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## ABSTRACT

Ichthyoliths (microscopic fish skeletal debris comprised mainly of teeth, dermal denticles and mucous membrane denticles) are abundant and diverse in a wide range of lithologies and often occur in horizons which are otherwise unfossiliferous. However, very few studies have dealt with Late Paleozoic ichthyoliths due to the problems in identifying them. Through the use of a coded system of identification, I bave been able to overcome these taxonomic problems and utilize ichthyoliths. This study was sonducted to assess the applicability of Late Pennsylvanian ichthyoliths in geology by comparing their geographic and stratigraphic distributions with those of the conodonts from the Shawnee and Lansing groups.

Ichthyoliths frow 16 localities extending from northern Oklahoma to Iowa were examined to determine their geographic and biostratigraphic distributions. Nearly 25,000 ichthyoliths comprising 156 different types were identified and used in the analyses. The results show that the distribution of ichthyoliths closely reflects that of conodonts in the Shawnee and Lansing groups. Different ichthyolith faunas were recovered from rock sequences of different ages, indicating a strong potential of ichthyoliths for biostratigraphic correlations. Initial studies to determine the reactions of ichihyoliths to thermal grasients show that they undergo
not only color changes but structural alterations as well. Their thermal changes suggest an important use of ichthyoliths for hydrocarbon exploration. The results of this study indicate that Late Paleozoic ichthyoliths can provide useful information regarding biostratigraphy, geographic variation and geothermometry.
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## PREFACE

Several sections of this dissertation are being submitted for publication. The reader should note which figures I am referring to throughout the text. Appendix A consists of modifications to my earlier identification system (Tway, 1979a). It is part of a manuscript being submitted to Micropaleontology and has its own set of figures. Appendix $B$ is divided into two purts: each of which is part of a manuscript being submitted to the Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology. These parts comprise a catalog of Late Pennsylvanian ichthyoliths and consist of diagnoses and photographs. References are not included in each of these appendices since it would be repetitious. They are given in the main text of the dissertation. The main text refers to the biostratigraphy and geographic variation of the ichthyoliths and will also be submitted for publication. The carbonization work is part of a separate, ongoing project. The initial work for this project has been included in the dissertation.
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## INTRODUCTION

## Ichthyoliths

General Characteristics
Ichthyoliths are disarticulated microscopic remains of fish. They consist mainly of teeth, shagreen denticles and mucous membrane denticles and are, in general, highly resistant to biological, physical and chemical destruction. Like conodonts, ichthyoliths are phosphatic and range in size from approximately 0.05 to 1 mm (Lipps, 1981), although fish teeth and scales can, of course, reach much larger sizes. The major groups of fishes represented by the Late Paleozoic (Virgilian) ichthyoliths are the Chondrichthyes, Acanthodii and Osteichthyes (notably the palaeoniscoids). The osteichthyan remains include conical teeth with sharp, translucent tips (see, for example, Appendix B, Part II, Figs. 1 and 2) and various types of ganoid scales. These scales are typicaily rhomboid and flat with or without parallel grooves on the crown; in addition a raised keel may be present on the basal side of the element (see, for example, Appendix B, Part I, Figs. 47-50). Chondrichthyan remains include many different types of placoid scales (see, for example, Appendix B, Part

I, Figs. 1 and 2) and various single- and multi-cusped teeth with broad bases (see, for example, Appendix B, Part II, Fig. 35). Acanthodians are represented mainly by thick, rhomboid to square scales (see, for example, Appendix B, Part I, Fig. 53). In my samples, the Chondrichthyan remains are by far the most morphologically diverse of the iehthyoliths whereas the palaeoniscoid remains are the most abundant.

Histology
Fish teeth and scales are very similar to other vertebrate hard tissues. The inorganic mairix is made up hydroxyapatite $\left(\mathrm{Ca}_{6}\left[\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right]_{10}[\mathrm{OH}]_{2}\right)$ which, in fossils, later converts to the more stable fluorapatite $\left(\mathrm{Ca}_{6}\left[\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right]_{10}[\mathrm{~F}]_{2}\right)(\emptyset r v \pm g, ~ 1967)$. The organization and ratio of the organic and inorganic material varies with the type of hard tissue of the tooth and scale and, indeed, there exists a wide spectrum of kard tissues in the fishes.

Enamel and Enamel-like Substances. True enamel is found in the higher vertebrates (Bhaskar, 1976) and is approximately $96 \%$ inorganic and only $4 \%$ organic and is consequently very hard. Enamel is ectodermally derived and its matrix is secreted by ameloblasts (Bhaskar, 1976). Because of its hardness, enamel forms a protective layer over other hard tissues. Enameloid is a surface tissue found in fish (Peyer, 1968). It is a very hard, shiny substance which is similar to true enamel, but differs in that it is mesodermally derived. It differs from dentin in that, it is more inorganic and therefore much harder. It is believed that enameloid forms under the
influence of ameloblasts which themselves do not secrete enamel matrix, but influence in some way the dentin of the odontoblasts so that they secrete enamoloid before secreting dentin (Peyer, 1968). Ganoin is a hard tissue which is also very shiny and hard, but differs froin exameloid in that is does not necessarily form in close association with dentin nor with the epithelial tissues. It is also mesodermally derived and therefore cannot be considered a true enamel (Ørvig, 1967).

Dentin. Dentin makes up the bulk of the tooth and is softer than enamel, having an inorganic to organic ratio of 70:3C. Dentin is mesectodermally derived and is secreted by odontoblasts. The organic matrix of dentin consists mainly of mucopolysaccharides and collagen fibers along which the apatite crystals align. There are several types of dentin (see Ørvig, 1967). Orthodentin (Ørvig, 1967; Peyer, 1968) is characterized by a very irregular arrangement of dentinal tubules which branch near the dentin surface. Modified dentin (Drvig, 1967; Peyer, 1968) is harder than ortnodentin, but cannot be considered true enamel because it. is mesodermally derived. Like enameloid, modified dentin forms under the influence of the ameloblasts that cause the odontoblasts to secrete a modified dentin, which is characterized by having a more tangled or irregular network of dentinal tubules than does ordinary orthodentin. Orthovasodentin (Ørvig, 1967) is characterized by having several vascular canals in the dentinal matrix and fewer dentinal tubules. Vasodentin (Drvig, 1967) is the extreme case of this condition, with extensive vascularization and no (or very few) dentinal tubules. Plicidentin
(Peyer, 1968) is characterized by the infolding of the orthodentin at the basal portion of the tooth. Trabecular dentin (Peyer, 1968) has many blood and lymphatic vessels passing through it. Dentin is depciited around the vascular canals containing the vessels and eventually forms denteons which look very similar to osteons in bone. Because of its similar appearance to bone, Ørvig (1967) calls this tissue osteodentin.

Calcified Tissues of Specific Groups. In general, osteichthyan teeth are characterized by an outer enamel layer, one or more types of dentin, and a central pulp cavity containing numerous nerves and blood vessels (Peyer, 1968). Scales of osteichthyans may be ganoid, cosmoid or secondarily reduced, bony scales of cycloid or ctenoid type. Ganoid scales consist of an outer enamel-like layer of ganoin, a middle dentin layer which is highly vascularized, and a basal layer of lamellar bone (isopedin) which is also highly vascularized (Moy-Thomas and Miles, 1971). With evolution, various groups tended to lose the middle dentin layer and eventually the outer ganoin layer, resulting in the cycloid type of scale which consists of a thi:: Dony plate surrounded by a thin epidermal layer (Hildebrand, 1974). The ganoid and cycloid scales occur in the Actinopierygii. Cosinoid scales consist of an outer layer of cosmine which is made up of an outer shiny enameloid (much like ganoin) and an inner dentin layer with numerous pores and canals. Under the cosmine lies a layer of vascular bone and under this is an inner layer of lamellar bone or isopedin (Moy-Thomas and Miles, 1971). Cosmoid scales occur in the erossopterygians and dipnoans and, like ganoid scales, underwent
reduction with evolution. Acanthodians possessed one of two types of scales. The Nostolepis iype consists of an outer cellular dentin containing vascular canals and a basal cellular bone. The Acanthodes type consists of a crown of acellular orthodentin. $\because$ a thick basal layer of acellular bone (Moy-Thomas and Miles, 1971). Teeth of acanthodians may be single-cusped with broad bases or multicusped tooth whorls. Several types of dentin occur, but true cnamel is always absent. Acanthodians evolved toward toothlessness. Elasmobranch teeth generally possess an outer layer of enameloid, a middle orthodentin layer and a central region consisting of osteodentin (Peyer, 1968). Elasmobranch mucous membrane and shagreen denticles are homologous with the dentition and are, therefore, histologically the same.

## Previous Work

Ichthyoliths are abundant and widespread in a wide range of lithologies, often occurring where other microfossils are rare or absent. Ichthyoliths may therefore be the only means of correlating such strata (Helms and Riedel, 1971; Doyle et al., 1974). In addition, Late Paleozoic ichthyoliths often exceed conodonts in abundance, and $I$ have recovered ichthyoliths from samples barren of conodonts (Tway, 1977). Moreover, unlike conodonts, ichthyoliths are not restricted to marine depositional environments, further increasing their correlational potential. One may therefore ask why ichthyoliths have been largely ignored. This is apparently due to the difficulties one encounters in trying to identify them. The amount of morphologic
variation which occurs within the same species and even within the same individual is overwhelming. Sexual dimorphism, wound healing (Reif, 1978), and ontogenetic development also contribute to this variation. In order to avoid the obvious problems involved with binominal classification, a coded identification system was developed by Doyle dit al. (1974) for cenozoic ichthyoliths. The system makes no 2mplications of zoological affinities. A similar type of utilitarian classification has been proposed by Hughes (1970) for palynomorphs and Riedel (1978) for radiolarians. The key of Doyle et al. (1974) provided a means of effectively handling the ichthyoliths for the purpose of correlating pelagic sediments. It was later modified to describe additional types of elements from both Cenozoic and Mesozoic sediments (Dunsworth et al., 1975; Ramsey et al., 1976; Doyle et al., 1978; Doyle and Riedel, 1979a, 1980; Kozarek and Orr, 1981). I found it necessary to make further major revisions and modifications of the identification system of Doyle et al. (1974) to accommodate the greater diversity and different types of ichthyoliths encountered in Paleozoic samples (Tway, 1979a).

Although ichthyoliths have been largely ignored, their biostratigraphis and paleoecologic importance have been recognized by some workers. One would expect that Paleozoic ichthyoliths would be especially well-suited for biostratigraphy due to the rapid evolution of fishes during that era (Moy-Thomas and Miles, 1971), much of which is reflected in their squamation and dentition. But most studies have been done on ichthyoliths from Cenozoic and Mesozoic sediments. For exampie, several studies through the Deep Sea Drilling Project have
substantiated the util? 幺y of ichthyoliths in defining biostratigraphic zones and correlating Cenozoic and Mesozoic pelagic sediments (Helms and Riedel, 1971; Doyle et al., 1974; Dengler et al., 1975; Ramsey et al., 1976; Doyle et al., 1977, 1978; Edgerton et al., 1977; Doyle and Riedel, 1979a, 1979b, 1980; Kaneps et al., 1981; Kozarek and Orr, 1981). Otoliths, which are calcareous spherical deposits in the hearing and balancing organs of teleosts, have long been recognized as useful age indicators in Cenozoic and Mesozoic deposits, particulariy for those from the Tertiarg. They have also been used for paleoecologic (Abel, 1922) and paleoclimatic (Voight, 1934) studies. Assemblages of fish remains from the Lower Paleozoic have also been studied more extensively (Claypole, 1894; Dean, 1909; Woodward and White, 1938; Wells, 1944; Harris, 1951; Ørvig, 1969a, 1969b;

Thorsteinsson, 1973; Turner and Turner, 1974; Turner and Dring, 1981; Turner et al, 1981) than have those from the Late Paleozoic, which generally have been discussed only briefly in papers dealing with Pennsylvanian conodont faunas (Gunnell, 1931, 1933; Harlton, 1933; Harris and Hollingsworth, 1933; Cooksey, 1933; Perkinson, 1934; cf. Zidek, 1972, 1973, for a review and commentary). Other work dealing with Late Paleozoic ichthyoliths have discussed them in reference to the lepidomorial theory (Orvig, 1951, 1966; Stensio, 1961, 1962; Zangerl, 1966, 1968; Peyer, 1968). However, very few papers have dealt exclusively with Late Paleozoic ichthyolith assemblages (Ossian, 1974; Koehler, 1975; Tway, 1977, 1979b), and none of them determined their biostratigraphic applicability. Although my earlier study (Tway, 1977, 1979b) was concerned mainly with the paleoecology of


#### Abstract

ichthyoliths from the Shawnee Group, it was apparent from their distributions that these Late Paleozoic ichthyoliths might be useful for biostratigraphic correlation. However, because I examined only one section of strata, $I$ could not ascertain this potential. The present study consists of a more detailed examination of the geographic and stratigraphic distribution of ichthyoliths in three members of the Oread Limestone Formation in the Shawnee Group (ascending: the Leavenworth Limestone, the Heebner Shale, and the Plattsmouth Limestone) and three members of the Stanton Limestone Formation in the Lansing Group (ascending: the Captain Creek Limestone, the Eudore Shale, and the Stoner Limestone).


Stratigraphy of the Study Area
Samples from 16 localities in Oklahoma, Kansas, Nebraska, Iowa and Missouri (Text-fig. 1) were used for this study. These localities have been repeatedly sampled by various workers (for example, Toomey, 1966) due to the excellent outcropping of the Shawnee Group in this region. The samples used in this study were collected by Peter von Bitter for his conodont research. After careful examination of the conodont fauna, von Bjitter and Heckel (1978) concluded that the two Iowa localities have been misidentifled for nearly 50 years and that they belong to the Lansing Group rather than the Shawnee Group. All of the other localities, however, are still considered to represent the Shawnee Group.

Shawnee Group
The Shawnee Group in the midcontinent region is a well-known
sequence of megacyclothems. Its cyclic nature was first described by Moore (1931, 1936, 1964) and has since been studied extensively by subsequent worleers. Four megacyclothems (ascending: Oread, Lecompton, Deer Creek and Topeka) were deposited during marine transgressions and regressions in Virgilian time (see Text-fig. 2). The epeiric sea was shallow and inundated a stable or slowly subsiding carbonate platform (Toomey, 1969a). The source of clastics for this region is nut generally agreed upon. Moore (1929) suggested that sediments were derived from the south (Wichitas, Arbuckles and Ouachitas) and east (Ozark Dome), with which Souter (1966) agreed. However, Toomey (1966, 1969a) stated that the major source of sediments was the ancestral Rockies which resulted in a nortb-south depositional strike and the remarkable lateral homogeneity of the Leavenworth Limestone. Toomey believed that the stable shield area to the north, the Ozark Dome, the Ouachitas, the Arbuckles and the Wichitas provided only minor amounts of clastics. Just south of this region a rapidly subsiding trough bordered the northern edge of the Arbuckles and Wichitas (Toomey, 1969a).

The Oread Limestone Formation is the oldest megacyclothem of the Shawnee Group. It consists of seven members (ascending): the Toronto Limestone (or Weeping Willow Limestone in Nebraska), the Snyderville Shale, the Leavenworth Limestone, the Heebner Shale, the Plattsmouth Limestone, the Heumader Shale, and the Kereiord Limestone. Three of these--the Leavenworth Limestone, the Heebner Shale and the Plattsmouth Limestone-are the most laterally persistent (Troell, 1969) and were included in this study. The outcrop pattern
of these members extends from northern Oklahoma (Osage County) through Kansas and part of Missouri into southern Nebraska (Cass County) (see Text-fig. 1). Throughout this extent, these members are fairly consistent in thickness and character. The best example of this is the Leavenworth Limestone which is generally less than three feet ( 0.915 m ) thick (Toomey, 1966), but ranges up to 20 feet ( 6.1 m ) in Oklahoma where it grades into shale (Souter, 1966). The Leavenworth Limestone has been described as "dark bluish-gray, fine-grained, dense, very hard, vertically jointed and moderately fossiliferous" (Toomey, 1969a, p. 1001). Toomey (1966, 1969a), who originally considered the Iowa localities to contain the Leavenworth Limestone, recognized three facies by factor analysis: a skeletal mudstone facies which comprises most of the Leavenworth Limestone sample localities, an aggregate grain facies which includes rock samples at localities \#16 in Madison County, Iowa (now known to be the Captain Creek Limestone), and \#1 in Osage County, Oklahoma, and a mudstone facies comprising locality \#15 in Cass County, Iowa (see Toomey, 1969a, Text-Figure 5, p. i014). He attributed the facies changes at the northern and southern extremities of the transect to increasing nearness to shore. Toomey has discussed the distribution of algae (Toomey, 1969b), calcareous foraminifera (Toomey, 1972), agglutinated and silicified forminifera (Toomey, 1974), and miscellaneous microfossils (Toomey et al., 1974). Toomey et al. (1974) briefly discussed the fish remains in the Leavenworth Limestone and indicated that most were palaeoniscoi,l teeth. They recognized some shark dermal denticles as well, but did not illustrate these (they did illustrate a
multicusped shark tooth in plate 3) and reported that these are generally poorly preserved. Toomey et al. (197') stated that fish remains in the Leavenworth Limestone show "very little variability" (p. 1163) and are rare where present. They found no fish remains at localities in Coffey and Franklin counties in Kansas, Buchanan County in Missouri, and Cass and Madison counties in Iowa. Toomey (1969a) suggested that the Leavenworth Limestone was cieposited in shallow waiter on a slowly subsiding carbonate platform during a transgressive phase.

The Heebner Shale overlies the Leavenworth Limestone and is aiso a very laterally persistent unit, generally varying little from five feet in thickness (Souter, 1966). The lower part of the Heebner Shale is black and platy, and cont:ins phosphatic nodules, plant fragments, pectinoid clams, ichthyoliths, and conodonts. The upper part is gray to green, soft and clayey. Although the base of the upper part is unfossiliferous, it becomes gradually more fossiliferous toward the top (Toomey, 1966; Souter, 1966). Because of its dark, fissile character and high radioactivity, the Heebner Shale provides a good marker unit for subsurface work (Evans, 1966). Although the Heebner Shale is generally five to ten feet $(1.525-3.05 \mathrm{~m})$, it thickens abruptly to approximately 60 feet ( 18.3 m ) in Osage County, Oklahoma, where it becomes more bluish-gray in color (Evans, 1966). Although Moore (1936, 1964) suggested shallow water deposition, Evans (1966) believed the Heebner Shale to have been deposited in a relatively deep and poorly oxygenated marine environment during maximum transgression. Subsequent workers have concurred with Evans
(e.g. von Bitter, 1972; Heckel et al., 1979).

The Plattsmouth Limestone overlies the Heebner Shale and is considerably thicker than the other two members included in this study, ranging from 15 feet ( 4.575 m ) in southern Nebraska to 40 feet ( 12.2 m ) in northern Oklahoma (Souter, 1966). This limestone is wavy-bedded and dense with local chert nodules, and is fairly fossiliferous, containing brachiopods, bryozoans, fusulinids, corals, molluses (Moore, 1949, 1951), conodonts (von Bitter: 1972) and ichthyoliths (Tway, 1979b). Thin shale partings are aiso couminion (Souter, 1966). The Plattsmouth Limestone was deposited during a regressive phase (Elias, 1966; von Bitter, 1972; Merrill and von Bitter, 1976) in a shallow-water, high-energy environment. In summary, the three members of the Shawnee Group included in this study represent an increasingly transgressive phase (Leavenworth Limestone) and a maximum transgressive phase (Heebner Shale) followed by a regressive phase (Plattsmouth Limestone).

Mendenhall (1951) discussed conodonts and fish remains in the Shawnee Group and noted that the carbonaceous, fisisle shales were the most productive horizons. He recognized fish remains representing acanthodians, selachians, and palaeoniscoids. Hutter (1976) studied chitinozoans (probably reworked; Wilson, pers. comm.) in the Leavenworth Limestone and also discussed other palynomorphs present. The paleoecology of conodonts was studied quantitatively by von Bitter (1972), who showed through the use of cluster analysis that the distribution of Pennsylvanian conodonts was environmentally controlled. He recognized six conodont biofacies, five of which

Merrill (1968) had previously recognized based on intuitive, empirical methods. Von Bitter demonstrated the relationship of these six biofacies to five distinct biotopes, thus illustrating the paleoecologic preferences of various groups of conodonts. In a similar type of study (Tway, 1977, 1979b), I used cluster analysis to determine the degree of environmental dependence of ichthyoliths in the Shawnee Group. From my analyses I concluded that, like the conodonts, Late Pennsylvanian ichthyoliths were environmentally controlled to some extent, but that their vertical distribucions indicated a potential biostratigraphic usefulness.

## Lansing Group

Like the Shawnee Group, the Lansing Grup consists of a series of cyclothems with alternating limestones and shales deposited during marine transgressions and regressions. The Lansing Group is made up of three megacyclothems or formations (ascending: Plattshurg, Vilas and Stanton) and is Missourian in age (see Text-fig. 3). The uppermost Stanton Limestone Formation contains five members (ascending): the Captain Creek Limestone, the Eudora Shale, the Stoner Limestone, the Rock Lake Shale, and the South Bend Limestone. The lower three members (Captain Creek, Eudora and Stoner) outcrop in Cass and Madison counties, Iowa, and were also included in this study (Text-fig. 1). Their inclusion is due to the fact that these members were mistaken for nearly 50 years for the Leavenworth, Heebner and Plattsmouth members of the Shawnee Group. This misidentification has been due to the nearly identical lithologies of the members of the two
groips and the structural complexities which occur in Iowa, such as domes and synclines (Welp et al., 1968). Consequently, these members have been collected along with the Shawnee Group members, resulting in confusion and somewhat erroneous conulusions when trying to relate conodont distributions in Iowa with those in the rest of the midcontinent region.

The misidentification of the members at the Iowa localities was first suspected by von Bitter (1976) based on the conodont fauna. The change in the typical assemblage of conodonts at the Iowa localities led von Bitter (1973) to originally interpret this an as indication of geographic variation of the conodonts. However, after an extensive re-examination of these localities, von Bitter and Heckel (1978) concluded that the three members at the Iowa localities belong to a different stratigraphic sequence and are the Captain Creek Limestone, Eudora Shale, and Stoner Limestone members of the Lansing Group. It is now apparent that the northernmost aggregate grain and mudstone facies in Iowa defined by Toomey (1966) is an artifact resulting from comparing different stratigraphic sequences rather than an indication of a different environment.

## Geothermometry

Previous Work
Carbonization is the change in the characteristics of organic material related to temperature, depth of burial, pressure, associated chemical constituents and radioactivity (Wilson, 1971). During such alterations, volatiles are driven off and the relative amount of
carbon increases. Rogers (1860) was the first to recognize that Pennsylvanian coals from the Aptalachian Basin change sharacteristics with the varying geologic structure of the area. Hilt (1873) observed that the amount of volatile material decreases with increasing depth of burial. This relationship later became known as "Hilt's Law" and was elaborated upon by White (1915) in his Carbon Ratio Theory which basically states that with increasiag metamorphism hydrocarbons become lighter until they reach the "ci? deadline". At this point oil is replaced by wet gas which is in turn replaced by dry gas with increasing organic alteration. The carbon ratio is the amount of volatile hydrocarbons and fixed carbon which remain after the volatiles have been driven off.

Much of the early work done with organic metamorphism dealt with coal and there was therefore a problem when trying to determine the degree of carbonization in regions without coal. This problem was resolved by Wilson (1961) who showed that palynomorphs from coal seams in the Arkoma Basin underwent color and preservation changes and that these changes varied with the geologic structure. He compared the palynomorph changes with the percentage values of fixed carbon, thus producing a scale which could be used when looking at palynomorphs to determine the amount of fixed carbon, $\therefore$ he suggested its application to hydrocarbon exploratior. Wilson pointed out the importance of using the same genus and, if possible, species in assessing carbonization because different spore and pollen types undergo carbonization at somewhat different rates.

Gutjahr (1966) studied spore translucency and concluded that
as spores undergo increasing metamorphism, they become more opaque to transmitted light; this observation provided a quantitative means for measuring the amount of carbonization. He measured spore translucency in the Gulf Coast region of Texas and related his measurements to oil and gas occurrences. Another quantitative method was developed by van Gijzel (1963) who measured the amount of autofluorescence of palynomorphs. With increasing alteration, their autofluorescence shifts from the blue-green series to the red-brown series. Staplin (1969) related palynomorph color, geochemistry, and oil and gas occurrences in western Canada. He noted that scales should be constructed for each type of organic material since they may differ in their responses to carbonization.

The past decade has seen refinements of methodology and utilization of new groups for carbonization studies. Epstein et al. (1977) found that with increasing temperatures the color of conodonts changes from light amber to brown to black to gray to opaque white and finally clear. The change to black accompanies an increase in fixed carbon which is eventually driven off, producing an opaque white color. With recrystallization and possibly the driving off of water, the conodont becomes clear. Observations of conodonts collected from rocks in the Appalachian Basin confirm that conodonts alter comparably in situ as they do in simulated laboratory heating experiments. Epstein et al. (1977) concluded that conodonts alter mainly in response to temperature. Pressure had little or no effect, but when conodonts were heaced in wet, sealed systems with water and argon or methane, carbonization was considerably retarded. The advantage of
conodonts over palynomorphs is that conodonts alter at much higher temperatures and are therefore useful in sequences containing dry gas.

## Alteration Ranges of Specific Groups

Vitrinite is an organic maceral which increases its reflectanこe with increasing organic metamorphism. Urban and Allen (1975) discussed vitrinite reflectance values. Vitrinite is useful mainly for nonmarine and shallow water marine sequences and is used widely by oil companies. Quantitative reflectance values are quickly and easily measured, but may vary with rock type. Epstein et al. (1977) noted lower reflectance values in carbonate rocks than in shales. Thus, vitrinite reflectance is best used in lacustrine, fluvial, and shallow water marine sequences and in those rocks which have been exposed to temperatures in excess of $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(176^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$.

Wilson (1961, 1971) discussed the useful ranges of plant palynomorphs, plant cuticles, and wood. Plant cuticles tend to behave In a manner similar to palynomorphs. Wood tissue tends to turn black early, but its delicate structures remain discernible at a relatively high fixed carbon value. The alteration of palynomorphs is dependent on factors such as temperature, pressure, geologic age, associated chemicals, weathering, and radioactivity (Wilson, 1971). The rapidity with which palynomorphs change depends on the thickness of the exine and the presence of saccate structures, spines or other delicate ornamentation. Palynomorphs with thin walls and delicate ornamentation alter more quickly than do spherical palynomorphs with thick walls and no ornamentation. Certain associated chemicals or
radioactivity may influere天 their alteration. For example, Sanders (1967) noted that the presence of pyrite (and bacteria) destroys palynomorphs in a reducing environment, and would therefore cause an earlier alteration. Radioactivity also causes palynomorph alteration, but the presence of copper in the original depositional environment has the opposite effect, retarding alteration (Wilson, 1971). Palynomorphs range from Precambrian to Recent but are most useful for floral and faunal thermal maturation comparison in Devonian sequences because that was the earliest time in which both occurred in abundance in the same sedimentary deposits (Wilson, pers. comm.). Plant material has a louer threshhold to carbonization than do some groups of "faunal" palynomorfiss whose composition is chitinaceous. Palynomorphs are best used in rocks which have undergone temperatures less than $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(320^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$.

Another group of palynomorphs are the chitinozoans. These are an extinct group of organisms which are generally considered to bave ranged from Ordovician to Devonian (Hutter, 1976, reported on Pennsylvanian chitinozoans from the Shawnee Group but these were probably recycled, according to Wilson, pers. comm.), Recent work by Wilson (1971, and pers. comm.) has shown that they undergo alteration with metamorphism. They have a higher extinction point than plant palynomorphs and change from brown to black. At a point when they are black they can still be bleached, but if heated beyond this point they can no longer be bleached even though they are still black. This, then, is their extinction point. (The ierm "extinction point" in carbonization work refers to the point at witich all of the organic
material has volatilized.) Since this work is still in its initial stages, precise ranges of chitinozoan alterations have not yet been published. An advantage of chitinozoans is that they could be used beyond the range of plant palynomorphs and that they are abundant and useful stiatigraphic markers. However, they are limited to rock sequences from Ordovician to Devonian (and possibly Carboniferous). The color changes undergone by conodonts have been given color alteration index (CAI) numbers by Epstein et al. (1977). Conodonts begin to alter at higher temperatures than palynomorphs and continue to alter beyond the extinction point for palynomorphs, being useful for temperatures up to $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(93 \Sigma^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$. The advantage of conodonts, therefore, is that they are useful at temperatures beyond those withstood by palynomorphs. However, conodonts are restricted to marine sequences and to rocks which range in age from Cambrian to Triassic and are not generaily abundant.

## Multivariate Methods

Geographic Variation
Cluster analysis and principal components analysis have been commonly employed in geographic variation and paleoecologic studies of most major groups of Recent and fossil flora and fauna. Analyses using presence-absence data have been most common (Kaesler, 1966; Maddocks, 1966; Orloci, 1966; Valentine and Peddicord, 1967; Mello and Buzas, 1968; Brown, 1969; Cairns and Kaesler, 1969; Kaesler et al., 1971; Hocutt et al., 1974; MacDonald, 1975; Keen, 1977; Strahler, 1978). The use of relative abundance data is rare (Rucker, 1967;

Gevirtz et al., 1971; Tanabe, 1979; Haack and Kaesler, 1980) and, even when relative abundance data are available, they are often reduced to presence-absence data, thereby ignoring a great deal of information regarding distributions. Orloci (1966) stated that when using ordination techniques, little information is lost by presenting the data as presence-absence variables. Valentine and Peddicord (1967) indicated that the use of relative abundance data resulted in "weighting" the data. Gill and Tipper (1978) used a rather unique form of presence-absence data. The mean values of abundances were calculated; if an object or species was present at a value above the mean, it was considered present; if it occurred at a value below the mean, it was regarded as absent. However, most authors who use presenceabsence data regard a species to be present even if only one specimen is found.

In working with Late Pennsylvanian ichthyoliths (Tway, 1977; 1979b), I have found a considerable amount of variation in the clusters resulting from the two types of data. This is to be expected since presence-absence data ignore a considerable amount of ecological information that the abundance datz provide. For example, in samples containing acanthodian and palaeoniscoid remains, there is generally a difference in the abundances of each group depending on the lithology. Although both groups of ichthyoliths are generally present in all lithologic types, the acanthodian scales tend to be abundant in dark shales where the palaeoniscoid remains are less common. The palaeoniscoid remains, on the other hand, are abundant in fine-grained limestones where acanthodian remains are less common. This
information regarding gecgraphic variation is potentially very important and certainly of anterest, but is unfortunately lost when using presence-absence data. I would even contend that the use of presence-absence data is a form of weighting in that the very rare forms are given equal weight to those forms which are abundant. Since abundance data are more sensitive to environmental fluctuations, they can provide more information regarding geographic variation.

## Biustratigraphy

Muitivariate techniques have been utilized in biostratigraphic studies to correlate stratigraphic sequences and determine zonations (Cheetham and Deboo, 1963; Cheetham and Hazei, 1969; Hazel, 1970, 1971, 1977; Christopher, 1978). The advantages of using these methods is that they provide a more objective means of quantifying the biostratigraphic data, resulting in less subjectivity. Repeatability by different workers is therefore more likely. In the range-through method, ineroduced by Cheetham and Deboo (1963), a species is considered to be present in a particular horizom if it is found both above and below that horizon even if it is not found in that horizon. Use of the range-through method and presence-absence data avoids some of the effects of environmental control and are therefore more suitable for studies of the stratigraphic distributions of organisms.

## Purpose of Investigation

Although ichthyoliths are well preserved, abundant and widespread in virtually all lithologic types, they have been one of the most ignored groups of microfossils. Ichthyoliths often occur in
deposits that are otherwise unfossiliferous and may exceed conodonts In abundance. Furthermore, ichthyoliths are not restricted to marine depositional environments as are conodonts, and have a much longer stratigraphic range (Cambrian to Recent) than do conodonts (Cambrian to Triassic). As a furtner indication of their potential importance, ichthyoliths undergo color changes with increasing temperatures. Although Mesozoic and Cenozoic ichthyoliths have been studied and shown to be useful in biostratigraphy, the Late Paleozoic elements have been virtually ignored with regard to their utility in various geologic applications.

This study was conducted to answer the following questions:

1. Is there geographic variation of Late Paleozoic ichthyoliths?
2. Does the ichthyolith fauna at the Iowa localities (\#15 and \#16) differ from that at all the other localities? In other words, is there a change in the ichthyolith fauna stratigraphically?
3. How similar is the ichthyolith distribution to that of the conodonts?
4. How do ichthyoliths react to thermal gradients?
5. Based on the above would Late Paleozoic ichthyoliths be useful in geology?

Of primary interest was an examination of the relationship of
localities $\# 15$ and $\# 16$ with all the other localities because the rocks sampled here are now knuwn to be of a ifferent age. The conojont distributions at the northern and southern ends of the outcrop belt have been carefully studied (Merrill and von Bitter, 1976; von Bitter, 1973, 1976; von Bitter and Heckel, 1978; von Bitter and Merrill, 1980). Although the results regarding the conodont distributions at most of the localities in the central portion of the outcrop belt have not yet been published, it is apparent that the conodont fauna at the central localities is uniform and monotonous, dominated by Streptognathodus, Idiognathodus, and Idioprioniodus (von Bitter, pers. comm.). However, the conodont distributions at some of the localities In the central outcrop belt have been discussed by Ellison (1941) and von Bitter (1972). The conodonts therefore provided an important control for comparing the ichthyolith distributions, both geographically and stratigraphically. In addition, work done by Toomey (1966, 1969a) provided information regarding lithologite variability of the Leavenworth Limestone, and was used as a comparison for the ichthyolith distributions.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS


#### Abstract

Sampling Samples from 16 localities (Text-fig. 1) were collected by Peter H. von Bitter of the Royal Ontario Museum for his conodont research. Not all localities contained a good exposure of each member. Therefore several sublocalities near the main localities were also sampled in order to collect all three members. Von Bitter followed the procedure of channel sampling (Collinson, 1965), whereby the selected outcrop was sampled perpendicular to the bedding plane. The samples collected were continuous from base to top of the exposed outcrop. He collected an average of 1,600 to 2,000 grams of rock sample from each horizon. Due to the small thickness and lithologic homogeneity of the Leavenworth Limestone (and Captain Creek Limestone), only one herizon was sampled at each of 13 localities. In general, at least two horizons were sampled in the Heebner Shale (and Eudora Shale) due to the different natures of the upper and lower parts. Many more horizons were sampled in the Plattsmouth Limestone (and Stoner Limestone) due to the greater thickness and lithologic variability. The 132 sampled horizons, their localities, and their ROM accession numbers are listed in Appendix C. Brief descriptions of each locality (and sublocality) are included in Appendix F.


## Processing

The limestone samples were broken down using acetic acid or formic acid and the shàc samples were broken down using Stoddard Solvent, Quaternary "O" and/or sodium hypochlorite. The resulting muds were then aieved through mesh sizes of 20 or 25 and 170. Tetrabromoethane was used to separate the heavy and light portions, the heavy portions of which were then separated using a magnetic separator. Von Bitter recovered the conodonts from these residues and then loaned them to me for recovery of the fish remains. Although most of the ichthyoliths were found in the non-magnetic heavy residues, I also sorted through the magnetic portions and recovered numerous ichthyoliths.

Photography and Ichthyolith Analysis
Most of the ichthsoliths were examined and photographed using an ETEC Autoscan scanning electron microscope, with a few being photographed with a JEOL JSM-2 scanning electron microscope. Several different types of mounting media were used, but I found the optimum type to be envelope glue since it is water soluble and allows easy removal of the ichthyoliths. After mounting, the ichthyoliths were sputter-coated with approximately 150 to $200 \AA$ of gold and examined under the scanning electron microscope at 20 KV . A disadvantage of using the SEM was that several of the palaeoniscoid teeth have translucent tips and this characteristic could not be observed in the SEM micrographs (see, for example, Appendix B, Part II, Fig. 6a). Therefore, these ichthyoliths were also photographed in reflected
light using a Wild binocular microscope and camera with 32 ASA Panatomic film with a Wratten 59 filter (see Appendix B, Part II, Fig. $6 \mathrm{~b})$. The color photographs of the altered ichthyoliths were also taken using the Wild microscope and camera. In addition, an ultraviolet filter was used with 50 ASA Ektachrome film to achieve the truest colors possible.

## Classification

The ichthyoliths were identified according to the key for Paleozoic ichthyoliths (Tway, 1979a). Several new ichthyoliths were encountered which were not identifiable by this system, necessitating the addition of several categories. These are listeu in Appendix A.

Curation of Samp? es and Ichthyoliths
The sample residues are deposited in the Department of Invertebrate Paleontology of the Royal Ontario Museum in Toronto, Canada. All ichthyoliths are deposited in the Department of Vertebrate Daleontology of the Royal Ontario Museum.

## Geothermometry

For the initial carbonization work, ichthyoliths were heated in oper air in a Lindberg muffle furnace. The times and temperatures at which they were heated were those specified by Epstein et al. (1977) for conodonts. These ranged from $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 h to $950^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4.0 h . Five replicate samples were run for each time and temperature. The ichthyoliths used in these experiments were from several shallow marine limestones of tine Shawnee Group. Ichthyoliths
from units with a high carbon content were not used in order to avoid some of the possible darkening effects of the carbon. Palaeoniscoid teeth were used in most. of the experiments due to their abundance and general consistency of size and shape. However, other types of ichthyoliths were also included in some of the heating experiments to determine whether or not different ichthyolith types would respond differently to thermal gradients.

Sections were made of larger elasmobranch teeth (Cretaceous) in order to determine if any internal structural alterations were taking place in addition to the color changes. The larger teeth facilitated the making of sections. Comparisons were made between teeth which had not been heated (control) and those which had been thermally altered (experimental). The teeth were first heated in the muffle furnace. They were then embedded in epoxy, cut and ground longitudinally, and polished with aluminum oxide powder. The polished surfaces were etched for $15-20$ sec in 2 NHCl (see Barnes et al., 1970). The sections were then examined and photographed with the scanning electron inicroscope. The temperatures used in these experiments are necessarily much higher than those which would have occurred in the original matrix. The higher temperatures at shorter time periods is the only say to satisfactorily simulate lower temperatures for very long peroids of time (geologically). The reader is referred to Figure 3 of Epstein et al. (1977) for the time and temperature relationships through an Ahrrenius plot.

## Multivariate Analyses <br> Geographic Variation Analysi=

For the present study, I was not interested in a detailed analysis of the paleoecology but rather in an examination of the geographic variation of the ichthyoliths and a comparison of their distribution with that of the conodonts from the study area. I used abundance data since these tend to provide more information regarding the more subtle ecological trends and geographic variation. The absolute abundances of the ichthyoliths were divided by the quantity of rock sample (in kilograms) which yielded the ichthyoliths (see Appendix D), resulting in abundance values of the number of ichthyoliths per kilogram (no. ichthyoliths/kg). I performed Q-mode ciuster analysis and principal components analysis to determine the relationship of the localities. R-mode cluster analysis was also performed on the ichthyoliths but did not provide any useful information regarding the geographic variation. No missing values were used and the data were not standardized (since a type of standardization was initialiy duue by calculating the no. ichthyoliths $/ \mathrm{kg}$ ). In the cluster analysis, the average taxonomic distance coefficient was used (Sneath and Sokal, 1373). A covhenetic correlation coefficient (Sokal and Rohlf, 1962) was calculated for each dendrogram to measure the amount of distortion resulting from presenting the data in the form of a dendrogram.

It is especially important in studies of geographic variation to also perform an ordination on the data. Ordination techniques provide better indications of global (between group) relationships and
can be used to determine if the clusters resulting from cluster analysis are real or simply an artifact of that type of analysis. For the ordination method, $I$ employed the principal components analysis using the product-moment correlation coefficient. The Numerical Taxonomy System of Multivariate Statistical Programs (NT-SYS; Rohlf et al., 1979) and the Statistical Analysis System (SAS; Helwig and Council, 1979) sere used. The raw data of the no. ichthyoliths $/ \mathrm{kg}$ used in the geographic variation analyses are listed in Appendix E, Part I.

## Biostratigraphic Analysis

I was interested in quantitatively determining if the Iowa localities would be segregated from all the other localities based on the ichthyolith fauna. Since it has been shown (von Bitter and Heckel, 1978) that the samples from these localities are older than those from the other localities (but essentially the same environmentally), I was interested in determining if there are any differences in the ichthyolith faunas of the two stratigraphic sequences. I analyzed each member separately but combined the corresponding members in the Iowa localities with those from the other localities. Thus, when I analyzed the Leavenworth Limestone member, the Captain Creek Limestone member was included since $I$ wanted to evaluate whether the Captain Creek and Leavenworth limestones could be distinguished based on the ichthyoliths. The other corresponding members were combined in the same way.

For the biostratigraphic analyses, I used presence-absence
data and the range-through metbod since these tend to ignore some of the effects of environmental control. In addition, since several horizons were sampled for the Plattsmouth/Stoner members and the Heebner/Eudora members at each locality, I combined these horizons so that only one sample from each locality was used in the analyses. This further avoided effects of geographic variation. I then used cluster analysis with the Dice coefficient as suggested by Cheetham and Hazel (1969). Principal coordinates analysis was achieved by performing a Gower transformation on the results of the Dice coefficient among localities. Since factor loadings are not provided by principal coordinates analysis, a product-moment correlation coefficient was calculated between the ichthyolith types and the first seven factors. This provided information regarding the relationships of the ichthyoliths to each factor. The tables of these correlations provided information regarding the relationships of the ichthyoliths to the locality clusters and therefore provided similar information to R-mode cluster analysis. No missing values were included and the NT-SYS package was used. The presence-absence and range-through data usec i:i the biostratigraphic analyses are listed in Appendix E, Part II.

RESULTS
General ObservationsA total of 24,670 ichthyoliths, comprising 156 differenttypes, were recovered and identified from the 132 samples. Theseichthyolitns are illustrated and described in Appendix B. Althoughthe average relative abundance for all the members was 114.15ichthyoliths $/ \mathrm{kg}$, the abundances and diversities varied considerably ineach member (Table 1). Of the three Shawnee Group members, thePlattsmouth Limestone contained the most numerous and diverseichthyolith fauna, and the Stoner Limestone contained the mostnumerous and diverse ichthyolith fauna of the Lansing Group members.Since both of these members represent an increasingly transgressivephase, it is apparent that the abundant and diverse fauna is a resultof a more ecologically suitable environment.
Text-figures 4 and 5 illustrate the change in the average ichthyolith abundances and diversities in the members along the sampled transect. The Leavenworth and Captain Creek limestones contained the sparsest ichthyolith fauna along most of the transect. However, the ichthyolith abundance in the Leavenworth Limestone changed abruptly from 1.06 ichthyoliths/kg at locality 非2 to 367.72 ichthyoliths/kg at locality \#1 a short distance away. The diversity also increased from two different types at locality $\# 2$ to 43 different.
types at locality \#1. There is also an increase in abundarice and diversity at locality $\# 16$ (Captain Creek Limestone), but the change is not as pronounced. Although Toomey et al. (1974) found no ichthyoliths in the Leavenworth Limestone at his sample localities in Coffey and Franklin counties in Kansas, Buchanan County in Missouri, and Cass and Madison counties in Iowa, I recovered several ichthyolith types, some in high numbers, from sample localitios in these counties. There appears to be no distinct patterns in the ichthyolith abundances in the Heevner and Eudora shales and the Plattsmouth and Stoner limestones. The abundances fluctuatく considerably throughout the transect but generally decrease at the northern and southern edges. The diversities, however, tend to increase in a northward direction. Both the ichthyolith diversity and abundance increase abruptly in the Heebner Shale at locality $\# 14$ (Johanneson Quarry) in Cass County, Nebraska.

An unusual ichthyolith assemblage was recovered from the Stoner Limestone in Cass County, Iowa (locality ${ }^{\prime \prime} 15$ ). The ichthyoliths are variously colored, ranging from an unaltered ofi-white to nearly black (see Plate 1). These color differences were observed even among ichthyoliths of the same type and size (for example, palaeoniscoid teeth). However, the conodonts from this sample do not vary in color which poses a problem when trying to explain the variousiy-colored ichthyoliths (see Discussion section). There were several ichthyolith types (\#236, 非237, \#239, \#240, \#242, and \#245) which occur only in the Stoner Limestone in Cass and Madison counties, Iowa (localities $\# 15$ and 16), and nowhere else.

Another unusual ichthyolith assemblage occurred in the Leavenworth Limestone (Sample Le-4-1) at locality $\$ 1$ in Osage County, Oklahoma. Generally, there was very low ichthyolith abundance and diversity in the Leavenworth Limestone at other localities (the average was 10 ichthyoiiths $/ \mathrm{kg}$ ) but at locality $\# 1$ there were 368 ichthyoliths/kg. von Bitter (field notes) observed that the Leavenworth Limestone at this locality did not have its "regular lithology" and he was "somewhat skeptical that this is really Leavenworth". He also recovered an atypical conodont assemblage from this locality. In general, he found greater conodont abundances and diversities.

## Geothermometry

Ichthyoliths heated in open-air heating experiments undergo irreversible color alterations similar to those of conodonts and palynomorphs. Basically, they become darker going from an off'white in an unaltered state (see Plate 2a) to increasingly darker shades of brown (see Plate $2 b$ ) and eventually to a chalk-white (see plate $2 c$ ). At higher temperatures, the translucent tip becomes opaque (see Plate 2c). These changes are both time and temperature dependent. The results of this study indicate that ichthyoliths alter at lower temperatures than conodonts but at higher temperatures than palynomorphs. I have alio observed that different ichthyolith types undergo slightly different changes.

Not only does the color of ichthyoliths change but their internal structure is $\exists$ ffected as well. Plate 3 shows a cross-section
of a shark's tooth. The outer edge of the tooth is on the left, and toward the right is the central pulp region. The parallel-fibered structure on the outer edge is the orthodentin and the osteodentin (or trabecular (lentin) comprises the central pulp region of the tooth where most of the organic matrix is contained. Plate 4 shows a higher magnification of the orthodentin in a control tooth and in one which has been thermally altered (experimental). Plate 5 shows higher magnifications of the osteodentin in a control tooth and an experimental tooth. The orthodentin did not undergo significant alteration, but in the osteodentin there has beer. a substantial change.

## Geographic Variation

Leavenworth Limestone/Captain Creek Limestone
Cluster analysis of the Leavenworth and Captain Creek members based on the ichthyolith fauna produced a dendrogram with a very distinctive sample (Le-4-1 from locality \#1 in Oklahoma) and a very tight cluster of the other samples (see Text-fig. 6). Samples Le-16-1 and Le-7-1 show a lower similarity to the other localities. Within the tight cluster, the more southern localities (\#5, \#8, \#2 and \#7) are the most similar. The remaining northern localities joined this cluster at increasingly higher values. The principal components analysis resulted in a somewhat different distribution of the localities (see Text-fig. 7). Locality \#15 is not significantly different from the main cluster of Leavenworth localities in cluster analysis. However, in the principal components analysis, Le-15-1
shows very little similarity with the other localities in terms of the third principal compononts axis．The three－dimensional diagram of the first three principal axes illustrates that Le－4－1，Le－16－1 and Le－15－1 are highly dissimilar to the other samples with respect to the first，second and third axes，respectively．Le－7－1 does not appear to be significantly different from the other localities in the principal components analysis．Within the tight cluster of the principal components analysis，a progressive separation again occurs from the southern localities to the northern localities as was present in the dendrogram．

## Heebner Shale／Eudora Shale

The dendrogram of the cluster analysis shows a tight clustering of most of the samples but a low similarity of four samples： $\mathrm{He}-11-4$ ， $\mathrm{He}-14-2, \mathrm{He}-13-3$ ，and $\mathrm{He}-14-3$（see Text－fig．8）． The tight cluster contains mainly southern localites（\＃5，\＃2，\＃7，\＃6 and 非3）and a few northern localites（\＃13，非15 and \＃16）．In f neral， these samples contained fewer ichthyoliths than the more nort iern localities which joined this cluster at increasingly higher levels． He－11－4，He－14－2，He－13－3 and He－14－3 contained many ichthyoliths（as was seen in Text－figs． 4 and 5）．The dendrogram indicates a similar relationship in which an incrgasing diversity occurs in a northerly direction but drops abruptly at localities $⿰ ⿰ 三 丨 ⿰ 丨 三 一$ 15 and $\# 16$ ．A similar relationship resulted from the principal components analysis．In general，localities $\$ 15$ and $\# 16$ do not appear to be significantly different from most of the other localities based on the ichthyoliths
and clustered in tightly with them. In addition, no distinct clustering of the Iowa localities occurred in either analysis.

Plattsmouth Limestone/Stoner Limestone
Three distinct groups resulted from the cluster analysis. P-4-2 and P-9-3 form one group and join the other two groups at a very low similarity value (see r'ext-fig. 9). The uppermost cluster contains mainly the most northern localities (except for localities 45 and (7). The middle cluster contains samples of all the other localities with no apparent pattern to their relationship. A similar distribution resulted from the principal components analysis.

## Biostratigraphy

Leavenworth Limestone/Captain Creek Limestone Cluster analysis of the presence-absence and range-through data resulted in three distinct clusters (Text-fig. 10). The lowest cluster contains Le-4 and Le-16, and has a very low similarity with the other samples. Sample Le-15 clustered with the middle group and did not, therefore, show a high similarity with Le-16.

Text-figure 11 illustrates the relationship of the localities relative to the first seven principal coordinates axes. Table 2 shows that the percentage of variation explained by the seven factors extracted by principal coordinates analysis is 83.97\%. The first factor shows a similar relationship of the losalities as did cluster analysis. However, localities $\$ 16$ and $\# 1$ are not as distinct with respect to the first factor in principal coordinates analysis, and locality \#15 is less similar to the cluster of northern localities
than it was in cluster analysis．From Table 3 it is apparent that only three ichthyolith types（\＃035，\＃038 and \＃056）correlate highly with the first factor．The correlation of the other ichthyolith types with this factor is low．

The second factor shows a separation of localities $\# 16, \# 1$ and \＃15 from the other localities in that they lie on the upper edge of the axis and are not separated from each other by other localities． This also shows a cioser relationship of localities \＃16 and \＃1 than \＃16 and \＃15（see Discussion section）．From Table 3 it is apparent that many ichthyolith types correlate highly and positively with this factor，particularly those which are common（for example，\＃006，\＃035， \＃038，\＃072 and \＃091）．Thus，what distinguishes these localities from the others is the greater diversity of ichthyoliths present at localities 作1， 15 and 非16．

Although von Bitter（pers．comm．）also noted a difference in tre typical conodont fauna at locality $\# 1$ ，he believed this change to be a reflection of the environment rather than a difference in age since the Oklahoma locality represents a much shallower marine environment．If this is the case，there is enough environmental effect to show up in the biostratigraphic analysis．von Bitter（field notes）also noted that the Leavenworth Limestone at locality \＃1 did not look the same as it did elsewhere．The ichthyolith istribution is very similar to that of the conodonts．I found a much higher diversity and abundance of ichthyoliths at locality 非1（368 ichthyoliths／kg versus an average of 10 ichthyolith $/ \mathrm{kg}$ at the other localities；see Appendix E，Part II）．This may be a reflection of
drastic environmental change (for example, the approach to a shoreline) but the atypical conodont assemblage and different appearance of the Leavenworth Limestone warrants a reexamination of this locality.

No apparent pattern of the localities is seen with the third factor and localities $\$ 15, \$ 16$ and $\# 1$ are soparated from each other along this axis. Factor IV shows a high similarity of localities 15 and \#16. Two ichthyolith types (\$012 and \$057) correlate highly and negatively with the fourth factor (Table 3). Type $\$ 057$ was absent at both localities whereas \#012 was present at locality \#16 but absent at locality ${ }^{15}$. This factor also resulted in the distinction of



Factor $V$ shows no distinct pattern of the localities. Factor VI separated locality $\# G_{\text {( }}$ (Ilk County, Eansas) from the other localities and ichthyolith type $\ddagger 169$ (which was absent at locality $\# 6$ ) correlates highly and negatively with this factor. However, this ichthyolith type was absent at most localities, so it is the other ichthyolith types which do not correlate highly with this factor which were responsible for the distinction of locality $\# 6$. In addition,
 factor and ichthyolith type $\$ 169$ was absent at both localities.

The seventh factor shows a segregation of locality \#16 and all of the ichthyolith types which correlate highly and negatively with this factor were present at this locality. No relationship is apparent between localities $\# 1, \# 15$ and $\# 16$ along this factor.

Cluster analysis resulted in two distinct groups (Text-fig. 12). Within the uppermost group, He-14, He-15 and He-16 cluster tightly together. Although these three samples do not form a group which was separate from all the other localities, they are more similar to cach other than they are to all the other iocalities. This relationship is seen in the scatter diagram of the first and seventh axes of principal coordinates analysis (Text-fig. 13). The amount of variation explained by each axis is shown in Table 2. Table 4 shows the correlations of the ichthyoliths to the first seven factors of the principal soordinates analysis, and the relationship of the localities to each other with respect to these factors is illustrated in Text-fig. 14.

The first factor was responsible for a generally even distribution of most of the southern localities while the northern localities (\#12, \#14, \#13, 非16 and 翡11) formed a tight group at the upper edge of this factor. From Table 4, it is seen that many ichthyolith types correlate highly and positively with this factor. These ichthyoliths were very common and this factor constitutes a diversity factor.

Factors II and III did not result in any characteristic pattern of the localities but with both factors, locality $\# 3$ (Osage
 \#007 and $\# 145$ correlate highly and positively with these factors and all were absent at this locality, even the very common ichthyolith types \#007 and \#038. Ichthyolith type \#193 has a high negative
correlation with the second factor and was present at locality $\$ 3$. The third and seventh factors resulted in a closer relationship of localities \#15 and \#16 than occurred with the other factors. Locality \#14 is also similar to locality $\# 16$ with respect to the third factor. The fourth factor groups most of the localities closely together at the center of the axis. Locality $\# 7$ is distinct from this group and lies at the upper edge of the axis. Localities \#2 and $\$ 5$ were also distinct ard are on the bottom of the axis. The ichthyoliths which correlate highly with this factor are 035 (which has a positive association) and \#214 and \#217 (which are negatively correlated). Type $\# 035$ was absent in the Heebner Shale at both localities \#2 and \#5, even though it is a very common ichthyolith. Type $\$ 217$ was present only at locality $\# 2$. However, most of the localities were similar to each other based on their ichthyolith fauna.

No distinct pattern of the localities is seen relative to factors $V$ and $V I$, although locality ${ }^{\prime \prime} 4$ is distinct from the other localities relative to the sixth factor. Both ichthyolith types \#141 and $\$ 157$ correlate highly and negatively with this factor and were absent at most localities but present at locality $\# 4$. Ichthyolith


The seventh factor was responsible for the separation of locality \#8 but no distinct pattern of the other localities can be seen. As noted earlier, localities \#15 and \#16 show a closer relationship to each other with respect to this factor.

Two main clusters are apparent in the cluster analysis of the Plattsmouth and Stoner limestones (Text-fig. 15). Localities 15 and \#16 are clustered within Shawnee Group samplé, but do show a higher similarity to each other than to the other localities. Text-figure 16 shows the relationship of the localities with respect to the firsi seven principal coordinates axes. The first factor shows a very high
 within this cluster and locality 3 (Osage County, Oklahoma) is very distinct, lying at the bottom of the axis. The ichthyoliths which correlate highly with this factor (see Table 5) also correlated positively, indicating that most of the localities contained these ichthyoliths. Locality $\# 3$, however, was barren of all of these ichthyoliths, even though most are very common. This locality represents the southernmost extent of the Plattsmouth Limestone and indicates an atypical environment.

Localities $\# 15$ and $\# 16$ are again very similar to each other relative to the second factor, and are situated on the bottom half of the axis. Thus, the ichthyoliths which are highly and positively correlated with this factor tend to be absent at these localities whereas those which are associated at high negative values are generally present at localities $\# 15$ and $\# 16$. The ichthyolith types highly correlated with this factor are not common.

No clear pattern of the localities is apparent relative to factors III, IV, V, VI and VII. However, locality 非 4 is distinct from the other localities relative to factor III. The ichthyoliths which
correlated highly and positively with this factor ( 1148 and $\$ 172$ ) were both absent in the Plattsmouth Limestone at locality \#4. Those ichthyolith types which were associated at high negatios values were all present at this losality.

Localities $\$ 6$ and $\$ 7$ are segregatea from the other localities along the fourth principal coordinates axis, but are situated at opposite ends of the axis. Those ichthyolith types which correlate at high positive values with factor IV (Table 5) were generally present at Locality $\# 7$ and absent at locality $\# 6$, whereas those ichthyolith with high negative correlations were absent at locality $\# 7$ and present at locality $\# 6$.

Localities $\# 15$ and \#16 are separated from each other along the fifth and seventh axes. The ichthyoliths highly correlated with these factors are rare and many of them were distributed oppositely at these localities (that is, present at one and absent at the other). Factor VI resulted in an identical placement of localities $\$ 15$ and $\# 16$ along the bottom of the axis. Most of the ichthyolith types which correlate highly and positively with this factor were absent at localities $\# 15$ and $\# 16$, while those which are associated at high negative values were generally present. Text-figures 17 and 18 further illustrate the close relationship of localities $\# 15$ and $\# 16$ relative to the first and sixth axes and the second and sixth axes, respectively.

## DISCUSSION

## General Observations

The variously-colored ichthyolith assemblage in the Stoner Limestone in Cass County, Iowa, is difficult to explain. Reworking or stratigraphic leakage may have occurred, but presumably the conodonts woulc be variously colored as well. However, other factors might result in such an anomaly. For example, the ichthyoliths may have been more susceptible to reworking, but there is no apparent reason why this should be true. Another explanation is that both the ichthyoliths and conodonts were reworked but that the ichthyoliths were more susceptible to organic metamorphism and are therefore variously colored. However, there is no evidence of reworking of the conodonts (von Bitter, pers. comm.). It is also possible that the ichthyoliths were altered and eroded from deposits barren of conoủonts, then redeposited in the present locality. The accumulation of radioactive isotopes could also account for the darker-colored Ichthyoliths (Zidek, pers. comm.), but the same problem exists in trying to explain the variation of colors.

## Geothermometry

Ichthyoliths alter both in color and structure with increasing temperatures. This demonstrates that ichthyoliths could be used to determine the amount of thermal alteration that the surrounding rock
matrix has undergone. The grade of any hydrocarbons which might be present could be determined basar on the altered ichthyoliths. In addition, since zonations have not yet been worked out for ichthyoliths, the presence of variously-colored ichthyoliths in a sample could be used to determine reworking or stratigraphic leakage. The greater sensitivity of ichthyoliths relative to conodonts may be due to two main factors. First of all, ichthyoliths may have a higher ratio of organic to inorganic matrix than conodonts and it is this organic material which causes the carbonization to take piace. Second, ichthyoliths are generally more porous than conodonts because of the numerous vascular canals. This porosity would result in greater exposure of the organic material and therefore a lower alteration temperature. The greater porosity of ichthyoliths also makes them more susceptible to other, unrelated phenomena such as leaching, chemical alterations and staining. For example, I have attempted to induce thermal cnanges in dermal denticles from a Recent shark. In :-uer to disaggregate the scales from the hide, I dissolved the hide in $5 \%$ sodium hypochlorite (standard household bleach). Unfortunately, the scales would not alter after this treatment since it resulted in a destruction not only of the hide but also of the organic matrix of the scales. Since sodium hypochlorite is sometimes used to disaggregate rock samples, one must be certain what chemicals have been used to recover specimens when looking at ichthyoliths for carbonization studies. Conodonts are apparently not susceptible to sodium hypochlorite in this way (Bruce Wardlaw, pers. comm.) as are palynomorphs (L. R. Wilson, pers. comm.). In fact, conodonts are
resistant to most chemicals used. In addition, I have observed, as have others (for example, Mike Hansen, pers. comm.), discolored ichthyoliths in rocis which have apparently not undergone thermal alteration. For example, in deposits rich in hematite, the ichthyoliths are often stained reddish-brown (very close to one of their alteration colors). Although the susceptibility of ichthyoliths to chemical changes is in some ways a disadvantage, I believe that it may be an advantage as well. It simply indicates that ichthyoliths, as a group, should prove to be more sensitive t= any changes than roncdonts. But as much caution must be exercised in processing the ichthyoliths as is needed with palynomorphs.

The different reactions of various types of ichthyoliths (for example, chondrichthyans versus osteichthyans) is apparently due to the varying relative amounts of organic and inorganic material in the different types of ichthyoliths (for example, chondrichthyans versus osteichthyans). This is similar to Wilson's (1971) indings that different palynomorph genera undergo thermal alterations differently. It will be necessary, therefore, to set up a separate thermal alteration scale for each of the major groups of ichthyoliths.

Field observations (Bobb Schaeffer, pers. comm.; Paul Olsen, pers. comm.) have been made of fish remains which have been thermally altered in rocks near the Palisades Sill. Fish remains in rocks approaching the sill become progressively darker until very near the sill where they become chalk white. In rocks adjacent to the sill, the fish remains are crystal clear and very brittle. These observations are strikingly similar to those of Epstein et al. (1977)
of the conodont thermal alterations. Olsen (pers. comm.) has observed that fish bone appears to alter before teeth and scales, probably due to the greater relative amount of organic material in bone versus teeth and scales.

The more substantial structural change of the osteodentin relative to the orthodentin is a result of the greater amount of organic material in the osteodentin which, when it volatilizes, effects the structure of the inorganic matrix of the tooth. Although initial studies have been done on large elasmobranch teeth, it will be necessary to study these changes in the smaller teeth and scales as well. Differences in surface/volume ratios could have an effect on the structural alterations. The larger teeth began to fracture at much lower temperatures $\left(500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 24 h$)$ than the small ichthyoliths. Observations of structural changes might be useful in distinguishing ichthyoliths which are reddish-brown due to thermal change from those due to hematite staining.

## Geographic Variation/Biostratigraphy

Text-figures 4 and 5 illustrated a generalized trend of increasing diversity in a northward direction for the Heebner/Eudora and Plattsmouth/Stoner members, They also show an abrupt increase in ichthyolith abundance and diversity in the Leavenworth/Captain Creek members at localities $\# 1$ and $\# 16$. These patterns indicate a response of the ichthyoliths to a more nearshore environment. However, these graphs provided only generalized information regarding abundance and diversity. For example, in Text-figure 4, localities $\# 11$ and $\# 13$ have
approximately the same diversity but there might be a totally different ichthyolith fauna at each of these localities．This difference would not be indicated in this graph．Multivariate techniques provided more refined informaiion regarding the relationship of the localities based on their ichthyolith fauna． The results of the geographic variation snalyses for the Leavenworth Limestone／Captain Creek Limestone indicated a substantial difference in the ichthyolith fauna at localities $\# 1, \$ 15$ and $\# 16$. This is in close agreement with Toomey＇s（1966，1969a）placement of localities \＃1 and \＄16 into an aggregate－grain facies，and locality \＃15 into a mudstone facies．The relationship of the localities in the dendrogram of the geographic variation analysis appears to support the trend seen in Text－fig． 5 in which the southern localities（with the exception of locality $\# 1$ ）have a lower diversity which gradually increases toward the north．The same relationship was produced by principal components analysis．

The results of the biostratigraphic analysis also indicated a distinction of localities $⿰ ⿰ 三 丨 ⿰ 丨 三 一$ 16，$\# 15$ and $\# 1$ ．The segregation of these localities from the others relative to principal coordinates axis II is a result of the high correlation of the abundant ichthyoliths with factor II．Thus，the distinction of localities $\# 16, \# 15$ and $\# 1$ is a result of the much higher diversity and different ichthyolith fauna at these localities．Although in Text－figure 5 locality $\$ 15$ did not have a significantly greater diversity than the other localities，the ichthyolith fauna at locality ${ }^{2} 15$ is sufficiently different to result in its clear separation from the other localities in both the
geographic variation and biostratigraphic analyses.
The higher similarity of localities $\$ 1$ and $\# 16$ (versus $\$ 15$ and (16) is also in agreement with Toomey's (1966, 1969a) results. An initial interpretation of this geographic variation is that the increase in ichthyolith abundance and diversity at the two edges of the transect was a result of increasing nearness to shore. However, the different stratigraphic position of localities 15 and $\# 16$ is now a more satisfactory explanation for their relationship. The
 interpreted as a reflection of environmental change (nearness to shore) since the unit at locality ${ }^{1} 1$ is still considered to be the Leavenworth Limestone.

The geographic variation analysis of the Heebner Shale/Eudora Shale resulted in no distinction of the Iowa samples. However, in the biostratigraphic analysis, there was a segregation of localities \#15 and $\# 16$ relative to the seventh principal coordinates axes. This is in agreement with von Bitter's (1976) results regarding the conodont distribution.

In both the geographic variation and biostratigraphic analyses, locality \#14 also tended to segregate out from the other localities. The apparent similarity of $\mathrm{He}-14$ with $\mathrm{He}-15$ and $\mathrm{He}-16$ in the biostratigraphic analysis is puzziling. Von Bitter (pers. comm.) and von Bitter and Merrill (1980, see their Table 2) have observed that the presence of Gondolella postdenuda and other gondolellids is unusual in the Heebner Shale at Johannsen Quarry in Cass County, Nebraska. Although He-14 has clustered closely to He-15 and He-16 in
my analyses (which are the Captain Creek Limestone), von Bitter and Merrill (1980) noted a greater faunal similarity of He-14 to the Queen H1ll Shale (Lecompton Formation, Shawnee Group). If the Heebner Shale at locality 14 has been misidentified, its close similarity with He-15 and He-16 may be due to the different assemblages present at all three localities even though they represent different stratigraphic horizons. This would be due to their lower similarity with the other Heebner Shale localities. The geographic variation analysis of the Plattsmouth Limestone/Stoner Limestone did not result in a clustering of localities \#15 and \#16 relative to the other localities, indicating a similar environment during the deposition of the Stoner and Plattsmouth limestones. However, localities \#15 and \#16 showed a higher similarity to each other than to all the other localities in the cluster analysis of the biostratigraphic data. In principal coordinates analysis, the Iuwa localities were most similar relative to the sixth principal coordinates axis. Thus, the ichihyolith assemblage which correlated highly with this factor would be characteristic of these localities relative to the others. Based on these results, the Stoner Limestone could be distinguished from the Plattsmouth Limestone by the absence of ichthyolith types \#032, \#165
 correlated with factor VI. Four of the six ichthyolith types which occurred in the Stoner Limestone but not in the Plattsmouth (非236, \#237, \#239 and $\# 240$ ) were negatively correlated with factor VII but were not effective in separating localities $\# 15$ and $\# 16$ from the other
localities. This is probably due to the lower correlations of these rare ichthyoliths than the more common ichthyoliths.

The principal coordinates analyses of the Heebner and Plattsmouth members indicated a distinction of locality $\# 3$ in Osage County, Oislahoma. The ichthyolith fauna was particularly sparse here, and even the common ichthyolith types were absent. This may be due to the fact that tiais locality was the southernmost extent of the Plattsmouth and nearly the southernmost extent of the Heebner. This extreme nearness to shore was apparently detrimental to the ichthyolith fauna in these members.

The analyses of geographic variation showed increasing trends in the abundances and diversities of the ichthyoliths from south to north and segregated those localities which had very low similarities based on the ichthyoliths. Except for the Leavenworth Limestone, however, no clustering or separation of the Iowa localities resulted. The biostratigraphic analyses showed a greater distinction of the Iowa localities both in cluster analysis and in principal coordinates analysis since localities \#15 and \#16 were seen to be more similar to each other than they were to the other localities. Although the cluster analysis illustrated the higher similarity of the Iowa localities to each other than to the other localities, it still clustered these localities in with the others and did not segregate them. The results of the principal coordinates analyses were quite complex due to the large number of ichthyoliths used in the analyses. However principal coordinates analysis provided useful information regarding the ichthyolith fauna characteristic of the Iowa localities
through an examination of the ichthyolith correlations with each factor. It was also necessary to re-examine the raw data to understand the complex relationships resulting from the principal coordinates anaj.jois.

occurred in the Iowa samples but nowhere else. In addition, 18
ichthyolith types (\#022, \#032, \#064, \#067, \#070, \#096, \#107, \#124, \#132, \#134, \#105, \#177, \#201, \#203, \#210, \#229, \#231 and \#232) were present at other localities but were absent at localtties \#15 and \#16. Although there were many differences in the ichthyoiith fauna in Iowa, they did not have a great effect in separating these localities, probably because there were so many 1chthyolith types used in the analyses which occurred in both the Lansing and Shawnee group samples. Thus, the overall similarity of the ichthyolith assemblages at all localities was relatively high.

## CONCLUSIONS

The use of ichthyoliths to solve geological problems has been extremely limited, largely due to the taxonomic problems encountered with the disarticulated elements. However, the use of a utilitarian taxonomic system provided a means of identifying the ichthyoliths and utilizing them in various analyses.

Although the Mesozoic and Cenozoic ichthyoliths have been shown to be biostratigraphicly useful, the Late Paleozoic ichthyoliths have been virtually ignored. Throughout this study, it has been essential to compare the ichthyolith distributions with those of the conodonts since they provided an important control. The results of this study indicate that the distributions of ichthyoliths and conodonts are remarkably similar and that Late Paleozoic ichthyoliths have the potential to provide the same information as conodonts in shallow-water marine deposits. Thersfore, ichthyoliths could contribute to solving biostratigraphic and geographic variation problems in other Upper Pennsylvanian strata of the midcontinent region. In aduition, initial results of carbonization studies show that ichthyoliths can also provide valuable information regarding the thermal history of rocks. Their color and internal structural changes are both time and temperature dependent, indicating that ichthyoliths may become a valuable tool for hydrocarbon exploration.

Ichthyoliths have the potential of exceeding the usefulness of conodonts for various applications in geology because of their longer stratigraphic range and wider ecological distributions. The similar reactions of ichthyoliths and conodonts to thermal alterations provide an even greater incentive to better undersiand ichthyoliths. The results of this research indicate that Late Paleozoic ichthyoliths are a potentially important eroup of microfossils for studies of biostratigraphy and geographic variation and merit intensive study.
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Table 1. Average abundances and diversities of ichthyoliths in each member.

| Member | Average no. <br> ichthyoliths/kg | Total no. <br> ichthyolith types |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Leavenworth Limestone | 38.79 | 56 |
| Captain Creek Limestone | 71.41 | 42 |
| Heebner Shale | 106.54 | 92 |
| Eudora Shale | 28.99 | 46 |
| Plattsmouth Limestone | 127.59 | 130 |
| Stoner Limestone | 311.34 | 116 |

Table 2. Percentage of variation explained by seven factors resulting from principal coordinates analysis based on presence-absence data of combined members.

| Factor | Leavenworth/ <br> Captain Creek | Heebner/ <br> Eudora | Plattsmouth/ <br> Stoner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | 19.98 | 22.49 | 26.26 |
| III | 16.49 | 13.90 | 16.04 |
| IV | 13.50 | 12.06 | 9.29 |
| V | 10.23 | 8.11 | 8.86 |
| VI | 8.65 | 7.59 | 7.10 |
| VII | 7.76 | 6.03 | 6.81 |
| Cumulative | 83.97 | 76.15 | 5.98 |

Table 3. Correlations of ichthyolith types with the first seven factors of principal coordinates analysis using biostratigraphic data from the Leavenworth Limestone. Product-moment correlation coefficient $=$ r. Correlations $>|0.500|$ are shown.

| Facter | Type | $\underline{r}$ | Type | $\underline{r}$ | Type | $\underline{r}$ | Type | $\underline{r}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $I$ | 035 | -. 735 | 038 | -. 735 | 056 | .597 |  |  |
| II | 005 | . 525 | 006 | .746 | 009 | . 525 | 011 | . 571 |
|  | 012 | . 502 | 013 | . 571 | 031 | . 737 | 033 | . 518 |
|  | 035 | . 614 | 038 | . 614 | 040 | . 737 | 054 | . 505 |
|  | 056 | . 542 | 058 | . 519 | 066 | . 525 | 068 | . 509 |
|  | 072 | . 690 | 089 | . 525 | 091 | .737 | 118 | . 525 |
|  | 127 | . 737 | 128 | . 525 | 135 | . 525 | 137 | . 690 |
|  | 139 | . 525 | 142 | . 525 | 148 | . 525 | 164 | .737 |
|  | 172 | . 525 | 175 | . 525 | 190 | . 737 | 191 | . 525 |
|  | 205 | . 737 | 206 | . 525 | 207 | . 525 | 208 | . 525 |
| III | 007 | . 517 | 017 | . 625 | 047 | . 604 | 068 | . 552 |
|  | 119 | .711 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IV | 012 | -. 604 | 057 | -. 741 |  |  |  |  |
| V | 033 | .671 | 047 | ..604 | 086 | -. 594 | 152 | -. 591 |
| VI | 169 | -. 515 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3. Continued.

| Factor | Type | $\xrightarrow{\text { r }}$ | Type | $\xrightarrow{\sim}$ | Type | $r$ | Type | $\underline{\sim}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VII | 005 | -. 584 | 009 | -. 584 | 011 | -. 584 | 013 | -. 518 |
|  | 015 | -. 503 | 066 | -. 584 | 089 | -. 584 | 118 | -. 584 |
|  | 128 | -. 584 | 139 | -. 584 | 142 | -. 584 | 148 | -. 584 |
|  | 172 | -. 584 | 175 | -. 584 | 191 | -. 584 | 193 | -. 610 |
|  | 206 | -. 584 | 207 | -. 584 | 208 | -. 584 |  |  |

Table 4. Correlations of ichthyolith types with the first seven factors of principal coordinates analysis using biostratigraphic data from the Heebner Shale. Product-moment correlation coefficient $=r . \quad$ Correlations $>|0.500|$ are shown.

| Eactor | Type | $r$ | Type | $\underline{\sim}$ | Type | $\ldots$ | Type | $r$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $I$ | 006 | . 907 | 007 | . 695 | 009 | . 892 | 013 | . 713 |
|  | 015 | . 602 | 017 | . 808 | 018 | .670 | 021 | . 652 |
|  | 031 | . 557 | 035 | . 532 | 040 | . 557 | 054 | . 562 |
|  | 056 | . 789 | 057 | . 542 | 058 | . 725 | 068 | . 658 |
|  | 074 | . 587 | 091 | . 541 | 095 | . 698 | 118 | . 572 |
|  | 119 | . 602 | 128 | . 525 | 136 | . 695 | 138 | . 557 |
|  | 139 | . 519 | 140 | . 523 | 142 | . 530 | 152 | . 536 |
|  | 154 | . 582 | 156 | . 907 | 193 | . 840 | 208 | . 596 |
| II | 038 | . 603 | 193 | -. 505 |  |  |  |  |
| III | 007 | . 570 | 145 | . 580 |  |  |  |  |
| IV | 035 | . 593 | 214 | -. 584 | 217 | -. 605 |  |  |
| V | 047 | -. 597 | 142 | . .586 |  |  |  |  |

Table 4. Continued.

| Eactor | Type | $\underline{r}$ | Type | $\underline{r}$ | Type | $r$ | Type | $r$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VI | 141 | -. 519 | 157 | -. 670 | 208 | . 530 |  |  |
| VII | 002 | -. 596 | 011 | . 569 | 087 | . 556 | 092 | -. 523 |
|  | 135 | . 653 | 172 | -. 650 | 211 | -. 592 | 215 | -. 705 |
|  | 216 | -. 523 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5. Correlations of ichthyolith types with the first seven factors of principal coordinates analysis using biostratigraphic data from the Plattsmoutr Limestone. Product-moment correlation coefficient $=$ r. Correlations $>|0.500|$ are shown.

| Eactor | Type | $r$ | Type | $r$ | Type | $r$ | Type | $r$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 005 | . 790 | 007 | . 917 | 009 | . 712 | 011 | . 917 |
|  | 013 | . 917 | 015 | . 917 | 018 | . 596 | 021 | . 719 |
|  | 026 | . 555 | 031 | . 917 | 035 | . 917 | 040 | . 606 |
|  | 047 | . 780 | 049 | . 590 | 054 | . 790 | 056 | . 719 |
|  | $0 \cdot 7$ | .745 | 058 | . 917 | 062 | . 790 | 066 | . 917 |
|  | 068 | . 780 | 072 | . 917 | 074 | . 568 | 089 | . 547 |
|  | 091 | . 593 | 095 | . 652 | 118 | . 651 | 119 | . 593 |
|  | 128 | . 764 | 136 | . 583 | 137 | . 587 | 140 | . 745 |
|  | 142 | . 538 | 148 | . 540 | 156 | . 790 | 187 | . 558 |
|  | 190 | . 737 | 193 | . 728 | 208 | . 640 | 211 | . 745 |
|  | 213 | . 540 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| II | 012 | . 550 | 057 | -. 602 | 074 | -. 616 | 095 | -. 648 |
|  | 118 | -. 532 | 139 | -. 531 | 140 | -. 602 | 211 | -. 602 |
|  | 213 | -. 502 | 214 | -. 776 | 218 | -. 531 | 232 | . 517 |

Table 5. Continued.

| Factor | Type | $\underline{r}$ | Type | $\underline{r}$ | Type | $\underline{r}$ | Type | $r$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| III | 028 | -. 573 | 029 | -. 715 | 086 | -. 713 | 092 | -. 585 |
|  | 105 | -. 508 | 141 | -. 507 | 148 | . 611 | 172 | . 767 |
|  | 173 | -. 566 | 203 | -. 659 | 216 | -. 594 | 219 | -. 594 |
| IV | 001 | . 524 | 009 | -. 506 | 021 | -. 506 | 033 | . 620 |
|  | 056 | -. 506 | 091 | -. 502 | 119 | -. 502 | 145 | . 645 |
|  | 206 | . 563 | 232 | -. 633 |  |  |  |  |
| V | 008 | . 518 | 019 | -. 522 | 033 | -. 579 | 082 | . 572 |
|  | 098 | .540 | 127 | -. 608 | 220 | -. 505 | 233 | -. 733 |
|  | 234 | -. 583 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VI | 001 | -. 524 | 032 | .627 | 070 | . 592 | 083 | -. 548 |
|  | 165 | . 727 | 173 | . 543 | 176 | -. 514 | 187 | -. 503 |
|  | 202 | -. 597 | 210 | . 627 |  |  |  |  |
| VII | 032 | -. 531 | 089 | . 622 | 090 | . 565 | 140 | -. 700 |
|  | 144 | .. 779 | 152 | . 670 | 157 | . 627 | 191 | -. 584 |
|  | 190 | -. 624 | 210 | -. 531 | 212 | -. 701 | 227 | -. 720 |
|  | 231 | . 630 | 236 | -. 524 | 237 | -. 524 | 239 | -. 524 |



Text-fig. 1. Map of study area and sampled localities (modified from Toomey, 1969a).


Text-fig. 2. Stratigraphic sequence of the Shawnee Group (modified from Plate 1 of Jewett et al., 1968). The studied members are noted with asterisks.


Text-fig. 3. Stratigraphic relationship of the Shawnee and Lansing
groups (modified from Plate 1 of Jewett et al., 1968).
The studied members are noted with asterisks.
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Text-figure 4. Ichthyolith diversity in each member along transect. Horizontal axis shows the relationship of the localities from south to north.


Text-figure 5. Ichthyolith abundance in each memher along transect. Horizontal axis shows the relationship of the localities from south to north.


Text-fig. 6. Dendrogram based on an analysis of the abundance data of the Leavenworth and Captain Creek limestones ( $r_{c}=1.00$ ).


Text-fig. 7. Three-dimensional plot of the first three principal components axes based on an analysis of the abundance data of the Leavenworth and Captain Creek limestones.


Text-fig. 8. Dendrogram based on an analysis of the abundance data of the Heebner and Eudora shales ( $r_{c}=0.99$ ).


Text-fig. 9. Dendrogram based on an analysis of abundance data of the Plattsmouth and Stoner limestones ( $r_{c}=0.96$ ).


Text-fig. 10. Dendrogram based on an analysis of the presence-absence data of the Leavenworth and Captain Creek limestones ( $r_{c}=0.87$ ).


Text-fig. 11. Relationship of localities to first seven factors extracted from principal coordinates analysis based on presence-absence and range-through data of the Leavenworth/Captain Creek limestones.


Text-fig. 12. Dendrogram based on an analysis of the presence-absence data of the Heebner and Eudora shales ( $r_{c}=0.94$ ).


Text-fig. 13. Scatter diagram of principal coordinates axes $I$ and VII based on an analysis of the presence-absence data of the Heebner and Eudora shales.


Text-fig. 14. Relationship of localities to first seven factors extracted from principal coordinates analysis based on presence-absence and range-through data of the Heebner/Eudora shales.


Text-fig. 15. Dendrogram based on an analysis of the presence-absence data of the Plattsmouth and Stoner limestones ( $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{c}}=0.99$ ).


Text-fig. 16. Relationship of localities to first seven factors extracted from principal coordinates analysis based on presence-absence and range-through data of the Plattsmouth/Stoner limestones.


Text-fig. 17. Scatter diagran of principal coordinates axes $I$ and VI based on an analysis of the presence-absence data of the Plattsmouth and Stoner limestones.


Text-fig. 18. Scatter diagram of principal coordinates axes II and VI bascd on an analysis of the presence-absence data of the Plattsmouth and Stoner limestones.


Plate 1. Variously-colored ichthyolith assemblage from the Stoner Limestone in Cass County, Iowa (30X).


Plate 2. (a) Unaltered palaeoniscoid tooth. (b) Thermally altered palaeoniscoid tooth ( $550^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 hr ). (c) Thermally altered palaeoniscoid tooth $\left(950^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 4 hr.$\left.\right)$. All magnifications 50X.


Plate 3. Longitudinal section of an elasmobranch teoth showing the orthodentin and osteodentin.

## ORTHODENTIN



Plate 4. Orthodentin of a control (a) and an experimental (b) elasmobranch tooth. The experimental tooth was heated in open-air at $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours.

## OSTEODENTIN

CONTROL

a

EXPERIMENTAL


Plate 5. Osteodentin of a control (a) and an experimental (b) elasmobranch tooth. The experimental tooth was heated in open-air at $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours.

## APPENDIX A

MODIFICATIONS OF DESCRIPTOR SYSTEM FOR PALEOZOIC ICHTHYOLITHS

## APPENDIX A

MODIFICATIONS OF DESCRIPTOR SYSTEM FOR PALEOZOIC ICHTHYOLITHS

Several new categories were added to the original key for Paleozoic ichthyoliths (Tway, 1979a) and are here indicated by an asterisk. In addition, some of the categories listed in the previous key have been further defined or altered (here indicated by italics). A " $\pm$ " indicates that a particular feature may or may not be present. The reader is referred to Doyle et al. (1974) and Tway (1979a) for an explanation of the way in which the descriptor code is formulated.

## Modifications of Descriptor System

a. 3. polygonal with no platform
4. lanceolate or somewhat polygonal with a platform
*16. circular to subcircular with no platform (Fig. 1)
b. (10. stippled surface (Fig. 2)

## Type a2/b1,2

i. $\quad$. keel(s) toothed (Fig. 3)
j. Number of lines or keels on front (anterior) side of blade
k. 77. very irregular (Fig. 4)
*. crescentic (Fig. 5)
*m. Number of lines or keels present on reverse (posterior)
side of blade
0. indeterminate or absent

1. one
2. two
3. tirree
etc.
Type a3,4/b1,2,9/c2
d. 8. more than one keel on reverse (posterior) side of blade
*9. curved keel on reverse (posterior) side of blade whichis approximately parallel to edge of blade (Fig. 6)
*10. curved depression surrounding junction of platform withblade (Fig. 7)
f. 7. ovoid
g. 2. platform approximately same width as blade
i. Length/width ratio
4. indeterminate
5. length greater than width
6. length approximately equal to width
7. length less than width
Type a3,4/b1,2,9/c4
d. 3. three or more parallel to subparallel lines on srownnot converging centrally
8. three or more parallel to subparallel lines on scown. converging at or near one corner
9. concentric chevrons on crown with center at one corner of element
10. concertric rhombs on base with center at middle of element
*. many parallel to subparallel lines originating predominantly from one or two edges of corwn (Fig. 8)
11. concentric rhombs on crown with center at one corner of element (Fig. 9)

## Type a5/b1,2

d. 6. single median keel on reverse (posterior) side of blade 7. more than one keel on reverse (posterior) side of blade
8. single median depression on front (anterior) side of blade
\#9. curved keel on reverse (posterior) side which is approximately paralle.l to edge of blade.

## Type a6/b1,2

c. 6. single broac median depression
7. one or more keels on reverse (posterior) side of blade
8. curved keel on reverse (posterior) side of blade which is approximately parallel to edge of blade
d. 6. diamond-shaped

```
Type "a8/b1,5" should read "a8/b5"
```

Type a8/b1,2
c. Number of margins with prominent flexure
0. indeterminate

1. one
2. two
d. Number of lines or keels
3. indeterminate
4. absent
5. one median keel on front (anterior) side of blade
6. two parallel to subparallel keels on front (anterior) side of blade
7. three or more parallel to subparallel keels on front (anterior) side of blade
8. one median keel on reverse (posterior) side of blade
9. more than one keel on reverse (posterior) side of blade
e. Nature of tip or peak of blade
10. indeterminate
11. sharp
12. blunt
13. tip curved posteriorly (Fig. 10)
f. Shape of margin opposite peak
14. indeterminate
15. rounded
16. roughly V-shaped, angular
17. irregular, uneven or undulating
18. flared into an irregular structure
g. Outline of platform
19. circular to subcircular
20. triangular
21. square
h. Size of platform
22. wider than blade
23. approximately same width as blade
24. narrower than blade
Type a9/b1,2
e. 5. two lines or keels each present on edge of blade
f. 5. "platform present" should read "base present"
i. "platform shape" should read "base shane"
*8. flared (Fig. ..... 11)
*9. thick and curved (Fig. ..... 12)
j. "platform size" should read "base size"
h. 6. more than one keel on reverse (posterior) side of element
25. flange on reverse side of element (Fig. ..... 13)
26. toothed keels
Type a9/b5
e. 7. tip translucent
*8. small, translucent, circular disc on top of cone (Fig. ..... 14)
Type a10/b1,2d. 2. "elongate and rectangular" should read "elongate andbar-shaped"
27. "thick and triangular" should read "thick and polygonal"
e. 2. "triangular, curved labially" should read "triangular,
curved Linguadly"

Type "a11/b1,2" should read "all/b1,2,3"
d. 2. "elongate and rectangular" should read "elongate and bar-shaped"
5. "thick and triangular" should read "thick and polygonal"
7. circular to subcircular and flat with a buttonlike process (Fig. 15)
*. circular to subcircular and thick with a buttonlike process (Fig. 16)
*9. flat and star-shaped (Fig. 17)
e. 2. "triangular, curved labially" should read "triangular, curved lingually"
*5. none of the above
h. 0. not linear
4. Bi. Blade flattening
0. none

1. flattened laterally
2. flattened antero-posteriorly
*Type a12/b3
c. Nature of top of element
3. pointed (Fig. 18)
4. flat (Fig. 19)
d. Nature of keels
5. smooth (Fig. 20)
6. toothed (Fig. 21)
Type ai2/b8
c. 1. concave and sircular
7. flat and sircular
Type "a13/b1,2" should read "a13/b1,2,3"
c. 5. very irregular (Fig. ..... 22)
d. 6. distinct ridges on more than one edge but not all edges(Fig. 23)
f. Width of base
8. indeterminate
9. wider than top of element (Fig. 24)
10. approximately same width as top of element (Fig. 25)
11. narrower than top of element (Fig. ..... 26)
Type a14/b3
c. Number of radiating lines or keels:
Recorded as numbers
d. Nature of edges
12. all edges curved inward (Fig. ..... 27)
13. two edges straight and one edge curved inward (Fig. ..... 28)
Type a15/b2
c. Nature of lines
14. parallel
15. radiate from margin
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## APPENDIX B <br> DIAGNOSES OF ICHTHYOLITH TYPES

The 156 ichthyolith types are on deposit at the Department of Vertebrate Paleontology (DVP) of the Royal Ontario Museum (ROM) in Toronto, Canada, under the catalog numbers listed in this appendix.

## APPENIIX B

## DIAGNOSES OF ICHTHYOI,ITH TYPES

## PART I

## SECTION I: ELLIPTICAL TO LANCEOLATE ELEMENTS, EACH WITH AN ACUTELY DENTATE EDGE

Type Number: 001 (Fig. 1a-e)

Code: $a 2 / b 1 / c 3-7 / d 1 / e 1,2 / f 3 / g 3 / h 4 / 11 / j 0 / k 1 / 11,2 / m 0,1$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 28045
Diagnosis: Symmetrical to asymmetrical blade with from three to seven peaks; blade length greater than width; median peak more than three times length of lateral peaks; depressions between peaks slitlike. Margin opposite peaks flared into an irregular structure. Tridentate feature present at base of blade. Outline of platform circular to subcircular; platform wider than or approximately same width as blade. Single median keel may or may not be present on fosterior side of blade.

Types Number: 005 (Fig. 2a-e)
Code: $\quad$ a2/b2/c3/d1/e1/f2,3/g2/h4/i2/j1/k5/i2/m1
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23034

Diagnosis: Symmetrical blade with three peaks; blade length greater than width; median peak two or more times length of lateral peaks; depressions between peaks roughly V-shaped; single median keel. Margin opposito poake flared into an irregular structure. Outline of platform square to cruciform; platform approximately same width as blade. Single median keel present on posterior side of blade.

Type Number: 058 (Fig. 3a-e)
Code: $\quad \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b} 1 / \mathrm{c} 3 / \mathrm{d} 3 / \mathrm{e} 1 / \mathrm{f} 1-3 / \mathrm{g} 1 / \mathrm{h} 1 / 10 / \mathrm{j0/k8/l2,3/m0}$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23046
Diagnosis: Symmetrical blade with three peaks; blade length less than width; median peak ranges from less than twice to more than three times length of lateral peaks; depressions between peaks U-shaped. Margin opposite peaks rounded. No lines or keels present on anterior or posterior sides of blade. Outline of platforr crescentic; platform narrower than or approximately equal to width of blade.

Type Number: 062 (Fig. 4a-e)
Code: a2/b2/c3/d1/e1/f3/g1/h4/i2/j3/k1,5/l1/m0
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23047
Diagnosis: Symmetrical blade with three peaks; blade length greater than width; median peak more than three times length of lateral peaks; depressions between peaks U-shaped; three subparallel keels do not converge. Margin opposite peaks flared into an irregular structure. Outline of platform circular or square; platform wider than blade. No lines or keels present on posterior side of blade.

Type Number: 066 (Fig. 5a-c)
Code: a2/b2/c5-9/d1/e1/f3/g2,3/h4/i9/j1/k5/l2,3/m1
ROM DVP Catalos Number: 23048
Diagnosis: Symmetrical blade with from five to nine peaks; blade length greater than width; median peak more than three times length of lateral peaks; depressions bstween peaks V-shaped io sijitifke; singie median, toothed keel. Margin opposite peaks flared into an irregular structure. Outline of platform square to cruciform; plat, form narrower than or approximately same width as blade. Single median, toothed keel present on posterior side of blade.

Type Number: 070 (Fig. 6a-c)
Code: $\quad \mathrm{a} 2 / \mathrm{b} 2 / \mathrm{c} 5-7 / \mathrm{d} 1 / \mathrm{e} 2 / \mathrm{f} 2 / \mathrm{g} 2 / \mathrm{h} 4 / \mathrm{i} 9 / j 2-4 / \mathrm{k} 4,7 / 12 / \mathrm{m} 2-4$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23049
Diagnosis: Asymmetrical blade witn rive to seven peaks; biade length greater than width; median peak two to three times length of lateral peaks; depressions between peaks V-shaped; two to four toothed keels do not converge. Margin opposite peaks flared into an irregular structure. Outline of platform circular to very irregular; platform approximately same width as blade. Two to four toothed keels present on posterior side of blade.

Type Number: 078 (Fig. 7a-b)
Code: $\quad a 2 / b 2 / c 3 / d 1 / e 1 / f 3 / g 2 / h 0 / i 2 / j 4-6 / k 0 / 10 / m 0$
ROM DVP Catalos Number: 23050
Diagnosis: Symmetrical blade with three peaks; blade lerigth greater than width; median peak more than three times length of lateral peaks;
depressiors between peaks V-shaped; four to six parallel to subparal:"el keels present on anterior side of blade which do not converge. Margin opposite peaks indeterminate. Outline and size of platform indeterminate. No lines or keels present on posterior side of blade.

## Type Number: 089 (Fig. 8a-f)

Code: $\quad$ a2/b2/c3/d1/e1/f3/g1/h1/i2/j1/k5/l1/m0
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23051
Diagnosis: Symmetrical blade with three peaks; blade length greater than width; median peak more than three times length of lateral peaks; depressions between peaks U-shaped; single median keel. Margin opposite peaks rounded. Outline of platform square to cruciform; platform wider than blade. No lines or keels present on posterior side of blade.

## Type Number: 191 (Fig. Ga-e)

Code: $\quad a 2 / b 1 / c 3-6 / d 1 / e 2 / f 1-4 / \mathrm{g} 1,2 / \mathrm{h} 4 / \mathrm{i} 0 / \mathrm{jo/k7/l1/m0}$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23052
Diagnosis: Asymmetrical blade with three to six peaks; blade length greater than width; length of median peak relative to lateral peaks highly variable; peaks arise independently of each other; depressions between peaks U-shaped or V-shaped. Margin opposite peaks flared into an irregular structure. Outline of platform irreguiar; platform wider than blade. No lines or keels present on anterior or posterior side of blade.

Type Number: 199 (Fig. 10a-e)
Code: $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b} 2 / \mathrm{c} 3 / \mathrm{d} 1 / \mathrm{e} 1 / \mathrm{f} 2,3 / \mathrm{g} 2 / \mathrm{h} 4 / 12 / \mathrm{j3}-6 / \mathrm{k} 1,5 / 11-3 / \mathrm{m} 3-6$
HOM DVP Catalos Number: 23053
Diagnosis: Symmetrical blade with three peaks; blade length greater than width; median peak two or more times length of lateral peaks; depressions between peaks V-shaped; three to six parallel keels do not. converge. Margin opposite peaks flared into an irregular structure. Outline of platform roughly circular to square; platform ranges from narrower to wider than blade. Three to six keels present on posterior side of blade.

Type Number: 213 (Fig. 11a-e)
Code: a2/b2/c3-5/d1/e1,2/f3/g2/h4/i9/j2/k5/l2,3/m1
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23054
Diagnosis: Symmetrical to asymmetrical blade with three to five peaks; blade length greater than width; median peak more than three times length of lateral peaks; depressions between peaks V-shaped; two toothed keels present on anterior side of blade which do not converge. Margin opposite peaks flared into an irregular structure. Outline of platform square to cruciform; platform narrower than or approximately same width as blade. Single median, toothed keel present on posterior side of blade.

Type Number: 220 (Fig. 12a-e)
Code: a2/b2/c5-11/d2/e1/f3/g2/h4/i9/j2/k0/10/m1
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23055
Diagnosis: Symmetrical blade with five to eleven peaks; blade length
approximately equal to width; median peak more than thiee times length of lateral peaks; depressions between peaks V-shaped; two toothed keels present on anterior side of blade which do not converge. Margin opposite peaks flared into an irregular structure. Outline and size of platform indeterminate. Single median, toothed keel present on posterior side of blade.

Type Number: 224 (Fig. 13a-e)
Code: $\mathrm{a} 2 / \mathrm{b} 2 / \mathrm{c} 3 / \mathrm{d} 1 / \mathrm{e} 1 / \mathrm{f} 3 / \mathrm{g} 2 / \mathrm{h} 4 / 12 / \mathrm{j} 3 / \mathrm{k} 3 / 12,3 / \mathrm{m} 3$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23056
Diagnosis: Symmetrical blade with three peaks; blade length greater than width; median peak more than three times length or 1ateral peaks; depressions between peaks V-shaped; three parallel to subparallel keels present on anterior of blade which do not converge. Margin opposite peaks flared into an irregular structure. Outline of platform triangular with apex pointing posteriorly; platform narrower than or approximately same vidth as blade. Three keels present on posterior side of blade.

Type Number: 227 (Fig. 14a-e)
Code: $\quad \mathrm{a} 2 / \mathrm{b} 2 / \mathrm{c} 5-7 / \mathrm{d} 1,2 / \mathrm{e} 1 / \mathrm{f} 3 / \mathrm{g} 2 / \mathrm{h} 4 / \mathrm{i} 2 / \mathrm{j} 1 / \mathrm{k} 5 / 12 / \mathrm{m} 1$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23057
Diagnosis: Symmetrical blade with five to seven peaks; blade length greater than or approximately equal to width; median peak more than three times length of lateral peaks; depressions between peaks V-shaped; single median keel present on anterior side of blade. Margin opposite peaks flared into an irregular structure. Outline of
platform square to cruciform; platform approximately same width as blade. Single, median keel present on posterior side of blade.

Trne Number: 229 (Fig. 15き-c)
Code: $\quad a 2 / b 2 / c 3 / d 1 / e 1 / f 3 / g 3 / \mathrm{h} 4 / i 2 / j 3 / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{l} 2 / \mathrm{m} 1$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23058
Diagnosis: Symmetrical blade with three peaks; blade length greater than width; median peak more than three times length of lateral peaks; depressions between peaks slitlike; three parallel to subparallel keels present on anterior of blade. Margin opposite peaks flared into an irregular structure. Outline of platform lobed; platform approximately same width as blade. Single median keel present on posterior side of blade.

Type Number: 234 (Fig. 16a-b)
Code: $\quad a 2 / b 2 / c 5-7 / d 1 / e 1 / f 3 / \mathrm{g} 2 / \mathrm{h} 4 / \mathrm{i} 2 / \mathrm{j} 1 / \mathrm{k} 0 / 11 / \mathrm{m} 1$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23059
Diagnosis: Symmetrical blade with five to seven peaks; blade length greater than width; median peak more than three cimes length of lateral peaks; depressions between peaks V-shaped; single median keel present on anterior side of blade. Margin opposite peaks flared into an irregular structure. Outline of platform indeterminate; platform wider than blade. Single median keel present on posterior side of blade. Element very flattened.

Type Number: 237 (Fig. 17a-d)
Code: $\mathrm{a} 2 / \mathrm{b} 2 / \mathrm{c} 3 / \mathrm{d} 1 / \mathrm{e} / \mathrm{f} 2 / \mathrm{g} 2 / \mathrm{h} 4 / \mathrm{i} 2 / \mathrm{j} 4-6 / \mathrm{x} 3 / 12 / \mathrm{m0}$

ROM DVP Catales Number: 23060
Diagnosis: Symetrical blade with three peaks; blade length greater than width; median peak two to three times length of lateral peaks; depression between peaks V-shaped; four to $s i x$ keels present on anterior side of blade. Margin opposite peaks flared into an irregular structure. Outline of platform triangular with apex pointing posteriorly cruciform; platform approximately same width as blade. No lines or keels present on posterior side of blade.

SECTION II: LANCEOLATE (TO POLYGONAL) ELEMENTS LESS THAN 1 mm . EACH WITH PLATFORM

Tyse Number: 002 (Fig. 18a-e)
Code: $\quad a 4 / b 2 / c 2 / d 3,4 / e 4 / f 5 / g 1 / h 1 / i 1$
Diagnosis: Lanceolate blade with two or more keels; blade length greater than width. Margin opposite peak flared into an irregular structure. Outline of platform irregular with cre lated margins; platform wider than blade. No development of lateral peaks on blade.

Type Number: 003 (Fig. 19a-e)
Code: $\quad a 4 / b 2 / c 2 / d 2 / e 2,3 / f 4,6 / g 1 / h 1 / 11$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23062
Diagnosis: Lanceolate blade with single median keel; blade length greater than width. Margin opposite peak angular to undulating. Outline of platform square to cruciform; platform wider than blade. No development of lateral peaks on blade.

Type Number: 022 (Fig. 20a-c)
Code: $a 4 / b 2 / c 2 / d 2 / e 1 / f 2 / g 1 / h 2 / i 1$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23063
Diagnosig: Lanceolate blade with single median keel; blade iength greater than width. Margin opposite peak rounded. Outline of platform triangular (isosceles); platform wider than blade. Development of lateral peaks on blade beginning.

Type Number: 026 (Fig. 21a-e)
Code: $\quad a 4 / b 2 / c 2 / d 4+10 / e 1 / f 4,6 / 81-3 / h 1,2 / i 1,2$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23064
Diagnosis: Lanceolate blade with three or more keels; a curved depression surrounding junction of platform with blade present; blade length greater or approximately equal to width. Margin opposite peak rounded. Outline of platform square to cruciform; platform size inghly variable. No development of lateral peaks on blade except in rare forms.

Type Number: 028 (Fig. 22a-e)
Code: $\quad a 4 / b 2 / c 2 / d 1 / e 4 / f 5 / g 1 / h 1 / i 3$
BOM DVP Catalog Number: 23065
Diagnosis: Lanceolate blade with no lines or keels; blade length less than width. Margin opposite peak flared into irregilar structure. Outline of platform is irregular with crenulated margins; platform wider than blade. No development of lateral peaks on blade.

Typer Number: 029 (Fig. 23a-d)

Code: $\quad a 4 / b 2 / c 2 / d 4 \pm 9 / e 2 / f 4,6 / g 2,3 / \mathrm{h} 1 / i 2$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23066
Diagnosis: Lanceolate (to square) blade with three or more parallel keels; a curved keel is present on the posterior side of blade which is approximately parallel to edge of blade; blade length approximately equal to width. Margin opposite peak angular. Outline of platform square to cruciform; platform narrower than or approximately same width as blade. No development of lateral peaks on blade.

Type Number: 031 (Fig. 24a-d)
Code: $\quad a 4 / b 2 / c 2 / d 4 \pm 7 / e 3 / f 4,6 / g 1-3 / h 2 / i 1,2$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23067
Diagnosis: Lanceolate blade with three keels and additional median keel may be present on posterior side of blade; blade length greater than or approximately equal to wiati. Margin opposite peak undulating. Outline of platform square or cruciform; platform size highly variable. No development of lateral peaks on blade.

Type Number: 033 (Fig. 25a-c)
Code: $a 4 / b 1 / c 2 / d 1 / e 1 / f 0 / g 0 / h 1 / 11$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23068
Diagnosis: Lanceolate blade with no lines or keels; blade length greater than width. Margin opposite peak rourded. Size and shape of platform indeterminate. No development of lateral peaks on blade.

Type Number: 054 (Fig. 26a-e)
Code: $\quad a 4 / b 2 / c 2 / d 2 / e 4 / f 5 / g 1,2 / h 1 / i 1,2$

ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23069
Diagnosis: Lanceolate blade with single median keel on anterior side of blade; blade length greater than or approximately equal to width. Margin opposite peak flared into an irregular structure. Outline of platform irregular with crenulated margins; platform same width as, or wider than, blade. No develupment of lateral peaks on blade.

Type Number: 060 (Fig. 27a-e)
Code: $\quad \mathrm{a} 4 / \mathrm{b} 2 / \mathrm{c} 2 / \mathrm{d} 2 \pm 10 / \mathrm{e} 2,3 / \mathrm{f} 4,6 / \mathrm{g} 1,2 / \mathrm{h} 1 / 11$
ROM DVP Fatalog Number: 23070
Diagnosis: Lanceolate blade with single median keel; may have a curved depression surrounding junction of platform with blade; blade length greater than width. Margin opposite peak angular to undulating. Outline of platform square to cruciform; platform wider than or approximately same width as blade. No development of lateral peaks on blade.

Type Number: 064 (Fig. 28a-e)
Code: $\mathrm{a} 4 / \mathrm{b} 2 / \mathrm{c} 2 / \mathrm{d} 4 / \mathrm{e} 4 / \mathrm{f} 5 / \mathrm{g} 1 / \mathrm{h} 1 / \mathrm{i} 2$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23071
Diagnosis: Lanceolate blade with three keels; blade length approximately equal to width. Margin opposite peak flared into an irregular structure. Outline of platform irregular with crenulated margins; platform wider than blade. No development of lateral peaks on blade.

Type Number: 065 (Fig. 29a-e)

Code: $\quad a 4 / b 2 / c 2 / d 2 \pm 7+9 / e 2 / f 6 / g 2,3 / \mathrm{h} 1 / 11,2$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23072
Diagnosis: Lanceloate blade with single median keel on anterior side of blade; an additional median keel may be present on posterior side of blade; curved keel present on posterior side of blade which is approximately parallel to edge; blade length greater than or approximately equal to width. Margin opposite peak angular. Outline of platform cruciform; platform narrower than or approximately same width as blade. No development of lateral peaks on blade.

Type Number: 072 (Fig. 30a~e)
Code: $\quad a 4 / b 2 / c 2 / d 3 / e 4 / f 5 / g 1-3 / h 1 / i 2$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23073
Diagnosis: Lanceolate blade with two keels; blade length
approximately equal to width. Margin opposite peak flared into an irregular structure. Outline of platform irregular with erenulated margins; platform size highly variable. No development of lateral peaks on blade.

Type Number: 076 (Fig. 31a-e)
Code: $a 4 / b 2 / c 2 / d 4 \pm 7 / e 4 / f 3 / g 1 / h 1 / i 1$
ROM DVP Catalog Numper: 23074
Diagnosis: Lanceolate blade with more than three keels; an additional median keel may be present on posterior side of blade; blade length greater than width. Margin opposite peak flared into an irregular structure. Outline of platform subcircuina" with smooth margins; platform wider than blade. No development of lateral peaks on blade.

Type Number: 090 (Fig. 32a-e)
Code: $\quad a 4 / b 1 / c 2 / d 1+9 / e 2 / f 4,6 / g 2,3 / h 1 / 11$
BOM DVP Catalog Number: 23075
Diagnosis: Lanceolate blade with no lines or keels; curved keel present on posterior side of blade which is approximately parallel to edge; blade length greater than width. Margin opposite peak V-shaped. Outline of platform square to cruciform; platform narrower than or approximately same width as blade. No development of lateral peaks on blade.

Type Number: 104 (Fig. 33a-b)
Code: $a 4 / b 2 / c 2 / d 4+8 / e 4 / f 0 / g 0 / h 1 / i 2$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23076
Diagnosis: Lanceolate blade with three or more keels on anterior side of blade and additional keels on posterior side of blade; blade iength approximately equal to width. Margin opposite peak flared into an irregular structure. Platform shape and size indeterminate. No development of lateral peaks on blade.

Types Number: 112 (Fig. 34a-b)
Code: $\quad \mathrm{a} 4 / \mathrm{b} 2 / \mathrm{c} 2 / \mathrm{d} 4+7 / \mathrm{e} / \mathrm{fo} / \mathrm{g} 0 / \mathrm{h} 1 / \mathrm{i} 2,3$
BOM DVP Catalog Number: 23077
Diagnosis: Lanceolate blade with three keels and additional median keel on posterior side of blade; blade narrower than or approximately equal to width. Margin opposite peak undulating. Platform shape and size indeterminate. Development of lateral peaks on blade beginning.

Type Number: 116 (Fig. 35a-b)
Code: $\mathrm{a} 4 / \mathrm{b} 2 / \mathrm{c} 2 / \mathrm{d} 4 / \mathrm{e} 2,3 / \mathrm{f} 0 / \mathrm{g} 0 / \mathrm{h} 1 / 11$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23078
Diagnosis: Lanceolate blade with three or more parallel keels; blade length greater than width. Margin opposite peak angular to undulating. Shape and size of platform indeterminate. No development of lateral peaks on blade.

Type Number: 147 (Fig. 36a-d)
Cede: $\quad a 4 / b 2 / c 2 / d 2+7 / e 2 / f 4,6 / g 2 / h 1 / i 2$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23079
Plagnosis: Lanceolate blade with single median keel on anterior side of blade and additional median keel on posterior side of blade; blade length approximately equal to width. Margin opposite peak angular. Outline of platform square to cruciform; platform approximately same width as blade. No development of lateral peaks on blade.

Type Number: 154 (Fig. 37a-e)
Code: $a 4 / b 2 / c 2 / d 4+8 / e 4 / f 5 / g 2 / h 1 / i 1$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23080
Diagnosis: Lanceolate blade with more than three keels on anterior side of blade and additional keels on posterior side of blade; blade length greater than width. Margin opposite peak flared into an irregular structure. Outline of platform irregular with crenulated margins; platform approximately same width as blade. No development of lateral peaks on blade.

Type Number: 157 (Fig. 38a-e)
Code: $\quad a 4 / b 2 / c 2 / d 2-4, \pm 7,+9 / e 4 / f 4,7 / \mathrm{g} 2 / \mathrm{h} 2 / 11$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23081
Diagnesis: Lanceolate blade with one or more median keels; an additional median keel may be present on posterior side of blade; a curved keel is present on posterior side of blade which is approximately parallel to edge; blade length greater than width. Margin opposite peak flared into an irregular structure. Outline of platform diamaond-shaped to ovoid; platform approximately same width as blade. Development of lateral peaks on blade beginning.

Type Number: 158 (Fis. 39a-e)
Code: $\quad a 4 / b 1 / c 2 / d 1 \pm 8 / e 4 / f 5 / \mathrm{g} 1 / \mathrm{h} 1,2 / \mathrm{i} 1$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23082
Diagnosis: Lanceolate blade with no keels on the anterior side of blade but a single median keel may be present on the posterior side of blade; blade length greater than width. Margin opposite flared into an irregular structure. Outline of platform irregular with crenulated margins; platform wider than blade. No developzent of lateral peaks in most forms, but in some forms development of lateral peaks is beginning.

Type Number: 164 (Fig. 40a-9)
Code: $\quad \mathrm{a} 4 / \mathrm{b} 2 / \mathrm{c} 2 / \mathrm{d} 3+7 / \mathrm{e} 4 / \mathrm{f} 5 / \mathrm{g} 1 / \mathrm{h} 1 / 11$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23083
Diagnosis: Lanceolate blade with two keels on the anterior side of blade and a single median keel on the posterior side of blade; blade

# length greater than width. Margin opposite peak flared into an irregular structure. Outline of platform irregular with crenulated margins; platform wider than blade. No development of lateral peaks on blade. 

## Type Number: 190 (Fig. 41a-e)

Coge: $\quad a 4 / b 2 / c 2 / d 2+7 / e 4 / f 5 / g 1 / h 1 / i 1$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23084
Diagnosis: Lanceolate blade with a single median keel on the anterior side of blade and a single median keel on the posterior side of blade; blade length greater than width. Margin opposite peak flared into an irregular structure. Outline of platform irregular with crenulated margins; platform wider than blade. No development of lateral peaks on blade.

## Type Number: 195 (Fig. 42a-d)

Code: $\quad \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b} 1 / \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{d} 1 / \mathrm{e} 2 / \mathrm{f} 4 / \mathrm{g} 2 / \mathrm{h} 1 / \mathrm{i} 2$

## ROM DYP Catalog Number: 23085

Diagnosis: Lanceolate blade with no lines or keels; blade length approximately equal to width. Margin opposite peak rounded. Outline of platform square to diamond-shaped; platform approximately same width as blade. No development of lateral peaks on blade.

Type Number: 219 (Fig. 43a-e)
Code: $\quad a 4 / b 2 / c 2 / d 4+7,8+10 / e 3 / f 3,4 / g 1,2 / \mathrm{h} 1 / 12$

## ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23086

Diagnosis: Lanceolate blade with three or more keels on the anterior
side of blade and one or more keels on posterior side of blade; curved keel is present on posterior side of blade which is approximately parallel to edge of blade; blade length approximately equal to width. Margin opposite peak undulating. Outline of platform circular to square; platform wider than or approximately same width as blade. No development of lateral peaks on blade.

Type Number: 225 (Fig. 44a-d)
Code: $\quad a 4 / b 2 / c 2 / d 4 / e 1,4 / f 6 / g 2 / h 1 / i 2,3$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23087
Diagnosis: Lanceolate blade with three or more keels on the anterior side of blade; blade length less than or approximately equal to width. Margin opposite peak rounded to undulating. Outline of platform cruciform; platform approximately same width as blade. No development of lateral peaks.

Type Number: 230 (Fig. 45a-d)
Code: $\quad a 4 / b 2 / c 2 / d 5+7 / e 4 / f 3,5 / g 1 / h 1 / 11$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23088
Diagnosis: Lanceolate blade with three or more lines or keels on the anterior side of blade and a single median keel on the posterior side of blade; blade length greater than width. Margin opposite peak flared into an irregular structure. Outline of platform subcircular to irregular; platform wider than blade. No development of lateral peaks on blade.

Type Number: 245 (Fig. 4Ga-b)

## Code: a4/b2/c2/d4/e4/f5/g3/h1/12

ROM DVP Satalog Number: 23089
Diagnosis: Lanceolate blade with three keels on anterior side or
blade; blade length approximately equal to width. Margin opposite peak flared into an irregular structure. Outline of platform irregular with crenulated margins; platform narrower than blade. No development of lateral peaks on blade.

## SECTION III: POLYGONAL ELEMENTS WITH NO PLATFORM

Type Number: 011 (Fig. 47a-c)
Code: $\quad \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b} 2 / \mathrm{c} 4 / \mathrm{d} 3 / \mathrm{e} 1 / \mathrm{f} 2 / \mathrm{g} 1,2$
ROM DYP Catalog Number: 23090
Diagnosis: Rhombic element with three or more subparallel lines on crown which do not converge. Length greater than width. Element moderately to very flattened.

Types Number: 012 (Fig. 48a-c)
Code: $\quad a 3 / b 1 / c 4 / d 1 / e 1 / f 1 / g 1$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23091
Diagnosis: Rhombic element with no lines or keels. Length greater than width. Element very flattened.

Type Number: 013 (Fig. 49a-c)

Code: $\quad \mathrm{a} 3 / \mathrm{b} 2 / \mathrm{c} 4 / \mathrm{d} 3 / \mathrm{e} 1 / \mathrm{f} 2 / \mathrm{g} 1,2$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23092
Diagnosis: Rhombic element with three or more subparallel lines on
crown which do not converge. Length greater than width. Keel present on basal side. Element moderately to very flattened.

Type Number: 015 (Fig. 50a-c)
Code: $a 3 / b 1 / c 4 / d 1 / e 1 / f 2 / g 1,2$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23093
Diagnosis: Rhombic element with keel on basal side. No lines present on crown. Length greater than width. Element moderately to very flattened.

Type Number: 067 (Fig. 51a-c)
Code: a3/b2/c4/d4/e1/f1/g1
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23094
Diagnosis: Rhombic element with three or more subparallel lines on crown which converge near one corner. Length greater than width. Element very flattened.

Type Number: 087 (Fig. 52a-c)
Code: $a 3 / b 9 / c 4 / d \pm 6,+9 / e 1,2 / f 1 / g 3,4$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23095
Diagnosis: Rhombic element with concentric rhombs with center at one corner of element; may or may not have concentric chevrons on basal side of element. Length greater than or equal to width. Element moderately to very thick.

Type Number: 091 (Fig. 53a-d)
Code: $\quad a 3 / b 1,9 / c 4 / d 1,7 / e 1,2 / f 1,5 / g 3$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23038

Diagnosis: Rhombic element which may or may not have concentric rhombs with center at middle of element. Length greater than or equal to width. Crown extends into a peglike structure in most forms. Element moderately thick.

Type Number: 092 (Fig. 54a-c)
Code: $\quad \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b} 1,9 / \mathrm{c} 4 / \mathrm{d} 1,7 / \mathrm{e} 1,2 / \mathrm{f1/g2,3}$
ROM IVP Catalog Number: 23096
Diagnosis: Rhombic element with three or more subparallel lines which converge at one corner. Length greater than or equal to width. Element moderately flattened to moderately thick.

Type Number: 214 (Fig. 55a-c)
Code: $\quad \mathrm{a} 3 / \mathrm{b} 2 / \mathrm{c} 4 / \mathrm{d} 4 / \mathrm{e} 1,2 / \mathrm{f5} / \mathrm{g} 3$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23097
Diagnosis: Rhombic element with three or more subparallel lines which converge at one corner. Length greater than or equal to width. Crown extends into a peglike structure in most forms. Element moderately thick.

Type Number: 218 (Fig. 56a-c)
Code: $\quad \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b} 2 / \mathrm{c} 4 / \mathrm{d} 8 / \mathrm{e} 1 / \mathrm{f} 1 / \mathrm{g} 2$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23098 ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23098
Diagnosis: Rhombic element with many parallel to subparallel lines originating predominantly from one or two edges of crown. Length greater than width. Element moderately flattened.
GECTION IV: CIRCULAR TO ELLIPTICAL ELEMENTS, EACH WITH PLATFORM; IFELLIPTICAL, BLADE LENGTH LESS THAN WIDTH
Type Number: 008 (Fig. 57a-d)
Code: a5/b1/c2/d1/e4/f2,3
ROM DVP Catalog Number: ..... 23099
Diagnosis: Elliptical blade with no keels; blade length less thanwidth. Outline of platform elliptical with crenulated margins;platform narrower than or approximately equal to blade width.
Type Number: 049 (Fig. 58a-c)
Code: $\quad$ a5/b2/c1,2/d5/e3/f3
ROM DYP Catalog Number: ..... 23100
Diagnosis: Circular to elliptical element with more than three keels;
blade length less than or equal to width. Outline of platform
elliptical with smooth margins; platform narrower than blade.
Type Number: 138 (Fig. 59a-e)
Code: $\quad \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b} 2 / \mathrm{c} 2 / \mathrm{d} 5 \pm 6, \pm 7,+9 / e 3,4 / \mathrm{f} 2,3$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: ..... 23040
Diagnosis: Elliptical element with three or more keels on anteriorside of blade and one or more keels may be present on posterior sideof blade; a curved keel is present on posterior side of keel which isapproximately parallel to edge of blade; blade length less thanwidth. Outline of platform elliptical with smooth or crenulatedmargins; platform narrower than or approximately same width as blade.
Type Number: 141 (Fig. 60a-e)

Cede: $\quad a 5 / b 2 / c 1 / d 4,5 \pm 6+9 / e 3,4 / f 2,3$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23101
Diagnosis: Approximately circular blade with two or more keels on anterior side of blade and a single median keel may be present on posterior side of blade; a curved keel is present on posterior side of blade which is approximately parallel to edge of blade; blade length same as width. Outline of platform elliptical with smooth or crenulated margins; platform narrower than or same width as blade.

Trpe Number: 145 (Fig. 61a-d)
Code: $\quad a 5 / b 2 / c 2 / d 5+9 / e 5 / f 2$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23102
Diagnosis: Elliptical element with three or more keels on anterior side of blade; curved keel is present on posterior side which is approximately parallel to edge of blade; blade length less than width. inargin of blade near platform angular. Outline of platform square to cruciform; platform approximately same width as blade.

Type Number: 222 (Fig. 62a-b)
Code: $\quad \mathrm{a} 5 / \mathrm{b} 2 / \mathrm{c} 2 / \mathrm{d} 6+8 / \mathrm{e} / \mathrm{fo}$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23103
Diagnosis: Elliptical blade with a single median depression on anterior side and a single keel on posterior side; blade length less than width. Shape and size of platform indeterminate.

SECTION V: ELLIPTICAL ELEMENTS WITH BLADE LENGTH GREATER THAN WIDTH

Type Number: 082 (Fig. 63a-c)
Code: a6/b2/c5 $\pm 7 / \mathrm{d} 1,3 / \mathrm{e} 2,3$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23104
Diannosis: Elliptical לlade with more than three keels on anterior side of blade and more than one keel may be present on posterior side of blade; blade length greater than width. Outline of plauform circular to elliptical with smooth margins; platform narrower than or approximately same width as blade.

Type Number: 098 (Fig. 64a-e)
Code: $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b} 2 / \mathrm{c} 5+7 / \mathrm{d} 1,3 / \mathrm{e} 1,2$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23105
Diagnosis: Elliptical blade with more than three keels on anterior side of blade and more than one keel on posterior side of blade; blade length greater than width. Outline of platform circular to elliptical with smooth margins; platform wider than or approximately same width as blade.

Type Number: 111 (Fig. 65a-d)
Code: a6/b1/c8/d3/e3
ROM DVP Catalos Number: 23041
Diagnosis: Elliptical blade with no keels on anterior side of blade; a curved keel is present on posterior side which is approximately parallel to edge of blade; blade length greater than width. Outline of platform elliptical with smooth margins; platform narrower than or approximately same width as blade.

Type Number: 165 (Fig. 66a-e)
Code: $a 6 / b 2 / c 5 / d 2,3 / e 1-3$
ROM DVP Catalos Number: 23106
Diagnosis: Elliptical blade with more than three keels on anterior side of blade; blade length greater than width. Outilne of platform triangular to elliptical with smooth margins; platform size highly variable.

Type Number: 210 (Fig. 67a-b)
Code: $\quad \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b} 2 / \mathrm{c} 5+7 / \mathrm{d} 1 / \mathrm{e} 2$
ROM DVP Cata10g Number: 23107
Diagnosis: Eiliptical blade with three or iuore keels on anterior side
of blade and more than one keel on posterior side of blade; blade length greater than widti. Outline of platform approximately same width as blade.

Type Number: 215 (Fi5. 68a-d)
Code: $\quad a 6 / b 2 / c 3+5,+8 / d 6 / e 2,3$
ROM DVP Cata 108 Number: 23108
Diagnosis: Elliptical blade with a broad median keel and occasionally additional lateral keels on the anterior side; a curved keel is present on the posterior side which is approximately parallel to edge of blade; blade length greater than width. Outline of platform diamond-shaped; platform narrower than or approximately same width as blade.

SECTION VI: TRIANGULAR ELEMENTS, EACH WITH BOTH MARGINS HAVING A

## PROMINENT ANGULAR FLEXURE

Type Number: 175 (Fig. 69a-b)
Code: $a 8 / b 2 / c 2 / d 2-4, \pm 5 / e 2 / f 4 / 81 / \mathrm{h} 1$
ROM DYP Catalog Number: 23036
Diagnogis: Triangular element with both margins having a prominent
angular flexure; one or more keels on anterior side of blade;
additional keels may be present on posterior side of blade. Tip
blunt; margin opposite peak flared into an irregular structure.
Outline of platform circuiar with smooth margins; platform wider than blade.

Type Number: 221 (Fig. 70a-d)
Code: $a 8 / b 1 / c 2 / d 1 / e 2+3 / f 1 / g 2 / h 1$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23109
Diagnosis: Triangular element with both margins having a prominent angular flexure. No keels present. Tip blunt and posteriorly curved. Shape of margin opposite peak rounded. Outline of platform triangular. Size of platform wider than blade.

## FIGURES 1-2

1a-e Type Number 001 from the Ervine Creek Limestone (Shawnee Group)
in Douglas County, Kansas: a, top; b, anterior; $c$, lateral; d,
basal; e, posterior views. Scale equals $0.2 \mathrm{mm}$.
2a-e Type Number 005 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Osage County, Kansas (a-c), and Buchanan County, Missouri (d\&e): $a, ~ t o p ; ~ b, ~ a n t e r i o r ; ~ c, ~ l a t e r a l ; ~ d, ~ p o s t e r i o r ; ~ e, ~ b a s a l ~$ views. Scale equals 0.2mm.


FIGURES 3-4
3a-e Type Number 058 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Cass County, Nebraska: a, top; b, anterior; c, lateral; d, posterior; e, basal. Scale equals 0.2 mm .

4a-e Type Number 062 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Osage County, Oklahoma: a, top; b, lateral; c, anterior; d, posterior; e, basal. Scale equals 0.2 mm .


## FIGURES 5-8

```
5a-c Type Number 066 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group)
    in Buchanan County, Missouri: \(a\), top; \(b\), anterior; \(c\), lateral
    views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .
6a-c Type Number 070 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group)
    in Cass County, Nebraska: \(a, ~ l a t e r a l ; ~ b, ~ t o p ; ~ c, ~ o b l i q u e ~ b a s a l ~\)
    views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .
7a-b Type Number 078 from the Hartford Limestone (Shawnee Group)
    in Shawnee County, Kansas: \(a\), anterior; \(b\), posterior views.
    Scale equals 0.2 mm .
8a-f Type Number 089 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group)
        in Leavenworth County, Kansas: \(a\), anterior; \(b\), top; \(c\),
        posterior edge; d, basal; e, posterior; f, lateral views. Scale
        squals 0.2 mm .
```


$6 a$


9a-s Type Number 191 from the Stoner Limestone (Lansing Group) in Cass County, Iowa: a, top; b, anterior; c, lateral; d, basal; $e$, pusterior views. Scale equals 0.1 mm .

10ame Type Number fy9 from the Stoner Limestone (Lansing Group) in Madison County, Iowa: $a$, top; $b$, anterior; $c$, posterior; $d$, lateral; e, basal views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .


11a-e Type Number 213 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Coffey County, Kansas: a, top; b, anterior; c, posterior; d, lateral; e, basal views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .

12a-e Type Number 220 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Coffey County, Kansas: $a$, anterior; $b$, posterior: $c$, lateral views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .

13a-e Type Number 224 from the Heebner Shale (Shawnee Group) in Leavenworth County, Kansas: $a$, anterior; b, top; $c$, lateraj; d, basal; e, posterior views. Scale equals 0.1 mm .

14a-e Type Number 227 from the Stoner Limestone (Lansing Group) in Cass County, Iowa: a, anterior; b, top; c, lateral; d, pusterior; e, basal views. Scale equals 0.1 mm .


|  | Type Number 229 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Osage County, Oklahoma: $a$, anteriur; $b$, posterior; $c$, lateral views. Scale equals 0.1 mm . |
| :---: | :---: |
| 16a-b | Type Number 234 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Cass County, Nebraska: a, anterior; b, posterior views. Scale equals 0.2 mm . |
| 17a-d | Type Number 237 from the Stoner Limestone (Lansing Group) in Cass County, Iowa: $a$, top; $b$, anterior; $c, ~ l a t e r a l ; ~ d$, posterior views. Scale equals 0.1 mm . |
| 18a-e | Type Number 002 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Buchanan County, Missouri: a, anterior; b, top; c, posterior; d, lateral; e, basal views. Scale equals 0.2 mm . |
| 19a-e | Type Number 003 from the Stoner Limostone (Lansing Group) in Madison County, Iowa: a, anterior; b, top; c, basal; d, posterior; $e$, lateral views. Scale equals 0.2 mm . |



20a-c Type Number 022 from the Ervine Creek Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Dougias County; Kansas: a, anterior; b, posterior; c, lateral views. Scale cquals 0.1 mm .

21a-e Type Number 026 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) Ir Leavenworth County, Kansas: $a$, top; b, anterior; $c$, lateral; d, posterior; e, basal views. Scale equal.s 0.1mm.

22a-e Type Number 028 from the Avoca Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Jefferson County, Kansas: $a$, top; b, anterior; c, lateral; d, basal; e, posterior views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .

23a-d Type Number 029 from the Eudora Shale (Lansing Group) in CassCounty, Iowa: $a$, top; b, anterior; c, basal; d, iateralviews. Scale equals 0.2 mm .
24a-d Type Number 031 from the Stoner Limestone (Lansing Group) inMadison County, Iowa: a, anterior; b, lateral; c, posterior;d, basal views. Scale equals 0.1 mm .
 Cass Ćuinty, Iowa: $a$, lateral; b, anterioi; c, posterior views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .
26a-e Type Number 054 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Buchanan County, Missouri: a, top; b, anterior; c, lateral; d, posterior; e, basal views. Scale equals 0.1 mm .

FIGURES 27-2927a-e Type Number 060 from the Stoner Limestone (Lansing Group) inMadison County, Iowa: a, anterior; b, top; c, posterior; d,lateral; e, basal views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .
28a-e Type Number 064 from the Stoner Limestone (Lansing Group) in Cass County, Iowa: $a$, top; $b$, lateral; $c$, anterior; $d$, posterior; e, basal views. Scale equals 0.1 m.
29a-e Type Number 065 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Osage County, Oklahoma: a, top; b, anterior; c, posterior; d, basal; e, lateral views. Scale equals 0.1 mm .


30a-e Type Number 072 from the Stoner Limestone (Lansing Group) in Cass County, Iowa: $a$, top; b, anterior; $c$, lateral; $d$, posterior; e, basal views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .

31a-e Type Number 076 from the Hartford Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Shawnee County, Kansas: $a$, top; b, anterior; $c$, lateral; d, posterior; e, basal views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .


32a-e Type Number 090 from the Stoner Limestone (Lansing Group) in Madison County, Iowa: $a$, top; $b$, anterior; $c$, lateral; $d$, posterior; e, basal views. Scale equals 0.1 mm .

33a-b Type Number 104 from the Heebner Shale (Shawnee Group) in Cass County, Nebraska: $a$, anterior; $b$, posterior views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .

34a-t Type Number 112 from the Curzon Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Shawnee County, Kansas: a, anterior; b, posterior views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .

35a-b sype Number 116 from the Doniphan Shale (Shawnee Group) in Douglas County, Kansas: $a$, anterior; b, posterior views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .

FIGURES 36-38
36a-d Type Number 147 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Buchanan County, Missouri: a, top; b, anterior; c, lateral; d, basal views. Scale equals 0.1 mm .37a-e Type Number 154 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group)in Buchanan County, Missouri: a, lateral; b, anterior; $c$, top;d, basal; e, posterior vieus. Scale equals 0.2 mm .
38a-e Type Number 157 from the Stoner Limestone (Lansing Group) in  basal; e, lateral views. Scale equals 0.1 mm .

FIGURES 39-4139a-e Type Number 158 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group)in Andrew County, Missouri: $a$, anterior; $b$, top; $c$, posterior;d, basal; e, lateral views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .
40a-e Type Number 164 from the Coal Creek Limestone (Shawnee Group)in Shawnee County, Kansas: a, anterior; b, top; c, posterior;d, basal: e. lateral views. Scale equels 0.1 m.
ムiane Type ifumer isu írow the Plattsixiuth Lincation (Shawnee Group)in Buchanan County, Missouri: a, anterior; b, top; c, basal;d, lateral; e, posterior views. Scale equals 0.1 mm .


## FIGURES 42-44

42a-d Type Number 195 from the Captain Creek Limestone (Lansing
Group) in Madison County, Iowa: a, anterior; b, top; $c$, basal;
d, lateral views. Scale equals 0.1 mm.


## FIGURES 45-49

| d | Type Number 230 from the Stoner Limestone (Lansing Group) in Madison County, Iowa: a, anterior; b, top; c, posterior; d, lateral views. Scale equals 0.2 mm . |
| :---: | :---: |
| 46a-b | Type Number 245 from the Eudora Shale (Lansing Group) in Cass County, Iowa: a, anterior; b, posterior views. Scale equals 0.2 mm . |
| 47 a | Type Number 011 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Leavenworth County, Kansas: a, coronal; b, basal; c, lateral views. Scale equals 0.2 mm . |
| 48a- | Type Number 012 from the Ervine Creek Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Douglas County, Kansas: a, coronal; b, lateral; c, basal views. Scale equals 0.2 mm . |
| 49a-c | Type Number 013 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Leavenworth County, Kansas: a, coronal; b, basal; c, lateral views. Scale equals 0.2 mm . |



| 50a-c | Type Number 015 frora the Pla'tsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Andrew County, :Missouri: a, coronal; b, basal; c, lateral views. Scale equals 0.2 mm . |
| :---: | :---: |
| 51a-c | Type Number 067 from the Ervine Creek Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Douglas County, Kansas: a, coronal; b, lateral; c, basal views. Scale equals 0.2 mm . |
| 52a-c | Type Number 087 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Osage County, Kansas: a, coronal; b, basal; c, lateral views. Scale equals 0.2 mm . |
| 53a-d | Type Number 091 from the Stoner Limestone (Lansing Group) in Madison County, Iowa: a, coronal; b, oblique coronal; $c$, basal; d, lateral views. Scale equals 0.2 mm . |


EIGURES 54-57
54a-c Type Number 092 from the Hartford Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Shawnee County, Kansas: a, coronal; b, basal; c, lateral views. Scale equal: 2.2 mm .
55a-c Type Number 214 from the Stoner Limestone (Lansing Group) in Cass County, Iowa: $a$, coronal; $b$, basal; $c$, lateral views. Scale equals 0.1 mm .
56a-c Type Number 218 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Osage County, Kansas: a, coronal; b, lateral; c, basal views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .
57a-d Type Number 008 from the Heebner Shale (Shawnee Group) in Cass County, Nebraska: $a$, top; b, posterior; c, anterior; d, lateral views. Scale equals 0.1 mm .

FIGURES 58-6058a-c Type Number 049 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group)in Chautauqua County, Kansas: $a$, anterior; $b$, posterior; $c$,lateral views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .
59a-e Type Number 138 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group)in Lea venworth County, Kansas: $a$, top; $b$, anterior; $c$,lateral; d, basal; e, posterior views. Scale equals 0.1 mm .
60a-e Type Number 141 from the Stoner Limestone (Lansing Group) ..... inCass County, Iowa: $a, ~ a n t e r i o r ; ~ b, ~ t o p ; ~ c, ~ p o s t e r i o r ; ~ d, ~$lateral; $e$, basal views. Scale equals 0.1 mm .


## FIGURES 61-64

61a-d Type Number 145 from the Stoner Limestone (Lansing Group) in Madison County, Iowa: $a$, top; $b$, anterior; $c$, posterior; $d$, lateral views. Scale equals 0.1 mm .

62a-b Type Number 222 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Andrew County, Missouri: a, anterior; b, posterior views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .

63a-c Type Number 082 from the Stoner Limestore (Lansing Group) in Cass County, Iowa: $a$, anterior; $b$, posterior; $c$, lateral views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .

64a-e Type Number 098 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Osage County, Kansas: $a$, lateral; $b$, anterior; $c$, top; $d$, posterior; e, basal views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .


65a-d | Type Number 111 from the Stoner Limestone (Lansing Group) in |
| :--- |
| Madison County, Iowa: a, top; b, anterior; c, lateral; d, |
| basal views. Scale equals 0.imm. |

$66 a-e \quad$| Type Number 165 from the Coal Creek Limestone (Shawnee Group) |
| :--- |
| in Shawnee County, Kansas: a, top; b, anterior; $c$, lateral; $d$, |
| basal; e, posterior views. Scale equals 0.2 mm. |

$67 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}$ Type Number 210 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group)
in Coffey County, Kansas: a, anterior; b, posterior views.
Scale equals 0.2 mm.


68a-d Type Number 215 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Osage County, Oklahoma: $a$, top; $b$, anterior; $c, ~ l a t e r a l ; ~ d$, basal views. Scale equals 0.1 m.

69a-b Type Number 175 from the Jones Point Shale (Shawnee Group) in Shawnee County, Kansas: $a$, anterior; $b$, posterior views. Scale equals 0.2nm.

70a-d Type Number 221 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Buchanan County, Missouri: $a$, anterior; b, lateral; c, basal; d, posterior views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .


PART II
SECTION VII: TRIANGULAR ELEMENTS WITH NO ANGULAR FLEXURE OF MARGINS
Type Number: 006 (Fig. 1a-b)
Code: $a 9 / b 5 / c 1 / d 2 / e 7 / f 2 / g 1$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: ..... 23037Diagnosis: Triangular element with transverse line and translucenttip. Length more tinan three times width; axis not curved. Apex sharpand tip margins even with margins of cone. No lines or keels present.
Type Number: 007 (Fig. 2a-b)Code: a9/b5/c1/d3/e7/f2/g1/
ROM DVF Catalog Number: 23110Diagnosis: Triangular element with transverse line and translucenttip. Length more than three times width; axis curved. Apex sharp andtip margins even with margins of cone. No lines or keels present.
Type Number: 017 (Fig. 3a-e)
Code: a9/b2/c2/d2/e3/f3/g1/h2+5+6/i1/j1
ROM DVP Catalog Number: ..... 23111Diagnosis: Triangular element with sharp tip and with severalparallel keels on anterior and posterior side which extend only partway up from base. Blade flattened antero-posteriorly and not curved.

Two winglike projections extend from margins of blade. Base present which is circular with smooth margins; base wider than blade.

Type Number: 032 (Fig. 4a-b)
Code: $\mathrm{a} 9 / \mathrm{b} 2 / \mathrm{c} 1 / \mathrm{d} 1 / \mathrm{e} 3 / \mathrm{f} 2 / \mathrm{g} 1 / \mathrm{h} 5 / 19 / \mathrm{j1}$
ROM DVP Catalog Nunabein: 23112
Diagnosis: Trialagular element with sharp tip and several parallel
keels which extend from base to tip. Blade slightly flattened
laterally and curved. Base thick and curved and wider than blade.

Type Number: 035 (Fig. 5a-b)
Code: $\quad a 9 / b 5 / c 2 / d 1,2 / e 7 / f 2,3 / g 1$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23113
Diagnosis: Triangular element with transverse line and translucent tip. Length two to three times width; axis may or may not be curved. Apex either sharp or blunt and tip margins even with margins of cone. No lines or keels present.

Type Number: 038 (Fig. 6a-b)
Code: $\quad a 9 / b 5 / c 3 / d 1,2 / e 7 / f 3 / g 1$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23114
Diagnosis: Triangular element with transverse line and translucent tip. Length less than twice the width; axis may or may not be curveđ̈. Apex blunt and tip margins even with margins of cone. No lines or keels present.

Type Number: 047 (Fig. 7a-b)
Code: $\quad a 9 / b 5 / c 1 / d 3 / e 7 / f 2 / g 1$

## ROM DVP Catalos Number: 23115

Diagncsis: Triangular element with transverse line and translucent tip. Length more than three times width. Main part of axis not curved with only tip strongly curved. Apex sharp and tip margins even with margins of cone. No lines or keels present.

Type Number: 056 (Fig. 8a-c)
Code: a9/b2/c1/d1/e3/f3/g1/h5/i2,3/j1
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23042
Diagnosis: Triangular element with sharp tip and several parallel keels which extend from base to tip. Blade flattened laterally and curved. Base ovoid to triangular with smooth margins; platform wider than blade.

Type Number: 068 (Fig. 9a-b)
Code: a9/b5/c1,2/d1,2/e3+7/f2,3/g1
ROM DVP Cataiog Number: 23116

Diagnosis: Triangular element with transverse line and translucent tip. Length two or more times width; axis may or may not be curved. Parallcl lines extend from base of cone to transverse line. Apex either sharp or blunt ard tip margins even with margins of cone.

Type Number: 073
Code: $\quad a 9 / b 5 / c 3 / d 1 / e 7 / f 2 / g 3$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23117
Diagnosis: Trianguiar eienemi wfth transiucent tip. Lengin iess isan twice width; axis curved. Apex sharp and single hook-like translucent
projection extends from margin of cone. No lines or keels present.

Type Number: 075 (Fig. 10a-b)
Code: $a 9 / b 1 / c 3 / d 2 / e 1 / f 1 / g 2 / h 5 / 11 / j 1$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23118
Diagnosis: Triangular element with blunt tip and no ornamentation. Blade not flattened but rounded in cross-section and not curved. Circular base with smooth margins present which is wider than blade.

Type Number: 095 (Fig. 11)
Code: $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b} 2 / \mathrm{c} 3 / \mathrm{d} 1 / \mathrm{e} / \mathrm{f} 2 / \mathrm{g} 1 / \mathrm{ho}$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23119
Diagncsis: Triangular element with sharp tip and several parallel lines which extend from base to tip. Blade round in cross-section and curved.

Type N'umber: 096 (Fig. 12)
Code: $a 9 / b 2 / c 3 / d 1 / e 3 / f 5 / g 1 / h 0$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23120
Diagnosis: Triangular element with sharp tip and several parellel
lines which occur on only one side of element. Blade round in cross-section and curved.

Type Number: 105 (Fig. 13)
Code: $\quad a 9 / b 2 / c 3,4 / d 1 / e 3 / f 5 / \mathrm{g} 2 / \mathrm{h} 5 / i 6 / j 1$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23121
Diagnosis: Triangular element with blunt tip and several parallel keels which extend from base to tip. Blade round or square in
cross-section and curved. Base ball-shaped and wider than blade.

Type Number: 108 (Fig. 14)
Code: $a 9 / b 2 / c 1 / d 1 / e 3 / f 4 / g 1 / h 5 / 10 / j 2$
ROM DYP Catalog Number: 23122
Diagnosis: Triangular element with sharp tip and several parallel
lines which extend only part way down from tip. Blade laterally
flattened and curved. Base ovoid and same width as blade.

Type Number: 114 (Fig. 15)
Code: a9/b1/c3/d1,2/e1/f1/g1/h5/i8/j1
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23123
Diagnosis: Triangular element with sharp tip and no apparent ornamentation. Blade not flattened and may or may not be curved.

Flared base present which is wider than blade.

Type Number: 118 (Fig. 16a-e)
Code: a9/b1/c2/d1/e1/f1/g1/h5/i7/j1
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23124
Diagnosis: Triangular element with sharp tip and no ornamentation.
Blade flattened antero-posteriorly and curved. Cruciform base present which is wider than blade.

Type Number: 119 (Fig. 17a-d)
Code: $a 9 / b 1 / c 1 / d 1 / e 1 / f 1 / \mathrm{g} 1 / \mathrm{h} 5 / \mathrm{i} / \mathrm{j} 1$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23125
Diagnosis: Triangular element with sharp tip and no ornamentation.
Blade flattened laterally and curved. Cruciform base present which is
wider than blade.

Type Number: 128 (Fig. 18a-b)
Code: $\quad a 9 / b 2 / c 1 / d 1 / e 3 / f 3 / g 2 / h 5 / i 3 / j 1$
ROM DYP Catalog Number: 23126
Diagnosis: Triangular element with blunt tip and several coarse keels
which extend only part way up from base. Blade laterally flattened and curved. Base present which is triangular with smooth margins; base wider than blade.

Type Numbei: 135 (Fig. 19)
Code: $a 9 / b 5 / c 2 / d 2 / e 6 / f 4 / g 1$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23127
Diagnosis: Triangular element with transverse line and translucent tip. Length two to three times width; axis not curved. Posesses a line which is adjacent and subparallel to tip of cone with tip highly translucent. Apex blunt with cone nearly stralght-sided rather than tapered. Tip margins even with margins of cone. No lines or keels present.

## Type Number: 136 (Fig. 20)

Code: a9/b1/c3/d1/e1/f1/g1/h0
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23128
Diagnosis: Triangular element with sharp tip and no apparent
ornamentation. Blade round in cross-section and curved.

Type Number: 137 (Fig. 21a-b)
Code: $\quad a 9 / b 1 / \approx 1+2 / d 1,2 / e 1 / f 1 / g 1,2 / h 0$

## ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23129

Diagnosis: Triangular element with sharp or blunt tip and no apparent ornamentaion. In rare forms, tip may be transiucent. Blade tip flattened antero-posteriorly and base flattened laterally; may or may not be slightly curved posteriorly.

Type Number: 142 (Fig. 22a-b)
Code: $a 9 / b 1 / c 1 / d 1,2 / e 1 / f 1 / \mathrm{g} 1 / \mathrm{h} 1$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23130
Diagnosis: Triangular element with sharp tip and no apparent
ornamentatior. Blade flattened laterally and curved; rare forms may not be curved. Single wing like projection extends from one margin of blade.

## Type Number: 143 (Fig. 23)

Code: $a 9 / b 5 / c 2 / d 1,2 / e 1 / f 3 / g 4$
ROM DYP Catalog Number: 23131
Diagnosis: Triangular element with transverse line and translucent tip. Length two to three times width; axis may or may not be curved. Apex blunt and tip margins wider than margins of cone. No lines or keels present.

Type Number: 152 (Fig. 24a-b)
Code: a9/b1/c5/d2/e1/f1/g2/h0
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23132
Diagnosis: Triangular element with blunt tip and no apparent ornamentation. Blade flattened but not curved.

Code: a9/b1,c3/d2/e1/f1/g1,2/h0
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23133
Diagnosis: Triangular element with sherp or blunt tip and no apparent ornamentation. Blade round in cross-section and not curved.

Type Number: 156 (Fig. 26a-c)
Code: $\quad$ gg/b2/c2/d1/e3/f2,3/g1/n2+5/i2/j2

## ROM DVP Catalog Number: シ̇̄̄13'4

Diagnosis: Triangular element with sharp tip and several coarse keels which extend either from base to tip or only part way up from base; additional keels present on posterior side of blade. Blade antero-posteriorly flattened and curved posteriorly. Platform ovoid and same width as blade. Two winglike projections from margins of blade.

Type Number: 166 (Fig. 27a-c)
Code: a9/b1/c2/d2/e1/f1/g2/b5/i3/ji,2
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23135
Diagnosis: Triangular element with blunt tip and no ornamentation. Blade flattened antero-posteriorly and not curved. Triangular base with smooth margins present which is same width as or wider than blade.

Type Number: 174 (Fig. 28a-b)
Code: $\quad \mathrm{a} 9 / \mathrm{b} 1 / \mathrm{c} 5 / \mathrm{d} 2 / \mathrm{e} 1 / \mathrm{f} 1 / \mathrm{g} 1 / \mathrm{h} 4$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23136

Diagnosis: Triangular element with sharp tip and no apparent ornamentation. Blade flattened but not curved. Margins of blade serrated.

Type Number: 187 (Fig. 29a-b)
Code: $\quad a 9 / b 5 / c 3 / d 1,2 / e 8 / f 4 / 81$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23137
Diagnosis: Triangular element with transverse line and small, translucent circular disc on top of cone. Length less than twice Width; axis may or may not be curved. Apex blunt with cone almost straight-sided rather than tapered; tip margins even with margins of cone.

Type Number: 193 (Fig. 30a-b)
Code: $\quad a 9 / b 2 / c 1 / d 1,2 / e 3 / f 2 / g 1 / h 0$
ROM DVP Satalog Number: 23138
Diagnosis: Triangular element with sharp tip and several parallel Iines which extend from base to tip. Blade flattened laterally and may or may not be curved.

Type Number: 203 (Fig. 31a-c)
Code: a9/b1/c2/d1/e1/f1/g2/h5/i2/j2
ROM DVF Catalog Number: 23139
Diagnosis Triangular element with blunt tip and no ornamentation. Blade flattened antero-posteriorly and curved. Ovoid base present which is same width as blade.

Type Number: 211 (Fig. 32a-b)

```
Code: a9/b1/c2/d1/e1/f1/g1/h7
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23140
Diagnosis: Triangular element with sharp tip and no apparent
ornamentation. Blade flattened astero-posteriorly and curved. Flange
present on posterior side of blade.
Type Number: 232 (Fig. 33)
Code: a9/b2/c0/d1/e3/f2/g1/h8
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23141
Diagnosis: Triangular element with sharp tip and toothed keels which
extend from base to tip. Blade curved; blade flattening
indeterminate.
Type Number 233 (Fig. 34a-d)
Code: a9/b1/c2/d2/e1/f'1/g2/h5/i2/j1
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23142
Diagnosis: Triangular element with blunt tip and no ornamentation.
Blade flattened antero-posteriorly and not curved. Ovoid base present
which is wider than blade.
```


## SECTION VIII: MULTICUSPID ELEMENTS

Type Number: 009 (Fig. 35a-e)
Code: $a 10,11 / b 2 / c 1 / d 2 / e 1 / f 3-15 / g 1 / h 2$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: $23: 43$
Diagnosis: Multicuspid element with three to fifteen widely spaced, striated cusps which are linearly arranged; cusps triangular and not
curved. Body from which cusps arise elongate and bar-shaped. Element symmetrical with larger median cusp and lateral cusps decreasing in size from middie to edge of element, or cusps all equal in si:ze.

Type Number: 018 (Fig. 36a-b)
Code: $\mathrm{a} 11 / \mathrm{b} 2 / \mathrm{c} 2 / \mathrm{d} 6 / \mathrm{e} 3 / \mathrm{f} 3-9 / \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{h} 4 / 12$
ROM DVI Catalog Number: 28407
Diagnosis: Multicuspid element with three to nine antero-posteriorly flattened cusps which are unequal in size and arranged closely and linearly; cusps triangular and curved posteriorly with several parallel lines. Body from which cusps arise curved. Posterior cusp largest with succeeding anterior cusps decreasing in size.

Type Number: 019 (Fig. 37amb)
Code: $a 11 / b 1 / c 2 / d 3 / e 3 / f 2-4 / g 1 / h 4 / 12$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23144
Diagnosis: Multicuspid element with two to four antero-posteriorly flattened cusps which are unequal in size and arranged closely and linearly; cusps triangular and curved posteriorly. Body from which cusps arise flat and platelike. Posterior cusp largest with succeesing anterior cusps decreasing in size.

Type Number: 021 (Fig. 38a-e)
Code: $\quad a 11 / b 2 / c 1 / d 3 / e 2 / f 5-11 / \mathrm{g} 1 / \mathrm{h} 3$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23145
Diagnosis: Multicuspid element with five to eleven widely spaced cusps which are unequal in size and linearly arranged; cusps
triangular and curved labially with several parallel lines. Body from which cusps arise flat and platelike. Element symmetrical with median cusp largest and smaller lateral cusps but one of lateral cusps larger than lateral cusp nearest median cusp.

Type Number: 040 (Fig. 39a-b)
Code: a10/b1/c1/d3/e1/f2-50/g2
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23146
Díagnosis: Multicuspid element with two to fifty widely spaced cusps not linearly arranged; cusps triangular and not curved. Body from which cusps arise flat and platelike. Cusps approximately equal in size.

Type Number: 057 (Fig. 40a-b)
Code: a11/b2/c2/d6/e3/f5-16/g2
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23147
Diagnosis: Multicuspid element with five to sixteen cusps which are unequal in size and arranged closely but not linearly; cusps triangular and curved posteriorly with several parallel lines. Body from which cusps arise curved.

Type Number: 069 (Fig. 41a-e)
Code: a11/b2/c1/d6/e1/f3-5/g1/h2
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23148
Diagnosis: Multicuspid element with three to five widely spaced cusps which are unequal in size and linearly arranged; cusps triangular and not curved with several parallel keels. Body from which cusps arise
curved. Element symmetrical with larger median cusp and lateral cusps decreasing in size from middle to edge of element.

Type Number: 074 (Fig. 42a-b)
Code: a11/b2/c2/d4/e3/f2/g1/h4/10,1,2
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23149
Diagnosis: Multicuspid element with two cusps which are unequal in size and arranged closely and linearly; cusps triangular and curved posteriorly with several parallel lines; cusps may or may not be slightly flattened. Body from which cusps arise circular to subcircular in outline but not flattened. Posterior cusp larger than anterior cusp.

Typer Number: 083 (Fig. 43a-d)
Code: $\mathrm{a} 10,11 / \mathrm{b} 1 / \mathrm{c} 1 / \mathrm{d} 5 / \mathrm{e} 4 / \mathrm{f} 3-5 / \mathrm{g} 1 / \mathrm{h} 2$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23150
Diagnosis: Multicuspid element with three to five widely spaced cusps linearly arranged; cusps broad and blunt. Body from which cusps arise thick and polygonal. Element symmetrical with larger median cusp and lateral cusps decreasing in size from middle to edge of element, or cusps all equal in size.

Type Number: 100 (Fig. 44a-d)
Code: a11/b2/c1/d2/e2/f5-7/81/h2,3
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23151
Diagnosis: Multicuspid element with five to seven widely spaced cusps which are unequal in size and linearly arranged; cusps triangular and
curved labially with several parallel lines. Body from which cusps arise eilongate and bar-shaped. Element symmetrical with median cusp largest and lateral cusps decreasing in size from middle to edge of element, or one of lateral cuspa larger than lateral cusp nearest medial cusp.

Type Number: 123 (Fig. 45)
Code: $\quad \mathrm{a} 11 / \mathrm{b} 1 / \mathrm{c} 1 / \mathrm{d} 7 / \mathrm{e} 0 / \mathrm{f} 3 / \mathrm{g} 1 / \mathrm{h} 5$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23152

Diagnosis: Multicuspid element with three widely spaced cusps which are unenual in size and linearly arranged; shape of cusps
indeterminate. Eody from which cusps arise circular to subcircular and flat with a buttonlike process. Median cusp smaller than lateral cusps.

Type Number: 124 (Fig. 46a-b)
Code: $\mathrm{a} 11 / \mathrm{b} 1 / \mathrm{c} 2 / \mathrm{d} 6 / \mathrm{e} 3 / \mathrm{f} 5-11 / \mathrm{g} 2$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23153
Diagnosis: Multicuspid element with five to eleven cusps which are unequal in size and arranged closely but not linearly; cusps triangular and curved posteriorly. Body from which cusps arise curved.

Type Number: 127 (Fig. 47a-b)
Code: a10/b1/c1/d1/e1/f8-24/g2
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23154
Diagnosis: Multicuspid element with eight to twenty-four widely
spaced cusps not linearly arranged; cusps triangular and not curved. Body from which cusps arise very thick with no particular shape. Cusps approximately equal in size.

Type Number: 139 (Fig. 48a-b)
Code: $\quad a 10,11 / b 1,2 / c 1 / d 5 / e 1,4 / f 3-13 / g 2 / \mathrm{h} 1$
ROM DVP Catzlog Number: 23043
Diagnosis: Multicuspid element with three to thirteen widely spaced cusps not linearly arranged; cusps either triangular and not curved or broad and blunt; cusps may or may not be striated. Body from which cusps arise thick and polygonal. Cusps equal in size or unequal with no order to their distribution.

Type Numbur: 148 (Fig. 49a-b)
Code: a11/b1/c2/d3/e3/f2-4/g1/h4/i1
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23155
Diagnosis: Multicuspid element with two to four laterally flattened cusps which are unequal in size and arranged closely and linearly; cusps triangular and curved posteriorly. Body from which cusps arise flat and platelike. Posterior cusp largest with succeeding anterior cusps decreasing in size.

Type Number: 169 (Fig. 50a-e)
Code: $a 10 / b 2 / c 1 / d 6 / e 2 / f 3 / g 1$
GOM DVP Catalog Number: 23156
Diagnosis: Multicuspid element with three widely spaced cusps
linearly arranged; cusps broad and blunt; cusps striated. Body from
which cusps arise thick and curved. Cusps approximately equal in size.

Type Number: 172 (Fig. 51a-b)
Code: $\quad a 11 / b 3 / c 1,2 / d 5 / e 4 / f 2-7 / g 2$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23157
Diagnosis: Multicuspid element with two to seven susps which are unequal in size and not linearly arranged; cusps may be widely spaced or close together; cusps broad and blunt with radiating striations. Body from which cusps arise thick and polygonal.

Type Number: 173 (Fig. 52a-e)
Code: $\mathrm{a} 11 / \mathrm{b} 2 / \mathrm{c} 1 / \mathrm{d} 8 / \mathrm{e} 2 / \mathrm{f} 3 / \mathrm{g} 1 / \mathrm{h} 5$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23158
Diagnosis: Multicuspid element with three widely spaced cusps which are unequal in size and linearly arranged; cusps triangular and curved lingually with several parallel lines. Body form which cusps arise circular and thick with a buttonlike process. Element symmetrical with smaller median cusp and large lateral cusps.

Type Number: 176 (Fig. 53a-c)
Code: a11/b1/c1/d3/e2/f3-7/g2
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23159
Diagnosis: Multicuspid element with three to seven widely spaced cusps which are unequal in size and not linearly arranged; cusps triangular and curved lingually. Body from which cusps arise flat and platelike.

Type Number: 198 (Fig. 54a-c)
Code: $\mathrm{a} 11 / \mathrm{b} 2 / \mathrm{c} 2 / \mathrm{d} 3 / \mathrm{e} 3 / \mathrm{f} 4-10 / \mathrm{g} 2$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23160
Diagnosis: Nínlticuspia element with four to ten eusps which ara unequal in size and arranged closely but not linearly; cusps triangular and curved posteriorly with or without several parallel lines. Body from which cusps arise flat and platelike.

Type Number: 202 (Fig. 55a-b)
Code: $\quad a 11 / b 1 / c 2 / d 6 / e 3 / f 2-6 / g 1 / \mathrm{b} 4 / 10$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23161
Diagnosis: Multicuspid element with two to six cusps which are unequal in size and arranged closely and linearly; cusps not flattened; cusps triangular and curved postericrly. Body from which cusps arise thick and curved. Posterior cusp largest with succeeding anterior cusps decreasing in size.

Type Number: 205 (Fig. 56a-c)
Code: a11/b1/c2/d5/e3/f2-4/g2/h4/i2
ROM DVP Catglog Number: 23162
Diagnosis: Multicuspid element with two to four antero-posteriorly flattened cusps which are unequal in size and arranged closely and linearly; cusps triangular and curved posteriorly. Body from which cusps arise thick and polygonal. Cusps of different sizes with no order to their distribution.

Type Number: 207 (Fig. 57a-d)
Code: a11/b1/c1/d9/e3/f3/g2
ROM DVP Catalog Number: ..... 23163Diagnosis: Multicuspid element with three widely spaced cusps whichare unequal in size and linearly arranged; cusps triangular and ourvedposteriorly. Body from which cusps arise flat and star-shaped.
Median cusp larger than lateral cusps.
Type Number: 212 (Fig. 58a-c)
Code: $\quad \mathrm{a} 11 / \mathrm{b} 2 / \mathrm{c} 1 / \mathrm{d} 0 / \mathrm{e} 2+3 / \mathrm{f} 2 / \mathrm{g} 1 / \mathrm{h} 1$
ROM DYP Catalog Number: ..... 23164
Diagnosis: Multicuspid element with two widely spaced unequal-size
cusps with several parallel lines; cusps triangular and curved
lingually. Body from which cusps arise indeterminate. One cusp
larger than the other.
Typer Number: 223 (Fig. 59a-c)
Code: a10/b1/c1/d0/e2/f2/g1
ROM DVP Catalog Number: ..... 23165
Diagnosis: Multicuspid element with two wicely spaced cusps which areequal in size; cusps triangular and curved lingually. Shape ofplatform indeterminate.
Type Number: 238 (Fig. 60a-c)
Code: $\quad$ a11/b2/c2/d5/e3/f5-9/g2
ROM DVP Catalog Number: ..... 23166Diagnosis: Multicuspid element with five to nine unequal-sized cuspswith striations which are close together and not linearly arranged;
cusps triangular and curved posteriorly. Body from which cusps arisethick and polygonal.
Type Number: 239 (Fig. 61a-d)
Code: $\mathrm{a} 11 / \mathrm{b} 2 / \mathrm{c} 1 / \mathrm{d} 3 / \mathrm{e} / \mathrm{f} 2 / \mathrm{g} 1 / \mathrm{h} 1$
ROM DYP Cataiog Number: ..... 23167
Diagnosis: Multicuspid element with two widely spaced cusps with
striations which are unequal in size and linearly arranged; cusps
triangular and curved ingually. Body from which cusps arise flat and
platelike. One cusp 2arger than the other.
SECTION IX: DOME-SHAPED ELEMENTS
Type Number: 086 (Fig. 62a-c)
Code: a12/b8/c1
ROM DVP Catalog Number: ..... 23033
Diagnosis: Dome-shaped element which is entirely translucent. Base
concave and circular.
Type Number: 102 (Fig. 63a-d)
Code: a12/b8/c2
ROM DVP Catalog Number: ..... 23169
Diagnosis: Dome-shaped element which is entirely translucent. Base
flat and circular.
Type Number: ..... 126
Code: a12/b8/c3
ROM DVP Catalog Number: ..... 23170

Diagnosis: Dome-shaped element which is entirely translucent. Base concave and flared into square to cruciform shape.

Type Number: 200 (Fig. 64a-b)
Code: a12/b3/c1/d1
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23171
Diagnosis: Dome-shaped element with radiating lines. Top of element pointed; keels smooth.

Type Number: 231 (Fig. 65a-c)
Code: a12/b3/c2/d1
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23172
Diagnosis: Dome-shaped element with radiating lines. Top of element flat; keels smooth.

Type Number: 236 (Fig. 66a-b)
Code: a12/b3/c1/d2
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23173
Diagnosis: Dome shaped element with radiating lines. Top of element pointed; keels toothed.

SECTION X: MUSHROOM-SHAPED ELEMEHTS, EACH WITH ROUNDED OR FLATTENED UPPER SURFACE.

Type Number: 103 (Fig. 67a-b)
Code: a13/b2/c1/d6/e2/f2
ROM DVP Catalos Number: 23174
Diagnosis: Mushroom-shaped element with flattened upper surface.

Outline triangular with ridges on more than one edge but not all edges. Base long and same width as top of element.

Type Number: 109 (Fig. 68a-c)
Code: a13/b1/c2/d3/c0/E0
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23175
Diagnosis: Mushroom-shaped element with rounded upper surface. Outline five-sided with margins concave between projections but smooth throughout. Nature of base indeterminate.

Type Number: 134 (Fig. 69a-c)
Code: $\quad a 13 / b 1 / c 1,2 / d 1 / e 2 / f 2,3$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23035
Diagnosis: Mushroom-shaped element with flattened upper surface. Outline triangular to five-sided with ridges on more than one edge but not all edges. Base long and narrower than or approximately same width as top of element.

Type Number: 140 (Fig. 70a-d)
Code: $a 13 / b 3 / c 1,3 / d 5 / e 1 / f 1,2$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23176
Diagnosis: Mushroommshaped element with flattened upper surface and several radiating lines. Outline triangular to subcircular with distinct ridges on all edges. Base short and wider than or approximately same width as top of element.

Type Number: 144 (Fig. 71a-c)
Code: a13/b1/c2/d1/e2/f2,3

ROM DUP Catalog Number: 23177
Diagrosis: Mishroom-shaped element with flattened upper surface. Outline five-sided with smooth margins. Base long and narrower than or approximately same width as top of element.

Type Number: 192 (Fig. 72a-b)
Code: $\quad \mathrm{a} 13 / \mathrm{b} 1 / \mathrm{c} 5 / \mathrm{d} 3$ ' $\mathrm{e} 1,2 / \mathrm{f} 2,3$
ROM DVP Catalos Number: 23178
Diagnosis: Mushroom-shaped element with rounded upper surface. Outline very irregular; with margins concave between projections but smooth throughout. Base short to long and narrower than or same width as top of element.

Type Number: 201 (Fig. 73a-b)
Code: $\quad a 13 / b 1 / c 3 / d 1 / e 2 / f 1$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23179
Diagnosis: Mushroom-shaped element with somewhat flattened upper surface. Outline circular with smooth margins. Base long and wider than top of element.

Types Number: 206 (Fig. 74a-b)
Code: $\quad a 13 / b 1 / c 1 / d 4 / e 2 / f 1,2,3$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23180
Diagnosis: Mushroom-shaped element with rounded upper surface. Outline triangular with distinct ridges on one edge. Base long with highly variable width.

Type Number: 240 (Fig. 75a-b)

Code: $\quad \mathrm{a} 13 / \mathrm{b} 3 / \mathrm{c5} / \mathrm{d} 2 / \mathrm{e} / \mathrm{f} 2$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23181
Diagnosis: Mushroom-shaped element with flattened surface and radiating lines. Outline irregular with crenulated margins. Base short and approximately same width as blade.

Type Number: 242 (Fig. 76a-c)
Code: $\quad a 13 / b 2 / c 4 / d 3 / e 2 / f 2,3$
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23168
Diagnosis: Mushroom-shaped element with flattened surface. Outline square; margins concave between projections but smooth throughout. Base long and narrower than or approximately same width as blade.

SECTION XI: PYRAMID-SHAPED ELEMENTS

Type Number: 107 (Fig. 77a-e)
Code: ai4/b3/c2/d1
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 23044
Diagnosis: Pyramid-shaped element with two keels which radiate from center of top of element. Two edges curved inward and one edge straight.

Type Number: 216 (Fig. 78a-d)
Code: a14/b3/c3,4/d2
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 28401
Diagnosis: Pyramid-shaped element with three or four keels which radiate from center of element. Two edges straight and one edge
curved inward.

## SECTION XII: BAR-SHAPED ELEMENTS

Type Number: 177 (Fig. 79a-b)
Code: a15/b2/c1
ROM DVP Catalos Number: 23039
Dlagnosis: Bar-shaped element with several parallel grooves on top of element and a ridge which runs perpendicular to grooves. Base flat with several foramen along side.

Type Number: 217 (Fig. 80a-b)
Code: a15/b3
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 28402
Diagnosis: Bar-shaped element with radiating lines.

SECTION XIII: CIRCULAR TO SUBCIRCULAR ELEMENTS; NO PLATFORM PRESENT

Type Number: 132 (Fig. 81a-b)
Code: a16/b9
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 28403
Diagnosis: Elliptical element with concentric lines. Upper surface of element more highly convex than base.

Type Number: 208 (Fig. 82a-b)
Code: a16/b10
ROM DVP Catalog Number: 28404
Diagnosis: Circular to subcircular element with flattened, stippled
surfaces. Often pearlescent.
1a-b Type Number 006 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group)
in Buchanan County, Missouri: $a$, lateral view in SEM; b,
lateral view in reflected light to show translucent tip. Scale
equals 0.2mm.
2a-b Type Number 007 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group)
in Greenwood County, Kansas: a, lateral view in SEM; b, lateral
view in reflected light to show translucent tip. Scale equals
$0.2 m m . ~$


| 8a-c | Type Number 056 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) In Madison County, Iowa: a, lateral; b, oblique basai; c, basal. Scale equals 0.2 mm . |
| :---: | :---: |
| $9 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}$ | Type Number 063 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) |
|  | in Elk County, Kansas (a), and the Doniphan Shale (Shawnee |
|  | Group) in Douglas County, Kansas (b): a, lateral view in SEM; |
|  | $b$, lateral view in reflected light to show translucent tip. |
|  | Scale equals 0.2 mm . |
| 19a-b | Type Number 075 from the Stoner Limestone (Lansing Group) in |
|  | Cass County, Iowa: a, top; b, lateral views. Scale equals |
|  | 0.2 mm . |
| 11 | Type Number 095 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) |
|  | in Leavenworth County, Kansas: lateral view. Scale equals |
|  | C. 2 mm . |
| 12 | Type Number 096 from the Plattsmouth Limestione (Shawnee Group) |
|  | in Osage County, Kansas: lateral view. Scale equals 0.2mm. |
| 13 | Type Number 105 from the Stoner Limestone (Lansing Group) in |
|  | Cass County, Iowa: lateral view. Scale equals 0.2mm. |
| 14 | Type Number 108 from the Iowa Point Shale (Shawnee Group) in |
|  | Shawnee County, Kansas: lateral view. Scale equals 0.2mm. |
| 15 | Type Number 114 from the Ervine Creek Limestone (Shawnee Group) |
|  | in Douglas County, Kansas: lateral view. Scale equals 0.2mm. |



## FIGURES 16-21

16a-e Type Number 118 from the Plattsmouth Limestous (Shawnee Group) in Andrew County, Misscuri: $a$, anterior; $b$, top; $c, ~ p o s t e r i o r ; ~$ d, basal; e, lateral views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .

17a-d Type Number 119 from the Stoner Limestone (Lansing Group) in Madison County, I\%Na: $a$, anterior; $b$, top; $c, ~ p o s t e r i o r ; ~ d$, lateral views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .

18a-b Type Number 128 from the Stoner Limestone (Lansing Group) in Cass County, Iowa: $a$, anterior; b, lateral views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .

19 Type Number 135 from the Turner Creek Shale (Shawnee Group) in Shawnee County, Kansas: lateral view in reflected light to show translucent tip. Scale equals 0.2 mm .

20 Type Number 136 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Buchanan County, Missouri: lateral view. Scale equals 0.2 mm .

21a-b Type Number 137 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Buchanan County, Missouri: a, lateral; b, posterior views. Scale equals 0.1 mm .


| 22a-b | Type Number 142 from the Captain Creek Limestone (Lansing Group) in Madison County, Iowa: $a$, lateral; $b$, edge views. Scale equals 0.2 mm . |
| :---: | :---: |
| 23 | Type Number 143 from the Heebner Shale (Shawnee Group) in Cass County, Nebraska: lateral view. Scale equals 0.1 mm . |
| 24a-b | Type Number 152 from the Hentiñ Shale (Shawnee Group) in Cass County, Nebraska: a, lateral; b, edge views. Scale equals 0.2 mm . |
| 25 | Type Number 155 from the Heebner Shale (Shawnee Group) in Douglas County, Kansas: lateral view. Scale equals 0.2 mm . |
| 26a-c | Type Number 156 from the Stoner Limestone (Lansing Group) in Cass County, Iowa: $a$, anterior; b, posterior; e, edge views. Scale equals 0.2 mm . |
| 27a-c | Type Number 166 from the Coal Creek Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Shawnee County, Kansas: a, anterior; b, edge; c, posterior views. Scale equals 0.2 mm . |
| 28a-b | Type Number 174 from the Spring Branch Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Douglas County, Kansas: a, lateral; b, edge views. Scale equals 0.2 mm . |
| 29a-c | Type Number 187 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Leavneworth County, Kansas: a, lateral view in SEM; b, lateral view in reflected light tc show translucent circular disc; c, top view in reflected light to show translucent circular disc. Scale equals 0.2 mm . |
| 30a-b | Type Number 193 from the Stoner Limestone (Lansing Group) in Cass County, Iowa: a, lateral; b, edge views. Scale equals 0.2 mm . |



## FIGURES 31-37

|  | Type Number 203 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Osage County, Oklahoma: a, anterior; b, posterior; c, lateral views. Scale equals 0.2 mm . |
| :---: | :---: |
| 32a-b | Type Number 211 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Chautauqua County, Kansas: a, anterior; b, posterior views. Scale equals 0.2 mm . |
| 33 | Type Number 232 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Elk County, Kansas: lateral view. Scale equals 0.2 mm . |
| 34a-d | Type Number 233 from the Stoner Limestone (Lansing Group) in Cass County, Iowa: a, lingual; b, labial; c, lateral; d, occlusal views. Scale equals 0.2 mm . |
| 35a-e | Type Number 009 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Andrew County, Missouri: a, occlusal; b, lateral; c, lingual; $d$, labial; e, basal views. Scale equals 0.2min. |
| 36a-b | Type Number 018 from the Heebner Shale (Shawnee Group) in Cass County, Nebraska: a, lateral; b, top views. Scale equals 0.2 mm . |
| 37a-b | Type Number 019 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Andrew County, Missouri: a, top; b, lateral views. Scale equals 0.2 mm . |



## FIGURES 38-41

38a-e Type Number 021 from the Captain Creek Limestone (Lansing
Group) in Madison County, Iowa: a, lingual; b, occlusal; $c$,
labial; d, lateral; e, oblique basal views. Scale equals
$0.2 m m$.

FIGURES 42-45
42a-b Type Number 074 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group)in Andrew County, Missouri: a, top; b, lateral views. Scaleequals 0.2 mm .
43a-d Type Number 083 from the Stoner Limestone (Lansing Group) inCass County, Iowa: a, occlusal; b, basal; c, lingual; d,oblique labial views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .
$44 a-d$ Type Number 100 from the Stoner Limestone (Lansing Group) inMadison County, Iowa: a, lingual; b, oblique lateral; $c$,lapial; d, occlusal views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .
45 Type Number 123 from the Hartford Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Shawnee County, Kansas: oblique lingual view. Scale equals0.2 mm .

FIGURES 46-5046a-b Type Number 124 from the Hartford Limestone (Shawnee Group)in Shawnee County, Kansas: a, top; b, lateral views. Scaleequals 0.2 mm .
47a-b Type Number 127 from the Hartinnd Limestone (Shawnee Group)in Shawnee County, Kansas: $a$, tol; $b$, lateral views. Scaleequals 0.2 mm .
48a-b Type Number 139 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group)in Osage County, Kansas: $a$, top; b, oblique lateral views.Scale equals 0.2 mm .
49a-b Type Number 148 from the Big, Springs Iimestone (Shawnee Group) in Douglas County, Kansas: $a$, top; $b$, lateral views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .
50a-e Type Number 169 from the Stoner Limestone (Lansing Group) in Cass County, Iowa: a, scclusal; b, lingual; c, basal; d, 


## FIGURES 51-55

```
51a-b Type Number 172 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group)
        in Cass County, Nebraska: a, top; b, lateral views. Scale
        equals 0.2 mm .
52a-e Type Number 173 from the Bell Limestone (Shawnee Group) in
        Douglas County, Kansas: \(a\), occlusal; \(b\), lingual; \(c\), basal; \(d\),
        labial; e, oblique lateral views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .
53a-c Type Numider 176 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group)
        in Cass County, Nebraska: a, oblique posterior; b, anterior;
        \(c\), lateral views. Scale equals 0.1 mm .
54a-c Type Number 198 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group)
        in Buchanan County, Missouri: a, top; b, oblique lateral; \(c\),
        basal views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .
55a-b Type Number 202 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group)
        in Coffey County, Kansas: a, lateral; b, top views. Scale
        equals 0.2 mm .
```



## FIGURES 56-60

56a-c Type Number 205 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group)
in Greenwood County, Kansas: a, top; b, oblique lateral; $c$,
basal views. Scale equals $0.1 \mathrm{mm}$.

61a-d Type Number 239 from the Stoner Limestone (Lansing Group) in Cass County, Iowa: $a$, anterior; $b$, posterior; $c, ~ l a t e r a l ; ~ d, ~$ oblique lateral views. Scale equals 0.1 mm .
62a-c Type Number 086 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Andrew County, Missouri: a, top; b, basal; c, oblique lateral views. Scale equals 0.1 mm .
632-d Type Number 102 from the Doniphan Shale (Shawnee Group) in Douglas County, Kansas: $a$, top; $b$, lateral; $c$, oblique basal; d, oblique lateral views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .
64a-b Type Number 200 from the Leavenworth Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Osage County, Oklahoma: $a$, top; b, lateral views. Scale equals 0.2m.


## FIGURES 65-69

| 6 | Type Number 231 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Andrew County, Missouri: $a$, top; $b$, basal; $c$, oblique lateral views. Scale equals 0.1 mm . |
| :---: | :---: |
| 66a-b | Type Number 236 from the Stoner Limestone (Lansing Group) in Cass County, Iowa: $a$, top; b, lateral views. Scale equals 0.2 mm . |
| 67a-b | Type Number 103 from the Iowa Point Shale (Shawnee Group) in Shawnee County, Kansas: a, lateral; b, top views. Scale equals 0.1 mm . |
| 68a-c | Type Number 109 from the Iowa Point Shale (Shawnee Group) in Shawnee County, Kansas: a, top; b, basal; c, lateral views. Scale equals 0.2 mm . |
| 69a-c | Type Number 134 from the Hartford Limestone (Shawnee Group) In Shawnee County, Kansas: $a$, top; b, lateral; c, oblique lateral views. Scale equals 0.2 mm . |



## FIGURES 70-75

| 70a-d | Type Number 140 from the Stoner Limestone (Lansing Group) in Cass County, Iowa: $a$, top; b, basal; 0 , oblique lateral; d, oblique lateral views. Scale equals 0.1 mm . |
| :---: | :---: |
| 71a-c | Type Number 144 from the Stoner Limestone (Lansing Group) in Cass County, Iowa: $a$, top; $b$, oblique lateral; $c$, lateral views. Scale equals 0.2 mm . |
| 72a-b | Type Number 192 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Greenwood County, Kansas: $a$, top; b, oblique lateral views. Scale equals 0.2 mm . |
| 73a-b | Iype Number 201 from the Lear enworth Limestone (Shawnee Grcup) in Osage County, Oklahoma: $a$, top; b, oblique lateral views. Scale equals 0.2 mm . |
| 74a-b | Type Number 206 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Osage County, Kansas: $a$, top; b, oblique iateral views. Scale equals 0.2 mm . |
| 75a-b | Type Number 240 from the Stoner Limestone (Lansing Group) in Madison County, Iowa: 日, top; b, lateral views. Scale equals 0.2 mm . |


76a-c Type Number 242 from the Stoner Limestone (Lansing Group) in
Madison County, Iowa: a, top; $b$, oblique laterel; $c$, oblique
basal views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .

77a-e Type Number 107 from the Iowa Point Shale (Shawnee Group) in Shawnee County, Kansas: $a$, top; b, posterior; c, anterior; d, oblique lateral; e, basal views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .

78a-d Type Number 216 from the Stoner Limestone (Lansing Group) in Madison County, Iowe: $a$, top; b, anterior; $c$, oblique lateral; d, oblique basal views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .

79a-b Type Number 177 from the Heumader Shale (Shawnee Group) in Jefferson County, Ransas: $a$, top; $b$, lateral views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .

FIGURES 80-82
80a-b Type Number 217 from the Stoner Limestone (Lansing Group) in Cass County, Iowa: $a$, top; b, oblique lateral views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .
81a-b Type Number 132 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Osage County, Kansas: $a$, top; b, lateral views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .
82a-b Type Number 208 from the Plattsmouth Limestone (Shawnee Group) in Andrew County, Missouri: a, top; $D$, oblique lateral views. Scale equals 0.2 mm .


# APPENDIX C <br> LIST OF SAMPLES AND THEIR <br> ROYAL ONTARIO MUSEUM (ROM) <br> department of invertebrate paleontology (dip) <br> ACCESSION NUMBERS 

APPENDIX C

ROM DIP LOCALITY
SAMPLE ACCESSION NUMBER NUMBER CCUNTY (STATE)

| Le-2-1 | 69 PBl 7 | 5 | Chautauqua (KS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Le-3-1 | 69 PB 79 | 8 | Coffey (KS) |
| Le-4-1 | 72 PB 9 | 1 | Osage (OK) |
| Le-5-1 | 72 PB 10 | 2 | Osage (OK) |
| Le-6-1 | 72PB12 | 3 | Osage (OK) |
| Le-7-1 | $72 \mathrm{PB13}$ | 6 | Elk (KS) |
| Le-8-1 | $72 \mathrm{PBI6}$ | 7 | Greenwood (KS) |
| Le-9-1 | 72 PB 25 | 4 | Osage (OK) |
| Le-10-1 | $72 \mathrm{PB4} 4$ | 10 | Franklin (KS) |
| Le-11-1 | 72Pbs 5 | 11 | Leavenworth (KS) |
| Le-12-1 | $72 \mathrm{PB55}$ | 12 | Buchanan (KS) |
| Le-13-1 | 72 PB 55 | 13 | Andrew (MO) |
| Le-14-1 | 72PB83 | 14 | Cass (NE) |
| Le-15-1 | 72 PB 90 | 15 | Cass (IA) |
| Le-16-1 | 72PB91 | 16 | Madison (IA) |


| He-2-1 | 69 PB 10 | 5 | Chautauqua (KS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| He-2-2A | 69 PB 11 | 5 | Chautauqua (KS) |
| He-2-2B | 69 PB12 | 5 | Chautauqua (KS) |
| He-2-3A | 69 PB 14 | 5 | Chautauqua (KS) |
| He-2-3B | 69 PB15 | 5 | Chautauqua (KS) |
| He-3-1 | 69 PB 100 | 8 | Coffey (KS) |
| He-3-4 | 69 PB 103 | 8 | Coffey (KS) |
| He-4-1 | 72 PB 11 | 2 | Osage (OK) |
| He-4-2 (Recoll'n) | 73PB145 | 2 | Osage (OK) |
| He-5-1 | 72PB14 | 7 | Greenwood (KS) |
| He-5-2 | $72 \mathrm{PB15}$ | 7 | Greenwood (KS) |
| He-6 (Recoll ' $n$ ) | 73 PB 84 | 3 | Osage (OK) |
| He-7 (Recoll ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{n}$ ) | 72PB85 | 4 | Osage (OK) |
| He-8-1 | 72PB35 | 6 | Elk (KS) |
| He-8-2 | 72PB100 | 6 | Elk (KS) |
| He-9-1 | 72 PB 43 | 10 | Franklin (KS) |
| He-9-2 | 72PB42 | 10 | Franklin (KS) |
| $\mathrm{He}-10-1$ | 72PB5 1 | 11 | Leavenworth (KS) |
| He-10-2 | 72PB52 | 11 | Leavenworth (KS) |
| He-11-1 | 72PB56 | 12 | Buchanan (KS) |
| He-11-2 | 72 PB 57 | 12 | Buchanan (KS) |
| He-11-3 | 72PB58 | 12 | Buchanan (KS) |
| He-11-4 | 72PB59 | 12 | Buchanan (KS) |


| SAMPLE | ROM DIP <br> ACCESSION NUMBER | $\begin{gathered} \text { LOCALITY } \\ \text { NUMBER } \end{gathered}$ | COUNTY (STATE) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| He-13-1 | 72PB74 | 13 | Andrew (MO) |
| He-13-2 | 72PB75 | 13 | Andrew (MO) |
| He-13-3 | 72 PB 76 | 13 | Andrew (MO) |
| He-14-1 | 72 PB 77 | 14 | Cass (NE) |
| He-14-2 | 72 PB 78 | 14 | Cass (NE) |
| He-14-3 | 72PB79 | 14 | Cass (NE) |
| He-15-1 | 72PB84 | 15 | Cass (IA) |
| He-15-1R | 72PB84 | 15 | Cass (IA) |
| He-15-2 | 72PB85 | 15 | Cass (IA) |
| He-15-3 | 72PB86 | 15 | Cass (IA) |
| He-16-1 | 72 PB 92 | 16 | Madison (IA) |
| He-16-2 | 72 PB 93 | 16 | Madison (IA) |
| He-16-3 | 72PB94 | 16 | Madison (IA) |
| P-2-1A | $69 \mathrm{PB1} 8$ | 5 | Chautauqua (KS) |
| P-2-1B | $69 \mathrm{PB1} 9$ | 5 | Chautauqua (KS) |
| P-2-2A | 69PB20 | 5 | Chautauqua (KS) |
| P-2-2B | 69PB21 | 5 | Chautauqua (KS) |
| P-2-2C | 69PB22 | 5 | Chautauqua (KS) |
| P-2-2D | 69PB23 | 5 | Chautauqua (KS) |
| P-2-2E | 69PB24 | 5 | Chautauqua (KS) |
| P-2-3 | 69PB25 | 5 | Chautauqua (KS) |
| P-3-1 | 69 PB 26 | 5 | Chautauqua (KS) |
| P-3-2 | 69PB27 | 5 | Chautauqua (KS) |
| P-4-1 | 69PB28 | 8 | Coffey (KS) |
| P-4-2 | 69 PB 29 | 8 | Coffey (KS) |
| P-4-3 | 69 PB 30 | 8 | Coffey (KS) |
| P-4-4 | 69PB31 | 8 | Coffey (KS) |
| P-4-5 | 69PB32 | 8 | Coffey (KS) |
| P-4-6 | 69 PBl 13 | 8 | Coffey (KS) |
| P-5-1 | 72PB22 | 3 | Osage (OK) |
| P-6-1 | 72PB23 | 4 | Osage (OK) |
| P-6-2 | 72PB24 | 4 | Osage (OK) |
| P-7-1 | 72 PB 27 | 7 | Greenwood (KS) |
| P-7-2 | 72 PB 28 | 7 | Greenwood (KS) |
| P-7-3 | 72PB29 | 7 | Greenwood (KS) |
| P-7-4 | 72口B30 | 7 | Greenwood (KS) |
| P-8-1 | 72PB31 | 6 | Elk (KS) |
| P-8-2 | 72PB32 | 6 | Elk (KS) |
| P-8-3 | 72PB33 | 6 | Elk (KS) |
| P-8-4 | 72PB34 | 6 | Elk (KS) |
| P-9-1 | 72PB36 | 9 | Osage (KS) |

ROM DIP
LOCALITY
SAMPLE
ACCESSION NUMBER NUMBER COUNTY (STATE)

| P-9-2 | 72PB37 | 9 | Osage (KS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P-9-3 | 72PB38 | 9 | Osage (KS) |
| P-9-4 | 72PB39 | 9 | Osage (KS) |
| P-9-5 | 72PB40 | 9 | Osage (KS) |
| P-9-6 | 72PB4 1 | 9 | Osage (KS) |
| P-10-1 | $72 \mathrm{PB45}$ | 11 | Leavenworth (KS) |
| P-10-2 | 72PB46 | 11 | Leavenworth (KS) |
| P-10-3 | 72PB47 | 11 | Leavenworth (KS) |
| $\because-10-4$ | $72 \mathrm{PB48}$ | 11 | Leavenworth (KS) |
| P-10-5 | 72PB49 | 11 | Leavenworth (YS) |
| P-11-1 | 72 PB 54 | 11 | Leavenworth (KS) |
| P-12-1 | 72PB60 | 12 | Buchanan (K3) |
| P-12-2 | $72 \mathrm{PB61}$ | 12 | Buchanan (KS) |
| P-12-3 | $72 \mathrm{PB6} 2$ | 12 | Buchanan (KS) |
| P-12-4 | 72PB63 | 12 | Buchanan (KS) |
| P-12-5 | 72PB64 | 12 | Buchanan (KS) |
| P-12-6 | 72 PBE 5 | 12 | Buchanan (KS) |
| P-13-1 | $72 \mathrm{PB66}$ | 13 | Andrew (MO) |
| P-13-2 | 72PB67 | 13 | Andrew (MO) |
| P-13-3 | 72PB68 | 13 | Andrew (MO) |
| P-13-4 | 72PB69 | 13 | Andrew (MO) |
| P-13-5 | 72PB70 | 13 | Andrew (MO) |
| P-13-6 | 72 PB 71 | 13 | Andrew (MO) |
| P-13-7 | 72PB72 | 13 | Andrew (MO) |
| P-14-1 | 72PB80 | 14 | Cass (NE) |
| P-14-2 | 72PB81 | 14 | Cass (NE) |
| P-14-3 | 72 PB 82 | 14 | Cass (NE) |
| P-15-1 | 72PB87 | 15 | Cass (IA) |
| P-15-1R | 72PB87 | 15 | Cass (IA) |
| P-15-2 | 72PB88 | 15 | Cass (IA) |
| P-15-3 | 72PB89 | 15 | Cass (IA) |
| P-16-1 | 72PB95 | 16 | Madison (IA) |
| P-16-2 | 72PB96 | 16 | Madison (IA) |
| P-16-3 | $72 \mathrm{PB97}$ | 16 | Madison (IA) |
| P-16-4 | 72PB98 | 16 | Madison (IA) |

## APPENDIX D

LIST OF SAMPLE WEIGHTS

## APPENDIX D

The following is a list of weights used in calculating the number of ichthyoliths/kilogram. $W_{i}=$ the initial weight of rock processed in grams. $W_{c}=$ the weight of urdissolved coarse material in grams. $W_{d}=$ the weight of rock actually dissolved in grams $=W_{i}-W_{c}$. The astual ichthyolith abundances were divided by $W_{d}$ and multiplied by 1000 to yield the number of ichthyoliths/kg.

| SARIPLE | $W_{i}(g)$ | $W_{c}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $W_{d}(g)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Le-2-1 | 1500 | 14 | 1486 |
| Le-3-1 | 1500 | 11 | 1489 |
| Le-4-1 | 2000 | 165 | 1835 |
| Le-5-1 | 2000 | $10 \%$ | 1893 |
| Le-6-1 | 2000 | 72 | 1928 |
| Le-7-1 | 2000 | 158 | 1842 |
| Le-8-1 | 2000 | 99 | 1901 |
| Le-9-1 | 2000 | 92 | 1908 |
| Le-10-1 | 2000 | 9 | 1991 |
| Le-11-1 | 2000 | 50 | 1950 |
| Le-12-1 | 2000 | 68 | 1932 |
| Le-13-1 | 2000 | 46 | 1954 |
| Le-14-1 | 2000 | 6 | 1994 |
| Le-15-1 | 2000 | 261 | 1739 |
| Le-16-1 | 2000 | 70 | 1930 |
| He-2-1 | 1000 | 119 | 881 |
| He-2-2A | 1000 | 345 | 655 |
| He-2-2B | 1000 | 66 | 934 |
| He--2-3A | 1500 | 57 | 1443 |
| He-2-3B | 2000 | 141 | 1859 |
| He-3-1 | 748 | 92 | 656 |
| He-3-2 | 1000 | 110 | 890 |
| He-3-3 | 1000 | 150 | 850 |
| He-3-4 | 1500 | 44 | 1456 |
| He-4-1 | 2000 | 7 | 1993 |
| He-4-2 (Recoll'n) | 2000 | 112 | 1888 |
| $\mathrm{He}=5-1$ | 2000 | 210 | 1790 |
| He-5-2 | 2000 | 384 | 1616 |
| He-6 (Recoll 'n) | 2000 | 90 | 1910 |


| SAMPLE | $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}}$ (g) | $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{d}}$ (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| He-7 (Recoll'n) | 2000 | 90 | 1910 |
| He-8-1 | 2000 | 300 | 1700 |
| He-8-2 | 2000 | 74 | 1926 |
| He-9-1 | 2000 | 1248 | 752 |
| He-9-2 | 2000 | 74 | 1926 |
| He-10-1 | 2000 | 1180 | 820 |
| $\mathrm{He}-10-2$ | 2000 | 516 | 1484 |
| He-11-1 | 2000 | 328 | 1672 |
| $\mathrm{He}-11-2$ | 2000 | 582 | 1418 |
| He-11-3 | 1617 | 15 | 1602 |
| He-11-4 | 1692 | 33 | 1659 |
| He-13-1 | 2000 | 264 | 1736 |
| He-13-2 | 2000 | 15 | 1985 |
| He-13-3 | 1670 | 164 | 1506 |
| He-14-1 | 2000 | 29 | 1971 |
| He-14-2 | 2000 | 4 | 1996 |
| He-14-3 | 2000 | 913 | 1087 |
| He-15-1 | 2000 | 1037 | 963 |
| He-15-1R | 950 | 346 | 604 |
| He-15-2 | 1880 | 551 | 1329 |
| He-15-3 | 2000 | 43 | 1957 |
| He-16-1 | 2000 | 78 | 1922 |
| He-16-2 | 2000 | 1126 | 874 |
| He-16-3 | 2000 | 26 | 1974 |
| P-2-1A | 500 | 20 | 480 |
| P-2-1B | 1000 | 9 | 991 |
| P-2-2A | 1000 | 60 | 940 |
| P-2-2B | 1000 | 47 | 953 |
| P-2-2C | 1000 | 11 | 989 |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{z}-2 \mathrm{D}$ | 500 | 37 | 463 |
| P-2-2E | 500 | 71 | 429 |
| P-2-3 | 2000 | 50 | 19.50 |
| P-3-1 | 2000 | 44 | 1956 |
| P-3-2 | 1000 | 1 | 999 |
| P-4-1 | 1000 | 12 | 988 |
| P-4-2 | 1000 | 14 | 986 |
| P-4-3 | 1000 | 2 | 998 |
| P-4-4 | 1000 | 123 | 877 |
| P-4-5 | 500 | 0 | 500 |
| P-4-6 | 1000 | 22 | 978 |
| P-5-1 | 2000 | 193 | 1807 |


| SAMPLE | $\mathrm{W}_{1}$ (g) | $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{d}}$ (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P-6-1 | 2000 | 2 | 1998 |
| P-6-2 | 2000 | 101 | 1899 |
| P-7-1 | 795 | 2 | 793 |
| P-7-2 | 2000 | 81 | 1919 |
| $\mathrm{P}-7-3$ | 2000 | 19 | 1981 |
| P-7-4 | 2000 | 18 | 1982 |
| P-8-1 | 2000 | 274 | 1726 |
| P-8-2 | 2000 | 61 | 1939 |
| P-8-3 | 1550 | 74 | 1478 |
| P-8-4 | 2000 | 3 | 1997 |
| P-9-1 | 2000 | 76 | 1924 |
| P-9-2 | 2000 | 22 | 1978 |
| P-9-3 | 1550 | 571 | 979 |
| P-9-4 | 2000 | 99 | 1901 |
| P-9-5 | 780 | 27 | 753 |
| P-9-6 | 2000 | 23 | 1977 |
| P-10-1 | 2000 | 6 | 1994 |
| P-10-2 | 2363 | 257 | 2106 |
| P-10-3 | 2000 | 449 | 1551 |
| P-10-4 | 2000 | 485 | 1515 |
| P-10-5 | 2000 | 157 | 1843 |
| P-11-1 | 2000 | 31 | 1969 |
| P-12-1 | 2000 | 333 | 1667 |
| P-12-2 | 2000 | 137 | 1863 |
| P-12-3 | 2000 | 6 | 1994 |
| P-12-4 | 2000 | 19 | 1981 |
| F-12-5 | 2000 | 485 | 1515 |
| P-12-6 | 2000 | 500 | 1500 |
| P-13-1 | 2000 | 76 | 1924 |
| P-13-2 | 2000 | 335 | 1665 |
| P-13-3 | 2000 | 15 | 1985 |
| P-13-4 | 1950 | 1044 | 906 |
| P-13-5 | 2000 | 451 | 1549 |
| P-13-6 | 2000 | 274 | 1726 |
| P-13-7 | 2000 | 150 | 1850 |
| P-i4-1 | 2000 | 12 | 1988 |
| P-14-2 | 2000 | 26 | 1974 |
| P-14-3 | 2000 | 10 | 1990 |
| P-15-1 | 2000 | 190 | 1810 |
| P-15-1R | 2000 | 194 | 1806 |
| P-15-2 | 2000 | 1 | 1999 |


| SAMPLE | $W_{i}(g)$ | $W_{c}(g)$ | $W_{d}(g)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P-15-3$ | 2000 | 0 | 2000 |
| $P-16-1$ | 2000 | 696 | 1304 |
| $P-16-2$ | 2000 | 133 | 1867 |
| $P-16-3$ | 2000 | 7 | 1993 |
| $P-16-4$ | 2000 | 4 | 1996 |

APPENDIX E

ICHTHYOLITH DATA USED IN ANALYSES

## PART I

## ABUNDANCE DATA USED IN GEOGKAPHIC VARIATION ANALYSES

The data are arranged with the ichthyolith types across the top (columns) and the samples along the side (rows). The data rapresent the number of ichthyoliths per kilogram found in that sample.

| ~c. Gcocc - óćcocićcídód |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ =0000 c: 303 \\ =0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & =000: 95 c c=c \\ & =00: 0 \\ & =0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
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 ㅇำำ $\begin{array}{ccccccc}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & E \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
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## PART II

# PRESENCE-ABSENCE AND RANGE-THROUH DATA USED IN BIOSTRATIGRAPHIC ANALYSES 

The data are arranged with the ichthyolith types across the top (columns) and the samples along the side (rows). A value of 1.000 indicates that the ichthyolith type was present in that sample or ranged through it. A value of 0.0 indicates that the ichthyolith type was absent in that sample and did not range through it.

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{rl:l} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & : & : \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{l:l:llllll} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |
| 0 0 0.80 0 0 0 |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{rl:l:l} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 \end{array}$ | －0ㅇㅇㅇ0000：0000 No： 0.00000000000 | 응00000000000 $\hat{n}-0.00000000000:$ |
| $\quad \therefore 0000000000: 0$ | 0000000000800 <br>  |  |
| ゴゴゴゴゴぶぶ <br>  <br>  | لِّ |  |




|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | जor |  |
|  | N |  |
|  | No: 0 |  |
|  | №. |  <br>  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  <br>  |  |  |


|  |  | 00090000080 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0ㅇ0000:000000! <br>  |  <br>  |  |
|  <br> 人, | NO: O: O: O: O: | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ \sim \\ \sim \end{array}$ |
|  <br>  |  |  |
| K |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |
|  | 00000000800000 <br>  | $\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{array}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{rl} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{rl:l:l:l:l} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ N & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ N & \dot{O} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}$ |  |
|  |  | ypinpupgo=man |


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{rl} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
|  <br>  |  <br>  |  <br>  |


$00000000000: 0-N$
$-0: 000: 0.00: 0: \frac{N}{N}$



$$
\therefore
$$

$0: 0: 0: 0: 0: 0: 0 \tilde{N}^{N}$
> $\therefore \therefore \therefore 0=000000000$ N

 $\therefore 0-0: 00000: 000: 0 N$

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r}\therefore 8 \\ 0.8088 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \therefore \\ \Omega \therefore 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 0 . \\ 0.80 \\ 0.80 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 000000000000 c 요요용ㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅁㅇㅇㅇ mo: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | н 응ㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇ <br>  |
|  |  |  |



|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| N |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| ㅁ. |  |  |
| 三 |  |  |
|  |  |  |


|  |  | $\underset{\sim}{i}=\frac{0}{i}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{ll}0-0 & 0 \\ 00 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.50-500-000-7 \\ & 0.0000000000 \\ & 080008 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{ccccc}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0\end{array}$ |  | -0.0000000000 ت $0^{\circ} 0^{\circ} 0^{\circ} 00^{\circ} 00^{\circ} 00^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$ |
|  | 000000-000000~~ $\therefore 000000000000-$ |  |
|  | 00-50:0-900-0 O <br>  | 000-00000000: V $00: 000: 00: 00:$ |
| $\because 00-00-000000 \frac{\mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{n}}$ $\therefore 0000000000000$ | $000000000-000$ N $\therefore 0: 00: 0000000$ |  |
|  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0.0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}$ |  |  |
|  | 0-0000:00000- ror <br>  |  |
|  |  |  |



## APPENDIX F

LOCALITY DESCRIPTIONS

## APPENDIX F

LOCALITY DESCRIPTIONS

The 16 localities shown in Text-figure 1 represent only generalized collecting sites where a complete section of the Leavenwort'n, Heebner and Plattsmouth members were collected. Several of the localities cousisted of other sublocalities nearby where one or two of these members were actually collected because of their better exposure elsewhere. These sublocalities are indicated in this appendix by small letters (for example, localities 5a and 5b). All information within these descriptions are from von Bitter's field notes. The abbreviation $n_{a . b . " ~ r e f e r s ~ t o ~ " a b o v e ~ b a s e " ~ o f ~ t h a t ~}^{\text {n }}$ particular member. The measurements are given in inches

## Locality Number:

Geographic Coordinates: C NW1/4, Sec 18, T27N, R10E, Osage
County, Oklahoma
Locality Description: Country road cutoff on Highway 99, 6.4 mi nerth of intersection of Highways 60 and $99 ; 1.1$ mi. from Highway 99.

| Member: | Sample(s): |
| :---: | :---: |
| Leavenworth La | Le-4-1 |
| Locality Number: 2 |  |
| Geographic Coordinates: NW1/4, Sec 28, T28N, R10E, Osage |  |
| County, Oklahoma |  |
| Locality Descrip of main road | On SW si |
| Member: | Sample(s): |
| Leavenworth Ls. | Le-5-1 |
| Heebner Sh. | He-4-1 |
| Locality Number: 3a |  |
| Geographic Coordinates: NE1/4, NE1/4, Sec 7, T28N, R105, Osage |  |
| County, Oklahoma |  |
| Locality Description: 5.6 mi . from junction of Culver Ranch |  |
| Road and Highway 99. |  |
| Member: | Sample(s): |
| Leavenworth Ls. | Le-6-1 |
| Locality Number: 3b |  |
| Geographic Coordinates: SW SW Sec 19, T29N, R10E, Osage County, |  |
| Oklahoma |  |
| Locality Descrip | : Roadcut |


| Member: | Sample(s): | Stratigraphic Position: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Heebner Sh. | He-6 (Recoll'n) | 72-84"a.b. |
| Plattsmouth is | P-5-1 | 0-21"a.b. |
| Locality Number: 4 |  |  |
| Geographic Coordinates: SE1/4 NE1/4 Sec 14, T29N, R9E, OsageCounty, Oklahoma |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Locality Description: South of Caney Road. |  |  |
| Member: | Sample(s): | Stratigraphic Position: |
| Leavenworth Ls. | Le-9-1 | 0-15"a.b. |
| Heebner Sh. | He-7 (Recoll'n) | 0-72"a.b. |
| Plattsmouth Ls. | P-6-1 | 0-60"a.b. |
|  | P-6-2 | 10-917a.b. |
| Locality Number: 5a |  |  |
| Geographic Coordinates: W1/2 S1/4 Sec 33, T33N, R11E, Chautauqua |  |  |
| County, Kansas |  |  |
| Locality Description: 1 mz . NW of Sedan, Chautauqua County, |  |  |
| Kansas, on Highway 99 just below quarry. |  |  |
| Member: | Sample(s): | Stratigraphic Position: |
| Leavenworth Ls. | Le-?-1 | 0-21\%a.b. |
| Heebner Sh. | He-2-1 | 0-5na.b. |
|  | He-2-2A | 5-18na.b. |
|  | He-2-2B | 18-41"a.b. |
|  | He-2-2C | 4i-56"a.b. |



| Member: | Sample(s): | Stratigraphic Position: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leavenworth Ls. | Le-7-1 | 0-21.5"a.b. |
| Locality Number: 6b |  |  |
| Geographic Coordinates: SE SE SW Sec 24, T29S, R12E, Elk County, Kansas |  |  |
| Locality Description: Approximately 2 mi. north of Busby. |  |  |
| Member: | Sample(s): | Stratigraphic Position: |
| Heebner Sh. | $\mathrm{He}-8-1$ | 0-26"a.b. |
|  | He-8-2 | 26-60"a.b. |
| Locality Number: 6c |  |  |
| Geographic Coordinates: NW NE Sec 21, T31S, R12E, Elk County, Kansas |  |  |
| Locality Description: In quarry on west side of road, 2 mi . due south of Longton. |  |  |
| Member: | Sample(s): | Stratigraphic Position: |
| Plattsmouth Ls. | P-8-1 | 0-4"a.b. |
|  | P-8-2 | 4-57 ${ }^{\text {na.b. }}$ |
|  | P-8-3 | 57-81"a.b. |
|  | P-8-4 | 81-123 ${ }^{\text {ª.b. }}$ |
| Locality Number: 7a |  |  |
| Geographic Coord | S Sec 32 | 13E, Greenwood County, |

Kansas

| Member: | Sample(s): | Stratigraphic Position: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leavenwo:th Ls. | Le-8-1 | 0-15.5"a.b. |
| Heebner Sh. | He-5-1 | 0-15 ${ }^{\text {na.b. }}$ |
|  | He-5-2 | 15-30"a.b. |

## Locality Number: 7b

Geographic Coordinates: SW NE Sec 26, T27S, R12E, Greenwood
County, Kansas
Locality Description: Exposed section in quarry.

| Member: | Sample(s): | Stratigraphic Position: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plattsmouth Ls. | P-7-1 | 0-4 ${ }^{\text {ra.b. }}$ |
|  | P-7-2 | 4-43'a.b. |
|  | P-7-3 | 43-97"a.b. |
|  | P-7-4 | 97-140"a.b. |

Locality Number: 8a
Geographic Coordinates: SE1/4 Sec 2, T22S, R15E, Coffey County, Kansas

Locality Description: Quarry $1 / 4 \mathrm{mi}$. west of the Neosho River, 2 mi . south of Burlington, Kansas.

Member: Sample(s): Stratigraphic Positon:
Leavenworth Ls. Le-3-1
0-11"a.b.
Heebner Sh.
He-3-1
0-1.5"a.b.

| He-3-2 | 1.5-27.5 ${ }^{\text {IIa.b. }}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| He-3-3 | 27.5-43.5na.b. |
| He-3-4 | 43.5-56.5na.b. |

## Locality Number: 8b

Geographic Ccordinates: C Sec 14, T21S, R15E, Coffey County,
Kansas


Locality Number: 9
Geographic Coordinates: EWL SE1/4 Sec 3, T18S, R16E, Osage

County, Kansas
Locality Description: Roadcut 1 mi . due east of Melvern, Osage County, Kansas.

| Member: | Sample(s): | Stratigraphic Position: |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Plattsmouth Ls. | P-9-1 | $0-33^{n} \mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{b}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{P}-9-2$ | $33-105.5^{\text {na.b. }}$ |
|  | P-9-3 | $105.5-113.5^{\text {na.b. }}$ |


| P-9-4 | $113.5-188.5^{\text {na.b. }}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| P-9-5 | $188.5-190.5^{\text {na.b. }}$ |
| $3-9-6$ | $190.5-276.5^{\text {na.b. }}$ |

Locality Number: 10
Geographic Coordinates: SW NW Sec8, T18S, R18E, Franklin County, Kansas

Locality Description: Approximately 2 mi. east of Williamsburg, north of excavations for Interstate-35.

| Member: | Sample(s): | Stratigraphic Position: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leavenworth Ls. | Le-10-1 | 0-15"a.b. |
| Heebner Sh. | He-9-1 | 0-28"a.b. |
|  | He-9-2 | 28-56"a.b. |

Locality Number: 11 a
Geographic Coordinates: SW SE Sec 33, T8S, R22E, Leavenworth County, Kansas

Locality Description: Outcrop located on west side near top of hill.

| Member: | Sample(s): | Stratigraphic Position: |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Leavenworth Ls. | Le-11-1 | $0-21$ na.b. |

Locality Number: 11b
Geographic Coordinates: C Se:c 33, T8S, R22E, Leavenworth County,

Kansas


Locality Number: 11c
Geographic Coordinates: NW SE Sec 2, T9S, R21E, Leavenworth County, Kansas

Locality Description: Hamm Quarry, west of Leavenworth, Kansas.
Member: Sample(s): Stratigraphic Position:
Plattsmouth Ls.

| P-10-1 | 0-55"a.b. |
| :---: | :---: |
| P-10-2 | 55-77 ${ }^{\text {ª.b. }}$ |
| P-10-3 | 77-97"a.b. |
| P-10-4 | 97-165 ${ }^{\text {n }}$. b. |
| P-10-5 | 165-199na.b. |

Locality Number: 12
Geographic Coordinates: NW SW NE Sec 22, T55N, R37W, Buchanan County, Missouri

Locality Description: Quarry in high bank or bluffs above Missouri River floodplain; 4 mi . east of Atchison, Kansas, and 0.9 mi . north of corner of intersection of 59 and 45.

| Member: | Sample(s): | Stratigraphic Position: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leavenworth Ls. | Le-12-1 | 0-22"a.b. |
| Heebner Sh. | He-11-1 | u-20゙a.b. |
|  | He-19-2 | 28-52'a.b. |
|  | He-11-3 | 52-70"a.b. |
|  | He-11-4 | 70-74"a.b. |
| Plattsmouth Ls. | P-12-1 | 0-6"a.b. |
|  | P-12-2 | 6-14"a.b. |
|  | P-12-3 | 14-39"a.b. |
|  | P-12-4 | 39-112na.b. |
|  | P-12-5 | 112-187"a.b. |
|  | P-12-6 | 187-248na.b. |
| Locality Number: 13a |  |  |
| Geographic Coordinates: N1/2 Sec 34, T59N, R35W, Andrew County, |  |  |
| Missouri |  |  |
| Locality Description: Gordon Brothers Quarry, 400-500 ft. west |  |  |
| of Cumberland Ridge Creek, south of Savannah. Leavenworth |  |  |
| sampled in northwest part of quarry in drainage ditch. |  |  |
| Plattsmouth sampled from southwest portion of quarry. |  |  |
| Member: | Sample(s): | Stratigraphic Position: |
| Leavenworth Ls. | Le-13-1 | 0-21"a.b. |
| Plattsmouth Ls. | P-13-1 | 0-9"a.b. |
|  | P-13-2 | 9-26「a.b. |
|  | P-13-3 | 26-106"a.b. |


| $\mathrm{P}-13-4$ | $106-113^{\text {na. }} \mathrm{b}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{P}-13-5$ | $113-162^{\text {na.b. }}$ |
| $\mathrm{P}-13-6$ | $162-182^{\text {na.b. }}$ |
| $\mathrm{P}-13-7$ | $182-242^{\text {na.b. }}$ |

Locality Number: 13b
Geographic Coordinates: Sec 19, T58N, R35W, Andrew County, Missouri

Locality Description: A few hundred feet nort? of Buchanan, Andrew County, Missouri, on the north slope of brook, 200-300 ft. west of local dump.

| Member: | Sample(s): | Stratigraphic Position: |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Heebner Sh. | $\mathrm{He}-13-1$ | $0-23^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{b}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{He}-13-2$ | $23-50^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{b}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{He}-13-3$ | $50-56^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{b}$. |

Locality Number: 14
Geographic Coordinates: NW1/2 Sec 15, T12N ${ }_{s}$ R10E, Cass County, Nebraska

Locality Description: Johannsen Quarry, west of south bend, Cass County, Nebraska. Samples taken from high on bluff.

| Member: | Sample(s): | Stratigraphic Position: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leavenworth Ls. | Le-14-1 | 0-217a.b. |
| Heebner Sh. | He-14-1 | 0-24.5'a.b. |
|  | He-14-2 | 24.5-40"a.b. |


|  | He-14-3 | 40-61*a.b. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plattsmouth Ls. | P-14-1 | 0-10"a.b. |
|  | P-14-2 | 10-42.5'a.b. |
|  | $\mathrm{P}-14-3$ | 42.5-88а. ${ }^{\text {¹ }}$ |
| Locality Number: 15 |  |  |
| Geographic Coordinates: SE1/4 Ne1/4 Sec 16, T75N, R37W: Cass |  |  |
| County, Iowa |  |  |
| Locality Description: Rock cut in Nishnabotna River. |  |  |
| Member: | Sample(s): | Stratigraphic Position: |
| Captain Creek Ls. | Le-15-1 | 0-31ra.b. |
| Eudora Sh. | He-15-1 | 0-9"a.b. |
|  | He-15-2 | 9-16"a.b. |
|  | He-15-3 | 16-37 $\quad$ a.b. |
| Stoner Ls. | P-15-1 | 0-32na.b. |
|  | P-15-2 | 32-78na.b. |
|  | P-15-3 | 78-128"a.b., |
| Locality Number: 16 |  |  |
| Geographic Coordinates: SW1/4 SW1/4 NW1/4 Sec 7, T75N, R29W, |  |  |
| Madison County, Iowa |  |  |
| Locality Description: Streambank near Madison-Adair Couniy line. |  |  |
| Member: | Sample(s): | Stratigraphic Position: |
| Captain Creek Ls. | Le-16-1 | 0-12"a.b. |
| Eudora Sh. | He-16-1 | 0-6.5na.b. |


|  | He-16-2 | 6.5-18.5 ${ }^{\text {na.b. }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{He}-16-3$ | 18.5-37"a.b. |
| Stoner Ls. | P-16-1 | 0-18"a.b. |
|  | P-16-2 | 18-67"a.b. |
|  | P-16-3 | 67-148.5na.b. |
|  | P-16-4 | .5-171.5"a.b. |

