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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

## Research in the Field of Study

Sources of information regarding the expenditures of high school pupils with respect to their actual cash spending during a school year are very limited. Most all expenditure information on education is based on and deals with the per capita cost.

The question which is asked with increasing frequency deals with school costs and finds its inception, in the tax payers mind, in the fact that there is a growing disparity between budgets and enrollment. The fact that schools promote a much more extensive program causes the parents to have to expend more money to give their children the desired educational opportunities.

A study on the subject presented by the Educational Research Service of the National Education Association offers considerable light on the causes why the schools cost more money than they did some years ago. These concern themselves in the main with the decrease in the purchasing power of the dollar and the higher standards of living adapted in the country. The people have earned more cash spending money over the past few years and have spent it more freely.

In 1870 the per pupil cost on education was $\$ 9.23 .1$ In $1935-36$ in a survey run on four groups of cities it was found that the raw per capita expenditures for current educational expence ranged from $\$ 68.10$ in the small cities to $\$ 106.82$ in the larger cities. The average for all the cities was \$91.36. ${ }^{2}$ In 1938 the average amount of money spent in the United States for educational purposes was $\$ 72$ per pupil. 3 In $1946-47$ the average per capita cost of education in the United States was \$99. According to the price levels in 1947 the minimum per capita cost of education would have to have been $\$ 200$ in order to have had the type of program that all American children and youth might have been given the amount and quality of schooling which the postwar era required. 4

The reason for such an increase in the per capita cost for education over the past few years is due partially because of the fact that schools are rendering a greater service than ever before.

The fact that education is furnished free by tax-supported schools, sometimes through college graduation, explains in large

[^0]part why less than one per cent of the expenditures of the family goes directly to education. At low incomes families rely on the public-school system, and hence below the incomes of $\$ 2000$ they spend on the average less than $\$ 10$ annually. At incomes above $\$ 5000$, education expense runs well above $\$ 100$ annually, accounted for by the fact that the younger children may be attending private schools while the older youths are going to college where tuition alone may be several hundred dollars per year. 5

Table 1 gives information as to the relationship of income to money spent on education in New York City in 1935-36.6

Table 2 gives the same information as Table 1 except that it deals with people living in small cities in 1935-36.7

In a survey made of seven New England cities there was an average of less than $\$ 15$ contributed to education among the families making below $\$ 2,250$. This survey covered all families regardless of the number of children. ${ }^{6}$

[^1] Pound that over 70 pes onet of ald youth who had droped out of school over the preceaing four yeas own from fandies on the Ion inoone goske.
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Grene found thet the expenditures, whion mere ohergen to sll high sohool pupils in the Guthrio genior migh gohool sox the rears 1931-32, 1932-33, 1933-34, mac 1934-35, mere ad folloms: In 1931-32 the charge per olnes enrollmont per pupil wes 55.92 and for year e enroljment pex pupil wag $26.44 ;$ in $1932-33$ the oharge per clane onrollnent pex pupil mas 5.39 and for year's enrollment per puoil was y24. 26 ; in $1933-34$ the dharge per alags onroliment per pupll wh 3.68 and for the yenc'g anroliment per

Shlinois Secondary gohool Ourionlua geries, how bo Gonouct The Hiaden Tuition Goste Gtudy, Bulletin No. 4, (Goringiele, Illinois; ORfice of the Stote Superintendent of Public Ingtraction, 1947-48).
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pupil was 16.56 ; and in $1934-35$ the charge per olase enrolluent per pupil whs 4.04 and for the year's onrollment per puait was
 period was 4.76 and the average per year's encollmont for the four year period mas 22.36 .10

The figures listed above in orde's wrig have to do ondy rith fixed chspeg or tuition fees in the various gubject fielda in the Guthrie genior ligh shood. It includes goch oherges ma errollment feea, laturatory foes, band fees, edo. It dore notis anclube other expenditures of the pupils guoh an money goont on bend tripe, bellgmog, and other sohasl spanorod sotivitieg.

Muiden Twition Gharges in High gohool Subjecte," en srtiole Pound in The Guoghionel Forum dealt gpecificelly with what this dtudy mas boout. A dozen ar so etndies comacted over the paet

10 Alvin Hugh Orane, "uit oobe in the Guthrio genis High gohool for the Pi ceal leare, July, 1931 to troe $30,1935,1$ (UnDubianed Genter's Thesie, Glahoma Agricultural anc Hechanical Goluege, 1933), \%. 32.
fifteon yoars reverded thet tho sverage oash cost of atiending
 papil，probuding fowd，clothing，ahelter，and trangoortation， and that thene ocst roge anarbly from an everage of about $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{2} 5$ for freshen to a litite above 150 tor senioss． 11 The eoatent of the articae fuet mentionec only ouvers the tuition chsrges of courses taken and dons not oever extra－ourrioular notivitios．
 latter date concorning the hiden tuition oharges sesociated （⿴囗十力 extra－class sotivities．Such an article will give further Iight upen the atragy of the rriter．

## Fectors Affeoting the pirect Groenseg to Education

The united States Depertment of Labor in pamily rexpenai－ tures in gelected gities，1935－36 atoted thet there are a nomber of fotorg which exglan the differences in dixect expense to education． 12

1．Mhare the Ramily liveg tith reapect to geogephio and ommunity location has an influenoe upon expense torara sducation．If one lives in commatity wich supperts extra activitieg such as band the oost of ghooling $e$ pupil is higher．
 expence．If aistrict or schon furni bhed bna inctra－

11 Gorola 0 ．Hand，Widden Tuition Oharges in High gehool


12\％．3．Deptrment of Leburs ap．oxt．，v．57－62．
 Tho mula havo bought an instrument for theix ohild. 3. 解e furnighing of free text boks outa dam expenseg.
4. In comanitien where special lessons in varions ficies are given thare is an expense upon thoge who tade the 10ssons.
5. The number in the fonlly unit mill increace on georease eduoationel expenge.
6. The Ramity incone is a very deciding footor an thy how mech money is bpent on education. Thi wor brought out oleariy in teble 1 and wble 2.
7. Fuition chargee vary in difserent looalitieg sad do add to educationel exponee.
S. Soecinl oourses opfored uswaly have an gdditional gepense.
9. Race has gone offect upon educational expense because of the lack of focilitieg.
10. Paroohial-achool aystems mre more oxpengive then pablic school systemg.
ghe firgt eight of these factore fave boaring upot the direct cash expenditures of the puoile in the Fax ligh gehoot rhere this study we mede. mhe Last tro, wace and varoohial-schoole, are not influenoing factors in the gohowl sinoo netther of them exist in the district. namber 2,6 , and 8 we the factors which efrect pupil expenditures in the Foz sohool.

The American mblic sohook is suoposed to be ree mat universal. Ghore is goad reagon to belifve that one of the
principal reasons why the high school falls so far short of being "universal" is that it is not free. It is impossible to establish cause and effect relationships in such studies, but it is highly probable that the magnitude of hidden tuition charges in connection with the courses and the extra-class activities in these sohools has more than a little to do with the fact that economically underprivileged youth drop out in such disproportionly large numbers. The presence of such hidden fees in the Fox High School, where the writer was a teacher, was the cause of this study.

## Source and Means of Payment of Income to Occupants of Community

The Fox High School is located in Carter County and in School District Number 74. Fox is in a locale where most of the occupations are in the oil field. There are very few families who live on farms. The majority of the men work in the oil fields for support of their families, and have a weekly, semi-monthly, or monthly check coming in at regular intervals, depending upon the time intervals of payment. Some of the men living on the farms work in the oil fields for their major cash income.

There was a large sum of money paid each month to occupants of the Fox Community in salaries. The characteristic of most of the oil field workers is to live from one month to another. They are very careless, with a few exceptions, as to the way they spend their income. Due to this they are not aware of how much money they spend in the way of educating their children, or how much their children spend on activities pertaining to the school.
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## CHAPMRR II

## puRpOes OE GTUDY

## Bxtent of Bohool Qurrionlum

The Fox sohoul prowted an extensive curriouler and extracurricular program for a relatively small sohool. The numbr of teacherg in $i t s$ system in $1948-49$ was twenty-six. It pronotes frotball, basketball, track, bands (high sohool and grade school),
 many academic courses of study.
mhere whs a notioesble mount of money belng spent not only for school supplies, fat on spongored sotivitiog. Nogt of the money being spent semed te be rar p. F. A. projeots, F. H. A. progecto, benc trips, vactil trips, wnd other sponeared trips. The writer thenght or these expenseg an hidden tuition fees or oharges that the ofajority of the perents ond ohilorer are unarexe of.

The number of sotivities sponsored by the Fox gohool are monerog in proportion to the number of pals enrolled in high sohool. The enrollment auring the sohool year of $1948-49$ in high school mas 244. Due to the swall entollment in high cohool the geme puail mast pertioisete in say number of thoge activities in arder for the sohocl to promoto the program. During the sohol year igho-4c the band made five trips to bent peativels, and conteater not including trips to play at ballganes. the vocz made

made four liventock shows, one of whioh incuded the Fert morth Fat stock Thow whioh mas s one weak trip. Seversh or the for. A. boys ment to the hational . A. A. Onvertion mioh wes hold in kancas City, wissouri Par one meok. The dranatio clubmede seven tripe wich incluoed restivalg fra oonteste. A large number of pupils enterea acmedio oontosta held at gouthoastern state Oolloge, Durmut, Olahead. Six of thia geane group qualified well onough is the Distriot moet at Durant to participere in the gitie contegt at Woram, Uklohome, and did. Whe of the incurred expenges on such tripe qere paid by the gchod.

## Atti fude of patrons Towara gohool Motivities

The attitude of the madority of the patrong toware school activities mas very pogitive. Gone of them hat as uny es three children in the band and vooal arganizations, which cauged axtengive expenditures from the fanily income. There wes very little said in opposition the thepenge of the activitios for the comunity was very proua and boestful abont their various organizations. They had cauge to be proud beange of the fine record some of the orghizatione had established over period of yeare.

The comanity jugges the sucoess of a schorl year by the recarig made by various organizationg ano not by the prosram of study. In other words, is the brind and vacsi arganizations estadiah s good record then the sohool year has been successful, aigregarding actux roademat work.

## 

 ane Varinnce in poendituresSinoe the rox sohool does not pay the expenaes on any bond, vool, or shy other trip, except for sthletios, there is a burden pleded yoon the parente ta meet guch econonio factors. The expentitures of the participants in guch aotivitios crestes a problea in being abie to continue in such activjties.

The purpose of this etuay was to find out the monat. af money spent by indivicual pugad in one grade level rox one sohacl year at the Fox sohool, and to make conparigane of the expenditure of difterent pupils mith respect to the progrem they mero rollowing, and to meke tha informotion available to thoge interested in such probleas.

The writer manted to meke availahle the information as to the omparative expenditures of boys mith respeot to gixta; the comparative expenditures of the pays with reapet to etoh othex; the oanparative expenditures of the gima aith respect to ench other; the comperstive expenditures of bund and noh-bonc nenbers,
 and the oanse of the varianoe in these comparative expencitures.

## CHAPTER III

## PROC EDURE

## Use of Homeroom Program Time Each Morning

The schedule followed at the Fox High School provided a ten minute homeroom program each morning from 8:40 A.M. to 8:50 A.M. This time was used for roll checking, reading of the daily bulletin, and promoting a short homeroom program. The writer was granted permission by the superintendent and principal to use the latter part of this ten minute homeroom period each day for collection of data on this study. The writer missed only three days not handing out slips, but collected data for same at the next homeroom period. The writer being junior class sponsor first explained the problem thoroughly to the pupils in the junior class upon whom the study was made. They were very much interested in the study because the findings were of direct concern to them, oausing the data collected to have a very high degree of accuracy. The pupils were very cooperative the whole school year of thirty-six weeks. The number enrolled in the class for the school year 1948-49 was thirty-six. One of these pupils attended only the first four days of school, and another enrolled in the class the second semester. The remaining thirty-four were in attendance for the whole sohool year. This stuady was concerned with the thirty-four who were in attendance for the thirty-six weeks. Twenty of this thirty-four were girls and the remaining
fourteen were boys.
Each morning expenditure slips were handed out and taken up. They were given to those who had expended money for the various things, of which they had been informed. These expenditure slips gave the information found in Table 3.

TABLE 3
ONE DAY'S EXPENSE FOR ONE PUPIL
NAME JO ANN BADLEY DATE November 16, 1948

Money spent for
Registration for Noble Cain
Festival at Durant
Expenses on vocal trio

DATE November 16, 1948
Amount


Each slip was censored by the writer to see that expenditures not included in the study were excluded from the individual's record.

## Notebook Kept on Each Individual

A notebook for each individual was kept by the writer. As these expenditure slips were collected, the content of same was transferred into the individual's notebook. Entered in each notebook was the various activities each individual participated in. The transfers of data were listed under the month in which the expense occured and were listed under three headings, (I) school supplies, (2) sponsored activities, and (3) miscellaneous.

The expenditures listed under school supplies included books, paper, pencils, notebooks, etc. Those listed under sponsored activities included school plays, carnivals, ballgames, moneys spent on school sponsored trips, etc. Those listed under miscel-
laneous included new band instruments, flowers for banquet, football jacket, class ring, etc. A sample of one month's expenses for one pupil is shown in Table 4

TABLE 4
ONE MONTH'S EXPENSES FOR ONE PUPIL

| Date | School Supplies | Sponsored Activities | Míscellaneous |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nov. 8 | pencil \$ . 05 | Band carnival \$ . 50 |  |
| Nov. 16 |  | Registration for <br> Noble Cain Festi- <br> val at Durant <br> Expense on vocal <br> trip |  |
| Nov. 17 |  |  | Fox Flash \$ . 05 |
| Nov. 19 |  | Meal on band trip 1.00 Gas for bus on band trip $\qquad$ |  |
| Total this month | \$ . 05 | (\$5.10 | \$.05 |
| Balance brought Porward | 10.35 | . 50 | 3.00 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\text { Total to }} \\ & \text { date } \end{aligned}$ | \$10.40 | \$5.60 | \$3.05 |

At the end of each month the total of each heading was entered at the bottom of the page. The net total was brought forward from the preceding months and placed under the monthly total; then the net total was entered up to date. This enabled the writer to know at the end of each month how much each pupil
had spent. None of the pupils were informed at any time during the year as to how much they had spent up to that particular time. This eliminated the chance that some pupil might have a tendency to add or leave off some expenditure whichever might suit the spender.

> Tabulating the Exoenditures of All the Girls and Boys

At the end of the school year each notebook was brought up to date. The writer tabulated all the girls' expenditures, showing the total expenditures of each under each of the three headings. The same procedure was followed in tabulating the boys' expenditures. Table 5 and Table 6 respectively gives the information mentioned above. There was a wide variation in the totals of the individuals which will be explained later in this study.

A table of the monthly expenditures of all the girls and all the boys and their totals was made. Table 7 shows the monthly expenditures of the girls. The boys' monthly expenditures are shown in Table 8.

The writer took the girls' expenditures and tabulated their totals according to the individual 's classification with respect to the program followed. That is with respect to band, non-band etc. Table 9 gives the information mentioned above. The same procedure was followed using the boys' expenditures which is shown in Table 10.

TABLE 5
DIFFERENTIATED EXPENDITURES OF GIRLS

| Name | School Supplies | Sponsored Activities | Miscellaneous | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BADLEY, JO ANN | \$ 24.05 | \$ 36.55 | \$ 16.67 | ¢ 77.27 |
| BRISCOE, JOAN | 29.60 | 23.45 | 33.65 | 86.70 |
| CAMP, PATRICIA | 18.33 | 21.97 | 22.60 | 62.90 |
| CAMPBELSL, GLENNA | 20.52 | 4.35 | 14.20 | 39.07 |
| ELKINS, LOVEADA | 15.20 | 11.55 | 13.95 | 40.70 |
| FLETC HER, PATSY | 16.80 | 21.42 | 18.80 | 57.02 |
| GWINI, MYRNA FERN | 16.22 | 3.62 | 17.70 | 37.54 |
| JOHNSON, CARMELITA | 32.30 | 10.20 | 14.20 | 56.70 |
| KENNEDY, DIMPLE | 17.65 | 6.85 | 16.20 | 40.70 |
| KILLINGSWORTH, KATHALEE | 20.70 | . 85 | 15.07 | 36.62 |
| LETTEER, JEAN | 17.23 | 32.68 | 18.20 | 68.11 |
| EEWIS, IMA JEAN | 23.43 | 13.17 | 25.77 | 62.37 |
| LINDSEY, MARY | 13.55 | 3.05 | 16.20 | 32.80 |
| MCCOY, BENNIE RUTH | 15.66 | 1.15 | 14.20 | 31.01 |
| MCGLASSON, OTHELLA | 30.50 | 19.70 | 32.80 | 83.00 |
| PEEK, ROSA LEE | 31.58 | 9.85 | 22.65 | 64.08 |
| PENN INGTON, JOYOE | 24.47 | .60 | 14.12 | 39.19 |
| PHELPS, LaJOY | 27.58 | 22.59 | 16.20 | 66.37 |
| SMITH, JIM ANN | 19.10 | 22.10 | 91.75 | 132.95 |
| SMITH, KATHLEEN | 24.84 | 1.45 | 25.52 | 51.81 |
| Total | \$439.31 | \$267.15 | \$460.45 | \$1166.91 |

TABLE 6
DIFFERENTIATED EXPENDITURES OF BOYS

| Name | Sohool Supplies | Sponsored Activities | Miscellaneous | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BRANDT, RONNIE | \$66.70 | \$ 8.15 | \$ 19.52 | $\$ 94.37$ |
| DICKERSON, LEONARD | 54.24 | . 20 | 15.12 | 69.56 |
| EDDINGTON J.A. | 56.70 | 12.90 | 33.72 | 103.32 |
| FARNSWORTH, TOM | 19.13 | 13.01 | 18.02 | 50.16 |
| FORE, LARRY | 62.54 | 16.70 | 27.03 | 106.27 |
| HOLMES, MANSEL | 59.08 | 2.85 | 1.05 | 62.98 |
| HOPSON, RICHARD | 43.10 | 67.06 | 15.52 | 125.68 |
| MCGLASSON, BOB | 47.00 | 21.86 | 25.12 | 93.98 |
| MILLER, BOBBY | 72.52 | 7.41 | 28.27 | 108.20 |
| PENNY, ALLEN | 46.10 | 7.66 | 18.12 | 71.88 |
| PRESLEY, JACKY JOE | 31.88 | 2.40 | 15.12 | 49.40 |
| WILLIAMS, ROYCE | 54.38 | 4.70 | 15.12 | 74.20 |
| WRIGHT, BILL | 29.95 | 2.65 | 16.12 | 48.72 |
| WRIGHT, DONALD | 54.28 | 2.70 | 17.52 | 74.50 |
| Total | \$697. 60 | \$170.25 | \$265.37 | \$1133.22 |

TABLE 7
MONTHLY EXPENDITURES OF GIRLS

| Name | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | March | April | May |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BADLEEY | ¢ 9.95 | \$ 3.90 | \$ 5.20 | \$ 16.27 | \$ 8.50 | \$8.35 | \$ 8.85 | 15.10 | \% 3.15 |
| BRISCOE | 13.87 | 29.95 | 4.75 | 12.30 | . 55 | 10.80 | 9.20 | 5.28 | . 70 |
| CAMP | 13.08 | 8.65 | 3.00 | 11.60 | . 20 | 1.55 | 15.90 | 8.07 | . 85 |
| CAMPBELL | 6.74 | 4.15 | 2.70 | 11.70 | 8.10 | .65 | . 20 | 1.50 | 3.33 |
| ELKINS | 7.45 | 4.35 | . 45 | 10.25 | 2.10 | 5.50 | 8.75 | . 85 | 1.00 |
| FLETCHER | 13.10 | 6.31 | 9.60 | 15.71 | 1.10 | 1.85 | . 20 | 8.75 | . 40 |
| GWINN | 14.02 | 4.00 | 4.15 | . 25 | 10.50 | . 30 | .35 | 1.00 | 2.97 |
| JOHNSON | 13.15 | 3.30 | 2.55 | 13.45 | 4.10 | 13.25 | . 70 | 6.00 | .20 |
| KENNEDY | 7.42 | 6.78 | 7.45 | 11.30 | 2.40 | . 85 | 1.35 | 3.15 | . 00 |
| KILLINGSWORTH | 10.20 | 3.85 | . 65 | 10.62 | . 40 | . 30 | 8.05 | . 80 | 1.75 |
| LETTEER | 14.43 | 5.01 | 8.62 | 15.65 | 1.05 | . 45 | 7.30 | 11.50 | 4.10 |
| LEVIS | 13.10 | 7.16 | 19.27 | 4.63 | 3.10 | 1.15 | 8.71 | 2.80 | 2.45 |
| LINDSEY | 10.95 | 3.95 | 3.60 | 10.30 | . 45 | 1.40 | 1.70 | .25 | . 20 |
| MCCOY | 10.41 | 3.45 | . 20 | 10.20 | . 00 | 4.70 | 1.35 | . 00 | . 70 |
| MCGLASSON | 9.97 | 25.40 | 2.60 | 18.05 | .95 | 10.23 | 4.15 | 3.10 | 8.55 |
| PEEK | 11.52 | 9.06 | 2.25 | 10.55 | .50 | 9.40 | 12.05 | .30 | 8.45 |
| PENNINGTON | 6.04 | 3.88 | .25 | 11.72 | 1.10 | 15.25 | . 45 | . 70 | . 25 |
| PHELPS | 14.18 | 6.41 | 4.95 | 10.75 | 3.05 | 10.85 | 11.20 | 2.53 | 2.45 |
| SMITH, J. | 93.80 | 1.95 | 12.95 | 1.40 | 5.30 | 1.85 | 8.85 | 5.55 | 1.30 |
| SMITH, K. | 16.81 | 6.53 | 2.40 | 19.72 | 2.20 | 1.20 | 1.00 | 1.20 | . 75 |
| Total | \$310.19 | \$ $\$ 47.34$ | \$97.59 | \$225.97 | \$53.65 | \$99.88 | \$110.31 | \$78.43 | \$43.55 |

MONTHLY EXPENDITURES OF BOYS

| Name | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jon. | Feb. | March | April | May |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BRANDT | \$ 11.50 | \$ 19.30 | \$ 6.10 | \$ 13.22 | \$ 1.50 | \$ . 65 | \$21.45 | \$ 1.95 | \$ 18.70 |
| DICKERSON | 12.65 | 19.00 | .25 | 12.27 | 2.11 | 17.63 | . 45 | . 20 | 5.00 |
| EDDINGTON | 24.50 | 7.35 | 5.85 | 24.62 | .40 | 2.10 | . 70 | 3.70 | 34.10 |
| FARIN SORTH | 15.78 | 5.10 | 7.52 | 13.12 | 1.44 | .85 | .90 | 3.20 | 2.25 |
| FORE | 9.73 | 15.55 | 10.85 | 24.21 | .75 | .55 | .45 | 30.93 | 13.25 |
| HOLMES | 7.28 | 1.15 | 1.10 | 1.29 | 4.65 | . 40 | 40.52 | 1.55 | 5.04 |
| HOPSON | 23.36 | 3.35 | 21.00 | 12.47 | .45 | 32.80 | 29.80 | 1.50 | . 95 |
| MCGLASSON | 23.60 | 4.70 | 12.70 | 22.12 | . 55 | 27.31 | . 10 | 1.45 | 1.45 |
| MILLER | 10.73 | 3.45 | 18.00 | 12.12 | 2.50 | 4.50 | . 70 | 1.90 | 54.30 |
| PENNY | 7.70 | 3.15 | 8.21 | 16.87 | . 20 | 5.70 | .30 | 29.25 | . 50 |
| PRESLEY | 10.68 | 4.00 | 2.20 | 17.52 | . 25 | . 25 | . 50 | . 20 | 13.80 |
| WILLIAMS | 23.95 | 3.50 | .70 | 12.32 | 3.15 | 1.15 | 22.40 | 2.55 | 4.48 |
| WRIGHT, B | 5.50 | 3.50 | . 10 | 13.12 | 2.35 | .40 | .50 | 18.85 | 4.40 |
| WRIGHT, D | 5.13 | 3.00 | .95 | 14.12 | 5.00 | 12.75 | . 15 | 32.85 | . 55 |
| Total | \$192.09 | \$96.10 | \$95.53 | \$209.39 | \$25.30 | \$107.04 | \$118.92 | \$ 230.08 | \$158.77 |

TABLE 9
CLASSIFICATION OF GIRLS AS TO PROGRAM

| Name | Band. | Non-Band. | Vocal | Non-Vocal | F.H.A. | Non-F.H.A. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BADLEY | $\$ 77.27$ |  | \$ 77.27 |  | \$ 77.27 |  |
| BRISCOE | - 86.70 |  | 86.70 |  | $86.70$ |  |
| CAMP | 62.90 |  | 62.90 |  | 62.90 |  |
| CAMPBELL |  | $\$ 39.07$ | 39.07 |  | 39.07 |  |
| ELKINS |  | 40.70 |  | \$ 80.70 | 40.70 |  |
| FLETCHER | 57.02 |  | 57.02 |  |  | \$57.02 |
| GWINN |  | 37.54 |  | 37.54 |  | 37.54 |
| JOHISSON |  | 56.70 | 56.70 |  | 56.70 |  |
| KENNEDY |  | 40.70 | 40.70 |  |  | 40.70 |
| KILLINGSWORTH |  | 36.62 |  | 36.62 | 36.62 |  |
| LETTEER | 68.17 |  | 68.11 |  |  | 68.11 |
| LEWIS | 62.37 |  | 62.37 |  | 62.37 |  |
| LINDSEY |  | 32.80 |  | 32.80 | 32.80 |  |
| MaCOY |  | 31.01 | 31.01 |  | 31.01 |  |
| MCGLASSON | 83.00 |  | 83.00 |  |  | 83.00 |
| PEEK |  | 64.08 |  | 64.08 | 64.08 |  |
| PENNINGTON |  | $39.19$ |  | 39.19 | 39.19 |  |
| PHELPS |  | 66.37 | 66.37 |  | 66.37 |  |
| SKITH, J. | 132.95 |  | 132.95 |  | 132.95 |  |
| SMITH, K. |  | 51.81 |  | 51.81 | 51.81 |  |
| Total | \$630.32 | \$536.59 | \$864.17 | \$302.74 | \$880. 54 | \$286.37 |

TABLE 9--(Continued)

| Student Council | Non-Student Council | Ac ademic | Non-Academic | Dramatics | Non-Dramatics |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\$ 77.27$ |  | \$ 77.27 | \$ 877.27 |  |
| $\$ 86.70$ |  |  | 86.70 |  | \$86.70 |
|  | 62.90 |  | 62.90 | 62.90 |  |
|  | 39.07 |  | 39.07 |  | 39.07 |
|  | 40.70 |  | 40.70 | 40.70 |  |
|  | 57.02 | $\$ 57.02$ |  |  | 57.02 |
|  | 37.54 | 37.54 |  |  | 37.54 |
| 56.70 |  |  | 56.70 |  | 56.70 |
|  | 40.70 |  | 40.70 |  | 40.70 |
|  | 36.62 | 36.62 |  |  | $36.62$ |
| 68.11 |  | $68.11$ |  |  | $68.11$ |
|  | 62.37 |  | 62.37 | 62.37 |  |
|  | 32.80 |  | 32.80 |  | 32.80 |
|  | 31.01 |  | 31.01 |  | 31.01 |
|  | 83.00 |  | 83.00 |  | 83.00 |
|  | 64.08 |  | 64.08 |  | 64.08 |
|  | 39.19 |  | 39.19 |  | 39.19 |
|  | 66.37 |  | 66.37 | 66.37 | , |
|  | 132.95 | 132.95 |  | 132.95 |  |
|  | 51.81 |  | 51.81 |  | 51.81 |
| \$211. 51 | \$955.40 | \$295.62 | \$871.29 | \$442.56 | \$724.35 |

TABLE 10
CLASSIFICATION OF BOYS AS TO PROGRAM

| Name | Band | Non-Band | Vocal | Non-Vocal | F.F.A. | Non-F.F.A. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BRANDI |  | . 94.37 |  | \$94.37 | \$ 94.37 |  |
| DICKERSON |  | 69.56 |  | 69.56 | 69.56 |  |
| EDDINGTON |  | 103.32 | \$103.32 |  | 1.03 .32 |  |
| FARINWORTM |  | 50.16 |  | 50.16 |  | \$50.16 |
| FORE |  | 106.27 | 106.27 |  | 106.27 |  |
| HOLMES |  | 62.98 |  | 62.98 | 62.98 |  |
| HOPSON |  | 125.68 |  | 125.68 | 125.68 |  |
| MCGLASSON |  | 93.98 |  | 93.98 | 93.98 |  |
| MILLER |  | 108.20 |  | 108.20 | 108.20 |  |
| PEINTY |  | 71.88 |  | 71.88 | 71.88 |  |
| PRESLEY |  | 49.40 |  | 49.40 |  | 49.40 |
| WILLIAMS |  | 74.20 |  | 74.20 | 74.20 |  |
| WRIGHT, B. |  | 48.72 |  | 48.72 | 48.72 |  |
| WRIGHT, D. |  | 74.50 |  | 74.50 | 74.50 |  |
| Total | \$00.00 | \$1133.22 | \$209.59 | \$923.63 | \$1033.66 | \$99.56 |

TABLE 10--(Continued)

| Student Council | Non-Student Council | Ac ademic | Non-Ac ademic | Shop | Non-Shop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ 94.37 |  | \$ 94.37 | \$ 94.37 |  |
|  | 69.56 |  | 69.56 | 69.56 |  |
| \$103.32 |  |  | 103.32 | 103.32 |  |
|  | 50.16 | $\$ 50.16$ |  |  | \$ 50.16 |
| 106.27 |  |  | 106.27 | 106.27 |  |
|  | 62.98 | 62.98 |  | 62.98 |  |
|  | 125.68 |  | 125.68 |  |  |
|  | 93.98 |  | 93.98 |  | 93.98 |
|  | 108.20 |  | 108.20 | 108.20 |  |
|  | 71.88 |  | 71.88 | 71.88 |  |
|  | 49.40 |  | 49.40 | 49.40 |  |
|  | 74.20 |  | 74.20 | 74.20 |  |
|  | 48.72 |  | 48.72 | 48.72 |  |
|  | 74.50 |  | 74.50 | 74.50 |  |
| \$209. 59 | \$923.63 | \$113.14 | \$1020.08 | \$863.40 | \$269.82 |

## GHAPTER IV

## FINDINGS

## Comparison of Boy's Monthly Expenditures

The range and amount of expenditures per month was very noticeable. The least amount of money spent in one month was by Bob MoGlasson and Bill Wright. Each of whom spent $10 \%$. MoGlasson's expenditure was in the month of March and Wright's in November. The largest amount spent in one month was in May and was spent by Bobly Miller. Miller spent $\$ 54.30$, which was sccounted for by the fact that he bought a cedar chest, his industrial art project which amounted to $\$ 15$, and bought feed for 100 chickens, his F.F.A. project, amounting to \$39•30.

There were four boys who spent less than a dollar in November, six who spent less than a dollar in January, six who spent less than a dollar in February, ten who spent less than a dollar in March, two who spent less than a dollar in April, and three who spent legs than a dollar in May.

The greatest total monthly expenditure of $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{W}} 209.39$ for all the boys was in December. This was accounted for by the fact that the senior rings and football jackets came in and were paid for. The average expenditure for all the boys in December was $\$ 14.95$. The month having the least total amount of expenditures was January with $\$ 25 \cdot 30$. This was accounted for by the fact that it was the first month after Christmas and there were very few extra-
curricular activities in the school because of the cold weather. The average expenditure for all the boys in January was $\$ 1.81$.

## Gomparison of Girl's Monthly Expenditures

The findings in general on the monthly expenditures of girls does not differ too much from that found on the boys. The least amount of money spent in one month was by Bennie Ruth MoCoy and Dimple Kennedy. McCoy had no expenaitures for the months of January and April and Kennedy had none for May. The greatest amount of money spent in any one month was by Jim Ann Smith, who spent $\$ 93.80$ in the month of September. This was accounted for by two reasons: (1) It was the first month of school and all school books and supplies had to be bought; and (2) she purchased a musical instrument costing \$85.

There were four girls who spent less than a dollar in November, one who spent less than a dollar in December, seven who spent less than a dollar in January, five who spent less than a dollar in February, five who spent less than a dollar in March, six who spent less than a dollar in April, and one who spent less than a dollar in liay.

The least total monthly expenditure of $\$ 43.55$ was in May. The average monthly expenditure for that month by girls was $\$ 2.18$ per girl. The month having the greatest total expenditure of $\$ 310.19$ was September. This was accounted for because of September being the first month of school in which school books and supplies are bought. Another factor being the musical instrument purchased by Smith as mentioned before.

## Comparison of Boys ' and Girls' Expenditures

The differences between boys' and girls' expenditures was accounted for by the type of program they followed. Twelve of the fourteen boys in this study mere in vocational agriculture. One of the requirements of F.F.A. members was that they must have a project. Another requirement was that they must keep an accurate record of the cost of such projects. The expenditure information on such projects, which were listed with the pupils yearly expenditures, was very accurate. Twelve of the fourteen boys being in vocational agriculture, partially accounts for their expenses exceeding that of the girls. Table 11 shows the total monthly expenditures of the boys and girls.

The girls participated in more extra-curricular activities than the boys. This fact had great bearing upon the expenditures by the girls. Fifteen of the twenty girls in this study also belonged to F.H.A. The expense in vocational home economics however was small in comparison to those in vocational agriculture.

The least amount spent in vocational home economics was $\$ 2.45$ by Mary Lindsey compared to $\$ 17.40$ spent by Bill Wright, which was the smallest amount spent in vocational agriculture. The greatest amount spent in vocational home economics was $\$ 20.45$ spent by Rosa Lee Peek compared to $\$ 99.36$ spent by Richard Hopson in vocational agriculture.

The excessive spending by Hopson was accounted for by the fact that not only did he have an agriculture project, but he attended four major shows and showed his projeat. All incurred expenses were paid by him. He also attended the National F.F.A.

## TABLE 11

COMPARISON OF GIRLS' AND BOYS' EXPENDITURES AS TO MONTHS

|  | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb。 | Maroh | April | May |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total for <br> Girls | $\$ 310.19$ | $\$ 17.34$ | $\$ 97.59$ | $\$ 225.97$ | $\$ 53.65$ | 99.88 | $\$ 110.31$ | 78.43 | $\$ 3.55$ |
| Total for <br> Boys | 192.09 | 96.10 | 95.53 | 209.39 | 25.30 | 107.04 | 118.92 | 130.08 | 158.77 |
| Average <br> for Girls | 15.51 | 7.37 | 4.88 | 11.30 | 2.68 | 4.99 | 5.52 | 3.92 | 2.18 |
| Average <br> for Boys | 13.72 | 6.86 | 6.82 | 14.96 | 1.81 | 7.65 | 8.49 | 9.29 | 11.34 |

Convention held in Kansas Oity, Missouri for one week.
The average amount of money spent by F.H.A. members in vocational home economics alone was $\$ 9.70$. The average amount spent in vooational agriculture was $\$ 42.79$. The average for all vocational expenditures was $\$ 24.41$. The writer found in the content of the article in the Educational Forum that the least amount spent on vocational courses was $10 \phi$ and the greatest amount was $\$ 39 \cdot 50 .{ }^{1}$ Some of the schools furnish everything. This accounts for the expense of only $10 \phi$ in the above case. Other schools, such as where the $\$ 39.50$ was spent, do not furnish anything. The average for all sohools was not listed.

The total expenditures for all the girls on school supplies was $\$ 439.31$ as compared to $\$ 697.60$ for the boys. The average expense for girls was $\$ 21.97$ while that of the boys was $\$ 49.83$. The difference of $\$ 27.86$ in the averages was due to the expenses on vocational agriculture projects.

The girls spent $\$ 267.15$ as compared to $\$ 70.25$ spent by the boys on sponsored activities. The average expense for the girls was $\$ 13.36$ compared to $\$ 11.35$ for boys. The difference of $\$ 2.01$ in the averages was little in this oase. The girls had a tendency to take part in more extra-curricular activities than did the boys.

The total expenditures of girls on miscellaneous spending was $\$ 460.45$ compared to $\$ 265.37$ by the boys. The average for the girls was $\$ 23.02$ compared to $\$ 17.69$ for the boys. The difference
$I_{\text {Hand, op. oit., p. }} 447$.
of $\$ 5.33$ in the avezage was accounted for by the fact that two girls, MoGlasson and. Briscoe, bought lighted batons costing \$18.60 each.

The total expenditure of all girls was $\$ 1766.91$ compered to \$1133.22 spent by the boys. The average expenditure by girls was $\$ 58.35$ compared to $\$ 75.55$ by the boys. Here the difference in the averages was $\$ 17.20$ for the year's spending. The total expenditure for all the pupils in this study was $\$ 2300.13$. The average expenditure for all the pupils for the year was $\$ 67.65$. Table 12 shows the total expenditures under sohool supplies, sponsored. activities, and miscellaneous. The expense in vocational agrioulture was the factor causing such variance. The money spent on projects was not a loss, but was sctually an investment, for when the project was sold in most every aase some profit was made.

TABLE 12

## COMPARISON OF GIRLS' AND BOYS' EXPENDITURES AS TO SOHOOL SUPPLIES, SPONSORED AOTIVITIES, AND MISCELLANEOUS

|  | School Supplies | Sponsored Activities | Wiscellaneous |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total for <br> Girls | $\$ 439.31$ | $\$ 267.15$ | $\$ 460.45$ |
| Total for <br> Boys | 697.60 | 170.25 | 265.37 |
| Average <br> for Girls | 27.97 | 13.36 | 23.02 |
| Average <br> for Boys | 49.83 | 12.16 | 18.96 |

A survey Was made of a sample group at Indiana University ${ }^{2}$
${ }^{2}$ Mary M. Crawford, Student Folkways and Spending at Indiana University, 1940-41, pp. 173.
as to the money spent on sohool supplies and activities. This survey disolosed that the average money spent was $\$ 98.43$. This ifgure was somewhat higher than the $\$ 67.65$ which was the average for the pupils in the Fox High Sahool. There vas no comparison inade of these two expenditures for one was on the university level and the other on the high sohool level. The survey on the university level was made in 1940-41 and the survey on the high school level was made in 1948-49. The value of the dollar in 1940-41 and $1948-49$ would have to be considered since it would have an influencing factor on expenses.

## Gause of Variance in Expenditures

The writer will point out some of the apparent causes of the differences in expenditures. Eight girls in the band had total expenditures of $\$ 630.32$ compared to $\$ 536.59$ for the twelve girls not in band. The average expenditure of girls who were in the band was $\$ 78.92$ compared to $\$ 46.38$. The difference in the averages was $\$ 32.54$ more for those in band.

There was a total of fifteen, two boys and thirteen girls, in the vocal organization. The remaining nineteen, of which twelve were boys and seven were girls, were non-vocal. The total expenditures of those in vocal was $\$ 1073.76$, with an average of $\$ 71.58$. Those in non-vooal had a total expenditure of $\$ 1226.37$ with an average of $\$ 64.55$. The differences in averages was $\$ 7.03$ more for those in the vocal organization.

The comparison of fifteen girl's expenditures with respect to being a member of the F.H.A. and five non-F.H.A. revealed that
those in F.H.A. spent a total of $\$ 880.54$ as compared to $\$ 286.37$ by non-F.H.A. The average for the F.H.A. participants was $\$ 58.70$ as compared to $\$ 77.27$. The difference in the averages was $\$ 1.43$ more for the F.H.A. pupils.

The total expenditures for twelve boys who were members of the F.F.A. was $\$ 1033.66$ compared to $\$ 99.56$ for two non-F.F.A. members. The average for those in F.F.A. was $\$ 86.14$ as compared to $\$ 49.78$ by non-F.F.A. members. The difference in the averages was $\$ 36.36$.

There were five of the pupils in the student council. The total expenditure of those five was $\$ 421.10$ with an average of \$84.22. The other twenty-nine, not in the student council, had a total expenditure of $\$ 1879.03$ with an average of $\$ 64.79$. The difference in the averages between student council members ${ }^{\prime}$ expenditures and non-student council members' was $\$ 19.43$. This difference may be acoounted for by the fact that the student council members take part in more activities and are the most outstanding pupils in school.

Upon comparing the expenditures of those who entered academic contests and those who did not, the writer found the following facts. There were six pupils entering academic contests. These six pupil's expenditures were $\$ 408.76$ with an average of $\$ 68.13$. The total for twenty-eight non-academic participants was $\$ 1891.37$ with an average of $\$ 67.55$. The difference in the averages was 58 \& more for those participating in academic contests.

The only pupils taking shop were boys. Eleven boys took shop and three did not. The total expenditures of those taking
shop was $\$ 863.40$ as compared to $\$ 269.82$ for those not taking shop. The average for those taking shop was $\$ 78.49$ compared to $\$ 89.94$ for those not taking shop. The difference in the averages in this case was $\$ 11.45$ more for the non-shop. This was accounted for by the fact that only three boys did not take shop. Two of these, Hopson and HoGlasson, were boys who took such a large part in F.F.A., and Hopson spent the greatest amount of money of any boy in this study.

Those participating in dramatic spent more than those not in dramatics. The total expenditures for the six who were members of the dramatic club was $\$ 442.56$ as compared to $\$ 1857.57$ for the twenty-eight not in dramatios. The average for the dramatic members was $\$ 73.43$ compared to $\$ 66.34$ for the non-dramatic members. The difference in the averages was $\$ 7.09$ more for those in dramatics.

In each of the comparisions made with respect to program followed, excepting one, the participants average expenditures were always more than the non-participants. The one exceptional case was the shop and non-shop.

The writer found by research that seventy-five per oent of forty bands in Oklahoma depend wholly or in part on the band member's paying his own expenses. 3 This is true at the Fox sohool and accounts for many of the expenses inourred there.

3Guy L. Carr, "Financing the Public Sohool Band in Oklahoma, " (Unpublished Master's Thesis, Oklahoma Agricultural and Mechanical College, 1936), p. 58-59.

## GHAPTER V

## CONCLUSION

## Value of Study

There seemed to be a difference in the money spent by participants and non-participants in the various activities, ranging from $58 \phi$ with respect to academic and non-academic to $\$ 36.36$ with respect to F.F.A. and non-F.F.A. In each case the participants spent more money than the non-participants, except in the case of shop and non-shop.

There is a way of testing the reliability of the difference between two means. This is done by getting the t-distribution. Let it be assumed that this same study be made on the total population of eleventh grade pupils in the United States and let it further be assumed that in this total population there is no actual difference in the expenditures of the various group. The difference in the means of such a universe or population will form a t-shaped curve. Now if the difference in the means of any two groups in the sample here taken falls in the extreme 5 per cent of the area of this curve, we will reject the null hypothesis and admit that it is unreasonable to assume that a true sample from a population where there actually is no difference between means will by chance fall into this extreme position. Such a difference will be referred to as statistically significent. On the other hand, if the difference found in any sample falls nearer
the mean of this t-shaped curve than the 5 per cent level it must be assumed that chance might well have placed a sample from a population, where in fact there is no difference in the means, at such a point in the distribution. Such a difference will be referred to as not being statistically significent.

The writer found that for thirty-four pupils as a sampling the $t$-value of the difference for band and non-band members was 1.388 as compared to 1.95996 at the 5 per cent level for the entire population. The t-value 1.388 falls below 1.95996. Such a t-value may be found by chance in a sampling from a population where there is no actual difference between the expenses of the band and the non-band members, and such difference therefore is not statistically significent.

The same procedure was followed on the thirty-four pupils in vocal and non-vocal. The t-value for the difference between vocal and non-vocal was .651 as compared to the t-value 1.95996 at the 5 per cent level for the entire population. The t-value .651 falls far below the t-value 1.95996 for the entire population. This indicates that there was no statistically significent difference between the expenditures of vocal and non-vocal members.

The thirty-four pupil's expenditures in student council and non-student council gave a t-value of 1.943 as compared to 1.95996 at the 5 per cent level for the entire population. The t-value of 1.943 falls below the t-value 1.94996 , signifying that there was no statistically significent difference in the expenditures of student council and non-student council members.

The expenditures of the thirty-four pupils in academic and
non-academic activities gave a t-value of .053 as compared to 1.95996 at the 5 per cent level for the entire population. Scince .053 was far below 1.95996 there was no statistically significent difference between the expenditures of those in academic and nonacademic activities.

The t-value for thirty-four pupils in dramatics and nondramatics was .650 as compared to 1.95996 at the 5 per cent level for the total population. The t-value . 650 was below 1.95996 showing that there was no statistically significent difference between dramatic and non-dramatic pupils.

There were fourteen boys in F.F.A. The t-value of those in F.F.A. and non-F.F.A. was 2.204 as compared to 2.145 at the 5 per cent level for the entire population. The t-value 2.204 was above 2.145 showing that there was a statistically significent difference between the expenditures of F.F.A and non-F.F.A. members.

The same fourteen boys in F.F.A. were in shop. The t-value for the fourteen boys in shop and non-shop was .593 compared to 2.145 at the 5 per cent level for the entire population. The t-value .593 for shop and non-shop pupils was below 2.145 , showing that there was no statistically significent difference between the expenditures of the shop and non-shop boys.

There were twenty girls in F.H.A. and non-F.H.A. The t-value for the twenty girls in F.H.A. and non-F.H.A. was . 112 as compared to 2.086 at the 5 per cent level for the entire population. Since the t-value . 112 was below 2.086 there was no statistically significent difference between the expenditures of F.H.A. and
non-F.H.A. pupils.
It is reasonable to believe that the writer did not select a sample group which varied from the entire population. The fact that at the 5 per cent level only five out of one hundred d.o have differences, shows further that in the cases above the sample groups were not exceptions.

It is unreasonable to believe that in the case of the F.F.A., where there was a difference in expenditures shown, that the sample group of fourteen boys was an exception. It is reasonable to believe however, that from the results obtained with respect to the entire population there was an actual difference between the expenditures of F.F.A. and non-F.F.A. members.

This study has value in the light that it makes available information regarding the differences in the expenditures of pupils with respect to the program followed. The cost of the various activities a pupil takes part in however has little to do with what the pupil actually participates in.

The American people generally buy what they want if they feel that by so doing they have satisfied a need and have received something of value. Excessive cost does not enter into the conditions except to postpone the day when the need will be satisfied.

The fact that so many of our schools have what we refer to as hidden tuition fees has a tendency to deprive our children from the educational opportunities they desire. Statements are made relative to equality of educational opportunities. This cannot be as long as there are so many hidden tuition fees and charges that the average family cannot afford.
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