanley L., ed. *Encyclopedia of the Vietnam War*. New York: Scribner's, 1996.
- Le Huu Tri. *Prisoner of the Word: A Memoir of the Vietnamese Reeducation Camps*. Seattle: Black Heron Press, 2001.
- Lu Van Thanh. *The Inviting Call of Wandering Souls: Memoir of an ARVN Liaison Officer to United States Forces in Vietnam Who Was Imprisoned in Communist Re-Education Camps and Then Escaped*. Jefferson: McFarland and Company, 1997.
- Matson, Arthur William, III. "Coping, Culture, and Meaning in the Reeducation Camp Experience." Ph.D. diss., Antioch University, 2001.
- Metzner, Edward, ed. *Reeducation in Postwar Vietnam: Personal Postscripts to Peace*. College Station: Texas A&M UP, 2001.
- Newspaper Enterprise Association. *The World Almanac and the Book of Facts*. New York: Newspaper Enterprise Association, 1976.
- Nguyen Ngoc Ngan and E. E. Richey. *The Will of Heaven: The Story of One Vietnamese and the End of His World*. New York: Dutton, 1982.

- Nguyen Van Thuan, Archbishop. *The Road of Hope*. Translated by Peter Bookallil. Chicago: Federation of Vietnamese Catholics, 1995.
- Nguyen, Walter Hoan. "Psychological Well-Being of the Former Vietnamese Political Prisoner in the United States." Ph.D. diss., The University of Texas, 1994.
- Olson, James S., ed. *Dictionary of the Vietnam War*. New York: Greenwood Press, 1988.
- Pike, Douglas. "Vietnam in 1977: More of the Same." *Asian Survey* 18, no. 1 (Jan., 1978): 68-75.
- Portelli, Alessandro. "Oral History and Genre." In *Narrative and Genre*, ed. Mary Chamberlain and Paul Thompson, 23-45. New York: Routledge, 1998.
- Sagan, Ginetta, and Stephen Denney. *Violations of Human Rights in the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: April 30, 1975 – April 30, 1983*. n.p.: Aurora Foundation, 1983.
- Summers, Harry G. Jr. *Atlas of the Vietnam War*. New York: Houghton, 1995.
- Thompson, Paul. *The Voice of the Past: Oral History*, 2nd ed. Oxford UP, 1988.
- Todd, Olivier. *Cruel April: The Fall of Saigon*. Translated by Stephen Becker. New York: Norton, 1990.
- Trần Tri Vu. *My 1,632 Days in Vietnamese Re-education Camps*. Translated by Nguyen Phuc. Berkeley: University of California, 1988.
- Trần K. Them. *A Profile of Vietnamese Re-Education Camp Detainees in U.S.A.* Los Angeles: Unified Vietnamese Community Council, 1992.
- U.S. Congress. House. 1977. Committee on International Relations, *Human Rights in Vietnam: Hearing before the Committee on International Relations*. 95th Cong., 1st sess., 16, 21 June and 26 July, 1977.
- White, Hayden. *The Content of the Form: Narrative Discourse and Historical Representation*. Baltimore: John Hopkins UP, 1987.
- Young, Stephen. "The Legality of Vietnamese Re-education Camps," *Harvard International Law Journal* 20, no. 3 (Fall 1979): 519-527.

