1973 ©

MARTHA FRANCES WILKERSON

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

# COLLECTIVE CONSCIENCE IN COMPLEX SOCIETY: A STUDY IN THE SOCIOLOGY OF SPORT

By

#### MARTHA FRANCES WILKERSON

Bachelor of Arts Mary Hardin Baylor College Belton, Texas 1966

Master of Arts Texas Tech University Lubbock, Texas 1968

Submitted to the Faculty of the Graduate College of the Oklahoma State University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY July, 1973

OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVER: LIBRARY

ş

FEB 18 197

### COLLECTIVE CONSCIENCE IN COMPLEX

#### SOCIETY: A STUDY IN THE

SOCIOLOGY OF SPORT

## Thesis Approved:

ά Thesis Adviser æ Dean of the Graduate College

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This dissertation is dedicated to my parents, George and Frances Wilkerson, who continually supply the love, support and understanding which give meaning to my life.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank the following people who have guided my academic endeavors and made this dissertation possible:

Dr. Richard Dodder, my dissertation chairman, who has shown great patience and discerning insight in directing this research effort. The gratitude, admiration, and respect I have for this man cannot be measured.

Dr. Gene Acuff, my committee chairman, who has guided my course work for the past three years and given valuable editorial comments on my dissertation.

Dr. Larry Perkins, Dr. John Bayless, and Dr. George Arquitt, my committee members, whose individual areas of interest and expertise have contributed to the completion of this dissertation.

In addition, I would like to thank:

Dr. Kenneth St. Clair, the Executive Secretary of the Oklahoma Public School Research Council, who was instrumental in my gaining access to the high schools; Mr. Fred Ronniger, the Training Director for the Commission For Training Clerks, Treasurers, and Finance Officers, who gave freely of his time to explain city budgets and

iii

community records and to take me on a guided tour of the state offices; Dr. John Rooney, Head of the Geography Department, who offered suggestions and ideas from an interdisplinary perspective; the school superintendents, the high school principals, coaches, and faculties who cooperated in the project; and, the various community personnel who provided needed information for each community.

Finally, I would like to extend a special thanks to the department secretaries, Lynette Shaw and Jean Ryan; to fellow graduate students, especially John Lamberton, who always gave encouragement; and to Mary Bonner who typed this dissertation and in so doing transformed a rough draft into a readable and professional-looking document.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Chapter |   |  |
|---------|---|--|
| I.      | NATURE OF THE PROBLEM                   | 1                                      |
| II.     | REVIEW OF SELECTED LITERATURE           | 6                                      |
|         | Introduction                            | 6<br>7<br>10<br>29                     |
| III.    | COLLECTIVE CONSCIENCE , ,               | 30                                     |
|         | Introduction                            | 30<br>31<br>55                         |
| IV.     | THEORETICAL MODEL                       | 57                                     |
|         | Nominal Definition of Concepts          | 57<br>58<br>63                         |
| v.      | METHODOLOGY                             | 64                                     |
|         | Introduction                            | 64<br>66<br>74<br>77<br>86<br>89<br>90 |
| VI.     | HYPOTHESIS EVALUATION: QUALITATIVE DATA | 9 <b>2</b>                             |
|         | Introduction                            | 92<br>93<br>97<br>103<br>107           |

| Chapter   | Page   |
|---|--|
| VII. HYPOTHESIS EVALUATION: QUANTITATIVE DATA .   | 110  |
| Introduction  | 110<br>112<br>116<br>117<br>120<br>122<br>129<br>129 |
| VIII. FINAL CONSIDERATIONS  | 131  |
| Introduction  | 131<br>133<br>138<br>150                             |
| BIBLIOGRAPHY  | 151  |
| APPENDIX A - DR. ST. CLAIR'S INTRODUCTORY LETTER<br>TO SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENTS   | 161  |
| APPENDIX B - RESEARCHER'S INTRODUCTORY LETTER<br>TO SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENTS  | 163  |
| APPENDIX C - LETTER TO ATHLETIC DIRECTORS   | 165  |
| APPENDIX D - LETTER TO SELECTED PERSONNEL IN<br>THE COMMUNITY   | 167  |
| APPENDIX E - COLLECTIVE CONSCIENCE ATTITUDE<br>QUESTIONNAIRE  | 169  |
| APPENDIX F - SPORT QUESTIONNAIRE  | 171  |
| APPENDIX G - ORIGINAL R VALUES FOR COLLECTIVE<br>CONSCIENCE SCALE BY ITEMS AND<br>COMMUNITIES                           | 174  |
| APPENDIX H - DEFINITIONS AND CALCULATIONS FOR<br>PER CAPITA INDEXES   | 176  |
| APPENDIX I - PEARSON AND TAU FOR COMMUNITY<br>PARTICIPATION SCALES AND<br>INDEXES AND COLLECTIVE<br>CONSCIENCE BY SPORT | 182  |

| Chapter   | Page |
|---|------|
| APPENDIX J - RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN WINNING AND<br>PARTICIPATION BY MEASUREMENT<br>TECHNIQUE AND BY SPORT | 184  |
| APPENDIX K - DATA RECEIVED FROM COMMUNITY AND<br>SPORT QUESTIONNAIRES BY SPORT                          | 186  |

## LIST OF TABLES

| Table |   | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| I.    | Community Characteristics   | 73   |
| II.   | Collective Conscience Scale By Items  | 76   |
| III,  | Final Collective Conscience Scale By Items And<br>Communities   | 78   |
| IV.   | Community Participation Indexes By Sport And<br>In Combination  | 82   |
| v,    | Community Participation Scale By Item   | 84   |
| VI.   | Community Participation Scale By Community  | 85   |
| VII.  | Win-Loss Records  | 87   |
| VIII. | Correlation Of Means And Standard Deviations On<br>The Collective Conscience Scale                                | 113  |
| IX.   | Relationship Between Community Participation<br>Scale And Index   | 115  |
| x.    | Relationship Between Collective Conscience And<br>Population Size   | 117  |
| XI.   | Correlation Between Community Participation<br>Indexes And Scales And Collective                                  |      |
|       | Conscience  | 118  |
| XII.  | Relationship Between Winning And Collective<br>Conscience   | 121  |
| XIII. | Relationship Between Community Participation And<br>Percent Of Total Wins   | 123  |
| XIV,  | Relationship Between Community Participation,<br>Percent Of Total Wins, And Collective<br>Conscience By Community | 125  |
| XV,   | Relationship Between Community Participation,<br>Winning, And Collective Conscience By<br>Sport                   | 128  |

#### CHAPTER I

#### NATURE OF THE PROBLEM

In an effort to understand society, sociologists have developed numerous theoretical orientations which are used to systematize, classify, and interrelate the relevant phenomena under study. One of these approaches facilitates the viewing of society as a system organized around a number of social institutions. Traditionally, five institutions, including family, education, economics, politics, and religion, have been seen as basic and universal for any society. Although there has been little agreement concerning the relative importance of each institution, these five tend to occupy the top five positions of importance, whatever their order. While most researchers leave room in any society for a vast number of other institutions, none of these lesser ones has been considered as necessary or important as the "universal five." It is not only impossible to unseat one of these basic institutions in the hierarchy, it is heresy to suggest that rather than five, the number should be four, or six, or seven.

Often institutions are analyzed in terms of their basic structure, or form, through which they operate in a society and the functions which they perform for both the individual and societal levels. Most introductory texts will give consideration to the structures and functions of the basic five institutions. In addition, usually there is some attention focused on the changing of social functions that traditional

institutions appear to experiencing, particularly in modern societies. This upheaval of social functions may be viewed either positively or negatively depending upon one's orientation.

Many researchers have attempted to analyze this realignment of institutional functions in relation to industrialization and bureaucratization. While institutional structures and functions are increasingly complex and diffuse, there appears to be less and less commitment, contentment, and satisfaction with the institutional roles members are expected to play. There is some evidence (cf. Cohen, 1970:390-399; Denhardt, 1968: 47-53; Ellul, 1966: 375-383; Kohn, 1971: 461-473; Smith, 1969: 25-31) to indicate that meeting the needs of modern man within the present institutional framework is becoming more problematic. In response, man has been viewed as withdrawing not only his support but also his personal involvement and allegiance to these institutions. He participates in them only to the degree that participation is necessary for survival.

Concurrent with this upheaval in the basic institutions is an increasing amount of time uncommitted to work. Industrial societies have no monopoly on free time. However, its emphasis, use, and function do seem particularly pertinent in today's world. Some (cf. Gree, 1964: Wenkart, 1963) have referred to this free time as leisure since it is time not obligated to the traditional requirements of the basic institutions. Others, such as deGrazia (1962), contrast this modern industrial view of leisure with the classical Greek ideal. Leisure in the latter view refers to a state of being, or a condition of man, which is not necessarily confined to man's free time. Those who see leisure in terms of an industrial society believe it to be that time in which man can engage in those things that meet needs unfulfilled by other institutions. Some of these needs have been posited to be self-actualization, friendship, communal interest, and revitalization of the person. Others view this increase of leisure for a citizenry, ill-prepared for it, as a rising major social problem.

What man does during that time free from institutional requirements may be of interest to the social scientist. As more and more evidence seems to indicate disengagement from traditional institutions, to what is man turning? What fills his free time? It is possible that whatever it is, it now assumes the role of major institution--if not more important than the institutions traditionally considered universal, then at least as important.

Evidence would seem to indicate that more and more free time is being directed toward sports (cf. Beisser, 1970: 235-245; Boyle, 1963: 45; Sage, 1970: 1). According to Edwards (1973), sport may be defined as:

> ... activities having formally recorded histories and traditions, stressing physical exertion through competition with limits set in explicit and formal rules governing role and position relationships, and carried out by actors who represent or who are part of formally organized associations having the goal of achieving valued tangibles or intangibles through defeating opposing groups (p. 57-58).

If not by participation, then by spectatorship, people are becoming involved in sports. Some (cf. Rooney, 1973:1; Luschen, 1967:34; Wohl, 1966:13) believe that sport is a universal institution; others (cf. Huizinga, 1955; Mead, 1934:229-241; Caillois, 1961) indicate that only a play form, and not sport, is universal. Whether sport is a universal institution or one developed out of the socio-cultural complex of particular societies, this aspect of modern society which consumes so much of the time and energy of people should no longer be overlooked.

If the sociologist is serious about understanding society, he must not only be concerned with investigating the traditional "universal five" institutions, but must widen his scope to include this major phenomenon of our time, called sport. Although often overlooked by the social scientist as relevant for understanding modern society, sport has not been overlooked by man himself. Recent literature suggests that in modern society, particularly in the United States, man no longer limits or confines sport to leisure or free time. People talk sport at work and at church, they plan their activities around sport, they use sport for political protests, they eat breakfast according to the number of yards they will gain. Sport associated ideologies pervade much of the fabric of life with sayings such as "be a good sport" or "winners never quit. " Perhaps more than any other one thing, today's American society is known as a sporting nation. Man is as much involved and pays as much allegiance, if not more, to sport as he does to the other institutions historically considered important. It is possible that new insights concerning man and society could result from focusing attention of the institution of sport.

Recently, several social scientists have turned their attention toward sport and the socio-cultural complex of which it is a part. Some have attempted to define sport; some trace the historical beginnings of various sports; others attempt to analyze it in terms of the economy. There are preliminary studies on such diverse aspects

as sport and fitness (emotional, mental, and physical), sport and religion, sport and recreation, sport and race, sport and mobility, and sport and society. The participant, as well as the spectator, has been the subject of inquiry. Some view sports quite negatively while others find them of positive value. If for no other reason than that sport consumes so much time and energy, many are beginning to try to uncover the effect of sport on modern man. What does he see in sport? What does it do for him? What is the relationship between man, sport, and society?

Perhaps because of the newness of this field of study, or perhaps for lack of a conceptual scheme that will encompass sport, most studies have been carried out on a very microscopic level. There are studies about a specific sport or a particular group. There are a few more inclusive theoretical discussions concerning sport, but none tie together the independent threads into a comprehensive overview of sport and society. Obviously no such complete picture is possible for any human phenomenon, but the social scientist must at least begin to make some effort in understanding the larger significance of sport as he has done with so many other important social aspects of man's society.

#### CHAPTER II

#### REVIEW OF SELECTED LITERATURE

#### Introduction

The following review of literature is concerned with the relationship between sport, man, and society. While there are numerous points of view and conceptual schemes from which to view any social phenomenon, it seems necessary to focus on one approach as a guide for study in an area which has not yet begun to be synthesized. Every approach could perhaps offer insight and understanding unrevealed from any other approach, but each also permits, to some degree, the occurrence of closure.

Once a commitment is made to some particular approach, it will exclude some aspects of a phenomenon that might otherwise have been included. Thus some could be tempted to use no specific approach and so include all. However, the mass accumulation of information and data without some attempt at conceptualization is of little use in understanding social phenomena. In order for understanding to occur, conceptualization must occur, and in order for conceptualization to occur, closure must occur.

An attempt will be made to draw together much of the diverse and conflicting literature concerning sport within the framework of social functions. The focus of this literature review will be an examination

of some proposed consequences of sport for society and the individual. This study is not intended to be an attempt to find rational justifications for sport. Although these consequences can, and have been, evaluated in both positive and negative terms, the first task seems to be a specification of sport functions before a value judgement of them can be made. This is only one of the many possible theoretical orientations, but it does seem to be one useful for gaining some understanding of those aspects of sport with which this study is concerned.

The first section of this literature review will document the growth and impact of sport on society and man in terms of the money, time, and energy which is invested. The second section will be concerned with some of the possible social functions of sport for the participant, the spectator, and the society. Section three will present a brief summary.

#### Impact Of Sport On Society

"Sport-o-mania" is perhaps nowhere more evident than it is in the United States. It has become a major concern for much of society. One need only to read the newspaper, turn on the television or radio, or buy a magazine to become aware of the overwhelming interest of this society in sport. Some indication of the importance attached to sport can be seen in the tremendous support given Pete Rozelle, Commissioner of professional football, when he announced the uninterrupted continuance of the professional football schedule on the Sunday after the assasination of President Kennedy on Friday, November 22, 1963, or the complimentary editorials heaped on Avery

Brundage for the continuance of the Olympic Games after the death of the eleven Israeli team members in September, 1972.

Beisser (1970: 235) indicated that more than any other one thing America is known as a sporting nation. As Beisser (1970) envisioned the impact of sport, he said:

> In a nation conscious of having a strong, efficient, Capitalist economic system, there is less newspaper space devoted to financial matters than to sports. In a nation proud of its heritage as a political democracy, its citizens are often less interested in political contests than they are in sports competition. In schools and colleges of a nation providing the greatest educational opportunity for all, the academic is often submerged by sports. In the mass communication media struggling with entertainment programming for the seemingly insatiable appetites of its consumers, nothing endures as well as current sports contests (p. 235).

Boyle (1963: 45) indicated that <u>The New York Times</u> devotes more space to sports in a daily edition than to art, books, education, television, and the theater combined. Three to four percent of all books published are about sport, seven to twelve percent of radio time is devoted to sport, and Americans spend about one-sixth (or \$20 billion) of the national disposable income on sports (American Association For Health, Physical Education and Recreation, 1961:1; Boyle, 1970: 43).

Sage (1970) said about America and sport that:

America has become the most advanced nation in sports. Americans are sports enthusiasts, and their natural love for sports, fostered by a variety of historical, social, and economic institutions, has caused sports to generate into a tremendous national pastime. From a nation of farmers who had little time for games and sports, except for special occasions, the U.S. has developed into a nation of urbanities who watch ten to twleve hours of sport on television each weekend and almost consider it a duty to participate in some form of sport for recreation (p. 1).

Since the 1880's when there was a virtual explosion of organized sports

America seems to have plunged itself into an obsession with sports. This addiction to sport has become so pronounced that when Goffman (1963: 39) spoke of the only completely unstigmatized person, he did not fail to include the necessity of having a recent record in sports.

As indicated above, one can easily observe the increasing interest of this society in sport. However, the major concern here is not simply that Americans are spending more and more time, energy, and money on more and more sport events; it is rather an attempt to discover some of the wider implications of the seeming "sport addition" innundating our society.

Cozens and Stumpf (1953) recognized the insight that might be gained by more than a superficial investigation of sport. They stated:

Innumerable attempts have been made to analyze the factors which have given spectator sports the hold they have on Americans, and many attempts have been made to explain how they have woven themselves so deeply into our way of living. Increased leisure and a rising standared of living are a part of the answer, but not all. The American citizen with time on his hands and money to spend is also free to choose what he shall do with both. The answer as to why he has singled out sports for the attention he has may be an unconscious tribute to the part such activities play in the successful functioning of the culture (p. 284).

Kluckhohn (1964:32) indicated that, "Any cultural practice must be functional or it will disappear before long... It must somehow contribute to the survival of the society or the adjustment of the individual." Thus it is possible that the American people are paying allegiance to the cultural practice, sport, because it is contributing, or at least it is perceived as contributing, in a significant way, not only to the adjustment of particular individuals to the society, but also to the survival of the society itself. It would seem pertinent to investigate some of the social functions perhaps served by sport.

Social Functions Of Sport

#### Emotional Release

In Cavan's (1968:222) analysis of various cultures and juvenile delinquency, she found that all societies had techniques for reducing social and psychological pressures and tensions that might lead to nonnormative behavior. The various techniques, including religion, festivals, use of alcohol, and migration, were considered "safety valves for pent up emotions" (Cavan, 1968:225). Luschen (1970:9) believed that sport provided one way to relieve these tensions so that society could be preserved and order restored within and between the sociocultural systems. Kluckhohn (1964) commented that in American culture such

> . . . tensions can be drained off more effectively than most human societies have done in the past through socially useful competition, through socially harmless releases for aggression, as in sports, and in other, as yet undiscovered ways (p. 237).

Paxon (1970) also was concerned about outlets for man's tensions. In 1893 Frederick J. Turner spoke of the frontier as a "safety valve" for America. However, by 1917, Paxon (1970) believed that the frontier was gone as a safety valve and some new outlet for man's tensions had to be found.

> The free lands were used up. The cow country rose and fell. The social safety valve was screwed down. But the explosion did not come. The reason for continued bearable existence under increasing pressure generated in industrial society cannot yet be seen from all its sides;

but one side is already clear: a new safety valve was built upon the new society (p. 20).

According to Paxon, this new safety value of sport was designed, built, and applied, and hence, the explosion of America did not occur. Howard Cosel (<u>Playboy</u>: 1972) referred to this safety value as a technique for escaping the stresses of daily life when he indicated that:

Sport is the toy department of human life in this sense: . . . the contest in the arena fulfills the primary function of sport, which is escape. In the face of the stress and complexities of daily existence, people have to escape (p. 76).

Aggression is another of those emotional components of man for which sport has been considered an outlet. While some believe agression to be the result of the American socialization process, others see it as an inherent characteristic of human beings. Regardless of the source, many are concerned with socially approved ways of expressing agression. Competitive sport appears to allow for certain kinds of acceptable physical aggression. Referees and umpires are employed to insure that the assualts of the players upon each other are carried out according to a prescribed set of rules. Beisser (1970:241) indicated that, "Sports are one of the last outposts where physical aggression has an established, acceptable place in our culture."

Adrian Stokes (1958:164) saw games as a substitute for warfare. As such, they permitted the player to discharge innocuously not only considerable aggression but a corresponding masochism as well. Stanley Wagner (1971:12, 14) also saw sport as a constructive release of individual aggressive tendencies and as a technique to avert war between nations. Wagner (1971) indicated that: The way to put an end to wars as they are now known is through the area of organized sports.... Sports and games can provide the outlet for man's aggressive tendencies without tearing up the world (p. 12).

A. A. Brill (1929): 429-434) believed man had an inborn aggressive component. He postulated that the conditions of life had eased leaving man with a primary aggressive instinct, but few ways to exercise it. Thus man developed sport and games as mock struggles and artificial dangers. Brill (1929) concluded:

> Games and sport, often frowned on, sometimes banned, have survived as a human institution and today are firmly established over almost the whole earth because they are necessary substitutes for the old struggle of tooth and claw--necessary by-paths and outlets for the aggressive components.... The fact of the matter is sports are a great and necessary catharsis, indispensable to civilized man--a salutary purgation of the combative instincts which, if dammed up within him, would break out in disastrous ways (p. 431-432).

Besides tension and aggression release, some have seen sport as an outlet for the full range of the emotions of man's inner self. In some societies, one of the most difficult of emotions for males to exteriorize, without fear of stigma, is brotherly love. There are few acceptable times or places for such an expression to occur. Sport may be viewed not only as allowing but encouraging physical contact, affectionate displays, and emotional attachments between and among men. Beisser (1972:265) indicated that, "In a subtle way, these supermasculine 'frontier rites' also allow for the expression of warmth and closeness among men which society compels them to disown."

Elias and Dunning (1970:31-51) were concerned with the containment and suppression of passionate excitement. They felt that, in the advanced industrial societies of our time, the open and many times the private expressions of excitment were controlled and held in check. Sport was seen as one of the leisure activities which could effect a "refreshment of the soul." Elias and Dunning (1970) stated that:

> Unless the organism is intermittently flushed and stirred by some exciting experience with the help of strong feelings, overall routinization and restraint as conditions of orderliness and security are apt to engender a dryness of the emotions, a feeling of monotony of which the emotional monotony of work is only one example (p. 35-36).

Jokl (1964:15-16) believed that technology had fragmented man's body from his mind. Man was thus unable to express the desires and satisfactions which were awakened in him. However, sport could offer the restricted bureaucratic worker an opportunity to project and display his inner self. This catharsis was seen as possible for the participant and the spectator. Sport exteriorized the feelings and emotions of the player and, by empathy, caused the spectator to experience "catharsis," the purification of the soul (Maheu, 1966:194-195).

If it is true that all societies have various outlets for emotional release and, as several have suggested, sport serves as one of those outlets, then a critical examination should be made regarding the effects of this release. Some have viewed the effects as being potentially beneficial, by placing emphasis on such things as maintenance of order, preservation of society, and aversion of war. Others posit negative ramifications of such emotional releases through sports. The Provo treatment center for juveniles, for example, excludes organized athletics since they see them as an artificial release of emotions (Empey and Rabow, 1966:531-550). It is also possible that this release of tensions, which may allow for the maintenance of order, can be viewed negatively in that it might inhibit social change and provide for an over emphasis on the status quo.

#### Affirmation Of Identity

Arnold Toynbee (1947:305) has suggested that in a modern industrial society sport represents "a conscious attempt to counterbalance the soul-destroying specialization which the division of labor under Industrialization entails." In a time of service oriented industries, when people are becoming faceless components of superhuman organizations, sport and recreation may represent the last chance for many to find themselves as unique individuals (Revelle, 1967:1174).

This crisis concerning the uniqueness and identity of human beings is perhaps most devastating for the male. The sweeping changes brought about by technology appear to be requiring new behavior patterns particularly for man, but a new orientation of maleness does not seem to be accompanying these innovations. Physical strength, aggression and agility appear to be the primary qualities by which traditional man was measured, a kind of orientation more suited to the frontier days than to the office. However, modern society has been unable to develop a masculine identity for a bureaucratic age that is different from the traditional image. Consequently, Beisser (1970:239; 1972:264) suggested that as the need for traditional indicators of maleness decreased, the demand for a show of maleness increased.

In this dilemma, Lahr (1972) indicated that man must often resort to artificial and non-productive outlets through which he can display his masculinity. ...as the opportunity for physical prowess and uncomplicated noble victory is denied mechanized man, the spectacle of sport has assumed a potency and ritual importance in America that most theatre has lost. Sports have become a twentieth century obsession (Lahr, 1972:106).

Luschen (1967:134-135) believed that the disproportionately high participation in sports by males could be expected since to be identified with sport was to be identified with the power and achievement image which tradition had established as masculine. Brill (1929:432) also was concerned with modern man's tendency to identify with a hero whose proficiency in sport required physical prowess. Brill did not consider it surprising that the male has a strong affinity for sports since, according to him, it is one area where there is no doubt about sexual differences. In a society where male and female functions appear more and more to resemble each other, sport helps to reassure the male of his unique identity (Beisser, 1972:268; Higdon, 1970:322).

Some (cf. Fiske, 1972:241-258; Beisser, 1972:259-268) have considered sport to be a form of "rites of passage." As such, it served as an initiation ceremony for entrance into adulthood. During puberty it was believed to be particularly important for the male to separate his identity from his female peer. Perhaps the most masculine of activities with which he could aligh himself and thus insure his male identity was sport. Although he might be restrained from full entrance into adulthood, he could satisfy his need to affirm his maleness through sport.

Lahr (1972:109) believed that man's fanaticism toward sport would increase as his identity was more and more strangled by technology. He believed that sport recast man in an heroic mold, and thus was able to create for him a sense of well being. Although sport was seen as only a temporary reprieve for man from his identity dilemma, it did reaffirm his as a male by traditional standards. This reaffirmation has been viewed as being effective for the participant as well as the spectator. Beisser (1972) indicated:

> They can both (participant and spectator) then return to the office and the home with renewed respect for the uniqueness of the sexes and the re-establishment of their own identities, until the distinction gradually diminishes and another masculinity rite is necessary (p. 265).

Some evidence of man's attachment to sport can be seen in the continuous parade of different sport seasons which encompass the entire year. In past years, only one sport season, baseball, seemed to satisfy the society's appetite for sport. Today, one sport season fuses with another forming a continuous succession of ceremonial masculinity rites (Beisser, 1972:266).

To the extent that masculine identity is anchored in the traditional criteria, and to the extent that sport provides for the reaffirming of these qualities, it would not seem surprising to find "sport-o-mania" most prevalent among males. Whether or not it is advantageous for American society to provide ways to reaffirm these particular characteristics is open to question. The function of sport as a temporary sustainer of traditional masculinity is viewed as a positive aspect by some in that it helps males establish not only an identity, but an identity which is congruent with traditional expectations, on the basis of which society operates. On the other hand, this same function may be viewed negatively from at least two perspectives: (1) those who cannot or do not conform to this traditional image are left to find an identity or to define themselves outside society, and (2) continual emphasis on traditional identity inhibits the emergence of a male identity more in harmony with changing reality.

#### Social Control

There are various techniques used by a society which help to assure some degree of conformity to prevailing behavioral and normative patterns. Sport has been viewed by some as one of those mechanisms of social control. Matza (1964:206) pointed out that social control of athletes occurred largely as a result of a physical training program that prohibited such things as drinking, smoking, and staying out late. Athletics, by encouraging behavior judged moral and upright, may be viewed as simplifying police work (Waller, 1932:116). Unlike social control mechanisms, such as police, prison, or physical punishment, sport has been seen as controling people by generating a strong positive identification with the system of which it is a functioning part (Coleman, 1965:501).

Albert Parry (1934:305-308) was also interested in the social control function of sport. However, as he visualized sport, it was an instrument with which the masses could be kept in check, awed, or distracted. Thus, according to Parry (1934:306), a wide interest in sport would "..., alloy social unrest and lessen the possibility of political uprisings."

If social control is a function of sport, then as these writers suggest, it does reward conformity to a system. Whether or not this function is viewed positively or negatively would seem to be dependent on one's view of the system to which adherence and conformity is being encouraged.

#### Socialization

The socialization process has been considered by some as a tecnnique by which society enculturates its members. Thus the survival of society and the individual is viewed as dependent upon the infant's being educated, trained, oriented, and conditioned toward the essential role expectations of the society into which he is born. Accordingly, he must acquire the necessary skills and knowledge if he is to be an integral part of his society. Also, it would be necessary for him to enculturate the basic values and beliefs which have been deemed important. As Lowry and Rankin (1969:78) indicated, "Through socialization individuals personalize or inculcate the skills, knowledge, and values of their society into their own self conceptions."

Others believe the process of socialization to be more than a one way directive of society to the individual. They have been oriented toward viewing the process as an interdependent relationship between society and the individual. While society does reflect to the person the knowledge, beliefs, skills, and values of the system into which he has been born, the individual has also been viewed as reflecting something of his individual uniqueness on the system. Thus the survival of society is impossible without people, and individual personality is impossible without society.

From this latter point of view, socialization is a type of interplay between the society and the person. As such, those groups, organizations, institutions, and individuals involved in the continuous process of socialization act as both dependent variables (they can be explained in terms of the individuals who compose them) and independent variables (they can be considered factors in explaining the individuals).

Viewing sport as one of those institutions exemplary of an interdependent relation between the society and the individual appears to be useful for pursual. Thus the socialization process through sport reflects both society and the individual and helps to bring into the system any newcomers. Boyle (1963) saw sport as a mirror of life, reflecting the socio-cultural complex of America. Thus from Boyle's point of view, the reflection that America casts from the mirror of sport can give valuable insights for understanding man and society.

As a reinforcement of the established cultural and behavioral patterns, Schafer (1971a) stated that athletics:

Contribute to an instrumental--or goal-orientation, to achievement as a virtue second only to Godliness, to a commitment to hard work, to learning to adjust one's self to others within a formal organization, to accpeting standards of personal conduct defined as desirable by the mainline, dominant part of the adult population and passed on by the coach, to the development of an apolitical or politically conservative stance toward social problems, to an elitist stance toward sport (participate only if you are an expert), and to conditional self worth (p. 2).

Thus Schafer (1971a:5) saw sport socializing the athlete toward "the Technocracy, the Established Society, or the Corporate State." It was Schafer's (1971b) contention that, whatever else the outcome:

> . . . interscholastic athletics served first and formost as a social device for steering young people--participants and spectators alike--into the mainstream of American life through the overt and covert teaching of 'appropriate' attitudes, values, norms and behavior patterns (p. 6).

In Wenkart's (1963:397) effort to explain the meaning of sport for mankind, he posited that it manifested man's inner self through his existential act, and by mirroring the historical development of a nation, it reflected an image of man in regard to his position in the world. Consistent with Wenkart's view, William F. Whyte (1965:571-582) found that the social ranking of the street corner, and not ability, was the primary factor reflected by bowling performance. Luschen (1967:130) also saw sport "as an expression of the socio-cultural system in which it occurs." He indicated that sport reflected the achievement orientation, the Protestant success ethic, the technological procedures, and the hierarchy of values in American society. John Betts (1972:116) saw the sporting scene mirroring such factors as the decline of Puritan orthodoxy, the immigrant, frontier traditions of manliness and strength, and urbanization. John Finlay (1972) saw the relationship of sport to American society in the following way:

. . . when capitalism matures and moves into the stage of staid corporateness that prefers safety to spectacle; certain small gains to risky windfalls, its sports style must similarly shift (p. 35).

Of baseball, it is reported that Mark Twain (Boyle, 1963:17) said, "Baseball is the very symbol, the outward and visible expression of the drive and push and rush and struggle of the raging, tearing booming nineteenth century." Football, as described by John Finlay (1972:34-35) is indicative of such various American cultural traits as the preciseness and preciousness of time, standardization and efficiency of procedure, installment owning, and the specialization of task. Football is thus viewed as being attuned to a rational, mature, and capitalistic environment. Riesman and Denny (1969:306-319) traced the beginnings of football as an adaptation to the game of rugby. They believed that rugby failed in America because it could not, as football could, reflect the vigor, hard contact and individualism which were basic traits in American culture. George Sauer (Scott, 1971:53) also felt that "football reinforces the social ethic that agression and competition is a healthy thing--that that's the way to become a success." He said:

> I think the values of football as it is now played reflect a segment of thought, a particular kind of thought, that is prevalent in our society. The way to do anything in the world, the way to get ahead, is to compete against somebody, work your way up the ladder, and in so doing you have to judge yourself and be judged in relation to somebody else (p. 53).

Sport can be seen not only as a mirror of culture, but as an agent to socialize individuals into a culture. Luschen's (1967:136) studies indicate that the process of socialization through the exposure of children to competitive sport will cause them to become achievement oriented. Wayne Dennis (1957:431-438) compared children from four different cultural backgrounds and found that American children were rewarded with praise for performing in sports and games much more frequently than were other children. The kinds of behavior which are rewarded play a key role in socialization, and Luschen (1970:9) believed that sport could serve as a social setting through which various patterns of behavior could be rewarded and thus implanted in young people.

Kenyon (1968:31-33) was concerned with the goals of the socialization process taking place in physical education and sport. He was primarily interested in whether or not this socialization resulted in the development of generalized and diffuse or particular and specific characteristics. If it resulted in diffuse characteristics, Kenyon then felt that perhaps sport and physical education contributed to democratic citizenship, moral character, adjusted personalities, respect for constituted authority, and the ability to win and lose graciously. Kenyon believed that evidence seemed to indicate that specific roles, such as player, captain, substitute, were more likely the result, particularly from physical education classes. However, he saw the possibility for both diffuse and specific role development through organized sport, but was unsure how it could occur.

Eldon Snyder (1970:1-7) felt that the situational complex within which the socialization process of physical education and sport occurred was a vital consideration. He named five situational components which he believed would contribute to an understanding of the development of specific and/or diffuse characteristics. They were:

- 1. The degree of involvement in the activity by the participant.
- 2. Voluntary or involuntary selection and/or participation.
- 3. Instrumental or expressive socialization relationship.
- 4. The prestiege and power of the socializer.
- 5. Personal and social characteristics. (p. 2-5).

Snyder believed that the inclusion of these situational variables would facilitate better predictions concerning the results of socialization through physical activity and sport. Although no evidence was presented, the implication was that the development of diffuse characteristics would be more likely through sport, than physical activity. Sport is often marked by rich and abundant folk wisdom concern-

ing the reason for its existence and strength, its internal dynamics, and its consequences for the society, the culture, and the participant. Much of the public support for sport is grounded in the belief that sport allows for diffuse character development and the alleged benefits are many:

> Participation in sound athletic programs, we believe contributed to health and happiness, physical skills and emotional maturity, social competence and moral values.

> We believe that cooperation and competition are both important components of American life. Athletic participation can help teach the values of cooperation as well as the spirit of competition.

> Playing hard and playing to win can help to build character. So also do learning to 'take it' in the rough and tumble of vigorous play, experiencing defeat without whimpering and victory without gloating, and disciplining one's self to comply with the rules of the games and of good sportsmanship.

Athletics may also exemplify the value of the democratic process and of fair play. Through team play the student athlete often learns how to work with others for the achievement of group goals. Athletic competition can be a wholesome equalizer. Individuals on the playing field are judged for what they are and for what they can do, not on the basis of social, ethnic, or economic group to which their families belong (Educational Policies Commission, 1954:1),

Fred Cole (1965) believed the sport experience could be repre-

sented as:

. . instilling self-reliance, as fostering simultaneously the desire to excel and a spirit of fair play, and as inculcating the ability to cooperate for mutual ends, even at the sacrifice of immediate self-aggrandizement (p. 525).

In their introduction to an article by Coleman, Patterson and Hallberg

(1965) also specified certain benefits derived from sport. They said:

Through athletic participation students gain many qualities for effective citizenry. Adherence to rules, which is essential to most games carries over to the social order. Fair play and respect for the rights of others constitute a part of the planned athletic program (p. 490).

Charles Cowell (1966: 134-156) provided an extensive documentation of various contributions of physical activity to the total development of the person. Some contributions were helping the participant become a functioning member of society, opportunities for social participation and friendship, sportsmanship and democratic values, dissuasion of dropouts, academic success, sexual maturity, mental health, social adjustment, and learning techniques of leadership and cooperation. Sports have also been thought to socialize the participant away from deviant behavior, that is, sport is an effective deterrent to delinquency and crime (cf. Nolan, 1954-55:263-265; Waller, 1932:114).

Many have been less convinced of sport's contribution to the production of these qualities of life. Walter Schafer (1969a:40-48) suggested that the negative relationship between delinquency and athletic participation might be the result of a selective process that brought conformers, rather than deviants, into the athletic program. Others (cf. Tappan, 1949:150; Sutherland and Cressey, 1966:169) found sport to be an ineffective preventative measure for delinquency. However, Schafer (1969b:32) indicated that whether or not the athlete was more conforming or not was immaterial since school authorities believed it to be true.

Ogilvie and Tutko (1971:61-63) found no character molding process occurring through sport. They believed that sport competition had no more beneficial effects than intense endeavor in any other field. Like Schafer, they saw the traditional athletic personality emerging out of a selection rather than a socialization process. Ogilvie and

Tutko (1971:62) indicated that, "Sport is like most other activities-those who survive tend to have stronger personalities."

Some have even suggested that the character being socialized through sport may be an unanticipated outcome which they view as undesirable. Snyder (1970:6) spoke of the player's being expected to "cover up" or blame the official for his own violations. Sauer (Scott, 1971:52) revealed the ethic of "winning at any cost" in professional football. Reed (1972:18-21) also indicated the "win ethic" in college basketball. In the game between Minnesota and Ohio State, Luke Witte, the Ohio State center, was knocked to the floor and kneed in the groin. Two Minnesota players were suspended and their coach, Musselman, severely criticized. Luke Witte's father reported that he was not surprised since, "Musselman's attempt seems to be to win at all cost. His players are brutalized and animalized to achieve that goal" (Reed, 1972:19).

In the preface to Slusher's book, Friedenberg (Slusher, 1967: xiii) indicated that sport as a social institution was a tool of the authorities and was hostile to the existential values of man. Sauer (Scott, 1971:55) believed that football reflected the "...profanation of the human spirit." Meggyesy (1970) spoke of the dehumanizing impact of football, Riesman, et al (1965) saw organized athletics as possibly restricting the autonomous, creative aspect of the individual. T. W. Adorno called sport an area of unfreedom (Luschen, 1967:136). Schafer (1971a) said:

> I wonder more and more about the redeeming value of highly competitive, spectator-oriented, elitist, winabove-all-else, conform-or-quit sport as it currently is practiced in most schools and colleges (p. 21).

In another article, Schafer (1971b:1) commented, "...sports are an important mechanism for fostering enculturation and contribute only in a limited way to the maturity of the participant or spectator."

As has been indicated, some believe that socialization through sport produces qualities that are of positive value. Emphasis is placed on such things as self-reliance, learning to compete, and effective citizenry. Others are not only doubtful as to the beneficiality of such qualities, they have posited negative ramifications such as winning at all cost, cheating, and immaturity. Whether or not this process is viewed positively or negatively, many seem to agree that, in addition to specific role development, there are diffuse, carry-over effects which result from socialization through sport participation.

#### Social Change And Mobility

Socialization and social change are in many ways opposing processes: the former is usually viewed as making behavior somewhat predictable and conforming with the latter seen as disrupting stable patterns and encouraging new ways of thinking and acting. There are many factors which can stimulate change in a society. Sport has been considered as one of those aspects of society which enhances change. Lahr (1972:106) indicated that sport not only reflected but also influenced a society's view of the world. Boyle (1963:25) believed that, "sport has gained sufficient place in the life of the country to begin feeding back ideas and techniques of its own." He further indicated that:

> Sport permeates any number of levels of contemporary society, and it touches upon and deeply influences such disparate elements as status, race relations, business

life, automotive design, clothes styles, the concept of the hero, language, and ethical values. For better or worse it gives form and substance to much in American life (p, 3-4),

Others (cf. Kroeber, 1963: 163-165; Luschen, 1967: 138; Cozens and Stumpf, 1953: 6) have also posited the impact of sport as a change agent in both the material and non-material aspects of society.

Some believe that one of the most pervasive impacts of sport has been on the ideas concerning social mobility, particularly for the nonwhite population. Although it was not until 1948 that organized baseball allowed a black player to be on a major league team, since that time sport has been viewed as coming close to providing a model for an open-class system (De Fleur, et al, 1971:202). Cowell (1966:147) believed sport acted as a common denominator to bring together people from various socio-economic backgrounds. Coleman (1965) stated that:

> In effect, athletics provides for boys an interruption of this pattern, breaking down the organization based on common background and replacing it with organization based on common activity or achievement (p. 499).

Schafer (1969b:34) believed sport to be a channel for upward mobility. He indicated that sport could contribute to educational attainment, occupational success, and public notoriety. Boyle (1963) felt that:

> Sport has often served minority groups as the first rung of the social ladder. As such, it has helped further their assimilation into American life. It would not be farfetched to say that it has done more in this regard than any other agency, including church and school (p. 100).

Not all have been convinced of sport's ability to bring into being the successful culmination of the Horatio Alger "rag to riches" story. Meggyesy (1970:91) wrote that vast numbers of poor kids, of all colors, spend countless hours practicing sports of all types, driven by dreams of immortality as a professional. He saw coaches cynically capitalizing on these dreams but making no effort to honestly confront the devastating reality that very few would actually succeed. Some indication of the small number who make it was reported by Rooney (1973:83-84); for professional baseball, one plays for every 250,000 people in the United States; for college football, one for every 12,500; and for college basketball, one for every 42,500. These ratios do not begin to indicate the small chance one has of becoming a "super star."

Edwards (1972: 58-60) saw black people cut off from full participation in society. While whites have access to all means of achieving desirable goals, blacks are channeled into one, or perhaps two, endeavors--sport and entertainment. Edwards (1972:60) indicated that, "sports participation holds the greatest promise of escape from the material degradation of oppressed black society." By limiting the success avenues for blacks to sport, society overtly perpetuates the myth of black physical superiority. However, the racists, covertly, enhance the ideology of white intellectual superiority which has been used as justification for black slavery, segregation, and general oppression.

There is much disagreement as to the degree of assimilation and upward mobility which is actually enhanced by sport. It is also debatable whether or not sport represents a model of the ethic of openclass. Lipset and Bendix (1963:79) indicated that the American people "continue to believe in the equalitarianism of American society despite their daily familiarity with economic inequality and status distinction." Americans want to believe that with hard work anyone can make it to the top. Laski (1948:266) saw Americans hypnotized in the "psychological coma embodied in Horatio Alger," Perhaps for Americans those few who do succeed in sport serve as proof of the truth of the "rags to riches" story. As long as the story does come true for a few, it may perpetuate the myth for the masses who want very much to believe in it. If mobility and open-class are American myths, then perhaps the preservation of these myths is as important as their actualization.

### Summary

The many perspectives from which sport might be viewed suggest various ways of conceptualization. In this review the impact of sport on man and society has been considered in terms of some of its possible social functions, such as emotional release, affirmation of male identity, social change and mobility, socialization, and social control. Although each of these social functions perhaps offers some insight into the nature of the social relationships among and between human beings, in most cases these arbitrary categories seem to overlap in an interrelated network with each other.

In an effort to effect a more comprehensive analysis of the impact and consequence of sport for man and society, a synthesis will be made of these specific functions in terms of a more generalized concept. Chapter III will select out those aspects which in combination with each other directly relate to the major concern of this study: collective conscience. Through this more generalized concept the basis for a theoretical analysis of sport will be operationalized.

# CHAPTER III

#### COLLECTIVE CONSCIENCE

#### Introduction

Perhaps of crucial importance for the sociologist is the nature of social bondings that occur among and between human beings on both the individual and societal levels. Sometimes called cohesion, solidarity, or integration, these bondings have often been considered the basis of society. In considering the nature of social bonding, some of the major differences in schools of thought become evident. The symbolic interactionists would perhaps characterize the social bond as one-to-one reciprocal interaction based in the shared meanings of the communicative symbols. Thus the similarities or the commonalities of people are crucial considerations. The functionalists, on the other hand, might tend to view the social bond in terms of an interdependent network of mutually exclusive role requirements. That is, through man's specialization, he may be structurally tied to a system which for him fulfills some utilitarian purpose. What appears to be in question is not the existence of bonding but rather the nature of the basis of that bonding.

The first section of this chapter is intended to provide a historical perspective from which the basis of social bonding may be viewed. The second concern of this chapter is an analysis of collective

conscience and its role in complex society. Section three examines the literature which implicitly suggests the relationship between sport, collective conscience, and complex society. The fourth section reviews the literature pertaining to the measurement of collective conscience. A summary concludes the chapter.

### Review Of Literature

## Concept Of Collective Conscience

There have been efforts (Miller and Form, 1964:26-47; Nisbet, 1953:3-22) to trace historically the nature of man's relationships to other man and to society. During the Middle Ages, it is believed that people were bound together by their deep identity with family, church, and village. By the 1400's, however, these traditional ties were being viewed as repressive and inhibiting to the growth and development of individual human beings. Urbanization and industrialization were thought to liberate individualistic qualities. With the anticipated escape to freedom, city life became characterized in almost idyllic terms. Through the next three hundred years, the Reformation, the Renaissance, and the Industrial Revolution were to bring numerous changes, many of which were unanticipated, for the individual and society.

The stable, secure, integrated, and predictable person of the Middle Ages would diminish to make room for the development of a social self based on something other than tradition and common identity. By the 1700's, the emphasis on individualism had emerged as the major orientation of man. Industrialization, mechanization, and urbanization continued almost unchecked, accompanied by a heralded ideology of individualism. Miller and Form (1964:31) indicated that as the social world became less predictable and stable, traditional cohesiveness and social solidarity began to vanish.

With advancing industrialization came urbanization and specialization of tasks. Extensive division of labor emerged, separating individuals from each other as people but creating an interdependent network of roles and positions as the new basis for social solidarity. Individualism and personal uniqueness were accentuated while similarity and commonality were de-emphasized.

Possibly the most astute analysis of the changing basis for social solidarity and cohesion was done by Durkheim. In <u>The Division Of</u> <u>Labor</u>, written in 1883, he was primarily concerned with the social solidarity exhibited by different societies. In the pre-industrial, non-urbanized society, he labeled the cohesive force "collective conscience." For the industrialized society, it was called "division of labor." However, both of these facets were posited to be present in all individuals and societies.

> There are in us two consciences: one contains states which are personal to each of us and which characterize us, while the states which comprehend the other are common to all society. The first represents only our individual personality and constitutes it; the second represents the collective type and, consequently, society, without which it would not exist. Although distinct, these two consciences are linked one to the other, since, in sum, they are only one, having one and the same organic substratum (Durkheim, 1933: 105-106).

The collective conscience which maintained the solidarity of the pre-industrial society was defined (Durkheim, 1933:79) as "the totality of beliefs and sentiments common to average citizens of the

same society." In societies based on this type of cohesion, solidarity is maintained through a conformity of individual consciences to a common psychic orientation toward life. Members of a group are attracted to each other by their similarity and shared common states of conscience. Durkheim called this type of solidarity "mechanical."

As society moved from pre-industrial to industrial, the basis for solidarity shifted from collective conscience to the division of labor. By accentuating and emphasizing the uniqueness rather than the commonness, the division of labor created a solidarity through interdependence. This type of cohesion allowed for the bourgeoning of individual and personal characteristics which were stifled and subdued by the collective conscience. Durkheim (1933:137) indicated that, "Each individual is more and more acquiring his own way of thinking and acting, and submits less completely to the common corporate opinion." This type of solidarity was labeled "organic."

Mechanical solidarity binds the individual directly to society without any intermediary since society (Durkheim, 1933:129) is the "organized totality of beliefs and sentiments common to all the members of the group." Organic solidarity binds the individual to society through the roles and positions upon which he depends. Society (Durkheim, 1933:129), in this case, "is a system of different, special functions which definite relations unite." It must be remembered, however, that these two types of solidarity are actually two aspects of the same reality. This analytical distinction between them is necessary only because one type is often accentuated at the expense of the other.

Durkheim applauded the movement toward the division of labor because it freed the individual from the heavy restraints of the

collective conscience. He (1933:131) indicated that, "...the yoke that we submit to is much less heavy than when society completely controls us, and it leaves much more place open for the free play of our initiative." Durkheim felt stagnation occurred when all individuality succumbed to a collectivity. But he also wrote about "deviant forms of the division of labor." True division of labor would produce social solidarity, while the abnormal or deviant forms would produce anomie and alienation. Actually, extremism of either type of solidarity could have serious consequences. Durkheim could identify extremism in collective conscience but could only refer to that same phenomenon in the division of labor as abnormal and deviant. Perhaps it was because of the rationalistic commitment of the 1800's that Durkheim's first analysis of the division of labor acclaimed it as a great moral force which would liberate man and produce order, harmony, and solidarity for society.

Durkheim (1951) was later concerned with the degree of social integration and corresponding types of suicide. As his classifications indicate, he was beginning to identify some of the consequences of extremism in the division of labor. When collective conscience is maximized, all individual personality is enveloped in a common psychic unity. The person is so completely integrated that he is willing to die for his society; thus altruistic suicide. At the other extreme is a maximization of the division of labor, an exaggerated emphasis on uniqueness which leads to an individual's being related to society only through functional positions. With no common psychic tie to society, a person's sentiments and beliefs are exclusively personal; thus egoistic suicide. According to Durkheim (1951:214), there is always something collective remaining in man no matter how individualized he may become. He was concerned, however, because man might be unable to activate his collective conscience; i.e., man would be unable to attach himself to anything that transcended and survived him, and so life would become unbearable. When man was left on his own, cut off from being a part of a collective unity, he had no bond which could unite him with a common or collective cause.

Perhaps one of the most vital contributions made by Durkheim was his insight into useful conceptualizations of the nature of man and society. He believed that both collective conscience and division of labor were intrinsic aspects of man and that both were basic prerequisites for human society. Durkheim preceded many who have felt that people need a common unity that ties them to society without an intermediary and that they need an opportunity to express unique and individualistic facets of their personality. Thus it would appear that when societies are organized in a way such that either division of labor or collective conscience is maximized at the expense of the other, there will be attempts made to activate the minimized facet.

## Collective Conscience And Complex Society

As society was propelled into an industrial age, many become concerned with the resultant impact on man. According to Nisbet (1953:4), words such as individual, change, progress, reason, and freedom dominated the thought and literature of the nineteenth century. Today the words seem to be disorganization, disintegration, decline, insecurity, breakdown, instability, alienation, fragmentation, and segmentalization. Perhaps modern industrial society is now experiencing, or is close to experiencing, that maximization of the division of labor which allows for man's relationship to other man and to society only by way of roles and positions. Man would then have virtually no collective unity with society except through the interlocking of interdependent roles. As he becomes more and more individualized he becomes more and more dependent on others, but he can only identify with others to the extent that they perform their roles and fill their structural positions. As earlier indicated, Durkheim saw this type of society bound together through an intermediary of system requirements.

The central theme of Nisbet's book, Community And Power, was man's alienation. Man was seen as neither being a part of the social order or desiring to become a part. According to Nisbet, the present age is more individualistic than any other has been. The rationalists of the 1800's had called for individualization. dislocation of status and custom, impersonality, and moral anonymity as necessary requirements for the freeing of man from the dead hand of the past. In their optimistic view, liberated man could then develop his inherent potentialities. The success of this emancipation effort is perhaps most evident in modern, complex society. However, some have seen this liberation with less enthusiasm than might have been predicted by those early rationalists. Nisbet (1953:11) indicated that Berdyaev spoke of a "disintegration of the human image;" Toynbee said man had lost all sense of identity and belonging; Ortega y Gasset referred to mass man, the autonomous creature of the market place and the mass ballot; and John Dewey saw the individual deprived of the loyalties and

values which once endowed life with meaning. Nisbet (1953) summarized his own feelings in this way:

The modern release of the individual from traditional ties of class, religion, and kinship has made him free; but, on the testimony of innumerable works in our age, this freedom is accompanied not by the sense of creative release but by the sense of disenchantment and alienation (p. 10).

Perhaps, as Nisbet has suggested, this era is an Age of Pessimism in which man is alienated, disenchanted, rootless and neurotic. Gone is the over-riding faith in individualism that plummeted man into the industrial era. Man's desire for social belonging is frustrated at every turn. Mayo (1945) also noted a profound insecurity and emptiness in modern man. According to Mayo (1945:7, 56), industrial man is cut off from the joy of comradeship and security that his forefathers had known.

In Wirth's (1938:1-24) discussion of "urbanism as a way of life," he indicated that the city brought people together because they were different and thus useful to each other. However,

> The bonds of kinship, of neighborliness, and the sentiments arising out of living together for generations under a common folk tradition are likely to be absent or, at best, relatively weak in an aggregate the members of which have such diverse origins and backgrounds (Wirth, 1938:11).

According to Wirth, urbanites relate to each other in highly segmental roles and thus their face-to-face contacts are impersonal, superficial, and transitory. In urban life, there is a close living and working together, but a relative lack of a sentimental or emotional tie. Wirth summarized some of the distinctive features of the urban mode of life as substituting secondary for primary contacts, weakening of bonds of kinship, declining significance of the family, and the undermining of the traditional basis of social solidarity. In 1937, The National Resource Committee had voiced a view of urban life very much like that of Wirth's,

> The urban mode of life tends to create solitary souls, to uproot the individual from his customs to confront him with a social void, and to weaken traditional restraints on personal conduct... Personal existence and social solidarity in the urban community appear to hang by a slender thread (National Resource Committee, 1937: 53).

Ellul (1964:335) believed that people were no longer integrated as people in groups, but as elements in groups. The industrial freedom which augmented the existence of human life have, in actuality, cut him off from the essence of life and now sustain him only through various techniques which he performs for some larger system. Ellul saw an exaggerated division of labor, or specialization, not only as inhibiting, but preventing mutual understanding between and among people.

Today the sharp knife of specialization has passed like a razor into the living flesh. It has cut the umbilical cord which linked men with each other and with nature. The man of today is no longer able to understand his neighbor because his profession is his whole life, and the technical specialization of this life has forced him to live in a closed universe. He no longer understands the underlying motivations of the others (Ellul, 1964:132).

Faunce (1968) also believed that there were conditions inherent in the social structure of industrial society which resulted in the alienation of industrial man. He indicated that an extensive division of labor, which culminated in the segmentation of social experience, made it difficult to maintain social integration. Man, freed from the bonds of small-group pre-industrial society, was confronted with an unstable, fragmented, social order whose integration rested on structural interdependence. The process of individuation had left man virtually devoid of interaction with other people except in the social context of structural roles - an existence characterized by powerlessness, meaninglessness, and normlessness. Hence the attributes of a society which encouraged freedom and self-actualization were the same attributes that produced apathy and alienation. Thus according to Faunce, in the attempt to gain individual freedom man had unwittingly severed his communal tie with mankind.

In Fromm's (1941) book, <u>Escape From Freedom</u>, he described freedom as being a product of the changes which occurred in the 1800's. The seeds of alienation and insecurity were also unknowingly sown, but are only now making themselves known. The process of individuation was accompanied by the breakup of the medieval structures and constraints of small-group society. The price, according to Fromm, for this new found freedom was a loss of security which comes from a stable social order. As Fromm (1941) concluded his book, he said:

> It has been the thesis of this book that freedom has a twofold meaning for modern man: that he has been freed from traditional authorities and has become an 'individual,' but that at the same time he has become isolated, powerless, and an instrument of purposes outside of himself, alienated from himself and others; furthermore, that this state undermines his self, weakens and frightens him, and makes him ready for submission to new kinds of bondage (p. 270).

When social integration is based solely on role definitions and requirements, people are tied together because of their interdependence. While there may be some commonalities which unite people, these are secondary, not primary, concerns. Thus, it is possible that when extensive division of labor occurs, people become

segmentalized to the extent that they may even fail to recognize their interdependence. They feel little structural tie, and possibly no commonality tie, with society. They are cut off, isolated, estranged, and alienated from a system which has maximized the potential for individual freedom but minimized the opportunity for a collective experience.

Directly opposed to a maximized division of labor is social solidarity based solely on collective conscience. Here the individual has essentially no will of his own but is subdued in every aspect of his personhood to the will of the collective. Continuous denial of individual uniqueness may leave a person frustrated and apathetic about his existence.

Assuming that Nisbet, Fromm, Ellul, and others have accurately described modern man, it appears that the division of labor is reaching maximization while collective conscience is vanishing. Although it has been suggested that a new social solidarity could perhaps be built on the autonomous, mobile, segmentalized character of modern man, nothing thus far seems able to appreciably reduce man's feeling of separation from his society.

Some have suggested that any new social order, if it is to be successful, must re-establish, in some form and to some degree, a collective conscience. For example, Roszak (1972:194) indicated that man is a communal being with an undeniable craving for community ties. He believed that man would throw his whole self into thousands of improvisations of community in the hopes that one would turn out to be real. Klapp (1969:viii) said that, "Although technologh has a mystique of its own-... so far it has not supplied man with identity

and a sense of belonging to the world, " He suggested that society is not only failing to supply man with enough reference points to establish his identity, it is erasing old ones. In man's search for identity, Klapp believed he would turn to collectives.

> Where does a man look for meaning? In nature and in himself first, perhaps; but almost unavoidably he turns to collectivities where he can get reassurance and consensus, and have emotional experiences to become a new man or confirm the old one. He looks for soulmates, comrades, fellows, partners-in-crime (Klapp, 1969:38),

Sennett (1970) too was concerned with modern man's desperate attempts to establish himself as part of a unified group. This desire for community was so entrenched that:

. . . men frame for themselves a belief in emotional cohesion and shared values with each other that has little to do with their actual social experiences to-gether (p. 32),

Thus, community comes into being by an "act of will" rather than by experience. The common goals, common beliefs, and the intimacy of "we-ness" only exist as acts of will. Complex society lacks the common, shared experiences which could transform counterfeit community into a legitimate expression of mankind's common identity with each other. According to Sennett, the consequences of solidarity built on counterfiet communities are the loss of actual participation in community life, the repression of deviants, and accentuated artificial discord with other communities.

Although the nature of complex society may discourage common experiences, it encourages such things as division of labor, specialization of tasks, and competition for limited numbers of positions. The impersonal nature of complex society requires impersonal techniques by which judgements of success and failure will be made. Hence, external indicators, recognizable to the masses, become the standards by which success or failure is measured.

Veblen's (1953) work is indicative of the movement in modern society toward dependence on external, non-personal standards of worth. According to Veblen, in the primitive stage of societal development, the worth of a man was directly related to his contribution to the group's survival; i.e., a man's worth was evaluated in terms that were meaningful on a personal level and by people who had an intimate knowledge of him. As the society passes into the predatory phase, booty and trophies come to be prized. In this phase (Veblen, 1953:30), "the accredited, worthy form of self-assertation is contest...." The last stage is called pecuniary and the basis for respect is accumulation of goods and property or conspicuous consumption. The advanced technology and complexity of society now (Veblen, 1953:71) "expose the individual to the observation of many persons who have no other means of judging of his reputability than the display of goods which he is able to make while under their direct observation. "

Veblen believed that in modern society man's "instinct for workmanship" as a meaningful indication of worth was being subverted and that external, non-personal criteria were being used as measures of individual worth. He indicated that:

> Since the consumption of these more excellent goods is an evidence of wealth, it becomes honorific; and conversely, the failure to consume in one quantity and quality becomes a mark of inferiority and demerit (p. 64).

Thus, validation, or the positive confirmation of worth, is viewed as dependent upon some symbol which can be held up before a non-personal public as an indication of such things as good repute, success, and dignity. These external criteria must be recognizable to society as indicators of worth. Thus modern man relies on secondary, physical, and visible indicators from which he believes society will impute his worthiness.

It would seem that man in complex society has no less of a need for collective conscience than did his counterpart in Durkheim's mechanical society. However, his varied attempts at collectivity appear dissuaded at every turn. As analyzed by Durkheim, collective conscience is based in the commonality, the sameness, and the constancy of the mechanical society. This kind of collectivity for modern, complex society is perhaps impossible and therefore its reactivation may depend on whether or not it can be restructured in terms of current complex society. Thus collective conscience in complex society is dependent upon some phenomenon which can offer participation in common experiences, a development of shared beliefs and goals, and external validation or confirmation of emerging collectivity. There are perhaps numerous activities which may be potentially capable of eliciting collective conscience. Of primary concern in this research is whether or not sport is one of these activities. Although the term, collective conscience, has not specifically been used, it is often implied in much of the literature concerning sport and its role in modern, complex society.

### Collective Conscience And Sport

According to Stone (1970: 405), members of a sport audience, even though perhaps strangers in a mass society, could easily enter into relationships with one another because they had shared a common critical experience. He believed this community of experience which bound people together was available for both participant and spectator. Beisser (1970:238) also spoke of strangers in an anonymous society who, through sport, found immediate common grounds for conversation and expressions of feelings with an intensity rarely experienced elsewhere. Some indication of the intensity of these feelings is revealed in Myron Cope's (1968) article concerning the Texas Aggies. In it he said:

> On football Saturdays at Kyle Field, the Aggie Spirit-always capitalize the "S" --charges the air with a tension so overpowering that it moves everyone, save visiting sportswriters (p. 104).

Snyder (1969:268) and Cowell (1966:148-149) centered their investigations on the sport participant only. However, their analyses, much like Stone's and Beisser's, attributed to sport an increased awareness and collective bonding of the individual with the larger society.

Cozens and Stumpf (1952:56) believed that sport, as a "counterdisintegrating force" in American culture, could provide common interests, common loyalties, and common enthusiasms for a people. Thus they stated that sport could provide these commonality bases, or collective unity for integration, to as great a degree as any other single factor. Ringel (1932) too was aware of sport's ability to elicit a collective feeling. He indicated that when people unite with interest in or worship of an athlete or a team, they feel a sense of homogeneity which can be acquired in no other way.

Bouet (1966: 137-140) indicated that since the basic aim of sport was community, friendship, comradeship, mutual assistance, and human ties, many barriers impeding human relations disappear. Thus, according to Bouet, sport not only facilitated meaningful interrelationships among people, it also developed them. Wohl (1966) also referred to sport's role in facilitating socially cohesive relationships.

> Due to this ability to shape social ties on the basis of features of motive activity, i.e., the most universal and communicative activity, sport, constitutes an exceptionally strong, most vital factor of social integration, is an instrument for the successful breaking through national and religious, racial and political barriers, and all the various prejudices connected with them (Wohl, 1966:13).

Sport activated collective conscience has been referred to on the national, community, and individual levels. Cozens and Stumpf (1953: 4) felt that "no observer could fail to note that the promotion of programs of sport and physical education played an important role in the developing nationalism of many European countries." Meggyesy (1970) related the speech of Jack Drees, a sportcaster, concerning the decision to play football on the weekend following the assasination of President Kennedy. Drees (Meggyesy, 1970) said:

> ... playing out the NFL football games that weekend provided a cohesive force, binding the country together when there were many doubts about our internal and international security... the country had been rapidly disintegrating and football and the NFL had met the challenge to pull the country together (p. 146).

Research findings from many community studies have also indicated the cohesive "we" feeling and common interests stimulated by sport participation. Cozens and Stumpf (1953:236) stated that, "Sport in American schools has proved an important influence in uniting parents, children, and the community in a common interest." In spite of Coleman's (1965) criticisms of sport, he too saw sport providing a common interest, or as he called it "collective goals," for a community. According to him, sport was one of the few mechanisms which could engender a "communal spirit" among the members of a community. Coleman (1965) said:

> Communities, like schools without interscholastic games, have few common goals. They fight no wars, seldom engage in community rallies, and are rarely faced with such crises as floods or tornadoes that can engender a communal spirit and make members feel close to one another by creating collective goals. One of the few mechanisms by means of which this can occur is that of games or contests between communities. Sometimes these games are between professional teams representing the communities. More often, they are high school games, and these contests serve the purpose admirably. The community supports the team, and the team rewards the community when it wins. The team is a community enterprise, and its successes are shared by the community, its losses mourned in concern. It is indisputable that the interscholastic sports function to give the school and the community a collective identity (p. 503-504).

In the study of Plainville, conducted between 1939 and 1941, West indicated that the school represented a new focus for community life. Part of that new focus was directed by sport, as indicated by West's (1945:80) report of the irrate comments of the vocational agriculture teacher; "People remember all the ball players from 1931 winning team, but they don't even know about the prizes my boys take every year." In Hollingshead's (1949) study of the youth of Elmtown, he reported that through basketball the community tied its members together and reinforced their identity as being quite distinct from their rivals.

> Community pride is at issue in basketball games. Elmtown is approximately two and a half times larger than Diamond City, and it reflects the usual belief in American culture that bigger is equivalent to better. Besides, Elmtown considers itself a "cleaner" town than Diamond City. Elmtowners for generations have associated immorality, vice and crime with Diamond City. Thus when the Indians (Elmtown) and the Jewells meet on the athletic field more is at stake than winning or losing the game, particularly if the game is basketball (Hollingshead, 1949: 194).

The Lynds (1929) also recognized the collective "we-ness" that was evidenced when Middletowners packed the gymnasium for basketball games.

> An even more widespread agency of group cohesion is the high school basketball team. In 1890, with no school athletics, such a thing as an annual state high school basketball tournament was undreamed of... Baseball received much newspaper space, but support for the team had to be urged. Today more civic loyalty centers around basketball than around any other one thing. No distinctions divide the crowds which pack the school gymnasium for home games and which in every kind of machine crowd the roads for out-of-town games (Lynd and Lynd, 1929;435).

Within the school itself, sport has been considered an agent of collective identity and orientation. Coleman (1965:490-505) believed that athletic contests functioned to generate a positive identification and a collective orientation towards common goals for the school. "Sports seem to transform the disorganized and explosive student body into a close-knit community with strong common goals" (Coleman, 1965:502). Waller (1932) had earlier recognized the group spirit which could be stimulated by sport. He (1932) indicated:

It is perhaps as a means of unifying the entire school group that athletics seem most useful from the sociological viewpoint. ..[it] is a powerful factor in building up a group spirit which includes students of all kinds and degrees and unifies the teachers and the taught (p. 115).

Whether sport activated collectivity is viewed from a national, local, or individual level, a crucial consideration appears to be whether or not the athlete or team with which one aligns himself wins or loses. In modern, complex society it would seem impossible to play the game without regard to the outcome. Sport has become a theatrical liturgy, a life drama in which success and failure can easily be determined. The dramatization which occurs is staged like a theatre play that combines a spectacle with the reality of the struggle of life. Lahr (1972:106) has indicated that "sport has assumed a potency and ritual tha most theatre has lost."

Sport's effort to recast man in a heroic mold would appear largely dependent on a winning versus a losing effort. For the athlete, Ward (Boyle, 1963:62) posited defeat as an "indescribable anguish, a chaotic hell." The contest becomes a life and death struggle for the maintenance of integrity and ego. Winning is not only important to the athlete. Feagin (Dee, 1972:18) indicated that "spectators watch because they can't participate. People who are not winners can go to a game and if their team wins, then they, too, are winners." They are winners not only on a playing field in some athletic contest, they are winners in life. As is exemplified (Hollingshead; 1949) in the games between Elmstown and Diamond City, a way of life is at stake when the contest begins. Winning football in Nebraska has been viewed (Rooney, 1973:59-60) as "a tremendous shot in the arm for the state insofar as prestige, the human ego, and even the economy is concerned."

In Siedenton's (1972:19) analysis of sport for the Soviet people, he stated that "Victory for an individual or a team is considered to be a victory for Marxism." Sport as a mirror of life, whether American or Russian, can verify that life only through winning. Thus, according to Siedenton (1972:22), "One should recognize that all nations view success in sport as a measure of national vitality and as a reflection of the success of the national political system."

Probably the win ethic, expressed by Lombardi as "winning isn't everything, it's the only thing," would be hard pressed for acceptance in less complex society where collective conscience is prevalent and based on more traditional criteria. Asch (Thompson; 1950), who studied the customs of the Hopi Indians, observed that:

> the children, young and old, are never interested in keeping score during a game. They will play basket-ball by the hour without knowing who is winning or losing. They continue simply because they delight in the game itself... (p. 95).

In modern society, the worthwhileness of life appears grounded on a more tenuous basis than in these less complex societies. Thus it would seem that winning in sport can offer that vital requirement for validation and confirmation. One's way of life, one's beliefs, one's values are good, as they have been proven through contest and victory. They can be placed on display for all to see, to marvel at, and to pay alligence to.

As earlier indicated collective conscience in complex society seems dependent on some phenomenon which can provide such things as shared experiences, common beliefs, values, and goals, and validation. By considering these necessary components in relation to sport, it would appear that sport is possibly one of those activities where the potential for shared common experiences can be maximized. Participation in these experiences perhaps provides a basis for the development and maintenance of shared values and beliefs. There is much evidence to indicate that sport does encourage participation, adherence to many values and beliefs and, through winning, may serve to offer confirmation of the commonly shared experiences and beliefs. Sport's relationship to collective conscience is perhaps best summarized by Miller and Russell (1971):

> A thousand explanations of the why of spectator motivations might all add up to a quantitative one-sport represents a social group that is easily identified and commonly understood, Each person may need to feel that his interests are validated by a relationship to those generally shared by some larger group of individuals (p. 43-44).

### Manifestation and Measurement of Collective

# Conscience

While there have been few research efforts directly concerned with investigating collective conscience, there have been several related studies which indirectly may indicate some of the manifestations of collective conscience in complex society. For example, Lang and Lang (1961: 539-540) analyzed the relationship between the bonds developed out of common emotions and social movements. Both positive identification and pride of accomplishment were believed highly correlated with the "esprit de corp" of a movement. Anderson (1959:207-232) indicated that "civic consciousness" would find expression in types of community behavior in the event of such things as crises or elections and in the moods of people as they go about their work or other activities,

Hoselitz (Anderson, 1959:209) used the terms "sentiment of a community" and "city consciousness" to indicate a bond which is forged "between rich and poor, old and new families, native sons and immigrants." Hoselitz (Anderson, 1959:209) further specified the result of this bond to be "joint interest in the government of the city, its civic improvements, finances, embellishment and growth."

Displays, such as monuments, statues, museums, new buildings, and historic old ones, may also be indicative of the pride which people feel for the community of which they are a part. Thus, according to Tunnard (1953:361), a Frenchman, especially if he lives in Paris, is proud of the monuments in his city, because he knows that all the world admires them, and he takes some of that admiration to himself.

Klapp's (1972:1-12) work on collective identity also has some implications for collective conscience. He posited high morale and cohesiveness, effective communication, greater interpersonal interaction, satisfying self-concepts, active defenses against outsiders, ethnocentrism, and consensus on attitudes and concepts to be directly related to a strong collective identity. Conversely, alienation, estrangement, and anomie were considered to develop from a lack of cohesiveness and feelings of collective identity.

Bogart (1955) in a study of comic strips and Stone (1970) in a study of sports, demonstrated the mobilization of social solidarity by

the amount of conversation prompted by and about these phenomena. Blau's (1960) theory of integration placed emphasis on the bonds of attractiveness that unite people (i.e., people are concerned with attracting and impressing one another).

These various authors imply some of the possible expressions of the broader concept collective conscience, although they make no specific reference to the term. Likewise uncommon are attempts to operationalize and measure it. Such things as cohesion and integration have often been imputed from questionnaires or participant observation but little has been done to make the concept, collective conscience, amenable to research. This may be true because as Cole and Miller (1965) suggested:

> ... bonds produce cohesiveness within the system, often called "group cohesiveness." The term applies to the integrative bonds between the members of a system. It produces a quality that is sometimes more easily detected than measured (p. 507).

Perhaps Durkheim was first to try to relate a lack of integration and overintegration to an objective criteria. His study (Durkheim, 1951) posited suicide as a behavioral demonstration of the degree to which one felt collectively identified with a society. Later studies, such as Srole's (1956), which considered social integration in terms of loyalty to a system, and Landecker's (1951), which distinguished and characterized four types of integration, do provide some insight into the integrative dimension of collective conscience. Nettler developed a scale for measuring alienation, or feelings of estrangement from society, which may be viewed as a lack of integration. Of interest may be the fact that item nine on his (Nettler, 1957:695) alienation scale read, "Do national spectator sports (football, baseball) interest you?"

Perhaps the most persistant effort to operationalize collective conscience is found in the work of Angell (1942, 1947, 1949). His work was oriented around the concept "social integration" but his definition of this term has some special implications for collective conscience. In his first two articles, he developed an index for social integration based on the crime index, taken negatively, and the welfare effort index. Causal factors, identified by Angell, for high and low integration were mobility of the population and composition of the population.

Angell (1947) experimented with other data, such as percent of newspaper deliveries to homes versus street sales, amount spent by municipalities on sanitation, health, and hospitals, and recreation, divided by retail sales, proportion of husbands or wives not living with their spouses, ratio of receipts from commercial amusements to retail sales, rate of growth of the city, and proportion of absentee owned to locally owned businesses. However, he found that only the welfare effort correlated highly with the crime index which he had previously established as the best single indicator of the degree to which social integration existed.

In later work, Angell (1949) separated social integration into moral and interpersonal integration. He believed his previous social integration index measured only moral integration. In an attempt to identify the impact of these two components of integration, he selected two previously classified highly integrated cities to compare with two lesser integrated ones. The dimensions of social integration were posited to be general satisfaction with community, level of personal conduct, relations among groups, participation in civic affairs, and fellow feeling. The first four constituted a measure of moral integration; the last, interpersonal integration. As was indicated by Angell, moral integration is very similar to Durkheim's mechanical solidarity which is based in collective conscience. Angell (1949:248) defined moral integration as "the degree to which the life of the group proceeds in terms of shared ends and values." Angell concluded that social integration must take into account both moral and interpersonal integration. However, in most cases, it appeared that the two were highly related.

Another study of particular interest is Fessler's (1952) work to develop a scale for measuring community solidarity. The primary criteria (Fessler, 1952:145) for solidarity was "the extent to which community members express opinions indicating the possession of common attitudes." He believed that almost any subject about which people could express an attitude could be used for an index of community solidarity. From his original survey, eight major areas of community behavior were selected for the final test. This study, as well as Angell's, although not specifically referring to collective conscience, does provide useful insight into the manifestation and measurement of collective conscience.

As can be seen from this brief look at the related literature, no extensive research has been aimed at specifically studying collective conscience. While there are several related studies, any effort to directly measure this phenomenon will, of necessity, entail some original scale construction.

#### Summary

From reviewing various writers, it would appear that this society is quickly advancing towards a maximized, efficient division of labor. Some have posited such positive impacts as increased individual freedom and efficient production, while concomitant impacts such as alienation, separateness, and lack of community have often been negatively viewed.

By considering both of these points of view in terms of Durkheim's work, it would appear that the crucial issue is not simply an increasing division of labor, but a corresponding decreasing collective conscience. Durkheim posited both division of labor and collective conscience as inherent facets of man's being. To ignore either is to damage the basic nature of mankind.

From Durkheim's conceptualization of the nature of man and others descriptions of the state of man in modern industrial society, collective conscience becomes a central concept. If it is a necessary component in meaningful life, various techniques and mechanizations which could create, or activate, or maintain collective conscience may be burgeoning in present society. While various social phenomena such as religion, funerals, and marriages, have been suggested as capable of eliciting a collective conscience, the primary concern of this research project is sport.

To suggest sport as an agent for collective conscience is not meant to indicate the morality, or immorality, of sport. Durkheim does assert that both collective conscience and division of labor are great moral forces in that they promote social solidarity. Viewing collective conscience as one of the potential ramifications of sport involvement, however, should not imply any necessary judgement on sport itself.

Some would indicate that maintenance and stimulation of collective conscience in this society simply perpetuates such things as racism, competition, and authoritarianism. Others would posit different consequences. While these questions of good or bad and right or wrong are perhaps personally of great importance, what is of interest here is not a moral judgement, but an investigation of sport and its possible relationship to collective conscience.

## CHAPTER IV

# THEORETICAL MODEL

This model is specifically designed to provide a theoretical framework through which the relationship between sport and collective conscience can be analyzed. However, the model is general in nature and could possibly be used to investigate any activity which presumably activates collective conscience. Models concerning the nature and behavior of human beings are based on certain basic assumptions. In order to explicate the assumptions which are the basis of the model presented in this chapter, the concepts of critical importance in this study will be defined.

# Nominal Definition Of Concepts

| <u>Division of Labor</u> | <ul> <li>separation, according to specialization<br/>and expertise, of skills and tasks binding<br/>people together into a structurally inter-<br/>dependent system.</li> </ul> |
|--------------------------|---|
| Collective Conscience    | - common elements of the human existence binding people together into a unity.  |
| Complex Society          | - a society where the tendency toward the division of labor is being maximized.   |
| Theatrical Liturgy       | - staged drama through which the meanings<br>of life are ritualistically portrayed and<br>with which the general populace can<br>identify.                                      |
| Participation            | - to become a part, through attendance and support, of some activity.   |

| Sport                     | - competitive encounter where the primary asset is physical prowess and skill.                                 |
|---------------------------|--|
| <u>Major</u> <u>Sport</u> | - sport (or sports) which elicits the greatest recognition, interest, participa-tion, and support of a nation. |
| Win                       | - victory as opposed to defeat according to the final score in a competitive encounter.                        |
|                           | Assumptions  |

- $A_1$ : The nature of integration in complex societies is essentially based on the maximization of the division of labor. <sup>1</sup>
- A2: This type of integration is best characterized by a tendency toward maximizing the interdependence of strata in the division of labor while minimizing collective conscience.
- A<sub>3</sub>: The division of labor and collective conscience are not, however, independent bases of integration but both, together, make up the same basis.
- A<sub>4</sub>: When society is integrated by maximizing the division of labor while minimizing collective conscience (or vice versa), there will be attempts, conscious or unconscious, at some level to activate collective conscience.
- A<sub>5</sub>: In complex societies, activities which foster a resurgence of collective conscience will generally gain increasing participation.
- A<sub>6</sub>: The major sports in complex societies represent theatrical liturgies of the meanings of life in a society.
- A<sub>7</sub>: The nature of sport as a theatrical liturgy allows for such things as shared life experiences, personal involvement, acknowledgment of common goals and values, and validation, which are basic requisites for the reactivation of collective conscience.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>According to Durkheim's classification, this would have constituted an abnormal or deviant form of the division of labor and could not have been considered the basis for organic society.

- A<sub>8</sub> : Participation (as athlete or spectator) in major sports generally provides a potential basis for collective conscience.
- A<sub>9</sub>: Winning in the major sports tends to validate and confirm one's self, one's goals and values, one's community, and one's society.
- A<sub>10</sub>: Association, by participation, in a winning effort in major sports is potentially capable of reactivating collective conscience.

The nature of social integration in complex society appears to be based essentially on excessive and extensive division of labor. This type of integration accentuates an interdependence of mutually exclusive role behaviors and requirements. Thus mankind is structurally tied to a social system by his uniqueness and his specialization.

As a maximization of the division of labor occurs, it seems that collective conscience begins to diminish. Because division of labor accentuates differences, mutually shared characteristics, beliefs, values, and goals are no longer recognized as necessary for functionally integrated community life. Collective conscience is then deemphasized and commonality ties which can bind people together as people, rather than as functional units, disappear.

Since both division of labor, which accentuates uniqueness, and collective conscience, which accentuates commonality, are considered to be two aspects of the same generic basis for social integration, each is considered essential to the development of mankind and society. Thus when division of labor becomes so extreme that man cannot relate to other man as human being, his need for reaffirmation as a person will give impetus to his attempts to re-activate collective conscience.

Historically, there were movements to activate individualism and uniqueness when society was integrated by a maximization of

collective conscience and a minimization of division of labor. In complex society, where the opposite appears to be the case, it would seem reasonable to predict that there will be efforts, conscious or unconscious, to revitalize collective conscience. That being the case, complex society should then be experiencing vigorous attempts by modern man to re-establish collective conscience. However, modern society dissuades the natural collectivity of traditional societies, and if it is to be re-established, it must be done in terms of the basic nature of contemporary society. Such things as common experiences, perceived by the people to be significant and consequential, which can be equally shared in and by all strata of the division of labor, reduction of communication barriers so that there is less directional communication between all people and all strata, engagement in the total process of the experience rather than in specific role categories, complete personal participation rather than segmental involvement, and common goals, values, and beliefs that can be shared by all people, which characterize collective conscience, must not only be provided for, they must also be confirmed as legitimate and worthwhile.

Activities which can be characterized in terms of the requisites of collectivity, and are therefore capable of activating collective conscience, will gain increasing participation in complex society. While there are perhaps various activities which could be investigated as potentially capable of eliciting collective conscience, the social phenomenon of concern in this study is sport and its relationship to collective conscience in complex society. Much of the literature on sport implicity suggests collective conscience as a potential consequence of a person's involvement in the sport experience. Even

though the term itself has not been used, such things as common critical experiences, common grounds for communication, shared interests, loyalties, and enthusiasms, common goals, pride, and validation have often been mentioned in relation to sport.

Generally speaking, the sport experience may be viewed as equally available to all, spectator and athlete alike. The player becomes an extension of the spectator's self. Old men talk to young men, fathers with sons, minorities to majorities, in two directional communication; each seeking out the sport secrets that the other knows. People can become involved in the total process, from recruiting, to the practice field, to the game. They understand the plays, they study the language, they suffer with the injured, they mourn in defeat, and revel in victory. Not the most insignificant detail of the sport is denied their interest or persual. Sport allows for the total involvement of people as complete people. One may enter into the total experience as a whole person and emerge refreshed and revitalized. Through sport one can see the exemplification of the values, beliefs, and goals to which he pays allegiance. He recognizes such things as competition, hard work, and winning being dramatized in every contest. Thus he knows that he shares with other sport participants many of the basic meanings of life.

However, collective conscience is not totally a function of participation in a shared common experience. Mankind must also feel that he is justified, or judged worthy, by his fellowman. But as modern mankind is less able to establish his worth and dignity through interpersonal relationships, he becomes dependent on secondary criteria as signs of success or failure. These criteria, when recognized by

people as the standards against which they can measure other people, are a crucial consideration in the justification of the collectivities to which man has attached himself. Thus modern man relies on the recognizable, secondary indicators from which he believes society will impute his worth.

Winning in sports can provide a validation of worth; i.e., one's way of life, one's beliefs, one's values can be designated legitimate and good as they have been proven through contest and victory. They may be placed on display for all to see, to marvel at, and to pay alligence to. So much personal investment has been made, that sport becomes more than playing a game. It is dramatization of a life and death struggle between good and evil. Winning is crucial. Confirmation of a way of life is at stake when the contest begins. Sport becomes a theatrical liturgy through which mankind can be transformed into a legitimate heroic image. Thus winning capitalizes on the shared collective experience, the emotional commitments, and the intensity of feelings to maximize the potential for collective conscience.

Collective conscience, once reactivated, should begin to manifest itself in various ways through individual, community, and societal frameworks. On the personal level, it is perhaps noted by such things as a more definite acknowledgement of the meaning of life, a greater personal identity, a greater sense of belongingness, and a greater personal involvement in society. On the societal level, collective conscience may be evidenced by such things as saluting the flag, singing the national anthem, and binding together to face an outside threat. However, the primary concern in this study is the relationship between sport and collective conscience as it is manifested on the

community level through such things as civic projects, passage of bond issues, and community pride.

### Hypotheses

Considering the above reviewed literature and the conceptual scheme here developed, the following hypotheses have been formulated for empirical investigation:

- H<sub>1</sub>: Communities with less division of labor will have a greater collective conscience.
- H<sub>2</sub> : Communities with equal division of labor which have higher participation in the major sports will have greater collective conscience.
- H<sub>3</sub>: Communities with equal division of labor which win in the major sports will have greater collective conscience.
- H<sub>4</sub>: Communities with equal division of labor which have higher participation and winning in the major sports will have greater collective conscience.
- H<sub>5</sub>: Within each community, collective conscience will vary as participation and win-loss records in the major sports fluctuate.

#### CHAPTER V

#### METHODOLOGY

### Introduction

Although the nature of social integration is perhaps one of the fundamental concepts at the heart of the sociological endeavor, little has been done in terms of operationalizing this theoretical concept. Little guidance for research efforts is found in the voluminous writings on the subject. The few attempts at empirical investigation which have been made have attracted relatively little attention particularly if any level other than the individual was the central concern. Community and societal integration, or cohesion, or solidarity seem outside the research inquiries of the sociologists. Moreover, even though these terms may often enter into conversation or lecture, they are seldom explained, defined, or analyzed, Supposedly some kind of consensual understanding is present which allows the sociologist to speak about this phenomenon but not to scientifically analyze it.

Perhaps the greatest reason for avoiding social integration is the theoretical and verbal complexity which encompasses it. Even Durkheim's work leaves unanalyzed the basic nature of social integration or solidarity as he referred to it. His postulation of its dual basis; i.e., collective conscience and division of labor, has been expanded, renamed, and plagiarized by many modern-day theorists.

However, the measurement of these concepts remains as undeveloped now as it was during Durkheim's time.

To understand the significance of social integration for an individual, a community, or a society, some effort must be directed toward an unraveling of its basic nature. According to various theoretical literature, part of that nature is apparently the phenomenon of collective conscience. However, collective conscience in relation to complex society is seemingly of little concern for the modern social researcher. As a result, there is a limited amount of methodological guidance for an investigation of collective conscience. Add to this an interest in a social phenomenon, sport, which is seldom recognized as a legitimate concern for sociologists and research precedents and aids become virtually nonexistent. Consequently, the operationalization of the model in this study required some rather new and unique techniques, original scale construction, and non-traditional procedures.

Combining two such untested social phenomenon, collective conscience and sport, in a single research design also means of course that there will be few standards against which the reliability and validity of the study can be measured. Therefore, rather than depending on any one measuring technique, it was decided that the most productive approach would be an attempt in triangulation of measurement; i.e., various techniques would be utilized to measure the same phenomenon. According to Web, et al (1971):

> Once a proposition has been confirmed by two or more independent measurement processes, the uncertainty of its interpretation is greatly reduced. The most persuasive evidence comes through a triangulation of measurement processes (p. 3).

Willer (1970:7) also has indicated that one alternative to problems of inefficient measurement is a combination of methods whose weaknesses and strengths compliment each other.

Thus a combination of three methodological techniques, which included questionnaires, interviews, and subjective impressions, was utilized for measuring the variables under consideration in this study. From the questionnaires both attitude scales and quantifiable data were obtained. Secondly, interviews provided an opportunity to encourage participation of respondents and to secure missing data. The third technique was subjective evaluations of the communities based on the feelings and impressions that this researcher gained while visiting each community. This "sense data" was believed to provide useful and valuable insights into the nature of the variables and their relationship to each other.

By combining these methodological procedures, via triangulation, it was hoped that each measuring technique would augment the other. Qualitative as well as quantitative analyses do have limitations, but by using them in conjunction with each other, it was thought that the researcher's perception of the scope of the variables could be greatly increased. The purpose of this chapter, then, is to present not only the original plans for implementing this study but also the changes and alterations made necessary by the nature of the data, the sample, and the communities.

#### The Sample

A sample of nine communities in Oklahoma was used to test the model. In order to make an adequate test of a model, it is necessary

for the sample to include a large number of cases which display the full range of variation on the model's major variables. However, the concern here is with communities rather than with specific individuals which greatly increases the expense of time and money, making it impractical to select a large number of cases. Consequently, a deliberate attempt was made to select a sample which, although quite small, would still include substantial variation on the major variables.

In Oklahoma, high schools are divided into classes according to the average daily attendance. These classifications range from Class C for the smallest schools to Class 4A for the largest. Each of the classes is then subdivided into various districts theoretically according to geographical area. Originally these classes and district alignments were thought to provide an excellent bases for selecting the sample communities. By choosing districts from different classes and then communities from within each district, it was believed that the necessary variations in win-loss records and community size could be implemented. This type of selection could also control for such possible interfering variables as geographical location, proximity to major metropolitan areas, and influence of major college and university sports.

This intended procedure, however, proved inoperative. Depending on the number of schools which participate in each sport, the classification and district affiliation for some schools can change with different sport seasons. That is, a single school may participate in different classes depending on the sport. In addition, communities with more than one high school could be included in more than one district or in the same district with larger communities which have

only one high school. Since only communities with one high school were to be considered, sampling by district would have excluded all larger communities. There are no districts of Class 4A which do not include multiple high school cities. And finally, since communities with schools which are classified 2A, 3A, and 4A are less numerous, their district assignments are not necessarily confined to any particular geographical area.

With these limitations apparent, an alternate sampling procedure was devised. Since football appeared to be the most prevalent sport, its class and district alignments were used to divide the cities into geographical areas and population categories. Although average daily attendance in school does not directly indicate population, it can be used to generally separate the communities in terms of size. All cities with more than one high school<sup>1</sup> or where six-man football was played were eliminated. Next the win-loss records for the 1971-72 and 1972-73 football seasons for the remaining schools were recorded. It was hoped that these records of the past two years would be indicative of the kind of success the schools had had for the past several years in the total sport program.

Since major college and university sports were believed to be potentially interfering variables, an attempt was made to control for a community's proximity to colleges and universities. Originally only those communities which had no college or university located in them

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Although it would perhaps be possible to separate out those communal areas associated with each high school in cities with more than one high school, this seemed an unnecessary complication which could easily be averted by limiting the sample to one high school communities.

and which were an equal and substantial distance from these educational institutions were to be included in the sample. However, there are so many junior colleges, colleges, and universities in Oklahoma that this latter criteria was almost disregarded in the final selection of communities. After plotting the locations of these institutions, it was found that the west and northwest parts of the state were the least saturated with schools, and thus this area contains most of the communities used in this study. Limiting the sample to communities without colleges or universities was not problematic in the smaller communities. In the larger cities this was not the case and this requirement could not be met without violating other more crucial criteria. Thus the final sample includes one community which has a junior college and one which has a senior college, but in neither were the sports programs of particular interest to the local community.

In order to obtain variation on the variables of division of labor and collective conscience it was decided to choose communities from three population groupings. It was assumed that those communities with small populations would be different from the middle and larger communities in relation to these variables, and that these latter two categories would be different from each other. Since as has been repeatedly stressed in Chapter III, diminishing collective conscience is apparently expected to be accompanied by increased population size and density, it was believed that the range in community populations from around 1,000 to 50,000 would provide sufficient variation in collective conscience.

Three communities within each population category were selected; i.e., three communities with populations around 1,000,

three around 10,000, and three over 20,000. This made a total of nine communities. By consulting the win-loss records in football an attempt was made to choose within each category three communities whose records were as different as possible.

Although the original intention of this researcher was to choose within each population category one community in which the high school had a winning record, one in which it had a loosing one, and one in which it had lost about as many times as it had won, the impossibility of carring out these plans and at the same time matching the communities on other previously mentioned criteria soon became evident. Thus the final sample of small communities contained two communities with very similar win-loss records in football. For the medium sized communities the selection process was even more difficult. There are not many cities of this size located in this specific region. In order to gain the needed variation in win-loss records, one city was chosen in the northern part of the state, When selecting the larger communities, many of the pre-established criteria were abandoned due to the scarcity of large cities with only one high school. As a result, they almost selected themselves and variability in win-loss records was almost impossible. An attempt was made to confine the selection to cities somewhere in the general area of the other communities.

Once the communities were selected, it was decided that the most efficient way to survey each community in terms of its collective conscience was with the use of informed respondents. On the assumption that high school personnel (staff and faculty) had their hand on the pulse of the community and that they could give an accurate response to the collective conscience questionnaire, they were chosen to speak for

their respective communities. Athletic directors in each school were of course considered to be the best source of information on the high school sports programs.

In order to gain entry into the schools, a letter of introduction was sent to each school superintendent by Dr. Kenneth St. Clair (See Appendix A) in the Education Department, Because of his contact with the public schools in Oklahoma, it was felt that his endorsement would be influencial in the school's decision to permit its personnel to participate in this study. Along with his letter was this writer's letter (See Appendix B) explaining the nature of the study and the sample questionnaires for the faculty and athletic director. Eight of the nine schools in the original selection agreed to allow faculty participation. An alternate community was selected and permission for the study secured.

Since there have been few efforts to directly or indirectly measure collective conscience, either by attitude surveys or objective indicators, a second technique aimed also at measuring collective conscience was employed. On the assumption that there would be data, available on the local level, concerning various facets of the community, questionnaires were constructed to gather information on variables believed to reflect the degree to which collective conscience was present within a given community. Each questionnaire was designed for selected community leaders which included the city clerk, manager of the Chamber of Commerce, editor of the local newspaper, director of the United Fund, and the secretary of the Election Board. Thus these individuals became part of the people-sample taken from each community.

After securing permission from the schools, a date was cleared for this researcher to visit the schools, administer the collective conscience questionnaires, and talk with principals and athletic directors. About two weeks before a scheduled community visit, letters explaining this research were sent to the athletic director (See Appendix C) and to the community leaders (See Appendix D). Their respective questionnaires were included with a reminder that their community would be visited on the specified date to secure the information requested on the questionnaires. Return envelopes were also provided in the event that any wished to return the questionnaires by mail, Table I presents a summarization of the characteristics of each of the nine communities selected for this study. Each community has been assigned a letter which will serve hereafter as its name. The smallest community is "A" and the largest "I".

In summary, the sample for this study may be designated as a purposive sample. An attempt was made to select each community according to the previously described criteria and to provide for as much variation as possible in the major variables.<sup>2</sup> Within each community there is a subsample of informed respondents; i.e., high school faculties, athletic directors, and community leaders, who were chosen because of their assumed knowledge about their own communities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Due to the size of this sample, it is not possible to assume that the full range of variation has been represented in this study. Thus, it cannot meet the requirements of scope sampling suggested by Willer (1967:114) to be the most appropriate sampling technique in model testing.

# TABLE I

| Communities | Population | Average Daily<br>Attendance In<br>School | Class | District | Number of Faculty<br>Responding to<br>Collective Conscience<br>Questionnaire | Win-Loss Record <sup>*</sup><br>In Football For<br>Last Two Seasons |
|-------------|------------|--|-------|----------|--|---|
| A           | 1,011      | 109.19                                   | В     | 4        | 18   | 8 - 14  |
| В           | 1,304      | 93.38                                    | В     | 3        | 16   | 8 - 13  |
| С           | 1,420      | 138.92                                   | В     | 4        | 17   | 19 - 5  |
| D           | 7,323      | 358.25                                   | AA    | 2        | 14   | 19 - 5  |
| E           | 8,654 -    | 508.37                                   | AA    | 1        | 23   | 9 - 11  |
| F           | 9,412      | 541.28                                   | AAA   | 1        | 24   | 3 - 17  |
| G           | 23,302     | 1,114.18                                 | AAA   | 2        | 43   | 15 - 8  |
| н           | 25,940     | 1,544.73                                 | AAAA  | 4        | 57   | 9 - 13  |
| I           | 44,986     | 1,851.63                                 | AAAA  | 4        | 71.  | 9 - 13  |

# COMMUNITY CHARACTERISTICS

\*In this win-loss tabulation, ties have been counted as losses.

#### The Collective Conscience Questionnaire

The questionnaire (See Appendix E) used in this study was specifically intended to measure the extent to which collective conscience is present in various communities. Since extensive literature research produced no previous attempts to directly measure this phenomenon, it was necessary to utilize some original scale construction.

The major interest was on the communal and not the individual level, thus numerous demographic variables concerning the particular respondent were believed unnecessary. The only two which were included were length of time one had lived in the community and whether or not the respondent was a faculty or staff member in the school.

In the remainder of the questionnaire, the respondents were asked to speak for the community; i.e., to respond to the statements in the same way that they felt the people in the community would respond. Twenty-two items were constructed to reflect the definition of collective conscience as it is used in this study. Seven of these items (see items 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 12, and 18) were stated in a negative fashion to prevent an agree response set (Carr, 1971). Also, all items were randomly ordered in an attempt to control for other possible kinds of response sets. Furthermore an effort was made to pose the statements in terms of the people in general rather than calling for a personal response of the respondent's own view (e.g., Item one reads, "People here think this is a good community in which to live."),

The respondents were instructed to indicate their position on a line numbered one through seven and ranging from Strongly Agree to Strongly Disagree for each of the twenty-two items as this has been suggested (Finn, 1972) as the most reliable and valid response continuum. This Likert-type scale

> ... presents the respondent with a set of unscaled items and requests him to indicate the extent to which he agrees or disagrees with the statement. The individual's total score is then obtained by summation of the ratings (Helmstadter, 1970:370).

In order to assess the isomorphism of construct and empirical validity, an item-analysis was carried out by using the computer program TESTAT (Veldman, 1967:170-176). This program calculates means, sigmas, point-biserial correlations between items and the total scale score, and the percentages of the sample selecting each possible item choice. In addition, an alpha coefficient of internal consistency is calculated. This reflects the reliability among the items of the scale; i.e., the degree to which these items vary together in a consistent pattern.

The discussion of the collective conscience scale will thus include a presentation of original and final correlations (point-biserial), means, sigmas, and alpha coefficients. In the first preliminary analysis of the questionnaire, all the questionnaires from all respondents in all schools were combined. This was done to get some indication of the overall internal consistency of the scale and to obtain some idea of how the scale was performing. As is shown in Table II, all twenty-two items appeared to vary together and the correlation

## TABLE II

## COLLECTIVE CONSCIENCE SCALE BY ITEMS

| Items  | Original R Value<br>(N = 283) |
|--|-------------------------------|
| 1. People here think this is a good community in which to live.                      | . 64                          |
| 2. There are good relationships between all the kinds of people in this community    |                               |
| 3. No one seems to care much how the community looks.                                | . 48                          |
| 4. People here would rather live here than any place else.                           | . 59                          |
| 5. In this community, those who don't have a good paying job don't have much of a    |                               |
| chance.  | . 46                          |
| 6. People here think this is a good community in which to raise a family.            | . 68                          |
| 7. In this community, adults and youth participate in only a few activities together |                               |
| 8. People here are willing to help those who are in need.                            | <b>56</b>                     |
| 9. In this community the youth get into trouble too often.                           | . 45                          |
| 10. In this community, the people vote in city elections.                            | . 35                          |
| 11. There are not many good things to say about this community.                      | . 52                          |
| 12. People here have too little respect for the law.                                 | . 54                          |
| 3. Youth here want to live out their lives in this community                         | . 43                          |
| 14. This community is very peaceful.   | . 59                          |
| 15. People here work together to get things done for the community.                  | . 70                          |
| 16. The people here have a lot of pride in this community.                           | . 68                          |
| 17. In this community, youth and adults understand each other.                       | . 63                          |
| 18. People here have little interest in this community's affairs.                    | . 55                          |
| 19. All nationalities, races, and religions equally benefit from living in this comm | unity. 62                     |
| 20. People here take an active part in this community's projects.                    | . 70                          |
| 21. In this community, most bond issues are easily passed.                           | . 38                          |
| 22. Everything in this community works together in an orderly fashion.               | . 70                          |
| Original Scale: Mean   | 108.95                        |
| Sigma  | 17.29                         |
| Alpha  | . 89                          |

values were sufficiently large enough to maintain that the items were consistently measuring the same phenomenon.

However, since each community was considered an entity within itself, and particularly since the number of informed respondents varied drastically between communities, the TESTAT procedure was carried out separately for each set of questionnaires from the nine communities.<sup>3</sup> Following traditional acceptance criteria, it was decided to use a correlation value of .30 as a cut-off mark; i.e., only those items with correlation values of at least .30 in every community were to be retained for the final scale. However, items four and eight were later included since their correlation values were below, 30 (.29 and .28 respectively) in only one community and relatively high in all others. Thus the final scale consisted of ten items which appeared to consistently reflect within each community the higher correlation values. After the ten items for the final scale were selected TESTAT was again used to further verify the internal consistency of the scale. The final correlation values, means, sigmas, and alpha coefficients for each item in each community, as well as for the combined populations, are shown in Table III. Reference to the items is made by the number of the item as it appeared on the questionnaire.

#### The Sport Questionnaire

To obtain information concerning the degree of community participation and the win-loss records in the three sports, football,

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$  Consult Appendix G for the correlation values of these items in terms of each of the nine communities.

# TABLE III

# FINAL COLLECTIVE CONSCIENCE SCALE BY ITEMS AND COMMUNITIES

| Communities |        |        |        |        |       |        |        |        |        |         |
|-------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| Items       | A      | В      | С      | D      | E     | F      | G      | H      | I      | Total   |
|             | N = 18 | N = 16 | N = 17 | N = 14 | N =23 | N = 24 | N = 43 | N = 57 | N = 71 | N = 283 |
| 4           | . 38   | . 66   | . 41   | .27    | . 71  | . 82   | . 55   | . 70   | . 54   | . 62    |
| 6           | .85    | . 70   | . 77   | . 48   | . 80  | . 87   | . 46   | . 65   | . 58   | . 71    |
| 8           | .85    | .64    | . 67   | . 40   | .74   | . 49   | . 44   | .71    | .63    | . 62    |
| 12          | . 47   | . 72   | . 78   | . 37   | .62   | . 36   | . 65   | .51    | . 58   | . 52    |
| 14          | . 81   | . 46   | . 77   | .65    | .74   | .74    | .64    | . 68   | . 65   | . 67    |
| 16          | . 49   | . 77   | . 58   | .44    | . 81  | . 82   | . 77   | . 67   | .65    | .68     |
| 19          | . 70   | . 43   | .70    | . 64   | . 70  | . 55   | .64    | .71    | .73    | . 66    |
| 20          | . 72   | . 54   | . 82   | .76    | . 73  | . 91   | .71    | .72    | . 65   | . 74    |
| 21          | . 59   | . 71   | . 52   | .61    | . 52  | .45    | . 56   | . 51   | . 44   | . 47    |
| 22          | .70    | . 52   | .75    | .72    | .88   | . 69   | . 77   | . 83   | . 71   | .75     |
| Mean        | 44.94  | 55.37  | 48.53  | 55.86  | 50.26 | 49.79  | 51.95  | 52.19  | 52.76  | 51,62   |
| Sigma       | 10.43  | 7.10   | 8.69   | 6.14   | 9.94  | 10.69  | 7.74   | 8.24   | 7.09   | 8.79    |
| Alpha       | . 85   | . 79   | .87    | . 68   | .89   | . 86   | . 82   | . 85   | . 80   | .83     |

basketball, and baseball, a questionnaire (See Appendix F) was devised and distributed to the athletic directors at each high school. The first part of the questionnaire is concerned with such things as seating capacity, average game attendance, number of coaches, and booster club membership. Since one of the primary interests in this study was the degree to which collective conscience would change in each community with fluctuations in community participation and winloss records, the questionnaire called for data beginning with the 1968-69 school year. This information was intended to provide an objective community participation index for each of the five years for each sport in each of the communities.

Unfortunately the assumption that these kinds of data would be kept on record and available for investigation proved to be unfounded. In many cases even the win-loss records for last year's games were unknown. This researcher was able to find some of the needed data with tedious search through such things as school papers and annuals. And, athletic directors who would do so, were encouraged to give a personal estimate for some of the questions. However, this was not particularly beneficial since many had not been at the schools for the entire five year period of time being investigated.

Rather than disregard the data that was collected, an effort was made to construct an overall community participation index. Every piece of information for any of the five years was tabulated to determine whether or not any of it was consistently reported by every athletic director. Although baseball may be considered a major sport on the national level, none of the nine communities seemed particularly concerned with it. One school did not field a team and there was no

consistent data reported in relation to any facet of the baseball programs. Thus, all information on baseball was the first deletion from the data to be used in the overall participation index. Information from questions on athletic facilities, number of coaches, cost of athletic programs, and booster club membership was also deleted since in most cases these questions were ignored. (See Appendix K).

Estimates of average game attendance for each of the five years were reported for football and basketball. Except for two cities in basketball and one in football almost no variation in average game attendance over the five year period was reported. After a final editing of the first part of the questionnaire, only this attendance data and seating capacity for football and basketball were retained for further analysis,

This data thus became the basis of the community participation indexes to be computed for each community. In order to produce the overall participation indexes, attendance per capita<sup>4</sup> by population and by seating capacity for each sport in each community were first calculated. Since the major comparisons were to be made between communities of similar sizes, separate indexes were used in each population grouping. To obtain these per capita attendances, the mean attendances for each sport for the last five years were used as attendances rates.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>The per capita indexes for attendance are computed with the technique utilized by Rooney (1969) to obtain per capita rates for football player production.

The total population for each community grouping was then divided by the total attendance in that group to obtain a ratio of attendance to population. This ratio was divided into each community's population to produce a calculated attendance as if the ratio held equally in all communities. The calculated attendance was divided into the actual attendance to obtain a per capita attendance index by population for each community. The same procedure was followed to obtain per capita attendances by seating capacity. These calculations produced two per capita indexes for football (attendance by population and by seating capacity) and two for basketball. By adding the two for each sport, a community participation index for each sport was obtained. Summing all four indexes produced an over-all community participation index. With this procedure, 1.00 becomes the norm. Therefore, an index higher or lower than 1.00 would indicate greater or lesser attendance than would be the norm for a community of its size or a community with its size seating capacity for football and basketball. Table IV presents the per capita attendances<sup>5</sup> for each sport and the total overall community participation index.

Although the original intent was to calculate year-by-year community participation indexes by using the data for each sport in each year, the dearth of information received prevented all but a more generalized index. Thus these comprehensive indexes were calculated with the data which could be salvaged in an effort to establish some kind of objective index based on quantifiable data for community

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Consult Appendix H for further description of the mathematical manipulations involved in the procedure used to obtain these per capita indexes.

## TABLE IV

# COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION INDEXES BY SPORT AND IN COMBINATION

|             | FOOTBALL                               |          |                            |  | BASKETBAL | ۲۰                         | COMBINED FOOTBALL-BASKETBALL |                            |              |  |
|-------------|--|----------|----------------------------|--|-----------|----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|--|
| Communities | Per Capita Attendance<br>By By Seating |          | Community<br>Participation | Per Capita Attendance<br>By By Seating |           | Community<br>Participation | Per Capita<br>By             | Community<br>Participation |              |  |
|             | Population                             | Capacity | Index                      | Population                             | Capacity  | Index                      | Population                   | By Seating<br>Capacity     | Index        |  |
| A           | . 77                                   | . 67     | 1.44                       | . 57                                   | 1.39      | 1.96                       | 1.34                         | 2.06                       | 3.40         |  |
| В           | .84                                    | . 93     | 1.77                       | . 66                                   | 1.04      | 1.70                       | 1.50                         | 1.97                       | 3.47         |  |
| c           | 1.32                                   | 1.33     | 2.65                       | 1.62                                   | . 92      | 2.54                       | 2,94                         | 2.25                       | 5.19         |  |
| D           | 1, 11                                  | 1. 38    | 2.49                       | . 65                                   | . 82      | 1.47                       | 1.76                         | 2.20                       | <b>3</b> .96 |  |
| E           | .68                                    | . 60     | 1.28                       | . 33                                   | .44       | . 77                       | 1.01                         | 1.04                       | 2.05         |  |
| F           | 1.21                                   | 1.16     | 2.37                       | 1.84                                   | 1.42      | 3.26                       | 3.05                         | 2.58                       | 5.63         |  |
| G           | . 87                                   | 1.66     | 2. 53                      | 1.21                                   | . 70      | 1.91                       | 2.08                         | 2.36                       | 4.44         |  |
| н           | . 84                                   | . 69     | 1, 53                      | . 54                                   | .70       | 1,24                       | 1.38                         | 1.39                       | 2.77         |  |
| I           | 1,16                                   | 1.49     | 2.65                       | 1.15                                   | 1.54      | 2.69                       | 2.31                         | 3.03                       | 5.34         |  |

participation. Failing to produce these year-by-year indexes of course meant that any possible variation was cancelled out. This also meant that the fifth hypothesis ( $H_5$ : Within each community, collective conscience will vary as participation and win-loss records in the major sports fluctuate.) generated for this study was in danger of being abandoned.

The second part of the questionnaire allowed for subjective value judgements by the athletic directors. The nine items (numbered fourteen through twenty-two) in this section permitted the athletic directors to judge such things as the degree of community support, the impact of sport on the community, and the adequacy of facilities. Responses were summated and a subjective community participation score was obtained.

The procedure followed for refining this scale is similar to that used for the collective conscience scale. However, since there are only nine questionnaires, the decision to retain all nine items was made on the basis of the high correlation values of each item when all nine questionnaires were considered together. Table V indicates the correlation values, means, sigmas, and alpha coefficients for the football, basketball, and combined football-basketball scales. Each questionnaire was then analyzed separately to give each community an overall score on this subjective scale of community participation as well as a participation score for football and basketball. Table VI shows these scores.

# TABLE V

# COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION SCALE BY ITEMS

| Items                        | Football<br>Original and<br>Final R Value<br>(N = 9) | Basketball<br>Original and<br>Final R Value<br>(N = 9) | Football-Basketball<br>Original and<br>Final R Value<br>(N = 9) |  |  |
|------------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| 14                           | . 86   | . 79   | Football . 91<br>Basketball . 85                                |  |  |
| 15                           | . 51   | . 46   | Football .61<br>Basketball .58                                  |  |  |
| 16                           | . 71   | . 83   | Football . 68<br>Basketball . 92                                |  |  |
| 17                           | . 60   | . 78   | Football .74<br>Basketball .73                                  |  |  |
| 18                           | . 92   | . 73   | Football .90<br>Basketball .82                                  |  |  |
| 19                           | . 92   | . 85   | Football . 90<br>Basketball . 84                                |  |  |
| 20                           | . 68   | . 55   | Football .81<br>Basketball .66                                  |  |  |
| 21                           | . 67   | . 71   | Football .71<br>Basketball .66                                  |  |  |
| 22                           | . 62   | . 62   | Football .60<br>Basketball .56                                  |  |  |
| Original and<br>Final Scale: |  |  |   |  |  |
| Mean                         | 29.89  | 26.44  | 56.33   |  |  |
| Sigma                        | 4.43   | 4.50   | 8.38  |  |  |
| Alpha                        | . 91   | . 90   | . 94  |  |  |

#### TABLE VI

| Communities | Football | Basketball | Football-Basketball |
|-------------|----------|------------|---------------------|
| Α           | 25       | 23         | 48                  |
| В           | 27       | 20         | 47                  |
| С           | 31       | 30         | 61                  |
|             |          |            |                     |
| D           | 29       | 30         | 59                  |
| E           | 31       | 27         | 58                  |
| F           | 34       | 33         | 67                  |
|             |          |            |                     |
| G           | 36       | 30         | 66                  |
| Н           | 26       | 21         | 47                  |
| I           | 34       | 26         | 60                  |
|             |          |            |                     |

#### COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION SCALE BY COMMUNITY

The third critical concern of the sport questionnaire was winloss records over the past five years. Again, the lack of data reported for baseball prohibited its inclusion for further analysis. For football there was consistent reporting in all communities for four of the five years. Basketball was not well reported. Win-loss records for only two seasons, 1971-72 and 1972-73, were consistently reported by all athletic directors. Since other year-by-year data was summarized, it was decided to calculate the percentages of wins for football and basketball for the reported seasons and then combine the records in the two

sports for an overall win percentage. Table VII indicates the win-loss records and the win percentages.

In summary the sport questionnaire was intended to provide this researcher with a five year win-loss record for football, basketball, and baseball. In addition, an objective community participation index based on quantifiable data for each sport for each year and a subjective attitude scale of community participation was to be developed. A lack of "available" data caused this researcher to alter many of the original plans. However, with the data that was collected an attempt has been made to provide some measurement of the crucial variables, participation and win-loss records.

#### Community Questionnaires

On the assumption that collective conscience will manifest itself in various observable ways within the community, five questionnaires were designed to gather objective quantifiable data believed to reflect the presence (or non-presence) of collective conscience. Each questionnaire was designed for the specific individual who was thought to know or to have available the needed information. Previous research by Angell (1942, 1947, and 1949), has implied that such community data as population growth, civic improvements and awards, budget increases, subscriptions to home-town newspapers, increased retail sales, increase in registered voters, passage of bond issues, contributions to United Funds or Community Chests, declining unemployment and welfare rates, and number of new businesses may be indicative of the collective conscience in a community.

# TABLE VII

|                     |        |       |       | со     | MMUNITI | ES     |       |       |         |
|---------------------|--------|-------|-------|--------|---------|--------|-------|-------|---------|
|                     | A      | В     | С     | D      | E       | F      | G     | н     | I       |
| Basketball          |        |       |       |        |         | ······ |       |       |         |
| 1972-73             | 15-5   | 12-10 | 22 -4 | 17-11  | 12-11   | 13 -8  | 22-4  | 10-10 | 14-9    |
| 1971-72             | 14-5   | 15-5  | 19-8  | 6-20   | 7-14    | 17-3   | 19-5  | 6-14  | 8-16    |
| Total               | 29-10  | 27-15 | 41-12 | 23-31  | 19-25   | 30-11  | 41-9  | 16-24 | 22-25   |
| Percent of Wins     | 74.36  | 64.29 | 77.36 | 42.59  | 43.18   | 73.17  | 82.00 | 40.00 | 46.81   |
| Football            |        |       |       |        |         |        |       |       |         |
| 1972-73             | 2 -8   | 2 - 8 | 9-3   | 10-2   | 6-4     | 3 - 7  | 5 - 5 | 6-5   | 3 -8    |
| 1971-72             | 6-6    | 6-5   | 10 -2 | 9-3    | 3 - 7   | 0-10   | 10-3  | 3 - 8 | 6 - 5   |
| 1970-71             | 3 -8   | 6 - 5 | 10-2  | 8-3    | 3 -7    | 0-10   | 7-4   | 6 - 5 | 5 - 5   |
| 1969-70             | . 6 -4 | 7 -3  | 11-2  | 7-3    | 4-6     | 4-6    | 11-1  | 3 - 8 | 6 - 5   |
| Total               | 17 -26 | 21-21 | 40-9  | 34-11  | 16-24   | 7 -33  | 33-13 | 18-26 | 22 - 21 |
| Percent of Wins     | 39.53  | 50.00 | 81.63 | 75.56  | 40.00   | 17.50  | 71.74 | 40.91 | 51.16   |
| Football-Basketball |        |       |       |        |         |        |       |       |         |
| Total               | 46-36  | 48-36 | 81-21 | 57 -42 | 35-49   | 37 -44 | 74-22 | 34-50 | 44-46   |
| Percent of Wins     | 56.10  | 57.14 | 79.41 | 57.58  | 41.67   | 45.68  | 77.08 | 40.48 | 48.89   |

# WIN-LOSS RECORDS

The original intent was to gather the data for a five-year time period matching that period of time with which the sport questionnaire was concerned. Then, an objective, available data, tabulation of observable community variables could be used to construct a collective conscience index for each year in each community. This index was to be used to indicate the fluctuation, or constancy, of collective conscience within a community in relation to the changing win-loss records and community participation. Also, it was believed that measurement of collective conscience could result not only from an attitude scale but also from observable and quantifiable characteristics of a community.

These questionnaires, much like those dealing with "available" data on sport, proved very unproductive in securing consistent information. The only item reported in all communities was population for 1970. No other items were complete enough for any comparisons to be made. In some cases the questionnaires were not returned even though almost all were personally contacted during the visits to the communities. The types of data called for seemed not to be available even though records and files are made at one time or another which contain most of the information. Back files, particularly in smaller communities are incomplete or, many times, destroyed or misplaced.

The information collected from this questionnaire was so incomplete and inconsistently reported that it was virtually unusable. Thus a visit to the state offices was made to investigate the types of data available on this level. Unfortunately, most of the information found was summarized in terms of county reports and there are few breakdowns on individual communities. Also, little of the desired

information could be found for the five year period under investigation. Data on the larger cities is somewhat more plentiful. However, only that data which was consistently available for all communities being considered could be utilized.

This researcher was able to obtain a three year (1970, 1971, and 1972) report on state sales tax receipts. These figures were thought to reflect to some degree the retail sales in a community and thus its economic growth. The amount of the general fund budgets for each community over a five year period were also obtained. This data was originally intended to partially reflect a community's willingness to expend money on its projects and improvements.

The <u>County And City Data Book</u>, <u>The Municipal Yearbook</u>, and other various census materials were also consulted, but the results proved little more favorable than did the questionnaires or the state offices (See Appendix K). With so little useable and consistent information, this researcher decided to disregard quantifiable data on the community as a source information in the analysis of the hypotheses to be tested in this study.

#### Community Visits

On the day of each community visit, this researcher arrived at the school about 8:00 a.m. Usually the principal was consulted concerning the research and the manner in which he wished questionnaire distribution handled. Then, either in a group or singly, the personnel in the school were contacted. In either case, it was explained that they were to act as respondents for the community as a whole. After distributing the questionnaires, a box for the completed

forms was left in the principal's office. The athletic director was contacted and as much time as he had available was spent discussing sport and its role in the community with him.

Normally the visits with the community personnel began after lunch. An effort was made to see each of those with whom this researcher had earlier corresponded. Appreciation was expressed to those who had already returned their questionnaires. Those who had not completed their questionnaires were encouraged to do so at their earliest convenience. Many times these people wanted to know about the project and were eager to converse about the high school sports in their community. Some time was spent in the libraries of those communities which had one to get some kind of impression and feeling for the place itself.

Around three-thirty another visit was made to the school to collect the questionnaires, confer with interested faculty members, look at old annuals and newspapers, and, in some cases, talk with students. After leaving the school, the community personnel visits were completed. At five o'clock most offices closed and thus this researcher's day in each community was ended.

#### Summary

Primarily this chapter presents the original and altered intentions of this researcher in operationalizing this study. All information consistently reported for each community was coded, indexed, and retained for the final analysis of data. Relatively little quantifiable data was obtained on either the community or the sport

programs. However, that which was secured was indexed accordingly. Subjective data was also noted for later use.

The data analysis presented in Chapters VI and VII views the hypotheses of this study from observational and from measurement perspectives. Each can perhaps offer useful insights into the nature of the variables and their relationship to each other.

#### CHAPTER VI

# HYPOTHESIS EVALUATION; QUALITATIVE DATA

#### Introduction

In an attempt to analyze the model and the hypotheses generated from it, a subjective discourse may perhaps be useful. Each community is within itself a unique collective; however, there are common characteristics in their views and relationships to high school athletic There are obvious limitations to any analysis based programs, primarily on sense impressions. And, since only one day was spent in each of these communities, the possibility of misinterpretation is present. However, a view of these towns not based on "objective," quantified data can possibly add to a clearer, more complete picture of what they are like. Various comments, reactions, and impressions are possibly important in gaining some insight into the internal nature of a community. The specific intent for the community visits in this study was to gather community data and detect, if possible, people's feelings about their town and their high school athletic program, particularly in regard to football, basketball, and baseball.

This chapter is intended to present the writer's general overall reaction to each of the communities; and, more specifically, a view of the role of sport in the community. An attempt is made to present the

kinds of feelings and attitudes that were expressed by the citizens. In the conclusion of this chapter, the basic hypotheses of this study are reviewed in relation to the author's subjective, sense impressions gained of the communities.

#### The Small Communities

## Community A

Coming into community A is like seeing the realization of the stereotype of a small rural town. Roads are not paved, buildings are old, and grain trucks and farmers line the road to the mill. A tractor and farm equipment store seem to be where most of the action in town is concentrated. Perhaps the best analysis of A was related by a newcomer who said, "They're thinking about paving a road to connect A with the cemetary and then call this place Twin Cities."

From the people who have lived in A for many years comes a quite different impression. Superficial objects like roads, buildings, and progress are unimportant when compared to the benefits derived from living in a community with such good "folks." According to one, there is always something going on in A from church meetings to Mason meetings.

Perhaps the greatest problem for this community is its proximity to a much larger city. Many do the biggest part of their buying and shopping there. Also, a large number of the teachers at the school live there and commute to A only to teach school. In addition, many students from outlying areas are bused to the school and have no other tie to the community. These divisive factors are readily communicated to the outsider. Often times feelings of hostility, panic, and entrapment enter into the conversation.

In contrast to the less than modern appearance of the town is the school. Located at one end of the main street, almost isolated from the rest of the town, the school is a modern, very adequate facility. However, most faculty members feel that the town does not really identify with the school or its activities. Participation is low and the community is little involved in any of its programs. Students here are reported to cause more trouble, to be less respectful of authority, and to be less interested or concerned with sports than students in other schools. Some seem to believe that the school and the community would identify with a winning sports program. But, the overall win-loss record in football, basketball, and baseball for the last five years is not particularly bad and A still lacks the community support and involvement in sports enjoyed by other communities with worse records.

#### Community B

Although a small town, community B serves as county seat for smaller towns in the county. The community appears relatively progressive with paved streets, numerous businesses, two banks, and an impressive modern school plant. There is quite a bit of money in the town from oil developments. Most of the people seem to do their shopping and buying in B. There are good feelings among and between the people and the homogeneity of the community seems to carry over and include the school teachers who, in other towns, are often considered outsiders. B is a football town. Even though their record this year was less than spectacular, the community has maintained its enthusiasm for the game. The whole town turned out for the state play-offs two years ago. When B plays out-of-town games, a caravan of cars, reportedly a mile long, makes the trip. After the games, all the folks like to stop and eat at the same place, win or lose. When it is too cold or wet to sit in the bleachers, the cars line up around the stadium to take in the action.

According to one, "nothing else is the equalizer that sport is. No other activity can bring out the oneness and feeling of unity like sport." People in B take their sports very personally. When the team loses, B loses, and when they win, the people rejoice. It seems that the whole school runs better in winning seasons. In fact, it is easier to get things for the whole school, not just the athletic program, when the teams do well,

The basketball and baseball teams do not have bad records, but the community seems less interested in what they do. This does not mean they do not want victory. In fact, they want to be "Number One" in everything, from the band, to the agriculture programs, to the athletic field. However, success in these other programs seems to function mainly to whet the community's appetite for football victory.

#### Community C

The first impression one gets when entering community C is that no town of this size can possibly be this big, have so many businesses, offer so many services, and look so good. There is a hospital, a public library, two nursing homes, two banks, and a very

adequate school plant. People are friendly but have an air of efficiency and time-consciousness quite similar to larger cities. C is a relatively wealthy town, and industry and manufacturing are plentiful. The city won a first place community achievement award last year. People in C like their city, they are proud of it, and they like each other.

Although there is a strong identity of the community members with each other, the closeness of the town people does not seem to include the school faculty. In fact an undercurrent of faculty hostility toward the town is apparent. Perhaps this is true because in the teachers' opinion, the town is fanatical about sport. Except for the football coach, the town is believed to be little concerned with what's happening at school. When the high school coach resigned this year, he received a full page story concerning his contributions to the community. However, the lady who established the kindergarten program, and ran it for the last five years, was only cited in the list of those faculty resigning. No other faculty member received extensive news coverage either.

According to one source, the worth of the school is judged by the success of the athletic teams. In fact, he estimated that seventy-five percent of the communities in Oklahoma judge their schools by athletic success. The town takes a personal interest in sports, especially football. When new bleachers were ordered, the citizens took off from work and put them together in readiness for an up-coming game. The community, with trucks of all kinds, hauled the fill dirt and leveled it for the football field. In addition, one hundred percent of the businesses in C bought memberships in last year's Quarterback

Club. Although the crucial interest is football, the basketball team has also brought home its share of honors. Winning in football and basketball seems to be a tradition at C. The people expect victory and are willing to overlook somewhat less than otherwise acceptable behavior on the part of a coach as long as he wins. Participation and involvement are high; and the people love their winners.

The Middle-Sized Communities

#### Community D

Community D is a middle-sized, clean, all-American town. Its people are friendly and eager to tell a stranger about their girl's basketball team and the state championship they won this year. This "Gas Capitol of the World," so billed by the Chamber of Commerce, won first place in the community achievement contest last year and is top contention for this year's award.

Coaches here believe that their city is much like others in this part of Oklahoma, in that it seems to be oriented around the top sport of the school. Town-folk identify with the teams, with the coach, and especially with victory. They follow the team on out-of-town trips, they buy the team's meals, and they encourage their winners to make speaking trips to other towns. However, this kind of community support seems highly dependent on winning. For example, it was not uncommon for the bleachers to be full during the girl's basketball games and populated only by parents and a few loyal fans for the boy's. Although the boy's season was not particularly bad, it was in no way comparable with the girl's. There are signs and monuments, especially around the school, which would indicate the community's identity with the athletic teams. According to various officials, everything in the school and community seems to function more smoothly and efficiently when teams are winning. There are fewer student problems and less community discontent. There are complimentary remarks for the school, the principal, and the community which are readily expressed during winning seasons. Losing seasons are noted not only by defeat on the scoreboard but also by much community discontent. This tenuous basis for support is recognized by coaches as it tends to leave them somewhat insecure in their jobs.

Quite obviously high school sports have an impact on the attitude which the people have about their community. When asked about community awards, the Chamber of Commerce listed the girl's state championship. However, according to many, the sport of crucial concern is football. And for the last few years the coach has been a town "hero" as his teams have consistently turned out winning seasons.

#### Community E

The "City On The Move," as community E is referred to by the Chamber of Commerce, has been the subject of much current upheaval. The huge industrial plant, which had employed as many as 825 workers, is closing its doors. Since 1916, when it was established, it has been a major source of revenue for the city and its population.

Faced with the inevitable loss of the plant, the city has thus far managed to escape a panic situation. However, the undercurrents of unrest and insecurity are not far from the surface. The plant is a

primary topic of conversation especially since several citizens brought a pollution suit against the industry shortly before it's announced closing. Life for these citizens has been somewhat less than pleasant since their names were made known publically.

The city's Industrial Foundation is busily readying two new industrial sites and encouraging new businesses in an effort to avert massive unemployment with the closing of the plant. The Chamber of Commerce has financed a study of business prospects for E, and the two banks anxiously await the outcome. As one banker indicated when he looked around at the new building, "the plant is largely responsible for this. "

While E is a combination of the new and the old, it would appear that the old has the definite edge. The slow down, easy-going pace is at once obvious to the outsider. There are many historic buildings. The city looks old and tired. For a community with nearly 8700 inhabitants, impressions of ruralness seem particularly heavy. However, it is most difficult to put any kind of label on E.

The progressive spirit is perhaps best represented by the public school system. Winner of the "First Honor Award" and school of the month, the city is justly proud of its schools. Perhaps shocked into awareness of the present by the closing of the plant, other facets of E may soon feel the push to keep up with the times.

The Maroons, as the local high school athletic teams are called, have had some moments of glory in spite of this season's poor records. When the basketball team lost two home games in overtime "disappointment fell heavily on the whole town." The movement of football from Class AA to AAA has left the team somewhat outclassed but, according to one source, the new coach has begun the process of instilling pride and a winning attitude in his players. In 1970, the baseball team qualified for the State Tourney for the first time in E's history. Even though they did not win the championship, the city reveled in their success.

This year's state championship team in wrestling was flown to the National Collegiate Championships at the town's expense. Perhaps the most obvious indication of collective conscience were evidenced when the wrestlers went on an out of town trip on a Friday. There was no trouble filling a charter bus for spectators. People took off from work, canceled appointments, and returned at an "ungodly" hour Saturday morning, These fans will be ready to travel again next week. Wrestling is the strong suit for E and attendance and support seem to surge during the season. However, perhaps because wrestling is somewhat less status rewarding on a societal level than football or basketball or baseball, there does not appear to be a community wide identification with the athletic teams of the high school. Although there are some very staunch supporters, facilities and equipment are readily provided, and the community enjoys a winning effort by the teams, high school sports do not seem to be a crucial concern for the community as a whole.

It is not difficult to engage the ardent fans in conversations about their favorite subject. It seems that last summer, there was a camper's convention at the fairgrounds. People were everywhere, buying things and wandering up and down the streets. Business soared and when the campers had gone and the merchants surveyed their profits a surprising realization struck them; most of the buying had been done

by the citizens of E. The joining together, the seeing and conversing, the visiting had worked like contagion to pull the people into the action network of the community. Therefore, according to one, what E needs is good recreational and athletic involvement to encourage the city's economic growth.

A few years ago there was no field for softball playing for the men of the community so they go together, cleared the space, and now increasing participation by "town-folk" is having quite an impact in other areas of social action. A further indication of the role of sports in E is the youth center which handles most of the athletic activities until the youths reach high school. With nearly 1000 youth participating each week in the activities of the center, it has become a popular place.

Although there does appear to be to some degree a collective unity in E, the role of sport in relation to it seems obscure. The impact of the plant must of course be considered a crucial factor in past feelings of collectivity. And the inevitable closing of the company has perhaps left little time for any interest other than securing a new job. Thus any analysis of this community concerning any social phenomenon is particularly difficult.

#### Community F

Community F is the largest city in northwest Oklahoma. Perhaps most significant in its development was the oil boom of 1959. At that time the two major industries, wheat and livestock, were suffering. The boom gave the city new life and it has since maintained itself as a leader in wheat and cattle production.

Of significant interest is the city youth program which operates to give "every child a well rounded sports and sportsmanship background." The emphasis seems to be participation and perhaps this has carried over into high school sports. Talking with the coaches, one gets the distinct impression that winning is nice but it is not a primary concern. The main thrust of the sport program is student and community involvement.

In spite of a very poor win record, particularly in football, there is apparently good attendance and community support for the athletic programs. Perhaps one tragic event, the death of a boy during a practice, in 1969, has helped to foster the less pronounced emphasis on winning. There seems to be good community feelings regardless of the scoreboard. This does not mean F does not appreciate victory, as evidenced by the enthusiasm of the crowds when the football team won three games this year. They had lost every game in the two preceding years. Consequently, winning does not appear to be the crucial consideration in the sport's contest as it is played in F.

Furthermore, there are other explanations which may explain the de-emphasis on winning. Traditionally F has been a winner, in spite of recent records, and perhaps people continue to identify with the tradition. It may also be that the impacts of a maximized division of labor are felt less here due to its location and function for northwestern Oklahoma. Relatively isolated from other trade areas, the smaller towns in this part of the state depend on F for services and supplies otherwise unavailable. This source of collective pride may be sufficient to counter the effects of losing on the athletic field.

#### The Large Communities

#### Community G

Located in the southwest corner of Oklahoma, community G boasts a growing population and expanding industries. It is large enough to provide almost any desired service. Its size and location, in a relatively isolated part of Oklahoma, make it a center for outlying trade. Although not conspicuously apparent to a visitor, there are some signs of collective unity at work in the community. It is difficult to determine the extent or the potentcy of this collective spirit since there is an obvious separation of the town-folk from the military personnel stationed at the air force base.

On first contact, the high school appears somewhat antiquated. However, major additions and expansions seem to make it a quite adequate facility. Football is the major sport and the community really gets involved when the team is winning. A couple of years ago, the team was in contention for state championship. The mayor chartered a plane and painted the sides with the victorious intentions of G. He then flew over the opposing town to drop leaflets, printed with similar sentiments, all over the community. There was a parade, instigated by the drivers of the city trucks, of trash trucks around the school to enliven school spirit and exemplify community support. On the day of the big game, the mayor declared a holiday so that the entire populace could take off and attend the game. After the team took the state championship, the people collected enough donations to send the entire team to the Orange Bowl. During that same season a particularly bad

snow storm left the football field covered and unuseable. Volunteers in G took off from work and cleared the field for the up-coming game.

It is not uncommon for the mayor to sit on the bench with the football team. According to the students, the All-Sports Banquet is really for the football players. In spite of the emphasis on football, it is reported that one school official solicited heavenly assistance in a crucial basketball contest. Some indication of the role of sport may be evidenced by this statement in one school annual: "We depend on you [the athletic teams] to defend the honor of the school through the upcoming year."

The community's attitude toward sports seems to be highly dependent on the final score. This year's less than impressive record seems to have dampened the enthusiasms of the community. Perhaps one student best analyzed the situation when he said, "To have spirit you have to have unity." A visible community spirit not obvious to a visitor may possibly indicate an accompanying lack of community unity.

#### Community H

Perhaps the most striking impression of community H on any visitor is oil. The complex of one oil company covers more than 1000 acres and has a work force of about 3500. It seems like nearly everybody there works for this company. However, there are other industries, and these, with oil, combine to place H first in the state in per household income. By just driving through H, it seems that there are no poor people living there. Homes are well kept and yards well tended. H has also been reported to be very "clickish" where newcomers are concerned. The high school is most impressive with modern facilities and buildings. The athletic program is so varied that almost all activities are available for participation. From the coaches the most vociferous complaint however was lack of participation by the students. Relatively few young men are willing to subject themselves to the rigors of training and practice. This lack of participation may be a reflection of the community's demand for a winner. In the last few years, H's inability to field a winning team in the major sports may be taking its toll on the attitude of people about the sports teams.

The win ethic in H is pervasive enough that coaches fear the loss of their jobs if they do not produce winning teams. As was indicated by a coach, "We had a scrappy, young basketball team this year but they didn't win much" and the community was not impressed. Attendance was sparce and unenthuiastic. H simply must have a winner. Thus it would seem that any collective conscience potentially available through sport involvement is highly dependent on winning.

#### Community I

Perhaps because of its size, it is difficult to get any specific impressions of I either from conversations or sightseeing. Although people seem to like I, it may be that they like the neighbors where they live and have no real feelings for the entire community as a whole. The city has grown particularly fast and apparently provides almost any service one could need. It appears progressive, modern, and aware of the "outside world." Several possible reasons may be the varied economic industries, the large oil productions, and the fouryear university located there.

Entering I one gets the strange impression that no city of this size could possibly have only one high school. Students are everywhere, and the school, run somewhat similar to a college, appears to function no less efficiently than might a smaller one. Although recent records fail to indicate much success by the athletic teams, there have been some very fine football teams in the past. Rumor is that a few years ago the bond issue to build a second high school failed because the citizens didn't want to split up the football team. Many seem to think the bond will pass when it comes up again. Considering the win-loss record in the last three years, they could be right.

Despite the records, sports seem to provide to some degree a unifying force in the community. Statements such as "the entire student body and faculty unite" to show their support, or "the enthusiasm of both the team and the whole community was overwhelming," or "sports play a major role in the lives of students" whether player or participant, or "jubilant dads show estatic approval and support" would suggest that some collective sentiment is centered around the high school teams. However, with the city so diversified and the population so large, it would be difficult to say that these prosport comments are a reflection of the entire community.

This citation from a poster in the school hal will perhaps indicate some of the affection students feel for their athletes:

## The [Team's] Creation

On the first day, a team was created On the second day a coach was created as a helpmate On the third day they were assigned to beat the Bombers On the fourth day they knew they had the power to conquer the Bombers On the fifth day they were given the signs of spirit On the sixth day they defeated the Bombers On the seventh day they rested.

#### Conclusion

When considering each of these communities as separate entities, the uniqueness of each seem to overshadow any similarities that might exist. However, when information about each is summarized in terms of the hypotheses for this study, some of the more general characteristics become more apparent. The first concern is with the strength of the collective conscience in these communities. Generally speaking, there seemed to be greater collective conscience among the town people of smaller areas than among those from the larger ones. The people in the small communities knew their neighbors, were more involved in school activities, and appeared to feel integrated into the life of the town. However, the separation of the school faculty members from the mainstream of community life was more frequently referred to in the smaller towns. Feelings of detachment and disillusionment with the community often came to the surface in conversations with school teachers in smaller towns.

In all the communities the status sport was football. Although some have excellent records in wrestling and basketball, the real interest was football. Baseball seemed of little importance and one school did not field a team. Most of the conversations with school coaches, officials, and town people seemed always to be oriented around football.

Smaller communities had a great deal of involvement and participation in their high school athletic programs. Possibly because there is little else to do and the bureaucracy of the school seems less formidable, the people feel a real identity with the teams. As the

communities get larger, community participation seems more dependent on winning. The verdict of the scoreboard appears to be so crucial that without winning community participation can have little, if any, impact on collective conscience. Possibly larger communities, with more alternatives for involvement, turn to other activities which can perhaps validate collective conscience.

Comments, such as "this town must have a winner," "we can't get the boys to even come out for sports when we're losing," and "attendance is poor when we lose," indicate the central role that winning plays in athletics. This is not meant to leave the impression that the smaller towns are unconcerned about winning. In these too, attendance records and community involvement seem to fluctuate with winning and losing seasons. However, the fluctuation does not seem to be as dramatic.

In each community, an attempt was made to talk at some length to the coaches and high school officials. The hypotheses were generally explained and discussed. In most cases, respondents seem to feel that there was indeed a direct relationship between high school athletics, particularly football, and the community. While no one used the term collective conscience to communicate their view of sport and its relationship to the community, they often commented on such facets of community life as pride, attitude, goodwill, and unity. Citizens in the community were reported to have fewer complaints about the school and to pass school bond issues more readily during winning football seasons. Students, too, were said to cause less trouble, to cut school less frequently, and to communicate better with the teachers when the football team was having success.

Most of those with whom this researcher spoke were in general agreement with the hypotheses of this study. There seemed no doubt in their mind that sport's impact on the community was indeed significant. None could suggest exactly how the impact could be measured or specifically how it manifest itself. But, most believed that they were definitely able to feel the difference that winning seasons could have on a community,

Whether or not these subjective impressions can be measured by quantifiable data is difficult to determine. From comments and statements of community members it would appear that there is a relationship between collective conscience and high school sports. However, the operationalization of the concept collective conscience is not easily accomplished. Chapter VII will present the results of this researcher's attempt to quantitatively test the hypotheses which thus far have been viewed primarily in relation to sense impressions and comments made by school officials and community members.

#### CHAPTER VII

## HYPOTHESIS EVALUATION: QUANTITATIVE DATA

#### Introduction

The utilization of appropriate statistical procedures is perhaps the most acceptable technique for determining the status of any hypothesis. These procedures require that the data be in a quantifiable form; i.e., the data must be transformed into indices which can be enumerated and measured. Thus, in this chapter, the information which was collected for this research and which has been quantified will provide the data for the statistical analysis of the hypotheses.

With a sample size of nine, the assumptions underlying parametric statics cannot be met. Although an attempt was made to stratify the sample along the dimensions of the major variables, the representativeness of this selection procedure cannot be determined. There are also some difficulties in utilizing nonparametric tests due mainly to the sample size and the manner in which both the communities and the informed respondents were chosen.

Primarily, the variables are measured at either the ordinal or interval level. Such data as population, participation indexes, and win percentages represent the interval level while the collective conscience scale and the participation scale represent the ordinal level.

These levels of measurement would justify the use of relatively powerful statistical tests if other assumptions underlying statistical measurement could be met.

Considering the characteristics of this data, it was decided to rely on a parametric statistic, Pearson, and a nonparametric statistic. Kendall's tau. Both of these are concerned with measuring the degree of association or correlation between two variables. However, in some of the hypothesized relationships, the variables are measured on different levels of scales; thus the use of Pearson is a more stringent test than is desired. Rather than assuming that the differences between the scores on a variable are at equal intervals, the tau statistic allows for a ranking of the scores. Therefore only the ranks are of crucial importance. Tau accesses the over-all correlation for every set of ranks on two variables with every other set of ranks; i.e., the ranks on one variable are arranged in order and the difference between the number of times which the ranks on the second variable hold in the same order as those on the first and the number of times in which they do not hold is then divided by the maximum total which is possible when perfect agreement between the ranks is observed. In essence, tau yields the percent of times that the ranks hold in the predicted order minus the number of times they do not hold.

Establishing a level of significance and thus a rejection region for such a small sample does not seem particularly crucial, especially since the sample size is reduced to three for the hypotheses dealing with communities of similar population size. The likelihood of achieving such a level is very unlikely even if the sample were randomly chosen. However, for the sake of adhering to requirements

of statistical testing, the .05 level may be considered the designated level for this study.

This chapter's first concern is a presentation of an analysis of this researcher's attempt to appraise certain variables by more than one type of measurement. Second, each hypothesis will be tested in terms of both Pearson and tau. No attempt will be made in this chapter to discuss the implications of the findings. The final section will summarize the results of the tests of the hypotheses.

#### Multi-measurement of Specified Variables

The original intent in this study was to measure collective conscience on an attitude scale and with available data. Unfortunately the available data on the communities was not of sufficient quality or quantity to justify such a measurement, However, another possible indication of the validity of the collective conscience attitude scale may be attained from accessing the correlation between the means and the standard deviations on the collective conscience scale across all of the communities. As was earlier indicated (Fessler, 1952), community integration may be evidenced by a high degree of agreement on virtually any topic by community members. Table VIII presents the means and standard deviations for the nine communities and the correlation coefficients. As is shown (r = -, 84), those communities that have the higher collective conscience mean scores also have the lower standard deviations. Although the Pearson coefficient is not statistically significant, tau ( $\tau = -, 78$ , p < .05) for the same data would indicate that the predicted direction of the relationship between mean scores and standard deviations occurred 78% more often than did the reverse direction.

#### TABLE VIII

| Committee | Collective Conscience Scale<br>(N = 9) |                     |  |  |
|-----------|--|---------------------|--|--|
| Community | Means                                  | Standard Deviations |  |  |
| А         | 44.94                                  | 10.43               |  |  |
| В         | 53,37                                  | 7.10                |  |  |
| С         | 48,53                                  | 8.69                |  |  |
| D         | 55,86                                  | 6.14                |  |  |
| E         | 50,26                                  | 9.94                |  |  |
| F         | 49.79                                  | 10.69               |  |  |
| G         | 51,95                                  | 7.74                |  |  |
| H         | 52,19                                  | 8.24                |  |  |
| I         | 52,76                                  | 7.09                |  |  |
|           |  | r =84               |  |  |
|           |  | τ =78               |  |  |

#### CORRELATION OF MEANS AND STANDARD DEVIATIONS ON THE COLLECTIVE CONSCIENCE SCALE

The correlation value for tau is sometimes misinterpreted. In this case the comparison between the ranks for mean scores and standard deviations showed that thirty-two of thirty-six, or 88.9%, of the paired sets of ranks were inversely related. At the same time, four, or 11.1%, were directly related. Subtracting 89% from 11% (11% - 89% = -78%) indicated that an inverse relationship between mean scores and standard deviations was observed 78% more often

than a direct relationship. Tau is a nonparametric statistic, designed for use with small samples, which specifies this percent of the difference in decimal form; hence a tau of -.78. Thus it would appear that there is more agreement among the respondents who scored their community higher in collective conscience than among those who scored their community lower.

Community participation was also accessed on two measures: an attitude scale and an index based on available data. Table IX presents the correlations between the overall participation scale and the index, as well as the correlations for the two measures in football and in basketball. Since all indexes were standardized by community size, the correlations are computed separately for the three subgroups which are small communities, medium-sized communities, and large communities. An overall percent is calculated for the number of times in which the sets of ranks on the two measures within each population grouping occurred in the same order minus the number of times in which the orders were reversed.

As Table IX indicates, in all community subgroups both Pearson (r = .99, r = .90, and r = .79, respectively) and tau  $(\tau = .33, \tau = 1.00, and \tau = .33, respectively)$  suggest that the two measures of overall community participation vary together in a consistent manner such that higher scores on one are accompanied by higher scores on the other. The overall percent indicates that a direct relationship between the scale and the index was observed 55% more often than a reverse relationship.

When the two measures are analyzed by sport, it would appear that the relationship between them holds more consistently across the

## TABLE IX

| Overall<br>Community Community<br>Participation      |                                   | Football<br>Community<br>Participation |                                  | Basketball<br>Community<br>Participation |       |                   |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|--|-------|-------------------|
|  | Scale                             | Index                                  | Scale                            | Index                                    | Scale | Index             |
| A  | 48                                | 3.40                                   | 25                               | 1.44                                     | 23    | 1.96              |
| В  | 47                                | 3.47                                   | 27                               | 1.77                                     | 20    | 1.70              |
| С  | 61                                | 5.19                                   | 31                               | 2,65                                     | 30    | 2.54              |
|  |                                   | 3<br>. 99<br>. 33                      |                                  | 3<br>.99<br>1.00                         |       | 3<br>1.00<br>1.00 |
| D  | 59                                | 3.96                                   | 29                               | 2.49                                     | 30    | 1.47              |
| E  | 58                                | 2.05                                   | 31                               | 1.28                                     | 27    | . 77              |
| F  | 67                                | 5.63                                   | 34                               | 2,37                                     | 33    | 3.26              |
|  | N = 3<br>r = .90<br>$\tau$ = 1.00 |  | N = 3<br>r = .02<br>$\tau$ =33   |  | 1     | 3<br>.97<br>1.00  |
| G  | 66                                | 4.44                                   | 36                               | 2,53                                     | 30    | 1.91              |
| н  | 47                                | 2.77                                   | 26                               | 1,53                                     | 21    | 1.24              |
| I  | 60                                | 5,34                                   | 34                               | 2,65                                     | 26    | 2.69              |
|  | N = 3<br>r = .79<br>$\tau$ = .33  |  | N = 3<br>r = .96<br>$\tau$ = .33 |  |       | 3<br>. 52<br>. 33 |
| Overall % of<br>Right Minus<br>Wrong Direc-<br>tions | 55.                               | 56                                     | 33.                              | 33                                       | 77.   | 78                |

ł

# RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION SCALE AND INDEX

three community subgroups for basketball than for football. The specified relationship was present for basketball participation 78% more often than the reverse relationship. For football, however, the specified relationship was observed only 33% more often. In summary, it would seem that the two measures of community participation generally vary in a uniform relationship across the three community subgroups. But, the relationship holds most consistently for basketball participation, then total participation, and, lastly, for football participation.

#### Evaluation of Hypothesis One

Hypothesis one states: Communities with less division of labor will have a greater collective conscience. Division of labor has been operationalized by community size and thus those communities with the smallest populations are hypothesized to have the greatest collective conscience. The Pearson and tau for community size and collective conscience are shown in Table X. Both statistical tests fail to support the hypothesis; and both suggest that collective conscience is greater in the larger communities. Tau specifically indicates that the wrong direction occurred 28% more times than the correct direction as specified in Hypothesis One.

#### TABLE X

| Community | Population                      | Collective Conscience  |  |
|-----------|---------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| A         | 1101                            | 44.94                  |  |
| В         | 1304                            | 53.37                  |  |
| С         | 1420                            | 48.53                  |  |
|           | $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ = 1245  | $\overline{x} = 48,96$ |  |
| D         | 7323                            | 55,86                  |  |
| E         | 8654                            | 50,26                  |  |
| F         | 9412                            | 49.79                  |  |
|           | <del>x</del> = 8463             | $\overline{x} = 51.97$ |  |
| G         | 23302                           | 51.95                  |  |
| H         | 25940                           | 52.19                  |  |
| I         | 44986                           | 52.76                  |  |
|           | $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ = 31409 | $\overline{x} = 52.30$ |  |
| N = 9     | r = .36                         | $\tau =28$             |  |

#### RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN COLLECTIVE CONSCIENCE AND POPULATION SIZE

#### Evaluation of Hypothesis Two

Hypothesis two states: Communities with equal division of labor which have higher participation in the major sports will have greater collective conscience. This hypothesis calls for an investigation of communities of similar size and thus Table XI shows the correlations values (Pearson and tau) on each subgroup separately. In addition, the community participation index and scale are both shown with their

## TABLE XI

| Community                        | Community<br>Participation | Community<br>Participation | Collective<br>Conscience              |  |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
|                                  | Index                      | Scale                      | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |  |
| Α                                | 3.40                       | 48                         | 44.94                                 |  |
| В                                | 3.47                       | 47                         | 53.37                                 |  |
| С                                | 5.19                       | 61                         | 44.94                                 |  |
|                                  | N = 3                      | N = 3                      |                                       |  |
|                                  | r =05                      | r =15                      |                                       |  |
|                                  | τ = .33                    | τ =33                      |                                       |  |
| D                                | 3.96                       | 59                         | 55.86                                 |  |
| E                                | 2.05                       | 58.                        | 50.26                                 |  |
| F                                | 5.63                       | 67                         | 49.79                                 |  |
|                                  | N = 3                      | N = 3                      |                                       |  |
|                                  | r =03                      | r =47                      |                                       |  |
|                                  | $\tau = -, 33$             | $\tau =33$                 |                                       |  |
| G                                | 4.44                       | 66                         | 51.95                                 |  |
| H                                | 2.77                       | 47                         | 52.19                                 |  |
| I                                | 5.34                       | 60                         | 52, 76                                |  |
|                                  | N = 3                      | N = 3                      |                                       |  |
|                                  | r = .55                    | r =08                      |                                       |  |
|                                  | τ = .33                    | 'τ =33                     |                                       |  |
| verall % of                      |                            |                            |                                       |  |
| ight Minus<br>Wrong<br>irections | 11.11                      | -33.33                     |                                       |  |

#### CORRELATION BETWEEN COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION INDEXES AND SCALES AND COLLECTIVE CONSCIENCE

respective correlations. The overall percent of hypothesized correct minus wrong directions for the comparisons in each population category for each measurement of community participation is also calculated.

In only one community subgroup (r = .55 for the largest communities) is there a trend toward the hypothesized relationship for the community participation index and collective conscience. For the community participation scale the correlations in every subgroup are reversed, For both measures of community participation tau shows only two subgroups ( $\tau = .33$  for the large communities and  $\tau = .33$ for the small communities) with the correct direction observed more frequently than the wrong, Perhaps the best way to summarize the findings presented on this table is to combine the subgroups and calculate an overall percent of the observed correct minus wrong directions. This summarization indicates that the hypothesized direction of the relationship between the community participation index and collective conscience manifested itself 11% more often than did the reverse direction. However, the reverse direction was present 33% more often in the relationship between the community participation scale and collective conscience. Thus hypothesis two is unconfirmed; and in fact these data tend to indicate that it is less, rather than greater, community participation which is accompanied by greater collective conscience.

Hypothesis two was also evaluated in terms of the participation scale and index for football and basketball separately and in terms of each of the four per capita indexes that were summated to obtain the participation indexes. However, none of these offered further clarification of the relationship between community participation and collective conscience. The Pearson and tau coefficients for the participation indexes and scales are reported in Appendix I.

#### Evaluation of Hypothesis Three

Hypothesis three states: Communities with equal division of labor which win in the major sports will have greater collective conscience. This hypothesis, too, calls for an evaluation to be made in terms of the subgroupings of the communities. Table XII presents separately the correlation coefficients for total wins, football wins, and basketball wins and collective conscience. The overall percents of observed correct minus wrong directions are also reported.

Only for the medium-sized communities in total wins (r = .95,  $\tau$  = .33) and in football wins (r = .95,  $\tau$  = 1,00) is there strong evidence for the hypothesized relationship between winning and collective conscience. Considering the other community subgroups in terms of total wins and football wins in relation to collective conscience, the hypothesized trend is either so negligible that it appears non-existent, or it appears in the direction opposite to that which was predicted. For example, in the small communities the correlation coefficients show little, if any, relationship between total wins and collective conscience (r = .05,  $\tau$  = .33) or between football wins and collective conscience (r = .16,  $\tau$  = .33). In the large communities the relationship between total wins and collective conscience (r = -. 57,  $\tau$  = -. 33) and football wins and collective conscience (r = -. 47,  $\tau$  = -. 33) seemingly suggest that the reversal of the hypothesized relationship is more often the case. Furthermore, all Pearson (r = -.79, r = -.57, r = -.62,

## TABLE XII

!

| Communities  | Total<br>% Wins | Football<br>% Wins | Basketball<br>% Wins | Collective<br>Conscience |
|--|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| A  | 56.10           | 39.53              | 74.36                | 44.94                    |
| В  | 57.14           | 50.00              | 64.29                | 53.37                    |
| С  | 79.41           | 81.63              | 77.36                | <b>4</b> 8.5 <b>3</b>    |
|  | N = 3           | N = 3              | N = 3                |                          |
|  | r =05           | r = .16            | r =79                |                          |
|  | $\tau = .33$    | τ = .33            | $\tau =33$           |                          |
| D  | 57.58           | 75.56              | 42.59                | 55.86                    |
| E  | 41.67           | 40.00              | 43.18                | 50.26                    |
| F  | 45.68           | 17.50              | 73.17                | 49.79                    |
|  | N = 3           | N = 3              | N = 3                |                          |
|  | r = .95         | r = .95            | <b>r</b> =57         |                          |
| •<br>•   | τ = .33         | $\tau = 1.00$      | $\tau = -1.00$       |                          |
| G  | 77.08           | 71.74              | 82.00                | 51.95                    |
| Н  | 40.48           | 40.91              | 40.00                | 52.19                    |
| I  | 48.49           | 51.16              | 46.81                | 52.76                    |
|  | N = 3           | N = 3              | N = 3                |                          |
|  | r =57           | r =47              | r =62                |                          |
|  | $\tau =33$      | τ =33              | τ =33                |                          |
| Overall % of<br>Right Minus<br>Wrong<br>Directions | 11.11           | 33.33              | -55.56               |                          |

# RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN WINNING AND COLLECTIVE CONSCIENCE

respectively) and tau ( $\tau = -.33$ ),  $\tau = -1.00$ ,  $\tau = -.33$ , respectively) correlation coefficients for every community subgroup are negative, and fairly strong, for basketball winning and collective conscience. Thus it appears that increased winning in basketball has a reverse relationship with increased collective conscience.

By consulting the overall percents of right minus wrong directions in the specified relationship between winning and collective conscience, it is noted that the correct direction is observed only 11% more often than the wrong for total wins and collective conscience, the correct direction 33% more often for football wins, but the <u>reverse</u> direction 55% more often for basketball wins and collective conscience. Winning in football appears to relate positively, to some extent, with collective conscience; but overall hypothesis three is considered unconfirmed.

#### Evaluation of Hypothesis Four

Hypothesis four states: Communities with equal division of labor which have higher participation and winning in the major sports will have greater collective conscience. Since this hypothesis calls for a combination of greater winning and greater participation, some accessment must be made of the relationship between participation and winning. In viewing Table XIII, it will be noted that an increase in either the participation scale or index is generally accompanied by an increase in winning. Of the two types of participation measurements, the index (with the correct direction occuring 56% more often than the wrong) appears to vary more consistently in the direction required for testing this hypothesis.

## TABLE XIII

|  | Community I   |               |              |
|--|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| Community  | Scale         | Index         | Total % Wins |
| A  | 48            | 3.40          | 56.10        |
| В  | 47            | 3.47          | 57.14        |
| С  | 61            | 5.19          | 79.41        |
|  | N = 3         | N = 3         |              |
|  | r = .99       | r = 1.00      |              |
|  | $\tau = .33$  | $\tau = 1.00$ |              |
| D  | 59            | 3.96          | 57.58        |
| Ē  | 58            | 2.05          | 41.67        |
| F  | 67            | 5.63          | 4.68         |
|  | N = 3         | N = 3         |              |
|  | r =18         | r = .28       |              |
|  | $\tau =38$    | τ = .33       |              |
| G  | 66            | 4.44          | 77.08        |
| н  | 47            | 2,77          | 40.48        |
| I  | 60            | 5.34          | 48.49        |
|  | N = 3         | N = 3         |              |
|  | r = .87       | r = .37       |              |
|  | $\tau = 1.00$ | τ = .33       |              |
|  |               |               |              |
| Overall % of<br>Right Minus<br>Wrong<br>Directions | 33.33         | 55.56         |              |

#### RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION AND PERCENT OF TOTAL WINS

However, since both types of participation measurement are somewhat related (see Table IX), Table XIV includes both the scale and the index in presenting the relationship between collective conscience and both greater participation and greater winning. Considering these three variables simultaneously would require the use of partial correlation. However, calculating first order partials for sets of three cases was considered to be meaningless; thus only tau has been calculated to indicate the degree of the relationship that exists between collective conscience and those sets of ranks which were highest on both participation and winning. Seven comparisons were possible for the variable combination of participation index, winning, and collective conscience and seven for the combination of participation scale, winning, and collective conscience.

Both the scale and the index combinations for the medium-sized communities reveal as many observations of the wrong directions as of the right and thus no relationship between participation, winning, and collective conscience is manifest ( $\tau = .00$  for the index and scale variable combinations). For the small communities, there is a slight trend toward the hypothesized relationship with the participation index, winning, and collective conscience combination ( $\tau = .33$ ) but, no relationship is evident when the scale is substituted for the index in the combination of variables. For the large communities, however, the participation scale combination shows an opposite trend ( $\tau = ..33$ ) from that predicted, and no relationship when the index is used in the combination.

## TABLE XIV

|  | Community Participation |              | Total  | Collective |  |
|--|-------------------------|--------------|--------|------------|--|
| Communities  | Scale                   | Index        | % Wins | Conscience |  |
| A  | 48                      | 3.40         | 56.10  | 44.94      |  |
| В  | 47                      | 3.47         | 57.14  | 53.37      |  |
| C  | 61                      | 5.19         | 79.41  | 48.53      |  |
|  | $\tau = .00$            | τ = .33      |        |            |  |
| D  | 59                      | 3.96         | 57.58  | 55.86      |  |
| E  | 58                      | 2.05         | 41.67  | 50.26      |  |
| F  | 67                      | 5.63         | 45.68  | 49.79      |  |
|  | τ = ,00                 | $\tau$ = .00 |        |            |  |
| G  | 66                      | 4.44         | 77.08  | 51.95      |  |
| H  | . 47                    | 2.77         | 40.48  | 52.19      |  |
| I  | 60                      | 5.34         | 48.49  | 52.76      |  |
|  | τ =33                   | $\tau = .00$ |        |            |  |
| Number of<br>Comparisons<br>Made                   | 7                       | 7            |        |            |  |
| Overall % of<br>Right Minus<br>Wrong<br>Directions | -14.29                  | 14.29        |        |            |  |

#### RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION, PERCENT OF TOTAL WINS, AND COLLECTIVE CONSCIENCE BY COMMUNITY

As is indicated in Table XIV, the hypothesized relationship was observed over all the communities 14% more often when using the participation index combination but, the opposite relationship 14% more often when using the participation scale combination. However, it should be remembered that, in this particular case, both the negative and the positive trends were contained within a single community subgroup and not distributed throughout the communities as is implied by an overall percent. Consequently, hypothesis four is not confirmed.

This fourth hypothesis was also evaluated separately for the individual scales and indexes of football and basketball. Taken separately, each of the scales and indexes show a positive relationship to winning in the respective sports. That is, the football participation scale and football winning consistently vary together 33% more often than they vary inversely; the football participation index and football winning vary together 56% more often; the basketball participation index and basketball winning vary together 78% more often; and the basketball participation index and basketball winning vary together 56% more often, <sup>1</sup> Thus, the measurement of football participation which relates most consistently with football winning is the index and, the measurement of basketball participation which relates most consistently with basketball winning is the scale.

In order to access the relationship predicted by this hypothesis, each index and scale was put in combination with winning for the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Consult Appendix J for further clarification of the relationship between participation and winning by measurement technique and by sport.

respective sport and collective conscience. Table XV indicates the tau coefficients, number of possible comparisons, and the overall percent of right minus wrong directions observed in the relationship of the three variables within each community subgroup. In addition, the overall percent of right minus wrong directions for the relationship between the two different measuring techniques for participation and winning are given.

As is shown in Table XV, there is a tendency for collective conscience to vary in the predicted direction when analyzed in relation to winning and the football measure of community participation which related most consistently to winning; i.e., higher collective conscience is observed 43% more often than not when in combination with the football participation index and football winning. However, when collective conscience and winning are in combination with the football participation scale, the tendency is reversed with an inverse relationship being observed 33% more often than the hypothesized direction. When collective conscience was in combination with basketball winning and either of the basketball participation measures (scale or index), the trend is also reversed. That is, the opposite direction was observed 43% more often when the basketball participation index, basketball winning, and collective conscience were viewed in relation to each other, and 25% more often with the combination basketball participation scale, basketball wins, and collective conscience.

Since none of the relationships, either by total or by sport, are particularly significant, and since many of the percents of right minus wrong directions are reversed depending on whether the participation index or scale is used, identifying a general trend for Hypothesis Four

## TABLE XV

## RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION, WINNING, AND COLLECTIVE CONSCIENCE BY SPORT

|                          |   | Participation - Winning - Collective Conscience |   |  |  |
|--------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| Football                 | Overall % of Right Minus<br>Wrong Directions For<br>Participation and Winning | tau   | Number of<br>Comparisons                | Overall % of Right Minus<br>Wrong Directions |  |
| Scale:                   |   |   |   |  |  |
| Small Communities        |   | 33  |   |  |  |
| Middle-Sized Communities | 33.33   | . 00  | 6                                       | -33.00                                       |  |
| Large Communities        |   | 33  |   |  |  |
| Index:                   |   |   |   |  |  |
| Small Communities        |   | . 33  |   |  |  |
| Middle-Sized Communities | 55.56   | 1.00  | 7                                       | 42.86  |  |
| Large Communities        |   | . 00  |   |  |  |
| Basketball               |   |   |   |  |  |
| Scale:                   |   |   |   |  |  |
| Small Communities        |   | . 33  | а н — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — |  |  |
| Middle-Sized Communities | 77.78   | -1.00   | 8                                       | -25.00                                       |  |
| Large Communities        |   | 33  |   |  |  |
| Index:                   |   |   |   |  |  |
| Small Communities        |   | 33  |   |  |  |
| Middle-Sized Communities | 55.56   | -1.00   | 7                                       | -42.86                                       |  |
| Large Communities        |   | . 00  |   |  |  |

is difficult. There is, however, some indication that if the relationship between participation, winning, and collective conscience does exist, it does so primarily when the sport is football.

#### Evaluation of Hypothesis Five

Hypothesis five states: Within each community, collective conscience will vary as participation and win-loss records in the major sports fluctuate. Testing this hypothesis requires the measurement of year-by-year variations in community participation, win-loss records, and collective conscience. However, with the data that was collected this kind of measurement was not possible. Thus hypothesis five can not be evaluated in terms of quantifiable data.

#### Summary

The evaluation of the hypotheses in terms of the quantifiable data collected for this research indicated that all predicted relationships between the variables failed to be confirmed. Although statistical significance was not considered a particularly crucial issue in analyzing these hypotheses, statistical techniques (Pearson, tau, and percent of right minus wrong directions) were utilized to investigate the predicted relationships between the variables and to identify possible directional tendencies in the relationships.

In the test of the first hypothesis, the relationship between division of labor and collective conscience was not only unconfirmed, it showed a general tendency in the reverse direction; i.e., those communities with the greatest division of labor also tended to have the greatest collective conscience. The test results for the second hypothesis were somewhat obscured because of the contrary findings produced by the two separate measures of community participation. Since neither finding was particularly strong or consistent, little can be reported regarding any trends in the relationship between participation and collective conscience. A slight tendency toward the relationship between winning and collective conscience specified by the third hypothesis was obtained for total wins and for football wins, but a reversal was indicated for basketball wins. The results of the evaluation of the fourth hypothesis failed to establish the postulated relationship between participation, winning, and collective conscience. As was the case in the test of the second hypothesis, the two community participation measures in separate combinations with the other two variables revealed opposing tendencies. The fifth hypothesis was not tested due to lack of data,

In conclusion, evaluation of the hypotheses in terms of the quantifiable data did not support the hypotheses, nor were there consistent confirmations within any community size or for either sport. The interpretations and implications of the findings presented in this chapter will be discussed in Chapter VIII.

#### CHAPTER VIII

#### FINAL CONSIDERATIONS

#### Introduction

Primarily the impetus for this research has been the belief that sport in complex society has a vital impact on modern man. While the social scientist has been reluctant to consider sport research, people appear to have methodically transformed themselves into sport addicts. The tendency of the phenomenal growth of sport to include an elitist profession which prevents all but the super stars from actual participation as athletes has not dissuaded the desire of people to be involved in sport. Thus the super spectator has become a reality in modern society as mankind seems intent on saturating himself with sport.

It would seem to this researcher that nothing could demand the expenditures of time and energy and money that sport does unless it was believed to be beneficial and rewarding for those who have identified themselves with it. The crucial question then becomes, "What is it that sport does for man?" or perhaps more to the point, "What is it that sport is perceived to do for man?" These kinds of questions have led this researcher to approach the study of the role of sport in complex society from a functionalist perspective.

Sport has been credited with such varied functions as the production of democratic citizenship, the enhancement of life, the

motivation of social mobility and change, emotional release, socialization, and social control. However, underlying much of the sport literature there seems to be obscure implications that sport was contributing to something far more fundamental and necessary in the basic nature of man and of society than these specialized functions would suggest. Whether called collective identity, or an affinity of spirit, or, as Durkheim called it, collective conscience, the ability of sport to enhance man's feeling of comradship with other man pervades much of the writing on sport. As a result, the principle concern in this research became the nature of the relationship between sport and collective conscience. The fundamental postulate was that sport is a social phenomenon with a potential capability for reactivating and reaffirming collective conscience in complex society.

Although collective conscience quite probably has an impact on the individuals who live in any community, it was decided to attempt to ascertain the manifestations of activated collective conscience on a collective level; i.e., the community level. However implementing such a research plan was problematic from the beginning. With little guidance being offered through the literature on either collective conscience or sport, operationalizing these concepts with established precedence was not possible. Various research techniques were designed with the hope that strengths and limitations of each would compliment each other, and that some degree of consistency between the measuring techniques would occur and thus add validity to this study.

The communities were selected, the research conducted, and the results noted, tabulated, and analyzed. The crucial issue then becomes the interpretation of the findings. Had there been few problems in

implementing the proposed research design, or had there been only quantifiable data, or had there been only one measuring technique, explanations would be relatively straightforward. However, these criteria are not characteristic of this study. Consequently, before further interpretations are attempted some added observations will be mentioned concerning the general, overall nature of this research endeavor.

#### The Research Design In Retrospect

At the outset it should be noted that seldom does a researcher have an opportunity to confront the data on a personal, intuitive level while simultaneously collecting information that can be quantified for statistical analysis. That being the case in this particular study, some added insights are perhaps possible concerning the limitations and obstacles which frustrate the attempts made by the social scientist to understand and evaluate the nature of social reality.

In this study the sample was composed of nine communities in Oklahoma. Each was selected, in reference to such criteria as population, location, and win-loss records for the high school, in an attempt to attain a representation of the full dimension of the variables under consideration. However, after all information on the communities was gathered there was less variation on the crucial variables than was intended. As a result, identifying the relationship between sport and collective conscience was particularly difficult. In addition, the restrictions that a sample of nine places on various statistical techniques should be considered. Established levels of significance become almost useless as an aid in interpreting the findings, and although trends and tendencies may be imputed, these at best are tentative.

Perhaps a more meaningful judgement of this sample is one which concentrates not so much on the statistical limitations of the sample but one which evaluates the communities themselves. The personal contact which was made with each facilitates some observations that would have been impossible had the communities been anonymous entities. For example, Community E is facing a potential crisis with the closing of its major industry. The unrest and insecurity that pervade much of the atmosphere there would make it an unlikely choice for a typical community. Community H, on the other hand, is essentially a one-industry community and thus comparisons between it and other similarly sized cities with multiple industries are very difficult. As is specified by the research design, all of the larger communities (G, H, and I) have a single high school. This perhaps contributes to a heightened collective unity which is possibly not characteristic of other cities of the same size with more than one high school. Consider also that Community A is within commuting distance of a much larger city. Substantial collective conscience may be much less likely here than would be the case in another small community which was a greater distance from the services and facilities offered by a larger city.

Pointing out these uniquenesses is not meant to imply that the hypotheses would have been confirmed had the communities been more typical of a "normal" community in a particular population category. However, it may be that with an increased sample size the uniquenesses of these communities would have disappeared, making a more

м .

adequate test of the predicted relationships. Thus, the intent here is simply to provide a more comprehensive view for possible explanations of the inconsistent findings revealed in the qualitative and quantitative analysis of the hypotheses.

A second aspect of this research design to be considered is the use of informed respondents. The assumption that they would respond to the questionnaire like the community as a whole would have responded is perhaps unfounded. Although an attempt was made to stress the importance of the respondents' speaking for the community, the impressions gained from contact with other community members often differed with the tabulated collective conscience recorded on the questionnaires. It is possible that these informed respondents did indeed speak for the community and that the sense impressions of this researcher were guided by that which was expected rather than that which was actually the case. Of interest would be the responses of other community members to the same questionnaire.

It did seem to this researcher that the school and its personnel felt separated from the mainstream of community life, particularly in the small communities. Teachers in the small communities appeared little impressed with the town or the people in it. Feelings of hostility toward the town were often expressed. It may be that in larger cities school personnel are less distinct from other community members and thus are more assimilated into the community itself.

Another assumption which unfortunately proved untrue was that key persons in the community could and would supply vital information on the community over a five-year period. Originally this type of data was intended to provide a second measure of collective conscience as a check on the collective conscience reported by the informed respondents. Without this data, it is difficult to access either the validity of the questionnaire or the researcher's personal impressions.

Attempts to use official records as a source of community data were as unsuccessful as the efforts made to collect information from community leaders. The major difficulties involved in trying to employ available data include such limitations as selective recording of facts, inconsistent reporting, missing data, lost and misplaced information, and non-accessability to files (cf. Webb, et al, 1971); and this researcher was confronted with most of them. The resulting lack of quantifiable community data severely restrains a comprehensive analysis of the communities.

Available data was also intended to provide much of the needed information on football, basketball, and baseball. Such sport-related data as win-loss records, average game attendance, cost of facilities, seating capacities, and booster club membership was believed to be kept by athletic directors in the high schools. Win-loss records could provide an indication of the success achieved in the different sports and other quantifiable data could be utilized to indicate the degree to which a community took part in high school sports. As was the case for available data and the community, little data were actually received. Even win-loss records were difficult to obtain. For lack of information, baseball was finally excluded from the study and although a community participation index was developed, its validity is questionable because of the questionable accuracy of the reported averages in game attendance.

Operationalizing theoretical concepts to make them amenable for quantitative evaluation is one of the most difficult tasks facing any researcher. Of the major variables (division of labor, winning, community participation, and collective conscience) operationalized in this study, the measurement technique for the division of labor is probably the least developed. Although it may be theoretically sound to assume that an increase in population size is accompanied by an increase in the division of labor, there is perhaps a community size below which a small population reflects hostility rather than collective conscience. The assumption that the division of labor is less severe in small communities may prove incorrect when it is viewed in terms of complex society. Separation, according to such things as occupation, family name, race, and religion, is possibly more pronounced when everybody knows everybody than when people are somewhat anonymous in larger cities,

Winning was operationalized with win-loss records for the major sports. The total percent of wins versus losses in all sports was taken as a measurement of the overall success of the sports program. Possibly a record of winning such crucial games as district, regional, or state championships would have provided a better indication of the validation and authentication of a way of life that is potentially available through winning.

In addition to the available data utilized to construct a participation index, the sport questionnaire included an attitude survey for the athletic director's perceived community participation. The greatest difficulty encountered with this attitude measurement was having to rely on only one respondent for each community. This increases the

probability of bias and distortion in the participation score calculated for each community.

Although an attitude questionnaire was not intended to be the single quantifiable measurement of collective conscience, the lack of other data left little other choice. The overall consistency of the scale among the various informed respondents across the nine communities would suggest, however, that the scale is not a totally unreliable measure. The major check on this scale was the personal perception of this researcher concerning each of the visited communities.

The possibility for error and misinterpretation from personal, intuitive, sense impressions is a pressing and legitimate concern for the social scientist. Suffice it to say that the limitations of this type of research tool are well recognized by this researcher. However, it is also believed that much valuable insight is available when the data is confronted in terms of something other than questionnaires and surveys. By combining as many different types of measuring techniques as possible, the likelihood that bias, whether from questionnaire, interview, available data, or personal feelings, will distort the actual picture of reality can be dramatically reduced.

# Interpretation Of Findings

Defining the meanings and implications of the information amassed during this research will revolve primarily around an attempt to bring together both the quantitative and qualitative data as they combine to provide insights for evaluating the hypotheses generated for this study. Thus each hypothesis will be considered separately and conclusions which are believed to reflect the nature of the relationship

between sport and collective conscience in complex society will be drawn.

# Hypothesis One

The basic hypothesis of this study specified an inverse relationship between collective conscience and community size. From the impressions gained while in contact with the communities, this postulated relationship generally seemed to hold. In smaller communities the people knew each other, they appeared genuinely proud of their town, and they had an intimate knowledge of what was happening in their town. Even in Community A, where it looked to this visitor as though the world had passed by and left it in the western days of the nineteenth century, the people were friendly and eager to let any outsider know that "this was a fine place in which to live." Although much more progressive and modern looking, Communities B and C transmitted this same kind of pride of place and identity with other community folks.

The medium-sized communities generally radiated much of the intimacy and pride expressed by smaller communities. Particularly was it so in Community D where awards and recognitions from the state were numerous. Even in Community E, with all its uncertainty and insecurity due to the closing of its major industry, the people communicated a determinism and fierceness of pride in their community's survival. Community F was somewhat more difficult to access. Perhaps because it rained the entire day in which the community was visited and the spirits of both this visitor and the town people were dampened, the excitement and enthusiasm and pride, so often expressed in communities of a similar size, appeared more depressed. Although several did speak of the community's involvement and spirit in various activities, it seemed less pervasive than this researcher had expected.

It was very difficult to differentiate degrees of collective conscience in the largest communities. The relaxed, easy-going "bull sessions" experienced in smaller communities became short, efficient, very business-like encounters. Probably the greatest difference noticed by this researcher was the tendency of large communities to express pride in their city in terms of statistics rather than people. Such things as ranks on socio-economic scales, job opportunities, and new housing editions were often referred to. Few comments about the people in general were made, and often when they were, they were made in terms of money contributed to some project or in terms of those who lived in a certain area or neighborhood. This is not meant to imply that there is little collective conscience but only that this visitor was hard pressed to identify its nature in the terms through which it was being expressed.

These personal impressions when viewed in reference to the quantifiable data may help explain the apparent contradictions that exist. The statistical implications of the analyzed collective conscience questionnaires would indicate that the collective conscience tended to be greater in the larger communities rather than less as was specified in the first hypothesis. It is possible that these larger communities do indeed possess the highest degree of collective conscience. Their being large enough to support more than one high school but choosing to have only one may be indicative of the closeness and personal rapport that they feel for each other. The constraints of anonymity and the division of labor perhaps make personal relationships more amenable to heightened collective conscience than would be the case if they knew each other as intimate associates. The pecularities and differences among people are possibly more acute in the small communities where everybody knows everybody through daily contact with each other.

An alternative explanation for the contradiction in quantitative and qualitative data may be made in reference to the informed respondents. As has been indicated, there were clear and distinct impressions from the high school personnel about the separation they felt from the rest of the community. Generally they, like this researcher, were outsiders. Possibly identity with those who have little affinity for a more rural way of life is difficult for community folk. And, by nature of the educational experiences of most of the teachers they were perhaps more apt to judge the community in industrial, division of labor terms rather than in people terms. Thus the larger the community the less likely it may be that hostility and separation will influence the feelings of high school personnel about a community. Although all respondents were asked to speak for their communities, there is some question in this researcher's mind as to whether or not they did so.

In conclusion, had the communities been ranked by the informed respondents in a manner somewhat more consistent with the impressions received by this researcher, the hypothesized relationship between community size and collective conscience would have generally held across all community subgroups. The greatest discrepancies

appeared to be in the smaller communities, and particularly in Community C. Since the greatest separation and hostility between high school personnel and community people were also observed in the smaller communities, and since large communities with a single high school perhaps possess a degree of collective conscience perhaps uncharacteristic of similar-sized communities with multiple high schools, this sample may not have provided an adequate test of the hypotheses. However, the quantitative data manifested such a consistent trend in the reverse of the hypothesized relationship, that this researcher is led to believe that the specified relationship would have generally held had the community members themselves been the respondents.

## Hypothesis Two

Hypothesis Two predicts that there will be a direct relationship within each population subgroup between community participation and collective conscience. Although this researcher was not in the communities to observe participation during any of the sport seasons, various conversations did provide some indications of the degree to which people in the community participated in high school sports. In talking with the athletic directors of the six smaller communities about community involvement in sports, all but one (Community A) cited various times when the community took off from work to put bleachers together, or haul fill dirt for the football field, or mow and line the field, or to clear the field of snow. In only one instance (Community G) were such stories related by an athletic director in a large community. Caravans of cars for out-of-town games, town

holidays for the big games, parades, and city wide pep rallies also seemed more characteristic of the smaller communities. In many instances, the people of the community related similar glowing descriptions of their community's interest and participation in high school sports. And, often references were made to previous personal feats and honors that they themselves had attained in athletic competition.

When considering each of the communities in terms of its own subgroup, it did seem that these tales of community action were the most numerous in communities where the people were also described as involved and excited about the entire community as a whole. Perhaps the most notable exception was in Community C where the town people were reported to be football maniacs and yet the high school personnel attributed to them a relatively low collective conscience. Also, Community F was characterized by the athletic director as highly involved and interested in high school sports but, this researcher felt little of the interest and enthusiasm attributed to the town when visiting other community people.

Differentiating relative degrees of community participation in high school sports from a personal perspective became more difficult in the larger cities. Few stories of community involvement were related and very seldom did community members have time for conversing about anything other than what was specifically asked for in their respective questionnaires. In all of the three largest communities, comments of community participation were made in reference to the times when teams were having winning seasons. The general

overall involvement of smaller communities in high school sports did not appear to be characteristic of larger communities.

When the participation index was utilized, collective conscience showed only a very slight overall trend in the predicted direction. However, within the largest communities (G, H, and I) the trend was much more substantial, although not statistically significant. Unfortunately, the inconsistency between measuring techniques became painfully obvious when the participation scale in relation to collective conscience reversed the trend suggested by the participation index for all community subgroups.

By sport, only the football participation index correlated positively with collective conscience across communities. Although none of the correlations were statistically significant, they perhaps suggest that (in Oklahoma) it is football which is perceived to typify the meaning of life. Attendance reports would also seem to lend credence to this possibility since in no case were average attendances for basketball and baseball reported to be higher than those for football. In addition, while there was some mention of community involvement in other sports, comments revolved primarily around football. Consider also that in all three of the smallest communities the seating capacity of their football stadiums was greater than the community's population and that across all communities football attendance was the least dependent of the sports on whether the teams were winning or losing.

In conclusion, the predicted relationship between overall community participation and collective conscience is believed to be generally unsubstantiated. However, the relationship of football to collective conscience does appear to hold to some degree, particularly in the smaller communities. For the largest communities, the relationship seems more dependent on a third factor; i.e., whether or not the teams are winning. In all communities, basketball participation, in and of itself, seems little related to collective conscience.

#### Hypothesis Three

Hypothesis Three specifies that within each community subgroup an increase in winning will be accompanied by an increase in collective conscience. Winning seemed to excite everybody from the smallest to the largest communities. Coaches talked of the difference in the atmospheres of their communities when teams were winning. Both the school and the community were reported to run more smoothly and with fewer problematic interruptions during winning seasons.

For winning teams donations are collected that will send them to bowl games and national meets. Barbecues are held, speeches are given, and celebreties are made. According to one coach, the whole town wants to give a little advice, extend a helping hand, and take a little of the credit for a winner. It was not uncommon for winning teams to be spoken of as "our" team by community members. Acts such as flying over the town of the opposing team to drop leaflets stating that "We are number one" and that "We are going to win" would suggest that winning is a crucial consideration in the reactivating of collective conscience.

It is perhaps also important to note that people in communities with winning teams in sports other than football, basketball, and baseball were usually somewhat anxious over the researcher's failure to include their specific winning sport, particularly if the sport was wrestling. However, in all nine of the communities, the sport which demanded the greatest overall interest was football. And, generally the comments about football were focused on the times when the "big" games, such as those for championships and those with traditional rivals, were won. Thus, a more insightful examination of the relationship between winning and collective conscience may have been possible had victory in crucial games been a controlled variable.

When the total percent of wins was correlated with collective conscience, only a slight tendency was revealed in the specified direction of the relationship. It held most consistently for the middlesized communities and least consistently for the largest communities. However, when only the percent of football wins was considered, the direction held in perfect order for the middle-sized communities, in two of the three comparisons in the small communities, but in only onw comparison for the largest communities. It is perhaps important to note here that these largest communities had the least amount of variation in both percent of football wins and collective conscience scores and that this possibly restricts any delineation of a relationship between these variables.

The most consistent findings from quantifiable data in this study are the negative correlations for basketball winning and collective conscience. Although some of these communities had consistent and good win records, their relationship to collective conscience in every community subgroup was in the reverse direction of that which was predicted. As has been earlier mentioned, it may be that sport in Oklahoma as a theatrical liturgy for the portrayal of the meaning of

life is best represented by football. As a result, winning in basketball is unable to reactivate collective conscience.

In conclusion it is believed that winning in the major sports has the potential to reactivate collective conscience primarily when the major sport is football. However, win-activated collective conscience seems to be short lived and to fluctuate dramatically in losing seasons. In addition, winning is apparently more crucial in smaller communities than this researcher had originally thought it to be. The negative tendency of the relationship between winning and collective conscience in the largest communities is the reverse of that which was predicted and is perhaps a result of the minimal variation on the variables. However, it may be that these cities can absorb loosing with less difficulty and that only substantial and consistent winning can influence the degree to which collective conscience will exist there.

## Hypothesis Four

Hypothesis Four specified that within similar-sized communities, those communities which win and participate more often in the major sports will have the greatest collective conscience. Few conversations with athletic directors failed to include some mention of the impact of winning on participation. People come to games that have never before attended when the team is winning. They get involved in the sport process from getting equipment, to game attendance, and finally to sharing in victory. It did seem that those communities characterized by high participation in winning efforts by the high school teams radiated a kind of communal identity believed by this researcher to be indicative of a heightened collective conscience. It may also be interesting to note that in only one community (Community D) did coaches or town people refer to any extent to basketball's impact on the community, and in this one case, it was the girl's basketball team that had won the state championship.

These impressions are not substantially supported by the quantitative data which was analyzed. Although the general overall tendency was observed more often when the participation index was used in combination with total winning and collective conscience, substituting the participation scale reversed the tendency. However, the trend of the relationship by sport is perhaps more illuminating. Viewing collective conscience in combination with football winning and the football community participation based on attendance per capita population and per capita seating capacity (participation index), the predicted trend held in five of the seven comparisons made. Although football participation, measured either by scale or index, appears much less dependent on winning than is basketball participation, it in combination with winning does appear to be positively related to on collective conscience. While increased winning in basketball is accompanied to a substantial degree with increased participation in basketball; winning and participation, in combination, showed no ability to heighten collective conscience. And, in fact, the relationship between participation, winning, and collective conscience for basketball revealed a relatively strong tendency to reverse itself accross all community subgroups.

In conclusion, the relationship between collective conscience, winning, and community participation seems highly dependent on whether or not the sport being considered is football. In addition, it appears that while collective conscience may be intensified by football winning, sustaining it may be highly dependent on the degree to which consistent, active participation is maintained. And, although collective conscience is perhaps reactivated by winning and participation in basketball, it is apparently less intense and lasting. Possibly these findings would imply that in Oklahoma, people are best able to identify themselves with the validation and confirmation needed for the reactivation of collective conscience when it is provided through the sport of football.

# Hypothesis Five

The fifth hypothesis predicted that within each community, collective conscience will vary as fluctuation occurs in the win-loss records and the community participation in the major sports. Unfortunately testing this hypothesis was impossible since year-byyear data on the community and on the high school sports was not obtained. However, this hypothesis may be the most crucial postulate generated from the theoretical model. The various comparisons made between the communities possibly do not provide the most judicious examination of the real issue under consideration. Since there are so many unique qualities that make these communities distinct from each other, meaningful comparisons between them are perhaps impossible. Thus dealing with each of the communities separately could have provided better insight into the role of high school sport in a community and its possible impact on collective conscience.

# Final Conclusion

The primary focus for this study has been the role of sport in complex society, specifically as it relates to collective conscience. Although conclusive proof linking sport and collective conscience was not produced, the interest and participation that people have in sport which was witnessed during the course of this research suggest to this writer that sport is fundamentally entangled with the basic nature of social integration. And, while various complications and limitations of the data have left the exact nature of this relationship still somewhat undetermined, the general overall study is believed to have provided some basic foundations on which future study may build. Since there is little previous research to give guidance or assistance to a scientific investigation of sport, what has been attempted here may be considered a pioneer venture aimed at gaining an understanding of the complicated nature of sport in complex society.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

American Association For Health, Physical Education, and Recreation 1961 Spectator Sportsmanship; How To Improve It. Washington, D.C.: American Association Of Health, Physical Education, and Recreation.

#### Anderson, Nels

1959 The Urban Community. New York: Holt, Rinehart, and Winston.

# Angell, Robert

- 1942 "The Social Integration Of Selected American Cities." American Journal of Sociology 47 (January): 575-592.
- 1947 "The Social Integration of American Cities of More Than 100,000 Population." American Sociological Review 12 (June): 335-342.
- 1949 "Moral Integration And Interpersonal Integration In American Cities," American Sociological Review 14 (April): 245-251.

# Beisser, Arnold

 1970 "Mosern Man In Sports." Pp. 235-245 in George H.
 Sage (ed.), Sport And American Society. Massachusetts: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company.

# Betts, John Richards

 1972 "The Technological Revolution And The Rise Of Sport."
 Pp. 116-142 in M. Marie Hart (ed.), Sport In The Socio-Cultural Process. Iowa: Wm. C. Brown Publishers.

## Blau, Peter M.

1960 "A Theory Of Integration." American Journal of Sociology 66 (May): 545-556.

#### Bogart, Leo

1955 "Adult Talk About Newspaper Comics." American Journal Of Sociology 61 (July): 26-30.

#### Bouet, Michel

1966 "The Function Of Sport In Human Relations," International Review Of Sport Sociology 1 (1966): 137-140.

# Boyle, Robert H.

- 1963 Sport Mirror Of American Life. Boston: Little, Brown And Company,
- 1970 "The Bizarre History Of American Sport." Pp. 42-53 in George H. Sage (ed), Sport And American Society. Massachusetts: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company.

#### Brill, A.A.

1929 "The Why Of The Fan." North American Riview 228 (October): 429-434.

#### Caillois, Roger

1961 Man Plan And Games. New York: Free Press.

#### Carr, Leslie G.

1971 "The Srole Items And Acquiescence," American Sociological Review 36 (April): 287-295.

#### Cavan, Ruth Shonle and Jordan T. Cavan

1968 Delinquency And Crime: Cross-Cultural Perspectives. New York: J.B. Lippincott Company.

## Cohen, Harry

 1970 "Bureaurcratic Flexibility: Some Comments On Robert Merton's Bureaucratic Structure And Personality." British Journal Of Sociology 21 (December): 390-399.

# Cole, Fred C.

- 1965 "Intercollegiate Athletics And Higher Education." Pp.
   524-529 in Ann Paterson and Edmond C. Hallberg (eds.),
   Background Readings For Physical Education. New
   York: Holt, Rinehart, and Winston.
- Cole, William E. and Charles H. Miller 1965 Social Problems. New York: David McKay Company, Inc.

## Coleman, James S.

1965 "Athletics In High School." Pp. 490-505 in Ann Paterson and Edmond C. Hallberg (eds.), Background Readings For Physical Education. New York: Holt, Rinehart, and Winston.

#### Cope, Myron

1968 "The Proudest Squares." Sports Illustrated 29 (November, 18): 98-110.

Cowell, Charles C.

 1966 "The Contributions Of Physical Activity To Social Development." Pp. 134-156 in Howard Slusher and Aileene S.
 Lockhart (eds.), Anthology Of Contemporary Readings: An Introduction To Physical Education. Iowa: Wm. C.
 Brown Publishers. Cozens, Frederick W. and Florence Stumpf

- 1952 "American Sports From The Sidelines." Journal Of Health, Physical Education, and Recreation 23 (November): 12-13, 56-57.
- 1953 Sports In American Life. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.

#### Dee, Ann P.

- DeFleur, Melvin L., William V. D'Antonio, and Lois B. DeFleur 1971 Sociology: Man In Society. Illinois: Scott, Foresman and Company,
- deGrazia, Sebastian
  - 1962 Of Time Work And Leisure, New York: The Twentieth Century Fund,

## Denhardt, Robert

1968 "Organizational Citizenship And Personal Freedom." Public Administration Review 28 (January/February): 47-53.

#### Dennis, Wayne

1957 "A Cross-Cultural Study Of The Reinforcement Of Child Behavior," Child Development 28 (December): 431-438.

#### Durkheim, Emile

1933 The Division Of Labor In Society, Illinois: The Free Press,

#### Educational Policies Commission

1954 "School Athletics: Problems And Policies, Washington, D.C.

#### Edwards, Harry

- 1972 "The Myth Of The Racially Superior Athlete." Intellectual Digest 2 (March): 58-60.
- 1973 Sociology Of Sport. Illinois: The Dorsey Press.

#### Elias, Norbert and Eric Dunning

1970 "The Quest For Excitement In Unexciting Societies," Pp. 31-51 in Gunther Luschen (ed.), The Cross-Cultural Analysis Of Sport And Games. Illinois: Stipes Publishing Company.

#### Ellul, Jacques

1964 The Technological Society. New York: Random House

<sup>1972 &</sup>quot;Lions Don't Eat Cristians On The Astro-Turf." Alcalde 61 (September): 18-21.

1966 "The Technological Society." Pp. 375-383 in John C.
 Burke (ed.), The New Technology And Human Values.
 California: Wadsworth Publishing Company,

Empey, La Mar and Jerome Rabow

''The Provo Experiment In Delinquency Rehabilitation, ''
 Pp. 531-550 in Rose Giallombardo (ed.), Juvenile
 Delinquency: A Book Of Readings. New York: John Wiley
 And Sons, Inc.

Faunce, William A.

Fessler, Donald R.

#### Finlay, John L.

- 1972 "The American Nature Of Football." Intellectual Digest 2 (June): 34-35.
- Finn, R.H.

 1972 "Effects Of Some Variations In Rating Scale Characteristics On The Means And Reliabilities Of Ratings."
 Educational And Psychological Measurement 32 (1972); 255-265.

## Fiske, Shirley

1972 "Pigskin Review: An American Initiation." Pp. 241-258
 in M. Marie Hart (ed.), Sport In The Socio-Cultural
 Process. Iowa: Wm. C. Brown Publishers.

# Fromm, Erich

1941 Escape From Freedom. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.

## Goffman, Erving

1963 Stigma: Notes On The Management Of A Spoiled Identity. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc.

#### Green, Arnold

1964 Recreation, Leisure, and Politics. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company.

## Helmstadter, G.C.

1970 Research Concepts In Human Behavior. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts.

# Higdon, Rose and Hal Higdon

 1970 "What Sports For Girls?" Pp. 321-329 in George H.
 Sage (ed.), Sport And American Society. Massachusetts: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company.

<sup>1968</sup> Problems Of An Industrial Society. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company,

<sup>1952 &</sup>quot;The Development Of A Scale For Measuring Community Solidarity," Rural Sociology 17 (1952): 144-152.

Hollingshead, August B. Elmtown's Youth. New York: John Wiley And Sons, Inc. Huizinga, John Homo Ludens: The Play-Element In Culture. Boston: Beacon Press. Medical Sociology And Cultural Anthropology Of Sport And Physical Education. Illinois: Charles C. Thomas. Kenyon, Gerald S. "Sociological Considerations." Journal Of Health, Physical Education, and Recreation 38 (November): 31-33.

# Klapp, Orrin E.

1968

1949

1955

Jokl, Ernst 1964

- 1969 Collective Search For Identity. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc.
- 1972 Currents Of Unrest. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc.

# Kluckhohn, Clyde

1964 Mirror For Man. Greenwich, Conn.: Fawcett Publications, Inc.

#### Kohn, Melvin

1971 "Bureaucratic Man: A Portrait And An Interpretation." American Sociological Review 36(June): 461-473,

#### Kroeber, A.L.

Anthropology. New York: Harcourt, Brace And World. 1963

# Lahr, John

"The Theater Of Sports." Pp. 105-115 in M. Marie 1972 Hart (ed.), Sport In The Socio-Cultural Process. Iowa: Wm. C. Brown Publishers.

# Labovitz, Sanford and Robert Hagedon

Introduction To Social Research. New York: McGraw-1971 Hill Book Company.

Landecker, Werner

"Types Of Integration And Their Measurement." 1951 American Journal of Sociology 56 (January): 332-340.

# Lang, Kurt and Gladys Engle Lang

- 1961 Collective Dynamics. New York: Thomas Y. Crowell Company.
- Laski, Harold J. The American Democracy. New York: Viking Press. 1948

Lipset, Seymour Martin and Reinhard Bendix

1963 Social Mobility In Industrial Society, Berkeley: University of California Press.

Lowry, Ritchie P. and Robert P. Rankin 1969 Sociology. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons.

Luschen, Gunther

- 1967 "The Interdependence Of Sport And Culture." International Review Of Sport Sociology 2 (1967): 127-138.
- 1970 The Cross-Cultural Analysis Of Sport And Games. Champaign, Illinois: Stipes Publishing Company.

#### Lynd, Robert S. and Helen Merrill Lynd

1929 Middletown. New York: Harcourt, Brace and Company.

## Maheu, Rene

 1966 "Sport And Culture," Pp. 191-202 in Howard Slusher and Aileene S. Lockhart (eds.), Anthology Of Contemporary Readings: An Introduction To Physical Education. Iowa: Wm. C. Brown Publishers.

#### Matza, David

1964 "Positions And Behavior Patterns Of Youth." Pp, 191-216
 in Robert E. L. Faris (ed.), Handbook Of Modern
 Sociology. Chicago: Rand McNally and Company.

# Mayo, Elton

- 1945 The Social Problems Of An Industrial Civilization, Harvard University.
- McCall, George J. and J.L. Simmons 1966 Identities And Interactions. New York: Free Press.

Mead, George Herbert

- 1934 Mind Self And Society, Chicago: The University Of Chicago Press,
- Meggyesy, Dave
  - 1970 Out Of Their League. Berkeley, California: Ramparts Press, Inc.
- Miller, Delbert C. and William H. Form
  - 1964 Industrial Sociology (Second Edition). New York: Harper and Row, Publishers.
- Miller, Donna Mae and Kathryn R.E. Russell 1971 Sport: A Contemporary View, Philadelphia: Lea And Febiger.
- National Resource Committee 1937 Our Cities. Washington, D.C.

# Nettler, Gwynn

#### Nisbet, Robert

1953 Community And Power. New York: Oxford University Press.

#### Nolan, James B,

1954 - "Athletics And Juvenile Delinquency." Journal Of
1955 Educational Sociology 28 (February): 363-365.

# Ogilvie, Bruce C. and Rhomas A. Tutko

1971 "Sport: If You Want To Build Character, Try Something Else." Psychology Today 5 (October): 61-63,

#### Parry, Albert

1934 "Sports," Pp. 305-308 in Edwin R. Seligmann and Alvin Johnson (eds.), Encyclopedia Of The Social Sciences, Vol. III. New York: The Macmillan Company

# Paterson, Ann and Edmond C. Hallberg

1965 Background Readings For Physical Education. New York: Holt, Rinehart, and Winston.

# Paxon, Frederic

1970 "The Rise Of Sport." Pp. 19-42 in George H. Sage (ed.), Sport And American Society. Massachusetts: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company.

# Playboy

1972 "Playboy Interview: Howard Cosell." Playboy 19 (May): 75-94.

# Reed, William

1972 "An Ugly Affair In Minneapolis." Sports Illustrated 36 (February): 18-21.

## Revelle, Roger

1967 "Outdoor Recreation In A Hyper-Productive Society." Daedalue 96 (February): 1176-1192.

# Riesman, David and Reuel Denney

1969 "Football In America: A Study In Cultural Diffustion."
 Pp. 306-319 in John Loy and Gerald Kenyon (eds.),
 Sport, Culture And Society. New York: The Macmillan Company.

## Riesman, David, Nathan Glazer and Reuel Denney

1965 "The Problem Of Competence: Obstacles To Autonomy In Play." Pp. 542-556 in Ann Paterson and Edmond C. Hallberg (eds.), Background Readings For Physical Education. New York: Holt, Rinehart, and Winston.

<sup>1957 &</sup>quot;A Measure Of Alienation." American Sociological Review 2 (December): 670-677.

#### Ringel, Fred J. (ed.)

1932 America As Americans See It. New York: Harcourt, Brace, and Company.

# Rooney, John F.

- 1969 "Up From The Mines And Out From The Prarie Fields." The Geographical Review 59 (October): 471-492.
- 1973 From Cabin Creek To Anaheim: A Geographical Interpretation Of American Sport. Massachusetts: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company.
- Roszak, Theodore (ed.)

1972 Sources. New York: Harper and Row, Publishers.

- Sage, George H. (ed.)
  - 1970 Sport And American Society. Massachusetts: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company.
- Schafer, Walter
  - 1969a "Participation In Interscholastic Athletics And Delinquency: A Preliminary Study." Social Problems 17 (Summer): 40-48.
  - 1969b "Some Social Sources And Consequences Of Interscholastic Athletics: The Case Of Participation And Delinquency."
     Pp. 29-44 in Gerald S. Kenyon (ed.), Aspects of Contemporary Sport Sociology. Chicago: The Athletic Institute.
  - 1971a "Sport And Youth Counterculture: Contrasting Sociolization Themes." Paper presented at the Conference on Sport and Social Deviancy at State University of New York, Brockport.
  - 1971b Sport, Socialization And The School." Paper presented at the Third International Symposium on the Sociology Of Sport at Waterloo, Ontario.

## Scott, Jack

1971 "The Souring Of George Sauer." Intellectual Digest 2 (December): 52-55.

#### Sennett, Richard

1970 The Uses Of Disorder. New York: Alfred A. Knopf.

#### Siedenton, Daryl

1972 Physical Education: Introductory Analysis. Dubuque, Iowa: Wm, C. Brown Company Publishers.

## Slusher, Howard S.

1967 Man Sport And Existence. Philadephia: Lea and Febiger.

#### Smith, Michael P.

 'Self Fulfillment In A Bureaucratic Society: A Commentary On The Thought Of Gabriel Marcel. " Public Administration Review 29 (January/February): 25-31.

#### Snyder, Eldon E.

- 1969 "A Longitudinal Analysis Of The Relationship Between High School Student Values, Social Participation, and Educational-Occupational Achievement." Sociology Of Education 42 (Summer): 261-270.
- 1970 "Aspects Of Socialization In Sports And Physical Education." Quest 14 (June): 1-7.

#### Srole, Leo

1956 "Social Integration And Certain Corollaries: An Exploratory Study." American Sociological Review 21 (December): 709-716.

#### Stokes, Adrian

- 1958 "Psycho-Analytic Reflections On The Development Of Ball Games." Pp. 164-177 in Alex Nathan (ed.), Sport And Society. London: Bowes and Bowes.
- Stone, Gregory P.
  - 1970 "Some Meanings Of American Sport." Pp. 198-420 in George H. Sage (ed.), Sport And American Society Massachusetts: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company.

#### Sutherland, Edwin H. and Donald R. Cressey

1966 Principles Of Criminology (Seventh Edition). Chicago: Lipincott.

## Tappan, Paul W.

1949 Juvenile Delinquency. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company.

#### Thompson, Laura

1950 A Culture In Crisis. New York: Harper.

#### Toynbee, Arnold

1947 A Study In History (D. C. Sommervell, Abridgement of Volumes I - VI), New York: Oxford University Press.

# Tunnard, Christopher

1953 The City Of Man. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons.

#### Veblen, Thorstein

1953 The Theory Of The Leisure Class. New York: Mentor Book.

Veldman, Donald J. Fortran Programming For The Behavioral Sciences. 1967 New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston. Wagner, Stanley P. 1971 "Can The Coliseum Replace The Battlefield?" Orbit Magazine (December): 12, 14. Waller, Willard 1932 The Sociology Of Teaching. New York: John Wiley And Sonc, Inc. Webb, Eugene, David T. Campbell, Richard D. Schwartz, and Lee Sechrest 1971 Unobstrusive Measures: Nonreactive Research In The Social Sciences. Chicago: Rand McNally and Company. Wenkart, S. 1963 "The Meaning Of Sports For Contemporary Man. "Journal Of Existential Psychology 3 (Spring): 397-404. West, James Plainville, U.S.A. New York: Columbia University Press. 1945 Whyte, William Foote 1965 "Bowling And Social Ranking." Pp. 571-582 in Ann Paterson and Edmond C. Hallberg (eds.), Background Readings For Physical Education. New York: Holt, Rinehart, and Winston. Willer, David 1967 Scientific Methodology: Theory and Methods. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc. Wirth, Louis 1938 "Urbanism As A Way Of Life." The American Journal Of Sociology 44 (July): 1-23. Wohl, Andrzej "Social Aspects Of The Development Of Rural Sport In 1966

'Social Aspects Of The Development Of Rural Sport In Poland, According To Research. '' International Review Of Sport Sociology 1 (1966): 109-135.

# APPENDIX A

# DR. ST. CLAIR'S INTRODUCTORY LETTER TO SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENTS

#### OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY --- STILLWATER

OKLAHOMA PUBLIC SCHOOL RESEARCH COUNCIL STILLWATER, OKLAHOMA 74074

AFFILIATED UNIVERSITIES The University of Oklahoma Oklahoma State University

G

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY Gunderson Hall, Room 302 C Phone 372-6211, ext. 6245

March 6, 1973

Dr. V.K. Curtis Superintendent of Schools Muskogee, Oklahoma 74401

Dear Dr. Curtis:

This is to introduce Miss Martha Wilkerson, a graduate student in Sociology at Oklahoma State University. Her intended doctoral study is an extremely interesting one which relates certain aspects of high school sports activities to community life.

She is hoping to do the study in a small sample of school districts in Oklahoma; the sample includes your school. I feel that the data gathering will require a minimum amount of time from your faculty and staff. We hope that you will be able to grant permission to do the study.

Please call on me if further information is required. The Oklahoma Public School Research Council Newsletter will report an abstract of the findings, once the research is complete.

Cordially yours,

Kenneth St. Clair Executive Secretary

KS:hjb

# APPENDIX B

# RESEARCHER'S INTRODUCTORY LETTER

# TO SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENTS

oklanoma state University • Stillwater 74074

Department of Sociology (405) 372-6211, Exts. 7020, 7021

April 20, 1973

Dear Sir:

In this study my major concern is sport and its role in modern society. Because of the many facets of sport, there are numerous possible directions which could be taken. I am primarily interested in the role of sport in the community. Sport participation, both as spectator and athlete, has become a major phenomenon of our modern society. As people join together to be a part of the "sport scene," there are perhaps carry-over effects which may have an impact on the community itself.

To carry out this study, I will be gathering information from various community leaders, such as the city manager, the director of the Chamber of Commerce, and the editor of the newspaper, who have pertinent knowledge about your community. In addition, I will need some help from you, your high school principal, and your high school faculty and staff. In order for you to know the kinds of information that I will be wanting to find out, I am including: (1) An attitude survey for faculty and staff which concerns their feelings and views about the community (This survey sheet will take ten to fifteen minutes of the respondents' time.) and (2) A sport survey for the athletic director, or head coach, which concerns the organized athletic programs for the boys (This survey sheet may take from fourtyfive minutes to an hour to complete).

Please share this research request and the enclosed survey materials with your high school principal as his support will be a vital factor in the collection of this data.

If this research proposal is acceptable, I plan to be in your community next Monday, around 8:00 a.m. If for some reason this date is not acceptable, please use the enclosed form and envelope to indicate a more convenient date.

I appreciate your time in considering this research proposal. Thank you very much.

Sincerely yours,

#### Martha Wilkerson

P.S. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you desire additional information.

# APPENDIX C

# LETTER TO ATHLETIC DIRECTORS

#### OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY • STILLWATER

Department of Sociology (403) 372-6211, Exts. 7029, 7021

## April 27, 1973

#### Dear Sir:

I am conducting a study concerning the role of sport in the community. I am interested in determining what impact, if any, participation, both as spectator and athlete, in sports has on the larger community. I have corresponded with your superintendent and he has given his consent for my coming to visit your high school.

In about a week I will be in your school and community gathering information on the community itself. Since the questionnaire on sport is somewhat longer, I am sending it early so that you can perhaps have time to complete it. There is an envelope included if you wish to return it by mail, or I will come by to pick it up when I am in your school.

Thank you very much for your time and help on this research project.

Sincerely yours,

#### Martha Wilkerson

P.S. If you desire further information, I will be happy to talk in detail to you concerning this project.

166

# APPENDIX D

# LETTER TO SELECTED PERSONNEL

# IN THE COMMUNITY

#### oklahoma state University • Stillwater

Deportment of Sociology (405) 372-6211, Exts. 7020, 7021

May 1, 1973

I am currently doing research which involves nine communities in Oklahoma. In order to facilitate this research it is necessary to gather information from those who know the most about your community. Your high school superintendent has agreed to allow me to gather data from the high school staff, teachers, and coaches. In addition, I will need some help from the Chamber of Commerce, the City Manager, the City Clerk, the United Fund, the editor of the newspaper, and the secretary of the election board at the county seat. Your cooperation in completing the enclosed questionnaire will be greatly appreciated. The data which you supply, along with that from these other sources, will be used for the primary purpose of analyzing the role of high school athletics in the community.

Please enclose the completed questionnaire in the envelope provided for your use. Or, if you prefer, I will come by and pick up the sheet from you when I am in your community.

I appreciate your taking time to help me with this project. Thank you very much.

Sincerely yours,

#### Martha Wilkerson

P.S. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you desire additional information. 168

# APPENDIX E

# COLLECTIVE CONSCIENCE ATTITUDE

# QUESTIONNAIRE

•

# How long have you lived in this community?

# 2. What is your position in this school? \_\_\_\_\_\_ Faculty \_\_\_\_\_\_ Staff

Please respond to each statement by circling the number which you feel represents your position on the line from strongly agree to strongly disagree.

| Strongly<br>Agree |            |   |   |   |     | Strongly<br>Disagree |   |
|-------------------|------------|---|---|---|-----|----------------------|---|
|                   | 12         | 3 | 4 | 5 | 67  |                      | People here think this is a good community in which to live.                              |
|                   | <u>1 2</u> | 3 | 4 | 5 | 67  | 2.                   | There are good relationships between all the kinds of people in this community.           |
|                   | <u>1 2</u> | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 7 | 3.                   | No one seems to care much how the community looks.  |
|                   | <u>1 2</u> | 3 | 4 | 5 | 67  | 4.                   | People here would rather live here than any place else.                                   |
|                   | <u>1 2</u> | 3 | 4 | 5 | 67  | 5.                   | In this community, those who don't have a good paying job don't have much of a chance.    |
|                   | 12         | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 7 | 6.                   | People here think this is a good community in which to raise a family.                    |
|                   | 12         | 3 | 4 | 5 | 67  | 7.                   | In this community, adults and youth parti-<br>cipate in only a few activities together.   |
|                   | <u>1 2</u> | 3 | 4 | 5 | 67  | 8.                   | People here are willing to help those who are in need.                                    |
|                   | <u>1 2</u> | 3 | 4 | 5 | 67  | 9-                   | In this community the youth get into trouble too often.                                   |
|                   | <u>1 2</u> | 3 | 4 | 5 | 67  | 10.                  | In this community, the people vote in city elections.                                     |
|                   | <u>1 2</u> | 3 | 4 | 5 | 67  | 11.                  | There are not many good things to say about this community.                               |
|                   | <u>1 2</u> | 3 | 4 | 5 | 67  | 12.                  | People here have too little respect for the law.  |
|                   | 12         | 3 | 4 | 5 | 67  | 13.                  | Youth here want to live out their lives in this community.                                |
|                   | 12         | 3 | 4 | 5 | 67  | 14.                  | This community is very peaceful.  |
|                   | 12         | 3 | 4 | 5 | 67  | 15.                  | People here work together to get things done for the community.                           |
| <b>`</b>          | <u>1 2</u> | 3 | 4 | 5 | 67  | 16.                  | The people here have a lot of pride in this community.                                    |
|                   | <u>1 2</u> | 3 | 4 | 5 | 67  | 17.                  | In this community, youth and adults under-<br>stand each other.                           |
|                   | 12         | 3 | 4 | 5 | 67  | 18.                  | People here have little interest in this community's affairs.                             |
|                   | 12         | 3 | 4 | 5 | 67  | 19.                  | All nationalities, races, and religions<br>equally benefit from living in this community. |
|                   | <u>1 2</u> | 3 | 4 | 5 | 67  | 20.                  | People here take an active part in this community's projects.                             |
|                   | 12         | 3 | 4 | 5 | 67  | 21.                  | In this community, most bond issues are easily passed.                                    |
|                   | <u>1 2</u> | 3 | 4 | 5 | 67  | 22.                  | Everything in this community works together in an orderly fashion.                        |
|                   |            |   |   |   |     |                      |   |

# APPENDIX F

# SPORT QUESTIONNAIRE

Please answer these questions in relation to the organized athletic programs for the boys in your high school.

1. In what grade do school organized athletic programs begin?

2. What kinds of teams do you have for each sport?

|    |                                      | Football.      | Basketball         | Baseball                              |
|----|--------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|
|    | Freshman Team<br>Junior Varsity Team | ·              | ·                  | ·                                     |
|    | Varsity Team                         |                | <del></del>        | <del>~~~~~~~~~~~</del>                |
|    | varsity leam                         |                | ······             | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 3. | What is the seating capaci           | ity of each fa | cility for these s | porta?                                |

Football \_\_\_\_\_Basketball \_\_\_\_\_Baseball

4. What is the estimated cost of the facilities for these sports?
\_\_\_\_\_Football \_\_\_\_\_Basketball \_\_\_\_\_Baseball

5. Do you have any new athletic facilities or have you remodeled any old ones in the last five years (1968-1973)? Please indicate the year and whether or not the facility is new or remodeled.

|                          | Year                                   | New   | Remodeled |
|--------------------------|--|-------|-----------|
| Football Field           |  |       |           |
| Field House<br>Gymnasium |  |       | <u></u>   |
| Baseball Field           |  |       |           |
| Other Improvements:      | ************************************** | ····· |           |
|                          |  |       |           |
|                          |  |       |           |
|                          |  |       |           |

6. How many coaches are on the high school faculty?

|                    | Football                               | Basketball | Baseball |
|--------------------|--|------------|----------|
| 1972-73<br>1971-72 | . <del> </del>                         |            |          |
| • • •              | <del></del>                            |            |          |
| 1970-71            | ************************************** |            | ······   |
| 1969-70            |  |            | ·        |
| <b>1968-</b> 69    |  |            | <u></u>  |

7. What percent of the school budget goes for each sport?

|                      | Football | Basketball  | Baseball    |
|----------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| 1972 -73<br>1971 -72 |          |             |             |
| 1970-71              |          |             | <del></del> |
| 1969-70              |          | <del></del> |             |
| 1968-69              |          |             |             |
|                      |          |             |             |

8. What is the average attendance per game?

|                    | Football | Basketball  | Baseball                              |
|--------------------|----------|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1972 - 73          |          |             | <u></u>                               |
| 1971-72<br>1970-71 | Sector   | <del></del> | ·                                     |
| 1969-70            |          |             | <u></u>                               |
| 1968-69            |          |             |                                       |
| -,,                |          |             | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |

9. What is your win-loss record?

|                    | Football    | Basketball | Baseball |
|--------------------|-------------|------------|----------|
| 1972 - 73          | <del></del> |            |          |
| 1971-72            |             |            |          |
| 1970-71<br>1969-70 |             |            |          |
| 1968-69            |             |            |          |
| 1,00-0,            |             |            | ******   |

 Do you have a booster club for your athletic teams? \_\_\_\_\_ yes \_\_\_\_\_ no If yes, please answer numbers 11, 12, and 13. If no, go to number 14.

11. How old is the club?

-----

з

| Fool<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi  | otball<br>sketball<br>seball<br>otball<br>sketball<br>seball<br>would yo<br>otball<br>sketball<br>seball                                | Very Good  | the high school at<br>Good<br>Good<br>Good<br>Cood<br>Good<br>Cood | Poor<br>  | Very Poor<br>Very Poor<br>Community?   |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi  | sketball<br>seball<br>st kind of a<br>otball<br>sketball<br>seball<br>sketball<br>seball<br>would yo<br>otball<br>sketball<br>sketball  | support is given i<br>Very Good<br>u rate the game a<br>Very Good<br>u rate these spor | the high school at<br>Good<br>Good<br>Good<br>Cood<br>Good<br>Cood | hletic teams by f<br>Poor<br><br>se sports?<br>Poor<br><br>portance in your | <pre>che town people?<br/>Very Poor<br/>Very Poor<br/>Very Poor<br/>community?</pre> |
| Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi  | sketball<br>seball<br>st kind of a<br>otball<br>sketball<br>seball<br>sketball<br>seball<br>would yo<br>otball<br>sketball<br>sketball  | Very Good  | Good<br><br>attendance for the<br>Good<br><br>cts as to their imp  | Poor<br>se sports?<br>Poor<br><br>portance in your                          | Very Poor<br>Very Poor<br>Community?   |
| Base<br>Fool<br>Base<br>Base<br>Base<br>Base<br>Base<br>Base<br>Base<br>Base  | seball<br>t kind of a<br>otball<br>sketball<br>seball<br>v would yo<br>otball<br>seball<br>v would yo<br>otball<br>sketball<br>sketball | Very Good  | Good<br><br>attendance for the<br>Good<br><br>cts as to their imp  | Poor<br>se sports?<br>Poor<br><br>portance in your                          | Very Poor<br>Very Poor<br>Community?   |
| What<br>Fool<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>How<br>Fool<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Does<br>Fool<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Does<br>Fool<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi   | t kind of a<br>otball<br>seball<br>would yo<br>otball<br>seball<br>would yo<br>otball<br>seball<br>would yo                             | Very Good  | Good<br><br>attendance for the<br>Good<br><br>cts as to their imp  | Poor<br>se sports?<br>Poor<br><br>portance in your                          | Very Poor<br>Very Poor<br>Community?   |
| Fool<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi  | otball<br>sketball<br>seball<br>would yo<br>otball<br>sketball<br>seball<br>would yo<br>otball<br>sketball                              | Very Good  | Good<br><br>attendance for the<br>Good<br><br>cts as to their imp  | Poor<br>se sports?<br>Poor<br><br>portance in your                          | Very Poor<br>Very Poor<br>Community?   |
| Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi  | sketball<br>seball<br>v would yo<br>otball<br>sketball<br>seball<br>v would yo<br>otball<br>sketball                                    | u rate the game a<br>Very Good   | attendance for the<br>Good<br>                                     | se sports?<br>Poor<br>  | Very Poor  |
| Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi  | sketball<br>seball<br>v would yo<br>otball<br>sketball<br>seball<br>v would yo<br>otball<br>sketball                                    | Very Good  | Good<br><br><br>ts as to their imp                                 | Poor<br><br>portance in your  | community?   |
| Base<br>Fool<br>Base<br>Base<br>Base<br>Base<br>Base<br>Base<br>Base<br>Base  | seball<br>would yo<br>otball<br>sketball<br>seball<br>would yo<br>otball<br>sketball  | Very Good  | Good<br><br><br>ts as to their imp                                 | Poor<br><br>portance in your  | community?   |
| <ul> <li>How</li> <li>Fool Bas</li> <li>Bas</li> <li>How</li> <li>Fool Bas</li> <li>Bas</li> <li>Does</li> <li>Fool Bas</li> <li>Bas</li> <li>How</li> <li>Fool Bas</li> <li>Bas</li> </ul> | would yo<br>otball<br>sketball<br>seball<br>would yo<br>otball<br>sketball  | Very Good  | Good<br><br><br>ts as to their imp                                 | Poor<br><br>portance in your  | community?   |
| Fool<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Does<br>Fool<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Bas   | otball<br>sketball<br>seball<br>would yo<br>otball<br>sketball  | Very Good  | Good<br><br><br>ts as to their imp                                 | Poor<br><br>portance in your  | community?   |
| Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi  | sketball<br>seball<br>would yo<br>otball<br>sketball  | u rate these spor  | ts as to their imp   | <br>oortance in your  | community?   |
| Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi  | sketball<br>seball<br>would yo<br>otball<br>sketball  |  | -  | •   | -  |
| Base<br>Foo<br>Base<br>Base<br>Does<br>Foo<br>Base<br>Base<br>Base<br>Base<br>Base<br>Base<br>Base<br>Base  | eball<br>would yo<br>otball<br>sketball   |  | -  | •   | -  |
| <ul> <li>How</li> <li>Fool<br/>Bas</li> <li>Does</li> <li>Fool<br/>Bas</li> <li>Bas</li> <li>How</li> <li>Fool<br/>Bas</li> <li>Bas</li> <li>Does</li> <li>Fool<br/>Bas</li> <li>Bas</li> <li>How</li> <li>Fool<br/>Bas</li> <li>Bas</li> <li>Bas</li> <li>How</li> <li>Fool<br/>Bas</li> <li>Bas</li> <li>Bas</li> </ul>   | would yo<br>otball<br>sketball  |  | -  | •   | -  |
| Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>How<br>Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Does<br>Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Bas  | otball<br>sketball  |  | -  | •   | -  |
| Bas<br>Bas<br>Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>How<br>Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Bas  | otball<br>sketball  | Very Important   | Important  | Little Importan   | -  |
| Bas<br>Bas<br>Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>How<br>Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Bas  | otball<br>sketball  |  | -  | •   | ce NoImportance  |
| Bas<br>Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>How<br>Foo<br>Bas<br>Does<br>Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>D. How<br>Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas   |   |  |  |   |  |
| <ul> <li>Does</li> <li>Fool Bas</li> <li>Bas</li> <li>How</li> <li>Fool Bas</li> <li>Bas</li> <li>Does</li> <li>Fool Bas</li> <li>Bas</li> <li>How</li> <li>Fool Bas</li> <li>Bas</li> <li>How</li> <li>Fool Bas</li> <li>Bas</li> </ul>  | seball  |  | terror along   |   |  |
| Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Foo<br>Bas<br>Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>D. How<br>Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas   |   |  |  |   |  |
| Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Foo<br>Bas<br>Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>D. How<br>Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas   | s a losing  | team have any n  | oticable negative  | impact on your  | community?   |
| Bas<br>Bas<br>Fool<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Does<br>Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>D. How<br>Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas  |   | Definitely   | Sometimes  | Seldom  | Never  |
| Bas<br>Bas<br>Fool<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Does<br>Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>D. How<br>Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas  | otball  | Demittery  | Dometrineo   | berdonn   | 110101   |
| Bas<br>Fool<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Does<br>Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>D. How<br>Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas   | sketball  |  |  |   |  |
| Foo<br>Bas<br>Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>How<br>Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas  | seball  |  |  |   |  |
| Foo<br>Bas<br>Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>How<br>Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas  |   |  | amonto homo for ti   |   |  |
| Basi<br>Basi<br>Foo<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Foo<br>Basi<br>Basi  |   |  |  | *   | your community?  |
| Basi<br>Basi<br>Foo<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Basi<br>Foo<br>Basi<br>Basi  |   | Freat Popularity   | Some Popularity  | Little Popularity   | No Popularity  |
| Bas<br>Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>. How<br>Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas  | sketball  | <del></del>  | <del></del>  | <del></del>   |  |
| Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>How<br>Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas   | seball  | ·  |  | ·   |  |
| Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas<br>Low<br>Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas   |   |  |  |   |  |
| Bas<br>Bas<br>How<br>Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas  | s a winnin  | ig team have any   | noticable positive   |   | community?   |
| Bas<br>Bas<br>How<br>Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas  |   | Definitely   | Sometimes  | Seldom  | Never  |
| Bas<br>J. How<br>Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas  |   |  |  | e   | ······································   |
| Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas   | -   | <del></del>  | ······   | <del></del>   | ·  |
| Foo<br>Bas<br>Bas   | seball  |  | <u></u>  |   | ·  |
| Bas<br>Bas  | adequate  | are the athletic   | facilities in your   | school?   |  |
| Bas<br>Bas  |   | Very Adequate  | Adequate   | Inadequate  | Very Inadequate  |
| Bas   | otball  |  |  |   |  |
|   | sketball  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |  | ·   | ·  |
| . Do to   | seball  |  | <del></del>  | <del></del>   |  |
|   | town peopl  | le attend practice   | es or pep rallies?   |   |  |
|   |   | Very Often   | Sometimes  | Seldom  | Never  |
|   | otball  |  |  |   | ·  |
| Bas   |   |  |  |   |  |
| buyir   | sketball  |  | upport the teams h<br>viving free milksh                           |   |  |
|   | sketball<br>many of t<br>ing ads in   |  | Some   | Few   | None   |
| Foo   | sketball<br>many of t<br>ing ads in   |  | 201110   |   |  |
| Bas   | sketball<br>many of t<br>ing ads in   | Most   |  | <del></del>   |  |

.

.

#### APPENDIX G

## ORIGINAL R VALUES FOR COLLECTIVE CONSCIENCE SCALE BY ITEMS AND COMMUNITIES

| COMMUNITIES |               |               |               |               |               |               |               |               |               |
|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| ITEMS       | A<br>(N = 18) | B<br>(N = 16) | C<br>(N = 17) | D<br>(N = 14) | E<br>(N = 23) | F<br>(N = 24) | G<br>(N = 43) | H<br>(N = 57) | I<br>(N = 71) |
| 1           | . 59          | . 44          | . 64          | . 01          | . 64          | . 86          | . 48          | . 63          | . 51          |
| 2           | 10            | .72           | . 60          | 19            | . 62          | . 84          | . 56          | .75           | .73           |
| 3           | -, 13         | . 76          | . 55          | . 28          | . 51          | .44           | . 45          | . 33          | . 50          |
| 4           | . 38          | . 58          | . 29          | . 37          | . 65          | . 78          | . 42          | . 66          | . 54          |
| 5           | . 40          | . 44          | . 66          | . 22          | .79           | . 25          | .31           | . 62          | . 43          |
| 6           | . 77          | . 76          | . 70          | .47           | . 75          | .83           | . 52          | . 69          | . 51          |
| 7           | 01            | .40           | . 61          | . 35          | . 47          | . 44          | . 42          | . 24          | . 58          |
| 8           | .77           | . 65          | .74           | . 46          | . 70          | . 39          | . 28          | . 72          | . 54          |
| 9           | . 21          | . 52          | .49           | . 39          | . 58          | 23            | . 49          | . 61          | . 49          |
| 10          | . 42          | . 25          | .34           | . 72          | .31           | .31           | 41            | . 43          | . 48          |
| 11          | . 24          | . 70          | .78           | . 42          | . 38          | .76           | . 57          | .44           | . 41          |
| 12          | . 40          | . 68          | . 80          | . 66          | . 57          | . 39          | . 58          | . 50          | . 63          |
| 13          | . 04          | . 28          | . 70          | . 37          | . 42          | . 52          | . 42          | . 58          | . 43          |
| 14          | . 61          | .39           | . 67          | . 37          | . 66          | . 64          | . 70          | . 64          | . 59          |
| 15          | . 18          | . 75          | .74           | . 83          | . 68          | .84           | . 67          | . 75          | . 71          |
| 16          | . 48          | .72           | . 61          | . 42          | . 78          | .83           | . 74          | . 71          | . 59          |
| 17          | . 21          | . 51          | .77           | . 59          | . 72          | .81           | . 58          | . 66          | . 59          |
| 18          | 04            | .71           | .76           | 06            | .60           | .71           | . 17          | . 71          | . 62          |
| 19          | . 70          | . 38          | 71            | . 60          | . 72          | . 52          | . 55          | . 66          | . 66          |
| 20          | . 66          | . 54          | . 83          | . 64          | . 77          | . 90          | . 59          | . 68          | . 61          |
| 21          | . 54          | . 66          | . 44          | . 36          | . 49          | . 48          | . 40          | . 40          | . 34          |
| 22          | . 78          | . 46          | . 69          | . 54          | . 83          | . 68          | . 67          | . 78          | . 62          |
| Mean        | 93.11         | 117.12        | 104.47        | 119.57        | 104.61        | 105.58        | 109.09        | 110. 16       | 111.23        |
| Sigma       | 15.54         | 15.33         | 18. 52        | 9.92          | 19.07         | 20.67         | 14. 52        | 17.99         | 15.00         |
| Alpha       | . 68          | . 87          | . 93          | .73           | . 92          | . 91          | . 84          | . 91          | . 88          |

· · ·

#### APPENDIX H

\_

### DEFINITIONS AND CALCULATIONS FOR

#### PER CAPITA INDEXES

#### DEFINITION OF TERMS

# 1. Ratio = Total Population (or Total Seating Capacity) Total Attendance .

Population (or seating capacity) of 2. Calculated Attendance =  $\frac{\text{Each Community}}{\text{Ratio}}$ .

3. Per Capite Attendance =  $\frac{\text{Actual Attendance}}{\text{Calculated Attendance}}$ .

| Communities | Population | Attendance | Ratio            | Calculated<br>Attendance | Per Capita<br>Attendance |
|-------------|------------|------------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| A           | 1,011      | 500        |                  | 649.62                   | . 77                     |
| В           | 1,304      | 700        | $\frac{1}{1.56}$ | 837.88                   | . 84                     |
| С           | 1,420      | 1,200      |                  | 912.42                   | 1.32                     |
| Total       | 3, 735     | 2,400      |                  |                          |                          |
|             |            |            |                  |                          |                          |
| D           | 7,323      | 1,480      |                  | 1338.32                  | 1.11                     |
| E           | 8,654      | 1,080      | $\frac{1}{5.47}$ | 1581.56                  | .68                      |
| F           | 9, 412     | 2,080      |                  | 1720.09                  | 1.21                     |
| Total       | 25,389     | 4,640      |                  |                          |                          |
|             |            |            |                  |                          |                          |
| G           | 23, 302    | 3,500      |                  | 4018.56                  | . 87                     |
| H           | 25,940     | 3,750      | $\frac{1}{5.80}$ | 4473.49                  | . 84                     |
| Ι           | 44, 986    | 9,000      |                  | 7758.08                  | 1.16                     |
| Total       | 94, 228    | 16,250     |                  |                          |                          |
|             |            |            | <u> </u>         |                          | <u> </u>                 |

## FOOTBALL PER CAPITA ATTENDANCE BY POPULATION

| Communities | Seating<br>Capacity | Attendance | Ratio            | Calculated<br>Attendance | Per Capita<br>Attendance |
|-------------|---------------------|------------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| A           | 1,500               | 500        |                  | 750.00                   | . 67                     |
| В           | 1,500               | 700        | $\frac{1}{2.00}$ | 750.00                   | . 93                     |
| С           | 1,800               | 1,200      |                  | 900.00                   | 1.33                     |
| Total       | 4,800               | 2,400      |                  |                          |                          |
| _           |                     |            |                  |                          |                          |
| D           | 3,000               | 1,480      |                  | 1071.43                  | 1.38                     |
| E           | 5,000               | 1,080      | $\frac{1}{2.80}$ | 1785.71                  | . 60                     |
| F           | 5,000               | 2, 080     |                  | 1785.71                  | 1.16                     |
| Total       | 13,000              | 4,640      |                  |                          |                          |
|             |                     |            |                  |                          |                          |
| G           | 8,000               | 3,500      |                  | <b>48</b> 19. <b>2</b> 8 | 1.66                     |
| Н           | 9,000               | 3,750      | $\frac{1}{1.66}$ | 5421.69                  | . 69                     |
| I           | 10,000              | 9,000      |                  | 6024.10                  | 1.49                     |
| Total       | 27,000              | 16,250     |                  |                          |                          |
|             |                     |            |                  | <u></u>                  |                          |

## FOOTBALL PER CAPITA ATTENDANCE BY SEATING CAPACITY

| Communities | Population | Attendance | Ratio            | Calculated<br>Attendance | Per Capita<br>Attendance |
|-------------|------------|------------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| А           | 1,011      | 200        |                  | 351.88                   | . 57                     |
| В           | 1,304      | 300        | $\frac{1}{2.87}$ | 453.87                   | . 66                     |
| С           | 1,420      | 800        |                  | 494.24                   | 1.62                     |
| Total       | 3,735      | 1,300      |                  |                          |                          |
| D           | 7, 323     | 500        |                  | 764.41                   | . 65                     |
| E           | 8,654      | 340        | $\frac{1}{9.58}$ | 903.34                   | . 33                     |
| F           | 9,412      | 1,810      |                  | 982.46                   | 1.84                     |
| Total       | 25, 389    | 2,650      |                  |                          |                          |
| G           | 23,302     | 600        | \$<br>           | 494.59                   | 1.21                     |
| Н           | 25,940     | 300        | 1<br>47.11       | 550.58                   | . 54                     |
| I           | 44,986     | 1,100      |                  | 954.83                   | 1.15                     |
| Total       | 94, 228    | 2,650      |                  |                          |                          |

## BASKETBALL PER CAPITA ATTENDANCE BY POPULATION

|             |          |            |                  |                          | ÷                        |
|-------------|----------|------------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Communities | Capacity | Attendance | Ratio            | Calculated<br>Attendance | Per Capita<br>Attendance |
| А           | 300      | 200        | ÷                | 144.23                   | 1.39                     |
| В           | 600      | 300        | $\frac{1}{2.08}$ | 288.46                   | 1.04                     |
| С           | 1,800    | 800        |                  | 865.38                   | . 92                     |
| Total       | 2,700    | 1,300      |                  |                          |                          |
| D           | 1,200    | 500        |                  | 612.24                   | . 82                     |
| E           | 1,500    | 340        | $\frac{1}{1.96}$ | 765.31                   | . 44                     |
| F           | 2,500    | 1,810      |                  | 1275.51                  | 1.42                     |
| Total       | 5,200    | 2,650      |                  |                          |                          |
| G           | 2,400    | 600        |                  | 857.14                   | . 70                     |
| Н           | 1,200    | 300        | $\frac{1}{2.80}$ | 428.57                   | . 70                     |
| I           | 2,000    | 1,100      |                  | 714.29                   | 1.54                     |
| Total       | 5,600    | 2,000      |                  |                          |                          |

## BASKETBALL PER CAPITA ATTENDANCE BY SEATING CAPACITY

I,

#### APPENDIX I

PEARSON AND TAU FOR COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION SCALES AND INDEXES AND COLLECTIVE CONSCIENCE BY SPORT

|                                    | Pearson | tau   |  |  |
|------------------------------------|---------|-------|--|--|
| Football-Community Participation   |         |       |  |  |
| Scale:                             |         |       |  |  |
| Small Communities                  | , 25    | . 33  |  |  |
| Middle-Sized Communities           | 84      | -1.00 |  |  |
| Large Communities                  | , 04    | 33    |  |  |
| Index:                             |         |       |  |  |
| Small Communities                  | , 18    | . 33  |  |  |
| Middle-Sized Communities           | . 52    | . 33  |  |  |
| Large Communities                  | . 32    | . 33  |  |  |
|                                    |         |       |  |  |
| Basketball-Community Participation |         |       |  |  |
| Scale:                             |         |       |  |  |
| Small Communities                  | 37      | 33    |  |  |
| Middle-Sized Communities           | 07      | - ,33 |  |  |
| Large Communities                  | 22      | . 33  |  |  |
| Index:                             |         |       |  |  |
| Small Communities                  | 38      | -1.00 |  |  |
| Middle-Sized Communities           | -, 31   | 33    |  |  |
| Large Communities                  | , 72    | . 33  |  |  |
|                                    |         |       |  |  |

### APPENDIX J

## RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN WINNING AND PARTICIPATION BY MEASUREMENT TECHNIQUE AND BY SPORT

|   |  | FOOTBALL.                |                         |              | BASKETBALL               |                          |           |  |  |
|---|--|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|--|--|
|   | Communities  | Participation            |                         | % of Wins    | Participation            |                          | % of Wine |  |  |
|   |  | Scale Index              |                         | 70 01 W IIIB | Scale                    | Index                    | % OI WINS |  |  |
|   | Α  | 25                       | 1.44                    | 39.53        | 20                       | 1.96                     | 74.36     |  |  |
|   | В  | 27                       | 1.77                    | 50.00        | 23                       | 1.70                     | 64.29     |  |  |
|   | С  | 31                       | 2.65                    | 81.63        | 30                       | 2.54                     | 77.36     |  |  |
|   | Correlations of<br>Scale & Winning                 | r = .99<br>τ = 1.00      |                         |              | r = .86<br>$\tau = 1.00$ |                          |           |  |  |
|   | Correlations of<br>Index & Winning                 |                          | r = .99<br>τ = 1.00     |              |                          | r = .87<br>$\tau = 1.00$ |           |  |  |
|   | D  | 29                       | 2.49                    | 75,56        | 30                       | 1.47                     | 42.59     |  |  |
|   | E  | 31                       | 1.28                    | 40.00        | 27                       | . 77                     | 43.18     |  |  |
|   | F  | 34                       | 2.37                    | 17.50        | 33                       | 3.26                     | 73.17     |  |  |
|   | Correlations of Scale & Winning                    | r =97<br>$\tau = 1.00$   |                         |              | r = .86<br>$\tau = .33$  |                          |           |  |  |
| • | Correlations of<br>Index & Winning                 |                          | r = .22<br>$\tau = .33$ |              |                          | r = .96<br>$\tau = .33$  |           |  |  |
|   | G  | 36                       | 2.53                    | 71.74        | 30                       | 1.91                     | 82.00     |  |  |
|   | н  | 26                       | 1.53                    | 40.91        | 21                       | 1,24                     | 40.00     |  |  |
|   | I  | 34                       | 2.65                    | 51.16        | 26                       | 2.69                     | 46.81     |  |  |
|   | Correlations of<br>Scale & Winning                 | r = .87<br>$\tau = 1.00$ |                         |              | r = .91<br>$\tau = 1.00$ |                          |           |  |  |
|   | Correlations of<br>Index & Winning                 |                          | r = .69<br>$\tau = .33$ |              |                          | r = .11<br>$\tau = .33$  |           |  |  |
|   | Overall % of<br>Right Minus<br>Wrong<br>Directions | 33. 33                   | 55.56                   |              | 77.78                    | 55.56                    |           |  |  |

## APPENDIX K

~

### DATA RECEIVED FROM COMMUNITY

#### AND SPORT QUESTIONNAIRES

BY YEAR

|  | Communities |                |                |       |             |          |       |             |      |
|--|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------|-------------|----------|-------|-------------|------|
| Types of Requested Data                            | A           | B              | C              | D     | E           | F        | G     | H           | I    |
| mmunity:   |             |                |                |       |             |          |       |             |      |
| Membership in the Chamber of Commerce              | 70-73*      |                | 69-73          |       | 69-73       | 69-73    | 69-73 |             | 69-7 |
| Population of community                            |             | 70             | 70             | 70    | 70          | 70-73    | 70    | 70          | 69-7 |
| Number of new businesses                           | 71-73       | ]              |                | ]     | 1           | 71-73    | 72-73 | ł           | 1    |
| Number of businesses that have left the community  | 73          | <b>]</b> .     |                |       |             | i        | 72-73 |             | ]    |
| Percent of population on welfare                   | 69-73       | }              |                | 73    |             |          | 1     |             | 1    |
| Percent of total labor force that is unemployed    | 73          | 1 · .          | 1              | 73    |             | 1        | 70    | · ·         | 1    |
| Budget for community                               | 69-73       | 69-73          | 69-73          | 69-73 | 69-73       | 69-73    | 69-73 | 69-73       | 69-7 |
| Amount of retail sales subject to state sales tax  | 70-72       | 70-72          | 69-72          | 69-72 | 69-72       | 69-72    | 69-72 | 69-72       | 69-7 |
| Civic improvements                                 |             | 1              |                | 1     | ł           |          |       |             | }    |
| Community awards                                   |             |                | 70-71          |       |             |          |       |             |      |
| Contributions to United Fund                       |             | 1              | <b>1</b>       | 70-72 | 69-72       | 1        | 69-73 | 69-73       | 69-7 |
| Number of contributors to United Fund              |             | 1              |                | 69-72 |             |          |       | 72 -73      | 69-7 |
| Number of registered voters                        | 69-73       |                | 1              | 69-72 | 69-73       | 71-73    | 69-73 | 69-73       | 69-7 |
| Number of municipal elections                      |             | ł              | ł              | . ·   |             | 70-72    | 69-72 | 1           | 69-7 |
| Number of bond issues passed                       | ·           |                |                |       |             | 72       | 69-72 |             | 69-7 |
| Number of voters in Presidential election by party | 72          |                | 1 · · ·        | 72    | 72          | 72       | 72    | 72<br>69-73 | 70-7 |
| Subscription versus counter selling of newspaper   | 69-73       | 73             | 73             | 73    | 69-73<br>73 |          | 69-73 | 73          | 7    |
| Columns per paper related to high school sports    | 73          |                |                | 13    |             | - 1      |       | 43          |      |
| ort:   |             |                |                |       |             |          |       |             |      |
| (Football  | 73          | 73             | 73             | 73    | 73          | 73       | 73    | 73          | 7    |
|  | 73          | 73             | 73             | 73    | 73          | 73       | 73    | 73          | 7    |
| Seating Capacity (Basketball,<br>Baseball          | 1 13        | 73             | 73             | 73    | 73          | 73       | 73    | 73          | 7    |
|  |             | 1 13           |                |       |             |          |       |             | I .' |
| (Football  | -           | 1              | 73             | 73    | 73          |          | 73    |             | 7    |
| Cost of Facilities { Basketball                    | 1           |                | 73             | 73    | 73          | 1        | 73    |             |      |
| Baseball   |             | 1              |                | -73   | 73          |          | 73    |             |      |
| (Football  | 69-73       | 69-73          | 69-73          | 69-73 | 69-73       | 69-73    | 69-73 | 69-73       | 69-7 |
| Average Attendance per Game (Basketball            | 69-73       | 69-73          | 69-73          | 69-73 | 69-73       | 69-73    | 69-73 | 69-73       | 69-7 |
| Baseball   | 69-72       | 69-72          |                | 69-73 | 69-72       | 69-72    | 69-73 | 69-72       | 69-7 |
|  |             | 10 77          | (0.70          | 69-73 | 69-73       | 69-73    | 69-73 | 69-73       | 69-7 |
| Football   | 70-73       | 69-73<br>69-73 | 69-73<br>69-73 | 72-73 | 69-73       | 72-73    | 69-73 | 69-73       | 69-7 |
| Win-Loss Record Basketball                         | 16-13       | 69-72          | 07-13          | 69-72 | 69-72       | 16-13    | 07-13 | 07-13       | 7    |
| (Baseball  | 4           | 09-12          |                | 09-16 | 09-12       | <b>]</b> | 1 ·   |             | 1 '  |
| Membership in booster club                         | 71-73       | 71-73          | 69-73          | 69-73 | 69-73       |          | 69-73 | 1           | 1    |
| Age of booster club                                |             | 73             | 73             | 73    | 73          | 73       | 73    | ł           | 1    |
| Number of coaches in high school                   | 69-73       | 69-73          | 69-73          | 69-73 | 69-73       | 1        | 73    | 73          | 7    |
| Improved or remodeled sport facilities             | . 1         | 1              | 73             |       | 73          | 73       | 73    | 1           | 7    |
| Percent of school budget for sports                | 1           | 73             | 1              | 73    | 73          | 1        | 1     | 1           | 1    |

#### VITA

#### Martha Frances Wilkerson

#### Candidate for the Degree of

#### Doctor of Philosophy

#### Thesis: COLLECTIVE CONSCIENCE IN COMPLEX SOCIETY: A STUDY IN THE SOCIOLOGY OF SPORT

Major Field: Sociology

#### Biographical:

- Personal Data: Daughter of Mr. and Mrs. G. T. Wilkerson, Devine, Texas, born in Fort Worth, Texas on May 28, 1944.
- Education: Graduated from Devine High School, Devine, Texas, in May, 1962; received Bachelor of Arts degree in Sociology from Mary Hardin-Baylor College in 1966; received Master of Arts degree in Sociology from Texas Tech University in 1968; completed requirements for the Doctor of Philosophy degree at Oklahoma State University in July, 1973.
- Professional Experience: Graduate Associate in Department of Sociology, Texas Tech University, 1966-1967; Instructor of Sociology, South Plains College, 1967-1968; Instructor of Sociology, Mary Hardin-Baylor College, 1968-1970; Graduate Assistant, Oklahoma State University, 1970-1972; Instructor of Sociology, East Central State College, Summer, 1972; NSF Graduate Traineeship Program, 1972-1973.