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## INTRODUCTION

A survey of published data on cotton investigation reveals the fact that there are three or four characters of major importance in this crop from the standpoint of production. The yield of seed cotton per acre seems to be considered the most important character. The lint per cent, the length of lint, and the number of bolls per pound of seed cotton are the other three items commonly included in data from variety tests. The belief is not uncommon that these four characters are closely associated and that in attempts to improve any one of them the others are influenced to a more or less extent. It was considered of interest to study the upland varieties of cotton in order to learn to what extent these four major characters are associated with each other and with the other characters of the crop subject to mathematical analysis in a random sample of upland varieties. The information obtained from such a study should be of value to those interested in the production of improved varieties of upland cotton.

## Review of Literature

Apparently, little has been done in the way of measuring the mutual association of the various characteristics in the cotton plant. Dunlavy (3) ${ }^{3}$ reported, in 1923, the results of a study of seven characters including the lint index, the weight of seed, the size of boll, the per cent of 5 -lock bolls, the lint per cent, and the length of staple. Measurements were taken on 167 individual plant selections. Correlations were calculated and the coefficients obtained are as follows:


As this study was made within a variety the correlations may not be due to genetic relations but rather to physiological association. Stroman (13) studied 16 varieties of cotton. Measurements were taken on 50 plants in each of the 16 varieties and correlation coefficients were calculated within each variety. He found consistently high positive correlations between the yield of lint and the yield of seed, the yield of lint and the number of 5 -lock bolls, the yield of seed and the number of 5 -lock bolls, the yield of lint and the number of 4 -lock bolls. Other significant correlations were obtained but they were not consistent in the

[^0]different varieties studied. In this study, as was the case in the study made by Dunlavy, correlations were made within the variety using the plant as the unit. It is apparent that all varieties do not react the same to their environment in so far as the characters studied are concerned.

Hodson (6) reports the results of a study in which he calculated correlation coefficients within the variety and also in a random sample of varieties. He studied the following characteristics: The number of base limbs, the number of fruit branches, the number of bolls per plant, the height of the plant, the number of days to the first bloom, the per cent of lint, the length of lint, the weight of seed, the weight per boll, and the number of days to first open boll. However, coefficients for all possible combinations were not calculated. The correlation coefficients between the number of base limbs and the number of fruit branches were all low and not consistently positive or negative. The correlation coefficient for the number of base limbs and the number of bolls per plant were all positive but of little importance except in the case of one variety in which the coefficient was $+.4348 \pm .0938$. The number of base branches was not consistently positively or negatively associated with the height of the plant and the values of the correlation coefficients were low. The number of fruit branches was positively correlated with the height of the plant. The coefficients were consistently positive and of some importance, ranging from $+.2124 \pm .0699$ to $+.5796 \pm .0786$. The number of base limbs with the number of bolls per plant, and the number of fruit branches with the number of bolls per plant were inconsistent in their relations and the correlations were not important. The association of the number of days to first bloom was not close with any of the following characters: the per cent of lint, the length of lint, the weight of seed, the weight of boll, and the height of the plant. The correlation coefficient for the number of days to the first flower and the number of days to the first open boll was $+.5415 \pm .0688$. The per cent of lint showed consistent negative correlation with all other characters although the coefficients were not high enough to signify any great importance, the coefficients for all varieties for 1917 and 1918 being -. $2702 \pm .0903$ and -. $2445 \pm .0680$ respectively. The lint length and the weight of the boll were consistently positive in their association within the varieties, the range being $+.0289 \pm .1156$ to $+.3002 \pm .0666$. For all varieties these two characters showed a correlation of $+.1956 \pm .0695$ in 1917 and $+.1601 \pm .0704$ in 1918. The length of lint was, for the greater part, positively correlated with the weight of the seed although the association was of little importance within the varieties. For all varieties the association of these two characters assumed some importance in 1918, the correlation coefficient being $+.2976 \pm .0659$. The weight of the seed showed consistent and important association with the weight of boll, the correlation coefficients ranging from $+.5065 \pm .0860$ to $+.8316 \pm .0300$ within the various varieties. For all varieties these two characters gave a correlation coefficient of $+.8316 \pm .0300$ in 1917 and $+.7802 \pm .0283$ in 1918. The correlation coefficients for the per cent of lint with the weight per boll were as follows:

| Trice | $1911=-.4550 \pm .0917$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Trice | $1912=-.1837 \pm .0707$ |
| Foster $1917=-.0909 \pm .1447$ |  |
| All varieties $1917=$ | $=.1963 \pm .0937$ |
| All varieties 1918 $=$ | $+.3951 \pm .0610$ |

Apparently seasonal conditions influence the degree of association of these two characters.

Data have been published by Killough and Hafner (9) upon cotton varieties grown at the Texas Substation No. 3 at Angleton, by Karper and Jones (7) upon varieties grown at the Texas Substation No. 8 at Lubbock and by Killough and McNess upon the varieties grown at the Main station at College Station, Texas. A number of correlations were calculated by these workers. The results of their studies, in so far as the correlation of the various characters are concerned, are summarized in Tables I, II and III. It may be noted that the yield of lint is, as

Table I－Summary of the correlations obtained between the yield of lint and the characters，length of lint，lint per cent， size of boll，and earliness of cotton varieties grown at three Texas stations

| Year | Length of lint |  |  | Lint per cent |  |  | Size of boll | Earliness |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Angleton | Lubbock | College Station | Angleton | Lubbock | College Station | College Station | College Station |
| 1914 |  |  |  |  | $+.55 \pm .06$ |  |  |  |
| 1915 |  |  |  |  | $+.34 \pm .09$ |  |  |  |
| 1916 |  |  | $-.62 \pm .06$ |  | $+.66 \pm .07$ | $+.75 \pm .04$ | $+.51 \pm .08$ |  |
| 1917 |  |  | $+.35 \pm .08$ |  |  | $+.81 \pm .03$ |  |  |
| 1918 |  | $-.19 \pm .12$ | $-.11 \pm .10$ |  | $+.38 \pm .10$ | $+.59 \pm .07$ | $+.53 \pm .07$ |  |
| 1919 | $-.41 \pm .18$ | $-.27 \pm .09$ | $-.01 \pm .11$ | －． $04 \pm .21$ | ＋．44土． 08 | $+.24 \pm .11$ |  |  |
| 1920 | $-.82 \pm .07$ | $-.26 \pm .16$ |  | $+.62 \pm .13$ | $+.22 \pm .19$ |  |  |  |
| 1921 | $-.51 \pm .16$ | $-.22 \pm .18$ |  | $+.39 \pm .18$ | $+.06 \pm .19$ |  |  |  |
| 1922 | $-.45 \pm .17$ | $-.42 \pm .15$ | $-.25 \pm .18$ | $+.22 \pm .20$ | $+.37 \pm .16$ | ＋．06土．19 |  | ＋．11士．19 |
| 1923 |  | $+.04 \pm .18$ | $-.42 \pm .16$ |  | $-.11 \pm .18$ | $+.58 \pm .13$ |  | $+.17 \pm .19$ |
| 1924 |  | $-.06 \pm .18$ | $-.63 \pm .10$ |  | $+.45 \pm .14$ | $+.71 \pm .08$ |  | $-.29 \pm .15$ |
| 1925 | $-.66 \pm .09$ | $-.02 \pm .17$ | $-.40 \pm .14$ | $+.54 \pm .12$ | $-.07 \pm .17$ | $+.58 \pm .11$ | $+.57 \pm .11$ | $-.30 \pm .15$ |
| 1926 |  |  | $-.52 \pm .12$ |  |  | $+.34 \pm .15$ | $-.34 \pm .15$ | $+.50 \pm .13$ |

a rule, negatively associated with the length of lint, the one important exception being at College Station in the year 1917. The explanation given for this exception is that the season of 1917 was unusually dry resulting in a decrease in the yield and a lack of normal development in the length of lint. The highest correlation coefficient was obtained from the varieties grown at Angleton in 1920. It is of interest to note that the coefficients of correlation for these two characters are, on the average, much higher at the Angleton Station than at either of the other stations. At the Lubbock Station none of the correlations of the yield of lint with the length of lint assume any very great significance. Only in the year 1922, does there appear to be any important degree of relationship. At College Station, the association of these two characters assumes importance in the years 1916, 1924, and 1926.

The yield of lint was associated with the lint per cent to a reasonably high degree at the Angleton Station in 1920 and 1925, at the Lubbock Station in several seasons, and at the College Station in every year of the test except in the years 1919, 1922, and 1926. The relationships of any importance are all positive. That is, as the lint per cent increases the yield of the lint tends to increase also. Correlations were calculated for the yield of lint with the size of boll and earliness only at the College Station. The correlations between the yield of the lint and

Table II-Summary of the correlations obtained between the length of lint and the characters, lint per cent, size of boll, and earliness in cotton varieties grown at three Texas stations

| Year | Lint per cent |  |  | Size of boll | Earliness |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Angleton | Lubbock | College Station | College Station | College Station |
| 1916 |  |  | $-.52 \pm .07$ | $-.06 \pm .10$ |  |
| 1917 |  |  | . $00 \pm .00$ |  |  |
| 1918 |  | $-.45 \pm .09$ | -.35土. 09 | $+.01 \pm .10$ |  |
| 1919 | $-.67 \pm .12$ | -. $44 \pm .08$ | -. $28 \pm .10$ |  |  |
| 1920 | -.76土. 09 | -. $55 \pm .14$ |  |  |  |
| 1921 | $-.52 \pm .15$ | $-.37 \pm .16$ |  |  |  |
| 1922 | $-.71 \pm .10$ | $-.52 \pm .14$ | -. $54 \pm .14$ |  | $+.15 \pm .18$ |
| 1923 |  | $-.50 \pm .14$ | -. $43 \pm .16$ |  | $+.16 \pm .19$ |
| 1924 |  | $-.40 \pm .15$ | $-.62 \pm .10$ |  | $-.16 \pm .16$ |
| 1925 | $-.78 \pm .06$ | $-.74 \pm .08$ | $-.76 \pm .07$ | $-.52 \pm .12$ | $+.12 \pm .17$ |
| 1926 |  |  | $-.79 \pm .06$ | $-.08 \pm .17$ | $-.11 \pm .17$ |

Table III—Summary of the correlations of lint per cent with size of boll and earliness; and the size of boll with earliness in cotton varieties grown at College Station, Texas

| Year | Lint per cent |  | Size of boll with earliness |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Size of boll | Earliness |  |
| 1916 | $+.56 \pm .07$ |  |  |
| 1918 | $+.60 \pm .07$ |  |  |
| 1922 |  | $-.81 \pm .06$ |  |
| 1923 |  | -. $24 \pm .18$ |  |
| 1924 |  | $-.32 \pm .15$ |  |
| 1925 | $+.69 \pm .09$ | $-.55 \pm .12$ | $-.13 \pm .17$ |
| 1926 | $+.45 \pm .13$ | $-.12 \pm .17$ | $-.57 \pm .11$ |

the size of boll were positive for three years out of the four reported and the relationship assumed considerable importance in those three years. The score for earliness was obtained by counting the number of blooms produced on 100 plants during the first 30 days of the blooming period. Apparently earliness was not a factor in the production of high yield of lint except possibly in the season of 1926.

The length of lint is consistently associated with the lint per cent in a negative relationship. That is, as the length of the lint increases the lint per cent tends to decrease. This is true in all the years of the study and at all three of the Texas stations. The association of the length of lint with the size of boll assumed some importance in the season of 1925. In this season the larger boll types tended to develop the longer staple. There is, apparently, no relation between the length of lint and earliness in any of the years of the study.

The lint per cent and the size of the boll show a positive relationship. Since the size of boll is recorded as the number of bolls it takes to make a pound of seed cotton, the relationship reported indicates the tendency for the smaller boll types to possess the higher gin turnout. It is surprising to note that the larger boll types tend to be earlier than the smaller boll types in the year 1926, the correlation coefficient being - $.57 \pm .11$.

In order to learn the extent of the variation to be found in the association of the four characters, the yield of seed cotton, the lint per cent, the lint length, and the number of bolls per pound, data were taken from several sources for variety tests in cotton. Correlations were calculated and are given in Table IV. The source of the data and the number of varieties included are as follows: Texas Spur Station 1917, (5) 50 varieties; Texas, College Station 1925, (13) 16 varieties; South Carolina 1910, (1) 31 varieties and 1923, 17 varieties; Arkansas, Burdette 1922, (16) 21 varieties; Scott 1922, (15) 21 varieties, and Scott 1921 and 1922, (16) 28 varieties; Mississippi (12) "standard soil" 1923 and 1924, and "hill soil" 1923 and 1924, 15 varieties; and Oklahoma 1924, (2) 36 varieties.

It may be noted that the correlation of yield with the other three characters is not consistent. The association of yield with the lint per cent varies in degree and is both positive and negative. In the tests at the Mississippi station the same 15 varieties were used in the seasons of 1923 and 1924 and on "standard" and "hill" soil. There appears to be very little difference in the relations of yield and the lint per cent due to different types of soil. However, there is considerable seasonal variation. This holds true for the correlations of yield with the length of lint and the number of bolls per pound in the data from Mississippi. There appears to be a consistent negative correlation between the lint per cent and the length of lint at all stations and in all years. The correlation coefficients range from -. $441 \pm .077$ for the Texas Spur Station to $-.901 \pm .033$ for the Mississippi "hill" soil in 1924. The lint per cent seems also to be rather uniformly negatively correlated with the number of bolls per pound although in a few cases the correlation coefficients are of little or no importance and hardly significant when considered in the light of their probable errors. The lint length with the number of bolls per pound shows considerable variation and in very few instances do the correlations appear statistically significant. In the South Carolina 1910 test the correlation coefficient is $-.411 \pm .101$ and at Burdette, Arkansas in 1922 the correlation coefficient is $+.425 \pm .121$. It is generally considered that the exceptionally long lint varieties of cotton are of the small boll type although the test for South Carolina mentioned above shows a tendency for the longer lint types to have the larger bolls.

The results published by Stroman (13) furnish data for 8 characters complete for 14 varieties. These characters are as follows: The yield of seed cotton, obtained by adding yields of lint and seed, the lint per cent, the length of lint in sixteenths of an inch, the total number of bolls, the per cent of the bolls that are 5 -locked, the per cent of the bolls that are 4-locked, the weight per boll in grams, obtained by dividing the yield of seed cotton by the total number of bolls, and the weight of the lint. The correlation coefficients for the various characteristics

Table IV－Correlations calculated from data of variety tests of various state agricultural experiment stations

|  | Yield with |  |  | Lint per cent with |  | Lint length with bolls per pound |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lint per cent | Lint length | Bolls per pound | Lint length | Bolls per pound |  |
| Texas： |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spur， 1917. | $-.120 \pm .094$ | $+.217 \pm .091$ | $+.081 \pm .095$ | $-.441 \pm .077$ | $-.313 \pm .086$ | $+.173 \pm .093$ |
| College Station， 1925. | $+.105 \pm .167$ | $-.228 \pm .160$ | $-.379 \pm .144$ | $-.631 \pm .101$ | $-.538 \pm .120$ | ＋．194土． 162 |
| South Carolina： |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1910．． | $-.466 \pm .095$ | $+.136 \pm .119$ | $+.001 \pm .121$ | $-.593 \pm .079$ | $-.021 \pm .121$ | $-.411 \pm .101$ |
| 1923. | $+.331 \pm .146$ | $-.314 \pm .147$ | $+.084 \pm .162$ | $-.777 \pm .065$ | $+.009 \pm .164$ | $-.194 \pm .157$ |
| Arkansas： |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Burdette， 1922 | $-.115 \pm .127$ | $-.203 \pm .141$ | ＋．193土． 142 | $-.542 \pm .104$ | $-.713 \pm .072$ | $+.425 \pm .121$ |
| Scott，1922．．． | $+.177 \pm .143$ | $-.246 \pm .138$ | $-.161 \pm .143$ | $-.754 \pm .064$ | $-.724 \pm .070$ | $+.375 \pm .126$ |
| Scott， 1921 | $+.032 \pm .127$ | $-.208 \pm .122$ | $+.331 \pm .114$ | $-.784 \pm .049$ | $-.563 \pm .087$ | $+.230 \pm .121$ |
| Scott， 1922 | $+.273 \pm .118$ | $-.356 \pm .111$ | $-.277 \pm .118$ | $-.837 \pm .038$ | $-.624 \pm .078$ | $+.327 \pm .114$ |
| Mississippi： |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Standard Soil， 1923 | －． $123 \pm .172$ | $-.121 \pm .172$ | $-.005 \pm .174$ | $-.898 \pm .034$ | $-.539 \pm .124$ | $+.413 \pm .144$ |
| Standard Soil， 1924 | ＋．474土． 135 | －． $589 \pm .114$ | $-.366 \pm .151$ | －．892土． 036 | $-.214 \pm .166$ | $+.215 \pm .166$ |
| Hill Soil， 1923. | $+.012 \pm .174$ | $-.194 \pm .168$ | $+.126 \pm .171$ | $-.639 \pm .103$ | $-.318 \pm .157$ | $+.424 \pm .143$ |
| Hill Soil， 1924. | $+.491 \pm .132$ | $-.491 \pm .132$ |  | $-.901 \pm .033$ |  |  |
| Oklahoma： 1924. | $+.082 \pm .112$ | $-.507 \pm .084$ | ＋．312土． 101 | $-.465 \pm .088$ | $-.494 \pm .085$ | $-.176 \pm .109$ |

with the yield of seed cotton, the lint per cent, the lint length, and the weight per boll are as follows:

| Independent variable | Yield of seed cotton | Lint per cent | Lint length | Weight per boll |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lint per cent | $-.0331 \pm .1174$ |  | $-.4289 \pm .0326$ | $+.5415 \pm .0290$ |
| Lint length. | $-.0651 \pm .0836$ | $-.4289 \pm .0326$ |  | $-.2992 \pm .0390$ |
| Weight per boll | $+.3279 \pm .0372$ | $+.5415 \pm .0290$ | $-.2992 \pm .0390$ |  |
| Total number of bolls | $+.5898 \pm .0277$ | $-.4648 \pm .0313$ | $+.1901 \pm .0488$ | $-.5663 \pm .0283$ |
| Per cent 5-lock bolls. | $+.5337 \pm .0292$ | $+.3831 \pm .0345$ | $-.4714 \pm .0330$ | $+.6268 \pm .0269$ |
| Per cent 4-lock bolls | $-.5345 \pm .0292$ | $-.3825 \pm .0345$ | $+.4171 \pm .0330$ | $-.6284 \pm .0269$ |
| Yield of lint.... | $+.9015 \pm .0225$ | $+.3852 \pm .0344$ | $-.2715 \pm .0409$ | $+.4710 \pm .0311$ |

It may be noted that the size of the boll and the number of locks per boll are significantly associated with the yield of seed cotton. The yield of lint is very closely associated with the yield of seed cotton, which is to be expected since it is a part of the yield of seed cotton. Undoubtedly any random sample of upland cotton varieties is largely represented by those varieties which have been developed for an increase in the lint per cent.

The lint per cent is negatively correlated with the lint length, the total number of bolls, and the percentage of 4-lock bolls; and positively correlated with the weight of the boll, the percentage of 5 -lock bolls, and the yield of the lint. All of these correlations are significant when considered in the light of their probable errors and are of some importance although none of them are very high.

The lint length is positively correlated with the total number of bolls and the percentage of 4-lock bolls. This indicates that the smaller boll types in general tend to have the longer staples. This assumption is borne out by the fact that the length of lint is negatively correlated with the weight per boll and the percentage of 5 -lock bolls. The 5 -lock bolls tend to be larger than 4 -lock bolls as is indicated by the positive association of the weight per boll and the percentage of 5 -lock bolls.

The weight per boll is positively correlated with the lint per cent, the per cent of 5 -lock bolls and the yield of lint and negatively correlated with the length of lint, the total number of bolls, and the percentage of 4-lock bolls.

Since the percentages of 4 -lock and 5 -lock bolls are component parts of 100 per cent their relations with all other characters are expected to be opposite in sign and the values of the correlation coefficients to be approximately the same. The results indicate that the higher the average number of locks per boll the greater the yield of seed cotton, the lint per cent, and the weight per boll, and the lower the length of the lint.

In general the data available suggest considerable variability in the degree of association of some of the characteristics in upland cottons. There appears to be a fairly consistent relationship between the lint per cent and the length of lint regardless of seasonal conditions or locality. The correlation coefficients obtained between these two characters are all negative and important. In several cases they are sufficiently high for use in prediction. These two characters are the only two so consistently associated. Their close relationship assumes added significance when one takes into consideration the fact that in all attempts at improvement the aim has been, no doubt, to increase both the length of the lint and the lint per cent. Information upon many other characters seems desirable and it is reasonable to assume that the location of the experiment may influence the results to the extent that it dictates very largely the varieties included in any random sample of the locally adapted varieties.

## Materials and Methods

The present study includes observations made during the seasons of 1926 and 1927 at Stillwater, Oklahoma. According to the foregoing analysis of published data on cotton varieties in different years and in various localities of the cotton belt it is apparent that variation exists in the relationship of the various charac-
teristics of upland cotton. No doubt some of the variation is traceable to the difference in varieties grown in the various sections although some variation is apparently due to seasonal differences. The data presented in this paper were taken from varieties in the regular variety test and which, therefore, form a random sample of the upland varieties showing promise for this section of the cotton belt. In the selection of varieties for use, only those grown in the variety test were used and only those were rejected which, obviously, were closely related as to their origin. For instance, there are a number of Mebane selections and to include all of them and only one of some other variety would in effect bias the results.

All of the correlation coefficients were calculated according to the methods suggested by Wallace and Snedecor (14) in their pamphlet "Correlation and Machine Calculation." The multiple correlations were corrected according to the formula on page 47 of their publication. The correlation ratios were calculated according to the method suggested by Kelly (8) and the use of Blakeman's test for linearity of regression was adapted from the same authority.

The study for 1926 included nineteen varieties and measurements were secured on 24 characters. Twenty-five plants were tagged in each of two series of the variety test making a total of 50 plants for each variety. The measurements were taken independently on each set of 25 plants and the score for any particular characteristic is an average of the two determinations. The characters studied and the methods of obtaining the measurements are as follows:
(a) The date of first flower. The date was recorded for the first blossom on each plant and the average taken for the variety.
(b) The date of first open boll. The date was recorded for the first open boll on each plant.
(c) The height of the plant. The height of each plant was recorded at maturity and was taken for all varieties at the same time.
(d) The height to the first branch. This character was measured at the same time as the height of the plant and is the distance from the ground to the node on the main stem of the plant where the first main branch appeared.
(e) The number of internodes in the main stem of the plant. This score was obtained at the same time as scores (c) and (d).
(f) The length of internodes of main stem, obtained from (c) and (e) by computation.
(g) The shape of plant. This character was obtained by measuring the angle and length of basal and median branches. The score given presumes to indicate the tendency toward conical shape, and the higher the score the more conical the plant shape.
(h) The total leaf area. The measurement of leaf area was taken for each plant on the day the first flower blossomed for that particular plant. While the actual date varied for the different varieties the time of measuring the leaf area represented a comparable period in the plant's development for all varieties. The leaves of the plant were grouped as to their positions and sizes. The number of each size was recorded and an outline of a representative of each size was traced on paper. Later the actual area in square inches of each size outline was measured with the aid of a planimeter and the total leaf area computed.
(i) The area of largest leaf. This score represents the area of the largest bract leaf. The measurement was made in the same manner as for the total leaf area and at the same date for each plant as for score "h."
(j) The number of fruit branches was determined at the time of maturity.
(k) The number of vegetative branches was also recorded at maturity.
(l) The total number of bolls was recorded just before the first picking was made.
(m) The number of bolls on the fruit branches, and
(n) The number of bolls on the vegetative branches were recorded at the same time as the total number of bolls, score "l."

Table $\mathbf{V}-\mathbf{A}$ summary of data for various characteristics of nineteen varieties of upland cotton grown at Stillwater, Oklahoma, in 1926


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Variety} \& \multirow{3}{*}{\(\underbrace{}_{\substack{\text { Row } \\ \text { numbers }}}\)} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Date of flower} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { date of } \\
\text { frite of } \\
\text { boll }
\end{gathered}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Bosoroms } \\
18 \text { dorys }
\end{gathered}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Number } \\
\text { of ofll } \\
\text { openat } \\
\text { days }
\end{gathered}
\]} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\(\underset{\substack{\text { Locks } \\ \text { per boll }}}{ }\)} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{|}
\text { Per ent } \\
\text { shed at } 18 \\
\text { days }
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Weight } \\
\text { seot } \\
\text { sototon }
\end{gathered}
\]} \& \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Lint} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\(\underbrace{\substack{\text { mature }}}_{\text {Time to }}\)} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Weight of} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}
\text { Number } \\
\text { of of bill to } \\
\text { naken } \\
\text { sedo } \\
\text { seed cotton }
\end{gathered}\right.
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} \& \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Height} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}
\text { Number } \\
\text { on intior- } \\
\text { main tes } \\
\text { man stem }
\end{gathered}\right.
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}
\text { Length of } \\
\substack{\text { intandodeses } \\
\text { inchese }} \\
\hline
\end{gathered}\right.
\]} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Number of branches} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Number } \\
\text { of bots } \\
\text { total }
\end{gathered}
\]} \& \multirow{2}{*}{\({ }_{\substack{\text { Weight } \\ \text { seed }}}\)} \& \multirow{2}{*}{\({ }_{\text {Lindex }}^{\text {index }}\)} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& Per cent \& Length \& \& \& \& \& Of play \&  \& \& \& Fruit \& Vegeta \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \& \& (a) \& (b) \& (c) \& (d) \& (e) \& (f) \& (g) \& (b) \& (i) \& (j) \& (k) \& (1) \& (m) \& (n) \& (o) \& (p) \& (9) \& (r) \& (s) \& (t) \& (u) \& (v) \& (w) \& (x) \& (y) \\
\hline Oklahoma Triumph N \& \({ }^{1+74}\) \& 7-24 \& 9-14 \& 10.24 \& \({ }_{1}^{13.36}\) \& 4.16 \& 4.32 \& 7.50 \& 26.65 \& 22.75 \& 38.34 \& 15.7 \& 52 \& 12.0 \& 77.8 \& 16.65 \& 23.12 \& 5.40 \& 16.20 \& 1.09 \& \({ }^{13.52}\) \& 0.78 \& 5.32 \& 14.03 \& 7.46 \& 62.9 \\
\hline Acala 5. \& \({ }^{3}+76\) \& 7-25 \& -9-16 \& \({ }_{6}^{8.82}\) \& 11.78 \& 3.20 \& 4.38 \& \({ }_{6}^{6.02}\) \& 32.59
50.33
50 \& 18.20
8.67
18 \& 38.77
36.84
36 \& 15.3
15.3 \& 53
67
67 \& 10.0
13.6 \& 76.3
61.4 \& 17.87
25.34 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
33.90 \\
31.58 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 6.42
5.06
5.0 \& 19.24
18.44 \& 1.27 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
16.98 \\
16.98 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 0.74
0.82 \& 4.51
2.35
2.35 \& \(\begin{array}{r}11.14 \\ 5.47 \\ \hline\end{array}\) \& 6.33
7.93 \& 59.9
31.5 \\
\hline New Boykin \& \({ }_{5}^{4+78}\) \& \(7{ }^{7-31}\) \& \({ }_{\substack{10-26}}^{10-2}\) \& 6.73 \& 8. 8.50 \& 2.03
2.26 \& \(\stackrel{4}{4.58}\) \& 3.00 \& \({ }^{50} 5\) \& 12.75 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
38.77 \\
37.05 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 14.3 \& \({ }_{57}\) \& 13.6
11.8 \& 61.4
70.7 \& \({ }_{23.14}^{25.34}\) \& \({ }_{31}^{31.54}\) \& 5.06
5.24 \& \({ }_{18}^{18.34}\) \& \({ }_{1}^{1.44}\) \& \({ }_{16.68}^{16.98}\) \& 0.82
0.94
0. \& 2.35
3.26 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
5.47 \\
8.03 \\
\hline 1
\end{tabular} \& \({ }_{6.95}^{7.93}\) \& 31.5
39.5 \\
\hline Lone Star. \& \(7+80\) \& \(7-26\) \& 10-1 \& 7.50 \& 10.58 \& 2.12 \& 4.59 \& 3.86 \& 48.52 \& 9.39 \& 36.39 \& 16.3 \& 67 \& 13.3 \& 62.0 \& 26.77 \& \({ }^{33} .80\) \& 5.17 \& 18.65 \& 1.54 \& 17.18 \& 0.88 \& 2.99 \& 5.97 \& 7.61 \& 38.3 \\
\hline Rowden... \& \begin{tabular}{l}
\(8+81\) \\
\(9+82\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 7-23 \& 9-21 \& 8.44 \& 11.64 \& \({ }_{3}^{3.07}\) \& 4.50 \& 5.42 \& 36.10 \& 15.74 \& \({ }^{35.45}\) \& 16.0 \& \({ }_{6}^{60}\) \& \({ }_{11.3}^{14.3}\) \& \({ }^{64.8}\) \& \({ }_{2}^{22.46}\) \& 36.18 \& \({ }_{6}^{6.26}\) \& \({ }_{18}^{18.42}\) \& \({ }_{1}^{1.62}\) \& 17.30 \& 0.52 \& \({ }^{4.48}\) \& 10.16 \& 7.85 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
48.3 \\
54.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Trice. \& 11+84 \& 7-22 \& \({ }_{9-12}\) \& \({ }_{9.60}^{8.92}\) \& \({ }_{13}^{12.06}\) \& - \begin{tabular}{l} 
3.744 \\
4.84 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 4.44 \& \begin{tabular}{l} 
6.76 \\
7.82 \\
\hline 8.
\end{tabular} \& \({ }^{24.44} 18.69\) \& \({ }_{23}^{18.59}\) \& 43.97

34.97 \& 12.7 \& | 54 |
| :--- |
| 52 | \& 11.0

12.0 \& | 79.7 |
| :--- |
| 84.2 |
| 8 | \& ${ }_{1}^{23.72}$ \& 30.40

27.78 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
6.32 <br>
6.52 <br>
\hline

 \& ${ }_{15}^{15.77}$ \& 

1.53 <br>
1.38 <br>
\hline

 \& 

15.13 <br>
15.18 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 0.23

0.20 \& 5.62

6.97 \& | 10.42 |
| :--- |
| 15.28 | \& 8.63

6.45 \& ${ }_{68 .}^{54}$ <br>
\hline Delta Pine Land No \& $12+85$ \& $7-29$ \& 9-23 \& 7.06 \& 9.15 \& 2.65 \& 4.32 \& 4.16 \& 41.17 \& 16.83 \& 35.26 \& 16.0 \& 56 \& 12.0 \& 88.7 \& 19.57 \& 29.64 \& ${ }_{6}^{6.06}$ \& 17.04 \& 1.38 \& 16.44 \& 0.50 \& 3.68 \& 10.90 \& 6.54 \& 56.7 <br>
\hline Delfos. \& 15+88 \& $7-24$ \& 9-14 \& 8.42 \& 11.52 \& 3.78 \& 4.15 \& 6.52 \& 22.65 \& ${ }^{21.47}$ \& ${ }^{33.25}$ \& 16.7 \& 52 \& 11.2 \& 92.1 \& 17.03 \& 25.39 \& 5.33 \& 15.04 \& 1.33 \& 14.50 \& 0.50 \& 6.20 \& 14.38 \& 5.58 \& ${ }_{50.4} 8$ <br>
\hline ${ }_{\text {Lightning }}^{\text {Hartsvill }} 21$ Express. \& 16 +89 \& ${ }_{8}^{7-27}$ \& -9-16 \& 5.14
6.42 \& ${ }_{9} 7.62$ \& 2.80
1.40 \& ${ }_{4}^{4.09}$ \& 4.08 \& ${ }^{20.90}$ \& ${ }_{10}^{17.06}$ \& ${ }^{32} \times 1.13$ \& 18.0 \& ${ }_{73}^{51}$ \& ${ }_{13}^{10.7}$ \& ${ }_{98}^{96.8}$ \& ${ }_{27}^{17.80}$ \& 29.04 \& ${ }_{6}^{6.24}$ \& 16.27 \& ${ }_{1}^{1.40}$ \& ${ }^{15} 56$ \& 0.54 \& ${ }_{2}^{4.00}$ \& ${ }_{7}^{11.58}$ \& 5.5.50 \& - ${ }_{26.2}^{58}$ <br>
\hline Delta Type Webber \& $18+91$ \& 7-31 \& 10-6 \& 6.58 \& ${ }_{9.98}$ \& ${ }_{2.32}$ \& ${ }^{4.50}$ \& 4.17 \& ${ }_{37}{ }^{53} .07$ \& ${ }_{9} 9.29$ \& ${ }_{31.29}$ \& ${ }_{19.3}$ \& 67 \& 12.5 \& 85.4 \& ${ }_{20.93}^{22.80}$ \& ${ }_{31} 170$ \& ${ }_{6}^{6.18}$ \& ${ }_{16} 15.28$ \& 1.53 \& 16.14 \& ${ }_{0} .44$ \& 2.74 \& 6.38 \& 5.69 \& 25.9 <br>
\hline Super Seven. \& 20 + 93 \& ${ }_{8}^{8-1}$ \& $\underset{\substack{10-1 \\ 0-29}}{ }$ \& 6.84
7.58
7 \& 9.98 \& ${ }_{2}^{2.56}$ \& ${ }^{4.45}$ \& 4.80 \& 30.27 \& 11.04 \& 33.29 \& 16.7 \& 60
59 \& ${ }_{10}^{10.0}$ \& ${ }^{102.6}$ \& ${ }_{21}^{21.44}$ \& -31.78 \& ${ }_{5}^{6.72}$ \& ${ }^{17.88}$ \& ${ }_{1}^{1.40}$ \& ${ }^{16.90}$ \& 0.70
0.80 \& ${ }_{4}^{4.21}$ \& ${ }^{7} \mathbf{7}$ 7.36 \& 4.99
5 \& 39.3 <br>
\hline Cleveland Big Boil: \& ${ }_{22}+95$ \& ${ }_{8}^{8-1}$ \& 9-24 \& 6.04 \& 10.71
8.22
1 \& ${ }_{2.55}^{2.67}$ \& ${ }_{4.38}^{4.11}$ \& ${ }_{4.21}$ \& 31.35
26.79 \& 16.60
14.67 \& 33.80
35.35 \& 13.3

13.7 \& | 59 |
| :---: |
| 54 | \& 10.0

11.0 \& 89.1
83.6 \& ${ }_{22.80}^{22.51}$ \& 27.60
28.10 \& ${ }_{5}^{5.92}$ \& ${ }_{13}^{15.76}$ \& 1.429 \& 14.92
13.58
16 \& 0.80
0.28 \& ${ }_{4}^{4.00}$ \& +9.48 \& 5.11
6.01 \& ${ }_{41.6}^{4.5}$ <br>
\hline Plettner \& ${ }^{23}+96$ \& 7-24 \& 9-21 \& 7.56 \& 10.24 \& 2.35 \& 4.67 \& 4.53 \& 50.20 \& 14.06 \& 35.75 \& 16.7 \& 59 \& 14.0 \& 63.7 \& 23.01 \& 30.30 \& 5.48 \& 16.20 \& 1.53 \& 15.14 \& 0.90 \& ${ }_{3}^{3.64}$ \& 9.03 \& 7.79 \& 40.0
43.8 <br>
\hline Kasch. \& $24+97$ \& 7-28 \& 9-27 \& 6.16 \& 7.50 \& 2.23 \& 4.65 \& 3.02 \& 50.56 \& 12.01 \& 39.26 \& 14.3 \& 61 \& 12.3 \& 59.5 \& 21.19 \& 28.52 \& 4.68 \& 17.44 \& 1.37 \& 16.28 \& 1.02 \& 3.01 \& 7.29 \& 7.95 \& 43.8 <br>
\hline Mean \& \& 27.7222 \& 24.2777 \& 7.4494 \& \& \& \& 4.9150 \& 35. 4961 \& \& 35.5438 \& 15.9055 \& 58.5555 \& 11.9666 \& \& 21.2144 \& 29.7217 \& \& 16.7778 \& 1.4250 \& 15.7611 \& 0.6139 \& ${ }^{4.0633}$ \& 9. 72317 \& 6. 6356 \& <br>
\hline Standard Deviation. \& \& 2. 3.4611 \& - ${ }_{\text {8. }}^{3} .1773$ \& ${ }_{1}^{1.3383}$ \& 1.7656 \& 0.8269 \& ${ }_{0}^{0.1735}$ \& 1.4437 \& 10.8742 \& 4.5170 \& 3.3266 \& ${ }_{1}^{1.6263}$ \& ${ }^{6.2383}$ \& ${ }^{1.3567}$ \& ${ }_{12}^{12.5403}$ \& ${ }_{1}^{2} .8195$ \& ${ }_{2}^{2.89}$ \& ${ }^{0.5970}$ \& ${ }_{8}^{1.4202}$ \& O. 0.1217 \& ${ }^{1.1532}$ \& 0.2558 \& 1.2816 \& 2.8348 \& 1.1359 \& 12.3122
26.4210 <br>
\hline Error of C.V.......... \& \& 1.40 \& $\underset{3.79}{\substack{\text { a }}}$ \& ${ }_{2.02}$ \& 17.94 \& ${ }_{3.30}^{29.3404}$ \& ${ }_{0}^{3.44}$ \& $\underset{\substack{29.303}}{ }$ \& $\underset{\substack{30.6349 \\ 3.44}}{ }$ \& $\underset{3.35}{29.8219}$ \& - \& $\underset{1.15}{10.2248}$ \& $1{ }^{10.2037}$ \& ${ }_{1}^{1.27}$ \& $1{ }_{1}^{15.79}$ \& $\underset{1.49}{13.2905}$ \& 1.09 \& ${ }_{1.15}$ \& - \& ${ }_{0}^{8.96}$ \& ${ }_{0.82}$ \& ${ }_{4.68}$ \& ( \& ${ }_{3.28}$ \& 1.92 \& ${ }_{\text {26. }}^{26}$ <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

(o) The number of bolls picked per plant represents the bolls which were actually matured and picked. It does not include the bolls which were too immature to open naturally.
(p) The number of bolls per pound of seed cotton was taken from the regular variety test data. The method of computing this item was to take a 25 -boll sample from each of the three replicates of the test for each variety and determine the number of bolls per pound by actual weight.
(q) The yield of seed cotton represents the average yield of the three series computed to pounds per acre.
(r) The per cent at first pick is the actual percentage of the total yield of a variety that was picked at the first picking. All varieties were picked at the same date.
(s) The lint per cent was determined from the 25 -boll samples harvested for the determination of the boll size and the lint length. It represents the gin turnout. The samples were ginned on a small experimental gin in the laboratory.
(t) The lint length is recorded in sixteenths of an inch and was also determined from the 25 -boll sample. Several samples were made for each variety and the average taken for the several samples.
(u) The yield of lint represents the yield of seed cotton times the lint per cent.
(v) The weight of 100 seeds was obtained from seeds of the 25 -boll samples.
(w) The lint index was determined according to the method described by Dunlavy (3) and is the "weight in grams of the fibres produced by 100 seeds." The common method of determination is to divide the weight of 100 seeds by the difference between 100 and the lint per cent for a variety, and multiply the quotient by the lint per cent.
(y) The time to mature represents the time between the date of the first blossom and the date of the first open boll.
( $\Sigma$ ) The number of locks per boll was determined in the field just previous to the time of the first picking.
The varieties studied in the season of 1926 and the averages for the characters measured are given in Table V. The mean, the standard deviation, and the coefficient of variability are given for each character.

Some characters are much more variable than others as is indicated by their coefficients of variability. The varieties used varied considerably for the date of the first open boll, the C.V. being $50.33 \pm 5.51$. Other characters varying nearly as much are the number of vegetative branches, the number of bolls on the vegetative branches and the per cent of the crop picked at the first picking. The least variable character was the height to the first branch, the C.V. being only $1.31 \pm$ 0.14 . This particular characteristeric appears to be more dependent upon environmental conditions or else it is inherently similar for all upland varieties under observation.

During the season of 1926 careful observations were made in the hope of discovering characters, other than those already under investigation, which might be associated with the four important economic characteristics of the cotton crop. The study was repeated in a similar fashion in 1927 with some few characters omitted and others added for study. During the season of 1927, 18 varieties were included in the study and the scores were obtained on 25 characteristics, some of which were the same as for 1926. The methods of securing the measurements and assembling the data were the same in the season of 1927 as for the season of 1926. The data are given in Table VI. The characteristics studied are listed below together with the method used in obtaining the score in all cases of characters under observation for the first time. The characters studied are as follows:
(a) The date of the first open blossom.
(b) The date of the first open boll.
(c) The number of blossoms which had opened up to and including 18 days after the first flower opened. This measurement was made for the first
time in 1927 and was selected as a possible measure for the rapidity of fruiting. Counts were made on all varieties each day during the 18 days.
(d) The number of squares at first flower. On the day each plant opened its first blossom the number of squares was counted. This character also was expected to indicate the rapidity of fruiting.
(e) The number of bolls open at 18 days after the first open boll. This observation was made for each individual plant and the average taken. It also was considered a possible index to the rapidity of fruiting.
(f) The number of locks per boll.
(g) The number of flowers and bolls on at 18 days after the first blossom opened. This score represents the number of flowers and bolls that were on the plant 18 days after the day the first flower opened. Some varieties seem to shed more than others and it was thought there might be some variation among varieties as to their ability to fruit rapidly and also to retain the young bolls.
(h) The per cent shed at 18 days. A corollary of characters (c) and (g).
(i) The weight of seed cotton. This score represents the actual average yield per plot in pounds of seed cotton.
(j) The lint per cent.
(k) The lint length.
(l) The time to mature.
(m) The weight of 100 seeds.
(n) The number of bolls per pound.
(o) The area of the largest leaf in square inches.
(p) The height of the plant in inches.
(q) The height to the first branch in inches.
(r) The number of internodes in the main stem.
(s) The length of internodes in inches.
(t) The number of fruit branches.
(u) The number of vegetative branches.
(v) The total number of bolls per plant.
(w) The yield of seed.
(x) The lintindex.
(y) The per cent picked at the first picking.

There is considerable variability in most of the characters under observation in 1927. (See Table VI.) The least variability was found in the character "the number of locks per boll," the C.V. being $3.92 \pm .44$. It is of especial interest to note the variability in those characters which are assumed to measure the rapidity of fruiting, such as, the number of squares at first flower and the number of flowers and bolls at 18 days after the first blossom. The coefficients of variability for these two characters are $17.25 \pm 1.94$ and $29.37 \pm 3.30$ respectively.

## EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Correlation coefficients were obtained for all possible character combinations in the season of 1926. The data are given in Table VII. Several characters were found to be associated to a considerable degree with the four important economic characters, the yield of seed cotton, the lint per cent, the lint length, and the size of the boll.

Correlation coefficients were calculated for practically all character combinations in the season of 1927 and for all characters studied with the four characters, the yield of seed cotton, the lint per cent, the length of lint, and the number of bolls per pound. The data are given in Table VIII. The number of squares on the plant the day the first blossom opens is rather closely associated with the yield of seed cotton but hardly of any importance with respect to the lint per cent, the lint length, or the size of boll. The number of flowers and bolls on the plant 18 days from the date of the first blossom is even more closely associated with the yield of seed cotton, the correlation coefficient between these two variables being $+.8792 \pm .0361$. This one characteristic is a reasonably good indicator of yielding ability. Other characters of interest from the standpoint of their association


|  |  | boll | Flowers or or de |  |  | ${ }^{\text {I oeks seer boll }}$ |  | Per cent dated at | ${ }_{\text {Yida do feded }}^{\text {cotond }}$ | Lint per ont | Lint length | Time to | Weishefotit 100 |  | Area deargest | Heightof flant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date of frrst flower． | 1.000 | 1．000 ${ }^{\text {P05 } \pm .0797}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {915 }}^{4 \times 1.1546}$ | $.51188 \pm .11773$ | $\begin{aligned} & .1557 \pm .1551 \\ & .6773 \pm .0861 \end{aligned}$ | $\xrightarrow{.5881 \pm .11}$ | ${ }_{\text {－}}^{2695 \pm .1}$ | － | $\stackrel{.3}{.3700 \pm+1372}$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text {＋}}{+0411 \pm .1587}$ |
|  |  |  | $1.000$ |  |  | － |  |  | ＋ | ＋ | ＋2308． 1400 |  |  | （12750＋1．15885 |  | （i793土 .1635 |
| Number of folls open at it days．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．（f） |  |  |  |  | 1.000 | － $1.0507 \pm \pm .1294$ | （9177．0148 | $\xrightarrow{7890 \pm} .05957$ | ＋．9121．：0296 + | ＋．3545．1139 |  |  | 即 | ， | （ibss＋i．0920 |  |
| Number of flowers on at 18 days， |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1．000 |  |  |  | － $2304+1.1465$ |  | －36772E．138 |  | －5760＋． 1063 | －3789̇． 136 i |
| Weicent of thevers siod at 18 days． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ＋． $21964+1.1531$ |  |  |  | －61943土．0890 | 5664t． 1080 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{1.000} 808 \pm .049$ | 1．0008 | －． $4383 \pm+1.1350$ | －． $212354 \pm+1.1465$ |  | －1299＋．159 | $\stackrel{+}{+.0635+1.1582}$ |
| Thimb ematureens．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．（i） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | －．$-38878 \pm \pm .10$ | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{6613 \pm \pm 088}$ | $4106 \pm .1321$ |
| Aumer or fosest per pound seed cotton． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － $4.488+.1256$ | ${ }_{\substack{3099 \pm \\ 193 \pm+15151}}$ |  |  |  | － 1.5000 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | coin |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nember of internodes in main stem．．．．． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | －． $3885 \pm \pm$ ． $1384+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | －．5200． 1145 | ＋ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ＋ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table IX—Characters associated with yield of seed cotton to the extent that the values of＂$r$＂are around ． 4 or higher； values for＂$\eta$＂＇and $\eta^{2}-r^{2}$ for use in testing linearity of regression． 1926

|  | Value for＂ r ＂ |  | Value for＂$\eta$＂ |  | $\eta^{2}-\mathrm{r}^{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| f | $-.573 \pm .104$ | －． $4693 \pm .1207 *$ | $\mathrm{fq}=.5290 \pm .1113$ | $\mathrm{qf}=.6942 \pm .0802$ | $\mathrm{fq}=.0596 \pm .0721$ | $\mathrm{qf}=.2617 \pm .1287$ |
| 1 | $-.379 \pm .133$ | $-.3455 \pm .1362$ | $\mathrm{iq}=.3905 \pm .1311$ | $\mathrm{qi}=.4658 \pm .1211$ | $\mathrm{iq}=.0331 \pm .0547$ | $\mathrm{qi}=.0976 \pm .0885$ |
| k | $-.458 \pm .122$ | $-.4088 \pm .1289$ | $\mathrm{kq}=.5557 \pm .1069$ | $\mathrm{qk}=.6336 \pm .0927$ | $\mathrm{kq}=.1417 \pm .1032$ | $\mathrm{qk}=.2343 \pm .1221$ |
| t | $-.465 \pm .121$ | $-.3537 \pm .1353$ | $\mathrm{tq}=.3806 \pm .1323$ | $\mathrm{qt}=.4767 \pm .1195$ | $\mathrm{tq}=.0198 \pm .0428$ | $\mathrm{qt}=.1021 \pm .0902$ |
| S | $+.522 \pm .113$ | $+.4748 \pm .1198$ | $\mathrm{sq}=.5558 \pm .1069$ | $\mathrm{q} \mathrm{s}=.5213 \pm .1127$ | $\mathrm{sq}=.0835 \pm .0838$ | $\mathrm{q} s=.0463 \pm .0643$ |
| u | ＋．864土．039 | $+.8701 \pm .0376$ | $\mathrm{uq}=.9100 \pm .0266$ | $\mathrm{qu}=.8959 \pm .0305$ | $\mathrm{uq}=.0710 \pm .0812$ | $\mathrm{qu}=.0455 \pm .0653$ |


| $\mathrm{f}=$ length of stem internode． |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{i}=$ area of largest leaf． | $\mathrm{s}=$ lint per cent． |
| $\mathrm{k}=$ number of vegetative branches． | $\mathrm{u}=$ yield of lint． |
| $\mathrm{t}=$ lint length． | $\mathrm{q}=$ yield of seed cotton． |

＊This value of＂$r$＇calculated from the same scatter diagram as that from which＇$\eta$＂was calculated．
Table X－Total，partial and multiple correlations in the series of variables as follows：Length of stem internode，area of largest leaf，number of vegetative branches，lint length，lint per cent，and yield of lint
with yield of seed cotton as the dependent variable． 1926

|  | Total correla－ tions | Partial correlations of yield with variable indicated at left and influence of character in vertical held constant $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{fq} . \mathrm{i}}$ ，etc． |  |  |  |  |  | Partial correlations of yield with variable indicated at left and influence of others held constant in series with characters： |  | Multiple correlations in series |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | f | i | k | t | s | u | f，i，k，t，s， and $u$ ； $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{fq} . \mathrm{iktsu}}$, etc． | $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{t}$ ， and s； $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{fq} . \mathrm{ikts}}$ | ${ }_{\text {r }}$ ．fiktsu | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {q．fikts }}$ |
|  | －． $573 \pm .104$ |  | $-.5240 \pm .1123$ | $-.4393 \pm .1249$ | －．6590土．0875 | －．5156土．1135 | $-.3335 \pm .1376$ | $-.2173 \pm .1475$ | －． $5222 \pm .1126$ | ． $9421 \pm .0174$ |  |
| i | $-.379 \pm .133$ | －．2739土．143i |  | －． $3259 \pm .1383$ | －． $4842 \pm .1185$ |  | －． $5407 \pm .1095$ | －． $2814 \pm .1425$ | －．522x | ．9421士．0174 | ．7661 $\pm .0639$ |
| k | －．458土．122 | $-.2246 \pm .1469$ | －． $4186 \pm \pm .1276$ | ．．．．．．．．．．．．． | $-.3733 \pm .1332$ | －．3322 | －． $0788 \pm .1538$ | －$+.2076 \pm .1480$ | ＋．1044土． 1531 |  |  |
|  | $-.465 \pm .121$ | $-.5829 \pm .1021$ | $-.5471 \pm .1084$ | $-.3827 \pm .1320$ |  | $-.3222 \pm .1387$ | －． $0678 \pm \pm .1540$ | $-.1960 \pm .1488$ | $-.5352 \pm .1104$ |  |  |
| ${ }^{8}$ | ＋．522土．113 | ＋．4525 $\pm .1230$ | ＋．6860 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ． 09941 | ＋．4254土． 1268 | $+.4100 \pm .1248$ |  | $-.9185 \pm .0241$ | －．8697 +.0376 | $+.4151 \pm .1281$ |  |  |
| u | $+.864 \pm .039$ | $+.8155 \pm .0517$ | ＋$+8891 \pm .0324$ | ＋．8254 $=.0494$ | ＋．8234 $\pm .0494$ | ＋．9725 $\pm .0082$ | ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | $+.9395 \pm .0180$ |  |  |  |

[^1]with yield of seed cotton are, the date of the first flower, the date of the first open boll, the total number of flowers opened at 18 days from the first flower, the number of bolls open 18 days after the first open boll, the number of locks per boll, the per cent of shed at 18 days after the first bloom, the time to mature, the area of the largest leaf, the height of the plant, the number of fruit branches, the total number of bolls, the yield of seed, and the per cent picked at the first picking.

As mentioned previously, there are four characters of importance from the standpoint of the producer. Other characters are important but their measurement is more detailed and laborious. Such characters are, quality of the fiber as indicated by the hardness and the strength of the fiber and the uniformity of the fiber length. The spinning test and the strength of yarn serve as the ultimate test upon any variety of cotton. The present study is confined to the four characters, the yield of seed cotton, the lint per cent, the lint length and the number of bolls per pound of seed cotton. These four characters are studied in their relations to each other and to the other characters under observation.

## The Yield of Seed Cotton

In Table IX, are given the simple correlation coefficients for the various characters of importance in their association with the yield of seed cotton in the season of 1926. Also values of eta and $\eta^{2}-\mathrm{r}^{2}$ are included for use in testing the linearity of regression. Since the number of variates was small, a rather coarse grouping was used in the calculation of eta. This was necessary in order to bring two or more variates into each class. Kelly (8) points out that $\eta^{2}-\mathrm{r}^{2}$ from raw eta is likely to give a value that is too large and a value for its probable error that is "relatively too small" if fine grouping is used where the population is small. The groupings in the calculations here reported were so coarse that it amounted to a rather intensive coding of the scores. For this reason, if for no other, it seemed wise to calculate the correlation coefficients used in $\eta^{2}-r^{2}$ from the same scatter diagram from which eta was calculated. Consequently there are two values for " $r$ " given in Table IX and succeeding tables of a similar nature. A comparison of these two correlation coefficients suggests that the coding was generally instrumental in reducing the size of "r."

The characters which appear to be of importance from the standpoint of the yield of seed cotton in the season of 1926 are, the length of the internode on the main stem, the area of the largest leaf, the number of vegetative branches, the length of lint, the lint per cent, the total leaf area, and the yield of lint. The first five and the last of these characters are considered of importance from the standpoint of computing multiple and partial correlations. The total leaf area is only slightly better than the area of the largest leaf and since the latter score is much more easily obtained it is considered more desirable. The yield of lint is a part of the yield of seed cotton and offers no particular advantage from the standpoint of use in prediction but is included as a matter of interest. Apparently the regression upon the yield of seed cotton of each of the characters listed is linear since none of the values of $\eta^{2}-r^{2} \pm E \eta^{2}-r^{2}$ is statistically of great significance.

The partial, total, and multiple correlations of the six characters, mentioned above, with yield as the dependent variable are given in Table $\mathbf{X}$ for the season of 1926. It is of interest to note the interrelation of these various characters in their associations with the yield of seed cotton. The number of vegetative branches is of little or no importance if the influence of the yield of lint is eliminated, the value of $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{kq} . \mathrm{u} .}$ being $-.0788 \pm .1538$. Also the lint length is of no importance so far as yield is concerned when the influence of the yield of lint is removed. Also when the influence of the yield of lint is eliminated the correlation of the lint per cent with yield is $-.9185 \pm .0241$ as compared with $+.522 \pm .113$ as a total correlation. Here the value not only is increased but the sign is changed. The correlation of the lint per cent with yield is practically the same when the influence of the yield of lint is "eliminated as when the influence of all the other variables, including the yield of lint, is removed. Apparently the number of vegetative branches is of little importance in itself since the value of $r_{\text {kq.fitsu }}$ is
only $+.1044 \pm .1531$ and $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{kq.fits}}$ is $+.2076 \pm .1480$. The four other characters, the length of the stem internode, the area of the largest leaf, the lint length, and the lint per cent, seem not to be influenced to any great extent by each other in their association with the yield of seed cotton, the partial correlation of each with yield, with the influence of the other three held constant, being approximately the same as the total correlation of that particular variable with yield.

The multiple correlation ( $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{q} . \mathrm{fitsu}}$ ) of yield with the six characters, the length of the stem internode, the area of the largest leaf, the number of vegetative branches, the lint length, the lint per cent, and the yield of lint is $.9421 \pm .0174$ and without the yield of lint $\left(\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{fikts}}\right)$ it is $.7661 \pm .0639$. Since the yield of lint is a part of yield itself, there seems to be no particular advantage in its use. The yield of lint alone has practically as much influence on the yield of seed cotton, if the influence of the other five characters is eliminated, as have all six characters combined. The value of $r_{\mathrm{uq} . \mathrm{fikts}}$ is $+.9395 \pm .0180$ and of $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{q} . \mathrm{fi} k t s u}$ is $.9421 \pm .0174$. The correlation of the lint per cent and the yield of lint with the influence of the other five variables eliminated ( $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{su} . \mathrm{fiktq}}$ ) is $+.9654 \pm .0106$. This result is to be expected since with the yield of seed cotton held constant, the yield of lint is practically dependent upon lint per cent.

In the season of 1927, six characters were selected for further analysis with respect to the yield of seed cotton. Their correlation coefficients and etas are given in Table XI. The values for $\eta^{2}-r^{2}$ also are given for testing the linearity of regression. The regression in each case is considered to be linear although there might be some doubt in regard to the regression of the number of squares at first blossom on yield. However, in this case the value for $\eta^{2}-r^{2}$ is less than three times its probable error.

In Table XII are given the total, partial, and multiple correlations for the season of 1927, in the series of variables, the date of first flower, the time to mature, the area of the largest leaf, the height of the plant, the number of squares at first blossom, the number of flowers and bolls on at 18 days from the first blossom, and the yield of seed cotton with the yield of seed cotton as the dependent variable. The interrelation of this series of variables appears somewhat complicated. For instance the number of blossoms and bolls on at 18 days after the first flower, appears to be independent of each of the other characters individually. This is shown by the fact that its partial correlation with yield, with the influence of each of the other characters eliminated is approximately the same as its total correlation with yield. However, when the combined influence of the other five variables is eliminated, the number of flowers and bolls on at 18 days has little significance in its relation to the yield of seed cotton. The height of the plant, in general, seems to be the least affected by the other variables, although in a sample in which the leaf size is made constant the height of the plant is of practically no importance so far as yield is concerned. Its partial correlation, however, ( $r_{\text {pi.alodg }}$ ) is practically the same as $r_{p i}$. the former being - $.5378 \pm .1130$ and the latter being $-.5664 \pm .1080$.
Several multiple correlations were calculated. (See Table XII.) The combination of the six characters, the date of first flower, the time to mature, the area of the largest leaf, the height of the plant, the number of squares at first flower and the flowers and bolls on at 18 days, with yield as the dependent variable gives a multiple correlation coefficient of $.9565 \pm .0134$. In other words 91.5 per cent of the variability in the yield of seed cotton may be attributed to the variability of these six characters. However, the three characters, the date of the first blossom, the number of squares at this date, and the number of flowers and bolls on at 18 days after the first blossom are practically as good as all six, $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i} . \mathrm{adg}}$ being $.9303 \pm .0215$. The variability of these three variables account for 86.5 per cent of the variability in the yield of seed cotton. Knowing the size of the leaf would be of some advantage also, $r_{\text {i.adgo }}$ being $.9408 \pm .0182$.

The combination of these three on four characters in their relation to yielding ability may be of particular interest from the standpoint of the plant breeder working with cotton. Cotton is classed as a naturally self-pollinated crop, but cross pollination occurs to the extent of 10 per cent or more between rows three and a half feet apart. This amount of natural crossing necessitates the use of

Table XI—Characters associated with yield of seed cotton to the extent that " $r$ " is greater than .5, values for " $\eta$ " and
$\eta^{2}-r^{2}$ for use in testing linearity of regression. 1927

|  | Value for "r" |  | Value for " $\eta$ " |  | $\eta^{2}-\mathrm{r}^{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | $-.5481 \pm .1112$ | $-.5885 \pm .1038$ | $\mathrm{ai}=.7030 \pm .0804$ | ia $=.6040 \pm .1010$ | $\mathrm{ai}=.1479 \pm .1113$ | $\mathrm{ia}=.0185 \pm .0427$ |
| 1 | $-.8496 \pm .0441$ | $-.7743 \pm .0637$ | $\mathrm{li}=.8338 \pm .0487$ | il $=.8650 \pm .0400$ | $\mathrm{li}=.0957 \pm .0950$ | $\mathrm{il}=.1487 \pm .1159$ |
| 0 | $-.7493 \pm .0698$ | $-.7569 \pm .0679$ | $\mathrm{oi}=.8731 \pm .0378$ | $\mathrm{io}=.7638 \pm .0662$ | oi $=.1894 \pm .1294$ | io $=.0105 \pm .0324$ |
| p | $-.5664 \pm .1080$ | $-.5720 \pm .1070$ | $\mathrm{pi}=.6808 \pm .0853$ | $\mathrm{ip}=.6826 \pm .0848$ | $\mathrm{pi}=.1363 \pm .1067$ | $\mathrm{ip}=.1387 \pm .1081$ |
| d | $+.6652 \pm .0887$ | $+.4931 \pm .1203$ | $\mathrm{di}=.7641 \pm .0662$ | id $=.7495 \pm .0696$ | $\mathrm{di}=.3407 \pm .1438$ | $\mathrm{id}=.3187 \pm .1411$ |
| g | +.8792土.0361 | $+.7805 \pm .0620$ | gi $=.8264 \pm .0505$ | $\mathrm{ig}=.8937 \pm .0319$ | $\mathrm{gi}=.0737 \pm .0840$ | $\mathrm{ig}=.1895 \pm .1304$ |

[^2]$\begin{array}{ll}1=\text { time to mature. } & d=\text { number of squares at first flower. } \\ o=\text { area of largest leaf. } & \mathbf{g}=\text { number of fowers on at } 18 \text { days. } \\ p=\text { height of plant. } & i=\text { yield of seed cotton. }\end{array}$
Table XII-Total, partial, and multiple correlations in the series of variables as follows: date of first flower, time to mature, area of largest leaf, height of plant, number of squares at first flower, number of flowers on at 18 days,
and yield of seed cotton with yield of seed cotton as the dependent variable. 1927

|  | Total correlations $r_{a i}$, etc. | Partial correlations of yield with variables indicated at left and influence of variable in vertical column held constant. $r_{a i . l}$, etc. |  |  |  |  |  | Partial correlations of yield with variables indicated at left and all others held constant. $\mathrm{r}_{\text {ai.lopdg, }}$, etc., and $r_{\text {ai.dg }}$, etc. |  | Multiple correlations with yield of seed cotton as dependent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | a | 1 | 0 | p | d | g | $\underset{\substack{\text { a, l, o, p, d, } \\ \text { g series }}}{ }$ | a, d, g, series |  |  |
| a | $-.5481 \pm .1112$ |  | $-.4769 \pm .1228$ | $-.4486 \pm .1269$ | $-.6374 \pm .0945$ | $-.2855 \pm .1460$ | $-.2397 \pm .1498$ | $-3506 \pm .1394$ | $-.5004 \pm .1192$ |  |  |
| 1 | $-.8496 \pm .0441$ | $-.8322 \pm .0489$ |  | $-.7130 \pm .0782$ | $-.8210 \pm .0518$ | $-.8843 \pm .0347$ | $-.6944 \pm .0824$ | $-.4887 \pm .1210$ |  | $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i} . \text { alopdg }}=.9565 \pm .0134$ |  |
| 0 | $-.7493 \pm .0697$ | $-.7066 \pm .0800$ | $-.4740 \pm .1233$ | ............. | $-.6160 \pm .0987$ | $-.7348 \pm .0731$ | $-.6238 \pm .0971$ | $-.2339 \pm .1503$ |  | $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i} . \text { ald }}=.9271 \pm .0224$ |  |
| p | $-.5664 \pm .1080$ | $-.6509 \pm .0916$ | $-.4525 \pm .1264$ | $-.1973 \pm .152$ |  | $-.6086 \pm .1000$ | $-.5291 \pm .1145$ | $-.5378 \pm .1130$ |  | $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i} . \mathrm{ag}} \quad=.8866 \pm .0339$ |  |
| d | $+.6652 \pm .0886$ | $+.5177 \pm .1163$ | $+.7505 \pm .0693$ | $+.6442 \pm .0930$ | $+.6952 \pm .0822$ |  | $-.5842 \pm .1048$ | $+.1591 \pm .1550$ | $-.6901 \pm .0833$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {i. alodg }}=.9423 \pm .0179$ |  |
| g | $+.8792 \pm .0361$ | $+.8331 \pm .0487$ | $+.7599 \pm .0672$ |  | $+.8715 \pm .0381$ | $+.8554 \pm .0428$ | ............. | $+.0775 \pm .1580$ | $+.8839 \pm .0347$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $+.8267 \pm .050$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & r_{\mathrm{i} . \mathrm{aod}}=.8680 \pm .0392 \\ & \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{adgo}}=.0408 \pm .0182 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $a=$ date of first flower. <br> $1=$ time to mature. <br> $o=$ area of largest leaf. <br> $p=$ height of plant. |  |  | $\mathrm{d}=$ number of squares at first flower. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{g}=$ flowers on at 18 days. <br> $i=$ yield of seed cotton. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

artificial means of securing self fertilized seed in order to obtain pure strains． Eaton（4）has shown that the cotton plant will produce a good crop of bolls if defruited early in the season．Due to the close association of the three characters， the date of the first flower，the number of squares at first flower，and the number of flowers and bolls on at 18 days after the first bloom，with yielding ability，the breeder，selecting in hybrid materials，could identify the better yielding plants early in the growing season．This could be done about two weeks after the first bloom．The plants，selected as desirable，could then be defruited and some artificial means used to insure self pollination for the remainder of the season． It seems reasonable to assume that some such plan is feasible．The value of the three characteristics mentioned above is enhanced by the fact that they are not at all closely associated with any of the other three characters，the lint length，the lint per cent and the size of boll．One could select for yield early in the season and be assured of a random sample for each of the other important characteristics． A score could be prepared for each plant selected，on the basis of early blossoming and the number of squares at first flower，and one could prepare an elimination line， for the character＂the number of flowers and bolls on at 18 days from first flower．＂ Only plants coming up to a certain standard would need to be reserved for self pollination．These three characters show promise of value in this respect，how－ ever the date of first flower was not closely associated with yield in 1926 and the consistency of the performance of all three characters should be ascertained before their establishment as criteria in selecting for yielding ability．

A summary of the correlation coefficients for yield with the several characters which were associated with it，in 1926 and 1927，to the extent of indicating impor－ tance is given in Table XIII．There is some variation in the size of the correlation eoefficients for the two years．However，the signs are the same for both years except in the case of the date of the first flower and the total number of bolls． These characters were of no importance in 1926 but of considerable value in 1927. No reason can be assigned for these variations．It may be noted also that the characters，the per cent picked at the first picking and the date of the first open boll，agree in sign for the two years but are of no importance in 1926 and very important in 1927．Only three characters of those studied in both years are consistent in sign and value，the length of the stem internode，the area of the

Table XIII－Summary of the characters associated with the yield of seed cotton． 1926 and 1927

| Independent variable | Correlation coefficients |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1926 | 1927 |
| Length of stem internode． | $-.573 \pm .104$ | $-.4846 \pm .1214$ |
| Area of largest leaf． | $-.379 \pm .133$ | $-.7493 \pm .0698$ |
| Number of vegetative branches | －． $458 \pm$ 土． 122 | $-.3156 \pm .1431$ |
| Length of lint． | －． $465 \pm .121$ | －． $2864 \pm .1549$ |
| Lint per cent | $+.522 \pm .113$ | $+.2669 \pm .1476$ |
| Yield of lint． | ＋．864土．039 |  |
| Date of first flowe | ＋．005土． 155 | $-.5481 \pm .1112$ |
| Time to mature． | $-.128 \pm .152$ | －．8496土． 0441 |
| Height of plant． | $-.088 \pm .154$ | －． $5664 \pm .1080$ |
| Number of squares at first bloom． |  | $+.6652 \pm .0887$ |
| Number of flowers and bolls on at 18 |  | $+.8792 \pm .0361$ |
| Per cent picked at the first picking． | ＋．070 .154 | $+.9303 \pm .0215$ |
| Date of the first open boll．．．．．．．．．． | $-.171 \pm .150$ | $-.8802 \pm .0358$ |
| Number of bolls open at 18 days |  | $+.9121 \pm .0267$ |
| Per cent shed at 18 days．．．．．．． |  | $-.7538 \pm .0685$ |
| Total number of bolls． | $-.050 \pm .154$ | $+.9553 \pm .0140$ |
| Number of bolls per pound | ＋．189土．149 | $+.3207 \pm .1425$ |

Table XIV-Characters associated with lint per cent to the extent that " $r$ " is greater than 4 also values are given for
" $\eta$ " and $\eta^{2}-\mathbf{r}^{2}$ for use in testing linearity of regression. 1926

|  | Value for "r" |  | Value for " $\eta$ " |  | $\eta^{2}-\mathrm{r}^{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | $+.433 \pm .126$ | $+.3151 \pm .1394$ | as $=.8064 \pm .0542$ | $\mathrm{sa}=.4911 \pm .1174$ | as $=.5510 \pm .0956$ | $\mathrm{sa}=.1419 \pm .0923$ |
| m | $-.567 \pm .105$ | -. $4763 \pm .1197$ | $\mathrm{ms}=.8317 \pm .0476$ | $\mathrm{sm}=.5691 \pm .1046$ | $\mathrm{ms}=.4648 \pm .1488$ | $\mathrm{sm}=.0970 \pm .0894$ |
| q | $+.522 \pm .113$ | $+.4838 \pm .1185$ | $\mathrm{qs}=.5811 \pm .1025$ | $\mathrm{sq}=.5830 \pm .1021$ | $q s=.1036 \pm .0929$ | $\mathrm{sq}=.1058 \pm .0928$ |
| t | -.411士.129 | $-.3617 \pm .1345$ | $\mathrm{ts}=.4161 \pm .1280$ | $\mathrm{st}=.4995 \pm .1161$ | $\mathrm{ts}=.0424 \pm .0614$ | st $=.1187 \pm .0958$ |

$a=$ date of first flower.
$\mathrm{m}=$ number of bolls on fruit branches. $\quad \mathrm{t}=$ lint length.
$q=$ yield of seed cotton. $s=$ lint per cent.

Table XV—Total, partial, and multiple correlations in the series of variables as follows: date of first flower, number of per cent as the dependent variable. 1926

|  | Total correlations with lint per cent | Partial correlations of lint per cent with variables indicated at left and influence of character in vertical held constant. $r_{\text {as.m. }}$, etc. |  |  |  | Partial correlations of lint per cent with variable indicated at left and influence of others held constant. $r_{\text {as.mqt }}$, etc. | Multiple correlation $r_{\text {s.amqt }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | a | m | q | t |  |  |
| a | $+.433 \pm .126$ |  | $+.0958 \pm .1533$ | $+.5046 \pm .1153$ | $+.3782 \pm .1326$ | $+.2214 \pm .1472$ | . $5926 \pm .1003$ |
| m | $-.567 \pm .105$ | $-.4281 \pm .1264$ |  | $-.4663 \pm .1211$ | $-.5323 \pm .1109$ | -. $2035 \pm .1483$ |  |
| q | $+.522 \pm .113$ | $+.5767 \pm .1032$ | $+.4012 \pm .1299$ |  | $+.4100 \pm .1287$ | +.3643土. 1342 |  |
| t | -. $411 \pm .129$ | $-.3513 \pm .1357$ | $-.3494 \pm .1359$ | $-.2229 \pm .1470$ |  | $-.1432 \pm .1516$ |  |

## bolls on fruit branches, yield of seed cotton, lint length, and lint per cent with lint

[^3]Table XVI—Correlation coefficients of characters significantly associated with lint per cent. Also values for " $\eta$ " and $\eta^{2}-\mathbf{r}^{2}$ for use in testing linearity of regression. 1927

|  | Value for "r" |  | Values for " $\eta$ " |  | $\eta^{\mathbf{2}}$ - $\mathrm{r}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b | $-.4051 \pm .1329$ | $-.2708 \pm .1473$ | $\mathrm{bj}=.5004 \pm .1186$ | $\mathrm{j} b=.5697 \pm .1073$ | $\mathrm{bj}=.1771 \pm .1122$ | $\mathrm{jb}=.2513 \pm .1232$ |
| k | $-.8308 \pm .0492$ | $-.7436 \pm .0710$ | $\mathrm{kj}=.7754 \pm .0635$ | ${ }_{j} \mathrm{k}=.7839 \pm .0615$ | $\mathrm{jk}=.0483 \pm .0685$ | $\mathrm{kj}=.0616 \pm .0769$ |
| n | $-.4584 \pm .1256$ | $-.5212 \pm .1158$ | $\mathrm{nj}=.8275 \pm .0500$ | $\mathrm{jn}=.7412 \pm .0717$ | $\mathrm{nj}=.4132 \pm .1542$ | $\mathrm{jn}=.2778 \pm .1374$ |

$\begin{array}{ll}b=\text { date of first open boll. } & n=\text { number of bolls per pound. } \\ k=\text { lint length. } & j=\text { lint per cent. }\end{array}$
largest leaf，and the number of vegetative branches．The length of lint and the lint per cent might also be included although their coefficients are less than two times their probable errors in the season of 1927.

The several characters studied only in 1927 offer material of promise．The number of squares at the time of the first bloom and the number of flowers and bolls on at 18 days after the first bloom appear of especial value．Their associa－ tion with yield is reasonably close and their scores for any plant or strain should be，therefore，a fair index to yielding ability．The particular virtue of characters such as these is that they may be identified early in the growing season and the plants selected may then be induced to self pollinate．

## Lint Per Cent

Only four characters were found to be associated to any extent，in 1926，with the lint per cent（See Table XIV）．These characters are，the date of the first flower，the number of bolls on the fruit branches，the yield of seed cotton，and the length of the lint．The total correlations，correlation ratios，and values for $\eta^{2}$ － $\mathbf{r}^{2}$ are given in Table XIV．The value for $\eta^{2}-\mathbf{r}^{2}$ using $\eta$ as suggests non－ linearity of regression but when $\eta$ sa is used $\eta^{2}-r^{2}$ is not significantly greater than its probable error．The same may be said for $\eta \mathrm{ms}$ and $\eta \mathrm{sm}$ ．As stated before， Blakeman＇s test is rather severe when the grouping is too fine and＂ n ＂is small． The regression is therefore considered to be sensibly linear in these two cases． None of the correlation coefficients are very high and the value for $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{g} \text { amat }}$ ．is only $.5926 \pm .1003$（See Table XV）．This suggests that in selecting for improvement in lint per cent these four characters should be given some consideration．How－ ever，none of the characters are so closely associated with lint per cent but what one might obtain considerable improvement in this character without any sacri－ fice in the others．

Only three characters were found to be of importance from the standpoint of their association with lint per cent in the season of 1927 （See Table XVI），the date of the first open boll，the length of lint，and the number of bolls per pound．Their regressions on the lint per cent are considered to be linear．The correlation coefficient of the lint length with the lint per cent is $-.8308 \pm .0492$ ．This value is somewhat higher than that obtained in the 1926 observations．It is apparent that these two characters are closely associated and that any great improvement in one of them must be done at a more or less sacrifice in the other．It is probable that the lint per cent may be increased to some extent without seriously affecting the length of lint but any marked improvement in the lint per cent would cer－ tainly be done at a sacrifice in the length of lint．The correlation coefficient of the lint length with the lint per cent is practically identical with the multiple correlation coefficient obtained by using all three of the characters mentioned， $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{kj}}$ being $-.8308 \pm .0492$ and $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{bku}}$ ．being ． $8379 \pm .0473$ ．（See Table XVII．）

The correlation coefficients for the characters of importance from the stand－ point of the lint per cent for both years are given in Table XVIII．The relations

Table XVIII－Correlation coefficients for lint per cent and the characters of importance in relation to lint per cent for the two years 1926 and 1927

| Independent variable | Correlation coefficient |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1926 | 1927 |
| Date of first flower | ＋．433土．126 | $-.2649 \pm .1478$ |
| Number of bolls on fruit branches． | $-.567 \pm .105$ |  |
| Yield of seed cotton． | ＋．522土．113 | $+.2669 \pm .1476$ |
| Lint length． | $-.411 \pm .129$ | $-.8308 \pm .0492$ |
| Number of bolls per pound | －．200土． 149 | $-.4584 \pm .1256$ |
| Date of first open boll． | $+.307 \pm .140$ | $-.4051 \pm .1329$ |
| Lint index．．．．．．．． | $+.632 \pm .093$ | $+.7696 \pm .0647$ |

Table XIX—Characters associated with lint length to the extent that＂$r$＂is approximately ． 4 or greater．Also values are given for＂$\eta$＂and $\eta^{2}-r^{2}$ for use in testing the linearity of regression． 1926

|  | Value for＂r＂ |  | Value for＂$\eta$＂ |  | $\eta^{2}-\mathrm{r}^{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d | $-.443 \pm .124$ | $-.3091 \pm .1400$ | $\mathrm{dt}=.3220 \pm .1387$ | $\mathrm{td}=.4760 \pm .1197$ | $\mathrm{dt}=.0082 \pm .0278$ | $\mathrm{td}=.1311 \pm .0990$ |
| q | $-.465 \pm .121$ | $-.2699 \pm .1435$ | $\mathrm{qt}=.4439 \pm .1242$ | $\mathrm{tq}=.3307 \pm .1378$ | $\mathrm{qt}=.1252 \pm .0970$ | $\mathrm{tq}=.0366 \pm .0572$ |
| s | －． $411 \pm .129$ | $-.3616 \pm .1345$ | $\mathrm{st}=.4995 \pm .1161$ | $\mathrm{ts}=.4161 \pm .1280$ | st $=.1188 \pm .0959$ | $\mathrm{ts}=.0424 \pm .0614$ |
| v | $+.431 \pm .126$ | $+.3626 \pm .1344$ | $\mathrm{vt}=.6040 \pm .0983$ | $\mathrm{tv}=.5543 \pm .1072$ | $\mathrm{vt}=.2333 \pm .1205$ | $t \mathrm{v}=.1757 \pm .1105$ |
| y | ＋．372士．133 | $+.1987 \pm .1486$ | $\mathrm{yt}=.5237 \pm .1123$ | $\mathrm{ty}=.4290 \pm .1263$ | $\mathrm{yt}=.2348 \pm .1166$ | ty $=.1445 \pm .1014$ |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{d}=\text { height to first branch. } & \mathrm{v}=\text { weight of } 100 \text { seeds. } \\
\mathrm{q}=\text { yield of seed cotton. } & \mathrm{y}=\text { time to mature } \\
\mathrm{s}=\text { lint per cent. } & \mathrm{t}=\text { length of lint. }
\end{array}
$$

Table XX－Total，partial，and multiple correlations in the series of variables as follows：height to the first branch，yield of seed cotton，lint per cent，weight of 100 seeds，time to mature，and length of lint
with length of lint as the dependent variable． 1926

|  | Total correlations with lint length | Partial correlations of lint length with other variables indicated at left and influence of character in vertical column held constant．$r_{d t . q}$ ，etc． |  |  |  |  | Partial correlations of lint length with variable indi－ cated at left and influence of others held constant． $r_{\text {dt．qsyy }}$ ，etc． | Multiple correlation $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{t} . \mathrm{dqsvy}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | d |  |  | v | y |  |  |
| d | $-.443 \pm .124$ |  | $-.3265 \pm .1382$ | $-.3523 \pm .1356$ | $-.1766 \pm .1499$ | $-.2802 \pm .1426$ | $+.0938 \pm .1534$ | $3326 \pm .1376$ |
| q | $-.465 \pm .121$ | $-.3589 \pm .1348$ |  | $-.3222 \pm .1387$ | $-.3688 \pm .1337$ | $-.4534 \pm .1230$ | －． $2317 \pm .1464$ |  |
| S | $-.411 \pm .129$ | $-.3072 \pm .1402$ | $-.2229 \pm .1470$ | ．．．．．．．．．．． | －． $4037 \pm .1295$ | $-.4959 \pm .1167$ | $-.3180 \pm .1391$ |  |
| v | $+.431 \pm .126$ | $+.1363 \pm .1519$ | ＋．3200土． 1389 | $+.4241 \pm .1269$ | $\ldots . . . . . . . . .$. | ＋．2426土．1456 | $+.0520 \pm .1543$ |  |
| y | $\mid+.372 \pm .133$ | $+.1104 \pm .1529$ | $+.3559 \pm .1351$ | $+.4761 \pm .1197$ | $+.0642 \pm .1541$ | ， | $+.2681 \pm .1436$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =\text { height to first } \\ & =\text { yield of seed } \\ & =\text { lint per cent. } \end{aligned}$ | t branch． cotton． | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{v}=\text { weight } \\ & \mathrm{y}=\text { time to } \\ & \mathrm{t}=\text { length } \end{aligned}$ | of 100 seeds． mature． of lint． |  |  |

Table XXI—Correlation coefficients of characters significantly associated with lint length. Also values for " $\eta$ " and $\eta^{2}$ $\mathbf{r}^{2}$ for use in testing linearity of regression. 1927

|  | Value for "r" |  | Value for " $\eta$ " |  | $\eta^{2}-\mathrm{r}^{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | $-.8308 \pm .0492$ $+.4340 \pm .1290$ | $-.7438 \pm .0710$ $+.3934 \pm .1344$ | $\mathrm{jk}=.7839 \pm .0613$ $\mathrm{lk}=.5042 \pm .1186$ | $\mathrm{kj}=.7754 \pm$ $\mathrm{kl}=.5444 \pm$ | $\mathrm{jk}=.0613 \pm .0767$ $\mathrm{lk}=.0994 \pm .0920$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{kj}=.0480 \pm .0682 \\ & \mathrm{kl}=.1416 \pm .1057 \end{aligned}$ |

are consistent for the two seasons in respect to the characters, the yield of seed cotton, the length of lint, and the lint index. The score for the last mentioned character is dependent very largely on the lint per cent and should, therefore, show a close relationship. It would seem to have no particular value from the standpoint of its use in the prediction of lint per cent since it is necessary to obtain the lint per cent first and the latter score is more easily obtained than the former. The lint per cent and the lint length are consistently opposed to each other although for the season of 1926 the correlation coefficient is not very high.

## Lint Length

Five characters were found to be of importance in their association with the length of lint in 1926 (See Table XIX), the height to the first branch, the yield of seed cotton, the lint per cent, the weight of 100 seeds, and the time to mature. No single character, however, is very closely associated with the lint length. The regression of each of the independent variables upon lint length is apparently linear. The partial correlations are given in Table XX and also the multiple correlation. The association of the combined score of the five characters here considered is hardly significant when considered in the light of its probable error, $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{t} \text {. dqsivy. }}$ being $.3326 \pm .1376$.

In Table XXI are given the characters associated with lint length in the season of 1927. Only two characters appear to be of any great importance, the lint per cent and the time to mature. There is no advantage gained by using the time to mature since $r_{k . j 1}$ is only $.8179 \pm .0526$ while $r_{j k}$ is $-.8308 \pm .0492$ (See Table XXII).

There are three characters that are consistently of importance in their association with the length of lint (See Table XXIII). These are the lint per cent,

Table XXII-Total, partial, and multiple correlations with the series of variables as follows: lint per cent, time to mature, and lint length with lint length as the dependent variable. 1927

|  | Total correlations with lint length | Partial correlations of lint length with variable indicated at left and with the influence of variable in vertical column held constant. $r_{j k . l}$, etc. |  | Multiple correlation ${ }^{r_{k} . j 1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | j | 1 |  |
| 1 | $-.8308 \pm .0492$ $+.4340 \pm .1290$ | +.2241土.1510 | $-.7985 \pm .0575$ | . $8179 \pm .0526$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{j}=\text { lint per cent. } \quad \mathrm{l}=\text { time to mature } . \\ \mathrm{k}=\text { lint length. } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |

Table XXIII—Correlation coefficients for lint length with the several characters of importance in their association with lint length for the seasons 1926 and 1927

| Independent variable | Correlation coefficients |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1926 | 1927 |
| Height to the first branch | $-.443 \pm .124$ | $+.2708 \pm .1473$ |
| Yield of seed cotton..... | $-.465 \pm .121$ | -. $2864 \pm .1549$ |
| Lint per cent. | $-.411 \pm .129$ | $-.8308 \pm .0492$ |
| Weight of 100 seeds. | $+.431 \pm .126$ | $+.2654 \pm .1478$ |
| Time to mature. | $+.372 \pm .133$ | $+.4340 \pm .1290$ |
| Number of bolls per pound | $-.108 \pm .153$ | $+.3049 \pm .1441$ |
| Lint index. | $-.821 \pm .050$ | $-.5345 \pm .1135$ |

Table XXIV—Characters associated with number of bolls per pound. Correlation coefficients are given together with " $\eta$ " and $\eta^{2}-\mathbf{r}^{2}$ for testing linearity of regression. 1926

|  | Value for "r" |  | Value for " $\eta$ " |  | $\eta^{2}-\mathrm{r}^{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b | $-.750 \pm .068$ | $-.7029 \pm .0783$ | $\mathrm{bp}=.7559 \pm .0663$ | $\mathrm{pb}=.7927 \pm$ | $\mathrm{bp}=.0773 \pm .0829$ | $\mathrm{pb}=.1343 \pm .1066$ |
| d | $+.735 \pm .071$ | $+.6698 \pm .0853$ | $\mathrm{d} p=.9089 \pm .0269$ | $\mathrm{pd}=.8621 \pm$ | $\mathrm{dp}=.3775 \pm .1621$ | $\mathrm{pd}=.2946 \pm .1493$ |
| i | $-.599 \pm .099$ | $-.6418 \pm .0910$ | $\mathrm{ip}=.7763 \pm .0616$ | $\mathrm{pi}=.7282 \pm$ | $\mathrm{ip}=.1907 \pm .1221$ | $\mathrm{pi}=.1184 \pm .0996$ |
| v | $-.872 \pm .037$ | $-.8418 \pm .0450$ | $\mathrm{vp}=.9012 \pm .0291$ | $p v=.9143 \pm$ | $\mathrm{vp}=.1036 \pm .0941$ | $\mathrm{pv}=.1273 \pm .1050$ |
| $\Sigma$ | $-.775 \pm .062$ | $-.8082 \pm .0537$ | $\Sigma \mathrm{p}=.8451 \pm .0443$ | $\mathrm{p} \Sigma=.8327 \pm$ | $\Sigma p=.0610 \pm .0749$ | $\mathrm{p} \Sigma=.0402 \pm .1044$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll} b=\text { date of first open boll. } & v=\text { weight of } 100 \text { seeds. } \\ d=\text { height to first branch. } & \Sigma=\text { number of locks per boll. } \\ \mathrm{i}=\text { area of largest leaf. } & \mathrm{p}=\text { number of bolls per pound. } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table XXV-Total, partial, and multiple correlations in the series of variables as follows: date of first open boll, height to first branch, area of largest leaf, weight of 100 seeds, number of locks per boll, and number of bolls per pound of seed cotton with number of bolls per pound as the dependent variable. 1926

|  | Total correlations with bolls per pound | Partial correlations of bolls per pound with variables indicated at left and influence of character in vertical column held constant. $r_{\text {bp.d }}$, etc. |  |  |  |  | Partial correlations of bolls per pound with variable indicated at left and influence of all others held constant. $r_{b p . d i v} \Sigma$, etc. | Multiple correlation $r_{\text {p.bdiv }} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | b | d | i | v | $\Sigma$ |  |  |
| b | $-.750 \pm .068$ |  | $-.6449 \pm .0904$ | $-.5788 \pm .1029$ | $-.5263 \pm .1119$ | $-.7186 \pm .0747$ | $-.8982 \pm .0300$ | . $9936 \pm .0019$ |
| d | $+.735 \pm .071$ | $+.6214 \pm .0951$ |  | $+.7129 \pm .0761$ | $+.0942 \pm .1534$ | $+.9324 \pm .0203$ | $+.9378 \pm .0186$ |  |
| i | $-.599 \pm .099$ | $-.1594 \pm .1508$ | $-.5606 \pm .1060$ |  | $-.5019 \pm .1157$ | $-.3357 \pm .1373$ | $+.5751 \pm .1036$ |  |
| v | $-.872 \pm .037$ | $-.7770 \pm .0613$ | $-.6593 \pm .0875$ | $-.8487 \pm .0432$ |  | -.8404土.0456 | $+.1591 \pm .1508$ |  |
| $\Sigma$ | $-.775 \pm .062$ | $-.7475 \pm .0682$ | $-.9416 \pm .0174$ | $-.6688 \pm .0855$ | $-.7146 \pm .0756$ |  | $-.9720 \pm .0085$ |  |

[^4]Table XXVI—Correlation coefficients of characters significantly associated with number of bolls per pound．Also values for
＂$\eta$＂and $\eta^{2}-\mathbf{r}^{2}$ for use in testing linearity of regression． 1927

|  | Values for＂r＂ |  | Values for＂$\eta$＂ |  |  | $\eta^{2}-\mathrm{r}^{2}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f$ | －． $7643 \pm .0661$ | $-.7604 \pm .0672$ |  | $=.8278 \pm .0500 \mid \mathrm{nf}$ | $=.8606 \pm .0411$ |  | ．1071土．0999 |  | $=.1624 \pm .1209$ |
| h | $-.6552 \pm .0907$ | －．6294土． 0961 | hn | $=.6700 \pm .0876 \mathrm{nh}$ | h $=.7395 \pm .0719$ | hn | $=.0528 \pm .0708$ | nh | $=.1502 \pm .1129$ |
| m | $-.6886 \pm .0835$ | －． $6952 \pm .0822$ |  | $=.7230 \pm .0759 \mathrm{~nm}$ | $\mathrm{m}=.8161 \pm .0531$ |  | $=.0394 \pm .0484$ | nm | $=.1827 \pm .1249$ |
| o | －． $5268 \pm .1148$ | $-.5562 \pm .1098$ |  | $=.6000 \pm .1017$ no | $=.6597 \pm .0897$ | on | $=.0506 \pm .0691$ | no | $=.1258 \pm .1035$ |
| q | ＋．5627土．1086 | ＋．4240土． 1304 |  | $=.7233 \pm .0759 \mathrm{nq}$ | $\mathrm{q}=.7493 \pm .0698$ |  | $=.3234 \pm .1370$ | nq | $=.3817 \pm .1416$ |
| r | $\begin{array}{r} -.4599 \pm .1253 \\ -.4916 \pm .1207 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -.4321 \pm .1293 \\ -4404+1282 \end{array}$ |  | $=.4447 \pm .1275 \mathrm{nr}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{r}\end{aligned}=.7252 \pm .0754$ |  | $=.0111 \pm .0332$ |  | $=.3392 \pm .1390$ |
| ${ }_{\text {u }}^{\text {u }}$ | －． $4916 \pm .1207$ $+.4021 \pm .1333$ | $-.4404 \pm .1282$ $+.4039 \pm .1330$ |  | $=.6125 \pm .0992$ $=.5233 \pm .1155$ nu nw | u $=.6310 \pm .0957$ |  | $=.1812 \pm .1163$ |  | $=.2042 \pm .1213$ |
| j | －． $4584 \pm .1256$ | $-.5212 \pm .1158$ |  | $=.7412 \pm .0717 / \mathrm{nj}$ | $\mathrm{j}=.7461 \pm .0705$ |  | $=.2778 \pm .1374$ | $\mathrm{nj}=$ | $=.2851 \pm .1386$ |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{f}=\text { number of locks per boll. } & \mathrm{q}=\text { height to first branch. } \\
\mathrm{h}=\text { per cent shed at } 18 \text { days. } & \mathrm{r}=\text { number of internodes per plant. } \\
\mathrm{m}=\text { weight of } 100 \text { seeds. } & \mathrm{u}=\text { number of vegetative branches. } \\
\mathrm{n}=\text { number of bolls per pound. } & \mathrm{w}=\text { yield of seed. } \\
\mathrm{o}=\text { area of largest leaf. } & \mathrm{j}=\text { lint per cent. }
\end{array}
$$

the time to mature，and the lint index．The relation of the lint per cent to the lint length has been discussed above and the other characters appear to be of little importance．

## The Number of Bolls Per Pound

The size of the boll apparently was rather closely associated with several characteristics in 1926．Of the five variables considered to be of importance，the weight of 100 seeds seems to be most closely associated with the number of bolls per pound， $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{vp}}$ being $-.872 \pm .037$（See Table XXIV）．Next in the order of their importance are the number of locks per boll，the date of the first open boll，the height to the first branch，and the area of the largest leaf．All of the regressions are sensibly linear as indicated by Blakeman＇s test．

The apparent close relation of the weight of 100 seeds with the number of bolls per pound is evidently due in great part to the associated influence of the other independent variables in this seasonl since $r_{\text {vp．bdis }}$ is $+.159 \pm .1508$ while $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{vp}}$ is $-.872 \pm .037$ ．（See Table XXV．）When the influence of the other inde－ pendent variables is eliminated，the number of locks per boll is most closely asso－ ciated with the size of boll， $\mathrm{r}_{\text {zp．bdiv }}$ being－． $9720 \pm .0085$ ．The degree of the association of the number of bolls per pound with the date of the first open boll and the height to the first branch is also improved when the influence of the other independent variables is eliminated．The inference is that in selecting to im－ prove the size of the boll，all of these characters，except possibly the weight of 100 seeds，must be considered of importance．The varieties which tend to have the largest bolls are inclined also to be later in maturing，to have the first branch close to the ground，to have large leaves，and to have a large percentage of 5 －lock bolls．The multiple correlation（ $r_{\text {p．bdivz }}$ ）is $.9936 \pm .0019$ which is high enough for practically perfect prediction．In other words 98.7 per cent of the variability in the size of the boll may be attributed to its relation to these other five characters．

In all，nine characters were found to be important in their association with the size of boll in the season of 1927．These nine characters are listed in Table XXVI together with their simple correlations，correlation ratios，and the values for $\eta^{2}-\mathrm{r}^{2} \pm \mathrm{E} \eta^{2}-\mathrm{r}^{2}$ ．The regressions are considered to be linear although there are some cases in which doubt might be expressed．In Table XXVII，are given the total，partial，and multiple correlations considering the nine characters as independent variables and the number of bolls per pound as the dependent

Table XXVIII—Correlation coefficients for the number of bolls per pound of seed cotton with the variables of importance in respect to this particular character． 1926 and 1927

| Independent variable | Correlation coefficients |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1926 | 1927 |
| Date of the first open boll | $-.750 \pm .068$ | $+.1170 \pm .1568$ |
| Height to the first branch． | $+.735 \pm .071$ | $+.5627 \pm .1086$ |
| Area of the largest leaf | －． $599 \pm .099$ | $-.5268 \pm .1148$ |
| Weight of 100 seeds． | －． $872 \pm .037$ | $-.6886 \pm .0835$ |
| The number of locks per boll | $-.775 \pm .062$ | $-.7643 \pm .0661$ |
| Lint per cent． | －． $200 \pm .149$ | $-.4584 \pm .1256$ |
| Lint length． | $-.108 \pm .153$ | $+.3049 \pm .1441$ |
| Yield of seed cotton | ＋．189土．149 | ＋．3207土． 1425 |
| Per cent shed at 18 days． |  | －．6552土．0907 |
| Number of internodes per plant | ＋．102土．153 | $-.4599 \pm .1253$ |
| Number of vegetative branches． | $-.081 \pm .154$ | $-.4916 \pm .1207$ |
| Lint index．．．．．．．．．．． | $-.821 \pm .050$ | $-.8232 \pm .0513$ |



|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total correlations } \\ & \text { with } \\ & \text { bolls per pound } \end{aligned}$ | Partial correlations of number of bolls per pound with the variables indicated at left and influence of the variable in the vertical column held constant．rfn．h，etc． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Partial correlations of bolls per pound and influence of all others in the series held constant．rfn．hymoqruw， $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{f} . \mathrm{hmoq}}$ ，ete． |  | Multiple correlations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | f | h | j | m | － | q | r | u | w | Series f，h，j，m，o， $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{u}$, and w | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Series f, h, m,oom } \\ & \text { and } q \end{aligned}$ |  |
| f | $-.7643 \pm .0661$ |  | $-.5610 \pm .1089$ | $-7051 \pm .0800$ | $-.5831 \pm .1049$ | $-.6491 \pm .0920$ | $-.7992 \pm .0575$ | $-.6667 \pm .0883$ | $-.6839 \pm .0846$ | $-.6849 \pm .0846$ | $-.5030 \pm .1186$ | $-.6274 \pm .0965$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {n．fhymogruw }}=.9272 \pm .0224$ |
| h | －． $6552 \pm .0907$ | $-.2438 \pm .1495$ $-.3774 \pm .1364$ | $\cdots$ | $-.7831 \pm .0615$ | －．3597土． 1384 | $-.4929 \pm .1203$ $-.4667 \pm .1243$ | $\begin{array}{r} -.5724 \pm .1071 \\ -.3932 \pm .1344 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.5706 \pm .1071 \\ & -.3971 \pm .1339 \end{aligned}$ | $-.5507 \pm .1107$ $-.4020 \pm .1333$ | －． $5888 \pm \pm .1040$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.2232 \pm .1511 \\ & -.6699+.1376 \end{aligned}$ | $+.1752 \pm .1541$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {n．ffimoq }}=.8601 \pm .0414$ |
| m | －． $68886 \pm .0835$ | －． $4068 \pm .1326$ | －． $4449 \pm .1275$ | －． $8481 \pm .0450$ | －．7507 | －． $6030 \pm .1012$ | －． $7117 \pm .0784$ | －．6942土． 0824 | －． $7510 \pm .0693$ | －． $63446 \pm .0949$ | －． $3669 \pm .1376$ | $-.4847 \pm .1216$ |  |
| ${ }_{0}$ | －． $5268 \pm .1148$ | －． $0971 \pm .1575$ | －． $2040 \pm .1524$ | $-.5334 \pm .1138$ | －． $3525 \pm .1392$ | －．6030土．1012 | $-.4577 \pm .1256$ | $-.4621 \pm .1250$ | $-.4842 \pm .1217$ | －． $3726 \pm .1369$ | －． $1378 \pm .1560$ | $+.0240 \pm .1589$ |  |
| q | $+.5627 \pm .1086$ | ＋．6317土．0945 | ＋．4416土． 1279 | ＋．5183土． 1163 | ＋．5989 ${ }^{\text {c }} 1019$ | ＋．5022土．1189 |  | ＋．6128土．0992 | $+.3497 \pm .1395$ | ＋．5393土．1128 | ＋．6223土．0975 | ＋．6721 ${ }^{\text {．}} 0872$ |  |
| r | $-.4599 \pm .1253$ $-.4916 \pm .1207$ | －． $2112 \pm .1519$ | －． $2615 \pm .1481$ | $-.3989 \pm .1337$ $-.4416 \pm .1279$ | －． $4726 \pm .1234$ | －． $3774 \pm .1365$ | $-.5153 \pm .1168$ | $-.3007 \pm .1446$ | $-.2329 \pm .1503$ | $-.3416 \pm .1404$ $-.4089 \pm .1324$ | －． $5339 \pm .1128$ |  |  |
| w | $\bigcirc$ | －． $2367 \pm .1501$ | －． $1971 \pm .1528$ | ＋． $5036 \pm \pm .1186$ | ＋．2185土．1514 | －． $0266 \pm .1589$ | －． $6301 \pm .0959$ | $+.2467 \pm .1493$ | ＋．2816 | －．4089 | －．3438土．1402 |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\hline \mathrm{f}=\text { number of locks per boll. } & \mathrm{q}=\text { height to first branch. } \\
\mathrm{h}=\text { per cent shed at } 18 \text { days. } & \mathrm{r}=\text { number of internodes to main stem. } \\
\mathrm{j}=\text { lint per cent. } & \mathrm{u}=\text { number of vegetative branches. } \\
\mathrm{m}=\text { weight of } 100 \text { seeds. } & \mathrm{w}=\text { yield of seed. } \\
\mathrm{o}=\text { area of largest leaf. } & \mathrm{n}=\text { number of bolls per pound. }
\end{array}
$$

variable. Of the variability in number of bolls per pound, 86.0 per cent may be attributed to the variability of the nine characters listed, since $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n} . \mathrm{fh} \text { moquw }}$ is $.9272 \pm .0224$. When the influence of the other variables in the series is eliminated the height to the first branch appears to be the most closely associated with the number of bolls per pound. The next in importance is the number of internodes in the main stem followed by the number of locks per boll.

In all, there are eight characters consistently related to the number of bolls per pound of seed cotton for the two years of observations. (See Table XXVIII.) Of these eight characters, five are of importance as indicated by their correlation coefficients, the height to the first branch, the area of the largest leaf, the weight of 100 seeds, the number of locks per boll, and the lint index. The area of the largest leaf appears to be an important factor in gaging the size of the boll, the larger boll types of cotton tending to have the larger leaves. This is in agreement with the fact that the smaller leaf types tend to yield higher than the larger leaf types and that the small boll types have a slight tendency to yield more than the larger types.

## Correlation Surfaces for the Four Main Characters Considered

The correlation coefficient indicates the tendency for two characters to vary together in the same direction or for one to increase as the other decreases. This measure, if relationship is close, enables one to predict with more or less accuracy, the relatiye scores of the variates for one variable knowing the scores of the other. In the event that several variables are closely associated with a particular character one may be enabled to predict with more accuracy. For instance it has been shown above (See Table XVIII) that the three characters, the date of first flower, the number of squares at first flower, and the number of bolls and flowers on at 18 days give the simple correlations with yield of $-.5481, \pm .6652$, and +.8792 , respectively. The multiple correlation of these three characters with yield is .9303 which is much higher than for any one of the characters alone. The regression equation for this four variable problem is as follows: $\overline{\mathrm{I}}=21.1662-$ $.3046 \mathrm{a}-1.9092 \mathrm{~d}+4.4731 \mathrm{~g}$ where " $\overline{\mathrm{I}}$ " is the estimated value for yield, " $a$ " is the date of the first flower, ' d " is the number of squares at first flower, and " g ", is the number of flowers and bolls on at 18 days. The estimated values for yield when correlated with the actual values for yield give a correlation coefficient of .9425 which is practically the same as the multiple correlation and might be used

## Chart I-Scatter diagram for the variables yield of seed cotton and lint per cent.

 1927| Lint per cent | Yield of seed cotton |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{y}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 8.7- \\ & 10.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.2- \\ & 11.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.7- \\ 13.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.2- \\ 14.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.7- \\ 16.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.2- \\ 17.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.7- \\ 19.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.2- \\ & 20.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.7- \\ 22.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.2- \\ & 23.6 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 28.6-30.2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 30.3-31.9 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 32.0-33.6 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 3 |
| 33.7-35.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |
| 35.4-37.0 | 2 |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 37.1-38.7 |  |  | 1 | . . . |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |
| 38.8-40.4 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |
| 40.5-42.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42.2-43.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43.9-45.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 18 |

$\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{xy}}=+.2669 \pm .1476$.
as a check upon the multiple correlation. If such a relationship were consistent from one year to another one could use these characters as criteria in selecting for yielding ability.

There is another consideration, however, that should be emphasized and that is, that if the correlation is not perfect, there may be one important reason for it. The digression from a perfect correlation may be due to some one individual or some very few individuals diverging from the general tendency. In those instances where correlation is close it may be these exceptions that are of particular interest to the plant breeder. The correlation coefficient is a general index to the situation but it does not give all of the facts. For this reason scatter diagrams are given here for the four characters, the yield of seed cotton, the lint per cent, the lint length, and the number of bolls per pound of seed cotton for the season of 1927.

It may be noted from Chart I that some of the best yielding varieties are reasonably good from the standpoint of their lint per cent. A lint per cent of

Chart II-Scatter diagram for the characters yield of seed cotton and lint length. 1927

| Lint length | Yield of seed cotton |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {f }} \mathrm{y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 8.7-7-1 \\ & 10.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 10.2- \\ 11.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.7- \\ & 13.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.2- \\ & 14.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.7- \\ & 16.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.2- \\ 17.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.7-1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.2- \\ & 20.6 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{22.1}^{20.7-}$ | ${ }_{23.6}^{22.6}$ |  |
| 12.7-13.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 13.4-14.0 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 14.1-14.7 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 14.8-15.4 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 4 |
| 15.5-16.1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |
| 16.2-16.8 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 4 |
| 16.9-17.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17.6-18.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 18.3-19.0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 19.1-19.7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 18 |

$$
\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{xy}}=-.2864 \pm .1549
$$

Chart III—Scatter diagram for the characters yield of seed cotton and the number of bolls per pound of seed cotton. 1927

| Number of bolls per pound seed cotton | Yield of seed cotton |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{y}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 8.7- \\ & 10.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.2- \\ & 11.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.7- \\ 13.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.2- \\ 14.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.7- \\ 16.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.2- \\ & 17.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.7- \\ 19.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.2- \\ & 20.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.7- \\ 22.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.2- \\ 23.6 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 59.5-63.9 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 64.0-68.4 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 68.5-72.9 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 73.0-77.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 77.5-81.9 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 3 |
| 82.0-86.4 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |
| 86.5-90.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 91.0-95.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| 95.5-99.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 100.0-104.4 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 18 |

[^5]from 35 to 40 is considered good even in upland cotton varieties. The indications are that, if one goes above 40 for lint per cent, he will do so at some sacrifice in the yield of seed cotton. This assumption is made in spite of the fact that the correlation coefficient suggests a slight tendency for the lint per cent to increase with an increase in the yield of seed cotton. It is also suggested in Chart II that an increase in the length of lint beyond an inch staple is very likely accomplished at a sacrifice in yielding ability, although an inch and a sixteenth may be possible without any great sacrifice of yield. Although the correlation coefficient between the yield of seed cotton and the number of bolls per pound is only +.3207 $\pm .1425$, it is apparent from a glance at Chart III that none of the highest yielders are of the large boll varieties. If the one individual in the lower left-hand corner of the scatter diagram is omitted $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{xy}}$ is $+.5211 \pm .1192$ which is considerably higher than it is with this individual included. If the widely divergent individual were

Chart IV-Scatter diagram for the variables lint per cent and lint length. 1927

| Lint length | Lint per cent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{y}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 23.6- \\ 30.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.3- \\ 31.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 32.0- \\ 33.6 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.7- \\ 35.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 35.4- \\ 37.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.1- \\ 38.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.8- \\ 40.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40.5- \\ 42.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42.2- \\ 43.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43.9- \\ 45.5 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 12.7-13.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| 13.4-14.0 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 14.1-14.7 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |
| 14.8-15.4 |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 4 |
| 15.5-16.1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 16.2-16.8 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 16.9-17.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17.6-18.2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 18.3-19.0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 19.1-19.7 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 1 | 18 |

$$
\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{xy}}=-.8308 \pm .0491
$$

Chart $V$-Scatter diagram for the characters lint per cent and the number of bolls per pound of seed cotton. 1927

| Number of bolls per pound seed cotton | Lint per cent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{y}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 28.6- \\ 30.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.3- \\ 31.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{32.0}{33.6}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.7- \\ 35.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35.4- \\ 37.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.1- \\ 38.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.8- \\ 40.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40.5- \\ 42.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42.2- \\ 43.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43.9- \\ 45.5 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 59.5-63.9 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 4 |
| 64.0-68.4 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 68.5-72.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 73.0-77.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 77.5-81.9 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |
| $82.0-86.4$ |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 86.5-90.9 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 91.0-95.4 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 95.5-99.9 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 100.0-104.4 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 1 | 18 |

$$
\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{x} y}=-.4584 \pm .1256
$$

in the upper right-hand corner of the scatter diagram it would have considerable value from the standpoint of the plant breeder.

A glance at Chart IV reveals the close relationship of the lint per cent and the lint length. There are no exceptional individuals here and it is apparent that the increase in either variable will result in a corresponding decrease in the other. The evidence suggests that it is doubtful if one can expect to obtain a variety with a gin turnout greater than 37 per cent if he desires an inch and a sixteenth staple. A fiber length of an inch and an eighth is considered the most desirable. With this length of staple it seems unlikely that the gin turnout can be increased beyond 34 per cent. It is apparent from Chart V that with a lint per cent of 40 one can expect to obtain the very largest boll types, which run about 60 bolls to the pound of seed cotton. Some sacrifice in the size of boll may be necessary if one desires a higher lint per cent.

## Chart VI-Scatter diagram for the variables lint length and the number of bolls

 per pound of seed cotton. 1927| Number of bolls per pound seed cotton | Lint length |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{y}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 12.7- \\ 13.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.4- \\ & 14.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.1- \\ & 14.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.8- \\ 15.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.5- \\ 16.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.2- \\ 16.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.9- \\ 17.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.6- \\ 18.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.3- \\ & 19.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.1- \\ & 19.7 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 59.5-63.9 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 64.0-68.4 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 68.5-72.9 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 73.0-77.4 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 77.5-81.9 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 3 |
| $82.0-86.4$ |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |
| 86.5-90.9 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 91.0-95.4 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 95.5-99.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| 100.0-104.4 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 18 |

$$
\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{xy}}=+.3049 \pm .1441
$$

It is evident from Chart VI that the extreme staple lengths are found only in the smaller boll types although one can obtain a length of an inch and a sixteenth in the very largest boll types.

The varieties included in this study are fairly representative of those grown in this section of the cotton belt and are probably reasonably indicative of the general relationships of the various characters studied. The present study may be considered as fairly comprehensive although obviously preliminary. It is highly desirable to obtain further information on the relationship of several of the most promising characters in observations extending over a longer period of time and perhaps including a larger number of varieties.

## SUMMARY

1. A review is given for the correlation studies in cotton and correlation coefficients are calculated from published data of variety tests in a number of localities of the cotton belt. The four characters given emphasis in these studies are the yield of seed cotton, the lint per cent, the lint length, and the size of boll. There is considerable variability in the degree of association among these four characters as expressed by their correlation coefficients. The correlations vary from year to year and from one locality to another with the exception of the two characters, lint length and lint per cent. These two are negatively correlated in all years and in all sections. In several instances the relation is sufficiently close to be of value in predicting one character from values of the other.
2. Original data are given for observations on a random sample of upland varieties of cotton for the two years 1926 and 1927 at Stillwater, Oklahoma. Nineteen varieties were included in the study for 1926 and scores were obtained on 24 characters including the yield of seed cotton, the lint per cent, the length of lint, and the number of bolls per pound of seed cotton. Twenty-five characters were studied in the season of 1927 and on 18 varieties. Correlations were calculated with particular reference to the four characters of economic importance.
3. The characters of importance in their association with the yield of seed cotton in 1926 and their correlation coefficients with yield are as follows: the length of stem internode, $-.573 \pm .104$; the area of the largest leaf, $-.379 \pm .133$; the number of vegetative branches, $-.458 \pm .122$; the lint length, $-.465 \pm .121$; the lint per cent, $+.522 \pm .113$; and the yield of lint, $+.864 \pm .039$. The multiple correlation of these six characters with yield is $.9421 \pm .0174$ and without the yield of lint included it is $.7661 \pm .0639$.
4. Six characters were found to be of interest from the standpoint of their relations to yield in the season of 1927. These characters and their correlation coefficients with yield are as follows: the date of the first flower, $-.5481 \pm .1112$; the time to mature, $-.8496 \pm .0441$; the area of the largest leaf, $-.7493 \pm .0698$; the height of the plant, $-.5664 \pm .1080$; the number of squares at first flower, $+.6652 \pm .0887$; and the number of flowers on at 18 days, $+.8792 \pm .0361$. With these six variables and yield as the dependent variable the multiple correlation coefficient was $.9565 \pm .0134$. Omitting the characters, time to mature and the height of the plant, " R "' was $.9423 \pm .0179$.
5. Four characters were considered of interest from the standpoint of their association with the lint per cent in 1926. These characters and their correlation coefficients with lint per cent are as follows: the date of the first blossom, $+.433-$ $\pm .126$; the number of bolls on fruit branches, $-.567 \pm .105$; the yield of seed cot$\neq$, $+.522 \pm .113$; and the lint length, $-.411 \pm .129$. The multiple correlation obtained by the use of the four characters mentioned with the lint per cent as the dependent variable is $.5926 \pm .1003$. Only three characters were of importance in respect to lint per cent in 1927. The date of the first open boll, the length of lint, and the number of bolls per pound are the three characters and their correlation coefficients with lint per cent are $-.4051 \pm .1329,-.8308 \pm .0492$, and $-.4584 \pm$ .1256, respectively. Using these three characters in a multiple correlation problem with the lint per cent, " R " ' is $.8379 \pm .0473$.
6. The length of lint, in 1926 , was associated to a considerable degree with the characters, the height to the first branch, the yield of seed cotton, the lint per cent, the weight of 100 seeds, and the time to mature. The correlation coefficients obtained are $-.443 \pm .124,-.465 \pm .121,-.411 \pm .129,+.431 \pm .126$, and $+.372 \pm .133$, respectively. The multiple correlation obtained was not significant when considered in the light of its probable error. In 1927, only two characters appear to be of any importance from the standpoint of lint length. These characters are lint per cent and time to mature. The two characters combined do not give as high a correlation coefficient as does lint per cent alone.
7. There were five characters in 1926 and nine in 1927 which were important in their associations with the number of bolls per pound of seed cotton. For the season of 1926 the characters and their correlation coefficients with the number of bolls per pound are as follows: the date of the first open boll, $-.750 \pm .068$; the height to the first branch, $+.735 \pm .071$; the area of the largest leaf, $-.599 \pm .099$; the weight of 100 seeds, $-.872 \pm .037$; and the number of locks per boll, $-.775 \pm$ . 062 . Using these five variables with the number of bolls per pound as the dependent variable the multiple correlation coefficient is . $9936 \pm .0019$. The characters associated with the number of bolls per pound in 1927 are as follows: the number of locks per boll, $-.7643 \pm .0661$; the per cent shed at 18 days, $-.6552 \pm$ .0907 ; the weight of 100 seeds, $-.6886 \pm .0835$; the area of the largest leaf, -.5268 $\pm .1148$; the height to the first branch, $+.5627 \pm .1066$; the number of internodes per plant, $-.4599 \pm .1253$; the number of vegetative branches, $-.4916 \pm .1207$; the yield of seed, $+.4021 \pm .1333$; and the lint per cent, $-.4584 \pm .1256$. With these nine variables and the number of bolls per pound as the dependent variable the multiple correlation coefficient is $.9272 \pm .0224$.
8. Scatter diagrams are given for the interrelations of the four characters, the yield of seed cotton, the lint per cent, the length of lint, and the number of bolls per pound, for the season of 1927. The indications are that these characters are not closely associated except for the two characters, lint length and lint per cent. It is reasonable to assume that in advancing the length of lint beyond an inch and a sixteenth that one must expect a reduction in the lint per cent.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Contribution from the Department of Field Crops and Soils of the Oklahoma Agricultural and Mechanical College, Stillwater, Oklahoma, and approved for publication by the Director of the Experiment Station.
    ${ }^{2}$ Formerly Professor of Plant Breeding at the Oklahoma Agricultural and Mechanical College and now Biologist at the Maine Agricultural Experiment Station, Associate Professor in charge of cotton investigations and graduate student in plant breeding respectively at the Oklahoma Agricultural and Mechanical College, Stillwater, Oklahoma.
    ${ }^{3}$ Reference is made by number to "Literature Cited," page 32.

[^1]:    $\mathrm{f}=$ length of stem internode．
    $1=$ area of largest leaf．
    $\mathrm{k}=$ number of vegetative branches．
    $\mathrm{t}=$ lint length．
    $\mathrm{s}=$ lint per cent．
    $\mathrm{u}=$ yield of lint．
    $q=$ yield of seed cotton．

[^2]:    $a=$ date of first flower.

[^3]:    $\mathrm{a}=$ date of first flower.
    $m=$ number of bolls on fruit branches. $\quad t=$ length of lint.
    $q=$ yield of seed cotton.
    $\mathrm{s}=$ lint per cent.

[^4]:    $b=$ date of first open boll. $\quad v=$ weight of 100 seeds.
    $\mathrm{d}=$ height to first branch. $\quad \Sigma=$ number of locks per boll.
    $i=$ area of largest leaf. $\quad \mathrm{p}=$ number of bolls per pound.

[^5]:    $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{xy}}=+.3207 \pm .1425$.

