

JOURNAL OF THE FACULTY SENATE (Norman campus)
The University of Oklahoma

Regular Session -- October 13, 1975 -- 3:30 p.m., Dall Hall 218.

The Faculty Senate was called to order by Dr. Gail de Stwolinski, Chairperson.

Present:

Barefield, Paul A.	Hibdon, James E.	Reid, Wm. T.
Bell, Digby B.	Joyce, Beverly A.	Reynolds, Osborne M.
Blair, Laura B.	Kendall, Jack L.	Rice, Elroy L.
Braver, Gerald	Kidd, Gerald D.	Scheffer, Walter F.
Buhite, Russell D.	Kitts, David B.	Schmitz, Francis J.
Cox, Donald C.	Kondonassis, Alex	Shahan, Robert W.
Crim, Sarah R.	Kraynak, Matthew E.	Shellabarger, Fred D.
Cronenwett, Wm. T.	Larson, Raymond D.	Snider, Glenn R.
de Stwolinski, Gail	Lee, Cecil E.	Starling, K. E.
Donnell, Ruth J.	Levinson, R. Saul	Streebin, Leale E.
Duchon, Claude E.	Marchand, Alan P.	Swank, David
Fife, James D.	Mouser, James W.	Tolliver, Lennie-Marie
Ford, Robert A.	McDonald, Bernard	Tomberlin, Irma R.
Goff, Richard A.	Pento, J. Thomas	Unruh, Delbert L.
Graves, Wm. H.	Rasmussen, Maurice	Verrastro, Ralph E.
Henkle, James L.		Whitecotton, Joseph W.

Provost's Office representative: Pollak, Betty

AUOPE representatives: Dan Guyer Stith, Mary E.

UOSA representatives: None

Absent:

Fowler, Richard G.	Wells, Richard S.	York, John G.
Tolson, Melvin B.		

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The Journal of the Faculty Senate for the regular session on September 8, 1975, was approved.

ANNOUNCEMENT: Fall meeting of the General Faculty

The General Faculty on the Norman campus of the University of Oklahoma will hold its fall (1975) semester meeting at 3:30 p.m., on Wednesday, October 22, 1975, in Room 150, Adams Hall Annex (College of Business Administration).

ACTION TAKEN BY THE UNIVERSITY REGENTS

On June 12, 1975, the Regents of the University of Oklahoma approved the revision of their policy concerning outside employment and extra compensation as proposed by the Faculty Senate on April 14, 1975. (See page 3 of the Senate Journal for May 5, 1975.) The revised policy became effective on July 1, 1975.)

ACTIONS TAKEN BY PRESIDENT PAUL F. SHARP

- (1) Search Committee, Dean, College of Fine Arts: On October 8, 1975, Dr. Paul F. Sharp, President of the University, appointed the following Search Committee for the Dean of the College of Fine Arts:

Professors Carol Beesley
Thomas Carey
Lenore Clark
Joe Hobbs
Jerry Smith
Miguel Terekhov
Delbert Unruh

Mr. Steve Martin
Ms. Jan Semrod
Ms. Nell Wright
Dean Paige Mulhollan
Dr. Mark Allen Everett

(See page 6 of the Senate Journal for September 8, 1975.)

(2) Faculty Replacements -- University Council and Committees:

- (a) President Paul F. Sharp recently approved the Faculty Senate election of Helmut Fischbeck to the Academic Personnel Council. (See page 6 of the Senate Journal for September 8, 1975.)
- (b) President Paul F. Sharp recently selected the following Committee replacements from the nominations submitted to him by the Senate:

Academic Regulations Committee: Mary Dewey
 Class Schedule Committee: Wilson B. Prickett
 Commencement Committee: Betty Evans
 Film Review Committee: Bruce Granger and Lynda Kaid
 Parking Violations Appeals Committee: Charles Butler
 Junetta Davis
 Phyllis Philp
 University Libraries Committee: William Cronenwett
 Larry Hill

(See pages 6 and 7 of the Senate Journal for September 8, 1975.)

ACTIONS TAKEN BY THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES

Dr. Alexander J. Kondonassis, Chairperson, Senate Committee on Committee, has reported the following personnel changes made by that Committee in the Senate ad hoc Committees designated below:

Committee on Academic Status of the University:

R. Saul Levinson (Pharmacy) and M. R. Hodgell (Environmental Design)
replacing Arnold Henderson and Pushkar Kaul

Committee on Retrenchment Policy: Cecil Lee (Art) replacing Robert Shahan
as Chairperson

SPRING (1975) SEMESTER REPORTS OF UNIVERSITY COUNCILS

Academic Personnel Council: Dr. Norman L. Crockett, Council Chairperson, submitted the following report on October 3, 1975, to the Faculty Senate:

During the spring semester, 1974-75, the Academic Personnel Council made recommendations to President Sharp on sixteen tenure cases. In each case, the Council interviewed the candidate, the candidate's Committee "A" members, the appropriate budget dean, and the Deans of the University and the Graduate Colleges. Each candidate was also permitted to call three additional witnesses to appear in his or her behalf. In all, the Council met for sixty-five hours and talked with approximately seventy-five individuals.

Academic Program Council: Dr. Gerald Kidd, Council Chairperson, submitted the following report to the Faculty Senate on October 3, 1975:

The Academic Program Council met regularly throughout the semester on the second Thursday of each month and at other times when necessary.

Professor Joe Holland was appointed to review new courses submitted to the Council to provide Council members with pertinent information relating to course applications. The newsletter, Today, was used to inform the faculty of course additions.

Provost Hunsberger met with the Council to discuss the Five-Year Plan of the University and to indicate how the Council might have access to proposed program changes.

A subcommittee to review methods of teacher evaluation continued to meet during the semester. A report from this group should be finalized during the early months of the fall semester.

Two other subcommittees were established in April to begin work in September. One subcommittee will review grading policies and practices of the University, and the other will study revisions that may be needed on the "Request for Changes in Courses."

Professor Paul Brinker, College of Business Administration, was elected chairperson for 1975-76.

Research Council: Dr. Thomas M. Murray, Chairperson of the Research Council, submitted the following report to the Faculty Senate on September 22, 1975:

The Research Council met at least twice monthly during the spring 1975 semester. The Council reviewed proposals and made recommendations to the Vice Provost for Research Administration concerning grants from the Faculty Research Fund, Research Development Fund, Research Career Opportunities Fund, OURI Sabbatical Leave Awards, and OURI Junior Summer Fellowship Awards. In all instances, the Vice Provost accepted the Council's recommendations, and awards for approximately \$75,000 were made during the semester.

In addition, the Council worked with the Human Subjects Committee to inform the Faculty about federal regulations concerning Human Subjects and Research programs.

The Council was also very active in the search for a new Graduate Dean/Vice Provost for Research Administration. Members of the Council met with every candidate and provided its evaluation to the Search Committee and to President Sharp.

At the May meeting, Professor Don Cox, Microbiology, was elected Chairperson for the 1975-76 academic year.

Report of the Administrative and Physical Resources Council for the spring semester, 1975, submitted by Dr. John Francis, chairperson, to the Faculty Senate on September 23, 1975: The Administrative and Physical Resources Council had nine meetings during the Spring semester and four meetings in the Summer. The Council made substantial progress in developing policies and procedures. Efforts will continue to obtain policies for classification of space, standards of space allocation, priorities for new construction and space renovation, and priorities for Section 13 Funds.

The council, working with Vice President Burr, developed procedures and guidelines for naming Physical Facilities and Memorials. These procedures and guidelines were approved by President Sharp for internal use by the Administration and council.

- - - - -
 PROCEDURES AND GUIDELINES FOR THE
 NAMING OF PHYSICAL FACILITIES AND MEMORIALS

Article I of this document is concerned with procedures to be used in the naming of campus facilities and the establishment of memorials. The objectives of these procedures are:

1. To establish clear channels and procedures for origination and internal handling of proposals to name facilities or establish memorials.
2. To ensure the opportunity of each of the University's constituencies-- students, faculty, staff, administration, and alumni--to review and comment on such proposals if appropriate and if they so desire.

The procedures represent a process internal to the University that will result in a carefully considered recommendation to the President.

Article II contains a set of guidelines to be used by a standing subcommittee of the Administrative and Physical Resources Council and the various offices of the University as criteria in considering a proposal for the naming of a facility or establishment of a memorial.

Article III contains the procedure for changing or amending Articles I and II.

Article I - Procedures

A. Origination of Suggestions for the Naming of Facilities - Proposals for naming of facilities may come from the faculty, administration, students, alumni, or staff. Suggestions from the faculty or the administration may originate either through the normal academic channels, Faculty Senate, or the Office of the Vice President for University Development. Suggestions from students may originate in the University of Oklahoma Student Association or other recognized student organization; recommendations from alumni may be channeled through the OU Alumni Association Office; and recommendations from the University staff may originate with the Employee Executive Council. In lieu of the above described channels, petition by a reasonable percentage of the petitioners' constituency may also be used to originate proposals.

B. The Naming of Physical Facilities and Memorials--Without Financial Endowment -
 1. Proposals for naming of facilities or establishment of physical memorials will initially be referred to the Administrative and Physical Resources Council (APRC) for review by a standing subcommittee. This subcommittee will consist of student, faculty, and staff representation from the Council membership, plus representation from Architectural and Engineering Services, the Office of Administration and Finance, the Provost's Office, the President's Office, and the Office of the Vice President

for University Development. The subcommittee will examine the proposal to see that it conforms to the guidelines presently in force. If it does not, the proposal will be returned to the initiator with suggestions for revision. Otherwise, the proposal will be sent for comment to the following bodies or offices:

Executive Board of the OU Alumni Association
 Chairperson of the Faculty Senate
 Chairperson of the Employee Executive Council
 University of Oklahoma Student Association President
 Full Administrative and Physical Resources Council

Deadlines appropriate to the scope and importance of the proposal will be established for receipt of comments.

2. All comments received will be returned to the subcommittee. It will be the task of the subcommittee to form from them a final recommendation to the APRC for approval. If deemed necessary by Chairperson of the APRC, this recommendation may also be sent back to the organizations and offices noted under 1. above.

3. If the proposal is approved by the APRC, the Council may vote to schedule the proposal for public hearing with appropriate publicity. After completion of the public hearing, if one is scheduled, the proposal may be sent back to the subcommittee for revision and further recommendation.

4. A final recommendation will be voted by the APRC and the proposal and recommendation will be forwarded to the President. Approval of a proposal by the President and by the Oklahoma University Regents constitutes adoption of the proposal.

C. Endowments

1. In keeping with the President's charge to the APRC, whenever possible, projects which are fund-raising in nature (including endowed faculty positions, faculty supplements, and student aid) and physical facilities and memorials will be presented to the Council for consideration and evaluation.

2. In regard to the naming of these endowments, it is expected that established guidelines will be followed in all but extraordinary circumstances.

3. In regard to the funding for major physical structures, the Vice President for University Development (or other individual charged with the responsibility for fund raising) should submit to the APRC a Table of Gifts to be used in seeking funds for the facility and/or special areas within the facility. It is expected that the APRC will have the opportunity of review and comment before the final table of gifts is adopted.

Article II - Guidelines

A. Guidelines for the Honorary Naming of Existing Physical Facilities--No Endowment

1. Buildings and major facilities affecting the entire campus.

a. These facilities traditionally have been named for recognized service to the University. This tradition should be continued but should not exclude the naming of facilities for public figures outside the University.

b. The person to be honored should not be active in the University at the time the proposal is submitted.

c. All proposals must contain a biographical sketch and documentation of service for the person being honored. The proposal should also contain documentation for the base of support in the proposing organization and a basis for appeal to the spectrum of the various constituencies of the University Community.

2. Minor facilities not affecting the entire campus (rooms, laboratories, auditoriums, etc.). - The guidelines for naming of these facilities are in A.1. However, the base of support need not be as broad as for a major facility, and the proposal needs to appeal only to those elements of the community directly affected (e.g., a department).
3. Physical memorials (monuments or specially landscaped campus areas) - The guidelines for a physical memorial include those listed in A.1. where proposals for large memorials affecting the entire campus would need to demonstrate much broader support than smaller memorials affecting only a limited portion of the campus. In addition, proposals should include an estimate of the initial cost of the memorial, the cost of maintenance on an annual basis, the suggested source of funds for the establishment and maintenance of the memorial, and a statement of the estimated effects on the surroundings. The final form of the memorial should be reviewed in consultation with an appropriate panel of experts for its aesthetic contribution to the campus.
4. Changes in the name of an existing facility - Changes in existing names should not be recommended or considered lightly. A strong case must be made in the proposal for the inappropriateness of the existing name. All guidelines indicated in the preceding section appropriate to the nature of the facility will apply to the renaming of the facility.

B. Guidelines for the Naming of Facilities and Establishment of Memorials for Gifts and Endowments.

1. Buildings - Buildings may be named for a gift of one-half of the private funding needed for construction. Laboratories, auditoriums, and other special rooms within buildings may be named for a gift of one-half the estimated value of the facilities.
2. Endowed Faculty Positions - A faculty position may be endowed with a gift of \$750,000, and may be named for the donor. Such an endowment should yield sufficient funds to provide the faculty salary, as well as support funds for research, travel, and staff assistance.
3. Endowed Faculty Supplements - A faculty supplement may be established with an endowment sufficient to support one-half of the established salary for the discipline involved. Exceptions may be made with approval of the department Chairperson, Dean Provost, and President.
4. Endowed Student Aid - Named endowed scholarship programs should be funded at a level sufficient to provide student support from the income only which is equivalent to the existing average cost at the time the fund is established.
5. Annual Support - Faculty positions, awards, student aid, and other regular programs may be supported annually on a named basis. In such cases, it is understood that should the support be discontinued, the program would no longer carry the donor's name.
6. Physical Memorials - Proposals for establishment of physical memorials on campus (monuments, landscaped areas, etc.) must take into consideration the cost to the

University for maintenance and the effects of the memorial on the surroundings. The proposed memorial should be reviewed in consultation with an appropriate panel of experts for its aesthetic contribution to the campus.

For unsolicited physical memorials to individuals or causes with no previous direct connection to the University, a donation to the University academic programs equal to the actual cost of the memorial should be included as part of the gift or endowment establishing the memorial.

7. Works of Art - The placement of works of art on the campus area or in campus buildings must be preceded by a cost-of-maintenance estimate and a statement of the effects of such placement on the surroundings. Such works of art should be reviewed in consultation with an appropriate panel of experts for their aesthetic contribution to the campus.

8. Other Endowments - Generally, named endowments for specific functions of the University, consistent with the objectives of the institution, may be established. In such cases, the appropriate University officials will work with the donor in determining the level of endowment needed to meet the goals of the program being underwritten.

Article III - Interpretations, Exceptions, Amendments and Changes

Where these guidelines and procedures call for an interpretation or judgment, the Council shall have the prerogative and responsibility for such interpretations and judgments.

Exceptions to the procedures and guidelines for naming facilities, professional chairs, faculty supplements, and student aid may be made by the President upon recommendation of the appropriate administrative or academic officers of the University.

Since the Administrative and Physical Resources Council was called upon by the President to establish the above procedures and guidelines, changes and amendments thereto may be recommended by the Council subject to presidential approval or by the President with the advice of the Council.

The Council developed guidelines for functioning relating to the administrative charge. These guidelines have been reviewed by President Sharp and we have incorporated his suggestions and returned them for his approval.

The Council established the following procedures for determining whether its meetings will be open or closed and, if open, the circumstances under which they would be so declared.

1. The Administrative and Physical Resources Council meetings will normally be closed. However, the Chairperson may declare an open meeting according to the following procedures:
 - a. A majority of the voting members present at a meeting may vote to open the meeting.
 - b. A majority of the voting members on the Council may vote to open a meeting at a prior meeting.

- c. A majority of the voting members on the council may vote to open a meeting in a telephone poll prior to the meeting.
2. It will be the prerogative of Chairperson to invite guests to closed meetings if it is appropriate to the business of the meeting.

The Council recommended the approval of a proposal by the Oklahoma Historical Society and the Oklahoma Petroleum Council to establish a historical marker recognizing Dr. Charles N. Gould and The School of Geology and Geophysics for the establishment of the first petroleum-related geology program in North America. On President Sharp's recommendation, the University Regents approved this proposal.

The Council recommended the approval of a proposal by the Department of Botany and Microbiology, Dean Mulhollan, and Provost Hunsberger, to name the foyer leading from the entrance of the Bebb Herbarium to the actual case area the George Hones Goodman Foyer in recognition of the service and dedication to the University of Dr. Goodman. On President Sharp's recommendation, the University Regents approved this name for the facility.

At the June 19 meeting, the council addressed a request from President Sharp to review a proposal for the University to contract with an outside engineering firm to make a feasibility study of the installation of a centralized computer-based building-control system. The council recommended that this study be undertaken. On President Sharp's recommendation, the University Regents approved entering into such a contract.

Also in June, the council recommended approval of a request from the Electrical Engineering Department that the University reserve funds from Section 13 money to partially fund the construction of an Electric Power Distribution Laboratory on the South Campus. On President Sharp's recommendation, the University Regents approved reserving Section 13 funds for this purpose.

Finally, the Council elected Professor James Kenderdine, Marketing, as Chairperson for the 1975-76 academic year.

Athletics Council: On September 25, 1975, Dr. Virginia Morris, Council Chairperson, submitted the following report to the Faculty Senate:

During the second semester of the 1974-75 fiscal year, the Athletics Council met on six occasions.

During the January meeting, Athletic Director Wade Walker, upon the request of the Athletics Council, expressed his views, offered comments and reviewed Athletic Department policy in response to the opinions issued by the UOSA Court regarding the rights of athletes.

The Director, also upon the request of the Council, reviewed the Stadium Expansion Program and Athletic Scholarship Donor Program.

After the explanation and a discussion, the Council voted a change in the faculty seating recommendation, which reads as follows:

That the location of the proposed faculty block in the new deck be located immediately behind the seats assigned to the STEP program beginning with

Row 13. It is recommended that the section be bound by the 20-yard line to the north and south and Row 22 at the top, which will constitute 1,200 seats. It is also recommended that all seats presently held by faculty in Sections 3 through 8 be permanently allocated for faculty and staff purchase.

It is also recommended that the 1,200 new faculty seats being assigned to faculty and staff patrons desiring to change locations be assigned to the persons having purchased faculty season tickets the longest, continuous period of time.

During the second semester, outdoor track, varsity golf and tennis, and 1975-76 varsity basketball schedules were approved. In addition, awards were granted in all winter and spring sports.

Upon the recommendation of the Associate Athletic Director, action was passed to exempt Radio Station KGOJ from the radio rights fees for broadcasting athletic events.

During the January meeting, the 1975 football prices for public, faculty, and students were submitted and approved.

At the March meeting, Ms. Marjorie Gibbs joined the Council, having been appointed by the President as a representative of the Employee Executive Council.

During the March meeting, the chairperson reviewed for the Council a letter received from the President outlining policy and procedure relating to closed meetings.

Schedules and awards in Women's Athletics were approved throughout the second semester.

During the March meeting, a report of a special committee on student athletes' rights was made by Chairperson Swank.

During a second March meeting, Vice President Morris explained to the Council the events leading up to the resignation of Head Basketball Coach Joe Ramsey and advised how a search for the new coach would begin and the part that the Athletics Council would play in the selection.

The annual Jay Myers and Conference Medallion Committee was appointed to select athletes for these outstanding honors based on scholarship and athletic ability.

At the April meeting, the chairperson reviewed a letter received from President Sharp advising of the conclusions that he had reached regarding the recommendations of the Athletics Council concerning student athletes' rights.

At the April meeting, the Council received a report of the Student Football Ticket Sales Task Force regarding the new student ticket distribution system. The report was adopted by the Council unanimously.

The Jay Meyers and Conference Medallion Committee recommended Mr. Randy Hughes for the conference medallion and Mr. Dean Blevins for the Jay Meyers Award.

The award requirements for Women's Athletics were presented for approval and passed unanimously.

The ticket prices for 1975-76 basketball, wrestling, baseball, track, and gymnastics were recommended, discussed, and approved.

The election of officers for 1975-76 was held at the conclusion of the April meeting. Russell D. Buhite was elected chairperson; Mr. John P. Buzbee, vice chairperson. Both were elected by acclamation.

At the May meeting, the 1975-76 Athletic Department budget was submitted, examined, and approved. It had previously been considered and approved by a Council Finance subcommittee.

A request from UOSA President Terry Womack, which had been forwarded to Dr. Sharp, requesting restructuring of the Athletics Council was reviewed.

Professor David Swank, Faculty Representative to the Big Eight Conference and a member of the NCAA Executive Committee, circulated a special report dealing with the issues involved in the administration of women's intercollegiate athletics at the national level. Chairperson Morris read President Sharp's charge to the Council, which requested consideration by the Council and recommendations being submitted back to him. It was agreed on the part of the Council that Professor Swank would prepare the report, submitting it to the Council for their consideration before its being forwarded to the President.

Publications Board: Professor Paul Dannelley, Chairperson of the Board of Student Publications, submitted the following report to the Faculty Senate on September 22, 1975:

Financial Status - The Board of Student Publications finished the year "in the black," despite an operating loss of \$5,500 on the Sooner Yearbook.

The Board also increased the news payroll of The Oklahoma Daily by \$5,000 a year. This represents an increase of 25 per cent in newsroom payroll per publishing day; the student news payroll is now \$125 per publishing day, as compared with \$100 per publishing day formerly.

Telephone Directory - Student publications published the telephone directory last year. It was distributed three weeks earlier than in the previous year. The distribution date was the first Saturday in October. The book this year will be later because the fee payments have been moved back. The distribution date is expected to be around October 20.

New Sooner Magazine - The traditional 144-page newspaper (orientation issue) was presented as a paperback magazine.

Renovation - Plans were continued for the proposed \$65,000 remodeling of the East Wing of Copeland Hall to make the work areas more functional and more comfortable. The remodeling is to start in October.

Board members have been studying plans for the eventual purchase of a visual display terminal for "inputting" and editing copy. The facility will be for either editorial or advertising copy. It is being planned to provide faster handling of copy and an increase in accuracy.

 PROPOSED FACULTY SENATE SUBCOMMITTEES TO REVIEW FORTHCOMING
 REPORT OF THE TASK FORCE ON FACULTY PERSONNEL POLICY

Background Information:

On October 2, 1975, the Faculty Senate Executive Committee approved for Senate consideration the proposed assignment of members of the Faculty Senate to specific

subcommittees to review the forthcoming report of the Task Force on Faculty Personnel Policy.

In presenting this Executive Committee recommendation, Dr. Gail de Stwolinski, Senate Chairperson, called attention to the following tentative schedule for the distribution and the review of the Task Force report:

- October 15, 1975 - Task Force report will be distributed to all members of the general faculty.
- November 15, 1975 - Series of general faculty hearings will begin.
- Early December, 1975 - Task Force report will be forwarded to President Paul F. Sharp.
- January, 1976 - President Sharp will send the Task Force report to the Faculty Senate for its consideration.

Under these circumstances, the Senate Executive Committee felt that the faculty should begin its review of the report as soon as the report is distributed rather than delay such a review until January, 1976.

Senate Action:

Dr. Elroy Rice moved acceptance of the Committee recommendation. Without any discussion, the Senate approved the motion without dissent. (The list of Senate subcommittee assignments was published in the Agenda for this meeting and also appears on page 16 of this Journal.)

PROPOSAL FOR PROVIDING GRADUATE RESEARCH ASSISTANTS TO UNIVERSITY COUNCILS

Background Information: At the October 2, 1975, meeting of the Senate Executive Committee, Dr. Paul F. Brinker, Chairperson of the Academic Program Council, presented that Council's request for the services of a graduate research assistant in connection with several ongoing and contemplated research projects. Other Council Chairpersons voiced similar needs for assistance with research projects.

After some discussion of this question, the Executive Committee approved for Senate consideration the proposal that the President of the University be requested to authorize the assignment of two half-time graduate research assistants to the Office of the Secretary, Faculty Senate, for subsequent reassignment to University Councils (e.g., Academic Program, Administrative and Physical Resources, Budget, and others) requesting such assistance with the collection, the compilation, and the tabulation of quantitative data deemed essential for the effective, efficient performance of such Councils. Data would be stored permanently in the Office of the Senate Secretary for future reference and use.

Senate Action: Dr. William Cronenwett moved approval of the Executive Committee recommendation. Without further discussion, the Senate approved the motion without dissent.

ELECTION OF FACULTY REPLACEMENTS: Academic Personnel Council and
Administrative and Physical Resources Council

Voting by written ballot, the Senate elected the following faculty replacements on the Councils designated below:

Academic Personnel Council: Paul Barefield (Speech Communication) - 1975-78
replacing Wm. H. Maehl, Jr.

Administrative and Physical
Resources Council:

George Pingleton (Education) - 1975-76
replacing Robert Petry

NOMINATION OF FACULTY REPLACEMENTS: University Committees and
Publications Board

Voting by written ballot, the Senate nominated the following faculty members for
vacancies designated below:

Commencement Committee:

Dennis Crites (Marketing) and
David V. Smith (Business Communication) 1975-77
replacing Ed Mumma

Danforth Foundation Scholarship
Committee:

Walter Dillard (Zoology) and
Juneann Murphy (Botany) - 1975-76
replacing Frank Seto

Publications Board:

Simeon McIntosh (Law) and
Laura Gasaway (Law) - 1975-76
replacing Daniel Gibbens

FACULTY NOMINATIONS: Search Committee for Dean, College of Pharmacy

In response to President Paul F. Sharp's request of September 11, 1975, Dr. Alex J.
Kondonassis, Chairperson of the Senate Committee on Committees, presented the
following slate approved by that Committee for the four faculty vacancies on the
Search Committee for the Dean of the College of Pharmacy:

Loyd Allen)	
Tim Covington)	
Robert Levinson	:	All members of
Robert Magarian	:	the College
Casey Robinson)	of Pharmacy
Walter Stanaszek)	

Leon Cierezko (Chemistry)
Donald Cox (Microbiology)

After calling attention to the fact that the College of Pharmacy nominees included
two individuals (Drs. Covington and Stanaszek) from the same department, i.e.,
Clinical Pharmacy, Dr. Pento moved that only one of the two individuals be finally
selected for the Search Committee. During the ensuing discussion, the point was
made that President Paul F. Sharp makes the final selection and that the Senate
could make any revisions in the list before its submission to the President. In a
voice vote, the Senate rejected the motion. Later, Dr. Kraynak moved approval of
the nominations as presented by the Committee on Committees. The Senate approved
this motion.

FACULTY NOMINATIONS: Search Committee for the Provost, Norman campus

Background Information: On October 3, 1975, President Paul F. Sharp requested
fourteen faculty nominations for the Search Committee for the Provost, Norman
campus. On October 9, 1975, President Sharp addressed another memorandum to the
Senate Chairperson with the following additional comment on this subject:

"Should the Faculty Senate select an individual who also has a
leadership role in the Senate as one of the fourteen names forwarded
to me, I would be receptive to giving that name special consideration
in appointing the Search Committee. Given the import of the Committee's

search, it is appropriate for the leadership of the Senate to be represented, and I would be pleased to have such representation on the Committee should you wish to include the name of an individual of this stature among your recommendations."

Senate Action: Dr. Alex J. Kondonassis, Chairperson, Senate Committee on Committees, asked Dr. Gerald Braver, a member of that Committee, to present the Committee's slate of nominees and to conduct this phase of the Senate meeting. After reading President Sharp's memorandum of October 9, Dr. Braver reported that the Committee had selected Dr. Kondonassis as the Senate leader mentioned in that memorandum inasmuch as Dr. de Stwolinski, Senate Chairperson, had requested that she not be considered because of her many other very important commitments at this time. Voting by written ballot that included additional nominations from the floor, the Senate selected the following faculty nominees:

Elroy Rice (Botany and Microbiology)	Laura Blair (Education)
Lennie-Marie Tolliver (Social Work)	Glenn Snider (Education)
Ronald Bourassa (Physics)	William Cronenwett (Electrical Engineering)
Walter Scheffer (Political Science)	J. Laguros (Civil Engineering)
William Carmack (Speech Communication)	Raymond Larson (Drama)
M. E. Saxon (University Libraries)	Roger Atherton (Management)
Edward D. Morgan (Law)	Alex Kondonassis (Faculty Senate Leadership)

STATE REGENTS' STATEMENT REGARDING SPONSORED RESEARCH

Background Information: The Oklahoma State Regents for Higher Education on March 24, 1975, adopted a policy statement on institutional applications for funds to support certain projects of sponsored research and other sponsored programs. In reaction to this statement, the Faculty Senate on May 5, 1975, passed a resolution that expressed its deep concerns regarding the policy. (See pages 8-10 of the Faculty Senate Journal for May 5, 1975.) Dr. Sharp, President of the University, in turn, forwarded to the State Higher Regents a copy of the Senate resolution of May 5, 1975. The Chancellor of the State Regents then on June 9, 1975, informed President Sharp that the State Regents had considered the Senate resolution at their meeting on May 27, 1975, and had at that time authorized the Chancellor to request "an audience with the Faculty Senate for the Chancellor or a member of his staff to visit with that body about the implications of the policy for budgeting and financing at institutions in the State System." Chancellor Dunlap also reported that he had designated Dr. Dan Hobbs, Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs, to represent him at the meeting with the Faculty Senate and that State Regent Patten had agreed to join Dr. Hobbs in any discussions with the Senate. (See page 2 of the Senate Journal for September 8, 1975.) Subsequently, a mutually satisfactory date of October 13, 1975, was agreed upon for the meeting.

Senate Action: At the invitation of the Senate Executive Committee, the following visitors were present at this meeting:

Dr. Paul F. Sharp, President of the University
 Dr. I. Moyer Hunsberger, Provost, Norman campus
 Dr. Ray Daniels, Research Office, Norman campus
 Dr. Joanne Moore, Chairperson, Faculty Senate, Health Sciences Center
 Dr. Donald Counihan, Chairperson-elect, Faculty Senate, HSC
 Dr. Andrea Bircher, Secretary, Faculty Senate, HSC

Dr. David A. Sander, Chairman, Faculty Council, Oklahoma State University
 Dr. Elizabeth Gaudy, Vice Chairman, Faculty Council, OSU
 Dr. John E. Thomas, Secretary, Faculty Council, OSU
 Dr. Lloyd L. Wiggins, Member, Faculty Council, OSU

Dr. de Stwolinski, Senate Chairperson, welcomed the visiting faculty representatives from the Health Sciences Center and Oklahoma State University. The HSC delegation comprises the HSC representation on the Inter-Senate Liaison Committee.

Dr. Sander of OSU expressed his group's appreciation for the invitation to attend this meeting and lauded the continuing effective and encouraging relationship between the faculty governance groups on both campuses.

Dr. Scheffer then moved that, in view of the importance of this meeting, the privilege of participating in any discussion of this topic be extended to all present. In a voice vote without dissent, the Senate approved the motion.

Dr. de Stwolinski, Senate Chairperson, then introduced Mr. Jack Patten, State Regent. On behalf of the State Regents, Mr. Patten expressed his pleasure in receiving the invitation to speak to the Senate not only to explain the Regents' position but also hopefully to receive the thoughts of the group regarding any unforeseen problems with administering the policy.

He noted that the policies of the Federal government regarding research funding "have changed drastically" and that the availability of external funding "has become much more limited and much more uncertain on a continuing basis." He cited several examples during the past few years in which state institutions approached the State about replacing Federal funds that they had been receiving previously. The State Regents subsequently found that some programs had never been either submitted to, approved by, or ever funded by the state system but had been totally funded externally until the fundings came to a halt. He added, "This is a very difficulty situation for the State Regents to get into -- having programs started that we had no part in deciding whether they should be carried on and no application to us or commitment by us for continuing funding. We do not believe that we can coordinate a system and its finances, functions, and programs in this manner."

"The state system wants to encourage public institutions to carry out programs of instruction, public service, and research. We encourage the obtaining of sponsorship of research by external agencies such as the Federal government. We think that, when this is done, the research should come within the purview of the institution's previously assigned functions in educational programs and that the sponsored programs should not inhibit the ability of that institution to continue to carry out the assigned functions."

The State Regents believe that, as the funding climate deteriorates, "institutions need to guard very closely against the temptation to go after funding on a short-term basis, with the hope that somehow or other there will be funding to take care of it." Therefore, to insure the State System's ability to carry out the funding commitments, the Regents "have tried to establish a procedure for reviewing applications from institutions that will submit proposals for external funding." Furthermore, in the Regents' view, under the policy statement of last March, "95 per cent of your research proposals would never need to be sent to the State Regents' office."

Mr. Patten then referred to each of the six kinds of proposals to be submitted to the State Regents for their consideration and possible approval as listed in the policy statement (see page 9 of the Senate Journal for May 5, 1975).

In conclusion, Mr. Patten remarked, "A number of my friends have assured me that there are some extremely difficult operational problems that we have created, and I think that we'd like to hear about them if there are any. In spite of anything that you hear, this policy is not cast in stone, and we are willing to listen to the problems that we have created -- if we have."

He then introduced Dr. Dan Hobbs, Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs. Dr. Hobbs stated that in his opinion Regent Patten had covered the State Regents' position "quite well." He mentioned two background responsibilities of the State Regents-- both constitutional in origin. The State Regents must (1) approve educational functions and programs at institutions in the state system and (2) go to the Oklahoma Legislature for funds to support these functions and programs. "We believe that there has been a good deal of leakage between the time that the State Regents go to the Legislature with the funding bucket and the time that they have gotten back to the institutions with it because there have been a number of programs that had to be underwritten that the State Regents were unaware of."

During the question-and-answer period, the following items were discussed:

- (1) In commenting on a suggestion that the final paragraph of the Policy Statement would suffice, the Regents' representatives felt that some institutions (excluding OU, HSC, and OSU) need more detailed, specific guidelines. Furthermore, that statement was intended to be a helpful rather than a restrictive document.
- (2) Most comments were concerned with statement (3), particularly the term, "proposed projects." The consensus at this meeting was that "proposed programs" would be a more appropriate and accurate term.
- (3) A state-level, inter-institutional peer review committee should be established to evaluate proposals competing for the limited funds available to the State Regents. Some thought should be given to developing the criteria to be followed by such a committee in considering proposals submitted to them.
- (4) Each institution can decide for itself, without seeking State Regents' approval, how to utilize most effectively the services of its faculty -- whether for teaching, research, or service -- as long as that activity is within the stated mission of that institution.

Both Regent Patten and Dr. Hobbs stated that they would report to the State Regents on this meeting with the Senate. They also indicated that a letter to all institutions in the state system would follow to clarify the issues raised at this meeting.

ADJOURNMENT

The Faculty Senate adjourned at 4:50 p.m. The next regular meeting of the Senate will be held at 3:30 p.m., on Monday, November 10, 1975, in Room 218, Dale Hall.

Respectfully submitted,

Anthony S. Lis
Anthony S. Lis, Secretary

FACULTY SENATE SUBCOMMITTEE ASSIGNMENTS TO REVIEW THE
FORTHCOMING REPORT OF THE TASK FORCE ON FACULTY PERSONNEL POLICY

(Numbers in parentheses refer to specific subcommittees of the Task Force.)

Subcommittees

(1) Functions of departmental, college, and university administrators, committees, and councils:

Braver	325-6998	Streebin	325-5912
Buhite	325-4921	Tomberlin	325-3921
Duchon	325-4096	Unruh	325-4021
Kitts (Chairperson)	325-6908	Wells	325-4989
Kraynak	325-6831	York	325-2047

(2) Term appointments and reappointments, salary increases:

Cox	325-5023	McDonald	325-4341
Goff	325-2101	Schmitz	325-5581
Graves	325-5447	Shahan	325-6324
Henkle	325-2691	Verrastro	325-5152
Hibdon (Chairperson)	325-5501	Whitecotton	325-3261

(3) Promotions and tenure:

Bell	325-2637	Levinson	325-5252
Crim	325-6831	Marchand	325-4281
Cronenwett	325-2110	Shellabarger	325-2444
Donnell	325-2408	Snider	325-2480
Ford (Chairperson)	325-2643	Tolliver	325-2821

(4) Distinguished professorships, teaching and research awards and fellowships, leaves, etc.:

Fowler	325-3962	Pento	325-3200
Joyce	325-4141	Rasmussen	325-5011
Kendall	325-5361	Reid	325-3194
Kondonassis (Chairperson)	325-5234	Rice	325-6685
Lee	325-2691	Swank	325-3711

(5) Academic freedom, benefits, working conditions, welfare, appeals, and grievances:

Barefield (Chairperson)	325-3881	Mouser	325-4231
Blair	325-1792	Reynolds	325-5938
Fife	325-2492	Scheffer	325-6432
Kidd	325-3438	Starling	325-5811
Larson	325-4021	Tolson	325-6181