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## PREFACE

For many years the nayigator has been the work horse of the Air Force. While the pilot sits and watches "Ceorge", the autopilot, fly the airplane the navigator is slaving in his cubby hole. As speed and range grow greater his problems are intensified. The tools that the navigator uses have not kept pace with the rapid advance of aerial technology. One of his prime needs is a fast accurate method for making celestial calculations.

The computer presented in this stady is an attempt to supply the basic design of a computer which will solve the navigators celestial problems quickly and accurately. No attempt has been made to present a "finished article", one which the navigator could pick up and use tomorrow, but is rather a study of a basic design which could be refined for his use.

It will probably be noted, that explanations of astronomical and mathematical phenomena are often discussed in a very non-technical fashion. It was felt that the fine points of these subjects were of no conseauence in the immediate problem, and would only tend to add redundance to the picture. The assumptions made are those generally accepted in the study of aerial navigation.

Indebtedness is acknowledged to Professor Paul McCollum whose valuable guidance and encouragement throughout the
construction of the model and the mring of this study were of invaluale aid: and to $M m$. Glenn Stotts who helped immeasurably in being able to produce needed parts and technical assistance at crucial times during construction of the model. I wish also to thank Professors Caskey and Mendenhall of the Oklahoma State University mathematics department for their aid in the development of the basic eovations. Gratitude is also expressed for the many expressjens of interest, and suggestions, offered by the members of the Electrical Engineering Department, especially the comments and suggestions made by Dr. H.T. Fristce.

It is felt that specjal mention should be made of the valuable assistance afforded by wright-Field personnel. The T-? computer used as a basis f'or this study mas loaned by Wright-Field. Most of the vital parts of the model mere supplied from surplus stocks made available to me by WrightField personnel.
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## CHAP TIER I

## ; THE REQUIREMENT

Ever since the first man ventured any appreciarle distance from his home cave he has needed some method of finding his way home again or finding his way back to that bountiful fishing stream. At first he provided his own trail by dropping perbles or breaking branches. When the hunters that lived near large bodies of water decided that it was easier to ride in a boat than to scramble through briar thickets along the shore, a new method of finding their way was needed, and their eyes turned toward the heavens.

The modern specialist had his counterpart in the prehistoric man who by his training, skill, and pure instinct could look at the pattern of the stars and unerringly point the way. Anytime man wished to travel great distances by land or sea this specialist was in great demand. His skill was so revered that he was often the chief or leader of his tribe. Man's insatiable desire to travel and conquer new worlds soon made the demands for this talent greater than the supply and the task fell on lesser men, men who knew that the stars stayed in their same relative position but they needed props to aid them on their way. Because of this need the
first navigation instruments were invented,
It was soon apparent that the bright lights of the sky seemed to rotate around one of their dimmer brothers. of all the stars in the sky only one seemed to always stand still, and they called it Polaris, the pole star. The early mariner soon learned that if he sailed his ship directly toward Polaris the star seemed to rise in the sky. When it had risen to a certain height, say to the first yardarm or to the top of the mast he needed only to turn to the right or left, keep it at this height, and he would sail to his intended destination. Soon man's ingenuity developed more accurate devises. One clever fellow found that if he put marks on a stick and held the stick at arms length with the bottom mark on the horizon he could make the other marks represent the latitude of any port that he desired. He didn't have to move from the Captain's bridge to take a sight at his friendly guiding light no matter what direction he was sailing. In the Bishop Museum in Honolulu is preserved the Sacred Calabash. This ancient sextant was used by the early Hawaiians to find their way home from the Island of Tahiti. It was fcund that the angle measured between sighting holes in this gourd was $19^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ the exact latitude of Hawaii. From these modest begjnnings grew our present system of celestial navigation and our vast array of navigaticn instruments. About 1730, Thomas Godfrey of Philadelphia and Captain Hadley of the British Navy independently invented our first modern sextant. The principle used in both cases was that of projecting on a mirrored eyepiece
the images of the horizon and the star mith a mechanism for changing and measuring the angle between the pick-up prisms so that the two could be brought into coincidence on the mirror. With the advent of aerial navigation, a bubble or pendulum has been substituted for the natural horizon but the principle remains the same to this date. The only purpose of any sextant is to measure the angle between the horizon and the observed star. This angle is called the observed altitude or $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$.

In explaining the use of the sextant for position finding, the most used and probably the best method is through the analogy of a flag pole with a long rope tied to the top. If we visualize this flag pole, and assume that a man is holding on to the rope and keeping it taut all the time, we can outline most of the important definitions that we will need. Since we assume the star to be at the top of the pole, the base of the pole where it enters the ground or in other words the point on the earth directly below the star is called the Sub point. The angle formed by the rope and the ground is the observed altitude, $H_{C}$. If the man walks around the base of the pole holding the rope taut he follows a circular path which is called a line of position or LOP. The angle formed at any instant of time between a line drawn from the man to the Sub Point and a line drawn from the Sub Point toward true north is called the Azmith, $Z$. If the man comes closer to the Sub Point or goes further away, a new $H_{o}$ is formed: so for any $H_{0}$ there is only orie LOT. Now assume
for a moment that the man is uncertain of his position but he has a set of tables which tells him what angle the star and Sub Point will form at different distances from the Sub Point. He would first assume a position which he thought was fairly close to his actual position, and by using the tables he could find the angle he should measure if he were actually at this assumed position. This angle is called the computed altitude, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$. He world then measure the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$ and find that it varied slightly from the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$. If the angle were greater than the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$ he would be closer to the Sub Point than he had thourht, if the angle were less he would be further away. By applying a correction proportional to the difference between the $H_{0}$ and $H_{c}$ he could find the exact LOF that his actual position would fall on. Now, if he were further restricted by having two flag poles and two ropes to hold onto, he would be on two LOP's wich coincide at only two places. In actual practice these lines of position are so great in radius that they appear as straight lines on the plotting chart and the redudent position is so far removed, geographically, that there is no question as to which is the actual position.

To complete the list of definjtions, we must visualize the lattice mork formed by the earthly latitude and longjtude lines, projected out into space and inscribed on the celestial sphere. The earth is considered to be at the center of the sphere with all of the stars at the same distance from the center thus forming a very large hollow sphere containing all of the stars on its inner surface. The very great distances.
to the stars allow this assumption with no measurable error. The lines on the celestial sphere corresponding to the earthly latitude lines are called Declination lines, Dec., mile the lines corresponding to the longitude lines are called Hour Circles. As was cone with Greenwich on the earth to aid in the measurement of longitude, an arbitrary point on the celestial sphere was selected as a reference for all east and west angular measurements. This point is called the first point of Aries. All east and west angular measurements are made from the Hour Circle passing through this point. At the pole, the angles between the Hour Circles are called Hour Angles. The different Hour Angles that are of the most interest ir this study are: The Siderial Hour Angle, SHA, the angle between the first point of Aries and the Hour Circle that goes through the star we are using. Greenwich Hour angle, GHA, the angle from Greenwich of any subject Hour Circle. Local Hour Angle, LHA, the angle between our longitude and the star. Figure one shows the relative positions of these hour circles.

One additional piece of information which may be needed is the definition of a Great Circle. A Great Circle is the line formed on the surface of a sphere by a plane passed through any two points on the surface of the sphere and its center. When projecting the surface of a sphere on a flat plane the Great Circle appears as a curved line but still represents the shortest distance between two points on the surface of the sphere.


Figure 1. The Celestial Sphere

With the various definitions listed above firmly in mind, let it be explored further how the navigator fixes his position on earth by use of the stars. As was briefly mentioned before, the stars stay in their same position relative to each other, and to the observer on the earth they follow the same path across the sky day in and day out, varying only in the time which they rise above the horizon. It may be well to note here that the above statement is not true of the sun, the moon, and the planets wich, due to their closeness to earth travel a different but predictable path each day throughout the year. Since the stars are fixed in space for all practical purposes, their positions can be described in the celestial sphere by their Dec. and SHA as surely as Chicago or New York can be located by its latitude and lonfitude. Furthermore, by knowing the GHA of Aries the corresponding GHA and SHA can be combined, thus locating the Sub Point of the star. The triangle formed by the longitude line running through this posjtion, the longitude line running through the Sub Point of the star, and the Great Circle line between this position and the Sub Point, is called the Celestial Triangle. The lonfitude lines runing through the sub point and this position are known. What is not known is the length and $\mathbb{Z}$ of the Great Circle line joining these two positions. In addition to the information listed before, figure one shows this celestial triangle with its different parts labeled.

All systems and plans for Celestial Navigation are simply different methods for finding these unknown quantities.

Harking back to the flag pole analogy, it is recalled that the unknown distance from the Sub Point was found by measuring the angle of the star above the horizon and comparing it with an angle precomputed from an assumed position. This method lends itself very well to practical application especially since one minute of arc is equal to one nautical mile when measured on the surface of the earth. Most methods employ this principle, but two notable exceptions are the British "Astrograph" and the "Weems Star Charts". Both the "Astrograph" and the "Star Charts" use the principle of projecting or printing the actual path of the sub point on a chart and plotting the $H_{0}$ directly on the chart a measured distance along these Sub Point lines. Of course, the first method used for solving the Celestial Triangle was by straight spherical trigonometric means. This method was very accurate but was unduly complicated and took considerable time to accomplish. At first the time involved was of little consequence since man was only traveling at about fifteen knots. but when the navigator "sprouted wings", time became a prime factor. The "Ageton" method of solution was a step in the right direction. This method consisted mainly of a printed format which labeled each step of the mathematical solution so all that was necessary was to follow the format, putting in the required information, adding or subtracting when it so indicated. In about twenty minutes an answer could be obtained. The first and, as will be seen, the last giant step forward was the "HO" publications. The Hydrographic

Office of the Navy produced thousands of solutions of Celestial Triangles and compiled them in tabular form into books. Using these publications, a person needs only to know the Dec. and LFA of the star and to assume a position. With this information he can $g 0$ to the tahles and extract the $H_{c}$ and $Z$. Each of the three major "HO" publications, HO214, HO218, and HO240, are slightly different but are all based on the same principle of tabular information for major celestial. bodies which can be used for any assumed position. These "HO" publications, along with "The Air Almanac", have been the corner stone of aerial navigation since before World War II and have remained so until today. From time to time different computers or devices have been presented to lighten the load of the navigator, but one by one they have been discarded for various reasons. One interesting device was the "Astrorine", with which two stars were sichted at the same time, resulting in a marked plug which was placed in a reader device, which in turn gave a distance and $Z$ from the assumed position. The "Astrobine" proved to be quite satisfactory on the ground but was too difficult and too inaccurate to use in an aircraft. Several computers have been devised, but here again difficulty of use and inaccuracy have minimized their value. Of the computers conceived in the past, the $\mathbb{T}-1$ mas one of the best. The computer presented in this thesis is an outgrowth of the $T-1$ computed. A thorough explanation of the $T-1$ will be found in Chapter 2.

As aircraft have flow faster and faster it has been necessary to develop techniques which save time in celestial computation, In the absence of anything better, the present day navigator still uses the $H O$ publications, but most of his work is done on the ground before take off. Before the mission, the Navigator lays out his intended track and precomputes the $H_{C}$ for different stars and positions along his route. During flight, he need only stjck comparatively close to his preplanned route and timing, and the major portion of his time consuming task is already accomplished. The main difficulties in this plan are in its comparative inflexibility, and the fact that no time or labor is actually saved but simply shifted to a more convenient period. The inflexibility of the present system becomes apparent when deviation from the original plan is required. If there is a last minute change of target, the Navigator is in trouble unless he has plotted courses to cover al eventualities. On stand alert the Navigator must be ready to take off at a moments notice so a constant revision of his plan must be accomplished. Diversion in the air due to enemy action or operational considerations are a constant threat to any precomputed plan. As it stands now, slight deviations from his precomputed plan are possible throngh correction technioues available. If any major change in plans occurs he must fall back to the old method of thumbing through endless tables. Under the stress of time, enemy action, adverse weather, and many other factors that plague the harried Navigator,
it is easy to make simple mistakes like adding two and two and getting five, or skipping down one line as information is extracted from a table. The ultimate objective of the computer designed and presented in this thesis is to give the navigator an accurate $H_{c}$ and $Z$ in less than thirty seconds, to be simple to use, either on the ground or in the air, to greatly reduce the overall work load of the navigator, to drastically reduce simple addition and subtraction errors, to be independent of changes in flight plan, and to greatly reduce the amount of bulky equipment that the navigator generally must carry with him.

CHAFTER II

## THE T-1 COMPUTER

At the end of world War II, representatives from the Wright Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, entered Germany in search of Luftwaffe equipment which could be used in the further development of the United States Air Force. Among the equipment brought back was two models of what was later called "The T-l Celestial Navigation Computer". This equipment was a German development of a French idea, and was a devise for obtaining the $H_{c}$ and $Z$ of a star when the LHA, Dec., and assumed position were set into its mechanism. A photo of the T-1 is shown in Plate I.

The devise consists of a round glass plate on which a series of vertical and horizontal curved lines are printed. This glass is mounted in a metal ring which can be rotated by a knob at the top of the computer. Above the plate is mounted a flexible arm, on the end of which is a twelve power magnifying lens with adjustable cross hairs. The intersections of the inscribed lines are numbered as illustrated in Plate II. The vertical lines are drawn so that the curvature of the outermost line has a radius equal to the radius of the plate. Lines closer to the center have greater radii, and the line at the center has an infinite


The T-1 Computer

## PIATE II



T-1 Computer Plate
radius or in other words the center line is a straight line. The horizontal lines are constructed so that the outermost curve has a radius of zerc. This radius increases for lines closer to the center until at the center there is another straight line. A draming of the lines as they appear on the plate is included as Flate III. A very non-technical explanation of why this configuration of curved lines is used is that this is the way the latitude and longitude lines would appear to an observer stationed. in space. If this space observer were to start at a point directly above the equator and travel to a point over the pole almays observing the latitude and longitude line immediately surrounding his Sub Point, they would appear as they do on the computer.

To solve the Celestial Triangle problem on the computer the knob at the top of the computer is first set so that $90^{\circ}$ is read on the assumed latitude scale which is etched along the side of the mounting rim (the index rotates with the glass plate). With the assumed latitude at $90^{\circ}$ the flexible arm in moved so that the LHA of the subject's star is read on the vertical curved lines, and the Dec. is read on the horizontal curved lines. The movable cross hairs in the eyepiece make possible comparatively accurate settings. The plate is then rotated so that the actual assumed latitude is read on the outer rim scale. The cross hairs are then centered on the $H_{C}$ and $Z$ of the star. The $H_{c}$ is read from the horizontal curved lines, and the $Z$ from the vertical. curved lines. Again, a very non-technical explanation of


Arrangement of Lines on Computer Plate
why this system works, is that if the position in question was actually at the north pole, the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$ would be the same as the GHA of the star, thus establishing a Sub Foint. If it is then imagined that the earth is rotated and tilted an amount eoual to the assumed position with the celestial sphere held stationary, a new Sub Point is established. The Dec. of this new Sub Point now becomes the actual $H_{c}$, and its new GHA becomes the actual $Z .^{1}$ Figure 2 demonstrates this idea.

Once again, as has been true with most computers, the T-l proves to be quite good on the ground, but in the air the flexible arm is hard to adjust, the cross hairs are hard to set accurately and have a tendency to jam. Also the T-I is difficult to read. Mass manufacture of the computer has been difficult due to the precision reaujred, difficulties with the photo etchings on the plate, and the complexity of the magnifying eyepiece with its associate cross hairs. The computer has never been accepted as a standard Air Force item.

So it is seen that the jdea behind the $T-l$ is good, but practical problems of manufacture, cost, and use, greatly limit its application.

The first attempt to resolve the difficulties of the T-l was attempted by this author in February 1948. At that

It could also be as easily visimalized that the Celestial Sphere was rotated and tilted while the earth remained stationary.


Figure 2. Correction for Assumed Latitude
time an attempt was made to simulate the morement of the eyepiece by means of a series of ball, disk, and roller devices. This mork was not carried to a point of evaluation due to the discharge of the author from the service? The problems of the $\mathrm{I}-1$ are here again approached, this time with the application of electronic principles in mind.
?A more complete outline of previous work is included as Appendix A.

## A NEW APPROACH

The first step in the solntion of the subject problem was to obtain the equations for the vertical and horizontal curved lines on the $T-1$. A complete mathematical development of these equations is found in Appendix B. As may be seen from the development in Appendix $B$, the eonation for the vertical lines is $X^{2}-\left(e^{2}-r^{2}\right) / a+Y^{2}-r^{2}=0$, and for the horizontal lines is $X^{2}-\left(b^{2}+r^{2}\right) / b+Y^{2}+r^{2}=0$. Assuming that $r$ is always unjty, $\left(a^{2}-r^{2}\right) / a$ is equal to $A$, and $\left(b^{2}+r^{2}\right) / b$ is equal to $B$. The ealuations then become $X^{2}-A X+Y^{2}-1=0$, and $X^{2}-B Y+Y^{2}+1=0$. Figure 3 shows where these values are measured, and that simultaneous solution of the equations results in a specific $X$ and $Y$ for any known "a"(IHA) and "b"(Dec.). Several different methods of solving these simultaneous eouations were attempted using analog computer techniques. Straight algebrajo sclution of the reduced eauation reouired more operational amplifiers than were available. Reduction of the equations by subtraction resulted in an equation which was satisfied by an infinite number of $X$ and $Y$ combinations. Attempts to differentiate and then integrate, or integrate and then differentiate, res"Ited in losing vital parameters. The final solution,


Figure 3. Nomenclature of Basic Equations
which was accopted, incorporated a system of servomultipliers compled mith voltage divider networks and operational amplifiers. It may be well to note here that . . although no straichtforward analog computer solution was found, it is believed that further study in this area is warranted.

After establishing the basic eduations, their solution and the complete solution of the $\mathbb{T}-1$ problem may be divided into five major steps:

1. Setting up the eauations and determining $X$ and $Y$,
2. Vectorizing, or turning the $X$ and $Y$ position into a vector of length $R$ and angle $\propto$,
3. Rotating the vector,
4. Quadrant selection,
F. Final ansmer.

Figure 4 depicts a diagramatic explanation of these five st.eps.

To solve the original equations, a voltage proportional to each of the ernation parameters is applied into a summing amplifier. If the voltagos are all correct, the output of the summing amplifier will be zero. If the voltages are not correct, the summing amplifier will have an ontput proportional to the error involved. This error signal causes a servo motor to turn. The servo motor positions potentiometers which affect the input voltages. The motor will continue to turn until the sum of the input voltages result in a zero error output. If the error is positive, the motor


Figure 4. Five Steps of Solution
turns in one direction, and if neqative, the motor turns in the opposite direction. Since two ecluations are involved, two complete systems as described above are needed: one for $X$ and one for $Y$. $X$ and $Y$ occur in both eouations so inner connection between the two is necessary. This inner connection introduces a brief oscillation. Since it is only a few seconds duration, and is an indication of the proper operation of the instrument, this oscillatory period is considered an advantage rather than a disadvantage. Furthermore, it is an indication of low drag in the system. When the motors have come to rest, the potentiometers are in a cosition relative to the intersection of the lines established by the known $A$ and $B$, and their output represents $X$ and $Y$. Voltages representing $X, Y, X^{2}, Y^{2}, A X$, and $B Y$ are then avajlable. Figure 5 is a schematic of this portion of the computer.

$$
X^{2}+Y^{2}=R^{2} \text {, so by adding the } X^{2} \text { and } Y^{2} \text { voltages and }
$$ applying the resultant to a servomultiplier system, as shown in Figure 6, $R$ is obtained. This is the first step in turning the $X$ and $Y$ position into a vector, however, the angle must still be established. The voltage $R$ is applied to a sine-cosine potentiometer (sometimes called a sauare card potentiometer). From the cosine output of the potentiometer $R$ cosine $\propto$ is obtained, and from trigonometry it is known that $R$ cosine $\propto$ is equal to $X . ~ R \operatorname{cosine} \alpha$ and $-X$ are then used as inputs to a summing amplifier. When the output of this summing amplifier is anything but zero a motor is caused



to turn. This motor rotates the sine-cosine potentiometer until the correct $\propto$ is established to make $R$ cosine $\propto$ equal to $X$. The vector is now established with a length represented as $R$ at an angle of $\propto$. Figure 6 shows a complete schematic of the vectorizing operation. If the progress of the electrical solution is referred to the $T-1$ computer, a point has been reached where the eyepiece has been centered on the LHA and Dec. The next step is to apply the assumed latitude. On the $T-I$, the assumed latituce is taken into account by rotating the plate under the eye-piece. In the electrical solution, the vector is rotated an amount equal to the assumed latitude. To simulate the rotation of the vector around the origin, the length, $R$, must remajn the same wjoth only $\propto$ changing. The drive of the sine-cosine potentiometer is arranged so that the pointer on its indicating dial does not rotate during the vectorizing operation above. When the assumed latitude is set, the geared pointer shaft and the gear on the sine-cosine potentiometer drive are engaged. Engagement of these gears activates a relay. The relay disconnects the motor drive on the $R$ servo multiplier forcing $R$ to remain the same. The above relay also releases the sine-cosine potentiometer from its motor drive allowing free movement of the pointer. (Figure 6) The vector has now been

[^0]rotated, but $R$ cosine $\propto$ is no longer equal to the $X$ that is set on the computer.

When the vector is rotated, a new problem presents itself. It is quite possible that the new $X$ and $Y$ lie in a different ouadrant than the original $X$ and $Y$. This problem is solved by providing the IHA and Dec. indicator with dual scales with a movable flag covering one of the scales. When $R$ cosine $\propto$ goes to zero, the flag on the LHA scale changes position. When $R$ sinec goes to zero the flag on the Dec. scale changes position, thereby providing four quadrant coverage. ${ }^{4-5}$

Now that the vector has been rotated and its correct quadrant established, the new $X$ and $Y$ must be found, and in turn, the new $A$ and $B . R$ cosine $\propto$ now becomes the known value with $X$ the unknown. The relay that disconnected the error signal from the sine-cosine potentiometer motor, connects this signal with the $X$ servomultiplier drive. This $X$ drive now positions the $X$ potentiometer so that $X$ is once more eaual to $R$ cosine $\alpha$. By changing $X$ the original equation is unbalanced so that an error signal is obtained from the ecmation summing amplifier. The versatile little relay once again comes to the rescue. When the relay was activated, it

[^1]djsconnected the eduation error from the $X$ drive and connected it to a motor that controls the A input. The A input is changed until the equation is once more balanced, and the equation error output is zero. Meanmhile, the $Y$ section of the computer has been underfoing the same type of changes as those outlined for $X$, except that $Y$ is matched with $R$ sine $\propto$, and the $B$ input is adiusted to balance the equation. The problem is now completely solved. The reading now on the Dec. scale is the $H_{c}$ of the star, and the reading on the LHA scale is the $Z$.

To aid the reader to better understand the many steps above, a block diagram of the entire computer is included as Figure 7, and a complete schematic of the computer is included as Figure 8.

In order to test the validity of the above design, a model of the computer was constructed. As construction of the model progressed, changes were made in the design to accommodate unforseen difficulties. The design presented above incorporates all of these changes. In some cases, availability of parts restricted refinement of the model, but it was not intended that the constructed model should physically resemble the finished product. The model was constructed only to prove or disprove the feasibility of the proposed design. Chapter IV outlines the experimental results obtained from the model and compares them to those obtained from the $I-1$ computer. The number of operational amplifiers reonired by the design presented in this study, exceeded the supply of Philbrick plug in type amplifiers on hand, making it necessary to use some


Figure 7. Computer Block Diagram

of the amplifiers available on the Donner Analog Computer. A photograph of the complete model as it was used durine tests is shown in Plate IV.

Although the model as pictured in Plate IV is large in size, miniaturization techniaues and proper selection of components would result in a much smaller and compact instrument. The amplifiers would all be transistorized. Power supplies already available on the aircraft would be lesed, and proper mounting and gearing of motors and potentiometer mould be a must. The final manufactured item is visualized as being approximately the size of a cigar box and weighing between five and ten pounds. A refinement which would be of value would be a specific star input. The navigator normally uses only twenty-two of the major stars. The names of these stars would be printed on a setting dial with Dec. and SHA inputs available for whichever star was selected. It would then only be necessary to set in the GHA of Aries obtained from the Air Almanac, the assumed longitude, and the assumed latitude. This system would eliminate pencil computation of the IHA and reduce the navigators chance for error. A further refinement could be made so that a siderial clock mechanism would drive the GHA input. The navigator would set the GHA of Aries at the first of the flight and from that time would only need to set in the star he was using and his assumed latitude and Ioncitude. A disadvantage of the siderial drive would be that precomputation would be limited without the use of a

PIATE IV


The Model
correction fartor. With the star input incorporated, the navigator would be limited to the use of the twenty-two narigation stars and coxld not use the sun, moon, or any of the planets. Either or both of these refinements could be incorporated as an additional section of the computer at the cost of added size and weipht. One of the main advantages of this computer is its simplicity of use. To operate the computer witt its refinements installed, the navigator would:

1. Push the reset button.
2. Set in the selected star.
3. Set in the assumed longitude.
4. Set in the assumed latitude.
5. The $H_{C}$ and $Z$ would be read from counter type indicators.

## EXPERIMENT RESULTS

## After the model was completed, general operation

 throughout its entire ranee was accomplished. After minor adjustments were mace, performance proved to be satisfactory. Experimentation was limited to setting in various LHA, Dec. and latitude inputs, and comparing the solutions to those obtained from the $T-1$. No Ageton or H.O. publication solutions were accomplished. Interpretation of the results was hamperred somewhat by the fact that the model output was on a straight numerical scale which had to be translated to degrees and minutes. It can be seen from the quantitative results, which are included as Appendix D, that some solutions are very close to the $T-1$, while some are not as close. The general trend of solutions, as shown on the graphs included in Appendix D, are sufficiently close to the $T-1$ solutions to be considered within experimental limitation. It must be kept in mind that the model was hand-built from available parts. Gears hac excessive drag. Potentiometers were not matched, and in some cases were of too low a resistance for best results. Standard resistors were used, and, although trim pots were used, could not be matched perfectly. It isstrongly felt that the experimental results indicate that there is no major flaw in the design.

Results of experimentation with the model indicate several critical areas which should be taken into account in further construction or design refinement. First and foremost, the computer will be only as accurate as the parts used in its construction. Stable operational amplifiers and power supplies must be used. It is suggested that trim pots be used to match resistors. Gearing ratios should be selected to increase accuracy to its limit, but care must be taken to eliminate gear drag and backlash to a minimum. Input voltages should be accurately controlled. Special attention should be paid to the sine-cosine potentiometer to insure that rotational accuracy is sufficiently high. It was found that operation near the $X$ and $Y$ axes was difficult. Further study of this area should be made in order to improve operation as $X$ or $Y$ approach zero.

If design for manufacture is undertaken, several recommendations for design changes are made. Potentiometers should be of as high a resistance as possible in order to minimize loading effects. Input voltages and power supplies should be selected so as to minimize drift and other small variations of DC inputs. Although it is essential that the isolation amplifier input and feedback resistors be matched, it is also desirable that they be of a high resistance so thet the isolating properties of the amplifier will be the best possible. The possibility of using reversible DC motors should be investigated. In order to get the highest toroue possible from the servo
motors when the error sjgnal is small, a design should be incorporated which would keep the driving voltage at a high level as long as an error voltage is present with a sharp drop to zero voltage when the error voltage reaches zero. As a suggestion, it may be found that cathode-follower type voltage dividers will prove more satisfactory than those used in the present design.

## CHAFTER V

## FUTURE DEVELORMENT

The computer desion presented in this study presents several cpportunities for further development and future use. The basic computer as presented here is intended for use by the individual aerial navigator. It is not known whether the high degree of accuracy reauired in sea navigation mould limit its use for the sea navigator or not, but this js surely an area that should be investigated. In short, wherever men use the stars as their guade, this computer should be a valuabe did if not their prime instrument.

Other possjbilutaes for future use prosent themeglves. Alr-horne ster follomers exist which unerningly follow a star throth the heavens. From the star follower an up-trdate $H_{0}$ ts received at all times. It monld then be loelcal to construct a computer that would contimyously present desired position infomation fon a precompted tract. A continnous He could be attanned by using this desited position as the assumed position input for the electrical analog of the T-I. By comparane the ${ }^{-1}$ from two of the electricel T-l's to the $H_{0}$ of two star followers, a vectordal position error colld be obtained. This error signal could then be made to control an automatic pilot system in suck
a manner as to correct this error. When this is done, a completely automatic guidance system is obtained.

The author is not familiar with the special problems of interplanetary navigation, but it seems quite plausable that the principles used in the desjgn of this computer would be adaptable to space travel. Different basic equations would probably be necessary. Possibly a third equation with a third unknown would also be necessary. The basic function of the computer is the solution of simultaneous algebraic equations, so it does not matter if the equations are the same or whether a third eauation is added.

The last statement suggests that the methods used for thjs computer could also be used for any problem that involves simultaneous algebraic eauations. It may also be noted that the vector forming and vector rotation sections of the computer may have a mide field of application outside of the specific navigation problem discussed in this study.

## CHAPTER VI

## SUMMARY AND CONCIUSIONS

As the history of navigation is traced through the ages it can be seen that there is a never ending demand for more modern equipment. Equipment which worls more alickly and efficiently. One of the primary needs of the present day navigator, is some device to lighten the mork load and reduce the margin of error when celestial computations are made. One such device is the $T-1$ celestial computer which relies on a rotatable etched glass plate and optical reading device for presentation of the desired information. The T-1 has proved to be impractical for use in the air due to inherent mechanical and optical limitations. In this study an attempt was made to eliminate these limitations by putting the basic principles of the $T-l$ into mathematical form, then solving the resultant equations by electrical analog methods.

The computer design used the principle of the servomultiplier which combines the position of a motor driven potentiometer arm with an input voltage to result in a variable multiplication. Algebraic addition of the signals from several of these servo-multipliers is then accomplished through use of operational type high gain D.C. summing amplifiers. This algebraic addition results in an error signal, which in
turn, causes the servo-multipliers to be repositioned until the error signal is zero. By using this system of multipliers and adders, the mathematicsassociated with the $\mathbb{T}-1$ are solved, and final results are presented on counter type indicators.

In order to test the validity of the basic design presented in this stroy, a working model was constructed. A number of sample problems were worked on this model and experimental data tabulated. This experimental data indicated that the basic design was sound, but that further refinement is needed to obtain the four or five place accuracy needed for celestial navigation. In future study toward this refinement, particular attention should be paid to reduction of mechanical drag, accuracy of components, and stabslity of amplifiers. In order to obtain the desired accuracy it may be necessary to include some digital type storage units for presentation of the higher order numbers with the analog section responsible only for the lower two or three orders. As an example, if it were desired to present $148^{\circ} 53^{\prime}$, the 53' could be repronuced effectively by the analog component while the $148^{\circ}$ could be made to change in discreet $1^{\circ}$ steps every time the lower register passed through 60'.

Looking toward future design, several suggestions have been presented. It is felt that for certain specialized cases it may be desirable to include a siderial clock drive and specific star input. The following is quoted from the magazine, Aviation Week, published 6 April l959, under the heading "Future Guidance Systems";

Inertial systems in combination with automatic star trackers, appear likely to play the dominant role in interplanetary space navigation. Here, however, the inertial system's primary role will be to provide spatial stabilization for the star trackers to keep them approximately aligned on the star.

The computer design presented in this study is highly adaptable to combination with the star tracker. The information obtained from the star tracker must be combined with information about the desired track which is the information available from this design. It appears likely that there will be a growing demand for a device such as the one presented in this study.

Conclusions to be drawn from study of the basic design and model of this computer are that:

1. The basic design is sound.
2. Further study and refinement are needed to increase accuracy.
3. The methods used have a wide range of application in problems involving solution of simultaneous algebraic equations, vector forming, or vector rotating.
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## APPENDIX A

## FREVIOTS WORK

During a tour of duty as a project engineer in the Equipment Laboratory at Wright-Fatterson Air Force Base, Dayton, Ohjo, the author worked on the problem of making the T-I computer more practical. At that time an attempt was made to solve the problem by mechanical means. The following is a direct abstract of this work which was accomplished in February, 1948. The problem was never completely solved due to the transfer of the author to other duties. No attempt at further investigation along mechanical lines has been made in this study. The information below is included as another possible approach to the solution of the problem.

## MECHANICAL T-I COMPUTER

This is a mechanical celestial computing device. By turning three control cranks, the computed Altitude and Azimuth for any star or planet can be read for any assumed position.

Operation for this device is as follows:

1. Turn LHA crank until correct LHA is read on LHA counter.
2. Turn Dec. crank until correct Dec. is read on Dec. counter.
3. Press engage button.
4. Turn latitude crank until correct latitude is read on latitude counter.
5. Read $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$ on Dec. counter.
6. Read Azimath on IHA counter.

This devise is essentially the same as the $\mathbb{I}-1$ computer except that no visual aligning is necessary. The problem encountered is to make a pointer travel over a flat plate to a certain point locater on a curved line. To accomplish this, three integrating devices are used. These integrating devices are of the ball, disk, roller type. Positioning of the pointer (equivalent to the eyepiece on the $T-1$ ) is accomplished as follows:

When the LHA crank is turned it positions the ball on the base of three disks. (Two of these disks are in reality a single plate with two surfaces and a ball roller on each side.) These three ball roller devices will be named as follows:

1. LHA drive.
?. Dec. integrator.
2. Dec. drjue.

Turning the IHA crank will not only position the three balls but will also drive the pointer across the equatorial axis of the master plate a distance proportional to the LHA and will turn the LHA counter.

Turning of the Dec. crank will cause rotation of the disks.

Motion of the Dec. integrator will be transmitted to the ball of the Dec. drive causing it to move toward or away from the center of its disk. The ball of the Dec. drive is made to roll by its disk and transmits this motion through its roller to the Dec. counter and the pointer. Turning of the Dec. crank causes the disk of the LHA drive to rotate. The movement is transmitted through the roller of the LHA drive to the pointer but does not change the IHA counter reading.

Upon completion of setting LYA and Dec., the engaging button is pushed. This fastened the pointer to the master plate by means of electromagnetism or some other method that might be thought of later. The engaging button also disconnects the LHA and Dec. cranks. The master plate is the same as the master plate of the present $T-1$ computer except that it is made of metal and has no numerals.

Next, the latitude crank is turned which causes the master plate to rotate in the opposite direction but in the same amount as the master plate of the T-I. This turning of the master plate carries the pointer along with it causing the IFA and Dec. indicators to change. Final reading is $H_{c}$ and Azimuth.

Investigation should be made as to the feasibility of using a ball as the pointer. This ball would have two roller pick-offs, one for LHA change and the other for Dec. change. This mould be used instead of the electromagnet mentioned above.

In order to get the correct movement it may be necessary to have the rollers, cone shaped.


Figure 9. Mechanical T-1

## APPENDIX B

## DEVELOPMENT OF EQUATIONS



Figure 10. Vertical Curved Lines

To write the equation of a circle through the three points $(0, a)$, and $(0,-r)$ and $(+a, 0)$ $(x-h)^{2}+(y-k)^{2}=R^{2}$ where $R$ is the radius and $(h, k)$ is the center of the circle.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h^{2}+(r-k)^{2}=R^{2}=h^{2}+r^{2}-2 r k+k^{2} \\
& h^{2}+(-r-k)^{2}=R^{2}=h^{2}+r^{2}+2 r k+k^{2} \\
& (a-h)^{2}+k^{2}=R^{2}=a^{2}-2 h+h^{2}+k^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 r k=0 \\
& k=0 \\
& h^{2}+r^{2}=R^{2} \\
& a^{2}-2 a h+h^{2}=R^{2} \\
& a^{2}-2 a h+h^{2}=h^{2}+r^{2} \\
& a^{2}-2 a h-r^{2}=0 \\
& 2 a h=a^{2}-r^{2} \\
& h=\frac{a^{2}-r^{2}}{2} \\
& \frac{\left(a^{2}-r^{2}\right)^{2}+r^{2}=R^{2}}{2 a}=\frac{a^{4}-2 a^{2} r^{2}+r^{4}}{4 a^{2}}+r^{2}=R^{2} \\
& 4 a^{2} R^{2}=a^{4}+2 a^{2} r^{2}+r^{4} \\
& R^{2}=\frac{\left(a^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{2}}{4 a^{2}} \\
& R^{2}=\frac{a^{2}+r^{2}}{2 a} \\
& \frac{\left(x-a^{2}-r^{2}\right)^{2}+y^{2}}{2 a}=\left(a^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{2} \\
& x^{2}-\frac{a^{2}-r^{2}}{a} x+\left(a^{2}-r^{2}\right)^{2}+y^{2}=\left(a^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{2} \\
& 4 a^{2} \\
& 4 a^{2} x^{2}-4 a\left(a^{2}-r^{2}\right) x+\left(a^{2}-r^{2}\right)^{2}+4 a^{2} y^{2}=\left(a^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{2} \\
& 4 a^{2} x^{2}-4 a\left(a^{2}-r^{2}\right) x+4 a^{2} y^{2}=4 a^{2} r^{2}=0 \\
& 2
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 11. Horizontal Curved Line

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{2}+(y-r \sec \theta)^{2}=(r \tan \theta)^{2} \\
& x^{2}+y^{2}-2 y r \sec \theta+r^{2} \sec ^{2} \theta-r^{2} \tan ^{2} \theta=0 \\
& b=r(\sec \theta-\tan \theta)=r\left(\sec \theta-\sec ^{2} \theta-1\right) \\
& (b-r \sec \theta)^{2}=r^{2}\left(\sec ^{2} \theta-1\right)=b^{2}-2 b r \sec \theta+r^{2} \sec ^{2} \theta \\
& r \sec \theta=\left(b^{2}+r^{2}\right) / 2 b \\
& x^{2}-\frac{b^{2}+r^{2}}{b} y+y^{2}+r^{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## APPENDIX C

ALTERNATE DESIGN

The design presented in this Appendix, and shown in schamatic form in Figure 1?, was the design which was used throughout most of the construction of the model. A great deal of difficulty was encountered in attempting to impress a positive and negative voltage from the same source on the end terminals of a potentiometer. To prevent excessive loading problems, it was found necessary to use two isolation amplifiers for each potentiometer. This was in addition to the previously reauired amplifier. There were too few operational amplifiers available to continue with this design, so the design presented in the main body of this study was devised.

It is believed that the design presented in this Appendix has certain advantages, especially in auacrant selection, and warrants further study.


Figure 12. Alternate Design

## APFENDIX D

## EXP ERIMENTAL RESTJITS

After the model was completed, a number of sample problems were solved in order to check the operation and accuracy of the device. The numerical results of these test problems are presented in this appendix.

In order to obtain the best results, all voltages were checked as accurately as possible with the available Heathkit and Hickock voltmeters. All bias networks were checked and carefully set. 6 All resistors were carefully set, and all counters were calibrated.

After general operation of the model was checked, four major sets of information were obtained. Each set of information consisted of one input LHA and Dec. The assumed latitude was then varied, in ten degree steps, between 0 and 50 degrees. The following data was obtained from the computer. In this data the $A$ of the Model is analogous to the LHA set on the vertical curved lines of the $T-1$. The $B$ of the model is analogous to the Dec. set on the horizontal

[^2]curved lines of the T-I. The Lat. is the assumed latitude and represents the number of degrees which the vector was rotated.

| Lat. | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 154 | 162 | 165 | 166 | 169 | 170 |
| B | 57 | 52 | 42 | 37 | 20 | 10 |
| A | 134 | 140 | 143 | 145 | 149 | 149 |
| B | 34 | 31 | 26 | 18 | 10 | x |
| A | 122 | 125 | 125 | 132 | 134 | 132 |
| B | 30 | 26 | 23 | 15 | x | x |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | 117 | 120 | 123 | 125 | 125 | 125 |
| B | 27 | 23 | 17 | 10 | x | x |

In the above data, Iat. is in degrees north, $A$ is in degrees LHA, and $B$ is in degrees Dec. Due to the limitation of 10 volts to $B$, it was not possible to take Dec. readings of less than 10 degrees.

It was found that $B$ did not vary linearly with changes of LHA, and A did not vary linearly with changes of Dec. This non-linear relationship was obtajned by measurements from Plate III. The existing variation of $A$ with changes of LHA, and ' variation of $B$ with changes of Dec. are included as Curve Sheet 1.

In order to eliminate as much random error as possible, the rough data above was plotted on Curve Sheets 2 and 3. A smooth curve was drawn for each set of information.

The same sets of information used for computation on the


Curve Sheet 1. A vs LHA Bvs DEC


Curve Sheet 2. A vs Lat Rough Data


Curve Sheet 3. B vs LAT Rough Data
model were then used for computation on the $T-1.7$ The comparison between the data obtained from the $T-1$ and that obtained from the model is included in Tables I through IV. Analysis of the Tables indicates that an accuracy of within approximately two degrees can be expected from the present model. Final design should have an accuracy of at least $\pm$ l minute, hut with the components used in construction of this model the two degree error is considered to be well within experimental limits.

[^3]TABLE I

## COMPARISON OF T-I AND MCDFL DATA INPUT IHA 156 DEC. $6 ?$

| LAT | T-1 |  |  | Model |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IFA | DEC | LHA | DEC |
| 10 | 161 | 52.6 | $16 ?$ | 51.0 |
| 20 | 165 | 42.0 | 165 | 41.0 |
| 30 | 167 | 30.0 | 167 | 30.0 |
| 40 | 168 | 20.0 | 168 | 10.5 |
| 50 | 160 | 12.0 | 169 | 07.0 |

TABIE II

COMPARISON OF T-1. AND MODEL DATA INPUT IHA 135 DEC. 36

| LAT | T-1 |  |  | Model |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LHA | DEC | LHA | DEC. |
| 10 | 140 | 31.0 | 140 | 31.5 |
| 20 | 143 | 25.5 | 143 | 26.0 |
| 30 | 145 | 10.0 | 146 | 20.0 |
| 40 | 146 | 10.0 | 148 | 10.0 |
| 50 | 147 | 00.0 | 149 | 00.0 |

TABLE III

COMPARISON OF T-1 AND MODEI DATA INPUT LHA 120"DEC. 31

| LAT |  | T-1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Computer |  |
| 10 | IHA | DEC | LHA | DEC |
| 20 | 125 | 25.6 | 124 | 26.5 |
| 30 | 127 | 21.0 | 128 | 21.5 |
| 40 | 130 | 15.0 | 131 | 15.5 |
| 50 | 132.5 | 08.0 | 133 | 08.0 |
|  | 132 | 01.5 | 133 | 00.0 |

## TABLE IV

COMPARISON OF T-I AND MODEL DATA INPUT LHA 116 DEC. 27

| IAT |  | $\mathrm{T}-1$ |  |  | Computer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | IHA | DEC | LHA | DEC |  |
| 20 | 120 | 23.0 | 120 | 23.0 |  |
| 30 | 123 | 17.0 | 122 | 17.0 |  |
| 40 | 125 | 11.0 | 124 | 10.0 |  |
| 50 | 126 | 05.0 | 125 | 03.0 |  |
|  | 126 | -2 | 125 | 00.0 |  |
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[^0]:    $3^{3}$ holding relay is provided so that as long as a spring loaded reset button is in the normal position, the relay will remajn activated. After the problem is completed, the button is depressed, the relay releases, and the computer is ready for a new problem.

[^1]:    It was felt that the added lines and relays for this operation would cause more confusion than they would do good, so this portion of the network is not included on any of the schematic diagrams.
    ${ }^{5}$ An alternate design for taking quadrant change into consideration was tried but found difficult to construct. A full discussion of this design is included as Appendix $C$.

[^2]:    GIt proved impossible to eliminate all drift in the bias of the Philbrick operational amplifjers. The bias network used for these amplifiers was substantially affected by slight changes in input or feedback resistance. Future model design should incorporate bias networks which are not affected by input and feedback resistance changes.

[^3]:    ${ }^{7}$ It was assumed that the $\mathrm{T}-1$ was accurate, although it had not been used for several years.

