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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

According to a survey of the schools of Oklahoma the number of school children in this state with deficient hearing is about 10 per cent. ${ }^{1}$ Since this group represents a large part of the total enrollment of our schools, it should be studied so as to discover the nature of such a handicap.

Various colleges have purchased audiometers, which have been loaned to school administrators desiring to test the hearing acuity of the pupils in their schools. It was with one of these machines owned by the Oklahoma Agricultural and Mechanical College that the writer made the present study.

This study was in the form of a survey conducted in the Wolf Public Schools, having a main plant and two wing schools, with a district enrollment of 602. The study involved the following problems:

1. Are there group differences between hard of hearing children and those of normal hearing in terms of such measures of personality as ascendance-submission, extroversion-introversion, and emotionality?
2. If there is a difference in the personality of the two groups, does the difference tend to become greater as the child grows older?
[^0]
## CHAPTER II

METHOD OF PROCEDURE
To study the foregoing problems it was necessary to secure school children with deficient hearing to be used as an experimental group, and a like number of children with normal hearing to serve as a control group. The same personality tests were given both groups.

The Western Electric 4-B Audiometer was used to determine the pupils to be used in the experimental and the control groups. Thirty pupils were tested at one time. Papers were scored and those showing a deficiency of six decibels (sensation units) or more were given a retest. All students from the second grade to twelfth inclusive, that were in school during the three days of the testing program, were tested.

A total of 429 pupils were tested for this study. These were grouped into four class-groups with defieiencies as follows:

1. Nine decibels or worse in both ears.
2. Nine decibels or worse in one ear.
3. Six decibels or worse in both ears.
4. Six decibels or worse in one ear.

There were 68 who were included in the above groups. This experimental group consisted of 15.8 per cent of those tested.

With each hard of hearing child, a normal child of the same age, sex, grade and socio-economic status was
selected for the control group. The same personality tests were given to each of these groups.
"Aspects of Personality," a test by Dr. Rudolf Pintner of Columbia University was chosen as the measuring instrument for grades five to eight, inclusive, for the following reasons:

1. It was the only test worked out solely for these grades.
2. The test was so constructed that it was easy to score and to compile results.
3. It required little time for children to complete the test.
4. The items of the inventory represented the ordinary experiences of children of this age.
5. It measured three phases of personality.
The "Nebraska Personality Inventory" was selected as a measuring instrument for grades nine to twelve for the following reasons:
6. The test is adapted for high school students.
7. The items of this test are encountered with situations that students of this age encounter.
8. It requires only a short time, about thirty minutes, to take the test.
9. It measures three phases of personality comparable to those involved in the other test.
10. The test is rather easy to score.

In the "Aspects of Personality," the traits measured are Ascendance-Submission, Extroversion-Introversion, and Bmotionality. A low score in the AscendanceSubmission test signifies that the individual is more submissive. A low score in the Extroversion-Introversion items means that the one taking the test is introverted while a high score shows extroversion. A low score on the Emotionality denotes the lack of emotional balance.

In the "Nebraska Personality Inventory" the Masculinity score compares with the Ascendance-Submission score of Pintner's "Aspects of Personality" tests. The high scores of this test in Masculinity denote introversion and submission while a high score denotes extroversion. In Pintner's "Aspects of Personality" high scores of the Extroversion-Introversion part denote extroversion while the low scores denote introversion. In the Emotionality score the high score shows a lack of emotional balance. In other words the questions of the Pintner's Test are stated positively and a high score on ExtroversionIntroversion for example means that the pupil tends toward being extroverted while the Nebraska Test questions are so constructed that a high score on this part of the test would indicate that the pupil was introverted. This difference must be kept in mind by the reader.

## GHAPTER III

## COMPILATION AND ANALYSIS OF DATA

## TABLE I

REPORT OF AUDIOMETRIC TESTING IN WOLF WING ONE

| Grade | Number <br> Tested <br> $(\mathrm{A})$ | Needed <br> Retest | O. K. <br> by <br> Retest | D. H. | $\%$ <br> of <br> $(\mathrm{A})$ | Both <br> Bars | One <br> (ar <br> Only |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 0 | 1 |
| 4 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 11.1 | 0 | 1 |
| 5 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 33.3 | 0 | 2 |
| 6 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 66.6 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 30 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 20 | 0 | 6 |

## TABLE II

REPORT OF AUDIOMETRIC TESTING IN WOLF WING TWO

| Grade | Number <br> Tested <br> (A) | Number <br> Needed <br> Retest | 0. K. <br> by <br> Retest | D. H. | $\%$ <br> of <br> (A) | Both <br> Ears | One <br> Bar <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 29 | 17 | 10 | 7 | 24.1 | 1 | 6 |
| 3 | 12 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 25. | 0 | 3 |
| 4 | 21 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 19. | 1 | 3 |
| 5 | 26 | 13 | 4 | 9 | 26.9 | 0 | 9 |
| 7 | 18 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 33.3 | 2 | 4 |
| 7 | 12 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 33.3 | 2 | 2 |
| Total | 113 | 59 | 26 | 33 | 26.2 | 6 | 27 |

TABLE III

REPORT OF AUDIOMETRIC TESTING IN WOLF MAIN PLANT

| Grade | Number <br> Tested <br> (A) | Number <br> Needed <br> Retest | 0. K. <br> Retest | D. H. | $\%$ <br> of <br> (A) | Both <br> Ears | Oner <br> Early <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 26 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 7.7 | 0 | 2 |
| 3 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7.1 | 0 | 1 |
| 4 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 27 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 25.9 | 1 | 6 |
| 6 | 19 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 15.7 | 0 | 3 |
| 7 | 28 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3.6 | 0 | 1 |
| 8 | 32 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 3.1 | 1 | 0 |
| 9 | 41 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 12.2 | 0 | 5 |
| 10 | 34 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 14.7 | 1 | 4 |
| 11 | 28 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 10.7 | 0 | 2 |
| 12 | 19 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 10.5 | 1 | 1 |
| Totals 281 | 66 | 37 | 29 | 10.3 | 4 | 25 |  |

TABLE IV
COMPOSITE REPORT OF AUDIOMETRIC TESTING IN
THE ENTIRE WOLF SCHOOL SYSTEM

| Grade | Number <br> Tested <br> (A) | Number <br> Needed <br> Retest | 0. K. <br> by <br> Retest | D. H. | $\%$ <br> of <br> (A) | Both <br> Ears | One <br> Ear <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 60 | 24 | 14 | 10 | 16.6 | 1 | 9 |
| 3 | 30 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 16.6 | 0 | 5 |
| 4 | 43 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 11.6 | 1 | 4 |
| 5 | 59 | 25 | 7 | 10 | 30. | 1 | 17 |
| 6 | 43 | 18 | 8 | 10 | 23.2 | 2 | 8 |
| 7 | 40 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 12.5 | 2 | 3 |
| 8 | 32 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 3.1 | 1 | 0 |
| 9 | 41 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 12.2 | 0 | 5 |
| 10 | 34 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 15. | 1 | 4 |
| 11 | 28 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 7.1 | 0 | 2 |
| 12 | 19 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 10.5 | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 429 | 135 | 68 | 68 | 15.8 | 10 | 58 |

Tables I - IV show the results of the audiometric testing in each of the three schools in the Wolf Public School System by grades and a summary report for the entire system from grades two to twelve inclusive. Each table gives: Number of pupils taking the test (A), number needing a retest (B), that is, pupils who showed a deficiency of six or more decibels in one or both ears on the first test; number who made satisfactory scores on the retest; number found to have a deficiency of six or more decibels in either or both ears on the retest (Deficient hearing shortened to D. H.) ; percentage that the number of deficient hearing is of the number tested; the number having a hearing deficiency in both ears; the number having a deficiency in one ear only.

It will be noted that in Wing One and Wing Two there was an unusally large number needing retests and a much larger percentage of deficient hearing. This may be accounted for due to the fact that these schools are located in the oil field. The engines from the pumping wells may have affected the hearing acuity of the students attending these schools or caused the tests to be less accurate. Wing One showed a hearing deficiency of 20 per cent while Wing Two showed a hearing deficiency of 26.2 per cent. Wing Two is located where the noise is more conspicious. The deficiency of the Main Plant was 10.3 per cent. The entire school plant showed 15.8 per cent deficiency in hearing.

A copy of the results of this audiometer test was given to each teacher and the children with deficient hearing were favored in seating arrangement by placing them close to a point in the room where the teacher customarily gives oral instruction and conducts the daily classwork routine. Reports of these tests together with each individual child's score were sent to Professor Guy A. Lackey of Oklahoma Agricultural and Mechanical College at Stillwater, who in turn reported these to Mr. Baker Bonnell, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, who is the State Supervisor of the Public School Program for Deficient Hearing.
table V
NUMBER OF PUPILS SELECTED FOR STUDY

| Grade | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wolf <br> Main Plant | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 26 |
| Wolf <br> Wing One | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| Wolf <br> Wing Two | 7 | 6 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 16 |
| Total | 16 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 45 |
| Total <br> Boys | 10 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 26 |
| Total <br> Girls | 6 | 8 | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | 19 |

Table $V$ shows the number of hard of hearing pupils chosen for this study. At least one pupil was selected from each grade taught in each of the respective schools in the Wolf Public School System. There were 26 pupils used from the Main Plant where the grades 1-12,inclusive, were taught. At Wing One where grades $1-6$, inclusive, were taught, three pupils were used. Sixteen pupils were selected from Wing Two where grades $1-7$, inclusive, were taught.

It will be noted that 15 boys and 16 girls were selected from the grades $5-8$, inclusive, to take the Pintner"s "Aspects of Personality" tests. In high school there were 11 boys and 3 girls selected to take the Nebraska Test.

## TABLE VI

COMPARATIVE RESULTS OF SCORES ON ASCENDANCE-SUBMISSION
FOR GRADES $5-8$, INCLUSIVE

| Grade Class- Group | Experimental Group <br> Boys Girls <br> Mean $N$ Mean $N$ |  |  |  |  | Cont | rol Gr Gir Mean | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51 | 13 | 1 | - | - | 9 | 1 | - | - |
| $5 \quad 2$ | 13.5 | 2 | 11.5 | 4 | 17.5 | 2 | 13.5 | 4 |
| 54 | 14.9 | 7 | 12 | 2 | 13.7 | 7 | 15 | 2 |
| 5 Average | 14.4 | 10 | 11.6 | 6 | 14.5 | 10 | 14 | 6 |
| 6 2 | - | - | 11.3 | 3 | - | - | 10.6 | 3 |
| 6 3 | - | - | 11.3 | - | - | - | 10.6 | - |
| 6 - 4 | 11.5 | 2 | 16.6 | 5 | 16.5 | 2 | 12.2 | 5 |
| 6 Average | 11.5 | 2 | 15.7 | 8 | 16.5 | 2 | 11.6 | 8 |
| 71 | - | - | 11 | 1 | - | - | 13 | 1 |
| 7 2 | - | - | 11 | 1 | - | - | 10 | 1 |
| $7 \quad 3$ | 13 | 2 | - | - | 10 | 2 | - | - |
| 7 Average | 13 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 11.5 | 2 |
| 8 2 | 11 | 1 | - | - | 26 | 1 | - |  |
| Average for <br> All Groups <br> in Grades 5-8 | 13.6 | 15 | 13.7 | 16 | 14.5 | 15 | 12.5 | 16 |

Table VI shows comparative results of the experimental and control groups on tests for AscendanceSubmission for grades 5-8, inclusive. The mean scores (Mean) and the number of pupils (N) are civen for the various class-groups ( $1,2,3,4$ ) of deficient hearinc pupils and also the average of each grade.

It will be noted in general that the scores of the control group are higher than those of the experimental group for the boys but the control group for the girls are lower. Thus it can be seen that there is no great difference in the mean scores for both boys and girls in Ascendance-Submission for grades 5-8, inclusive, according to the results obtained by giving the Pintner's "Aspects of Personality" tests.

## TABLS VII

COMPARATIVE RUSUTTS OR SCORES ON BXPROVERSION-
IMTROVREGION ZOR GRADES 5 to 8 IMCLUSIVE

| Grade | Class- | Experimental Group |  |  |  | Control Group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Groups | Mean | N | Mean | N | Mean | N | Mean | M |
| 5 | 1 | 18 | 1 | - | - | 16 | 1 | - | - |
| 5 | 2 | 22 | 2 | 18 | 4 | 18.5 | 2 | 16 | 4 |
| 5 | 4 | 20.6 | 7 | 20 | 2 | 21.3 | 7 | 81 | 2 |
| 5 Average |  | 20.6 | 10 | 18.6 | 6 | 20.5 | 10 | 18 | 6 |
| 6 | 2 | - | - | 21.3 | 3 | - | - | 20 | 3 |
| 6 | 3 | - | - | 21.3 | - | - | - | 20 | - |
| 6 | 4 | 22 | 2 | 20.4 | 5 | 24.5 | 2 | 18.5 | 5 |
| 6 Average |  | 22 | 2 | 21.1 | 8 | 24.5 | 2 | 19.2 | 8 |
| 7 | 1 | - | - | 25 | 1 | - | - | 18 | 1 |
| 7 | 2 | - | - | 17 | 1 | - | - | 19 | 1 |
| 7 | 3 | 21 | 2 | - | - | 23 | 2 | - | - |
| 7 Average |  | 21 | 2 | 21 | 2 | 23 | 2 | 18.5 | 2 |
| 8 | 2 | 16 | 1 | - | - | 24 | 1 | - | - |
| Average of All Groups Grades 5-8 |  | 20.5 | 15 | 24.4 | 15 | 21.3 | 15 | 18.6 | 16 |

Table VII shows comparative results of the experimental and control groups for grades $5-8$, inclusive, on the tests for Extroversion-Introversion. On this test the average scores of the experinental group for the boys are lower than those of the control group except in the fifth grade in which they were sbout the same. The scores of the experimental froup for the girls are higher than those of the control group.

## TABLE VIII

## COMPARATV RESULES OR GCORES ON RORTOMAINY

POR QRADES 5-8 IMCLUSIVR

| Grade | ClassGroup | Experimental Group <br> Boys <br> Girls <br> Nean $N$ Mean $N$ |  |  |  | Boy Mean | N N |  | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathrm{N}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 1 | 23 | 1 | - | - | 20 | 1 | $\sim$ | $\cdots$ |
| 5 | 2 | 28.5 | 2 | 29.7 | 4 | 24 | 2 | 21.2 | 4 |
| 5 | 4 | 20.6 | 7 | 27.5 | 2 | 25.2 | 7 | 28.5 | 2 |
| Average |  | 22.4 | 10 | 29 | 6 | 24.5 | 10 | 23 | 6 |
| 6 | 2 | - | - | 22.3 | 3 | - | $*$ | 27.6 | 3 |
| 6 | 3 | - | - | 22.3 | - | - | - | 27.6 | - |
| 6 | 4 | 25 | 2 | 22.6 | 5 | 21 | 2 | 28.2 | 5 |
| Average |  | 25 | 2 | 22.5 | 8 | 21 | 2 | 28.1 | 8 |
| 7 | 1. | - | - | 34 | 1 | - | - | 28 | 1 |
| 7 | 2 | - | - | 20 | 1 | - | - | 28 | 1 |
| 7 | 3 | 30 | 2 | - | - | 24.5 | 2 | - | - |
| Average |  | 30 | 2 | 27 | 2 | 24.5 | 2 | 28 | 2 |
| 8 | 2 | 17 | 1 | - | - | 31 | 1 | - | - |
| Average Ali Grou | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Por } \\ & \text { pups } \end{aligned}$ | 24 | 15 | 25.5 | 16 | 24.5 | 15 | 26.2 | 16 |

Table VIII shows conperative results of scores on emotionality for the experinental and control groups for both boys and giris from Erades 5 to 8 , inclusive. Mean scores of both boys and girls of the experimental group are slightly lower than those of the control group denoting a slight lack of emotional balance in the experimental group.

## TABLE IX

COMPARATIVE RESULTE OF SCORES ON ABCENDANCE-
 TNGLUSIVE

| Grade | ClassGroup |  | N | $\begin{gathered} \text { tal Gn } \\ \text { Gean } \end{gathered}$ | S | Mean |  |  | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 2 | 412 | 2 | 231 | 1 | 263 | 2 | 246 | 1 |
| 9 | 4 | 249 | 1 | 251 | 1 | 268 | 1 | 281 | 1 |
| 10 | 2 | 293 | 2 | - | $\pm$ | 237 | 2 | - | - |
| 10 | 3 | 231 | - | - | - | 230 | - | - | - |
| 10 | 4 | 243 | 2 | 225 | 1 | 257 | 2 | 256 | 1 |
| 11. | 2 | 265 | 2 | - | - | 252 | 2 | - | - |
| 12 | 2 | 356 | 1 | - | 1 | 237 | 1 | $=$ | - |
| 12 | 3 | 356 | - | - | - | 237 | - | - | - |
| 12 | 4 | 259 | 1 | - | - | 259 | 1 | - | - |
| Average |  | 295 |  | 236 | 3 | 249 | 11 | 261 | 3 |
| Whe We Score on the Mebraska Fersonality Inventory |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heasures in substance the same things as the ascendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Pable IX shows comparative results of the experimental and control groups on Ascendance-Submission (M Score) of the grades 9 to 12 , inclusive. The experimental group for the boys has a much higher score than the control group, denoting that the hard of hearing boys in hich school are more submissive than those of nornal hearing. The conirol group for the girls hes a higher score then that of the experimental group.

## TABLEX

CORPARATITA RESULTE OP SOORES OE TARROVEFSION-


| Grade | ClassGroup | Mxperimertal Groun <br> Boys <br> Girls |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Control Group } \\ & \text { Boys Girls } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | N | wean | N | Moan | 3 | Moan | N |
| 9 | 2 | 382 | 2 | 350 | 1 | 361 | 2 | 373 | 1 |
| 9 | 4 | 341 | 1 | 365 | 1 | 323 | 1. | 342 | 1 |
| 10 | 2 | 250 | 2 | - | - | 333 | 2 | - | - |
| 10 | 3 | 387 | $\cdots$ | - | - | 323 | - | - | - |
| 10 | 4 | 327 | 2 | 440 | 1 | 351 | 2 | 338 | 1 |
| 11 | 2 | 337 | 2 | - | - | 310 | $\varepsilon$ | - | - |
| 12 | 2 | 255 | 1. | - | - | 310 | 1. | - | - |
| 12 | 3 | 255 | - | - | - | 310 | - | - | - |
| 12 | 4 | 365 | 1. | - | - | 287 | 1 | - | $\sim$ |
| Avera 4116 Eigh | for ups in 001 | 311 | 11 | 385 | 3 | 334 | 11 | 349 | 5 |

Table $X$ shows comparative results of the experimental and control groups on the tost on axtroveraionIntroversion for eredes nine to twelve, inclusive. It will be notea thet the experimental group of boys showe a low seore which donotes introversion, and the experimental eroup of girls shows a nigh score which denotes a tendency towards extroversion.

## TRDLE ZI

## 

FOR GRADES MENE TO TRELUR TMCLUEIVE

| Grade | ClassGroup | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wxperinental Group } \\ & \text { Boys Girls } \\ & \text { Eean nean } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | Boys Mean | Control Group |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 2 | 347 | 2 | 342 | 1 | 368 | 2 | 245 | 1 |
| 9 | 4 | 355 | 1 | 353 | 1 | 313 | 1 | 390 | 1 |
| 10 | 2 | 353 | 2 | - | - | 366 | 2 | - | - |
| 10 | 3 | 365 | - | - | - | 365 | - | - | - |
| 10 | 4 | 364 | 2 | 309 | 1 | 375 | 2 | 346 | 1 |
| 11 | 2 | 359 | 2 | - | - | 333 | 2 | - | - |
| 12 | 2 | 359 | 1 | - | - | 314 | 1 | - | $\cdots$ |
| 12 | 3 | 359 | - | - | - | 314 | - | - | - |
| 12 | 4 | 381 | 1 | - | - | 339 | 1 | - | - |
| Averag All Glo <br> High | $\begin{aligned} & \text { efor } \\ & \text { oups in } \\ & \text { chool } \end{aligned}$ | 360 | 11 | 334 | 3 | 334 | 11 | 300 | 3 |

Table XI shows comparative results of the experimental and control groups on the test on motionality for grades 9 to 12, inclusive. Both the boys and girls of the experimental group show a higher score which denotes a lack of emotional balance.

CFADCRR IV
COmancisuly Of Dath
The purpose of this chapter is to nake a comparison or the data presented in the preceding chapter. Noms por ach cass-group (1, 2, 3, 4) are listed ror grade boys, grado girls, high school boys and high schcol girls.

The three tables in this chapter, together with the explanation and interpretation rollowing each, will indicate what differences, if any, are to be found between the hard of hearing and normal children.

Differences between mean scores of the experimental and control groups are listed as positive difference Nean score of the control group showing better personality aspects than the nean score of the experimental groupl and nogetive difference (mean score of the experimental group showing better personality aspects than thet of the control groupl. Our primary concern in this chapter is the study of these differences.

## MABLE XIL




| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Class- } \\ & \text { Group } \end{aligned}$ | Hean | N | Mean | N | positive <br> Difference | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mogative } \\ & \text { Difference } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sxperimontal Grouy? |  | Control <br> Group |  |  |  |
|  | Boys 5-8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 13 | 1 | 3. | 2 | - | 5. |
| 2 | 12.3 | 3 | 17.0 | 3 | 4.7 | - |
| 3 | 13 | 2 | 10 | 2 | - | 2.0 |
| 4 | 13.2 | 9 | 14.8 | 9 | 1.1 | - |
|  | Girls 5-8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 11 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 2.0 | - |
| 2 | 11.4 | 8 | 10.7 | 8 | $\cdots$ | . 7 |
| 3 | 11.3 | - | 10.6 | - | - | .7 |
| 4 | 15.2 | 7 | 14.4 | 7 | - | . 8 |
|  | Boye 9-12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 378 | 7 | 251 | 7 | 127 | - |
| 3 | 328.5 | - | 238.5 | - | 200 | $\cdots$ |
| 4 | 251 | 4 | 8.60 | 1 | - | 11. |
|  | Girls 9-12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 231 | 1 | 846 | 1 | - | 15. |
| 4 | 238 | 2 | 268.5 | 2 | - | 30.5 |

Table KII gives a comparison of results of the experimental and control groups on hecendance-Subaission, showing the number tested (N), mean scores and the positive or negative aifference.

Ve are concerned with the differences as found in the last two colums of each table.

It will be observed that the experimental and control groups on the grades show very little difference in mean scores but the slight difference bears out the opinion that the hard of hearing children are more submissive than normal hearing children.

It will be noted that the difference between the hard of hearing pupils and the normal pupils is more pronounced in the high school boys in groupine 2 and 3 indicating that as the pupils grow older they become more submissive.

The differences in the noris of the high school sirls do not indicate that slight deficiencies in hoaring affect the Ascendance and Submission; if any
difference is noted the deficient hearing girls have more ascendance.

TABE XITE



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { QLass- } \\ & \text { Grour } \end{aligned}$ | Wean | 1 | Mean | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { yositive } \\ & \text { Difference } \end{aligned}$ | Megetive Difierence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wxperimental Groue |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Concrol } \\ & \text { Group } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Boys 5-8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 18 | 1 | 16 | 1 | - | 2 |
| 2 | 20 | 3 | 20.5 | 3 | . 5 | - |
| 3 | 21. | 2 | 23 | 2 | 2.0 | - |
| 4 | 21 | $\theta$ | 22 | 9 | 1.0 | - |
| Girls 5-8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 25 | 2 | 18 | 1 | - | 7. |
| 2 | 19 | 8 | 18 | 8 | - | 1. |
| 3 | 21.3 | - | 20 | - | - | 1.3 |
| 4 | 20.3 | 7 | 10.1 | 7 | - | 1.2 |
| Boys 9-12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 321.1 | 7 | 304 | 7 | 17.1 | - |
| 3 | 266 | - | 316 | - | - | 50. |
| 4 | 335.5 | 4 | 328 | 4 | 11.5 | - |
| Girls 9-12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 350 | 1 | 373 | 1 | - | 23. |
| 4 | 397.5 | 2 | 337 | 8 | 60.5 | - |

Table XIII gives a comparizon of results for the experimental and control groups on tests for ExtroversionIntroversion, showing the number ( $N$ ), mean scores, difference in the means of the groupings of the classes of deficient hearing (1, $2,3,4$ ) expressed in positive and negative differences.

The results of this table show that boys in both grade and high school with deficient hearing are more introverted than the boys in the control groups. The table indicates that the girls of deficient hearing in the grades are more extroverted than the control group but they become nore introverted as they grow older.

TABLE XIV
CORPARISON OE BESULTS ON BROTIONALITY
FOR GRADES FIVE TO TMELV管 INCLUSIVA

| ClassGroup | Mean | N | Nean | N | positive <br> Difference | Negative <br> Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Experimental Group |  | Control Group |  |  |  |
|  | Boys 5-8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 23 | 1 | 20 | 1 | - | 2. |
| 2 | 22 | 3 | 26.3 | 3 | 4.3 | - |
| 3 | 30 | 2 | 24.5 | 2 | - | 5.5 |
| 4 | 21.6 | 9 | 24.2 | 9 | 2.6 | - |
|  | Girls 5-8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 34 | 1 | 28 | 1 | - | 6 |
| 2 | 25.7 | 8 | 24.5 | 8 | - | 1.2 |
| 3 | 22.3 | - | 27.6 | - | 5.6 | - |
| 4 | 23.7 | 7 | 27.7 | 7 | - | 4 |
|  | Boys 9-12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 354 | 7 | 354 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 362 | - | 339.5 | - | 22.5 | 0 |
| 4 | 366 | 4 | 351.7 | 4 | 14.6 | $\infty$ |
|  | Girls 9-12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 342 | 1 | 245 | 1 | 97. | - |
| 4 | 346.5 | 2 | 368 | 2 | - | 21.5 |

Table XIV gives a conparison of results for the experimental and control groups on the tests for Enotionality, showing the number tested (N), mean scores, and positive and negetive differences, of the class-groups (1, 2, 3, 4) of deficient hearing.

The tables indicate that there is no appreciable difference between the oxperimental and control groups, but the slight difference indicates that both boys and girls mith deficient hearing lose sone of their emotional balance as they grom older.

GEAPTER V
BUmary amd conclugions
The conclusions of this study cannot be considered final. There are many factors which influence a stuay of this kind. First, the accuracy of audioneter testing is rather difficult to secure in some conmanities. Then, the measurenent of personality is rather difficult due to lack of standardization. Furtheraore, it is difficult to secure an adequate number of cases of deficient hearing children.

Since the number of cases of herd of hearing children was so small, and the averages were so close together, the differences are not significant.

This study does not indicate that children with slight deficiencies in hearing are any nore apt to have personality defects than chilaren with normal hearing. Such slight differences as were found mey be sumarized as follows:

1. The boys with deifcient hearing are slightly more submissive than boys of normal hearing.
2. The boys with deficient hearing are slightly more introverted than boys of normal hearing. The girls that are herd of hearing becone more introverted as they becone older.
3. Both boys and eirls, in the erades, with deficient hearing show very littio diperence in emotionality conpared with pupils of nomal hearing. There is a tendency toward loss in emotional balanoe as they grow older. 4. There is a tendency for all herd of hearing children to be veak in one or wore personality traits.
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