# IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES. 

June 6, 1876.-Ordered to be printed.

Mr. Sherman, from the Committee on Finance, submitted the following

## REPORT:

The Committee on Finance, pursuant to instructions of the Senate by its resolution of the 24th of January last, "that the Committee on Finance be instructed to investigate the books and accounts of the Treasury Department, particularly with reference to discrepancies and alterations in amounts aud figures that have been made in them, especially in the annual statements of the expenditures of the Government, revenue collected, and the public debt contained in said reports; and if any such discrepancies and alterations be found to exist, to report the same and the extent and nature thereof, the years wherein they occur, by what authority made, if any, the reasons that induced them, and to report generally such other and further information bearing upon the subject as to them may seem best, and that said committee have power to send for persons and papers," have given the subject as careful attention as their official duties permitted.

The substance of the information received by them is embodied in the following letters and statements hereto annexed and made a part of this report. The references in these statements to the various documents referred to, and especially to the annual reports of the Secretary of the Treasury on the state of the finances, made it necessary to examine all these statements, but they are too voluminous to embody in this report.

Your committee think it would best answer the purpose of the inquiry to state in general terms the result of their investigation.

The primary object in the organization of the Treasury Department is to secure the safe custody and legal disbursement of all the public money. A secondary object, and vet a very important one, is to secure an accurate accountability and full public statement of all receipts and expenditures by the Government. These are separate and distinct questions, the first referring to the actual custody and disbursements; the second to the proper accounts of such receipts and expenditures. Both objects are intended to be secured by the Constitution in the seventh clause of section 9 , article 1, that "no money shall be drawn from the Treasury but in consequence of appropriations made by law ; and a regular statement and account of the receipts and expenditures of all public money shall be published from time to time."

By the several acts organizing the Treasury Department, it was intended to secure the safe keeping and custody of the public money, and a full statement and account of all receipts and expenditures. All money was to be covered into the Treasury ; and all claims and demands whatever by the United States, or against them, and all accounts what-
ever in which the United States are concerned, either as debtor or creditor, were to be settled and adjusted in the Treasury Department.

When money is covered into the Treasury it is under the safeguard of the Constitution and the law. It cannot be disbursed without an appropriation by Congress; and when the appropriation is made it can only be paid out when an organized corps of accounting-officers shall concur, first, that the appropriation has been made ; second, that the expenditure is within the meaning and intent of the law; third, that the amount is justly due, as shown by the voucher. Then it can be paid out by the Treasurer, and then alone can he be credited for the payment. When the payment is made the transaction is registered not only by the Treasurer himself, but by the ofticers specially designated to register and keep an account "of all receipts and payments by the Treasurer of the United States."

So far as the safe keeping and custody of public money covered into the Treasury is concerned, it would be difficult to point out a better mode than is provided for by existing law. Every safeguard that has been suggested has been furnished. No law can prevent the neglect or violation of their public duties by Comptrollers, Auditors, or Registers; but the guards and checks upon such misconduct are as perfect as human ingenuity could devise.

When money is covered into the Treasury it can only be paid out on a warrant of the Secretary of the Treasury, countersigned by the Comptroller and registered by the Register; which warrant is based either, first, on a proper requisition in favor of a disbursing-officer; or, second, in payment of a claim duly audited by the accounting-officers. Each of these officers performs duties independent of the other, and the signature of each is requisite to autborize and attest payments of public money. This mode, in force since the organization of the Government, is very satisfactory.

Money is corered into the Treasury by a warrant, (based upon a certificate of deposit,) countersigned by the Comptroller and registered by the Register, as in case of a pay-warrant. As stated before, moneys covered into the Treasury cannot be again taken out, except through an appropriation; and even moneys erroneously covered in, as in case of customs or internal revenne, can only be recovered through an appropriation, which, in the two cases mentioned, is authorized by law; but an account has to be stated against the appropriation, as in the case of expenditures; so that by no process can receipts covered into the Treasury be diminished, but the expenditure is increased to the extent of the erroneous payments. In the case of moneys refunded as excess of deposits made in the customs service, the whole amount of the receipts is charged as received into the Treasury, and the amount refunded is charged to ań appropriation. These are not actually and properly expenditures, yet, under the inflexible system which is controlled by the Constitution itself, every pasment from the Treasury must be made through an appropriation.

One of the most troublesome propositions before the 'Treasury Department is to make the expenditures of a certain fund or the accounts of a particular transaction conform to the direction of the law. The system of accounts must, then, be so universal as to be able to accommodate itself to any particular case that may arise. The act of 1836 directed that more than $\$ 28,000,000$ surplus revenues be deposited with the States. It would have been much more convenient for the Department to have charged off from the accounts these amounts of money to
each of these States with which it was deposited; but the law directed that the money should remain on deposit with the States; and hence to this day that amount of money in the public accounts is included in the account of "cash in the Treasury," although the probable intention was that it should not be repaid.

## UNAVAILABLES.

All money in the Treasury, wherever it may be deposited or placed for disbursement or custody, is charged to the Treasurer of the United States. It is obvious, however, that this money may be lost without fault on the part of the Treasurer, by robbery, accident, defaleation of a subordinate officer, misconduct of depositaries having it in charge, or otherwise. Still, under the law, the Treasurer is responsible, and is charged with these sums. Such a deficit would in the accounts of a firm be a simple, plain entry in the profit and loss account by crediting cash and debiting the defaulter. But from the fact before stated that money cannot be taken from the Treasury except by an appropriation, this course could not be pursued. An account called "unavailables" was created, which was charged with the amounts, and the Treasurer credited in his general account through the statement of an account by the accounting-officers. This method of relieving the Treasurer is, your committee are informed, a practice which has grown up, and is not authorized by law, and for some years past has been prohibited by the Comptroller, and has been discontinued. It is plain that the Treasurer should be relieved from this responsibility, and that Congress should in some proper way provide by law for the dropping of these unavailable funds, by authorizing a credit to the Treasurer, and a corresponding charge against the defaulting officer, who fails to pay the money on demand.

## TRUST-FUNDS.

Again, when large sums of money were paid into the Treasury as trust-funds, they were considered, not as general assets in the Treasury from which general expenditures could be made, and hence a system after 1842 grew up of attempting to keep separate the trust-fund account. The moneys deposited with the States, trust-funds and unavailable balances, had swollen to so large an item in the cash-account that in 1842 it was dropped from the annual statement made in the Finance Report, but still retained (as it could not be excluded for reasons before given) in the official statement of the Treasury receipts and expenditures. In 1866 the trust-funds and unavailables, amounting to $\$ 2,217,732.94$, were again included in the yearly statements of the Secretary of the Treasary, (see Finance Report for that year, pages 2 and 32, a detailed statement of which will be found in the accompanying papers, ) and the examination by a detail of clerks, in 1870, was made in order that these trust-funds might be added to the general balance in other years. (See Finance Report 1870, and accompanying papers.)

In view of the varying opinions of Secretaries of the Treasury as to the manner in which these funds should be treated, and the confusion in statements created thereby, your committee are of the opinion that it would be better that all money belonging to the United States, of which the interest alone is to be disbursed for the benefit of others, should be covered at once into the Treasury, so that its expenditure may be under the general safeguard of the law, to be paid out only in pursuance of an appropriation by Congress. For instance, the fund
received for the Smithsonian Institution was originally invested in State stocks, through which investment the fund lost interest for a number of years, and notably in the case of Arkansas, which still owes the amount invested in the bonds of that State. Congress was compelled to direct that the whole of this fund as fast as collected should be paid into the Treasury, and assumed the debt to the whole amount of funds received, and obligated the Government to pay 6 per cent. interest upon the same. By this method the transaction is very much simplified; absolute security is given to the fund and the proper accountability is required at the Treasury.

The Navy pension-fund, amounting to $\$ 14,000,000$, now by law invested in the temporary loan at 3 per cent. interest, should be covered into the Treasury, and, to meet the purpose for which the fund was created, the obligation of Congress be given to appropriate the interest each year; and it would appear to your committee that all trust-funds now outstanding, unless there is some clear reason to the contrary, ought to be covered into the Treasury, and all appropriations from such trust-funds be made by Congress.

These are the only changes your committee can recommend in the laws regulating the safe-keeping and disbursement of public money.

These explanations will appear more intelligible by reference to the following scheme of accounts of the Treasury Department from 1861 to 187.0, which comprises the years in which the alleged discrepancies occurred, and a comparison of which will show the perfect harmony of the public accounts.


EXPENDITURES.
APPROPRIATIONS.

82,
$\$ 2,236,953,17627$
$\left|\begin{array}{ll}\text { June } & \text { 30, } 1861\end{array}\right|$

$\$ 2,324,050,87904$ $2,324,050,87904$


673, 448,95436
1, 774, 341,93891
799, 640, 71458
2, 061, ${ }_{8182,78}, 76714$
1, 055, 611, 1785
1, 055, 611, 17854 589, 480, 72256 689, 652, 19008

Fiscal year 1862
To unavailables (e). .......................................

## UNAVAILABLES.

 9, 21040

By receipts from unavailables By balance.
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[^0]First is the general account, to which all receipts are credited. The proper debit of this account is the appropriation warrants in aggregate.

The next is that of the individual appropriation accounts, which by law the Secretary is directed to carry to the credit of particular accounts. All pay-warrants are charged to their appropriate appropriations and credited to the Treasurer in his general account when paid. If unpaid at the end of the year, they are credited to an account of outstanding warrants. When warrants outstanding from a former year are paid, they are credited to the Treasurer and charged to the outstanding.account. The Treasurer is also charged with all receipt-warrants paying money into the Treasury. When an appropriation has any balance remaining undrawn for the time limited by law to which the appropriation is available, a "surplus-fund" warrant is issued charging the appropriation account and crediting the general fund.

As there is no way by which money can be taken out of the Treasury except through an appropriation, the only way the Treasurer can receive credit for monejs for which he is responsible which have been lost through the defalcation of depositaries, or by which such defaulting officers can be personally charged on the books of the Department, is through the medium of an account designated "unavailables," to which all unavailable funds are charged whenever the Treasurer receives credit for them. If any amounts are afterward recovered on this account, the "unavailable" account is credited and the Treasurer charged.

An inspection of these accounts will show that the expenditures of the Government may be stated from either the appropriation account or the Treasurer's general account of receipts and expenditures, the difference being that the Treasurer's account will only show warrants paid, while the appropriation account will show all warrants issued. The harmony, then, between these two accounts, will always be complete when the outstanding warrants are taken into account.

For the years $1862, ' 63, ' 64$, and ' 65 the receipts and expenditures of the Government were pablished in the finance reports, excluding the trust-funds. This was done in accordance with the custom referred to, which had obtained since 1842, and which was changed to the present system in 1866. (See Finance Report, 1865, p. 2.)

The Register of the Treasury, since the commencement of the Government, has pursued the system of stating the expenditures of the Government by the Treasurer's account, (warrants paid;) but as this account cannot be settled in time to be included in the finance report, the expenditures, as shown by the appropriation account, (warrants issued,) are used for the time being; but as soon as the Treasurer's account is settled, the correct amount by warrants paid is substituted in the published tables. During the war the settlement of the Treasurer's account was so far in arrears that the change from warrants issued to warrants paid was not made from 1862 until 1869, when the changes in the jears 1862 to 1866 , inclusive, were effected.

## - PUBLIC-DEBT STATEMEN'T.

This statement was, prior to 1871, prepared from the "issues and redemptions," but in that year a thorough examination was had of the receipts and expenditures from the year 1836, when there was no public debt ; every item of payment on account of public debt was scrutinized. The object of the examination was to prove the accuracy of the accounts by showing the public debt to be the difference between the receipts
from loans and the payments in redemption. Various differences were, however, found to exist, not in any manner affecting the cash in the Treasury, which are fully explained in the following statement taken from the Finauce Report for 1871, page 20 :

The statement of the receipts and expenditures, on account of the principal of the public debt, as per Tables $M$ and $N$, shows the net receipts from the organization of the Government to June 30, 1871, to bave been
\$7, 094, 541, 04138
The expenditures for the same period were $4,857,434,54051$

## Leaving

$2,237,106,50087$
as the amount of the principal of the pablic debt outstanding and unpaid on June 30, 1871.

The amount of the principal of the public debt, oatstanding and unpaid, as shown by the monthly debt statement for July 1, 1871, and Tables I and L, is $\$ 2,353,211,332.32$, showing a difference of $\$ 116,104,831.45$ more ontstanding by debt statement than by the statement of receipts and expenditures. This difference is thus explained: The following stocks were issued in payment of various old debts and claims, but in the transactions no money ever came into the Treasury. On the maturity of the stock it was paid off, showing an expenditure where there had been no corresponding receipt:

> Revolutionary debt of the several States, estimated
> Mississippi stack, exact
> Lonisiana purchase, exact
> Washington and Georgetown debt to Holland, exact.
> United States bank stuck, exact
> Six per cent. navy stock, exact
> Texas purchase, exact
> Mexican indemnity, fourth and fifth installments, exact
> In addition to the foregoing, the following amount is to be added, being composed of disconnt suffered in placing loans, interest paid and erroneously charged as pripcipal, and various errors in settling and stating loan accounts. All of these latter and the Revolutionary debt are now being investigated, and will be explained in a future report in detail

$\$ 76,000,00000$
4,282, $151 \quad 12$
11,250,000 00
1,500,000 00
7,000, 00000 711,700 00
5, 000,00000 303,573 92

10, 057, 40641

## 116, 104, 83145

It must be borne in mind, in reading this explanation, that the errors alluded to are not such as in any manuer affect the cash account of the Government, or reflect upon the integrity of former officers of the Treasury. A part of the differences has arisen from a want of knowledge and care on the part of subordinate clerks in stating loan accounts, but much the larger proportion has occurred from a want of unity, system, and proper method in the accounts as heretofore kept in the Department. And these latter defectsare partly owing to a want of sufficient legislation to enable the accounts to be properly kept. A bill was presented to Congress at its last session which was intended to supply this deficiency. It passed the House, bat was not reached in the Senate. Until there is legislation, these errors and defects in the accounts must be perpetuated, and others-must be made.

As an illustration of the class of differences which require legislation before they can be corrected, the two following are given: In 1850 there were $\$ 5,000,000$ in bonds issned to pay Texan indemnity. For these bonds no money was ever received, and therefore there is no entry representing them on the credit side of the account, as in ordinary loan transactions. When the stock matured it was paid ont of the Treasury and charged in the loan acconnts, thus erroneously reducing this account by just the amount paid. The loan of February, 1861, was sold at a discount of $\$ 2,019,776.10$. Only the difference between the amount of this loan and of this discount was received into the Treasury. The loan appears in the debt statement at the par of the issue, but in the receipts and expenditures it can only appear (for want of a premium and discount account) at the actual amount of money received for the loan.

The following copy of a letter prepared by the present Assistant Secretary of the Treasury, Mr. Conant, under the direction of the Acting Secretary of the Treasury, Mr. Richardson, explains very fully the cause of the apparent discrepancies in the statements of the public debt:

Sir: Referring to your communication of the $2 d$ instaut, calling attention to apparent discrepancies in the different statements of the outstanding amount of the public debt of the United States on July 1, 1869, as follows:
By Finance Report for 1869, page xxix.
\$2, 656, 603, 95573
Monthly Debt Statement, July 1, 1869
2, 645, 170, 29416
Finance Report, 1870, (ङune 30, 1869,) page xxv.
2, 588, 452, 21394
Finance Report, 1870, (by the Register,), page 276.
2, 489, 002, 48058
and requesting information respecting the same, $I$ have the honor to submit the following explanations:
The first statement given is a statement showing the various acts of Congress authorizing the different issues of the securities of the United States, "length of the loans," "when redeemable," "rate of interest," "price at which sold," " amount authorized," " amount issued," and " amount outstanding," and the amount above given, $\$ 2,656,603,955.73$, is correct ; which, it must be borne in mind, only represents the principal of the outstanding issues under various acts. This statement has uniformly been made up from the loan accounts.
The second amount given as the debt, $\$ 2,645,170,294.16$, by the monthly debt-statement July 1,1869, is a misrepresentation; the amount of the principal therein being $\$ 2,597,722,983.37$, to which is added accrued interest, amounting to $\$ 47,447,310.79$, making a total of principal and interest corresponding to thefigures above given, $\$ 2,645,170,294.16$. It will be seen at once that the first and second amounts are obtained from different data, the first treating of principal of the debt, and the second of principal and acorued interest, and for this reason are not comparable. The diserepancy, then, to be explained is, the difference between these two amounts, representing, respectively, the principat of the pnllic debt by the Finance Report, 1869, $\$ 2,656,603,955.73$, and the monthly debt-statement July 1, 1869, $\$ 2,597,722,983.37$, a difference of $\$ 58,880,972.36$, which arises in the following manner:
Included in the items which form the aggregate of outstanding principal in Finance Report, 1869, page 27, authorized under various acts, are the bonds issued to the several Pacific Railroad Companies, amounting to $\$ 58,638,320$, and $\$ 113,915.48$ of "old funded debt," which had never appeared in any of the debt statements prior to January 1, 1870. The following loan accounts, outstanding, appeared larger in the finance. report by the amount opposite each, than in the monthly-debt statement of July 1, 1869, for the reason that the latter statement (as will be seen from the following words thereon : "The foregoing is a correct statement of the public debt as appesirs from the books and Treasurers returns on the morning of the 1st of July, $1869^{\prime \prime}$ ) exhibited the debt as it appeared on the 1st of July, one day later than the former statement, and which had items of redemptions by the Treasurer deducted fron it that did not, in the regular order of business, reach the books from which the former statement was nade up, in time to appear in the business of the year closing with the date of that statement:


These items aggregate $\$ 58,880,972.36$, and explain the difference between the statements under consideration.

The statement by Finance Report, 1870, page xxv, that the debt on June 30, 1869, amounted to $\$ 2,588,452,213.94$, will be found, when the following explanations are considered, to harmonize with either of the former statements. It may, however, be more clearly understood by first comparing it with the monthly debt-statement July 1, 1869, the difference between them being $\$ 9,270,769.43$. By reference to the inclosed copy of that statement, it will be observed that among the assets of the Government, coin and currency, will be found "sinking-fund, in bonds bearing coin interest and accrued interest thereon," $\$ 8,867,282.07$. These bonds had been purchased by the Secretary of the Treasury between May 1 and June 30, 1869, for the "sinking-fand," and paid for from money in the Treasury, and there being, at that time, no authority of law for deducting them from the outstanding debt, they were held by the Treasurer as a cash item, and included in the monthly debt-statement as still a part of the outstanding debt. Congress, by the 6 th section of the act of July 14, 1870, directed that these bonds should be canceled and destroyed, and deducted from the amount of each class of the outstanding debt of the United States to which they respectively belonged. In compliance therewith, the amount of the principal of bonds purchased up to June 30, 1869, $\$ 8,691,000$, was accordingly deducted from the principal of the debt as it appeared on that date. The further sum of $\$ 580,769.43$, consisting of a large number of items, too numerous to be here enumerated, of accrued interest and premium on loans ascertained, by a re-adjustment of the loan accounts extending over a period of fifteen years, to have been carried to the principal account, instead of the separate accounts to which
they belonged, is here deducted. These two items make the differenee, $\$ 9,270,769.43$, between the second and third statements.

The fourth statement, that the Register (Finance Report, 1870, page 276) states the public debt to be $\$ 2,489,002,480.58$ on June 30,1869 , and apparently differing to the extent of $\$ 167,601,475.15$, from the amount represented by first statement, will be found, by analysis of the data from which it was made, to harmonize, instead of to conflict, with the other statements. This statement was made upon the basis of the monthly debt-statement issued July, 1869, (with which it will be found to agree,) and differs from the other three statements, in that it shows the net debt of the Government, including the accrued interest thereon, after deducting cash in the Treasury, viz:

Amount of public debt, less cash in the Treasury.
$2,489,002,48058$
The four statements may be summarized in the following manuer:
The first is an exhibit of the amount of the principal of the United States securities and Pacific Railroad bonds, issued under various acts of Congress, outstanding June 30 , 1872.

The second is a statement of the principal of the outstanding debt, including the acerued interest thereon, with the Pacific Railroad bonds excluded.

The third shows the outstanding principal of this debt with the sinking-fund deducted, in accordance with the act of Congress of July 14, 1870, and exclusive of the Pacific Railroad bonds.

The fourth is a statement of the net debt of the United States, principal and accrued interest, with the cash in the Treasury deducted.

The publication of these statements by this Department was in accordance with law, and while, as has been shown, they differ materially ouly in the data upon which they are based, they afford an opportunity to persons of dishonest motives to ignore that fact, and present them to the pablic as conflicting and untrathful statements made up from the same data.

Referring to that portion of your letter respecting the discrepancies in the Register's statement of the public debt, as shown by the receipts and expenditures of the Government, I submit the following in reply:
It must be obvious to any one that the difference between the receipts from loans issued and the payments, or redemptions of loans, should represent the outstanding debt, and that it should so appear by the receipts and expenditures issued by the Register's Office.

An examination into these accounts, extending back to the organization of the Government, was instituted during the early part of the present administration, disclosing the fact that the difference arose in the old and long-standing accounts, by reason of certain stocks, such as revolutionary, Mississippi stock, Louisiana purchase, United States Bank stock, 6 per cent, Navy Stock, \&c., having been issued in payment of various old debts and claims, and that in the transaction no money ever came into the Treasury as a receipt, while, on the other hand, the stock, as it matured, was paid off, thus showing an expenditure where there had been no corresponding receipt.
These discrepancies have existed for many years, but were not brought to light until an attempt had been made to reconcile a statement which in itself was a contradiction. These discrepancies do not in any way affect the cash accounts of the Government, or reflect upon the integrity of former officers of the Treasury, under whose administra. tion the discrepancies occurred. They arose from a system of book-keeping which was defective, and which has been of late years greatly improved.
This matter is more fully explained on page 20, Finance Report, 1871.
It is proper here to state that all the statements of the public debt have heretofore been made from the loan-accounts, independent of the Register's receipts and expenditures, and that, therefore, they have never been affected in any way by the differences alluded to. It is by the monthly debt-statement, which is the balance-sheet of the public-debt ledger, (and into which enter the principal of the debt, the accrued interest thereon and interest unpaid, and the cash in the Treasury,) that the debt of the United States, and the reduction thereof, have been shown by the present administration, and this is the only statement of the pablic debt which embraces all the data upon which it is based, showing both the assets and liabilities of the Government.

An examination of these statements will show the care and accuracy with which they have been prepared, and that they are free from all errors, and as reliable as the science of acconnts can make them.

I am, very respectfully,

The present system of stating the public debt not only includes the amount of each loan, but accrued interest thereon to date, and the actual money on hand arailable for the purpose of paying the debt. This evidently is the true and proper mode of showing the amount of the debt, because it exhibits the exact balance due from the United States after deducting the money on hand at the date of the statement.

Whether the attempt to apply this rule of stating the public debt to former years was wise or not, it is manifest to your committee that from the time this form of statement was issued it has been conceded to be more satisfactory and more accurate than the previous statements; and, from 1871 up, the statement of the public debt exhibits all the elements to show its precise condition, as well as the amount of the available money on hand applicable either for the payment of the public debt or for current expenditures. The discrepancy between the statements under the new mode and the old is the principal one pointed out and explained in these papers, and it is a remarkable evidence of the accuracy of the books of the Treasury that, after careful anylysis, these apparent discrepancies are fully explained. Your committee, in conclusion, would say that they are fully satisfied that there has not been the slightest change in the books of the Treasury.

Your committee are not prepared to recommend any change in the mode of keeping or making public these accounts. The system is the growth of the experience of the Department since its organization, and it would be a very delicate and dangerous task to propose any change in this respect. Certainly it ought not to be done without a much more full and critical examination than your committee could make without the aid of experts and the most careful study.

Your committee have found no want of intelligence in keeping these accounts or readiness to explain them and to account for every item. In making the examinations the Treasury Department has been called upon to verify the receipt and expenditure of nearly fifteen thousand millions of accounts, a task which involved much labor and time.

The committee submit, as a part of their report, the accompanying statements:

## Treasury Department, May 4, 1876.

SIR : I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated February 9, 1876, transmitting a copy of a resolution adopted in the Senate of the United States, January 24, 1876, in the following words:
"That the Committee on Finance be instructed to investigate the books and accounts of the Treasury Department, particularly with reference to discrepancies and alterations in amounts and figures that have been made in them, especially in the annual statements of the expenditures of the Government, revenue collected, and the public debt, contained in said reports; and if any such discrepaucies and alterations be found to exist, to report the same, and the extent and nature thereof, the years wherein they occur, by what authority made, if any, the reasons that induced them, and to report generally such other and further informatiou bearing upon the subject as to them may seem best, and that said committee have power to send for persons and papers;" and requesting me to make statements in explanation of the discrepancies and alterations alleged to exist, together with any recommendation as to changes in the modes of keeping the accounts, and as to any further guards, if necessary, to prevent errors and secure the public moneys.
In reply, I have the honor to transmit herewith certain tabular statements and memoranda explaining the discrepancies referred to; but before inviting your attention thereto permit me to state that in no case have the changes referred to in any way affected the cash of the Treasury.

Under the law, for every deposit in the Treasury the Secretary of the Treasury is required to issue his warrant for the amount; which warrant, after being signed by the Comptroller and the Register of the Treasury, and properly entered in the books of their respective offices, is receipted by the Treasurer of the United States, and the amount then becomes a charge in his general account, and what is technically known as "covered money," and cannot thereafter be drawn from the Treasury but in con-
sequence of an appropriation made by law. Warrants thus covering the moneys into the Treasury are issued as soon as practicable after the deposit has been made.
Moneys are paid out of the Treasury upon Treasury drafts issued upon the warrants of the Secretary, which drafts when paid are credits to their amonnt in the Treasurer's same general account.

Under the act of June 23, 1836, certain moneys were deposited with the several States, and, though the amount is still a part of the Treasury balance, it is not subject to draft, and, as a matter of convenience, has for all practical purposes been dropped from the current cash balance.
The Treasury has also from time to time suffered losses through the failure of banks to redeem their notes or to pay over public moness deposited with them; and also through the default of independent Treasury officers, and, in some instances, the accounting-officers have raised charges against the defaulting banks or officers for such amounts, and allowed the Treasurer credit therefor in his general account, though in fact no warrant or draft for the amount was ever issued, and such amounts are carried in the accounts as "unavailable."
With these explanations the general cash account of the Treasury from March 4, 1789, to June 30, 1875, as appears by the books of this Department, and as set forth in the Finauce Report for 1875, may be stated as follows:
Cash, Dr.

To amount deposited in the Treasury and covered by warrants,
$\qquad$ $\$ 14,973,305,67059$
To amount deposited and not covered, (page 470) 1, 072, 00273
Total .................................................................. 14,974,377,673 32

> Cash, Cr.

By amount paid on warrants, (page 17)............................... \$14, 797, 839, 742 74
By amount deposited with the States, (page 17)..................... 28, 101, 64491
By amount unavailable, (page 13)..........................................
By balance on hand in the seyeral offices and depositary banks or in transit, (page 461)

2, 661, 86653
145, 774, 41914
Total
$14,974,377,67332$
For the reported balance on hand June 30, 1875, as above set forth, examinations of the offices and mints made at that time or soon after, show in every instance the actual cash as stated excepting certain losses explained on page 464 . For the amount in depositary banks the Government holds ample security.

From this statement it will be seen that such an accountability for the moneys received and disbursed has always been so enforced by this Department that every cent of money received by the Government since its organization is either on hand or properly accounted for, and the discrepancies in the statement of receipts and expenditures, loans, or the debt of the Government from time to time, have arisen from clerical or typographical errors, different classification of items or form of statement, or from omissions to debit and credit like amounts, which, under a better system of bookkeeping, would have been done when the transactions occurred.
Some of the discrepancies referred to have actually existed for many years, in fact commencing with some of the accounts of the first loans issued by the Government, and were first shown in the reports of the years 1870 and 1871, for the reason that during that period an examination into the subject was begun, and changes were then made in the published statements as the result of such examination. It must be obvious that in case loans are issued at par, the difference between the amount of money received into the Treasury for loans issued and the amount paid out in redemption of loans should represent the outstanding debt of the Government.

The accounts of receipts and expenditures by warrants on the Treasurer, which are the cash-accounts of the Government, should also at all times exhibitt the amount of the public debt. As formerly kept in the Department they do not, for the reasons that in many instances stocks were issued directly from the Treasury to public creditors in payment of old debts and claims, and therefore in the transaction no moneys came into the Treasury, and no warrants were issued. In many cases loans were placed at a discount, and only the actual moneys received therefor were credited, no discount-account having been kept. When these loans matured they were paid at their par value, and $t$ : erefore the accounts of receipts and expenditures and the loan-accounts were found to differ to the extent of such transactions.

Attention is invited to the explanation on page 20, Finance Report, 1871, of some of these differences.

In this connection it should be stated that in no instance has there been any erasure or alteration in the books and records of this Department, and the changes made in the published reports have been only to express with greater accuracy the precise condition of the public accounts, as shown by such books and records.

It is thought that the accompanying papers, to which your attention is respectfully invited, will show fully why the changes have been made in the reports.

Any additional legislation which may be desired to insure greater accuracy in future reports will be considered hereafter.

Very respectfully,

B. H. BRISTOW,

Secretary.

Hon. John Sherman,<br>Chairman Committee on Finance, United States Senatc

## RECEIPTS.

The discrepancies in the tables of receipts arise from "trust-funds" and "unavailables." From 1842 to 1865, trust-funds were regarded as specific appropriations, and unavailable for general expenditure.

The balance on hand in the Treasury each year was stated exclusive of any moneys in the Treasury to the credit of these funds, and the receipts from these sources and expenditures on these accounts were not embraced in the tabulated statements of the receipts and expenditures, although in the public accounts no distinction was made between these trust-funds and ordinary accounts.

The impropriety, if not the impracticability, of keeping these accounts separate from the general balance in the Treasury became apparent, and the balance on 30th June, 1865, to the credit of trust-funds was added to the general balance in the Treasury, (see Finance Report for 1866, pages 2 and 32.) In 1870, a detail of clerks, under the supervision of the chief clerk of the Department, examined these accounts; but before the proper balance could be stated it was necessary to bring down the correct balances each year as found by the accounting-officers. The tables were then carefully revised and published in the annual reports, (see "Appendix A, Unavailables.") All public moneys are received into the Treasury and paid out upon warrants 'issued by the Secretary of the Treasury, the Treasurer being debited with the amounts received and credited with the amounts paid. This forms what is known as the Treasurer's general account, by which the cash in the Treasury is determined. When a depositary who has public moneys in his possession for which the Treasurer is responsible proves to be a defaulter, this amount becomes unavailable. It has been the practice of the accounting-officers in some cases to state an account charging such defaulting officer on the books of the Treasury with the amount of defalcation and crediting the Treasurer, but as no money can lve drawn from the Treasury except on warrants issued in accordance with appropriations made by law, there is no way of reconciling these unavailable amounts in the accounts of the Treasury Department, except by crediting the Treasurer in his general accounts without the issuing of a warrant for such credit.

As the Treasurer's accounts are not adjusted until long after the publication of the tables contained in the Finance Reports, these items must be regulated subsequently, and wherever they occur the tables must be changed to this extent. When anything is recovered from such defaulting officers, the amount is charged to the Treasurer on general account as "receipts from unavailables," which also necessitates a further change in the tables to this extent. This defect in the present system has long been felt, but cannot be remedied without legislation anthorizing these transfers to be made by warrants and in accordance with an appropriation, and Congress has several times been asked for such legislation.

## EXPENDITURES.

The discrepancies in the tables of expenditures arise from trust-funds, as above explained, and "outstanding warrants."

On examination of the note at the head of the table of expenditures in the Finance Report for 1869, pages 320 and 321, it will be seen that those for the year 1862, and subsequent years are made from the account of "warrants on the Treasurer issued ;" those for previous years are made from the account of "warrants paid;" while from the note at the head of the table in the Finance Report for 1870, pages 274 and 275 , it is shown that those for the year 1867, and subsequent years, are made from the
account of "warrants on the Treasurer issued;" all previous years are from the account of "warrants paid."

As it is impracticable to settle the Treasurer's accounts in time for the Secretary's annual report to Congress, it has always been customary to state the receipts and expenditures in the Finance Reports from the account of warrants issued; but it has also been the custom to correct these statements in subseqnent reports, as soon as the Treasurer's accounts are settled, by deducting outstanding warrants. Owing to the rast increase of business in the Treasurer's, Auditors', and Comptrollers' O円fces during the war, the accounts of the Treasurer were not settled for two and sometimes three years after the close of the fiscal year. On this account these annual corrections were not made for the years $1862,1863,1864$, and 1865 , until the settlement of the account for 1866. The amount of outstanding warrants for the year 1866 is shown on page 19 of the Finance Report for 1871, under each head of expenditure, aggregating $\$ 4,484,555.03$.

With the exception of a few typographical or clerical errors, the discrepancies in the two reports arise from the causes enumerated. There are other apparent discrepancies arising wholly from classification; but in no instance do the settled and determined sources of revenue, such as customs, lands, direct tax, and internal revenue, nor the well-defined classes of expenditures, such as War, Navy, Interior, \&c., disagree, except as stated. It is only in separating public debt from miscellaneous, and civil from foreign intercourse, that discrepancies in classification occur, which depend entirely upon the judgment of the clerk making the classification.

These tables are given as a condensed synopsis of the books of the Department, and changes and alterations in classification or form do not imply changes in the books in which the receipts are entered in detail, under the gencral classification of "customs," "lands," "direct tax," "internal revenue," and "miscellaneons," and the expenditures in detail, under each head of appropriation as authorized by law. As soon as the public accounts are audited and settled by the accounting-officers they are laid before the House of Representatives in detail, in accordance with the standing order of the House of December 30, 1791, and the act of August 26, 1842.

These acconnts as pablished agree in all particulars with the books of the Department, and are the official statements with which all comparisons should be made.

## PUBLIC DEBT.

Prior to 1871 the outstanding public debt was stated from the books of "issues and redemptions." In 1871 this account was restated, from 1836, from the "receipts and expenditures." From the nature of these two accounts the amount outstanding will not agree at any period, although when brought within the same dates and to include the same items there will be no difference. To illustrate: a subscription is made to a loan in June, but the bonds are not issued until July. On the 30th of June the ontstanding of this loan will be greater by this amount on the "receipts and expenditures" account than on the "issues and redemptions" account.

Secretary Fessenden, on page 7 of his Report for the fiscal year 1864, states the amount paid into the Treasury previous to July 1, 1863, for which evidences of debt were subsequently issued, as $\$ 23,782,42320$, which was not included in the outstanding of the debt as published for the year 1863, but which is included in the statement by receipts and expenditures for that year.

Again, the Treasurer redeems a certain amount of public debt in June, but the re-imbursing warrants are not issued until after the 1st of July. In this case there will be less outstanding out the 30th June on the "issue and redemption" account, by this amount, than on the "receipts and expenditures" account.

Secretary McCulloch, on page 19 of his Report for the fiscal year 1865, states that the amount of $\$ 77,897,347.02$ was excluded from the pullic debt for the year 1864, which amount had been paid out of the Treasury but had not been re-imbursed to the Treasurer by warrants until after the commencement of the next fiscal year. The outstanding delt by these two accounts never can agree unless all issues are made in the same period in which the subscriptions are received, and re-imbursing warrants issued in the same period in which the redemptions are made.

During the year 1870, the public-debt accounts from 1836 were examined with a view of bringing these two accounts together.

The result of this examination was the adoption of the present system of stating the public delt, by which these two accounts are made to harmonize, the one being a check upon and proving the correctness of the other. It was necessary to select some period when these two accounts came together, and the year 1836, when we had comparatively no debt, was the period selected. When this examination was completed, the Register was directed to state his accounts acoordingly.

In regard to the outstanding public debt for the years 1869 and 1870 being published, including acerued interest, less cash in the Treasury, the Register submits the following statement:


#### Abstract

"The year 1869 was the first of Secretary Boutwell's administration, who renodeled the debt-statement and added the item of accrued interest to, and deducted the cash in the Treasury from, the outstanding principal of the debt. The clerk having charge of the division of receipts and expenditures in the Register's Office, whose duty it was to prepare the tables for the finance report, followed the plan of the Secretary's Office in making up the monthly debt statement, and reported the outstanding debt for those years including accrued interest and deducting cash in the Treasury. I was led to believe at the time that it was so stated to conform to suggestions made by the chief clerk in the Secretary's Office."

The statement of this account (extending over a period of thirty-five years and involving receipts into the Treasury of over 6,600 millions of dollars, and an expenditure of over 4,200 millions) from two independent sets of accounts, proving the correctness of the one by the other, is in itself the highest proof of the accuracy of the public accounts, however they may appear in some instances, at first view, to contradict each other.

With these general explanations, covering the whole ground of inquiry, the following explanations of apparent discrepancies are submitted in the order in which they are pointed out in the speech of the honorable Senator from West Virginia.

The total annual expenditures are stated in the Finance Report of 1869, on page 321, as follows:


1860-'61.
\$85, 387, 31308

| 1862-'63 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |

570,841,700 25
1862-'63
895, 796, 63065
1863-'64 1, 298, 144, 65600
1864-'65
1,897, 674,224 09
1865-'60
$1,141,07 \%, 66609$

## Total

5,883, 917, 19016
The total annual expenditures are stated in the Finance Report for 1870, on page 275, as follows :

| $\begin{aligned} & 1860-61 . \\ & 1861-62 . \\ & 1862-63 \\ & 1863-94 . \\ & 1864-65 . \\ & 1865-66 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 85,387,36308 \\ 565,667,35808 \\ 899,815,91125 \\ 1,295,541,114 \\ 1,966 \\ 1,139,433,331 \\ 1,137 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total | 5,892, 189, 16059 |
| Difference or increase................ which is explained as follows, viz: | 3, 271,970 43 |
| Typographical error in Finance Report for 1869 in the amount of the total expenditures for the year 1861-'62. |  |

Trust-funds, excluded from the Finance Report of 1869, namely:

| 1862-Chickasaw fund. | \$20, 00000 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Choctaw schools. | 31991 |
| Navy pension-fund | 3, 01344 |
|  | 23, 33335 |
| Less repayment, Navy hospital-fund. | 6,292 60 |

5000

Choctaw schools......................................... 31991
Navy pension-fund
23,333 35
(See Appendix B.)
1863-Awards................................................ 3, 04331
Cherokee schools 13, 06587
Navy pension-fund ......................................... 3,16204
Kansas schools ....................................... 60000
Navy hospital-fund....................................... 10,85837
(See Appendix C.)

| 186u-Navy pension-fund ....... | 7,047,200 65 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chickasaw fund | 122,256 96 |  |
| Cherokee schools | 4,692 42 |  |
| Choctaw schools | 21, 61030 |  |
| Kansas schools. | 1,312 50 |  |
| Navy hospital-fund | 45, 16917 |  |
| (See Appendix E.) |  | 7,242,242 00 |
| Total .......................... |  | 7, 290, 06234 |

from which deduct outstanding warrants:
page $356 \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ ceipts and expenditures" 1861, page 385 ..... 466, 46312
Difference explained ..... 3,271, 97043
In the repmrt of 1870 the expenditures for pensions are stated for the year 1864-'65 at ..... 16, 347, 62134
In the report for 1869 , for same year, at
7, 056,010 子6
which is explained as follows :
Amount paid from the Navy pension-fund (Interior Department) for investment in Navy pension-fund, (public debt, ) per act of July 1, 1864, ( 13 Statates, p. 414, section 4753, Revised Statutes) 7,047,200 65 Excess of repayment carried to "Indians" per "receipts and expen- ditures" 1865, p. xlv

$$
9,46619
$$

$$
7,056,66684
$$

Less amount of outstanding warrants issued on this ac- count, but not paid during the year. (See "receipts and expenditures" 1864, p. 229, and 1865, p. 276).. ..... \$245 98
And error in classification carried to "Indians" ..... 41000
65598
Differeuce explained7, 056, 01086
In the report of 1870 the expenditures for pensions for the year 1863-64 are stated at ..... 4,985, 47390
In the report of 1869 at ..... 4,979 63317
Showing an increase in the report of 1870 over that of 1869 of. ..... 5,840 73
which is explained as follows:
Navy pension-fund ..... 16,81124Error in classification-should be under "Indians" ..................Outstanding warrants on this account of former years paid during
this. (See "receipts and expenditures" for 1863, p. 22s; for 1864,p. 227)1,349 48
Less relief-acts, "receipts and expenditures" 1864, p. 29
18,360 73
12, 52000
Difference explained ..... 5, 84073
In the report of 1870 the expenditures for pensions in the year 1870are stated at
28,340,202 17
In the report of 1874 atShowing an increase in the report of 1874 over 1870 of
$\qquad$28, 402,241 2062,039 03
which is explained as follows:
Amount of "Pay Indian agents," improperly deducted from "In-dians." (See "receipts and expenditures," p. xxxv)58,72909
Outstanding warrants on this account in prior years, paid daring this. (See "receipts and expenditures" for 1869, p. 307; for 1870 ,p. 301)3,309 94
Difference explained ..... $6 \because, 03903$
In the Finance Report for 1871 the expenditures in the Naval Depart- ment are stated for the year 1865 at 122,617, 43407
In the report for 1869 at ..... 122, 567,77612
Showing au increase in the report of 1871 over that of 18.39 of ..... 49,657 95
S. Rep. 371-2
which is explained as follows:

| Navy hospital-fund. |  | \$45, 16917 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The outstanding warrants at the close of the sear 1864 (see "receipts and expenditures" p. 236) |  |  |
| amounted, on this account, to .................... | \$44, 02443 |  |
| And at the close of the year 1865 (see "receipts and expenditures" 1865, p. 285) to. | 39,535 65 |  |
| Showing that there was paid during the fear. |  | 4,48878 |
| Difference explained. |  | 49,657 95 |

In the report of $18 \% 1$ the expenditures of the War Department are stated for the year 1862-'63 at

603, 314, 41182
In the report of 1869 at..........................................................
Showing an increase in the report of 1871 over that of 1869 of
which is the amount of "outstanding warrauts" paid during the year, as follows:
The outstanding warrants on this account at the close of the fiscal jear 1862 (see "receipts and expenditures" for 1862, p. 274) amounted to.

$\$ 5,306,61224$

And at the close of the year 1863, p. 242, to........... 1, 290,80125

Showing that there was paid during the jear.
$4,015,81099$

Which is explained as follows :


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| the jear 1863, are stated at <br> the the report for 1868 , at $\$ 3,140,194.44$, which is the amount of ex- | \$1, 788,51336 |
| pendíture on account of "Indians," tabulated, as stated above, |  |
| under the head of "Pensions" |  |
| The correct amount is | 1,076,326 35 |
| Showing the true difference to be | 2,187 |

Which is explained as follows:
Navy pension-fund.
Less outstanding warrants issued but not paid during the year (see Receipts and Expenditures for 1842, p. 256, and for $1 \varepsilon 63, \mathrm{p} .228$ )
$\$ 47823$
And expenditures under relief act incorrectly classified un-
der "Indians," p. 29
49680
Difference explained

## REVENUE COLLECTED.

In the Finance Report for 1863, the total revenue for the year, exclusive of loans and Treasury-notes, is stated at.
$\$ 132,889,74695$
112, 697, 29095
Showing a difference in the two reports of
$20,192,45600$
Which is the amount of receipts for that vear from postal currency improperly included in the report for 1863 in the column of "net ordinary revenue"
$20,192,45600$
The revenue collected during the year, according to the two reports, is the same, with this exception.
In the reports for the years 1870 and 1871, the receipts from internal revenue were included, by a clerical error, in "loans and Treasury notes." This was an error in revising the tables, and was corrected in 1872, 1870 and 1871 being the only years in which this error occurs. By reference to the "Receipts and Expenditures" for 1863, page 22 , it will be seen that this amount ( $\$ 37,640,787.95$ ) of receipts from internal revenue was all received from the "Treasurer of the United States," immediately following which are the receipts from the several loans. The clerk inadvertently tabulated these items together, and the error, irrespective of the incorrect information conveyed by the report as to the revenue from this source, can have no bearing upon the question of the correctness of the public accounts, nor does it evidence any change or alteration in the books of the Treasury.

All the tables and statements contained in the Finance Reports are condensed from the accounts, and in making such statements errors are liable to occur, and when they are discovered it is certainly proper to correct them.

## Loans and Treasury-notes.

The receipts from loans and Treasury-notes for the year 1863 are stated as follows:
In the report for 1863, at
756, 489, 90557
In the report for 1864, at
776, 682, 36157
Showing a difference of
20, 192, 45600
Which is the amount of receipts from postal currency heretofore shown to be included in net ordinary revenue in the report for the year 1863 .
In the report for 1870 , the revenue from this source for 1863 is stated at 814, 925, 49496

Showing a difference, compared with the report of 1863, of
Which consists of the item of internal revenue, heretofore explained, included in this acconut

58, 435, 58939
The amounts of receipts from postal currency, heretofore shown to be included in net ordinary revenue 37,640,787 95

And the following items included in " miscellaneous" in the report of 1864. (See "Receipts and Expenditures" for 1863, p. 22 :)

Profit on certificate of indebtedness redeemed before maturity......
Prenium on three-years' $7 \frac{3}{10}$ coupou bonds
20,425 00 581, 92044

## Difference explained <br> Changes in the public-debt statement.

In the Finance Report for 1871, the Register states the public debt for the following years at :


In the report for 1870 , for the same year, the debt is stated as follows :


But this difference or increase, as thus shown, is erroneous. It is a comparison of the sum of running balances, between which no intelligible comparison can be made.

The proper way to make the comparison is to find the increase or decrease on each account for each year, and thus determine the aggregate increase on each account since 1861, the difference between which will be the true difference in the accounts.

The following will explain the difference:
Statement of the increase or decrease of the public debt in each year from 1861 to 1870 , inctusive, showing the net difference between the "receipts and expenditures" account and the " issue and redemptions" account.


[^1]This difference or apparent increase of the debt as shown in the Finance Report for 1870 consists of the following items:

Amount of the bonds purchased for the sinking and special funds prior to July 1, 1870, but not received and paid for prior to the passage of the act of July 14, 1870, and consequently not deducted from the outstanding public debt on July 1, 1870, on "receipts and expenditares" account<br>$\$ 3,689,10000$

(Soe Note 14, Finance Report 1871, page 7.)
Amounts not included in the "issues and redemptions" account, but included in the "receipts and expenditures" account:

$$
\text { Old debt, } \$ 57,665 \text {; interest, } \$ 64,164.81 \ldots . . . . . . \text {. } 121,82981
$$

Mexican indemnity
1,104 91
Bounty land-scrip
3,975 00
(See Note 10, Finance Report for 1871, page 7.)
Amount advanced to the Treasurer to pay interest on certificates of indebtedness, used to redeem principal, thus making a difference between the two accounts of.

21,425 52
Amount of Treasury notes of 1857, checked off on the loan-books, in excess of redemptions
(See Note 6, Finance Report for 1871, page 7.)
Amount of loan of 1848 redeemed and twice deducted on loan-books $\qquad$ 80000
(See Note 9, Finance Report for 1871, page 7.)
"In the examination of the account of the Texan indemnity loan, it was discovered that $\$ 30.62$ had been covered as principal which should have been covered as interest, also that $\$ 17,080$ was covered as principal which should have been covered as premium"

17,110 62
(See Note 7, Finance Report for 1871, page 7.)
"In the quarter ending September 30, 1868, \$150.22 was covered to the credit of principal of the loan of 1863 , which should have been covered as premium"

15022
(See Note 3, Finance Report for 1871, page 7.)
Miscellaneous covering-warrant, No.459, of December20, 1865, covered as receipts from principal of 5-20 loan of $1865, \$ 995,247$, which should have been covered as premium

995, 24700
Making a total discrepancy of
$\$ 4,855,84308$
From which deduct the following items:
Purloined Treasury notes redeemed under the first section of the act of August 10, 1846, charged on "receipts and expenditures" account to this loan, being equivalent to a duplicate issue, should be excluded altogether
\$23, 28986
Lost note of 1860 redeemed on bond of indemnity, and again redeemed when the note was presented by the holder

50000
Amount of certain $7 \frac{3}{10}$ notes of 1861 returned by the Treasurer as not issued, and canceled, as shown by the Register's books

45, 00000
Amount of old demand and legal-tender notes stolen from the Treasury after the Treasurer had received credit for their redemption, and again put in circulation. These amounts were recovered, but instead of being deposited as debits to the loans were covered as miscellaneous receipts:

Old demand notes.
18, 00000
Legal tenders
(The actual amount recovered on account of legal tenders was $\$ 6,000, \$ 3.94$ in excess of the amount necessary to make the account good.)


$$
30,00000
$$

Difference explained
426, 39998

In the Finance Report for 1874 the public debt is stated for the years-
1872 ..... \$2, 253, 251, 07878
1873 $2,234,482,74320$Decrease
$18,768,33558$
On page 5 of the Finance Report for 1873 the decrease is stated by the Secretary at $\$ 43,667,63005$

In the Finance Report for 1874 the debt is stated for the jears-

| $18$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,251,690,46843 \\ 2,234,482,99320 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Increas | 17, 207, 47523 |
| While the decrease of the debt for the year 1874 is stated by the Secretary at $\qquad$$\qquad$ $\$ 41,552,52477$ |  |
| These apparent differences are fully explained by the notes on pages |  |
| 6 and 9 of the Finance Report for 1874, as fullows: <br> (Note on page 6:) <br> "It will be seen that the increase of the principal of the public |  |
| "It will be seen that the increase of the principal of the public bt, as shown by this statement, appears to be $\$ 17,207,475.23$. Con- |  |
| tional banking associations might deposit United States legal-tender |  |
| notes in the Treasury of the United States, and receive therefor cer- |  |
| tificates of deposit, bearing no interest, and that the notes so depos- |  |
| ited should be set apart aud held as a special deposit for the redemp- |  |
| tion of said certificates. The certificates outstanding on the 1st day |  |
| of July, and included in the outstanding principal of the debt, amounted to $\$ 58,760,000$, and the notes held as a special deposit for |  |
|  |  |
| their redemption were included in the cash balance in the Treasury on |  |
| that day. It will be seen, therefore, that while these certificates, as |  |
| a matter of accounts, are treated as a part of the public debt, they |  |
| do not in reality form any portion of it, in the usual sense of the |  |
| word, for the reason that no revenue is required to be provided for |  |
| their payment, the notes which they represent always being in the |  |
| Treasury as a special deposit for their redemption, and those notes |  |
| being included in the outstanding legal-tender notes. Omitting these |  |
| certificates of deposits as offset by the notes held on deposit for their |  |
| edemption, the actual reduction of the principal of the debt was |  |
| \$41,552,524.77." |  |

(Note on page 9:)"In the amount here stated as the outstanding principal of thepublic debt are included the certificates of deposit outstanding on the30th June, issued under act of June 8, 1872, amounting to $\$ 31,730,000$in 1873, and $\$ 58,760,000$ in 1874, for which a like amonnt in UnitedStates notes was on special deposit in the Treasury for their redemp-tion, and added to the cash balance in the Treasury. These certiti-cates, as a matter of accounts, are treated as a part of the publicdebt, but, being offset by notes held on deposit for their redemption,should properly be deducted from the principal of the public debt inmaking comparison with former years."
The outstanding principal of the public debt June 30, 1873, was, as stated ..... $\$ 2,234,482,99320$
To which add accrued interest ..... 42, 356, 65282
And deduct cash in the Treasury-
Coin....
Special deposit held for redemption of certificates of deposit as provided by law
87,507,402 68
87,507,402 68

9,783,529 77

9,783,529 77
31, 730, 00000
31, 730, 00000 ..... 7 ..... 7\$2,276, 839,646 02
$129,020,93245$
Debt, less cash in the Treasury July 1, 1873. $2,147,818,71357$
Deduct this amount from the outstanding debt, less cash in the Treas- ury July $1,187 \%$ $2,191,486,34362$
Leaves the net decrease of the debt for the year $43,667,63005$
(See page 5 of the Finance Report of 1873.)
The outstanding principal of the public debt June 30, 1874, was, as already stated $\$ 2,251,690,46843$
To which add accrued interest 38, 939, 08747 ..... 47
2, 290, 629,555 90
And deduct cash in the Treasury. ..... 147, 541, 31474
Debt, less cash in Treasury July 1, 1874 2, 143, 088, 24116Deduct this amount from the outstanding debt, less cash in theTreasury Juls $\mathbf{1 , 1 8 7 3}$$2,147,818,71357$
Showing a decrease during the year of ..... $4,730,47241$
Additional discrepancies.
The honorable Senator from West Virginia, in his speech of the 24th of January, 1876, pointed out the following additional discrepancies:
The report for 1866 states the net revenue collected for the year 1862
at.$\$ 51,935,72076$
The report for 1870 at ..... 51, 907,944 62
Showing a decrease of ..... 27,776 14
Which is explained as follows:
Premium on 73.10 loan of 1861, included in receipts from loans and Treasury notes for the year 1862.$\$ 6 \$, 40000$
Unavailables, (Receipts and Expenditures 1863, page 24,) not included in the report of 1866 ..... 11,11081
79,510 81
Less trust-funds excluded from the report of 1862 ..... 51,734 67
Difference explained ..... 27,776 14
The report for 1866 states the net revenue collected for the year 1863at $\$ 112,687,290.95$, the correct amonnt being\$112, 697, 29095
The report for 1870 , at ..... 74, 448, 15755
Showing a difference of $38,249,13340$
Which is composed of the following items, (as before shown,) tabulated in the reportof 1870, under the head of loans and Treasury-note8, viz :
Internal revenue ..... $\$ 37,640,78795$
Profit on certificates of indebtedness redeemed before maturity ..... 20, 42500
Premium on three-jear $7_{\text {I }}^{\text {Br }}$ bonds. ..... 581, 92644
And unavailables not included in the report of 1866 ; see Receipts and Expenditures for 1863, page 22 ..... 6,000 01
Difference explained ..... $38,249,13340$
The report for 1866 states the net revenue collected for the year 1864 at ..... \$264, 626, 77160
The report for 1870 at ..... 262, 742, 35432
Showing a decrease of 1,884,417 28
Which consists of the following items, talbulated in the report of 1866 under netrevenue, and in the report of 1870 under receipts from loans and Treasury-notes. (SeeReceipts and Expenditures for 1864, page 26.)
Premium on 1881 bonds of the loan of 1863 ..... \$1, 675, 343 \% 0
Premium on $10-40$ bonds, loan of 1864 ..... 160, 16379
Deduction of 4 per cent. on the redemption of Texan indemnity bonds. ..... 39, 69939
And unavailables not included in the report of 1866 ..... 9,21040 ..... 9,21040
Difference explained $1,884,41728$
The report of 1866 states the net revenue for the jear 1865 at ..... \$333,714,605 08
The report for 1870 at ..... 323, 092,785 92
Showing a decrease of 10,621,819 16
Which consists of the following amounts included in the report of 1870, nnder the
head of loans and Treasury-notes. (See Receipts and Expenditures for 1865, page xl.)
Premium on 1881 bonds $\$ 1,590,01228$
Accrued interest on 10-40 bonds ..... 829, 63765
Difference of exchange on sterling fund ..... 3, 047, 02783
Sale of gold coin, act of March 17, 1862 ..... 3, 355, 00000
Premium on 5-20 bonds, June 30, 1864 ..... 1,794, 04629
And unavailables (Receipts and Expenditures 1866, page Ixxviii) not in- cluded in the report of 1866 ..... 6,095 11
Difference explained 10, 621, 81916

## Public-debt statement from 1836 to 1860.

In the financial report for 1869 , and prior years, the oatstanding delt is stated for the following years:


In the financial report for 1870 , and subsequent years, the outstanding debt is stated for the following years:
December 1, 1835 . $\$ 37,51305$

December 1, 1837.................................................................................... 3, 308, 12407
December 1, 1840.............................................................................. 5, 250,87554
December 1, 1842.............................................................................. 13,594, 48073
June 30, 1843.................................................................................. 32,742,922 00
June 30, $1858 . .$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ..... . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $44,911,88103$
June 30, 1859
58, 496, 83788
June 30, 1860..................................................................................... 64, 842, 28788
The differences between these two statements are fully explained in the following comparative tables:

Statement of the increase of the public debt in the years 1836, 1837, 1838, 1841, 1842, 1843, 1858,1859 , and 1860, showing the net difference between the "receipts and expenditures" account and the "issues and redemptions" account.


\footnotetext{
*The item of "interest on old debt" is not included in the outstanding in the "receipts and expendi tares" account until 1837:

Unclaimed interest and principal of old debt. (See Finance Report, vol. 3,
1829-1836, page 629)
291, 08905
$\dagger$ In the issues and redemptions account the outstanding of the debt of the cities of Washington, Georgetorn, añ Alexandria, assumed per act of May 20, 1836, is included, viz :


This different or apparent increase of the debt as shown in the Finance Report for 1870 consists of the following items:

| Amounts included in the "receipts and expenditures" account, which had been excluded from the "issues and redemptions" account: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mexican indemnity | \$1,104 91 |
| Bounty-land scrip. | 8,775 00 |
| Interest on "old debt" | 7,714 33 |
| Also, "Treasury-notes" of 1857 redeemed, but not re-imbursed within the year | 311,664 11 |

Less the Texas debt included in the "issues and redemptions" account, but not in the "receipts and expenditures" account

191,016 99
Also the following items heretofore explained:
Purloined notes paid under act of August 10, 1846. 23, 23876

256,67355
Difference explained
72,58480
In reply to the charge that, "in the year 1870, there was an apparent reduction of the public debt of over $\$ 100,000,000$, (according to the Finance Report,) but this reduction was in a great measure only apparent and due to a stroke of the pen, a mere transfer of about $\$ 60,000,000$ to another table, [that] the country gave credit to the administration for the large decrease of the public debt during this year, when, in fact, the larger part of it was due to the change in book-keeping and the Secretary's new tables," it is only necessary to state that the outstanding public debt on July 1, 1869, (see Finance Report of 1869, p. xxix,) was
$\$ 2,656,603,95573$
From which was excluded the item of Pacific Railroad bonds...... 58, 638, 32000

Which shows a decrease for the year, exclusive of the item of Pacific Railroad bonds of

117, 660, 837 99,
A.-Statement of trust-funds and unavailables not included in the balance in the Treasury as published in the Finance Reports.

|  | Receipts. | Expenditures. | Balazce. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balances of trast-funds as per Finance Report 18371844, vol. 4, page 599, December 31, 1841 | \$374, 52118 |  | \$374, 52118 |
| 1842 ........................................................ | 321, 04285 | \$223, 04748 | 471, 61655 |
| 1843 | 237, 37663 | \} 321,575 19 | 411, 12000 |
| 1844 .............................................. | 816, 85570 | 543,649 63 | 684, 32607 |
| 1 l 45 | 172, 72034 | 499, 09526 | 357, 95115 |
| 1846 | 184, 90999 | 95, 10044 | 447, 76070 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1847 \\ & 1848 . \end{aligned}$ | 182,614 <br> 314,439 <br> 06 | 296,73824 189,84124 | 333, 63722 |
| 1849 | 133, 79548 | 1224, 11763 | 367, 21289 |
| 1850 | 248,017 85 | 216, 73681 | 398, 49393 |
| 1851 | 244, 89868 | 153, 26379 | 490,12882 |
| 1852 | 93,781 41 | 267, 82957 | 316, 08066 |
| 1853 | 449, 48018 | 553, 51724 |  |
| 1853, Unavailables |  | 103, 30137 | 108, 74183 |
| 1854, | 250,636 20 | 251, 12712 | 108, 25091 |
| 1855 | 146, 64413 | 188, 01174 | 66, 88330 |
| 1856 | 138,557 78 | 236, 65243 | *31, 21135 |
| 1857 | 3:3,798 90 | 245,68826 | 56, 89869 |
| 1858 | 97,796 37 | 103,853 45 | 50,841 61 |
| 1859 | 81, 39463 | 21,908 32 | \} 94,91958 |
| 1860 . |  | 15, 40834 |  |
| 1861 | 164,946 57 | 110, 71042 | 84,467 85 |
| 1862 | 51, 73467 | 17, 04075 |  |
| 1862, |  | 11, 11081 | 1 |
| 1863. | i, 297,524 | 30, 72959 |  |
| 1863, Unavail |  | 6,000 01 |  |
| 1864, | 3, 994, 05416 | 88,55411 9,210 | 5 $5,319,35163$ |
| 1865 | $4,146,71842$ | 7, 242, 24200 |  |
| 1865, Unavailable |  | -7,095 11 | 217, 732 94 |
| Total........................................ | 14, 589, 736 36 | 12, 372, 00342 | 2, 217, 73294 |
| Balance in the Treasury as per Finance Report July 1865, pages 2 and 32 . |  |  | 858,30915 |
| Balance in the Treasury as per receipts and expenditures July 1, 1865. |  |  | 3,076, 04209 |

[^2]|  | Quarter ending Sept. 30, 1861. | Quarter ending Dec. 31, 1861. | Qaarter ending March 31, 1862. | Quarter ending <br> June 30, 1862. | Total. | Balances. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance in the Treasury on July 1, 1861 |  |  |  |  |  | \$2, 257, 06580 |
| RECEIPTS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{5}$-20 years bonds, per act of February 25, 18 |  |  |  | *13,990, 60000 | \$13, 990, 60000 |  |
| Treasury notes. | 198,602 55 | 309,066 47 |  | 98,620, 000000 | 98,620,000 00 |  |
| Direct tax |  | \%, 309, 060 | \$14, 618, 558 | 18,930, 179516 | 49, $1,795,33173$ |  |
| Sales of public lands | 35,967 03 | 39,658 40 | 27,019 74 | 1, 49, 55854 | 1, 152, 20377 |  |
| tucidental ........... | 318, 09586 | 172, 31622 | 182, 26805 | 259, 10751 | 931, 78764 |  |
| Three years coupon bouds, at 73.10 per c | 50, 125, 38587 | 91, 790, 26723 | 10, 670, 59824 | 13,825, 92500 | 166, 412, 58534 |  |
| United States notes, payable on demand .................... | 15, 620, 00000 | 18, 480, 00000 | $25,900,00000$ | 30,000 00 | 60, 030,00000 |  |
| Treasury notes issued ander acts of June 22, 1860, authoriz March 2, 1861 |  |  |  |  | 14, 019, 03466 |  |
| Sixty-days Treasury notes, issued per act of March 2, 1861 | $\begin{array}{r} 14,019,03466 \\ 12,877,750 \\ 55,257 \\ 21,850 \\ 2,850 \\ 3,500 \end{array}$ | 18, 60000 |  |  | 12, 896, 35000 |  |
| Loan under act of February 8, 1861........ |  | 483, 00000 | 297, 00000 |  | 55, 25750 |  |
| Treasury notes issued per acts of February 8 and March 2 , 1861 |  | 483, 000 | 297,00000 | 198,850 00 | $1,000,70000$ 3,500 |  |
| Loan of November 16, 1861, authorized by acts of July 17 and August 5, 1861 |  | 24, 294, 12917 | 22, 009, 00000 |  | 46, 303, 12917 |  |
| Temporary loan, authorized by act of February 25, 1862 |  | 24, 204, 12917 | 20, 291, 61943 | 46, 187, 70467 | $66,479,32410$ |  |
| Certificates of indelotedness, authorized by acts of March 1 and 17, 1862.. |  |  | 5, 629,000 00 | 44, 252, 97973 | 49, 881, 97973 |  |
| Total | 100, 275, 44347 | $\begin{array}{r} 143,587,44655 \\ 5,20385 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 99,625,06390 \\ 4,66385 \end{array}$ | $238,140,22734$ | 581, 628,181 26 | 581, 628, 18126 |
| Trust-funds : <br> Smithsonian Institation |  |  |  |  |  | 583, 885, 24706 |
| Nayy pension-fund.. | 6,103 69 |  |  |  | 28,713 03 |  |
| Chickasaw fund. |  |  |  | 13, 15394 | 13, 15394 |  |
| Total | 100, 281, 54716 | 143, 592, 650 | 99, 629,727 75 | 238, 175, 99062 | 581, 679,915 93 |  |
| Civil : foreign intercourse and miscellaneous. | $\begin{array}{r} 6,140,77405 \\ 1,124,82592 \\ 58,345,12568 \\ 9,799,303 \\ 202,052 \\ 202 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,733,40670 \\ 469,06280 \\ 84,603,38932 \\ 9,779,238 \\ 44 \\ 3,738,52636 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,506,13316 \\ 78,84595 \\ 90,925,04846 \\ 8,277,42138 \\ 5,273,69936 \end{array}$ | 6, 028, 17725 726,25083 <br> 160, 434, 84390 <br> 14, 818, 60654 <br> 3,966, 04612 | $\begin{array}{r} 21,408,49116 \\ 3,102,98550 \\ 394,368,40736 \\ 42,674,56969 \\ 13,190,32445 \end{array}$ |  |
| Interior : pensions and Indians |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| War. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Navy ................................ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Re-imbursement of Treasury notes issued per act prior to December 23, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1857........................................ |  | 5000 |  |  | 5000 |  |
| Payment of Treasury notes issued per act of December 17, 1860 | 1,830, 10000 | 429, 00000 | 9,540, 35000 | ${ }_{* 33,600}^{100}$ | $2,567,700$ 9 3,960 3 15000 |  |
| Payment of Treasury notes issued per act of March 2, 1861 | 10,541, 10000 | 12, 430, 05000 | 6, 842,550 00 | 792, 45000 | $3,060,15000$ |  |
| Redemption of Treasury notes which have beer purloined. | 5150 |  |  |  | , 5150 |  |


| Re-imbursement of temporary loar, per acts of July 1 and August 5, 1861. | 10, 250, 00000 | $28,500,00000$ | 5, 625,000 00 |  | 44, 375, 00000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Re-imbursement of temporary ioan; per acts of February 25 and March |  |  | 1,415,215 00 | 7, 137,992 53 | $8,553,20753$ |  |
| Payment of interest on old funded debt................................. |  |  | 1,415, 306 |  | \&,553, 306 |  |
| Redemption of United States notes issued under act of July 17, 1861......- |  |  |  | 58,610 00 | 58,610 00 |  |
| Total..... Trust-funds: Awards........ | 98, 239, 73309 | 144, 946, 02362 | 133, 625, 16637 | 194, 030, 77717 | 570,841, 70025 |  |
| Chickasaw fuud |  |  |  | 20, 00000 | 20, 00000 |  |
| Choctaw schools |  |  |  | 31991 | 31991 |  |
| Navy pension-fund. | *1500 | 1,588 84 | 15000 | 1,289 60 | 3, 01344 |  |
| Navy hospital-fand | *783 86 | 1,625 18 | *22,200 37 | 15,066 45 | *6, 29260 |  |
| Total | 95, 238,934 23 | 144, 949, 23764 | 133, 603, 11600 | 194, 067, 45313 | 570,858, 74100 |  |
| Balance in the Treasury June 30, 1862. |  |  |  |  |  | 13, 043, 54681 |

* Represent repayments.

|  | Quarter ending <br> Sept. 30, 1862. | Quarter ending <br> Dec. 31, 186iz. | Quarter ending March 31, 1863. | Quarter ending June 30, 1863. | Total. | Balances. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance in the Treasury on July 1, 1862. |  |  |  |  |  | \$13, 043, 54681 |
| Customs | \$23, 041, 73659 | \$13, 354, 50541 | \$15, 443, 53137 | \$17, 219,869 03 | \$69, 059, 64240 |  |
| Sales of pub | 22, 18104 | 5, 42838 | 30,431 22 | 109,576 53 | 167, 61717 |  |
| Dirct tax | 83,681 456,303 43 | $1,038,40794$ $7,180,446$ 28 | 183,60610 $, 765,68928$ | 179,40780 $14,238,34872$ | $1,485,10361$ $37,640,78795$ |  |
| Incidental ... | 5611,079 08 | 1, 219, 09711 | $\begin{array}{r}15,765,689 \\ 395 \\ \hline 18\end{array}$ | 14, 2388,34872 | $\begin{array}{r}37,640,78759 \\ 3,046,615 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |
| United States postage-stamps | 787, 50000 | 6, 057, 13600 | 12,076, 56400 | 1, 276,956 00 | 20, 192, 45600 |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r}1,500 \\ 3,550 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | 12200 |  | 17 1,62200 |  |
| 73-10 three-year coupon bonds, per acts of July 17 and August 5, 1861.... | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 3, 550, } \\ 72,436000 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $13,713,45000$ 53 5196,000 |  |  | 17, 263, 45000 |  |
| United States notes, per act of February 25, 1862.......................... Certificates of indelotedness, per acts of March 1 and $17,1862 . . . . . . . .$. | $72,436,00000$ <br> $12,184,824$ <br> 13 | $53,796,00000$ $54,220,43749$ | $\begin{array}{r}106,748,000 \\ 56,694,000 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $58,280,00000$ <br> $34,380,000$ |  |  |
| $5-20$ s ears bonds, per act of February 25, 1862......... | 12, 2334,80345 | 84, 740, 80216 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 5, } \\ 7,172,900 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}34,380,000 \\ 156,583,753 \\ \hline 8\end{array}$ | 175, 037, 25944 |  |
| Stock for Washington and Oregon war debt. | 145, 05000 |  |  |  | 145, 05000 |  |
| Temporary loans, per acts of February 25 and March 17, 1 < 62 | 22, 813, 84314 | 36,992, 21174 | 20, 383, 79043 | 35, 036, 91690 | 115, 226, 76221 |  |
| Six per cent twents-year bonds, per act of July 17, 1861 |  |  |  | 76,500 00 | 76,50000 | 882, 082, 12805 |
| Total Trust-funds | 138, 623, 80323 | 196, 317, 92245 | 234, 888,542 77 | 318, 251, 85960 | 888, 082, 12805 | 901, 125, 6\%4 86 |
| Smithsonian Institution Navy pension-fund....... | $\begin{aligned} & 22,66385 \\ & 76,71941 \end{aligned}$ | 531, 45580 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,682,00 \\ 21 \tau, 03318 \end{array}$ | 446, 97023 | $\begin{array}{r} 25,34585 \\ 1,272,17864 \end{array}$ |  |
| Total | 138, 723, 18649 | 196, 849, 37825 | 235, 108, 25795 | 318, 698, 82983 | 889, 379, 65252 |  |
| Civil : Foreign iuterconrse and miscellaneous | 4, 436,907 32 | 4, 902, 44627 | 7, 138,899 10 | 6, 775, 66948 | 23, 253, 92208 |  |
| Interior : Pensions and Indians............ | 1,046,906 42 | 689,25706 | 929, 16272 | 1,551, 19459 | 4, 216, 52079 |  |
| War | 90, 869, 85023 | 131, 781, 55.531 | 204, 408, 69073 | 172, 238, 50256 | 599, 298, 60083 |  |
| Navy | 10, 076, 35391 | 11, 901, 64938 | 16, 705, 86405 | 24, 527, 23793 | 63, 211, 10527 |  |
| Interast on public debt, including Treasury notes | 4,654, 48887 | 5, 558, 096770 | 5,930,816 32 | 8,586, 50465 | 24, 729,816 61 |  |
| Payment of Treasury notes, issued per act of December 23, 1857 | 14,300 00 | 20000 | 33, 3000.1 | 10,800 00 | 58, 60000 |  |
| Payment of Treasury notes, issued per act of December 17, 1860 | 4,500 00 | 40000 | 3,100 00 | 70000 | 8,700 00 |  |
| Payment of Treasury notes, issued per act of March 2, 1861 | 22,550 00 | 33,30000 | 116,950 00 | 1,971,550 00 | 2, 144, 35000 |  |
| Redemption of $73-10$ compon bonds, per act of July 17, 1861 | 2, 00000 | 50, 00000 |  | 19,540 00 | 71,500 00 |  |
| Redemption of United States noter, per act of July 17, 1861 | 27, 662, 49000 | 16, 163, 90000 | 10,750, 00000 | 1,581,000 00 | $56,177,39000$ |  |
| Redemption of United States notes, per act of February 25, 1862. | 2,000,000 00 |  |  | 99,000 00 | 2, 099, 00000 |  |
| Redemption of certificates of indebtedness, per acts of March 1 and 17, 1862. | $5,885,000,00$ | 756, 00000 | 30, 00000 | 43, 648, 75823 | 50, 359, 75823 |  |
| Redenption of United States stock, Washington and Oregon war debt |  | 64, 25000 | 5,300 00 |  | 69,55000 |  |
| Re-imbursement of temporary loan, per acts of February 25 and March 17, 1862. | 9,913,510 66 | 24, 820,507 99 | 11, 219, 70382 | 21,570, 27101 | 67, 516, 99348 |  |


| Re-imbursement of Treasury notes, issued under acts prior to July 22, 1846. <br> Redemption of stock, loan of 1842 | 5000 |  | 2, 348, 26336 | 232, 48000 | $\begin{array}{r} 5000 \\ 2,580,743 \\ 36 \end{array}$ | 895, 796,630 65 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 156, 608, 847 | 196, 721, 56478 | 259, 613, 05001 | 282, 853, 16845 | 895, 796, 63065 |  |
| Trust-funds: A wards | 3,043 31 |  |  |  | 3, 04331 |  |
| Cherokee schools |  |  | 8883 | 12, 97704 | 13,065 87 |  |
| Navy pensiou-tuad | 13309 | 1,573 13 | 45500 60000 | 00 | $3 ; 16204$ 60000 |  |
| Navy hospital-fund | 26,664 41 | *8, 46869 | 2, 84108 | *10, 17843 | 10,858 37 |  |
| Total | 156, 638, 42204 | 196, 714, 669 | 259, 617, 03492 | 282, 857, 23406 | 895, 827, 36024 |  |
| Balance in the Treasury June 30, 1863 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,329, 04421 |

* Represent repayments.

|  | Quarter ending Sept. 30, 1863. | Quarter ending Dec. 31, 1863. | Quarter ending March 31, 1864. | Quarter ending June 30, 1864. | Total. | Balances. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance in the Treasury July 1, 1863. RECEIPTS. |  |  |  |  |  | \$5, 329, 04421 |
| Customes ............ | \$22, 562, 01842 | \$23, 211, 52086 | \$27, 439,654 13 | \$29, 102,959 58 | \$102, 316, 15299 |  |
| Sales of public lands | 136, 18209 | 170,503 45 | 131, 88292 | 149, 76483 | -102, 588,33329 |  |
| Directtax |  | 14, 03506 | $\begin{array}{r}397,16668 \\ \hline 97585\end{array}$ | 64, 44782 | 475, 64896 |  |
| Internal revenue. Incidental | $17,599,713$ 641,542 04 | $\begin{array}{r}27,262,631 \\ 1,912,529 \\ \hline 1,9\end{array}$ | $27,685,21245$ $42,527,35139$ | $37,193,57680$ <br> $32,480,025$ <br> 8 | 109, 741, 13410 |  |
| Fractional curroncy |  | 1, 598, 74950 | $\begin{array}{r}12,527,312 \\ 3,057,212 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}32,450,025 \\ 4,513,709 \\ \hline 25\end{array}$ | $7,511,44810$ $8,169,72125$ |  |
| United States notes, per act of February 25, 1863 | 15, 452, 00000 | 49, 099, 73000 | 4,766, 26000 | 17, 102, 88000 | 86, 420, 87000 |  |
| Five-twenty ypars bouds, per act of February 25, $1862 . .$. | 84, 6339, 69885 | $161,480,43831$ | 75, 400, 00645 | -31, 21014 | 321, 551, 28341 |  |
| Certificates of indebtedness, per acts of March 1 and 17, 1862 | 34, 865, 00000 | 32, 161, 000100 | $56,649,00000$ | 65, 504, 00000 | 169, 179, 00000 |  |
| Temporary leaks, per acts of February 25 and March 17, 1862.............. | $32,690,01384$ | 14, 758, 923 ¢33 | 29, 696, 78457 | 92, 072, 32317 | $169,218,14481$ |  |
| Six per cent. 20-year bonds, per act of July 17, 1861. ....................... | 13,241,500 00 | 1478,599 52 | 1,594, 67593 | 28,250, 10000 | 30, 565, 87545 |  |
| Two-year 5 per cent. interest-bearing notes, per act of March 3, 1863..... | 13,000, 00000 | 36,500,000 00 | 92, 495, 89301 | 24, 484, 106 @9 | 166, 480, 00000 |  |
| One-year 5 per cent. interest-bearing notes per act of March 3, 1863....... |  |  | 27, 400, 000 60 | 17, 120, 00000 | 44, 520, 00000 |  |
| Ten-forty years bonde, per act of March 3, 1864................... |  |  |  | 73, 337, 68000 | 73, 337, 680000 |  |
| Nix per cent. compound-interest notes, per act of March 3, 1863. |  |  |  | 17,250, 00000 | 17, 250, 00000 |  |
| Six per ceut. 1881 bonds, per act of March 3, 1863 |  |  |  | 42, 141, 77105 | 42, 141, 77105 |  |
| Trust-funds: | 201, 8:7, 59849 | 347, 649, 66028 | 359, 241, 15003 | 480, 748, 55461 | 1,389, 466, 96341 | 1,394,796,007 69 |
| Smithsonian Institution | 22, 31675 |  | 2,682 00 |  |  | 1, 394, 796, 00762 |
| Nary pension fuud. | $76,84072$ | 1, 148,876 77 | 1, 721, 63061 | 979,978 60 | 3, 927 , 32670 |  |
| Chickasaw fund. |  |  | 7,398 08 |  | 41,728 71 |  |
| Tital | 201, 961, 08659 | 348, 798, 533705 | 360, 972, 86072 | 481, 728, 53321 | 1, 393, 461, 01757 |  |
| EXPENLITURES. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civil: Foreign intercourse, and miscellaneous | 7, 216,939 31 | 6, 284, 57659 | 7, 608, 39691 | 6, 395, 68665 |  |  |
| Interior : Ponsions and Indians | 1, 711, 27195 | 1, 648, 20379 | 2, 701, 40437 | 1,457,05086 | 7,517, 93097 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { War } \\ & \text { Navy } \end{aligned}$ | $144,367,47397$ $18,511,61886$ | $\begin{array}{r}163,366,85510 \\ 23,989 \\ \hline 1891\end{array}$ | $193,477,74069$ | 189, 559, 773 21 | 690, 791, 84297 |  |
| Interest on the public debt, including Treasury-notes | 18, 283,62837 | 17, 349,95858 | $17,731,436$ $9,287,11582$ | $25,507,34618$ $22,764,71892$ | $85,733,29277$ |  |
| Redemption of stock, loan of 1842 .............. | 60,000 00 | 16,800 00 | 3, 3, 00000 | 26, 261230 | 105, 81230 |  |
| Redemption of stook; Washington and Oregon war debt. | 5,300 00 |  |  |  | 5, 30000 |  |
| Redemption of stock, 7310 coupon bonds, per act of July 17, 1861 | 6,000 00 |  | 681, 50000 |  | $687,50000$ |  |
| Redemption of Thited States notes, per act of July 17, 1861... | 1, 258,500 00 | 967, 74225 | 392, 09525 | 274, 09000 | $2,892,42750$ |  |
| Redenption of United States notes, per act of Fobrnary $25,1862$. | 337, 27900 | 6672, 05399 | 13, 220,81923 | 28, 330, 89641 | $42,561,04854$ |  |
| Redemption of certificates of indebtedness, per acts of March 1 and 17, 1862 | 12,345, 80416 | 56,881, 43749 | 61, 818, 00000 | 34, 035, 00000 | $165.080,24165$ |  |
| Redemption of postage and other stamps, per act of July 17, 1862.......... | 1, 299,600 00 | 1,927, 54585 | 806,507 85 | 991, 24630 | 5, 024, 90000 |  |



|  | Quarter ending Sept. 30, 1864. | Quarter ending Dec. 31, 1864. | Quarter ending Mar. 31, 1865. | Quarter ending June 30, 1865. | Total. | Balances. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance in the Treasury on first July, 1864. |  |  |  |  |  | \$96, 739, 90573 |
| Customs | \$19, 271, 09196 | \$15, 123, 928 | \$20, 518, 85254 | \$30, 014, 38732 | \$84, 928, 26060 |  |
| Sales of public lands | $\begin{array}{r}342,18584 \\ 16 \\ 1689 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 287,83526 <br> 8438 <br> 38 | 162,010 47 | $\begin{array}{r}204,52174 \\ 288 \\ \hline 298 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | -1996,553 31 |  |
| Direct tax. | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 16, } 07986 \\ 46,562,859 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | 55, 843, 3880 | 65, 5262,71481 | 42, 2808,3980829 | $1,200,57303$ $209,464,215$ |  |
| Incidental . | 9, 020, 17144 | 9, 295, 85244 | 4, 159, 22373 | 7, 148, 03686 | 29,623, 284 |  |
| Fractional carrency, per act of March 3, | $4,340,78915$ | 2, 426, 82550 | 3, 691, 64775 | 4, 155, 29175 | 14, 614, 56315 |  |
| 6 per cent. 20-year bonds, per act of July 17, 1861 | 78, 963, 52455 | 17, 560, 90000 | 12, 002, 90000 | 46, 20000 | 108, 573, 52455 |  |
|  | 3, 355, 820000 | 804,010 51,300 00 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}4,159,830 \\ 259 \\ \hline 257 \\ \hline 15\end{array}$ |  |
|  |  | 28,956,953 18 |  |  | 131, 2388,65715 |  |
| Temporary loans, per act of February 25,1862 , and March 17, 1862....... | $21,198,95197$ $85,471,200$ 63 | $28,956,95318$ <br> $36,667,000$ <br> 0 | $22,746,03846$ 68,000 00 | $\begin{array}{r} 58,536,12925 \\ 8,269,000 \\ 00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 131,438,07286 \\ & 130,975,200.63 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 6 per cent 1881 bonds, per act of March 3, 1863............. | 31, 848, 76323 | 183, 16599 | 1, 12601 | -142, 75000 | 32, 175, 80523 |  |
| $10-40$ year bonds, per act of March 3, 1864 | 6, 910, 68050 | 36, 486, 46950 | 56, 161, 25001 |  | 99, 558, 40001 |  |
| 6 per cent. compound-interest notes, per acts of March 3, 1863, and June 3, 1864 | 88, 073, 44000 | 20, 460, 00000 | 5, 780, 70000 | 65, 900, 00000 | 180, 214, 14000 |  |
| 73 -10 3-year Treasury-notes, per act of June 30, 1864 | 56, 491, 40000 | 54, 350,850 00 | 185, 082, 38279 | 363, 975, 76764 | 659, 900, 40143 |  |
| 5.20-year honds, (6 per cent., ) per act of June 30, 1864 |  | 77, 058, 25025 | 9,000 00 | 17, 639,000 00 | 94, 706, 25025 |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 15,655,895 \\ 3,355 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15,655,895 \\ 3,355,000 \end{array}$ |  |
| Gold coin purchased, per act of March 17, 1862, (first |  |  |  |  |  | 792, |
| Total | 452, 068, 325 20 | 355, 686, 45300 | 375, 698, 65085 | 618, 339, 19846 | 1,801, 792,627 51 |  |
| Trust-funds: <br> Smithsonian Instituti | 2,682 00 |  |  |  |  | 1, 898, 532, 53324 |
| Nay y-pension fund | 815, 64542 | 1, 0066,09034 | 1, 538,02770 | 764, 61228 | 4, 124,375 74 |  |
| Chickasaw fund | 7, 19143 | 1,830 00 |  | 1,830 00 | 16, 97868 |  |
| Total | 452, 893, 84405 | 356, 694, 37334 | 377, 245, 48780 | 619, 105, 64074 | 1, 805, 938, 34593 |  |
| Expenditures. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civil. foreigu intorcourse, and miscellaneous. | 8,712, 42251 | 7, 755, 94182 | 10, 952, 39715 | 12,271, 89653 | 39, 692, 65801 |  |
| Interior, (pensions and Indians) <br> War | - $\begin{array}{r}486,935,179 \\ \hline 200 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 141, 288,22069 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 33, 292,91649 | 29, 015,964 27 | 27, 273, 23127 | 32, 985,66409 | 1, $122,567,77612$ |  |
| Interest on public debt, inclading Treasury-n | 19,921, 05448 | 11, 506, 12785 | 9, 269, 71755 | 36, 700, 81212 | 77, 397, 71200 |  |
| Redemption of Texan indemnity stock, per act of September 9,1850 |  | 409, 92000 |  | 1, 221, 96938 | 1, 631, 88938 |  |
|  |  | 10000 |  | 25000 | 140000 |  |
| Payment of Treasury-notes, per act of December 23, 1857................ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,00000 \\ 10,45000 \end{array}$ | 100 1,500 00 |  |  | 1,10000 |  |
| Payment of Treasury-notes, per act of March 2,1861 | - 2483,24500 | 102, 49500 | 7,200 <br> 5,359 <br> 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 24,40000 \\ & 19,500 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ | 43, 370, 59900 00 |  |

Redemption of 7 3-10 3-year coupon-bonds, per act of July 17, 1861 Redemption of postage and other stamps, per act of July 17, 1862 Redemption of stock, loan of 1842...................................... Re-imbursement of temporary loans, per acts of February 25 and March


Redemption of fractional cnrrency, per act of March 3, $1863 \ldots \ldots . .$. Redemption of one-year 5 per cent. Treasury-notes, per act of March 3, Redemption of two-year 5 per cent. Treasury-noteo, per act of March 3 , Redemption of three-year 6 per cent compound-interest notes, per act of
 Redemption of three-year 7 3-10 per cent. coupon Treasury-notes, per act Purchase of gold coin, per act of March 17,1862 , (first section)...........................................................................
$\qquad$ Trust-funds
Navy-pension fund
Chickasaw fund
Cherokee schools
Choctaw schools
Kansas schools................................................................................................................................
Navy-hospital fund
Total

| 106, 315, 10000 | 2, 759, 25000 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 954, 55040 | 1,686, 73338 |
|  | 1, 40000 |
| 1, 044, 37987 | 1,586,541 00 |
| 46, 507, 68049 | 19, 747, 51798 |
| 15, 183, 00000 | 41,307, 00000 |
| 878, 04800 | 1,378, 76277 |
|  | 1,279, 27000 |
| 57, 238, 85000 | 24, 226,050 00 |
| 743, 76000 | 610,410 00 |
| 582, 181, 97505 | 286, 755, 46122 |
| 1,999 62 | 5, 032, 57026 |
| 100,000 00 | 8,629 63 |
| *1, 05742 |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} * 9,695 \\ 1,312 \\ 1,39 \end{array}$ |  |
| 28,681 94 | 6,545 04 |
| 582, 324, 72212 | 291, 803, 20615 |



* These two items properly belong to quarter ending December 31, 1864.

Treasury Department, May 17, 1876.
SIR: Referring to the letter which I had the honor to address to you on the 4 th instant, in response to vours of February 9, transmitting a copy of a resolution adopted in the Senate of the United States January 24, 1876, instructing the Committee on Finance to investigate the books and accounts of the Treasury Department, with reference to alleged discrepancies and alterations in amoints and figures, especially in the annual statements of the expenditures of the Government, revenue collected, public debt, \&c., I beg leave further to state that the tabulated statement of the receipts of the United States Goverument for each fiscal year, from March 4, 1789, to 1875, inclusive, and of the expenditures of the same for the same period, to be found on pages 10, 12, 14, and 16, respectively, of the Finance Report for 1875 , classified under appropriate heads, showing the revenues received into the Treasury and the expenditures made therefrom, was first published in the Finance Report of 1863. (See pages 240 to 243 , inclusive.)

Prior to the commencement of the publication of these tabulated statements, nearly all the information given of the condition of the Treasury was communicated each year in the text of the report of the Secretary of the Treasury to Congress for such year. (See Finance Report for fiscal year 1858, pages 1 to 10, inclusive, and Statement No. 1, showing, under four separate heads, the receipts of the Government and the expenditures, classified under a very few heads; see pages 26 to 30, inclusive, of same volume.) These last two statements were invariably made up from the amount of warrants issued on the Treasurer.
There has been prepared, however, and transmitted to Congress, under an order of the House of Representatives, passed December 30, 1791, an account of the receipts and expenditures of the Uxited States for each fiscal jear. This account is a transeript of the account, as settled by the accounting-officers of the Treasury, of moneys received and paid out by the Treasurer on warrants covering moneys into the Treasury and on warrants drawn on him and by him paid.

As before stated, the statements contained in the Finance Report were made up at the close of the year, and represented, in every instance, the amount of warrants drawn against appropriations during such year, and therefore charged as an expenditure of the year, although such warrants may not have actually been paid until some time during the succeeding fiscal year, and in many instances they have not been paid until the expiration of several years from the date of their issue.

In fact, in the immense disbursements made by the Treasury during the war, the amount of the outstanding warrants grew to be very large. The drafts issued on such warrants were used as commercial paper, aud being a Government obligation, and therefore more desirable than the drafts of individuals, they were retained in the possession of persons into whose hands they came, and were not presented for payment until the money which they represented was absolutely needed. For these reasons, it was found almost impossible to settle the accounts of the Treasurer without legislation on the subject, and therefore, on the $2 d$ of May, 1866, Congress passed a law to facilitate the settlements of accounts of the Treasurer of the United States, and provided that any draft issued upon a warrant which had been outstanding and unpaid for three years or more should be covered back into the Treasury by warrant, to the credit of the party in whose favor it had been originally issued and to an appropriation account to be denominated "outstanding liabilities."

It will therefore be seen, from what has been stated, that the statements which have exhibited the receipts and expenditures of the Government were made up from entirely different data. It had been the practice up to 1871, when the Treasurers accounts had been settled and the amount of money which he had paid out on warrants ascertained, to change the items of expenditures in the accounts in the tabular statements of receipts and expenditures, which, as has already been stated, were made up from warrants issued, so as to make them conform to the accounts of receipts and expenditures, or the Treasurer's accounts of expenditures by warrants actually paid. The first account, therefore, would be changed whenever the Treasurer's account was settled and the amount of outstanding unpaid warrants ascertained.

A table showing qome of the changes which have been made, and which will serve as an illustration, is herewith inclosed.

The alleged discrepancies in the different statements made, have arisen solely from the different data upon which such statements have been based. As has already been shown, what are technically known in the Department as "outstanding warrants," are one of the elements of such discrepancies. The "unavailable balances," by which is meant the amount of money which has been lost by defalcations of officers, and therefore not subject to draft, is another. Another element are what are known as "trustfund accounts." Some years they have been included in the statements, and in other years omitted. This class of accounts represents chiefly moneys which the Government has held from time to time as a trustee, and they relate principally to the trustfunds held for various Indian tribes. It is to bo presumed that, in making up the tabular statements, the person in charge of the work took it for granted that as these
moneys were not really moneys of the United States, they should not be included in the account.

In view of the fact that the difference between the statement made by warrants issued and of that made by warrants paid arose to a great extent from a difference in dates, (inasmuch as the warrants issued but not paid within the year in which they were issued would be ultimately paid, and when so paid, and the report in which they were included as not paid was changed, that no difference would then exist,) it is presumed it was thought far better to adopt a uniform rule, so that discrepancies apparent and not real should disappear from future statements, and especially so, because the statement made from warrants paid related solely to the personal accountability of the Treasurer, an account subsequently audited by the accounting-officers of the Treasury; and, also, because it was an account ordered to be published by the House of Representatives in 1791, and at a time when no other statements of the public accounts were made.

Besides this, the books of the Department were originally designed to show the accounts of the expenditures by warrants issued, and not by the account which was ordered to be published by the House of Representatives' resolution, above referred to.

From what has been said it might be inferred that two sets of accounts are kept in the Department, but such is not the case. The accounts of receipts and expenditures represented on the books of the Department are based on warrants issued. The published statement, made under the House resolution of 1791, of receipts and expenditures, is simply a copy of the Treasurer's personal accounts, based upon the warrants paid by him within the year to which it relates.

It will be seen that the alleged discrepancies referred to have chiefly arisen from the practice which has heretofore obtained of using the figures contained in the statement, or personal accounts of the Treasurer, after their adjustment, in the place of those previously presented in the accounts of warrants issued-an account only ascertained one, two, or three years later than the first-named accounts are made up.

Very respectfully,
B. H. BRISTOW,

Secretary.
Hon. John Sherman,
Chairman Committee on Finance, United States Senate.

Expenditures as shown by Finance Report and by Receipts and Expenditures.

|  | Civil. | Foreign intercourse. | Miscellaneous. | War. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Finance Report, 1850, pp. 30 to 34. | \$3, 042, 770 07 | \$4, 83859476 | 36, 958, 36024 | \$9, 401, 23916 |
| Receipts and Expenditures, 1850, pp. 11 to 39 | 3, 027, 45439 | 5, 990, 85881 | 7, 025,45016 | 9, 687,02458 |
| Finance Report, 1851, pp. 21 to 25. | 3, 478, 54924 | 6, 217, 17030 | 8, 177, 24771 | 11, 811, 79273 |
| Receipts and Expenditures, 1851, pp. 13 to 45.. | 3, 481, 21951 | 6, 256, 42716 | 8, 146, 57733 | 12, 161, 96511 |
| Finance Report, 1852, pp. 28 to $28 . . . . .$. | 3, 422, 93929 | 4, 132, 67145 | 9, 824, 15802 | 8,225, 24692 |
| Receipts and Expenditures, 1852, pp. 13 to 49.. | 3, 439,923 22 | 4, 196, 32159 | 9, 867, 92664 | 8,521, 50619 |
|  | Navy. | Interior. | Pablic debt. | Total. |
| Finance Report, 1850, pp. 30 to 34 | \$7, 923, 31318 | \$3, 400, 52487 |  | \$43, 002, 15869 |
| Receipts and Expenditures, 1850, pp. 11 to 33.. | 7, 904, 72466 | 3,530, 47749 | 7, 438, 72817 | 44, 604, 71826 |
| Finance Report, 1851, pp. 21 to $25 . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 8,987, 79767 | 5,115, 33498 | 4, 217, 98610 | 48, 005,87868 |
| Receipts and Expenditures, 1851, pp. 13 to 45.. | 8,880, 58138 | 5, 123, 17899 | 4, 426, 15483 | 48, 476, 10431 |
| Finance Report, 1852, pp. 22 to 28 | 8, 928, 23605 | 5, 198, 82894 | 6, 275, 81553 | 46, 007, 89620 |
| Receipts and Expenditures, 1852, pp. 13 to 49.. | 8, 918,842 10 | 5, 445, 43482 | 6, 322, 65427 | 46, 712, 60883 |

[^3]
[^0]:    * Includes amounts deposited with the States,

[^1]:    * In the Register's report for 1869 the outstanding publie debt was published (as heretofore explained) as
    Which is the amount of the debt, less cash and
    sinking-fund in the Treasury, to which should be
    added the amount of cash and sinking-fund.
    \$156, 167, 81358
    Less accrued interest $47,447,31079$

    To give the principal of the debt July 1, 1869
    $\qquad$
    †In the same report for 1870 the outstanding public debt was again published as.
    as.............................................
    To which shoul $\qquad$ $\$ 144,494,98461$
    Less accrued interest
    50, 607, 55652
    To give the principal of the debt July 1,1870

[^2]:    * Excess of expenditures over receipts.

[^3]:    In the earlier years the Secretary did not state the condition of the Treasary by fiscal or calendar years, but very frequently from the commencement of one Congress to another or from one quarter to another.

