INFORMATION TO USERS

This manuscript has been reproduced from the microfilm master. UMI films the text directly from the original or copy submitted. Thus, some thesis and dissertation copies are in typewriter face, while others may be from any type of computer printer.

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted. Broken or indistinct print, colored or poor quality illustrations and photographs, print bleedthrough, substandard margins, and improper alignment can adversely affect reproduction.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send UMI a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if unauthorized copyright material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.

Oversize materials (e.g., maps, drawings, charts) are reproduced by sectioning the original, beginning at the upper left-hand corner and continuing from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps. Each original is also photographed in one exposure and is included in reduced form at the back of the book.

Photographs included in the original manuscript have been reproduced xerographically in this copy. Higher quality $6^{\circ} \times 9^{\circ}$ black and white photographic prints are available for any photographs or illustrations appearing in this copy for an additional charge. Contact UMI directly to order.



A Bell & Howell Information Company 300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor MI 48106-1346 USA 313/761-4700 800/521-0600

.

UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA GRADUATE COLLEGE

THE SYNTHESIS OF GROUP IV METALLOCENE DICHLORIDES WITH VARIABLE STERICS, CONFORMATIONAL MOBILITY AND GEOMETRIC SHAPES

A Dissertation SUBMITTED TO THE GRADUATE FACULTY in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the

degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

By

DAVID COMBS

Norman, Oklahoma

1997

•

UMI Number: 9817711

UMI Microform 9817711 Copyright 1998, by UMI Company. All rights reserved.

This microform edition is protected against unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code.

UMI 300 North Zeeb Road Ann Arbor, MI 48103

THE SYNTHESIS OF GROUP IV METALLOCENE DICHLORIDES WITH VARIABLE STERICS, CONFORMATIONAL MOBILITY AND GEOMETRIC SHAPES

A Dissertation APPROVED FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY AND BIOCHEMISTRY

BY

Glithte Adde Glithte Adde Kenneth M. Michela Lance Lobban Gred S. Leh

© Copyright by DAVID COMBS 1997

•

· · · ·

All Rights Reserved

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Without the support of family and friends, the work contained within this dissertation thesis would not have been possible. I would like to begin by thanking my parents Jim Combs and Cai Combs, and my special friend ThanhTruc "Nikki" Pham for their never ending support and love.

There is no other way to describe Dr. Ronald L. Halterman other than incredible. Over the last five years he contributed to my development as a chemist as, in addition to becoming a friend.

All the past members whom I have not had the pleasure to work with deserve recognition for paving the way for myself, current and future members of the working group. I am also grateful to Shyi-Tai Jan, Joann Kihega, David Standlee, Heike Friedrichs, Bret Behrens, Eric Bailly, Dorothee Kowalski, Oleg Stenzel, Frank Dübner, Dirk Karasiak, Dan Mei, Jaing Chang, Jason Shipman, Junfu Huang, Vladimir Marin, Casandra McDaniel, Flawn Benjamin, Amy Gerken, Aric Coffman, Nathan Pailes, Dale Rudolph, Aldamash Abdulwali, Qian Pan, April Smith, Bill Pandor, Chad Seljeseth, Becky L. and Patrick Foster for their friendship.

Tim Ramsey and Alex Tretryakov deserve special recognition for giving me the technical skills to survive in the laboratory as well as being friends.

Finally, I would like to show my appreciation to Sumita Singh who has become a close friend over the last few years, and for sacrificing so much of her time over the past three months.

iv

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Chapter 1 | Cyclo | pentadienes, Indenes, and Their Metallocenes | |
|-----------|-------------------|---|------------|
| | 1.1 | Introduction | 1 |
| | 1.2 | Sources of chirality | 4 |
| | 1.3 | Nomenclature | 4 |
| | 1.4 | Faces of cyclopentadienyls and indenyls | 6 |
| | 1.5 | Nonbridged cyclopentadienes, indenes, and their metallocene dichlorides | 9 |
| | 1.6 | Bridged cyclopentadienes and ansa-bis(Cp)metallocene dichlorides | 14 |
| | 1.6.1 | Modifications to bridged Cp's | 15 |
| | 1.7 | Bridged bis(indenes) and ansa-bis(indenv1)metal complexes | 18 |
| | 1.7.1 | Achiral bridges | 18 |
| | 1.7.2 | Steric modifications | 20 |
| | 1.7.3 | Chiral bridges | 21 |
| | 1.8 | Conclusion | 22 |
| Chapter 2 | Synthe | etic Applications for Zirconocenes and Titanocenes | |
| | 2.1 | Introduction | 23 |
| | $\frac{2.1}{2.2}$ | Olefin polymerization | 23 |
| | 23 | Cyclization mactions | 26 |
| | 2.5 | Carbomagnesistions and related reactions | 28 |
| | 2.4 | Caluation of imines and cathonies | 20 |
| | 2.5 | Netuction of minics and carbonyis | 24 |
| | 2.0 | Conclusion | 37 |
| Chapter 3 | Steric | Modification of a Chiral Metallocene | |
| | 2 1 | Introduction | 29 |
| | 2.1 | Strategies for the symthesis of enertiomerically pure | 20 |
| | 3.4 | stategies for the synthesis of enancomerically pute | 20 |
| | 22 | Stendarity modified nonondiged Cp complexes | 30 |
| | 3.3 | bridged indervil complexes | A 1 |
| | 2 4 | Strategies for the sumthesis of chiral statically modified | 41 |
| | 3.4 | bridged indexel completes | 12 |
| | 25 | Druged indenyl complexes | 43 |
| | 3.3 | modified and his/indenvibring and synults of sterically | |
| | | dichlaridee | 17 |
| | 26 | Application of methodology for asking bridges to the | 4/ |
| | 5.0 | Application of methodology for actinat ondges to the | 40 |
| | 3.7 | Conclusion | 40 56 |
| | ••• | | |
| Chapter 4 | The Sy | unthesis and Structural Determination of Zirconocene | |
| | Dichlo | orides Having Conformationally Rigid Structures and | |
| | Geom | etrically Closed Shapes | |
| | 4.1 | Introduction | 57 |
| | 4.2 | Conformational mobility: Synthesis of conformationally | |
| | | | |

•••••

| | | | Page |
|--------------|------------------|--|------|
| | 4.2 | Conformational mobility: Synthesis of conformationally constrained systems | 57 |
| | 4.3 | Conformational shape | 65 |
| | 4.3.1 | Conformationally open metallocene dichlorides | 65 |
| | 4.3.2 | Conformationally <i>closed</i> metallocene dichlorides | 66 |
| | 4.4 | Objectives | 67 |
| | 4.5 | Structural conformation of doubly bridged bis(indenyl)- zirconocene dichloride 284, and the synthesis and structural conformation of | 67 |
| | | bis(tetrahydroindenyl)zirconocene dichloride 285 | |
| | 4.6 | Conclusion | 77 |
| Chapter 5 | The Sy Dichlo | orides and Their Cp _{cent} -Metal-Cp _{cent} Bond Angles | |
| | 5.1 | Introduction | 78 |
| | 5.2 | Titanocene and zirconocene dichlorides with two Cp or two monosubstituted Cp ligands | 78 |
| | 5.3 | Titanocene and zirconocene dichlorides with two 1,3- disubstituted or two polysubstituted Cp ligands | 80 |
| | 5.4 | Titanocene and zirconocene dichlorides with two 1,2- disubstituted or two fused Cn ligands | 81 |
| | 5.5 | ansa-Bis(Cp)titanium and -zirconium dichlorides | 82 |
| | 5.6 | Nonbridged bis(indenv1)titanium and -zirconium | 84 |
| | 0.0 | dichlorides | ••• |
| | 5.7 | ansa-Bis(indenyl)- and bis(tetrahydroindenyl)titanium and -zirconium dichlorides | 85 |
| | 5.8 | Project objectives | 88 |
| | 5.9 | Design, synthesis and properties of C7,C7' ethano bridged metallocenes | 89 |
| | 5.10 | Synthesis and properties of C7,C7' inethano bridged metallocene dichlorides | 104 |
| | 5.11 | Conclusion | 108 |
| Summary | | | 110 |
| Experimental | | | 111 |
| References | | | 132 |

•••••

LIST OF FIGURES

.

•••••

ł

.

| | | Page |
|-------------|--|------|
| Figure 1-1 | (a) Resolution R^{\bullet} = enantiomerically pure group | 1 |
| | (b) Stiochiometric asymmetric synthesis | |
| Figure 1-2 | Reaction coordinate energy diagram a) achiral reaction | 2 |
| | b) enantioselective reaction | |
| Figure 1-3 | (a) Cyclopentadienyl ligand (b) Indenyl ligand | 3 |
| Figure 1-4 | Sources of chirality | 4 |
| Figure 1-5 | Assignment of planar chirality in metallocenes | 5 |
| Figure 1-6 | Two additional examples | 5 |
| Figure 1-7 | Metalation of ligands with homotopic π -faces gives a single metal | 6 |
| | complex | |
| Figure 1-8 | Other ligands with homotopic π -faces | 7 |
| Figure 1-9 | Metalation of a Cp ligand with enantiotopic π -faces | 7 |
| Figure 1-10 | Metalation with a ligand with two enantiotopic π -faces | 8 |
| Figure 1-11 | Metalation of a Cp ligand with diastereotopic π -faces | 8 |
| Figure 1-12 | Reduction of fulvene to give racemic monosubstituted Cp's | 9 |
| Figure 1-13 | Metalation of chiral racemic Cp | 10 |
| Figure 1-14 | Synthesis of (-)-menthol derived titanocene dichloride | 11 |
| Figure 1-15 | Examples of enantiomerically pure monosubstituted | 11 |
| | cyclopentadienes | |
| Figure 1-16 | Synthesis of (-)menthol derived indenyl ligand and zirconocene | 11 |
| | dichloride | |
| Figure 1-17 | Chiral 1-indenyls | 12 |
| Figure 1-18 | Camphor derived cyclopentadiene via the Halterman and Vollhardt | 12 |
| | route | |

| | | Page |
|-------------|--|------------|
| Figure 1-19 | Metalation of the camphor derived Cp | 13 |
| Figure 1-20 | Notable fused cyclopentadienyls | 13 |
| Figure 1-21 | Fused C2-symmetric cyclopentadienes and their metallocene | 14 |
| | dichlorides | |
| Figure 1-22 | The first reported bridged metallocene dichloride | 15 |
| Figure 1-23 | Illustration of bridging modification in achiral metallocene | 15 |
| | dichlorides | |
| Figure 1-24 | Routes to one and two atom bridged metallocene dichlorides | 16 |
| Figure 1-25 | Chiral Cp with an achiral bridges | 17 |
| Figure 1-26 | Synthesis of Brintzinger's ethylene bis(tetrahydroindenyl)titanium | 18 |
| | dichloride | |
| Figure 1-27 | Achiral bridged bis(indenes) via alkylation with indenyllithium | 1 9 |
| Figure 1-28 | Synthesis of bis(indenyl)metal complexes via non-nucleophilic | 20 |
| | strategies | |
| Figure 1-29 | Bridged bis(indenyl)metal complexes from symmetrically | 21 |
| | substituted bis(indenes) and usymmetrically substituted | |
| | bis(indenes) | |
| Figure 1-30 | Bis(indenyl)metal complexes with chiral bridges | 22 |
| Figure 2-1 | Polymerization of propylene with Brintzinger's complex | 24 |
| Figure 2-2 | Correlation between metallocene dichloride structure and polymer | 24 |
| | composition | |
| Figure 2-3 | A polymerization mechanism | 25 |
| Figure 2-4 | (a) Favored approach (b) Less favored approach | 25 |
| Figure 2-5 | Buchwald's mechanism for the titanium catalyzed Pauson-Khand | 27 |
| | reaction | |
| Figure 2-6 | Zirconocene promoted diene cyclization | 28 |

.

• • • • • •

i

| | | Page |
|-------------|--|------|
| Figure 2-7 | Carbomagnesation mechanism proposed by Hoveyda | 29 |
| Figure 2-8 | Roof and wall effect | 30 |
| Figure 2-9 | Whitby's proposed catalytic cycle for the carbomagnesation of | 31 |
| | olefins | |
| Figure 2-10 | Proposed catalytic cycle for the hydrosilylation of imines | 33 |
| Figure 3-1 | Natural products and their Cp's | 39 |
| Figure 3-2 | Sterically modified (+)-camphor derived Cp via Nazarov | 39 |
| | Cyclization | |
| Figure 3-3 | (a) Original titanocene dichloride (b) Asymmetric synthesis of | 40 |
| | sterically modified Cp's and metallocene dichlorides | |
| Figure 3-4 | Rotation of a Cp around the Cp-metal bond | 40 |
| Figure 3-5 | Synthesis of sterically modified ethylene bridged bis(Cp)titanium | 41 |
| | dichlorides | |
| Figure 3-6 | Isopropyl and tert-butyl substituted ethylene bridged Cp's and | 42 |
| | their metal dichlorides | |
| Figure 3-7 | Fulvene coupling to bridged bis(Cp)metal dichlorides | 42 |
| Figure 3-8 | Brintzinger's synthesis of the first ansa-bis(indenyl)titanium | 43 |
| | dichloride | |
| Figure 3-9 | Use of symmetrically substituted indenes | 44 |
| Figure 3-10 | Synthesis of phenyl bridged ansa-bis(indenyl)zirconium dichlorides | 44 |
| Figure 3-11 | Resolution of racemic metallocene dichlorides | 45 |
| Figure 3-12 | Enantiomerically enriched cyclohexane ansa-bis(indenyl)- | 46 |
| | titanium dichloride | |
| Figure 3-13 | Steric modification via enone addition | 46 |
| Figure 3-14 | Requirements for the design of sterically modified bis(indenyl)- | 47 |
| | metal complexes with a chiral bridging unit | |

.

7

••••••

| Figure 3-15 | Previously synthesized bis(indene) and targets | 48 |
|-------------|---|----|
| Figure 3-16 | Synthesis of the binaphthalene moiety | 49 |
| Figure 3-17 | Asymmetric synthesis of the binaphthalene moiety | 49 |
| Figure 3-18 | Synthesis of racemic dibromide 221 | 50 |
| Figure 3-19 | Maigrot and Mazaleyrat resolution | 50 |
| Figure 3-20 | Synthesis of 4,7-substituted indenes | 51 |
| Figure 3-21 | One pot synthesis of 4,7-dimethylindene | 51 |
| Figure 3-22 | Synthesis of the binaphthalene bridged bis(indenes) | 52 |
| Figure 3-23 | ¹ H NMR spectrum of 212 | 53 |
| Figure 3-24 | Formation of titanocene dichloride 232 | 53 |
| Figure 3-25 | ¹ H NMR spectrum of titanocene dichloride 232 | 54 |
| Figure 3-26 | Variable temperature ¹ H NMR spectra of 232 | 54 |
| Figure 3-27 | Formation of zirconocene dichloride 233 | 55 |
| Figure 3-28 | ¹ H NMR spectrum of zirconocene dichloride 233 | 55 |
| Figure 4-1 | Brintzinger's complexes | 58 |
| Figure 4-2 | Fluctuation of Brintzinger's complexes | 58 |
| Figure 4-3 | Application of dimethylsilane as a bridging unit | 59 |
| Figure 4-4 | Synthesis of an ansa-metallocene dichloride with a one carbon | 59 |
| | bridge | |
| Figure 4-5 | Additional examples from fulvene methodology | 59 |
| Figure 4-6 | The first annulated C1-bridged ansa-bis(Cp)metal dichlorides | 60 |
| Figure 4-7 | Gauthron and Urazowski's synthesis of annulated ansa-bis(Cp)- | 60 |
| | metal dichlorides | |
| Figure 4-8 | The first synthesis of ansa-metallocene dichlorides with a | 61 |
| | phenyl bridge | |

-

••••

•••••••

Page

| Figure 4-9 | Other derivatives of the phenyl bridged metallocene dichloride | 62 |
|-------------|---|-----------|
| | complex | |
| Figure 4-10 | Bosnich's synthesis of the biphenyl bridged tetrahydroindenyls | 62 |
| Figure 4-11 | Other biphenyl bridged metallocene dichlorides | 63 |
| Figure 4-12 | Synthesis of Brintzinger's doubly bridged bis(tetrahydroindenyl)- | 63 |
| | metal dichlorides | |
| Figure 4-13 | Synthesis and metalation of doubly bridged Cp 276 | 64 |
| Figure 4-14 | Buchwalds synthesis of chiral doubly bridged titanocene | 65 |
| | dichloride | |
| Figure 4-15 | Conformationally open metallocene dichlorides | 66 |
| Figure 4-16 | Conformationally closed metallocene dichlorides | 66 |
| Figure 4-17 | Objectives for conformationally closed and rigid zirconocene | 67 |
| | dichlorides | |
| Figure 4-18 | Synthesis of doubly bridged bis(indenyl)zirconocene dichloride | 68 |
| | 284 | |
| Figure 4-19 | ORTEP plots of zirconocene dichloride 284 | 70 |
| | (a) Front view (b) Top view | |
| Figure 4-20 | Direct bridging of two cyclopentadienyl ligands | 71 |
| Figure 4-21 | a) Known Nazarov cyclization b) Potential Nazarov cyclization | 72 |
| Figure 4-22 | Hydrogenation of zirconocene dichloride 284 | 73 |
| Figure 4-23 | ¹ H NMR spectrum of zirconocene dichloride 285 | 73 |
| Figure 4-24 | ¹³ C NMR spectrum of zirconocene dichloride 285 | 74 |
| Figure 4-25 | ORTEP plots of zirconocene dichloride 285 (a) Top view | 76 |
| | (b) Front view | |
| Figure 5-1 | Very open system | 89 |
| Figure 5-2 | Initial targets | 89 |

Page

.

•

. . .

xi

| | | Page |
|-------------|---|------|
| Figure 5-3 | Retrosynthesis of the ethylene bridged bis(indene) A | 90 |
| Figure 5-4 | Wittig route to bis(arene) D | 90 |
| Figure 5-5 | Synthesis of the C7, C7'-ethano bridged bis(ketones) | 91 |
| | 333 and 334 | |
| Figure 5-6 | ¹ H NMR spectra of (a) 334 (b) 333 | 92 |
| Figure 5-7 | The synthesis of ethylene bridged bis(indenes) 337 and 338 | 93 |
| Figure 5-8 | ¹ H NMR spectra of (a) 337 (b) 338 | 93 |
| Figure 5-9 | Metalation of ligands 337 and 338 with zirconium | 94 |
| Figure 5-10 | Top view of the <i>dl</i> -metal complex and <i>meso</i> -complex | 94 |
| Figure 5-11 | ¹ H NMR spectra of (a) <i>dl</i> -339 (b) <i>dl</i> -340 | 96 |
| Figure 5-12 | ORTEP plots of <i>dl</i> -339 (a) Front view (b) Top view | 99 |
| Figure 5-13 | ORTEP plots of <i>dl</i> -340 (a) Lateral view (b) Front view | 100 |
| Figure 5-14 | Metalation of bis(indene) 338 with titanium | 101 |
| Figure 5-15 | ¹ H NMR spectrum of <i>dl</i> -341 | 101 |
| Figure 5-16 | ORTEP plots of <i>dl</i> -341 (a) Lateral view (b) Top view | 103 |
| Figure 5-17 | a) Initial attempt with toluene b) Synthesis of the methano | 105 |
| | bridged arene 343 | |
| Figure 5-18 | Friedel-Crafts acylation and acid promoted cyclization | 105 |
| Figure 5-19 | Conversion of bis(ketone) 345 to bis(indene) 347 | 106 |
| Figure 5-20 | ¹ H NMR spectrum of methano bridged bis(indene) 347 | 106 |
| Figure 5-21 | Metalation of the methano bridged bis(indene) 347 | 107 |
| Figure 5-22 | ¹ H NMR spectra of (a) Zirconocene dichloride 348 | 108 |
| | (b) Titanocene dichloride 349 | |

.

.

LIST OF TABLES

•

• • · • • • •

ł

| | | Page |
|------------|---|-----------|
| Table 2-1 | Enyne cyclization with zirconocene dichloride | 26 |
| Table 2-2 | Catalytic enyne cyclization with titanocene complex 129 and | |
| | TMSNC | 26 |
| Table 2-3 | Enantioselective Pauson-Khand type reaction | 27 |
| Table 2-4 | Carbomagnesation of cyclic ethers | 29 |
| Table 2-5 | Carbomagnesation using a menthyl substituted indenyl complex | 30 |
| Table 2-6 | Enantioselective carboalumination of monosubstituted olefins | 31 |
| Table 2-7 | Catalytic asymmetric reduction of imines | 32 |
| Table 2-8 | Enantioselective hydrosilylation of imines | 33 |
| Table 2-9 | Enantioselective hydrosilylation of ketones | 34 |
| Table 2-10 | The first stereoselective hydrogenation using titanocene dichlorides | 35 |
| Table 2-11 | Other titanocene dichlorides used for the hydrogenation of | |
| | unfunctionalized olefins | 35 |
| Table 2-12 | Hydrogenation of trisubstituted olefins | 36 |
| Table 2-13 | Zirconium catalyzed hydrogenation of unfunctionalized olefins | 37 |
| Table 4-1 | Crystal Data and Structure Refinement for 284 | 69 |
| Table 4-2 | Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg) for 284 | 69 |
| Table 4-3 | Crystal Data and Structure Refinement for 285 | 75 |
| Table 4-4 | Selected bond length (Å) and angles (deg) for 285 | 75 |
| Table 5-1 | Cp _{cest} -M-C _{cest} bond angle of monosubstituted Cp's | 79 |
| Table 5-2 | 1,3-substituted Cp's and their effect on the Cp_{cont} -M-C _{cont} bond | 80 |
| | angles | |
| Table 5-3 | Highly substituted Cp's and the effect on the Cp_{cent} -M-C _{cent} bond | 81 |
| | angles | |

| | | Page |
|------------|--|-----------|
| Table 5-4 | 1,2-Alkyl-substituted Cp's and their effect on the Cp_{cent} -M-C _{cent} | 82 |
| | bond angles | |
| Table 5-5 | Bridging unit on the Cp _{cest} -M-C _{cest} bond angle | 84 |
| Table 5-6 | Substitution effects on the Cp _{cent} -M-C _{cent} bond angle in | 85 |
| | bis(indenyl)metal complexes | |
| Table 5-7 | Cp _{cent} -M-C _{cent} bond angles for ethylene bridged bis(indenyl)- | 86 |
| | and bis(tetrahydroindenyl)metal dichlorides | |
| Table 5-8 | Cp _{cent} -M-C _{cent} bond angle of dimethylsilane bridged metallocene | 87 |
| | dichlorides | |
| Table 5-9 | Cp _{cent} -M-C _{cent} bond angles for ansa-metallocene dichlorides | 88 |
| | with other bridging units | |
| Table 5-10 | Crystallographic data for <i>dl-339</i> and <i>dl-340</i> | 98 |
| Table 5-11 | Crystallographic data for dl-341 | 102 |
| Table 5-12 | Summary of bond lengths and angles for dl-339, dl-340, | 104 |
| | dl-341 | |

•

... ...

THE SYNTHESIS OF GROUP IV METALLOCENE DICHLORIDES WITH VARIABLE STERICS, CONFORMATIONAL MOBILITY AND GEOMETRIC SHAPES

ABSTRACT

2,2'-Bis[1-(4,7-dimethyl-indenylmethyl)]-1,1'-binaphthyltitanium and -zirconium dichlorides have been synthesized from 2,2'-bis(bromomethyl)-1,1'-binaphthalene 221. 2,2'-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,1'-binaphthalene 221 was alkylated with 4,7-dimethylindene 229 to yield 2,2'-bis[1-(4,7-dimethyl-indenylmethyl)]-1,1'-binaphthalene (S)-(-)-212. The dilithio salts were formed and metallated with titanium trichloride and zirconium tetrachloride to give ansa-bis[1-(4,7-dimethyl-indenylmethyl)]-1,1'-binaphthyltitanium dichloride (S)-(+)-232 and -zirconium dichloride 233.

New chiral doubly bridged bis(tetrahydroindenyl)zirconium dichloride 285 has been synthesized from chiral doubly bridged 284 in excellent yield. These complexes give access to rigid *ansa*-bis(indenyl)- and *ansa*-bis(tetrahydroindenyl)zirconium dichlorides. The structures of 284 and 285 were determined by X-ray diffraction and have confirmed the *closed* shape of these metallocene dichlorides.

We have prepared several novel metallocene dichlorides bridged at the 7,7' position of the indenyl moiety. The new metal complexes include the methylene bridged dl-bis(4,6dimethylinden-7-yl)methylidenetitanium dichloride 349, and dl-bis(4,6-dimethylinden-7yl)]methylidenezirconium dichloride 348 which were prepared from *m*-xylene. In addition, dl-1,2-bis(4-methylinden-7-yl)]ethylidene, dl-1,2-bis(4-isopropylinden-7yl)]ethylidenezirconium dichlorides (dl-339) and (dl-340) and dl-1,2-bis(4isopropylinden-7-yl)]ethylidenetitanium dichloride (dl-341) were synthesized from *p*cymene and *p*-xylene, respectively. The structure of metallocene dichlorides dl-339, dl-340, and dl-341 were determined by X-ray crystallography and found to have C₁symmetry in the solid state, but in solution exhibit C₂-symmetry as seen in their ¹H NMR

XV

••••••

spectra at room temperature. The synthesis of these new titanocene and zirconocene dichlorides will be discussed.

ł

•••

Chapter 1

CYCLOPENTADIENES, INDENES, AND THEIR METALLOCENES

1.1 Introduction

•••••

The pharmaceutical industry continues to be a major contributor to the growing demand for enantiomerically pure compounds. However, synthetic methodology which begins with the addition of an achiral reagent to a prochiral substrate results in the formation of an equal amount of both enantiomers A and B since the enantiomers have equal energy (Figure 1-1). As a result, specialized technology for obtaining single enantiomers has steadily increased.



One of the techniques for acquiring enantiomerically enriched compounds involves the reaction of two enantiomers, known as a racemic mixture, with a chiral reagent to produce two diastereomers which can be separated (Figure 1-1a). A second route for acquiring single enantiomers involves the addition of a chiral reagent to a prochiral substrate (Figure 1-1b). This selectivity is achieved through the formation of diastereomeric transition states which have different energies (Figure 1-2). These transition states result from the steric interactions between the source of chirality and the incoming substrate, and if one transition state is kinetically favored over another the enantiomer



arising from the kinetically "favored" transition state is formed. Hence, high selectivity can be achieved if this energy difference is sufficient (generally $\Delta\Delta G^* > 3.0$ Kcal/mol). However, a disadvantage of resolution and stiochiometric enantioselective synthesis is the use of an equimolar amount of the chiral reagent to starting material. Because of this, the two processes can be costly if the chiral reagent is not recycled, so finding alternative methods are desirable.

Recent developments in catalytic processes and the availability of numerous enantiomerically pure ligands have led to several catalytic enantioselective reactions. In contrast to the stoichiometric enantioselective process, the source of chiral induction is found at the catalyst, thus precluding stoichiometric amounts of chiral reagent. Like the stoichiometric analogs, the selectivity arises from steric interactions between the source of chirality and the incoming substrate. To accomplish this, many research groups have focused their attention on the synthetic utility presented by catalytic cycles containing group 4 transition metals. This interest has been spurred by the variety of processes these transition metals catalyze which will be discussed in chapter 2.

2

-· <u>·</u> '



In addition to their utility, group 4 transition metals have two highly variable classes of carbon π -ligands available. These ligands are the cyclopentadienyl (Cp) ligand (2) and the indenyl (In) ligand (4) which can be formed from cyclopentadiene (1) and indene (3),

respectively (Figure 1-3). Chiral ligands of this class are often chosen because they provide the qualities for a good chiral ligand. The necessities that make a good chiral ligand which are stereoselective have been outlined by Kagan and are listed below.¹

- The ligand must stay coordinated to the metal during the stereochemical defining step.
- The catalytic activity when using the chiral ligand should be comparable to that of the achiral ligand.
- The ligand should be easily modified to allow chemical variations to enable a match between substrate and chiral ligand.
- The ligand synthesis should be short, simple and begin with inexpensive starting materials.
- 5) It should be possible to synthesize both enantiomers.

Chiral Cp's and In's meet the needs listed above, therefore, the objective is to design chiral metallocenes with the appropriate steric environment for enantioselective reactions. This chapter will focus on the relevant background and discuss previous work done in an attempt to accomplish the objective.

1.2 Sources of chirality

The synthesis of metallocenes has taken large steps over the last few decades. Much of the improvement is due to the development of synthetic methodology and its application toward the synthesis of chiral metallocenes. This chirality in metal complexes can be introduced by several methods (Figure 1-4).² The first method introduces chirality by arranging four different groups around the metal center as shown by complex 5^3 and is defined as *metal centered chirality*. Chiral metallocenes can also be formed through the coordination of a chiral ligand or a prochiral ligand to a non-stereogenic metal center as shown with structures 6^4 and 7,⁵ respectively, and is termed *ligand derived chirality*. The third and final method for creating a chiral metal complex combines the first two methods as shown in the final complex.



1.3 Nomenclature

•••••

The assignment of R/S nomenclature can be applied to the π -faces of the Cp and indenyl ligands due to the "planar" chirality of the Cp ring when bound to the metal.^{6a} To assign the R/S nomenclature to the π -faces, the three steps outlined below can be taken.



First, carbon 1 on the Cp moiety must be found as seen for metal complex 8^7 in step 1 of Figure 1-5. Step 2 will be the prioritization of the atoms attached to carbon 1. This is done by treating the π -atoms of the Cp moiety as if they are each bound to the metal center. Priority 1 is then given to the metal, and the exocyclic carbon from the bridging unit is assigned priority 4 since it is the only carbon atom not attached to the metal. The remaining carbons are designated as follows, carbon 7a priority 2 and carbon 2 priority 3 based on the higher degree of substitution at carbon 7a. The final step to the assignment requires the rotation of carbon 1 so that the lowest priority group is pointed back thus revealing the 1S stereochemical designation.

The same process is done on the remaining Cp moiety leaving a 1*S*, 1*S* assignment. Erker has further elaborated the naming process by using the designation of "p" to denote planar chirality at carbon 1 on each π -face which would give a p-*S*, p-*S* assignment

•



for structure 8.⁶⁶ Additional assignments have been made for metal complexes 9⁸ and 10⁹ depicted in Figure 1-6.

As a note, the method used above for determining the R/S nomenclature for complex 8 and 10 contradicts in some cases the method used by Schlögle since this method would require planar chirality to be assigned to the highest priority carbon. ^{6a} This is relatively simple in the cases of unbridged Cp complexes, such as titanocene dichloride 9, because the highest priority π -carbon is usually the 1-position while Brintzinger has used the 1-position to denote the R/S nomenclature of planar chirality in *ansa*-bis(indenyl)metallocenes. Either method can be used, and for future reference the 1-position will carry the *R/S* designation with a "1" appearing before the designation.

1.4 Faces of cyclopentadienyls and indenyls

In addition to the before-mentioned planar chirality, cyclopentadienyl and indenyl ligand π -faces can be described as homotopic, enantiotopic, or diastereotopic.² The difference between these types of faces can be seen through various operations and by the products formed upon metalation.

Homotopic faces can be interconverted by rotation about a carbon-carbon bond as shown with indenyl ligand 11 (Figure 1-7).¹⁰ Homotopic faces can also be interconverted



by a C_2 -symmetry operation as shown with Cp ligand 17.¹¹ In addition, metalation of ligands with homotopic π -faces lead to formation of a single metal complex due to their inherent selectivity. Examples of ligands falling into this category include unsubstituted Cp, monosubstituted Cp's, symmetrically polysubstituted Cp's, indenyl ligands substituted in the 2-position, and symmetrically substituted indenyl ligands (Figure 1-8).



Enantiotopic π -faces are interconverted through a mirror plane (Figure 1-9). Unlike metalation of ligands with equivalent or homotopic π -faces, the metalation of a single Cp or indenyl ligand with enantiotopic π -faces leads to a racemic mixture of enantiomeric metal complexes as seen with the formation of (1*R*)-20 and (1*S*)-20 from indenyl 19.¹⁶ The picture becomes a bit more complicated if two equivalents of a ligand with enantiotopic faces are used. This is conveniently illustrated with bis(indenyl) 21 (Figure 1-10).⁷ Metalation of this ligand leads to the formation of the racemic *dl*-metal complexes (1*R*,1*R*)-22 and (1*S*,1*S*)-22, and the diastereomeric *meso*-complex (1*R*,1*S*)-22. The ratio of the diastereomeric complexes need not be 1:1 since the diastereomeric complexes arise from diastereomeric transition states which could have different energy barriers to formation.



Ligands belonging to this class are unsymmetrically substituted Cp's and indenyls in which the substituents are achiral.



Lastly, ligands with π -faces that are diastereotopic cannot be interconverted by rotation, a C₂-symmetry operation, or reflection through a mirror plane. Ligands belonging to this class have at least one atom with a *R*- or *S*-configuration and one *R*- or *S*-stereochemical designation for the π -face. The metalation of ligands in this class has a theoretical possibility of forming three diastereometric metal complexes as pictured with the metalation of Cp ligand 23 (Figure 1-11). ¹⁷ However, inherent steric biases existing in some Cp ligands with diastereotopic faces can lead to excellent selectivity upon metalation. Examples of these will be seen in later sections.



The previous examples illustrate simple models for the different types of π -faces. It also demonstrates having ligands with homotopic faces reduces the number of products formed, hence, simplifying isolation. Therefore, it is advantageous to have ligands with homotopic faces or highly selective diastereotopic faces when possible.

1.5 Nonbridged cyclopentadienes, indenes and their metallocene dichlorides



chiral. The first racemic monosubstituted readily Cp's were synthesized from reduction of the unsymmetrically substituted fulvenes like 25 with LiAlH₄.¹⁸ It was later found by Erker that 25 and 27 could be reduced with iso-butyllithium to give chiral Cp's (Figure

1-12).¹⁹ However, metalation of the racemic ligand revealed a serious problem. To illustrate this problem imagine adding racemic Cp to titanium tetrachloride (Figure 1-13). This initial metalation forms a racemic mixture of (1R)-29 and (1S)-29. Now a second addition of racemic Cp would give rise to 3 metallocene complexes since (1R)-29 can react with either (1R)-26 or (1S)-26, and (1S)-29 can metallate with (1S)-26 or (1S)-26. The final mixture could consist of the *meso*-complex (1R,1S)-30, and the racemic *dl*-complexes (1R,1R)-30 and (1S,1S)-30 with the first being a diastereomer of the later two.²⁰ However, because the formation of these complexes results from diastereomeric transition states, the *meso*-complex can be in a greater or lower quantity than the *dl*-complexes depending on the relative energies of the corresponding transition states.



This problem of diastereomeric complexes arising from racemic Cp was later lessened with the synthesis of chiral, non-racemic Cp ligands. The first reported Cp ligand of this type was synthesized from the reduction of fulvene 25 with LiAlH₄ in the presence of (-)-quinine to give modest enantioselectivity (~17% enantiomeric excess).²¹ This was a significant step toward the synthesis of chiral Cp's, but the presence of a substantial amount of the second enantiomer (~40% of the undesired enantiomer) led to the same problem that plagued the racemic mixture.

In 1978, the first enantiomerically pure natural product derived ligand and metallocene was published by Kagan and Cesarotti.⁴ This synthesis of the (-)-menthol derived ligand strategically displaced the tosylate with cyclopentadienylsodium to afford 33 (Figure 1-14). Although simple, the synthesis provided enantiomerically enriched ligand with homotopic π -faces which, upon metalation, gave a single metallocene complex 34. A number of analogous monosubstituted cyclopentadienes are pictured in Figure 1-15.



Recently Erker has synthesized a number of monosubstituted ligands derived from enantiomerically pure menthol derivatives to give enantiomerically pure 1-indenyl ligand 39 through a similar synthetic strategy (Figure 1-16).²⁵ In contrast to the monosubstituted Cp faces, the 1-indenyl ligand faces are diastereotopic and lead to a mixture of diastereomeric metallocene complexes of 40 when metallated. Several modifications of indene 39 have been synthesized by Erker and are shown in Figure 1-17.

37²³

36²²

354

-· .· ·

3824





Although somewhat more difficult to synthesize, fused Cp ligands were made to inhibit the rotation between the Cp moiety and chiral unit. The elimination of this rotation could create a more defined chiral pocket around the metal center. The first of these fused Cp ligands was synthesized by Halterman and Vollhardt^{22,27} from enantiomerically pure camphor through a stepwise cycloannulation and later by Paquette²⁸ through an alternate



methodology. Figure 1-18 pictures the original route to cyclopentadiene 49. A drawback to the metalation of cyclopentadiene 49 is the possibility of forming three distinct diastereomeric metallocenes due to the diastereotopic Cp faces. However, the steric environment around the π -faces resulted in a fairly selective metalation. This is seen with the formation of [(4R,7S,1R)-(4R,7S,1R)]-50 and [(4R,7S,1R)-(4R,7S,1S)]-50 in a 95:5 ratio while the third [(4R,7S,1S)-(4R,7S,1S)]-50 was not observed. This mixture was subsequently separated to give pure C₂-symmetric metallocene dichloride [(4R,7S,1R)-(4R,7S,1R)]-50. Several examples of fused cyclopentadienes are given in Figure 1-20 with 52 being the only fused Cp shown with diatereotopic faces which is achiral.



An interesting class of fused ligands has been synthesized by Erker,³³ Halterman and Vollhardt,¹⁵ Halterman and Chen,³⁵ and Halterman and Colleti³⁶ (Figure 1-21). These ligands are unique because they have homotopic π -faces which was made possible through the introduction of C₂-symmetry into the ligand. This symmetry inherently makes the metalation selective.



1.6 Bridged cyclopentadienes and ansa-bis(Cp)metallocene dichlorides

Numerous chiral Cp ligands were covered in the previous section. Several introduced chirality while further defining the chiral pocket. This was seen with the fused Cp ligands which have an additional bond to prevent rotation about the carbon-carbon bond between the Cp moiety and the source of chirality.

In 1978, Brintzinger reported the synthesis, metalation, and resolution of bridged bis(Cp) 66 and metallocene dl-67.³⁷ This work demonstrated that a chiral C₂-symmetric



complex could be formed with a well defined chiral pocket through a bridging unit as seen in Figure 1-22. The bridging unit restricted the rotation of the Cp about the Cp-metal bond which had not been solved by the unbridged fused Cp ligands. This bridging system opened the door to several areas of manipulation. The bridging unit provided a potential site for modification in addition to the four open sites on the Cp moiety. Several groups have developed methodologies to take advantage of these two areas.

1.6.1 Modifications to bridged Cp's

Researchers have synthesized a number of achiral bridged metallocene dichlorides. These achiral bridged metallocenes illustrate the many modifications which exist for the



bridging unit (Figure 1-23). Over the last two decades methodologies for the synthesis of sterically modified versions of the achiral derivatives, seen below, have been reported.

Collins and coworkers have done considerable work in this area and have reported the synthesis of several sterically modified version of 70 from ethylene bridged bis(cyclopentadiene) 77 (Figure 1-24).⁴⁶ Other research groups developed routes to



16

•

bridged cyclopentadienes and chiral *ansa*-metallocene dichlorides have been developed from 6,6-dimethylfulvenes 81,⁴⁷ bridged fulvene 84,⁴⁸ and substituted cyclopentadienyllithium 85.⁴⁹

Metallocene complexes prepared from chiral Cp's with achiral bridges have been reported. These have the potential for selective metalation because the chiral Cp could act as a directing group to selectively form a single diastereomeric metallocene complex over another. An example of this is cyclopentadiene 87 shown in Figure 1-25 but this bis(Cp) has diastereotopic faces, and in this case metalation led to the formation of the diastereotopic metallocenes 88 and 89 in a 2:1 ratio.⁵⁰ An enantiomerically enriched metallocene 92 with an achiral bridge and homotopic π -faces was published by Halterman and Chen.⁵¹ This solved the problem seen in the previous example by placing the bridge at the 2-position which kept the Cp faces homotopic and resulted in the formation metallocene dichloride 92.


1.7 Bridged bis(indenes) and ansa-bis(indenyl)metal complexes

A second significant contribution by Brintzinger to metallocene synthesis came with the publication of the first isolated *ansa*-bis(tetrahydroindenyl)titanium dichloride **94**, and its resolution starting from commercially available indene and dibromoethane (Figure 1-26).⁵ These complexes had the bridging unit which could be, like the cyclopentadienyls, modified but the indenyl group provided significant advantages when it came to introducing steric and electronic modifications.



1.7.1 Achiral bridges

The bridging of indenes has been found to be much easier when compared to cyclopentadienes due to the chemical reactivity of the 1- and 3-positions. Owing to this chemical reactivity, selective alkylation of the indenyl anion at the 1- and 3-positions remains a popular method for introducing countless bridging elements (Figure 1-27).



Synthetic methodologies other than direct nucleophilic displacement of a leaving group with indenyl anion have been devised. One clever route has been reported by Halterman and Tretyakov.⁵⁷ They demonstrated that the formation of complexes 103 could be done in two convenient steps from commercially available starting materials (Figure 1-28). A similar complex was reported by Halterman and Ramsey which was generated from bis(enyne) 104.⁵⁸ Achiral bridging elements at the 2-position on the indenyl moiety are much rarer due to the difference in reactivity at the 2-indenyl positions. Because of this difference, great length must be traveled to obtain bis(indenyl) ligands bridged at the 2-position. Nantz has developed a unique synthetic methodology which enables the synthesis of 1,2-bis(2-indenyl)ethane 117 from tethered phenyl sulfone 116.⁵⁹

••••



1.7.2 Steric modifications

••••••

Steric modifications have been introduced through the use of symmetrically substituted indenes as seen with complexes 110,⁵⁷ 111,⁵⁷ 112,⁶⁰ 113,⁶⁰ and 114 (Figure 1-29).⁶¹ With careful planning incorporation of unsymmetrically substituted indenes has been reported. In these cases the bridging element is introduced on the less sterically hindered indenyl position as shown by complexes 115⁵⁷ and 116.⁶²



1.7.3 Chiral bridges

ł

• -

Work continues to be aimed toward the synthesis of chiral bridging bis(indenyls). These chiral bridges could potentially lead to formation of enantiomerically pure metallocene dichlorides. A number of groups have published the use of chiral bridges ranging from a chiral ethylene to binaphthylene units (Figure 1-30).

-- ------



1.8 Conclusion

i

•••••

This section briefly covered background information about sources of chirality, nomenclature, types of π -faces, and presents numerous ligands and metallocenes. If the number of group 4 metallocene dichlorides continues to grow, as they have over the last 20 years, a better understanding of the effects of the steric and electronic environment should result from their use in enantioselective reactions. From this, their potential as powerful tools in organic synthesis should be fulfilled.

Chapter 2

SYNTHETIC APPLICATIONS FOR ZIRCONOCENES AND TITANOCENES

2.1 Introduction

There are numerous reasons to use titanocene and zirconocene complexes in organic transformations. Like other transition metals, zirconium and titanium are capable of coordinating many organic functional groups. This coordination could cause a labile species to become reactive, a reactive species to become more reactive, or reverse the reactivity of certain functional groups. As a result, reactions which are unattainable through conventional organic methodologies become possible. In addition, the availability of a wide range of structurally well defined catalysts make zirconocene and titanocene complexes especially attractive for stereoselective organic transformations. This chapter will briefly cover several organic applications for these metallocenes.

2.2 Olefin Polymerization

In 1976 Kaminsky reported the activation of Cp_2ZrCl_2 with methylalumoxane(MAO) to give a zirconium species capable of polymerizing ethylene.⁷¹ Soon after, Kaminsky and Brintzinger published the production of isotactic polypropylene using zirconocene dichloride 8 in the presence of MAO (Figure 2-1).⁷²

As these zircorocene dichlorides were developed and applied to the polymerization process, a correlation between catalyst structure and polypropylene composition was found.⁷³ In general C₂-symmetrical zirconocene dichlorides give isotactic polypropylene, C₁-symmetrical metallocenes give hemiisotactic polypropylene, C_s-symmetrical metallocenes give syndiotactic polypropylene, and metallocenes such as 125⁷² give atactic polypropylene (Figure 2-2).









24

____ -__





Intensive mechanistic studies are continuing to be made to determine the true nature of the active species. To date these studies have led to a general catalytic cycle for the polymerization of olefins. A simple picture of the catalytic process is illustrated in Figure 2-3.⁷⁴ The activation of the zirconocene dichloride with MAO

gives an alkyl cationic zirconium species 126. The olefin then coordinates the cationic zirconium so that the least amount of steric interaction is involved during the approach which has been found to occur with high facial selectivity (Figure 2-4).⁷⁵ Finally, the olefin inserts into the existing zirconium carbon bond regenerating the cationic species and the process continues until a terminating step occurs.

2.3 Cyclization reactions

Intramolecular enyne cyclizations can be done using stoichiometric amounts of zirconium dichloride to give functionalized cyclic products. As seen in Table 2-1, treatment of enynes with Cp_2ZrCl_2 , magnesium, and mercury(II) chloride gives rise to zirconocycle 128. The zirconocycle can then be treated with CO to give a stoichiometric Pauson-Khand type reaction that affords cyclopentenones.⁷⁶



In 1994 Buchwald developed a titanocene version of the zirconocene cyclization that is catalytic (Table 2-2).⁷⁷ He accomplished this with various enynes in the presence of titanocene 129 (10 mol%) and TMSNC followed by workup to give the corresponding



cyclic enones in moderate to excellent yields. Recently Buchwald published a catalytic enantioselective version of the above with metallocene complex 130 in the presence of CO (Table 2-3).⁷⁸

A tentative catalytic cycle has been proposed by Buchwald for the above processes which is shown in Figure 2-5.^{77,78} Initially, titanocene dicarbonyl 131 is generated *in situ*





Figure 2-5. Buchwald's mechanism for the titanium catalyzed Pauson-Khand reaction.

27

from titanocene 130 in the presence of CO. One of the carbonyl groups is then replaced when the yne coordinates the titanocene, and the second carbonyl group is lost when the ene coordinates. Cyclization affords titanocyclopentene 134 which is followed by insertion of CO and reductive elimination to afford the corresponding enone.

Zirconium promoted stoichiometric diene cyclizations have been reported by Mori (Figure 2-6).⁷⁹ The active species was generated from the treatment of Cp_2ZrCl_2 with 2 equivalents of *n*-butyl Grignard. The active catalyst could then cyclize diene 136 to afford cyclic products 137 and 138, respectively.



2.4 Carbomagnesations and related reactions

A variation of the Dzhemilev⁸⁰ reaction has been developed by Hoveyda.⁸¹ He has demonstrated that nonracemic zirconocene 8 could catalyze the addition of alkylmagnesium chloride to five-, six-, and seven-membered unsaturated heterocycles to give the corresponding alcohols and amines under mild conditions. In addition, these conditions afforded excellent enantioselectivity over the entire range of substrates tested. Table 2-4 pictures three examples.

Hoveyda has proposed the catalytic cycle shown in Figure 2-7.⁸¹ The zirconocene dichloride 8 is activated with two equivalents of alkylgrignard to give active species 139. The unsaturated olefin then approaches the zirconium in such a way as to minimize steric





•••••

repulsion between the substrate and metallocene ligands and forms a zirconocyclopentane intermediate 141. Another equivalent of alkyl-Grignard coordinates to the zirconium and opens the zirconocycle. Eventually β -hydride transfer and alkoxide elimination occurs to yield the product and regenerate the catalyst.



Whitby has also done extensive work in the area of carbomagnesation and has found that other zirconocene dichlorides can be used to add ethylmagnesium chloride across unactivated olefins (Table 2-5).⁸² Two factors control the stereoselectivity in this system (Figure 2-8). One, the wall must effectively block one half of the zirconium so that the olefin cannot approach from that side. Two, the roof directs the approach of the



• • • •

incoming olefin. Whitby has proposed a different catalytic cycle for the carbomagnesation of olefins (Figure 2-9).⁸³ Nonetheless, both cycles can account for the observed products in each case.



Negishi has reported a similar alkyl addition to olefins that uses trialkylaluminums in the presence of catalyst 44 (Table 2-6).⁸⁴ Subsequent workup yielded the corresponding alcohol or hydrocarbon with excellent enantioselectivity.



•••••••

2.5 Reduction of imines and carbonyls

Buchwald has published a considerable amount of work on asymmetric hydrogenation of imines using enantiomerically enriched titanocene complex 145 (Table 2-7).⁸⁵ He has found that treatment of 145 with *n*-butyllithium and phenylsilane followed by subsequent treatment with hydrogen (80-2000 psi) produced the necessary conditions for the reduction of acyclic and cyclic imines. Of interest is the more facile reduction of cyclic



imines verses acyclic amines. This reactivity difference is believed to be due to the N-alkyl group being tied down, thus, increasing the availability of the nitrogen to coordinate to the metal.

Buchwald has further advanced the enantioselective hydrogenation of imines through the application of titanocene 146 to afford cyclic and acyclic amines under mild conditions with high stereoselectivity (Table 2-8).⁸⁶ It was found that 146 could be transformed into the active species upon treatment with PhSiH₃ (Figure 2-10). Species





147 was then capable of transferring the hydride to the imine while $PhSiH_3$ regenerated the catalyst and afforded the silylated amine.

Buchwald has also developed the use of 145 as a precatalyst for the reduction of ketones (Table 2-9).⁸⁷ He has found that 145 could react with *n*-butyllithium and polymethylhydrosilane to generate a catalyst which would then reduce ketones with fair to excellent enantioselectivity. Other notable attempts at catalytic enantioselective reduction of aryl alkyl ketones with C₂-symmetric chiral bridged and unbridged metallocenes have been reported with some success.⁸⁸

••••••



2.6 Hydrogenation of olefins

•

The stereoselective hydrogenation of simple unfunctionalized olefins has been known since $1979.^{89}$ In these example the hydrogenations were done using nonbridged bis(neomenthylCp) and bis(menthylCp)titanium dichlorides which were reduced with aluminum hydride derivatives (Table 2-10). The resulting species could then be used to hydrogenate 2-phenyl-1-butene in the presence of 1 atm of hydrogen at temperatures ranging from -5 to 35 °C. Under optimal conditions they could obtain (S)-2-phenylbutane in 28% ee with 75 turnovers at 5 °C.



Several classes of monosubstituted and annulated titanocene dichloride precatalysts have been used for the hydrogenation of 2-phenyl-1-butene with a slight advantage going to the annulated complexes (Table 2-11). ^{15,22,23} To date the most selective of the



metallocene dichloride precursor has been the titanocene dichloride with an annulated C_2 -symmetric ligand.¹⁵

Buchwald has demonstrated that *ansa*-bis(indenyl)titanium complex 145 could reduce unfunctionalized olefins if activated with *n*-butyllithium, and phenylsilane (Table 2-12).⁹⁰ This species could then hydrogenate trisubstituted olefins in the presence of hydrogen (2000 psi) at 65 °C for 9-184 h with moderate to high enantioselectivity.



Waymouth and Pino demonstrated that a cationic zirconium species was capable of catalyzing the hydrogenation of simple unfunctionalized olefins (Table 2-13).⁷⁵ They demonstrated a zirconocene complex could be activated with MAO and be used to hydrogenate or deuterate 2-phenyl-1-butene, 1-pentene and styrene at reasonable temperatures and pressures. They also determined that the enantioface which is hydrogenated/deuterated is the opposite of the facial selectivity for the polymerization of propene.

. .



2.7 Conclusion

This chapter provided a small sampling of the applications for zirconocenes and titanocenes in organic synthesis. Other applications for zirconocenes and titanocenes that were not covered include asymmetric Diels-Alder reactions,⁹¹ diastereoselective⁹² and enantioselective formation of homoallylic alcohols,^{92b} hydrozirconation of alkenes and alkynes,⁹³ alkene isomerization,⁶⁴ and catalytic asymmetric epoxidation of unfunctionalized olefins.^{63b,c,66} To further develop these processes, metallocenes varying in structural composition must be synthesized which may lead to higher selectivity and reactivity. The synthetic strategies for varying the conformational shape and mobility of old and new metallocenes will be covered in the following chapters.

Chapter 3

STERIC MODIFICATION OF A CHIRAL METALLOCENE

3.1 Introduction

The previous chapters provided background information about Cp and indenyl ligands, and several applications for zirconocene and titanocene complexes. To date a majority of the attention has been focused on the often-successful application of Brintzinger's complex. Nonetheless, there is still room for improvement. To acquire more information on the effects of metallocene structure on reaction outcome, a systematic study of various features of the metallocene complex can be done. Of the possible methods for varying the metallocene dichloride this chapter will focus on the methodologies for synthesizing sterically modified Cp's and indenyls, and the design and synthesis of new sterically modified metallocene dichlorides.

3.2 Strategies for the synthesis of enantiomerically pure sterically modified nonbridged Cp complexes

Numerous research groups have incorporated enantiomerically enriched starting materials from naturally occurring compounds to form enantiomerically pure Cp's (Figure 3-1). The Cp's depicted in Figure 3-1 could be considered steric modifications of one another, but little work has been done toward the systematic synthesis of sterically modified Cp's within the framework of a single carbon skeleton.

Recently, steric modifications of (+)-camphor derived Cp 49^{22,27,28} were published by Halterman and Tretyakov (Figure 3-2).³² This was accomplished through the addition of vinyllithium 151 to 2,2-dimethylpropanal, methylvinyl ketone, and benzoyl chloride to afford the corresponding alcohols, and ketone. The alcohol from 2,2-dimethylpropanal was oxidized to ketone 153. Subsequent addition of vinyllithium to ketones 152 and



153 resulted in the formation of the bis(allylic)alcohols 155 and 156. The substituted Cp's were then formed through acid catalyzed Nazarov cyclization from the respective bis(allylic)alcohols.



Steric variations of enantiomerically enriched metal complex 18^{15} via asymmetric synthesis have been reported by Halterman and Chen (Figure 3-3).³⁵ The preparation of two variations began with the treatment of achiral alkenes 157 and 160 with enantiomerically enriched (+)- or (-)- α -isopinocamphenylborane to afford diols 158 and 161. The diols were then converted to the dimesylates 159 and 162 with methylsulfonylchloride. Treatment of the mesylates with cyclopentadienyllithium in the



presence of excess sodium hydride, preceded by heating afforded the fused ligands 60 and 62. Subsequent metalation yielded the corresponding zirconocene dichlorides 61 and 63.

A drawback of metallocene dichlorides with unbridged ligands comes from the rotation of the Cp around the metal (Figure 3-4). If more than one rotational isomer is reactive then it becomes difficult to correlate stereochemical outcome with catalyst structure.



In addition, the possiblity of having reduced stereoselectivity through the reactions involving less selective conformations does exist.

3.3 Strategies for the synthesis of chiral sterically modified bridged Cp complexes

Methods for preparing sterically modified *ansa*-bis(Cp)metal dichlorides with achiral bridging elements have been reported, but since selective alkylation of the Cp anion is difficult to control, elaborate routes to these ligands have been developed.



Collins and coworkers have devised a clever pathway to substituted ethylene bridged Cp's from bridged bis(Cp) 77 (Figure 3-5).⁴⁶ A crucial step involved the selective oxidation of bis(Cp) 77 to diol 163 as single regioisomer. The diol was then oxidized to diketone 164. The diketone was then treated with acid to promote alkene isomerization to give α , β -unsaturated ketone 165. Subsequent addition of methyllithium or ethylmagnesiumbromide followed by acid catalyzed dehydration provided the desired bis(Cp)'s 166 and 167 which could then be metallated.

• •



The isopropyl and *tert*-butyl substituted ethylene bridged bis(Cp)'s were conveniently synthesized from the condensation of acetone and parent bis(Cp) 77 to give ethylene bridged fulvene 168 (Figure 3-6).⁴⁶ Addition of methyllithium or LiAlH₄ followed by dehydration resulted in the formation of bis(Cp)'s 169 and 170, respectively. Metalation of the bridged bis(Cp)'s gave the corresponding metal dichlorides 171 and 172.

Brintzinger has published a direct route to a number of ethylene bridged bis(Cp)'s using a magnesium/carbon tetrachloride promoted coupling of substituted fulvenes (Figure



-

3-7).⁴⁷ This synthesis and the others mentioned previously are significant because the ligands once complexed afford the titanium and zirconium dichlorides with well-defined chiral pockets. This is due to the bridging unit which inhibits the rotation of the Cp ligands around the metal center. The major disadvantages are that the ligands are limited due to the relative difficulty of introducing modifications as seen with Collin's long route to sterically modified Cp's **166** and **167**, and the faces of these ligands are enantiotopic and can lead to *dl/meso* mixtures of the metallocene dichlorides.

3.4 Strategies for the synthesis of chiral sterically modified bridged indenyl complexes

Since the initial report of the ethylene bridged bis(indenyl)titanium complex 7 and (tetrahydroindenyl)titanium complex 94, numerous sterically modified metallocenes have been synthesized (Figure 3-8).⁵ One approach often employed for increasing the steric size around the metal center has come from the hydrogenation of the indenyl complex 7 to give the tetrahydroindenyl complex 94, which is also electronically different.





Another popular approach for introducing steric bulk employs readily synthesized symmetrically substituted indenes (Figure 3-9).⁶¹ In most cases these indenes are bridged via alkylation to give 1 or 2 alom bridged indenes and metallocene dichlorides. Another advantage of this method has been found in the enhanced *dl/meso* ratio of the metal complexes.



44

••• •• •

Recently Halterman and Tretyakov have synthesized a variety of metallocene complexes in two convenient steps (Figure 3-10).⁵⁷ The ligands were prepared from their respective indenyllithiums. The indenyllithiums were initially treated with zinc chloride to give indenylzinc chloride. The indenylzinc chlorides were then coupled with 1,2-diiodobenzene in the presence of palladium(0) to afford several phenyl bridged bis(indenes). Interestingly metalation of these compounds resulted in a mixture of *meso*-and *dl*-complexes ranging from a 1:1 to a 1:10 *meso:dl* ratio depending upon metalation conditions and the indenyl ligand.

In the above cases the metal complexes obtained from direct metalation were racemic. To acquire the enantiomerically pure/enriched form a resolution has to be done at the metal dichloride stage (Figure 3-11).⁵ This method has been widely used by researchers for bridged Cp's and tetrahydroindenyls, but has not been reported for the resolution of *ansa*-bis(indenyl)metal dichlorides. Therefore, chiral bridging units have been used to procure "self-resolving" enantiomerically enriched *ansa*-bis(indenyl)metal complexes.



Like the achiral versions, the introduction of indene to enantiomerically enriched bridging units can be done by alkylating with the indenyl anion as seen with the example published by Halterman and Chen (Figure 3-12).⁶⁴

45



One of the methodologies used to increase the steric size around the metal center has been through the hydrogenation of the indenyl double bonds at the metal complex which was previously illustrated in Figure 3-8. Another method was demonstrated by Halterman and Ramsey (Figure 3-13).^{66,94} In their synthetic pathway the nucleophile was the bridging unit **205** and the electrophile was enone **206** or **207** which could be converted to the bridged Cp's **208** and **209** via acid catalyzed dehydration after the addition.



3.5 Rationale for the design and synthesis of sterically modified ansabis(indenyls)zirconium and -titanium dichlorides

From the limitations and advantages described above it was determined that the synthetic design of sterically modified group 4 metallocene dichlorides should include the following (Figure 3-14).



- C₂-symmetry needed to be incorporated into the design to limit the number of possible approaches for the incoming substrate.
- 2) Include a bridging unit to limit the possible conformations of the indenyl moiety. In addition, the bridging unit should be chiral and easily obtained enantiomerically enriched since the resolution of the bis(indenyl) metallocene dichlorides is not yet known. The bridging unit should allow introduction of an indenyl unit.
- 3) Use the indenyl moiety to introduce subtle changes to the steric size of the substituents at C4 and C7 on the indenyl moiety which has not yet been applied toward chiral bridging units.

•

3.6 Application of methodology for achiral bridges to the chiral binaphthalene bridge

With the guidelines outlined above, we set out to synthesize sterically modified versions of ligand 211^{63a} previously synthesized in our group (Figure 3-15). The synthetic strategy was based on the alkylation of a binaphthalene moiety because the bridging unit could be resolved. In addition, the synthetic methodology developed previously showed promise for the introduction of the indenyl moiety. We therefore set our objective on the synthesis of ligands 212 and 213.



Fortunately a number of methods for synthesizing the binaphthalene moiety existed. Previously Halterman and Colletti had published the improved synthesis and resolution of diacid 217 (Figure 3-16).^{36b} This synthesis began with the oxidation of 1-bromo-2-methylnaphthalene 214 to yield alcohol 215. The alcohol was then oxidized to the carboxylic acid with KMnO₄ and converted to ester 216. The binaphthalene moiety was formed via a copper-mediated Ullmann coupling of ester 216 and then saponified to diacid 217. The diacid was resolved with brucine to afford both enantiomers of 217. Binaphthalene 218 was prepared by LiAlH₄ reduction to give the corresponding alcohol. The reduction was followed by esterification with methylsulfonyl chloride to afford the desired binaphthalene moiety.



A second direct route to the desired binaphthalene moiety was reported by Ito and coworkers via an enantioselective coupling of 1-bromo-methylnaphthalene 214 with Grignard reagent 219 in the presence of NiBr₂ and 1-(diphenylphosphino)-2-(1-methoxyethyl)ferrocene (Figure 3-17).⁹⁵ The resulting 2,2'-dimethyl-1,1'-binaphthalene 220 was then brominated with NBS to afford the desired binaphthalene unit 221.



Because of the length of the first method and the inconsistent results obtained when repeating the asymmetric synthesis of 220, we turned our attention toward the synthesis of racemic dibromide 221 and an alternate resolution (Figure 3-18).⁹⁶ Racemic 221 could be obtained from the bromination of 2-methylnaphthalene 222 to give bromide 214. Grignard reagent 219 was then prepared and coupled with bromide 214 in the presence of NiCl₂ and triphenylphosphine. NBS bromination afforded racemic dibromide 221.



Racemic 221 was resolved conveniently and reliably on large scale according to a procedure by Maigrot and Mazaleyrat in three steps with at least 95% enantiomeric excess (Figure 3-19).⁹⁶ Racemic 221 was treated with *l*-ephedrine 223 to afford the quaternary ammonium salts 224 and 225. These salts were easily separated by recrystallization and then reduced with lithium aluminum hydride in the presence of NiCl₂ to give enantiomerically enriched 2,2'-dimethyl-1,1'-binaphthalene 220. Enantiomerically



enriched 220 was again subjected to NBS bromination yielding the enantiomerically enriched bromides (R)-221 and (S)-221.

The desired C4 and C7 alkyl substituted indenes were synthesized according to the procedure outlined by Piccolrovazzi and coworkers (Figure 3-20).¹³ Para-substituted arenes **191** and **226** were treated with aluminum trichloride and 3-chloropropionylchloride. The reaction mixture was quickly worked-up and treated with sulfuric acid and heat to give the corresponding ketones. Reduction and acid catalyzed dehydration gave 4,7-diisopropylindene (**229**) and 4,7-dimethylindene (**230**) from their respective ketones.



Recently, a convenient one pot synthesis of 4,7-dimethylindene (229) had been published by Erker from 2,5-hexadione (231), cyclopentadiene, and sodium methoxide (Figure 3-21).⁹⁷ We have repeated the condensation on multigram scale to afford indene 229 in 65-80% yield.



Treating racemic enantiomerically pure 2,2'-bis(bromomethyl)-1,1'-ΟΓ binaphthalene with lithiated 4,7-dimethylindene 229 at -78 °C gave the desired bis(indene) 212 in 70% yield as a white solid after purification (Figure 3-22). The desired bis(indene) 212 was identified by the appearance of two doublets at 2.98 and 2.68 ppm which corresponded to the two diastereotopic protons of the methylene group between the indenyl and binaphthalene moiety, in addition to the appearance of two methyl singlets at 2.25 and 2.24 ppm and two indenyl signals at 6.38 and 5.28 ppm in the ¹H NMR spectrum of 212 (Figure 3-23). Attempts to alkylate the lithio salt of 4,7-diisopropylindene 230 with dibromide 221 under a range of conditions resulted in the recovery of starting material. The inability to alkylate the indenyllithium of 230 is believed to be due the unfavorable steric interactions of the isopropyl group at the C4 and C7 position with the binaphthalene alkylating reagent.



• . . . '



Metalation of (S)-(-)-212 was done by forming the dilithiosalt of 212 with *n*butyllithium in THF (Figure 3-24). The solution of the dilithio salt was then added to a slurry of titanium trichloride^{63a} in THF at -78 °C then refluxed for 5 h. Air oxidation⁹⁴ in



the presence of 2 N HCl and chloroform afforded crude titanocene (S)-(+)-232. Purification of the crude mixture afforded titanocene dichloride (S)-(+)-232 in 24% yield as a dark green solid. Evidence for the formation of the C₂-symmetrical titanocene dichloride included a characteristic spreading of the ¹H NMR signals for methylene groups on the indenyl moiety from 2.25 and 2.24 ppm to 2.05 and 2.35 ppm, in addition to the simplified ¹H NMR spectrum which ruled out the C₁-symmetrical titanocene dichloride (Figure 3-25).


Previously metallocene dichlorides containing the binaphthalene bridge were found to be conformationally mobile.^{63a} Therefore, titanocene dichloride 232 was expected to be conformationally mobile. Low temperature ¹H NMR experiments were done with apparent coalescence occurring at 220 K. However, without the appearance of the signals belonging to the C1-symmetrical conformations the calculation of the energy barrier was not possible (Figure 3-26). Nevertheless, the additional methyl groups on the ligand apparently lowered the activation barrier for the interconversion between the different conformations.





Metalation of the racemic dilithio salt of 212 with zirconium tetrachloride⁹⁴ in ether produced a bright yellow zirconocene dichloride 233 (Figure 3-27). Purification of zirconocene 233 afforded the pure product in 21% yield. The product could be identified by the expected shift of the indenyl methyl groups from 2.25 and 2.24 ppm to 2.23 and 2.10 ppm, and appearance of the H-1 and H-2 indenyl signals at 6.49 and 5.23 ppm, respectively (Figure 3-28).



•••••

3.7 Conclusion

•••••

A convenient synthesis of two new binaphthalene bridged metallocene dichlorides was presented. As shown, methodology currently being used for the introduction of steric bulk to metallocenes with achiral bridges can be applied toward the synthesis of sterically modified *ansa*-bis(indenyl)metal dichlorides with chiral bridging units. This was accomplished through the alkylation of a binaphthalene moiety with dimethylindene. With the two new complexes there are now a number of metallocene complexes covering a range of steric modifications with the same carbon skeleton which can be compared in enantioselective syntheses in the future.

Chapter 4

THE SYNTHESIS AND STRUCTURAL DETERMINATION OF ZIRCONOCENE DICHLORIDES HAVING CONFORMATIONALLY RIGID STRUCTURES AND GEOMETRICALLY CLOSED SHAPES

4.1 Introduction

• · · ·

Extensive research continues in an effort to develop metallocene dichlorides with favorable geometric features for stereoselective reactions while maintaining overall reactivity. Chapter 3 discussed the synthetic methodology for obtaining sterically modified *ansa*-metallocene complexes with chiral and achiral bridges. In addition to steric modifications, the modifications of conformational mobility and geometric shape are concerns when developing new metallocene dichlorides. This chapter will concentrate on the strategies used to control the mobility and shape, the synthesis of a new chiral zirconocene dichloride that is conformationally constrained with a closed shape and the structural determination of two zirconocene dichlorides in this class.

4.2 Conformational mobility: Synthesis of conformationally constrained systems

One feature common in most catalysts is the ability to correlate the catalyst structure to the stereochemical outcome of a reaction. However, this is only possible if the catalyst ligands are conformationally restricted. Zirconocene and titanocene dichloride catalysts are no exception. Because of this, semi-rigid and rigid metallocene complexes are desirable and conformationally mobile complexes are undesirable which, as previously discussed, is a drawback of nonbridged Cp and indenyl complexes. However, *ansa*-metallocene dichlorides provide the conformational restraints which make them attractive as potential catalysts.



Brintzinger's ethylene bridged bis(tetrahydroindenyl)metal complexes 94⁵ and 8⁷ continue to be intensively tested because these complexes provide defined chiral pockets in addition to the short synthetic pathway to the ligands and

metallocenes (Figure 4-1). Although these complexes are bridged, it is known that they are not conformationally constrained as they have been found to fluctuate rapidly between the two conformations through a twisting of the ethano bridge (Figure 4-2).⁹⁸ These two conformations have been described by Brintzinger and a number of groups as δ -RR and λ -RR or δ -SS and λ -SS in which the δ and λ correspond to the twist in the bridging unit. However, confusion can arise when describing the two conformations since the reflection through a mirror plane reverses the δ and λ designation while the position of the indenyl moieties remains constant. Piccolrovazzi and coworkers have described these conformations with the Greek symbols Π (in front) and Y (behind) to describe the forward or backward positioning of the indenyl moieties.⁹⁹



. .

The most common method to further restrict the conformational mobility seen in Brintzinger's complexes has been through the use of single atom bridges. This single atom bridge is often introduced through the alkylation of Me_2SiCl_2 with unsubstituted and substituted indenes and cyclopentadienes (Figure 4-3).¹⁰⁰



Another popular approach for synthesizing single atom bridged metallocenes has been used by Green (Figure 4-4).⁹ The single carbon bridged metallocene complex 239 was prepared in two steps from indenyllithium and 6,6-dimethylfulvene 237. A number of groups have used this methodology to synthesize countless metallocene dichlorides with two Cp ligands or a combination of a Cp ligand with a indenyl or fluorenyl ligand. Two

239

238

237



59

additional examples which were synthesized via nucleophilic addition of an anion to a fulvene moiety are shown in Figure 4-5.^{9,101}

Two novel routes to rigid *ansa*-bis(Cp)metal complexes were developed using the fulvene strategies. Erker synthesized the first annulated C_1 -symmetrical *ansa*-metallocene system seen in Figure 4-6.^{97,102} This was accomplished via condensation of two equivalents of Cp with 2,5-hexadione (231) to give bis(fulvene) 242. Fulvene 242 was then treated with 2 equivalents of methyllithium which resulted in the formation of an annulated Cp anion 243. Dilithio salt 243 could then be metallated with zirconium tetrachloride or titanium tetrachloride to afford the corresponding zirconocene and titanocene dichlorides.



A similar complex was prepared in one step by Gautheron and Urazowski (Figure 4-7).¹⁰³ They demonstrated that substituted fulvene **178** could be condensed with itself in the presence of a catalytic amount of cyclopentadienylsodium to give bridged bis(Cp) **246**.



Bis(Cp) 246 was then metallated to afford the two possible diastereomers of the titanocene and zirconocene dichlorides.



Two carbon bridged *ansa*-bis(indenyl)metal dichlorides have also addressed the problem of conformational flexibility seen in Brintzinger's complexes. This was accomplished by reverting to a phenyl bridging unit. The first to synthesize a phenyl bridged group 4 metallocene dichloride were Halterman and Ramsey (Figure 4-8).⁵⁸ This was carried out by first coupling enyne 252 with 1,2-diiodobenzene in the presence of Pd(PPh₃)₄ and copper(I)iodide to afford bis(enyne) 104. The annulated rings were then formed via double Pauson-Khand cyclization to give bis(enone) 253. Conversion of bis(enone) 253 to the bis(tosylhydrazone) afforded the necessary precursor for Shapiro reduction to phenyl bridged bis(tetrahydroindene) 105. Subsequent metalation provided the corresponding titanocene dichloride 254 and zirconocene dichloride 106 in which the solid state structure of 254 contained a crystallographic C₂-axis equating the two tetrahydroindenyl rings. Halterman and Tretyakov have reported a shorter route to derivatives of these metallocenes (Figure 4-9).⁵⁷ The synthesis of these ligands and



metallocene dichlorides were previously discussed in chapter 3. In no case with the phenyl bridged metal complexes was conformational mobility observed in the variable temperature ¹H NMR experiments.

Bosnich has prepared several biphenyl bridged metallocene dichlorides which are conformationally rigid despite the longer carbon bridging unit (Figure 4-10).⁶⁷ The biphenyl bridge was introduced by reacting Grignard 261 with diester 262. The resulting diol afforded biphenyl bridged bis(indenyl) 264 after acid catalyzed dehydration. The bis(indene) was then deprotonated with *n*-butyllithium and metallated with titanium trichloride to afford the *ansa*-bis(indenyl)titanium(III) complex 265 which was



62

•••••



hydrogenated and oxidized to give the *ansa*-bis(tetrahydroindenyl)titanium dichloride 266. Other metallocenes with this type of bridging element are shown in Figure 4-11.^{66.67}

Two bridging units have also been employed to create conformationally constrained complexes. Brintzinger accomplished the synthesis and metalation of the bis(dimethylsilanyl)-bridged ligand 271 to afford the first doubly bridged bis(tetrahydroindenyl)metal dichlorides (Figure 4-12).¹⁰⁴ The synthesis began with the treatment of anion 269 with dichlorodimethylsilane to afford dimethylsilane bridged bis(tetrahydroindenyl) ligand 270. Formation of the dianion and its reaction with dichlorodimethylsilane yielded 271. The corresponding metallocene dichlorides were prepared from the treatment of 271 with *n*-butyllithium and zirconium tetrachloride or titanium tetrachloride.



•••••

Doubly bridged bis(Cp) 276 had been known for some time.¹⁰⁵ However, group 4 metallocene dichlorides of ligand 276 were not reported until publications by Brintzinger⁴⁵ and Hafner¹⁰⁶ in 1995 (Figure 4-13). 1,2,5,6-Tetramethylenecycloctane 273 was transformed into the tetrabromides 274 and 275 with bromoform and *tert*-butoxide. The tetrabromides 274 and 275 were treated with methyllithium to initiate Skattebøl rearrangement to give bis(Cp) 276. Bis(Cp) 276 was then metallated with titanium and zirconium to give the corresponding metallocene dichlorides.



Chiral doubly bridged metallocene dichloride 282 has been formed through the same Skattebøl rearrangement (Figure 4-14).¹⁰⁵ Buchwald and coworkers began with the addition of a vinyl Grignard to diketone 278 to afford 279. Treatment of diol 279 with base and triflic anhydride resulted in the formation of olefin 280. Bis(cyclopropane) 281 was formed upon the reaction of 280 with *tert*-butoxide and bromoform. Bis(cyclopropane) 281 was treated with methyllithium to initiate Skattebøl rearrangement and afforded the desired bis(cyclopentadienyl) which was immediately metallated with titanium trichloride. Subsequent oxidation produced the titanocene dichloride in addition to the monochloro/monobromo- and dibromo titanocenes. Whereas 276 could only give one

metallocene dichloride, 282 was formed in low yield which could be due to the diastereotopic faces or to the conformation of the ligand.



4.3 Conformational shape

The geometric shape of the molecule can have a direct affect on the stereoselectivity as well as the activity of the catalyst since the shape of the molecule will determine the spacial orientation of the directing groups around the metal center. As previously illustrated, the conformational rigidity is directly related to the type of bridging unit. In contrast, the shape of the metallocene dichloride is a function of the position and type of the bridging element, and position and types of substituents. The following sections will introduce methods for controlling the shape of metallocene dichlorides.

4.3.1 Conformationally open metallocene dichlorides

Conformational shape of metallocenes will be defined by the dihedral angle between the most forward substituents on the Cp ring. Conformationally "open" metallocenes will have a dihedral angle from 60-120° between carbons 3a-4 and 3a'-4' (Figure 4-15). Most



ansa-bis(indenyl)titanium or zirconium complexes such as 4, 94, and 117 exist in a roughly "open" conformation, and are typically bridged through 1-indenyl positions.^{5,52,63}

4.3.2 Conformationally closed metallocene dichlorides

The dihedral angle between the two most forward substituent on the Cp monety will also define whether a metallocene dichloride is conformationally *closed*. Metallocenes with conformationally *closed* systems will have a dihedral angle of 0-30° between carbons 3_{a} -4 and 3a'-4' (Figure 4-16). A handful of *ansa*-bis(indenyl)metallocene dichlorides have been synthesized with conformationally *closed* structures.^{59,66,107} In contrast to the *open* metallocene dichlorides, conformationally *closed* metallocene dichlorides will have the bridging unit at the 2-indenyl position.



66

-

4.4 Objective

It was reported that closed *ansa*-metallocene dichlorides such as 283 are poor catalysts for the polymerization of propene because of the alkyl groups which are eclipsing one another at the 3-position on the Cp moiety (Figure 4-16).¹⁰⁸ An open question was whether one could increase the steric hinderance through incorporation of an indenyl ring as in 284¹⁰⁹ while maintaining overall catalyst activity (Figure 4-17). The electronically different tetrahydroindenyl derivative 285 could then be synthesized and used to compare the reactivity difference between metallocene dichlorides 284 and 285. Therefore, our first objective was to determine the structure of a bis(indenyl)zirconocene dichloride 284 which had been previously synthesized in our group. The second objective was to synthesize the desired bis(tetrahydroindenyl)zirconocene dichloride 285 which would give the needed metallocene dichloride for comparison.



4.5 Structural conformation of doubly bridged bis(indenyl)zirconium dichloride 284, and the synthesis and structural conformation of bis(tetrahydroindenyl)zirconium dichlorides 285

The various routes to doubly bridged bis(indene) 284 have been extensively studied by our group.⁵⁷ The outcome of this work has provided an efficient synthesis of doubly bridged bis(indenyl) and doubly bridged bis(indenyl)zirconium dichlorides (Figure 4-17).¹⁰⁹ Treatment of 286 with selenium oxide afforded dialdehyde 287. Dialdehyde

287 was converted to diketone **288** upon addition of phenylmagnesium chloride and oxidation. Diketone **288** was then cyclized to diketone **289** utilizing PPA to promote Nazarov cyclization.¹¹⁰ The cyclic ketone was reduced with LiAlH₄ to provide diol **290**. The desired doubly bridged bis(indene) **291** was formed via acid catalyzed dehydration of diol **290** with *p*-toluene sulfonic acid. Metalation of bis(indene) **291** was done with tetrakis(dimethylamido)zirconium followed by treatment dimethylammonium chloride to yield zirconocene **284** as a bright yellow solid.



Crystals of zirconocene dichloride 284 suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown at 0° C by diffusion of hexane into a methylene chloride solution of 284. The solid state structure of 284 was solved as described in Table 4-1. ORTEP views of 284 are shown in Figure 4-18. The conformationally closed shape is clearly seen from top ORTEP view of zirconocene dichloride 284. The Zr(1)- $Cp_{cest}(1)$ and Zr(1)- $Cp_{cest}(2)$ bond lengths are 2.219 Å and 2.218 Å, respectively. The Zr(1)-Cl(1) and Zr(2)-Cl(2) bond lengths are relatively uniform as they are 2.428 Å and 2.436 Å, respectively. The zirconium-carbon bonds of the Cp range from 2.42 Å to 2.60 Å. The Cp_{cest} -Zr- Cp_{cest} is 120.2° putting this

•• •

angle at the lower end of the spectra for reported Cp_{cent} -Zr- Cp_{cent} bond angles. A summary of selected bond lengths and angles are given in Table 4-2.

| Identification code Empirical formula | rho3c CH.,Cl.Zr |
|--|---|
| FW | 444.48 |
| Temperature | 213(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 Å |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | P2/ c |
| Unit cell dimensions | a = 13.656(3) Å |
| | b = 11.374(2) Å |
| | c = 12.085(2) Å |
| | $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$ |
| | $\beta = 109.44(3)^{\circ}$ |
| | $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$ |
| V, Z | 1770.1(6) Å ³ , 4 |
| Density (calc) | 1.668 Mg/m ³ |
| Abs Coeff | 0.924 mm ⁻¹ |
| F(000) | 896 |
| Crystal size | $0.14 \ge 0.16 \ge 0.42 \text{ mm}$ |
| θ range for data collection | 1.58-25.00° |
| Limiting indices | $-16 \le h \le 15, -13 \le k \le 13, -1 \le l \le 14$ |
| No. of reflections collected | 3743 |
| No. of independent reflections | 3112 (R(int) = 0.0386) |
| Abs corr | semi-empirical from ψ scans |
| Max. and min. transmissions | 0.3231 and 0.1933 |
| Refinement method | full-matrix least squares of F^2 |
| No. of data/restraints/parameters | 3105/0/299 |
| Goodness of fit on F ^e | 1.057 |
| Final R indices $(I > 2\sigma(I))$ | R1 = 0.0294, wR2 = 0.0667 |
| R indices (all data) | R1 = 0.0446, $wR2 = 0.0774$ |
| Largest difference peak and hole | +0.373 and -0.476 e/ Å ³ |
| | |

Table 4-1. Crystal Data and Structure Refinement for 284.

Table 4-2. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg) for 284.

| $Zr(1)-Cl(1) Zr(1)-Cp_{cm}(1) Zr(1)-C(1) Zr(1)-C(2) Zr(1)-C(3) Zr(1)-C(4) $ | 2.4283(8) 2.219(3) 2.481(3) 2.470(3) 2.500(3) 2.601(3) | $Zr(2)-Cl(2) Zr(2)-Cp_{cont}(2) Zr(2)-C(12) Zr(2)-C(13) Zr(2)-C(14) Zr(2)-C(15) $ | 2.4355(9) 2.218(3) 2.493(3) 2.472(3) 2.493(3) 2.586(3) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Zr(1)-C(5) | 2.423(4) | Zr(2)-C(16) | 2.593(3) |
| Cl (1)- Z | r(1)-Cl(1') | 93.88(4 |) |
| Cp(1) | $-Zr(1)-Cp_{m}(1')$ | 120.2(1 |) |
| CI(2)-Z | r(2)-Cl(2') | 96.43(4 | |
| Cp(2) | $-Zr(1)-Cp_{cm}(2')$ | 120.4(1 |) |

••••••



70

- - ----

••••••

Since the stepwise alkylation of two equivalents of Cp 269 with two equivalents of Me_2SiCl_2 to obtain doubly bridged ligand 271 is known, one could imagine acquiring doubly bridged ligand A through a similar synthetic route (Figure 4-20).¹⁰⁴ However, the ability for silicon to undergo facile silatropic shifts allows for the formation of the desired ligand and removes the need for a selective alkylation. On the other hand, the corresponding rearrangement is not as facile with carbon changes which would require the desired ligand to be made through two selective alkylation of a disubstituted Cp with 1,2-dibromoethane or an equivalent ethylene unit which is unprecedented.



It has been demonstrated that the Nazarov cyclization gives in excellent yield Cp ligands from the corresponding bis(allylic) alcohols (Figure 4-21).^{32,33} Therefore, A could be obtained from the addition of vinyl anion to dialdehyde 269 to give the bis(allylic)alcohol. Treatment of bis(allylic)alcohol with acid could give the desired ligand. However, work done by J. Chang¹¹¹ and A. Tretyakov⁵⁷ have shown that a double

Nazarov cyclization which affords two Cp moieties leads to undesired intramolecular reactions and no sign of the desired product.

1 T

•••



A number of groups have hydrogenated the indenyl double bonds on the metallocene dichloride to acquire the *ansa*-bis(tetrahydroindenyl)metal dichlorides.^{5,65,67} We have adopted this methodology toward the preparation of doubly bridged zirconocene **285** (Figure 4-22). Treatment of **284** for 12 h at 1100 psi hydrogen in the presence of catalytic PtO₂ at room temperature afforded bis(tetahydroindenyl)zirconium dichloride **285** as a white solid in 63% yield after trituration with hexanes.



Evidence for the formation of **285** included the appearance of the methylene signals in the ¹H NMR spectrum at 3.00-3.40 and 1.40-2.10 ppm, and a shift in the cyclopentadienyl signals from 6.56 to 6.07 ppm (Figure 4-23 and 4-24). In addition, the phenyl proton signals in the ¹H NMR spectrum and the sp² carbon signals in the ¹³C NMR spectrum had disappeared.



Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by the slow evaporation of methylene chloride from a solution of 285 and methylene chloride at room temperature. Details of the crystal data and refinement are given in Table 4-3. ORTEP plots of two views of 285 are illustrated in Figure 4-22. The presence of the tetrahydroindenyl moiety is evidenced by the longer bond lengths between C6-C7 (1.47 Å) C8-C9 (1.57Å), C19-



C20 (1.57 Å) and C21-C22 (1.48 Å) in addition to the puckering of these rings. As seen from the top view of the ORTEP plot zirconocene 285 is definitely closed. A summary of selected bond lengths and angles are given in Table 4-4.

. . .

| Table 4-3. Crystal Data and Structure | Refinement | fo r 285 . |
|---------------------------------------|------------|-------------------|
|---------------------------------------|------------|-------------------|

| Empirical formula $C_{22}H_{22}Cl_{22}$ FW452.55Temperature295(2) KWavelength0.71073 ÅCrystal systemmonoclinicSpace groupCcUnit cell dimensions $a = 17.8133(13) Å$ $b = 8.2390(2) Å$ $c = 13.9927(7) Å$ $a = 90^{\circ}$ $\beta = 108.992(3)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$ $\beta = 108.992(3)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$ V, Z1941.8(2) Å^3, 4Density (calc)1.548 Mg/m³Abs Coeff0.844 mm³F(000)928Crystal size0.46 x 0.38 x 0.40 mm θ range for data collection2.42-28.00°Limiting indices $-21 \le h \le 23, -1 \le k \le 10, -18 \le l \le 17$ No. of reflections collected4517No. of independent reflections $-21 \le h \le 23, -1 \le k \le 10, -18 \le l \le 17$ No. of independent reflections $-21 \le h \le 23, -1 \le k \le 10, -18 \le l \le 17$ No. of independent reflections $-21 \le h \le 23, -1 \le k \le 10, -18 \le l \le 17$ No. of independent reflections $-21 \le h \le 23, -1 \le k \le 10, -18 \le l \le 17$ No. of data/restraints/parameters $-22 \le 2.20^{\circ}$ Max. and min. transmissions 0.5464 and 0.4014 Refinement methodfull-matrix least squares of F^{\prime} No. of data/restraints/parameters $4229/2/228$ Goodness of fit on $F^{<}$ 1.033 Final R indices ($l \ge 20(l)$)R1 = 0.0301, wR2 = 0.0768R indices (all data)R1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0816Largest difference peak and hole+0.630 and -0.553 e/ Å^3Table | Identification code | rho9c |
|--|--|---|
| FW432.33Temperature295(2) KWavelength0.71073 ÅCrystal systemmonoclinicSpace group Cc Unit cell dimensions $a = 17.8133(13) Å$ $b = 8.2390(2) Å$ $c = 13.9927(7) Å$ $a = 90^{\circ}$ $\beta = 108.992(3)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$ V, Z1941.8(2) Å^3, 4Density (calc)1.548 Mg/m²Abs Coeff0.844 mm¹F(000)928Crystal size0.46 x 0.38 x 0.40 mm θ range for data collection2.42-28.00°Limiting indices $-21 \le h \le 23, -1 \le k \le 10, -18 \le l \le 12$ No. of reflections collected4517No. of reflections collected4517No. of independent reflections 2441 (R(int) = 0.0383)Abs corrsemi-empirical from ψ scansMax. and min. transmissions0.5464 and 0.4014Refinement methodfull-matrix least squares of F^2 No. of data/restraints/parameters1.033Final R indices ($l > 2\sigma(l)$)R1 = 0.0301, wR2 = 0.0816Hardises (all data)R1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0816Largest difference peak and hole+0.630 and -0.553 e/ Å^3Table 4-4. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg) for 285. | Empirical formula | $C_{22}H_{26}Cl_2Zr$ |
| Number $J_{c}(x) = 0$ Wavelength 0.71073 Å Crystal systemmonoclinicSpace group C_c Unit cell dimensions $a = 17.8133(13) \text{ Å}$ $b = 8.2390(2) \text{ Å}$ $c = 13.9927(7) \text{ Å}$ $a = 90^{\circ}$ $\beta = 108.992(3)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$ V, Z1941.8(2) Å^3, 4Density (calc)1.548 Mg/m^2Abs Coeff0.844 mm^{-1}F(000)928Crystal size0.46 x 0.38 x 0.40 mm θ range for data collection2.42-28.00°Limiting indices $-21 \le h \le 23, -1 \le k \le 10, -18 \le l \le 17$ No. of reflections collected4517No. of reflections collected4517No. of independent reflections 0.5464 and 0.4014 Refinement methodfull-matrix least squares of F^{2} No. of data/restraints/parameters 0.0301 , wR2 = 0.0768Max. and min. transmissionsR1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0816Handles (l > 2c(l))R1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0816Largest difference peak and hole+0.630 and -0.553 e/ Å^3Table 4-4. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg) for 285. | r w Temperature | 452.55 295(2) K |
| Crystal system Space groupmonoclinic CcUnit cell dimensions $a = 17.8133(13) Å$ $b = 8.2390(2) Å$ $c = 13.9927(7) Å$ $\alpha = 90°$ $\beta = 108.992(3)°$ | Wavelength | 0.71073 Å |
| Space groupCcUnit cell dimensions $a = 17.8133(13) Å$ $b = 8.2390(2) Å$ $c = 13.9927(7) Å$ $a = 90^{\circ}$ $\beta = 108.992(3)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$ $\beta = 108.992(3)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$ $1.548 Mg/m^3$ Abs Coeff $0.844 mm^4$ F(000)928Crystal size $0.46 \times 0.38 \times 0.40 mm$ θ range for data collection $2.42-28.00^{\circ}$ Limiting indices $-21 \le h \le 23, -1 \le k \le 10, -18 \le l \le 17$ No. of reflections collected 4517 No. of independent reflections 4241 (R(int) = 0.0383)Abs corrsemi-empirical from ψ scansMax. and min. transmissions 0.5464 and 0.4014 Refinement methodfull-matrix least squares of F^{t2} No. of data/restraints/parameters $4229/2/228$ Goodness of fit on F^{c2} 1.033 Final R indices ($l > 2\sigma(l)$)R1 = 0.0301, wR2 = 0.0768R indices (all data)R1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0816Largest difference peak and hole $+0.630$ and -0.553 e/ Å 3 Table 4-4. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg) for 285. | Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Unit cell dimensions $a = 17.8133(13) \text{ Å}$ $b = 8.2390(2) \text{ Å}$ $c = 13.9927(7) \text{ Å}$ $\alpha = 90°$ $\beta = 108.992(3)°$ $\gamma = 90°$ $\gamma = 90°$ $\gamma = 90°$ $\gamma = 90°$ V, Z1941.8(2) Å ³ , 4Density (calc)1.548 Mg/m ³ 0.844 mm ⁻¹ Abs Coeff F(000)0.844 mm ⁻¹ 928Crystal size0.46 x 0.38 x 0.40 mm 2.42-28.00° θ range for data collection2.42-28.00°Limiting indices-21 ≤ h ≤ 23, -1 ≤ k ≤ 10, -18 ≤ l ≤ 17No. of reflections collected Max. and min. transmissions4241 (R(int) = 0.0383) semi-empirical from ψ scansMax. and min. transmissions Ro. of data/restraints/parameters Goodness of fit on F ⁴ 1.033Final R indices (l > 2\sigma(l)) R indices (all data)R1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0768 R1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0816 +0.630 and -0.553 e/ Å ³ Table 4-4. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg) for 285. | Space group | Сс |
| $b = 8.2390(2) \text{ Å}$ $c = 13.9927(7) \text{ Å}$ $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$ $\beta = 108.992(3)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$ V, Z $I941.8(2) \text{ Å}^{3}, 4$ Density (calc) $I.548 \text{ Mg/m}^{3}$ Abs Coeff 0.844 mm^{-1} $F(000)$ 928 Crystal size $0.46 \text{ x } 0.38 \text{ x } 0.40 \text{ mm}$ $\theta \text{ range for data collection}$ $2.42-28.00^{\circ}$ Limiting indices $-21 \le h \le 23, -1 \le k \le 10, -18 \le l \le 17$ No. of reflections collected 4517 No. of reflections collected 4517 No. of independent reflections $4241 \text{ (R(int) = 0.0383)}$ Abs corr $semi-empirical from \ \psi \text{ scans}$ $0.5464 \text{ and } 0.4014$ Refinement method $full-matrix least squares of F^{2}$ No. of data/restraints/parameters $4229/2/228$ Goodness of fit on F ² 1.033 Final R indices ($l > 2\sigma(l)$) R 1 = 0.0301, wR2 = 0.0768 R indices (all data) $R1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0816$ $+0.630 \text{ and } -0.553 \text{ e/ Å}^{3}$ $Table 4-4. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg) \text{ for } 285.$ | Unit cell dimensions | a = 17.8133(13) Å |
| $c = 13.9927(7) Å$ $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$ $\beta = 108.992(3)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$ V, Z $l941.8(2) Å^{3}, 4$ Density (calc) $l.548 Mg/m^{3}$ Abs Coeff $0.844 mm^{1}$ F(000) 928 Crystal size $0.46 \times 0.38 \times 0.40 mm$ $\theta \text{ range for data collection}$ $2.42-28.00^{\circ}$ Limiting indices $-21 \le h \le 23, -1 \le k \le 10, -18 \le l \le 12$ No. of reflections collected 4517 No. of independent reflections $4241 \text{ (R(int) = 0.0383)}$ Abs corr $semi-empirical from \ \psi \text{ scans}$ Max. and min. transmissions $0.5464 \text{ and } 0.4014$ Refinement method $full-matrix \text{ least squares of } F^{4}$ No. of data/restraints/parameters $4229/2/228$ Goodness of fit on F^{4} No. of data/restraints/parameters $4229/2/228$ Goodness of fit on F^{4} I.033 Final <i>R</i> indices ($l > 2\sigma(l)$) $R 1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0816$ Largest difference peak and hole $+0.630 \text{ and } -0.553 \ e/ Å^{3}$ Table 4-4. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg) for 285. | | b = 8.2390(2) Å |
| $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$ $\beta = 108.992(3)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$ V, Z 1941.8(2) Å ³ , 4 Density (calc) 1.548 Mg/m ³ Abs Coeff 0.844 mm ⁻¹ F(000) 928 Crystal size 0.46 x 0.38 x 0.40 mm 0 range for data collection 2.42-28.00° Limiting indices -21 ≤ h ≤ 23, -1 ≤ k ≤ 10, -18 ≤ l ≤ 17 No. of reflections collected 4517 No. of independent reflections 4241 (R(int) = 0.0383) Abs corr Max. and min. transmissions Final <i>R</i> indices (l > 2\sigma(l)) <i>R</i> indices (all data) Largest difference peak and hole Table 4-4. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg) for 285. | | c = 13.9927(7) Å |
| $ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | | $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$ |
| $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$ V, Z1941.8(2) Å ³ , 4Density (calc)1.548 Mg/m ³ Abs Coeff0.844 mm ⁻¹ F(000)928Crystal size0.46 x 0.38 x 0.40 mm θ range for data collection2.42-28.00°Limiting indices-21 ≤ h ≤ 23, -1 ≤ k ≤ 10, -18 ≤ l ≤ 17No. of reflections collected4517No. of independent reflections4241 (R(int) = 0.0383)Abs corrsemi-empirical from ψ scansMax. and min. transmissions0.5464 and 0.4014Refinement methodfull-matrix least squares of F^2 No. of data/restraints/parameters4229/2/228Goodness of fit on F^c 1.033Final R indices ($l > 2\sigma(l)$)R1 = 0.0301, wR2 = 0.0768R indices (all data)R1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0816Largest difference peak and hole+0.630 and -0.553 e/ Å ³ | | $\beta = 108.992(3)^{\circ}$ |
| V, Z1941.8(2) $Å^3$, 4Density (calc)1.548 Mg/m³Abs Coeff0.844 mm³F(000)928Crystal size0.46 x 0.38 x 0.40 mm θ range for data collection2.42-28.00°Limiting indices-21 $\leq h \leq 23$, $-1 \leq k \leq 10$, $-18 \leq l \leq 13$ No. of reflections collected4517No. of independent reflections4241 (R(int) = 0.0383)Abs corrsemi-empirical from ψ scansMax. and min. transmissions0.5464 and 0.4014Refinement methodfull-matrix least squares of F^2 No. of data/restraints/parameters4229/2/228Goodness of fit on F^4 1.033Final R indices ($l > 2\sigma(l)$)R1 = 0.0301, wR2 = 0.0768R indices (all data)R1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0816Largest difference peak and hole+0.630 and -0.553 e/ ųTable 4-4. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg) for 285. | | $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$ |
| Density (calc) 1.548 Mg/m^3 Abs Coeff 0.844 mm^{-1} F(000)928Crystal size $0.46 \times 0.38 \times 0.40 \text{ mm}$ θ range for data collection $2.42-28.00^{\circ}$ Limiting indices $-21 \le h \le 23, -1 \le k \le 10, -18 \le l \le 12$ No. of reflections collected 4517 No. of independent reflections $4241 (R(int) = 0.0383)$ Abs corrsemi-empirical from ψ scansMax. and min. transmissions $0.5464 \text{ and } 0.4014$ Refinement methodfull-matrix least squares of F^2 No. of data/restraints/parameters $4229/2/228$ Goodness of fit on F^2 1.033 Final R indices ($I > 2\sigma(I)$)R1 = 0.0301 , wR2 = 0.0768 R indices (all data)R1 = 0.0316 , wR2 = 0.0816 Largest difference peak and hole $+0.630$ and -0.553 er Å 3 Table 4-4. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg) for 285. | V, Z | 1941.8(2) Å ³ , 4 |
| Abs Coeff 0.844 mm^{-1} F(000)928Crystal size $0.46 \ge 0.38 \ge 0.40 \text{ mm}$ θ range for data collection $2.42-28.00^{\circ}$ Limiting indices $-21 \le h \le 23, -1 \le k \le 10, -18 \le l \le 12$ No. of reflections collected 4517 No. of independent reflections $4241 (R(int) = 0.0383)$ Abs corrsemi-empirical from ψ scansMax. and min. transmissions 0.5464 and 0.4014 Refinement methodfull-matrix least squares of F^2 No. of data/restraints/parameters $4229/2/228$ Goodness of fit on F^2 1.033 Final R indices ($l > 2\sigma(l)$)R1 = 0.0301, wR2 = 0.0768R indices (all data)R1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0816Largest difference peak and hole $+0.630$ and $-0.553 e/ \lambda^3$ | Density (calc) | 1.548 Mg/m ³ |
| F(000)928Crystal size $0.46 \ge 0.38 \ge 0.40 \mod$ θ range for data collection $2.42-28.00^{\circ}$ Limiting indices $-21 \le h \le 23, -1 \le k \le 10, -18 \le l \le 1^{\circ}$ No. of reflections collected 4517 No. of independent reflections $4241 \ (R(int) = 0.0383)$ Abs corrsemi-empirical from ψ scansMax. and min. transmissions $0.5464 \ and 0.4014$ Refinement methodfull-matrix least squares of F^2 No. of data/restraints/parameters $4229/2/228$ Goodness of fit on F^2 1.033 Final R indices ($l > 2\sigma(l)$) $R1 = 0.0301, \ wR2 = 0.0768$ R indices (all data) $R1 = 0.0316, \ wR2 = 0.0816$ Largest difference peak and hole $+0.630 \ and -0.553 \ e/ \ Å^3$ | Abs Coeff | 0.844 mm ⁻¹ |
| Crystal size $0.46 \times 0.58 \times 0.46$ min θ range for data collection $2.42-28.00^{\circ}$ Limiting indices $-21 \le h \le 23, -1 \le k \le 10, -18 \le l \le 17$ No. of reflections collected 4517 No. of independent reflections 4241 (R(int) = 0.0383)Abs corrsemi-empirical from ψ scansMax. and min. transmissions 0.5464 and 0.4014 Refinement methodfull-matrix least squares of F^2 No. of data/restraints/parameters $4229/2/228$ Goodness of fit on F^2 1.033 Final R indices ($l > 2\sigma(l)$)R1 = 0.0301, wR2 = 0.0768R indices (all data)R1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0816Largest difference peak and hole+0.630 and -0.553 e/ Å^3Table 4-4. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg) for 285. | F(000) | 928 0.46 = 0.39 = 0.40 mm |
| θ range for data collection $2.42-28.00^{\circ}$ Limiting indices $-21 \le h \le 23, -1 \le k \le 10, -18 \le l \le 1^{\circ}$ No. of reflections collected 4517 No. of independent reflections 4241 (R(int) = 0.0383)Abs corrsemi-empirical from ψ scansMax. and min. transmissions 0.5464 and 0.4014 Refinement methodfull-matrix least squares of F^2 No. of data/restraints/parameters $4229/2/228$ Goodness of fit on F^{er} 1.033 Final R indices ($I > 2\sigma(I)$)R1 = 0.0301, wR2 = 0.0768R indices (all data)R1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0816Largest difference peak and hole+0.630 and -0.553 e/ Å^3Table 4-4. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg) for 285. | | |
| Limiting indices $-21 \le h \le 23, -1 \le k \le 10, -18 \le l \le 11$ No. of reflections collected4517No. of independent reflections4241 (R(int) = 0.0383)Abs corrsemi-empirical from ψ scansMax. and min. transmissions0.5464 and 0.4014Refinement methodfull-matrix least squares of F^2 No. of data/restraints/parameters4229/2/228Goodness of fit on F^2 1.033Final R indices ($l > 2\sigma(l)$)R1 = 0.0301, wR2 = 0.0768R indices (all data)R1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0816Largest difference peak and hole+0.630 and -0.553 e/ Å ³ Table 4-4. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg) for 285. | e range for data collection | 2.42-28.00 |
| No. of reflections collected 4517 No. of independent reflections 4241 (R(int) = 0.0383)Abs corrsemi-empirical from ψ scansMax. and min. transmissions 0.5464 and 0.4014 Refinement methodfull-matrix least squares of F^2 No. of data/restraints/parameters $4229/2/228$ Goodness of fit on F^2 1.033 Final R indices ($I > 2\sigma(I)$)R1 = 0.0301, wR2 = 0.0768R indices (all data)R1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0816Largest difference peak and hole+0.630 and -0.553 e/ Å 3 Table 4-4. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg) for 285. | Limiting indices | $-21 \le h \le 23, -1 \le k \le 10, -18 \le l \le 17$ |
| No. of independent reflections 4241 (R(int) = 0.0385)Abs corrsemi-empirical from ψ scansMax. and min. transmissions0.5464 and 0.4014Refinement methodfull-matrix least squares of F^2 No. of data/restraints/parameters $4229/2/228$ Goodness of fit on F^2 1.033Final R indices ($l > 2\sigma(l)$)R1 = 0.0301, wR2 = 0.0768R indices (all data)R1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0816Largest difference peak and hole+0.630 and -0.553 e/ Å 3 Table 4-4. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg) for 285. | No. of reflections collected | 45[?] |
| Abs contsemi-empirical from ψ scansMax. and min. transmissions0.5464 and 0.4014Refinement methodfull-matrix least squares of F^2 No. of data/restraints/parameters4229/2/228Goodness of fit on F^2 1.033Final R indices ($I > 2\sigma(I)$)R1 = 0.0301, wR2 = 0.0768R indices (all data)R1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0816Largest difference peak and hole+0.630 and -0.553 e/ Å ³ Table 4-4. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg) for 285. | No. of independent reflections | 4241 (R(Int) = 0.0383) |
| Max. and min. transmissions0.5464 and 0.4014Refinement methodfull-matrix least squares of F^2 No. of data/restraints/parameters4229/2/228Goodness of fit on F^2 1.033Final R indices ($l > 2\sigma(l)$)R1 = 0.0301, wR2 = 0.0768R indices (all data)R1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0816Largest difference peak and hole+0.630 and -0.553 e/ Å ³ Table 4-4. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg) for 285. | | semi-empirical from ψ scans |
| Nement methodfull-matrix reast squares of r No. of data/restraints/parameters $4229/2/228$ Goodness of fit on F^2 1.033 Final R indices $(l > 2\sigma(l))$ $R1 = 0.0301$, wR2 = 0.0768R indices (all data) $R1 = 0.0316$, wR2 = 0.0816Largest difference peak and hole+0.630 and -0.553 e/ Å ³ Table 4-4. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg) for 285. | Max. and min. transmissions Refinement method | U.3404 and U.4014 full-matrix least squares of F^2 |
| River classifies of fit on F' 1.033Goodness of fit on F' 1.033Final R indices $(l > 2\sigma(l))$ R1 = 0.0301, wR2 = 0.0768R indices (all data)R1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0816Largest difference peak and hole+0.630 and -0.553 e/ Å ³ Table 4-4. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg) for 285. | No. of data/restraints/parameters | 4229/2/228 |
| Final R indices $(l > 2\sigma(l))$ R1 = 0.0301, wR2 = 0.0768 R indices (all data) R1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0816 Largest difference peak and hole +0.630 and -0.553 e/ Å ³ Table 4-4. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg) for 285. | Goodness of fit on F^2 | 1.033 |
| R indices (all data) $R1 = 0.0316$, $wR2 = 0.0816$ Largest difference peak and hole+0.630 and -0.553 e/Å ³ Table 4-4. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg) for 285. | Final R indices $(I > 2\sigma(I))$ | R1 = 0.0301, wR2 = 0.0768 |
| Largest difference peak and hole +0.630 and -0.553 e/ Å ³ Table 4-4. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg) for 285. | R indices (all data) | R1 = 0.0316, $wR2 = 0.0816$ |
| Table 4-4. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg) for 285. | Largest difference peak and hole | +0.630 and -0.553 e/ Å ³ |
| | Table 4-4. Selected bond le | engths (Å) and angles (deg) for 285. |

| Zr(1)-Cl(1) | 2.439(2) | Zr(1)-Cl(2) | 2.442(2) |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|
| Zr(1)-Cp _{cont} (1) | 2.189(3) | Zr(1)-Cp _{cent} (2) | 2.2193(3) |
| C(6)-C(7) | 1.471(14) | C(8)-C(9) | 1.570(11) |
| C(19)-C(20) | 1.566(13) | C(21)-C(22) | 1.476(14) |
| Cl(1)-Z | Zr(1)-Cl(2) | 96.30(2 | |
| Cp _{cont} (1 |)-Zr(1)-Cp _{cent} (2) | 121.2(2 | |



76

1.0.000.000

4.6 Conclusion

ţ

-

The synthesis of *ansa*-bis(indenyl)zirconocium dichloride **285** was accomplished and the structures of *ansa*-bis(indenyl)zirconcium dichlorides **284** and **285** were determined by X-ray diffraction. Zirconocene dichlorides **284** and **285** allows for the direct comparison of chiral rigid conformationally closed bis(indenyl)- and bis(tetrahydroindenyl)metal complexes. Future studies on the reactivity of these complexes as polymerization catalysts as well as catalysts in applications, such as those discussed in chapter 2, should shed light on the reactivity differences between geometrally *closed* bis(indenyl)- and bis(tetrahydroindenyl)zirconium dichlorides.

Chapter 5

THE SYNTHESIS OF GEOMETRICALLY VERY OPEN METALLOCENE DICHLORIDES AND THEIR CP_{CENT}-METAL-CP_{CENT} BOND ANGLES

5.1 Introduction

•••••

Chapters 3 and 4 provided background on current methodologies for varying the steric hinderance around the metal center, conformational mobility, and geometric shape of Group 4 metallocene dichlorides. These modifications can have an impact on the metallocene dichloride structure other than the changes taking place on the environment around the metal center. Often subtle changes to the ligand can have profound effects on the metal center which in turn can alter the chemical reactivity of the catalyst. This chapter will provide information on the effects of various substituents and the bridging unit on the metal center, namely the Cp_{cent} -M- Cp_{cent} bond angle ($Cp_{cent} = cyclopentadienyl centroid, M = metal)$ which vary from 115.9 to 138° for zirconocene dichlorides and 120.9 to 137.5° for titanocene dichlorides. In addition, the synthesis of novel Group 4 metallocene dichlorides with very open geometric shapes and their Cp_{cent} -M- Cp_{cent} bond angles will be discussed.

5.2 Titanocene and zirconocene dichlorides with two Cp or two monosubstituted Cp ligands

Nonbridged Cp ligands with no or one substituent provide a few interesting trends or lack of a trend (Table 5-1). The first pattern is seen upon the comparison of the corresponding titanocene and zirconocene with the same Cp ligands. In all cases the Cp_{cent} -Ti- Cp_{cent} bond angle is significantly greater than the Cp_{cent} -Zr- Cp_{cent} bond angle. This is likely due to the shorter Cp-Ti bond length which brings the two Cp units closer together,

| Ср | M | Cp _{cent} -M-Cp _{cent} (deg) | Ref. # |
|--------------------|-------------------|---|------------------|
| $\hat{\mathbf{O}}$ | 286 M = Ti | 130.9 | 112 |
| | 125 M = Zr | 128.3 | 113 |
| \sim | 287 M = Ti | 130.2 | 114 |
| O | 288 M = Zr | 128.8 | 115 |
| • 1 | 289 M = Ti | 132.9 | 116 |
| | 290 M = Zr | 129.7 | 117 |
| • 1- | 291 M = Ti | 131.5 | 118 |
| | 292 M = Zr | 128.6 | 118 |
| | 293 M = Ti | 131.0 | 119 ^a |
| | 294 M = Zr | 129.1 | 1 20ª |
| 0 ¹ | 295 M = Ti | 133.6 | 121ª |

Table 5-1. Cp....-M-Cp.... bond angle of monosubstituted Cp's.

thus, causing an increase in the steric interactions between the Cp ligands. As a result the Cp ligands spread apart and lead to an increase in the Cp_{ceat} -Ti- Cp_{ceat} bond angle.

This would lead one to believe that the sterically larger groups would cause a further increase in these bond angles (Table 5-1). However, the Cp_{cent} -Ti- Cp_{cent} and Cp_{cent} -Zr- Cp_{cent} bond angles for the *tert*-butyl-substituted Cp's are 131.5° and 128.6°, respectively, while the corresponding unsubstituted Cp complexes are nearly identical (Ti: 132.2°, Zr: 128.3°). This is due to the ability of the Cp to rotate about the metal bond so that the substituents are orientated to minimize the steric interactions between the larger alkyl groups without the need for displacement of the ligands. Electronic effects could be a factor in the Cp_{cent} -M- Cp_{cent} -Ti- Cp_{cent} bond angle. As the electron donating capability increases($R_2 > alkyl$ and $R_3Si > alkyl$) the Cp_{cent} -Ti- Cp_{cent} bond angle changes very little when comparing entry **291** (131.5°) with **293** (131.0°), and **289** (132.9°) with **295** (133.6°). The data

••••

suggests that the electron donating character has little effect on the Cp_{cent} -Ti- Cp_{cent} bond angle.

5.3 Titanocene and zirconocene dichlorides with two 1,3-disubstituted or two polysubstituted Cp ligands

The steric size of the alkyl groups have effects on the Cp_{cent} -M- Cp_{cent} bond angle since the Cp ligands could rotate to position the larger alkyl groups away from one another. This would be less likely to occur as the number of substituents on the Cp ligand increases. As seen in Table 5-2, metallocene dichlorides with 1,3-disubstituted Cp ligands have a Cp_{cent} -Ti- Cp_{cent} bond angle between 130-131° and a Cp_{cent} -Zr- Cp_{cent} bond angle between 129-131° which are again not significantly different from those of the unsubstituted or monosubstituted bis(Cp)metal complexes (Ti: 131-132°, Zr: 128-131°). This suggests the 1,3-substitutents are still being positioned so that the steric interactions between the alkyl groups is minimized.

| Ср | <u>M</u> | Cp _{cent} -M-Cp _{cent} (deg) | Ref. # |
|--------------|-------------------|---|--------------|
| Ph-O-Ph | 296 M = Ti | 131.0 | 122 ª |
| | 297 M = Ti | 132.2 | 123 |
| 204 | 298 M = Zr | 129.7 ⁶ | 124 |
| THIS OF THIS | 299 M = Zr | 130.8 | 125 |

However, as the number of substituents increase to three and four the Cp_{cent} -Zr-Cp_{cent} bond angle begins to increase to 134.1°, 135.4°, and 133.1° for entries 300, 301, and 302, respectively (Table 5-3). An even greater increase is seen with the

••••

pentasubstituted Cp's whose Cp_{cent} -M- Cp_{cent} bond angles approach 137-138° for both the titanocene and zirconocene dichlorides.

| | • | | | |
|---|--|-------------------|---|---------------|
| | Ср | М | Cp _{cent} -M-Cp _{cent} (deg) | Ref. # |
| | -A- | 300 M = Zr | 134.1ª | 126 |
| | | 301 M = Zr | 135.4 | 127 |
| | Ŕ | 302 M = Zr | 133.1 | 128 |
| | 文 | 303 M = Ti | 137.5 | 129 |
| | ¢ | 304 M = Zr | 136.8 | 130 |
| | \$ | 305 M = Zr | 137.4 | 131 |
| a | = Cp _{cent} -M-Cp _{ce} | nt bond angles p | provided by Cambridge | e data search |

Table 5-3. Highly substituted Cp's and the effect on the Cp_{cest}-M-Cp_{cest} bond angles.

5.4 Titanocene and zirconocene dichlorides with two 1,2-disubstituted or two fused Cp ligands

Cp ligands with 1,2-disubstituted alkyls include the fused Cp ligands which are of special interest since many fused Cp's are enantiomerically pure (Table 5-4). Although the substituents fused to the Cp moiety are often quite bulky such as the binaphthalene fused Cp 66, the Cp_{cent} -M-Cp_{cent} bond angles are quite small as they range from 131-132° for

••• •••

| Table 5-4. | 1,2-Alkyl-substituted Cp's and their effect on Cp _{cent} -M-Cp _{cent} bond angles. | | | | | |
|------------|--|---|-------------------|---|----------|--|
| | | Ср | M | Cp _{cent} -M-Cp _{cent} (deg) | Ref. # | |
| | | ¢ | 306 M = Ti | 132.7 | 132 | |
| | | La | 307 M = Zr | 128.4 ^a | 133 | |
| | Ŷ | | 23 M = T i | 131.0 | 17 | |
| | f | Eo | 61 M = T i | 132.2ª | 35Ъ | |
| | a a | | 66 M = Ti | 132.2ª | 36b | |
| | <i>,</i> | M | 308 M = Ti | 131.0 | 31a | |
| | | 10 | 309 M = Zr | 129.0 | 31a | |
| | À | | 312 M = Zr | 129.0 | 28 | |
| | a = C | p _{ount} -M-Cp _{ount} b | cond angles prov | ided by Cambridge dat | a search | |

titanocene dichlorides and 128-129° for zirconocene dichlorides. The angles are quite similar to the angles found for the mono- and 1,3-disubstituted Cp metal complexes.

5.5 ansa-Bis(Cp)titanium and -zirconium dichlorides

Carbon and silicon bridging units were originally introduces to prevent the rotation of the Cp rings around the metal center. In addition to this, the bridging unit can have significant effects on the Cp_{cent}-M-Cp_{cent} bond angle. Incorporation of two carbon bridging unit leads to Cp_{cent}-M-Cp_{cent} bond angles between 128-129° even in the case where the sp³ hybridized carbons were replaced with two sp² hybridized carbons, as seen in entries 71 and 312. Single carbon bridging units have greatly reduced Cp_{cent}-M-Cp_{cent} bond angles for both the titanocene dichloride 74 (120.9°) and zirconocene dichloride 313 (115.9°), as would be expected from the smaller bridging unit. On the other hand, silicon bridged bis(Cp)complex 75 (128.9°) has a Cp_{cent}-Ti-Cp_{cent} bond angle closer to that of the two carbon bridged complex 71. This is most likely due to the longer bond length between the silicon and carbon of the Cp ring, and its ability to accommodate the higher Cp_{cent}-M-Cp_{cent} bond angles when compared to the single carbon bridged complexes. Suprisingly, metallocene dichlorides with two ethylene or two dimethylsilane bridges have greatly reduced bond angles which range from 124-126° for the corresponding titnanocene dichlorides and 120-121° for the zirconocene dichlorides. Like the highly substituted nonbridged Cp's there appears to be an increase in the Cp_{cent}-M-Cp_{cent} bond angles when highly substituted Cp's are bridged as seen with entries **317** (131.0°) and **318** (127.0°).

••••••

| Ср | M | Cp _{cent} -M-Cp _{cent} (deg) | Ref. # |
|------------------|--------------------|---|--------|
| | 71 M = Ti | 128.3 | 134 |
| | 312 M = T i | 128.1 | 135 |
| $\hat{a}\hat{a}$ | 74 M = Ti | 120.9 | 43b |
| X | 313 M = Zr | 115.9 | 43b |
| $\hat{O}\hat{O}$ | 75 M = Ti | 128.9 | 136 |
| Si | 314 M = Zr | 125.4 | 136 |
| | 315 M = Ti | 126.0 | 137 |
| ,sí N | 316 M = Zr | 120.6 | 137 |
| | 76 M = Ti | 124.5 | 45 |
| \sim | 277 M = Zr | 120.0 | 45 |
| Þ | 317 M = Ti | 131.0 | 138 |
| Y | 318 M = Zr | 127.0 | 138 |

5.5 Nonbridged bis(indenyl)titanium and -zirconium dichlorides

Since a monosubstituted indenyl ligand is essentially a trisubstituted Cp ligands, the Cp_{ceas} -M-Cp_{ceas} bond angles should be similar to that of the 1,2,4-trisubstituted Cp Ligand (Table 5-6). This is not the case with the bis(indenyl)zirconium complexes (entries 319-323) which have slightly smaller Cp_{ceas} -Zr-Cp_{ceas} bond angles. This smaller Cp_{ceas} -Zr-Cp_{ceas} bond angle is likely due to the sterically less bulky sp² carbons at the 4- and 7-

- · · ·

indenyl positions which would not have the same steric interactions as sp^3 carbons. Like the Cp ligands, highly substituted indenyl ligands such as bis(pentamethylindenyl)zirconocene dichloride 324 have Cp_{cent} -Zr- Cp_{cent} bond angles between 136-138°.

| | | | Cp _{cent} M-Cp _o | ent |
|---------------------|-------------|---|--------------------------------------|--------|
| | Indenyl | <u>M</u> | (deg) | Ref. # |
| | $\not \sim$ | 319 M = Zr | 131.2 ^a | 139 |
| | \sim | 320 M = Zr | 130.1ª | 140 |
| | S→ CF | ^F 3 321 M = Zr ^F 3 | 130.9 ^a | 140 |
| | ₽-{ Q-{ | 322 M = Zr | 135.4 | 141 |
| $\langle 0 \rangle$ | | 323 M = Zr (C ₂ -symmetric | 1 28.8) | 25 |
| X | Þ | 324 M = Zr | 138.3ª | 142 |

5.7 ansa-Bis(indenyl)- and bis(tetrahydroindenyl)titanium and zirconium dichlorides

-

As previously seen with the *ansa*-bis(Cp)metal dichlorides, the bridging unit has a significant influence Cp_{cest} -M-Cp_{cest} bond angle. The Cp_{cest} -M-Cp_{cest} bond angles for ethylene bridged bis(indenyl) zirconocene dichlorides are typically around 125° when

bridged in the 1-indenyl position (Table 5-7). From the limited data it appears there is little change in the Cp_{cest} -Zr- Cp_{cest} bond angle when comparing the bis(indenyl)zirconium 22 with the more sterically encumbered bis(tetrahydroindenyl)zirconium dichloride 8. However, there is a slight increase in the Cp_{cest} -Zr- Cp_{cest} bond angle with ethylene bridged bis(2,4,7-trimethylindenyl)zirconium 325 (127.6° versus 125.3° for 22). In addition, bridging at the 1- or 2-indenyl position on the bis(tetrahydroindenyl) has little or no effect on the Cp_{cest} -Ti- Cp_{cest} bond angle as seen with entries 94 and 326 which vary by a mere 1°. The silane bridged zirconocene complexes of the corresponding bis(indenyl) and bis(tetrahydroindenyl)metal complexes have on average a 1° increase in the Cp_{cest} -Zr- Cp_{cest} bond angle when compared to the ethylene bridged counter parts (Table 5-8).

| Table 5-7. | 'able 5-7. Cp _{cont} -M-Cp _{cont} bond angles for ethylene bridged bis(indenyl)- and bis(tetrahydroindenyl)metal dichlorides. | | | | | |
|------------|--|-------------------------------|---|--------|--|--|
| | Ср | М | Cp _{cent} -M-Cp _{cent} (deg) | Ref. # | | |
| | 88 | 22 M = Zr ^a | 125.3 | 99 | | |
| | ŶŶ | 94 M = Ti ^a | 128.5 | 143 | | |
| | $\circ \circ$ | 8 M = Zr^{a} | 125.2 | 143 | | |
| | | 325 M = Zr ^a | 127.6 | 61 | | |
| | J B | 326 M = T i | 129.5 | 59Ъ | | |
| | a) / | Angles given for | r the <i>dl</i> -isomers | | | |

••••••

| C - | - | Cpcent-M-Cpcent | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------|
| | 1 | (ack) | Ref. # |
| 8 8 32 | 7 M = Zr ^a | 127.8 | 100 |
| 8 ^{`\$} 8 32 | 8 M = Zr ^a | 126.3 | 61 |
| | 5 M = Zr ^a | 128.1 | 100 |
| | M = Zrª | 129.5 | 61 |

Bridging units other than the ethano and silane moieties have been used (Table 5-9). In most instances the Cp_{cest}-Ti-Cp_{cest} bond angle is increased from the ethylene bridged bis(indenyl)- and bis(tetrahydroindenyl)metal complexes as seen with entries 117, 266, and 118 with the exception of phenyl bridged titanocene 254 whose Cp_{cent}-Ti-Cp_{cent} bond angle is slightly reduced. However, a significant reduction in the Cp_{cest} -Zr- Cp_{cest} bond angle (~120-121°) is observed with the doubly bridged systems 284 and 285 which are similar to the bond angles found for the doubly bridged bis(Cp)metal complexes seen in an early section.

| Cp | Cp _{cent} -M-Cp _{cent} (deg) | Ref. # | | |
|--|---|-------------|--|--|
| | 129.4 | 58 | | |
| | 130.4 ^a | 63 a | | |
| | 131.2ª | 64 | | |
| | 133.7 | 67 | | |
| CI - Zr - CI 284 | 120.4 | 107 | | |
| CI = ZZ = CI 285 | 121.2 | Chapter 4 | | |
| a = Cp _{onex} -M-Cp _{onex} bond angles provided by Cambridge data search | | | | |

Table 5-9. Cp_{cent}-M-Cp_{cent} bond angles for ansa-metallocene dichlorides with other bridging units.

5.8 Project objectives

•••••

A number of metallocene dichlorides with geometrically closed shapes have been synthesized with conformationally constrained systems and variable Cp_{cent} -M- Cp_{cent} bond

angles. Geometrically open systems exist with semi-rigid to rigid conformational constraints and Cp_{cent} -M- Cp_{cent} bond angles from the middle to upper range of Cp_{cent} -M- Cp_{cent} bond angles (Zr: 125-129.5° and Ti: 128.2-131.2°).



Until now, there has been no convenient synthetic methodology for the facile preparation of "very open" systems (defined as ansametallocenes with a dihedral angle near 180° between the two most forward substituents on the Cp moiety) having structural or conformational variations (Figure 5-1). To accomplish this, bridging at the 1- and 2-indenyl positions needed

to be abandoned, and the bridging position needed to be moved to the 6 membered ring on the indenyl moiety. This simple change would give access to the desired "very open" system. The generic targets for this new system are the ethano bridged ligand A and methano bridged ligand B shown in Figure 5-2.



5.9 Design, synthesis and properties of C7,C7' ethano bridge metallocene dichlorides

A retrosynthetic analysis of target compound A can be taken back to the corresponding bis(ketone) C (Figure 5-3). The removal of the three carbons which would make-up the Cp unit leaves an ethylene bridged bis(arene) D.


A number of potential routes exist for the synthesis of compounds such as bis(arene) D. One such route involves the addition of Wittig reagent 329 to an aldehyde to give a stilbene derivative (Figure 5-4). Subsequent hydrogenation of the double bond would have provided the necessary bis(arene) D. However, the desire to directly obtain derivatives of the bis(arene) D in order to reduce the cost and synthetic steps led to the use of an alternate route.



A convenient large scale synthesis of derivatives of bis(arene) D was found in literature. As shown in Figure 5-5, derivatives of this arene could be synthesized from inexpensive commercially available *p*-xylene 191 or *p*-cymene 330. The selection of these starting materials was based upon the facile ability to introduce the ethano bridge using a coupling method described for *p*-xylene by Bates and Ogle¹⁴⁴, and the needed *para*-substituents to the ethano bridge which would later direct the introduction of the carbons for the Cp moiety during a Friedel-Crafts acylation in a future step. The selective coupling of these *para*-substituted arenes was carried out by deprotonation of the less substituted

benzylic position with potassium *tert*-butoxide and *n*-butyllithium followed by the isolation of the potassium salt. The potassium salt was immediately oxidatively coupled using iodine in THF to give the 1,2-diaryl ethanes 331^{144} and 332 in 65-70% yield.



Of the possible routes⁶¹ to add the three carbons, which would later become the Cp moiety, the route used by Picccolrovazzi¹³ was chosen. The cyclopentanone moieties were introduced by Friedel-Crafts acylation followed by Nazarov cyclization in sulfuric acid to yield an isomeric mixture of the bis(indanones) 333 and 334 having the carbonyl group *ortho* to the alkyl group or *ortho* to the ethano bridge. Evidence for the formation of the desired ketone included the appearance two sets of proton signals at 3.00-3.20 and 2.50-2.70 ppm in the ¹H NMR spectrum of 334 (Figure 5-6a). A more complex picture was observed in the ¹H NMR spectrum of 333, but the expected pattern for the protons alpha and beta to the carbonyl group appeared between 2.50-3.4 ppm (Figure 5-6b).

••••



The carbonyls were reduced with sodium borohydride to yield the alcohols 335 and 336 in quantitative yields as complex mixtures of several isomers (Figure 5-7). Dehydration¹³ with *p*-toluenesulfonic acid gave the corresponding bis(indenes) 337 and 338 as a simplified mixture of double bond isomers in approximately 50% yield based on bis(indanones) 333 and 334. Evidence for the formation of 337 and 338 included the appearance of the H-1 indenyl signals at 6.45 and 6.60 ppm, respectively, and the H-2 indenyl signals appearing between 6.90-7.20 ppm which is typical for dialkyl substituted indenyls such as 4,7-dimethylindene^{13.97} (Figure 5-8).



93

. . .



Metalation of ligands 337 and 338 with zirconium was carried out under a variety of conditions (Figure 5-9). Following a procedure outlined by Jordan¹⁴⁵ using tetrakis(dimethylamido)zirconium in chlorobenzene or toluene followed by workup with dimethylammonium chloride, and purification gave the zirconium complexes dl-339 and dl-340.

The metallocene complexes were assigned the structures of dl-339 and dl-340 based upon the unusually large chemical shift difference between indenyl H-1 (4.45 and 4.42 ppm) and H-3 (6.69 and 6.60 ppm) signals in the ¹H NMR spectrum, respectively (Figure 5-11). According to molecular models of the two complexes, the indenyls in the



dl-complexes are positioned so that protons at C1 and C1' are held over the phenyl ring of the opposite indene (Figure 5-10). With the position of the protons over the shielding region of the phenyl rings, the high-field shift of 4.42 and 4.45 ppm could be readily explained. On the other hand, molecular models of the *meso*-metal complexes led us to expect typical indenyl shifts for all three protons. This was later found upon metalation of compound **338** using *n*-butyllithium and zirconium tetrachloride which gave a 1:1 mixture of *meso*-**340** and *dl*-**340**. As was expected the *meso*-**340** could be identified by an extra set of indenyl signals appearing at 6.65, 6.60 and 6.53 ppm in the ¹H NMR spectrum of the zirconocene dichlorides (Figure 5-11c).

•••••



96

.

Fortunately, crystals of zirconium dichloride complex dl-339 suitable for X-ray diffraction could be grown by diffusion of hexanes into a solution of dl-339 in toluene at 0 °C. The solid state structure of dl-339 could be solved as described in table 5-10. The front and top ORTEP view of dl-339 are shown in Figure 5-12. The angle found between Cp_{cent} -Zr- Cp_{cent} is 130.4°. The Cp_{cent} -Zr distances are 2.221 Å and 2.217 Å. The dihedral angle between the C5-C6 bond and C15-C16 bond is 191° and confirms our design notion of creating a "very open" complex as well as confirming the C₂-symmetric complex.

Crystals of zirconium dichloride complex dl-340 suitable for X-ray diffraction could be grown by diffusion of hexanes into a solution of dl-340 and dichloromethane at 0° C, and the solid state structure of dl-340 could be solved as described in Table 5-10. The lateral and top ORTEP view of dl-340 is shown in Figure 5-13. The angle found between Cp_{cent}-Zr-Cp_{cent} is 130.3°. The Cp_{cent}-Zr distances are 2.208 Å and 2.231 Å. The dihedral angle between the C5-C6 bond and C15-C16 bond is 192° and confirms the assignment of the C₂-symmetric complex.

•••••

| | dl -339 | dl -340 |
|--|--|--|
| empirical formula | C ₂₂ H ₂₀ Cl ₂ Zr | C ₂₆ H ₂₈ Cl ₂ Zr |
| molecular weight | 446.50 | 502.60 |
| temperature | 188 (2) K | 153 (2) K |
| wavelength (Å) | 0.71073 | 0.71073 |
| crystal system | Monoclinic | Monoclinic |
| space group | P2 (1) / n | C2/c |
| a (Å) | 6.7670 (10) | 21.671 (9) |
| b (Å) | 16.921 (3) | 17.383 (9) |
| c (Å) | 16.123 (3) | 12.063 (6) |
| alpha | 90° | 90° |
| beta | 95.770 (10)° | 98.46° |
| gamma | 90° | 90° |
| volume (Å ³), Z | 1836.8 (5), 4 | 4495 (4), 8 |
| $D_{calc} (Mg / m^3)$ | 1.615 | 1.485 |
| absorption coefficient (mm ⁻¹) | 0.881 | 0.737 |
| F(000) | 904 | 2064 |
| crystal size (mm) | 0.14 x 0.60 x 0.20 | 0.06 x 0.14 x 0.52 |
| theta range | 2.41 to 24.99 ° | 1.51 to 23.00 ° |
| reflections collected | 3511 | 3213 |
| independent reflections | 3220 $R_{int} = 0.0331$ | $3089 R_{int} = 0.0820$ |
| max. and min. transmission | 0.2765, 0.2489 | |
| data/restraints/parameters | 3214 / 265 /227 | 3080 / 305/ 262 |
| goodness of fit on F ² | 1.011 | 1.058 |
| final R_1 [I > 2 sigma (I)] | 0.0384 | 0.0754 |
| final wR ₂ [I > 2 sigma (I)] | 0.0909 | 0.1730 |
| min. and max. | 0.547, -0.628 | 1. 699, -1.914 |
| Refinement Method | Full-matrix least-square | res on F ² |

Table 5-10. Crystallographic data for dl-339 and dl-340.

••••••







Metalation of the *iso*propyl-substituted ligand **339** with titanium trichloride followed by oxidation resulted in the isolation of only the C₂-symmetric complex of the titanocene *dl*-**341** in 74% yield (Figure 5-14). Assignment of the metallocene structure was based upon the chemical shift found for indenyl H-1 at 4.21 ppm in the ¹H NMR for *dl*-**341** (Figure 5-15). A similar upfield shift was found for an indenyl signal in the ¹H NMR spectra of zirconocene *dl*-**339** and *dl*-**340**. Crystals of titanocene *dl*-**341** suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown from the slow evaporation of solvent from a chloroform solution of *dl*-**341** at room temperature, and the solid state structure was solved as described in Table 5-11. The lateral and front ORTEP view is shown in Figure 5-16 and confirms the C₂-symmetric assignment. The angle between Cp_{cent}-Ti-Cp_{cent} is 131.6°. The



bond distance between Cp_{cent} -Ti are 2.097 Å and 2.083 Å. The dihedral angle defined by the C5-C6 bond and the C15-C16 bond is approximately 192°, again showing a "very open" structure. In all cases the Cp_{cent} -M- Cp_{cent} angle is fairly wide for all ansa-metallocene dichlorides, but fall within the range found for ansa-metallocene dichlorides with larger than two carbon bridging units. A summary of selected bond lengths and angles for the ethano bridged metallocene dichlorides is given in Table 5-12.

| empirical formula | C ₂₆ H ₂₈ Cl ₂ Ti |
|--|--|
| molecular weight | 698.02 |
| temperature | 188 (2) |
| wavelength | 0.71073 Å |
| crystal system | Monoclinic |
| space group | P2 (1) / c |
| a | 15.822 (3) Å |
| b | 17.255 (3) Å |
| C | 11.681 (2) Å |
| alpha | 90° |
| beta | 107.180 (10)° |
| gamma | 90° |
| volume (Å ³), Z | 3046.7 (9), 4 |
| D_{cat} (Mg/m ³) | 1.522 |
| absorption coefficient (mm ⁻¹) | 1.001 |
| F(000) | 1424 |
| crystal size (mm) | 0.02 x 0.34 x 0.26 |
| theta range | 1.79 to 24.99 ° |
| reflections collected | 3479 |
| independent reflections | $3244 R_{int} = 0.0631$ |
| max. and min. transmission | 0.6847, 0.4776 |
| data/restraints/parameters | 3239 / 0 / 334 |
| goodness of fit on F ² | 1.039 |
| final R_1 [I > 2 sigma (I)] | 0.0658 |
| final wR ₂ [I > 2 sigma (I)] | 0.1447 |
| min. and max. | 0.909, -0.735 |
| Refinement Method | Full-matrix least-squares on F ² |

Table 5-11. Crystallographic data for dl-341.

•••••



•••••

- -----

| Table 5-12. | Summary of bond length and angles for dl-339, dl-340, and dl-341. | | | | |
|-------------|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | Bond lengths and Angles | dl-339 M = Zr | <i>dl-340</i> M = Zr | <i>dl-340</i> M = Ti | |
| | Cp _{cent} -M-Cp _{cent} | 1 30.4° | 130.3° | 131.7° | |
| | Cp _{cent} -M | 2.221 Å 2.217 Å | 2.208 Å 2.231 Å | 2.097 Å 2.083 Å | |
| | C5-C6/C15-C16 dihedral angle | 191° | 192° | 1 92° | |

Low temperature ¹H NMR experiments were performed using metallocene *dl*-340 to see if the molecule could be constrained into the constitutional conformations indicated by molecular models. However, there was little or no change in the ¹H NMR spectrum at - 60 °C suggesting the molecule continues to fluctuate between any accessible conformations.

5.10 Synthesis and properties of C7,C7' methano bridged metallocene dichlorides

In order to increase the rigidity of the metal complexes containing bridges between the C7-C7' positions, a one carbon bridged ligand became the desired target. Much like the preparation for the ethano bridged metallocenes, the synthesis was begun by first introducing the methylene bridge (Figure 5-17). Our initial attempt in forming the methylene bridge from toluene and *para*-formaldehyde using a procedure outlined by Cornell and Gollis¹⁴⁶ led to the formation of an inseparable mixture of products due to competing sites for the acylation and alkylation to occur. To combat potential selectivity problems in forming the methylene bridge, *m*-xylene 342 was selected as the starting material since the two methyl groups would provide the necessary steric bulk to slow the reaction at the positions *ortho* to both methyl groups while activating the positions *para* to the methyl groups which are now equivalent. Using the procedure described by Cornell and Gollis¹⁴⁶ in the reaction with p-toluene, 343 could be isolated in excellent yield after purification.



Care was taken with the Friedel-Crafts acylation using aluminum trichloride and 3propionylchloride (Figure 5-18). It was found that the desired product from the Friedel-Crafts reaction could not be isolated, and the starting material had decomposed if the reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature or if the reaction was run for more than 30 min at 0 °C. When proper care was taken diketone 344 was isolated in 43% yield and treated with sulfuric acid to promote cyclization to give bis(indanone) 345 as a single regioisomer in 49% yield.



Reduction of 345 with sodium borohydride (structure of diol 346 is not shown) followed by dehydration promoted by p-toluenesulfonic acid yielded the bis(indene) 347



(Figure 5-19). Bis(indene) 347 was isolated by column chromatography in 80% yield as a white solid. In contrast to ethylene bridged bis(indenes) 337 and 338, the methylene bridged bis(indene) 347 was isolated as a single double bond isomer which could be identified by a single set of proton signals for the methyl groups at 2.41 and 2.29 ppm, and indenyl signals at 6.89 and 6.32 ppm in the ¹H NMR spectrum of 347 (Figure 5-20).



Metalation of methylene bridged bis(indene) 347 with *n*-butyllithium and zirconium tetrachloride in ether or tetrakis(dimethylamido)zirconium in chlorobenzene followed by workup with dimethylammonium chloride gave the C_2 -symmetric zirconocene dichloride *dl*-348 (Figure 5-21).¹⁴⁵ The formation of titanocene dichloride *dl*-349 was also found to form cleanly upon treatment with *n*-butyllithium, titanium trichloride, and oxidation.⁹⁴



The assignment of the zirconocene dichloride dl-348 and titanocene dichloride dl-349 as the C₂-symmetric complexes was based upon the chemical shifts of the H-1 indenyl signals in the ¹H NMR spectrum found at 4.27 and 4.02 ppm, respectively (Figure 5-22). The C₂-symmetric complexes dl-348 and dl-349 would have a proton positioned directly over the phenyl ring on the indenyl moiety causing the unusual upfield shift. Unfortunately, due to insolubility and instability of these complexes in solution a ¹³C NMR spectrum could not be obtained.

•••••••



5.11 Conclusion

We have shown C7,C7' ethano bridged bis(indenyl) ligands could be prepared in a short and efficient synthesis with very open geometric shape. We have also demonstrated that the exclusive formation of the *dl*-zirconium complexes could be done using a metalation procedure described by Jordan¹⁴⁵ while the *meso*-zirconium complex formed in a 1:1 mixture with the *dl*-zirconium complex using conventional metalation procedures. On the other hand, the *dl*-titanium complex of **341** was the only product seen in the crude ¹H NMR using conventional metalation procedures. The reason for the exclusive formation of

341 is still unclear. In addition, the structural determination of the three ethano bridged metallocene dichlorides confirms the very open geometric shape which gives a new class of ligand with steric modifications while the methano bridged bis(indenyl)metal complexes provide very open sterically rigid complex.

•••••

Summary

Our efforts to contribute to an already competitive field has lead to the development of several of group 4 *ansa*-metallocene dichlorides with varying steric modifications, conformational mobility and geometric shapes. With the information gained from their synthesis and their solid state structures we may find answers to very important questions in a effort to develop highly reactive yet selective catalysts for enantioselective transformations.

We have applied methodologies previously used for introducing steric modifications in metallocene dichlorides with achiral bridges toward the chiral 2,2'-dimethyl-1,1'-binaphthalene bridge to give sterically modified bis(indenyl)titanium and zirconium dichlorides 232 and 233. These additional metal complexes gives a nice series of systematically modified bis(indenyl)metal dichlorides which can be compared to one another in asymmetric syntheses.

We have determined the X-ray structure of doubly bridged zirconocene dichloride **284**, and have confirmed the closed conformation. In addition, the doubly bridged bis(tetrahydroindenyl)zirconium dichloride was prepared and the structure was confirmed by X-ray analysis. With these two metal complexes we now have two closed systems to compare as catalysts for propylene polymerization to determine if the closed shape or the tetrahydroindenyl units are detrimental to the catalyst activity.

We have prepared a series of very open ethano C7,C7' and two methano C7,C7' bridged metallocene dichloride complexes via a short and convenient synthetic route. We believe the C7,C7'-ethano bridged zirconium complex dl-340 is conformationally mobile as the ¹H NMR spectrum of the dl-340 changed very little at low temperatures. These new metal complexes will compliment the closed and open metallocene dichlorides known in literature and could potentially answer whether or not the opening of the metal center increases the catalyst activity.

Experimental

General: Unless otherwise noted, all starting materials were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. Ether, THF, hexanes, toluene, and benzene were distilled under N_2 from sodium and benzophenone. Dichloromethane and chloroform were distilled under nitrogen from LiAlH₄ and CaCl₂, respectively. All reactions involving air or moisture sensitive compounds were performed under argon or nitrogen atmospheres utilizing standard Schlenk line techniques and/or in a Vacuum Atmospheres Dri-Box (under nitrogen). All metalations were conducted on Schlenk line, and run under purified Argon. All glassware was oven dried prior to use. Routine solvent removal was performed on a Buchi RE-111 rotary evaporator using water aspiration. Solvent removal *in vacuo* was accomplished on a vacuum line at < 0.01 mm Hg or on a Schlenk line at < 0.001 mm Hg (oil diffusion).

All ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were obtained using a Varian XL-300 or Varian XL-400 instrument. Data are reported as follows: chemical shifts (X scale) in parts per million (ppm) relative to residual solvent peaks (multiplicity, coupling constants in hertz (rounded to 0.5 Hz), number of hydrogens). For ¹H NMR spectra, the peaks due to residual CHCl₃, $C_6D_5H_1$, or DMSO-H₆ are listed at 7.24 ppm, 7.15 ppm or 2.49 ppm, respectively, and for ¹³C spectra, the central peak of the CDCl₃, C_6D_6 , and DMSO-d₆ multiplets are assigned chemical shifts of 77.0 ppm, 128.0 ppm, or 39.5 ppm, respectively. Unless otherwise noted, multiplicities and compound ratios are deduced from electronic integration. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Bio-Rad FTS-7 FT-IR with a Bio-Rad 3240-SPC computer. Only characteristic and/or strong signals are reported. Low-resolution mass spectra (reported as m/z (relative intensity at 70 eV or 12 eV)) were recorded on a Hewlett Packard 5985 instrument.

Preparative column chromatography was performed on flash silica gel (E. Merck Reagents silica gel 60 Å, 230-400 mesh ASTM). Melting Points were determined in Pyrex

-····

capillary tubes on a Mel-Temp apparatus and are uncorrected. Analytical thin layer chromatography was performed on 0.2 mm Kieselgel silica gel 60F-254. Compounds were sent to commercial laboratories for elemental analysis (Midwest Micro Labs or Desert Analytics).

dl-2,2'-Bis(dibromomethyl)-1,1'-binaphthalene and S-(-)-2,2'bis(dibromomethyl)-1,1'-binaphthalene (221).⁹⁶ Racemic and resolved 2,2'bis(dibromomethyl)-1,1'-binaphthalene were prepared from 1-methylnaphthalene.



4,7-Dialkylindanones (227) and (228).¹³ (Note: If older reagents (3-chloropropionyl chloride and AlCl₃ are used the yields ranged from 0-50%). To a solution of AlCl₃ (125 mmol) and dichloromethane (200 mL) was added 3-chloropropionyl chloride (125 mmol) in dichloromethane (50 mL) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture

was allowed to stir for 30 min at 0 °C. To this solution was added dropwise at 0 °C 1,4dimethylbenzene or 1,4-diisopropylbenzene (100 mmol) in dichloromethane (50 mL). After the addition the reaction solution was warmed to room temperature and stirred 2 h. The dark red solution was poured over ice and the organic phase was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 100 mL), and the combined organic portions was washed with water (50 mL) and dried with magnesium sulfate. The solvents were removed *in vacuo* to afford a thick green liquid. The crude product was added to the same concentrated sulfuric acid (200 mL) at 0 °C (Note: if the crude material was left to the next day yields dropped significantly). The sulfuric acid solution was slowly warmed to 80 °C and stirred for 1 h. The reaction solution was cooled to room temperature and poured over ice. The aqueous portion was extracted with ether (3 x 100 mL). The organic phase was washed with water (50 mL) and saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate (100) mL). The solvents were removed *in vacuo* and the crude product was purified by column chromatography ($SiO_2/10\%$ ethyl acetate/pet. ether) to give the products in approximately 65-75% yield based on starting material. The ¹H NMR spectra matched those found in the literature.¹³



4,7-Dimethylindene (229).⁹⁷ To sodium (19.6 g, 855 mmol) was added slowly via addition funnel methanol at 0 °C. Freshly cracked cylcopentadiene (33.9 g, 513 mmol) was then added at once followed by a dropwise addition of 2,5-hexadione (39.0 g, 342 mmol). The reaction solution was stirred for 2 h at room

temperature after the addition was complete. Water (100 mL) and ether (500 mL) were add, and the organic phase was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with ether (3 x 100 mL) and the combined organic portions were washed with brine (100 mL) and dried over magnesium sulfate. The solvents were removed *in vacuo* and 229 was purified by distillation (bp 63 °C, at 0.01 mm Hg) as a slightly yellow liquid in 79% yield (38.9 g, 270 mmol). The ¹H NMR spectra matched the ¹H NMR found in literature.⁹⁷



S-(-)-2,2'-Bis[1-(4,7-

dimethylindenylmethyl)]-1,1'-binaphthalene (S)-(-)-(212). To a solution of 4,7-dimethylindene 229 (1.77 g, 12.3 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was added *n*-butyllithium (2.70 M in hexanes, 4.55 mL, 12.3 mmol) dropwise at -78 $^{\circ}$ C resulting in a heterogeneous reaction mixture. Upon warming the reaction mixture to room temperature the solid

white precipitate dissolved, and the reaction became a clear red solution which was allowed to stir for an additional 30 min. The reaction solution was then cooled to 0 °C, and a solution of S-(-)-2,2'-bis(dibromomethyl)-1,1'-binaphthalene (S)-(-)-221⁹⁶ (1.35 g, 3.1

mmoi, in THF (5 mL) was added dropwise. The resulting solution was then allowed to warm to room temperature, and stir for an additional 3 h. The reaction mixture was then quenched with a saturated solution of NH₄Br, and separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate, and the organic layers were combined. The organic layer was then washed with brine followed by water, dried with MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated. The crude yellow solid was then purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, PE) yielding (S)-(-)-212 (1.21 g, 69%) as a white solid. mp. 129-130 °C; $[\alpha]_{589}^{23}$ -22.2 (c=0.814, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) & 7.94 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.92 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.55 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.46 (m, 2H), 7.26 (m, 2H), 7.18 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.90 (s, 4H), 5.89 (bs, 2H), 3.89 (bs, 4H), 2.98 (dd, J = 25.0 Hz, 2H), 2.68 (dd, J = 25.0 Hz, 2H), 2.25 (s, 6H), 2.24 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 144.84, 143.98, 142.55, 136.98, 134.80, 133.17, 132.48, 130.76, 130.33, 129.11, 128.29, 128.05, 127.91, 127.61, 126.28, 125.96, 125.66, 125.28, 36.08, 35.08, 19.78, 18.11; IR (thin film) 3046, 2967, 2934, 2865, 1498, 1454, 1379, 1117; (EI 70 eV, m/z, rel intensity) 566 (77 %), 421 (37), 408 (10), 279 (27), 265 (21), 145 (72), 40 (100).



S-(+)-2,2'-Bis[1-(4,7-

dimethylindenylmethyl)]-1,1'-

binaphthyldichlorotitanium (S)-(+)-(232). *n*-Butyllithium (2.70 M in hexanes, 0.16 mL, 0.43 mmol) was added dropwise to a solution of S-(-)-2,2'-bis[1-(4,7dimethylindenylmethyl)]-1,1'-binaphthalene (S)-(-)-212

(117 mg, 0.21 mmol) in THF (2 mL) at -78 °C. After the addition the reaction mixture was allowed to warm, and upon reaching room temperature the reaction mixture became a dark blood red solution. The solution was then cooled to 0 °C, and added slowly via syringe to a slurry of TiCl₃ (48 mg, 0.31 mmol) in THF (1 mL) at -78 °C. Upon completion of the

addition, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature, and was heated to reflux for 6 h which resulted in a dark solution. The solution was then cooled to room temperature and concentrated in vacuo. The solid green residue was then dissolved in chloroform (5 mL) at 0 °C, and air was slowly bubbled into the green solution for 2 h. 2 N HCl was then added, and the aqueous layer was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted twice with dichloromethane, and the combined organic layers were washed with 2 N HCl, water, dried with MgSO₄. filtered, and concentrated. The solid green powder was then dissolved in dichloromethane followed by slow addition of pentane until a precipitate was seen. The solution was allowed to sit for 30 min at room temperature, and filtered. The green solid was washed twice with pentane yielding (S)-(+)-232 (34 mg, 24%) as a dark green powder. Mp 216-230 °C dec.; $[\alpha]_{589}^{23}$ +2490 (c = 0.026, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta$ 7.98 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.94 (d, J = 8.5, 2H), 7.55 (d, J = 8.5) Hz, 2H), 7.47 (m, 2H), 7.27 (m, 2H), 7.04 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.97 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 6.89 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 6.37 (bs, 2H), 5.28 (bs, 2H), 4.53 (d, J = 16.5 Hz, 2H), 4.38 (d, J = 16.5 Hz, 2H), 2.35 (s, 6H), 2.05 (s, 6H); ${}^{13}C$ NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 135.67, 135.25, 135.14, 135.10, 133.29, 132.56 (2C), 129.60, 129.54 (2C), 128.68, 128.30, 128.16, 127.96, 126.59, 125.91, 125.70, 121.30, 113.99, 35.09, 21.54, 18.33; IR (neat) 3054, 2961, 1506, 1457, 1426, 1332, 1263, 1026; (EI 12 eV, m/z, rel intensity) 682 (24%), 647 (56), 564 (93), 421 (100), 408 (25), 395 (30), 279 (11), 143 (65).



-· .· '

dl-2,2'-Bis[1-(4,7-dimethylindenylmethyl)]-1,1'-binaphthyldichlorozirconium (233). To a solution of *dl-2,2'-bis*[1-(4,7-dimethylindenylmethyl)]-1,1'binaphthalene 212 (685 mg, 1.21 mmol) in ether (5 mL) was added dropwise n-butyllithium (1.05 mL, 2.54 mmol, 2.69 M in hexanes) at -78 °C. After the addition the reaction

mixture was allowed to slowly warm to room temperature, and stir for 6 h at which time a fine white precipitate could be seen. ZrCl₄ (423 mg, 1.82 mmol) was added via side arm to the heterogeneous mixture causing an instantaneous color change from white to a bright vellow. The vellow heterogeneous mixture was allowed to stir for an additional 12 h at room temperature, and then concentrated in vacuo to give a bright yellow solid. To the crude solid was added dichloromethane (5 mL) resulting in an orange heterogeneous mixture which was then Schlenk filtered. The resulting orange solution was concentrated to 0.5 mL in vacuo followed by the slow addition of hexanes causing a light yellow precipitate to form. The heterogeneous mixture was back filtered, and the yellow powder was rinsed with hexanes (2x2 mL) then concentrated in vacuo to give 233 (0.181g, 21%). mp >290 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.00 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.95 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.63 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.47 (m, 2H), 7.28(m, 2H), 7.10 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.81 (s, 4H), 6.49 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 2H), 5.23 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 2H), 4.47 (d, J = 16.0Hz, 2H), 4.34 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 2H), 2.23 (s, 6H), 2.10 (s, 6H); ${}^{13}C$ NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 136.03, 135.10, 133.68, 133.40, 132.71, 132.64, 129.53, 128.97, 128.44, 128.24, 127.52, 126.69, 126.60, 125.90, 125.77, 125.28, 121.01, 119.26, 103.66, 33.97, 21.58, 18.40; IR (thin film) 3049, 2963, 2923, 1634, 1495, 1449, 1328, 1258, 1075, 1026; (EI 12 eV, m/z, rel intensity) 724 (M⁺, 4 %), 725 (M⁺+1, 6), 726 (M⁺+2, 4), 728 (M++4, 7), 564 (63), 421 (46), 408 (23), 279 (29), 171 (37), 145 (100).



•••••

Crystals of [1,2'-(Ethane-1,2-diyl)-2,1'-(ethane-1,2diyl)bis(indenyl)]dichlorozirconium (284). Bis(indene) 284 was purified further before crystallization by dissolving the crude compound in dichloromethane and Schlenk filtering. The dichloromethane was concentrated *in situ* until 1-2 mL total volume remained and a sufficient volume of hexanes (5 mL) was added to cause a precipitate to drop from the solution. The slurry was stirred and Schlenk filtered to give a solid bright yellow powder. Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown at 0 °C by diffusion of hexane into a solution of methylene chloride and **284**. Crystallographic data is given in Table 4-1. Anal. calculated for $C_{22}H_{18}Cl_2Zr$: 59.45% C, 4.08% H. Found: 59.69% C, 4.08% H.



- . . .

[1,2'-(Ethane-1,2-diyl)-2,1'-(ethane-1,2-

diyl)bis(4,5,6,7-tetrahydroindenylmethyl)dichlorozirconium (285). An autoclave was charged with bis(indenyl)zirconium dichloride 284 (203 mg, 0.457 mmol), PtO_2 (18 mg, 0.079 mmol), and dichloromethane (100 mL), and was brought to 1100 psi of hydrogen gas with stirring. After 12 h the reaction was complete as seen from the

¹H NMR spectrum of the aliquot. The reaction solution was diluted with dichloromethane (100 mL) and filtered in a dry box. The filter was washed with dichloromethane (25 mL). The solvent was removed *in vacuo*. The crude off white solid was purified by precipitation of **285** from toluene (1 mL) with hexanes (5 mL). The resulting precipitate was washed with hexanes (2 x 5 mL) and gave 151 mg (63% yield) of **285** as a white solid. mp/dec = 195-205 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.07 (s, 2 H), 3.40-3.00 (m, 8H), 2.60-2.80 (m, 4H), 2.45-2.25 (m, 4H), 2.1-1.80 (m, 4H), 1.40-1.65 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 131.39, 130.55, 126.36, 124.06, 118.87, 30.04, 27.11, 23.54, 23.08, 22.23, 21.91; (EI 12 eV, *m/z*, rel intensity) 452 (4%), 451 (7), 450 (36), 449 (25), 448 (76), 447 (60), 446 (99), 445 (66), 444 (100), 414 (4), 412 (15), 411 (9), 410 (21), 409 (15), 407 (30). Anal. calculated for C₂₂H₂₆Cl₂Zr: 58.39% C, 5.79% H. Found: 58.29% C, 5.99% H.



1,2-Di(4-methylphenyl)ethane (331).¹⁴⁴ 331 was synthesized in one step from *p*-xylene according to the literature procedure. Note: the potassium salt needed to be used immediately after isolation. If this was used the next day the desired product was isolated in low yield. The ¹H NMR spectra matched the ¹H NMR spectrum

found in literature.¹⁴⁴



•••••

1,2-Di(4-isopropylphenyl)ethane (332): To *p*-cymene (8.99 g, 67 mmol), potassium *tert*-butoxide (5.6 g, 50 mmol) in hexanes (50 mL) was added dropwise at room temperature *n*-butyllithium (18.6 mL, 2.69 M in hexanes, 50 mmol) resulting in an orange slurry. The slurry was stirred an additional 1 h at room temperature to yield a brick

red precipitate. The precipitate was filtered, rinsed with hexanes (2x10 mL) and dried *in vacuo*. The solid was then transferred to an addition funnel and dissolved in THF (100 mL). The THF solution was then added dropwise to a solution of iodine (6.38 g, 25 mmol) in THF (100 mL) at room temperature. Upon completion of the addition the reaction mixture was stirred an additional 30 min to yield a white slurry. This mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (50 mL), and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with ether (3x50 mL), and the combined organic portion washed with NaHSO₃, water, brine, dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated to give a brown solid. The crude solid was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂/pet. ether) to give **332** (4.61 g, 17 mmol) in 69% yield as a white solid. Mp 52-54 ^oC; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.15 (bs, 8H), 2.88 (sept, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.87 (s, 4H), 1.23 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 12H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 146.41, 139.40, 128.28, 126.39, 37.61, 33.73, 24.10; IR (thin film) 3048, 2957, 2923, 2872, 1458, 828 cm⁻¹; (EI 70eV, *m/z*, rel. intensity) 266 (10%), 133 (100), 105 (21), 91 (12).



1,2-Di(4-isopropylindanon-7-yl)ethane (334):

To aluminum trichloride (2.55 g, 19.1 mmol) in dichloromethane (50 mL) at 0 $^{\circ}$ C was added 3-chloropropionyl chloride (2.43 g, 19.1 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 30 min at 0 $^{\circ}$ C then 332 (2.29 g, 8.5 mmol) in dichloromethane (50 mL) was added

dropwise. The resulting red solution was slowly warmed to room temperature and stirred for 2 h. The reaction was poured over ice, separated and extracted with dichloromethane (3x30 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with water, sodium bicarbonate (sat.), dried over MgSO4, filtered and concentrated. The crude material was then added to concentrated sulfuric acid at 0 °C. The reaction solution was then brought to 80 °C for 2 h and cooled to room temperature, poured over ice and extracted with ether (3x50 mL). The combined ether layer was washed with water, sodium bicarbonate (sat.), brine, dried over MgSO4, filtered, and concentrated. The crude white solid was purified by column chromatography (SiO,/ 10% ethyl acetate/pet. ether) to yield ketone 334 as a mixture of isomers (1.32 g, 3.5 mmol) in 41% yield. Mp 121-125 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.45-7.05 (m, 4H), 3.30-2.60 (m, 10H), 3.25 (bs, 4H), 1.30-1.20 (m, 12H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₂) & 209.32, 209.02, 208.95, 155.74, 154.65, 154.27, 148.77, 145.20, 144.62, 141.18, 140.44, 137.59, 135.27, 134.63, 134.51, 133.71, 131.13, 130.03, 129.90, 124.87, 54.32, 37.91, 37.61, 37.56, 34.19, 33.47, 33.37, 30.59, 29.95, 27.64, 24.74, 24.67, 24.60, 24.07, 23.89; IR (thin film) 2953, 2922, 2864, 1699, 1574, 1492, 1254 1058, 846 cm⁻¹; (EI 70eV, m/z, rel. intensity) 374 (18%), 200 (12), 187 (100), 172 (10), 159 (14), 145 (33), 141 (10), 129 (14), 128 (29), 115 (24), 91 (13).

119



1,2-Di(4-methylindanon-7-yl)ethane (333). Diketone 333 was prepared according to the procedure outlined for the synthesis of 334 but using 331 (0.500 g, 2.4 mmol) to give white solid 333 as a mixture of isomers (0.390g, 1.2 mmol) in 51% yield. Mp. 184-187 ^oC; ¹H NMR (300 MHz,

CDCl₃) δ 7.35-6.95 (m, 4H), 3.40-2.30 (m, 18H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 208.39, 208.32, 207.83, 205.88, 155.14, 154.49, 154.00, 140.18, 139.41, 136.75, 136.28, 136.01, 134.55, 134.48, 134.42, 134.25, 133.93, 133.86, 133.55, 129.50, 129.29, 128.75, 128.58, 36.76, 36.70, 36.66, 36.57, 33.18, 32.31, 32.11, 29.65, 24.33, 24.26, 23.85, 17.97, 17.87, 17.40; IR (thin film) 2955, 2924, 2857, 1703, 1580, 1492, 1446, 1248 cm⁻¹; (EI 12eV, *m/z*, rel. intensity) 318 (11%), 159 (100), 106 (14), 91 (18).



1,2-Di(4-isopropylindanol-7-yl)ethane (336).

To 334 (1.32 g, 3.5 mmol) in methanol (100 mL) was added at $0 \,^{\circ}$ C NaBH₄ (0.335 g, 8.8 mmol) in four portions. The solution was then stirred for 12 h at room temperature. The solvent was removed leaving a solid residue. The residue was partitioned

between water (100 mL), and extracted with methylene chloride (3x50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with water (25 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated to give crude **336** (0.997 g, 2.6 mmol) as an off white solid. Crude **336** (mixture of isomers) was used for characterization and carried on without further purification. Mp 154-158 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.25-7.00 (m, 4H), 5.70-5.40 (m, 2H), 3.30-2.20 (m, 16H), 1.40-1.10 (m, 12H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 142.63, 14258, 142.55, 142.39, 141.55, 141.51, 136.44, 136.21, 128.10, 127.95, 125.03, 124.87, 75.40, 75.04, 35.58, 34.87, 34.51, 34.47, 30.43, 30.38, 28.42, 28.16, 23.03, 22.30, 22.94; IR (thin film) 3327, 2958, 2925, 2869, 1701, 1492, 1456 cm⁻¹; (EI

70eV, *m/z*, rel. intensity) 360 (18%), 342 (57), 327 (16), 317 (26), 299 (31) 200, (28), 173 (100), 171 (29), 159 (9).



1,2-Di(4-methylindanol-7-yl)]ethane (335): Diol 335 was prepared following the procedure outlined for the preparation of 336 but using 333 (2.301 g, 7.2 mmol) to give crude 335 as a mixture of isomers (1.69 g, 5.2 mmol). The crude white solid was used for characterization and carried on to

the next step. Mp 91-94 $^{\circ}$ C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.20-6.90 (m, 4H), 5.40-5.00 (m, 2H), 3.10-2.00 (m, 2OH); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 143.11, 143.08, 142.97, 142.92, 142.83, 142.75, 142.55, 142.48, 142.45, 142.42, 142.39, 142.25, 136.47, 136.24, 136.19, 136.09, 135.53, 135.41, 135.35, 135.31, 132.71, 131.84, 129.72, 129.70, 129.61, 129.50, 129.01, 128.87, 128.83, 128.63, 128.44, 128.37, 128.35, 127.76, 127.61, 127.39, 127.33, 35.08, 34.91, 24.83, 34.70, 34.59, 33.93, 33.15, 32.81, 29.67, 29.36, 28.88, 28.80, 28.69, 28.48, 28.45, 28.31, 18.65, 17.99; (EI 70eV, *m/z*, rel. intensity) 304 (12%), 289 (9), 386 (31), 271 (11), 160 (46), 145 (25) 143 (100), 131 (20), 128 (53), 117 (6), 115 (23) 91 (15), 77 (6).



1,2-Di(4-Isopropylinden-7-yl)ethane (338): To crude 336 (0.997 g, 2.6 mmol) in benzene (75 mL) was added a few crystals of *p*-toluenesulfonic acid hydrate. The reaction vessel was then fitted with a Dean Stark apparatus, and the solution was refluxed 3 h. The reaction was cooled to room

temperature and washed with a saturated solution of sodium bicarbonate (50 mL) followed by water (25 mL). The organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated to give an off white solid. The solid was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂/pet. ether) to give 338 as a mixture of double bond isomers (0.631 g, 1.8 mmol) as a white solid in 52% yield based on starting ketone. Mp 54-56 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.25-7.00 (m, 6H), 6.70-6.50 (m, 2H), 3.45-3.00 (m, 10H), 1.40-1.25 (m, 12H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 142.94, 142.33, 143.31, 141.94 (2C), 141.61, 141.57, 141.04, 139.16, 134.55, 133.32, 133.27, 133.10, 132.16, 130.32, 130.22, 126.95, 126.93, 123.14, 121.38, 37.90, 37.84, 35.04, 34.98, 33.65, 30.86, 30.58, 29.70, 23.55, 23.06; IR (thin film) 3066, 2998, 2924, 2871, 1488, 1456, 1388 cm⁻¹; (EI 70eV, *m/z*, rel. intensity) 342 (10%), 299 (14), 171 (100), 156 (15), 143 (11), 141 (20), 128 (25), 115 (10).



1,2-Di(4-methylinden-7-yl)]ethane (337):

Bis(indene) 337 was prepared following the procedure outlined for 338 but using 335 (1.34 g, 4.2 mmol) to afford 337 as a mixture of isomers (0.671 g, 2.4 mmol) in 56% yield. Mp 132-136 $^{\circ}$ C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₁) δ 7.10-6.95 (m, 6H), 6.60-

6.50 (m, 2H), 3.40-2.90 (m, 8H), 2.45-2.35 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 143.55, 142.74, 142.46, 141.74, 134.48, 133.64, 133.61, 133.17, 132.80, 132.18, 130.68, 130.52, 130.45, 130.21, 130.12, 129.51, 128.12, 128.09, 127.81, 126.69, 126.66, 125.97, 125.15, 124.70, 38.72, 38.28, 37.96, 37.92, 36.34, 35.17, 35.11, 33.86, 33.76, 29.70, 18.52, 18.35; IR (thin film) 3086, 3060, 2998, 2874, 1473, 1420 cm⁻¹; (EI 70eV, *m/z*, rel. intensity) 287 (6%), 286 (57), 271 (45), 256 (8), 239 (13) 143 (100), 128 (24).



dl-1,2-Di(4-methylinden-7-yl)]ethylidenezirconium dichloride (dl-339): Toluene (3 mL) was added to 337 (0.292 g, 1.02 mmol) and zirconium tetrakis(dimethylamido) (0.273 g, 1.02 mmol) at 0 °C. The clear yellow solution was then brought to 125 °C for 24 h taking care the vessel is left open to the Schlenk line to ensure the escape of dimethylamine. The resulting light orange solution was concentrated *in vacuo*, and dimethylaminonium chloride (0.162 g, 1.99 mmol) in dichloromethane (50 mL) was added at 0 °C. This solution was warmed to room temperature, and stirred an addition 3 h. The resulting yellow solution was concentrated to 1-2 mL total volume, and hexanes (5 mL) were added dropwise until a yellow precipitate began to form. This slurry was stirred for 30 min, filtered, and rinsed with hexanes (2x5 mL) to give pure *dl*-339 (0.196 g, 0.44 mmol) as a yellow powder in 63% yield. Mp/dec. 210-220 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.15 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.05 (dq, J = 7.0, 1.0 Hz, 2H), 6.74 (dd, J = 3.5, 3.5 Hz, 2H), 6.69 (dd, J = 3.5, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 4.45 (dd, J = 3.5, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 3.34 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 3.02 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 2.60 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 136.49, 134.84, 130.25, 126.55, 126.12, 126.00, 124.62, 108.16, 101.67, 36.28, 19.81; (EI 12eV, *m*/z, rel. intensity) 452.1 (4%), 451.1 (7), 450 (36), 449 (25), 447 (76), 447 (60), 446 (99), 445 (66), 444 (100), 413 (4), 412 (15), 411 (9), 410 (21), 409 (15), 408 (30) 286 (5), 92 (11). Combustion calculated 59.18% C, 4.51% H; actual 56.57% C, 4.74% H.



dl-1,2-Di(4-isopropylinden-7-

yl)]ethylidenezirconium dichloride (*dl*-340): To 338 (0.292 g, 0.90 mmol) and zirconium tetrakis(dimethylamido) (0.235 g, 0.35 mmol) was added chlorobenzene (5 mL) at 0 °C. The clear yellov solution was then brought to 125 °C for 24 h taking care the vessel is left open to the Schlenk line to ensure the escape of

dimethylamine. The resulting light orange solution was concentrated *in vacuo*, and dimethylammonium chloride (0.134 g, 1.64 mmol) in dichloromethane (50 mL) was added at 0 °C. This solution was warmed to room temperature, and stirred an additional 3 h. The resulting yellow solution was concentrated to 1-2 mL total volume *in vacuo*, and hexanes were then added dropwise until a yellow precipitate began to form. This slurry was stirred

for 30 min then filtered and rinsed with hexanes (2x5 mL) to give pure *dl*-340 (0.259 g, 0.52 mmol) as a yellow powder in 61% yield. Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were grown by diffusion of hexanes into a solution of dichloromethane and *dl*-340 at 0 °C. Mp/dec. 205-215 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.22 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.12 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 6.71 (m, 4H), 4.42 (dd, J = 3.5, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 3.68 (qq, J = 7.0, 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.34 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 3.02 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 1.37 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 6H), 1.15 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 145.46, 136.30, 129.67, 125.76, 125.70, 124.87, 121.46, 107.51, 101.96, 36.28, 30.25, 24.19, 20.59; IR (thin film) 3111, 2962, 2844, 1461, 1448, 1257, 1076, 846, 822, 778 cm⁻¹; (EI 12eV, *m*/z, rel. intensity) 506 (31%), 505 (18), 504 (65), 503 (41), 502 (100), 501 (55), 500 (92), 468 (38), 467 (32), 466 (68), 465 (45), 464 (85), 342 (23), 299 (18), 171 (71). Anal. calculated for C26H28Cl2Zr: 62.13% C, 5.61% H. Found 60.60% C, 5.60% H.



• • •

dl- and *meso-1,2-Di(4*isopropylinden-7-yi)ethylidenezirconium dichloride (340): To 338 (1.04 g, 3.0 mmol) in diethyl ether (20 mL) at -78 °C was added *n*butyllithium (3.2 mL, 2.40M in hexanes, 7.7 mmol). The resulting slurry was warmed to room temperature and stirred an additional 5 h. The

dilithio salt was Schlenk filtered and washed twice with hexanes (2x5 mL). The salt was vacuum dried and used immediately. The dilithio salt (0.309 g, 0.87 mmol) and zirconium tetrachloride (0.224 g, 0.96 mmol) were placed in a Schlenk flask. To the solid mixture was added ether (3 mL) at 0 °C resulting in a yellow slurry. The yellow slurry was stirred for 10 h at room temperature followed by the removal of the solvent *in vacuo* and replaced with dichloromethane (5 mL). The dichloromethane was then Schlenk filtered and concentrated to 1 mL total volume followed by the addition of hexanes (5 mL) until a fine

yellow precipitate formed. The precipitate was back filtered and washed with hexanes (2x2 mL) to give a 1:1 mixture of *meso-340* and *dl-340* (0.263 g, 0.52 inmol) as a yellow powder in 60% yield. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.22 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.12 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.07 (dd, J = 3.0, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 6.74-6.70 (m, 6H), 6.65 (d, J = 7.0, 2H), 6.60 (dd, J = 3.5, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 6.53 (dd, J = 3.5, 3.5 Hz, 2H), 4.42 (dd, J = 3.5, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 3.68 (qq, J = 7.0, 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.50-3.10 (m, 8H), 3.02 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 1.38 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 6H), 1.23 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 6H), 1.16 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 6H), 1.11 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 145.43, 142.91, 136.28, 133.66, 129.64, 129.60, 125.74, 125.67, 125.63, 124.84, 121.44, 120.91, 120.85, 107.48, 105.71, 101.95, 101.92, 98.34, 36.26, 30.51, 30.22, 24.17, 23.62, 20.57, 20.30.



dl-1,2-Di(4-isopropylinden-7-yl)ethylidenetitanium

dichloride (*dl*-341): To the dilithio salt isolated from the above procedure for compound 338 (0.229 g, 4.1 mmol) was added titanium trichloride (0.070 g, 4.5 mmol). To the solid mixture at -78° C was added THF dropwise (5 mL), and after the addition the reaction slurry was brought to room temperature and refluxed for 5

h. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, and the solvents were removed *in vacuo* leaving a solid green residue. The residue was dissolved in chloroform (5 mL) and 2 N hydrochloric acid (1 mL) was added at 0 °C. The mixture was then stirred while open to air for 2 h at room temperature then the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane (3x5 mL), and the combined organic layers were washed with water, brine, dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated. The solid green residue was recrystallized from dichloromethane and hexanes to give pure *dl*-341 (0.153 g, 3.3 mmol) as a fine green precipitate in 74% yield. Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained from the slow evaporation of chloroform at room temperature. Mp/dec. 200-210 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.29 (d, J = 7.0
Hz, 2H), 7.17 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 6.95 (dd, J = 3.5, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 6.72 (dd, J = 3.5, 3.5 Hz, 2H), 4.21 (dd, J = 3.5, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 3.86 (qq, J = 7.0, 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.31 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 3.01 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 1.36 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 6H), 1.15 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 147.15, 137.25, 133.92, 127.35, 127.11, 126.48, 123.06, 116.00, 110.22, 36.59, 30.36, 24.49, 20.74; IR (thin film) 2964, 2960, 2938, 1493, 1446, 1395, 1258 cm⁻¹; (EI 12eV, *m/z*, rel. intensity) 462 (2%), 460 (13), 458 (18), 424 (15), 423 (16), 422 (50), 420 (7), 387 (14), 386 (100) 384 (14); Anal. Calculated for C₂₆H₂₈Cl₂Ti: 67.99% C, 6.14% H. Found 66.97% C, 6.13% H.



Bis[1-(3-chloropropanon-1-yl)-2,4-

dimethylphen-5-yl]methane (344): To an aluminum trichloride (11.8 g, 88.5 mmol) suspension in dichloromethane (100 mL) was added slowly at 0 $^{\circ}$ C 3-chloropropionyl chloride (10.6 mL, 111 mmol). The suspension was stirred for 30 min. resulting in a clear green solution. To this solution was added

dropwise 343 (10.0 g, 44.6 mmol) in dichloromethane (50 mL) at 0°C. Upon completion of the addition the mixture was stirred an additional 30 min. to give a red solution which was poured over ice, separated and extracted with dichloromethane (3x100 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with sodium bicarbonate, water, dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated. The crude solid was purified by Soxhlet extraction (pet. ether) to give 344 (7.39g, 18.2 mmol) as a white solid in 41% yield. Pure 344 was obtained by chromatography (SiO₂/10% ethyl acetate/pet. ether). Mp 112-113 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.16 (s, 2H), 7.10(s, 2H), 3.91 (s, 2H), 3.80 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 4H), 3.17 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 4H), 2.48 (s, 6H), 2.26 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 199.69, 141.08, 137.16, 135.17, 134.75, 134.30, 129.53, 43.44, 39.11, 35.83, 21.03, 19.53; IR (thin film) 3014, 2966, 1674, 1549, 1443, 1343, 1206, 1090, 1032 cm⁻¹; (EI 70eV, *m/z*, rel. intensity) 407 (1%), 406 (6), 405 (3), 404 (9), 343 (33), 342 (24), 341 (100), 313 (9), 305 (11), 303 (4), 272 (19), 207 (16), 191 (9), 139 (20).



Bis(4,6-dimethylindanon-7-yl)]methane (345): To concentrated sulfuric acid (40 mL) at 0 $^{\circ}$ C was added 344 (3.71 g, 9.1 mmol). After the addition the red solution was warmed to 80 $^{\circ}$ C for 1 h. The reaction mixture was then cooled to room temperature, poured over ice, and extracted with ether

(3x100 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with saturated NaHCO₃, water, brine, and dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated. The crude solid was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂/10% ethylacetate/pet. ether) to give **345** (1.33 g, 4.0 mmol) in 49% yield. Mp 186-188 ^oC; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.92 (s, 2H), 4.07 (s, 2H), 2.57 (s, 6H), 2.64 (dt, J = 2.0, 6.0 Hz, 4H), 2.54 (dt, J = 2.0, 6.0 Hz, 4H), 2.24 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 207.72, 155.01, 143.20, 136.56, 133.02, 132.69, 132.35, 36.86, 29.45, 24.67, 20.61, 17.96; IR (thin film) 3007, 2949, 2920, 1701, 1574, 1479, 1439, 1253 cm⁻¹; (EI 70eV, *m/z*, rel. intensity) 332 (46%), 317 (12), 172 (100), 144 (36), 129 (24), 128 (17), 115 (10).



•••

Bis(4,6-dimethylindanol-7-yl)]methane (346): To 345 (0.899 g, 2.7 mmol) in methanol (50 mL), and dichloromethane (25 mL) was slowly added at 0 $^{\circ}$ C NaBH₄ (0.257 g, 6.8 mmol). After the addition the reaction mixture was continued at room temperature for 12 h. The solvents were

removed, and the crude material was partitioned between water and dichloromethane (3x50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with water, dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated. The crude white solid was characterized and used in the next step without purification. Mp 144-147 0 C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.82 (s, 2H), 5.23

(m, 2H), 3.92 (s, 2H), 2.75-2.60 (m, 2H), 2.50-2.25 (m, 2H), 2.36 (s, 6H), 2.14 (s, 6H), 2.30-1.50 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 143.34, 143.30, 141.05, 141.02, 137.23, 137.16, 132.31, 132.21, 132.19, 130.78, 130.71, 75.16, 75.06, 35.04, 35.02, 31.72, 31.63, 29.20, 29.16, 20.05 (2C), 17.90; IR (thin film) 3300, 3002, 2954, 2921, 2851, 1455, 1039 cm⁻¹; (EI 70eV, *m/z*, rel. intensity) 336 (42%), 318 (20), 303 (18), 300 (24), 285 (10), 174 (100), 159 (18), 156 (19), 145 (18).



Bis(4,6-dimethylinden-7-yl)]methane (347):

Crude 346 was placed in benzene (100 mL) with a few crystals of *p*-toluenesulfonic acid hydrate. The reaction flask was fitted with a Dean Stark apparatus and refluxed 3 h. The reaction was cooled to room temperature and aqueous NaHCO₃ (50 mL) was

added. The organic layers were dried with MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated. The crude solid was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂/pet. ether) to give 347 (0.583 g, 1.94 mmol) as a white solid in 72% yield based on starting ketone 345. Mp 132-133 $^{\circ}$ C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.93 (s, 2H), 6.89 (dt, J = 5.5, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 6.32 (dt, J = 5.5, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 4.15 (s, 2H), 2.88 (bs, 4H), 2.41 (s, 6H), 2.29 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 142.80, 141.81, 133.31, 132.68, 131.24, 129.87, 129.82, 127.82, 38.54, 31.94, 20.02, 18.23; IR (thin film) 3055, 3000, 2957, 2914, 2855, 1474, 1437, 1386 cm⁻¹; (EI 70eV, *m*/z, rel. intensity) 301 (6%), 300 (60), 285 (17), 157 (12), 156 (100), 142 (8), 141 (12), 128 (8), 115 (6).



Bis(4,6-dimethylinden-7-

yl)]methylidenezirconium dichloride (*dl*-348): To 347 (0.396 g, 1.32 mmol) and zirconium tetrakis(dimethylamido) (0.347 g, 1.30 mmol) was added chlorobenzene (8 mL) at 0 $^{\circ}$ C. The clear yellow solution was then brought to 125 $^{\circ}$ C for 24 h with the vessel open to the Schlenk line to ensure the escape of

dimethylamine. The resulting light orange solution was concentrated *in vacuo*, and dimethylammonium chloride (0.100 g, 1.23 mmol) in dichloromethane (50 mL) was added at 0 °C. This solution was warmed to room temperature, and stirred an additional 3 h (Note: Precipitate forms over the 3 h period). The resulting yellow solution was concentrated to 2 mL total volume, and hexanes (5 mL) were added dropwise. This slurry was stirred for 30 min then filtered and rinsed with hexanes (2x5 mL) to give *dl*-348 (0.259 g, 0.56 mmol) as a yellow powder in 43% yield (Note: the metallocene is thermally unstable in solution and as a solid. In addition, the compound is only slightly soluble in solvents such as methylene chloride and chloroform). Mp/dec. 235-245 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.92 (s, 2H), 6.68 (dd, J = 3.5, 3.5 Hz, 2H), 6.57 (dd, J = 3.5, 2.0 Hz, 2H) 4.46 (s, 2H), 4.27 (dd, J = 3.5, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 2.63 (s, 6H), 2.55 (s, 6H); (EI 12eV, *m*/z, rel. intensity) 466 (2), 465 (5) 464 (28), 463 (20), 462 (70), 461 (42), 460 (42), 459 (60), 458 (100), 426 (21) 425 (12), 424 (35), 423 (21), 422 (52), 300 933), 156 (45).



Bis[4-(5,7-

dimethylindenyl)]methylidenezirconium dichloride (dl-348): To 347 (0.198 g, 0.66 mmol) in ether (5 mL) at -78°C was added *n*-butyllithium (0.51 mL, 2.69 M in hexanes, 1.4 mmol). The resulting slurry was warmed to room temperature and stirred an additional 5 h. To the dilithio salt was

added zirconium tetrachloride (0.231 g, 0.99 mmol) via sidearm. The yellow slurry was

stirred for 12 h at room temperature followed by the removal of the solvent *in vacuo*. Dichloromethane (5 mL) was added to the solid and stirred 30 min. The dichloromethane was Schlenk filtered, and the solid residue was further rinsed with dichloromethane (2x10 mL). The residue was dried *in vacuo* and an ¹H NMR spectrum revealed the desired product remained with the lithium chloride salt, and was identical with that found with the procedure described above. The yield was not be calculated.



Bis(4,6-dimethylinden-7-

yl)]methylidenetitanium dichloride (dl-349): To 347 (0.660 g, 2.19 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (2 mL) was added at -78°C *n*-butyllithium (1.70 mL, 2.69 M in hexanes, 4.6 mmol). After the addition the reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 30 min. The dilithio salt solution was then added

dropwise via syringe to titanium trichloride (0.41 g, 2.64 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (1 mL) at -78 °C. Upon warming to room temperature the solution went from a purple to a dark green solution. After reaching room temperature the mixture was brought to reflux for 5 h then cooled to room temperature. The solvents were removed *in vacuo*, and replaced with chloroform (10 mL) and 1N hydrochloric acid (2 mL). The dark green liquid was stirred for 2 h in air during which time a precipitate formed. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer extracted with dichloromethane (3x50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with water, dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated (Note: a substantial portion of the titanocene remained with the MgSO₄ due to product insolubility. Like the zirconocene dichloride the product was thermally unstable as a solid and would decompose over 48 h and faster in solution). The solid residue was rinsed with hexanes to give *dl*-349 (0.352 g, 0.84 mmol) as a green precipitate in 38% yield. Mp dec. 200-220°C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.99 (s, 2H), 6.87 (m, 2H), 6.68 (m, 2H), 4.46 (s, 2H), 4.02 (m, 2H), 2.62 (bs, 12H); (EI 12eV, *m/z*, rel. intensity) 420 (4%), 418 (78), 417 (36), 414

(13), 383 (5), 382 (45), 381 (24), 380 (100), 378 (18), 344 (11), 300 (7), 298 (6), 297 (10), 283(6) 156 (8).

.

References

- 1. Kagan, H. B. Chiral ligands for Asymmetric Catalysis in "Asymmetric Synthesis" Morrison, J. D., Vol. 5, pp. 1-39. Academic Press, Inc., 1985, Orlando, Florida.
- 2. "Synthesis and Applications of the Chiral Cyclopentadienylmetal Complexes" Halterman, R. L. Chem. Rev. 1992, 92, 965-994.
- "Complexes Du Titane Presentant Une Pseudoasymetrie Sur L'atome Metallique" Moise, C.; Leblank, J.-C.; Tirouflet, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1974, 1723-1724.
- 4. "Synthesis of New Ligands for Transition Metal Complexes: Menthyl- and Neomenthyl-Cyclopentadienes" Cesarotti, E.; Kagan, H. B.; Goddard, R.; Krüger, C. J. Organomet. Chem. 1978, 162, 297-307.
- "ansa-Metallocene Derivatives IV. Synthesis and Molecular Structures of Chiral ansa-Titanocene Derivatives with Bridged Tetrahydroindenyl Ligands" Wild, F. R.W. P.; Zsolnai, L.; Huttner, G.; Brintzinger, H. H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1982, 232, 233-247.
- 6. (a) "Stercochemistry of Metallocenes" Schlögl, K; Top. Stereochem. 1967, 1, 39-88. (b) "Stereoselective Propene Polymerization at a Metallocene/Alumoxane Catalyst Derived from the Chirally-Substituted 'meso-like' (p-R, p-S)-bis[1-(neoisopinocamphyl)-4,5,6,7-tetrahydroindenyl]-zirconium Dichloride" Erker, G.; Aulbach, M.; Krüger, C.; Werber S. J. Organomet. Chem. 1993, 450, 1-7.
- "ansa-Metallocene Derivatives VII. Synthesis and Crystal Structure of a Chiral ansa-Zriconocene Derivative with Ethylene-Bridged Tetrahydroindenyl Ligands" Wild, F. R. W. P.; Wasiucionek, M.; Huttner, G.; Brintzinger, H. H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1985, 288, 63-67.
- "Derives Du Titanocene Presentant Deux Ligands pentahapto a Chiralite Planaire. Separation et Identification Des Differentes Formes Stereochimiques" Besancon, J.; Tirouflet, J.; Top, S.; Ea, B. H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1977, 133, 37-51.

- "New ansa-Metallocenes of the Group 4 Transition Metals as Homogeneous Catalysts for the Polymerizaiton of Propene and Stryrene" Green, M. L. H.; Ishihara, N. J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1994, 657-665.
- 10. Shipman, J.; Halterman, R. L. Masters Thesis, University of Oklahoma, 1997.
- "Bis-Cyclopentadienyl Derivatives of Some Transition Elements" Wilkinson, G;
 Pauson, P. L.; Birmingham, J. M.; Cotton, F. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1953, 75, 1011-1012.
- "Hindered Cyclopentadienyl Rotation in Hexakis(trimethylsilyl)metallocene Dichlorides of Zirconium and Hafnium. Evidence for Complete Rotation of the Tris(trimethylsilyl)cyclopentadienyl Ligands" Winter, C. H.; Dobbs, D. A. Zhou, X.-X. J. Organomet. Chem. 1991, 403, 145-151.
- "Electronic Effects in Homogeneous Indenylzirconium Ziegler-Natta Catalysts" Piccolrovazzi, N.; Pino, P.; Consiglio, G.; Sironi, A.; More, M. Organometallics 1990, 9, 3098-3105.
- "Synthesis and Electronic Structure of Permethylindenyl Complexes of Iron and Cobalt" O'Hare, D.; Green, J. C.; Marder, T.; Collins, S.; Stringer, G.; Kakkar A. K.; Kaltsoyannis, N.; Kuhn, A.; Lewis, R.; Mehnert, C.; Scott, P.; Kurnoo, M.; Pugh, S. Organometallics 1992, 11, 48-55.
- "A Designed, Enantiomerically Pure, Fused Cyclopentadienyl Ligand with C₂ Symmetry: Synthesis and Use in Enantioselective Titanocene-Catalyzed Hydrogenations of Alkenes" Halterman, R. L.; Vollhardt, P. C.; Welker, M. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 8105-8107.
- "Alkyl-substituted Indenyl Titanium Precursors for Syndiospecific Ziegler-Natta Polymerization of Styrene" Ready, T. E.; Chien, J. C. W.; Rausch, M. D. J. Organomet. Chem. 1996, 519, 21-28.

-· .·

- "ansa-Metallocene Derivatives XVIII. Chiral Titanocene Derivatives Accessible from Substituted Dihydropentalene and Azulene Precursors" Burger, P.; Hund, H.-U.; Evertz, K.; Brintzinger, H.-H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1989, 378, 153-161.
- "Complexes Derives Du Dichlorure De Titanocene A-Pseudoasymetrie Centrometallee" Leblanc, J. C., Moise, C. J. Organomet. Chem. 1977, 131, 35-42.
- 19. (a) "Double Sterodifferentiation in the Formation of Isotactic Polypropylene at Chiral (C₃H₄CHMePh)₂ZrCl₂/Methylalumoxane Catalysts" Erker, G.; Nolte, R.; Tsay, Y.-H.; Krüger, C. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1989, 28, 628-629. (b) "Cp-Substituted Additivity Effects Controlling Stereochemistry of the Propene Polymerization Reaction at Conformationally Unrestricted (Cp-CHR¹R²)₂ZrCl₂/Methylalumoxane Catalysts" Erker, G.; Nolte, R.; Wilker, S.; Krüger, C.; Noe, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 7594-7602.
- 20. (a) "Substitution Dans Les Complexes Du Titanocene. Problèmes De Sèlectivité Et De Stèrèochimie Dynamique" Leblanc, J.-C.; Moise, C.; Bounthakna, T. C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris 1974, 278, 973-975. (b) "Réactivité Et Aspect Stèrèochimique De Substitutions Dans Les Complexes Dèrivès Du Titanocène" Bounthakna, T.; Leblanc, J.-C.; Moise, C. C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris 1975, 280, 1431-1433.
- "Complexes Optiquement Actifs De La Serie Du Titanocene. Premiere Filiation Absolue Entre Les Derives Carbones Et Les Complexes Du Titane A Chiralite Centro-Metallee" Leblanc, J.-C.; Moise, C. J. Organomet. Chem. 1976, 120, 65-71.
- 22. "Synthesis and Asymmetric Reactivity of Enantiomerically Pure Cyclopentadienylmetal Complexes Derived from the Chiral Pool" Halterman, R. L.; Vollhardt, K. P. C. Organometallics 1988, 7, 883-892.

- "Synthese Et Reactivite De Nouveaux Dihydrurozirconocenes Et -Hafnocenes Substitues Achiraux Et Chiraux" Couturier, G.; Tainturier, G.; Gautheron, B. J. Organomet. Chem. 1980, 195, 291-306.
- 24. "Group 4 Metallocene Complexes Baring Cholesteranol-derived Substituents at the Cp-rings. The Synthesis and Use as Propene Polymerization Catalysts" Erker, G.;
 Mollenkopf, C. J. Organomet. Chem. 1994, 483, 173-181.
- 25. "The Role of Torsional Isomers of Planarly Chiral Nonbridged Bis(indenyl)metal Type Complexes in Stereoselective Propene Polymerization" Erker, G.; Aulbach, M.; Knickmeier, M.; Wingbermühle, D.; Krüger, C.; Nolte, M.; Werner, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 4590-4601.
- 26. "Conformational Analysis of Nonbridged Bent Metallocene Ziegler-Catalyst Precursors-Detection of the Third Torsional Isomer" Knickmeier, M.; Erker, G.; Fox, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 9623-9630.
- 27. "Practical Synthesis of Two Annelated Optically Active Cyclopentadienes From the Chiral Pool and Their Transition Metal Complexes" Halterman, R. L.; Vollhardt, P. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 1461-1464.
- 28. "Analysis of the π-Facial Preference for Complexation of a Camphor-Derived, Enantiomerically Pure Cyclopentadienyl Ligand to CpMCl₂ Fragments (M = Ti and Zr)" Paquette, L. A.; Moriarty, K. J.; McKinney, J. A.; Rodgers, R. D. Organometallics 1989, 8, 1707-1713.
- 29. "Stereoselective Formation From a (1S,5S)-(-)-Verbenone-Derived Cyclopentadiene of Dimeric and Mixed Titanium and Zirconium Dichloride Complexes" Moriarty, K. J.; Rogers, R. D.; Paquette, L. A. Organometallics 1989, 8, 1512-1517.
- "Homochiral Pinene-Fused Cyclopentadienes. Synthesis and π-Facially Selective Course of Diels-Alder Cycloadditions and Metallocene Formation" Paquette, L. A.;
 Gugelchuk, M.; McLaughlin, M. L. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 4732-4740.

- 31. (a) "Bis(isodicyclopentadienyl) Complexes of the Group 4 Transition Metals. Stereoselective Synthesis and Crystal Structures of the Titanocene and Zirconocene Dichloride Derivatives" Gallucci, J. C.; Gautheron, B.; Gugelchuk, M.; Meunier, P.; Paquette, L. A. Organometallics 1987, 6, 15-19. (b) "Stereocontrolled Bifacial Complexation of the Isodicyclopentadienyl Ligand to Cyclopentadienyltitanium Dichloride Fragments" Paquette, L. A.; Moriarty, K. J.; Meunier, P.; Gautheron, B.; Crocq, V. Organometallics 1988, 7, 1873-1875. (c) "Stereoselective Access to the Three Diisodicylopentadienyltitanium Dichlorides" Sornay, C.; Meunier, P.; Gautheron, B.; O'Doherty, G. A.; Paquette, L. A. Organometallics 1991, 10, 2082-2083.
- 32. "Synthesis of Camphor-Derived Chiral Cyclopentadienes via the Nazarov Cyclization: Preparation of Chiral Bis(cyclopentadienyl)zirconium and -titanium Dichlorides" Halterman, R. L.; Tretyakov, A. Tetrahedron 1995, 51, 4371-4382.
- 33. "Enantioselective Catalysis with a New Zirconium Trichloride Lewis Acid Containing a 'Dibornacyclopentadienyl' Ligand" Erker, G.; Zeijden, A. A. H. v.d. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1990, 29, 512-514.
- 34. "Optically Pure C₂-Symmetric Transition Metal Complexes. Steric Consequences of Flanking the Cyclopentadienyl Anion with a Pair of Bridged Bicyclic Terpene-Derived Hydrocarbon Subunits" Paquette, L. A.; Bzowej, E. I.; Kreuzholz, R. Organometallics 1996, 15, 4857-4862.
- 35. (a) "Crystal Structure of Enantiomerically Pure Bis(dimethylbiscyclooctylcyclopentadieneyl)zirconium Dichloride" Halterman, R. L.; Chen, Z. J. Organomet. Chem. 1995, 503, 53-57. (b) "Asymmetric Synthesis and Metalation of C₂-Symmetric Annulated Bicyclooctylcyclopentadienes" Chen, Z.; Eriks, K.; Halterman, R. L. Organometallics 1991, 10, 3449-3458.
- 36. (a) "Binaphthylcyclopentadiene: a C₂-Symmetric Annulated Cyclopentadienyl Ligand with Axial Chirality" Halterman, R. L.; Colletti, S. L. Tetrahedron Lett.

1989, 30, 3513-3516. (b) "Asymmetric Synthesis and Metalation of a Binaphthylcyclopentadiene, a C₂-Symmetric Chiral Cyclopentadiene" Colletti, S. L.; Halterman, R. L. Organometallics **1991**, 10, 3438-3448.

- 37. "1,1'-Trimethylenebis(η⁵-3-tert-butylcyclopentadienyl)-titanium(IV) Dichloride, a Chiral ansa-Titanocene Derivative" Schnutenhaus, H.; Brintzinger, H. H. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1979, 18, 777-778.
- "1,1'-Trimethylenetitanocene Dichloride and the Corresponding Compounds of Zirconium and Hafnium" Hillman, M.; Weiss, A. J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1972, 42, 123-128.
- "ansa-Metallocene Derivatives III. Influence of an Interannular Ethylene Bridge on the Reactivity of Titanocene Derivatives" Smith, J. A.; Brintzinger, H. H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1981, 218, 159-167.
- 40. "ansa-Metallocene Derivatives V. Synthesis of Tetramethylethylene-Bridged Titanocene and Zirconocene Derivatives via Reductive Fulvene Coupling" Schwemlein, H.; Brintzinger, H. H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1983, 254, 69-73.
- "ansa-Metallocene Derivatives XXI. Syntheses of Ethano-Bridged ansa-Titanocene Derivatives by the McMurry Reaction" Burger, P.; Brintzinger, H. H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1991, 407, 207-213.
- 42. (a) "1,1'-Methylenetitanocene Dichloride" Katz, T. J.; Acton, N. Tetrahdron Lett.
 1970, 2497-2499. (b) "Preparation of Metallocenes from Hydrocarbon Dianions" Katz, T. J.; Acton, N.; Martin, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 2934-2939.
- 43. (a) "Synthesis and Properties of Bis-cyclopentadienyl Dititanium Complexes" Nifant'ev, I. E.; Butakov, K. A., Aliev, Z. G.; Urazovskii, I. F.; Lomonosov, M. V. Organomet. Chem. USSR 1991, 4, 622-624. (b) "ansa-Metallocene Derivative of Ti^{IV} and Zr^{IV} with the Shortest -C(CH₃)₂-Bridge" Nifant'ev, I. E.; Churakov, A. V.; Urazovski, I. F.; Mkoyan, Sh. G.; Atovmyan, L. O. J. Organomet. Chem. 1992, 435, 37-42.

- 44. "Synthesis, Structural Characterization, and Electrochemistry of [1]Metallocenophane Complexes, [Si(alkyl)₂(C₅H₄)₂MCl₂, M = Ti, Zr" Bajgur, C. S.; Tikkanen, W. R.; Petersen, J. Inorg. Chem. 1985, 24, 2539-2546.
- 45. "Syntheses and Structures of Titanocene, Zirconocene, and Vanadocene Dichloride Complexes with Two Ethanediyl Bridges" Dorer, B.; Prosenc, M.-H.; Rief, U.; Brintzinger, H. H. Organometallics 1994, 13, 3868-3872.
- 46. "General Synthetic Routes to Chiral, Ethylene-Bridged ansa-Titanocene Dichlorides" Collins, S., Hong, Y., Taylor, N. J. Organometallics 1990, 9, 2695-2703.
- 47. "ansa-Metallocene Derivative XVI. Chiral Titanocene and Zirconocene Derivatives with Symmetrically Substituted, Tetramethylenthanediyl-Bridged Ligand Frameworks. Crystal Structures of Representative Examples" Gutmann, S.; Burger, P.; Hund, H.-U.; Hofmann, J.; Brintzinger, H. H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1989, 369, 343-357.
- "Stereoselectivity in the Synthesis of Tetramethylethano-bridged 3,3'-Di-tertbutyltitanocene Dichloride" Erickson, M. S.; Fronczek, F. R.; McLaughlin, M. L. J. Organomet. Chem. 1991, 415, 75-85.
- 49. "ansa-Metallocene Derivatives XVII. Racemic and meso Diastereomers of Group IV metallocene Derivatives with Symmetrically Substituted, Dimethylsilanediyl-Bridged Ligand Frameworks. Crystal Structure of R,S-Me₂Si(3-t-Bu-5-MeC₅H₂)₂ZrCl₂" Wiesenfeldt, H.; Reinmuth, A.; Barsties, E.; Evertz, K.; Brintzinger, H. H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1989, 369, 359-370.
- 50. "Chiral, Non-C₂ Symmetric Zirconocene Complexes as Catalysts for Stereoregular α-Olefin Polymerization" Giardello, M. A.; Eisen, M. S.; Stern, C. L.; Tobin, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 3326-3327.

- 51. "Synthesis, Structure Determination, and Reactivity of C₂-Symmetrical Ethylene-Bridged ansa-Bis(DiMeBCOCp)titanium Dichlorides" Halterman, R. L.; Chen, Z.;
 Khan, M. A. Organometallics 1996, 15, 3957-3967.
- 52. "The First Example of an Ethylene-Selective Soluble Ziegler Catalyst of the Zirconocene Class" Herrmann, W. A.; Rohrmann, J.; Herdtweck, E.; Spaleck, W.; Winter, A. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1989, 28, 1511-1512.
- "Stereorigid Metallocenes: Correlations Between Structure and Behaviour in Homopolymerizations of Propylene" Spaleck, W.; Antberg, M.; Dolle, V.; Klein, R.; Rohrmann, J.; Winter, A. New J. Chem. 1990, 14, 499-503.
- "Formation and Structure of a Novel Large-Ring Group 4 ansa-Metallocene Complex" Erker, G.; Mollenkopf, C.; Grehl, M.; Fröhlich, R. Organometallics 1994, 13, 1950-1955.
- *"ansa-Metallocene Derivatives XI. Synthesis and Crystal Struture of a Chiral ansa-*Titanocene Derivative with Trimethylene-Bridged Tetrahydroindenyl Ligands" Röll,
 W.; Zsolnai, L.; Huttner, G.; Brintzinger, H. H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1987, 65-70.
- "Chiral Cyclopentane-1,3-diyl-Bridged ansa-Titanocene Dichlorides" Chen, Z.;
 Halterman, R. L. Organometallics 1994, 13, 3932-3942.
- 57. Halterman, R. L.; Tretyakov, A. PhD. Thesis, University of Oklahoman, 1995.
- 58. "Application of the Double Pauson-Khand Cyclization to the Synthesis of Bis(Cyclopentadienes): Preparation of Phenyl-Bridged Bis(tetrahydroindenyl)titanium and Zirconium Dichlorides" Halterman, R. L.; Ramsey, T. M.; Pailes, N. A.; Khan, M. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1995, 497, 43-53.
- 59. (a) "A Disulfone-Based Approach to ansa-Titanocenes: Synthesis of (Ethylenebis(2-indenyl))Titanium Dichloride" Nantz, M. H.; Hitchcock, S. R.; Sutton, S. C.; Smith, M. D. Organometallics 1993, 12, 5012-5015. (b)

"Synthesis of *ansa*-Titanocenes from 1,2-Bis(2-indenyl)ethane and Structural Comparisons in the Catalytic Epoxidation of Unfunctionalized Alkenes" Hitchcock, S. R.; Situ, J. J.; Covel, J. A.; Olmstead, M. M.; Nantz, M. H. *Organometallics* **1995**, *14*, 3732-3740.

- 60. "Electronic Effect in Ziegle-Natta Polymerization of Propylene and Ethylene Using Soluble Metallocene Catalysts" Lee, I.-M.; Gauthier, W. J.; Ball, J. M.; Iyengar, B.; Collins, S. Organometallics 1992, 11, 2115-2122.
- "Crystal Structure and Propene Polymerization Characteristics of Bridged Zirconocene Catalysts" Kaminsky, W.; Rabe, O.; Schauwienold, A.-M.; Schupfner, G. U.; Hanss, J.; Kopf, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1995, 497, 181-193.
- 62. "The Influence of Aromatic Substituents on the Polymerization Behaviour of Bridged Zirconocene Catalysts" Organometallics 1994, 13, 954-963.
- 63. (a) C₂-Symmetric 2,2'-Dimethyl-1,1'-binaphthyl-Bridged ansa-Bis(1-indenyl)metal Complexes" Burk, M. J.; Colletti, S. L.; Halterman, R. L. Organometallics 1991, 10, 2998-3000. (b) "Asymmetric Epoxidation of Unfunctionalized Alkenes Using the New C₂-Symmetrical 1,1'-Binaphthyl-2,2'-Dimethylene-Bridged ansa-Bis(1indenyl)Titanium Dichloride Catalyst" Colletti, S. L.; Halterman, R. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 1005-1008. (c) "Bis(binaphthylcyclopentadienyl)-derived Metallocene Peroxide Complexes: Catalysts for the Enantioselective Epoxidation of Unfunctionalized Alkenes" Colletti, S. L.; Halterman, R. L. J. Organomet. Chem. 1993, 455, 99-106.
- 64. "Enantioselective Catalytic Isomerization of an Unfunctionalized Achiral Alkene" Chen, Z.; Halterman, R. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 2276-2277.
- 65. "Synthesis of *ansa*-2,2'-Bis[(4,7-dimethyl-inden-1-yl)methyl]-1,1'-binaphthyl and *ansa*-2,2'-Bis[(4,5,6,7-tetrahydroindeny-1-yl)methyl]-1,1'-binaphthyltitanium and

-

-zirconium Dichlorides" Halterman, R. L.; Combs, D.; Kihega, J. G.; Khan, M. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1996, 520, 163-170.

- 66. "Asymmetric Synthesis of a Sterically Rigid Binaphthyl-Bridged Chiral Metallocene: Asymmetric Catalytic Epoxidation of Unfunctionalized Alkenes" Halterman, R. L.; Ramsey, T. M. Organometallics 1993, 12, 2879-2880.
- 67. "Synthesis, Structure, and Properties of Chiral Titanium and Zirconium Complexes Bearing Biaryl Strapped Substituted Cyclopentadienyl Ligands" Ellis, W. W.; Hollis, T. K.; Odenkirk, W.; Whelan, J.; Ostrander, R.; Rheingold, A. L.; Bosnich, R. Organometallics 1993, 12, 4391-4401.
- 68. Halterman, R. L.; Lotman, M. S. Masters Thesis, University of Oklahoma, 1992.
- "Preparation and Properties of Chiral Titanocene and Zirconocene Dichloride Complexes of a Chiral Ligand" Rheihgold, A. L.; Robinson, N. P.; Whelan, J.; Bosnich, B. Organometallics 1992, 11, 1869-1876.
- "Cyclohexyl[trans-1,2-bis(1-indenyl0]zirkonium(IV) Dichloride: Ein Chiraler
 Polymerisationskatalystor mit Stereochemisch Starrer Brücke" Rieger, B. J.
 Organomet. Chem. 1992, 428, C33-C36.
- 71. "Halogen-Free Soluble Ziegler Catalysts for the Polymerization of Ethylene. Control of Molecular Weight by Choice of Temperature" Andresen, A.; Cordes, H.-G.; Herwig, J.; Kaminsky, W.; Merk, A.; Mottweiler, R.; Pein, J.; Sinn, H.; Vollmer, H.-J. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1976, 15, 630-632.
- 72. "Polymerization of Propene and Butene with a Chiral Zirconocene and Methylalumoxane as Cocatalyst" Kaminsky, W.; Külper, K.; Brintzinger, H. H.; Wild, F. R. W. P. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1985, 24, 507-508.
- 73. "Mechanistische Untersuchungen der Propenpolymerisation mit dem katalytischen Stystem [2,4-Cyclopentadien-1-yliden(methylethyliden)1H-inden-1yliden]zirkoniumdichlorid-Methylaluminoxan" Montag, P.; Leek, Y. v.d.; Angermund, K.; Fink, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1995, 497, 201-209.

- 74. (a) "Stereochemistry and Catalysis with Zirconium Complexes" Erker, G. Pure & Appl. Chem. 1991, 63, 797-806. (b) "Ziegler-Natta Catalysis I. J. Mechanism of Polymerization of α-Olefins with Ziegler-Natta Catalysts" Cossee, P. J. Cat. 1964, 3, 80-88. (c) "Ziegler-Natta Catalysis III. Stereospecific Polymerization of Propene with the Catalyst System TiCl₃-AlEt₃" Cossee, P.; Arlman, E. J. J. Cat. 1964, 3, 99-104. (d) "Density Functional Study of the Insertion Step in Olefin Polymerization by Metallocene and Constrained-Geometry Catalysts" Woo, T. K.' Ziegler, T. Organometallics 1994, 13, 432-433.
- 75. "Enantioselective Hydrogenation of Olefins with Homogeneous Ziegler-Natta Catalysts" Waymouth, R.; Pino, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 4911-4914.
- 76. (a) "Novel Bicyclization of Enynes and Diynes Promoted by Ziconocene Derivatives and Conversion of Zirconobicycles into Bicylic Enones via Carbonylation" Negishi, E.; Holmes, S. J.; Tour, J. M.; Miller, J. A.; Cederbaum, F. E.; Swanson, D. R.; Takahashi, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 3336-3346.
 (b) "Zirconium-Promoted Bicyclization of Enynes" Negishi, E.; Holmes, S. J.; Tour, J. M.; Miller, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 2568-2569.
- 77. "Development of a Titanocene-Catalyzed Enyne Cyclization/Isocyanide Insertion Reaction" Berk, S. C.; Grossman, R. B.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 8593-8601.
- "Highly Enantioselective Catalytic Pauson-Khand Type Formation of Bicyclic Cyclopentenones" Hicks, F. A.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 11688-11689.
- 79. (a) "Novel Synthesis of Heterocycles Using Zirconium-Catalyzed Diene Cyclizaiton" Uesaka, N.; Mori, M.; Okamura, K.; Date, T. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 4542-4547. (b) "Formal Total Synthesis of (-)-Dendrobine Using Zirconium-Promoted Reductive Cyclization" Uesaka, N.; Saitoh, F.; Mori, M. Shibasaki, M.; Okamura, K.; Date, T. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 5633-5642.

- Some Novelties in Olefin Carbometal'ation Assisted by Alkylmagnesium and aluminum Derivatives and Catalyzed by Zirconium and Titanium Complexes" Dzhemilev, U. M.; Vostrikova, O. S. J. Organomet. Chem. 1985, 285, 43-51.
- 81. (a) "Enantio-, Diastereo-, and Regioselective Zirconium Catalyzed Carbomagnesation of Cyclic Ethers with Higher Alkyls of Magnesium. Utility in Synthesis and Mechanistic Applications" Didiuk, M. T.; Johannes, C. W.; Morken, J. P.; Hoveyda, A. H. J. Am. Chem.Soc. 1995, 117, 7097-7104. (b) "Zirconium-Catalyzed Asymmetric Carbomagnesation" Morken, J. P.; Didiuk, M. T.; Hoveyda, A. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 6997-6998.
- "Catalytic Asymmetric Carbomagnesation of Unactivated Alkenes. A New, Effective, Active, Cheap and Recoverable Chiral Zirconocene" Bell, L.; Whitby, R.
 J.; Jones, R. V. H.; Standen, M. C. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 7139-7142.
- "A Zirconium Catalysed Synthesis of 1,4-Dimagnesium Reagents" Lewis, D. P.; Muller, P. M.; Whitby, R. J.; Jones, R. V. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 6797-6800.
- 84. (a) "Zirconium-Catalyzed Enantioselective Alkylalumination of Monosubstituted Alkenes Proceeding via Noncyclic Mechanism" Kondakov, D. Y.; Negishi, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 1577-1578. (b) "Zirconium-Catalyzed Enantioselective Methylalumination of Monosubstituted Alkenes" Kondakov, D. Y.; Negishi, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 10771-10772.
- 85. (a) "Asymmetric titanocene-Catalyzed Hydrogenation of Imines" Willoughby, C.
 A.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 7562-7564. (b) "Catalytic Asymmetric Hydrogenation of Imines with a Chiral Titanocene Catalyst: Scope and Limitations" Willoughby, C. A.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 8952-8965.

143

- 86. "Highly Enantioselective Imine Hydrosilylation Using (S,S)-Ethylenebis(η⁵-tetrahydroindenyl)titanium Difluoride" Verdaguer, X.; Lange, U. E. W.; Reding, M. T.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 6784-6785.
- 87. "Enantioselective Hydrosilylation of Ketones with a Chiral Titanocene" Carter, M.
 B.; Schiøtt, B.; Gutiérrez, A.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 11667-11670.
- 88. "Catalytic Asymmetric Hydrosilation of Aryl Alkyl Ketones with C₂-Symmetric Chiral Metallocene Complexes" Halterman, R. L.; Ramsey, T. M.; Chen Z. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 2642-2644.
- 89. "Complexes with Chiral Alkylcyclopentadienyl Ligands for Homogenious Catalytic Asymmetric Hydrogenation of 2-Phenyl-1-butene" Cesarotti, E.; Ugo, R.; Kagan, H. B. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1979, 18, 779-780.
- 90. "Asymmetric Hydrogenation of Unfunctionalized Trisubstituted Olefins with a Chiral Titanocene Catalyst" Broene, R. D.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 12569-12570.
- 91. (a) "Zirconocene-Catalyzed Cationic Diels-Alder Reactions" Wipf, P.; Xu, W. *Tetrahedron* 1995, 51, 4551-4562. (b) "Asymmetric Induction in the Diels-Alder Reaction Catalyzed by Chiral Metallocene Triflate Complexes: Dramatic Effect of Solvent Polarity" Jaquith, J. B.; Guan, J.; Wang, S.; Collins, S. *Organometallics* 1995, 14, 1079-1081. (c) "Preparation, Reactivity, and X-ray Structure of a Cationic Alkoxyzirconocene Complex" Collins, S.; Koene, B. E.; Ravindranath, R.; Taylor, N. J. *Organometallics* 1991, 10, 2092-2094.
- 92. (a) "Addition of Crotyltitanocenes to Aldehydes: Influence of Cyclopentadienyl Substituents on the Diastereoselective Formation of Homoallylic Alcohols" Collins, S.; Dean, W. P.; Ward, D. G. Organometallics 1988, 7, 2289-2293. (b) "Addition of Chiral Allyltitanocenes to Aldehydes: Diastereoselective Synthesis of

Homoallylic Alcohols with a Recyclable Chiral Transition Metal Reagent" Collins, S.; Kuntz, B. A.; Hong, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 54, 4154-4158.

- 93. "Hydrozirconation: a New Transition Metal Reagent for Organic Synthesis"
 Schwartz, J.; Labinger, J. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1976, 15, 333-340.
- 94. Halterman, R. L.; Ramsey, T. M. PhD. Thesis, University of Oklahoma, 1994.
- 95. "Asymmetic Synthesis Catalyzed by Chiral Ferrocenylphosphine-Transition Metal Complexes. I. Preparation of Chiral Ferrocenylphosphines" Hayashi, T.; Mise, T.; Fukushima, M.; Kagotani, M.; Nagashima, N.; Hamada, Y.; Matsumoto, A.; Kawakami, S.; Konishi, M.; Yamamoto, K.; Kumada, M. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1980, 53, 1138-1151.
- 96. "New and Improved Synthesis of Optically Pure (R)- and (S)-2,2'-Dimethyl-1,1'binaphthyl and Related Compounds" Maigrot, N.; Mazaleyrat, J.-P. Synthesis
 1985, 317-320.
- 97. "Preparation and Catalytic Application of a Novel Very Rigid Group 4 ansa-Metallocene System" Erker, G.; Psiorz, C.; Fröhlich, R.; Grehl. M. Tetrahedron 1995, 51, 4347-4358.
- 98. "Crystal Structure and Propene Polymerization Characteristics of Bridged
 Zirconocene Catalysts" Kaminsky, W.; Rabe, O.; Schauwienold, A.-M.; Scupfner,
 G. U.; Hans, J.; Kopf, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1995, 497, 181-193.
- 99. "Crystal Structures and Solution Conformation of the Meso and Racemic Isomers of (Ethylenbis(1-indenyl))zirconium Dichloride" Piemontesi, F.; Camurati, I.; Resconi, L.; Balboni, D.; Sironi, A.; Moret, M.; Zeigler, R.; Piccolrovazzi, N. Organometallics 1995, 14, 1256-1266.
- 100. "High Molecular Weight Polypropylene Through Specifically Designed Zirconocene Catalysts" Spaleck, W.; Antberg, M.; Rohrmann, J.; Winter, A.; Bachmann, B.; Kiprof, P.; Behm, J.; Herrmann, W. A. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1992, 31, 1347-1350.

· · · ·

- 101. "Preparation and X-ray Structure of a Novel Chiral Methylene Bridged Titanocene Complex" Willoughby, C. A.; Davis, W. M.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Organomet. Chem. 1995, 497, 11-15.
- 102. "Synthesis of a Novel Annulated C₁-Bridged ansa-Metallocene System" Erker, G.;
 Psiorz, C.; Krüger, C.; and Nolte, M. Chem. Ber. 1994, 127, 1551-1553.
- 103. "Novel Chiral ansa-Metallocene Complexes of Titanium and Zirconium with a Semirigid Bridge" Atovmyan, L.; Mkoyan, S.; Urazowski, I.; Broussier, B.; Ninoreille, S.; Perron, P.; Gautheron, B. Organometallics 1995, 14, 2601-2604.
- 104. "ansa-Metallocene Derivatives. 27. Chiral Zirconocene Complexes with Two Dimethylsilylene Bridges" Mengelc, W.; Diebold, J.; Troll, C.; Röll, W.; Brintzinger, H. H. Organometallics 1993, 12, 1931-1935.
- 105. "Synthesis and Structure of a C₂-Symmetric, Doubly Bridged ansa-Titanocene Complex" Grossman, R. B.; Tsai, J.-C.; Davis, W. M.; Gutiérrez, A.; Buchwald, S. L. Organometallics 1994, 13, 3892-3896, and references sited within.
- 106. "Synthesis and Structure of the First [2₂]Metallocenophanes" Hafner, K.; Mink,
 C.; Lindner, H. J. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1994, 33, 1479-1480.
- 107. "Biphenyl-Bridged Metallocenes that are Chiral, Configurationally Stable, and Free of Diastereomers" Huttenloch, M. E.; Diebold, J.; Rief, U.; Brintzinger, H. H.; Gilbert, A. M.; Katz, T. J. Organometallics 1992, 11, 3600-3607.
- 108. "Stereospecific Olefin Polymerization with chiral Metallocene Catalysts" Brinztinger, H. H.; Fischer, D.; Mülhaupt, R.; Rieger, B.; Waymouth, R. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 1143-1170.
- 109. "Synthesis and Structure of C₂-Symmetric, Doubly Bridged Bis(indenyl)titanium and -zirconium Dichlorides" Halterman, R. L.; Tretyakov, A.; Combs, D.; Chang, J.; Khan, M. A. Organometallics 1997, 16, 3333-3339.

- 110. For a review of the Nazarov reaction see: Denmark, S. E. Nazarov and Related
 Cyclizations in Comprehensive Organic Synthesis Vol. 5, pp. 751-784, Paquette,
 L. A., E., Pergamon, New York, 1991.
- 111. Halterman, R. L.; Chang, J. Unpublished results.
- 112. "Structural Studies of (π-C₅H₅)₂MX₂ Complexes and Their Derivatives. The Structure of Bis(π-cylcopentadienyl)titanium Dichloride" Clearfield, A.; Warner, D. K.; Saldarriaga-Molina, C. H.; Ropan, R.; Bernal, I. Can. J. Chem. 1975, 53, 1622-1628.
- 113. "The Crystal and Molecular Structures of Bent Bis-π-cyclopentadienyl-Metal Complexes (a) Bis-π-cyclopentadienyldibromorheinium(V) Tetrafluoroborate, (b) Bis-π-cyclopentadienyldichlormolybdenum(IV), (c) Bis-πcyclopentadienylhydroxomethylaminomolybdenum (IV) Hexafluorophosphate (d) Bis-π-cyclopentadienylethylchlormolybdenum(IV), (e) Bis-πcyclopentadienyldichloroniobium(IV), (f) Bis-π-cyclopentadienylmolybdenum(V) Tetrafluoroborate, (g) µ-Oxo-bis[bis-π-cyclopentadienylchloroniobium(V)] Tetrafluoroborate, (h) Bis-π-cyclopentadienylchloroniobium(V)] Tetrafluoroborate, (h) Bis-π-cyclopentadienyldichlorozirconium" Prout, K.; Camron, T. S.; Forder, R. A.; Critchley, S. R.; Denton, B.; Rees, G. V. Acta. Cryst. 1974, B30, 2290-2304.
- 114. "Synthesis and Structural Characterization by X-Ray Diffraction and Electron Paramagnetic Resonance Single-Crystal Techniques of V(η⁵-C₅H₄CH₃)₂Cl₂ and Ti(η⁵-C₅H₄CH₃)₂Cl₂. A study of the Spatial Distribution of the Unpaired Electron in V(η⁵-C₅H5)₂L₂-Type Complex" Peterson, J. L.; Dahl, L. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 6422-6432.
- 115. "Dilute Single-Crystal Electron Paramagnetic Resonance Study of (η⁵-C₅H₄CH₃)₂NbCl₂. Observation of Cl Hyperfine Coupling with the Unpaired Electron" Petersen, J. L.; Egan, J. W. Jr. Inorg. Chem. 1983, 22, 3571-3575.

-

- 116. "Dichlorobis(η⁵-isopropylcyclopentadienyl)titanium(IV), [TiCl₂(C₈H₁₁)₂]" Howie,
 R. A.; McQuillan, G. P.; Thompson, D. W. Acta. Cryst. 1985, C4!, 1045-1047.
- 117. "Torsional Isomerism of Bent Metallocene Complexes. The Molecular Structure of Bis(isopropylcyclopentadienyl)zirconiumdichloride in the Solid State" Krüger, C.; Nolte, M.; Erker, G.; Thiele, S. Z. Naturforsch. 1992, 47b, 995-999.
- 1i8. "Structure and Reactivity of Substituted Di-η^s-cyclopentadienyl Metal Dihalides. Crystal Structure of Dichlorobis(η^s-t-butylcyclopentadienyl)zirconium(IV)" Howie, R. A.; McQuillan, G. P.; Tompson, D. W.; Lock, G. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1986, 303, 213-220.
- 119. Klouras, N.; Nastopoulos, V. Monatsh. Chem. 1991, 122, 551.
- Antinolo, A.; Lappert, M. F.; Singh, A.; Winterborn, D. J. W.; Engelhardt, L. M.; Ratson, C. L.; White, A. H.; Carty, A. J.; Taylor, N. J. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1987, 1463.
- 121. Stahl, K. P.; Boche, G.; Massa, W. J. Organomet. Chem. 1984, 277, 113.
- 122. Soest, T. C. v.; Rappard, J. C. C. W.; Royers, E. C. Cryst. Struct. Commun. 1973, 2, 451.
- 123. "1,3-Di-t-butyl Substituted Cyclopentadienyl Titanium Complexes. New Structural Aspects" Urazowski, I. F.; Ponomaryov, V. I.; Ellert, O. G.; Nifant'v, I. E.; Lemenovskii, D. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1988, 356, 181-193.
- 124. "Crystal Structure of Bis(1,3-di-tert-butyl-cyclopentadienyl)zirconium dichloride, C₂₆H₄₂Cl₂Zr" Bohme, L.; Langhof, H. Z. Kristallogr. 1993, 206, 281-283.
- 125. "Lipophilic Halogenozirconocene(III) Complexes: [{Zr[(η-C₅H₃(SiMe₃)₂-1,3]₂(μ-Cl)]₂], [NBu₄][Zr{η-C₅H₃(SiMe₃)₂-1,3}₂Cl₂] and [Zr{η-C₅H₃(SiMe₃)₂-1,3}₂Cl₂]" Hitchcock, P. B.; Lappert, M. F.; Lawless, G. A.; Olivier, H.; Ryan, E. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1992, 474-476.

- 126. "Crystal Structure of Bis(1,2,4,-tri-isopropyl-cyclopentadienyl)zirconium dichloride, C₂₈H₄₆Cl₂Zr" Peters, K.; Peters, E. M.; Schnering, H. G.-v.; Boehme, U.; Thiele, K.-H. Z. Kristallogr.1992, 198, 288-290.
- 127. "Structure of the Unreactive Hindered Cyclopentadienylzirconium Compound [C₅H₂(SiMe₃)₃]₂ZrCl₂" Choukroun, R.; Dahan, F. Organometallics 1994, 13, 2097-2100.
- 128. "The Influence of Electronic and Steric Effects and the Importance of Polymerization Conditions in the Ethylene Polymerization with zriconocene/MAO Catalysts" Janiak, C.; Vesteeg, U.; Lange. K. C. H.; Weimann, R.; Hahn, E. J. Organomet. Chem. 1995, 501, 219-234.
- 129. "The Crystal and Molecular Structure of Bis(pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)dichlorotitanium(IV)" McKenzie, T. C.; Sanner, R. D.; Bercaw, J. E. J. Organomet. Chem. 1975, 102, 457-466.
- 130. "Struktur von bis(tetramethylethylcylcopentadienyl)zirkondichlorid, Cp⁰₂ZrCl₂"
 Kurz, S.; Hey-Hawkins, E. Z. Kristallogr. 1993, 205, 61-67.
- 131. "Synthetic, X-ray Stuctural and Polymerization Studies on Isopropyltetramethylcyclopentadienyl Derivatives of Titanium" Mallin, D. T.; Rausch, M. D.; Mintz, E. A.; Rheingold, A. L. J. Organomet. Chem. 1990, 381, 35-44.
- 132. "Importance of Steric Requirements Relative to Electronic Contributions in Bicycloalkyl-Substituted Titanocene Dichlorides" Bursten, B. E.; Callstrom, M. R.; Jolly, C. A.; Paquette, L. A.; Sivik, M. R.; Tucker, R. S.; Wartchow, C. A. Organometallics 1994, 13, 127-133.
- "Crystal Structure of bis(1,2-di-isopropylcyclopentadienyl)zirconium Dichloride
 C₂₂H₃₄Cl₂Zr" Peters, K.; Peter, E.-M.; Schnering, H. G.-v. Z. Kristallog.1992, 198, 285-287.

- 134. "Solid State NMR and X-ray Diffraction Studies of Structure and Molecular Motion in ansa-Titanocenes" Edwards, A. J.; Burke, N. J.; Dobson, C. M.; Prout, K.; Heyes, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 4637-4653.
- 135. "ansa-Metallocene Derivative. 24. Deviations from C₂-Axial Symmetry in Ethanoand Etheno-Bridged Titanocene Complexes: Investigation of ansa-Metallocene Conformations" Burger, P.; Diebold, J.; Gutmann, S.; Hund, H.-U.; Brintzinger, H. H. Organometallics 1992, 11, 1319-1327.
- 136. "Synthesis, Structural Characterization, and Electrochemistry of [1]Metallocenophane Complexes, [Si(alkyl)₂(C₅H₄)₂]MCl₂ M = Ti, Zr" Bajgur, C. S.; Tikkanen, W. R.; Petersen, J. L. Inorg. Chem. 1985, 24, 2539-2546.
- 137. "Double-Dimethyl-Bridged Dicyclopentadienyl Group 4 Metal Complexes. X-ray Molecular Structures of M[(Me₂Si)₂(η⁵C₅H₃)₂]Cl₂ (M = Ti, Zr) and (TiCl₃)₂{μ-[(Me₂Si)₂(η⁵C₅H₃)₂]}" Cano, A.; Cuenca, T.; Gómez-Sal, P.; Royo, B.; Royo, P. Organometallics 1994, 13, 1688-1694.
- "ansa-Metallocene Dichlorides VIII. Synthesis and Crystal Structures of Ethylene-Bridged Titanocene and Zirconocene Derivatives with Permethylated Ring Ligands" Wochner, F.; Zsolnai, L.; Huttner, G.; Brintzinger, H. H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1985, 288, 69-77.
- "Oscillating Stereocontrol: A Strategy for the Synthesis of Thermoplastic Elastomeric Polypropylene" Coates, G. W.; Waymouth, R. M. Science 1995, 267, 217-219.
- 140. "Stereoblock Polypropylene: Ligand Effects on the Stereospecificity of 2-Arylindene Zirconocene Catalysts" Hauptamn, E.; Waymouth, R. M.; Ziller, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 11586-11587.
- 141. Halterman, R. L.; Bailly, E. F. Unpublished results.

• •

142. "Synthesis of Permethylindenyl Complexes of the Early Transition Metals. Crystal Structures of $Ti(\eta^5-C_9Me_7)Cl_3$ and $Zr(\eta^5C_9Me_7)Cl_2$ " O'Hare, D.; Murphy, V.;

Diamond, G. M.; Arnold, P.; Mountford, P. Organometallics 1994, 13, 4689-4694.

- 143. "X-ray Structures of Ethylenebis(tetrahydroindenyl)-titanium and -zirconium Dichlorides: A Revision" Collins, S.; Kuntz, B. A.; Taylor, N. J.; Ward, D. G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1988, 342, 21-29.
- 144. "Arenes Disubstituted with Primary Alkyl Groups from Xylylene Dianions" Bates,
 R. B.; Ogle, C. A. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 3949-3952.
- (a) "Efficient Synthesis of rac-(Ethylenebis(indenyl))ZrX₂ Complexes via Amine Elimination" Diamond, G. M.; Rodewald, S.; Jordan, R. F. Organometallics 1995, 14, 5-7. (b) "Synthesis of Group 4 rac-(EBI)M(NR₂)₂ Complexes by Amine Elimination. Scope and Limitations" Diamond, G. M.; Jordan, R. F.; Petersen, J. L. Organometallics 1996, 15, 4030-4037. (c) "Synthesis, Structure, and Reactivity of rac-Me₂Si(indenyl)₂Zr(NMe₂)₂" Christopher, J. N.; Diamond, G. M.; Jordan, R. F.; Petersen, J. L. Organometallics 1996, 15, 4038-4044. (d) "Synthesi of Me₂Si-Bridged ansa-Zirconocenes by Amine Elimination" Diamond, G. M.; Jordan, R. F.; Petersen, J. L. Organometallics 1996, 15, 4045-4053.

146. "Dimesitylmethane" Cornell, J. H. Jr.; Gollis, M. H. Org. Synth.V, 422-424.

· · •