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## CHAPTIER I

## INTRODUCTION

## Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this study is to assemble the teaching problems which are representative of those which confront the high school teacher of general business; to determine the importance, the degree of difficulty, and the frequency of occurrence of the problems; to analyze these problems in the light of the preparation and experience of the teachers; and, on the basis of the data gathered, to make recommendations for the training of teachers for future classes in general business.

The questions to be answered by this study are as follows:

1. What are the teaching problems of the high school teacher in teaching the course in general business?
2. How important are these teaching problems?
3. How often do these problems occur?
4. What is the degree of difficulty in solving these teaching problems?
5. What connection is there between the teaching problems and the preparation and experience of the teacher of general business?

Need for the Study
According to Overman's study ${ }^{l}$ of the status and trends of general business in the schools of Oklahoma, there is a trend toward a wider introduction of the course in the schools of Oklahoma. There is a rapid expansion in the number of schools offering general business and the number

1 Glenn D. Overman, "Status and Trends of the Course in General Business in the Schools of Oklahoma." Unpublished Master's thesis. Oklahoma Agricultural and Mechanical College, 1946, p. 89.
of students enrolled in the course. However, according to Overman, ample opportunity remains for further growth, inasmuch as four-fifths of the schools of Oklahoma did not offer general business during the school years 1943-1944 and 1944-1945.

Most graduates of business teacher-training schools seem to prefer the teaching of skill subjects to the teaching of general business. In commenting upon the present status of junior business training, Tonne has this to say:

Many teachers have the teaching of business training thrust upon them. They do not like the subject nor do they understand it. Some of them turn it into a course in business arithmetic, others, into a course in elementary single-entry bookkeeping.

Coonrad, 3 in his survey of the opinions of administrators and general business teachers regarding selected aspects of the course in general business in the schools of Oklahoma, found that teachers who were teaching shorthand, typewriting, and bookkeeping in addition to the course in general business preferred to teach the skill subjects and bookkeeping rather than general business.

Freeman and Stroop have commended:
If adequate training for the performance of business activities is to be given in the secondary school, the teacher-training institutions must assume the responsibility of adequately preparing teachers for such work. These teachers should be as well qualified for this work as secretarial and bookkeeping teachers have been for their specialized fields. Such training must not be incidental to the training of a business teacher but should be offered as a major for those electing it as a teaching field.

2 Herbert A. Tonne, Business Education Basic Principles and Trends, The Gregg Publishing Company, 1939, p. 254.

3 Harold A. Coonrad, "A Survey of the Opinions of Administrators and General Business Teachers Regarding Selected Aspects of the Course in General Business in the Schools of Oklahoma." Unpublished Master's thesis. Oklahoma. Agricultural and Mechanical College, 1949, p. 20.

4 M. Herbert Freeman and Christine Stroop, "General Business Mraining Problems," UBEA Forum, Vol. I, No. 2, April, 1947, p. 21.

Tonne further states:
The best means of developing competency in these activities must be determined through research and clear thinking. Courses of study mast be developed; teachers must be properly trained; and adequate teaching and testing materials must be developed. 5

Through an analysis of problems in teaching the present course in general business, in the light of the preparation and experience of the present teachers, it is hoped to further the aims and objectives of the course--knowledge of sound economic living principles, and basic vocational and pre-vocational training.

## Scope

The findings of the study are based on information concerning 85 of the 134 white public high schools that were offering general business during the school year 1947-1948.

## Sources of Data

Information from which to compile the list of general business teachers used in this study was available in the State Department of Rducation. The study includes accredited senior high schools in the state of Oklahoma for the school year 1947-1948. A total of 825 high schools were accredited for the school year of 1947-1948. ${ }^{6}$ This study is limited to the 731 white accredited high schools which includes 122 white schools which are members of the North Central Association of Colleges and Secondary Schools.

## Method and Procedures

The normative-survey method of research was used in this study.
Normative-survey research is directed toward ascertaining the prevailing conditions. It seeks to answer the question, "What are the real facts with regard to the existing conditions? ${ }^{\prime \prime}$

5 Tonne, op. cit., p. 20.
6 State of Oklahoma, Department of Education, Annual High School Bulletin. Bulletin No. 112--W, June 30, 1948, p. 3.

7 Carter V. Good, A. S. Barr, Douglas R. Scates, The Methodology of Educational Research, D. Appleton-Century Company, 1935, p. 287.

The compound adjective "normative-survey" is applied to this method in order to suggest the two closely related aspects of this kind of study. The word "survey" indicates the gathering of data regarding current conditions. The word "normative" is used because surveys are frequently made for the purpose of ascertaining what is the normal or typical condition, or practice. 8

As the purpose of this study is to determine the current or typical
 survey type of research be employed.

Two instruments were employed in assembling the primary data: the uniform problems check list and personal data sheet; supplementary data were taken from the "Applications for High School Accrediting" 9 on file at the State Department of Education.

The first step was the construction of the problems check list. ${ }^{10}$ As the first purpose of the study was to assemble the problems of the general business teacher, considerable available literature was examined and interviews with general business teachers were held, with the view of determining the factors and problems that should be included in the check list.

After the first preliminary problems check list was devised, it was presented to a seminar of graduate students in business education at Oklahoma A. \& M. College in order to validate the procedure. As a result of this presentation, a major revision was made. The revised form was presented to a second seminar of graduate students in business education at Oklahoma A. \& M. College. As a result of the second presentation, another major revision was necessary. It was desired to have an instrument that would be thoroughly understood so that the data obtained would be valid.

8 Ibid., p. 289.
9 A copy is included in the Appendix.
10 A copy is included in the Appendix.

The revised form was presented to specialists in the field of business education. After a few minor revisions it was then presented to a trial group of general business teachers on the campus of Oklahoma A. \& M. College. No changes were suggested as a result of these personal interviews.

Two additional forms ${ }^{1 l}$ were used in gathering the necessary data for the study. The first was a data sheet to obtain information from the "Applications for High School Accrediting" on file at the State Department of Education, Oklahoma City. The second was a personal data sheet to accompany the problems check list to obtain additional information concerning the qualifications and experiences of the general business teachers to supplement that obtained at the State Department of Education. These data sheets were revised several times with the help of the major adviser.

Records of the State Department of Education were consulted to determine those high schools which were offering the general business course during the school year 1947-1948. From the "Applications for High School Accrediting," which must be filled out and mailed to the Department of Education each year by any high school seeking accrediting, the following information was secured: name and address of the school, administrators' names; size of school; whether general business was being offered in 19471948; number of students enrolled in the general business course last year (1946-1947) and this year (1947-1948); grade level for general business; name and address of each general business teacher; teaching fields, degree, and certificates held by the general business teacher; and teaching load of the general business teacher.

11 Copies are included in the Appendix.

A totel of 190 schools were accredited to teach the course in general business during the school year 1947-1948. ${ }^{12}$ It was found that 134 schools taught the course in 1947-1948. Fifty-six schools were accredited to teach the course but were following the plan for combining and alternating high school subjects as suggested by the State Department of Fducation $^{13}$ and did not teach the course in general business during 1947-1948. As has been indicated, this study is limited to the schools that taught the course during the school year 1947-1948.

A map of the State of Oklahoma was secured. A red map pin was used to mark each of the 134 towns that represented the mailing addresses of the schools that taught the course in general business. As the general business teacher of each of these schools responded, the red map pin was replaced by a blue one. This procedure gave a continuous check of the location of all the respondents.

Sixteen, or 11.9 per cent, of the 134 general business teachers were contacted by personal interview. Five were interviewed at Oklahoma A. \& M. College, Stillwater; 2 at Northeastern State College, Tahlequah; 2 at the University of Oklahoma, Norman; and 7 at their home addresses. These interviews were made during the last two weeks of July, 1948, which was near the close of the summer term. On August 2, 1948, a copy of the problems check list, personal data sheet, and an explanatory letter ${ }^{14}$ was mailed to each of the remaining 128 general business teachers. The first mailing drew 43 responses, which was a return of about 32.1 per cent of the original 134. A second letter with another copy of the problems check list and

12 Annual High School Bulletin, pp. 49-72.
13 Ibid., pp. 24-26.
14 A copy is included in the Appendix.
personal data sheet was mailed on November 5, 1948, to those general business teachers that did not respond to the first letter. The second mailing drew 26 responses. Including those obtained through personal interview, a total of 85 responses were received, which represented a return of about 64 per cent of the original 134.

Since it was the purpose of this study to assemble the problems of the general business teacher; to determine the importance, the degree of difficulty, and the frequency of occurrence; instructions for checking the problems were given in detail on the problems check list, as follows:

To Teachers of General Business:
The check list below presents a list of problems that may confront the teacher of a course in general business.

On the basis of your personal experience in teaching the course in general business, please check the appropriate columns to indicate:

1. Whether you find the problem to be of (a) major importance, (b) minor importance, (c) no importance.
2. Whether solving the problem gives you (a) great difficulty, (b) some difficulty, (c) little difficulty.
3. Whether the problem is present in your teaching (a) frequently, or much of the time; (b) occasionally, or some of the time; (c) rarely, or little of the time.

Adequate space was provided for the teacher to check the problems according to the above instructions. Additional space was given for listing problems which had been encountered by the teacher but had not been included in the check list. Fach teacher was also asked to give the percentage of problems that he believed to be covered by the check list. This question was stated as follows:

In your opinion what percentage of the problems of teaching general business has been included in this check list? Indicate your answer by circling one of the following:
100\%
95\%
$90 \%$
85\%
80\% 75\% 70\%
65\% 60\%
55\%
$50 \%$

This was for the purpose of encouraging the teachers to give additional problems and to further validate the problems that were assembled.

The information requested on the personal data sheet included the following items which were believed necessary to supplement the data obtained from the State Department of Education: specific or special training received for teaching general business--courses dealing with content material and courses dealing with methods of teaching; teaching experience; and business experience.

An analysis of the data obtained is reported in the following chapters.

## Definition of Terms

The following terms are defined as used throughout this study.
Problems: any difficulty which confronts the teacher that requires solution and which has arisen in connection with or grown out of his work as a general business teacher.

General business: a first course in business designed primarily to acquaint pupils with the economic goods and services which are available to everyone. 15 Since the course is offered under various titles, courses titled, "Fveryday Business," "Junior Business Training," "Introduction to Business," "Rlementary Business," and "Business Life," are considered to be courses in general business.

General business teachers: The individual responsible for classroom instruction in the general business course as defined for this study.

Course: The "organized subject matter which is offered within a

15 Handbook for High School Courses, Bulletin No. 120 D, Oklahoma Department of Education, $1940, \mathrm{p} .80$.
given period of time and for which credit toward graduation or certification is usually given. ${ }^{16}$

Accredited high school: Any high school listed as accredited in the Annual High School Bulletin, published annually by the Oklahoma State Department of Education. ${ }^{17}$

School: "an organized group of pupils pursuing defined studies a.t defined levels and receiving instruction from one or more teachers. ${ }^{118}$

Grade: "a major division of the instructional program, representing the work of one school year. ${ }^{19}$

Grade level: "a measure of educational maturity stated in terms of the school grade attained by the individual pupil or a group of pupils at any time." 20

State course of study: a combination of "suggested courses of study for the various subjects taught in the public elementary and secondary schools of the state, prepared and distributed by the State Department of Education." ${ }^{21}$ The state course of study referred to throughout this study is the Oklahoma State Course of Study in Business Bducation.

Teaching load: the number of classes per day and the number of different subjects taught by the teacher.

Teacher preparation: the number of college courses taken, semester hours earned, and degrees held by the teacher.

16 Carter V. Good, Dictionary of Fducation, p. 106.
17 Annual High School Bulletin, State Department of Education, 1948.
18 Good, op. eit., p. 358.
19 Ibid, p. 187.
20 Ibid, p. 188.
21 Ibid, p. 107.

Teaching experience: the number of semesters the teacher has taught.
General business teaching experience: the number of semesters the teacher has taught the course in general business.

Business experience: the number of months the teacher has worked in occupations such as typist, stenographer, or sales person.

## Review of Related Studies

## Trends of General Business

Overman ${ }^{22}$ analyzed the trends of the course in general business in schools of Oklahoma over a ten-year period, 1936-1937 to 1945-1946, in order to determine the practice of Oklahoma schools with reference to the extent of offering, grade placement, and other phases of the course.

Scope of the study. All senior high schools accredited by the State Department of Education and all junior high schools approved by the State Department of Education for the school years 1936-1937, 1940-1941, 19441945, and 1945-1946 are included in this study. As a basis for analyzing trends of the course in general business, the ten-year period between 1936-1937 and 1945-1946 was chosen. From this ten-year period, four school years were chosen for detailed study. This study is limited to information available from the official records, reports, and publications of the Oklahoma State Department of Education.

Findings and Conclusions. There has been a decided increase in the total number of schools offering general business during the ten years between 1936-1937 and 1945-1946. The number of schools offering general business increased from 14 in 1936-1937 to a maximum of 145 in 1944-1945.

22 Glenn D. Overman, "Status and Trends of the Course in General Business in the Schools of Oklahoma." Unpublished Master's thesis, Oklahoma Agricultural and Mechanical College, 1946.

The number of students enrolled in general business in both junior and senior high schools increased from 864 in 1936-1937 to a maximum of 4,201 in 1944-1945. At the beginning of the ten-year period, approximately three-fourths of the students enrolled in general business were in the junior high schools. However, at the close of this period, 77.5 per cent of the general business enrollment in Oklahoma was in the senior high schools.

The majority of the junior high schools offering general business for each of the selected years were the large schools, while senior high schools offering general business were, for the most part, small schools with total enrollments under 200. Small schools with total enrollments of less than 200 accounted for 92 , or 82.9 per cent, of the number of senior high schools offering general business in 1945-1946.

A total of 203 different schools, or more than one-fifth of the total approved junior high schools and accredited senior high schools in the state, offered general business during the two-year period, 1944-1945 and 1945-1946. Of this number, 187 were senior high schools which were located in 71 of the 77 counties of Oklahoma.

The course in general business was offered on all grade levels and combinations of grade levels. Al though the modal practice in senior high schools was to offer the course on the tenth grade level or below, during the four years studied the course was offered in senior high schools on every grade level and on many combinations of grade levels.

Nine different course titles were used by junior high schools and 16 different titles were used by senior high schools during the four years studied. "General Business" was the course title most frequently used in the senior high schools.

A majority of the general business teachers in the senior high schools in each of the years studied had commerce majors. More than three-fourths of the teachers reported each year had some commerce credit. During the first half of the ten-year period, 1936-1937 to 1940-1941, all of the senior high school general business teachers had either commerce credit or social science teaching fields. However, during 1944-1945, 11 general business teachers, and in 1945-1946, 12 teachers, or approximately 10 per cent each year, had neither commerce credit nor social science teaching fields.

For an extended discussion of the origin, growth, and development of the course in general business in the United States, the reader is referred to Overman's thesis.

## Opinions of Teachers and Administrators

A study made by Coonrad 23 seeks to determine the opinions of Oklahoma general business teachers concerning certain aspects of the general business course as offered in the schools of Oklahoma during the school year 1946-1947. The opinions of a selected group of school administrators are also examined. Specifically, the study sought to determine the background of the general business teacher in Oklahoma with regard to teaching experience and subject-matter field preparation; the rank of general business in the scale of teachers' preferences for teaching the subjects assigned to them; the grade level, or levels, on which the course should be offered; whether general business classes composed of pupils from upper and lower grades can be administered satisfactorily; the department of the school

[^0]administering the course; and other questions concerning certain phases of the course.

Procedure and Sources of Data. The findings of the study are based on information concerning 94 accredited high schools and 8 approved junior high schools that were offering general business during the school year 1946-1947. To provide a primary source of data, a questionnaire was prepared and mailed to 119 general business teachers and 73 school administrators. The mailing list for the questionnaire was compiled from the "Applications for High School Accrediting" and "Applications for Junior High School Approval" on file in the State Department of Rducation, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. These forms served also as a secondary source of data, providing information regarding grade level of the course, subject-matter field preparation and degree held by the teacher, and size of school.

Selected Findings. Of the 102 teachers reporting in this study, 95 , or 93 per cent, had either commerce or social science teaching fields.

Of the 97 teachers who taught 2 or more subjects, including general business, only 7 assigned first preference to the course in general business.

The teachers reporting in this study preferred the teaching of typewriting, shorthand, and bookkeeping to the teaching of general business.

There was general agreement among the teachers that general business should be offered on some grade level, or combination of grade levels, from 8 to 10, and that eleventh and twelfth grade pupils should be excluded from the class.

Ninety-one of the 100 teachers reporting believed the commerce department should be responsible for the organization, administration, and supervision of the course in general business.

Of the 91 teachers who believed that the commerce department should be responsible for the general business course, $4+$ checked the following reason for their opinion: "General business is more closely related to other courses in that department, and should be correlated with them." The same number of teachers, 44 , checked the following reason for believing the commerce department should be charged with organization, administration, and supervision of the general business course: "The teachers in that department are, by training and experience, more conversant with business principles and practice."

Fighty-nine of 100 teachers reporting believed that the subject-matter field of commerce should best qualify an individual to teach general business.

Forty-three, or nearly one-half, of the 89 teachers who believed commerce to be the most desirable field of study for the general business teacher checked the following reason for their opinion: "The course in general business should be taught by one who, by training and experience, has an intimate insight into business." Twenty-four of the 89 respondents who recommended commerce checked: "General business is offered to all pupils regardless of their curriculum; a teacher with a commerce ma.jor should be most conversant with the methodology of presenting a course of this nature." Twenty-one of the 89 teachers who believed the subjectmatter field of commerce to be most desirable for the generai business teacher checked: "One purpose of the course is to develop certain skills; the teacher with a commerce major is best qualified by training to develop these particular skills."

Seventy-seven, or about three-fourths, of the 102 teachers reporting in this study indicated that they believed the major purpose of the course in general business to be "general business information"; 23 of the 102
teachers checked the major purpose of the course as "pre-vocational"; and 2 of the teachers indicated that they believed the "vocational" aspects of the course to be most important.

General business teachers in Oklahoma appear to attach relatively little importance to the guidance function of the course in general business. This is not in line with the opinions of many leaders in business education who believe guidance to be a major purpose of the course.

Differences in years of general teaching experience, years of general business teaching experience, subject-matter field preparation of the teacher, grade level on which general business is offered, and size of school (enrollment) have little influence in the teachers' evaluation of the objectives of the course in general business.

For an extended discussion of these data, the reader is referred to Coonrad's thesis.

## Business Education in the Large High Schools

Musselman, 24 in a study of five phases of business education in 53
large high schools of Oklahoma, included departmental organization and administration, the curriculum, school co-operation with the commrnity, adequacy of class rooms and equipment, and training and experience of teaching personnel. The last two phases were also studied in 131 small schools for the purpose of making comparisons with the large schools.

Scope and Method of Procedure. Two methods of procedure were followed in assembling the primary data: the uniform interview and the questionnaire. Supplementary data were taken from the records in the offices of

[^1]the State Department of Education. Of the 53 schools studied, 41 were visited and a uniform interview was held with the chairman of the business department.

Selected Finding. Fach teacher interviewed was asked for an opinion on what business subjects should receive greater emphasis in the future programs of the secondary schools in Oklahoma. General business led the list, being suggested by nineteen teachers.

Selected Recommendation. There are several problems in business education which are in need of further research:

A more effective use of the general business course in junior high school as an exploratory and foundational course for the high school business program.

## CHAPTER II

## NATURE OF THE PRRSONAL DATA

The information presented in this chapter concerns the educational qualifications, and the teaching and business experiences of the 85 general business teachers who returned the problems check list and personal data sheet. Other factors which may have an influence on the problems confronted are also presented.

Educational Qualifications of the General Business Teachers

The educational qualifications of general business teachers were obtained from two sources of information. The data concerning college degrees, subject-matter field preparation, and teaching fields were obtained from the "Applications for High School Accrediting" on file at the State Department of Education. The personal data sheet which was attached to the problems check list was the source of the information concerning specific or special training received for teaching general business.

Table I shows that 12 , or 14.1 per cent, of the general business teachers had a master's degree; 69, or 81.2 per cent, had a bachelor's degree; and 4 , or 4.7 per cent, did not have a college degree. In other words, 81 , or better than 95 per cent, of the teachers who taught general business during the school year 1947-1948 had a college degree.

Information concerning the different colleges from which the degrees were granted was not complete; therefore, these data will not be presented. The majority of the general business teachers, however, did receive degrees from colleges in the State of Oklahoma.

FRDUCATIONAL QUALIFICATIONS OF GENERAL BUSINESS TEACHERS TEACHING THE COURSE DURING THE SCHOOL YFAR 1947-1948

| Educational Qualification | Number of Teachers | Per Cent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Master's Degree | 12 | 14.1 |
| Bachelor's Degree | 69 | 81.2 |
| Less than Degree | 4 | 4.7 |
| Total | 85 | 100. |

This table should be read as follows: Twelve, or 14.1 per cent, of the general business teachers had a master's degree.

Subject-matter field preparation. The requirements for a major and minor vary with individual schools. In a study of 94 accredited teachers' colleges and normal schools, however, Sipe found that

The modal amount of credit for a major in business education is...from 21 to 25 semester hours of credit.... The modal amount of credit for a minor...is from 11 to 15 semester hours.

On the basis of this information, in this study teachers with 21 or more semester hours of commerce credit were considered to have commerce majors. Teachers with more than 11 but less than 21 semester hours of commerce credit were considered to have commerce minors. Teachers with less than 11 semester hours of commerce credit were assumed to have less than a minor. This same procedure was followed in Coonrad's study? and it was thought applicable to this study.

1 John Marvin Sipe, Commercial Teacher-Training Curricula in 24 Accredited Teachers Colleges and Normal Schools in 1938, National Association of Business Teacher-Training Institutions, Bulletin No. 23, 1941, pp. 17-18.

2 Coonrad, op. cit., p. 15.

TABLE II
SUBJECT-MATTER FIFLD PREPARATION
OF GENERAL BUSINESS TEACHERS

| Subject-Matter Field Preparation | Teachers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Per Cent |
| Commerce major | 35 | 41.1 |
| Commerce minor | 3 | 3.5 |
| Commerce major and Mathematics major | 4 | 4.7 |
| Commerce major and English major | 3 | 3.5 |
| Commerce major and Social Science major | 2 | 2.3 |
| Commerce major and Home Economics major | 2 | 2.3 |
| Commerce major and Elementary major | 2 | 2.3 |
| Commerce major and Science major | 1 | 1.2 |
| Commerce major and Music major | 1 | 1.2 |
| Commerce major and Social Science minor | 2 | 2.3 |
| Commerce major and English minor | 2 | 2.3 |
| Commerce major and Physical Education minor | 1 | 1.2 |
| Commerce major and Home Kconomics minor | 1 | 1.2 |
| Commerce major and Mathematics minor | 1 | 1.2 |
| Social Science major | 6 | 7.1 |
| Social Science major and Inglish major | 3 | 3.5 |
| Social Science major and Music major | 1 | 1.2 |
| Social Science major and Commerce minor | 2 | 2.3 |
| Social Science major and English minor | 1 | 1.2 |
| Social Science major and Mathematics minor | 1 | 1.2 |
| Social Science major and German minor | 1 | 1.2 |
| English major and Spanish major | 1 | 1.2 |
| Inglish major and commerce minor | 2 | 2.3 |
| Science major and Mathematics major | 1 | 1.2 |
| Elementary major and Commerce minor | 2 | 2.3 |
| Commerce minor and Social Science minor | 1 | 1.2 |
| Commerce minor and English minor | 1 | 1.2 |
| Mathematics minor | 1 | 1.2 |
| Science minor and Commerce-less than minor | 1 | 1.2 |
| Total | 85 | 100. |

This table should be read as follows: Thirty-five, or 41.1 per cent, of the general business teachers had commerce majors only.

The subject-matter field preparation for the 85 teachers who taught general business during the school year 1947-1948 is shown in detail in Table II.

As shown in Table II, 35 , or 41.1 per cent, of the general business teachers who reported had commerce as the only subject-matter field preparation. An additional 22 , or 25.9 per cent, of the teachers had not only a commerce major, but either a major or minor in another subject-matter field. Three, or 3.5 per cent, of the teachers had a commerce minor only; an additional 8 , or 9.4 per cent, had, in addition to a commerce minor, a major or minor in another subject; 1 teacher had less than a minor in commerce. In summarizing briefly, 69, or slightly over 81 per cent, of the teachers who taught general business in 1947-1948 had had some commerce training.

Fifteen, or approximately 18 per cent, of the 85 general business teachers had social science majors. Of the 15,6 , or 40 per cent, had only the social science major, while 9 , or 60 per cent, had preparation in another subject. The Annual High School Bulletin states, with reference to the requirements for teaching the course in general business: "A special certificate is required in the commercial field or social science field with emphasis placed on economics. 13 of the 85 teachers who reported in this study, 82 , or better than 96 per cent, had had some training in the comerce or social science fields. Other subjects represented were Rnglish, mathematics, science, home economics, music, physical education, Spanish, German, and elementary education.

3 State of Oklahoma, Department of Education, Annual High School Bulletin, June 30, 1948, p. 18.

Teaching Fields. In analyzing the data concerning teaching fields, as shown in Table III, it was found that commerce was reported as a first and only teaching field by 35 , or 41.2 per cent, of the 85 general business teachers. Six, or 7.1 per cent, of the 85 general business teachers had commerce as a first teaching field and Finglish as a second teaching field. Five, or 5.9 per cent, of the 85 general business teachers had commerce as a first teaching field and social science as a second teaching field. Commerce was reported as a first teaching field by an additional 14 , or 16.5 per cent, with another subject as a second teaching field. In summarizing briefly, it was found that commerce was reported as a first teaching field by 60 , or about three-fourths, of the 85 general business teachers. English was reported by 3 as a first teaching field; elementary education, 2; mathematics, 4; social science, 11; physical education, 1; home economics, $1 ;$ music, $1 ;$ science, $1 ;$ and Spanish, 1.

Forty-four, or 51.8 per cent, of the 85 general business teachers had a second teaching field. Of the 44,8 had commerce as a second teaching field; 11, English; 9, social science; 4, elementary education; 4, mathematics; 2, home economics; 2, science; 2, physical education; 1, speech; and 1 , German. It is evident from the foregoing information that linglish ranked first as a secona teaching field, social science ranked second, and commerce ranked third.

A third teaching field was reported by 7 , or 8.2 per cent, of the general business teachers--commerce and mathematics, 2 each; and science, Spanish, and music, 1 each.

In summarizing, a little less than half the respondents in this study had commerce only as a first teaching field, and about 5 per cent had social science only as a first teaching field. A little over half had second teaching fields, while not quite a tenth had third teaching fields.

TEACHING FIEHDS OF GRNRRAL BUSINESS TYEACHERS

| $\begin{gathered} \text { First } \\ \text { Teaching Field } \end{gathered}$ | Second <br> Teaching Field | Third <br> Teaching Field | Number of Teachers | Per Cent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commerce |  |  | 35 | 41.2 |
| Commerce | Bnglish |  | 6 | 7.1 |
| Commerce | Social Science |  | 5 | 5.9 |
| Commerce | Mlementary Education |  | 3 | 3.5 |
| Commerce | Mathematics |  |  | 2.3 |
| Commerce | Home Economics |  | 2 | 2.3 |
| Commerce | Science |  | 2 | 2.3 |
| Commerce | Physical Education |  | 2 | 2.3 |
| Commerce | Speech | Music | 1 | 1.2 |
| Commerce | English | Spanish | 1 | 1.2 |
| Commerce | Social Science | Mathematics | 1 | 1.2 |
| English | Commerce |  | 2 | 2.3 |
| Elementary |  |  |  |  |
| Education | Commerce |  | 2 | 2.3 |
| Mathematics | Commerce |  | 1 | 1.2 |
| Social Science | Commerce |  | 1 | 1.2 |
| Physical |  |  |  |  |
| Education | Commerce |  | 1 | 1.2 |
| Mathematics | Commerce | Science | 1 | 1.2 |
| Home Sconomics | Elementary Education | Commerce | 1 | 1.2 |
| Mathematics | Social Science | Commerce | 1 | 1.2 |
| Social Science |  |  | 5 | 5.9 |
| Social Science | English |  | 2 | 2.3 |
| Social Science | German |  | 1 | 1.2 |
| Social Science | Mathematics |  | 1 | 1.2 |
| Social Science | English | Mathematics | 1 | 1.2 |
| English | Social Science |  | 1 | 1.2 |
| Music | Social Science |  | 1 | 1.2 |
| Mathematics |  |  | 1 | 1.2 |
| Science | Mathematics |  | 1 | 1.2 |
| Spanish | English |  | 1 | 1.2 |
| Total |  |  | 85 | 100. |

This table should be read as follows: Thirty-five, or 41.2 per cent, of the teachers teaching the course in general business during the school year 1947-1948 had commerce as their first and only teaching field.

Specific or special training received for teaching general business. In the personal data sheet which accompanied the problems check list, each respondent was asked to give the number of courses and semester hours of specific or special training received for teaching general business. This special training was divided into two parts, those courses dealing with content material and those dealing with methods of teaching. As an aid to the teachers in reporting this information, a list of courses dealing with the various phases of the course in general business was given. Courses listed are as follows: accounting, business law, business mathematics, business $\mathbb{E}$ english, principles of economics, problems of economics, money and banking, insurance, communications, consumer economics, typewriting, retail business, introduction to business, office practice, advertising, salesmanship, and merchandising.

Additional space was provided for the teachers to give other courses which aided in training for the teaching of general business.

Since the number of semester hours of credit given for a course varies a great deal among different colleges, it was thought the number of courses rather than the semester hours would better show the specific training received by the respondents. These data are shown in Table IV.

Five, or 5.9 per cent, of the 85 general business teachers did not report on number of courses in content material which aided in teaching the course in general business. Three, of the 5, indicated that transcripts were not available, and 2 made no indication of the reason for not reporting on this part of the personal data sheet.

Twelve, or 14.1 per cent, of the teachers reported no training in accounting. It seems significant that 34 , or 40 per cent, of the teachers reported two courses in accounting and 17, or 20 per cent, reported three

NUMBER OF TEACHARS RHPORTING COLLBGE COURSES IN CONTENT MATERIAT WHICH AIDED IN TRACHING GIMNERAL BUSINESS

| Course Title | Number of Courses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No Reply |  | None Given |  | 1 Course |  | 2 Courses |  | 3 Courses |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { or More } \\ & \text { Courses } \end{aligned}$ |  | Total |  |
|  | Number of Teachers | Per Cent | Number of Teachers | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { Cent } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Number of Teachers | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per } \\ \text { Cent } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Number of Teachers | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per } \\ \text { Cent } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Numbe of Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ | Number of Teach ers | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per } \\ \text { Cent } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Numbe of Teach ers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ |
| Accounting | 5 | 5.9 | 12 | 14.1 | 10 | 11.8 | 34 | 40.0 | 17 | 20.0 | 7 | 8.2 | 85 | 100 |
| Business Law | 5 | 5.9 | 18 | 21.2 | 33 | 38.8 | 24 | 28.2 | 5 | 5.9 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 100 |
| Business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mathematics | 5 | 5.9 | 18 | 21.2 | 55 | 64.7 | 6 | 7.0 | 1 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 100 |
| Business Rnglish | 5 | 5.9 | 22 | 25.9 | 52 | 61.2 | 6 | 7.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 100 |
| Principles of Economics | 5 | 5.9 | 22 | 25.9 | 35 | 41.2 | 17 | 20.0 | 3 | 3.5 | 3 | 3.5 | 85 | 100 |
| Problems of Economics | 5 | 5.9 | 51 | 60.0 | 24 | 28.2 | 3 | 3.5 | 2 | 2.3 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 100 |
| Money and Banking | 5 | 5.9 | 57 | 67.1 | 21 | 24.7 | 2 | 2.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 100 |
| Insurance | 5 | 5.9 | 68 | 80.0 | 11 | 13.0 | 1 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 100 |
| Communications | 5 | 5.9 | 73 | 85.9 | 6 | 7.0 | 1 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 100 |
| Consumer Economics | 5 | 5.9 | 66 | 77.6 | 12 | 14.1 | 2 | 2.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 100 |
| Typewriting | 5 | 5.9 | 13 | 15.3 | 9 | 10.6 | 32 | 37.6 | 16 | 18.9 | 10 | 11.9 | 85 | 100 |
| Retail Business | 5 | 5.9 | 73 | 85.9 | 4 | 4.7 | 3 | 3.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 100 |
| Introduction to Business | 5 | 5.9 | 66 | 77.6 | 14 | 16.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 100 |
| Office Practice | 5 | 5.9 | 46 | 54.1 | 30 | 35.3 | 3 | 3.5 | 1 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 100 |
| Advertising | 5 | 5.9 | 71 | 83.5 | 7 | 8.2 | 1 | 1.2 | 1 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 100 |
| Salesmanship | 5 | 5.9 | 65 | 76.5 | 14 | 16.5 | 1 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 100 |
| Merchandising | 5 | 5.9 | 76 | 89.4 | 3 | 3.5 | 1 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 100 |
| 0 thers | 5 | 5.9 | 65 | 76.5 | 8 | 9.4 | 4 | 4.7 | 1 | 1.2 | 2 | 2.3 | 85 | 100 |

This table should be read as follows: Five, or 5.9 per cent, of the 85 general business teachers did not report on number of courses in content material which aided in teaching the course in general business. Twelve, or 14.1 per cent, reported no courses in accounting; 10 , or 11.8 per cent, reported one course; 34 , or 40 per cent, reported two courses; 17 , or 20 per cent, reported three courses; and 7 , or 8.2 per cent, reported four or more courses.
courses. A total of 68 , or 80 per cent, of the respondents had had training in accounting.

Three-fourths of the teachers received training in business law, business mathematics, and business Pnglish. Better than half of the teachers had had courses in principles and problems of economics. Approximately one-fourth had had courses in money and banking and insurance. Fifteen per cent of the teachers had had courses in each of the following: consumer economics, retail business, introduction to business, advertising, solesmanship, communications, and merchandising. Three-fourths, or 75 per cent, had had typewriting, while less than half had had training in office practice.

Other courses listed as being significant in training for general business were theory of finance, statistics, corporation finance, mathematics, public speaking, journalism, shorthand, business machines, history, consumer buying, and business ethics.

The Course of Study in Business Education makes this recommendation:
The varied nature of business studies demands that the teacher be more broadly and thoroughly trained than the teacher in most of the other departments. The teacher of bookkeeping and the general business subjects should have a thorough background in law, economics, sociology, geography, and government, as well as competency in his teaching subjects. ${ }^{4}$

It seems apparent from the data presented that not all the general business teachers have a thorough background for teaching the course in general business.

The second part of the personal data sheet asked the general business teachers to list the methods of teaching courses which helped in the

4 Course of Study in Business Education, Bulletin No. 42-C-4, June, 1943, Oklahoma State Department of Fducation, p. 3.
preparation for teaching general business. To aid in this listing, the following subjects were given with additional space provided for those courses the respondents thought significant: general business, bookkeeping, business law, social science, business mathematics, business English, typewriting, general methods courses (specify what subjects), and others.

Table $V$ shows the number of teachers who reported college courses in methods of teaching. In analyzing the data given, it was found that 5, or 5.9 per cent, of the 85 general business teachers did not report on number of courses in methods of teaching. One of the 5 reported, in a letter accompanying the data sheet, a ma,jor in social science with practically all work in vocal and instrumental music; one reported transcript not available; one reported thorough training with 197 credits of related business training; and two gave no explanation.

Thirteen, or 15.3 per cent, of the 85 teachers reported one course in methods of teaching general business. One, or 1.2 per cent, reported two courses in methods of teaching general business. Of the 13 teachers who reported courses in methods of teaching general business, all but 2 had other courses in methods of teaching.

Seventeen, or 20 per cent, of the teachers reported courses in methods of teaching bookkeeping. Eight, or 9.4 per cent, had had methods courses in teaching business law, and an equal number had had courses in teaching business mathematics. Approximately the same number had had methods courses in business English. Methods courses in social science were reported by 12 , or 14.1 per cent, of the respondents. One-fourth of the teachers reported methods courses in teaching typewriting.

Forty-seven, or 55.3 per cent, of the 85 general business teachers reported methods courses other than those listed on the data sheet. Of

TABLE V
NUMBER OF TEACHERS RFPORTING COLLFGE COURSES IN METHODS OF TFACHING

|  | Number of Courses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subject of Methods Course | No RepNumber <br> of <br> Teach- <br> ers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ply } \\ & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { None Gi } \\ & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Teach- } \\ & \text { ers } \end{aligned}$ | iven <br> Per <br> Cent | 1 Cour <br> Number <br> of <br> Teach- <br> ers | Per Cent |  | Per Cent | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{3 \text { Cour }}{\text { Number }} \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Teach- } \\ & \text { ers } \end{aligned}$ | Per Cent | 4 or Mo Course Number of Teach- ers | ses <br> Per <br> Cent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tota } \\ & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Teach- } \\ & \text { ers } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { al } \\ & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ |
| General Business | 5 | 5.9 | 66 | 77.6 | 13 | 15.3 | 1 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 100 |
| Bookkeeping | 5 | 5.9 | 63 | 74.1 | 14 | 16.5 | 3 | 3.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 100 |
| Business Law | 5 | 5.9 | 72 | 84.7 | 7 | 8.2 | 1 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 100 |
| Social Science | 5 | 5.9 | 68 | 80.0 | 6 | 7.1 | 2 | 2.3 | 3 | 3.5 | 1 | 1.2 | 85 | 100 |
| Business Mathematics | 5 | 5.9 | 72 | 84.7 | 7 | 8.2 | 1 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 100 |
| Business English | 5 | 5.9 | 73 | 85.9 | 7 | 8.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 100 |
| Typewriting | 5 | 5.9 | 57 | 67.1 | 19 | 22.3 | 4 | 4.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 100 |
| Other Subjects and General Methods | 5 | 5.9 | 33 | 38.5 | 23 | 27.2 | 10 | 11.9 | 2 | 2.4 | 12 | 14.1 | 85 | 100 |

This table should be read as follows: Five, or 5.9 per cent, of the 85 general business teachers did not report on number of courses in methods of teaching. Sixty-seven, or 78.8 per cent, reported no methods course in general business; 12, or 14.1 per cent, reported one course in methods of teaching general business; 1 , or 1.2 per cent, reported two courses in methods of teaching general business.
the 47 respondents who reported additional courses, 23 , or about one-half, reported methods courses in the subjects listed on the data sheet. Twentyfour, or the other one-half, did not have methods courses in subjects listed on the data sheet, but reported courses in other subjects. The other subjects which were thought to be significant in the preparation for teaching general business, and the number of teachers who reported each subject, are as follows: methods of teaching shorthand, 6; methods of teaching mathematics, 5; methods of teaching commercial subjects, 10 ; methods of teaching Rnglish, 3; methods of teaching science, 3; methods of teaching physical education, 1; elementary methods, 5; methods of teaching secretarial subjects, and home economics, 1 each; and general methods courses, 16. Education courses which were specifically mentioned were, . tests and measures, statistics, supervised study, guidance, Oklahoma school law, psychology, problems of business education, class management, high school administration, school economics, library economics, principles of teaching, and observation of teaching. One teacher reported having had practice teaching in general business and bookkeeping.

Seventeen, or 20 per cent, of the 85 teachers who reported in this study had had no methods of teaching courses which, in their opinion, specifically aided in the teaching of general business.

Teaching Experience of General Business Teachers
Table VI presents information regarding the number of semesters of teaching experience of the general business teachers who reported in this study.

In analyzing these data it was found that the school year 1947-1948 was the first year of teaching for 6 , or 7.1 per cent, of the 85 respondents in this study. Five, or 5.9 per cent, of the 85 respondents had taught from 3 to 5 semesters; 16 , or 18.9 per cent, had had from 6 to 10
tables VI
LENGTH OF TEACHING RXPERIENCE OF TTGACHERS OF GMNEAL BUSINESS

| Number of Semesters | All Teaching Experience |  | General Business Teaching Experience |  | High School Teaching Experience |  | Elementary <br> Teaching <br> Bxperience |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Teachers | Per Cent | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Teachers } \end{gathered}$ | Per Cent | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Teachers } \end{gathered}$ | Per Cent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Teachers } \end{gathered}$ | Per <br> Cent |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  | 39 | 45.9 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4.7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1.2 |
| 2 | 6 | 7.1 | 34 | 40.0 | 7 | 8.2 | 7 | 8.2 |
| 3 | 1 | 1.2 | 9 | 10.6 | 2 | 2.3 | 3 | 3.5 |
| 4 | 3 | 3.5 | 16 | 18.9 | 5 | 5.9 | 6 | 7.1 |
| 5 | 1 | 1.2 | 1 | 1.2 | 1 | 1.2 | 1 | 1.2 |
| 6 | 2 | 2.3 | 5 | 5.9 | 8 | 9.4 | 11 | 13.0 |
| 7 | 2 | 2.3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 5 | 5.9 | 5 | 5.9 | 9 | 10.6 | 2 | 2.3 |
| 9 | 1 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 6 | 7.1 | 2 | 2.3 | 9 | 10.6 | 3 | 3.5 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1.2 | 1 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 3 | 3.5 | 5 | 5.9 | 6 | 7.1 | 5 | 5.9 |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | 5 | 5.9 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4.7 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 2 | 2.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | 9 | 10.6 | 1 | 1.2 | 6 | 7.1 | 3 | 3.5 |
| 17 | 1 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | 2 | 2.3 | 1 | 1.2 | 2 | 2.3 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | 1 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1.2 | 1 | 1.2 |
| 20 | 9 | 10.6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5.9 | 0 | 0 |
| $21-25$ | 8 | 9.4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2.3 | 1 | 1.2 |
| Over 25 | 17 | 20.0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 15.3 | 1 | 1.2 |
| No Reply | 1 | 1.2 | 1 | 1.2 | 1 | 1.2 | 1 | 1.2 |
| Total | 85 | 100. | 85 | 100. | 85 | 100. | 85 | 100. |

This table should be read as follows: Four, or 4.7 per cent, of the 85 general business teachers taught the course in general business for only one semester.
semesters of all teaching experience; 10 , or 11.8 per cent, had had from 11 to 15 semesters of all teaching experience; 22 , or about 26 per cent, from 16 to 20 semesters of all teaching experience; 8 , or 9.4 per cent, from 21 to 25 semesters of all teaching experience: 17 , or 20 per cent, had had over 25 semesters of all teaching experience. One made no reply as to the number of semesters of all teaching experience.

From the complete tabulation containing the exact number of semesters of all teaching experience for the 84 general business teachers who reported their teaching experience, it was found that the range was from 2 semesters to 62 semesters of all teaching experience. The median represented in the array of data was 16 semesters, and the average number of semesters of all teaching experience for the 84 respondents was 18.8 semesters. The largest number of teachers represented in any one group was 9, who reported 16 semesters of all teaching experience and 9 , who reported 20 semesters of all teaching experience.

It seemed significant from these data that one-fourth of the 84 teachers who reported their experience have had less than 5 years of teaching experience.

Pour, or 4.7 per cent, of the respondents had taught general business for only 1 semester. Thirty-four, or 40 per cent, of the teachers who reported had taught the course two semesters, or only I year; 9, or 10.6 per cent, had had 3 semesters of general business teaching experience; 16 , or 18.8 per cent, had taught general business 4 semesters, or 2 years; 13 , or 15.3 per cent, had taught general business from 5 to 10 semesters; and the remaining 8 , or 9.4 per cent, had taught general business from 11 to 18 semesters. One teacher did not report the number of semesters of general business teaching experience. Only one teacher reported teaching the
course in general business for 18 semesters, or 9 years, which is the maximum general business teaching experience reported in the study.

In further analyzing these data it was found that the average number of semesters of general business teaching experience was 4.3 semesters. The median was 3 semesters of general business teaching experience, and the greatest number represented in any one group was 34 , with 2 semesters of general business teaching experience. The range was from 1 semester to 18 semesters of general business teaching experience.

Approxinately three-fourths of the teachers reporting in this study had taught the course in general business for only 2 years or less, while only 10 teachers, or s.bout one-eighth, had only 2 years or less all teaching experience.

The high school teaching experience of the general business teachers who reported in this study was distributed fairly evenly over a range from 7 teachers who had taught in high school only 2 semesters, to 13 who had taught in high school over 25 semesters.

A little over half the general business teachers had had some teaching experience in the elementary grades.

A few teachers reported other teaching experience. Two reported college teaching experience, 7 reported service teaching experience, 2 reported C C C teaching experience, and I reported business college teaching experience.

In order to compare the genersl business teaching experience with the a.11 teaching experience of the 85 respondents, Table VII was prepared. Four teachers had had only one semester of general business teaching experience, but 1 of the 4 had hed 3 semesters of all teaching experience; 1 had had 6 semesters of all teaching experience; 1 had had between 16 and

NUMBER OF TEACHERS REPORTING LENGTH OF GENERAL BUSINESS TEACHING EXPERIGNCE COMPARED WITH AL工 TEACHING EXPERIENCE

|  | Number of Semesters of All Teaching Experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11-15 | 16-20 | 21-25 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Over } \\ 25 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Semesters | Sem. | Sem. | Sem. | Sem. | Sem. | Sem. | Sem. | Sem. | Sem. | Sem. | Sem. | Sem. | Sem. | Sem. | Total |
| Experience Teaching | No. of | No. of | No. of | No. of | No. of | No. of | No. of | No. of | No. of | No. of | No. of | No. of | No. of | No. of | No. of |
| General Business | T Chr | Tchr. | Tchr. | Tchr. | Tchr | Tchr. | Tchr. | Tchr. | Tchr | Tchr. | Tchr. | Tchr. | Tchr. | Tchr. | Tchr. |
| 1 Semester | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| 2 Semesters | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 34 |
| 3 Semesters | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 4 Semesters | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 16 |
| 5 Semesters | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 6 Semesters | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| 7 Semesters | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 Semesters | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| 9 Semesters | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 Semesters | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 11 Semesters | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 12 Semesters | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| 13 Semesters | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 Semesters | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 Semesters | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 Semesters | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 17 Semesters | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 Semesters No Reply | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Total | 0 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 22 | 8 | 17 | 85 |

This table should be read as follows: One teacher who had 3 semesters of all teaching experience, had only one semester of general business teaching experience.

20 semesters of all teaching experience; and 1 had had over 25 semesters of all teaching experience. Thirty-four teachers had had only two semesters of general business teaching experience. Of the 34,6 had had only 2 semesters of all teaching experience; 3 had had 4 semesters of all teaching experience; 1 had had 6 semesters; 1 had had 7 semesters; 4 had had 8 semesters; 4 had had 10 semesters; 4 had had between 11 and 15 semesters; 6 had had between 16 and 20 semesters; 1 had had between 21 and 25 semesters; and 4 had had over 25 semesters of all teaching experience. It seems significant that over half of the 34 teachers with only two semesters of general business teaching experience had had 10 or more semesters of all teaching experience. It seems apparent that the more experienced teachers were teaching the course in general business for the first time. It must not be overlooked, however, that 10 teachers with all teaching experience of from 2 to 4 semesters, inclusive, had taught general business for only 1 or 2 semesters.

The distribution of the all teaching experience as compared with the general business teaching experience of the remaining 46 teachers is shown in Table VII. One teacher made no reply as to the number of semesters of teaching experience.

Business Experience of General Business Teachers

Table VIII shows the nature of the business experience and the length of time in months for the 85 general business teachers who reported in this study.

Twenty-three, or about one-fourth, of the respondents did not report any business experience.

Of the 62 general business teachers who reported that they had had. business experience, 30 , or about half, had the experience within the last

## TABLE VIII

## BUSINESS EXPERI GNCE RRPORTRD BY 62 GENGRAI BUSINESS TTRACHERS

| Nature of Work |  | Number of Teachers Feporting Length of Time Given in Months |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\text { None }} \\ & \text { Given } \end{aligned}$ | 1 to 5 Months | 6 to 10 Months | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \text { to } 15 \\ & \text { Months } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \text { to } 20 \\ & \text { Months } \end{aligned}$ | $21 \text { to } 30$ Months | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \text { to } 40 \\ & \text { Months } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 41 to 50 Months | Over 50 Months |
| Typist | Experience gained within 5 years | 34 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 1 |  |  |
|  | Experience gained over 5 years ago |  |  | 4 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Stenographer | Experience gained within 5 years | 51 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | Experience gained over <br> 5 years ago |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Bookkeeper | Experience gained within 5 years | 45 | 4 | 2 | 1 |  | 3 |  | 1 |  |
|  | Experience gained over 5 years ago |  | 2 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Sales | Experience gained within 5 years | 47 | 1 | 2 | 4 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |
|  | Experience gained over 5 years ago |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 2 | 1 |  | 2 |
| Secretary | Experience gained within 5 years | 53 | 2 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |
|  | Experience gained over 5 years ago |  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 |  |  |


| Natur | of Work | None Given | 1 to 5 Months | 6 to 10 Months | 11 to 15 Months | $16 \text { to } 20$ Months | 21 to 30 Months | 31 to 40 Months | 41 to 50 Months | Over 50 Months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Experience gained within 5 years | 46 | 1 | $2$ | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 |  |  |
| General Office | Experience gained over 5 years ago |  | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Telephone Switchboard Operator | Experience gained within 5 years | 53 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Experience gained over 5 years ago |  | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Cashier | Experience gained within 5 years | 57 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Experience gained over 5 years ago |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other | Experience gained within 5 years | 45 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  | 2 | 3 |  | 2 |
|  | Experience gained over 5 years ago |  | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: In this table a general business teacher is counted separately for each occupation in which he reported that he had had experience. In any occupation in which a teacher who reported that he had had experience within the last five years and also that he had had experience over five years ago, is counted in each of the time classifications.

This table should be read as follos: Thirty-four of the 62 general business teachers did not report any experience as a typist. Six of the 62 general business teachers reported obtaining from 1 to 5 months experience as a typist within the last five years. Four of the 62 general business teachers reported obtaining from 1 to 5 months experience as a typist over five years ago.

5 years; 19, or about one-third, had the experience over 5 years ago; 13, or about one-sixth, had part of their business experience within the last 5 years and part over 5 years ago.

In Table VIII a general business teacher is counted separately for each occupation in which he reported that he had had experience. In an occupation in which a teacher, who reported that he had had experience within the last five years and also that he had had experience over five years ago, is counted in each of the time classifications. That is, the 13 teachers who reported that they had had part of their experience within the last five years and part of their experience over five years ago, are counted in each of the time classifications.

The respondents were asked to give the nature of the business experience reported. The following list was provided in the personal data sheet to aid the respondents: typist, stenographer, bookkeeper, sales work, secretary, general office, telephone switchboard operator, and cashier. Additional space was provided for the listing of other types of work. Table VIII gives a detailed report of the nature of the business experiences given by the respondents.

Thirty-four of the 62 general business teachers reported no experience as a typist, while 6 reported from 1 to 5 months of experience within the last 5 years. Six reported from 6 to 10 months experience as a typist within the last 5 years, and 4 reported from 6 to 10 months experience as a typist over 5 years ago. More respondents reported typing experience than any other type of work. Nineteen teachers reported experience as a bookkeeper. Eleven, of the 19, had the bookkeeping experience within the last five years, while 8 reported the experience was obtained over 5 years ago.

Other types of work reported are as follows: accountant, auditor, Ediphone operator, insurance sales experience, blue print machine operator and blue print file clerk, assistant postmaster, file clerk, field representative for American Red Cross, and personally owned retail business.

In summarizing briefly the business experience of the 85 general business teachers who reported in this study, it can be said that about threefourths of the teachers reported any such experience. The nature of the business experience varies considerably with more teachers reporting typing experience than any other type of work. More teachers reported business experience obtained within the last 5 years than reported business experience obtained more than 5 years ago.

0 ther Factors
There are several factors, other than the educational qualifications and the teaching and business experience of the respondents, which may have an influence on the problems of the general business teachers. One of these is the size of the schools in terms of enrollment.

Mnrollment. As shown in Table IX, 6, or 7.1 per cent, of the 85 schools represented in this study, all of which offered general business during 1947-1948, had less than 50 total high school enrollment. Thirtysix, or 42.3 per cent, of the schools had between 51 and 100 total high school enrollment; 21, or 24.7 per cent, had between 101 and 150 total high school enrollment; 7, or 8.2 per cent, had between 151 and 200 total high school enrollment; 3, or 3.5 per cent, had between 201 and 250 total high school enrollment. Only 3 schools represented in this study had over 500 total high school enrollment.

Over half the schools represented in this study had a total high school enrollment of less than 100.

TABLIE IX
TOTAL HIGH SCHOOL ENROLLMENT OF SCHOOLS TEAACHING GENERAL BUSINESS DURING THE SCHOOL YEAR 1947-1948

| Total High School Enrollment | Number of Schools Offering General Business | Per Cent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-50 | 6 | 7.1 |
| 51-100 | 36 | 42.3 |
| 101-150 | 21 | 24.7 |
| 151-200 | 7 | 8.2 |
| 201-250 | 3 | 3.5 |
| 251-300 | 3 | 3.5 |
| 301-350 | 2 | 2.4 |
| 351-400 | 1 | 1.2 |
| 401-450 | 1 | 1.2 |
| 451-500 | 2 | 2.4 |
| Over 500 | 3 | 3.5 |
| Total | 85 | 100. |

IThis table should be read: Puring the school year 1947-1948, 6, or 7.1 per cent, of senior high schools offering general business had total student enrollments between 0 and 50 .

As a large proportion of the high schools that offered general business during 1947-1948 are represented in these data, it is evident that there are more smaller schools offering general business than there are larger high schools offering general business in the state of Oklahoma.

Closely related to the size of schools in terms of total enrollment is the distribution of student enrollment in the general business course. Table X shows the distribution of student enrollment in general business in 44 Oklahoma senior high schools according to size of school.

In examining the data obtained from the "Applications for High School Accrediting," it was found the 41 , or slightly less than half, of the 85 schools represented in this study, offered the course in general business during the school year 1947-1948 but did not offer the course during the school year 1946-1947. It seems apparent, at first glance, that there was a wide expansion in the introduction of the course in general business in the schools of Oklahoma, but further study reveals that the plan for combining and alternating high school subjects in the smaller high schools, as was reported in Chapter I, accounts for a part of the apparent increase.

Table X shows the distribution of student enrollment in general business in the remaining 440 kl ahoma senior high schools which offered the course in both 1946-1947 and 1947-1948. In the 44 schools, 1,323 students enrolled in the course in 1946-1947, and 1,148 students enrolled in the course in 1947-1948. This shows a decrease in enrollment in these 44 schools over this two-year period.

As is indicated in Table $X$, the majority of senior high school students enrolled in general business in either 1946-1947 or 1947-1948 were attending schools of 150 or less total school enrollment. About 12 per cent of the students enrolled in general business, in either of the two

## TABLE X

DISTRIBUTION OF STUDENT FNROLLMENT IN GKNERAL BUSINESS COURSES IN 44 OKLAHOMA SENIOR HIGH SCHOOLS ACCORDING TO SIZE OF SCHOOL

| Total High School Enrollment | ```Number of Schools``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ | School Year 1946-1947 |  | School Year 1947-1948 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Number of Students | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ | Number of Students | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ |
| 0-50 | 1 | 2.2 | 15 | 1.1 | 13 | 1.1 |
| 51-100 | 13 | 29.6 | 257 | 19.4 | 245 | 21.3 |
| 101-150 | 12 | 27.3 | 385 | 29.1 | 340 | 29.6 |
| 151-200 | 3 | 6.8 | 104 | 7.9 | 74 | 6.5 |
| 201-250 | 3 | 6.8 | 113 | 8.5 | 78 | 6.8 |
| 251-300 | 3 | 6.8 | 67 | 5.1 | 75 | 6.5 |
| 301-350 | 2 | 4.5 | 65 | 5.0 | 59 | 5.2 |
| 351-400 | 1 | 2.2 | 37 | 2.8 | 14 | 1.2 |
| 401-450 | 1 | 2.2 | 30 | 2.2 | 42 | 3.7 |
| 451-500 | 2 | 4.5 | 85 | 6.4 | 67 | 5.9 |
| Over 500 | 3 | 6.8 | 165 | 12.5 | 141 | 12.2 |
| Total | 44 | 100. | 1,323 | 100. | 1,148 | 100. |

This table should be read as follows: One school with less than 50 to tal high school enrollment had 15 , or 1.1 per cent, of the 1,323 students enrolled in general business during the school year 1946-1947.
school years reported, were attending schools with a total enrollment of 500 or over.

Table XI shows the distribution of student enrollment in general business courses in the 85 schools represented in this study according to size of school. It wes found that 6 , or 7.1 per cent, of the 85 schools with less than 50 total high school enrollment accounted for 92 , or 4.7 per cent, of the 1,979 students enrolled in the course in 1947-1948; 36, or 42.3 per cent, of the schools with between 51 and 100 total high school enrollment accounted for 695 , or 35.1 per cent, of the students; 21 , or 24.7 per cent, of the schools with between 101 and 1.50 total high school enrollment accounted for 552 , or 27.8 per cent, of the students; 7 , or 8.2 per cent, of the schools with between 151 and 200 total high school enrollment accounted for 164 , or 8.3 per cent, of the students; 6 , or 7.0 per cent, of the schools with between 201 and 300 total high school enrollment, accounted for 153, or 7.7 per cent, of the students; 7 , or 8.2 per cent, of the schools with between 301 and 500 total high school enrollment, accounted for 172 , or 9.3 per cent, of the students; and 3, or 3.5 per cent, of the schools with over 500 total high school enrollment, accounted for the remaining 141, or 7.1 per cent, of the students enrolled in the course.

In summarizing these data, it is evident that about three-fourths of the schools represented in this study have total high school enrollment of 150 or less, and account for about three-fourths of the student enrollment in the course in general business. It can be concluded, then, that the majority of the students enrolled in the course in general business are attending the smaller high schools.

DISTRIBUTION OF STUDENT ENROLLMENT IN GTNERAL BUSINESS COURSES IN 85 OKLAHOMA SENIOR HIGH SCHOOLS FOR THE SCHOOL YEAR 1947-1948 ACCORDING TO SIZE OF SCHOOL

| Total |  |  | School Year 1947-1948 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High School Enrollment | Number of Schools | Per Cent | Number of Students | Per <br> Cent |
| 0-50 | 6 | 7.1 | 92 | 4.7 |
| 51-100 | 36 | 42.3 | 695 | 35.1 |
| 101-150 | 21 | 24.7 | 552 | 27.8 |
| 151-200 | 7 | 8.2 | 164 | 8.3 |
| 201-250 | 3 | 3.5 | 78 | 3.9 |
| 251-300 | 3 | 3.5 | 75 | 3.8 |
| 301-350 | 2 | 2.4 | 59 | 3.0 |
| 351-400 | 1 | 1.2 | 14 | . 7 |
| 401-450 | 1 | 1.2 | 42 | 2.2 |
| 451-500 | 2 | 2.4 | 67 | 3.4 |
| Over 500 | 3 | 3.5 | 141 | 7.1 |
| Total | 85 | 100. | 1,979 | 100. |

This table should be read as follows: Six of the 85 high schools represented in this study had an enrollment of $0-50$ students during the school year 1947-1948. Of a total of 1,979 students who were enrolled in general business during the school year 1947-1948, 92, or 4.7 per cent, were attending schools in the 0-50 enrollment classification.

Grade Level. Another factor which may have some influence on the problems of general business teachers is the grade level on which the course is offered.

The State Department of Education indicates in the Annual High School Bulletin ${ }^{5}$ that general business should be offered in the ninth or tenth grade, with the tenth grade being preferable.

The Course of Study in Business Education ${ }^{6}$ recommends that the course in general business be offered in the ninth and tenth grades only.

In Table XII data are presented which show the grade levels represented in the course in general business during 1947-1948. Data were not available to show the proportion of each grade classification.

As shown in Table XII, 17, or 20 per cent, of the schools had both the ninth and tenth grade levels represented; 36 , or 42.4 per cent, had only the tenth grade represented; 3, or 3.5 per cent, had only the ninth grade represented; and 25 , or 29.4 per cent, had various grade combinations represented in the general business course.

About one-fourth of the schools had both the upper grade levels and the lower grade levels represented in the same course in general business. As had been said before, data were not available concerning the number represented in each of these grade classifications, that is, the number of students on the twelfth grade level or the number of students on the tenth grade level or any other grade combination that were enrolled in the same course, nor were there data to indicate whether the students represented in each of these grades were of normal intelligence or above or below normal intelligence.

5 Annual High School Bulletin, pp. 16-17.
6 State Course of Study in Business Education, p. 5 .

## TABITM XII

GRADE IEVEUS RTRPRESTHTTED IN THE COURSE IN GENERAL BUSINESS AS OFFTRPRD IN OKLAHOME SCHOOLS DURING THE SCHOOL YEAR 1947-1948

| Grade Level <br> Represented in <br> General Business <br> Course | Number <br> of <br> Schools | Yer Cent <br> of Total |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 9 | 3 | 3.5 |
| 9,10 | 17 | 20.0 |
| $9,10,11$ | 1 | 1.2 |
| $9,10,11,12$ | 1 | 2.3 |
| $9,11,12$ | 3 | 1.2 |
| 10 | 3 | 42.4 |
| 10,11 | 6 | 3.5 |
| $10,11,12$ | 12 | 3 |

This table should be read as follows: During the school year 1947-1948, 3, or 3.5 per cent, of the schools offering the course in general business, had only the ninth grade represented in the enrollment.

It is evident from the data available that the majority of Oklahoma high schools are following the recommendations of the State Department of Education with regard to the grade level on which the course in general business is offered.

## Summary

In this study 81, or 95 per cent, of the genersi business teachers who reported had a college degree. The najority received degrees from colleges in the state of 0klahoma.

Sixty-nine, or slightly over 80 per cent, of the general business teachers who reported had some commerce training. Fifteen, or approximately 18 per cent, had had social science training. Fither commerce or social science training were reported by 82 , or better than 96 per cent, of the teachers reporting. This indicates that general business teachers conform to the recommendations of the State Department of Education as to subject-matter field preparation.

Over half the general business teachers had a second teaching field. Not quite a tenth of the general business teachers had a third teaching field.

Since only about three-fourths of the general business teachers had had training in business law, business mathematie. and business English; and only about half had had training in courses in economics, it seems apparent that not all the general business teachers have a thorough beckground for teaching the course in general business as recommended in the State Course of Study for Business Education.

Only 14 teachers reported that they had taken courses in methods of teaching general business.

Approximately three-fourths of the teachers who reported in this study had taught the course in general business for 2 years or less. Over half the teachers with only 2 semesters of general business teaching experience had 10 or more semesters of all teaching experience.

Sixty-two, or about three-fourths, of the general business teachers reported that they had had business experience. The nature of the business experience reported varies considerably, with more teachers reporting experience as typists than any other kind of work.

Over holf the 85 schools represented hed high school enrollments of less than 100.

The majority of the students enrolled in the course in general business during 1947-1948 were a.ttending the smaller high schools of 0klahoma.

Most of the schools represented in the study offer the course in general business on the ninth or tenth grade level, or a combination of the two.

## CHAPTER III

## ANALYSIS OF PROBLEMS CONFRONTED

The problem check-lists which were received from 85 of the 134 general business teachers who taught the course during 1947-1948, are the main source of data for this study. An analysis of the 46 problems contained in the check-list is presented in this chapter.

As has been stated, the purpose of this study is to assemble the problems of the general business teacher and to determine the degree of importance, the degree of difficulty, and the frequency of occurrence, of these problems.

Forty-six problems were listed on the check-list and space was provided for the addition of any others. On the basis of personal experience, the teachers were asked to indicate whether each problem was of major, minor, or of no importance in the teaching of the course in general business. The teachers were also asked to indicate the degree of difficulty of solving and the frequency of occurrence of these problems.

The teachers were asked to indicate their opinion as to the percentage of the problems of teaching general business that were included in the check-list. Table XIII shows that 73, of the 85 general business teachers represented in the study, responded to this request. Fourteen, or 16.5 per cent, of the 85 general business teachers, indicated that in their opinion 100 per cent of the problems confronted in teaching general business were included in the check-1ist; 26 , or 30.6 per cent, indicated that 95 per cent of the problems were included; 14 , or 16.5 per cent, indicated that 90 per cent of the problems were included; 14 , or 16.5 per cent, indicated that 85 per cent of the problems were included; 3 , or 3.5

## NUMBER AND PER CENT OF TEACHERS RKPORRTING OPINION AS TO PFRCTNTAGE OF THE PROBLEMS OF TEAGHING GENRERAL BUSINESS WHICH HAD BEEN INCLUDED <br> IN THE CHECK-LIST

| Percentage | Number of <br> Teachers | Per Cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | 14 | 16.5 |
| 95 | 26 | 30.6 |
| 90 | 14 | 16.5 |
| 85 | 14 | 16.5 |
| 80 | 3 | 3.5 |
| 75 | 2 | 2.3 |
| 70 | 0 | 0 |
| 65 | 0 | 0 |
| 60 | 0 | 0 |
| 55 | 0 | 0 |
| 50 | 12 | 14.1 |
| No Reply | 85 | 100. |
| Total | 0 | 0 |

This table should be read as follows: Fourteen, or 16.5 per cent, of the 85 general business teachers reporting in this study, indicated that in their opinion, 100 per cent of the problems confronted in teaching general business were included in the check-list.
per cent, indicated that 80 per cent of the problems were included; and 2 , or 2.3 per cent, indicated that 75 per cent of the problems were included in the check-list. The range of these percentages extended from 75 per cent to 100 per cent; the average was approximately 92 per cent, and 95 per cent represented the median and the mode.

In summarizing these data, it can be said that, in the opinion of the 73 respondents, a greater percentage of the problems of teaching general business were included in the check-list. This finding is not too significant, yet it does validate the instrument in the opinion of the general business teachers included in the study.

A scatter diagram was used in tabulating the number of times each part of each problem was answered. Forty-six diggrams were constructed, one for each problem, for tabulating and weighting purposes. ${ }^{1}$

Table XIV shows the opinions of the teachers as to which of these problems are of major importance. The problem that the greatest number of teachers thought to be of major importance is listed first.

The problems that were selected by 50 per cent or more of the 85 general business teachers as of major importance are as follows:

Developing arithmetic skills
Developing spelling skills
Developing business vocabulary
Caring for individual differences
Giving personal and consumer use values that are needed by the students

Providing proper motivation
Developing speaking skills
${ }^{1}$ Copies are included in the Appendix.

TABL\& XIV
PROBL EMS SELECTED AS OF MAJOR IMPORTANCT
BY 85 GENERRAL BUSINESS TRACHFRS

| Problem | Number of Teachers | Per Cent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Developing arithmetic skills | 59 | 69.4 |
| Developing spelling skills | 58 | 68.2 |
| Developing business vocabulary | 53 | 62.3 |
| Caring for individual differences | 49 | 57.6 |
| -Giving personal and consumer use values that are needed by the students | 47 | 55.3 |
| Providing proper motivation | 46 | 54.1 |
| Developing speaking skills | 45 | 53.0 |
| Developing reading habits | 45 | 53.0 |
| Organizing materials | 44 | 51.8 |
| Developing writing skills | 43 | 50.6 |
| Applying units to need of local community | - 43 | 50.6 |
| Giving guidance training | 43 | 50.6 |
| Developing skill in using library | 42 | 49.4 |
| Applying units to need of students enrolled | 42 | 49.4 |
| Selecting teaching devices | 40 | 47.1 |
| Selecting class projects | 39 | 45.8 |
| Using visual aids | 39 | 45.8 |
| Selecting subject matter | 39 | 45.8 |
| Collecting materials | 38 | 44.7 |
| Making course exploratory | 37 | 43.5 |
| Obtaining student interest | 36 | 42.3 |
| Selecting meaningful activities | 36 | 42.3 |
| Determining approach to daily lesson | 36 | 42.3 |
| Choosing supplementary materials | 35 | 41.1 |
| Selecting individual projects | 34 | 40.0 |
| Making lesson plans | 34 | 40.0 |
| Maintaining student interest | 32 | 37.6 |
| Choosing textbook | 32 | 37.6 |
| Setting proper standards | 31 | 36.4 |
| Do not like to teach the course | 29 | 34.1 |
| Determining objectives of the course | 28 | 32.9 |
| Constructing tests | 28 | 32.9 |
| Organizing subject matter (units of learning) | 28 | 32.9 |
| Evaluating class activities | 27 | 31.8 |
| Do not feel qualified to teach the course | 26 | 30.6 |
| Determining grade level placement of the course | 26 | 30.6 |
| Text materiel too easy | 23 | 27.1 |

TABLE XIV (CONTINUED)
PROBLEMS SKLICTEED AS OF MAJOR IMPORTANCE BY 85 GFIERRAL BUSINESS TEACHERS

| Problem | Number of <br> Teachers | Per Cent <br> of Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Text material too general |  |  |  |

This table should be read as follows: Fifty-nine, or 69.4 per cent, of the 85 general business teachers selected "Developing arithmetic skills" as a major problem.

Developing reading habits
Organizing materials
Developing writing skills
Applying units to need of local community
Giving guidance training
The problems selected by the 85 general business teachers 8.8 of minor importance are shown in Table XV. Forty-two, or 49.4 per cent, of the teachers selected "overlapping of subject matter" as of minor importance. More teachers selected this problem to be of minor importance than any other.

Table XVI shows the problems selected by the 85 general business teachers as of no importance in the teaching of general business. Those problems selected by 50 per cent or more of the general business teachers as being of no importance are as follows:

Text material too difficult
Lack experience in teaching pupils on this grade level

Text material abstract in content
Lack experience in teaching subject of this nature
Handling discipline
Do not feel qualified to teach the course
Do not like to teach the course
Selecting pupils (composition of cless)
For the purpose of handling the data, all problems that the teachers did not check as to importance were considered to be of no importance in the teaching of general business.

Thirty-four of the 85 general business teachers who returned the check-list gave additional problems in teaching the course in general

TABLI XV

## PROBLEMS SELECTED AS OF MINOR IMPORTANCE BY 85 GRNERAL BUSINESS ITGACHERS

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of | Per Cent |
| Problem | Teachers |  | of Total

## TABLE XV (CONTINUED)

PROBL PMS SELECTED AS OF MINOR IMPORTANCE
BY 85 GENERAL BUSINESS TEACHERS
$\left.\begin{array}{lccc}\hline \text { Problem } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Number of } \\ \text { Teachers }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Per Cent } \\ \text { of Total }\end{array} \\ & & & \\ \text { Text material too difficult }\end{array}\right)$

This table should be read as follows: Forty-two, or 49.4 per cent, of the 85 general business teachers selected "Overlapping of subject matter ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ as a minor problem.

| Problem | Number of Teachers | Per Cent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Text material too difficult | 63 | 74.2 |
| Lack experience in teaching pupils on this grade level | 57 | 67.0 |
| Text material abstract in content | 50 | 58.8 |
| Lack experience in teaching subject of this nature | 48 | 56.5 |
| Handling discipline | 47 | 55.3 |
| Do not feel qualified to teach the course | 46 | 54.1 |
| Do not like to teach the course | 44 | 51.8 |
| Selecting pupils (composition of class) | 44 | 51.8 |
| Choosing textbook | 40 | 47.1 |
| Organizing subject matter (units of learning) | 37 | 43.5 |
| Determining grade level placement of the course | 37 | 43.5 |
| Determining grades | 36 | 42.4 |
| Constructing tests | 35 | 41.2 |
| Text material too general | 34 | 40.0 |
| Making lesson plans | 33 | 38.8 |
| Text material too easy | 33 | 38.8 |
| Setting proper standards | 27 | 31.8 |
| Overlapping of subject matter | 27 | 31.8 |
| Selecting meaningful activities | 24 | 28.2 |
| Selecting individual projects | 24 | 28.2 |
| Using visual aids | 23 | 27.1 |
| Maintaining student interest | 23 | 27.1 |
| Determining objectives of the course | 23 | 27.1 |
| Obtaining student interest | 22 | 25.9 |
| Determining approach to daily lesson | 22 | 25.9 |
| Evaluating class activities | 22 | 25.9 |
| Selecting subject matter | 21 | 24.7 |
| Applying units to needs of students enrolled | 20 | 23.5 |
| Selecting class projects | 19 | 22.3 |
| Providing proper motivation | 18 | 21.2 |
| Giving guidance training | 18 | 21.2 |
| Organizing materials | 17 | 20.0 |
| Applying units to need of local community | 17 | 20.0 |
| Collecting materials | 17 | 20.0 |
| Making course exploratory | 17 | 20.0 |
| Developing skill in using library | 16 | 18.9 |
| Selecting teaching devices | 16 | 18.9 |

TABLE XVI (CONTINUED)
PROBLEMS SKL RCTED AS OF NO IMPORTANCE BY 85 GENERAL BUSINESS TEACHERRS

| Problem | Number of <br> Teachers | Per Cent <br> of Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Choosing supplementary materials | 16 |  | 18.9 |
| Developing business vocabulary | 13 | 15.4 |  |
| Caring for individual differences | 12 | 14.1 |  |
| Giving personal and consumer use |  |  |  |
| values that are needed by students | 12 | 14.1 |  |
| Developing reading habits | 11 | 13.0 |  |
| Developing speaking skills | 11 | 13.0 |  |
| Developing writing skills | 10 | 11.8 |  |
| Developing spelling skills | 5 | 5.9 |  |
| Developing arithmetic skills | 5 | 5.9 |  |

This table should be read as follows: Sixty-three, or 74.2 per cent, of the 85 general business teachers selected "Text material too difficult" as a problem of no importance.
business or made comments on the course. No effort has been made to analyze these problems or comments. A number of them are quoted as follows:
"Class was too small. Not enough opportunity to visit business, plants, stores, etc."
"For small country high school:

1. Lack of plentiful supply of actual examples and situations.
2. Available tests much too easy.
3. Lack of business machines for demonstration."
"Most books are too general and overlap."
"I don't believe there is a real good book for use in teaching general business. It is too elementary at times and much too difficult and uninteresting other times. The way the course is set up now there are others that would be more beneficial to high school students, such as business math., business English, etc."
"How to teach it to a class of girls the last period in the day when all my earlier periods have been taken up with math. and science. I believe that it is an excellent course but my school and myself are not prepared to handle the course as it should be taught."
"The greatest problem I encountered in teaching general business was the notebook work. There are mathematical problems in the notebook that have no explanatory material in the directions. Of course, the author's assumption is that the student learns how to work all types of percentage in the math. classes. Unfortunately, too many haven't learned math., so the class was constantly getting 'bogged down' because they didn't know how to figure out the charts and math. problems contained in the notebook."
"No supplementary texts."
"Available material and collecting it has been my greatest problem."
"Very, very hard to get supplementary material."
"Seniors, juniors, sophomores, freshmen, all in the same class (even ex G. I's). A wide gap in general knowledge."
"My greatest problem has been that of too much difference in the grade level of the students taking the course. My classes have always been composed of sophomores and seniors and most of the seniors are so much more mature in thinking than those of sophomore level, that it is sometimes difficult to teach both at the same time."
"The grade levels vary too much. The subject is offered to sophomores, juniors, and seniors."
"Some of the subject matter is too difficult and involves more complicated business conditions than pupils in the sophomore year can understand. Such a chapter as the one on stocks and bonds and finance in general."
"Determining grades for students who do not have the mentol capacity to do high school level work."
"Getting pupils to see the need of such a subject."
"My major problem was finding visual aids which were good and which were appropriate. Also, the community is very small so there is no opportunity to correlate business training and actual practice."
"The only problem I have found in teaching this course is obtaining visual aids."
"General business is too easy, I think. I use it more to develop reading, writing, speaking, and research skills. I try to bring in other commercial subjects so they will know the advantages of taking more comnercial work."
"I was not really qualified to teach this course last year since I had never studied it in high school or college."
"I think general business is an excellent course for freshman in high school providing the teacher does not become s slave to a stiff, formal atmosphere and lets problems of interest grow out of class discussions."
"All of these problems seem to have been of major importance to me. My class was very odd. It was a mixed group. My discipline is usually all right but in this class I had to be very firm and determined in what I said all the time."

HThe proof of effective teaching of this course does not show up until the students have left school and established themselves. This has been a source of satisfaction to me as I have watched these students from classes of past years develop in community life..."
"Seems a very minor course."
"General business is one of the easiest and most interesting sub-jects--for students--and teacher."

## Weighted Values of Problems

In order to rank the problems according to their importance, it was necessary to give each factor involved a certain weight. An arbitrary system of weighting was used. Problems that were considered of major importance were given a weight of two. Problems that were considered of minor importance were given a weight of one. Problems that were considered of no importance were not regarded as having any weight other than zero. The numerical weight of each classification was multiplied by the number representing the total frequency for that classification. The sum of the products thus obtained by the three classifications for each problem divided by total frequency of all of the classifications, gave the weight of each problem according to the importance to the teacher.

For example, Table XVII shows the number and percentage of teachers judging the 46 problems as to importance. The first problem, selecting subject matter, was judged to be of major importance by 39 teachers; of minor importance by 25 teachers; and of no importance by 21 teachers. Thus, the composite weighting of this problem as to importance was determined in the following manner: The weight of 2 times 39 teachers plus the weight of 1 times 25 teachers plus the weight of 0 times 21 teachers divided by 85 , the total teachers. $\frac{(2 \times 39)+(1 \times 25)+(0 \times 21)}{85}=1.2$ The composite weightings of the 46 problems on the factor of importance were determined in the same manner.

Table XVIII shows the number and percentage of teachers judging problems as to difficulty of solving. The same arbitrary system of weighting was used for ranking the problems as to difficulty of solving as was used for ranking the problems as to importance. The weight of three was given for those problems judged a.s of great difficulty in solving. A weight of

NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF TRACHERS JUDGING PROBLTMS AS TO IMPORTANCE

| Problems | Ma,jor |  | Minor |  | No Importance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Per Cent |  | Per Cent |  | Per Cent |
| Selecting subject matter | 39 | 45.9 | 25 | 29.4 | 21 | 24.7 |
| Collecting materials | 38 | 44.7 | 30 | 35.3 | 17 | 20.0 |
| Organizing materials | 4.4 | 51.8 | 24 | 28.2 | 17 | 20.0 |
| Choosing supplementary materials | 35 | 41.1 | 34 | 40.0 | 16 | 18.9 |
| Text materiel too easy | 23 | 27.1 | 29 | 34.1 | 33 | 38.8 |
| Text material too difficul.t | 2 | 2.3 | 20 | 23.5 | 63 | 74.2 |
| Text material too general | 20 | 23.5 | 31 | 36.5 | 34 | 40.0 |
| Text material abstract in content | 6 | 7.1 | 29 | 34.1 | 50 | 58.8 |
| Making lesson plans | 34 | 40.0 | 18 | 21.2 | 33 | 38.8 |
| Determining approach to daily lesson. | 36 | 42.3 | 27 | 31.8 | 22 | 25.9 |
| Providing proper motivation | 46 | 54.1 | 21 | 2.4 .7 | 18 | 21.2 |
| Obtaining student interest | 36 | 42.3 | 27 | 31.8 | 22 | 25.9 |
| Maintaining student interest | 32 | 37.6 | 30 | 35.3 | 23 | 27.1 |
| Caring for individual differences | 49 | 57.6 | 24 | 28.2 | 12 | 14.2 |
| Making course exploratory | 37 | 43.5 | 31 | 36.5 | 17 | 20.0 |
| Overlapping of subject matter | 16 | 18.8 | 42 | 49.4 | 27 | 31.8 |
| Selecting teaching devices | 40 | 47.1 | 29 | 34.0 | 16 | 18.9 |
| Selecting class projects | 39 | 45.9 | 27 | 31.8 | 19 | 22.3 |
| Selecting individual projects | 34 | 40.0 | 27 | 31.8 | 24 | 28.2 |
| Selecting meaningful activities | 36 | 42.4 | 25 | 29.4 | 24 | 28.2 |
| Organizing subject matter (units of learning) | 28 | 32.9 | 20 | 23.5 | 37 | 43.6 |
| Constructing tests | 28 | 32.9 | 22 | 25.9 | 35 | 41.2 |
| Handling discipline | 16 | 18.8 | 22 | 25.9 | 47 | 55.3 |
| Applying units to need of students enrolled | 42 | 49.4 | 23 | 27.1 | 20 | 23.5 |
| Applying units to need of local community | 43 | 50.6 | 25 | 29.4 | 17 | 20.0 |
| Determining grades | 20 | 23.5 | 29 | 34.1 | 36 | 42.4 |
| Selecting pupils (composition of class) | 19 | 22.3 | 22 | 25.9 | 44 | 51.8 |

## TABLR XVII (CONTINUED)

NUMBER AND PKRCENTAGR OF TGACHERS JUDGING PROBLMNS AS TO IMPORTANCT

| Problems | Mo.jor |  | Minor |  | No Importance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | $r$ Cent |  | $r$ Cent |  | Cent |
| Determining grade level placement of the course | 26 | 30.6 | 22 | 25.9 | 37 | 43.5 |
| Determining objectives of the course | 28 | 32.9 | 34 | 40.0 | 23 | 27.1 |
| Setting proper standards | 31 | 36.4 | 27 | 31.8 | 27 | 31.8 |
| Choosing textbook | 32 | 37.6 | 13 | 15.3 | 40 | 47.1 |
| Using visual aids | 39 | 45.8 | 23 | 27.1 | 23 | 27.1 |
| Evaluating class activities | 27 | 31.8 | 36 | 42.3 | 22 | 25.9 |
| Developing business vocabulary | 53 | 62.3 | 19 | 22.3 | 13 | 15.4 |
| Developing reading habits | 45 | 53.0 | 29 | 34.0 | 21 | 13.0 |
| Developing arithmetic skills | 59 | 69.4 | 21 | 24.7 | 5 | 5.9 |
| Developing writing skills | 43 | 50.6 | 32 | 37.6 | 10 | 11.8 |
| Developing speaking skills | 45 | 53.0 | 29 | 34.0 | 11 | 13.0 |
| Developinc spelling skills skills | 58 | 68.2 | 22 | 25.9 | 5 | 5.9 |
| Developing skill in using library | 42 | 49.4 | 27 | 31.8 | 21 | 18.8 |
| Giving guidance training | 43 | 50.6 | 24 | 28.2 | 18 | 21.2 |
| Giving personal and consumer uge values that are needed by students | 47 | 55.3 | 26 | 30.6 | 1.2 | 1.4 .1 |
| Do not feel qualified to teach the course | 26 | 30.6 | 2.3 | 1.5 .3 | 46 | 54.1 |
| Do not like to teach the course | 29 | 34.1 | 12 | 14.1 | 44 | 51.8 |
| Lack experience in teaching pupils on this grade level | 14 | 16.5 | 14 | 16.5 | 57 | 67.0 |
| Lack experience in teaching subject of this na.ture | 20 | 23.5 | 17 | 20.0 | 48 | 56.5 |

This table should be read as follows: Thirty-nine, or 45.9 per cent, of the 85 general business teachers judged "selecting subject matter" as a problem of major importance.

| Problems | Great |  | Some |  | Ifttle |  | No Reply |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Per Cent | No. | Fer Cent | No. | Per <br> Cent | No. | Per <br> Cent |
| Selecting subject matter | 6 | 7.1 | 36 | 42.3 | 22 | 25.9 | 0 | 0 |
| Collecting materials | 15 | 17.7 | 31 | 36.5 | 22 | 25.9 | 0 | 0 |
| Organizing materials | 13 | 15.3 | 27 | 31.8 | 26 | 30.6 | 2 | 2.3 |
| Choosing supplementary materials | 20 | 23.5 | 29 | 34.1 | 19 | 22.3 | 1 | 1.2 |
| Text material too easy | 16 | 18.9 | 24 | 28.2 | 10 | 11.8 | 2 | 2.3 |
| Text material too difficult | 2 | 2.3 | 7 | 8.2 | 12 | 14.1 | 1 | 1.2 |
| Text material too general | 12 | 14.1 | 24 | 28.2 | 12 | 14.1 | 3 | 3.5 |
| Text material abstract in content | 4 | 4.7 | 24 | 28.2 | , | 5.9 | 2 | 2.3 |
| Making lesson plans | 6 | 7.1 | 30 | 35.3 | 14 | 16.5 | 2 | 2.3 |
| Determining apyroach to daily lesson | 10 | 11.8 | 32 | 37.6 | 20 | 23.5 | 1 | 1.2 |
| Providing proper motivation | 22 | 25.9 | 35 | 41.1 | 10 | 11.8 | 0 | 0 |
| Obtaining student interest | 10 | 11.8 | 37 | 43.5 | 16 | 18.9 | 0 | 0 |
| Maintaining student interest | 13 | 15.3 | 34 | 40.0 | 14 | 16.5 | 1 | 1.2 |
| Caring for individual differences | 25 | 29.4 | 31 | 36.5 | 16 | 18.9 | 1 | 1.2 |
| Making course exploratory | 22 | 25.9 | 33 | 38.8 | 13 | 15.3 | 0 | , |
| Overlapping of subject matter | 6 | 7.1 | 32 | 37.6 | 18 | 21.2 | 2 | 2.3 |
| Selecting teaching devices | 18 | 21.2 | 40 | 47.1 | 9 | 10.6 | 2 | 2.3 |
| Selecting class projects | 20 | 23.5 | 36 | 42.4 | 8 | 9.4 | 2 | 2.3 |
| Selecting individual projects | 21 | 24.7 | 28 | 32.9 | 10 | 11.3 | 2 | 2.3 |
| Selecting meaningful activities | 18 | 21.2 | 37 | 43.5 | 5 | 5.9 | 1 | 1.2 |
| Organizing subject matter (units of learning) | 9 | 10.6 | 23 | 27.1 | 15 | 17.7 | 1 | 1.2 |
| Constructing tests | 13 | 15.3 | 26 | 30.6 | 10 | 11.8 | 1 | 1.2 |
| Handiing discipline | 3 | 3.5 | 11 | 13.0 | 23 | 27.1 | 1 | 1.2 |
| Applying units to need of students enrolled | 18 | 21.2 | 31 | 36.5 | 15 | 17.7 | 1 | 1.2 |
| Applying units to need of local community | 21 | 24.7 | 35 | 41.1 | 11 | 13.0 | 1 | 1.2 |
| Determining grades | 7 | 8.2 | 27 | 31.8 | 15 | 17.7 | 0 | 0 |
| Selecting pupils (composition of class) | 11 | 13.0 | 19 | 22.3 | 10 | 11.8 | 1 | 1.2 |
| Determining grade level placement of the course | 9 | 10.6 | 25 | 29.4 | 12 | 14.1 | 2 | 2.3 |

TABLE XVIII (CONTINURD)
NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF TRACHERS JUDGING PROBLEMS AS TO DIFFICULTY OF SOLVING

| Problems | Great |  | Some |  | Little |  | No Reply |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Per Cent | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ |
| Determining objectives of the course | 4 | 4.7 | 39 | 45.9 | 18 | 21.2 | 1 | 1.2 |
| Setting proper standards | 7 | 8.2 | 37 | 43.5 | 12 | 14.1 | 2 | 2.3 |
| Choosing textbook | 10 | 11.8 | 12 | 14.1 | 22 | 25.9 | 1 | 1.2 |
| Using visual aids | 26 | 30.6 | 21 | 24.7 | 14 | 16.5 | 1 | 1.2 |
| Evaluating class activities | 11 | 13.0 | 39 | 45.9 | 12 | 14.1 | 1 | 1.2 |
| Developing business vocabulary | 29 | 34.1 | 31 | 36.4 | 10 | 11.8 | 2 | 2.3 |
| Developing reading habits | 32 | 37.6 | 37 | 43.5 | 4 | 4.7 | 1 | 1.2 |
| Developing arithmetic skills | 38 | 44.7 | 35 | 41.1 | 6 | 7.1 | 1 | 1.2 |
| Developing writing skills | 24 | 28.2 | 43 | 50.6 | 7 | 8.2 | 1 | 1.2 |
| Developing speaking skills | 25 | 29.4 | 40 | 47.1 | 8 | 9.4 | 1 | 1.2 |
| Developing spelling skills | 43 | 50.6 | 28 | 32.9 | 6 | 7.1 | 2 | 2.3 |
| Developing skill in using library | 27 | 31.8 | 35 | 41.1 | 5 | 5.9 | 2 | 2.3 |
| Giving guidance training | 20 | 23.5 | 33 | 38.8 | 12 | 14.1 | 2 | 2.3 |
| Giving personal and consumer use values that are needed by students | 19 | 22.3 | 46 | 54.1 | 7 | 8.2 | 1 | 1.2 |
| Do not feel qualified to teach the course | 7 | 8.2 | 12 | 14.1 | 16 | 18.8 | 4 | 4.7 |
| Do not like to teach the course | 10 | 11.8 | 10 | 11.8 | 12 | 14.1 | 9 | 10.6 |
| Lack experience in teaching pupils on this grade level | 6 | 7.1 | 10 | 11.8 | 9 | 10.6 | 3 | 3.5 |
| Lack experience in teaching subject of this nature | 10 | 11.8 | 11 | 13.0 | 12 | 14.1 | 4 | 4.7 |

This table should be read as follows: Six, or 7.1 per cent, of the 85 general business teachers judged "Selecting subject matter" as a problem of great difficulty in solving.
two was given those problems judged as of some difficulty of solving. A weight of one was given those problems judged as of little difficulty of solving. Those problems which were judged as having no importance were not judged as having any difficulty of solving, therefore the weight of these problems would be zero. Those problems which were judged of major or minor importance but were not judged as to difficulty were also considered as having a, weight of zero.

For example, as indicated on Table XVI, the problem, selecting subject matter, was judged by 6 teachers as of being of great difficulty in solving; by 36 teachers as being of some difficulty of solving; and by 22 teachers as being of little difficulty in solving. Twenty-one teachers considered the problem, selecting subject matter, as of no importance, therefore it would have no weight as to difficulty. Thus, the composite weighting of this problem as to difficulty of solving was determined in the following manner. The weight of 3 times 6 teachers plus the weight 2 times 36 teachers plus the weight 1 times 22 teachers plus the weight 0 times 21 teachers divided by 85 teachers.
$\frac{(3 \times 6)+(2 \times 36)+(1 \times 22)+(0 \times 21)}{85}=1.2$
The composite weightings of the 46 problems on the factor of difficulty of solving were determined in the same manner.

The number and percentage of teachers judging problems as to frequency of occurrence is shown in Table XIX. Not all the problems were judged for frequency of occurrence as some were considered to be constants. For example, to judge such problems a.s "do not feel qualified to teach the coursell for frequency of occurrence seemed to have no value for this study.

The same procedure for weighting was used for ranking the problems for frequency of occurrence as was used for difficulty of solving.

| Problems | Frequently, or much of the time |  | Occasionally, or some of the time |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rarely, } \\ & \text { or little } \end{aligned}$of the time |  | No Reply |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Cr Cent |  | Cent |  | $r$ Cent | No. | Cent |
| Selecting subject matter | 20 | 23.5 | 30 | 35.3 | 14 | 16.5 | 0 | 0 |
| Collecting materials | 24 | 28.2 | 30 | 35.3 | 14 | 16.5 | 0 | 0 |
| Organizing materials | 18 | 21.2 | 32 | 37.6 | 14 | 16.5 | 4 | 4.7 |
| Choosing supplementary materials | 20 | 23.5 | 37 | 43.5 | 8 | 9.4 | 4 | 4.7 |
| Text material too easy | 19 | 22.3 | 23 | 27.1 | 8 | 9.4 | 2 | 2.3 |
| Text material too difficult | 1 | 1.2 | 9 | 10.6 | 11 | 13.0 | 1 | 1.2 |
| Text material too general | 11 | 13.0 | 25 | 29.4 | 11 | 13.0 | 4 | 4.7 |
| Text material abstract in content | 1 | 1.2 | 27 | 31.8 | 4 | 4.7 | 3 | 3.5 |
| Making lesson plans | 13 | 15.3 | 27 | 31.8 | 9 | 10.6 | 3 | 3.5 |
| Determining approach to daily lesson | 20 | 23.5 | 30 | 35.3 | 1.1 | 13.0 | 2 | 2.3 |
| Providing proper motivation | 33 | 38.8 | 27 | 31.8 | 5 | 5.9 | 2 | 2.3 |
| Obtaining student interest | 20 | 23.5 | 29 | 34.1 | 12 | 14.1 | 2 | 2.3 |
| Maintaining student interest | 17 | 20.0 | 34 | 40.0 | 10 | 11.8 | 1 | 1.2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Caring for indi- } \\ & \text { vidual } \\ & \text { differences } \end{aligned}$ | 30 | 35.3 | 29 | 34.1 | 12 | 14.1 | 2 | 2.3 |
| Making course exploratory | 19 | 22.3 | 38 | 44.7 | 8 | 9.4 | 3 | 3.5 |
| Overlapping of subject matter | 8 | 9.4 | 33 | 38.8 | 15 | 17.7 | 2 | 2.3 |
| Selecting teaching devices | 22 | 25.9 | 38 | 44.4 | 7 | 8.2 | 2 | 2.3 |
| Selecting class projects | 21 | 24.7 | 39 | 45.9 | 4 | 4.7 | 2 | 2.3 |
| Selecting individual projects | 24 | 28.2 | 29 | 34.1 | 6 | 7.1 | 2 | 2.3 |

TABLE XIX (CONTINUED)
NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF TEACHERS JUDGING PROBLEMS AS TO FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE

| Problems | Frequently, or much of the time |  | Occasionally, or some of the time |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rarely, } \\ & \text { or littie } \end{aligned}$of the time |  | No Reply |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | C Cent |  | er Cent |  | r Cent | No. | Cent |
| Selecting meaningful activities | 19 | 22.3 | 34 | 40.0 | 7 | 8.2 | 1 | 1.2 |
| Organizing subject matter (units of learning) | 12 | 14.1 | 23 | 27.1 | 10 | 11.8 | 3 | 3.5 |
| Constructing tests | 14 | 16.5 | 24 | 28.2 | 11 | 13.0 | 1 | 1.2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Handling } \\ & \text { discipline } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 4.7 | 14 | 16.5 | 19 | 22.3 | 1 | 1.2 |
| Applying units to need of students enrolled | 24 | 28.2 | 29 | 34.1 | 9 | 10.6 | 3 | 3.5 |
| Applying units to need of local community | 30 | 35.3 | 27 | 31.8 | 8 | 9.4 | 3 | 3.5 |
| Determining grades | 11 | 13.0 | 28 | 32.9 | 9 | 10.6 | 1 | 1.2 |

This table should be read as follows: Twenty, or 23.5 per cent, of the 85 general business teachers judged "selecting subject matter" as a problem frequent in occurrence.

Table XX shows the weighted value of the problems according to importance, difficulty and frequency. A summary of the weighted rank order of all the problems is given in Table XXI.

Eight of the problems which ranked among the highest places were the following:

Developing arithmetic skills
Developing spelling skills
Developing business vocabulary
Caring for individual differences
Giving personal and consumer use values that are needed by students

Developing reading habits
Developing speaking skills
Developing writing skills
Some similarities are found among those problems which ranked highest in importance. Developing skills in arithmetic, spelling, business vocabulary, reading, speaking, and writing are problems which seemed to be of most importance to the general business teacher. One of the guiding principles of the course in general business, as stated in the Handbook for High School Courses, is:

An excellent opportunity for skill maintenance in arithmetic, penmanship, and Thglish is provided in Fiveryday Business. Adequate drill in these skills showld be introduced wherever necessary and in connection with each unit of work. ${ }^{\text {? }}$

Among the desirable outcomes of the course, according to the Handbook for High School Courses, should be:

[^2]Skills of arithmetic, penmanship, and English should be maintained at the level at which they were before entering upon the course and, if possible they should be improved. 3

Eight of the problems which ranked among the highest places according to the difficulty of solving were the following:

Developing arithmetic skills
Developing spelling skills
Developing reading skills
Developing business vocabulary
Developing speaking skills
Developing writing skills
Caring for individual differences
Giving personal and consumer use values that are needed by students

Since the problems pertaining to developing skills in arithmetic, penmanship, and English are ranked among the highest both in importance and in difficulty of solving by the general business teachers, and also are considered among the desirable outcomes of the course, it would seem that these are problems of teaching general business, are important in the teaching of general business, are difficult for the teachers to solve, and should have additional study.

The problems, caring for individual differences, and giving personal and consumer use values that are needed by students, were ranked by the teachers among the highest in importance and difficulty of solving. These problems will receive further study later in this chapter.

In analyzing the weighted rank of the problems as to frequency of

3 Ibid., p. 82.

| Problems | Importance | Difficulty | Frequency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Developing arithmetic skills | 1.6 | 2.2 |  |
| Developing spelling skills | 1.6 | 2.2 |  |
| Developing business vocabulary | 1.5 | 1.9 |  |
| Caring for individual differences | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| Giving personal and consumer use values that are needed by students | 1.4 | 1.8 |  |
| Developing reading habits | 1.4 | 2.1 |  |
| Developing speaking skills | 1.4 | 1.9 |  |
| Developing writing skills | 1.4 | 1.9 |  |
| Providing proper motivation | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.9 |
| Organizing materials | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| Developing skill in using library | 1.3 | 1.8 |  |
| Applying units to need of local community | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| Giving guidance training | 1.3 | 1.6 |  |
| Selecting teaching devices | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.8 |
| Applying units to need of students enrolled | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
| Collecting materials | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.7 |
| Making course exploratory | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| Selecting class projects | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| Choosing supplementary materials | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| Selecting subject matter | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.6 |
| Using visual aids | 1.2 | 1.6 |  |
| Determining approach to daily lesson | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.5 |
| Obtaining student interest | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| Selecting meaningful activities | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| Selecting individual projects | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
| Maintaining student interest | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| Determining objectives of the course | 1.1 | 1.3 |  |
| Evaluating class activities | 1.1 | 1.4 |  |
| Setting proper standards | 1.0 | 1.3 |  |
| Making lesson plans | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| Constructing tests | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Choosing textbook | 0.9 | 0.9 |  |
| Organizing subject matter (units of learning) | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Text material too easy | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| Overlapping of subject matter | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Determining grade level placement of the course | 0.9 | 1.1 |  |
| Text material too general | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.1 |

TABLE XX (CONTINUED)
WEIGHTED RANKS OF PROBLEMS ACCORDING TO IMPORTANCE, DIFFICULTY AND FREQUENCY

| Problems | Importance | Difficulty | Frequency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Do not like to teach the course | 0.8 | 0.7 |  |
| Determining grades | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Do not feel qualified to teach the course | 0.8 | 0.7 |  |
| Selecting pupils <br> (composition of class) | 0.7 | 1.0 |  |
| Lack experience in teaching subject of this nature | 0.7 | 0.8 |  |
| Handling discipline | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| Lack experience in teaching pupils on this grade level | 0.5 | 0.6 |  |
| Text material abstract in content | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| Text material too difficult | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 |

This table should be read as follows: The problem, developing arithmetic skills, had a weighted rank of 1.6 in importance to the 85 general business teachers.

TABLE XXI
SUMMARY OF THE VEIGHTED RANK ORDER OF PROBLEMS AS TO IMPORTANCE, DIFFICULTY AND FRRQUENCY FOR ALL TEACHERS

| Problem | Rank as to Importance | Rank as to Difficul.ty | Rank as to Frequency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Developing arithmetic skills | 1 | 1 |  |
| Developing spelling skills | 1 | 1 |  |
| Developing business vocabulary | 2 | 3 |  |
| Caring for individual differences | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| Giving personal and consumer use values that are needed by students | 3 | 4 |  |
| Developing reading habits | 3 | 2 |  |
| Developing speaking skills | 3 | 3 |  |
| Developing writing skills | 3 | 3 |  |
| Providing proper motivation | 4 | 5 | 1 |
| Organizing materials | 4 | 8 | 4 |
| Developing skill in using library | 4 | 4 |  |
| Applying units to need of local community | 4 | 5 | 2 |
| Giving guidance training | 4 | 6 |  |
| Selecting teaching devices | 4 | 6 | 2 |
| Applying units to need of students enrolled | 4 | 7 | 4 |
| Collecting materials | 5 | 7 | 3 |
| Making course exploratory | 5 | 5 | 3 |
| Selecting class projects | 5 | 6 | 3 |
| Choosing supplementary materials | 5 | 6 | 3 |
| Selecting subject matter | 5 | 10 | 4 |
| Using visual aids | 5 | 6 |  |
| Determining approach to daily lesson | 5 | 9 |  |
| Obtaining student interest | 5 | 8 | 5 |
| Selecting meaningful activities | 6 | 6 | 4 |
| Selecting individual projects | 6 | 7 | 4 |
| Mainta,ining student interest | 6 | \% | 5 |
| Determining objectives of the course | 6 | 9 |  |
| Evaluating class activities | 6 | 8 |  |
| Setting proper standards | 7 | 9 |  |
| Making lesson plans | 7 | 11 | 7 |
| Constructing tests | 8 | 10 | 7 |
| Choosing textbook | 8 | 13 |  |
| Organizing subject matter (units of learning) | 8 | 12 | 8 |
| Text material too easy | 8 | 10 | 6 |
| Overlapping of subject matter | 8 | 10 | 7 |
| Determining grade level placement of the course | 8 | 11 |  |
| Text material too general | 8 | 11 | 8 |

TABLIE XXI (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF THE YIGIGHTED RANK ORDER OF PROBLEMS AS TO IMPORTANCE, DIFFICULTY AND FREQUENCY FOR ALI TEACHFRS

| Problem | Rank as to Importance | Rank as to Difficulty | Rank as to Frequency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Do not like to teach the course | 9 | 15 |  |
| Determining grades | 9 | 11 | 8 |
| Do not feel qualified to teach the course | 9 | 15 |  |
| Selecting pupils (composition of class) | ) 10 | 12 |  |
| Lack experience in teaching subject of this nature | 10 | 14 |  |
| Handing discipline | 11 | 16 | 9 |
| Lack experience in teaching pupils on this grade level | 12 | 16 |  |
| Text material abstract in content | 12 | 14 | 9 |
| Text material too difficult | 13 | 17 | 10 |

This table should be read as follows: The problem, developing arithmetic skills, was ranked first in importance by the 85 general business teachers.
occurrence, it was found that the following problems were among the highest in rank:

Caring for individual differences
Providing proper motivation
Applying units to need of local community
Selecting teaching devices
Collecting materials
Making course exploratory
Selecting class projects
Choosing supplementary materials
Only one of these problems, "caring for individual differences," ranked among the highest in importance and difficulty of solving.

The problems which ranked among the lowest in importance were the following:

Text material too difficult
Text material abstract in content
Lack experience in teaching pupils on this grade level

Handling discipline
Lack experience in teaching subject of this nature
Selecting pupils (composition of class)
The problems which ranked among the lowest in difficulty in solving were the following:

Text material too difficult
Lack experience in teaching pupils on this grade level

Handling discipline
Do not feel qualified to teach the course

Text material abstract in content

Lack experience in teaching subject of this nature
In comparing the problems that had the lowest weighted rank in importance to the problems that had the lowest weighted rank in difficulty of solving, it seemed apparent that, as in the case of the highest ranking, the majority of the problems were the same.

It would seem, therefore, that the teachers considered the most important problems to be generally the most difficult of solving and the least important problems to be the least difficult of solving. This was not true with the ranking as to frequency of occurrence.

In determining the problems which were major, minor or of no importance, an arbitrary method was used. Problems which had weighted values from 1.3 to 1.6 , inclusive, were considered problems of major importance; those which had weighted values of 0.8 to 1.2 , inclusive, were considered problems of minor importance; and those which had weighted values of 0.3 to 0.7 , inclusive, were considered problems of no importance in the teaching of general business.

The problems which were classified on this basis as major problems in importance were:

Developing arithmetic skills
Developing spelling skills
Developing business vocabulary
Caring for individual differences
Giving personal and consumer use values that are needed by students

Developing reading habits
Developing speaking skills
Developing writing skills
Providing proper motivation
Organizing materials
Developing skill in using library
Applying units to need of local community
Giving guidance training
Selecting teaching devices
Applying units to need of students enrolled
The problems which were classified on this basis as minor problems
in importance were:
Collecting materials
Making course exploratory
Selecting class projects
Choosing supplementary materials
Selecting subject matter
Using visual aids
Determining approach to daily lesson
Obtaining student interest
Selecting meaningful activities
Selecting individual projects
Maintaining student interest
Determining objectives of the course
Evaluating class activities
Setting proper standards
Making lesson plans
Constructing tests
Choosing textbook

Organizing subject matter (units of learning)
Text material too easy
Overlapping of subject matter
Determining grade level placement of the course
Text material too general
Do not like to teach the course

Determining grades
Do not feel qualified to teach the course
The problems which were classified as of no importance were:
Selecting pupils (composition of class)
Lack experience in teaching subject of this nature
Handling discipline
Lack experience in teaching pupils on this grade level
Text material abstract in content
Text material too difficult

An arbitrary method was also used in determining the problems which were of great difficulty in solving, of some difficulty in solving, and of little difficulty in solving. Problems which had weighted values from 1.7 to 2.2 , inclusive, were considered problems of great difficulty in solving; those which had weighted values of from 1.0 to 1.6 , inclusive, were considered problems of some difficulty in solving; and those which had weighted values of from 0.4 to 0.9 , inclusive, were considered to be of little difficulty in solving.

The problems which were classified on this basis as of great difficulty in solving were:

Developing arithmetic skills
Developing spelling skills

Developing reading habits
Developing business vocabulary

Developing speaking skills

Developing writing skills

Caring for individual differences
Giving personal and consumer use values that are needed by students

Developing skill in using library
Providing proper motivation
Applying units to need of local community

Making course exploratory
The problems which were classified on this basis as of some difficulty in solving were:

Giving guidance training
Selecting teaching devices
Selecting class projects
Choosing supplementary materials
Using visual aids
Selecting meaningful activities
Applying units to need of students enrolled
Collecting materials
Selecting individual projects
Organizing materials
Obtaining student interest
Ma.intaining student interest
Evaluating class activities

Determining approach to daily lesson
Determining objectives of the course
Setting proper standards

Selecting subject matter
Constructing tests
Text material too easy
Overlapping of subject matter
Making lesson plans

Determining grade level placement of the course
Text material too general
Determining grades
Organizing subject matter (units of leaming)
Selecting pupils (composition of class)
The problems which were classified on this basis as of little difficulty in solving were:

Choosing textbook
Lack experience in teaching subject of this nature
Text material abstract in content
Do not like to teach the course
Do not feel qualified to teach the course
Handlinc discipline
Iack experience in teaching pupils on this grade level

Text material too difficult
In determining the frequency of occurrence of the problems the same arbitrary procedure was used. Problems were considered to be of frequent occurrence or present most of the time if the weighted values were between 1.5 to 1.9 , inclusive; those which had weighted values from 1.0 to 1.4 , inclusive, were considered as occasional or present some of the time; and those which had weighted values from 0.4 to 0.9 , inclusive, were considered rare or present little of the time.

The problems which were classified on this basis as of being present most of the time or frequently were:

Caring for individual differences
Providing proper motivation
Applying units to need of local community
Selecting teaching devices
Collecting materials
Making course exploratory
Selecting class projects
Choosing supplementary materials
Organizing materials
Applying units to need of students enrolled
Selecting subject matter
Selecting meaningful activities
Selecting individual projects
Determining approach to daily lesson
Obtaining student interest
Maintaining student interest
Those problems which happened occasionally or were present some of the time were:

Making lesson plans
Constructing tests
Text material too easy
Overlapping of subject matter
Text material too general
Determining grades
Organizing subject matter (units of learning)

Those problems which happened rarely or were present little of the time were:

Handling discipline<br>Text material abstract in content<br>Text material too difficult

In sumarizing briefly, it was found that in most instances the problems that were considered of major importance were also of great difficulty in solving, and some that were considered of major importance were of frequent occurrence. Those problems that were of minor importance were usually of some difficulty in solving.

The problems which were presented to the general business teachers to be checked as to importance in teaching, difficulty in solving, and frequency of occurrence may be divided or grouped into several classifications. For example, the problems might be grouped as follows: problems concerning teaching materials, problems dealing with instruction and methods, problems concerning student outcomes, and administrative problems.

In examining the weighted rank order of the problems as to importance, difficulty and frequency for all teachers, as shown in Table XXI, the majority of the problems which ranked among the highest were those that could be classified as problems concerning student outcomes.

Table XXII and Table XXIII show the number and percentage of teachers classifying the problems as of major or minor importance in terms of difficulty of solving and frequency of occurrence, respectively.

Thirty-nine teachers classified the problem, selecting subject matter, as a major problem. Six, or 15.4 per cent, of the 39 teachers believed it to be of great difficulty in solving; 20 , or 51.3 per cent, believed it to be of some difficulty in solving; and 13 , or 33.3 per cent,

NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF GENERAL BUSINESS TTEACHERS CLASSIFYING THT MAJOR AND MINOR PROBLEMS IN TERMS OF DIFFICULTY OF SOLVING

| Problem | Major Problem |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Minor Problem |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Great |  | Some |  | Littl |  | Not Stated |  | Great |  | Some |  | Little |  | Not Stated |  |
|  | Num- | Per | m- | Per | um- | Per | Num- | Per | Num- | Per | - | Pe | Num- | Pe |  |  |
|  | ber | Cent | ber | Cent | ber | Cent | ber | Cent | ber | Cent | ber | Cent | ber | Cent |  | Cent |
| Selecting subject matter | 6 | 15.4 | 20 | 51.3 | 13 | 33.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 64.0 | 9 | 36.0 | 0 | 0 |
| Collecting materials | 13 | 34.2 | 15 | 39.5 | 10 | 26.3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6.7 | 16 | 53.3 | 12 | 40.0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organizing materials | 12 | 27.3 | 15 | 34.1 | 15 | 34.1 | 2 | 4.5 | 1 | 4.2 | 12 | 50.0 | 11 | 45.8 | 0 | 0 |
| Choosing supplementary materials | 17 | 48.6 | 10 | 28.6 | 7 | 20.0 | 1 | 2.8 | 3 | 8.8 | 25 | 73.5 | 5 | 14.7 | 0 | 0 |
| Text material too easy | 15 | 65.2 | 5 | 21.7 | 2 | 8.7 | 1 | 4.4 | 1 | 3.4 | 19 | 65.6 | 8 | 27.6 | 1 | 3.4 |
| Text material too difficult | 1 | 50.0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 50.0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.0 | 7 | 35.0 | 11 | 55.0 | 1 | 5.0 |
| Text material too general | 11 | 55.0 | 5 | 25.0 | 3 | 15.0 | 1 | 5.0 | 1 | 3.2 | 19 | 61.3 | 9 | 29.1 | 2 | 6.4 |
| Text material abstract in content |  | 50.0 | 1 | 16.7 | 1 | 16.7 | 1 | 16.6 | 1 | 3.4 | 23 | 79.4 | 4 | 13.8 | 1 | 3.4 |
| Making lesson plans | 6 | 17.6 | 16 | 47.1 | 10 | 26.5 | 2 | 5.8 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 77.8 | 4 | 22.2 | 0 | 0 |
| Determining approach to daily lesson | 10 | 27.8 | 13 | 36.1 | 12 | 33.3 | 1 | 2.8 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 70.4 | 8 | 29.6 | 0 | 0 |
| providing proper motivation | 21 | 45.7 | 19 | 41.3 | 6 | 13.0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4.8 | 16 | 76.2 | 4 | 19.0 | 0 | 0 |
| Obtaining student interest | 9 | 25.0 | 18 | 50.0 | 9 | 25.0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3.7 | 19 | 70.4 | 7 | 25.9 | 0 | 0 |
| Maintaining student interest | 12 | 37.5 | 12 | 37.5 | 7 | 21.9 | 1 | 3.1 | 1 | 3.3 | 22 | 73.4 | 7 | 23.3 | 0 | 0 |
| Caring for individual differences | 25 | 51.0 | 17 | 34.7 | 6 | 12.3 | 1 | 2.0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 58.3 | 10 | 41.7 | 0 | 0 |
| Making course exploratory | 19 | 51.4 | 13 | 35.1 | 5 | 13.5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9.7 | 20 | 64.5 | 8 | 25.8 | 0 | 0 |
| Overlapping of subject matter | 6 | 37.5 | 4 | 43.8 | 3 | 18.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 59.5 | 15 | 35.7 | 2 | 4.8 |

NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF GFNERAL BUSINESS TEACHKRS CLASS IFYING THE MAJOR AND MINOR PROBLEMS IN TERMS OF DIFFICULTY OF SOLVING

| Problem | Major Problem |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Minor Problem |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Great |  | Some |  | Iittle |  | Not Stated |  | Great |  | Some |  | Little |  | Not Stated |  |
|  | Num- | Per | Num- | Per | Num- | Per | Num- | Per | Num- | Per | Num- | Per |  | Per | Num- | Per- |
|  | ber | Cent | ber | Cent | ber | Cent | ber | Cent | ber | Cent | ber | Cent |  | Cent |  | Cent |
| Selecting teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| devices | 17 | 42.5 | 17 | 42.5 | 4 | 10.0 | 2 | 5.0 | 1 | 3.4 | 23 | 79.3 | 5 | 17.3 | 0 | 0 |
| Selecting class projects | 19 | 48.7 | 14 | 35.9 | 5 | 12.8 | 1 | 2.6 | 1 | 3.7 | 22 | 81.5 | 3 | 11.1 | , | 3.7 |
| Selecting individual projects | 19 | 55.9 | 8 | 23.5 | 5 | 14.7 | 2 | 5.9 | 2 | 7.4 | 20 | 74.1 | 5 | 1.8 .5 | 0 | 0 |
| Selecting meaningful activities | 16 | 44.4 | 15 | 41.7 | 4 | 11.1 | 1 | 2.8 | 2 | 8.0 | 22 | 86.0 | 1 | 4.0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organizing subject matter (units of learning) | 9 | 32.1 | 8 | 28.6 | 10 | 35.7 | 1 | 3.6 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 75.0 | 5 | 25.0 | 0 | 0 |
| Constructing tests | 13 | 46.4 | 9 | 32.2 | 5 | 17.8 | 1 | 3.6 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 77.3 | 5 | 22.7 | 0 | 0 |
| Handling discipline | 3 | 18.7 | 3 | 18.7 | 9 | 56.3 | 1 | 6.3 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 36.4 | 14 | 63.6 | 0 | 0 |
| Applying units to need of students enrolled | 17 | 40.5 | 17 | 40.5 | 7 | 16.7 | 1 | 2.3 | 1 | 4.3 | 14 | 60.9 | 8 | 34.8 | 0 | 0 |
| Applying units to need of local community | 21 | 48.9 | 17 | 39.5 | 4 | 9.3 | 1 | 2.3 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 72.0 | 7 | 28.0 | 0 | 0 |
| Determining grades | 6 | 30.0 |  | 40.0 | 6 | 30.0 | 0 | , | 1 | 3.5 | 19 | 65.5 | 9 | 31.0 | 0 | 0 |
| Selecting pupils (composition of class) | 10 | 52.6 | 6 | 31.5 | 2 | 10.6 | 1 | 5.3 | 1 | 4.5 | 13 | 59.1 | 8 | 36.4 | 0 | 0 |
| Determining grade level placement of the course | 8 | 30.7 | 11 | 42.3 | 6 | 23.1 | 1 | 3.9 | 1 | 4.5 | 14 | 63.6 | 6 | 27.4 | 1 | 4.5 |
| Determining objectives of the course | 4 | 14.3 | 11 | 39.3 | 12 | 42.8 | 1 | 3.6 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 82.4 | 6 | 17.6 | 0 |  |
| Setting proper standards | 7 | 22.6 | 15 | 48.4 | 8 | 25.8 | 1 | 3.2 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 81.5 | 4 | 14.8 | 1 | 3.7 |
| Choosing textbook | 9 | 28.1 | 7 | 21.9 | 15 | 46.9 | 1 | 3.1 | 1 | 7.7 | 5 | 38.5 | 7 | 53.8 | 0 | 0 |
| Using visual aids | 22 | 56.4 | 11 | 28.2 | 5 | 12.8 | 1 | 2.6 | 4 | 17.4 | 10 | 43.5 |  | 39.1 | 0 | 0 |
| Evaluating class activities | 11 | 40.8 | 10 | 37.0 | 6 | 22.2 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 80.6 | 6 | 16.7 | 1 | 2.7 |

NUMBER AND PMRCENTAGE OF GEIVRRAL BUSINESS TTAACHERS CLASSIFYING THE MAJOR AND MINOR PROBLEMMS IN TERMS OF DIFFICULTY OF SOLVING

| Problem | Ma,jor Problem |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Minor Problem |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Great |  | Some |  | Iittie |  | Not Stated |  | Great |  | Some |  | Little |  | Not Stated |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num- } \\ & \text { ber } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num- } \\ & \text { ber } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num- } \\ & \text { ber } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ | Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Developing business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vocabulary | 28 | 52.8 | 16 | 30.2 | 8 | 15.1 | 1 | 1.9 | 1 | 5.3 | 15 | 78.9 | 2 | 10.5 | 1 | 5.3 |
| Developing reading habits | 30 | 66.7 | 12 | 26.7 | 2 | 4.4 | 1 | 2.2 | 2 | 6.9 | 25 | 86.2 | 2 | 6.9 | 0 | 5 |
| Developing arithmetic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| skills | 37 | 62.8 | 18 | 30.5 | 3 | 5.1 | 1 | 1.6 | 1 | 4.7 | 17 | 81.0 |  | 14.3 | 0 | 0 |
| Developing writing skills | 23 | 53.5 | 18 | 41.9 |  | 2.3 | 1 | 2.3 | 1 | 3.1 | 25 | 78.1 | 6 | 18.8 | 0 | 0 |
| Developing speaking skills | S 23 | 51.1 | 19 | 42.2 | 2 | 4.5 | 1 | 2.2 | 2 | 6.9 | 21 | 72.4 | 6 | 20.7 | 0 | 0 |
| Developing spelling skills | s 43 | 74.1 | 12 | 20.7 | 2 | 3.5 | 1 | 1.7 | 1 | 4.5 | 16 | 72.8 | 4 | 18.2 | 1 | 4.5 |
| Developing skill in using library | 27 | 64.3 | 14 | 33.3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 77.8 | 5 | 18.5 | 1 | 3.7 |
| Giving guidance training | 20 | 46.5 | 15 | 34.9 | 6 | 13.9 | 2 | 4.7 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 75.0 | 6 | 25.0 | 0 | 0 |
| Giving personal and consumer use values that are needed by students | 17 | 36.2 | 25 | 53.2 | 4 | 8.5 | 1 | 2.1 | 2 | 7.7 | 21 | 80.8 | 3 | 11.5 | 0 | 0 |
| Do not feel qualified to teach the course | 7 | 26.9 | 4 | 15.4 | 11 | 42.3 | 4 | 15.4 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 61.6 | 5 | 38.4 | 0 | 0 |
| Do not like to teach the course | 9 | 31.0 | 1 | 3.5 | 10 | 34.5 | 9 | 31.0 | 1 | 8.3 | 9 | 75.0 | 2 | 16.7 | 0 | 0 |
| Lack experience in teaching pupils on this grade level | 6 | 42.9 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 42.9 | 2 | 14.2 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 71.4 | 3 | 21.4 | 1 | 7.2 |
| Lack experience in teaching subject of this nature | 9 | 45.0 | 2 | 10.0 | 7 | 35.0 | 2 | 10.0 | I | 5.9 | 9 | 52.9 | 5 | 29.4 | 2 | 11.8 |

NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF GENERAL BUSINESS TEACHERS CLASSIFYING THE MAJOR AND MINOR PROBLEMS IN TERMS OF FPEQUENCY OF OCCURRENCTE

| Problems | Major Problem |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Minor Problem |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequently |  | Occasionally |  | Rarely |  | Not Stated |  | Frequently |  | Occasionally |  | Rarely |  | Not Stated |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num- } \\ & \text { ber } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num- } \\ & \text { ber } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num- } \\ & \text { ber } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num- } \\ & \text { ber } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num- } \\ & \text { ber } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ | Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ | Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num- } \\ & \text { ber } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ |
| Selecting subject matter | 19 | 48.7 | 13 | 33.3 | 7 | 18.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 64.0 | 9 | 36.0 | 0 | 0 |
| Collecting materials | 21 | 55.3 | 11 | 28.9 | 6 | 15.8 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6.7 | 16 | 53.3 | 12 | 40.0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organizing materials | 17 | 38.6 | 18 | 40.9 | 6 | 13.6 | 3 | 6.9 | 1 | 4.2 | 12 | 50.0 | 11 | 45.8 | 0 | 0 |
| Choosing supplementary materials | 16 | 45.7 | 12 | 34.3 | 3 | 8.5 | 4 | 11.5 | 4 | 11.8 | 25 | 73.5 | 5 | 14.7 | 0 | 0 |
| Text material too easy | 15 | 65.2 | 5 | 21.7 | 2 | 8.7 | 1 | 4.4 | 4 | 13.8 | 18 | 62.1 | 6 | 20.7 | 1 | 3.4 |
| Text material too difficult | 1 | 50.0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 50.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 45.0 | 10 | 50.0 | 1 | 5.0 |
| Text material too general | 10 | 50.0 | 3 | 15.0 | 5 | 25.0 | 2 | 10.0 | 1 | 3.2 | 22 | 71.0 | 6 | 19.4 | 2 | 6.4 |
| Text material abstract in content | 1 | 16.7 | 3 | 50.0 | 1 | 16.7 | 1 | 16.6 | 0 | 0 | 2.4 | 82.8 | 3 | 10.3 | 2 | 6.9 |
| Making lesson plans | 12 | 35.3 | 12 | 35.3 | 7 | 20.6 | 3 | 8.8 | 1 | 5.6 | 15 | 83.3 | 2 | 11.1 | 0 | 0 |
| Determining approach to daily lesson | 18 | 50.0 | 11 | 30.6 | 5 | 13.9 | 2 | 5.5 | 2 | 7.4 | 19 | 70.4 | 6 | 22.2 | 0 | 0 |
| Providing proper motivation | 30 | 65.2 | 12 | 26.0 | 2 | 4.4 | 2 | 4.4 | 3 | 14.3 | 15 | 71.4 | 3 | 14.3 | 0 | 0 |

## TABLE XXIII (CONTINUED)

NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF GENERAL BUSINESS TFAACHERS CLASS IFY ING THE MAJOR AND MINOR PROBLEMS IN TERMS OF FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE

| Problems | Major Problem |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Minor Problem |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequently |  | Occasionally |  | Rarely |  | Not Stated |  | Frequently |  | Occasionally |  | Rarely |  | Not Stated |  |
|  | Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ | Num- <br> ber | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ | Num- <br> ber | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1 y}{\text { Per }} \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ | Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ | Num- <br> ber | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ | Number | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1+y}{\text { Per }} \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ | Number | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mathrm{Cly}}{\text { Per }} \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{\text { Num }}$ ber | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ |
| Obtaining student interest | 16 | 44.4 | 12 | 33.3 | 6 | 16.7 | 2 | 5.6 | 4 | 14.8 | 17 | 63.0 | 6 | 22.2 | 0 | 0 |
| Maintaining student interest | 16 | 50.0 | 13 | 40.6 | 2 | 6.3 | 1 | 3.1 | 1 | 3.3 | 21 | 70.0 | 8 | 26.7 | 0 | 0 |
| Caring for individual differences | 29 | 59.2 | 15 | 30.6 | 3 | 6.1 | 2 | 4.1 | 1 | 4.2 | 14 | 58.3 | 9 | 37.5 | 0 | 0 |
| Making course exploratory | 18 | 48.6 | 13 | 35.2 | 3 | 8.1 | 3 | 8.1 | 1 | 3.2 | 25 | 80.7 | 5 | 16.1 | 0 | 0 |
| Overlapping of subject matter | 7 | 43.8 | 7 | 43.8 | 2 | 12.4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2.4 | 26 | 61.9 | 13 | 30.9 | 2 | 4.8 |
| Selecting teaching devices | 21 | 52.5 | 16 | 40.0 | 1 | 2.5 | 2 | 5.0 | 1 | 3.4 | 22 | 75.9 | 6 | 20.7 | 0 | 0 |
| Selecting class projects | 18 | 46.1 | 20 | 51.3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2.6 | 3 | 11.1 | 19 | 70.4 | 4 | 14.8 | 1 | 3.7 |
| Selecting individual projects | 20 | 58.8 | 11 | 32.4 | 1 | 2.9 | 2 | 5.9 | 4 | 14.8 | 18 | 66.7 | 5 | 18.5 | 0 | 0 |
| Selecting meaningful activities | 15 | 41.7 | 16 | 44.4 | 4 | 11.1 | 1 | 2.8 | 4 | 16.0 | 18 | 72.0 | 3 | 12.0 | 0 | 0 |

TABLE XXIII (CONTINUED)
NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF GENERAL BUSINESS TEACHERS CLASSIFY ING THE MAJOR AND MINOR PROBLEMS IN TERMS OF FRRQUEENCY OF OCCURRFNCE

| Problems | Ma.jor Problem |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Minor Problem |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequently |  | Occasionally |  | Rarely |  | Not Stated |  | Frequently |  | Occa- <br> sionally |  | Rarely |  | Not Stated |  |
|  | Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ | Num- ber | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Per } \\ \text { Cent } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num- } \\ & \text { ber } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num- } \\ & \text { ber } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num- } \\ & \text { ber } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ | Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num- } \\ & \text { ber } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num- } \\ & \text { ber } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Organizing } \\ & \text { subject } \\ & \text { matter } \\ & \text { (units of } \\ & \text { learning) } \end{aligned}$ | 10 | 35.7 | 10 | 35.7 | 7 | 25.0 | 1 | 3.6 | 2 | 10.0 | 13 | 65.0 | 3 | 15.0 | 2 | 10.0 |
| Constructing tests | 11 | 39.3 | 11 | 39.3 | 5 | 17.8 | 1 | 3.6 | 3 | 13.6 | 13 | 59.1 | 6 | 27.3 | 0 | 0 |
| Handling discipline | 4 | 25.0 | 2 | 12.5 | 9 | 56.3 | 1 | 6.2 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 54.5 | 10 | 45.5 | 0 | 0 |
| Applying units to nee of students enrolled | 24 | 57.2 | 12 | 28.5 | 4 | 9.5 | 2 | 4.8 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 73.9 | 5 | 21.8 | 1 | 4.3 |
| Applying units to nee of local community | 28 | 65.1 | 11 | 25.6 | 3 | 7.0 | 1 | 2.3 | 2 | 8.0 | 16 | 64.0 | 5 | 20.0 | 2 | 8.0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Determining } \\ & \text { grades } \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 30.0 | 9 | 45.0 | 4 | 20.0 | 1 | 5.0 | 5 | 17.2 | 19 | 65.6 | 5 | 17.2 | 0 | 0 |

believed it to be of little difficulty in solving. Twenty teachers classified the problem, selecting subject matter, as a minor problem. None of the 20 teachers believed it to be of great difficulty in solving; 16, or 64.0 per cent, believed it to be of some difficulty in solving; and 9, or 36 per cent, believed it to be of little difficulty in solving. All teachers indicating the problem, selecting subject matter, to be a major or minor problem stated the degree of difficulty in solving.

Nineteen, or 48.7 per cent, of the 39 teachers indicated that selecting subject matter was a problem which occurred frequently; 13, or 33.3 per cent, indicated that it occurred occasionally; 7 , or 18 per cent, indicated that it occurred rarely. None of the 21 teachers who indicated selecting subject matter as a minor problem thought it occurred frequently; while 16 , or 64 per cent, of the 21 teachers thought it occurred occasionally; 9, or 36 per cent, thought that it occurred rarely. All teachers who indicated the problem, selecting subject matter, as a major or minor problem, checked the problem for frequency of occurrence.

All 46 problems can be analyzed in the same manner from Table XXII and Table XXIII.

Analysis of Selected Problems According to Educational
Qualifications of the General Business Teachers, Teaching Fxperience of the General Business Teachers, and the Grade Levels Represented in the Classes

The check-lists were grouped first, according to certain educational qualifications; second, according to teaching experience; and third, according to grade levels represented in the general business classes, for the purpose of determining the effect such factors might have on the judgments of the teachers as to the importance of the problem, difficulty of solving and frequency of occurrence.

Comparisons according to educational qualifications. Three problems were chosen arbitrarily for comparison on the basis of educational qualifications of the teachers. These problems were: selecting subject matter, providing proper motivation, and developing arithmetic skills.

The check-lists were divided into three groups: (1) those from teachers who reported one or more courses in teaching general business, (2) those from teachers who reported methods of teaching courses in shorthand and typewriting, and (3) those from teachers who reported no courses in methods of teaching.

Tables XXIV, XXV, and XXVI show the number and percentage of teachers judging the three problems mentioned above, as to degree of importance, degree of difficulty, and frequency of occurrence. Each table will be discussed separately.

In comparing the judgments of the teachers in regard to the problem of selecting subject matter, it was found that 10 , or 71.4 per cent, of the 14 teachers who reported courses in methods of teaching general business thought this problem to be of major importance; 7 , or 43.7 per cent, of the 16 teachers who reported methods of teaching courses in the skill subjects, typewriting and shorthand, thought this problem to be of major importance; and 5 , or 29.4 per cent, of the 17 teachers who reported no methods of teaching courses thought this problem to be of major importance.

Two, or 14.3 per cent, of those receiving general business methods of teaching courses judged "selecting subject matter" as a minor problem; 6, or 37.5 per cent, of those receiving methods of teaching courses in the skill subjects thought it to be a minor problem; while 4 , or 23.5 per cent, of those reporting no methods of teaching courses thought it to be a minor problem. The problem, selecting subject matter, was of no importance to

2, or 14.5 per cent, of the teachers reporting methods of teaching general business; of no importance to 3 , or 18.0 per cent, of those receiving methods of teaching skill subjects; and considered of no importance by 8 , or 47.1 per cent, of those reporting no methods of teaching courses. If the problem was not checked by the respondent, it was considered as a problem of no importance.

In the comparison as to difficulty of solving and frequency of occurrence, there seemed to be very little difference among the three groups.

In view of the comparison just made, it seems that those teachers having had training in methods of teaching general business were more concerned about the subject matter to be presented in the general business course.
"Providing proper motivation" was the second problem to be analyzed on the basis of certain educational qualifications. This information is shown in Table XXV.

It was found that 7, or approximately half, of the teachers in all three groups considered "providing proper motivation" a major problem. However, 2, or 14.3 per cent, of the teachers having general business methods thought the problen to be of minor importance: while 6 , or better than a third, in the remaining groups thought "providing proper motivation" a minor problem.

Four, or 28.5 per cent, of the teachers receiving general business methods teaching courses reported great difficulty in solving the problem; 4 , or another 28.5 per cent, reported some difficulty in solving the problem; and 1 , or 7.1 per cent, reported little difficulty. The teachers who reported receiving skill subjects methods of teaching courses indicated the following: 3 , or 18.8 per cent, great difficulty; 8 , or 50 per cent, some

TABLE XXIV
NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF TEACHERS JUDGING THE PROBLEM, SKLECTING SUBJECT MATITER, AS TO THE IMPORTANCE OF THE PROBLRM, DIFFICULTY OF SOIVING, AND FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE, IN TERRMS OF MBTHODS OF TEACHING COUPSES IN GFNVRRAL BUSINESS, SKILL SUBJECTS, AND NO METHODS COURSES


## Importance

| Major | 10 | 71.4 | 7 | 43.7 | 5 | 29.4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Minor | 2 | 14.3 | 6 | 37.5 | 4 | 23.5 |
| No Importance | 2 | 14.3 | 3 | 18.8 | 8 | 47.1 |
| Difficulty |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Great | 1 | 7.1 | 1 | 6.3 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Some | 7 | 50.0 | 7 | 43.7 | 8 | 47.1 |
| Little | 4 | 28.5 | 5 | 31.2 | 1 | 5.9 |
| Not Stated | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Frequency | 28.5 | 2 | 12.5 | 2 | 11.8 |  |
| Frequently, or <br> most of the time | 4 | 35.7 | 6 | 37.5 | 7 | 41.2 |
| Occasionally, or <br> some of the time | 5 | 0 | 5 | 31.2 | 0 | 0 |
| Rarely, or lit- <br> tle of the time | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Not Stated |  |  |  |  |  |  |

This table should be read as follows: Ten, or 71.4 per cent, of the 14 teachers reporting courses in methods of teaching general business judged the problem, selecting subject matter, as a problem of major importance.

TABLE XXV

NUMBER AND PFIFCENTAGE OF TEACHERS JUDGING THE PROBLEM, PROVIDING PROPER MOTIVATION, AS TO THE IMPORTANCE OF THE PROBL EM, DIFFICULTY OF SOLVING, AND FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE, IN TERNS OF METHODS OF TEACHING COURSES IN GFNERAL BUSINESS, SKILL SUBJECTS, AND NO METHODS COURSES

|  | Received General <br> Business Methods of Teaching Courses |  | Received Skill <br> Subjects Methods of Teaching Courses |  | Reported No Methods of Teaching Courses |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | er o | Per Cent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number o1 } \\ & \text { Teachers } \end{aligned}$ | Per Cent | Number of Teachers | Per Cent |
| Importance |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Major | 7 | 50.0 | 7 | 43.7 | 7 | 41.2 |
| Minor | 2 | 14.3 | 6 | 37.5 | 6 | 35.3 |
| No Importance | 5 | 35.7 | 3 | 18.8 | 4 | 23.5 |
| Difficulty |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grea.t | 4 | 28.5 | 3 | 18.8 | 4 | 23.5 |
| Some | 4 | 28.5 | 8 | 50.0 | 7 | 41.2 |
| Little | 1 | 7.1 | 2 | 12.5 | 2 | 11.8 |
| Not Stated | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or most of the time | 5 | 35.7 | 4 | 25.0 | 6 | 35.3 |
| Occasionally, or some of the time | 4 | 28.5 | 7 | 43.7 | 5 | 29.4 |
| Rarely, or little of the time | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11.8 |
| Not Stated | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12.5 | 0 | 0 |

This table should be read as follows: Seven, or 50.0 per cent, of the 14 teachers reporting courses in methods of teaching general business judged the problem, providing proper motivation, as a problem of major importance.

## TABLE XXVI

NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF tEACHIRRS JUDGING THE PROBLEM, DEVELOPING ARITHMETIC SKILLS, AS TO IMPORTANCE OF THE PROBLEM, AND DIFFICULTY OF SOLVING, IN TRRMS OF METHODS Of TEACHING COURSES IN GENERAL BUSINESS, SKILL SUBJECTS, AND NO MBPTHODS COURSES

|  | Receive Busines of Teachin Number of Teachers | General <br> Methods <br> Courses <br> Per Cent | Receive Subject of Teachi Number of Teachers | Skill <br> Methods <br> g Courses <br> Per Cent | Repor <br> Meth <br> Teachin <br> Number of <br> Teachers | ed No <br> ds of <br> Courses <br> Per Cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Importance |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ma.jor | 8 | 57.1 | 13 | 81.2 | 11 | 64.7 |
| Minor | 5 | 35.7 | 3 | 18.8 | 2 | 11.8 |
| No Importance | 1 | 7.1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 23.5 |
| Difficulty |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Great | 5 | 35.7 | 7 | 43.7 | 7 | 41.2 |
| Some | 5 | 35.7 | 8 | 50.0 | 6 | 35.3 |
| Iittle | 3 | 21.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Not Sta.ted | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6.3 | 0 | 0 |

This table should be read as follows: Eight, or 57.1 per cent, of the 14 teachers reporting courses in methods of teaching general business judged the problem, developing arithmetic skills, as a problem of major importonce.
difficulty; and 2, or 12.5 per cent, little difficulty. The teachers who reported no methods courses indicated the following: 4 , or 23.5 per cent, great difficulty; 7, or 41.2 per cent, some difficulty; and 2, or 11.8 per cent, little difficulty.

In analyzing the data concerning degree of difficulty, it is evident that teachers with methods of teaching general business courses had less trouble in solving the problem, providing proper motivation, than did either those who reported methods in skill subjects or those who reported no methods of teaching courses.

There seemed to be very little difference in the judgment of the three groups as to frequency of occurrence.

Table XXVI shows the comparison of the three groups regarding the problem, developing arithmetic skills, as to importance of the problem and difficulty of solving. This problem was not judged by the teachers for frequency of occurrence.

تight, or 57.1 per cent, of the 14 teachers who reported courses in methods of teaching general business judged the problem as of major importance. Thirteen, or 81.2 per cent, of the 16 teachers who reported methods of teaching skill subjects judged the problem as of major importance; while 11 , or 64.7 per cent, of the 17 teachers who reported no methods courses judged the problem to be of major importance.

In making the comparison as to minor importance between the three groups, it was evident that about one-third of the teachers who had had methods of teaching general business courses thought it to be of minor importance; about one-fifth of the teachers who had received methods in skill subjects, and a little over one-tenth of the teachers who reported no methods courses placed it in the minor degree.

As was evident from the data presented in Table XXVI, the teachers not having methods courses in teaching general business considered the problem, developing arithmetic skills, to be of greater importance and had more difficulty in solving the problem than did the teachers with methods of teaching courses in general business.

Comparisons according to teaching experience. Another basis for comparing problems was from the standpoint of general business teaching experience. Two problems, developing business vocabulary, and giving personal and consumer use values that are needed by students, were analyzed on this basis.

The number and percentage of teachers judging these problems as to the importance of the problem, and the difficulty of solving the problem are shown in Table XXVII and Table XXVIII.

There were 38 teachers who had had two semesters or less of general business teaching experience and 37 who had had four semesters or more of general business teaching experience. A comparison was made between these two groups as to the importance and difficulty of the two problems, developing business vocabulary, and giving personal and consumer use values that are needed by students.

Twenty-one, or 55.3 per cent, of group one, the 38 teachers who had had 2 semesters or less of general business teaching experience, judged the problem, developing business vocabulary, as a major problem. In group two, the 37 teachers with 4 semesters or more of general business teaching experience, 26 , or 70.3 per cent, judged the problem to be of major importance. It was judged a minor problem by 8 , or 21.1 per cent, by group one and by 8 , or 21.6 per cent, by group two. Nine, or 23.6 per cent, of the teachers in group one and 3, or 8.1 per cent, of the teachers in group two considered the problem as of no importance.

NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF TFACHERS JUDGING THE PROBL mM, DEVILOPING BUSINESS VOCABULARY, AS TO THE IMPORTANCE OF THE PROBLEM AND DIFFICULTY OF SOLVING, IN TERMS OF TFACHING EXPERIFNCE

|  | General Business Teaching Experience |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { Semeste1 } \\ & \text { Number of } \\ & \text { Teachers } \end{aligned}$ | $3 \text { or Less }$ <br> Per Cent | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { Semeste } \\ & \hline \text { Number of } \\ & \text { Teachers } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { s or More } \\ & \text { Per Cent } \end{aligned}$ |
| Importance |  |  |  |  |
| Major | 21 | 55.3 | 26 | 70.3 |
| Minor | 8 | 21.1 | 8 | 21.6 |
| No Importance | 9 | 23.6 | 3 | 8.1 |
| Difficulty |  |  |  |  |
| Great | 10 | 26.3 | 16 | 43.2 |
| Some | 15 | 39.2 | 14 | 37.8 |
| Little | 4 | 10.5 | 2 | 5.4 |
| Not Stated | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5.4 |

This table should be read as follows: Twenty-one, or 55.3 per cent, of the 38 teachers who had 2 semesters or less of general business teaching experience, judged the problem, developing business vocabulary, as a problem of ma.jor importance.

NUMBTRR AND PFRCEANTAGE OF TPEACHERS JUDGING THE PROBLBM, GIVING PERSONAL AND CONSUMBR USE VALUES THAT ARE NEEDED BY STUDENTS, AS TO THE IMPORTANCE OF THE PROBLEM AND DIFFICULTY OF SOLVING, IN TERMS OF TEACHING EXPERIENCE

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { Semeste } \\ & \text { Number of } \\ & \text { Teachers } \end{aligned}$ | s or Less <br> Per Cent | 4 Semeste <br> Number of Teachers | s or More <br> Per Cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Importance |  |  |  |  |
| Major | 21 | 55.3 | 23 | 62.2 |
| Minor | 10 | 26.3 | 11 | 29.7 |
| No Importance | 7 | 18.4 | 3 | 8.1 |
| Difficulty |  |  |  |  |
| Great | 7 | 18.4 | 11 | 29.7 |
| Some | 20 | 52.6 | 21 | 56.7 |
| Little | 4 | 10.5 | 1 | 2.7 |
| Not Stated | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2.7 |

This table should be read as follows: Twenty-one, or 55.3 per cent, of the 38 teachers who had 2 semesters or less of general business teaching experience, judged the problem, giving personal and consumer use values that are needed by students, as a problem of major importance.

In analyzing the difficulty of solving, it was found that 10 , or 26.3 per cent, of group one, and 16 , or 43.2 per cent, of group two, considered the problem of great difficulty in solving; 15 , or 39.2 per cent, of group one, and 14 , or 37.8 per cent, of group two considered the problem of some difficulty in solving; while 4 , or 10.5 per cent, of group one, and 2 , or 5.4 per cent, of group two, considered the problem of little difficulty in solving. Two teachers of group two did not indicate the degree of difficulty.

From these data it is evident that the more experienced teachers considered the problem, developing business vocabulary, a major problem. The more experienced teachers reported greater difficulty in solving the problem, developing business vocabulary. No data are available to give reasons for this finding. It would seem logical that the less experienced teacher should have more difficulty in solving the problem; however, that was not true of this group.

Table XVIII shows the comparison between the two groups judging the problem, giving personal and consumer use values that are needed by students. Twenty-one, or 55.3 per cent, of group one, the 38 teachers who had had 2 semesters or less of general business teaching experience, judged the problem to be of major importance; 10 , or 26.3 per cent, of minor importance, and 7 , or 18.4 per cent, of no importance. Twenty-three, or 62.2 per cent, of group two, the 37 teachers who had had 4 semesters or more of general business teaching experience, judged the problem to be of major importance; 11 , or 29.7 per cent, of minor importance; and 3, or 8.1 per cent, of no importance.

In judging the problem as to difficulty, 7 , or 18.4 per cent, of group one considered it of great difficulty; 20 , or 52.6 per cent, of some
difficulty; and 4 , or 10.5 per cent, of little difficulty. Eleven, or 29.7 per cent, of group two considered the problem of great difficulty in solving; 21 , or 56.7 per cent, of some difficulty; and 1 , or 2.7 per cent, of little difficulty. One of the teachers in group two did not indicate the degree of difficulty.

A greater percentage of the teachers with 4 semesters or more of teaching experience in general business considered the problem, giving personal and consumer use values that are needed by students, to be of more importance and of greater difficulty in solving than did the teachers with 2 semesters or less of teaching experience in genered business.

Comparisons according to grade levels represented in general business classes. It was thought that the variation of grade levels within the general business classes might have an effect upon the nature of the problems confronted by the teachers. Therefore, three problems were selected for the purpose of comparison on this basis. These problems were: text material too easy, caring for individual differences, and determining grade level placement of the course.

The 36 teachers having only the tenth grade students represented in their classes comprised group one. The 25 teachers having all combinations of grade levels, such as the tenth and twelfth, or ninth, tenth, eleventh, and twelfth, etc., comprised group two. The responses as to importance, difficulty of solving, and frequency of occurrence of the problems mentioned above were compared. These data are shown in Tables XXIX, XXX, and XXXI.

In analyzing the data given in Table XXIX, it was found that 12 , or 33.3 per cent, of the teachers having tenth grade only represented in their classes, judged the problem, text material too easy, as a major problem;

## TABLE XXIX

NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF TEACHERS JUDGING THE PROBLEM, TEXT MATERIAL TOO EASY; AS TO THE IMPORTANCE OF THE PROBLEMM, THH DIFFICULTY OF SOLVING, AND FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE, IN TERMS OF

GRADE LEVELS RHPRESENTIED IN THE CLASS IN GENERAL BUSINESS

|  | Grade Levels Represented |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tenth Grade Only |  | All Combinations of Ninth, Tenth, Bleventh, and Twelfth |  |
|  | Number of Tea.chers | Per Cent | Number of Teachers | Per Cent |
| Importance |  |  |  |  |
| Ma.jor | 12 | 33.3 | 7 | 28.0 |
| Minor | 8 | 22.2 | 9 | 36.0 |
| No Importance | 16 | 44.5 | 9 | 36.0 |
| Difficulty |  |  |  |  |
| Great | 5 | 13.8 | 7 | 28.0 |
| Some | 10 | 27.7 | 8 | 32.0 |
| Little | 3 | 8.3 | 1 | 4.0 |
| Not Stated | 2 | 5.5 | 0 | 0 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or most of the time | 7 | 19.4 | 8 | 32.0 |
| Occasionally, or some of the time | 8 | 22.2 | 8 | 32.0 |
| Rarely, or little of the time | 3 | 8.3 | 0 | 0 |
| Not Stated | 2 | 5.5 | 0 | 0 |

This table should be read as follows: Twelve, or 33.3 per cent, of the 36 teachers who had only the tenth grade represented in their classes, judged the problem, text material too easy, as a problem of major importance.

TABLE XXX
NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF TEACHERS JUDGING THE PROBL FM, CARING FOR INDIVIDUAL DIFFGRBINCES, AS TO THE IMPORTANCE OF THR PROBLEM, THE DIFFICULTY OF SOLVING, AND FREQUKNCY OF OCCURRENCE, IN TERMS OF GRADE LEVELS REPRRESENTED IN THE CLASS IN GENERAL BUSINESS

|  |  | Grade Levels Represented |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

This table should be read as follows: Seventeen, or 47.2 per cent, of the 36 teachers who had only the tenth grade represented in their classes, judged the problem, caring for individual differences, as a problem of major importance.

TABLE XXXI

NUMBER AND PERCENTAGT OF TEACHERS JUDGTNG THE PROBLEM, DETERMINING GRADE LEVEL PLACEMENTT OF THE COURSE, AS TO THE IMPORTANCE OF THE PROBL FM AND DIFFICULTY OF SOLVING, IN TERMS OF GRADE LEVRHS REPPRESENTTED IN THE CLASS IN GENERAL BUSINESS

| 2 | Grade Levels Represented |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |

This table should be read as follows: Eight, or 22.2 per cent, of the 36 teachers who had only the tenth grade represented in their classes, judged the problem, determining grade level placement of the course, as a problem of major importance.

8 , or 22.2 per cent, as a minor problem; and 16 , or 44.5 per cent, as a problem of no importance. In group two, 7, or 28.0 per cent, considered the problem of major importance; 9, or 36.0 per cent, of minor importance; and 9 , or 36.0 per cent, of no importance.

Five, or 13.8 per cent, of the teachers in group one indicated the problem to be of great difficulty in solving; 10, or 27.7 per cent, of some difficulty; and 3, or 8.3 per cent, of little difficulty. Two teachers did not indicate degree of difficulty. In group two, 7 , or 28.0 per cent, considered the problem of great difficulty in solving; 8, or 32.0 per cent, of some difficulty; and 1 , or 4.0 per cent, of little difficulty.

In analyzing the data concerning frequency of occurrence, it was found that 16 , or 64.0 per cent, of the teachers in group two as compared with 15, or 41.6 per cent, of the teachers in group one, confronted the problem either frequently or occasionally.

In summarizing the data presented, comparison according to grade levels represented in the general business classes, it was found that grade level was a factor that affected the nature of the problem, text material too easy. While the difference in percentage was not great, it was enough to indicate that the teachers with all combinations of grade levels represented in their classes had greater difficulty with the text material being too easy than did those with only tenth grade students represented. As the state adopted text in general business was written for ninth or tenth grade level students, this finding was logical and as it should be.

Table XXX shows the comparison between the two groups concerning the problem, caring for individual differences.

Thirty, or 83.3 per cent, of the teachers in group one; as compared
with 23 , or 92.0 per cent, of the teachers in group two, considered the problem of major or minor importance.

In comparing the groups on difficulty of solving the problem, it was found that 19 , or 52.7 per cent, of group one, while 18 , or 72.0 per cent, of group two, had either great difficulty or some difficulty in solving the problem. Ten, or 27.7 per cent, of group one as compared with 5 , or 20.0 per cent, of group two had little difficulty in solving the problem.

The problem, caring for individual differences, confronted 12, or 33.3 per cent, of the teachers in group one frequently; and 11 , or 44.0 per cent, of the teachers in group two, frequently. Bight, or 22.2 per cent, of group one, and 9 , or 36.0 per cent, of group two, considered that the problem occurred occasionally. Another 8, or 22.2 per cent, of group one, considered that the problem occurred rarely, as compared with 3 , or 12.0 per cent, of group two who considered that the problem occurred rarely.

In anolyzing these data presented concerning the problem, caring for individual differences, it was evident that those teachers with all combinations of grade levels represented in the general business classes considered the problem of more importance, of greater difficulty in solving, and occurring with greater frequency than did those teachers with only the tenth grade students represented in the general business classes.

The problem, determining grade level placement of the course, was considered as to importance of the problem and difficulty of solving. The problem was not judged by the teachers as to frequency of occurrence.

As shown in Table XXXI, 8, or 22.2 per cent, of the teachers in group one as compared with 13 , or 52.0 per cent, of the teachers in group two, considered this problem of major importance. Seventeen, or nearly half of the teachers in group one considered the problem of no importance, as compared with 7, or only one-fourth of the teachers in group two, who con-
sidered the problem of no importance.
Two, or only 5.5 per cent, of the teachers in group one had great difficulty in solving the problem. Pive, or 20.0 per cent, of the teachers in group two had great difficulty in solving the problem. Seven, or 19.4 per cent, of group one, and 11 , or 44.0 per cent, of group two, hed some difficulty in solving the problem. Nine, or 25 per cent, of group one, as compared with 2 , or 8 per cent, of group two, had little difficulty in solving the problem.

It is evident from this comparison that the teachers with only tenth grade students in their classes had little concern for the problem, determining grade level placement of the course. The problem was of great importance and great difficulty for the teachers who had all combinations of grade levels in their classes.

## Summary

The 46 problems on the check-1ists were tabulated according to the importance of the problems, the difficulty of solving the problems, and the frequency of occurrence of the problems as judged by the 85 general business teachers, the respondents in this study.

The problems were ranked according to number and percentage of teachers selecting the problems of major, minor, or no importance. The problem, developing arithmetic skills, ranked highest with 59 , or 69.4 per cent, of the 85 teachers selecting it as a major problem. "Overlapping of subject matter" ranked highest as a minor problem and the problem, text material too difficult, ranked highest as a problem of no importance.

Additional problems and comments of the general business teachers were reported, but no attempt was made to analyze these problems.

An arbitrary method was used in weighting the problems in order to determine the rank of each problem as to importance, difficulty, and frequency.

In analyzing the rank order, it was found that problems concerning student outcomes ranked among the highest as to importance, difficulty, and frequency.

The major and minor problems were classified according to difficulty and frequency.

The check-lists were grouped according to certain educational qualifications and teaching experience of the teachers and according to the grade levels represented in the general business classes to determine the effect of such factors on the judgments of the teachers as to importance, difficulty and frequency.

It was found that teachers with methods of teaching general business courses had less trouble in solving certain problems than did those tea, chers who reported courses in methods of teaching the skill subjects or who reported no methods at all.

Teachers having had 4 or more semesters of teaching experience classified certain problems as major and with a greater degree of difficulty than did the teachers with 2 senesters or less of teaching experience.

Teachers having all combinations of grade levels in their general business classes classified certain problems as of major importance and with a greater degree of difficulty in solving than did the teachers with only tenth grade students in their general business classes.

A complete summary of the findings and conclusions follows in Chapter IV.

CHAPTER IV
SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The purpose of this study was to assemble the problems of general business teachers; to determine the degree of importance, degree of difficulty, and frequency of occurrence of these problems; and to analyze these problems in the light of the preparation and experience of the teachers.

This study was based on an analysis of the replies to a problems check-list and personal data sheet which were returned by 85 of the 134 general business teachers who taught the course during the school year 1947-1948 in the schools of Oklahoma. Supplementary data were taken from the "Applications for High School Accrediting" which are on file at the State Department of Education, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

## Findings

Twelve, or 14.1 per cent, of the general business teachers had a master's degree; 69 , or 81.2 per cent, had a bachelor's degree, and 4 , or 4.7 per cent, did not have a college degree.

Of the 85 teachers who reported in this study, 82 , or better than 96 per cent, had had training in commerce or social science. Wighty per cent had had some training in the field of commerce.

Commerce was reported as a first teaching field by three-fourths of the teachers reporting. Fnglish, elementary education, mathematics, physical education, home economics, music, science, Spanish, and social science were reported as first teaching fields by the teachers reporting.

Forty-four, or 51.8 per cent, of the 85 general business teachers had second teaching fields, and 7 , or 8.2 per cent, had third teaching fields.

A total of 68 , or 80 per cent, of the teachers had had training in
accounting. Three-fourths had had training in business mathematics, business law, and business English. Better than half the teachers had had courses in economics.

Since only about three-fourths of the general business teachers had had training in business law, and only about half had had training in courses in economics, it seems apparent that not all the general business teachers have a thorough background for teaching the course as is recommended in the State Course of Study for Business Education.

Fourteen, or 16.5 per cent, of the teachers reported that they had had methods of teaching courses in general business. Other methods of teaching courses reported included bookkeeping, business law, business mathematics, business English, social science, typewriting, and others.

Approximately three-fourths of the teachers who reported in this study had taught the course in general business for only 4 semesters or less.

A little over half the general business teachers had had some teaching experience in the elementary grades.

Over half of the 34 teachers with only two semesters of general business experience had had 10 or more semesters of all-teaching experience.

Sixty-two of the 85 general business teachers reported that they had had business experience. About half of the business experience had been obtained within the last five years. There was considerable variation in the type of the experience reported.

Over half the schools represented in this study had a high school enrollment of less than 100.

In the school year 1947-1948, 1,979 senior high school students enrolled in general business. The majority of these students were attending
schools of less than 150 total school enrollment.
Approximately one-fourth of the schools had all combinations of grade levels, such as the tenth and twelfth, or ninth, tenth, eleventh and twelfth, etc., represented in the general business classes. Twenty per cent of the schools had both the ninth and tenth grades represented. Thirtysix, or 42.4 per cent, of the 85 schools had only the tenth grade represented in the general business classes.

Twelve problems were selected by half or more of the 85 general business teachers as of major importance. They are:

Developing arithmetic skills
Developing spelling skills
Developing business vocabulary
Caring for individual differences
Giving personal and consumer use values that are needed by the students

Providing proper motivation
Developing speaking skills
Developing reading habits
Organizing materials
Developing writing skills
Applying units to need of local community
Giving guidance training.
Fifteen problems were ranked as problems of major importance; 25 were ranked as problems of minor importance; and 6 were ranked as problems of no importance on the basis of weighted values.

Of the 15 problems that were ranked as of major importance, 11 were ranked as of great difficulty in solving; and 4 were ranked as occurring frequently or being present most of the time. All the problems were not
judged by the teachers for frequency of occurrence.
Ten problems which were ranked among the highest by weighted values as major problems in importance and problems of great difficulty in solving were also among the twelve which were selected as major by over half the 85 general business teachers. They are:

Developing arithmetic skills
Developing spelling skills
Developing business vocabulary
Caring for individual differences
Giving personal and consumer use values that are needed by the students

Providing proper motivation
Developing speaking skills
Developing reading skills
Developing writing skills
Applying units to need of local community
The problems which are of major importance and of great difficulty in solving could be classified as problems concerning student outcomes in most instances.

Teachers having had training in methods of teaching general business were more concerned about the subject matter to be presented in the general business course.

Teachers not having had methods courses in teaching general business considered the problem, developing arithmetic skills, to be of greater importance and had more difficulty in solving the problem than did the teachers, with methods of teaching courses in general business.

The more experienced teachers considered the problem, developing
business vocabulary, a major problem and reported greater difficulty in solving the problem.

A greater percentage of the teachers with 4 semesters or more of teaching experience in general business considered the problem, giving personal and consumer use values that are needed by students, to be of more importance and greater difficulty in solving than did the teachers with 2 semesters or less of teaching experience in general business.

The teachers with all combinations of grade levels represented in their general business classes had greater difficulty with the text material being too easy than did teachers with only the tenth grade represented in their classes.

In analyzing the data concerning the problem, caring for individual differences, it was evident that those teachers with all combinations of grade levels represented in the general business classes considered the problem of more importance, of greater difficulty in solving, and occurring with greater frequency than did those teachers with only the tenth grade represented in the general business classes.

The teachers with only tenth grade students represented in the general business classes had little concern for the problem, determining grade level placement of the course. The problem was of major importance and great difficulty for the teachers who had all combinations of grade levels in the general business classes.

Conclusions
On the basis of the findings of this study, the following conclusions appear to be warranted:

Of the 85 teachers who reported in this study, 82 , or 96 per cent, had had training in commercial or social science. This conforms to the
recommendation made in the Annual High School Bulletin that the general business teacher should have a commerce or social science field.

The majority of the students enrolled in the course in general business during 1947-1948 were attending the smaller high schools of Oklahoma.

Approximately one-fourth of the schools had all combinations of grade levels, such as the tenth, and twelfth grades, or ninth, tenth, eleventh, and twelfth, etc., represented in the general business course.

The major problems of the general business teacher found in this study are:

Developing arithmetic skills
Developing spelling skills
Developing business vocabulary
Caring for individual differences
Giving personal and consumer use values that are needed by the students

Providing proper motivation
Developing speaking skills
Developing reading skills
Developing writing skills
Applying units to need of local community
The major problems found in this study could be classified as problems concerned with student outcomes.

The teachers with courses in methods of teaching general business attached more importance to certain teaching problems but had less difficulty in solving these problems.

The teachers with more general business teaching experience considered certain problems as having greater importance than did the teachers with less experience.

The grade level represented in the general business classes has considerable influence upon the problems of the general business teacher.

## Recommendations

In the light of the findings and conclusions, the following recommendations are suggested:

More emphasis should be placed on the course in general business in the methods of teaching courses in our teacher-training institutions. It is recommended that the teacher-training institutions plan the general business methods courses so as to acquaint the teachers with specific problems which will confront them in their teaching.

Further inquiry should be made concerning the types of subject matter material available to the general business teacher. It was indicated in this study that the general business teachers, especially in the smaller schools, are having trouble locating supplementary materials for use in the course.

In order that the course in general business may effectively serve the needs of the students and of the community, further study should be made in order to solve the problems presented in this study.

Since 34 per cent of the teachers reporting in this study indicated a dislike for the course, inquiry should be made as to the reasons for this dislike.
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APPENDIX

## LATA SHEET

INFORVATION TAKGN FROM APDLIC TION FOR HIGH SCHOOL ACCFEDITING

Name of School

Post Office $\qquad$ County $\qquad$

Superintendent $\qquad$ Principal $\qquad$

Total H. S. Enrollment $\qquad$ No. in Gen. Bus. last yr. this yr. Grade level for Gen. Bus.: $\begin{array}{lllllll}7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12\end{array}$ (encircle grades included)

Nr .
Gen. Bus. Teacher's Name: Mrs. Miss

Address $\qquad$

Degrees: $\qquad$
Certificates: $\qquad$

Teaching fields: First $\qquad$ Hrs. $\qquad$ Second $\qquad$ Hrs. $\qquad$
Third $\qquad$ Hrs. $\qquad$
Teaching Load:


## APPLICATION FOR HIGH SCHOOL ACCREDITING, 1947-1948

# STATE OF OKLAHOMA <br> Copy for <br> 3 Department of Education <br> <br> DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION <br> <br> DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION <br> White <br> PART I. GENERAL INFORMATION <br> Colored <br> DIVISION OF HIGH SCHOOL INSPECTION <br> This application is to be filed with the State High School Inspection Division, State Capitol, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, prior ovember 1. A copy is to be kept on file in the office of the local superintendent. 

CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY
: hereby certify that the information contained in the following report is complete and correct.
D. or St.............................................. Date.............................
(Please sign here) Superintendent-Principal.
rintendent. $\qquad$ Principal
k of Board $\qquad$ Scholastic Enumeration, 1947 $\qquad$ Date regular term of schcol opened
Students received by transfer: Grades.
H. S.

Are pupils transported to your school? $\qquad$
Do all teachers, principals, and superintendents now hold proper Okla. State certificates valid during school year?
Do the superintendents and principals have standard degrees? $\qquad$ Number of teachers who have standard degrees. $\qquad$ Number who do not have
Are official transcripts showing H. S. and College work of all teachers on file in office of Prin. or Supt.?
Name of librarian: Full time $\qquad$ Part-time $\qquad$ Teacher. $\qquad$ Other adults.

Is library catalogued according to Dewey Decimal System? Are library books recorded in accession book?

Does library have regular charging system showing by whom and when books are withdrawn? $\qquad$ returned?
Do your records show by years, all units of pupils with teachers' marks?
Are official transcripts of advanced standing credit allowed pupils from other H. S. on file in Prin's. office?
Are credits transferred from other schools properly entered on pupils' permanent records?.
Are permanent H. S. records kept in fire-proof safe? $\qquad$ Where are duplicate records kept?
Was a "High School Summer Term" (Not a split term) held during June and July?
Was it authorized by the local superintendent and board of education?
Was an application for accrediting the Summer High School made to this Department?
Did you have a split term this summer? $\qquad$ Number of weeks between split term and fall term
North Central schools give actual number of days taught for school year, 1946-1947
Give type of organization (8-4),
(6-2-4),
(6-3-3),

| PUPIL ENROLLMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average <br> daily <br> attendance <br> last tear <br> (Not $\%$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| de | At close of 1st 6 weeks this year |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { last year } \end{gathered}$ |  | Numberpromoted last year |  | Number retained last year |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  |
|  | B | G | B | G | B | G | B | G | B | G |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

20. HIGH SCHOOL PUPIL-TEACHER RATIO
a. Total number of teachers in the school system.......... ing superintendent and principal)
b. Number of full-time high school teachers.
(Give all teachers, including superintendent and principal, who teach only in high school)
c. Number of part-time high school teachers
(Account here for each member, not included in " $b$ " above,
who teaches one high school class or more)
d. Full-time equivalency of part-time high school teachers
(Divide total number of periods taught daily by all part-time teachers by the average number of perlods taught by all full time teachers. Calculate to the first decimal place.)
e. Sum of " $b$ " and "d" (above)
f. What is your pupil-teacher ratio?
(Divide pupil enrollment, table 17, Col. 1 by 20 " e ". In com-
puting Item 20 " P " be sure that your teaching staff" and pupil
enrollments are computed for the same grade; e.g., 9-10-11-12
or 10-11-12)

State which grades are used in 20 " f " above.............

1ty.
Dist. No.
School
Post Office

## APPLICATION FOR HIGH SCHOOL ACCREDITING, 1947-1948

PART II. THE ELEMENTARY INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAM

White $\qquad$ Colored

The improvement of instruction is the ultimate objective of the school improvement program in the elementary school as as in the high school. Therefore, this report has to do with this phase of your school program. It is a part of and must ent in with the Annual Application for Accrediting to the Inspection Divisicn of the State Department of Education, State tol Building, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, prior to November 1, 1947.

Are bulletins pertaining to instruction made available to all elementary teachers?
Is there evidence that these bulletins are being used?
Do all teachers have access to Elementary School Bulletin 118-S, 1947?
Does the administrative head of the school devote his special attention and care to checking the instruction?
Do the teachers keep registers of attendance at the school and are proper entries made daily?
Are grades of pupils entered on the records before pupils' report cards are sent to parents? Are grades or marks entered regularly on the daily classroom record?
Do the teachers give special emphasis to the mastery of study skills as illustrated in Bulletin 118-S?
Dces the teacher recognize individual differences of pupils and provide for individual and group teaching?.
Does the teacher group pupils and integrate subject matter?
Does the teacher provide frequent and meaningful reviews on fundamentals?
Does the teacher provide opportunities for pupils to do critical thinking?
Does the teacher give definite and specific instruction in the principles of democracy?
Are definite plans of instructicn followed in art and music?
Does the teacher provide constructive seat work for the pupils enrolled in the primary grades?
Do first year pupils read at least four pre-primers, four primers and five first readers during the first year in school?
Do pupils in the other elementary grades read at least the minimum number of supplementary readers required for their respective grades? (See requirements under Library)
Do they make use of community resources and materials for the enrichment of learning as suggested in Bulletin 118-S, "Vitalized Teaching"?
Are pupils trained in the use of the dictionary?

```
                                Reference books?
```

Does each teacher display some work of each pupil?
Does each teacher make use of radio educational broadcasts?
Is an adequate program of physical fitness provided for all of the pupils? Is the playtime
properly supervised? $\qquad$
Does each grade sponsor programs to which parents are invited? ...............................Do all pupils participate?
Do the teachers take part in curriculum study programs?
Is the outside reading program stimulated by means of the reading certificates offered by the State Department of Education, the County Superintendent or cther sources?
Are all teachers regularly at school 15 minutes before school opens?
Are standardized or some approved form of tests used? $\qquad$ If so, state the average score made by each grade as a result of the last test given.
1st gr................. 2nd gr................. 3d gr $\qquad$ 4th gr. $\qquad$ 5th gr. $\qquad$ 6th gr. $\qquad$ 7th gr. 8th gr.
Do the pupils and teachers give proper respect to the flag?

## APPLICATION FOR HIGH SCHOOL ACCREDITING, 1947-1948

## PART III SUBJECT-MATTER FIELD PREPARATION

White. $\qquad$
Colored
This report is for all individuals who teach in high school and in the elementary grades of this school system. It is a part nd must be sent in with the annual application for high school accrediting to the State High Schcol Inspection Division, State itol, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, prior to November 1.

rE-Teachers of General Science should list all semester hours in Chemistry, Biology and Pliysics separately.

Teachers of Soclal Studies, should list all semester hours in Government, Economics and Sociology separately. Do not list the above with History.
large schools, use additional blanks furnished by this Department.
Check item applicable to the following schedule: Gds. 7-12....................., Gds. 9-12................, Gds. 10-12.

32. Size of Class or Section

| Number of Pupils in Class | 1 to 5 | 6 to 10 | 11 to 15 | 16 to 20 | 21 to 25 | 26 to 30 | 31 to 35 | Over 35 | Total Number of Classes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Classes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Indicate extra-curricular activities for each teacher.

The check list below presents a list of problems that may confront the teacher of a course in general business.

On the basis of your personal experience in teaching the course in general business, please check the appropriate columns to indicate:

1. Whether you find the problem to be of (a) major importance, (b) minor importance, (c) no importance.
2. Whether solving the problem gives you (a) great difficulty, (b) some difficulty, (c) little difficulty.
3. Whather the problem is present in your teaching (a) frequently, or much of the time; (b) occasionally, or some of the time; (c) rarely, or little of the time.

CHECK LIST OF PROBLENS IN TEACHING GENERAL BUSINESS

| PROBLEM | IMPORTANCE <br> Of how much importance is the problem in your teaching of General Business? |  |  | DIFFICUTY <br> How much difficulty do you encounter in solving the problem? |  |  | FREQUENCY <br> How of ten, or during how much of the time, is the problem present? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | \% | $\mid \stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | 䔍 | ¢ | $\xrightarrow{9}$ |  |  |
| Selecting subject - matter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collecting materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Organizing materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Choosing supplementary materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Text material too easy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Text material too difficult |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Text material too - general |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Text matorial abstract in content |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Making lesson plans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Determining approach to daily lesson |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Providing proper - motivation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Obtaining student interest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maintaining student interest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caring for individual _ differences |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Making course - exploratory |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| PROBLEM | TIPORTANCE <br> Of how wuch importance is the problem in your teaching of Gonoral Business? |  |  | DIFFICULTY <br> How much difficulty do you encounter in solving the problem? |  |  | FREQUENCY <br> How ofton, or during how much of the time, is the problem prosent? |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 管 | \% | + \% ¢ | ¢ | ¢ - + - |  |  |  |
| Overlapping of subject _ mattor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Solecting teaching devices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Solecting class _ projocts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Solocting individual |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Solecting meaningful activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Organizing subject mattor (units of learning) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Constructing tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hancling discipline |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| App? ying units to need of students enrolled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ipplying units to mood of local conmunity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Selocting pupils <br> (composition of class) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detormining grade level <br> placoment of the course <br> Do not write |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jetermining objectives of tho course |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Setting proper standards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shoosing textbook |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Is ing visual aids |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jvaluating class activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Developing business vocabulary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Developing raading habits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| eveloping arithmotic skills |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



In your opinion what percentage of the problems of teaching general business has boen includod in this chocklist? Indicate your answer by circling one of the following:

$$
100 \% \quad 95 \% \quad 90 \% \quad 85 \% \quad 80 \% \quad 75 \% \quad 70 \% \quad 65 \% \quad 60 \% \quad 55 \% \quad 50 \%
$$

If you have had problems in teaching goneral business that are not included in this checklist, please list them below.

## INFORV $\mathrm{K}_{2} T I O N$ GIVEN BY TELCHER IN INTERVIEW

## Specific or special training received for teaching General Business

Courses dealing with contont material:

| e of course | No. of courses! | Som. hrs | Name of course | No of courses | Som. hrs . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accounting |  |  | Retail Business |  |  |
| Businoss Law |  |  | Intro. to Bus. |  |  |
| Business Math. |  |  | Offico Practice |  |  |
| Businoss Eng. |  |  | Advortising |  |  |
| Prin. of Economics |  |  | Salesmanship |  |  |
| Prob. of Economics |  |  | Merchandising |  |  |
| Money \& Banking |  |  |  |  |  |
| Insuranco |  |  |  |  |  |
| Communications |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer Economics |  |  |  |  |  |
| Typowriting |  |  |  |  |  |

Mothods of Teaching:


## Toaching Exporionce:

All toaching oxperionce Toaching General Business Toaching in High School Teaching in Elementary Grados other teaching exporienco (Please specify)
$\left|\begin{array}{|c|}\text { Total Somestors } \\ \hline\end{array}\right|$

Business Exporionco:


Box 1407
Pryor, Oklahoma
August 3, 1948
(Name and address of teacher)

It is my desire to assemble the problems of teachers in teaching the course in general business. I found at the state department that you taught the course during the school year 1947-1948.

Will you please check the enclosed list of problems on the basis of your personal experience in teaching the course in general business? Please indicate on the last sheet the specific courses you have had that you think has helped to prepare you for teaching general business. Also indicate your teaching and business experience.

Your contribution will definitely strengthen the study I am making of these problems. Your filling in these forms and returning them to me as soon as possible shall be greatly appreciated.

An addressed and stamped envelope is enclosed for your convenience.

> Yours sincerely,

Pearl Ramsey

Tonkawa, Oklahoma November 4, 1948
(Name and address of teacher)

It is my desire to contact every teacher who taught the course in general business in the schools of Oklahoma during the year 1947-1948. I am making a study of the problems in teaching this course.

During August I mailed to you a check list of these problems. Perhaps it has been mislaid or failed to reach you, so I am enclosing another. Will you please check these problems using your own experience with the course as a guide?

Your opinion shall be greatly appreciated. I earnestly ask for your cooperation and assistance.

Yours respectfully,

Pearl Ramsey

PROBLEM: Selecting subject matter
MAJOR

| Difficulty | Number of <br> Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent <br> of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 6 | 15.4 |  |
| Some | 20 | 51.3 |  |
| Little | 13 | 33.3 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 39 | 100. | 45.9 |

## Frequency

Frequently, or
much of the time Oceasionally, or some of the time
Rarely, or little
of the time Not stated

Total
19
48.7
$13 \quad 33.3$

| 7 | 18.0 |
| :--- | :---: |
| 0 | 0 |

39
100.

## MINOR

## Difficulty

| Great | 0 | 0 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Some | 16 | 64.0 |  |
| Little | 9 | 36.0 |  |
| Not stated | $\underline{0}$ | - |  |
| Total | 25 | 100. | 29.4 |

## Frequency

Frequently, or

| much of the time <br> Occasionally, or <br> some of the time <br> Rarely, or little | 1 | 4.0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| of the time | 17 | 68.0 |
| Not stated | 7 | 28.0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 |

NO IMPORTANCE

| No importance | $\underline{21}$ | $\underline{24.7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 85 | 100. |

PROBLEM: Collecting materials
MAJOR

| Difficulty | Number or Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 13 | 34.2 |  |
| Some | 15 | 39.5 |  |
| Little | 10 | 26.3 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| Total | 38 | 100. | 44.7 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time | 21 | 55.3 |  |
| Occasionally, or some of the time | 11 | 28.9 |  |
| Rarely, or little of the time | 6 | 15.8 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 38 | 100. |  |

## MINOR

## Difficulty

| Great | 2 | 6.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Some | 16 | 53.3 |
| Little | 12 | 40.0 |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 30 | 100. |
| Prequency |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time | 3 | 10.0 |
| Occasionally, or some of the time | 19 | 63.3 |
| Rarely, or little of the time | 8 | 26.7 |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 30 | 100. |

## MO IMPORTANCE

| No importance | 17 | 20.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 85 | 100. |

## PROBLEM: Organizing materials

MAJOR

| Difficulty | Number or Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 12 | 27.3 |  |
| Some | 15 | 34.1 |  |
| Little | 15 | 34.1 |  |
| Not stated | 2 | 4.5 |  |
| Total | 44 | 100. | 51.8 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time | 17 | 38.6 |  |
| Occasionally, or some of the time | 18 | 40.9 |  |
| Rarely, or little of the time | 6 | 13.6 |  |
| Not stated | 3 | 6.2 |  |
| Totel | 44 | 100. |  |

## MINOR

## Difficulty

| Great | 1 | 4.2 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Some | 12 | 50.0 |  |
| Little | 11 | 45.8 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 24 | 100 | 28.2 |

## Frequency

Frequently, or much of the time

1
4.2

Occasionally, or some of the time

14
58.3

Rarely, or little of the time

8
33.3

Not stated
1
4.2

Total
24
100.

## NO IIPORTANCE

| No importance | $\underline{17}$ | $\underline{20.0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 85 | 100. |

PROBLEM: Choosing supplementary materials

| Difficulty | Number of Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 17 | 48.6 |  |
| Some | 10 | 28.6 |  |
| Little | 7 | 20.0 |  |
| Not stated | 1 | 2.8 |  |
| Total | 35 | 100. | 41.1 |
| Prequency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time | 16 | 45.7 |  |
| Occasionally, or some of the time | 12 | 34.3 |  |
| Rarely, or little of the time | 3 | 8.5 |  |
| Not stated | 4 | 11.5 |  |
| Total | 35 | 100. |  |
| MMOR |  |  |  |
| Difficulty |  |  |  |
| Great | 3 | 8.8 |  |
| Some | 25 | 73.5 |  |
| Little | 5 | 14.7 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 34 | 100. | 40.0 |

## Frequency

Frequently, or

| much of the time | 4 | 11.8 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Occasionally, or <br> some of the time | 25 | 73.5 |
| Rarely, or little |  |  |
| of the time <br> Not stated | 5 | 14.7 |
| Total | 0 | 0 |

MO IMPORTANCE

| No importance | 16 | 18.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 85 | 100. |

MAJOR

| Difficulty | Mhmber of <br> Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent <br> of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 15 | 65.2 |  |
| Some | 5 | 21.7 |  |
| Little | 2 | 8.7 |  |
| Not stated | 1 | 4.4 |  |
| Total | 23 | 100. | 27.1 |

## Frequency

Frequently, or much of the time Occasionally, or some of the time Rarely, or little of the time
Not stated
Total
65.2

5
21.7
28.7

1
23
100.

## MINOR

## Difficulty

| Great | 1 | 3.4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Some | 19 | 65.6 |
| Little | 8 | 27.6 |
| Not stated | 1 | 3.4 |
| Total | 29 | 100. |
| Frequeney |  |  |
| Frequently, or <br> much of the time | 4 | 13.8 |
| Occasionally, or <br> some of the time <br> Rarely, or little <br> of the time | 18 | 62.1 |
| Not stated | 6 | 20.7 |
| Total | 1 | 3.4 |

NO IMPORTANCE

| No importance | 33 | 38.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 85 | 100. |

PROBLEM: Text material too difficult

| MAJOR Difficulty | Nhamber of Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 1 | 50.0 |  |
| Some | 0 | 0 |  |
| Little | 1 | 50.0 |  |
| Not stated | 00 | 0 |  |
| Total | 2 | 100. | 2.3 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time | 1 | 50.0 |  |
| Occasionally, or |  |  |  |
| some of the time | 0 | 0 |  |
| Rarely, or little of the time | 1 | 50.0 |  |
| Total | 2 | 100. |  |
| MINOR |  |  |  |
| Difficulty |  |  |  |
| Great | 1 | 5.0 |  |
| Some | 7 | 35.0 |  |
| Little | 11 | 55.0 |  |
| Not stated | 1 | 5.0 |  |
| Total | 20 | 100. | 23.5 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time | 0 | 0 |  |
| Occasionally, or some of the time | 9 | 45.0 |  |
| Rarely, or little of the time | 10 | 50.0 |  |
| Not stated | 1 | 5.0 |  |
| Total | 20 | 100. |  |
| MO IMPORTANCE |  |  |  |
| No importance | 63 |  | 74.2 |
| Total | 85 |  | 100. |

PROBLEM: Text material too general
MAJOR

| Difficulty | Number of <br> Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent <br> of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Great | 11 | 55.0 |  |
| Some | 5 | 25.0 |  |
| Little | 3 | 15.0 |  |
| Not stated | 1 | 5.0 | 23.5 |

## Frequency

| Frequently, or <br> much of the time <br> Occasionally, or <br> some of the time <br> Rarely, or little <br> of the time | 10 | 50.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Not stated | 3 | 15.0 |
| $\quad$ Total | 2 | 25.0 |
|  | 20 | 10.0 |

MTNOR
Difficulty

| Great | 1 | 3.2 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Some | 19 | 61.3 |  |
| Little | 9 | 29.1 |  |
| Not stated | $\underline{2}$ | 6.4 |  |
| Total | 31 | 100. | 36.5 |

Prequeney
Frequently, or mach of the time Occasionally, or some of the time 1 Rarely, or little of the time Not stated

Total
31

## NO IMPORTANCE

No importance
34

85
Total
3.2
100.
36.5
71.0
19.4
6.4
40.0
100.

PROBLEM: Text material abstract in content
MAJOR

| Difficulty Number of <br> Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent <br> of Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 3 | 50.0 |  |
| Some | 1 | 16.7 |  |
| Little | 1 | 16.7 |  |
| Not stated | 1 | 16.6 |  |
| Total | 6 | 100. | 7.1 |

## Frequency

Frequently, or much of the time

1
16.7

Occasionally, or
some of the time
Rarely, or 1ittle of the time
Not stated
Total

## MINOR

## Difficulty

Great
Some
Little
Not stated

Total
1
23
4
3.4
79.4
13.8
3.4
100.
34.1

## Frequency

Frequently, or
much of the time
0
0 Occasionally, or some of the time Rarely, or little of the time Not stated
Total
29
82.8

24
3
10.3
6.9

NO IMPORTANCE
$\begin{array}{cc}\text { No importance } & 50 \\ \text { Total } & 85\end{array}$
58.8
100.

MAJOR

| Difficulty | Number of Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent of Totsl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 6 | 17.6 |  |
| Some | 16 | 47.1 |  |
| Little | 10 | 26.5 |  |
| Not stated | 2 | 5.8 |  |
| Total | 34 | 100. | 40.0 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time | 12 | 35.3 |  |
| Occasionally, or some of the time | 12 | 35.3 |  |
| Rarely, or little of the time | 7 | 20.6 |  |
| Not stated | 3 | 8.8 |  |
| Total | 34 | 100. |  |

## MIMOR

Difficulty

| Great | 0 | 0 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Some | 14 | 77.8 |  |
| Little | 4 | 22.2 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  | 18 | 100. |

## Frequency

Frequently, or much of the time 15.683.3
Rarely, or littleof the time2Not stated011.1

18
0
Total 100.
NO MPORTANGE
No importance ..... 33 ..... 38.8 Total 85 ..... 100.

PROBLEM: Determining approach to daily lesson
MATOR

| Difficulty | Number of Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 10 | 27.8 |  |
| Some | 13 | 36.1 |  |
| Little | 12 | 33.3 |  |
| Not stated | 1 | 2.8 |  |
| Total | 36 | 100. | 42.3 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time | 18 | 50.0 |  |
| Occasionally, or some of the time | 11 | 30.6 |  |
| Rarely, or little of the time | 5 | 13.9 |  |
| Not stated | 2 | 5.5 |  |
| Total | 36 | 100. |  |
| MINOR |  |  |  |

## Difficulty

| Great | 0 | 0 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Some | 19 | 70.4 |  |
| Little | 8 | 29.6 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total | 27 | 100. | 31.8 |

## Frequency

Frequently, or much of the time

2
7.4 Occasionally, or some of the time 19 70.4 Rarely, or little
of the time Not stated

Total
27
22.2 0
100.

NO IMPORTANCE
No importance
$\underline{22}$
Total
85
25.9
100.

## PROBLEM: Providing proper motivation

| Difficulty | Number of Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 21 | 45.7 |  |
| Some | 19 | 41.3 |  |
| Little | 6 | 13.0 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 46 | 100. | 54.1 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time | 30 | 65.2 |  |
| Occasionally, or some of the time | 12 | 26.0 |  |
| Rarely, or little of the time |  | 4.4 |  |
| Not stated | 2 | 4.4 |  |
| Total | 46 | 100. |  |

## MINOR

## Difficnlty

| Great | 1 | 4.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Some | 16 | 76.2 |
| Little | 4 | 19.0 |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 21 | 100. |
| Prequency |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time | 3 | 14.3 |
| Occasionally, or some of the time | 15 | 71.4 |
| Rarely, or little of the time | 3 | 14.3 |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 21 | 100. |

## NO IMPORTAMCE

No importance 18
Total
85
21.2
100.

PROBLEM: Obtaining student interest
MAJOR

| Difficulty | Number of <br> Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent <br> of Totel |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 9 | 25.0 |  |
| Great | 18 | 50.0 |  |
| Some | 9 | 25.0 |  |
| Little | - | 0 |  |
| Not stated | 36 | 100. | 42.3 |

Frequency
Frequently, or
much of the time $\quad 16$
Occasionally, or
some of the time
12
Rarely, or little
of the time
Not stated
Total
6
16.7
$\underline{2}$
36
44.4
33.3
5.6
100.

## MINOR

## Difficulty

| Great | 1 | 3.7 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Some | 19 | 70.4 |  |
| Little | 7 | 25.9 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 27 | 100. | 31.8 |

## Frequency

Frequently, or
much of the time
4 Occasionally, or
some of the time
17
Rarely, or little of the time
Not stated
Total
27
14.8
63.0
22.2

0
100.

## NO IMPORTANCS

No importance
22
Total
85
25.9
100.

PROBLEM: Maintaining student interest

| Difficulty | Number of Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 12 | 37.5 |  |
| Some | 12 | 37.5 |  |
| Little | 7 | 21.9 |  |
| Not stated | 1 | 3.1 |  |
| Total | 32 | 100. | 37.6 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time | 16 | 50.0 |  |
| Occasionally, or some of the time | 0 | 0 |  |
| Rarely, or little of the time | 2 | 6.3 |  |
| Not stated | 1 | 3.1 |  |
| 2 Total | 32 | 100. |  |
| MINOR |  |  |  |
| Difficulty |  |  |  |
| Great | 1 | 3.3 |  |
| Some | 22 | 73.4 |  |
| Little | 7 | 23.3 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 30 | 100. | 35.3 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time | 1 | 3.3 |  |
| Occasionally, or some of the time | 21 | 70.0 |  |
| Rarely, or little of the time | 8 | 26.7 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 30 | 100. |  |
| NO TMPORTAMCE |  |  |  |
| No importance | 23 |  | 27.1 |
| Total | 85 |  | 100. |

MAJOR

| Difficulty | Mumber of <br> Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent <br> of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great |  |  |  |
| Some | 25 | 51.0 |  |
| Little | 17 | 34.7 |  |
| Not stated | 6 | 12.3 |  |
| Total | 1 | 2.0 |  |
|  |  | 49 | 100. |
|  |  |  | 57.6 |

## Prequency

Frequently, or
much of the time
Occasionally, or
some of the time
29

Rarely, or little of the time
Not stated
Total
49
59.2
30.6
6.1
4.1
100.

## MINOR

## Difficulty

| Great | 0 | 0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Some | 14 | 58.3 |  |
| Little | 10 | 41.7 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 24 | 100. | 28.2 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time | 1 | 4.2 |  |
| Occasionally, or some of the time | 14 | 58.3 |  |
| Rarely, or little of the time | 9 | 37.5 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 24 | 100. |  |

## NO IMPORTANCE

| No importance | $\underline{12}$ | $\underline{14.2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 85 | 100. |

PROBLEM: Making course exploratory

| Difficulty | Number of Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 19 | 51.4 |  |
| Some | 13 | 35.1 |  |
| Little | 5 | 13.5 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 37 | 100. | 43.5 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or mach of the time | 18 | 48.6 |  |
| Occasionally, or some of the time | 13 | 35.2 |  |
| Rarely, or little of the time | 3 | 8.1 |  |
| Not stated | 3 | 8.1 |  |
| Total | 37 | 100. |  |
| MINOR |  |  |  |
| Difficulty |  |  |  |
| Great | 3 | 9.7 |  |
| Some | 20 | 64.5 |  |
| Little | 8 | 25.8 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 31 | 100. | 36.5 |
| Frequeney |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time | 1 | 3.2 |  |
| Occasionally, or some of the time | 25 | 80.7 |  |
| Rarely, or little of the time | 5 | 16.1 |  |
| Total | 31 | 100. |  |
| NO IMPORTANCE |  |  |  |
| No importance | 17 |  | 20.0 |
| Total | 85 |  | 100. |

PROBLEM: Overlapping of subject matter
MAJOR

| Difficulty | Number of Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 6 | 37.5 |  |
| Some | 4 | 43.8 |  |
| Little | 3 | 18.7 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 16 | 100. | 18.8 |
| Prequency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time | 7 | 43.8 |  |
| Occasionally, or some of the time | 7 | 43.8 |  |
| Rarely, or little of the time | 2 | 12.4 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 16 | 100. |  |

MIMOR

## Difficulty

## Great

Some
25
15
59.5
35.7
4.8

Total
42
100.
49.4

## Frequency

Prequently, or

| much of the time <br> Occasionally, or <br> some of the time | 1 | 2.4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Rarely, or little <br> of the time | 26 | 61.9 |
| Not stated | 13 | 30.9 |
| Total | 2 | 4.8 |
|  | 42 | 100. |

NO IMPORTANCS

| No importance | $\underline{27}$ | 31.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 85 | 100. |


| Difficulty | Number of Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 17 | 42.5 |  |
| Some | 17 | 42.5 |  |
| Little | 4 | 10.0 |  |
| Not stated | 2 | 5.0 |  |
| Total | 40 | 100. | 47.1 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time | 21 | 52.5 |  |
| Occasionally, or some of the time | 16 | 40.0 |  |
| Rarely, or little of the time | 1 | 2.5 |  |
| Not stated | 2 | 5.0 |  |
| Total | 40 | 100. |  |
| MINOR |  |  |  |
| Difficulty |  |  |  |
| Great | 1 | 3.4 |  |
| Some | 23 | 79.3 |  |
| Little | 5 | 17.3 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 29 | 100. | 34.0 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time | 1 | 3.4 |  |
| Occasionally, or some of the time | 22 | 75.9 |  |
| Rarely, or little of the time | 6 | 20.7 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 29 | 100. |  |
| NO MMPORTANCE |  |  |  |
| No importance | 16 |  | 18.9 |
| Total | 85 |  | 100. |

MAJOR

| Difficulty | Number of <br> Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent <br> of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 19 | 48.7 |  |
| Some | 14 | 35.9 |  |
| Little | 5 | 12.8 |  |
| Not stated | 1 | 2.6 |  |
| Iotal | 39 | 100. | 45.9 |

Frequency

| Prequently, or <br> mach of the time | 18 | 46.1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Occasionally, or <br> some of the time | 20 | 51.3 |
| Rarely, or little |  |  |
| of the time | 0 | 0 |
| Not stated | 1 | 2.6 |
| Total | 39 | 100. |

## MINOR

Difficulty

| Great | 1 | 3.7 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Some | 22 | 81.5 |  |
| Little | 3 | 11.1 |  |
| Not stated | 1 | 3.7 |  |
| Total | 27 | 100. | 31.8 |

## Prequency

| Frequently, or <br> much of the time <br> Occasionally, or | 3 | 11.1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| some of the time <br> Rarely, or little | 19 | 70.4 |
| of the time | 4 | 14.8 |
| Not stated | 1 | 3.7 |
| Total | 27 | 100. |

NO TMPORTANCE
No Importance ..... 19 ..... 22.3
Total ..... 85100.

PROBLEM: Selecting individual projects

| MAJOR <br> Dieficulty | Number of Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 19 | 55.9 |  |
| Some | 8 | 23.5 |  |
| Little | 5 | 14.7 |  |
| Not stated | 2 | 5.2 |  |
| Total | 34 | 100. | 40.0 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time | 20 | 58.8 |  |
| Occasionally, or some of the time | 11 | 32.4 |  |
| Rarely, or little |  |  |  |
| of the time | 1 | 2.9 |  |
| Not stated | 2 | 5.9 |  |
| Total | 34 | 100. |  |
| MINOR |  |  |  |
| Difficulty |  |  |  |
| Great | 2 | 7.4 |  |
| Some | 20 | 74.1 |  |
| Little | 5 | 18.5 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 27 | 100. | 31.8 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time | 4 | 14.8 |  |
| Occasionally, or some of the time | 18 | 66.7 |  |
| Rarely, or little of the time | 5 | 18.5 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 27 | 100. |  |
| NO TMPORTANCE |  |  |  |
| No importance | 24 |  | 28.2 |
| Total | 85 |  | 100. |

PROBLEM: Selecting meaningful activities
MAJOR

| Difficulty | Number of <br> Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent <br> of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 16 | 44.4 |  |
| Some | 15 | 41.7 |  |
| Little | 4 | 11.1 |  |
| Not stated | 1 | 2.8 |  |
| Total | 36 | 100. | 42.4 |

## Frequency

Frequently, or much of the time Occasionally, or some of the time 15 41.7

Rarely, or little of the time
Not stated
Total
16
44.4
11.1
dot stated
4
2.8

## MINOR

## Difficulty

| Great | 2 | 8.0 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Some | 22 | 88.0 |  |
| Little | 1 | 4.0 |  |
| Not stated | $\underline{0}$ | - |  |
| Total | 25 | 100. | 29.4 |

## Frequency

Frequently, or much of the time Occasionally, or some of the time Rarely, or little of the time Not stated

4
16.0

Total
25
100.

## NO IMPORTANCE

No importance2428.2
Total ..... 85100.

PROBLEM: Organizing subject matter (units of learning)

| Difficulty | Number of Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 9 | 32.1 |  |
| Some | 8 | 28.6 |  |
| Little | 10 | 35.7 |  |
| Not stated | 1 | 3.6 |  |
| Total | 28 | 100. | 32.9 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time | 10 | 35.7 |  |
| Occasionally, or some of the time | 10 | 35.7 |  |
| Rarely, or little of the time | 7 | 25.0 |  |
| Not stated | 1 | 3.6 |  |
| Total | 28 | 100. |  |

## MINOR

Difficulty

| Great | 0 | 0 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Some | 15 | 75.0 |  |
| Little | 5 | 25.0 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total | 20 | 100. | 23.5 |

## Frequency

| Frequently, or <br> much of the time <br> Occasionally, or | 2 | 10.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| some of the time | 13 | 65.0 |
| Rarely, or little |  |  |
| of the time | 3 | 15.0 |
| Not stated | 2 | 10.0 |
| Total | 20 | 100. |

## NO IMPORTANCE

| No importance | 37 | $\underline{33.6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 85 | 100. |

PROBLEM: Constructing tests
MAJOR

| Difficulty | Mumber of <br> Teachers | Per Gent | Per Cent <br> of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 13 | 46.4 |  |
| Some | 9 | 32.2 |  |
| Little | 5 | 17.8 |  |
| Not stated | 1 | 3.6 |  |
| Total | 28 | 100. | 32.9 |

## Frequency

Frequently, or much of the time Occasionally, or some of the time

11
39.3

Rarely, or little of the time Not stated

Total
MINOR
Difficulty

| Grest | . 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Some | 17 | 77.3 |
| Little | 5 | 22.7 |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 22 | 100. |
| Frequency |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time | 3 | 13.6 |
| Occasionally, or some of the time | 13 | 59.1 |
| Rarely, or little of the time | 6 | 27.3 |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 22 | 100. |

NO IMPORTANCE

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { No importance } & 35 \\
\text { Total } & 85
\end{array}
$$

59.1
27.3
100.
25.9
17.8 3.6
100.
100.

$$
\cdots
$$

.

MAJOR

| Difficulty | Number of <br> Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent <br> of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 3 | 18.7 |  |
| Some | 3 | 18.7 |  |
| Little | 9 | 56.3 |  |
| Not stated | 1 | 6.3 |  |
| Total | 16 | 100. | 18.8 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |


| Frequently, or <br> much of the time | 4 | 25.0 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Oceasionally, or <br> some of the time | 2 | 12.5 |
| Rarely, or little <br> of the time | 9 | 56.3 |
| Not stated | 1 | 6.2 |
| Total | 16 | 100. |

## MINOR

## Difficulty

Great
Some
Little
Not stated
$\quad$ Total
Frequency

Frequently, or much of the time 0 Occasionally, or some of the time of the time
Not stated
Total

12 10
0
22
0
8
14
0
22

0
0
54.5
45.5
45.5
0
100.

0
36.4
63.6

0
100.

NO IMPORTANCE
No importance
47
Total
85
25.9

PROBLEM: Applying units to need of students enrolled
MAJOR

| Difficulty | Number of Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 17 | 40.5 |  |
| Some | 17 | 40.5 |  |
| Little | 7 | 16.7 |  |
| Not stated | 1 | 2.3 |  |
| Total | 42 | 100. | 49.4 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or |  |  |  |
| much of the time Occasionally, or | 24 | 57.2 |  |
| some of the time | 12 | 28.5 |  |
| Rarely, or little of the time | 4 | 9.5 |  |
| Not stated | 2 | 4.8 |  |
| Total | 42 | 100. |  |
| MIMOR |  |  |  |
| Difficulty |  |  |  |
| Great | 1 | 4.3 |  |
| Some | 14 | 60.9 |  |
| Little | 8 | 34.8 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 23 | 100. | 27.1 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time | 0 | 0 |  |
| Occasionally, or some of the time | 17 | 42.9 |  |
| Rarely, or little of the time |  | 21.8 |  |
| Not stated | 1 | 4.3 |  |
| Total | 23 | 100. |  |
| NO IMPORTANCE |  |  |  |
| No importance | 20 |  | 23.5 |
| Total | 85 |  | 100. |

PROBLEM: Applying units to need of local community
MAJOR

| Difficulty | Number of <br> Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| of Potal |  |  |  |

## Frequency

Frequently, or
much of the time Occasionally, or some of the time

28
11
65.1
25.6

Rarely, or little of the time
Not stated
Total
43
7.0
2.3
100.

## MINOR

## Difficulty

| Great | - | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Some | 18 | 72.0 |
| Little | 7 | 28.0 |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 25 | 100. |
| Frequency |  |  |
| Frequently, or mach of the time | 2 | 8.0 |
| Occasionally, or some of the time | 16 | 64.0 |
| Rarely, or little of the time | 5 | 20.0 |
| Not stated | 2 | 8.0 |
| Total | 25 | 100. |

## NO IMPORTANCE

| No importance | 17 | 20.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 85 | 100. |

## PROBLEM: Determining grades

| Difficulty | Number of Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 6 | 30.0 |  |
| Some | 8 | 40.0 |  |
| Little | 6 | 30.0 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 20 | 100. | 23.5 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time | 6 | 30.0 |  |
| Occasionally, or some of the time | 9 | 45.0 |  |
| Rarely, or little of the time | 4 | 20.0 |  |
| Not stated | 1 | 5.0 |  |
| Total | 20 | 100. |  |
| MINOR |  |  |  |
| Difficulty |  |  |  |
| Great | 1 | 3.5 |  |
| Some | 19 | 65.5 |  |
| Little | 9 | 31.0 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 29 | 100. | 34.1 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time | 5 | 17.2 |  |
| Occasionally, or some of the time | 19 | 65.6 |  |
| Rarely, or 1ittle of the time | 5 | 17.2 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 29 | 100. |  |
| HO MPPORTANCE |  |  |  |
| No importance | 36 |  | 42.4 |
| Total | 85 |  | 100. |

PROBLEM: Selecting pupils (composition of class)
MAJOR

| Difficulty | Number of Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 10 | 52.6 |  |
| Some | 6 | 31.5 |  |
| Little | 2 | 10.6 |  |
| Not stated | 1 | 5.3 |  |
| Total | 19 | 100. | 22.3 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time Occasionally, or some of the time Rarely, or little of the time Not stated |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |
| MINOR |  |  |  |
| Difficulty |  |  |  |
| Great | 1 | 4.5 |  |
| Some | 13 | 59.1 |  |
| Little | 8 | 36.4 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 22 | 100. | 25.9 |

## Frequency

```
Frequently, or
much of the time
Occasionally, or
some of the time
Rarely, or little
of the time
Not stated
```

    Total
    MO IMPORTANCE

| No importance | 华 | 51.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 85 | 100. |

MAJOR

| Difficulty | Mumber of <br> Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent <br> of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 8 | 30.7 |  |
| Some | 17 | 42.3 |  |
| Little | 6 | 23.1 |  |
| Not stated | 1 | 3.9 |  |
| Total | 26 | 100. | 30.6 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |

Frequently, or
much of the time Oceasionally, or some of the time Rarely, or little of the time
Not stated
Total

## MINOR

## Difficulty

Great
Some
Little
Not stated
$\quad$ Total

## Frequency

Frequently, or much of the time Oceasionally, or some of the time
Rarely, or little
of the time
Not stated
Total
NO TMPORTANCE

| No importance | 37 | $\mathbf{4 3 . 5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 85 | 100. |

## PROBLBM: Determining objectives of the course

MAJOR


## MINOR

Difficulty

| Great | 0 | 0 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Some | 28 | 82.4 |  |
| Little | 6 | 17.6 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 34 | 100. | 40.0 |

## Frequency

Frequently, or much of the time Occasionally, or some of the time Rarely, or little of the time
Not stated

## Total

NO IMPORTANCE

| No importance | $\underline{23}$ | $\underline{27.1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 85 | 100. |

PROBLEM: Setting proper standards
MAJOR

| Difficulty Number of <br> Teachers  | Per Cent | Per Cent <br> of Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 7 | 22.6 |  |
| Some | 15 | 48.4 |  |
| Little | 8 | 25.8 |  |
| Not stated | 1 | 3.2 |  |
| Total | 31 | 100. | 36.4 |

## Prequency

Frequently, or much of the time Occasionally, or some of the time Rarely, or little of the time
Not stated
Total

## MINOR

## Difficulty

| Great | 0 | 0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Some | 22 | 81.5 |  |
| Little | 4 | 14.8 |  |
| Not stated | 1 | 3.7 |  |
| Total | 27 | 100. | 31.8 |
| Frequently, or |  |  |  |
| Occasionally, or some of the time |  |  |  |
| Rarely, or little of the time Not stated |  |  |  |

no Importance
No importance
$\underline{27}$
Total
85
31.8
100.

## PROBLEM: Choosing textbook

MAJOR

| Difficulty | Mumber of <br> Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent <br> of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 9 | 28.1 |  |
| Some | 7 | 21.9 |  |
| Little | 15 | 46.9 |  |
| Not stated | 1 | 3.1 |  |
| Total | 32 |  |  |
| Frequency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or |  |  |  |
| much of the time |  |  |  |
| Occasionally, or |  |  |  |
| some of the time |  |  |  |
| Rarely, or little |  |  |  |
| of the time |  |  |  |
| Not stated |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |

## MINOR

Difficulty

| Great | 1 | 7.7 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Some | 5 | 38.5 |  |
| Little | 7 | 53.8 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total | 13 | 100. | 15.3 |

## Frequency

Frequently, or much of the time Occasionally, or some of the time Rarely, or little of the time
Not stated

Total

NO IMPORTANCE
No importance
Total
85
47.1
100.

## PROBLEM: Using visual aids

MAJOR

| Difficulty | Mumber of <br> Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent <br> of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 22 | 56.4 |  |
| Some | 11 | 28.2 |  |
| Little | 5 | 12.8 |  |
| Not stated | 1 | $\underline{2.6}$ |  |
| Total | 39 | 100. | 45.8 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |

Frequently, or much of the time Occasionally, or some of the time Rarely, or little of the time
Not stated

## Total

## MINOR

Difficulty

| Great | 4 | 17.4 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Some | 10 | 43.5 |  |
| Little | 9 | 39.1 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 23 | 100. | 27.1 |

## Frequency

Frequently, or much of the time Occasionally, or some of the time Rarely, or little of the time
Not stated
Total
NO IMPORTANCE
No importance
$\underline{23}$
85
27.1
100.

MAJOR

| Difficulty | Number of Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 11 | 40.8 |  |
| Some | 10 | 37.0 |  |
| Little | 6 | 22.2 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 27 | 100. | 31.8 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or mach of the time Occasionally, or some of the time Rarely, or little of the time Not stated |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |
| MINOR |  |  |  |
| Difficulty |  |  |  |


| Great | 0 | 0 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Some | 29 | 80.6 |  |
| Little | 6 | 16.7 |  |
| Not stated | 1 | 2.7 |  |
| Total | 36 | 100. | 42.3 |

## Frequency

Frequently, or much of the time Occasionally, or some of the time Rarely, or little of the time
Not stated
Total

## NO IMPORTANCE

No importance 22
Total 85
25.9
100.

## PROBLEM: Developing business vocabulary

| Difficulty | Number of Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 28 | 52.8 |  |
| Some | 16 | 30.2 |  |
| Little | 8 | 15.1 |  |
| Not stated | 1 | 1.2 |  |
| Total | 53 | 100. | 62.3 |
| Freauency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time Occasionally, or some of the time Rarely, or little of the time Not stated |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |
| MINOR |  |  |  |
| Difficulty |  |  |  |
| Great | 1 | 5.3 |  |
| Some | 15 | 78.9 |  |
| Little | 2 | 10.5 |  |
| Not stated | 1 | 5.3 |  |
| Total | 19 | 100. | 22.3 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time Occasionally, or some of the time Rarely, or little of the time Not stated |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |
| NO IMPORTANCE |  |  |  |
| No importance | 13 |  | 15.4 |
| Total | 85 |  | 100. |

MAJOR

| Difficulty | Number of <br> Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent <br> of Potal |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 30 | 66.7 |  |
| Great | 12 | 26.7 |  |
| Some | 2 | 4.4 |  |
| Little | 1 | 2.2 |  |
| Not stated |  |  |  |
| Total | 45 | 100. | 53.0 |

## Frequency

Frequently, or much of the time Occasionally, or some of the time Rarely, or little of the time Not stated

## Total

MINOR

## Difficulty

| Great | 2 | 6.9 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Some | 25 | 86.2 |  |
| Little | 2 | 6.9 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 29 | 100. | 34.0 |

## Frequency

Frequently, or much of the time Occasionally, or

some of the time

Rarely, or little

of the time

Not stated

Total
NO IMPORTANCE
No importance 11 ..... 13.0
Total ..... 85 ..... 100.

PROBLEM: Developing arithmetic skills

| Difficulty | Number of Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 37 | 62.8 |  |
| Some | 18 | 30.5 |  |
| Little | 3 | 5.1 |  |
| Not stated | -1 | 1.6 |  |
| Total | 59 | 100. | 69.4 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time Occasionally, or some of the time Rarely, or little of the time Not stated |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |
| MINOR |  |  |  |
| Difficulty |  |  |  |
| Great | 1 | 4.7 |  |
| Some | 17 | 81.0 |  |
| Little | 3 | 14.3 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | 21 | 100. | 24.7 |
| Freouency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time Occasionally, or some of the time Rarely, or little of the time Not stated |  | , |  |
| Total |  |  |  |
| NO IMPORTANCE |  |  |  |
| No importance | 5 |  | 5.2 |
| Total | 85 |  | 100. |

## PROBLEM: Developing writing skills

MAJOR

| Difficulty | Number of Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 23 | 53.5 |  |
| Some | 18 | 41.9 |  |
| Little | 1 | 2.3 |  |
| Not stated | 1 | 2.3 |  |
| Total | 43 | 100. | 50.6 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time Occasionally, or some of the time Rarely, or little of the time Not stated |  |  |  |

## MINOR

## Difficulty

| Great | 1 | 3.1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Some | 25 | 78.1 |  |
| Little | 6 | 18.8 |  |
| Not stated | $\underline{0}$ | 0 |  |
| Total | 32 | 100. | 37.6 |

## Frequency

Frequently, or much of the time Occasionally, or some of the time Rarely, or little of the time
Not stated
Total
NO IUPORTANCE

| No importance | $\underline{10}$ | $\underline{11.8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 85 | 100. |

MAJOR

| Difficulty Number of <br> Teachers Per Cent | Per Cent <br> of Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 23 | 51.1 |  |
| Some | 19 | 42.2 |  |
| Little | 2 | 4.5 |  |
| Not stated | 1 | 2.2 |  |
| Total | 45 | 100. | 53.0 |

## Frequency

Frequently, or mach of the time Occasionally, or some of the time Rarely, or little of the time
Not stated
Total

## MINOR

## Difficulty

| Great | 2 | 6.9 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Some | 21 | 72.4 |  |
| Little | 6 | 20.7 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 29 | 100. | 34.0 |
| Prequency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time Occasionally, or some of the time Rarely, or little of the time Not stated |  |  |  |

## NO IMPORTANCE

| No importance | 11 | 13.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 85 | 100. |

PROBLEM: Developing spelling skills
MAJOR

|  | Number of <br> Deachers | Per Cent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | | Per Cent |
| :--- |
| Difficulty |


| Great | 43 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Some | 12 |
| Little | 2 |
| Not stated | 1 |
|  | Total |

43
12
2
1

58
74.1
20.7
3.5
1.7
100.
68.2

## Frequency

Frequently, or much of the time Occasionally, or some of the time Rarely, or little of the time Not stated

Total

## MINOR

## Difficulty



## NO IMPORTANGE

| No importance | 5 | 5.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 85 | 100. |

PROBLEM: Developing skill in using library
MAJOR

| Difficulty | Number of Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent <br> of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 27 | 64.3 |  |
| Some | 14 | 33.3 |  |
| Little | 0 | 0 |  |
| Not stated | 1 | 2.4 |  |
| Total | 42 | 100. | 49.4 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time Occasionally, or some of the time Rarely, or little of the time Not stated |  |  |  |

## MIMOR

## Difficulty

| Great | 0 | 0 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Some | 21 | 77.8 |  |
| Little | 5 | 18.5 |  |
| Not stated | 1 | 3.7 |  |
| Total | 27 | 100. | 31.8 |

## Frequency

Frequently, or much of the time Oceasionally, or some of the time Rarely, or little of the time Not stated

Total
NO IMPORTANCE

| No importance | 16 | 18.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 85 | 100. |


| Difficulty | Number of Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 20 | 46.5 |  |
| Some | 15 | 34.9 |  |
| Little | 6 | 13.9 |  |
| Not stated | 2 | 4.7 |  |
| Total | 43 | 100. | 50.6 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time Occasionally, or some of the time Rarely, or little of the time Not stated |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |
| MINOR |  |  |  |
| Difficulty |  |  |  |
| Great | 0 | 0 |  |
| Some | 18 | 75.0 |  |
| Little | 6 | 25.0 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 24 | 100. | 28.2 |
| Prequency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time Occasionally, or some of the time Rarely, or little of the time Not stated |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |
| NO IMPORTANCE |  |  |  |
| No importance | 18 |  | 21.2 |
| Total | 85 |  | 100. |

PROBLEM: Giving personal and consumer use values that are needed by students

MAJOR

|  | Number of <br> Difficulty$\quad$ Peachers | Per Cent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Great 1
Some
25
Little
Not stated
Total
47

## 36.2

53.2
8.5
2.1
100.
55.3

## Frequency

Frequently, or much of the time Occasionally, or some of the time
Rarely, or little
of the time
Not stated
Total
MINOR
Difficulty


MO IMPORTANCE
$\begin{array}{cc}\text { No importance } & \underline{12} \\ \text { Total } & 85\end{array}$
14.1
100.

PROBLEM: Do not feel qualified to teach the course

| Difficulty | Number of Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 7 | 26.9 |  |
| Some | 4 | 15.4 |  |
| Little | 11 | 42.3 |  |
| Not stated | 4 | 15.4 |  |
| Total | 26 | 100. | 30.6 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time Occasionally, or some of the time Rarely, or little of the time Not stated |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |
| MINOR |  |  |  |
| Difficulty |  |  |  |
| Great | 0 | 0 |  |
| Some | 8 | 61.6 |  |
| Little | 5 | 38.4 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 13 | 100. | 15.3 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |

Frequently, or much of the time Occasionally, or some of the time Rarely, or little of the time Not stated

Total

## NO TMPORTANCE

No importance ..... 46
Total ..... 85
54.21100.

PROBLEM: Do not like to teach the course
MAJOR

| Difficulty | Number of <br> Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent <br> of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 9 |  |  |
| Great | 1 | 31.0 |  |
| Some | 10 | 3.5 |  |
| Little | 2 | 34.5 |  |
| Not stated | 29 | 31.0 | 34.1 |
| Total |  | 100. |  |
| Frequeney |  |  |  |

```
Frequently, or much of the time Occasionally, or some of the time Rarely, or little of the time
Not stated
```

Total
MINOR

## Difficulty

| Great | 1 | 8.3 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Some | 9 | 75.0 |  |
| Little | 2 | 16.7 |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 12 | 100. | 14.1 |

## Frequency

Frequently, or
much of the time
Occasionally, or
some of the time
Rarely, or little
of the time
Not stated
Total
NO IMPORTANCE
No importance ..... 圱 ..... 51.8Total 85100.

## PROBLEM: Lack experience in teaching pupils on this grade level

MAJOR

| Difficulty | Number of <br> Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent <br> of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 6 | 42.9 |  |
| Some | 0 | 0 |  |
| Little | 6 | 42.9. |  |
| Not stated | 2 | 14.2 |  |
| Total | 14 | 100. | 16.5 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |

```
Frequently, or
much of the time
Occasionally, or
some of the time
Rarely, or little
of the time
Not stated
```

    Total
    MINOR

## Difficulty

| Great | 0 | 0 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Some | 10 | 71.4 |  |
| Little | 3 | 21.4 |  |
| Not stated | 1 | 7.2 |  |
|  |  |  | 100 |

## Frequency

```
Frequently, or
much of the time
Occasionally, or
some of the time
Rarely, or little
of the time
Not stated
```

Total
NO IMPORTANCE
No importance ..... 5785
67.0 100.

MAJOR

| Difficulty | Number of Teachers | Per Cent | Per Cent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great | 9 | 45.0 |  |
| Some | 2 | 10.0 |  |
| Little | 7 | 35.0 |  |
| Not stated | $\underline{2}$ | 10.0 |  |
| Total | 20 | 100. | 23.5 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time Occasionally, or some of the time Rarely, or little of the time Not stated |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |
| MINOR |  |  |  |
| Difficulty |  |  |  |
| Great | 1 | 5.9 |  |
| Some | 9 | 52.9 |  |
| Little | 5 | 29.4 |  |
| Not stated | 2 | 11.8 |  |
| Total | 17 | 100. | 20.0 |
| Frequency |  |  |  |
| Frequently, or much of the time Occasionally, or some of the time Rarely, or little of the time Not stated |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |
| NO IMPORTANCE |  |  |  |
| No importance | 48 |  | 56.5 |
| Total | 85 |  | 100. |

Typist: Harold A. Coonrad


[^0]:    23 Harold A. Coonrad, "A Survey of the Opinions of Administrators and General Business Teachers Regarding Selected Aspects of the Course in General Business in the Schools of Oklahoma." Unpublished Master's thesis, Oklahoma Agricultural and Mechanical College, 1947.

[^1]:    24
    Vermon A. Musselman, "Business Education in the Large High Schools of Oklahoma," Unpublished Doctor of Education thesis, University of Okl ahome, 1946.

[^2]:    2 Handbook for High School Courses, Bulletin No. 120 D, Oklahoma Department of Education, 1940 , p. 80 .

