



# ORANGE TEAM 2019

Critical Design Review (CDR) 20 February 2019

#### Overview

- 1. Overall Timeline and Goals for Phase 3
- 2. Overview of Concept
- 3. Manufacturing Timeline
- 4. Structures: Manufacturing and Materials
- 5. Structures: Aircraft Configurations
- 6. Ground Equipment
- 7. Launch and Recovery
- 8. Aerodynamics
- 9. Propulsion
- 10. Review
- 11. Backup Slides



### **Overview: HawkEye Performance**

- Small, compact, and high speed design
- ≻25% static margin
- ≻Max GTOW = 17.9lb
- >Ground launched, meets requirements
- Lobed exhaust and K-wool for acoustic cancellation
- > Meets all range and loiter requirements
- >30x optical zoom with 3-axis stabilized gimbal
  - Long range targeting
  - Low acoustic signature at distance

#### HawkEye: Inside the Box

 Small, compact, and high speed design
 Aircraft fits in 2 designated Pelican Cases
 Third case used for ground equipment





#### HawkEye: Basic Figures

- > Max Thrust: 15.5lb
- > Max Speed: 132 ~ 151 kts
- ≻ Max GTOW: 17.9lb
  - Empty Weight: 10.96lb
- ≻SM: 18.6%
  - > CG @ 22.1 in from nose
  - Quarter Cord @ 24 in



OKLAHOM

and the second



### STRUCTURAL DESIGN AND MANUFACTURING



# **Tasks Accomplished Since PMR**

- >Finalized wing and tail attachment structure
- CDR Specific Tasks
  - > Takeoff/landing strategies
  - Molding strategy
  - > Fuselage skin layup and weight estimations
  - > Internal components, electronics, camera and bulkhead configuration
  - Inlet and hatch integration
  - Camera and acrylic dome integration
  - > Specified skin weights and C.G. calculations

### **Aircraft Molding Strategy**



>Top-bottom molds for both fuselage body and wings

Left-right mold for V-tail



### **Core Option 1: Balsa Wood**



>Thickness: 1/16"

- Density: 6~10 lb/ft<sup>3</sup>
- Has traditionally been used in past Speedfest aircraft
- Easy to mold to shape of aircraft



### **Core Option 2: Divinycell Foam**



≻Thickness: 1/8"

- > Thicker foam available
- ➢ Density: 3lb/ft<sup>3</sup>
- > Also is available in 5 lb/ft<sup>3</sup>
- Easy to mold to shape of mold
- More simple to repair than balsa



#### **Core Option 3: Honeycomb**



- Thickness: 0.20 0.25 inches
  - > Density: 3 lb/ft<sup>3</sup>
  - > Available in 1.8 lb/ft<sup>3</sup>; considered too weak
- Different strength properties based on direction of loading
- >Best strength-weight ratio



### **Skin Selection**

≻Core selection:

> Divinycell 1/8" foam core chosen

- ≥ 2 oz., 1610 fiberglass:
  - > Allows for extremely lightweight structure

> Does not carry flight loads sufficiently unless multiple layer layup

≻3 oz., 120 fiberglass:

> 4 harness weave allows for easier contoured layups than plain weave

≻4 oz., 1522 fiberglass:

> Structurally sufficient; could use fewer layers of fabric







- Semi-monocoque structure
- >Skin carrying torsional loads during flight
- Carbon tow implemented along high stress areas



### **Customized Composite Fuel Tank**



- >Top-bottom mold for customized fuel tanks
- ≻Tooling glass will be used
- >400/215 epoxy mixture



### Skin Weight (Best Case)



- 1:1 Fiberglass to epoxy ratio
- 3 lb/ft<sup>3</sup> Divinycell foam
- 2 layers fiberglass foam 1 layer fiberglass
- ≻ Total Skin Weight: 2.441 lb.

- 5 lb/ft<sup>3</sup> Divinycell foam
- 2 layers fiberglass foam 1 layer fiberglass
- ≻ Total Skin Weight: 2.742 lb.

# Skin Weight (Worst Case)



- 1:1.5 Fiberglass to epoxy ratio
- 3 lb/ft<sup>3</sup> Divinycell foam
- 2 layers fiberglass foam 1 layer fiberglass
- ≻ Total Skin Weight: 2.938 lb.

- 5 lb/ft<sup>3</sup> Divinycell foam
- 2 layers fiberglass foam 1 layer fiberglass
- ≻ Total Skin Weight: 3.239 lb.

#### OKLAHOM **Bulkhead Configuration** Bulkhead #5: Bulkhead #4: Bulkhead #3: Bulkhead #2: Note: All highlighted sections are 5 ply Aeroply Bulkhead #1: Wing Reinforcement: Total Estimated Bulkhead Weight: 0.37 lbs. 17

#### **Bulk Heads Materials**



- > Material: Birch Aircraft Plywood (aero-ply)
- > Sizes: 5-ply (0.028lb/in^3) and 3-ply (0.023lb/in^3)
- Light weight and strong for application
- > Has been successfully used on previous OSU Speedfest aircraft
- > 5 ply used for bulk head/wing attachments and engine mount structure
- >3 ply used for remaining ribs and internal wing structure



#### **Electronics Bay Component Costs**



Video Transmitter – Wolfwhoop T86P 5.8gHz \$13.00 Brushless Gimbal Controller - Storm 32 BGC \$35 Aircraft Controls Reciever – 2 Jeti Duplex \$175 \*2 Engine Control Unit – Included in the K70 Batteries – Venom 850mAh 6.6v \$24.44 Nano-tech 2000mAh 2S \$13.78 Kingtech 2100mAh 9.9v \$48 Turnigy 2200mAh 3S Lipo Pack \$11



#### **Optics Component Costs**

≻Camera

- > 17.5° view horizontal> 13° view vertical
- Brushless Custom Gimbal
- >Two Flight Operators
  - ➢ ECO-ABS
- ≻Total Cost: \$206





### Wing Attachment Configuration







#### **Tail Attachment Configuration**





#### **Internal Tail Configuration**





27

#### Inlet Integration





#### **Propulsion Configuration**





#### Wing Control Surfaces





#### **Tail Control Surfaces**







# OKLAHOMA **Acrylic Dome Integration** INIVERSIT **3D** Printed Attachment Ring Gimbal ¼" Magnets Camera Acrylic Dome 33

#### Weight and C.G: Best Case

0

No fuel weight
No ight of aircraft: 10 0

- > Weight of aircraft: 10.96 lb
- ➤CG Location: 21.54 in from nose

≻ Static margin: 25%

#### Weight and C.G: Worst Case



> Full fuel tanks: 6.94 lb of fuel

> Weight of aircraft: 17.9 lb

≻CG Location: 22.10 in from nose

Static margin: 18.6%

> Won't need full fuel tanks to complete Speedfest Mission\*\*

### **Ground Control System**

- Skylark Outdoor Highlight FPV Ground Station
- > 1000cd/m2 10.4 inch Monitor
- Live video feed
- Mini Joystick will be added to control Gimbal System
- Potentiometer will be added to control camera zoom




# Launching Strategy

- Configured Launch Mechanism
  - ≻8 foot launcher
  - Legs staked inside required launching area, 6ftx6ft (@ 62 in)
  - ▶12° launch angle
  - ≽\$898.00 Total
    - > \$225.00 per aircraft





# Launching Mechanism Design

- Launch force applied along wing root
- Holding pin implemented in front of C.G. on engine bulkhead
- Foam-filled cutout to store holding pin
  - Reshapes to prevent whistling after pin is released
- Further testing to ensure safety of tail
- >Rotating pushers possible





# Launching Mechanism Design

- Launch force applied along wing root
- Holding pin implemented in front of C.G. on engine bulkhead
- Foam-filled cutout to store holding pin
  - Reshapes to prevent whistling after pin is released
- Further testing to ensure safety of tail
- > Rotating pushers possible





### AERODYNAMICS



# **Tasks Accomplished Since PMR**

- >Airfoil analysis and selection >Wing Planform sizing and analysis Static Stability Analysis
- > Tail Sizing
  - > V-tail tail volume
  - > Control surfaces
- >Fuselage analysis



0

# Airfoil Analysis



- >Analysis tool: MatLab & XFLR5
- Potential airfoil pool:
  - CLARK Y
  - ≻ SD 7032
  - ≻ NACA 3315
  - ≻ SD 7062

≻ NACA 4415



### **Airfoil Selection**



#### > Wing Selection: NACA 3315

> SD 7032 & SD 7062: sharp trailing edge

≻ CLARK Y: lower Cl at 0 AOA

➢ NACA 4415 has higher drag

#### ≻ Tail Selection: NACA 0015

> Needed symmetric airfoil w/ servo room

# C<sub>I</sub> v C<sub>d</sub> Comparison



44



# Wing Planform Design

#### Planform Iteration Start



#### ≻Goals:

- ≻ Keep AR <= 8
- Estimate score with different wing area
- > Result: S = 5 ft<sup>2</sup>, b = 6 ft, MAC = 10 in,  $\lambda$ = 9/11

# Score Trade-off





## Wing Planform Design

> Results: > S = 5 ft<sup>2</sup> > b= 6 ft > MAC = 10 in >  $\lambda = 9/11$ 





# **Aileron and Flap Sizing**



Conventional benchmark aileron location, 65%-95% span location
 Adequate roll control @ stall velocity (47 ft/s)

Flaps based on benchmarking, verified by XFLR5



### **Final Wing Planform**





### **Tail Volume**



Range of acceptable V-Tail angles found with heat map
 Tail Volume code gives optimal V-Tail mount angle





# **Ruddervator Sizing**

- First iteration using 30% surface area benchmark
- Excel and CFD to iterate and verify effectiveness
- Final iteration at 38% tail surface area and oversized for 50% tail area



### **Ruddervator Effectiveness**





Ruddervator Effectiveness from -40 degree to 15 degree deflection



# **Fuselage Analysis: Pitching Moment**



Component contributions to pitching moment

### **Final Tail Planform**





# **Fuselage Design**



Designed for high speed and low drag
 Fuselage drag estimated with CFD in combination with all other components



### **CFD Results**



Landing Configuration:
Landing velocity: 55 ft/s
Flaps deflection: 40°

➢ AoA: 15°



### **CFD Results**



- Takeoff Configuration
- > 50 ft/s
- > Flaps 20°
- ➢ AoA 17°







# Tail Flow CharacteristicsCFD @ 17° AOA







### PROPULSION

### **Engine Selection**



KingTech K-70G2

- ≻ Thrust: 15.5 lb @ 15°C
- ≻ Cost: \$1,650.00
- > Weight: 1 lb 9.3 oz
- Fuel Consumption: 8.11 oz/min

> Dimensions (D x L): 2.99" x 7.68"



### **Previous Engine Testing**



#### Thrust vs. Throttle Setting

- > Average thrust at idle: 0.75 lbf @ 60,000 RPM
- > Average thrust at full throttle: 14.6 lbf @ 180,000 RPM

\*Engine testing and analysis done by Orange Team 2018



# **Inlet Sizing**



Baseline of at least 25 in<sup>2</sup> inlet area

- > Determined based on Orange Team 2018 inlet tests
- Similar K-70G2 engine with thrust tube set-up







# Inlet Design



#### Size

NACA Inlet shaped
 Minimum of 25 in<sup>2</sup>
 Modeled as approximately 26 in<sup>2</sup>

#### Airflow

- Cutouts in the bulkheads are at least the same area as inlet
- Flow goes around avionics for cooling

# **CFD Estimations On Different Nozzles**



- > Find optimum geometry for decrease in dB while keeping:
  - Constant area at nozzle exit
  - > Constant total length from bell mouth to nozzle exit
  - Maximum 7° taper of nozzle
- > Geometries being tested:
  - > High aspect ratio nozzles
  - Lobed nozzles
  - Combination of the two







### **CFD Results**



#### Conclusions

- Average velocity at nozzle exit stays constant with input velocity
- ≻ Lobes > High AR
  - Much more surface area



Overall CFD Results

# **Chosen Nozzle Geometry**



#### 8 Lobed Symmetric Nozzle

- ≻ Length of 5.5"
- > Large amount of surface area for more mixing
- > 2<sup>nd</sup> lowest dB delta of tested geometries

> Accommodates structure of V-tail spars





### **Nozzle Acoustic Power Level**





Acoustic Power Level [dB]

# **Nozzle Velocity**





## Nozzle Temperature





Temperature (Fluid) [°F]

# **Nozzle Manufacturing**



#### Methods

> 3D printed molds> Press brake

Material

- Sheet Metal
  - > Aluminum
  - Stainless Steel



#### **Component Breakdown**





- > Possible use of mesh surrounded by K-Wool near bell mouth.
  - > Dependent on test results of nozzle (use only if nozzle is insufficient, testing will need to be conducted)



# Fuel Required for Cruise and Loiter

#### Aircraft Estimates

- ≻ Empty Weight: 13 lb
- ➢ Fuel Weight: ~7 lb
- ➢ Wing Area: 5 ft<sup>2</sup>
- > Wing Span: 6 ft
- ➢ Parasite Drag: 0.15

К-70	<b>Cruise Conditions</b>	Loiter Conditions
Throttle Setting (%)	65	20
Average Velocity (kts)	113	63
Time Required (min)	11.2	10
Weight of Required Fuel (lbf)	4.20	2.38
Weight of Required Fuel for Total Mission (lbf)	6.58	

#### **Current Fuel Capacity**

- Diesel (6.94 lb/gal)
- > 1 gal fuel capacity

# Possible Placement Within Aircraft



> Aircraft Velocity and Streamlines

> Lowers acoustic signature by 7.85 dB (CFD)

Will be tested in prototype flight tests\*\*


### After CDR: Aerodynamics



- >Working close with structures and optics with next steps in manufacturing
- >Compare results from prototype flight to CFD analysis
- Check aircraft

### **After CDR: Propulsion**



- Work with optics on flight path, find best flying altitude and distance from judges stand
- > Layout of fuel lines, fuel pump, and tank attachments
- >Optimization of nozzle manufacturing
- Engine testing for nozzle
  - > If nozzle isn't sufficient, do testing with mesh and K-wool additions to fuselage

# After CDR: Optics and Avionics



- > Iterative 3D printing gimbal to make sure it fits
- ≻Camera range
- > Fine tune gains on motor controller
- > Wiring schematics
- > Hooking up and testing complete avionics package
- ➤Tuning stability for Jeti
- Ordering all components

### Review



- 1. Overall Timeline and Goals for Phase 3
- 2. Overview of Concept
- 3. Manufacturing Timeline
- 4. Structures: Manufacturing and Materials
- 5. Structures: Aircraft Configurations
- 6. Ground Equipment
- 7. Launch and Recovery
- 8. Aerodynamics
- 9. Propulsion
- 10. After CDR
- 11. BFD<sub>c</sub>W



# BACKUP



## Sound dampening back up slides

### ≻Kaowool specs

#### Acoustical performance per ASTM C-423 A and E-795, Sound Absorption Coefficient

Kaowool Blanket	250Hz	500 Hz	1000 Hz	2000 Hz	4000 Hz	NRC
1"- 4 pcf	0.29	1.00	1.04	0.99	0.98	0.85
1"- 8 pcf	0.50	0.92	0.91	0.91	0.94	0.80
2"- 4 pcf	0.92	1.01	1.01	1.03	1.10	1.00
2"- 8 pcf	0.80	0.72	0.86	0.92	1.02	0.85

#### Quiet Batt soundproofing insulation Acoustic Data:

frequency	125	250	500	1K	2K	4K	NRC*	SAA*
3 inch	.39	.86	.99	.92	.96	1.01	0.95	0.94

\* NRC = noise reduction coefficient

### >Auralex StudioFoam Pyramids

	2" Studiofoam Pyramid	4" Studiofoam Pyramid
Overall NRC:	0.70	0.95

### **CFD Results: Tip Stall**



Tip Stall @ 20° and 200 ft/s, but will effect launch angle considerations







### Skin Weight (Foam Core; 1:1; 3oz)

	Skin Layup (2 oz	, PW)	- Assuming 1:1 Ratio of Fa	bric/Epoxy	
#	Material	Bias	Material Density (lb/ft <sup>2</sup> )	Thickness (in)	Weight (lb)
1	Fiberglass	45	0.017	0.004	0.246
2	Ероху	-	0.017	0.002	0.246
3	Fiberglass	90	0.017	0.004	0.246
4	Ероху	-	0.017	0.002	0.246
5	Divinycell Foam Core (1/8")	-	0.031	0.125	0.452
6	Fiberglass	45	0.017	0.004	0.246
7	Ероху	-	0.017	0.002	0.246
			Total Skin Weight Esti	mation (lb)	1.927
			Total Skin Thickness Est	timation (in)	0.141
	Skin Layup (3 oz	, 4H)	- Assuming 1:1 Ratio of Fal	oric/Epoxy	
#	Material	Bias	Material Density (lb/ft <sup>2</sup> )	Thickness (in)	Weight (lb)
1	Fiberglass	45	0.023	0.006	0.331
2	Ероху	-	0.023	0.003	0.331
3	Fiberglass	90	0.023	0.006	0.331
4	Ероху	-	0.023	0.003	0.331
5	Divinycell Foam Core (1/8")	-	0.031	0.125	0.452
6	Fiberglass	45	0.023	0.006	0.331
7	Ероху	-	0.023	0.003	0.331
			Total Skin Weight Esti	mation (lb)	2.441
			Total Skin Thickness Est	timation (in)	0.152

Skin Layup (4 oz, PW) - Assuming 1:1 Ratio of Fabric/Epoxy						
#	Material	Bias	Material Density (lb/ft <sup>2</sup> )	Thickness (in)	Weight (lb)	
1	Fiberglass	45	0.028	0.008	0.405	
2	Ероху	-	0.028	0.004	0.405	
3	Fiberglass	90	0.028	0.008	0.405	
4	Ероху	-	0.028	0.004	0.405	
5	Divinycell Foam Core (1/8")	-	0.031	0.125	0.452	
6	Fiberglass	45	0.028	0.008	0.405	
7	Ероху	-	0.028	0.004	0.405	
			Total Skin Weight Estimation (Ib)		2.882	
			Total Skin Thickness Estimation (in) 0.1			

	Skin Layup (9 oz	, 8H) ·	- Assuming 1:1 Ratio of Fal		
#	Material	Bias	Material Density (lb/ft <sup>2</sup> )	Thickness (in)	Weight (lb)
1	Fiberglass	45	0.063	0.012	0.911
2	Ероху	-	0.063	0.006	0.911
3	Fiberglass	90	0.063	0.012	0.911
4	Ероху	-	0.063	0.006	0.911
5	Divinycell Foam Core (1/8")	-	0.031	0.125	0.452
6	Fiberglass	45	0.063	0.012	0.911
7	Ероху	-	0.063	0.006	0.911
			Total Skin Weight Esti	5.919	
			Total Skin Thickness Est	0.179	



# Skin Weight (Foam Core; 1:1.5; 3oz)

	Skin Layup (2 oz,	PW) -	Assuming 1:1.5 Ratio of Fa	abric/Epoxy	
#	Material	Bias	Material Density (lb/ft <sup>2</sup> )	Thickness (in)	Weight (lb)
1	Fiberglass	45	0.017	0.004	0.246
2	Ероху	-	0.026	0.002	0.369
3	Fiberglass	90	0.017	0.004	0.246
4	Ероху	-	0.026	0.002	0.369
5	Divinycell Foam Core (1/8")	-	0.031	0.125	0.452
6	Fiberglass	45	0.017	0.004	0.246
7	Ероху	-	0.026	0.002	0.369
			Total Skin Weight Esti	mation (lb)	2.296
			Total Skin Thickness Est	timation (in)	0.141
	Skin Layup (3 oz,	4H) -	Assuming 1:1.5 Ratio of Fa	bric/Epoxy	
#	Material	Bias	Material Density (lb/ft <sup>2</sup> )	Thickness (in)	Weight (lb)
1	Fiberglass	45	0.023	0.006	0.331
2	Ероху	-	0.034	0.003	0.497
3	Fiberglass	90	0.023	0.006	0.331
4	Ероху	-	0.034	0.003	0.497
5	Divinycell Foam Core (1/8")	-	0.031	0.125	0.452
6	Fiberglass	45	0.023	0.006	0.331
7	Ероху	-	0.034	0.003	0.497
			Total Skin Weight Esti	mation (lb)	2.938
			Total Skin Thickness Est	timation (in)	0.152

Skin Layup (4 oz, PW) - Assuming 1:1.5 Ratio of Fabric/Epoxy						
#	Material	Bias	Material Density (lb/ft <sup>2</sup> )	Thickness (in)	Weight (lb)	
1	Fiberglass	45	0.028	0.008	0.405	
2	Ероху	-	0.042	0.004	0.607	
3	Fiberglass	90	0.028	0.008	0.405	
4	Ероху	-	0.042	0.004	0.607	
5	Divinycell Foam Core (1/8")	-	0.031	0.125	0.452	
6	Fiberglass	45	0.028	0.008	0.405	
7	Ероху	-	0.042	0.004	0.607	
			Total Skin Weight Estimation (lb)		3.489	
			Total Skin Thickness Est	0.161		

	Skin Layup (9 oz,	8H) -	Assuming 1:1.5 Ratio of Fa	bric/Epoxy	
#	Material	Bias	Material Density (lb/ft <sup>2</sup> )	Thickness (in)	Weight (lb)
1	Fiberglass	45	0.063	0.012	0.911
2	Ероху	-	0.095	0.006	1.367
3	Fiberglass	90	0.063	0.012	0.911
4	Ероху	-	0.095	0.006	1.367
5	Divinycell Foam Core (1/8")	-	0.031	0.125	0.452
6	Fiberglass	45	0.063	0.012	0.911
7	Ероху	-	0.095	0.006	1.367
			Total Skin Weight Estimation (lb)		7.286
			Total Skin Thickness Est	timation (in)	0.179



# Skin Weight (Foam Core; 1:1; 5oz)

Skin Layup (2 oz, PW) - Assuming 1:1 Ratio of Fabric/Epoxy						Skin Layup (4 oz, PW) - Assuming 1:1 Ratio of Fabric/Epoxy					
#	Material	Bias	Material Density (lb/ft <sup>2</sup> )	Thickness (in)	Weight (lb)	#	Material	Bias	Material Density (lb/ft <sup>2</sup> )	Thickness (in)	Weight (lb)
1	Fiberglass	45	0.017	0.004	0.246	1	Fiberglass	45	0.028	0.008	0.405
2	Ероху	-	0.017	0.002	0.246	2	Ероху	-	0.028	0.004	0.405
3	Fiberglass	90	0.017	0.004	0.246	3	Fiberglass	90	0.028	0.008	0.405
4	Fnoxy	-	0.017	0.002	0 246	4	Ероху	-	0.028	0.004	0.405
5	Divinycell Foam Core (1/8")	_	0.052	0.002	0.210	5	Divinycell Foam Core (1/8")	-	0.052	0.125	0.753
6		45	0.032	0.123	0.755	6	Fiberglass	45	0.028	0.008	0.405
0		45	0.017	0.004	0.246	7	Ероху	-	0.028	0.004	0.405
4	Ероху	-	0.01/	0.002	0.246				Total Skin Weight Esti	mation (lb)	3.183
			Total Skin Weight Esti	mation (lb)	2.229				Total Skin Thickness Es	timation (in)	0.161
			Total Skin Thickness Es	timation (in)	0.141						
							Skin Lavup (9 o	z. 8H)	- Assuming 1:1 Ratio of Fa	abric/Epoxy	
	Skin Layup (3 o	z, 4H)	- Assuming 1:1 Ratio of Fa	bric/Epoxy		#	Matorial	Piec	Matorial Donsity (lb/ft <sup>2</sup> )	Thicknoss (in)	Woight (lb)
#	Material	Bias	Material Density (lb/ft <sup>2</sup> )	Thickness (in)	Weight (lb)	1	Fiberglass				
1	Fiberglass	45	0.023	0.006	0.331	H		45	0.063	0.012	0.911
2	Ероху	-	0.023	0.003	0.331	2	Ероху	-	0.063	0.006	0.911
3	Fiberglass	90	0.023	0.006	0.331	3	Fiberglass	90	0.063	0.012	0.911
4	Ероху	-	0.023	0.003	0.331	4	Ероху	-	0.063	0.006	0.911
5	Divinycell Foam Core (1/8")	-	0.052	0.125	0.753	5	Divinycell Foam Core (1/8")	-	0.052	0.125	0.753
6	Fiberglass	45	0.023	0.006	0.331	6	Fiberglass	45	0.063	0.012	0.911
7	Ероху	-	0.023	0.003	0.331	7	Ероху	-	0.063	0.006	0.911
			Total Skin Weight Esti	Total Skin Weight Estimation (lb) 2.742					Total Skin Weight Esti	mation (lb)	6.220
			Total Skin Thickness Es	Total Skin Thickness Estimation (in)					Total Skin Thickness Es	timation (in)	0.179

### Wing Servo Option #1



IS-5245MG Servo Specifications	
Performance Specifications	
Operating Voltage Range (Volts DC)	4.8V ~ 6.0V
Speed (Second @ 60°)	0.15 ~ 0.12
Maximum Torque Range oz. / in.	61 ~ 76
Maximum Torque Range kg. / cm.	4.4 ~ 5.5
Current Draw at Idle	3 mA
No Load Operating Current Draw	300 mA
Stall Current Draw	1,200 mA
Dead Band Width	4 µs
Physical Specifications	
Dimensions (Inches)	1.27 x 0.66 x 1.21
Dimensions (Metric)	32.4 x 16.8 x 30.8
Weight (Ounces)	1.12
Weight (Gram)	32.0
Circuit Type	G1 Programable Digital
Motor Type	3 Pole Metal Brush Ferrite
Gear Material	Metal
Bearing Type	Dual Ball Bearing
Output Shaft (type / Ømm)	Standard 24
Case Material	Plastic
Dust / Water Resistance	N/A
Connector Gauge (AWG) / Strand Count	25 / 40

OKLAHOMA

### Tail Servo





OKLAHOMA