



Exploring Seed Defense Strategies in Oklahoma Forests


Gabby Barber, Gina Errico, Dr. Eric Lopresti, Dr. Benedicte Bachelot
Oklahoma State University Department of Plant Biology, Ecology, & Evolution



INTRODUCTION

- Seed predation (granivory) is poorly understood despite significantly affecting plant recruitment and plant communities (1).
- Granivory is affected by habitat composition.
 - Granivory may increase with**
 - seed density (2,3).
 - canopy cover (1,4).
 - closeness to forest edge (4).
- We hypothesize that granivory will be:**
 - 1) higher closer to the forest edge
 - 2) higher when seed density is high, and
 - 3) significantly affected by substrate color due to camouflage effects.


METHODS

- Randomized treatments per trial (3.33 trials):
10 sand colors • 3 densities • 3 replicates = 90 buckets
- Sand colors:**

- Seed densities:** 5, 50, 80
- Seeds:** *Helianthus annuus* (sunflower seeds)
- Buckets:** Lid-on tubs with holes in the sides
- Test site:** Forest in OSU McPherson Preserve in the Oklahoma Cross Timbers Ecoregion
- Trial duration:** February - April 2023
- Trial proximity to forest edge:** ~33-192m


RESULTS

- Graphs are based on the average percent of seeds remaining four days after the initial deployment. Each trial was ~2 weeks apart.

Density vs. Proximity



Density vs. Color




- Photos- Left:** prepared bucket. **Middle:** trial on-site. **Right:** map of trail used (top point represents the road that splits the forest)



DISCUSSION

- Granivory was lowest** for smaller density treatments as well as treatments with purple & pink backgrounds looking dark blue to most local granivores with dichromatic vision. This gives new insight into crypsis effects (5,6).
- Ecological implications**
 - Habitat affects granivory, so habitat changes will alter granivore communities.
- Suggestions for future studies**
 - Repeat in open area for cover changes (5).
 - Stay within one season due to litter and predator compositions (1,4,5).



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- Thank you to** the Lew Wentz Foundation, the OSU Henry Bellmon Office of Scholar Development and Undergraduate Research, the Bachelot lab, and all four field volunteers for making this study possible.

LITERATURE CITED

(1) Kolb et al. 2007. *Oikos* 116(5):864-872. (2) Janzen 1972. *Annu Rev Ecol Syst.* 2:465-492. (3) Connell 1971. 298-312 in Boer and Gradwell 1970. *Dynamics of populations.* (4) Myster & Pickett 1993. *Oikos* 66:381-388. (5) LoPresti et al. 2023. *Journal of Ecology* 111(3):540-551. (6) Ahnelt et al. 2006. *Visual Neuroscience* 23(3-4):429-435.