

JOURNAL OF THE UNIVERSITY SENATE
Regular Session, March 29, 1954, 4:10 P. M.
Monnet Hall, Room 101

The University Senate, meeting in regular session, was called to order by the Chairman, Dr. Joseph C. Pray.

Present

Bavinger, E. A.
Bell, R. E.
Bernhart, Arthur
Bruce, J. B.
Comp. L. A.
Ewing, Cortez
Farrar, C. L.
Fraser, George
Goodman, G. J.
Hall, Rufus
Harvey, Harriet
Lane, Willard R.
Leek, J. H.
Livezey, W. E.
McFarland, Dora
Mertes, J. E.

Present

Moorhead, M. L.
Morris, Virginia
Olson, Ralph E.
Peach, W. N.
Poston, Lawrence, Jr.
Pray, J. C.
Ragan, W. B.
Raines, J. M.
Reese, J. E.
Ruggiers, Paul G.
Self, J. T.
Wardell, M. L.
Westfall, O. D.
White, R. R.
Winfrey, Lewis E.

Absent

Cross, George L.
David, Paul R.
Duncan, J. P.
James, R. V.
Kelly, Florene C.
Kerr, Harrison
Monnett, V. E.
Scatori, Stephen
Sears, A. B.
Stanley, A. J.
Tuma, Gerald
Wiley, J. B.
Wilson, M. C.

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

Correction

Dr. Pray called attention to an error in the minutes of the Senate meeting on February 22. The error occurred in the item headed: SELF-STUDY SURVEY OF THE UNIVERSITY. The first sentence is as follows: "Dr. Rufus G. Hall, Vice Chairman of the Senate, presided over the discussion on the matter of a self-study survey of the University."

The first sentence should read as follows: "Dr. Rufus G. Hall, at the request of the Chairman, presided over the discussion on the matter of a self-study survey of the University."

Dr. Jim Reese is the Vice Chairman of the Senate. Because both Dr. Pray and Dr. Reese are members of the committee concerned with the self-study survey, Dr. Pray called upon Dr. Hall to serve as Chairman of the Senate when the committee report was presented and discussed.

With attention called to this one correction, the Journal of the University Senate for the meeting held on February 22, 1954 was approved.

ELECTION OF SENATORS

Explanatory Comment

Dr. Pray called attention to the fact that in ~~recent~~ ^{recent} elections of senators Professor George B. Fraser, of the College of Law, was elected to ~~serve from 1954-~~ ^{serve from 1954-} 57, even though he is currently serving the ~~unexpired~~ ^{unexpired} portion of the ~~term~~ ^{term} of Dr. Olin Browder.

The Charter of the University Senate states: "No member of the senate shall be eligible for re-election until one year has elapsed after the end of his term of office." Professor Fraser is not serving a three-year term to which he was elected; instead, he is completing a term of office to which another individual was elected. Dr. Pray asked the Senate for clarification of this matter.

Senate Action

Professor White moved that the application of this rule be referred to the proper committee for consideration and a report. His motion was seconded and passed by the Senate. Dr. Pray referred this matter to the Committee on University Organization, Budget, and Publications.

NOMINATIONS FOR UNIVERSITY COMMITTEES AND COUNCILS

Explanatory Comment

On January 25, 1954 the Senate approved a plan for the making of nominations for committees and councils of the University which are appointed by the President. Under this plan:

1. The Committee on Committees of the University Senate will submit its list of nominations at the regular meeting in March of each year.
2. Further nominations will be received from the floor of the Senate at the regular meeting in March.
3. Final consideration will be given to nominations and a vote will be taken on them at the regular meeting in April.

Senate Action

Dr. Reese presented the report of the Committee on Committees concerning nominations and commented on the manner in which it was developed. He suggested that the Senate consider separately each of the committees and councils for which nominations are made.

The report of the Committee on Committees is voluminous with information pertaining to: (1) the number of faculty members on each committee, (2) the term of office for committee members, (3) the number of nominations to be made, (4) the restrictions on committee membership or on nominations, (5) the duties of the committee, (6) a list of the present members of each committee with the retiring members indicated, and (7) the recommended nominations of the Committee on Committees. Because of the length of the report, only the last two items relative to the present membership of the committees and the recommended nominations are presented in this journal.

Nominations for University Committees and Councils -- continued

1. ATHLETIC COUNCIL (Retiring members are indicated with an asterisk)

Present Council

W. H. Hollon -- History
 H. V. McDermott -- Physical Educ.
 L. S. Reid -- Engineering
 Carl Riggs -- Biol. Survey
 Ronald Shuman -- Bus. Mgmt.
 Earl Sneed -- Law

Nominations

Maurine Bowling -- Physical Educ.
 Lowell Dunham -- Modern Language
 Frank Melton -- Geology
 Ralph Olson -- Geography
 John Raines -- English
 Joe Taylor -- Art

- * J. E. Douglas -- Speech
- * G. G. Huffman -- Geology
- * L. H. Rice -- Journalism

Nominations from the floor of the Senate -- None

2. COMMITTEE ON ADMISSIONS AND ADVANCED STANDING

Present Committee

Jean Brown -- Pharmacy
 Clayton Feaver -- Philosophy
 John Fellows -- Adm. and Records
 O. D. Westfall -- Accounting
 John R. Whitaker -- Journalism

Nominations

Jeanette Alesandri -- Modern Language
 Victor Elconin -- English
 Ellen Kelly -- Physical Education
 George Reid -- Engineering

- * Kenneth Crook -- Chemistry
- * W. R. Bandy -- Law

Nominations from the floor of the Senate -- None

3. BUDGET COUNCIL

Present Council

Ralph Bienfang -- Pharmacy
 Fayette Copeland -- Journalism
 E. A. Frederickson -- Geology
 George Goodman -- Plant Science
 Joseph Marshburn -- English
 Percy T. Teska -- Education

Nominations

Dewey Barnes -- Accounting
 Oliver Benson -- Government
 E. F. Dawson -- Engineering
 George Fraser -- Law
 Nelson Peach -- Economics
 Ellis Sims -- Engineering

- * Frank Morris -- Engineering
- * Spenser Norton -- Music
- * Dale Vliet -- Law

- (Albert A. Grau -- Mathematics
- (S. R. Tompkins -- History
- (Sam C. Holland -- Engineering
- (Kenneth Crook -- Chemistry
- (Jim E. Reese -- Economics
- (Lawrence Freeman -- Bus. Communications

Nominations from the floor of the Senate.....

Nominations for University Committees and Councils -- continued

4. CLASS SCHEDULE COMMITTEE (Retiring members are indicated with an asterisk)

<u>Present Committee</u>	<u>Nominations</u>
* John Fellows -- Adm. and Records	John Fellows -- Adm. and Records
* Boyce Timmons -- Adm. and Records	Gerald Porter -- Education
* Horace Brown -- Bus. Administration	Herbert Wrinkle -- Education
* Roscoe Cate -- Vice President	Laurence Mortensen -- Drama
* Ralph Clark -- Pharmacy	Gail de Stowliniski -- Music
* Glenn Couch -- University College	Susan Millier -- Home Economics
* John O'Neil -- Art	Dorothy Preston -- Home Economics
* Ray Matlock -- Engineering	Stanley Coffman -- English
* William Livezey -- Arts and Sciences	Erich Eichholz -- Modern Language
* John Rackley -- Education	Stella Sanders -- Modern Language
	Stuart Faunce -- Engineering
	Ray Matlock -- Engineering
	Clifford Merritt -- Geology
	Elroy Rice -- Plant Sciences
	H. H. Rowley -- Chemistry
	John Leibenderfer -- Finance
	Edwin McReynolds -- History
	John Morris -- Geography
	Jack Douglas -- Speech
	Charles Green -- Speech

Nominations from the floor.....(Boyce Timmons -- Adm. and Records
(William Huff -- Mathematics

5. COUNCIL ON INSTRUCTION

<u>Present Council</u>	<u>Nominations</u>
Willard Lane -- Education	John Casey -- Journalism
Dora McFarland -- Mathematics	A. M. de la Torre -- Modern Language
O. D. Westfall -- Accounting	Frank Hughes -- Music
Bruce Wiley -- Engineering	Maurice Merrill -- Law
* Kenneth Crook -- Chemistry	
* Maurice Merrill -- Law	

Nominations from the floor of the Senate -- None

Nominations for University Committees and Councils -- continued

6. ELECTIONS COMMITTEE (Retiring members are indicated with an asterisk)

Present Committee

Gerald Porter -- Sec. of Senate

- * W. R. Bandy -- Law
- * Gene Bavinger -- Art, Fine Arts
- * Howard Benischeck -- Engineering
- * John Bruce -- Pharmacy
- * Helen Kyle -- Education
- * William McGrew -- Accounting, Bus. Adm.
- * Max Moorhead -- History, Graduate
- * Reed Powell -- Sociology, A. & S.
- * James Smith -- Medicine

Nominations

Secretary of Senate

Daniel Cardenas -- Modern Language, A&S
 Reed Powell -- Sociology, A&S
 Dennis Crites -- Marketing, Bus. Adm.
 William McGrew -- Accounting, Bus. Adm.
 Helen Kyle -- Education
 Myron Leiberman -- Education
 Howard Benischeck -- Engineering
 William Oglesby -- Engineering
 Gene Bavinger -- Art, Fine Arts
 Gail de Stwolinski -- Music, Fine Arts
 John Bruce -- Pharmacy
 Cecil Headlee -- Pharmacy
 James Smith -- Medicine
 Lawrence Scott -- Medicine
 John Ezell -- History, Graduate
 George Hoke -- Business Law, Graduate
 W. R. Bandy -- Law
 Frank Elkouri -- Law

Nominations from the floor of the Senate -- None

7. COMMITTEE ON LIBRARIES

Present Committee

W. R. Bandy -- Law
 Harlan Bryant -- Education
 Ellen Kelly -- Physical Education
 Carlos Moseley -- Music
 Winston Smith -- Engineering
 A. O. Weese -- ~~Plant Science~~ *Zoology*

- * W. H. Keown -- Bus. Mgmt.
- * V. E. Monnett -- Geology
- * W. A. Willibrand -- Modern Language

Nominations

Willis Bowen -- Modern Languages
 Paul Brinker -- Economics
 George Huffman -- Geology
 Henry Robinson -- Classical Language
 Alexander Saunders -- English
 L. F. Smith -- History

Nominations from the floor of the Senate -- None

Nominations for University Committees and Councils -- continued

8. PAN AMERICAN COMMITTEE (Retiring members are indicated with an asterisk)

<u>Present Committee</u>	<u>Nominations</u>
* Stephen Scatori -- Modern Language	Lyle Albright -- Engineering
* Oliver Benson -- Government	Robert Bell -- Anthropology
* George Goodman -- Plant Science	Milton Hahn -- Modern Language
* Max Moorhead -- History	Rufus Hall -- Government
* Lucy Tandy -- Extension	Harry Hoy -- Geography
	Gaston Litton -- History
	Max Moorhead -- History
	Reed Powell -- Sociology
	Stephen Scatori -- Modern Language
	Lucy Tandy -- Extension

Nominations from the floor of the Senate -- None

9. COUNCIL ON PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

<u>Present Council</u>	<u>Nominations</u>
Arthur Heilman -- Education	Gene Bavinger -- Art
Bruce Houston -- Chemistry	Gerald Tuma -- Engineering
Leonard Logan -- Inst. of Comm. Dev.	
* Joe Keeley -- Engineering	

Nomination from the floor.....Arthur McAnally -- Library

10. STUDENT CONDUCT COMMITTEE

<u>Present Committee</u>	<u>Nominations</u>
Helen Gregory -- Physical Educ.	Paul Duncan -- Government
Eugene Kuntz -- Law	William Tongue -- Classical Language
* Alfred B. Sears -- History	

Nomination from the floor.....Lowry A. Doran -- Government

11. COMMITTEE ON RETIREMENT AND TENURE

<u>Present Committee</u>	<u>Nominations</u>
Clyde Farrar -- Engineering	John Leek -- Government
Gilbert Fite -- History	Jewel Wurtzbaugh -- English
Maurice Merrill -- Law	
William Ragan -- Education	
Ronald Shuman -- Bus. Mgmt.	
W. H. Smith -- Art	

* Robert Bell -- Anthropology

Nominations from the floor.....Besse A. Clement -- Modern Language

Nominations for University Committees and Councils -- continued

12. PUBLIC LECTURES AND COLLOQUIA COMMITTEE (Retiring members are indicated with an asterisk)

<u>Present Committee</u>	<u>Nominations</u>
* Thurman White -- Extension	Thurman White
* Cortez Ewing -- Government	Cortez Ewing
* Oliver Benson -- Government	Oliver Benson
* Spenser Norton -- Music	Spenser Norton
* J. Rud Nielsen -- Physics	J. Rud Nielsen
* J. H. Marshburn -- English	J. H. Marshburn
* William Livezey -- Arts & Sciences	William Livezey
* Ernst Noth -- Books Abroad	Ernst Noth
* Arthur Heilman -- Education	Arthur Heilman
* Harriet Harvey -- Plant Science <i>Zoology</i>	Harriet Harvey
* Muzafer Sherif -- Psychology	Muzafer Sherif
* H. H. Herbert -- Journalism	H. H. Herbert
	Joe Benton -- Music
	Helen Burton -- Home Economics
	W. H. Carson -- Engineering
	Ralph Clark -- Pharmacy
	Bruce Goff -- Architecture
	William Keown -- Bus. Mgmt.
	Leslie Smith -- History
	Lloyd Swearingen -- Science
	Dale Vliet -- Law
	Morris Wardell -- History
	John Whitaker -- Journalism
	Jewel Wurtzbaugh -- English

Nominations from the floor.....(J. P. Duncan -- Government
(John B. Giever -- Mathematics)

13. SERIAL PUBLICATIONS COMMITTEE

<u>Present Committee</u>	<u>Nominations</u>
* Savoie Lottinville -- Univ. Press	Savoie Lottinville
* Ernest Ross -- English	Ernest Ross
* J. Rud Nielsen -- Physics	J. Rud Nielsen
* Teague Self -- Plant Science <i>Zoology</i>	Teague Self
* Alfred Chatenever -- Engineering	Alfred Chatenever
* Alfred Sears -- History	Alfred Sears
* Harrison Kerr -- Fine Arts	Harrison Kerr
	Walter Cambell -- Journalism
	Pearce Kelley -- Marketing
	Harold Mathis -- Engineering
	Victor Monnett -- Geology
	Lawrence Poston -- Modern Language
	Lawrence Rohrbaugh -- Plant Science
	Kester Svendsen -- English

Nominations from the floor of the Senate -- None

Nominations for University Committees and Councils -- continued

14. UNIVERSITY SCHOLARSHIPS COMMITTEE (Retiring members are indicated with an asterisk)

<u>Present Committee</u>	<u>Nominations</u>
* Paul MacMinn -- Student Affairs	Paul MacMinn
* Glenn Couch -- University College	Glenn Couch
* Stephen Scatori -- Modern Language	Stephen Scatori
* Blanche Sommers -- Pharmacy	Blanche Sommers
* Guy Brown -- Alumni Association	Guy Brown
* Bennett Clark -- Plant Science	Bennett Clark
* Carlos Moseley -- Music	Carlos Moseley
* Rudolph Bambas -- English	Rudolph Bambas
* Boyce Timmons -- Adm. and Records	Boyce Timmons
* James Murphy -- Finance	Carl Almquist -- Engineering
	Henry Angelino -- Education
	Harley Brown -- Plant Science <i>Zoology</i>
	Lawrence Freeman -- Bus. Comm.
	George Huffman -- Geology
	John Keltner -- Speech
	J. E. LaFon -- Mathematics
	Mitchell Locks -- Business Statistics
	Max Moorhead -- History
	Joseph Rarick -- Law
	Iva Schmitt -- Anthropology
	Alvin Van den Bark -- English

Nominations from the floor of the Senate -- None

The nominations for the fourteen committees and councils, as presented above, were approved by the Senate.

LEADERSHIP COURSE COMMITTEE

Explanatory Comment

At the November 30, 1953 meeting of the Senate, Dr. John W. Keltner was elected to serve on the Leadership Course Committee until March, 1954. The Senate stipulated at this meeting that: "In March of each year the Senate will elect a faculty representative to serve on the committee."

Senate Action

The Committee on Committees of the Senate in the March Agenda nominated Dr. Charles P. Green and Dr. John W. Keltner to serve on the Leadership Course Committee. The Senate elected Dr. Keltner to serve from March, 1954 to March, 1955.

SELF-STUDY SURVEY OF THE UNIVERSITY

Explanatory Comment

At the February 22, 1954 meeting of the Senate, a report was presented by the special committee charged with responsibility for considering the feasibility of a self-study survey of the University. After considerable discussion of the many phases of the report, the Senate approved a motion to defer action on the report until the March meeting.

Senate Action

Dr. Pray requested that Dr. Hall preside over the Senate as the matter of a self-study survey was again discussed. Both Dr. Pray, Chairman of the Senate, and Dr. Reese, Vice Chairman of the Senate, are members of the special committee which prepared the self-study survey report. Thus, with Dr. Hall presiding they were free to enter into the presentation of the report and the discussion of it.

Dr. Pray commented on the background of the report of the special committee which was published in full in the Journal of the Senate for February 22, 1954. He then moved that the report of the special committee concerning the feasibility and general procedure for a self-study survey of the University be approved by the Senate. His motion was seconded.

Dr. Bernhart offered an amendment to the motion by Dr. Pray to the effect that the adoption of the report be contingent upon the Coordinating Committee for the self-study survey being relieved of three hours of teaching load for a period of one year. The amendment failed for lack of a second.

The motion to approve the report of the special committee was passed by the Senate.

EXAMINATIONS TECHNIQUES

Explanatory Comment

On February 17, 1954 President Cross requested that a resolution from the Student Senate be placed on the Agenda of the University Senate. The resolution is as follows:

Title: A Resolution Relating to More Efficient Examination Techniques.

Whereas: The present system of preparation for final examinations on the part of a portion of the faculty is considered inefficient by both the faculty and the student body; and

Whereas: The extension of such techniques, as has heretofore been the subject of considerable controversy; would have a detrimental effect on both the purposes of a university education and the public relations of the University; therefore, be it

Examination Techniques -- continued

Resolved: That the Student Senate of the University of Oklahoma recommend to the faculty that its members be suggested to:

- A. Consider the possibility of a separate and distinct final examination to be given to students each semester without verbatim repetition; also the possibility of a separate and distinct final examination to be given to students enrolled in different sections of any particular course.
- B. Carefully consider a more efficient means of preparation and production of examinations to insure equal opportunities for all students safeguarded against potential leakages.

Submitted on motion by /s/ Al Alschuler

Motion Seconded by /s/ Charles T. Ray

Action by the Student Senate passed unanimous this 11 day of February 1954.

Senate Action

Dr. Self moved that the resolution submitted by the Student Senate be referred to the appropriate committee for consideration and a report. His motion was seconded and passed by the Senate. Dr. Pray immediately referred the matter to the Committee on Academic Standards.

DISPOSAL OF EXAMINATIONS

Letter Concerning This Matter

February 23, 1954

The Secretary
University Senate
Faculty Exchange

Dear Sir:

You are doubtless aware that from time to time there are scandals in connection with attempts at cheating at our examinations and that recently one student was dismissed from the University for an attempt at cheating.

It seems to me that incineration facilities are a crying need on this campus. I am informed that home incinerators, comparable in size and doubtless

Disposal of Examinations -- continued

appearance to washing machines, are obtainable. Some are operated by electricity and some by gas. Some of our buildings have a basement room in which such an incinerator could be installed. Departmental secretaries could, if such incinerators were available, personally see to the destruction of stencils of examinations and other important documents. Similarly, instructors could see to the destruction of objective examination papers.

I hope the Senate will at least discuss this question.

Yours truly,

Leslie F. Smith
Associate Professor

Senate Action

Dr. Hall moved that the matter of disposing of examinations be referred to the Committee on Academic Standards. His motion was seconded.

Dr. Wardell offered a substitute motion that every professor be furnished with an individual incinerator for the purpose of disposing of his examinations. His motion was seconded but did not pass.

The original motion by Dr. Hall was approved by the Senate.

FINAL EXAMINATIONS AND COMMENCEMENT

Explanatory Comment

Dr. Pray requested and received the consent of the Senate relative to presenting an item of business that was not on the Agenda. The item concerned a resolution from the Student Senate as follows:

Title: An Act to Establish Commencement Exercises of the University of Oklahoma on the Last Day of Classes and Exempt Graduating Seniors From Finals.

Be it enacted by the Student Senate of the University of Oklahoma:

Section 1. This act shall be known and may be cited as the University of Oklahoma Commencement Act.

Section 2. The purpose of this act is to promote more interest and participation on the part of graduating seniors and the student body in graduation exercises by scheduling commencement exercises on the last day of class work and thus exempt graduating seniors from final examinations.

Final Examinations and Commencement -- continued

Section 3.

- a. Whereas: There has been a definite lack of interest and participation on the part of the student body in graduation exercises, and
- b. Whereas: This lack of participation can be attributed in large part to the fact that commencement is held several days following final examinations when most students have left the campus for summer jobs, vacation, to enter service, etc., and
- c. Whereas: Scheduling of commencement exercises on the last day of classes would (1) increase greatly the attendance of graduating seniors at the exercises, (2) permit attendance of a greater number of undergraduates at the ceremonies, (3) allow university student musical groups (choral, orchestra, band, etc.) to participate in commencement programs and (4) enable graduating seniors going to new jobs to begin June 1 and those going into service to spend a few days at home, and
- d. Whereas: A plan exempting graduating seniors from final examinations would permit commencement exercises to be held on the last day of classes:
- e. Then Be It Resolved: That graduating seniors be exempt from final examinations and commencement exercises will be held on May 25, 1954 and on the last day of classwork in following years, with these exceptions:

Section 4. Any graduating senior that is failing a course or desires to take the final examination in order to raise or improve a grade may do so, receiving his completed transcript upon the completion of his work and his official commencement being at the next scheduled commencement exercises.

Section 5. This act shall become effective when duly passed by the Student Senate in regular session and accepted en toto by the proper university authorities.

Submitted on motion by: Fred Cook

Motion Seconded by: Kathleen Smith

Action by the Student Senate: Passed this 25th day of March, 1954.

Senate Action

Dr. Goodman moved that the matter of final examinations and commencement be referred to the appropriate committee of the University Senate. His motion was seconded and passed by the Senate. Dr. Pray immediately referred the matter to the Committee on Academic Standards.

EIGHT WEEKS GRADES

Explanatory Comment

On January 9, 1954 Bob Beverley, President, Inter-Fraternity Council, wrote to Dean Rackley concerning the obtaining of eight weeks grades. Dean Rackley, in turn, presented the matter to the Faculty of the College of Education. The Faculty of the College of Education voted to refer this matter to the University Senate.

Letter Concerning Eight Weeks Grades

January 9, 1954

Dear Dean Rackley:

Because of undue pressure sometimes exerted upon professors by individual students attempting to obtain grade reports, the Interfraternity Council has devised a new plan which we hope will be more feasible both to you and the students involved.

In order for a fraternity to initiate a pledge on the basis of this eight weeks' grades, it is necessary that they have the professor's grade for each course in which the pledge is enrolled. The pledge submits the following information to the fraternity before the end of the eight weeks' period:

1. Full name
2. Classification
3. Name of fraternity
4. List of all courses, course numbers, section numbers, time of classes and instructor's name.

These lists will be compiled by the I. F. C. Scholarship Committee and submitted to each professor by mail. In turn, we are asking that the professor contacted indicate the grade of the student and return the form to the Scholarship Committee. The grades will be checked and a final decision given as to eligibility for initiation.

We hope this plan will meet with your approval and that we can count on your cooperation. We shall appreciate, also, your telling the professors in your department about this method for eight weeks' initiation and securing of grades. If you or they have any suggestions for improvement, please feel free to submit them to us and we will give them every consideration.

Sincerely yours,

Bob Beverley, President
Interfraternity Council

b/djd

Eight Weeks Grades -- continued

Senate Action

Dr. Wardell moved that the University Senate recommend that eight weeks grades be reported for all students. His motion was seconded. Following a discussion relative to the appropriateness of his motion, Dr. Wardell offered a substitute motion that the matter of mid-term grades be referred to the Committee on Student and Public Relations for consideration and a report. His second motion was approved by the Senate.

PHYSICAL EXAMINATIONS FOR FACULTY

Letter from Dr. Keys to the Chairman of the Senate

March 9, 1954

Dear Dr. Pray:

I should like to present a proposal for the consideration of the Senate members. At least it may be worthy of some discussion.

At the present time a majority of the faculty carries Blue Cross and many carry Blue Shield insurance. All faculty members are required to carry at least a \$1,000 life insurance policy which can be purchased at drastically reduced rates because of group participation. These things are fine and I am all for them. However, it seems to me that one important aspect of the faculty health situation has been, and is being sorely neglected. I am referring to that aspect which deals with the year-by-year assessment of one's health, the complete physical examination.

I would like to suggest that serious consideration be given and provisions made either through our local infirmary, the University Hospital, or some clinic, for complete physicals for every faculty member on the University staff. Such an examination could be either compulsory or voluntary, a decision which could be determined later. The examination should include upper and lower GI and chest X-rays as well as an electro-cardiogram, in addition to the routine physical as ordinarily given. At present the cost of such an examination yearly is almost prohibitive on an individual basis. However, on a group basis, I believe this cost could be brought well within the reach of each and every member of the faculty. It is not my thought, at the moment, at least, that the University should stand this expense, but most of us have reached the age where such an examination is not only desirable but almost imperative on a yearly basis. Details of such a plan, of course, would necessarily have to be worked out carefully.

The railroads have required a physical examination of all of their employees for many years. Industry is now making such requirements of its employees. The medical profession is dramatically and vividly portraying the dangers of failure to secure such an examination annually. It is my feeling that as a group we could do much to solve our own situation. Health insurance is fine, life insurance is excellent (for those who are left behind), but perhaps through routine physicals we could postpone the need for both.

Sincerely yours,

John W. Keys, Director
Speech and Hearing Clinic

Physical Examinations for Faculty -- continued

Senate Action

Dr. Livezey moved that the matter of physical examinations for members of the faculty of the University be referred to the appropriate committee of the Senate for consideration and a report. His motion was seconded and passed by the Senate. Dr. Pray immediately referred the matter to the Committee on Faculty Personnel.

REPORTING OF ACADEMIC STATUS OF STUDENTS

Report of the Committee on Academic Standards

Explanatory Comment:

The following letter from Vice President Franklin and the corresponding Senate Action is taken from the Journal of the University Senate, Special Session, May 28, 1953.

Mr. Boyce Timmons and Dr. Kenneth Crook met with the Committee on Academic Standards as consultants in the consideration of this matter.

Letter from Vice President Franklin:

Dear Dr. Browder:

At a recent meeting of the Deans it was decided to recommend to President Cross the adoption of uniform University-wide regulations governing the reporting of academic status of students. The reasons behind the desire of the Deans to have uniform University regulations adopted are these:

- (1) At the present time there is no statement of regulations relative to the quantitative and qualitative requirements of "good standing" for the purposes of reporting to Selective Service and the Veterans' Administration and for the purpose of enrolment and continuance in advanced ROTC.
- (2) At the present time the basis on which the Office of Admissions and Records has been reporting academic status to the Selective Service has been different from the basis used for reporting to the Veterans' Administration.
- (3) During the past few years the different ROTC units on the campus have not followed uniform policies with respect to their academic requirements for enrolment and continuance in advanced ROTC which has led to some confusion and to a "shopping" among the ROTC units by some students.

Inasmuch as a matter of the University-wide policy is involved, President Cross would like to have the Faculty Senate consider the regulations (attached) which have been proposed by the Deans-----

Reporting of Academic Status of Students -- continuedProposed Regulations Governing the Enrolment and Continuance in Advanced ROTC and the Reporting of Academic Status to Selective Service and the Veterans Administration.

1. A person will be considered a full-time undergraduate student in the University of Oklahoma provided he is enrolled in not less than 28 semester hours for the two semesters of his academic year with not less than 14 in one.
2. A full-time undergraduate student as defined above will be considered as having a satisfactory scholastic record in his total college program if:
 - a. At the end of one year he has completed at least 28 semester hours of credit with an average grade of "C" or a grade-point average of 2.0 in all work undertaken.
 - b. At the end of the second year he has completed at least 60 semester hours of credit with an average grade of "C" or a grade-point average of 2.0 in all work undertaken.
 - c. At the end of the third year he has completed at least 90 semester hours of credit with an average grade of "C" or a grade-point average of 2.0 in all work undertaken.
3. A full-time undergraduate student as defined above will be considered as maintaining satisfactory progress in his college program during a particular semester if he meets the conditions of "2" and has an average of "C" or a grade-point average of 2.0 in all work undertaken in that semester.
4. A full-time undergraduate student as defined above will be considered as having an unsatisfactory scholastic record in his total college record if he does not meet the condition enumerated in "2". Such students will be permitted to remain in the University for a limited period and will be placed on academic probation.
5. A full-time student, whether undergraduate or graduate, who fails in 40 per cent or more of his work in any semester will be considered as having an unsatisfactory scholastic record and will be suspended for poor scholarship. Such students may be reinstated on academic probation as specified by the University scholarship regulations enumerated in the General Catalog.
6. A graduate student will be considered full time, provided he is enrolled in not less than 12 semester hours in any semester.
7. A full-time graduate student, as defined above, will be considered as maintaining satisfactory progress during a particular semester if he maintains a grade-point average at least as high as that which is required of him for graduation.
8. Any waiver of the above regulations will be made only by the President of the University upon the recommendation of the faculty and the dean of the college in which the student is enrolled.

Reporting of Academic Status of Students -- continued

Senate Action, May 28, 1953:

Dr. Crook moved that the matter of reporting of academic status of students be referred to the appropriate standing committee of the Senate. His motion was seconded and passed by the Senate. Dr. Browder immediately referred the matter to the Committee on Academic Standards.

Dean Brown moved that the Senate endorse the plan set forth in the eight points in the letter from Vice President Franklin until such time as the Senate, through its committee procedure, can bring in a permanent set of regulations. His motion was seconded and approved.

Recommendation of the Committee on Academic Standards:

Points 1 and 2 in the plan presented above to remain as proposed. Omit points 3 through 8 inclusive above and substitute for them:

3. An undergraduate student will be considered as doing satisfactory work if he maintains a "C" average or a grade point average of 2.0 in the work undertaken even though he may not qualify as a full time student.
4. A graduate student will be considered full time provided that in any semester he is enrolled in not less than 12 semester hours of course work or its equivalent in thesis research.
5. A full time graduate student as defined above will be considered as maintaining satisfactory progress during a particular semester if he maintains a grade point average at least as high as that which is required of him for graduation.

Respectfully submitted,

Cortez Ewing
Lawrence Poston, Jr.
V. E. Monnett
W. N. Peach
M. L. Wardell
J. T. Self
John B. Bruce, Chairman

Senate Action

Dr. Bruce commented on the manner in which the above report was developed and moved that the recommendations of the Committee on Academic Standards be approved by the Senate. His motion was seconded and passed by the Senate.

ADJOURNMENT

The University Senate adjourned at 5:46 p.m. The next regular meeting will be Monday, April 26, 1954, at 4:10 p.m.

Gerald A. Porter, Secretary