

OMNI-FLEX PIPE JOINT SEALS

Final Report December 1996

Gary Williams, P.E. Product Evaluation Engineer

Research, Development & Technology Transfer Oklahoma Department of Transportation 200 N.E. 21st Street, Room 2A2 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105 (405) 521-2671 FAX (405) 521-6528

TP885 .W56 1996 OKDOT Library

C

TECHNICAL REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

FITWANCK 96(01) 1. REDENT SCLAD. 4. THE AND SUBTILE 5. REPORT DATE "OMNI-FLEX PIPE JOINT SEALS" 5. REPORTATE "OMNI-FLEX PIPE JOINT SEALS" 5. REPORTANC ORGANIZATION REPORT "Gary G. Williams, P.E. 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT S. REFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 10. WORKUMT NO. Oklahoma Department of Transportation Research, Development & Technology Transfer 200 N.E. 21st Street, Room 2A2 0. Kilaboma City, Oklahoma 7105 201 S. Department of Transportation Final Report Research, Development & Technology Transfer 11. ONTRACT OR GRANT NO. 203 N.E. 21st Street, Room 2A2 0. Kilahoma City, Oklahoma 7105 215 S. Metropolitan Avenue, Suite 700 11. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED 715 S. Metropolitan Avenue, Suite 700 14. SPONEORING AGENEY AME AND ADDRESS 11. SUPPLEMENTARY MOTES 14. SPONEORING AGENEY CODE 74. SUPPLEMENTARY MOTES 14. SPONEORING AGENEY CODE 76. ABSTRACT In June, 1991 The Oklahoma Department of Transportation (ODOT) had 16 Omni-Flex pipe joint seals installed in the joints of the sine scien on ODOT Project ACIR-44-2(326):333 in Tula. 76. Contractor RCP sections on this project were sealed with mastic. Masteric, have been monitored sine fored contractor onano ensited of insporting the		2 COVER		1.0.0	CONTRACTOR OF THE	
A TICLANG SUBTICE COMNI-FLEX PIPE JOINT SEALS" Common second secon	FHWA/OK 96(01)	2. 00121	ANNENT ACCESSION NO.	3. H	ECIPIENT S CATALOG NO.	
4. THE AND SUBTILE 4. REPORT DATE "OMNI-FLEX PIPE JOINT SEALS" 5. REPORTING ORGANIZATION CODE "C. Williams, P.E. 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT S. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 10. WORK UNIT NO. Oklahoma Department of Transportation Item 2700 Research, Development & Technology Transfer 10. WORK UNIT NO. 200 N.E. 21st Street, Room 2A2 11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. Oklahoma Dity, Oklahoma 73105 11. TYPE OF REPORT AND FERDOD COVERED T1: S. Department of Transportation Final Report Performed in cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration. 11. CONTRACT OR GRANT AND FERDOD COVERED Final Report June, 1991 The Oklahoma Department of Transportation (DDDT) had 16 Omni-Flex pipe joint scals installed in the joints of a reinforced concrete pipe (RCP) section on ODDT Project ACIR-44-2(326)(233 in Tulsa. Individual sections of flep joints scale installed. In the joints of a reinforced concrete pipe (RCP) section on ODDT Project ACIR-44-2(326)(233 in Tulsa. Individual sections of a swidely used in Oklahoma. The J joints scaled with Onni-Flex seals, and an adjacent 16 joints of the same size RCP, section has shown any unusual amounts of leakage or other detrioration which could be considered to be related to performance of the joint serve doon after RCP was laid. Pipe where the leakage occurred had diancers of 2.7 m (108 in) and 2.4 m (96 in). It was not dear whether or not performance of the domin-Flex seals wave been used on unarrous other ODDT pro						
"OMNI-FLEX PIPE JOINT SEALS" December, 1996 *. AUTHOR(S) 6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Oklahoma Department of Transportation Research, Development & Technology Transfer 200 N.E. 21st Street, Room 2A2 10. WORK UNIT NO. Item 2700 201 N.E. 21st Street, Room 2A2 11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. Volkahoma City, Oklahoma 73105 11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. 21 Seponsona Cabeer wake and aDDRESS 13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED 11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. 12. Supportation of Transportation Federal Highway Administration 715 S. Metropolitan Avenue, Suite 700 14. SPONSORING AGENEY WAKE MAD ADDRESS 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Performed in cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration. 14. SPONSORING AGENEY WAKE MAD ADDRESS 14. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Performed in cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration. 14. SPONSORING AGENEY CODE 15. MERTINE 15. MERTINE Section on ODOT Project ACIR 44-2(326)233 in Tulsa. Individual sections of RCP had diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and lengths of 2.4 m (8 ft) in the area where the seals were installed. The remainder of the joints between RCP sections on this project were sealed with Mastic. Astic is approved for use on ODOT projects, and is widely used in Oklahoma. 15. In El 6 joints soft are inforced concrete pipe (RCP) section on ODOT Projects, where ODOT contractors have been allowed to use them by change order. Results have been astisfactory in all but one case, where unsually large numbers of leaking joints were observed soon after RCP was laid. Pipe where the leakage occurred had diameters of 2.7 m (108 in) and 2.4 m (96 in). It was not clear whether or not performance of the Onni-Flex seales wa	4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE			5. R	EPORT DATE	
OMNI-FLEX PIPE JOINT SEALS E. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE ** AUTHOR(S) #. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT Gary G. Williams, P.E. *. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT **. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 10. WORK UNIT NO. Oklahoma Department of Transportation Item 2700 Research, Development & Technology Transfer 10. WORK UNIT NO. Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105 11. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED Final Report Till CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. Oklahoma City, Okk 73108 11. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED **. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Final Report Performed in cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration. ** **. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Ferformed in cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration. **. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Ferformed in cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration. **. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Ferformed in cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration. **. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Ferformed in cooperation of 0DOT Project ACIR-44-2(326)233 in Tulsa. Individual sections of RCP had individual sections of Is project where or ODOT projects, and is widely used in Oklahoma. ** ABSTRACT <				Dec	cember, 1996	
7. AUTHOR(S) Gary G. Williams, P.E. 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Oklahoma Department of Transportation Research, Development & Technology Transfer 200 N.E. 21st Street, Room 2A2 10. WORK UNIT NO. Item 2700 9. DEVelopment & Technology Transfer 200 N.E. 21st Street, Room 2A2 11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105 12. SPONSOME ADE ADDRESS 11. S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration 11. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED Final Report Junc, 1991 - October, 1995. 11. S. METOPOIltan Avenue, Suite 700 Oklahoma City, OK 73108 14. SPONSORING AGENEY CODE 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Performed in cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration. 14. SPONSORING AGENEY CODE 17. ABSTRACT In June, 1991 The Oklahoma Department of Transportation (ODOT Project ACIR Ad4-2(326)233 in Tulsa. Individual sections of RCP had diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and lengths of 2.4 m (8 ft) in the area where the seals were installed. The remainder of the joints between RCP sections on this project were scaled with mastic. Mastic is approved for use on ODOT projects, and is widdy used in Oklahoma. The 16 joints sealed with Omni-Flex seals, and an adjacent 16 joints of the same size RCP, sealed with Mastic, have been monitored since project completion. Monitoring consisted of inspecting the joints from inside the pipe. Neither section has shown any unusual amounts of leakage or other deterioration which could be considered to be related to performance of the then by change order. Results have been astificatory in all but one case, where unusually large numbers of leaking joints were observed soon after RCP was latid. Pipe where the leakage occurct had diameters of	"OMNI-FLEX PIPE JOINT SEALS"			6. P	ERFORMING ORGANIZATION	CODE
7. AUTOR(5) Gary G. Williams, P.E. 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS OKIAhoma Department of Transportation 10. WORK UNIT NO. 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS OKIAhoma Department of Transportation 10. WORK UNIT NO. 9. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION NAME AND ADDRESS 10. WORK UNIT NO. 200 N.E. 21st Street, Room 2A2 11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105 11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. 12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS 13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED Final Report June, 1991 The Oklahoma Avenue, Suite 700 14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE Oklahoma City, OK 73108 14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE Performed in cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration. 14. ABSTRACT 11. June, 1991 The Oklahoma Department of Transportation (ODOT) had 16 Omni-Flex pipe joint seals installed in the joints of a reinforced concrete pipe (RCP) section on ODOT Project ACIR.44-2(26)(23) in Tulka. Individual sections of RCP had diameters of 1. Sin (60 in) and lengths of 2. 4 m (8 ft) in the area where the seals were installed. The remainder of the joints between RCP sections on this project were scaled with mastic. Mastic is approved for use on ODOT projects, and is widely used in Oklahoma. The 16 joints sealed with Omni-Flex seals, and an adjacent 16 joints of the same size RCP, scaled with Mastic, have been monintored since project completion. Monitoring consist						
Gary G. Williams, P.E. 10. WORK UNIT NO. 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 10. WORK UNIT NO. Oklahoma Department of Transportation Research, Development & Technology Transfer 200 N.E. 21st Street, Room 2A2 11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105 12. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED 12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS 13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED 17. S. METUPOIDITAL AVENUE, Suite 700 14. SPONSORING AGENCY AME AND ADDRESS 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE 19. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE 10. Contract of 1.5 m (60 in) and lengths of 2.4 m (8 ft) in the area where the seals were installed. The remainder of the joints between RCP sections on this project were sealed with mastic. Mastic is approved for use on ODOT projects, and is widely used in Oklahoma. 15. Joints sealed with Omni-Flex seals, and an adjacent 16 joints of the same size RCP, sealed with Mastic, have been monitored since project completion. Monitoring consisted of inspecting the joints for unubers of leakage or other deterioration which could be considered to be related to performance of the joint seals. The 16 joints sealed have been used on numerous other ODOT projects, where ODOT contractors have been allowed to use them by change order. Results have been satisfactory in all but one case, where unusually large numbers of leakage or other deterioration which could be considered to be related to performance of the joint seals. <t< td=""><td>7. AUTHOR(S)</td><td></td><td></td><td>8. P</td><td>ERFORMING ORGANIZATION</td><td>REPORT</td></t<>	7. AUTHOR(S)			8. P	ERFORMING ORGANIZATION	REPORT
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 10. WORK UNIT NO. Oklahoma Department of Transportation Item 2700 Research, Development & Technology Transfer 10. WORK UNIT NO. 200 N.E. 21 st Street, Room 2A2 Vitem 2700 Vitem 2000 N.E. 21 st Street, Room 2A2 Vitem 2700 Vitem 2000 N.E. 21 st Street, Room 2A2 Vitem 2700 Vitem 2000 N.E. 21 st Street, Room 2A2 Vitem 2700 Vitem 2000 N.E. 21 st Street, Room 2A2 Vitem 2700 Vitem 2000 N.E. 21 st Street, Room 2A2 Vitem 2700 Vitem 2000 N.E. 21 st Street, Room 2A2 Vitem 2700 Vitem 2000 N.E. 21 st Street, Room 2A2 Vitem 2700 Vitem 2000 N.E. 21 st Street, Room 2A2 Vitem 2700 Vitem 2000 N.E. 21 st Street, Room 2A2 Vitem 2700 Vitem 2000 N.E. 21 st Street, Room 2A2 Vitem 2700 Vitem 2000 N.E. 21 st Street, Room 2A2 Vitem 2700 Vitem 2000 N.E. 21 st Street, Room 2A2 Vitem 2000 N.E. 21 st Street, Room 2A2 Vitem 2000 N.E. 21 st Street, Room 2A2 Vitem 2000 N.E. 21 st Street, Room 2A2 Vitem 2000 N.E. 21 st Street, Room 2A2 Vitem 2000 N.E. 21 st Street, Room 2A2 Vitem 2000 N.E. 21 st Street, Room 2A2 Vitem 2000 N.E. 21 st Street, Room 2A2 Vitem 2	Gary G. Williams, P.E.					
E. FERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS It. WORK UNIT NO. Oklahoma Department of Transportation Item 2700 Research, Development & Technology Transfer Item 2700 200 N.E. 21st Street, Room 2A2 It. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105 Is. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED Final Report Junc, 1991 - October, 1995. 715 S. Metropolitan Avenue, Suite 700 It. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Performed in cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration. It. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Ferrored in cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration. It. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Performed in cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration. It. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Performed in cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration. It. sponsorning adeency cooperation on ODOT Project ACIR.44-2(326)(233 in Tulsa. Individual sections of RCP had diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and lengths of 2.4 m (8 ft) in the area where the seals were installed. The remainder of the joints sealed with Omni-Flex seals, and an adjacent 16 joints of the same size RCP, sealed with Mastic, have been monitored since project completion. Monitoring consisted of inspecting the joints from inside the pipe. Neither section has shown any unusual amounts of leakage or other ODOT projects, where ODOT contractors have been allowed to use them by change order. Results have been satisfactory in all but one case, where unusually large numbers of leaking joints were observed soon after RCP was laid. Tipe wher						
Oklahoma Department of Transportation Itcm 2700 Research, Development & Technology Transfer It. CONTRACT OR GRAFT NO. 200 N.E. 218 Street, Room 2A2 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105 12: SPONSORING AGENEVY NAME AND DODRESS 13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED VI.S. Department of Transportation Final Report Federal Highway Administration Final Report Federal Highway Administration Final Report VI.S. Department of Transportation (ODOT) had 16 Omni-Flex pipe joint seals installed in the joints of a reinforced concrete pipe (RCP) section on ODOT Project ACIR-44-2(326)233 in Tulas. Individual sections of the joints of a reinforced concrete pipe (RCP) section on ODOT Project ACIR-44-2(326)233 in Tulas. Individual sections of the joints between RCP sections on this project were sealed with mastic. Mastic is approved for use on ODOT projects, and is widely used in Oklahoma. The 16 joints sealed with Omni-Flex seals, and an adjacent 16 joints of the same size RCP, scaled with Mastic, have been monitored since project completion. Monitoring consisted of inspecting the joints from inside the pipe. Neither section has shown any unusual announts of leakage or other deterioration which could be considered to be related to performance of the omit-Flex seals have been used on numerous other ODOT projects. Where ODOT contractors have been allowed to use them by change order. Results have been satisfactory in all but one case, where unusually large numbers of leaking joints were observed soon after RCP was laid. Pipe where the leakage occurred had diameters of 2.7 m (108 in) and 2.4 m (96 in). It was not clear whether or not perform	9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND AD	DRESS		10. V	VORK UNIT NO.	
Research, Development & Technology Transfer 11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. 200 N.E. 21st Street, Room 2A2 0X4ahoma City, Oklahoma 73105 V12 SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS 13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED Fial Report Final Report US. Department of Transportation Final Report Federal Highway Administration 14. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME 715 S. Mercure, Suite 700 00 Oklahoma City, OK 73108 14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE Terformed in cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration. Te ABSTRACT In June, 1991 The Oklahoma Department of Transportation (ODOT) had 16 Omni-Flex pipe joint seals installed in the joints of a reinforced concrete pipe (RCP) section on ODOT Project ACIR-44-2(326)233 in Tulsa. Individual sections of RCP had diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and lengths of 2.4 m (8 ft) in the area where the seals were installed. The remainder of the joints between RCP sections on this project were sealed with mastic. Mastic is approved for use on ODOT projects, and is widely used in Oklahoma. The 16 joints sealed with Omni-Flex seals, and an adjacent 16 joints of the same size RCP, sealed with Mastic, have been monitored since project completion. Monitoring consisted of inspecting the joints from inside the pipe. Neither section has shown any unusual amounts of leakage or other deterioration which could be considered to be performance of the joint seals. OTHE Oklahoma Department of Transportation (DODT projects, where unus	Oklahoma Department of Transpor	tation		Iter	n 2700	
200 N.E. 21st Street, Room 2A2 11. CONTRACT OR GRAFT NO. Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105 15. SUPPLEMENTA NO PERIOD COVERED 12. SPONSORING AGENCY ANAL MON ADDRESS 13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED VI.S. Department of Transportation Final Report Federal Highway Administration June, 1991 - October, 1995. 715 S. Metropolitan Avenue, Suite 700 Oklahoma City, OK 73108 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Performed in cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration. 16. ABSTRACT In June, 1991 The Oklahoma Department of Transportation (ODOT) had 16 Omni-Flex pipe joint scals installed in the joints of a reinforced concrete pipe (RCP) section on ODOT Project ACIR-44-2(326)233 in Tulas. Individual sections of RCP had diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and lengths of 2.4 m (8 ft) in the acal where the scals were installed. The remainder of the joints between RCP sections on this project were scaled with mastic. Mastic is approved for use on ODOT projects, and is widely used in Oklahoma. 15. 10 foint scaled with Omni-Flex seals, and an adjacent 16 joints of the same size RCP, scaled with Mastic, have been monitored since project completion. Monitoring consisted of inspecting the joints from inside the pipe. Neither section has shown any unusual amounts of leakage or other deterioration which could be considered to be related to performance of the monit-Flex seals have been used on numerous other ODDT projects. Where ODOT contractors have been allowed to use them by change order. Results have been satisfactory in all but one case, where unusually large numbers of 1 ckning joints were observed soon after RCP was laid. Pipe w	Research, Development & Technol	ogy Tran	sfer			
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105 12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration 715 S. Metropolitan Avenue, Suite 700 Oklahoma City, OK 73108 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Performed in cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration. 16. ABSTRACT In June, 1991 The Oklahoma Department of Transportation (ODOT) had 16 Omni-Flex pipe joint seals installed in the joints of a reinforced concrete pipe (RCP) section on ODOT Project ACIR-44-2(326)233 in Tulsa. Individual sections of RCP had diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and lengths of 2.4 m (8 ft) in the area where the seals were installed. The remainder of the joints between RCP sections on this project were sealed with mastic. Mastic is approved for use on ODOT projects, and is widely used in Oklahoma. The 16 joints sealed with Omni-Flex seals, and an adjacent 16 joints of the same size RCP, scaled with Mastic, have been monitoring consisted of inspecting the joints from inside the pipe. Neither section has shown any unusual amounts of leakage or other deterioration which could be considered to be related to performance of the Omni-Flex seals have been satisfactory in all but one case, where unusually large numbers of leaking joints were observed soon after RCP was laid. Pipe where the leakage occurred had diameters of 2.7 m (108 in) and 2.4 m (96 in). It was not clear whether or not performance of the Omni-Flex seals was a factor in causing the leaks. Bootange order. Results have been satisfactory in all but one case, where unusually large numbers of leaking joints were observed soon after RCP was laid. Pipe where the lea	200 N.E. 21st Street, Room 2A2	0,		11. 0	CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	
13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED 12. SPONSORING AGENEY NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration 715 S. Metropolitan Avenue, Suite 700 Oklahoma City, OK 73108 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Performed in cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration. 16. ABSTRACT In June, 1991 The Oklahoma Department of Transportation (ODOT) had 16 Omni-Flex pipe joint seals installed in the joints of a reinforced concrete pipe (RCP) section on ODOT Project ACIR-44-2(326)233 in Tulsa. Individual sections of RCP had diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and lengths of 2.4 m (8 ft) in the area where the seals were installed. The remainder of the joints between RCP sections on this project were sealed with mastic. Mastic is approved for use on ODOT projects, and is widely used in Oklahoma. The 16 joints sealed with Omni-Flex seals, and an adjacent 16 joints of the same size RCP, scaled with Mastic, have been monitored since project completion. Monitoring consisted of inspecting the joints from inside the pipe. Neither section has shown any unusual amounts of leakage or other deterioration which could be considered to be related to performance of the Omni-Flex seals have been used on numerous other ODOT projects, where ODOT contractors have been allowed to use them by change order. Results have been satisfactory in all but one case, where unusually large numbers of leaking joints were observed soon after RCP was laid. Pipe where the leakage occurred had diameters of 2.7 m (108 in) and 2.4 m (96 in). It was not clear whether or not performance of tho Omni-Flex seals was a factor in causing the leaks. <td>Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105					
I. I. THE OF MARCH AND ADDRESS I. S. DEPARTMENT OF Transportation Federal Highway Administration 715 S. Metropolitan Avenue, Suite 700 Oklahoma City, OK 73108 I. S. Deprementation I. June, 1991 - October, 1995. I. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE II. June, 1991 - October, 1995. II. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE II. June, 1991 - October, 1995. II. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE II. June, 1991 - October, 1995. II. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE II. June, 1991 - October, 1995. II. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE III. June, 1991 - October, 1995. III. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE III. June, 1991 - October, 1995. III. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE III. June, 1991 - October, 1995. III. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE III. June, 1991 - October, 1995. III. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE III. June, 1991 - October, 1995. III. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE III. June, 1991 - October, 1995. III. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE III. June, 1991 - October, 1995. III. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE III. June, 1991 - October, 1995. III. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE III. June, 1991 - October, 1995. III. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE III. June, 1991 - October, 1995. III. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE III. JUNE, 1991 - October, 1995. III. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE III. JUNE, 1991 - October, 1995. III. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE III. JUNE, 1991 - October, 1995. III. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE III. JUNE, 1991 - October, 1995. III. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE III. JUNE, 1991 - October, 1995. III. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE III. JUNE, 1991 - October, 1995. III. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE III. JUNE, 1991 - October, 1995. III. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE III. JUNE, 1991 - October, 1995. III. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE IIII. JUNE, 1991 - October, 1995. III. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE IIII. JUNE, 1991 - October, 1995. III. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE IIII. JUNE, 1991 - October, 1995. III. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE IIII. JUNE, 1991 - October, 1995. III. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE IIII. JUNE, 1991 - October, 1995. III. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE IIIII. JUNE, 1991 - October, 1995. IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	12 SPONSODING ACENCY NAME AND ADDRESS			12 7		DCOVERED
D.3. Department of Transportation That Report Prederal Highway Administration June, 1991 - October, 1995. 715 S. Metropolitan Avenue, Suite 700 Nue, 1991 - October, 1995. Oklahoma City, OK 73108 Image: 1991 The Oklahoma Department of Transportation (ODOT) had 16 Omni-Flex pipe joint seals installed in the joints of a reinforced concrete pipe (RCP) section on ODOT Project ACIR-44-2(326)233 in Tulsa. Individual sections of RCP had diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and lengths of 2.4 m (8 ft) in the area where the seals were installed. The remainder of the joints between RCP sections on this project were sealed with mastic. Mastic is approved for use on ODOT projects, and is widely used in Oklahoma. The 16 joints sealed with Omni-Flex seals, and an adjacent 16 joints of the same size RCP, sealed with Mastic, have been monitored since project completion. Monitoring consisted of inspecting the joints from inside the pipe. Neither section has shown any unusual amounts of leakage or other deterioration which could be considered to be related to performance of the joint seals. Omni-Flex seals have been used on numerous other ODOT projects, where ODOT contractors have been allowed to use them by change order. Results have been satisfactory in all but one case, where unusually large numbers of leaking joints were observed soon after RCP was laid. Pipe where the leakage occurred had diameters of 2.7 m (108 in) and 2.4 m (96 in). 11. was not clear whether or not performance of the Onni-Flex seals was a factor in causing the leaks. Based on overall performance of the Onni-Flex devices, ODOT's Research. Development, and Technology Transfer Section recommended their approval as a contractor option, with possib	IIS Donortmont of Transportation		-	Ein	1 Doport	DCOVERED
Federal Highway Administration June, 1991 - October, 1995. 715 S. Metropolitan Avenue, Suite 700 Oklahoma City, OK 73108 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Performed in cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration. 16. ABSTRACT In June, 1991 The Oklahoma Department of Transportation (ODOT) had 16 Onni-Flex pipe joint seals installed in the joints of a reinforced concrete pipe (RCP) section on ODOT Project ACIR-44-2(326)233 in Tulsa. Individual sections of RCP had diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and lengths of 2.4 m (8 ft) in the area where the seals were installed. The remainder of the joints between RCP sections on this project were sealed with mastic. Mastic is approved for use on ODOT projects, and is widely used in Oklahoma. The 16 joints sealed with Omni-Flex seals, and an adjacent 16 joints of the same size RCP, sealed with Mastic, have been monitored since project completion. Monitoring consisted of inspecting the joints form inside the pipe. Neither section has shown any unusual amounts of leakage or other deterioration which could be considered to be related to performance of the joint seals. Omni-Flex seals have been used on numerous other ODOT projects, where ODOT contractors have been allowed to use them by change order. Results have been satisfactory in all but one case, where unusually large numbers of leaking joints were observed soon after RCP was laid. Pipe where the leakage occurred had diameters of 2.7 m (108 in) and 2.4 m (96 in). 14. Were WORDS 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Preformed pipe joint seals, Gaskets, Reinforced 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT 17. KEY WORDS 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT		l		rm		205
715 S. Metropolitan Avenue, Suite 700 Oklahoma City, OK 73108 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Performed in cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration. 16. AESTRACT In June, 1991 The Oklahoma Department of Transportation (ODOT) had 16 Onuni-Flex pipe joint seals installed in the joints of a reinforced concrete pipe (RCP) section on ODOT Project ACIR-44-2(326)233 in Tulsa. Individual sections of RCP had diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and lengths of 2.4 m (8 ft) in the area where the seals were installed. The remainder of the joints between RCP sections on this project were sealed with mastic. Mastic is approved for use on ODOT projects, and is widely used in Oklahoma. The 16 joints sealed with Omni-Flex seals, and an adjacent 16 joints of the same size RCP, sealed with Mastic, have been monitored since project completion. Monitoring consisted of inspecting the joints from inside the pipe. Neither section has shown any unusual amounts of leakage or other deterioration which could be considered to be related to performance of the joint seals. Omni-Flex seals have been used on numerous other ODOT projects, where ODOT contractors have been allowed to use them by change order. Results have been satisfactory in all but one case, where unusuly large numbers of leaking joints were observed soon after RCP was laid. Pipe where the leakage occurred had diameters of 2.7 m (108 in) and 2.4 m (96 in). It was not clear whether or not performance of the Omni-Flex seals was a factor in causing the leaks. Based on overall performance of the Omni-Flex devices, ODOT's Research, Development, and Technology Transfer Section recommended their approval as a contractor option, with possible exceptions for large RCP sizes. The Omini-Flex Projec	Federal Highway Administration			Jun	e, 1991 - October, 19	195.
Oklahoma City, OK 73108 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Performed in cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration. 16. ABSTRACT In June, 1991 The Oklahoma Department of Transportation (ODOT) had 16 Omni-Flex pipe joint seals installed in the joints of a reinforced concrete pipe (RCP) section on ODOT Project ACIR-44-2(326)233 in Tulsa. Individual sections of RCP had diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and lengths of 2.4 m (8 ft) in the area where the seals were installed. The remainder of the joints between RCP sections on this project were scaled with mastic. Mastic is approved for use on ODOT projects, and is widely used in Oklahoma. The 16 joints sealed with Omni-Flex seals, and an adjacent 16 joints of the same size RCP, sealed with Mastic, have been monitored since project completion. Monitoring consisted of inspecting the joints from inside the pipe. Neither section has shown any unusual amounts of leakage or other deterioration which could be considered to be related to performance of the joint seals. Omni-Flex seals have been used on numerous other ODOT projects, where ODOT contractors have been allowed to use them by change order. Results have been satisfactory in all but one case, where unusually large numbers of leaking joints were observed soon after RCP was laid. Pipe where the leakage occurred had diameters of 2.7 m (108 in) and 2.4 m (96 in). It was not clear whether or not performance of the Onni-Flex seals was a factor in causing the leaks. Based on overall performance of the Onni-Flex devices, ODOT's Research, Development, and Technology Transfer Section recommended their approval as a contractor option, with possible exceptions for large RCP sizes. The Omni-Flex Project Panel approved their use on RCP with diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and sm	715 S. Metropolitan Avenue, Suite	700		14. 5	PONSORING AGENCY CODE	
15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Performed in cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration. 16. ABSTRACT In June, 1991 The Oklahoma Department of Transportation (ODOT) had 16 Omni-Flex pipe joint seals installed in the joints of a reinforced concrete pipe (RCP) section on ODOT Project ACIR-44-2(326)233 in Tulsa. Individual sections of RCP had diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and lengths of 2.4 m (8 ft) in the area where the seals were installed. The remainder of the joints between RCP sections on this project were sealed with mastic. Mastic is approved for use on ODOT projects, and is widely used in Oklahoma. The 16 joints sealed with Omni-Flex seals, and an adjacent 16 joints of the same size RCP, sealed with Mastic, have been monitored since project completion. Monitoring consisted of inspecting the joints from inside the pipe. Neither section has shown any unusual amounts of leakage or other deterioration which could be considered to be related to performance of the joint seals. Omni-Flex seals have been used on numerous other ODOT projects, where ODOT contractors have been allowed to use them by change order. Results have been satisfactory in all but one case, where unusually large numbers of leaking joints were observed soon after RCP was laid. Pipe where the leakage occurred had dianeters of 2.7 m (108 in) and 2.4 m (96 in). It was not clear whether or not performance of the Omni-Flex seals was a factor in causing the leaks. Based on overall performance of the Omni-Flex devices, ODOT's Research, Development, and Technology Transfer Section recommended their approval as a contractor option, with possible exceptions for large RCP sizes. The Omni-Flex Project Panel approved their use on RCP with diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and smaller. A specification providing for their use has been in	Oklahoma City, OK 73108					
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Performed in cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration. 16. ABSTRACT In Junc, 1991 The Oklahoma Department of Transportation (ODOT) had 16 Omni-Flex pipe joint seals installed in the joints of a reinforced concrete pipe (RCP) section on ODOT Project ACIR-44-2(326)233 in Tulsa. Individual sections of RCP had diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and lengths of 2.4 m (8 ft) in the area where the seals were installed. The remainder of the joints between RCP sections on this project were scaled with mastic. Mastic is approved for use on ODOT projects, and is widely used in Oklahoma. The 16 joints scaled with Omni-Flex seals, and an adjacent 16 joints of the same size RCP, scaled with Mastic, have been monitored since project completion. Monitoring consisted of inspecting the joints from inside the pipe. Neither section has shown any unusual amounts of leakage or other deterioration which could be considered to be related to performance of the joint seals. Omni-Flex seals have been used on numerous other ODOT projects, where ODOT contractors have been allowed to use them by change order. Results have been satisfactory in all but one case, where unusually large numbers of leaking joints were observed soon after RCP was laid. Pipe where the leakage occurred had diameters of 2.7 m (108 in) and 2.4 m (96 in). It was not clear whether or not performance of the Omni-Flex seals was a factor in causing the leaks. Based on overall performance of the Omni-Flex devices, ODOT's Research, Development, and Technology Transfer Section recommended their approval as a contractor option, with possible exceptions for large RCP sizes. The Omni-Flex Project Panel approved their use on RCP with diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and smaller. A specification providing for their use has been in	2					
Performed in cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration. 16. ABSTRACT In June, 1991 The Oklahoma Department of Transportation (ODOT) had 16 Onni-Flex pipe joint seals installed in the joints of a reinforced concrete pipe (RCP) section on ODOT Project ACIR-44-2(326)233 in Tulsa. Individual sections of RCP had diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and lengths of 2.4 m (8 ft) in the area where the seals were installed. The remainder of the joints between RCP sections on this project were sealed with mastic. Mastic is approved for use on ODOT projects, and is widely used in Oklahoma. The 16 joints sealed with Omni-Flex seals, and an adjacent 16 joints of the same size RCP, sealed with Mastic, have been monitored since project completion. Monitoring consisted of inspecting the joints from inside the pipe. Neither section has shown any unusual amounts of leakage or other deterioration which could be considered to be related to performance of the joint seals. Omni-Flex seals have been used on numerous other ODOT projects, where ODOT contractors have been allowed to use them by change order. Results have been satisfactory in all but one case, where unusually large numbers of leaking joints were observed soon after RCP was laid. Pipe where the leakage occurred had diameters of 2.7 m (108 in) and 2.4 m (96 in). It was not clear whether or not performance of the Omni-Flex seals was a factor in causing the leaks. Based on overall performance of the Omni-Flex devices, ODOT's Research, Development, and Technology Transfer Section recommended their approval as a contractor option, with possible exceptions for large RCP sizes. The Omni-Flex Project Panel approved their use on RCP with diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and smaller. A specification providing for their use has been included in the "ODOT 1996 Standard Specifications for Highw	15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					0.1 × 1.4 ×
16. ABSTRACT In Junc, 1991 The Oklahoma Department of Transportation (ODOT) had 16 Omni-Flex pipe joint seals installed in the joints of a reinforced concrete pipe (RCP) section on ODOT Project ACIR-44-2(326)233 in Tulsa. Individual sections of RCP had diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and lengths of 2.4 m (8 ft) in the area where the seals were installed. The remainder of the joints between RCP sections on this project were sealed with mastic. Mastic is approved for use on ODOT projects, and is widely used in Oklahoma. The 16 joints sealed with Omni-Flex seals, and an adjacent 16 joints of the same size RCP, sealed with Mastic, have been monitored since project completion. Monitoring consisted of inspecting the joints from inside the pipe. Neither section has shown any unusual amounts of leakage or other deterioration which could be considered to be related to performance of the joint seals. Omni-Flex seals have been used on numerous other ODOT projects, where ODOT contractors have been allowed to use them by change order. Results have been satisfactory in all but one case, where unusually large numbers of leaking joints were observed soon after RCP was laid. Pipe where the leakage occurred had diameters of 2.7 m (108 in) and 2.4 m (96 in). It was not clear whether or not performance of the Onni-Flex seals was a factor in causing the leaks. Based on overall performance of the Onni-Flex devices, ODOT's Research, Development, and Technology Transfer Section recommended their approval as a contractor option, with possible exceptions for large RCP sizes. The Omni-Flex Project Panel approved their use on RCP with diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and, shaller. A specification providing for their use has been included in the "ODOT 1996 Standard Specifications for Highway Construction" published in October, 1996. 17. Key WORDS	Performed in cooperation with the Fede	eral Highw	ay Administration.			
16. ABSTRACT In June, 1991 The Oklahoma Department of Transportation (ODOT) had 16 Omni-Flex pipe joint seals installed in the joints of a reinforced concrete pipe (RCP) section on ODOT Project ACIR-44-2(326)233 in Tulsa. Individual sections of RCP had diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and lengths of 2.4 m (8 ft) in the area where the seals were installed. The remainder of the joints between RCP sections on this project were sealed with mastic. Mastic is approved for use on ODOT projects, and is widely used in Oklahoma. The 16 joints sealed with Omni-Flex seals, and an adjacent 16 joints of the same size RCP, sealed with Mastic, have been monitored since project completion. Monitoring consisted of inspecting the joints from inside the pipe. Neither section has shown any unusual amounts of leakage or other deterioration which could be considered to be related to performance of the joint seals. Omni-Flex seals have been used on numerous other ODOT projects, where ODOT contractors have been allowed to use them by change order. Results have been satisfactory in all but one case, where unusually large numbers of leaking joints were observed soon after RCP was laid. Pipe where the leakage occurred had diameters of 2.7 m (108 in) and 2.4 m (96 in). It was not clear whether or not performance of the Omni-Flex seals was a factor in causing the leaks. Based on overall performance of the Omni-Flex devices, ODOT's Research, Development, and Technology Transfer Section providing for their use on RCP with diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and, shaller. A specification providing for their use has been included in the "ODOT 1996 Standard Specifications for Highway Construction" published in October, 1996. 17. KEY WORDS 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT 19. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT)			•			
In June, 1991 The Oklahoma Department of Transportation (ODOT) had 16 Omni-Flex pipe joint seals installed in the joints of a reinforced concrete pipe (RCP) section on ODOT Project ACIR-44-2(326)233 in Tulsa. Individual sections of RCP had diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and lengths of 2.4 m (8 ft) in the area where the seals were installed. The remainder of the joints between RCP sections on this project were sealed with mastic. Mastic is approved for use on ODOT projects, and is widely used in Oklahoma. The 16 joints sealed with Omni-Flex seals, and an adjacent 16 joints of the same size RCP, sealed with Mastic, have been monitored since project completion. Monitoring consisted of inspecting the joints from inside the pipe. Neither section has shown any unusual amounts of leakage or other deterioration which could be considered to be related to performance of the joint seals. Omni-Flex seals have been used on numerous other ODOT projects, where ODOT contractors have been allowed to use them by change order. Results have been satisfactory in all but one case, where unusually large numbers of leaking joints were observed soon after RCP was laid. Pipe where the leakage occurred had diameters of 2.7 m (108 in) and 2.4 m (96 in). It was not clear whether or not performance of the Omni-Flex seals was a factor in causing the leaks. Based on overall performance of the Omni-Flex devices, ODOT's Research, Development, and Technology Transfer Section recommended their approval as a contractor option, with possible exceptions for large RCP sizes. The Omni-Flex Project Panel approved their use on RCP with diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and smaller. A specification providing for their use has been included in the "ODOT 1996 Standard Specifications for Highway Construction" published in October, 1996. 17. Key wordos 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT	16. ABSTRACT					
joints of a reinforced concrete pipe (RCP) section on ODOT Project ACI24-2(326)233 in Tulsa. Individual sections of RCP had diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and lengths of 2.4 m (8 ft) in the area where the seals were installed. The remainder of the joints between RCP sections on this project were sealed with mastic. Mastic is approved for use on ODOT projects, and is widely used in Oklahoma. The 16 joints sealed with Omni-Flex seals, and an adjacent 16 joints of the same size RCP, sealed with Mastic, have been monitored since project completion. Monitoring consisted of inspecting the joints from inside the pipe. Neither section has shown any unusual amounts of leakage or other deterioration which could be considered to be related to performance of the joint seals. Omni-Flex seals have been used on numerous other ODOT projects, where ODOT contractors have been allowed to use them by change order. Results have been satisfactory in all but one case, where unusually large numbers of leaking joints were observed soon after RCP was laid. Pipe where the leakage occurred had diameters of 2.7 m (108 in) and 2.4 m (96 in). It was not clear whether or not performance of the Omni-Flex seals was a factor in causing the leaks. Based on overall performance of the Omni-Flex devices, ODOT's Research, Development, and Technology Transfer Section recommended their approval as a contractor option, with possible exceptions for large RCP sizes. The Onni-Flex Project Panel approved their use on RCP with diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and smaller. A specification providing for their use has been included in the "ODOT 1996 Standard Specifications for Highway Construction" published in October, 1996. 17. KEY WORDS 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Preformed pipe joint seals, Gaskets, Reinforced Concrete Pipe (RCP), Mastic, Omni-Flex.	In June, 1991 The Oklahoma Departme	ent of Trai	sportation (ODOT) had 1	6 On	ni-Flex pipe joint seals	installed in the
RCP had diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and lengths of 2.4 m (8 ft) in the area where the seals were installed. The remainder of the joints between RCP sections on this project were scaled with mastic. Mastic is approved for use on ODOT projects, and is widely used in Oklahoma. The 16 joints sealed with Omni-Flex seals, and an adjacent 16 joints of the same size RCP, scaled with Mastic, have been monitored since project completion. Monitoring consisted of inspecting the joints from inside the pipe. Neither section has shown any unusual amounts of leakage or other deterioration which could be considered to be related to performance of the joint seals. Omni-Flex seals have been used on numerous other ODOT projects, where ODOT contractors have been allowed to use them by change order. Results have been satisfactory in all but one case, where unusually large numbers of leaking joints were observed soon after RCP was laid. Pipe where the leakage occurred had diameters of 2.7 m (108 in) and 2.4 m (96 in). It was not clear whether or not performance of the Omni-Flex seals was a factor in causing the leaks. Based on overall performance of the Omni-Flex devices, ODOT's Research, Development, and Technology Transfer Section recommended their approval as a contractor option, with possible exceptions for large RCP sizes. The Omni-Flex Project Panel approved their use on RCP with diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and shaller. A specification providing for their use has been included in the "ODOT 1996 Standard Specifications for Highway Construction" published in October, 1996. 17. KEY WORDS 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Preformed pipe joint seals, Gaskets, Reinforced Unclassified 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT 19. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT) 20. SECURITY CLASSI	joints of a reinforced concrete pipe (RC	CP) section	n on ODOT Project ACIR-	-44-2((326)233 in Tulsa. Indi	vidual sections of
the joints between RCP sections on this project were scaled with mastic. Mastic is approved for use on ODOT projects, and is widely used in Oklahoma. The 16 joints sealed with Omni-Flex seals, and an adjacent 16 joints of the same size RCP, scaled with Mastic, have been monitored since project completion. Monitoring consisted of inspecting the joints from inside the pipe. Neither section has shown any unusual amounts of leakage or other deterioration which could be considered to be related to performance of the joint seals. Omni-Flex seals have been used on numerous other ODOT projects, where ODOT contractors have been allowed to use them by change order. Results have been satisfactory in all but one case, where unusually large numbers of leaking joints were observed soon after RCP was laid. Pipe where the leakage occurred had diameters of 2.7 m (108 in) and 2.4 m (96 in). It was not clear whether or not performance of the Omni-Flex seals was factor in causing the leaks. Based on overall performance of the Omni-Flex devices, ODOT's Research, Development, and Technology Transfer Section recommended their approval as a contractor option, with possible exceptions for large RCP sizes. The Omni-Flex Project Panel approved their use on RCP with diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and smaller. A specification providing for their use has been included in the "ODOT 1996 Standard Specifications for Highway Construction" published in October, 1996. 17. KEY WORDS 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Preformed pipe joint scals, Gaskets, Reinforced Unclassified 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT 19. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT) 20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS PAGE) 21. NO. OF PAGES 2. PRICE 19. SECURITY CLASS	RCP had diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and	of 2.4 m (8 ft) in the area w	vhere	the seals were installed.	. The remainder of	
is widely used in Oklahoma. The 16 joints sealed with Omni-Flex seals, and an adjacent 16 joints of the same size RCP, sealed with Mastic, have been monitored since project completion. Monitoring consisted of inspecting the joints from inside the pipe. Neither section has shown any unusual amounts of leakage or other deterioration which could be considered to be related to performance of the joint seals. Ommi-Flex seals have been used on numerous other ODOT projects, where ODOT contractors have been allowed to use them by change order. Results have been satisfactory in all but one case, where unusually large numbers of leaking joints were observed soon after RCP was laid. Pipe where the leakage occurred had diameters of 2.7 m (108 in) and 2.4 m (96 in). It was not clear whether or not performance of the Omni-Flex seals was a factor in causing the leaks. Based on overall performance of the Omni-Flex devices, ODOT's Research, Development, and Technology Transfer Section recommended their approval as a contractor option, with possible exceptions for large RCP sizes. The Omni-Flex Project Panel approved their use on RCP with diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and smaller. A specification providing for their use has been included in the "ODOT 1996 Standard Specifications for Highway Construction" published in October, 1996. 17. KEY WORDS 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Preformed pipe joint seals, Gaskets, Reinforced Concrete Pipe (RCP), Mastic, Omni-Flex. 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT 19. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT) 20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT) 21. NO. OF PAGES 2. PRICE 19. SECURITY CLASSIF. 20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT) 20. SECURITY CLASS	the joints between RCP sections on this	vere scaled with mastic. N	lastic	is approved for use on	ODOT projects, and	
The 16 joints sealed with Omni-Flex seals, and an adjacent 16 joints of the same size RCP, scaled with Mastic, have been monitored since project completion. Monitoring consisted of inspecting the joints from inside the pipe. Neither section has shown any unusual amounts of leakage or other deterioration which could be considered to be related to performance of the joint seals. Omni-Flex seals have been used on numerous other ODOT projects, where ODOT contractors have been allowed to use them by change order. Results have been satisfactory in all but one case, where unusually large numbers of leaking joints were observed soon after RCP was laid. Pipe where the leakage occurred had diameters of 2.7 m (108 in) and 2.4 m (96 in). It was not clear whether or not performance of the Omni-Flex seals was a factor in causing the leaks. Based on overall performance of the Omni-Flex devices, ODOT's Research, Development, and Technology Transfer Section recommended their approval as a contractor option, with possible exceptions for large RCP sizes. The Omni-Flex Project Panel approved their use on RCP with diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and smaller. A specification providing for their use has been included in the "ODOT 1996 Standard Specifications for Highway Construction" published in October, 1996. 17. KEY WORDS 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Preformed pipe joint seals, Gaskets, Reinforced Concrete Pipe (RCP), Mastic, Omni-Flex. 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT No restrictions. This publication is available from the office of Research, Development & Technology Transfer, Oklahoma DOT. 0klahoma DOT. 19. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT) 20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS PAGE) 21. No. OF PAGES 2. PRICE </td <td>is widely used in Oklahoma.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	is widely used in Oklahoma.					
monitored since project completion. Monitoring consisted of inspecting the joints from inside the pipe. Neither section has shown any unusual amounts of leakage or other deterioration which could be considered to be related to performance of the joint seals. Onnii-Flex seals have been used on numerous other ODOT projects, where ODOT contractors have been allowed to use them by change order. Results have been satisfactory in all but one case, where unusually large numbers of leaking joints were observed soon after RCP was laid. Pipe where the leakage occurred had diameters of 2.7 m (108 in) and 2.4 m (96 in). It was not clear whether or not performance of the Onnii-Flex seals was a factor in causing the leaks. Based on overall performance of the Onnii-Flex devices, ODOT's Research, Development, and Technology Transfer Section recommended their approval as a contractor option, with possible exceptions for large RCP sizes. The Omnii-Flex Project Panel approved their use on RCP with diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and smaller. A specification providing for their use has been included in the "ODOT 1996 Standard Specifications for Highway Construction" published in October, 1996. 17. KEY WORDS 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Preformed pipe joint seals, Gaskets, Reinforced Concrete Pipe (RCP), Mastic, Omnii-Flex. 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT No restrictions. This publication is available from the office of Research, Development & Technology Transfer, Oklahoma DOT. 21. NO. OF PAGES 2. PRICE 19. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT) 20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS PAGE) 21. NO. OF PAGES 2. PRICE	The 16 joints sealed with Omni-Flex se	als, and a	adjacent 16 joints of the	same	size RCP, sealed with M	Mastic, have been
shown any unusual amounts of leakage or other deterioration which could be considered to be related to performance of the joint seals. Omni-Flex seals have been used on numerous other ODOT projects, where ODOT contractors have been allowed to use them by change order. Results have been satisfactory in all but one case, where unusually large numbers of leaking joints were observed soon after RCP was laid. Pipe where the leakage occurred had diameters of 2.7 m (108 in) and 2.4 m (96 in). It was not clear whether or not performance of the Omni-Flex seals was a factor in causing the leaks. Based on overall performance of the Omni-Flex devices, ODOT's Research, Development, and Technology Transfer Section recommended their approval as a contractor option, with possible exceptions for large RCP sizes. The Omni-Flex Project Panel approved their use on RCP with diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and smaller. A specification providing for their use has been included in the "ODOT 1996 Standard Specifications for Highway Construction" published in October, 1996. 17. KEY WORDS 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Preformed pipe joint seals, Gaskets, Reinforced Concrete Pipe (RCP), Mastic, Omni-Flex. 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT 19. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT) 20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT) 21. NO. OF PAGES 2. PRICE 19. SECURITY CLASSIF. 20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS PAGE) 21. NO. OF PAGES 2. PRICE	monitored since project completion. M	onitoring	consisted of inspecting the	e joint	s from inside the pipe.	Neither section has
joint seals. Omni-Flex seals have been used on numerous other ODOT projects, where ODOT contractors have been allowed to use them by change order. Results have been satisfactory in all but one case, where unusually large numbers of leaking joints were observed soon after RCP was laid. Pipe where the leakage occurred had diameters of 2.7 m (108 in) and 2.4 m (96 in). It was not clear whether or not performance of the Omni-Flex seals was a factor in causing the leaks. Based on overall performance of the Omni-Flex devices, ODOT's Research, Development, and Technology Transfer Section recommended their approval as a contractor option, with possible exceptions for large RCP sizes. The Omni-Flex Project Panel approved their use on RCP with diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and smaller. A specification providing for their use has been included in the "ODOT 1996 Standard Specifications for Highway Construction" published in October, 1996. 17. KEY WORDS 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Preformed pipe joint seals, Gaskets, Reinforced Concrete Pipe (RCP), Mastic, Omni-Flex. 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT No restrictions. This publication is available from the office of Research, Development & Technology Transfer, Oklahoma DOT. 0klahoma DOT. 19. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT) 20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS PAGE) 21. NO. OF PAGES 2. PRICE	shown any unusual amounts of leakage	or other d	eterioration which could b	be con	sidered to be related to	performance of the
Omni-Flex seals have been used on numerous other ODOT projects, where ODOT contractors have been allowed to use them by change order. Results have been satisfactory in all but one case, where unusually large numbers of leaking joints were observed soon after RCP was laid. Pipe where the leakage occurred had diameters of 2.7 m (108 in) and 2.4 m (96 in). It was not clear whether or not performance of the Omni-Flex seals was a factor in causing the leaks. Based on overall performance of the Omni-Flex devices, ODOT's Research, Development, and Technology Transfer Section recommended their approval as a contractor option, with possible exceptions for large RCP sizes. The Omni-Flex Project Panel approved their use on RCP with diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and smaller. A specification providing for their use has been included in the "ODOT 1996 Standard Specifications for Highway Construction" published in October, 1996. 17. KEY WORDS 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Preformed pipe joint seals, Gaskets, Reinforced Concrete Pipe (RCP), Mastic, Omni-Flex. 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT No restrictions. This publication is available from the office of Research, Development & Technology Transfer, Oklahoma DOT. 2. PRICE 19. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT) 20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS PAGE) 21. NO. OF PAGES 2. PRICE	ioint seals.					
them by change order. Results have been satisfactory in all but one case, where unusually large numbers of leaking joints were observed soon after RCP was laid. Pipe where the leakage occurred had diameters of 2.7 m (108 in) and 2.4 m (96 in). It was not clear whether or not performance of the Omni-Flex seals was a factor in causing the leaks. Based on overall performance of the Omni-Flex devices, ODDT's Research, Development, and Technology Transfer Section recommended their approval as a contractor option, with possible exceptions for large RCP sizes. The Omni-Flex Project Panel approved their use on RCP with diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and smaller. A specification providing for their use has been included in the "ODOT 1996 Standard Specifications for Highway Construction" published in October, 1996. 17. KEY WORDS 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Preformed pipe joint seals, Gaskets, Reinforced Concrete Pipe (RCP), Mastic, Omni-Flex. 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT No restrictions. This publication is available from the office of Research, Development & Technology Transfer, Oklahoma DOT. 20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT) Unclassified 21. NO. OF PAGES 2. PRICE	Omni-Flex seals have been used on nur	nerous oth	er ODOT projects, where	ODC	T contractors have been	n allowed to use
were observed soon after RCP was laid. Pipe where the leakage occurred had diameters of 2.7 m (108 in) and 2.4 m (96 in). It was not clear whether or not performance of the Omni-Flex seals was a factor in causing the leaks. Based on overall performance of the Omni-Flex devices, ODOT's Research, Development, and Technology Transfer Section recommended their approval as a contractor option, with possible exceptions for large RCP sizes. The Omni-Flex Project Panel approved their use on RCP with diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and smaller. A specification providing for their use has been included in the "ODOT 1996 Standard Specifications for Highway Construction" published in October, 1996. 17. KEY WORDS 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Preformed pipe joint seals, Gaskets, Reinforced Concrete Pipe (RCP), Mastic, Omni-Flex. 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT No restrictions. This publication is available from the office of Research, Development & Technology Transfer, Oklahoma DOT. 0. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT) 19. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT) 20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS PAGE) 21. NO. OF PAGES 2. PRICE	them by change order. Results have be	en satisfac	tory in all but one case, w	herei	inusually large numbers	s of leaking joints
It was not clear whether or not performance of the Omni-Flex seals was a factor in causing the leaks. Based on overall performance of the Omni-Flex devices, ODOT's Research, Development, and Technology Transfer Section recommended their approval as a contractor option, with possible exceptions for large RCP sizes. The Omni-Flex Project Panel approved their use on RCP with diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and smaller. A specification providing for their use has been included in the "ODOT 1996 Standard Specifications for Highway Construction" published in October, 1996. 17. KEY WORDS 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Preformed pipe joint seals, Gaskets, Reinforced No restrictions. This publication is available from the office of Research, Development & Technology Transfer, Oklahoma DOT. 19. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT) 20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT) 20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT) 21. NO. OF PAGES 2. PRICE	were observed soon after RCP was laid	Pipe wh	ere the leakage occurred h	ad dia	ameters of 2.7 m (108 i	n) and 2.4 m (96 in)
11. Null not of the performance of the Omni-Flex devices, ODOT's Research, Development, and Technology Transfer Section recommended their approval as a contractor option, with possible exceptions for large RCP sizes. The Omni-Flex Project Panel approved their use on RCP with diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and smaller. A specification providing for their use has been included in the "ODOT 1996 Standard Specifications for Highway Construction" published in October, 1996. 17. KEY WORDS 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Preformed pipe joint seals, Gaskets, Reinforced Concrete Pipe (RCP), Mastic, Omni-Flex. 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT 19. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT) 20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS PAGE) 21. NO. OF PAGES 2. PRICE	It was not clear whether or not perform	ance of th	e Omni-Elex seals was a f	factor	in causing the leaks	() und 21 m () 0 m).
Distribution overlain performance of the ormin risk devices, ODOT's Restarch, Development, and Technology Transfer Section recommended their approval as a contractor option, with possible exceptions for large RCP sizes. The Omni-Flex Project Panel approved their use on RCP with diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and smaller. A specification providing for their use has been included in the "ODOT 1996 Standard Specifications for Highway Construction" published in October, 1996. 17. KEY WORDS 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Preformed pipe joint seals, Gaskets, Reinforced 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT No restrictions. This publication is available from the office of Research, Development & Technology Transfer, Oklahoma DOT. 19. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT) 20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS PAGE) 21. NO. OF PAGES 2. PRICE	Based on overall performance of the O	nni-Flex	levices ODOT's Research	n Dev	elonment and Technol	ony Transfer Section
17. KEY WORDS 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Preformed pipe joint seals, Gaskets, Reinforced 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT No restrictions. This publication is available from the office of Research, Development & Technology Transfer, Oklahoma DOT. 19. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT) 20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT) Unclassified 20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS PAGE) 26. 21. NO. OF PAGES	recommended their opprovel as a contr	nin i iox (with possible exception	i, Dev	large PCP sizes The	Juni Flay Project
17. KEY WORDS 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Preformed pipe joint seals, Gaskets, Reinforced 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT No restrictions. This publication is available from the office of Research, Development & Technology Transfer, Oklahoma DOT. 19. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT) 20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS PAGE) 21. NO. OF PAGES 2. PRICE	Panel approved their use on DCD with		of 1.5 m (60 in) and advall	15 101	ange KCr Sizes. The C	for their use has
17. KEY WORDS 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Preformed pipe joint seals, Gaskets, Reinforced No restrictions. This publication is available from the office of Concrete Pipe (RCP), Mastic, Omni-Flex. No restrictions. This publication is available from the office of 19. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT) 20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS PAGE) 21. NO. OF PAGES 2. PRICE 19. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT) 20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS PAGE) 21. NO. OF PAGES 2. PRICE	Panel approved their use of RCP with t	liameters	of 1.5 m (60 m) and small	er. A	specification providing	g for their use has
17. KEY WORDS 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Preformed pipe joint seals, Gaskets, Reinforced No restrictions. This publication is available from the office of Research, Development & Technology Transfer, Oklahoma DOT. 19. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT) 20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS PAGE) 21. NO. OF PAGES 2. PRICE 19. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT) 20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS PAGE) 21. NO. OF PAGES 2. PRICE	been included in the "ODOT 1996 Stan	idard Spec	cifications for Highway Co	onstru	ction published in Oct	ober, 1996.
17. KEY WORDS 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Preformed pipe joint seals, Gaskets, Reinforced No restrictions. This publication is available from the office of Research, Development & Technology Transfer, Oklahoma DOT. 19. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT) 20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS PAGE) 21. NO. OF PAGES 2. PRICE Unclassified Unclassified 26 2.						
17. KEY WORDS 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Preformed pipe joint seals, Gaskets, Reinforced No restrictions. This publication is available from the office of Concrete Pipe (RCP), Mastic, Omni-Flex. No restrictions. This publication is available from the office of Research, Development & Technology Transfer, Oklahoma DOT. 19. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT) 20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS PAGE) 21. NO. OF PAGES 2. PRICE Unclassified Unclassified 26 2.						
Preformed pipe joint seals, Gaskets, Reinforced Concrete Pipe (RCP), Mastic, Omni-Flex. No restrictions. This publication is available from the office of Research, Development & Technology Transfer, Oklahoma DOT. 19. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT) Unclassified 20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS PAGE) Unclassified 21. NO. OF PAGES 26 2. PRICE	17. KEY WORDS	18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMEN	т			
Concrete Pipe (RCP), Mastic, Omni-Flex. Research, Development & Technology Transfer, Oklahoma DOT. 19. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT) 20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS PAGE) 21. NO. OF PAGES 2. PRICE Unclassified Unclassified 26 21. No. OF PAGES 2. PRICE	Preformed pipe joint seals, Gaskets, Re	inforced	No restrictions. This put	blicat	ion is available from the	e office of
Oklahoma DOT. Oklahoma DOT. 19. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT) 20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS PAGE) 21. NO. OF PAGES 2. PRICE Unclassified Unclassified 26	Concrete Pipe (RCP), Mastic, Omni-Fl	cx.	Research, Development	& Tco	chnology Transfer,	
19. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT) 20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS PAGE) 21. NO. OF PAGES 2. PRICE Unclassified Unclassified 26			Oklahoma DOT.			
19. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT) 20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS PAGE) 21. NO. OF PAGES 2. PRICE Unclassified Unclassified 26						
Unclassified Unclassified 26	19. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT)	20. SECUR	RITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS PAGE)		21. NO. OF PAGES	2. PRICE
	Unclassified Unclassified			26		

The contents of this report reflect the views of the author(s) who is responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the views of the Oklahoma Department of Transportation or the Federal Highway Administration. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation. While trade names may be used in this report, it is not intended as an endorsement of any machine, contractor, process, or product.

	Approximate	e Conversio	ns to SI Units			Approximate (Conversion	s from SI Ur	nits
Symbol	When you know	Multiply by	To Find	Symbol	Symbol	When you know	Multiply by	To Find	Symbol
		LENGTH					LENGTH		
in	inches	25.40	millimeters	mm	mm	millimeters	0.0394	inches	in
ft	feet	0.3048	meters	m	m	meters	3.281	feet	ft
yd	yards	0.9144	meters	m	m	meters	1.094	yards	yd
mi	miles	1.609	kilometers	km	km	kilometers	0.6214	miles	mi
		AREA					AREA		- 3
in²	square inches	645.2	square millimeters	mm	mm ²	square millimeters	0.00155	square inches	in²
ft²	square feet	0.0929	square meters	m²	m²	square meters	10.764	square feet	ft²
yd²	square yards	0.8361	square meters	m²	m²	square meters	1.196	square yards	yd²
ac	acres	0.4047	hectares	ha	ha	hectares	2.471	acres	ac
mi²	square miles	2.590	square kilometers	km²	km²	square kilometers	0.3861	square miles	mi²
		VOLUME			-		VOLUME		
fl oz	fluid ounces	29.57	milliliters	mĹ	mL	milliliters	0.0338	fluid ounces	fl oz
gal	gallons	3.785	liters	L	L	liters	0.2642	gallons	gal
ft3	cubic feet	0.0283	cubic meters	m³	m ³	cubic meters	35.315	cubic feet	ft ³
yd³	cubic yards	0.7645	cubic meters	m ³	m ³	cubic meters	1.308	cubic yards	yd ³
		MASS					MASS		
oz	ounces	28.35	grams	g	g	grams	0.0353	ounces	OZ
lb	pounds	0.4536	kilograms	kg	kg	kilograms	2.205	pounds	lb
т	short tons (2000 lb)	0.907	megagrams	Mg	Mg	megagrams	1.1023	short tons (2000 lb)	т
	TEM	PERATURE (e	exact)		TEMPERATURE (exact)				
٩F	degrees Fahrenheit	(°F-32)/1.8	dcgrees Celsius	°C	°C	degrees Celsius	9/5+32	degrees Fahrenheit	٩F
	FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS				FORCE and	PRESSURE	or STRESS	- 2	
lbf	poundforce	4.448	Newtons	N	N	Newtons	0.2248	poundforce	lbf
lbf/in²	poundforce per square inch	6.895	kilopascals	kPa	kPa	kilopascals	0.1450	poundforce per square inch	lbf/in²

SI (METRIC) CONVERSION FACTORS

OMNI-FLEX PIPE JOINT SEALS

Final Report

December, 1996

Gary Williams, P.E. Product Evaluation Engineer

Research, Development and Technology Transfer Oklahoma Department of Transportation 200 N.E. 21st Street Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105 (405) 521-2671 FAX (405) 521-6528

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
OMNI-FLEX JOINT SEALS	1
ODOT EXPERIENCE WITH OMNI-FLEX JOINT SEALS	4
CONCLUSIONS	8
IMPLEMENTATION	8
APPENDIX A, GASKET SIZES, AND CORRESPONDING STANDARD RCP SIZES	

APPENDIX B, SPECIFICATIONS

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Placing Omni-Flex Seal on RCP Section	2
Figure 2.	Diagram of an Omni-Flex Seal During Installation	3
Figure 3.	Omni-Flex Seal During Installation	3
Figure 4.	Standard Markings on Omni-Flex Seal Joint Seals	4
Figure 5.	RCP Seals, Omni-Flex Left of Junction Box and Mastic Right of Junction Box	5
Figure 6.	Leaking Joint in 2.4 m (96 in) RCP	6
Figure 7.	Water Seeping into 2.4 m (96 in) RCP Through Joint Patched With Mortar	6
Figure 8.	RCP Joint Patched With Mortar	7
Figure 9.	RCP With Uneven Grade But No Obvious Leaking	7

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In June 1991, The Oklahoma Department of Transportation (ODOT) had 16 Omni-Flex pipe joint seals installed in the joints of a reinforced concrete pipe (RCP) section on ODOT Project ACIR-44-2(326)233 in Tulsa. Individual sections of RCP had diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and lengths of 2.4 m (8 ft) in the area where the seals were installed. The remainder of the joints between RCP sections on this project were sealed with mastic. Mastic is approved for use on ODOT projects and is widely used in Oklahoma.

The 16 joints sealed with Omni-Flex seals and an adjacent 16 joints in the same size RCP, sealed with mastic, have been monitored since project project completion. Monitoring has consisted of inspecting the joints from inside the pipe. Neither the section sealed with Omni-Flex seals or the adjacent section, sealed with mastic, has developed any unusual amounts of leakage or deterioration which could be considered to be related to the performance of the joint seals.

Omni-Flex seals have been used on numerous other ODOT projects, where contractors have been allowed to use them by change order. Results have been satisfactory in all but one case, where unusually large numbers of leaking joints were observed soon after RCP was laid. Pipe where the leakage occurred had diameters of 2.7 m (108 in) and 2.4 m (96 in). The leaks were noted after the pipe had been laid and the trench backfilled. At that point, it was not possible to determine if the leaks were caused by pipe movement related to expansion of the Omni-Flex seals or by other factors.

Based on the overall performance of Omni-Flex pipe joint seals, ODOT's Office of Research recommended their approval as a contractor option, with a possible exception for larger RCP sizes. The Omni-Flex Project Panel approved their use on RCP with diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) and smaller. A specification providing for their use has been included in the "ODOT 1996 Standard Specifications for Highway Construction", published in October, 1996.

INTRODUCTION

Oklahoma Department of Transportation (ODOT) specifications allow sealing joints in reinforced concrete pipe (RCP) with cold applied mastic, rubber gaskets, or plastic joint filler material. The most commonly used method is sealing with mastic.

Material cost for mastic is low, relative to the other methods, but labor required for application offsets this. Mastic must be applied with a brush or smeared on by hand, using a glove. It is generally applied to the spigot of a bell and spigot joint. Application is difficult on larger pipe sizes and includes the possibility of missing spots along the top and bottom of the pipe where it is difficult to see and reach the entire circumference of the spigot.

Some mastic brands require that primer be applied to both the bell and spigot before application of mastic. This provides more chances to miss spots during application. Mastic adheres to both the bell and the spigot. However, the adhesion force of the mastic to the RCP can be exceeded by water pressure in the pipe where a head of water builds up due to elevation differences. While this is not a common situation, it has occurred on at least one ODOT project. Where this happened, the mastic was "blown out" of the joints by water pressure. Some ODOT construction personnel feel that Omni-Flex joint seals might withstand this type of pressure better than mastic. Omni-Flex pipe joint seals are preformed rubber gaskets which form a compression seal when compressed between pipe sections. Omni-Flex gaskets are available for sealing joints in any standard size round, elliptical, or arch RCP. They are also available for sealing rectangular or square joints on joints between pipe and junction boxes, and joints between box culverts and junction boxes.

OMNI-FLEX JOINT SEALS

Omni-Flex joint seals are made of closed-cell, blended rubber and polymer material. The base polymer in the seals is a blend of nitrile and vinyl material. Omni-Flex seals meet the chemical resistance requirements of AASHTO M-198. However, AASHTO M-198-75 has two sets of requirements, one for rubber gaskets, and one for gaskets of flexible plastic. Because of their composition of a blended material, Omni-Flex gaskets do not fit under either category, and do not meet either set of requirements.

ASTM D-1056-85, "Standard Specification for Flexible Cellular Materials - Sponge or Expanded Rubber, Type 2C1" covers the Omni-Flex material. However, requirements of this specification are different (not as stringent) than those of AASHTO M-198, required by ODOT specifications at the time of the evaluation. Because of this, Omni-Flex did not meet ODOT gasket requirements. Also, the manufacturer did not furnish information to show that the Omni-Flex devices could meet the performance aspects of M-198.

Omni-Flex seals are installed by placing them on the spigot of the bell and spigot joint between RCP pipe sections (Figure 1). The following pipe section is then installed by a crane, which lifts it into place, compressing the seal as the sections are connected. For pipe sections up to 1.5m (60 in) in diameter, the Omni-Flex seal moves the last section of pipe slightly as it attempts to expand back to its former shape, further forming a compression seal. This movement can be observed without special equipment. According to the Oklahoma distributor of Omni-Flex seals, the expansion force cannot move larger pipe sections. Figure 2 is a diagram representing a cross-section of Omni-Flex seal during installation. Figure 3 shows an Omni-Flex seal after installation. Different sizes of Omni-Flex seals are used for each standard RCP size. These are listed in a chart, supplied to all customers by the distributor (Appendix A).

The size is printed on each seal to minimize chances of using the wrong size (Figure 4). It would not be possible to put a smaller size on a pipe as they stretch only enough to provide a snug fit. A seal of a size larger than required would leave an amount of slack between the pipe and the seal which should be obvious to the employee installing them.



Figure 1. Placing Omni-Flex Seal on RCP section.



Figure 2. Diagram of an Omni-Flex Seal During Installation.



Figure 3. Omni-Flex Seal During Installation.



Figure 4. Standard Markings on Omni-Flex Joint Seals.

ODOT EXPERIENCE WITH OMNI-FLEX SEALS

In June, 1991, Omni-Flex seals were installed on 16 joints of 1.5 m (60 in) diameter RCP on ODOT Project ACIR-44-2(326)233, in Tulsa. The rest of the RCP joints on this project were sealed with mastic (Figure 5). Installation of the Omni-Flex seals was observed by the ODOT Hydraulics Engineer. The 16 RCP joints sealed with Omni-Flex seals and 16 joints sealed with mastic have been surveyed at (approximately) six month intervals since installation. Performance of both the joints sealed with Omni-Flex devices and those sealed with mastic has been satisfactory, with no failures or unusual leakage observed.

Omni-Flex seals have been accepted by both Contractors and ODOT field personnel. Many of them prefer using Omni-Flex seals over the other approved alternative sealing methods. The City of Tulsa and several other municipalities allow use of Omni-Flex seals. Some contractors are familiar with Omni-Flex seals and request that they be allowed to use them on ODOT projects. The result is that Omni-Flex seals have been installed on many ODOT projects by change order. In most of these cases, the Research Division has not received notice that the seals were being used.

In March, 1995, the Research Division was notified of a problem on project IM-NHIY-35-3(219)125, an I-35 widening project in Oklahoma City. On this project, joints in 2.4 m (96 in) and 2.7 m (108 in) diameter RCP, which had been sealed with Omni-Flex seals, had developed an unusually large

number of leaks (Figures 6 and 7). The problems were noticed soon after the pipe was laid, and the contractor was still working on the project. Some of the leaks were large enough that corrective action (patching with mortar) had been required of the contractor (Figure 8). ODOT and contractor's personnel agreed that this probably was caused by some sort of movement after the pipe had been laid. Some of the pipe sections did appear to be connected so that the grade was slightly uneven. ODOT field personnel and contractor's employees on the project believed that these problems were caused by pipe sections being moved by the Omni-Flex seals after installation. At that point, (after construction was complete) it was not possible to determine whether the movement was due to expansion of the Omni-Flex seals, movement of pipe sections during backfilling, settlement, or other possible causes.

A meeting at the Oklahoma City Gifford-Hill Plant was arranged to discuss the pipe leakage and try to determine the cause. The Oklahoma Omni-Flex distributor stated that expansion of the seals could not move this size RCP. A demonstration was done where an Omni-Flex gasket was installed between two 2.4 m (96 in) diameter RCP sections, which were then compressed together. No movement of the pipe sections could be seen. The pipe sections used in the demonstration were 2.3 m (7.5 ft) lengths, as were the sections where the problem occurred. The meeting was attended by ODOT construction, materials, and research personnel, the contractor's construction superintendent, and the Omni-Flex distributor.

In March, 1995, after the incident described above, the ODOT Research Division surveyed some other completed projects where joints in the RCP had been sealed with Omni-Flex seals. Pipe on all of these sites checked had uneven grades to some extent (Figure 7), but none had leakage problems of the type that occurred on Project IM-NHIY-3(219)125. The largest size RCP on the other sites surveyed was 1.5 m (60 in) diameter.



Figure 5. RCP seals, Omni-Flex Left of Junction Box and Mastic Right of Junction Box.



Figure 6. Leaking Joint in 2.4 m (96 in) RCP.



Figure 7. Water seeping into 2.4 m (96 in) RCP Through Joint Patched with Mortar.



Figure 8. RCP Joint Patched With Mortar.



Figure 9. RCP With Uneven Grade But No Obvious Leaking.

CONCLUSIONS

- 1. Performance of the Omni-Flex joint seals on Project ACIR-44-2(326) has been satisfactory, with no failures or unusual leakage observed. Performance of the mastic sealant used on this project has also been satisfactory. Both the Omni-Flex seals and the mastic sealant observed on this project were used to seal joints in 1.5 m (60 in) diameter RCP.
- 2. It is not clear whether the leakage on ODOT Project IM-NHIY-3(219)125 was caused by expansion of the Omni-Flex seals or by other causes. ODOT has not used Omni-Flex to seal these sizes of pipe (2.4 m (96 in) and 2.7 m (108 in) diameter) on any other projects reported to the Research Division.
- 3. At this time, the manufacturer has not provided information showing that Omni-Flex seals meet the performance standards of AASHTO M-198-75.
- 4. During the Project Panel meeting on implementation, the panel recommended approval of Omni-Flex seals for use on ODOT projects. The seals are to be used only on RCP pipes with diameters of 1.5 m (60 in) or less. Section 726.b.6.3 of "Oklahoma Department of Transportation Standard Specifications for Highway Construction, 1996 Edition" provides for use of this type of seal.

IMPLEMENTATION

The Omni-Flex Project Panel met February 22, 1996 to discuss implementation. Omni-Flex scals have been used on numerous ODOT projects. However, for each use a change order has been required to allow their use. During the meeting on implementation, it was decided that a specification covering the use of Omni-Flex scals would be written and added to the "Oklahoma Department of Transportation 1996 Standard Specifications for Highway Construction". The specification (Appendix B) has been written and is included in section 726.b.6.3 of the publication named above.

Contractors working on ODOT Projects may now use Omni-Flex seals without requesting and waiting for change orders. This will reduce paperwork for both contractors and ODOT Field personnel. It will also allow contractors to plan for the use of these seals when submitting their original bid, without requesting change orders and waiting for approval.

APPENDIX A

OMNI-FLEX SEAL SIZES AND CORRESPONDING STANDARD RCP SIZES CHANDLER MATERIALS COMPANY 5805 EAST 15th STREET • TULSA, OKLAHOMA 74112 TULSA 836-9151 • PONCA CITY 762-6601 • MUSKOGEE 682-2484

EFFECTIVE DATE JANUARY 15, 1990

PIPE DIA.	SEAL I.D.	WALL THICKNESS	MAX. OUTSIDE	MAX. INSIDE JOINT OPENING
12"	1/2"	1/4"		- 1.
15"	3/8"	3/8"	3/4"	5/8"
18"	1/2"	3/8"	7/8"	3/4"
21"	3/4 "	3/8"	1 1/8"	7/8"
24"	1/2"	1/2"	7/8"	3/4"
27"	3/4"	1/2"	1 1/4"	1 "
30"	3/4"	1/2"	1 1/4"	1 1/8"
36"	1/2"	3/4"	1 3/8"	1 3/8"
42"	5/8"	3/4"	1 1/2"	1 1/2"
48"	3/4"	3/4"	1 1/2"	1 1/2"
54 "	7/8"	3/4"	1 5/8"	1 5/8"

MAXIMUM SUGGESTED EXTERIOR JOINT OPENINGS WHEN USING OMNI-FLEX JOINT SEALS

NOTE: WE BELIEVE THAT THE ABOVE PARAMETERS WILL PROVIDE DEPENDABLE RESISTANCE AGAINST JOINT INFILTRATION BY SOILS AND LOW-PRESSURE FLUIDS. TO INCREASE THE FLUID PRESSURE RATING, REDUCE THE MAXIMUM JOINT OPENINGS FROM THOSE SHOWN ABOVE.



FULL SIZE SEAL FOR 48" RCP

A-1

CHANDLER MATERIALS COMPANY

5805 EAST 15th STREET • TULSA, OKLAHOMA 74112 TULSA 836-9151 • PONCA CITY 762-6601 • MUSKOGEE 682-2484

EFFECTIVE DATE JANUARY 15, 1990

		0	Sh OOTHI DEMED	
PIPE DIA.	SEAL I.D.	THICKNESS	MAX. OUTSIDE JOINT OPENING	MAX. INSIDE JOINT OPENING
12"	1/2"	1/4 "		1
15"	3/8"	3/8"	3/4"	5/8"
18"	1/2"	3/8"	7/8"	3/4"
21"	3/4"	3/8"	1 1/8"	7/8"
24 "	1/2"	1/2"	7/8"	3/4"
27"	3/4"	1/2"	1 1/4"	1 "
30"	3/4"	1/2"	1 1/4"	1 1/8"
36"	1/2"	3/4"	1 3/8"	1 3/8"
42"	5/8"	3/4"	1 1/2"	1 1/2"
48"	3/4"	3/4"	1 1/2"	1 1/2"
54"	7/8"	3/4"	1 5/8*	1 5/8"

MAXIMUM SUGGESTED EXTERIOR JOINT OPENINGS WHEN USING OMNI-FLEX JOINT SEALS

NOTE: WE BELIEVE THAT THE ABOVE PARAMETERS WILL PROVIDE DEPENDABLE RESISTANCE AGAINST JOINT INFILTRATION BY SOILS AND LOW-PRESSURE FLUIDS. TO INCREASE THE FLUID PRESSURE RATING, REDUCE THE MAXIMUM JOINT OPENINGS FROM THOSE SHOWN ABOVE.





CHANDLER MATERIALS COMPANY 5805 EAST 15th STREET • TULSA, OKLAHOMA 74112 TULSA 836-9151 • PONCA CITY 762-6601 • MUSKOGEE 682-2484

EFFECTIVE DATE FEBRUARY 14, 1990

MAXIMUM SUGGESTED EXTERIOR JOINT OPENINGS WHEN USING OMNI-FLEX JOINT SEALS

POX DIA.	SEAL I.D.	WALL THICKNESS	MAX. OUTSIDE JOINT OPENING	MAX. INSIDE JOINT OPENING
4 X 3	5/8"	3/4"	1 1/2"	1 1/2"
4 X 4	5/8"	3/4"	1 1/2"	1 1/2"
5 X 3	5/8"	3/4"	1 1/2"	1 1/2"
5 X 4	5/8"	3/4"	1 1/2"	1 1/2"
5 X 5	5/8"	3/4"	1 1/2"	1 1/2"
6 X 3	5/8"	3/4"	1 1/2"	1 1/2"
6 X 4	5/8"	3/4"	1 1/2"	1 1/2"
6 X S	5/8"	3/4"	1 1/2"	1 1/2"
6 X 6	5/8"	3/4"	1 1/2"	1 1/2"
7 X 3	3/4"	3/4"	1 1/2"	1 1/2"
7 X 4	3/4"	3/4"	1 1/2"	1 1/2"
7 X S	3/4"	3/4"	1 1/2"	1 1/2"
7 X 6	3/4"	3/4"	1 1/2"	1 1/2"
7 X 7	3/4"	3/4"	1 1/2"	1 1/2"
8 x 3	7/8"	3/4"	1 5/8"	1 5/8"
8 X 4	7/8"	3/4"	1 5/8"	1 5/8"
8 X 5	7/8"	3/4"	1 5/8"	1 5/8"
8 X 6	7/8"	3/4"	1 5/8"	1 5/8"
8 X 7	7/8"	3/4"	1 5/8"	1 5/8"
8 X 8	7/8"	3/4"	1 5/8"	1 5/8"

APPENDIX B

STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION OKLAHOMA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION EDITION OF 1988

726.02

β

Support beams required for casting assemblies shall meet the requirements of AASHTO M 183.

"T" handles as shown on standard drawings for locking manhole covers shall be furnished. The minimum shall be two handles for up to and including 20 locking manhole covers and one for every 20 thereafter.

(b) Special Fabricated Drainage Grates. Welded steel drainage grates shall meet the material requirements of AASHTO M 183 for the load bearing members. Stiffeners shall be specified by the manufacturer. Welding shall meet all applicable standards as covered in Section 724 and references. Grate units shall be furnished galvanized after fabrication or painted with an inorganic zinc ethyl silicate base primer and vinyl finish coat. Galvanization shall be in accordance with the requirements of AASHTO M 111. Paint shall meet the materials requirements of Subsection 730.04. Cleaning of grate units (for either procedure) and paint application shall be as covered in Subsection 506.04(d) Painting. Only those procedures which apply to grate sized units shall apply, and shop applied paint shall be utilized for both coats.

Pipe for use in fabricated grates shall meet the requirements of ASTM A 53 and be furnished in standard weight, unthreaded mill finish unless otherwise stated. Hydrostatic pressure testing shall be waived. After welding, the grate units shall be thoroughly cleaned and pressure vent holes punched or drilled (only for galvanized units). See Plans for location of vent holes. See paragraph above for paint and painting requirements.

Angle iron and strap iron used for end members or spacers shall meet the requirements of AASHTO M 183 mill-finish.

Butt welded pipe shall be acceptable for use as grate members with the approval of the Engineer.

725.06. BRONZE. Bronze castings shall conform to the requirements of AASHTO M-107 Copper Alloy C91100. Bronze bearings and expansion plates shall conform to the requirements of the Specifications for Rolled Phosphor Bronze Bearings and Expansion Plates for Bridges and Structures, AASHTO M 108 Copper Alloy C51000.

The class of metal shall be shown on the Plans.

SECTION 726 DRAINAGE CONDUITS

726.01. DESCRIPTION. This section covers the materials requirements for surface and subsurface drainage conduits of the kind specified on the Plans and the requirements of Section 613.

726.02. MATERIALS.

- (a) Rigid Conduits. Materials covered in this Subsection: nonreinforced concrete pipe, drain tile, porous and perforated pipe, reinforced concrete circular, elliptical, and arch pipe; cast (ductile) iron, precast reinforced concrete box sections, manhole sections, inlet boxes, and junction boxes meeting the following requirements:
 - 1. Concrete and Clay Culverts.
 - I.1. Concrete Sewer, Storm Drain, and Culvert Pipe shall conform to AASHTO M 86 or M 86M (Metric).

1.2. Reinforced Concrete Culvert, Storm Drain and Sewer Pipe shall conform to AASHTO M 170 or M 170M (Metric).

In addition to the Pipe Classes shown in AASHTO M 170, a special design Class IV/V conforming to AASHTO M 170 may also be used. Design criteria for Class IV/V is a midline interpolation between the design criterial shown for Class IV (Table 4) and Class V (Table 5) of AASHTO M 170.

- 1.3. Reinforced Concrete Arch Culvert, Storm Drain and Sewer Pipe shall conform to AASHTO M 206 or M 206M (Metric).
- 1.4. Reinforced Concrete Elliptical Culvert, Storm Drain and Sewer Pipe shall conform to AASHTO M 207 or M 207M (Metric).
- 1.5. Reinforced Concrete D-Load Culvert, Storm Drain and Sewer Pipe shall conform to AASHTO M 242 or M 242M (Metric).
- 1.6. Concrete Drain Tile shall conform to AASHTO M 178 or M 178M (Metric).
- 1.7. Vitrified Clay Pipe, Extra Strength, Standard Strength, and Perforated shall conform to AASHTO M 65.
- 1.8. Clay Drain Tile shall conform to AASHTO M 179.
- 2.. Rigid Metallic Culverts.
 - 2.1. Ductile Iron Culvert Pipe shall conform to AASHTO M 64.
- 3.. Precast Sewer Appurtenances and Box Sections for Culverts.
 - 3.1. Precast Reinforced Concrete Manhole Sections shall conform to AASHTO M 199 or M 199M (Metric).
 - 3.2. Precast Reinforced Concrete Curb Inlet Boxes shall conform to ODOT approved Designs.
 - 3.3. Precast Reinforced Concrete Junction Boxes shall conform to ODOT approved Designs.
 - 3.4. Precast Reinforced Concrete Box Sections for Culverts, Storm Drains, and Sewers shall conform to AASHTO M 259 or M 259M (Metric).
 - 3.5. Precast Reinforced Concrete Box Sections for Culverts, Storm Drains, and Sewers With Less Than 2 Feet of Cover Subject to Highway Loadings shall conform to AASHTO M 273 or M 273M (Metric).
- 4. Concrete and Clay Underdrain.
 - 4.1. Concrete Sewer, Storm Drain, Culvert Pipe shall conform to AASHTO M 86 or M 86M (Metric).
 - 4.2. Concrete Drain Tile shall conform to AASHTO M 178 or M 178M (Metric).
 - 4.3. Perforated Concrete Pipe shall conform to AASHTO M 175 or M 175M (Metric).
 - 4.4. Porous Concrete Pipe shall conform to AASHTO M 176 or M 176M (Metric).
 - 4.5. Vitrified Clay Pipe, Extra Strength, Standard and Perforated shall conform to AASHTO M 65.
 - 4.6. Clay Drain Tile shall conform to AASHTO M 179 or M 179M (Metric).

- 5. Pipe Rejection. Rejection criteria covered herein shall apply to the previously numbered Subsections: 726.02(a) 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 1.7, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 4.1, 4.3, and 4.4. A lot of pipe represented by test samples may be rejected for failure to meet any of the requirements of the governing Specifications. Any lot of pipe less than 30 days of age, which meets all requirements except the strength tests, may be retested at a later date when so requested by the manufacturer. Individual sections of pipe may be rejected for failure to meet the Specification requirements of manufacture, and damage acquired in handling, hauling, delivery and installation, with the following exceptions: (1) a single end crack that does not exceed the depth of the joint; (2) damaged ends when chips do not extend more than half of the joint, either from the end of the joint or along the circumference of the pipe, and providing that in the opinion of the Engineer satisfactory permanent patching can be performed during installation.
- 6. Joint Filler. Joint filler for joints in concrete pipe culverts shall meet the requirements of one of the following materials:
 - 6.1. Cold Applied Mastic Type. This compound, when applied according to the manufacturer's directions, shall be resilient and adhesive and maintain an effective seal through repeated cycles of expansion and contraction. The material shall comply specifically with the following requirements:

	Minimum	Maximum
Specific Gravity 25º C/25º C	1.290	1.350
Pounds Per Gallon	10.75	11.25
Percent Soluble in Trichlorethylene	45.0	
Percent Ash	50.0	55.0
Percent Water by Volume		0
Penetration (Standard Cone)		
150 gr., 5 sec., 25º C	175	200

Note: This joint filler shall not be used for precast concrete boxes.

- 6.2. Flexible Watertight Gaskets. The joint materials shall meet the requirements of AASHTO M 198 and provide a proper fit for a satisfactory seal. When not on the approved list maintained by the Materials Engineer, a type A certification stating the material meets AASHTO M 198 shall be submitted.
- (b) Flexible Conduits. Materials covered in this Subsection: steel conduits, coated and clad steel conduits, structural plates, aluminum conduits, clad aluminum conduits, and nonmetallic conduits meeting the following requirements:
 - 1. Steel Conduits-Culverts.
 - 1.1. Metallic (Zinc or Aluminum) Coated, Corrugated Steel Culverts and Underdrains shall conform to AASHTO M 36.
 - 1.1.1. Sheets for Culverts. Zinc coated (Galvanized) steel sheets for culverts and underdrains shall conform to AASHTO M 218. Steel sheet, aluminum-coated (type 2) by the hot-dip process for sewer and drainage pipe shall

conform to AASHTO M 274. Aluminum-zinc alloy coated sheet steel for corrugated steel pipe shall conform to AASHTO M 289.

- 1.1.2. Types of Culverts. Culverts shall be type 1 (Circular) or type II (Arch) shape unless otherwise specified on the Plans.
- 1.1.3. Externally Coated or Clad Culverts.
 - 1.1.3.1. Bituminous Coated Corrugated Metal Culvert Pipe and Pipe Arches shall conform to AASHTO M 190. Type A bituminous coating shall be used unless type B, type C, or type D is specified.
 - 1.1.3.2. Precoated Galvanized Steel Culverts and Underdrains shall conform to AASHTO M 245 or M 245M (Metric) or steel sheet, metallic-coated and polymer precoated for corrugated steel pipe conforming to AASHTO M 246 or M 246M (Metric).
 - 1.1.3.3. When bituminous coated or mill-precoated galvanized steel culverts are called for, aluminum coated (type 2) steel pipe shall be an equal alternate and paid for under Subsection 613.06(f).
- 2. Aluminum Conduits-Culverts.
 - 2.1. Corrugated Aluminum Alloy Culverts and Underdrains shall conform to AASHTO M 196. If bituminous coating is specified it shall by type A coating unless type B or type C coating is specified, meeting the requirements of AASHTO M 190.
 - 2.2. Clad Aluminum Alloy Sheets for Culverts and Underdrains shall conform to AASHTO M 197.
- 3. Nonmetallic Conduits-Culverts.
 - 3.1. Class PS 50 Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) Pipe shall conform to AASHTO M 278.
 - 3.2. Corrugated Polyethylene Pipe, 12 to 24 inch diameter shall conform to AASHTO M 294.
- 4. Steel Conduits-Underdrain.
 - 4.1. Metallic (Zinc or Aluminum) Coated Corrugated Steel Culverts and Underdrain shall conform to AASHTO M 36.
 - 4.1.1. Sheets for Underdrain shall conform to AASHTO M 36 (see Subsection (b) 1.1.1.)
 - 4.1.2. Types. Underdrain shall be furnished in type III pipe with Class I perforations unless otherwise specified on the Plans. Minimum thickness shall be 0.052 inch for 6 inch diameter and .064 inch for all other diameters.
 - 4.1.3. Externally Coated or Clad Underdrains. When called for on the Plans, underdrain shall be furnished clad, meeting the requirements of AASHTO M 245 or M 245M (Metric). Thickness of base metal shall be as shown in 4.1.2.

B-2

APPENDIX C

OKLAHOMA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION 1996 STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION In addition to the Pipe Classes shown in AASHTO M 170M, a special design Class IV/V conforming to AASHTO M 170M may also be used. Design criteria for Class IV/V is a midline interpolation between the design criteria shown for Class IV (Table 4) and Class V (Table 5) of AASHTO M 170M.

- 1.3. Reinforced Concrete Arch Culvert, Storm Drain and Sewer Pipe shall conform to AASHTO M 206M.
- 1.4. Reinforced Concrete Elliptical Culvert, Storm Drain and Sewer Pipe shall conform to AASHTO M 207M.
- Reinforced Concrete D-Load Culvert, Storm Drain and Sewer Pipe shall conform to AASHTO M 242M.
- 1.6. Concrete Drain Tile shall conform to AASHTO M 178M.
- 1.7. Vitrified Clay Pipe, Extra Strength, Standard Strength, and Perforated shall conform to AASHTO M 65.
- 1.8. Clay Drain Tile shall conform to AASHTO M 179.
- 2. Rigid Metallic Culverts.
 - 2.1. Ductile Iron Culvert Pipe shall conform to AASHTO M 64.
- 3. Precast Sewer Appurtenances and Box Sections for Culverts.
 - 3.1. Precast Reinforced Concrete Manhole Sections shall conform to AASHTO M 199M.
 - 3.2. Precast Reinforced Concrete Curb Inlet Boxes shall conform to ODOT approved Designs.
 - 3.3. **Precast Reinforced Concrete Junction Boxes** shall conform to ODOT approved Designs.
 - 3.4. Precast Reinforced Concrete Box Sections for Culverts, Storm Drains, and Sewers shall conform to AASHTO M 259M.
 - 3.5. Precast Reinforced Concrete Box Sections for Culverts, Storm Drains, and Sewers With Less Than 600 millimeter of Cover Subject to Highway Loadings shall conform to AASHTO M 273M.
- 4. DELETED.
- Inspection and Acceptance. Inspection and acceptance criteria will be as specified unless the manufacturer has established an approved quality control program with the Department.
- Joint Filler. Joint filler for joints in concrete pipe culverts shall meet the requirements of one of the following materials:
 - 6.1. Cold Applied Mastic Type. This compound, when applied according to the manufacturer's directions, shall be resilient and adhesive and maintain an effective seal through repeated cycles of expansion and contraction. The material shall comply specifically with the following requirements:

	Minimum	Maximum	
Soluble in Trichloroethylene,		1 m 1 1	
AASHTO T 44, %	45:0		
Ash, AASHTOT 111, %	15.0	55.0	
Penetration ^a , AASHTO T 49, 150 g., 5 sec., 25°C	150	275	

Penetration shall be in accordance with AASHTO T 49 except that a penetration cone shall be used in lieu of the standard penetration needle. The cone shall conform to the requirements given in the Standard Method of Test for Cone Penetration of Lubricating Grease (ASTM D 217), except that the interior construction may be modified as desired.

Note: This joint filler shall not be used for pipes larger than 1524 millimeter in diameter or for precast concrete boxes.

6.2. *Flexible Watertight Gaskets.* The joint materials shall meet the requirements of AASHTO M 198 and provide a proper fit for a satisfactory seal. When not on the approved list maintained by the Materials Engineer, a type A certification stating the material meets AASHTO M 198 shall be submitted.

Note: Butyl rubber sealant shall be used for all pipes in excess of 1524 millimeter in diameter.

6.3 Flexible Cellular Seals. The joint materials shall meet the requirements of ASTM D 1056 "Standard Specification for Flexible Cellular Materials - Sponge or Expanded Rubber, Type 2C1."

- Note: This joint material shall be one continuous piece, shall be applied in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendation and shall not be used for pipes larger than 1524 millimeter.
- (b) Flexible Conduits. Materials covered in this Subsection: steel conduits, coated and clad steel conduits, structural plates, aluminum conduits, clad aluminum conduits, and nonmetallic conduits meeting the following requirements:

1. Steel Conduits-Culverts.

- 1.1. Metallic (Zinc or Aluminum) Coated, Corrugated Steel Culverts shall conform to AASHTO M 36.
 - 1.1.1. Sheets for Culverts: Zinc coated (Galvanized) steel she ts for culverts shall conform to AASHTO M 218. Steel sheet, aluminum-c oated (type 2) by the hot-dip process for sewer and drainage pipe shall conform to AASHTO M 274. Aluminum-zinc alloy coated sheet steel for corrugated steel pipe shall conform to AASHTO M 289.
 - 1.1.2. *Types of Culverts.* Culverts shall be type I (Circular) or type II (Arch) shape unless otherwise specified on the Plans.
 - 1.1.3. Externally Coated or Clad Culverts.
 - 1.1.3.1. Bituminous Coated Corrugated Metal Culvert Pipe and Pipe Arches shall conform to AASHTO M 190. Type A bituminous coating shall be used unless type B, type C, or type D is specified.
 - 1.1.3.2. Precoated Corrugated Steel Culverts shall conform to AASHTO M 245Mconstructed from polymer coated sheet conforming to AASHTO M 246M, or shall conform to AASHTO M 36M construced from aluminum-coated (type 2) sheet conforming to AASHTO M274..

2. Aluminum Conduits-Culverts.

- 2.1. Corrugated Aluminum Alloy Culverts shall conform to AASHTO M 196. If bituminous coating is specified it shall by type A coating unless type B or type C coating is specified, meeting the requirements of AASHTO M 190.
 - 2.2. Clad Aluminum Alloy Sheets for Culverts shall conform to AASHTO M 197.
- 3. Nonmetallic Conduits-Culverts.
 - 3.1. Class PS 50 Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) Pipe shall conform to AASHTO M 278.
 - 3.2. Corrugated Polyethylene Pipe, shall conform to AASHTO M 294.
- 4. DELETED.

5. DELETED.

- 6. Nonmetallic Conduits-Underdrain.
 - 6.1. Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) Pipe. Class PS 50 polyvinyl chloride (PVC) pipe shall conform to AASHTO M 278, or highway underdrain conforming to the requirements of ASTM F 758, Standard Specifications for Smooth-Wall Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) Plastic Underdrain Systems for Highway, Airport and Similar Drainage with material Specification of ASTM D 1784. This underdrain shall be furnished with a minimum pipe stiffness of 317 kPa (Type PS-46). Corrugated polyvinyl chloride (PVC) pipe shall meet the requirements of ASTM F 949.

6.2. Polyethylene Drainage Tubing.

- 6.2.1. Corrugated Polyethylene Drainage Tubing. Corrugated polyethylene drainage tubing shall conform to AASHTO M 252 Type C or CP.
- 6.2.2. Smooth Interior Corrugated Polyethylene Drainage Tubing. Smooth wall corrugated polyethylene drainage tubing shall conform to AASHTO M 252 Types S or SP or AASHTO M 294 Type S. The polyethylene pipe shall be manufactured from High Density Polyethylene (HDPE), virgin compounds in accordance with ASTM D 3350, cell class 324420 C.
- 6.2.3. **Perforations.** When perforations are specified, they shall meet the requirements of Class 2 unless otherwise noted on the Plans.
- 6.2.4. Materials Certification, Testing and Acceptance. Materials certification, testing and acceptance shall be in accordance with the requirements of AASHTO M 252, AASHTO M 294, and the Department's acceptance policy published as: "Procedure for Inspection,