A CLASSIFICATION OF CERTAIN CHARACTERISTICS OF MUNGBEAN STRAINS AS AN AID TO IMPROVEMENT

By

DONALD JACK BANKS Bachelor of Science Oklahoma State University Stillwater, Oklahoma

1953

Submitted to the faculty of the Graduate School of the Oklahoma State University of Agriculture and Applied Science in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of MASTER OF SCIENCE May, 1958

NOV 5 1958

A CLASSIFICATION OF CERTAIN CHARACTERISTICS OF MUNGBEAN STRAINS AS AN AID TO IMPROVEMENT

Thesis Approved: atto Thesis Advisen + ŀ U L

Dean of the Graduate School

ł

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to express sincere gratitude to my major adviser, Dr. Ralph S. Matlock, for the advice and helpful criticism throughout the course of this study. His untiring efforts and devotion of time will always be remembered. I also wish to thank Dr. H. I. Featherly for his advice during the course of this study. Thanks are also due Dr. Robert Reed for his helpful criticism in preparing the final manuscript. Special thanks are due my wife, Eneth, for typing the manuscript and for the encouragement and help rendered at all times during the study and in the final preparation of this thesis.

iii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Part																			P	age
I.	INTRODUCTION	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	a	•	٠	0	•	•	•	0	•	o	1
II.	LITERATURE REVIEW	•	•	•	٠	۰	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	a	٥	3
III.	MATERIALS AND METHODS	•	•	۰	•	٠	•	•	•	•	۰	•	۰	•	•	•	•	•	٠	8
IV.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	•	•	o	•	۰	•	٥	•	•	٥	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٥	12
	Vegetative Characters Fruiting Characters .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0 0	12 21
V.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS .	•	٠	٥	٠	a	۰	0	•	0	•	•	۰	•	٠	٥	•	•	٥	30
LITER	ATURE CITED	•	•	٥	٠	•	٥	•	•	•	•	۰	•	•	۰	•	•	٠	•	32
APPEN	DIX	•	٩	•	0			•	o	•			•	0	٥	0	٠	۰	٥	34

LIST OF TABLES

Table	P	age
I.	Frequency Distribution of Growth Habit	12
II.	Frequency Distribution of Leaf Size	16
III.	Frequency Distribution of Leaf Texture	18
IV.	Frequency Distribution of Leafiness	18
V.	Frequency Distribution of Lodging	20
VI.	Frequency Distribution of Maturity	21
VII.	Correlation Coefficients of Seeds Per Pod and Pod Length	0.0
	of Ten Mungbean Strains	23
VIII.	Frequency Distribution of Pod Color	24
IX.	Frequency Distribution of Seed Productivity	26
Χ.	Frequency Distribution of Seed Surface	26
XI.	Frequency Distribution of Seed Color	27
XII.	Frequency Distribution of Seed Shape	28
XIII.	Frequency Distribution of Seed Quality	29
Append	ix Tables	
I.	Growth Habit Classification	34
II.	Plant Height Classification	35
III.	Leaf Size Classification \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots	36
IV.	Leaf Texture Classification	37
V.	Leafiness Classification	38
VI.	Lodging Classification	39

Appendix Table Page VII. 40 VIII. 41 IX. Mean Number of Seeds Per Pod Classification Ъ2 X. 43 XI. Seed Productivity Classification 44 XII. 45 XIII. 46 XIV. 47 XV. Ц8 XVI. 49 XVII. 50 XVIII.

LIST OF FIGURES

Figu	re	Page
l.	Growth Habit	13
2.	Plant Height	13
3.	Frequency Histogram of Plant Height	14
4.	Smooth Leaf	17
5.	Rough Leaf	17
6.	Poor and Excellent Leafiness	19
7.	None to Very Slight to Severe Lodging	19
8.	Frequency Histogram of Mean Pod Length	22
9.	Frequency Histogram of Mean Number of Seeds Per Pod	23
10.	Excellent and Poor Seed Productivity	25
11.	Frequency Histogram of Mean Seed Size	28

•

vii.

,

INTRODUCTION

The mungbean (<u>Phaseolus aureus Roxb.</u>) is considered a minor crop in the United States, but it has received considerable attention in Oklahoma. The mungbean has been grown in Oklahoma for hay, seed and as a green manure crop. The seeds are used for sprouting and canning as well as livestock feed. Feeding trials have been conducted with beef calves, dairy cattle, swine, sheep and poultry (4, 10, 13, 14, 17, 18) $\frac{1}{}$. These trials have indicated that mungbean seed and hay are high in protein and could replace a large part of the protein supplement in most rations.

The mungbean is an important food crop in the Orient where the sprouted beans are used in many Oriental dishes. Considerable quantities of mungbeans have been imported into the United States where they are used in making chop suey.

The mungbean is native to southern Asia and is grown throughout the southern half of Asia, the Malayan Islands and southeastern Africa (11). It was introduced into American agriculture as early as 1853, but did not find a prominent place until the Second World War when imports from the Orient were cut off. Oklahoma emerged as the leading producer of mungbeans during this period, supplying most of the seed to restaurants serving Oriental dishes. In 1957, an estimated 28,000 acres were planted to mungbeans in Oklahoma and 20,000 acres were harvested.

1/Numbers in parentheses refer to Literature Cited.

Since mungbeans do contribute to our Oklahoma economy, research on the crop is being conducted at the present time by the Oklahoma Agricultural Experiment Station.

Apparently, very little recent work has been done on the classification of mungbeans. A survey of existing germ plasm and classification of agronomic and botanical characters is an important phase of the mungbean improvement program.

The purpose of this study was to survey the mungbean strains available at the Oklahoma Agricultural Experiment Station and to classify the various characters to aid the mungbean improvement program.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Classification is, according to Lawrence (9), the grouping together of plants whose similarities are greater than their differences. Turrill (20) has suggested genetics as a method of studying taxonomic problems which cannot be solved by comparative morphology alone. Crane (6) believed that plants, in which we find no morphological differences and whose yields are not significantly different, are the same variety and should not be labeled differently. He suggested a well directed breeding program with properly conducted yield trials to eliminate the prevalence of "alike" varieties.

Anderson (2) has expressed disappointment in the fact that most taxonomic effort has been directed toward plants that are the least interesting and least important to man. He listed <u>Phaseolus</u> as an important genera deserving more taxonomic attention than it has received. Items which he believed should be found in the "inclusive herbarium" for the genus <u>Phaseolus</u> are: (1) pressed specimens of an average leaf, inflorescence and mature pod; (2) a sample of mature seed; (3) notes on flower color, including the keel, wings and standard; (4) notes and measurements as to the extent that the cotyledons remain above or below the ground after germinating; and (5) a photo of a mature plant showing branching habit.

Five Oriental species of <u>Phaseolus</u> have often been confused in the literature, according to Piper and Morse (12). The species are adsuki bean (<u>P. angularis</u>), moth bean (<u>P. aconitifolius</u>), mungbean

(P. aureus), rice bean (P. calcaratus) and urd (P. mungo).

Piper and Morse (12) have used the following key to distinguish the species:

There has been a great deal of confusion as to the proper scientific name to use for the mungbean. According to Piper and Morse (12), various botantists had described mungbeans before Linnaeus' time. They stated that Linnaeus, even though aware of the mungbean, did not give it a binomial name. Linnaeus had confused it with the urd and the soybean. He applied such names as $\underline{P} \cdot \underline{\max} L_{\cdot}$, $\underline{P} \cdot \underline{\min} L_{\cdot}$ and $\underline{P} \cdot \underline{radiatus}$ L. to the mungbean even though these names were used for other plants he had described.

Roxburgh named the mungbean in 1832, according to Piper and Morse (12). It was believed that Roxburgh had also confused the mungbean, since he had used Linnaeus' names <u>P. mungo</u> for the green seeded mungbean, <u>P. max</u> for the black seeded mungbean and <u>P. radiatus</u> for the urd. Roxburgh named the golden seeded mungbean <u>P. aureus</u>. He is credited for naming the mungbean, even though he meant the name to apply only to the yellow seeded type.

Piper and Morse (12) described the mungbean as an erect or suberect, rather hairy, much branched plant. Height 1 to 4 feet, depending

on the variety. Some types twining more or less at the tips of the stems and branches. The leaves trifoliate with large ovate entire or rarely tri-lobed leaflets. The flowers pale yellow, crowded in clusters of 10 to 25. The plant being somewhat intermediate in growth habit between the cowpea and soybean.

Bailey (3) has given the following description of the mungbean, which is also referred to as the green or golden gram: annual, taller and more erect than <u>P. mungo</u> (which he describes as one to three feet high) sometimes slightly twining at the tips. The calyx-bracts are ovate. The pods are 2 1/2 to 4 inches long, slender and have very short hairs. The seeds are rather small and usually green, but sometimes yellow or brown. The hilum is white and not concave. The germination is epigeal.

Piper and Morse (12) have listed as differences in varieties of mungbeans such characters as habit, plant size, maturity, pod color, seed size and seed color. They listed in their publication of Oriental species of beans notes on 91 lots of seed which they stated represented at least 16 distinct varieties, 11 of which could be distinguished by seed alone. However, they failed to mention the seed characters by which these varieties could be separated.

Caguicla (5) studied 13 varieties of mungbeans and reported the material was very heterozygous. She used the following seed color classes: dull yellow, shiny yellow, brownish yellow, dull green, shiny green, greenish yellow and black. She also noted differences in degree of pubescence, form of leaves, duration of flowering, maturity of seeds, average weight of green material, seed yield and plant height. Mean height ranged from 44.5 centimeters to 125.0 centimeters.

In studies on photoperiod, Allard and Zaumeyer (1) reported \underline{P} . <u>aureus</u> as bushy and erect under all photoperiods except the 18-hour day, which stimulated a strong twining habit.

A review of the literature indicates more work on classification has been reported on garden and field beans than on mungbeans. Since these species are close relatives and are similar in structure to the mungbean, a review of certain work on the above may be helpful.

Irish (7) based his classification of field beans primarily on the form and color of the seed. For secondary divisions he used plant and pod characters.

Tracy (19) believed that a classification on color and shape of seed in kidney field beans is faulty since it often separates varieties that are very similar in other respects, such as habit. He suggested a classification on major characters, such as: (1) habit of growth, (2) color of pod and (3) pod brittleness followed by subdivisions based on vine habit, pod shape and seed color. In the keys by which he separated various varieties he used 41 characters. Fourteen of these were plant characters, twenty-one were pod and six were seed characters.

A major classification of garden beans by Jarvis (8) was based on growth habit and pod color. The important seed characters he considered were: (1) lines radiating from the hilum to the dorsal margin, (2) length, (3) length-width ratio, (4) thickness, (5) seed and hilum markings, (6) outside and cross section shape, (7) hilum prominence and (8) hypocotyl prominence.

Steinmetz and Arny (16) have questioned the reliability of absolute measurements of seeds as a distinguishing factor in strains of field beans. They reported that pod characters were less affected by

environment than plant characters. They listed the following characters for classifying varieties of common field beans: (1) growth habit; (2) number and length of internodes; (3) character of leaf surface; (4) flower color; (5) time of maturity; (6) pod shape, texture and color; (7) length and width of pods; (8) position, length and shape of spur; and (9) dry seed characters including size, shape and color of seed coat, presence or absence of eye markings and color of eye markings. They also reported that temperature, moisture, productivity of the soil, and rate and date of planting affect seed size in <u>P. vulgaris</u>. Late and close plantings tended to decrease seed size.

۰.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

At the beginning of this study 138 lots of mungbean seed were available for observation. These lots represented 138 different strains or selections. The seeds had originally come from various sources. These sources included (1) plant selections made at the Oklahoma Agricultural Experiment Station, (2) seed from other agricultural experiment stations, (3) selections from farmers' fields, (4) purchases or gifts from seed dealers and (5) seed requested from the Plant Introduction Section of the United States Department of Agriculture.

Plantings were made at the Perkins Agronomy farm June 6, 1957. A July 8, planting was made at the Stillwater Agronomy farm which included five additional strains.

The nurseries were planted with a two-row V-belt planter at the rate of 6 viable seed per foot. The rows were spaced 40 inches apart. Mungbean inoculum was distributed in the row with the seed using a modified planter box equipped with tubes. Some strains were omitted from the observation nursery since they were represented in a replicated variety test at the Perkins Agronomy farm near the observation nursery.

The plot size of the observation nurseries consisted of two-row duplicate plots and single-row duplicate plots 19 feet long. Plot size in the variety test consisted of three replications of 4 rows, 19 feet long.

The mungbeans in the observation nursery at Perkins received two

surface irrigations for a total of approximately five inches of supplemental water. The variety test at Perkins and the plots at the Stillwater farm were not irrigated, but made adequate growth. The total precipitation from planting to maturity was 19 inches for Perkins and 7.4 inches for Stillwater. The plots were hoed and cultivated periodically to control the weeds. Good stands were obtained in plots and growth was rapid as a result of favorable moisture conditions during and immediately after planting.

Notes were taken during the growing season. Observation and measurement notes were taken on several characters to determine the most useful and reliable characters to be used in the classification. The characters studied included (1) growth habit, (2) height, (3) amount of pubescence, (4) color of pubescence, (5) amount of purple pigment, (6) flower color, (7) leaf size, (8) leaf texture, (9) leafiness, (10) lodging, (11) seed productivity and (12) maturity. A discussion of the procedure used for determining the various characteristics follows.

<u>Growth habit</u>. Plants were classed as bush, semi-vine or vine type with respect to habit of growth.

<u>Height</u>. Plant height was measured in decimeters from the ground level to the terminal point of the main stem. Measurements were recorded for the mean height of plants at three positions within each plot. These measurements were taken when most of the plants in each plot were in the late bloom or early pod stage of growth.

<u>Amount of pubescence</u>. Plants were examined to determine if there were any differences in the amount of pubescence on the stems, leaves and pods.

Color of pubescence. The color of the pubescence on the stems was

observed to determine of any differences existed.

<u>Amount of purple pigment</u>. The presence or absence and the degree of purple pigment of the stems and leaves was observed.

<u>Flower color</u>. The flowers were observed at different stages to determine color differences.

Leaf size. Relative leaf size was noted using the Jumbo strain as the standard for a large leaf and the strain Oklahoma 12 as the standard for small leaf. Strains intermediate in size were classed as medium.

Leaf <u>texture</u>. The texture of the leaves was classed as smooth or rough.

Leafiness. The amount of leaves in relation to the stems provided an estimate of the forage value of the strains. Strains were rated as poor, medium or excellent.

Lodging. The amount of lodging was expressed as none to very slight, some lodging or severe lodging.

<u>Seed productivity</u>. Seed yields were classed as excellent, good, fair, or poor and were based on a visual estimate of the number and size of pods per plant.

<u>Maturity</u>. Strains were classified as early, medium early, medium late or late with regard to maturity.

Plant specimens were gathered from many of the strains so that further observations, if necessary, could be made later in the laboratory.

Several pods were collected from each strain at maturity. The pods were collected at random from representative plants for the strain. In the laboratory, the pods were examined for characters that might be useful in the classification. Several pod and seed characters were studied. <u>Pod length</u>. Ten pods were picked at random from those gathered in the field. The pod length from the junction of the pedicel and the pod to the tip was measured and recorded in centimeters. The measurements were made with a flexible ruler which permitted curved pods to be measured. The variance for the pod lengths was computed for each of the strains. Bartlett's test of homogeneity (15) was applied to the variances to determine the degree of homogeniety among strains.

<u>Number of seeds per pod</u>. Seeds from each of the ten pods were counted as they were measured. Correlations of number of seeds per pod and pod length were computed using ten strains that showed apparent differences in vegetative or fruiting characteristics (15).

<u>Pod</u> <u>color</u>. The pod color was determined by placing the pods in paper plates and observing them under ordinary daylight.

After the pod characteristics were noted, the ten pods were shelled and the seeds obtained were studied for certain seed characteristics.

Seed surface. The surface of the seed was classed as shiny or dull.

Seed color. Seed color was observed and classed as yellow, green, brown or black.

<u>Seed size</u>. The length of five seeds selected at random from each strain was measured in millimeters using a vernier caliper.

<u>Seed</u> shape. Seeds of each strain were observed to determine if there were differences in the seed shapes.

<u>Seed quality</u>. Each strain was rated on seed quality based on a visual observation of the seed sample.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Vegetative Characters

<u>Growth habit</u>. The mungbean strains were classified according to their habit of growth as bush, semi-vine or vine (Appendix Table I). The frequency distribution of strains in each class of growth habit is shown in Table I. The true bush type was easily recognized. These plants had a definite bunchy and stiff appearance. The true vine was also easy to recognize because of the long central stem which was usually wavy and ascending. However, the intermediate types were difficult to classify. These types were slightly wavy at the tips and the stems did not end abruptly. Since they are intermediate between the bush and the vine type, they have been called semi-vine. Two strains contained both bush and vine plants. A typical bush and a typical vine type plant are shown in Figure 1.

TABLE I

Growth Habit	No. of Strains	Percent
Bush	105	76.1
Semi-vine	27	19.6
Vine	4	2.9
Bush & vine	2	1.4

FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF GROWTH HABIT



Figure 1. Growth habit types. The plant on the left, showing the vine habit of growth, is S-12-127-1. On the right is Okla. -12, a bush type plant.



Figure 2. Plant height. Short, medium and tall plant types are shown from left to right. The strains are Okla. -12, Perdue 3 and Golden, respectively. The black horizonal lines on the back board are at one foot intervals. <u>Plant height</u>. Plants representing each of the three height classes are shown in Figure 2. The mean plant heights among strains ranged from 3.0 to 11.0 decimeters (Figure 3). The strains in this study were grouped in the following three classes: short - 3.0 to 5.0 decimeters, medium - 5.5 to 8.0 decimeters and tall - 8.5 to 11.0 decimeters (Appendix Table II). Intra-strain plant heights were fairly uniform, however, inter-strain heights were variable.



Figure 3. Frequency histogram of plant height of 138 mungbean strains grown at Perkins and Stillwater, 1957.

<u>Amount of pubescence</u>. All of the strains showed some pubescence on both the plant and the pods. Pubescence was greatest on the young leaves, the terminal portions of the plant and the young pods. There appeared to be a difference in the amount of pubescence on the leaves, the lower portions of the plants and the pods. All young leaves and pods had a great amount of pubescence in all strains. As the plant matured, the amount of pubescence was reduced. There appeared to be a difference in the amount of pubescence retained as the leaves and pods matured. These differences are extremely difficult to ascertain. Differences in leaf pubescence are not included in this report. However, eight strains appeared to have more pubescence than most strains. These include Mungo (Ga.), P.I. 212907, P.I. 212908, P.I. 212909 (Mungo), P.I. 213015, P.I. 214334, P.I. 217955 and P.I. 223711.

<u>Pubescence color</u>. The color of the pubescence varied from a light brown to white. All strains had brown pubescence on the young stems, leaves and pods. Difficulty was encountered in trying to classify the color of the pubescence. The amount of light available and the position from which the plant was observed had the effect of changing the shade of color. Pubescence on the lower portions of the stem appeared to be more white in appearance. Apparently, lack of sunlight has some affect on the lack of color on the lower portions on the stems. White pubescence was particularily noted on the lower stems where the stand was thick and shading was prevalent. The color of pubescence does not appear to be of any value in the classification of mungbean strains because of the inconsistency of the color shades.

<u>Amount of purple pigment</u>. There appeared to be some differences in the amount of purple color in the leaves and stems of some of the strains. Some plants had very little purple color on the stems while other plants had greater amounts of purple color. Differences in the amount of color were quite variable within strains as well as between strains. Five strains were noted, however, that were completely devoid of any purple color. These strains were P.I. 212907, P.I. 212908, P.I. 213015, P.I. 214334 and P.I. 223711. The purple color, if present, is easily found at the base of the leaflet. Young seedlings will show the color as a purple tinge on the upper portions of the hypocotyl. The degree of purple color when present appears to be of little value in classification since the degree is difficult to establish. The absence or presence of the color appears to be a dependable character and may be helpful in identifying strains in the seedling stage.

Leaf size. Leaf size classification is shown in Appendix Table III. The frequency distribution according to leaf size is shown in Table II. Since leaf size between strains seemed to form a continuous distribution from small to large size, classification was difficult in some cases. The difference between small and large type leaves was evident, but those in the medium group were the most numerous and difficult to classify. One factor that tended to complicate this classification was the variation of leaf size on a single plant. This classification was based on the central leaf as it appeared to be the most consistent.

TABLE II

FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF LEAF SIZE

Leaf Size	No. of Strains	Percent
Small	17	12.3
Medium	104	75.4
Large	17	12.3

Leaf texture. A difference among strains was noted in the texture of the leaves. The strains are classified as smooth or rough in Appendix Table IV. The term rough means those leaves that appeared somewhat wrinkled in appearance. A strain with smooth leaves is shown in Figure 4 and one with rough leaves is shown in Figure 5. A frequency distribution of the leaf textures is shown in Table III. Strains with the smooth texture leaves were generally the short or dwarfy types.

Leafiness. The strains were classified as poor, medium or excellent on the basis of the leafiness character (Appendix Table V). The excellent types are those strains that exhibited many leaves with a



Figure 4. P. I. 227754 illustrates the smooth leaf type characteristic.



Figure 5. P. I. 200840 illustrates the rough or wrinkled leaf appearance.

very small amount of stem tissue. Since leaves are more desirable than stems in determining forage value, an estimate of the leafiness of a plant may be a good estimate of the forage value of the plant. Table IV shows the distribution of types based on leafiness. The leafier strains generally were poor seed producers. Figure 6 shows a strain rated excellent and a strain rated poor in leafiness.

TABLE III

FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF LEAF TEXTURE

Texture	No. of Strains	Percent
Smooth	11	8.0
Medium	56	40.6
Rough	71	51.4

TABLE IV

FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF LEAFINESS

Leafiness	No. of Strains	Percent
Poor	13	9.4
Medium	76	55.1
Excellent	49	35.5

Lodging. The strains are classified as to the amount of lodging in Appendix Table VI. Table V illustrates the distribution of the lodging character. High winds caused much lodging which apparently resulted from the rank growth under the high moisture conditions.



Figure 6. Jumbo (left) and P. I. 218103 (right) show poor and excellent leafiness.



Figure 7. OK 55-78 (left and Chivel 8726 (right) show none to very slight and severe lodging.

Lodging was probably more severe than usual. Figure 7 shows two strains differing in the amount of lodging. Strains that exhibited severe lodging would probably have high combine losses.

TABLE V

FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF LODGING

Degree of Lodging	No. of Strains	Percent
None to slight	26	18.8
Some	69	50.0
Severe	43	31.2

<u>Maturity</u>. The mungbean strains were classified as early, medium early, medium late or late according to time of maturity (Appendix Table VII). Table VI shows the distribution of maturity types. The pods on the individual plants of each strain failed to mature uniformly. However, pods on early maturing strains tended to mature more evenly. than pods on later maturing strains. The plants were considered mature when approximately 85% of the pods were ready for harvesting. Thirty plant introduction strains were late in maturing and some failed to set much seed after blooming (see Figure 10). Since some mungbeans are reported to respond to short day length, it would appear that some of these strains might be better adapted in a more southern location (1).

1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -

TABLE VI

Maturity	No. of Strains	Percent
Early	21	15.2
Medium early	59	42.8
Medium late	28	20.3
Late	30	21.7

FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF MATURITY

Fruiting Characters

<u>Flower color</u>. The open flowers of all strains were light yellow in color. The bases of the wings and keel tended to show a light purple tinge in most strains. However, five strains were noted that had no purple tinge. The strains exhibiting this lack of purple tinge were the same strains that were noted earlier as being devoid of the purple pigment. The flowers of these strains appeared to be a more brilliant yellow than flowers showing the purple tinge.

Pod length. Pod length between strains and within strains was quite variable. The variances for ten sub-samples for each strain were calculated. Bartlett's test of homogeneity gave a chi square value of 451.7 This large a value indicates a highly significant difference in the variances of pod lengths within strains. Pod length of the strains were classified as short, medium or long in Appendix Table VIII and their distributions are presented graphically in Figure 8.



MEAN POD LENGTH IN CENTIMENTERS

Figure 8. Frequency histogram of mean pod length of 138 mungbean strains grown at Perkins and Stillwater, 1957.

<u>Mean number of seeds per pod</u>. The strains were classified as few, medium or many as to the average number of seeds per pod (Appendix Table IX). The distribution of average seeds per pod is illustrated in Figure 9. The results of a simple linear correlation of number of seeds per pod and pod length are shown in Table VII. Their values ranged from 0.326 to 0.896. Five strains showed highly significant correlations, two strains showed significant correlations and three strains were not significantly correlated. It appears that the number of seeds per pod and pod length are not always as closely correlated as one might expect.

<u>Pod color</u>. Although there are varying degrees of pod color, yellow, brown and black appear to be the most useful in the classification. Since these colors form a continuous distribution from one class to another, classification of pod color was often very difficult. The yellow colored class (which includes greenish-yellow to yellow) is fairly definite; however, the brown class includes considerable variation from a light brown to a very dark brown color. There is the possibility of putting some of the very dark brown colors in the black



MEAN NUMBER OF SEEDS PER POD

Figure 9. Frequency histogram of mean number of seeds per pod of 138 mungbean strains grown at Perkins and Stillwater, 1957.

TABLE VII

CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS OF SEEDS PER POD AND POD. LENGTH_OF TEN. MUNGBEAN_STRAINS

1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	
Variety	Correlation Coefficient ¹
Chivel 8726	0.522
Golden	0.751*
Ill. 3	0.326
Jumbo (Palecek)	0.890**
Korean 8343	0.765**
Okla 12	0.612
P.I. 223711	0.714*
Purdue	0.896**
Pusa	0 . 847**
Stritzaka	0.803**

l/Calculations based on 10 pods for each strain. *Significant

**Highly significant

. .

class. Some variation of pod color was noted on single plants. The lighter colored pods were usually located near the top of the plant, while the dark colors were located in lower positions, indicating that pods tend to turn a darker color with maturity. Color class distribution is shown in Table VIII. The classification of strains based on pod color is shown in Appendix Table X.

TABLE VIII

FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF POD COLOR

	Pod Color	No. of S trains	Percent
614	Yellow	19	13.8
	Brown	94	68.1
	Black	24	17.4
	Mixed	l	0.7

<u>Seed productivity</u>. The strains were rated as excellent, good, fair or poor according to their productivity (Appendix Table XI). The distribution of seed productivity ratings is shown in Table IX. Although a variety yield test will give a more accurate comparison of seed productivity, an estimate by observation can eliminate those strains which are poor producers. Only the strains rated good or excellent in this study show any promise of possessing desirable seed production factors. Figure 10 shows a comparison between an excellent seed producer and a poor seed producer.

<u>Seed surface</u>. Seed surface was one of the easiest characters to recognize. The strains were classified as dull or shiny on the basis of seed surface (Appendix Table XII). Table X shows the frequency



Figure 10. S-12-201 (left) and P. I. 223003 (right) show excellent and poor seed productivity. The leaves have been removed.

distribution of seed surface types. The seed surface character seems to be very important since most commercial sprouters insist on the shiny or so called "Oriental" types of mungbeans. Yellow seeds may be either shiny or dull. However, shiny yellow seeds are not as glossy in appearance as are the shiny green seeds.

TABLE IX

FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF SEED PRODUCTIVITY

Estimated Yield	No. of S trains	Percent
Poor	41	29.7
Fair	33	23.9
Good	33	23.9
Excellent	31	22.5

TABLE X

FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF SEED SURFACE

Surface	No.º of Strains	Percent
S hiny	105	76.1
Dull	28	20.3
Mixed	5	3.6

<u>Seed color</u>. Seed color was quite easy to distinguish. The strains were classified as yellow, green, brown or black on the basis of their seed colors (Appendix Table XIII). The distribution of seed colors is shown in Table XI. The black types were somewhat mottled while the other colors appeared to be uniformly one color, except for the white hilums. Although there appears to be variations in the green color, distinct shades were difficult to determine. The color green in this classification includes shades of green varying from olive green to light green.

TABLE XI

FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF SEED COLOR

Color	No. of S trains	Percent
Yellow	5	3.6
Green	124	89.9
Brown	3	2.2
Black	6	4.3

<u>Seed size</u>. The strains were classified as small, medium small, medium large or large on seed size (Appendix Table XIV). Figure 11 shows the distribution of average seed sizes. Although the seeds examined appeared fairly uniform in size, environmental factors may have an effect making the value of absolute measurements questionable.

<u>Seed shape</u>. The strains were classified on seed shape as square, round or intermediate ends (Appendix Table XV). The class intermediate includes those strains that had both square and round seed ends. In most cases the distinction of class was fairly easy. However, in some instances classification was difficult and strains were put in the intermediate class if there was a doubt as to which class it belonged. Table XII shows the frequency distribution of seed shape. The shape of the seed ends appears to be a fairly good character to use in classifying the strains.



Figure 11. Frequency histogram of mean seed size of 138 mungbean strains grown at Perkins and Stillwater, 1957

TABLE XII

FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF SEED SHAPE

Shape	No. of S train	Percent
Round	46	33.3
Intermediate	48	34.8
S quare	44 4	31.9

<u>Seed quality</u>. The strains were classified as poor, fair, good or excellent based on this character (Appendix Table XVI). The distribution of seed quality is shown in Table XIII. Demands of the commercial sprouters largely determine the seed quality factors. This classi-

fication of seed quality is based on those factors considered important by the commercial sprouters. Strains rated poor on seed quality had one or more of the following undesirable characteristics: (1) small seed, (2) lack of uniformity of size and shape, (3) lack of plumpness (wrinkled seed coats) and (4) discolored and diseased seed.

Quality	No. of S trains	Percënt
Poor	47	34.1
Fair	61	44.2
Good	24	17.4
Excellent	6	4.3

TABLE XIII

FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF SEED QUALITY

Summaries of the vegetative and fruiting characteristics studied in 1957, are shown in Appendix Tables XVII and XVIII, respectively.
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

A survey of 138 mungbean strains was conducted at the Perkins and Stillwater Agronomy farms in the summer of 1957. Notes were taken during the growing season and further studies were made in the laboratory to determine the most useful agronomic and botanical characters to be used in a classification.

The characters that appeared to be most useful in the classification were:

(1)	Growth habit	(9)	Seed productivity
(2)	Height	(10)	Number of seeds per
(3)	Absence or presence of purple plant pigment	(11)	Fod color
(4)	Leaf size	(12)	Seed surface
(5)	Leaf texture	(13)	Seed color
(6)	Leafiness	(14)	Seed size
(7)	Lodging	(15)	Seed shape
(8)	Maturity	(16)	Seed quality

1 .

Pod length and number of seeds per pod appeared to be highly correlated in some strains but only slightly correlated in other strains.

There was a highly significant difference in the variances of pod length of the different strains.

This study was not designed as a genetic study; however, some assumptions may be made from the data collected. Seed color, seed

30

surface and absence or presence of purple plant pigment formed discontinuous distributions and are probably controlled by only one or two factor pairs. Pod color, although forming color classes of yellow, brown and black, appeared to form continuous distributions from one class to the other indicating that pod color is not controlled by a single factor pair.

Further genetical and breeding studies on mungbeans are needed to establish the breeding behavior of the various characters. This classification furnishes a starting point for such studies.

Further studies are needed to determine the dependability of the expression of the characters in this classification under various environmental conditions.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Allard, H. A. and W. J. Zaumeyer. Responses of beans (Phaseolus) and other legumes to length of day. U. S. D. A. Tech. Bul. 867. 1944.
- 2. Anderson, Edgar. Inclusive herbaria. Indian Jour. Genet. 11:1-3. 1951.
- 3. Bailey, L. H. Manual of Cultivated Plants. MacMillan, New York. 1949.
- 4. Briggs, H. M. Wheat, mungbeans and prairie hay in lamb fattening rations. Okla. Agr. Exp. Sta. Mimeo. Cir. M-90. 1943.
- Caguicla, Purificacion M. Selection of varieties and strains of mungo (Phaseolus aureus Roxb.) Phil. Agr. 22:23-37. 1933.
- 6. Crane, M. B. The classification of horticultural plants, varieties, synonyms and strains. Royal Hort. Soc. Jour. 71:56-61.
- 7. Irish, H. C. Garden beans cultivated as esculents. Mo. Bot. Gard. Ann. Rpt. 21:81-165. 1901.
- 8. Jarvis, C. D. American varieties of beans. Cornell Univ. Agr. Exp. Sta. Bul. 260. 1908.
- 9. Lawrence, George H. M. An Introduction to Plant Taxonomy. MacMillan, New York. 1955.
- 10. Ligon, L. L. Mungbeans, a legume for seed and forage production. Okla. Agr. Exp. Sta. Bul. 284. 1945.
- 11. Morse, W. J. Mungbean in United States agriculture. U. S. D. A. Yearbook of Agr. 1926. pp. 537-538. 1927.
- 12. Piper, C. V. and W. J. Morse. Five oriental species of beans. U. S. D. A. Bul. 119. 1914.
- 13. Ronning, Magnar, A. H. Kuhlman, H. W. Cave, and Willis D. Gallup. Feeding tests with mungbean forage and seed in dairy rations. Okla. Agr. Exp. Sta. Bul. B-403. 1953.
- 14. Ross, O. B., A. E. Darlow, R. W. MacVicar, and Dwight F. Stephens. The value of mungbeans for fattening calves. Okla. Agr. Exp. Sta. Bul. B-370. 1951.

- 15. Snedecor, George W. Statistical Methods. Fifth edition. The Iowa State College Press. Ames, Iowa. 1956.
- 16. Steinmetz, F. H. and A. C. Arny. A classification of the varieties of field beans, <u>Phaseolus vulgaris</u>. Jour. Agr. Res. 45:1-50. 1932.
- 17. Thayer, Rollin H. and V. G. Heller. Mungbeans as a poultry feed. Okla. Agr. Exp. Sta. Bul. B-336. 1949.
- 18. Thompson, C. P. and J. C. Hillier. Mungbeans as a protein supplement for growing and fattening swine. Okla. Agr. Exp. Sta. Mimeo. Cir. 81. 1942.
- 19. Tracy, W. W. Jr. American varieties of garden beans. U. S. D. A. Bur. Plant Industry. Bul. 109. 1907.
- 20. Turrill, W. B. Contacts between plant classification and experimental botany. Nature. 137:563-566. 1936.

APPENDIX

APPENDIX TABLE I

GROWTH HABIT CLASSIFICATION OF 138 MUNGBEAN STRAINS GROWN AT PERKINS AND STILLWATER, 1957

······	Bush		Semi-Vine	Vine
Golden Green Green Mung (Ga.) Ill. 3 Jumbo Jumbo (Palecek) Jumbo (Texas) K 853-1 Korean 2310 M.B. Indian Mungo (Ga.) O. Mungs Okla 12 OK 55-1 OK 55-2 OK 55-2 OK 55-2 OK 55-2 OK 55-26 OK 55-26 OK 55-26 OK 55-25 OK 55-26 OK 55-26 OK 55-26 OK 55-26 OK 55-26 OK 55-26 OK 55-26 OK 55-27 OK 55-41 OK 55-41 OK 55-41 OK 55-41 OK 55-64 OK 55-70 OK 55-77 OK 55-78 OK 55-78 OK 55-79 OK 55-81 OK 55-90 OK 55-92 OK 55-92 OK 55-92 OK 55-92 Purdue Purdue 2-1 Purdue 2-2 Purdue 3 Pusa	Pusa 23-8394 Pusa 28 Pusa 288344-1 Pusa 288344-3 S-12-126 S-12-128-1 S-12-128-4 S-12-128-4 S-12-128-6 S-12-204 S-12-204 S-12-204 S-12-204 S-12-204 S-12-203 S-12-204 S-12-203 S-12-	P.I. 217954 P.I. 217955 P.I. 217956 P.I. 217957 P.I. 218103 P.I. 219699 P.I. 220108 P.I. 220303 P.I. 220816 P.I. 222816 P.I. 223522 P.I. 223523 P.I. 223523 P.I. 223523 P.I. 223710 P.I. 226658 P.I. 227754 P.I. 229707	Chivel 8726 Indian 8262 Th x P-188 P.I. 211066 P.I. 211735 P.I. 212109 P.I. 212109 P.I. 212907 P.I. 212907 P.I. 212908 P.I. 213015 P.I. 214063 P.I. 214063 P.I. 214063 P.I. 220304 P.I. 220305 P.I. 220305 P.I. 223002 P.I. 223003 P.I. 223003 P.I. 223003 P.I. 223003 P.I. 223281 P.I. 223711 P.I. 223802 P.I. 227041 P.I. 227247 P.I. 227248 P.I. 227248 P.I. 227291 P.I. 229708	Korean 8343 S-12-127-1 S-12-200 S-125

APPENDIX TABLE II

S hort	Medium	8 dm.	Tall
3 to 5 dm.	5.5 to		8.5 to ll dm.
<pre>Ill. 3-3 Korean 2310 Korean 8343 O. Mungs Okla 12 OK 55-1 OK 55-6 OK 55-26 OK 55-35 OK 55-41 OK 55-67 OK 55-69 OK 55-79 OK 55-90 S-12-186 S-12-201 S-12-204 S-12-203 S-185 Stritzaka 12-9 Stritzaka 12-87 Yreba Mung P.I. 212909 (Mungo) P.I. 229708</pre>	Chivel 8726 Green Green Mung (Ga.) Ill. 3 Indian 8262 Jumbo Jumbo (Palecek) Jumbo (Texas) K 853-1 M.B. Indian Mungo (Ga.) OK 55-5 OK 55-10 OK 55-10 OK 55-25 OK 55-47 OK 55-48 OK 55-51 OK 55-64 OK 55-77 OK 55-78 OK 55-78 OK 55-81 OK 55-82 OK 55-82 OK 55-92 OK 55-99 Purdue Purdue 2-1 Purdue 2-2 Purdue 3 Pusa Pusa 23-8394 Pusa 28 Pusa 23-8394 Pusa 28 Pusa 23-8394 Pusa 28 Pusa 28344-1 Pusa 28 Pusa 28344-1 Pusa 28 Pusa 28344-1 Pusa 28 Pusa 23-8394 Pusa 28 Pusa 28-12-126 S-12-128-1 S-12-128-1 S-12-128-6 S-12-200 S-12-701 S-125 Sel. 44 Stritzaka Th x P-62 Th x P-188 Th x P-226188	328-38-211 329-28 P.I. 164301 P.I. 164301-3 P.I. 164301-3 P.I. 164720 P.I. 164720 P.I. 164778 P.I. 179960-1 P.I. 183065 P.I. 207504 P.I. 211066 P.I. 211067 P.I. 211612 P.I. 212109 P.I. 212109 P.I. 212109 P.I. 212319 P.I. 214062 P.I. 214063 P.I. 214063 P.I. 217955 P.I. 217955 P.I. 217955 P.I. 217955 P.I. 217955 P.I. 217957 P.I. 218103 P.I. 219699 P.I. 220303 P.I. 220304 P.I. 220305 P.I. 223280 P.I. 223280 P.I. 223281 P.I. 223281 P.I. 223710 P.I. 223710 P.I. 223710 P.I. 227248 P.I. 227248 P.I. 227248 P.I. 227248 P.I. 229707	Golden S-12-199 P.I. 197019 P.I. 211615 P.I. 211735 P.I. 211737 P.I. 212614 P.I. 212907 P.I. 212908 P.I. 213015 P.I. 213015 P.I. 215650 P.I. 217953 P.I. 220672 P.I. 223711 P.I. 223802 P.I. 227041 P.I. 227041 P.I. 227291

.

PLANT HEIGHT CLASSIFICATION OF 138 MUNGBEAN STRAINS GROWN AT PERKINS AND STILLWATER, 1957

APPENDIX TABLE III

Small	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Medium	Large
Korean 2310 Korean 8343 O. Mungs Okla 12 S-12-127-1 S-12-186 S-12-2320 S-125 S-185 Stritzaka 12-9 Stritzaka 12-87 Yreba Mung P.I. 218103 P.I. 220815 P.I. 226658 P.I. 227754	Chivel 8726 Golden Green Mung (Ga.) Ill. 3 Ill. 3-3 Indian 8262 K 853-1 M.B. Indian Mungo (Ga.) OK 55-1 OK 55-5 OK 55-6 OK 55-6 OK 55-6 OK 55-64 OK 55-64 OK 55-67 OK 55-70 OK 55-70 OK 55-78 OK 55-79 OK 55-79 OK 55-81 OK 55-92 OK 55-92 OK 55-92 OK 55-99 Purdue Purdue 2-1 Purdue 2-2 Purdue 3 Pusa 28 Pusa 28344-3 S-12-128-1 S-12-128-1 S-12-128-1 S-12-128-1 S-12-204 S-12-204 S-12-204 S-12-201 S-12-204 S-12-204 S-12-204 S-12-204	Th x P-62 P.I. 223280 Th x P-188 P.I. 223281 Th x P-226188 P.I. 223522 328-38-211 P.I. 223523 329-28 P.I. 223710 P.I. 164301 P.I. 223802 P.I. 164301-3 P.I. 227041 P.I. 164336-4 P.I. 227247 P.I. 164336-4 P.I. 227247 P.I. 164720 P.I. 227248 P.I. 179960-1 P.I. 227291 P.I. 183065 P.I. 229707 P.I. 197019 P.I. 229708 P.I. 207504 P.I. 211066 P.I. 211067 P.I. 211612 P.I. 211615 P.I. 211615 P.I. 211615 P.I. 212109 P.I. 21209 P.I. 212319 P.I. 212614 P.I. 21209 P.I. 21209 P.I. 212319 P.I. 2124062 P.I. 214063 P.I. 214063 P.I. 214063 P.I. 217955 P.I. 217955 P.I. 217955 P.I. 217956 P.I. 217957 P.I. 219699 P.I. 22008 P.I. 22008 P.I. 22008 P.I. 22008 P.I. 220072 P.I. 22008 P.I. 22008 P.I. 220072 P.I. 22008 P.I. 220072 P.I. 220072	Green Jumbo (Palecek) Jumbo (Texas) OK 55-26 OK 55-35 OK 55-41 OK 55-47 OK 55-48 OK 55-51 OK 55-77 P.I. 164778 P.I. 212908 P.I. 212908 P.I. 213015 P.I. 214334 P.I. 223711

LEAF SIZE CLASSIFICATION OF 138 MUNGBEAN STRAINS GROWN AT PERKINS AND STILLWATER, 1957

APPENDIX TABLE IV

Smooth	Medi	um	Rou	gh
Korean 8343 O. Mungs Okla 12 S-12-127-1 S-12-186 S-12-2320 S-125 S-185 Stritzaka 12-87 Yreba Mung P.I. 227754	Chivel 8726 Green Ill. 3 Ill. 3-3 Indian 8262 K 853-1 Korean 2310 OK 55-1 OK 55-6 OK 55-10 OK 55-26 OK 55-26 OK 55-35 OK 55-41 OK 55-67 OK 55-67 OK 55-67 OK 55-67 OK 55-69 OK 55-79 OK 55-81 OK 55-82 OK 55-82 OK 55-90 Purdue Purdue 2-1 Purdue 2-2 Purdue 3 Pusa 23-8394 Pusa 23-8394 Pusa 28 Pusa 288344-3 S-12-128-1 S-12-128-1 S-12-128-6 S-12-128-1 S-12-128-6 S-12-128-6 S-12-128-6 S-12-128-6 S-12-200 S-12-201 S-12-201 S-12-201 S-12-201 S-12-201 S-12-201 S-12-201 S-12-201 S-12-201 S-12-201 S-12-201 S-12-201 S-12-204 S-12-201 S-12-201 S-12-201 S-12-201 S-12-201 S-12-201 S-12-201 S-12-201 S-12-201 S-12-201 S-12-203 S-12-203 S-12-204 S-12-203 S-12-204 S-12-203 S-12-204 S-12-203 S-12-204 S-12-204 S-12-204 S-12-203 S-12-204 S-12-203 S-12-204 S-12-204 S-12-203 S-12-204 S-12-20	328-38-211 329-28 P.I. 164301-3 P.I. 164336-4 P.I. 164778 P.I. 179960-1 P.I. 183065 P.I. 214063 P.I. 223522 P.I. 223802	Golden Green Mung (Ga.) Jumbo Jumbo (Palecek) Jumbo(Texas) M.B. Indian Mungo(Ga.) OK 55-5 OK 55-25 OK 55-47 OK 55-48 OK 55-48 OK 55-51 OK 55-70 OK 55-70 OK 55-77 OK 55-78 OK 55-78 OK 55-79 P.I. 164720 P.I. 21709 P.I. 211066 P.I. 211067 P.I. 211612 P.I. 211612 P.I. 211613 P.I. 211613 P.I. 211615 P.I. 211615 P.I. 211615 P.I. 211615 P.I. 211615 P.I. 211615 P.I. 211735 P.I. 211735 P.I. 212109 P.I. 21209 P.I. 21209 P.I. 212909 P.I. 212909 P.I. 212909 P.I. 212909 P.I. 212909 P.I. 213015 P.I. 214335 P.I. 214335 P.I. 214335 P.I. 217954 P.I. 217954 P.I. 217954	P.I. 217956 P.I. 217957 P.I. 218103 P.I. 219699 P.I. 220108 P.I. 220303 P.I. 220305 P.I. 220305 P.I. 220816 P.I. 220816 P.I. 222816 P.I. 223002 P.I. 223002 P.I. 223003 P.I. 223281 P.I. 223281 P.I. 223523 P.I. 223710 P.I. 223711 P.I. 226558 P.I. 227041 P.I. 227248 P.I. 227248 P.I. 227248 P.I. 227248 P.I. 227291 P.I. 229707 P.I. 229708

LEAF TEXTURE CLASSIFICATION OF 138 MUNGBEAN STRAINS GROWN AT PERKINS AND STILLWATER, 1957

APPENDIX TABLE V

Poor	Me	dium	Excellent
Jumbo Korean 2310 Mungo (Ga.) OK 55-25 OK 55-48 OK 55-64 OK 55-81 OK 55-82 Pusa S-12-127-1 S-12-701 P.I. 164778	<pre>Ill. 3 Ill. 3-3 Jumbo (Palecek) Jumbo (Texas) K 853-1 Korean 8343 M.B. Indian O. Mungs Okla 12 OK 55-1 OK 55-5 OK 55-6 OK 55-6 OK 55-70 OK 55-44 OK 55-44 OK 55-44 OK 55-47 OK 55-67 OK 55-70 OK 55-70 OK 55-70 OK 55-90 OK 55-90 OK 55-92 OK 55-92 OK 55-99 Purdue Purdue 2-1 Purdue 2-2 Purdue 3 Pusa 23-8394 Pusa 28 Pusa 288344-1 Pusa 28 Pusa 288344-3 S-12-126 S-12-128-4 S-12-126 S-12-201 S-12-201 S-12-204 S-12-203 S-12-200 S-12-203 S-12-204 S-12-213 S-12-204 S-12-203 S-12-204 S-12-203 S-12-204 S-12-204 S-12-204 S-12-204 S-12-205 S-125 S-185 Sel. 44 Stritzaka 12-9</pre>	Stritzaka 12-87 Th x P-62 Yreba Mung 328-38-211 329-28 P.I. 164301 P.I. 164301-3 P.I. 164720 P.I. 179960-1 P.I. 207504 P.I. 211735 P.I. 211736 P.I. 212319 P.I. 212907 P.I. 212908 P.I. 213015 P.I. 213015 P.I. 214063 P.I. 219699 P.I. 220305 P.I. 220672 P.I. 220816 P.I. 223281 P.I. 223522 P.I. 223711 P.I. 227754	Chivel 8726 Golden Green Mung (Ga.) Indian 8262 OK 55-78 S-12-128-1 S-12-128-6 S-12-200 Th x P-188 Th x P-26188 P.I. 164336-4 P.I. 183065 P.I. 197019 P.I. 211612 P.I. 211612 P.I. 211615 P.I. 211615 P.I. 211615 P.I. 212109 P.I. 212614 P.I. 21209 P.I. 212614 P.I. 212909 (Munge P.I. 214062 P.I. 214062 P.I. 214062 P.I. 217953 P.I. 217953 P.I. 217954 P.I. 217955 P.I. 217955 P.I. 217955 P.I. 217955 P.I. 217955 P.I. 217955 P.I. 217957 P.I. 218103 P.I. 220108 P.I. 220303 P.I. 220303 P.I. 220303 P.I. 22302 P.I. 223003 P.I. 22

.

LEAFINESS CLASSIFICATION OF 138 MUNGBEAN STRAINS GROWN AT PERKINS AND STILLWATER, 1957

.

APPENDIX TABLE VI

LODGING CLASSIFICATION OF 138 MUNGBEAN STRAINS GROWN AT PERKINS AND STILLWATER, 1957

None to Slight		Some	Severe
Golden Green Mung (Ga.) Ill. 3-3 Korean 8343 O. Mungs Okla 12 OK 55-44 OK 55-70 OK 55-77 OK 55-78 OK 55-79 S-12-186 S-12-213 S-12-2320 S-125 S-185 Yreba Mung P.I. 197019 P.I. 211066 P.I. 211067 F.I. 211614 P.I. 212909 (Mungo) P.I. 214335 P.I. 222816 P.I. 223003 P.I. 227754	Jumbo Korean 2310 M.B. Indian Mungo (Ga.) OK 55-5 OK 55-6 OK 55-10 OK 55-25 OK 55-48 OK 55-51 OK 55-67 OK 55-69 Purdue 2-1 Pusa S-12-126 S-12-127-1 S-12-128-6 S-12-128-6 S-12-199 S-12-201 S-12-204 Stritzaka 12-9 Stritzaka 12-9 Stritzaka 12-87 Th x P-62 328-38-211 P.I. 164301 P.I. 164301 P.I. 164301 P.I. 21613 P.I. 211613 P.I. 211613 P.I. 211613 P.I. 211615 P.I. 211735 P.I. 211735 P.I. 212109 P.I. 212319 P.I. 21209 P.I. 212319 P.I. 212614 P.I. 214334 P.I. 215650 P.I. 217953 P.I. 217955 P.I. 217955 P.I. 217956 P.I. 217957 P.I. 218103 P.I. 219699 P.I. 220108	P.I. 220303 P.I. 220304 P.I. 220305 P.I. 220815 P.I. 220816 P.I. 223002 P.I. 223280 P.I. 223280 P.I. 223523 P.I. 223523 P.I. 223710 P.I. 223711 P.I. 223711 P.I. 223711 P.I. 227041 P.I. 227041 P.I. 227247 P.I. 227248 P.I. 227248 P.I. 227291 P.I. 229708	Chivel 8726 Green Ill. 3 Indian 8262 Jumbo (Palecek) Jumbo (Texas) K 853-1 OK 55-1 OK 55-26 OK 55-26 OK 55-35 OK 55-47 OK 55-64 OK 55-81 OK 55-82 OK 55-90 OK 55-92 OK 55-92 OK 55-99 Purdue Purdue 2-2 Purdue 3 Pusa 23-8394 Pusa 28 Pusa 28344-1 Pusa 28344-3 S-12-128-1 S-12-128-1 S-12-128-1 S-12-200 S-12-701 Sel. 44 Stritzaka Th x P-188 Th x P-286 P.I. 164301-3 P.I. 164778 P.I. 164778 P.I. 179960-1 P.I. 183065 P.I. 212908 P.I. 212908 P.I. 212908 P.I. 213015 P.I. 214063

APPENDIX TABLE VII

MATURITY CLASSIFICATION OF 138 MUNGBEAN STRAINS GROWN AT PERKINS AND STILLWATER, 1957

Early	Medium Ea	arly	Medium Late	Late
Korean 2310 Korean 8343 M.B. Indian O. Mungs Okla 12 OK 55-44 OK 55-67 OK 55-69 OK 55-79 S-12-126 S-12-126 S-12-127-1 S-12-186 S-12-201 S-12-213 S-12-2320 S-185 Stritzaka 12-9 Stritzaka 12-9	Green Ill. 3 Ill. 3-3 Indian 8262 Jumbo Jumbo (Palecek) Jumbo (Texas) K 853-1 OK 55-1 OK 55-1 OK 55-25 OK 55-25 OK 55-25 OK 55-25 OK 55-25 OK 55-25 OK 55-25 OK 55-41 OK 55-41 OK 55-41 OK 55-41 OK 55-41 OK 55-51 OK 55-64 OK 55-77 OK 55-78 OK 55-78 OK 55-82 OK 55-90 OK 55-92 OK 55-99 Purdue Purdue 2-1	Purdue 2-2 Purdue 3 Pusa Pusa 23-8394 Pusa 28 Pusa 288344-1 Pusa 288344-3 S-12-128-1 S-12-128-4 S-12-128-6 S-12-128-6 S-12-128-6 S-12-128-6 S-12-204 S-1	Chivel 8726 Golden Green Mung (Ga.) Mungo (Ga.) P.I. 164301 P.I. 207504 P.I. 212319 P.I. 212614 P.I. 212908 P.I. 212908 P.I. 212909 (Mungo) P.I. 212909 (Mungo) P.I. 213015 P.I. 213015 P.I. 214062 P.I. 214335 P.I. 217953 P.I. 217953 P.I. 217954 P.I. 217957 P.I. 219699 P.I. 220816 P.I. 223522 P.I. 223523 P.I. 223711 P.I. 229707 P.I. 229707	P.I. 197019 P.I. 211066 P.I. 211067 P.I. 211612 P.I. 211613 P.I. 211613 P.I. 211615 P.I. 211735 P.I. 211735 P.I. 211736 P.I. 211737 P.I. 212109 P.I. 217955 P.I. 217955 P.I. 217956 P.I. 217955 P.I. 217956 P.I. 218103 P.I. 220303 P.I. 220303 P.I. 220304 P.I. 220305 P.I. 220305 P.I. 220305 P.I. 220305 P.I. 220305 P.I. 220305 P.I. 220305 P.I. 220305 P.I. 220305 P.I. 220303 P.I. 223802 P.I. 2

.

APPENDIX TABLE VIII

MEAN POD LENGTH CLASSIFICATION OF 138 MUNGBEAN STRAINS GROWN AT PERKINS AND STILLWATER, 1957

APPENDIX TABLE IX

MEAN NUMBER OF SEEDS PER POD CLASSIFICATION OF 138 MUNGBEAN STRAINS GROWN AT PERKINS AND STILLWATER, 1957

Few		Medium	Many
5 to 9		10 to 12	13 to 16
Korean 8343 Mungo (Ga.) O. Mungs Okla 12 OK 55-44 S-12-2320 S-185 Stritzaka 12-87 329-28 P.I. 197019 P.I. 211612 P.I. 211613 P.I. 211615 P.I. 211735 P.I. 211735 P.I. 212109 P.I. 212909 (Mungo) P.I. 217955 P.I. 218103 P.I. 219699 P.I. 220303 P.I. 220304 P.I. 220304 P.I. 220304 P.I. 220304 P.I. 223815 P.I. 223816 P.I. 223002 P.I. 223281 P.I. 226658 P.I. 227041	Chivel 8726 Golden Ill. 3 Ill. 3-3 Indian 8262 Jumbo K $853-1$ Korean 2310 M.B. Indian OK 55-5 OK 55-6 OK 55-10 OK 55-25 OK 55-26 OK 55-26 OK 55-26 OK 55-26 OK 55-41 OK 55-41 OK 55-41 OK 55-41 OK 55-64 OK 55-67 OK 55-70 OK 55-70 OK 55-70 OK 55-70 OK 55-70 OK 55-78 OK 55-79 OK 55-81 OK 55-82 OK 55-90 OK 55-90 OK 55-92 OK 55-99 Purdue Purdue 2-1 Purdue 2-2 Purdue 3 Pusa 23-8394 Pusa 28 Pusa 28344-1 Pusa 28 Pusa 28344-3 S-12-126 S-12-128-1 S-12-128-1 S-12-128-6 S-12-199	<pre>\$-12-201 \$-12-213 \$-12-701 \$-125 Sel. 44 Stritzaka Stritzaka 12-9 Th x P-62 Th x P-188 Th x P-226188 Yreba Mung 328-38-211 P.I. 164301-3 P.I. 164301-3 P.I. 164778 P.I. 179960-1 P.I. 183065 P.I. 211736 P.I. 212319 P.I. 212614 P.I. 212907 P.I. 212908 P.I. 212908 P.I. 212907 P.I. 212908 P.I. 212908 P.I. 213015 P.I. 214063 P.I. 214063 P.I. 214053 P.I. 214053 P.I. 217954 P.I. 217955 P.I. 217955 P.I. 217954 P.I. 217957 P.I. 220305 P.I. 220305 P.I. 220305 P.I. 223802 P.I. 223802 P.I. 223522 P.I. 223710 P.I. 223802 P.I. 227248 P.I. 227248 P.I. 227248 P.I. 227291 P.I. 22707 P.I. 229707 P.I. 229707 P.I. 229707 P.I. 229708</pre>	Green Green Mung (Ga.) Jumbo (Palecek) Jumbo (Texas) OK 55-1 OK 55-51 S-12-200 P.I. 164301 P.I. 164336-4 P.I. 164720 P.I. 207504 P.I. 21067 P.I. 223523 P.I. 223711 P.I. 227247

APPENDIX TABLE X

Yellow	Br	own	Black	Mixed
Chivel 8726 Golden Green Mung (Ga.) OK 55-81 S-12-128-1 S-12-128-6 P.I. 197019 P.I. 207504 P.I. 212907 P.I. 212908 P.I. 213015 P.I. 214062 P.I. 213015 P.I. 214062 P.I. 219699 P.I. 223522 P.I. 223523 P.I. 223711 P.I. 223802	Ill. 3 Ill. 3-3 Indian 8262 Jumbo (Palecek) Jumbo (Texas) K 853-1 Korean 2310 Korean 8343 M.B. Indian O. Mungs Okla 12 OK 55-44 OK 55-44 OK 55-47 OK 55-48 OK 55-51 OK 55-69 OK 55-70 OK 55-70 OK 55-70 OK 55-70 OK 55-92 OK 55-92 OK 55-92 OK 55-99 Purdue Purdue 2-1 Purdue 2-2 Purdue 3 Pusa 23-8394 Pusa 23-8394 Pusa 23-8394 Pusa 28 Pusa 288344-3 S-12-126 S-12-127-1 S-12-128-4 S-12-128-4 S-12-128-4 S-12-128 S-12-200 S-12-201 S-12-201 S-12-204 S-12-2320 S-12-203 S-12-2320 S-12-2320 S-125 S-185 Sel. 44 Stritzaka 12-8 Th x P-62 Th x P-188 Th x P-226188	Yreba Mung 328-38-211 P.I. 164301 P.I. 164300 P.I. 179960-1 P.I. 211066 P.I. 211067 P.I. 211612 P.I. 211613 P.I. 211614 P.I. 211615 P.I. 211735 P.I. 212109 P.I. 212319 P.I. 212319 P.I. 212319 P.I. 212614 P.I. 214335 P.I. 215650 P.I. 217953 P.I. 217954 P.I. 217955 P.I. 217954 P.I. 217957 P.I. 217956 P.I. 217957 P.I. 220303 P.I. 220304 P.I. 220305 P.I. 220305 P.I. 220305 P.I. 220305 P.I. 220305 P.I. 220304 P.I. 220305 P.I. 220303 P.I. 22380 P.I. 22380 P.I. 223281 P.I. 223710 P.I. 227247 P.I. 227248 P.I. 227247 P.I. 227248 P.I. 227247 P.I. 227247 P.I. 227247 P.I. 229708	Green Jumbo Mungo (Ga.) OK 55-1 OK 55-5 OK 55-6 OK 55-25 OK 55-26 OK 55-26 OK 55-26 OK 55-26 OK 55-41 OK 55-67 OK 55-77 OK 55-79 OK 55-79 OK 55-79 OK 55-79 OK 55-90 S-12-701 Stritzaka 12-9 329-28 P.I. 164301-3 P.I. 183065 P.I. 212909 (Mungo) P.I. 214063	ок 55-78

POD COLOR CLASSIFICATION OF 138 MUNGBEAN STRAINS GROWN AT PERKINS AND STILLWATER, 1957

APPENDIX TABLE XI

Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
Golden Green Mung (Ga.) Mungo (Ga.) P.I. 164301 P.I. 197019 P.I. 211612 P.I. 211613 P.I. 211613 P.I. 211613 P.I. 211615 P.I. 211735 P.I. 212109 P.I. 212319 P.I. 212319 P.I. 212614 P.I. 214062 P.I. 214335 P.I. 217953 P.I. 217954 P.I. 217955 P.I. 217955 P.I. 217955 P.I. 217957 P.I. 217957 P.I. 218103 P.I. 220304 P.I. 220305 P.I. 223003 P.I. 223003 P.I. 223003 P.I. 223280 P.I. 223281 P.I. 223710 P.I. 223281 P.I. 223710 P.I. 227247 P.I. 227247 P.I. 227247 P.I. 227291	Chivel 8726 Green Ill. 3-3 Indian 8262 K 853-1 OK 55-25 OK 55-78 OK 55-82 Pusa 28 S-12-128-4 S-12-128-6 S-12-199 S-12-200 Sel. 44 Stritzaka Th x P-188 328-38-211 P.I. 183065 P.I. 207504 P.I. 21066 P.I. 211737 P.I. 212907 P.I. 212907 P.I. 212909 (Mungo) P.I. 214334 P.I. 219699 P.I. 220816 P.I. 223522 P.I. 223523 P.I. 223802 P.I. 229707 P.I. 229708	<pre>Ill. 3 Jumbo (Palecek) Korean 2310 OK 55-1 OK 55-5 OK 55-6 OK 55-10 OK 55-26 OK 55-26 OK 55-35 OK 55-41 OK 55-41 OK 55-41 OK 55-67 OK 55-67 OK 55-69 OK 55-79 OK 55-90 OK 55-90 OK 55-90 OK 55-99 Purdue 2-2 Pusa 23-8394 Pusa 288344-3 S-12-126 S-12-128-1 S-12-128-1 S-12-213 Th x P-226188 329-28 P.I. 164336-4 P.I. 164720 P.I. 213015 P.I. 214063 P.I. 223711 P.I. 227754</pre>	Jumbo Jumbo (Texas) Korean 8343 M.B. Indian O. Mungs Okla 12 OK 55-47 OK 55-48 OK 55-64 OK 55-70 OK 55-77 Purdue Purdue 2-1 Purdue 3 Pusa 288344-1 S-12-127-1 S-12-186 S-12-201 S-12-204 S-12-204 S-12-204 S-12-701 S-12-2320 S-125 S-185 Stritzaka 12-9 Stritzaka 12-9 Stritzaka 12-87 Th x P-62 Yreba Mung P.I. 164301-3 P.I. 164778 P.I. 179960-1

SEED PRODUCTIVITY CHARACTERISTICS OF 138 MUNGBEAN STRAINS GROWN AT PERKINS AND STILLWATER, 1957

4

APPENDIX TABLE XII

	3			
	Shiny		Dull	Mixed
Chivel 8726 Green Green Mung (Ga.) Ill. 3 Ill. 3-3 Indian 8262 Jumbo Jumbo (Palecek) K 853-1 Korean 2310 Korean 8343 M.B. Indian O. Mungs Okla 12 OK 55-1 OK 55-26 OK 55-26 OK 55-26 OK 55-41 OK 55-41 OK 55-41 OK 55-41 OK 55-41 OK 55-41 OK 55-51 OK 55-64 OK 55-77 OK 55-78 OK 55-77 OK 55-78 OK 55-77 OK 55-78 OK 55-79 OK 55-79 Purdue Purdue 2-1 Purdue 2-2 Purdue 3 Pusa 28 Pusa 28	Shiny S-12-200 S-12-204 S-12-213 S-12-701 S-12-2320 S-185 Stritzaka 12-9 Stritzaka 12-9 Stritzaka 12-87 Th x P-62 Th x P-62 Th x P-188 Th x P-226188 Yreba Mung 328-38-211 329-28 P.I. 207504 P.I. 211612 P.I. 211612 P.I. 211613 P.I. 211614 P.I. 211615 P.I. 211615 P.I. 211735 P.I. 211735 P.I. 212109 P.I. 212109 P.I. 212907 P.I. 212907 P.I. 212908 P.I. 212907 P.I. 212908 P.I. 212908 P.I. 217954 P.I. 217955 P.I. 217955 P.I. 217957 P.I. 217957 P.I. 217956 F.I. 217957 P.I. 217957 P.I. 217957 P.I. 219699 P.I. 219699 P.I. 220303 P.I. 220304 P.I. 220305 P.I. 220305 P.	P.I. 223003 P.I. 223280 P.I. 223522 P.I. 223523 P.I. 223711 P.I. 223802 P.I. 226658 P.I. 227041 P.I. 227247 P.I. 227247 P.I. 227291 P.I. 227754 P.I. 229707 P.I. 229708	Dull Golden Mungo (Ga.) OK 55-5 OK 55-6 OK 55-10 OK 55-92 OK 55-92 S-12-128-1 S-12-128-1 S-12-128-6 S-125 Sel. 44 Stritzaka P.I. 164301 P.I. 164301 P.I. 164301 P.I. 164301 P.I. 16436-4 P.I. 164778 P.I. 179960-1 P.I. 183065 P.I. 197019 P.I. 212009 (Mungo) P.I. 214062 P.I. 214063 P.I. 214063 P.I. 217955 P.I. 223710	Mixed Jumbo (Texas) S-12-201 P.I. 212614 P.I. 214334 P.I. 214335

SEED SURFACE CLASSIFICATION OF 138 MUNGBEAN STRAINS GROWN AT PERKINS AND STILLWATER, 1957

APPENDIX TABLE XIII

Yellow		Green	Brown
Golden OK 55-90 OK 55-99 P.I. 211067	Chivel 8726 Green Green Mung (Ga.) Ill. 3 Ill. 3-3 Indian 8262 Jumbo Jumbo (Palecek) Jumbo (Texas) K 853-1 Korean 2310 Korean 8343 M.B. Indian O. Mungs Okla 12 OK 55-1 OK 55-26 OK 55-6 OK 55-25 OK 55-26 OK 55-26 OK 55-41 OK 55-41 OK 55-41 OK 55-41 OK 55-41 OK 55-41 OK 55-41 OK 55-41 OK 55-41 OK 55-51 OK 55-69 OK 55-77 OK 55-78 OK 55-77 OK 55-78 OK 55-79 OK 55-81 Purdue Purdue 2-1 Purdue 3 Pusa 28 Pusa 28 Pus	<pre>\$-12-126 P.I. 212908 \$-12-127-1 P.I. 213015 \$-12-128-1 P.I. 214062 \$-12-128-4 P.I. 214063 \$-12-128-6 P.I. 214334 \$-12-186 P.I. 214335 \$-12-199 P.I. 215650 \$-12-200 P.I. 217953 \$-12-201 P.I. 217954 \$-12-201 P.I. 217955 \$-12-213 P.I. 217956 \$-12-213 P.I. 217957 \$-12-2320 P.I. 219699 \$-125 P.I. 220108 \$-185 P.I. 220303 \$el. 44 P.I. 220304 \$tritzaka P.I. 220305 \$tritzaka 12-9 P.I. 220672 \$tritzaka 12-9 P.I. 220672 \$tritzaka P.I. 220305 \$tritzaka 12-9 P.I. 220816 12-87 P.I. 220816 12-87 P.I. 22380 Th x P-62 P.I. 22380 Th x P-188 P.I. 22382 Th x P-226188 P.I. 223522 Yreba Mung P.I. 223523 328-38-211 P.I. 223711 329-28 P.I. 223802 P.I. 164301 P.I. 226658 P.I. 164301-3 P.I. 227041 P.I. 16436-4 P.I. 227247 P.I. 16436-4 P.I. 227247 P.I. 164778 P.I. 227754 P.I. 164778 P.I. 227754 P.I. 164778 P.I. 227707 P.I. 197019 P.I. 229708 P.I. 21066 P.I. 211613 P.I. 211615 P.I. 211735 P.I. 211735 P.I. 211736 P.I. 212319 P.I. 212097</pre>	OK 55-82 P.I. 218103 P.I. 220815 Black Mottled Mungo (Ga.) P.I. 212909 (Mungo) P.I. 222816 P.I. 223002 P.I. 223003 P.I. 223710

SEED COLOR CLASSIFICATION OF 138 MUNGBEAN STRAINS GROWN AT PERKINS AND STILLWATER, 1957

APPENDIX TABLE XIV

Small	Medium	1 Small	Medium Large	Large	
3.0 - 3.8 mm.	3.9 -	4.4 mm.	4.5 - 4.9 mm.	5.0 - 6.5 mm.	
K 853-1 Pusa 23-8394 P.I. 164301 P.I. 207504 P.I. 211066 P.I. 211067 P.I. 211614 P.I. 211735 P.I. 211737 P.I. 220815 P.I. 220816 P.I. 222816 P.I. 223002 P.I. 223002 P.I. 223523 P.I. 223802 P.I. 223602 P.I. 227041 P.I. 227248 P.I. 227291	Chivel 8726 Golden Green Mung (Ga.) Ill. 3 M.B. Indian OK 55-5 OK 55-25 OK 55-48 OK 55-92 Purdue Pusa Pusa 28 Pusa 28 Pusa 28344-3 S-12-126 S-12-128-4 S-12-128-6 S-12-128-6 S-12-201 S-12-201 S-12-201 S-12-201 S-12-204 Stritzaka 12-9 Th x P-188 Th x P-26188 Yreba Mung 328-38-211 P.I. 164301-3 P.I. 164301-3 P.I. 1643065 P.I. 21613 P.I. 21615 P.I. 21615 P.I. 211615 P.I. 212109 P.I. 212319 P.I. 21209 P.I. 212319 P.I. 21209 P.I. 21235 P.I. 214335 P.I. 217954 P.I. 217954 P.I. 217957 P.I. 218103	F.I. 219699 P.I. 220303 P.I. 220305 P.I. 22003 P.I. 223003 P.I. 223522 P.I. 223710 P.I. 226658 P.I. 227247 P.I. 227754 P.I. 229707	<pre>Ill. 3-3 Indian 8262 Jumbo (Texas) Korean 2310 O. Mungs Okla 12 OK 55-6 OK 55-10 OK 55-10 OK 55-10 OK 55-81 OK 55-81 OK 55-82 OK 55-90 OK 55-90 OK 55-99 Purdue 2-1 Purdue 2-2 Purdue 3 S-12-127-1 S-12-128-1 S-12-128-1 S-12-128-1 S-12-128-1 S-12-128-1 S-12-128-1 S-12-128 S-12-199 S-12-230 S-125 S-185 Sel. 44 Stritzaka Stritzaka Stritzaka I2-87 Th x P-62 329-28 P.I. 164778 P.I. 179960-1 P.I. 179960-1 P.I. 212908 P.I. 212908 P.I. 212908 P.I. 214334 P.I. 220108 P.I. 223711 P.I. 229708</pre>	Green Jumbo Jumbo (Palecek) Korean 8343 Mungo (Ga.) OK 55-1 OK 55-26 OK 55-35 OK 55-41 OK 55-41 OK 55-64 OK 55-67 OK 55-77 OK 55-78 OK 55-79 S-12-701 P.I. 212909 (Mungo) P.I. 217955	

•

MEAN SEED SIZE CLASSIFICATION OF 138 MUNGBEAN STRAINS GROWN AT PERKINS AND STILLWATER, 1957

APPENDIX TABLE XV

Intermediate Round Square Ill. 3-3 Chivel 8726 Green Mung (Ga.) Indian 8262 Galden Ill. 3 Jumbo (Texas) Korean 2310 Green K 853-1 Korean 8343 Jumbo OK 55-1 M.B. Indian Jumbo (Palecek) OK 55-5 Mungo (Ga.) OK 55-35 0. Mungs OK 55-44 OK 55-6 OK 55-47 OK 55-10 Okla. - 12 OK 55-25 OK 55-51 OK 55-64 OK 55-67 OK 55-69 OK 55-26 QK 55-81 OK 55-70 OK 55-41 OK 55-90 OK 55-77 OK 55-48 OK 55-92 OK 55-78 Pusa 23-8394 Sel. 44 OK 55-99 OK 55-79 OK 55-82 Stritzaka Purdue Purdue 2-1 Pusa Th x P-188 Purdue 2-2 Pusa 28 P.I. 207504 Pusa 288344-1 P.I. 211066 Purdue 3 P.I. 211614 Pusa 288344-3 S-12-126 S-12-128-1 P.I. 211737 S-12-127-1 5-12-128-6 S-12-128-4 P.I. 212109 S-12-186 S-12-200 P.I. 212319 S-12-201 P.I. 212614 S-12-199 5-12-701 P.I. 215650 **S-12-204** $T_h \propto P-62$ **S-12-213** P.I. 217954 Th x P-226188-P.I. 217956 S-12-2320 **S-**125 Yreba Mung P.I. 219699 **S-185** 328-38-211 P.I. 220305 P.I. 179960-1 Stritzaka 12-9 P.I. 220672 P.I. 183065 P.I. 220815 Stritzaka 12-87 P.I. 197019 329-28 P.I. 220816 P.I. 211067 P.I. 164301 P.I. 222116 F.I. 164301-3 P.I. 211613 P.I. 222816 P.I. 211615 P.I. 164336-4 P.I. 223002 P.I. 164720 P.I. 211735 P.I. 223003 P.I. 164778 P.I. 211736 P.I. 223280 P.I. 211612 P.I. 214335 P.I. 223523 P.I. 223710 P.I. 212907 P.I. 217953 P.I. 212908 P.I. 217955 P.I. 223802 P.I. 212909 (Mungo) P.I. 217957 P.I. 226658 P.I. 213015 P.I. 218103 P.I. 227041 P.I. 214062 P.I. 220108 P.I. 227247 P.I. 214063 P.I. 220303 P.I. 227248 P.I. 220304 P.I. 227291 P.I. 214334 P.I. 223711 P.I. 223281 P.I. 227754 P.I. 223522 P.I. 229707 P.I. 229708

SEED SHAPE CIASSIFICATION OF 138 MUNGBEAN STRAINS GROWN AT PERKINS AND STILLWATER, 1957

APPENDIX TABLE XVI

Good Excellent Fair Poor 328-38-211 Green Chivel 8726 Ill. 3-3 Golden Indian 8262 Jumbo 329-28 Green Mung(Ga.) Ill. 3 Korean 2310 Jumbo P.I. 164336-4 Jumbo K 853-1 P.I. 164720 Korean 8343 (Palecek) OK 55-5 (Texas) OK 55-6 M.B. Indian P.I. 183065 Mungo (Ga.) P.I. 212907 OK 55-25 P.I. 207504 0. Mungs P.I. 212908 OK 55-1 P.I. 213015 OK 55-10 P.I. 211067 Okla. - 12 P.I. 164301-3 OK 55-64 OK 55-26 P.I. 211612 P.I. 197019 OK 55-35 P.I. 211615 OK 55-67 P.I. 211066 ок 55-41 **OK** 55-70 P.I. 214062 P.I. 211613 OK 55-79 OK 55-44 P.I. 214063 P.I. 211614 P.I. 217955 OK 55-81 P.I. 211735 OK 55-47 OK 55-48 P.I. 217956 Purdue 2-2 P.I. 211736 OK 55-51 P.I. 223710 P.I. 211737 Purdue 3 OK 55-69 P.I. 227754 **S-12-186** P.I. 212109 OK 55-77 5-125 P.I. 212319 ок 55-78 **S-18**5 P.I. 212614 OK 55-82 Stritzaka P.I. 214335 P.I. 215650 OK 55-90 12-87 P.I. 164301 P.I. 217953 OK 55-92 OK 55-99 P.I. 164778 P.I. 217954 P.I. 179960-1 Purdue P.I. 217957 P.I. 212909 Purdue 2-1 P.I. 218103 (Mungo) P.I. 219699 Pusa P.I. 214334 P.I. 220108 Pusa 23-8394 Pusa 28 P.I. 223711 P.I. 220303 P.I. 220304 Pusa 288344-1 Pusa 288344-3-P.I. 220305 5-12-126 P.I. 220672 P.I. 220815 S-12-127-1 P.I. 220816 S-12-128-1 P.I. 222116 S-12-128-4 **S-12-128-6** P.I. 222816 5-12-199 P.I. 223002 S-12-200 P.I. 223003 P.I. 223280 S-12-201 P.I. 223281 **S-12-204** P.I. 223522 S-12-213 P.I. 223523 S-12-701 **S-12-2320** P.I. 223802 P.I. 226658 Sel. 44 P.I. 227041 Stritzaka P.I. 227247 Stritzaka 12-9 P.I. 227248 Th x P-62 Th x P-188 P.I. 227291 P.I. 229707 Th x P - 226188P.I. 229708 Yreba Mung

SEED QUALITY CIASSIFICATION OF 138 MUNGBEAN STRAINS GROWN AT PERKINS AND STILLWATER, 1957

APPENDIX TABLE XVII

SUMMARY OF VEGETATIVE CHARACTERISTICS OF MUNGBEAN STRAINS OBSERVED IN 1957

Strain	Growth Habit	Plant Height ² /	Leaf Size	Leaf Texture4/	Leafiness 5/	Lodging ^{6/}	Maturity 7/
Chivel 8726	sv	5.5	M	MR	E	2	ML
Golden	В	11.0	Μ	R	E	0	ML
Green	В	6.0	L	MR	Е	2	ME
Green Mung (Ga.)	В	7.0	М	R	E	0	ML
Ill . 3	В	6.0	Μ	М	М	2	ME
Ill. 3-3	В	5.0	М	М	М	0	ME
Indian 8262	sv	6.0	Μ	MR	E	2	ME
Jumbo	В	6.5	. L	R	Р	1	ME
Jumbo (Palecek)	В	6.0	L	R	М	2	ME

1/B = bush; SV = semi=vine; V = vine 2/Measured in decimeters 3/S = small; M = medium; L = large 4/S = smooth; M = medium; R = rough; H = hairy 5/P = poor; M = medium; E = excellent 6/O = none to slight; l = some; 2 = severe 7/E = early; ME = medium; ML = medium late; L = late

50

Strain	Growth Habit	Plant Height	Leaf Size	Leaf Texture	Leafiness	Lodging	Maturity
Jumbo (Texas)	В	7.0	L	R	M	2	ME
K 85 3-1	В	5.5	Μ	М	M	2	ME
Korean 2310	В	4.0	S	Μ	P	1	E
Korean 8343	v	4.0	S	S	М	0	E
M. B. Indian	В	5.5	М	R	M	1	E
Mungo (Ga.)	В	7.0	М	R	P	1	MI.
0. Mungs	В	4.0	S	S	М	0	E
Okla. = 12	В	3.5	ន	S	Μ	0	Е
OK 55-1	В	5.0	М	М	М	2	ME
OK 55-5	В	7.5	М	R	Μ	1	ME
OK 55≖6	В	5.0	М	М	Μ	1	ME
OK 55⇒10	В	5.5	М	Μ	Μ	1	ME
OK 55-25	В	7.0	Μ	R	Р	1	ME
OK 55-26	В	4.5	L	M	M	2	ME
OK 55⇒35	В	4.5	L	М	Μ	- 2	ME

Strain	Growth Habit	Plant Height	Leaf Size	Leaf Texture	Leafiness	Lodging	Maturity
OK 55-41	В	4 .0	L	M	M	2	ME
OK 55-44	В	3.5	M	M	М	0	E
OK 55 - 47	В	6.5	L	R	Μ	2	ME
OK 55 -4 8	В	7.5	L	R	P	1	ME
OK 55-51	В	7 .5	L	R	P	1	ME
OK 55-64	В	6.0	М	R	P	2	ME
OK 55⊶67	В	4.0	м	М	М	1	E
OK 55⊶69	В	4.0	M	М	M	1	E
OK 55⊶70	В	6.5	M	R	M	0	ME
OK 55-77	В	6.0	L	R	M	0	ME
OK 55-78	В	7.5	М	R	E	0	ME
OK 55⇔79	В	4.0	Μ	М	М	0	E
OK 55-81	В	6.0	м	М	P	2	ME
OK 55-82	В	6.5	М	М	P	2	ME
OK 55⇔90	в	4.5	M	М	Μ	2	ME

Strain	Growth Habit	Plant Height	Leaf Size	Leaf Texture	Leafiness	Lodging	Maturity
OK 55-92	в	6.5	М	R	М	2	ME
OK 55→99	В	6.5	М	R	М	2	ME
Purdue	В	6.0	М	М	M	2	ME
Purdue 2-1	В	5.5	Μ	М	М	1	ME
Purdue 2-2	В	6.0	Μ	M	M	2	ME
Purdue 3	В	5.5	М	М	M	2	ME
Pusa	В	6.5	M	М	P	1	ME
Pusa 23-8394	В	6.5	Μ	M	Μ	2	ME
Pusa 28	В	5.5	M	M	M	2	ME
Pusa 288344-1	В	5.5	М	М	M	2	ME
Pusa 288 3 44⇔3	В	6.5	М	М	М	2	ME
S-12-12 6	В	5.5	М	M	М	1	E
S⇒12⇒127=1	V	8.0	S	S	Р	1	E
S-12-128-1	В	6.5	Μ	MR	E	2	ME
S-12-128-4	В	6.0	М	Μ	М	2	ME

Strain	Growth Habit	Plant Height	Leaf Size	Leaf Texture	Leafiness	Lodging	Maturity
S⇔12⇒128 ⇒6	В	7.5	M	MR	E	1	ME
S-12 -186	В	3.0	S	S	Μ	0	E
S-12-1 99	B&V	9.0	Μ	М	М]	ME
S⇔12-200	v	6.0	M	MR	E	2	ME
S-12-201	В	4.0	М	Μ	Μ	1	E
S-12-204	В	5.0	М	М	М	1	ME
S⇒12-213	В	3.5	ន	М	${f M}$	0	E
S-12-701	В	5.5	Μ	M	P	2	ME
S-12-2320	В	3.5	S	· · · · · S	Μ	0	E
S-12 5	V	8.0	ន	S	М	0	ME
S-1 85	В	3.5	S	S	M	0	E
Sel. 44	В	7.5	M	MR	М	2	ME
Stritzaka	В	7.0	М	М	Μ	2	ME
S tritzaka 12-9	В	4.5	ន	М	Μ	1	E
Stritzaka 12-87	В	4.5	S	S	М	Constraint of	¢.

,

Strain	Growth Habit	Plant Height	Leaf Size	Léaf Texture	Leafiness	Lodging	Maturity
Th x P-62	В	5.5	M	М	Μ	1	ME
Th x P⇒188	sv	6.5	M	M	E	2	ME
Th x P-226188	В	6.5	M	М	E	2	ME
Yreba Mung	B&V	3.5	S	S	M	0	E
328-38-211	В	6.5	М	М	M	1	ME
329→28	B	5.5	M	М	M	° 2	E
P. I. 164301	В	7.0	M	MR	M	1	ML
P. I. 164301-3	B	5.5	М	М	Μ	2	ME
P. I. 164336-4	В	6.5	M	M	E	1	ME
P. I. 164720	В	6.5	M	R	M	2	ME
P. I. 164778	В	6.5	L	M	Р	2	ME
P. I. 179960-1	В	7.0	Μ	M	M	2	ME
P. I. 183065	В	6.5	M	MR	E	2	ME
P. I. 197019	В	9.0	М	R	E	0	L
P. I. 207504	В	8.0	М	R	M	1	ML

55

Strain	Growth Habit	Plant Height	Leaf Size	Leaf Texture	Leafiness	Lodging	Maturity
P. I. 211066	sv	8.0	M	R	М	0	L
P. I. 211067	В	7.5	M	R	E	0	L
P. I. 211612	В	8.0	M	R	E	1	L
P. I. 211613	В	7.0	М	R	E	1	L
P. I. 211614	В	8.0	M	R	E	0	L
P. I. 211615	В	9.0	Μ	R	E	1	L
P. I. 211735	sv	10.0	Μ	R	Μ	1	L
P. I. 211736	sv	8.5	M	R	M	1.	L
P. I. 211737	В	8.5	Μ	R	E	1	L
P. I. 212109	sv	7.5	Μ	R	E	1	L
P. I. 212319	sv	7.5	M	R	Μ	1	ML
P. I. 212614	В	10.0	М	R	E	1	ML
P. I. 212907	sv	11.0	L	RH	М	2	ML.
P. I. 212908	SV	11.0	L	RH	M	2	M L .
P. I. 212909 (Mu	ungo) B	4.0	м	R	E	0	ML

Strain	Growth Habit	Plant Height	Leaf Siz⊖	Leaf Texture	Leafiness	Lodging	Maturity
P. I. 213015	sv	11.0	L	RH	М	2	ML
P. I. 214062	sv	8.0	M	R	E	1	ML
P. I. 214063	SV	6.0	М	М	M	2	ME
P. I. 214334	sv	11.0	L	RH	М	1	ME
P. I. 214335	В	8.0	М	R	E	0	ML
P. I. 215650	В	9.0	М	R	E	1	ML
P. I. 217953	В	9. 0	М	R	E	1	ML
P. I. 217954	B	8 .0	М	R	E	1	ML
P. I. 217955	В	8.0	М	R	E	1	L
P. I. 217956	В	8.0	М	R	E	1	L
P. I. 217957	В	8.0	м	R	E	1	ML
P. I. 218103	В	7.0	S	R	E	1	L
P. I. 219699	В	7.5	М	R	М	l	ML
P. I. 220108	В	7.0	M	R	E	1	L
P. I. 220303	В	7.5	М	R	E	1	L

Strain	Growth Habit	Plant Height	Leaf Size	Leaf Texture	Leafiness	Lodging	Maturity
P. I. 220304	sv	7.5	M	R	E	1	L
P. I. 220305	SV	7.5	М	R	М]	L
P. I. 220672	В	8.5	M	R	Μ	1	L
P. I. 220815	SV	5.5	S	R	Е	1	L
P. I. 220816	В	8.0	М	R	Μ	1	ML.
P. I. 222116	В	7.5	М	R	М	1	ML.
P. I. 222816	В	6.0	М	R	Е	0	L
P. I. 223002	SV	6.0	М	R	Е	1	L
P. I. 223003	sv	6.0	М	R	E	0	L
P . I. 223280	В	6.5	M	R	E	1	L
P. I. 223281	SV	6.5	М	R	М	1	L
P. I. 223522	В	6.5	М	M	M	1	ML.
P. I. 223523	В	6.0	М	R	E	1	ML
P. I. 223710	В	7.5	M	R	E	l	L
P. I. 223711	sv	11.0	L	RH	M	1	ML.

Strain	Growth Habit	Plant Height	Leaf Size	Leaf Texture	Leafiness	Lodging	Maturity
P. I. 223802	sv	9.0	M	MR	E	1	L
P. I. 226658	В	6.5	S	R	E	1	L
P. I. 227041	sv	9.0	M	R	E	1	L
P. I. 227247	sv	7.0	Μ	R	Μ	1	ML
2. I. 227248	SV	5.5	Μ	R	E	1	ML
P. I. 227291	SV	9.0	М	R	E	1	L
P. I. 227754	В	3.5	S	S	Μ	0	Е
P. I. 229707	В	6.0	Μ	R	E	1	ML
P. I. 229708	SV	5.0	М	R	E	1	ML

APPENDIX TABLE XVIII

SUMMARY OF FRUITING CHARACTERISTICS OF MUNGBEAN STRAINS OBSERVED IN 1957

When when the set of 	Po	Pod		Seed							
Strain	Mean Length1/	Color ^{2/}	Mean No. per Pod	Yield <u>3/</u>	Color4/	Sur- face_5/	Mean Size	Shape 7/	Qual_ ity_/		
Chivel 8726	7.7	Y	11.6	F	G	S	4.3	I	Р		
Golden	8.0	Y	11.9	Р	Y	D	4.3	I	F		
Green	12.1	Bl	15.3	F	G	S	5.0	I	E		
Green Mung (Ga.)	7.3	Y	13.0	Р	G	S	3.9	S	P		
Ill. 3	7.0	Br	11.8	G	G	S	3.9	S	F		
Ill. 3 - 3	9.2	Br	12.0	F	G	S	4.8	R	G		
Indian 8262	8. 9	Br	11.2	F	G	S	4.9	R	G		
Jumbo	8.9	Bl	9.7	Е	G	S	5.4	Ĩ	E		

1/Measured in centimeters 2/Y = yellow; Br = brown; Bl = black; Mx = mixed 3/F = fair; P = poor; G = good; E = excellent 4/Y = yellow; G = green; Bl = black; Br = brown; M = mottled 5/S = shiny; D = dull 6/Length measured in millimeters 7/I = intermediate; S = square; R = round 8/P = poor; F = fair; G = good; E = excellent

	P	od]	Seed							
	Mean	1	Mean No.			Sur-	Mean	······································	Qual-		
Strain	Length	Color	per Pod	Yield	Color	face	Size	Shape	ity		
Jumbo (Palecek)	12.4	Br	15.8	G	G	S	5.1	I	E		
Jumbo (Texas)	9.6	Br	14.7	Е	G	S&D	4.5	S	F		
K 853-1	6.6	Br	10.2	F	G	S	3.8	S	Р		
Korean 2310	7.5	Br	10.1	G	G	S	4.7	R	G		
Korean 8343	7.3	Br	8.5	E	G	S	5.1	R	G		
M. B. Indian	8.5	Br	12.1	Е	G	S	4.2	R	F		
Mungo (Ga.)	5.6	Bl	7.3	Р	Bl M	D	5.3	R	G		
0. Mungs	7.3	Br	9.4	E	G	S	4.7	R	G		
Okla. - 12	7.5	Br	9.1	E	G	S	4.9	R	G		
OK 55-1	13.1	Bl	13.0	G	G	8	5.7	S	F		
OK 55-5	7.8	Bl	12.0	G	G	D	4.3	S	P		
OK 55-6	8.9	B 1	11.7	G	G	D	4.9	S	Ρ		
OK 55-10	9.0	Bl	11.4	G	G	D	4.9	S	F		
OK 55-25	7.9	Bl	11.9	F	G	D	4.4	S	Р		
OK 55-26	11.3	Bl	10.7	G	G	S	6.0	S	F		

19

c andus	Pod		Seed							
	Mean	1	Mean No.		<u></u>	Sur-	Meán		Qual-	
Strain	Length	Color	per Pod	Yield	Color	face	Size	Shape	ity	
OK 55-35	11.2	B 1	10.6	G	G	S	6.5	I	F	
OK 55⊶41	11.6	Bl	10.7	G	G	S	5.9	S	F	
OK 55-44	8.4	Br	9.0	G	G	S	6.5	I	F	
OK 55-47	9.0	Br	11.3	E	G	S	4.7	I	F	
OK 55⊶48	9.2	Br	12.3	E	G	S	4.4	S	F	
OK 55-51	8.7	Br	12.9	G	G	S	4.8	I	F	
OK 55-64	9.7	Bl	11.1	E	G	S	5.1	R	G	
OK 55-67	9.1	Bl	9.9	G	G	S	5.5	R	G	
OK 55-69	9.1	Br	10.0	G	G	S	6.3	I	F	
OK 55-70	8.4	Br	11.7	E	G	S	4.5	I	G	
OK 55⇒77	9.2	Bl	11.8	E	G	S	5.2	I	F	
OK 55-78	9 .2	Mx	10.7	F	G	S	5.6	I	F	
OK 55⇔79	9.4	B1	10.2	G	G	S	5.9	I	G	
OK 55⇔81	7.9	Y	11.3	G	G	S	4.8	R	G	
OK 55-82	7.5	Br	11.4	F	Br	D	4 _• 5	I	F	

.

<u></u>	P	Pod		Seed						
	Mean	G _1	Mean No.	752 - 3.3	G - D	Sur-	Mean	0	Qual-	
Strain	Length	Color	per Pod	Ileta	COTOL	lace	512e	Snape	lty	
OK 55-90	8.2	Bl	10.6	G	Y	D	4.9	R	F	
OK 55⇒92	8.0	Br	11.2	G	Y	D	4.3	R	F	
OK 55⇒99	8.5	Br	12.1	G	Y	S	4.5	R	F	
Purdue	8.1	Br	11.2	Е	G	S	4.4	R	F	
Purdue 2-1	9.1	Br	11.7	Е	G	S	4.9	R	F	
Purdue 2-2	8.8	Br	11.9	G	G	S	4.7	R	G	
Purdue 3	9.1	Br	11.2	E	G	S	4.7	R	G	
Pusa	6.6	Br	11.0	E	G	S	4.1	I	Ŧ	
Pusa 23-8394	7.3	Br	11.8	G	G	S	3.8	S	F	
Pusa 28	7.0	Br	11.0	F	G	S	4.3	I	Ŧ	
P usa 288344-1	7.0	Br	11.5	E	G	S	4.2	I	F	
Pusa 288344-3	6.8	Br	10.6	G	G	S	3.9	I	F	
S ≕12⇒126	7.1	Br	10.1	G	G	S	4.4	R	म	
S-12-127-1	7.8	Br	10.3	E	G	S	4.7	R	Ŧ	
S-12-128-1	7.9	Y	11.5	G	G	D	4.5	I	F	

43434	P	Pod			Seed							
Strain	Mean Length	Color	Mean No.	Vield	Color	Sur-	Mean	Shene	Qual-			
	Longon	00401	Per 100	TTOTA	00102	Tace	0122	biiapa	¥¢y			
S-12-128-4	7.4	Br	11.2	F	G	S	4.4	I	F			
S-12-128-6	7.8	Y	11.0	F	G	D	4.1	R	F			
S-12-186	7.5	Br	11.6	E	G	S	4.7	R	G			
S-12-199	8.5	Br	12.3	F	G	S	4.7	R	F			
S=12=2 00	7.9	Br	14.0	F	G	ន	4.2	I	F			
S=12=201	7.5	Br	10.9	E	G	S &D	4.3	I	F			
S-12-204	7.7	Br	10.4	E	G	S	4.4	R	F			
S ≕12≕213	8.0	Br	10.8	G	G	S	4.8	R	F			
S ⇒12⇔701	8 .5	Bl	10.0	E	G	S	5.3	I	F			
S-12-23 20	6.6	Br	8.9	E	G	S	4.8	R	F			
S⊶12 5	7.•7	Br	9.7	E	G	D	4.8	R	G			
S-1 85	6.8	Br	8.9	E	G	S	4.6	R	G			
Sel. 44	8.1	Br	11.8	F	G	D	4.6	S	F			
Stritzaka	7.9	Br	11.4	F	G	D	4.6	S	F			
Stritzaka 12-9	7.6	Bl	10.2	E	G	S	4.3	R	F			

· · · ·
	F	Pod		Seed							
	Mean	1	Mean No.		1	Sur-	Mean		Qual-		
Strain	Length	Color	per Pod	Yield	Color	face	Size	Shape	ity		
Stritzaka 12-87	7.4	Br	9.4	E	G	S	4.7	R	G		
Th x P-62	8.3	Br	11.5	E	G	S	4.5	I	F		
Th x P-188	7.1	Br	11.9	F	G	S	4.2	S	F		
Th x P-226188	6.7	Br	10.2	G	G	S	4.2	I	F		
Yreba Mung	7.2	Br	10.7	E	G	S	4.1	I	F		
328-38-211	7.2	Br	11.4	F	G	S	4.0	I	F		
329⇒28	7.3	Bl	9.3	G	G	S	4.7	R	F		
P. I. 164301	7.2	Br	12.7	Р	G	D	3.8	R	G		
P. I. 164301-3	7.8	Bl	11.3	E	G	D	4.4	R	Р		
P. I. 164336-4	8.3	Br	14.1	G	G	D	3.9	R	F		
P. I. 164720	8.1	Br	13.4	G	G	D	4.4	R	F		
P. I. 164778	8.5	Bl	10.4	E	G	D	4.5	R	G		
P. I. 179960-1	7.8	Br	12.2	E	G	D	4.5	I	G		
P. I. 183065	7.0	Bl	11.3	F	G	D	4.2	I	F		
P. I. 197019	6.6	Ϋ́.	7.6	P	G	D	4.5	I	P		

.

APPENDIX TABLE XVIII (continued)

Strain	P	Pod Seed							****
	Mean Length	Color	Mean No. per Pod	Yield	Color	Sur- face	Mean Size	Shape	Qual- ity
P. I. 207504	6.4	Y	12.5	F	G	S	3.8	S	म
P. I. 211066	5.7	Br	10.3	F	G	S	3.8	S	P
P. I. 211067	6.3	Br	12.7	Р	Y	D	3.0	I	F
P. I. 211612	4.8	Br	8.0	P	G	S	4.2	R	F
P. I. 211613	4.3	Br	7.0	Р	G	S	4.0	I	Р
P. I. 211614	4.8	Br	7.9	Р	G	S	3.8	S	Р
P. I. 211615	3.9	Br	6.9	P	G	S	3.9	I	F
P. I. 211735	5.0	Br	8.4	P	G	S	3.8	I	Р
P. I. 211736	5.9	Br	9.8	Р	G	S	4.1	I	Р
P. I. 211737	4.8	Br	8.4	F	G	S		S	Р
P. I. 212109	5.1	Br	7.6	Р	G	S	4.0	S	Р
P. I. 212319	5.6	Br	10.4	Р	G	S	3.9	S	Р
P. I. 212614	6.3	Br	12.0	P	G	S&D	3.9	S	P
P. I. 212907	8.1	Y	11.2	F	G	S	4.7	R	E
P. I. 212908	8.8	Y	12.1	F	G	S	4.7	R	E

APPENDIX TABLE XVIII (continued)

66

CH12	Pod Seed								
Strain	Mean	Color	Mean No.	bfeit	Color	Sur-	Mean Size	Shape	Qual- itv
	Lougon		<u> </u>	12024	00206	<u> </u>		Dirapo	<u>20y</u>
P. I. 212909 (Mungo)	5.2	Bl	6.2	F	B1 M	D	5.3	R	G
P. I. 213015	8.8	Y	12.3	G	G	¹ S	4.6	R	E
P. I. 214062	6.6	Y	10.8	P	G	D	3.9	R	F
P. I. 214063	8.0	Bl	11.3	G	G	D	4.5	R	F
P. I. 214334	8.5	Y	12.0	F	G	S&D	4.8	R	G
P. I. 214335	5.7	Br	9.7	Р	G	S&D	4.4	I	Р
P. I. 215650	6.1	Br	10.5	Р	G	S	4.0	S	P
P. I. 217953	6.3	Br	10.3	P	G	S	4.3	I	P
P. I. 217954	6.4	Br	11.6	Р	G	S	3.9	S	Р
P. I. 217955	4.6	Y	6.2	Р	G	\mathbf{D} :	5.2	I	F
P. I. 217956	6.5	Br	10.1	Р	G	S	4.0	S	F
P. I. 217957	6.0 -	Br	10.0	Р	G	ន	4.0	Ι	Р
P. I. 218103	4.8	Br	6.7	P	Br	S	4.3	I	Р
P. I. 219699	6.3	Y	9.3	F	G	S	4.4	S	P
P. I. 220108	4.3	Br	7.1	Р	G	S	4.5	I	Р

APPENDIX TABLE XVIII (continued)

67

480 <u></u>	P	od	Seed							
Strain	Mean Length	Color	Mean No. per Pod	Yield	Color	Sur- face	Mean Size	Shape	Qual- ity	
P. I. 220303	5.4	Br	9.1	Р	G	S	4.0	I	P	
P. I. 220304	4.6	Br	7.6	Р	G	S	4.2	I	Р	
P. I. 220305	5.5	Br	9.6	P	G	S	3.9	S	Р	
P. I. 220672	6.3	Br	10.9	P	G	S	4.0	S	Р	
P. I. 220815	4.9	Br	8.3	P	Br	S	3.8	S	Р	
P. I. 220816	6.3	Br	11.3	F	G	S	3.7	S	Р	
P. I. 222116	6.1	Br	11.3	P	G	S	3.6	S	Р	
P. I. 222816	4.8	Br	8.1	Ρ	Bl M	S	3.6	S	Р	
P. I. 223002	5.2	Br	9.1	Р	ві м	ន	3.8	S	Р	
P. I. 223003	5.5	Br	9.6	Р	B1 M	S	3.9	S	Р	
P. I. 223280	5.1	Br	9.5	P	G	S	3.7	S	Р	
P. I. 223281	5.0	Br	8.1	P	G	S	4.0	I	P	
P. I. 223522	6.3	Y	10.9	F	G	S	4.0	I	Р	
P. I. 223523	7.5	Y	12.8	F	G	S	3.7	S	Р	
P. I. 223710	6.6	Br	9.8	Р	Bl M	D	4.2	S	F	

APPENDIX TABLE XVIII (continued)

	F	od	1	Seed						
Strain	Mean Length	Color	Mean No. per Pod	Yield	Color	Sur- face	Mean Siz⊖	Shape	Qual- ity	
P. I. 223711	8.8	Y	12.6	G	G	S	4.7	R	G	
P. I. 223802	6.1	Y	11.5	F	G	S	3.4	S	Р	
P. I. 226658	4.1	Br	5.4	P	G	ន	4.1	S	Р	
P. I. 227041	5.4	Br	8.8	P	G	S	3.8	S	P	
P. I. 227247	6.8	Br	13.0	P	G	S	3.9	S	Р	
P. I. 227248	6.5	Br	11.4	F	G	S	3.3	S	P	
P. I. 227291	5.9	Br	10.4	P	G	S	3.8	S	Р	
P. I. 227754	7.3	Br	11.0	G	G	S	4.2	R	F	
P. I. 229707	6.4	Br	11.3	F	G	S	4.0	I	P	
P. I. 229708	6.4	Br	10.6	F	G	S	4.5	I	P	

.

APPENDIX TABLE XVIII (continued)

VITA

Donald Jack Banks

Candidate for degree of

Master of Science

Thesis: A CLASSIFICATION OF CERTAIN CHARACTERISTICS OF MUNGBEAN STRAINS AS AN AID TO IMPROVEMENT

Major Field: Agronomy (Field Crops)

Biographical:

- Personal data: Born near Sentinel, Oklahoma, July 11, 1930, the son of Jesse C. (deceased) and Grace M. Banks.
- Education: Attended grade school in Port, Oklahoma; attended high school at Port and Sentinel, Oklahoma; graduated from Sentinel High School in 1948; received the Bachelor of Science degree from the Oklahoma State University, with a major in Field Crops, in May, 1953; completed requirements for the Master of Science degree in May, 1958.
- Professional experience: Born and reared on a farm; military service, First Lieutenant, United States Army reserve, active duty from August 2, 1953 to March 31, 1957, primary duty, Aviation Officer, helicopter and fixed-wing pilot; Teaching Assistant, Oklahoma State University, 1957-1958.

Member of: Alpha Zeta, Agronomy Club and Phi Sigma.

Date of Final Examination: May, 1958.