# DETERMINING THE EFFECTS OF THERMAL INCREASES ON STREAM FISHES OF THE OUACHITA MOUNTAIN ECOREGION

By

### JUSTIN R. ALEXANDER

Bachelor of Science in Zoology

Oklahoma State University

Stillwater, OK

2009

Submitted to the Faculty of the Graduate College of the Oklahoma State University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of MASTER OF SCIENCE December, 2017

# DETERMINING THE EFFECTS OF THERMAL INCREASES ON STREAM FISHES OF THE OUACHITA MOUNTAIN ECOREGION

Thesis Approved:

Dr. Shannon Brewer

Thesis Adviser

Dr. Garey Fox

Dr. Carla Goad

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thank God for his mighty power and divine timing, grace, and wisdom. Thank God for the people in my life. I owe a debt of gratitude to so many. Throughout my time as a master's student, I have relied on friends, mentors, and family for encouragement and guidance. Despite many struggles, my wife, Heather, has reassured me that I was capable. My family has reminded me of my life-long goals. My friends have encouraged me and given me rest. My mentors have given me guidance through difficult circumstances. My colleagues have been sounding boards and counselors through research and writing. Help has come in the form of keeping my car on the road, hugs and pats on the back, phone calls, edits, field and lab work, threats and promises, and food. Among those who provided such things are the following: Heather, Josiah, Ronda, David, Jeremy, and Sara Alexander, Mark and Karen Schemet, Kaylyn and Spencer Davis, Chris Genske, Lee Perry, Steve Greiner, Desiree Moore, and members of the Brewer lab past and present. Certainly, none of this would have been possible without the advisement of Dr. Shannon Brewer. Her hard work and dedication to my success is a testament to the value she places on education and advancement of science. Finally, thanks to the Oklahoma Department of Wildlife Conservation and National Science Foundation for funding this research. Their contributions to this project provided valuable information for the conservation and management of our precious natural resources. Their investment is an example of stewardship for the good of the public and its land. I'm certain I have forgotten to thank many as this list is short and everyone I know has helped me in some way. Please don't be shy about bringing it up to me so that I can thank you in person.

### Name: JUSTIN ALEXANDER

### Date of Degree: DECEMBER, 2017

### Title of Study: DETERMINING THE EFFECTS OF THERMAL INCREASES ON STREAM FISHES OF THE OUACHITA MOUNTAIN ECOREGION

### Major Field: NATURAL RESOURCE ECOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT

Abstract: Altered thermal regimes affect stream fishes both directly and indirectly, influencing their distribution, growth, reproduction, and survival. Increasing temperatures and growing demand for freshwater highlight the need to predict stream fish responses to increasing stream temperatures; however, we lack information on the thermal tolerances of many warmwater stream fishes. The objectives of my thesis were to 1) determine critical thermal maxima (CTMax) of 10 stream fishes in the Ouachita Mountain ecoregion, and 2) assess stress response by six stream fishes via whole-body cortisol after prolonged exposure to elevated water temperatures. First, I determined CTMax for 10 stream fishes of the Ouachita Mountain ecoregion (20°C acclimation, 2°C per h heating rate). Critical thermal maxima ranged 34.0-38.3°C among species. Blackspotted Topminnow Fundulus olivaceus had the highest CTMax and Orangebelly Darter Etheostoma radiosum and Channel Darter Percina copelandi had the lowest CTMax. Benthic fishes had the lowest CTMax, and the only surface-dwelling species tested had the highest CTMax. The CTMax values determined in my study are useful for developing proactive conservation and management strategies aimed at maintaining or improving stream-thermal conditions, and predicting species most susceptible to thermal change. Lastly, I measured wholebody cortisol concentrations of six stream fishes of the Ouachita Mountain ecoregion after prolonged exposure to elevated water temperatures. I acclimated fishes to 20.0°C, increased temperature 1°C per d, and exposed fishes to treatment temperatures (control, 27.0°C; experimental, 32.0°C) for 14-d. I hypothesized that fishes exposed to 32.0°C would show increased whole-body cortisol concentrations and that levels of stress response would relate to thermal sensitivity (i.e., CTMax, Chapter 2). I found no significant differences in stress response by fishes between the two treatment temperatures. Results from my stress trials represent basal whole-body cortisol concentrations for all my study species except Highland Stoneroller *Campostoma spadiceum*. Despite apparent tolerance of, or acclimation to, stressful thermal conditions, fishes may suffer from decreased reproduction or growth; thus, future studies should investigate the tertiary stress response to chronic thermal stress in these species. Whole-body cortisol levels from this study may serve as a baseline for monitoring stress in small-bodied stream fishes inhabiting altered stream systems.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
Introduction References	
II. DETERMINING THE CRITICAL THERMAL MA OUACHITA MOUNTAIN ECOREGION	AXIMUM OF FISHES OF THE 14
Abstract	
Introduction	
Methods	
Study area	
Fish collection and acclimation	
Critical thermal maximum	
Data analysis	
Results	
Discussion	
References	
Appendices	
III. DOES PROLONGED EXPOSURE TO ELEVAT INCREASE CORTISOL IN STREAM FISHES?.	ED WATER TEMPERATURES 45
Abstract	
Introduction	
Methods	
Study area	
Fish collection and acclimation	
Whole-body cortisol concentration	
Data analysis	
Results	
Discussion	
References	60
Appendices	

## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
Chapter 2. Table 1	25
Chapter 2. Table 2	
Chapter 3. Table 1	

# LIST OF FIGURES

# Figure

## Page

27
29
59

### CHAPTER I

### INTRODUCTION

The natural flow and thermal regimes shape river ecosystems through abiotic and biotic processes. Both the flow and thermal regimes can be described by the magnitude, frequency, duration, timing, and rate of change of discharge (Poff et al. 1997) or temperature patterns (Caissie 2006). The flow regime moves water through the landscape, transporting sediments and nutrients downstream and across the floodplain (Hynes 1975; Junk et al. 1989). Further, deposition of sediments provides habitat for stream biota (Poff et al. 1997). A range of flow magnitudes is necessary for various biotic processes to occur. For example, over-bank flows are necessary for some stream fishes to successfully reproduce (e.g., floodplain spawning in Common Carp Cyprinus carpio, Jones and Stuart 2009; and Alligator Gar Atractosteus spatula, Kluender et al. 2017). Alternatively, low flows control plant diversity in the riparian area of desert streams (Stromberg et al. 2005), and provide suitable rearing habitat for a variety of stream fishes (Holland and Huston 1984; Jurajda 1999; Massicotte et al. 2015). Like the flow regime, the thermal regime controls the suitability of some habitat features (Constantz 1998; Ferencz and Cardenas 2017). The thermal regime determines the distribution and abundance of stream biota via several mechanisms that have been described as lethal, stressing, controlling, limiting, masking, and directing features (Coutant 1976; Rahel and Olden 2008). Just as a range of flow magnitudes is necessary for ecosystem function, a range of temperatures is necessary to support.

completion of the life cycle. For example, age-0 Smallmouth Bass *Micropterus dolomieu* have an optimal growth temperature of 29°C while the adult optimum is 22°C (Whitledge et al. 2006); thus, streams with patchy thermal environments benefit several life stages (Brewer et al. 2007; Brewer 2013). The natural patterns of stream flow and temperature are often disrupted by anthropogenic changes.

Humans alter the flow and thermal regimes of rivers via direct consumption of water, and indirectly by altering flow and thermal patterns through landscape changes. Globally, growing populations are increasing freshwater demand, reducing overall streamflow, and altering discharge timing. In the United States, approximately 870 million m3 of surface water and 280 million m3 of groundwater are consumed annually for public, domestic, agricultural, and industrial uses (Maupin et al. 2014). The withdrawal of groundwater and surface water reduces stream flows (Xenopoulos 2005) and consequently, increases stream temperatures (Caissie 2006). The effects of altered discharge and temperature regimes are exacerbated in urban areas, where nearly half of the world's population resides (Cohen 2003). Urban areas have greater amounts of impervious surfaces and are associated with higher peak flows, truncated baseflows (O'Driscoll et al. 2010), and temperature surges (e.g., runoff-induced temperature surges of 7.4°C lasting 7.6 h, Nelson and Palmer 2007). Damming rivers is another wide-spread practice in North America (~80,000 dams, Graf 2001) that alters flow and temperature regimes. Hydroelectric power generation disrupts the natural frequency and timing of high and low magnitude flows (Harnish et al. 2014). Downstream of flood-control dams, flows are often artificially stabilized or decreased in magnitude (Graf 2006) with corresponding changes to the temperature patterns (Lessard et al 2003). Climate change will exacerbate observed flow and thermal patterns, but the effects of climate change will vary regionally. For example, precipitation in the United States has increased 5-10% since 1900, primarily as extreme events. This increase in precipitation is associated with increasing winter and decreasing summer streamflows (Dore 2005; Olivera and DeFee 2007).

Globally, increases in air temperatures are expected to raise average water temperatures 0.8-1.6°C over the next 100 years (Morrill et al. 2005; Van Vliet et al. 2013). In the United States, Kaushal et al. (2010) found stream temperature increases of 0.009-0.077°C/year associated with increasing atmospheric temperatures. Despite the intertwined relationship between flow and stream temperature, the deleterious effects of altered thermal regimes have largely been overshadowed by flow alteration.

Altered thermal regimes influence the distribution, growth, reproduction, and survival of fishes. The availability of suitable temperatures often limits the distribution of fishes (Comte and Grenouillet 2013; Comte et al. 2014). For example, the natural distribution of riverine Smallmouth Bass of the Ozark Highlands ecoregion is related to changes in springflow volume (Brewer et al. 2007). Similarly, Arkansas Darter Etheostoma cragini is associated with spring locations (Miller 1984); however, decreases in groundwater seepage are related to the decline of Arkansas Darter (Eberle and Stark 2000). Both metabolism and fish growth increase with water temperature until a threshold is reached where growth scope is reduced (Wootton 1990; Allan & Castillo 2007). For example, at water temperatures  $> 30^{\circ}$ C, metabolic costs exceed maximum daily consumption for Smallmouth Bass and weight loss is expected (Whitledge et al. 2002). Water temperature also affects the reproductive success of fishes. Temperature is one of many environmental cues that initiates spawning (e.g., Shortnose Sturgeon Acipenser brevirostrum, Kynard 1997), but if the timing of these cues is disrupted, spawning may never occur (Auer 2004) or ontogeny may be compromised. For example, embryonic survival rates of Common Bream Abramis brama decreased with increasing water temperature (Targońska et al. 2014). Lastly, lower and upper temperature limits dictate where a species can survive (Coutant, 1976; Rahel and Olden 2008). The greater range of Channel Catfish *Ictalurus punctatus* compared to that of Largemouth Bass *Micropterus salmoides* is reflected in its broader thermal tolerance (Currie et al. 1998; Page and Burr 2011). Fishes with lower thermal tolerance are often replaced by more

tolerant fishes following drought-induced temperature increases (Magoulick and Kobza 2003; Matthews and Marsh-Matthews 2003). Combined, acutely lethal and chronic stressors shape populations and communities.

Prolonged exposure to various stressors affects cortisol levels, and can negatively affect fish physiology. Production of corticosteroid hormones is a common stress response (Wendelaar Bonga 1997; Mommsen et al. 1999). For example, Pejerry Odontesthes bonariensis reared at high temperatures had elevated whole-body cortisol concentrations (Hattori et al. 2014). Mozambique Tilapia Oreochromis mossambicus exposed to stressful holding conditions for 24 h showed elevated plasma cortisol and glucose concentrations and mobilization of amino acids. This response may be a mechanism for coping with increased energy demand in stressful conditions (Vijayan et al. 1997). Presence of cortisol promotes the breakdown of skeletal muscle for energy, negatively affecting growth (Van Der Boon et al. 1991). Fish reproduction is also affected by cortisol (Barton and Iwama 1991; Pankhurst and Van Der Kraak 1997; Schreck et al. 2001). For example, Campbell et al. (1994) found that chronic confinement stress was associated with elevated cortisol, decreased egg size, and decreased gamete quality in Rainbow Trout Oncorhynchus mykiss. Cortisol may act as an immunosuppressant. Espelid et al. (1996) demonstrated decreased LPS-induced mitogenesis of leukocytes in Atlantic Salmon Salmo salar dosed with cortisol, indicating a decreased ability to protect against infections. Similarly, elevated cortisol and decreased disease resistance were simultaneously demonstrated in Fancy Carp *Cyprinus carpio* following crowding stress (Yin et al. 1995). Finally, elevated cortisol is related to a fish's ability to survive chronic stressors. Chronic elevation of plasma cortisol in Brown Trout Salmo trutta and Rainbow Trout was associated with increased bacterial and fungal infections and instantaneous mortality rate (Pickering and Pottinger 1989). Understanding the effects of chronic stress on fishes is useful for the development of stream fish management and conservation strategies.

In order to proactively protect ecosystem health and prevent losses in biodiversity, we must understand the thermal limits of aquatic organisms. Knowing how fishes interact with their thermal environment will enable managers to make appropriate stream water-management decisions (e.g., allocations, water withdrawals, environmental flows, etc.). The goal of my thesis is to provide information on the thermal limits of stream fishes so that managers may develop alternative stream-temperature management strategies. Specifically, my objectives are to 1) determine critical thermal maxima of 10 stream fishes in the Ouachita Mountain ecoregion, and 2) determine if six stream fishes exhibit a stress response via whole-body cortisol after prolonged exposure to elevated water temperatures. Often, stream temperatures exceed the thermal limits of fishes, potentially having lethal consequences. Critical thermal maxima determined in my first objective will serve as conservative estimates of the lethal thermal limits of the species in my study and allow species to be ranked in order of thermal sensitivity. In my second objective, I examine the possibility of sublethal effects of chronic thermal exposure. These data may be used to develop thermal exposure limits and evaluate the benefits of various reservoir releases for mitigation of chronic stress caused by thermal exposure. The usefulness of these data is especially apparent in the Ouachita Mountain ecoregion where extensive hydrologic alterations have biological consequences.

The Ouachita Mountain ecoregion hosts a diverse aquatic fauna, but is also subject to hydrologic and thermal alterations. This ecoregion harbors many endemic species (e.g., Ouachita Rock Pocketbook *Arkansia wheeleri*, Vaughn and Pyron 1995; and Leopard Darter *Percina pantherina*, Dauwalter et al. 2008) and is a hotspot for freshwater biodiversity (Master et al. 1998). Sardis Dam was constructed in 1983 to impound Jackfork Creek, a major tributary of the Kiamichi River (Pyron et al. 1998). This impoundment accounts for approximately 25% of the drainage area of the Kiamichi River (Vaughn et al. 2015). Lack of releases from Sardis Dam and extended periods of drought have caused stream drying, fragmentation, and increased water temperatures in the

Kiamichi River contributing to high mortality rates (Spooner and Vaughn 2000) and reduced reproduction for freshwater mussel fauna (Galbraith et al. 2010). Despite decreased water volume and losses of aquatic biota, additional water diversions from the Kiamichi River to central Oklahoma have been approved (Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma and Chickasaw Nation Water Settlement 2016). Extensive alteration and losses of aquatic biota highlight the importance of characterizing the thermal limits of native fauna in the Kiamichi River.

#### REFERENCES

- Allan, J.D., Castillo, M.M., 2007. The abiotic environment, in: Stream Ecology: Structure and Function of Running Waters. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp. 75–103.
- Auer, N. a, 2004. Conservation, in: LeBreton, G.T.O., Beamish, F.W.H., McKinley, R.S. (Eds.), Sturgeons and Paddlefish of North America. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp. 252– 276.
- Barton, B.A., Iwama, G.K., 1991. Physiological changes in fish from stress in aquaculture with emphasis on the response and effects of corticosteroids. Annu. Rev. Fish Dis. 1, 3–26.
- Brewer, S.K., 2013. Groundwater influences on the distribution and abundance of riverine
   Smallmouth Bass, Micropterus dolomieu, in pasture landscapes of the midwestern USA.
   River Res. Appl. 29, 269–278.
- Brewer, S.K., Rabeni, C.F., Sowa, S.P., Annis, G., 2007. Natural Landscape and Stream Segment Attributes Influencing the Distribution and Relative Abundance of Riverine Smallmouth Bass in Missouri. North Am. J. Fish. Manag. 27, 326–341.
- Caissie, D., 2006. The thermal regime of rivers: a review. Freshw. Biol. 51, 1389–1406.
- Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma and the Chickasaw Nation Water Settlement, WINN Act, PL 114-322, \*\*; S.612 -- 114th Congress (2015-2016).
- Cohen, J.E., 2003. Human Population: The Next Half Century. Science. 302, 1172 LP-1175.
- Comte, L., Grenouillet, G., 2013. Do stream fish track climate change? Assessing distribution shifts in recent decades. Ecography (Cop.). 36, 1236–1246.
- Comte, L., Murienne, J., Grenouillet, G., 2014. Species traits and phylogenetic conservatism of climate-induced range shifts in stream fishes. Nat. Commun. 5, 5023.

- Constantz, J., 1998. Interaction between stream temperature, streamflow, and groundwater exchanges in alpine streams. Water Resour. Res. 34, 1609–1615.
- Coutant, C.C., 1976. Thermal effects on fish ecology, in: Encyclopedia of Environmental Science and Engineering. Gordon and Breach Publishers, New York, NY, pp. 891–896.
- Currie, R.J., Bennett, W.A., Beitinger, T.L., 1998. Critical thermal minima and maxima of three freshwater game-fish species acclimated to constant temperatures. Environ. Biol. Fishes 51, 187–200.
- Dauwalter, D.C., Splinter, D.K., Fisher, W.L., Marston, R.A., 2008. Biogeography, ecoregions, and geomorphology affect fish species composition in streams of eastern Oklahoma, USA. Environ. Biol. Fishes 82, 237–249.
- Dore, M.H.I., 2005. Climate change and changes in global precipitation patterns: What do we know? Environ. Int. 31, 1167–1181.
- Eberle, M.E., Stark, W.J., 2000. Status of the Arkansas darter in south-central Kansas and adjacent Oklahoma. Prairie Nat. 32, 103–114.
- Espelid, S., Løkken, G.B., Steiro, K., Bøgwald, J., 1996. Effects of cortisol and stress on the immune system in Atlantic Salmon (Salmo salarL.). Fish Shellfish Immunol. 6, 95–110.
- Ferencz, S.B., Cardenas, M.B., 2017. Diel stream temperature regimes of Bukovsky regions of the conterminous United States. Geophys. Res. Lett. 44, 2264–2271.
- Galbraith, H.S., Spooner, D.E., Vaughn, C.C., 2010. Synergistic effects of regional climate patterns and local water management on freshwater mussel communities. Biol. Conserv. 143, 1175–1183.

- Graf, W.L., 2006. Downstream hydrologic and geomorphic effects of large dams on American rivers. Geomorphology 79, 336–360.
- Graf, W.L., 2001. Damage Control: Restoring the Physical Integrity of America's Rivers. Ann. Assoc. Am. Geogr. 91, 1–27.
- Harnish, R.A., Sharma, R., McMichael, G.A., Langshaw, R.B., Pearsons, T.N., 2014. Effect of hydroelectric dam operations on the freshwater productivity of a Columbia River fall Chinook salmon population. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 71, 602–615.
- Hattori, R.S., Fernandino, J.I., Kishii, A., Kimura, H., Kinno, T., Oura, M., Somoza, G.M.,
  Yokota, M., Strüssmann, C.A., Watanabe, S., 2009. Cortisol-Induced Masculinization:
  Does Thermal Stress Affect Gonadal Fate in Pejerrey, a Teleost Fish with TemperatureDependent Sex Determination? PLoS One 4, e6548.
- Holland, L.E., Huston, M.L., 1984. Relationship of Young-of-the-Year Northern Pike to AquaticVegetation Types in Backwaters of the Upper Mississippi River. North Am. J. Fish.Manag. 4, 514–522.
- Hynes, H.B.N., 1975. Edgardo Baldi memorial lecture. The stream and valley. Verh Int Ver Theor Angew Loimnol 19, 1–15.
- Jones, M.J., Stuart, I.G., 2009. Lateral movement of common carp (Cyprinus carpio L.) in a large lowland river and floodplain. Ecol. Freshw. Fish 18, 72–82.
- Junk, W.J., Bayley, P.B., Sparks, R.E., Others, 1989. The flood pulse concept in river-floodplain systems, in: Proceedings of the International Large River Symposium. Dodge, DP (Ed). Can. Spec. Publ. Fish. Aquat. Sci. pp. 110–127.

- Jurajda, P., 1999. Comparative nursery habitat use by 0+ fish in a modified lowland river. Regul. Rivers Res. Manag. 15, 113–124.
- Kaushal, S.S., Likens, G.E., Jaworski, N.A., Pace, M.L., Sides, A.M., Seekell, D., Belt, K.T., Secor, D.H., Wingate, R.L., 2010. Rising stream and river temperatures in the United States. Front. Ecol. Environ. 8, 461–466.
- Kluender, E.R., Adams, R., Lewis, L., 2017. Seasonal habitat use of alligator gar in a river– floodplain ecosystem at multiple spatial scales. Ecol. Freshw. Fish 26, 233–246.
- Kynard, B., 1997. Life history, latitudinal patterns, and status of the shortnose sturgeon, Acipenser brevirostrum, in: Environmental Biology of Fishes. Springer, pp. 319–334.
- Lessard, J.L., Hayes, D.B., 2003. Effects of elevated water temperature on fish and macroinvertebrate communities below small dams. River Res. Appl. 19, 721–732.
- Magoulick, D.D., Kobza, R.M., 2003. The role of refugia for fishes during drought: a review and synthesis. Freshw. Biol. 48, 1186–1198.
- Massicotte, P., Bertolo, A., Brodeur, P., Hudon, C., Mingelbier, M., Magnan, P., 2015. Influence of the aquatic vegetation landscape on larval fish abundance. J. Great Lakes Res. 41, 873–880.
- Master, L.L., Flack, S.R., Stein, B.A., 1998. Rivers of life: critical watersheds for protecting freshwater biodiversity. Nature Conservancy Arlington, Virginia.
- Matthews, W.J., Marsh-Matthews, E., 2003. Effects of drought on fish across axes of space, time and ecological complexity. Freshw. Biol. 48, 1232–1253.
- Maupin, M.A., Kenny, J.F., Hutson, S.S., Lovelace, J.K., Barber, N.L., Linsey, K.S., 2014. Estimated use of water in the United States in 2010, Circular. Reston, VA. Miller, D.L.,

1984. Distribution, Abundance, and Habitat of the Arkansas Darter Etheostoma cragini (Percidae) in Colorado. Southwest. Nat. 29, 496–499.

- Mommsen, T.P., Vijayan, M.M., Moon, T.W., 1999. Cortisol in teleosts: dynamics, mechanisms of action, and metabolic regulation. Rev. Fish Biol. Fish. 9, 211–268.
- Morrill, J.C., Bales, R.C., Conklin, M.H., 2005. Estimating stream temperature from air temperature: implications for future water quality. J. Environ. Eng. 131, 139–146.
- Nelson, K.C., Palmer, M.A., 2007. Stream temperature surges under urbanization and climate change: data, models, and responses. JAWRA J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc. 43, 440–452.
- O'Driscoll, M., Clinton, S., Jefferson, A., Manda, A., McMillan, S., 2010. Urbanization effects on watershed hydrology and in-stream processes in the southern United States. Water 2, 605–648.
- Olivera, F., DeFee, B.B., 2007. Urbanization and Its Effect On Runoff in the Whiteoak Bayou Watershed, Texas1. JAWRA J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc. 43, 170–182.
- Page, L.M., Burr, B.M., 2011. Peterson Field Guide to Freshwater Fishes of North America North of Mexico, Peterson Field Guides. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.
- Pankhurst, N.W., Van Der Kraak, G., 1997. Effects of stress on reproduction and growth of fish, in: Iwama, G.K. (Ed.), Fish Stress and Health in Aquaculture. Cambridge University Press Cambridge, UK, pp. 73–93.
- Pickering, A.D., Pottinger, T.G., 1989. Stress responses and disease resistance in salmonid fish: Effects of chronic elevation of plasma cortisol. Fish Physiol. Biochem. 7, 253–258.
- Poff, N.L., Allan, J.D., Bain, M.B., Karr, J.R., Prestegaard, K.L., Richter, B.D., Sparks, R.E., Stromberg, J.C., 1997. The Natural Flow Regime. Bioscience 47, 769–784.

- Pyron, M., Vaughn, C.C., Winston, M.R., Pigg, J., 1998. Fish Assemblage Structure from 20 Years of Collections in the Kiamichi River, Oklahoma. Southwest. Nat. 43, 336–343.
- Rahel, F.J., Olden, J.D., 2008. Assessing the effects of climate change on aquatic invasive species. Conserv. Biol. 22, 521–533.
- Schreck, C.B., Contreras-Sanchez, W., Fitzpatrick, M.S., 2001. Effects of stress on fish reproduction, gamete quality, and progeny. Aquaculture 197, 3–24.
- Spooner, D.E., Vaughn, C.C., 2000. Impact of drought conditions on a mussel bed in the Kiamichi River, southeastern Oklahoma. Ellipsaria 2000, 10–11.
- Stromberg, J.C., Bagstad, K.J., Leenhouts, J.M., Lite, S.J., Makings, E., 2005. Effects of stream flow intermittency on riparian vegetation of a semiarid region river (San Pedro River, Arizona). River Res. Appl. 21, 925–938.
- Targońska, K., Kupren, K., Palińska-żarska, K., Mamcarz, A., Kujawa, R., Skrzypczak, A.,
  Furgała-Selezniow, G., Czarkowski, T.K., Hakuć-Błażowska, A., Kucharczyk, D., 2014.
  Influence of temperature during four following spawning seasons on the spawning effectiveness of common bream, Abramis brama (L.) under natural and controlled conditions. J. Therm. Biol. 39, 17–23.
- Van Der Boon, J., Van Den Thillart, G.E., Addink, A.D.F., 1991. The effects of cortisol administration on intermediary metabolism in teleost fish. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part A Physiol. 100, 47–53.
- Van Vliet, M.T.H., Franssen, W.H.P., Yearsley, J.R., Ludwig, F., Haddeland, I., Lettenmaier,
  D.P., Kabat, P., 2013. Global river discharge and water temperature under climate
  change. Glob. Environ. Chang. 23, 450–464.

- Vaughn, C.C., Atkinson, C.L., Julian, J.P., 2015. Drought□induced changes in flow regimes lead to long□term losses in mussel□provided ecosystem services. Ecol. Evol. 5, 1291–1305.
- Vaughn, C.C., Pyron, M., 1995. Population ecology of the endangered Ouachita rock-pocketbook mussel, Arkansia wheeleri (Bivalvia: Unionidae), in the Kiamichi River, Oklahoma. Am. Malacol. Bull. 11, 145–151.
- Vijayan, M.M., Pereira, C., Grau, E.G., Iwama, G.K., 1997. Metabolic responses associated with confinement stress in tilapia: the role of cortisol. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part C Pharmacol. Toxicol. Endocrinol. 116, 89–95.
- Wendelaar Bonga, S.E., 1997. The stress response in fish. Physiol. Rev. 77, 591 LP-625.
- Whitledge, G.W., Hayward, R.S., Rabeni, C.F., 2002. Effects of temperature on specific daily metabolic demand and growth scope of sub-adult and adult smallmouth bass. J. Freshw. Ecol. 17, 353–361.
- Whitledge, G.W., Rabeni, C.F., Annis, G., Sowa, S.P., 2006. Riparian shading and groundwater enhance growth potential for smallmouth bass in Ozark streams. Ecol. Appl. 16, 1461– 1473.
- Wootton, R.J., 1990. Feeding, in: Ecology of Teleost Fishes. Chapman and Hall, New York, NY, pp. 32–72.
- Xenopoulos, M.A., Lodge, D.M., Alcamo, J., Märker, M., Schulze, K., Van Vuuren, D.P., 2005. Scenarios of freshwater fish extinctions from climate change and water withdrawal. Glob. Chang. Biol. 11, 1557–1564.
- Yin, Z., Lam, T.J., Sin, Y.M., 1995. The effects of crowding stress on the non-specific immuneresponse in fancy carp (Cyprinus carpio L.). Fish Shellfish Immunol. 5, 519–529.

### CHAPTER II

# DETERMINING THE CRITICAL THERMAL MAXIMUM OF FISHES OF THE OUACHITA MOUNTAIN ECOREGION

#### ABSTRACT

Stream temperatures play a key role in determining the distribution and abundance of stream fishes. Critical thermal maximum (CTMax) effectively evaluates acute thermal tolerance, and is useful for reflecting the sensitivities of stream fishes or a ranking of thermal tolerances. My study objective was to determine CTMax for several stream fishes and determine if habitat use by these fishes was related to their thermal tolerance. I determined CTMax for 10 stream fishes of the Ouachita Mountain ecoregion using an incomplete block design with a survival control. Each block consisted of up to six species, each represented by one individual. I replicated the experiment 10 times for each species. I developed a generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) where CTMax was the dependent variable and my independent variables were species as a fixed effect and trial as a random effect. I used a Tukey Kramer Honest Significant Difference post-hoc test to assess where significant differences within the GLMM occurred. I acclimated fishes in the laboratory to 20.0°C for two weeks, exposed them to a 2.0°C per h water temperature increase, and determined at what temperature individuals exhibited loss of equilibrium. My GLMM was significant for the fixed effect of species and CTMax ranged 34.0-38.3°C among species.

*Etheostoma radiosum* and Channel Darter *Percina copelandi* were the most sensitive to acute thermal increases. Thermal tolerances related to habitat use where benthic fishes had the lowest CTMax, and the only surface-dwelling species tested had the highest thermal tolerance. However, CTMax was not different for many benthic and mid-column fishes: Common Logperch *Percina caprodes*, Dusky Darter *Percina sciera*, Slenderhead Darter *Percina phoxocephala*, Bigeye Shiner *Notropis boops*, Highland Stoneroller *Campostoma spadiceum*, and Steelcolor Shiner *Cyprinella whipplei*. The CTMax values are useful for developing proactive conservation and management strategies aimed at maintaining or improving stream thermal conditions, and predicting species most susceptible to thermal change.

### INTRODUCTION

Temperature is a primary factor regulating the distribution and abundance of fishes and is controlled by both natural and anthropogenic landscape features. Some of the natural catchment characteristics that regulate stream temperatures include riparian coverage (Whitledge et al. 2006), spring-flow volume (Nichols et al. 2014), and atmospheric conditions (Johnson et al. 2014). For example, the distribution of riverine Smallmouth Bass *Micropterus dolomieu* of the Ozark Highlands ecoregion is related to changes in spring-flow contributions within a catchment (Brewer et al. 2007), but local groundwater seepage also regulates the use of habitat patches across stream reaches (Brewer 2013). Natural stream fragmentation caused by drought also relates to temperature increases and similar fish-assemblage shifts (Marchetti and Moyle 2001; Matthews and Marsh-Matthews 2003; Mas-Marti et al. 2010); however, human landscape alterations may exacerbate the effects of drought on stream fish populations (Perkin et al. 2015). Many human-induced landscape changes also affect stream temperatures including land-use change to urban (Nelson and Palmer 2007) and agricultural landscapes (Poole and Berman 2001), the construction of dams (Bunn and Arthington 2002), groundwater withdrawals (e.g., Arkansas Darter *Etheostoma cragini*, Eberle and Stark 2000; Arkansas River Shiner *Notropis giardi*, Perkin

et al. 2010), and warm-water effluents (Coulter et al. 2014). Both urban and agricultural development tend to increase stream temperatures (Allan 2004). Dams contribute to lower or nonexistent downriver flows (Palmer et al. 2009), increased downstream temperatures (Gu and Li 2002; Norton and Bradford 2009; Olden and Naiman 2010), and result in shifts in fish-assemblage structure (Quist et al. 2005; Freeman and Marcinek 2006; García et al. 2011). Extensive thermal alterations occur within North American streams (Carlisle et al. 2011) and affect stream fishes via several mechanisms.

Alterations to the thermal regime of streams disrupt growth, reproduction, and survival of fishes by increasing metabolic costs, impeding spawning, and ultimately killing fish. For each species of fish, there is an optimal temperature at which maximum growth occurs (Beitinger and Fitzpatrick 1979). Increases beyond the optimal temperature lead to increased energy demand causing slowed growth or weight loss (e.g., Smallmouth Bass, Whitledge et al. 2002; Lumpfish *Cyclopterus lumpus*, Nytrø et al. 2014). Temperature also initiates spawning in several species (e.g., Pallid Sturgeon *Scaphirhynchus albus*, DeLonay et al. 2009; seven Australian fish species, King et al. 2016), influences reproductive effort (e.g., age-1 Pumpkinseed Sunfish *Lepomis gibbosus*, Masson et al. 2015), and reproductive success. For example, truncated warm winters are associated with reduced egg and larval quality and weak recruitment in Yellow Perch *Perca flavescens* (Farmer et al. 2015). Fundamentally, fishes require a particular range of temperatures in order to survive (Coutant 1976; Rahel and Olden 2008; Sandblom et al. 2016). Fish kills are commonly reported by management agencies, particularly during the summer months when water temperatures are high and discharge is low (Bailey 1955; Mundahl 1990; Hupfeld, et al. 2015). In order for fishes to persist, it is important to understand their thermal limits.

Several approaches are used to estimate the tolerances of fishes including statistical techniques, laboratory trials with a terminal or extreme physiological endpoint, physiological response, or genetic expression. Biesinger et al. (1979) used the 95th percentile of mean weekly water

temperatures to estimate thermal tolerances of more than 300 North American stream fishes. Similarly, Wehrly et al. (2007) used maximum temperatures over a 1 to 63-d period at their warmest study locations to determine thermal tolerance of Brook Trout Salvelinus fontinalis and Brown Trout Salmo trutta. More commonly, thermal tolerance is assessed in a laboratory setting following acclimation to some temperature. Subsequent exposure to extreme temperatures occurs immediately (e.g., incipient lethal temperature, Fry et al. 1946), rapidly (e.g., 1-60°C/hr in lethal thermal method, Becker and Genoway 1979; and critical thermal maximum, Cowles and Bogert 1944), or gradually (e.g., 1°C/day in acclimated chronic exposure, Zale 1984; chronic lethal method, Beitinger et al. 2000) and causes loss of equilibrium or death. Rapid heating prevents fishes from acclimating to changing temperatures, whereas more gradual increases allow acclimation (Beitinger et al. 2000). More recent efforts examine exposure to thermal regimes and physiological responses to temperature. For example, Farless and Brewer (2017) examined the importance of daily thermal refugia to overall thermal tolerances of select stream fishes. Alternatively, other investigators use oxygen demand (Pörtner and Knust 2007), maximum heart rate (Ferreira et al. 2014), and cardiac protein abundance (Jayasundara et al. 2015) to evaluate the physiological responses to thermal increases. Lastly, recent efforts are focused on identifying gene expression to thermal increases (e.g., downregulation of protein processing genes in Lemon Damselfish Pomacentrus moluccensis, Kassahn et al. 2007; decreased cellular communication gene expression in Antarctic Eelpout Pachycara brachycephalum, Windisch et al. 2014). Despite the myriad of techniques available to evaluate thermal tolerances of aquatic organisms, these data are largely unknown for many warmwater stream fishes.

Predicting responses of stream organisms to changing thermal conditions is difficult. First, there is substantial uncertainty inherent in our climate predictions (Shepherd 2014). Current trends suggest extreme weather events (e.g., drought) will be more problematic than annual averages (Cook et al. 2015). However, we also lack information on the thermal tolerances of many

warmwater stream fishes (Smale and Rabeni 1995; Lutterschmidt and Hutchison 1997; Beitinger et al. 2000). Use of critical thermal maximum (CTMax) effectively evaluates acute thermal tolerance while requiring a relatively small number of individuals. Therefore, my study objectives were to 1) determine CTMax for 10 stream fishes and 2) assess if fishes could be grouped based on similarities in thermal tolerances related to habitat use. If thermal tolerances of fishes reflect the prevailing conditions of the habitats they occupy, I would expect fishes with similar CTMax to occupy similar environments. My hypothesis was that species inhabiting surface/slack water habitats and pelagic habitats would have higher CTMax compared to species inhabiting more stable thermal environments (i.e., benthos).

#### METHODS

*Study area*. Fishes were collected from the Kiamichi and Glover rivers of the Ouachita Mountain Ecoregion, Oklahoma (Figure 1). The ecoregion receives 109-145 cm of precipitation, annually. The lithology of the region is primarily sandstone, shale, and chert resulting in coarse substrates in the headwaters, transitioning to lower gradient streams with finer substrates. The upland portions of the streams are relatively clear during baseflow conditions, but carry high suspended sediment loads during periods of high runoff. The lower portions of the rivers tend to be more turbid (Woods et al. 2005). The discharge patterns of the Kiamichi River are affected by reservoirs at two locations: Sardis Lake on Jackfork Creek, a major tributary, and downstream of the Jackfork Creek confluence, Hugo Lake impounds the mainstem. Mean annual flow in the Kiamichi River is approximately 45 m<sup>3</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup>.

*Fish collection and acclimation*. Fishes were collected in 2015 and 2016, transported to, and acclimated to laboratory conditions. I collected fishes using a seine (2.44 m in length, 1.83 m in height, with 0.3175 cm diameter mesh) that was pre-soaked in VidaLife (Western Chemical Inc., Ferndale, WA) to minimize handling stress (i.e., reduces friction on the fish). Collected fish were

transported in stream water treated with non-iodized salt to 1% (10 g/L) to reduce stress (Swann and Fitzgerald 1992). Fishes remained in hauling containers for up to 12 h until the temperature of the hauling water reached that of the holding tanks, approximately 20.0°C. Fishes were then transferred to 190-L holding tanks covered with a screen on the top. I added airstones to all holding tanks to maintain dissolved oxygen >5 mg/L. Over the first 96 h, fishes were left undisturbed to recover from transportation stress. Brown Trout and Rainbow Trout recover from acute emersion and confinement within 24-48 h (Pickering and Pottinger 1989). Fishes remained in holding tanks where they were acclimated to laboratory conditions over a two-week period.

Following the initial 96 h, fish were fed and water-quality conditions were checked daily. I fed fish flakes (Wardley Advanced Nutrition Perfect Protein Tropical Fish Flake Food, Hartz Mountain Corporation, Secaucus, NJ) and bloodworms (Fish Gum Drops Floating Fish Food Bloodworms, San Francisco Bay Brand, Newark, CA) once daily to satiation (i.e., until fishes ceased eating). Unconsumed food was removed from aquariums daily via siphon. Ammonia, pH, and chloramine were checked twice daily. The temperature of the holding tanks was maintained at approximately 20.0°C. Ammonia was maintained <0.5 ppm. This level was only observed when new fish were added to the holding tanks, and for the first few days of lab acclimation. For the duration of acclimation and experimentation, ammonia was <0.25 ppm, pH was 8-8.5, and chloramine was zero. Water changes of approximately 30% were performed daily after the first 96 h of acclimation.

*Critical thermal maximum.* I determined the CTMax of ten stream fishes using an incomplete block design with an associated survival control (i.e., the control was not included in the final analyses). Each block consisted of up to six species, each represented by one individual. I replicated the experiment ten times for each species. I set up a system that routed water from a 189.27-L sump to six 37.85-L acrylic aquaria (Figure 2). Two airstones were added to the sump system to maintain dissolved oxygen above 5 mg/L. Water in the sump system was heated with a

5000-W Smartone heater (OEM Heaters, Saint Paul, MN). Because of the incomplete block design, I tested the species in 35 blocks. I randomly assigned species to aquaria, but haphazardly assigned individual fish to each aquarium (one fish per aquarium). I maintained a survival control using a separate sump system where fish experienced the same handling as the treatment fish, but were held at 20.0°C for the duration of the each trial. All fishes were held at 20.0°C for 24 h prior to the start of the experiment to allow acclimation to testing conditions and recovery from handling stress (Hutchison and Maness 1979; Pickering and Pottinger 1989). I only used adults in these trials because they are often less tolerant of higher temperatures (Pörtner and Farrell 2008), and would be more likely to represent thermal population bottlenecks.

I determined the thermal response of ten stream fishes belonging to three habitat guilds (Table 1). These species were chosen based on 1) abundance, 2) ease of capture, and 3) conservation status (i.e., Orangebelly Darter *Etheostoma radiosum*, Oklahoma's Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Strategy). Habitat guilds were assigned based on descriptions provided in published references (Pflieger 1997; Miller and Robison 2004; Cashner et al. 2010). The "benthic" guild comprised species that primarily occupy the stream bottom. The "mid-column" guild comprised species that primarily occupy the water column. The "surface" guild had only one species that was classified based on its occupancy of the surface of slackwater habitats.

I completed my trials using one critical endpoint, loss of equilibrium (LOE) (Becker and Genoway 1979; Lutterschmidt and Hutchison 1997; Beitinger et al. 2000). During my trials, I increased water temperature 2°C/h until fish experienced LOE. I defined LOE as the point at which an individual lost the ability to maintain dorso-ventral orientation (Becker and Genoway 1979). None of the control fish experienced LOE.

*Data analysis*. The residuals of the CTMax data were normally distributed and analyzed using a generalized linear mixed model (GLMM). In the GLMM, temperature was the dependent variable

and species (e.g., Orangebelly Darter, Steelcolor Shiner *Cyprinella whipplei*, etc.) was assigned as a fixed effect, and trial (i.e., each time a CTMax experiment was performed) was assigned as a random effect. I checked for homogeneity of variance of the fixed effect. The random effect, trial, was treated as a block effect to control for differences among trials that were not directly of interest. The random effect was assumed normally distributed as N(0,  $\tau^2$ ), where  $\tau^2$  is the population variance among levels of the random effect. I assessed significance at  $\alpha \le 0.05$ . I then performed a Tukey Kramer Honest Significant Difference (HSD) post hoc test, adjusted for multiple comparisons, to determine where significant differences occurred among species. Analyses were performed using PROC GLIMMIX in SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

### RESULTS

The fixed effect in my CTMax model explained how species responded differently to acute thermal stress. Variance of the fixed effect was homogenous (Figure 3). The variance estimate of the random effect was 0. Therefore, accounting for the variation due to trial (i.e., trial random effect) was not necessary. The fixed effect of species was significant in my model (F<sub>9, 90</sub> = 30.37, P <0.01) indicating CTMax was different for some of the 10 species included in the analysis.

The post hoc test I used separated the CTMax of the 10 species into three significant groups (Figure 4). Interestingly, Tukey Kramer HSD groupings did not clearly follow habitat guilds (i.e. benthic, mid-column, and surface) as I hypothesized. Instead, thermal tolerances of fishes that belonged to the benthic and mid-column habitat guilds often did not differ from one another; however, exceptions were the Orangebelly Darter (34.0°C) and Channel Darter *Percina copelandi* (34.1°C) that had lower thermal tolerances when compared to the Bluntnose Minnow *Pimephales notatus* (35.3°C) (t<sub>90</sub>=3.99, P<0.01; t<sub>90</sub>=3.6, P=0.018, respectively). As expected, the

Blackspotted Topminnow *Fundulus olivaceus* (38.3°C) had a higher thermal tolerance than all other species ( $t_{90}$ =9.37, P<0.01).

#### DISCUSSION

The CTMax data from this study are, to the best of my knowledge, the first records of thermal tolerance of Slenderhead Darter *Percina phoxocephala*, Steelcolor Shiner, Highland Stoneroller *Campostoma spadiceum*, Dusky Darter *Percina sciera*, and Channel Darter. These data provide insight into the thermal ecology of fishes that occupy altered streams and allow us to predict their responses to expected environmental changes (Smale and Rabeni 1995; Ostrand and Wilde 2001). For example, stream temperatures in the Kiamichi River regularly approach Channel Darter's CTMax and further increases may be detrimental.

Critical thermal maxima from my study differed from known values likely due to differences in acclimation temperature, heating rate, and species-specific responses to local environmental conditions. For example, Smale and Rabeni (1995) found CTMax (36.6°C) of Bluntnose Minnow to be much higher than my results (35.3°C) when acclimated to 26°C. Blackspotted Topminnow (38.8°C) were also marginally higher than my results (38.3°C). Mundahl (1990) found a much higher Bluntnose Minnow CTMax (37.9°C) in individuals acclimated to 24°C and heated at rates of 0.5-0.8°C/min. Even when fishes experience the same acclimation temperature and rate of heating, pH and hardness can alter thermal tolerances. For example, Farless and Brewer (2017) found higher CTMax in Orangebelly Darter and Bigeye Shiner *Notropis boops* and lower CTMax in Common Logperch *Percina caprodes* taken from streams with higher pH and hardness than my study. Differences in pH and water hardness are known to alter fishes' gill structure (Platek et al. 2017), respiratory abilities (Miller et al. 2016), and tolerance of ammonia (Baldisserotto et al. 2014) in species-specific ways, all of which may contribute to an individual's effective thermal tolerance (Coutant 1976; Pörtner and Knust 2007; Anttila et al. 2017).

Small differences in thermal tolerance may have physiological and population-level implications. I hypothesized benthic fishes would have low thermal tolerances because they primarily occupy the streambed where water temperatures typically remain the coolest and most stable (Brown et al. 2005; Schmidt et al. 2006; Seilheimer and Fisher 2010). Alternatively, I expected mid-column fishes to have higher thermal tolerances due to their occupation of warmer, more thermally variable habitats (Webb and Zhang 1999; Caissie 2006). However, differences in CTMax between benthic and mid-column guilds were not significant. The generally lower CTMax observed among darters may have ecological significance. Bioenergetics models for Smallmouth Bass predicted that, at 22°C, a 227-g individual would grow 26% over summer. That same individual would experience 5% growth with a 1°C increase and 5% weight loss with a 2°C increase (Whitledge et al. 2006). Pörtner and Knust (2007) found that increases as little as 1°C above optimal temperature were associated with declines in arterial blood flow in Eelpout Zoarces viviparus. Additionally, Eelpout relative abundance sharply decreased with temperature increases of less than 1°C within its pejus range. The sensitivity of aquatic organisms to even slight increases in temperature demonstrates the need to properly manage our water, especially in light of climate change and the prevalence of altered systems.

Fishes in the Kiamichi River currently experience temperatures near their thermal limits and expected increases could be problematic. Increasing atmospheric temperatures will cause a 2-3°C water-temperature increase in the south-central United States over the next 50-100 years (Morrill et al. 2005; van Vliet et al. 2013). An increase of 2-3°C could be detrimental for fish populations in the Kiamichi River, causing stream temperatures (Table 2) to exceed CTMax (Figure 4) for many species in this study. Increasing stream temperatures highlight the importance of thermal refugia such as areas of groundwater upwelling (Brewer 2013). However, dewatering of streams is widespread, increases water temperatures, and reduces suitable habitat for stream fishes (Luttrell et al. 1999; Bonner and Wilde 2000). Dewatering can occur as a result of limited

reservoir releases, overexploitation of groundwater and surface water, or extended drought (Muehlbauer et al. 2011). The resulting increases in water temperature stress fish and put them at risk for reduced or delayed reproduction (Tveiten and Johnsen 1999; Auer 2004), increased susceptibility to disease (Yin et al. 1995), weight loss (Whitledge et al. 2002), and even death (Allan and Castillo 2007).

Critical thermal maximum may be useful for developing conservation and management strategies aimed at improving thermal conditions for stream fishes. Thermal tolerance data are useful for ranking species by susceptibility to increases in temperature (Smale and Rabeni 1995; Ostrand and Wilde 2001). Agencies may use CTMax as a target for stream-temperature management, but should remain mindful of the limits and natural plasticity of CTMax (i.e., CTMax is positively related to acclimation temperature and may be a conservative measure of thermal tolerance, Brett 1952; Bennett and Beitinger 1997; Lutterschmidt and Hutchison 1997). Measures should be taken to limit further water-temperature increases (e.g., meeting flow needs, Spooner et al. 2005; Gates et al. 2015; Brewer et al. 2016; limiting groundwater withdrawal, Caissie 2006; Waco and Taylor 2010; Brewer 2013) and protect thermally sensitive aquatic fauna. Stream management could benefit from incorporating temperature-monitoring programs with thermal tolerance of sensitive species as critical values. Table 1. Critical thermal maxima (CTMax) were determined for fish species that occupy Ouachita Mountain streams. Fishes were acclimated to 20.0°C and exposed to a 2.0°C/hr increase in temperature until loss of equilibrium. Experimental fishes were collected from the Kiamichi River in autumn 2015, spring, and summer 2016. CTMax values were compared among species to see if differences related to habitat use.

Common Name	Scientific Name	Habitat Guild	Typical Habitat	Reference
Bigeye Shiner	Notropis boops	Mid-column	Mid-column, run, pool	Pflieger, 1997
Blackspotted Topminnow	Fundulus olivaceus	Surface Surface water, backwaters, edgewaters		Pflieger, 1997
Bluntnose Minnow	Pimephales notatus	Mid-column	Mid-column, backwaters, pools	
Channel Darter	Percina copelandi	Benthic	Benthic, riffle, run, pool	Miller and Robison, 2004
Common Logperch	Percina caprodes	Benthic	Benthic, riffle, run, pool	Miller and Robison, 2004
Dusky Darter	Percina sciera	Benthic	Benthic, riffle, run, pool	Miller and Robison, 2004
Highland Stoneroller	Campostoma spadiceum	Mid-column	Mid-column, riffle, run, pool	
Orangebelly Darter <sub>1</sub>	Etheostoma radiosum	Benthic	Benthic, riffle, run, pool	Miller and Robison, 2004
Slenderhead Darter	Percina phoxocephala	Benthic	Benthic, riffle, run, pool	Miller and Robison, 2004
Steelcolor Shiner	Cyprinella whipplei	Mid-column	Mid-column, riffle, run, pool	Pflieger, 1997

10klahoma Species of Greatest Conservation Concern

Table 2. Maximum temperatures were summarized for six sites along Kiamichi River for 2013, 2014, and 2015. These temperatures approach and often exceed CTM for many fishes in this study.

Site         Year         Temperature (°C)         Temperature           Payne Pool         33.68         Aug. 10           N 34.42570, W 95.57646         2013         33.68         Aug. 10           2014         32.56         Aug. 25         2015           2015         -         -           Payne Riffle         .         .         .           N 34.42647, W 95.57774         2013         35.56         Jul. 23           2015         -         -         -           Pine Spur Pool         .         .         .           N 34.50702, W 95.50890         2013         34.44         Aug. 7           2014         -         -         .           Pine Spur Riffle         .         .         .           N 34.50609, W 95.51008         2013         34.73         Aug. 7           2014         -         -         .           N 34.63543, W 95.12070         2013         32.02         Jun. 28           2014         -         -         .           N 34.63627, W 95.12258         2013         36.28         Jul. 12           N 34.63627, W 95.12258         2013         36.28         Jul. 27			Maximum	Date of Maximum
Payne PoolN 34.42570, W 95.57646201333.68Aug. 10201432.56Aug. 252015Payne RiffleJul. 23N 34.42647, W 95.57774201335.56Jul. 23201433.57Aug. 252015Pine Spur PoolJul. 23N 34.50702, W 95.50890201334.44Aug. 720142015Pine Spur RiffleJul. 23Jul. 25N 34.50609, W 95.51008201334.73Aug. 7201432.38Jul. 8201535.34Jul. 25Robins PoolJul. 25Jul. 25Robins PoolJul. 25Jul. 25N 34.63543, W 95.12070201332.02Jun. 282015N 34.63627, W 95.12258201336.28Jul. 12201434.20Jul. 27Jul. 27Indian Highway PoolJul. 21Jul. 27N 34.65749, W 95.04310201333.03Jun. 28201431.84Jul. 27Jul. 27Indian Highway RiffleJul. 33.33Jul. 12N 34.65772, W 95.04202201334.55Jul. 11201433.33Aug. 25	Site	Year	Temperature (°C)	Temperature
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Payne Pool			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	N 34.42570, W 95.57646	2013	33.68	Aug. 10
2015Payne RiffleN 34.42647, W 95.57774201335.56Jul. 23201433.57Aug. 252015Pine Spur PoolN 34.50702, W 95.50890201334.44Aug. 720142015Pine Spur RiffleN 34.50609, W 95.51008201334.73Aug. 7201432.38Jul. 8201535.34Jul. 25Robins PoolN 34.63543, W 95.12070201332.02Jun. 2820142015Robins RiffleN 34.63627, W 95.12258201336.28Jul. 12201434.47Aug. 26201534.20Jul. 27Indian Highway PoolN 34.65749, W 95.04310201333.03Jun. 28201431.84Jul. 27.2015Indian Highway RiffleN 34.65772, W 95.04202201334.55Jul. 11201433.33Aug. 252015Indian Highway RiffleN 34.65772, W 95.04202201334.55Jul. 1120142014201420143.33201520152016		2014	32.56	Aug. 25
Payne Riffle       Jul. 23         N 34.42647, W 95.57774       2013       35.56       Jul. 23         2014       33.57       Aug. 25         2015       -       -         Pine Spur Pool       2013       34.44       Aug. 7         2014       -       -         2015       -       -         Pine Spur Pool       2013       34.44       Aug. 7         2015       -       -         Pine Spur Riffle       -       -         N 34.50609, W 95.51008       2013       34.73       Aug. 7         2014       32.38       Jul. 8       2015       35.34       Jul. 25         Robins Pool       -       -       -       -       -         N 34.63543, W 95.12070       2013       32.02       Jun. 28       2014       -       -         2014       -       -       2014       -       -       -         N 34.63627, W 95.12258       2013       36.28       Jul. 12       -       -         Robins Riffle       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -<		2015	-	-
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Payne Riffle			
2014       33.57       Aug. 25         2015       -       -         Pine Spur Pool       2013       34.44       Aug. 7         N 34.50702, W 95.50890       2013       34.44       Aug. 7         2014       -       -       -         2015       -       -       -         Pine Spur Riffle       -       -       -         N 34.50609, W 95.51008       2013       34.73       Aug. 7         2014       32.38       Jul. 8       Jul. 8         2015       35.34       Jul. 25         Robins Pool       -       -       -         N 34.63543, W 95.12070       2013       32.02       Jun. 28         2014       -       -       -         2015       -       -       -         Robins Riffle       -       -       -         N 34.63627, W 95.12258       2013       36.28       Jul. 12         2014       34.47       Aug. 26       -         2015       34.20       Jul. 27         Indian Highway Pool       -       -       -         N 34.65749, W 95.04310       2013       33.03       Jul. 27         2015	N 34.42647, W 95.57774	2013	35.56	Jul. 23
2015       -       -         Pine Spur Pool       2013       34.44       Aug. 7         2014       -       -         2015       -       -         2016       -       -         2017       34.44       Aug. 7         2018       -       -         2019       -       -         Pine Spur Riffle       -       -         N 34.50609, W 95.51008       2013       34.73       Aug. 7         2014       32.38       Jul. 8       -         2015       35.34       Jul. 25         Robins Pool       -       -       -         N 34.63543, W 95.12070       2013       32.02       Jun. 28         2014       -       -       -         2015       -       -       -         Robins Riffle       -       -       -         N 34.63627, W 95.12258       2013       36.28       Jul. 12         2014       34.47       Aug. 26       -         2015       34.20       Jul. 27       -         Indian Highway Pool       -       -       -         N 34.65749, W 95.04310       2013       33.03		2014	33.57	Aug. 25
Pine Spur Pool N $34.50702, W 95.50890$ 2013 2013 $34.44$ 2014Aug. 7 2014 $2014$ $2015$ -Pine Spur Riffle N $34.50609, W 95.51008$ 2013 2013 $34.73$ 2014Aug. 7 2014 $2014$ $32.38$ 2015Jul. 8 2015 $2015$ $35.34$ Jul. 25Robins Pool N $34.63543, W 95.12070$ 2013 2013 $32.02$ 2014Jun. 28 2015Robins Riffle N $34.63627, W 95.12258$ 2013 2013 $36.28$ 2015Jul. 12 2014N $34.63627, W 95.12258$ 2013 2013 $36.28$ 2015Jul. 12 2014N $34.65749, W 95.04310$ 2013 2013 $33.03$ 2015Jun. 28 2014N $34.65749, W 95.04310$ 2013 2013 $31.84$ 2015Jul. 27 2015Indian Highway Riffle N $34.65772, W 95.04202$ 2013 2013 $34.55$ 2014Jul. 11 2014N $34.65772, W 95.04202$ 2013 2013 $34.55$ 2014Jul. 12 2014		2015	-	-
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pine Spur Pool			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	N 34.50702, W 95.50890	2013	34.44	Aug. 7
2015       -       -         Pine Spur Riffle       2013       34.73       Aug. 7         N 34.50609, W 95.51008       2014       32.38       Jul. 8         2015       35.34       Jul. 25         Robins Pool       2013       32.02       Jun. 28         N 34.63543, W 95.12070       2013       32.02       Jun. 28         2014       -       -       -         2015       -       -       -         Robins Riffle       -       -       -         N 34.63627, W 95.12258       2013       36.28       Jul. 12         2014       34.47       Aug. 26       -         2015       34.20       Jul. 27         Indian Highway Pool       2013       33.03       Jun. 28         2014       31.84       Jul. 27         2015       -       -       -         Indian Highway Pool       2013       33.03       Jun. 28         2014       31.84       Jul. 27       -         2015       -       -       -         Indian Highway Riffle       -       -       -         N 34.65772, W 95.04202       2013       34.55       Jul. 11		2014	-	-
$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mbox{Pine Spur Riffle} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$		2015	-	-
N 34.50609, W 95.51008       2013       34.73       Aug. 7         2014       32.38       Jul. 8         2015       35.34       Jul. 25         Robins Pool       2013       32.02       Jun. 28         N 34.63543, W 95.12070       2013       32.02       Jun. 28         2014       -       -         2015       -       -         Robins Riffle       -       -         N 34.63627, W 95.12258       2013       36.28       Jul. 12         2014       34.47       Aug. 26       2015       34.20         Jul. 27       Indian Highway Pool       Jul. 27       Jul. 27         Indian Highway Pool       2013       33.03       Jul. 27         Indian Highway Riffle       2014       31.84       Jul. 27         2015       -       -       -         Indian Highway Riffle       Jul. 27       2015       -         N 34.65772, W 95.04202       2013       34.55       Jul. 11         2014       33.33       Aug. 25         2015       -       -       -	Pine Spur Riffle			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	N 34.50609, W 95.51008	2013	34.73	Aug. 7
2015       35.34       Jul. 25         Robins Pool       2013       32.02       Jun. 28         2014       -       -         2015       -       -         Robins Riffle       -       -         N 34.63627, W 95.12258       2013       36.28       Jul. 12         2014       34.47       Aug. 26         2015       34.20       Jul. 27         Indian Highway Pool       2013       33.03       Jun. 28         2014       31.84       Jul. 27         Indian Highway Riffle       2013       33.03       Jun. 28         2015       -       -         Indian Highway Riffle       2013       34.55       Jul. 11         N 34.65772, W 95.04202       2013       34.55       Jul. 11         2014       33.33       Aug. 25         2015       -2015       -       -		2014	32.38	Jul. 8
Robins Pool       2013       32.02       Jun. 28         N 34.63543, W 95.12070       2013       32.02       Jun. 28         2014       -       -         2015       -       -         Robins Riffle       -       -         N 34.63627, W 95.12258       2013       36.28       Jul. 12         2014       34.47       Aug. 26         2015       34.20       Jul. 27         Indian Highway Pool       2013       33.03       Jun. 28         N 34.65749, W 95.04310       2013       33.03       Jun. 28         2014       31.84       Jul. 27         Indian Highway Riffle       -       -         N 34.65772, W 95.04202       2013       34.55       Jul. 11         2014       33.33       Aug. 25         2015       -       -		2015	35.34	Jul. 25
N 34.63543, W 95.12070 2013 32.02 Jun. 28 2014 2015 Robins Riffle N 34.63627, W 95.12258 2013 36.28 Jul. 12 2014 34.47 Aug. 26 2015 34.20 Jul. 27 Indian Highway Pool N 34.65749, W 95.04310 2013 33.03 Jun. 28 2014 31.84 Jul. 27 2015 Indian Highway Riffle N 34.65772, W 95.04202 2013 34.55 Jul. 11 2014 33.33 Aug. 25 2015 -	Robins Pool			
2014       -       -         2015       -       -         Robins Riffle       -       -         N 34.63627, W 95.12258       2013       36.28       Jul. 12         2014       34.47       Aug. 26         2015       34.20       Jul. 27         Indian Highway Pool       -       -         N 34.65749, W 95.04310       2013       33.03       Jun. 28         2014       31.84       Jul. 27         2015       -       -         Indian Highway Riffle       -       -         N 34.65772, W 95.04202       2013       34.55       Jul. 11         2014       33.33       Aug. 25         2015       - 2015       -       -	N 34.63543, W 95.12070	2013	32.02	Jun. 28
2015       -       -         Robins Riffle       -       -         N 34.63627, W 95.12258       2013       36.28       Jul. 12         2014       34.47       Aug. 26         2015       34.20       Jul. 27         Indian Highway Pool       -       -         N 34.65749, W 95.04310       2013       33.03       Jun. 28         2014       31.84       Jul. 27         2015       -       -         Indian Highway Riffle       -       -         N 34.65772, W 95.04202       2013       34.55       Jul. 11         2014       33.33       Aug. 25         2015       - 22.55       Lul. 28		2014	-	-
Robins Riffle       2013       36.28       Jul. 12         N 34.63627, W 95.12258       2013       36.28       Jul. 12         2014       34.47       Aug. 26         2015       34.20       Jul. 27         Indian Highway Pool       2013       33.03       Jun. 28         N 34.65749, W 95.04310       2013       33.03       Jul. 27         2014       31.84       Jul. 27         2015       -       -         Indian Highway Riffle       -         N 34.65772, W 95.04202       2013       34.55       Jul. 11         2014       33.33       Aug. 25         2015       - 22.25       Lul. 28		2015	-	-
N 34.63627, W 95.12258       2013       36.28       Jul. 12         2014       34.47       Aug. 26         2015       34.20       Jul. 27         Indian Highway Pool       V       V         N 34.65749, W 95.04310       2013       33.03         2014       31.84       Jul. 27         Indian Highway Riffle       V       V         N 34.65772, W 95.04202       2013       34.55         2014       33.33       Aug. 25         2015       -       V	Robins Riffle			
2014       34.47       Aug. 26         2015       34.20       Jul. 27         Indian Highway Pool       2013       33.03       Jun. 28         2014       31.84       Jul. 27         2015       -       -         Indian Highway Riffle       2013       34.55         N 34.65772, W 95.04202       2013       34.55         2014       33.33       Aug. 25         2015       -       -	N 34.63627, W 95.12258	2013	36.28	Jul. 12
2015       34.20       Jul. 27         Indian Highway Pool       2013       33.03       Jun. 28         N 34.65749, W 95.04310       2013       33.03       Jul. 27         2015       -       -         Indian Highway Riffle       2013       34.55       Jul. 11         N 34.65772, W 95.04202       2013       34.55       Jul. 11         2014       33.33       Aug. 25         2015       -       -	,	2014	34.47	Aug. 26
Indian Highway Pool N 34.65749, W 95.04310 2013 33.03 Jun. 28 2014 31.84 Jul. 27 2015 Indian Highway Riffle N 34.65772, W 95.04202 2013 34.55 Jul. 11 2014 33.33 Aug. 25 2015 - 22.25 Hul. 28		2015	34.20	Jul. 27
N 34.65749, W 95.04310       2013       33.03       Jun. 28         2014       31.84       Jul. 27         2015       -       -         Indian Highway Riffle       -         N 34.65772, W 95.04202       2013       34.55         2014       33.33       Aug. 25         2015       -       -	Indian Highway Pool			
2014       31.84       Jul. 27         2015       -       -         Indian Highway Riffle       -       -         N 34.65772, W 95.04202       2013       34.55       Jul. 11         2014       33.33       Aug. 25         2015       -       -	N 34.65749, W 95.04310	2013	33.03	Jun. 28
2015       -       -         Indian Highway Riffle       -       -         N 34.65772, W 95.04202       2013       34.55       Jul. 11         2014       33.33       Aug. 25         2015       -22.55       Lul. 28	,	2014	31.84	Jul. 27
Indian Highway Riffle N 34.65772, W 95.04202 2013 34.55 Jul. 11 2014 33.33 Aug. 25 2015 22.25 Hul. 28		2015	-	-
N 34.65772, W 95.04202 2013 34.55 Jul. 11 2014 33.33 Aug. 25 2015 22.25 Lul. 28	Indian Highway Riffle			
2014 33.33 Aug. 25	N 34.65772. W 95.04202	2013	34.55	Jul. 11
2015 22.05 L-1.20	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2014	33.33	Aug. 25
2015 32 25 .011 28		2015	32.25	Jul. 28



Figure 1. Fishes for CTMax trials were collected from the Kiamichi and Glover rivers of the Ouachita Mountain ecoregion (shaded) in southeast Oklahoma.



Figure 2. Sump system for testing critical thermal maximum (CTM). A pump discharges water into the 37.85-L aquariums and a gravity fed system discharges water into the 189.27-L sump. Water is heated in the sump by a 5000-W Smartone heater (OEM Heaters, Saint Paul, MN).



Figure 3. Plot of residuals against critical thermal maximum (CTMax) from trials on 10 fishes: Bigeye Shiner *Notropis boops*, Blackspotted Topminnow *Fundulus olivaceus*, Bluntnose Minnow *Pimephales notatus*, Channel Darter *Percina copelandi*, Common Logperch *Percina caprodes*, Dusky Darter *Percina sciera*, Highland Stoneroller *Campostoma spadiceum*, Orangebelly Darter *Etheostoma radiosum*, Slenderhead Darter *Percina phoxocephala*, and Steelcolor Shiner *Cyprinella whipplei*. Fish were acclimated to 20.0°C and exposed to a 2.0°C/hr increase in temperature until loss of equilibrium. Experimental fishes were collected from the Kiamichi River in autumn 2015, spring, and summer 2016.


Figure 4. Average (± standard error) critical thermal maximum (CTMax) from trials on 10 stream fishes: Bigeye Shiner *Notropis boops*, Blackspotted Topminnow *Fundulus olivaceus*, Bluntnose Minnow *Pimephales notatus*, Channel Darter *Percina copelandi*, Common Logperch *Percina caprodes*, Dusky Darter *Percina sciera*, Highland Stoneroller *Campostoma spadiceum*, Orangebelly Darter *Etheostoma radiosum*, Slenderhead Darter *Percina phoxocephala*, and Steelcolor Shiner *Cyprinella whipplei*. Fish were acclimated to 20.0°C and exposed to a 2.0°C/hr increase in temperature until loss of equilibrium. Experimental fishes were collected from the Kiamichi River in autumn 2015, spring, and summer 2016. Letters over each bar represent groupings from Tukey Kramer Honest Significant Difference post hoc analysis.

#### REFERENCES

- Allan, J.D., 2004. Landscapes and riverscapes: the influence of land use on stream ecosystems. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 35, 257–284.
- Allan, J.D., Castillo, M.M., 2007. The abiotic environment, in: Stream Ecology: Structure and Function of Running Waters. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp. 75–103.
- Anttila, K., Jørgensen, S.M., Casselman, M.T., Timmerhaus, G., Farrell, A.P., Takle, H., 2014.
   Association between swimming performance, cardiorespiratory morphometry, and
   thermal tolerance in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.). Front. Mar. Sci. 1, 76.
- Auer, N. a, 2004. Conservation, in: LeBreton, G.T.O., Beamish, F.W.H., McKinley, R.S. (Eds.), Sturgeons and Paddlefish of North America. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp. 252– 276.
- Bailey, R.M., 1955. Differential mortality from high temperature in a mixed population of fishes in southern Michigan. Ecology 36, 526–528.
- Baldisserotto, B., Martos-Sitcha, J.A., Menezes, C.C., Toni, C., Prati, R.L., Garcia, L. de O., Salbego, J., Mancera, J.M., Martínez-Rodríguez, G., 2014. The effects of ammonia and water hardness on the hormonal, osmoregulatory and metabolic responses of the freshwater silver catfish Rhamdia quelen. Aquat. Toxicol. 152, 341–352.
- Becker, C.D., Genoway, R.G., 1979. Evaluation of the critical thermal maximum for determining thermal tolerance of freshwater fish. Environ. Biol. Fishes 4, 245–256.
- Beitinger, T.L., Bennett, W.A., McCauley, R.W., 2000. Temperature tolerances of North American freshwater fishes exposed to dynamic changes in temperature. Environ. Biol. fishes 58, 237–275.

- Beitinger, T.L., Fitzpatrick, L.C., 1979. Physiological and ecological correlates of preferred temperature in fish. Am. Zool. 19, 319–329.
- Bennett, W.A., Beitinger, T.L., 1997. Temperature tolerance of the sheepshead minnow, Cyprinodon variegatus. Copeia 77–87.
- Biesinger, K.E., Brown, R.P., Bernick, C.R., Flittner, G.A., Hokanson, K.E.F., Environmental Research Laboratory (Duluth, MN), 1979. A National compendium of freshwater fish and water temperature data. Environmental Research Laboratory, Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ; Available from National Technical Information Service.
- Bonner, T.H., Wilde, G.R., 2000. Changes in the Canadian River fish assemblage associated with reservoir construction. J. Freshw. Ecol. 15, 189–198.
- Brett, J.R., 1952. Temperature tolerance in young Pacific salmon, genus Oncorhynchus. J. Fish. Board Canada 9, 265–323.
- Brewer, S.K., 2013. Groundwater influences on the distribution and abundance of riverine Smallmouth Bass, Micropterus dolomieu, in pasture landscapes of the midwestern USA. River Res. Appl. 29, 269–278.
- Brewer, S.K., McManamay, R.A., Miller, A.D., Mollenhauer, R., Worthington, T.A., Arsuffi, T.,
  2016. Advancing Environmental Flow Science: Developing Frameworks for Altered
  Landscapes and Integrating Efforts Across Disciplines. Environ. Manage. 58, 175–192.
- Brewer, S.K., Rabeni, C.F., Sowa, S.P., Annis, G., 2007. Natural Landscape and Stream Segment Attributes Influencing the Distribution and Relative Abundance of Riverine Smallmouth Bass in Missouri. North Am. J. Fish. Manag. 27, 326–341.

- Brown, L.E., Hannah, D.M., Milner, A.M., 2005. Spatial and temporal water column and streambed temperature dynamics within an alpine catchment: implications for benthic communities. Hydrol. Process. 19, 1585–1610.
- Bunn, S.E., Arthington, A.H., 2002. Basic principles and ecological consequences of altered flow regimes for aquatic biodiversity. Environ. Manage. 30, 492–507.

Caissie, D., 2006. The thermal regime of rivers: a review. Freshw. Biol. 51, 1389–1406.

- Carlisle, D.M., Wolock, D.M., Meador, M.R., 2011. Alteration of streamflow magnitudes and potential ecological consequences: a multiregional assessment. Front. Ecol. Environ. 9, 264–270.
- Cashner, R.C., Matthews, W.J., Marsh-Matthews, E., Unmack, P.J., Cashner, F.M., 2010.
   Recognition and redescription of a distinctive stoneroller from the Southern Interior
   Highlands. Copeia 2010, 300–311.
- Cook, B.I., Ault, T.R., Smerdon, J.E., 2015. Unprecedented 21st century drought risk in the American Southwest and Central Plains. Sci. Adv. 1, e1400082.
- Coulter, D.P., Sepúlveda, M.S., Troy, C.D., Höök, T.O., 2014. Thermal habitat quality of aquatic organisms near power plant discharges: potential exacerbating effects of climate warming. Fish. Manag. Ecol. 21, 196–210.
- Coutant, C.C., 1976. Thermal effects on fish ecology, in: Encyclopedia of Environmental Science and Engineering. Gordon and Breach Publishers, New York, NY, pp. 891–896.
- Cowles, R.B., Bogert, C.M., 1944. A preliminary study of the thermal requirements of desert reptiles. Bull. Am. Museum Nat. Hist. 83, 261–296.

- DeLonay, A.J., Jacobson, R.B., Papoulias, D.M., Simpkins, D.G., Wildhaber, M.L., Reuter, J.M.,
  Bonnot, T.W., Chojnacki, K.A., Korschgen, C.E., Mestl, G.E., 2009. Ecological
  requirements for pallid sturgeon reproduction and recruitment in the Lower Missouri
  River: a research synthesis 2005–08.
- Eberle, M.E., Stark, W.J., 2000. Status of the Arkansas darter in south-central Kansas and adjacent Oklahoma. Prairie Nat. 32, 103–114.
- Farless, N.A., Brewer, S.K., 2017. Thermal tolerances of fishes occupying groundwater and surface-water dominated streams. Freshw. Sci. 0, 0. doi:10.1086/694781
- Farmer, T.M., Marschall, E.A., Dabrowski, K., Ludsin, S.A., 2015. Short winters threaten temperate fish populations. Nat. Commun. 6.
- Ferreira, E.O., Anttila, K., Farrell, A.P., 2014. Thermal optima and tolerance in the eurythermic goldfish (Carassius auratus): relationships between whole-animal aerobic capacity and maximum heart rate. Physiol. Biochem. Zool. 87, 599–611.
- Freeman, M.C., Marcinek, P.A., 2006. Fish assemblage responses to water withdrawals and water supply reservoirs in piedmont streams. Environ. Manage. 38, 435–450.
- Fry, F.E.J., Hart, J.S., Walker, K.F., 1946. Lethal temperature relations for a sample of young speckled trout. Univ. Toronto Stud. Biol.
- García, A., Jorde, K., Habit, E., Caamaño, D., Parra, O., 2011. Downstream environmental effects of dam operations: changes in habitat quality for native fish species. River Res. Appl. 27, 312–327.

- Gates, K.K., Vaughn, C.C., Julian, J.P., 2015. Developing environmental flow recommendations for freshwater mussels using the biological traits of species guilds. Freshw. Biol. 60, 620–635.
- Gu, R.R., Li, Y., 2002. River temperature sensitivity to hydraulic and meteorological parameters.J. Environ. Manage. 66, 43–56.
- Hupfeld, R.N., Phelps, Q.E., Flammang, M.K., Whitledge, G.W., 2015. Assessment of the effects of high summer water temperatures on shovelnose sturgeon and potential implications of climate change. River Res. Appl. 31, 1195–1201.
- Hutchison, V.H., Maness, J.D., 1979. The role of behavior in temperature acclimation and tolerance in ectotherms. Am. Zool. 19, 367–384.
- Jayasundara, N., Tomanek, L., Dowd, W.W., Somero, G.N., 2015. Proteomic analysis of cardiac response to thermal acclimation in the eurythermal goby fish Gillichthys mirabilis. J. Exp. Biol. 218, 1359–1372.
- Johnson, M.F., Wilby, R.L., Toone, J.A., 2014. Inferring air–water temperature relationships from river and catchment properties. Hydrol. Process. 28, 2912–2928.
- Kassahn, K.S., Caley, M.J., Ward, A.C., Connolly, A.R., Stone, G., Crozier, R.H., 2007.
  Heterologous microarray experiments used to identify the early gene response to heat stress in a coral reef fish. Mol. Ecol. 16, 1749–1763.
- King, A.J., Gwinn, D.C., Tonkin, Z., Mahoney, J., Raymond, S., Beesley, L., 2016. Using abiotic drivers of fish spawning to inform environmental flow management. J. Appl. Ecol. 53, 34–43.

- Lutterschmidt, W.I., Hutchison, V.H., 1997. The critical thermal maximum: history and critique. Can. J. Zool. 75, 1561–1574.
- Luttrell, G.R., Echelle, A.A., Fisher, W.L., Eisenhour, D.J., 1999. Declining status of two species of the Macrhybopsis aestivalis complex (Teleostei: Cyprinidae) in the Arkansas River basin and related effects of reservoirs as barriers to dispersal. Copeia 981–989.
- Marchetti, M.P., Moyle, P.B., 2001. Effects of flow regime on fish assemblages in a regulated California stream. Ecol. Appl. 11, 530–539.
- Mas-Martí, E., García-Berthou, E., Sabater, S., Tomanova, S., Muñoz, I., 2010. Comparing fish assemblages and trophic ecology of permanent and intermittent reaches in a Mediterranean stream. Hydrobiologia 657, 167–180.
- Masson, G., Valente, E., Fox, M.G., Copp, G.H., 2015. Thermal Influences on Life History Traits and Reproductive Effort of Introduced Pumpkinseed Sunfish Lepomis Gibbosus in the River Moselle Basin (Northeastern France). River Res. Appl. 31, 563–575.
- Matthews, W.J., Marsh-Matthews, E., 2003. Effects of drought on fish across axes of space, time and ecological complexity. Freshw. Biol. 48, 1232–1253.
- Miller, R.J., Robison, H.W., 2004. Fishes of Oklahoma. University of Oklahoma Press.
- Miller, S.H., Breitburg, D.L., Burrell, R.B., Keppel, A.G., 2016. Acidification increases sensitivity to hypoxia in important forage fishes. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 549, 1–8.
- Morrill, J.C., Bales, R.C., Conklin, M.H., 2005. Estimating stream temperature from air temperature: implications for future water quality. J. Environ. Eng. 131, 139–146.

- Muehlbauer, J.D., Doyle, M.W., Bernhardt, E.S., 2011. Macroinvertebrate community responses to a dewatering disturbance gradient in a restored stream. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. 15, 1771.
- Mundahl, N.D., 1990. Heat death of fish in shrinking stream pools. Am. Midl. Nat. 40-46.
- Nelson, K.C., Palmer, M.A., 2007. Stream temperature surges under urbanization and climate change: data, models, and responses. JAWRA J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc. 43, 440–452.
- Nichols, A.L., Willis, A.D., Jeffres, C.A., Deas, M.L., 2014. Water temperature patterns below large groundwater springs: management implications for coho salmon in the Shasta River, California. River Res. Appl. 30, 442–455.
- Norton, G.E., Bradford, A., 2009. Comparison of two stream temperature models and evaluation of potential management alternatives for the Speed River, Southern Ontario. J. Environ. Manage. 90, 866–878.
- Nytrø, A. V, Vikingstad, E., Foss, A., Hangstad, T.A., Reynolds, P., Eliassen, G., Elvegård, T.A., Falk-Petersen, I.-B., Imsland, A.K., 2014. The effect of temperature and fish size on growth of juvenile lumpfish (Cyclopterus lumpus L.). Aquaculture 434, 296–302.
- Oklahoma's Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Strategy. Available at http://www.wildlifedepartment.com/CWCS.htm, Accessed on November 9, 2016.
- Olden, J.D., Naiman, R.J., 2010. Incorporating thermal regimes into environmental flows assessments: modifying dam operations to restore freshwater ecosystem integrity. Freshw. Biol. 55, 86–107.

- Ostrand, K.G., Wilde, G.R., 2001. Temperature, dissolved oxygen, and salinity tolerances of five prairie stream fishes and their role in explaining fish assemblage patterns. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 130, 742–749.
- Palmer, M.A., Lettenmaier, D.P., Poff, N.L., Postel, S.L., Richter, B., Warner, R., 2009. Climate change and river ecosystems: protection and adaptation options. Environ. Manage. 44, 1053–1068.
- Perkin, J.S., Gido, K.B., Costigan, K.H., Daniels, M.D., Johnson, E.R., 2015. Fragmentation and drying ratchet down Great Plains stream fish diversity. Aquat. Conserv. Mar. Freshw. Ecosyst. 25, 639–655.
- Perkin, J.S., Gido, K.B., Johnson, E., Tabor, V.M., 2010. Consequences of stream fragmentation and climate change for rare Great Plains fishes. Final Rep. to USFWS Gt. Plains Landsc. Conserv. Coop. Progr.
- Pflieger, W.L., 1997. The fishes of Missouri, rev. ed. Missouri Dep. Conserv. Jefferson City, MO.
- Pickering, A.D., Pottinger, T.G., 1989. Stress responses and disease resistance in salmonid fish: Effects of chronic elevation of plasma cortisol. Fish Physiol. Biochem. 7, 253–258.
- Platek, A., Turko, A.J., Donini, A., Kelly, S., Wright, P.A., 2017. Environmental calcium regulates gill remodeling in a euryhaline teleost fish. J. Exp. Zool. Part A Ecol. Integr. Physiol. 327, 139–142.
- Poole, G.C., Berman, C.H., 2001. An ecological perspective on in-stream temperature: natural heat dynamics and mechanisms of human-causedthermal degradation. Environ. Manage. 27, 787–802.
- Pörtner, H.O., Farrell, A.P., 2008. Physiology and climate change. Science. 690–692.

- Pörtner, H.O., Knust, R., 2007. Climate change affects marine fishes through the oxygen limitation of thermal tolerance. Science. 315, 95–97.
- Quist, M.C., Hubert, W.A., Rahel, F.J., 2005. Fish assemblage structure following impoundment of a Great Plains river. West. North Am. Nat. 53–63.
- Rahel, F.J., Olden, J.D., 2008. Assessing the effects of climate change on aquatic invasive species. Conserv. Biol. 22, 521–533.
- SAS Institute, Inc. 2013. SAS/STAT User's Guide, version 9.4. SAS Institute, Inc: Cary, North Carolina, USA.
- Sandblom, E., Clark, T.D., Gräns, A., Ekström, A., Brijs, J., Sundström, L.F., Odelström, A., Adill, A., Aho, T., Jutfelt, F., 2016. Physiological constraints to climate warming in fish follow principles of plastic floors and concrete ceilings. Nat. Commun. 7, 11447.
- Schmidt, C., Bayer-Raich, M., Schirmer, M., 2006. Characterization of spatial heterogeneity of groundwater-stream water interactions using multiple depth streambed temperature measurements at the reach scale. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss. 3, 1419–1446.
- Seilheimer, T.S., Fisher, W.L., 2010. Habitat use by fishes in groundwater-dependent streams of southern Oklahoma. Am. Midl. Nat. 164, 201–216.
- Shepherd, T.G., 2014. Atmospheric circulation as a source of uncertainty in climate change projections. Nat. Geosci. 7, 703–708.
- Smale, M.A., Rabeni, C.F., 1995. Hypoxia and hyperthermia tolerances of headwater stream fishes. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 124, 698–710.
- Spooner, D.E., Vaughn, C.C., Galbraith, H.S., 2005. Physiological determination of mussel sensitivity to water management practices in the Kiamichi River and review and

summarization of literature pertaining to mussels of the Kiamichi and Little River watersheds, Oklahoma. Oklahoma Department of Wildlife Conservation.

Swann, L., Fitzgerald, S., 1992. Use and application of salt in aquaculture.

- Tveiten, H., Johnsen, H.K., 1999. Temperature experienced during vitellogenesis influences ovarian maturation and the timing of ovulation in common wolffish. J. Fish Biol. 55, 809–819.
- Van Vliet, M.T.H., Franssen, W.H.P., Yearsley, J.R., Ludwig, F., Haddeland, I., Lettenmaier,
  D.P., Kabat, P., 2013. Global river discharge and water temperature under climate
  change. Glob. Environ. Chang. 23, 450–464.
- Waco, K.E., Taylor, W.W., 2010. The influence of groundwater withdrawal and land use changes on brook charr (Salvelinus fontinalis) thermal habitat in two coldwater tributaries in Michigan, USA. Hydrobiologia 650, 101–116.
- Webb, B.W., Zhang, Y., 1999. Water temperatures and heat budgets in Dorset chalk water courses. Hydrol. Process. 13, 309–321.
- Wehrly, K.E., Wang, L., Mitro, M., 2007. Field-based estimates of thermal tolerance limits for trout: incorporating exposure time and temperature fluctuation. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 136, 365–374.
- Whitledge, G.W., Hayward, R.S., Rabeni, C.F., 2002. Effects of temperature on specific daily metabolic demand and growth scope of sub-adult and adult smallmouth bass. J. Freshw. Ecol. 17, 353–361.

- Whitledge, G.W., Rabeni, C.F., Annis, G., Sowa, S.P., 2006. Riparian shading and groundwater enhance growth potential for smallmouth bass in Ozark streams. Ecol. Appl. 16, 1461– 1473.
- Windisch, H.S., Frickenhaus, S., John, U., Knust, R., Pörtner, H., Lucassen, M., 2014. Stress response or beneficial temperature acclimation: transcriptomic signatures in Antarctic fish (Pachycara brachycephalum). Mol. Ecol. 23, 3469–3482.
- Woods, A.J., Omernik, J.M., Butler, D.R., Ford, J.G., Henley, J.E., Hoagland, B.W., Arndt, D.S.,
  Moran, B.C., 2005. Ecoregions of Oklahoma (color poster with map, descriptive text,
  summary tables, and photographs). US Geol. Surv. (map scale 1 1,250,000), Reston,
  Virginia.
- Yin, Z., Lam, T.J., Sin, Y.M., 1995. The effects of crowding stress on the non-specific immuneresponse in fancy carp (Cyprinus carpio L.). Fish Shellfish Immunol. 5, 519–529.
- Zale, A. V, 1984. Applied aspects of the thermal biology, ecology, and life history of the blue Tilapia aurea (pisces: cichlidae). University of Florida, Gainesville, FL.

#### **APPENDICES**

Appendix 1. Data analysis code for critical thermal maximum (CTMax) for 10 stream fishes: Bigeye Shiner *Notropis boops*, Blackspotted Topminnow *Fundulus olivaceus*, Bluntnose Minnow *Pimephales notatus*, Channel Darter *Percina copelandi*, Common Logperch *Percina caprodes*, Dusky Darter *Percina sciera*, Highland Stoneroller *Campostoma spadiceum*, Orangebelly Darter *Etheostoma radiosum*, Slenderhead Darter *Percina phoxocephala*, and Steelcolor Shiner *Cyprinella whipplei*. Fish were acclimated to 20.0°C and exposed to a 2.0°C/hr increase in temperature until loss of equilibrium. Experimental fishes were collected from the Kiamichi River in autumn 2015, spring, and summer 2016. Analyses were performed using PROC GLIMMIX in SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

PROC GLIMMIX data=jra plots=residualpanel(conditional marginal);

CLASS species trial;

MODEL temperature = species / ddfm=kr;

RANDOM trial;

RANDOM residual / subject=trial\*species type=un;

LSMEANS species/ pdiff adjust=tukey lines;

run;

quit;

Appendix 2. Mean (°C) and standard error (SE) of critical thermal maximum (CTMax) for 10 stream fishes: Bigeye Shiner *Notropis boops*, Blackspotted Topminnow *Fundulus olivaceus*, Bluntnose Minnow *Pimephales notatus*, Channel Darter *Percina copelandi*, Common Logperch *Percina caprodes*, Dusky Darter *Percina sciera*, Highland Stoneroller *Campostoma spadiceum*, Orangebelly Darter *Etheostoma radiosum*, Slenderhead Darter *Percina phoxocephala*, and Steelcolor Shiner *Cyprinella whipplei*. Fish were acclimated to 20.0°C and exposed to a 2.0°C/hr increase in temperature until loss of equilibrium. Dissolved oxygen was maintained >5 mg/L, ammonia was <0.25 ppm, and pH was 8-8.5. Experimental fishes were collected from the Kiamichi River in autumn 2015, spring, and summer 2016.

Common Name	Scientific Name	Mean CTMax (°C)	SE
Bigeye Shiner	Notropis boops	34.4	0.23
Blackspotted Topminnow	Fundulus olivaceus	38.3	0.23
Bluntnose Minnow	Pimephales notatus	35.3	0.23
Channel Darter	Percina copelandi	34.1	0.23
Common Logperch	Percina caprodes	35.0	0.23
Dusky Darter	Percina sciera	34.3	0.23
Highland Stoneroller	Campostoma spadiceum	34.8	0.23
Orangebelly Darter	Etheostoma radiosum	34.0	0.23
Slenderhead Darter	Percina phoxocephala	34.3	0.23
Steelcolor Shiner	Cyprinella whipplei	34.4	0.23

Appendix 3. Summary of critical thermal maxima (CTMax) of Bigeye Shiner *Notropis boops*, Blackspotted Topminnow *Fundulus olivaceus*, Bluntnose Minnow *Pimephales notatus*, Common Logperch *Percina caprodes*, and Orangebelly Darter *Etheostoma radiosum* from published references. Where reported, each entry includes acclimation temperature, rate of heating, test endpoint (OS = onset of muscular spasms, LOE = loss of equilibrium), dissolved oxygen, ammonia, and pH. Dashes (-) indicate information not reported.

		Acclimation			Dissolved			
	CTMax	temperature	Heating		oxygen	Ammonia		
Species	(°C)	(°C)	rate (°C/h)	Endpoint	(mg/L)	$(\mu g/L)$	pН	Reference
Bigeye Shiner	35.3	20	2	LOE	>6	0	7.8-8.0	Farless and Brewer, 2017
Blackspotted Topminnow	38.8	26	2	LOE	-	-	-	Smale and Rabeni, 1995
Bluntnose Minnow	31.9	15	60	OS	10.1-10.5	<0.5	7.8-8.5	Kowalski et al., 1978
	31.3	11	60	LOE	-	-	-	Hockett and Mundahl,
								1988
	37.9	24	30.0-48.0	LOE	>2.4	-	-	Mundahl, 1990
	36.6	26	2	LOE	-	-	-	Smale and Rabeni, 1995
	33.7	10	60	OS	-	-	-	Lutterschmidt and
								Hutchison, 1997
Common Logperch	34.2	20	2	LOE	>6	0	7.8-8.0	Farless and Brewer, 2017
Orangebelly Darter	34.5	20	2	LOE	>6	0	7.8-8.0	Farless and Brewer, 2017

# CHAPTER III

# DOES PROLONGED EXPOSURE TO ELEVATED WATER TEMPERATURES INCREASE CORTISOL IN STREAM FISHES?

#### ABSTRACT

Fish respond to stressors in many ways, including changes in behavior, physiology, and abundance. Climate change and alteration of freshwater systems threaten to increase stream temperatures to stressful levels. Measuring the primary stress response in thermally sensitive fishes may indicate sub-lethal thermal stress. My objective was to determine if six stream fishes exhibit a stress response after prolonged exposure, 14 d, to elevated water temperatures. I measured whole-body cortisol concentrations of six stream fishes of the Ouachita Mountain ecoregion: Blackspotted Topminnow *Fundulus olivaceus*, Bluntnose Minnow *Pimephales notatus*, Channel Darter *Percina copelandi*, Highland Stoneroller *Campostoma spadiceum*, Orangebelly Darter *Etheostoma radiosum*, and Steelcolor Shiner *Cyprinella whipplei*. I used a generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) to analyze whole-body cortisol concentrations following a split-plot design with trial as a blocking factor, sump as the whole plot and aquarium as the subplot. Whole-body cortisol concentration was the dependent variable, temperature, species, and temperature-species interaction were fixed effects, and sump and trial were random effects in my model. I used a Tukey Kramer Honest Significant Difference post-hoc test to assess where significant differences within the GLMM occurred. I acclimated fishes in the laboratory to

20.0°C. I randomly assigned temperature treatments to sumps. Within each sump, I randomly assigned species to aquaria and placed three individuals in each. I exposed fishes to treatment temperatures (control, 27.0°C, average summer water temperature; experimental, 32.0°C, average maximum summer water temperature in Kiamichi River, OK) for 14 d. I hypothesized that fishes exposed to 32.0°C would show increased whole-body cortisol concentrations and levels of stress response would relate to thermal sensitivity (i.e., CTMax, Chapter 2). I found no significant differences between treatment temperatures. Results from my trials represent basal whole-body cortisol concentrations for all my study species except Highland Stoneroller. Despite apparent tolerance of or acclimation to stressful thermal conditions, fishes may suffer from decreased reproductive abilities or growth; thus, future studies that investigate the tertiary stress response to chronic thermal stress in these species would be beneficial. Whole-body cortisol levels from this study may serve as a baseline for monitoring stress in small-bodied stream fishes inhabiting highly altered systems.

# INTRODUCTION

Fish exhibit a complex stress response which can be observed via behavior and at multiple organizational levels (i.e., physiologic, and population). Upon perceiving a stressor (e.g., predators, temperature, etc.), fish exhibit changes in behavior designed to avoid or mitigate the effects of the stressor. For example, Stewart et al. (2014) found that larval Zebrafish *Danio rerio* use the lateral line to detect predator-generated changes in water velocity and respond with a burst movement away from the stimuli. Brook Trout *Salvelinus fontinalis* and Rainbow Trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* seek out areas of groundwater discharge to maintain cooler body temperatures (Baird and Krueger 2003). Physiologically, exposure to stressors causes immediate spikes in production of stress hormones (e.g., cortisol, Pickering and Pottinger 1989; Grutter and Pankhurst 2000; adrenaline, Iwama et al. 1989; Reid et al. 1998). Catecholamines (e.g., adrenaline and noradrenaline) are secreted by the chromaffin cells of the head kidney in response

to severe acute stress. Production of adrenocorticotropic hormone and melanophore-stimulating hormone by the pituitary gland in response to stress induces cortisol secretion by the interrenal cells of the head kidney (Wendelaar Bonga 1997). Production of heat shock proteins increases in of proteins and inhibition of cell death by repairing stress-induced cell damage (Roberts et al. 2010). Ultimately, unavoidable and chronic stress requires energy devotion to both cellular repair and organism survival (Schreck 2000), and negatively affects fish fitness (e.g., decreased disease resistance, Magnadottir 2010; decreased reproduction, Pankhurst and Munday 2011); therefore, stress may affect entire populations, limiting distributions and decreasing abundance (Pörtner and Knust 2007; Schreck 2010). Stress is inevitable for fishes, and its effects are largely determined by the duration of exposure.

Cortisol production follows exposure to both acute and chronic stressors and affects the physiological processes of fishes. Production of corticosteroid hormones is a common stress response in fishes (Wendelaar Bonga 1997; Mommsen et al. 1999). Cortisol promotes the breakdown of skeletal muscle for energy, thereby negatively affecting growth (van der Boon et al. 1991). For example, Walking Catfish *Clarius batrachus* treated with cortisol showed reduced short-term growth and protein content in the brain, liver, and skeletal muscle suggesting use of stored energy in response to stress (Tripathi and Verma 2003). Just as stress-induced cortisol diverts energy away from growth, it also reduces reproductive investment. The effect of corticosteroids on fish reproduction varies by species and developmental stage (Barton and Iwama 1991; Schreck et al. 2001). For example, oocyte growth in Mozambique Tilapia *Oreochromis mossambicus* was negatively related to increasing cortisol levels (Foo and Lam 1993); however, Channel Catfish *Ictalurus punctatus* showed no reproductive suppression by cortisol (Small 2004). Increased cortisol related to thermal stress exposure also relates to masculinization in fishes (e.g., larval Pejerrey *Odontesthes bonariensis*, Hattori et al. 2009). Finally, elevated cortisol may cause weakened immune responses (e.g., resistance to bacterial

infections, Yin et al. 1995; Binuramesh et al. 2005), and in some cases, lead to increased mortality rates (Pickering and Pottinger 1989). The established relationships among stressors and cortisol suggest measurement of cortisol may be useful in determining non-lethal responses to chronic environmental stressors.

Fishes are exposed to a myriad of environmental stressors; however, the coupled stressors of altered flow and thermal regimes are considered some of the most pressing (Poff et al. 1997; Caissie 2006) and often interactive. Flow alteration affects many of the major rivers across the United States (Graf 2001), and the downriver systems are often thermally impaired (Graf 2006). Combined with projected thermal increases due to climate change (2-3°C by 2050 in southcentral United States, Morrill et al. 2005; van Vliet et al. 2013), managers are increasingly tasked with determining options that are available to offset the effects of these thermal alterations to allow the persistence of organisms (e.g., selective withdrawal from reservoirs, Rheinheimer et al. 2014; riparian forest replanting Kristensen et al. 2015). The Kiamichi River of southeast Oklahoma is a major center of aquatic biodiversity, but experiences similar stressors with two major impoundments and downriver thermal impairment (see also introduction chapter). Although mortality has been documented for both freshwater mussels and fishes of the Kiamichi River due to stream drying and thermal stress (Galbraith et al. 2008; Galbraith et al. 2010), the stress responses of more mobile fishes would provide a predictive indicator of thermal stresses prior to death. Therefore, the objective of this chapter was to determine if six stream fishes (Table 1) exhibit a stress response via whole-body cortisol after prolonged exposure to elevated water temperatures. My hypotheses were that 1) whole-body cortisol of fishes would be inversely related to their thermal tolerance (i.e., high CTMax would result in lower cortisol and vice versa); and 2) fishes exposed to the warmer thermal treatment would have higher levels of whole-body cortisol than those exposed to my control temperature.

# METHODS

*Study area.* Fishes were collected from the Kiamichi River of the Ouachita Mountain Ecoregion, Oklahoma (Chapter Two, Figure 1). A detailed description of the study area is provided in Chapter Two. Briefly, the Kiamichi River traverses two major and humid ecoregions (109-145 cm of precipitation, annually). Average summer temperatures range 19-34°C (Woods et al. 2005). Maximum water temperatures at eight sites ranged 32.0-36.3°C during summer 2013 (Chapter Two, Table 2). Discharge patterns of the Kiamichi River are affected by two major reservoirs. Sardis Reservoir impounds Jackfork Creek and reduces the overall flow in the downriver Kiamichi River by approximately 25% (Vaughn et al. 2015). This area has been subject to several recent droughts (Arndt 2002), and drought frequency is expected to increase in the future (Seager and Vecchi 2010). Stream drying and elevated water temperatures in the Kiamichi River, due to flow alterations, threaten imperiled endemic species (Schaefer et al. 2003; Galbraith et al. 2008).

*Fish collection and acclimation*. Fishes were collected, transported, and held using the methods described in Chapter Two. I collected fishes in 2016-2017. Briefly, fishes were collected using a seine and immediately transported to the laboratory, and acclimated to laboratory conditions. Fishes remained in hauling containers for up to 12 h until the temperature of the hauling water reached that of the holding tanks (~20.0°C). Fishes were then transferred to 190-L holding tanks covered on the top with a screen. I added airstones to all holding tanks to maintain dissolved oxygen >5 mg/L. Over the first 96 h, fishes were left undisturbed to recover from transportation stress. Fishes remained in holding tanks where they were acclimated to laboratory conditions over a two-week period.

Following the initial holding period, fishes were fed, and water-quality conditions were checked daily. I fed fish flakes (Wardley Advanced Nutrition Perfect Protein Tropical Fish Flake Food, Hartz Mountain Corporation, Secaucus, NJ) and bloodworms (Fish Gum Drops Floating Fish Food Bloodworms, San Francisco Bay Brand, Newark, CA) once daily to satiation (i.e., until fishes ceased eating). Approximately six hours after daily feeding, I removed the unconsumed food from the aquaria via siphon. Ambient temperature was maintained at approximately 20.0°C. Ammonia was maintained <0.5 ppm. This level was only observed when new fish were added to the holding tanks, and for the first few days of lab acclimation. For the duration of acclimation and experimentation, ammonia was <0.25 ppm, pH was 8-8.5, and chloramine was zero. Water changes of approximately 30% were performed daily after the first 96 h of acclimation.

*Whole-body cortisol concentration*. I determined whole-body cortisol concentration of six stream fishes in response to thermal exposure using a split-plot design that was blocked by trial. I used a 2x6 factorial treatment structure with two levels of temperature (27.0°C and 32.0°C) and six levels of species (Table 1). I set up four identical sump systems that routed water from a 189.27-L sump to six 37.85-L acrylic aquaria (Chapter Two, Figure 2). Two airstones were added to each sump system to maintain dissolved oxygen above 5 mg/L. Water in each sump system was heated with a 1700 W Smartone heater (OEM Heaters, Saint Paul, MN). I randomly assigned temperature treatments to sumps (whole plots). Within each sump, I randomly assigned species to aquaria (my sub-plots). I used 27.0°C as the control temperature because it is commonly experienced in my study area during the summer. The control temperature was below the thermal tolerance of all my study species (Chapter Two, Figure 4). I used 32.0°C as the experimental temperature because it was 2.0°C less than CTMax of the most thermally-sensitive species (Chapter Two, Figure 4), but was anticipated to be stressful to stream fishes. Each temperature-species combination was replicated 10 times.

Fishes were assigned to treatment aquaria, and then acclimated to the new conditions prior to starting each trial. I randomly assigned species to each of six aquaria in each sump system for each trial, and then I haphazardly selected three individual adult fish (psuedoreplicates) to place in each aquarium. I only used adults in these trials because they are often less tolerant of higher temperatures (Pörtner and Farrell 2008), and would be more likely to represent thermal population bottlenecks. All fishes were held at 20.0°C for 24 h prior to the start of the experiment

to allow acclimation to testing conditions and recovery from handling stress (Hutchison and Maness 1979; Pickering and Pottinger 1989).

I used a 12h:12h diel cycle to gradually heat each sump to its treatment temperature and maintained a 2.5 °C nightly refuge during the trials. During each trial, I increased water temperature 2.5°C over 12 h (0700-1900), daily, and decreased water temperature 1.5°C over 12 h (1900-0700), nightly. The net water temperature increase was 1.0°C/d until the treatment temperature of 27.0°C (control) or 32.0°C (experimental) was reached. All sumps were provided with a 2.5°C nightly (1900-0700) thermal refuge, but returned to the treatment temperature each day. I maintained each sump at this thermal regime for 14 d. After 14 d at the treatment temperatures, I sacrificed all fishes by freezing them in liquid nitrogen. The fish samples were then stored at -80°C until homogenization.

To quantify whole-body cortisol, I weighed and homogenized individuals, extracted cortisol, and performed an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). I measured whole-body cortisol because sampling blood in my study fishes was impractical and holding water was shared among species in each trial (Zuberi et al. 2014; Belanger et al. 2016). Fish samples were weighed (0.001 g), partially thawed, and homogenized in 1x phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (1-part fish tissue, 5-parts 1x PBS). I combined 1 mL of homogenate with 5 mL diethyl ether in a glass centrifuge tube and vortexed for 1 min to extract cortisol. I then centrifuged samples at 3,500 rpm for 5 min and removed the organic layer containing cortisol. I repeated the extraction process three times for each sample. Following extraction, diethyl ether was allowed to evaporate overnight in a fume hood, leaving behind only proteins. I reconstituted samples with 1 mL of 1x PBS and incubated them overnight at 4°C. I performed ELISAs according to manufacturer's instructions to determine cortisol concentrations using a human salivary cortisol kit (Salimetrics LLC, College Station, PA). Each kit included cortisol standards, blanks, and high and low controls. I assayed samples in triplicate. I used a Cytation 5 cell imaging multi-mode reader (Biotek U.S., Winooski,

VT) with Gen5 software (version 3.03, Biotek U.S., Winooski, VT) to measure sample optical density. I quantified whole-body cortisol concentrations of my samples using a 4-parameter sigmoid minus curve fit based on optical density of cortisol standards. High and low controls included in the kit verified values for standards. Cortisol concentrations were normalized by weight of the whole-body sample and reported as absolute cortisol concentrations (ng/g body weight). Values of psuedoreplicates were averaged to represent conditions in each aquarium.

*Data analysis*. I used a generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) to analyze the whole-body cortisol concentrations following a split-plot design with trial as a blocking factor, sump as the whole plot and aquarium as the subplot. In my model, whole-body cortisol concentration was the dependent variable, and temperature, species, and the temperature-species interaction were fixed effects. I checked for homogeneity of variance of the fixed effects. I used sump and trial as random effects in my model to control for differences among sumps and trials that were not directly of interest. The random effects, sump and trial, were assumed normally distributed as  $N(0, \tau^2)$ , where  $\tau^2$  is the population variance among levels of sump and  $N(0, \beta^2)$ , where  $\beta^2$  is the population variance among levels of sump and  $N(0, \beta^2)$ , where  $\beta^2$  is the population variance among levels of sump and  $N(0, \beta^2)$ , where  $\beta^2$  is the population variance among levels of sump and  $N(0, \beta^2)$ , where  $\beta^2$  is the population variance among levels of sump and  $N(0, \beta^2)$ , where  $\beta^2$  is the population variance among levels of sump and  $N(0, \beta^2)$ , where  $\beta^2$  is the population variance among levels of sump and  $N(0, \beta^2)$ . All analyses were performed in SAS (version 9.4, SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

# RESULTS

Assumptions of normality and homoscedasticity were not met by my model. Natural-log transformation of whole-body cortisol concentrations improved skewness. However, unequal variances of the fixed effects were still apparent and thus, were modeled to account for heteroscedasticity.

Whole-body cortisol concentrations varied among the species I examined, but not between the two treatment temperatures. The fixed effect of species was significant in my model ( $F_{5, 36.86}$  =

62.46, P <0.01) indicating a significant difference in stress response for at least one species. Interestingly, the fixed effect of temperature ( $F_{1, 17.57} = 0.84$ , P = 0.37), and the interaction of the fixed effects were not significant ( $F_{5, 36.86} = 0.55$ , P = 0.74).

Results from Tukey Kramer HSD indicated there were differences in whole-body cortisol concentrations among species (Figure 1). Highland Stoneroller *Campostoma spadiceum* had the highest cortisol concentration (67.61 ng/g body weight at the treatment temperature, 56.38 ng/g body weight at the control temperature) regardless of temperature (P = <0.01). Channel Darter *Percina copelandi* had the lowest cortisol concentration (1.64 ng/g body weight at the treatment temperature, 2.07 ng/g body weight at the control temperature), significantly different from Steelcolor Shiner *Cyprinella whipplei* (P = 0.02) and Bluntnose Minnow *Pimephales notatus* (P = 0.04), but not significantly different from Orangebelly Darter *Etheostoma radiosum* (P = 0.79) or Blackspotted Topminnow *Fundulus olivaceus* (P = 0.46). Cortisol concentrations in all other species were statistically similar among one another (Figure 1), and ranged 3.45-9.12 ng/g body weight in treatment fishes and 3.04-5.55 ng/g body weight in control fishes.

## DISCUSSION

Contrary to my expectations, cortisol concentrations did not follow the pattern of CTMax among the six fishes studied. Channel Darter had the lowest cortisol concentration at both treatment temperatures (Figure 1), and the second lowest CTMax among the ten species I tested (Chapter Two, Figure 4). Highland Stoneroller had the highest cortisol concentration (Figure 1), and the fourth highest CTMax among the ten species I tested (Chapter Two, Figure 4). These data show that basal cortisol concentrations do not depend on acute thermal sensitivity (e.g., CTMax); instead, they are species-specific. For example, Channel Catfish acclimated to 20°C have greater basal cortisol concentrations (50 ng/mL, Strange 1980) than Rainbow Trout reared at 13-14°C (1.6 ng/mL, Barton 2000) despite the much higher CTMax of Channel Catfish (Beitinger et al.

2000). Higher cortisol levels are frequently associated with occupation of higher temperatures and attendant increases in metabolic demand (Davis 2004; Fanouraki et al. 2007; Fanouraki et al. 2011). Koban et al. (1991) suggest that higher basal levels of heat shock proteins, components of the cellular stress response, allow thermally tolerant species to cope with rapid temperature increases. Species-specific stress responses may be partly explained by seasonal or life-history stage (Pankhurst 2011). For example, European Perch *Perca fluviatilis* show increased basal cortisol during prespawn (Noaksson 2005), but Black Bream *Acanthopagrus butcheri* do not (Haddy and Pankhurst 1999).

The cortisol concentrations associated with Highland Stoneroller suggested this species exhibited a stress response higher than basal levels and equal in magnitude at each treatment temperature. I attribute this level of stress to species-specific intolerance of confinement in the laboratory setting. Previous studies have shown that confinement can cause increased levels of plasma cortisol (Clearwater and Pankhurst 1997; Pottinger 2010; Murray et al. 2017). Due to their exaggerated stress response in captivity, it may be advisable to avoid using Highland Stoneroller to determine sources of stress in a laboratory setting. For the same reason, the reliability of labdetermined CTMax for Highland Stoneroller and Central Stoneroller *Campostoma anomalum*, a closely related species, may be questionable. Often, simultaneous exposure to multiple stressors results in synergistic effects (Folt et al. 1999; Pörtner 2010); therefore, in the absence of confinement, actual thermal tolerance is likely to be higher than my data indicated.

Interestingly, none of the species examined in this study exhibited stress responses to the experimental temperature. With the exception of Highland Stoneroller, whole-body cortisol levels among the species in this study were similar to basal levels found in other studies (e.g., 5-20 ng/g body weight for three diminutive stream fishes, Sutherland et al. 2008; Li et al. 2009). The similarity of cortisol concentrations in my study to basal levels in previous studies suggests that

all my study species except Highland Stoneroller tolerate laboratory conditions well, and did not exhibit a stress response to the two thermal treatments.

My study species did not exhibit stress responses to 14 d at the treatment temperature I tested, and this may relate to acclimation abilities or having a daily thermal refuge. In order to mimic conditions actually experienced in the Kiamichi River, I exposed fishes to a slow heating rate with daily refuge for 14 d. Slower heating rates are more natural, but can allow acclimation to occur (Lutterschmidt and Hutchison 1997). For example Hancock's Blenny Acantemblemaria hancocki had higher thermal tolerance at a heating rate of 1°C/h compared to faster rates (Mora and Maya 2006), suggesting acclimation at slower heating rates. Similarly Pumpkinseed Sunfish Lepomis gibbosus acclimated to 10°C showed higher thermal tolerance at a heating rate of 1°C/h compared to 6°C/h (Becker and Genoway 1979). The net temperature increase of 1.0°C/d in my study likely allowed acclimation; therefore, fishes tolerated higher temperatures. This rate of increase was observed in the Kiamichi River during June 2013. In addition to a slow rate of increase, a nightly refuge of 2.5°C was provided throughout the trials to mimic natural conditions in the Kiamichi River. Periodic exposure to high temperatures followed by access to a thermal refuge is known to increase thermal tolerance in ectotherms (Oliver and Palumbi 2011; Sgro 2016; Farless and Brewer 2017). For example, Zebrafish Danio rerio reared at variable temperatures (i.e., daily thermal refuge) had a higher thermal tolerance than those reared at constant temperatures (Schaefer and Ryan 2006). However, persistent exposure with no refuge may not allow organisms to harden to stressors (Schreck 2000). I exposed fishes to treatment temperatures for 14 d because high temperature events commonly last this long in the Kiamichi River. Maximum daily temperatures from multiple sites on the Kiamichi River in summer 2013 were  $\geq$  30.0°C for 14 d.

I chose the experimental temperature for my study based on CTMax determined after acclimation to 20.0°C. Higher acclimation temperatures tend to increase CTMax (Lutterschmidt and

Hutchison 1997; Zhang and Kieffer 2014; Moyano et al. 2017). For example, with every 1°C increase in acclimation temperature from 20-30°C, Largemouth Bass *Micropterus salmoides* and Channel Catfish CTMax increased 0.32°C and 0.4°C, respectively (Currie et al. 1998). It is likely that species in my study are tolerant of higher temperatures than their CTMax after acclimation to 20.0°C. Hence, fishes may not exhibit a stress response until temperatures closer to the ultimate lethal temperatures. However, attempts to use 34.0°C as the experimental temperature resulted in death in Channel Darter. This may be due to an inability to adapt to the accumulation of stress (Schreck 2000). For example, Coho Salmon *Oncorhynchus kisutch* showed variable mortality in response to repeated thermal exposure among stocks and their hybrids (Campbell et al. 1998).

Whole-body cortisol concentrations from my study may serve as a baseline for monitoring stress in small-bodied stream fishes inhabiting highly altered systems. Stressful conditions in the Kiamichi River caused by flow and thermal alterations may result in community-level problems (e.g., reproduction, Schreck et al. 2001; Schreck 2010; disease resistance, Binuramesh et al. 2005; changing species interactions, Gilman et al. 2010). For example, stressful temperatures alter the ecosystem services provided by freshwater mussels and species composition of mussel beds (Spooner and Vaughn 2008). Elevated cortisol would indicate stressful conditions and the need for alternative management strategies (e.g., meeting flow needs, Spooner et al. 2005; Gates et al. 2015; Brewer et al. 2016; limiting groundwater withdrawal, Caissie 2006; Waco and Taylor 2010; Brewer 2013). Monitoring sublethal stress in fishes would allow for proactive rather than reactive stream-temperature management. Future studies interested in the primary stress response should measure cortisol at multiple intervals of thermal exposure (e.g., 2-7 d) to observe when peak stress and subsequent acclimation occur. Basal cortisol levels from this study suggest that individuals may have adapted to and regained the ability to perform basic functions in stressful conditions. Despite tolerance of stressful conditions, fishes may suffer from decreased reproductive abilities (Schreck 2000; Schreck et al. 2001) or growth (Wendelaar Bonga 1997);

thus, it would be interesting to investigate the tertiary stress response to chronic thermal stress in these species. Investigating the tertiary stress response may highlight the importance of maintaining suitable thermal conditions; however, it does not allow proactive management. Instead, managers should consider monitoring primary and secondary stress responses. Table 1. Whole-body cortisol concentrations from chronic thermal stress trials were measured on six fishes: Blackspotted Topminnow *Fundulus olivaceus*, Bluntnose Minnow *Pimephales notatus*, Channel Darter *Percina copelandi*, Highland Stoneroller *Campostoma spadiceum*, Orangebelly Darter *Etheostoma radiosum*, and Steelcolor Shiner *Cyprinella whipplei*. Fishes were expected to exhibit stress responses associated with habitat guilds defined by documented habitat use. Experimental fishes were collected from the Kiamichi River in autumn 2016 and spring 2017. Fish were acclimated to laboratory conditions of 20.0°C and exposed to a 1.0°C/d increase in temperature until reaching the treatment temperatures (i.e., 27.0°C control; 32.0°C experimental). Fish remained at treatment temperatures for 14 days, but were all provide a thermal refuge of 2.5°C each night during trials.

Common Name	Scientific Name	Habitat Guild	Typical Habitat	Reference
Blackspotted Topminnow	Fundulus olivaceus	Surface	Surface water, backwaters, edgewaters	Pflieger, 1997
Bluntnose Minnow	Pimephales notatus	Mid-column	Mid-column, backwaters, pools	Miller and Robison, 2004
Channel Darter	Percina copelandi	Benthic	Benthic, riffle, run, pool	Miller and Robison, 2004
Highland Stoneroller	Campostoma spadiceum	Mid-column	Mid-column, riffle, run, pool	Cashner et al., 2010
Orangebelly Darter <sub>1</sub>	Etheostoma radiosum	Benthic	Benthic, riffle, run, pool	Miller and Robison, 2004
Steelcolor Shiner	Cyprinella whipplei	Mid-column	Mid-column, riffle, run, pool	Pflieger, 1997

1Oklahoma Species of Greatest Conservation Concern



Figure 1. Average (± standard error) whole-body cortisol concentrations from chronic thermal stress trials on six stream fishes: Blackspotted Topminnow *Fundulus olivaceus*, Bluntnose Minnow *Pimephales notatus*, Channel Darter *Percina copelandi*, Highland Stoneroller *Campostoma spadiceum*, Orangebelly Darter *Etheostoma radiosum*, and Steelcolor Shiner *Cyprinella whipplei*. Experimental fishes were collected from the Kiamichi River in autumn 2016 and spring 2017. Fish were acclimated to laboratory conditions of 20.0°C and exposed to a 1.0°C/d increase in temperature until reaching the treatment temperatures (i.e., 27.0°C control; 32.0°C experimental). Fish remained at treatment temperatures for 14 days, but were provided a thermal refuge of 2.5°C each night during trials. Letters over each bar indicate species differences in whole-body cortisol concentration from the Tukey Kramer Honest Significant Difference post-hoc analysis.

#### REFERENCES

Arndt, D.S., 2002. The Oklahoma drought of 2001-2002. Oklahoma Climatological Survey.

- Baird, O.E., Krueger, C.C., 2003. Behavioral thermoregulation of brook and rainbow trout: comparison of summer habitat use in an Adirondack River, New York. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 132, 1194–1206.
- Barton, B.A., 2000. Salmonid fishes differ in their cortisol and glucose responses to handling and transport stress. N. Am. J. Aquac. 62, 12–18.
- Barton, B.A., Iwama, G.K., 1991. Physiological changes in fish from stress in aquaculture with emphasis on the response and effects of corticosteroids. Annu. Rev. Fish Dis. 1, 3–26. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0959-8030(91)90019-G
- Becker, C.D., Genoway, R.G., 1979. Evaluation of the critical thermal maximum for determining thermal tolerance of freshwater fish. Environ. Biol. Fishes 4, 245–256.
- Beitinger, T.L., Bennett, W.A., McCauley, R.W., 2000. Temperature tolerances of North American freshwater fishes exposed to dynamic changes in temperature. Environ. Biol. fishes 58, 237–275.
- Belanger, C.B., Vera-Chang, M.N., Moon, T.W., Midwood, J.D., Suski, C.D., Cooke, S.J., 2016.
  Seasonal variation in baseline and maximum whole-body glucocorticoid concentrations in a small-bodied stream fish independent of habitat quality. Comp. Biochem. Physiol.
  Part A Mol. Integr. Physiol. 192, 1–6.
- Binuramesh, C., Prabakaran, M., Steinhagen, D., Michael, R.D., 2005. Effect of chronic confinement stress on the immune responses in different sex ratio groups of Oreochromis mossambicus (Peters). Aquaculture 250, 47–59.

- Brewer, S.K., 2013. Groundwater influences on the distribution and abundance of riverine
   Smallmouth Bass, Micropterus dolomieu, in pasture landscapes of the midwestern USA.
   River Res. Appl. 29, 269–278. doi:10.1002/rra.1595
- Brewer, S.K., McManamay, R.A., Miller, A.D., Mollenhauer, R., Worthington, T.A., Arsuffi, T.,
  2016. Advancing Environmental Flow Science: Developing Frameworks for Altered
  Landscapes and Integrating Efforts Across Disciplines. Environ. Manage. 58, 175–192.
- Caissie, D., 2006. The thermal regime of rivers: a review. Freshw. Biol. 51, 1389–1406. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2427.2006.01597.x
- Campbell, W.B., Emlen, J.M., Hershberger, W.K., 1998. Thermally induced chronic developmental stress in coho salmon: integrating measures of mortality, early growth, and developmental instability. Oikos 398–410.
- Clearwater, S.J., Pankhurst, N.W., 1997. The response to capture and confinement stress of plasma cortisol, plasma sex steroids and vitellogenic oocytes in the marine teleost, red gurnard. J. Fish Biol. 50, 429–441.
- Currie, R.J., Bennett, W.A., Beitinger, T.L., 1998. Critical thermal minima and maxima of three freshwater game-fish species acclimated to constant temperatures. Environ. Biol. Fishes 51, 187–200. doi:10.1023/A:1007447417546
- Davis, K.B., 2004. Temperature affects physiological stress responses to acute confinement in sunshine bass (Morone chrysops×Morone saxatilis). Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part A Mol. Integr. Physiol. 139, 433–440. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpb.2004.09.012
- Fanouraki, E., Divanach, P., Pavlidis, M., 2007. Baseline values for acute and chronic stress indicators in sexually immature red porgy (Pagrus pagrus). Aquaculture 265, 294–304. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2007.01.006

- Fanouraki, E., Mylonas, C.C., Papandroulakis, N., Pavlidis, M., 2011. Species specificity in the magnitude and duration of the acute stress response in Mediterranean marine fish in culture. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 173, 313–322. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2011.06.004
- Farless, N.A., Brewer, S.K., 2017. Thermal tolerances of fishes occupying groundwater and surface-water dominated streams. Freshw. Sci. 0, 0. doi:10.1086/694781
- Folt, C.L., Chen, C.Y., Moore, M. V, Burnaford, J., 1999. Synergism and antagonism among multiple stressors. Limnol. Oceanogr. 44, 864–877.
- Foo, J.T.W., Lam, T.J., 1993. Retardation of ovarian growth and depression of serum steroid levels in the tilapia, Oreochromis mossambicus, by cortisol implantation. Aquaculture 115, 133–143.
- Galbraith, H.S., Spooner, D.E., Vaughn, C.C., 2010. Synergistic effects of regional climate patterns and local water management on freshwater mussel communities. Biol. Conserv. 143, 1175–1183. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2010.02.025
- Galbraith, H.S., Spooner, D.E., Vaughn, C.C., 2008. Status of rare and endangered freshwater mussels in southeastern Oklahoma. Southwest. Nat. 53, 45–50.
- Gates, K.K., Vaughn, C.C., Julian, J.P., 2015. Developing environmental flow recommendations for freshwater mussels using the biological traits of species guilds. Freshw. Biol. 60, 620–635.
- Gilman, S.E., Urban, M.C., Tewksbury, J., Gilchrist, G.W., Holt, R.D., 2010. A framework for community interactions under climate change. Trends Ecol. Evol. 25, 325–331.

- Graf, W.L., 2006. Downstream hydrologic and geomorphic effects of large dams on American rivers. Geomorphology 79, 336–360. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2006.06.022
- Graf, W.L., 2001. Damage Control: Restoring the Physical Integrity of America's Rivers. Ann. Assoc. Am. Geogr. 91, 1–27. doi:10.1111/0004-5608.00231
- Grutter, A.S., Pankhurst, N.W., 2000. The effects of capture, handling, confinement and ectoparasite load on plasma levels of cortisol, glucose and lactate in the coral reef fish Hemigymnus melapterus. J. Fish Biol. 57, 391–401.
- Haddy, J.A., Pankhurst, N.W., 1999. Stress□induced changes in concentrations of plasma sex steroids in black bream. J. Fish Biol. 55, 1304–1316.
- Hattori, R.S., Fernandino, J.I., Kishii, A., Kimura, H., Kinno, T., Oura, M., Somoza, G.M.,
  Yokota, M., Strüssmann, C.A., Watanabe, S., 2009. Cortisol-Induced Masculinization:
  Does Thermal Stress Affect Gonadal Fate in Pejerrey, a Teleost Fish with TemperatureDependent Sex Determination? PLoS One 4, e6548.
- Hutchison, V.H., Maness, J.D., 1979. The role of behavior in temperature acclimation and tolerance in ectotherms. Am. Zool. 19, 367–384.
- Iwama, G.K., McGeer, J.C., Pawluk, M.P., 1989. The effects of five fish anaesthetics on acidbase balance, hematocrit, blood gases, cortisol, and adrenaline in rainbow trout. Can. J. Zool. 67, 2065–2073.
- Iwama, G.K., Vijayan, M.M., Forsyth, R.B., Ackerman, P.A., 1999. Heat shock proteins and physiological stress in fish. Am. Zool. 39, 901–909.

- Koban, M., Yup, A.A., Agellon, L.B., Powers, D.A., 1991. Molecular adaptation to environmental temperature: heat-shock response of the eurythermal teleost Fundulus heteroclitus. Mol. Mar. Biol. Biotechnol 1, 1–17.
- Kristensen, P.B., Kristensen, E.A., Riis, T., Alnoee, A.B., Larsen, S.E., Verdonschot, P.F.M., Baattrup-Pedersen, A., 2015. Riparian forest as a management tool for moderating future thermal conditions of lowland temperate streams. Inl. Waters 5, 27–38.
- Li, P., Ray, B., Gatlin, D.M., Sink, T., Chen, R., Lochmann, R., 2009. Effect of handling and transport on cortisol response and nutrient mobilization of golden shiner, Notemigonus crysoleucas. J. World Aquac. Soc. 40, 803–809.
- Lutterschmidt, W.I., Hutchison, V.H., 1997. The critical thermal maximum: history and critique. Can. J. Zool. 75, 1561–1574.
- Magnadottir, B., 2010. Immunological control of fish diseases. Mar. Biotechnol. 12, 361–379.
- Mommsen, T.P., Vijayan, M.M., Moon, T.W., 1999. Cortisol in teleosts: dynamics, mechanisms of action, and metabolic regulation. Rev. Fish Biol. Fish. 9, 211–268. doi:10.1023/A:1008924418720
- Mora, C., Maya, M.F., 2006. Effect of the rate of temperature increase of the dynamic method on the heat tolerance of fishes. J. Therm. Biol. 31, 337–341.
- Morrill, J.C., Bales, R.C., Conklin, M.H., 2005. Estimating stream temperature from air temperature: implications for future water quality. J. Environ. Eng. 131, 139–146.
- Moyano, M., Candebat, C., Ruhbaum, Y., Álvarez-Fernández, S., Claireaux, G., Zambonino-Infante, J.-L., Peck, M.A., 2017. Effects of warming rate, acclimation temperature and

ontogeny on the critical thermal maximum of temperate marine fish larvae. PLoS One 12, e0179928.

- Murray, L., Rennie, M.D., Svendsen, J.C., Enders, E.C., 2017. Respirometry increases cortisol levels in rainbow trout Oncorhynchus mykiss: implications for measurements of metabolic rate. J. Fish Biol. 90, 2206–2213.
- Noaksson, E., Linderoth, M., Tjärnlund, U., Balk, L., 2005. Toxicological effects and reproductive impairments in female perch (Perca fluviatilis) exposed to leachate from Swedish refuse dumps. Aquat. Toxicol. 75, 162–177.
- Oliver, T.A., Palumbi, S.R., 2011. Do fluctuating temperature environments elevate coral thermal tolerance? Coral Reefs 30, 429–440.
- Pankhurst, N.W., 2011. The endocrinology of stress in fish: an environmental perspective. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 170, 265–275.
- Pankhurst, N.W., Munday, P.L., 2011. Effects of climate change on fish reproduction and early life history stages. Mar. Freshw. Res. 62, 1015–1026.
- Pickering, A.D., Pottinger, T.G., 1989. Stress responses and disease resistance in salmonid fish: Effects of chronic elevation of plasma cortisol. Fish Physiol. Biochem. 7, 253–258. doi:10.1007/BF00004714
- Poff, N.L., Allan, J.D., Bain, M.B., Karr, J.R., Prestegaard, K.L., Richter, B.D., Sparks, R.E., Stromberg, J.C., 1997. The Natural Flow Regime. Bioscience 47, 769–784.
- Pörtner, H.-O., 2010. Oxygen-and capacity-limitation of thermal tolerance: a matrix for integrating climate-related stressor effects in marine ecosystems. J. Exp. Biol. 213, 881– 893.
Pörtner, H.O., Farrell, A.P., 2008. Physiology and climate change. Science (80-. ). 690-692.

- Pörtner, H.O., Knust, R., 2007. Climate change affects marine fishes through the oxygen limitation of thermal tolerance. Science (80-.). 315, 95–97.
- Pottinger, T.G., 2010. A multivariate comparison of the stress response in three salmonid and three cyprinid species: evidence for inter□family differences. J. Fish Biol. 76, 601–621.
- Reid, S.G., Bernier, N.J., Perry, S.F., 1998. The adrenergic stress response in fish: control of catecholamine storage and release. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part C Pharmacol. Toxicol. Endocrinol. 120, 1–27.
- Rheinheimer, D.E., Null, S.E., Lund, J.R., 2014. Optimizing selective withdrawal from reservoirs to manage downstream temperatures with climate warming. J. Water Resour. Plan. Manag. 141, 4014063.
- Roberts, R.J., Agius, C., Saliba, C., Bossier, P., Sung, Y.Y., 2010. Heat shock proteins (chaperones) in fish and shellfish and their potential role in relation to fish health: a review. J. Fish Dis. 33, 789–801.
- SAS Institute, Inc. 2013. SAS/STAT User's Guide, version 9.4. SAS Institute, Inc: Cary, North Carolina, USA.
- Schaefer, J., Ryan, A., 2006. Developmental plasticity in the thermal tolerance of zebrafish Danio rerio. J. Fish Biol. 69, 722–734.
- Schaefer, J.F., Marsh-Matthews, E., Spooner, D.E., Gido, K.B., Matthews, W.J., 2003. Effects of barriers and thermal refugia on local movement of the threatened leopard darter, Percina pantherina. Environ. Biol. Fishes 66, 391–400.

- Schreck, C.B., 2010. Stress and fish reproduction: the roles of allostasis and hormesis. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 165, 549–556.
- Schreck, C.B., 2000. Accumulation and long-term effects of stress in fish, in: Moberg, G.P., Mench, J.A. (Eds.), The Biology of Animal Stress: Basic Principles and Implications for Animal Welfare. CABI Pub.
- Schreck, C.B., Contreras-Sanchez, W., Fitzpatrick, M.S., 2001. Effects of stress on fish reproduction, gamete quality, and progeny. Aquaculture 197, 3–24.
- Seager, R., Vecchi, G.A., 2010. Greenhouse warming and the 21st century hydroclimate of southwestern North America. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 107, 21277–21282.
- Sgro, C.M., Terblanche, J.S., Hoffmann, A.A., 2016. What can plasticity contribute to insect responses to climate change? Annu. Rev. Entomol. 61, 433–451.
- Small, B.C., 2004. Effect of dietary cortisol administration on growth and reproductive success of channel catfish. J. Fish Biol. 64, 589–596.
- Spooner, D.E., Vaughn, C.C., 2008. A trait-based approach to species' roles in stream ecosystems: climate change, community structure, and material cycling. Oecologia 158, 307–317.
- Spooner, D.E., Vaughn, C.C., Galbraith, H.S., 2005. Physiological determination of mussel sensitivity to water management practices in the Kiamichi River and review and summarization of literature pertaining to mussels of the Kiamichi and Little River watersheds, Oklahoma. Oklahoma Department of Wildlife Conservation.
- Stewart, W.J., Nair, A., Jiang, H., McHenry, M.J., 2014. Prey fish escape by sensing the bow wave of a predator. J. Exp. Biol. 217, 4328–4336.

- Strange, R.J., 1980. Acclimation temperature influences cortisol and glucose concentrations in stressed channel catfish. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 109, 298–303.
- Sung, Y.Y., Liew, H.J., Bolong, A., Munafi, A., Wahid, A., Effendy, M., MacRae, T.H., 2014. The induction of Hsp70 synthesis by non□lethal heat shock confers thermotolerance and resistance to lethal ammonia stress in the common carp, Cyprinus carpio (Linn). Aquac. Res. 45, 1706–1712.
- Sutherland, A.B., Maki, J., Vaughan, V., 2008. Effects of suspended sediment on whole-body cortisol stress response of two southern Appalachian minnows, Erimonax monachus and Cyprinella galactura. Copeia 2008, 234–244.
- Tripathi, G., Verma, P., 2003. Pathway-specific response to cortisol in the metabolism of catfish. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part B Biochem. Mol. Biol. 136, 463–471.
- Van Der Boon, J., Van Den Thillart, G.E., Addink, A.D.F., 1991. The effects of cortisol administration on intermediary metabolism in teleost fish. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part A Physiol. 100, 47–53.
- Van Vliet, M.T.H., Franssen, W.H.P., Yearsley, J.R., Ludwig, F., Haddeland, I., Lettenmaier, D.P., Kabat, P., 2013. Global river discharge and water temperature under climate change. Glob. Environ. Chang. 23, 450–464.
- Waco, K.E., Taylor, W.W., 2010. The influence of groundwater withdrawal and land use changes on brook charr (Salvelinus fontinalis) thermal habitat in two coldwater tributaries in Michigan, USA. Hydrobiologia 650, 101–116.
- Wendelaar Bonga, S.E., 1997. The stress response in fish. Physiol. Rev. 77, 591 LP-625.

- Woods, A.J., Omernik, J.M., Butler, D.R., Ford, J.G., Henley, J.E., Hoagland, B.W., Arndt, D.S.,
  Moran, B.C., 2005. Ecoregions of Oklahoma (color poster with map, descriptive text,
  summary tables, and photographs). US Geol. Surv. (map scale 1 1,250,000), Reston,
  Virginia.
- Yin, Z., Lam, T.J., Sin, Y.M., 1995. The effects of crowding stress on the non-specific immuneresponse in fancy carp (Cyprinus carpio L.). Fish Shellfish Immunol. 5, 519–529.
- Zhang, Y., Kieffer, J.D., 2014. Critical thermal maximum (CTmax) and hematology of shortnose sturgeons (Acipenser brevirostrum) acclimated to three temperatures. Can. J. Zool. 92, 215–221.
- Zuberi, A., Brown, C., Ali, S., 2014. Effect of Confinement on Water-borne and Whole Body Cortisol in Wild and Captive-eared Rainbowfish (Melanoteania duboulayi).

#### **APPENDICES**

Appendix 1. Data analysis code for whole-body cortisol concentrations from chronic thermal stress trials on six stream fishes: Blackspotted Topminnow *Fundulus olivaceus*, Bluntnose Minnow *Pimephales notatus*, Channel Darter *Percina copelandi*, Highland Stoneroller *Campostoma spadiceum*, Orangebelly Darter *Etheostoma radiosum*, and Steelcolor Shiner *Cyprinella whipplei*. Experimental fishes were collected from the Kiamichi River in autumn 2016 and spring 2017. Fish were acclimated to laboratory conditions of 20.0°C and exposed to a 1.0°C/d increase in temperature until reaching the treatment temperatures (i.e., 27.0°C control; 32.0°C experimental). Fish remained at treatment temperatures for 14 days, but were provided a thermal refuge of 2.5°C each night during trials. Analyses were performed using PROC GLIMMIX in SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

logcortisol=log(cortisol);

PROC GLIMMIX data=jra plots=residualpanel(conditional marginal) pconv=0.0002;

CLASS temperature trial sump species;

MODEL logcortisol = temperature|species / ddfm=kr;

COVTEST / wald;

RANDOM trial trial\*temperature\*sump;

RANDOM residual / group=species;

LSMEANS species\*temperature/ pdiff adjust=tukey lines;

LSMEANS species/ pdiff adjust=tukey lines;

run;

run;

quit;

Appendix 2. Mean (ng/g body weight) and standard error (SE) of whole-body cortisol concentrations for six stream fishes: Blackspotted Topminnow *Fundulus olivaceus*, Bluntnose Minnow *Pimephales notatus*, Channel Darter *Percina copelandi*, Highland Stoneroller *Campostoma spadiceum*, Orangebelly Darter *Etheostoma radiosum*, and Steelcolor Shiner *Cyprinella whipplei*. Experimental fishes were collected from the Kiamichi River in autumn 2016 and spring 2017. Fish were acclimated to laboratory conditions of 20.0°C and exposed to a 1.0°C/d increase in temperature until reaching the treatment temperatures (i.e., 27.0°C control; 32.0°C experimental). Fish remained at treatment temperatures for 14 days, but were provided a thermal refuge of 2.5°C each night during trials.

		Control (27.0°C)		Experimental (32.0°C)	
Common Name	Scientific Name	Mean (ng/g body weight)	SE	Mean (ng/g body weight)	SE
Blackspotted Topminnow	Fundulus olivaceus	3.47	1.10	4.46	1.41
Bluntnose Minnow	Pimephales notatus	4.84	1.20	9.12	2.27
Channel Darter	Percina copelandi	2.07	0.68	1.64	0.54
Highland Stoneroller	Campostoma spadiceum	56.38	12.17	67.61	14.59
Orangebelly Darter	Etheostoma radiosum	3.04	0.89	3.45	1.01
Steelcolor Shiner	Cyprinella whipplei	5.55	1.45	7.20	1.88

### VITA

## Justin Reid Alexander

#### Candidate for the Degree of

#### Master of Science

# Thesis: DETERMINING THE EFFECTS OF THERMAL INCREASES ON STREAM FISHES OF THE OUACHITA MOUNTAIN ECOREGION

Major Field: Natural Resource Ecology and Management

Biographical:

Education:

Completed the requirements for the Master of Science in natural resources ecology and management at Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma in December, 2017.

Completed the requirements for the Bachelor of Science in zoology at Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK in 2009.

Experience:

Master's research 2015-2017: Designed and conducted lab experiments to determine the effects of thermal increases on stream fishes. Collected fishes using active sampling techniques. Coordinated lab and fieldwork with multiple technicians.

Stream Technician 2015: Performed lab and fieldwork for graduate students in a variety of stream types. Assisted with fish sampling, tagging, and habitat analysis.

Professional Memberships:

American Fisheries Society, Oklahoma Clean Lakes and Watersheds Association