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## Preface

The problem of generalizing Menger's ${ }^{l}$ result was first raised by S. Hoberman, and suggested to the author of this paper by Dr. J. C. C. McKinsey. The formulation of the principal theorem here proved is due to Miss Helen Dayton. The proof, the first given for this theorem, is original.

In Menger's paper there is a proof for the special case $n=2$. The proof here given is valid for all $\mathrm{n}>1$, and thus includes his result. The theorem provides a decision method, that is, a method for determining whether an arbitrary expression is a formula.
$l_{\text {Karl Menger, Eine elemtare Bemarkung iber die Structure logischer }}$ Formeln.
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Section I. Definitions and Lemmas.
All numbers used in the following are integers, for which the results of arithmetic are assumed.

Notation: The symbol $p_{i}$ is a sentential variable for any integer $i$, and will be referred to as a 'variable." The symbol $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{R}$ is an n-ary connective for any $j$ and $n>1$, and will be called simply a connective.

Definitions:
(1). An expression is a sequence $s_{1} \ldots s_{k}$ such that $s_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$ is a variable or connective.
(2). An initial segment of an expression is an expression $s_{1} \ldots s_{i}$, where $i<k$.
(3). A terminal segment of an expression is an expression $s_{t} \ldots s_{k}$, where $t>1$.
(4). For each $n>1$, a formula is an expression contained in every set K such that:
(a) Eivery variable is in K.
(b) If $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are in $K, R x_{1} \ldots x_{n}$ is in $K$.

From (4) we have immediately the lemmas:
(5). If all variables have a property, and if when $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ have the property, $\mathrm{Bx}_{1} \ldots \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}$ has the property, then all formulas have the property.
(6). Eyery variable is a formula. If $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are formulas, then $B x_{1} \ldots x_{n}$ is a formula.

Section II. Principal Theorem.
Necessary and sufficient conditions that an expression $x=s_{2} \ldots s_{k}$ be a formula are:
(Cl) $v_{i}<(n-1) c_{i}+1$
(c2) $v_{k} \propto(n-1) c_{k}+1$
where $x_{1}=$ an initial segment of $x$ for $i=1, \ldots, k-1$
$\nabla_{i}=$ the number of variables in $x_{i}$
$c_{i}=$ the number of connectives in $x_{i}$
$v_{k}=$ the number of variables in $x$
$c_{k}=$ the number of connectives in $x$.

The conditions are necessary:
Every variable satisfies (1) vacuously and (2), since $c_{k}=0$.
To show by (5) that (C1) and (C2) hold for all formulas, we assume they hold for $z_{1}, \ldots z_{n}$ and consider $x=\mathrm{Rz}_{1} \cdots z_{n}$. Let $y$ be an initial segment of $x$. Then one of the following is true:
(7) y is an initial segment of $\mathrm{Rz}_{1}$.
(8) $y=R z_{1} \ldots z_{h}$ for some $h<n$.
(9) $\mathrm{Rz}_{1} \ldots z_{h}$ is an initial segment of $y$ for some $h<n$.

If (7) holds, $x$ obviously satisfies (Cl).
If (8) holds, let
$u_{i}=$ number of variables in $z_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, h$
$q_{i}=$ number of connectives in $z_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, h$
$u_{y}=$ number of variables in $y$
$q_{y}=$ number of connectives in $y$.
Then we have:

$$
\text { (10) } \begin{aligned}
q_{y} & =q_{1}+\ldots+q_{h}+1 \\
u_{y} & =u_{1}+\ldots+u_{h} \\
& =(n-1)\left(q_{1}+\ldots+q_{n}\right)+h \text { by (2) for each } z_{i} \\
& =(n-1)\left(q_{y}-1\right)+h \text { by (10) } \\
& =(n-1) q_{y}-n+h+1
\end{aligned}
$$

But $h-n<0$, since $h<n$, so that $u_{y}<(n-1) q_{y}+1$.
If (9) holds, consideration of (8) and $z_{h+1}$ leads to the desired result; thus (Cl) holds for all formulas.

The proof that (C2) holds for $x$ is the same as the proof for case (8) above, with $u_{y}=v_{k}, q_{y}=c_{k}$, and $h=n$. Thus (c2) holds for all formulas.

The conditions are sufficient:
This is proved by an induction on the length of the expression. If $x$ is an expression of length one, this one symbol by (C2) must be a variable. This is a formula by (6). Suppose then that all expressions of length $<\mathbf{k}$ satisfying (C1) and (C2) are formalas, and that $x=s_{1} \ldots s_{k}$ satisfies (Cl) and (C2), where $k>1$.

If $3_{1}$ is a variable, by (Cl) we have $1<1$. Hence $s_{1}$ is a comective. In any terminal segnent, if $v_{t}$ is the number of variables, and $c_{t}$ the number of connectives, we have for $t=1+i$ for some $i$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{t} & =c_{k}-c_{i} \\
v_{t} & =v_{k}-v_{i} \\
& >(n-1) c_{k}+1-(n-1) c_{i}-1 \text { by (c1) and (c2) } \\
& =(n-1)\left(c_{k}-c_{i}\right) \\
& =(n-1) c_{t} \\
\text { or (11) } v_{t} & =(n-1) c_{t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

write $x=R x^{\prime}=R s_{2} \ldots s_{k}$. If $s_{2}=x_{1}$ is a variable, it is a formula by (6). If $s_{2}$ is a connective, this initial segment of $x^{\prime}$ satisfies (Cl). Let $x_{1}$ be the shortest segment which does not satisfy (Cl), i.e. such that $v_{1}=(n-1) c_{1}+1$, where $v_{1}$ and $c_{1}$ are defined for $x_{1}$ as usual. There is such a segment by (II) for $x^{\prime}$. Thus $x_{1}$ satisfies (C1) and (C2), and is a formula by the induction hypothesis. We write $x=R x_{1} x^{\prime \prime}$, and construct in the
same manner formulas $x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}$ so that $x=\mathrm{Bx}_{1} \ldots \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}}$. It is possible to exhaust the symbols of $x$ in this manner, since $k$ is an integer, and each $x_{i}$ contains at least one symbol.

As in the proof of (CI) for case (8), we have

$$
v_{k}=(n-1) c_{k}-n+1+m
$$

but $\quad v_{k}=(n-1) q_{k}+1$ by (c2) for $x_{0}$
Hence $m-n=0$ or $m=n$. We conclude by (6) that $x$ is a formula.
This completes the proof of the theorem.
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