Technical Bulletin No. T-39

October, 1950

# The Relation of Soil Texture to Soluble Salt Accumulation in 29 Irrigated Soils in Oklahoma

By HORACE J. HARPER and ORVILLE E. STOUT



OKLAHOMA AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION

Oklahoma Agricultural and Mechanical College

W. L. Blizzard, Director

Louis E. Hawkins, Vice Director

Stillwater, Oklahoma

# The Relation of Soil Texture to Soluble Salt Accumulation in 29 Irrigated Soils in Oklahoma

By HORACE J. HARPER and ORVILLE E. STOUT Respectively, Soils Scientist, and former Assistant Soils Scientist.

Increased interest in irrigation in Oklahoma raised a question as to the likelihood of soils being damaged by accumulation of salts contained in the irrigation water. Many potential sources of irrigation water in this State are known to carry a large quantity of various salts.

Experience gained under desert irrigation could not be used as a guide, since irrigation in Oklahoma is on a supplemental basis.

The study reported herein was made to determine the relation between soil texture and the accumulation of soluble salts in the soil profile from the use of irrigation water under Oklahoma conditions. This study was made in 1941 and 1943. Other studies of the soil problems involved in irrigation in Oklahoma are currently under way.

#### **METHODS**

Composite soil samples were taken from 29 areas of irrigated land and from adjacent areas of non-irrigated land similar to that of the fields which had been irrigated. Samples were collected either from 6-inch or 12-inch layers to a depth of 12 inches, and by 12-inch layers from 12 to 48 or 60 inches deep. Samples of the water used for irrigation were obtained when possible.

The soils were first analyzed only for total chloride and sulfate, since harmful concentrations of nitrate or carbonate have not been observed in the normal soils of this region. Then samples in which the chloride and sulfate content was found to be rather high were analyzed for total salts. Soil samples were also analyzed mechanically for percent of sand, silt, and clay, by the hydrometer method.

The water samples were analyzed for calcium, sodium, potassium, chloride, and sulfate content, and the milliequivalent ratio of calcium to sodium in each water was calculated.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Results of the chemical analyses of the soils are given in Table I, and Table II shows the results of the chemical analyses of the water. Table III presents the mechanical analyses of the soils. (See pages 11-27).

### Oklahoma Agricultural Experiment Station

In general, soluble salts did not accumulate in those profiles which varied in texture from sandy loam to clay loam when the water used for irrigation was low in total salt content. There was an increase of salts in the soil profile with increases in either salt content of the water, clay content of the soil, or both. Only one area was sampled where crop injury had resulted from the use of irrigation water high in soluble salts. Several soils showed that salts were accumulating, but rainfall had carried most of the soluble salts from the surface downward below a depth of 12 inches.

Conditions found in the various areas are discussed in the following paragraphs:

#### Alfalfa County

Land on the Paul LaBrue farm which had been irrigated for about 20 years from Powell Creek contained a relatively small quantity of soluble salts. A slight accumulation of salt had occurred in the 0- to 6-inch layer of two of the five profiles analyzed.

#### Beaver County

Soils on the C. A. Allen farm near Beaver showed no increase in salt content after nearly fifty years of irrigation with water from Clear Creek. One of the non-irrigated profiles contained a much larger quantity of soluble salts in the 12- to 48-inch layer than was present in similar layers on the irrigated land. The 20-inch average annual rainfall in this area appears to be sufficient to leach soluble salts out of the surface 12 inches of sandy land.

#### **Cimarron** County

Studies on the Julius Kohler farm near Boise City and the O. W. Tucker farm near Kenton show that the Cimarron River above Edith in Woods county is a satisfactory source of water for irrigation. Soils on the Kohler farm showed no appreciable increase in salt content after 9 years of irrigation. After 20 years of irrigation, the Tucker land showed no accumulation except for a large quantity of sulfate in the 24- to 48-inch layer of one of the four profiles analyzed.

Two of the surface soils on the Kohler land had a clay surface texture, and all of the others were a sandy loam. All profiles on the Tucker land were a clay loam in the surface horizon with the clay content gradually increasing with depth.

#### **Comanche County**

Two of the profiles from the Cameron Junior College farm contained a very high percentage of clay in the subsurface layers, but water



Bare land in a wheat field where soluble salts have accumulated in the surface soil in sufficient quantities to kill the wheat seedlings.

had not been applied long enough to cause an appreciable accumulation of salts. It had been irrigated only five years. The soils were quite variable in composition, and it is probable that a considerable quantity of salt was present in the subsoil of two of the three irrigated profiles before irrigation was started.

#### **Cotton County**

Land irrigated from Cache Creek on the Jess Monroe and E. H. Hickman farms near Temple contained more chloride and sulfate than the non-irrigated soil. The clay loam and clay texture of the surface soils were probably responsible for this accumulation. However, rainfall had removed the soluble salts from the surface 12 inches of all these soils except one profile on the Monroe farm, and the accumulation in the lower layers had not reached a concentration harmful to plant growth. The Monroe and Hickman tracts had been irrigated 9 and 10 years, respectively. More time is needed to determine whether salts would eventually accumulate in sufficient quantity to injure crops.

#### **Custer County**

Although Washita River water is high in calcium sulfate, there was no accumulation of soluble salts in soils irrigated from the Washita for nine years on the J. H. Kenny farm near Foss. The soils were quite variable. Some were high in clay content at the surface with a sandy subsoil, while other profiles had a sandy loam surface with a clay subsoil. This variation is often encountered in river deposits.

#### Garvin County

Apparently normal rainfall is sufficient to leach chlorides and sulfates out of a well drained soil in this area. Soils on the J. H. Watson farm near Paoli and the Doughtery and Whitaker farm near Wynnewood, irrigated 8 and 11 years, respectively, from wells in the Washita bottom, had not accumulated any soluble salts. The surface soils were clay loams and clays, with the subsurface layers varying from sandy loam to clay. Water movement in these soils apparently is enough to prevent salt accumulation.

#### Harper County

Soils in the low lands along the North Canadian river in this area often contain so much salt that salt grass is the dominant type of vegetation growing on them. Occasionally salts also are found in the lower part of soil profiles on higher areas of bottomland because leaching has been retarded, either due to a high watertable, or an impervious subsoil. This condition probably accounts for a rather high salt content in the lower horizons of one of the four irrigated profiles on the W. A. Plummer farm near Laverne. This land had been irrigated only four years, from wells. Three of the profiles had a large percentage of clay, and the fourth profile was very sandy.

On the Clarence Pile farm near May more salts were found in the subsoil of a non-irrigated field than in the subsoil of an area irrigated six years from wells. A similar condition was found on the J. C. Holmes farm near Laverne, after 10 years of irrigation from a well. There was no accumulation of soluble salts on the irrigated portions of either of these farms. The surface soils on the Holmes farm varied from sandy loam to clay, with sandy subsoils. The Pile profiles showed a much higher percentage of clay.

Land on the Lawrence Drake farm in the northwest corner of Harper County had been irrigated for 35 years from the Cimarron River. The soils vary from sandy loam to clay loam in the surface layers, with only a few subsurface layers which would be classified as clay. One of the profiles showed an excessive concentration of soluble salts, due to a high water table under the area. Water from a well on the Drake farm (not used for irrigation) showed 114.6 parts per million of calcium, 450 of sodium, 17.6 potassium, 1,552.9 chloride and 1085 of sulfate. The quantity of chloride in this water is too high to be used for irrigation in low rainfall areas.

#### Jackson County

Soils from the Frank Simpson farm near Altus are quite similar to many of the soils in the W. C. Austin irrigation project using water from the Altus-Lugert lake. The surface soils were principally clay



The white spots on the surface of the soil in this field are produced by an accumulation of soluble salts, resulting from the upward movement and subsequent evaporation of capillary water.

loams. One surface soil was a silt loam. The subsurface layers were high in clay content. Drainage water probably would move downward at a slower rate in these soils than in many of the samples collected.

Salt accumulation has been low during the 13 years the Simpson land has been irrigated, principally with water (from Altus-Lugent lake) containing slightly less than one thousand parts per million of total soluble salts. Two of the profiles apparently contained some soluble salts in the lower horizons before irrigation began. The salt content of the subsoil in this area is quite variable.

Irrigated soils on the H. C. McCaleb farm near Olustee had accumulated a considerable quantity of sulfate during 30 years of irrigation from Turkey Creek. Row orops had not been injured by salt accumulation, although the water was very high in both chloride and sulfate. The soils were mostly loams and clay loams. The 25-inch annual rainfall in this area apparently had been sufficient to remove soluble salts from the soil. Chlorides do not seem to accumulate in the soil profile in this particular area. (No sodium determinations were made on these samples, since the sodium-calcium ratio in the irrigation water is not wide enough to be favorable for an increase in the sodium content of the total exchange capacity of this soil.)

Two areas on the Will Moorehead land near Eldorado. which had been irrigated for 30 years from a gypsum sink, accumulated enough salts to kill alfalfa. (No data are available to show the amount of salt in the soil at the time the alfalfa was killed.) The quantity of chloride and sulfate was much higher in the irrigated profiles than in the nonirrigated portion of the field. The soils in both cases were loams at the surface, changing to clay loams or clay in the subsurface horizon. The water, of course, was high in total salts.

Soil samples taken in 1948 from the formerly irrigated portion of the Moorehead farm showed that rainfall averaging 24 inches annually from 1942 to 1947 had removed most of the soluble salts from the profile. Wheat made a normal growth on the land during 1947-48.

#### **Kay County**

No salts had accumulated in land at the Chilocco Indian School which had been irrigated for six years with water from a spring. The soil texture was favorable for water penetration, the water was low in total salts, and the higher rainfall in this area probably helped reduce any tendency toward salt accumulation.

#### **Major County**

Sandy land on the George Ball farm near Chester showed a very low concentration of soluble salts after 21 years of irrigation. The salt content of the well water applied to this land was very low.

#### **Okfuskee County**

Only one of the eight profiles analyzed from the Camp Brothers farm near Castle had a clay loam texture in both surface and subsoil. None of the samples showed an accumulation of soluble salts after 6 years of irrigation from a well and 28 years using water from the North Canadian River. (It had been necessary to discontinue use of the North Canadian water for irrigation because of increasing salinity due to oil field development.)

#### **Texas** County

No evidence of soluble salt accumulation was found on either the W. H. Ballinger land near Guymon or the Kimball and Chance farm near Texhoma. They had been irrigated for six and eight years, respectively, from wells. Both have soils favorable to removal of soluble salts, and the water sample from the Ballinger well showed a low content of total salts, with no sulfate.

Some salt was accumulating in sandy land with sandy subsoil on the Harold Gibson farm near Hardesty after seven years of irrigation with water from Palo Duro Creek. In this low-rainfall area this accumulation might eventually become toxic to plants. However, the



The irregular white patches in the soil profile are soluble salts that may move upward during dry weather, and accumulate in harmful quantities in the surface soil where a watertable occurs at a depth of less than five feet.

quantity of chloride in this soil is low; and the sulfates may be principally calcium sulfate, which is not harmful to plant growth.

#### Tillman County

A very fine sandy loam at the Southwest Oklahoma Cotton Station near Tipton showed no appreciable accumulation of soluble salts after being irrigated for 10 years with well water. This soil appears to be well drained, although more lime was present in the lower part of the profiles from the non-irrigated area. One of the subsoil samples from the irrigated area filtered very slowly when soluble salts were being determined, yet the sodium concentration in the exchange complex was low. The use of gypsum on soils watered with an unfavorable calcium-sodium ratio may be needed to improve the physical structure.

#### Woodward County

Samples were obtained from four Woodward County farms which had been irrigated from five to ten years with water low in soluble salts. In no case was there any appreciable accumulation of soluble salts in the soil. However, at the Western Oklahoma Hospital at Fort Supply water soluble salts were present in the subsoils of both irrigated and non-irrigated land. The important problem here is to prevent development of a water table shallow enough so capillary action would bring soluble salts from the subsurface to the surface layer in damaging amounts.

Only one of the irrigated profiles from the four Woodward County farms contained a clay loam layer in the subsurface horizons. The J. W. Cavin land near Mutual had a loam or sandy loam surface with a loamy subsoil and is therefore very suitable for irrigation. The samples from the Jess Hufford farm near Mooreland were very sandy; water losses normally are high on this type of land unless the water can be applied rapidly or through a sprinkler system. The soils on the Western Oklahoma Hospital farm and on the J. G. Young farm near Woodward were principally sandy loams or silt loams.

#### SUMMARY

Soil samples were collected from 29 farms in Oklahoma where irrigation water of varying chemical composition had been applied for varying periods of time. In most cases samples were also taken from adjacent areas of non-irrigated land. Samples of the water used for irrigation were obtained from 18 of these farms. The soils were analyzed chemically for chloride and sulfate content and mechanically to determine the percentage of sand, silt and clay in each sample. The water samples were analyzed for total calcium, sodium, chloride and sulfate content.

Although the ratio of sodium to calcium is quite unfavorable in many of the water samples collected, a harmful effect on the physical properties of the soil is not likely to occur since the total concentration of salts in these waters was low and all of the soils studied contained a very low percentage of exchangeable sodium and a very high percentage of exchangeable calcium.

Soluble salts had not accumulated in soil profiles varying in texture from sandy loam to clay loam when irrigation water low in total salt content was applied. As the salt content of the water and the clay content of the soil increased, more salt was found in the soil profile.

Only one area was sampled where crop injury had occurred from salt accumulation resulting from the use of irrigation water high in soluble salts.

Several soils showed that salts were accumulating; however, rainfall had carried most of the soluble salts from the surface downward below a depth of 12 inches.

## TABLE I.—Salt Content of Soil Samples from Irrigated and Nonirrigated Land at 29 Locations in Oklahoma.

Depth of			Sa	lt Conte	ent of S	oil (parts p	oer millior	1)		
or soil (inches)		Irr	igated Are	eas			Non	-irrigated	Areas	
(menes)	Сгор	Grown*	Chloride	Sulfate	Total salts	Сгор	Grown*	Chloride	Sulfate	Total salts
				ALFAI	LFA CO	DUNTY				
			Р	aul La	Brue l	Farm, Jet				
		Irriga	ted 20 y	ears wi	ith wat	er from 1	Powell C	reek		
0-6	(2	2)	548	145	151					
6-12			127	47	596					
12-24			26	0 4						
36-48			10	12						
0-6	(3	3)	5	20						
6-12	<b>V</b> -		14	13						
12-24			14	5						
24-36			17	4						
36-48			33	5						
				BEAV	ER CC	UNTY				
			С.	A. AE	len Far	m, Beaver	•			
		hrig	gated 50	years w	ith wa	ter from C	lear Cre	ek		
0.6	Alfalfa	(3)	18	26		Alfa	alfa (1)	4	28	
6-12			9	24				4	46	
12:24 91.96			10	20				4	- 0 - 28	
36-48			8	20				4	12	
0-6	Alfalfa	(1)	8	20		Pas	ture (1)	4	28	
6-12		` '	16	12			· /	40	32	
12-24			32	24				549	354	<b>160</b> 3
24-36			149	619	1396			801	900	2152
35-48			227	579	1472			426	927	2276
			C	IMAR	RON (	COUNTY				
			Julius	s Kohle	er Farn	n, Boise C	City			
		Irrigated	9 years	with v	water fi	rom the (	Limarron	River		
0-6	Alfalfa	(4)	12.5	36		Pas	ture (2)	16	42	
6-12			89.5	49	976			10	28	
24.86			19.5 51.5	20 80	878			0 75	30 40	644
36-48			14.5	50	388			20	36	011
			0.1		1 1	Tr				
		Irrigated	0.1	w. luo e with	cker Fa	rm, Kento	on Timarron	Diver		
0.0	A 1C- 1C-	inigated	1 20 yean		water 1			Ravel	10	
6.12	Anana	(3)	15	82 79		Ан	ана (1)	19	10	
12.24			16	37				12	16	
24-36			13	22				14	10	
36-48			16	28						
0.6	Alfalfa	(1)	16	60						
6-12			8	60						
12-24			4	40	1500					
27-30 86-49			78	2623	4728					
50-10			91	900Z	0092					

Depth	h	Sal	t Conte	nt of Soi	l (parts p	er million)			
Depth soil - of (inches) - Cro 	s) I	rrigated Are	as			Non-i	rrigated	Areas	
( mene	Crop Grown*	Chloride	Sulfate	Total salts	Crop	Grown*	Chloride	Sulfate	Total salts
		C	OMAN	CHE C	OUNTY				
		Cameron	Junio	College	Farm.	Lawton			
		Irrigate	d 5 ye	ars from	n a deep	well			
0-6	(3)	13	14						
6-12		14	49						
12-24		22	10						
24-30	(9)	10	14			(1)	4	4	
6-12	(4)	6	16			(1)	12	4	
12-24		15	31	298			4	4	
24-36		81	256	814			12	4	
36-48		220	437	175			40	20	
48-60		289	349	1852			91	128	1164
			сотт	ON CO	UNTY				
		E. H	I. Hick	man Far	m, Tem	ple			
	Irr	igated 10 y	ears w	ith wate	r from (	Cache Cre	ek	0.0	
0.6	Cotton (4)	35	16	1.	Cot	tton (2)	8	30	
0-12 10.04		40	39				8	0	
12-24		19	549				12	4	
36-48		999	350		•		12	4	
48-60		264	385				10	6	
		Jess	s Monr	oe Farm	, Templ	e			
	Ir	rigated 9 y	ears wi	th water	from C	ache Cree	k		
0.6	(2)	276	276	1932		(1)	18	37	100
6-12		88	72	580			54	38	212
12-24		199	259	1252			36	40	188
24-36		326	205	1298			54	61 77	244
30-48 48-60		425 506	240 148	1418			452	225	1384
10 00		500	OTIOT		TATAN		104		
		T	H Ke	nnev Fa	rm. Foss				
	Irri	gated 7 yes	ars wit	h water	from W	ashita Riv	/er		
0-6	(4)	8	28			(2)	8	28	
6-12	( )	10	43			( )	16	46	
12-24		5	57				16	14	
24-36		11	36				12	14	
36-48		. 8	20				16	12	
48-00		13	11				10	10	
	-		GARV	IN COU	JNTY				
	1	Oougherty a Irrigated l	and WI	hitaker I s with w	rarm, W ater fror	ynnewood n a well			
0-12	Vegetables (4	) 5	23		Vec	retables /2	2) 4	16	
12-24	and Fruit	′ Š	9		a	nd Fruit	4	12	
24-36		$\overline{5}$	5				8	6	
36-48		6	8				8	4	
48-60		9	5				12	4	

Depth		Sal	lt Contei	nt of Soi	l (parts p	er millio	n)		
soil		Irrigated Are	as			Nor	n-irrigated	Areas	
(inches	Crop Grown*	Chloride	Sulfate	Total salts	Crop	Grown*	Chloride	Sulfate	Total salts
		L	H Wa	tson Fa	rm. Paoli				
		Irrigated	8 years	with w	ater fron	i a well			
0-12	Vegetables	(4) 5	21		Veg	getables	(1) 4	36	
12-24		6	9				20	8	
24-36		16	4				6	4	
48-60		15	6				0	Ŧ	
			HARP	ER CO	UNTY				
		J. C	. Holn	ies Fari	n, Laveri	ne			
		Irrigated	10 years	s with v	vater from	n'a well	l		
0.6	Alfalfa (3)	5	28			(2)	4	20	
6-12		10	18				6 29	46	440
12-24		14 91	24				55 187	45 880	440
36-48		2	16				8	26	512
		C	larence	Pile Fa	ırm, May				
		Irrigated	6 years	with w	vater fro	m wells			
0-6	Alfalfa (5)	16	42			. (1)	32	40	
6-12		8	24				8	80	
12-24		6 10	19				40	40	1760
36-48		55	68	680			235	484	2024
		<b>W</b> . A	. Plum	ımer Fa	rm. Lave	rne			
		Irrigated	4 years	s with	water fro	m wells			
0-6	Alfalfa (2)	6	40			(2)	4	40	
6-12		12	30				4	22	
12-24		10	20				4	30	
24-30		20	10				42	53 45	324
0.6	(2)	14	46				3	45	314
6-12	(/	16	20						
12-24		74	116	796					
24-36		168	255	487					
30-48		217	325	1571	01.1.TU				
		Lawre	нак nce Dra	ake Fari	n. N. W.	Corner			
	In	igated 35 yea	ars with	n water	from the	e Cimari	ron River		
0-6	(5)	631	445	876					
6 - 12		13	6						
12-24		130	27	740					
24-36 86.48		130	191 998	1552 9968					
0-48	(1)	579	502	2636					
6-12	(*)	1654	2308	7132					
12-24		1649	996	5 <b>0</b> 28					
24-36		2528	835	4348					
36 - 48		1016	427	3188					

Depth of soil		Sa	lt Conte	nt of Soil	(parts 1	er million	)		
soil	Irr	rigated Are	as			Non-	irrigated	Areas	
(inches)	Crop Grown*	Chloride	Sulfate	Total salts	Crop	Grown*	Chloride	Sulfate	Total salts
			IACKS	ON COL	UNTY				
		н. (	C. McC	aleb Far	m, Olus	tee			
	Irriga	ted 30 ye	ears wi	th water	from 7	Furkey C	reek		
0-6	Cotton, (4)	8	25			(2)	8	10	
6-12	Grain,	9	24				8	8	
12-24	Sorghum,	158	521	1205			10	12	
24-30	ang Vecetables	34 40	093	1488			14	6	
48.60	vegetaines	51	887	1788			10	30	
		Will	Mooreh	ead Fari	n, Eldor	ado			
0.0	Irrig	ated 30 y	ears wi	th water	from (	sypsum S	ink		200
0-6	Affalfa (2)	123	209	072 1968		(2)	54 96	30	532
19.94		158	884	1528			20 20	24 28	490 846
21-36		207	1903	3180			27	46	500
56-48		1086	1329	<b>5364</b>			52	111	600
48-60		1554	2671	7184			65	192	1474
	Irri	Fra gated 13	ınk Sim years w	ipson Fa vith wate	rm, Altu er from	is Altus La	ke		
0-6	Cotton (5)	11	63						
6-12	Alfalfa	8	16						
12-24		23	144	1116					
24-36		20	147	1140					
30-48 48 60		45	150	760					
0.6	(2)	8	20	700					
6-12	(-)	16	28						
12-24		32	80						
24-36		91	334	988					
36-48 48-60		134 220	254 291	1168 1004					
10.00			KAY		ТҮ				
	I	Chilocco rrigated 6	Indian years	School with wat	Farm, <b>(</b> er from	Chilocco a spring			
0-12	Vegetables (4)	8	25			(2)	8	50	
12-24	0 (7	10	12			~ /	10	66	
24-36		17	7				12	18	
36-48		17	5				18	6	
-18-60		13	13				12	6	
		Geo	MAJC	DK COU.	NTY Cheste	r			
	I	rrigated 2	l years	with wa	ater from	n a well			
0-6	(6)	6	27						
6-12		6	21						
12-24		8	18						
24-36		10	8						
30-40 48-60		9	13						
		J							

14

Depth		Sa	lt Conte	nt of Soi	l (parts per million	)		
soil	Ir	rigated Are	as		Non-	irrigated	Areas	
(inches)	Crop Grown*	Chloride	Sulfate	Total salts	Crop Grown*	Chloride	Sulfate	Total salts
		(	OKFUS	KEE ĆO	DUNTY			
		Can	np Bro	thers Fa	rm, Castle			
		Irrigated	6 years	with w	ater from a well			
0-12	(4)	7	14		(2)	6	18	
12-24		5	15			6	14	
24 - 36		8	7			6	8	
36-48		6	5			6	6	
48-60		5	7			4	10	
		Can	np Bro	thers Fa	rm, Castle			
	In	rigated 28	years v	with wat	ter from the Nort	h Canadi	ian Riv	er**
0-12	(4)	4	26		(1)	8	20	
12-24	· · ·	6	11			4	8	
24-36		7	6			4	12	
36-48		10	4			4	12	
48-60		11	4			4	4	
			TEX	AS COU	NTY			
		W. N	. Ballir	iger Far	m. Guvmon			
		Irrigated	6 years	with w	vater from wells			
0-6	(4)	<b>1</b> 7	22		(2)	4	28	
6-12	(*)	12	24		(-)	8	16	
12-24		12	24			38	90	664
24-36		24	20			20	36	
36-48		48	50	696		18	26	
		Kimball	and C	hance F	arm Texhoma			
		Irrigated	8 vears	with v	vater from wells			
0.6	(5)	4	29		(2)	6	40	
6-12	(0)	4	20		(4)	12	16	
12-24		3	19			10	20	
24-36		5	8			92	67	
36-48		9	16			282	86	768
		Haro	ld Gibs	on Farr	n Hardesty			
	Irriga	ted 7 year	s with	water f	rom Palo Duro C	reek		
0-6	(3)	61	125	461	(1)	54	52	340
6-12	~ /	7	311	1005	X-7	18	61	344
12-24		22	204	862		36	39	176
24-36		29	361	809		18	54	<b>23</b> 2
36-48		25	510	1016		18	51	256

\*\*Irrigation from the North Canadian has been discontinued due to the increasing salinity arising from oil operations.

Dept	th			Sa	lt Conte	ent of S	oil (parts p	er m	illion	)		
of soil			Iı	rigated Are	eas				Non-	irrigated	Areas	
(inche	crop	G	rown*	Chloride	Sulfate	Total salts	Сгор	Grov	vn*	Chloride	Sulfate	Total salts
					титл	TAN C	OUNTV					
				Cotto	sub-s	tation	Farm Tir	nton				
				Irrigated	10 year	s with	water from	1 a '	well			
0-6	Cotto	n (	1)	18	်စ		Coti	ton	(1)	9	0	
6-12	Guillo.	- (	-/	0	ŏ				(-/	9	Ō	
12-18				27	0					18	0	
18-24				45	0					18	0	
24-30				18	24					9	0	
30-42				18	0					18	0	
42-50				18	60					18	0	
				W	OODV	VARD	COUNTY					
				J.	W. Cav	vin Far	m, Mutua	1				
			1	irrigated 3	5 years	with .	water from	ı a	well			
0-6	Alfalf	a (	4)	10	20				(2)	14	24	
6-12				8	18					6	24	
12-24				8	12					8	22	
24-36				5	.7					8	14	
36-48				8	15					14	20	
48-50				10	10					22 -	20	
				Jess	Huffor	d Farn	n, Moorela	nd	- 11			
				Irrigated	6 years	s with	water from	n we	ens			
0-6	(	6)		6	19							
6-12				10	18							
12-24				10	0							
24-30				6	14							
30-10				Ŭ	11							
				W	OODW	ARD	COUNTY					
	Invioato	a 1	1	Vestern O	klah m atan fu	a Hosp	oital Farm	, Su	pply		D :	
0.0	Inigate	u i	U yea	is with w		JHI WEI	is and the	NOI	in C	anadian	River	
0.6	Garde	n	(1)	4	20		Gar	den	(1)	8	40	
0-12				4	20					8	12	
94.86				4	4					12	20	
36-48				4	4					8	4	
48-56				8	32					12	8	
0-6	Garde	a i	(3)	10	22		Gar	den	(1)	4	32	
6-12			. /	5	37				· /	32	6	
12-24				52	107	818				243	101	1532
24-36				119	349	1245				73	88	1596
36-48				152	1619	3120				109	66	1360
48-56				88	609	2142				353	185	1524

16

Depth of		Sal	t Conte	nt of Soil	(parts per million	1)				
soil	Ir	rigated Area	as		Non-irrigated Areas					
(inches)	Crop Grown*	Chloride	Sulfate	Total salts	Crop Grown*	Chloride Sul	fate Total salts			
		J. G. Irrigated	Young	g Farm, s with w	Woodward ater_from_wells					
0-6 6-12 12-24 24-36	(3)	5 5 6 99	24 46 34 80	679	ater from wens					
36-48		22	30 26	652						

\* Numeral in parentheses indicates number of profiles averaged; data presented are averages of this number of profiles.

County and Institut	former of success	Ca/Na m. e.	Chemical composition (parts per million)					
	source or water	ratio*	Calcium	Sodium	Potassium	Chloride	Sulfate	
Comanche						-		
Cameron Junior College, Lawton	Deep well	2.24	33.2	17	10.5	6.1	Trace	
Cotton	•							
Jess Monroe, Temple	Cache Creek	.12	14.2	160	22.5	92.1	Trace	
Custer								
J. H. Kenney, Foss	Washita River	3.13	171.5	63	17.6	18.4	460	
Harper								
Clarence Pile, May	Well	.46	31.4	79	15.0	51.5	Trace	
J. C. Holmes, Laverne	Well	1.56	132.9	95	15.0	86.0	Trace	
W. A. Plummer, Laverne	Well	.24	20.0	98	16.3	62.0	Trace	
Jackson								
Frank Simpson, Altus	Altus-Lugert Lake	1.22	108.6	100	22.8	75.5	444	
Frank Simpson, Altus	Well**	.59	504.5	990	13.5	13.4	1115	
Will Moorehead, Eldorado	Gypsum sink	.58	813.1	1700	13.5	1788.5	2757	
F. C. McCaleb, Olustee	Turkey Creek	1.07	629. <b>8</b>	675	15.0	522.0	2066	
Kay								
Chilocco Indian School, Chilocco	Spring	.35	17.9	59	15.0	12.3	Trace	
Major								
George Ball, Chester	Well	.32	15.8	56	12.0	6.1	Trace	
George Ball, Chester	Well	1.07	27.0	29	12.0	12.3	Trace	
Texas								
W. N. Ballinger, Guymon	Well	.07	12.1	200	43.7	49.1	None	
Harold Gibson, Hardesty	Palo Duro Creek	3.73	229.8	71	20.2	47.9	823	
Tillman								
Cotton Station, Tipton	Well	.55	90.4	189	7.0	168.0	125	
Woodward								
Jess Hufford, Mooreland	Well	.36	18.2	59	13.5	15.3	Trace	
J. G. Young, Woodward	Lake	.18	18.7	120	22.8	43.0	Trace	
Western Oklahoma Hospital, Supply	North Canadian River	.27	19.0	81	13.5	19.9	Trace	

# TABLE II.—Chemical Composition of Water Used for Irrigation at Various Locations in Oklahoma.

\* Milliequivalent ratios used in making calculation.

\*\*Not extensively used for irrigation.

County, Name of Farmer, and Nearest Town	Irrigated or	Depth of soil	Mechan	ical com (percent)	position
and Nearest Town	non-irrigated*	(inches)	Sand	Silt	Clay
ALFALFA COUNTY					
		Paul La Jet	Brue Fa	arm	
	Irrigated (5)	0-6	36.9	52.0	11.0
		6-12	34.3	48.5	17.0
		12-24	47.4	84.9	17.6
		24-36	60.8	26.1	13.0
		<b>36-4</b> 8	46.8	<b>3</b> 8.6	14.4
BEAVER COUNTY		~			
		C. A. A Beaver	dlen Fa	rm	
	Irrigated (4)	0-6	41.8	34.0	24.1
		6-12	51. <b>3</b>	29.8	18.8
		12-24	53.3	26.9	19.7
		24-36	61.3	25.6	13.0
		<b>36-4</b> 8	76.6	16. <b>3</b>	7.0
	Non-Irrigated (1	) 0-6	38.4	<b>3</b> 7.0	24.6
	Ű,	6-12	52.4	33.6	14.0
		12-24	<b>66.4</b>	20.8	12.8
		24-36	84.4	8.6	7.0
		36-48	86.4	7.4	6.2
CIMARRON COUNTY					
		Julius K Boise	City	arm	
	Irrigated (4)	0-6	44.8	27.7	27.9
	0 ()	6-12	42.4	34.1	23.5
		12-24	46.3	32.7	20.9
		24-36	46.6	28.7	24.6
		<b>36-4</b> 8	<b>54.3</b>	23.4	22.2
	Non-Irrigated (2	) 0-6	63.5	21.7	14.8
	0 (	6-12	64.8	20.9	14.3
		12-24	67.6	11.4	21.0
		24-36	60.0	19.2	20.8
		36-48	56. <b>3</b>	27.0	16.7
		O. W. T Kentor	Fucker i	Farm	
	Irrigated (4)	0.6	87.0	888	90 7
	inigated (i)	6-12	327	33.5 84 8	894
		12-24	32.3	33.7	34.0
		24-36	32.7	34.1	33.1
		36-48	35.6	31.8	<b>3</b> 2.5
		O. W. T Kentor	ucker F	arm	
	Non-irrigated (1	) 0-6	<b>3</b> 9.2	31.8	<b>29</b> .0
	(*	6-12	35.2	29.0	35.8
		12-24	32.6	30.0	37.4
		36-48			

## TABLE III.—Mechanical Analyses of Soils from Irrigated and Nonirrigated Land at 29 Locations in Oklahoma.

County, Name of Farmer,	Irrigated or	Depth of soil	Mecha	nical com (percent)	position
and wearest rown	non-irrigateo -	(inches)	Sand	Silt	Clay
COTTON COUNTY					
		E. H. H Temp	ickman le	Farm	
	Irrigated (4)	0-6	14.8	46.6	<b>3</b> 8.5
	8 (-)	6-12	13.6	40.8	45.6
		12-24	18.5	37.3	49.1
		24-36	16.5	35.0	48.1
		36-48	15.9	41.1	40.8
		48-60	18.0	32.6	49.3
	Non invigoted (9)	0.6	90.0	504	20.6
	Non-Imgated (2)	6 19	177	45.4	49.0
		19.94	94.9	80.8	49.5
		94.96	24.4	34.3 91 0	40.0
		24-30	29.9	31.5 47 5	90.4 99.9
		30-40 48.60	20.5	47.5	34.4
		Jesse Mo	onroe F	arm	55.5
	Invigorated (9)	0.6	10.0	41.0	47.0
	Imgaled (2)	0-0 £ 19	12.0	41.0 90 0	4/.0
		19.94	11./	20.0 99 1	49.0
		94 86	1/ 9	20.1 90 K	45.0
		24-30	14.2	39.0 94.6	49.0
		19 60	96 1	99.0	92 E
		40-00	20.1	JO.4	35.5
	Non-irrigated (1)	0-6	11.4	53.6	35.0
		6-12	11.6	54.4	34.0
		12-24	29.6	47.0	23.4
		24-36	19.0	53.0	28.0
		30-48	11.4	60.0	28.6
		48-60	17.6	52.4	30.0
COMANCHE COUNTY					
COMANCHE COUNTI		Cameron Lawtor	Junior	College	Farm
	Irrigated (4)	0.6	90.0	88.0	80.0
	Ingated (1)	6.19	23.0 81.0	35.U 85.1	98.9
		19.94	84.9	85.8	30.4
		24.36	84.9	81.9	39.2
	Non iminated (1)	0.6	94.0	950	91.0
	Non-irrigated (1)	U-0 c 10	34.U	35.0	31.0
		0-12	24.4	30.0	39.6
		12-24	22.0	31.0	4/.0
		44-30	22.2	20.0	49.0

	or non-irrigated*		(inches)	Mechanical composition (percent)		
			(inches)	Sand	Silt	Clay
CUSTER COUNTY						
			J. H. Ke Foss	enney F	Farm	
	Irrigated (4)		0-6	32.2	36.8	<b>3</b> 0.9
			6-12	30.6	36.5	32.8
			12-24	35.8	33.9	30.2
			24-36	29.9	35.8	34.2
			36-48	26.4	38.3	35.3
			48-60	32.4	31.5	36.0
	Non-irrigated	(2)	0-6	38.5	36.9	<b>24</b> .6
			6-12	38.1	39.6	22.3
			12-24	44.4	<b>34</b> .7	20.9
			24- <b>3</b> 6	39.8	38.3	21.9
			36-48	32.3	40.1	27.6
			48-60	33.2	37.5	29.3
GARVIN COUNTY						
			Dougher Wynne	ty & V ewood	Whitaker	Farm
	Irrigated (4)		0-12	19.4	44.6	35.9
	0 ()		12-24	18.0	41.1	40.8
			24-36	28.5	36.1	35.3
			36-48	46.8	27.8	25.3
			48-60	42.4	29.8	27.8
	Non-irrigated	(2)	0-12	31.5	40.7	27.8
	0		12-24	27.3	42.9	29.8
			24-36	30.7	45.9	23.4
			36-48	39.8	34.5	25.7
			48-60	18.3	43.2	<b>3</b> 8.5
			J. H. W Paoli	atson 1	Farm	
	Irrigated (8)		0.12	57 1	80.5	19 8
	inigated (5)		19.94	55 4	814	18.9
			24.86	517	82.2	16.0
			36-48	39.8	34.6	25.6
			48-60	17.5	41.6	40.8
	Non-irrigated	(2)	0-12	35.8	42.2	<u>99 0</u>
		(-)	12-24	37.3	41.3	21.4
			24-36	36.4	37.1	26.5
			36-48	31.8	42.9	25.3
			48-60	0-10		-0.0

c	County, Name of Farmer, and Nearest Town	Irrigated or	Depth of soil	Mechanical composition (percent)		
	and Nearest Town	non-irrigateu	(inches)	Sand	Silt	Clay
HARPI	ER COUNTY					
			J. C. He Laveri	olmes Fa	arm	
		Irrigated (1)	0-6	30.8	14.8	54.4
			6-12	21.0	27.4	51.6
			12-24	52.0	12.4	35.6
			24-36	74.8	8.8	16.4
			36-48	82.0	7.6	10.4
		Irrigated (2)	0-6	64.5	18.0	17.5
		0 (7	6-12	73.5	16.1	10.4
			12-24	66.3	24.5	9.2
			24-36	82.0	10.2	7.8
			36-48	86.2	7.8	6.0
		Non-irrigated (2	<b>?)</b> 0-6	60.8	13.4	25.8
		0 (	6-12	47.6	14.1	38.3
			12-24	64.3	15.2	20.5
			24-36	61.7	14.8	<b>23.</b> 5
			Olarence May	Pile F	arm	
		Irrigated (5)	0.6	49 9	86 1	<u>90 0</u>
		inguica (0)	6-12	46.5	28.3	25.1
			12-24	43.1	26.2	80.5
			24-36	34.5	28.6	36.7
			36-48	28.1	31.6	40.2
		Non-irrigated (1	) 0-6	22.4	50.8	26.8
			6-12	42.8	17.4	39.8
			12-24	19.8	38.4	41.8
			24-36	20.6	27.6	51.8
			36-48	29.6	33.6	36.8
			W. A. H Laverr	Plummen ne	r Farm	
		Irrigated (9)	0.6	78.6	14.6	69
		illigateu (2)	6.19	70.0	90.0	0.0
			19.94	574	20.0	0.2 17 9
			94.86	65.0	24.0 94.5	10.5
			36-48	57.7	28.9	13.4
		Ivrigated (9)	0.6	88 1	41 5	95 4
		ingated (4)	6.19	35 5	41.5	20.4 98 0
			12-24	39.1	31.8	20.0
			24-36	14.2	43.6	42.9
			36-48	27.7	41.9	30.4
		Non-irrigated /9	) 0-6	45.4	81.0	286
			6-12	38.8	25.1	36.1
			12-24	30.7	27.0	42.8

County, Name of Farmer,	Irrigated or	Depth of soil	Mechanical composition (percent)				
a:			non-irrigated*	(inches)	Sand	Silt	Clay
HARPER	COUNTY	(continued)					
		(,		Lawrence Gate	Drake	e Farm	
			Irrigated (4)	0-6	43.7	40.9	15.3
			0	6-12	46.7	37.8	15.4
				12-24	44.0	38.2	17.7
				24-36	46.0	34.0	19.8
				<b>36-4</b> 8	<b>3</b> 5.6	43.3	21.0
			Irrigated (1)	0-6	27.8	45.6	26.6
			0 17	6-12	18.0	51.6	<b>3</b> 0.4
				12-24	22.2	52.0	25.8
				24-36	11.6	61.0	27.4
				36-48	28.2	48.0	<b>23</b> .8
JACKSON	COUNTY			Н. С. Мо	Caleb	Farm	
				Olustee	Olustee		
			Irrigated (4)	0-6	41.2	35.3	28.4
				6-12	38.0	35.6	26.3
				12-24	41.5	32.0	26.4
				24-36	45.5	30.9	23.5
				36-48	40.7	35.5	23.8
				48-60	43.9	30.2	25.8
			Non-irrigated	(8) 0.6	58 5	96 7	10.9
			Hon-Imgated	6-19	87.8	20.7 88 Q	98.8
				19.94	37.9	36.5	25.5
				24.86	80.9	86.0	94.8
				36.48	44 4	82.0	23.6
				48-60	43.9	30.9	25.2
				Will Moo Eldorad	rehead	l Farm	
			Irrigated (2)	0-6	41.7	42.5	15.8
				6-12	40.7	38.0	21.3
				12-24	40.6	33.8	25.6
				24-36	31.0	38.8	30.2
				36-48	26.7	43.5	28.8
				48-60	36.5	36.9	26.6
			Non-irrigated	(1) 0-6	35.8	416	22.6
				6-12	85.2	38.8	26.0
				12-24	87.2	39.2	28.6
				24-36	26.0	38.0	36.0
				36-48	27.4	31.8	40.8
				48-60	41.0	37.4	21.6
				Frank Sin Altus	npson	Farm	
			Irrigated (4)	0-6	26.0	44.4	29.6
				6-12	24.9	36.1	39.4
				12-24	21.4	30.1	48.4
				24-36	22.7	29.1	48.1
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

County, Name of Farmer,	Irrigated or		Depth of soil	Mechanical composition (percent)		
and Nearest Town	non-irrigated*	_	(inches)	Sand	Silt	Clay
IACKSON COUNTY (continued)						
<b>.</b>			36-48 48-60	22.4 21.3	30.0 30.2	47.5 48.5
	Non-irrigated	(2)	0-6	23.1	41.9	<b>3</b> 5.0
			6-12	20.6	30.9	48.5
			12-24	20.1	30.1 90.7	49.8
			36-48	23.4	31.0	45.6
			48-60	33.0	17.9	49.1
KAY COUNTY						_
			Chilocco Chiloc	o Indian .co	School	Farm
	Irrigated (4)		0-12	21.0	58.1	20.8
	ingucca (1)		12-24	21.4	55.9	22.6
			24-36	23.9	51.5	<b>24</b> .5
			36-48	23.4	52.7	23.9
			48-60	23.5	48.7	27.8
	Non-irrigated	(2)	0-12	21.2	56.6	22.2
			12-24	24.0	52.9	23.1
			24-30	30.4 97 5	47.0	22.0 94 4
			48-60	31.2	43.2	25.6
MAJOR COUNTY						
			George Chest	Ball Fa er	rm	
	Irrigated (5)		0-6	73.1	18. <b>9</b>	8.0
			6-12	70.7	19.1	10.1
			12-24	69.0	17.9	13.0
			24-36	79.0	11.1	9.8
			36-48 48-60	79.5 80.8	11.4	8.9 7.7
OKFUSKEE COUNTY						
			Camp Bro Castle	Camp Brothers Farm		
	Immigrated (1)		0.19	65.8	94 8	10.9
	IIIIgaicu (4)		12-24	62.3	27.2	10.4
			24-36	68.5	25.0	6.5
			36-48	71.5	21. <b>3</b>	7.1
			48-60	75.1	18.7	6.2
	Non-irrigated	(2)	0-12	70.8	22.1	7.1
	0		12-24	73.8	18.4	7.8
			24-36	82.9	11.3	5.8
			36-48	90.3	6.1	3.6
			48-00	88.9	0.9	4.2

County, Name of Farmer	Irrigated or		Depth of soil	Mechanical composition (percent)		
and wearest fown	non-nrigateu*		(inches)	Sand	Silt	Clay
OKFUSKEE COUNTY (contin	(hau					
OKIOSKEE COUNTI (COM	lucu)		Camp Bro	others F	arm	
			near	North C	anadian	River
	Irrigated (4)		0-12	35.1	45.8	19.1
			12-24	35.3	37.2	27.5
			24-36	34.4	40.1	25.4
			48-60	44.0 89 8	38.2	22.1
	Non-irrigated	(1)	0.12	494	45.0	19.6
	Non-ingated	(1)	12-24	35.4	40.8	23.8
			24-36	34.4	38.8	26.8
			36-48	56.6	35.6	7.8
			48-60	49.4	44.0	6.6
TEXAS COUNTY			Kimbal	and Cl	nance Fa	ırm
			Texh	oma		
	Irrigated (5)		0-6	47.6	32.8	19.5
			6-12 10.04	60.1	23.2	16.0
			24.36	52.7	27.5	19.0
			36-48	46.9	29.4	23.6
	Non-irrigated	(2)	0-6	39.6	38.6	21.8
	non miguted	(-)	6-12	36.7	37.3	26.0
			12-24	41.9	33.8	24.3
			24-36	40.6	34.3	25.1
			36-48	37.8	32.0	30.2
			Balling Guyn	er, W. N 10n	•	
	Irrigated		0-6	37.8	37.0	25.1
			6-12	42. <b>9</b>	32.5	24.5
			12-24	58.7	26.0	15.2
			24-36	71.7	18.8	9.5
			36-48	70.6	18.5	10.9
	Non-irrigated	(2)	0-6	50.0	31.8	18.2
			0-12 19-94	58.8	24.4	15.0
			24-36	61.0	13.0	26.0
			36-48	64.2	9.3	26.5
			Harold Hard	Gibson	Farm	
	Irrigated (2)		0.6		41 8	07.0
	migated (3)		6-19	16.7	50.4	32.8
·			12-24	36.3	44.6	19.0
			24-36	80.4	12.6	7.0
			36-48	80.8	14.6	4.5
	Non-irrigated	(1)	0-6	38.4	38.8	22.8
	č		6-12	33.0	43.8	23.2
			12-24	71.2	18.0	10.8
			24-36	70.8	16.2	13.0
			30-48	80.0	8.6	5.4

County, Name of Farmer	Irrigated or	Depth of soil		Mechanical composition (percent)		
and Nearest 10wn	non-irrigated		(menes)	Sand	Silt	Clay
TILLMAN COUNTY	<i>,</i>					
			Cotton Tipto	Substatio n	on Farm	L
	Irrigated (1)		0-6	46.8	33.6	19.4
			6-12	49.0	29.8	21.2
			12-18	48.8	30.4	20.8
			10-24 94-30	44.4 50.2	28.8	20.0
			30-36	50.6	34.2	16.4
			36-42	50.2	30.4	19.4
	Non-irrigated	(1)	0-6	54.2	30.3	15.5
		(-/	6-12	47.6	36.6	15.8
			12-18	52.2	27.4	20.4
			18-24	50.2	30.0	19.8
			24-30	51.0	29.8	19.2
			30-30 36-49	47.0	28.6	21.8
			50 12	17.0	10.0	40.0
WOODWARD COUNTY			T XA7 4	Coulo Fo		
			J. W. W. Mutu	avin ra	1.111	
	Irrigated (4)		0-6	46.8	39.8	13.3
•			6-12	46.6	39.9	13.0
			12-24	48.7	36.9	14.3
			24-20	40.0	40.0 90.0	19.1
			48-56	36.0	38.5	25.4
	Non irrigated	(9)	0.6	43 0	49 0	18.9
	Non-Infigated	(4)	6-12	39.5	46.8	13.7
			12-24	49.4	35.2	15.4
			24-36	48.7	<b>3</b> 3.4	17.9
			36-48	28.8	49.0	22.2
			48-56	28.7	45.1	26.2
			Jess Hu Moor	ifford Fa eland	ırm	
	Irrigated (6)		0-6	81.2	11.2	7.5
	0 (7		6-12	82.2	10.2	7.5
			12-24	84.9	8.5	6.5
			24-36	83.8	8.6	7.2
			20-40	01.0	0.5	0.7
			Western Farm Supp	n Oklaho ly	oma Ho	spital
	Irrigated (4)		0-6	39.1	41.7	18.6
			6-12	38.9	41.5	19.5
			12-24	39.3	40.2	20.5
			24-36	45.7	34.5	19.7
			36-48	48.0	30.5	21.4
			40-90	55.2	49.4	17.5

County, Name of Farmer	Irrigated		Depth of soil	Mechanical composition (percent)		
and Nearest Town	non-irrigated*		(inches)	Sand Silt		Clay
WOODWARD COUNTY (	(continued)					
	Non-irrigated	(2)	0-6	41.3	48.3	10.4
	8	(/	6-12	38.5	45.4	16.1
			12-24	37.0	44.6	18.4
			24-36	51.2	32.1	16.7
			36-48	55.5	20.7	23.8
			48-56	56.0	26.8	17.2
			J. G. Yo Wood	oung Farm lward		
	Irrigated (3)		0-6	58.3	29.8	11.8
	8		6-12	60.1	25.2	14.6
			12-24	58.8	25.2	15.9
			24-36	55.2	26.5	18.2
			36-48	52.4	30.0	17.6

•Numeral in parentheses indicates number of profiles sampled; data presented are averages for this number of profiles.