# THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA

# GRADUATE COLLEGE

THE HELMINTH FAUNA OF THE FECTORAL SANDPIPER (<u>EROLIA MELANOTOS</u>) WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO THE EFFECTS OF MIGRATION

# THE HELMINTH FAUNA OF THE PECTORAL SANDPIPER (<u>EROLIA MELANOTOS</u>) WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO THE EFFECTS OF MIGRATION

# A THESIS

### SUBMITTED TO THE GRADUATE FACULTY

in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the

degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

BY

DAN RAE HARLOW Norman, Oklahoma

UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA LIBRARY

# THE HELMINTH FAUNA OF THE PECTORAL SANDPIPER (EROLIA MELANOTOS) WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE

### TO THE EFFECTS OF MIGRATION

# A THESIS

# APPROVED FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF ZOOLOGY

Dr. George M. Sutton for manuscript reading and help in matters concerning the host; to Dr. Harley P. Brown for his valuable manuscript criticisms; and to Dr. Carl D. Riggs, Director of the University of Oklahoma Biological Station, for use of its facilities and for an NSP Grantin-Aid.

Collection of hosts was facilitated by: Mr. Leland Roberts and Mr. Ray Clepper of the Oklahoma Fish Hatchery #9 at Durant, Oklahoma; Mr. Russell Hornbeck of the U. S. Fish Cultural Station at Tishomingo, Oklahoma; and Mr. John Reeves of the Sulphur Fish Hatchery at Sulphur, Oklahoma,

BY

and the USDA Index-C Zoology at Beltsvill wood, Dr. Allen McIn

518.16

ture. Mr. T. H. Milby, Interlibrary Loan Librarian at the University of Oklahoma, aided in obtaining the references.

Dr. J. Dan Webster of Hanover College confirmed the <u>Aploparaksis</u> identifications; Dr. Helen Ward of the University of Tennessee examined the scanthocephalan.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to express gratitude to my major professor, Dr. J. Teague Self, whose unfailing interest, guidance and encouragement made this study possible. I am grateful to Dr. George M. Sutton for manuscript reading and help in matters concerning the host; to Dr. Harley P. Brown for his valuable manuscript criticisms; and to Dr. Carl D. Riggs, Director of the University of Oklahoma Biological Station, for use of its facilities and for an NSF Grantin-Aid.

Collection of hosts was facilitated by: Mr. Leland Roberts and Mr. Ray Clepper of the Oklahoma Fish Hatchery #9 at Durant, Oklahoma; Mr. Russell Hornbeck of the U. S. Fish Cultural Station at Tishomingo, Oklahoma; and Mr. John Reeves of the Sulphur Fish Hatchery at Sulphur, Oklahoma.

The staff of the USNM Helminthological Collection and the USDA Index-Catalogue of Medical and Veterinary Zoology at Beltsville, Maryland, especially Mrs. M. B. Chitwood, Dr. Allen McIntosh and Mr. W. W. Becklund, were helpful in identifying the parasites and reviewing the literature. Mr. T. H. Milby, Interlibrary Loan Librarian at the University of Oklahoma, aided in obtaining the references.

iii

Dr. J. Dan Webster of Hanover College confirmed the <u>Aploparaksis</u> identifications; Dr. Helen Ward of the University of Tennessee examined the acanthocephalan.

I express special appreciation to Mr. J. David Ligon and Dr. Pierce Brodkorb of the University of Florida and Mrs. Roxie Laybourne of the U. S. National Museum who generously aided in determining the age of the fall hosts.

THE HOST MATERIALS AND METHODS RESULTS DISCUSSION SUMMARY SUTERATURE CITED

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

																					Page
LIST OF TA	BLE	S		•			•	a	•	•			•		•		•		•	•	vi
INTRODUCTI	ON		•		•	•				•	8			•	•				•	a	l
THE HOST	ø		•		ø	•	0	ø	Q	æ		•		٥			•		•	•	2
MATERIALS	AND	IV	Œſ	CHO	DDS	3		•	•		ø	•	٥	•	•	•		•		•	2
RESULTS		•		•	•	00	•	•	•	•	•				•	•	÷	•	•	•	3
DISCUSSION	r gal	•	•	•	•			ø	•			•		•	•	•	•	8.	•	•	6
SUMMARY	•	•		•	•			•	•	•	•			•		•			ø		8
LITERATURE	CI	TE	D																		9

LIST OF TABLES Table Page

- 1. Parasitism in Spring and Fall Collections
  of Erolia melanotos. Spring Collection =
  81 Hosts; Fall Collection = 43 Hosts . . . . 5
- 2. Chi-square Test of Differences in Spring and Fall Collections

bird "acquires" and "loses" species of parasites along its migratory route due to coological changes (thus transmitting species from one region to another), then it will have a constantly changing parasite fauna throughout its migration. A comparison of the parasite faunas of <u>E. melanotos</u> at two different points of its migratory route should reveal differences if the above is true. For the study, two collections of the host were made in the same secgraphical area (but different points of the migratory route), once as it migrated through Oklahoma in the spring (going north) and again in the fall (going south). The specific goal was to determine if quantitative and quali-

#### INTRODUCTION

Little is known about the role migratory birds play in the distribution of animal parasites. The small amount of research on this subject has been done by Russian workers (Spasskaya, 1954). Spasskaya theorizes that the migrants are infected with different parasites in different geographical areas. My study was designed to test this hypothesis using a single species of migratory bird, the Pectoral Sandpiper, Erolia melanotos. If a migratory bird "acquires" and "loses" species of parasites along its. migratory route due to ecological changes (thus transmitting species from one region to another), then it will have a constantly changing parasite fauna throughout its migration. A comparison of the parasite faunas of E. melanotos at two different points of its migratory route should reveal differences if the above is true. For the study, two collections of the host were made in the same geographical area (but different points of the migratory route), once as it migrated through Oklahoma in the spring (going north) and again in the fall (going south). The specific goal was to determine if quantitative and quali-

tative differences between the spring and fall helminth faunas of this sandpiper could be demonstrated.

### THE HOST

Several factors are considered in the selection of the Pectoral Sandpiper as the host. A primary consideration is its common occurrence in Oklahoma during both spring and fall migratory periods. Furthermore, during these periods it concentrates in a specific habitat, especially fish hatchery ponds. Its very long migrations range from central South America to northern Canada, Alaska and Siberia (Sutton, 1960) making it an excellent host for studying migration effects on parasite fauna. It exhibits an excellent combination of these and other factors important to a study of this kind.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

One hundred twenty-four hosts were taken in central and southern Oklahoma during two collection periods in 1961. Eighty-one were taken during spring migration, March 25-June 2, forty-three during fall migration, August 8-October 26. All birds were shot, most of them at fish hatcheries where the newly-drained ponds attracted large numbers of shorebirds. They were refrigerated immediately after being shot and when possible, autopsied within 12 hours. Otherwise, they were frozen and autopsied later. Platyhelminths and the acanthocephalan were fixed in FAA and stained with Mayer's Paracarmine, Ranvier's Picro-carmine or Harris' Hematoxylin. The single nematode was fixed in FAA and stored in 70% ethanol.

#### RESULTS

The following seven species of helminths in as many genera were recovered.

#### TREMATODA

Echinoparyphium flexum (Linton, 1892) Prosthogonimus cuneatus (Rudolphi, 1809) Cyclocoelum tringae Stossich, 1902

CESTOIDEA

Trichocephaloides birostrata (Clerc, 1906) Kowalewskiella cingulifera (Krabbe, 1869) Hymenolepis charadrii Yamaguti, 1935

### NEMATODA

Syngamus anterogonimus Ryzhikov, 1949

In addition, undetermined species of cestodes belonging to the genera <u>Aploparaksis</u> Clerc, 1903 (=<u>Haplo-</u> <u>paraxis</u> Fuhrmann, 1908) and <u>Hymenolepis</u> Weinland, 1858 were collected. Identification of species of <u>Aploparaksis</u> is extremely difficult and at least two were recovered. Five specimens of an undetermined species of <u>Hymenolepis</u> were taken. These are believed to be new to science.

The single acanthocephalan recovered was an immature female and unidentifiable. Two cestodes and one trematode could not be identified because of poor preparation.

4

Following, with the names of the workers reporting them, is a list of the helminths previously reported from the Pectoral Sandpiper.

TREMATODA

Cyclocoelum triangularum, Harrah, 1922 Cyclocoelum taxorchis, Joyeux and Baer, 1927 Strigea erolinae, Fisher and Webster, 1954 Unidentified schistosome, McLeod and Little, 1942

CESTOIDEA

Taenia sp., Stiles and Hassall, 1894

NEMATODA

Tetrameres gushanskyi, Gubanov, 1954

All helminths I report are new host records except <u>C. tringae</u> which, according to Dubois, 1959, is synonymous with <u>C. triangularum</u> and <u>C. taxorchis</u>.

A quantitative comparison of the helminths I recovered from spring and fall hosts is given in Table 1. TABLE 1

Parasitism in Spring and Fall Collections of Erolia melanotos Spring Collection = 81 Hosts; Fall Collection = 43 Hosts

Parasites	Percen Host Inf		Percenta infected with 1-3 s	hosts	Number of specimens per infected host		
	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	
TREMATODA							
Echinoparyphium flexum	11.1	7.0	44	33	1-ca. 750	1-60	
Prosthogonimus cuneatus	A sing	le spec:	imen from a	spring ho	ost.		
Cyclocoelum tringae	24.6	0.0	80	0	1-33	0	
CESTOIDEA							
Trichocephaloides birostrata	0.0	4.7	0	100	0	2	
Kowalewskiella cingulifera	21.0	9.3	82	50	1-10	1-65	
Aploparaksis spp.	21.0	44.2	77	68	1-17	1-39	
Hymenolepis charadrii	2.5	0.0	50	0	1-ca. 250	0	
Hymenolepis sp.	2.5	0.0	100	0	1-3	0	
NEMATODA							
Syngamus anterogonimus	A sing	le spec	imen from a	fall host	t.		
ACANTHOCEPHALA		le unide	entifiable i t.	mmature :	female from		
UNIDENTIFIABLE	Two ce	stodes	and one trem	atode fro	om spring ho	osts.	

### DISCUSSION

Since the spring and fall collections differ in size (Table 1), direct comparisons cannot be made. This problem is overcome by testing the spring collection data for each helminth against those of the fall by the Chisquare method. The results of this analysis are given in Table 2. One species, <u>C. tringae</u>, and the genus <u>Aploparaksis</u> show a significant difference between occurrence in spring and fall collections, the other helminths do not.

The absence of <u>C</u>. <u>tringae</u> in the fall has two explanations. One possibility is that the adults which migrated north in the spring lost this parasite during the summer in the arctic breeding ground and were migrating south free of it when collected. Another possibility is that the southward migrants were immature birds which hatched in the arctic breeding grounds during the summer and were migrating south for the first time. The extent of skull ossification indicates that the fall collection consists predominantly or entirely of immature birds. In either case, the absence of <u>C</u>. <u>tringae</u> in the fall hosts indicates that the birds are infected south of Oklahoma.

The infection of immature hosts with <u>Aploparaksis</u> is evidence that the host is infected with this parasite north of Oklahoma. The higher percentage infection by this parasite in the fall migrants could be due to a relatively high susceptibility of juvenile hosts to infection

TABLE 2

Chi-square Test of Differences in Spring and Fall Collections

Parasites	Chi-Square	Probability (p value)	Significant difference between spring and fall collections?
TREMATODA			
Echinoparyphium flexum	0.19	0.71	no
Prosthogonimus cuneatus	-		no
Cyclocoelum tringae	11.24	0.01	yes
CESTOIDEA			
Trichocephaloides birostrata	1.36	0.53	no
Kowalewskiella cingulifera	2.01	0.18	no
Aploparaksis spp.	6.19	0.02	yes
Hymenolepis charadrii	0.22	0.68	no
Hymenolepis sp.	0.22	0.68	no
NEMATODA			
Syngamus anterogonimus	-	0 - 6	no
ACANTHOCEPHALA			shu shu
Unidentified	-	Long	no

by it. However, the fact that both spring and fall migrants are infected indicates that either the birds are infected both north and south of Oklahoma or that some infections obtained in northern territories survive the migration to southern areas and back to Oklahoma. It would indeed be enlightening to examine birds from the northern and southern extremes of their range.

The lack of a significant difference between spring and fall occurrences of <u>E</u>. <u>flexum</u> and <u>K</u>. <u>cingulifera</u> suggests that either the birds are infected in several areas along the migratory route or that the infections are longlived. Larger collections must be made to determine the significance of those helminth infections which occurred only once or twice (less than 5% infection).

#### SUMMARY

Six helminths representing both genus and species records for the Pectoral Sandpiper are reported. In addition, two cestode genera are reported without species designations and are host records. The acanthocephalan is the first reported from this host.

The percentage infection by <u>C</u>. <u>tringae</u> and <u>Aplo-</u> <u>paraksis</u> spp. differs significantly between spring and fall migrants of the host. The hypothesis that the hosts are infected with these parasites only in certain areas of the migratory route could account for these differences.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Dubois, Georges. 1959. Revision des Cyclocoelidae Kossack 1911 (Trematoda). [In French] Rev. Suisse Zool. 66: 67-147.
- Fisher, Frank, and J. Dan Webster. 1954. A new strigeid trematode from the Pectoral Sandpiper. J. Parasitol. 40: 444-445.
- Gubanov, N. M. 1954. Helminths of some economic animals of Okhotsk Sea and the Pacific. [In Russian] Trudy Gel'mint. Lab., Akad. Nauk SSSR 7: 380-381.
- Harrah, Ezra. 1922. North American monostomes primarily from fresh water hosts. Illinois Biol. Monogr. 7: 225-324.
- Joyeux, C. E., and J. G. Baer. 1927. Note sur les Cyclocoelidae (Trématodes). [In French] Bull. Soc. Zool. France 52: 416-434.
- McLeod, J. A., and G. E. Little. 1942. Continued studies on cercarial dermatitis and the family Schistosomatidae in Manitoba. Canad. J. Research, Sect. D 20: 180.
- Spasskaya, L. I. 1954. The seasonal migrations of birds and the distribution of helminths. [In Russian] Trudy Gel'mint. Lab., Akad. Nauk SSSR 7: 274-276.
- Stiles, C. W., and A. Hassall. 1894. A preliminary catalogue of the parasites contained in the collections of the United States Bureau of Animal Industry, United States Army Medical Museum, Biological Department of the University of Pennsylvania (Coll. Leidy) and in Coll. Stiles and Coll. Hassall. Vet. Mag. 1: 245-253, 331-354.
- Sutton, G. M. 1960. Pectoral Sandpiper, life history, p. 304-311. In D. A. Bannerman and G. E. Lodge, The birds of the British Isles, Vol. 9. Oliver and Boyd Ltd., Edinburgh and London.

This volume is the property of the University, but the literary rights of the author are a separate property and must be respected. Passages must not be copied or closely paraphrased without the previous written consent of the author. If the reader obtains any assistance from this volume, he must give proper credit in his own work.

A library which borrows this thesis for use by its patrons is expected to secure the signature of each user.

This thesis by \_\_\_\_\_\_ Dan Rae Harlow \_\_\_\_\_\_ has been used by the following persons, whose signatures attest their acceptance of the above restrictions.

NAME AND ADDRESS

DATE