# IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES.

June 16, 1890.—Referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs and ordered to be printed.

Letter from the Assistant Clerk of the Court of Claims transmitting findings filed by said court in the case of E. N. Fish & Co., and other causes.

> COURT OF CLAIMS, CLERK'S OFFICE, Washington, June 14, 1890.

SIR: Pursuant to the order of the court I transmit herewith a certified copy of the findings filed by the said Court of Claims in the aforesaid causes, which case was referred to this court by the Committee on Indian Affairs of the Senate, under the act of March 3, 1883.

I am, very respectfully, yours, etc.,

JOHN RANDOLPH, Assistant Clerk, Court of Claims.

Hon. PRESIDENT OF THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES.

[Court of Claims. Congressional Case, No. 1158. E. N. Fish & Co. vs. United States.]

At a Court of Claims held at the city of Washington on the 26th day of May, A. D. 1890, the court filed the following statement of case and findings of fact, to wit:

## STATEMENT OF CASE.

The claim or matter in the above-entitled case was transmitted to the court by the

Committee on Indian Affairs of the Senate on the 22d day of July, 1886.

John Mullan and W. B. King, esqs., appeared for claimant, and the Attorney-General, by Henry M. Foote, his assistant, and under his direction, appeared for the defense and protection of the interests of the United States.

The case having been brought to a hearing on the 7th day of May, 1890, the court upon the evidence and after considering the briefs and arguments of counsel on both sides, finds the facts to be as follows:

#### FINDINGS OF FACT.

The claimants, Edward N. Fish and Simon Silverberg, were partners, as mer-The claimants, Edward N. Fish and Simon Silverberg, were partners, as merchants, doing a general mercantile business in Tucson, Ariz., under the firm name of E. N. Fish & Co., in the year 1873. In the summer of 1873 the Indians at the San Carlos Indian Reservation, in Arizona, were turbulent; and an outbreak was expected, to prevent which it was necessary to furnish them rations regularly. No sufficient flour for issue to said Indians being then on hand, Capt. William H. Brown, Fifth Cavalry, U. S. Army, then acting as Indian agent at that agency, came to the claimants at Tucson, Ariz., in the latter part of August, 1873, and represented these facts to them, and urgently requested them to furnish and deliver, at their own expense, 100,000 pounds of flour-at this reservation, and assured them of payment therefor within thirty days, agreeing to pay for said flour, including transportation by wagons to said reservation, a distance of over 200 miles from Tucson, Ariz., for first quality 13 cents per pound, and for seconds 11 cents per pound.

#### II.

On the 2d day of September,	1873, the claimants	delivered flour	at said reservation
as follows, to wit:			

60,000 pounds of seconds, at 11 cents per pound	\$6,600 5,200
Total	11 000

# Total ...

#### III.

The claimants have received on a	count from the	United States	the following sums
of money for said flour, to wit:			

By draft of July 21, 1884. By draft of May 25, 1885. By draft of November 3, 1888	\$5,750 1,750 2,500	
Leaving a balance still unpaid of	10,000 1,800	

# TV.

The reason for non-payment of the full amount claimed was that the Second Comptroller was not satisfied that the flour was worth the amount claimed per pound.

The claimants have since the reference of this claim to this court taken testimony as to the value of the flour at the date of purchase and place of delivery, stated herein, and the court finds as a fact that said flour was worth the amount herein claimed. BY THE COURT.

Filed May 26, 1890. A true copy. Test this 14th day of June, A. D. 1890. [SEAL.]

JOHN RANDOLPH, Assistant Clerk, Court of Claims.

[Court of Claims. Congressional case No. 1158.) W. B. Hugus, for the use of E. N. Fish & Co., vs. The United States.]

At a Court of Claims held in the city of Washington on the 26th day of May, A. D. 1890, the court filed the following statement of case and findings of fact, to wit:

#### STATEMENT OF CASE.

The claim or matter in the above-entitled case was transmitted to the court by the

Committee on Indian Affairs of the Senate on the 22d day of July, 1886.

John Mullan and W. B. King, esqs., appeared for claimant, and the Attorney-General, by Henry M. Foote, his assistant, and under his direction, appeared for the defense and protection of the interests of the United States.

The case having been brought to a hearing on the 7th day of May, 1890, the court, upon the evidence, and after considering the briefs and arguments of counsel on both sides, finds the facts to be as follows:

# FINDINGS OF FACT.

(1) In the year 1873 the claimant, Wilbur B. Hugus, was a merchant doing a general mercantile business at Tucson, Ariz. In the summer of 1873 the Indians at the San Carlos Indian Reservation, in the Territory of Arizona, were very turbulent, and an outbreak was feared. To prevent this it was necessary to furnish them rations regularly. No sufficient supply of rations for issue to said Indians being then on hand, Capt. William H. Brown, Fifth Cavalry, U. S. Army, then acting Indian agent at said agency, came to this claimant at Tucson, Ariz., and represented to him the condition above stated, and requested him to furnish during the months of July, August, and September, 1873, various necessaries or supplies then required at said agency, and assured him that payment would be made within thirty days, agreeing to pay, including transportation by wagons to said reservation, a distance of over 200 miles, the prices stated in the schedule recited in the next finding.

(2) The following-named supplies were delivered by this claimant at said reserva-

tion on the dates therein stated:

GIOTI ON ONO OR	soon endlored desired.	
July 10, 1873,		
	1,000 pounds soap, at 23 cents	230,00
Aug. 15, 1873,		
20pt 20, 2010,		
	30 pounds candles, at 50 cents	15.00
	8,000 pounds hay, at 1½ cents	120.00
Total		10 998 70
	Aug. 15, 1873,	July 10; 1873, 40,000 pounds flour, at 12,765 cents 1,000 pounds soap, at 23 cents  Aug. 15, 1873, 25,000 pounds flour, at 13 cents  Sept. 10, 1873, 15,290 pounds flour, at 13 cents  300 pounds coffee, at 40 cents 200 pounds soap, at 23 cents 30 pounds tobacco, at \$2 30 pounds candles, at 50 cents 8,000 pounds hay, at 1½ cents

(3) The following sums of money have been paid on account by the United States for said supplies:

By draft of July 21, 1884	\$5,076.18 3,452.32	
		8,523,50
Leaving a balance still unpaid of		2, 400. 20

The delay in payment has not been due to any fault or negligence on the part of The reason for non-payment of the full amount claimed was that the this claimant. Second Comptroller was not satisfied that the supplies were worth the amount charged

(4) The claimant has since the reference of this claim to this court taken testimony as to the value of said supplies at the date of purchase and place of delivery, as stated, and the court finds as a fact that said supplies were worth the whole amount

herein claimed.

(5) The vouchers issued for said supplies were indorsed and assigned by the claimant, W. B. Hugus, to the firm of E. N. Fish & Co., consisting of E. N. Fish and Simon Silverberg, merchants, then doing business at Tucson, Ariz., partly in payment of an indebtedness of said Wilbur B. Hugus, the claimant, to said E. N. Fish & Co., and partly in cash at their face value. At the time when said vouchers were thus indorsed it was customary in the Treasury Department and in the Indian Bureau to recognize similar assignments and indorsements of vouchers as valid. All payments which have see for these made approach is lain have been paid over by this deliment. which have so far been made upon this claim have been paid over by this claimant to said assignees, E. N. Fish & Co., and said Hugus has always fully recognized the right of E. N. Fish & Co. thereto. He has filed a formal petition expressly requesting that the balance found due herein may be paid by the Government to E. N. Fish & Co., the assignees herein, and not to him.

Filed May 26, 1890.

BY THE COURT.

A true copy. Test this 14th day of June, A. D. 1890. SEAL.

JOHN RANDOLPH, Assistant Clerk Court of Claims.

[Court of Claims. Congressional case No. 1158. James M. Barney, for the use of Bowers & Richards, vs. The United States.]

At a Court of Claims held in the city of Washington, on the 26th day of May, A. D. 1890, the court filed the following statement of case and findings of fact, to wit:

## STATEMENT OF CASE.

The claim or matter in the above-entitled case was transmitted to the court by the

Committee on Indian Affairs of the Senate on the 22d day of July, 1886.

John Mulian and W. B. King, esqs., appeared for claimant and the Attorney-General, by Henry M. Foote, his assistant, and under his direction, appeared for the defense and protection of the interests of the United States.

The case having been brought to a hearing on the 7th day of May, 1890, the court, upon the evidence and after considering the briefs and arguments of counsel on both sides, finds the facts to be as follows:

#### FINDINGS OF FACT.

1. A written contract was made between the claimant and the United States on the 28th of June, 1873, the United States acting through Edward P. Smith, Commissioner of Indian Affairs, whereby the claimant agreed to furnish to the United States 2,000,000 pounds, more or less, of fresh beef, to be delivered on the hoof to various Indian reservations in Arizona, during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1874, at the rate of 5 cents per pound. The material clauses of said contract are as follows:

"Articles of agreement made and entered into this 28th day of June, A. D. 1873, between Edward P. Smith, Commissioner of Indian Affairs, for and in behalf of the United States, of the first part and Innea M Benney of Affairs, for City Vinne Country

United States, of the first part, and James M. Barney, of Arizona City, Yuma County,

Arizona Territory, of the second part, witnesseth:

"(1) That the said party of the second part, for himself, his heirs, executors, and administrators, hereby covenants and agrees with said party of the first part to furnish and deliver to the United States Indian agencies situated at the Colorado River, Camp Verde, Chiricahua and White Mountain, San Carlos and Camp Apache divisfresh beef on the hoof, hides and all refuse included, during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1874, at such times and in such quantities as the said agents may require.

"(3) In consideration of the faithful performance of this contract on the part of

the party of the second part, the said party of the first part agrees to pay, or cause to be paid, to the said party of the second part, his heirs, executors, or administrators for all the beef received under this contract, the sum of 5 cents per pound gross weight, in United States currency, on the presentation at the office of Indian Affairs, in Washington, D. C., a proper receipt for the same, signed by the United States agents stationed at the aforesaid Indian reservations, respectively."

2. Large deliveries of beef, amounting to nearly 2,000,000 pounds, more or less, were made under this contract, and all the beef so delivered was paid for by the United States at the rate of 5 cents per pound, excepting two deliveries at the Rio Verde Indian Agency, one in May, 1874, of 139,427 pounds, amounting to \$6,971:35, and one in June, 1874, of 140,000 pounds, amounting to \$7,000, a total of ......\$13,971.35

3. Payment was not made for said last-named deliveries at said time

only because of the exhaustion of appropriation of money therefor. The following payments of money have been made on account by the United States for the said deliveries of beef, to wit:

By draft of January 8, 1877	\$4,520.24
By draft of May 5, 1877	5.34
By draft of July 21, 1884	3,500.00
By draft of July 21, 1884	1,893.01
By draft of July 21, 1884	518.00

Total..... Leaving a balance still unpaid of ..... 3,534.76

10, 436. 59

The delay in payment was not due to any fault or negligence on the claimant's part.

The reason for non-payment of the full amount claimed was that the Second Comptroller was not satisfied that the beef delivered was worth the price charged per pound, as agreed to be paid in said contract.

4. The claimant has, since the reference of this claim to this court, taken testimony as to the value of beet at the time and place of delivery as stated, and the court finds as a fact that it was worth fully the whole contract price, as herein claimed.

5. The beef furnished and delivered to the United States under this contract between James M. Barney and the United States was supplied by George W. Bowers and Hugo Richards, partners, then doing business at Tucson, Ariz., under the firmname of Bowers & Richards, as subcontractors. Barney assigned and indorsed the vouchers issued in settlement of these claims to said Bowers & Richards in payment of an indebtedness due them from him, with the expectation on both sides that said assignment would be recognized by the United States and payment immediately be made. At that time similar assignments and indorsements of vouchers were recognized as valid by the Indian Bureau and by the Treasury Department, and payments were made to assignees and indorsees. The first payments, hereinbefore recited, aggregating \$4,525.58, were made by the Department by warrants and drafts drawn in the names of and paid to said assignees, and all other payments since made have

been paid over by said Barney to said Bowers & Richards, the assignees. Said Barney has filed a formal petition expressly requesting that the balance found due herein may be paid by the Government to Bowers & Richards, the assignees, and not to him. BY THE COURT.

Filed May 26, 1890.

A true copy. Test: This 14th day of June, A. D. 1890.

JOHN RANDOLPH, Assistant Clerk, Court of Claims.

[Court of Claims. Congressional case, No. 1158. William B. Hooper & Co., for the use of Sutro & Co., vs. The United States.]

At a Court of Claims held in the city of Washington on the 26th day of May, A. D. 1890, the court filed the following statement of case and findings of fact, to wit:

#### STATEMENT OF CASE.

The claim or matter in the above-entitled case was transmitted to the court by the Committee on Indian Affairs of the Senate on the 22d day of July, 1886.

John Mullan and W. B. King, esqs., appeared for claimant, and the Attorney-General, by Henry M. Foote, his assistant, and under his direction, appeared for the defense and protection of the interests of the United States.

The case having been brought to a hearing on the 7th day of May, 1890, the court, upon the evidence and after considering the briefs and arguments of counsel on both sides, finds the facts to be as follows:

#### FINDINGS OF FACT.

1. In the years 1873 and 1874 the claimants, William B. Hooper and James M. Barney, were merchants doing business in Tucson, Ariz., and elsewhere as partners un-

der the firm-name of William B. Hooper & Co.

Under the authority of the Commissioner of Indian Affairs, and the direction of of Julius W. Mason, major of the Third Cavalry, U. S. Army, acting Indian agent at the Rio Verde Indian Agency and Reservation in Arizona, and in order to meet an exigency then existing, said firm delivered certain supplies at said Indian reservation

or agency on the dates named and described as follows, to wit:	
On April 26, 1874: 50,000 pounds flour, worth 112 cents per pound 9,900 pounds barley, worth 7.43 cents per pound	\$5,875.00 735.57
	6,610.57
On May 29, 1874: 57,000 pounds flour, worth 11% cents per pound	6, 697. 50
51,000 pounds nout, worth 114 contro por pounds	
Total	13, 308. 07
The prices above stated were agreed upon by the Commissioner of Indand the claimants.	
2. The following sums of money on account have been paid by the Unifor said supplies, to wit:	ted States
By draft of June 10, 1876	
By draft of July 21, 1884 4, 172. 25 By draft of March 16, 1885 3, 156. 50	
Total	\$9,828.75

Leaving a balance still unpaid of..... 3, 479.32 The delay in payment was not due to any fault or negligence on the claimant's part.

The reason for non-payment of the full amount claimed was that the Second Comptroller was not satisfied that said supplies were worth the amount charged per pound. 3. The claimant has, since the reference of the claim to this court, taken testimony

as to the value of flour and barley at the time and place herein stated, and the court finds, as a fact, that it was worth fully the price claimed.

4. The vouchers for said supplies, so issued by the United States, were indorsed and

assigned by the claimants at the time of their issue. At that time it was customary in the Treasury Department and in the Indian Bureau to recognize similar assignments and indorsements of vouchers as valid. All amounts of money received from the United States have been paid over to the assignees, and the claimants, William B. Hooper & Co., have always recognized the right of the assignees thereto. Subsequent assignments and indorsements have been made, finally resulting in an assignment of the rights of all parties to Gustave Sutro, Emile Sutro, and Charles Sutro, partners doing business under the firm-name of Sutro & Co., San Francisco, Cal. By petition filed in this court the firm of William B. Hooper & Co., through James M. Barney, the member of said firm charged with the conduct of this business, have requested that payment, when made by the United States, be made to said Sutro & Co., as assignees.

BY THE COURT.

Filed May 26, 1890. A true copy. Test: This 14th day of June, A. D. 1890. SEAL.

JOHN RANDOLPH, Assistant Clerk, Court of Claims.