A GENETIC ANALYSIS OF A HYBRID SWARM BETWEEN TWO PUPFISHES, <u>CYPRINODON</u> <u>PECOSENSIS</u> AND <u>C. VARIEGATUS</u> (CYPRINODONTIDAE): GEOGRAPHICAL PATTERN

Bу

PATRICK JAMES CONNOR " Bachelor of Science University of Oklahoma

Norman, Oklahoma

1980

Submitted to the Faculty of the Graduate College of the Oklahoma State University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of MASTERS OF SCIENCE December, 1987

Thesis 1987 C752g C0P.2

UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

A GENETIC ANALYSIS OF A HYBRID SWARM

BETWEEN TWO PUPFISHES, CYPRINODON

PECOSENSIS AND C. VARIEGATUS

(CYPRINODONTIDAE):

GEOGRAPHICAL

PATTERN

Thesis Approved:

antho dviser 'hoci man am M Dean of the Graduate College

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank first of all my major advisor, Dr. Anthony Echelle, who provided me with guidance, advice, answers, and support throughout my years at O.S.U. Alice Echelle was very helpful by teaching electrophoresis to me. Steven M. Norris and D. Allen Rutherford were instrumental in making the field collections. I wish to acknowledge and thank Gene Wilde, Chris Taylor, and Paul Koenig who helped grind and tag fish. Thanks go to the Department of Zoology especially, Drs. Jerry Wilhm, John Thornton, and Margaret Ewing for providing me with teaching and work-study jobs. The Department of Zoology also provided funds for computer expenses.

Field and laboratory expenses were defrayed with funds from the Office of Endangered Species of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. I would like to thank Mike Hatch of the State of New Mexico Department of Game and Fish for the loan of specimens. Thanks also go to R.F. Martin of the Texas Memorial Museum at the University of Texas at Austin for the loan of paratypes. I am also indebted to the other members of my committee: Drs. Rudolf J. Miller and Stanley F. Fox. Drs. Michael E. Douglas, David Weeks, Bill Warde, and Ms. Iris McPherson were helpful in the statistical analysis of the data and in

iii

other ways. I want to thank David and Tracy Edds for their help. Thanks also go to Laser Images Inc..

I wish to especially thank my parents, Marilyn and Jim Connor, for all of their love, concern, confidence and support. My siblings, Debbie, Candy, Mike, Greg, Kristi, Mitch and their spouses also helped in many ways and I am in their debt. My heartfelt appreciation goes to Alisa Marie Shull for all of her care and encouragement. I want to thank and dedicate this thesis to my grandparents, Bill and Lydia Dietz, who first interested me in fish.

<u>Any dead fish can float downstream.</u> <u>It takes a live one to swim upstream.</u>

W. C. Fields

TABLE OF CONTENTS

							pa	age
INTRODUCTION							•	1
MATERIALS AND METHOD	s				• •		•	4
RESULTS					• •		•	8
DISCUSSION			•••				•	21
LITERATURE CITED		• • •						29
APPENDICES					••		•	35
APPENDIX A -	INDIVII SPECIME	DUAL G ENS SU	SENOTYF JRVEYED	•1C SC	DRES	0F • •		35
APPENDIX B -	PLOT OF VARIEGA AVERAGE	F THE A <u>tus</u> A E clin	FREQUE ALLELES NE	ENCIES 5 AGAI	OF 1 NST 1	ГНЕ ГНЕ • •		44
APPENDIX C -	UNBIASE DISEQU FOR SEE	ED EST ILIBRI LECTED	TIMATES UM COE D LOCAL	S OF L EFFICI LITIES	INKA ENTS	GE		45

ч. ·

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
Ι.	Allele Frequencies at Four Loci	9
ΙΙ.	Alleles Used in Constructing Character- Index Scores	15
111.	Character-Index Scores from the Pecos River, 1985	16
IV.	Values of D' ($D/Dmax$) Estimated in Pupfish in from the Pecos River, 1985	19

A second

LIST OF FIGURES

Figu	re	Page
1.	Map of Localities in Study Area	5
2.	Frequencies of <u>variegatus</u> Alleles	12
з.	Histograms of Character-Index Scores	17
4.	Block Chart of D' values for 54 Combinations of Localities and Gene pairs	20

INTRODUCTION

The Pecos pupfish, <u>Cyprinodon pecosensis</u> (Family <u>Cyprinodontidae</u>), is known only from the saline mid-reaches of the Pecos River and closely associated springs and sinkholes in southeastern New Mexico and West Texas (Echelle and Echelle, 1978). This paper presents an allozymic analysis of the evolutionary change that occurred after a widespread coastal species, the sheepshead minnow, <u>C. variegatus</u>, was introduced into the Texas portion of the Pecos River. The apparent rapidity and extent of the ensuing genetic changes seem unprecedented in the literature on hybridization.

Prior to 1984, <u>C. pecosensis</u> was the only pupfish known to occur in the Pecos River proper. Two other pupfishes, <u>C. bovinus</u> and <u>C. elegans</u>, occur in the Pecos River basin but they are isolated in separate spring-systems and have never been reported from the Pecos River (Echelle and Echelle, 1978). In August of 1984, specimens which allozymically appeared to be products of hybridization and backcrossing between <u>C. pecosensis</u> and <u>C. variegatus</u> were taken from the Pecos River at two localities in Texas (Echelle et al., 1987). As late as 1980, collections of pupfish from the Pecos River in Texas revealed no morphological evidence of <u>C. variegatus</u>. Thus, hybridization probably ensued sometime between 1980 and 1984 when <u>C. variegatus</u> was introduced into the area.

With few exceptions (Humphries and Miller, 1981; Humphries, 1984 a,b; Minckley and Minckley, 1986), the 30 or so species of <u>Cyprinodon</u> are allopatrically distributed. Therefore, there are relatively few situations in which reproductive isolation between naturally-occurring sympatric forms can be observed. In two such instances, morphological data indicate some degree of hybridization, but not at a particularly high level (Humphries and Miller, 1981; Minckley and Minckley, 1986). However, two accidental introductions of <u>C. variegatus</u> into the ranges of other pupfishes, both in the Pecos River basin, apparently were followed by high levels of genetic interaction:

i) Stevenson and Buchanan (1973) presented morphological evidence of hybridization in a narrow zone of contact between an introduced, reservoir population of <u>C.</u> <u>variegatus</u> and the spring-dwelling species, <u>C. elegans</u>. The spatial pattern of morphological variation indicated the possibility that the reservoir population had been genetically introgressed by the endemic species. Any introgression of the latter species was prevented by a physical barrier to upstream migration.

2) <u>C. variegatus</u> also was introduced into Leon Creek, Pecos Co., Texas, where it apparently hybridized extensively with the endemic species, <u>C. bovinus</u> (Kennedy, 1977). However, no analysis of the extent of hybridization has been reported. Because of concern for the <u>C. bovinus</u> genome, a massive, apparently successful

Z

effort was made to eliminate <u>C. variegatus</u> and putative hybrids from <u>C. bovinus</u> habitat (Hubbs et al., 1978; Hubbs, 1980; Echelle et al., 1987).

Most pupfishes appear to be rather recent evolutionary products that may not have fully crossed the threshold of speciation: (1) Laboratory breeding experiments reveal little or no pre- or postmating reproductive isolation (Turner and Liu, 1977; Drewry, 1967; Cokendolpher, 1980; Loiselle, 1982; Garrett, 1979); (2) allozyme studies on morphologically divergent pupfishes in two separate regions, the Death Valley area, California and Nevada (Turner, 1974; 1983) and Laguna Chichankanab, Yucatan (Humphries, 1984 a; b), revealed levels of differentiation typically associated with intraspecific variation in other vertebrates, and (3) divergent species of Cyprinodon have the diploid number of 48 with no evidence of karyotypic differentiation (Stevenson, 1975, 1981; Miller and Echelle, 1975), although no detailed banding studies have been reported.

Thus, Turner and Liu (1977) and Stevenson (1981) suggested that <u>Cyprinodon</u> consists of several superspecies each containing a number of morphologically distinct semispecies. The superspecies have not been diagnosed. However, <u>C. pecosensis</u> and <u>C. variegatus</u> have generally been recognized as belonging to the same species complex within the genus (Echelle and Echelle, 1978; Miller, 1981; Echelle et al., 1987; Smith and Miller, 1986).

з

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collections of pupfish totalling 4150 specimens were made in March and August of 1985 from 20 localities in the Pecos River drainage of Texas (Fig. 1). A sub-sample of 1-681 of the specimens was preserved at each collection site and catalogued in the Oklahoma State University Museum of Natural and Cultural History (OSUS 12189, 12192, 12196, 12201, 12204, 12208, 12216, 12221, 12234, 12239, 12245, 12251, 12257, 12262, 12266, 12270, 12275, 12279, 12282). A second sub-sample of 8-90 specimens was placed on dry ice in the field, transported to the laboratory, and stored at -70°C. Reference specimens of <u>C. pecosensis</u> and <u>C.</u> <u>variegatus</u> from the Bitter Lake National Wildlife Refuge, Chaves Co., New Mexico and the Galveston Bay area, Galveston Co., Texas, respectively, were treated similarly.

Standard methods of horizontal starch-gel electrophoresis (Selander et al., 1971; Siciliano and Shaw, 1976) were used to examine the products of four presumptive gene loci: Alcohol dehydrogenase (Adh-A, EC 1.1.1.1), Esterase (Est-1, EC 3.1.1.1), Glucose-6-phosphate isomerase (Gpi-A, EC 5.3.1.9), and Proline dipeptidase (Pdp-A, EC 3.4.13.9). Est-1 is the esterase locus of greatest anodal mobility in pupfish liver and is the esterase predominant in eye tissue. A high degree of variability occurred among electromorphs for Est-1 in <u>C.</u> yariegatus; thus this locus was difficult to score

Figure 1. Map of localities in study area. Stippled area represents a portion of the range of the sheepshead minnow, <u>C. variegatus</u>, and diagonal shading denotes the historic range of the Pecos pupfish, <u>C. pecosensis</u>. Continuous distribution is not implied by shading; only the known range.



consistently. All of those electromorphs were slower than the one diagnostic of <u>C. pecosensis</u> and they are treated herein as representing a single allele. The four loci examined have fixed or nearly-fixed differences between <u>C.</u> <u>pecosensis</u> and <u>C. variegatus</u> (A. A. Echelle and A. F. Echelle, unpubl.). The liver was excised from each specimen, homogenized in distilled water, and centrifuged to obtain extracts of the water-soluble proteins. At the time of dissection, sex and standard length were recorded for each specimen, which was then tagged, preserved, and catalogued (OSUS 13647-13669, 13673, 13674, 13676, 13678). 12% starch gels, 341 cm² in area, were used. Electrophoresis employed the Tris-EDTA buffer system reported by Turner (1983).

Single-locus analyses were done using the BIOSYS-1 computer program (Swofford and Selander, 1981). To obtain a composite character-index for each specimen, a score of +1 and -1 was assigned for each allele typical of respectively, <u>C. variegatus</u> and <u>C. pecosensis</u>. The character-index is the sum of these scores.

For each sample, the composite measure of linkage disequilibrium (D, as described by Campton, 1986), and a standardized measure of linkage disequilibrium (D'= D/Dmax; see Hedrick, 1983) were computed from observed phenotypic frequencies in each pairwise combination of the four loci. Linkage disequilibrium addresses the question of whether alleles for the paired loci are assorting at random in gamete formation. In some cases, samples from

two to four different collections were pooled to increase sample size and confidence in the estimation of D. The heterogeneity G-test (Sokal and Rohlf, 1981) was used to determine the likelihood that the gametic frequencies inferred from the genotypes at these sites are statistically homogeneous. Assuming gene frequencies are estimated without error, I use the Nr^2 (X^2) statistic to test the hypothesis that D is zero (Hill and Robertson, 1968; Speiss, 1977).

RESULTS

Single Locus Analyses

The reference samples of <u>C. pecosensis</u> and <u>C.</u> <u>variegatus</u> were fixed or nearly fixed for different alleles at each of the four loci examined (Table 1, Fig. 2). At three of the four loci, Adh-A, Gpi-A, and Pdp-A, samples shared electromorphs at levels of 0.01 - 0.05, while no sharing was detected for Est-1. Thus, all four loci are effectively diagnostic of the two species.

The allele frequencies show a remarkable pattern of change downstream from Red Bluff Reservoir in the Pecos River drainage of Texas. The Salt Creek population showed no evidence of the influence of C. variegatus. However, at locality 3, approximately 1 km upstream from the mouth of Salt Creek, the frequency of the alleles typical of C. variegatus (= "variegatus alleles") averages 0.17 across the four loci. Continuing downstream, the frequency of variegatus alleles show a pattern of clinal increase which, in the vicinity of Pecos, Reeves Co., reaches a peak of 0.84. Then follows a reversed cline: the frequency of the variegatus alleles declines to a minimum of 0.40 at localities 12 and 13, approximately 175 km downstream from Pecos and effectively remains at that level in areas farther downstream. Abrupt changes were associated with the positions of irrigation-diversion dams (Fig. 1). The

			Adh	n-A	Est	1	Gpi-A			Pdp-A			
L	DATE	N	a	с	a	b	b	с	ď	e	Ъ	с	d
1	8/84	22	1.00		1.00				1.00		0.05	0.95	
2	3/85	60	1.00		1.00		0.01		0.98	0.01	0.02	0.98	
2	8/86	18	1.00		1.00				0.98	0.02		1.00	
з	3/85	7	0.81	0.19	0.71	0.29		0.13	0.87		0.06	0.94	
4	3/85	30	0.72	0.28	0.75	0.25		0.15	0.85		0.28	0.72	
5	3/85	11	0.46	0.54	0.36	0.64		0.14	0.86		0.70	0.30	
6	3/85	69	0.24	0.76	0.21	0.79		0.46	0.54		0.79	0.21	
6	8/85	50	0.24	0.76	0.12	0.88		0.50	0.50		0.79	0.21	
7	3/85	89	0.09	0.91	0.04	0.96		0.62	0.38		0.92	0.08	
7	8/85	60	0.10	0.90	0.03	0.97		0.58	0.42		0.80	0.20	

Table I. Allele frequencies at four loci in pupfish from the Pecos River. L denotes the locality.

			Adł	n-A	Est	1		Gpi	- A			Pdp-A	
L	DATE	N	a	с	a	Ъ	Ъ	с	d	e	Ъ	с	d
8	3/85	41	0.11	0.89	0.07	0.93		0.70	0.30		0.89	0.11	
8	8/85	59	0.05	0.95	0.05	0.95		0.58	0.42		0.88	0.12	
9	3/85	34	0.21	0.79	0.13	0.87		0.59	0.41		0.86	0.14	
9	8/85	60	0.26	0.74	0.14	0.86		0.57	0.43		0.76	0.24	
10	з∕а́5	57	0.37	0.63	0.39	0.61		0.45	0.55		0.51	0.49	
11	3/85	67	0.63	0.37	0.44	0.56		0.43	0.57		0.45	0.54	0.01
12	3/85	60	0.62	0.38	0.46	0.54		0.36	0.63	0.01	0.32	0.68	
13	3/85	63	0.64	0.36	0.53	0.47		0.34	0.66		0.43	0.57	
14	3/85	68	0.52	0.48	0.51	0.49		0.35	0.65		0.37	0.63	
15	3/85	19	0.50	0.50	0.47	0.53		0.32	0.68		0.50	0.50	

Table I continued

lable i continueo	Tabl	e l		ont	ti	nu	ed
-------------------	------	-----	--	-----	----	----	----

			Adh	- A	Est	- 1	Gpi-A				Pdp-A		
L	DATE	N	a	с	а	b	Ъ	С	d	е	Ъ	с	d
16	3/85	51	0.63	0.37	0.44	0.56		0.41	0.59		0.40	0.60	
17	3/85	53	0.49	0.51	0.51	0.49	•	0.35	0.65		0.48	0.52	
18	2/86	83	0.01	0.99*		1.00*		1.00*			1.00*		
18	3/86	81		1.00*		1.00*		0.98*	0.02		1.00		
All a	ele ssignm	a ent	P	v	P	V	Р	V	Ρ	Ρ	v	Ρ	0

٠

* Other <u>variegatus</u> alleles included.

a P = <u>C. pecosensis</u>, V = <u>C. variegatus</u>, O = no assignment

Figure 2. The frequencies of <u>C. variegatus</u> alleles for all localities. Vertical bars on horizontal axis represent diversion dams for various irrigation districts. Reference samples are localities 1 and 18 for <u>C. pecosensis</u> and <u>C.</u> <u>variegatus</u>, respectively.



four diagnostic loci show similar clinal variation throughout the portion of the river studied. However, the frequency of the <u>variegatus</u> allele for Est-1 is consistently higher than that for Gpi-A.

All samples conformed closely with Hardy-Weinberg expectations for genotypic frequencies. An exact probability test provided by BIOSYS-1 (Swofford and Selander, 1981) revealed only three significant (p < 0.05) departures from Hardy-Weinberg expectations in a total of 72 single-locus comparisons: Gpi-A at locality 14 and Pdp-A at localities 6 and 7.

Table II shows how alleles were assigned to create the character-index score for each specimen. Table III summarizes the character-index scores at all sites including the reference samples (loc. 1 and 18). Frequency histograms at most localities were unimodal and broader than those for reference samples (Fig. 3). The modal shifts from locality to locality (Fig. 3) conform with the clinal changes in allele frequencies (Fig. 2): at upstream sites (localities 3 and 4) the mode was shifted towards the <u>C.</u> pecosensis mode; at sites 5 to 9 the mode was shifted toward that of <u>C.</u> variegatus; and at sites 10 to 17 the mode was intermediate between those of <u>C.</u> variegatus and <u>C.</u> pecosensis. Excepting the Salt Creek sample (loc. 2) which shows no evidence of C. variegatus influence, the frequency distributions of scores were much broader among Texas populations in the Pecos River than in either of the reference samples.

Values of standardized linkage disequilibrium (D^{ℓ}) are presented in Table IV and Fig. 4. Overall, D^{ℓ} showed a trend towards positive values (sign test $X^2_{1d,f}$ = 19.0, P < 0.005; Steel and Torrie, 1980). In all samples, D^{ℓ} for the Est-1 X Gpi-A combination of loci was markedly larger than for all other combinations of loci (Fig. 4). The trend toward positive values remained even after removal of the Est-1 X Gpi-A values ($X^2_{1d,f}$ = 11.8, P < 0.005).

Locus	<u>C. pecosensis</u>	<u>C. variegatus</u>
Adh-A	a	b, c, d, e, f
Est-1	a	b
Gpi-A	b, d, e	a, c
Pdp-A	с	a, b

Table II. Alleles used in constructing hybrid index.

Index: -1 for each <u>C. pecosensis</u> allele in genotype, +1 for each <u>C. variegatus</u> allele in genotype. Table III. Character-index scores from Pecos River, 1985. Scores are the sum of values assigned to each allele in the genotype. L is the locality number. Q denotes the number of quadruple heterozygotes included in the 'O' class.

		<u>c.</u>	PECO	SENS	15			<u>C.</u>	VAR	EGAT	rus	
L	Ν	-8	-6	-4	-2	0	(Q)	+2	+4	+6	+8	MEAN
1	22	20	2									-7.82
2	78	75	З									-7.92
З	7	2	2	1	2							-5.14
4	30	7	5	7	6	2	(2)	З				-4.00
5	10		1	1	З	1	(0)	1	З			-0.20
6	117	1	1	2	З	10	(1)	25	41	30	4	3.40
7	143					5	(0)	11	39	57	31	5.37
8	97					1	(0)	11	27	31	27	5.48
9	93			2	5	6	(1)	16	30	20	14	3.94
10	56	1	1	5	10	11	(4)	14	9	4	1	0.75
11	65	1	11	4	16	10	(4)	12	9	1	1	-0.74
12	58	6	7	6	12	13	(7)	7	5	2		-1.59
13	61	4	9	8	9	18	(10)	7	5	1		-1.57
14	68	4	11	9	15	8	(2)	9	5	5	2	-1.18
15	19		З		7	5	(2)	1	1	1	1	-0.63
16	51	2	5	6	12	11	(5)	10	4	1		-1.02
17	52	З	4	7	13	7	(1)	7	6	5		-0.65
18	81									2	79	7.95

Figure 3. Histograms of the character-index scores by locality. Open bars denote "pure" populations. Locality 1 is Bitter Lake National Wildlife Refuge, Chaves Co., New Mexico and locality 18 is the Galveston Bay area, Galveston Co., Texas.



Correspondingly, all disequilibrium values significantly (p < 0.05) different from zero were positive in sign.

Table IV. Values of D' (D/Dmax) in pupfish from the Pecos River of West Texas. Bottom and right margins are means of gene pair and locality values respectively. * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.005 using Nr^2 statistic: H_0 : D = 0.

				Local	ity Numbe	er				
					(N)					
Paired	6	7,8	9	10	11	12	13	14,15	16,17	
Loci	(118)	(245)	(94)	(57)	(67)	(59)	(62)	(87)	(104)	MEAN
Adh X Est	.060	995	.055	060	.429*	.458×	.246	.262*	.110	.063
Adh X Gpi	097	199	.182	148	010	.389**	013	.443**	.095	.071
Adh X Pdp	.236	132	.090	062	.020	.079	.338*	.437**	.031	.115
Est X Gpi	.419	.809**	.861**	.829**	.668**	.925**	.469**	.731**	.617**	.703**
Est X Pdp	.086	533	.163	.303	.293	.170	.298*	.348*	.219	.150
Gpi X Pdp	081	.046	.199	.124	.166	.154	.178	.346**	.258*	.154
MEAN	.104	167	.259**	.165	.261	.362	.253	.428**	.222**	.209

Figure 4. Block chart of values of D^{\prime} (D/Dmax) for nine localities and six gene pairs. The stippled area represents statistically significant (p < .05) linkage disequilibrium.

.



·

DISCUSSION

My data demonstrate that, throughout the Pecos River in the Texas portion of the range of C. pecosensis, pupfish are segregating for alleles diagnostic of the endemic species, C. pecosensis, and the introduced species, C. variegatus. This is the third known instance of an introduction of C. variegatus in the area, the others occurring in isolated springs (Stevenson and Buchanan, 1973; Hubbs, 1980). These introductions probably are accidental results of the stocking of sportfish. Stocking records of the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department show that, beginning in the 1960's, red drum, Sciaenops ocellatus, and striped bass, Morone saxatilis, have been introduced in several reservoirs in the Pecos River drainage and striped bass now occur in the Pecos River proper (pers. obs.). The original stocks were taken either directly from shallow coastal waters by seining (red drum), or they were obtained from coastal hatchery installations (red drum and striped bass). Because \underline{C} . variegatus is abundant throughout the coastal waters of Texas, it may have been inadvertently included in the introduced stocks of fish.

Two lines of evidence sugggest that, despite the admixture of two recognized species of <u>Cyprinodon</u>, local populations in the Pecos River of Texas represent panmictic units: (1) the consistent lack of statistically

significant single-locus deviations from Hardy-Weinberg expectations and (2) the lack of bimodality in the frequency histograms for the multilocus character index. Thus, the original population of <u>C. pecosensis</u> has been replaced by a hybrid population. It seems likely that no individual in my samples from the Pecos River is free of variegatus alleles. I examined only four loci, thus a maximum of only four of the 24 pairs of chromosomes in C. pecosensis (2N = 48). Nonetheless, only 31 (3.3%) of 927 specimens examined from the Pecos River had genotypes expected of "pure" C. pecosensis. That percentage would have been much lower if more chromosome markers (i.e., loci) had been examined. Similarly, it is unlikely that any specimen sampled was a "pure" <u>C. variegatus</u>, even in the vicinity of Pecos where the population has a high proportion of variegatus alleles. Thus, it appears that, over almost the entire Texas portion of its geographic range, approximately 430 river km of the Pecos River. с. pecosensis has been replaced by a hybrid population.

Despite apparent panmixia, the linkage disequilibrium analysis demonstrates that the pupfish population in the Pecos River has not attained multilocus equilibrium. The consistent pattern of positive D' values represents an excess of coupling gametes. In other words, in my sampling of genotypes, <u>C. variegatus</u> alleles at different loci occurred together more often than random association would predict and the same is true for <u>C. pecosensis</u> alleles. Because the populations are panmictic, there are two

primary explanations for the observed disequilibrium (Cavalli-Sforza and Bodmer, 1971): (1) selection for particular pairs of chromosomes or for pairs of interlocus allelic combinations, and (2) residual allelic associations reflecting the original genetic differentiation of the two species. Although it declines with each generation of random mating, the residual effect occurs, even if the loci involved assort independently in meiosis.

For one pair of loci, Est-1 and Gpi-A, it appears that natural selection may be maintaining the observed disequilibrium. The D values for this pair of loci were exceptionally high (D' = 0.41 - 0.92, avg. 0.703) compared to those for the other combinations of loci (-0.99 - 0.46)average of loci combinations excluding Est-1 X Gpi-A 0.11) At first clance, this suggests that Est-1 and Gpi-A are on the same chromosome, thus retarding the decline in disequilibrium relative to that in other pairs of loci. However, such tight linkage should produce near equivalency in the frequencies of variegatus alleles at the two loci. Instead, those frequencies are strongly different (Fig. 2). Thus, for at least the Est-1 X Gpi-A combination, natural selection may be maintaining the observed disequilibrium. The difference in allele frequencies between the two loci may have occurred, when the introduced alleles were rare and more susceptible to genetic drift.

Because disequilibrium values of the remaining pairs of loci were small and approximately equivalent, it seems reasonable to assume that the loci involved are assorting

independently in meiosis. Although I cannot completely exclude the possibility, it seems unlikely that all of the various combinations of loci are being subjected to natural selection for coupling allele combinations. Therefore, the consistently positive disequilibrium values support the conclusion from past collections that the existing genetic structure of pupfish in the Pecos River has developed rather recently.

Five collections made by Duke et al. in Texas in March and April, 1980, reveal no influence of <u>C. variegatus</u> in Salt Creek (loc. 2), in the Pecos River at localities 11 and 13, nor 40 km downstream from locality 17 near Sheffield, Pecos Co. (ENMU TX-RE-80-6.02, TX-LO-80-6.02, TX-PE-80-012.02, TX-PE-80-7.04, TX-CR-80-9.09). However, collections made in August, 1984 (reported by Echelle et al., 1987) at Pecos (loc. 7) and Iraan (loc. 16) and my collections thoughout the area in 1985 show abundant evidence of morphological traits expected of <u>C. variegatus</u>. It is likely, therefore, that <u>C. variegatus</u> was introduced into the Pecos River of Texas sometime between 1980 and 1984.

The clinal patterns of variation in allele frequencies suggest that the original introduction of <u>C. variegatus</u> occurred in the vicinity of Pecos, Reeves Co., Texas. The pattern also suggests that the introduction was followed by the dispersal of <u>C. variegatus</u> alleles both upstream and downstream from the Pecos area.

In both directions, the clines in allele frequencies

are steepest between sample sites separated by irrigationdiversion dams. Thus, these dams apparently are retarding gene dispersal. This would also explain the observation (Fig. 2) that the upstream clinal changes are steeper than the downstream changes. These dams range in height from approximately 8-15 m and, although water often flows over the smaller dams and sometimes is allowed to flow through the larger ones, traversal by pupfish would be especially difficult in the upstream direction. This "gene-flow" hypothesis seems much more probable than the possibility that environmental heterogeneity controls the clinal patterns in allele frequencies. The Pecos River is relatively uniform throughout the area and no obvious environmental changes are associated with the clinal patterns.

If the Pecos area was the initial point of introduction for <u>C. variegatus</u>, the level of linkage disequilibrium (D⁴) in the pupfish of that area should be lower than elsewhere in the Pecos River of Texas. This assumes that with time, the level of disequilibrium is eroding as a result of recombination and random mating. On the other hand, if, as indicated for Est-1 and Gpi-A, the observed D⁴ values are being maintained by natural selection, disequilibrium may persist. Because of the skewed allele frequencies involved, my sample sizes generally are not adequate to give high confidence in estimates of D⁴ in the Pecos area (Brown, 1975). If future studies indicate little or no temporal change in D⁴, then

natural selection for the coupling arrangement of chromosomes or alleles would be implicated.

Given the rapidity of change in pupfish populations in the Pecos River, it is clear that intense selection pressures have been operating. In allele frequencies, the population in the Pecos area is strongly shifted toward the genetic structure of <u>C. variegatus</u>. Furthermore, at least in superficial appearance, that population is morphologically indistinguishable from <u>C. variegatus</u> (pers. obs.). Apparently, <u>C. variegatus</u>-like individuals were favored over both <u>C. pecosensis</u> and intermediates between the two species. This suggests that once equilibrium is reached, the entire pupfish population will resemble <u>C. variegatus</u> in both morphology and allozyme structure.

Based on past collecting experience (A.A. Echelle, pers. comm.) the <u>C. pecosensis</u> population would have been very dense in the Pecos area at the time <u>C. variegatus</u> presumably was introduced. Thus, the original stock of <u>C.</u> <u>varigatus</u> must have been vastly outnumbered by <u>C.</u> <u>pecosensis</u>. Because of the relative densities, plus the generally low level of species recognition between allopatric pupfish (Turner and Liu, 1977; Cokendolpher, 1980; Loiselle, 1982), the progeny of the introduced stock of <u>C. variegatus</u> would have been dominated by <u>C. variegatus</u> <u>X C. pecosensis</u> hybrids. Thus, hybrid vigor may have provided the initial impetus for genetic change in the population.

An allozyme survey of several pupfish species in Texas and New Mexico (Echelle et al., 1987; Echelle and Echelle, 1987 in manuscript) found low averages of heterozygosity and polymorphism in <u>C. pecosensis</u> (<u>H</u> = 0.027; <u>P</u> = 0.07) relative to <u>C. variegatus</u> (<u>H</u> = 0.061; <u>P</u> = 0.18) and four of the remaining five species examined. As observed for a number of other organisms (Allendorf and Leary, 1986), heightened heterozygosity can confer enhanced fitness. This effect may help explain the high fitness of hybrids relative to <u>C. pecosensis</u>. However, it cannot be the whole answer as evolution in the Pecos area seems to be proceeding toward a <u>C. variegatus</u>-like form having lower heterozygosity than was presumably present in the earlier hybrid

Pupfish are lek breeders (Loiselle and Barlow, 1978; Itzkowitz, 1977) and, as Kodric-Brown (1977, 1983) described for <u>C. pecosensis</u>, males show high variance in reproductive success. Thus, "runaway sexual selection" (Fisher, 1958; Lande, 1980; 1981) may explain the rapid evolutionary change toward a <u>C. variegatus</u>-like form in the Pecos area. Such selection might be due to female mating preferences and/or competition among males for breeding rights. Kodrick-Brown's (1983) study shows that, in <u>C.</u> <u>pecosensis</u>, territory space can be a limiting factor in male reproductive success, and Loiselle (1982) found that, on the average, females of some pupfish species actually prefer heterospecific mates. Loiselle (1982) explained heterospecific preference as a result of selection for enhanced genetic variability in the female's offspring, a process that might be especially important in geographically restricted desert populations.

Mayr (1954) described the genetic changes which could lead to speciation. He characterized polyploidy, hybridization, and founder effects as those genetic changes in a natural population which potentially can affect all loci. He termed the rapid shift in genes in this different genetic background a "genetic revolution". The allozymic traits studied here indicate that hybridization indeed has resulted in a genetic revolution. Data presented are prima-facie evidence of intense natural selection either for the loci surveyed or, more likely, traits linked to those loci. That there has been a large and rapid change is clear. The means by which this happened is not as apparent. Studies of the relative importance of mate choice versus intrasexual competition and other aspects of natural selection should be particularly rewarding with the pupfish population of the Pecos River.

<u>C. pecosensis</u> is an endangered species. The only known remaining "pure" populations exist in New Mexico, Salt Creek, Reeves Co., Texas and in a gravel pit at Phipps Sand and Gravel Co., Pecos Co., Texas. Given man's proclivity to transport fish where they do not belong, measures should be taken to educate the public on the Pecos pupfish's precarious position and deter them from eliminating a unique product of evolution.

LITERATURE CITED

- Allendorf, F. W. and R. F. Leary. 1986. Heterozygosity and fitness in natural populations of animals; p. 57-76. <u>In</u>: Conservation Biology: The Science of Scarcity and Diversity. M. Soule (ed.). Sinauer Associates, Sunderland Mass.
- Brown, A. H. 1975. Sample sizes required to detect linkage disequilibrium between two or three loci. Theoret. Pop. Biol. 8:184-201.
- Calvalli-Sforza, L. L. and W. F. Bodner. 1971. The Genetics of Human Populations. W.H. Freeman and Co. San Francisco.
- Campton, D. E. 1987. Natural hybridization and introgression in fishes, p. 161-192. <u>In</u>: Population Genetics and Fishery Management. N. Ryman and F. Utter (eds.). Univ. of Washington Press, Seattle.
- Cokendolpher, J. C. 1980. Hybridization experiments with the genus <u>Cyprinodon</u> (Teleostei: Cyprinodontidae). Copeia 1980:173-176.
- Drewry, G. E. 1967. Studies of relationships within the family Cyprinodontidae. Unpubl. Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. of Texas, Austin.
- Echelle, A. A. and A. F. Echelle. 1978. The Pecos River pupfish, <u>Cyprinodon pecosensis</u> n. sp. (Cyprinodontidae), with comments on its evolutionary origin. Copeia 1978:569-582.

- Echelle, A. A. and A. F. Echelle. 1987. Evolutionary relationships of pupfishes (<u>Cyprinodon</u>) in New Mexico and Texas, with allozymic comparisons of <u>Cyprinodon</u>, <u>Jordanella</u> and <u>Floridichthys</u>. In manuscript.
- Echelle, A. A., A. F. Echelle and D. R. Edds. 1987. Population structure of four pupfish species (Cyprinodontidae: <u>Cyprinodon</u>) from the Chihuahuan desert region of New Mexico and Texas: allozymic variation. Copeia 1987:668-681.
- Fisher, R. A. 1958. The Genetical Theory of Natural Selection. 2nd ed. Dover, New York.
- Garrett, G. P. 1979. Species specificity in the mating systems of <u>Cyprinodon variegatus</u> and <u>Cyprinodon</u> <u>bovinus</u>. Proc. Desert. Fishes Council 11:54-59.
- Hedrick, P. W. 1983. Genetics of Populations. Science Books International, Boston, Mass.
- Hill, W. G. and A. Robertson. 1968. Linkage disequilibrium in finite populations. Theoret. Applied Genetics 38:226-231.
- Hubbs, C. 1980. The solution to the <u>Cyprinodon bovinus</u> problem: eradication of a pupfish genome. Proc. Desert Fishes Council 10:9-18.
- Hubbs, C., T. Lucier, E. Marsh, G. P. Garrett, R. J. Edwards and E. Milstead. 1978. Results of an eradication program on the ecological relationships of fishes in Leon Creek, Texas. Southwest. Nat. 23:487-496.

Humphries, J. M. 1984. Genetics of speciation in pupfishes

from Laguna Chichanchanab, Mexico, p. 129-140. <u>In</u>: Evolution of Fish Species Flocks. A. A. Echelle and I. Kornfield (eds.). Univ. of Maine at Orono Press.

- Humphries, J. M. 1984. <u>Cyprinodon verecundus</u>, n.sp. a fifth species of pupfish from Laguna Chichanchanab. Copeia 1984:58-68.
- Humphries, J. M. and R. R. Miller. 1981. A remarkable species flock of <u>Cyprinodon</u> from Lake Chichanchanab. Copeia 1981:52-64.
- Itzkowitz, M. 1977. Interrelationships of dominance and territorial behaviour in the pupfish, <u>Cyprinodon</u> <u>variegatus</u>. Behav. Proc. 2:383-391.
- Kennedy, S. E. 1977. Life history of the Leon Springs pupfish, <u>Cyprinodon bovinus</u>. Copeia 1977:93-103.
- Kodric-Brown, A. 1977. Reproductive success and the evolution of breeding territories in pupfish (<u>Cyprinodon</u>). Evolution 31:750-766.

- Kodric-Brown, A. 1983. Determinants of male reproductive success in pupfish (<u>Cyprinodon pecosensis</u>). Anim. Behav. 31:128-137.
- Lande, R. 1980. Sexual dimorphism, sexual selection, and adaptation in polygenic characters. Evolution 34:292-305.
- Lande, R. 1981. Models of speciation by sexual selection on polygenic traits. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 78:3721-3725.
- Loiselle, P. V. 1982. An experimental analysis of pupfish (Teleostei: Cyprinodontidae: <u>Cyprinodon</u>)

reproductive behavior. Unpubl. Ph.D. Diss., Univ. California, Berkeley.

Loiselle, P. V. and G. W. Barlow. 1978. Do fishes lek like birds?, p. 31-75. <u>In</u>: Contrasts in Behavior. E. Reese and F. Lighter (eds.). Wiley, New York.

- Mayr, E. 1954. Change of genetic environment and evolution. <u>In</u>: Evolution as a Process. J. S. Huxley, A. C. Hardy, and E. B. Ford (eds.). p. 156-180. Allen and Unwin, London.
- Miller, R. R. 1981. Coevolution of deserts and pupfishes (genus <u>Cyprinodon</u>) in the American southwest. p. 39-94. <u>In</u>: Fishes in North American Deserts. R. J. Naiman and D. L. Soltz (eds.). John Wiley, N.Y.

Miller, R. R. and A. A. Echelle. 1975. <u>Cyprinodon</u> <u>tularosa</u>, a new cyprinodontid fish from the Tularosa

Basin, New Mexico. Southwest. Nat. 19:365-377.

Minckley, W. L. and C. O. Minckley. 1986. <u>Cyprinodon</u> <u>pachycephalus</u>, a new species of pupfish (Cyprinodontidae) from the Chihuahuan desert of northern Mexico. Copeia 1986:184-192.

Selander, R. K., M. H. Smith., S. Y. Yang, W. E. Johnson and J. B. Gentry. 1971. Biochemical polymorphism and systematics in the genus <u>Peromyscus</u>. I. Variation in the old-field mouse (<u>Peromyscus polionotus</u>). Studies in Genetics VI, Univ. Texas Publ. 7103:49-90.

Siciliano, M. J., and C. R. Shaw. 1976. Separation and visualization of enzymes on gels. Chromographic and Electrophoretic Techniques 2:185-209.

- Smith, M. L. and R. R. Miller. 1986. The evolution of the Rio Grande Basin as inferred from its fish fauna, p. 457-486. <u>In</u>: Zoogeography of North American Freshwater Fishes. C. H. Hocutt and E. O. Wiley (eds.). John Wiley, N.Y.
- Sokal, R. R. and F. J. Rohlf. 1981. Biometry. 2nd. ed. W. H. Freeman and Co., San Francisco.
- Speiss, E. B. 1977. Genes in Populations. John Wiley and Sons Inc. New York.
- Steel, R. G. and J. H. Torrie. 1980. Principles and Procedures of Statistics: A Biometrical Approach. 2nd ed. McGraw-Hill, N.Y.
- Stevenson, M. M. 1975. A comparative chromosome study in the pupfish genus <u>Cyprinodon</u> (Teleostei: Cyprinodontidae). Unpubl. Ph.D. thesis, Univ. Okla. Norman.
- Stevenson, M. M. 1981. Karyomorphology of several species of <u>Cyprinodon</u>. Copeia 1981:494-498.
- Stevenson, M. M. and T. M. Buchanan. 1973. An analysis of hybridization between the cyprinodont fishes <u>C.</u>

variegatus and C. elegans. Copeia 1973:682-692. Swofford, D. L. and R. B. Selander. 1981. BlOSYS-1: A FORTRAN program for the comprehensive analysis of electrophoretic data in population genetics and systematics. J. Hered. 72:281-283.

Turner, B. J. 1974. Genetic divergence of Death Valley pupfish species: biochemical versus morphological evidence. Evolution 28:281-294.

Turner, B. J. 1983. Genic variation and differentiation of remnant natural populations of the desert pupfish, <u>Cyprinodon macularius</u>. Evolution 37:690-700.

Turner, B. J. and R. K. Liu. 1977. Extensive

interspecific genetic compatibility in the new world genus <u>Cyprinodon</u>. Copeia 1977:259-269.

Appendix A. Genotypic scores of specimens surveyed across four loci by gene pairs for locality 6.

		Est-1 Gpi-A							
Adh-A	AA	AB	BB	DD	CD	сс			
AA	2	З	з	5	4	0			
AC	1	6	22	4	22	14			
CC	, 3 	32	48	22	36	11			

		Est-1		1	Pdp-A	
Gpi-A	A A	AB	BB	cc	BC	BB
DD	18	19	4	19	13	8
CD	4	28	15	15	20	12
CC	2	4	10	2	10	5

		Est-1			1	Adh-A		
Pdp-A	_	AA	AB	BB	AA	AC	сс	
сс	 	11	21	5	¦ 15	15	7	
BC	;	7	20	15	10	20	11	
BB	; ; ;	6	10	9	; ; 9 ;	12	4	

Appendix A continued. Genotypic scores of specimens surveyed across four loci for localities 7 and 8.

		Est-1 ;			Gpi-A			
Adh-A		AA	AB	BB	' 	DD	CD	СС
AA		0	0	З	 	0	2	1
AC		0	2	35	 	6	14	17
СС	 	0	20	187	 	32	97	75

		Est-1			1	Pdp-A			
Gpi-A		AA	AB	BB	i 	сс	BC	BB	
DD		0	9	30		2	5	32	
CD	1	0	10	103		2	25	86	
сс	: ; ;	0	з	90	 	З	14	75	

		Est-1				Adh-A			
Pdp-A		AA	AB	BB	י ו ו	AA	AC	CC	
сс		0	1	6	 	0	2	5	
BC	1	0	2	45	1	0	6	41	
BB	 _	0	19	173	י 	3	29	159	

•

Appendix A continued. Genotypic scores of specimens surveyed across four loci for locality 9.

		Est-1			Gpi-A		
Adh-A	AA	AB	BB	DD	CD	сс	
AA	0	1	З	2	0	2	
AC	2	7	28	8	19	10	
сс	0	13	40	7	27	19	

		Est-1			Pdp-A			
Gpi-A	AA	AB	BB	cc	BC	BB		
DD	¦ ¦ 1	9	7	 3	4	10		
CD	, 1	11	34	2	13	31		
cc	. 0	2	29	2	7	21		

		Est-1			Adh-A		
Pdp-A	AA	AB	BB	 	AA	AC	сс
сс	 0	з	4	:	1	2	4
BC	1	6	17	1	1	11	12
BB	1	13	48	(2	24	36

Appendix A continued. Genotypic scores of specimens surveyed across four loci for locality 10.

.

		Est-1 /			Gpi-A			
Adh-A	AA	AB	BB	DD	CD	сс		
AA	 3	4	2	3	з	3		
AC	. 1	10	13	8	9	7		
сс	4 	14	5	9	11	4 ¦		

		Est-1 ¦				Pdp-A			
Gpi-A	_	AA	AB	BB	 _	СС	BC	BB	
DD	 !	5	13	2		5	10	5	
CD	1	З	13	6	, 	з	19	1	
CC	 _	0	. 2	12	•	2	7	5	

		Est-1 ;			Adh-A		
Pdn-A		AA	AB	BB		AC	СС
i dp n					· /		
СС	1	З	6	1	3	1	6
BC	1	з	20	12	4	18	14
BB		2	2	7	2	5	4
	·				l		

Appendix A continued. Genotypic scores of specimens surveyed across four loci for locality 11.

		Est-1			1	Gpi-A			
Adh-A		AA	AB	BB	DI) CD	CC		
AA		7	14	6		0 11	6		
AĊ	1	6	14	10	10) 13	7		
CC		0	4	6		4 	2 		

	Est-1 ¦			Pdp-A			
Gpi-A	AA	AB	BB	, CC 	BC	BB	
DD	11	11	2	9	12	З	
CD	1	16	11	; ; 7	13	7	
CC	1	6	8	' 3 	9	З	

		Est-1		Adh-A			
Pdp-A	AA	AB	BB	AA 	AC	сс	
сс	6 '	10	З	 8	8	3	
BC	5	17	12	13	16	5	
BB	2	5	6	; ; 5 ;	6	2	

Appendix A continued. Genotypic scores of specimens surveyed across four loci for locality 12.

		Est-1		Gpi-A			
Adh-A	AA	AB	BB	DD 	CD	сс	
AA	8	11	5	14	8	2	
AC	5	11	8	' 9 !	12	3	
СС	0	5	5	1 	6	3	

			Est-1		Pdp-A			
Gpi-A		AA	AB	BB	CC	BC	BB	
DD	1	12	8	з	14	10	1	
CD	1	1	19	7	8	16	2	
cc	 	0	0	8	1 4	З	1	

			Est-1		Adh-A			
Pdp-A	A	A	AB	BB	AA	AC	сс	
СС	 1	0	5	11	11	13	2	
BC	1	З	21	5	10	12	7	
BB	 	0	2	2	3 	0	1	

Appendix A continued. Genotypic scores of specimens surveyed across four loci for locality 13.

		Est-1		Gpi-A			
Adh-A	AA	AB	BB	DD	CD	сс	
AA	9	15	2	12	11	3	
AC	3	18	6	8	17	2	
сс	2	5	2	4	5	0	

		Est-1					Pdp-A						
Gpi-A		4 A		\ В		BB	 	C	с		BC	 BB	
DD		13	:	10		2		1	1		10	з	;
CD	1	0		27		6	1		8		17	8	1
СС	; ; ;	1		2		2	י 		2		2	1	

			Est-1		1	Adh-A	
Pdp-A	_	AA	AB	BB		AC	сс
сс		8	11	2	13	6	2
BC		5	20	4	10	15	3
BB	, 	1	7	4	3	6	3

Appendix A continued. Genotypic scores of specimens surveyed across four loci for localities 14 and 15.

			Est-1		Gpi-A			
Adh-A		AA	AB	BB	DD	CD	СС	
	1				1			
AA	:	9	13	З	15 	6	3	
AC	1	10	19	11	23	11	6 1	
CC		4	9	9	6	8	8	

		Est-1		1	Pdp-A			
Gpi-A	AA	AB	BB	cc	BC	BB		
DD	17	22	5	17	25	2		
CD	3	15	7	9	13	Э	;	
сс	2	4	11	i3	8	6	 	

			Est-1		Adh-A			
Pdp-A		AA	AB	BB	AA	AC	сс	
сс	}	12	11	6	13	14	2	
BC	1	11	25	11	11	23	14	
BB		0	5	6	1	З	6	

.

Appendix A continued. Genotypic scores of specimens surveyed across four loci for localities 16 and 17.

		Est-1			Gpi-A			
Adh-A	AA	AB	BB	DD	CD	СС		
AA	10	15	9	18	11	5		
AC	8	27	12	14	24	9		
сс	5	9	8	 8 	12	2		

		Est-1			Pdp-A			
Gpi-A		AA	AB	BB		BC	BB	
DD		18	19	4	 19 	13	8	
CD	1	4	28	15	15	20	12	
CC	1	2	4	10	1 2 1	10	5	

		Est-1			Adh-A			
Pdp-A	_	AA	AB	BB	AA	AC	сс	
СС	}	11	21	5	15	15	7	
BC	1	7	20	15	10	20	11	
BB	1	6	10	9	9	12	4 1	

Appendix B. Plot of the frequencies of <u>variegatus</u> alleles against the average cline. All open circles above the diagonal represent Est-1 and all open circles below the diagonal represent Gpi-A.



	Locality Number									
		(N)								
Paired	6	7,8	9	10	11	12	13	14,15	16,17	
Loci	(118)	(245)	(94)	(57)	(67)	(59)	(62)	(87)	(104)	
Adh X Est	.0089	0039	.0056	0090	.0703	.0807	.0483	.0640	.0230	
Adh X Gpi	0126	0068	.0253	0306	0022	.0890	0017	.0787	.0206	
Adh X Pdp	.0391	0014	.0141	0113	.0042	.0156	.0695	.0893	.0077	
Est X Gpi	.0340	.0222	.0692	.1480	.1293	.1605	.0865	.1253	.1126	
Est X Pdp	.0118	0030	.0184	.0618	.0595	.0248	.0689	.0698	.0464	
Gpi X Pdp	0091	.0034	.0234	.0398	.0316	.0358	.0358	.0725	.0561	

.

Appendix C. Values of \hat{D} , the unbiased estimate of the coefficient of linkage disequilibrium (Campton, 1986) for selected localities.

νιτα

2

Patrick James Connor

Candidate for the Degree of

Master of Science

Thesis: A GENETIC ANALYSIS OF A HYBRID SWARM BETWEEN TWO PUPFISHES, <u>CYPRINODON PECOSENSIS</u> AND <u>C.</u> <u>VARIEGATUS</u> (CYPRINODONTIDAE): GEOGRAPHICAL PATTERN

Major Field: Zoology

Biographical:

- Personal Data: Born in WaKeeney, Kansas, 20 June, 1956, the son of James E. and Marilyn G. Connor.
- Education: Received Bachelor of Science Degree in Zoology from University of Oklahoma in May, 1980.
- Professional Experience: Teaching Assistant, Department of Zoology, Oklahoma State University, August, 1984 to May, 1987.
- Professional Affiliations: Member, American Society of Ichthyologists and Herpetologists; member, Southwestern Association of Naturalists; member, Oklahoma Academy of Science.