OKLAHOMA COOPERATIVE EXTENSION SERVICE AGEC-241



Wheat Grazeout versus Harvest for Grain^{*}

Damona Doye Extension Economist Nikki Coe Extension Assistant

One of the most helpful practices the farm manager adopts is planning for the future. Planning includes taking an inventory of resources, considering alternative uses for resources, estimating costs, and returns associated with the alternate uses, and choosing the "best" alternative. The manager can organize financial and physical plans by budgeting.

Changes do not require a complete reorganization of the farm or ranch. The manager can use resources in more than one way when responding to changes in product price levels and cropping patterns. Partial budgets are useful in evaluating changes such as expanding an enterprise, adding a new enterprise, changing production practices, and buying new machinery.

Principles of Partial Budgeting

Partial budgets estimate the economic effect of minor adjustments in some part of the farm business. With partial budgeting, the manager assumes that many aspects of the business are fixed in the short-term. He or she uses partial budgets to evaluate changes in resources that are not fixed. Partial budgeting is based on the principle that a small change in the organization of a farm business will eliminate or reduce Oklahoma Cooperative Extension Fact Sheets are also available on our website at: http://osufacts.okstate.edu

some costs and returns, add costs, and/or add revenues. The net economic effect of a change will be the sum of the positive economic effects minus the sum of the negative effects.

Wheat Grazeout versus Wheat Harvest for Grain

A partial budget (Table 1) may be used to decide whether to graze out or harvest wheat for grain. The decision is made around March 1 and is based on expected yields and prices of wheat and stockers. Prices are a main determinant in the decision and are estimated for the future. By combining known figures with estimates of future yields and prices, the farm manager can compare alternative plans of action for profitability. The data on prices and yields are based on representative budgets for wheat and stockers for northwesterm Oklahoma. Listed below are price and yield estimates for the applicable period. This budget is prepared on a per-head basis with a stocking rate, for an additional 60 days, of one steer per acre of wheat. Additional information includes:

Additional Costs 1		Additional Returns	
Interest on investment	\$ 9.81	Steers: 790 lbs. x \$.83/lb.	\$ 655.70
Additional vet., feed, etc.	6.00		
Reduced Returns		Reduced Costs	
Steers: 640 lbs. x \$0.92/lb.	\$ 588.80	Harvesting	
		\$12/a + (\$0.12/bu. x 10)	\$ 13.20
Wheat sales:		Hauling:	
30 bu. x \$2.70/bu.	81.00	\$0.12 x 30 bu./acre	3.60
Total annual additional costs		Total annual additional returns	
and reduced returns	\$ 685.61 (A)	and reduced costs	\$ 672.50 (B)
			- 685.61 (A)
		Net change in income (B - A)	\$ -13.11

Situation: Should I leave stockers on wheat pasture for 60 days rather than remove stockers and combine wheat?

Table 1. Partial Budget, Wheat Grazeout versus Harvest for Grain.

¹ Estimates are based on representative budgets from northwest Oklahoma.

^{*} adapted from OSU Fact Sheet No. 142 (Partial Budgeting in Farm Management, Kuhlman, Casey and Jobes, Jan. 1978)

Rate of gain per steer = 2.5 lbs./day (60 days) Choice Steers: 640 lbs. on March 10 at \$0.92/lb = \$588.80 Choice Steers: 790 lbs. on May 10 at \$0.83/lb = \$655.70 Wheat yield = 30 bu./acre Wheat price = \$2.70/bu. Interest on investment (livestock) = \$9.81/steer Additional veterinary expenses, feed, etc. = \$6/steer

Custom combining = \$12/acre + (\$0.12/bu. over

20 bu.) = \$13.20/acre

Custom hauling = \$0.12/bu. x 30 bu./acre = \$3.60/acre

Components of the Partial Budget

The typical partial budget consists of these components: additional costs, reduced returns, additional returns, reduced costs, totals of the first two and the second two, and the net difference. These categories are used to estimate the effects of a proposed change in business organization. In the left column, negative economic effects resulting from the proposed change are estimated; in the right column, positive economic effects are summarized.

Additional costs are those that will occur if the change takes place. However, this does not include costs that are common to both the present and proposed business operation (any cost that does not change will not be included in the partial budget). In our example, the additional costs category includes costs that are incurred by keeping the steers through May 15. Interest expense is increased because the cattle are held two and one-half months longer. Veterinary, feed, and other costs will increase as well (Table 1). It is important to note that these costs are incurred only when the cattle are kept, not if they are sold.

Reduced returns is the income that would not be received under the proposed change. In our example, reduced returns include the value for the calves which could be sold now plus the wheat sales not received when the grain is grazed out. The yield and prices shown are estimates based on current expectations. Totaling the left column shows a figure of \$685.61. This represents the total negative economic impact of the proposal on the farm operation.

In our example, additional returns are the added receipts that will be received if the alternative plan is adopted. Additional returns include the value of beef grown from March 10 to May 10 at a stocking rate of one steer per acre gaining two and a half pounds per day. The listed weight is an average over all animals, but the price is an actual steer price in the weight range at the time of sale.

Reduced costs are those that will no longer be incurred if the change is initiated. Reduced costs include the costs of custom combining and hauling which are not incurred if the wheat is grazed out. Rates should be representative of current rates in the region.

Additional returns and reduced costs are totaled at the bottom of the column. The net difference between positive and negative economic effects is an estimate of the net effect of making the proposed change. A positive net difference indicates the potential increase in net returns if the change is made. Conversely, a negative net change in income is an estimate of the reduction in net returns if the change is adopted.

In our example, the total of the Additional Returns/Reduced Costs column is \$672.50 and the total of the Additional Costs/Reduced Returns is \$685.61. Subtracting the total of column A from B yields a net value of \$-13.11. This represents the amount of economic loss with grazing out the wheat rather than selling the steers on March 1 and combining the wheat. Note that with different prices, the conclusion could be different. In some year, grazing out is the more profitable option so having accurate price forecasts is critical. The reasoning behind the budget formulation is simple.

Partial Budgeting Process

The success of the partial budget depends on the accuracy of the information and estimates it contains. The farm manager must collect pertinent, factual data about each proposed change and provide reasonable estimates of future prices, yields, gains, etc. Factual information includes current production costs, costs of capital, current prices for products such as grain or livestock, etc.

Estimating future unknowns, particularly prices is difficult. The manager must estimate yields and prices to determine the returns given up and received. Yield estimates can be obtained from several sources. The best source is an individual's farm records. The farm records will show the history of production. This, combined with an assessment of current crop conditions, should closely predict future yields, given normal weather and other conditions. Other sources of yield estimates are neighboring farm histories, OSU research reports showing average yields, and the farm manager's previous experience. A combination of these sources should provide a close estimate of projected yields.

Future product prices are difficult to predict. Agricultural economists, USDA statisticians, and futures markets all provide information on the trend of prices and national crop conditions. However, it will be unusual to find a predicted price for a product on a particular day. Using information published by the above sources as well as the manager's intuition will provide the best estimate on future product prices. Using a range of prices – low, medium, and high – to evaluate changes reveals the price sensitivity of the projected change.

The partial budget is ready to be developed after all pertinent data are assembled. A blank worksheet is included at the end of this publication. The cost of the proposed change is calculated for each of the categories. Only the costs and returns that will change by adopting the alternate plan are analyzed in the partial budget. The unit used to analyze the change may be any size, for example, the whole crop, one acre of the crop, one head of cattle, or the entire herd. The column totals show the negative and positive economic aspects of the proposed change. Subtract the left column total from the right column total to obtain a net amount that reflects the change in net farm income if the proposed alternative is adopted.

A positive net change says it would be economically beneficial to proceed with the alternate plan. A negative amount implies that it would not be economically profitable to proceed with the change. Two notes of caution: 1) The value of this analysis using partial budgeting is only as accurate as the data used. 2) The partial budget does not necessarily include "cash flow" tied to capital purchases (for instance, machinery). Cash flow is addressed in OSU Extension Fact Sheet 751. After the analysis has been performed, the result should be multiplied as necessary to show the economic impact on the entire farm situation.

Table 2. Net change in income for graze-out stockers versus wheat harvest under different price scenarios.

	_	Steer Sale Prices			
		\$0.78/lb.	\$0.83/lb.	\$0.88/lb.	
Wheat	\$2.20/bu.	-37.61	1.89	41.39	
Prices	\$2.70/bu.	-52.61	-13.11	26.39	
	\$3.20/bu.	-67.61	-28.11	11.39	

Sensitivity Analysis

To determine the sensitivity of the results to the price and yield assumptions, it is useful to calculate the net change in income under different scenarios. Table 2 provides an example of a price sensitivity table. For a given steer price, grazing out becomes less profitable (or more unprofitable) as wheat price increases. In our example, grazing out wheat is more profitable than harvesting wheat if steer prices are \$0.88/lb. or greater and wheat prices are below \$3.20/bu. On the other hand, harvesting wheat is more profitable than grazing it out if stockers are \$0.78/lb. and wheat prices are above \$2.20/bu.

The data in this example are based on representative budgets. Individual farmers should modify the estimates to more closely conform to their actual situations. Additionally, individual farmers may find some of the included costs not applicable to their situation or have other costs that should be included. Remember that the partial budget includes only the costs that change. Costs that cannot be affected should not be included in this analysis.

Conclusions

This partial budget fact sheet presents a simplified procedure to aid producers in everyday decision-making. This design is not for total farm planning, but rather to estimate the economic consequences of making a change in some phase of the farm operation. Partial budgeting is a step-by-step process for identifying all the costs and returns that change due to alterations in the production process. Once these costs and returns are identified, they are weighed against each other to estimate the economic consequences of the change. The results can only be as good as the data used. Therefore, care should be taken when estimating values for the various categories. In addition, sensitivity test for values such as yields and prices should be developed to highlight their effect on the ultimate outcome.



The Oklahoma Cooperative Extension Service Bringing the University to You!

The Cooperative Extension Service is the largest, most successful informal educational organization in the world. It is a nationwide system funded and guided by a partnership of federal, state, and local governments that delivers information to help people help themselves through the land-grant university system.

Extension carries out programs in the broad categories of agriculture, natural resources and environment; family and consumer sciences; 4-H and other youth; and community resource development. Extension staff members live and work among the people they serve to help stimulate and educate Americans to plan ahead and cope with their problems.

Some characteristics of the Cooperative Extension system are:

- The federal, state, and local governments cooperatively share in its financial support and program direction.
- It is administered by the land-grant university as designated by the state legislature through an Extension director.
- Extension programs are nonpolitical, objective, and research-based information.

- It provides practical, problem-oriented education for people of all ages. It is designated to take the knowledge of the university to those persons who do not or cannot participate in the formal classroom instruction of the university.
- It utilizes research from university, government, and other sources to help people make their own decisions.
- More than a million volunteers help multiply the impact of the Extension professional staff.
- It dispenses no funds to the public.
- It is not a regulatory agency, but it does inform people of regulations and of their options in meeting them.
- Local programs are developed and carried out in full recognition of national problems and goals.
- The Extension staff educates people through personal contacts, meetings, demonstrations, and the mass media.
- Extension has the built-in flexibility to adjust its programs and subject matter to meet new needs. Activities shift from year to year as citizen groups and Extension workers close to the problems advise changes.

Oklahoma State University, in compliance with Title VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Executive Order 11246 as amended, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, and other federal laws and regulations, does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, age, religion, disability, or status as a veteran in any of its policies, practices, or procedures. This includes but is not limited to admissions, employment, financial aid, and educational services.

Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension work, acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Robert E. Whitson, Director of Cooperative Extension Service, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma. This publication is printed and issued by Oklahoma State University as authorized by the Vice President, Dean, and Director of the Division of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources and has been prepared and distributed at a cost of 20 cents per copy. 0702