47TH CONGRESS, 1st Session. SENATE.

(REPORT) No. 4-3.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES.

APRIL 11, 1882.—Ordered to be printed.

• Mr. CAMERON, of Wisconsin, from the Committee on Claims, submitted the following

REPORT:

[To accompany bill S. 1683.]

The Committee on Claims, to whom was referred the memorial of Ben Holladay, praying compensation for spoliations by Indians on his property while carrying the mails of the United States, and for damages and expenses incurred in consequence of the changing of his mail route in compliance with military orders, and for property taken and used by the military forces of the United States, having had the same under consideration, submit the following report:

From the evidence taken in this case it appears-

First. That Ben Holladay was the contractor for carrying the overland mail in 1861 from the Missouri River to Salt Lake City, at the rate of \$450,000 a year; that from July 2, 1862, to September 30, 1864, he paid to the Pacific Mail Steamship Company \$75,000 a year for carrying printed matter, leaving him \$375,000; that from October 1, 1864, to November 13, 1866, he received at the rate of \$365,000 a year.

Second. That in the performance of this service he usually employed about 110 coaches, 1,750 horses and mules, and 450 men, not including persons engaged in the repair of coaches, wagons, and harness, nor agents, clerks, and other employés in the offices at New York and San Francisco. That he erected houses and stables necessary at 120 stations, for the use and convenience of passengers, employés, and animals; that he had as many as 150 wagons, and 420 oxen and cows, for the purpose of supplying food and forage, all of which involved an outlay of more than two millions of dollars.

Third. When the original contract was made for carrying the overland mail, in 1860, we were at peace with all the Indian tribes along this route. As a matter of fact, nearly the whole Army of the United States was posted in that country for the purpose of preventing or putting down any possible Indian outbreak. In 1861 most of the soldiers were withdrawn, leaving small garrisons, far apart, and wholly inadequate to protect this line. Upon the removal of the troops, seven or eight warlike tribes of Indians, who had been repressed by the presence of the Army, suddenly attacked the stations on about 500 miles of the line, murdered agents and drivers, captured and drove away great numbers of horses and mules, burned buildings, wagons, coaches, large quantities of forage and provisions, and other property, all of which, in order to carry out his contract, he was compelled to rebuild and replace at great expense. That the Indians, for many miles on each side of the line, set fires that consumed the grass, greatly increasing thereby the cost of forage.

Fourth. That during these Indian depredations, the line being unprotected by soldiers, Mr. Holladay, knowing that he was in great danger of losing all that he had invested, besides incutring obligations beyond his power to meet, came to Washington and made known the situation to President Lincoln and the Postmaster-General. He was assured by the President that it was a political necessity that the mails should be carried; that a line of communication must be kept open across the continent, for the reason that Confederate cruisers had already rendered communication by sea unsafe, and that under no circumstances, and for no reasons, should the overland mail route be abandoned. The President also assured him that soldiers would be furnished sufficient for his protection, and that Mr. Holladay could rely implicitly upon the honor and justice of the government to reimburse him for all the losses he might incur. The President also advised him to keep an accurate account of his losses, so that he might at the end of his contract substantiate his claims. Relying upon the statement of the President, upon the justice of the government, seeing the necessity for carrying the mail, and for keeping a communication open with the Pacific coast, Mr. Holladay, careless alike of loss and danger, carried the mails, rebuilt the stations as soon as burned, restocked the line as often as necessary, and fulfilled his contract.

Fifth. That it became necessary to remove the route from the North Platte, and Sweetwater or South Pass, to that known as Bridger's Pass, along the Laramie Plains, then known as the Cherokee Trail. That this change of route was made in accordance with military orders. That he was compelled to abandon a large number of his stations and buildings, together with a considerable amount of his supplies. That on making such change he erected new stations at great expense, under great difficulties, and exposed to great danger. That he changed the route for at least five hundred miles of the line, and that the change involved the abandonment of old stations and the building of new, the construction of roads and bridges, and the loss of much valuable property.

Sixth. It also appears that Mr. Holladay was obliged to remove from the Platte River route, to the so-called Cut-off route, by order of Colonel Chivington, by which removal he sustained great damages, not only by the abandonment of his property, but by a lengthening of his line.

Seventh. That large quantities of his hay, grain, and other provisions were taken for the use and service of the government troops and agents, for which no compensation was ever made.

Eighth. In 1866, on the 24th of January, the claim of Mr. Holladay to be reimbursed for his losses incurred, was referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs of the House of Representatives. Mr. Holladay asked that his case might be passed upon by the Court of Claims, the two houses of Congress disagreeing as to the proper relief to be granted; pending which, Congress adjourned. On the 6th of March, 1872, Mr. Holladay again presented his petition to the Senate, setting forth his contract and his losses, and again asking that he be reimbursed. That petition was referred to the Committee on Claims, and on the 26th day of November, 1877, the committee reported a bill authorizing Mr. Holladay to bring suit in the Court of Claims. That report was accompanied by twenty-three affidavits, together with letters from several military officers, including Colonel Chivington, Generals Craig and Mitchell, and others, establishing the facts set forth in the petition. But inasmuch as the testimony was *ex parte*, the committee thought best to refer the whole matter to the Court of Claims. On the 12th of March, 1878, the bill being under consideration, the Senate refused to send the case to the Court of Claims, but recommitted it to the committee, with instructions to report to the Senate what amount, if any, was equitably due the claimant. The committee proceeded to take the testimony of witnesses acquainted with the facts, and after an investigation of the claim, including the examination of more than thirty witnesses, reported that Mr. Holladay was fairly and equitably entitled to the sum of \$526,739. It will thus be seen that this claim has been examined by three committees, consisting of nine members each, and that these committees have reported in favor of its payment.

Ninth. After a careful examination of all the testimony in this case, your committee is of opinion that the memorialist, Holladay, is equitably entitled to compensation. Your committee find that in the summer of 1862, in consequence of Indian hostilities, about 500 miles of the main line of claimant's route was so damaged and infested by Indians as to compel its abandonment by the claimant, under the orders of the Post-Office Department and of the officers in command of the military forces sent to protect the overland mail. That he was forced to select a route further south, involving the establishment of a new line, for a distance of over 500 miles, and distant from the old line from 100 to 300 miles. That this removal was an absolute necessity, as it was not possible to carry the mail by the old route, "except," according to the testimony of General Craig, "with the consent of the Indians themselves." And, further, that the claimant was assured not only protection but indemnity for his losses, by the President of the United States.

Your committee also find that the removal thus mentioned involved the abandonment of twenty-six mail stations, a large amount of forage, and many articles of value necessary to the running of the stages. That the memorialist was compelled to construct new stations on the new line, twenty-five in number, at large expense, sustaining by such removal from the old line, damages, in the opinion of your committee, to the extent of \$77,000. (See Appendix A for details.)

Your committee further find that, in pursuance of a military order of Col. J. M. Chivington, commanding the District of Colorado, the memorialist was compelled to remove the stage line from the Platte River route, to the Cut-off route, being forced to abandon some of his stations on 140 miles of the road, and to remove twelve others to the new road, and to rebuild the same at great loss and expense. That the testimony tends to show that the damage to the memorialist by the removal to this line, under the order of Colonel Chivington, rendering necessary, as it did, the transfer of houses, barns, hay, grain, and other articles, and rebuilding necessary structures on the new line, amounted to about \$50,000. (See Appendix B for details.)

Your committee also find from the testimony that a large amount of hay, provisions, and other property belonging to the memorialist, was taken and used from time to time, by the military forces of the United States scattered along the route of the new line. That large quantities of wood belonging to the stations were taken and appropriated by the soldiers and several houses and stables destroyed and used by them for fuel, for none of which were vouchers given. That the testimony on this claim tends to show that the value of the property thus taken and destroyed by the military forces was in the neighborhood of \$30,000. (See Appendix C for details.)

Your committee further report that the evidence shows that the dam-

ages sustained by the memorialist while carrying the mails of the United States, by reason directly of depredations and hostilities of Indians along his route, was \$369,739, which sum, added to the other sums above found, makes a total of \$526,739, as the damages sustained by the memorialist in the discharge of his duties in carrying the mails of the United States. (See Appendix D for details.)

Your committee refer for full details to the report made by this committee June 13, 1878.

While your committee is satisfied that the claimant is equitably entitled to the sum mentioned in said report, and that he sustained losses to that amount, it has been deemed best to take into consideration only the losses sustained by the said claimant during the existence of the contract expiring October 1, 1864; a doubt existing in the minds of some of the committee as to whether claimant is equitably entitled to be reimbursed for losses sustained by Indian depredations under the second contract, as the testimony shows that the promises of the President and Postmaster-General to the effect that the claimant should be reimbursed, and the orders of the War Department applied only to the first contract.

Your committee report in favor of paying the losses incurred by Indian depredations during the existence of the first contract, namely, to the 1st day of October, 1864. The evidence shows such losses, together with the dates, up to the 1st day of August, 1864, amounting to \$163,153. The evidence then shows only the amount from August to January, to wit, \$57,467.32; and consequently it is a matter of doubt as to how much loss was incurred before October 1.

Taking into consideration the fact that most of the Indian depredations, according to the testimony, were committed in the summer and fall, your committee think they might fairly allow *half* of said amount, but on account of the uncertainty of the time when these losses happened your committee exclude them entirely.

Your committee also report that the losses of claimant arising from the removal of the route from the North Platte and Sweetwater of Laramie Plains and Bridger's Pass were \$77,000; and that the removal from the Platte River to what was known as the "Cut-off," involved an expense and loss amounting to at least \$50,000.

Your committee also report that the amount taken, used and destroyed of the property of the claimant, by the soldiers of the United States for the use of the United States, amounted to at least \$30,000; making an aggregate of \$320,153, to which, in the judgment of your committee, the said claimant is equitably entitled.

It should not be forgotten that the services of the claimant were of the highest importance to the whole country, and that it would be hard to overestimate the magnitude of the undertaking, the obstacles overcome, or the ability, perseverance, and courage that were necessary to success.

Your committee therefore report the accompanying bill, and recommend that it do pass.

APPENDIX A.

The cost of removal from the North Platte and Sweetwater or South Pass route, to the route through Bridger's Pass, along Laramie Plains and Bitter Creek, sometimes called the Cherokee trail, or Butes Creek route, and the damages incident to it, is shown by the affidavit of Col. Isaac E. Eaton (p. 12) in the printed copies of evidence. He was superintendent of the Overland Mail-line under Holladay, in 1862, when the Indian raids, detailed in his evidence, were perpetrated. He states that Holladay was compelled to abandon 26 stations, worth \$2,000 each, and a large amount of forage, and other articles of value, necessary to the running of the line, of the amount of which he could form no true estimate; but Holladay, who had to pay for supplies to replace those lost on the old line, and abandoned, under the enforced removal, states (p. 61 of printed evidence) that the sum of \$25,000 would not cover these losses.

Under the circumstances, the line being changed in the face of hostile savages, it must be apparent that no exact computation could be made of the various articles of equipment existing necessarily at each of the bwenty-six abandoned stations, as the r-moval was a retreat in the face of a vigilant and dangerous foe, in the midst of actual hostilities, and under the apprehension of destruction to the lives of the employés. There could have been no time for anything like taking account of the articles left behind. The hostile attitude of the savages is abundantly proven by General Craig, who stated that the line could only have been kept up "by consent of the Indians; and the removal ordered was a wise measure in all respects." (P. 57 of printed evidence.) David Street, an officer of the line (p. 54 of printed evidence), testifies to the abaudonnent of a great deal of valuable property, owing to the hasty manner of the removal; and also that the stations abandoned were of a substantial character, all of them; and that the one at Horse-Shoe Creek, which was a supply-station, was a blacksmith shop, coach and harness shop, warehouses, lodging-houses, offices, and corrals, of the most substantial nature, erected at heavy outlay.

APPENDIX B.

The cost of removal from the Platte River route to the so-called Cut-off route, by order of Colonel Chivington, is shown by the evidence (p. 60) of George K. Otis, who gave instructions for it, as he was then acting as superintendent of the line.

He states that he made an estimate of the cost—knowing the number of teams necessary, the distance to haul, as well as an estimate of the loss of grain and hay in removal—and that he is well satisfied that it did cost \$50,000; fully that, if not more. David Street (p. 54 of the evidence) sustains Otis in the statement he makes, that the line was subjected to heavy losses in consequence of the removal.

The evidence of the claimant is to the effect that he objected to removal, stating to the military officer that winter was on him, the stations then supplied, the grain-sacks emptied into bins, and the sacks sent off to be filled again, fuel procured, &c.; and that the stations had to be pulled down, hauled, and put up again; all which he represented to that officer.

Edward F. Hooker (p. 51 of evidence) states that, in his opinion, the loss of hay and grain, and putting up new stations, the labor of removal, &c., would cost not under \$5,000 a station.

George H. Carlyle (p. 42 of evidence) stated that the sum of \$50,000 for removing the station, and the loss in grain and hay and corrals, and wood, &c., was not too great. His evidence is very full on the subject, and minutely describes the process of removing stations; and the distance for removal from 25 to 60 miles.

William Reynolds, superintendent of the line (p. 23 of the evidence), also states matter of importance in regard to the damage by removal, showing the nature of the removal, its incident, and extent of work necessary to accomplish the act.

APPENDIX C.

The damages sustained by the claimant for grain, fuel, hay, &c., used by the military forces in the line of the claimant in the Territory of Colorado, is proven by Carlyle (p. 41 of the evidence). He states that the military forces took at one time twenty-nine head of oxen from the line at Fort Kearney, worth \$100 a head; and one hundred cords of wood at Julesburg, worth \$50 a cord, and that from what he knew to have been destroyed and used by the soldiers, he did not consider \$30,000 an overestimate of the damage inflicted by the military on the line of the claimant, and that when a receipt for anything used was wanted it was refused. He stated that they were in the habit of going to stations and getting whatever was wanted by them, grain or provisions, until to stop the raids a military order was procured, and that this damage was done between October, 1864, and December, 1865. David Street (p. 54 of the evidence) also gave evidence that the line was subjected

David Street (p. 54 of the evidence) also gave evidence that the line was subjected to serious losses in consequence of damage done and property taken by the United States soldiers.

Then George K. Otis states (p. 60 of the evidence) that he made the estimate of the

damages done by the military forces to the property of the claimant, and that he made the estimate of \$30,000 after consultation with the division agents and men employed on the stage-line; that no record could be kept of the property taken or used, or what was eaten up and consumed; but from the number of troops constantly passing up and down the road, he was satisfied that at least \$30,000 would be required to indemnify the claimant.

nify the claimant. William Reynolds (p. 23), superintendent of the line from October, 1864, to March, 1866, stated that large amounts of grain and hay and wood were consumed by the military forces on the line, the property of claimant, while he was superintendent of the line, and several houses and stables used for fuel and other purposes.

APPENDIX D.

I.

The Indian depredations on the stage-line of the claimant, as established by the evidence, appear as follows:

II.

	Loss at 3-Crossings Station:	
April 16, 1862	2. 22 mules and horses, at \$225 each	4,950 00
	10 sets 4-horse harness, at \$110 each	1,100 00
	3 head oxen, at \$50 each	150 00
April 17, 1862	2. 9 head mules, at \$200 each	1,800 00
	9 sets 4-horse harness, at \$110 each	990 00
	Damage to two coaches	500 00
		9,490 00
	At Plant Station :	
April 18, 1862	. 5 mules, at \$200 each	1,000 00
- /	4 horses, at \$225 each	900 00
	20 sets 4-horse harness, at \$110 each	2,200 00
April 20, 1862	. 10 mules, at \$200 each	2,000 00
		6,100 00
	At Ice Spring Station:	-
April 23, 1862	10 mules, at \$200 each	2,000 00
	5 sets 4-horse barness, at \$110 each	550 00
	5 sets 4-horse harness, at \$110 each 1 cow and ox, at \$50 each	100 00
		2,650 00
	At Strawberry Station:	
May 23, 1862.	35 sacks barley, 3,500 pounds, at 15 cents per pound At Upper Crossings Sweet Water:	525 00
	18 sacks barley, 1,800 pounds, at 15 cents	270 00
	-	795-00
		100 00
	Damage done to stations at 3-Crossings, Sweet Water, Split Rock, and Ice Springs, each \$500	1 500 00

To establish the damages above claimed, reference is made to the evidence of Flowers, division agent (p. 5 of evidence); R. Murray, employé (p. 6 of same).

III.

-	Loss at Sweet Water Bridge: 8 mules and horses, at \$200 each	\$1,600	
	5 horses, at \$175 each 18 mules, at 225 each	875 4,050 6,525	00

At Red Buttes:	
April 1, 1862. 15 mules and herses, at \$125 each	\$2,625 00
April 21, 1862. 6 mules and horses, at \$175 each At Platte Bridge Station :	1,050 00
March, 1862. 13 mules, at \$150 each	1,950 00
	5,625 00
To prove the loss above claimed reference is made to the evidence of division agent (p. 7 of evidence).	J. A. Slade,

IV.

	Loss at Big Sandy Station :	
April,	1862. 18 mules, at \$225 each	4,050 00
	4 horses, at \$225 each	900 00
	1 4-horsé harness	110 00
	_	

5,060 00

v.

April,	At Green River Station: April, 1862. 100 sacks barley, 10,000 pounds, at 15 cents 50 sacks oats, 5,000 pounds, at 15 cents	1,500 750		
			2,250	00

This is proven by Babcock (p. 8 of evidence).

VI.

Mar. 15, 1862.	Loss at Dry Sandy Station: 2 mules, at \$200 each	400	00
4	At Green River Station : 5 horses, at \$200 each 6 sets harness, at \$20 each 120 sacks oats, at \$5 each 180 empty sacks, at 60 cents each lamage done to station	1,000 -120 600 288 600	00 00 00
•		2,608	00
20 3	At Big Sandy Station : 4 mules, at \$200 each 9 sacks oats, at \$5 each 3 tons hay, at \$30 per ton amage to station	800 100 90 500 1,490	00 00 00
2 da	At Muddy Station : mules, at \$200 each At Bear River Station : horses, at \$100 each amage to station at Pacific Springs, Dry Sandy, and Little Sandy, at \$500 each.		00
		2, 500	00

VII.

At Split Rock Station:		
Mar. 1, 1852. 10 mules, at \$200 each	\$2,000	00
1 horse, at \$200	200	00
12 sets single harness, at \$20 each	240	00
Mar. 30, 1852. 7 mules, at \$210 each	1,400	00
8 sets single harness, at \$20 each	160	00
	4,000	00
-		

At Rocky Ridge Station: April 18, 1862. 6 mules, at \$200 each..... 1,200 00 To prove this loss, reference is made to the evidence of W. A. Reid, division agent (pages 10 and 11 of evidence).

VIII.

At Wells Station :

April 20, 1862. 2 horses, each at \$150..... This loss is es ablished by the evidence of Johnson (p. 11 of evidence). \$300 00

IX.

August, 1864.	Loss at Midway Station : Dishes and furniture destroyed At Platte Station :	\$500 00
	4 horses, at \$350 each	1,400 00
	250 sacks corn, 28,000 pounds, at 20 cents each	5,600 00
	10 tons of hay, at \$40 per ton	400 00
	-talle deminut got ann 13	7,900 00
	At Plum Creek Station :	
	250 sacks corn, 28,000 pounds, at 20 cents per pound At Craig Station:	5,600 00
	250 sacks corn, 28,000 pounds, at 20 cents per pound	5,600 90
	29 head of oxen, at \$100 each	2,900 00
	the state of the s	14 100 00

14,100 00

The evidence to prove these losses consists of the proof made by George W. Carlyle and Solomon Riddle (pages 13, 14, 15, 16, of the printed evidence, reported).

X.

Angnst, 1864.	Losses at Diamond Springs: 250 sacks corn, 28,000 pounds, at 20 cents 15 tons hay, at \$40	\$5, 600 600	
		6.200	00
	At Sand Hill Station : 250 sacks corn, 28,000 pounds, at 20 cents 15 tons hay, at \$40	5, 600 600	
		6, 200	00
	At Alkali Station: 250 sacks coru, 28,000 pounds, at 20 cents. 20 tons hay, at \$40.	5,600 800	
	and a state of the second s	6,400	00
	At Elk Horn Station: 65 sacks coru, 7,280 pounds, at 20 cents 10 tons hay, at \$40	1,456 400	
		1,856	00

	At Cold Spring Station: 40 sacks corn, 4,480 pounds, at 20 cents 15 tons hay, at \$40.	\$896 600	
	and the second second and the fit form a round offer -	1, 496	00
	At Gilman's Station : 30 sacks corn, 3,360 pounds, at 20 cents	672	00
	At Midway Station: 30 sacks corn, 3,360 pounds, at 20 cents 15 tons hay, at \$40	672 600	
		1,944	00
	At Willow Island :		
August, 1864.	50 sacks corn, 5,600 pounds, at 20 cents	1,120	00
and most room	10 tons hay, at \$40	400	
	the second secon	1, 520	00
	XII.		
July 16, 1864.	Loss at Junction Station : 5 stage-horses, \$250 each	\$1,250	00
0 429 209 2002	At Beaver Creek : 1 horse, at \$250.	250	
August, 1864.	At Lupton Station : 1 horse	250	
anguoti, room	-	1,750	
	Liberty Farm: 64. Coach burnt up Elkhorn: 4. Station burned	\$1,200 3,500	
	and the second sec	4,700	00
	XI. =		-
August, 1864.	Losses at Summit Station : Station, furniture, and bedding destroyed At 32-Mile Creek Station :	\$2, 500	00
	Furniture, crockery, and stores destroyed	2,500	00
	The second se	5,000	
	At Little Blue Station: Furniture and grain destroyed 2 horses killed on the road, \$200 each	2 ,000 400	00
	Av Agreetesse Theority	2,400	00
	At Pawnee Ranch: 4 horses, \$200 each At Muddy Station:	800	00
	1,500 pounds corn, 12 cents	180	00
		980	00
	At Lone-Tree Station :		- 1
	Station destroyed	1,000	
	9 horses taken, \$200 each	1,800	
	5 horses killed in escaping from Indians	1,000	
	-	3,800	00

S. Rep. 403-2

	At Liberty Town: 2 sets double harness, \$110 each At Summit Station:	\$220	00
,	200 bushels corn, 11,200 pounds, at 12 cents	1, 344	00
	Land Britstein and Brits	1, 564	00
	Total losses to August, 1864	163, 153	00

Losses accruing from August, 1864, to January, 1865, \$57,467.32.

proof given b	ce in regard to the above losses will be found at pages 17, 18, y Lloyd, Ivins, and Jerome. At Murray's Ranch:		
August, 1864.	15 tons hay. Junction Ranch:	\$750	00
Jan., 1865.	10 tons hay, \$50	500	00
	7 tops hay, \$50	350	00
		1,600	00
	Valley Station: 20 tons hay, \$50	1 000	00
	Beaver Creek Station:	1,000	00
	75 sacks corn, 8,400 pounds, 22 cents Murray's Station :	1,848	00
	100 sacks corn, 11,200 pounds, 22 cents	2,464	00
	and the state of the second of the second state of the second second	5, 312	00
	Junction Station:		
	100 sacks corn, 11,200 pounds, 22 cents Bijou Station :	2, 464	00
	48 sacks corn, 5,376 pounds, 22 cents Toll-gate Station:	1, 182	72
	20 tons hay, \$50	1,000	00
		4,646	72
•	Box Elder Station :		
August, 1864.	10 tons hay, \$50 Kiowa:	500	00
	15 tons hay, \$50 Living Springs:	750	00
an inc.	5 tons hay, \$50 Rock Bluff:	250	00
	7 tons hay, \$50	350	00
754	the second s	1,850	
	At American Ranch:		
Jan., 1865.	8 horses, \$250	2,000	
	2 sets 4-horse harness, \$110 each	220	
	2 horses, \$250 each	500	
	58 head of oxen, \$100 each	5,800	-
	-	8,520	00
Ang 9064 to	At Antelope Station:		
Aug., 1864, to Jan., 1865,	House, barn, and corral burned	5,000	00
10004	25 tons hay, at \$50	1,250	00
	125 sacks corn, 14,000 pounds, at 20 cents	2,800	
109.24		9,050	00
-		supplication in the supplication of the local division of the loca	-

At Contine IIII.		
At Spring Hill: Houses, barns, and furniture destroyed	\$6,000	00
20 tons hay, at \$50	1,000	
90 sacks corn, 10,080 pounds, at 22 cents	2, 217	
	9, 217	60
At Dennison Station :		
Barn and corral burned	2,500	00
25 tons hay, at \$50	1,250	
200 sacks corn, 22,400 pounds, at 22 cents each	4, 928	
	8,678	00
At American Ranch:		
Barn destroyed, burned	1,500	00
30 tons hay, at \$50	1,250	00
227 sacks corn, 25,424 pounds, at 22 cents	5, 593	00
	8, 593	00

RECAPITULATION.

1863.	173 head of horses	\$41,400	
1862.	Three-Crossings Station	9,490	00
	Plant Station	6,100	00
	Ice Springs Station	2,650	00
	Strawberry and Upper Crossings	795	
	Damage to stations	1,500	
	Daniage to stations Sweetwater and Horse Creek	6, 525	
	Red Buttes	5,625	
	Big Sandy	5,060	
		2,250	
	Green River.	400	
	Dry Sandy		
	Green River	2,608	
	Big Sand	1,490	
	Muddy Station and Bear River	2,500	
	Little Sandy	150	
	Little Sandy	4,000	
	Rock Ridge Station	1,200	00
	Wells Station	300	00
ıg., 1864.	Midway and Platte Station	7,900	00
	Plum Creek and Craig Station	14,100	
	Testimony of G. H. Carlyle	25, 216	
	Junction Beaver Creek and Lupton	1.750	
	Summit Little Blue Pawnee, Lone Tree, Liberty Town, and	1,100	00
	Summit Libble Dide Lawnee, Lone 1100, Liberty 10wil, and	13,744	00
	Summit. Liberty Farm and Elkhorn	4,700	
	Liberty Farm and Elkhorn	4,700	00
		161, 453	00
	Printer's error in previous report, price per sack should be		
	\$15 in place of \$5 (100 lbs., 15 c.), as per testimony of J. E.		
	Bromley	1,700	00
	-		
		163, 153	00
	Amount of losses to October 1, 1864	163, 153	00
	From August, 1864, to January, 1865, as per report,	100, 100	00
	amounts to one-half\$57, 467 32	28,723	66
		20,120	00
	Losses on first removal		
	Losses ou second removal		
	Property taken, used, or destroyed by United States		
	troops		00
		157,000	00

0

Au

348, 876 66

11