THEORY OF PHOTO-INDUCED RATE PROCESSES

IN RIGID GLASS SOLUTIONS

EDWARD C. LIM Bachelor of Science St. Procopius College Lisle, Illinois 1954

By

Submitted to the faculty of the Graduate School of the Oklahoma Agricultural and Mechanical College in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of MASTER OF SCIENCE August, 1956

والاختار منا

AUG 12195 7

THEORY OF PHOTO-INDUCED RATE PROCESSES

IN RIGID GLASS SOLUTIONS

Thesis Approved:

Level H. Gihmore Thesis Adviser

<u>Alan</u> n. Curningham

1 Mardrean

Dean of the Graduate School

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author wishes to express his sincere appreciation and gratitude to Dr. E. H. Gilmore for his help and guidance during the course of the investigation.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

S

ì

ection		Page
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	THEORETICAL STUDY OF THE RATE EQUATIONS	5
III.	EVALUATIONS OF THE RATE CONSTANTS	15
IV.	SUMMARY	20
, te	BIBLIOGRAPHY	21

INTRODUCTION

During the last decade or so, extensive and precise studies of luminescence in organic systems have been made and have been reviewed by various workers. In addition to these experimental investigations there has been a parallel development of the wave-mechanical approach to the theory of luminescence in organic molecules. The investigation of the occurrence and characteristics of luminescence in organic molecules has not only increased our general knowledge of such processes but has provided a powerful method of relating photochemical activity and luminescence to the structural form and physical states of molecules. Since molecules are apparently less perturbed by their environment in solid solutions than they are in liquid solutions, most of the luminescence studies of organic molecules have been done in solid solutions or rigid media. The occurrence of luminescence in rigid solutions has been known for a long time, but the systematic study began with the work of Jablonski (2).

Among the primary objectives in luminescence studies have been efforts to find the conditions favorable for the occurrence of luminescence in molecules and the mechanism by which electronically excited molecules lose their energy of excitation. In such considerations, one usually makes use of potential energy diagrams to describe the normal and excited states of molecules. The use of molecular energy level diagrams to explain the emission mechanism was first given by Jablonski (2) and quantitatively verified by Lewis, Lipkin, and Magel (7).

The energy level diagram used by Jablonski to arrive at his theory of the nature of phosphorescence and fluorescence emissions is given in Figure 1.



G - Ground state
F - Fluorescent state
M - Metastable state



He assumed that molecules giving phosphorescence have two electronically excited levels, the F or fluorescent level, and M or mestastable level. Lewis and Kasha advanced the theory that the mestastable level is a triplet state of the molecule and that the fluorescent level is an excited singlet state (6). These molecules are excited directly to the F state after which they either emit fluorescence and return to the ground state by a rapid process, or they pass over into the M state. Since the M state is metastable, the

molecule remains there for a much longer period of time before it either emits phosphorescence or is thermally activated back to the F state after which it emits fluorescence and passes again to the ground state. The metastable character of the M state causes the phosphorescence to have a much longer lifetime than the fluorescence. Lewis et al., designated the emission produced when molecules undergo a transition to the ground state from the triplet state as beta phosphorescence and the emission produced when molecules in the triplet state return to the ground state via the singlet state as alpha phosphorescence. The spectral character of the alpha phosphorescence is the same as that of the fluorescence. In order for alpha phosphorescence to be emitted, molecules in the triplet state must first absorb heat and be activated to the singlet state; therefore, the rate of the alpha phosphorescence is strongly temperature dependent and usually becomes negligible at low temperatures, while the beta phosphorescence is nearly independent of temperature and undoubtedly would continue down to absolute zero (7). The difference in the temperature dependence of these emissions together with their spectral differences serve to characterize these two types of phosphorescence. A full review of the work of Lewis and others on the metastable triplet state of molecules in rigid media has been given by Kasha (3).

For purposes of understanding the electronic nature of molecules, both in their ground state and in their various

excited states, a knowledge of the values of the transition probabilities for the several processes taking place is of great importance. These transition probabilities are the reciprocals of the respective rate constants. The first attempts to determine transition probabilities of tripletsinglet transitions were made by measuring beta phosphorescence decay lifetimes (8). It was known that such measurements did not take into account non-radiative transitions between these same two states. Later measurements of the quantum yields of fluorescence and beta phosphorescence provided data with which limits could be set on the value of the triplet-singlet transition probability (1). More accurate values of such transition probabilities would permit a surer identification of triplet-triplet absorption bands (9) and a more precise way of identifying perturbing levels in series of similar compounds (8). Furthermore, a more complete understanding of the processes by which a molecule dissipates its energy of excitation will be possible when the values of all the rate constants have been determined. The possibility also exists that rate constants for the non-radiative processes can be correlated with those found from independent photodecomposition experiments.

THEORETICAL STUDY OF THE RATE EQUATIONS

The object of this research is to demonstrate that the rate constants of some types of processes occurring in rigid glasses can be computed if enough relationships between measurable emission parameters can be found. By assuming that Jablonski's explanation of the nature of the emissive levels is correct, and that emission of luminescence by the excited molecules is first order kinetically, we have found it possible to solve for the rate constants in terms of measurable parameters. Experimentally, phosphorescences are found to be first order (8), and Jablonski's scheme is generally regarded to be correct.

There is presented in Figure 2 the energy level diagram used in the present calculations. A similar diagram was used by Koizumi and Kato (4,5) in a study of the quenching of the phosphorescence of absorbed organic dyes. They included rate constants for quenching processes in addition to these shown in Figure 2, but such constants are combined with the rate constants for the non-radiative transitions in the present treatment. Such a treatment appears to be justified because the experiments are considered to be carried out in the same solvent in obtaining a particular set of emission parameters; therefore, the rate constants

for the quenching processes should not vary. Consequently, they can be lumped together with the rate constants for the other non-radiative processes.



Key to symbols Used:

Q -	number of exciting quanta being absorbed per second.
S, T, and S' -	the ground, excited triplet, and excited singlet levels, respectively.
N_S , N_T , and N'_S -	the instantaneous numbers of molecules in the ground, excited triplet, and singlet molecules, respectively.
n _e and n'e -	the first order rate constants, for the emission processes occurring from the S and T levels, respectively.
n _i and n'i -	the first order rate constants for the non-radiative transitions to the ground level from the S' and T levels, respectively.
n _u and n' _u -	the first order rate constants for the transitions occurring from the S' to T level, and the T to S' level, respectively.

1.

The rate of decrease of the instantaneous numbers of molecules in the excited singlet and that of in the excited triplet levels during the illumination can be expressed in the following two differential equations:

$$\frac{dN_{S'}}{dt} = Q - (n_e + n_1 + n_u) N_{S'} + n'_u N_{T'}$$
(1)

and

$$\frac{dN_{T}}{dt} = - (n^{i}e + n^{i}i + n^{i}u) N_{T} + n^{u}N_{S}i_{o}$$

$$(2)$$

The particular solutions for ${\tt N}_{{\tt S}^{\, {\tt I}}}$ and ${\tt N}_{{\tt T}}$ are:

$$N_{S} = \frac{R_{T} Q}{R_{S} R_{T} - n_{u} n^{s} u}$$
(3)

and

$$N_{T} (0) = \frac{n_{u} Q}{R_{S} R_{T} - n_{u} n^{2} u}, \qquad (4)$$

where

$$R_{S} = (n_{e} + n_{i} + n_{u}),$$

and

$$R_{T} = (n_{e}^{i} + n_{i}^{i} + n_{u}^{i}).$$

Equations (3) and (4) give the number of molecules in the excited singlet and in the excited triplet state during the steady state condition, respectively.

For the general solution, one obtains by standard procedures

$$N_{S}' = \frac{a_{1} + R_{T}}{n_{u}} C_{1} e^{a_{1}t} + \frac{a_{2} + R_{T}}{n_{u}} C_{2} e^{a_{2}t}, \qquad (5)$$

$$N_{\rm T} = C_1 e^{a_1 t} + C_2 e^{a_2 t}, \tag{6}$$

where

$$C_{1} = \frac{a_{2} n_{u} Q}{(a_{2} - a_{1}) (R_{S}R_{T} - n_{u}n'u)},$$
(7)

$$C_{2} = \frac{-a_{1} n_{u} Q}{(a_{2} - a_{1}) (R_{S} R_{T} - n_{u} n'_{u})}, \qquad (8)$$

and

$$\mathbf{a} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ -(\mathbf{R}_{S} + \mathbf{R}_{T}) - \sqrt{(\mathbf{R}_{S} + \mathbf{R}_{T})^{2} + 4(\mathbf{n}_{u}\mathbf{n}^{*}u - \mathbf{R}_{S}\mathbf{R}_{T})} \right\}.$$
(9)

 a_1 and a_2 correspond to the + and - signs, respectively, in equation (9).

 $N_{\rm S}$ and $N_{\rm T}$ represent instantaneous numbers of molecules in the excited singlet and triplet states, respectively, at time t after an interruption of the exciting source.

Considering the fact that the lifetime of the excited triplet state is usually greater than that of the excited

singlet state by the factor of 10^8 , and since R_S is also greater than R_T by this factor, a_1 and a_2 can be approximated by

$$a_{1} = \frac{n_{u}n^{*}u}{R_{S}} - R_{T},$$
 (10)

and

$$a_2 = -(R_S + R_T) - \frac{n_u n^{*} u - R_S R_T}{(R_S + R_T)}$$
 (11)

From equations (10) and (11), $|a_2|$ can be seen to be much larger than $|a_1|$, thus leading one to the conclusion by consideration of equations (7) and (8), that $|C_1|$ is also larger than $|C_2|$ by the same factor. Koizumi and Kato (4,5) derived similar equations in a somewhat different manner in their studies on the quenching of organic phosphors. Consequently, N_S' and N_T can be accurately approximated by the first terms only.

For N_S' one obtains

$$N_{S}' = \frac{a_{1} + R_{T}}{n_{u}} C_{1} e^{a_{1}t}$$

$$=\frac{\overset{n_{u}n_{u}}{n_{u}}}{\overset{n_{u}}{n_{u}}} \overset{R_{T}}{=} \overset{R_{T}}{\underset{n_{u}}{}} \overset{R_{T}}{=} \overset{R_{T}}{\underset{n_{u}}{}} C_{1}e^{a_{1}t}$$

$$= \frac{n'u}{R_S} c_1 e^{a_1 t}$$

$$C_{1} = \frac{a_{2} n_{u} Q}{(a_{2} - a_{1}) (R_{S}R_{T} - n_{u}n_{u}^{*})} \stackrel{\sim}{=} \frac{n_{u} Q}{R_{S}R_{T} - n_{u}n_{u}^{*}}$$

And

$$a_{1} = \frac{n_{u}n'_{u}}{R_{S}} - R_{T_{y}}$$

therefore, for t somewhat greater than the average lifetime of the fluorescence (10^{-8} sec.) N_S¹ becomes

$$N_{S}' = \frac{n_{u}Q}{R_{S}R_{T} - n_{u}n'u} \frac{n!_{u}}{R_{S}} e^{-(R_{T} - \frac{n_{u}n'u}{R_{S}})t}$$
(12)

For \mathtt{N}_{T} one obtains

$$N_{T} = C_{1} e^{a_{1}t}$$

$$= \frac{n_{u} Q}{R_{S}R_{T} - n_{u}n^{*}u} e^{-(R_{T} - \frac{n_{u}n^{*}u}{R_{S}}) t}.$$
(13)

The exponential term, $R_T - \frac{n_u n^{\prime} u}{R_S}$ in equations (12) and

(13) corresponds to the reciprocal of the average lifetime of the phosphorescence or to the decay constant for the α -phosphorescence. If we designate α_p as the decay constant for the α -phosphorescence, then

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{p} = \boldsymbol{R}_{T} - \frac{\boldsymbol{n}_{u}\boldsymbol{n}^{*}\boldsymbol{u}}{\boldsymbol{R}_{S}}$$
(14)

But

This can also be derived in a much simpler manner by applying the stationary state method to the excited singlet state. The rate of the decrease of the number of molecules in the excited triplet states (N_T) and that in the excited singlet state (N_S^{*}) after the interruption of the illumination can be given by the following equations:

For
$$S^{i} - \frac{dN_{S}^{i}}{dt} = R_{S}N_{S}^{i} - n^{i}uN_{T} = 0_{9}$$
 (15)

and for
$$T - \frac{dN_T}{dt} = R_T N_T - n_u N_S^{"}$$
. (16)

Solving equation (15) for N_S and substituting into (16), we can easily obtain the solution of (16) as follows:

$$N_{T} = N_{T} (0) \exp(-\alpha_{p}t)$$

$$\alpha_{P} = R_{T} - \frac{n_{u}n^{2}u}{R_{S}}$$
(14)

Similarly, the decay constant for the fluorescence can be obtained by applying the stationary state method to the excited triplet state.

$$\alpha_{\rm F} = R_{\rm S} - \frac{n_{\rm u} n^{\rm u} u}{R_{\rm S}}$$
(17a)

Since the term $\frac{n_u n'u}{R_S}$ is quite small compared with R_S , it may be neglected. Thus, for α_F one obtains

$$\alpha_{\rm F} = R_{\rm S} \tag{17}$$

In the foregoing, expressions for the numbers of excited singlet and triplet molecules as a function of time have been derived, and the decay constants have been expressed in terms of rate constants. In the subsequent material, specific expressions for the rate constants in terms of measurable emission parameters will be developed.

In the steady state, the intensity of fluorescence, I_F (0), and that of phosphorescence, I_p (0), can be related to the rate constants by the following valid independent equations:

$$I_{\rm F} (0) = (4\pi)^{-1} n_{\rm e} N_{\rm S}^{*} (0), \qquad (18)$$

$$I_{p}(0) = (4\pi)^{-1} n_{e}^{*} N_{T}(0).$$
 (19)

During the decay of the emission, the intensity of the alpha phosphorescence at time t after interruption of the exciting radiation can also be related to the rate constants by the following expression:

$$I_{d-p}(t) = (4\pi)^{-1} n_{e} N_{S}^{*}$$
 (20)

Equations (18), (19), and (20) along with equations (14) and (17) form a set of five independent equations. However, these equations contain the six first-order rate constants n_e , n_i , n_u , n'_e , n'_i , and n'_u as unknowns. One more independent equation which expresses the measurable parameters in terms of the rate constants is needed in order to solve for their values.

Lewis, Lipkin, and Magel (7) demonstrated that the rate of the processes which carry molecules from the T to S' state (Fig. 2) is proportional to $\exp - \epsilon_{kT}$. Such a behavior is to be expected because an energy of activation is clearly required for the process indicated. Hence

$$n_{ij} = P (exp - \epsilon/k_T).$$

Another independent equation is obtained if the temperature dependence of n_{u}^{t} can be found. Since n_{e} , n_{i} , n_{u} , n_{e}^{t} , n_{i}^{t} refer to processes in which a molecule loses energy, it is reasonable to expect that these quantities are independent of temperature. Differentiating, one obtains the expression

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{A}_{P}}{\partial T} = (1 - \frac{n_{u}}{R_{S}}) n_{u}^{*} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{kT}^{2}, \qquad (21)$$

which constitutes the sixth independent equation.

The summary of these valid independent equations, from which the rate constants can be solved explicitly, are given as follows:

$$\alpha_p = R_T - \frac{n_u n' u}{R_S}, \qquad (14)$$

 $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbf{F}} = \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{S}}, \tag{17}$

$$4 \text{Tr} I_{\rm F} (0) = \frac{n_{\rm e} R_{\rm T} Q}{R_{\rm S} R_{\rm T} - n_{\rm u} n' u}, \qquad (18)$$

$$4 \pi I_{p}(0) = \frac{n^{\dagger} e^{n} u Q}{R_{S} R_{T} - n_{u} n^{\dagger} u}, \qquad (19)$$

$$4\pi I_{\mathcal{A}-P}(t) = \frac{n_e n_u Q}{R_S R_T - n_u n'u} \left(\frac{n'u}{R_S}\right) e^{-(R_T - \frac{n_u n'u}{R_S})t}, (20)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \alpha_{\rm P}}{\partial T} = (1 - \frac{n_{\rm u}}{R_{\rm S}}) n'_{\rm u} \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{kT^2}, \qquad (21)$$

where

$$R_{S} = n_{e} + n_{i} + n_{u},$$
$$R_{T} = n^{i}_{e} + n^{i}_{i} + n^{i}_{u}.$$

EVALUATIONS OF THE RATE CONSTANTS

From equation (18),

$$4\pi I_{F} (0) = \frac{n_{e}R_{T} Q}{R_{S}R_{T} - n_{u}n''_{u}}.$$

Dividing both nominator and denominator by $R_{\rm T}$, one obtains

$$\frac{1}{4}\pi I_{F}(0) = \frac{n_{e}Q}{R_{S} - n_{u}n'u/R_{T}} \stackrel{:}{=} \frac{n_{e}Q}{\alpha_{F}}.$$

Therefore

$$n_{e} = \frac{4\pi I_{F}(0) \, \boldsymbol{\chi}_{F}}{Q}.$$

From equation (19),

$$\frac{1}{4}\pi I_{p} = \frac{n!e^{n}u^{Q}}{R_{S}R_{T} - n_{u}n!u}$$

Dividing both numerator and denominator by $\ensuremath{\mathtt{R}}_{\ensuremath{\mathsf{S}}}$, one obtains

$$H\pi I_{p} (0) = \frac{n^{\dagger} e^{n} u^{Q}}{R_{S} (R_{T} - n_{u} n^{\dagger} u/R_{S})} = \frac{n^{\dagger} e^{n} u^{Q}}{\alpha F \cdot \alpha p}$$

Thus

$$n_{u} = \frac{4 \Pi I_{p} (0) \mathscr{A} F \mathscr{A} P}{Q n' e}$$
(23)

15

122

(22)

From equation (20),

$$4\pi I \mathcal{A} - P(t) e^{\mathcal{A}_{p}t} = \frac{n_{e}n_{u}Q}{R_{S}R_{T} - n_{u}n^{*}u} \circ \frac{n^{*}u}{\mathcal{A}_{F}}$$

By substituting from equations (22) and (23) for n_e and n_u ^{*} respectively and rearranging, n_u ^{*} can be expressed by

$$n_{u} = \frac{I_{d} - P(t)}{4\pi I_{F}(0) \cdot I_{p}(0)}$$
(24)

From equation (21),

$$\frac{\partial dP}{\partial T} = \frac{kT^2}{\epsilon} = n^{\prime}u - \frac{n_{u}n^{\prime}u}{F}$$

Substituting n_u from equation (24) and rearranging, one obtains

$$n_{e^{t}} = \frac{4\pi I_{p} (0) \left[\frac{\partial \alpha P}{\partial T} \cdot \frac{kT^{2}}{c} \cdot I_{F} (0) + \alpha_{p} \cdot I_{d-P(t)} \alpha_{p^{t}} \right]}{Q I \alpha_{-P(t)} e^{\alpha_{p^{t}}}},$$
(25)

From equations (25) and (23), one finds n_u to be given by

$$n_{u} = \frac{\alpha_{F} \cdot \alpha_{p} \cdot I \cdot \alpha_{-p(t)} \cdot e^{\alpha_{p}t}}{\frac{\partial \alpha_{P}}{\partial T} \cdot \frac{kT^{2}}{\varepsilon} \cdot I_{F} (0) + \alpha_{p} \cdot I_{\alpha_{p}(t)} \cdot e^{\alpha_{p}t}}$$
(26)

Since

$$R_{S} = n_{e} + n_{i} + n_{u},$$

then

$$n_{i} = R_{S} - n_{e} - n_{u},$$

and

$$n_{i} = \alpha_{F} - \left[\frac{4\pi\alpha_{F} \cdot I_{F}(0)}{Q} + \right]$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{A}_{P} \cdot \mathcal{A}_{F} \cdot \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{A}-P(t) e^{\mathcal{A}_{p}t}}}{\frac{\partial \mathcal{A}_{P}}{T} \cdot \frac{kT^{2}}{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \mathbf{I}_{F} (0) + \mathcal{A}_{p} \cdot \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{A}-P(t) e^{\mathcal{A}_{p}t}}} \right]$$
(27)

From equations (25) and $(2^{1}+)$,

$$n_{u}' = \frac{\frac{\partial \alpha_{P}}{\partial T} \cdot \frac{kT^{2}}{C} \cdot I_{F}(0) + \alpha_{P} \cdot I \alpha_{-P(t)} e^{\alpha_{P}t}}{I_{F}(0)}.$$
 (28)

Since

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{p} = (\underline{n'e} + \underline{n'i} + \underline{n'u}) - \frac{\underline{nun'u}}{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{F}},$$

then

$$n'_{i} = \alpha_{p} - n'_{e} - n'_{u} + \frac{n_{u}n'_{u}}{\alpha_{F}}$$

results when one substitutes from equations (1^4) and (17). By substituting the values for $n_e^{,}$ $n_u^{,}$ and $n_u^{,}$ given by equations (25), (26), and (24) and simplifying, one obtains for the value of $n_i^{,}$ the equation

$$n^{*}i = \alpha_{p} - \left(\frac{4\pi}{\partial T}\left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{P}}{\partial T} \circ \frac{kT^{2}}{C} \circ I_{F}(0) + \alpha_{p} \circ I_{\alpha-P(t)e}\alpha_{p}^{t}\right) \\ \left(\frac{I_{p}(0)}{Q I_{\alpha-P(t)e}\alpha_{p}^{t}} + \frac{I}{4\pi I_{F}(0)}\right) + \frac{\alpha_{p} \circ I_{\alpha-P(t)e}\alpha_{p}^{t}}{I_{F}(0)}$$
(29)

The rate constants are, therefore, expressed exclusively in terms of measurable parameters.

The results summarized are

$$n_{e} = \frac{4\pi \alpha_{F} \cdot I_{F}(0)}{Q}, \qquad (22)$$

$$n_{i} = \alpha_{F} - \left(\frac{4\pi \alpha_{F} \cdot I_{F}}{Q}\right)$$

$$+\frac{\mathcal{A}_{P} \circ \mathcal{A}_{F} - \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{A}-P(t)} e^{\mathcal{A}_{p}t}}{\frac{\partial \mathcal{A}_{P}}{\partial T} \circ \frac{kT^{2}}{\varepsilon} \circ \mathbf{I}_{F} (0) + \mathcal{A}_{p} \circ \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{A}}-P(t) e^{\mathcal{A}_{p}t}}\Big], \quad (27)$$

$$n_{u} = \frac{\alpha_{P} \cdot \alpha_{F} \cdot I_{\alpha-P(t)e^{\alpha_{p}t}}}{\frac{\partial \alpha_{P}}{T} \cdot \frac{kT^{2}}{C} \cdot I_{F}(0) + \alpha_{p} \cdot I_{\alpha-P(t)e^{\alpha_{p}t}}}, \quad (26)$$

$$n_{e}^{t} = \frac{4 \pi I_{p}(0) \frac{\partial \alpha P}{\partial T} \circ \frac{kT^{2}}{\varepsilon} \circ I_{F}(0) + \alpha_{p} \circ I_{\alpha} - P(t)e^{\alpha}p^{t}}{Q I_{\alpha} - P(t)e^{\alpha}p^{t}}$$

ارد مهری است الحام میشین در در ا

and the states of the states o

(25)

$$n_{i} = \alpha_{p} - \left[\frac{4\pi \left(\frac{\partial \alpha P}{\partial T} \cdot \frac{kT^{2}}{C} \cdot I_{F(0)} + \alpha_{p} \cdot I_{\alpha-P(t)e}^{\alpha} p^{t}\right) \right] \\ \left(\frac{I_{P(0)}}{\left(\frac{1}{Q} I_{\alpha} - P(t)e^{\alpha}p^{t}} + \frac{I}{4\pi I_{F(0)}}\right) \right] \\ + \frac{\alpha_{P} \cdot I_{\alpha} - P(t)e^{\alpha}p^{t}}{I_{F(0)}}$$
(29)

and

ų

$$n'_{u} = \frac{\frac{\partial dP}{\partial T} \cdot \frac{kT^{2}}{\epsilon} \cdot I_{F}(0) + d_{p} \cdot I_{d-P}(t) e^{d_{p}t}}{I_{F}(0)}$$
(28)

SUMMARY

Six different rate constants have been expressed exclusively in terms of the measurable parameters under the assumptions that Jablonski's scheme of luminescence is correct and that the rate processes are all first order with respect to the excited species. A few easily justifiable approximations have been introduced in the treatment.

If it is possible to measure, for a given system, the set of parameters appearing in the six equations listed, then calculations of the values of the rate constants can be carried out. The results of such calculations should aid in the testing of the presently accepted theories of rate processes occurring in organic molecules in rigid glass solutions, and they should contribute to a better theoretical understanding of the natures of these processes.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

(1)	Gilmore, E. H., Gibson, G. E., and McClure, D. S., J. Chem. Phys., <u>20</u> , 829 (1952).
(2)	Jablonski, A., Zeit. F. Phys., <u>94</u> , 38 (1935).
(3)	Kasha, M., Chem. Revs., <u>41</u> , 401 (1947).
(4)	Koizumi, M., and Kato, S., J. Inst. Polytechtics (Osaka, Japan) <u>4</u> , 149 (1953).
(5)	Koizumi, M., and Kato, S., J. Chem. Phys., <u>21</u> , 2088 (1953).
(6)	Lewis, G. N., and Kasha, M., J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>66</u> , 2100 (1944).
(7)	Lewis, G. N., Lipkin, D., and Magel, T. T., J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>63</u> , 3005 (1941).
(8)	McClure, D. S., J. Chem. Phys., <u>17</u> , 905 (1949).
(9)	McClure, D. S., J. Chem. Phys., <u>19</u> , 670 (1951).

VITA

Edward C. Lim

Candidate for the Degree of

Master of Science

Thesis: THEORY OF PHOTO-INDUCED RATE PROCESSES IN RIGID GLASS SOLUTIONS

Major Field: Chemistry

Biographical: The writer was born in Kaesong, Korea, November 17, 1932, the son of Kwang On Lim and Chang Soon Kim. He attended grade school in Chon Eui, Korea, junior high and high school in Seoul, Korea.

Upon graduating from high school in August of 1949, he entered the Seoul National University at Seoul, Korea, where two years of undergraduate work had been completed before serving in the Korean War. In November of 1952, he transferred to St. Procopius College, Lisle, Illinois, and completed the work for the Bachelor of Science degree in Chemistry in May, 1954. After graduation, he accepted a teaching assistantship in the Chemistry Department of Oklahoma A. and M. College and began graduate study in Chemistry in September, 1954.

He is a member of the American Chemical Society, Illinois State Academy of Science, and Phi Lambda Upsilon, honorary chemical society.

His publication, entitled as "Activity of Raney Nickel Catalyst," appeared in March, 1955, issue of Science Counselor.

THESIS TITLE: THEORY OF PHOTO-INDUCED RATE PROCESSES IN RIGID GLASS SOLUTIONS

AUTHOR: Edward C. Lim

THESIS ADVISER: Dr. E. H. Gilmore

The Content and form have been checked and approved by the author and thesis adviser. The Graduate School Office assumes no responsibility for errors either in form or content. The copies are sent to the bindery just as they are approved by the author and faculty adviser.

TYPIST: Elizabeth J. Kerby KERBY TYPING SERVICE