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## Findings of the Study

Although projections of future populations are not blueprints for economic development, they provide a basis for planning a wide range of public and private activities at both the state and local levels. For example, the future needs for schools, colleges, hospitals, churches, housing, highways, streets, public utilities, retail, wholesale, and service establishments, and many other facilities and services are largely dependent upon the size and composition of the prospective population.

According to the 1950 Census of Population, the state had $2,233,351$ residents. The uppermost questions probably are: How many people will Oklahoma have in 1960, at the next official census? How many in 1965? In 1970?

One cannot predict accurately the exact size of populations beyond the latest census date, because the precise course which births, deaths, and migrations will take is only conjectural. Accordingly, this study prepared, from an almost infinite number of likely possibilities, four separate sets of 1960 , 1965 , and 1970 projections to demarcate the potential range of Oklahoma populations, not as precise predictions, but as reasonable probabilities.

This study was made to seek replies to some of the many requests for information about Oklahoma population trends. In addition to supplying projections of school and college age populations and enrollments, it projects the future labor force and extensive age, sex, residential, and racial characteristics of the expected populations. Further, it recapitulates past trends and summarizes the principal projected population changes through 1970. Chiefly, they are:

Total Population. The projections indicate a steady, uninterrupted increase in Oklahoma's population after 1955. By 1970, between $2,294,000$ and 3,017,000 people probably will be residing in the state, implying gains of 61,000 to 783,000 persons, or 3 to 35 percent, during 1950-70.

Productive Age Population. The size of Oklahoma's productive age population (20-64) is likely to remain on an even keel between 1950 and 1970 ; either small gains or losses are possible. Despite the lull in growth, the probable number of persons in the labor force will be 10 to $3^{8}$ percent greater in 1970 than in 1950, with employed women accounting for most of the likely expansion. This indicates a need for 76,000 to 300,000 new jobs during this 20 -year period.

Preschool Children. While the Oklahoma preschool age population may either expand or contract in size by 1970, there will be small to marked gains in the number of youth of elementary, high school, and college ages.

College Age Group. Oklahoma colleges will feel the greatest impact of these changes in youth population, as enrollments are likely to double between 1950 and 1970, rising to between 82,000 and 103,000 by 1970. This would be an increase of 40,000 to 60,000 students, or roughly 90 to 140 percent, since 1950 .

School Age Group. Secondary school enrollments probably will grow by 16 to 43 percent, with elementary school enrollments expanding as much as 6 to 57 percent between 1950 and 1970.

Persons 65 and Over. That the aged population in Oklahoma will continue to enlarge is a foregone conclusion; between 66,000 and 83,000 persons 65 years of age and over will be added to the state's population by 1970.

Sex Ratio. The persistent decline in the sex ratio of Oklahoma's population will not be stemmed by 1970, when there will be only 97 to 98 males per 100 females. The higher mortality of males than of females in both white and nonwhite races will increase not only the disparity of females over males at older ages, but also the probability that husbands will die before their wives, thereby swelling the relative numbers of widows. Furthermore, the duration of widowhood for females will lengthen by 1970.

Rural-Urban Population. A sustained growth in the state's rural-nonfarm and urban populations likely will ensue, with gains of 48 to 108 percent by $197^{\circ}$ in the rural-nonfarm areas and of 12 to 33 percent in the cities. Moreover, the prospective urban population expansion will be much greater among whites than nonwhites, whereas the rate of growth in the rural-nonfarm areas will be approximately twice as high for the colored as for the white population.

Farm Population. The projections indicate that a drastic reduction in the size of the Oklahoma farm population is imminent, with the number of farm people falling from 553,000 in 1950 to between 217,000 and 380,000 in 1970 . Furthermore, farm consolidation and automation may uproot as many as 170,000 to 335,000 persons.

Areas A and B. Oklahoma County (Area B) and Tulsa County (Area A) will experience marked population increases, with the 1950-70 period conferring probable gains of 270,000 to 428,000 persons, or 83 to 132 percent, in Oklahoma County and 165,000 to 225,000 people, or 66 to 102 percent, in Tulsa County. (The map on Page 31, shows the economic areas of Oklahoma.) Indeed, the prospective growth of these two areas accounts for practically all of the expected statewide population expansion. The projections indicate that between 42 and 44 percent of the future Oklahoma population will be concentrated into those two counties by 1970, compared to only 26 percent in 1950 .

Some of the concomitant population changes in store for Areas A and B during 1950-70 are: First, there will be a rapidly growing number of customers for all types of consumer goods and services; second, both the elementary and
secondary school age populations will more than double in size; third, the population in the economically active ages will expand by 50 to 90 percent; and fourth, the number of elderly persons will more than double.

With the advent of the huge postwar population expansion, suburban communities became firmly implanted in the fringe areas of Oklahoma City, especially, and Tulsa. During 1940-50, for example, surburban population growth in Oklahoma and Tulsa counties outran that for both metropolises. But this is only a portent of that yet to come. The 1970 projections indicate that surburbs will continue to develop around the corporate limits of the central cities and reach out farther into the rural hinterlands, and that Oklahoma City and Tulsa will continue to be encircled by rapidly expanding satellite communities.

Areas $6,7 \mathrm{~b}$, and 9 . Areas $6,7 \mathrm{~b}$, and 9 face population losses of 4 to 45 percent between 1950 and 1970. The only gains will be among the aged populations; by 1970, these areas can expect gains from 1,000 to 4,500 persons 65 years of age and over. Meanwhile, 12 to 50 percent of the productive age population will vanish, and the elementary and high school age populations will decline.

Other Areas. During 1950-70, the total number of people in Economic Areas $\mathrm{I}, 2,3,4,5,7 \mathrm{a}, 8 \mathrm{a}$, and 8 b will remain almost static; these areas may either hold their own, or perchance experience either scant increases or declines. In any event, the projections indicate that no swift changes in total population are likely to arise by 1970. Area 2 will achieve elementary school age population gains; Areas 2 and 5 will record increases in their high school age populations; and only two economic areas, Areas 4 and 8 b , have any prospects of attaining increases in the population in the main working ages ( $20-64$ years). In the other six economic areas, there will be a thinning out in the number of school age and productive age populations. However, each of the eight areas will be swamped with rapidly expanding aged populations, thus conforming to the statewide pattern of mounting numbers of senior citizens.

During 1950-70, the number of farm people will practically plummet in every state economic area. Areas 4,5 , and 7 a will export the largest relative numbers, for between 40 and 70 percent of the population may be dislodged from farms by 1970 .

# Projections of the Population of Oklahoma to 1970 

By James D. Tarver*<br>Department of Sociology and Rural Life Oklahoma State University

This report, the second of two publications, presents July I, 1955, Oklahoma population estimates and July 1, 1960, 1965, and 1970, population projections. ${ }^{1}$ Its five major objectives were to determine the following:

1. The population of the state of Oklahoma on July i, 1955, 1960, 1965, and 1970 .
2. The size of the prospective school and college age populations and enrollments.
3. The probable size of the future labor force.
4. The probable age, race, residence, and sex composition of the future populations.
5. The population of each of the 13 state economic areas for these four years.
[^0][^1]The research reported herein was done under Oklahoma Agricultural Experiment Station Project No. 770.

## Projection Procedure

The July i, 1955, population estimates and July i, 1960, 1965, and 1970, projections were computed by the component or "cohort-survival" method using a high-speed IBM 650 electronic computer. Only one set of July I, 1955, population estimates was prepared, these figures being adjusted proportionately to add to the Bureau of the Census' official estimate of Oklahoma's total population on that date.

Four separate series of population projections were made for July I , 1960 , 1965, and 1970, each based on a different combination of assumptions regarding future birth, mortality, and net migration rates. For further information relative to the determination of projected populations and a description of the component method, see Oklahoma Agricultural Experiment Station Miscellaneous Publication MP-54, December, 1959. Unpublished tables showing 1955 population estimates and 1960, 1965 , and 1970 projections of the 13 Oklahoma state economic areas, classified by age-race-residence-sex groups, are available upon request.

[^2]
## The Future Population of Oklahoma

The total population of Oklahoma reached its summit in 1930 and has declined gradually since that time; the state, nevertheless, had approximately 163,000 more people in 1955 than in 1920 . On July 1, 1955, an estimated 2,191,000 persons resided in Oklahoma, compared with 2,233,351 in 1950, 2,336,434 in 1940, $2,396,040$ in 1930, and $2,028,283$ in 1920 .

Oklahoma's prospects for population growth to 1970 are portrayed in Table r. The 1960 projections indicate that there will be between $2,221,000$ and $2,333,000$ inhabitants, reflecting a gain of 30,000 to 42,000 people between July

Table 1. Estimates and Projections of Oklahoma's Population, by Race and Sex.

| Year and Projection Series | Total |  |  | White |  |  | Nonwhite |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| 1950 | 2,233,351 | 1,115,555 | 1,117,796 | 2,032,526 | 1,017,323 | 1,015,203 | 200,825 | 98,232 | 102,593 |
| 1955 | 2,191,000 | 1,089,410 | 1,101,590 | 1,996,568 | 994,348 | 1,002,220 | 194,432 | 95,062 | 99,370 |
| 1960 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2,332,971 | 1,156,553 | 1,176,418 | 2,122,964 | 1,053,812 | 1,069,152 | 210,007 | 102,741 | 107,266 |
|  | 2,306,678 | 1,143,072 | 1,163,606 | 2,099,352 | 1,041,706 | 1,057,646 | 207,326 | 101,366 | 105,960 |
|  | 2,245,930 | 1,113,126 | 1,132,804 | 2,048,409 | 1,016,471 | 1,031,938 | 197,521 | 96,655 | 100,866 |
|  | 2,220,578 | 1,100,132 | 1,120,446 | 2,025,474 | 1,004,717 | 1,020,757 | 195,104 | 95,415 | 99,689 |
| 1965 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1965 | 2,618,604 | 1,295,549 | 1,323,055 | 2, 372,383 | 1,175,048 | 1,197,335 | 246, 221 | 120,501 | 125,720 |
| 2 | 2,498,934 | 1,235,583 | 1,263,351 | 2,269,683 | 1,123,414 | 1,146,269 | 229,251 | 112,169 | 117,082 |
| 3 | 2,356,741 | 1,164,179 | 1,192,562 | 2,145,850 | 1,061,062 | 1,084,788 | 210,891 | 103,117 | 107,774 |
| 4 | 2,246,584 | 1,109,030 | 1,137,554 | 2,050, 282 | 1,013,074 | 1,037,208 | 196,302 | 95,956 | 100,346 |
| 1970 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3,016,765 | 1,491,082 | 1,525,683 | 2,718,312 | 1,344,832 | 1,373,480 | 298,453 | 146,250 | 152,203 |
|  | 2,774,651 | 1,370,532 | 1,404,119 | 2,511,996 | 1,241,758 | 1,270,238 | 262,655 | 128,774 | 133,881 |
|  | 2,496,646 | 1,229,545 | 1,267,101 | 2,269,880 | 1,118,804 | 1,151,076 | 226,766 | 110,741 | 116,025 |
|  | 2,294,059 | 1,128,922 | 1,165,137 | 2,094,413 | 1,031,356 | 1,063,057 | 199,646 | 97,566 | 102,080 |
|  |  |  |  | Change in P | ected Popula | , 1950-70 |  |  |  |
|  | 783,414 | 375,527 | 407,887 | 685,786 | 327,509 | 358,277 | 97,628 | 48,018 | 49,610 |
|  | 541,300 | 254,977 | 286,323 | 479,470 | 224,435 | 255,035 | 61,830 | 30,542 | 31,288 |
|  | 263,295 | 113,990 | 149,305 | 237,354 | 101,481 | 135,873 | 25,941 | 12,509 | 13,432 |
|  | 60,708 | 13,367 | 47,341 | 61,887 | 14,033 | 47,854 | -1,179 | -666 | -513 |
|  | Percentage Change in Projected Population, 1950-70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 35.1 | 33.7 | 36.5 | 33.7 | 32.2 | 35.3 | 48.6 | 48.9 | 48.4 |
|  | 24.2 | 22.9 | 25.6 | 23.6 | 22.1 | 25.1 | 30.8 | 31.1 | 30.5 |
|  | 11.8 | 10.2 | 13.4 | 11.7 | 10.0 | 13.4 | 12.9 | 12.7 | 13.1 |
|  | 2.7 | 1.2 | 4.2 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 4.7 | -. 6 | -. 7 | -. 5 |

1, 1955, and July 1, 1960. The population probably will expand by 26,000 to 286,0oo persons during the next five-year period, giving an approximate total of $2,247,000$ to $2,619,000$ in 1965 .

Between 1965 and 1970, Oklahoma's population should increase by another 47,000 to 398,000 persons, the total ranging from $2,294,000$ to $3,017,000$ in 1970 . The lowest of the four 1970 projections (Series 4) provides an increment of nearly 6 r,0oo persons, or 3 percent; the highest projection (Series i) yields a population gain of 783,000 , or 35 percent, between 1950 and 1970. In short, Oklahoma can expect small to substantial increases in its total population to 1970 .

## Probable Characteristics of the Future Population

## Age Composition

Some notable changes will occur in the age distribution of Oklahoma's population between 1950 and 1970 (Tables 2 and 3). These prospective alterations, however, are not uniform for all age groups. First, there will be an upsurge in the population 55 years of age and over, with the gains amounting to about 60 percent for those 75 years of age and over. Second, a substantial enlargement will occur in the number of people $10-24$ years of age. Third, relatively minor modifications are likely in the number of persons in ages 25 to 54 , where divergent trends are shown by the four separate projections. Fourth, by far the greatest uncertainty is the change in the number of children under io years of age; either increases or declines may occur. In 1970, this group will be comprised of persons born between 1960 and 1970 , and the number is, therefore, subject to extreme variation.

Despite the almost prodigious growth expected in the aged population between now and 1970, there will be a reversal in the long-term rise in the median age of Oklahoma's population. For example, the median age of the population of Oklahoma advanced three years between 1940 and 1955, going from 26.2 to 29.2 years, respectively. As a result of the high birth rates since World War II, the median age of Oklahoma's future population will fall during each of the three successive five-year periods, varying from 24.6 to 28.4 years in 1970 .

Population of Preschool Age. There were nearly 230,000 children in the preschool ages (under 5 years) in 1955, or about 21,000 fewer than in 1950. The size of this group in 1960, 1965 , and 1970 hinges, of course, upon the trend in the birth rates. By 1970, the projections show that Oklahoma can anticipate between 205,000 and 393,000 children of preschool age (Tables 2 and 3). Ac-

Table 2. Estimates and Projections of the Total Population, by Age, Oklahoma.

| Age | 1950 | 1955 | 1960 |  |  |  | 1965 |  |  |  | 1970 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Oklahoma | 2,233,351 | 2,191,000 | 2,332,971 | 2,306,678 | 2,245,930 | 2,220,578 | 2,618,604 | 2,498,934 | 2,356,741 | 2,246,584 | 3,016,765 | 2,774,651 | 2,496,646 | 2,294.059 |
| 0-4 | 240,458 | 229,709 | 262,380 | 236,087 | 253,449 | 228,097 | 308,222 | 297,951 | 21",208 | 204,203 | 393,119 | 360,035 | 224,205 | 204,707 |
| 5-9 | 211,222 | 232,426 |  | , 322 | 230, | , 851 | 281,646 | 245,690 | 263,698 | 229,941 | 333,011 | 312,265 | 221,430 | 207,698 |
| 10-14 | 187,701 | 190,778 |  | ,685 | 217, | ,090 | 244,265 | 234,936 | 227,800 | 218,836 | 288,946 | 242,577 | 260,611 | 218,572 |
| 15-19 | 178,872 | 1.64,281 |  | ,465 | 175, | 058 | 236,209 | 224,177 | 215,177 | 203,766 | 258,055 | 236,593 | 229,775 | 210,222 |
| 20-24 | 166,422 | 153,875 | 160 | ,213 | 149 , | 201 | 198,058 | 185,653 | 175,882 | 164,260 | 258,056 | 230,554 | 222,028 | 197,522 |
| 25-29 | 168,673 | 148,400 | 150 | ,867 | 141, | 519 | 170,227 | 160,459 | 149,831 | 140,803 | 214,960 | 190,622 | 181,154 | 159,762 |
| 30-34 | 152,762 | 151,407 | 145 | ,641 | 139, | 077 | 155,146 | 148,380 | 139.398 | 133,136 | 177,064 | 160,008 | 149,666 | 134,803 |
| 35-39 | 155,009 | 137,560 |  | ,011 | 141, | 683 | 347,267 | 142,370 | 136,094 | 131,482 | 157,080 | 145,223 | 136,558 | 126,126 |
| 40-44 | 147,428 | 138,668 | 132 | ,527 | 128, | 197 | 147,112 | 14,2,796 | 137,755 | 133,666 | 147,886 | 139,081 | 133,048 | 125,122 |
| 45-49 | 1.31,715 | 132,041 | 132 | 573 | 128, | 515 | 131,129 | 127,482 | 123,415 | 119,945 | 146,200 | 138,341 | 133,574 | 126,428 |
| 50-54 | 113,982 | 117,619 | 125 | 016 |  | 528 | 129,397 | 126,013 | 122,236 | 118,998 | 128,406 | 121,814 | 118,011 | 111,985 |
| 55-59 | 100,972 | 1.01,251 |  | ,082 | 107, | 500 | 12ก,109 | 117,426 | 114,214 | 111,635 | 124,773 | 118,912 | 115,413 | 109,995 |
| 60-64 | 85,340 | 88,154 |  | 141 |  | 336 | 103,712 | 101,783 | 99,435 | 9,7,562 | 113,694 | 109,156 | 106,228 | 101,977 |
| 65-69 | 74,127 | 72,469 |  | 519 |  | $2 \sim 7$ | 85,037 | 83,760 | 82,163 | 80,9] 1 | 95,364 | 92,227 | 90,132 | 87,149 |
| 70-74 | 53,991 | 6r,128 |  | 578 |  | 064 | 62,256 | 67,690 | 66,64.3 | 66,089 | 74,794 | 73,073 | 71,700 | 70,037 |
| 75+ | 64,671 | 72,234 | 82,951 |  | 82,565 |  | 92,012 | 92,368 | 97.,792 | 91,351 | 105,357 | 104,170 | 103,113 | 101,954 |
| Adjusted for Census Underenumeration of Children: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Ages | 2,248,351 | 2,205,005 | 2,349,157 | 2,321,248 | 2,261,300 | 2,234,424 | 2,632,229 | 2,517,596 | 2,369,905 | 2,259,105 | 3,042,371 | 2,797,654 | 2,510,733 | 2,306,649 |
| Under 5 | 255,458 | 243,714 | 278,566 | 250,657 | 268,210 | 241,943 | 327,247 | 316,613 | 224,372 | 216,724 | 418,725 | 383,038 | 238,292 | 217,297 |

Table 3. Projected Change in Oklahoma's Population, by Age, 1950-70.

| Age | Projection Series |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number |  |  |  | Per Cent |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Oklahoma | 783,414 | 541, 300 | 263,295 | 60,708 | 35.1 | 24.2 | 11.8 | 2.7 |
| 0-4 | 152,661 | 119,577 | -16,253 | -35,751 | 63.5 | 49.7 | -6.8 | -14.9 |
| 5-9 | 121,789 | 101,043 | 10,208 | -3,524 | 57.7 | 47.8 | 4.8 | -1.7 |
| 10-14 | 101,245 | 54,876 | 72,910 | 30,871 | 53.9 | 29.2 | 38.8 | 16.4 |
| 15-19 | 79,183 | 57,721 | 50,903 | 31,350 | 44.3 | 32.3 | 28.5 | 17.5 |
| 20-24 | 91,634 | 64,132 | 55,606 | 31,100 | 55.1 | 38.5 | 33.4 | 18.7 |
| 25-29 | 46,287 | 21,949 | 12,481 | -8,911 | 27.4 | 13.0 | 7.4 | -5.3 |
| 30-34 | 24,302 | 7,246 | -3,096 | -17,959 | 15.9 | 4.7 | -2.0 | -11.8 |
| 35-39 | 2,071 | -9,786 | -18,451 | -28,883 | 1.3 | -6.3 | -11.9 | -18.6 |
| 40-44 | 458 | -8,347 | -14,380 | -22,306 | . 3 | -5.7 | -9.8 | -15.1 |
| 45-49 | 14,485 | 6,626 | 1,859 | -5,287 | 11.0 | 5.0 | 1.4 | -4.0 |
| 50-54 | 14,418 | 7,326 | 4,023 | -2,003 | 12.6 | 6.9 | 3.5 | -1.8 |
| 55-59 | 23,801 | 17,940 | 14,441 | 9,023 | 23.6 | 17.8 | 14.3 | 8.9 |
| 60-64 | 28,354 | 23,816 | 20,388 | 16,637 | 33.2 | 27.9 | 24.5 | 19.5 |
| 65-69 | 21,237 | 18,100 | 16,005 | 13,022 | 28.6 | 24.4 | 21.6 | 17.5 |
| 70-74 | 20,803 | 19,082 | 17,709 | 16,046 | 38.5 | 35.3 | 32.8 | 29.7 |
| $75+$ | 40,686 | 39,499 | 38,442 | 37,283 | 62.9 | 61.1 | 59.4 | 57.7 |

## Continued from Page 8

cording to projection Series 1 , this group will gain nearly 153,000 youngsters, or 64 percent, whereas projection Series 4 implies a decline of almost 36,000 children, or 15 percent.

Population of Elementary School Age. While the total population of Oklahoma decreased by 42,000 persons during April 1, 1950, and July 1, 1955, the number of children of elementary school age ( $5-13$ years) grew by more than 26,000, gaining over 7 percent (Table 4). ${ }^{\text {. }}$

Between 1955 and 1960 , Oklahoma may expect a further increase of 20,000 to 35,000 in the number of youngsters of elementary school age, raising the total to around 408,000 to 423,000 in 1960 . Beginning with 1965 , the projected numbers will range from 406,000 and 478,000 . The 1970 projections show between 383,000 and 567,000 children in this age group, denoting probable increases of 6 to 57 percent between 1950 and 1970 .

Population of High School Age. The number of Oklahoma youths of high school age (14-17) has dwindled steadily from 195,000 in 1940, to 147,000 in 1950, and to 136,000 in 1955 (Table 4).

This group will swell gradually, as the large numbers of children born during the postwar years reach high school age. By 1970, it will number between 171,000 and 210,000 , signifying gains of from about 16 to over 40 percent during 1950-70.

Population of College Age. ${ }^{3}$ The number of Oklahomans of college age has also waned since 1940. In 1940, approximately 181,000 persons were 18 to 21 years of age, compared with 135,000 in 1950, and 126,000 in 1955 (Table 4). Those in this age group in 1955 were born during the middle of the 1930's when the birth rate was at the lowest level in the nation's history.

All four projections suggest marked gains in the prospective number of college age youth. By 1970, this population should stand between 165,000 and 207,000, evidencing gains from 22 to 54 percent since 1950 .

[^3][^4]Table 4. Estimates and Projections of Oklahoma's School and College Age Populations.


Source: 1940 U.S. Census of Population, Characteristics of the Population, Oklahoma, Second Series, Table 21, and 1950 U.S. Census of Population, General Characteristics, Oklahoma, P-B36, Table 41.

Population of Working Age. The number of persons in the principal working ages (20-64 years) has diminished gradually since 1940. For example, the Oklahoma population in the productive ages dropped from almost $1,268,000$ in 1940 to $\mathrm{I}, 222,000$ in 1950, further slipping to $1,169,000$ in 1955 (Table 5). ${ }^{4}$ Whereas the number of older workers ( $45-64$ years of age) has risen, the number of younger persons ( $20-44$ years) has waned steadily.

The projections reveal that the number of persons in the older working ages ( $45-64$ years) will mount progressively from 1950 onward, resulting in 4 to 19

[^5]Table 5. Estimates and Projections of the Productive and Aged Populations, Oklahoma

percent increases, buttressing the size of this group by 18,000 to 81,000 people, between 1950 and 1970 . On the other hand, the number of persons in the young productive ages (20-44 years)may taper off until 1960, with an upturn after that date. Thus, in 1970, as compared to 1950, there may be either 29,000 fewer or 246,000 more persons in the main working ages. Furthermore, the proportion of the total population in the productive ages will diminish from 55 percent of the total in 1950, and 53 percent in 1955, to between 49 and 52 percent in 1970. This will inflate the proportionate numbers of people in the dependent agesboth the youth and aged combined.

The Aged Population. The number of elderly persons ( 65 years of age and over) has risen precipitously in Oklahoma, jumping from 145,000 in 1940, to 193,000 in 1950, and to nearly 205,000 in 1955 (Table 5). This striking growth is due, primarily, to the recent settlement of Oklahoma. Thousands upon thous-
ands of young adults migrated to the state during territorial days and early statehood, between 1890 and 1920, and the survivors of this initial immigration have swollen the present numbers of aged persons. Declining death rates in lower age groups are also factors raising the numbers of elders in the state.

Indeed, the changes since 1940 serve as a harbinger of the future; this prolific build-up in the aged population will persist, at an undiminished rate, through 1970. Whereupon, the number of persons 65 years of age and over will range from 259,000 to 276,000 , signaling absolute gains of 66,000 to 83,000 oldsters, or 34 to 43 percent, between 1950 and 1970 . Moreover, the relative size of the aged population will rise from 8.6 percent of the total population in 1950, and 9.3 percent in 1955, to between 9.6 and io.0 percent in 1960. By 1970, the superannuated individuals will comprise from 9.I to 11.3 percent of the state's population.

The continued extension of average life expectancy will not only bolster the number of persons 65 years of age and over, but will also lengthen the period of old-age dependency, as the following figures show:

First, increased chances of survival will prolong lives, enabling larger numbers to live to retirement age. About 72,980 Oklahoma white males and 64,530 nonwhite males out of every 100,000 born in 1970, assuming they are subject throughout life to projected mortality rates for that year, can expect to attain age 65 , compared to 66,640 white males and 52,110 nonwhite males in 1950.

Second, between 1950 and 1970 the probable average duration of the retirement period will protract as follows: An Oklahoma white male worker who retired in 1950 at age 65 could expect to celebrate his 78 th birthday, living almost to his 79th birth date. By 1970, one who retires at the same age can almost expect to reach his 8oth birthday, living i.I years longer than in 1950. Correspondingly, a nonwhite Oklahoma man at age 65 in 1970 is likely to live nearly 2.5 years longer than one of the same age in 1950. ${ }^{5}$

Third, the pronounced pattern of earlier exit of older male workers from the labor force will also extend the average length of the period of old-age dependency, greatly intensifying the field of geriatrics. The perplexing problems associated with rising numbers of aged persons, prolonged retirement on limited incomes, infirmity and ill health, social isolation, and dependency will become, therefore, increasingly onerous in the immediate future. A progressively bulging

[^6]old-age dependency load is certain to continue in Oklahoma, thereby accentuating existing gerontological problems.

Over 100,000 persons are on the public old-age assistance rolls; in the past, Oklahoma has ranked second only to Louisiana in per capita state payments for this purpose. But, in 1957, Oklahoma passed Louisiana; it now is first, even leading the 30 -odd states having higher per capita personal incomes than Oklahoma.

## Sex Composition

Early Oklahoma settlers contained a disproportionately large number of males. As late as 1910, the sex ratio was 113.7 males per roo females. Since that time, the sex ratio has diminished consistently as the excess of males over females has disappeared.

The sex ratio dipped to 109.0 in 1920, to 106.1 in 1930, to 102.4 in 1940 , and to 99.8 in 1950, when, for the first time in the state's history, females outnumbered males. The downward trend continued from 1950 to 1955, when the sex ratio declined to 98.9 .

The decline in the sex ratios since igro will persist in the visible future, because the projected increase of females surpasses that of the males (Table I). At the halfway point in the projection period (1960), the probable sex ratio will vary from 98.2 to 98.3 , shrinking to between 97.5 and 97.9 in 1965 , and reaching an all-time low of between 96.9 and 97.7 in 1970 .

The most noteworthy change in the sex ratio will occur in the population 50 years of age and over, where it will plunge drastically, since females will increase much more rapidly than males of middle and advanced ages (Table 6). This trend is ascribable, as the following figures demonstrate, to the lower mortality of females than of males:

In 1950 the average life expectancy at birth for Oklahoma white females exceeded that for white males by 6.5 years; and by 1970 the differential will be 7.7 years. For Oklahoma nonwhites, it was 4.0 years greater for females than for males in 1950, and will spread to 5.4 years by 1970. Moreover, in 1950, about 80,790 Oklahoma white females out of each roo,000 born, as compared to $66,6 \psi_{0}$ white males, could expect to attain age 65 . By 1970, approximately 88,890 Oklahoma white females and 72,980 white males out of each cohort of 100,000 live births, can expect to live to 65 years of age. From 1950 to 1970, the female excess will rise from 14,150 to 15,910 for whites, and from 6,870 to 9,310 for nonwhites at age 65 .

These probable sex differentials in life expectancy will not only augment the disproportionate number of females at older ages and further depress the sex

Table 6. Sex Ratios of Oklahoma's Population, by Age, 1950 and 1970.

| Age |  | Year and Projection Series |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1950 | 1970 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Oklahoma | 99.8 | 97.7 | 97.6 | 97.0 | 96.9 |
| 0-4 | 103.8 | 105.2 | 105.2 | 105.2 | 105.3 |
| 5-9 | 104.3 | 105.6 | 105.9 | 105.9 | 106.2 |
| 10-14 | 104.7 | 103.7 | 104.3 | 104.3 | 104.9 |
| 15-19 | 102.0 | 99.2 | 100.3 | 100.4 | 101.8 |
| 20-24 | 98.6 | 96.7 | 97.7 | 98.1 | 99.4 |
| 25-29 | 97.7 | 100.5 | 100.2 | 101.2 | 101.0 |
| 30-34 | 96.0 | 106.9 | 106.0 | 106.4 | 105.4 |
| 35-39 | 94.5 | 103.7 | 103.3 | 102.9 | 102.3 |
| 40-44 | 97.3 | 101.3 | 101.1 | 100.7 | 100.4 |
| 45-49 | 96.9 | 99.0 | 98.9 | 98.9 | 98.8 |
| 50-54 | 99.4 | 93.3 | 93.1 | 93.1 | 93.0 |
| 55-59 | 98.2 | 86.7 | 86.3 | 86.1 | 85.6 |
| 60-64 | 98.5 | 83.8 | 83.5 | 83.2 | 82.9 |
| 65-69 | 97.5 | 73.4 | 78.4 | 78.1 | 78.1 |
| 70-74 | 100.1 | 74.9 | 75.0 | 74.9 | 75.0 |
| $75+$ | 100.9 | 71.9 | 71.9 | 72.0 | 72.0 |

ratios in these age groups, but also will increase the probability that wives will outlive their husbands, further enlarging the numbers of widowed females. Because of the continuing improvement in longevity, widowed women will have a longer period of widowhood in 1970 than at present. The problems associated with widowhood will, therefore, multiply in the forthcoming years.

## Color Composition

The racial composition of Oklahoma's population has undergone a gradual modification since statehood, with the proportion of whites in the total population increasing and the percentage of nonwhites decreasing. While whites constituted 87.2 percent of the total in 1910, they comprised 91.0 percent of the total in 1950, and 91.r percent in 1955.

Although the rate of natural increase is higher for nonwhites than whites, nonwhites have dwindled proportionally because: First, their emigration rate from Oklahoma is much higher than that of whites, being about 1.75 times the white rate; ${ }^{6}$ and second, the last two censuses enumerated many Indians, perhaps

[^7]several thousands, as whites. This distorts the actual trends, paring the proportion of nonwhites, while exaggerating that of whites.

The projections indicate that no consequential change will occur in the racial composition of the Oklahoma population between 1955 and 1970. Whereas whites accounted for 9 I.I percent of the total in 1955, their proportions will range from 91.r to 91.2 in 1960, from 90.6 to 91.3 in 1965, and between 90.1 and 91.3 in 1970.

By 1970, whites will be 3 to 34 percent more numerous, with nonwhites either I percent fewer or 49 percent greater, than in 1950 (Table i). At ages 5 to 24 and 75 years and over, increases of nonwhites will be relatively greater, in each sex, than for the corresponding white population (Tables 7 -10).

In contrast, whites between 40 and 74 years of age will either increase at a somewhat higher rate or decline by a smaller proportion than nonwhites. Between 1950 and 1970, nonwhite males 40-64 years of age and nonwhite females 35-54 years of age are likely to experience relatively large population losses.

The sex ratio of the whites will decline appreciably, ranging between 97.0 and 97.9 in 1970, compared with 100.2 in 1950 and 99.2 in 1955 (Table II). Simultaneously, that of nonwhites will remain practically stationary, standing between 95.6 and 96.1 in 1970, as compared to 95.7 in 1950 and 1955. At 45 years of age and over for nonwhites and 50 years and over for whites, the sex ratios will fall, with the proportionate decreases becoming greater as age increases. By 1970, white females in advanced ages will outnumber white males to a much greater extent than in 1950. For instance, the 1970 sex ratio of the white population 75 years of age and over will be pared to about 70 and that of the nonwhite population will be 83 or 84 . Furthermore, the number of colored women will become larger than the number of colored men at 60 years of age and over.

## Residential Composition

Oklahoma was predominantly a rural state in 1920, with approximately half of its people residing on farms, one-quarter living in small towns and villages, and a quarter in cities of 2,500 population and over. The number of ruralnonfarm residents has increased slightly since 1920 , but the proportion of the state's population living in rural-nonfarm areas was practically the same in 1950 as 30 years earlier. The two residential shifts which foreshadow all others is the rapid growth of the urban and the decline of the rural-farm population. The urban population more than doubled between 1920 and 1950, when it com-

Table 7. Estimates and Projections of the White Male Population, by Age, Oklahoma.

| Age | 1950 | 1955 | 1960 Year |  |  |  | - 1965 |  |  |  | 1970 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Oklahoma | 1,017,323 | 994,348 | 1,053,312 | 1,041,706 | 1,016,471 | 1,004,717 | 1,175,048 | 1,123,414 | 1,061,062 | 1,013,074 | 1,344,832 | 1,241,758 | 1,118,804 | 1,031,356 |
| 0-4 | 109,644 | 106,012 | 120,665 | 103,559 | 117,208 | 105,454 | 140,193 | 136,286 | 96,783 | 94,081 | 177,253 | 163,451 | 102,425 | 94,198 |
| 5-9 | 96,961 | 105,065 |  | ,709 |  | ,639 | 127,606 | 111,658 | 120,608 | 105,471 | 149,012 | 141,095 | 100,241 | 94,890 |
| 10-14 | 35,867 | 87,378 |  | ,980 |  | ,903 | 110,349 | 106,407 | 103,477 | 99,681 | 129,726 | 109,531 | 118,370 | 99,832 |
| 15-19 | 81,549 | 75,255 |  | 4,237 |  | ,847 | 104,500 | 99,629 | 95,866 | 91,256 | 114,091 | 105, 378 | 102,592 | 94,650 |
| 20-24 | 75,823 | 70,340 |  | 3, 291 |  | ,567 | 89,087 | 83,801 | 79,809 | 74,835 | 112,868 | 101,766 | 98,307 | 88,350 |
| 25-29 | 77,041 | 68,084 |  | , 385 |  | , 204 | 78,758 | 74,326 | 69,697 | 65,560 | 97,930 | 87,146 | 83,345 | 73,734 |
| 30-34 | 69,334 | 69,985 |  | ,531 |  | ,494 | 72,226 | 69,122 | 65,011 | 62,105 | 83,436 | 75,485 | 70,893 | 63,844 |
| 35-39 | 69,472 | 63,074 |  | ,520 |  | ,187 | 68,301 | 66,626 | 63,675 | 61,607 | 73,727 | 68,347 | 64,312 | 59,481 |
| 40-44 | 67,120 | 62,443 |  | ,992 |  | ,115 | 68,826 | 66,919 | 64,691 | 62,863 | 69,410 | 65,478 | 62,609 | 59,010 |
| 45-49 | 59,390 | 59,917 |  | ,502 |  | ,724 | 60,142 | 58,542 | 56,773 | 55,240 | 68,200 | 64,725 | 62,611 | 59,409 |
| 50-54 | 52,192 | 52,534 |  | 6,143 |  | 4,571 | 57,433 | 55,933 | 54,291 | 52,857 | 58,225 | 55,333 | 53,688 | 51,035 |
| 55-59 | 45,841 | 45,358 |  | ,193 |  | ,983 | 52,357 | 51,603 | 50,180 | 43,975 | 54,185 | 51,566 | 50,072 | 47,657 |
| 60-64 | 38,792 | 38,989 |  | ,577 |  | ,766 | 44,051 | 43,206 | 42,135 | 41,320 | 48,443 | 46,416 | 45,154 | 43,265 |
| 65-69 | 33,577 | 31,958 |  | 3,543 |  | 3,079 | 35,605 | 35,126 | 34,433 | 33,969 | 38,822 | 37,579 | 36,657 | 35,481 |
| 70-74 | 24,817 | 26,063 |  | 5,891 |  | ,691 | 27,688 | 27,477. | 27,097 | 26,889 | 29,595 | 28,979 | 28,411 | 27,820 |
| $75+$ | 29,903 | 31,893 |  | , 653 |  | ,493 | 36,926 | 36,753 | 36,536 | 36,365 | 39,909 | 39,483 | 39,117 | 38,700 |
| Adjusted for Census Underenumeration of Children: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Ages | 1,022, 323 | 999,182 | 1,059,314 | 1,046,656 | 1,021,315 | 1,009,526 | 1,181,441 | 1,129,628 | 1,065,475 | 1,017,364 | 1,352,915 | 1,249,211 | 1,123,474 | 1,035,651 |
| Under 5 | 114,644 | 110,846 | 126,167 | 113,509 | 122,552 | 110,263 | 146,586 | 142,500 | 101,196 | 98,371 | 185,336 | 170,904 | 107,095 | 98,493 |

Table 8. Estimates and Projections of the White Female Population, by Age, Oklahoma.

| Age | 1950 | 1955 |  | 196 |  | Year | and Projec | ion Series |  |  |  | 1970 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\overline{1}$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | $2 \longrightarrow$ | 3 | 4 |
| Oklahoma | 1,015,203 | 1,002,220 | 1,069,152 | 1,057,646 | 1,031,938 | 1,020,757 | 1,197,335 | 1,146,269 | 1,084,788 | 1,037,208 | 1,373,480 | 1,270,238 | 1,151,076 | 1,063,057 |
| 0-4 | 105,134 | 100,872 | 114,657 | 103,151 | 111,480 | 100,299 | 133,063 | 129,477 | 91,946 | 89,459 | 168,270 | 155,323 | 97,326 | 89,599 |
| 5-9 | 92,537 | 99,896 |  | 2,776 |  | 9,729 | 120,608 | 105,396 | 113,959 | 99,514 | 140,688 | 133,173 | 94,614 | 89,522 |
| 10-14 | 82,022 | 83,781 |  | 7,988 |  | 3,761 | 106,225 | 102,026 | 99,113 | 95,058 | 124,953 | 104,970 | 113,582 | 95,254 |
| 15-19 | 79,400 | 72,540 |  | 2,591 |  | 7,958 | 104,122 | 98,748 | 94,696 | 89,577 | 114,970 | 105,057 | 102,248 | 93,123 |
| 20-24 | 76,387 | 69,410 |  | 1,652 |  | 6,389 | 89,716 | 84,246 | 79,686 | 74,538 | 116,285 | 103,832 | 99,841 | 8,8,653 |
| 25-29 | 78,188 | 68,587 |  | , 383 |  | 4,408 | 75,896 | 71,833 | 67,163 | 63,383 | 97,239 | 86,689 | 82,105 | 72,757 |
| 30-34 | 71,474 | 70,278 |  | 7,159 |  | , 377 | 69,847 | 67,066 | 63,258 | 60,667 | 78,023 | 71,115 | 66,555 | 60,464 |
| 35-39 | 72,765 | 64,605 |  | 8,219 |  | 5,880 | 67,684 | 65,595 | 62,940 | 60,965 | 70,445 | 65,578 | 61,907 | 57,583 |
| 40-44 | 68,256 | 65,650 |  | 2,439 |  | 0,497 | 68,246 | 66,357 | 64,142 | 62,350 | 67,903 | 64,147 | 61,602 | 58,208 |
| 45-49 | 60,950 | 61,985 |  | 3, 360 |  | 1,534 | 62,203 | 60,542 | 58,710 | 57,123 | 68,258 | 64,765 | 62,661 | 59,474 |
| 50-54 | 52,404 | 55,517 |  | 9,643 |  | 8,085 | 62,744 | 61,200 | 59,472 | 57,989 | 61,794 | 58,748 | 57,014 | 54,205 |
| 55-59 | 46,752 | 47,910 |  | 3,245 |  | 2,104 | 58,640 | 57,437 | 55,969 | 54,806 | 61,922 | 59,214 | 57,577 | 55,052 |
| 60-64 | 39,514 | 42,288 |  | ,528 |  | 4,729 | 51,755 | 50,877 | 49,801 | 48,943 | 57,303 | 55,198 | 53,815 | 51,824 |
| 65-69 | 34,569 | 34,934 |  | 9,101 |  | 8,525 | 43,145 | 42,531 | 41,792 | 41,185 | 49,417 | 47,902 | 46,903 | 45,448 |
| 70-74 | 24,925 | 29,366 |  | 1,073 |  | 0,828 | 35,511 | 35,229 | 34,716 | 34,441 | 39,716 | 38,844 | 38,178 | 37,332 |
| $75+$ | 29,926 | 34,601 | 41,338 |  | 41,154 |  | 47,930 | 47,709 | 47,425 | 47,210 | 56,294 | 55,683 | 55,148 | 54,559 |
| Adjusted for Census Underenumeration of Children: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Ages | 1,019,203 | 1,006,058 | 1,073,514 | 1,061,570 | 1,036,179 | 1,024,573 | 1,202,397 | 1,151,195 | 1,088,286 | 1,040,611 | 1,379,882 | 1,276,147 | 1,154,779 | 1,066,466 |
| Unde: 5 | 109,134 | 104,710 | 119,019 | 107,075 | 115,721 | 104,115 | 138,125 | 134,403 | 95,444 | 92,862 | 174,672 | 161,232 | 101,029 | 93,008 |

Table 9. Estimates and Projections of the Nonwhite Male Population, by Age, Oklahoma.

| Age | Year and Projection Series |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 19501955 |  | 1960 |  |  |  | 1965 |  |  |  |  | 1970 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |
| Oklahoma | 98,232 | 95,062 | 102,741 | 101,366 | 96,655 | 95,415 | 120,501 | 112,169 | 103,117 |  | 95,956 |  | 146,250 | 128,774 | 110,741 | 97,566 |
| 0-4 | 12,815 | 11,755 | 13,846 | 12,471 | 12,732 | 11,492 | 17,825 | 16,491 | 11,516 |  | 10,641 |  | 24,279 | 21,143 | 12,543 | 10,792 |
| 5-9 | 10,896 | 13,798 |  | 13,848 |  | 13,195 | 17,095 | 14,685 | 15,013 |  | 12,908 |  | 22,064 | 19,513 | 13,645 | 12,058 |
| 10-14 | 10,136 | 9,847 |  | 13,343 |  | 12,750 | 14,104 | 13,549 | 12,926 |  | 12,408 |  | 17,339 | 14,291 | 14,648 | 12,090 |
| 15-19 | 8,775 | 8,443 |  | 9,285 |  | 8,628 | 13,534 | 12,712 | 12,175 |  | 11,401 |  | 14,411 | 13,119 | 12,529 | 11,401 |
| 20-24 | 6,796 | 6,862 |  | 7,787 |  | 7,013 | 9,505 | 8,687 | 8,124 |  | 7,381 |  | 13,994 | 12,141 | 11,666 | 10,094 |
| 25-29 | 6,313 | 5,544 |  | 6,317 |  | 5,690 | 7,975 | 7,281 | 6,617 |  | 6,008 |  | 9,796 | 8,253 | 7,770 | 6,525 |
| 30-34 | 5,482 | 5,203 |  | 5,184 |  | 4,808 | 6,333 | 5,874 | 5,318 |  | 4,922 |  | 8,040 | 6,848 | 6,261 | 5,328 |
| 35-39 | 5,845 | 4,493 |  | 4,781 |  | 4,471 | 5,097 | 4,795 | 4,458 |  | 4,190 |  | 6,247 | 5,428 | 4,927 | 4,289 |
| 40-44 | 5,579 | 4,756 |  | 4,102 |  | 3,847 | 4,668 | 4,405 | 4,125 |  | 3,890 |  | 4,995 | 4,447 | 4,137 | 3,688 |
| 45-49 | 5,435 | 4,595 |  | 4,321 |  | 4,085 | 3,950 | 3,749 | 3,521 |  | 3,338 |  | 4,521 | 4,062 | 3,808 | 3,425 |
| 50-54 | 4,625 | 4,488 |  | 4,133 |  | 3,948 | 4,069 | 3,499 | 3,689 |  | 3,528 |  | 3,740 | 3,405 | 3,203 | 2,916 |
| 55-59 | 4,183* | 3,374 |  | 4,001 |  | 3,887 | 3,795 | 3,686 | 3,525 |  | 3,425 |  | 3,755 | 3,501 | 3,312 | 3,084 |
| 60-64 | 3,553* | 3,412 |  | 3,365 |  | 3,289 | 3,573 | 3,495 | 3,395 |  | 3,321 |  | 3,405 | 3,239 | 3,098 | 2,946 |
| 65-69 | 3,026* | 2,768 |  | 2,335 |  | 2,762 | 2,399 | 2,833 | 2,771 |  | 2,700 |  | 3,091 | 2,956 | 2,3.69 | 2,744 |
| 70-74 | 2,109 | 2,239 |  | 2,204 |  | 2,176 | 2,348 | 2,317 | 2,253 |  | 2,229 |  | 2,428 | 2,343 | 2,293 | 2,207 |
| $75+$ | 2,579 | 2,935 |  | 3,389 |  | 3,374 | 3,731 | 3,711 | 3,686 |  | 3,666 |  | 4,145 | 4,085 | 4,032 | 3,979 |
| Adjusted for Census Underenumeration of Children: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Ages | 101,232 | 97,814 | 105,982 | 104,286 | 99,635 | 93,105 | 124,674 | 116,030 | 105,813 |  | 98,447 |  | 151,933 | 133,724 | 113,677 | 100,092 |
| Under 5 | 15,815 | 14,507 | 17,087 | 15,391 | 15,712 | 14,182 | 21,998 | 20,352 | 14,212 |  | 13,132 |  | 29,962 | 26,093 | 15,479 | 13,318 |
| * 1950 populations $55-69$ years of age corrected for misstatement of ages. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 10. Estimates and Projections of the Nonwhite Female Population, by Age, Oklahoma.

| Age | $\overline{1950}$ | 1955 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Oklahoma | 102,593 | 99,370 | 107,266 | 105,60 | 100,866 | 99,689 | 125,720 | 117,082 | 107,774 | 10, 346 | 152,203 | 133,881 | 116,025 | 102,080 |
| 0-4 | 12,865 | 11,770 | 13,212 | 11,906 | 12,039 | 10,852 | 17,141 | 15,697 | 10,063 | 10,022 | 23,317 | 20,118 | 11,911 | 10,118 |
| 5-9 | 10,828 | 13,667 |  | 12,989 | 12,02 | 12,2¢8 | 16,337 | 13,951 | 14,118 | 12,0/8 | 21,24,7 | 18,484 | 12,930 | 11,228 |
| 10-14 | 9,676 | 9,772 |  | 13,374 |  | 12,676 | 13,587 | 12,954 | 12,284 | 11,680 | 16,928 | 13,785 | 14,011 | 11,396 |
| 15-19 | 9,148 | 2,043 |  | 9,352 |  | 8,625 | 14,053 | 13,088 | 12,440 | 11,532 | 14,583 | 13,039 | 12,406 | 11,048 |
| 20-24 | 7,116 | 7,263 |  | 7,483 |  | 6,73? | 9,750 | 2,919 | 8,263 | 7,506 | 14,909 | 12,815 | 12,214 | 10,425 |
| 25-29 | 7,131 | 6,185 |  | 6,782 |  | 6,217 | 7,598 | 7,019 | 6,354 | 5,852 | 9,995 | 8,534 | 7,934 | 6,746 |
| $3 \mathrm{3}-34$ | 6,472 | 5,941 |  | 5,767 |  | 5,398 | 6,740 | 6,318 | 5,211 | 5,442 | 7,565 | 6,560 | 5,957 | 5,167 |
| 35-39 | 6,927 | 5,389 |  | 5,491 |  | 5,145 | 5,685 | 5,354 | 5,021 | 4,720 | 6,661 | 5,870 | 5,412 | 4,773 |
| 40-4.4 | 6,473 | 5,819 |  | 4,994 |  | 4,738 | 5,372 | 5,115 | 4,797 | 4,563 | 5,578 | 5,009 | 4,700 | 4,216 |
| 45-49 | 5,940 | 5,544 |  | 5,390 |  | 5,172 | 4,834 | 4,649 | 4,411 | 4,244 | 5,221 | 4,789 | 4,494 | 4,120 |
| 50-54 | 4,767 | 5,080 |  | 5,097 |  | 4,924 | 5,151 | 4,981 | 4,724 | 4,624 | 4,647 | 4,328 | 4,106 | 3,829 |
| 55-59 | 4,191* | 4,109 |  | 4,643 |  | 4,526 | 4,817 | 1,700 | 4,540 | 4,429 | 4,911 | 4,631 | 4,452 | 4,202 |
| 60-64 | 3,481* | 3,465 |  | 3,671 |  | 3,552 | 4,333 | 4,205 | 4,104 | 3,978 | 4,543 | 4,303 | 4,161 | 3,942 |
| 65-69 | 2,955* | 2,809 |  | 3,20 |  | 2,931 | 3,388 | 3,270 | 3,167 | 3,057 | 4,034 | 3,790 | 3,703 | 3,476 |
| 70-74 | 2,060 | 2,410 |  | 2,410 |  | 2,369 | 2,709 | 2,667 | 2,572 | 2,530 | 3,055 | 2,907 | 2,818 | 2,678 |
| $75+$ | 2,263 | 2,805 |  | 3,571 |  | 3,544 | 4,225 | 4,195 | 4,145 | 4,110 | 5,009 | 4,919 | 4,816 | 4,716 |
| Adjusted for Census Underenumeration of Children: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Ages |  | 101,951 |  | 108,736 |  | 102,220 | 129,717 | 120,743 | 110,331 | 102,683 | 157,641 | 132.573 | 118,803 |  |
| Under 5 | 15,265 | 13,651 | 16,293 | 14,682 | 14,234 | 13,383 | 21,138 | 19,358 | 13,520 | 12,359 | 28,755 | 24,809 | 14,689 | $12,478$ |
| * 1050 nopulations $55-69$ years of ace corrected for misstaterant of ages. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 11. Sex Ratios of Oklahoma's Population, by Age and Race, 1950 and 1970.

| Age | Year and Projection Series |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Whites |  |  |  |  | Nonwhites |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1950 | 1970 |  |  |  | 1950 | 1970 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Ok1ahoma | 100.2 | 97.9 | 97.8 | 97.2 | 97.0 | 95.7 | 96.1 | 96.2 | 95.4 | 95.6 |
| 0-4 | 104.3 | 105.3 | 105.2 | 105.2 | 105.1 | 99.6 | 104.1 | 105.1 | 105.3 | 106.7 |
| 5-9 | 104.8 | 105.9 | 105.9 | 105.9 | 106.0 | 100.6 | 103.8 | 105.6 | 105.5 | 107.4 |
| 10-14 | 104.7 | 103.8 | 104.3 | 104.2 | 104.8 | 104.8 | 102.4 | 103.7 | 104.5 | 106.1 |
| 15-19 | 102.7 | 99.2 | 100.3 | 100.3 | 101.6 | 95.9 | 98.8 | 100.6 | 101.0 | 103.2 |
| 20-24 | 99.3 | 97.1 | 98.0 | 98.5 | 99.7 | 91.6 | 93.9 | 94.7 | 95.5 | 96.8 |
| 25-29 | 98.5 | 100.7 | 100.5 | 101.5 | 101.3 | 88.5 | 98.0 | 96.7 | 97.9 | 96.7 |
| 30-34 | 97.0 | 106.9 | 106.1 | 106.5 | 105.6 | 84.7 | 106.3 | 104.4 | 105.1 | 103.1 |
| 35-39 | 95.5 | 104.7 | 104.2 | 103.9 | 103.3 | 84.4 | 93.8 | 92.5 | 91.0 | 89.9 |
| 40-44 | 98.3 | 102.2 | 102.1 | 101.6 | 101.4 | 86.2 | 89.5 | 88.8 | 88.0 | 87.5 |
| 45-49 | 97.4 | 99.9 | 99.9 | 99.9 | 99.9 | 91.5 | 86.6 | 84.8 | 84.7 | 83.1 |
| 50-54 | 99.6 | 94.2 | 94.2 | 94.2 | 94.2 | 97.0 | 80.5 | 78.7 | 78.0 | 76.2 |
| 55-59 | 98.1 | 87.5 | 87.1 | 37.0 | 86.6 | 99.9 | 76.5 | 75.6 | 74.4 | 73.4 |
| 60-64 | 98.2 | 84.5 | 84.1 | 83.9 | 83.5 | 102.1 | 75.0 | 75.3 | 74.5 | 74.7 |
| 65-69 | 97.1 | 78.6 | 73.4 | 78.2 | 78.1 | 102.4 | 76.6 | 78.0 | 77.5 | 78.9 |
| 70-74 | 99.6 | 74.5 | 74.6 | 74.4 | 74.5 | 106.3 | 79.5 | 80.6 | 81.4 | 82.4 |
| 75+ | 99.9 | 70.9 | 70.9 | 70.9 | 70.9 | 114.0 | 82.8 | 33.0 | 83.7 | 84.4 |

prised over half of the state total. Meanwhile, the farm population shrank by approximately one-half, or to less than a fourth of the state's 1950 total.

It is very unlikely that these long-continuing trends in the residential composition of Oklahoma's population will be arrested or reversed capriciously between now and 1970. Nevertheless, any projections of future Oklahoma urban, rural-nonfarm, and rural-farm populations are likely to be unrealtistic. ${ }^{?}$

Therefore, the figures should be used with caution, and their limitations taken into account.

The projection techniques for the three residential populations assume that the cities and other areas which were urban in 1950 will remain so throughout 1970. ${ }^{8}$ Hence, they make no allowance for the appearance of new urban places or the retrogression of any 1950 urban areas to rural-nonfarm status.

Also, the 1950 definitions of urban and rural-farm residents were used in preparing 1955 estimates and 1960,1965 , and 1970 projections of the three residential populations, thereby making no allowance for future changes in definition. As a result, the projections underestimate the probable size of the future urban population and overstate the prospective rural-nonfarm and rural-farm populations. Since to undertake any corrections in the figures would be too presumptuous, the original residential estimates and projections are maintained.

The residential population estimates and projections herald the following trends:

First, the Oklahoma farm population will diminish steadily, losing 173,000 to 336,000 people, or about 30 to 60 percent, between 1950 and 1970 . Should this

[^8][^9]occur, it may cut the farm population in half, to between 217,000 and 380,000 by 1970 (Table 12). ${ }^{9}$ In that event, the farm population will continue no more than io to 13 percent of the total in 1970. Undoubtedly, its relative share will be even smaller than the projected proportion because the 1960 definition of farms will further whittle the number of farm residents.

With further technological advance, increasing mechanization and efficiency, and rapidly rising productivity of workers, thousands of farms and agricultural job opportunities will perish by $1970 .{ }^{10}$ Hence, it my be necessary, as in the past, for half or more of the farm youth, upon reaching maturity, to drift to towns and cities for employment. ${ }^{11}$

Second, while both will expand, the rural-nonfarm will increase more rapidly, in proportion, than the urban population of Oklahoma (Tables 13 and 14). The rural-nonfarm population will climax the $1950-70$ period with a probable increase of 48 to 108 percent, with its relative share of the total population climbing from 24 percent in 1950 to between 35 and 37 percent in 1970. Meanwhile, urban centers should expect gains of 12 to 33 percent; and their share of the total state population will be 50 to 56 percent in 1970, as compared to 51 percent in 1950.

Third, the white urban population has a much greater prospective growth than the nonwhite. For example, it may increase by 15 to 35 percent between 1950 and 1970, while the colored population may increase by only io percent, become stationary, or decline by as much as 13 percent. The age groups likely to show the largest relative gains in the urban population up to 1970 are those between 10 and 24 and those 55 years old or over.

Fourth, relatively large increases will characterize all age groups in the ruralnonfarm population between 1950 and 1970 , with the greatest proportionate gains in the ages under 35 . However, the colored population will grow at a rate about

Please turn to Page 28

[^10]${ }^{11}$ See James 1). Tarser, Oklahoma Farm Nanpotver Necds, Oklahoma AES Butl. No. B-505, April, 1958, ( $1 /$. $8-15$.

Table 12. Estimates and Projections of the Rural-Farm Population, by Age, Oklahoma

| Age | 1950 | 1955 |  |  |  |  | Year | Projecti | Series |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Oklahoma | 553,100 | 432,376 | 389,040 | 323,623 | 357,15? | 352, 4 4 | 321,249 | 346,540 | 309,830 | 279,778 | 379,912 | 315,842 | 264,623 | 217,400 |
| 0-4 | 59,578 | 19,54? | 52,655 | 47,236 | <. , 395 | 4,4,29] | 52,769 | 4, 4,536 | 32,253 | 30,794 | 57,251 | 47,218 | 27,537 | 22,373 |
| 5-9 | 62,140 | 51,812 |  |  |  | 133 | 53,839 | 4,5,571 | 47,618 | 40,235 | 54,023 | 47,760 | 31,586 | 27,855 |
| ] $0-14$ | 62,327 | 44,507 |  |  |  | 285 | 42,397 | 38,737 | 36,440 | 33,037 | 47,590 | 36,720 | 38,362 | 29,312 |
| 15-19 | 52,935 | 39,236 |  |  |  | 387 | 33,48.4 | 29,907 | 26,999 | 23,698 | 34,008 | 27,603 | 25,959 | 20,663 |
| 20-24 | 30,037 | 30,508 |  |  |  |  | 25,346 | 21,986 | 19,285 | 16,406 | 26,291 | 20,211 | 18,201 | 13,469 |
| 25-29 | 30,693 | ]',939 |  |  |  |  | 22,735 | 20,037 | 17,082 | 14,812 | 21,016 | 15,956 | 13,956 | 10,228 |
| 30-34 | 32,322 | 21,428 |  |  |  | 687 | 20,143 | 12,206 | 15,804 | 14,148 | 20,369 | 16,174 | 13,763 | 10,658 |
| 35-30 | 37,1¢9 | 21,183 |  |  |  |  | 14,260 | 12,966 | 11,605 | 10,477 | 18,836 | 15,486 | 13,431 | 10,872 |
| 40-44 | 36,761 | 2f,318 |  |  |  |  | 17,055 | 15,669 | 14,171 | 12,947 | 13,287 | 11,068 | 9,907 | 8,149 |
| 45-49 | 33,548 | 2e,004 |  |  |  |  | 19,175 | 17,73? | 16,229 | 14,927 | 15,634 | 13,238 | 11,765 | 10,030 |
| 50-54 | 20,310 | 25,157 |  |  |  |  | 21,477 | 20, $0 \times 7$ | 18,406 | 17,075 | 16,971 | 14,594 | 13,337 | 11,363 |
| 55-59 | 26,50* | 21,258 |  |  |  |  | 19,338 | 1,262 | 16,9,41 | 15,949 | 17,816 | 15,650 | 14,377 | 12,548 |
| 60-64 | 21,614* | 17,862 |  |  |  | 665 | 14,924 | 14,267 | 13,425 | 12,805 | 14,462 | 13,92\% | 12,079 | 10,834 |
| 65-69 | 16,154* | 13,153 |  |  |  |  | 10,190 | 9,850 | 9,384 | 9,058 | 9,849 | 9,084 | 8,540 | 7,851 |
| 70-74 | 10,347 | -, 1774 |  |  |  | 702 | 6,990 | 6,859 | 6,625 | 6,514 | 6,155 | 5,843 | 5,565 | 5,268 |
| 75+ | 11,393 | 9,258 |  |  |  | . 27 | 7,127 | 7,057 | 6,963 | 6,902 | 6,354 | 6,209 | 6,058 | 5,927 |
| Adjusted ior |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Census Underencreration of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Ages | 556,937 | 435,447 | 302,412 | 386,653 | 360,240 | 354,821 | 364,807 | 349,910 | 311,947 | 281,712 | 383,973 | 319,053 | 266,435 | 218,807 |
| Under 5 | 63,415 | 52,620 | 56,027 | 50,268 | 52,483 | 47,064 | 56,327 | 52,797 | 34,970 | 32,728 | 61,312 | 50,429 | 29,349 | 23,780 |
| * 1950 nowwi ite omations 55-69 years of age corrected for misstatement of ages. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 13. Estimates and Projections of the Rural-Nonfarm Population, by Age, Oklahoma.

| Age | 1950 | 1955 | Year and Projection Series |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1960 |  |  |  | 1965 |  |  |  | 1970 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Oklahoma | 540,777 | 558,831 | 658,073 | 649,431 | 627,845 | 619,587 | 844,067 | 795,941 | 740,562 | 695,928 | 1,124,674 | 1,013,850 | 894,014 | 798,386 |
| 0-4 | 58,606 | 53,317 | 85,794 | 77,152 | 82,260 | 74,002 | 113,578 | 108,929 | 77,438 | 74,144 | 161,489 | 146,884 | 91,367 | 81,891 |
| 5-9 | 54,737 | 61,756 |  | 027 |  |  | 106,615 | 91,832 | 97,378 | 83,963 | 142,754 | 131,503 | 93,401 | 85,583 |
| 10-14 | 48,865 | 53,174 |  | 346 |  |  | 73,408 | 69,790 | 66,383 | 62,890 | 123,845 | 101,989 | 108,789 | 88,781 |
| 15-19 | 45,372 | 44,602 |  | , |  |  | 72,969 | 63,361 | 65,106 | 61,390 | 83, 354 | 74,962 | 71,115 | 63,476 |
| 20-24 | 36,639 | 39,514 |  | 110 |  |  | 58,778 | 54,870 | 51,688 | 48,016 | 86,372 | 76,089 | 71,764 | 62,819 |
| 25-29 | 35,926 | 33,942 |  | 724 |  |  | 53,480 | 49,824 | 46,319 | 42,869 | 76,193 | 66,093 | 62,368 | 53,402 |
| 30-34 | 33,686 | 35,045 |  | 329 |  |  | 51,961 | 48,750 | 45,165 | 42,068 | 69,140 | 60,629 | 56,458 | 48,662 |
| 35-39 | 34,456 | 31,887 |  | 219 |  |  | 45,984 | 43,404 | 40,346 | 37,833 | 61,415 | 55,010 | 51,056 | 44,950 |
| 40-44 | 32,619 | 32,340 |  | 400 |  |  | 44,062 | 41,951 | 39,379 | 37,317 | 53,299 | 48,312 | 45,034 | 40,195 |
| 45-49 | 29,506 | 31,015 |  | 483 |  |  | 37,342 | 35,778 | 33,973 | 32,446 | 50,367 | 46,196 | 43,519 | 39,425 |
| 50-54 | 25,515 | 28,413 |  | 167 |  |  | 36,658 | 35,347 | 33,815 | 32,524 | 41,634 | 38,516 | 36,613 | 33,579 |
| 55-59 | 23,964* | 25,128 |  | 632 |  |  | 35,088 | 34,030 | 32,741 | 31,697 | 40,310 | 37,718 | 36,070 | 33,562 |
| 60-64 | 21,636* | 23,730 |  |  |  |  | 31,772 | 31,016 | 30,041 | 29,293 | 37,913 | 35,880 | 34,494 | 32,522 |
| 65-69 | 21,340* | 21,120 |  | 33 |  |  | 26,919 | 26,429 | 25,782 | 25,302 | 32,949 | 31,561 | 30,539 | 29,202 |
| 70-74 | 17,053 | 19,177 |  |  |  |  | 22,708 | 22,518 | 22,094 | 21,893 | 25,810 | 25,094 | 24,459 | 23,772 |
| $75+$ | 20,857 | 24,671 |  |  |  |  | 32,745 | 32,612 | 32,414 | 32,283 | 37,830 | 37,414 | 36,968 | 36,565 |
| Adjusted for Census Underenumeration of Children: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Ages | 544,471 | 562,135 | 663,563 | 654,373 | 633,008 | 624,239 | 851,697 | 803,143 | 745,645 | 700,711 | 1,136,008 | 1,024,057 | 900,235 | 803,867 |
| Under 5 | 62,300 | 56,621 | 91,284 | 32,094 | 87,423 | 78,654 | 121,208 | 116,131 | 82,521 | 78,927 | 172,323 | 157,091 | 97,588 | 87,372 |
| *1950 nonwhite populations 55-69 years of age corrected for misstatement of ages. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 14. Estimates and Projections of the Urban Population, by Age, Oklahoma.

| Age | 1950 | 1955 | 1960 |  |  |  | 1965 |  |  |  | 1970 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Oklahoma | 1,139,474 | 1,199,793 | 1,285,858 | 1,273,624 | 1,260,933 | 1,243,943 | 1,393,288 | 1,356,344 | 1,306,349 | 1,270,878 | 1,512,179 | 1,444,959 | 1,338,009 | 1,278,273 |
| 0-4 | 122,274 | 126,843 | 123,931 | 111,697 | 121,794 | 109,804 | 141,875 | 139,486 | 100,917 | 99,265 | 174,379 | 165,933 | 105,301 | 100,443 |
| 5-9 | 94,036 | 118,828 |  | , 320 |  | ,648 | 121,192 | 108,287 | 118,202 | 105,743 | 136,234 | 133,002 | 96,443 | 94,260 |
| 10-14 | 76,509 | 93,097 |  | ,496 |  | 6,290 | 128,460 | 126,409 | 124,977 | 122,909 | 117,511 | 103,868 | 113,460 | 100,479 |
| 15-19 | 80,665 | 80,443 |  | , 163 |  | 6,596 | 129,756 | 125,409 | 123,072 | 118,678 | 140,693 | 134,028 | 132,701 | 126,083 |
| 20-24 | 99,746 | 83,853 |  | 8,827 |  | 4,723 | 113,934 | 108,797 | 104,909 | 99,838 | 145, 393 | 134,254 | 132,063 | 121,234 |
| 25-29 | 102,054 | 95,519 |  | 8,639 |  | 3,148 | 94,012 | 90,598 | 86,430 | 83,122 | 117,751 | 108,573 | 104,830 | 96,132 |
| 30-34 | 86,754 | 94,934 |  | 2,024 |  | ,708 | 83,042 | 81,424 | 78,429 | 76,920 | 87,555 | 83,205 | 79,445 | 75,483 |
| 35-39 | 83,365 | 81,490 |  | ,528 |  | , 285 | 87,023 | 86,000 | 84,143 | 83,172 | 76,829 | 74,727 | 72,071 | 70,304 |
| 40-44 | 78,048 | 78,010 |  | ,267 |  | , 331 | 85,995 | 85,176 | 84,205 | 83,402 | 81,300 | 79,701 | 78,107 | 76,778 |
| 45-49 | 68,661 | 73,022 |  | 4,908 |  | 4,152 | 74,612 | 73,972 | 73,213 | 72,578 | 80,199 | 78,907 | 78,090 | 76,973 |
| 50-54 | 59,163 | 64,049 |  | 9,592 |  | ,945 | 71,262 | 70,659 | 70,015 | 69,399 | 69,801 | 68,704 | 68,061 | 67,043 |
| 55-59 | 50,358* | 54,865 |  | ,578 |  | ,033 | 65,683 | 65,134 | 64,532 | 63,989 | 66,647 | 65,544 | 64,966 | 63,885 |
| 60-64 | 42,090* | 46,562 |  | 1,672 |  | 1,190 | 57,016 | 56,500 | 55,969 | 55,464 | 61,319 | 60,248 | 59,655 | 58,621 |
| 65-69 | 36,633* | 38,196 |  | 3,056 |  | 2,650 | 47,928 | 47,481 | 46,997 | 46,551 | 52,566 | 51,582 | 51,053 | 50,096 |
| 70-74 | 26,697 | 31,777 |  | 3,986 |  | 3,773 | 38,553 | 38,313 | 37,924 | 37,682 | 42,829 | 42,136 | 41,676 | 40,997 |
| $75+$ | 32,421 | 38,305 |  | 5,371 |  | , 667 | 52,940 | 52,699 | 52,415 | 52,166 | 61,173 | 60,547 | 60,087 | 59,462 |
| Adjusted for Census Underenumeration of Children: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Ages | 1,146,943 | 1,207,423 | 1,293,182 | 1,280,222 | 1,268,052 | 1,255,364 | 1,401,725 | 1,364,543 | 1,312,313 | 1,276,682 | 1,522,390 | 1,454,544 | 1,344,063 | 1,283,975 |
| Under 5 | 129,743 | 134,473 | 131,255 | 118,295 | 128,913 | 116,225 | 150,312 | 147,685 | 106,881 | 105,069 | 184,590 | 175,518 | 111,355 | 106,145 |

twice that for whites. Also, the nonwhites probably will constitute between 12 and 13 percent of the rural-nonfarm population in 1970, compared to only 9 percent in 1950.

Fifth, both the rural-farm white and nonwhite populations will dwindle between 1950 and 1970, producing an inconsequential change in the racial composition of the farming areas in the state. Furthermore, substantial losses will stifle growth among both races, in every age group. The prospective shrinkage is highest among those 35 to 49 years of age, where extensive migration is likely to drain off 50 to 80 percent of the farm population by 1970.

## Projected School and College Enrollments

Future expansions in the Oklahoma college and school age population will vastly augment current enrollments. For example, Oklahoma colleges may have between 82,000 and 103,000 students in 1970 , indicating an increase of 39,000 to 60,000 collegiate students, or 89 to 137 percent, since $1950 .{ }^{12}$ If these projections materialize, enrollments in Oklahoma colleges will approximately double between 1950 and 1970. Thus, Oklahoma is on the threshold of a college enrollment boom; and the end is nowhere in sight.

Moreover, the 1970 projections indicate that between 343,000 and 508,000 children will be attending Oklahoma elementary schools, a gain of from 19,000 to 184,000 pupils between 1950 and 1970 . Also, Oklahoma high school enrollments probably will be from 18,000 to 49,000 larger in 1970 than in 1950 , giving a possible total of 131,000 to 161,000 students in 1970. ${ }^{13}$ Therefore, the secondary school enrollment probably will increase between 16 and 43 percent during i95070.

[^11][^12]
## Projected Labor Force

The number of persons in Oklahoma's labor force was approximately 8,000 fewer in 1950 than in $194^{\circ}$, occasioned by a shrinking of numbers of male workers. While the number of males decreased by nearly 47,000 during the decade, almost 39,000 female newcomers entered the working force.

Between 1950 and 1955, the state's working population increased by over 38,000 persons, with more than 85 percent of the increment being attributable to the entry of women into the labor market (Table 15). ${ }^{14}$

The 1960 projections show a probable labor force of 827,000 to 873,000 persons. Thereafter, the number of workers will rise. By 1970, the labor force should number between 871,000 and $1,096,000$ persons, compiling probable increases of 76,000 to 301,000 workers, or io to 38 percent, between 1950 and 1970 (Table 15).

Larger and larger numbers of Oklahoma women have sought employment outside the home. Women's propensity to pursue careers will continue to increase as new job opportunities for them appear, especially in the growing service and related industries. Consequently, the projections indicate a much greater relative expansion in the female than in the male labor force between 1950 and 1970. During this interval, the number of female workers probably will climb by 23 to 76 percent, whereas the male labor force is expected to ascend by not more than 3 to 29 percent.

Three current manpower trends are simply a prelude to the oncoming events:

First, the labor force participation rates of school age youths, particularly among males $18-24$ years of age, will decline as the proportion attending high schools and colleges increases. Protracted periods of education and training will

[^13]Table 15. Estimates and Projections of the Labor Force, by Age and Sex, Oklahoma.

| Age and 1950Sex | 1955 | Year and Projection Series |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1960 |  |  |  | 1965 |  |  |  | 1970 |  |  |  |
|  |  | $11 /$ | 2 2/ | 3 3/ | 4 4/ | $11 /$ | $22 /$ | 3 3/ | $44 /$ | $11 /$ | $22 /$ | 3 3/ | 4 4/ |
| Total, 14 Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| and Over------794,935 Males, 14 Years | 833,338 | 861,652 | 873,302 | 826,733 | 338,124 | 947,997 | 970,147 | 840,197 | 860,518 | 1,064,479 | 1,096,434 | 871,424 | 898,669 |
| and Over-----599,725 | 605,347 | 624,888 | 619,583 | 599,390 | 594,249 | 687,432 | 675,099 | 608,660 | 597,318 | 773,241 | 753,376 | 632,324 | 614,829 |
| 14-19 39,360 | 45,286 | 50,729 | 48,083 | 43,064 | 45,557 | 63,172 | 56,485 | 55,276 | 49,425 | 69,781 | 59,322 | 57,314 | 48,723 |
| 20-24 64,420 | 66,008 | 69,322 | 68,268 | 64,621 | 63,638 | 84,296 | 81,930 | 70,295 | 68,321 | 108,467 | 103,773 | 84,170 | 80,527 |
| 25-34 143,270 | 141,822 | 141,441 | 141,887 | 133,607 | 134,027 | 157,523 | 158,350 | 132,081 | 132,774 | 189,840 | 191,433 | 142,408 | 143,603 |
| 35-44 135,475 | 129,510 | 132,998 | 133,551 | 123,409 | 128,943 | 141,644 | 142,823 | 127,381 | 128,441 | 148,358 | 150,056 | 121,536 | 122,927 |
| 45-54 109,365 | 114,242 | 116,653 | 117,398 | 113,103 | 113,830 | 118,058 | 119,314 | 108,065 | 109,215 | 126,605 | 128,625 | 109,778 | 111,530 |
| 55-64 74,425 | 77,247 | 31,043 | 82,004 | 79,179 | 80,118 | 87,905 | 89,990 | 81,806 | 83,746 | 92,551 | 95,625 | 81,731 | 84,445 |
| $65+33,410$ | 31,232 | 32,702 | 28,397 | 32,402 | 28,136 | 34,834 | 26,207 | 33,756 | 25,396 | 37,639 | 24,542 | 35,387 | 23,074 |
| Female, 14 Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| and Over------195,210 | 227,991 | 236,764 | 253,714 | 227,393 | 243,875 | 260,565 | 295,048 | 231,537 | 263,200 | 291,238 | 343,058 | 239,100 | 283,840 |
| 14-19 16,800 | 20,473 | 23,484 | 22,806 | 22,145 | 21,509 | 29,515 | 28,238 | 25,411 | 24,312 | 32,890 | 30,384 | 26,359 | 24,350 |
| 20-24 26,810 | 25,915 | 26,748 | 27,143 | 24,884 | 25,252 | 33,620 | 34,415 | 27,731 | 28,387 | 44,344 | 45,131 | 33,488 | 34,083 |
| 25-34 41,430 | 41,975 | 41,169 | 42,354 | 39,031 | 40,154 | 44,503 | 47,064 | 37,626 | 39,791 | 53,605 | 58,618 | 40,347 | 44,121 |
| 35-44 43, 090 | 52,199 | 52,082 | 55,328 | 50,280 | 53,414 | 54,238 | 60,853 | 48,929 | 54,896 | 55,567 | 65,355 | 46,044 | 54,155 |
| 45-54 37,160 | 50,994 | 53,129 | 60,071 | 51,627 | 58,372 | 53,703 | 67,466 | 49,344 | 61,990 | 55,688 | 75,277 | 48,408 | 65,436 |
| 55-64 19,665 | 28,843 | 31,594 | 36,731 | 30,949 | 35,934 | 35,266 | 45,786 | 33,086 | 42,956 | 37,960 | 54,431 | 33,931 | 48,653 |
| $65+5,255$ | 7,592 | 8,558 | 9,281 | 8,474 | 9,190 | 9,720 | 11,226 | 9,410 | 10,868 | 11,184 | 13,862 | 10,523 | 13,042 |

1/ Projected Oklahoma labor force participation rates "IV" multiplied by projected population series 1 . Labor force projection IV assumes a continuation of the 1955 annual average rates without change to 1970.
2/ Projected Oklahoma labor force participation rates "IF'multiplied by projected population series 1 . Labor force projection II, in general, assumes an extension of the rate of change in the annual average labor force participation rates during 1950 to 1955.
3/ Projected Oklahoma labor force participation rates "IV 'multiplied by projected population series 4.
4/ Projected Oklahoma labor force participation rates'II'multiplied by projected population series 4.
delay the entry of young people into vocations, thus curtailing the supply of young potential workers.

Second, the proportions of men 65 years old and over in the labor force will continue to wane with increased retirement at lower ages. Restricted selfemployment opportunities and the extension of Social Security benefits will accelerate the withdrawal of older workers from labor force activity.

Third, the labor force participation rates of females, especially of married women 35 years of age and over, will rise as their inclination to join the labor force increases.

## Probable Geographic Distribution of Oklahoma's Future Population

Although the foregoing figures presage a gradual, but continual, enlargement in Oklahoma's total population from 1955 to 1970, not all 13 state economic areas will share equally in these gains. A widespread population reshuffle within the state is likely, owing to a marked dispersion from certain areas and a pilingup in others. Thus, the state's population will be more unevenly distributed in


Figure 1. Oklahoma economic areas.

Oklahoma state economic areas, 1950. By 1970, Areas A and B, Tulsa and Oklahoma counties, may contain as much as $1 / 4$ of the state's population.

1970 than in 1950. The projections suggest three distinct configurations. The most conspicuous, yet diverse, geographical redistribution will develop in five areas: $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, 6,7 \mathrm{~b}$, and 9 .

First, Areas A and B, embracing Tulsa and Oklahoma Counties, respectively, will experience a surging population expansion. Area B, Oklahoma County, the most populous in the state, may expect increases of 270,000 to 428,000 people, or 83 to 132 percent, between 1950 and 1970 (Table 16). Meanwhile, spiraling growth will boost the population of Area A, Tulsa County, by 165,000 to 255,000 persons, involving gains of 66 to 102 percent.

Second, depopulation is imminent in Areas 6,7 b and 9, with each of the first two facing losses of between 10 and 45 percent during 1950-70. In the meantime, emigration will trim the total population of Area 9 by 4 to $3^{8}$ percent.

Third, future trends in the total population of eight economic areas, $\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{2}$, 3, 4, 5, 7a, 8a, and 8b, are indefinite or problematical. Projection Series 3 and 4 intimate forthcoming losses between 1950 and 1970; at least one of the two series, however, portends prospective gains (Table 16).

## Characteristics of the Future Population

## Metropolitan Economic Areas $A$ and $B$

Total Population. Natural increase (excess of births over deaths) probably will supply around 55 percent and migration about 45 percent of the demographic changes expected for Economic Areas A and B during 1950-70. The very rapid population growth imminent in these two areas will account for virtually all of the projected gains for the state, more than offsetting almost certain declines in Areas $6,7 \mathrm{~b}$, and 9 , and possible losses accruing to the remaining eight economic areas.

As a result of phenomenal growth, the density of the population in Oklahoma and Tulsa Counties is likely to double, rising from 459 persons per square mile in 1950 to between 841 and 1,062 persons in 1970 in Oklahoma County, and from 440 to between 728 and 887 , for corresponding dates, in Tulsa County. Moreover, the proportion of Oklahoma's population residing in Areas A and B will rise sharply, climbing from 26 percent in 1950 to between 42 and 44 percent in 1970. Tulsa County's share of the total population will go from

Table 16. Estimates and Projections of the Total Population, by State Economic Area, Oklahoma.


II percent in 1950 to between 17 and 18 percent in 1970; Oklahoma County's share will jump from 15 percent in 1950 to either 25 or 26 percent in 1970.

Composition of the Population. By 1970, both Oklahoma and Tulsa Counties will have rapid population gains at all ages, the highest proportionate increases coming at ages $10-24$ and 60 years and over. ${ }^{15}$

Special attention is focused on the following six projected changes during the 20-year interval:

First, the number of children of preschool ages (under 5 years) will be about 35 to 200 percent greater in 1970 than in 1950 in Areas A and B.

Second, both areas will have exceptionally large influxes in their elementary and high school populations, with the latter school age group increasing at a somewhat higher rate than the former (Tables 17 and 18). In Oklahoma County, for instance, the number of elementary and high school youths may treble between 1950 and 1970. ${ }^{16}$

Third, the population in the economically active ages will expand by 65 to 90 percent in Oklahoma County and between 50 and 70 percent in Tulsa County.

Fourth, persons 65 years of age and over will be more than twice as numerous in 1970 as in 1950. Tulsa County's aged population will rise by about 125 to 135 percent; and in Oklahoma County the gains will approach 115 to 125 percent. Moreover, in 1950, these two counties contained less than one-fifth of Oklahoma's "old age" population, but by 1970, the proportion will be about onethird.

Fifth, in these counties, white population gains between 1950 and 1970 will outstrip those of nonwhites (Tables 19-22).

Sixth, Table 25 presages a fading farm population, with losses of around 20 to 60 percent, in Oklahoma and Tulsa Counties during the 1950-70 era. And, their probable rural non-farm population expansion far transcends that of the cities (Tables 23 and 24). This amounts to mushrooming suburban communities, by
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[^14][^15]Table 17. Estimates and Projections of Population 5-13 Years of Age, by State Economic Area, Oklahoma

| Year and Projection Series | Economic Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7a | 7 b | 8a | 8b | 9 | A | B |
| 1940 | 19,429 | 34,037 | 32,894 | 48,710 | 43,041 | 43,718 | 24,469 | 15,651 | 39,274 | 11,557 | 37,497 | 27,896 | 35,382 |
| 1950 | 17,237 | 30,773 | 28,483 | 41,991 | 35,022 | 28,939 | 18,516 | 10,415 | 32,416 | 9;443 | 27,600 | 35,506 | 45,298 |
| 1955 | 17,672 | 33,016 | 28,202 | 43,166 | 37,051 | 25,732 | 17,778 | 8,888 | 31,655 | 8,969 | 24,621 | 47,625 | 63,386 |
| 1960 1 | 18,042 | 36,101 | 28,462 | 44,667 | 38,723 | 23,785 | 18,998 | 7,885 | 29,601 | 8,626 | 22,092 | 59,737 | 85,820 |
|  | 18,044 | 36,104 | 28,467 | 44,671 | 38,727 | 23,788 | 19,000 | 7,885 | 29,603 | 8,627 | 22,095 | 59,743 | 85,826 |
|  | 17,445 | 35,263 | 27,263 | 42,962 | 37,434 | 22,000 | 17,954 | 7,225 | 28,192 | 8,251 | 20,602 | 58,916 | 83,983 |
|  | 17,446 | 35,265 | 27,265 | 42,968 | 37,437 | 22,002 | 17,956 | 7,226 | 28,195 | 8,252 | 20,606 | 58,920 | 83,993 |
| 1965 [ 1 | 20,038 | 39,702 | 30,402 | 48,121 | 40,984 | 24,677 | 20,211 | 8,744 | 33,345 | 9,968 | 24,497 | 70,125 | 107,129 |
|  | 18,204 | 36,501 | 27,393 | 43,601 | 37,335 | 21,564 | 18,020 | 7,539 | 29,849 | 8,957 | 21,578 | 65,188 | 98,348 |
|  | 18,874 | 37,917 | 28,164 | 44,723 | 38,292 | 21,575 | 18,359 | 7,491 | 30,352 | 9,094 | 21,732 | 68,116 | 102,543 |
|  | 17,126 | 34,850 | 25, 343 | 40,455 | 34,860 | 18,751 | 16,317 | 6,408 | 27,109 | 8,160 | 19,052 | 63,331 | 94,116 |
| 1970 | 22,998 | 44,241 | 33,837 | 53,824 | 44,478 | 27,591 | 21,368 | 10,344 | 40,658 | 12,213 | 29,004 | 87,169 | 139,558 |
|  | 20,719 | 40,388 | 29,970 | 47,810 | 39,723 | 23,102 | 18,571 | 8,480 | 35,422 | 10,675 | 24,675 | 81,053 | 127,577 |
|  | 17,718 | 34,814 | 25,355 | 40,776 | 34,053 | 18,746 | 15,566 | 6,851 | 29,862 | 8,788 | 20,220 | 69,824 | 108,584 |
|  | 15,792 | 31,526 | 22,225 | 35,818 | 30,124 | 15,417 | 13,355 | 5,497 | 25,747 | 7,610 | 16,915 | 64,398 | 98,331 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Projec | Change | School P | ulation, | 0-70 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5,761 | 13,468 | 5,354 | 11,833 | 9,456 | -1,348 | 2,852 | -71 | 8,242 | 2,770 | 1,404 | 51,663 | 94,260 |
|  | 3,482 | 9,615 | 1,487 | 5,819 | 4,701 | -5,837 | 55 | -1,935 | 3,006 | 1,232 | -2,925 | 45,547 | 82,279 |
|  | 481 | 4,041 | -3,128 | -1,215 | -969 | -10,193 | -2,950 | -3,564 | -2,554 | -655 | -7,380 | 34,318 | 63,286 |
|  | -1,445 | 753 | -6,258 | -6,173 | -4,898 | -13,522 | -5,161 | -4,918 | -6,669 | -1,833 | -10,685 | 28,892 | 53,033 |
|  | Percentage Change in Projected School Population, 1950-70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 33.4 | 43.8 | 18.8 | 28.2 | 27.0 | -4.7 | 15.4 | -0.7 | 25.4 | 29.3 | 5.1 | 145.5 | 208.1 |
|  | 20.2 | 31.2 | 5.2 | 13.9 | 13.4 | -20.2 | 0.3 | -18.6 | 9.3 | 13.0 | -10.6 | 128.3 | 3181.6 |
|  | 2.8 | 13.1 | -11.0 | -2.9 | -2.8 | -35.2 | -15.9 | -34.2 | -7.9 | -6.9 | -26.7 | 96.7 | 139.7 |
|  | -8.4 | 2.4 | -22.0 | -14.7 | -14.0 | -46.7 | -27.9 | -47.2 | -20.6 | -19.4 | -38.7 | 81.4 | 117.1 |

Source: 1940 U. S. Census of Population, Characteristics of the Population, Oklahoma, Second Series, Table 21 , and 1950 U. S. Census of Population, General Characteristics, Oklahoma, P-B36, Table 41 .

Table 18. Estimates and Projections of Population 14-17 Years of Age, by State Economic Area, Oklahoma.

|  | ```Year and Projection Series``` |  | Economic Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7a | 7b | 8a | 8b | 9 | A | B |
|  | 1940 |  | 9,028 | 16,370 | 15,648 | 22,798 | 20,711 | 20,517 | 11,695 | 7,112 | 18,462 | 5,160 | 17,271 | 14,040 | 16,260 |
|  | 1950 |  | 7,012 | 12,475 | 11,262 | 17,780 | 14,900 | 11,870 | 7,767 | 4,453 | 13,560 | 3,968 | 11,615 | 13,268 | 16,775 |
| . ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | 1955 |  | 6,400 | 11,725 | 9,759 | 15,513 | 14,269 | 9,139 | 6,245 | 3,372 | 11,169 | 3,299 | 8,955 | 15,619 | 20,545 |
| $\pm$ | 1960 | 1 | 6,930 | 12,945 | 10,740 | 17,162 | 15,500 | 9,288 | 6,609 | 3,271 | 11,989 | 3,422 | 9,070 | 21,777 | 29,333 |
| $\cdots$ |  | 2 | 6,928 | 12,942 | 10,735 | 17,158 | 15,496 | 9,285 | 6,607 | 3,271 | 11,987 | 3,421 | 9,067 | 21,771 | 29,327 |
| $+$ |  | 3 | 6,612 | 12,489 | 9,995 | 16,371 | 15,138 | 8,296 | 6,073 | 2,931 | 11,110 | 3,176 | 8,174 | 21,191 | 28,324 |
| $\stackrel{C}{0}$ |  | 4 | 6,612 | 12,487 | 9,993 | 16,366 | 15,135 | 8,294 | 6,071 | 2,930 | 11,107 | 3,175 | 8,169 | 21,187 | 28,313 |
| . | 1965 | 1 | 7,835 | 15,335 | 12,030 | 19,224 | 17,325 | 10,194 | 7,715 | 3,430 | 13,116 | 3,740 | 9,632 | 29,736 | 43,380 |
| ¢ |  | 2 | 7,530 | 14,883 | 11,295 | 18,467 | 17,004 | 9,245 | 7,174 | 3,121 | 12,259 | 3,499 | 8,799 | 29,092 | 42,125 |
| $\bigcirc$ |  | 3 | 7,210 | 14,396 | 10,695 | 17,697 | 16,389 | 8,441 | 6,689 | 2,840 | 11,572 | 3,317 | 8,109 | 28,435 | 40,980 |
| บ |  | 4 | 6,916 | 13,958 | 9,997 | 16,966 | 16,082 | 7,565 | 6,181 | 2,556 | 10,755 | 3,083 | 7,336 | 27,802 | 39,753 |
| 0 | 1970 | 1 | 8,042 | 16,306 | 12,282 | 19,884 | 17,652 | 9,697 | 8,337 | 3,288 | 12,643 | 3,786 | 9,214 | 34,626 | 54,556 |
| 5 |  | 2 | 7,191 | 14,885 | 10,617 | 17,815 | 16,261 | 7,850 | 7,049 | 2,655 | 10,863 | 3,264 | 7,581 | 32, 235 | 50,092 |
| $\pm$ |  | 3 | 7,326 | 15,240 | 10,733 | 17,896 | 16,361 | 7,709 | 7,040 | 2,576 | 10,896 | 3,280 | 7,505 | 33,190 | 51,154 |
| 3 |  | 4 | 6,527 | 13,892 | 9,226 | 15,979 | 15,065 | 6,131 | 5,891 | 2,047 | 9,294 | 2,809 | 6,088 | 30,887 | 46,913 |
| ¢ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Proje | ed Change | n School | opulation, | 950-70 |  |  |  |  |
| $<$ |  | 1 | 1,030 | 3,831 | 1,020 | 2,104 | 2,752 | -2,173 | 570 | -1,165 | -917 | -182 | -2,401 | 21,358 | 37,781 |
|  |  | 2 | 179 | 2,410 | -645 | 35 | 1,361 | -4,020 | -718 | -1,798 | -2,697 | -704 | -4,034 | 18,967 | 33,317 |
|  |  | 3 | 314 | 2,765 | -529 | 116 | 1,461 | -4,161 | -727 | -1,877 | -2,664 | -688 | -4,110 | 19,922 | 34,379 |
| ${ }_{0}$ |  | 4 | -485 | 1,417 | -2,036 | -1,801 | 165 | -5,739 | -1,876 | -2,406 | -4,266 | -1,159 | -5,527 | 17,619 | 30,138 |
| $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | ercentage | hange in | ojected S | hool Popul | ion, 1950 |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ |  | 1 | 14.7 | 30.7 | 9.1 | 11.8 | 18.5 | -18.3 | 7.3 | -26.2 | -6.8 | -4.6 | -20.7 | 161.0 | 225.2 |
| $\bigcirc$ |  | 2 | 2.6 | 19.3 | -5.7 | 0.2 | 9.1 | -33.9 | -9.2 | -40.4 | -19.9 | -17.7 | -34.7 | 143.0 | 198.6 |
|  |  | 3 | 4.5 | 22.2 | -4.7 | 0.7 | 9.8 | -35.1 | -9.4 | -42.2 | -19.6 | -17.3 | -35.4 | 150.2 | 204.9 |
|  |  | 4 | -6.9 | 11.4 | -18.1 | -10.1 | 1.1 | -48.3 | -24.2 | -54.0 | -31.5 | -29.2 | -47.6 | 132.8 | 179.7 |

Source: 1940 U.S. Census of Population, Characteristics of the Population, Oklahoma, Second Series, Table 21 , and 1950 U. S. Census of Population, General Characteristics, Oklahoma, P-B36, Table 41.

Table 19. Estimates and Projections of the thite Fiale Population, by State Fconomic Area, Oklahoma,


Table 20. Estimates and Projections of the White Female Population, by State Economic Area, Oklahoma.

| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Year and } \\ \text { Projection } & \text { Total }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Series |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7a | 7b | 8 a | 8b | 9 | A | B |
| 1950 |  | 1,015,203 | 50,846 | 94,340 | 79,344 | 113,914 | 106,798 | 69,954 | 51,758 | 25,241 | 71,456 | 19,627 | 61,578 | 117,306 | 153,041 |
| 1955 |  | 1,002,220 | 47,751 | 91,770 | 75,103 | 107,566 | 101,335 | 59,571 | 48,043 | 21,492 | 66,491 | 18,204 | 53,666 | 133,361 | 177,867 |
| 1960 | 1 | 1,069,152 | 48,752 | 94,809 | 76,127 | 109,226 | 102,822 | 56,842 | 47,926 | 20,697 | 67,859 | 18,648 | 52,870 | 156,888 | 215,686 |
|  | 2 | 1,057,646 | 48,209 | 93,813 | 75,339 | 108,077 | 101,799 | 56,296 | 47,436 | 20,471 | 67,092 | 18,427 | 52,272 | 155,326 | 213,089 |
|  | 3 | 1,031,938 | 46,931 | 92,243 | 73,426 | 105,073 | 99,309 | 52,935 | 45,769 | 19,348 | 65,159 | 17,899 | 49,612 | 153,711 | 210,523 |
|  | 4 | 1,020,757 | 46,402 | 91,267 | 72,664 | 103,946 | 98,317 | 52,417 | 45,296 | 19,135 | 64,414 | 17,681 | 49,043 | 152,186 | 207,989 |
| 1965 | 1 | 1,197,335 | 51,929 | 100,891 | 30,278 | 115,633 | 108,114 | 58,131 | 50,089 | 21,313 | 72,346 | 19,997 | 55,466 | 190,367 | 272,781 |
|  | 2 | 1,146,269 | 49,539 | 97,251 | 76,778 | 110,296 | 103,637 | 53,937 | 47,464 | 19,820 | 68,858 | 19,008 | 51,732 | 184,812 | 263,137 |
|  | 3 | 1,084,786 | 46,748 | 92,896 | 72,641 | 104,041 | 98,397 | 49,284 | 44,444 | 18,130 | 64,740 | 17,820 | 47,469 | 177,426 | 250,752 |
|  | 4 | 1,037,208 | 44,501 | 89,427 | 69,355 | 99,031 | 94,207 | 45,524 | 42,018 | 16,796 | 61,499 | 16,907 | 44,106 | 172,143 | 241,694 |
| 1970 | 1 | 1,373,480 | 55,874 | 108,548 | 85,544 | 123,608 | 114,565 | 59,947 | 52,930 | 22,110 | 77,851 | 21,662 | 58,676 | 236,016 | 356,149 |
|  | 2 | 1,270,238 | 51,340 | 101,797 | 78,900 | 113,433 | 106,179 | 51,968 | 47,869 | 19,241 | 71,134 | 19,714 | 51,427 | 223,745 | 333,491 |
|  | 3 | 1,151,070 | 46,336 | 93,167 | 71,512 | 102,478 | 97,007 | 45,559 | 42, 594 | 16,846 | 63,891 | 17,602 | 45,042 | 206,077 | 302,665 |
|  | 4 | 1,063,057 | 42,407 | 87,162 | 65,790 | 93,730 | 39,714 | 39,208 | 38,672 | 14,596 | 58,210 | 15,992 | 39,261 | 195,196 | 283,119 |
|  |  |  | Change in Projected Yopulation, 1950-70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 358,277 | 5,028 | 14,208 | 6,200 | 9,694 | 7,767 | -10,007 | 1,172 | -3,131 | 6,395 | 2,035 | -2,902 | 118,710 | 203,108 |
|  | 2 | 255,035 | 494 | 7,457 | -444 | -481 | -619 | -17,986 | -3,889 | -6,000 | -322 | 87 | -10,151 | 106,439 | 180,450 |
|  | 3 | 135,873 | -4,510 | -1,173 | -7,832 | -11,435 | -9,791 | -24,395 | -3,864 | -8,395 | -7,565 | -2,025 | -16,536 | 88,771 | 149,624 |
|  | 4 | 47,854 | -8,439 | -7,178 | -13,554 | -20,184 | -17,084 | -30,746 | -13,086 | -10,645 | -13,246 | -3,635 | -22,317 | 77,890 | 130,078 |
|  |  |  | Percentage Change in Projected Population, 1950-70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 35.3 | 9.9 | 15.1 | 7.8 | 3.5 | 7.3 | -14.3 | 2.3 | -12.4 | 8.9 | 10.4 | -4.7 | 101.2 | 132.7 |
|  | 2 | 25.1 | 1.0 | 7.9 | -0.6 | -0.4 | -0.6 | -25.7 | -7.5 | -23.8 | -0.5 | 0.4 | -16.5 | 90.7 | 117.9 |
|  | 3 | 13.4 | -8.9 | -1.2 | -9.9 | -10.0 | -9.2 | -34.9 | -17.1 | -33.3 | -10.6 | -10.3 | -26.9 | 75.7 | 97.8 |
|  | 4 | 4.7 | -16.6 | -7. 6 | -17.1 | -17.7 | -16.0 | -44.0 | -25.3 | -42.2 | -18.5 | -18.5 | -36.2 | 66.4 | 85.0 |

[^16]Table 21. Estimates and Projections of the Nonwhite Male Population, by State Economic Area, Oklahoma.


Table 22. Estimates and Projections of the Nonwhite Female Population, by State Economic Area, Oklahoma.

| Year and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Series |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 a | 7b | 8 a | \& b | 9 | A | B |
| 1950 | 102,593 | 939 | 4,775 | 4,802 | 9,203 | 7,378 | 10,585 | 3,573 | 3,544 | 17,947 | 4,070 | 8,915 | 12,278 | 14,584 |
| 1955 | 99,370 | 1,084 | 4,636 | 4,179 | 9,673 | 6,680 | 9,073 | 3,173 | 3,005 | 15,941 | 3,687 | 8,005 | 13,561 | 16,673 |
| 1960 | 107,266 | 1,303 | 5,052 | 4,249 | 10,730 | 6,343 | 9,178 | 3,221 | 3,015 | 16,133 | 3,876 | 8,174 | 15,866 | 19,621 |
|  | 105,960 | 1,282 | 4,975 | 4,188 | 10,537 | 6,757 | 9,045 | 3,181 | 2,977 | 15,950 | 3,816 | 8,084 | 15,700 | 19,418 |
|  | 100,866 | 1,264 | 4,778 | 3,314 | 10,310 | 6,344 | 8,258 | 2,959 | 2,709 | 14,958 | 3,510 | 7,551 | 15,454 | 18,957 |
|  | 99,689 | 1,246 | 4,712 | 3,765 | 10,180 | 6,269 | 8,142 | 2,925 | 2,672 | 14,791 | 3,460 | 7,470 | 15,292 | 18,765 |
| 1965 | 125,720 | 1,638 | 5,936 | 4,821 | 12,516 | 7,636 | 10,469 | 3,588 | 3,413 | 17,939 | 4,533 | 9,218 | 19,511 | 24,502 |
|  | 117,082 | 1,558 | 5,522 | 4,293 | 11,861 | 7,015 | 9,350 | 3,267 | 3,044 | 16,457 | 4,066 | 8,445 | 18,790 | 23,414 |
|  | 107,774 | 1,480 | 5,073 | 3,758 | 11,143 | 6,349 | 8,156 | 2,924 | 2,658 | 14,988 | 3,572 | 7,575 | 17,943 | 22,155 |
|  | 100,346 | 1,414 | 4,729 | 3,331 | 10,577 | 5,311 | 7,222 | 2,663 | 2,352 | 13,725 | 3,195 | 6,910 | 17,254 | 21,163 |
| 1970 | 152,203 | 2,101 | 7,150 | 5,646 | 14,867 | 8,714 | 12,319 | 4,074 | 3,958 | 20,460 | 5,413 | 10,697 | 24,772 | 32,032 |
|  | 133,881 | 2,195 | 6,273 | 4,517 | 13,546 | 7,418 | 9,918 | 3,403 | 3,176 | 17,303 | 4,413 | 9,027 | 23,203 | 29,484 |
|  | 116,025 | 1,731 | 5,371 | 3,691 | 11,996 | 6,314 | 3,017 | 2,866 | 2,586 | 14,327 | 3,607 | 7,570 | 21,021 | 26,428 |
|  | 102,080 | 1,602 | 4,712 | 2,925 | 10,957 | 5,343 | 6,352 | 2,397 | 2,058 | 12,533 | 2,918 | 6,347 | 19,624 | 24, 312 |
|  |  | Change in Projected Population, 1950-70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 45, 610 | 1,162 | 2,375 | 344 | 5,664 | 1,336 | 1,734 | 501 | 414 | 2,513 | 1,343 | 1,782 | 12,494 | 17,448 |
|  | 31,288 | 1,256 | 1,503 | -285 | 4,343 | 40 | -667 | -170 | -368 | -644 | 343 | 112 | 10,925 | 14,900 |
|  | 13,432 | 792 | 596 | -1,111 | 2,793 | -1,064 | -2,568 | -707 | -953 | -3,120 | -463 | -1,345 | 8,743 | 11,844 |
|  | -513 | 663 | -63 | -1,877 | 1,754 | -2,035 | -4,233 | -1,176 | -1,486 | -5,414 | -1,152 | -2,568 | 7,346 | 9,728 |
|  | Percentage Change in Projected Population, 1950-70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 48.4 | 123.7 | 49.7 | 17.6 | 61.5 | 18.1 | 16.4 | 14.0 | 11.7 | 14.0 | 33.0 | 20.0 | 101.8 | 119.6 |
|  | 30.5 | 133.8 | 31.5 | -5.9 | 47.2 | 0.5 | -6.3 | -4.8 | -10.4 | -3.6 | 8.4 | 1.3 | 89.0 | 102.2 |
|  | 13.1 | 84.3 | 12.5 | -23.1 | 30.3 | -14.4 | -24.3 | -19.3 | -27.0 | -17.4 | -11.4 | -15.1 | 71.2 | 81.2 |
|  | -0.5 | 70.6 | -1.3 | -39.1 | 19.1 | -27.6 | -40.0 | -32.9 | -41.9 | -30.2 | -28.3 | -28.8 | 59.8 | 66.7 |

Oklahoma Agricuiltural Experiment Station

Table 23. Estimates and Projections of the Total Urban Populacion, by State Economic Area, Oklahoma.

| Year and Projection Series | Total | Economic Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 a | 7b | 8 a | 3b | 9 | A | B |
| 1950 | 1,139,474 | 28,222 | 94,392 | 67,211 | 101,705 | 111,123 | 70,589 | 43,580 | 19,310 | 76,039 | 4,752 | 34,172 | 207,190 | 281,189 |
| 1955 | 1,199,793 | 27,807 | 98,954 | 67,012 | 108,339 | 113,662 | 64,936 | 45,401 | 17,887 | 76,100 | 5,000 | 34,742 | 229,721 | 310,232 |
| 19601 | 1,285,358 | 28,597 | 105,651 | 71,034 | 116,653 | 121,137 | 63,273 | 47,429 | 17,611 | 79,925 | 5,822 | 36,944 | 256,824. | 334,953 |
|  | 1,273,624 | 28,313 | 104,746 | 70,257 | 115,430 | 120,243 | 62,803 | 47,018 | 17,486 | 79,142 | 5,781 | 36,690 | 254,555 | 331,160 |
|  | 1,260,933 | 27,788 | 103,966 | 69,097 | 114,136 | 116,939 | 59,843 | 45,880 | 16,762 | 77,655 | 5,430 | 35,636 | 253,677 | 334,124 |
|  | 1,248,943 | 27,512 | 103,038 | 68,349 | 112,936 | 116,091 | 59,402 | 45,430 | 16,637 | 76,910 | 5,371 | 35,382 | 251,437 | 330,348 |
| $1965 \begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \end{aligned}$ | 1,393,283 | 30,270 | 114,668 | 77,799 | 128,452 | 133,445 | 65,062 | 51,227 | 18,174 | 86,811 | 7,272 | 40,562 | 290,986 | 348,560 |
|  | 1,356,344 | 29,116 | 111,818 | 74,913 | 124,257 | 128,161 | 61,233 | 49,115 | 17,221 | 83,446 | 6,753 | 38,924 | 285, 346 | 346,041 |
|  | 1,306,349 | 27,713 | 107,579 | 71,341 | 118,427 | 121,926 | 56,964 | 46,595 | 16,108 | 79,524 | 6,145 | 36,936 | 276,850 | 340,241 |
|  | 1,270,878 | 26,623 | 104,801 | 68,594 | 114,384 | 116,918 | 53,380 | 44,550 | 15,191 | 76,310 | 5,654 | 35,302 | 271,368 | 337,803 |
| $1970 \begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & 3 \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \end{aligned}$ | 1,512,179 | 32,292 | 126,290 | 86,213 | 143,119 | 147,987 | 67,583 | 56,154 | 12,902 | 95,877 | 9,087 | 44,857 | 333,326 | 350,492 |
|  | 1,444,959 | 30,065 | 120,773 | 80,556 | 134,640 | 136,907 | 60,132 | 51, 220 | 16,994 | 88,929 | 7,800 | 41,255 | 322,370 | 352,713 |
|  | 1,338,009 | 27,353 | 110,865 | 73,303 | 122,294 | 126,196 | 53,973 | 47,051 | 15,337 | 80,918 | 6,830 | 37,891 | 302,268 | 333,725 |
|  | 1,278,273 | 25,333 | 105,706 | 68,316 | 114,652 | 116,365 | 47,574 | 43,131 | 13,676 | 74,753 | 5,813 | 34,703 | 292,134 | 336,112 |
|  | Change in Projected Population, 1950-70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 372,705 | 4,070 | 31,898 | 19,002 | 41,414 | 36,864 | - 3,006 | 12,574 | -408 | 19,838 | 4,335 | 10,685 | 126,136 | 69,303 |
| 2 | 305,435 | 1,843 | 26,386 | 13,345 | 32,935 | 25,784 | -10,457 | 8,240 | -2,316 | 12,690 | 3,048 | 7,083 | 115,180 | 71,524 |
| 3 | 198,535 | -864 | 16,473 | 6,092 | 20,589 | 15,073 | -16,616 | 3,471 | -3,973 | 4,879 | 2,078 | 3,719 | 95,078 | 52,536 |
| 4 | 138,799 | -2,889 | 11,314 | 1,105 | 12,947 | 5,242 | -23,015 | -449 | -5,634 | -1,286 | 1,061 | 536 | 84,944 | 54,923 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Per | centage Ch | nge in Proj | cted Popul | tion, 1950 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 32.7 | 14.4 | 33.3 | 28.3 | 40.7 | 33.2 | -4.3 | 28.9 | -2.1 | 26.1 | 91.2 | 31.3 | 60.9 | 24.6 |
| 2 | 26.8 | 6.5 | 28.0 | 19.9 | 32.4 | 23.2 | -14.3 | 18.9 | -12.0 | 17.0 | 64.1 | 20.7 | 55.6 | 25.4 |
| 3 | 17.4 | -3.1 | 17.5 | 9.1 | 20.2 | 13.6 | -23.5 | 8.0 | -20.6 | 6.4 | 43.7 | 10.9 | 45.9 | 18.7 |
| 4 | 12.2 | -10.2 | 12.0 | 1.6 | 12.7 | 4.7 | -32.6 | -1.0 | -29.2 | -1.7 | 22.3 | 1.6 | 41.0 | 19.5 |

Table 24. Estimates and Frojections of the Total Rural-Nonfarm Population, by State Economic Area, Oklahoma.


Table 25. Estimates and Projections of the Total Rural-Farm Population, by State Economic Area, Oklahoma,

| Year and Projection Series | Total | Economic Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 a | 7b | 8 a | 8b | 9 | A | B |
| 1950 | 553,100 | 41,046 | 56,416 | 46,775 | 82,392 | 61,899 | 47,151 | 31,011 | 23,123 | 56,481 | 28,772 | 58,721 | 9,872 | 9,441 |
| 1955 | 432,376 | 33,128 | 45,133 | 38,691 | 62,562 | 47,738 | 34,545 | 22,596 | 17,019 | 43,567 | 23,946 | 46,654 | 8,813 | 7,984 |
| 19601 | 389,040 | 29,851 | 40,985 | 35,495 | 54,087 | 41,249 | 31,100 | 19,484 | 15,247 | 39,751 | 22,354 | 43,839 | 8,351 | 7,247 |
|  | 383,623 | 29,466 | 40,352 | 35,089 | 53,389 | 40,702 | 30,625 | 19,205 | 15,012 | 39,185 | 22,034 | 43,205 | 8,263 | 7,096 |
|  | 357,152 | 27,857 | 38,279 | 32,900 | 49,731 | 38,251 | 27,376 | 17,459 | 13,548 | 35,919 | 21,079 | 40,007 | 7,890 | 6,856 |
|  | 352,048 | 27,497 | 37,669 | 32,519 | 49,076 | 37,720 | 26,952 | 17,199 | 13,336 | 35,378 | 20,790 | 39,410 | 7,789 | 6,713 |
| $1965 \begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & 4\end{aligned}$ | 381,249 | 28,794 | 39,926 | 35,121 | 50,590 | 38,228 | 32,014 | 18,692 | 15,451 | 40,370 | 22,158 | 45,249 | 8,164 | 6,492 |
|  | 346,649 | 26,571 | 36,795 | 32,246 | 46,085 | 35,071 | 28,059 | 16,598 | 13,636 | 36,158 | 20,646 | 41,090 | 7,635 | 6,059 |
|  | 309,830 | 24,173 | 33,592 | 29,216 | 41,455 | 31,645 | 23,897 | 14,429 | 11,708 | 31,709 | 18,903 | 36,281 | 7,088 | 5,734 |
|  | 279,778 | 22,182 | 30,776 | 26,663 | 37,492 | 23,850 | 20,642 | 12,665 | 10,214 | 28,138 | 17,698 | 32,553 | 6,585 | 5,320 |
| $1970 \begin{array}{ll}1 \\ & \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ & 4\end{array}$ | 379,912 | 28,003 | 39,395 | 35,315 | 47,594 | 35,734 | 33,806 | 18,227 | 16,017 | 41,791 | 22,329 | 48,173 | 8,027 | 5,501 |
|  | 315,842 | 24, 447 | 33,801 | 29,882 | 39,736 | 30, 324 | 26,178 | 14,428 | 12,552 | 33,674 | 19,529 | 39,583 | 7,080 | 4,978 |
|  | 264,623 | 20,605 | 29,089 | 25,642 | 33,631. | 25,656 | 20,663 | 11,723 | 10,018 | 27,548 | 16,730 | 32,580 | 6,220 | 4,468 |
|  | 217,400 | 17,466 | 24,670 | 21,450 | 27,725 | 21,503 | 15,495 | 9,059 | 7,679 | 21,802 | 14,701 | 26,397 | 5,448 | 4,005 |
|  | Change in Projected Population, 1950-70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | -173,188 | -13,043 | -17, 021 | -11,460 | -34,798 | -26,165 | -13,345 | -12,734 | -7,106 | -14,690 | -6,443 | -10,548 | -1,845 | -3,940 |
| 2 | -237, 258 | -16,999 | -22,615 | -16,893 | -42,606 | -31,575 | -20,973 | -16,533 | -10,571 | -22,807 | -9,243 | -19,138 | -2,792 | -4,463 |
| 3 | -286,477 | -20,441 | -27,327 | -21,133 | -48,711 | -36,243 | -26,488 | -19,288 | -13,105 | -28,933 | -12,042 | -26,141 | -3,652 | -4,973 |
| 4 | -335,700 | -23,580 | -31,746 | -25, 325 | -54,667 | -40,396 | -31,656 | -21,952 | -15,444 | -34,679 | -14,071 | -32,324 | -4,424 | -5,436 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | rcentage Ch | ange in Pro | jected Popu | 1ation, 195 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | -31.3 | -31.8 | -30.2 | -24.5 | -42.2 | -42.3 | -28.3 | -41.2 | -30.7 | -26.0 | -22.4 | -18.0 | -18.7 | -41.7 |
| 2 | -42.9 | -41.4 | -40.1 | -36.1 | -51.7 | -51.0 | -44.5 | -53.5 | -45.7 | -40.4 | -32.1 | -32.6 | -28.3 | -47.3 |
| 3 | -52.2 | -49.3 | -48.4 | -45.2 | -59.1 | -58.6 | -56.2 | -62.2 | -56.7 | -51.2 | -41.9 | -44.5 | -37.0 | -52.7 |
| 4 | -60.7 | -57.4 | -56.3 | -54.1 | -66.3 | -65.3 | -67.1 | -70.8 | -66.8 | -61.4 | -48.9 | -55.0 | -44.8 | -57.6 |

Continued from Page 34
both a "suburban fall-out" and a heavy attraction of outside migrants to the immediate environs of the cities.

## Nonmetropolitan Economic Areas 6, 7b, and 9

Unlike Oklahoma and Tulsa Counties, Areas 6, 7 b , and 9 have little prospect of rapid population expansion. The projected statewide gains will completely bypass them. Sizeable increments in certain age groups are imminent, however, during 1950-70. According to the projections, the following significant changes are in store for Areas 6, 7b, and 9:

First, Tables 17 and 18 , in general, indicate a marked diminution of the youth population in these three areas. Numbers of preschool age children may be either only slightly greater or 60 percent smaller in 1970 than in 1950; those of high school age will decline by 20 to 50 percent; and those of elementary school age will shrink by as much as 50 percent. Only Area 9 has a likelihood of an increase in the child population 5-13 years of age.

Second, large-scale emigration will strip Areas $6,7 \mathrm{~b}$, and 9 of between 31,000 to 84,000 of their people in the productive ages ( $20-64$ years) during 1950-70, precipitating losses of 12 to 50 percent. In other words, opportunities which beckon elsewhere will prune away all of the potential manpower growth.

Third, despite total population losses, these three areas will have gains of 1,100 to 4,600 in the number of people 65 years of age by 1970 .

Fourth, cities in Areas 6 and $7^{b}$ will experience heavy depopulation, with losses of 3,000 to 29,000 inhabitants, between 1950 and 1970 (Table 23). Furthermore, these centers will sustain the greatest proportional urban losses occurring in the 13 state economic areas.

In Area 6, future losses in both rural-farm and rural-nonfarm population will only magnify those occurring in the urban centers (Tables 24 and 25). Although Area 7 b must expect a one-third to two-thirds reduction in farm inhabitants during the interim, its 1970 rural-nonfarm population may be either about io percent larger or 30 percent smaller than in 1950.

While the 20 -year period will usher in a modest city population increase in Area 9, it will also generate losses of to to 45 percent in the rural-nonfarm and of 20 to 55 percent in the farm population.

Fifth, the total population of the three areas combined will dwindle from
approximately 362,000 in 1950 to between 210,000 and 332,000 in 1970, spelling losses of 30,000 to 150,000 people.

During this time, migration will siphon between 135,00 and 210,000 persons from Areas $6,7 \mathrm{~b}$, and 9 , erasing any prospective population increases. That probable gains of 60,000 to 105,000 persons through natural increase will fail to replenish the emigration losses during 1950-70 is, therefore, obvious.

Evidently, the population of Area 7 b , embracing Bryan, Choctaw, and Marshall Counties, and Area 9, comprising Atoka, Latimer, LeFlore, McCurtain, Pittsburg, and Pushmataha Counties, reached a saturation point around 1920. Population numbers in Area 6, which includes Coal, Creek, Hughes, Okfuskee, Pontotoc, and Seminole Counties, reached a pinnacle about ten years later. Four salient factors explain the actual and prospective decline of population in southeastern Oklahoma: (i) Relatively high rates of unemployment and underemployment, or inadequate employment; (2) low incomes and levels of living; (3) high rates of natural increase of the population; (4) and large-scale out-migration. ${ }^{17}$

## Nonmetropolitan Economic Areas 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7a, 8a, 8 b

Less sweeping demographic changes lie ahead for these eight state economic areas than for the other five, and follow divergent trends. Projection Series i, the highest of the four, reveals that all eight areas will gain population, with some specific areas growing by as much as 15 percent between 1950 and 1970 (Table 16). In contrast, projection Series 3 and 4 foretell losses for each area, with the total population of Area 7 a catapulting from 111,000 in 1950 to 81,000 in 1970 a decline of 30,000 people, or 27 percent.

The following population changes are probable for these eight areas:
First, the number of preschool age children in 1970 may either be larger or smaller than in 1950 in each area. Area 2 should have small increases in its elementary school age population, with the other seven areas facing possible gains or losses. Areas 2 and 5 are likely to have small to moderate expansions in their secondary school age populations; Areas $1,3,4$, and 7 a will have either

[^17]increases or decreases; but Areas 8 a and 8 b must expect 5 to 30 percent losses in high school youths.

Second, the projections indicate that six of the eight areas, 1, 2, 3, 5, 7a, and 8a, cannot forestall population losses in the principal working ages, 20-64 years, between 1950 and 1970. Areas 4 and 8b, however, may achieve either small gains or have losses as high as 25 percent. In any event, the dispersal of people from each of the eight areas will curb the potential growth of the working age population.

Third, an irreversible expansion of the aged population of from 20,000 to 29,000 persons eclipses all other expected demographic changes in these eight areas, between 1950 and 1970.

Fourth, Area 5 is the only economic area which is likely to depart from the statewide pattern of a declining sex ratio during 1950-70.

Fifth, substantial increases in the colored population will materialize in Areas I and 4, whereas the white population will either dwindle in size or achieve only meager gains. Moreover, while nonwhites were more numerous in Area 8a than elsewhere in Oklahoma in 1950, having nearly 17 percent of the state's colored population, their proportion of the total population will shrink to 12 or 13 percent by 1970 .

Sixth, the urban population in five of the "eight areas will enlarge, with Area 8 b manifesting the largest proportionate increases. In the other three areas, $\mathrm{r}, 7 \mathrm{a}$, and 8 a , cities may encounter either prospective population losses or increases. Also, Areas $\mathrm{I}, 2,4$, and 8 b will have rural-nonfarm population gains during 1950-70, whereas Areas $3,5,7 \mathrm{a}$, and 8 a may experience either small increases or decreases. Finally, an enduring flight of people from farms in all eight areas, throughout the projection period, portends further depopulation of the countryside. In Area 7a, which faces the largest relative displacement, 70 percent of the people may desert the farms by 1970 .

## Conclusion

## Summary of the Study

By component methods this study estimates the July I, 1955, populations and projects the July I, 1960, 1965, and 1970, populations of Oklahoma's 13 economic areas separately by residence, and age-sex-race distributions.

One set of July 1, 1955, Oklahoma population estimates was made, then
adjusted to the official Bureau of the Census' estimate. However, the uncertainty of future Oklahoma natural increase and net migration rates necessitated the use of alternative levels for each of the three projection periods, which involved preparing four separate population projections for July 1,1960 , 1965 , and 1970, with two alternative assumptions for births, three for net migration, and one assumed level of mortality.

This report delineates the major Oklahoma population changes expected between 1950 and 1970 . Without imputing exactness to the 1960 , 1965, and 1970 projections, it defines limits within which the population may fluctuate. Even so, some of the probable changes are so pronounced that their movements are unquestionable-only the volume and rapidity of change are in doubt.

## Conclusions

The most prominent trends to 1970, in the order of their probability, are the following:

First, increases are in store for (1) Oklahoma's population, especially females, 55 years of age and over; (2) elementary, high school, and college age populations and enrollments; (3) rural-nonfarm and urban populations; (4) the labor force, particularly the female workers; and (5) the white and total populations of the state. Oklahoma and Tulsa Counties will amass large population gains in all age groups, and their suburban (rural-nonfarm) population will grow much more, proportionately, than their urban and farm populations.

Second, the total population of Economic Areas 6, 7b, and 9, the 15 counties in southeastern Oklahoma, will decrease. Also, the long-term decline in the sex ratio of Oklahoma's population will continue, meaning a pronounced disparity of females over males. Finally, increasing mechanization and productivity of farm workers will release between 30 and 60 percent of the Oklahoma farm population from agriculture to industry during 1950-70. Every state economic area may expect further farm population declines.

Third, the highly uncertain future Oklahoma population trends are those for children under ten years of age, the productive age population, especially for persons $25-54$ years of age, and the total nonwhite population. The projection show that either increases or decreases for each of these groups is possible. Likewise, the pattern of change in the total population of Economic Areas 1, 2, 3, $4,5,7 \mathrm{a} 8 \mathrm{a}$, and 8 b is puzzling; each area may exhibit gains or losses between 1950 and 1970.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Bureau of the Census has released postcensal estimates of the total and civilian population of Oklahoma each year from July 1, 1950, through July 1, 1958, and has published projections of the Oklahoma college age population to 1973 , and the total population to 1970 , but it has prepared neither estimates nor projections of the population of the state, counties, or state economic areas by detailed age, race, residence, and sex characteristics. The following publications contain the official estimates and projections prepared by the Bureau of the Census: U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Population Estimates, Series P-25, Nos. 194, 189, 186, 185, 178, 168, 165, 160, 151, 132, 110, and 56.

[^1]:    *Grateful acknowledgement is made to Dr. O. D. Duncan, Mrs. Pat McCulloch, Mrs. Lorene Jones Micka, and Dr. Joseph S. Vandiver for their critical reviews of the bulletin.

[^2]:    Inasmuch as one cannot predetermine the precise levels of the three variables affecting Oklahoma's future population-births, deaths, and net migration-the population projections presented herein are forecasts, not predictions. All four projections for 1960, 1965, and 1970 appear to be reasonably possible, but no one series is selected as the single best projection. Moreover, the highest and lowest projections should not be interpreted as encompassing the most probable size of the future population. Rather, they illustrate the possible variation in population size which will result if assumed birth, death, and net migration rates are actually realized. The user may, of course, choose any one of the projected series which seems most appropriate for his particular purpose.

[^3]:    ${ }^{2}$ Population estimates and projections were prepared by conventional five-year age groups, i. e., 0-4, 5-9, 10-14, up to 75 years of age and over. Then, Sprague mid-panel multipliers were applied to the $10-14,15-19$, and $20-24$ year-old populations to obtain estimates for single years of age. The multipliers were taken from A. J. Jaffe, Handbook of Statistical Methods for Demographers, U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Washington 25, D. C., 1951, p. 95.

[^4]:    ${ }^{3}$ All persons reaching college age ( $18-21$ ) in 1970 were living on July 1,1955 . Hence, the 1970 college age projections do not involve estimates of future births; only mortality and net migration will affect the number living in Oklahoma on that date. Accordingly, the 1970 projections of college age populations are more reliable than 1970 projections of children under 15 years of age, the estimates for which must be based partly upon forecasts of future births occurring between 1955 and 1970 .

[^5]:    ${ }^{4}$ Over 88 percent of all Oklahomans in the labor force in 1950 were $20-64$ years of age.

[^6]:    ${ }^{5}$ Wolfbein estimates that the average number of years that men have spent in retirement has more than doubled since 1900 , and current trends point toward a tripling of this figure by 2000 . For example, in 1900 a U. S. male worker 20 years of age could look forward to living 42.2 additional years, spending 39.4 years working and 2.8 years in retirement. By 1955, a male worker of the same age could expect to live 49.5 years, having a working life expectancy of 43.0 years and a period of retirement of 6.5 years. Source: Seymour $L$. Wolfbein, "The Length of Working Life," mimeographed paper presented at the Fourth International Gerontological Congress, Merano, Italy, July, 1957, p. 5.

[^7]:    ${ }^{6}$ See James D. Tarver, Population Change and Migration in Oklahoma, 1940-50, Oklahoma AES Bull. No. B-485, January, 1957, Table 2.

[^8]:    ${ }^{7}$ Two factors, namely changes in definition and classification of residential areas, make it impossible to project, with any degree of accuracy, the probable future Oklahoma populations residing in urban centers, and in rural-nonfarm and rural-farm areas. First, it is not possible to forecast the number of towns of less than 2,500 people that will attain urban status, a populaion of 2,500 or more inhabitants, during any future five-or ten-year period. Likewise, one is unable to estimate how many urban centers will shift from urban to rural-nonfarm status, or how many new urban places will arise during any future period. Even if one could estimate the number of places involving changes in rural-urban residence, he would be unable to estimate the population of such towns and cities. Second, any future changes in the definition of urban, rural-nonfarm, and rural-farm residence, similar to those made in 1950 , will also effect the numbers of persons living in each of the three residential areas. For example, the new definition of a farm adopted in the 1959 Census of Agriculture and the 1960 Census of Population and Housing will reduce the size of the farm population. A household (family and all other occupants) will be classified as living on a farm if (i) there are 10 or more acres in the place and the value of products sold was $\$ 50$ or more, or (2) if the place contained fewer than 10 acres but the value of products sold amounted to $\$ 250$ or more. Probably two-thirds of the farms now classified as "residential" will not be counted as farms in 1959 and 1960 . Additional changes in definitions are likely to occur in 1970 , thereby rendering any population projections incomparable with future census enumerations.

[^9]:    ${ }^{8}$ See section on "Adjustments of Population and Vital Statistics Data," Oklahoma AES Misc. Pub., MP-54, december, 1959, pp. 15-16.

[^10]:    ${ }^{9}$ The 1960 projections of the Oklahoma rural-farm population range from 352,000 to 389,000 persons. An e:rrlier estimate of $35+, 000$ which was computed by a different procedure, falls in the lower limit of the above projection. See James 1). Tarver, Oklahoma Farm Manpower Needs, Oklahoma AES Butl. No. B-505, April, 1958, Table 5.
    ${ }^{10}$ The output per farm worker in Oklahoma agriculture approximately doubled during 192.4.54. See James 1). Tarver and Leo V. Blakley, "Changes in Farm Worker Productivity in Oklahoma," Oklahoma Current Farm Economics, Vol. 29, No. 5, October, 1956, pp. 83-93.

[^11]:    ${ }^{12}$ This projection is based upon the assumption that the ratio of total Oklahoma college enrollment to the population $\mathbf{1 8 - 2 I}^{\mathbf{- 2}}$ years of age will continue to increase, rising from $33.8_{4}$ percent in 1955 to 39.84 percent in 1960 , to +4.84 percent in 1965 , and to 49.85 percent in 1970 .

[^12]:    ${ }^{13}$ The 1970 Oklahoma elementary and high school enrollment projections are based upon the assumption that the 1950 ratios of elementary and secondary school enrollments to the populations in each of the two respective age groups $5-13$ and $14-17$, will continue unchanged to 1970 . Conseguently, the projected 1970 elementary and high school enrollments are conservative.

[^13]:    ${ }^{14}$ One set of 1955 Oklahoma labor force estimates and four separate series of projections were prepared for 1960, 1965, and 1970. The Oklahoma estimates and projections were tied in with national levels, and are on an annual average basis, whereas the 1950 figures apply to April i. The computations were developed in the following way: First, it was assumed that the ratios of the Oklahoma to the U. S. April 1, 1950, labor force participation rates, separately by age and sex, will prevail to 1970.

    Second, estimates of the 1955 Oklahoma labor force participation rates were obtained by applying the 1950 ratios to the recorded 1955 annual average U. S. rates (taken from Current Population Reports, Labor Force, "Projections of the Labor Force in the United States, 1955 to 1975," Series P-50, No. 69, Table 1).

    Third, the resulting 1955 Oklahoma labor force participation rates were multiplied by the 1955 population estimates, by age and sex, to compute the estimated annual average number in the labor force.

    Fourth, then two series of projected 1960, 1965, and 1970 Oklahoma labor force participation rates were calculated using U. S. projections II and IV (see Current Population Reports, Labor Force, Series P-50, No. 69, Tables 2 and 4).

    Fifth, these two projected labor force participation rates were multiplied by projected populations 1 and IV to obtain the projected numbers in the Oklahoma labor force in 1960, 1965, and 1970. These four projections include both the maximum and minimum labor force computed by 16 separate projections, from four possible population and four labor force participation assumptions.

[^14]:    ${ }^{15}$ Unpublished tables showing the 1950 populations, 1955 estimates, and 1960,1965 , and 1970 projections the 13 Oklahoma state economic areas, classified by age-race-sex-residence groups, are available upon rerest.

[^15]:    ${ }^{16}$ Estimates and projections of the college age populations, by state economic areas, are not shown here. Such projections do not reliably indicate probable college and university enrollments because many students tttend colleges outside of their home counties.

[^16]:    Oklahoma Agricultural Experiment Station

[^17]:    ${ }^{17}$ Declines in the production of oil, coal, timber, coupled with soil fertility losses, and other manifestations of economic obsolesence are often cited as basic causes of the four general dislocations mentioned here. The over-all problem is, however, a highly complex one which has no simple answer. See James D. Tarver, A Study of Rural Manpower in Southeastern Oklahoma, Oklahoma AES Technical Bull. No. T-56, September, 1955, pp. 9 and 20-2.

