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## INTRODUCTION

Garwin and Hixson ${ }^{1}$ have studied the relative distribution of cobalt and nickel chlorides between aqueous solutions and various organic solvents. This study indicated that in most organic solvents, including 2 ooctanol, cobalt chloride had a greater solubility than nickel chloride. Although the solubilities were sufficiently different, the quantity of salt extracted was insufficient for practical purposes. The extraction, however, could be satisfactorily promoted by the addition of considerable amounts of certain strong electrolytes such as HCl and $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$.

Following the initial study by Garwin and Hixson, considerable work has been done at Oklahoma $A$. and M. College in an effort to determine factors which affect the distributions of cobalt and nickel chlorides between water and 2-octanol.

A difference in the extent of association in the organic phase appears to offer a reasonable explanation of the difference in the extractabilities of nickel and cobalt. This difference may be explained on the basis that cobalt forms both the undissociated molecule and higher complex ions more easily in 2-ootanol than does nickel.

However, in practice, the system is complicated by the fact that extraction conditions usually involve excesses both of promoting salts and water, since considerable amounts of
each are extracted along with the cobalt. The presence of such salt excesses and water in the organic phase have been found to greatly affect the type of complex formed and its stability. Trevorrow found this to be the case in a study of the chloro-complexes of nickel in 2-octanol.

A preliminary study of the chloro-complexes of cobalt in 2-octanol has been made by Estill ${ }^{3}$. His work, however, was confined to anhydrous systems and to those which contained only a small and constant amount of water. Furthermore, the effect of large excesses of other metal chlorides such as are frequently encountered in extraction was not studied. On this basis it was considered desirable to extend the study of Estill to systems more closely approximatm ing those involved in extraction with the purpose of determining the composition and relative stabilities of the aquoand chlorocomplexes which exist under varying conditions of salt and water concentrations.

## LITERATURE SURVEY

The literature records a considerable number of studies on the color change of from red to blue associated with solutions of cobaltous chloride in various solvents. Since there is little agreement among the authors as to the probable cause, merely a review of their conclusions would appear confusing unless accompanied by some indication of the nature of the experiments. It was considered best, therefore, to list the studies in tabular form. They are listed in Table I together with the salts and solvents studied, methods applied, and important conclusions. The work most closely associated with the present investigation will be discussed in more detail.

The conclusions of these workers may in general be diVided into two groups. One group explains the color changes on the basis of dehydration effects or a change in the coordination number for cobalt, and the other interprets the changes on the basis of complex formation between the cobalt and chloride ions.

Among the adherents of the complex ion theory, there is considerable disagreement as to the composition of the complex or complexes responsible for the blue color. Barbinok ${ }^{4}$, from investigations in acetone, claims to have found a tetram chloro-complex on the basis of spectrophotometric studies, while Wormser ${ }^{5}$, from studies in the same solvent, believes,
on the basis of his conductivity work, that the complex is trichloro. However, Katzin and Gebere ${ }^{6}$ have pointed out errors in the studies of Barbinok and have concurred with Wormser that the principal complex is the trichloro complex. There seems to be little doubt that such a complex exists in organic solvents and probably in water as well.

Bobtelsky and Spiegler ${ }^{7}$ from spectrophotometric data in ethanol have found evidence for the tetrachloro-complex in the presence of large excesses of chloride ions and for the $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ entity formed at lower chloride concentrations. It would therefore appear that chloro-complexes having 01/Co ratios of from 1:1 to $4: 1$ exist under suitable conditions in solutions in alcohols and in acetone.

Although the majority of investigations have pointed to chloro-complex formation as an important cause of the color changes noted in solutions containing cobalt and chloride ions, evidence showing the occurrence of a change of hydration or coordination number of the cobalt cannot be ignored. Bobtelsky and spiegler ${ }^{7}$, for example, point out from their measurements of the extinction coefficient in alcohol-water mixtures that whereas in water a high concentration of chlore 1de is necessary to replace the water in the coordination sphere of the hydrated cobalt ion, the introduction of chloride is facilitated in the alcohol-water mixtures. This, they claim, is due to the smaller concentration of water and the association between alcohol and water molecules. In absolute alcohol the chloride enters the coordination sphere readily,
and therefore the reaction between chloride and cobalt ions is nearly quantitative. Thus they have proposed that the deep color is characteristic of the covalent bond formed between cobalt and chloride causing deformation of the electronic orbits. In the absence of water and at high chloride concentrations, these bonds could form easily and the distance between the cobalt and chloride ions would be relatively small. This would correspond to a coordination number of four. However, in the presence of water and lower chloride concentrations, the distance between cobalt and chloride ions would be increased due to the shielding action of the molecular dipoles of the water. This would allow an increased coordination number for cobalt, probably six, as has been suggested by several workers. Katzin and Gebert ${ }^{8}$, in studies on $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ solutions by the spectrophotometric methods, noted the appearance of a peak at 530 millimicrons in methanol, believed due to a $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ entity, and a stronger peak at 570 millimicrons in acetone which also seemed to be due to a $\mathrm{COCl}_{2}$ entity. They thus postulated that the difference in the two absorptions was the result of a difference in the coordination number of the cobalt in the two cases. In methanol the coordination number would be six, and in acetone, four.

Katzin and Gebert ${ }^{8}$ also noted that the addition of small amounts water to the solutions in acetone, tertiary butyl alcohol, and dioxane reduced all parts of the absorption curve above about 550 millimicrons, and at concentrations of water about $15 \%$ or higher, the absorption approaches that of the
hydrated cobalt ion.
Through their studies on $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ in various solvents, Katzin and Gebert ${ }^{9}$ have extended the idea of a competitive reaction between the nitrate ions, water molecules, and molecules of solvent for positions in the coordination sphere of cobalt. The presence of water tends to raise the dielectric constant and to provide the solution with a strong electron donor which is able to replace the nitrate ion in the followe ing manner:

$$
\mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{aH}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad \Rightarrow=-\Rightarrow\left[\mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{X}}^{4} \mathrm{a}\right]^{+}+\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}
$$

or

$$
\mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{X}}+\mathrm{bH}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad \exists=- \pm\left[\mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{X}+\mathrm{b}}\right]^{+\dagger}+2 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}
$$

Reference has already been made to studies on solutions of cobaltous chloride in octanol in the presence of lithium chloride by Estill ${ }^{3}$ in which he indicated the important complex to be the $\mathrm{CoCl}_{3}$ entity. Estill employed the method of continuous variations as developed by $\mathrm{Job}^{10}$ and modified by Vosburgh and Cooper ${ }^{11}$ and Katzin and Gebert ${ }^{6}$. While his results were interpreted as indicating the existence of a $\mathrm{CoCl}_{3}^{-}$ complex, some evidence was also found for the entity $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}{ }^{\circ}$ However, certain difficulties in interpretation of results are inherent in the application of the continuous variation method to regions involving the existence of more than one complex. In addition, disproportionation of the $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ is a possibility and this would interfere with a clear interpretation. These difficulties prohibited Estill from positively
identifying both complexes by the variations method. Later experiments in which small excesses of lithium chloride were added to cobalt chloride failed to demonstrate the formation of any higher complex beyond $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}{ }^{12}$.

A preliminary study has been made in these laboratories on the effect of water in such systems. Gootman ${ }^{13}$, using the method of continuous variations, found that the addition of small amounts of water to solutions of $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ in 2-octanol produced no change in the extinction coefficient. In another series of studies by the same method, he added small amounts of water to an octanol solution containing $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ and LiCl in the ratio of $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2} / \mathrm{LiCl}=1 / 5$. In this case a minimum in excess optical density was found as the water concentration varied, indicating some reaction between water and cobalt leading to a stoichiometric ratio of $\mathrm{C} 0 / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=1 / 1$. Since the addition of water in these studies was made by adding it directly to the octanol solution, there may be some question as to how well the water dissolves in the octanol under such conditions. Gootman also made a variation study to test the formation of complexes higher than $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$, (e.g. $\mathrm{CoCl}_{4}^{-\infty}$ ), in octanol-water mixtures. His results indicated no complexes of ratio Cl/Co greater than $2 / 1$.

In connection with a study made in these laboratories ${ }^{14}$ of the promoting effect of $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}, \mathrm{LiCl}$, and HCl on the extraction of $\mathrm{CoOl}_{2}$ from an aqueous into a 2-octanol phase, the extinction coefficient of $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ in the octanol phase was determined under widely varying conditions of excess chloride
ion concentration and water content. Fig. I shows the apparent extinction coefficient at 690 millimicrons as a function of excess chloride for the promoted extractions with $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}, \mathrm{LiCl}$, and HCl. The dotted line is the extinction coefficient of $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ taken from the continuous variations studies by Estill ${ }^{3}$. This figure suggests that more than one complex exists under extraction conditions since values of the extinction coefficient fall below and above that for the $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ entity in an hydrous octanol. This leads one to believe that Estill's experimental conditions did not correspond closely to those occuring in the systems under extraction as previously noted. That the complex formation may not be due entirely to a variation in chloride ion concentration is show by the different curves obtained for the LiCl and HCl-promoted extractions in Fig. 1.

It would seem from this survey that the complexity of relationships encountered in these systems makes a comparison of results difficult and leads to apparent disagreement even though results from many of the individual systems, as applied to the particular concentration region and solvent studied, are probably valid.


Fig. 1: Apparent Extinction Coefficients for the System $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ malt$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-octanol-2. $\square-\mathrm{HCl} ; \triangle-\mathrm{LiCl} ; \bigcirc-\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$.

TABLE I
Investigations on the Color Change of Cobalt(II) Salts in Solution

| Ref. | Author | Solute | Solvent | Method* | Conclusions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | Bassett, Donnan | $\mathrm{COCl}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | (a) | Blue color migrated to anode indicating some anionic complex. |
| 16 | Cooper | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II}) \& \\ & \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II}) \\ & \text { saits } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | (b) | Absorption depends on the solvent and probable solvates. |
| 17 | Jones | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Co(II) } \\ & \text { salts } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | (b) | Phenomena due to change in hydration. |
| 18 | Brown | $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{E} \text { toH } \end{aligned}$ | (b) | Proposes three distinct phases of $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ : anhydrous, hexahydrate and polyhydrate. |
| 19 | Houston | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CoCl}_{2} \\ & \mathrm{CoBr} 2 \end{aligned}$ | Various | (b) | Color change depends on change in hydration. |
| 20 | Kochube1 | $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ | EtOH | (c) | Groups responsible for blue color are such as $\mathrm{COCl}_{2}(\mathrm{EtOH})_{2}$ and $\mathrm{COCl}_{4}-$ |
| 21 | Groh | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{COCl}_{2} \\ & \mathrm{LiCl} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \text { HeOH } \\ & \text { EtOH } \\ & \text { PrOH } \end{aligned}$ | (b) | $\mathrm{CoCl}_{4}{ }^{-6}$ is responsible for the blue color. |
| 22 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Hill. } \\ & \text { Howe11 } \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Co(II) } \\ & \text { saits } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | (b) | The red color corresponds to a coordinam tion number of 6 , blue to a coordination number of 4 . |

TABLE I (Continued)

| Ref. | Author | Solute | Solvent | Method* | Conclusions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & 24 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | Nazzetti | $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}+$ added salts | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{MeOH} \\ & \mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{PrOH} \\ & \mathrm{BuOH} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (d), }(e) \\ & (\mathrm{b})_{g}(\mathrm{f}) \end{aligned}$ | Both hydration and complex formation responsible for the change. Red form due to hydrated $\mathrm{CoCl}_{4}{ }^{-}$. |
| 26 | Hantzsch | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Co(II) } \\ & \text { halides } \end{aligned}$ | Various | (b) | Color change due to change in coordination number of cobalt. |
| 27 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Groh, } \\ & \text { Schmia } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CoCl}_{2} \\ & \mathrm{LiCl} \end{aligned}$ | Acetone | $\begin{aligned} & (b),(d) \\ & (g),(a) \end{aligned}$ | Cocl ${ }_{4}$ responsible for the blue color. |
| 28 | Braicta | $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | (b) | CoCl4 ${ }^{-1}$ and possibly others of the type Cocln-2 are present. |
| 29 | Torpescu | $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{EtOH}$ $\mathrm{PrOH}, \mathrm{BuOH}$ AmOH | (b) | Color change accounted for by relation between dielectric constant and degree of polymerization. |
| 30 | Dirking | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{COCl} 2 \\ & \mathrm{CoBr} 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{MeOH} \\ & \mathrm{EtOH} \end{aligned}$ | $(b)_{(h)}^{s}(c)$ | Formation of complex ions of the type $\mathrm{CoCl}_{4}^{-}$evident. |
| 31 | Howell, Jackson | $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | (b) | Proposed the mechanism: $\mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}^{+}+\mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{CI} \mathrm{I}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$ |
| 32 | Kiss,Arpad Gerendas | $\mathrm{COCl}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | (b) | Indicated the presence of complexes but could not identify them. |
| 33 | Kiss, Csokan Richter | $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{MeOH}, \text { EtOH } \\ & \text { ProH } \\ & \text { Pyridine } \\ & \text { Quinoline } \end{aligned}$ | (b) | Color change due to change in the coordination number which for the red form is 6 and for the blue form is 4 . (e.g. $\left.\mathrm{CoCl}_{4}^{-\infty}, \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{MeOH})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{NeOH})_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)^{\circ}$. |

TABLE I (Continued)


TABLE I (Continued)

| Ref. | Author | Solute | Solvent | Method* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41 | Katzin | Co(II), <br> Ni(II) <br> halides | t-BuOH | (b) | Indicated the presence of monos, dis, and <br> tri-halide complexes. |

*Methods:
(a) Electrolysis
(b) Spectrophotometric analysis
(c) Transport number determinations
(d) Conductance measurements
(e) Viscosity measurements
(f) Diffusion studies
(g) Solubility determinations
(h) Molecular weíght determinations

## EXPERINENTAL

## A. Reagents

Anhydrous nickel chloride and cobalt chloride were prem pared from the corresponding recrystallized C.P.-grade hydrated salts by first pulverizing and then drying them in a.ir at $120^{\circ}$.

Anhydrous silver perchlorate, for use in preparing cobalt and nickel perchlorates, was also obtained by pulverizing the C.P.-grade salt and drying in air at $120^{\circ}$.

A saturated solution of lithium chloride in dry 2-octanol.prepared by Trevorrow ${ }^{2}$ from anhydrous C.P.-grade lithium chloride was diluted as needed with additional dry octanol.

Anhydrous methanol and ethanol were prepared from the best commercial grade reagent by refluxing with a small amount of magnesium turnings and iodine, followed by distillation according to the method of Lund, Hakon, and Bjerrum ${ }^{42}$.

The 2-octanol used was the best grade of anhydrous reagent from the Matheson company. A water analysis showed the water content to be approximately $0.002 \%$.

Conductance water was prepared by redistilling water from a slightly basic potassium permanganate solution as described by Kendal1 ${ }^{43}$.

## B. Preparation of Stock Solutions

Solutions of $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ in methanol, ethanol and $2-$ octanol were prepared by adding anhydrous salt to the anhydrous
solvent and shaking the mixture for several hours to facilitate solution. The excess salt was then filtered off in an atmosphere of dried air. The solutions were stored in glassstoppered flasks.

Anhydrous solutions of $\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ in methanol, ethanol, and octanol were prepared by the metathesis of anhydrous $\mathrm{AgClO}_{4}$ solutions with stoichiometric quantities of $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ solutions in the corresponding solvents. The solutions were shaken to allow complete reaction and the precipitated AgGl filtered off. Trevorrow ${ }^{2}$ found by determining the quantity of AgCl precipitated in such a preparation that the reaction may be considered as quantitative.

A stock solution of water in octanol was prepared by shaking an excess of water with octanol for several hours. The two phases were separated by means of a separatory funnel and the water in the octanol phase determined as described below.

All equipment used in the preparation of these solutions was oven-dried, and other necessary precautions were taken to insure the exclusion of water from the system.

## C. Analytical Procedures

The determination of water in $2 \infty 00$ tanol and in the octanol solutions was made using the Karl Fischer method ${ }^{44}$.

The concentrations of the prepared stock solutions and methods of analysis are listed in Table II.

## D. Apparatus

For the conductance measurements, a bridge, oscillator, and amplifier similar to those described by Luder ${ }^{45}$ were used. A sensitivity of $1-2$ parts in 100,000 and a maximum resistance measurement of 200,000 ohms are possible with this bridge. The cell was of Washburn design. A value of $0.6843 \div 0.0001$ was determined for the cell constant by measuring the resistance of a KCl solution of known concentration at 0,18 , and $25^{\circ}$. The specific conductance values used were determined by Jones and Bradshaw ${ }^{46}$. The cell was thermostatted by being placed in a kerosene-filled metal container which had been placed in a larger constantotemperature water bath. Both baths were stirred constantly. By such a procedure, the temperature could be controlled easily within better than $\$ 0.01^{\circ}$.

Spectrophotometric studies were carried out using a Beckman model $D U$ quartz spectrophotometer using both Corex and silica cells, all of 1 cm . light path. The cells were maintained at $30^{\circ}$ during the measurements.

## E. Procedure

All solutions used in conductance measurements were prepared by weight from the appropriate alcohol stock solutions and conductance water keeping the salt concentration constant. The conductance cell was rinsed with the anhydrous solution of each series until a constant resistance reading was ob tained. Then the remaining solutions were measured in order of increasing water concentration without additional rinsing.

The amount of error that would be involved in such a procedure was estimated and found to be insignificant. Sufficient time was allowed for each solution to reach a constant temperature of $25^{\circ}$.

The solutions that were studied spectrophotometrically were prepared by weighing out the desired amounts of both the stock salt solutions and the octanol solution of water into a $10-m l$. volumetric flask and adding anhydrous octanol to volume. After thorough mixing, these solutions were transfered to the Corex or silica cells for measurement in the spectrophotometer. The cells were paraffined around the top to exclude the possible entrance of water during measurement.

TABLE II
Stock Solutions

| solute | Solvent | Concentration (moles/ 1000 g . soln.) | Method of Analysis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ | MeOH | 0.4321 | Electrolytic ${ }^{47}$ |
| $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ | EtOH | 0.2462 | Electrolytic ${ }^{47}$ |
| $\mathrm{COCl}_{2}$ | 2-octanol | 0.4551 | Electrolytic ${ }^{47}$ |
| $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$ | MeOH | 0.4012 | Electrolytic ${ }^{48}$ |
| $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$ | EtOH | 0.02270 | Amperometric ${ }^{49}$ |
| $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$ | 2-octanol | 0.00405 | Amperometric ${ }^{49}$ |
| $\mathrm{AgClO}_{4}$ | MeOH | 0.4151 | Gravimetric ${ }^{50}$ |
| $\mathrm{AgClO}_{4}$ | EtOH | 0.6140 | Gravimetric ${ }^{50}$ |
| $\mathrm{AgClO}_{4}$ | 2-octanol | 0.8140 | Gravimetric ${ }^{50}$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ | MeOH | 0.1460 | Calculated |
| $\mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ | EtOH | 0.1422 | Calculated |
| $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ | 2-octanol | 0.2420 | Calculated |
| $\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ | MeOH | 0.1424 | Calculated |
| $\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ | EtoH | 0.0213 | Calculated |
| $\mathrm{NI}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ | $2 \rightarrow 00$ tanol | 0.0040 | Calculated |
|  |  |  | ! |

## TREATMENT OF DATA

A method for determining the extent of salt hydration in a $\mathrm{COCl}_{2}-2-0 c t a n o l$ system containing a varying amount of water may be developed as follows. In such a system, if the reace tion of water may be assumed to be represented by the equiIibrium:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{CoCl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{n}^{+}\right]+\mathrm{Cl}^{-} \leftrightarrows \mathrm{COCl}_{2}+\mathrm{nH}_{2} \mathrm{O} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if the concentration of $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ at equilibrium is $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ and the concentration of $\left[\mathrm{CoCl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{n}^{-}\right]$is $\mathrm{C}_{1}$, an approximate mass action expression may be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{2}=\frac{C_{2}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]^{n}}{\mathrm{C}_{1}[\mathrm{Cl}]} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{2}$ is a measure of the instability of $\left[\operatorname{CoCl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{n}\right]$. Concentrations are used rather than activities since the activities are generally not known. Rearranging Eq. (2) gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{C_{2}}{C_{1}} \times\left[H_{2} O\right]^{n}=K_{2} \times\left[01^{-}\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and taking the logarithm of both sides results in:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log c_{2}-\log C_{1}-\log \left[C l l^{-}\right]=-n \log \left[\mathrm{H}_{2} 0\right]+\log K_{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Values for $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ may be calculated through a series of approximations. This requires either a knowledge of the extinction coefficients of $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ and $\left[\mathrm{COCl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{n}\right]$ at some wave length in a region of absorption or an experimental value of
the one of higher optical density and the assumption of a reasonable value for the other. The first step, therefore, assuming that the complex $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ is the one having the higher optical density at the wave length selected, is to ascribe all of the observed optical density to this complex and calculate a value of $C_{2}$ and $C_{1}$ based upon this assumption as a first approximation. The optical density of the solution may be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
O . D_{\text {observed }}=l \varepsilon c \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l$ is the distance light must travel through the absorbing medium, $C$ is the molar concentration of the absorber, and $\mathcal{E}$ is the extinction coefficient of the absorber. When $l$ is $1 \mathrm{~cm} .$, the expression reduces to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 . D_{0} \text { obs. }=\varepsilon c \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $_{g}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2}=\frac{O_{0} D_{\text {obs }}}{\varepsilon_{2}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}=[c 0]_{t}-c_{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[\mathrm{Co}]_{\text {t }}$ equals the total cobalt concentration. The optical density due to $C_{1}$ is then obtained from the product of $C_{1} \epsilon_{1}$ where $\varepsilon_{1}$ has been independentiy evaluated. From this consideration, the optical density of $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { O.D. } \operatorname{CoCl}_{2}=O_{0} D_{0} \text { obs. }-C_{1} \varepsilon_{1} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

An improved value for $C_{2}$ is then obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{2}^{0}=\frac{0 . D_{0} \text { obs. }-C_{1} \varepsilon_{I}}{\varepsilon_{2}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from 14 , an improved value for $C_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}^{0}=[\mathrm{CO}]_{t}-C_{2}^{0} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This series of approximations is continued until further change in $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ is insignificant. The free chloride ion concentration may then be determined by subtracting $2 C_{2}$ and $C_{1}$ from the total chloride concentration. The total water concentration may be substituted for free water concentration as an approximation since only a very small part of the total water will be tied up as water of hydration. If Eq. (1) correctly represents the reaction involved, a plot of the lefthand side of Eq. (4) against $\log \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ should yield a straight line with slope $n$ and intercept $\log K_{2}$.

In regions of high water concentration, it seems likely that an additional equilibrium between aquo-complexes would be of importance. This might be represented as:

$$
\left[\mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{n}}^{++}\right]+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}=-=\left[\operatorname{CoCl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{n}^{+}\right]+\mathrm{mH}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

A value for may be determined in a manner similar to that for $n$ in Eq. (1). Thus the extent to which the nonchlorocomplexed cobalt $10 n$ is hydrated may be found by adding the values of $m$ and $n$.

A test of the correctness of $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$, if desirable, may be made by examining absorption at a new wave length, Using the predetermined values of $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ from calculations made at the original wave length and a known value of $\mathcal{E}_{2}$ at the new wave length, the value of $\varepsilon_{1}$ may be determined, and

1
then should remain resonable constant over the range of coexistence of the two complexes.

This type of procedure may be applied to anhydrous systems as well, where two complexes may coexist within a particular concentration range (e.g. $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CoCl}_{3}^{-}$). It can be seen, therefore, that composition of the complexes, their concentrations, and instabilities may be determined by this method in favorable cases.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## A. Conauctance stuaies

It was decided to make some preliminary investigations of the effect of water on the conductivity of solutions of $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}, \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ in methanol and ethanol as well as in 2-octanol. It was hoped that a significant change in the conductance of the solutions would occur at definite water-tossalt ratios.

The first studies were made on 8 solutions containing 0.1 mole $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ per 1000 grams of a mixture of methanol plus the very small amount of water required in the variations. The ratio of moles of water to moles of $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ was varied from 0 to 13:1. An increase in conductance was noted, especially between ratios of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{Co}$ of $2: 1$ and $5: 1$, but the resistances of the solutions were too small to give desirable differences in bridge resistance readings. A second and third series of solutions were prepared in the same manner as the first, except for a change in the concentration of $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ to 0.01 mole $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ per 1000 grams of aqueous methanol. The mole fraction of water in such solutions never exceeded a value of $9 \times 10^{-3}$. In a plot of conductance vs . the ratio $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{Co}$, the points were scattered too widely to give a clear picture of any occurring reaction, except to show the tendency toward an in crease in conductance between ratios of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{Co}$ from O to $6: 1$. An examination of the solutions a few hours after preparation
showed the appearance of a solid phase indicative of solvolysis which might account for the erratic results.

The studies were then shifted to $\mathrm{COCl}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$ systems. Twenty solutions containing 0.01 moles $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ per 1000 grams of ethanol plus water were prepared in an analogous manner to those in methanol, the water-to-cobalt ratio being varied from 0 to $18: 1$. The results of measurements on two such ser ies again show some scattering of points in a plot of conductance vs. the ratio $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{Co}$ but the consistency of the results is much better than in the case of methanol. A plot showing the results on these two series taken from Table III is given in Fig. 2. A rapid increase in conductance between ratios of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{Co}$ of O and $2: 1_{\text {, followed by a levelingooff be }}$ tween $2: 1$ and $10: 1$ and a renewed increase in conductance above 10:1, suggests the existence of two aquo-complexes.

The third phase of the study was to have been an extension of the same type of measurements to the 2actanol system. However, it was found that the resistance of octanol solutions was entirely too high to make accurate measurements with the available conductivity apparatus. These resistances Were estimated as being of the order of $10^{7}$ ohms.

Some qualitative measure of the effect of water on the conductance of various salts in 2-octanol was obtained by conductance measurements using a known fixed resistance in parallel with the cell. These results are presented in Table IV, It is apparent that in every case, the conductance of the solution of the salt was increased by the adaition of
water. It may also be noted that $\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ form better conducting solutions than the corresponding chlorides and that the effect of water was greater in the case of $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ than with $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$.

Since the principal objective of the investigation was to stuay the 2 -octanol phase, it was considered inadvisable to continue studies in methanol and ethanol.

## B. Spectrophotometric Studies

These studies will be discussed first from a qualitative viewpoint. A description of a partial quantitative analysis of the data which has been carried through in cerm tain concentration ranges will then be presented.

1. Qualitative Observations

Seventeen solutions were prepared in the system $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}{ }^{-}$ LiCl-2-octanol with the cobalt concentration constant and equal to $8 \times 10^{-4}$ molar and LiGl concentration varying from 0 to 1000 times the cobalt concentration. The optical densities of these solutions were determined at 5 - and 10 -millimicron in tervals over the wave length range from 420 to 700 millimicrons and are listed in Table $V$. The spectral curves of a number of these solutions are plotted in Fig. 3.

Several important observations may be made from a study of these curves. At a wave length of 575 millimicrons, the absorption increases with an increase in chloride ion concentration reaching a maximum at a chloridemto-cobalt ratio of 2:1 as shown in Fig. 4. This indicates the increasing
concentration of an absorbing entity, and the fact that the maximum absorption occurs at the chloride-to-cobalt ratio of 2:1 indicates that its composition might be $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$. This conclusion is supported by the evidence obtained by Estill ${ }^{3}$ us ing the method of continuous variation.

The decrease in absorption at 575 millimicrons beyond a chloride-to-cobalt ratio of $2: 1$ may be explained by a decrease in concentration of the $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$. The fact, however, that the absorption never reaches zero as the chloride concentration continues to increase indicates either that a complex or complexes are formed having a small value for their extinction coefficients at this wave length, or that the conversion from $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ to higher complexes is not complete even at a 1000:1 ratio. At 660 millimicrons a continued increase in absorption beyond a ratio of $2: 1$ was found. The decrease in absorption at 575 millimicrons beyond a ratio of $2: 1$ coupled with the continued increase in absorption at 660 millimicrons beyond this same ratio again points to the formation of a higher complex. Since Estill's ${ }^{3}$ continuous variation studies indicated a maximum interaction between cobalt and chloride ions at a $2: 1$ ratio in the presence of small excesses of LiCl in the wavelength region around 660 millimicrons, it would appear that a continued increase in absorption would be unlikely without higher complex formation.

The appearance of a second higher complex is indicated by the split of the main absorption peak into two peaks at 665 and 690 millimicrons accompanied by a continued increase

In absorption at high LiCl concentrations. Additional evidence for a second higher complex is found in the appearance of a new peak at approxinately 630 and a trough at approximately 635 millimicrons. The fact that the concentration of the second higher complex is increasing while the concentration of the first higher complex is decreasing with increas ing chloride ion concentration is indicated by an initial increase in absorption in the region 635 to 645 millimicrons followed by a decrease in absorption at higher chloride ion concentrations.

Observations of this type strongly suggest the possibility of at least two complexes of chloride-tomcobalt ratios greater than 2:1. If these complexes are formed stepwise, as proposed by some workers 40,51 , their compositions would then be $\mathrm{COCl}_{3}^{-}$and $\mathrm{COCl}_{4}^{-}$.

It seemed of interest to investigate the effect of water on the formation of the complexes tentatively identified in the anhydrous system. Accordingly an investigation was made of the system consisting of $\mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{LiCl}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-2-$ octanol. The first series of 15 solutions were prepared with the following concentrations: $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}, 1.6 \times 10^{-3}$ molar; $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.5$ molar; and LiCl varying from 0 to 250 times the cobalt concentration. Four anhydrous solutions of the same cobalt concentration, but having concentrations of Licl equal to 1.0 , 2.0, 100.0 and 250.0 times the concentration of the cobalt, were prepared for comparison with corresponding solutions containing water. The optical densities of these solutions
were determined and are listed in Table VI. The spectral curves of several of these solutions containing water are plotted in Fig. 5.

A comparison of the spectra of two pairs of corresponding hydrous and anhydrous solutions is shown in Fig. 6. It may be noted that the effect of water is great for solutions \#5 and 5-A but appears to be very small for solutions \#l4 and 14-A. This difference might be explained by the fact that the lithium ions tie up a number of water molecules and thus lower the concentration of free water in the system. Earlier work in these laboratories has indicated that one iithium ion combines with two water molecules at somewhat similar ratios of LiCl-to- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in 2-octanol.

The general appearance of the spectral curves is not changed on addition of water to the system, but the absorption is decreased in every instance where free water is present. This probably means that water competes with chloride ions for coordinating positions about the cobalt ions and thus favors the formation of aquated lower chloro-complexes.

The study of the effect of water on the formation of complexes was extended to the system $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-2-$ octanol. Fourteen solutions were prepared in the first series with a constant cobalt concentration of $1,6 \times 10^{-3}$ molar. The water concentration was varied from a water-to-cobalt ratio of 0 to 600:1. The optical densities of these solutions were determined at 5- and 10-mililmicron intervals between 420 and 700 millimicrons and are listed in Table VII. The optical
density va. wave length for several of these solutions is plotted in Fig. 7.

It is seen from this figure that addition of water to $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}-2$-octanol systems does produce a change in the spectral characteristics. The general shape of the curves seems to remain the same but the extinction coefficient decreases considerably and in the highest water concentrations becomes sim1lar to that of cobalt perchlorate in hydrous 2-octanol. In solutions above a water-to-cobalt ratio of $400: 1$, the decrease In blue color of the solutions was quite noticeable.

The method of continuous variations developed by $\mathrm{Job}^{10}$, and extended by Vosburgh and Cooper ${ }^{11}$, was used to confirm the presence of a chloro-complex with a chloride-to-cobalt ratio less than $2: 1$ in the system $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}-\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$-2-octanol. Nine solutions with a constant total salt concentration of $1.6 \times 10^{-3}$ molar were prepared. The variations covered a range of from 0 to $1.6 \times 10^{-3}$ molar $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$. The optical densities of these solutions were measured at 5 -millimicron intervals from 550 to 700 millimicrons and are listed in Table VIII. Fig. 8 is a plot of the excess optical density vs. relative concentrations of $\mathrm{COCl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ at wave lengths 585,660 , and 665 millimicrons.

A very pronounced change in the excess optical density, defined as the difference between the observed optical density and that calculated for the components on the assumption that no reaction had occurred, is found at all three wave lengths. Although the minimum at 585 and 665 millimicrons

Is not sharply defined, at 660 millimicrons the minimum is clearly located at a position corresponding to a $\mathrm{COCl}_{2}$-toCo $\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ ratio of $1: 1$. This constitutes good evidence for the existence of a monochloro-complex formed by the reaction:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}+\mathrm{COCl}_{2} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{COCl}^{+}+2 \mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It must be assumed however, that the extinction coefficients for all entities having the same chloride-to-cobalt ratio are the same.

## 2. Analysis of Results

An attempt was made to calculate the concentration ratios in mass action-type expressions for the several equilibria in the three systems: $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-2-$ octanol, $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}-$ LiCl- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-2-0 \mathrm{Ctanol}$, and $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{LiCl}-2-$ octanol. The rew sults of these calculations will be discussed in that order.
a. System: $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-2-$ octanol

Since maximum interaction between cobaltous and chloride ions in systems containing only small excesses of chloride Ion has been found to occur at a chloride-to-cobalt ratio of 2:1 and the addition of water decreases the absorption below the value corresponding to this ratio in the anhydrous system, it seems probably that a reaction occurs as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}+\mathrm{nH}_{2} \mathrm{O} \approx \operatorname{CoCl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{n}^{+}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following the procedure outlined in TREATMENT OF DATA, a test of eq. (14) was made from data in Table VII at a wave length of 660 millimicrons. The results of this test are found in Fig. 9. A value of 316.5 for the extinction
coefficient of $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ at this wave length was determined by dividing the optical density of the anhydrous solution by the total cobalt concentration. A value of 128 for the extinction coefficient of the monochloro-complex was determined from the solution corresponding to the minimum in the continuous variations study. In the evaluation and use of these extinction coefficients it was assumed that hydration of the respective chloro-complexes would not change the extinction coefficients and further that essentially all of the cobalt was in the form of each of these complexes at the stoichiometric chloride-to-cobalt ratio of the complex. The left-hand side of eq. (4) is represented along the ordinate. In this case the assumed chloride ion concentration will be equal to $C_{1}$ and the ordinate becomes $2 \log C_{1}-\log C_{2}$. The total water concentration represents the free water concentration without significant error and is plotted as the abscissa. The circled points are the experimental values. The best straight line through these points has a slope, or value of $n$, equal to 2 and an intercept of $-2 \cdot 50$. From this $K_{2}$ is then determined to be $3.16 \times 10^{2}$. Individual values of $K_{2}$ were computed from the data using eq. (2) and listed in Table IX.

A second series of 6 solutions in this system was prepared in a manner analogous to the first, having concentrations of water varying from a water-to-cobalt ratio of $450: 1$ to 650:1. A 750:1 ratio produced a cloudiness which corresponded to a separation of an aqueous phase. The optical densities were determined at 660 millimicrons and presented
in Table X.
The maximum interaction between $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$, as previously pointed out, occurs at a $1: 1$ ratio. Since in the region of highest water concentration the absorption is reduced below that of the monochloromcomplex, dissociation of this complex may will occur. A reaction describing this fur ther effect of water might be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{COCl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}^{+}+\mathrm{mH}_{2} \mathrm{O}<\mathrm{CO}_{2}^{\infty-\infty}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{m}+2}^{+\phi}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-\infty} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to determine whether or not the data given in Table $X$ fits ed. (15), the following massaction expression for this reaction was used:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{C}_{1}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{m}}}{\mathrm{C}_{0}[\mathrm{Cl}]_{\mathrm{f}}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}$ is the concentration of the monochloroocomplex and $\sigma_{0}$ is the concentration of the completely hydrated cobaltous ion. Rearranging eq. (16) gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1} C_{0}\left[G 1^{\infty}\right]_{f}=C_{1}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} 0\right]_{\mathrm{f}}^{m} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the logarithm of both sides and rearranging gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log c_{0}-\log c_{1}+\log [\mathrm{cl}]_{f}=m \log \left[\mathrm{H}_{2} 0\right]_{f}=\log K_{1} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Values of $C_{0}$ and $C_{1}$ were obtained by successive approximations as described in an earlier section of the thesis using the values of 128 for the extinction coefficient of the mono-chloro-complex and 4.4 (determined from a solution of $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ in 2-octanol)for the extinction coefficient of the hydrated cobaltous ion. The free chloride ion concentration, $[\mathrm{Cl}]^{-}{ }_{f}$, was taken as equal to the total chloride minus $C_{1}$. To
determine the concentration of free water, an approximate value of $m$ was needed. A value of 10 for $m$ was found by solving simultaneous equations corresponding to eq. (18) for two solutions with increased water concentrations. The concen tration of free-water was then calculated as equal to the total water less that assumed bound in the ionic complexes. Thus:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{\text {free }}=\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{\text {total }}-10 \mathrm{C}_{0}-2 \mathrm{C}_{1} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fig. 10 is a plot of the left-hand side of eq. (18) against $\log \left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{f}$. The best straight line has a slope of 11. I and an intercept of -1.86 which corresponds to a value of 11 for $m$ and a value of 72 for $K_{1}$. Individual values of. $K_{1}$ were computed and are presented in Table XI.
b. System: $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{LiCl}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-2-$ octanol

A test of eq. (14) for this system was made at $660 \mathrm{mil}-$ limicrons and at a constant concentration of 0.5 molar to see whether or not the equilibrium between the dichloro-complexes could be expressed by a massoaction type of expression shown to be valid in the system $\mathrm{COCl}_{2}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-2-$ octanol. Free-water concentration was determined as total water minus $2 C_{1}$ and free chloride as total chloride minus $\left(2 C_{2}-C_{1}\right)$. Values of the extinction coefficients of $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ and the monochloro-complex were again assumed to be 316.5 and 128 respectively. Calculation of $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ resulted in an average value of 57.2 over the LiCl concentration range of $4.0 \times 10^{-3}$ to $6.4 \times 10^{-3}$ molar. The individual $K_{2}$ values are found in Table IX. In order to check the constancy of $K_{2}$ for this system at
other water concentrations, a second and third series of 4 solutions were prepared at 0.3 and 0.1 molar water concentrations respectively. They were prepared with LiGl concentrations in the range where $K_{2}$ had been found to be constant in the series containing 0.5 molar water. Optical densities were measured at several selected wave lengths and have been Ifsted in Table XII. Average values found for $K_{2}$ are $3.76 x$ $10^{2}$ at 0.3 molar and $4.10 \times 10^{2}$ at 0.1 molar water. The individual $K_{2}$ values for these series are also listed in Table IX. Points corresponding to solutions in these series have been plotted in Fig. 9 as triangular-shaped points.

It may be noted that the $K_{2}$ values at these two lower water concentrations compare favorably with $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ values found for the $\operatorname{CoCl}_{2}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{-2-0 c t a n o l}$ system but disagree considerably with $K_{2}$ values at 0.5 molar water. This disagreement cannot be explained easily or completely satisfactorily. A 0.5 molar water concentration which corresponds to a water-to-chlorIde ratio of 312.5 in the $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{LiCl}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-2-0 \mathrm{ctanol}$ system is intermediate between ranges of water concentrations found for the coexistence of $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{CoCl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \frac{t}{2}$ and ranges where only $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{13}^{+1}$ and $\operatorname{CoCl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}^{+}$exist. Thus the system at a water concentration of 0.5 molar likely contains all three complexes. Other possible influencing factors neglected in developing eq. (14) are changes in activity coefficients of the various species and changes in the dielectric constant of the solution.

An effort was made to determine values of $K_{1}$, the formation
constant of the monochloro complex of eq. (15), by measuring the optical densities at 660 millimicrons of 5 solutions hav. ing a cobalt concentration of $1.6 \times 10^{-3}$ molar, a water concentration of 0.5 molar, and Licl concentrations varying from $4 \times 10^{-4}$ to $20 \times 10^{-4}$ molar.

Values of $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ were calculated in a manner similar to that already described and are presented in Table XI. Free-mater concentrations were taken to be equal to the total water minus the quantity ( $110_{0}-2 C_{1}$ ). A very decided trend towards increasing values of $K_{1}$ as the chloride ion concentration in creases is noticeable. The value most nearly comparable to the $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ value obtained for the $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-2-0$ octanol system is still only 1.24 compared to 72 . Differences in $K_{1}$ found for the two systems might again be the result of neglecting the activity coefficients.
c. System: $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{LiCl}-2-o c t a n o l$

The reaction for the formation of a $\mathrm{CoCl}_{3}^{-}$complex from $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-} \stackrel{-\infty}{-\infty} \mathrm{CoCl}_{3}^{-} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this reaction the approximate mass action expression is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{3}=\frac{c_{3}}{c_{2}\left[1_{1}\right]_{1}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{3} & =\text { concentration of } \mathrm{CoCl}_{3} \\
C_{2} & =\text { concentration of } \mathrm{CoCl}_{2} \\
{\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{1}^{-}\right]_{1} } & =\text { total chloride }-\left(3 C_{3}+2 C_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

After rearranging and expressing in terms of logarithms, eq. (21) becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \frac{C_{3}}{C_{2}}=\log \left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]_{\mathrm{f}}+\log \mathrm{K}_{3} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

A wave length of 575 millimicrons was chosen as a suitable wave length at which to calculate $C_{2}$ and $C_{3}$ since CoCl ${ }_{3}^{-}$ does not absorb greatly in this region and errors involved in an incorrect choice of an extinction coefficient for the trichlorocomplex would not be so serious as in other regions. A value of 174 for the extinction coefficient of $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ at this wave length was calculated by assuming that all cobalt was in the form of $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ at a chloride-to-cobalt ratio of 2:1. A value of 40 was obtained for the extinction coefficient of the trichloro complex, by averaging the optical densities at the highest chloride concentrations and dividing by the total cobalt concentration. In so doing it was assumed that all of the cobalt existed in the form of $\mathrm{CoCl}_{3}^{-}$at these concentrations. The concentrations of the two complexes were then determined by successive approximations. Fig, 11 is a plot of $\log \frac{C_{3}}{C_{2}}$ vs. $\log [C 1]_{f^{\circ}}$ A straight line having a slope of 0.636 represents the data rather well.

As a test of the validity of the assumptions involved in the calculation of $C_{2}$ and $C_{3}$ the values obtained were used to compute a value of the extinction coefficient of the trichlorocomplex at 660 millimicrons. The values of this extinction coefficient are listed in Table XIII. These values remain reasonably constant over the chloride-to-cobalt concentration
ratio range of from $2.5: 1$ to $50: 1$. The continuous deviation beyond a ratio of $50: 1$ can probably be ascribed to the formation of the next higher complex, $\mathrm{CoCl}_{4}^{-\infty}$. This same test of the values of $C_{2}$ and $C_{3}$ was also applied at two other wave lengths, 630 and 675 millimicrons with comparable results, indicating that the values of $C_{2}$ and $C_{3}$ are close to being correct.

Values of $K_{3}$ were then determined using eq. (21) from the values of $C_{2}, C_{3}$, and the free chloride ion concentration. These results are listed in Table XIII. An average of the $K_{3}$ values is approximately $1.0 \times 10^{2}$ although there is a noticeable lack of constancy in $K_{3}$.

The fact that the slope.obtained in Fig. 11 is constant but is not unity as expected from eq. (22) is puzzling and is not easily explained except on the basis that concentrations rather than activities of the solution components have been used. This fact could also explain the variation in the values of $K_{3}$ in Table XIII.

An attempt was made also to calculate a value of the reaction constant for the formation of $\mathrm{CoCl}_{4}^{-\infty}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{CoCl}_{3}^{-}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}-\mathrm{CoCl}_{4}^{-\infty} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, it became evident that in any region where $\mathrm{CoCl}_{4}^{--}$ contributed to the optical density it was necessary to consider the existence of all three of the complexes $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}, \mathrm{CoCl}_{3}{ }_{3}$, and $\mathrm{CoCl}_{4}^{--}$. Efforts to calculate and then check' the concentrations of these complexes were unsuccessful. However, perhaps they could be determined by further experimental investigation.

## TABLE III

Conductance of Solutions of the System:

$$
\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

Concentration of $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}=0.01$ mole per 1000 g . of $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ Temperature $=25^{\circ}$

| Series 1 |  | Series 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ratio } \\ & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{Co} \end{aligned}$ | Conduntance (mhos $\times 10^{5}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ratio } \\ & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{Co} \end{aligned}$ | Conductance (mhos x105) |
| 0.0 | 9.2546 | 0.00 | 9.2220 |
| 0.5 | 9.5556 | 0.25 | 9.2618 |
| 1.0 | 9.6899 | 0.50 | 9.3291 |
| 2.0 | 10.2890 | 0.75 | 9.9072 |
| 3.0 | 10.3880 | 1.00 | 10.3939 |
| 4.0 | 10.2998 | 1.25 | 10.1553 |
| 5.0 | 10.4788 | 1.50 | 9.8794 |
| 6.0 | 10.4773 | 1.75 | 9.9571 |
| 7.0 | 10.7140 | 2.00 | 10.0584 |
| 8.0 | 10.5864 | 2.50 | 10.3651 |
| 9.0 | 10.5317 | 3.00 | 10.5503 |
| 10.0 | 10.6031 | 4.00 | 10.6633 |
| 11.0 | 10.7991 | 6.00 | 10.2783 |
| 12.0 | 10.9413 | 8.00 | 10.6328 |
| 13.0 | 11.1218 | 9.00 | 10.7731 |
| 14.0 | 11.2146 | 10.00 | 10.8068 |
| 15.0 | 11.6886 | 11.00 | 10.7383 |
| 16.0 | 11.6826 | 13.00 | 11.0011 |
| 17.0 | 11.7771 | 15.00 | 11.0953 |
| 18.0 | 11.8607 | 17.00 | 11.4156 |



Fig. 2: Conductances of Two Series of Solutions for the System $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-ethanol.

## TABLE IV

Conductance of Various Cobalt and Nickel
Solutions in Aqueous 2-octanol

| Temperature $=25^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Salt | Salt Conce. <br> (molal) | H20 Conc。 <br> (molal) | Resistance <br> (ohms) | Conductance <br> (mhos) |
| $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ | 0.01 | 0.00 | $4.17 \times 10^{7}$ | $2.40 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ | 0.01 | 0.14 | $1.82 \times 10^{7}$ | $5.50 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ | 0.01 | 0.00 | $1.94 \times 10^{6}$ | $5.15 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ | 0.01 | 0.14 | $1.61 \times 10^{6}$ | $6.21 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$ | 0.00405 | 0.00 | $2.57 \times 10^{7}$ | $3.89 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$ | 0.00403 | 0.14 | $2.35 \times 10^{7}$ | $4.25 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| $\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ | 0.00401 | 0.00 | $1.81 \times 10^{6}$ | $5.53 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| $\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ | 0.00399 | 0.14 | $1.75 \times 10^{6}$ | $5.72 \times 10^{-7}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE V
Optical Densities for the System: $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$-LiCl-2-octanol

| Wave Length | Ratio $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Co}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 3.0 |
| 420 | 0.017 | 0.018 | 0.017 | 0.027 | 0.032 | 0.017 | 0.022 |
| 30 | 0.016 | 0.018 | 0.017 | 0.024 | 0.030 | 0.016 | 0.019 |
| 40 | 0.015 | 0.017 | 0.017 | 0.023 | 0.028 | 0.015 | 0.018 |
| 50 | 0.014 | 0.017 | 0.076 | 0.021 | 0.028 | 0.014 | 0.017 |
| 60 | 0.013 | 0.017 | 0.016 | 0.079 | 0.028 | 0.013 | 0.016 |
| 70 | 0.013 | 0.017 | 0.017 | 0.019 | 0.028 | 0.013 | 0.016 |
| 80 | 0.013 | 0.017 | 0.016 | 0.017 | 0.028 | 0.013 | 0.015 |
| 90 | 0.013 | 0.017 | 0.017 | 0.018 | 0.029 | 0.013 | 0.016 |
| 500 | $0 . C 13$ | 0.018 | 0.018 | 0.019 | 0.032 | 0.015 | 0.017 |
| 10 | 0.013 | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.022 | 0.033 | 0.018 | 0.020 |
| 20 | 0.012 | 0.021 | 0.022 | 0.025 | 0.037 | 0.023 | 0.024 |
| 30 | 0.012 | 0.022 | 0.024 | 0.031 | 0.044 | 0.027 | 0.028 |
| 40 | 0.012 | 0.025 | 0.032 | 0.042 | 0.057 | 0.040 | 0.040 |
| 50 | 0.009 | 0.028 | 0.041 | 0.062 | 0.076 | 0.060 | 0.059 |
| 55 | 0.008 | 0.032 | 0.047 | 0.074 | 0.090 | 0.074 | 0.072 |
| 60 | 0.008 | 0.036 | 0.054 | 0.088 | 0.104 | 0.089 | 0.086 |
| 65 | 0.008 | 0.038 | 0.059 | 0.100 | 0.119 | 0.104 | 0.101 |
| 70 | 0.007 | 0.041 | 0.065 | 0.110 | 0.132 | 0.118 | 0.114 |
| 75 | 0.007 | 0.042 | 0.068 | 0.117 | 0.139 | 0.129 | 0.127 |
| 80 | 0.007 | 0.043 | 0.068 | 0.118 | 0.143 | 0.137 | 0.136 |
| 85 | 0.007 | 0.042 | 0.068 | 0.118 | 0.145 | 0.144 | 0.146 |
| 90 | 0.007 | 0.041 | 0.067 | 0.118 | 0.149 | 0.150 | 0.156 |
| 95 | 0.006 | 0.041 | 0.068 | 0.122 | 0.154 | 0.157 | 0.163 |
| 600 | 0.005 | 0.041 | 0.073 | 0.130 | 0.164 | 0.165 | 0.170 |
| 5 | 0.006 | 0.044 | 0.086 | 0.145 | 0.174 | 0.179 | 0.182 |
| 10 | 0.006 | 0.048 | 0.088 | 0.161 | 0.195 | 0.193 | 0.193 |
| 15 | 0.006 | 0.049 | 0.092 | 0.168 | 0.202 | 0.200 | 0.199 |
| 20 | 0.006 | 0.052 | 0.094 | 0.173 | 0.206 | 0.207 | 0.207 |
| 25 | 0.006 | 0.053 | 0.095 | 0.175 | 0.210 | 0.216 | 0.217 |
| 30 | 0.006 | 0.054 | 0.096 | 0.176 | 0.214 | 0.222 | 0.223 |
| 35 | 0.006 | 0.055 | 0.097 | 0.178 | 0.216 | 0.225 | 0.226 |
| 40 | 0.006 | 0.058 | 0.098 | 0.180 | 0.220 | 0.230 | 0.232 |
| 45 | 0.006 | 0.062 | 0.099 | 0.184 | 0.225 | 0.236 | 0.238 |
| 50 | 0.006 | 0.064 | 0.102 | 0.190 | 0.232 | 0.240 | 0.242 |
| 55 | 0.006 | 0.061 | 0.104 | 0.195 | 0.236 | 0.245 | 0.246 |
| 60 | 0.006 | 0.061 | 0.104 | 0.195 | 0.236 | 0.245 | 0.245 |
| 65 | 0.005 | 0.060 | 0.100 | 0.188 | 0.227 | 0.241 | 0.241 |
| 70 | 0.004 | 0.054 | 0.091 | 0.172 | 0.210 | 0.231 | 0.233 |
| 75 | 0.005 | 0.054 | 0.077 | 0.148 | 0.187 | 0.212 | 0.214 |
| 80 | 0.004 | 0.036 | 0.065 | 0.123 | 0.159 | 0.190 | 0.195 |
| 85 | 0.005 | 0.029 | 0.052 | 0.097 | 0.131 | 0.161 | 0.168 |
| 90 | 0.004 | 0.0 ? 0 | 0.037 | 0.069 | 0.101 | 0.128 | 0.135 |
| 95 | 0.004 | 0.016 | 0.026 | 0.048 | 0.074 | 0.096 | 0.103 |
| 700 | 0.004 | 0.012 | 0.018 | 0.033 | 0.052 | 0.070 | 0.076 |

TABLE V (Continued)

| Wave Length | Ratio Cl/Co |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 50.0 | 100.0 |
| 420 | 0.014 | 0.018 | 0.017 | 0.009 | 0.013 | 0.006 | 0.017 |
| 30 | 0.013 | 0.017 | 0.016 | 0.009 | 0.013 | 0.006 | 0.015 |
| 40 | 0.013 | 0.016 | 0.014 | 0.008 | 0.012 | 0.006 | 0.013 |
| 50 | 0.013 | 0.015 | 0.014 | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.005 | 0.012 |
| 60 | 0.011 | 0.014 | 0.013 | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.004 | 0.009 |
| 70 | 0.012 | 0.014 | 0.013 | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.004 | 0.009 |
| 80 | 0.012 | 0.074 | 0.013 | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.004 | 0.008 |
| 90 | 0.012 | 0.015 | 0.013 | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.004 | 0.008 |
| 500 | 0.013 | 0.016 | 0.014 | 0.009 | 0.011 | 0.006 | 0.008 |
| 10 | 0.015 | 0.018 | 0.016 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.007 | 0.008 |
| 20 | 0.019 | 0.021 | 0.019 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.008 | 0.010 |
| 30 | 0.024 | 0.026 | 0.022 | 0.016 | 0.015 | 0.009 | 0.011 |
| 40 | 0.033 | 0.034 | 0.028 | 0.022 | 0.019 | 0.011 | 0.009 |
| 50 | 0.051 | 0.052 | 0.044 | 0.033 | 0.026 | 0.017 | 0.013 |
| 55 | 0.064 | 0.062 | 0.053 | 0.043 | 0.034 | 0.022 | 0.017 |
| 60 | 0.077 | 0.075 | 0.065 | 0.053 | 0.042 | 0.028 | 0.024 |
| 65 | 0.091 | 0.090 | 0.078 | 0.067 | 0.056 | 0.040 | 0.032 |
| 70 | 0.105 | 0.105 | 0.094 | 0.083 | 0.069 | 0.053 | 0.045 |
| 75 | 0.120 | 0.118 | 0.109 | 0.100 | 0.090 | 0.071 | 0.061 |
| 80 | 0.132 | 0.132 | 0.127 | 0.121 | 0.112 | 0.093 | 0.080 |
| 85 | 0.146 | 0.147 | 0.146 | 0.143 | 0.138 | 0.118 | 0.105 |
| 90 | 0.159 | 0.162 | 0.166 | 0.166 | 0.164 | 0.143 | 0.128 |
| 95 | 0.166 | 0.172 | 0.176 | 0.178 | 0.176 | 0.157 | 0.143 |
| 600 | 0.173 | 0.177 | 0.181 | 0.183 | 0.181 | 0.163 | 0.152 |
| 5 | 0.181 | 0.185 | 0.186 | 0.187 | 0.184 | 0.172 | 0.167 |
| 10 | 0.191 | 0.193 | 0.192 | 0.189 | 0.187 | 0.181 | 0.185 |
| 15 | 0.196 | 0.198 | 0.196 | 0.192 | 0.191 | 0.189 | 0.195 |
| 20 | 0.206 | 0.206 | 0.205 | 0.205 | 0.206 | 0.208 | 0.221 |
| 25 | 0.219 | 0.222 | 0.225 | 0.227 | 0.235 | 0.240 | 0.255 |
| 30 | 0. 227 | 0.232 | 0.237 | 0.239 | 0.246 | 0.252 | 0.265 |
| 35 | 0.233 | 0.237 | 0.242 | 0.246 | 0.254 | 0. 255 | 0.266 |
| 40 | 0.240 | 0.245 | 0.252 | 0.257 | 0.265 | 0.265 | 0.274 |
| 45 | 0.245 | 0.250 | 0.258 | 0.265 | 0.273 | 0.273 | 0.278 |
| 50 | 0.248 | 0.255 | 0.263 | 0.266 | 0.274 | 0.275 | 0. 285 |
| 55 | 0.251 | 0.256 | 0.263 | 0.267 | 0.275 | 0.284 | 0.304 |
| 60 | 0.252 | 0.256 | 0.263 | 0.274 | 0.280 | 0.292 | 0. 325 |
| 65 | 0. 250 | 0.256 | 0.265 | 0.274 | 0.289 | 0.310 | 0.340 |
| 70 | 0. 247 | 0.255 | 0. 268 | 0.279 | 0.298 | 0. 318 | 0. 345 |
| 75 | 0.237 | 0.248 | 0. 266 | 0.283 | 0.308 | 0.325 | 0.348 |
| 80 | 0.223 | 0.233 | 0.256 | 0.276 | 0.305 | 0.328 | 0. 350 |
| 85 | 0.200 | 0.210 | 0. 237 | 0.261 | 0.295 | 0.322 | 0.350 |
| 90 | 0.163 | 0.180 | 0.205 | 0.227 | 0.268 | 0.303 | 0.338 |
| 95 | 0.130 | 0.145 | 0.168 | 0.189 | 0.228 | 0.270 | 0.309 |
| 700 | 0.097 | 0.108 | 0.127 | -ד-0- | -->- |  | 0.262 |

TABLE V (Continued)

| Wave Length | Ratio Cl/CO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 250.0 | 500.0 | 1000.0 |
| 420 | 0.009 | 0.019 | 0.033 |
| 30 | 0.008 | 0.016 | 0.026 |
| 40 | 0.007 | 0.014 | 0.023 |
| 50 | 0.007 | 0.012 | 0.019 |
| 60 | 0.006 | 0.011 | 0.016 |
| 70 | 0.005 | 0.009 | 0.013 |
| 80 | 0.005 | 0.008 | 0.012 |
| 90 | 0.005 | 0.008 | 0.011 |
| 500 | 0.006 | 0.008 | 0.011 |
| 10 | 0.006 | 0.008 | 0.010 |
| 20 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.009 |
| 30 | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.010 |
| 40 | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.010 |
| 50 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.010 |
| 55 | 0.012 | 0.011 | 0.009 |
| 60 | 0.016 | 0.013 | 0.010 |
| 65 | 0.022 | 0.018 | 0.012 |
| 70 | 0.030 | 0.024 | 0.016 |
| 75 | 0.040 | 0.031 | 0.020 |
| 80 | 0.054 | 0.042 | 0.027 |
| 85 | 0.071 | 0.055 | 0.034 |
| 90 | 0.090 | 0.070 | 0.048 |
| 95 | 0.106 | 0.088 | 0.067 |
| 600 | 0.122 | 0.108 | 0.092 |
| 5 | 0.145 | 0.138 | 0.129 |
| 10 | 0.180 | 0.182 | 0.182 |
| 15 | 0.196 | 0.200 | 0.205 |
| 20 | 0.225 | 0.231 | 0.238 |
| 25 | 0.262 | 0.270 | 0.276 |
| 30 | 0.267 | 0.273 | 0.275 |
| 35 | 0.259 | 0.259 | 0.256 |
| 40 | 0.265 | 0.260 | 0.263 |
| 45 | 0.272 | 0.270 | 0.267 |
| 50 | 0.279 | 0.280 | 0.280 |
| 55 | 0.312 | 0.320 | 0.324 |
| 60 | 0.350 | 0.367 | 0.385 |
| 65 | 0.366 | 0.388 | 0.404 |
| 70 | 0.364 | 0.381 | 0.395 |
| 75 | 0.360 | 0.372 | 0.383 |
| 80 | 0.363 | 0.375 | 0.384 |
| 85 | 0.374 | 0.389 | 0.402 |
| 90 | 0.380 | 0.403 | 0.414 |
| 95 | 0.365 | 0.400 | 0.416 |
| 700 | 0.323 | 0.358 | 0.393 |




Fig. 4: Saturation Plot for the System $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)$ Z-incl-octanol-2.

Optical Densities for the System: $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{LiCl}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-2-$ octanol

| Wave | Ratio Cl/Co |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Length | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 3.0 |
| 420 | 0.030 | 0.069 | 0.088 | 0.094 | 0.053 | 0.096 | 0.097 |
| 30 | 0.028 | 0.065 | 0.081 | 0.088 | 0.050 | 0.090 | 0.090 |
| 40 | 0.028 | 0.062 | 0.077 | 0.079 | 0.048 | 0.083 | 0.085 |
| 50 | 0.025 | 0.059 | 0.075 | 0.074 | 0.046 | 0.077 | 0.079 |
| 60 | 0.025 | 0.058 | 0.073 | 0.070 | 0.047 | 0.073 | 0.074 |
| 70 | 0.025 | 0.057 | 0.070 | 0.068 | 0.046 | 0.070 | 0.071 |
| 80 | 0.024 | 0.057 | 0.069 | 0.067 | 0.047 | 0.067 | 0.068 |
| 90 | 0.023 | 0.056 | 0.069 | 0.065 | 0.048 | 0.064 | 0.066 |
| 500 | 0.024 | 0.052 | 0.069 | 0.062 | 0.049 | 0.064 | 0.066 |
| 10 | 0.023 | 0.048 | 0.069 | 0.059 | 0.052 | 0.065 | 0.066 |
| 20 | 0.021 | 0.048 | 0.071 | 0.063 | 0.057 | 0.068 | 0.067 |
| 30 | 0.020 | 0.048 | 0.074 | 0.068 | 0.064 | 0.072 | 0.074 |
| 40 | 0.017 | 0.048 | 0.080 | 0.077 | 0.077 | 0.086 | 0.089 |
| 50 | 0.016 | 0.048 | 0.090 | 0.094 | 0.099 | 0.105 | 0.108 |
| 55 | 0.014 | 0.049 | 0.097 | 0.104 | 0.114 | 0.123 | 0.125 |
| 60 | 0.013 | 0.049 | 0.105 | 0.114 | 0.129 | 0.137 | 0.142 |
| 65 | 0.012 | 0.048 | 0.110 | 0.123 | 0.142 | 0.152 | 0.158 |
| 70 | 0.012 | 0.048 | 0.116 | 0.130 | 0.154 | 0.166 | 0.172 |
| 75 | 0.011 | 0.048 | 0.117 | 0.134 | 0.161 | 0.176 | 0.185 |
| 80 | 0.010 | 0.048 | 0.115 | 0.135 | 0.166 | 0.179 | 0.190 |
| 85 | 0.010 | 0.047 | 0.113 | 0.137 | 0.170 | 0.193 | 0.205 |
| 90 | 0.009 | 0.046 | 0.113 | 0.140 | 0.176 | 0.201 | 0.216 |
| 95 | 0.008 | 0.045 | 0.115 | 0.143 | 0.185 | 0.211 | 0.229 |
| 600 | 0.008 | 0.047 | 0.123 | 0.154 | 0.197 | 0.223 | 0.239 |
| 5 | 0.008 | 0.048 | 0.130 | 0.166 | 0.212 | 0.239 | 0.255 |
| 10 | 0.008 | 0.049 | 0.137 | 0.175 | 0.224 | 0.250 | 0.265 |
| 15 | 0.008 | 0.049 | 0.140 | 0.178 | 0.229 | 0.255 | 0.270 |
| 20 | 0.008 | 0.049 | 0.140 | 0.180 | 0.234 | 0.262 | 0.279 |
| 25 | 0.008 | 0.049 | 0.141 | 0.183 | 0.239 | 0.268 | 0.285 |
| 30 | 0.007 | 0.048 | 0.141 | 0.184 | 0.241 | 0.274 | 0.294 |
| 35 | 0.007 | 0.048 | 0.141 | 0.185 | 0.243 | 0.277 | 0.300 |
| 40 | 0.006 | 0.047 | 0.142 | 0.187 | 0.250 | 0.284 | 0.307 |
| 45 | 0.006 | 0.048 | 0.144 | 0.191 | 0.255 | 0.291 | 0.314 |
| 50 | 0.006 | 0.049 | 0.148 | 0.196 | 0.263 | 0.298 | 0.322 |
| 55 | 0.006 | 0.049 | 0.150 | 0.200 | 0.267 | 0.302 | 0.325 |
| 60 | 0.006 | 0.048 | 0.148 | 0.198 | 0.264 | 0.299 | 0.322 |
| 65 | 0.006 | 0.048 | 0.140 | 0.191 | 0.252 | 0.290 | 0.313 |
| 70 | 0.006 | 0.042 | 0.128 | 0.170 | 0.232 | 0.272 | 0.297 |
| 75 | 0.006 | 0.037 | 0.111 | 0.146 | 0.200 | 0.244 | 0.270 |
| 80 | 0.006 | 0.033 | 0.093 | 0.121 | 0.174 | 0.214 | 0.240 |
| 85 | 0.005 | 0.027 | 0.078 | 0.098 | 0.141 | 0.182 | 0.207 |
| 90 | 0.005 | 0.024 | 0.062 | 0.076 | 0.108 | 0.137 | 0.159 |
| 95 | 0.005 | 0.021 | 0.049 | 0.056 | 0.081 | 0.108 | 0.125 |
| 700 | 0.005 | 0.018 | 0.039 | 0.039 | 0.058 | 0.080 | 0.094 |

TABLE VI (Continued)

| Wave Length | Ratio Cl/co |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 50.0 | 100.0 |
| 420 | 0.097 | 0.086 | 0.123 | 0.109 | 0.045 | 0.016 | 0.023 |
| 30 | 0.090 | 0.079 | 0.115 | 0.103 | 0.042 | 0.016 | 0.020 |
| 40 | 0.085 | 0.075 | 0.108 | 0.098 | 0.041 | 0.015 | 0.018 |
| 50 | 0.079 | 0.071 | 0.100 | 0.090 | 0.038 | 0.013 | 0.017 |
| 60 | 0.074 | 0.068 | 0.094 | 0.086 | 0.037 | 0.012 | 0.014 |
| 70 | 0.071 | 0.064 | 0.090 | 0.082 | 0.037 | 0.012 | 0.013 |
| 80 | 0.068 | 0.062 | 0.086 | 0.080 | 0.038 | 0.013 | 0.013 |
| 90 | 0.065 | 0.061 | 0.083 | 0.076 | 0.037 | 0.012 | 0.014 |
| 500 | 0.065 | 0.061 | 0.080 | 0.074 | 0.040 | 0.015 | 0.014 |
| 10 | 0.064 | 0.062 | 0.080 | 0.074 | 0.041 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 20 | 0.068 | 0.064 | 0.081 | 0.075 | 0.047 | 0.022 | 0.018 |
| 30 | 0.072 | 0.069 | 0.085 | 0.077 | 0.050 | 0.022 | 0.021 |
| 40 | 0.088 | 0.080 | 0.093 | 0.086 | 0.058 | 0.024 | 0.022 |
| 50 | 0.105 | 0.102 | 0.112 | 0.102 | 0.075 | 0.035 | 0.027 |
| 55 | 0.123 | 0.116 | 0.125 | 0.116 | 0.091 | 0.046 | 0.035 |
| 60 | 0.139 | 0.131 | 0.141 | 0.132 | 0.107 | 0.061 | 0.045 |
| 65 | 0.156 | 0.148 | 0.161 | 0.153 | 0.130 | 0.082 | 0.059 |
| 70 | 0.172 | 0.166 | 0.183 | 0.179 | 0.159 | 0.109 | 0.080 |
| 75 | 0.186 | 0.181 | 0.201 | 0.202 | 0.192 | 0.140 | 0.105 |
| 80 | 0.194 | 0.194 | 0.221 | 0.230 | 0.232 | 0.184 | 0.138 |
| 85 | 0.211 | 0.211 | 0.248 | 0.268 | 0.276 | 0.233 | 0.179 |
| 90 | 0.226 | 0.228 | 0.271 | 0.300 | 0.325 | 0.284 | 0.220 |
| 95 | 0.240 | 0.242 | 0.286 | 0.320 | 0.345 | 0.309 | 0.253 |
| 600 | 0.249 | 0.253 | 0.297 | 0.327 | 0.358 | 0.325 | 0.275 |
| 5 | 0.265 | 0.267 | 0.306 | 0.335 | 0.366 | 0.344 | 0.310 |
| 10 | 0.274 | 0.274 | 0.313 | 0.339 | 0.373 | 0.363 | 0.357 |
| 15 | 0.276 | 0.279 | 0.319 | 0.345 | 0.383 | 0.383 | 0.385 |
| 20 | 0.289 | 0.292 | 0.335 | 0.368 | 0.420 | 0.433 | 0.445 |
| 25 | 0.298 | 0.308 | 0.356 | 0.403 | 0.462 | 0.490 | 0.507 |
| 30 | 0.308 | 0.315 | 0.368 | 0.419 | 0.486 | 0.517 | 0.517 |
| 35 | 0.315 | 0.324 | 0.382 | 0.434 | 0.497 | 0.425 | 0.515 |
| 40 | 0.324 | 0.333 | 0.390 | 0.442 | 0.519 | 0.540 | 0.520 |
| 45 | 0.332 | 0.343 | 0.405 | 0.458 | 0.529 | 0.550 | 0.552 |
| 50 | 0.340 | 0.348 | 0.408 | 0.460 | 0.531 | 0.560 | 0.559 |
| 55 | 0.341 | 0.350 | 0.410 | 0.463 | 0.540 | 0.588 | 0.606 |
| 60 | 0.339 | 0.351 | 0.412 | 0.471 | 0.555 | 0.620 | 0.662 |
| 65 | 0.333 | 0.344 | 0.410 | 0.476 | 0.573 | 0.649 | 0.708 |
| 70 | 0.320 | 0.335 | 0.409 | 0.482 | 0.590 | 0.668 | 0.713 |
| 75 | 0. 294 | 0.315 | 0.392 | 0.479 | 0.595 | 0.672 | 0.712 |
| 80 | 0.267 | 0.285 | 0.365 | 0.458 | 0.581 | 0.671 | 0.714 |
| 85 | 0.232 | 0.250 | 0.333 | 0.421 | 0.541 | 0.648 | 0.715 |
| 90 | 0.176 | 0.203 | 0.275 | 0.358 | 0.478 | 0.608 | 0.704 |
| 95 | 0.142 | 0.157 | 0.221 | 0.292 | 0.400 | 0.529 | 0.655 |
| 700 | 0.107 | 0.113 | 0.163 | 0.219 | 0.315 | 0.430 | 0.560 |

TABLE VI (Continued)

| Wave Length | Ratio Cl/CO |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 250.0 | 1.0* | $2.0 *$ | 100.0* | 250.0\% |
| 420 | 0.031 | 0.052 | 0.027 | 0.014 | 0.025 |
| 30 | 0.026 | 0.048 | 0.025 | 0.012 | 0.020 |
| 40 | 0.024 | 0.047 | 0.025 | 0.012 | 0.018 |
| 50 | 0.022 | 0.047 | 0.024 | 0.011 | 0.018 |
| 60 | 0.018 | 0.046 | 0.024 | 0.009 | 0.015 |
| 70 | 0.015 | 0.046 | 0.024 | 0.008 | 0.013 |
| 80 | 0.014 | 0.046 | 0.025 | 0.009 | 0.013 |
| 90 | 0.015 | 0.048 | 0.026 | 0.010 | 0.013 |
| 500 | 0.016 | 0.050 | 0.029 | 0.010 | 0.014 |
| 10 | 0.016 | 0.053 | 0.034 | 0.012 | 0.074 |
| 20 | 0.017 | 0.060 | 0.043 | 0.014 | 0.016 |
| 30 | 0.018 | 0.068 | 0.056 | 0.017 | 0.020 |
| 40 | 0.020 | 0.082 | 0.078 | 0.018 | 0.019 |
| 50 | 0.022 | 0.105 | 0.120 | 0.023 | 0.021 |
| 55 | 0.024 | 0.120 | 0.149 | 0.028 | 0.024 |
| 60 | 0.028 | 0.137 | 0.181 | 0.037 | 0.029 |
| 65 | 0.036 | 0.152 | 0.209 | 0.048 | 0.035 |
| 70 | 0.048 | 0.166 | 0.240 | 0.068 | 0.048 |
| 75 | 0.064 | 0.175 | 0.260 | 0.089 | 0.066 |
| 80 | 0.083 | 0.178 | 0.276 | 0.119 | 0.085 |
| 85 | 0.112 | 0.177 | 0.290 | 0.162 | 0.114 |
| 90 | 0.144 | 0.176 | 0.303 | 0.195 | 0.146 |
| 95 | 0.178 | 0.178 | 0.315 | 0.226 | 0.180 |
| 600 | 0.214 | 0.188 | 0.334 | 0.256 | 0.220 |
| 5 | 0.273 | 0.203 | 0.355 | 0.298 | 0.278 |
| 10 | 0.356 | 0.224 | 0.385 | 0.355 | 0.363 |
| 15 | 0.398 | 0.235 | 0.403 | 0.385 | 0.402 |
| 20 | 0.460 | 0.240 | 0.422 | 0.448 | 0.462 |
| 25 | 0.545 | 0.243 | 0.437 | 0.506 | 0.539 |
| 30 | 0.560 | 0.245 | 0.447 | 0.528 | 0.549 |
| 35 | 0.538 | 0.247 | 0.455 | 0.514 | 0.525 |
| 40 | 0.540 | 0.249 | 0.466 | 0.530 | 0.530 |
| 45 | 0.550 | 0.253 | 0.477 | 0.540 | 0.547 |
| 50 | 0.570 | 0.258 | 0.489 | 0.561 | 0.566 |
| 55 | 0.645 | 0.264 | 0.496 | 0.612 | 0.645 |
| 60 | 0.747 | 0.265 | 0.498 | 0.680 | 0.738 |
| 65 | 0.791 | 0. 255 | 0.490 | 0.720 | 0.788 |
| 70 | 0.785 | 0.237 | 0.468 | 0.720 | 0.774 |
| 75 | 0.769 | 0.207 | 0.428 | 0.712 | 0.757 |
| 80 | 0.771 | 0.174 | 0.383 | 0.724 | 0.763 |
| 85 90 | 0.796 | 0.145 | O. 325 | 0.734 | 0.785 |
| 90 95 | 0.810 | 0.107 | 0.255 | 0.740 | 0.815 |
| 95 700 | 0.803 | 0.077 | 0.194 | 0.710 | 0.800 |
| 700 | 0. 728 | 0.056 | 0.135 | 0.615 | 0.731 |

*These solutions are anhydrous but have the same cobalt concentration as members of this system.
Satio c1/Co

Fig. 5: Spectra of the System $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right) 2-\mathrm{LiCl}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-octanol-2. $\quad \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}=1.6 \times 10^{-5}$ Molar.


Fig. 6: A domparison of the Spectra of Mixtures of $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$-LiCl-octanol-2 in the Presence and Absence of Water. $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}=1.6 \times 10^{-5}$ Molar. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=0.5$ Molar in Solutions 5 and 14.

Optical Densities for the System: $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-2-$ octanol

| Temperature $=30^{\circ}$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{COCl}_{2}=1.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{molar}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wave Length | Ratio H2O/CO |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 10.0 |
| 420 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.013 | 0.003 | 0.005 |
| 30 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.014 | 0.004 | 0.005 |
| 40 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.013 | 0.005 | 0.005 |
| 50 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.012 | 0.005 | 0.004 |
| 60 | C.003 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.011 | 0.005 | 0.006 |
| 70 | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.005 | 0.012 | 0.007 | 0.007 |
| 80 | 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.014 | 0.010 | 0.008 |
| 90 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.016 | 0.012 | 0.011 |
| 500 | 0.013 | 0.015 | 0.022 | 0.017 | 0.015 | 0.016 |
| 10 | 0.021 | 0.020 | 0.021 | 0.026 | 0.023 | 0.024 |
| 20 | 0.031 | 0.030 | 0.032 | 0.036 | 0.032 | 0.034 |
| 30 | 0.048 | 0.047 | 0.048 | 0.052 | 0.049 | 0.051 |
| 40 | 0.079 | 0.078 | 0.079 | 0.082 | 0.080 | 0.081 |
| 50 | 0.134 | 0.133 | 0.135 | 0.122 | 0.132 | 0.133 |
| 55 | 0.166 | 0.164 | 0.167 | 0.171 | 0.168 | 0.168 |
| 60 | 0.200 | 0.198 | 0.202 | 0.204 | 0.202 | 0.203 |
| 65 | 0.237 | 0.234 | 0.238 | 0.240 | 0.238 | 0.237 |
| 70 | 0.266 | 0.262 | 0.266 | 0.270 | 0.267 | 0.265 |
| 75 | 0.286 | 0.285 | 0.283 | 0.284 | 0.282 | 0.282 |
| 80 | 0.294 | 0.292 | 0.288 | 0.292 | 0.290 | 0.287 |
| 85 | 0.293 | 0.292 | 0.288 | 0.292 | 0.290 | 0.289 |
| 90 | 0.294 | 0.291 | 0.289 | 0.293 | 0.290 | 0.290 |
| 95 | 0.300 | 0.297 | 0.300 | 0.303 | 0.301 | 0.302 |
| 600 | 0.328 | 0.325 | 0.326 | 0.325 | 0.325 | 0.326 |
| 5 | 0.336 | 0.352 | 0.360 | 0.363 | 0.360 | 0.361 |
| 10 | 0.407 | 0.403 | 0.405 | 0.408 | 0.406 | 0.407 |
| 15 | 0.431 | 0.428 | 0.428 | 0.430 | 0.429 | 0.426 |
| 20 | 0.439 | 0.427 | 0.436 | 0.438 | 0.437 | 0.435 |
| 25 | 0.457 | 0.457 | 0.443 | 0.445 | 0.444 | 0.440 |
| 30 | 0.455 | 0.452 | 0.445 | 0.443 | 0.445 | 0.448 |
| 35 | 0.469 | 0.465 | 0.456 | 0.459 | 0.458 | 0.452 |
| 40 | 0.464 | 0.462 | 0.459 | 0.461 | 0.460 | 0.459 |
| 45 | 0.485 | 0.481 | 0.471 | 0.473 | 0.472 | 0.470 |
| 50 | 0.490 | 0.488 | 0.489 | 0.490 | 0.490 | 0.488 |
| 55 | 0.520 | 0.518 | 0.501 | 0.503 | 0.502 | 0.500 |
| 60 | 0.509 | 0.508 | 0.498 | 0.496 | 0.498 | 0.500 |
| 65 | 0.508 | 0.502 | 0.481 | 0.484 | 0.482 | 0.482 |
| 70 | 0.450 | 0.448 | 0.439 | 0.438 | 0.439 | 0.438 |
| 75 | 0.407 | 0.405 | 0.374 | 0.377 | 0.376 | 0.371 |
| 80 | 0.345 | 0.343 | 0.316 | 0.318 | 0. 317 | 0.309 |
| 85 | 0.271 | 0.271 | 0.249 | 0.252 | 0.251 | 0.243 |
| 90 | 0.193 | 0.193 | 0.170 | 0.172 | 0.171 | 0.165 |
| 95 | 0.130 | 0.130 | 0.118 | 0.120 | 0:119 | 0.117 |
| 700 | 0.085 | 0.085 | 0.076 | 0.078 | 0.077 | 0.074 |

TABLE VII (Continued)

| Wave Length | Ratio $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CO}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20.0 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 312.5 | 500.0 | 600.0 |
| 420 | 0.017 | 0.011 | 0.008 | 0.026 | 0.069 | 0.063 |
| 30 | 0.016 | 0.010 | 0.008 | 0.025 | 0.064 | 0.050 |
| 40 | 0.015 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.023 | 0.059 | 0.046 |
| 50 | 0.013 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.021 | 0.056 | 0.039 |
| 60 | 0.014 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.019 | 0.054 | 0.037 |
| 70 | 0.014 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.021 | 0.052 | 0.034 |
| 80 | 0.014 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.022 | 0.048 | 0.032 |
| 90 | 0.016 | 0.013 | 0.014 | 0.025 | 0.047 | 0.030 |
| 500 | 0.021 | 0.018 | 0.019 | 0.026 | 0.046 | 0.028 |
| 10 | 0.027 | 0.025 | 0.026 | 0.031 | 0.047 | 0.028 |
| 20 | 0.038 | 0.035 | 0.036 | 0.038 | 0.047 | 0.028 |
| 30 | 0.056 | 0.053 | 0.052 | 0.051 | 0.048 | 0.028 |
| 40 | 0.084 | 0.084 | 0.081 | 0.074 | 0.052 | 0.028 |
| 50 | 0.137 | 0.015 | 0.132 | 0.109 | 0.058 | 0.029 |
| 55 | 0.170 | 0.069 | 0.163 | 0.131 | 0.063 | 0.030 |
| 60 | 0.204 | 0.204 | 0.196 | 0.152 | 0.068 | 0.032 |
| 65 | 0.239 | 0.237 | 0.226 | 0.173 | 0.072 | 0.033 |
| 70 | 0.265 | 0.264 | 0.249 | 0.189 | 0.075 | 0.033 |
| 75 | 0.282 | 0.277 | 0.265 | 0.191 | 0.076 | 0.033 |
| 80 | 0.286 | 0.280 | 0.267 | 0.201 | 0.076 | 0.033 |
| 85 | 0.287 | 0.284 | 0.269 | 0.191 | 0.075 | 0.032 |
| 90 | 0.289 | 0.285 | 0.273 | 0.206 | 0.075 | 0.031 |
| 95 | 0.302 | 0.298 | 0.285 | 0.215 | 0.080 | 0.033 |
| 600 | 0.326 | 0.325 | 0.312 | 0.221 | 0.086 | 0.034 |
| 5 | 0.363 | 0.362 | 0.345 | 0.264 | 0.093 | 0.036 |
| 10 | 0.407 | 0.402 | 0.381 | 0.271 | 0.097 | 0.038 |
| 15 | 0.424 | 0.419 | 0.395 | 0.289 | 0.098 | 0.038 |
| 20 | 0.432 | 0.433 | 0.404 | 0.282 | 0.099 | 0.038 |
| 25 | 0.438 | 0.437 | 0.409 | 0.288 | 0.099 | 0.038 |
| 30 | 0.441 | 0.440 | 0.411 | 0.288 | 0.100 | 0.038 |
| 35 | 0.448 | 0.449 | 0.417 | 0.288 | 0.101 | 0.039 |
| 40 | 0.453 | 0.460 | 0.423 | 0.295 | 0.104 | 0.040 |
| 45 | 0.465 | 0.469 | 0.434 | 0.291 | 0.106 | 0.041 |
| 50 | 0.483 | 0.478 | 0.450 | 0.317 | 0.108 | 0.042 |
| 55 | 0.494 | 0.489 | 0.461 | 0.304 | 0.108 | 0.041 |
| 60 | 0.494 | 0.489 | 0.459 | 0.319 | 0.106 | 0.040 |
| 65 | 0.472 | 0.462 | 0.436 | 0.279 | 0.099 | 0.037 |
| 70 | 0.430 | 0.419 | 0.391 | 0.268 | 0.088 | 0.033 |
| 75 | 0.359 | 0.350 | 0.325 | 0.204 | 0.072 | 0.027 |
| 80 | 0.296 | 0.284 | 0.264 | 0.167 | 0.059 | 0.022 |
| 85 | 0.231 | 0.224 | 0.203 | 0.127 | 0.047 | 0.017 |
| 90 | 0.155 | 0.152 | 0.134 | 0.085 | 0.034 | 0.012 |
| 95 | 0.109 | 0.105 | 0.094 | 0.061 | 0.026 | 0.009 |
| 700 | 0.069 | 0.065 | 0.059 | 0.037 | 0.019 | 0.008 |



Fig. 7: Spectra of Mixtures of $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Omoctanol-2}. \mathrm{CoCl}_{2}=1.6 \times 10^{-3}$. Molar.

TABLE VIII
Optical Densities for the system: $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}-\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}-2-0 \operatorname{conol}$

| Wave Length | $\begin{array}{cc} A: & 0 \\ B: & 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} A: & 2 \\ B: & 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & A: 4 \\ & B: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} A: & 6 \\ B: 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}: 8 \\ & \mathrm{~B}: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 550 | 0.135 | 0.116 | 0.098 | 0.084 | 0.071 |
| 55 | 0.171 | 0,145 | 0.122 | 0.102 | 0.085 |
| 60 | 0.205 | 0.175 | 0.147 | 0.126 | 0.098 |
| 65 | 0.240 | 0.204 | 0.169 | 0.139 | 0.111 |
| 70 | 0.268 | 0.236 | 0.186 | 0.152 | 0.121 |
| 75 | 0.284 | 0.239 | 0.198 | 0.162 | 0.128 |
| 80 | 0.289 | 0.243 | 0.200 | 0.164 | 0.129 |
| 85 | 0.290 | 0.244 | 0.201 | 0.164 | 0.129 |
| 90 | 0.291 | 0.244 | 0.200 | 0.164 | 0.129 |
| 95 | 0.300 | 0.252 | 0.205 | 0.170 | 0.132 |
| 600 | 0.326 | 0.273 | 0.224 | 0.180 | 0.140 |
| 5 | 0.363 | 0.304 | 0.250 | 0.200 | 0.155 |
| 10 | 0.407 | 0.337 | 0.275 | 0.225 | 0.174 |
| 15 | 0.427 | 0.355 | 0.291 | 0.234 | 0.180 |
| 20 | 0.435 | 0.361 | 0.296 | 0.244 | 0.190 |
| 25 | 0.440 | 0.366 | 0.300 | 0.245 | 0.190 |
| 30 | 0.449 | 0.374 | 0.305 | 0.247 | 0.190 |
| 35 | 0.454 | 0.379 | 0.310 | 0.250 | 0.192 |
| 40 | 0.460 | 0.384 | 0.314 | 0.253 | 0.194 |
| 45 | 0.471 | 0.392 | 0.320 | 0.258 | 0.196 |
| 50 | 0.489 | 0.409 | 0.332 | 0.267 | 0.202 |
| 55 | 0.501 | 0.418 | 0.339 | 0.273 | 0.207 |
| 60 | 0.502 | 0.418 | 0.339 | 0.272 | 0.205 |
| 65 | 0.481 | 0.400 | 0.325 | 0.260 | 0.198 |
| 70 | 0.435 | 0.359 | 0.293 | 0.238 | 0.179 |
| 80 | 0.310 | 0.256 | 0.207 | 0.170 | 0.129 |
| 90 | 0.167 | 0.136 | 0.112 | 0.092 | 0.070 |
| 700 | 0.074 | 0.060 | 0.048 | 0.040 | 0.033 |

TABLE VIII (Continued)

| Wave Length | $\begin{array}{lr} A: & 10 \\ B: & 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lr} \text { A: } & 12 \\ B: & 4 \end{array}$ | $\text { A: } 14$ $B: 2$ | $\begin{array}{rr} A: & 16 \\ B: & 0 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 550 | 0.059 | 0.056 | 0.056 | 0.016 |
| 55 | 0.068 | 0.059 | 0.057 | 0.015 |
| 60 | 0.076 | 0.063 | 0.056 | 0.014 |
| 65 | 0.086 | 0.068 | 0.057 | 0.013 |
| 70 | 0.092 | 0.071 | 0.057 | 0.012 |
| 75 | 0.097 | 0.073 | 0.057 | 0.011 |
| 80 | 0.097 | 0.072 | 0.055 | 0.010 |
| 85 | 0.097 | 0.072 | 0.054 | 0.010 |
| 90 | 0.097 | 0.071 | 0.053 | 0.009 |
| 95 | 0.099 | 0.073 | 0.053 | 0.009 |
| 600 | 0.104 | 0.075 | 0.053 | 0.009 |
| 5 | 0.114 | 0.081 | 0.054 | 0.008 |
| 10 | 0.128 | 0.087 | 0.056 | 0.008 |
| 15 | 0.134 | 0.090 | 0.057 | 0.008 |
| 20 | 0.137 | 0.091 | 0.056 | 0.008 |
| 25 | 0.138 | 0.092 | 0.055 | 0.008 |
| 30 | 0.137 | 0.692 | 0.055 | 0.008 |
| 35 | 0.139 | 0.093 | 0.055 | 0.008 |
| 40 | 0.140 | 0.093 | 0.055 | 0.008 |
| 45 | 0.142 | 0.094 | 0.054 | 0.008 |
| 50 | 0.147 | 0.096 | 0.054 | 0.008 |
| 55 | 0.150 | 0.097 | 0.054 | 0.008 |
| 60 | 0.148 | 0.096 | 0.053 | 0.008 |
| 65 | 0.141 | 0.092 | 0.050 | 0.007 |
| 70 | 0.127 | 0.083 | 0.047 | 0.008 |
| 80 | 0.093 | 0.061 | 0.037 | 0.008 |
| 90 700 | 0.052 | 0.041 | 0.028 | 0.008 |
| 700 | 0.025 | 0.039 | 0.022 | 0.008 |



Fig. 8: A Continuous Variation Study for the System $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$-octanol-2. ○-660 millimicronsg $\triangle$ - 665 millimicrons; $\square-585$ millimicxoas.


Fig. 9: A Test of the Massmaction Expression for the Reaction $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}+\mathrm{nH}_{2} \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{CoCl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}^{+}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$. $\triangle$ - System $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)$ 2-LiCl- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-octanol-2: $\bigcirc$-System CoCl $2_{2}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-octanol-2 $\mathrm{C}_{1}=\left[\mathrm{CoCl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}^{+}\right] ; \mathrm{C}_{2}=\left[\mathrm{CoC1}{ }_{2}\right]$

## TABLE IX

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ratio } \\ & \text { Cl/Co } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { For } 0.5 \\ & \text { molar } \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { For } 0.3 \\ & \text { molar } \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { For } \mathrm{O}_{\circ} \\ & \text { molar } \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ |
| 2.0 | 45.3 |  |  |
| 2.5 | 57.2 | $4.59 \times 10^{2}$ | $3.73 \times 10^{2}$ |
| 3.0 | 57.2 | $3.15 \times 10^{2}$ | $4.56 \times 10^{2}$ |
| 3.5 | 58.1 | $3.16 \times 10^{2}$ |  |
| 4.0 | 55.6 | $4.76 \times 10^{2}$ |  |
| 6.0 | 76.4 | $=$ | －ローローが |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}_{2} \text { Values for the system } \\
& \mathrm{CoCl}_{2}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\text { 2-0ctanol }
\end{aligned}
$$

| Ratio $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CO}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10.0 | $4.46 \times 10^{2}$ |
| 20.0 | $3.72 \times 10^{2}$ |
| 50.0 | $11.90 \times 10^{2}$ |
| 100.0 | $5.64 \times 10^{2}$ |
| 312.5 | $1.53 \times 10^{2}$ |

## TABLE X

Optical Densities for the System: $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-2-0 \mathrm{ctanol}$ Concentration of $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}=1.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{molax}^{\circ}$
Temperature $=30^{\circ}$
Wave length $=660$ millimicrons

| Ratio $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CO}$ | Optical Density |
| :---: | :---: |
| 450 | 0.173 |
| 500 | 0.139 |
| 520 | 0.123 |
| 540 | 0.100 |
| 560 | 0.092 |
| 580 | 0.080 |
| 600 | 0.050 |
| 650 | 0.032 |

## TABLE XI

| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ Values for the System $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{LiCl}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-2-0 \mathrm{Ctanol}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}=1.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{molar}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 0.5 mo |
| Ratio Cl/co | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ |  |
| 0.25 | $1.55 \times 10^{-1}$ |  |
| 0.50 | $1.56 \times 10^{-1}$ |  |
| 0.75 | $2.83 \times 10^{-1}$ |  |
| 1.00 | $5.24 \times 10^{-1}$ |  |
| 1.25 | $12.40 \times 10^{-1}$ |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}_{1} \text { Values for the system } \\
& \mathrm{CoCl}_{2}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-2=00 \text { tanol }
\end{aligned}
$$

| $\mathrm{JoCl}_{2}=1.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{molar}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ratio $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{Co}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ |
| 450 | 70.9 |
| 500 | 72.9 |
| 520 | 71.6 |
| 540 | 62.6 |
| 560 | 79.2 |
| 580 | 85.4 |
| 600 | 52.0 |
| 650 | 71.0 |



Fig. 10: A Test of the Massmaction Expression for the Reaction $\mathrm{CoCl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}^{\text {t }}+\mathrm{mH}_{2} \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{13}^{+}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ Ior the System $\mathrm{COCl}_{2}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ootanol-2. $\mathrm{C}_{0}\left[\mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{13}^{++}\right] ; \mathrm{C}_{1}\left[\mathrm{COCl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}^{+}\right]$.

## TABLE XII

Optical Densities for the system: $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{LiCl}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-2-00 \operatorname{tanol}$ $\operatorname{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}=1.6 \times 10^{-3}$ molar $\quad$ Temperature $=30^{\circ}$

| Wave Length | Ratio CL/CO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=0.3 \mathrm{molar}$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=0.1 \mathrm{molar}$ |  |  |  |
|  | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 |
| 575 | 0.237 | 0.229 | 0.230 | 0.242 | 0.255 | 0.248 | 0.243 | 0.240 |
| 580 | 0.250 | 0.246 | 0.247 | 0.267 | 0.275 | 0.275 | 0.270 | 0.271 |
| 585 | 0.265 | 0.267 | 0.272 | 0.295 | 0.295 | 0.303 | 0.304 | 0.310 |
| 610 | 0.346 | 0.350 | 0.353 | 0.372 | 0.385 | 0.386 | 0.389 | 0.392 |
| 620 | 0.365 | 0.371 | 0.378 | 0.400 | 0.417 | 0.410 | 0.424 | 0.429 |
| 625 | 0.380 | 0.390 | 0.400 | 0.426 | 0.436 | 0.444 | 0.451 | 0.459 |
| 630 | 0.387 | 0.402 | 0.411 | 0.437 | 0.448 | 0.458 | 0.468 | 0.476 |
| 635 | 0.394 | 0.410 | 0.422 | 0.449 | 0.458 | 0.468 | 0.481 | 0.489 |
| 655 | 0.431 | 0.446 | 0.458 | 0.482 | 0.493 | 0.500 | 0.520 | 0.530 |
| 660 | 0.430 | 0.441 | 0.458 | 0.480 | 0.493 | 0.500 | 0.521 | 0.530 |
| 665 | 0.413 | 0.433 | 0.450 | 0.479 | 0.488 | 0.495 | 0.520 | 0.530 |
| 675 | 0.352 | 0.385 | 0.413 | 0.447 | 0.433 | 0.457 | 0.499 | 0.521 |
| 685 | 0.266 | 0.301 | 0.334 | 0.368 | 0.339 | 0.375 | 0.423 | 0.449 |
| 690 | 0.207 | 0.241 | 0.271 | 0.300 | 0.270 | 0.304 | 0.355 | 0.389 |

## TABLE XIII

Values of $K_{3}$ and the Extinction Coefficient of $\mathrm{COCl}_{3}$ for the system: $\quad \operatorname{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{LiCl}-2-0 c t a n o l$

Wave length $=660$ millimicrons

| Ratio Cl/Co | $\varepsilon_{3}$ (calculated) | $\mathrm{K}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.5 | 428 | 287.8 |
| 3.0 | 400 | 177.4 |
| 3.5 | 407 | 200.0 |
| 4.0 | 423 | 168.0 |
| 6.0 | 413 | 131.6 |
| 10.0 | 427 | 92.9 |
| 20.0 | 417 | 59.4 |
| 50.0 | 407 | 45.5 |
| 100.0 | 448 | $-\infty-\infty$ |
| 250.0 | 469 | $-\infty-\infty$ |
| 500.0 | 486 | $-\infty-\infty$ |
| 1000.0 | 514 |  |
|  |  |  |



Fig. 11: A Test of the Massmaction Expression for the Reaction $\mathrm{COCl}_{2}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}=\mathrm{COCl}_{3}^{-}$for the system $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2-\mathrm{LiCl}-0 \mathrm{Ctanol}-2 .} \mathrm{c}_{2}=\left[\mathrm{COCl}_{2}\right] \cdot \mathrm{C}_{3}=\left[\mathrm{CoCl}_{3}\right]$ 。

Evidence for a number of chlorom and aquo complexes of cobalt in hydrous and anhydrous 2 ooctanol has been found in this investigation. These complexes are listed in Table XIV with the system in which they were found to exist, conditions necessary for their formation, and Walues of equilibrium cone stants where calculated.

TABLE XIV

| Complex | System* | Conditions | Reaction** \& Equi. librium Constants |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)^{+4}$ | (1) (2) | Higher water concentrations <br> Cl/Co ratio less than 1 and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=0.5$ molar | (a) <br> (a) | $\begin{aligned} & 72 . \\ & 1.56 \times 10^{-1} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\operatorname{CoCl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right){ }^{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (1) \\ & (2) \end{aligned}$ | Lower water concentrations <br> O1/Co ratio from 2 to 6 $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=0.5 \text { molar } \\ & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=0.3 \text { molar } \\ & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=0.1 \text { molar } \end{aligned}$ | (b) <br> (b) <br> (b) <br> (b) | $3.16 \times 10^{2}$ <br> 57.2 $\begin{aligned} & 7.2 \\ & 3.76 \times 10^{2} \\ & 4.10 \times 10^{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{COCl}^{+}$ | (4) | Anhydrous |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ | $(3)$ <br> $(1)$ <br> $(2)$ | Cl/Co ratio of 1 to 1000 Lower water concentrations Cl/Co ratio of 1 to 250 All regions | (c) | $1.0 \times 10^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{CoCl}_{3}^{-}$ | $(3)$ $(2)$ | Cl/Co ratio of 2.5 to 1000 <br> Cl/Co ratio of 10 to 1000 | (c) | $1.0 \times 10^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{COCl}_{4}^{-}$ | (3) $(2)$ | Cl/Co ratio of 100 to 1000 <br> Cl/Co ratio of 100 to 1000 |  |  |
| * (1) CoCl2- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-2-0 \mathrm{CtanoI}$; (2) $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right) 2-\mathrm{LiCl}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-2-0 c \tan \mathrm{O}$ <br>  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Although relatively little work was done using the conductance approach because of experimental limitations, some comparison of the results obtained by this method and those obtained by spectrophotometric methods is possible. As has been pointed out, conductance measurements in the system $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}}$ showed marked changes in conductance at water-to-cobalt ratios of $2: 1$ and $10: 1$. (See Fig. 2). This correso ponds closely to the numbers of water molecules coordinated in the complexes $\operatorname{CoCl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{13}^{+t}$ as determined by spectrophotometric studies in 2-octanol. Although the solvent systems are not the same, it seems quite possible that these complexes may exist in other similar solvents but in different concentration ranges.

It is also quite interesting to note that all of the chloro-complexes which have been positively reported in the IIterature have been found in this investigation. Since most of the studies of this nature have been made over much nara rower concentration ranges than those covered here, it seems likely that the majority of the apparentiy conflicting finde ings of other workers are valid but have not been correctiy comparea.

## SUIMARY

The method of continuous variations was used in a study of the system $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}-\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}-2-0 c t a n o l$ and indicated the existence of a monochloro-complex of cobalt(II).

Spectrophotometric studies gave qualitative evidence for the existence of the complexes $\operatorname{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{13}^{+\dagger}, \operatorname{CoCl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}^{t}$, and $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ in the systems $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-2 \infty 0 \mathrm{Ctanol}$ and $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{LiCl}-$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-2-0 \mathrm{otanol}$. The best values of the equilibrium constants for the reactions $\mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{13}^{+t}+\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{COCl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}^{+}+11 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\operatorname{CoCl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}^{+}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}=-\mathrm{COCl}_{2}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were determined from spectrophotometric data to be 72 and $3.16 \times 10^{2}$ respectively.

Qualitative spectrophotometric evidence was obtained for the existence of the complexes $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}, \mathrm{CoCl}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{CoCl}_{4}{ }^{-\infty}$ in the systems $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{LiCl}-2-0 \mathrm{ctanol}$ and $\mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{LiCl}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-$ 2-octanol. The chloride to cobalt ratio was varied from 0 to $250^{\circ}$ sor the hydrated system. An equilibrium constant for the reaction $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}=-\mathrm{COCl}_{3}^{-}$was determined from data in the anhydrous system to be approximately $1.0 \times 10^{2}$.
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