POTTAWATOMIE INDIANS.

MARCH 21, 1876.—Recommitted to the Committee on Indian Affairs and ordered to be printed.

Mr. VAN VORHES, from the Committee on Indian Affairs, submitted the following

REPORT:

[To accompany bill H. R. 160.]

The Committee on Indian Affairs, to whom was referred the bill (H. R. 160) to make certain payments to the Pottawatomie Indians, report the same back to the House, with the following conclusions and recommendations by a subcommittee in relation thereto, and ask that the same be printed and recommitted to the same committee without motion to reconsider.

The claims of the Pottawatomie Indians in this case arise from a failure on the part of the Government to pay to them all of their annuities, and failure to outfit and emigrate them to their new homes west of the Mississippi River, as provided in the different treaties made with them from 1795 to 1846. By the tenth article of the treaty between the United States and the Pottawatomies, proclaimed August 7, 1868, it was provided that there should be appointed by the President two commissioners who should examine and report the amount due the Pottawatomies under the former treaties, which had before that time been reported upon by the Secretary of the Interior, in House Executive Document No. 19, Thirty-seventh Congress, third session.

The President, in pursuance of said treaty provision, appointed Charles Rowland and Stephen D. Mills such commissioners, whose report upon the same was communicated to Congress by the Secretary of the Interior, January 28, 1869, Executive Document No. 61, Fortieth Congress, third session. The commissioners proceeded to examine the different treaties with the Pottawatomies, and ascertained the amount due that tribe under said treaties from 1795 to 1861, and also the amount paid by the Government to them in pursuance of the said treaties, and report a balance due the Pottawatomies amounting to \$178,953.43.

The following is the tabular statement of the amount provided for under the treaties, with the amounts paid, as appears from the records of the Departments; also the balance due:

Schedule.	When pro- claimed.	To what date calculated.	Stipulations.	Payments.	Due Indians.	Due United States.
A B C D E F G H I L L M N O O P Q G R S T T	Dec. 26, 1803 Apr. 24, 1806 Jan. 27, 1808 Jan. 16, 1810 Dec. 30, 1816 Jan. 4, 1819 Jan. 15, 1819 Mar. 25, 1822 Feb. 7, 1827 Jan. 7, 1829 Jan. 21, 1833 Jan. 21, 1833 Jan. 21, 1833 Feb. 21, 1835 Feb. 18, 1837 Feb. 21, 1835 July 23, 1846 Deficiency fo	Feb. 18, 1861 Feb. 21, 1861 July 23, 1860 r outfitting for	emigrating I	ndians	1, 600 00 5, 000 00 4, 400 00 5, 250 00 20, 044 77 13, 245 00 25, 721 99 5, 529 50 39, 716 89	2, 200 00
1	For emigrating Payment to I	ng Indians Pottawatomies	prior to 1819		235, 791 16	
	Balance	lue Pottawato	mies as award	ed	178, 953 43	

Your committee have also examined the report of the House Indian Committee of the second session, Forty-third Congress, and after carefully investigating the case and their conclusions as set forth in their report, coincide with their findings and conclusions, and adopt the fol-

lowing therefrom:

"The item of salt, \$1,600, (Schedule B of above table,) is claimed to arise under the treaty of December 26, 1803, but by reference to the treaty it appears that, by way of commutation for the use of a certain salt spring, the United States agreed to deliver yearly for the use of said Indians and others "a quantity of salt, not exceeding one hundred and fifty bushels." There is no evidence that, during the existence of the treaty, a sufficient quantity of salt for the use of the Indians was not delivered, and as this treaty only contemplated the delivery of an amount requisite for their use, "not exceeding" the quantity mentioned, your committee think there is no ground for this item, and that it should be rejected.

"The item of \$1,250 (Schedule H of said table) is supposed to arise under the treaty of January 15, 1819, granting a permanent annuity of \$2,500 a year, amounting in the aggregate to 1861, the time to which the report is extended, to \$105,000. Of this amount the commissioners find categorical evidence of the payment of \$103,750, leaving a deficit of \$1,250, for half a year of 1855 or 1856. It appears that the disbursing-officer is credited with the payment of the full amount, but no voucher is found by the commissioners for the same. Your committee are not satisfied that that is sufficient evidence under the circumstances to show a non-payment, and they think the item should be rejected.

"The item of \$20,044.77 (Schedule I) arises under treaty of March 25, 1822. This item is composed in part of a supposed deficit of payments for blacksmith and teacher. By the terms of the treaty, \$1,000 a year

was to be appropriated for a blacksmith and school-teacher, for fifteen years, without any apportionment between them being provided for. The blacksmith's services, by the subsequent treaty of January 7, 1829, were made perpetual, but the teacher's terminated with the fifteen years. The commissioners have computed, arbitrarily, so far as appears, the blacksmith's services at \$750 a year and the teacher's at \$280. By act of Congress of 1834 (4 Stats. at L., p. 735) it is provided that blacksmiths' compensation under treaties shall be \$480 per annum and school-teachers' not less than \$480 nor more than \$600 per annum. As by the treaty \$1,000 per annum was to be paid for blacksmith and teacher, and it appears that by other acts' the Government rated their services of equal value, your committee believe that in computing for these services the two should be placed upon an equal footing of \$500 per annum. A re-adjustment of the item upon this basis reduces it to \$15,800, being a reduction of \$4,244.77.

"The item of \$25,721.99 (Schedule M) arises under treaty of January 2, 1830, which provides for the payment of a permanent annuity of \$16,000 and fifty barrels of salt. It appears that the annuity of \$16,000 per annum was regularly paid up to and including 1845, but from thence to 1860 but \$14,412.50 was paid per annum. This is accounted for by the fact that, by virtue of the subsequent treaty of September 26, 1833, \$1,587.50 was paid per annum to the Pokagan band, at L'Arbre Croche, in Michigan, the aggregate amount of such payments being \$23,812.50, which, being deducted from the supposed deficit of \$25,721.99, leaves the real expert due and or this treaty of the subsequent due and or this treaty of the subsequent due and or this treaty of the subsequent the subsequent due and or this treaty of the subsequent due and or the subsequent due to the subsequent due and or the subsequent due to the subsequent

the real amount due under this treaty \$1,909.49.

"The remainder of the items in the tabular statement of the commissioners stated as due the Indians your committee believe are well sustained by the treaties and the facts.

"The aggregate of the items which your committee think should be

disallowed are as follows:

"Under Schedule B. "Under Schedule H. "Under Schedule I. "Under Schedule M.	1,250 $4,244$	00 77
"Total	30, 907	27

"The aggregate found due by the commissioners' report is \$178,953.43. Deducting above amount of items disallowed by your committee, leaves a balance of \$148,046.16.

"The commissioners find, under treaty of February 7, 1827, an overpayment to the Indians of \$8,357.10, which they have properly allowed in the account. It appears by reference to the account, as stated by commissioners under the same treaty, that the Indians were furnished iron and steel to the amount of \$7,480, which was also an overpayment, the treaty, in fact, not providing for the furnishing of iron and steel. This sum being deducted, leaves the final balance \$140,566.16 due the tribe up to 1861.

"By joint resolution of Congress of July 28, 1866, it was directed that \$39,000 be paid the Pokagan band of Pottawatomies living in Michigan, out of the funds of the tribe, and this sum is a proper credit upon the above amount, and which being deducted therefrom, leaves the amount

for which the Government is indebted \$101,566.16."

This balance being a liquidated claim against the Government in favor of the Pottawatomies, your committee are of the opinion that they

are entitled to interest thereon from the date of the payment by the Government of the \$39,000 paid as above shown on July 28, 1866.

And your committee report back the bill with the following amendment: Insert after the word "Indians," line 11, the words "per capita," and recommend that the bill do pass.

N. H. VAN VORHES. C. H. MORGAN.

0