

OKLAHOMA
AGRICULTURAL AND MECHANICAL COLLEGE
AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION

C. P. BLACKWELL, *Director*

**A Fertilizer Study
On the Brown Soil of the Red Prairies**

H. F. MURPHY

A FERTILIZER STUDY ON THE BROWN SOIL OF THE RED PRAIRIES

This experiment is located on the Experiment Station farm at Stillwater. It consists of a four-year rotation of cotton, oats, cowpeas, and darso. Each of these crops is treated with various fertilizers annually or as otherwise noted. The early history of this experiment is recorded in Oklahoma Station Bulletin 155. The year 1928 was the end of the third round of the rotation proper, thus twelve years results have been secured. The soil on which this experiment is located is classified as Kirkland loam to silt loam. It is a rather heavy brown soil with a hardpan subsoil. A water table occurs at a depth of 16½ to 18 feet. The subsoil is so impervious to moisture movement, however, that crops suffer during dry seasons for lack of moisture. This soil series is common in the Red Prairies of Oklahoma which comprises essentially the western half of the state exclusive of the Panhandle. The rate of fertilization or fertilizer plan as has been used since 1921 is as follows:

(a) Manured plots receive manure every four years equivalent to that which would be produced if the feed crops were fed to livestock.

(b) Nitrate of soda where used is applied at the rate of 200 pounds per acre annually.

(c) Superphosphate where used is applied at the rate of 125 pounds per acre annually.

(d) Rock phosphate where used is applied at the rate of 1000 pounds per acre every four years.

(e) Kainit where used is applied at the rate of 100 pounds per acre annually.

(f) Limestone where used is applied at the rate of two tons per acre every four years.

(g) Gypsum where used is applied at the rate of 400 pounds per acre annually.

(h) Crop residues consist of the straw, stalks and cowpea vines. Cotton stalks are left on all the plots when this crop is grown. The residues are generally plowed under but has been used as top dressings in a few instances.

Previous to 1921 the rates of fertilization for nitrate of soda and kainit differed slightly from the amounts used since that time. The kainit was applied at the rate of 200 pounds per acre. The nitrate of soda was applied according to the removal of nitrogen in the crops (other than cotton) produced for the years 1919 and 1920. No nitrate of soda was applied in 1918 due to failure to arrive due to war conditions. In 1917, nitrate of soda was applied at the rate of 200 pounds per acre. In calculating the cost of the fertilizers (Tables 2 and 3) these variations were considered. During recent years, the nitrate of soda, kainit and superphosphate have been applied below the seed for the cotton, darso and cowpea crops. All of the fertilizers have been broadcasted in other instances. One outstanding thing noticed in the growth of the crops is that where phosphorus has been applied to the soil, the crop has reached maturity from a week to ten days earlier than the other plots. This is particularly the case where superphosphate has been used but even with rock phosphate, there is some difference in maturity. The average yields for the various treatments are given in Table 1 which follows:

TABLE 1.—Showing 12 years average results on the use of fertilizers in rotation. Yields per acre.

Plot No.	Treatment	Oats***		Cowpeas (lbs.)	Darso		Seed Cotton (lbs.)
		Grain (bu.)	Straw (lbs.)		Grain (bu.)	Straw (lbs.)	
1	Check	32.90	1318	2028*	29.59*	2695*	593
2	Manure	38.06	1373	2198	30.02*	2689*	705
3	Manure, Lime	37.81	1380	2336	31.54	2641	701
4	Check	32.25	1137	2074	27.38	2370	650
5	Manure, Lime, Rock Phosphate	38.75	1493	2409	30.50	2629	747
6	Manure, Lime, Superphosphate	41.62	1731	2368	29.82	2548	805
7	Check	32.28	1272	2071	25.14	2249	647
8	Residues	36.12	1388	2158**	27.52	2326	702
9	Residues, Lime	36.50	1378	2206**	28.43	2464	739
10	Check	32.91	1212	1989	26.64	2210	724
11	Residues, Lime, Rock Phosphate	34.69	1425	2121**	26.73	2272	755
12	Residues, Lime, Superphosphate	41.19	1617	2269**	29.00	2235	829
13	Check	33.66	1274	2060	28.98	2252	681
14	Nitrate of Soda	33.12	1269	2066	25.39	1997	684
15	Nitrate of Soda, Lime	35.31	1379	2075	25.27	2241	719
16	Check	36.66	1330	2095	26.54	2318	760
17	Nitrate of Soda, Lime, Rock Phosphate	37.03	1380	2111	24.09	2113	776
18	Nitrate of Soda, Lime, Superphosphate	42.50	1615	2149	25.86	2188	801
19	Check	33.50	1355	2083	24.95	2172	762
20	Nitrate of Soda, Lime, Kainit	38.78	1340	2226	24.43	2143	755
21	Nitrate of Soda, Lime, Kainit, Rock Phosphate	38.00	1282	2011	26.14	2398	741
22	Check	35.65	1339	2072	26.61	2385	755
23	Lime	35.44	1301	2019	27.64	2392	707
24	Gypsum	35.00	1201	2076	26.28	2220	746
25	Check	36.41	1387	2071	28.34	2407	755
26	Gypsum, Manure	42.00	1557	2294	27.98	2328	864
27	Gypsum, Manure, Rock Phosphate	42.94	1629	2369	30.61	2567	866
28	Check	34.94	1268	2217	26.53*	2409*	841
Ave.	All checks	34.06	1289	2076	27.07	2347	717

*Eleven year average results on this plot.

**Yields were calculated on these plots for the years 1917 and 1923 as the plots were turned under by mistake before weights were secured.

***1923 was a total failure on account of late freeze.

At first glance it would appear that some of the treatments caused a considerable increase in yields while it can readily be observed that some of the treatments were applied at a loss. To get a better idea of the actual returns, Tables 2 and 3 were constructed.

Table 2.—Showing the results in gain or loss during one rotation due to fertilization.

Treatment	Bushels Oat Grain	Pounds Oat Straw	Cowpea Hay	Bushels Darso Grain	Pounds Darso Forage	Seed Cotton	Value of Gain***	Cost of* Fertilizers	Net Returns
Manure****	5.38	115	155	1.17	102	93	\$ 9.20		\$ 9.20
Manure, Limestone	5.34	183	277	3.42	163	70	10.64	3.50	7.14
M. L. Rock Phosphate	6.49	311	336	3.87	299	98	13.87	11.00	2.87
M. L. Superphosphate	9.35	504	296	3.93	259	157	18.08	11.00	7.08
Residue**	3.63	136	114	1.88	90	29	4.03		4.03
Residues, Limestone	3.80	146	190	2.29	241	41	4.94	3.50	1.44
R. L. Rock Phosphate	1.53	192	108	-0.69	48	45	2.45	11.00	-8.55
R. L. Superphosphate	7.78	364	233	0.80	-3	134	10.29	11.00	-0.71
Nitrate of Soda	-1.54	-24	-6	-2.78	-277	-23	-4.24	25.00	-29.24
Nitrate of Soda, Lime	-0.35	68	-8	-2.08	-55	-15	-2.17	28.50	-30.67
N. L. Rock Phosphate	1.42	42	20	-1.92	-156	15	0.03	36.00	-35.97
N. L. Superphosphate	7.95	268	62	0.38	-33	40	6.46	36.00	-29.54
N. L. Kainit	4.56	-10	147	-1.07	-100	-5	1.76	34.90	-33.14
N. L. K. Rock Phosphate	3.07	-62	-65	-0.08	84	-16	-0.05	42.40	-42.45
Limestone	-0.46	-54	-53	0.45	0	-48	-2.83	3.50	-6.33
Gypsum	-1.16	-170	5	-1.48	-180	-9	-2.63	3.87	-6.50
Gypsum, Manure	6.08	210	174	0.24	-80	80	8.19	3.87	4.32
G. M. Rock Phosphate	7.51	321	201	3.48	159	54	10.50	11.37	-0.87

*Actual cost of ingredients, labor not included. These are approximate figures using the following prices: Limestone, \$2.10 per ton; Nitrate of Soda, \$75.00 per ton; Kainit, \$24.00 per ton; Superphosphate, \$30.00 per ton; Rock Phosphate, \$15.00 per ton, and Gypsum, \$5.00 per ton.

**For all plots where residues were returned, the value of the straw, stover, and cowpea hay is not included.

***In making the calculations, the following prices for products were used:

Oat grain, 40c per bushel
Oat straw, \$5.00 per ton
Cowpea hay, \$15.00 per ton

Darso grain, 60c per bushel
Darso stover, \$5.00 per ton
Seed cotton, 5c per pound

****Manure was applied at the rate of approximately 9.39 tons per acre every four years.

Table 3.—Showing the results of fertilizer treatment for individual crops.

Treatment	Cost of Fertilizer for 1 Year	OAT CROP Value of Gain	OAT CROP Net Returns	COWPEAS Value of Gain	COWPEAS Net Returns	DARSO CROP Value of Gain	DARSO CROP Net Returns	COTTON CROP Value of Gain	COTTON CROP Net Returns
Manure*	\$ -	\$2.44	\$2.44	\$1.16	\$ 1.16	\$0.95	\$ 0.95	\$4.65	\$ 4.65
Manure, Limestone	0.83	2.60	1.72	2.08	1.20	2.46	1.53	3.50	2.62
M. L. Rock Phosphate	2.76	3.38	0.62	2.52	-0.24	3.07	0.31	4.90	2.14
M. L. Superphosphate	2.76	5.00	2.24	2.22	-0.54	3.01	0.25	7.85	5.09
Residues		1.45	1.45			1.13	1.13	1.45	1.45
Residues, Limestone	0.83	1.52	0.64			1.37	0.49	2.05	1.19
R. L. Rock Phosphate	2.76	0.61	-2.15			-0.41	-3.17	2.25	-0.51
R. L. Superphosphate	2.76	3.11	0.35			0.43	-2.28	6.70	3.94
Nitrate of Soda	6.25	-0.63	-6.93	-0.05	-6.30	-2.36	-5.61	-1.15	-7.40
Nitrate of Soda, Limestone	7.13	0.02	-7.10	-0.06	-7.18	-1.39	-3.52	-0.75	-7.88
N. L. Rock Phosphate	9.01	0.67	-3.34	0.15	-8.86	-1.54	-10.55	0.75	-8.26
N. L. Superphosphate	9.01	3.85	-5.16	0.47	-8.54	0.15	-8.86	2.00	-7.01
N. L. Kainit	8.73	1.80	-6.93	1.10	-7.63	-0.89	-9.62	-0.25	-8.98
N. L. K. Rock Phosphate	10.61	1.08	-9.53	-0.49	-11.10	0.16	-10.45	-0.80	-11.41
Limestone	0.83	-0.31	-1.19	-0.40	-1.28	0.27	-0.61	-2.40	-3.23
Gypsum	0.97	-0.88	-1.85	0.04	-0.93	-1.34	-2.31	-0.45	-1.42
Gypsum, Manure	0.97	2.95	1.98	1.30	0.33	-0.06	-1.03	4.00	3.03
Gypsum, M. Rock Phosphate	2.65	3.80	0.95	1.51	-1.34	2.49	-0.36	2.70	-0.15

*Manure was applied in all cases at the rate of approximately 9.39 tons per acre every four years which is equivalent to approximately 2.35 tons per acre per year.

Manure used in this rotation had a value of approximately \$1.00 per ton. Considering the rotation as a whole and the net returns secured from the use of the various fertilizers other than manure, none of the fertilizers paid. The nearest any fertilizer used for all of the crops came to paying for itself was superphosphate used with manure and limetstone. Limestone has not paid in the rotation either where used by itself or in combination. Nitrate of soda by itself or in combination was applied at the greatest loss. Land-plaster (gypsum) was applied at a loss. Through deduction, it is possible to get an idea of the effect superphosphate has had in the rotation. With manure, considering the rotation as a whole, superphosphate about broke even. The extra cost of the fertilizers above the influence of manure or crop residues has more than offset the increase in yield. It is rather unfortunate that no plot was included that received superphosphate alone. The indications are that such a treatment might have paid. Also a plot receiving manure and superphosphate without limestone might pay.

In considering Table 3, a glance will indicate immediately that fertilizers other than residues and manure were applied at a loss for the individual oat, cowpea and darso crops. Cotton is the only crop that shows any indication of paying for any of the fertilizers and leaving a profit. Superphosphate used in connection with manure and limestone showed some profit when used on the cotton crop. Limestone was applied at a loss. Through deduction, \$1.88 spent for superphosphate produced a gain in cotton worth \$4.35 (acre basis.) This would indicate that the use of superphosphate, and superphosphate and manure would increase the yield of cotton on this common soil type sufficient to warrant consideration. It would appear from the crops studied that cotton is the only one for which fertilizers could be applied at a profit and that if a rotation is followed, the fertilizers should be applied for this crop and left out for the other crops, the other crops receiving only the residue of any fertilizer not utilized by the cotton crop.

