INSURANCE ON SCHOOL
PROPERTY IN KIOWA
COUNTY FROM
1927-'28 TO 1936-'37

100 % RAG U.S.A.

INSURANCE ON SCHOOL PROPERTY

IN KIOWA COUNTY

OKLAHOMA

FROM 1927-'28 TO 1936-'37

LIBRARY

NOV 12 1938

A 数据的对用。1994

THE WATER OWLA

Ву

A. J. BISHOP

Bachelor of Science

Southwestern State Teachers College

Weatherford, Oklahoma

1931

Bachelor of Arts Southwestern State Teachers College Weatherford, Oklahoma 1935

Submitted to the School of Education Oklahoma Agricultural and Mechanical College In Partial Fulfillment of the requirements

> For the Degree of MASTER OF SCIENCE

> > 1938

APPROVED:

In Charge of Thesis

Head of Department of Education

Dean of Graduate School

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Sincere thanks are hereby expressed to Dr. N. Conger,
Dean of the School of Education, for his interest and assistance. Appreciation is also extended to Dr. Haskell
Pruett, Associate Professor of Education, for his helpful
suggestions and criticisms. I am also indebted to Dewey
Beason, county superintendent of Kiowa County for granting
the use of records in his office and providing favorable
contacts with insurance agents over the county and to various fire insurance agents and agencies for their helpfulness in furnishing certain necessary data.

# CONTENTS

CHAPT	Page
I.	Introduction
	A. The purpose of the study B. The method of the study C. Means of collecting data
II.	Explanation of tables 5
III.	Findings and conclusions 58
IV.	Recommendations 64
	Bibliography 65

# TABLES

Number	Pe	ige
ı.	The Status of a Typical Consolidated District in Kiowa County, 1932-'331936-'37	6
II.	The Status of Insurance on a Typical Rural District Number 25, 1927-'281936-'37	13
II A.	The Status of Insurance on a Typical Rural District Number 20, 1927-'281936-'37	14
III.	Distribution of Policies among Companies	15
IV.	Type of Company, Kind of Policy, Number of Policies in Force, and the Number of changes of Company of fifty Rural Schools in Kiowa County	16
٧.	Frequency of Renewal of Policy and the Term of Policy for Fifty Rural Schools in Kiowa County .	19
VI.	Distribution of the Three Types of Policies as to Term for a ten-year Period	22
VII.	A Tabulation of the Status of Insurance on eight Consolidated Districts in Kiowa County from 1932-'33 to 1936-'37	23
VIII.	Ratio of Amount of Insurance and Value of Property from 1927-'28 to 1936-'37	28
IX.	Ratio of Amount of Insurance and Value of Property on Rural Schools by years	41
x.	Ratio of Amount of Insurance and Value of Property on Consolidated Schools by the year	42
XI.	Ratio of Loss to Premium for the Rural Schools .	44
XII.	Ratio of Loss to Premium by years on Fifty Rural Schools	56
XIII.	Ratio of Loss to Premium by years on Eight Consolidated Schools	56
XIV.	Comparison of Loss Ratio with that of the State and Nation	57

### CHAPTER I

#### INTRODUCTION

There has been much discussion by school men over the State of Oklahoma for the past decade concerning insurance on school property. It has been thought by many that the schools over the state are paying too much for the amount of risk taken. Some have advocated a state plan of insurance that would include all state property as well as public school property. Some few legislators have been bold enough to encourage such a plan. All this discussion has been prompted by nothing more than a feeling that the schools were paying too much for their risk.

There has been no systematic study made of the status of insurance on school property in Oklahoma. A few states have done some little research on this problem, but very few accomplished much toward the solution.

These mentioned conditions would lead one to believe that some definite facts concerning the status of insurance on school property should be obtained. A study covering the entire state would be desirable, but not possible, since it could not be made in detail. It is not general information that is needed, but the more detailed facts. In view of this need, this study proposes to make a detailed report on the conditions of insurance affecting school property in Kiowa County, as far as the data is available.

A division of the schools is made, which corresponds closely with the division made by the State Department of

Public Instruction. The town and consolidated schools compose the first division, and the rural schools make up the second.

In Kiowa County there are eleven town and consolidated districts and fifty-one rural districts. Either partial or complete data were obtained on eight of the eleven town and consolidated districts. Complete data were available on the majority of the rural districts. In a few cases the data on the first two or three years could not be had. The city of Hobart was omitted from the study because it did not seem to fit into either of the classifications made, and complete data were not available. The officials of one school and the agent writing the insurance refused to furnish the information necessary for the study. The data sheet for a third was lost after it was too late to secure it a second time.

When the study was first begun, an effort was made to obtain data from the Insurance Department and the Insurance Audit Company. The Insurance Department keeps records only on those losses that are thought to be a little "shady."

The records of the Insurance Audit Company are destroyed soon after the loss is adjusted.

It was expected that the necessary facts would be a part of the public records of the county. However, the only record that is made of any transaction concerning insurance on school property is that of the registration of the warrants in the office of the county treasurer issued for the payment of the premiums. Until 1934 the registration did

not show the purpose of the warrant. This made it almost impossible to determine the exact warrant issue for payment of insurance premium, unless the name of the agent writing the insurance was known.

The county superintendent began in 1932 to require the clerk of the school board to include in his annual report a partial status of the insurance then in force. This includes the value of the property, the amount of the insurance, the company insuring, and the amount of the premium. After the investigation was started, it was found that this report could not be relied upon.

The failure to find information that was reliable made it necessary to look elsewhere. It became evident that the only reliable data were on policies then in force or that had expired. Very few of the district clerks had a copy of the policies then in force, and none of those that had expired. The agencies writing the insurance had a copy of the ones that had expired during the ten-year period covered in the study.

Of the twenty-two agents or agencies interviewed, all except one were very accommodating and as helpful as could be desired.

The method of keeping a record on a policy varied with the individual agents according to the size of the agency. The small agencies were furnished with a large register in which a copy of each policy was pasted. A daily record was kept showing: date of writing, face of policy, kind and location of property, rate, and amount of premium on each policy. In addition to this the larger agencies had a card index showing a cumulative record over a period of years.

This investigation proposes to show: first, the status of the insurance on the property of a typical consolidated school for the period 1932-1937 inclusive; second, the same for a rural district for the ten-year period 1927-1937; third, types of companies and distribution of policies; fourth, types of policies in force; fifth, number of policies and changes in each district; sixth, length of term of policies; seventh, ratio of premium and loss; eighth, ratio of valuation and amount of insurance. These facts are shown in tables numbered I to VIII.

### CHAPTER II

### EXPLANATION OF TABLES

Table I shows the complete status of the insurance on the building of District No. 3, which is considered a typical district of this group. There are two buildings with policies written separately. However, the two buildings are considered as one, because the study concerns districts and not individual buildings. The names of the various companies are represented by letters. The table is divided into five parts, A, B, C, D, and E, representing the five years covered by the study. There are three types of policies: policies written against fire loss alone; policies written against wind-storm, which includes hail; and a policy that combines the two as a combined policy.

It is evident from the table that the school was underinsured for the years 1932-'33 and 1933-'34. In fact it is
very doubtful if the property was properly insured any time
during the period. It is also noticeable that more windstorm insurance was carried than fire insurance.

There is a difference in the premiums on the same size policies in the same year and in different years. This is due to the variance of rates on different type buildings and to a reduction in rates made during the period. The term of the policies changed considerably during the five years. In 1932-'33 there were no policies written for a longer period than a year, while in 1936-'37 there were several written for a three-year period.

TABLE I

1932-133

# THE STATUS OF A TYPICAL CONSOLIDATED

# DISTRICT

TWO BUILDINGS VALUE \$60,000

Company		Kind A	and Amount	of Policy		Premium		Term	Loss
		Combined	: : Fire	: Wind-storm:	Combined	Fire	Wind-storm		
A B C C	YA STATE OF THE ST	\$2,000 2,500	\$2,000 4,000 3,000		\$35.20 21.25	\$30.20 60.40 45.30		1 1 1 1 1	\$21.00
A E C C		::::	2,000	\$7,000 6,000		30.20	\$10.50  9.00	1 1 1	
Total		\$4,500 Total	\$12,000 Amount	\$13,000 \$29,500	\$56.45 Total	\$183.70 Premium	\$19.50 \$258.65		\$21.00

TABLE I (continued) 1933-\*34

Company	Kind	And Amount	of Policy :		Premium		Term	Loss
	: Combined	Fire	Wind-storm:	Combined	Fire	Wind-storm		
CCCFB	\$2,500	\$2,000 2,000 2,000	\$3,000	\$21.25	\$30.20 35.20 30.20	\$4.50	1 1 1 1 1	
A G F F		3,000	7,000 2,000 6,000		45.30 75.50	8.75 2.50 7.50	3 3 3 1 1	
E J J	::::	2,000	4,000		30.20	5.40	1 1 1	
Total	\$2,500 Total	\$17,000 Amount	\$24,500 \$44,000	\$21.25 Total	\$254.50 Premium	\$32.03 \$307.78		0.00

TABLE I (continued)
1934-'35

Company	Kind An	nd Amount	of Policy		Premium		Term	Loss
	Combined	Fire	Wind-storm:	Combined	Fire	Wind-storm:		
A			\$7,000			\$8.75	3	
G			2,000			2.50	3	
F			6,000			7.50	3 3	
A G F K		\$3,000			\$27.60		1	
В		2,000	••••		18.00		1	
C	\$2,500			\$35.00			1	\$23.43
C			3,000			4.05	1	
F		2,000			23.40		1	
В		2,000			18.40		1	
C F B K	2,500			18.32	••••	••••	1	
J			2,000			2.24	3	
ı			7,000			9.45	3	
J		3,000			23.00		3	
H		5,000			46.00		1	
J J H E		2,000			18.40	****	ī	
-		3 000			7.90		1	
J C		1,000	e 500			8.78	1	****
1		*****	6,500				1	****
C	*****	*****	6,000		*****	8.10	1	
C		2,000			11.70	*****	1	

TABLE I (continued)

Total	\$5,000 Total	\$22,000 Amount	\$39,500 \$66,500	\$53.32 Total	\$194.40 Premium	\$51.37		\$23.43
			1	935-136	Standard mindelinder und eine eine geschliche der der einem der		redering and an executive state of the second	
Company	Kind A	And Amount	of Policy :		Premium		Term	Loss
Action and the second s	Combined:	Fire	Wind-storm:	Combined	Fire	Wind-storm		
A G J J C	\$2,500	\$3,000	\$7,000 2,000 6,000	\$15.00	\$23.00	\$8.75 2.50 7.50	3 3 3 1	
C B K F B	2,500	2,000 2,000 2,000	3,000	17.50	18.40 18.40 18.40	4.05	1 1 1 1	\$200.00
J H I		2,000 5,000	7,000 6,500	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	18.40 40.60 6.00	7.56 7.02	1 1 1	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

TABLE I (continued)

# 1935-136

		*****		2,000		6,000	:::::		11.60 8.80	6.48	1 1	::::
Total		\$5,000		\$20,000		\$37,500	\$32.50		\$163.60	\$43.86		200.00
	:	Total	Am	ount	_	\$62,500 19	Total 36-'37	P	remium	\$239.96		
Company		Kind A	And	Amount	01	Policy		P	remium		Term	Loss
		Combined	:	Fire		Wind-storm:	Combined		Fire	Wind-storm		
J K C C		\$2,500		\$3,000 3,000 2,000		\$2,000	\$11.25		\$23.00 20.40 13.60	\$2.24	3 1 1 1	
K B F A		2,500		2,000		7,000	13.95		13.60 17.60 11.33	6.30	1 1 3 3 3	
J						7,000				7.56	1	

TABLE I (continued)

# 1936-37

		Total	Amount	\$96,500	Total	Premium	\$343.05		
Total	\$1	.0,000	\$35,000	\$51,500	\$50.40	\$241.09	\$51.56		0.00
j			3,000	*****	:	17.00	*****	i	*****
J				2,000			1.80	1	
F			2,000		••••	17.00		1	
B			2,000			13.60		ī	
K		2,500	*****	*****	13.95		*****	1	*****
K C K B		2,500	3,000		11.25	20.40		1	
C			•••••	6,000	*****	••••	6.48	1	••••
C			1,000			8.80		1	
J			2,000			11.16		ī	
Ţ			1,000	6,500	:::::	6.00	7.02	1	:::::
C		•••••	5,000	•••••	•••••	34.00	••••	1	
E		*****	2,000	*****	*****	13.60		1	
F E C				8,000	• • • • •		7.20	3	
F				6,000		*****	5.40	3	

Tables II and II-A show the status of insurance on Districts No. 20 and No. 25 respectively. These two districts can be taken as representative of rural districts in Kiowa County for the ten-year period from 1927-'28 to 1936-'37. The three types of policies as to length of term are contained in one of the two tables. The value of the building in District No. 25 varies somewhat over the period of years. It is to be remembered that the values are estimates placed on the buildings each year at the time the clerk makes the annual report to the county superintendent.

Table III shows a distribution of the policies written on the town and consolidated schools for a five-year period and those written on the rural schools for a ten-year period. The companies are listed alphabetically instead of by the number of policies written because there are policies written in two divisions. E denotes foreign companies, companies whose home officies are not located in Oklahoma; D denotes domestic companies, companies with home offices in Oklahoma.

Table IV reviews the types of policies, the number of policies in force at any one time, and the change in the companies. <u>F. U.</u> denotes Farmers Union, <u>S</u>, stock companies. Where the district changed companies that were of different types, the number of years in each company is shown by a small figure before the letter. <u>C</u> denotes combined policy; <u>F & WS</u> is used to show that separate fire and wind-storm policies are written. The numbers indicate the number of years for which each was written.

TABLE II

THE STATUS OF INSURANCE ON A

TYPICAL RURAL DISTRICT

from 1927-'28 to 1936-'37

DISTRICT NO. 20

Year	Value of Bldg.	Amt. of Policy	Premium	Type of Policy	Term of Policy	Loss
1927-128	\$5,000	\$1,500	\$22.50	Combined	3 yr.	\$0.00
1928-'29	5,000	1,500	22.50	Combined	3 yr.	0.00
1929-'30	5,000	1,500	23.04	Combined	3 yr.	0.00
1930-'31	5,000	1,500	23.04	Combined	3 yr.	0.00
1931-'32	5,000	1,500	23.04	Combined	3 yr.	0.00
1932-'33	4,500	1,500	23.04	Combined	3 yr.	0.00
1933-'34	4,500	1,500	23.04	Combined	3 yr.	0.00
1934-'35	4,500	1,500	23.04	Combined	3 yr.	0.00
1935-*36	4,500	1,500	18.85	Combined	1 yr.	0.00
1936-'37	4,500	1,500	18.85	Combined	l yr.	0.00

TABLE II A

THE STATUS OF INSURANCE ON A

TYPICAL RURAL DISTRICT

from 1927-'28 to 1936-'37

DISTRICT 25

Year	Value of Bldg.	Amt. of Policy	Premium	Type of Policy	Term of Policy	Loss
1927-128	\$4,000	\$3,000	\$77.61	Combined	5 yr.	\$25.00
1928-129	4,000	3,000	77.61	Combined	5 yr.	0.00
1929-*30	4,000	3,000	77.61	Combined	5 yr.	0.00
1930-*31	4,000	3,000	77.61	Combined	5 yr.	0.00
1931-*32	4,000	3,000	77.61	Combined	5 yr.	0.00
1932-133	2,500	1,800	43.27	Combined	3 yr.	0.00
1933-134	2,500	1,800	43.27	Combined	3 yr.	0.00
1934-*35	2,500	1,800	43.27	Combined	3 yr.	0.00
1935-*36	3,000	1,800	43.27	Combined	3 yr.	0.00
1936-137	3,000	1,800	43.27	Combined	3 yr.	0.00

TABLE III
DISTRIBUTION OF POLICIES AMONG COMPANIES

Company	Consol- idated	Rural	Type of Company
	: 5 yr.	:10 yr:	
Aetna Ins. Co.	10	37	F
Central States Fire Ins. Co.	7	-	F
Citizens Ins. Co.	5		F
Commercial Union Fire Ins. Co.	17	1	F
Connecticut Fire Ins. Co.	13		F
Continental Fire Ins. Co.	3	11	F
Equitable F. & M. Ins. Co.	-	7	F
Farmers Union Ins. Co.	-	13	D
Fidelity & Guaranty Fire Corp.	11	1	F
Fidelity Pheonix Ins. Co.	20	9	F
Fire Association of Philadelphia	6		F
Franklin Fire Ins. Co.	6	-	F
Great American Fire Ins. Co.	10	-	F
Hartford Fire Ins. Co.	12	22	F
Home Ins. Co.	3	2	F
Liverpool & London & Globe Ins. Co.	3		F
National Fire Ins. Co.	9	4	F
New England Fire Ins. Co.	4	-	F
New York Underwriters	3	-	F
North American Ins. Co.	3	11	F
North British & Merc'l. Ins. Co.	4	-	F
Northern Assurance Co.	6	-	F
Oklahoma Standard Ins. Co.	-	1	D
Pheonix Fire Ins. Co.	5	-	F
Pennsylvania Fire Ins. Co.	8		F
Philadelphia Fire Ins. Co.	-	4	F
Quaker City Ins. Co.	20	-	F
Retailors Fire Ins. Co.	3	-	F F F
St. Paul Fire & Marine Ins. Co.	3 9 5	6	F
Sentinel Fire Ins. Co.	5	-	F
Springfield F. & M. Ins. Co.	11	4	F
Sun Underwriters Ins. Co.	12	-	F
Trinity Fire Ins. Co.		2 7	F
Twin City Fire Ins. Co.		7	F
Westchester Fire Ins. Co.	-	2	F

TABLE IV

TYPE OF COMPANY, KIND OF POLICY, NUMBER OF POLICIES

IN FORCE AND THE NUMBER OF CHANGES OF COMPANIES

OF FIFTY RURAL SCHOOLS IN KIOWA COUNTY

District No.	: Type of : Company	Type of : policy :	Number of Policies	: Changes of : Companies :
11	2-S, 8-F.U.	C	1	1
12	S	0000	1	0
13	S	C	1	0
15		C	1	0
16	F.U.	C	ī	1
17	S	F&WS	2	1
18	S	C	2 1 1	0
19	9 9 9 9 9	CCCC		0
20	S	C	1	0
21	S	C	1	0
22	S	C	1	0
23	S	C	1	0
24	5 5 5 5 5	F&WS	2-F, 2-WS	1
25	S	C	1	0
26	S	С	1	0
29	S	C	1	0
31	S	C	1	0
33	S	CCCC	1	0
34	3 3 3 3		1 1 1 2	0
35	S	F&WS	2	1
36	S	C	1	. 0
38	5 5 5 5 5	C	1 4 1 1	1
40	S	2-F&WS,8-WS	1	0
42	S	C	1	1
43	S	C	1	0
46	S	C	1	2
47	S	5-F&WS,5-C	2	1
52	S	C	1	1 0 1 1
53	5-S,5-F.U.	5-F,5-C	1	1
57	S	C	1	1
59	S	C	1	0

TABLE	IV	(continued)	

60 <b>67</b> <b>6</b> 8 69	<b>3</b> 5 <b>5</b> 5	C C C C	1 1 1	0 1 0 0
<b>74</b> <b>75</b> 85 88 90	S S S-S,5-F.U. S	0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 1 1
95 96 97 101 103	S S S 3-S,7-F.U.	00000	1 1 1 1	1 1 0 0
105 107 109 111 112	S 5-S,5-F.U. 5-S,5-F.U. S 5-S,5-F.U.	C C C F&WS C	1 1 2 1	2 0 1 0

Table V shows the year the policy was written, by the asterisk, the length of term by the figure in the column, and the number of times the policy was renewed. The districts are listed alphabetically because this was the only logical method of listing.

Table VI gives the distribution of the three types of policies as to term on the fifty rural schools. This table is a summary of Table V.

Table VII gives a review of the cost of insurance with the amount spent on each of the three types of policies as compared with the loss. The premium is written opposite the amount of insurance of each given type. Total insurance carried on the property is shown in the fourth column. The fifth column shows the ratio of the amount to the value. Totals are shown after each year.

Table VIII shows the ratio of the amount of insurance to the value of the property on the rural schools. The total is given for each year. The median ratio is shown below the table for each year.

Table IX indicates the ratio of the amount of insurance and the value of the property for the rural schools by years. The total for the ten-year period is given. This table is a summary of the preceding table by years.

Table X gives the same for the consolidated districts of each year of the five that they are considered. The grand total is the total of the rural schools for the tenyear period and the consolidated schools for the five-year

TABLE V
FREQUENCY OF RENEWING POLICY AND
THE TERM OF POLICY FOR FIFTY

# RURAL SCHOOLS

No.		1927	-:	1928-	 1929-	:	1930-	1931-	1932-	1933-	1934-	1935-	1936-	Times New Policy Written
11		3		3	*5 3 5		5	5	5 3 3 *5	5	*5	5	5 3 *1	2
11 12 13 15 16		3		*3 *3 5 5	3		5 3 3 5 3	*3 *3 5	3	5 3 5 5 *5	*3	5 3	3	2 4 6 2 3
13		1		*3	3		3	*3	3	3	*1	*1	*1	6
15		*5		5	5		5	5	*5	5	5	5	5	2
16		3		3	*3		3	3	1	*5	5	5	5	3
17		3		3	*3		3	3	*5	5	5	5	5	2
18	200	3 5 5 3 5		3 5 5 5	*5		3 5 *5 5	3 5 5 5 5	5	55535	*5 5 5 5	5 5 *5	5 5	2 2 2 4 2
19		5		5	5		*5	5	5 *3 5	5	5	*5	5	2
20		3		3	*3		3	3	*3	3	3	*1 5	*1 5	4
18 19 20 21		5		*5	5		5	5	5	*5	5	5	5	2
22		5 5 5 5 *5		5	5		*5	5	5	5	5	*5	5	2
23		5		5	5		5	5	-	-	-	-	-	2
24 25		5		5555	5 5 5 5		*5555	*5	5	5 3 3	5 3 3	5	*5	3 3
25		*5		5			5	5	*3	3	3	*3	3 3	3
26		-		-	*3		3	3	*3	3	3	*3	3	3
29		-		-	-		-	_		*3	3	3	*3	
29 31		-		-	*3		3	3	*5	5	3 5 3	3 5	5	-
33		*5		5	5		5	5	*3	3	3	*3	3	3
34		*3		5 3	5 3		3 5 *3 5	3 5 3 5	*3 5	5 3 *1	*3	3	5 3 3 3	3 4
35		-		-	*5		5	5	5	5	*3	3	3	

						4.000		W			
36 38 40 42 43	*3 5 *1 3	3 5 *1 *3	3 5 *1 3	*3 *1 *5 *3	3 *1 5 3 *3	3 *1 5 3	*3 *1 5 *5	3 *3 5 5 *1	3 *5 5 *1	*3 5 5 *1	6 2 5 5
46 47 52 53 57	*3 5 3 *1	3 5 3 *1	*5 *5	*3 5 3	- 3 5 3 *3	*1 3 5 *1 3	*1 *1 5 *1 3	*1 *1 5 *1 *1	1 5 *5 *3	1 5 5	6 2 5 3
59 60 67 68 69	1 5 *1 5	*5 *5 *5 5	5 5 5 *5 *5	5 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 5 5	55555	*5 *5 *3 5	5 5 3 *5 *5	55355	5 5 *5 5	3 2 3 3 3
74 75 85 88 90	3 5 5 5 *5	35555	*5 *5 5 5	3 5 5 5 5	3 5 5 *5 5	*1 5 5 5 *5	*1 5 5 5	*1 *5 *5 5	*1 5 5 5	*1 5 5 *5	6 2 2 2 2
95 96 97 99 100	*3 *3 - 5	3 3 5	3 3 5	*3 *3 3 5	3 3 *1 5	3 3 *1 *5	*3 *3 3 5	3 3 3 5	3 3 *3 5	*3 *3 3 3	4 4 - 2

TABLE V (continued)

				S.	PABLE V	(contin	nued)					
101	*1	*1	*3	3	3	*5	5	5	5	5	4	
103	3	3	3	*3	3	3	*5	5	5	5	3	
107	*5	5	5	5	5	*5	5	5	5	5	2	
109	-	-		-	-	*5	5	5	5	5		
111			-	-	-	*3	3	3	*1	*1	-	

<sup>\*</sup> Denotes Renewal of Policy

# TABLE VI DISTRIBUTION OF THE THREE TYPES OF POLICIES AS TO TERM FOR

A TEN-YEAR PERIOD

Year	Number of 1 year	Number of 3 year	Number of 5 year	Total
1927-128	5	14	20	39
1928-'29	3	16	22	41
1929-*30	2	17	24	43
1930-*31	2	18	24	44
1931-*32	2	19	24	45
1932-133	6	17	25	48
1933-134	6	16	27	49
1934-'35	7	16	26	49
1935-136	7	14	27	48
1936-*37	7	13	28	48
Total	47	160	247	454

1932-'33

A TABULATION OF THE STATUS OF INSURANCE ON
EIGHT CONSOLIDATED SCHOOLS OF KIOWA COUNTY FOR A FIVE-YEAR PERIOD

TABLE VII

	Property Value	Amt. by Types	Total Amt.	Ratio A/V		Prem	ium		Loss	Ratio L/P
					Combined:	Fire :	Wind-: storm:	Total		
0-2	\$40,000	\$9,000	\$35,000	.875		\$85.35	\$39.59	\$124.94	0.00	.000
		2,500			\$21.25		900.00	ATMI. DI	0.00	.000
C-3	60,000	14,000			4.2.1.00	217.90				
		13,000	29,500	.491		27122 X 1112	19.50	258.65	21.00	.079
C-5	3,500	3,500	3,500		44.24			44.24	0.00	
C-7	50,000	34,000	34,000		184.81			184.81	10.00	
C-8	27,000	20,000	20,000		66.67			66.67	0.00	
		17,500				165.18				
C-9	28,000	21,000	38,500	1.375			34.00	199.18	0.00	.000
		15,000				94.20				
C-10	30,000	20,000	35,000	1.167			33.60	127.80	0.00	.000
		32,000			173.89					
39-82	80,000	16,000				68.40				
		12,000	120,000				15.12			
		60,000.		· · · · · E2	rplosion	• • • • • • • •	. 12.60	270.01	0.00	.000
Total	\$318,500	\$284,900	\$284,900	.894	\$490.86	\$631.03	\$154.41	\$1,268.30	\$31.00	.024

# TABLE VII (Continued) 1933-'34

# A TABULATION OF THE STATUS OF INSURANCE ON EIGHT CONSOLIDATED SCHOOLS OF KIOWA COUNTY FOR A FIVE-YEAR PERIOD

	Property Value	Amt. by Types	Total Amt.	Ratio		Prem	ium		Loss	Ratio L/P
					Combined	Fire	Wind- storm	Total		
C-2	\$40,000	\$5,000 17,500			\$111.50	\$173.02				
		15,000	\$37,500	.938	97 05		\$20.25	\$304.77	\$22,500.00	*73.826
C-3	60,000	2,500			21.25	255.50				
		24,500	44,000	.740			34.03	300.78	0.00	.000
C-5	3,500	3,500	3,500	1.000	44.24			44.24	0.00	.000
C-7	50,000	34,000	34,000	.680	184.87			184.87	0.00	.000
C-8	27,000	20,000	20,000	.741	66.67			66.67	0.00	.000
C-9	28,000	17,500				165.18				
		21,000	38,500	1.375			34.00	199.18	21.00	.108
C-10	30,000	15,000				141.30	100000			
		19,000	34,000				33.60	174.90	0.00	.000
		44,000			243.95					
39-82	80,000	8,000	116,000	1.450		25.48				
		4,000					10.30			
		60,000		E	xplosion		. 15.60	295.33	0.00	.000

<sup>\*</sup>A Total Loss by Fire, March 11, 1934

# TABLE VII (Continued)

1934-135

### A TABULATION OF THE STATUS OF INSURANCE ON

### EIGHT CONSOLIDATED SCHOOLS OF KIOWA COUNTY FOR A FIVE-YEAR PERIOD

Dist. No.	Property Value	Amt. by Types	Total Amt.	Ratio A/V		Pren	1425	Loss	Ratio L/P	
					:Combined:	Fire	Wind-			
C-2	*	Two 60-da	y policie	s	No data \$53.32	-	-			
C-3	\$60,000	22,000	Acc 500	1 005		\$194.40	der en	\$500 OO	402 42	070
0-5	3,500	39,500	\$66,500	1.025	44.24		\$51.37	\$299.09	\$23.43	.078
3-7	50,000	34,000	34,000	.680	184.87			184.87	0.00	.000
2-8	27,000	20,000	20,000	.741	66.67			66.67	0.00	.000
7-9	28,000	17,500	20,000	. 1.47	00.07	165.18		00.07	0.00	.000
,-0	20,000	21,000	38,500	1.375		100.10	34.00	199.18	0.00	.000
-10	30,000	15,000	00,000	1.010		141.30	04.00	100.10	0.00	.000
,-10	00,000	19,000	34,000	1.375		111.00	33.60	174.90	0.00	.000
		36,000	01,000	2.010	249.49		00.00			
39-82	80,000	8,000				25.48				
	00,000	8,000	112,000	1.867			10.30			
		60,000			plosion	• • • • • • • •	. 15.60	300.87	0.00	.000
Total	\$278,500	\$308,500	\$308,500	1.108	\$598.59	\$526.36	\$145.17	\$1,269.82	\$23.43	.018

<sup>\*</sup>Rebuilding--Insured with Builders Risk. No data

# TABLE VII (Continued)

1935-136

### A TABULATION OF THE STATUS OF INSURANCE ON

### EIGHT CONSOLIDATED SCHOOLS OF KIOWA COUNTY FOR A FIVE-YEAR PERIOD

Dist. No.	Property Value	Amt. by Types	Total Amt.							
					:Combined:	Fire	: Wind-			
C-2	\$40,000	\$30,000 5,000	\$30,000	.750	\$153.00 32.50	\$163.16		\$153.00	\$0.00	.000
C-3	60,000	20,000	62,000	1.033			\$42.86	238.52	200.00	.838
C-5 C-7	3,500	3,500	3,500	1.000	184.89			184.89	0.00	.000
C-8 C-9	27,000	20,000	20,000	.741	66.67	112,68		66.67	0.00	.000
C-10	30,000	21,000	36,000	1.285		106.20		146.68	0.00	.000
<b>70.00</b>	00 000	19,000	34,000	1.375	214.36	48 80	25.92	132.12	0.00	.000
39-82	80,000	12,000 8,000 60,000	125,000	1.562	plosion	47.76	20.40	298.12	0.00	.000
maha?	\$318,500	\$347,000	\$347,000	1.089	\$695.66	\$429.80		\$1,264.24		

# TABLE VII (Continued)

1936-137

### A TABULATION OF THE STATUS OF INSURANCE ON

### EIGHT CONSOLIDATED SCHOOLS OF KIOWA COUNTY FOR A FIVE-YEAR PERIOD

	Property Value	Amt. by Types	Total Ratio Premium Amt. A/V						Loss	Ratio L/P
					:Combined:	Fire	Wind-	Total	T.	
C-2	\$40,000	\$23,500 18,500 10,000	\$42,000	1.050	\$140.00 Explos 50.40	ion	. \$9.25	\$149.25	\$23.40	.158
C-3	60,000	35,000	100 000	3 848		\$241.19	40 Fc	740 75	0.00	000
C-5 C-7	3,500	51,000 3,500 34,000	106,000 3,500 34,000	1.767	44.24 184.89		48.56	340.15 44.24 184.89	0.00	.000
C-8 C-9	27,000	20,000	20,000	.741	66.67	108.15		66.67	0.00	.000
0-10	30,000	21,000	36,000	1.285		106.20	34.00	142.15	0.00	
		19,000	34,000	1.375	151.84		25.92	132.12	0.00	.000
39-82	80,000	8,000	96,000	1.200	cplosion		28.83	196.27	0.00	.000
Total	\$273,500	\$330,900	\$330,900	1.209	\$638.04	\$455.54	\$162.16	\$1,255.74	\$23.40	.018

TABLE VIII

1927-'28

RATIO OF AMOUNT OF INSURANCE AND VALUE OF PROPERTY

District No.	1	Value		Amount of Insurance	1	Ratio
40		\$850.00		\$750.00		.882
22		800.00		700.00		.875
26		3,000.00		2,500.00		.866
17		1,500.00		1,250.00		.830
12		1,500.00		1,200.00		.800
38		5,000.00		4,000.00		.800
42		5,000.00		4,000.00		.800
90		1,000.00		750.00		.750
25		4,500.00		3,000.00		.667
52		1,200.00		800.00		.667*
96		2,000.00		1,200.00		.600
15		1,700.00		1,000.00		.580
24		3,650.00		2,000.00		.548
21		1,500.00		800.00		.530
33		1,500.00		600.00		.400
74		2,800.00		925.00		.330
57		2,800.00		900.00	3	.322
18		4,000.00		1,000.00		.250
53		5,200.00		1,200.00		.231
Total	17.7è	\$49,500.00	20	\$28,575.00		.577

<sup>\*</sup>Median ratio .667

1928-129

67	\$2,000.00	\$2,000.00	1.000
40	850.00	750.00	.882
22	800.00	700.00	.875
26	3,000.00	2,500.00	.866
17	1,500.00	1,250.00	.830
12	1,500.00	1,200.00	.800
38	5,000.00	4.000.00	.800
42	5,000.00	4,000.00	.800
59	4,000.00	3,000.00	.750
90	1.000.00	750.00	.750

TABLE VIII (Continued)
1928-\*29

District	:	Value	:	Amount of	:	Ratio
No.	:		:	Insurance	:	
19		\$1,500.00		\$1,100.00		.733
52		1,200.00		800.00		.667
25		4,500.00		3,000.00		.667*
68		2,500.00		1,600.00		.640
96		2,000.00		1,200.00		.600
15		1,700.00		1,000.00		.580
24		3,650.00		2,000.00		.548
21		1,500.00		800.00		.530
33		1,500.00		600.00		.400
74		2,800.00		925.00		.333
57		2,800.00		900.00		.322
60		7,500.00		2,300.00		.306
18		4,000.00		1,000.00		.250
53		5,200.00		1,200.00		.231
Total		\$67,000.00		\$38,575.00		.567

<sup>\*</sup>Median ratio .667

1929-130

67	\$2,000.00	\$2,000.00	1.000
52	875.00	800.00	.914
40	800.00	700.00	.875
22	1,500.00	1,300.00	.867
11	1,500.00	1,300.00	.867
26	3.000.00	2,500.00	.867
17	1,500.00	1,250.00	.830
12	1,500.00	1,200.00	.800
42	5,000.00	4,000.00	.800
85	2,500.00	2,000.00	.800
95	2,000.00	1,600.00	.800
59	4,000.00	3,000.00	.750
69	2,000.00	1,500.00	.750
90	1,000.00	750.00	.750
19	1,500.00	1,100.00	.733
18	1,500.00	1,000.00	.667

TABLE VIII (Continued)
1929-\*30

District No.	:	Value	:	Amount of Insurance	Ratio
24 25 75 68		\$3,000.00 4,500.00 1,500.00 2,500.00		\$2,000.00 3,000.00 1,000.00 1,600.00	.667 .667 .640
16 38 47 96 15		1,200.00 6,500.00 2,000.00 2,000.00 1,700.00		750.00 4,000.00 1,200.00 1,200.00 1,000.00	.625 .616 .600 .600
35 74 21 20 34		900.00 2,800.00 1,500.00 3,000.00 2,000.00		500.00 1,500.00 800.00 1,500.00	.555 .533 .530 .500
33 57 60		1,500.00 2,800.00 7,500.00		600.00 900.00 2,300.00	.400 .322 .306
Total		\$77,575.00		\$49,550.00	.638

<sup>\*</sup>Median ratio .667

1930-'31

67	\$2,000.00	\$2,000.00	1.000
42	5.000.00	4.800.00	.960
52	875.00	800.00	.914
40	850.00	750.00	.882
22	800.00	700.00	.87
11	1,500.00	1,300.00	.86
26	3,000.00	2,500.00	.86
17	1,500.00	1,250.00	.830
12	1,500.00	1,200.00	.800
95	2,000.00	1,600.00	.800
59	4.000.00	3,000.00	.750
69	2,000.00	1,500.00	.750
90	1,000.00	1,750.00	.750
19	1,500.00	1,100.00	.73

TABLE VII (Continued)
1930-'31

District No.	:	Value	:	Amount of Insurance	Ratio
18 24 25 75		\$1,500.00 3,000.00 4,500.00 1,500.00		\$1,000.00 2,000.00 3,000.00 1,000.00	.667 .667* .667
68 16 38 47 96 15		2,500.00 1,200.00 6,500.00 2,000.00 2,000.00 1,700.00		950.00 4,000.00 1,200.00 1,200.00	.640 .625 .616 .600 .600
35 74 21 20 34		900.00 2,800.00 1,500.00 2,000.00 2,000.00		500.00 1,500.00 800.00 1,000.00	.555 .533 .530 .500
33 57 60		1,500.00 2,800.00 7,500.00		600.00 900.00 2,300.00	.400 .322 .306
Total		\$78,425.00		\$52,300.00	.654

<sup>\*</sup>Median ratio .667

1931-132

19	\$1,000.00	\$1,100.00	1.100
29	1,500.00	1,500.00	1.000
46	2,500.00	2,500.00	1.000
67	2,000.00	2,000.00	1.000
42	5,000.00	4,800.00	.960
52	875.00	800.00	.914
103	1,100.00	1,000.00	.909
40	850.00	750.00	.882
22	800.00	700.00	.875
11	1,500.00	1,300.00	.866
88	1,250.00	1,050.00	.840
26	3.000.00	2,500.00	.833
17	1,500.00	1,250.00	.830
12	1,500.00	1,200.00	.800
21	1,000.00	800.00	.800

TABLE VIII (Continued)
1931-'32

District No.	:	Value	:	Amount of Insurance	Ratio
85		\$2,500.00		\$2,000.00	.800
95		2,000.00		1,600.00	.800
43		800.00		600.00	.750
59		4,000.00		3,000.00	.750
69		2,000.00		1,500.00	.750
90		1,000.00		750.00	.750*
13		600.00		400.00	.667
25		3,000.00		2,000.00	.667
75		1,500.00		1,000.00	.667
47		2,800.00		1,800.00	.643
68		2,500.00		1,600.00	.640
16		1,200.00		750.00	.625
38		6,500.00		5,000.00	.616
96		2,000.00		1,200.00	.600
15		1,700.00		1,000.00	.580
35		900.00		500.00	.555
24		3,650.00		2,000.00	.552
57		2,800.00		1,500.00	.535
74		2,800.00		1,500.00	.535
18		2,000.00		1,000.00	.500
34		2,000.00		1.000.00	.500
33		1,500.00		600.00	.400
60		7,500.00		2,300.00	.306
20		5,000.00		1,500.00	.300
53		5,200.00		1,200.00	.231
Total		\$92,835.00		\$60,550.00	 .652

\*Median ratio .750

1932-133

19	\$1,000.00	\$1,000.00	1.000
17	1.500.00	1.500.00	1.000
18	1,000.00	1,000.00	1.000
29	1,500.00	1.500.00	1.000
46	2,500.00	2,500.00	1.000
67	2.000.00	2,000.00	1.000
42	5,000.00	4,800.00	.960

# LIBRARY

1932-'33

NOV 18 1993

District No.	Value	Amount of Insurance	Ratio
111 52 103	\$3,000.00 875.00 1,100.00	\$2,800.00 800.00 1,000.00	.933 .914 .909
109 11 88 99 12	3,000.00 1,500.00 1,250.00 1,800.00 1,500.00	2,700.00 1,300.00 1,050.00 1,500.00 1,200.00	.900 .866 .840 .833 .800
21 33 85 95 100	1,000.00 1,000.00 2,500.00 2,000.00 1,000.00	800.00 800.00 2,000.00 1,600.00 800.00	.800 .800 .800 .800
43 69 25 13 15	800.00 2,000.00 2,500.00 600.00 1,500.00	600.00 1,500.00 1,800.00 400.00 1,000.00	.750 .750 .720 .667 .667*
<b>75</b> 101 53 26 40	1,500.00 1,800.00 6,250.00 2,950.00 1,225.00	1,000.00 1,200.00 4,150.00 2,000.00 800.00	.667 .667 .664 .662
16 112 47 68 90	1,150.00 4,575.00 2,800.00 2,500.00 1,500.00	750.00 2,900.00 1,800.00 1,600.00 950.00	.648 .644 .643 .640
38 96 22 35 57	6,500.00 2,000.00 1,400.00 900.00 2,800.00	5,000.00 1,200.00 -800.00 -\$500.00	.616 .600 .517 .555
<b>7</b> 4 107	2,800.00 1,935.00	1,500.00 1,000.00	.5 <b>36</b>

<sup>\*</sup>idedian ratio .667

TABLE VIII (Continued)
1932-\*33

District No.	:	Value	:	Amount of Insurance	:	Ratio
24		\$4,000.00		\$2,000.00		.500
34		2,000.00		1,000.00		.500
105		1,400.00		700.00		.500
59		4,000.00		1,500.00		.375
60		7,500.00		2,300.00		.306
20		5,000.00		1,500.00		.300
97		2,000.00		600.00		.300
Total		\$113,190.00		\$76,300.00		.673

1933-134

19 17 21 18 29	\$1,000.00 1,500.00 1,000.00 1,000.00 1,500.00	\$1,100.00 1,500.00 1,000.00 1,000.00 1,500.00	1.100 1.000 1.000 1.000
35 11 46 33 42	900.00 1,500.00 3,000.00 1,000.00 6,000.00	800.00 1,300.00 2,500.00 800.00 4,800.00	.878 .866 .833 .800
100 99 53 43 26	1,000.00 1,900.00 5,100.00 800.00 2,950.00	800.00 1,500.00 4,000.00 600.00 2,000.00	.800 .789 .784 .750
25 52 69 31 111	2,500.00 1,125.00 2,400.00 1,700.00 4,000.00	1,800.00 800.00 1,500.00 1,200.00 2,800.00	.720 .717 .708 .706
12 85 74 109 13	1,740.00 2,900.00 2,800.00 4,000.00 600.00	1,200.00 2,000.00 1,500.00 2,700.00 400.00	.691 .688 .675 .675

TABLE VIII (Continued)
1933-'34

District	de de la constante de la const	Value		Amount of Insurance		Ratio
15	<del></del>	\$1,500.00		\$1,000.00		•667°
75		1,500.00		1,000.00		.667
101		1,800.00		1,200.00		.667
40		1,225.00		800.00		.653
16		1,150.00		700.00		.648
18		2,500.00		1,600.00		.640
90		1,500.00		950.00		.633
103		1,600.00		1,000.00		.625
38		6,500.00		5,000.00		.616
22		1,400.00		850.00		<b>.60</b> 8
67		2,500.00		1,500.00		.600
96		2,000.00		1,200.00		.600
112		5,300.00		2,900.00		.547
57		2,800.00		1,500.00		.535
107		1,935.00		1,000.00		.518
24		4,000.00		2,000.00		.500
34		2,000.00		1,000.00		.500
36		1,200.00		600.00		.500
97		1,400.00		1,000.00		.500
105		1,400.00		700.00		.500
<b>8</b> 8		1,250.00		600.00		.480
59		4,000.00		1,500.00		.375
20		5,000.00		1,500.00		.300
95		2,000.00		600.00		.300
60		7,500.00		1,800.00		.240
Total	ne garakturaken bilanturk	\$119,475.00	The single transfer	<b>\$75,600.00</b>	<del>negativa (sonte us meno</del> en escele) en se	.633

<sup>\*</sup>Median Ratio .667

1934-135

16	\$600.00	\$750.00	1.250
18	1,000.00	1,000.00	1.000
21	1,000.00	1,000.00	1.000
11	1,200.00	1,000.00	.833
15	1,200.00	1,000.00	.833
29	1,800.00	1,500.00	.833
46	3,000.00	2,500.00	.833

TABLE VIII (Continued)

1934-135

District	A A	Value	**************************************	Amount of Insurance	politica primariam in inconsecutation of the Company of the Compan	Ratio
12 33 38		\$1,500.00 1,000.00 6,000.00	nemer eti ingi eti i	\$1,200.00 800.00 4,800.00	and developed the process of the pro	.800 .800 .800
100 101 43 35 25		1,000.00 1,500.00 800.00 1,000.00 2,500.00		800.00 1,200.00 600.00 750.00 1,800.00		.800 .800 .750 .750
52 40 69 88 107		1,125.00 1,400.00 2,400.00 1,500.00 1,000.00		800.00 1,000.00 1,500.00 1,050.00 700.00		.717 .717 .708 .700
85 13 20 26 31		2,500.00 600.00 4,500.00 3,000.00 3,000.00		1,700.00 400.00 1,500.00 2,000.00 2,000.00		.680 .667 .667 .667
34 75 97 105 68		1,500.00 1,500.00 1,500.00 1,500.00 2,500.00		1,000.00 1,000.00 1,000.00 1,000.00 1,600.00		.667 .667 .667 .640
111 90 99 103 22		4,400.00 1,500.00 1,600.00 1,600.00		2,800.00 950.00 1,000.00 1,000.00 850.00		.636 .633 .625 .625
36 42 67 96 109		1,000.00 8,000.00 2,500.00 2,000.00 3,500.00		600.00 4,800.00 1,500.00 1,200.00 2,000.00	<i>y</i>	.600 .600 .600 .572
19 112 57 24		2,000.00 5,300.00 2,800.00 4,000.00		1,100.00 2,900.00 1,500.00 2,000.00		.550 .547 .535

Median ratio .667

TABLE VIII (Continued)

1954-'35

District No.	• •	Value	*	Amount of Insurance	erinnenstendendendessenstande. e d e e	Ratio
74		§3 <b>,5</b> 00.00		\$1,500.00		.429
53 59 <b>17</b> 95 60		3,900.00 3,580.00 4,000.00 2,000.00 7,500.00		3,800.00 1,500.00 1,500.00 600.00 1,800.00		.420 .410 .375 .300 .240
Total		Q148,105.00		\$73,850.00°		.498

1935-136

The state of the s			entente-compactives in his standard colorina palatica de la colorina del colorina de la colorina de la colorina del colorina de la colorina del colorina de la colorina de la colorina de la colorina del colorina de la colorina de la colorina de la colorina de la colorina del colorin
11 12 18 52 19	\$1,000.00 1,200.00 1,000.00 1,000.00 3,000.00	\$1,000.00 1,200.00 1,000.00 800.00 1,100.00	1.000 1.000 1.000 .800
35 16 26 36 75	860.00 1,100.00 3,000.00 900.00 1,500.00	640.00 750.00 2,000.00 600.00 1,000.00	.744 .682 .667 .667
100 29 90 45 25	1,200.00 3,100.00 1,900.00 1,300.00 3,000.00	800.00 2,000.00 1,200.00 800.00 1,800.00	.667 .645 .632 .615 .600
57 96 99 40 101	2,500.00 2,000.00 1,000.00 1,400.00 2,100.00	1,500.00 1,200.00 600.00 800.00 1,200.00	.600 .600 .600 .571
107 22 85 111 105	1,400.00 1,500.00 3,000.00 6,000.00 1,800.00	300.00 850.00 1,700.00 3,400.00 1,000.00	.571 .567 .567 .567 .555*

<sup>\*</sup>Median ratio .555

TABLE VIII (Continued)
1935-'36

District No.	*	Velue	4	Amount of Insurance	*	Ratio
34		\$1,800.00		\$1,000.00		.555
21		1,500.00		800.00		.553
33		1,500.00		800.00		.553
15		1,200.00		600.00		<b>.5</b> 00
24		4,000.00		2,000.00		•500
42		8,200.00		4,000.00		.488
38		7,250.00		3,500.00		•483
68		2,500.00		1,200.00		.480
109		4,500.00		2,000.00		•444
69		3,400.00		1,500.00		.441
67		3,000.00		1,300.00		.433
74		3,500.00		1,500.00		.429
. 97		1,400.00		600.00		.428
53		8,900.00		3,800.00		.427
95		1,450.00		600.00		.413
57		3,200.00		1,500.00		.407
13		1,000.00		400.00		.400
46		3,000.00		1,200.00		.400
88		1,500.00		600.00		.400
47		2,200.00		800.00		.364
112		5,800.00		2,000.00		.345
17		4,500.00		1,500.00		.333
20		4,500.00		1,500.00		.333
31		3,500.00		900.00		.257
60		7,500.00		1,800.00		.240
Total		\$136,760.00		\$66,140.00		•484

1936-'37

19	\$1,160.00	\$1,260.00	1.086
11	1,000.00	1,000.00	1.000
12	1,200.00	1,200.00	1.000
18	1,000.00	1,000.00	1.000
22	1,000.00	850.00	.850
52	1,000.00	800.00	.800
19	3,000.00	1,100.00	.766
35	860.00	640.00	.744
16	1,400.00	750.00	.682

# TABLE VIII (Continued)

1936-'37

District No.	*	Value	: Amount of : Insurance	# 0.	Ratio
26		\$3,000.00	\$2,000.00		.667
36 75 101 29 90		900.00 1,500.00 1,200.00 3,100.00 1,900.00	600.00 1,000.00 800.00 2,000.00 1,200.00		.667 .667 .667 .645
43 25 <b>57</b> 96 103		1,300.00 3,000.00 2,500.00 2,000.00 1,750.00	800.00 1,800.00 1,500.00 1,200.00 1,000.00		.615 .600 .600 .600
101 85 111 34 105		2,100.00 3,000.00 6,000.00 1,800.00	1,200.00 1,700.00 3,400.00 1,000.00		.571 .567 .567 .555
21 33 88 107 15		1,500.00 1,500.00 1,500.00 1,500.00	800.00 800.00 800.00 800.00		.533 .533 .533 .533
24 42 38 68 69		4,000.00 8,200.00 7,250.00 2,500.00 3,400.00	2,000.00 4,000.00 3,500.00 1,200.00 1,500.00		.500 .488 .483 .480
67 74 97 43 95		3,000.00 3,500.00 1,400.00 9,200.00 1,450.00	1,300.00 1,500.00 600.00 3,800.00 600.00		.433 .429 .428 .413
109 59 13 46 47		4,900.00 3,700.00 1,000.00 3,000.00 2,200.00	2,000.00 1,500.00 400.00 1,200.00 800.00		.408 .407 .400 .400
112 31		5,800.00 2,500.00	2,000.00 850.00		.345 .340

# TABLE VIII (Continued)

# 1936-137

District No.	4	Value	*	Amount of Insurance	*	Ratio
17 20 60		\$4,500.00 4,500.00 7,500.00		\$1,500.00 1,500.00 1,800.00		.333 .333 .240
Total		\$139,170.00		\$67,700.00	ر در دار دار دار دار دار دار دار دار دار	.486

RATIO OF AMOUNT OF INSURANCE AND VALUE OF PROPERTY

ON RURAL SCHOOLS BY YEARS

Xear	No. of Schools	Value :	Amount	Ratio
1927-'28	19	\$ <b>49,5</b> 00.00	\$28,575.00	.577
1928-129	24	67,000,00	38,575.00	.576
1929-130	33	77,575.00	49,550.00	, 638
1980-131	33	78,425.00	52,300.00	.654
1931-'32	40	92,825.00	60,550.00	.652
1932-*33	49	113,190.00	76,200.00	.673
1935-'34	50	119,475.00	75,600.00	.633
1934-135	50	148,105.00	73,850.00	.498
1935-*36	50	136,760.00	66,140.00	.484
1936-137	50	139,170.00	67,700.00	.486
10 years	total	\$1,022,025.00	\$589,040.00	.575

<sup>\*</sup>Median .667

TABLE X

RATIO OF AMOUNT OF INSURANCE AND VALUE OF PROPERTY

ON CONSOLIDATED SCHOOLS BY THE YEAR

Year	:	No. of Schools	: Value	:	Amount	*	Ratio
1932-133		8	\$318,500.00		\$284,900.00		.894
1933-*34		8	318,500.00		327,500.00		1.028
1934-135		7	278,500.00		308,500.00		1.108
1935-136		8	318,500.00		347,000.00		1.089
1936-137		8	273,500.00		330,900.00		1.209
5 years	tot	al	\$1,507,500.00	\$	1,598,800.00		1.061
Grand To	tal	and the state of t	\$2,529,525.00	\$	2,187,840.00		.865

period. This ratio would indicate that the school property in the county was over-insured, but that is accounted for in the duplication of fire and wind-storm in separate policies on the consolidated schools.

Table XI gives the ratio of loss to premium for the rural schools from 1927-'28 to 1936-'37. Totals are given for each year.

Tables XII and XIII give the ratio by years for the rural and consolidated districts respectively. A grand total is shown in Table XIII for all the schools of the county.

Table XIV gives a comparison of the loss and premium ratio in Kiowa County with that of the state of Oklahoma and the nation as a whole for the years included in this study. There is an inconsistency in the years considered in the county and the state and nation. The fiscal year for the county is from July 1 to June 30, while the fiscal year for the state is from January 1 to December 31.

TABLE XI
1927-\*28
RATIO OF LOSS TO PREMIUM

District No.	:	Premium	:	Loss	:	Ratio
25		\$77.61		\$25.00		.324
74		65.00		0.00		.000
57		57.60		0.00		.000
60		52.60		0.00		.000
95		45.00.		0.00		.000
42		41.28		0.00		.000
38		38.33		0.00		.000
24		37.76		0.00		.000
47		35.50		0.00		.000
96		33.43		0.00		.000
109		30.39		0.00		.000
11		29.50		0.00		.000
53		28.56		0.00		.000
12		28.10		0.00		.000
18		24.54		0.00		.000
15		23.28		0.00		.000
103		22.96		0.00		.000
52		22.66		0.00		.000
20		22.50		0.00		.000
21		18.88		0.00		.000
40		18.88		0.00		.000
90		18.88		0.00		.000
22		18.80		0.00		.000
33		15.10		0.00		.000
23		13.21		0.00		.000
Total		\$820.05		\$25.00		.031
		1928-	29			
19		\$28.32		\$3.25		.115
11		29.50		0.00		.000
12		28.10		0.00		.000
13		7.90		0.00		.000
19		23.28		0.00		.000
17		24.58		0.00	العالي	.000
18		24.54		0.00		.000

TABLE XI (Continued)
1928-'29

District No.	: Premium	105 m 1 1 2 1	Loss	:	Ratio
20	\$22.50		\$0.00		.000
21	18.88		0.00		.000
22	18.80		0.00		.000
23	13.21		0.00		.000
24	37.76		0.00		.000
25	77.61		0.00	C+122	.000
33	15.10		0.00	31/11	.000
40	18.88		0.00		.000
42	41.28		0.00		.000
43	15.50		0.00		.000
46	38.00		0.00		.000
47 52	35.50		0.00		.000
32	22.60		0.00		.000
53	28.66		0.00		.000
57	57.60		0.00		.000
59	32.79		0.00		.000
60	44.96		0.00		.000
37	32.72		0.00		.000
68	30.00		0.00		.000
90	18.88		0.00		.000
95	45.00		0.00		.000
96 99	33.43 20.00		0.00		.000
101	34.75		0.00		.000
103	22.96		0.00		.000
Total	\$943.59		\$3.25		.003
	1929	-*30			
11	\$16.50		\$0.00		.000
12	28.10		0.00		.000
13	7.91		0.00		.000
15	23.28		0.00		.000
16	19.65		0.00		.000
17	24.59		0.00		.000
17 18	24.59 24.54		0.00		.000

TABLE XI (Continued)
1929-'30

District No.	: Premium	:	Loss	:	Ratio
20	\$23.04		\$0.00		.000
21	18.88		0.00		.000
22	18.80		0.00		.000
23	13.21		0.00		.000
24	37.76		0.00		.000
25	77.61		0.00		.000
26	28.33		0.00		.000
33	15.10		0.00		.000
35	14.14		0.00		.000
38	35.00		0.00		.000
40	18.88		0.00		.000
42	41.28		0.00		.000
43	15.50		0.00		.000
47	35.50		0.00		.000
52	22.65		0.00		.000
53	26.60		0.00		.000
57	57.60		0.00		.000
59	32.79		0.00		.000
60	44.96		0.00		.000
67	33.72		0.00		.000
68	26.80		0.00		.000
69	28.32		0.00		.000
74	50.35		0.00		.000
75	14.74		0.00		.000
85	20.56		0.00		.000
90	18.88		0.00		.000
95	45.00		0.00		.000
96	33.43		0.00		.000
99	20.00		0.00		.000
101	34.75		0.00		.000
103	22.96		0.00		.000
Total	\$1,308.03		\$0.00		.000

1930-*31							
11	\$16.50	\$0.00	.000				
12	28.10	0.00					

TABLE XI (Continued)

1930-'31

District No.	: Premium		Loss	:	Ratio
13	\$7.91		\$0.00		.000
15	23.28		0.00		.000
16	19.65		0.00		.000
17	24.59		0.00		.000
18	24.54		0.00		.000
19	28.32		0.00		.000
20	23.04		0.00		.000
21	18.88		0.00		.000
22	18.80		0.00		.000
23	13.21		0.00		.000
24	37.76		0.00		.000
25	77.61		0.00		.000
26	28.33		0.00		.000
33	15.10		0.00		.000
34	20.47		0.00		.000
35	14.14		0.00		.000
38	50.08		0.00		.000
40	18.88		0.00		.000
42	43.50		0.00		.000
43	15.50		0.00		.000
46	38.00		0.00		.000
47	35.43		0.00		.000
52	22.65		0.00		.000
53	28.66		0.00		.000
57	57.60		0.00		.000
59	32.79		0.00		.000
60	44.96		0.00		.000
67	33.72		0.00		.000
68	26.80		0.00		.000
69	28.32		0.00		.000
74	50.35		0.00		.000
75	14.74		0.00		.000
85	20.56		0.00		.000
90	18.88		0.00		.000
95	31.46		0.00		.000
96	30.11		0.00		.000
99	20.00		0.00		.000
101	34.75	4654	0.00		.000
Total	\$1,137.97		\$0.00		.000

TABLE XI (Continued)
1931-\*32

District No.	. :	Premium	:	Loss	:	Ratio
11		\$16.50		\$0.00		.000
12		28.10		0.00		.000
13		11.80		15.00		1.272
15		23.28		0.00		.000
16		19.65		0.00		.000
17		24.59		0.00		.000
18		24.54		0.00		.000
19		28.32		0.00		.000
20		23.04		0.00		.000
21		18.71		0.00		.000
22		18.80		0.00		.000
23		13.21		0.00		.000
24		37.76		0.00		.000
25		77.61		0.00		.000
26		28.33		0.00		.000
33		15.10		0.00		.000
34		20.47		0.00		.000
35		14.14		0.00		.000
38		50.08		0.00		.000
40		18.88		0.00		.000
42		43.52		0.00		.000
43		15.50		0.00		.000
47		35.43		0.00		.000
52		22.65		0.00		.000
53		28.66		0.00		.000
57		38.63		0.00		.000
59		32.79		0.00		.000
60		44.96		0.00		.000
67		33.72		0.00		.000
68		26.80		0.00		.000
69		28.32		0.00		.000
74		50.35		0.00		.000
75		14.74		0.00		.000
85		20.56		0.00		.000
88		14.00		0.00		.000
90		18.88		0.00		.000
95		31.46		0.00		.000
96		30.11		0.00		.000
101		34.75		0.00		.000

TABLE XI (Continued)
1931-'32

District No.	: Premium	: Loss	: Ratio
103	\$23.89	\$0.00	.000
Total	\$1,102.36	\$15.00	.013
	1932-	•33	
11 12 13 15 16	\$16.50 28.10 11.80 31.43 10.35	\$0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	.000 .000 .000 .000
17 18 19 20 21	10.66 24.54 28.32 23.04 18.71	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 10.00	.000 .000 .000 .000
22 24 25 26 31	31.19 37.42 43.27 26.00 22.45	0.00 0.00 0.00 84.50 0.00	.000 .000 .000 3.250
33 34 35 38 40	19.67 20.47 14.14 50.08 18.71	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	.000 .000 .000 .000
42 43 46 47 52	43.52 15.50 35.40 35.43 22.65	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	.000 .000 .000 .000
53 57 59 60 67	114.80 38.63 32.79 44.96 33.72	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	.000 .000 .000 .000
68 69	26.80 28.32	0.00	.000

TABLE XI (Continued)
1932-\*33

District No.	: Premium	: Loss	1.1	Ratio
74	\$56.20	\$0.00		.000
75	14.74	0.00		.000
85	20.56	0.00		.000
88	14.00	0.00		.000
90	22.66	0.00		.000
95	31.46	0.00		.000
96	30.11	0.00		.000
97	23.41	0.00		.000
100	8.80	0.00		.000
101	16.75	0.00		.000
103	23.89	0.00		.000
107	11.00	0.00		.000
111	15,65	0.00		.000
Total	\$1,248.60	\$94.50		.076

1933-134

11	\$13.20	\$0.00	.000
12	27.89	0.00	.000
13	11.80	0.00	.000
15	31.43	0.00	.000
16	8.25	0.00	.000
17	10.66	0.00	.000
18	24.54	0.00	.000
19	21.74	0.00	.000
20	23.04	0.00	.000
21	18.71	0.00	.000
22	31.19	0.00	.000
24	37.42	0.00	.000
25	43.27	0.00	.000
26	26.00	0.00	.000
29	29.23	0.00	.000
31	22.45	0.00	.000
33	19.67	0.00	.000
34	25.80	0.00	.000
35	14.14	0.00	.000
36	11.80	0.00	.000
38	50.08	0.00	.000

TABLE XI (Continued)
1933-'34

District No.	: Premi	um :	Loss	:	Ratio
40	\$18.7	1	\$0.00		.000
42	44.2	5	0.00		.000
43	15.5	0	0.00		.000
46	28.0	7	0.00		.000
47	28.0		0.00		.000
52	22.6		0.00		.000
53	114.8		0.00		.000
57	38.6	3	0.00		.000
59	44.6	2	0.00		.000
60	47.3	37	0.00		.000
67	45.0	0	0.00		.000
68	27.4	8	0.00		.000
69	28.3	2	0.00		.000
74	55.7	8	0.00		.000
75	14.7	4	0.00		.000
85	20.5		0.00		.000
88	14.0	0	0.00		.000
90	22.6	66	0.00		.000
95	19.4	.7	0.00		.000
96	30.1	1	0.00		.000
97	23.4	1	0.00		.000
99	23.9	17	0.00		.000
100	8.8	30	0.00		.000
101	16.5	15	0.00		.000
103	24.8		0.00		.000
107	11.0	00	0.00		.000
109	25.0	00	0.00		.000
111	15.6	55	0.00		.000
Total	\$1,332.5	53	\$0.00		.000

1934-135

11	\$13.20	\$0.00	.000
12	27.89	0.00	.000
13	13.86	0.00	.000
15	31.43	0.00	.000
16	8.25	0.00	.000
17	10.66	0.00	.000

TABLE XI (Continued)

-	~	-	-	-		-	-
	•		-		•		

District No.	: Premium	: 4	Loss	Ratio
18	\$24.54		\$0.00	.000
19	21.74		0.00	.000
20	23.04		0.00	.000
21	18.71		0.00	.000
22	31.19		0.00	.000
24	37.42		0.00	.000
25	43.27		0.00	.000
26	26.00		0.00	.000
29	29.23		0.00	.000
31	22.45		0.00	.000
33	19.67		0.00	.000
34	25.45		0.00	.000
35	24.20		0.00	.000
36	11.80		0.00	.000
38	50.08		0.00	.000
40	18.71		0.00	.000
42	44.25		0.00	.000
43	18.49		0.00	.000
46	28.07		0.00	.000
27	27.74		0.00	.000
52	17.39		0.00	.000
53	112.00		0.00	.000
57	52.75		0.00	.000
59	44.62		0.00	.000
60	47.37		0.00	.000
67	45.00		0.00	.000
68	27.48		0.00	.000
74	55.75		0.00	.000
75	14.27		0.00	.000
85	44.61		0.00	.000
88	14.00		0.00	.000
90	22.66		0.00	.000
95	19.47		0.00	.000
96	30.11		0.00	.000
97	23.41		0.00	.000
99	23.97		0.00	.000
100	8.80		0.00	.000
101	16.75		0.00	.000
103	24.85		0.00	.000

TABLE XI (Continued)

1934-\*35

District No.	: Premium	: Loss	: Ratio
107 109 111 112	\$11.00 25.00 15.65 27.06	\$0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	.000 .000 .000
Total	\$ <b>1,37</b> 5.00	\$0.00	.000

1935-'36

11 12 13 15	\$13.20 27.89 10.87 31.43	\$0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	.000 .000 .000
16	8. <b>25</b>	0.00	.000
17 18 19 20 21	10.66 24.54 21.74 18.85 18.71	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	.000 .000 .000 .000
22 24 25 26 29	31.19 37.42 42.27 25.20 29.23	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	.000 .000 .000 .000
31 33 34 35 36	22.45 19.25 25.45 24.20 11.80	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	.000 .000 .000 .000
38 40 42 43 46	49.97 18.71 44.25 15.61 27.74	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	.000 .000 .000 .000
47 52 53 57 59	27.74 17.39 41.80 35.29 44.62	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	.000 .000 .000 .000

TABLE XI (Continued)
1935-\*36

District No.	: Premium	: Loss	•	Ratio
60	\$47.37	\$0.00		.000
67	45.00	0.00		.000
68	27.48	0.00		.000
74	55.24	0.00		.000
75	14.27	0.00		.000
85	44.61	0.00		.000
88	14.00	0.00		.000
90	22 <u>.</u> 66	0.00		۰٬000
95	19.47	0.00		.000
96	30.11	0.00		.000
97	18.18	0.00		.000
99	23.97	0.00		.000
100	8.80	0.00		.000
101	16.75	0.00		.000
103	24.50	0.00		.000
105	16.00	0.00		.000
107	11.00	0.00		.000
109	25.00	0.00		.000
111	27.10	0.00		.000
112	22.00	0.00		.000
<b>Potal</b>	\$1,286.13	٥٥ <b>.</b> 00\$		.000
	1936-*	7 E		

1.1	\$ <b>13.</b> 20	\$0 <b>.</b> 00	.000
12	22.12	0.00	.000
13	10.85	0.00	.000
15	31.43	0.00	.000
16	8.25	0.00	.000
17	10.66	0.00	.000
18	24.54	0.00	.000
19	21.74	0.00	.000
20	18.85	0.00	.000
21	18.71	0.00	.000
22	31.19	0.00	.000
24	37.42	0.00	.000
25	43.27	0.00	.000
26	25.20	0.00	.000
29	29.23	0.00	.000

TABLE XI (Continued)
1936-'37

District No.	: Premium	: Loss :	Ratio
31	\$22.45	\$0.00	.000
33	19.25	0.00	.000
34	25.45	0.00	.000
35	24.20	0.00	.000
36	11.80	0.00	.000
38	49.97	0.00	.000
40	18.71	0.00	.000
42	44.25	12.00	.271
43	15.71	135.00	8.561
46	21.74	0.00	.000
47	21.74	0.00	.000
52	17.39	0.00	.000
53	41.80	0.00	.000
57	35.20	0.00	.000
59	44.62	0.00	.000
60	47.37	0.00	.000
67	25.89	0.00	.000
68	27.48	0.00	.000
74	44.24	0.00	.000
75	14.27	0.00	.000
85	44.61	0.00	.000
88	11.17	0.00	.000
90	22.66	0.00	.000
95	15.10	0.00	.000
96	25.46	0.00	.000
97	18.18	0.00	.000
99	28.33	0.00	.000
100	8.80	0.00	.000
101	16.75	0.00	.000
103	24.50	0.00	.000
105	16.00	0.00	.000
107	11.00	0.00	.000
109	22.00	0.00	.000
111	35.28	0.00	.000
112	22.00	0.00	.000
Total	\$1,242.03	\$147.00	.000

TABLE XII

RATIO OF PREMIUM AND LOSS BY YEARS

ON FIFTY RURAL SCHOOLS IN KIOWA COUNTY

Year	:	Total	: -	Total		Ratio
	:	Premiums	:	Loss	:	
1927-128		\$820.05		\$25.00		.031
1928-129		943.59		3.25		.003
1929-*30		1,308.03		0.00		.000
1930-131		1,137.97		0.00		.000
1931-'32		1,102.36		15.00		.013
1932-'33		1,248.60		94.50		.076
1933-'34		1.332.53		0.00		.000
1934-135		1,375.31		0.00		.000
1935-'36		1,286.13		0.00		.000
1936-137		1,242.03		147.00		.118
10 years	total	\$11,796.60		\$284.75		.024

TABLE XIII

RATIO OF PREMIUM AND LOSS BY YEARS ON EIGHT

CONSOLIDATED SCHOOLS IN KIOWA COUNTY

Year	:	Total	:	Total	:	Ratio
	:	Premiums	:	Loss	:	
1932-'33		\$1,268.30		\$31.00		.025
1933-134		1,570.74	2	22,521.00		14.338
1934-135		1,269.82		23.43		.018
1935-'36		1,264.24		200.00		.159
1936-137		1,255.74		23.40		.018
5 years to	tal	\$6,628.84	\$2	22,798.83		3.439
Grand Tota	1	\$18,425.44	\$2	23,083.58		1.254

TABLE XIV

COMPARISON OF LOSS RATIO WITH

THAT OF THE STATE AND NATION

Year	1 <sub>Kiowa</sub>	County Schools			
**************************************	Premium Income	Paid Losses	Ratio	<sup>2</sup> State:3 Ratio:	National Ratio
1927-128	\$820.05	\$25 <b>.</b> 00	.031		.491
1928-'29	943.59	3.25	.003	.425	.469
1929-*30	1,308.03	0.00	.000	.375	.465
1930-'31	1,137.97	0.00	.000	.590	.56 <b>9</b>
1931-'32	1,102.36	15.00	.013	.649	.569
1932-135	2,516.90	46.00	.018	.620	.559
1933-'34	2,903.27	22,521.00	7.753	.476	.469
1934-135	2,645.13	23.43	.009	.378	.426
1935-136	2,550.37	200.00	.008	.481	.355
1936-137	2,497.77	170.40	.006	.475	-
	ni jellegande (S. 1804) filoson, orda jeri da gira Silagai ya kazi in mazi da gira da strangiji sa Nikol	agaretikki olganalysigan august maga-pahri sirkak kirkala nadarri agantifiku arab-kalaik. Du submi			ah Magajarah mangapitan di Tamanga sa mandah mada Salah mada di Salah mada di Salah majara sa di Panti Salah
Total	\$18,425.44	\$23,083.58	1.254	.491	.ep. +++ du

<sup>1</sup>For School year July 1 to June 31

<sup>2</sup>For Calendar year from Annual Reports of Insurance Department of Oklahoma

<sup>3</sup>Statistical Abstracts of the United States, 1937

#### CHAPTER III

#### FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

An attempt has been made in this thesis to bring out the facts concerning insurance on school property in Kiowa County that would be of interest to school men or any others who might be interested in such a study. Every effort was made to keep the study simple, accurate, and practical.

#### A. Inadequacy of Records.

The first fact that became evident was that very inadequate records are kept concerning insurance on school
property. The only record of a public nature is a record
on file in the office of the county superintendent, concerning the status of each school's insurance. This information
is secured from the Annual Report of the clerk of the school
board. A check with the policies gave evidence that these
reports were not in accord with the data on the policies.
In several cases the two were not in agreement on the company insured with. This report was first required by the
county superintendent in 1933. Of course it is required by
law that all warrants issued by a school district be registered with the county treasurer, but until 1932 the purpose
of each warrant was not registered.

#### B. Nature of Company.

There are two types of companies writing insurance of school building in Kiowa County, the regular stock companies and the Farmers Union. Of the thirty-five companies writing insurance on school property in the county, thirty-four are

stock companies. Only one, the Farmers Union, is of a mutual nature. Thirty-three of the companies are foreign; two are domestic. One of these two has since been re-insured in a foreign company.

### C. Change of Company.

Very few schools change companies. When there was a change, it was not because of dissatisfaction with the company, but because of a change in personnel of a school board which desired to favor a friend with its insurance business. There is an exception to this in the change to "farmers' union" companies, which is due in some cases to the lower premiums.

### D. Tendency Toward Farmers Union.

There is a noticeable tendency toward insurance in "farmers' union" companies. In 1927-'28, only one school was insured with a "farmers' union" company. At the end of the ten-year period there were eight (8) of the fifty schools insured in "farmers' union" companies. All town and consolidated schools were insured in stock companies.

# E. Property Under-insured.

The state insurance laws allow school property to be insured for eighty percent of its present value. Assuming that percent to be the correct ratio between value and amount of insurance to carry, the schools of the county are under-insured. The ratio for the rural schools was .577 in 1927-'28, which gradually increased until 1932-'33 .673 was reached. Table X would lead one to believe that the con-

solidated schools are as much over-insured as the rural schools are under-insured, but there is a duplication in nearly all cases since the fire, wind-storm, and explosion policies are written as separate policies. In nearly every instance the insurance is written on the value assessed by the State Insurance Commission.

#### F. Type of Insurance.

As would be expected for that part of the state, a larger amount of insurance is carried against wind-storms than fire. This is always true where separate policies are written. The rural districts insure with a combined policy as a rule. The reason for the seeming inconsistency is thought to be the frequency of hail and tornadoes in that section of the state. Co-insurance is a type of insurance that has become popular in the larger systems, but it was not encountered in this study.

# G. Type of Policy.

The rural schools hold very closely to the combined policy. This is because of the inconvenience of writing two policies. However, there is no advantage in having separate coverage. Very few combined policies are written on the property of the larger schools.

# H. Length of Term of Policy.

The length of the term is determined largely by the desires of the agent writing the policy. It cannot be said that the conditions of prosperity in any way affect the term. The range of the number of one-year policies during any one year was from two to seven. The range of the three-year

policies was from fourteen policies in 1927-'28 to nineteen policies in 1931-'32. The five-year policies ranged from twenty policies in 1927-'28 to twenty-eight policies in 1936-'37. This is not a true indication since more schools were studied in the later years of the study. The ratio was practically constant. However, it can be said without contradiction that most agencies prefer to write long-term insurance. There are two reasons for that. The first is that the longer the term the longer they are sure of having the business. Second, the trend of rates has been downward thus reducing the premium and agents' commission. Since the majority of board members are farmers and feel that they do not have a thorough knowledge of insurance, the details of the insurance are left in the hands of the agent writing the insurance. As a rule the insurance is what the agent desires to write.

# I. Variance of Amount.

The amount of insurance carried seems to vary. In 1927-'28, the ratio of value and amount was .577, which as a rule increased until it reached .673 in 1932-'33. In 1933-'34, the ratio was .633. From there it decreased until a ratio of .484 was reached in 1935-'36. If these figures were plotted, the curve would show a gradual upward climb until the height of the depression was reached, then a downward curve as the depression receded.

# J. Catastrophes Affect Insurance.

Catastrophes have much to do with insurance. Following the New London, Texas disaster, three schools in the county

wrote explosion insurance, where only one was carrying it before the explosion occurred. These three were town schools.

#### K. Losses.

When insurance is mentioned, the first and usually the only factor considered is the ratio of premium and loss.

It would not be very accurate to say that customers were paying too much or too little for their insurance. Table XIV shows that \$18,425.44 was paid to insurance companies during the ten-year period studied, and \$23,083.58 was paid in the form of losses. That is a ratio of 1.254. Companies could not continue to operate if that were true over the entire United States. All years except 1933-'34 show a very, very small ratio. The year 1927-'28 has the highest ratio of the remaining nine years with .031. 1933-'34 C-2 had a fire that razed their building, on which \$22,500.00 worth of insurance was carried. The building was valued at \$40,000.00. This loss was the only fire loss reported during the period. All other losses were minor wind-storm losses. The greater amount of loss was due to fire, but the larger number of losses was caused by windstorm.

#### L. Number and Size of Policies.

It was a noticeable practice that never more than three or four policies were written on the same property in an individual company. Where several policies were written they were well distributed among several companies.

The tendency was to write several small policies rather than one or two large policies. Two-thousand to three-thousand dollar policies were the rule in the combined and fire policies. However, the wind-storm policies were somewhat larger. Six- and eight-thousand dollar policies were not uncommon. The explosion was written in one policy to cover the entire amount.

#### CHAPTER IV

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

There are some needed changes that are suggested very definitely in the findings of the study. First, there should be very definite, detailed, and reliable record of all insurance in force on school property of each county, in the county superintendent's office. Second, at the time of writing of any policy an appropriate body of persons should appraise the property and insurance should be written to cover properly the property. Third, rural schools should be encouraged to insure with mutual companies that are reliable and that insure in rural communities only, because the rate is much lower and the protection is as sound as in stock companies.

The state would profit by the work of a commission appointed to study the insurance of public property with view of making recommendations toward the installation of a state system of insurance.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

Almack, John C., Research and Thesis Writing.

Crawford, Claud C., The Technique of Research in Education.

Gregory, Marshall, Specifications for Statistical Tables.

Read, Jess G., Annual Reports of the Insurance Department of the State of Oklahoma. Copies 1927 to 1937.

Walker and Durost, Statistical Tables.

This Thesis Typed By:

Cecil Cox 214 Hanner Hall Stillwater, Oklahoma