## INFORMATION TO USERS

This manuscript has been reproduced from the microfilm master. UMI films the text directly from the original or copy submitted. Thus, some thesis and dissertation copies are in typewriter face, while others may be from any type of computer printer.

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted. Broken or indistinct print, colored or poor quality illustrations and photographs, print bleedthrough, substandard margins, and improper alignment can adversely affect reproduction.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send UMI a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if unauthorized copyright material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.

Oversize materials (e.g., maps, drawings, charts) are reproduced by sectioning the original, beginning at the upper left-hand corner and continuing from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps. Each original is also photographed in one exposure and is included in reduced form at the back of the book.

Photographs included in the original manuscript have been reproduced xerographically in this copy. Higher quality $6^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ black and white photographic prints are available for any photographs or illustrations appearing in this copy for an additional charge. Contact UMI directly to order.

## UMI

A Bell \& Howell Information Company 300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor MI 48106-1346 USA

## UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA GRADUATE COLLEGE

# SEQUENCING ANALYSIS OF REGIONS OF HUMAN CHROMOSOMES 11 AND 22: MENINGIOMA (22), CAT EYE SYNDROME (22), AND MULTIPLE ENDOCRINE NEOPLASIA, TYPE 1 (11) 

A Dissertation<br>SUBMITTED TO THE GRADUATE FACULTY in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

By

JUDY SUE CRABTREE
Norman, Oklahoma
1997

Copyright 1997, by UMI Company. All rights reserved.
This microform edition is protected against unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code.

300 North Zeeb Road
Ann Arbor, MI 48103

# SEQUENCING ANALYSIS OF REGIONS OF HUMAN CHROMOSOMES 11 AND 

22: MENINGIOMA (22), CAT EYE SYNDROME (22) AND MULTIPLE ENDOCRINE NEOPLASIA, TYPE 1 (11)

## A Dissertation APPROVED FOR THE

 DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY AND BIOCHEMISTRY

## Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my parents, Bob and Sue Lobsinger, for their unwavering support, guidance and love. Thanks for instilling in me the desire to learn, to set high standards, and to always give everything my best shot. I truly learned by your example. Mom, thanks for listening as we wandered the malls and Dad, thanks for everything you couldn't find the words to say. I would like to thank my brothers, Mike, John and Steve Lobsinger, and my cousin, Mark Lobsinger, for the intellectual banter and the not-so-intellectual hack and slay. I appreciate your support, and certainly the comic relief, more than you could ever imagine. I also wish to thank Al and Cecile Crabtree, and Cecil and Johnna Gray for the encouragement, support, catfish, and weekends of R \& R. I would like to thank Cecile and my parents for critical reading of the manuscript.

A special thanks to my brother and sister-in-law, Steve and Darci Lobsinger, for their generous hospitality during the closing stages of this dissertation work, and for a friendship that will last a lifetime.

I would like to thank my major professor, Dr. Bruce Roe, for his guidance, support, inspiration, and friendship during the past ten years. Thanks for being my teacher, sounding board, therapist, role model and friend all rolled into one. I also extend my appreciation to the other members of my graduate advisory committee, Dr. David McCarthy, Dr. John Downard, Dr. Ralph Wheeler and Dr. Phil Klebba for their assistance, and the DOE and NHGRI for the financial support.

I would like to extend a big thank you to everyone in the Roe Lab, both past and present, for making it such a positive, friendly place to work and learn: Mueed Ahmad, Cathy Anadu, Dennis Burian, Linda Cantu, Feng Chen, Stephanie Chissoe, Lingzhi Chu, Sandy Clifton, Arlena Coulberson, Angela Dorman, Whitney Elkins, Jennifer Gray, Karen Hartman, Jennifer Hausner, Andrew Horning, Naiqing Hu, Emily Huang,

Axin Hua, Kala Iyer, Steve Kenton, Akbar Khan, Doris Kupfer, Hongshing Lai, Lisa Lane, Michele Lasley, Sharon Lewis, Shaoping Lin, Eda Malaj, Sean Meadows, Fares Najar, Nien Ma, Yichen Ma, Elaine Mardis, Thuan Nguyen, Huaqin Pan, Murli Rao, Adonis Reece, Qun Ren, Will Tankersley, Steve Toth, Yonathan Tilahun, Ha Vu, YingPing Wang, Zhili Wang, Heather Wright, Ziyun Yao, Xiling Yuan, Min Zhan, Guozhong Zhang, and Hua Zhu. I would like to especially thank Diana Willingham and Marsha Lobsinger for their efforts on the b18h3 project, the long nights and weekends are greatly appreciated! I would also like to thank my special giants, Ginger Coleman and Jim Martin for a great start in the right direction; Cheri Crabtree for always being there with a hug and a smile; Mary Ann Roberts for the continuous encouragement, inspiration and loads of fun; Kaylynn Hale for the humor, smiles and for always finding the silver lining; and my many friends, both old and new, for their endless support and encouragement along the way.

Last, but certainly not least, I would like to thank my husband, Chuck Crabtree, for his undying patience, eternal faith in my abilities, constant love, and continuous support during the last five years. Thanks for understanding the late nights and weekends, thanks for bringing me food when I didn't take the time to eat, and thanks for always being there with me...to celebrate in the good times and to console in the bad. I couldn't have done it without you!

## Table of Contents

Page
List of Tables ..... vii-ix
List of Figures ..... x-xii
List of Abbreviations ..... xiii-xvi
Abstract ..... xvii-xviii
Chapter I: Introduction
I. Meningioma ..... I
II. Cat Eye Syndrome ..... 29
III. Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia, type 1 ..... 39
IV. Large scale DNA sequencing ..... 45
Chapter II: Materials and Methods
I. General methods and random subclone generation ..... 79
II. Methods for DNA isolation ..... 80
III. Methods for DNA sequencing ..... 84
IV. Methods for shotgun sequence data proofreading, assembly and closure 93 ..... 93
V. Methods for final sequence data analysis ..... 97
Chapter III: Results and Discussion
I. Shotgun sequencing strategy development ..... 100
II. Strategy implementation ..... 108
III. Meningioma sequencing and analysis ..... 111
IV. Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia, type I sequencing and analysis ..... 123
V. Cat Eye Syndrome sequencing and analysis ..... 216
Chapter VI: Conclusions ..... 228
Chapter V: Literature Cited ..... 234
Appendix ..... 262

## LIST OF TABLES

Table Page

1. Comparison of CES clinical features with trisomy 22 clinical features ..... 33
2. Biochemical changes resulting from MEN-1 tumor presence ..... 40
3. MN-l genome organization and splice junctions ..... 115
4. Meningioma deletion region simple sequence repeats ..... 124
5. Rab8 and $\beta$ lymphocyte serine/threonine kinase (GC kinase) gene region genomic organization ..... 131
6. Rab8 and $\beta$ lymphocyte serine/threonine kinase (GC kinase) gene splice junctions ..... 133
7. Rab8 and $\beta$ lymphocyte serine/threonine kinase (GC kinase) gene region simple sequence repeats ..... 134
8. ZFM1, ZFM1 B3 isoform, and ZFM1 B4 isoform gene region genomic organization ..... 138
9. ZFM1, ZFM1 B3 isoform, and ZFM1 B4 isoform gene splice junctions ..... 139
10. $\mathrm{SF} 1-\mathrm{Bo}$ isoform, $\mathrm{SF} 1-\mathrm{HL} 1$ isoform and mouse CW17 gene region genomic organization ..... 143
11. SF1-Bo isoform, SF1-HL1 isoform and mouse CW17 gene splice junctions ..... 144
12. ZFM1, ZFM1 B3 isoform, ZFM1 B4 isoform, SF1-Bo isoform, $\mathrm{SF} 1-\mathrm{HLI}$ isoform and mouse CW17 gene region simple sequence repeats ..... 147
13. Muscle glycogen phosphorylase gene genomic organization ..... 151
14. Muscle glycogen phosphorylase gene splice juctions ..... 152
15. Muscle glycogen phosphorylase gene region simple sequence repeats ..... 156
16. Multiple endocrine neoplasia, type I gene genomic organization ..... 161
17. Multiple endocrine neoplasia, type I gene splice junctions ..... 162
18. Multiple endocrine neoplasia, type I gene region simple sequence repeats ..... 164
19. Rat neurexin $I \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ gene genomic organization ..... 165
20. Rat neurexin II $\alpha$ gene splice junctions ..... 166
21. Putative human neurexin $\Pi \alpha$ gene genomic organization ..... 170
22. Putative human neurexin $\Pi \alpha$ gene splice junctions ..... 171
23. Putative human and rat neurexin $\Pi \alpha$ gene region simple sequence repeats ..... 178
24. Putative gene "Kappa" genomic organization ..... 182
25. Putative gene "Kappa" splice junctions ..... 183
26. Putative gene "Kappa" simple sequence repeats ..... 184
27. Putative gene "Nu" genomic organization ..... 188
28. Putative gene "Nu" splice junctions ..... 189
29. Putative gene " Nu " simple sequence repeats ..... 190
30. DNA polymerase $\alpha$ genomic organization ..... 192
31. DNA polymerase $\alpha$ splice junctions ..... 195
32. DNA polymerase $\alpha$ simple sequence repeats ..... 197
33. Putative gene "Zeta" genomic organization ..... 198
34. Putative gene "Zeta" splice junctions ..... 201
35. Mouse requiem genome organization ..... 205
36. Mouse requiem splice junctions ..... 206
37. HREQ genome organization ..... 208
38. HREQ splice junctions ..... 209
39. Mouse/HREQ simple sequence repeats ..... 210
40. Eta genome organization ..... 212
41. Eta splice junctions ..... 213
42. Theta genome organization ..... 214
43. Theta splice junctions ..... 215
44. Epsilon/Beta genome organization ..... 217
45. Epsilon/Beta splice junctions ..... 218
46. Polyadenylation sites in contig 111 of b376al ..... 223
47. Simple sequence repeats in contig 111 of b376al ..... 224
48. Xgrail and powblast results for b376al ..... 225-226

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure ..... Page

1. Examples of meningiomas ..... 2
2. Arachnoidal cells in dura mater ..... 4
3. Meningioma deletion regions and relevant markers on human chromosome 22 ..... 17
4. Receptor mediated endocytosis. The role of adaptins ..... 26
5. General structure of adaptins ..... 27
6. Patients with Cat Eye Syndrome ..... 30
7. Schematic representation of possible rearrangements of chromosome 13 ..... 36
8. Cat Eye Syndrome critical region and relevant markers on human chromosome 22 ..... 38
9. MEN-1 gene region and relevant markers on human chromosome 11 ..... 41
10. Cell cycle and the different stages of cyclin expression ..... 43
11. Deoxyribonucleotide structures ..... 49
12. A-form, B-form, and Z-form structures ..... 51
13. Central dogma of molecular biology ..... 54
14. Comparison of ABI 373 and 377 instrumentation ..... 74
15. Biomek tablet configuration for double stranded template isolation ..... 85-87
16. Escherichia coli host contamination in preparations of BAC 18 h 3 as evaluated by DNA sequencing ..... 104
17. Agarose gel photographs of Biomek-isolated double stranded template DNA ..... 106
18. Xgrail, and powblast output of MN-1 gene region ..... 112-113
19. MN-1 cDNA sequence ..... 116-119
20. MN-1 Musk output of EST containing ALU ..... 120
21. MN-1 dotter alignment of EST with ALU ..... 121
22. Powblast graphical output of the repeat region upstream of the Meningioma Deletion region ..... 122
23. $\beta$ lymphocyte serine/threonine kinase (GC kinase) cDNA sequence126-128
24. Xgrail and powblast output of Rab8 and $\beta$ lymphocyte serine/threonine kinase (GC kinase) gene region ..... 129-130
25. ZFMl cDNA sequence ..... 136-137
26. Xgrail and powblast output of ZFM1, ZFM1 B3 isoform, ZFM1 B4 isoform, ZFM1 alternate splice products, SF1-Bo isoform, SF1-HLI isoform, and CW17 gene region ..... 140-141
27. Powblast output showing repeat region upstream of ZFM1 gene region ..... 145
28. Dotter alignment of ESTs with Ll repeat ..... 146
29. Human muscle glycogen phosphorylase gene cDNA sequence ..... 149-150
30. Xgrail and powblast output of muscle glycogen phosphorylase gene region ..... 153-154
31. Multiple endocrine neoplasia, type 1 cDNA sequence ..... 157
32. Xgrail and powblast output of multiple endocrine neoplasia, type I gene region ..... 159-160
33. Xgrail and powblast output of the neurexin $\Pi \alpha$ gene region ..... 168-169
34. Translation of putative human neurexin $\Pi \alpha$ gene ..... 172-174
35. Neurexin II alpha gene region - EST containing ALU repeat ..... 176
36. Dotter alignment of EST with ALU repeat ..... 177
37. Xgrail and powblast output of the putative gene "Kappa" ..... 179-180
38. Xgrail and powblast output of the putative gene "Nu" ..... 186-187
39. Xgrail and powblast output of DNA polymerase $\alpha$ ..... 193-194
40. Xgrail and powblast output of Zeta ..... 199-200
41. Xgrail and powblast output of mouse requiem gene ..... 203-204
42. Contig map of b376al ..... 220
43. Xgrail and powblast output of contig 111 from b376al ..... 221-222
44. Contig map of 137 c 7 ..... 230
45. Contig map of 18 h 3 ..... 232

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABI - Applied Biosystems Incorporated (a division of Perkin Elmer)
ALU - a human DNA repeat element with homology to 7SL RNA
BAC - bacterial artificial chromosome
BLAST - basic local alignment search tool

## Bst - Bacillus stereothermophilus

CAP2 - computer assembly program, v. 2. Computer program for shotgun sequence assembly.

CCD - charge coupled device
cDNA - complementary deoxyribonucleic acid
CEC - Cat Eye chromosome
CES - Cat Eye Syndrome
CONSED - consensus editor. Graphical user interface for use with databases
generated by the Phred/phrap assembly program.
Crossmatch - computer sequence comparison program
DGCR - DiGeorge Sydrome critical region
DMSO - dimethyl sulfoxide
Dotter - two dimensional dot plot sequence comparison program
bp - base pair
dc ${ }^{7}$ GTP - deoxy-7-deaza guanosine triphosphate
dITP - deoxyinosine triphosphate
dNTP - deoxynucleotide
ddNTP - dideoxynucleotide
DNA - deoxyribonucleic acid
E. coli-Escherichia coli

EDTA - disodium ethylenediamine tetraacetate

## EMBL - European Molecular Biology Laboratory

EST - expressed sequence tag
EWS - Ewing Sarcoma
FAKII - fragment assembly kernel, v.II. Computer program for shotgun sequence assembly.

FAU - Finkel-Biskis-Reilly murine sarcoma virus [FBR-MuSV] associated ubiquitously expressed gene.

GCG - a software package from the Genetic Computer Group in Madison, WI.
HSTl - human stomach transforming factor type 1
HSTF1 - heparin secretory transforming factor type 1 (formerly HSTl)
Hu EST - human EST
IGLC - immunoglobin light chain constant region
IFLV - immunoglobin light chain variable region
INT2 - human homologue of the mouse mammary tumor virus integration site 2
IPTG - isopropyl- $\beta$-D-thiogalactopyranoside
kb - kilobase
LINE (L1) - long interspersed repeat element. A human DNA repeat element
MB - myoglobin locus
Mb - megabase
MDR - meningioma deletion region
MEN-1 - multiple endocrine neoplasia, type I
MER - medium element of repeat. A human DNA repeat element
M EST - mouse EST
Met - methionine transcription start codon
MIR - mammalian-wide interspersed repeats
MLT - human repeat element
$\mathrm{MN}-\mathrm{I}$ - gene found in the meningioma deletion region 22q11

MRC - Medical Research Council
mRNA - messenger ribonucleic acid
MST - human repeat element
NCBI - National Center for Biotechnology Information
NF2 - bilater acoustic neurofibromatosis, type 2
NHGRI - National Human Genome Research Institute
NIH - National Institutes of Health
PCR - polymerase chain reaction
Phred/Phrap - Phil's (Phil Green) read editor/Phil's read assembly program.
Computer programs for shotgun sequence basecalling/shotgun sequence assembly.

PMT - photomultiplier tube
PPla - protein phosphatase 1 catalytic subunit
PRAD1 - parathyroid adenomatosis, type 1
PYGM - human muscle glycogen phosphorylase
R EST - rat EST
RFLP - restriction fragment length polymorphism
SDS - sodium dodecyl sulfate
STS - sequence tagged site
SV40 - simian virus 40
SVA - human repeat element
Taq - Thermus aquaticus
TARI - human repeat element
TED - trace editor. An editor for DNA sequencing trace data.
TEMED - N, N, N', N'-tetramethylethylenediamine
THE - transposon like human repeat element
X-Gal - 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl- $\beta$-D-galactoside

XGAP - X windows based genome assembly program
Xgrail - X windows based gene recognition and analysis internet link. Used for sequence feature prediction.

YENB - cell growth media containing yeast extract and nutrient broth ZFMI - zinc finger motif


#### Abstract

The complete nucleotide sequence of a meningioma deletion region (MDR) from human chromosome 22q11, a segment of the Cat Eye Syndrome (CES) region from human chromosome 22, and portions of the Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia, type 1 (MEN1) from human chromosome 11 has been determined by sequencing an overlapping set of cosmids or BAC genomic clones previously mapped to these regions. The sequencing strategy entailed isolation of the genomic, target clones by a modified diatomaceous earthbased procedure, physical shearing by nebulization, and subcloning the size-selected fragments into pUC-based vectors. The sequencing templates were isolated using a modified alkaline lysis procedure that was automated on a Beckman Biomek 2000 robotic workstation as part of this research. Each template was incubated in cycle sequencing reactions with either forward or reverse universal sequencing primer, Taq DNA polymerase and dye labeled terminators. After automated sample electrophoresis, detection, data collection and base calling on ABI 373/377 DNA sequencers, the resulting sequence data was edited, assembled and proofread using one of the several editing and assembly programs (TED, XGAP, fakII, cap2, phred/phrap, consed) on a Sun SPARCstation. Each final contiguous sequence was analyzed for potential coding regions, repeated elements and database homology using the XGrail and powblast programs.

Additionally, sequence analysis in the CES and MEN-1 regions served as pilot projects for a novel sequencing strategy, sequence scanning. Results presented here demonstrate how this approach is used to localize the majority of coding regions after only the shotgun phase of DNA sequencing. This strategy is particularly useful when searching for genes related to disease phenotypes.

Analysis of these regions reveals several putative genes in the MEN-1 region, including the PYGM locus, a zinc finger motif gene, a GC kinase gene, the DNA


polymerase a gene and nine putative genes, including the gene for MEN-1. Sequence analysis of the CES region indicated a repeat density of $80 \%$ and no putative or known genes present. The MDR region contains the MN-1 gene, as expected, and the genomic organization of this gene is reported. The entire sequence presented here represents approximately $1 \%$ of the 57 million bases of human chromosome 22 .

## Chapter I

## INTRODUCTION

## I. Meningioma

## A. Historical overview of nomenclature

Harvey Cushing, in his 1922 Cavendish Lecture ${ }^{1}$, coined the term meningioma as an all-encompassing category for benign tumors of the central nervous system. These tumors were studied for many decades, but were called a variety of names reflecting the gross appearance, location or histological origin of the tumor. Although Bright ${ }^{2}$ first recognized the similarity between meningioma cells and arachnoid cells, many pathologists continued to classify each variant meningioma as a distinct tumor class. However, Cushing circumvented this histology-based classification scheme and instead categorized all tumors of the meninges as meningiomas (see figure 1).

Cushing advocated the concept of one primary meningioma, composed of a basic cell, and variants of this pure form ${ }^{3}$. Electron microscopy since has revealed several important structural characteristics of the basic tumor cells which allowed detection of the same basic cell in a number of variants of meningiomas. However, the controversy regarding the histogenesis of certain primary tumors involving the meninges continued, because it was argued that primary tumors involving the meninges as a variant of meningiomas or as a completely unrelated neoplasm developing in and around the meninges might lack any histological relationship to meningiomas.

The search for adequate nomenclature for these tumors of the central nervous system caused great difficulty because meningiomas arise from the central layer of the meninges, i.e., the arachnoid or more specifically, the outermost cell layers of the arachnoid, the arachnoidal cap cells. These external covering cells are unique in their structure and function in that they both cover (or line) a surface, and also act as


Figure 1: Meningioma present in a) convexity of the brain, b) base of brain and c) small multiple meningiomas attached to the meninges ${ }^{96}$.
vascularized connective tissue. Similar to the synovial cells which line the joints, arachnoidal cap cells are non epithelial cells performing functions of the epithelium. Yet, in contrast, the arachnoidal cap cells do not form the inner lining of a cavity such as the mesothelial cells of the serous membranes, but rather seal it off from the outside, i.e., if one considers the subarachnoid space which contains the cerebrospinal fluid. Thus, the arachnoidal cells face the dura mater (see figure 2) and in addition to sealing off the subarachnoid space, they also served as conduits for the cerebrospinal fluid into the venous circulation of the sinuses. These conduits occur in specific regions called the arachnoid villa. While a great portion of the tumors present in the brain have an attachment to the dura, only those which specifically originated from the arachnoid villa are designated as meningiomas ${ }^{4}$.

Another distinguishing feature of the meninges is its histological characterization. Meningiothelial cells tend to form whorls, both in normal and neoplastic cells. These whorls are an inherent characteristic of these cells that also occurs in tissue culture if provided the appropriate scaffolding5. No other tissue is known to form whorls to this extent or to have the dual responsibilities exhibited by meningiothelial cells. It was this histological characterization and the unique differentiation of these cells that challenged investigators such as Cushing to find an all-encompassing classification scheme for tumors of the meninges.

## B. General statistics

## 1. Intracranial meningioma

During the past 50 years, approximately $13 \%$ to $19 \%$ of all primary brain tumors have been classified as intracranial meningiomas. In the United States, several groups reported results from large neurosurgical studies: Cushing and Eisenhardt ${ }^{3}$ found $13.4 \%$ in a series of 2203 brain tumors; Grant ${ }^{6}$ at the University of Pennsylvania found $17 \%$ meningiomas among 2326 brain tumors. The European figures were only slightly higher:


Figure 2: Arachnoidal cells in the dura mater ${ }^{2}$.

Zulich ${ }^{7}$ found $18 \%$ in his series of 5372 tumors and $20.6 \%$ in the Olivecrona series ${ }^{8}$ consisting of 3909 tumors. In other studies, Zimmerman ${ }^{9}$ and Schoenberg, et al. ${ }^{10}$, demonstrated $27.3 \%$ of 2262 and $16.5 \%$ of 2371 tumors, respectively, were meningiomas. Studies from India reported $13.1 \%$ from Dastur ${ }^{11}$, $13 \%$ from Balasubramaniam and Ramamurthi ${ }^{12}$, and in Japan, $15.9 \%$ of the samples were meningiomas as reported by Katsura ${ }^{13}$. Overall, it was accepted that meningiomas accounted for about $15 \%$ of all intracranial tumors worldwide. However, it was noted that figures from Africa are appreciably higher. Meningiomas were found to represent $23.5 \%$ of brain tumors on the Ivory Coast ${ }^{14}$; Levy ${ }^{15}$ reports $28.6 \%$ in the study of Malawi, Rhodesia and Zambia; $29.9 \%$ in Nigerian Africa by Odeku and Adeloye ${ }^{16}$; $30.3 \%$ in the Bantus of Transvaal by Froman and Lipshitz ${ }^{17}$ and $38 \%$ in a small series of 13 cases in Ethiopia ${ }^{18}$.

## 2. Spinal meningioma

Meningiomas of the spinal column are much less frequent than intracranial meningiomas. In the Russell and Rubenstein ${ }^{19}$ series, $12 \%$ of meningiomas were present in the spinal canal. However, if primary tumors of the spinal column are considered independently, meningiomas make up a significant portion of common spinal tumors. It is generally accepted that the thoracic region is involved in spinal meningiomas more often than other regions, with the cervical segments occasionally affected and the lumbar region rarely affected. As in the cranium, spinal tumors usually are fixed with the dura, but not always, and occasionally are found in a variety of relationships with the spinal cord and the soft meninges surrounding the spinal cord ${ }^{20}$.

Meningiomas, spinal or intracranial, are most commonly detected in the fourth and fifth decades of life and occur predominantly in women. For example, a recent review of intracranial meningiomas diagnosed in Manitoba, Canada ${ }^{21}$, reported the ratio of female to male patients was $2: 1$ and the incidence of occurrence increased with age. The mean age of patients with benign meningiomas was $58+/-15$ years. The only
exceptions are the African studies mentioned above which demonstrated a male predominance and in which $20 \%$ of the tumors developed during the second decade of life. No explanation of this discrepancy was reported.

## 3. Childhood and elderly incidence of meningioma

Incidence of childhood meningiomas is quite rare, and accounts for less than $2 \%$ of intracranial tumors. Taptas, in a review of various reports totaling 1760 meningiomas, found only 19 examples ( $1.1 \%)^{22}$. The report by Cushing and Eisenhardt ${ }^{3}$ contained only five childhood cases, or $1.9 \%$ and Crouse and Berg ${ }^{23}$ reported 13 patients with meningioma (only $2.9 \%$ of all pediatric intracranial tumors) at the University of California, San Francisco. However, meningiomas first diagnosed in childhood (i.e., under age 20), are more likely to become malignant as demonstrated by Deen, Scheithauer and Ebersold ${ }^{24}$. Nakamura and Backer ${ }^{25}$ confirmed this observation, and also noted that a high incidence of malignant meningiomas occurred in infancy and childhood. Two out of seven childhood meningiomas were histologically malignant as reported by Cooper and Dahn ${ }^{26}$ and Crouse and Berg ${ }^{23}$ reported five in 13 meningiomas for an astonishing $38 \%$. Childhood meningiomas do not demonstrate the female predominance seen in adults. For example, in the Cushing and Eisenhardt studies ${ }^{3}$, of the five patients under age 20, three were male and two were female. In addition, Paillas, et al. ${ }^{27}$, reviewed 110 cases of pediatric meningiomas and found 57 boys and 53 girls.

Meningiomas occurring in the elderly (patients in sixth through eighth decade of life) are common, with many of the neoplasms detected incidentally at necropsy. Wood, White and Kernohan ${ }^{28}$ found that of 300 incidental brain tumors, 100 could be classified as meningiomas (33.3\%) and the peak incidence was in the seventh decade of life with no sex predominance. Cooney and Solitare ${ }^{29}$ found that of 17,000 patients from Yale-New Haven Hospital over age 60, 497 had general brain tumors and of these, 176 were classified as meningiomas (35\%). Up to $80 \%$ of these meningiomas also were
subsidiary meningiomas discovered only at autopsy, suggesting that some of these tumors were slow-growing and undetected during life.

## 4. Familial occurrence of meningioma

Familial occurrence of meningiomas usually is found in conjunction with von Recklinghausen's disease (NF1) or bilateral acoustic neurofibromatosis-2 (NF2). However, there are documented cases of familial meningiomas with no stigmata of neurofibromatosis. For example, in 1959, Gaist and Piazza ${ }^{30}$ described a case of brother and sister with meningioma and two cranial meningiomas, respectively. Neither patient, nor any other member of the family, demonstrated any history of neurofibromatosis. Similarly, Joynt and Perret ${ }^{31.32}$ reported two cases of single meningiomas in a mother and daughter, Delleman ${ }^{33}$ presented a case of multiple familial meningioma in which three members of one generation and two members in the next generation had multiple meningiomas, and Memon ${ }^{34}$ reported a case of multiple meningiomas in a mother and son, neither of whom presented a history of neurofibromatosis. Of particular interest is a case observed by Sedzimer, et al. ${ }^{35}$ involving twin boys, each presenting cranial and spinal meningiomas at ages eight and 13 , respectively. It therefore is believed that cases of familial meningioma with no presence of neurofibromatosis, could indeed be instances of very subtle symptoms of neurofibromatosis which are easily overlooked.

## C. Etiology

The etiology of meningiomas includes a variety of environmental and genetic factors including trauma, irradiation, steroid hormone receptor presence (reflecting the female bias) and the aforementioned association with neurofibromatosis.

## 1. Trauma related meningioma

Historically, many patients with meningiomas recalled head trauma, suggesting a statistically significant reason for these tumors. Cushing and Eisenhardt ${ }^{3}$ reported 101 of their 313 cases ( $33 \%$ ) were related to head trauma. They also noted that a swelling, a
scar, or a depressed skull fracture was present at the site of meningioma that in all cases also supported their theory of head trauma resulting in meningioma. Other studies by Walsh, Gye and Connelly ${ }^{36}$, and Gardeur, et al. ${ }^{37}$, supported the same notion by reporting examples of meningiomas that presented at or near the site of previous skull fracture. Spinal trauma also was reported to result in meningioma formation as in the case described by Von Holander, et al. ${ }^{38}$ (in a review by Kepes ${ }^{4}$ ) of a 38 year old female with traumatic fracture of the twelfth thoracic vertebra. Nineteen years later, a meningioma developed at the same site as the fracture. In two reports, Preston-Martin, et al. ${ }^{39.40}$ demonstrated a statistically significant number of meningiomas resulted from head trauma using case-controlled studies. These studies were sex-controlled, using only women, and the patients with meningioma demonstrated greater recall of head trauma than a control group of friends and neighbors. Despite the presented proof, some investigators are skeptical with regard to trauma in meningioma formation. Dunsmore and Roberts ${ }^{41}$ expressed strong objection, mentioning that trauma did not offer any explanation of childhood or malignant meningiomas, nor did the notion of head trauma appear significant to neoplasms located at the base of the skull. Further controlled case studies performed by Choi, et al. ${ }^{42}$ also failed to identify a correlation between trauma and meningiomas after interviewing 24 patients with meningioma. They concluded that the patients with meningiomas did not differ from matched controls in the frequency of previous head trauma.

## 2. Radiation induced meningioma

Radiation also was examined as a possible cause for the development of human meningiomas. Originally, this concept was based on the study performed by Dimant, et al. ${ }^{43}$ that demonstrated meningioma formation in rabbits implanted with cobalt-60. These rabbits developed three tumors in the region of implant: two meningioma and a sarcoma. Since radiation is a known mutagen capable of producing both single-stranded and double-stranded breaks in DNA, these physical changes could result in major DNA
abnormalities (insertions, deletions or translocations), which were associated with neoplastic transformation of cells in other tumor cell lines. In most reports, there were two classes of meningiomas resulting from radiation: meningioma resulting from radiation occurring after treatment for a primary head or neck tumor, or meningiomas resulting from other brain irradiation (e.g. mycotic infection). In the first class of tumors, meningiomas developed after several years of latency with a greater latent period following lower doses of radiation. The average latent period between original treatment and meningioma formation was 20 years (ranging from 12 to 27 years). For example, Bogdanowitz and Sachs ${ }^{44}$ reported meningioma formation in a patient with pituitary adenoma 25 years after primary treatment, and 17 years after primary treatment of another patient with medulloblastoma. In both instances, the treatment involved relatively high doses of radiation. Norwood, et al. ${ }^{45}$ reported similar findings in an optic nerve glioma patient resulting in meningioma formation 25 years following primary treatment, and Waga and Handa ${ }^{46}$, in their review, reported only a 12 year latency in a patient with craniopharyngioma. More recently, Pagni, et al. ${ }^{47}$ reported radiation induced meningioma in a patient with no history of neurofibromatosis or familial meningioma. The primary tumor in this case was a skin carcinoma that was excised and treated with radiation to decrease chances of recurrence. Fourteen years later, a meningioma developed in the irradiated region of the skull.

In the second class of meningiomas, those developing after primary irradiation, a review by Kepes ${ }^{4}$ described a case reported by Horanyi, et al. 48 in which a five year old girl received scalp irradiation treatment for tinea capitis (micropsoriasis) and developed a malignant meningioma two years later, an observation consistent with the notion that childhood meningiomas are malignant. Meningiomas also developed in patients following scalp irradiation as reported by Munk, et al. ${ }^{49}$, Waterson and Shapiro ${ }^{50}$, and Russell and Rubenstein ${ }^{19}$. Patients described by Feiring and Foer ${ }^{51}$, Bogdanowitz and Sachs ${ }^{44}$, and Watts ${ }^{52}$ were treated for "port wine" stains of the face and in all reported
cases, meningiomas formed specifically on the treated side of the face. Other more recent reports by Soffer, et al. ${ }^{53}$, Giaquinto, et al. ${ }^{54}$, and Rubenstein, et al. ${ }^{55}$, also described how low dose scalp irradiation for fungal disease or "port wine" stains pointed to the tendency for multiple meningioma and recurrence of meningioma after apparently complete surgical removal.

In a much smaller study affecting far fewer patients, the development of meningioma also has been attributed to the carcinogenic effect of Thorotrast (thorium dioxide suspension) which was used for a short time for radiological visualization. Several patients developed meningiomas after enduring Thorotrast treatment for unrelated ailments ${ }^{56-58}$.

## 3. Viral agents and meningioma

Viral agents were suspected by some investigators to have a role in meningioma formation. Weiss, et al. ${ }^{59}$ reported finding simian virus 40 (SV40)-related antigens in two of seven meningiomas studied. Building on this study, a total of 336 human intracranial tumors were examined for presence of papovavirus large $T$ antigen. The large $T$ antigen was found in only 36 of these tumors, with 32 classified as meningiomas ${ }^{59-62}$. However, it must be noted that different results were obtained when samples from the same tumor were examined by different investigators.

Hybridization studies also were used to associate viruses with human tumors by identifying unique viral DNA sequences in tumor cell DNA. Here, a labeled viral DNA probe was allowed to form double-stranded complexes with tumor cell DNA if identical sequences were present in both DNAs. A number of investigators explored this technique using human intracranial tumors ${ }^{63-65}$. Again, not all used control tumor tissues and DNA probes, and different investigators reported different results when examining the same cell lines.

Southern blot was another technique used to assay 30 human brain tumors in the search for DNA sequences homologous to viral DNA. Rachlin, et al. ${ }^{66}$ assayed for

SV40 DNA and two of nine meningiomas (22\%) had these homologous sequences integrated into the tumor cell DNA. These same meningiomas did not contain DNA sequences homologous to adenovirus when control probes and non-tumor tissue were examined.

It should be noted that the presence of viral DNA or proteins within a tumor cell does not conclusively prove that the tumor was caused by the particular virus. Papovavirus DNA and T antigen are commonly found in meningioma; however, the presence of this viral DNA and protein does not reveal whether the tumor was caused by viral transformation and maintenance, or whether the virus merely infected a tumor cell which was already transformed. Therefore, whether the virus acts as a mutagen or maintains a transformed state remains undefined. Of notable interest, however, the human homologue of the simian sarcoma virus transforming gene (oncogene c -sis) has been mapped to the long arm of chromosome 22 , and as will be discussed in a later section, karyotypic analysis of meningiomas reveals characteristic monosomy or partial deletion of chromosome 22.

## 4. Steroid hormones and meningioma

The clear predominance of meningiomas in females has led to some discussion about the relationship between female sex hormones and meningiomas. There have been several reported cases of rapid growth of meningiomas during pregnancy ${ }^{3,67-70}$. In these cases, all the meningiomas occurred near the optic nerves resulting in increased pressure on the optic nerve and causing changes in visual acuity that was easily noticed by patients.

Numerous cases also have been reported in which patients were afflicted with both meningioma and breast carcinoma. Smith, et al. ${ }^{71}$ reported two women with breast carcinoma who subsequently developed meningioma. Schoenberg, et al. ${ }^{72}$ discussed the possibility of CNS neoplasms and primary cancers in other sites and found the only significant combination to be meningioma and malignant breast cancer. Others have
reported observations of meningioma associated with mammary and/or genital cancer in the same patient with similar conclusions ${ }^{73.74}$.

In studies performed by Donnell, et al. ${ }^{75}$, six meningiomas were examined for the presence of estrogen receptors. Of the six, four were found to contain estrogen receptors, two at a high level. Interestingly, one of the four estrogen receptor positive meningiomas was from a male patient. Tilzer also performed sex hormone receptor studies but examined meningioma tumors for the presence of estrogen and progesterone receptors. He found that 13 out of 22 meningiomas contained estrogen receptors (59\%) and that all 22 meningiomas contained progesterone receptors ${ }^{4}$. The presence of progesterone receptors correlated directly with the observed increase in tumor growth during pregnancy ${ }^{76}$.

## 5. Genomic imprinting in meningioma

Neoplastic cellular transformation resulted from alterations in primary genomic sequences by: 1) mutations that convert a proto-oncogene to an oncogene, or 2) by inactivation of a tumor suppressor gene. In several cases there were reports of loss of heterozygosity in cases of partial deletion or monosomy 22 with preference for the paternal homologue ${ }^{77.78}$. This genomic alteration suggests the possibility of genomic imprinting in meningiomas since similar precedence for paternal homologue bias has been established in cases of Wilm's tumcr ${ }^{79}$, rhabdomyosarcoma ${ }^{80}$ and osteosarcoma ${ }^{81}$. The initial hypothesis was that genomic imprinting also occurs in meningioma. This hypothesis was supported by the observation that the parental origin could be established in nine cases of meningioma. These results indicated that unlike the tumor cases mentioned above, there was no evidence of maternal or paternal imprinting in meningioma since both parent homologues were lost randomly.

## D. Chromosomal patterns in meningioma

## 1. Karyotype analysis

In the cases of meningioma mentioned above, there is a clear indication that alteration in the genetic material is the predominant causative factor. In cases of radiation treatment, DNA damage was a well-documented side effect. Where viral genes have been observed, they might be incorporated into the genome and instigate changes in the genetic material by insertion or deletion that disrupts a tumor suppressor or converts a proto-oncogene to an oncogene. Alternatively, the presence or absence of receptors based on the activation or repression of the transcription machinery to produce mRNA encoding the proteins or the delivery machinery of the receptors also is considered genetically linked. The underlying questions remain: Is the transformation from a normal to a meningioma cell induced by or followed by a disturbance in the genome? Does a specific external disturbance (i.e. trauma) result in cell transformation or do random events result in meningioma formation?

Volumes of data have been collected reporting the relationships of chromosomal aberration with neoplasms ${ }^{82-86}$. With respect to meningiomas, a distinction should be drawn between the karyotype studies of somatic cells in patients with meningiomas and the karyotype studies of the meningiomas themselves. Of significant interest is the latter group in which the studies of Zang and Singer ${ }^{87}$ present cytogenetic evidence of brain tumor culture cells which show that eight of eight tumors analyzed lacked one $G$ group chromosome. Four years later, the monosomy G group chromosome noted by Zang and Singer ${ }^{87}$ was identified as chromosome 22 by fluorescence banding ${ }^{88.89}$, an observation also confirmed by Giemsa banding ${ }^{90}$. Zankl ${ }^{91}$ expanded these studies and demonstrated that the missing chromosome was not lost due to a chromosomal translocation. Other chromosomes also were observed to be missing or altered in similar karyotype analyses ${ }^{87.92-94}$.specifically, chromosomes $1,6,11,12,14$ and in six out of 24 meningiomas in male patients, the Y chromosome also was missing. Katsuyama noted the possible involvement of oncogenes since many oncogenes were assigned to the same
chromosomes found missing or altered in meningioma karyotype studies, and alluded to a relationship between the two.

If one examines the many reports on cytogenetic studies in cases of meningioma, the most consistent finding is the total loss or partial deletion of chromosome 2293.96-103. The presence of an abnormal chromosome 22 was characterized further by Casalone ${ }^{94}$ in his study of 31 cases of meningioma. Here, Casalone found 14 cases of meningioma with chromosomal abnormalities and of those 14 cases, 11 involved aberrations in chromosome 22. The remaining 17 cases had normal karyotypes, which was a finding also noted by others, including Mark ${ }^{98}$, who reported that approximately $24 \%$ of meningiomas studied showed normal karyotype. Maltby ${ }^{104}$ confirmed this observation, reporting that in a series of 50 meningioma, almost half had normal diploid karyotypes. The remainder of tumors in this study carried monosomy 22, with a low frequency of other chromosomal deletions and rearrangements in $1,10,14,17,19$ and Y . In this regard, Maltby also pointed out that the chromosomal rearrangements seen in benign meningiomas were similar to those seen in senescent cells and suggested a common mechanism. Within the structural rearrangements seen in other chromosomes, a distinct marker chromosome resulting from translocation of satellites from short arms to other deleted chromosomes was reported and confirmed by silver staining by Zankl and Huwer ${ }^{105}$. Another distinct type of chromosomal marker was formed by the fusion of telomeric ends of two chromosomes in meningioma (for example, chromosomes 9 and $14)^{98.104}$. In cases with dicentric chromosome formation, telomeric breakdown also may be involved ${ }^{106-108}$, reflecting the similarities in chromosome structure between tumor cells and senescent cell lines.

Other investigators reported karyotype analysis of meningioma patients with a constitutional ring chromosome originating from chromosome 22 ${ }^{109-111}$. Arinami ${ }^{111}$ discussed a patient with chromosomal breaks at 22 p 12 and 22 q 13.3 resulting in a ring chromosome. Essentially this ring chromosome behaved as a constitutional deletion of

22q13.3-qter in chromosome 22 and supported the theory presented by Zankl and Zang ${ }^{102}$ that genetic information critical to cell proliferation could be located in the long arm region of chromosome 22 and deletions may predispose to meningioma formation. Ring chromosomes were of particular interest since they were uncommon in malignant tumors, but rare in benign tumors such as meningioma. Mark ${ }^{101}$ reported 3 of 30 tumor cultures containing ring chromosomes, although the origin of the ring chromosome was different in each case. The appearance of ring chromosomes supports the hypothesis that telomeric breakdown may be involved in chromosome structural rearrangements.

However, the correlation between chromosomal damage present in meningioma and senescent cells cannot be overlooked since the rearrangements which formed dicentric chromosomes resulted from telomeric fusion. Such dicentric fusions also were reported by Benn ${ }^{112}$, who first suggested the instability of telomeres or of the associated enzymes in the overall process of senescence. Here, one culture demonstrated a monosomy 22 and then formed telomeric fusions resulting in dicentric chromosomes. Mark, in his chapter in Chromosomes and Cancer ${ }^{95}$, suggested that "mechanisms for chromosome behavior in benign tumors are analogous to those occurring in senescence; in the case of tumors, such changes are perhaps triggered earlier than in normal cells by the influence of oncogenic factors, but do not necessarily lead to cell death."

## 2. Mapping analysis

The literature reveals that there are two types of meningioma karyotypes, one with no chromosomal abnormalities and another characterized by monosomy or partial deletion of chromosome 22 with the possibility of other chromosomal rearrangements. Studies from several laboratories have focused on the latter group of meningiomas in the search for the specific region critical in meningioma formation. By utilizing polymorphic markers for several chromosomes to investigate directly the genetic constitution of primary tumor samples, these studies provided significant, new information and insight into the molecular basis of meningioma and represented a change in direction from the
earlier karyotyping studies performed on cultured tumor cells. In addition, the use of polymorphic markers circumvented the confusion resulting from chromosomal aberrations that occur in an in vitro environment.

In view of the striking clinical association established between meningioma and bilateral acoustic neurofibromatosis (NF2), and the discovery that acoustic neuromas also displayed a loss of chromosome 22, many researchers speculated that a common mechanism involving chromosome 22 must be at work in both tumor types. Seizinger ${ }^{113.114}$ was the first to investigate the relationship between meningioma and NF2 using polymorphic markers. They used several different probes known to give restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLPs) on several different human chromosomes. In analyzing chromosome 22, four specific loci were examined with the following probes: sis (the platelet derived growth factor beta locus homologous to the sis oncogene) that is mapped to $22 \mathrm{q} 12.3-13.1$, the D22S1 marker that is mapped to 22 q 12 13, the D22S9 marker that is mapped to 22q11 and IGLC (the immunoglobin light chain constant region) that is mapped to 22 q 11 (see figure 3 ). These markers were the only ones available at the time of the study which mapped to the long arm of chromosome 22. The short arm was not investigated because all evidence to date showed loss of chromosome 22 or deletion of the long arm. The results of these studies indicated that the majority of karyotyping information from cultured tumor cells was correct and that both acoustic neuroma and meningioma demonstrated loss of alleles on chromosome 22, and narrowed the possible meningioma and NF2 loci to the region distal to marker D22S9 in band 22q11. In two meningiomas studied that showed normal karyotypes, a loss of heterozygosity on chromosome 22 in the polymorphic marker analysis was observed. These two meningiomas represented a small number of cases with microdeletions that were undetectable by cytogenetic analysis.

Similar deletion mapping studies were undertaken by Dumanski ${ }^{115}$ using some of the same markers used by Seizinger, as well as additional markers. Here, D22S9, IGLC,
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Figure 3: Meningioma deletion regions and relevant markers on human chromosome 22

D22S1, D22S10, IGLV (immunoglobin light chain variable region), MB (myoglobin locus) and sis all were used as probes to analyze 35 patients with meningiomas. Sixteen tumors retained the constitutional heterozygosity, whereas 14 (40\%) demonstrated loss of heterozygosity of all loci in tumor DNA (consistent with monosomy 22), and the other five tumors showed loss of heterozygosity at specific loci in tumor cells while retention of heterozygosity at other loci. These observations are consistent with the hypothesis that a partial deletion of chromosome 22 results in meningioma. Based on this study, Dumanski concluded that a meningioma locus was located at 22q12.3-qter, considering that the only portion of chromosome 22 consistently lost in his studies was located between the MB locus and the telomere. This region corresponded to 22q12.3-qter.

Okasaki, et al. ${ }^{116}$, and Schneider ${ }^{117}$ reported similar experiments using many of the same markers as Seizinger and reached the same conclusions, although citing the need for more polymorphic markers on the q arm of chromosome 22 . In subsequent studies, Dumanski ${ }^{118}$ answered the call for more markers and reported 195 probes mapping to four different regions of the long arm of chromosome 22. Twenty-eight of these probes which map to 22q12-qter generate RFLPs which allowed for generation of a linkage map to narrow down the region containing potential NF2 and meningioma genes. This meningioma region thus was tentatively localized to 22q12.3-qter as mentioned above and the NF2 region now was known to be distal to the anonymous marker D22S1 which mapped to the 22q12-13 region. Because there was potential overlap between these two regions, and since meningiomas occur frequently in NF2 patients, it was conceivable that a single gene locus was involved in both afflictions. Using these new probes, tumor tissue from 81 patients was analyzed by Dumanskil19. Here, 42 tumors ( $52 \%$ ) showed loss of heterozygosity for all alleles on chromosome 22 (monosomy 22), and nine tumors ( $11 \%$ ) showed loss of heterozygosity for telomeric loci but retained heterozygosity for more centromeric loci, an observation consistent with the hypothesis that a partial deletion of the $q$ arm of chromosome 22 resulted in meningioma. This study confirmed the
previous work by Dumanski which mapped the meningioma locus to the 22q12.3-qter region but was unsuccessful in narrowing the suspected meningioma region. However, the fact that no small deletions of 22 q were found suggested that the meningioma locus was probably located distal to the MB locus, but closer to MB than to the telomere of the chromosome. The hypothesis presented was that the small terminal deletions would not be sufficient to induce neoplastic transformation.

Dumanskill ${ }^{19}$ also emphasized that the distinction between chromosomal aberration with respect to sex of the patient was quite significant. The conclusion he reached was that in cases of chromosomal aberration, males had significantly smaller abnormalities than females, if the assumption was made that the cases with no apparent cytogenetic aberrations did have small abnormalities in the meningioma locus. This was based on the observation that the number of male cases with no apparent chromosomal aberrations was much higher ( $50 \%$ versus $34 \%$ in females), although, it was recognized that the mechanism of cellular transformation might be different in cases of no apparent abnormalities than in cases of gross deletion or monosomy 22.

Dumanski also mentioned that the hypothesis that the NF2 gene and the meningioma locus were the same was proven false by Rouleau ${ }^{120,121}$ who localized the NF2 region centromeric to the D22S28 marker on chromosome 22. In a case in Dumanski's study, the retention of heterozygosity at D22S28 and MB suggested that the meningioma gene and NF2 genes were separate loci, a hypothesis confirmed by Rouleau. Other evidence for separate loci was presented by Pulst ${ }^{122}$ who suggested that familial meningiomas must have a loci distinct from NF2 based on linkage analysis of a pedigree with no presence of NF2. In this respect, it was proposed that a familial meningioma locus was present distal to the NF2 locus, but no confirming studies were reported ${ }^{122}$.

Due to the clinical finding that NF2 and meningioma were so intimately related based on linkage studies, Cogen ${ }^{123}$ concluded that the neoplastic transformation in meningioma formation was a result of activation of a different locus than that presented
by Dumanski, and the proposed locus on chromosome 22 most likely was located proximal to the newly discovered gene for NF2, around 22q11. Cogen also hypothesized that base pair mutations and/or deletions of chromosome 22 DNA may have been present but not detectable by the techniques used by others. In support of his proposal, Cogen cited point mutations that were demonstrated in at least one tumor suppressor gene (p53) and several other neoplasms ${ }^{124}$. Sanson ${ }^{125}$, in his report, concurred with Cogen and speculated that the oncogenesis of meningiomas which did not demonstrate loss of heterozygosity may have one of the two alleles of a tumor suppresser gene rendered dysfunctional, alluding to microdeletions and/or base changes.

To this end, Bello ${ }^{126}$ suggested that in the cases of meningioma with no apparent chromosomal aberration, perhaps duplication of the retained chromosome occurred after the loss of the other copy in the pair which accounted for the retention of heterozygosity, but correlated with the accepted characteristics of monosomy 22 in meningiomas. Citing the case of retinoblastoma, Bello supported Cogen's hypothesis that in the cases of no apparent chromosomal abnormality, the mechanism may be a subtle anomaly such as microdeletion or inactivating mutations in the meningioma locus on both copies of chromosome 22 , keeping both chromosomes 22 seemingly intact at the cytogenetic level.

Lekanne Deprez ${ }^{127}$, shifted the focus to the meningioma cases resulting from translocations or other aberrations of chromosome 22, in an attempt to localize the putative tumor suppressor gene involved in meningioma ${ }^{93.94 .115 .128-132}$. These authors supported the hypothesis put forth earlier by Cogen and Bello which suggested inactivation of both alleles of a tumor suppressor gene as the mechanism of meningioma tumorigenesis. They reported a case with a stemline karyotype $45, \mathrm{XY},-1,4 \mathrm{p}+, 22 \mathrm{q}-$, $22 q+$ that had rearrangements on both copies of chromosome 22 . The first allele of 22 was found as a dicentric chromosome resulting from translocation with chromosome 1 (22pter-22q11::1pter-1q11) with the reciprocal product presumably lost due to the loss of a centromere, and therefore resulted in a loss of sequences distal to 22 q11. In addition,
they hypothesized that a remaining tumor suppressor allele of 22 was disrupted due to an additional but balanced translocation $\mathrm{t}(4 ; 22)(\mathrm{p} 16 ; \mathrm{q} 11)$. This translocation breakpoint was mapped to a region between D22S1 and D22S15, also the same region where the NF2 gene was mapped, but in conflict with reports from Dumanski who reported localization of the meningioma tumor suppressor gene at 22q13.3-qter.

Rey, et al. ${ }^{133}$ performed other mapping studies and agreed with Dumanski that a meningioma locus most likely was present distal to the MB locus at 22q12.3-qter, based on deletions found at 22 q 12 (distal to marker D22S32). However, the authors admitted that the relative location between the D22S32 and D22S15 loci had not yet been established, and evidence for a breakpoint distal to D22S32 might have been proximal to D22S15. If this was the case, the data presented by Rey, et al. was in agreement with that of Lekanne Deprez, but not Dumanski.

In contrast, it is conceivable that two or more meningioma loci may be present on chromosome 22 ( q 11 and q12.3-ter), and according to Ruttledge ${ }^{134}$, the meningioma tumor suppressor gene located at 22q11 was the NF2 gene (assuming loss of the second allele of chromosome 22). Ruttledge also suggested that an additional gene (perhaps in the 22q12.3-qter region) was responsible for the remainder of sporadic meningiomas, based on the evidence that the NF2 gene was the primary target for loss of heterozygosity on chromosome 22 in $60 \%$ of sporadic meningiomas. Within these tumors demonstrating loss of heterozygosity for the NF2 gene, $27.6 \%$ harbored inactivating base substitutions on the remaining allele in 8 of the 17 exons of the NF2 gene studied. It was suggested that additional mutations neither detected nor screened for in the NF2 gene were the cause of tumorigenesis in the additional cases of meningioma demonstrating loss of heterozygosity for the NF2 gene. Ruttledge also claimed that an additional mechanism (an additional tumor suppressor gene region) was responsible for the other $40 \%$ of sporadic meningioma, which allowed for the notion of another meningioma locus on chromosome 22 as suggested by Dumanski.

Recent studies searching for mutations in the NF2 gene in meningioma patients were performed by Wellenreuther ${ }^{135}$. The entire coding region of the NF2 gene in 70 sporadic meningiomas was examined and 43 mutations in 41 patients were reported. All of the observed mutations resulted in either a truncated, an abnormally spliced transcript, or an inactivated predicted protein product. Interestingly, all mutations occurred within the first 13 exons of the NF2 gene which was the region of similarity with moesin, ezrin and radixin ${ }^{141}$, which are members of a well conserved family of cytoskeleton associated proteins ${ }^{142-147}$. The most significant homology present between merlin (the NF2 gene product name: moesin, ezrin and radixin !ike protein) and moesin, ezrin and radixin occurred in the first 340 residues at the N terminus of the protein, which was the region encoded by the exons containing mutations in Wellenreuther's study, which suggested that the mechanism of action could be related to the function of this class of proteins.

For example, other proteins in the same family as merlin include erythrocyte protein 4.1 which functions to maintain membrane stability and overall cellular shape by connecting integral membrane proteins to the cytoskeleton ${ }^{136.137}$. Hereditary eliptocytosis ${ }^{138}$ is a direct result of disruptions in this gene, specifically mutations which occur in the sequence coding the N -terminal domain of the resulting protein. This region has been found to contain binding sites required for protein:protein interactions.

Talin is another protein in the merlin family. This protein, found in regions of focal adhesion at cell-to-cell or cell-substrate contacts, binds to integral proteins in the cell membrane and is responsible for connecting the extracellular adhesion matrix to the cytoskeleton ${ }^{139.140}$.

Because of this significant homology with cytoskeleton associated proteins, it was proposed by Bianchi ${ }^{141}$ and others ${ }^{148-151}$ that the NF2 gene constituted a tumor suppressor gene of more general importance in tumorigenesis, not only in NF2 and meningioma, but also in malignant mesotheliomas ${ }^{148.151}$, ependymomas 150 and astrocytomas ${ }^{150}$.

## 3. Sequencing analysis

Although there was a clear correlation between meningiomas and alterations in the NF2 gene, these alterations in the NF2 gene also were accompanied by loss of the other allele in approximately one third of all cases of sporadic meningioma ${ }^{152}$. Thus, there still was evidence that other non-NF2 gene-related loci present on the long arm of chromosome 22 might be involved in meningioma formation.

Lekanne Deprez reported the presence of a new meningioma locus at 22q11 based on a patient with balanced translocation (4;22). Subsequently, they cloned and characterized an expressed gene (MN1) from 22q11 which was disrupted in this meningioma patient and thus might play a role in meningioma formation. The MNI cDNA characterized by sequence analysis has a total length of 7.5 kilobases (kb). Mapping studies subsequently demonstrated that the MN1 gene has 2 large exons, a 5' exon of 4.7 kb and a $3^{\prime}$ exon of 2.8 kb , with the $3^{\prime}$ exon contributing only 59 amino acids to the final predicted protein and the 5 ' exon contributing a varied number of amino acids (as discussed below). These exons were mapped back to the chromosome 22 and the results indicate that this gene spanned approximately 70 kb with a 60 kb intron. These studies also indicated that the $5^{\prime}$ exon ( 4.7 kb ) was disrupted in the balanced translocation reported in this patient and it was thought that this interruption of the MN1 gene resulted in meningioma formation.

Studies ${ }^{152}$ involving hybridization of an MN1 cDNA probe to DNA extracted from other species, revealed hybridization signals in species as evolutionarily distant as Xenopus laevis and Drosophila melanogaster, an observation consistent with the hypothesis that the MN1 gene is evolutionarily conserved. The MN1 cDNA displayed an open reading frame starting at nucleotide 888 and ending at nucleotide 4913. The predicted protein could begin at one of two Met start sites yielding a protein of 1319 amino acids or 1290 amino acids. The Met start site which resulted in the 1290 amino acid protein had a much better Kosak consensus sequence ${ }^{153.154}$ and all further analyses
were based on translation from this start site. The MNl gene also contains two trinucleotide CAG (glutamine) repeats at positions 1839-1883 and at position 2526-2606, which have been analyzed for potential expansion as is seen in a number of neurological diseases including Huntington's disease, Kennedy's disease, spinocerebellar ataxia-type 1, monotomic dystrophy (DM) and dentatorubral and pallidoluysian atrophy ${ }^{155.156}$. These studies observed that the most 5' repeat was not polymorphic whereas the 3 ' repeat contained 3 alleles differing 6 or 9 nucleotides from the smallest allele. However, neither repeat showed any evidence of expansion.

The MN1 gene demonstrated several structural features which allude to the function of the protein. First, the 5 ' untranslated region of the MN1 gene is very GC rich and contains four ATG start codons followed by stop codons. Several growth regulatory genes have presented this feature and the hypothesis is that they inhibit translation ${ }^{153.154}$. Second, the MN1 gene is very rich in prolines, specifically in the region 339 to 365. In this region, 15 of the 26 amino acids are proline, and proteins with stretches of proline typically function as a transactivating domain in a transcriptional regulator. Further documentation for a putative function in transcription is the third feature; i.e., stretches of glutamines that result from the CAG repeats. According to Gerber, et al. ${ }^{157}$ (1994), over $80 \%$ of proteins which harbor stretches of 20 or more glutamine residues are transcription factors, and these glutamine residues behave as transactivating domains of a protein. To support this notion, they also demonstrated that glutamine and proline transactivating domains both could be used in the activation of a reporter gene in vitro or in vivo.

Because of the obvious implications of this gene in meningioma, cosmid libraries were screened using the probe D22S 193, and four cosmids were selected which present a minimal tiling path over this suspected meningioma gene region of chromosome 22. The genomic sequence and analysis of these four cosmids is reported in the results section of this dissertation.

Genomic sequence analysis of the meningioma locus located at 22q12 (the region described by Dumanski) revealed the presence of the gene encoding $\beta^{\prime}$-adaptin. Ponnambalam, et al. ${ }^{158}$ first reported the DNA sequence of the $\beta^{\prime}$-adaptin cDNA from human fibroblasts, rat lymphocytes, rat brain ${ }^{159}$ and bovine lymphocytes in 1990, noting the significant nucleotide and peptide homology among these species.

Adaptins are a class of proteins which play a role in clathrin coated vesicle transport in receptor mediated endocytosis ${ }^{160}$ and transmembrane receptor transit from the Golgi apparatus to the plasma membrane (see figure 4) ${ }^{161}$. In clathrin coated pits and vesicles, adaptins are the major components of adaptors which link clathrin to transmembrane proteins or receptors. There are three classes of adaptins: $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$, with the adaptors of the plasma membrane consisting of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ adaptins and the adaptors of the Golgi contain $\beta^{\prime}$ and $\gamma$ adaptins ${ }^{162}$. Studies have demonstrated that cytoplasmic domains of selected membrane proteins bind to adaptors ${ }^{163.164}$, and that adaptors, in turn, bind to clathrin ${ }^{165-167}$. As mentioned above, significant peptide sequence homology exists between species within each of the three classes of adaptins, exhibiting the specialized conservation of the domains, although weaker homology exists between the three classes. Robinson ${ }^{162}$ reports $25 \%$ overall homology between the peptide sequences of $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ adaptins, and a weaker homology between $\beta$ and $\gamma$ adaptins, although Ponnambalam disputed this finding, reporting that no significant homology between $\alpha$ and $\beta$ adaptins was present in either protein or nucleic acid sequence. However, Ponnambalam notes that the gross properties of the three classes of molecules are similar. One such similarity exists in a proline- and glycine-rich (sometimes alanine) hinge region which divides the protein into parts: the N terminal and the C terminal domains, with the N terminus comprising the so-called brick region, the C terminus making up the ear region, with the hinged stalk region in between (see figure 5). Keen and Beck ${ }^{168}$ indicate that the brick domain is the region which interacts with clathrin and the ear regions bind to the varied types of molecules


Figure 4: Receptor mediated endocytosis. The role of adaptins in clathrin coated pit assembly. Adapted from reference 253.


Figure 5: General structure of adaptins ${ }^{158}$.
transported by the coated vesicles. The stalk regions vary in length, flexibility and conformation and may facilitate the ear region interactions with the transmembrane proteins or receptors ${ }^{158}$.

Structural perturbations in any of the three regions of these proteins could result in drastic modification of the binding ability either for clathrin or for a unique set of required (or related) transmembrane proteins. Alternatively, slight structural alterations can be envisioned which decrease the specificity of binding to transmembrane proteins leaving the clathrin binding affinity intact, or decrease the binding affinity for clathrin resulting in abnormal clathrin binding or decreased receptor trapping within the vesicles. In this type of scenario, the overall presence of receptors on the cell surface is increased because the down-regulation mechanism is faulty, yielding the cell surface containing an abnormally high concentration of receptors with bound growth factors. This results in virtually unregulated cell growth such as that observed in meningioma. It must be noted that $\beta$ adaptins present in the brain have pronounced diversification with respect to the rest of the $\beta$ adaptin family. Others ${ }^{169-171}$ reported brain specific forms of the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ adaptins and hypothesize that an independent mechanism for receptor mediated intracellular transport exists in the brain. This specialized pathway present in the brain may lend credence to the hypothesis developed by Dumanski and Roe ${ }^{172}$ that unregulated cells present in the tissue surrounding the brain (and hence, meningioma formation) may be a direct consequence of $\beta$ adaptin absence or dysfunction resulting from complete or partial loss of the $q$ arm of chromosome 22 which encodes the $\beta$ adaptin gene.

## II. Cat Eye Syndrome

Cat Eye Syndrome (or Schmidt-Fraccaro syndrome) is a genetic-based disease that is characterized by a variety of congenital defects ${ }^{173}$. These include ocular colobomata, anal atresia, preauricular dimples and/or tags, heart anomalies, urogenital malformations, and intestinal defects, which vary in severity and number from patient to patient, and in some cases, result in mild retardation (see figure 6). It is estimated, from patients observed in Northeastern Switzerland during the last 20 years, that Cat Eye Syndrome (CES) is thought to affect between 1:50,000 and 1:150,000 individuals. CES, unlike meningioma as discussed above, does not have any sex predominance, and typically affects individuals from birth.

Haab first described the association between anal atresia and colobomata of the iris in $1878^{174}$. Schmidt, Fraccaro and Schachenmann ${ }^{173}$ noted the further association of these two major defects with the presence of a supernumerary chromosome. They described the presence of an abnormal chromosome in three patients and hypothesized that this may be the characteristic of a new "chromosomal" disease. The families of these three patients were examined and the extra chromosome was found in 2 of the 3 cases. The third case revealed mosaicism in several members of the family, with some cells having normal karyotype and other cells with abnormal karyotype suggesting direct transmission from generation to generation via unaffected individuals acting as carriers of the extra chromosome. The mosaic nature of this syndrome, especially across generations, also was noted by Gerald ${ }^{175}$ as being very unusual since no precedence for this type of genetic-based mosaicism has been reported in any other disease.

However, Neu, et al. ${ }^{176}$ was skeptical about the presence of a supernumerary chromosome being responsible for the myriad of clinical characteristics associated with CES. This skepticism was supported by the observation that a patient displaying bilateral colobomata of the irises, imperforate anus and other phenotypic characteristics of CES,


Figure 6: Patients with Cat Eye Syndrome: A) ear malformation, B) intestinal malrotation, C) ocular coloboma, and D) genital malformation, E) ocular coloboma.
lacked any extra chromosome upon karyotype analysis. Both parents of this patient displayed normal karyotypes. Similar results also were presented by Franklin ${ }^{177}$.

In support of Schmidt, Fraccaro and Schachermann, others ${ }^{177.178-187}$ reported studies that confirmed the presence of a supernumerary chromosome that, by chromosome banding, was slightly smaller (approximately half the size) of a G group chromosome. Gerald ${ }^{186}$ and Ginsberg ${ }^{187}$ noted that although ocular abnormalities were typical of the patients with trisomy 13-15, 18 and 21, cases of ocular abnormalities associated with additional chromosomes were essentially unknown. They described a patient with a small, extra chromosome and detailed similarities to patients with trisomy of $D$ group chromosomes. A familial inheritance pattern also was demonstrated since the mother of the patient carried the extra chromosome. In several karyotype analyses of the patient in this study, the extra chromosome was characteristically dicentric and occasionally formed a small ring. The authors hypothesized that the additional chromosome could have resulted from any of four possibilities: 1) disruption or deletion of a chromosome; 2) disruption resulting in an isochrome of the short arm of a chromosome; 3) pericentric inversion within a small (probably G group) chromosome; or 4) translocatioli between two chromosomes. In their discussion, they preferred the first option because of the small size of the chromosome and the lack of visible satellites.

Weber ${ }^{188}$ also reported findings of a patient with a small acrocentric chromosome which were consistent with the notion of a "partial trisomy syndrome." Here, observations using marker analysis and chromosome studies of the family showed neither an abnormal inheritance pattern, nor the origin of the extra chromosome. They suggested partial deletion as the formation mechanism of the extra chromosome and speculated that the loss of the long arm of a D or G group chromosome could result in a chromosome of similar size.

The origin of the supernumerary chromosome seen in CES was the source of argument for many years, with different investigators reporting different origins.

Pfeiffer ${ }^{185}$ suggested that the Cat Eye Chromosome (CEC) was derived from chromosome 14, based on the observation by Jacobsen ${ }^{189}$ of an extra chromosome in a boy with ocular colobomata. The extra chromosome in this case was derived from a translocation event involving chromosomes 14 and 13. Toomey ${ }^{180}$, in Q banding studies, observed the CES marker chromosome with satellites and polymorphisms similar to the short arm of a D or G group chromosome. Further comparisons revealed similarity of the marker chromosome with chromosome 22 and based on previous phenotypic comparisons with trisomy 22 syndrome, they implicated chromosome 22 in the origin of the CEC. To this end, they hypothesized that a Robertsonian translocation (i.e. a reciprocal translocation between two acrocentric chromosomes with breaks on opposite sides of the centromeres and subsequent loss of one centromere ${ }^{190}$ ) between the p arm of 22 and the p arm of another D or $G$ group chromosome (possibly 13) resulted in the marker chromosome found in CES. Other previous studies that had attempted to identify the CEC using banding techniques hinted at the involvement of chromosome 22 , but produced limited evidence to support the hypothesis ${ }^{175.179 .191-193}$. Toomey ${ }^{180}$ also presented a comparison of clinical features of CES compared with trisomy 22 (see table 1).

Schinzel, et al. ${ }^{194}$ reported 11 cases of CES, with all cases displaying an extra chromosome that appeared to contain pter->q 11 of a normal chromosome. Further cytogenetic evidence suggested, as found by Toomey, that this CEC had derived from chromosome 22. Evidence to support this notion came from a family described by Buhler ${ }^{183}$ with two descendants presenting the clinical features of CES. Buhler speculated this was the result of a translocation of chromosome 22 with some other (unidentified) chromosome that resulted in trisomy 22pter-q11. Another supporting study was reported by Niermeijer ${ }^{195}$, describing a familial case of trisomy 22pter-q 12 with CES characteristics. In further speculation, Schinze ${ }^{197-199}$ suggested that the CEC is probably derived from the centromeric portion of chromosome 22 , in combination with

| clinical feature | trisomy 22 | CES |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mental retardation | + | + |
| Microcephaly | + | + |
| Hypertelorism | + | + |
| Antimongoloid slant | + | + |
| Beaked nose | + | - |
| Increased philtrum length | + | -- |
| Cleft palate | + | - |
| Micrognathia | + | - |
| Low-set rotated ears | + | + |
| Preauricular tags or sinuses | + | + |
| Prominent antihelix | + | - |
| Deformed upper extremity | + | + |
| Hip abnormality | + | + |
| Congenital heart disease | - | + |
| Urogenital tract anomalies | - | + |
| Anal atresia | - | + |
| Coloboma | + | + |
| Microphthalmia |  |  |

Table 1: Comparison of CES clinical features with trisomy 22 clinical features.
Adapted from reference 204.
the centromeric portion of the same 22 or another 22, leading to an inverted dicentric duplication: inv dup (22)(pter-q11::q11-pter). Different mechanisms for how this could be achieved were presented by Wisniewski ${ }^{196}$.

In further studies, Schinzel ${ }^{197-199}$ examined familial 11/22 translocations, familial trisomy 22q, mosaic trisomy 22 (reported in association with CES), and full trisomy 22. Results indicated that patients with unbalanced 11/22 translocations were trisomic for the centromeric segment of chromosome 22 and for the distal segment of 11 (approximately 11q23-qter). Trisomy 22 q is rare (presumably due to lethality), with mosaic-trisomy 22 and full trisomy 22 even more obscure. The existence of full trisomy 22 in humans is still under scrutiny, but Schinzel reported that if it exists, it is only for a short time due to the lethality of the mutation. However, no evidence for lethality has been reported regarding trisomy 22pter-q11 as seen in the CEC. Other studies support the notion of an inv dup (22)(pter-q $11::$ q 11 -pter) supernumerary chromosome in CES ${ }^{200-203 .}$

Guanti204 disputed the claim that the CEC resulted from translocation of 22 by presenting several different arguments in favor of chromosome 13 as the origin of the CEC. First, if chromosome 22 is involved in the CEC, the resulting phenotype should be similar to trisomy 22. Guanti questioned the existence of trisomy 22 and argued that no living patients with inherited trisomy 22 have ever been reported, that carriers of a Robertsonian translocation of 22 frequently have recurrent spontaneous abortions, and that a syndrome has been described involving trisomy 11 which mimics the clinical features of CES and trisomy 22.

With respect to chromosome 13 , Guanti pointed out the striking clinical similarities between patients with trisomy 13 (or an additional ring chromosome 13) and CES. However, Guanti speculated that if the CEC resulted from a translocation between 13 and 22 , which would account for the presence of fluorescence characteristics of 22, their conclusions that supported a chromosome 13 origin of the CEC still would be valid. A proposed mechanism of translocation between chromosomes 13 and 22, as presented
by Guanti, is shown in figure 7 along with a partial karyotype, illustrating how a rearranged chromosome 13 could be mistaken for chromosome 22 in banding studies.

In response to Guanti's remarks regarding the origin of the CEC, Reiss, et al. 205 reported a patient with a $46, \mathrm{XY}, 22 q+$ karyotype and clinical characteristics of CES. The abnormality of chromosome 22 was interpreted as a tandem duplication of the 22q11.1-q11.2 region. Here, the patient had striking similarities to a patient described by Schinzel. Although Reiss' patient did not have an extra marker chromosome, the duplicated 22 q material yielded him trisomic for 22q11. Reiss suggested that the region 22qll contains genetic material critical to phenotypic characteristics of CES. As a side note, the patient described here had normal levels of $\beta$-galactosidase- $2, \beta$-galactosidase $B$ and arylsulfatase, the genes for which are located on the 22q11.2-qter region ${ }^{206-209}$. leading to the conclusion that the region of duplication in this patient did not contain the above genes. McDermid ${ }^{210}$ then suggested that gene dosage studies such as those reported by Reiss could be an important technique to study chromosomal diseases.

In other studies, Magenis ${ }^{211}$ recently suggested genomic imprinting in the etiology of CES, because a comparison of Q stained chromosomes from the parents indicated that only the maternal chromosomes were involved in formation of the CEC. These studies are based on the findings of paternal preference in chromosome 15 deletions seen in Prader-Willi syndrome ${ }^{212}$ and according to Olsen and Magenis ${ }^{213}$, "the majority of de novo structural chromosomal aberrations are paternal in origin, while nondisjunctive events are most often maternal in origin." However, the supposition that CES has parental preference has not been proven conclusively by Magenis and additional studies are required.

Duncan, et al. ${ }^{214}$ investigated the supernumerary chromosome in CES for potential breakpoints using marker analysis. In situ hybridization was performed using markers that map to the q11 region of chromosome 22 (D22S9, V-lambda and Clambda). Results indicated that D22S9 was present in CES patients once on both normal


Figure 7: Schematic representation of possible rearrangements of chromosome 13. Interstitial deletion which gives rise to a chromosome 13 showing similar banding pattern as chromosome $22(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}) ; \mathrm{t}(13 ; 22)$ translocation giving rise to a marker chromosome with similar characteristics as chromosome 22 (C, D); partial karyotype illustrating how a rearranged chromosome 13 resembles a chromosome 22 ( G banding). Adapted from reference 204.
copies of chromosome 22 but twice in the CES patients, therefore indicating 4 copies of 22q11 in the CES individuals. This observation supports the hypothesis put forth by Schinzel that the CEC is an inverted duplication of 22pter-q I , and also confirmed the increase in copy number as predicted by Southern blot analysis with D22S9 in these same patients. Other recent data has revealed that the breakpoint in generation of the CES chromosome was proximal to the C-lambda and V-lambda regions. Further studies from McDermid's laboratory ${ }^{215}$ demonstrate similar results and indicate that some patients have 4 copies of D22S9, while others have 3 copies that are illuminated by this probe. Therefore, McDermid rationalized that CES results from overexpression of the genes present in this duplicated region.

Additional studies performed by Ward ${ }^{216}$ confirmed the conclusions drawn by McDermid and Duncan. Here, a patient had 4 copies of the D22S9 marker (i.e., two copies from normal chromosome 22 and 2 copies on the CEC). Luleci ${ }^{217}$ and Liehr ${ }^{203}$ also reported similar results, and further mapping studies using D22S9. D22S43, D22S57, D22S36 and D22S75, all of which map to 22q11.2, with D22S36 and D22S75 being the most distal (See figure 8). Here, marker analysis was used to distinguish between the breakpoint regions of CES and the gene deletion regions present in DiGeorge Syndrome ${ }^{218-225}$. These results indicate that the DiGeorge critical region (DGCR) is distinct from the CES duplication region with the distal boundary of CES (D22S36) located proximal to the DGCR. Other results in this report also confirmed the presence of 4 copies of 22 q 11 , and indicated that the size of the duplication did not correlate with the severity of CES.

In the latter part of this dissertation research, a series of eight BACs (bacterial artificial chromosomes) which map to the proposed breakpoints in CES were obtained by screening a human BAC library with markers mapping to the CES breakpoint region. The genomic sequence and analysis of one of these BACs is reported in this dissertation to provide direct evidence for the features present in the CES breakpoint region. In

# Human Chromosome 22 -- CES Region 



Figure 8: Cat Eye Syndrome critical region and relevant markers on human chromosome 22.
addition, these studies have served as a pilot project for the novel strategy termed "Sequence Scanning," as detailed below.

## III. Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia, type I (Wermer's Syndrome)

Multiple endocrine neoplasia. type I (MEN-I or Wermer's Syndrome) ${ }^{226} .227$ is a disease in which multiple benign or malignant tumors are present in the anterior pituitary gland, the pancreatic islets and the parathyroid glands. Other tumors such as adrenal cortical, carcinoid and lipomatous tumors also have been observed in MEN-1. MEN-1 is considered an autosomal dominant inherited disorder, and according to Calendar, et al., more than $80 \%$ of individuals with a family history of MEN-1 are affected by the disease by the fifth decade of life ${ }^{228}$. Many different biochemical changes result from the presence of MEN-1 tumors, and include increased levels of parathyroid hormone, growth hormone and vasoactive intestinal peptide production (see table 2) ${ }^{229}$.

Mapping studies performed by several groups ${ }^{230-233}$ have localized the MEN-1 locus to chromosome 11q13. Recently, detaileú tumor deletion mapping studies using restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLP) and repetitive (microsatellite) probes, have localized the MEN-1 locus to a region telomeric to the PYGM locus (which encodes human muscle glycogen phosphorylase) and centromeric to the genetic marker DIIS146. Since no mitotic recombination was observed between the PYGM locus and the suspected disease locus, Calendar, et al. hypothesize that the predicted MEN-1 locus lies in close proximity to PYGM (see figure 9) ${ }^{228}$.

The initial studies of MEN-I also revealed the mechanism of this disease. Studies focusing on the genetic rearrangements in MEN-1 tumors indicate loss of function suggesting that the MEN-1 gene may be a tumor suppressor gene ${ }^{234}$. Within the 1 lq13 region localized by the mapping studies, several candidate MEN-1 genes have been identified, including PRADI(also called BCL1 or CCNDI; ${ }^{239}, \mathrm{FAU}^{229}, \mathrm{ZFM1}{ }^{229}$,

| MEN-1 tumors | Biochemical Features |
| :---: | :---: |
| Parathyroids | Hypercalcaemia and 4 PTH |
| Pancreatic islets: |  |
| Gastrinoma | 4 Gastrin and $\uparrow$ basal gastric output |
| Insulinoma | Hypogyicaemia and ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ insulin |
| Glucagonoma | Glucose intolerance and 4 glucagon |
| Vipoma | 4 VIP and WDHA |
| PPoma | 4 PP |
| Pituitary (anterior): |  |
| Prolactinoma | Hyperprolactinaemia |
| GH-secreting | 4 GH |
| ACTH-secreting | Hypercorisolaemia and 4 ACTH |
| Non-functioning | Nil |
| Associated Tumors: |  |
| Adrenal cortical | Hypercortisolaemia or primary hyperaldosteronism |
| Carcinoid | 4 5-HIAA |
| Lipoma | Nil |

Table 2: Biochemical changes resulting from MEN-I tumor presence. Abbreviations: 4. increased; PTH, parathyroid hormone; VIP, vasoactive intestinal peptide; WDHA. watery diarrhea, hypocalcemia and achlorhydria; PP, pancreatic polypeptide; GH , growth hormone; ACTH, adrenocorticotrophin; 5-HIAA, 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid. Adapted from reference 229.

## Human Chromosome 11 -- MEN-1 Region



Figure 9: MEN-1 gene region and relevant markers on human chromosome 11.
Adapted from Chandrasekharappa, et al. "Positional Cloning of the Gene for
Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia I," In press.

4F2HC (or MDUl) ${ }^{229}$, INT2 ${ }^{229}$, HSTF1 (or HST1) ${ }^{229}$, PPl $\alpha^{229}$, PCL $\beta 33^{234 .}$ 235, and FKBP2 ${ }^{235}$.

Recent studies of two pituitary adenomas revealed the presence of a DNA rearrangement involving the first of three exons of the parathyroid hormone (PTH) gene normally on $11 p 15$ juxtaposed with a non-PTH gene region from 11q13. It was found that this non-PTH region, termed the DIIS287E region, contains DNA sequence that is conserved in different species and that is expressed not only in the parathyroid adenomas, but in normal parathyroids as well ${ }^{236.237}$. This potential MEN-1 gene was termed PRADI for parathyroid adenomatosis, type 1238 and Arnold, et al. ${ }^{238}$ demonstrated that it is overexpressed in tumors with 1 lq 13 rearrangements. The cDNA for PRADI was isolated and the predicted protein (of 295 amino acids) demonstrated similarities to the cyclin family of proteins.

Cyclins are a family of proteins involved in cell cycle regulation by activation of cyclin dependent kinases (cdks) ${ }^{239-241}$. In mammals, there are five types of cyclins, classified A, B, C, D, and E, with the D cyclins further subcategorized as D1, D2, and D3. As seen in figure 10, different cyclins are expressed at different stages in the cell cycle. The D class of cyclins regulate progression from Gl phase to the S phase, however, D cyclins behave as end points of mitogenic pathways instead of as an integral part of the cell cycle. D cyclin activity is mediated by growth factors or other nuclear proteins. Further analysis revealed that cyclin D1 and PRAD1 have identical primary sequence, and that chromosomal rearrangements involving the cyclin Dl gene (PRAD1) have been reported in B -cell lymphomas (BCL1) ${ }^{242.243}$. Further linkage studies revealed that the PRADI gene was not the gene for MEN-1238, although this gene plays an important role in cell cycle regulation and potentially, tumorigenesis.

The FAU gene (Finkel-Biskis-Reilly murine sarcoma virus [FBR-MuSv] associated ubiquitously expressed gene) is a second potential MEN-1 gene. The FAU


Figure 10: Cell cycle and the different stages of cyclin expression ${ }^{229}$
gene in mouse, when interacting with the viral antisense FAU gene, leads to the disruption of the constitutive expression and results in sarcoma. This correlation between the FAU gene suppression and presence of a sarcoma indicates that the FAU gene could potentially behave as a tumor suppressor gene. However, as with PRAD1, mapping studies place the FAU gene outside the predicted locus for MEN- ${ }^{244}$. Further evidence reveals that MEN-1 patients do not exhibit mutations or other alterations within the FAU gene region ${ }^{245}$.

A third potential MEN-1 gene is XFM1 ${ }^{229}$, a gene for a zinc finger containing protein in the MEN-1 locus that consists of 14 exons and encodes a 623 amino acid protein which also contains a nuclear transport domain, a metal binding motif and glutamine- and proline-rich regions. This gene is expressed in endocrine organs such as adrenal, thyroid and pancreas, and results in two detectable expressed transcripts. The relationship of ZFM1 with MEN-1 remains to be established.

A fourth potential MEN-1 gene is 4F2HC and it encodes a heavy chain protein of a cell surface glycoprotein whose expression is increased in actively proliferating cells. Because of this increased expression, $4 F 2$ has been suggested to play a role in the $G_{0}$ to $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ transition of the cell cycle. The gene for 4F2 (also called MDU1 for monoclonal Duke University antibody 1) has nine exons and mapping studies have localized it to chromosome $11^{246}$. Although this gene could be a candidate for tumorigenesis in some capacity based on the role played in the cell cycle regulation and localization at 11 q13247, it maps outside the target region for MEN-1247. Therefore, it is unlikely that the 4F2HC gene is the MEN-1 gene.

Two protooncogenes also are located in 11q13. INT2, the human homologue of the murine mammary tumor virus integration site 2, and HSTF1, the heparin secretory transforming factor type 1 , formerly termed HST1 for human stomach transforming factor 1 , both encode basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF)- related proteins which could play a role as circulating mitogenic factors ${ }^{248.249}$. However, mapping analysis and
linkage studies ruled out INT2 and HSTF1 as possible candidates for the MEN-1 gene as they are located too far from the mapped MEN-1 locus.

The final gene mapped to 11 q 13 is $\mathrm{PPI} \alpha$, the protein phosphatase 1 catalytic subunit-encoding cDNA. Protein kinases and phosphatases historically have played a role in cellular regulation and more specifically, signal transduction. For example, in mammals, the conversion of the retinoblastoma protein to its active form requires $\mathrm{PP} 1 \alpha$ mediated dephosphorylation ${ }^{250.251}$ and PP1 $\alpha$ therefore plays a critical role in cell proliferation. Recent studies have localized the PPI $\alpha$ gene to the MEN-1 locus between PYGM and D11S97 on chromosome 11q13, and therefore, the PP1 $\alpha$ gene now is the leading candidate for the MEN-1 gene.

The results of sequencing a 300 kilobase BAC in the 11 q 13 region are presented in the results section of this dissertation in an attempt to locate additional genes in the MEN-1 region and to provide the sequences needed for the further mapping and familial studies necessary to pinpoint the MEN-1 gene.

## IV. Large scale DNA sequencing

## A. Introduction to DNA

## 1. DNA and inheritance

For centuries, many explanations have been put forth to explain the inheritance of parental characteristics by offspring. Early theories included Aristotle's theory of pangenesis which stated that semen developed in and from all parts of the body by traveling through the blood to the testicles. Because the semen formed in all parts of the body, the characteristic traits of each body part were effectively transferred to the progeny. August Weismann presented a competing theory in the early 1800 s, called the germ plasm theory. Weismann's germ plasm theory stated that there was a fundamental difference between the germ plasm (the sex cells and their progenitors) and the somatoplasm (the remainder of the body cells) and that the somatoplasm existed for the
sole purpose of propagation and protection of the germ plasm, which carried the characteristic traits of the organism from parent to offspring. Weismann supported his theory by removing the tails of mice before mating. Results showed that the tail removal did not affect the tail length of the progeny ${ }^{252}$.

All such attempts to define heredity focused on the overall similarities between progeny and the parents, albeit still unable to unlock the complex transmission of heritable traits. It was not until the late nineteenth century (1865), when Gregor Mendel ${ }^{253}$ focused his study on easily defined traits exhibited by homozygous (true breeding) parental strains of the garden pea (Pisum sativum), that the link between the outward appearance of an organism (phenotype) and the genetic makeup of an organism (genotype) was realized. By proposing that "particulate factors" were the carriers of heredity, Mendel formulated his two laws of heredity which include the genetic concepts of dominance, recessivity, segregation and independent assortment ${ }^{254}$.

Walter Sutton, building on Mendel's "particulate factors," was the first to relate chromosomal behavior with genetics in his chromosomal inheritance theory. He examined chromosomal movement and noted that the sex cells which formed during meiosis received only one chromosome from each of eleven pairs of chromosomes in grasshoppers ${ }^{255}$. This chromosomal segregation perfectly matched the segregation described by Mendel which led Sutton to the conclusion that Mendel's "particulate factors" must be located directly on the chromosomes. In 1910, Johannsen ${ }^{256}$ called these factors genes. Sir Archibald Garrod, in his studies of the inborn errors of metabolism, suggested that these illnesses resulted from a lack of the required enzymes, and proposed that the genes controlled the synthesis of these enzymes ${ }^{254.257 .258}$. In 1941, Beadle and Tatum ${ }^{259}$ introduced a red bread mold (Neurospora) as a genetic model system to study metabolism, since the organism requires only sugar, inorganic salts and biotin to survive. Using X-ray irradiation followed by analysis on several types of media, each containing an added nutritional supplement, Beadle and Tatum ascertained
which gene had been modified by irradiation. Based on this study, they coined the phrase "one gene-one enzyme" and confirmed Garrod's hypothesis. In modern terms, it is more useful to expand Beadle and Tatum's phrase to "one gene-one protein," although it was several decades later that the actual nature of a gene was characterized.

Studies by Fred Griffith on two strains of Pneumococcus led to the first evidence for DNA as the genetic material ${ }^{260}$. A pathogenic strain of Pneumococcus (III S), which is able to synthesize a capsular polysaccharide which forms a mucus coat around the bacterium and resists the host defense system, and a mutant strain of Pneumococcus (II R), which had lost the ability to synthesize the capsular polysaccharide, were used. Griffith demonstrated that coinjection of heat killed III S and II R into mice resulted in pneumonic infection and death, whereas injection of only III S or II R individually did not. Furthermore, Griffith was surprised to retrieve virulent III S strain bacteria from the deceased mice. In 1928, Griffith presented his transformation hypothesis that some "principle" was transferred from the heat-killed III S strain to the avirulent II R strain, transforming it such that it could produce the capsular polysaccharide, hence, becoming virulent.

Griffith's experiments were further confirmed by Avery, MacLeod and McCarty ${ }^{261}$ in 1944, who purified the transforming "principle" from extracts and found it to be DNA. Further studies with deoxyribonuclease (DNase) confirmed their theory by demonstrating that DNase could destroy the transforming ability of the DNA. This work should have focused all attention on DNA, but because proteins were still considered by many to be the carriers of genetic information, the structure of DNA was uncharacterized, and the genetics of bacterial systems were unclear, DNA remained in the background.

It was not until 1952, that Hershey and Chase confirmed that DNA was the cell component involved in transfer of genetic information by infecting bacteria with radioactively-labeled T 2 bacteriophage ${ }^{262}$. The protein coat of the phage was labeled with ${ }^{35}$ S and the phage DNA was labeled with ${ }^{32} \mathrm{P}$. Analysis revealed that the empty
phage coats retained the ${ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}$ label and the infected bacteria contained the ${ }^{32} \mathrm{P}$. Upon lysis of the infected bacterial cells, it was found that the progeny phage contained the ${ }^{32} \mathrm{P}$ label indicating that the DNA is directly involved in the transfer of genetic material.

These studies gave credence to the earlier works of Friedrich Miescher ${ }^{263}$ who, in the late 1800 's, determined that a new cellular organic phosphate compound was located in the nucleus of cells. He had termed this substance nuclein and established that it was characterized as a mixture of DNA and protein, later termed chromatin. Through a series of studies in the first half of this century, the DNA polynucleotide was determined to be a chain of nucleotides, with each nucleotide consisting of three major components: (1) a purine (adenine, guanadine) or pyrimidine (cytosine, thymine, uracil) base (see figure 11), linked by its 1 or 9 ring nitrogen atom, respectively, in an N -glycosidic bond to (2) a 5 carbon sugar in a ring formation (pentose) which is ribose in RNA and 2-deoxyribose in DNA (differing only by the presence or absence of a hydroxyl group at position 2 in the ring) and (3) a phosphate group which is esterified to carbon 5 of the sugar. The DNA polynucleotide is an unbranched polymer bound covalently between the 5 ' phosphate of one nucleotide to the 3 ' hydroxyl of the sugar on the adjacent nucleotide. The degrees of rotation freedom are limited to two in DNA, one in the phosphodiester linkage and the other in the glycosyl linkage between the base and the sugar ${ }^{264}$. In 1951, Chargaff, et al. ${ }^{265}$, determined that double-stranded DNA consists of equal amounts of adenine (A) and thymine ( T ) as well as equal amounts of guanosine ( G ) and cytosine (C). Furthermore, he showed that the molar ratios ( $[\mathrm{A}]+[\mathrm{T}] /[\mathrm{G}]+[\mathrm{C}]$ ) differed among organisms, a finding which suggested that DNA molecules might be more complex than originally considered. Chargaff hypothesized that the variable base compositions reflected differences in the base sequences among organisms, which somewhat revealed the complexity and diversity of genetic material.







Figure 11: Deoxyribonucleotide structures

## 2. DNA structure

In 1953, Watson and Crick ${ }^{266.267}$ reported the structure of DNA as a hydrogen bonded, double helix (see figure 12), based on three major pieces of evidence: (1) X-ray diffraction data obtained from Maurice Wilkins ${ }^{268}$ and Rosalyn Franklin ${ }^{269}$ showed that DNA had the form of a regular helix with a complete turn every 34 Angstroms ( 3.4 nm ), with a diameter of 20 angstroms ( 2 nm ). With a distance between nucleotides of 3.4 angstroms, there were 10 bases per turn of the helix. (2) The high density of DNA suggested that 2 chains must be present, and with the X-ray diffraction pattern revealing that the DNA had a constant diameter, Watson and Crick proposed that this could only be achieved if the bases faced inward and a purine always was hydrogen bonded with a pyrimidine. (3) Chargaff's Rules which described that the proportion of $G$ and $C$ is always the same, as is the proportion of A and T , regardless of the actual amount of the base.

To adhere to these three pieces of evidence, the resulting structure must have two DNA polymers coiled together in an antiparallel fashion with the nitrogenous bases facing inward. The two strands are hydrogen bonded between A and T (two hydrogen bonds) and $G$ and $C$ (three hydrogen bonds) generating what is referred to as Watson-Crick base pairing. This structure fulfills the rules originally proposed by Chargaff and formed the basis for a new era of research into the heredity material first described over a century ago.

The crystal structure studied by Watson and Crick is what is now described as Bform, a right handed helix with the distance criteria as listed above. B-form DNA has a major and a minor groove with the surfaces of the hydrogen bonded nitrogenous bases perpendicular to the helix axis ${ }^{266}$. The flat surfaces of the hydrogen bonded bases allows for interaction of the aromatic pi electron clouds, thereby diminishing the electrostatic repulsion expected between the negatively charged phosphate backbones of the helix. This "base stacking" stabilizes the helix. Other forms of DNA, such as the more tightly


Figure 12: A-form, B-form and Z-form structures ${ }^{401}$.
wound right handed helical A-form and the slightly looser C-form also have been described ${ }^{271}$.

An alternate form of DNA, Z-DNA, is a left handed double helix with only one deep groove that is similar to the minor groove of B-DNA ${ }^{272 .} 273$ (see figure 12). ZDNA contains 12 base pairs per turn with a diameter of approximately 18 angstroms, making this structure much more compact than that seen in B-DNA. Another difference between the two forms lies in the conformation of the nucleotides. In B-DNA, the nucleotides have the anti conformation and a C2' endo pucker of the deoxyribose ring, whereas Z-DNA has alternating anti and syn conformations and a C3' endo pucker of the deoxyribose sugar ${ }^{273}$.

Although B-DNA is the most common form, some sequences favor the formation of Z-DNA, such as alternating stretches of purines and pyrimidines as found in promoter regions of housekeeping genes ${ }^{274}$. The formation of the lower energy Z-DNA structure as a result of these alternating purine/pyrimidine elements is induced by negative supercoiling ${ }^{273.275 .276}$. Since Z-DNA has been reported in regions of SV40 virus that regulates transcription and replication, Z-DNA is thought to function as an effector of transcriptional activation ${ }^{277.278}$. Miller, et al. reported that Z-DNA can form nucleosomes and that B-DNA nucleosomes can be converted to Z-DNA nucleosomes ${ }^{279}$. This conversion from B-DNA to Z-DNA could change the superhelical density of a DNA segment, altering the gene expression over a large region of DNA ${ }^{273.280}$. Therefore, ZDNA formation could potentially affect nucleosome phasing, domain activation and local chromatin organization ${ }^{276.280 .281}$.

## 3. Gene expression

With the structure of DNA known, the next step in the DNA structure-function question was to find a molecular basis for the biochemical observations of heredity in Garrod, Beadle and Tatum's one gene-one enzyme hypothesis. More specifically, how
is the information contained in DNA used by the cell to carry out metabolic functions and how is this process regulated?

Francis Crick began answering this question in 1957 when he proposed his central dogma of molecular biology. With the assumption that DNA sequence and protein sequence are colinear, Crick hypothesized that the genetic information is stored in DNA, passed on via RNA which acts as an intermediate translator, and translated into the functional protein molecule (see figure 13). It now is widely accepted that the process by which RNA is produced from DNA is termed transcription, and the production of proteins from mRNA is termed translation.

In eukaryotes, transcription of genes which eventually will be translated is facilitated by the presence of specific promoter elements (i.e. specific consensus sequences in the DNA) which are recognized by RNA polymerase II. One such promoter element is the Goldberg-Hogness box, or TATA box, with a consensus sequence of TATAAT, which is located $25-30$ base pairs upstream from the transcription start site. The TATA box directs the correct positioning of the RNA polymerase II for transcription initiation and specifies the $5^{\prime}$ cap termini in the mature mRNA ${ }^{282.283}$. The TATA box in eukaryotic transcription appears to be similar to the Pribnow box in prokaryote transcription, but differs in that the Pribnow box is essential for prokaryotic transcription. Deletion of the TATA box does not abolish in vivo transcription, but is absolutely required for eukaryotic transcription in vitro ${ }^{284}$.

Further upstream from the transcription start site is a region which can contain one or more upstream regulatory elements such as the CCAAT box ${ }^{284.285}$ or the Spl consensus binding site GGGCGG ${ }^{283.284 .286}$. Levine, et al. reported that methylation at the promoter region was associated with transcriptional inactivity ${ }^{287}$. However, further studies conducted by Hoeller, et al. demonstrated that transcription factor Spl could initiate transcription when methylated C residues were present in the Spl binding site ${ }^{288}$.

# Central Dogma of Molecular Biology 



Figure 13: Central dogma of molecular biology

DNA binding proteins play a significant role in both positive and negative control of transcriptional initiation ${ }^{289}$. For example, prokaryotic heat shock genes are positively regulated at the transcriptional level by the DNA binding protein sigma 32, whereas negative regulation is accomplished in SV40 when T antigen binds to the region of SV40 DNA which includes the promoter for the early transcriptional unit ${ }^{289}$. Additional regulatory elements, called enhancers, affect mRNA synthesis from greater than 1000 base pairs from the promoter region. Enhancer regions are positioned upstream, downstream, or within the transcribed region of the gene they regulate ${ }^{286.274}$. Furthermore, enhancer regions have been noted to function even when the $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ orientation is reversed ${ }^{286}$.

Whereas the $5^{\prime}$ terminus of a mRNA corresponds to the transcription initiation site, transcription does not terminate at the poly A site, but rather at some distance downstream ${ }^{289.290}$. A consensus sequence (AAUAAA) is found 10-30 nucleotides upstream from the poly A addition site for most transcripts ${ }^{291}$. This consensus sequence directs an endonucleolytic cleavage reaction on the nascent RNA transcript, creating the $3^{\prime}$ end to which a poly A tail of approximately 200 nucleotides is added ${ }^{284}$. Deletion of this site results in unstable mRNA transcripts, and although polyadenylation still occurs, the cleavage results at both normal and abnormal sites ${ }^{292}$.

Because transcription terminates at a point downstream of the polyadenylation consensus sequence, it has been suggested that additional downstream elements are responsible for directing the polyadenylation ${ }^{284}$. However, since eukaryotic transcription termination still is not well characterized, the signals for polyadenylation and termination still are not well defined.

Unlike prokaryotic transcription, eukaryotic transcription produces a pre-mRNA which contains coding sequences (exons) and intervening non-coding sequences (introns). The non-coding sequences are removed from the mRNA through a process called splicing, during which the pre-mRNA is cleaved at a $G$ residue in the $5^{\prime}$ donor
consensus splice site (C/A)AG/GT(A/G)AGT, where the underlined sequence is strictly conserved. This $G$ residue at the end of an intron reacts with another base containing region (usually containing an adenosine) that is upstream of the 3 ' acceptor splice site $\left((T / C)_{\mathbf{n}} \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{T}) \underline{\mathrm{A}} \mathbf{G} / \mathrm{G}\right)$ to form a 5 ' to $2^{\prime}$ phosphodiester bond ${ }^{284.289 .293}$. The intermediate is termed a lariat structure and is followed by release of the intron and ligation of the two exons ${ }^{284}$.
snRNPs (small nuclear ribonucleoprotein particles) are components of the spliceosome complex where the process of site recognition, lariat formation and cleavage of pre-mRNA occurs. Other components include the pre-mRNA and heterogenous nuclear RNP proteins (or hnRNPs) which associate with the pre-mRNA ${ }^{294}$. It now is known that snRNPs play a role in splicing since it was observed that the sequence of the 5 ' end of U1 snRNP RNA is complementary to the $5^{\prime}$ consensus splice site ${ }^{295-297}$ and similarly, that U5 snRNP RNA associates with the $3^{\prime}$ consensus splice site ${ }^{294.298}$. Further evidence to link snRNPs with splice interactions suggests an interaction between U2, U5 and U6 snRNP and the branchpoint sequence during lariat formation ${ }^{299-301}$.

## 4. Chromosome organization

The three billion base pairs of the human genome are organized and packaged into a set of 46 chromosomes in a haploid cell; two copies of chromosomes 1-22 and the sex chromosomes XX and XY. The basic structural unit of a chromosome is chromatin ${ }^{302}$. Chromatin consists of densely packed nucleosomes wound in a helical solenoid shape with six nucleosomes per turn of the helix ${ }^{303}$. The nucleosome is a protein octamer of histones (two of each protein: H2A, H2B, H3 and H4) around which a continuous strand of double helical DNA is wound two times, with roughly 200 base pairs of DNA wrapped around each octamer ${ }^{304}$. A fifth histone protein, Hl , binds to the DNA between nucleosomes and facilitates chromatin packaging ${ }^{305,306}$. This packaging is believed to be regulated by phosphorylation of histone Hl prior to mitosis, and dephosphorylated following mitosis. It is thought that this covalent modification
regulates the capacity to package DNA into chromatin. As a result of this covalent modification, chromatin is found in different forms depending on the stage of cell division ${ }^{307}$. The compact form is known as heterochromatin and studies indicate that this form is a region of relatively inactive RNA synthesis. Heterochromatin can be further subdivided into constitutive heterochromatin (chromosomes or parts of chromosomes which are not expressed such as the highly repetitive sequences found near the centromeres ${ }^{307}$ ) or facultative heterochromatin (cell specific and is believed to play a role in transcriptional inactivation of large regions of the chromosome). Euchromatin is a much less compact form of chromatin and is typically equated with active RNA synthesis. These regions have been visualized using an electron microscope and are called "chromosomal puffs" 304.

In females, two copies of the X chromosome are present although only one is transcriptionally active. The inactive chromosome always is present as condensed heterochromatin and is called a Barr body ${ }^{308}$.

## B. Recombinant DNA

Since the first site-specific restriction endonuclease was isolated from Haemophilus influenzae in $1970{ }^{309}$, molecular biology has moved in the direction of recombinant DNA technology by developing techniques for DNA characterization, cloning and genetic mapping which are central to modern DNA research.

## 1. Restriction endonucleases

Restriction endonucleases are enzymes which recognize a specific nucleotide sequence and cleave double-stranded DNA by hydrolysis of the phosphodiester bond in the backbone of DNA. Three types of restriction endonucleases have been characterized: types I, II and III. Types I and III are similar in that both recognize sites that are variable distances away from the site of cleavage. Type I endonucleases require ATP for the cleavage, whereas type III does not. Type II enzymes, the most commonly used in
molecular biology, recognize a palindromic sequence present in double-stranded DNA, cleave within the recognition site and do not require ATP for cleavage. Type II restriction endonucleases cleave both strands of the double-stranded DNA substrate and the resulting fragments have either a $5^{\prime}$ overhang, a 3 ' overhang, or blunt ends.

Restriction endonucleases are found to occur naturally in many bacteria and play a major role in the bacterial host defense mechanism. Host DNA is methylated at specific residues (typically A or C), which allows it to be distinguished from invading DNA. Because the host restriction enzymes are unable to cleave methylated DNA, the genomic DNA remains intact while the invading bacteriophage DNA is destroyed. Many restriction enzymes have been isolated from a myriad of bacterial organisms and because they are commercially available, they have become valuable tools that are widely used in molecular biology.

## 2. DNA cloning

The discovery of restriction enzymes paved the way for the development of recombinant DNA technology. DNA cloning, which requires ligating foreign DNA into a cloning vector, now is one of the basic techniques in a modern molecular biology laboratory. Once foreign DNA is ligated into a vector, the recombinant DNA molecule is transformed into a bacterial host where it is replicated by the host enzymes. A wide variety of viral and plasmid vectors have been engineered to contain a variety of features such as selection mechanisms and cloning sites.

In 1977, Joachim Messing introduced the viral M13-derived cloning system ${ }^{310-}$ 313. These vectors were derived from the single-stranded genomic DNA of M13 filamentous bacteriophage. It is believed that this bacteriophage infects a host bacteria via an external $F$ pilus on the host cell. The single-stranded M13 DNA and a pilot protein enter the cell where the pilot protein directs the synthesis of the complementary strand of the viral DNA using the host replicating machinery. This circular, double-stranded moiety (called replicative form, or RF) can be isolated from the cells and used as a
cloning vector. Foreign DNA can be ligated into this replicative form that has been cleaved with a restriction endonuclease. This chimeric M13 molecule can be introduced into bacterial cells which then provide the replication machinery to produce progeny phage and infection of other bacterial cells. When plated on an agar plate, cells containing M13 virus grow slower and easily are identified against a background of uninfected cells. The lac $Z$ ' coding region of the $E$. coli lac operon was included in the engineering of the M13 vector system because it contains the gene and regulatory elements for the enzyme $\beta$-galactosidase which cleaves lactose. Messing also introduced a polycloning site in the M13 vectors into the $\beta$-galactosidase gene without altering the function of the gene. Thus, when foreign DNA is inserted into sites in the polycloning site, the insert causes expression of a dysfunctional lac $Z^{\prime}$ protein. In the presence of isopropyl- $\beta$-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG), a lac inducer, and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl- $\beta$-D-galactopyranoside (Xgal), a chromogenic substrate for $\beta$-galactosidase, cells harboring an intact gene for $\beta$-galactosidase will produce a functional protein which cleaves Xgal resulting in blue plaques, whereas those with inserted DNA will produce dysfuntional $\beta$-galactosidase and resulting plaques will be colorless. This color selection mechanism allows for differentiation between cells with only vector M13 DNA and cells with M13 vector containing insert DNA.

Plasmids are double-stranded, extrachromosomal DNA naturally found in some bacterial strains. Naturally occurring plasmids typically contain important genes for either antibiotic resistance or bacterial conjugation which give the bacteria a selective advantage under certain growth conditions. As in M13 vectors, pUC-based plasmid vectors also were engineered by Messing to contain the polycloning site, the lac operon for color selection and in addition, the gene for ampicillin resistance ${ }^{314}$. These engineered vectors are part of a dual selection system required in plasmid-based cloning. The first selection is the presence of genes on the plasmid which confer antibiotic resistance. When plated on antibiotic-containing media, only those cells which uptake the
plasmid upon transformation can survive. The second selection is the lac operon system as described above for M13 vectors, which identifies colonies containing only vector DNA as blue and those harboring insert-containing pUC-based plasmids as colorless in the presence of IPTG and Xgal.

## 3. Introduction to DNA sequencing

In 1977, two different methods of DNA sequencing were reported. The first, developed by Maxam and Gilbert in Cambridge, MA, is a chemical cleavage-based approach and the second, developed by Sanger and Coulson in Cambridge, England, is an enzyme-based approach.

The Maxam and Gilbert ${ }^{315}$ technique involved chemical cleavage of a $5^{\prime}$ radiolabeled DNA strand by exposure to different base modifying chemicals. Since four distinct chemical agents were not available, combinations of chemicals were used resulting in strong A/weak G cleavage, strong G/weak A cleavage, C cleavage only, and $\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{T}$ cleavage. After separation of the fragments by denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, and exposure to film, the DNA sequence could be determined.

Sanger and Coulson ${ }^{316}$ developed a sequencing method which utilized the polymerase activity of the Klenow fragment of E. coli DNA polymerase I. They described the chain terminating features of $2^{\prime}, 3$ dideoxynucleotides which are analogs of nucleotides which lack the 3 ' hydroxyl group required for polymerization. They also described using four base-specific reactions each containing trace amounts of one of the four dideoxynucleotides, corresponding to adenine, cytosine, guanosine and thymine. DNA polymerization from a defined site beginning with an oligonucleotide primer annealed to single-stranded DNA resulted in a nested fragment set. Incorporation of small amounts of a radiolabeled nucleotide allowed for detection by autoradiography following separation of the nested fragment set by denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, with each base-specific reaction loaded in a separate lane on the gel. The sequence of the synthesized strand can be determined by examining the banding pattern
present on the autoradiograph, reading from shorter to longer fragments, and based on the lane assignments of each base-specific reaction. Therefore, in the A-specific lane, a band terminated with a dideoxyATP would be present for every T that occurred in the complementary template sequence.

Many improvements have been made over the years to the original Sanger and Coulson dideoxynucleotide chain termination method, reflecting it's popularity and widespread application in molecular biology. Today, Sanger's enzymatic approach is the DNA sequencing method of choice and was the only sequencing method employed during this dissertation work.

The reported modifications of the Sanger method include the ability to alter the size range of the fragments in the nested fragment set by altering the ratios of deoxynucleotides to dideoxynucleotides, performing extension and termination portions in either one or two step reactions, and the elimination of compression bands or "stops" in reactions resulting from high G/C content or secondary structural elements in the templates by including denaturants such as urea or formamide in the polyacrylamide gels. In addition, the deoxyguanosine triphosphate has been replaced by deoxy-7-deaza guanosine triphosphate ( $\mathrm{dc}^{7}$ GTP), deoxyinosine triphosphate or $\alpha$-thionucleotide triphosphates in reactions to help reduce $G / C$ compressions. Here, the addition of dc ${ }^{7}$ GTP does not participate in base stacking, thus making some secondary structures energetically unfavorable, while deoxyinosine triphosphate and $\alpha$-thio nucleotides minimize gel compressions from secondary structure and improve efficiency of the polymerizing enzyme ${ }^{317}$. Also, the introduction of thermostable DNA polymerases. such as the Thermus aquaticus DNA polymerase and various mutants of this enzyme ${ }^{318 .}$ 319 have reduced additional template-based secondary structural artifacts typically seen with Sequenase (a modified T7 DNA polymerase) by allowing polymerization at high temperatures.

Additional improvements were reported as the use of double-stranded vectors gained popularity. The challenge of sufficiently denaturing the double-stranded template while at the same time providing optimal conditions for primer annealing with enzymes such as Sequenase, Klenow and Bst, resulted in several procedures ${ }^{320-323}$ for denaturing double-stranded sequencing templates. Among these protocols, the denaturation technique of Bachmann ${ }^{324}$ was the most widely used and entailed denaturing the template by boiling in the presence of primer and detergent, followed by snap cooling on dry ice. However, the advent of cycle sequencing with thermostable enzymes eliminated the need for an initial denaturation step with double-stranded templates prior to enzyme addition and thus, is one of the more widely used modifications of the original Sanger dideoxynucleotide termination method. In cycle sequencing, all the reaction components are combined and incubated for several repeated cycles of denaturation, annealing and extension. These repeated steps allow for more efficient generation of the nested fragment set from either double-stranded or single-stranded templates ${ }^{325-328}$.

DNA sequencing was impacted dramatically by the onset of fluorescent detection methods, replacing radioisotopic detection, which entailed 5 ' labeling of oligonucleotides with fluorescent dyes. The fluorescent-labeled primer methods have several disadvantages in that four separate base-specific reactions must be performed. Following cycle sequencing, the four base-specific reactions are pooled, precipitated and run in one lane of a polyacrylamide gel associated with a fluorescent sequencing instrument. Fluorescent DNA sequencing also has the disadvantage of fold-back compression resulting in abnormal migration of the generated fragments through the polyacrylamide gel during electrophoresis. Dye-labeled terminators were an additional application of fluorescent detection methods, with the fluorescent dye directly attached to the terminating dideoxynucleotide. All four base-specific reactions can be performed in the same tube since the detection moiety is directly attached to the terminating nucleotide. Fluorescent-labeled dideoxynucleotides prevent fold-back compression because the large
fluorescent group attached to the ddNTP does not allow base stacking. and therefore reduces abnormal fragment mobility. Background sequence is greatly reduced since abortive starts and stops go virtually undetected if not terminated with a labeled ddNTP.

All of these improvements of the original Sanger dideoxynucleotide chain termination method have led to the routine generation of DNA sequence data to greater than 400 base pairs (bp) past the priming site in a single fluorescent reaction on DNA sequencing instruments with automated data collection and basecalling capabilities.

## C. Introduction to DNA sequencing

Strategies for DNA sequencing basically fall into two major categories: directed sequencing and random (or shotgun) sequencing. Within these two categories, various approaches to sequencing a particular region of DNA are presented.

## 1. Directed strategies

Directed strategies are based on the notion that in any DNA sequencing project, some information is known, and therefore can be used to generate the unknown information. For example, taken to its extreme, a directed strategy in which the initial reactions are primed with the universal forward or reverse primers with binding sites on M13 or pUC-based vectors and subsequent new sequencing reactions are performed with custom synthetic primers in additional sequencing reactions that result in stepwise generation of $300-400 \mathrm{bp}$ of new extended sequence at a time. This strategy is advantageous to some in that the overlapping region is known and alignment of the sequence data is straightforward, whether or not repeated regions are present. This strategy has been widely used to sequence short, cloned DNA regions ( $1-5 \mathrm{~kb}$ ) such as eukaryotic cDNAs. When the sequence of slightly larger cloned fragments was needed, modification of this primer walking strategy was used. For example, the sequence of the human ${ }^{329}$, bovine ${ }^{330}$ and Xenopus laevis ${ }^{331}$ mitochondrial genomes and several human
tRNA genes utilized a directed restriction fragment cloning followed by custom synthetic primer walking to successfully complete the sequence of these $10-20 \mathrm{~kb}$ cloned regions.

An additional directed strategy eliminated the cost and time requirements of primer synthesis by utilizing a partial template degredation approach with exonuclease III (exoIII). Here, unidirectional deletion of a linear DNA molecule with subsequent ligation to cloning vector, allows systematic sequencing of small DNAs using only the universal sequencing primer ${ }^{332-333}$.

## 2. Random strategies

Random (or shotgun) sequencing strategies employ various techniques to generate a library of sequencing subclones from an initial DNA. Techniques involved are sonication ${ }^{334.335}$, nebulization ${ }^{336.337}$, transposon insertion ${ }^{338}$, mechanical shearing (i.e. French Press) ${ }^{339 .} 399$ and partial restriction digestion ${ }^{329.340}$. Random sequencing strategies are usually applied to large sequencing projects and as a result, require complex computer programs to align the overlapping subclones into a single contiguous sequence (computer programs discussed in Materials and Methods). The first large scale sequencing projects, bacteriophage lambda ( 49 kb$)^{341}$ and the Epstein-Barr virus $(170 \mathrm{~kb})^{342}$, were both sequenced using a random approach.

The number of random subclones required depends on the length of the target DNA to be sequenced. Clarke and Carbon ${ }^{343}$ generated a formula for evaluating the completeness of a random sequencing strategy. In this formula, $L$ is the length of the target DNA fragment, s is the average length of gel read, n is the number of gel reads (number of subclones in the case of single-stranded M13-based cloning) required to accumulate a fraction of the gel reads ( $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{L}}$ ) in contigs:

$$
f_{L}=1-(1-s / L)^{n}
$$

This relationship demonstrates one very important consequence of the random sequencing method. As $f_{L}$ approaches 1 (or $100 \%$ of gel reads present in contigs), the number of gel reads approaches infinity. As mentioned above, for M13-based cloning, n
$=$ number of subclones but in pUC-based cloning, $\mathrm{n}=$ number of gel reads, reflecting the 2 for 1 advantage of double-stranded sequencing; the ability to sequence with both the forward and reverse universal primers. In this case, the number of subclones required is $n / 2$.

This inverse proportion of the number of gel reads to $f_{L}$ emphasizes the importance of long gel reads to reduce the number of subclones required. Redundancy is also a critical component of a shotgun based approach, often expressed as

$$
\mathrm{r}=(\mathrm{ns}) / \mathrm{L}
$$

where r is the average redundancy, n is the number of gel reads, s is the average read length and $L$ is the length of the target DNA to be sequenced. Many investigators report that six-fold redundancy is required to attain $99.7 \%$ of the target genomic DNA sequence. Whereas, at three-fold redundancy, approximately $95 \%$ of the target sequence has been obtained.

Of course, both equations assume randomness of subclone generation. Practices have been developed to maximize randomness of the subclone inserts and if all efforts are made to induce randomness, nonrandom subclone distribution rarely inhibits the strategy of shotgun sequencing ${ }^{329.334-336.344}$.

When the shotgun sequencing portion of a project reaches three- to six-fold redundancy, what remains is a series of contigs. In the case of an M13-based approach, the order of the contigs and relative position along the genomic target sequence are unknown, although restriction digestion data may be helpful. A plasmid-based random approach gives one additional piece of data which is impossible to glean from the M13based approach. The presence of forward and reverse primer read pairs from the same subclone provides invaluable information in contig ordering and alignment. Using the forward and reverse read pairs, contigs can be ordered and placed in position on the genomic target sequence. In addition, restriction maps, if available, also are useful to facilitate contig ordering.

Gap closure in random strategies requires a transition to directed sequencing via primer walking. In the case of double-stranded sequencing where forward and reverse reads are positioned in separate contigs that do not overlap, longer reads may facilitate gap closure. In our laboratory, a mixed strategy is employed to close gaps resulting from a shotgun sequencing approach. The first step in closure is to generate longer reads from subclones on the ends of contigs which read into a gap. This is performed using a longer electrophoresis run time and a highly stable polyacrylamide gel matrix. Oftentimes, these long reads are sufficient to close gaps which have been mapped and ordered. Primer walking is employed if long reads do not close the gap. In cases where no subclone spans a gap, the PCR is used (with the original genomic DNA as a template) to generate a PCR fragment spanning the gap. The PCR fragment serves two purposes: first, it reveals the size of the gap and second, it provides a template for primer walking to close the gap. If the gap is large, the PCR product may be fragmented, subcloned and sequenced ${ }^{345}$.

The ultimate questions in random strategies remain to be answered. What percentage of shotgun sequence data is required before moving to a directed closure strategy? Is closure necessary for large scale projects such as the human genome initiative, if $98 \%$ of genes can be localized with fewer than 3 to 6 fold redundancy, and is the data useful even if gaps of unsequenced regions remain? Finally, is it cost effective to decrease redundancy and close more gaps with primer walking rather than collecting additional shotgun data?

## 3. Double-stranded sequencing template isolation

The double-stranded subcloning approach implemented in our laboratory during the course of this dissertation work facilitated the need for quality double-stranded DNA preparations suitable for use as DNA sequencing templates. In the early stages, all template preparations were performed according to the alkaline lysis procedure first described by Birnboim and Doly ${ }^{346}$, with the addition of purification measures to
eliminate genomic contamination. The original alkaline lysis as described by Birnboim and Doly involves lysing cells containing a plasmid of interest by the addition of high salt or lysozyme, solubilizing cellular lipids, membranes and proteins with detergent, precipitating unwanted cellular components with sodium acetate and clearing the lysate by centrifugation. Typically, most isolation procedures also include an additional step to purify the supercoiled plasmid DNA by binding all nucleic acid to a solid support, washing, and then preferentially eluting the supercoiled plasmid DNA. Subsequently, the purified DNA is concentrated by ethanol or isopropanol precipitation. Solid supports used in this manner include diatomaceous earth ${ }^{347.348}$, glass wool or glass beads ${ }^{349}$, silica particles ${ }^{350}$, magnetic beads ${ }^{351.352}$ and other proprietory resins ${ }^{353}$.

Modifications of this procedure include alternate methods of cell lysis such as microwave to boiling and others including an organic extraction step. For example, Serghini ${ }^{355}$, and later Chowdhury ${ }^{354}$, reported a miniprep isolation in which cells were resuspended in buffer, extracted with phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol, centrifuged and the supernatant precipitated with isopropanol. Finally, there is even a report that it was possible to isolate sequence-ready templates after only boiling cells, centrifugation, removing supernatant and precipitating with ethanol ${ }^{321}$.

Initially, template preparations used in the studies reported herein were manually performed with up to 24 samples easily processed three hours. More recently, many biotechnology companies have marketed robotic workstations (ABI catalyst, Qiagen. Amersham, Beckman) with automated pipetting capabilities adaptable to double-stranded DNA isolations. As part of this dissertation work, a 96 -well automated double-stranded DNA sequencing template isolation was developed for use first on the Beckman Biomek 1000 and then later on the Biomek 2000 laboratory workstations. These automated procedures are based on the original alkaline lysis method described by Birnboim and Doly, with the lysate cleared by filtration followed by ethanol precipitation in the Biomek 1000 preparation and by centrifugation in the Biomek 2000 preparation. With these
automated procedures, it now is possible to isolate $2 \times 96$ clones in three hours on two Biomek 1000 workstations or $8 \times 96$ clones in four hours on two Biomek 2000 workstations, with yields from both preparations sufficient for several sequencing reactions and highly reproducible.

## 4. Enzymes in DNA sequencing

As part of his laboratory's studies on DNA replication, Arthur Kornberg and his associates were the first to isolate and characterized E. coli DNA polymerase I in 19593. This enzyme became the model for an entire class of enzymes, the DNA Polymerase I enzymes. DNA polymerase I enzymes perform DNA replication and repair, and require a metal ion cofactor for activity. E. coli polymerase I has three domains, each with a separate activity. These three domains include a 5'-3' polymerization activity, 3'-5' exonuclease activity for proofreading and repair, and $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ exonuclease activity that is active in bacterial replication (for removal of RNA primers and replacement with DNA). Because the 5'-3' exonuclease activity would interfere with the DNA sequencing reactions by degrading the common $5^{\prime}$ end, Klenow successfully removed the domain responsible for the $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ exonuclease activity by proteolysis with subtilisin. This led to a Klenow fragment of $E$. coli polymerase I that contains only the polymerase and repair domains. It was this enzyme that initially was used by Sanger in developing the dideoxynucleotide chain termination sequencing strategy.

In the following years, additional DNA polymerase enzymes were isolated from a variety of bacteria and modified for use in DNA sequencing. Bacteriophage T7 DNA polymerase was isolated in 1975358.359 and selectively mutated by in vitro mutagenesis in 1989 to inactivate the $3^{\prime}-5$ ' exonuclease activity ${ }^{360}$. This "modified T7 DNA polymerase" could incorporate nucleotide analogs, a property not found in the native T7 DNA polymerase, which made it an ideal enzyme for DNA sequencing applications including the use of $\mathrm{dc}^{7}$ GTP, dITP, alpha thionucleotides or fluorescent dye-labeled dideoxynucleotides. Further studies revealed that the presence of manganese ions rather
than magnesium ions decreased the discrimination of both modified T7 DNA polymerase and E. coli DNA polymerase I for dideoxynucleotides by 100 fold and 4 fold, respectively. Thus, the modified T7 DNA polymerase, marketed under the commercial name Sequenase, was the enzyme of choice for DNA sequencing for several years due to high processivity, no 3'-5' exonuclease activity and efficient utilization of nucleotide analogs.

The DNA polymerase from thermophile Bacillus stearothermophilus was isolated in 1972 by Stenish and Roe ${ }^{361}$ and had the advantage of a high temperature optimum of $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Bst polymerase permitted higher temperatures to be used in sequencing reactions to melt out secondary structure ${ }^{362}$. Uniform band intensities, low background on autoradiographs, ability to efficiently incorporate nucleotide analogs, a fast rate of polymerization and the added advantage of high temperature optimum rapidly made this enzyme applicable to DNA sequencing ${ }^{326}$. With a polymerization speed of over 120 nucleotides per second at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, DNA sequencing reactions catalyzed with Bst required minimal template DNA (a 10 -fold reduction) when compared to other sequencing enzymes available at the time ${ }^{363}$.

The DNA polymerase from Thermus aquaticus also has been purified, cloned and described for use in DNA sequencing. This enzyme now is the most widely used enzyme due to a high temperature optimum of $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This enzyme, similar to Bst polymerase, allows for polymerization at a higher temperature, while simultaneously decreasing the presence of secondary structure in the template DNA and eliminating secondary primer binding sites.

Several mutants of the original Taq polymerase have been created and characterized with DNA sequencing applications in mind. The first mutation, marketed by Applied Biosystems as Amplitaq ${ }^{364}$, was the original Taq polymerase with the domain for $3^{\prime}-5$ ' exonuclease removed from the protein. Reactions utilizing Amplitaq were optimized for use with dITP to minimize band compression and DMSO to stabilize the
polymerase when sequencing templates with high G/C content in dye terminator and dye primer chemistries. However, Amplitaq exhibited a strong discrimination against incorporation of dideoxynucleotides and required high concentration of dye-labeled terminators in the reactions.

Amplitaq CS+ ${ }^{365}$ was the second mutation of $T a q$ polymerase marketed by Applied Biosystems. This enzyme had all the characteristics of Amplitaq, but also was lacking the $5^{\prime}-3$ ' exonuclease activity. Removal of the $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ exonuclease activity was reported to result in cleaner sequencing reactions, reduced background noise and fewer band compressions. Amplitaq CS+ included a thermostable inorganic pyrophosphatase to help eliminate "dropout" peaks due to pyrophosphorolysis (reverse of the polymerization process), a result of inorganic pyrophosphate buildup. Reactions utilizing Amplitaq CS+ were optimised by Applied Biosystems containing 7-deaza-dGTP to minimize band compressions in dye primer chemistry.

Klentaq (a Taq analog of the Klenow fragment of E. coli DNA polymerase I) ${ }^{366.367}$ is a third enzyme examined for use in DNA sequencing applications. Klentaq is an N-terminally deleted Taq DNA polymerase I which removes the $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ exonuclease activity in addition to already lacking the $3^{\prime}-5$ ' exonuclease activity. This enzyme was originally developed to increase the fidelity of Taq polymerase in polymerase chain reaction (PCR) applications. Saiki originally described Amplitaq polymerase for use in PCR reactions ${ }^{368}$, but noted that Amplitaq introduces base change errors into the sequence at a rate of 1 error in $10,000 \mathrm{bp}$. This raised a great deal of concern since this error rate per cycle results in an error every 400 bp in a 25 cycle PCR amplification. Experiments performed by Barnes ${ }^{369}$ revealed that Klentaq has 2 x lower error rate than Amplitaq in PCR. This increased fidelity of Klentaq opened the door for use in DNA sequencing applications.

Taquenase (or Klentaquenase) ${ }^{318.319}$, the enzyme used for DNA sequencing in the latter stages of this dissertation work, is the Klentaq enzyme mentioned above which
contains a mutation at a single residue. This residue is critical for distinguishing between deoxynucleotides and dideoxynucleotides and was first recognized as such by Tabor and Richardson. Tabor and Richardson performed comparison studies of several different DNA polymerases in the DNA polymerase I family and noticed four major areas of significant difference. First, T7 DNA polymerase from phage T7 is responsible for replicating the genome whereas E. coli polymerase I and Taq polymerase I are more utilized for repair and recombination. Second is the difference in exonuclease activity of the enzymes. Taq polymerase I has only $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ exonuclease activity, T 7 polymerase has only $3^{\prime}-5$ ' exonuclease activity, and $E$. coli DNA polymerase I has both $5^{\prime}-3$ ' and $3^{\prime}-5{ }^{\prime}$ exonuclease activities. Third, the thermostability of Taq polymerase differs from T7 and E. coli polymerases. Fourth is the ability to distinguish between and selectively incorporate deoxynucleotides over dideoxynucleotides. E. coli polymerase I and Taq polymerase have a strong preference to incorporate deoxynucleotides over dideoxynucleotides, whereas 77 polymerase incorporates dideoxynucleotides with almost equal efficiency to that of deoxynucleotides. Since it was postulated that the incorporation of deoxynucleotides versus dideoxynucleotides is the result of the single residue at position 526 in T7 DNA polymerase, the corresponding position in Taq polymerase was mutated from phenylalanine to tyrosine at position 667 and the result is Taquenase, an enzyme with greater ability to incorporate dideoxynucleotides and modified nucleotides such as dye-labeled terminators. A similarly mutated form of Taq polymerase was marketed by Applied Biosystems as Amplitaq FS (although Amplitaq FS also contains the thermostable pyrophosphatase as in Amplitaq $\mathrm{CS}+)^{370}$ and by Amersham/United States Biochemicals as Thermosequenase but each enzyme has a different modification to eliminate the 5 ' exonuclease activity. Because these enzymes have a reduced discrimination for dideoxynucleotides and modified nucleotides, lower concentrations of dye-labeled terminators could be used, and thereby the cost per reaction could be reduced significantly.

## 5. Fluorescent DNA sequencing instrumentation

The cloning and characterization of polymerases allowed for developing fluorescent detection methods to the point where hazards associated with radioisotopic detection methods in DNA sequencing could be eliminated. Throughout the course of this dissertation work, several fluorescent DNA sequencing instruments were developed. One DNA sequencer was developed by Ansorge, et al. ${ }^{371.372}$, at the European Molecular Biology Laboratory (EMBL) and is marketed by Pharmacia. This instrument requires a single dye label, with which 4 base-specific reactions are performed and electrophoresed in 4 adjacent wells of a denaturing polyacrylamide gel. The fluorescent dyes are excited by a laser beam directed into the gel from the side and the detector is positioned perpendicular to the angle of laser excitation. Hitachi developed a fluorescent sequencer which is similar to the EMBL instrument in that excitation occurs from a laser directed into the side of the gel, detection is from a fixed position perpendicular to the laser angle and use of a single fluorescent dye is required. The detection system differs from the EMBL system in that the emitted fluorescence is screened through bandpass filters, enhanced by an intensifier and detected by a camera before the data is communicated to an associated computer for analysis.

By far, the instruments developed by Applied Biosystems ${ }^{373.374}$ are the most widely used. Due to our early involvement with ABI as a university $\beta$-test site for the 370 DNA sequencer, the ABI 373 (and an upgraded 370) and later, the ABI 377, were the only instruments used in the course of this dissertation. As mentioned, ABI has developed two different sequencing instruments, the 373 and the 377 , with the 377 including features such as a more focused laser, a heat dispersion plate, updated software, and a CCD camera instead of a PMT for detection. Both instruments utilize a principle of four different fluorescent dyes which allows the four base-specific reactions to be pooled and electrophoresed in a single lane of a polyacrylamide gel associated with the instrument, thereby increasing the number of samples which can be processed per
machine run by a factor of four with respect to the other instruments available. Both ABI instruments have a scanning Argon laser for excitation with positioning pins in the electrophoresis chamber which hold the gel at the optimum focal point of the laser beam. As mentioned above, the detection system is the most significant difference between the ABI 373 and 377 (see figure 14). In the 373, the laser traverses the gel and excites the fluorophores through a circular bandpass filter, one for each fluorophore. The emitted photons have characteristic wavelengths, depending on the fluorophore. The photons are detected, amplified by a photomultiplier tube and the raw data stored by the associated computer. In contrast, the 377 detection system uses the same type of argon laser to excite the fluorophores as they enter the read region of the gel. A series of lenses collects and focuses the emitted light onto a spectrograph diffraction grating which separates the light based on wavelength into a predictably spaced pattern across a charged coupled device (CCD) camera. Using the collected light intensities from the CCD camera, the collection software records the amplified emission signals from the CCD camera and the raw data is stored by an associated computer. The CCD detection system is much more sensitive than the 373 PMT detection system.

The raw data collected by the computer must be manipulated to generate the final processed sequence by: (l) suppressing high frequency noise by a digital filter corresponding to fluorescent peak width; (2) multicomponent analysis to determine the relative concentration of the four fluorescent dyes at each time point during the electrophoretic run; (3) mobility shift calculations to accommodate different electrophoretic mobility effects of the four dyes based on pre-determined correction factors; (4) removing low frequency noise and signal enhancement by spectral deconvolution; (5) differential weighting of peaks to provide equal peak intensity and spacing; and (6) DNA sequence nucleotide assignment based on the processed signal.


Figure 14: Comparison of ABI 373 and 377 instrumentation. A) ABI 373 instrumentation with Argon laser for excitation and PMT detection. B) ABI 377 instrumentation using Argon laser for excitation and CCD camera for detection.

The processed data is presented as a chromatogram of electrophoretic time versus signal intensity. Electrophoretic time corresponds to the length of the fragments and the four fluorescent dyes appear as four different colors on the chromatogram.

Before processing, the raw data files are transferred via AppleShare from the Macintosh computer associated with the sequencing instruments to Macintosh PowerMac computers dedicated to raw sequence data analysis. The sequence data is stored and analysed on the PowerMacs, then transferred via NSF Share to a Sun Sparcstation for contig assembly (as detailed in Materials and Methods section). This completely computerized system of data handling eliminates the manual sequence data entry and human error associated with the radioisotopic detection systems.

Electrophoresis times and run conditions also differ significantly between the two ABI instruments (See Materials and Methods). The ABI 373 requires a 10 hour electrophoretic run, whereas the ABI 377 requires only three hours to collect the same amount of raw data. ABI 377 instruments use thinner gels ( 0.2 mm versus 0.4 mm for the 373) and are equipped with a heat dispersion plate and sensors to monitor the gel temperature, allowing for faster run times. The 377 instruments can be run up to 5 times per 24 hours, collecting an average of 400 base pairs of sequence data per sample, with 48 samples run per gel. This results in 96,000 base pairs of raw sequence data generated per 24 hour period on one ABI 377. The ABI 373 is capable of 48 lanes per gel with 2 runs per 24 hour period resulting in 38,400 base pairs of raw data per day on one ABI 373.

## 6. DNA sequencing chemistries

With the introduction of thermophilic DNA polymerases such as Bst and Taq, the more recent application of mutant thermophilic DNA polymerases, and the advent of PCR, it became obvious that DNA sequencing could further evolve from the one- and two-step reactions to a more elegant type of sequencing reaction. The invention of PCR by Kary Mullis ${ }^{375}$ in 1987 opened the door for the application of temperature cycles,
such as those used in the PCR, to generate multiple copies of the nested fragment sets required for DNA sequencing and thereby greatly improving the final signal intensity.

While on sabbatical in Cambridge, England at the MRC, Dr. Roe learned the linear amplification sequencing method utilizing Taq DNA polymerase and a PCR-like cycling of temperatures corresponding to denaturation, primer annealing and extension ${ }^{325.327}$. Cycle sequencing, as it is now called, has two different strategies based on the location of the detection label. Dye-labeled primer cycle sequencing reactions use oligonucleotides labeled on the 5 ' end with one of 4 fluorescent dye labels, and the dye terminator method uses dideoxynucleotides with one of 4 fluorescent labels at the 3 ' position in the molecule.

Dye-labeled primer reactions involve incubating four base- and primer-specific reactions; each containing template DNA. a specific fluorescent labeled primer, the four deoxynucleotides, the base-specific chain terminating dideoxynucleotide and thermostable DNA polymerase in a cycling instrument that controls temperature and time. These samples are subjected to cycles of denaturation, primer annealing and extension/termination (temperatures and length of time at each step in the cycle depends on the enzyme of choice) to generate a nested fragment set containing fluorescent labeled fragments. Because of the cyclic nature of these reactions, more primers are extended and the template is used multiple times, thereby decreasing the amount of template required and increasing the number of fragments generated. Following cycling, the four base- and primer-specific reactions then are pooled, precipitated and loaded in one well of an ABI 373 or 377 sequencing gel. This strategy employing four fluorescent dyes attached to the $5^{\prime}$ end of oligonucleotides was first developed in the laboratory of Lee Hood. The primers used all have the same DNA sequence and contain the fluorescent dyes linked by a covalent bond through an amino group ${ }^{376-378}$. These dyes, which were marketed by ABI for use in the ABI 373, are derivatives of fluorescein, tetramethylrhodamine, NBD and Texas Red (FAM, JOE, TAMRA and ROX). The dyes
have slightly overlapping emission spectra that are spectrally resolvable. Upon additional investigation by ABI, NBD was replaced with another fluorescein derivative to further improve the spectral resolution.

For several years, dye primer chemistry was the method of choice since the initial phase of random shotgun projects requires only forward and reverse universal primers. Closure, however, requires the shift to a more directed strategy with primer walking to close any remaining gaps in the sequence data. Although a 5 ' fluorescent label can be attached to any custom oligonucleotide via an aminolink, the cost and time necessary to prepare custom, synthetic, fluorescent-labeled primers prohibits routine labeling for the primer walking directed closure strategy. Thus, the introduction of the dye terminator cycle sequencing chemistry presented an attractive alternative.

Dye terminator reactions have the advantage over dye primer reactions in that dye terminator reactions can be carried out in a single tube with a custom primer since the detection label is directly on the terminating nucleotide. Dye terminator reactions involve incubating unlabeled primer, template DNA, the four deoxynucleotides, the four labeled dideoxynucleotide terminators and the enzyme of choice. These reactions are cycled through steps of denaturation, annealing and extension/termination, just as discussed for dye primer reactions, to generate a fluorescently labeled nested fragment set. After cycling is complete, the residual fluorescent-labeled dideoxynucleotide terminators are removed, either by chromatography on Sephadex-G50 spin columns or by ethanol precipitation prior to electrophoresis on an ABI 373 or 377 sequencing gel.

Dye terminator chemistry with Sequenase ${ }^{379.380}$ is an exception to the cycle sequencing rule. Sequenase reactions are isothermal and are carried out at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ utilizing $\alpha$-thionucleotides and terminators labeled with one of four fluorescein derivatives, FAM, HEX, NAN and ZOE. These reactions require an additional bandpass filter for detection on an ABI due to the altered emission spectra of one of the dyes. This requires an ABI equipped with a five filter wheel to accommodate the different spectral emissions.

Taq dye terminator chemistry utilizes the cycle sequencing mentioned above but uses different dyes than Sequenase or the dye primer chemistry. The dyes used with the Taq DNA polymerase are all rhodamine derivatives (R110, R6G, TAMRA and ROX), and all have spectral emission in the range of the standard ABI four-filter wheel.

Cycle sequencing is applicable to both single- and double-stranded DNA templates, although the majority of the reactions performed in this work used doublestranded templates isolated by the robotic procedures as outlined in the Materials and Methods section of this dissertation.

## Chapter II

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## I. General methods and random subclone generation

The widely accepted methods such as phenol extraction, ethanol precipitation, agarose gel electrophoresis, restriction digestion, elution from agarose, nebulization. calcium chloride competent cell preparation and transformation were performed as described ${ }^{345}$. Protocols for techniques presented in this section are detailed in the Appendix.

## A. Cell preparation for electroporation

XL1Blue MRF' cells were grown overnight in YENB media and the overnight culture transferred to 1 L YENB and grown at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with shaking at 250 rpm for $4-5$ hours or until $\mathrm{A}_{600}$ of 0.5 to $0.9{ }^{400}$. Cells then are harvested by centrifugation at 1500 rpm for 10 minutes, washed two times with cold, sterile water followed by centrifugation as before. The cells then were resuspended in $10 \%$ sterile glycerol, centrifuged as before, and resuspended in a total of $2 \mathrm{ml} 10 \%$ glycerol. Forty microliter aliquots of cells are prepared, rapidly frozen on dry ice and stored at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until needed.

## B. Electroporation

Cells prepared for electroporation were thawed on ice. followed by incubation on ice for one minute in the presence of the DNA to be transformed. The entire mix of cells and DNA then is transferred to the bottom of an electroporation cuvette and placed between the leads of the electroporator. The cells then are subjected to an electrical pulse which facilitates plasmid DNA uptake by the cells. Following electroporation, additional YENB media is added to the cells to aid in recovery at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for one hour. The cells then
are pelleted by centrifugation, resuspended in a smaller volume of YENB and plated on appropriate agar plates. Agar plates are inverted and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight.

## II. Methods for DNA isolation

## A. Large scale double-stranded isolation for plasmid and cosmid

The method used for large scale plasmid and cosmid isolations is a diatomaceous earth-based method which was developed ${ }^{347}$ to reduce the cost when compared with the traditional cesium chloride ultracentrifugation isolation, to reduce the level of host genomic DNA contamination, and to increase the yield of supercoiled plasmid or cosmid DNA.

In this diatomaceous earth protocol, cells are grown at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in three successive eight-hour incubations of $3 \mathrm{ml}, 50 \mathrm{ml}$, and 4 liters of cuiture (typically in Lurian Broth including the appropriate antibiotic when necessary) and harvested by centrifugation such that the cells from two liters of culture are pelleted into one 500 ml centrifuge bottle. The cells are resuspended in a glucose, Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.6$, EDTA containing buffer, and lysed by the addition of lysozyme. After adding anionic detergent to solubilize membranes and proteins, the unwanted cellular components and detergent are precipitated with sodium acetate and removed by filtration through cheesecloth and subsequent centrifugation. The cleared lysate is treated with RNase A and RNAse T1 to digest the RNA since RNA competes with DNA for binding sites on diatomaceous earth. The supernatant-containing DNA then is bound to diatomaceous earth in the presence of binding agent guanidine hydrochloride and the DNA-associated diatomaceous earth collected by centrifugation, washed with buffer containing ethanol, washed with acetone, and dried under vacuum. The DNA is eluted from the diatomaceous earth by addition of an elution buffer containing Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.6$ and EDTA, incubation at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and separation of the DNA in solution from the diatomaceous earth by centrifugation. The eluted DNA then is
concentrated by ethanol precipitation and assayed for concentration by agarose gel electrophoresis versus known standards.

## B. Large scale double-stranded isolation for BAC

The large scale double-stranded isolation for BAC DNA is essentially identical to the large scale double-stranded isolation for plasmid and cosmid with minor exceptions. In short, BAC containing colonies are grown in 3 successive growth steps of eight hours each, beginning with 3 ml which is transferred to 50 ml , of which 25 ml of culture is transferred to one liter (media typically is Lurian Broth containing the appropriate antibiotic when necessary). The cells are harvested such that the cells from one liter of culture are pelleted into one 500 ml centrifuge bottle. Cell lysis, lysate clearing and isopropanol precipitation are carried out using the same reagent volumes as for the plasmid or cosmid preparation. The nucleic acid pellet then is resuspended in $20 \mathrm{ml} 10: 1$ TE and bound by the addition of 50 ml of $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ defined diatomaceous earth in 6 M guanadine-hydrochloride. All subsequent washes and elution steps are performed as for the plasmid and cosmid isolations. The final DNA is ethanol precipitated, the BAC pellet resuspended in 1 ml 10:0.1 TE, and an aliquot analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis. Decreased initial cell concentration results in an effective increase in the binding sites present in the diatomaceous earth which allows for more specific binding of contaminating bacterial genomic DNA and preferential elution of BAC DNA.

## C. Midiprep double-stranded DNA isolation for plasmid or cosmid

Midiprep double-stranded DNA isolations were used to obtain double-stranded DNA on a smaller scale. These isolations are similar to the large scale double-stranded DNA isolations described above but scaled back to 50 ml starting culture instead of 4 liters. The pelleted cells then are resuspended in buffer containing Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.6$, EDTA, and RNase A and Tl and subsequently are lysed by the addition of sodium
hydroxide and SDS to solubilize cellular membranes and proteins. The lysate then is cleared by the addition of sodium acetate, filtered through cheescloth, and centrifuged. The cleared lysate is bound to diatomaceous earth as in the large scale prep, washed, eluted, ethanol precipitated and assayed for concentration using agarose gel electrophoresis on a $0.7 \%$ agarose gel containing ethidium bromide for visualization.

## D. Miniprep double-stranded DNA isolation using diatomaceous earth

Miniprep double-stranded DNA isolations using diatomaceous earth were performed as mentioned above for the midiprep and large scale double-stranded isolations except that the initial cell culture was 6 ml . After collecting the cells by centrifugation, resuspending in buffer. lysing with SDS/sodium hydroxide, precipitating the unwanted cellular components with sodium acetate, the lysate is cleared by centrifugation. The cleared lysate then is incubated with diatomaceous earth in the presence of guanidine hydrochloride to bind the DNA. The DNA-bound diatomaceous earth suspension is collected either by centrifugation, or is separated by filtration through a BioRad Gene Prep vacuum manifold. The diatomaceous earth with bound DNA then is washed twice with wash buffer containing ethanol, centrifuging or filtering between each successive wash, and eluted by addition of elution buffer. During the course of this dissertation work, it was determined that heating the buffer to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ slightly improved the yield. The eluted DNA is collected by pelleting the diatomaceous earth and removing the supernatant, or by collecting in vials via filtration. Finally, the eluted DNA is ethanol precipitated to concentrate and assayed for purity using agarose gel electrophoresis.

## E. Manual 96-well double-stranded DNA miniprep

The manual 96 -well miniprep isolation is similar to the large scale isolation discussed above, as it uses alkaline lysis followed by centrifugation to clear the cell
lysate. However, the manual miniprep does not include the addition of diatomaceous earth to remove genomic DNA. Briefly, the 96 -well manual miniprep entails growing plasmid containing cells in a $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ shaker at 350 rpm for 24 hours in 1.5 ml antibiotic containing media in a 96 well block ( 2 ml well capacity). Subsequently, the cells are harvested by centrifugation and the cell pellets resuspended in Tris-HCl, pH 7.6, EDTA buffer containing RNase A and RNase T1. The cells then are lysed by the addition of alkaline detergent and the cellular components precipitated by the addition of sodium acetate. The lysate is cleared by centrifugation, the supernatant removed to a clean 96 well block, and the DNA ethanol precipitated. Agarose gel electrophoresis is performed to crudely assay for concentration and purity against known standards. Typical yield for this isolation method is $10-15$ ug plasmid DNA per 1.5 ml starting culture.

## F. Automated 96 well double-stranded DNA miniprep on the Biomek 1000 laboratory workstation

During the course of this dissertation, an automated double-stranded miniprep isolation was developed using the Beckman Biomek 1000 Automated Laboratory workstation ${ }^{381}$. This procedure is similar to the manual miniprep mentioned above in that the cells are grown 24 hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a 96 well block with 350 shaking and harvested by centrifugation. The Biomek adds buffer and resuspends the cells by repeated cycles of mixing. The cell suspension then is transferred using the Biomek to a Millipore 96 well filter plate. Lysis is achieved by the Biomek addition of anionic detergent and mixing several cycles followed by precipitation by Biomek addition of sodium acetate and mixing at the sides of the well. The lysate is cleared by filtration using the Qiagen filtration apparatus (instead of centrifugation as in the manual prep) ${ }^{382}$ and the cleared lysate is collected, ethanol precipitated and assayed for concentration and purity using agarose gel electrophoresis. The Biomek 1000 is capable of isolating 96 subclones in three hours. See figure 15A for tablet configuration.

## G. Automated 96 well double-stranded DNA miniprep on the Biomek 2000 laboratory workstation

The Biomek 2000 laboratory workstation is the most recent second generation pipetting robot available on the market from Beckman. Improvements in the 2000 include a larger tablet for greater reagent, tube plate and tip storage and improved software accessible via a windows-based interface ${ }^{383}$. The Biomek 2000 doublestranded isolation entails the identical cell growth and lysis as the manual 96-well isolation mentioned above, with the Biomek adding all reagents. The lysis is cleared by centrifugation in a table top centrifuge equipped with deep well microtiter plate carriers. Following centrifugation, the supernatant is removed and transferred to a clean 96 well block and ethanol precipitated. The DNA was resuspended in 10:0.1 TE and assayed by agarose gel electrophoresis. This Biomek 2000 based procedure is capable of simultaneously isolating $4 \times 96$ subclones in four hours. See figures 15 B and 15 C for tablet configuration.

## III. Methods for DNA Sequencing

## A. Fluorescent sequencing gel preparation, loading, electrophoresis on ABI 373 and 377 and preliminary computer analysis

When dealing with large scale DNA sequencing efforts, every attempt must be made to standardize techniques, reagents, and equipment. To this end, polyacrylamide gel mix (containing buffer, urea, acrylamide and bisacrylamide) is prepared in 1 liter batches by dissolving the appropriate amount of urea in water and buffer, heating at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring until urea dissolved, cooling, and then adding acrylamide/bisacrylamide (19:2) solution. This gel mix is filtered and degassed for 10 minutes under vacuum. Before use, ammonium persulfate and TEMED are added to catalyze polymerization of

## A. Setup for Biomek $\mathbf{1 0 0 0}$ isolation



NOTES:

1. 96 square well blocks contain cell pellets
2. Reagent reservoirs

1: TE-RNase A + T1 solution
2: SDS/NaOH solution
3: 3M NaOAc, pH 4.5 or 3M KOAc, pH 4.5

Figure 15: Biomek 1000 tablet configuration for double stranded template isolation
B. Setup for clearing lysate - Biomek 2000 isolation


NOTES:

1. 96 square well blocks contain cell pellets
2. Reagent reservoirs

1: TE-RNase A + TI solution
2: SDS/ NaOH solution
3: 3M NaOAc, pH 4.5 or 3M KOAc, pH 4.5

Figure 15: Biomek 2000 tablet configuration for double stranded template isolation
C. Setup for supernatant transfer - Biomek 2000 isolation


## NOTES:

1. 'A' blocks are the initial culture blocks with pelleted precipitate and cleared lysate supernatant.
2. 'B' blocks are clean blocks into which supernatant is transferred.

Figure 15: Biomek 2000 tablet configuration for double stranded template isolation
the acrylamide monomers. The gels are cast by pouring between two glass plates separated by 0.4 mm or 0.2 mm thick spacers, for ABI 373 and ABI 377 respectively, and held in place with clamps after inserting a sharkstooth comb. The gels are allowed to polymerize for at least one hour before use and if the gels are to be stored overnight, the ends of the gel first are covered with buffer-soaked paper towels and then with plastic wrap to avoid drying.

Just prior to use, the polymerized gels in glass plates are unclamped, washed carefully with water, rinsed with double distilled water followed by ethanol, and allowed to dry in a fume hood before the sharkstooth comb is inverted, reinserted into the gel to form individual sample wells, and assembled into the ABI machine The gel then is examined for cleanliness using the plate check option in the ABI data collection software and rewashed at this stage if necessary. Clean gel plates are required to obtain a scan chromatogram with all four scan lanes being horizontal and with no extraneous peaks present. If the scan revealed clean gel plates, buffer then is added to the upper and lower buffer chambers and the gel is prerun prior to sample loading.

Dried samples prepared by any of the following techniques are resuspended in a blue dextran/EDTA/deionized formamide solution and heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes to denature. Samples then are loaded onto the gel, loading the odd samples first, and after prerunning the gel for 5 minutes, the even samples are loaded. This staggered loading facilitates tracking by the ABI analysis software and avoids abnormal sample mobilities. Once all samples are loaded and briefly electrophoresed into the gel, the run is started and the data is collected automatically on a computer associated with the sequencer. ABI 373 electrophoresis runs are typically for 10 hours at 35 watts (limiting parameter) using a 4.75\% polyacrylamide, 8 M urea gel, while the ABI 377 electrophoresis runs are for 3.0 hours at 3 kV (limiting parameter) using a $4.25 \%$ polyacrylamide, 8 M urea gel. Alternatively, if longer readlengths are required, the gels are electrophoresed for 7 hours at 1.68 kV (limiting parameter) using a $4.25 \%$ polyacrylamide, 8 M urea gel.

At the end of a sequencing run, the gel file is transferred via AppleShare to a Macintosh computer equipped with the ABI sequence analysis software. The gels are tracked, and the data is extracted from each sample lane of the gel. The data produced by both types of DNA sequencers (ABI 373 and 377) exist in two types of files. The two file types represent the signal collected during the run either as raw or analyzed data. Analyzed data is the raw data after correcting for baseline, mobility shift from the fluorescent dyes, signal enhancement and base spacing. Once the data is analyzed, it is transferred to a Sun Microsystems Sparcstation via NSFShare where editing and alignment of the data is performed using TED (trace editor) and XGAP ( X windows genome assembly program $)^{384}$, FAKII ${ }^{385}$, CAP2 ${ }^{386}$ or PHRED/PHRAP ${ }^{387}$ multiple sequence alignment algorithms. During the latter stages of this dissertation research, a script was written by Dr. Bruce Roe and Hong Shing Lai, called ouotto, which automatically performs the TED editing functions and the alignment algorithm became available to remove the tedium associated with the manual, repetitive manipulations.

## B. Amplitaq fluorescent-labeled primer cycle sequencing

Cycle sequencing using dye-labeled primers has been reported elsewhere 326.336.345 and was used in the beginning stages of the meningioma project detailed in this work. Briefly this entails combining 100/200ng single-stranded DNA or 200/400 ng of double-stranded DNA for the $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{C}$ or $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{T}$ reactions, respectively, with buffer, deoxyand dideoxynucleotides, Amplitaq DNA polymerase and primer labeled with one of four fluorescent dyes. These reactions occur in four separate tubes, with the terminating dideoxynucleotide present in the tube corresponding to the primer fluorescent label for that dideoxynucleotide. These reactions were cycled through 30 cycles of a seven temperature profile of $94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 seconds, $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 seconds, $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 minute, $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 seconds, $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 minute, $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 seconds, and $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 minute. This cycling profile is linked to a $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ soak file for storage until retrieval. The four
reactions then are pooled, ethanol precipitated, dried and stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ prior to loading as detailed above.

## C. Amplitaq fluorescent dye terminator cycle sequencing

The majority of the work presented here for the meningioma region and the studies in the Cat Eye Syndrome region were performed using Taq fluorescent dye terminator cycle sequencing reactions. These reactions differ from the dye primer chemistry in that the four different fluorescent dyes used for detection were located on the dideoxynucleotides instead of the primer. This single advantage allows all four reactions to take place simultaneously in one reaction tube. Each reaction included 1 ug doublestranded DNA, primer, deoxynucleotides, limited amounts of unlabeled dideoxynucleotides, buffer, Amplitaq DNA polymerase and fluorescent labeled dideoxynucleotides. The addition of $10 \%$ DMSO to the reaction mix was used in some instances as it was found to increase the readlength of the reactions, to aid in resolving compressions due to $G / C$ rich templates, and sequencing through regions with polynucleotide repeats. With this chemistry, the reactions are subjected to 25 cycles of a three temperature profile of $96^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 seconds, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 second and $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 minutes. This profile also is linked to a $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ soak file for storage of the completed reactions until retrieval. These reactions then either are ethanol precipitated and washed twice with $70 \%$ ethanol, or passed through a Sephadex G-50 column to remove unincorporated terminators (discussed below). In either case, the final reaction products are dried under vacuum and stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until loading as described above.

## D. Sephadex G-50 dye terminator cleanup

A slurry of Sephadex G-50 and water is prepared by adding 10 g Sephadex G-50 to 100 ml of double distilled water. The slurry is allowed to hydrate at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for at least 3 hours before use, adding additional water if necessary. Columns are prepared by adding

400 ul Sephadex G-50 slurry to each well of a Millipore 96 -well filter plate. The filter plate is placed on top of a microtiter plate to collect the eluent, taped together, and centrifuged for 2 minutes at 1500 rpm in a Beckman GPR tabletop centrifuge equipped with microtiter plate carriers. The eluent is discarded and an additional 200 ul Sephadex G-50 slurry is added to the wells of the filter plate. The filter plate is restacked on the microtiter plate, taped, and recentrifuged for 2 minutes at 1500 rpm as before. The resulting eluent is discarded and the collection microtiter plate replaced with a clean microtiter plate for sample collection. The entire 20 ul sample volume is added carefully to the tops of the columns (making sure the reaction is placed in the center of the column so as to not allow the eluent to leak down the sides) and centrifuged as before to collect the DNA in the clean microtiter plate. Samples are covered with kimwipe, dried under vacuum and stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until loading.

## E. Taquenase dye terminator cycle sequencing

Recently, several groups have used site-directed mutagenesis to investigate various properties of Taq polymerase ${ }^{318.319}$. Several different mutants of the DNA polymerase isolated from Thermus aquaticus (Taq) now are available commercially and include Amplitaq, Amplitaq CS + , Amplitaq-FS, Klentaq and Taquenase (See Introduction for more detail). Described here is the technique used most recently for sequencing portions of the Cat Eye syndrome region and the multiple endocrine cancer region utilizing the unique properties of Taquenase DNA polymerase.

The Taquenase DNA polymerase cycle sequencing reactions were optimized in the laboratory of Dr. Bruce Roe by Guozhang Zhang. The reactions are performed as follows: Approximately 2 ug of template DNA is added to 96 well sequencing plate and the final volume is brought to 14 ul per sample with double distilled water. The following reagents are added to each sample: lul $20 \times$ dNTPs, 1 ul $20 \times$ ddNTPs, lul 20 $\mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MnSO} 4,2$ ul $10 \times \mathrm{MgCl}_{2} /$ Tris- HCl buffer, lul 6.5 uM primer and 0.1 ul Taquenase
$(1000 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{ml})$. When performing more than one reaction, a reaction premix containing these reagents is made, and 6 ul of the reaction premix is added to each sample. The reactions then are capped and cycled in a preheated cycler for 25 cycles using a profile consisting of $97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 50 seconds, and $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 minutes. The cycling profile is linked to a soak file for storage at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until retrieval. Any unincorporated terminators are removed by filtering the reaction through Sephadex G-50 spin columns as detailed above for Amplitaq dye terminator chemistry.

The reagents used in these reactions are prepared as follows: $20 \times \mathrm{ddNTPs}$ : Option 1: if "neat" dye terminator ddNTPs are available, mix lul PE/ABI Dyedeoxy A (final 1:100), 2 ul PE/ABI Dyedeoxy G (final 1:50), 0.4 ul PE/ABI Dyedeoxy T (final 1:250), 0.4 ul PE/ABI Dyedeoxy C (final $1: 250$ ) and 96.2 ul water to yield the appropriate ratios for 20 x ddNTPs. Option 2: If the PE/ABI PRISM Ready reaction Dyedeoxy terminator mix (part \# 401384 for use with Amplitaq) is available, a 1:30 dilution in $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was used as the $20 \times$ ddNTPs. $10 \times \mathrm{MgCl}_{2} /$ Tris- HCl buffer consisted of 500 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 9.2,160 \mathrm{mM}$ ammonium sulfate, and 35 mM MgCl 2.20 x dNTPs were a solution of 3 mM each dATP, dCTP and dTTP and 9 mM dITP diluted in Ix $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2} /$ Tris- HCl buffer.

In all instances, an initial DNA template concentration study was performed with representative samples from each 96 well isolation to determine the optimal doublestranded template concentration needed to obtain optimal signal. Studies performed adding $10 \%$ DMSO to the reactions with the standard cycling conditions mentioned above concluded that addition of DMSO inhibited the reaction. However, when an annealing temperature is added to the cycling profile (i.e., 25 cycles of $97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $93^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 50 seconds, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 seconds, $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 minutes), the reactions are comparable to reactions without DMSO and are useful for resolving compressions.

## IV. Methods for shotgun sequence data proofreading, assembly and closure

## A. Assembly algorithms

The largest challenge facing investigators using shotgun sequencing as the strategy for large scale DNA sequencing projects is the sequence assembly, proofreading and gap closure. In the era of radiolabeled sequencing, the first sequence assembly software (written by Roger Staden at the MRC in Cambridge, England) ${ }^{384}$, designed for use on a VAX computer system, relied on manual entry of sequence data. The algorithms then formed alignments based on homologies between the individual pieces of manually entered sequenced data. This software package relied on the investigator's ability to accurately read the sequencing data and transfer it into the computer. Therefore, data entry and editing were tedious processes, which required continual referral back to the original autoradiographs to compare the sequence data from overlapping gel reads.

The Staden package of software since has been adapted to the current fluorescent sequence data acquisition methods, and has been ported to UNIX-based computers, e.g. Sun Microsystems Sparcstations and Dec Alphas. This package allows users to view the analyzed sequence data on screen at all stages of trace editing and contig alignment. The sequence data chromatograms are stored on hard disks and accessed by the Staden programs TED and XGAP. TED is the trace editing program and allows for masking of vector sequence at the 5 ' end and ambiguous data at the 3 ' end of gel reads. XGAP, or $\underline{\mathrm{X}}$ windows based genome assembly program, uses a basic Smith-Waterman algorithm to locate the sequence similarities between the data already in the database when compared with the incoming data. Within these windows-based programs, the user can edit assembled contigs by comparing trace chromatograms, calculate a consensus for the data. and join contigs if the user-defined stringency values are met. The Staden package is useful when performing sequence data alignment on small databases with no repeated elements such as ALU or LINE repeats as found in human genomic DNA. The XGAP
assembly program has no mechanism to deal with repeated sequences or the numerous alignment possibilities that can potentially result from a database containing repeat DNA. XGAP also has no criteria regarding quality of the data entered, and therefore, requires that only high quality data be used as input.

Gene Myers and Susan Larson at the University of Arizona ${ }^{385}$ recently have released a sequence alignment program with an altered Smith-Waterman algorithm that is contained in their fragment assembly kernel, or FAKII. This alignment algorithm essentially consists of three phases: the overlap phase, the layout phase and the multialignment phase. In the overlap phase, every gel read is compared against every other gel read in the database, both in forward and reverse orientation. This generates an overlap graph which is entered into the second phase of the assembly, the layout phase, where a series of alternate assemblies are generated. The third phase is the final, optimal assembly from the initial data that, using a modified version of FAKII developed by Hong Shing Lai in Dr. Roe's laboratory, can be viewed and edited using the XGAP interface as described above. FAKII has the advantages of speed and capability to handle larger data sets than the Staden package, but does not include a quality check for the entered data, and has some difficulty resolving differing alignment possibilities that are caused by the presence of repeated sequence regions.

A further modification of the Smith-Waterman algorithm occurred with the CAP2 program written by Huang ${ }^{386}$. This program includes a quality check of the initial data, and a weighting of each nucleotide based on it's position in the gel reading. By including a quality check, CAP2 gives preference to the sequence data in the first 350 nucleotides, where the accuracy of basecalling typically is $\mathbf{> 9 8 \%}$. Nucleotides on the 3 ' end of the gel reads are typically less accurate and are not relied upon as heavily in the assembly process. The criterion on which the program chooses alignments is based on this data weighting scheme and thus, CAP2 is better equipped than FAKII or XGAP to align human sequence databases including repeated elements. The output from H. Lai's
modified version of CAP2 assemblies can be viewed and edited with the XGAP program as discussed above.

More recently, Phil Green released an alpha test version of his new PHRED/PHRAP assembly program package ${ }^{387}$. This package also is based on the original Smith-Waterman algorithm and like CAP2, includes a quality check of the data. However, PHRED, the editing portion of this program package, contains a quality check based on the ratio of signal to noise and peak to peak spacing in the trace data. PHRED also accepts longer gel reads (and hence, poorer quality data at the 3 end of the sequence) but in addition, incorporates additional heuristic arguments in an attempt to make the $3^{\prime}$ data more usable, although weighted less. PHRAP, the assembly portion of this program package, includes information gathered in assessing the quality of the data. As in CAP2, determining the data quality is an important criterion used by PHRAP to evaluate different possible assemblies. The assembled data from the PHRED/PHRAP alignments is viewed either using the Consed (consensus sequence editing) interface or more recently, Gap4 or Xgap after employing the phrap2gap program developed at Washington University and the Sanger Center, and implemented at the University of Oklahoma by H. Lai.

## B. Shotgun sequence data validation

Validation of shotgun sequence data has become a recent concern among those involved in large scale DNA sequencing. To confirm the assembly of shotgun sequences submitted to national databases (e.g. Genbank and Genome Sequence Database), some investigators have suggested that sequencing be repeated by independent laboratories to confirm that the data submitted is in fact, assembled correctly. This notion of resequencing the human genome piece by piece after it initially has been shotgun sequenced and assembled seems to be a costly, not to mention redundant, concept for those involved in the Human Genome Project. Therefore, it was proposed by Dr. Bruce

Roe that the idea of validation be approached by a less expensive, and presently available approach that entails comparing the results of two or three of the aforementioned assembly algorithms with the same input data set. If the results are identical, then the assembly of the data could be assumed correct and therefore, valid. Any discrepancies in this separately assembled data should be manually resolved before the final sequence is released into the public domain. This multiple assembly approach has been implemented in the sequences presented in this dissertation and all conflicts have been resolved by proofreading and/or additional sequencing and PCR experiments.

## C. Gap closure strategies

Sequence editing and assembly is only the first step necessary to produce contiguous final data obtained by shotgun sequencing. Closure of the inevitable gaps in shotgun sequencing is an additional challenge. During the course of this dissertation work, several strategies for closure have been investigated. One strategy entailed generating a larger fragment set by restriction digestion, subcloning, isolating and subsequently sequencing the end portion of these fragments. Since a large amount of sequence data already is present in the project database and additional restriction mapping information is available, the sequence of the ends of these restriction fragments could be used to order the contigs and to determine the relative positions of the restriction fragments. Fragments covering a gap region then could serve as templates for primer walking to obtain the final sequence necessary to close the gaps. However, since this strategy requires an accurate restriction map, which not always is available, and is time consuming, it is rarely used. A second strategy entails generating and sequencing additional shotgun subclones, while a third strategy employs custom, synthetic primers to walk for gap closure. However, both of these two strategies are costly in terms of reagents and primer synthesis.

Recently, a strategy that entails a three step closure approach has been developed in Dr. Bruce Roe's laboratory. This strategy utilizes the recent advances in polyacrylamide gel technology in the initial phase of closure. Here, templates at the ends of contigs (i.e., those whose sequences read into the gap) are selected and resequenced with both the forward and reverse universal primers to insure that the correct template clone is chosen. Then, using optimized cycle sequencing conditions, the nested fragment sets are resolved on a Long Ranger $5 \%$ gel, instead of the standard $4.25 \%$ polyacrylamide gel, because under these conditions, fragments in the range of 500 and 1000 bp from the priming site can be resolved. These longer reads often are sufficient to close many gaps in sequence data. These Long Ranger gels are not routinely employed for shotgun data acquisition because these gels require a 10 hour run on an ABI 377 and manual editing of the long trace data. Following Long Ranger gel runs, PCR is performed on any remaining gaps using universal forward and reverse primers on the subclones known to span the gap as template. The size of the resulting PCR fragment therefore reveals the size of the gap and if small (i.e., less than 2-3 kb) the gap then is closed by primer walking directly off these subclones. However, should the gap prove to be larger, the PCR product can be directly nebulized using a technique developed in our Lab by Dr. Zhili Wang ${ }^{345}$ and then the resulting subclones can be isolated and sequenced to close the gap. Should the region needed to close any remaining gaps be difficult or impossible to obtain spanning subclones or PCR products, one or more rounds of gap closure by custom synthetic primer walking with the cosmid or BAC as the sequencing template can be used as a last resort.

## V. Methods for final sequence data analysis

Once shotgun sequence data is assembled, all the gaps are closed, and the final sequence validated, several computer based tools are employed for final sequence analysis.

In the first phase of analyzing the final sequence data, the sequence is compared to all know sequences present in the international databases using the BLAST program. BLAST, a basic local alignment search tool, allows Alu and other sequences to be identified based on homology with repeat databases at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) via the internet utilizing a heuristic search algorithm to identify matches between query sequence and a database sequence ${ }^{388}$. BLASTX is a complementary program, developed by Jean-Michele Claverie at the NCBI ${ }^{389}$, that replaces regions of repeat homology with Xs. The intervening, non-repeat sequences then can be extracted and searched using BLAST to find sequence homologies. A script called Autoblast, written at the University of Oklahoma by Dr. Bruce Roe and Yichen Ma, combines BLASTX and BLAST and allows for automated repeat identification, masking, extraction of non-repeat sequences and subsequent database searching.

Recently, Dr. Ed Uberbacher at Oak Ridge National Laboratories has developed an algorithm which can predict various sequence features with a high degree of accuracy ${ }^{390}$. The program which employs these algorithms to facilitate finding features in genomic sequences is called XGrail , an $\underline{X}$ windows based gene recognition and analysis internet link. During the course of this dissertation research, this XGrail program was used to identify potential exons (based on adherence to consensus intron/exon splice sites and codon usage), open reading frames greater than 100 bp in length, CpG islands, promoter sites, and full length repeated elements using a multiple sensor-neural network.

Finally, in many instances, the regions found by BLAST and XGrail that displayed homology to sequences in the databases, could be further studied using a variety of programs. On the VAX computer system, Dotplot, a Genetic Computer Group (GCG) program ${ }^{391}$ and the Staden Sequence Identification Program (SIP) ${ }^{392}$ are two programs which can graphically represent nucleotide or protein homology. In addition, the Wilbur and Lipman ${ }^{393}$ Nucaln (nucleotide alignment) program, the Higgins Clustal V
program ${ }^{394}$ and the GAP, Bestfit and Pileup (GCG) programs also can perform a text comparison of homology ${ }^{395}$. On the Sparcstation, Dotter ${ }^{396}$ is available for graphical representation of homology similar to the GCG dotplot program and a UNIX version of Clustal V and FastA can produce a text comparison of the observed homology. Findpatterns, a GCG VAX-based program that searches a query sequence for the presence of simple repeats such as di-, tri- and tetranucleotide repeats employing a user specified string of nucleotides also is available. Staden's Nucleotide Interpretation Program (NIP) and Readseq are two programs that can translate nucleotide sequences into the corresponding protein sequence for analysis by Steve Henikoff's BLOCKS program on either Unix or VMS-based computers via the internet ${ }^{397}$. More recently, a WWW site at Baylor College of Medicine (http://gc.bcm.tmc.edu/searchlauncher/launcher.html/) has been useful for performing a variety of internet-based queries of genomic DNA and protein structural features.

## Chapter III

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## I. Shotgun Sequencing Strategy Development

## A. Overview

A total of 90,213 base pairs from the meningioma deletion region, approximately 220,000 base pairs form the Cat Eye Syndrome region and approximately 420,000 base pairs from the Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia, type 1 region were sequenced during the course of this dissertation research using a shotgun sequencing strategy ${ }^{326.336}$ with subcloning exclusively into plasmid based sequencing template vectors. This shotgun strategy includes large scale isolation of genomic clones, physical shearing of genomic clones by nebulization, fragment end repair, size selection and 2' phosphorylation prior to blunt ended ligation into dephosphorylated pUC vectors ${ }^{336.337 .345 .398}$. After bacterial electrotransformation, the pUC-based subclones were isolated using an automated alkaline lysis method developed during the course of this dissertation study with the Beckman Biomek pipetting robots. The random subclones were sequenced using one of several mutants of Taq polymerase in cycle sequencing reactions ${ }^{325-328.336}$. Following pooling, and removal of unincorporated dye-labeled terminators by chromatography, the sequencing reactions were loaded onto either an ABI 373A or 377 fluorescent DNA sequencer for automated electrophoresis, data collection and basecalling. The sequence data then was transferred via NSF Share to a Sparcstation where it was analyzed using one of several available computer programs for editing, alignment, proofreading and viewing (XGAP, deadted, FAKII, CAP2, PHRED, PHRAP, consed, crossmatch) ${ }^{384-}$ 387. Gaps in the aligned sequence were closed using either long ranger gel electrophoresis conditions and Amplitaq FS cycle sequencing to obtain longer reads past the priming site, or a by primer walking strategy with custom synthesized oligonucleotide
primers, also using Amplitaq FS catalyzed dye terminator cycle sequencing reactions. In some instances an alternate PCR-based ${ }^{375}$ method, developed by Zhili Wang in our lab. was used to close larger sequence gaps. Here, the gap region was amplified from the original genomic clone, sheared in the nebulizer, end-repaired, ligated into pUC vectors and the resulting randomly picked clones were sequenced via Taq-based dye terminator chemistry.

Once an entire contiguous sequence of a target genomic clone was obtained, the sequence was validated by PCR with custom oligonucleotide primers, demonstrating that the expected size of PCR fragments correlated with the experimentally obtained fragments. Also, the databases were examined using each of the three assembly algorithms available to confirm the correct alignment of the random subclones. Validated, contiguous sequence was analyzed for database homology ${ }^{388}$, potential exons ${ }^{390}$, and repeated elements ${ }^{388.389 .395}$.

Throughout this dissertation work, several stages in the shotgun strategy have been modified and optimized. The large scale diatomaceous earth-based genomic clone isolation was developed and optimized for use in our lab with Kala Iyer ${ }^{347}$ to eliminate the $\mathrm{CsCl} /$ ethidium bromide equilibrium ultracentrifugation previously required and Angelika Bodenteich optimized the procedures for nebulization in generating shotgun subclone libraries ${ }^{336}$. The plasmid template isolation endured many modifications by colleagues (Steve Toth, Dennis Burian, Guozhang Zhang, Zhili Wang) and the final automation of this optimized method is reported here for use on both the Biomek 1000 and the Biomek 2000 pipetting robots. The lab shifted from dye-labeled primer chemistry for shotgun data collection to dye-labeled terminator chemistry based on experiments performed by Steve Toth and these reactions were optimized using Klentaq TR by Guozhang Zhang.

## B. Large Scale Diatomaceous Earth-based genomic clone isolation for cosmid, BAC, PAC and fosmid

In 1994, our lab reported a diatomaceous earth-based procedure for isolating genomic clones, specifically plasmids and cosmids ${ }^{347}$. This method is based on the original alkaline lysis procedure by Birnboim and Doly, followed by binding the DNA to defined diatomaceous earth, washing, and subsequently eluting the DNA. This procedure yielded a high proportion of supercoiled DNA which was ideal for restriction digestion analysis, and for shotgun subclone library production without $\mathrm{CsCl} /$ ethidium bromide equilibrium ultracentrifugation. At the time of this report, cosmid-based genomic clones were quite popular for large scale sequencing projects based on insert size availability. Cosmid genomic clones contain 40-50 kilobase pair inserts, much larger than the plasmid-based clones, and were thought to be easily handled, isolated and maintained. However, it was soon realized that cosmids did not always maintain the genomic insert, and showed periodic recombination and insert deletion.

Bacterial artificial chromosomes (BACs) soon became the genomic clone of choice based on several criteria, including low incidence of recombination, high clone stability and increased insert size capacity when compared with cosmids or plasmids. BACs maintain insert sizes from 100 to 300 kb with the average size in most insert libraries around 150 kb . Because of this large insert size, BAC clones presented a problem when isolated by the diatomaceous earth procedure developed earlier and reported by H.Pan, et al. The resulting BAC DNA often was contaminated with host bacterial genomic DNA at levels as high as $50 \%$ as evaluated by DNA sequencing. Therefore, modifications to the original diatomaceous earth based large scale isolation were required.

Initially, it was believed that harsh treatment of the cells during resuspension/cell lysis resulted in increased shearing of the host genomic DNA and contaminated BAC preparations. Further studies indicated that this was true to some extent, but the host
contamination was still around $30 \%$ as determined by DNA sequencing experiments. To make the resuspension/cell lysis treatment more gentle, the amount of initial cell culture was decreased by half (yielding an effective doubling of the volume of reagents) to allow for easier resuspension of cell pellets, and more gentle treatment. Again, the percentage of host contamination was evaluated by sequencing, and still hovered around $15 \%$. At this stage, it was perceived that perhaps all available DNA binding sites present on the diatomaceous earth were occupied and the resulting contamination was a direct consequence. To test this hypothesis, the absolute amount of diatomaceous earth in the preparation was increased from 4 g to 5 g using the decreased initial cell culture. Host contamination dropped to $6 \%(+1-1 \%)$ by DNA sequencing analysis (procedure used for isolation number 4 and 5 was identical; see figure 16). Therefore, decreasing the initial cell concentration and increasing the amount of diatomaceous earth resulted in an effective increase in the binding sites present on the diatomaceous earth which allows for more specific binding of contaminating bacterial genomic DNA and preferential elution of BAC DNA. These modifications reproducibly yield supercoiled BAC DNA with low amounts of host genomic contamination, that is sufficient for large scale sequencing applications.

## C. Automated Double Stranded Subclone Isolation

Early large scale shotgun sequencing efforts used a single stranded subcloning approach utilizing M13-based cloning vectors. To this end, single stranded DNA template isolation procedures were developed, optimized and finally automated in the Roe laboratory by Elaine Mardis ${ }^{356}$, with subsequent modifications by Stephanie Chissoe ${ }^{326}$. The automation of the single stranded template preparation increased productivity and reproducibility lending support to the shotgun approach as a viable strategy for large scale DNA sequencing efforts.

Around 1992, the focus began changing in the direction of double stranded subcloning approach to achieve a two-for-one advantage over the single stranded


Figure 16: Escherichia coli host contamination in preparations of BAC 18 H 3 as evaluated by DNA sequencing.
methods. With double stranded templates, both ends of the subclone template could be sequenced using the universal forward and universal reverse sequencing primers, as opposed to a single stranded subclone which could only be sequenced with the universal forward primer. This two-for-one advantage decreased the number of subclones required by a factor of two and also provided valuable positional information for the database assembly process. For many years, these advantages were outweighed by one disadvantage, that the double stranded template isolation techniques were not as mature as the single stranded template isolations. Double stranded preparations were time and labor intensive, often involving hazardous organic extraction steps.

As the strategy matured, the double stranded template isolations became more viable, with many commercial kits available. After investigating a myriad of commercial kits, it was determined that a standard alkaline lysis alone followed by ethanol precipitation worked equally well to generate sequence ready plasmid templates.

Automation of the alkaline lysis procedure initially was performed on the Beckman Biomek 1000 laboratory workstation. Cells containing the plasmid of interest were grown and harvested in a 96 -well format, followed by alkaline lysis as described, with the lysate cleared by filtration. The resulting DNA was concentrated by ethanol precipitation, washed and resuspended in buffer for use. This automated procedure allowed for the simultaneous isolation of 96 subclones in three hours. Although this procedure worked relatively well, the cell lysate periodically clogged the filter and resulted in a loss of DNA. A typical agarose gel photograph of Biomek 1000 plasmid DNA is shown in figure 17.

After further experimentation, it became clear that filtration might not be the most effective way to clear the lysate. Replacement of the Biomek 1000 robots with the next generation Beckman Biomek 2000 instruments allowed for reevaluation of the strategy used in the automation procedure. Steve Toth performed several experiments investigating centrifugation of the 96 well plates as an alternate method to clear the lysate

A. Biomek 1000 double stranded template isolation


## B. Biomek 2000 double stranded template isolation

Figure 17: Agarose gel photographs of Biomek-isolated double stranded template DNA.
in double stranded preparations. Simultaneously, Dennis Burian performed an experiment which indicated that doubling the reagent volumes increased the final yield of plasmid as obtained by a manual 96 well preparation with centrifugation to clear the lysate. By incorporating all of these minor adjustments into the method, the double stranded template isolation was automated on the Beckman Biomek 2000 such that $4 \times 96$ subclones were isolated simultaneously in 4 hours. The lysate was cleared by centrifugation, with the Biomek pipetting off the top 400 ul supernatant to a clean 96 well block for ethanol precipitation. This automated procedure is highly reproducible, even by novice users, and generates sufficient template for many sequencing reactions. A typical agarose gel photograph is shown in figure 17.

## D. Cycle Sequencing Reactions

Throughout the past several years, there was a shift in the technical strategy of cycle sequencing. With an automated, efficient plasmid-based template preparation, the laboratory switched from the dye-labeled primer cycle sequencing chemistry to the dyelabeled dideoxyribonucleotide terminator cycle sequencing chemistry for shotgun sequence generation. This eliminated the four tube per sample requirement of dye primer chemistry and standardized all manipulations in a 96-well format, allowing more efficient use of thermocyclers, thus eliminating a major bottleneck in the large throughput sequencing strategy.

This increased cycling output made the ABI fluorescent sequencers rate limiting, a problem that was slightly relieved by an increase in capacity from 36 to 48 samples per run. Other modifications to the sequencing instrument include an automatic sample sheet production program initially developed by LaDeana Hillier at Washington University and then adapted for our laboratory by Dr. Roe and Steve Kenton, and decreased run time from 3.5 hours to 3.0 hours, which allowed one additional run to be performed per day, bringing the total to four runs per day per instrument. Current output with 7 instruments
run four times per day, 48 samples per run and an average read length of 400 bp per sample, is over 0.5 Mb of raw sequence data per day.

Alongside the physical improvements gained from the dye terminator chemistry were several chemical improvements such as the introduction of improved thermostable enzymes that increased incorporation of modified nucleotides, and the subsequent base calling fidelity.

## II. Strategy Implementation

## A. Sequence Assembly, gap closure and sequence validation

The shotgun sequencing data collected from the ABI DNA sequencers for each project was transferred to a Sparcstation and subsequently assembled using a series of programs (FAKII, CAP2, phred/phrap). These programs compare the data from gel reads and calculate the best alignment for assembly of the data into contiguous pieces or contigs. These programs are faster, more accurate and require less manual intervention than the previous generation of assembly programs, XGAP, although FAKII and CAP2 utilize the XGAP windows interface for viewing the assembled data. Consed is the viewing tool for phred/phrap databases, although a phred/phrap database may be converted to an XGAP database following assembly if the XGAP interface is preferred. Gap closure was performed as previously described, and the final contiguous sequence assembly validated by one of three methods. First, the restriction pattern of the cosmid or BAC is compared with the computer generated restriction map using the consensus sequence. Second, the assembly is performed by all three of the above mentioned assembly programs, validating the assembly if all three alignments agree. Any discrepancies must be resolved before the sequence is considered valid. Third, the alignment of known cDNA sequences which correspond to the genomic DNA must be in the correct order and orientation in the assembled sequence. If all of the above criteria are
satisfied, the assembled sequence is considered valid and ready for release into the public databases as completed, level three data.

Each base of the contiguous sequence also must conform to the "rule of three" to assure the highest quality data. Therefore, each base must have at least three fold redundancy and that one of the three gel reads must be in the opposite orientation. This ensures that regions that are difficult to sequence are sequenced accurately. In some cases (for example, G/C rich regions) a region of DNA will not give accurate base resolution, no matter how much redandancy is present. Sequencing in the opposite orientation oftentimes resolves the difficult area, and fulfills the rule of three. In cases when no subclone is available for sequencing in the opposite direction, a custom primer is synthesized to sequence in the opposite orientation.

## B. Sequence Scanning

In some cases, complete sequencing to rule of three accuracy is not required to find the majority of genes present in genomic sequence. Upon completion of the shotgun phase in sequencing a cosmid or BAC, the resulting contigs can be ordered using the forward and reverse read pairs, and subsequent sequence analysis can be performed. Typically all of the known genes and most of the unknown genes can be detected using the available computer analysis methods as described below. Database searches and homology to the individual contigs can also aid in ordering the contigs, based on known cDNA sequences present in the databases. Examples of sequence scanning found in this dissertation include b137c7 from the MEN-1 region on chromosome 11q13, and b376al from the Cat Eye Syndrome region of chromsome 22q11. As discussed below, bl37c7 contains three known human genes and four putative genes. one of which is suspected to be the MEN-1 gene. In contrast, b376al contains no known or putative genes based on sequence scanning analysis.

## C. Analysis of Completed Sequences

Analysis of the completed sequences requires the use of several different computer programs available both on the Sparcstations and the VAX. These programs allow for placement of genes, ESTs and STSs to obtain genomic organization of known genes, for identification of new genes by comparative study of homologies between human and other organisms, and for prediction of potential genes based on promotor regions, intron/exon splice junctions, polyadenylation sites and codon frequency. One such program, Xgrail (X windows gene recognition and analysis internet link) predicts potential exons by examining the consensus sequence for promotor regions, splice sites, potential open reading frames and start/stop codons. Exons predicted by Xgrail are given a quality rating of excellent, good and marginal based on their adherence to the defined criteria. Xgrail also identifies simple sequence repeats such as di-, tri- tetra-, and pentanucleotide repeat regions, and highlights polyadenylation signals, CpG islands and potential promotor regions on the graphical display.

Powblast, a recent database search tool, combines a filter for repeat sequences and a BLAST (basic local alignment search tool) search with gapped alignment to yield a listing of entries in the Genbank database and the segment of that entry with homology to the genomic input sequence. Powblast, utilizes the BLAST searching algorithm after screening out known elements, for example those in the Alu and Mir repeat families. This pre-screening is useful in that it eliminates all but the unique regions of the database homology. Output from Powblast can be viewed as either a text file which aligns the input sequence with the region of database sequence homology, showing both the direction and position of the homology, or as a pictoral view of the database homology using the Musk program that allows a graphical view of the homology showing both directionality and position. In addition, Musk also has the ability to retrieve the relevant database entries for inspection.

## III. Meningioma Sequencing and Analysis

A total of 90,213 bases from the Meningioma Deletion region from human chromosome 22q11 was sequenced using a shotgun based strategy followed by a directed sequencing for gap closure. The sequence of the three cosmids 76A4, 50B11 and 58 Bl 12 is in one contiguous piece and has been assigned the Genbank accession number AC000105. These clones were obtained from collaborator Dr. Ellen Zwartoff at Erasmus University in the Netherlands.

## A. MN-1 gene

Analysis of the total 90,213 bases from the Meningioma Deletion region from human chromosome 22 q 11 reveals that it contains both exons for the $\mathrm{MN}-1$ gene. The cDNA for this gene has been characterized ${ }^{152}$, and but the genomic sequence only was characterized partially as the two exons present in the $\mathrm{MN}-1$ gene were mapped to the q 11 region of chromosome 22 and from these mapping studies, it was estimated that the entire gene spans approximately $70 \mathrm{~kb}^{152}$. Through the sequence studies done during the course of this dissertation research, the $22 \mathrm{q} 11 \mathrm{MN}-\mathrm{I}$ gene containing region now could be characterized in much greater detail.

A comparison of the Xgrail and Powblast output shown in figure 18 reveals that both MN-1 exons were accurately predicted by Xgrail in regions corresponding to the publicly available MN-I cDNA sequence (Genbank accession number X82209). These regions also had significant homology to several human fetal brain ESTs (Genbank accession numbers D80935, Z28469, R59212, M85938, H89207, R59272, H89103, Z28468, D80247, D51775, D51800, D52119, C14502, Z39850, D59763, and D60570), that represent the expression of the $\mathrm{MN}-\mathrm{I}$ gene in this tissue. As expected, this region also contains the human STS SHGC- 31555 sequence (Genbank accession number G28534), and the first exon of MN-1 has homology with many previously studied human genomic clones isolated based on their CpG island content (Genbank accession numbers Z64626, Z62107, Z79869, R52312, T61559 and Z58982).


Figure 18: Top panel: Graphical result of Xgrail gene identification software for the Meningioma Deletion Region from human chromosome 22q11. The peaks present are Xgrail predicted exons with a quality rating of excellent (green), good (blue), or marginal (red). The light blue lollipops are predicted polyadenylation signals and the purple squares are CpG islands. Yellow and red squares indicate promotors and the vertical orange bars denote simple sequence repeats. Bottom panel: Graphical display of the powblast output using Musk. The black bar at the top of the panel represents the consensus sequence with the grey areas indicating repeat regions. The lines under the black bar indicate homology between the consensus sequence and an entry in the public database

Additional regions of significant database homology also were found (Genbank accession numbers Z63230 and Z63228) indicating the possible presence of an additional gene in this 90 kb rgion.

The genomic organization and consensus splice junctions for the $\mathrm{MN}-1$ gene are shown in tables 3 a and 3 b , respectively, and the cDNA sequence with the amino acid sequence and exon boundaries is shown in Figure 19. The first MN-1 exon is 4.7 kb which encodes an approximately 887 bp 5 ' untranslated region and 3846 bp corresponding to the N -terminal 1578 amino acids of the $\mathrm{MN}-1$ protein. The second $\mathrm{MN}-1$ exon is 2.8 kb long and encodes for 159 amino acids of the C -terminal domain of the MN-1 protein (total of 1757 amino acids) and 2644 nucleotides of the 3 ' untranslated region, following a large 45.6 kb intron. As can be seen from table 3 b , the two intron/exon boundaries conform quite nicely to the consensus splice junctions. Interestingly, the MN-1 gene does not contain the usual TATAA promotor region, however, a strong CpG island is present in the region 5 ' to the first exon. This is not unusual in that approximately $60 \%$ of human genes are associated with CpG islands, and many of these genes have been found to be 'housekeeping genes'403-406. The MN-1 gene does, however, display a polyadenylation signal at the end of the 3 ' untranslated region from 89018-89024, and a TGA stop codon at 86738-86740.

The DNA sequence of this 90 kb region also contains several repeated elements, with LINE, ALU, SVA and MIR repeats being the most common. In one very interesting case at $66,000 \mathrm{bp}$ (see figure 20), the EST with Genbank accession number T61559 has significant homology with an ALU repeat. This EST does contain some unique sequence, as seen in the Dotter sequence comparison in figure 21 . The entire meningioma deletion region contains 29 SVA, 44 ALU, 58 L1, 9 MLT, 22 MIR, 2 MST, 1 THE and 3 MER repeats as shown by powblast output, especially in the region upstream from the first $\mathrm{MN}-1$ exon (see figure 22).

## A. Genomic organization - Meningioma Deletion Region:

| exon number position | size of exon | size of intron |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 01 | $36158-40891$ | 4734 | 45664 |
| 02 | $86555-89374$ | 2820 |  |

## B. Splice junctions - Meningioma Deletion Region:

| Left <br> Exon Left splice junction |  |  | Right | Right exon |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 AGCAAAGAAGgtatatgcac |  |  |  | 01 |
|  |  |  | ctctec | 02 |
| consensus: | CAGgt | aagt | tncagG |  |
|  | t |  |  |  |
| polyadenylation signal: TGAstop: |  | 8901 |  |  |
|  |  | 8673 |  |  |

Table 3: Genomic sequence organization and splice junctions for the two large exons of the $\mathrm{MN}-1$ gene in the Meningioma Deletion Region on human chromosome 22q11.
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ctaataccccgcceccogtccctcacatcaggcggggrggaggtgcgegctgagcceccg ..... 840
N A G Gcggtgctgagcgtcccggagcgcccaatccegggctggaacgagtagatggccggaggcg900
 ..... 960cgccgcggagagccggctgtcatgccctattgatccccctccgccccccgccaagtatgt
ttgggctggaccaattcgagccccaggtcaacagcaggaacgctggccagggcgagagga ..... $\geq 020$
N F N E T G L S M N T H F K A P A F H actttaacgagaccggactgagcatgaacacccactttaaggccccggcttcccacactg ..... 1080
$G \quad G \quad P \quad P \quad G \quad P \quad V \quad D \quad P \quad A \quad M \quad S \quad A \quad L \quad G \quad Q \quad P \quad P \quad I \quad L$ gggggccccctggccctgtggatcctgctatgagcgcgctggggcaacccccgatcttgg ..... 1140
gcatgaacatggagccetacggcttccacgcgcgcggccactcggagttgcacgcagggg ..... 1200
 ggctgcaagcgcagcctgtgcacggcttctttggcggccagcagcctcaccacggccacc ..... 1260
cgggaagtcatcatccccaccagcatcacccccactttgggggcaacttcggtggcccgg ..... 1320
 accccggggcctcgtgcctgcacgggggtcgcctgctcggctacggcggcgcagccggag ..... 1386
gcctgggcagccagccgccctecgccgagggctatgagcacatggcggagagccaggggc ..... 1440
P $\quad$ S $F$ G $P \quad Q \quad R \quad P \quad G \quad N \quad L \quad P \quad D \quad F \quad H \quad S \quad S \quad G \quad A$ ..... ctgagagcttcggcccgcagcgaceggggaacctcccggact=ccacagttcaggtgcet 1500
$S$ S H R V P A P C I $\quad$ P L D Q S D N R A. Accagccaccgcgtgccggccecatgcctgccgctggaccagagccctaaccgagccgcct 1560S F H G L P S S S G S D S H S L E P R Rcctгccacggcetgccgtcctccagcggctccgattcccacagtctggagccacggaggg1620
T N O G A V D S L E Y N Y R A R R P R tgacgaaccaaggagccgtcgactcgctggaatacaattaccgggcgaggcgecctcggg ..... 1680
acattttgacatgttttcgccctctgactccgaagggcagetgcctcattatgcagcagg ..... 1740
 tcgecaggttcetggggggcggctttcceggggcgccctcggccatgcccagagctgcgg ..... 1800
gcatggtgggcttgeccaaatgcacgcccagccaccgcagcagcágccecagcagcagc ..... 1860
 ..... agcagccccagcagcagcagcagcatggtgtgttctetgagaggtecagtggggccagaa 1920
gatgcctgtgggtctggagccctcagtgggctccaggcacccgttaatgcagcctcccc ..... 1980
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}Q & Q & A & P & P & P & P & Q & Q & Q & P & P & Q & Q & P & P & Q & Q & Q & D\end{array}$
agcaggccccgccaccccctcagcagcagcccccgcagcagccgccacagcagcagccgc ..... 2040
cgccgccacccgggettctagtccgacaaaattcgttgcccgcctgcgctcccecggecc ..... 2100
$\mathrm{P} \quad \therefore \quad \mathrm{G} \quad \mathrm{R} \quad \mathrm{G} \quad \mathrm{G} \quad \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{A} \quad \mathrm{Q} \quad \mathrm{R} \quad \mathrm{R} \quad \mathrm{P}$ A $\mathrm{G} \quad \mathrm{R}$
cagcagggcgaggcgggcacgeccagcggcggcctgcaggacggaggccccatgctgccc ..... 2160

Q $E A B A \quad A \quad R \quad V \quad H \quad P \quad P \quad A \quad G \quad E \quad E \quad E \quad H \quad A \quad P$ agccagcacgcgcaattcgagtatcccatccaccggctggagaaccggagcatgcaccet
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}L & L & P & R & R & M & G & G & S & G & L & P & A & D & C & G & P & H & D & P\end{array}$
tgctgccccgtaggatgggcggctcgggtctgcccgctgactgtggcccgcacgaccccagcctggcgccccctcctccgcctggtggctcgggggtgctgttccggggccetctgcagggcgctgcttcggagcgccggccccogccgccggactttgctacgtctgcgctegggggecgegcctacccgccgcagcctgatttccagcccagccagcgcacctcggccagtaaattggcctcggggccgcccaaccctccagcccagggggacggcaccagcctcecccccaactaca

gcgcagccgtcgggggaagctccgcaggcgagacgcgcggggcaccgacgccccacgaaa

3960
 agttcgcctcggacgaggtgagcacgagctacgccaatgaggacgaggtgtcgtccagct
 ctgacaacccccaggcactagttaaagcgagcaggagtccectggtgaccggctcgccca
 aactccctccccgtggggtaggcgccggggaacacggaccgaaggcgcceccgcccgcce
 tcggcctgggcatcatgtctaactctacctcgaccoctgacagctacggcggcggtgggg $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}G & P & G & H & P & G & T & F & G & L & E & Q & V & R & T & P & T & S & S & S\end{array}$ gcccgggccatccgggcactccgggcctggagcaggtccgcaccccgacgagcagcagcg $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}G & A & P & P & P & D & E & I & H & P & L & E & I & L & Q & A & Q & I & Q & L\end{array}$ gcgccccgccacccgacgagatccaccccctggagatccttcaggcgcagatccagctac
 agaggcagcagttcagcatctccgaggaccagcctctggggctgaagggtggcaagaagg
 gtgagtgcgccgtcggggcctcaggggcgcagaatggcgacagcgagctgggcagctgct
 gctccgaggcggtcaagagcgccatgagcaccattgacctggactcgctgatggcagagc $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}H & S & A & A & W & Y & M & P & A & D & K & A & L & V & D & S & A & D & D & D\end{array}$ acagcgctgectggtacatgeccgetgacaaggccctggtggacagcgcggacgacgaca
 agacgttggcgccctgggagaaggccaaaccccagaaccccaacagcaaagaagcccacg
 acctccctgcaaacaaggcctcagcatcccagcctggcagccacttgcagtgcctgtctg exonliexon2
 tccactgcacagacgacgegggtgacgccaaggctcgagcctccgtgcccacctggcggt $S \quad L \quad H \quad S \quad D \quad I \quad S \quad N \quad R \quad F \quad G \quad T \quad F \quad V \quad A \quad A \quad L \quad T \quad *$ ccctgcattctgacatctccaacagatttgggacattcgtggctgccctaacttgaatga caagaaagatcccctcctctaccaggcccttcctctccccctgtctgtttcctecccct caaccttaccccacccctctgttaarttgaaagggccactattgctgagtggatgagttt =ttttttttcctctaggteggtacctgcttagtggcatatggaccggaaagggetaatte aaagggggggaacctcaaaagtttttttaaaaaagaaacttgtctgccacagtatgttac cagtgttaacccttctgcagttagcaaacttrtgcteaagcctttttcctctagatactc cccatgtttcggtaatcttggcatacattttttagatgacctctteccttgtt catgctgctgtatgtccaagtattgttarttcataataagacaagagttgctttctttt tattctttttccttttcttaccccctccccttttatt tctttttgctttgttcactgct tattaaatggaaatcctggagaatagragttctggaatattgccgggrgaaagtccaat tgtcatcacaatgttatatattgacaccccagtgtcatcagtcaggcaggagccaaacaa tgaatgcccetcttaggtattccgcctgggattttgttttgtctgttccctaagaaaata tattttcattcctgcaaacacagtgctcagccttcagttcccttccacttgagtcctctc ttctcctgctggaagccgcccctctctgcgatggacgtgaggacgtgtccagctctgctc tgtgggaaggagttggaatgttcgacagcagegtttcctctcctettctgggcctcctcg caãatgcccaggccetgcattttcacgctgtgctaagcagcctttggtctgcarggggga tggtgtgctcccagcctgcagtctttggagcaaggctgctgccegtgccttgggtgctgg agttggaggaggctgttctcagccctttccctettctgaaagctgtccetggecgggcat cccagggaagaaggaggggactgcgtgtatctcctccacctctcccattccatccccagt ccagcctgggcaaccccacccctgggagggatgaggcaccctcttgctcagcctgctcag ccetctctgagcctttgcagggatctgcagactcctgagggctagaggacagagaaagag aatagaatgaaatgactttgattcctgcgccttttagttttgaactctggaattcctctg ccc=ctccccaacatttttttggaatctcaccctgttgcaaaactagagccatgtcccaa gcatctcacaaaggaataactgctctgagcagagatgagtggtggťggcaggggcaggc
aactttgggtgctgctgatgcctgcaaaagccatttatggcttgtggtgggggccacata ..... 6360

gattccccggtgggttagacaggaagtaactgatatcacttcacccaaarataこaaccgt ..... 6420

gatggttatctatttaatttcagtttttgttaacgagcgtgtcttactaaaacgctccac ..... 6480
tttgagctcccccaccccctccaggtcctcagagtttgcagatctgggctetctaaagca ..... 5540
agtgacctgaaggctctgggctcaccatacaacacccacgttgtttatttcaaagaactt ..... 6600
ttcagcgaagggagaggagctttcagaaaaacctcactct:tcccctcccttctcccctc ..... 6560
tttccttctgccggtccttttggctggggtctgagtctgcggttcrcgectgggcagtct ..... 6720
tgacgaggagcaaaccccgccttcagagggcagacaaagcâggtggcatgaartgatcag ..... 6780
cgagaaaggtgtgagccgaggcagttcctgcgttctgctacaeaaggaatggaaagggaa ..... 6840
gggaatttccccccaccatgggctgtgggagagttgaccgtattc ..... 6900
gacccctctgattctgcagtgtacagctgtttgagagcctcatcattttacttttgaaac ..... 7060
aggaatgatttctccttaattgcttaaggccggggagcaaagtgtcttaacttctgtctt ..... 7120
tgactttcccagcgttgagtcatcaacactttgccaattagctcatggtcctggcaacce ..... 71807240cagaaacccctgaagttttaaaaactttctcgctccccacgaccccagaatgaaacagct
7300
ttaaaaatagccttaagcaaaaggatgttatttcattaaatttggtttaatggaaagaat
7360
aaaagtaaatgaaaaacacaccctacacactagactccgaacactggtaatcagtactgc
7420
atagcaaactctttgggaaagaaaacgaaaatgttattgcacatgtaaaatatgaaaact ..... 7480
tctgaaatgcttggttggaagactgtgacaatagctcatgaaattgagtgttattrtett ..... 7540
ctttcttttttaaaaaatatgtaaagtgcagtcttctgtattcctgcatattgtatata ..... 7600
cctgtatatgttttcctgagcagttaaataacaataaatatgacgttaatggtgaaaaaa ..... 7660

Figure 19: MN-1 cDNA sequence and amino acid translation. Genbank accession number X82209.


Figure 20: Graphical view of powblast output of the MN-1 gene region from human chromosome 22q 11 showing an EST (T61559) containing an ALU family repeat element.


Figure 21: Dotter sequence comparison of the ALU repeat family consensus and EST T61559, demonstrating sequence homology and thus, the presence of an ALU repeat within an EST.


Figure 22: Powblast output of the repeat-rich region upstream of the MN-1 gene from human chromosome 22q11.

This region of chromosome 22 is also rich in simple sequence repeats according to Xgrail, and these are tabulated in Table 4. The simple sequence repeats are evenly dispersed throughout the region. There does, however, seem to be an overabundance of poly A and poly T stretches ( 13 of the 28 simple sequence repeat regions contain either poly A or poly T of greater than 10 bases).

## B. Putative genes

Several additional exons (three excellent and four good in the forward direction; four excellent, five good, and three marginal in the reverse direction) were predicted by Xgrail. Powblast, however, did not report any database homology to these predicted exons and there are no other indications that these regions encode for expressed genes.

## IV. Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia, type I Sequencing and Analysis

Approximately 450,000 bases from the MEN-1 gene region from human chromosome 11q13, BACs b137c7 and bl8h3, was sequenced as part of this dissertation research. This work was done in collaboration with Dr. Francis Collins at the National Human Genome Research Institute at the National Institutes of Health in Bethesda, MD.

## A. b137c7 analysis

Analysis of approximately 140,000 unique bases from bl37c7 in the MEN-1 gene region from human chromosome 11q13 (Genbank accession number AC000134) revealed the presence of three genes whose cDNA sequences are known and four putative genes (one of which is suspected to be the gene whose disruption/deletion causes the MEN-1 phenotype). The previously known genes include human $\beta$-lymphocyte serine/threonine kinase (or GC kinase) gene, the human zinc finger motif-1 gene, and the muscle glycogen phosphorylase gene. One of the four putative genes in this region displays significant sequence homology with the rat neurexin II alpha gene, as will be discussed below.

## Meningioma Deletion Region - Simple Sequence Repeats

| position | Repeat |
| :---: | :---: |
| 137-417 | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{T})_{4}$ |
| 5554-5634 | (CT) 21 (CA) ${ }_{14}(\mathrm{GA})_{12}$ |
| 5609-5659 | $(C A)_{3}(C T)_{24}(C A)_{14}(G A){ }_{12}$ |
| 8447-8464 | $\mathrm{T}_{18}$ |
| 9744-9786 | (GAA) |
| 10475-10501 | $\mathrm{T}_{18}$ |
| 10642-10679 | $\mathrm{T}_{25}$ |
| 14083-14124 | $\mathrm{CA}_{6} \mathrm{CA}_{5} \mathrm{CA}_{13}$ |
| 15288-15338 | $(C A A A)_{3}\left(C A_{6}\right)_{4}$ |
| 15486-15529 | $\mathrm{A}_{4} \mathrm{~T}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~T}\right){ }_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~T}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~T}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~T}$ |
| 17083-17159 | $\mathrm{A}_{19}$ |
| 20141-20171 | $\mathrm{GA}_{10} \mathrm{GA}_{3} \mathrm{GA}_{4} \mathrm{GA}_{3}$ |
| 20679-20993 | poly GA |
| 22787-22840 | $(\mathrm{TG})_{17}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{4} \mathrm{~A}\right)_{3}$ |
| 24135-24158 | $\mathrm{A}_{21}$ |
| 31324-31365 | (TG) 19 |
| 31777-31811 | $\mathrm{G}_{4} \mathrm{AG}_{4}(\mathrm{TG})_{14}$ |
| 38660-38772 | (CAG) 15 |
| 42812-42840 | $\mathrm{A}_{14}$ |
| 52555-52605 | (GT) 19 |
| 54495-54525 | $\mathrm{A}_{15}$ |
| 59735-59764 | $\mathrm{T}_{5} \mathrm{CT}_{4} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{T}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{11}$ |
| 60073-60109 | $\mathrm{T}_{15}(\mathrm{CT})_{5} \mathrm{~T}_{12}$ |
| 66398-66422 | $\mathrm{A}_{25}$ |
| 68072-68099 | $\mathrm{A}_{28}$ |
| 69831-69862 | (TC), $\mathrm{T}_{8} \mathrm{CT}_{5}$ |
| 76229-76345 | $(\mathrm{GT})_{4}(\mathrm{GA})_{15}$ |
| 89676-89704 | $\mathrm{A}_{21}$ |

Table 4: Simple sequence repeats present in the meningioma deletion region from human chromosome 22qll as reported by Xgrail.

## 1. Human $\beta$-lymphocyte serine/threonine (GCkinase) gene

The human $\beta$-lymphocyte serine/threonine (GC kinase) gene encompasses a total of 14 kb in the genomic sequence as determined by sequence scanning and is present in the $20,448 \mathrm{bp}$ contig comprising the human $\beta$-lymphocyte serine/threonine (GC kinase) gene region. Comparison of the genomic sequence with the known cDNA sequence (Genbank accession number U07349; see figure 23) revealed that the gene is composed of 32 exons, although only 31 of the 32 were identified in the genomic sequence (exon 27 is presumably in a sequence gap between two shotgun contigs), with the $\beta$-lymphocyte gene using exon 5b. (Exon 5 a is included because of homology with the mouse Rab8-interacting protein (discussed below) although it is not present in the reported human $\beta$-lymphocyte gene sequence.) The first exon encodes 40 bases of $5^{\prime}$ untranslated region, including an SPI binding site (Tejan GC box), followed by a methionine start codon. The coding region concludes with a TAA stop codon at position 17530-17532 and a polyadenylation signal at 18865-18870, leaving 407 bases in the $3^{\prime}$ untranslated region following the stop codon. The 32 exons present in this gene encode a protein of 820 amino acids.

Output from Xgrail and Powblast for this region is displayed in figure 24. Xgrail accurately predicted 24 of the 33 exons (counting exon 05a), but failed to predict exons \# $5 b, 7,13-14,16,19,22$, and 25 due to their small size (exon 27 also was not predicted because it occurs in a sequencing gap). It is a well documented shortcoming of Xgrail that exons smaller than 100 bp often are not accurately predicted. However, all the predicted exons correlated well with the powblast-revealed database homologies, as seen in figure 24.

The genomic organization of the $\beta$-lymphocyte serine/threonine kinase gene is presented in Table 5. As mentioned above, all exons were identified in the genomic sequence by powblast with the exception of exon 27 , which falls in a sequencing gap. An additional sequencing gap is present between exons 11 and 12 but this gap falls in the

```
                    M E L R D V S
    gctccggcccgccccgctgcccggcecgcgcgccgggccatggagctgcgggatgtgtcg
    L Q D P R D D R F E L L Q R V G A G T Y G
    ctgcaggacccgcgggaccgcttcgagctgctgcagcgcgtgggggccgggacctatggc120
        exonl!exon2
    D V Y K A R D D T V T S S E L I A A V V K I I V K
    gacgtctacaaggcccgcgacacggtcacgtccgaactggccgccgtgaagatagtcaag 180
        exon2 lexon3
    L D P G D D I S S L Q Q E I T I L R E C
    ctagacccaggggacgacatcagctccetccagcaggaaaccaccaccctgcgtgagtgc 240
                                    exon3lexon4
    R H
    cgccaccccaatgtggtggcctacattggcagctacctcaggaatgaccgctcgtggatc 300
                                    exon4|5a
    C M E F C G G G S L Q E I Y H A T G P I
    tgcatggagttctgcggagggggctccetgcaggagatttaccatgccactgggcccctg 360
                                    exon5alexon5b
    E E R Q I A Y V C R E R L K G L H H L H
    gaggagcggcagattgcctacgtctgccgagagcgactgaaggggctccaccacctgcat 420
                exon5blexon5
    S Q G K I H R D I K G A N L L L T T I Q G
    tctcaggggaagatccacagagacatcaagggagccaaccttctcctcactctccaggga 480
        exon6lexon7
    D V K L A D F G V S G E L T A A S V A K R
    gargtcaaactggctgactttggggtgtcaggcgagctgacagcgtctgtggccaagagg 540
                                    exon7lexon8
    R S F I G T P Y W M A P E V V A A V E R R K
    aggtctttcattgggactccctactggatggctcccgaggtggctgctgtggagcgcaaa 600
    G G Y N E L C D V W W A L L G I I T A I I E L L
    ggtggctacaatgagctatgtgacgtctgggccctgggcatcactgccattgagctgggc 660
                                    exon8 lexon9
    E L Q P P L F H L H P M R A L M M L M S K
    gagctgcagccccctctgttccacctgcaccccatgagggccctgatgctcatgtcgaag 720
                                    exon9। exon10
    S S F Q P P F K L R D D K T R R W T T Q N F F H H
    agcagcttccagccgcccaaactgagagataagactcgctggacccagaattrccaccac 780
    F L K K L A A. I T T K N N P
    tttctcaaactggccctgaccaagaatcctaagaagaggccgacagcagagaagctcctg }34
exon10lexon11
```



```
    cagcacccgttcacgactcagcagctccctcgggccctcctcacacagctgctggacaaa 900
                                    exon11|exonl2
    A S D P H L G T P S P E D C E E L E T Y D
    gccagtgaccctcatctggggaccccetcccctgaggactgtgagctggagacctatgac 960
    M F P D T I H S R G Q H G P A E R T P S
        atgtttccagacaccattcactcccgggggcagcacggcccagccgagaggaccccctcg 1020
        exon12 lexonl3
    E I Q F H Q V K F G A E R R R K E E T D D P I
        gagatccagtttcaccaggtgãatttggcgccccacgcaggaaggaaactgacccactg 1080
        exon13lexon14 exonl4।exonis
    N E D W E E E W T L L G K E E L L S G S L
        aatgagccgtgggaggaagagtggacactactgggaaaggaagagttgagtgggagcctg 1140
                exon15|exon16
    L Q S V Q E A L E E R S L T I I R S A S E
    ctgcagtcggtccaggaggccctggaggaaaggagtctgactattcggtcagcctcagaa 1200
    exonlolexonl7 exonl7 lexonl8
    F Q E L D S P D D D T M G T I I K R A A P F I
    ttccaggagctggactccccagacgataccatgggaaccatcaagcgggcccccgttccta 1260
```

G $P \quad L \quad P \quad T \quad D \quad P \quad P \quad A \quad E \quad E \quad D \quad L \quad S \quad S \quad P \quad P \quad G \quad T \quad L$ gggccactccccactgaccctccagcagaggagcctctgtccagtcccccaggaaccetg
P P P P S G P N S S P I I P T A cccccacctccttcaggccccaacagctccccactgetgcccacggcctgggccaccatg exon18 lexon19
$K \quad Q \quad R \quad E \quad D \quad E \quad E \quad R \quad S \quad C \quad H \quad G \quad L \quad P \quad D \quad T \quad P \quad K \quad V$
aagcagcgggaggatcctgagaggtcatcctgccacgggctccccccaactcccaaggtg
$V \quad T \quad W \quad I \quad H \quad P \quad V \quad T \quad R \quad D \quad Q \quad E \quad L \quad V \quad V \quad G \quad A \quad E \quad E \quad G$ gtcacctggattcaccctgttactcgggaccagttcctggtggtaggggccgaggaaggc1550 exon21lexon22
I Y T L N L H E L H E D T L E K K L I atctacacactcaacctgcatgaactgcatgaggatacgctggagaagctgatttcacat1620 exon22 1 exon23
 cgctgctcctggctctactgcgtgaacaacgtgctgctgtcactctcagggaaatccacg exon23 lexon24
 cacatctgggcccatgacctcccaggcctgtttgagcagcggaggctacagcaacaggtt
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{P} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{A}\end{array} \mathrm{L}$ cccctctccatccccaccaaccgcetcacccagcgcatcatccccaggcgetttgetctg17401800
exon24। exon25
S T K I P D $\quad$ P K G C L $Q \quad C \quad R \quad V \quad V \quad R \quad N \quad P \quad Y$ tccaccaagattcctgacaccaaaggctgcttgcagtgtcgegtggtgcggaacccctac1860 exon25lexon26
 acgggtgccaccttcctgctggccgecctgcccaccagcctgctcctgctgcagtggtat1920 exon26iexon27
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}E & P & L & Q & K & F & L & L & L & K & N & F & S & S & P & L & P & S & P & A\end{array}$ gagccgctgcagaagtttctgctgctgaagaacttctccagccctctgcccagcccagct
 gggatgctggagccgctggtgctggatgggaaggagctgccgcaggtgtgrgttggggcc
gaggggcctgaggggcecggctgecgcgtcctgttccatgtcctgccectggaggctggc
exon27 iexon28
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}L & T & P & D & I & I & P & E & G & I & G & G & A & Q & V & I\end{array}$
ctgacgcccgacatcctcatcccacctgaggggatcccaggctcggcccagcaggtgatc
$Q \quad V \quad D \quad R \quad D \quad T \quad I \quad L \quad V \quad S \quad F \quad E \quad R \quad C \quad V \quad R \quad I \quad V \quad N \quad M$
caggtggacagggacacaatcctagtcagctttgaacgctgtgtgaggattgtcaacarg

cagggcgagcccacggccacactggcacctgagctgacctttgatttccccatcgagact exon29lexon30
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}V & V & C & Q & D & S & V & A & F & W & S & H & G & M & Q & G & P & S\end{array}$
grggtgrgcctgcaggacagtgtgctggccttcrggagccargggatgcaaggccgaagc exon30lexon31
 ctggataccaatgaggtgacccaggagatcacagatgaaacaaggatcteccgagtgctt exon311 exon32
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}G & A & H & R & D & I & L & E & S & I & P & T & D & N & P & E & A & H & S\end{array}$ ggggcccacagagacatcatcctggagagcattcccactgacaacccagaggcgcacagc

```
gtttcaggcctggggagggaggggagcccccgacgcctctgcaztaactggaccaggggg2700
```

agctgctgtcactcccccatccccgaggcagcccagtccctagtgcccaaggcagggacc ..... 2760
ctgggcctgggccatccattccattttgttccacatttccttcctactctttctgccaag ..... 2820
agcctgcccctgcatttgtcctgggaaacacggtatttaagagagaactaこattggtatt ..... 2880
aaagctggtttgttttaaaaaaaaa ..... 2906

Figure 23: Human $\beta$ lymphocyte serine/threonine (GC kinase) cDNA and amino acid translation. Genbank accession number U07349.


Figure 24: Top panel: Graphical result of Xgrail gene identification software for the mouse Rab8 and $\beta$ lymphocyte serine/threonine kinase (GC kinase) gene from human chromosome 11q13. The peaks present are Xgrail predicted exons with a quality rating of excellent (green), good (blue), or marginal (red). The light blue lollipops are predicted polyadenylation sites and the purple squares are CpG islands. Yellow and red squares indicate promotors and vertical orange bars denote simple sequence repeats. Bottom panel: Graphical display of the powblast output using Musk. The black bar at the top of the panel represents the consensus sequence with the grey areas indicating repeat sequences. The lines under the black bar indicate homology between the consensus sequence and an entry in the public database.

## Genome Organization-Rab8 and $\beta$ lymphocyte

 serine/threonine kinase (GC kinase)| Exon number | position | size of exon | size of intron |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 | 3730-3825 | 95 | 112 |
| 02 | 3937-3994 | 57 | 232 |
| 03 | 4226-4316 | 90 | 80 |
| 04 | 4396-4460 | 64 | 298 |
| 05a | 4758-4813 | 55 | 309 |
| 05b | 5122-5169 | 47 | 89 |
| 06 | 5258-5300 | 42 | 396 |
| 07 | 5696-5768 | 72 | 80 |
| 08 | 5848-5979 | 131 | 74 |
| 09 | 6053-6115 | 62 | 372 |
| 10 | 6487-6568 | 81 | 1057 (gap) |
| 11 | 7625-7732 | 107 | 426 |
| 12 | 8158-8236 | 78 | 128 |
| 13 | 8364-8420 | 56 | 585 |
| 14 | 9005-9048 | 43 | 1131 |
| 15 | 10179-10216 | 37 | 93 |
| 16 | 10309-10342 | 33 | 121 |
| 17 | 10463-10509 | 46 | 144 |
| 18 | 10653-10740 | 87 | 83 |
| 19 | 10823-10861 | 38 | 89 |
| 20 | 10950-11034 | 84 | 96 |
| 21 | 11130-11209 | 79 | 81 |
| 22 | 11290-11350 | 60 | 103 |
| 23 | 11453-11570 | 117 | 3853 |
| 24 | 15423-15470 | 47 | 59 |
| 25 | 15529-15568 | 40 | 28 |
| 26 | 15596-15648 | 52 | 958 (gap) |
| 27 | (absent - in | quence gap) |  |
| 28 | 16606-16674 | 68 | 120 |
| 29 | 16794-16882 | 88 | 228 |
| 30 | 17110-17180 | 70 | 104 |
| 31 | 17284-17339 | 55 | 106 |
| 32 | 17445-17532 | 87 |  |
| Tejan GC box 3666-3674 |  |  |  |
| ATGstart 3730-3732 |  |  |  |
| TAAstop 17530-17532 |  |  |  |
| polyA signal 18865-18870 |  |  |  |

Table 5: Genome organization of the mouse Rab8 and $\beta$ lymphocyte serine/threonine kinase (GC kinase) genes from human chromosome 11q13. These genes all contain 32 exons (Rab8 uses exon 5a, whereas $\beta$ lymphocyte serine/threonine kinase (GC kinase) uses exon 5 b ) for a total of 2.5 kb , which span roughly 14 kb of genomic sequence. The promotor region has a strong Tejan GC box approximately 50 nucleotides upstream from the ATG start codon at the beginning of exon 1. The polyadenylation signal is 1333 nucleotides downstream of the TAA stop codon at the end of exon 32.
intronic region. Each of the intron/exon boundaries demonstrate good adherence to the consensus splice junctions, as seen in Table 6.

This region of bl37c7 also has multiple database hits to human ESTs originating from many different tissue types including pancreas, infant brain, pregnant uterus, adult brain, melanocytes, fetal liver, fetal spleen, fetal lung and placenta. These ESTs also have homology with the human $\beta$ lymphocyte serine/threonine (GC kinase) gene (Genbank accession numbers H38333, H14310, H14313, R32679, H91638, N42475, N24156, AA147612, N36190, W38527, H97384, AA147620, H41351, H91639, N51774, H38296, H14281, R98414, H14277, R28488, N90077, D56388, Z15229, R35229, R35283, AA213898, D31511, T28384, M62026, R50953, N75361, T88776, W67355, W01805, D20515, AA213819) and occur all along the gene region in conjuction with exon positions as predicted by Xgrail, and confirmed by the cDNA sequence comparison with genomic.

This region also had database homology with the mouse Rab8-interacting protein (Genbank accession number U50595; see figure 24) and the exons are identical with the exception of exon 5. The Rab8 gene uses exon 5a whereas the $\beta$-lymphocyte serine/threonine kinase gene uses exon 5 b . The gene region for the Rab8 and $\beta$ lymphocyte serine/threonine kinase also hits several mouse and rat ESTs at the begining and at the end of this gene (Genbank accession numbers AA168218, AA049922, H34387, W76863, W14310, W67045, and AA204362), as well as multiple repeated elements. This gene region contains one TAR1, eight ALU, six SVA, two Ll and three MIR repeats, with many of these occurring as full length repeats. An interesting feature of repeat sequences is that SVA sequences always occur in the same position as ALUs, but in the opposite orientation.

Simple sequence repeats (see table 7) are not very prevalent in this area of the genome, although the few that are, tend to be large. There are two cases of extensive poly $C$ regions, each over 100 bp in length, positioned at 6630-6772 and

## Splice Junctions-Rab8 and $\beta$ lymphocyte serine/threonine kinase (GC kinase)

| Left <br> Exon Left splice junction |  | Right splice iunction | Right Exon |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 | CGTCTACAAGgtgcgacggg | cgccctgcagGCCCGCGACA | 02 |
| 02 | CTAGACCCAGgtgagggcec | tgcttcccagGGgaccacat | 03 |
| 03 | GCTACCTCAGgtgaggctgc | ttgtccctagGAATGACCGC | 04 |
| 04 | ATTTACCATGgtgaggccag | ttcctttcagCCACTGGGCC | 05a |
| 05a | GGCACTGAAGgtagctgggc | ttgtcgecagGGGCTCCACC | 05b |
| 05b | AgACATCAAGgtaggcacct | attcccacagGgagccaicc | 06 |
| 06 | GTCAAACTGGgtcagtacce | ctccccacagCTGACTTTGG | 07 |
| 07 | CTCCCTACTGgtgaggctgc | ccacctctagGATGGCTCCC | 08 |
| 08 | ACCCCATGAGgtcagcccaa | ccctccacagGGCCCTGATG | 09 |
| 09 | AGACTCGCTGgtaagggeca | tgccttctagGACCCAGAAT | 10 |
| 10 | GCTCCTGCAGgtgggaggca | cactttccagCACCCGTTCA | 11 |
| 11 | TGAGCTGGAGgtaggtgagg | ttttccttagACCTATGACA | 12 |
| 12 | GAGATCCAGTgtgagtctgc | ggccceccagTTCACCAGGT | 13 |
| 13 | GAATGAGCCGgtgagtgggc | tttcccccagTGGGAGGAAG | 14 |
| 14 | AGTTGAGTGGgtaagtgagg | cactgcceagGAGCCTGCTG | 15 |
| 15 | TGGAGGAAAGgtgagggatg | cctcccacagGAGTCTGACT | 16 |
| 16 | AAAATTCCAGgtgccacaca | ctcctaccagGAGCTGGACT | 17 |
| 17 | AGCGGGCCCCgttcctaggg | gtgcctgcagGAACCCTGCC | 18 |
| 18 | GGATCCTGAGgtaagagggt | ctcccagaggTCATCCTGCC | 19 |
| 19 | CAAGGTGCATgtaagtgttt | tcctctgcagATGGGCGCCT | 20 |
| 20 | GTTACTCGGGgtaggtgggg | aaactggcagACCAGTTCCT | 21 |
| 21 | TCACTCTCAGgtacaaggtg | gtttctgcagGGAAATCCAC | 22 |
| 22 | TCACTCTCAGgtacaaggtg | gtttctgcagGGAAATCCAC | 23 |
| 23 | TCATCCCCAGgtcagggatc | accectacagGCGCTTTGCT | 24 |
| 24 | GCTGCTTGCAgtgtcgtgtg | tggggggcagCGCAGGCCCA | 25 |
| 25 | TCCCCTGCCAgtgcggaacc | gggtgccaccTTCCTGCTGG | 26 |
| 26 | GGTATGAGCCgggtaccgag | - | 27 |
| 27 |  | ctgcctgcagAGGGGATCCC | 28 |
| 28 | AGCTTTGAACgtgagtgccc | ccetgtgcagGCTGTGTGAG | 29 |
| 29 | GAGACTGTGGgtgagtgagc | ceccccatagTGTGCCTGCA | 30 |
| 30 | TACCAATGAGgtagtggggc | gtcttcccagGTGACCCAGG | 31 |
| 31 | GGGCCCACAGgtaggcggtg | tctectccagAGACATCATC | 32 |
| 32 | CACCTACTAAgagcagcggg |  |  |

Table 6: Splice junctions for the exons of the mouse Rab8 and the human $\beta$ lymphocyte serine/threonine kinase (GC kinase) genes from human chromosome 22 q 13 .

## Rab8 and $\beta$ lymphocyte serine/threonine kinase (GC kinase) - Simple Sequence Repeats

| position | Repeat |
| :--- | :--- |
| $705-811$ | poly GC region |
| $3574-3765$ | poly GC region |
| $3621-3734$ | poly GC region |
| $6630-6772$ | $\mathrm{C}_{125}$ |
| $8736-8841$ | poly CT region |
| $9825-9840$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{15}$ |
| $10119-10144$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{25}$ |
| $12742-12777$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{19}$ |
| $15660-15790$ | $\mathrm{C}_{104}$ |
| $15791-15807$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{14}$ |
| $15908-15934$ | $\left(\mathrm{CT}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{7} \mathrm{G}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{3} \mathrm{GT}_{3} \mathrm{G}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ |
| $20024-20056$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{15}(\mathrm{GA})_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{6}(\mathrm{TA})_{5}$ |
| $20159-20170$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{12}$ |

Table 7: Simple sequence repeats present in the mouse Rab8 and human $\beta$ lymphocyte serine/threonine kinase (GC kinase) gene from human chromosome 22q11 as reported by Xgrail.

15660-15790 in this 20,448 bp $\beta$-lymphocyte serine/threonine (GC kinase) gene region. There also is a poly GC region at $3574-3765$, which is highlighted by Xgrail as a CpG island and may represent a possible promoter element.

## 2. ZFM1 and isoforms

The gene region for zinc finger motif-1, ZFM1 isoform B3, and ZFM isoform B4 encompasses 14 kb of genomic sequence, determined by sequence scanning. Comparison of the genomic sequence with the known cDNA sequence (Genbank accession numbers D26120, L49345, and L49380, respectively; the ZFM1 cDNA and translation is shown in figure 25) revealed that the genes all share exons 2-9, but the presence of exons 1 and $10-14$ is variable in different isoforms depending on the splicing pattern. The ZFM1 gene contains all 14 exons and encodes for a protein of 624 amino acids. The $5^{\prime}$ untranslated region is 382 nucleotides and the $3^{\prime}$ untranslated region is 848 nucleotides long, flanked by the polyadenylation signal at the end of the $3^{\prime}$ untranslated region. The genomic organization of the ZFM1 gene region is detailed in Table 8. Each of the intron/exon boundaries (for both the conserved and the alternate splicing exons) conform to the consensus splice juctions as seen in Table 9.

The output from Xgrail and powblast for this $26,040 \mathrm{bp}$ region is displayed in figure 26 along with the splicing patterns of the different isoforms of the zinc finger DNA binding motif. Xgrail perfectly predicted the highly conserved exons 3-9, but failed to predict the exons involved in alternate splicing: 1,2,10 and 14. Xgrail did predict exons 12 and 13 , however, the quality rating is good or marginal instead of excellent as seen with exons 3-9. Although exons 1 and 2 were not predicted by Xgrail, powblast reveals convincing homology to the cDNAs and, in the case of exon 2, also to ESTs. Exon 2 has homology with two mouse ESTs (Genbank accession numbers AA170957 and W62122), although no human EST homology is present for this exon. Other exons in the ZFM gene region have numerous human
cgttgctgecgaaatgaagtgcgcgctgcgacacctcccagcccaccgaactccgccgcc
atttcctcgcttggcctaacggetcggccaatcccagcgcgcatcaagaaggactgagge 120
tccgccaatcggaggccgccgatttcgacccttcgectcggcecggcceaatccaggecc
cggccecgccgcceccggcccgcccocgcggtgccctctctcctcccectttgtgcgtct
cgegecgccgcegccegcegcgtgagaggacgggctccgcgcgctccggcagcgcattcg
ggtcccetccccccgggaggcttgcgaaggagaagccgccgcagaggaaaagcaggtgcc
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}M & A & G & A & N & A & T & L & D & F\end{array}$
ggtgcctgtccccgggggcgccatggcgaccggagcgaacgccacgecgttggacttccc
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}S & K & K & R & K & R & S & R & W & N & Q & D & T & M & E & Q & K & T & V & I\end{array}$
aagtaagaagcggaagaggagccgctggaaccaagacacaatggaacagaagacagtgat
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}P & G & M & P & T & V & I & P & P & G & L & T & R & E & Q & E & R & A & Y & I\end{array}$
tccaggaatgcctacagttattccccctggacttactcgagaacaagaaagagcttatat
$V \quad Q \quad L \quad Q \quad I \quad E \quad D \quad L \quad T \quad R \quad K \quad L \quad R \quad T \quad G \quad D \quad L \quad G \quad I \quad P$ agtgcaactgcagatagaagacctgactcgtaaactgcgcacaggggacctgggcatccc
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}P & N & E & D & R & S & P & P & E & P & I & Y & N & S & E & G & K & R\end{array}$ ccctaaccctgaggacaggtccccttcccctgagcccatctacaatagcgaggggaagcg

gettaacacccgagagttccgcaccegcaaaaagctggaagaggagcggcacaacctcat
T E M V A L N P D $\quad \mathrm{F}$ cacagagatggttgcactcaatccggatttcaagccacctgcagattacaaacctccagc
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}T & R & V & S & D & K & V & M & I & P & Q & D & E & Y & P & E & I & N & F & V\end{array}$
aacacgtgtgagtgataaagtcatgattccacaagatgagtacccagaaatcaacettgt exon5 lexon6
$G \quad L \quad I \quad G \quad P \quad R \quad G \quad N \quad T \quad L \quad K \quad N \quad I \quad E \quad K \quad E \quad C \quad N \quad A$ ggggctgctcatcgggcccagagggaacaccctgaagaacatagagaaggagtgcaatgc
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}K & I & M & I & R & G & K & G & S & V & K & E & G & K & V & G & R & K & D & G\end{array}$ caagattatgatccgggggaaagggtctgtgaaagaagggaaggttgggegcaaagatgg
 ccagatgttgccaggagaagatgagccacttcatgccetggttactgccactacaatgga exon61exon7
 gaacgtcaaaaaggcagtggaacagataagaaacatcctgaagcagggtatcgagactcc
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}E & D & Q & N & D & L & R & K & M & Q & L & R & E & L & A & R & L & N & G & T\end{array}$ agaggaccagaatgatctacggaagatgcagcttcgggagttggctcgcttaaatgggac exon 7 lexon 8
 ccttcgggaagacgataacaggatcttaagaccctggcagagctcagggacccgcagcat
 taccaacaccacagtgtgtaccaagtgtggaggggctggccacattgcttcagactgtaa exon8 lexon9
$F \quad Q \quad R \quad D \quad G \quad D \quad P \quad Q \quad S \quad A \quad Q \quad D \quad K \quad A \quad R \quad M \quad D \quad K \quad E \quad Y$ attccaaaggcetggtgatcctcagtcagctcaggataāagcacggatggataaagaatá
 tttgtccetcatggctgaactgggtgaagcacctgtcccagcatctgrgggctccacctc
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}G & P & A & T & T & P & L & A & S & A & P & R & P & A & A & P & A & N & N & P\end{array}$
tgggcctgccaccacacccctggccagcgcacctcgtcctgctgctcccgccaacaaccc exon9 lexon10
$P \quad P \quad P \quad S \quad L \quad M \quad S \quad T \quad T \quad Q \quad S \quad R \quad P \quad P \quad W \quad M \quad N \quad S \quad G \quad P$ acctccaccgtctctcatgtctaccacccagagccgcccaccctggatgaattctggtcc
$S \quad E \quad S \quad W \quad P \quad Y \quad G \quad M \quad H \quad G \quad G \quad G \quad P \quad G \quad G \quad P \quad G \quad G \quad G$ ttcagagagttggccctaccacggcatgcatggaggtggtcctggtgggcccggaggtgg 1560
 cccccacagcttcccacacccattacccagcctgacaggtgggcatggtggacatcccat


```
gcagcacaaccccaatggacccccacccccttggatgcagccaccaccaccaccgatgaa1680exonlolexonll
    Q G P F F P P P G F H
ccagggcccccaccetcctgggcaccatggccctcctccaatggatcagtacc=gggaag
                                    exonll lexon12
    T P V G S G V Y R L H Q G G K G G M M P
tacgcctgtgggctctggggtctatcgcctgcatcaaggaaaaggratgatgccgccacc
    P M G M M P P P P P P P S G Q P P P F P P
acctatgggcatgatgccgccgccgccgccgcctcccagtgggcagcccccaccccctcc
    S G P L P P W Q Q Q Q Q Q D P P P P P P
ctctggtcctcttcccccatggcaacaacagcagcagcagcctccgccaccccctccgcc
                                    exonl2 \exonl3
    S S S S M Allllllllllllllllllllll
cagcagcagtatggcttccagtacccccttgccatggcagcaaaatacgacgactaccac
    T S A G T G S I P P W Q Q Q Q Q A A A A A A
cacgagcgctggcacagggtccatcccgccatggcaacagcagcaggcggctgccgcagc
    S P G A P Q M Q G N N P T T M V V P
ttctccaggagcccctcagatgcaaggcaaccccactatggtgcccctgccccccggggt
exonl3|exonl4
    Q P P L P P G A P P P P P P R S I I E C i i 
ccagccgcctctgccgcctggggccectccccctccgccccgtagcatcgagtgtcttct
    C L L L L L L T Q L P L L P L L P K P
ttgtcttctttctctcctcacccaactccctttgcctctccccaaaccgggccgccagga
    P S P R R R W P E P *
tccctccccgcggcggcgatggcccgagccatgagagtgaggactttccgcgcccattgg
tgacccttccaggcagacagcctcagcaacgcccctggtggacaggatggttcggcaaag
cagcctgagttatttttgtggacggaatcggaacacgctggctccatatcgtgaaatttt
tattaatttttttctttttcctttgttacttctttatcttttcctetcttcagactccgt
ccaaggagatgctctccccggtcttctgctgcaatttaga=tcctttcccttctcrccag
ttctccttcccttaccaaggagaggggagcaaatggttttgggcaagggctetggccatt
catgtcaagctggttgtgggtttttcaaggtgccatagccacccccaaatatgtttgttt
aaagcgtggggttttttaatctctgccacccttgtcaagggagtcttgtaaagttgccga
gggtaggttcatctccaggtttcgggattcccatccgtcctggcgatcctgccagcagtg
ggtgggcagcetgagctccctcgggctcgcetgccagcctggagttcttcetgtgctcct
tgatcaccrgagctgcctcagattccatttggecctctccttcctggaaggcetcctttt
atgttttgttttaatcccaaatgtctgaatgttttgcagtgtgtaggggtttgagcccct
tgttcattctccttcctttttcctcccgcttccctctccatgaagtgattctgttgacaa
taatgtatactgcgcgttctcttcactggtttatctgcagaaatttctctgggctttttt
23

Figure 25: ZFMl cDNA and amino acid translation. Genbank accession number D26120.

\section*{Genome Organization-ZFM1, ZFM1 B3 isoform, ZFM1 B4 isoform and ZFM1 alternate splice products}


Table 8: Genome organization of ZFM1, ZFM1 B3 isoform, ZFM1 B4 isoform and ZFM1 alternate splice products from from human chromosome \(11 \mathrm{ql3}\). Abbreviations: zfm, \(\mathrm{ZFM1}\) cDNA; as, ZFM1 cDNA altemate splice product; altsp, alternate ZFMI cDNA alternate splice product; b3, ZFM B3 isoform; b4, ZFM B4 isoform.

\section*{Splice Junctions-ZFM1, ZFM B3 isoform, ZFM B4 isoform and ZFM alternate splicing product}


Table 9: Splice junctions for the 13 exons in ZFM1, ZFM B3 isoform, ZFM B4 isoform and alternately spiced products of ZFMI.


Strong database hits for ZFM1, ZFM1-B3, ZFM1-B4,SF1-Bo, SF1-HLI,CW17R, CW I7E and CW .



Figure 26: Top panel: Graphical result of Xgrail gene identification software for the ZFM1, ZFM1 B3 isoform, ZFM1 B4 isoform and ZFMI alternate splice products. The paaks present are Xgrail predicted exons with a quadity rating of excellent (green), good (blue), or marginal (red). The light blue lollipops are polyadenylation sites and the purple squares are CpG islands. Yellow and red squares indicate promotors and the vertical orange bars denote simple sequence repeats. Bottom panel: Graphical display of the powblast output using Musk. The black bar at the top of the panel represents the consensus sequence with the grey areas indicaling repeat regions. The lines under the black bar indicate database homology between the consensus sequence and the different genes present in this region. Abbreviations: ZFMI, ZFMI cDNA; ZFM-B3, ZFM1 B3 isoform; ZFM-B4, ZFM1 B4 isoform; ZFM1-AS, ZFM1 cl)NA alternate splice product; ALSP, partial ZFMI cDNA splicing; SF1-Bo, SF1-Bo isoform; SF1-HL1,SF1-HL1 isoform; CW17R, mouse CW 17 full length cDNA; CW, mouse CW 17 alternate splice product; CW 17E, mouse CW 17E alternate splice product.

EST hits, specifically on the 3'end of the gene (exons 13 and 14) and include Genbank accession numbers H91057, H91353, H16927, N48866, N46360, T07756, D56431, R64490, AA219560, R88018, H22296, N57427, N91865, R65994, W80863. H72626, and W01543. As expected, an STS (SHGC-35223, Genbank accession number G29780), and mouse and rat EST homologies (Genbank accession numbers AA170957, W62122, AA152851, U50960, W76938, AA171120, W98751, W47865. and W45996) are present in the exons for the ZFM gene, which also is a mouse CW17 gene homologue (Genbank accession numbers X85802 and Y10815, as discussed below) for testosterone-induced immunosuppression of malaria.

Two other genes are encoded by the same coding region as ZFM1, SF1-Bo and SF1-HLl which are mammalian splicing factor isoforms, as well as a mouse homologue, CW17, a testosterone-induced immunosuppressor for malaria. The genomic organization of the SF1 group of genes is presented in Table 10. This gene family also utilizes alternate splicing and the intron/exon splice junctions from this gene family conform nicely with the consensus splice sequences, as presented in Table 11. Xgrail and powblast information is displayed in figure 26 in conjuction with the ZFM1 family of genes since many of the exons are identical.

This region also contains some repeated elements, most of which are full length, and include 15 ALU repeats ( 9 of 15 are full length), 23 LI repeats ( 10 of 23 are full length), five SVA repeats ( 5 of 5 are full length) and one full length TAR1 repeat. One area rich in EST homologies also is repeat rich, as shown in the powblast output in figure 27. Three of these ESTs (R94779, H66893 and AA203482) contain some unique sequence along with an Ll repeat sequence (figure 28).

Other repeats in this \(26,040 \mathrm{bp}\) region, as listed in table 12, include the simple sequence repeats, several areas of small poly T repeats ( 6 of 16 are greater than 10 bp in length) and one area of significant poly C located at 21129-21269 which is

\section*{Genome Organization-SF1-Bo isoform, SF1-HL1 isoform and mouse CW17 gene alternate splice products}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Exon number & gene product & position si & size of ex & size of intron \\
\hline 01 a & (BO) & 8640-8951 & 311 & 1736 \\
\hline 01b & ( HL 1 ) & 8880-8951 & 71 & 1736 \\
\hline 02a & ( BO , HLL 1.1 & 7r) 10687-10815 & 5128 & 2994 \\
\hline 03 & ( Bo , HL 1.1 & 7r) 13809-13884 & 45 & 3022 \\
\hline 04 & ( Bo , HLL 1 & 7r) 16906-17058 & 8152 & 203 \\
\hline 05 & (Bo, HL1, 1 & 7r) 17261-17350 & - 89 & 355 \\
\hline 06 & (BO, HLI, 1 & 7r) 17705-17888 & 8183 & 88 \\
\hline 07 & (Bo, HL1, 1 & 7r) 17976-18091 & 1115 & 94 \\
\hline 08a & (Bo, HLI) & 18185-18292 & 2107 & 766 \\
\hline 08b & (17r) & 18185-18292 & 2107 & 762 \\
\hline 09a & (B0, HLI) & 19058-19208 & 8150 & 262 \\
\hline 09b & (17r, cw) & 19028-19208 & 8180 & 262 \\
\hline 10a & (BO, HLI, & cw) 19470-19743 & 373 & 320 \\
\hline 10b & (17r) & 19470-19747 & 7277 & 316 \\
\hline 11 & ( \(\mathrm{BO}, \mathrm{HL} 1,1\) & 7r, cw) 20063-20122 & 59 & 113 \\
\hline 12a & (BO, HL1) & 20235-20418 & 8183 & 1286 \\
\hline 12b & (17r) & 20235-20418 & 8183 & 1923 \\
\hline 12c & (cw) & 20235-20414 & 4179 & 1927 \\
\hline 13a & (BO) & 21704-21939 & - 235 & 402 \\
\hline 13b & (HL1) & 21704-22041 & 1337 & \\
\hline 13c & (17e) & 21704-21878 & - 174 & 390 \\
\hline 14 a & (B0) & 22341-22470 & - 129 & --- \\
\hline 14b & (17r,17e, & cw) 22341-23253 & 3912 & --- \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Tejan GC box 8787-8794} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Kosak sequence 8916-8920} \\
\hline ATGst & tart & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{22468-22470 (BO)}} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{TGAstop}} & & & \\
\hline & & 22403-22405 (17 & (17r, cw) & \\
\hline & & 22374022376 & (17e) & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{TAGstops}} & 22039-22041 (1) & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{( HL 1 )}} \\
\hline & & 22374-22376 & & \\
\hline & & 22403-22405 & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{polyA signal} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{23227-23233} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 10: Genome organization of SF1-Bo isoform, SF1-HL1 isoform and mouse CW17 gene alternate splice products from human chromosome 11q13. Abbreviations: Bo, SF1-Bo isoform; HL1, SF1-HLI isoform; 17r, mouse CW17R full length cDNA; 17e, mouse CW17E alternate splice product; cw, mouse CW17 alternate splice product.

\section*{Splice Junctions-SF1-Bo isoform, SF1-HL1 isoform and mouse CW17 gene alternate splice products}


Table 11: Splice junctions for the SF1-Bo isoform, SF1-HLI isoform and mouse CW17 gene alternate splice products.


Figure 27: Powblast output of the ZFM1 gene region from human chromosome 11q13. All three ESTs in this area have significant homology to members of the Ll family of repeats.


Figure 28: Dotter alignment of human ESTs with an L 1 repeat element. Top left: alignment with EST R94779. Top right: alignment with EST H66893. Bottom: alignment with EST AA203482.

\section*{ZFM1, ZFM B3, ZFM B4, SF1-Bo, SF1-HL1, CW17 - Simple Sequence Repeats}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
position & Repeat \\
\(263-295\) & \\
\(398-409\) & \(\mathrm{~A}_{15}(\mathrm{GA})_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{6}(\mathrm{TA})_{5}\) \\
\(2479-2500\) & \(\mathrm{~T}_{12}\) \\
\(3370-3397\) & \(\mathrm{~T}_{27}\) \\
\(3683-3709\) & \(\left(\mathrm{AT}_{6}\right)_{3}\) \\
\(4383-4419\) & \(\mathrm{~T}_{27}\) \\
\(4958-4973\) & \(\mathrm{~A}_{16}\) \\
\(5373-5404\) & \(\left(\mathrm{TAT}_{6}\right)_{2}\) \\
\(8045-8069\) & \(\mathrm{~T}_{10} \mathrm{AT}_{6} \mathrm{AT}_{7}\) \\
\(11268-11288\) & \(\mathrm{~T}_{35}\) \\
\(12328-12360\) & \(\mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{GA}_{9}\) \\
\(14492-14510\) & \(\mathrm{~T}_{17}\) \\
\(20460-20501\) & \(\mathrm{~T}_{11}\) \\
\(20569-20593\) & \(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{GAC}_{3} \mathrm{GC}_{2} \mathrm{G}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{10}\) \\
\(21129-21269\) & \(\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{G}\right)_{2} \mathrm{AC}_{4} \mathrm{G}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3}\) \\
\(25656-25675\) & \(\mathrm{C}_{140}\) \\
& \(\mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{GT}_{7} \mathrm{GT}_{3} \mathrm{GT}_{5}\)
\end{tabular}

Table 12: Simple sequence repeats present in the ZFM1, ZFM B3, ZFM B4, SF1-Bo, SF1HL1 and mouse CW17 genes from human chromosome 22q11 as reported by Xgrail.

140 bp long. Interestingly, none of the simple sequence repeats are found within the conserved exon region (exon 3-9 including intronic regions), but instead occur in noncoding areas of the ZFM gene region.

\section*{3. Muscle glycogen phosphorylase}

The human muscle glycogen phosphorylase (PYGM) gene encompasses a total 16 kb of genomic sequence as determined by sequence scanning and is contained within a \(20,185 \mathrm{bp}\) contig of genomic sequence. A comparison of the genomic sequence with the known cDNA sequence (Genbank accession numbers M32579-M32598, numbered sequentially, with each exon submitted separately) revealed that the gene is composed of 20 exons, and all 20 were identified in the genomic sequence. The PYGM gene encodes for a protein of 847 amino acids with 242 nucleotides composing the 5 ' untranslated region and a 3 ' untranslated region of 260 nucleotides (see figure 30). Table 13 outlines the position and length of the 20 exons and Table 14 demonstrates the correlation of the intron/exon boundaries with consensus splice junctions. The PYGM gene has an ATG start codon preceded by a strong Kosak sequence, and an in-frame UGA stop codon that delineates a coding region for an 841 amino acid protein. A polyadenylation signal downstream marks the end of the \(3^{\prime}\) untranslated region.

Xgrail and Powblast output from the PYGM gene region is displayed in figure 29. All exons were identified in the genomic sequence by powblast and 19 of 20 were accurately predicted by Xgrail. The one exon that was not accurately predicted, exon 13, is only 88 bp in length, and does not conform well to the \(5^{\prime}\) consensus splice juctions sequence.

Powblast detected database homology with many human ESTs on the 3' end of the gene, including the following: F16457, F17281, F16528, F17763, F15633, F16859, AA197179, F18617, AA176345, F18656, F16783, F19679, AA193012, AA180319, C03553, W69291, AA195955, AA086388, AA086351, W30341, F01176 and F01226. These ESTs originated from several different tissue types including skeletal muscle,
aagtgagctcacctctccctcccctctcctctcctcccctrgccctcaaaatagctccct ..... 60
gagctgccaggccaagaccctcccattgcaggggaggcggcgtgctgcccagagccaggc ..... 120
tttaaatcccettgaggctgggagctccactccttggctgaggcagtgcttgaggccgcc ..... 180
M S R P L
gtccectctaccatcagtccagtccggcecgccctcctgcagccatgtcocggccecrgt ..... 240cagaccaagagaaaagaaagcaaatcagtgtgcgtggcc=ggccggcgะggagaacg=ga300ctgagctgaaaaagaacttcaaccggcacctgcatttcacactcgtaaaggaccgcaatg360
tggccaccccacgagactactactttgctctggcccataccgtgcgcgaccacctcgtgg420
exon1 l exon2
ggcggtggatccgcacgcagcagcactactatgagaaggaccecaaggtgctgctgggga480
\(\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}R & I & Y & Y & L & S & L & E & F & Y & M & G & R & T & L & Q & N & T & M & V\end{array}\)
aggatctactacctgtctttagagttctatatgggacggacgctacagaacaccatggtg ..... 540
exon2 lexon3\(\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}N & L & A & L & E & N & A & C & D & E & A & T & Y & Q & L & G & L & D & M & E\end{array}\)aacctggccttagagaatgcctgtgacgaggccacctaccagctgggcctggacatggaggagctggaggaaattgaggaggatgcggggctgggcaacgggggcctgggccggctggca600660
exon3 lexon4
A C F L D S M A T L G L A A Y G Y G I R gcctgcttecttgactccatggcaacactgggcctggctgcctatggctacgggattcgc ..... 720
exon4 iexon5Y E F G I \(F\) F \(N \quad Q \quad K \quad I \quad S \quad G \quad G \quad W \quad Q \quad M \quad E \quad E \quad A \quad D\)
tatgagtttgggatttttaaccagaagatctccgggggctggcagatggaggaggccgat ..... 780
gactggcttcgctacggcaacccctgggagaaggcccggcccgagttcacgctacctgeg ..... 840

cacttctacggccatgtggagcacaccagccagggtgccaagtgggtggacacacaggtg ..... 900
gtactggccatgccctacgataccccggtgcctggctatcgcaacaacgttgtcaacacc ..... 960
exon6 exon7
\(M \quad R \quad L \quad W \quad A \quad K \quad A \quad D \quad N \quad D \quad F \quad N \quad L \quad K \quad D \quad F \quad N \quad V \quad G\) atgcgcctctggtctgccaaggctcccaatgacttcaacctcaaggacttcaatgtcggt1020G \(Y\) I \(Q \quad A \quad V \quad L \quad D \quad R \quad N \quad L \quad A \quad E \quad N \quad I \quad S \quad R \quad V \quad L \quad Y\)ggctacarccaggctgtgtrggaccgaaacctggcggagaacatctcecgegecctgtac1030
exon7lexon8\(\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{P} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{V}\end{array}\)cccaatgataatttcttcgaagggaaggagctgcggctgaagcaggagtatttcgtggeg1140
\(\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}A & A & T & L & Q & D & I & I & R & R & F & K & S & S & K & F & G & C & R & D\end{array}\) gctgccaccctccaggacatcarccgtcgettcaagecttccaagttcggctgccgrgat ..... 1200
exon8 lexon9\(\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}P & V & R & T & N & F & D & A & F & P & D & K & V & A & I & Q & L & N & D & T\end{array}\)
cccgtgcgcacgaacttcgatgccttcccagataaggtggccatccagctcaatgacacc ..... \(i 260\)
H \(\mathrm{F} \quad \mathrm{S}\) L A I P E L M R I L V D L E K M D
cacccctccctggccatccccgagctgatgaggatcetggtggacctggaacggatggac ..... 1320
exon9lexonlo

tgggacaagcgigggatgtgacagtgaggacctgtgcctacaccaaccacacggtgctgc ..... 1380
P E A L E R W F V H L L E T L L P R H L ccgaggecetggagcgctggccggtgcacctctrggagacgetgctgccgcggcacc=cc ..... 1440Q I I \(\quad\) I E I N \(Q\) R \(\quad F \quad L \quad N \quad R \quad V \quad A \quad A \quad A \quad F \quad P \quad G\)agatcatctacgagaこcaaccagcgcttcctcaaccgggtggeggccgcattcccagggg1500
\(\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{D} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{N}\end{array}\)
acgtagaccggctgcggcgcatgtcgctggtggaggagggcgcagtgaagcgcatcaaca ..... 1560\(\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{M} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{H} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{H} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{H} \\ \mathrm{S}\end{array}\)tggcacacctgtgcatcgcggggtcgcacgccgtcaacggtgtggcecgcatccactcgg1620exonll|exonl2

agatcctcaagaagaccatctecaagacttctatgagctggagcctcataagttccaga 1580

ataagaccaacggcatcacccteggcgctggctggttctgtgtaaccccgggctggcag 1740
    exon12 Iexon 13
\(E \quad V \quad I \quad A \quad E \quad R \quad I \quad G \quad E \quad D \quad F \quad I \quad S \quad D \quad L \quad D \quad Q \quad L \quad R \quad K\)
aggtcattgctgagcgcatcggggaggacttcatctctgacctggaccagctgcgcaaac1800exon13 I exon 14

tgctctcctttgtggatgatgaagctttcattcgggatgtggccaaagrgaagcaggaaa 1860

acaagttgaagtttgctgcctacctagagagggaatacaaagtccacatcaaccccaact 1920

cactcttcgacatccaggtgaagcggattcacgaatataaacgacagctcctcaactgcc 1980
                                    exon14 lexon 15

tccatgtcatcaccctgtacaaccgcarcaagagggagcccaataagttttttgtgcctc 2040
                                    exonl5 lexon16

ggactgtgatgattggagggaaggctgcacctgggtaccacatggccaagatgatcatca 2100
\(R \quad L \quad V \quad T \quad A \quad I \quad G \quad D \quad V \quad V \quad N \quad H \quad D \quad P \quad A \quad V \quad G \quad D \quad R \quad L\)
gactcgtcacagccatcggggatgtggtcaaccatgacccggcagtgggtgaccgcctcc 2160

gtgtcatcttcetggagaactaccgagtctcactggccgagaaagtgatcceagctgcag 2220
\(\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}\text { D L S E Q I S T A G T E A S G T G N M K } & \\ \text { acctctetgagcagatctccactgcgggcactgaagcctcaggcaccggcaacatgaagt } & 2280\end{array}\)

tcatgctcaacggggctctgaccattggcaccatggacggggccaatgtggagatggcag 2340

aagaggcgggagaggaaaacttcttcatctttggcatgcgggtggaggatgtggataagc 2400
\(L \quad D \quad Q \quad R \quad G \quad Y \quad N \quad A \quad Q \quad E \quad Y \quad Y \quad D \quad R \quad I \quad P \quad E \quad L \quad R \quad Q\)
ttgaccaaagagggeacaatgcccaggagtactacgatcgcattcctgagcttcggcagg 2460
\(V \quad I \quad E \quad Q \quad L \quad S \quad S \quad G \quad F \quad F \quad S \quad P \quad K \quad Q \quad P \quad D \quad L \quad F \quad K \quad D\)
=cattgagcagctgagcagtggcttcttctcccccaaacaacccgacctgttcaaggaca 2520
                    exon18। exon19

                                    exonl9। exon20
ttgecaatatgctcatgcaccatgaccggtttaaagtcttcgcagattatgaagactaca2580

ttaaatgccaggagaaagtcagcgcctggtacaagaacccaagagagtggacgcggatgg
\(V \quad I \quad R \quad N \quad I \quad A \quad T \quad S \quad G \quad K \quad F \quad S \quad S \quad D \quad K \quad T \quad I \quad A \quad Q \quad Y\)
tgatccggaacatagccacttctggcaagttctccagtgaccgcaccattgcccagtatg 2700

cccgggagatctggggtgtggagccttcccgccagcgcctgccagccccggaegaggeca
I *
tctgagtctcagaccagaccccaaaccatcccttgagtctgtcacactctcttgggccag 2820
ccccacacctcatgcagagggtggggtactggagttagatctctaccaccetcctggaac 2880
cctcattaaccccactcrcaatgtccagtgtccagcgtgactaaggacacgggcceccte 2940
cogtgcctggctcceggtaccectcctatttatggggtctgaccaáctgcaccactccct 3000
aataaattcatctccattgggaaa
3024

Figure 29: Human muscle glycogen phosphorylase cDNA and amino acid translation.
Genbank accession numbers M32579-M32598.

\section*{Genome Organization - Human muscle glycogen phosphorylase (PYGM) gene and homologues}
\begin{tabular}{llcl}
\hline Exon number & position & size of exon & size of intron \\
\hline & & & \\
01 & \(2319-2860\) & 541 & 1026 \\
02 & \(3886-3989\) & 103 & 87 \\
03 & \(4076-4155\) & 79 & 88 \\
04 & \(4243-4346\) & 103 & 334 \\
05 & \(4680-4816\) & 136 & 2217 \\
06 & \(7033-7144\) & 111 & 91 \\
07 & \(7235-7318\) & 83 & 445 \\
08 & \(7763-7895\) & 132 & 2357 \\
09 & \(10252-10348\) & 96 & 228 \\
10 & \(10576-10720\) & 144 & 199 \\
11 & \(10919-11082\) & 163 & 331 \\
12 & \(11413-11528\) & 115 & 582 \\
13 & \(12110-12198\) & 88 & 327 \\
14 & \(12525-12676\) & 151 & 268 \\
15 & \(12944-13002\) & 58 & 128 \\
16 & \(13130-13266\) & 130 & 747 \\
17 & \(14013-14226\) & 213 & 3391 \\
18 & \(17617-17755\) & 138 & 235 \\
19 & \(17990-18058\) & 68 & 111 \\
20 & \(18169-18323\) & 154 & --- \\
& & & \\
ATGstart & \(2618-2620\) & & \\
Kosak & \(2613-2617\) & & \\
UGAstop & \(18316-18318\) & &
\end{tabular}

Table 13: Genome organization of the human muscle glycogen phosphorylase (PYGM) gene region from human chromosome 11q13. The gene contains 20 exons for a total of 2.8 kb , which span roughly 16 kb of genomic sequence. The start codon is located within exon 1, preceded by a strong Kosak sequence, and the stop codon at the end of exon 20.

\title{
Splice Junctions-Human muscle glycogen phosphorylase (PYGM) gene and homologues
}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Left \\
Exon Left splice junction
\end{tabular} & Right splice junction & Right Exon \\
\hline 01 GGACCCCAAGgtgctgctgg & ctctgggcagAGGATCTACT & 02 \\
\hline 02 CACCTACCAGgtgtgtgtgg & actcctccagCTGGGCCTGG & 03 \\
\hline 03 CGGCTGGCAGgtaagaagac & acacccccagCCTGCTTTCT & 04 \\
\hline 04 GGGCTGGCAGgtgagcagcc & ttgggtgcagATGGAGGAGG & 05 \\
\hline 05 GGACACACAGgtgaggatga & ccccccgcagGTGGTACTGG & 06 \\
\hline 06 CTCAAGGACTgtgagttcat & tgtccctcagTCAATGTCAG & 07 \\
\hline 07 CAATGATAATgtgcgtcacc & ctgtccccagTTCTTCGAAG & 08 \\
\hline 08 CCCAGATAAGgtaccatgcg & cctaccccagGTGGCCATCC & 09 \\
\hline 09 TGGGCTTCAGggccectctg & cgtgtgccagGCGTGGGATG & 10 \\
\hline 10 GATCAACCAGcgcttcctca & ctgggcacagCGGGTGGCGG & 11 \\
\hline 11 AGAAGACCATgtgagccecg & catcctgcagCTTCAAAGAC & 12 \\
\hline 12 CATTGCTGAGgtgagaggcc & aaggccatctTCCCATGGCG & 13 \\
\hline 13 GAGATGCAATgtgagacagc & ctgcctccagGAAAACAAGT & 14 \\
\hline 14 CTGTACAAGGgtgagtggca & ttctccacagGCATCAAGAG & 15 \\
\hline 15 TGGAGGGAAGgtgagaagcc & gtcccctcagGctacacctg & 16 \\
\hline 16 GCCGAGAAAGgtgggtgctg & caccccacagTGATCCCAGC & 17 \\
\hline 17 GGTGGAGGATgtggataagc & gtcctggcagGTACAATGCC & 18 \\
\hline 18 ACCATGACCGgtgagctggt & tcccctccagGTTTAAAGTC & 19 \\
\hline 19 CTTGTACAAGgtgaggggtc & agtgaaccagAGCTTCCCTT & 20 \\
\hline consensus: CAGgtagagt & tncagG & \\
\hline A \(\quad\) t & c & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 14: Splice junctions for human glycogen phosphorylase (PYGM) gene from human chromosome 11q13.


Figure 30: Top panel: Graphical result of Xgrail gene identification software for the Human muscle glycogen phosphorylase (PYGM) gene region from human chromosome 11q13. The peaks present are Xgrail predicted exons with a quality rating of excellent (green), good (blue) or marginal (red). The light blue lollipops are predicted polyadenylation signals and the purple squares are CpG islands. Yellow and red squares indicate promotors and the vertical orange bars denote simple sequence repeats. Bottom panel: Graphical display of the powblast output using Musk. The black bar at the top represents the consensus sequence with the grey areas indicating repeat regions. The lines under the black bar indicate homology between the consensus sequence and an entry in the public database.
pregnant uterus, and adult heart (reflecting the specialized function of the gene) and all have homology with the PYGM gene at exons 19 and 20. This region also contains sequences with database homology to the rat (Genbank accession numbers L18752 and L10669), cow (S82859) and rabbit (D00040 and X04265) homologues of the human PYGM gene, indicating a strong conservation of sequences of this gene among various species.

The PYGM gene region contains only a few repeat elements, however, those present are typically full length, with eight of ten ALU repeats full length, four of six SVA repeats full length being observed. Additionally, there is one region of partial MIR repeat homology. However, simple sequence repeats (see table 15) are quite prevalent in this region, and a particularly interesting simple sequence repeat occurs in the intron between exons 8 and 9. The first part of this region is composed entirely of a poly CT repeat, stretching from 8014-8996. Between 9001-10039, the repeat changes to a poly GA repeat, with one area of poly \(G\) stretching for 38 bases. One small area within this intronic region was marked by Xgrail as a CpG island, and this region encompasses the transition area between the poly CT repeat and the poly GA repeat.

\section*{4. Putative genes}

\section*{a. MEN-1 candidate gene}

The putative MEN-1 gene region is contained within a 14,837 nucleotide long contig that also contains a portion of the \(\beta\) lymphocyte serine/threonine ( GC kinase)/Rab8 gene region of human chromosome llql3. This region contains the putative gene candidate whose deletion/disruption is paramount in the tumorigenesis of MEN-1. This putative MEN-1 gene spans 9 kb of genomic sequence and encodes a 2.8 kb transcript, resulting in a predicted protein of 610 amino acids which has no homology to previously known proteins. The cDNA for this putative gene has been isolated and sequenced in the lab of Dr. Francis Collins and is reported in figure 31 with intron/exon boundaries indicated.

\section*{Human muscle glycogen phosphorylase (PYGM) gene region - Simple Sequence Repeats}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline position & Repeat \\
\hline 303-332 & \(\mathrm{T}_{4} \mathrm{AT}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{T}_{3} \mathrm{~A}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{7} \mathrm{AT}_{6}\) \\
\hline 1200-1216 & \(\mathrm{A}_{17}\) \\
\hline 1466-1478 & \(\mathrm{T}_{11} \mathrm{CT}\) \\
\hline 5607-5625 & \(\mathrm{A}_{10} \mathrm{~T}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{6}\) \\
\hline 8014-8214 & poly CT \\
\hline 8374-8700 & poly CT \\
\hline 8738-8755 & \(\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{TC}_{3} \mathrm{~T}\) \\
\hline 8874-8996 & poly CT \\
\hline 9001-9157 & \((\mathrm{CT})_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}(\mathrm{CT}){ }_{9} \mathrm{C}_{133}\) \\
\hline 9160-9515 & poly GA \\
\hline 9509-9699 & poly GA \\
\hline 9707-9996 & poly GA \\
\hline 10001-10039 & \(\mathrm{G}_{38}\) \\
\hline 10816-10919 & poly GT \\
\hline 14750-14885 & poly GA \\
\hline 15001-15124 & \(\mathrm{C}_{123}\) \\
\hline 15165-15181 & \(\mathrm{A}_{16}\) \\
\hline 16917-16940 & \(\mathrm{T}_{15}\) \\
\hline 20073-20103 & \(\mathrm{C}_{13}\) \\
\hline 20129-20152 & \(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{G}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{G}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{G}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 15: Simple sequence repeats present in the human muscle glycogen phosphorylase (PYGM) gene region from human chromosome 11q13 as reported by Xgrail.
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Figure 31: cDNA seqeunce of the MEN-1 gene. Exons are marked with arrow

The putative MEN-1 gene was predicted by a comparison of Xgrail and powblast results, which shows a correlation between the ten Xgrail predicted exons (Xgrail accurately predicted all but exon 1) and the human EST database homologies as seen in figure 32. The position and genomic organization of the ten exons of the MEN-1 gene are listed in table 16, and the adherence to the consensus intron/exon splice sequence is detailed in table 17. The putative MEN-1 gene does not contain the typical TATAA promoter, but instead has a strong CpG island in the 5 ' untranslated region, indicating that this gene most likely is a ubiquitously expressed "housekeeping" gene. The ubiquitous expression of the MEN-1 gene also is cofirmed by the observance of significant homologies with human ESTs (Genbank accession numbers AA211377, AA209475, AA211877, AA157873, H16350, H14300, H38333, H14313, R32679, R98414, H91638, N90077, AA147612, W38527, N36190, 24156, H97384, AA147620, AA157374, H41351, H91639, N51774, H38296, H14281, H14277, and R28488) originating from a variety of tissue sources including pancreas, adrenal medulla. thyroid, adrenal cortex, testis, thymus, small intestine, stomach, spleen, prostate, ovary, colon and leukocyte. The translation start methionine codon is located within exon 2, with the first exon being untranslated. A stop codon occurs in frame at position 1074810750 followed by a polyadenylation signal at 11549-11554 denoting the end of the \(3^{\prime}\) untranslated region. Xgrail did predict one additional exon in this region with a good quality rating located at position \(136-226\), however, powblast did not report any significant database homology in this area.

Several mouse ESTs (Genbank accession numbers AA105533, AA031132, AA000099, AA048913, W89897, W36791, AA168218, W76863, AA049922, and W14310) and one rat EST (H34387) also have homology in this region and several repeat elements also are observed in the last 10 kb of the sequence. The region upstream of the predicted MEN-1 gene also is quite rich is repeat elements, with seven ALU


Figure 32: Top panel: Graphical result of Xgrail gene identification software for the Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia, type 1 gene region from human chromosome 11 113. The peaks present are Xgrail predicted exons with a quality rating of excellent (green), good (blue) or marginal (red). The light blue lollipops are polyadenylation signals and the purple squares are CpG islands. Yellow and red squares indicate promotors and the vertical orange bars denote simple sequence repeats. Bottom panel: Graphical display of the powblast output using Musk. The black bar at the top of the panel represents the consensus sequence with the grey areas indicating repeat regions. The lines under the black bar indicate database homology between the consensus sequence and entries in the public database.

\section*{Genome Organization - Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia, type 1 candidate gene}
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\hline Exon number & position & size of exon & size of intron \\
\hline & & & \\
01 (UTR) & \(4370-4457\) & 87 & 497 \\
02 & \(4954-5421\) & 467 & 1565 \\
03 & \(6986-7194\) & 208 & 211 \\
04 & \(7405-7533\) & 128 & 333 \\
05 & \(7866-7906\) & 40 & 81 \\
06 & \(7987-8074\) & 87 & 640 \\
07 & \(8714-8850\) & 136 & 462 \\
08 & \(9312-9447\) & 135 & 438 \\
09 & \(9885-10049\) & 164 & 218 \\
10 & \(10267-10750\) & 483 & \\
ATGstart & \(4977-4979\) & & \\
UGAstop & \(10748-10750\) & & \\
polyA & \(11549-11554\) & &
\end{tabular}

Table 16: Genome organization of the MEN-1 candidate gene region from human chromosome 11q13. The candidate gene contains 10 exons (the first being untranslated) for a total of 2.8 kb , which span roughly 9 kb of genomic sequence. The start codon is located within exon 2 , the stop codon at the end of exon 10 and the polyadenylation signal 799 nucleotides downstream of the stop codon.

\section*{Splice Junctions-Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia, type 1 candidate gene}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Left} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Right Exon} \\
\hline Exon Le & Left splice junction & Right splice junction & \\
\hline 01 CG & CGGGGCAGGGtgggcccaga & tgcettgcagGCCGCCGCCC & 02 \\
\hline 02 TT & TTCATCACAGgttggagcce & ccttccacagGCACCAAATT & 03 \\
\hline 03 GG & GGCTGAGCGGgtattgttcc & cccccctaagAGCTGGCTGT & 04 \\
\hline 04 GC & GCTGCAGCAGgtgagggetg & cggctcctagAAGCTGCTCT & 05 \\
\hline 05 AT & ATCTGGAAAGgtcagtagag & cctttcctagGTACCCCATG & 06 \\
\hline 06 CT & CTACCACAAGgtgggggcat & ctccatccagGGCATTGCCT & 07 \\
\hline 07 TCA & TCATCCAGGAgtgaggatcc & gctctcacagCTACAACTAC & 08 \\
\hline 08 GC & GCAAAGCCAGgtgaaaggct & cctcgtccagGGCACCCAGA & 09 \\
\hline 09 TG & TGAGGGACAGgtgagggaca & actggcccagGTGCGGCAGA & 10 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{consensus:} & 1s: CAGgtagat & tncagG & \\
\hline & A t & c & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 17: Splice junctions for the Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia, type I candidate gene from human chromosome 11q13.
(four with SVA in same position in reverse direction), two MER repeats and one full length TAR1. The repeat regions at 2 kb and \(5.6-6 \mathrm{~kb}\) are full length ALU repeats and the full length TAR1 repeat is located after the MEN-1 gene at 11.5 kb (prior to the exons for the \(\beta\) lymphocyte serine/threonine (GC kinase)/Rab8 genes.

Another unique feature of this genomic region is the relative lack of simple sequence repeats (see table 18). There only are three regions of poly T tracts, but all are less than 20 nucleotides ( 20,14 and 7 nucleotides), and there is one region which contains a poly A tract of 27 nucleotides.

\section*{b. Neurexin II alpha}

Detailed analysis of a \(60,615 \mathrm{bp}\) region of b 137 c 7 revealed the presence of a putative human gene with significant homology to the first 15 exons of the rat neurexin II alpha gene (Genbank accession number M96376) and slight homology (homology to two exons or fewer) to the rat neurexin I alpha cDNA (Genbank accession number M96374), the rat neurexin III alpha cDNA (Genbank accession number L14851), Bos taurus neurexin I alpha (Genbank accession number L14855) and the mouse alpha adaptin cDNA (Genbank accession number X14971). Thirteen of the first 15 exons of the rat neurexin \(\Pi\) alpha gene are present in this gene region and encompass a 58 kb region as determined by sequence scanning. Two of the 15 exons, exons 2 and 11 , are presumed to be located in sequencing gaps present in this region. An interesting feature of this gene region is the varying size of the introns, ranging from 218 bp to 9848 bp in length. A detailed analysis of the rat neurexin II alpha genome organization including the length of introns and exons is presented in table 19. The remaining exons of the rat neurexin II alpha gene are not found in bl37c7 as determined by Crossmatch. since this \(60,651 \mathrm{bp}\) region is located at one end of the bl37c7 genomic clone insert. However, the intron/exon boundaries are quite conserved for the 13 exons present in the genomic sequence (see table 20) and indicate that a human homologue for this gene may be located

\title{
Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia, type 1 candidate gene - Simple Sequence Repeats
}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\hline & \\
position & Repeat \\
\(772-805\) & \(\mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{CA}_{4} \mathrm{CA}_{5} \mathrm{GA}_{10}\) \\
\(1311-1340\) & \(\mathrm{~T}_{4} \mathrm{AT}_{6} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{13} \mathrm{AT}_{3}\) \\
\(1784-1809\) & \(\mathrm{~T}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{TA}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{16}\) \\
\(2986-2996\) & \(\mathrm{~T}_{7}\) \\
\(3709-3754\) & \(\mathrm{~A}_{27}(\mathrm{TA})_{9}(\mathrm{TG})_{13}\) \\
\(5803-5823\) & \(\mathrm{~T}_{20}\) \\
\(6118-6129\) & \(\mathrm{~T}_{14}\) \\
\(11782-11973\) & poly GC region \(^{11829-11942}\)
\end{tabular}

Table 18: Simple sequence repeats present in the Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia, type 1 gene region from human chromosome 11 q 13 as reported by Xgrail.

\section*{Genome Organization - rat neurexin II alpha gene region}
\begin{tabular}{llcl}
\hline Exon number & position & size of exon & size of intron \\
\hline & & & \\
01 & \(2522-3398\) & 876 & 8857 (gap) \\
02 & (absent--in & \\
03 & \(12255-12287\) & 3 & \\
04 & \(16987-17058\) & 32 & 4700 \\
05 & \(21516-21817\) & 71 & 4458 \\
06 & \(30484-30528\) & 401 & 8667 \\
07 & \(40376-40538\) & 162 & 9848 \\
08 & \(41293-41731\) & 438 & 755 \\
09 & \(49353-49739\) & 386 & 7622 \\
10 & \(49957-50160\) & 203 & 218 \\
11 & (absent--in sequencing gap) & 6743 (gap) \\
12 & \(56903-57031\) & 128 & 399 \\
13 & \(57430-57812\) & 382 & 617 \\
14 & \(58429-58619\) & 190 & 1541 \\
15 & \(60160-60333\) & 173 & ---
\end{tabular}

Table 19: Genome organization of the rat neurexin \(\Pi\) alpha gene region from human chromosome 11q13. This region has homology to the first 15 exons of the rat neurexin II alpha gene for a total of 3.4 kb , which span roughly 58 kb of genomic sequence and indicates a putative human homologue for this gene family based on sequence similarity.

\section*{Splice Junctions-Rat neurexin II alpha gene region}


Table 20: Splice junctions for rat neurexin II alpha gene from human chromosome 11q13, which indicates a putative human homologue for this gene family by sequence similarity.
distal to this region of chromosome 11. The rat neurexin II alpha gene has an ATG start codon at position 2669-2671 and an SPI binding site (Tejan GC box) upstream in the 147 bp 5 ' untranslated region at position 2592-2603 that may represent a promoter enhancer for this gene.

Output from Xgrail and powblast for this region is displayed in figure 33. Xgrail accurately predicted 9 of the 13 exons present in the genomic sequence data, with exons 3,4 , and 15 not predicted (exons 2 and 11 presumably are located in sequencing gaps). Each of the Xgrail predicted exons correlates well with the powblast database homology for the rat neurexin II alpha gene as seen in figure 33. This region of the genome also has database homology with two human ESTs, N220878 and R85242, and the 5' untranslated region of the mouse alpha adaptin cDNA, X14971, however none of the exons for this gene are present. The database homology of the rat neurexin II alpha gene discussed above, with the rat neurexin I alpha (M96374), the Bos taurus neurexin III alpha (L14855), and the rat neurexin III alpha (L148510) cDNA sequences is located around 40 kb . This indicates cross-species conservation of gene sequence within the neurexin gene family, and alludes to the possiblity of a human neurexin II alpha gene in this region.

To investigate this possibility, the Xgrail output was examined for other exons located within the genomic rat neurexin II alpha gene region, but which were not present in the rat neurexin II alpha gene. This inquiry revealed five exons (three excellent, one good and one marginal) which could potentially be part of a human neurexin II alpha gene. The genomic organization of a putative human neurexin II alpha gene is listed in table 21 and includes both the rat exons and the additional five exons predicted by Xgrail. These exons all have the conserved intron/exon boundaries (see table 22) and are included in the pictoral representation of the putative human neurexin gene found in figure 33. These exons all encode in-frame amino acids and in combination with the exons from the rat neurexin \(\Pi\) alpha gene, a human putative gene model was constructed


Figure 33: Top panel: Graphical result of Xgrail gene identification software for the neurexin II alpha gene region from human chromosome 11 q13. The peaks present are Xgrail predicted exons with a quality rating of excellent (green), good (blue) or marginal (red). The light blue lollipops are predicted polyadenylation signals and the purple squares are CpG islands. Yellow and red squares indicate \(\stackrel{-}{-} \quad\) promotors and the vertical orange bars denote simple sequence repeats. Bottom panel: Graphical display of the powblast output using Musk. The black bar at the top represents the consensus sequence with the grey areas indicating repeat regions. The lines under the black bar indicate homology between the consensus sequence and an entry in the public database.

\section*{Genome Organization - putative human neurexin II alpha gene region}
\begin{tabular}{llcl}
\hline Exon number & position & size of exon & size of intron \\
\hline & & & \\
01 & \(2522-3398\) & 876 & 8857 (gap) \\
02 & (absent--in sequencing gap) & \\
03 & \(12255-12287\) & 32 & 648 \\
\(03 a\) & \(12935-13126\) & 191 & 3621 \\
\(03 b\) & \(16747-16806\) & 59 & 181 \\
04 & \(16987-17058\) & 71 & 4458 \\
05 & \(21516-21817\) & 301 & 8667 \\
06 & \(30484-30528\) & 44 & 9848 \\
07 & \(40376-40538\) & 162 & 755 \\
08 & \(41293-41731\) & 438 & 81 \\
\(08 a\) & \(41812-41975\) & 163 & 5027 \\
\(08 b\) & \(47002-47136\) & 134 & 2077 \\
\(08 c\) & \(49213-49252\) & 386 & 101 \\
09 & \(49353-49739\) & 386 & 218 \\
10 & \(49957-50160\) & 203 & 6743 (gap) \\
11 & (absent-1n sequencing gap) & \\
12 & \(56903-57031\) & 128 & 399 \\
13 & \(57430-57812\) & 382 & 617 \\
14 & \(58429-58619\) & 190 & 1541 \\
15 & \(60160-60333\) & 173 & --- \\
& & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 21: Genome organization of the putative human neurexin II alpha gene region from chromosome 11q13. This region has homology to the first 15 exons of the rat neurexin II alpha gene for a total of 3.4 kb , which span roughly 58 kb of genomic sequence.

\section*{Splice Junctions-putative human homologue of the rat neurexin II alpha gene region}


Table 22: Splice junctions for putative human homologue of the rat neurexin II alpha gene from human chromosome 11q13.

```

                exon6lexon7
    V N K L H Y L V T I S V D G I I I T T T T G
    gtaaacaaactgcattatctggtgaccatctcggtggacgggatcctgaccaccacaggc 1E80
    Y T Q E D Y T M L G S D D D F F F Y I I G G S
    tacacgcaggaggattacaccatgctgggctctga=gacttcttctacattgggggcagc i740
    P N T A D L P G S P V S S N N F M M G C L L K
    cccaacacagccgacctgcetggctcccetgtcagcaacaactEcargggctgtctcaag
    1800
exon7lexon8
D V V Y K K N N N D F F K L L E E L S S R R L A A K
gacgtggtctacaagaataatgacttcaagctggagctatcccgactggctaaggaaggg
D P K M M K L Q Q G D L L S F F R C C E D D V A A
gacccgaagatgaagctgcagggggatttgtctttccgttgtgaggacgtggctgccttg i920
D P V T F E S P P E A F V F A L P P R W N S A K
gaccctgtgaccttcgagagtcctgaggcctttgrcgcactgccccgctggagcgccaag 1980
R Tllllllllllllllllllllllll
cgcactggttctatctccctggacttcagaaccacggagcecaatgggttgctgctcttc
S Q G R R A G A G V G S H
agccagggccggcgggctggggccggggtaggcagccacagttccagccagagggccgac 2100
Y F
tactttgccatggagctattggatggctacctctatcttctgctggacatgggctctggg 2160
G I K L R R A S S R K V N D D G E W Clllllllll
ggcatcaagctgcgggcgtccagccgcaaggtcaacgacggcgaatggtgccacgtggac
exon8lexon8a
F Q R D G R K A G L F F Y T T I I S F Y I I L P
trccagagggatgggcgcaaagcgggtctctectacaccatttcctatattcetccctgc
R F
cgcttctcctccacccccctcacgtcttcccaattgtcctttctgtcatcggacattggc 2340
R K S T A F G I S S Q T W W I T T P Q L L F Y L
agaaagagcacagcarttggcatcagtcagacctggattacacctcagctcccctacttg 2400
exon8a/ exon8b
L H F. P S T S E E L F Y L L K I I L C L P P
ctgcatgcaccctctacttctgaagaactcttctacctaaaaattctgtgcctacctcct 2460
F S S L L S C P A G P G A N L L V H
t=ctcctcactgctgtcctgccccgcaggccctggagccaatctgcttgtgcaccttgct 2520
exon8blexon8c exon8clexon9
G R R R V G S S W L L R G G L L L L V V L L L S S V L L Q
ggcegcagagtgggctcttggctgaggggacrgctgcrcgtcctcctgagcgtgcrgcag 2580
G S I S V N S R S T P F L A T T G E S E V
ggctccatctctgtgaacagccgcagtacaccattcttggccacaggagagagtgaggtc 2540
L D L E S E L Y L G G L P E G G G R V J D L
ctggacctggagagtgagctgtacctgggcggtctccctgaggggggccgagtggacctg 2700
P L D P E V W T A A L R A G Y V G C C V R
ccattgcctcctgaggtgtggacagctgctctccgggctggctacgtgggctgcotgcga 2700

```

```

gacctcttcatagarggacggagtcgaga=ctccggggcctggctgaggcacagggagce 2820
V G V A P F C S R E T I K Q C C A S A F C
grgggcgttgcacctttctgctctcgggagaccctgaagcagtgtgcatcggccccctgt
R N G G I C R E G W N R F F V C D D C I I G T
cgraatggtggcatctgtcgagagggctggaaccggttcgtctgtgaťgcarcgggace
exon9 lexonlo
G F L G R V C E R E A T V L S Y D G S M
ggctttctgggtcgggtctgcgagagagaggccacagtcttaagctatgatggctccatg
Y M K I M M L P P N A M M H T T E A E E D D V S L L F
tacatgaagatcatgctgcccaatgccargcacacggaagcagaggatgrgtccctgcga
F
ttcatg=cccagagggcttatggactcatgatggccaccacctctagggagtcggccgac
exon10lexon11
T L R L E L D G G Q M K K L T T V N N L D D C L

```
```

    actctgcgtctcgagctggacggggggcagctgaagctcacagtcaaccecgactccctg 3130
        exon11 | exon12
    R V G C A P S A A A K G F E T I I F A G H
    cgcgtcggctgcgcacccagtgctgcasctaaaggccccgagaccctcettgcggggcac
    K L N N D N N E W W H T T L R R V V V R R R G K N S L &
    aagctcaacgacaatgagtggcacacgctgagggtggtacggcgtggcaagagcctgcag 3300
                                    exon:2lexon13
    L S V D N V T V E G Q M A G A H T R. L E
    ctgtctgtggacaacgtgactgtggagggacagatggcaggagcccacacgcggctggag 3360
    F H N I E T G I M T E R R F I S S V V P
    ttccacaacatcgagacaggcatcatgacagagcgacggtttatctctgtggtgcccecc 3420
    N F I G H L L S G L V F N N G Q P P Y M M D Q C
    aacttcatcggccacctgagcgggctggtgttcaatggtcaaccctacatggaccagtgc 3480
    K D G D I T Y C E L N A R F G L R A A I V
    aaagatggagacatcacctactgtgagcttaatgcccgctttgggctgcgegccatcgrg 3540
    A D D P F V T F F Klllllllllllllllllllll
    gctgatcctgttaccttcaagagtcgcagtagctacctggcgttggccacgctccaagcc 3600
    Y A S M H L F F Q F K K T T T A P P D D G I I L L
    tatgcctccatgcacctcttcttccagttcaagaccacagcccctgatggacttctgctg 3660
                                    exon13!exon14
    F N S G N G N D E I V I E L V K G Y I H
    ttcaactcaggcaacggcaatgacttcattgtcatcgagctggtcaaggggtacaeccac 3720
    Y V F D L L G N N S P S L L M K K G N N S D D K P V
    tacgtgtttgacctgggaaatagcccgtccttgatgaagggaaactcagacaaaccagtt 3780
    N D N Q W H N V V V S R D P P G N V N T L
    aatgacaaccagtggcacaacgtggtggtgtccagggacccaggcaacgrgcacacgctg 3840
    K I D S S R T V T O F H
    aagattgactcccgcacggtcacgcagcattccaacggtgcccgaaatctggatctcaaa 3900
    exon14lexon15
G E L Y I G G L S K N M F S N L F K K L V
ggggagttgtacatcggtggcctgagcaagaacatgttcagcaacctgccaaagctggtg 3960
A S R D G F Q G C L A S V D D L N G R N L F
gcctctcgggatggctttcagggctgcctggcttctgtggacctcaacgggcgcctccca 4020
D L I A D A I I H R I G G Q V E R G C C D
gacctcatcgcagacgccctgaaccgaatcgggcaggtggagaggggctgtgatg
4075

```

Figure 34: cDNA and amino acid translation for the putative human neurexin gene.
(taking into account that the \(3^{\prime}\) end of the gene is absent from the sequencing data) and translated (see figure 34). The translation of this partial putative human gene results in a partial gene encoding for a protein of 1309 amino acids, assuming the start codon and promotor region used in humans are the similar to those in the rat gene. The Xgrail analysis also reveals the presence of three exons (one exceilent, one good and one marginal) in the reverse direction, although these three exons do not have any significant powblast database homology.

This region of the genome contains several repeat elements, including the ALU, SVA, Ll and MIR families. There are 19 regions of homology with ALU sequences (and the same 19 regions are homologous with SVA repeats in the opposite direction), 2 regions of MIR homology and two areas of significant Ll repeat family homology. Again, this region has homology to a human EST (N20878) which contains an ALU repeat element. A graphical view of this EST region as displayed by Musk is seen in figure 35 , and a dotter alignment of the EST with the ALU sequence is shown in figure 36 to demonstrate the presence of both unique and repeat sequences in this EST.

Although this region is not very rich in homology to the large families of repeat elements, it is very rich in simple sequence repeats (table 23). Several areas which are marked by Xgrail as CpG islands are also poly GA regions. Of significant interest is the presence of nine areas with long poly \(C\) tracts which vary in length from 16 nucleotides to 175 nucleotides, and the six areas of poly T tracts which are 13 to 21 nucleotides in length.

\section*{c. Kappa}

Xgrail analysis of a \(38,355 \mathrm{bp}\) region of bl37c7 revealed the presence of a putative human gene consisting of 23 exons. This region of chromosome 11 q 13 contains many Xgrail predicted excellent exons, as well as regions with database homology that include several human ESTs (Genbank accession numbers H01451, AA219223, T48828 and R52045), one mouse EST (Genbank accession number W16079) and one STS


Figure 35: Musk display of powblast database homology between human EST N20878 and the ALU repeat element.


Figure 36: Dotter alignment of Human EST N20878 homology with the ALU repeat element.

\section*{Putative human and rat neurexin II alpha gene region - Simple Sequence Repeats}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline position & Repeat \\
\hline 2617-2732 & poly GC \\
\hline 6445-6487 & \(\mathrm{A}_{17}\) \\
\hline 7157-7184 & \(\mathrm{T}_{21}\) \\
\hline 8962-8985 & \(\mathrm{T}_{14}\) \\
\hline 10167-10326 & (TA) 78 \\
\hline 10462-10497 & poly GA \\
\hline 10965-10996 & \(\mathrm{C}_{27}\) \\
\hline 11001-11115 & \(\mathrm{C}_{114}\) \\
\hline 11374-11478 & poly GA \\
\hline 11716-11853 & poly GA \\
\hline 11828-11942 & poly GA \\
\hline 12137-12259 & poly CT \\
\hline 13594-13733 & \(\mathrm{C}_{139}\) \\
\hline 13726-13981 & poly GA \\
\hline 14210-14834 & poly GAC \\
\hline 23813-23843 & \(\mathrm{T}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CT}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~T}_{12}\) \\
\hline 26339-26369 & \(\mathrm{T}_{13} \mathrm{ACT}_{15}\) \\
\hline 30333-30475 & \(\mathrm{C}_{142}\) \\
\hline 33463-33481 & \(\mathrm{T}_{16}\) \\
\hline 33811-33860 & \(\mathrm{A}_{4}(\mathrm{CA})_{14} \mathrm{~A}_{7}\) \\
\hline 36188-36395 & poly GA \\
\hline 36344-36650 & poly GA \\
\hline 36440-36591 & poly GA \\
\hline 36655-36831 & \(\mathrm{C}_{175}\) \\
\hline 37312-37453 & poly GA \\
\hline 43677-43706 & \(\left(\mathrm{CT}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{~T} 9\) \\
\hline 44486-44500 & \(\mathrm{T}_{13}\) \\
\hline 46172-46199 & \(\left(\mathrm{T}_{3} \mathrm{~A}\right)_{4} \mathrm{~T}_{13}\) \\
\hline 46870-46900 & \(\mathrm{T}_{20}\) \\
\hline 47606-47753 & poly GA \\
\hline 48817-48996 & \(\mathrm{C}_{159}\) \\
\hline 49001-49043 & \(\mathrm{C}_{16}\) \\
\hline 49112-49135 & \(\mathrm{C}_{21}\) \\
\hline 51863-51996 & \(\mathrm{C}_{133}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 23: Simple sequence repeats present in the putative human and rat neurexin II alpha gene region from human chromosome 1 lq13 as reported by Xgrail.



Putative genc "kappa"


Figure 37: Top panel: Graphical result of Xgrail gene identification software for the putative gene "kappa" from human chromosome 11q13. The peaks present are Xgrail predicted exons with a quality rating of excellent (green), good (blue) or marginal (red). The light blue lollipops are polyadenylation signals and the purple squares are CpG islands. Yellow and red squares indicate promotors and the vertical orange bars denote simple sequence repeats. Bottom panel: Graphical display of the powblast output using Musk. The black bar at the top of the panel represents the consensus sequence with the grey areas indicating repeat regions. The lines under the black bar indicate database homology between the consensus sequence and entries in the public database.
(DIIS1080; Genbank accession number G28839) revealed by powblast analysis (see figure 37). However, except for R52045, none of the other ESTs correlate with Xgrail predicted exons. This EST homology matches in the same position as exon 7 in the predicted gene. This gene region also was inspected by collaborators in Dr. Collins' laboratory and was given the name "kappa."

The genomic organization, i.e. putative exons, their size and the size of the intronic regions, of the kappa gene is detailed in table 24. In further support for the notion that kappa represents a likely gene, Xgrail predicts a promotor region upstream from exon 1 which encodes a methionine start codon that immediately follows a consensus Kosak sequence. In addition, the first occurrence of a stop codon is at the end of exon 23 and it is followed by four polyadenylation signals in the downstream region. The area including exon 1 also contains a CpG island. The intron/exon junctions of the predicted protein adhere quite well to the consensus splice junctions (see table 25) and therefore, taken together, the above evidence strongly suggests that a gene occurs in this region of chromosome 11.

The kappa gene has limited repeated elements, although the genomic sequences on either side of this putative gene are very dense in repeat elements. The entire 38,355 bp region contains 31 ALU, three MER, one MIR, and 15 SVA repeat elements (SVA usually occurring in the same position as an ALU, but in the reverse orientation). Simple sequence repeats also are found throughout this region (see table 26), with 7 of 27 poly A tracts greater than 12 nucleotides long, 10 of 27 poly T tracts greater than 11 nucleotides long, and one region of poly \(C\) which stretches for 125 nucleotides.

\section*{d. Nu}

A putative gene named "Nu" and containing 12 Xgrail-predicted exons, has been identified in a 19,699 base pair region of bl37c7. This region also contains several sequences with significant database homology, including human ESTs (Genbank accession numbers T15445, T78563, T96795, AA035643 and T09103), a rat EST

> Genome Organization - putative gene "kappa"
\begin{tabular}{llcl}
\hline Exon number & position & size of exon & size of intron \\
\hline & & & \\
01 & \(31392-31551\) & 159 & 2506 \\
02 & \(28773-28886\) & 113 & 58 \\
03 & \(28632-28715\) & 83 & 1001 \\
04 & \(27536-27631\) & 95 & 286 \\
05 & \(27042-27250\) & 208 & 489 \\
06 & \(26348-26553\) & 205 & 133 \\
07 & \(26015-26216\) & 200 & 131 \\
08 & \(25636-25884\) & 248 & 491 \\
09 & \(25066-25145\) & 79 & 2822 \\
10 & \(22052-22244\) & 192 & 685 \\
11 & \(21295-21367\) & 72 & 194 \\
12 & \(20982-21101\) & 119 & 71 \\
13 & \(20797-20911\) & 114 & 272 \\
14 & \(20377-20525\) & 148 & 75 \\
15 & \(20214-20302\) & 361 & 430 \\
16 & \(19686-19784\) & 98 & 2017 \\
17 & \(17453-17669\) & 216 & 1436 \\
18 & \(15460-16017\) & 557 & 1726 \\
19 & \(13637-13734\) & 97 & 75 \\
20 & \(13478-13562\) & 84 & 129 \\
21 & \(13159-13349\) & 190 & 41 \\
22 & \(13001-13118\) & 117 & 256 \\
23 & \(12622-12745\) & 123 & -- \\
ATGstart & \(31553-31555\) & & \\
UAAstop & \(12622-12624\) & & \\
polyA & \(9335-9340\) & & \\
& \(9013-9018\) & & \\
& \(8629-8634\) & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 24: Genome organization of the putative gene "kappa" from human chromosome 11q13. The candidate gene contains 23 exons for a total of 3.9 kb , which span roughly 9 kb of genomic sequence. The start codon is located within exon 1 , the stop codon at the end of exon 23 and four polyadenylation signals follow downstream of the stop codon. Xgrail also predicted a large promoter region in the upstream region from this putative gene at 33231-33709.

> Splice Junctions-putative gene "kappa"


Table 25: Splice junctions for the putative gene "kappa" from human chromosome \(11 q 13\).

\section*{Putative gene "Kappa" - Simple Sequence Repeats}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline position & Repeat \\
\hline 253-285 & \(\mathrm{T}_{10}\) \\
\hline 576-624 & \(\mathrm{T}_{6}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{G}\right)_{6}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{3} \mathrm{G}\right)_{5}\) \\
\hline 1206-1265 & \(\mathrm{T}_{5} \mathrm{GT}_{3} \mathrm{GT}_{5} \mathrm{AT}_{5} \mathrm{CTAT}_{7} \mathrm{AT}_{5} \mathrm{AT}_{9}\) \\
\hline 1947-1977 & \(\mathrm{A}_{19}\) \\
\hline 2097-2113 & \(\mathrm{T}_{13}\) \\
\hline 2977-2991 & \(\mathrm{A}_{12} \mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{~A}\) \\
\hline 4411-4458 & \(\mathrm{T}_{9} \mathrm{GT}_{4} \mathrm{GT}_{6} \mathrm{GT}_{10} \mathrm{G}\) \\
\hline 4934-4959 & \(\mathrm{A}_{26}\) \\
\hline 5567-5611 & \(\mathrm{T}_{40}\) \\
\hline 5888-5910 & T 17 \\
\hline 6053-6076 & \(\mathrm{TA}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{10} \mathrm{ATGT}_{5} \mathrm{AGT}\) \\
\hline 6832-6860 & \(\mathrm{A}_{13}\) \\
\hline 7130-7169 & \(\mathrm{GT}_{3}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{4} \mathrm{G}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~T}_{5} \mathrm{GT}_{2} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{T}_{3} \mathrm{G}\right)_{3}\) \\
\hline 8112-8131 & \(\mathrm{T}_{11}\) \\
\hline 8419-8437 & \(\mathrm{T}_{7} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{9}\) \\
\hline 9010-9052 & \(\mathrm{T}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{4} \mathrm{C}\right){ }_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{16}\) \\
\hline 10691-10713 & \(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{10} \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{~T}\) \\
\hline 10862-10888 & \(\mathrm{T}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{5} \mathrm{G}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{11} \mathrm{G}\) \\
\hline 12019-12046 & \(\mathrm{A}_{19}\) \\
\hline 17166-17190 & \(\left(A_{7} G\right){ }_{2} A_{13} G\) \\
\hline 22131-22240 & poly CT \\
\hline 22656-22673 & \(\mathrm{G}_{18}\) \\
\hline 23567-23700 & \(\mathrm{C}_{125}\) \\
\hline 28435-28475 & \(\mathrm{A}_{15} \mathrm{~T}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{6} \mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{TA}_{3}\) \\
\hline 31327-31428 & poly GC (CpG) \\
\hline 32573-32590 & \(\mathrm{T}_{18}\) \\
\hline 37836-37860 & \(\mathrm{G}_{15}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 26: Simple sequence repeats present in the putative gene "Kappa" region from human chromosome 11q13 as reported by Xgrail.
(Genbank accession numbers C06861) and three mouse ESTs (Genbank accession numbers AA04893, W70955, and W71787). A comparison of both Xgrail and powblast results indicates that the human and mouse EST database homologies correlate well with the Xgrail predicted exons in the first portion of the putative gene (see figure 38 ).

Closer analysis of "Nu" indicates an ATG start codon located at 16678-16760, and an UGA stop codon following exon 12 at position 3559-3561. The positions, the sizes of the exons and the sizes of introns are detailed in table 27. The 12 exons present in this region all were predicted by Xgrail with 11 of the 12 assigned an excellent quality rating (the final exon has a good quality rating). All the intron/exon boundaries conformed to the consensus splice junction sequences, as seen in table 28.

This region also contains several repeat elements, including ALU, SVA, MIR, and MER repeats. There are 17 ALU repeats ( 11 of which have an accompanying SVA repeat in the same position, but opposite direction), three MIR repeats, one full length Ll repeat, one MER repeat, and several areas with simple sequence repeats (see table 29). Finally, a region located at the end of this 19,699 base pair contig is highly AT rich, and was scored by Xgrail as four large poly AT simple sequence repeats, there are several regions containing poly \(A\) tracts 12 to 24 nucleotides long, and other regions that are rich in GA repeats.

\section*{B. b18h3 analysis}

Analysis of approximately 240,000 bases from bI8h3 in the MEN-1 gene region from human chromosome 1 lq13 (Genbank accession number AA000353) reveals the presence of six genes. The first is the DNA polymerase a gene which previously was mapped to 11 q 13 and whose cDNA has been sequenced. The second is a putative requiem gene located by it's homology to the mouse requiem gene, a zinc finger gene essential for apoptosis in myeloid cells. The remaining four genes have not previously been described and these putative genes have been named Zeta, Eta, Theta, and Epsilon/Beta.


Figure 38: Top panel: Graphical result of Xgrail gene identification software for the putative gene "Nu" region from human chromosome 1 lq 13 . The peaks present are Xgrail predicted exons with a quality rating of excellent (green), good (blue) or marginal (red). The light blue lollipops are polyadenylation signals and the purple squares are CpG islands. Yellow and red squares indicate promotors and the vertical orange bars denote simple sequence repeats. Bottom panel: Graphical display of the powblast output using Musk. The black bar at the top of the panel represents the consensus sequence with the grey areas indicating repeat regions. The lines under the black bar indicate database homology between the consensus sequence and entries in the public database.

\section*{Genome Organization - Putative gene "Nu"}
\begin{tabular}{llcc}
\hline Exon number & position & size of exon & size of intron \\
\hline & & & \\
01 & \(16617-16760\) & 143 & 682 \\
02 & \(15764-15935\) & 171 & 862 \\
03 & \(14771-14902\) & 131 & 785 \\
04 & \(13835-13986\) & 151 & 204 \\
05 & \(13458-13631\) & 173 & 160 \\
06 & \(13182-13298\) & 116 & 2327 \\
07 & \(10574-10855\) & 281 & 700 \\
08 & \(9725-9874\) & 149 & 237 \\
09 & \(9366-9488\) & 122 & 315 \\
10 & \(8936-9051\) & 115 & 4919 \\
11 & \(3876-4017\) & 141 & 255 \\
12 & \(3585-3621\) & 36 & \(-\cdots\) \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
ATGstart & \(16678-16680\) & & \\
UGAstop & \(3559-3561\) & & \\
& & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 27: Genome organization of the putative gene "Nu" from human chromosome 11q13. The candidate gene contains 12 exons (the first being untranslated) for a total of 1.7 kb , which span roughly 13 kb of genomic sequence. The start codon is located within exon 1 , and the stop codon following exon 12.

\section*{Splice Junctions-Putative gene "Nu"}


Table 26: Splice junctions for the putative gene " Nu " from human chromosome Ilq13.

\section*{Putative Gene "Nu" - Simple Sequence Repeats}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline position & Repeat \\
\hline 24-165 & poly GA \\
\hline 325-334 & \(\mathrm{G}_{11}\) \\
\hline 1332-1353 & T21 \\
\hline 2231-2265 & \(\mathrm{T}_{3} \mathrm{AT}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{11}\) \\
\hline 2415-2440 & \(\mathrm{T}_{11} \mathrm{GTAT}_{5}\) \\
\hline 3132-3179 & \(\mathrm{G}_{4} \mathrm{~T}_{4} \mathrm{G}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{4} \mathrm{G}_{5} \mathrm{~T}_{7} \mathrm{GT}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{12}\) \\
\hline 3526-3549 & \(\left(\mathrm{CA}_{3}\right)_{5}\) \\
\hline 5183-5223 & \(\mathrm{A}_{24} \mathrm{GA}_{4}\) \\
\hline 6606-6625 & \(\mathrm{A}_{12}\) \\
\hline 6777-6799 & \(\mathrm{A}_{8} \mathrm{GA}_{5} \mathrm{GA}_{9}\) \\
\hline 6967-6993 & \(\left(A_{6} \mathrm{G}\right)_{3}\) \\
\hline 7196-7266 & (CA) 23 \\
\hline 7654-7701 & \(\mathrm{CT}_{3} \mathrm{AT}_{3} \mathrm{CT}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{9} \mathrm{CT}_{16}\) \\
\hline 8137-8153 & \(\mathrm{A}_{5}\left(T A_{2}\right)_{4}\) \\
\hline 11501-11584 & \(\mathrm{A}_{16} \mathrm{GA}_{3} \mathrm{GA}_{5}\left(\mathrm{GA}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{GA}_{4}\right)_{2}\) \\
\hline 12780-12815 & \(\mathrm{A}_{15}\) \\
\hline 12964-12982 & \(\mathrm{A}_{15}\) \\
\hline 13370-13471 & poly GC \\
\hline 17039-17157 & poly CT \\
\hline 18694-18889 & poly AT \\
\hline 19098-19262 & poly AT \\
\hline 19306-19596 & poly AT \\
\hline 19575-19694 & poly AT \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 29: Simple sequence repeats present in the putative gene "Nu" region from human chromosome 11 q 13 as reported by Xgrail.

\section*{1. DNA polymerase \(\alpha\)}

Analysis of a \(101,449 \mathrm{bp}\) region of b 18 h 3 revealed the presence of a known gene, DNA polymerase alpha (Genbank accession number L24559). This gene is composed of 18 exons which encompass appproximately 35 kb of genomic sequence, and code for a protein of 599 amino acids in length. The first exon encodes 76 bases of a 5 ' untranslated region, followed by a methionine start codon. The coding region concludes with a TGA stop codon at position 51838-51840, and a polyadenylation signal at 52169-52176, 329 nucleotides downstream from the stop codon, delineates the end of the 3'untranslated region. This gene does not have an SP1 binding site or Kosak sequence, but Xgrail predicts a strong CpG island upstream of exon 1. As mentioned above, this is typical in the promotor regions of housekeeping genes. The genomic organization including the size and position of introns and exons is displayed in table 30.

Xgrail and powblast analyses of this region are shown in figure 39. Xgrail accurately predicted 13 of the 18 exons, with exons \(3,5,13,14\) and 18 not being predicted, most likely because of their small size. However, all 18 intron/exon boundaries for this gene adhere to the consensus splice junctions (see table 31). The exons predicted by Xgrail correlate perfectly with the cDNA sequence and it's genomic organization will be a valuable asset for those interested in human DNA replication.

Along with the obvious homology to the mouse DNA polymerase alpha (Genbank accession number D13546), this region of 11 q13 also has homology to numerous human ESTs from many different tissues. These ESTs include Genbank accession numbers T77743, AA214047, R99160, H90258, AA143403, AA159455, L78765, W26175, T63904, T63254, AA071113, AA159312, T81239, T28918, C02161, T54494, H954494, H95849. T54442, W23797, and N94590, and are derived from pancreas, lung, neuroepithelium, liver, spleen, retina, placenta, fetal liver/spleen, breast, fetal heart, breast and neuron indicating the ubiquitous nature of the DNA polymerase \(\alpha\) gene.

\section*{Genome Organization - DNA polymerase \(\alpha\) gene}
\begin{tabular}{llcc}
\hline Exon number & position & size of exon & size of intron \\
\hline & & & \\
01 & \(16807-16942\) & 135 & 4211 \\
02 & \(21153-21277\) & 124 & 769 \\
03 & \(22046-22139\) & 93 & 1104 \\
04 & \(23243-23301\) & 58 & 716 \\
05 & \(30461-30569\) & 108 & 273 \\
06 & \(33299-33494\) & 195 & 613 \\
07 & \(34107-34198\) & 91 & 1363 \\
08 & \(35561-35720\) & 159 & 518 \\
09 & \(36238-36304\) & 66 & 744 \\
10 & \(37048-37092\) & 44 & 5193 \\
11 & \(42285-42411\) & 126 & 1209 \\
12 & \(43620-43659\) & 39 & 709 \\
13 & \(44368-44443\) & 75 & 4279 \\
14 & \(48722-48832\) & 110 & 283 \\
15 & \(49115-49223\) & 108 & 886 \\
16 & \(50109-50170\) & 61 & 263 \\
17 & \(50433-50562\) & 129 & 1127 \\
18 & \(51689-52158\) & 469 & -- \\
ATGstart & \(16864-16866\) & & \\
UGAstop & \(51838-51840\) & & \\
polyA & \(52169-52176\) & &
\end{tabular}

Table 30: Genome organization of the DNA polymerase \(\alpha\) gene region from human chromosome llq13. The gene contains 18 exons for a total of 2.2 kb , which span roughly 35 kb of genomic sequence. The start codon is located within exon 1 , the stop codon at the end of exon 18 and a polyadenylation signal 329 nucleotides downstream of the stop codon.
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Figure 39: Top panel: Graphical result of Xgrail gene identilication software for the I)NA polymerase a gene region from human chromosome \(\mid\) y 13 , also including the putative gene, "Zeta". The peaks present are Xgrail predicted exons with a quality rating of excellent (green), good (blue) or marginal (red). The light blue lollipops are polyadenylation - signals and the purple syuares are CpG islands. Yellow and red squares indicate promotors and the vertical orange hars denole simple sequence repeats. Bottom panel: Graphical display of the powhlast output using Musk. The black har at the top of the panel represents the consensus sequence with the grey areas indicating repeat regions. The lines under the black har indicate database homology between the consensus sequence and entries in the public database.

\section*{Splice Junctions-DNA polymerase \(\alpha\) gene}
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\hline \begin{tabular}{lll} 
Left \\
Exon
\end{tabular} & Left splice iunction & & Right splice junction
\end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{c} 
Right \\
Exon
\end{tabular}

Table 31: Splice junctions for the DNA polymerase \(\alpha\) gene from human chromosome 11q13.

Repeat elements also are abundant throughout this contig, with ALU, SVA, LTR. L1, MER, MIR, MLT, MST, and THE repeat elements present. This region contains 96 ALU sequences (partial or full) with 48 of the 96 containing SVA repeats in the opposite direction. There are 3 Ll repeats, 2 LTRs, 2 MERs, 1 THE, 4 MIR and 4 MLT repeats present in this region, and in several regions, the EST hits reported by powblast include an ALU or L 1 repeat element (indicated in figure 39 as Hu EST w/ALU).

Simple sequence repeats (see table 32) also are quite prevalent in this region as there are multiple polynucleotide repeat regions of greater than 14 nucleotides, a poly C tract of 126 nucleotides, and the TA repeat of 144 nucleotides.

\section*{2. Zeta}

The 11 q 13 region containing the DNA polymerase alpha gene from human chromosome 1 lq 13 also contains a putative gene, named Zeta, that consists of 10 exons totalling 1.7 kb , and spans approximately 33 kb of genomic sequence. The genomic organization of Zeta is detailed in table 33. This Zeta gene region has a start methionine codon at 56183-56185, an in-frame UGA stop codon at 88784-88786 and a polyadenylation signal 806 nucleotides downstream at 89592-89597.

The Xgrail and powblast analyses presented in figure 40, predicted the presence of 10 exons in the Zeta region, which correlate to four human EST hits as predicted by powblast (Genbank accession numbers AA055031, R944475, AA214296, and AA205945). This allowed construction of a gene model for the putative Zeta gene, based on the splice junctions tabulated in table 34. Further evidence kindly provided by collaborators at the NHGRI, indicate that the Zeta gene produces a transcript of 2.0 kb (close to the 1.7 predicted by Xgrail exons) indicating that Xgrail may not have predicted all the exons present in this gene. Further sequence analysis of the full length Zeta cDNA would be useful to resolve this size discrepancy.

DNA polymerase \(\alpha\) gene Simple Sequence Repeats
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline position & Repeat \\
\hline 247-347 & poly GC \\
\hline 831-989 & (TA)29 \\
\hline 3712-3772 & \(\left(\mathrm{A}_{4} \mathrm{~T}\right)_{12}\) \\
\hline 4831-4945 & \(\mathrm{A}_{7}\left(\mathrm{GA}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{GA})_{7}\left(\mathrm{GA}_{3}\right)_{15}\) \\
\hline 5771-5845 & \((\mathrm{TA})_{14}(\mathrm{CA})_{4}(\mathrm{TA})_{8}(\mathrm{GA})_{11}\) \\
\hline 7048-7074 & \(\mathrm{A}_{21}\) \\
\hline 10715-10787 & \(\left(\mathrm{T}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{4} \mathrm{C}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{24}\) \\
\hline 11190-11229 & \(\mathrm{T}_{9} \mathrm{GT}_{5} \mathrm{GT}_{11}\) \\
\hline 17768-17821 & (CT) \() \mathrm{T}_{20}\) \\
\hline 13583-13692 & poly CT \\
\hline 14761-14793 & \(\mathrm{T}_{3} \mathrm{CT}_{10} \mathrm{CT}_{18}\) \\
\hline 18620-18664 & poly GT \\
\hline 22912-22945 & (TTA) \(5 \mathrm{~T}_{13}\) \\
\hline 24058-24098 & \(\mathrm{A}_{16}\left(\mathrm{CA}_{2}\right)_{4}\) \\
\hline 26410-26437 & \(\mathrm{A}_{19}\) \\
\hline 29938-29960 & A \(18^{1}\) \\
\hline 31349-31385 & T18 \\
\hline 31716-31765 & \(\mathrm{T}_{9} \mathrm{CT}_{4} \mathrm{CT}_{3} \mathrm{CT}_{14}\) \\
\hline 39090-39116 & \(\mathrm{T}_{10} \mathrm{CT}_{14}\) \\
\hline 40146-10187 & \(\mathrm{A}_{15} \mathrm{GA}_{5} \mathrm{GA}_{8} \mathrm{GA}_{4}\) \\
\hline 43060-43100 & \(\mathrm{T}_{4} \mathrm{AT}_{2} \mathrm{GT}_{2} \mathrm{CGT}_{3} \mathrm{GT}_{6} \mathrm{GT}_{14}\) \\
\hline 44820-44837 & A 17 \\
\hline 57914-57956 & \(\mathrm{A}_{19} \mathrm{C}_{2}\left(\mathrm{GA}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{G}_{2} \mathrm{TG}_{4}\) \\
\hline 58798-58905 & (TCC[A/C]) 28 \\
\hline 61517-61552 & \(\mathrm{TA}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{~A}\right)_{7} \mathrm{~T}_{4} \mathrm{AT}_{2} \mathrm{AT}_{4}\) \\
\hline 66673-66704 & Al \(_{18}\) \\
\hline 67001-67127 & \(\mathrm{C}_{126}\) \\
\hline 70757-71389 & (TG[A/G]G)97 \\
\hline 76318-76337 & \(\mathrm{A}_{19}\) \\
\hline 78805-78827 & \(\mathrm{A}_{2} 1\) \\
\hline 84452-84599 & poly CT \\
\hline 85409-85538 & \(\mathrm{A}_{5}(\mathrm{TA})_{6} \mathrm{~T}_{6}(\mathrm{AT})_{6}(\mathrm{AC})_{8}(\mathrm{TA})_{25}(\mathrm{CA}) 9\) \\
\hline 88881-88995 & (TA) 57 \\
\hline 89001-89302 & (TA) \({ }_{144} \mathrm{~T}_{12}\) \\
\hline 90190-90260 & \(\mathrm{A}_{14}\left(\mathrm{GA}_{3}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{GA}_{5}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{GA}_{3}\right)_{2}\) \\
\hline 94405-94445 & \(\mathrm{T}_{7} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{TC}_{2} \mathrm{TCT}_{19}\) \\
\hline 97003-97109 & poly GA \\
\hline 97880-97916 & \(A_{18}\left(\mathrm{GA}_{3}\right)_{3}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 32: Simple sequence repeats present in the DNA polymerase \(\alpha\) gene region, including the putative gene "Zeta," from human chromosome 1 qq13 as reported by Xgrail.

\section*{Genome Organization - Putative gene "Zeta"}
\begin{tabular}{llcc}
\hline Exon number & position & size of exon & size of intron \\
\hline & & & \\
01 & \(56183-56262\) & 79 & 10125 \\
02 & \(66387-66441\) & 54 & 2366 \\
03 & \(68807-69363\) & 556 & 2613 \\
04 & \(71976-72129\) & 153 & 138 \\
05 & \(72267-72345\) & 78 & 3092 \\
06 & \(75437-75626\) & 189 & 1288 \\
07 & \(75914-77037\) & 123 & 869 \\
08 & \(77906-78013\) & 107 & 9611 \\
09 & \(87624-87828\) & 214 & 843 \\
10 & \(88681-88785\) & 104 & -- \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
ATGstart & \(56183-56185\) & & \\
UGAstop & \(88784-88786\) & & \\
polyA & \(89592-89597\) & & \\
& & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 33: Genome organization of the putative gene "Zeta" from human chromosome 11q13. The gene contains 10 exons for a total of 1.7 kb , which span roughly 33 kb of genomic sequence. The start codon is located within exon 1, the stop codon at the end of exon 10 and a polyadenylation signal 806 nucleotides downstream of the stop codon.


Figure 40: Top pancl: Graphical result of Xgrail gene identification software for the INNA polymerase \(\alpha\) gene region from human chromosome IIy 13 , also including the putative gene, "Zeta". The peaks present are Xgrail predicted exons with a quality rating of excellent (green), good (hlue) or marginal (red). The light blue lollipops are polyadenylation signals and the purple squares are CpG islands. Yellow and red squares indicate promotors and the vertical orange hars denote simple sequence repeats. Botlom panel: Graphical display of the powblast output using Musk. The black bar at the top of the panel represents the consensus sequence with the grey areas indicating repeat regions. The lines under the black bar indicate database homology hetween the consensus sequence and entries in the public database.

Splice Junctions-Putative gene "Zeta"
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Exon Left splice junction} & Right splice junction & Right Exon \\
\hline 01 AG & CAAAAGGgtgggactgg & aggtcaacatGGGGCTGAGC & 02 \\
\hline 02 GG & GGCCAAGgtgggtggat & cccctcccagGGCCCCTCCC & 03 \\
\hline 03 GG & GCAGTCCgtctacattg & ctggactcagGCTGGGCTGC & 04 \\
\hline 04 CC & CAACTCCgtctccctgg & tctcatccagCAACCTCAAC & 05 \\
\hline 05 CC & GCTGGCTgtgggtgggg & ttctccccagTTGTGGAGTG & 06 \\
\hline 06 AC & GAGTGGGgtgggggagg & tcggtcccagGTGGCTTCCA & 07 \\
\hline 07 GA & CAAGGAGgtaagcgagc & tcttacctagGTGATGAGCT & 08 \\
\hline 08 CT & TCTCATGgtgatttggt & cctctcccagGTTCTCCAAC & 09 \\
\hline 09 GT & CCAGAAGgtgagtcacc & gtgagtccagCAAGATGCCA & 10 \\
\hline consensus: & CAGgtagagt & tncagG & \\
\hline & A \(t\) & C & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 34: Splice junctions for the putative gene "Zeta" from human chromosome 1 lq13.

\section*{3. Mouse requiem gene}

Xgrail analysis of bl8h3 also revealed the presence of a putative human gene homologue of the mouse requiem gene, termed HREQ, that consists of 10 exons. Exon 4 is absent in the human genomic sequence, based on sequence comparison with the mouse requiem mRNA sequence (Genbank accession number Ul0435) and spans 25 kb of human chromosome 1 lq 13 . This region contains many Xgrail predicted excellent exons which correspond to the mouse requiem gene exons, as well as regions of homology with numerous human ESTs (Genbank accession numbers H10344, N64757, F10384, H10525, N76165, F12776, N53620, N51478, H10681, R39084, H15370, N98417, H69223, AA115487, D20048, AA025238, Z39650, F02914, R65599, F01706, R36865, R36877, W01541, R74322, T30759, H69222, W30705, T34079, T34099. AA114998, R64504, R69903, R59870, R57893, W23195, H15369, H10680, T80399, R18759, R94803, H48837, F06642, and F06645), several mouse ESTs (Genbank accession numbers AA033220, W80017, AA239744, W12880, AA058295, AA026025, and W57432) and one STS (WI-30608; Genbank accession number G21758) as revealed by powblast (see figure 41). Three regions also have homology to human ESTs containing repeat sequences (Genbank accession numbers R74416, R70582, and R94721). All of the human ESTs predicted by powblast correspond to the Xgrail predicted exons for the mouse requiem gene and thus, it is highly likely that this region encodes a human homolog of the mouse requiem gene. The genome organization for the human homologue of the mouse requiem gene is detailed in table 35 , and has a methionine start codon at position 22725-22727, an in-frame stop codon at 1137711379. and two polyadenylation signals downstream at 10570-10575 and 8891-8896. The mouse requiem intron/exon boundaries conform well to the conserved splice junctions (see table 36).
 requiem gene (HREQ)


Figure 41: Top pancl: Graphical result of Xgrail gene identification software for the mouse Requiem gene region from human chromosome I q 13, and putative genes "Eta," "Theta," and "Epsilon/Beta". The peaks present are Xgrail predicted exons with a quality rating of excellent (green), good (hlue) or marginal (red). The light blue lollipops are polyadenylation signals and the purple squares are CpG islands. Yellow and red squares indicate promotors and the vertical orange bars denote simple sequence repaats. Bottom panel: Graphical display of the powblast output using Musk. The black bar at the top of the panel represents the consensus sequence with the grey areas indicating repeat regions. The lines under the black bar indicate database homology between the consensus sequence and entries in the public database.

\section*{Genome Organization - Mouse requiem gene}
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\hline Exon number & position & size of exon & size of intron \\
\hline & & & \\
01 & \(22594-22755\) & 161 & 417 \\
02 & \(22067-22177\) & 110 & 323 \\
03 & \(21578-21744\) & 166 & 2430 \\
04 & (absent in human) & & \\
05 & \(19071-19148\) & 77 & 1597 \\
06 & \(17337-17474\) & 137 & 127 \\
07 & \(17081-17210\) & 129 & 185 \\
08 & \(16785-16896\) & 111 & 2496 \\
09 & \(14200-14289\) & 89 & 2735 \\
10 & \(11328-11465\) & 137 & \(-\cdots\) \\
ATGstart & \(22725-22727\) & & \\
UGAstop & \(11377-11379\) & & \\
polyA & \(10570-10575\) & &
\end{tabular}

Table 35: Genome organization of the mouse requiem gene region from human chromosome 11q13. The gene contains 10 exons for a total of 1.1 kb , which span roughly 11.5 kb of genomic sequence. The start codon is located within exon 1, the stop codon within exon 10 and the polyadenylation signals 753 and 2432 nucleotides downstream of the stop codon.

\section*{Splice Junctions-Mouse Requiem gene}
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\hline Left & & & \\
Exon & Left splice junction & Right solice iunction & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Right \\
Exon
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & & & \\
01 & CGGGGTCCAGgtgagggtcc & cctgccacagGATTGGCCTC & 02 \\
02 & ATTAAGCCAGgtaaggcaca & ttgcttgcagACACAGACCA & 03 \\
03 & GAGCGCGAAAggtacaggat & (absent in human) & 04 \\
04 & (absent in human) & aactcctcagGCCCAGCTAC & 05 \\
05 & CCTGTGACAGtgagtgcctc & tcccactcagTTTGTGGAAA & 06 \\
06 & TGAGGAGCAGaaatgtaagc & ctctctgtagCCAAAAAGGG & 07 \\
07 & GGCCGCTCAGgtactgcttc & cccactacagGGCATCCATC & 08 \\
08 & CGAGAATGACgtgtgtatcc & tcaggaccagTTGCTCTTCT & 09 \\
09 & CTGAAGGTAAgttgcccaga & ctctctgcagGAAGTTGGAG & 10 \\
& & & \\
consensus: CAGgtaagt & tncagG & \\
& A & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 36: Splice junctions for the mouse requiem gene from human chromosome 11 q 13.

Using the mouse requiem gene sequence as a guideline, a putative human homologous gene model was constructed by combining the human EST homologies from powblast, Xgrail predicted exons, and the splice juction consensus adherence. The putative gene organization for the human requiem gene (HREQ) is shown in table 37 and the Xgrail predicted intron/exon boundaries conform well to the consensus splice junctions (see table 38). Additional evidence for the HREQ gene in 11 q 13 is the presence of an Xgrail predicted promoter upstream from exon 1, and an Xgrail predicted polyadenylation signal downstream of exon 9 (mouse exon 10 was not predictedby Xgrail and therefore, assumed to be absent in the human homologue). The construction of a putative HREQ gene is shown in figure 41.

This entire \(125,330 \mathrm{bp}\) region of b 18 h 3 also contains representatives of several different repeat families, including ALU, SVA, MIR, MER, L1, LTR and MLT. There are 93 ALU repeats (of which 52 have SVA repeats in the opposite direction), three MIR repeats, five MER repeats, seven LI repeats, one LTR repeat and one MLT repeat. In addition, this region contains many simple sequence repeats that are listed in table 39. Several regions of polynucleotide tracts, including eight regions of poly A greater than 15 nucleotides, four regions of poly \(C\) of greater than 18 nucleotides (with one region stretching for 139 nucleotides), 11 regions of poly T tracts greater than 15 nucleotides, a 62 bp CT repeat, a 134 bp TA repeat, a 56 bp TG repeat, and a 208 bp TG repeatalso are present in this region.

\section*{4. Eta}

The 11 q 13 BAC bl8h3 also encodes for a putative gene "Eta" that contains four Xgrail-predicted exons (see figure 41) and spans approximately 13 kb of genomic sequence. This gene also was identified by its database homology to several human ESTs (Genbank accession numbers Z43708, F07645, F12871, R14699, T75138, Z20586, R38559, W68275, Z39767, R4242I, F10475, W8264, F03895, H40104, W81196, W68276, R38595, and R84576) as well as several mouse ESTs

\section*{Genome Organization - Human homologue of the mouse requiem gene}
\begin{tabular}{llcc}
\hline Exon number & position & size of exon & size of intron \\
\hline & & & \\
01 & \(22594-22755\) & 161 & 417 \\
02 & \(22067-22177\) & 110 & 323 \\
03 & \(21578-21744\) & 166 & 2185 \\
04 & \(19302-19393\) & 91 & 154 \\
05 & \(19071-19148\) & 77 & 1597 \\
06 & \(17337-17474\) & 137 & 127 \\
07 & \(17081-17210\) & 129 & 185 \\
08 & \(16785-16896\) & 111 & 2496 \\
09 & \(14200-14289\) & 89 & -- \\
& & & \\
ATGstart & \(22725-22727\) & & \\
UGAstop & \(14210-14212\) & & \\
polyA & \(11862-11867\) & & \\
& & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 37: Genome organization of a human homologue of the mouse requiem gene from human chromosome 11913. The gene contains 9 exons for a total of 1.1 kb , which span roughly 8.5 kb of genomic sequence. The start codon is located within exon 1, the stop codon within exon 9 and the polyadenylation signal 2345 nucleotides downstream of the stop codon.

\section*{Splice Junctions-Human homologue of the mouse Requiem gene}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Left \\
Exon
\end{tabular} & Left splice junction & Right splice junction & Right Exon \\
\hline 01 CG & CGGGGTCCAGgtgagggtcc & cctgccacagGATTGGCCTC & 02 \\
\hline 02 A & ATTAAGCCAGgtaaggcaca & ttgcttgcagACACAGACCA & 03 \\
\hline 03 GA & GAGCGCGAAAggtacaggat & GtccactcagCGGATCCTAG & 04 \\
\hline 04 GR & GAAATCCAAGgtgaggggcc & aactcctcagGCCCAGCTAC & 05 \\
\hline 05 CC & CCTGTGACAGtgagtgcctc & tcccactcagTTTGTGGAAA & 06 \\
\hline 06 TG & TGAGGAGCAGaaatgtaagc & ctctctgtagCCAAAAAGGG & 07 \\
\hline 07 GG & GGCCGCTCAGgtactgcttc & cccactacagGGCATCCATC & 08 \\
\hline 08 CG & CGAGAATGACgtgtgtatcc & tcaggaccagTTGCTCTTCT & 09 \\
\hline 09 C & CTGAAGGTAAgttgcccaga & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{consensus:} & us: CAGgtazagt & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{tncagG} \\
\hline & A t & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{c} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 38: Splice junctions for a human homologue of the mouse requiem gene from human chromosome llq13.

\section*{Mouse Requiem gene region Simple Sequence Repeats}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline position & Repeat \\
\hline 89-134 & \(\mathrm{A}_{17}\) \\
\hline 4285-4314 & \(\left(\mathrm{T}_{4} \mathrm{C}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~T}_{15}\) \\
\hline 12747-12806 & \(\mathrm{A}_{15}\left(A_{3} \mathrm{G}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{G}\right)_{5}\) \\
\hline 14508-14528 & \(\mathrm{T}_{20}\) \\
\hline 19817-19840 & \(\mathrm{T}_{23}\) \\
\hline 25319-25368 & \(\mathrm{A}_{8} \mathrm{TA}_{4} \mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{8} \mathrm{CA}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CA}_{3}\right)_{3}\) \\
\hline 25593-25655 & (CT) 31 \\
\hline 26064-26098 & \(\mathrm{T}_{4} \mathrm{CT}_{8} \mathrm{CT}_{14}\) \\
\hline 31373-31426 & \(\mathrm{T}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~T}_{10} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{8} \mathrm{AT}_{8}\) \\
\hline 31736-31760 & \(\mathrm{T}_{21}\) \\
\hline 32183-32225 & \(\mathrm{T}_{45}\) \\
\hline 36524-36551 & \(\mathrm{T}_{4}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{G}\right)_{6}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{4} \mathrm{G}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~T}_{7}\) \\
\hline 36619-36666 & poly GT \\
\hline 42706-42731 & \(\mathrm{A}_{25}\) \\
\hline 45194-45231 & \(\mathrm{A}_{19}\) \\
\hline 46260-46423 & (TA) \({ }_{7} \mathrm{~T}_{29}\) \\
\hline 46579-46623 & \(\mathrm{CT}_{5} \mathrm{CT}_{4} \mathrm{CT}_{7} \mathrm{C}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{3} \mathrm{~A}\right)_{5}\) \\
\hline 50090-50113 & \(\mathrm{A}_{23}\) \\
\hline 50637-50776 & \(\mathrm{C}_{139}\) \\
\hline 52075-52100 & \(\mathrm{A}_{22}\) \\
\hline 52666-52706 & \(\mathrm{T}_{19}\) \\
\hline 55078-55120 & \(\mathrm{T}_{5} \mathrm{G}_{3} \mathrm{~T}_{8} \mathrm{G}_{3} \mathrm{~T}_{23}\) \\
\hline 57060-57099 & \(\mathrm{A}_{4}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~T}\right)_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{TATA}_{3} \mathrm{TA}_{5}\) \\
\hline 63109-63152 & \(\mathrm{A}_{22}\) \\
\hline 68592-68623 & \(\mathrm{T}_{24}\) \\
\hline 70796-70831 & \(\mathrm{A}_{35}\) \\
\hline 74938-74996 & \(\mathrm{C}_{58}\) \\
\hline 75001-75072 & \(C_{71}\) \\
\hline 77061-77095 & \(\mathrm{T}_{5}\left(\mathrm{CT}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{13}\) \\
\hline 80473-80620 & poly CT \\
\hline 81007-81037 & \(\mathrm{T}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{18}\) \\
\hline 85194-85239 & (TA) \(6 \mathrm{~T}_{8}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{2}\right)_{5} \mathrm{AT}_{5}\) \\
\hline 87071-87106 & \(\mathrm{A}_{15}\) \\
\hline 99949-99978 & \(\mathrm{T}_{14} \mathrm{CT}_{3} \mathrm{CAT}_{5}\) \\
\hline 111827-111896 & \(\mathrm{T}_{10}(\mathrm{TG}) \varepsilon^{\text {T }} \mathrm{T}_{12}\) \\
\hline 116342-116551 & (TA) 104 \\
\hline 119721-119775 & poly ac \\
\hline 120103-120150 & \(\mathrm{A}_{20}\) \\
\hline 123525-123581 & (TG) 28 \\
\hline 124669-124802 & poly CT \\
\hline 125010-125197 & poly CT \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 39: Simple sequence repeats present in the mouse requiem gene region, including putative genes "Eta," "Theta," and "Epsilon/Beta," from human chromosome 11 q 13 as reported by Xgrail.
(Genbank accession numbers AA002649, AA168660, AA030239, R75085, AA241289, and AA036536). The genome organization of this gene, found in table 40, details the size and position of the introns and exons, as well as the possible regulatory elements present. The putative gene "Eta" has four potential start methionine codons at positions 34212-34215, 34297-34299, 34363-34365, and 34417-34419. There also are two potential stop codons present in this gene region at position 47215-47217 and 4722347225, and a polyadenylation signal downstream from the stop codon at position 4865948664. The intron/exon boundaries for Eta correlate well with the consensus splice junctions, and are tabulated in table 41. The potential Eta gene structure is shown in figure 41 .

\section*{5. Theta}

A third putative gene named "Theta" also is located in this \(125,330 \mathrm{bp}\) region of chromosome 11q13. Theta is predicted by Xgrail to consist of five exons which span a total of 13 kb of genomic sequence. The "Theta" gene region has powblast homology with several human ESTs which contain repeat elements as well as the unique sequence (Genbank accession numbers T54254, Z69719, U73023, T41147, H05039, R49432, N34500, AA017402, M64936, T41177, W15274, R02550, F15197, T41176. AA089966, T84227, N58335, T40311, and U91321). The genomic organization of this gene, shown in table 42, indicates the size and position of the Xgrail predicted exons. The intron/exon boundaries for these predicted exons are given in table 43. "Theta" contains one potential methionine start codon at position 66928-66930, one potential inframe stop codon at position 59840-59842 and a polyadenylation signal at position 58420-58425. Taken together, these results allow for the construction of a putative Theta gene model as shown in figure 41.

\section*{6. Epsilon/Beta}

The fourth putative gene, named "Epsilon/Beta." was located in the 11 q13 BAC bl8h3, and shown to contain 12 Xgrail predicted exons that span 35 kb of genomic

\section*{Genome Organization - putative "Eta" gene}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Exon number & position & size of exon & size of intron \\
\hline 01 & 34074-34486 & 6412 & 156 \\
\hline 02 & 34642-34845 & 203 & 1146 \\
\hline 03 & 35991-36036 & 6 45 & 11154 \\
\hline 04 & 47190-47221 & 131 & --- \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{4}{*}{potential ATGstarts \(\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & 3\end{aligned}\)}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
34212-34215
\]}} \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{34363-34365} \\
\hline & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{34417-34419} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{potential UGAstop 4}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{} \\
\hline & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
47223-47225
\]} \\
\hline poly A sign & gnal 4 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{48659-48664} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 40: Genome organization of the putative "Eta" gene from human chromosome 11q13. The gene contains 4 exons for a total of 0.7 kb , which span roughly 13 kb of genomic sequence. The potential start codons all are located within exon 1, the stop codons within exon 4 and the polyadenylation signal is 1444 or 1436 nucleotides downstream of the stop codon, depending which stop codon is used.

\section*{Splice Junctions-putative "Eta" gene}
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\hline Left & & & \\
Exon & Left splice iunction & Right solice iunction & Right \\
Exon
\end{tabular}

Table 41: Splice junctions for putative "Eta" gene from human chromosome 11q13.

\section*{Genome Organization - putative "Theta" gene}
\begin{tabular}{llcl}
\hline Exon number & position & size of exon & size of intron \\
\hline 01 & \(66861-66974\) & 113 & \\
02 & \(66412-66597\) & 185 & 264 \\
03 & \(63854-64112\) & 258 & 2300 \\
04 & \(63453-63711\) & 258 & 143 \\
05 & \(59829-60041\) & 212 & 3412 \\
& & & \\
potential ATGstart & \(66928-66930\) & \\
potential UGAstop & \(59840-59842\) & \\
poly A signal & \(58420-58425\) &
\end{tabular}

Table 42: Genome organization of the putative "Theta" gene from human chromosome 11q13. The gene contains 5 exons for a total of 1.0 kb , which spans roughly 13 kb of genomic sequence. The potential start codon is located within exon 1 , the stop codon within exon 5 and the polyadenylation signal is 1420 nucleotides downstream of the stop codon.

\section*{Splice Junctions-putative "Theta" gene}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Left} & Right splice iunction & Right \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Exon Left splice junction Right splice iunction} & \\
\hline 01 TT & CGGGGAGgtatctgggg & ctctctgcagCTCCTGGGCT & 02 \\
\hline 02 GT & CCTGCAGgtgagggggc & ccggtaccagGGGCCCGTGC & 03 \\
\hline 03 CAG & AATCCCAgtaagtaaag & tctgceccagGCTGCCACGG & 04 \\
\hline 04 TC & TCCGCTGgtggggatga & cccgcctcagCCTCCCAAAG & 05 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{consensus:} & CAGgtagagt & tncagG & \\
\hline & \(A \quad t\) & c & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 43: Splice junctions for putative "Theta" gene from human chromosome 11 ql3.
sequence. This gene region has homology to many human ESTs (Genbank accession numbers C18833, W32038, G21695, U60873, T86516, N22644, H01421, H91303, R68990, W57744, T78464, N41457, N64365, AA169520, AA053165, T96710, T86426, AA160043, T18972, D16859, W76407, W72389, R23859, D16982, W32317, N28235, W56683, W39338, W56108, AA010989, W56012, W38857, W38907, N23466, W37277, W37893, AA054357, AA016190, R71357, AA053611, N67461, AA0101866, AA045252, AA045143, W57753, N92350, W37790, AA039604, N98703, D17151, D63288, H86877, R53723, R78782, R31243, AA24835, C18790, and AA224926), and several human ESTs which contain repeat elements (Genbank accession numbers R19205, R61134, N76852, N55476, R44467, T70741. T98980, AA191318, T98936, R61852, R61852, N20806, H56441, H56442, N31062, AA214047, T81440, T69164, T68489, AA233267, T69227, N21656, AA243867, R95099, R95100, H02561, T98359, T68422, T59151, and U90223).

The genomic organization of the putative "Epsilon/Beta" gene is shown in table 44. The gene contains 12 exons which correspond to a coding region of 1.4 kb . Two possible methionine start codons are present, one at 71623-71625 and the other at 7162971631, three possible in-frame stop codons are observed at positions at 107074-107076, 107085-107087, and 107099-107101, and a polyadenylation signal is seen downstream at position 118629-118634. All of the intron/exon boundaries for this gene conform well to the consensus splice junctions as shown in table 45.

\section*{V. Cat Eye Syndrome Region Sequencing and Analysis}

One BAC of approximately \(220,000 \mathrm{bp}\) (b376al) from the Cat Eye Syndrome region was analyzed after sequence scanning. A blast search versus the pALU database reveals that \(85 \%\) of this BAC consists of repeat elements, with several repeats being full length. With such a high percentage of repeat sequences, this BAC is nearly impossible to assemble using the current shotgun assembly programs. Statistically, even though sufficent shotgun data has been generated to complete the entire BAC with 4 -fold

\section*{Genome Organization - putative "Epsilon/Beta" gene}


Table 44: Genome organization of the putative "Epsilon/Beta" gene from human chromosome 11 q13. The gene contains 12 exons for a total of 1.4 kb , which span roughly 35 kb of genomic sequence. The potential start codons all are located within exon 1 , the stop codons within exon 12 and the polyadenylation signal is 11569 nucleotides downstream of the stop codon. However, three additional polyadenylation sites are present in the intronic region before exon 12 (100087-100092, 101014-10109, and 105693-105698), although no stop codon is present at the end of exon 11.

\section*{Splice Junctions-putative "Epsilon/beta" gene}
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\hline Left & & & \\
Exon & Left splice junction & Right splice junction & Right \\
Exon
\end{tabular}

Table 45: Splice junctions for putative "Epsilon/beta" gene from human chromosome 1lq13.
redundancy, the BAC still remains in 32 contigs (including only the contigs greater than 1.9 kb , since smaller contigs could potentially be contaminating \(E\). coli sequence). The largest contig is 44.9 kb and has 17 -fold coverage, while the additional 31 contigs range in size from 1.9 to 8.1 kb and have an average of 3-fold coverage. Since this BAC contains mostly repetitive elements, the assembly programs tend to combine all gel reads with these elements into the same contig, i.e. the 44.9 kb contig (contig 111) in this case, and the remaining contigs cannot be ordered (see figure 42).

Xgrail and powblast analysis was performed on the largest contig, contig 111, and the results are shown in figure 43. The lone database homology highlighted by powblast (Genbank accession number Z54334) does not have a corresponding exon in Xgrail, but this is not surprising since the region of database homology is with a clone containing the Huntington's Disease expandable CAG repeat. This repeat is flagged by an erroneously predicted Xgrail CpG island this sequence is not a perfect CAG repeat. Furthermore, the match in this region of contig 111 also is part of an L 1 repeat element. Contig 111 contains a large number of polyadenylation sites and the positions and direction of these sites are given in table 46. In addition to repeat elements such as ALU, L1, MER and MIR, this contig also contains many simple sequence repeats (see table 47). Interestingly, the majority of the simple repeats found in this contig are tracts of poly T or poly A . Ten of the 30 simple sequence repeats recognized by Xgrail are poly T tracts of greater than 9 nucleotides, while 13 of the 30 are poly A tracts of greater than 10 nucleotides.

Several other regions of database homology are present in the other contigs of b376al, and the Xgrail predicted exons, features and powblast homologies for all b376al contigs is detailed in table 48. One particular region of interest is the database homology to mouse and human U6 small nuclear RNA in contig 102. These database homologies could indicate a potential gene since the same gene in mouse and human has homology in this region, but the lack of any open reading frame, or potential splice junctions predicted

b.376al 221),(Кж) bp

Figure 42: Contig map of b. 376 al . This BAC has a 37.8 kb repeated region which does not assemble properly with any of the assembly programs available.


Figure 43: Top pancl: Graphical sesult of Xgrail gene identification software for the repeat contig from human chromosome 22q11. The peaks present are Xgrail prodicted exons with a quality rating of excellent (green), good (blue) or marginal (red). The light blue lollipops are polyadenylation signals and the purple squares are CpG islands. Yellow and red squares indicate promotors and the vertical orange bars denole simple sequence repeats. Bottom pancl: Graphical display of the powhlast output using Musk. The hlack har at the top of the pand represents the consensus seyuence with the grey areas indieating repeat regions. The lines under the black bar indicate database homology tretween the consensus sequence and entries in the public database.

\section*{b376a1 contig 111polyadenylation signals}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
position & strand \\
\hline & \\
\(8505-8510\) & f \\
\(13769-13774\) & f \\
\(20848-20853\) & f \\
\(25430-25435\) & f \\
\(33542-33547\) & f \\
\(34645-34650\) & f \\
\(6482-6487\) & r \\
\(13787-13792\) & r \\
\(23364-23369\) & r \\
\(26120-26125\) & r \\
\(26127-26132\) & r \\
\(26542-26547\) & r \\
\(26842-26847\) & r \\
\(26846-26851\) & r \\
\(28427-28432\) & r \\
\(30274-30279\) & r \\
\(34315-34320\) & r \\
\(34464-34469\) & r \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 46: Polyadenylation signals present in the b376al contig 111 from human chromosome 22q 11 as reported by Xgrail.

\section*{b376al contig 111simple sequence repeats}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline position & Repeat \\
\hline 95-117 & \(\left(\mathrm{CA}_{2}\right)_{5}\) \\
\hline 239-261 & T21 \\
\hline 867-901 & \(\mathrm{T}_{4} \mathrm{GT} 6 \mathrm{GT} \mathrm{S}_{5}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{3} \mathrm{G}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~T}_{4} \mathrm{G}\) \\
\hline 1847-1879 & \(\mathrm{A}_{23}\) \\
\hline 4450-4474 & T16 \\
\hline 4935-4977 & \(\mathrm{TGT}_{4} \mathrm{GT}_{8} \mathrm{GT}_{15} \mathrm{G}_{3} \mathrm{TG}_{2}\) \\
\hline 5746-5775 & \(\mathrm{A}_{25}\) \\
\hline 6063-6101 & \(\mathrm{A}_{9} \mathrm{CA}_{13}\) \\
\hline 6358-6369 & \(\mathrm{A}_{12}\) \\
\hline 6949-6982 & \(\mathrm{CA}_{7}\left(\mathrm{CA}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CA} 9\) \\
\hline 8022-8058 & T 16 \\
\hline 8787-8824 & \(\left(\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~T}\right)_{7}\) \\
\hline 10647-10662 & Tll \\
\hline 11571-11585 & T14 \\
\hline 12426-12457 & \(\mathrm{A}_{20}\) \\
\hline 12891-12991 & poly CA \\
\hline 13266-13276 & \(\mathrm{A}_{1} 1\) \\
\hline 15197-15219 & T9 \\
\hline 16601-16629 & \(\mathrm{A}_{16}\) \\
\hline 18631-18664 & T14 \\
\hline 21387-21421 & \(\mathrm{A}_{19}\) \\
\hline 21870-21918 & \(\mathrm{CA}_{7} \mathrm{CA}_{6} \mathrm{CA}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CA}_{6}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CA}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~A}\left(\mathrm{CA}_{2}\right)_{2}\) \\
\hline 22927-22940 & \(\mathrm{A}_{10}\) \\
\hline 25464-25481 & T 17 \\
\hline 26460-26481 & \(\mathrm{A}_{11} \mathrm{CA}_{6}\) \\
\hline 28657-28688 & \(\mathrm{T}_{4} \mathrm{CT}_{3} \mathrm{CT}_{21}\) \\
\hline 30376-30424 & \(\mathrm{A}_{18}\) \\
\hline 31247-31283 & \(\mathrm{A}_{2} 3\) \\
\hline 33834-33857 & \(\left(\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~T}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{TA}_{2} \mathrm{~T}\) \\
\hline 35155-35170 & \(\mathrm{T}_{15}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 47: Simple sequence repeats present in the b376al contig 111 from human chromosome 22q11 as reported by Xgrail.

\section*{b376a1 Xgrail predicted exons/powblast predicted database homology}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline contig \# & Xgrail exons & Powblast hits & Features \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 111 \\
& (44.9)
\end{aligned}
\]} & 3 excellent & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Z54334 (CA repeat)} & 18 PA \\
\hline & 3 good & & 3 CpG \\
\hline & 1 marginal & & 30 SSR \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 110 \\
& (8.1)
\end{aligned}
\]} & 1 excellent & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{none} & 2 PA \\
\hline & 1 marginal & & 9 SSR \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 109 \\
& (6.6)
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1 good} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{none} & 2 PA \\
\hline & & & 1 CpG \\
\hline & & & 11 SSR \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 108 \\
& (6.5)
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1 good} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{none} & 2 PA \\
\hline & & & 1 CpG \\
\hline & & & 7 SSR \\
\hline 107 & 1 good & none & 1 SSR \\
\hline (8.2) & 2 marginal & & \\
\hline 106 & lexcellent & G29765 (STS) & 2 PA \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{(6.4)} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{1 good} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
H89730 (Hu EST) \\
278021 (STS) \\
X78694 (M EST) \\
7 EST w/LTR
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{1 SSR} \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline 105 & 1 marginal & none & 3 PA \\
\hline (4.7) & & & 4 SSR \\
\hline 104 & 1 excellent & none & 5 SSR \\
\hline (5.0) & 1 good & & \\
\hline 103 & 1 excellent & none & 1 PA \\
\hline (4.5) & & & 4 SSR \\
\hline 102 & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{none} & V00853 (M U6 snRNA) & 2 PA \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{(4.6)} & & J00648 (M U6 snRNA) & 1 CpG \\
\hline & & X07425 (Hu U6 snRNA) & 9 SSR \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 101 \\
& (3.1)
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{none} & U16738 (Hu EST w/CAG) & 4 PA \\
\hline & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{8 EST w/Mer} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { I prom } \\
& \text { I CpG }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline & & & 2 SSR \\
\hline 100 & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{none} & Z77249 (Hu cosmid) & 1 PA \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{(3.2)} & & H59740 (Hu EST) & 2 SSR \\
\hline & & 285990 (BRCA2 GR) & \\
\hline & & R31871 (Hu EST) & \\
\hline 99 & none & none & 1 SSR \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{(2.1)} \\
\hline 98 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{none} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{none} & 1 PA \\
\hline (2.5) & & & 4 SSR \\
\hline 97 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{none} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{none} & 1 PA \\
\hline (1.8) & & & 2 SSR \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 96 \\
& (2.7)
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1 marginal} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{T27264 (Hu EST)} & 1 PA \\
\hline & & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \text { prom } \\
& 1 \text { SSR }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 95 \\
& (2.8)
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2 good} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{67 Hu ESTs} & 1 prom \\
\hline & & & 1 CpG \\
\hline & & & 2 SSR \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 94 \\
& (2.8)
\end{aligned}
\] & 1 good & none & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \mathrm{PA} \\
& 1 \mathrm{CpG}
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline & & & 6 SSR \\
\hline 93 & none & none & 1 PA \\
\hline (2.6) & & & 7 SSR \\
\hline 92 & 1 excellent & none & 1 PA \\
\hline (2.2) & & & 3 SSR \\
\hline 91 & none & none & 5 SSR \\
\hline (1.5) & & & \\
\hline 90 & 1 good & none & 1 PA \\
\hline (2.1) & & & 2 CpG \\
\hline & & & 2 SSR \\
\hline 89 & 1 excellent & none & none \\
\hline (1.6) & & & \\
\hline 88 & none & 3 Hu ESTs & 2 PA \\
\hline (1.9) & & & 3 SSR \\
\hline 87 & 1 good & none & 1 PA \\
\hline (1.8) & & & 1 SSR \\
\hline 86 & none & none & 1 PA \\
\hline (1.9) & & & 1 CpG \\
\hline & & & 1 SSR \\
\hline 85 & 1 good & none & 1 PA \\
\hline (1.9) & & & 2 SSR \\
\hline 84 & none & none & 1 CpG \\
\hline (1.9) & & & 2 SSR \\
\hline 82 & 1 marginal & none & 2 PA \\
\hline (3.4) & & & 2 SSR \\
\hline 77 & none & none & 1 CpG \\
\hline (2.0) & & & 3 SSR \\
\hline 71 & 1 good & none & 1 PA \\
\hline (1.9) & & & \\
\hline 70 & none & none & 1 SSR \\
\hline (2.0) & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 48: Simple sequence repeats present in the b376al contig 111 from human chromosome 22q11 as reported by Xgrail. Abbreviations: PA, polyadenylation signals; \(\mathrm{CpG}, \mathrm{CpG}\) islands; prom, promoter region,; SSR, simple sequence repeat; Hu, human; M, mouse: GR, gene region; CAG, CAG repeat region. Numbers in parenthesis are contig size in kb .
by Xgrail make this unlikely, and in addition, the contigs containing unique sequence arenot sufficiently large to reveal any pattern of predicted exons or gene organization.

\section*{Chapter IV}

\section*{CONCLUSIONS}

\section*{A. Technique development and sequence scanning}

The results presented here demonstrate that a plasmid-based, shotgun sequencing approach for large scale sequencing is a feasible strategy to obtain over 0.67 Mb of sequence data. Completing this task in a reasonable amount of time was due to the improvements in the overall shotgun sequencing strategy and newly developed methods that were implemented during the past year. These methods include a large scale diatomaceous earth-based BAC isolation and an automated double-stranded template isolation on the Biomek robots developed as part of this dissertation research and these techniques have greatly increased the laboratory efficiency by minimizing human error and resulted in increased quality of the template samples.

The search for the genes involved in inherited disorders has prompted new strategies for DNA sequencing which focus not on gaining complete, final sequence to \(100 \%\) accuracy, but on gaining information about particular a gene's location, organization and regulation. A strategy, called Sequence Scanning, developed to find genes responsible for inherited diseases, was implemented and tested as part of this dessertation research. The studies presented here clearly show the feasibility of a scanning strategy in which the genomic DNA is sequenced to approximately \(98 \%\) completion, and then analysed for gene content. This approach has been shown to be extremely efficient as the results of these studies demonstrate that for previously known genes, i.e. genes whose mRNA has been sequenced, but the genomic organization was unknown, only three out of 84 known exons ( \(3.6 \%\) ) were not found in the genomic sequence data generated by sequence scanning. The three missing exons are located in gaps between the contigs assembled from the shotgun data, but, even so, the genes easily
were identified by the presence of the overwhelming majority of exons, database homologies, and regulatory regions present in the resulting contigs. That this approach yielded the localization of nine putative genes in the approximately 0.5 Mb chromosome 1 1q13 region also supports the notion that sequence scanning is a viable method for finding putative genes when teamed with powerful analysis programs such as Xgrail and powblast.

\section*{B. MN-1 gene region, 22q11}

The \(90,213 \mathrm{bp}\) meningioma deletion region of human chromosome 22q11 was sequenced to a confidence level of greater than \(99.99 \%\) (NCBI-NLM Phase 3) using an inital shotgun based sequencing approach followed by directed sequencing for gap closure. The sequence of three overlapping cosmids 76A4, 50B11 and 58B12 form a contiguous region of 22 q 11 and has been assigned Genbank accession number AC000105. Within this meningioma deletion region, the MN-1 gene was localized, the genomic organization was fully characterized, and a repeat density of \(30 \%\) was observed in both intergenic and intragenic regions. No other genes in addition to the \(\mathrm{MN}-1\) gene were observed in this 90 kb region.
C. b137c7, 11q13

Approximately 140,000 bases of unique sequence (Genbank accession number AC000134) was generated from bl37c7 in the MEN-1 gene region from human chromosome 11 q 13 by sequence scanning. The sequence of this BAC is contained in 21 ordered contigs (NCBI-NLM Phase 2) ranging in size from 2.5 kb to 21.1 kb (see figure 44). Using the Xgrail and powblast analysis tools, three known genes were located (PYGM, ZFM1, and GC kinase) and the genomic organization of these genes was fully characterized. Four previously unknown genes (human neurexin II \(\alpha\) homologue, Nu , MEN-1 and Kappa) also were identified and characterized by the Xgrail and powblast analysis, and this gene-rich BAC from 11 q 13 contains an average repeat density of \(30 \%\). It is important to note that the gene named MEN-1 subsequently has been shown to be the

\section*{Contig Alignment Map - b137c7 from human chromosome 11q13}


Figure 44: Contig alignment map of bac 137c7 from human chromosome 11q13. The black dashed line represents the size and location of the contigs. Numbers under the black dashed line indicate the contig number, and the number in parenthesis is the contig size in kilobases. Gene/putative gene positions are indicated in color at the bottom of the figure.
gene responsible for the MEN-I phenotype, as determined by disruption/deletion experiments performed in Dr. Collins' laboratory at the NHGRI.
D. b18h3, 11q13

The BAC bl8h3 from the human chromosome 11 q 13 region, contains approximately \(240,000 \mathrm{bp}\) (Genbank accession number AC000353), as determined after 3 -fold sequence coverage via sequence scanning, and could be organized into seven ordered contigs ranging in size from 0.2 kb to 67 kb (see figure 45). The DNA polymerase \(\alpha\) previously mapped to 11q13. is located within this 11q13 BAC and its genomic structure is now reported for the first time. Additionally, five previously unknown genes (Zeta, Eta, Theta, HREQ and Epsilon/Beta) were identified in this gene rich-region of 11 q13, and an average repeat density is approximately \(45 \%\) was observed. The previously unknown genes were characterized by analysis with Xgrail and powblast, and gene models were developed to reveal their genomic organization.

\section*{E. CES b376a1, 22q11}

Analysis of the \(220,000 \mathrm{bp}\) (Genbank accession number AC000360) BAC b376al by sequence scanning was completely devoid of genes, and had a repeat element frequency of \(80 \%\). The sequence of this BAC lends further support to the notion that sequence scanning is an effective method for gene hunting, based on the knowledge that coding regions do not typically contain repeat elements such as ALU or Ll. The significant percentage of repeat elements found in this BAC indicate that this clearly is not a gene rich region of the chromosome, and subsequently, in the search for genes, the inquiry could continue with other adjacent genomic clones in the region by sequence scanning at a lower cost and effort than is required for complete closure of the shotgun data into one contig.

\section*{F. Summary}

During the course of this dissertation research, methods were developed and implemented that resulted in the sequence of approximately two thirds of a million bases

\section*{Contig Alignment Map - b18h3 from human chromosome 11q13}


Figure 45: Contig alignment map of hac 18 h 3 from human chromosome 11q13. The black dashed line represents the size and location of the contigs. Numbers under the black dashed line indicate the contig number, and the number in parenthesis is the contig size in kilobases. Gene/putative gene positions are indicated in color at the botom of the figure.
of human genomic DNA. Computer based analysis methods were employed and indicate the presence of 14 genes, both previously characterized and newly revealed. Based on earlier studies \({ }^{326}\), this present work confirms the \(30-50 \%\) repeat sequence density in gene rich regions of human DNA. Finally, the long sought after gene for Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia, type 1 (MEN-1) now has been characterized at the genomic sequence level, and now will allow for early detection of this familial cancer.
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\section*{APPENDIX - Protocols}

\section*{I. Electroporation Competent Cell Preparation \({ }^{400}\)}
1. Grow a fresh overnight culture of XLIBlueMRF' cells in liquid YENB medium ( 7.5 g bacto yeast extract, 8 g bacto nutrient broth, to IL with double distilled water, autoclave to sterilize).
2. Inoculate 1 L of fresh YENB medium with 5-10 \(\mu \mathrm{l}\) of the fresh ON culture and grow cells at \(37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) with shaking at 250 rpm . Cells should be harvested between an \(A_{600}\) of 0.5 to 0.9 (usually 4-5 hours). If cells are overgrown, dilute back to an \(\mathrm{A}_{600}\) of approximately 0.2 and regrow to desired \(\mathrm{A}_{600}\).
3. To harvest cells, pour 250 ml of culture in each of four 500 ml centrifuge bottles, chill on ice for 5 minutes and centrifuge at 4000 Xg (approximately 4900 rpm in GS3 rotor) for 10 minutes at 40 C . It is important not to centrifuge at a higher \(g\) value than needed to pellet the cells: more centrifugal force applied for a longer time makes the cells difficult to resuspend gently, which, in turn, leads to cell disruption and reduces the electrotransformation efficiency.
4. Pour the media off into another flask and autoclave prior to disposal. Invert each bottle and wope the interior rim with a clean Kimwipe. Add 100 ml sterile cold water to each centrifuge bottle, gently resuspend the cells and centrifuge as in step 3. Discard supernatant as above, and add 100 ml sterile cold water to each bottle, gently resuspending the cells. Transfer 100 ml cell suspension from each of two bottles to the remaining two bottles, leaving 200 ml suspension per bottle, and centrifuge as in step 3. Remove and discard supernatant as above.
5. Resuspend the cells in one bottle in 20 ml cold sterile \(10 \%\) glycerol and transfer the 20 ml suspension to the other bottle containing a cell pellet and resuspend those cells. Centrifuge as in step 3; remove and discard supernatant.
6. Resuspend the cells (from IL of culture) in a final volume of \(2-3 \mathrm{ml}\) cold sterile \(10 \%\) glycerol. The cell number in the suspension should be \(2-4 \times 10^{10}\) cells/ml. These competent cells can be used fresh or frozen (see step 7) for future use.
7. Aliquot competent cells into 1.5 ml microfuge tubes ( \(40 \mu \mathrm{~L} /\) tube: measure accurately-insufficient volume can cause arcing during electrotransformation) and flash freeze by placing the tubes on dry ice until frozen. Store at \(-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\). Competent cells stored in this manner are viable for \(1-2\) years.
II. Electroporation (to be performed in cold room) \({ }^{402}\)
1. Gently thaw competent cells on ice. Remove sterile cuvettes ( 0.2 cm ) from their pouches and place them in the cold room to pre-chill.
2. Add \(2-3 \mu\) ligation mix tot he thawed, chilled competent cells and mix by gently pipetting up and down a few times.
3. Let the mix sit on ice for 1 minute (time this).
4. During the incubation on ice, turn on the Gene Pulser apparatus (switch to the bottom right side of the apparatus) and set it to 2.5 kV by simultaneously pressing the "raise" and "lower" pads on the Pulser twice until the LED display reads "2.50."
5. Pipette the chilled cell suspension into the narrow portion of the cuvette between the aluminum plates. Gently shake the suspension to the bottom of the cuvette. Put on the white cuvette cap supplied and place the capped cuvette int he cuvette slide. Push the slide into the shocking chamber (under the plastic shield) until it makes firm contact witht he shocking chamber electrodes (between the red and black electrode leads).
6. To charge the capacitor and deliver a pulse, depress and hold both rectangular red pulse buttons until a continuous tone sounds. The LED display will flash
"Chg" indicating that the capacitor is being charged to the selected voltage. The tone signals that the pulse has been delivered and the pulse buttons may be released. (If arcing occurs this indicates that the sample is too conductive. The cells may be plated, but the yield will be significantly decreased.
7. Remove the cuvette fromt he chamber and IMMEDIATELY add I ml of YENB medium. (If YENB is not added immediately after the pulse, the number of transformants is reduced).
8. Check the time constant by simultaneously pressing the the "Actual Volts (kV)" and the "Set Volts (kV)" pads on the front panel of the apparatus. The time constant should be close to 5.0 milliseconds (an experimentally determined optimal value to be used when working with high resistance samples). Process one sample at a time and turn off the pulser after finishing all samples.
9. Transfer the cell suspension to a \(17 \times 100 \mathrm{~mm}\) Falcon tube and incubate at \(37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) with shaking at 350 rpm for thour, and plate the cells using the standard protocol \({ }^{345}\).
10. If additional transformations for the same project are desired, the cuvettes may be re-used for the same ligation mix. Rinse the cuvettes thoroughly with sterile double distilled water and repeat steps 2-9.
11. Rinse cuvettes well with sterile double distilled water, air dry and store for possible re-use ONLY for the same project.
III. Large Scale Double Stranded Isolation for plasmid, cosmid and BAC
1. Pick a smear of bacterial colonies harboring the plasmid, cosmid or BAC DNA of interest into a \(12 \times 75 \mathrm{~mm}\) Falcon tube containing 2 ml LB media ( 10 g bacto tryptone, 5 g bacto yeast extract, 10 g NaCl , double distilled water to IL, autoclave to sterilize) supplemented with the appropriate antibiotic and incubate at \(37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) for 8 hours with shaking at 250 rpm . Transfer the culture to an

Erlenmeyer flask containing 50 ml similar media, and incubate further for 8 hours. Transfer 12.5 ml of the culture ot each of 4 liters of similar media and incubate for an additional 8 hours.
2. Harvest the cells by centrifugation at 7000 rpm for 20 minutes in 500 ml bottles in the RC5-B using the GS3 rotor. Resuspend the cell pellets in old media and combine cell suspensions and recentrifuge as before such that 2 L initial culture for plasmids and cosmids ( 1 L initial culture for BAC) per 500 ml bottle. Cell pellets may be frozen at \(-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) at this point.
3. Resuspend the cell pellets (either from 2 L plasmid or cosmid culture, or 1 L BAC culture) in 35 ml GET ( 50 mM glucose, 25 mM Tris \(/ \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 8.0\) and 10 mM EDTA, pH 8.0 in double distilled water) by gently teasing the pellet with a spatula. Do not vortex the lysate at any time because this may shear the host chromosomal DNA. Once cells are resuspended, add \(2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}\) crystal lysozyme and incubate at room temperature for 10 minutes.
4. Add 70 ml alkaline lysis solution (SDS/ \(\mathrm{NaOH}: 1 \% \mathrm{SDS}, 0.2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}\) in double distilled water) to each bottle, gently mix, and incubate for 5 minutes in an ice-water bath.
5. Add 52.5 ml 3 M NaOAc , pH 4.8 ( \(408.24 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaOAc}-3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) in 300 ml double distilled water, adjust pH to 4.8 with glacial acetic acid and bring final volume to 1 L with double distilled water), cap tightly and gently mix by inverting the bottle a few times slowly. Incubate 30-60 minutes in an ice-water bath.
6. Clear the lysate of precipitated SDS, proteins, membranes and chromosomal DNA by pouring through a double layer of cheesecloth. Transfer the lysate into a 250 ml centrifuge bottle, centrifuge at \(10,000 \mathrm{rpm}\) for 30 minutes at \(4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) int he RC5-B using the GSA rotor.
7. Pour the supernatants from step 6 into 500 ml bottles and add DNase-free RNase A (20 mg/ml RNase A in \(1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{pH} 4.8\) ) and RNase Tl (100
\(\mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{l}\) in 50 mM Tris \(/ \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.6\) ) such that the final concentration is \(40 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}\) and \(40 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{ml}\), respectively. Incubate in a 370 C water bath for 30 minutes.
8. Add an equal volume of isopropanol and precipitate at room temperature for 5 minutes. Centrifuge at \(9,000 \mathrm{rpm}\) for 30 minutes in the RC5-B using the GS3 rotor. Decant the supernatant and drain the DNA pellet.
9. Resuspend the DNA pellet in \(20 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{10:1} \mathrm{TE} \mathrm{buffer} \mathrm{(plasmid} \mathrm{and} \mathrm{cosmid} \mathrm{only)}\) and add 40 ml (add 50 ml defined diatomaceous earth for BAC) defined diatomaceous earth in guanidine -HCl ( \(100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}\) : suspend 10 g diatomaceous earth in 100 ml distilled water in a 100 ml graduated cylinder and allow to settle for 3 hours. Decant the supernatant and resuspend the pellet in 100 ml of 6 M guanadine \(\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 6.4,50 \mathrm{mM}\) Tris- \(\mathrm{HCl}, 20 \mathrm{mM}\) EDTA). Allow the DNA to bind at room temperature for 5 minutes with occasional mixing. Centrifuge at 9,000 for 10 minutes in the RC5-B using the GS3 rotor.
10. Decant the supernatant, resuspend the pellet in 40 ml diatomaceous earth wash buffer ( 10 mM Tris/ \(\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 8.0,1 \mathrm{mM}\) EDTA, pH 8.0 and \(50 \%\) ethanol in double distilled water) and centrifuge as above.
11. Decant the supernatant, resuspend the pellet in 40 ml acetone and centrifuge as above.
12. Decant the supernatant and dry the pellet in a vacuum oven.
13. Resuspend the pellet in 20 ml 10:1 TE buffer ( 10 mM Tris \(/ \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.6,1 \mathrm{mM}\) EDTA, pH 8.0 in double distilled water) and elute the bound DNA by incubation at \(65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) for 10 minutes with occasional mixing.
14. Remove the diatomaceous earth by centrifugation as above. Repeat if necessary
15. Combine the DNA-containing supernatants and precipitate the DNA in 35 ml Corex glass tubes adding 2.5 volumes of cold \(95 \%\) ethanol/acetate ( \(95 \%\) ethanol, \(0.12 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{pH} 4.8\) in double distilled water).
16. Resuspend the dried DNA pellet in 2 ml of 10:0.1 TE buffer ( \(10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Tris} / \mathrm{HCl}\), \(\mathrm{pH} 7.6,0.1 \mathrm{mM}\) EDTA, pH 8.0 in double distilled water) and assay for concentration by absorbance readings at 260 nm or by agarose electrophoresis versus known standards.
IV. Midiprep double stranded DNA isolation for plasmid or cosmid
1. Pick a smear of colonies harboring the plasmid or cosmid of interest into a 12 x 75 mm Falcon tube containing \(3 \mathrm{ml} 2 \times T Y\) media ( 16 g bacto tryptone, 10 g bacto yeast extract, 5 g NaCl in double distilled water to 1 L , autoclave to sterilize) supplemented with the appropriate antibiotic and incubate at \(37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) for 8-10 hours with shaking at 250 rpm . Transfer the culture to an Erlenmeyer flask containing 50 ml of similar media, and incubate further 11-14 hours.
2. Harvest the cells by centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 5 minutes in 50 ml conical tubes in the Beckman GPR tabletop centrifuge and decant the supernatant. The cell pellets can be frozen at \(-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) at this point.
3. Resuspend the cell pellets in 2 ml GET/lysozyme solution ( 50 mM glucose, 25 mM Tris \(/ \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 8.0\) and 10 mM EDTA, pH 8.0 in double distilled water, supplement with \(2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}\) lysozyme before use), add 4 ml alkaline lysis solution ( \(1 \%\) SDS, 0.2 N NaOH ), gently mix and incubate for 5 minutes in an ice-water bath.
4. Add \(4 \mathrm{ml} 3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{pH} 4.8\) ( \(408.24 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaOAc}-3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) in 300 ml double distilled water, adjust pH to 4.8 with glacial acetic acid and bring final volume to 1 L with double distilled water), gently mix by swirling. and incubate in an ice-water bath for 30-60 minutes.
5. Clear the lysate of precipitated SDS, proteins, membranes and chromosomal DNA by pouring through a double layer of cheesecloth into a clean 50 ml
conical tube. Centrifuge at 3000 rpm for 20 minutes at \(4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) in the Beckman GPR tabletop centrifuge.
6. Decant the supernatant to a 50 ml polypropylene centrifuge tube, add \(20 \mu \mathrm{l}\) of 20 \(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}\) DNase free-RNase A ( \(20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}\) RNase A in \(1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{pH} 4.8\) ) and incubate in a \(37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) water bath for 30 minutes.
7. Add 7 ml (equal volume) of defined diatomaceous earth in guanadine- HCl ( 20 \(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}\) : suspend 2 g diatomaceous earth in 100 ml distilled water in a 100 ml graduated cylinder and allow to settle for 3 hours. Decant the supernatant and resuspend the pellet in 100 ml of 6 M guanadine \(\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 6.4,50 \mathrm{mM}\) Tris\(\mathrm{HCl}, 20 \mathrm{mM}\) EDTA) and allow the DNA to bind at room temperature for 5 minutes with occasional mixing. Centrifuge at 3000 rpm for 5 minutes in the Beckman GPR tabletop centrifuge.
8. Decant the supernatant, resuspend in 7 ml diatomaceous earth wash buffer ( 10 mM Tris \(/ \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 8.0,1 \mathrm{mM}\) EDTA, pH 8.0 and \(50 \%\) ethanol in double distilled water) and centrifuge as before.
9. Decant the supernatant, resuspend in 7 ml acetone, and centrifuge as before.
10. Decant the supernatant and dry in a vacuum oven.
11. Resuspend the pellet in 0.6 ml of \(10: 1\) ( 10 mM Tris \(/ \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.6,1 \mathrm{mM}\) EDTA, pH 8.0 ) and elute the bound DNA by incubation at \(65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) for 10 minutes with intermittent mixing.
12. Remove the diatomaceous earth by centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 5 minutes in the Beckman GPR tabletop centrifuge.
13. Transfer the supernatant to a 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube and centrifuge at \(12,000 \mathrm{rpm}\) for 5 minutes in a microcentrifuge at room temperature. Transfer the supernatant to a new 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube and ethanol precipitate.
14. Resuspend the dried DNA pellet in 40 ml 10:0.1 TE buffer ( 10 mM Tris/ HCl , pH \(7.6,0.1 \mathrm{mM}\) EDTA, pH 8.0 ) and assay for concentration by agarose gel electrophoresis versus known standards.

\section*{V. Miniprep double stranded DNA isolation}
1. Pick a smear of bacteria harboring the plasmid or cosmid of interest into a 17 x 100 mm Falcon tube containing 6 ml TB media ( 12 g bactotryptone, 24 g bacto yeast extract, 4 ml glycerol to 900 ml with double distilled water, autoclave to sterilize, cool, then add \(100 \mathrm{ml} 10 \times \mathrm{TB}\) salts. \(10 \times \mathrm{TB}\) salts: \(2.31 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\), \(12.54 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}\) in 100 ml double distilled water, autoclave to sterilize) supplemented with the appropriate antibiotic and incubate at \(37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) for \(16-18\) hours with shaking at 250 rpm .
2. Harvest the cells by centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 5 minutes in the Beckman GPR tabletop centrifuge, and decant the supernatant. The cell pellets may be frozen at this point.
3. Resuspend the cell pellets in 0.2 ml of TE-RNase solution ( \(50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Tris} / \mathrm{HCl}\), pH 7.6, 10 mM EDTA, \(\mathrm{pH} 8.0,40 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}\) RNase A and some add \(10 \mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{l}\) RNase T1) by gently vortexing, add 0.2 ml of alkaline lysis solution ( \(1 \%\) SDS, 0.2 N NaOH ) gently mix and incubate for 15 minutes at room temperature.
4. Add \(0.2 \mathrm{ml} 3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{pH} 4.8\) ( \(408.24 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaOAc}-3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) in 300 ml double distilled water, adjust pH to 4.8 with glacial acetic acid and bring final volume to 1 L with double distilled water), gently mix by swirling, transfer to 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes and incubate in an ice-water bath for 15 minutes.
5. Clear the lysate of precipitatated SDS, proteins, membranes and chromosomal DNA by centrifugation at \(12,000 \mathrm{rpm}\) for 15 minutes in a microfuge at \(4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\).
6. Transfer the supernatant to a fresh 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube, incubate in an ice-water bath for 15 minutes and centrifuge as above for an additional 15 minutes.

7a. For standard alkaline lysis purification, precipitate the DNA by adding 1 ml \(95 \%\) ethanol and resuspend the dried DNA pellet in \(200 \mu \mathrm{l}\) 10:0.1 TE buffer ( 10 mM Tris/ \(\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.6,0.1 \mathrm{mM}\) EDTA, pH 8.0 ). Assay for concentration and purity by agarose gel electrophoresis.

7b. For diatomaceous earth based purification, add 1 ml of defined diatomaceous earth \((20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}\) : suspend 2 g diatomaceous earth in 100 ml distilled water in a 100 ml graduated cylinder and allow to settle for 3 hours. Decant the supernatant and resuspend the pellet in 100 ml of 6 M guanadine \(\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 6.4\), 50 mM Tris \(-\mathrm{HCl}, 20 \mathrm{mM}\) EDTA) and allow the DNA to bind at room temperature for 5 minutes with occasional mixing. Meanwhile, soak the Prep-A-Gene nitrocellulose membrane in isopropanol for at least 3 minutes, and assemble the Prep-A-Gene manifold as described \({ }^{403}\).

8 b . Turn on the vacuum pump and adjust the vacuum level to \(8 \mathrm{in} . \mathrm{Hg}\), let the membrane dry for 1 minute, and then release the vacuum.

9 b . Pour the well-mixed samples into the wells of the Prep-A-Gene manifold and filter through at 8 in . Hg , until all the liquid is filtered through.

10b. Wash the samples four times with \(250 \mu\) l diatomaceous earth wash buffer using a repeat pipette, allowing all of the liquid to filter through between washes.

1lb. Reduce the vacuum to 5 in . Hg before turning the vacuum off at the stopcock. Without unscrewing the black clamps, release the white clamps and place the collection rack with clean 1.5 ml screwcapped tubes into the manifold. Clamp the manifold with the white clamps, and apply \(300 \mu \mathrm{l}\) of \(10: 1\) TE buffer ( 10 mM Tris/ \(\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.6\), 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0 in double distilled water) heated
to \(65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) and pull the eluted DNA through into the collection tubes at \(5 \mathrm{in} . \mathrm{Hg}\). Once the liquid has filtered through, raise the vacuum to \(10-12 \mathrm{in} . \mathrm{Hg}\), and let the membrane dry for 1 minute.

12b. Turn off the vacuum at the stopcock and remove the collection rack containing the tubes and eluted DNA. Ethanol precipitate the DNA and resuspend the dried DNA pellets in 30 ml 10:0.1 TE buffer ( 10 mM Tris \(/ \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.6,0.1 \mathrm{mM}\) EDTA, pH 8.0).

\section*{VI. Manual 96-well double stranded template miniprep isolation}
1. Pick colonies of interest using a toothpick into 1.5 ml TB media ( 12 g bactotryptone, 24 g bacto yeast extract, 4 ml glycerol to 900 ml with double distilled water, autoclave to sterilize, cool, then add \(100 \mathrm{ml} 10 \times\) TB salts. \(10 \times\) TB salts: \(2.31 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 12.54 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}\) in 100 ml double distilled water, autoclave to sterilize) supplemented with the appropriate antibiotic in a 96 well block with cover, and incubate at \(37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) with shaking at 350 rpm for 24 hours.
2. Harvest the cells by centrifugation at 2500 rpm for 7 minutes in the Beckman GPR benchtop rotor, and decant supernatant. Cell pellets may be stored at \(-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) at this point.
3. Add \(200 \mu \mathrm{IE}\) TERNAse A ( 50 mM Tris \(/ \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.6,10 \mathrm{mM}\) EDTA, pH 8.0 , \(40 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}\) RNase A and some add \(10 \mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{l}\) RNase T 1 ) to the pellets and resuspend by pipetting up and down ten times with a 12 channel pipette.
4. Add \(200 \mu \mathrm{l}\) alkaline lysis solution ( \(1 \%\) SDS, 0.2 N NaOH in double distilled water) and mix as before.
5. Add \(200 \mu \mathrm{I} 3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOAc}\), pH 4.8 ( \(408.24 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaOAc}-3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) in 300 ml double distilled water, adjust pH to 4.8 with glacial acetic acid and bring final volume to 1 L with double distilled water) to each well, mix as before, and shake at 350 rpm at \(37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) for 10 minutes.
6. Incubate at \(-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) for \(15-30\) minutes and centrifuge at 3000 rpm for 45 minutes at \(4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) in the Beckman tabletop centrifuge to clear the lysate.
7. Using a 12 channel pipette, remove the top 400 ul of the supernatant to a clean 96 well block. Ethanol precipitate and dry under vacuum. Resuspend DNA pellets in 50 ul 10:0.1 TE buffer ( 10 mM Tris \(/ \mathrm{HCl}\), pH \(7.6,0.1 \mathrm{mM}\) EDTA, pH 8.0).
VII. Automated 96 well double-stranded DNA miniprep on the Biomek 1000 laboratory workstation.
1. Pick colonies using a toothpick into 1.8 ml TB ( 12 g bactotryptone, 24 g bacto yeast extract, 4 ml glycerol to 900 ml with double distilled water, autoclave to sterilize, cool, then add \(100 \mathrm{ml} 10 \times\) TB salts. \(10 \times\) TB salts: 2.31 g \(\mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 12.54 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}\) in 100 ml double distilled water, autoclave to sterilize) supplemented with the appropriate antibiotic and incubate for 22 hours at \(37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) with shaking at 350 rpm in a 96 well block with cover.
2. Harvest cells by centrifugation at 2500 rpm for 7 min . Decant supernatant and allow pellets to drain inverted. Cell pellets may be frozen at this point.
3. Turn on Biomek, begin the program DSISOL2 and set up the biomek as indicated in the configuration function on the screen. Specifically, place TERNase A (50:10 TE buffer containing \(40 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}\) DNase-free RNase A) in the first module, alkaline lysis solution ( \(1 \% \mathrm{SDS}, 0.2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}\) ) in the second reagent module and 3 M NaOAc , pH 4.8 in the third module ( \((408.24 \mathrm{~g}\) \(\mathrm{NaOAc}-3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) in 300 ml double distilled water, adjust pH to 4.8 with glacial acetic acid and bring final volume to 1 L with double distilled water).
4. Place the 96 well block containing cells onto the biomek tablet at the position labeled " 1.0 ml Minitubes". Place a Millipore filter plate in the position labeled " 96 well flat bottomed microtitre plate".
5. Press ENTER to continue with the program.
6. First the biomek will add \(100 \mu \mathrm{I}\) TE-RNase A to the cell pellets and mix to partially resuspend. Place in \(37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) shaker for 5 minutes.
7. Next, the biomek will add \(100 \mu \mathrm{l}\) alkaline lysis solution to the wells of the filter plate.
8. The biomek then will mix the cell suspension again, transfer the entire volume to the filter plate containing alkaline lysis solution, and mix again. Set up the filtration apparatus with a clean 96 well block to collect the filtrate (wash and reuse the block used for growth). Pause the biomek and allow to incubate for 20 minutes at room temperature.
9. The biomek will add \(100 \mathrm{ul} 3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{pH} 4.8\) to the wells of the filter plate and mix at the sides of the wells. Some choose to place the filter plate at \(-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) for 5 minutes at this point. Transfer the filter plate to the QiaVac Vacuum Manifold 96 (Qiagen Cat. No. 19504) and filter using water vacuum only (do not do a harsh filtration as the plates are fragile and will loose their seal). This will typically take less than 20 minutes.
10. The supernatant collected in the 96 well block is the crude DNA and must be ethanol precipitated before use. Dry the pellets under vacuum.
11. Resuspend in 30 ul 10:0.1 TE ( 10 mM Tris- HCl pH 7.6 and 0.1 mM EDTA pH 8.0) and assay by agarose gel electrophoresis versus known standards.
VIII. Automated 96 well double stranded template miniprep isolation on the Biomek 2000 laboratory workstation
1. Pick colony harboring plasmid of interest into 96 well square well block containing 1.5 ml TB ( 12 g bactotryptone, 24 g bacto yeast extract, 4 ml glycerol to 900 ml with double distilled water, autoclave to sterilize, cool, then add 100 ml 10 x TB salts. 10 x TB salts: \(2.31 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 12.54 \mathrm{~g}\)
\(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}\) in 100 ml double distilled water, autoclave to sterilize) with appropriate antibiotic. Grow \(22-24\) hours at \(37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) with shaking at 350 rpm .
2. Harvest cells by centrifugation at 2500 rpm for 7 minutes. Decant supernatant and autoclave before disposal. Cell pellets may be frozen at this point.
3. Place 4 blocks containing cell pellets on the biomek tablet in the configuration indicated in the program "dsisol.vl". Blocks containing cell pellets should be placed at positions A5, A6, B5 and B6; P250 biomek tips should be placed at positions A2, A3, B2 and B3; reagent half modules should be placed at positions A4 and B4; tool rack at position Al with MP200 tool in the right hand rack; position B1 is empty. TE-RNase \(\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{Tl}\) should be placed in the left side of A4, alkaline lysis solution should be placed in the right side of A4, and \(3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{K} \mathrm{OAc} \mathrm{should} \mathrm{be} \mathrm{placed} \mathrm{in} \mathrm{the} \mathrm{left} \mathrm{side} \mathrm{of} \mathrm{B} 4\). The right module of B 4 is empty.
4. Start the program. The biomek will add \(200 \mu \mathrm{I}\) TE-RNase A+Tl ( 50 mM Tris \(/ \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.6,10 \mathrm{mM}\) EDTA, \(\mathrm{pH} 8.0,40 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}\) RNase A and some add \(10 \mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{l}\) Rase T1) to each well of the four blocks and mix 20 times to resuspend. This will use all four boxes of tips. After addition and mixing ( 1 hour, 6 minutes), the biomek will pause and request tips to be changed at position A2. At this time, all four tip boxes should be changed and "Replace All" should be clicked.
5. Next, the biomek will add \(200 \mu\) lalkaline lysis solution ( \(1 \%\) SDS, 0.2 N NaOH in double distilled water) and will mix an additional 10 times. This will use all four boxes of tips. The biomek will pause and request tips to be changed at position A2. Place clean tips at A2 only and click "OK."
6. The biomek adds \(200 \mu \mathrm{l} 3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{pH} 4.8\) (or \(3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KOAc}, \mathrm{pH} 4.8\) ) to the blocks. In doing this, the biomek only uses one row of tips. Leave this box of tips on the biomek...it will reuse the row of tips for the first supernatant
transfer. You then remove the four blocks, cover with plate sealers, vortex briefly and place in 350 rpm shaker for 10 minutes.
7. Incubate blocks in \(-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) for \(15-30\) minutes and centrifuge for 45 minutes at 3000 rpm to pellet the precipitate.
8. Place the blocks back on the biomek tablet in the new configuration as shown on the screen. Centrifuged blocks are placed in positions A5, A6, B5 and B6; clean blocks are placed at positions A3, A4, B3 and B4; P250 tips are placed at positions A2, B1 and B2. (NOTE: The graphical display of the new configuration does not show tips at B1, but transfer requires tips at this position. Just a programming glitch). The biomek will transfer the upper 400 \(\mu \mathrm{I}\) to clean blocks starting with A5 to A3 using tips at A2. The second transfer is blocks at A6 to A4 using tips at position B1. Then the biomek will ask for.new tips to be replaced at position A2. Replace the tips at A2 and click "OK." The third transfer is blocks at B5 to B3 using tips at A2 and the fourth transfer is B6 to B4 using tips at position B2.
9. Ethanol precipitate by adding \(1 \mathrm{ml} 95 \%\) ethanol to each well of the block and cover with plate sealer (do not invert...the ethanol will degrade the glue of the sealer). Incubate at \(-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) for 30 minutes to overnight, centrifuge 3000 rpm for 30 minutes, decant. Add \(500 \mu \mathrm{l} 70 \%\) ethanol, centrifuge an additional 15 minutes at 3000 rpm . decant and dry under vacuum. Resuspend in \(50 \mu \mathrm{l}\) 10:0.1 TE ( 10 mM Tris/ \(\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.6,0.1 \mathrm{mM}\) EDTA, pH 8.0 ) and assay 2 ul by agarose gel electrophoresis.```

