# Water-Retention Properties of Seventeen Oklahoma Soils

Harold V. Eck and Bobby A. Stewart



Bulletin B-526 March 1959

# Water-Retention Properties of Seventeen Oklahoma Soils'

#### Harold V. Eck and Bobby A. Stewart<sup>2</sup>

The quantity of water which a soil can hold in forms available for plant use may be ascertained from the water-retention properties of the soil. Field capacity describes the total amount of water a soil can hold against the force of gravity and permanent wilting point describes the total amount of water which a soil can hold in forms unavailable to plants. The difference between field capacity and wilting point is termed "available water" and is a measure of the amount of water the soil can hold in forms useable by plants. This publication reports approximations of field capacity, permanent wilting point and available water capacity for 17 major soil types in Oklahoma.

# **Description of Soils**

Moisture retention data were collected on seventeen soil types in Oklahoma from the locations listed in Table 1. Detailed profile descriptions of the various soil types may be found in Soil Survey reports of the various counties involved. The soils sampled are within the ranges of the characteristics prescribed in the official descriptions of the Soil Survey of the U. S. Department of Agriculture.

All soils sampled had been under cultivation for a number of years. All had been cropped to wheat or other small grains prior to sampling and had been predominantly in wheat during the time under cultivation.

# Measurements and Methods

Samples of soil were taken at three sites at each location by 6-inch increments in the surface foot of soil (0-6 inches and 6-12 inches) and by 12-inch increments at depths below 1 foot. Samples from the three sites were composited by depths. The soil was mixed well and crushed by hand to pass through a U.S. standard 20-mesh sieve.

Moisture equivalent and 15-atmosphere percentage determinations were made on each composite sample of soil. Moisture equivalent was determined by the centrifuge method (1). Moisture equivalent has

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Contribution from Soil and Water Conservation Research Division, Agricultural Research Service, U.S.D.A., and Okla. Agr. Exp. Sta. cooperating.
<sup>2</sup> Soil scientists, Western Soil and Water Management Research Branch, SWCRD, Agricultural Research Service, USDA, Bushland, Texas and Fort Collins, Colo., respectively (Formerly Soil Scientist and Agricultural Scientist) SWCRD jointly employed by U. S. Department of Agriculture and the Oklahoma Agricultural Experiment Station, Stillwater, Okla.)

been shown to give a fairly reliable measure of the field capacity of medium textured soils (6) and it is used as an approximation of field capacity in this study. Values for coarse textured soils usually are slightly too low and for fine textured soils are slightly too high.

The 15-atmosphere percentage was determined by the pressure membrane method (4). Fifteen atmosphere percentage has been shown to approximate permanent wilting point (5) and is used for that purpose in this study.

Bulk densities of the various depths of the soils were determined by weighing dried samples of a fixed volume taken with a Veihmeyer sampling tube. In this determination samples taken in routine moisture sampling of another study, were used. The number of samples per site varied with the number of moisture samples taken. Each bulk density value, however, is based on weights of twelve or more replicates per site.

# **Results and Interpretations**

The bulk density, moisture equivalent, 15-atmosphere percentage, and available water capacity data are presented for each soil type in Tables 2-18. For those soil types for which more than one location was sampled, the data in the tables are averages of the locations sampled. In cases where only one location per type was sampled, the data are values for that location. Available water capacity expressed in percent was calculated by subtracting the moisture percentage a 15-atmospheres from the moisture percentage at moisture equivalent. Available water capacity in inches per section was calculated by the following formula:

Bulk density x depth increment (in.) x available moisture percentage

\_\_\_\_\_

#### 100

Available water capacity (inches of water)

The available water found ranged from 16.44 inches in the Tabler silt loam to only 9 inches in the Minco loam.

It is assumed here that moisture equivalent represents field capacity and 15-atmosphere percentage represents permanent wilting percentage. Actually, the values obtained by these laboratory approximations are probably different from the true field capacity and permanent wilting percentage values. It has been shown that the relationship between moisture equivalent and field capacity is influenced by the depth to which the soil is wetted (3) and by discontinuities in soil texture and structure such as stratification, pans and cleavage planes (2, 6). It is realized that the relationship is affected by these facors in this study. Though available water capacities determine from moisture equivalent data are not exact, it is believed that the data presented here are sufficiently accurate to be used in the manner subsequently suggested.

The bulk density samples were taken with a Veihmeyer tube. The available water capacities as reported here may be biased. The number of determinations averaged, 12 or more, for each value reported should compensate for random errors within the method. However, a consistant five percent error, high or low, in bulk density throughout a 6 foot soil profile, of 1.5 bulk density and 12 percent available water, would result in an error of only .65 inches of available water in the entire 6 foot profile. Though some bulk density determinations for individual depths may well deviate as much as five percent from true bulk density, it is not likely that the average error for a single profile would exceed five percent.

# Use of the Information

Water-retention properties of soils are useful in both irrigated and dryland agriculture. These properties indicate the amount of water required to wet a given soil, the amount of water which may be stored in the soil and the amount of the stored water which may be used by plants.

Knowledge of water-retention properties is useful in irrigation farming for determining when to apply water and the amount of water which should be applied. In dryland farming, this information can be used in determining the amount of soil water available at planting time.

#### Literature Cited

- 1. Briggs, L. J., and J. W. McLane. The Moisture Equivalent of Soils. U. S. Department of Agriculture Bureau. Soils Bulletin 45, 1907.
- 2. Burrows, W. C., and Don Kirkham. Measurement of Field Capacity with a Neutron Meter. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proceedings. 22 (2): 103-105. 1958.
- Cole, F. S., and O. R. Mathews. Soil Moisture Studies of Some Great Plains Soils 1. Field Capacity and "Minimum Point" as related to the Moisture Equivalent. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proceedings, 18(3): 247-252. 1954.
- 4. Richards, L. A. A Pressure Membrane Extraction Apparatus for Soil Solution. Soil Science 51:377-386, 1941.
- 5. Richards, L. A., and L. R. Weaver. Fifteen Atmosphere Percentage as Related to the Permanent Wilting Percentage. Soil Science 56: 331, 1943.
- 6. Veihmeyer, F. J., and A. H. Hendrickson. The Moisture Equivalent as a Measure of the Field Capacity of Soils. Soil Science, 32:181-193, 1931.

5

Soil Type	County		Legal Des	cription	
		Quarter	Section	Township	Range
Bethany silt loam	Kay	S.E.	33	29N	2E
	Kay	N.W.	4	25N	1E
	Garfield	S.W.	15	24N	5W
Tabler silt loam	Kingfisher	S.W.	13	15N	9W
	Grant	S.W.	6	28N	3W
Kirkland silt loam	Kay	N.E.	21	29N	1E
	Noble	N.W.	34	24N	1W
	Payne	S.E.	16	19N	2E
Renfrow silty clay loam	Kingfisher	N.W.	19	16N	5W
Grant silt loam	Garfield	S.W.	17	22N	8W
Pond Creek silt loam	Alfalfa	N.E.	16	26N	11W
	Woods	S.E.	26	27N	14W
	Blaine	S.W.	9	19N	10W
	Garfield	N.E.	16	22N	7W
	Garfield	S.E.	21	23N	8W
Carey silt loam	Woods	N.E.	35	27N	14W
	Alfalfa	S.E.	29	26N	12W
	Harper	S.E.	9	27N	22W
	Harper	N.E.	13	27N	23W
	Custer	N.W.	23	15N	17W
Saint Paul silt loam Tillman silt loam	Harper Washita Tillman Kiowa Cotton	S.E. S.E. S.W. N.E. N.W.	9 35 19 16 13	27N 10N 2S 6N 3S	22W 17W 17W 18W 10W
Foard silt loam	Jackson	N.E.	$\begin{array}{c} 19\\2\\35\\2\end{array}$	2N	18W
Foard silty clay loam	Tillman	N.E.		4S	14W
Dennis silt loam	Rogers	N.E.		20N	17E
Parsons silt loam	Rogers	N.W.		19N	17E
Vanoss fine sandy loam	Payne	S.W.	36	1 <b>8</b> N	2E
Lawton silt loam	Kiowa	N.E.	2	3N	17W
Minco loam	Caddo	S.W.	3	12N	12W
Pratt loamy fine sand	Tillman	N.W.	1 <b>8</b>	4S	16W

Table 1.—Soil types studied and locations where samp	Table	-Soil	types	studied	and	locations	where	sample	1.
--	-------	-------	-------	---------	-----	-----------	-------	--------	----

Detman	Juic	Iouiny	average	autu 101	LOGI	Iocation	
		Depth	(Inches)				
0-6	6-12	12-24	4 24-36	36-48	48-60	60-72	Total
	Grar	ns per c	ubic centi	meter			
1.37	1.40	1.46	1.65	1.60	1.66	1.71	
		Pe	ercent				
19.89	24.5 <b>8</b>	29.05	2 <b>8</b> .47	27.61	27.63	27.15	
<b>H</b> - 0	11.79	16. <b>8</b> 2	15.47	15.21	15.14	14.14	
ter 12.29	12.79	12.23	13.00	12.40	12.49	13.02	
Inche	s of w	vater per	r section of	of soil dep	th		
	1.07	-		2.38	2.49	2.67	14.33
	0-6 1.37 19.89 re 7.60 ter 12.29 Inche	0-6 6-12 Gran 1.37 1.40 19.89 24.58 re 7.60 11.79 ter 12.29 12.79 Inches of v	0-6     6-12     Depth       0-6     6-12     12-2       Grams per c       1.37     1.40     1.46       Pe     19.89     24.58     29.05       re     7.60     11.79     16.82       ter     12.29     12.79     12.23       Inches of water per     10.46     10.46	0-6     6-12     Depth (Inches)       0-6     6-12     12-24     24-36       Grams per cubic centi       1.37     1.40     1.46     1.65       Percent       19.89     24.58     29.05     28.47       re       7.60     11.79     16.82     15.47       ter     12.29     12.79     12.23     13.00       Inches of water per section of     10.00     10.00     10.00	0-6     6-12     Depth (Inches) 12-24     24-36     36-48       Grams per cubic centimeter     1.37     1.40     1.46     1.65     1.60       Percent       19.89     24.58     29.05     28.47     27.61       re     7.60     11.79     16.82     15.47     15.21       ter     12.29     12.79     12.23     13.00     12.40       Inches of water per section of soil dep	0-6     6-12     Depth (Inches) 12-24     24-36     36-48     48-60       Grams per cubic centimeter     1.37     1.40     1.46     1.65     1.60     1.66       Percent     19.89     24.58     29.05     28.47     27.61     27.63       re     7.60     11.79     16.82     15.47     15.21     15.14       ter     12.29     12.79     12.23     13.00     12.40     12.49       Inches of water per section of soil depth	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

#### Table 2.—Bethany silt loam, average data for four locations.

		Table	3.—Tał	oler silt	loam.			
	0-6	6-12	Depth ( <b>12-24</b>	Inches) <b>24-36</b>	36-48	48-60	60-72	Total
		Gram	s per cul	bic centir	neter			
Bulk density	1.44	1.35	1.49	1.62	1.61	1.62	1.63	
			Perc	ent				
Moisture equivalent	20.23	24.3 <b>8</b>	30.96	30.66	2 <b>9.78</b>	28.01	30. <b>8</b> 0	
15 atmosphere percentage	7.21	11.11	16.23	15.75	14.85	13.45	15.40	
Available water	13.02	13.27	14.73	14.91	14.93	14.56	15.40	
	Inc	hes of wa	ater per	section o	f soil de	pth		
Available water	1.12	1.07	2.63	2.90	2.88	2.83	3.01	16.44

Table 4.—Kirkland silt loam, average data for three locations.

			Depth (	Inches)				
	0-6	6-12	12-24	24-36	36-48	48-60	60-72	Total
		Gram	s per cul	bic centin	neter			
Bulk density	1.35	1.45	1.52	1.65	1.62	1.71	1.71	
			Perc	ent				
Moisture equivalent	18.67	24.05	29.29	2 <b>8</b> .00	29.13	29.13	27.39	
15 atmosphere percentage	7.58	12.36	16.13	15.87	16.85	16.79	15.71	
Available water	11.09	11.69	13.16	12.13	13.28	12.34	11.68	
	Incl	hes of wa	ater per :	section of	f soil de	pth		
Available water	.90	1.02	2.40	2.40	2.58	2.53	2.40	14.23

·····					cial for			
			Depth (	Inches)				
	0-6	6-12	12-24	24-36	36-48	48-60	60-72	Total
		Gram	s per cul	oic centin	neter			
Bulk density	1.36	1.41	1.50	1.65	1.62	1.59	1.69	
			Perc	ent				
Moisture equivalent	23.13	26.4 <b>8</b>	29.72	31.11	29.91	27.01	27.00	
15 atmosphere percentage	9.86	13.97	14.61	16.87	16.32	15.58	15.12	
Available water	13.27	12.51	15.11	14.24	13.59	11.43	11.88	
	Incl	hes of wa	ter per s	section of	soil de	pth		
Available water	1.08	1.06	2.72	2 <b>.8</b> 2	2.64	2.18	2.41	14.91

#### Table 5.—Renfrow silty clay loam.

Table 6.—Grant silt loam, average data for four\* locations.

	-							
			Debth (	Inches)				
	0-6	6-12	12-24	24-36	36-48	48-60	60-72	Total
		Gram	s per cul	oic centin	neter			
Bulk density	1.45	1.48	1.41	1.47	1.54	1.52	1.59	
			Perc	ent				
Moisture equivalent	16.93	19.14	20.96	21.70	20.09	21.77	21.15	
15 atmosphere percentage	6.00	7.69	8.96	10.40	9.51	10.78	10.32	
Available water	10.93	11.45	12.00	11.30	10.58	10 <b>.9</b> 9	10.83	
	Incl	hes of wa	ater per s	section of	f soil de	pth		
Available water	.95	1.02	2.03	1.99	.96	2.00	2.07	12.02

\* All depths except 48-60 and 60-72 are averages of four locations; 48-60 and 60-72 are averages of three locations; shale  $\epsilon$ ncountered at 4 ft. at Okeene.

			Depth (	Inches)				
	0-6	6-12	12-24	24-36	36-48	48-60	60-72	Total
		Gram	s per cul	oic centin	neter			
Bulk density	1.48	1.44	1.46	1.52	1.61	1.56	1.62	
			Perc	ent				
Moisture equivalent	15.78	1 <b>8.</b> 64	22.45	24.23	24.01	23.65	23.41	
15 atmosphere percentage	5.70	7.08	10.38	12.52	11.83	10.07	10.78	
Available water	10.08	11.56	12.07	11.71	13.18	13.58	12.63	
	Incl	nes of wa	ater per :	section of	f soil de	pth		
Available water	.90	1.00	2.11	2.14	2.55	2.54	2.46	13.70

Table 7.—Pond Creek silt loam, average data for four locations.

			Depth	(Inches)				
	0-6	6-12	12-24	24-36	36-48	48-60	60-72	Total
		Gran	ns per cu	bic centin	neter			
Bulk density	1.45	1.40	1.41	1.45	1.46	1.43	1.54	
			Per	cent				
Moisture equivalent	16.58	19.34	22.57	19.68	19.49	17.54	15.84	
15 atmosphere percentage	7.70	9.05	10.79	9.09	8.37	<b>6.8</b> 0	5.39	
Available water	8.88	10.29	11.78	10.59	11.12	10.74	10.45	
	Inc	hes of wa	ater per	section of	i soil dej	pth		
Available water	.77	.86	1.99	1.84	1.95	1.84	1.93	11.18

#### Table 8.—Carey silt loam, average data for three\* locations.

\* All depths except 48-60 and 60-72 are averages of three locations; 48-60 and 60-72 are averages of three locations. Shale encountered at 4 ft. at Custer.

			Depth (	Inches)				
	0-6	6-12	12-24	24-36	36-48	48-60	60-72	Total
		Gram	s per cul	bic centir	neter			
Bulk density	1.44	1.44	1.40	1.45	1.50	1.53	1.59	
			Perc	ent				
Moisture equivalent	16.98	19.87	24.35	2 <b>7.78</b>	26.17	26.25	23. <b>8</b> 0	
15 atmosphere percentage	8.39	7.88	10.26	13.37	13.44	12.72	12.49	
Available water	8.59	11.99	14.09	14.41	12.73	13.53	11.31	
	Incl	ies of wa	ter per s	section of	f soil de	pth		
Available water	.74	1.03	2.37	2.51	2.29	2.48	2.16	13.58

#### Table 9.-Saint Paul silt loam.

# Table 10.—Tillman silt loam, average data for four locations.

			Depth (	Inches)				
	0-6	6-12	12-24	24-36	36-48	48-60	60-72	Total
		Gram	s per cul	oic centin	neter			
Bulk density	1.28	1.32	1.51	1.66	1.65	1.63	1.59	
			Perc	ent				
Moisture equivalent	19.78	23.70	25.41	25.04	24. <b>8</b> 5	24.24	23 <b>.8</b> 3	
15 atmosphere percentage	9.54	<b>12.8</b> 4	15.02	14.92	14.81	15.19	14.47	
Available water	10.24	10.86	10.39	10.12	10.04	9.05	9.36	
	Incl	nes of wa	ter per s	section of	f soil dej	pth		
Available water	.79	.86	1.88	2.02	1.99	1.77	1.79	11.1(

			Depth (	Inches)				
	0-6	6-12	12-24	24-36	36-48	48-60	60-72	Total
		Gram	s per cul	bic centir	neter			
Bulk density	1.41	1.41	1.49	1.67	1.56	1.61	1.63	
			Perc	ent				
Moisture equivalent	17.95	21. <b>8</b> 0	24.03	23.57	22.94	21.17	19.64	
15 atmosphere percentage	<b>8</b> .13	11.51	13.85	14.14	13.31	11.01	9.23	
Available water	9. <b>8</b> 2	10.29	10.18	9.43	9.63	10.16	10.41	
	Incl	hes of wa	ter per :	section o	f soil de	pth		
Available water	.83	.87	1.82	1.89	1.80	1.96	2.04	11.21

# Table 11.—Foard silt loam.

		Depth (Inches)								
	0-6	6-12	12-24	24-36	36-48	48-60	60-72	Total		
		Gram	s per cut	oic centin	neter					
Bulk density	1.21	1.32	1.44	1.61	1.59	1.62	1.63			
			Perc	ent						
Moisture equivalent	22.31	25.04	26.65	26.23	25.29	23 <b>.0</b> 0	2 <b>0.85</b>			
15 atmosphere percentage	9.85	12.6 <b>8</b>	14.24	13.77	13.08	10.81	9.98			
Available water	12.46	12.36	12.41	12.46	12.21	12.19	10.87			
	Incl	ies of wa	ater per s	section of	f soil de	pth				
Available water	.90	.93	2.14	2.41	2.33	2.37	2.13	13.21		

# Table 13.—Dennis silt loam.

			Depth (	Inches)				
	0-6	6-12	12-24	24-36	36-48	48-60	60-72	Total
		Gram	s per cul	oic centir	neter			
<b>Julk</b> density	1.44	1.41	1.34	1.35	1.43	1.54	1.58	
			Perc	ent				
Moisture equivalent	20.50	20.91	25.67	2 <b>8</b> .23	2 <b>9</b> .32	29.21	2 <b>8.9</b> 2	
5 atmosphere percentage	5.79	9.74	14.3 <b>8</b>	17.86	<b>18.9</b> 2	18.49	17.28	
wailable water	14.71	11.17	11.29	10.37	10.40	<b>10.7</b> 2	11.64	
	Incl	hes of wa	ter per	section of	f soil de	p <b>th</b>		
vailable water	1.27	.95	1.82	1.68	1.78	1.98	2.21	11.69

Depth (Inches)										
		Gram	s per cul	oic centin	neter					
Bulk density	1.40	1.22	1.32	1.48	1.53	1.58	1.72			
			Perc	ent						
Moisture equivalent	20.50	22.75	25.49	29.55	30.94	29.92	2 <b>7.8</b> 2			
15 atmosphere percentage	<b>8.</b> 02	9.74	13.51	19.79	18.36	18.90	17.61			
Available water	12.48	13.01	11.98	9.76	12.58	11.02	10.21			
	Incl	nes of wa	iter per s	section of	f soil de	oth				
Available water	1.05	.95	1.90	1.73	2.31	2.09	2.11	12.14		

#### Table 14.—Parsons silt loam.

	Depth (Inches)									
	0-6	6-12	12-24	24-36	36-48	48-60	60-72	Total		
		Gram	s per cut	oic centin	neter					
Bulk density	1.53	1.55	1.60	1.66	1.61	1.67	1.62			
			Perc	ent						
Moisture equivalent	11.80	13.90	15.17	17.90	14.68	12.47	10.77			
15 atmosphere percentage	4.42	5.55	6.82	8.74	6.95	4.32	3. <b>8</b> 4			
Available water	7.38	8.35	8.35	9.16	7.73	8.15	6.93			
	Incl	ies of wa	ter per s	ection of	f soil de	pth				
Available water	.68	.78	1.60	1.82	1.49	1.63	1.35	<b>9.</b> 33		

Table 16.—Lawton silt loam.

			Depth (	Inches)				
	0-6	6-12	12-24	24-36	36-48	48-60	60-72	Tota
		Gram	s per cul	bic centir	neter			
Bulk density	1.29	1.50	1.46	1.58	1.53	1.65	1.66	
			Perc	ent				
Moisture equivalent	21.61	27.20	2 <b>8.9</b> 4	2 <b>7</b> .26	25.65	26.22	21.86	
15 atmosphere percentage	9.32	14.51	15.95	14.29	13.24	13.08	10.30	
Available water	12.29	12.69	12.99	12.97	12.41	13.14	11.56	
	Incl	hes of wa	ter per s	section of	f soil dep	oth		
Available water	.96	1.14	2.28	2.46	2.28	2.60	2.30	14.0

		1 and	<b>- - - - - - - - - -</b>		Uam.			
	0-6	6-12	Depth ( <b>12-24</b>	Inches) <b>24-36</b>	36-48	48-60	60-72	Total
		Gram	s per cul	oic centir	neter			
Bulk density	1.24	1.43	1.49	1.42	1.47	1.39	1.49	
			Perc	ent				
Moisture equivalent	13.63	17.04	14.74	17.46	15.44	21.11	22.56	
15 atmosphere percentage	5.73	7.43	8.01	7.65	8.26	11.50	12.96	
Available water	7.90	9.61	6.73	9.81	7.18	9.61	9.60	
	Incl	hes of wa	ater per	section o	f soil de	pth		
Available water	.59	.85	1.20	1.67	1.27	1.70	1.72	9.00

### Table 17.-Minco loam.

Table 18.—Pratt loamy fine sand.									
	0-6	6-12	Depth (. <b>12-24</b>	Inches) <b>24-36</b>	36-48	48-60	60-72	Total	
		Gram	s per cut	oic centin	neter				
Bulk density	1.46	1.56	1.57	1.44	1.50	1.69	1.75		
			Perc	ent					
Moisture equivalent	13.70	12.70	11.70	14.20	13.50	1 <b>7.8</b> 0	23. <b>8</b> 0		
15 atmosphere percentage	3.26	3.70	4.14	4.52	4.56	6.05	9.91		
Available water	10.44	9.00	7.56	9.68	8.94	11.75	13. <b>8</b> 9		
	Incl	nes of wa	ater per s	section of	f soil de	pth			
Available water	.91	.84	1.42	1.67	1.61	2.38	2.92	11.75	