

The Effects of Adding Grain and Supplements to Commercially Available Grain Mixes for Horses

Dr. David W. Freeman OSU Extension Equine Specialist

Horse farm feeding practices are as individual as the owners themselves. What works on one farm for feed selection and feeding practices doesn't produce the same results on a different farm. Much of the variation can be attributed to different genetic lines of horses, different types of pastures and hays, different exercise programs, horse housing differences, and differences between feeding routines. One commonly observed practice is on-farm addition of ingredients to commercially formulated grain mixes. The most commonly observed adjustment in Oklahoma is adding protein supplements, oats, or corn. Adjustments in rations will affect the nutrient profile and cost of the ration. Analyzing these effects will enable you to make adjustments, which increase the value of a ration rather than decrease it.

The first step in ration analysis is to estimate nutrient requirements. Nutrient requirements vary between horses in different states of production, growth, and work. Adjustments in prepared rations will affect different classes of horses in a different way, so the value of altering prepared rations must be determined for each class of horses. Growing horses provide a good example because of their direct relationship with growth disorders and nutrient imbalances in rations. Yearlings are used in the following example.

Balancing to Meet Requirements

Requirements for growing horses are based on their body size and growth rate. Requirements for nutrients such as energy, protein, calcium, and phosphorus must be balanced so nutrients promote healthy growth. The estimated nutrient requirement for an example yearling is provided in Table 1. This yearling has an expected mature weight of about 1200 pounds, is 12 months of age, and is gaining about 1.2 pounds per day.

Rations for growing horses are typically formulated for energy needs to be met when total intake of ration is between 2.25 percent and 2.75 percent of body weight each day. Digestible energy (DE) requirements are measured in Megacalories (Mcal). This yearling is estimated to need about 23 Mcal DE each day. The crude protein needs for the yearling is estimated to be at or slightly above 2.2 lbs each day. Calcium and phosphorus are two minerals of major importance for healthy growth. The minimum calcium need for this yearling is 45 grams per day. The yearling is estimated to need about 25 grams of phosphorus each day. Phosphorus needs are lower for all horses. Even if the needs for the absolute amounts of both minerals are being met, balance of calcium and phosphorus is important for efficient digestion and use of minerals. Horses such as this yearling should be fed total rations with about 1.8 times more calcium than phosphorus. Narrower ratios can be fed, but total rations with more phosphorus than calcium should be avoided absolutely, regardless of whether or not the needs are being met for the specific minerals.

As stated earlier, horses are fed diets ranging from allforage to rations utilizing large amounts of grain. This example uses a base diet with a typically formulated grain mix and hay at a 60 to 40 ratio. The nutritional effect of altering this combination by adding a protein supplement, oats, and corn will be quantified through several examples.

Table 1. Estimated Dail	y Nutrient Rec	quirements for a	Yearling ^a .
-------------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------------

Digestible Energy	Crude Protein	Calcium	Phosphorus	Calcium:Phosphorus	
Mcal	lb	gm	gm	Ratio	
23	2.2	45	25	1.8	

^a Calculated for an 850-pound, 12-month-old horse, gaining 1.2 pounds per day.

Division of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources • Oklahoma State University

Nutrient densities of commercially available mixes can be obtained from feed tags or the feed supplier. Estimates for energy content may also be obtained from suppliers or through feed testing. Assistance with testing forages and grains can be obtained through your local county Cooperative Extension office. The nutrient densities of the feeds used in the following examples are provided in Table 2. The cost per pound of each was estimated from prices at the time of publication. As with nutrient densities, costs will vary greatly between feedstuffs, locales, and time of year, so information is intended to solely provide comparisons for the examples.

Meeting Requirements with a Commercially Available Grain Mix and Hay Ration

The yearling will need to consume about 19 to 20 pounds of total ration per day (about 2.3 percent of body weight) to meet its energy requirement (Table 3). The energy density of the grain mix and hay ration is between 1.1 to 1.2 Mcal/lb of ration, which is typical of many grain-grass hay rations.

A comparison of the nutrient profile and requirements suggests this ration should meet the protein, calcium, and phosphorus needs of this yearling. Calcium and phosphorus are supplied in slight excess of the estimated requirements, which is typical of many prepared grain mix-hay combinations. Adequate minerals are provided in most commercially prepared grain mixes to safeguard against deficiencies caused by variation in hays. The mineral levels are not excessive and the ratio of calcium to phosphorus is about 1.2 to 1, which is on the lower end of the suggested acceptable ratio.

The cost of feeding this ration was calculated by determining the cost per pound of hay and grain and multiplying this cost by the amount fed. The cost of feeding one yearling per day (12 pounds of grain mix and 7 to 8 pounds of hay) was estimated at about \$2.50 per day. Ten head of yearlings would consume \$750 in hay and grain per month. Cost will vary depending on individual costs of hay and grain, and which associated costs such as labor are included in the amount. However, this amount can be used as a benchmark to observe cost differences when adding or mixing other feedstuffs.

Adding a Protein Supplement

It is common for horse owners to add a protein supplement to commercially prepared grain mixes. Supplements are added in hope of better meeting the protein, amino acid, mineral, or vitamin needs of horses. Depending on the nutrient profile in the grain-hay mix, it is common for 10 to 20 percent of yearlings to respond favorably to supplementation even when fed a mix calculated to meet minimal requirements. This is probably due to small differences in the protein and amino acid requirements between yearlings or differences in protein quality (amino acid balance) between the base ration and protein supplement. Amino acid profiles of commercially prepared grain mixes are variable. Protein supplements for horses usually have large concentrations of essential amino acids. Those horses consuming poor quality protein sources in grain mixes will respond more favorably to supplementation than those consuming high quality protein grain mixes. Replacing one pound of the grain mix with one pound of a commercially available 30 percent crude protein supplement provides the nutrient profile in Table 4.

Adding this supplement does not change the energy density as the supplement was estimated to be similar in energy content as the grain mix. The protein content would be expected to be well above the suggested minimal requirement as listed in Table 2. Calcium and phosphorus are also contained in protein supplements, so concentrations of these nutrients would be expected to change. The content of calcium and phosphorus varies greatly between supplements, so careful monitoring is essential.

The cost of supplements varies greatly. The supplement used in this example was quoted to cost about \$40.00 for 50 pounds (\$0.80

Feedstuff	Digestible Energy Mcal/lb	Crude Protein %	Calcium %	Phosphorus %	Cost per lb	
Grain mix	1.35	14	0.60	0.50	0.16	
Hay	0.90	11	0.45	0.35	0.08	
Protein suppleme	ent 1.35	30	0.80	0.60	0.80	
Oats	1.35	12	0.14	0.40	0.19	
Corn	1.60	8	0.04	0.30	0.15	

Table 2. Nutrient Profiles of Example Feedstuffs Used in Comparisons.

Table 3. Nutrient Profile of a Ration Containing a 14% Grain Mix and Bermudagrass Hay^a.

Digestik	Digestible Energy		Crude Protein		Calcium		horus	Calcium:Phosphorus
Mcal	Mcal/lb	lb	%	gm	%	gm	%	
23	1.17	2.5	13	48	0.54	39	0.44	1.2

^aDensities are based on total ration intake of 19 to 20 pounds per day (2.3% of body weight).

Table 4. Nutrient Profile of the Supplemented	I Grain and Hay Ration ^a .
---	---------------------------------------

Digestib	Digestible Energy Crude Protein		Calcium		Phosphorus		Calcium:Phosphorus	
Mcal	Mcal/lb	lb	%	gm	%	gm	%	
23	1.17	3.6	19	48	0.54	39	0.44	1.2

^aDensities are based on total ration intake of 19 to 20 pounds per day (2.3% of body weight).

per pound). Addition of the supplement increased the cost to \$3.19 per day or \$957 for 10 head per month, an increase of almost 30 percent above the base ration cost. Those farms feeding large numbers of horses that need supplementation may find that switching to a higher quality base mix is more economical to meet needs than relying completely on bagged protein supplements.

Replacing Part of the Grain Mix with Oats

On-farm addition of oats are added to grain mixes in order to reduce the energy concentration or increase the fiber concentration of rations (Table 5). Replacing half of the base grain mix with oats may reduce the digestible energy content of commercially formulated rations, so more total ration might have to be fed. In this example, the digestible energy density of oats and the grain mix were estimated to be similar, so total intake would be expected to be the same.

The crude protein intake would be expected to be slightly less as oats contain about 2 percent less crude protein than the grain mix. Protein quality would not be expected to be as high as many prepared mixes. Additionally, there is a concern in the amounts of calcium and phosphorus. Recommendations for minimal amounts of calcium are not met, and the calculated ratio of 1.0 is too narrow to recommend.

Oat prices vary widely. At the time of this calculation, oat prices were quoted at \$19 per hundred (\$0.19 per pound).

This cost is slightly more than the quote for the grain mix. Cost of this ration would be about \$2.73 per head per day or \$819 for 10 yearlings for one month, which is about 9 percent higher than the original combination of grain mix and hay. Because of the potential for mineral imbalance, the increased cost, and the probability that amino acid profile is not improved, combining prepared grain mixes with oats is not recommended. Adding a less expensive, high fiber feedstuff such as alfalfa pellets or soy hull pellets may be a better alternative to increase fiber intake in those instances that adequate long stem forage is not being supplied.

Nutrient Profile of Supplemented Grain Mix with Oats Added

It is a habit of some managers to make large changes in prepared feeds by mixing in oats and then adding supplements (Table 6). This is a combination of the two examples provided above. This mixture closely resembles the initial grain-hay ration in terms of energy and protein so efforts to adjust either were negated. There probably is an increase in some of the essential amino acids, but responses may not be noticed because of such small differences. As with the oat added, non-supplemented ration, the big concern is with minerals and the potential for calcium and phosphorus imbalance that is possible with some supplementations. Moreover, this can be expected to be an expensive choice. Estimates in this example suggest per head cost of \$3.35 per day or \$1,000 for 10 head per month, which is a 30 percent increase above the base ration. The overall effect is little nutritional value and added expense.

Digestik	ole Energy	Crude Protein		Calcium		Phosphorus		Calcium:Phosphorus
Mcal	Mcal/lb	lb	%	gm	%	gm	%	
23	1.17	2.4	12	36	0.40	36	0.41	1.0

^a Densities are based on total ration intake of 19 to 20 pounds per day (2.3% of body weight).

Digestik	le Energy	Crude	Protein	Calciu	ım Phosphorus		Calcium:Phosphorus	
Mcal	Mcal/lb	lb	%	gm	%	gm	%	
23	1.17	2.7	14	44	0.5	37	0.41	1.2

^a Densities are based on total ration intake of 19 to 20 pounds per day (2.3% of body weight).

Digestib	ole Energy	Crude Protein		Calcium		Phosphorus		Calcium:Phosphorus
Mcal	Mcal/lb	lb	%	gm	%	gm	%	
23	1.21	2.0	11	38	0.44	36	0.42	1.1

^aDensities are based on total ration intake of 19 to 20 pounds per day (2.3% of body weight).

Digestible Energy	Crude Protein		Calcium		Phosphorus		Calcium:Phosphorus
Mcal Mcal/lb	lb	%	gm	%	gm	%	
23 1.21	2.6	14	40	0.46	36	0.42	1.1

^aDensities are based on total ration intake of 19 to 20 pounds per day (2.3% of body weight).

Adding Corn to a Grain Mix

Some managers will add corn to grain mixes to increase the energy concentration of the ration. Corn is a relatively dense source of energy; however, the ration may become imbalanced. Replacing 30 percent of the grain mix with corn provides the nutrient profile in Table 7. The energy content of the total ration is greater with the addition of the corn. The estimated increase in energy density relates to about an expected decrease in daily intake of about 0.5 pounds of total ration. The problem with this mixture is the increase of energy density with a corresponding decrease in protein. The protein intake may not meet the amino acid needs for sound skeletal growth. Further, the calcium intake is less than the minimally suggested level of need. The combination of deficiency of protein and calcium would result in higher expectations of leg growth disorders. The projected cost of this ration, based on available guotes for corn is about \$2.44 per head per day, or \$732 for 10 head per month. Even though less expensive than the other examples, the potential for creating skeletal disorders far outweighs the economic characteristics of this ration for use with growing horses.

Nutrient Profile of Supplemented Grain Mix with Added Corn

Although not as often as with oats, some managers will add corn to grain mixes and then supplement with a protein supplement. The nutrient profile of this combination is provided in Table 8. Energy density is similar to the previous example; however, crude protein intake is similar to levels in the original ration and desired amino acid profiles would be expected to be better because of the addition of the protein supplement. The calcium intake is still less than the suggested minimal level of intake, so problems with leg growth disorders might increase. Cost calculations are \$3.05 per head per day or \$915 for 10 head per month. Increased costs and a slight deficiency in calcium are the biggest concerns with this ration.

Summary and General

Recommendations

Both nutritional profiles and cost factors should be considered when grains and hays are being selected to meet horses' nutrient requirements. This article provides comparisons for altering commercially available grain mixes with protein supplements and grains on farm.

The only recommended practice of these examples is adding a protein supplement when the grain mix-hay combination is marginally supplying protein and essential amino acids. Protein supplements are most commonly fed when nutritional programs are being accelerated, for example during preparation for sale or show. The expected results will depend on the nutrient profile of the grain mix and forage being consumed, as well as individual horse response.

Addition of oats to increase the fiber content of the grain mix is not recommended because of changes in cost and mineral profiles. Other high fiber feedstuffs, such as alfalfa meal or soy hulls, may be a better alternative to increase the fiber content in rations of horses on restricted forage diets. Replacing a portion of the grain mix with corn is not recommended in rations for growing horses because of imbalances of protein and minerals.

The most common recommendation is to select a prepared grain mix based on the nutrient content of the hay and adjust the ration intake level to meet the desired growth rate. Unknowledgeable mixing of whole grains to prepared rations may not only be expensive, it may also cause unfavorable production, growth, or work performance.

Oklahoma State University, in compliance with Title VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Executive Order 11246 as amended, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, and other federal laws and regulations, does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, age, religion, disability, or status as a veteran in any of its policies, practices, or procedures. This includes but is not limited to admissions, employment, financial aid, and educational services.

Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension work, acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Robert E. Whitson, Director of Cooperative Extension Service, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma. This publication is printed and issued by Oklahoma State University as authorized by the Vice President, Dean, and Director of the Division of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources and has been prepared and distributed at a cost of 20 cents per copy. 0408 Revised GH.