OKLAHOMA
AGRICULTURAL AND MECHANICAL COLLEGE
AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION
COTTON VARIETY TESTS
COTION VARIETT TESTS
With Suggestions for Growing Cotton
Under Boll Weevil Conditions
Under Dott weever Conditions
By GLEN BRIGGS
Agronomy Department, Oklahoma Agricultural Experiment Station
0
Stillwater, Oklahoma
Bulletin No. 141 January, 192

Cotton Variety Tests

By GLEN BRIGGS*

This bulletin gives the results for 1916 to 1922. inclusive, of the cotton variety tests conducted at the Oklahoma Experiment Station. These tests were not made or published with the idea of advertising one or more varieties of cotton but to show in a comparative way and to emphasize the fact that differences do exist in varieties and that as one of the factors of better farming attention should be given to the best variety or varieties that are posible to be secured.

Most coton growers are interested in the best variety or varieties of cotton that are adapted to their particular locality. For this reason it is not uncommon to find from two to twenty different varieties grown in the same community, all being an endeavor to find the best one adapted to local conditions. However, in most cases all these varieties are ginned at the same custom gin and become more or less mixed in the process of ginning until few persons have a variety that is better than or distinct from his neighbor.

Only the southeast two-thirds of Oklahoma lies within the cotton belt of the United States but in this area sufficient cotton has been produced to place the state recently to fourth place among the cotton producing states. The cotton area of Oklahoma is the northern limit of cotton production and until last year the greater part of this area had not been invaded by the cotton boll weevil.

Cotton is the most valuable cash crop in Oklahoma and for this reason if for no other, a study of varieties should be of great importance, in order that the undesirable and less valuable varieties be eliminated and the more profitable varieties be given a more prominent place on the Oklahoma farms. There are a number of good varieties of cotton grown in Oklahoma, each, perhaps, being well adapted for a particular section or condition. In a state that is as varied in soil and climatic condition as this one there cannot be one variety that is best for all growers. However, it is possible that one variety may be found that is best for a certain locality and in general that might be fairly well adapted to conditions existing over a large part of the cotton section of the state.

All growers desire a variety that gives large yields, a high percentage of lint, and recently have also become desirous of one with a fairly good length of staple and one comparatively early. The last factor is important in order to escape frost injury which comes early in this northern limit of production and on account of the likelihood of greater boll weevil damage to late maturing varieties. Contrary to prevailing opinion, much of Oklahoma cottons are sold at a premium on the eastern markets. They stand in a class to themselves, holding a position relatively between the long lint varieties (known as staples) and the shorter Uplands east of the Mississippi river.

There is a great difference in the yield of different varieties and there is also a difference in the same varity in different seasons and located in different parts of the state or on different soils. It is therefore not a good practice to select a variety of cotton simply because it heads the list one year, but to investigate back through a series of years and select the variety that has made the best average.

Too much stress cannot be attached to the importance of planting the very best variety of cotton obtainable. However, one should select a variety that has been thoroughly tested by disinterested and reliable parties. There has been almost worthless varieties of cotton on the market under great claims that are not justified by honest tests. For these reasons the Agronomy Department of the Oklahome Experiment Station has been testing a number of varieties for a period of years with

*Acknowledgement is made of assistance given by Dr. M. A. Beeson in connection with this experiment while he was head of the Department of Agronomy.

•

the expectation of finding the variety or varieties best adopted to conditions similar to those existing at this location. It is expected that the better varieties will be propagated and multiplied until sufficient seed will become available for distribution among interested farmers.

EXPERIMENT STATION FARM

The Agronomy Farm of the Oklahoma Experiment Station is situated nearly two miles west of Stilwater in Payne county. It is nearly at the extreme northern limit of cotton production and twenty-five miles north of the farm there is hardly a coton field to be found.

The soil upon which the tests mentioned in this bulletin were conducted is a reddish brown or chocolate colored loam underlaid with a very heavy red clay. The land is upland nearly level and drains fairly well. It is not known as good cotton land but is better than some of the poorest land in the state used for growing cotton.

CLIMATIC CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH TESTS WERE CONDUCTED

Weather has much to do with the amount and the quality of coton grown in a year. It also has much to do with the securing of information sought in testing varieties. For instance, the late wet spring of 1917 and 1918 made it almost impossible to secure a uniform stand. The variety tests were so late in getting started that they had not matured at frost time in the fall and the yields were very low for those years. Only the very early varieties produced anything like a crop and they bore only a very light one.

The frost free season at Stillwater has been found to be about 212 days on an average and extends from about April 1 to October 29. However, the available season for cotton growin gis only about 173 days or from April 21 to October 11 as the weather before and after these dates is generally cool and not conducive to the growth of the cotton plant.

As yields are so greatly dependent upon the rainfall and its distribution Table I is given to show the rainfall by months for the years 1916 to 1921 at Stillwater, Oklahoma. The average rainfall here for the six-year period is approximately 35 inches.

$ \begin{array}{c c} 0.27 \\ 0.90 \\ 0.34 \\ 3.26 \end{array} $	1.48 * 1.63 3.86	0.21 2.47 1.65 4.18	0.81 0.12 5.04 3.86	1.74 0.92 4.81 5.87	$ \begin{array}{c c} 1.28 \\ 0.78 \\ 2.63 \\ 4.13 \end{array} $
$\begin{array}{c} 0.34\\ 3.26\end{array}$		1.65	5.04	4.81	2.63
3.26			0.0.		
	3.86	4.18	3.86	5.87	4 13
1					1.10
2.80	7.10	4.07	6.73	3.06	4.12
2.58	3.86	5.14	3.41	9.14	5.60
2.34	1.26	0.40	6.02	3.23	2.34
9.19	1.40	1.88	5.75	1.06	3.37
	5.36	2.48	2.43	6.43	3.17
*	5.88	6.67	7.51	.41	3.76
2.45	4.65	3.76	2.01	.23	2.66
	3.37	0.25	3.75	.17	1.42
	1		47.44	38.10	35.06
)	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

TABLE I

Rainfall by months for the years 1916 to 1921 at Stillwater, Oklahoma:

METHOD OF CONDUCTING TEST

The varieties tested were planted in most years in the early part of May. They included all the well known varieties grown in Oklahoma as well as several others. In all some forty varieties have been tested over a period of three to six years. Each variety tested was planted in duplicate rows which together made a little less than one-twentieth of an acre. The seed were planted at the rate of one-half bushel per acre with an ordinary two-row cotton planter with demountable boxes so that the seed boxes could be thoroughly and quickly cleaned after planting each row and in this way mechanical mixing of seed could not result. The rows were planted 42 inches apart and the stand thinned to 12 to 18 inches between stalks in the row when the plants were about 6 inches apart.

Field notes were taken at intervals during the growing season and varietal differences noted. Records of measurements were made in regard to vegetative and lint and seed characteristics of the plants. In order to determine such characteristics as size of boll, percent of lint, length and strength of fiber, etc., 20 bolls of each variety were taken, all from separate plants, in order to secure representative samples, and these were tested in the laboratory.

The varieties were all planted on a uniform series of soil and all given the same cultural treatment, keeping as far as possible all factors alike with the exception of that due to varietal differences.

VARIETY CHARACTERISTICS

Vegetative characteristics of the different varieties are shown in Table II which gives the average number of measuremnts of th height of plant, height of first branch from the ground, vegetative branches, fruiting branches, length of main stem internodes, length of fruiting branch internodes, and storm resistance. It is generally considered that a plant of medium height, branching close to the ground, with none too few vegetative branches, several fruiting branches and short internodes in the main stem and fruiting branches, and with good storm resistance is the type of stalk that gives a large yield and is fairly early in maturing.

Table II also gives the number of years each variety was under test, original source of seed, the average weight of 100 seed, number of seed in one pound of cotton seed, number of bolls required to make a pound of seed cotton, tensile strength of fiber and the approximate lint index of each variety. The number of bolls required to make a pound of seed cotton is an index to the size of the bolls and to a certain extent to the ease in picking.

The tensile strength shows something in regard to the spinning value of the variety as a fiber with a low tensile strength breaks easily and is "wasty" in spinning. "The lint index* is the weight in grams of the fiber produced by 100 seeds and may be said to be a measure of the abundance of the fiber rather than a measure of the relation between the weight of the fiber and the weight of the seed, as is the percentage of lint."

*Meloy, G. S., U. S. Department of Agriculture, Bulletin No. 644, "Lint Percentage and Lint Index of Cotton and Methods of Determination," p. 2.

TABLE II-AVERAGE VEGETATIVE, SEED AND LINT CHARACTERISTICS OF DIFFERENT VARIETIES

	UNOL 1	LOLIAIIVE	, SEE	D AP	D LI.	NI C	INAN	ACIEI	AISTICS OF DIFI	EREP		RIEI	TE2		9
		source	ht of 1 inches	tht to nch in	ber of	ber of branches	ngth main- nternodes hes	th fruit- ch in- inches	n reaist-	106 gin- in	seeds in f cot-	bolls per seed	le of fiber	Av. lint	
VARIETIES	No. years tested	Original of seed	Av. height plants in	Av. height first brancl inches	Av. number vegetative branches	Av. numb fruiting b	v. le em i incl	Av. length ing branch ternodes, i	Av. Storr ance	Av. Wt. ned seed grams	Av. No. one lb. c ton seed	· No. of stron	Av. tensile strength of in grams	Approx. index	
	23	0 °	V d	A C V	A y d	A fr	A .9	t i A	Аал	A L 20	Av. one ton	Å.	Av str in	A.	
Trice	6	U. S. D. A.	38.8	4.18	3.40	8.54	1.85	3.61	Poor to Medium	12.34	3671	78.8	5.01	6.16	0
Half and Half	6	U. S. D. A.	42.0	3.55	1.98	9.82	1.76	3.44	Medium to Good	10.98	4126	69.5	5.53	7.35	Oklahoma
Ideal	6	U. S. D. A.	38.8	3.80	2.32	9.82	1.72	3.30	Medium	11.68	3878	81.4	5.81	5.92	la
King	6 6	U. S. D. A.	39.5	2.46	2.56	9.34	1.82	3.59	Medium to Good	9.01	5011	87.8	4.68	4.63	5
McLendon's Early Simpkins' Early Big Boll	0 6	U. S. D. A.	39.9	2.95	3.16	8.52	1.76	3.23	Medium to Good	11.44	3960	74.6	5.86	5.92	2
Bennett's Lone Star	6	U. S. D. A. U. S. D. A.	46.5	3.53	2.60	10.60	1.78	3.32	Medium to Good	12.40	3653	73.8	3.60	6.16	2
Durango	6	U. S. D. A.	40.8 41.3	4.23 4.25	$\begin{array}{c} 2.16 \\ 2.16 \end{array}$	8.58	2.03	2.91	Medium to Good	13.06	3469	66.7	5.52	7.30	
Cleveland	6	U. S. D. A.	42.1	5.29	2.10	11.54 9.20	1.97 1.71	3.61 3.38	Medium to Good Medium	11.96	3788	71.9	3.70	5.38	\mathbf{A}
Kekchi	6	U. S. D. A.	39.3	3.50	2.90	9.20 8.70	1.71	2.67	Medieum to Good	12.82	3534	71.5	5.08	6.41	00
Triumph U. S.	ő	U. S. D. A.	41.3	3.63	3.86	7.36	1.81	3.33	Medium to Good	12.80	3539 3695	65.7 68.4	4.61	6.71	gricultural
No. 624	6	U. S. D. A.	36.3	3.64	3.10	8.52	1.68	3.20	Good	12.26	3142	08.4 62.4	6.18	7.33	2
Express	6	U. S. D. A.	41.8	5.18	2.44	9.22	1.94	2.81	Poor to Good	12.46	3636	79.9	4.50 4.04	$6.41 \\ 4.86$	ul
Lone Star	6	U. S. D. A.	39.3	3.90	3.92	8.48	1.70	3.24	Medium to Good	12.94	3501	64.1	5.25	7.30	2
Holdon	6	U. S. D. A.	40.8	3.14	1.72	10.98	1.63	3.44	Medium to Good	14.36	3155	65.5	4.64	6.51	1
Tuxtla	6	U. S. D. A.	42.3	3.79	4.06	10.18	1.72	3.353	Medium to Good	13.38	3383	68.6	4.32	6.95	a
Snowflake	6	U. S. D. A.	45.8	4.19	2.56	10.20	2.01	3.88	Medium to Good	12.38	3695	78.0	4.98	5.62	
Lewis	6	U. S. D. A.	41.3	3.48	2.14	8.83	1.86	3.73	Poor to Medium	12.30	3684	75.9	5.17	5.36	Ex
Foster	6	U. S. D. A.	38.0	5.29	4.66	6.48	1.93	4.01	Medium to Good	11.96	3789	75.8	4.70	4.43	ž
Acala	6	U. S. D. A.	40.6	3.16	3.94	8.34	1.56	2.84	Medium to Good	12.00	3775	73.7	4.59	6.16	periment
Keenan	6	J. S. D. A.	45.4	4.21	2.90	8.98	2.17	3.64	Poor to Good	13.14	3747	67.9	5.10	6.11	7
Blacksced	6	U. S. D. A.	42.4	4.11	3.36	9.18	1.81	3.64	Medium	13.06	3469	74.1	5.32	5.57	3
Dixie	6	U. S. D. A.	42.5	4.03	2.96	8.10	1.75	3.57	Medium to Good	10.84	4178	83.9	5.94	5.42	ē
Columbia	6	U. S. D. A.	41.5	3.91	2.32	9.06	1.78	3.35	Medium to Good	12.90	3512	70.5	4.63	5.84	R
Rowden	1 -	U. S. D. A.	43.0	2.74	3.28	10.04	1.92	3.42	Medium to Good	12.74	3635	69.8	6.10	6.74	
Egyptian		U. S. D. A.	57.3	4.14	2.52	9.14	2.09	4.81	Medium	13.70	3307	108.3	3.89	6.65	S
Mebane's Triumph	5	Lockhart, Tex.	39.0	2.95	5.28	7.18	1.70	3.27	Medium to Good	12.00	3775	62.0	6.32	6.46	â
Wannamaker Mitchell's S. C. Long Staple	5	So. Carolina	38.0 45.6	5.59 4.83	3.74	6.92 7.94	2.07	3.43	Medium to Good Medium to Good	12.68	3573	75.7	6.86	7.03	Station
Triumph, Okla.	-	Stillwater, Ok. Local, Ok.	31.3	4.03	2.78	5.03	1.50	2.86	Medium to Good Medium to Good	11.68	3878	79.0	4.93	5.67	2
Hartsville No. 12	-	So. Carolina	38.9	4.11	5.37	8.60	1.82	2.88	Poor to Medium	12.03	3766	63.7	8.42	7.05	ومع
Sunbeam		So. Carolina	42.3	4.15	2.60	11.53	1.39	4.12	Medium to Good	13.17	3438	62.0	4.96	5.57	
Cleveland Big Boll	-	So. Caro ina	37.6	4.54	4.63	8.53	1.89	4.01	Medium to Good	12.30	3624 3794	77.5	3.66	7.03	
Cook's Improved		So. Carolina	38.0	4.00	3.30	7.78	1.86		Medium to Good	12.23	3722	78.5 72.5	3.49	6.46	
Webb		So. Carolina	38.0	3.76	2.73	7.83	1.99		Medium to Good	11.67	3882	72.5	5.31	7.05	
Webber's No. 49		So. Carolina	38.1	4.18	3.58	8.03	1.69		Poor to Good	12.50	3624	79.1	6.03 4.82	5.91	
Webber's No. 82	4	So. Carolina	35.3	4.75	4.35	6.55	1.92		Poor to Medium	13.00	3485	78.5	4.82	6.16	
Acala No. 5		Porter, Ok.	41.0	3.41	4.10	8.35	1.51		Medium to Good	12.50	3624	74.5	5.02 4.59	6.11 7.03	
Triumph Whiteseed		Okla. Sta.	33.5	4.50	2.77	5.50	1.22			12.37	3662	61.7	4.39	7.03	
Triumph Blackseed		Okla. Sta.	33.3	3.87	2.30	5.17	1.19	2.92		12.10		64.8	4.71	6.46	
		12 Kei 14 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	100 I I					, in the second s	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					1 0.10	1

5

Cotton Variety Tests

VARIETY YIELDS OF SEED COTTON BY YEARS

It will be noted in Table III that during the six years most of the varieties were under test that during 1917 and 1918 the yields are abnormally low and that some of the later varieties especially in the former year made exceptionally low yields. These yields are included in the average and for this reaso nthis column is correspondingly low. The total yields per acre secured from the different varieties as shown in Table III were calculated from the yields of smaller plats. It will also be noted that the length of lint and linting per cent of the different varieties varied in different years and that the average of the variety for the years under test show more nearly the true value of each variety.

TABLE III

Variety Yields of Seed Cotton, Length of Lint, and Linting Per Cent by Years

.		1916			1917			1918			1919	e - delectronicadores
VARIETIES	Seed cotton per acre, pounds	Length of lint in inches	Linting per cent	Seed cotton per acre, pounds	Length of lint in fuches	Linting per cent	Seed cotton per acre, pounds	Length of lint in inches	Linting per cent	Seed cotton per acre	Length of lint inches	Linting per cent
Webb Rowden McLendon's Early Kekchi King Lone Star	352.5 453.3 465.0 680.6 390.0	$1.25 \\ 1.25 \\ 1.21 \\ 1.10 \\ 1.18$	33.6 30.5 32.4 32.2 31.7	101.3 159.4 63.8 303.8 15.0	1.10 1.02 1.02 .91 1.10	36.8 35.6 32.4 35.8 37.5	52.5 78.6 129.3 87.9 91.8	.92 .96 .96 1.21 1.02 1.20	39.0 35.7 40.5 36.1 34.7 39.3	690.9 317.0 848.5 704.9 527.8 639.6	1.04 .98 1.04 1.26 1.06	35.4 35.3 32.2 36.8 36.0 38.3
Bennett's Lone Star Ideal Sunbeam Snowflake	390.0 412.5 630.0 129.4	1.18 1.22 1.14 1.18	34.0 37.7 26.5	13.0 136.9 189.3 33.8	1.10 1.12 .99 1.33	31.0 26.7 33.3	114.3 106.8 97.5 105.0 106.8	1.20 1.01 .88 1.01 1.20	39.3 40.3 38.4 39.2 31.5	699.4 696.9 789.9 887.4	1.17 1.26 .98 1.13 1.18	39.2 36.2 35.7 38.2
Simpkins' Early Big Boll Keenan Half and Half Durango Holdon	436.9 240.0 735.0 333.4 300.0	1.17 1.23 .99 1.35 1.31	33.4 27.6 42.1 16.3 29.4	78.8 11.3 136.8 90.0 18.2	1.05 1.02 .80 1.08 1.03	33.3 41.6 41.0 31.2 35.0	135.0 108.6 168.6 157.5 121.8	.92 1.01 .74 1.05 1.05	38.8 29.3 44.4 32.3 21.5	926.4 577.7 631.2 881.0 599.2	1.29 1.26 .88 1.08 1.17	31.8 31.3 40.4 33.3 31.3
Egyptian Tuxtla Columbia Blackseed Lewis	50.6 163.1 208.1 159.4 390.0	1.52 1.18 1.36 1.41 1.35	41.2 34.2 29.7 27.7 28.0	11.3 35.6 31.9 18.8 48.8	1.65 .97 1.12 1.03 1.18	33.3 31.6 35.3 30.0 34.6	65.4 117.9 97.5 80.4 95.4	1.49 .94 1.40 1.41 1.22	28.5 36.5 30.7 30.2 29.4	310.2 869.0 684.5 669.1 772.4	1.26 1.02 1.26 1.17 1.24	30.0 36.5 31.6 33.0 32.0
Cleveland	420.0 615.0 545.6 367.5 240.0	1.18 1.20 1.16 1.19 97	37.2 22.6 29.5 35.5 29.8	11.3 146.3 270.0 9.4 33.8	1.20 1.37 1.12 1.14 .97	33.3 29.5 31.9 40.0 38.9	75.0 75.0 114.3 198.6 106.8	1.01 1.20 .90 1.05 .86	35.0 37.7 43.3 33.3	777.7 717.9 894.4 756.6 608.8	1.04 1.25 1.16 1.24 1.00	33.9 25.3 34.3 39.3 32.1
Acala No. 5 (Nunn's) Acala (Watson's) Mitchell's S. C. Long Staple Triumph, U. S. Mebane's Triumph	510.0	1.15	35.3	71.3 22.5 221.4	1.22 1.13 1.08	31.6 41.6 35.6	12.5 76.8 105.0 210.0	.90 .96 .97 .94	36.6 29.2 39.2 38.3	522.3 612.0 800.8 687.2	1.16 1.12 1.21 1.17	37.0 35.7 35.2 36.8
Webber's No. 49 Webber's No. 82 Hartsville No. 12 Cleveland Big Boll Cook's Improved							57.9 65.4 76.8 105.0 181.8	1.04 1.28 1.25 .89 .90	35.4 28.5 26.8 37.5 39.1	616.2 645.9 665.6 745.1 722.4	1.28 1.25 1.21 .97 .90	31.3 32.4 26.3 36.3 39.3
Foster Wannamaker Oklahoma Triumph 44 Triumph, Oklahoma Triumph, Blackseed Triumph, Whiteseed	262.5 294.4 315.0 263.3	1.25 1.09 1.09 1.22	13.5 35.0 31.8 33.8	39.4 116.3 65.5	1.10 1.03 1.10	28.6 35.5 35.7	155.4 71.1 151.8 165.0 116.1	1.04 .85 .96 .94 .97	30.1 35.2 40.7 38.6 40.3	622.4 700.0 612.4 690.5 583.2 656.7	1.22 .90 .97 1.00 1.14 .97	27.1 34.4 37.5 39.4 35.7 37.3

Oklahoma Agricultural Experiment Station

Continuation of Table III

		1920			1921	e 54	1202 22	Average	
VARIETIES	Seed cotton acre, pounds	Length of 1 inches	Linting .per	Seed cotton acre, pounds	Length of] inches	Linting per	Seed cotton acre, pounds	Length of 1 inches Length of 1	pe
Roberts Representation and Arte South and the test of the state of the	s pçr	lint in	cent	per	lint in	cent	per	lint in	<u> </u>
Webb Rowden McLendon's Early Kekchi King	$\begin{array}{ c c c } 913.2 \\ 913.2 \\ 1004.5 \\ 1004.5 \\ 1141.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} .92 \\ 1.17 \\ 1.09 \\ .97 \\ 1.0 \end{array}$		853.7 607.1 853.7 758.9 872.7	.84 .92 .80 1.08 .80	24.7 33.0 34.5 32.7	627.6 394.9 574.8 514.2	$\begin{vmatrix}$	6 33.4 6 34.5 34.7
Lone Star Bennett's Lone Star Ideal Sunbeam Snowflake	958.9 1004.5 1187.2 1095.8 958.9	$1.08 \\ 1.08 \\ 1.01 \\ 1.10 \\ .92$	$\begin{array}{c} 33.3 \\ 36.0 \\ 32.3 \\ 33.7 \\ 32.1 \end{array}$	790.8 834.8 910.7 683.0 664.0	.84 .88 .92 .72 .96	35.6 35.6 31.7 33.3 30.7	$\begin{array}{r} 485.8 \\ 532.5 \\ 618.6 \\ 668.4 \\ 463.6 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6 36.0 33.8 35.5
Simpkin's Early Big Boll Keenan Half and Half Durango Holdon	981.7 821.4 1187.0 890.4 958.9	1.06 1.04 1.00 1.09 1.01	30.6 32.9 35.1 31.3 33.0	720.9 702.0 891.7 777.9 910.7	.88 1.04 .72 .80 .96	31.9 31.1 36.9 34.3 34.2	$546.6 \\ 410.2 \\ 625.5 \\ 522.3 \\ 484.8$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5 32.3 6 40.0 5 29.8
Egyptian Tuxtla Columbia Blackseed Lewis	365.2 867.5 799.0 753.4 753.4	$1.29 \\ 1.02 \\ 1.12 \\ 1.08 \\ 1.13$	33.3 33.3 30.1 29.2 28.6	398.4 758.9 569.2 758.9 664.0	.84 1.00 .92 .96 .80	32.3 33.3 31.1 30.4 29.6	$200.2 \\ 468.7 \\ 398.4 \\ 406.7 \\ 462.3$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	34.2 5 31.4 5 30.1
Cleve!and Express Frice Vo. 624 Dixie	1141.5 799.0 1232.7 867.5 799.0	$1.08 \\ 1.02 \\ 1.50 \\ 1.18 \\ 1.01$	$\begin{array}{c} 31.8 \\ 30.3 \\ 30.9 \\ 35.8 \\ 32.5 \end{array}$	702.0 607.1 834.8 815.8 607.1	.92 1.04 .88 1.04 .88	$\begin{array}{c} 28.7 \\ 30.8 \\ 31.1 \\ 33.7 \\ 33.8 \end{array}$	$521.3 \\ 493.4 \\ 648.5 \\ 503.6 \\ 398.4$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5 27.7 5 32.9 5 32.9
Acala No. 5 (Nunn's) Acala (Watson's) Mitchell's S. C. Long Staple Friumph, U. S. Mebane's Triumph	913.2 799.0 867.5 1255.7	1.18 1.08 1.04 .80	35.3 30.9 34.5 27.8	645.1 569.2 588.1 720.9 702.0	.92 1.00 .96 .88 .84	34.4 33.0 33.0 35.5 36.1	$548.3 \\ 569.2 \\ 429.4 \\ 504.5 \\ 615.3$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	33.0 5 32.8
Webber's No. 49 Webber's No. 82 Hartsville No. 12 Cleveland Big Boll Cook's Improved	1027.3 936.0 1301.3 1164.2 1141.5	1.12 1.18 1.13 .92	31.6 32.5 35.6 35.6	702.0 702.0 872.7 607.1 511.3	.84 .68 .96 .88 1.52	33.5 33.7 32.7 31.5 32.7	600.9 587.3 729.1 655.4 639.3	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5 31.5 5 29.6 35.2
Foster Wannamaker Dklahoma Triumph 44 Friumph, Oklahoma Friumph, Blackseed Friumph, Whitesecd	890.4 1187.2 1369.8	1.20 .90 1.31	34.6 35.1 34.9	702.0 771.3 685.6 651.3 617.0 737.0	$1.04 \\ .92 \\ 1.28 \\ 1.04 \\ 1.00 \\ .84$	$29.5 \\ 37.1 \\ 33.9 \\ 34.1 \\ 33.3 \\ 35.4$	$\begin{array}{r} 445.4\\ 596.2\\ 889.3\\ 370.7\\ 420.1\\ 443.3\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5 35.5 5 35.4 5 37.0

PRICES USED IN COMPUTING VALUES

In caluciating the value of the crop the value of the lint was computed at the average prevailing prices for the season according to the length of the lint. The seed values are also calculated at the average price existing during the season. It is thought that the average prices given represent fairly whit the cotton would have brought during the year, though the prices might have varied considerably during the whole season. The prices are averages taken from actual sales at central markets, the Market Reporter published by the U. S. Department of Agriculture, and the weekly cotton bulletin of the U. S. Department of Agriculture sent out from Memphis, Tennessee. Table IV gives the basis used for computing the values for the different varieties.

Cotton Variety Tests

TABLE IV

Prices for Six-Year Period Used in Computing Values of Cotton

				1	2		
Year	1916	1917	1918	1919	1920	1921 (Average price
in de la composition de la composition La composition de la c		PRIC	TE OF SI	FED	1	[
Per ton	\$56.00	\$68.00	\$65.00	\$69.00	\$35.00	\$30.00	\$53.83
Per Cwt.	2.80	3.40	3.25	3.45	1.75	1.50	2.69
	PRICE	OF LIN	T (CENT	RAL MA	RKET)	1	
Year	1916	1917	1918	1919	1920	1921	Av. price, 6 yrs.
Length of Lint in Inches	1.1.1	A		1.			for six years
11.16 to 3.4	\$16.96	\$29.80	\$30.77	\$41.19	\$20.45	\$15.31	\$25.75
3-4 to 13-16	17.32	30.44	31.43	42.07	20.88	15.64	26.30
13-16 to 7-8	17.43	30.62	31.62	42.33	21.02	15.73	26.46
7-8 to 15-16	17.55	30.84	31.84	42.62	21.16	15.85	26.64
15-16 to 1	17.65	31.02	32.03	42.88	21.43	15.96	26.83
1 to 1 1-16	17.85	31.36	32.38	43.35	22.36	17.62	27.49
1 1.16 to 1 1.8	18.32	32.19	33.24	44.49	25.49	21.31	29.17
1 1-8 to 1 3-16	. 19.23	33.71	34.89	46.70	26.72	26.15	31.23
1.3-16 to 1 1-4	21.19	37.23	38.44	51.46	27.69	30.91	34.49
1 1-4 to 1 5-16	22.76	40.00	41.29	55.29	34.67	33.18	37.86
1 5-16 to 1 3-8	25.58	44.95	46.41	62.13	38.89	37.28	42.54
1 3-8 to 1 7-16	25.95	45.60.	47.08	63.02	39.45	37.82	43.15
1 7-16 to 1 1-2	26.95	47.35	48.89	65.45	40.96	39.27	44.81

MONEY VALUE PER ACRE OF DIFFERENT VARIETIES

The total money value per acre of the different varieties is calculated from the value of the seed per acre and th valu of th lint per acre. The latter is determined by the length of staple as well as by the yield of lint. As was shown in Table IV the different values per pound for each year the test was conducted were determined and the value then assigned to the varieties depending on their average length of staple. As the grade does not depend on the variety to any great extent but on the care taken in picking and handling the cotton, the same grade was assigned to all varieties. As none of the cotton in any year would fall below a middling grade, the varieties were all valued on a middling basis.

A fact that should not be overlooked is that the better yields and consequently in most cases the higher profits were produced at exactly the same cost as the poorer varieties except for that of gathering and ginning the excess of production.

Table V is a continuation of Table III and shows the average money pe racre of the different varieties that have been tested from three to six years at the Oklahoma Experiment Station farm.

In order that a variety or varieties grown in favorable years would not overshadow those grown in years that were not favorable for cotton production as might be the case if only average results were considered, a check variety was chosen and averaged during the same corrsponding yars with each variety. In this way a true value of each variety could be determined even though all were not grown in the same year.

Table V gives the average amount of seed cotton produced, length of lint, and linting percent of each variety and the average of the check variety during the corresponding years and the average acre value of lint, seed and total value of crop for all varieties and the same for the check variety.

The variety known as Triumph, U. S., was chosen as the check variety a sit was grown in all of the six years that tests were conducted and it was a good average all around cotton from a pure strain of Triumph which probably has been the most universally planted variety in Oklahoma.

In the next to the last column of Table V is given the comparative or index value of each variety tested when compared with Triumph, U. S., when this check variety is valued at 100. Those that gave a greater money value per acre than Triumph, U. S., are shown by the index to be greater than 100 while those of less value than the check variety are less than 100, each in its corresponding place according to its relative value compared to the check variety. Of course it must be remembered that these values are for those varieties grown at the Experiment Station and that their value might be changed when grown i nother localities.

		age of v luring te		variety	ge of c y during nding y	cor-			per ac erent va		ie	of c durin	ge acre check v g corre ing yea	ariety spond.	compar check	value in ison with variety equals100)	
VARIETY	Seed cotton per acre	Length of lint, inches	Linting per cent	Seed cotton per	Length of lint, inches	Linting per cent	Yield of lint	Yield of seed	Value of lint	Value of seed	Total value of Crop	Value of lint	Va'ue of seed	Total value of crop	Comparative index	Rank according to value	
Webb	627.6	1 5-16	33.4	623.6	1	36.1	209.6	418.0	\$58.86	\$10.41	\$69.27	\$65.14	\$9.92	\$75.06		25	
Rowden	394.9	1 1.16	34.5	504.5	1 1.16	36.9	136.2	258.7	38.83	6.95	45.78	54.31	8.56	62.87	72.7	12	
McLendon's Early	574.8	1	34.7	504.5	1 1.16	36.9	199.5	275.3	54.84	10.10	64.94	54.31	8.56	62.87	103.3	13	
Kekchi	514.2	1 1.8	33.7	504.5	1 1.16	36.9	173.3	340.9	54.12	9.17	63.29	54.31	8.56	62.87	100.7	39	
King	603.0	1	33.7	504.5	1 1-16	36.9	203.2	399.8	54.52	10.75	65.27	54.31	8.56	62.87	103.8	10	
Lone Star	485.8	1 1.8	35.9	504.5	1 1.16	36.9	174.4	311.4	50.88	8.38	59.26	54.31	8.56	62.87	86.4	26	
Bennett's Lone Star	532.5	1 1-8	36.0	504.5	1 1-16	36.9	191.7	340.8	55.92	9.17	65.09	54.31	8.56	62.87	103.5	11	
Ideal	618.6	1	33.8	504.5	1 1.16	36.9	209.1	409.5	56.30	11.02	67.32	54.31	8.56	62.87	107.1	7	
Sunbeam	668.4	1	35.5	623.6	1	36.1	238.4	430.0	68.97	10.70	79.67	65.14	9.92	75.06	106.1	8	
Snowflake	463.6	1 1.8	31.6	504.5	1 1-16	36.9	146.5	317.1	45.75	8.51	54.26	54.31	8.56	62.87	86.3	27	
Simpkins' Early Big Boll	546.6	1 1.16	33.3	504.5	1 1-16	36.9	182.0	364.6	53.09	9.81	62.90	54.31	8.56	62.87	100.1	15	
Keenan	410.2	1 1-8	32.3	504.5	1 1.16	36.9	132.5	277.7	38.65	7.47	46.12	54.31	8.56	62.87	73.4	38	
Half and Half	625.5	7-8	40.0	504.5	1 1.16	36.9	252.0	375.3	65.70	10.10	75.80	54.31	8.56	62.87	120.7	3	
Durango	522.3	1 1.16	29.8	504.5	1 1.16	36.9	155.6	366.7	45.39	9.86	55.25	54.31	8.56	. 62.87	87.9	23	
Holdon	484.8	1 1.16	30.7	504.5	1 1.16	36.9	148.8	336.0	43.40	9.04	52.44	54.31	8.56			30	
Egyptian	200.2	1 5-16	33.1	504.5	1 1.16	36.9	66.2	154.0	28.82	4.14	32.96	54.31	8.56			41	
Tuxtla	468.7	1	34.2	504.5	1 1-16	36.9	153.2	315.5	42.11	8.49	50.60	54.31	8.56			32	
Co'umbia	398.4	1 1.4	31.4	504.5	1 1.16	36.9	125.1	273.3	43.14	7.35	50.49	54.31	8.56		1	33	
Blackseed	406.7	1 1-4	30.1	504.5	1 1.16	36.9	122.4	284.3	42.22	7.65	49.87	54.31	8.56			34	
Lewis	462.3	1 1.4	30.4	504.5	1 1-16	36.9	140.5	321.8	43.89	8.66	52.55	54.31	8.56			29	
Cleveland	521.3	1 1.16	33.3	504.5	1 1-16	36.9	176.9	344.4	51.60	9.26	60.86	54.31	8.56			18	
Express	493.4	1 3.16	37.7	504.5	1 1.16	36.9	136.7	356.7	47.15	9.60	56.75	54.31	8.50			21	
Trice	648.5	1 1-8	32.9	504.5	1 1.16	36.9	213.3	435.2	66.61	11.71	78.32	54.31				2	
No. 624	503.6	1 1-8	32.9	504.5	1 1.16	36.9	165.7	337.8	51.75	9.19	60.94	54.31				17	
Dixie	398.4	15-16	33.4	504.5	1 1.16	36.9	133.1	265.3	34.71	7.14	41.85		8.56			40	
Acala No. 5 (Nunn's)	548.3	1 1.16	35.8	623.6		36.1	196.3	352.0	\$61.11	\$ 8.76	\$69.87	\$65.14				20	
Acala (Watson's)	569.2		33.0	720.9	14.16	35.5	187.8	381.4	33.09	5.72	38.81	36.90				24	
Mitchell's S. C. Long Staple	429.4	1 1.16	32.8	503.3	1 1.16	37.2	140.8	288.6	44.13		51.84	58.67				36	
Triumph, U. S.	504.5	1 1.16	36.9	504.5	1 1.16	36.9	186.2	318.2	54.31	8.56	62.87	54.31				16	
Mebane's Triumph	615.3	1	34.9	503.3	1 1-16	37.2	214.7	400.6	61.54		72.24	58.67				0 19	
Webber's No. 49	600.9	1 1.16	33.0	625.6	1	36.1	198.3	402.6	61.73		71.75	65.14 65.14				19 22	
Webber's No. 82	587.3	1 1-16	31.5	623.6	1	36.1	185.0	402.3	57.59		67.61 85.33	65.14				4	
Hartsville No. 12	729.1	1 1-8	29.6	623.6	1	36.1	215.8	513.3	72.55		85.33	65.14				14	
Cleveland Big Boll	655.4	1	35.2	623.6		36.1	230.7	424.7 404.7	64.78 73.03		83.11	65.14				5	
Cook's Improved	639.3	1 1.16	36.7	623.6	1 1.16	36.1 36.9	121.1	324.1	37.81	9.11	46.92	54.31				37	
Foster	445.4	1 1-8	27.2	504.5		30.9	211.7	384.5	60.69		70.92	58.67				9	
Wannamaker	596.2	15.16	35.5	503.3	1 1.16	1		574.6	115.46		128.17	85.05				1	
Oklahoma Triumph 44	889.3	1 3.16	35.4	796.4 431.8	1 1-16	35.1	314.7	233.5	40.35		46.58	47.80				28	
Triumph, Oklahoma	370.7 420.1	1 1-16	37.0 34.9	534.2	1 1.16	36.3	137.2	233.5	40.55		52.45					35	
Triumph, Blackseed		1 1.10	34.9	534.2	1 1.10	36.3	140.0	280.6	47.07		54.06					31	
Triumph, Whiteseed	443.3	1	30.7	334.2	1 1-10	30.3	102.7	200.0	1.01	0.33	01.00	00.07	710		01.0		

.

TABLE V Average Yield, Length, Linting Percent and Money Value Per Acre of Varieties Tested

10

MOST PRODUCTIVE VARIETIES GROWN

An interesting comparison is offered in Table VI which gives the twelve most productive varieties in their relative average rank in yield of seed cotton and value of seed and lint per acce. It will be noted that the yield of seed cotton is not always a good index to the worth or value of a variety.

TÆ	IBI	\mathbf{F}	VI	

The Twelve Most Productive Varieties Grown

Rank	Yield of Seed Cotton	Average pounds per acre	Rank	Value of Seed and Lint	Averagë acre value
1	Oklahoma Triumph 44	890.3	1] Oklahoma Triumph 44	\$96.58
2	Hartsville No. 12	729.1	$\frac{1}{2}$	Hartsville No. 12	85.33
3	Sunbeam	668.4	3	Cook's Improved	83.11
4	Cleveland Big Boll	655.4	4	Sunbeam	79.67
-5 l	Trice	648.5	5	Trice	78.32
6	Cook's Improved	639.3	6	Half and Half	75.8 0
7	Webb	627.6	7	Mebane's Triumph	72.24
8	Half and Half	625.5	8	Wannamaker	70.96 /
9	Ideal	618.6	9	Ideal	67.32
10	Mebane's Triumph	618.6	10	King	65.27
11	King	603.0		Bennett's Lone Star	65.09
12	Webber's No. 49	600.9	12	McLendon's Early	64.94

VARIETIES FOR BOLL WEEVIL CONDITIONS

In order for a variety of cotton to escape boll weevil damage in years of heavy infestation it is necessary to select for an early, rapid fruiting, and productive type of plant. By an early cotton is meant one that not only sets fruits early, but rapidly, and matures bolls early. Earliness to a certain extent can be judged by the amount of cotton secured from first pickings. In the tests conducted at the experiment station first pickings were not made as early as is customary with the majority of farmers in the surrounding neighborhood. For this reason a larger part of the entire crop is secured at the first picking.

Table VII gives the results of the first picking of the variety test in 1919 which was a very normal year in every respect. The table gives the results according to the rank of the varieties relative to the largest per cent of the entire crop harvested at the first picking. The last column gives the rank of the varieties according to the heaviest yields of seed cotton from the first pickings.

Oklahoma Agricultural Experiment Station

TABLE VII

Results of First Picking of Varieties as an Index to Earliness

3 (S. 11 - 61 - 62).	generation traitig			Yield P	er Acre	Perce crop 1st	
			a di ku	İst	Tota]	Percent of crop harves lst picking	Errinord to
			·	picking	al	ent of harves picking	
				K.		, t	ď
				12			
						ntire at	
						c	
Koonan			4. 1.	578	578.0	100.0	
	lf			631	631.2	100.0	1
				522	522.3	100.0	1
Mehane's Tri	umph		۱	567	647.2	87.6	
Oklahoma Tr	iumph 44			533 · .:	612.4	87.0	1
Triumph. Ok	lahoma			596	690.5	86.3	
King				448	527.8	84.9	
Holdon				508	599.2	84.8	
No. 624	··· ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			631	756.6	83.4	
Lone Star				533	639.6	83.3	
Cleveland				635	777.7	83.1	
Durango			·····	731	881.0	82.9	
Triumph, Bla	ckseed	••••••	·····	480	583.2	82.3	
Trice	·····			727	894.4 696.9	81.3 80.6	
Ideal				562 583	732.4	79.6	
Lewis	0.1.0.1			383 480	612.0	78.4	
Mitchell's S.	C. Long Staple			528	699.4	75.5	
Bennett's Lon	e Star			647	869.0	74.4	
Tuxtla				533	719.9	74.3	
Express				462	622.4	74.2	
Simpline' Fa	rly Big Boll			668	926.4	73.2 *	
Triumph II	S.	:	,	585	800.8	73.0	
Webber's 49),,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			447	616.2	72.5	
Triumph, Wh	itéseéd			532	656.7	71.8	
Blackseed				478	669.1	71.4	
Cleveland Bis	Boll			515	745.1	69.1	
Divie				408	603.8	67.6	
Snowflake				571	847.4	67.4	
Wannamaker				460	700.0	65.7	
Columbia				455	684.5	65.0	(
Cook's Impro	wed			563	722.4 848.5	63.9 63.5	
McLendon's	Early			540 402	645.9	62.2	
Webber's 82	,			196	317.0	61.8	
Rowden				486	789.9	61.5	
Sunbeam	4	••••••	••••	423	704.9	60.0	
Nekchi	. 12			399	665.6	59.9	
Egyptian	. 12			185	310.2	59.6	
Egyptian				338	690.9	48.9	

In the tests of 1917 and 1918 as has been said before, the only varieties from which any amount of cotton was harvested were those that matured and opened bolls early. In 1917 the earliest varieties were King, Trice, Mebane's Triumph, Ideal, Mc-Lendon's Early, Express, Bennett's Lone Star, Half and Half, and Wannamaker. In 1918 the earliest varieties as indicated by the heaviest yields were Mebane's Triumph, No .624, Cook's Improved, Half and Half, Foster, Triumph, Blackseed, Triumph Oklahoma, Durango, Simpkin's Early Big Boll, McLendon's Early, Holdon, Tuxtla, Triumph Whiteseed, Trice, and Lone Star. The following table summarizing the results of the 1922 variety tests at the Oklahoma Experiment Station farm only became available in time to add it to the last pages of this manuscript.

TABLE VIII

,

Plot	Averages of 1922 cotton varia	Length	Strength	Linting	Lint	Bolls 1 lb.	Average Seed Co		er A.
z		gth	ngt	ing		. s	lst	2nd	Total,
No.		of			index,	required seed cot			tal,
	VARIETY	í	of	percent	x,	dir	Picking,	Picking,	
	VARIETT	lint,	hi statisti ni statisti	cn	09 1	red to cotton,	ing	Ē	pounds
		;†	a Mari		grams	to			nds
		Ë.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2	3 0	, E	pout	poi	
	•	inches				make , No.	ind.	pourd	
1	Check-Okla. Triumph 44		Medium	31.9	5.18	83.1	520	220	720
	Acala No. 5	1	Medium	32.6	5.06	92.2	200 260	$\frac{3:0}{280}$	520
2	Webb		Medium to strong Medium to strong	32.5	$\begin{array}{c} 5.30 \\ 5.30 \end{array}$	$\frac{86.6}{78.7}$	200	$\frac{230}{250}$	470
3 4	Rowden McLendon's Early		Strong	32.3	5.41	85.7	220	26)	480
5	Kekchi		Medium to strong	31.7	5.06	86.9	240	220	460
6	King	1	Medium	31.5	4.71	101.2	300	$\frac{210}{240}$	519
7	Lone Star		Strong	$33.4 \\ 32.3$	$\begin{array}{c} 5.18 \\ 5.37 \end{array}$	$\frac{86.5}{79.3}$	170 160	240	360
8	Bonnett's Lone Star Ideal	31-32	Medium to strong Medium to strong	32.6	4.56	99.7	300	223	520
10	Sunbeam	1 1-32		31.9	4.71	95.2	180	200	380
- îŭ	Snowflake	1 3-3:	Medium to strong	30.8	4.73	100.2	180	200	380
12	Check-Okla. Triumph 44	1	Medium				320 240	$\frac{210}{240}$	530 480
10	Acala No. 5	1 1.8	Medium	29.5	4.83	98.3	240	245	420
13 14	S'mpkins' Early Big Boll Keenan	1 3.3	Medium	31.7	5.35	89.7	190	210	400
15	Half and alf		Weak to medium	33.7	4.73	95.1	330	220	550
16	Durango	1 1.8	Medium	31.4	5.28	94.9	260	. 240	500
17	Holdon	1 3-32		31.3	4.49	81.5	235 130	$\frac{260}{230}$	495 360
18	Egyptian		Medium to strong	$29.8 \\ 29.7$	$4.71 \\ 4.25$	$\begin{array}{c}103.5\\93.4\end{array}$	170	$\frac{230}{220}$	390
19	Tuxtla Columbii a	1 5-32	Medium to strong Aedium to strong	27.2	4.53	87.0	210	220	430
21	Blackseed	1 3-16	fedium to strong	27.6	4.53	97.8	200	250	450
22	Lewis	1 3-32		27.9	4.02	98.6	340	360	700 830
23	Check-Okle. Triumph 44		Jeak	$35.4 \\ 30.0$	4.58 4.74	$69.2 \\ 94.5$	490 340	$\frac{340}{280}$	620
24	Acala No. 5 Cleveland	1 1-3	Frong fedlum to strong	29.1	4.39	93.8	180	27)	450
$\frac{24}{25}$	Express	1 1-3	ledlum	26.6	4.06	98.0	260	260	510
26	Trice	1 3-35	leak to medium	29.9	4.28	106.4	240	230	470
27	No. 624	1 1.16	lipping	32.8	5.42	$87.6 \\ 98.5$	280 200	$230 \\ 250$	510 450
28 29	Dirie Acala No. 5	31-32 1 1.8	() () () () () () () () () ()	$28.6 \\ 32.3$	$4.00 \\ 5.05$	95.2	280	$\frac{230}{240}$	520
30	Acela No. 5 Acalo (We'son)	1 1.8	fedium to srong fedium to srong	31.7	5.18	97.8	290	240	530
31	Mitchell's S. C. Long S'aple	1 5-3	fed um to s.rong	30.8	4.6	92.6	240	230	470
3.0	Triumph. U. S.	1 1-32	strong	31.3	4.72	83.3	210	$270 \\ 250$	480
33	Mebane's Triumph	1 1.32	fed um to strong	$33.5 \\ 31.6$	5.55 4.82	$\begin{array}{c} 77.7 \\ 102.2 \end{array}$	220 460	260	720
34	Chock-Okla, Triumph 44 Acala No 5	1	ledium Teak	32.6	5.18	92.2	360	240	500
35	Webber's No. 49	1 5-31	fedium to strong	32.3	5.06	87.9	230	27)	500
36	Webber's No. 82	15-10	Birong	31.4	4.82	93.5	283	270	550
27	Har sville No. 12	1 3-3.	Medium to strong	31.7	4.95	88.6	260	340	600
38	Wannamaker Cloveland Big Pol	31.32	Aedium to strong	32.0	5.45	93.3	120	220	340
20		15.3°	Strong	28.0	4.18	110.4	140	240	380
12	Fester	1 1.8	Acdium	29.1	4.49	88.1	230	290	520
41	Wannamaker	1 1-8	4 dium	31.0	4.32	88.0	190 520	280 300	470 840
10	Okla, Triumph 44 Triumph Okla	1 11-8	Medlum Strong	32.6	5.05	86.0	200	330	530
43 44	Triumph Okla. Triumph Whitessed	1 1-8	fedium to strong	32.0	4.95	105.1	240	200	540
45	Check -Okla. Triumph 44	1	4edium	31.6	4.71	102.2	440	220	660
1	Acala No. 5	1 1-16	fedium to strong	30.8	5.33		280	240 350	520 560
46	Pedicreed Express	1 7-3	fedum to strong	28.4	4.41	$\begin{array}{c} 94.2 \\ 103.1 \end{array}$	210 100	187	280
47 48	Express Improved Cleveland	1 5-32 1 3-32	Aedium Aedium	27.7 29.3	$4.19 \\ 4.32$	99.8	160	220	380
48	Speer	1 3.32	fedium to strong	28.7	4.52	91.3	150	300	450
50	Bennett's Lone Star	1 1.32	Medium to strong	32.1	5.07	89.8	93	300	393
51	Saunder's Lone Star	1 1.8	Medium to strong	33.7	5.85	74.4 100.1	90 440	$\frac{300}{200}$, <u>390</u> 660
52	King's Improved		Medium Weak	$31.4 \\ 34.4$	$5.00 \\ 4.39$	99.8	430	250	680
51	Olda. Triumph 44, Str. 16 Okla. Triumph 44, Str. 13	7-8	Medium to strong	34.4 30.0	4.28	94.4	530	190	720
55	Acala No. 5	1 5-32		32.0	5.19	87.0	320	240	560
	Mur hy-Clay Strain	1 1-8	Medium	31.0	5.38	82.9	310 490	280 240	590 730
57							200	240	440
	In Nr. A		I						

Oklahoma Agricultural Experiment Station

COTTON GROWING UNDER BOLL WEEVIL CONDITIONS

The question of growing coton under boll weevil conditions in Oklahoma at the present time largely resolves itself into the variety or type of cotton to grow and the proper culture methods.

Some of the important factors in selecting a cotton with the desired qualities that go to make up the type to be grown are: early, rapid fruiting ,length of staple, linting percent, size of bolls, and yield. It is not altogether a choice of variety that is needed but a choice of type that will give results. However, the best variety should be chosen first and the type fixed afterwards and in this the whole community should be induced to standardize on the same kind of cotton so that unifority would result.

By earliness is meant the ability to set on and mature a large number of bolls early in the season. It does not necessarily follow that the cotton that sets on squares and blooms first is an early variety. A cotton that blooms later may have the ability to rapidly set on squares and mature bolls earlier than the one that bloomed first. 'Counting squares and comparing dates of blooming on varieties is not necessarily an index to earliness.

It has been found that earliness is closely associated with fruiting branches low on the stalk and few or no vegetative or basic limbs on the plant. Vegetative limbs can largely be removed by selection and the Experiment Station has produced a type of cotton with fully 80 percent of the vegetative branches suppressed. Short intermodes or joints both in the mainstem and in the fruiting branches are also associated with earliness and high yields. While extreme earliness is generally associated with short lint and small bolls, it has been found that good stable and a fairly big boll can be preserved with at least a medium earliness and a fairly large yield. Rapid fruiting is correlated with short joints and continuous setting on of fruit on the same branch. This enables the plant to utilize the plant food to best advantage and not have to use it in making a heavy growth of vegetation.

Cottons that are known to produce a lint with staple less than one inch in length should not be grown in this state. Growers have been told that one of the best methods of compensating the damage done by boll weevil is to grow cotton of a better staple. However, from variety tests it has been found that staple cottons, or those with a length of 1 1-8 inches or more, have not given the highest money value per acre. It has been found that the best short staples give a yield high enough to make them more valuable than the long staples in Oklahoma even though the latter have the higher value per pound. From all experimental data it would seem that cotton that produces a lint that is from 1 to 1 1-8 inches in length is the most valuable under Oklahoma conditions and is always in demand on the market.

A high linting percent, "turn-out," or the number of pounds of lint secured from 100 pounds seed cotton is a very desirable characteristic when combined with earliness and good length of lint. This can only be secured by constant selection. Cotton that does not give thirty-four or more percent of lint does not belong to the type that the Oklahoma farmer should be growing under boll weevil conditions. Some varieties of cotton are known that will gin considerably over 40 percent but in the majority of cases they are either short staple or late varieties.

Size of bolls is an important item in the picking of cotton and often in the yield. In general, it has been found that cotton producing bolls such that from 60 to 80 of them, after being picked, weigh one pound gives the most satisfaction in this state. This largely limits the cotton to be grown to the big boll type, some varieties of which have the advantage of producing storm proof bolls.

Closely associated with earliness is high yield in order to make cotton production profitable. The type of cotton that will give a large yield under boll weevil conditions is a medium size plant, with a large number of short jointed, continuous fruiting branches that begin coming off the plant near the ground. These plants are best selected by going through the field and picking those having the desired type and keep the seed free from mixtures during and after ginning.

From experience here at the Experiment Station farm it is believed that the

qualities mentioned above as desirable in a variety or type of cotton for growing in Oklahoma under boll weevil conditions can all be obtained by careful and continuous selection without sacrificing any of them to such an extent that it will be any detriment to the variety.

It so happens that the cultural methods used in combating boll weevil are the same as for good cultural practices under the conditions that prevail in most of the cotton section of the state. The cultural methods as related to weevil control have for their object the reduction of the number of weevils as much as possible so that a crop can be made and the forcing of cotton to early maturity as fast as possible and the setting on of a larger number of squares than the plant will mature and thus allowing the weevil only the surplus ones.

Cultural methods for growing cotton begin in the fall with the cleaning up of the fields. Cotton should be picked as early as possible and the stalks destroyed immediately in order to prevent further weevil development. There are a number of ways in which the stalks can be destroyed but the best method is to cut them with a stalk cutter and plow under as deeply as possible. Entomologists tell us that if all stalks were destroyed one month before the first heavy killing frost each fall, very few weevils would be able to safely pass the winter. Early fall plowing not only takes away the food supply of the weevil but it adds the stalks to the soil as organic matter that has a fertilizing value that is lost when the stalks are uprooted and burned. It also catches and conserves moisture, and makes a firm seedbed for planting in the spring.

Experience has shown that the seedbed should be well prepared in order that the cetton will germinate quickly and start off with a vigorous growth. The seed should be planted as early as possible after the soil has warmed up in the spring. Care should be taken not to plant too early or the young plants will become stunted and subject to disease if they cannot make a rapid growth after germinating.

Seed when planted early should be planted rather thickly in order to be sure of getting a good start. From three to five pecks or an average of about one bushel per acre is not too much in order to insure a perfect stand. This can be more easily thinned than can another crop be replanted at a later date.

The field should be cultivated often, once every week or ten days at least. The first cultivation may be deep but all subsequent cultivation should be shallow. The ideal method is to have the fields absolutely clean of weeds and in a smooth condition with the middles slightly lower than the cotton rows. The infested squares will fall to the ground and the hot sun and soil will kill the larvae and dry up the square. Cultivation should be kept up until the cotton begins to open. From a large number of tests boll weevil machines are only valuable in so far as they stimulate further cultivation.

Clean-up measures early in the fall, deep fall plowing, good seedbed preparation, early planting, and frequent shallow and late cultivation are the safe cultural principles that apply to cotton production under boll weevil conditions. · ·