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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

## 1. Historical Background

A left near-ring, denoted by ( $\mathrm{N},+, \cdot$ ) is an algebraic system consisting of a set $N$ together with two operations called addition and multiplisation and denoted respectively by + and - such that
(a) ( $\mathrm{N},+$ ) is a group, not necessarily abelian,
(b) ( $\mathrm{N}, \cdot \cdot$ ) is a semigroup,
(c) $x \cdot(y+z)=x \cdot y+x \cdot z$ for all $x, y, z \varepsilon N$.

A similar definition may be given for a right near-ring, the only difference being the obvious change in property
(c). In this work, we shall deal exclusively with left near-rings. Thus, in the sequel the term "near-ring" shall mean "left near-ring." When there can be no ambiquity concerning the operations in the near-ring ( $\mathrm{N},+, \cdot$ ) we shall use the abbreviated symbol $N$ to denote the system. We adopt the usual convention of denoting $x \cdot y$ by $x y$.

Many of the studies in the theory of near-rings have paralleled studies in ring theory. The goal has often been to extend results from ring theory to the more general setting of near-rings. Clay and Lawver [6] defined the concept of a Boolean near-ring and studied some of the properties of this class of objects. Noting that p-rings are generalizations of Boolean rings, Ratliff [18] defined the concept of a p-near-ring and used the technique employed by Clay and Lawver [6] to study certain classes of p-near-rings. Regular rings are, in some sense, generalizations of p-rings. Using the definition of a regular near-ring from a paper by Beidleman [1] and a technique similar to that employed in the previously mentioned works, we have studied certain classes of regular near-rings.

## 2. Basic Definitions and Concepts

The concept of a regular ring was first discussed by von Neumann [17] . For further work in this area see also Forsythe and McCoy [8] and McCoy [16]. An element $r$ in a ring $(R,+, \cdot)$ is a regular element if there is an $r^{\prime} \in R$ such that $r r^{\prime} r$. $=r$. The element $r^{\prime}$ will be called a regularity companion of $r$. The prime symbol on an element will be used exclusively to denote any regularity companion of that element. Regularity
companions are not unique. The element 0 in a ring is always regular and any element is a regularity companion for 0. If a ring has an identity element, then that element is reguinr and is its own unique regularity companion. Any element which has a multiplicative inverse is regular. While an element may not have a unique regularity companion, multiplication of an element by any of its regularity come panions always produces the same result as we shall show later.

A ring is said to be a regular ring if each of its elements is regular. An element $n$ in a near-ring $(N,+, \cdot)$ is regular if there is an $n$ ' in $N$ such that nn'n $=n$. If every element in a near-ring is regular then the near-ring is said to be regular. A p-ring is a ring ( $\mathrm{R},+, \cdot$ ) for which there is a prime number $p$ such that $x^{p}=x$ and $p x=0$ for all $x$ in $R$. A p-near-ring is a near-ring $(N,+, \cdot)$ for which there is a prime number $p$ such that $x P=x$ and $p x=0$ for all $x$ in $N$. Clearly, any p-ring is a regular ring and any p-near-ring is a regular near-ring.

Before proceeding further, we mention some examples of the systems just defined. Any division ring, bence any field, is an example of a regular near-ring. of course, any regular ring is a regular near-ring and any regular near-ring which is ring is a regular ring. Beidleman
[1] has mentioned the following example of a regular near-ring. Let $M$ denote the set of all functions from an additive group, not necessarily abelian, into itself which commute with the zero function. Then (,$+{ }^{(,)}$) is a regular near-ring where the operations are pointwise addition and composition of functions. The integers with addition and multiplication defined modulo a prime number p constitute an example of a p-ring. Many examples of p-near-rings may be found in the work of Ratliff [18]. There are examples of regular near-rings which are not p-near-rings. Such examples are provided by classes (27), (35), (48) and (52) in the list of Clay [5] where the additive group is $\quad\left(\mathrm{Z}_{6},+\right)$.

We now give the definitions of some additional terms which are used throughout this work. We shall be concerned with the ideal structure of certain classes of nearrings. A left ideal of a near-ring ( $N,+, \cdot$ ) is a normal subgroup $L$ of $(N,+)$ such that for all $n$ in $N$ and $x$ in $L$, $n x$ is in $L$. A right ideal of a near-ring $(N,+, \cdot)$ is a normal subgroup $R$ of $(N,+)$ such that for all and $n$ in $N$ and $x$ in $R, \quad(1+x) n-m$ is in $R$. If I is both a left ideal and a right ideal then I is an ideal of ( $\mathrm{N},+, \cdot$ ). Ideals are Irequently defined to be kernels of near-ring bomomorphisms. Blackett- [3] has shown that the latter definition is equivalent to the former.

The terms maximal sub-C-ring and maximal sub-Z-ring are defined in Berman and Silverman [2]. We shall have occasion to refer to an abelian near-ring. By this we mean a near-ring such that the additive group is abelian. In Chapters II and III we deal almost exclusively with abelian near-rings. It should be realized that in these instances all subgroups of the additive group are normal. This fact is used frequently with out being mentioned. A sub-near-ring of a near-ring ( $\mathrm{N},+, \cdot$ ) is a subgroup of $(N,+)$ which is a sub-semi-group of ( $N, \cdot$ ). A subring of a near-ring is a sub-near-ring which is a ring. The factor near-ring of a near-ring ( $\mathrm{N},+, \cdot$ ) by an ideal I will be denoted by $N / I$. The coset corresponding to an element $x$ will be denoted by $\bar{x}$. Factor near-rings are discussed in Berman and Silverman [2]. An element $s$ in a near-ring $(N,+, \cdot)$ is right distributive if $(x+y) s=x s+y s \quad$ for all $x$ and $y$ in $N$. For any right distributive element $s$ we have $0 s=0$. We say that a near-ring ( $\mathrm{N},+, \cdot$ ) is distributively generated if N contains a multiplicative semigroup $S$ whose elements generate ( $\mathrm{N},+$ ) and are right distributive. A nearming ( $\mathrm{N},+,{ }^{*}$ ) is said to be weakly comutative it $x y z=y x z$ for all $x ; y, z$ in $N$. A discussion of subdirect irreducibility for rings may be found in McCoy [14] - A similar discussion for the near-ring case may be found in Fain
$[7]$. Since a nonzero near-ring ( $\mathrm{N},+, \cdot$ ) is subdirectly irreducible if an only if the intersection of all nonzero ideals of N is nonzero we take this condition as the definition of subdirectly irreducible. On several occasions we use the symbol 0 to denote the set whose only element is 0 . This should cause no confusion. We conclude this section with the remark that in any left near-ring ( $N,+, \cdot$ ) we have $-(x y)=x(-y)$ for all $x$ and $y$ in $N$ and $x 0=0$ for all $x$ in $N$.

## 3. Preliminary Results

Some of the following theorens are near-ring parallels of established ring theory results.

THEOREM 1.1. Let ( $\mathrm{N},+, \cdot$ ) be a near-ring and $x$ a regular element in $N$. Let $x^{\prime}$ be a regularity companion of $x$. Then $x^{\prime} x$ is idempotent.

PROOF.

$$
\left(x^{\prime} x\right)\left(x^{\prime} x\right)=x^{\prime}\left(x x^{\prime} x\right)=x^{\prime} x .
$$

The next theorem is due to Ratliff [18].
THEOREM 1.2. Let $(N,+, \cdot)$ be a weakly comutative near-ring. Then for every $x$ and $y$ in $N$ and for every positive integer $k,(x y)^{k}=x^{k} y^{k}$.

THEOREM 1.3. Let ( $\mathrm{N},+$, ,) be a weakly comutative near-ring, Let $x$ be a nonzero regular element of $N$. Then $x$ is not nilpotent.

## PROOF.

 Suppose $x$ is a regular nilpotent element. Then there is a positive integer $k$ such that $x^{k}=0$. Let $x^{\prime}$ be any regularity companion of $x$. Then, since $x$ ' $x$ is idempotent $x^{\prime} x=\left(x^{\prime} x\right)^{k}=\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{k_{x}} x^{k}=\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{\mathbf{k}_{0}}=0$. So $x=x x^{\prime} x=x\left(x^{\prime} x\right)=x 0=0$.COROLLARY 1.4. A weakly commutative regular near-ring has no nonzero idempotents.

PROOF. Every element is regular.
THEOREM 1.5. Let $(N,+, \cdot)$ be a weakly commutative near-ring and let $x$ be a regular element in $N$. If $x^{\prime}$ and $x^{\prime \prime}$ are two regularity companions for $x$ then $x^{\boldsymbol{+}} x=x^{\boldsymbol{\prime \prime}} x_{\text {. }}$

PROOF. $\quad x^{\prime} x=x^{\prime}\left(x x^{\prime} \quad x\right)=x^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime} \quad x x\right)=\left(x^{\prime} x^{\prime} x\right) x=$ $\left(x^{\prime} x^{\prime} x\right) x=x^{\prime \prime}\left(x^{\prime} x x\right)=x^{\prime \prime}\left(x x^{\prime} x\right)=x^{\prime} x$.

The following result is stated because of its frequent use in the sequel.

THEOREM 1.6. Let $(N,+, \cdot)$ be a weakly commutative near-ring and $x$ an idempotent element in $N$. If $x^{\prime}$ is any regularity companion of $x$ then $x^{\prime} x=x$.

$$
\text { PROOF. } \quad x^{\prime} x=x^{\prime} x x=2 x^{\prime} x=x .
$$

We shall have occasion later to mention a commutative regular ring with identity. The field of real
numbers provides us with an example of such a ring which is not a p-ring. Other examples are provided by class (27) in the list of Clay [5] where the additive group is ( $\mathrm{Z}_{6},+$ ). With the help of the following lemma we are able to characterize those finite rings with identity which are commutative regular rings.

LEMMA 1.7. Let ( $\mathrm{R},+, \cdot, 1$ ) be a commutative regular ring with identity. Let $x$ be in $N$ and $x^{\prime}$ a regularity companion of $x$. Then for any positive integer $k, x=\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{k-1} x^{k}$.

PROOF. If $x=0$ the result follows. Suppose $x \neq 0$. If $x^{\prime}=0$ then $x=x x^{\prime} x=x 0 x=0$. But $x \neq 0$ so $x^{\prime} \neq 0$. If $k=1$ then $\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{k-1} x^{k}=\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{0} x=x$ where by definition $a^{0}=1$ if a is any nonzero element in $R$. Now suppose the result holds for $k=$ where $m$ is some positive integer. Then $\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{m} x^{m+1}=\left(\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{m-1} x^{m}\right) x^{\prime} x=$ xx' $x=x$. Thus, by induction the result holds for all positive integers $k$.

REMARK. From the proof of this leman we note that in a ring if $x \neq 0$ then 0 is not a regularity companion of $x$. We also note that in a commatative ring the condition $x x^{\prime} x=x$ may be written as $x^{\prime} x^{2}=x$. We shall use this consequence of comutativity freely.

THEOREM 1.8.
Let ( $\mathrm{R},+{ }^{\bullet}, \mathrm{l}$ ) be a finite ring with identity. Then $R$ is a comutative regular ring if and only if for each $x$ in $R$ there is an integer $n(x)>1$ such that $x^{n(x)}=x$.

PROOF. Suppose that for each $x$ in $R$ there is an integer $n(x)>1$ such that $x^{n(x)}=x$. Then by a wellknown theorem of Jacobson, $R$ is comutative. Let $x$ be an element in $R$. If $x=0$ then $x$ is regular. If $x \neq 0$ then $x^{n(x)-2} x^{2}=x$ and $x$ is regular. Hence $R$ is a commutative regular ring. Conversely, suppose $R$ is a commutative regular ring. Let $q$ be the number of elements in $R$ and $x$ in $R$. If $x=0$ or 1 then $x^{2}=x$ and the condition is satisfied. Thus we need be concerned only with $x$ in $R$ such that $x \neq 0$ and $x \neq 1$. If there is no such element the proof is complete. Otherwise, consider the set $\left\{x, x^{2}, \cdots, x^{q-1}\right\}$ where $x$ is neither 0 nor 1 . None of these elements is zero since by Corollary 1.4 there are no nonzero nilpotent elements. If the elements are distinct then they must constitute the set of nonzero elements of $R$. In this case, the identity must be among these elements. Since $x \neq 1$ there must be some integer $k$ such that $1<k \leqslant q-1$ and $x^{k}-1$. Then $x^{k+1}=x$ where $2<k+1 \leqslant q$. If the menbers of the set are not distinct then there must be positive integers mand n such
that $1 \leq m<n \leqslant q-1$ and $x^{m}=x^{n}$. Let $k=n-m>0$. Then $x^{m}=x^{n}=x^{m+k}=x^{m} x^{k}$. If $m=1$, then $x=x^{k+1}$ and the proof is complete. Suppose $m>1$. Let $x^{\prime}$ be a regularity companion of $x$. Since $x \neq 0$ then $x^{\prime} \neq 0$ by the remark following Lema 1.7. Thus, by Corollary 1.4, $\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{m-1} \neq 0$. Hence $x=\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{m-1} x^{m}=$ $\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{m-1} x^{m} x^{k}=x x^{k}=x^{k+1}$ and the proof is complete.

## CHAPTER II

## SPECIAL NEAR-RINGS AND SPECIAL REGULAR NEAR-RINGS

## 1. Motivation and Definitions

The class of Boolean near-rings studied by Clay and Lawver [6] was, in some sense, related to a Boolean ring with identity. Ratliff [18] studied the more general case of a class of p-near-rings related in a similar way to a prring with identity. In each instance the procedure was to begin with a ring ( $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{t}, \cdot, 1$ ) having the desired property and define a new multiplication denoted by $*$ on $(R,+)$ so as to obtain a near-ring ( $\mathrm{R},+, *$ ) . The new multiplication was expressed in terms of the original multiplication and addition and the identity by defining $x * y$ to be polynomial in $x$ and $y$ with fixed coefficients fron $R$ for arbitrary $x$ and $g$ in $R$.

If $P(x, y)$ is any such polynomial we note that in order for the left distributive law to hold we must have $P(x, y+z)=P(x, y)+P(x, z)$. Thus we restrict our attention to those polynomials of the following type:

$$
P(x, y)=a_{n} x^{n} y+a_{n-1} x^{n-1} y+\ldots+a_{1} x y+a_{0} y
$$

where the $a_{i}$ are fixed elements from the ring. In order that the associative law hold we must have $P(P(x, y), z)=$ $P(x, P(y, z))$. If we attempt to write out these expressions for the polynomial given above we see that the problem is unmanageable unless aditional restrictions are placed on the ring or the coefficients or both. The rings considered by Clay and Lawver [6] and also those considered by Ratliff [18] were commutative. In his work Ratliff [18] used conditions on the coefficients similar to $a_{i} a_{j}=0$ if $i \neq j$ and $a_{0}{ }^{2}=a_{0}$. If we arbitrarily adopt these restrictions then for the case $n=2$ associativity requires that $a_{2}{ }^{3} x^{4} y^{2} z=a_{2}{ }^{2} x^{2} y^{2} z$ for all $x, y, z$ in the ring. If we begin with a Boolean ring this condition holds. This suggests that we need place restrictions on our original ring in addition to those already adopted if we wish to insure that the new multiplication is associative and, hence, that ( $\mathrm{R},+, *$ ) is a near-ring. The situation is more agreeable if we consider the simpler polynomial corresponding to $n=1$.

THEOREM 2.1. Let $(N,+, \cdot)$ be a weakly commutative ring. Define a multiplication $*: N X N \rightarrow N:(x, y) \rightarrow x * y=$ $a x y+b y$ where $a, b \in N$. Then ( $N, t_{i}$ *) is a weakly commutative near-ring if and only if $b(x * z)=$ bz for all $x, z \in N$.

PROOF. We note that the polynomial $P(x, y)$ used to define the multiplication is linear in $y$. Therefore, the left distributive law holds. Suppose ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{t}}$ *) is a weakly commutative near-ring. Then $*$ is associative. Hence $x *(y * z)=(x * y) * z$ for all $x, y, z$ in N. Now $x *(y * z)=x *(a y z+b z)=a x(a y z+b z)+b(a y z+b z)$ $a^{2} x y z+a b x z+a b y z+b^{2} z$ and $(x * y) * z=a(x * y) z+b z=$ $a^{2} x y z+a b y z+b z$. Thus $a^{2} x y z+a b x z+a b y z+b^{2} z=$ $a^{2} x y z+a b y z+b z$ and $s 0 a b x z+b^{2} z=b z$. Hence $b(x * z)=$ $a b x z+b^{2} z=b z$ for $a l l x, z \in N$. These steps are clearly reversible. So if $b(x * z)=b z$ for $a l l x, z \varepsilon N$ then $*$ is associative and ( $N,+, *$ ) is a near-ring. Also, $b(y * z)=$ $a b y z+b^{2} z=b z$. So for $a l l x, y, z \varepsilon N, a b x z=b z-b^{2} z$ and $a b y z=b z-b^{2} z$. So $a b x z=a b y z$. Then $x * y * z=$ $a^{2} x y z+a b y z+b z=a^{2} y x z+a b x z+b z=y * x * z$ for $a l l$ $x, y, z \in N$.

COROLLARY 2.2. Let $(N,+, \cdot)$ be a weakly commutative ring. Define $*: N X N \rightarrow N:(x, y) \longrightarrow x * y=$ $a x y+b y$. If $a b=0$ and $b^{2}=b$, then $(N,+, *)$ is a weakly commutative near-ring.

PROOF. Let $x, y \in N$. Then $b(x * y)=$ $b(a x y+b y)=a b x y+b^{2} y=b y$. The conclusion follows by Theorem 2.1.

If ( $N,+, \cdot$ ) is a weakly commatative ring and a and $b$ are fixed elements of $N$ such that $a b=0$ and $b^{2}=b$
and a new multiplication $*$ is defined on $(N,+)$ by $x * y=$ $a x y+b y$ for all $x, y \in N$ then the near-ring ( $N,+, *$ ) will be called the special near-ring determined by a and $b$. The ring $(N,+, \cdot)$ will be referred to as the base ring. Note that a special near-ring as discussed in this work is not necessarily a special near-ring in the sense of Fain [7]. As an example we may take a ring from class (27) in the list of Clay [5] where the additive group is $\left(Z_{6},+\right)$. Choose $a=3$ and $b=4$. The resulting special near-ring is in class (52). It is not a special p-near-ring as described in [18]. The rings in the studies by Clay and Laver and Ratliff [18] had identities. For these rings we are able to show that the arbitrary conditions which we placed on the coefficients in our polynomial are necesgary. Suppose ( $N,+, \cdot, 1$ ) is a weakly commutative ring with identity. Then $N$ is commutative for $\mathrm{xy}=\mathrm{xyl}=$ $y x l=y x$ for all $x, y$ in $N$. Suppose and $b$ belong to $N$ and let $(N,+, *)$ be the special near-ring which they determine. Then * is associative so $0 *(0 * 1)$ $=(0 * 0) * 1$. But $0 *(0 * 1)=0 *(a 01+b 1)=$ $0 * b=a 0 b+b b=b^{2}$ and $(0 * 0) * 1=0 * 1=a 01+$ $b 1=b$. Thus $b^{2}=b$. Also $1 *(0 * 1)=(1 * 0) * 1$. Now $1 *(0 * 1)=1 *(a 01+b 1)-1 * b=a 1 b+b^{2}-$ $a b+b$ and $(1 * 0) * 1=0 * 1=a 01+b 1=b$. Thus
$a b+b=b$ and $a b=0$.

THEOREM, 2.3. Let $(N,+, \cdot, 1)$ be a comutative ring with identity. Let a be a regular element in ( $N,+, \cdot, 1$ ) and let $a^{\prime}$ be a regularity companion for a. Define a new multiplication $*$ on $N$ by $x * y=a x y+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) y$. Then ( $N,+, *$ ) is a weakly commutative near-ring and a is regular in ( $N,+, *$ ).

PROOF. Let $b=1-a^{\prime} a . \quad$ Then $b^{2}=\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)^{2}=$ $1-a^{\prime} a-a^{\prime} a+\left(a^{\prime} a\right)^{2}$. By Theorem 1.1 a'a is idempotent. Hence $b^{2}=1-a^{\prime} a-a^{\prime} a+\left(a^{\prime} a\right)^{2}=1-a^{\prime} a=b$. Also $a b=$ $a\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)=a-a a^{\prime} a=a-a=0 . \quad$ By Corollary 2.2, ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ) is a weakly comutative near-ring. To show a is regular note

$$
\begin{aligned}
a *\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{3} * a & =\left(a *\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{3}\right) * a \\
& =a\left(a *\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{3}\right) a+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) a \\
& =a\left(a a^{\left.\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{3}+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{3}\right) a+\left(a-a^{\prime} a a\right)}\right. \\
& =a^{4}\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{3}+a^{2}\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{3}+0 \\
& =a^{4}\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{3}+0+0=a .
\end{aligned}
$$

A special near-ring determined by a is a special near-ring such that the base ring has an identity, a is regular and $b=1-a^{\prime} \mathbf{a}^{\text {. }}$

CMOLLARy 2.4. Let ( $N,+, \cdot, 1$ ) be a commutative regular ring with identity. Let a $\mathcal{E}$. Then the special near-ring determined by $a$ is regular.

PROOF. Since a is regular in ( $N,+, \cdot, 1$ ) we know by Theorem 2.3 that a determines a weakly commutative near-ring ( $N,+, *$ ). To show that ( $N,+, *$ ) is regular we show that each of its elements is regular. Let $z E N$. Then $z$ is regular in ( $N,+, \cdot, 1$ ). Let $z^{\prime}$ denote a regularity companion of $z$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
z *\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{2} z^{\prime} * z & =\left(z *\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{2} z^{\prime}\right) * z \\
& =a\left(z *\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{2} z^{\prime}\right) z+b z \\
& =a\left(a z\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{2} z^{\prime}+b\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{2} z^{\prime}\right) z+b z \\
& =\left(a a^{\prime}\right)^{2} z z^{\prime} z+a b\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{2} z^{\prime} z+b z \\
& =a a^{\prime} z+b z=\left(a^{\prime} a+b\right) z=1 z=z .
\end{aligned}
$$

If the base ring is regular then the special near-ring determined by an element a will be called a special regular near-ring. Special regular near-rings are weakly commutative regular near-rings. The latter class of near-rings is studied in Chapter IV. It should be noted that the special p-near-rings studied by Ratliff [18] are special regular near-rings. In this sense, many of the results in the current chapter are generalizations of results he obtained.

## 2. Preliminary Results and Examples

In this section we deal with the questions of when a special near-ring determined by an element a has an identity, when it is commutative, when it is a C-ring and when it is distributively generated. We also consider the question of when an element is right distributive.

LEMMA 2.5. Let ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ) be a special near-ring determined by a. Then $a^{\prime}$ is a left identity in ( $N,+, *$ ). Furthermore, $a^{\prime}$ is a right identity if and only if a'a $=1$.

PROOF. Let $x$ be any element of $N$. Then $a^{\prime} * x=$ $a a^{\prime} x+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) x=a^{\prime} a x+x-a^{\prime} a x=x$. Hence $a^{\prime}$ is a left identity. Suppose now that $a^{\prime} a=1$. In this case, $x \neq a^{\prime}=a x a^{\prime}+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) a^{\prime}=a^{\prime} a x+0 a^{\prime}=1 x+0=x$ for all $x$ EN. Conversely, suppose $a$ is a right identity. Then $x * a^{\prime}=x$ for $a l l \times \mathcal{N}$. Take $x=$ $1+a^{\prime} . \quad$ Then $\left(1+a^{\prime}\right) * a^{\prime}=a\left(1+a^{\prime}\right) a^{\prime}+\left(1-a^{\prime} a^{\prime} a^{\prime}=\right.$ $1+a^{\prime} . \quad S o a a^{\prime}+a a^{\prime} a^{\prime}+a^{\prime}-a^{\prime} a a^{\prime}=a a^{\prime}+a^{\prime}=1+a^{\prime}$. Hence $a^{\prime} a=1$. It should be noted that since $a$ ' is always a left identity it is the identity if one exists.

THEOREM 2.6. Let ( $\mathrm{N},+\boldsymbol{+}$ ) be a special near-ring determined by $a$, and $N \neq 0$.

Then the following statements are equivalent:
(a) ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ) has an identity,
(b) a has a unique regularity companion in ( $N,+, \cdot, 1$ ),
(c) a is neither zero nor a zero divisor in ( $N,+, \cdot, 1$ ),
(d) a has a multiplicative inverse in ( $N,+, \cdot, 1$ ),
(e) * is commutative,
(f) ( $\mathrm{N},+,{ }^{*}$ ) is a C-ring,
(g) ( $\mathrm{N},+, *, \mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ ) is isomorphic to ( $\mathrm{N},+, \cdot \mathrm{l}$ ).
PROOF.
(a) implies
(b). Suppose ( $\mathbf{N},+, *$ ) has
an identity, e. By the remark preceding this theorem $e=a^{\prime}$. Suppose $a^{\prime \prime}$ is any regularity companion for a. Then by Lemma 2.5, $a^{\prime \prime}$ is a left identity. Hence $a^{\prime}=$ $a^{\prime \prime} * a^{\prime}=a^{\prime \prime} . \quad$ Thus $a^{\prime}$ is the unique regularity companion of $a_{\text {. }}$
(b) implies (c). Suppose $a^{\prime}$ is the unique regularity companion of a in $(N,+, \cdot, 1)$. Then a $\neq 0$ since every element is a regularity companion of 0 and $N \neq 0$. Kow suppose $a x=0$ for some $x \in N_{\text {. }} \quad$ Then $a^{2} x=0$ and $a^{2} x+a=$ a. Hence, $a^{2} x+a^{2} a^{\prime}=a^{2}\left(x+a^{\prime}\right)=a$. Thus $x+a^{\prime}$ is a regularity companion of $a$. By uniqueness $x+a^{\prime}=a^{\prime}$. Therefore, $x=0$. So a is not a zero divisor in ( $N,+, \cdot, 1$ ).
(c) implies (d). Suppose a is neither zero nor a zero divisor in ( $N,+,^{\prime}, 1$ ). Then $a\left(1-a a^{\prime} a\right)=a-a a^{\prime} a=a-a=$ 0. Thus $1-a^{\prime} a=0$ and $a^{\prime} a=1$. So a has a multiplicative inverse in ( $\mathrm{N},+, \cdot, 1$ ).
(d) implies (e). Suppose a has a multiplicative inverse in ( $\mathrm{N},+, \cdot, 1$ ). Denote this multiplicative inverse by $u$. $\quad$ Then $u a=1$. Hence $u^{2}{ }^{2}$ - a so $u$ is a regularity companion for a. Then by Theorem 1.5, a' a $=\mathrm{ua}=1$ and $a^{\prime}$ is a multiplicative inverse for a in ( $N,+, \cdot, 1$ ). Thus a' = a'ua $=u a^{\prime} a=u$. We conclude that $a$ ' is the unique multiplicative inverse of a in ( $\mathrm{N},+,^{\bullet}, 1$ ). Now let $y \in N$. Since $a^{\prime} a=1$, $a^{\prime} a y=y$ and ( $\left.1-a \cdot a\right) y=0$ for all y $\mathcal{E}$. Thus $x$ * $y=a x y+(1-a \cdot a) y=a x y=$ $a y x+(1-a ' a) x=y * x$ for all $\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y} \varepsilon \mathrm{N}$. $\quad$ So $*$ is commutative.
(e) implies (f). If $*$ is commutative then $0 * x=$ $x * 0=0$ for all $x \in N$. Hence, ( $N,+, *$ ) is a c-ring. (f) implies (g). Suppose ( $N,+, *$ ) is a C-ring. Then $0=0 * 1=a 01+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) 1-1-a^{\prime} a . \quad S o a^{\prime} a=1$ and we see that $a \neq 0$ and $a^{\prime} \neq 0$. Also ( $a^{\prime} a^{\prime} a^{\prime}=1 a^{\prime}=a^{\prime}$. Now $x$ * $y=a x y+(1-a ' a) y=a x y$ for $a 11 x, y \in N$. Hence, $a^{\prime \prime} * x=x * a^{\prime}=x$ for all $x \in N$. Define $g:(N,+, \cdot, 1) \longrightarrow\left(N,+, *, a^{\prime}\right):: x \rightarrow a^{\prime} x$. Then $g$ is a mapping and if $g(x)=g(y)$ we have $a^{\prime} x=a^{\prime} y$. So $x=$ aa'x = aa'y=y. Thus gis 1-1. Let $n \in N . \quad$ Then
$g(a n)=a^{\prime} a n=n$. So $g$ is onto. Now $g(x+y)=a^{\prime}(x+y)=$ $a^{\prime} x+a^{\prime} y=g(x)+g(y)$ and $g(x y)=a^{\prime} x y=\left(a^{\prime} a a^{\prime}\right) x y=$ $a\left(a^{\prime} x\right)\left(a^{\prime} y\right)=a g(x) g(y)=g(x) * g(y)$. Thus $g$ is an isomorphism between ( $N,+, \cdot, 1$ ) and ( $N,+, *, a^{\prime}$ ).
(g) implies (a). If ( $\mathrm{N},+, *, a^{\prime}$ ) is isomorphic to ( $\mathrm{N},+, \cdot, \mathrm{l}$ ) then clearly ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ) has an identity.

The next four results relate to the question of distributive generation. A theorem of Fröhlich [9] states in part that a distributively generated near-ring $\left(R,+,^{\bullet}\right)$ with identity is a ring if and only if ( $\mathrm{R},+$ ) is abelian. With the aid of a pair of simple lemmas it is elementary to show that an arbitrary distributively generated near-ring ( $R,+, \cdot$ ) is a ring if and only if $(R,+)$ is abelian.

LEMMA 2.7. Let ( $\mathrm{R},+,^{\circ}$ ) be an abelian near-ring. Then $-(x+y)=(-x)+(-y)$ for all $x, y \in R$.

PROOF. In any group $-(x+y)=(-y)+(-x)$. Since $(R,+)$ is abelian the result follows.

LEMMA 2.8. Let $\left(R,+,^{\circ}\right)$ be an abelian nearring. If $z \in R$ is right distributive then $-z$ is right distributive.

PROOF. Suppose $z \in R$ is right distributive.

Then for all $x, y \in R,(x+y)(-z)=-[(x+y) z]=$ $-[x z+y z]=[-(x z)]+[-(y z)]=x(-z)+y(-z)$.
Thus -z is right distributive.
Ligh [13] has used the name $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ near-ring to describe a near-ring with the property that the negatives of right distributive elements are right distributive. The above result shows that any abelian near-ring is an $\alpha$ near-ring.

THEOREM 2.9. Let ( $R,+, \cdot$ ) be a distributively generated near-ring. Then ( $R,+, \cdot$ ) is a ring if and only if $(R,+)$ is abelian.

PROOF. Clearly, if ( $R,+, \cdot$ ) is a ring then ( $R,+$ ) is abelian. Conversely, suppose ( $R,+$ ) is abelian. Let $x, y, z \in R$. Then $z=z_{1}+\ldots+z_{n}$ where either $z_{i}$ is right distributive or $z_{i}$ is the negative of a right distributive element for each $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. By Lemma $2.8, z_{i}$ is right distributive for $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. Thus $(x+y) z=$ $(x+y)\left(z_{1}+\ldots+z_{n}\right)=(x+y) z_{1}+\ldots+(x+y) z_{n}=$ $\left(x z_{1}+y z_{1}\right)+\ldots+\left(x z_{n}+y z_{n}\right)=$ $\left(x \varepsilon_{1}+\ldots+x \varepsilon_{n}\right)+\left(y z_{1}+\ldots+y z_{n}\right)=x \varepsilon^{+y z}$.
Thus, the right distributive law holds and ( $R,+, \cdot$ ) is a ring.
THEOREM 2.10. Let ( $N,+, *$ ) be a special near-ring determined by a. Then ( $N,+, *$ ) is distributively generated
if an only if ( $\mathrm{N},+,{ }^{*}$ ) is a ring.

PROOF. If ( $N,+, *$ ) is a ring then it is distributively generated. Conversely, suppose ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ) is distributively generated. Since ( $\mathrm{N},+$ ) is abelian we have by Theorem 2.9 that ( $N,+, *$ ) is a ring.

If ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ) is a special near-ring determined by an element $a$, it is natural to ask under what conditions an element in $N$ will be right distributive. The next two theorems relate to this question.

THEOREM 2.11. Let $(N,+, \cdot, 1)$ be a commutative ring with identity. Let $a$ be a regular element in $N$ and $b=$ 1-a'a. Then $N=a N \quad b N$.

PROOF. It is well-known that aN and bN are ideals of $(N,+, \cdot, 1)$. Now let $x \in a N \cap b N$. Then $x=$ an and $x=b m$ for some $n, m \in N$. So we have $x=a n=\left(a a^{\prime} a\right) n=$ (aa')an $=$ ( $a a^{\prime}$ ) bm $=a^{\prime}(a b) m=a^{\prime} 0 m=0$. Thus $a N \cap b N=0$. Clearly $a N-b N \subset N$. Let $x \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $x=x l=x(a ' a+b)=$ $a a^{\prime} x+b x \varepsilon a N(b N$. So NCaN bN. We conclude that $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{aN} \quad \mathrm{bN}$.

THEOREM 2.12. Let $(N,+, *)$ be a special near-ring determined by a. Let $z \in N$. Then $z$ is right distributive if and only if $z$ aN.

PROOF. Suppose $z \varepsilon$ aN. Then $z=a n$ for some neN. So (1-a'a)z=(1-a'a)an=(a-aa'a)n= On = 0. Thus $(x+y) * z=a(x+y) z+(1-a ' a) z=$ $a x z+a y z=a x z+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) z+a y z+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) z=$ $x * z+y * z$. So we have established the fact that $z$ is right distributive. Conversely, suppose $z$ is right distributive. Then $0=0 * z=a 0 z+(1-a ' a) z=$ $z-a^{\prime} a z . \quad$ So $z=a\left(a^{\prime} z\right) \varepsilon a N$.

Before turning to a discussion of the ideal structure of special near-rings in general and special regular nearrings in particular we consider some examples. Let ( $N,+$ ) be the Klein 4-group and let ( $\mathrm{N},+, \cdot, \mathrm{c}$ ) be the representative given for class (4) in the list of Clay [5]. Then ( $\mathrm{N},+,^{\bullet}, \mathrm{c}$ ) is a commutative ring with identity element c . It is not regular. The regular elements are, in Clay's notation, $0, b$ and c. The element 0 determines a nearring in class (23) which is a 2 -near-ring. The element b determines a near-ring isomorphic to the base ring. The element $c$ determines the base ring. As another example consider ( $\mathrm{Z}_{6},+, \cdot, 1$ ) from Clay's class (27) . This is a commutative regular ring with identity. For each $x \varepsilon z_{8}, x^{3}=x$ and $x^{\prime} x=x^{2}$. We obtain the following special regular near-rings.

If $(a, b)=(1,0)$ then $x * y * x y$. This produces the base ring.

If $(a, b)=(0, i)$ then $x * y=y$. This produces class (48).

If $(a, b)=(2,3)$ then $x * y=2 x y+3 y$. This produces class (35).

If $(a, b)=(3,4)$ then $x * y=3 x y+4 y$. This produces class (52).

If $(a, b)=(4,3)$ then $x * y=4 x y+3 y$. This produces class (35).

If $(a, b)=(5,0)$ then $x * y=5 x y$. This is isomorphic to the base ring.

There are regular near-rings which are not special regular near-rings. An example is found in Clay's class (8) where the additive group is ( $\mathrm{Z}_{5},+$ ).

## 3. Some Structure Theorems

In this section we consider the ideal structure of special near-rings. For any regular element $t$ in a commutative ring with identity ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{+}, \cdot, 1$ ) we define the set $P(t)=\left\{x \varepsilon N: x t^{\prime} t=x\right\}$. This set apparently depends on $t$ 'as well as $t$ but the dependence is super-
ficial as shown by Theorem 1.5. If $t$ is idempotent then we see from Theorem 1.6 that $P(t)=\{x \in N: x t=x\}$.

THEOREM 2.13. Let ( $\mathrm{N},+, \cdot, 1$ ) be a commutative ring with identity and let $t$ be regular in $N$. Then $P(t)$ is an ideal of ( $N,+, \cdot, 1$ ) with identity element $t ' t$. Furthermore, if $t$ is idempotent the identity in ( $P(t),+, \cdot)$ is $t$.

PROOF. Let $x, y \in P(t)$. Then $x=t^{\prime} t x$ and $y=t^{\prime} t y$. Thus $x-y=t^{\prime} t x-t^{\prime} t y=t^{\prime} t(x-y)$. Hence, $x-y \in P(t)$. So $(P(t),+$ ) is a normal subgroup of $(N,+)$. Let $n \varepsilon N$. Then $n x=n\left(x t^{\prime} t\right)=(n x) t^{\prime} t$. Thus $P(t)$ is an ideal. The remaining assertions are obvious.

Let ( $N,+, \cdot, 1$ ) be a commutative ring with identity. Let $t$ be a regular element in $N$ and $L$ a subset of $N$. Now define $L(t)=\{x \varepsilon N: x=s t ' t$ for some $s \varepsilon L\}$. As before the dependence on $t^{\prime}$ is superficial and if $t$ is idempotent $L(t)=\{x \in N: X=s t$ for some $s \in L\}$.

THEOREM 2.14. Let ( $N,+, \cdot, 1$ ) be a commutative ring with identity and $t$ regular in $N$.
(a) If ( $L,+$ ) is a subgroup of ( $N,+$ ) then ( $L(t),+$ ) is a subgroup of ( $\mathrm{N},+\mathbf{+}$ ).
(b) If ( $L,+, \cdot$ ) is a subring of ( $N,+, \cdot$ ) then ( $L(t),+, \cdot)$ is a subring of ( $\mathrm{N},+,^{\circ}$ ).
(c) If ( $L,+, \cdot$ ) is an ideal of ( $N,+, \cdot$ ) then ( $L(t),+, \cdot)$
is an ideal of ( $\mathrm{N},+, \cdot$ ).

PROOF. (a) Suppose ( $L,+$ ) is a subgroup of $(N,+)$ and $x, y \in L(t)$. Then $x=s_{1} t^{\prime} t$ and $y=s_{2} t^{\prime} t$ for some $s_{1}, s_{2} \in E . \quad$ So $x-y=\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right) t^{\prime} t$. Since ( $L,+$ ) is a subgroup $g_{1}-s_{2} \varepsilon L$. Thus $x-y \in L(t)$ and $(L(t),+)$ is a subgroup of ( $\mathrm{N},+$ ).
(b) Suppose ( $L,+, \cdot$ ) is a subring of ( $N,+,^{*}$ ). Then by part (a) ( $L(t),+$ ) is a subgroup of $(N,+)$. If $x, y \in L(t)$ then $x=s_{1} t^{\prime} t$ and $y=s_{2} t^{\prime} t$ for some $s_{1}, s_{2} \varepsilon L$. Thus $x y=s_{1} s_{2}\left(t^{\prime} t\right)^{2}=s_{1} s_{2} t^{\prime} t \in L(t)$ since $s_{1} s_{2} \mathcal{E}$. Hence, $(L(t),+, \cdot)$ is a subring of $(\mathrm{N},+, \cdot)^{\text {. }}$
(c) Suppose ( $L,+, \cdot$ ) is an ideal of ( $N,+, \cdot$ ). Let $x \in L(t)$ and $n \in N$. Then $x=s t^{\prime} t$ for some $s \in L$. Thus $n x=n s t ' t \in L(t)$ since $n s \in L$. Hence ( $L(t),+,^{\circ}$ ) is an ideal of ( $N,+,^{\bullet}$ ).

THBOREM 2.15. Let $(N,+, \cdot, 1)$ be a commutative ring with identity. Let $t$ be regular in $N$ and $L$ a subset of $N$. Then $L(t) \in P(t)$.

PROOF. Let $x \in L(t)$. Then for some $s \in L, x=$ st't. Hence $x t^{\prime} t=\left(s t t^{\prime} t\right) t^{\prime} t=s\left(t^{\prime} t\right)^{2}=s t^{\prime} t=x$. Thus $x \boldsymbol{E}(\mathbf{t})$.

THEOREM 2.16. Let ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ) be a special nearring determined by a. Let $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{z}}$ denote the maximal sub-Zring of ( $N,+, *$ ) and let $N_{c}$ denote the maximal sub-C-ring of $(N,+, *)$. Then $N_{z}=P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$ and $N_{c}=P(a)$.

PROOF. Recall that $P(t)$ is defined only in the event that $t$ is regular. Since $\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)^{2}=1-a \cdot a$, then 1 - a'a is regular in ( $N,+, \cdot, 1$ ). Thus $P(1-a ' a)$ is defined. From Berman and Silverman [2] we know that $N_{z}=\{x \in N: n * x=x$ for all $n \in N\}$ and $N_{c}=$ $\{x \in N: 0 * x=0\}$. It is immediate that 0 is in both $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{z}}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ and is the only such element. Since 1 - a'a is idempotent $P(1-a \cdot a)=\{x \in N: x(1-a ' a)=x\}$. Let $x \in N_{z}$. Then $n * x=x$ for all $n \in N$. So $0 * x=x$. Thus $x=0 * x=a 0 x+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) x=(1-a \cdot a) x=x\left(1-a a^{\prime} a\right)$. Hence, $x \in P(1-a ' a)$ and $N_{z} \subset P(1-a!a)$. Now let $x$ be any element in $P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$. Then $x=x(1-a ' a)$. So for any $n E N, n * x=a n x+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) x=a n x(1-a ' a)+$ ( $1-a \cdot a) x=n x\left(a-a a^{\prime} a\right)+x=x$. Thus $x \in N_{z}$ and $P(1-a \cdot a) \subset N_{z}$. The two inclusions show $P(1-a \cdot a)=$ $N_{z}$. Now we show that $P(a)=N_{c}$. Let $x \in N_{c}$. Then $0=0$ * $x=a 0 x+(1-a ' a) x=x-a ' a x . ~ S o x=a ' a x=$
xa'a and $x \mathcal{E} P(a)$. Thus $N_{c} \subset P(a)$. Conversely, suppose $x \in P(a)$. Then $x=x a^{\prime} a$ and $0=x-a^{\prime} a x=\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) x$. So $0 * x=a 0 x+(1-a ' a) x=0$. Therefore, $x \in N_{c}$ and $P(a) \subset N_{c}$. The two inclusions show that $P(a)=N_{c}$.

THEOREM 2.17. Let ( $N,+, *$ ) be a special nearring determined by a. If $t$ is regular in $N$ then ( $P(t),+, *)$ is an ideal of ( $N,+, *$ ).

PROOF. By Theorem 2.13 we know that $(P(t),+)$ is a subgroup of ( $N,+$ ). Let $n \varepsilon N$ and $x \in P(t)$. Then $x=$ $x t^{\prime} t$. So $(n * x) t^{\prime} t=\left[g n x+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) x\right] t^{\prime} t=a n\left(x t^{\prime} t\right)+$ (1-a'a)(xt't) $=a n x+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) x=n * x$. Thus $n * x \in P(t)$. Now let $n, m \in N$ and $x \in P(t)$. Then $[(n+x) * m-n * m] t^{\prime} t=[\operatorname{anm}+a x m-a n m] t^{\prime} t=(a x m) t^{\prime} t=$ $a m\left(x t^{\prime} t\right)=a m x=a x m=(n+x) * m-n * m$. Thus $(n+x) * m-n * m \in P(t)$ and $(P(t),+, *)$ is an ideal.

It should be noted, in particular, that ( $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{a}),+, *)$ and $\quad\left(P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right),+, *\right)$ are ideals of ( $N,+, *$ ).

THEOREM 2.18. Let ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ) be a special near-ring determined by a and let $t$ be regular in $N$.
(a) If ( $L,+, *$ ) is a left ideal of $N,+, *$ ) then $(L(t),+, *)$ is a left ideal of ( $N,+, *$ ).
(b) If ( $L,+, *$ ) is a right ideal of ( $N,+, *$ ) then ( $L(t),+, *$ ) is a right ideal of ( $N,+, *$ ).
(c) If ( $\mathrm{L},+, *$ ) is an ideal of ( $N,+, *$ ) then $(L(t),+, *)$ is an ideal of ( $N,+, *$ ).

PROOF. (a) Let ( $L,+, *$ ) be a left ideal of ( $N,+, *$ ). Let $n \in N$ and $x \in L(t)$. Then $x=s t ' t$ for
 anst't + (1-a'a)s $t^{\prime} t=\left[a n s+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) s\right] t^{\prime} t=$ ( $\mathrm{n} * \mathrm{~s}$ )t't. Now $\mathrm{n} * \mathrm{~s} \varepsilon \mathrm{~L}$ since L is a left ideal. Thus $n * x \varepsilon L(t)$. So ( $L(t),+, *$ ) is a left ideal.
(b) Let ( $L,+, *$ ) be a right ideal of ( $N,+, *$ ). Let $m, n \in N$ and $x \in L(t)$. Then $x=s t ' t$ for some s $\varepsilon$ L. Since ( $L,+, *$ ) is a right ideal $(m+s) * n-m * n=a(m+s) n+(1-a ' a) n-a m n-(1-a \cdot a) n=$ asn $\varepsilon$ L. Thus $(m+x) * n-m=n=a(m+x) n+(1-a \cdot a) n-$ $a m n-\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) n=a x n=a\left(s t t^{\prime} t\right) n=(a s n) t t^{\prime} t \in L(t)$. Hence, $(L(t),+, *)$ is a right ideal of ( $N,+, *$ ).
(c) This result follows immediately from parts (a) and (b).

In particular, the above theorem gives the result that ( $L(a),+, *$ ) and ( $L\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right),+, *$ ) are ideals (left, right) of ( $N,+, *$ ) whenever ( $L,+, *$ ) is an ideal (left, right) of ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ). Actually, weaker hypotheses guarantee that ( $L(1-a \cdot a),+, *)$ is an ideal of ( $N,+, *$ ) as the next theorem shows.

THEOREM 2.19. Let ( $N,+, *$ ) be a special near-ring determined by a and let ( $L,+$ ) be a subgroup of ( $N,+$ ). Then ( $\left.L\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right),+, *\right)$ is an ideal of ( $N,+{ }^{*}$ ).

PROOF. By Theorem 2.14, (L(1-a'a),+) is a subgroup of $(N,+)$. Let $n E N$ and $x \in L\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$. Since $L\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \subset P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)=N_{Z}, n * x=x E L\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$. Hence, ( $\left.L\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right),+, *\right)$ is a left ideal. Now let $m, n \in N$ and $x \in L\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) . \quad T h e n x=s\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$ for some $s \in L$. Thus $(m+x) * n-m * n=a(m+x) n+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) n-a m n-$ $\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) n=a x n=a s\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) n=s\left(a-a a^{\prime} a\right) n=$ s0n $=0$ EL(1 - $\left.a^{\prime} a\right)$. Hence, ( $\left.L\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right),+, *\right)$ is a right ideal and, therefore, an ideal of ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ).

THEOREM 2.20. Let $(N,+, *)$ be a special nearring determined by a.
(a) ( $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{a}),+, *)$ is a subring of $(\mathrm{N},+, *)$.
(b) If ( $L,+, *$ ) is a left ideal of $(\mathbb{N},+, *)$ then ( $L(a),+, *$ ) is a subring of ( $N,+, *$ ).

PROOF. By Theorem 2.17 (P(a),+,*) is an ideal of ( $\mathrm{N},+\boldsymbol{+}$ ). Let $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z} \varepsilon \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{a})$. Then $\mathrm{x} * \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{a})$. Since $z \in P(a), z=z a^{\prime} a$ and (1-a'a)z $=0$. Thus $(x+y) * z=a(x+y) z+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) z=a x z+a y z=$ $a x z+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) z+a y z+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) z=x * z+y * z$.
(b) Suppose ( $L,+, *$ ) is a left ideal of ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ). Then by Theoren 2.18, (L(a),+,*) is a left ideal of ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ). Now let $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{zEL}(\mathrm{a})$. Then $x * y \varepsilon L(a) . \quad A l s o z=$ ar'a for some $s \in L . \quad$ So $\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) z=\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) s a^{\prime} a=0$. Therefore, $(x+y) * z=$ $a(x+y) z+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) z=a x z+a y z=a x z+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) z+a y z+$ $(1-a ’ a) z=x * z+y * z$ 。

THEOREM 2.21. Let ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ) be a special nearring determined by a. If (L,+,*) is a left ideal of $(N,+; *)$ then $L=L\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \quad L(a), a \operatorname{direct}$ sum of left ideals of ( $N,+, *$ ). Conversely, if $R \subset P(1-a ' a)$ and $S \subset P(a)$ are left ideals of ( $N,+, *$ ) then ( $R$ ( $S,+, *$ ) is a left ideal of ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ) .

PROOF. Let ( $L,+, *$ ) be a left ideal of ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ) . Then by Theoren 2.18 ( $\left.L\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right),+, *\right)$ and (L(a),+,*) are left ideals of (N,+,*). Also by Theoren $2.15 L\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \subset P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$ and $L(a) \in P(a)$. So, $L\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \cap L(a) \subset P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \cap P(a)=N_{2} \cap N_{c}=0 . \quad$ We now show that $L\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \cdot L(a)=L$. To this end let $x \in L\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$. Then $x=s\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$ for some $s \in L . \quad$ Then $\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) * s=a\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) s+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) s=\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) s=$ $x \in L$ since $L$ is left ideal. Hence $L\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \subset L$. Now suppose $x \in L(a)$. Then $x=s a^{\prime}$ for some $s \in L$. Thus $x=$
$s^{\prime} a=s-\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) s=8-\left(a\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) s+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) s\right)=$ s - (1-a'a)*s E L since $L$ is a left ideal. Thus $L(a) C L$. Since $L\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$ and $L(a)$ are both subsets of $L$ we have $L\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \oplus(a) C L$. Now let $s \varepsilon L$. Then $s=s\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)+$ sa'a. Clearly, s(1-a'a) $\varepsilon L\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$ and sa'acL(a). Thus $s \in L\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \oplus(a)$. Hence, $L \subset L\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \oplus(a)$ and by double inclusion we have $L=L\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \oplus L(a)$.

Now conajder the converse. Let $R \subset P(1-a \cdot a)$ and $S \subset P(a)$ be left ideals of $(N,+, *)$. Then as in the first part $R \cap S \subset P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \cap P(a)=0$. So $R \oplus S$ is a direct sum of left ideals. Let $n \in N$ and $x=r+s \in R \oplus S$. Then $n * x=n *(r+s)=n * r+n * s \in R \oplus S$ since $R$ and $S$ are left ideals of ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ). Thus ( $\mathrm{R} \oplus \mathrm{S},+, *$ ) is a left ideal of ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ).

COROLLARY 2.22. Let ( $N,+, *$ ) be a special nearring determined by a. If ( $L,+, *$ ) is an ideal of ( $N,+, *$ ) then $L=L\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \oplus L(a)$, a direct sum of ideals. Conversely, if $R \subset P(1-a \cdot a)$ and $S \subset P(a)$ are ideals of $(N,+, *)$ then $(R \oplus S,+, *)$ is an ideal of ( $N,+, *$ ).

PROOF. Let ( $L,+, *$ ) be an ideal of ( $\mathrm{N},+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{*}}$ ). By Theorem 2.18, ( $L\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right),+, *$ ) and ( $L(a),+, *$ ) are ideals of ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ). By Theorem 2.21, $L=L\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \oplus L(a)$. Hence, $L$ is a direct sum of ideals. Conversely, suppose $R \subset P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$ and $S \subset P(a)$ are ideals of ( $\left.N,+, *\right)$.

Then by Theorem 2.21, ( $\mathrm{R} \oplus \mathrm{S},+, *$ ) is a left ideal of ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ). Let $m, n \in N$ and $x=r+s \in R \oplus S$. Then $(m+x) * n-m *_{n}=$ $\operatorname{axn}=a(r+s) n=a r n+a s n=[(m+r) * n-m * n]+$ $[(m+s) * n-m * n]$. Since $R$ and $S$ are right ideals $(m+r) * n-m * n \in R$ and $(m+s) * n-m * n \varepsilon S$. Hence $(m+x) * n-m * n \varepsilon R \oplus S$. We conclude that the left ideal $R \oplus S$ is also a right ideal and, therefore, an ideal of ( $N,+, *$ ).

THEOREM 2.23. Let ( $N,+, *$ ) be a special near-ring determined by a and let $L \subset P(a)$ be a left ideal of $(N,+, *)$. If $t \in L$ is regular in ( $N,+, \cdot, 1$ ) then $P(t) \subset L$.

PROOF. Let ( $L,+, *$ ) be a left ideal of ( $N,+, *$ ) such that $L \subset P(a)$. Let $t \in L$ be regular in ( $N,+, \cdot, 1$ ) and $x \in P(t)$. Then $x=x t^{\prime} t$. Since $t \in P(a)$ we have $t=$ ta'a. So $x=x t^{\prime} t=x t^{\prime}\left(t a^{\prime} a\right)=\left(x t^{\prime} t\right) a^{\prime} a=x a^{\prime} a$. Hence, ( $\left.a^{\prime} x t^{\prime}\right) * t=a a^{\prime} x t^{\prime} t+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) t=\left(x a^{\prime} a\right) t^{\prime} t+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) t a^{\prime} a=$ $x t^{\prime} t+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) a t a a^{\prime}=x+0=x \varepsilon L$ since $L$ is a left ideal. Thus $P(t) \subset L$.

We note, in particular, that if $L \subset P(a)$ is a left ideal of any special regular near-ring determined by a then for every $t \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} L, P(t) \subset L$.

THEOREM 2.24. Let ( $N,+, *$ ) be a special nearring determined by a and $L \subset P(a)$. Then ( $L,+, *$ ) is an ideal of ( $N,+, *$ ) is and only if ( $L,+, *$ ) is a left ideal
of ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ).

PROOF. If $L$ is an ideal it is a left ideal. Consider the converse. Suppose ( $L,+, *$ ) is a left ideal of ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ). Then $\mathrm{y} * \mathrm{~s} \varepsilon \mathrm{~L}$ for all $\mathrm{y} \varepsilon \mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{s} \varepsilon \mathrm{L}$. Let $x, y \varepsilon N$ and $s \varepsilon L$. Then $s \in P(a)$ so $s=s a ' a$ and ( $1-\mathrm{a} \mathrm{a}$ )s $=0$. Thus $(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{s}) * \mathrm{y}-\mathrm{x} * \mathrm{y}=$ $a(x+s) y+(1-a ' a) y-a x y-(1-a ' a) y=$ asy $=$ ays $+(1-a \cdot a) s=y * s \varepsilon L$ since $L$ is a left ideal. Therefore, the left ideal $L$ is also a right ideal and, hence, an ideal of ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ).

THEOREM 2.25. Let ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ) be a special nearring determined by a and $L \subset P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(a) ( $\mathrm{L},+, *$ ) is an ideal of ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ),
(b) ( $L,+, *$ ) is a left ideal of ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ),
(c) ( $L,+$ ) is a subgroup of ( $P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right),+$ ).

PROOF. Clearly (a) implies (b) and (b) implies (c). To show that (c) implies (a), let ( $L,+$ ) be a subgroup of ( $P(1-a \cdot a),+$ ). Let $x, y \in N$ and $s \in L$. Since $s \in P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right), s=s\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$. So $x *=a x s+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) s=$
$\operatorname{axs}\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) s=0+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) s=s \varepsilon L$. Thus L is a left ideal. Also $(x+s) * y-x * y=$ $a(x+s) y+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) y-a x y-\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) y=a s y=$ as ( $\left.1-a^{\prime} a\right) y=0 \varepsilon$ L. So the left ideal ( $L, H^{*}$. ) is also a right ideal and, hence, an ideal of ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ) 。

THEOREM 2.26. Let $(N,+, *)$ be a special nearring determined by a. Then ( $I,+, *$ ) is an ideal of $(N,+, *)$ if and only if ( $I,+, *$ ) is a left ideal of ( $\mathrm{N},+,+$ ) .

PROOF. If I is an ideal then I is a left ideal so consider the converse. Let ( $I,+, *$ ) be a left ideal of $(N,+, *)$. By Theorem 2.21, $I=I\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \oplus I(a)$ where ( $I\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right),+, *$ ) and ( $\left.I(a),+, *\right)$ are left ideals of ( $N,+, *$ ) .

By Theorem 2.15, $I\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \subset P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$ and $I(a) \subset P(a)$. By Theorem 2.25, ( $\left.\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right),+, *\right)$ is an ideal of ( $N,+, *$ ). By Theorem 2.24, ( $(\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{a}),+, *)$ is an ideal of $(N,+, *)$. Then by Corollary 2.22, $I\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \oplus I(a)=$ $I$ is an ideal of ( $N,+, *$ ).

LEMMA 2.27. Let $(N,+, *)$ be a special near-ring. If $x, y, z \varepsilon N$, then $(x+y) * z-y * z-x * z=-b z$.

PROOF. Let $x, y, z \varepsilon N$. Then
$(x+y) * z-y * z-x * z=$ $a(x+y) z+b z-a x z-b z-a y z-b z=-b z 。$

The next lemma contains some well-known results which will be useful in subsequent work.

LEMMA 2.28. Let $(N,+, \cdot)$ be an arbitrary nearring and ( $1,+, \cdot$ ) any ideal of ( $N,+, \cdot)$. Let $N / I$ be the factor near-ring. Then for any $x, y, z \varepsilon N$ the following statements are equivalent:
(a) $(\bar{x}+\bar{y}) \bar{z}=\bar{x} \bar{z}+\bar{y} \bar{z}_{0}$
(b) $(\overline{x+y}) \bar{z}=\overline{x z}+\overline{y z}$ 。
(c) $\overline{x+y) z}=\overline{x z+y z}$.
(d) $\quad(x+y) z-y z-x z \varepsilon I$.

THEOREM 2.29. Let ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ) be a special nearring and ( $I,+, *$ ) an ideal of ( $N,+, *$ ). If $z \varepsilon N$ then the statement
(e) -bz I $\varepsilon$ is equivalent to each of (a), (b),
(c), (d), of Lemma 2.28.

PROOF. Since the addition in ( $N,+, *$ ) is commutative statement (d) of Lemma 2.28 is equivalent, in the present context, to the statement that $(x+y) * z-x * z-$ $y * z E I$ fir all $x, y, z \in N$. But by Lemma 2.27 for any $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z} \mathcal{E},(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}) * \mathrm{z}-\mathrm{x} * \mathrm{z}-\mathrm{y} * \mathrm{z}=-\mathrm{bz}$. Thus statement (e) is equivalent to statement (d) and, hence, to the other statements of Lemma 2.28.

THEOREM 2.30. Let $(N,+, *)$ be a special nearring determined by $a$ and let $(1,+, *)$ be an ideal of ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ). Then $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{I}$ is a ring if and only if $\mathrm{P}\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \subset \mathrm{I}$.

PROOF. Let $N / I$ be a ring and let $z \varepsilon P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$. The right distributive law holds in $N / I$ so for all $\overline{\mathrm{X}}, \overline{\mathrm{y}}, \overline{\mathrm{z}} \varepsilon \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{I},(\overline{\mathrm{x}}+\overline{\mathrm{y}}) * \overline{\mathrm{z}}=\overline{\mathrm{x}} * \overline{\mathrm{z}}+\overline{\mathrm{y}} * \overline{\mathrm{z}}$. $\quad$ Since $b=1-a^{\prime} a, b y$ Lemma 2.28 and Theorem 2.29, $-b z=$ -(1-a'a)z $\varepsilon$ I. Since $(I,+)$ is a subgroup of ( $N,+$ ), (1-a’a)zEI. But $z=\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) z$ since $z E P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$. Thus z E $I$ and $P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \subset I$. Conversely, suppose
 So by Theorem 2.29, $(\overline{\mathrm{X}}+\overline{\mathrm{y}}) * \overline{\mathrm{z}}=\overline{\mathrm{x}} * \overline{\mathrm{z}}+\overline{\mathrm{y}} * \overline{\mathrm{z}}$ for all $x, y, z \in N . \quad$ Thus $N / I$ is a ring.

COROLLARY 2.31. Let ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ) be a special regular near-ring determined by a and let $(1,+, *)$ be an ideal of ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ). Then $N / I$ is a regular ring if and only if $p\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \in I$.

PROOF. The result follows from Theorem 2.30 and the fact that for any regular near-ring $R$ and any ideal $A$ of $R, R / A$ is regular. To see the latter assertion let $\bar{Z} \mathcal{E} / A$. Since $z$ is regular in $(R,+, \cdot)$ then $\bar{z} \bar{z} \bar{z}=$ $\overline{\mathbf{Z Z}^{\top} \boldsymbol{Z}}=\overline{\mathbf{Z}}$.

The next theorem states conditions under which two special regular near-rings are isomorphic.

THEOREM 2.32. Let $(N,+, \cdot, 1)$ be a commutative regular ring with identity and let a and $c$ be regular in $N$. Let ( $N,+, * a$ ) and ( $N,+, * C$ ) be the special regular near-rings determined by a and cespectively. If there is an automorphism of ( $N,+, \cdot, 1$ ) which maps a to cthen ( $\mathrm{N},+, *_{a}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{N},+, *_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) are isomorphic.

PROOF. Let $f$ denote an automorphism of ( $\mathrm{N},+, \cdot, 1$ ) which has the property that $f(a)=c$ and let $c^{\prime}$ denote any regularity companion of $c$. Let $x, y \in N$. From the hypotheses we häve $f(x+y)=f(x)+f(y)$. Since $f(a)=c$, we obtain $c=f(a)=f\left(a^{\prime} a^{2}\right)=f\left(a^{\prime}\right) f\left(a^{2}\right)=$ $f\left(a^{\prime}\right)(f(a))^{2}=f\left(a^{\prime}\right) c^{2}$. By Theorem 1.5, $f\left(a^{\prime}\right) c=c^{\prime} c$. So $\left.f(x * a y)=f\left(a x y+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) y\right)=f(a x y)+f\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) y\right)=$ $f(a) f(x) f(y)+f\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) f(y)=c f(x) f(y)+\left(f(1)-f\left(a^{\prime} a\right)\right) f(y)=$ $c f(x) f(y)+\left(1-f\left(a^{\prime}\right) f(a)\right) f(y)=c \bar{x}(x) f(y)+\left(1-f\left(a^{\prime}\right) c\right) f(y)=$ $f(x) *_{c} f(y)$. Hence, $\left(N,+, *_{c}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\left(N,+, *_{a}\right)$.

THEOREM 2.33. Let ( $\mathrm{N},+, \cdot, 1$ ) be a commutative ring with identity and let $(A,+, \cdot)$ be an ideal of ( $\mathrm{N},+, \cdot, 1$ ). Then $A$ is a direct summand of $N$ if and only if $A=P(u)$ for some regular $u$ in $N$.

PROOF. Let $A=P(u)$ where $u$ is regular in N. Since $N=P\left(1-u^{\prime} u\right) \oplus P(u), A=P(u)$ is a direct summand of N. Conversely, let $A$ be a direct summand of $N$. Then $N=A \oplus B$, where $\left(B,+,^{*}\right)$ is also an ideal. Now $1 \varepsilon N=A \oplus B$ so $1=u+v$ where $u \varepsilon A$ and $v \varepsilon B$. Then $u=u l=u(u+v)=u^{2}+u v$. Since $u v \varepsilon A \cap B=0$, $u v=0$. Thus $u=u^{2}$ and $u$ is regular in $N$. If $x \in A$, then $x=x 1=x(u+v)=x u+x v=x u+0=x u$. Thus $x \mathcal{E} P(u)$ and $A \subset P(u)$. If $y \in P(u)$ then $y=y u \varepsilon A$ since $A$ is an ideal. Therefore, $P(u) \subset A$ and we conclude that $A=P(u)$.

THEOREM 2.34. Let $(N,+, *)$ be a special nearring determined by a and let $(B,+, *)$ be an ideal of ( $N,+, *$ ). Then $B$ is a direct summand of $N$ if and only if $B(a)$ and $B\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$ are direct summands of $P(a)$ and P(1 - a'a) respectively.

PROOF. Suppose B (a) is a direct summand of $P(a)$ and $B\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$ is a direct summand of $P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$. Then $P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)=A \oplus B\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$ and $P(a)=B(a) \oplus C$ for some ideals $A$ and $C$. Since ( $B,+, *$ ) is an ideal
$B=B\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \oplus B(a)$. Hence $N=P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \oplus P(a)=$ $A \oplus B\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \oplus B(a) \oplus C=A \oplus B \oplus C$. Thus B is a direct summand of $N$. Conversely, suppose B is a direct summand of $N$. Then $N=B \oplus C$ for some ideal C. Since $B=B\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \oplus B(a)$ and $C=C\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \oplus C(a)$ it follows that $N=B \oplus C=$ $B\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \oplus B(a) \oplus C\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \oplus C(a)=$ $B\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \oplus C\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \oplus B(a) \oplus C(a) . \quad B y$ Theorem 2.15, $B\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$ and $C\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$ are subsets of $p\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$ and $B(a)$ and $C(a)$ are subsets of $P(a)$. Hence, $B\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \oplus C\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \in P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$ and
$B(a) \oplus C(a) \subset P(a) . \quad$ Let $x \in P(a) . \quad T h e n x=x a{ }^{\prime} a$. Since $x \in N=B \oplus C, x=b+c$ where $b \in B$ and $c \in C$. So $x=x a^{\prime} a=(b+c) a^{\prime} a=b a^{\prime} a+c a^{\prime} a . \quad$ But ba'a $\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{a})$ and $c a^{\prime} a \mathcal{C}(\mathrm{a})$. Hence $x \mathcal{E}(\mathrm{a}) \oplus \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{a})$ and we conclude that $P(a) \subset B(a) \oplus C(a) . \quad B y$ double inclusion we have that $P(a)=B(a) \oplus C(a)$. In a similar manner we may show that $P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)=$ $B\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \boldsymbol{C}\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$.

REMARK. The proof of the second half of the theorem shows that if ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ) is a special near-ring determined by a and N is a direct sum of ideals, say $N=B \oplus C$, then $P(a)=B(a) \oplus C(a)$ and $P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)=B\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \boldsymbol{C}\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$.

THEOREM 2.35. ring determined by a. Let ( $1,+, *$ ) be an ideal of ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ). If I is a direct summand of N then there is a regular $t \in P(a)$ such that $I=P(t) \oplus M$ where $P(t) \subset P(a)$ is an ideal and $M \subset P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$ is an ideal.

PROOF. If I is a direct summand of $N$ then $N=I \Theta L$ where $L$ is an ideal. From previous work we know that $I=I(a) \oplus I\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$ where $I(a)$ and I(1 - a'a) are ideals. By Theorem 2.34, I(a) is a direct summand of $P(a)$. Since ( $\left.P(a),+, \cdot, a^{\prime} a\right)$ is a commutative ring with identity and $I(a)$ is an ideal of $P(a)$ which is a direct summand of $P(a)$ we know by Theorem 2.33 that $I(a)=P(t)$ for some $t$ regular in $P(a)$. Thus $I=I(\underline{P}) \boldsymbol{P} I\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)=P(t) \oplus I\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$. Clearly $P(t)=I(a) \subset P(a)$ is an ideal and $I\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)<P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$ is an ideal.

We are able to establish the converse of Theorem 2.35 for a certain class of special nearrings determined by elements, namely that class in which the additive group of any member has the property that each of its subgroups is pure and bounded.
ring determined by $a$. Let $I$ be an ideal of ( $N,+, *$ ). If there is a regular element $t \in P(a)$ such that $I=P(t) \Theta M$ where $P(t) \subset P(a)$ is an ideal and $(M,+)$ is a pure and bounded subgroup of ( $P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right),+$ ) then $I$ is a direct summand of $N$. PROOF. By previous work we know that $P(a)=P(t) \oplus A$ for some ideal A. Kaplansky [10] proves that a pure and bounded subgroup is a direct summand. Thus $P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)=M \oplus B$ for some ideal B. Then $N=P(a) \oplus P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)=P(t) \oplus A \oplus M \oplus B=$ $P(t) \oplus M \oplus A \oplus B=I \oplus A \oplus B$.

## 4. Some Decomposition Theorems

As mentioned earlier, any field is a commutative regular ring with identity. Therefore, any field may be used as the base ring in which we define a new multiplication to obtain a special regular near-ring. It is natural to ask what types of near-rings are obtained in this case.

THEOREM 2.37. Let $(F,+, \cdot, 1)$ be a field and aع $F$. Let ( $\mathrm{F},+,^{*}$ ) be the special regular near-ring determined by a $\varepsilon$ F. Then either $x * y=y$ for $a l l x, y \in F$ or ( $F,+, *, a^{-1}$ ) is isomorphic to ( $F,+, \cdot, 1$ ).

$$
\text { PROOF: } \quad \text { If } a=0 \text { then } x * y=a x y+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) y=y
$$

for $a l l \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{F}$. If a $\neq 0$ then a has a multiplicative inverse which we denote by $a^{-1}$. In this case $a^{-1}$ is the unique regularity companion of $a$. Then $x * y=a x y+\left(1-a^{-1} a\right) y=$ $a x y=a y x+\left(1-a^{-1} a\right) x=y * x$ for $a l l x, y \in F$. Note that $a^{-1} * y=a a^{-1} y=y$ for $a l l y \varepsilon F$. Since $*$ is commutative, $a^{-1}$ is the identity in $(F,+, *)$. Also, $F \neq 0$ so by Theorem 2.6,
( $F,+, *, a^{-1}$ ) is isomorphic to ( $F,+, \cdot, 1$ ).
Theorem 2.37 leads to a decomposition theorem for special regular near-rings. In order to obtain such a theorem we make use of the following which may be found in McCoy [14].

THEOREM 2.38. Every commutative regular ring of more than one element is isomorphic to a subdirect sum of fields.

Theorem 2.38 restricts the following discussion to special regular near-rings of more than one element but this restriction is not significant.

THEOREM 2.39. Let ( $N,+, *$ ) be a special regular near-ring determined by a. Then ( $\mathrm{N},+, \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{*}}$ ) is isomorphic to a subdirect sum of near-rings $N_{i}$ where each $N_{i}$ is one of the following two types:
(a) $N_{i}$ is a field,
(b) the additive group of $\left(N_{i},+, *_{i}\right)$ is that of a field and $x_{i} *_{i} y_{i}=y_{i}$ for all $x_{i}, y_{i} \varepsilon N_{i}$ 。

PROOF. By Theorem 2.38, the commutative regular ring with identity, ( $\mathrm{N},+, \cdot, 1$ ) is isomorphic to a subdirect sum of fields $F_{i}$ where $i$ is in some index set $I$. Hence, there is some subring, say $S$, of the direct sum of the $F_{i}$, which is isomorphic to $N$.

Denote the isomorphism by $\alpha$. Let a $\varepsilon \mathrm{N} . \quad$ Then $\alpha(a)=$ $\left[a_{i}\right]_{i \varepsilon I} \quad$ where $a_{i} \varepsilon N_{i}$ and $N_{i}=F_{i}$. Then a determines a near-ring ( $N,+, *$ ) and $\alpha(a)$ determines a near-ring ( $\mathrm{S},+, *$ ). It is straightforward to show that ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ) is isomorphic to (S,+,*) under $\alpha$. Now each $N_{i}$ is the near-ring $\left(F_{i},+, *_{i}\right)$ where the multiplication $*_{i}$ is determined by $x_{i} *_{i} y_{i}=(x * y)_{i}$ where the $i^{\text {th }}$ components of $x$ and $y$ are $X_{i}$ and $y_{i}$ respectively. Hence, ( $N,+, *$ ) is isomorphic to a subdirect sum of near-rings $N_{i}$ where each $N_{i}$ is $\left(F_{i},+, *_{i}\right)$.

Let $a=[a]_{i \in I}$ and $b=[b]_{i \in I}$ where $b=1$ - $a^{\prime} a$. Since $b^{2}=b$ and $b_{i}$ is in the field $F_{i}, b_{i}$ must be either 0 or 1 for each $i \in I$. Since $a b=0, a_{i}=0$ when $b_{i}=1$. Also since $b+a^{\prime} a=1$, $\left(a^{\prime} a\right)_{i}=0$ if $b_{i}=1$ and $\left(a^{\prime} a\right)_{i}=1$ if $b_{i}=0$. Let $i \varepsilon I$ and consider $N_{i} \quad$ If $b_{i}=0$ then $\left(a^{\prime} a\right)_{i}=1$. Now $a_{i} \neq 0$ for, otherwise, $\left(a^{\prime} a\right)_{i}=a_{i} a_{i}=a_{i}^{\prime} 0=0$ which contradicts the fact that $\left(a^{\prime} a\right)_{i}=1$. For $x=\left[x_{i}\right]_{i \varepsilon I}$ and $y=\left[y_{i}\right]_{i \varepsilon I}$, elements of $N, x * y=$ $\left[(x * y)_{i}\right]_{i \in I} . \operatorname{Then}(x * y)_{i}=a_{i} x_{i} y_{i}+$
$\left[1-\left(a^{\prime} a\right)_{i}\right] y_{i}=a_{i} x_{i} y_{i}$. By the same kind of argument used in the proof of Theorem 2.37, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is isomorphic to ( $F_{i},+, \cdot, 1$ ). Thus $N_{i}$ is a field. If $b_{i}=1$, then $a_{i}=0$ as stated above. Then $x_{i} *_{i} y_{i}=(x * y)_{i}=a_{i} x_{i} y_{i}+\left[1-\left(a^{\prime} a\right)_{i}\right] y_{i}=y_{i}$.

Thus the additive group of $\left(N_{i},+, *_{i}\right)$ is the additive group of the field $F_{i}$ and $x_{i}{ }^{*}{ }_{i} y_{i}=y_{i}$ for all $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{i}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal { E }} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}$.

The near-rings $N_{i}$ in the above decomposition theorem are not necessarily subdirectly irreducible. We can obtain a decomposition into subdirectly irreducible near-rings by appealing to a result of Ligh [11]. First we need to recall the following definitions. A near-ring ( $N,+,^{*}$ ) is small if and only if for each $x \in N$ either $x y=y$ for all y $\mathcal{E} N$ or $x y=0 y$ for all y $\mathcal{E N}$. A near-ring ( $N,+, \cdot$ ) is called a near-ring if it is weakly commutative and $x^{2}=x$ for all $x \in N$. Any $\beta$ near-ring is a regular near-ring. The next theorem is due to Ligh [11].

THEOREM 2.40. Every $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ near-ring ( $\mathrm{N},+{ }^{\circ}$ )
is isomorphic to a subdirect sum of subdirectly irreducible near-rings $N_{i}$ where each $N_{i}$ is either a two element field or a small near-ring.

THEOREM 2.41. Let $(N,+, *)$ be a special regular near-ring determined by a. Then ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ) is isomorphic to a subdirect sum of subdirectly irreducible near-rings $N_{i}$ where each $N_{i}$ is either
a field or a small near-ring.

PROOF. Consider a decomposition guaranteed by Theorem 2.39. If $N_{i}$ is a field it is clearly a subdirectly irreducible near-ring. If $N_{i}$ is the second type of near-ring mentioned in Theorem 2.39 then it is easily shown to be a $\beta$ near-ring, and, hence, by Theorem 2.40 it is isomorphic to a subdirect sum of subdirectly irreducible near-rings which are either fields or small. The conclusion then follows.

## 5. The Set of Distributors

Let ( $\mathrm{N},+, \cdot$ ) be an arbitrary near-ring. Then any element of the form $(x+y) z-y z-x z$, for $x, y, z \varepsilon N$ is called a distributor $[4]$. The set $D=\{(x+y) z-y z-x z: x, y, z \varepsilon N\}$ is called the sei of distributors. In case $D$ is an ideal it will be called the distributor ideal.

THEOREM 2.42. Let $\left(N,+{ }^{\circ}\right)$ be a near-ring of prime order. Then either $D=0$ or $D=N$.

PROOF. Suppose D $\neq 0$. Let $d$ be a nonzero element of $D$. Then $d=(x+y) z-y z-x z$ for some $x, y, z \varepsilon N$. Let $k$ be a positive integer. Then, $k d=[(x+y) z-y z-x z]+\ldots+[(x+y) z-y z-x z]$
where there are $k$ terms in the sum. Since ( $N,+$ ) is abelian $k d=[(x+y) z+\ldots+(x+y) z]-$ $[y z+\ldots+y z]-[x z+\ldots+x z]=$ $=(x+y)[z+\ldots+z]-[y(z+\ldots+z)]-$ $[x(z+\ldots+z)]=(x+y)(k z)-y(k z)-x(k z) \varepsilon D$. Since $N$ is of prime order $N$ has no non-trivial subgroups. Thus $\mathbf{D}=\mathrm{N}$.

For each of the examples in the list of Clay [5] , D is a subgroup. Class (33) where the additive group is ( $S_{3},+$ ) provides us with the only examples in Clay's list where $D$ is not a normal subgroup. This same example shows that $D$ is not always an ideal.

THEOREM 2.43. Let $\left(N,+,^{\cdot}\right)$ be a near-ring. If the set $D$ of distributors is a normal subgroup, then $D$ is a left ideal.

PROOF. Suppose ( $\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{t}$ ) is a normal subgroup of $(N,+)$ and let $d \in D$. Then $d=(x+y) z-y z-x z$ for some $x, y, z \in N$. Let $n \in N$. Then nd $=$ $n[(x+y) z-y z-x z]=n(x+y) z+n[-(y z)]+$ $n[-(x z)]=(n x+n y) z-(n y) z-(n x) z \varepsilon d$. Thus, $\left(D,+,^{\circ}\right)$ is a left ideal of ( $N,+,^{\circ}$ ).

THEOREM 2.44. Let ( $N,+, \cdot$ ) be an arbitrary near-ring and $D$ the set of distributors. If $D$ is a
normal subgroup then $D$ is an ideal if and only if dn $\mathcal{E}$ for all $d \boldsymbol{E}$ and $n \varepsilon N$.

PROOF. Let $D$ be a normal subgroup of $N$. Then by Theorem 2.43, $D$ is a left ideal. Thus we need to show that $D$ is a right ideal if and only if dn $\mathcal{E}$ for all $d \in D$ and $n \in N$. Suppose $D$ is a right ideal and let $d \varepsilon D$ and $n \varepsilon N$. For all $x \in N,(x+d) n-$ $d n-x n \varepsilon D . \quad B u t(x+d) n-d n-x n=(x+d) n-x n+$ $x n-d n-x n \varepsilon D . \quad$ Since $D$ is a right ideal $(x+d) n-x n E D$. Thus we have $x n-d n-x n \varepsilon D . \quad$ Since $D$ is normal -dn $\mathcal{E} D$ and since $D$ is a group dn $\mathcal{E}$. Now consider the converse. Suppose for all $d \in D$ and $n \varepsilon N, d n \varepsilon D$. As above, for any $x \in N,(x+d) n-d n-x n=(x+d) n-x n+x n-$ dn - $x n \in D . \quad$ Since $d n \in D,-\operatorname{dn} \in D$ and since $D$ is normal $x n-d n-x n \varepsilon D$. Thus we conclude that for all $x, n \in N, d \in D,(x+d) n-x n \varepsilon D . \quad T h e r e f o r e, D i s a$ right ideal.

THEOREM 2.45. Let $(N,+, *)$ be a special nearring. Then the set $D$ of distributors is an ideal.

PROOF. By Lemma 2.27, $D=\{-b z: z \varepsilon N\}$. Let $c, d \in D$. Then $c=-b n$ and $d=-b m$ for some $n, m \in N$. So $c-d=-b(n-m) \in D$. Thus $D$ is a normal subgroup. Let $x \in N$. Then $d x=-b(m x) \varepsilon D$. Thus by Theorem 2.44, D is an ideal.

THEOREM 2.46. Let ( $\mathrm{N},+{ }^{-}$) be an abelian nearring. If the set $D$ of distributors is an ideal then N/D is a ring.

PROOF. $\quad$ Since $N$ is an abelian near-ring, N/D is an abelian near-ring. Thus we need only show that the right distributive law holds in N/D. Let $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z} \varepsilon N / D$. Then since $(x+y) z-y z-x z \varepsilon D,(\bar{x}+\bar{y}) \bar{z}-\bar{y} \bar{z}-\bar{x} \bar{z}=$ $\overline{(x+y) z-\bar{y}-\bar{x} \bar{z}}=\mathrm{D}$. $\quad$ Hence, $(\bar{x}+\bar{y}) \bar{z}=\bar{x} \bar{z}+\overline{\mathbf{y}} \bar{z}$ and $N / D$ is a ring.

COROLLARY 2.47. Let ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ) be a special nearring and let $D$ be the distributor ideal. Then $N / D$ is a ring.

PROOF. Since ( $N,+$ ) is abelian, the result is immediate from Theorem 2.46.

We remark that this corollary could be obtained for special near-rings determined by single elements through an application of Theorem 2.30 since $P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \subset D$. As a matter of fact, in the setting of a special nearring determined by a we have $D=P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$.

## CHAPTER III

## SPECIAL $\beta$ NEAR-RINGS

## 1. Definition and Examples

In Chapter II we began with a commutative ring, ( $N,+, \cdot, 1$ ), with identity and used an arbitrary regular element, $a$, of $N$ to define a new multiplication $\boldsymbol{*}$ on $N$ such that ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ) was a near-ring. To define this new multiplication we used a polynomial in two variables with the fixed coefficients of the terms of the polynomial determined by the fixed element a. In the present chapter we use a different technique to obtain a near-ring dependent in some sense upon a certain type of ring, namely a commutative regular ring with identity. The technique used is similar to the one employed in Chapter II, but differs from it in that the polynomial used to define the new multiplication has coefficients which are dependent upon the factors being multiplied as well as upon a fixed constant.

Because the coefficients are not constants, the
multiplication formula of the current chapter is much more difficult to work with than the formula of Chapter II. Therefore, the results we are able to obtain here are not as extensive as those in Chapter II. The multiplication formula which we shall use is presented in the first theorem.

THEOREM 3.1. Let $(N,+, \cdot, 1)$ be a commutative regular ring with identity. Let $a, b$ be fixed elements of $N$ such that $a b=0$ and $b^{2}=b$. Define $*: N x N \rightarrow N::$ $(x, y) \rightarrow x * y=a^{\prime} a x^{\prime} x y+b y . \quad T h e n(N,+, *)$ is $a$ weakly commutative near-ring.

PROOF. We need to show that * is associative and distributes over + from the left. To this end, let $x, y, z \varepsilon N$. Notice first that $(x * y)^{2} y^{\prime}=$ $\left(a^{\prime} a x^{\prime} x y+b y\right)^{2} y^{\prime}=\left[\left(a^{\prime} a\right)^{2}\left(x^{\prime} x\right)^{2} y^{2}+b^{2} y^{2}\right] y^{\prime}=$ $\left(a^{\prime} a x^{\prime} x y^{2}+b y^{2}\right) y^{\prime}=a^{\prime} a x^{\prime} x y^{2} y^{\prime}+b y^{2} y^{\prime}=$ $a^{\prime} a x^{\prime} x y+b y=x * y . \quad$ Thus by Theorem 1.5, $(x * y)^{\prime}(x * y)=$ $y^{\prime}(x * y)$. Now we demonstrate that $*$ is associative. First, $(x * y) * z=a^{\prime} a(x * y) '(x * y) z+b z=$ $a^{\prime} a y^{\prime}(x * y) z+b z=a^{\prime} a y^{\prime}\left(a^{\prime} a x^{\prime} x y+b y\right) z+b z=$ $a^{\prime} a x^{\prime} x y$ 'yz $+b z$. Now $x *(y * z)=$ $a^{\prime} a x^{\prime} x(y * z)+b(y * z)=a^{\prime} a x^{\prime} x\left(a^{\prime} a y^{\prime} y z+b z\right)+$ $b\left(a^{\prime} a y{ }^{\prime} y z+b z\right)=a^{\prime} a x^{\prime} x y^{\prime} y z+b^{2} z=a^{\prime} a x x^{\prime} x y^{\prime} y z+b z$.

Thus $(x * y) * z=x *(y * z)$ and $*$ is associative. From $x * y * z=a^{\prime} a x^{\prime} x y ' y z+b z w e ~ o b s e r v e ~ t h a t$ interchanging $x$ and $y$ will not affect the product. Hence, $x * y * z=y * x * z$ and $(N,+, *)$ is weakly commutative. Since the expression for $x * y$ is linear in the second variable, the left distributive law holds. Thus the conclusion follows.

THEOREM 3.2. Let ( $\mathrm{N},+, \cdot, 1$ ) be a commutative regular ring with identity and let a be a fixed element of $N$. Let $b=1-a ' a . \quad$ Define $*: N \times N \rightarrow N:$ $(x, y) \longrightarrow x * y=a^{\prime} a x^{\prime} x y+b y$. Then ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ) is a $\beta$ near-ring.

PROOF. Since $b=1-a^{\prime} a, a b=a\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)=$ $a-a a^{\prime} a=0$ and $b^{2}=\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)^{2}=1-a^{\prime} a-a^{\prime} a+\left(a^{\prime} a\right)^{2}=$ 1-a'a-a'a+a'a=1-a'a=b. Thus by Theorem 3.1, ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ) is a weakly commutative near-ring. Let $x \in \mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{N}}$. Then $x * x=a^{\prime} a x^{\prime} x x+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) x=a^{\prime} a x+x-a^{\prime} a x=x$.

A near-ring ( $N,+, *$ ) is a special $\beta$ near-ring if and only if there exists a commutative regular ring with identity $(N,+, \cdot, 1)$ such that for some a $\in N$, $x * y=a^{\prime} a x^{\prime} x y+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) y$ for $a l l x, y \in N . \quad$ We say that the special $\beta$ near-ring is determined by a. Before proceeding to study some of the properties
of the class of special $\beta$ near-rings we consider some examples. First, recall Ratliff's [18] definition that a p-near-ring ( $N,+, *$ ) is an ( $\alpha, \beta$ ) p-nearring if and only if there exists a p-ring with identity $(N,+, \cdot, 1)$ and, $\alpha, \beta \subset N \quad$ such that $\alpha \beta=0, \beta^{2}=\beta$ and $x * y=\left(1-\alpha^{p-1}-\beta\right) x^{p-1} y+\alpha x y+\beta y$ for all $x, y \in N$. It is clear that the class of special $\beta$ near-rings includes those ( $\alpha, \beta$ ) p-near-rings for which $\alpha=0$ and $\beta=1$ - a'a for some a $\varepsilon N$. Near-rings in Clay's [5] class (8) where the additive group is $\left(Z_{5},+\right)$ are examples of this situation.

Consider the near-rings defined on the cyclic group of order 6. Those in class (27) are commutative regular rings each of which has an identity element. Thus, we may use a ring in class (27) to determine some special $\beta$ near-rings. Since the ring we are considering is of order 6 it is not a p-ring. Hence, the near-rings we obtain will be special $\beta$ near-rings which are not ( $\alpha, \beta$ ) p-near-rings. The ring under consideration is $\left(Z_{6},+, \cdot, 1\right)$ where the addition and multiplication are, as usual, modulo 6. In this ring $x^{3}=x$ for all $x \in Z_{6}$. So for any $x \in Z_{6}$ one regularity companion for $x$ is $x$ itself. We now list the possible choices for a, the resulting multiplications and the
near-rings thus obtained.

If $(a, b)=(0,1)$ then $x * y=y$. This produces class (48).

If $(a, b)=(1,0)$ then $x * y=x^{2} y$. This produces class (53).

H $(a, b)=(2,3)$ then $x * y=4 x^{2} y+3 y$. This produces class (49).

If $(a, b)=(3,4)$ then $x * y=3 x^{2} y+4 y$. This produces class (52).

If $(a, b)=(4,3)$ then $x * y=4 x^{2} y+3 y$. This produces class (49).

If $(a, b)=(5,0)$ then $x * y=x^{2} y$. This produces class (53).

Classes (40) and (53) provide examples of special $\beta$ nearrings which are not special regular near-rings. Classes (48) and (52) provide examples of near-rings which are both special $\beta$ near-rings and special regular near-rings. Classes (27) and (35) provide examples of special regular near-rings which are not special $\beta$ near-rings. Those near-rings in class (7) where the additive group is $\left(Z_{5},+\right)$ provide examples of regular near-rings which are
neither special regular near-rings nor special $\beta$ nearrings.

## 2. Basic Results

We now begin a study of some of the properties of the class of special $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ near-rings.

THEOREM 3.3. Let ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ) be a special $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ nearring determined by a. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(a) ( $\mathrm{N},+,{ }^{*}$ ) is commutative,
(b) $a=1$ and $x^{2}=x$ for all $x \varepsilon N$,
(c) 1 is a right identity, hence the identity in ( $\mathrm{N},+,{ }^{*}$ ),
(d) ( $\mathrm{N},+, *, 1$ ) is isomorphic to ( $\mathrm{N},+, \cdot, 1$ ).

PROOF. (a) implies (b). If ( $N,+, *$ ) is
commutative then $x * y=y * x$ for all $x, y \varepsilon N$. So $0=1 * 0=0 * 1=a^{\prime} a 0^{\prime} 01+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) 1=$ 1-a'a. Hence $a^{\prime} a=1$. Then $x * y=$ $a^{\prime} a x^{\prime} x y+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) y=x^{\prime} x y$ for all $x, y \varepsilon N$. Also
$y * x=y^{\prime} y x$ for all $x, y \in N$. Thus $x^{\prime} x y=y^{\prime} y x$ for all $x, y \in N$. Choose $y=1$. Then $x^{\prime} x=x$ for all $x \in N$. Since $x^{\prime} x=x$ we have $x=x\left(x^{\prime} x\right)=x x=x^{2}$ for all $x \varepsilon N$. In particular $a^{2}=a$ and $a=a^{\prime} a^{2}=a^{\prime} a=1$.
(b) implies
(c). Suppose $a=1$ and
$x^{2}=x$ for all $x \in N$. Then $1=a=a^{\prime} a^{2}=a^{\prime} a a=$ $a^{\prime} a 1=a^{\prime} a . \quad$ Let $x \varepsilon N . \quad$ Then $x * 1=a^{\prime} a x^{\prime} x l+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) 1=$ $x^{\prime} x=x^{\prime} x^{2}=x$ for all $x \in N$. Thus 1 is a right identity for $(N,+, *)$. Also $1 * x=a^{\prime} a l^{\prime} 1 x+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) x=$ $a^{\prime} a x+x-a^{\prime} a x=x . \quad$ Thus 1 is a left identity in any special $\beta$ near-ring. Hence, in this case, 1 is the identity for ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ).

## (c) implies (d). Suppose 1 is the

identity in ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ). $\quad$ Then $0=0 * 1=\mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathrm{aO} \mathbf{D}^{\prime} 01+$ $\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) 1=1-a^{\prime} a . \quad$ So $a^{\prime} a=1 . \quad$ Then $x * y=$ $a^{\prime} a x^{\prime} x y+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) y=x^{\prime} x y$ for all $x, y \varepsilon N$. It then follows that $x=x * 1=x^{\prime} x 1=x^{\prime} x$ for all $x$ in $N$ and $x * y=x^{\prime} x y=\left(x^{\prime} x\right) y=x y$ for all $x, y \in N$.

Hence, ( $\mathrm{N},+, *, 1$ ) is isomorphic to ( $\mathrm{N},+, \cdot \mathrm{l}$ ).
(d) implies (a). Suppose ( $\mathrm{N},+, *, 1$ ) is isomorphic to ( $\mathrm{N},+, \cdot, 1$ ). Then $*$ is commutative since - is commutative.

THEOREM 3.4. Let ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ) be a special $\beta$ nearring determined by a. Then ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ) is a C-ring if and only if a'a = 1 .

PROOF. Suppose ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ) is a C-ring. Then $0=1 * 0=0 * 1=a \prime a 0 \prime 01+(1-a \prime a) 1=1-a^{\prime} a$. Thus a'a =1. Conversely, suppose a'a $=1 . \quad$ Then $0 * x=a^{\prime} a 0^{\prime} 0 x+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) x=0$ for all $x \varepsilon N$. Hence, ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ) is a C-ring.

THEOREM 3.5. Let ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ) be a special $\beta$ nearring determined by a. Then ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ) is distributively generated if and only if ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ) is a ring.

PROOF. If ( $N,+, *$ ) is a ring then ( $N,+, *$ ) is distributively generated. So consider the converse. Suppose ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ) is distributively generated. Recall that ( $\mathrm{N},+$ ) is abelian. Then by Theorem 2.9, ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ) is a ring.

THEOREM 3.6. Let $(N,+, *)$ be a special $\beta$ near-ring determined by $a . \quad$ Then $P(1-a \cdot a)$ is the maximal sub-Z-ring, $N_{z}$, and $P(a)$ is the maximal sub-C-ring, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$.

PROOF. $\quad$ Recall $N_{z}=\{x \in N: n * x=x$ for all $n \varepsilon N\}$ and $N_{c}=\{x \varepsilon N: 0 * x=0\}$ We first show $P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)=N_{z}$. Let $x \mathcal{E}\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$. Then $x=\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) x$. For any $n \varepsilon N$, $n * x=a^{\prime} a n^{\prime} n x+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) x=a^{\prime} a n^{\prime} n\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) x+x=x$. Thus $x \in N_{z}$ and $P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \subset N_{Z}$. Now let $x$ be any element in $N_{z}$. Then for all $n \in N, n * x=x$. So take $n=1-a^{\prime} a$. This produces $x=\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \neq x=a^{\prime} a\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)^{\prime}\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) x+$ $\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) x . \quad$ Since $\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)^{2}=\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right),\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)^{\prime}\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)=$ (1-a'a). Then $x=\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \star x=a^{\prime} a\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) x+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) x=$ (1-a'a)x. Thus, $x \in P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$. Hence, $N_{z} \subset P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$. Therefore, by double inclusion $P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)=N_{z}$. Now we show $P(a)=N_{c}$. Let $x \in P(a)$. Then $x=a^{\prime} a x . \quad$ So $0 * x=a^{\prime} a 0^{\prime} 0 x+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) x=x-a^{\prime} a x=x-x=0$. So $x \quad \varepsilon N_{c}$ and $P(a)<N_{c}$. Now let $x$ be any element in $N_{c}$. Then $0 * x=0$. So $0=0 * x=a^{\prime} a 0^{\prime} 0 x+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) x$. Thus $x=a^{\prime} a x . \quad$ Therefore, $x \in P(a)$ and $N_{c} \subset P(a)$. Hence, by double inclusion $P(a)=N_{c}$.

LEMMA 3.7. Let $(N,+, \star)$ be a special $\beta$ nearring determined by $a$. If $t \varepsilon N$ then $(P(t),+, *)$ is a left ideal of ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ).

PROOF. From previous results we know that $P(t),+$ ) is a normal subgroup of $(N,+)$. Let $x \in P(t)$ and $n \varepsilon N$. Then since $x=t^{\prime} t x$ we have $(n * x) t^{\prime} t=$ $\left[a^{\prime} a n^{\prime} n x+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) x\right] t^{\prime} t=a^{\prime} a n^{\prime} n x t^{\prime} t+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) x t^{\prime} t=$ $a^{\prime} a n^{\prime} n x+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) x=n * x$. Thus, $n * x \varepsilon P(t)$
and $(P(t),+, *)$ is a left ideal of ( $N,+, *)$.

LEMMA 3.8. Let $(N,+, *)$ be a special $\beta$ nearring determined by a. If (S, + , *) is a left ideal of ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ) then for any $t \varepsilon N,(S(t),+, *)$ is a left ideal of ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ).

PROOF. From previous results we know that $(S(t),+)$ is a normal subgroup of ( $N,+$ ). Let $x \in S(t)$ and $n \varepsilon N$. Then $x=s t ' t$ for some $s E S$. Since $S$ is a left ideal of $(N,+, *), n * s=a^{\prime} a n^{\prime} n s+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) s$ belongs to $S$. Hence, $n * x=a^{\prime} a n^{\prime} n x+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) x=$ $a^{\prime} a n^{\prime} n s t^{\prime} t+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) s t^{\prime} t=\left[a^{\prime} a n^{\prime} n s+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) s\right] t^{\prime} t=$ ( $n * s$ ) t't belongs to $S(t)$. Thus ( $S(t),+, *$ ) is a left ideal of ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ).

THEOREM 3.9. Let $(N,+, *)$ be a special $\beta$ nearring determined by a. If (S, + , *) is a left ideal of $(N,+, *)$ then $S=S\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \Theta S(a)$, a direct sum of left ideals of ( $N, f, *$ ). Conversely, if $U \subset P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$ and $V \subset P(a)$ are left ideals of ( $N,+, *$ ) then $U \oplus \in$ is a left ideal of ( $N,+, *$ ).

PROOF. Let $(S,+, *)$ be a left ideal of ( $N,+, *$ ). Then by Lemma 3.8, (S (1-a'a),t,*) and (S(a),t,*) are left ideals of $(N,+, *)$. Now we have the result that $S\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \cap S(a) \subset P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \cap P(a)=0$.

Let $x \in S\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) . \quad T h e n x=s\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$ for some $s \in S$. Since ( $\mathrm{S},+, *$ ) is a left ideal of $(N,+, *), 0 * s=$ $\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) s=x \varepsilon S . \quad$ Thus $S\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \subset S$.

Now let $x$ be any element of $S(a)$. Then $x=s a ' a$ for some $s \mathcal{E} . \quad$ Now $s-\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) * s \varepsilon S$ since $(S,+, *)$ is a left ideal of ( $N,+, *$ ). But (1-a'a) * $s=$ $a^{\prime} a\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)^{\prime}\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) s+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) s=a^{\prime} a\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) s+\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) s$ since (1-a'a)' (1-a'a) =1-a'a. Thus (1-a'a)*s= $-a^{\prime} a s+s=-x+s . \quad$ Hence, $x=s-\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) * s \varepsilon S$. Therefore, $S(a) \subset S . \quad$ Clearly, then, $S\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \boldsymbol{S} \boldsymbol{S}(a) \subset S$. We now show these two sets are actually equal by showing the complementary inclusion. To this end let $s \mathcal{E}$. Then $s=\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) s+a^{\prime} a s . \quad N o w\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) s \varepsilon S\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$ and a'as $\varepsilon S(a)$. Hence, $s \in S(1-a ' a) \in S(a)$ and $S \subset S\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \oplus S(a) . \quad$ The two inclusions show that $S=S\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \oplus S(a)$, a direct sum of left ideals of (N,+,*).

Conversely, suppose $U \subset P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$ and $V \subset P(a)$
are left ideals of ( $N,+, *$ ). Then we have the result $\mathrm{U} \cap \mathrm{V} \subset \mathrm{P}\left(1-a^{\prime} \mathrm{a}\right) \cap \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{a})=0$. $\quad$ So $\mathrm{U} \oplus \mathrm{V}$ is at least a direct sum of left ideals. Now let $n \varepsilon N$ and
 $n *(u+v)=n * u+n * v \varepsilon U \oplus V \operatorname{since}(U,+, *)$ and ( $\mathrm{V},+, *$ ) are left ideals of ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ). Therefore, $(U \oplus V,+, *)$ is a left ideal of ( $\mathrm{N},+, *$ ) .

THEOREM 3.10. Let $(N,+, *)$ be a special $\beta$ nearring determined by a. Let ( $\mathrm{R},+, *$ ) and (S,+,*) be ideals of $(N,+, *)$. Then $N=R \oplus S$ if and only if $P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)=R\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \operatorname{S}\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$ and $P(a)=R(a) \oplus S(a)$.

```
PROOF. If P(1 - a'a) = R(1 - a'a) © S(1 - a'a)
```

and $P(a)=R(a) \oplus S(a)$ then $N=P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \oplus P(a)=$ R(1-a'a) $\boldsymbol{\oplus} S\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \oplus R(a) \oplus S(a)=$ $R\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \oplus R(a) \oplus S\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \oplus S(a)=R \oplus S$.

Conversely, suppose $N=R \oplus S$ where $R$ and $S$ are ideals of ( $N,+, *$ ). $\quad$ Then $N=R\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$ ( $R(a) \oplus S\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \oplus S(a)=R\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \oplus S\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \oplus$ $R(a) \oplus S(a) . \quad$ From Chapter II, we know that $R\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \subset P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$ and $S\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \subset P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$. Thus R(1-a'a) $\boldsymbol{\oplus} \mathbf{S ( 1 - a ^ { \prime } a )} \subset \mathbf{P}\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) . \quad$ Also $\mathbf{R}(\mathrm{a}) \subset \mathbf{P}(\mathrm{a})$ and $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{a}) \subset \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{a})$ so $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{a}) \oplus \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{a}) \subset \mathbf{P}(\mathrm{a})$. Now let $x \mathcal{E}(\mathrm{a})$. Then $\mathrm{x} \mathcal{N}=\mathrm{R} \oplus \mathrm{S}$ so $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{r}+\mathrm{s}$ where $r \in R$ and $s \varepsilon S$. Thus $x=x^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} a=(r+s) a^{\prime} a=$ $r a^{\prime} a+s a^{\prime} a \varepsilon R(a) \oplus S(a) . \quad H e n c e, P(a) \subset R(a) \oplus S(a)$. The two inclusions show $P(a)=R(a) \oplus S(a)$. Similarly, suppose $x \in P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) . \quad T h e n x=x\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) . \quad$ Since $\mathbf{x} \mathcal{E} \mathbf{N}=\mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{s}$ where $\mathbf{r} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{s} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mathbf{S}$. Then $x=x\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)=(r+s)\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)-$ $r\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)+s\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \varepsilon R\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \Theta S\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$.

Hence, $P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \subset R\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \oplus S\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$. The two inclusions show $P\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)=R\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right) \oplus S\left(1-a^{\prime} a\right)$.

## 3. Two Decomposition Theorems

In this section we present two decomposition theorems for special $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ near-rings. In the first theorem we do not claim that the component near-rings in the decomposition are subdirectly irreducible. We do, however, show explicitly the multiplication in the component near-rings. In the second theorem the component near-rings are subdirectly irreducible.

THEOREM 3.11. Let $(N,+, *)$ be a special $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ nearring determined by a where the base ring has at least two elements. Then $N$ is isomorphic to a subdirect sum of near-rings $N_{i}$ each of which is one of the following two types:
(a) $\quad\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}},+{ }^{*}{ }_{i}\right)$ is a small near-ring where $\left(N_{i},+\right)$ is the additive group of a field and $0 *_{i} y_{i}=0$ for all $y_{i} \in N_{i}$ and $x_{i} *_{i} y_{i}=y_{i}$ for all $y_{i} \mathcal{E} N_{i}$ if $x_{i} \neq 0$,
(b) $\quad\left(N_{i},+,{ }_{i}\right)$ is a small
near-ring where $\left(N_{i},+\right)$ is the additive group of a field and $x_{i} *_{i} y_{i}=y_{i}$ for all $y_{i} \varepsilon N_{i}$.

$$
\text { PROOF. Let }(N,+, *) \text { be a special } \beta \text { near-ring }
$$

determined by a where the base ring $(N,+, \cdot, 1)$ is a commutative regular ring with at least two elements. Then by Theorem 2.38 N is isomorphic to a subdirect sum of fields $F_{i}$ for in some index set I. If $x \varepsilon N$ then $x=\left[x_{i}\right]_{i \varepsilon I} \quad$ where $x_{i} \varepsilon N_{i}$ and $N_{i}=F_{i}$. Hence, $(N,+, *)$ is isomorphic to a subdirect sum of nearrings $N_{i}$ where $i \in I$ and each $N_{i}$ is the near-ring ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i}},+,{ }_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{i}}$ ) where $*_{i}$ is some multiplication determined by $*$ as in the proof of Theorem 2.39. Let $a=$ $\left[a_{i}\right]_{i \varepsilon I} \quad$ and $b=\left[b_{i}\right]_{i \varepsilon I} \quad$ where $b=1-a ' a$. Since $b^{2}=b$ and $b_{i}$ is in the field $F_{i}$ for each $i \varepsilon I$, $b_{i}$ must be 0 or 1 for each $i \varepsilon I$. Since $a b=0$, $(a b)_{i}=a_{i} b_{i}=0$ for all $i$ ع . Thus $a_{i}=0$ if $b_{i}=1$. Also since $b+a^{\prime} a=1,\left(a^{\prime} a\right)_{i}=a_{i}^{\prime} a_{i}=1$ if $b_{i}=0$ and $a_{i} a_{i}=0$ if $b_{i}=1$.

Let $i \mathcal{E}$ and consider $N_{i}$. There are two cases corresponding to $b_{i}=0$ and $b_{i}=1$. First suppose $b_{i}=0$. Then $a_{i}^{\prime} a_{i}=1$. For $x=\left[x_{i}\right]_{i \varepsilon I ~ a n d ~}$ $y=\left[y_{i}\right]_{i \in I} \quad$ elements of $N, x * y=\left[(x * y)_{i}\right]_{i \& I}$ Then $x_{i} *_{i} y_{i}=(x * y)_{i}=a_{i}^{\prime} a_{i} x_{i}^{\prime} x_{i} y_{i}+\left(1-a_{i}^{\prime} a_{i}\right) y_{i}=$ $x_{i} x_{i} y_{i}$. If $x_{i}=0$ then $x_{i} *_{i} y_{i}=0$. If we denote the near-ring $N_{i}$ by $\left(N_{i},+, *_{i}\right)$ then $0 *_{i} y_{i}=0$ for all $y_{i} \mathcal{E} N_{i}$. If $x_{i} \neq 0$ then $x_{i}^{\prime}=x_{i}^{-1}$. Thus $x_{i} x_{i}=1$. So $x_{i} *_{i} y_{i}=$ $x_{i} x_{i} y_{i}=y_{i}$ for all $y_{i} \varepsilon N_{i}$. Note that $\left(N_{i},+, *_{i}\right)$ is a smal 1
near-ring.
Now consider the case $b_{i}=1$. Then,
as stated above, $a_{i}=0$. So $x_{i} *_{i} y_{i}=(x * y)_{i}=$ $a_{i}^{\prime} a_{i} x_{i}^{\prime} x_{i} y_{i}+\left(1-a_{i}^{\prime} a_{i}\right) y_{i}=y_{i}$ for all $y_{i} \varepsilon N_{i}$. Again we note that $\left(N_{i},+, *_{i}\right)$ is a small near-ring.

The second decomposition theorem to
which we have reference has been stated earlier as Theorem 2.40. It is due to Ligh [11].

## CHAPTER IV

## WEAKLY COMMUTATIVE REGULAR NEAR-RINGS

## 1. Introduction

In this chapter we study the class of weakly commutative regular near-rings. The special regular near-rings of Chapter II and the special $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ near-rings of Chapter III were weakly commutative regular nearrings. Thus the results of this chapter apply to those classes of near-rings studied in Chapter II and Chapter III. Ratliff [18] studied a class of near-rings which he called $V$ near-rings. A nearring $N$ is a $\mathcal{V}$ near-ring if and only if for every $x \in N$ there exists an integer $n(x)>1$ such that $x^{n(x)}=x$ and for all $x, y, z \varepsilon N, x y z=y x z$. Every $\beta$ near-ring is a $\nu$ near-ring and, thus, Ratliff's work generalized some of the results of Ligh [11]. Any $\mathcal{V}$ near-ring is a weakly commutative regular nearring and so the results which we obtain here generalize corresponding results for $\mathcal{V}$ near-rings established by Ratliff [18].

Discussions in this chapter, unlike those in earlier chapters, will involve only one mathematical system and, hence, only one multiplication. For this reason we shall use the abbreviated notation $N$ to denote a nearring ( $\mathrm{N},+{ }^{\bullet}$ ) without ambiguity. Also the letter "a" will not have the special significance here that it had in earlier chapters. We shall continue to use the prime notation to denote a regularity companion of a given element.

## 2. Main Results

Let $N$ be a near-ring and $x \in N$. Define $A_{X}=\{a \varepsilon N: x a=0\}$. Thus $A_{X}$ is the set of right annihilators of $x$ in $N$. The set $A_{x}$ is always a right ideal $[18]$. The first theorem is due to Szeto $[19]$.

THEOREM 4.1. If $N$ is a weakly commutative near-ring and $x \in N$ then $A_{x}$ is an ideal of $N$.

LEMMA 4.2. Let $N$ be a near-ring and e $\varepsilon N$. If e is regular and $A_{e}=0$ then $e^{\prime} e$ is a left identity.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { PROOF. } \quad \text { Let } x \varepsilon N \text {. Then } e\left(e^{\prime} e x-x\right)= \\
& \text { ee'ex }-x=e x-e x=0 \text {. Thus } e^{\prime} e x-x \varepsilon A_{e} \\
& \text { Since } A_{e}=0, e^{\prime} e x-x=0 \text { and } e^{\prime} e x=x \text { for all } \\
& x \in N . \quad H e n c e, e^{\prime} e \text { is a left identity. }
\end{aligned}
$$

THEOREM 4.3. Let $N$ be a regular near-ring. If e $\varepsilon N$ is a right identity then $e$ is an identity.

PROOF. Let $x \in A_{e}$. Then $e x=0$ and $x=x x^{\prime} x=$ $x\left(x^{\prime} e\right) x=x x^{\prime}(e x)=x x^{\prime} 0=0 . \quad$ Thus $A_{e}=0 . \quad$ By Lemma 4.2, $e^{\prime} e$ is a left identity. But since $e$ is a right identity $e^{\prime} e=e^{\prime} . \quad$ Thus $e^{\prime}$ is a left identity. $\quad$ Then $e^{\prime} e=e$. Hence, $e$ is a left identity and, therefore, the identity in $N$.

THEOREM 4.4. Let $N$ be a subdirectly irreducible regular near-ring. If $A_{x}$ is an ideal for every $x \in N$, then $N$ has a left identity.

PROOF. If $N=0$, the result follows. Suppose
$N \neq 0$. Define $R=\left\{x \varepsilon N: A_{x} \neq 0\right\}$. If $R$ is empty then $A_{X}=0$ for every $x \in N$. Thus by Lemma 4.2, for every $x \in N$, $x^{\prime} x$ is a left identity and the proof is complete. Therefore, suppose $R$ is not empty. Then define $A=\bigcap\left\{A_{x}: x \in R\right\}$. Now $A$ is nonzero since $N$ is subdirectly irreducible. Let $x \in A$ such that $x \neq 0$. Assume that $R$ is all of $N$. In that case $\mathbf{x} \varepsilon A_{y}$ for all $y \in N$. In particular, $x \in A_{x x}$.. Thus $x=x x^{\prime} x=0$ which contradicts the fact that $x$ was chosen to be nonzero. Hence $R$ must not be all of $N$. There must exist some e $\mathcal{N}$ such that $A_{e}=0$. Since
e is regular we have by Lemma 4.2 that $e^{\prime} e$ is a left identity.

COROLLARY 4.5. Any subdirectly irreducible weakly commutative regular near-ring $N$ has a left identity.

PROOF. By Theorem 4.1, $A_{x}$ is an ideal for every $x \in N$. Then by Theorem 4.4, $N$ has a left identity.

THEOREM 4.6. Let $N$ be a subdirectly irreducible weakly commutative regular near-ring and let a be a nonzero element of $N$. If $A_{a} \neq 0$ then ay $=0$ for all y $\varepsilon N$ and $A_{a}=A_{0}$.

> PROOF. $\quad$ Let $R=\left\{x \in N: A_{x} \neq 0\right\}$ and define $A=\bigcap\left\{A_{x}: x \varepsilon R\right\} \cdot \quad$ Note that $R$ is not empty since, by hypothesis, a $\varepsilon R . \quad$ Also $A \neq 0$ since $N$ is subdirectly irreducible. Let $w$ be a nonzero element of $A$. Since $w \varepsilon A_{x}$ for all $\times \varepsilon R, x w=0$ for all $\times \varepsilon R$ and, in particular, $a w=0$. If $A_{w} \neq 0$ then $w \in A$ and $A \subset A_{W}$. Now by Theorem 4.1, $A_{w}$ is an ideal. So $w^{\prime} w \in A_{w}$ if $w \in A_{w}$. Hence $w=w\left(w^{\prime} w\right)=0$. This contradicts the fact that $w$ was chosen to be nonzero. Therefore, it must be that $A_{w}=0$. Then by Lemma 4.2, $w^{\prime} w$ is a left identity. Also $a w^{\prime} w=w^{\prime} a w=w^{\prime} 0=0$. Now let y $\mathcal{E}$. Then $a y=a\left(w^{\prime} w y\right)=\left(a w^{\prime} w\right) y=0 y$. Since $a y=0$ if and only if $0 y=0$, it is clear that $A_{a}=A_{0}$.

COROLLARY 4.7. Let N be a subdirectly irreducible weakly commutative regular near-ring such that $0 N=0$. Then (a) For every nonzero $x \mathcal{N}, A_{x}=0$ and, hence, $x^{\prime} x$ is a left identity, (b) $N$ has no nonzero zero divisors.

PROOF. If $N=0$ the conclusions are obvious.
Suppose $N \neq 0$. Let $x$ be any nonzero element of $N$. If $A_{x} \neq 0$ then by Theorem 4.6, $x y=0 y$ for all y $\mathcal{E}$. But $0 \mathrm{~N}=0$. Thus $0 \mathrm{y}=0$ for all $\mathrm{y} \varepsilon \mathrm{N}$ and so $\mathrm{xy}=0$ for all $y$ in $N$. Choose $y=x^{\prime} x$. Then $x=x\left(x^{\prime} x\right)=0$ which contradicts the fact that $x$ was chosen to be nonzero. Hence $A_{x}=0$ and by Lemma 4.2, $x$ ' $x$ is a left identity.

Now let $a, b \varepsilon N$ such that $a b=0$. If a $\neq 0$ then the preceding argument shows a'a is a left identity. Thus $b=\left(a^{\prime} a\right) b=a^{\prime}(a b)=$ a'0 $=0$. So there are no left zero divisors. On the other hand, if $b \neq 0$ then $b ' b$ is a left identity. Thus $a=b^{\prime} b a=b^{\prime} b a a^{\prime} a=b^{\prime} a b a ' a=b^{\prime} 0 a^{\prime} a=0 . \quad$ So N has no nonzero zero divisors.

The following theorem is due to Frbhlich [9].

THEOREM 4.8. Let N be a distributively generated near-ring with identity. Then each of the
following conditions is necessary and sufficient for $N$ to be a ring:
(a) $N$ is distributive,
(b) ( $\mathrm{N},+$ ) is commutative.

THEOREM 4.9. Let $N$ be a subdirectly irreducible weakly commutative regular near-ring such that $O N=0$. If there is a nonzero element, $e$, in $N$ such that for every nonzero $x \in N, x^{\prime} x=e$, then $N$ is a field.

PROOF. Let $x$ and $y$ be nonzero eiements of $N$. Then $x y=x\left(y y^{\prime} y\right)=x y\left(y^{\prime} y\right)=x y e=y x e=y x\left(x^{\prime} x\right)=$ $y\left(x x^{\prime} x\right)=y x . \quad$ Since $0 x=0$ for all $x \mathcal{E}$, it is clear that if either $x$ or $y$ is zero then $x y=y x$. Thus ( $N, \cdot$ ) is commutative and the right distributive law holds in $N$. Hence, $N$ is distributively generated. By Corollary 4.7, $N$ has a left identity which, by commutativity, is a right identity. This identity is $x^{\prime} x$ where $x$ is any nonzero element. But since $x^{\prime} x=e$ for every nonzero $x$, the identity is e. Thus N is a distributively generated near-ring with identity which is distributive. Then by Theorem 4.8, $N$ is a ring.

By Corollary 4.7, $N$ has no nonzero zero divisors. Thus $N$ is an integral domain with identity e. Also, every nonzero $x$ has a multiplicative inverse, namely $x^{\prime}$,
since $x^{\prime} x=e . \quad$ So $N$ is a field.
The following theorem due to Fain [7] is stated here for future reference.

THEOREM 4.10. Every near-ring $N$ is isomorphic to a subdirect sum of subdirectly irreducible near-rings $N_{i}$.

The following definition is due to Ratliff $[18]$. A near-ring $N$ is almost small if and only if the set $\left\{A_{X}: x \in N\right\}$ contains at most two distinct elements.

THEOREM 4.11. Every weakly commutative regular near-ring $N$ is isomorphic to a subdirect sum of subdirectly irreducible weakly commutative regular near-rings $N_{i}$ where each $N_{i}$ is one of the following two types:
(a) $N_{i}$ is a field,
(b) $\quad N_{i}$ is almost small.

PROOF. By Theorem 4.10, $N$ is isomorphic to a subdirect sum of subdirectly irreducible near-rings $N_{i}$. Each $N_{i}$ is a homomorphic image of $N$. It is immediate that weak commutativity and regularity are preserved under near-ring homomorphisms. Thus each $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is a weakly commutative regular near-ring. We consider three cases.
(1) $\quad 0 N_{i}=0$ and there is a nonzero
$e \varepsilon N_{i}$ such that for every nonzero $x \in N_{i}, x^{\prime} x=e$.

Then by Theorem 4.9, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is a field.
(2) $\quad 0 N_{i}=0$ and there does not exist a nonzero e $\mathcal{E} N_{i}$ such that for every nonzero $x \in N_{i}, x^{\prime} x=e$. Since $0 N_{i}=0$ we have $A_{0}=N_{i}$. By Corollary 4.7, for every nonzero $x \in N_{i}, A_{x}=0$. Thus $\left\{A_{x}: x \in N_{i}\right\}=\left\{0, N_{i}\right\}$. Thus, $N_{i}$ is almost small.
(3) $\quad 0 N_{i} \neq 0$. Let $x \in N_{i} . \quad$ If $x=0$, then $A_{x}=A_{0}$. If $x \neq 0$ then either $A_{x}=0$ or $A_{x} \neq 0$. If $A_{x} \neq 0$ we have by Theorem 4.6 that $A_{x}=A_{0}$. Thus if $x \neq 0$ then either $A_{x}=0$ or $A_{x}=A_{0}$. In either case we conclude that $N_{i}$ is almost small.

THEOREM 4.12. Let $N$ be a subdirectly irreducible weakly commutative regular near-ring with a nonzero right distributive element r. Then $N$ is a field.

PROOF. Let $r$ be a nonzero right distributive element in $N$. Then $0 r=(0+0) r=0 r+0 r . \quad$ Hence, $0 r=0$. If $A_{r} \neq 0$ then by Theorem 4.6, ry $=0 y$ for all $y \in N . \quad$ Then $r=r\left(r^{\prime} r\right)=0 r^{\prime} r=r^{\prime} 0 r=r^{\prime} 0=0$ which contradicts the fact that $r$ is nonzero. Thus $A_{r}=0$ and by Lemma 4.2, r'r is a left identity.

$$
\text { Define } L_{r}=\{a \varepsilon N: a r=0\} . \quad \text { Then }
$$

$L_{r}$ is the set of left annihilators of $r$. We know $L_{r}$ is not empty since $0 \mathcal{E} L_{r}$. Let $x, y \varepsilon L_{r}$ and $m, n \in N$. Then $(x-y) r=x r+(-y) r=x r+(-y) r+y r-y r=$
$\mathrm{xr}+(-\mathrm{y}+\mathrm{y}) \mathbf{r}-\mathrm{yr}=\mathrm{xr}+0 \mathbf{r}-\mathrm{yr}=\mathrm{xr}-\mathrm{yr}=0-0=0$. Thus $x-y \varepsilon L_{r}$ and $\left(L_{r},+\right.$ ) is a subgroup of ( $N,+$ ). Also $(-n+x+n) r=(-n) r+x r+n r=(-n) r+0+n r=$ $(-n) \mathbf{r}+\mathbf{n r}=(-n+\mathbf{n}) \mathbf{r}=\mathbf{O r}=\mathbf{0} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{r}}$. Thus $-\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{n \varepsilon} \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{r}}$ and ( $L_{r},+$ ) is a normal subgroup of ( $N,+$ ). Now ( $n x$ ) $r=$ $n(x r)=n 0=0 \varepsilon L_{r}$. So $L_{r}$ is a left ideal. Finally, $[(m+x) n-m n] r=(m+x) n r+(-m n) r=n(m+x) r+(-m n) r=$ $n(m r+x r)+(-m n) r=n(m r+0)+(-m n) r=n m r+(-m n) r=$ $(m n) r+(-m n) r=(m n-m n) r=0 r=0 \varepsilon L_{r} \quad$ So $(m+x) n-$ $m n \varepsilon L_{r}$ and $L_{r}$ is an ideal.

$$
\text { Now define } R=\left\{x \in N: A_{x} \neq 0\right\}
$$

Since $0 r=0$, r $\varepsilon A_{0}$. Thus $A_{0}$ contains a nonzero element so $A_{0} \neq 0$. Hence, $0 \varepsilon R$ so $R$ is not empty. Define $A=\bigcap\left\{A_{X}: x \in R\right\}$. Since $N$ is subdirectly irreducible $A \neq 0$. Assume $A \cap L_{r} \neq 0$. Let $w$ be a nonzero element of $A \cap L_{r}$. Now $A \cap L_{r}$ is an ideal so $w^{\prime} w \in A \cap L_{r}$. Either $A_{W}=0$ or $A_{W} \neq 0$. We shall show that either case leads to a contradiction and, hence, that $A \cap L_{r}=0$. Suppose $A_{w} \neq 0$. Then $A \subset A_{W}$. So $W^{\prime} W \in A_{W}$. Thus $W=W w^{\prime} W=0$ which is a contradiction. Suppose $A_{w}=0$. Then by Lemma 4.2, $w^{\prime} w$ is a left identity. Thus $r=w^{\prime} w r=0$. since $w^{\circ} w \in L_{r}$. Again we have reached a contradiction so we are forced to conclude that $A \cap L_{r}=0$. If $L_{r} \neq 0$ then since $A \neq 0, A \cap L_{r} \neq 0$ because $N$ is subdirectly irreducible. But $A \cap L_{r}=0$. Hence, we must have $L_{r}=0$.

Now let $y \in N$. If $y=0$ then $y r=0$. Conversely, if $y r=0$ then $y \in L_{r}$. Hence $y=0$. Therefore, $y r=0$ if and only if $y=0$. Now let $x$ by any element in $N$.
 So $x r^{\prime} r-x=0$ and $x r^{\prime} r=x$ for all $x \varepsilon N$. Hence, $r^{\prime} r$ is a right identity. Since r'r is also a left identity, r'r is the identity element in $N$. Now let $x, y \varepsilon N$. Then $x y=x y r^{\prime} r=y x r^{\prime} r=y x$. Thus ( $\left.N, \cdot\right)$ is commutative. This implies that $N$ is distributive and, therefore, distributively generated. Then by Theorem 4.8, N is a ring and, hence, a commutative ring with identity. Since N is distributive $\mathrm{ON}=0$. Then by Corollary 4.7 N has no nonzero zero divisors and is, therefore, an integral domain with identity. $\quad$ Since $\mathbf{r} \neq 0, \mathbf{r}^{\mathbf{\prime}} \mathbf{r} \neq 0$ for otherwise $r=r\left(r^{\prime} r\right)=r 0=0$. Now let $x$ be any nonzero element of N. By Corollary 4.7, x'x is a left identity. Hence $x^{\prime} x=r{ }^{\prime} r$. Then by Theorem 4.9, $N$ is a field.

COROLLARY 4.13. Let $N$ be a subdirectly irreducible weakly commutative regular near-ring with a nonzero right identity e. Then $N$ is a field.

PROOF. The result follows from Theorem 4.12 since e is a nonzero right distributive element.

THEOREM 4.14. Let $N$ be a weakly commutative regular near-ring. $N$ is a commutative ring if and only if every nonzero homomorphic image of $N$ contains a nonzero right distributive element.

PROOF. If $N=0$, the conclusion follows. Suppose $N \neq 0$. If $N$ is a commutative ring then every nonzero homomorphic image of $N$ is commutative and, thus, contains a nonzero right distributive element. Conversely, suppose every nonzero homomorphic image of N contains a nonzero right distributive element. Then by Theorem 4.11, N is isomorphic to a subdirect sum of subdirectly irredraible weakly commutative regular near-rings $N_{i} \cdot \quad$ By hypothesis each $N_{i}$ contains a nonzero right distributive element. Then by Theorem 4.12 each $N_{i}$ is a field. Hence, the direct sum of the $N_{i}$ is a commutative ring. Since $N$ is isomorphic to a subring of this direct sum, $N$ is a commutative ring.
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