

SPACES WITH THE FIXED POINT PROPERTY  
FOR PERIODIC TRANSFORMATIONS

By

John Levi Kent

Bachelor of Science  
Arkansas Polytechnic College  
Russellville, Arkansas  
1956

Master of Science  
University of Arkansas  
Fayetteville, Arkansas  
1960

Submitted to the Faculty of the Graduate School  
of the Oklahoma State University  
in partial fulfillment of the requirements  
for the degree of  
DOCTOR OF EDUCATION  
August, 1965

DEC 8 1965

SPACES WITH THE FIXED POINT PROPERTY

FOR PERIODIC TRANSFORMATIONS

Thesis Approved:

*W Ware Marsden*  
Thesis Adviser

*Lernow Froel*

*Jeann Agnew*

*Paul E. Long*

*JH Bazz*  
Dean of the Graduate School

593510

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my sincere thanks to Professors W. Ware Marsden, Paul E. Long, Jeanne L. Agnew, and Vernon Troxel, my advisory committee for their help in planning my program of study and their assistance in the preparation of this thesis; to Dr. L. Wayne Johnson, Chairman of the Mathematics Department, for his counsel and my assistantship.

Additionally, I am deeply aware of and grateful for the many sacrifices which my wife Peggy and my two sons Johnny and Harvey have made in order for me to have the opportunity to prepare this thesis.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter	Page
I. THE NATURE AND SIGNIFICANCE OF THE PROBLEM . . . . .	1
Need for the Study . . . . .	2
Scope and Limitations . . . . .	3
Expected Outcomes . . . . .	3
II. BRIEF HISTORY AND INTRODUCTION TO FIXED POINT THEOREMS . . . . .	5
Introduction . . . . .	7
Elementary Fixed Point Theorems . . . . .	13
III. HOMOLOGY THEORY . . . . .	19
IV. THE EXISTENCE OF FIXED POINTS . . . . .	29
Preliminaries . . . . .	29
Special Systems and Coverings . . . . .	33
$\rho$ -Chains and Special Homologies in a Complex . . . . .	40
The Existence of Fixed Points . . . . .	44
V. CLASSIFICATION OF THE SET OF FIXED POINTS . . . . .	50
$\rho$ -Chains in a Complex . . . . .	51
Special Homologies in a Complex . . . . .	52
$\rho$ -Homology Groups in a Complex . . . . .	54
A Decomposition . . . . .	58
Projections of $\rho$ -Homology Classes . . . . .	59
Inverse Systems . . . . .	62
$\rho$ -Homology Groups in a Compact Space . . . . .	64
Homologies in $L$ . . . . .	67
Homological Spheres . . . . .	71
Examples . . . . .	73
IV. SUMMARY AND EDUCATIONAL IMPLICATIONS . . . . .	80
Summary . . . . .	80
Educational Implications . . . . .	81
BIBLIOGRAPHY . . . . .	83
APPENDIX: INDEX . . . . .	85

## CHAPTER I

### THE NATURE AND SIGNIFICANCE OF THE PROBLEM

In the past half century a great many research articles on the fixed point property have appeared in the mathematical journals. Some of these articles involve a periodic homeomorphic transformation of a space into itself. The work that has been done is scattered. No attempt has been made to bring together the ideas expressed by the various mathematicians who have contributed to the development of this area of thought. One purpose of this study is to examine the various contributions, summarize the research on periodic transformations, and show the continuity and pattern of development. Other objectives are to present a brief history of topology and to develop a bibliography for the area of fixed points under a periodic transformation.

The material in this thesis is intended to be readable on senior college or beginning graduate level in mathematics. Discussions and explanations are given in connection with the theorems, and examples and counter-examples are given to illustrate the topological concepts.

Chapter II presents a brief history of topology, some elementary theorems, and some examples suggesting the theorems that are proved later. In Chapter III a brief review of algebraic topology is outlined, and in Chapter IV and V major emphasis is placed on development of the specific topic of the thesis. Chapter VI delineates the educational

significance of the thesis and contains a summary.

### Need for the Study

The knowledge explosion has made it practical, perhaps necessary, for the mathematician to specialize in a particular area such as topology, geometry, algebra, or analysis. As a result of this specialization articles published in the research journals are frequently written in such specialized language that they cannot be readily understood by a mathematician outside the specialized area. In many cases proofs of theorems in one article are built upon definitions and theorems that have been published in other journals. Every person who attempts to understand these articles must first go back and acquire the necessary background. This is not an easy task since many of the details are missing. Since the journals are the major source of information for continuing developments, it is imperative that someone digest the various contributions and express them in simpler and more complete language. The fixed point property for periodic transformations is one such subject inasmuch as a chronological development has not been included in the textbooks.

There is a definite need for someone to consolidate all the research that has been done on a particular topic and to present it in a way that a well-trained undergraduate or graduate student, or teacher of mathematics can comprehend it. A study of this nature will also be of considerable value to the Ph. D. candidate who is interested in a review of the research in a given area. Some unsolved problems are stated in the thesis and these may be of value to any individual interested in mathematical research.

### Scope and Limitations

This thesis will be limited to the properties of fixed points for periodic homeomorphic transformations. Under this limitation we shall omit a survey and analysis of the fixed point properties for noncontinuous, continuous but not periodic, and multi-valued transformations. Also omitted will be the properties of fixed points for periodic transformations where the transformation is an isometry.

It is to be noted that none of the theory in this thesis is original. Most of the proofs are taken from the papers and books listed in the bibliography. The results obtained in this paper are not the most general ones that have been obtained. However, when more general results are available they will be discussed.

### Expected Outcomes

As a result of reading this thesis, the mathematics student should gain an awareness of the current and past research in this modern branch of mathematics. He should become acquainted with men who have contributed to its research and development. This thesis should arouse the student's interest and challenge him to read and probe the periodical literature of mathematics. The presentation of unsolved problems should impress upon him the fact that the frontiers of knowledge in this area of mathematics are being pushed back at a steady and continuing rate.

The fact that the reader, who is a potential teacher at either the public school or the college level, may become sufficiently interested in this phase of mathematics to cause him to undertake serious study in this area has great educational potential. He will be confronted with the pos-

sibility of contributing to research in mathematics by extending the results given in this thesis and by offering solutions to unsolved problems as well as by developing new properties of fixed points. The bibliography should be a valuable aid to anyone interested in the research of fixed point theory for periodic transformations.



## CHAPTER II

### BRIEF HISTORY AND

#### INTRODUCTION TO FIXED POINT THEOREMS

Topology, like most new branches of mathematics, had its beginning in already existing fields of study. The basic ideas of homology theory can be found in Riemann's investigation of functions which arise from the integration of total differentials. The theory of sets was developed by Cantor and used in the clarification and solution of problems in function theory. Both ideas are basic concepts in topology.

It was not until the first part of the twentieth century that topology developed into a self-sufficient branch of mathematics. R. L. Wilder, in an address to the Semicentennial History of the American Mathematical Society, said,

Topology originated in the work of many mathematicians of the past century, including Cantor, Riemann, and Kronecker; it won recognition as a distinct branch of mathematics largely through the writings of Poincaré about the beginning of the present century. Although having many ramifications, it has progressively become a unified subject, and due to its foundations in the theory of abstract spaces has come to collaborate with abstract group theory as a unifying force in mathematics as a whole. It has provided a tool for classification and unification, as well as for extension and generalization, in algebra, analysis, and geometry. Considered as a most specialized and abstract subject in the early 1920's, it is today almost an indispensable equipment for the investigator in modern mathematical theories [23].

---

<sup>1</sup>Arabic numerals in brackets indicate references to the Bibliography.

The growth of topology took place generally along two lines; the combinatorial and the point set. The former was that of Riemann and Poincaré, and was distinguished by its finite character. The basic configuration was not a point set, but a polyhedron consisting of a finite number of faces of various dimensions. The point set was that of Schoenflies, Cantor, Brouwer, E. H. Moore, R. L. Moore and others. The basic configuration was a point set, and whereas in the combinatorial approach the properties in the large were the center of interest, in the point set approach the local properties were those naturally studied. However, in more recent years the two lines of topology have been unified to some extent.

A problem in topology that has received much attention is the fixed point property. A topological space  $X$  is said to have the fixed point property if, given any continuous function  $f$  from  $X$  into  $X$ , there exists a point  $p$  such that  $f(p) = p$ . The first results on fixed points were obtained by Alexander on topological mappings of a 2-dimensional manifold and by Brouwer for continuous mappings of the  $n$ -cell and  $n$ -sphere. Brouwer's theorem was introduced in 1912 and is perhaps one of the best known. The next result was obtained by Lefschetz in 1923. He discovered a fixed point formula and the proof of its validity for a self-mapping of a closed manifold. In the period 1925-35 Lefschetz was able to extend his proof to relative manifolds, to general complexes and, finally, to locally connected spaces. Since Lefschetz's theorem was published many fixed point problems have been solved [2]. Yet, today there is no known topological characterization of the fixed point property.

The first fixed point problems were concerned with a continuous map-

ping from a space into itself. However, some of the more recent results involve non-continuous mappings and periodic mappings. The first fixed point theorem for periodic mappings was proved by H. A. Newman in 1930. The theorem was that if  $M_n$  is a locally Euclidean metricized connected  $n$ -dimensional space,  $K_n$  any domain in it, and  $p$  an integer greater than one, there is a positive number  $d$  such that no uniform continuous representation of  $M_n$  on itself with period  $p$  moves every point of  $K_n$  a distance less than  $d$  [15]. In 1934 P. A. Smith proved that if a compact Hausdorff space was simply connected in some sense then every homeomorphic periodic transformation of prime period would leave at least one point fixed. This theorem by Smith was perhaps stimulated by the following results. Kerekjarto and Eilenberg proved that every periodic transformation of an ordinary sphere into itself is topologically equivalent to a rotation or to the product of a rotation and a reflection across a diametral plane. Lefschetz's theorem was extended to a simplex in 1933. Smith's greatest contributions to this area came in 1937 and 1939 when he completed the topological classification of the set of fixed points for an  $n$ -sphere  $n \leq 3$  and for an arbitrary  $n$ -sphere if the period of the transformation was a power of a prime. In 1950 E. E. Floyd gave examples showing that P. A. Smith's theorem did not hold for an arbitrary period  $q$ . The goal of this thesis is to present the results obtained by Eilenberg, Smith, and Floyd.

## Introduction

Perhaps one should begin with a discussion of fixed points, with definitions, examples, and theorems that illustrate the concepts to which

this thesis is devoted. A minimal background has been assumed. Most of the ideas in topology that have been used are reviewed.

Definition 2.1. A set  $M$ , together with a collection of subsets called open sets, is called a topological space if and only if the collection of open sets satisfies the following axioms:

Axiom 1. The union of any collection of open sets is an open set.

Axiom 2. The intersection of any finite collection of open sets is an open set.

The collection of open sets  $\{V\}$  is called the topology of the topological space.

Definition 2.2. A topological space  $M$  is said to be a Hausdorff space if and only if, given any two distinct points  $p, q$  of  $M$ , there exist disjoint open sets  $U$  and  $V$  of  $M$  such that  $p$  is in  $U$  and  $q$  is in  $V$ .

Definition 2.3. A collection of open sets  $\{U\}$  is said to be an open covering of a set  $M$  if and only if  $M \subset \bigcup U$ .

Definition 2.4. A topological space  $M$  is said to be compact if and only if every open covering of  $M$  contains a finite subcovering of  $M$ .

The theorems in Chapters IV and V are in a compact Hausdorff space and the existence of a finite covering is very important in the proofs. We shall also call upon the following definition and theorem.

Definition 2.5. A space  $M$  is said to be normal if and only if,

given any two disjoint closed subsets  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  of  $M$ , there exist disjoint open subsets  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  of  $M$  containing  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ , respectively.

Lemma 2.1. If  $M$  is a compact Hausdorff space, then given any closed subset  $F$  of  $M$  and any point  $q$  of  $M$  not in  $F$  there exist disjoint open subsets  $Q$  and  $O$  of  $M$  containing  $F$  and  $q$ , respectively.

Proof. For every open covering  $\{U\}$  of  $F$  there exists a finite subset of  $\{U\}$  that covers  $F$ , since a closed subset of a compact space is compact. For every point  $p$  in  $F$  and for  $q$ , a fixed point in  $M-F$ , there exist open sets  $U, V$  where  $p$  is in  $U$  and  $q$  is in  $V$  such that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$  ( $M$  Hausdorff). Let  $Q$  be the union of a finite number of the  $U$ 's that cover  $F$ . Let  $O$  be the intersection of the finite number of  $V$ 's that correspond to the  $U$ 's. Now  $O$  and  $Q$  are open sets such that  $O \cap Q = \emptyset$  and  $F$  is in  $Q$  and  $q$  is in  $O$ .

Theorem 2.1. If a Hausdorff space  $M$  is compact, then it is normal.

Proof. Let  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  be closed subsets of  $M$ . For every  $p$  in  $F_1$  there exist open sets  $U, V$  such that  $U$  contains  $F_2$  and  $V$  contains  $p$ ,  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . A finite number of the  $V$ 's cover  $F_1$  since  $F_1$  is compact. Let  $Q$  be the union of these  $V$ 's. The intersection of the corresponding  $U$ 's, say  $O$ , is an open set containing  $F_2$ ,  $O \cap Q = \emptyset$ . Therefore  $M$  is normal.

Definition 2.6 through 2.11. define a homeomorphism which is an important concept in this thesis and, indeed, in topology. Other concepts defined are a periodic transformation, an  $n$ -sphere, and the fixed point

property.

Definition 2.6. Let  $M$  and  $R$  be spaces; a rule  $f$  is called a mapping of  $M$  into  $R$  ( $f: M \rightarrow R$ ) if and only if  $f$  associates with each element  $x$  of  $M$  a unique element  $y$  of  $R$ .

Definition 2.7. Let  $M$  and  $R$  be spaces and  $f: M \rightarrow R$  a mapping. Then  $f$  is said to be continuous at a point  $x$  of  $M$  if and only if, given any open subset  $G$  of  $R$  where  $x$  is in  $f^{-1}(G)$ , there exists an open set  $V$  of  $M$  containing  $x$  such that for every  $y$  in  $V$ ,  $f(y)$  is in  $G$ . ( $f^{-1}(G)$  is the set of all  $y$  in  $M$  such that  $f(y)$  is in  $G$ .) The function  $f$  is continuous on  $M$  if and only if  $f$  is continuous at every point of  $M$ .

Definition 2.8. Let  $M$  and  $R$  be spaces and  $f: M \rightarrow R$  a mapping. Then  $f$  is said to be onto if and only if for every  $y$  in  $R$  there exists an  $x$  in  $M$  such that  $f(x) = y$ .

Definition 2.9. Let  $M$  and  $R$  be spaces and  $f: M \rightarrow R$  a mapping. Then  $f$  is said to be one-to-one if and only if for  $x, y \in M$ ,  $f(x) = f(y)$  implies  $x = y$ .

Definition 2.10. Let  $M$  and  $R$  be spaces and  $f: M \rightarrow R$  a mapping. Then  $f$  is said to be open if and only if for every open set  $U$  of  $M$ ,  $f(U) = V$  is an open set in  $R$ .

Definition 2.11. Let  $M$  and  $R$  be spaces and  $f: M \rightarrow R$  a mapping. Then  $f$  is said to be a homeomorphism if and only if  $f$  is continuous, one-to-one, open, and onto.

Definition 2.12. Let  $f$  be a mapping of a space  $M$  into itself,  $f$  is said to be periodic provided there exists an integer  $p > 1$  such that  $f^p(x) = x$  for every point  $x$  of  $M$ , where

$$f^p(x) = f(f(\dots(f(x))\dots)) \quad (p \text{ of them}).$$

Definition 2.13. An  $n$ -sphere is any homeomorphic image of the sphere

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i^2 = 1.$$

Definition 2.14. A space  $M$  is said to have the fixed point property (fpp) if for every periodic homeomorphic transformation of  $M$  into itself there exists a point  $x$  such that  $f(x) = x$ .

We shall give examples to illustrate the above definitions and perhaps the examples will suggest some theorems.

Example 2.1. Let  $M$  be the interval  $[-1, 1]$  and define  $f(x) = -x$  for  $x \in M$ . Then  $f^2(x) = x$ , therefore  $f$  is periodic of period 2. The set of fixed points is the set  $\{0\}$ .

Example 2.2. Let  $M$  be the set of points  $(x, y)$  such that  $\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \leq 1$  and define  $f(x, y) = (-x, y)$ . Then  $f^2(x, y) = (x, y)$ , therefore  $f$  is periodic of period 2. The set of fixed points is the set  $\{(0, y) / |y| \leq 1\}$ .

Example 2.3. Let  $M$  be the same as in Example 2.2 and define  $f(x, y) = (y, -x)$ . Then  $f^4(x, y) = (x, y)$ , therefore  $f$  is periodic of period 4. The set of fixed points is the set  $\{(0, 0)\}$ .



Any number of examples such as the ones above can be found. However, one cannot find a periodic homeomorphic transformation of a connected subspace of the Euclidean space into itself that does not leave a point fixed. In Chapter IV we shall prove that such a space has the fpp.

The next examples are concerned with an  $n$ -sphere. Recall that a 0-sphere is homeomorphic to two points, a 1-sphere is homeomorphic to the circumference of a circle, and a 2-sphere is homeomorphic to the hull of an ordinary sphere.

Example 2.4. Let  $M$  be a 0-sphere, say the points "a" and "b"; then  $f(a) = b$  and  $f(b) = a$  is the only possible periodic transformation and it leaves no fixed points.

Example 2.5. Let  $M$  be a 1-sphere say the set of points  $(x, y)$  such that  $\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} = 1$ . Define  $f(x, y) = (-x, y)$ , then  $f(x, y)$  is periodic of period two. The set of fixed points is the set  $\{(0, 1), (0, -1)\}$ , which is a 0-sphere.

Example 2.6. Let  $M$  be the same as in Example 2.5. Define  $f(x, y) = [\cos(\theta + \pi), \sin(\theta + \pi)]$  where  $x = \cos \theta$  and  $y = \sin \theta$ , then  $f(x, y)$  is periodic of period two. The set of fixed points is the null set.

Example 2.7. Let  $M$  be a 2-sphere say the set of points  $(x, y, z)$  such that  $\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} = 1$ . Define  $g(x, y, z) = (x, y, -z)$ , then  $g(x, y, z)$  is periodic of period two. The set of fixed points is the set of points  $(x, y, 0)$  such that  $\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} = 1$ ,



which is a 1-sphere.

Example 2.8. Let  $M$  be the same as in Example 2.7. Define  $h(x, y, z) = [\cos(\theta + \pi), \sin(\theta + \pi), z]$  where  $x = \cos \theta$  and  $y = \sin \theta$ , then  $h(x, y, z)$  is periodic of period two. The set of fixed points is the set  $\{(0, 0, 1), (0, 0, -1)\}$  which is a 0-sphere.

Example 2.9. Let  $M$  be the same as in Example 2.7. Define  $f(x, y, z) = gh(x, y, z)$ , where  $g$  and  $h$  are the same as in Examples 2.7 and 2.8, then  $f$  is periodic of period two. The set of fixed points is the null set.

These examples indicate that the set of fixed points when an  $n$ -sphere,  $n \leq 2$ , is mapped into itself by a periodic homeomorphic transformation can be an  $r$ -sphere,  $r \leq n$ , or the null set. In this section we shall show that this is the case. In Chapter V we shall obtain the same result for an arbitrary  $n$ -sphere if the period of the transformation is a power of a prime.

### Elementary Fixed Point Theorems

Definition 2.15. A mapping  $f(X) \subset X$  is said to be pointwise almost periodic (p.a.p.) at a point  $p$  of a set  $X$  provided there exists, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , an integer  $N_p$  such that  $\rho[p, f^n(p)] < \epsilon$ , where  $\rho[p, f^n(p)]$  denotes the distance between  $p$  and  $f^n(p)$ . Note that a periodic transformation is p.a.p..

Lemma 2.2. If  $f(X) \subset X$  is a homeomorphism and  $K$  is a compact subset of  $X$  such that  $f(K) \subset K$ ,  $f$  cannot be p.a.p. at any point

of  $K - f(K)$ .

Proof. The relation  $f(K) \subset K$  gives  $f^2(K) \subset f(K)$ ,  $f^3(K) \subset f^2(K) \subset f(K)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $f^n(K) \subset f^{n-1}(K) \subset \dots \subset f(K)$ , so that  $x \in K - f(K)$  implies  $f^n(x) \subset f(K)$  for all  $n$ . Hence  $\rho[x, f^n(x)] \geq \rho[x, f(K)]$  for all  $n$ .

Lemma 2.3. If  $X$  is an arc,  $X = H + K$  is a division of  $X$  into  $H$  and  $K$  such that  $H \cap K = p \in X$  and  $f(X) \subset X$  is a homeomorphism such that  $H \cap f(H) \neq \emptyset \neq K \cap f(K)$  and  $f$  is p.a.p. at  $p$ , then  $p$  is fixed under  $f$ .

Proof. Suppose  $f(p) \neq p$ . Then  $f(p)$  belongs either to  $H - p$  or  $K - p$ , say to  $K - p$ . Since  $f(H)$  is connected and intersects both  $H$  and  $K$  we have  $f(H - p) \supset p$ . Thus  $p \in K - f(K)$ . Also,  $f(K) \subset K$  since  $f(K) \cap K \neq \emptyset$ . But by Lemma 2.2,  $f$  could not be p.a.p. at  $p$ .

Lemma 2.4. If the end points of an arc  $\overline{ab}$  are invariant, every element of the arc is invariant.

Proof. Let  $x$  be any point of the arc different from  $a$  or  $b$ . Then  $x$  divides  $\overline{ab}$  into  $H$  and  $K$  such that the hypothesis of Lemma 2.3 is satisfied. Hence  $x$  is a fixed point.

Definition 2.16. A mapping,  $f(x)$  is said to be pointwise periodic at a point  $x$  of  $X$  provided there exists an integer  $N_x$  such that  $f^{N_x}(x) = x$ . (A periodic mapping is pointwise periodic.)

Theorem 2.2. If  $f$  is a pointwise periodic mapping of an arc  $\overline{ab}$  into itself it must be periodic of period  $n$ ; furthermore, either

$n = 1$  (all points fixed) or  $n = 2$  and there is exactly one interior point  $p$  of  $\overline{ab}$  which is fixed and  $f$  is equivalent to a reflection about  $p$ .

Proof. If either  $a$  or  $b$  is fixed, they both must be fixed since end points map into end points; and every point is fixed by Lemma 2.4, so that  $n = 1$ . If neither  $a$  nor  $b$  is fixed, we have  $f(a) = b$ ,  $f(b) = a$ ,  $f^2(a) = a$ ,  $f^2(b) = b$  and hence  $f^2(x) = x$  for every  $x \in \overline{ab}$ . Therefore  $n = 2$ . Also, if  $p$  is a fixed point, we have  $f(\overline{ap}) = \overline{bp}$  and no  $q \neq p$  is fixed.

Theorem 2.3. Any pointwise periodic mapping  $f$  of the circle  $S$ ,  $|z| = 1$ , into itself is equivalent either to the reflection  $w = \bar{z}$  of period 2 with two fixed points or to the rotation  $w = z^n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) all points fixed for  $n = 1$ , no fixed points for  $n > 1$ .

Proof. If all points of  $S$  are fixed,  $f$  is the identity  $w = z$ . Suppose there exist a fixed point  $p$  and a point  $x$  with  $f(x) \neq x$ . Let  $\overline{px}$ ,  $\overline{pf(x)}$  and  $\overline{xf(x)}$  be the arcs on  $S$  each containing only two of the points  $p$ ,  $x$ , and  $f(x)$ . Then since  $f(\overline{px}) = \overline{pf(x)}$ , we have  $f(\overline{xf(x)}) = \overline{xf(x)}$ . Hence from the above there is exactly one fixed point  $q$  on  $\overline{xf(x)}$  and also  $f^2(x) = x$  and since  $f(\overline{qxp}) = \overline{qf(x)p}$ ,  $f$  is equivalent to the reflection  $w = \bar{z}$  on  $|z| = 1$ .

Assume there are no fixed points and  $p_0 = p \in S$ ,  $p_1 = f(p)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $p_{n-1} = f^{n-1}(p)$ ,  $p = f^n(p)$ , then  $f(\overline{p_0 p_1}) = \overline{p_1 p_2}$ ,  $f(\overline{p_1 p_2}) = \overline{p_2 p_3}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $f(\overline{p_{n-1} p_0}) = \overline{p_0 p_1}$  so that  $f$  is equivalent to the mapping  $w = z^n$ ,  $n > 1$ , on  $|z| = 1$ .

Notation:  $K_1$  is a topological circle,  $I_1$  its interior,  $S_1$  its

circumference, and  $S_2$  is a 2-sphere.

Lemma 2.5. Let  $E = K_1$ ,  $a \in L \cap S_1$ ,  $b \in L \cap S_1$ ,  $a \neq b$  and  $A = \overline{xy}$  where  $A \cap S_1 = \{x, y\}$  and such that  $A$  cuts  $E$  between  $a$  and  $b$ . Then there exists an arc  $B \subset \bigcup f^i(A)$  with end points on  $S_2$ , and  $f(B) = B$ .

Proof. Let  $g$  be a homeomorphism between  $E$  and a geometric circle. Extend  $f$  to  $g(E)$  such that  $fg(x) = gf(x)$  for  $x \in E$ . Form an  $S_2 = E + g(E)$  by identification of a point  $x$  and  $g(x)$  for  $x \in S_1$ . The curve  $S_1' = A + g(A)$  cuts  $S_2$  between  $a$  and  $b$ . Designate by  $I_1'$  the component of  $S_2 - S_1'$  that contains  $a$  and let  $I_1''$  be the component of  $\bigcap f^i I_1'$  that contains  $a$ . The closure is a topological circle  $K_1''$ ,  $S_1'' \subset \bigcup f^i(S_1')$ ,  $f(I_1'') = I_1''$  and  $f(S_1'') = S_1''$ .

The set  $S_1'' \cap E$  cuts  $E$  between  $a$  and  $b$ , hence there exists an arc  $B \subset S_1'' \cap E$  which cuts  $E$  between  $a$  and  $b$ . Also,  $g(B) \subset S_1''$  hence  $B + g(B) \subset S_1''$ , and  $B \cap g(B)$  contains points such that  $B + g(B)$  contains a topological circumference or  $B + g(B) = S_1''$ . Now,  $B = S_1'' \cap E$  and the end points of  $B$  are on  $S_1$ . Also,  $f(B) = B$  because  $f(S_1'') = S_1''$ , and  $B \subset \bigcup f^i(S_1')$  because  $S_1'' \subset \bigcup f^i(S_1')$ .

Lemma 2.6. If  $E = K_1$  and  $S_1 \subset L$ , then  $n = 1$ .

Proof. Let  $p$  be any point in  $K_1 - S_1$  and  $A = \overline{xpy}$  where  $A \cap S_1 = \{x, y\}$ . There exists an arc  $B \subset \bigcup f^i(A)$  such that  $B \cap S_1 = \{x', y'\}$ ,  $x', y'$  end points of  $B$  and  $f(B) = B$  by Lemma 2.5 and by Theorem 2.2  $B \subset L$ . Hence  $B = A$  and the arbitrary

$p \in L$ , therefore  $E = L$  and  $n = 1$ .

Theorem 2.4. Any periodic homeomorphic mapping  $f$  of a topological sphere  $S_2$  into itself is of period one all points fixed, of period two a 1-sphere fixed, or of period  $n$  two points fixed or no points fixed.

Proof. Assume that  $L$ , the set of fixed points, is not null then there exists an  $x \in S_2$  such that  $f(x) = x$ . Let  $I_1$  be any open disk such that  $a \in I_1$ . Then  $\cap f^i(I_1)$  is a collection of open disks one of which, call it  $I_1'$ , contains  $a$ . Now  $f(I_1') = I_1'$  and  $f(S_2 - I_1') = S_2 - I_1'$ . Hence there exists a point  $y \in S_2 - I_1'$  such that  $f(y) = y$  by Brouwer's Theorem. Therefore if  $L \neq \emptyset$ , then  $L$  contains at least two points.

Let  $L_1$  be the set of fixed points under  $f$ ,  $L_2$  the set of fixed points under  $f^2$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $L_{n-1}$  the set of fixed points under  $f^{n-1}$ . Let  $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} L_i$ ,  $a$  and  $b$  points of  $L_1$ . Now  $A$  either cuts  $S_2$  between  $a$  and  $b$  or it does not. Consider first the case where  $A$  cuts  $S_2$  between  $a$  and  $b$ . Let  $S_1'$  be a 1-sphere in  $A$  such that it cuts  $S_2$  between  $a$  and  $b$ . Suppose  $a \in I_1'$  the interior of  $S_1'$  and let  $I_1''$  be the component of  $\cap f^i(I_1')$  which contains  $a$ . Then  $b \in S_2 - \cup f^i(I_1')$ . Let  $S_1''$  be the boundary of  $I_1''$ , then  $S_1'' \subset \cup f^i(S_1') \cap A$ . Also  $f(I_1'') = I_1''$ , hence  $f(S_1'') = S_1''$ . And since more than two points of  $S_1''$  are contained in  $A$  then all of  $S_1''$  is in  $A$  by Theorem 2.3. Then by Lemma 2.6  $K_1'$  and  $S_2 - K_1''$  are contained in  $A$ . Hence  $S_2 \subset A$  and  $n = 1$ .

Assume next that  $A$  does not cut  $S_2$  between  $a$  and  $b$ , then there exists an arc  $B$  such that  $f^i(B) \cap B = \{a, b\}$  for all  $i$ . Now

$B, f(B), \dots, f^{n-1}(B)$  divides  $S_2$  into  $n$  sections  $R_0, R_1, \dots, R_{n-1}$  where the boundary of  $R_0 = B \cup f(B)$ , the boundary of  $R_1 = f(B) \cup f^2(B)$  et cetera. Now  $f(B_1) = B_{1+1}$  and  $f(B_{1+1}) = B_{1+2}$  and the boundary of  $f(R_1)$  is the same as the boundary of  $R_{1+1}$ . Hence  $f(R_1) = R_1$  or  $f(R_1) = R_{1+1}$ . If  $f(R_1) = R_1$  then  $n = 2$  and  $R_1 \cup R_{1+1} = S_2$ , and if  $f(R_1) = R_{1+1}$  then  $L = \{a, b\}$ . It remains to show that if  $f(R_1) = R_1$  then  $L = S_1$ . Let  $A = \overline{xy}$  such that  $A \cap S_{1_1}$ , the boundary of  $R_1$ , is  $\{x, y\}$ . Then there exists a  $B \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^2 A_i$  such that  $f(B) = B$  and  $B \cap S_{1_1}$  is the set consisting of the end points of  $B$ . Since  $n = 2$ ,  $B$  contains one and only one end point  $p$  such that  $f(p) = p$ . Also,  $f(B)$  contains the same fixed point which implies that  $A$  contains at least one fixed point. Therefore, there exists an arc  $C$  between  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $C \subset L$ . Furthermore, there exists a point  $c \in S_2 - R_1$  such that  $f(c) = c$  and similarly arcs  $C', C'' \subset L$  between  $a$  and  $c$ , and  $b$  and  $c$ . Let  $S_1'$  be the 1-sphere  $C \cup C' \cup C'' = L$ . The circle  $K_1'$  with boundary  $B \cup B' \cup B''$ , where  $B'$  and  $B''$  form the corresponding arc between  $\overline{ac}$  and  $\overline{bc}$ , is mapped by  $f$  onto  $K_1''$  with boundary  $f(B) \cup f(B') \cup f(B'')$ . Hence  $L = S_1'$ .

The following theorem is a collection of the results in this section. The results presented in this section may be found in [5] and [21].

**Theorem 2.5** If an  $n$ -sphere  $n \leq 2$  is mapped into itself by a periodic homeomorphic mapping, then the set of fixed points is an  $r$ -sphere  $r \leq n$ .

## CHAPTER III

### HOMOLOGY THEORY

The proof of the existence of fixed points and the classification of the set of fixed points, Chapters IV and V, involve a great deal of homology theory. We shall review the definitions, concepts, and theorems that are used. The theorems are not proved; however, the proofs as well as the definitions given here can be found in [24].

#### Simplicial Complex

Definition 3.1. An abstract simplicial complex  $M$  is a pair  $(U, \Sigma)$  where  $\{U\}$  is a set of elements called vertices, and  $\Sigma$  is a collection of finite subsets of  $\{U\}$  with the property that each element of  $\{U\}$  lies in some element of  $\Sigma$  and, if  $E$  is any element of  $\Sigma$ , then every subset of  $E$  is again an element of  $\Sigma$ .  $M$  is finite if  $\{U\}$  is finite.

Definition 3.2. A simplex  $E$  is an element of the collection  $\Sigma$  and the dimension of  $E$  is one less than the number of vertices in  $E$ . Denote a simplex with  $m + 1$  vertices by  $E^m$ . A face  $E^k$  of  $E^m$  is a  $k$  dimensional subset of  $E^m$ .

Definition 3.3. The star of a simplex  $E$  ( $St, E$ ) is the collection of all elements in  $\Sigma$  of which  $E$  is a subset.

Note 3.1. Every finite abstract simplicial complex is isomorphic to a geometric simplicial complex.

Example 3.1. Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space, and let  $\{U\}$  be a finite covering of  $X$  by open sets. Define  $M = (V, \Sigma)$  by taking  $\{V\}$  to be the collection  $\{U\}$  and by saying that a subset  $U_0, U_1, \dots, U_p$  of elements of  $\{U\}$  is a simplex  $E$  in  $\Sigma$  if and only if the intersection  $\bigcap_{i=0}^p U_i$ , the Kernel of  $E$ , is not empty. Then  $M$  is an abstract simplicial complex or the nerve of the covering  $\{U\}$ . To see this, we need only note that if  $\bigcap_{i=0}^p U_i$  is not empty, then any subcollection of theorem sets  $U_0, \dots, U_p$  also has a nonempty intersection and by definition must constitute an element of  $\Sigma$ .

### Oriented Complex

Definition 3.4. An oriented simplex is an abstract simplex with an arbitrary fixed ordering of its vertices.

Definition 3.5. An oriented simplicial complex is an abstract simplicial complex with an arbitrary fixed orientation for each simplex in the complex.

### Incidence Number

Given an oriented simplicial complex  $M$ , we associate with every pair of simplexes  $E^m$ , and  $E^{m-1}$ , which differ in dimension by unity, and incident number  $[E^m, E^{m-1}]$  defined as follows:

$$[E^m, E^{m-1}] = 0 \text{ if } E^{m-1} \text{ is not a face of } E^m;$$

$$[E^m, E^{m-1}] = \pm 1 \text{ if } E^{m-1} \text{ is a fact of } E^m.$$



If the orientation of  $E^m$  and  $E^{m-1}$  agree, then the incidence number is  $+1$ . Otherwise it is  $-1$ .

Example 3.2. If  $+E^2 = (v_0 v_1 v_2)$  and  $+E^1 = (v_1 v_2)$ , then  $[(v_0 v_1 v_2), (v_2 v_1)] = 1$ . But if  $+E^1 = (v_2 v_1)$ , then  $[(v_0 v_1 v_2), (v_2 v_1)] = -1$ .

The oriented simplicial complex  $M$  together with the system of incidence numbers  $[E^m, E^{m-1}]$  constitutes the basic structure supporting a simplicial homology theory.

### Chain

Let  $M$  denote an arbitrary oriented simplicial complex, and let  $G$  be an arbitrary abelian group.

Definition 3.6. An  $m$ -dimensional chain of the finite complex  $M$  with coefficients in the group  $G$  is a function  $C_m$  on the oriented  $m$ -simplexes of  $M$  with values in the group  $G$  such that if  $C_m(+E^m) = g$ ,  $g \in G$ , then  $C_m(-E^m) = -g$ .

The collections of all such  $m$ -dimensional chains on  $M$  will be denoted by the symbol  $C_m(M, G)$ . We introduce addition of  $m$ -chains by means of the usual functional addition. That is, we define

$$(C_m^1 + C_m^2)(E^m) = C_m^1 E^m + C_m^2 E^m,$$

where the addition on the right is the group operation in  $G$ . Under this operation  $C_m(M, G)$  is an abelian group, the  $m$ -dimensional chain group of  $M$  with coefficients in  $G$ . An arbitrary  $m$ -chain on  $M$  can be written as a linear combination  $\sum g_i E_i^m$ , where  $g_i = C_m(+E_i^m)$ . This notation tabulates the function  $C_m$  in such a way that addition of such

functions is the addition of linear combinations. An elementary  $m$ -chain on  $K$  is an  $m$ -chain such that  $C_m(\pm E_0^m) = \pm g_0$  for some particular simplex  $E_0^m$  in  $K$  and  $C_m(E^m) = 0$  for  $E^m \neq \pm E_0^m$ .

### Boundary Operator

The boundary operator  $F$  defines a homomorphism of the group  $C_m(M, G)$  into the group  $C_{m-1}(M, G)$  as follows:

$$Fg_0 E_0^m = \sum_{E^{m-1}} [E_0^m, E^{m-1}] g_0 E^{m-1}.$$

This is extended linearly to arbitrary  $m$ -chains by

$$FC_m = F(\sum_i g_i E_i^m) = \sum_i F(g_i E_i^m).$$

Also for any chain  $C_m$  in  $C_m(M, G)$ ,  $F(FC_m) = 0$ . That is,  $F(FC_m)$  is the  $(m-2)$ -chain with value zero on each  $(m-2)$  simplex.

### Cycle

An  $m$ -dimensional cycle on  $M$  with coefficients in  $G$  is a chain  $z_m$  in  $C_m(M, G)$  with the property that  $F(z_m) = 0$ , the  $(m-1)$ -chain  $\sum 0 \cdot E_i^{m-1}$ . The collection of all  $m$ -cycles is precisely the kernel of the homomorphism  $F$  in the group  $C_m(M, G)$  and hence is a subgroup of  $C_m(M, G)$ . This subgroup is the  $m$ -dimensional cycle group of  $M$  with coefficients in  $G$  and is denoted by  $Z_m(M, G)$ .

A 0-dimension chain  $C_0$  is a cycle if and only if  $C_0 = \sum g_i E_i^0$  implies that  $\sum g_i = 0$  in the group.

### Boundary

An  $m$ -boundary on  $M$  with coefficients in  $G$  is a  $b_m$  chain in  $C_m(M, G)$  such that there exists a  $C_{m+1}$  chain where  $F(C_{m+1}) = b_m$ .

The set of all  $m$ -boundaries is a subset of  $Z_m(M, G)$  since  $F(F(C_{m+1})) = 0$ . This set is a subgroup denoted by  $B_m(M, G)$ , the group of  $m$ -boundaries of  $M$  with coefficients in  $G$ .

For  $FC_0$ , either of two conventions may be made: (1) Define  $FC_0 = 0$ , or (2) Augment the complex under consideration by an ideal simplex  $C_{-1}$  and for all  $C_0$  of the complex let  $FC_0 = C_{-1}$ . If case (2) is used, the complex is called augmented.

### Homology Group

Since both  $B_m(M, G)$  and  $Z_m(M, G)$  are abelian groups we can define the difference group  $Z_m(M, G) - B_m(M, G)$ , which is called the  $m$ -homology groups of  $M$  over  $G$  and is denoted by  $H_m(M, G)$ . Each element of  $H_m(M, G)$  is an equivalence class  $[z_m]$  of  $m$ -cycles where  $z_m^1$  and  $z_m^2$  are in the same class if and only if the chain  $z_m^1 - z_m^2$  is an  $m$ -boundary. This equivalence relation is called homology and is written  $z_m^1 \sim z_m^2$ . If  $z_m^0$  is an  $m$ -boundary we write  $z_m^0 \sim 0$ .

Example 3.3. Let  $M$  be the complex consisting of a single 3-simplex  $E^3$  together with all of its faces. This complex is the closure of a simplex  $E^3$  and is denoted by " $Cl(E^3)$ ." We will orient the complex  $M$  by choosing a fixed ordering of its vertices  $v_0, v_1, v_2$ , and  $v_3$  and letting this ordering induce the positive orientation of the simplexes. In this way, we have the following list of (representatives of) the oriented simplexes of  $M$ :

$$+E_1^1 = (v_2 v_3), \quad +E_3^1 = (v_0 v_3), \quad +E_5^1 = (v_0 v_2),$$

$$+E_2^1 = (v_1 v_3), \quad +E_4^1 = (v_1 v_2), \quad +E_6^1 = (v_0 v_1),$$

$$+E_1^2 = (v_1 v_2 v_3), \quad +E_3^2 = (v_0 v_1 v_3), \quad +E^3 = (v_0 v_1 v_2 v_3)$$

$$+E_2^2 = (v_0 v_2 v_3), \quad +E_4^2 = (v_0 v_1 v_2).$$

(We omit a consideration of dimension zero.)

Now let  $G$  be any abelian group. The only 3-chains on  $M$  are the elementary chains  $gE^3$ , hence the chain group  $C_3(M, G)$  is isomorphic to  $G$ . Since there are no 4-simplexes in  $M$ ,  $C_4(M, G) = 0$ , and hence  $B_3(M, G) = FC_4(M, G) = 0$ . It follows that  $H_3(M, G) = Z_3(M, G)$ .

But let  $gE^3$  be any 3-chain. Computing its boundary we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(gE^3) &= \sum_{i=1}^4 [E^3, E_i^2] gE_i^2 \\ &= gE_1^2 - gE_2^2 + gE_3^2 - gE_4^2. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to show that, in the present case,  $[E^3, E_i^2] = (-1)^{i+1}$ . This chain is the zero 2-chain if and only if  $g = 0$ . Therefore, the only 3-cycle on  $M$  is the trivial 3-cycle  $0E^3$ . Hence,  $Z_3(M, G) = H_3(M, G)$  is trivial. This illustrates one situation in which we obtain a trivial homology group, namely, the case where we have no cycles except the trivial cycle.

Another situation that results in a trivial homology group occurs when every cycle is a boundary, for if  $Z_m(M, G) = B_m(M, G)$ , then  $Z_m - B_m = H_m = 0$ . This situation can be illustrated with this same example. Suppose that the 2-chain  $\sum_{i=1}^4 g_i E_i^2$  is a 2-cycle. Computing its boundary we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F\left(\sum_{i=1}^4 g_i E_i^2\right) &= \sum_{i=1}^4 F(g_i E_i^2) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^4 \sum_{j=1}^6 [E_i^2, E_j^1] g_i E_j^1 \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^6 \left(\sum_{i=1}^4 [E_i^2, E_j^1] g_i\right) E_j^1.
\end{aligned}$$

If this is to be the zero 1-chain, then for each fixed index  $j$  the sum

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 [E_i^2, E_j^1] g_i$$

must be zero. For instance, if  $j = 1$  we have

$$[E_1^2, E_1^1] g_1 + [E_2^2, E_1^1] g_2 + [E_3^2, E_1^1] g_3 + [E_4^2, E_1^1] g_4 = 0.$$

But  $E_1^1$  is not a face of  $E_3^2$  and  $E_4^2$ , so the last two terms are zero. Furthermore,  $[E_1^2, E_1^1] = +1$  and  $[E_2^2, E_1^1] = +1$ , hence this equation reduces to nothing more than  $g_1 + g_2 = 0$  or  $g_2 = -g_1$ . Similarly, working with  $E_6^1$  we obtain  $g_4 = -g_3$ , and working with  $E_3^1$  we show that  $g_3 = g_2$ . This means that  $\sum_{i=1}^4 g_i E_i^2$  can be a 2-cycle only if  $g_1 = g_3 = -g_2 = -g_4$ ; that is, the only 2-cycles are of the form  $gE_1^2 - gE_2^2 + gE_3^2 - gE_4^2$ . But we have already seen that such a 2-cycle is the boundary of the 3-chain  $gE^3$ . Hence, every 2-cycle on  $M$  is a 2-boundary, and it follows that  $H_2(M, G) = 0$ .

By an analogous but much longer method, the reader can prove that  $Z_1(M, G) = B_1(M, G)$  and thereby show that  $H_1(M, G)$  is also trivial. Geometrically, the complex  $M$  is carried by a homeomorph of the 3-cube  $I^3$  and is a 3-cell. Granting that the homology groups are topological invariants, we have found that the homology groups of a 3-cell are trivial for dimensions greater than zero.

### Relative Homology Groups

If  $M$  is an abstract simplicial complex and  $L$  is a closed subcomplex,  $L \subset M$ . A  $p$ -chain  $C_p$  on  $M$  is called a  $p$ -cycle of  $M$  modulo  $L$  provided  $FC_p$  is a chain on  $L$ , that is,  $FC_p$  has nonzero coefficients only on simplexes of  $L$ . A  $p$ -boundary of  $M$  modulo  $L$  is a chain  $b_n$  such that there exists a  $C_{n+1}$  chain where  $FC_{n+1} = b_n + b_n^1$ ,  $b_n^1 \in L$ . We form the relative homology group of  $M$  mod  $L$  as  $H_p\left(\frac{K}{L}\right) = Z_p\left(\frac{K}{L}\right) - B_p\left(\frac{K}{L}\right)$ . By these definitions, a relative chain  $z_p$  is a relative cycle if and only if  $Fz_p = 0$ . That is, a chain on  $M$  represents a relative cycle if and only if its boundary lies in  $L$ . Similarly  $b_p$  is a relative boundary if and only if there is a chain  $C_{p+1}$  such that  $b_p = FC_{p+1}$  lies in  $L$ , that is,  $b_p$  together with some chain in  $L$  constitutes the boundary of a chain of  $M$ . We also have equivalence classes of  $p$ -cycles mod  $L$ . Two  $p$ -cycles  $z_p^1$  and  $z_p^2$  are in the same class if and only if  $z_p^1 - z_p^2$  is a  $p$ -boundary mod  $L$ . This will be denoted by  $z_p^1 \sim z_p^2$  mod  $L$ .

### Refinement of a Covering

A covering  $\{V\}$  of a space  $M$  is said to be a refinement of a covering  $\{U\}$  if for each element  $V$  of  $\{V\}$  there is an element  $U$  of  $\{U\}$  such that  $U \supset V$ . We write this as  $\{U\} < \{V\}$ .

### Projection

If  $\{V\} > \{U\}$  in  $\Sigma$ , then a projection is a simplicial mapping  $\pi$  of  $\{V\}$  into  $\{U\}$ . It is defined by taking  $\pi(V)$  to be any fixed element  $U$  such that  $V \subset U$ . There may be several elements of  $\{U\}$  con-

taining the set  $V$  and hence several choices for  $\pi(V)$ . This means that there may be many projections of  $\{V\}$  into  $\{U\}$ . However, if  $\{U\} < \{V\}$  in  $\Sigma$ , then any two projections  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  of  $\{V\}$  into  $\{U\}$  induce the same homomorphism of  $H_p(V, G)$  into  $H_p(U, G)$ . That is,  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  coincide.

### Cech Cycle

A  $p$ -dimensional Cech cycle of a space  $M$  is a collection  $z_p = \{z_p(U)\}$  of  $p$ -cycles  $z_p(U)$ , one from each and every cycle group  $Z_p(U, G)$ ,  $\{U\}$  in  $\Sigma(M)$ , with the property that if  $\{U\} < \{V\}$ , then  $\pi z_p(V)$  is homologous to  $z_p(U)$ . Each cycle  $z_p(U)$  in the collection  $z_p$  is called a coordinate of the Cech cycle. Hence a Cech cycle has a coordinate on every covering of the space  $M$ .

The addition of Cech cycles is defined in a natural way by setting

$$\{z_p(U)\} + \{z_p^1(U)\} = \{z_p(U) + z_p^1(U)\}$$

where the addition on the right is that of chains on the complex  $\{U\}$ .

The homology relation between Cech cycles is defined as follows: First a Cech cycle  $z_p = \{z_p(U)\}$  is homologous to zero on  $M$  (or is a bounding Cech cycle) if each coordinate  $z_p(U)$  is homologous to zero on the covering  $\{U\}$ , for all  $\{U\}$  in  $\Sigma(M)$ . In other words,  $\{z_p(U)\}$  bounds if and only if there is a  $(p+1)$ -chain  $C_{p+1}(U)$  on each covering  $\{U\}$  in  $\Sigma(M)$  such that the coordinate  $z_p(U) = \partial C_{p+1}(U)$ . Then two Cech cycles  $z_p$  and  $z_p^1$  are homologous Cech cycles if their difference  $z_p - z_p^1$  is homologous to zero. The homology relation defined above is an equivalence relation on the set of all Cech  $p$ -cycles. The corresponding equivalence classes  $[z_p]$  of homologous Cech  $p$ -cycles are the ele-

elements of the  $p^{\text{th}}$  Čech homology groups  $H_p(M, G)$ , the group operation being defined by

$$[z_p] + [z_p^1] = [z_p + z_p^1].$$

Čech homology groups are topological invariants of the space  $M$ . If  $f$  is a homeomorphism of  $M$  to  $M'$ , then for each covering  $\{U\}$  in  $\Sigma(M)$  the collection  $\{f(U)\}$  of all images of elements of  $\{U\}$  is an open covering of  $M'$  and conversely. The complexes  $\{U\}$  and  $\{f(U)\}$  are isomorphic, and the homology groups  $H_p(M, G)$  and  $H_p(M', G)$  are isomorphic.

#### Cofinal Family of Coverings of $M$

A subcollection  $\Sigma'(M)$  of  $\Sigma(M)$  is called a cofinal family of coverings of  $M$  provided that for every covering  $\{U\}$  of  $\Sigma(M)$  there is some covering  $\{U'\}$  in  $\Sigma'(M)$  such that  $\{U'\} > \{U\}$ .

Given such a cofinal family  $\Sigma'(M)$ , we may go through the development of Čech theory again, restricting the cycles, homologies, et cetera, to be elements of  $\Sigma'(M)$ . The Čech groups obtained from  $\Sigma'(M)$  are isomorphic to the full Čech groups  $H_p(M, G)$ .



## CHAPTER IV

### THE EXISTENCE OF FIXED POINTS

The fixed-point theorems for transformations of finite periods which assert that fixed points must exist, if a space  $M$  under transformation is simply connected in some sense, seem to be the simplest to prove.

The first theorem of this sort was proved by P. A. Smith in 1934. Since that time Samuel Eilenberg and P. A. Smith have given different proofs, with some generalization, for the same theorem. The proof that is given here follows the second one given by P. A. Smith in [17].

To prove the theorem we first show the existence of a cofinal family of coverings which has desirable properties. This family, being cofinal, is sufficient for the study of the space  $M$ . Then different types of chains, cycles, and boundaries are defined. These new chains, called  $\rho$ -chains, are shown to have certain properties. We then assume that the space under investigation has no fixed points under a periodic transformation. This assumption leads to a result which contradicts a property of the  $\rho$ -chains.

Before proving the existence theorem on fixed-points, we shall give some preliminary definitions and prove some needed theorems. The theorems on the existence of a type refinement are of particular interest.

#### Preliminaries

For the remainder of this paper  $M$  will denote a Hausdorff space,

$T$  a homeomorphic transformation of  $M$  into itself. The transformation  $T$  will always be periodic of period  $q$ . The identity itself will not be considered as being periodic. If  $A$  is a subset of  $M$ , the sets  $A, T(A), \dots, T^{q-1}(A)$  will be called the  $T$ -images of  $A$ . Denote  $\bigcup_{i=0}^{q-1} T^i(A)$  by  $\sigma A$ . The totality of fixed points will be denoted by  $L$ .

Definition 4.1. A subset  $K$  of  $M$  is invariant if  $T(K) = K$ .

Lemma 4.1. If  $A \subset M$ , then  $\sigma A$  is invariant.

Proof. We have  $T(\sigma A) = T(A \cup T(A) \cup \dots \cup T^{p-1}(A)) = (T(A) \cup T^2(A) \cup \dots \cup T^p(A))$ , therefore  $T(\sigma A) = \sigma A$ , since  $T^p(A) = A$ .

Definition 4.2. The transformation  $T$  is primitive if each point of  $M-L$  has  $q$  distinct  $T$ -images.

Lemma 4.2. The transformation  $T$  is primitive if  $q = p$ ,  $p$  a prime.

Proof. Assume  $T^i(x) = T^j(x)$ ,  $x \in M-L$ , and  $i < j < r < p$ , where  $r$  is any other number such that  $T^i(x) = T^r(x)$ . Then  $T^k(x) = x$ , where  $j - i = k < p$ . But  $T^p(x) = x$  since  $T$  has period  $p$ . Therefore  $T^{kl}(x) = T^p(x)$ , which implies  $p$  is not prime. This is true because  $k$  is the smallest integer such that  $T^k(x) = x$ , hence  $p = \ell k$  for some  $\ell$ .

Definition 4.3. If  $M$  is a closed finite Euclidean simplicial complex whose simplexes are permuted among themselves by  $T$ , we say  $(M, T)$  is simplicial. The totality of invariant simplexes will be denoted by  $M_T$ .

Definition 4.4. A simplicial  $(M, T)$  will be called primitive if each simplex in  $M - M_I$  has  $q$  distinct (hence mutually exclusive)  $T$ -images.

Lemma 4.3. A simplicial  $(M, T)$  is primitive if  $q = p$ ,  $p$  a prime.

Proof. See Lemma 4.2.

Definition 4.5. A simplicial  $(M, T)$  is regular if the subcomplex  $M_I$  is closed.

Definition 4.6. A system will mean a finite collection  $\{U\}$  of point sets in  $M$ . The component sets of a system  $\{U\}$  are the  $U$ -vertices. A system where the vertices are permuted among themselves by  $T$  is called a T-system. The vertices of  $\{U\}$ ,  $\{T(U)\}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $\{T^{q-1}(U)\}$  taken together form a system denoted by  $\sigma\{U\}$ .

Lemma 4.4. The collection  $\sigma\{U\}$  is a T-system.

Proof. Let  $U_\sigma$  be any vertex of  $\sigma\{U\}$ . Then  $U_\sigma = T^i(U)$  where  $U \in \{U\}$ , and hence  $T(U_\sigma) = T^{i+1}(U)$ . But  $T^{i+1}(U) \in \sigma\{U\}$  by definition of  $\sigma\{U\}$ . Therefore the vertices of  $\sigma\{U\}$  are permuted among themselves.

Let  $\{U\}$  be a T-system and write  $\{U\} = \{U'\} \cup \{U''\}$ , where  $\{U'\}$  consists of the invariant  $U$ -vertices while  $\{U''\}$  denotes the remaining  $U$ -vertices.

Note 4.1. The collections  $\{U'\}$  and  $\{U''\}$  are T-systems.

Definition 4.7. A T-system  $\{U\}$  is primitive if each  $U''$ -vertex

has  $q$  mutually exclusive  $T$ -images.

Definition 4.8. Let  $\{U_i\}$  and  $\{U_j\}$  be  $T$ -systems with  $\{U_i\} > \{U_j\}$ . A projection  $\pi: \{U_i\} \rightarrow \{U_j\}$  is a  $T$ -projection if  $\pi T = T\pi$ .

Lemma 4.5. A  $T$ -projection  $\pi: \{U_i\} \rightarrow \{U_j\}$  carries  $U'_i$ -vertices into  $U'_j$ -vertices.

Proof. Let  $U'_i$  be any  $U'_i$ -vertex. Then  $\pi T(U'_i) = \pi(U'_i) = T\pi(U'_i)$  since  $T\pi = \pi T$ . Therefore  $U'_j = T(U'_i)$  where  $U'_j = \pi(U'_i)$ .

Theorem 4.1. Let  $\{U_i\}$  and  $\{U_j\}$  be  $T$ -systems with  $\{U_i\} > \{U_j\}$ . If  $\{U_j\}$  is primitive, there exists a  $T$ -projection  $\pi: \{U_i\} \rightarrow \{U_j\}$ .

Proof. Write  $\{U_i\} = \{U_i^1\} \cup \{U_i^2\}$  where  $\{U_i^2\}$  consists of all  $U_i$ -vertices which are contained in  $U''_j$ -vertices and  $\{U_i^1\}$  consists of all  $U_i$ -vertices which are contained in  $U'_j$ -vertices. Then  $\{U_i^1\}$  and  $\{U_i^2\}$  are  $T$ -systems and refinements of  $\{U'_j\}$  and  $\{U''_j\}$ , respectively. Moreover, since  $\{U_j\}$  is primitive, each  $U''_j$ -vertex has  $q$  mutually exclusive images and the same is true with  $U_i^2$ -vertices since they are contained in the  $U''_j$ -vertices. Then  $\{U_i^2\}$  can be represented without repetition as consisting of the  $T$ -images of a suitably chosen subsystem of its vertices, say,  $U_{i_1}^2, U_{i_2}^2, \dots, U_{i_s}^2$ . Let  $\pi_2$  be a projection of this subsystem into  $\{U''_j\}$  and extend  $\pi_2$  over  $\{U_i^2\}$  by the formula  $\pi_2 T^q(U_{i_1}^2) = T^q \pi_2(U_{i_1}^2)$ ,  $q = (1, 2, \dots, p-1)$ . In this manner  $\pi_2$  becomes a  $T$ -projection, from  $\{U_i^2\} \rightarrow \{U''_j\}$ . Now let  $\pi_1$  be a projection that takes all  $T$ -images of a  $U_i^1$ -vertex into the same  $U'_j$ -vertex. Thus  $\pi_1$  is then a  $T$ -projection since the  $U'_j$ -vertices are invariant. Taken together,  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  define a  $T$ -projection from  $\{U_i\} \rightarrow \{U_j\}$ .

We are particularly concerned with systems that are finite open coverings of  $M$ . These finite open coverings will be referred to as coverings.

Theorem 4.2. Every covering  $\{U\}$  of  $M$  is refined by a  $T$ -covering.

Proof. Let  $\{U\}$  be any covering of  $M$ , and  $\{V\}$  be the covering made of intersections of  $\{U\}$ ,  $\{T(U)\}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $\{T^{p-1}(U)\}$ . Then  $\{V\} > \{U\}$  since each  $V$  is in a  $U$ . If  $V$  is any element of  $\{V\}$ , then  $V = U_1 \cap T(U_2) \cap \dots \cap T^{p-1}(U_p)$  where  $U_i \in \{U\}$ . Now  $T(V) = T(U_1) \cap T^2(U_2) \cap \dots \cap T^p(U_p)$  which is another element of  $\{V\}$ . Therefore  $\{V\}$  is a  $T$ -covering.

Note 4.2. If  $q = p$ ,  $p$  a prime, then for every covering  $\{U\}$  of a space  $M$  there exists a primitive  $T$ -covering  $\{V\}$  such that  $\{V\} > \{U\}$ . This is an accumulation of results up to this point.

### Special Systems and Coverings

Let  $\{U\}$  be a  $T$ -system with  $X$  as its nerve. (See Example 1, Chapter II.) Then  $T$  induces in  $X$  a simplicial transformation  $T_x$  which is the identity or else is of period  $r$ ,  $r$  a divisor of  $q$ . Denote by  $X_I$  the totality of  $X$ -simplexes which are invariant under  $T_x$  and by  $X_L$  the totality of  $X$ -simplexes which meet  $L$ .

Definition 4.9. A  $T$ -system  $\{U\}$  satisfies condition  $L_a$  if  $\{U'\}$  consists precisely of those  $U$ -vertices which meet  $L$ ;  $\{U\}$  satisfies  $L_b$  if all nonempty intersections of  $U'$ -vertices meet  $L$ . A  $T$ -covering which satisfies  $L_a$  and  $L_b$  will be called special.

Lemma 4.6. If the covering  $\{U\}$  is primitive and special, then  $X_I = X_L$  and  $(X, T_X)$  is primitive and regular.

Proof. A non-invariant  $X$ -simplex  $E$  has at least one non-invariant vertex  $U$ , the  $T$ -images of  $U$  are mutually exclusive sets since  $\{U\}$  is primitive. Assume that  $T_X^q(E) = E$ . Then  $T^q(\text{Kernel } E) = \text{Kernel } E$ , which implies  $T^q(U) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore the  $T$ -images of  $E$  are distinct, hence  $(X, T_X)$  is primitive. The vertices of an  $X_I$ -simplex  $E$  are permuted among themselves by  $T_X$  and since as  $U$ -vertices they have a non-empty intersection, each  $U$ -vertex of  $E$  must be invariant by the primitivity of  $\{U\}$ . The condition  $L_a$  implies that  $E$  is vertex-wise invariant and  $(X, T_X)$  is therefore regular. Moreover, condition  $L_b$  implies that  $E$  meets  $L$ , hence  $X_I \subset X_L$ . Also, the vertices of an  $X_L$ -simplex  $E_L$ , since they meet  $L$ , are invariant by  $L_a$ . Therefore,  $E_L$  is invariant and  $X_L \subset X_I$ . Hence  $X_I = X_L$ .

Definition 4.10. The set  $M$  has dimension less than or equal to  $m$  ( $\dim M \leq m$ ) if every covering is refined by a covering, the dimension of whose nerve does not exceed  $m$ .

Theorem 4.3. If  $M$  is compact,  $T$  primitive, and if  $\dim M \leq m$ , then every covering  $\{U_j\}$  is refined by a special primitive covering  $\{U_i\}$  such that  $\dim(X_i - X_{iI}) \leq k$ ,  $k = pm + p - 1$ . (The nerve of  $\{U_i\}$  is  $X_i$ .)

We shall first prove three lemmas in which it is convenient to say that a  $T$ -covering  $\{U\}$  satisfies  $L_c$  if, among the  $U$ -vertices which meet  $L$ , each contains a point of  $L$  not contained in any other.

Lemma 4.7. If  $M$  is compact and  $T$  primitive, then every covering  $\{U_j\}$  is refined by a primitive  $T$ -covering satisfying  $L_a$  and  $L_c$ .

Proof. Let  $x$  be an arbitrary point of  $L$  and  $U_j(x)$  be any  $U$ -vertex containing  $x$ . Then  $O(x)$ , the intersection of the  $T$ -images of  $U_j(x)$ , is an invariant neighborhood of  $x$  such that  $O(x) \subset U_j(x)$ . Since  $M$  is compact and  $L$  is closed, there exists a finite set of neighborhoods  $\{O(x)\}$  say  $O_1, O_2, \dots, O_s$  such that  $L \subset \bigcup_1^s O_i$ . Since  $T$  is primitive and  $M$  is Hausdorff, an arbitrary point  $y$  in the closed set  $M - \bigcup_1^s O_i$  possesses a neighborhood  $R(y)$  with  $p$  mutually exclusive  $T$ -images. These images do not meet  $L$ , for if they did they would not be mutually exclusive. Now for every  $y$  in  $M - \bigcup_1^s O_i$  there exists a  $U_j \in \{U_j\}$  such that  $T^i(y) \subset T^i U_j$ . Choose  $R(y)$  so that  $T^i R(y) \subset T^i U_j$  and  $R(y)$  satisfies the above. This can be done because  $T$  is a homeomorphism and  $M$  is a compact Hausdorff space. The system of  $T$ -images of  $R(y)$  is a refinement of  $\{U_j\}$ . Let  $R_1, \dots, R_t$  be a finite set of the  $R(y)$ 's such that  $M - \bigcup_1^s O_i \subset \bigcup_j R_j$ . The collection  $\{O_i\}$  together with the  $T$ -images of the collection  $\{R(y)\}$  forms a  $T$ -covering  $\{U_1\}$ , such that  $\{U_1\} > \{U_j\}$ . Thus  $\{U_1\}$  is primitive, and satisfies  $L_a$ .

Now suppose that  $\{U_1\}$  is a covering which is a modification of  $\{U_1\}$  obtained by replacing each  $O_i$  by an invariant open set  $Q_i$  with  $Q_i \subset O_i$ ,  $Q_i \cap L \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\{U_1\}$ , like  $\{U_1\}$ , is a refinement of  $\{U_j\}$ , is primitive and satisfies  $L_a$ . We shall show that this modification can be carried out in such a way that the resulting  $\{U_1\}$  also satisfies  $L_c$ . Choose distinct points  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s$  with  $a_1 \in O_1 \cap L$ . Then choose mutually exclusive invariant neighborhoods  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_s$  of

$a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s$  such that for each  $i$ ,  $\bar{A}_i$  is contained in the intersection of these  $O$ 's which contain  $a_i$ . This can be done since a compact Hausdorff space is normal. Now consider the invariant open sets

$$Q_i = O_i - \bigcup \{\bar{A}_j / j \neq i\}.$$

The set  $Q_i$  contains  $a_j$  if and only if  $i = j$  and  $\bigcup_i Q_i \subset \bigcup_i O_i$ . Also,  $\bigcup_i Q_i \supset \bigcup_i O_i$ . For a point  $x \in O_i$  either  $x$  is not contained in any  $\bar{A}_j$  ( $j \neq i$ ) in which case  $x \in Q_i$ , or it is contained say in  $\bar{A}_1$  ( $1 \neq i$ ) in which case  $x \in Q_1$ . In either case  $x \in \bigcup_i Q_i$ . Thus we have shown that  $\bigcup_i Q_i = \bigcup_i O_i$ , and hence that the system  $\{U_i\}$  obtained from  $\{U_j\}$  by replacing  $O_i$  by  $Q_i$  is a covering refinement of  $\{U_j\}$ . Each vertex  $Q_i$  meets  $L$  since  $a_i \in Q_i$ . Hence the passage from  $\{U_j\}$  to  $\{U_i\}$  is of the type described above and we conclude that  $\{U_i\}$  is primitive and satisfies  $L_a$ . Moreover,  $O_i$  contains  $a_j$  if and only if  $i = j$ , so  $\{U_i\}$  satisfies  $L_c$ .

Lemma 4.8. If  $M$  is compact,  $T$  primitive and  $\dim M \leq m$ , then every primitive  $T$ -covering  $\{U_j\}$  satisfying  $L_a$  and  $L_c$  is refined by a covering  $\{U_i\}$  of the same sort and such that  $\dim X_i \leq k$ ,  $k = pm + p - 1$ .

Proof. The hypothesis  $\dim M \leq m$  implies the existence of a covering  $\{U_j^0\} > \{U_j\}$  with  $\dim X_j^0 \leq m$ . Let  $\{U_1\} = \sigma\{U_j^0\}$ , then  $\dim X_1 \leq k$ . Moreover,  $T\{U_j^0\} > T\{U_j\}$  and  $T\{U_j\} = \{U_j\}$  since  $\{U_j\}$  is a  $T$ -covering. Therefore  $\{U_1\} = \sigma\{U_j^0\} > \{U_j\}$ . Write  $\{U_j\} = \{U'_j\} \cup \{U''_j\}$  where, as always,  $\{U'_j\}$  consists of the invariant  $U_j$ -vertices. Write  $\{U_1\} = \{U_1^1\} \cup \{U_1^2\}$  where  $\{U_1^1\}$  consists of all  $U_1$ -vertices which are subsets of  $U'_j$ -vertices and  $\{U_1^2\}$  consists of the remaining vertices.



Then  $\{U_1^1\}$  and  $\{U_1^2\}$  are T-systems where  $\{U_1^1\} > \{U'_j\}$  and  $\{U_1^2\} > \{U''_j\}$ . By Theorem 1 there exists a T-projection  $\pi: \{U_1^2\} \rightarrow \{U'_j\}$ . Write  $\{U'_j\} = \{U'_{j_1}, U'_{j_2}, \dots, U'_{j_k}\}$ . Let  $O_1$  be the union of the vertices which constitute  $\pi^{-1}U'_{j_1}$ . Let  $\{U_1^{11}\} = \{O_1\}$ . Then  $\{U_1^{11}\} > \{U'_j\}$  since the only sets projected into  $U'_{j_1}$  are the ones contained in  $U'_{j_1}$ . The  $U_1^{11}$ -vertices are open sets since  $U_1^{11}$  is the union of sets from  $\{U_1^1\}$ , and they are invariant since  $\pi$  is a T-projection. The union of all  $U_1^{11}$ -vertices is identical with the union of the  $U_1^1$ -vertices since all  $U_1^1$ -vertices were projected into  $\{U'_j\}$ . Hence,  $\{U_1^{11}\}$  and  $\{U_1^2\}$  together form a T-covering  $\{U_1\}$  such that  $\{U_1\} > \{U_j\}$  and where  $\{U'_1\} = \{U_1^{11}\} > \{U'_j\}$  and  $\{U''_1\} = \{U_1^2\} > \{U''_j\}$ . The primitivity of  $\{U_j\}$  and the relation  $\{U'_1\} > \{U''_j\}$  imply that  $\{U_1\}$  is primitive.

We assert that  $\{U_1\}$  satisfies  $L_a$ . A  $U_1$ -vertex which meets  $L$  must be a  $U'_1$ -vertex, since each  $U''_1 \subset U'_j$ , which does not meet  $L$ . Conversely, every  $U'_1$ -vertex meets  $L$ . Each  $U'_1$  is an  $O_1$ , which is  $\pi^{-1}U'_{j_1}$  for some  $U'_{j_1}$ . The vertex  $U'_{j_1}$  meets  $L$  (condition  $L_a$  for  $\{U_j\}$ ) and  $U'_{j_1}$  contains a point  $a_1$  of  $L$  not contained in  $U'_{j_j}$ ,  $j \neq 1$  (condition  $L_c$  for  $\{U_j\}$ ). Therefore,  $\pi^{-1}U'_{j_1} = U'_1$  contains  $a_1$  and  $U'_1$  meets  $L$ . Thus our assertion is proved.

Now  $\{U_1\}$  was formed from  $\{U_1\}$  by replacing a number of vertices by the union of those vertices. Since this does not raise the dimension of the nerve, we have  $\dim X_1 \leq X_1 \leq k$ . A primitive covering satisfying  $L_c$  is obtained in the same way as in Lemma 4.7, by replacing the vertices of the first covering with suitable subsets of themselves. This operation does not raise the dimension of the nerve and hence it is ap-

plied to  $\{U_1\}$  to yield the required refinement of  $\{U_j\}$ .

Lemma 4.9. If  $M$  is compact,  $T$  primitive, and  $\dim M \leq m$ , then for every primitive  $T$ -covering  $\{U_j\}$  satisfying  $L_a, L_c$  and having  $\dim X_j \leq k$ , there exists a special primitive covering  $\{U_1\}$  such that  $\{U_1\} > \{U^*_j\}$ ,  $\dim (X_1 - X_{11}) \leq k$ , where  $\{U^*_j\}$  denotes the covering  $\{\text{St } U_j\}$ .

Proof: Let  $\{U_j\} = U_{j_1}, \dots, U_{j_1}$  and for each  $i$  choose a point  $a_i$  contained in  $L \cap U'_{j_i}$ , where  $U'_{j_i} \in \{U'_j\}$ , but not in  $U'_{j_j}$ ,  $j \neq i$  (condition  $L_c$ ). Choose invariant open sets  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_s$  such that  $a_i \in A_i \subset U'_{j_i}$ ,  $A_i \cap U'_{j_j} = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ , and such that no  $A_i$  meets any  $U''_{j_j}$ -vertex. (Recall that the  $U''_{j_j}$ -vertices do not meet  $L$ .) Then  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  when  $i \neq j$ . For each  $i$  choose a set of  $A$ 's by the following rule:  $A_j$  is in the  $i^{\text{th}}$  set if and only if  $U'_{j_i} \cap U'_{j_j} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $B_i$  be the union of the  $A$ 's in the  $i^{\text{th}}$  set and let

$$(1) \quad O_i = U'_{j_i} \cup B_i.$$

The set  $O_i$  together with the  $U''_{j_j}$ -vertices forms a covering  $\{U_1\}$ , and since  $O_i$  is invariant, then  $\{U'_1\} = \{O_1\}$  and  $\{U''_1\} = \{U''_j\}$ . Hence  $\{U_1\}$  is primitive and  $\{U_1\} > \{U^*_j\}$ . Each  $O_i$  meets  $L$  because  $U'_{j_i}$  does, hence  $\{U_1\}$  satisfies  $L_a$ .

We assert that  $\{U_1\}$  satisfies  $L_b$ . Suppose

$$J = O_q \cap O_r \cap \dots \cap O_t \neq \emptyset.$$

If  $U'_{j_q} \cap \dots \cap U'_{j_t} \neq \emptyset$ , we have from (1) and the definition of  $B_i$ ,

$$A_q \cup A_r \cup \dots \cup A_t \subset O_q \cap \dots \cap O_t = J$$

so that  $J$  contains  $a_q, \dots, a_t$  and hence meets  $L$ . If  $U'_{j_q} \cap \dots \cap U'_{j_t} = \emptyset$ , it follows from (1) that  $J$  is the intersection of sets of

$B$ 's and sets of  $U_j$ -vertices. Since each  $B$  is the union of mutually exclusive sets  $A_i$ , it follows that  $J$  is a union of  $A$ 's, hence meets  $L$  and the assertion is proved.

Now we need to show that  $\dim (X_1 - X_{1I}) \leq k$ . The existence of a non-invariant  $X_1$ -simplex  $E$  implies a relation of the form

$$(2) \quad \text{kernel } E = (U'_{j_0} \cup B_{i_0}) \cap (U'_{j_1} \cup B_{i_1}) \cap \dots \cap (U'_{j_h} \cup B_{i_h}) \cap S \neq \emptyset$$

where  $S$  is an intersection of  $l U_j$ -vertices,  $l \geq 1$ . The  $B$ 's do not meet any  $U_j$ -vertices because the  $A$ 's do not and hence (2) implies

$$(U'_{j_1})_0 \cap \dots \cap (U'_{j_1})_h \cap S \neq \emptyset.$$

Hence  $l + h + 1 \leq \dim X_j \leq k$ . Therefore  $\dim (X_1 - X_{1I}) \leq k$ .

**Lemma 4.10.** If  $M$  is compact and  $\{U_j\}$  is any covering of  $M$ , then there exists a covering  $\{U_1\}$  such that  $\{U^*_1\} > \{U_j\}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\{U\} = \{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_r\}$  and let it be shrunk to  $\{U'\} = \{U'_1, U'_2, \dots, U'_r\}$ ,  $U'_1 \subset U_1$ . This shrinking is possible since  $M$  is normal. Then  $B_1 = \{U_1, M - \bar{U}_1\}$  is a binary open covering and  $\{B\} = \{U'\} \cap B_1 \cap \dots \cap B_r$  is a finite open covering. Let  $\{B\} = \{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_h\}$  and suppose  $V_i \cap V_j \neq \emptyset$ . The set  $V_i$  is contained in a set  $U'_1$  of  $\{U'\}$ , and  $V_j$  is in one of the sets of  $B_1$ , i.e.,  $V_j \subset U_1$ , or  $V_j \subset M - \bar{U}_1$ . The second inclusion is ruled out since  $V_j$  meets the subset  $V_i$  of  $U_1$ . Therefore the first holds. Thus  $V_i$  and all sets of  $\{B\}$  meeting it are in  $U_1$ .

**Theorem 4.3** follows from Lemmas 4.7, 4.8, 4.9 and 4.10. Let  $\{U_j\}$  be any covering of  $M$ . By Lemma 4.10, there exists a  $\{U_1\}$  such that

$\{U_1^*\} > \{U_j\}$ , and by Lemmas 4.7, 4.8 and 4.9 there exists a  $\{U_i\}$  such that  $\{U_i\} > \{U_1^*\} > \{U_j\}$  with all the properties required by Theorem 4.3.

Corollary 4.1. If  $M$  is compact and  $T$  primitive, then every covering is refined by a special primitive covering.

Proof. The proof of this corollary is contained in the proof of Theorem 4.3.

The above theorems are of fundamental importance in the work that follows, because from this point on we only need to consider the special coverings, if  $\dim M \leq m$ . These coverings form a cofinal family,  $\Sigma_k$  of  $M$ ; the homology properties of  $M$  can be studied solely in terms of this family as long as the period is a prime.

#### $\rho$ -Chains and Special Homologies in a Complex

Assume throughout this section that  $(M, T)$  is simplicial and primitive.

Let  $G$  be an abelian coefficient-group for chains and homologies in  $M$ . The transformation  $T$  induces a chain-mapping which is denoted by  $T$ . We may regard  $T$  as an additive operator acting on chains over  $G$  and permutable with the boundary operator  $F$ .

The operators

$$\sigma = 1 + T + \dots + T^{p-1}, \quad \zeta = 1 - T$$

bear useful reciprocal relations to each other and play an important part in the work that follows. We shall denote these operators by  $\rho$  and  $\bar{\rho}$  and agree that  $\rho$  may stand for  $\sigma$ ,  $\bar{\rho}$  for  $\zeta$  or vice versa, but the meanings of  $\rho$  and  $\bar{\rho}$  shall remain fixed in any given discus-

sion.

A chain  $C$  is of type  $\rho$  if there exists a chain  $C_1$  such that  $C = \rho C_1$ . The null chain is of both type  $\rho$  and type  $\bar{\rho}$ .

Lemma 4.11. If  $(M, T)$  is simplicial and primitive, an  $h$ -chain  $C$  in  $M - M_I$  is of type  $\rho$  if and only if  $\bar{\rho}C = 0$ .

Proof. Assume  $C$  is of type  $\rho$  say  $C = \rho C_1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\rho}C &= \bar{\rho}\rho C_1 \\ &= \bar{\rho}(C_1 + C_1^1 + \dots + C_1^{p-1}) \\ &= (C_1 + C_1^1 + \dots + C_1^{p-1}) - (C_1^1 + C_1^2 + \dots + C_1^p) \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}\rho C &= \rho\bar{\rho}C_1 \\ &= \rho(C_1 - C_1^1) \\ &= (C_1 - C_1^1) + (C_1^1 - C_1^2) + \dots + (C_1^{p-1} - C_1^p) \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that  $\bar{\rho}C = 0$ . We may write

$$C = \sum_{j=1}^{a(h)} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} g_j^i E_j^i,$$

where  $g$  is an element of the coefficient group for chains and homologies,  $E_j$  is an  $h$ -simplex, and  $E_j^i$  is  $T^i(E_j)$ . This is true since  $(M, T)$  is primitive, therefore  $E, E^1, \dots, E^{p-1}$  are distinct. The condition  $\bar{\rho}C = 0$  then becomes,

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i,j} g_j^i \bar{\rho} E_j^i = 0.$$

Consider the case where  $\bar{\rho} = \zeta$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{ij} g_j^i (E_j^i - E_j^{i+1}) = 0$$

where the upper indices are to be reduced mod  $p$ . Hence,

$$\sum_{ij} (g_j^i - g_j^{i-1}) E_j^i = 0$$

and therefore  $g_j^i = g_j^{i-1}$ . Then  $g_j^0 = g_j^1 = \dots = g_j^{p-1} = g_j$  so  $C = \sigma C_1$ .

Where

$$C_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha(h)} g_j E_j,$$

this concludes the proof for the case  $\bar{\rho} = \zeta$ .

Suppose  $\bar{\rho} = \sigma$ . Then (1) becomes

$$\sum_{ij} g_j^i \sigma E_j^i = \sum_{ij} g_j^i \sigma E_j = \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha(h)} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} g_j^i \right) \sigma E_j = 0$$

Hence

$$(2) \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} g_j^i = 0.$$

Let 
$$C_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha(h)} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \sum_{i=0}^k g_j^i E_j^k.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } C_1 - C_1^1 &= \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha(h)} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \sum_{i=0}^k g_j^i (E_j^k - E_j^{k+1}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha(h)} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \sum_{i=0}^k g_j^i E_j^k - \sum_{k=1}^p \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} g_j^i E_j^k \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha(h)} \left[ g_j^0 E_j^0 + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \left( \sum_{i=0}^k g_j^i - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} g_j^i \right) E_j^k - \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} g_j^i E_j^p \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha(h)} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} g_j^k E_j^k - \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} g_j^i E_j^p \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha(h)} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} g_j^k E_j^k. \quad (\text{On account of (2)}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $C = \rho C_1$  and the proof is complete.

If  $C$  is a cycle of type  $(\rho, M_I)$  (i.e.  $C = \rho C^1 \bmod M_I$ ) and  $C$  is the boundary of a chain of the same type, we write  $C \approx 0 \bmod M_I$ . If  $C_h, C_{h-1}$  are cycles of type  $\rho$  and  $\bar{\rho}$  respectively and there exists a

chain  $X_h$  such that

$$C_h = \rho X_h, \quad C_{h-1} = FX_h,$$

we write  $C_h : C_{h-1}$ .

We assume during the remainder of this chapter that the coefficient group  $G$  is the group  $p$  of integers reduced mod  $p$ . Denote this group by  $F_p$ .

Lemma 4.12. Let  $C_h, C_{h-1}$  be cycles of type  $\rho, \bar{\rho}$  such that  $C_h : C_{h-1}$ . If  $C_h \cong 0 \bmod M_I$ , then  $C_{h-1} \cong 0 \bmod M_I$ .

Proof. Assume that  $C_h \cong 0 \bmod M_I$ . Then there exist, by definition of  $C_h : C_{h-1}$ , chains  $X_{h+1}, X_h, X_I$ , with  $X_{h+1}$  of type  $\rho$ , such that

$$(1) \quad C_h = \rho X_h, \quad C_{h-1} = FX_h, \quad FX_{h+1} = C_h + X_I, \quad X_I \in M_I.$$

We may write

$$(2) \quad FX_{h+1} = X_h + Z + Z_I \quad (Z_I \in M_I, \quad Z \in M - M_I)$$

because  $C_h = \rho X_h$  and  $\rho X_h = X_h + Z + Z_I^1$ .

Then

$$(3) \quad \rho FX_{h+1} = C_h + \rho Z + \rho Z_I = C_h + \rho Z,$$

since  $\rho Z_I = 0$ .

If  $\rho = \sigma$  and  $X_{h+1} = \sigma Y_{h+1}$ , then  $\sigma FX_{h+1} = \sigma F \sigma Y_{h+1} = 0$ . From (1)  $\sigma FX_{h+1} = \sigma C_h$ , therefore  $\sigma C_h = 0$ . This implies  $C_h = 0$  in  $M - M_I$ . If  $\rho = \bar{\rho}$  and  $X_{h+1} = \bar{\rho} Y_{h+1}$ , then  $\sigma F \bar{\rho} Y_{h+1} = 0$  and  $C_h = 0$  in  $M - M_I$ . Therefore from (2),  $\rho FX_{h+1} = \rho X_h + \rho Z$  which implies that  $\rho Z = 0$  or  $Z$  is of type  $\bar{\rho}$  by Lemma 4.11. Hence, if we operate on both sides of (2) with  $F$  we find that  $C_{h-1} \cong 0 \bmod M_I$ .

Lemma 4.13. Let  $E$  be a vertex of  $M$ . If  $M_I = \emptyset$ , the cycle  $\zeta E = E - T(E)$  cannot be  $\sim 0$ .

Proof. Suppose there exists a chain  $X$  such that  $F\zeta X = \zeta E$ .

Let

$$(1) \quad Z = FX - E.$$

Then  $\zeta Z = \zeta FX - \zeta E = 0$  and therefore, since  $M_I = \emptyset$ ,  $Z$  is of type  $\sigma$ . Hence there exists a  $w$  such that  $Z = \sigma w$ . Consequently the sum of the coefficients of  $Z$  is zero mod  $p$  and  $Z$  is a cycle. Since  $FX$  is a cycle it follows from (1) that  $-E$  is a cycle, which is impossible.

### The Existence of Fixed Points

Definition 4.11. A set  $M$  is acyclic mod  $p$  if for every compact set  $A$ ,  $A \subseteq M$ , there exists a compact set  $A' \supset A$  such that relative to the coefficient group  $p$  cycles in  $A$  are  $\sim 0$  in  $A'$ . (Example: Euclidean  $n$ -space,  $G$  arbitrary.)

Definition 4.12. The set  $M$  is finite dimensional if there exists an  $m$  such that every finite covering by open sets of  $M$  has a refinement whose nerve is of dimension  $\leq m$ . (This condition allows the use of  $\sum_k$  from Theorem 4.3.)

Theorem 4.4. Let  $p$  be a prime and  $M$  a finite dimensional compact space which is acyclic mod  $p$ . Then every homeomorphic transformation  $T$  of period  $p$  of  $M$  into itself admits at least one fixed point.

Proof. Let  $B_0$  be a non-empty compact set of  $M$ . Then  $\sigma B_0$  is compact because  $T$  is a homeomorphism. Also,  $\sigma B_0 \supset B_0$ , and  $\sigma B_0$  is



invariant by Lemma 4.1. Let  $A_0 = \sigma B_0$  and choose a compact set  $B_1$  containing  $A_0$  such that cycles in  $A_0$  are  $\sim 0$  in  $B_1$ . Let  $A_1 = \sigma B_1$ . If this process is continued we have

$$\emptyset \neq A_0 \subset A_1 \subset \dots \subset A_n \quad (n = pm + p)$$

where each  $A_i$  is an invariant compact subset of  $M$  such that cycles in  $A_i$  are  $\sim 0$  in  $A_{i+1}$ . Let  $N = \bar{A}_m$  and consider it as a subspace of  $M$ .  $T$  induces a transformation of period  $p$  of  $N$  into itself and it is sufficient to show that this transformation, denoted by  $T$ , admits a fixed point. In the topology of  $N$ , where  $N$  is regarded as a subspace of  $M$ , it is still true that cycles in  $A_i$  are  $\sim 0$  in  $A_{i+1}$  and since  $\dim N \leq m$ , the homology groups of  $(N, T)$  can be based on the family  $\Sigma_k$ .  $T$  induces a simplicial transformation in the complexes of  $N$ . Assume that  $L = \emptyset$ . Then by Lemma 6.6  $M_I = \emptyset$  where  $M_I$  is the invariant simplexes.

Consider a definite  $\Sigma_k$  covering  $\{U_j\}$ . If  $h$  and  $k$  are given positive integers, there exists a  $\Sigma_k$  refinement  $\{U_i\}$  of  $\{U_j\}$  such that by projecting an  $h$ -dimensional  $U_i$ -cycle in  $A_k$  into  $\{U_j\}$  we obtain a  $U_j$ -cycle which is the  $U_j$ -coordinate of a cycle in  $A_k$  and will therefore be  $\sim 0$  in  $A_{k+1}$  [3]. Consequently, if  $\{U_n\}$  is an arbitrarily chosen  $\Sigma_k$  covering there exist  $\Sigma_k$  coverings  $\{U_{n-1}\} \dots \{U_0\}$  such that  $\{U_0\} > \{U_1\} > \dots > \{U_n\}$ . If  $\pi_i$  is a projection  $\{U_i\} \rightarrow \{U_{i+1}\}$  and  $C_i$  is an  $i$ -dimensional  $U_i$ -cycle in  $A_i$ , then  $\pi_i C_i \sim 0$  in  $A_{i+1}$ . Choose  $\pi_i$  to be a  $T$ -projection by Theorem 4.1.

Let  $\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots$  stand alternately for  $\zeta$  and  $\sigma$  starting with  $\rho_0 = \zeta_0$ . Let  $X_0$  be a  $U_0$ -vertex in  $A_0$ . Since  $A_0$  is invariant,  $TX_0$  is also in  $A_0$ . Hence  $\rho_0 X_0 = X_0 - T(X_0)$  is a 0-dimensional cycle in

$A_0$ , and therefore  $\pi_0 \rho_0 X_0 \sim 0$  in  $A_1$ , say  $\pi_0 \rho_0 X_0 = FX_1$ ,  $X_1 \subset A_1$ .

Then  $\rho_1 X_1$  is a cycle because

$$F\rho_1 X_1 = \rho_1 FX_1 = \rho_1 \pi_0 \rho_0 X_0 = 0.$$

Since  $\rho_1 X_1 \subset A_1$ , we have  $\pi_1 \rho_1 X_1 \sim 0$  in  $A_2$ , say  $\pi_1 \rho_1 X_1 = FX_2$ .

continuing in this manner we obtain chains  $X_0, \dots, X_n$  such that

$$(1) \quad FX_{i+1} = \pi_i \rho_i X_i \quad (i = 0, \dots, X_{n-1}).$$

Let  $\pi_n$  be the identity projection of  $\{U_n\}$  into itself and let  $C_i = \rho_i(\pi_n \pi_{n-1}, \dots, \pi_i)X_i$  ( $i = 0, \dots, n$ ). Then  $C_n, C_{n-1}, \dots, C_0$  are  $U_n$ -cycles of type  $\rho_n, \rho_{n-1}, \dots, \rho_0$  respectively, and as a consequence of (1) above  $C_n : C_{n-1} : \dots : C_0$ . The cycle  $C_0$  is of the form  $\zeta E$  which is a  $U_n$ -vertex. Since  $\dim U_n < n$ , we have  $C_n = 0 \simeq 0$  and therefore by Lemma 4.12 (with  $M_I = \emptyset$ ) we have  $C_{n-1} \simeq 0, \dots, C_0 = \zeta E \simeq 0$ . By Lemma 4.13, this is impossible, therefore the assumption that  $L = \emptyset$  is false.

**Theorem 4.6.** The set  $L$ , which Theorem 4.4 asserts to be non-empty, is acyclic mod  $p$ .

**Proof.** Let  $B$  be a compact set containing points of  $L$ . It will be sufficient to prove that there exists a compact set  $B' \supset B$  such that cycles in  $B \cap L$  are  $\sim 0$  in  $B' \cap L$ . Consider the set  $A_0, \dots, A_n$  in the proof of Theorem 4.4.  $A_0$  can be any non-empty invariant compact set, therefore suppose  $A_0 = \sigma B$ . Let  $B'$  be a bounded open set containing  $\bar{A}_n$ . It will be shown that  $B'$  can be  $\bar{A}_n$ .

Let  $\{U\}$  be an element of  $\Sigma_k$ ,  $N = \bar{A}_n$ . Every cycle in  $L_N \cap B$  is  $\sim 0$  in  $L_N$ . Since  $\dim L_N \leq m$ , we need to consider only cycles of dimension  $\leq m$ . From the special coverings choose  $\{U_0\}, \dots, \{U_n\}$

defined as in the proof of Theorem 4.4, and let  $\pi_i, \rho_i$  also be defined as before. Now let  $Z_{h-1}$  be a cycle in  $L_N \cap B$  with  $h-1 \leq m$ . Since  $B \cap L_N \subset A_{h-1}$ , we have  $Z \sim 0$  in  $A_h$ ; we may write

$$Z_{h-1}\{U_h\} = FX_h \quad (X_h = X_h\{U_h\} \subset A_h).$$

Since the simplexes of  $Z\{U_h\}$  are in  $L_N$  they are in  $U_{hI}$  and we have  $\rho_h\{U_h\} = 0$ . Therefore  $\rho_h X_h$  is a cycle in  $A_h$ . Hence  $\pi_h \rho_h X_h \sim 0$  in  $A_{h-1}$ . This is the first step in a construction process which leads to the relation

$$C_n : C_{n-1} : \dots : C_0 \quad (C_h = \pi_n \cdot \dots \cdot \pi_i \rho_i X_i; \quad i = h, \dots, n)$$

in  $\{U_n\}$ . Since  $C_n$  is of the form  $\rho_n Z$ , it is in  $\{U_n\} - \{U_{nI}\}$  because  $\rho Z' = 0$  if  $Z' \subset \{U_{nI}\}$ . But all simplexes of  $\{U_n\} - \{U_{nI}\}$  are of dimension  $\leq m$ , therefore  $C_n = 0 \simeq 0 \bmod \{U_{nI}\}$ . Hence by Lemma 4.12,  $C_h \simeq 0 \bmod \{U_{hI}\}$ .

Let  $Z' = \pi_n \cdot \dots \cdot \pi_h Z$ . From the definition of Cech Cycles  $Z'\{U_n\} \sim Z\{U_n\}$  in  $B \cap L_N$ . Let  $\rho = \rho_h$ ,  $X' = \pi_n \cdot \dots \cdot \pi_h X$ . Then

$$\rho X' \simeq 0 \bmod \{U_{nI}\}; \quad FX' = Z'.$$

The first of these relations implies the existence of  $\{U_n\}$ -chains  $X_I, Y$  such that

$$(1) \quad F\rho Y = \rho X' - X_I.$$

Let  $W_I$  be that subchain of  $FY - X' - X_I$  which is in  $\{U_{nI}\}$  and  $W$  the remainder. Then

$$(2) \quad FY = X' + X_I + W + W_I \quad (W_I \subset \{U_{nI}\}; \quad W \subset \{U_n\} - \{U_{nI}\}).$$

Operate on both sides of (2) by  $\rho$  and take into account the relation (1) and the fact that  $\rho X_I = \rho W_I = 0$ . Then  $\rho W = 0$  in  $\{U_n\} - \{U_{nI}\}$ . Hence by Lemma 4.11 there exists a  $D$  such that  $W = \rho D$ . Insert this

into (2) and operate on both sides of (2) by  $F$  to obtain

$$0 = (Z' + FX_I + FW_I) + F\bar{\rho}D.$$

The chain in parenthesis is in  $\{U_{nI}\}$ , whereas  $F\bar{\rho}D$  is in  $\{U_n\} - \{U_{nI}\}$ . Consequently, both chains are null and  $Z'$  is therefore the boundary of a chain in  $\{U_{nI}\}$ . By the properties of special coverings, chains in  $\{U_{nI}\}$  are in  $L_N$ . Hence  $Z\{U_n\} \sim Z'\{U_n\} \sim 0$  in  $L_N$ .

Now return to space  $M$ . Let  $K$  be a cycle in  $B \cap L$ . Then since  $B \subset A_n$ ,  $K$  may be regarded as being identical to a cycle which belongs to the space  $N$ . This cycle is in  $B \cap L_N$  and therefore homologous to zero in  $L_N$ . Hence  $K \sim 0$  in  $N \cap L$ . Therefore, since  $N = \bar{A}_n = B'$ ,  $K \sim 0$  in  $B' \cap L$ , which completes the proof.

**Theorem 4.7.** If  $p$  is a prime and  $M$  a finite dimensional locally compact Hausdorff space which is acyclic mod  $p$ , then every homeomorphic transformation  $T$  of period  $p^a$  of  $M$  into itself admits at least one fixed point.

**Proof.** Assume the theorem to be true for  $\beta < a$ . Let  $T$  be a transformation of period  $p^a$  operating in  $M$  and let  $L'$  be the totality of points which are invariant under  $T^q$  where  $q = p^{a-1}$ . Since  $T^q$  is of period  $p$ ,  $L'$  is non-empty by Theorem 4.4, and acyclic mod  $p$  by Theorem 4.5. Moreover,  $L'$  is transformed into itself by  $T$ . The transformation induced in  $L'$  by  $T$  is the identity or is periodic of period  $p^\beta$  where  $\beta < a$ . Hence  $T$  admits at least one fixed point in  $L'$ .

We have now proved that if  $T$  is a homeomorphic transformation from  $n$ -space into itself and is of period  $q$  where  $q$  is a prime,

then the set  $L$  of fixed points is not null. In the next chapter we examine the set  $L$  to determine its topological structure.

## CHAPTER V

### CLASSIFICATION OF THE SET OF FIXED POINTS

It was proved in Chapter II that if an  $n$ -sphere,  $n \leq 2$ , is mapped into itself by a periodic homeomorphic transformation, then the set  $L$  of fixed points is an  $r$ -sphere,  $r \leq n$ . The purpose of this chapter is to show that the same is true for an  $n$ -sphere in general if the period of the transformation is a power of a prime.

To obtain this result  $\rho$ -homology groups are formed from the  $\rho$ -cycles and  $\rho$ -boundaries of Chapter IV. There is a  $\rho$ -homology group of each dimension associated with each special covering of the space. It is shown that the  $\rho$ -homology groups can be decomposed into the cross product of two subgroups of which one is associated with the invariant simplexes and the other is associated with the non-invariant simplexes. Next the  $\rho$ -homology groups of the space are defined using the concept of inverse systems. Then, based on the properties of the  $\rho$ -homologies of the coverings, it is shown that the  $\rho$ -homology groups of the space can be decomposed into the cross product of two subgroups. One of these subgroups is the same as the ordinary homology groups of the fixed point set  $L$ , and the structure of this subgroup is known to be the same as that of the homology groups of an  $r$ -sphere.

Examples are given to show that  $L$  is not necessarily an  $r$ -sphere if the period is not a power of a prime. But the form of  $L$  is not

known for an arbitrary period  $q$ . Before proving the theorem concerning  $L$  we shall first develop several preliminary results.

### $\rho$ -Chains in a Complex

We assume throughout this section that  $(M, T)$  is simplicial and primitive,  $G$  is an abelian coefficient-group,  $T$  is a chain-mapping, and that  $F_p, \sigma, \zeta$ , and  $\rho$  are defined as in Chapter IV. From Lemma 4.11 in Chapter IV  $\rho\bar{\rho} = \bar{\rho}\rho = 0$  (the annihilator). Note that in the following definition the  $\rho$ -chain is the  $\bar{\rho}$ -chain of Chapter IV.

**Definition 5.1.** A chain which is annulled by  $\rho$  is called a  $\rho$ -chain. A chain which is annulled mod  $M_I$  by  $\rho$  is called a  $\rho I$ -chain.

**Lemma 5.1.** A necessary and sufficient condition for a chain  $C$  to be a  $\rho I$ -chain is that there exist a chain  $X$  such that  $C = \bar{\rho}X \bmod M_I$ .

**Proof.** The sufficiency is implied by the relation  $\rho\bar{\rho} = 0$ .

Assume that  $\rho C = 0 \bmod M_I$ , then by Lemma 4.11 there exists a chain  $X$  such that  $\bar{\rho}X = C \bmod M_I$ .

We shall use the subscript  $I$  with the symbol of a chain to show that the chain is in  $M_I$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** If  $G = F_p$ , all chains in  $M_I$  are  $\rho$ -chains.

**Proof.** We have  $\zeta C_I = C_I - C_I = 0$  and  $\sigma C_I = \rho C_I = 0$ , therefore the Lemma is proved.

**Lemma 5.3.** If  $G = F_p$  and  $C$  is a chain, then  $\rho C \subset M - M_I$ .

**Proof.** We can write  $C = X + X_I$  where  $X \subset M - M_I$ . Then by Lemma 5.2,  $\rho C = \rho X \subset M - M_I$ .

Lemma 5.4. If  $G = F_p$ , a necessary and sufficient condition for  $C$  to be a  $\rho$ -chain is that  $C$  be expressible in the form  $\bar{\rho}X + X_I$ .

Proof. Assume that  $C = \bar{\rho}X + X_I$ , then  $\rho C = \rho\bar{\rho}X + \rho X_I = 0$  which implies the sufficiency.

Assume that  $C$  is a  $\rho$ -chain. Write  $C = B + B_I$ , where  $B \in M - M_I$ . Since  $C$  and  $B_I$  are  $\rho$ -chains, so is  $B$ . In fact,  $B$  is a  $\rho I$ -chain, hence by Lemma 5.1  $C = \bar{\rho}X + X_I$ .

Lemma 5.5. If  $G = F_p$ , a necessary and sufficient condition for  $C$  to be a  $\rho$ -chain in  $M - M_I$  is that  $C$  be of the form  $\bar{\rho}X$ .

Proof. Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4.

### Special Homologies in a Complex

Assume in this section that  $(M, T)$  is simplicial, primitive, and regular.

Definition 5.2. A  $\rho$ -cycle is a  $\rho$ -chain which is a cycle. If a  $\rho$ -cycle  $X$  is the boundary of a  $\rho$ -chain, we write  $X \simeq 0 \bmod M_I$ .

These homologies, which we shall refer to as  $\rho$  and  $\rho I$ -homologies, have the same algebraic properties as ordinary homologies. A chain which is identically zero may be regarded as a  $\rho$ -cycle, and as such,  $0 \simeq 0$ . Also a chain which is identically zero in  $M - M_I$  may be regarded as a  $\rho I$ -cycle, and as such,  $X_I \simeq 0 \bmod M_I$ .

Lemma 5.6. The boundaries of chains in  $M_I$  are in  $M_I$ .

Proof. Regularity implies that  $M_I$  is closed.

Lemma 5.7. If  $G = F_p$ , and if  $\bar{\rho}C + C_I$  is a cycle, hence a  $\rho$ -



cycle by Lemma 5.4, then  $\bar{\rho}C$  and  $C_I$  are  $\rho$ -cycles. If  $\bar{\rho}C + C_I \simeq 0$ , then  $\bar{\rho}C \simeq 0$  and  $C_I \simeq 0$ .

Proof. We have, by definition of a cycle and by the fact that  $F\rho = \rho F$ ,

$$(1) \quad 0 = F(\bar{\rho}C + C_I) = F\bar{\rho}C + FC_I.$$

But  $F\bar{\rho}C = \bar{\rho}FC \subset M - M_I$  by Lemma 5.3 and  $FC_I \subset M_I$  by Lemma 5.6. Hence, by (1)  $F\bar{\rho}C = 0$  and  $FC_I = 0$ . Therefore  $\bar{\rho}C$  and  $C_I$  are  $\rho$ -cycles.

Now suppose that  $\bar{\rho}C + C_I \simeq 0$ . This implies that  $\bar{\rho}C + C_I = F(\bar{\rho}A + A_I)$  or  $\bar{\rho}(FA - C) = C_I - FA_I$ . The left side of the last equation is in  $M - M_I$  and the right side is in  $M_I$  because of Lemma 5.6 and the fact that  $\bar{\rho}B_I = 0$  for every  $B_I \subset M_I$ . Hence both sides vanish. Therefore  $\bar{\rho}C \simeq 0$  and  $C_I \simeq 0$ .

Lemma 5.8. Let  $G = F_p$ ,  $X$  be a cycle in  $M_I$ , and  $X'$  be a  $\rho$ -cycle in  $M - M_I$ . Then,  $X \simeq 0$  if and only if  $X \sim 0$  in  $M_I$ , and  $X' \simeq 0$  if and only if  $X' \simeq 0$  in  $M - M_I$ .

Proof. Let  $X = C_I$  and  $X' = \bar{\rho}C$  in Lemma 5.7. Now  $X' + X \simeq 0$  because  $X \simeq 0$  and  $X' \simeq 0$ . Also, by the proof of Lemma 5.7,  $X' \simeq 0$  in  $M - M_I$  and  $X \simeq 0$  in  $M_I$ . But every cycle in  $M_I$  is a  $\rho$ -cycle, hence  $X \sim 0$  in  $M_I$ . The if part follows by definition of  $X \simeq 0$  and  $X' \simeq 0$ .

Lemma 5.9. Let  $G = F_p$  and let  $X^h = \bar{\rho}C^h + C_I^h$  and  $X^{h-1} = \rho C^{h-1} + C_I^{h-1}$  be  $\rho$  and  $\bar{\rho}$ -cycles such that  $X^{h-1} = FC^h$ . If  $X^h \simeq 0$ , then  $X^{h-1} \simeq 0$ .

Proof. Assume  $X^h \simeq 0$ . Then by Lemmas 5.7 and 5.8,  $\bar{\rho}C^h \simeq 0$  in  $M - M_I$ . Hence, there exists a  $B$  such that  $F\bar{\rho}B = \bar{\rho}C^h$  by Lemma 5.5.

Let  $A = C^h - FB$ . Then  $\bar{\rho}A = \bar{\rho}C^h - \bar{\rho}FB = 0$ , so that  $A$  is a  $\bar{\rho}$ -chain. Also,  $FA = FC^h = X^{h-1}$ . Hence  $X^{h-1} \simeq 0$ .

Lemma 5.10. Let  $G = F_p$ , and let  $C$  be a cycle. If  $C \sim 0$ , then  $\rho C = FX$  where  $\bar{\rho}X = 0$ .

Proof. The relation  $C \sim 0$  implies there exists an  $A$  such that  $FA = 0$ . Therefore  $F\rho A = \rho C$ , and  $\rho A$  is a  $\bar{\rho}$ -chain since  $\rho\bar{\rho} = 0$ .

### $\rho$ -Homology Groups in a Complex

It is assumed in this section that  $(M, T)$  is simplicial, primitive, regular and that  $G = F_p$ . Denote the additive groups of  $\rho$  and  $\rho I$ -homology classes of  $(M, T)$  over  $G$  by

$$H_{\rho}^h(M, T; G) \quad H_{\rho I}^h(M, T; G).$$

Lemma 5.11. Let  $X_{\rho}^h$  be a  $\rho$ -homology class of dimension  $h$ . If one  $\rho$ -cycle  $x$  in  $X_{\rho}^h$  is  $\sim 0$ , then every  $\rho$ -cycle in  $X_{\rho}^h$  is  $\sim 0$ .

Proof. Let  $y$  be any  $\rho$ -cycle in  $X_{\rho}^h$ , then  $y - x = b_n$ ,  $b_n$  a bound. Therefore  $y = b_n + x$  is a bound, which implies  $y \sim 0$ .

Lemma 5.12. The totality of classes  $X_{\rho}^h$  whose  $\rho$ -cycles are  $\sim 0$  is a subgroup of  $H_{\rho}^h$ .

Proof. Let  $X_{\rho}^h$  and  $Y_{\rho}^h$  be two classes whose  $\rho$ -cycles are  $\sim 0$ . Then  $x \in X_{\rho}^h$  and  $y \in Y_{\rho}^h$  implies that  $x = b_h$  and  $y = b'_h$  where  $b_h$  and  $b'_h$  are bounds. Therefore  $x - y = b_h - b'_h$ , which is a bound. Hence  $x - y$  is an element of a class  $Z^h$  whose  $\rho$ -cycles are  $\sim 0$ .

Denote the subgroup in Lemma 5.12 by  $B_{\rho}^h(M, T; G)$ . The corresponding subgroup of  $H_{\rho I}^h(M, T; G)$  is denoted by  $B_{\rho I}^h(M, T; G)$ .

Let  $x^h$  be a  $\rho$ -cycle that is an element of  $X_\rho^h$ . Then by Lemma 5.4 we know that  $x^h = \bar{\rho}C + C_I$ .

Lemma 5.13. The totality of classes  $X_\rho^h$  with the property that  $x^h = \bar{\rho}C + C_I$ ,  $x^h \in X_\rho^h$ ,  $C$  a cycle, is a subgroup of  $H_\rho^h$ .

Proof. Let  $X_\rho^h, Y_\rho^h$  be two such classes. We have  $x^h - y^h = (\bar{\rho}C + C_I) - (\bar{\rho}C' + C'_I) = \bar{\rho}(C - C') + (C_I - C'_I)$ . But  $C - C'$  is a cycle and  $C_I - C'_I$  is in  $M_I$ , therefore  $x^h - y^h$  is contained in some  $Z_\rho^h$  of the same type.

Denote this subgroup by  $K_\rho^h(M, T; G)$ . The corresponding subgroup of  $H_{\rho I}^h$ , denoted by  $K_{\rho I}^h$ , consists of the  $\rho I$ -homology classes which contain  $\rho I$ -cycles of the form  $\bar{\rho}C$  where  $C$  is a cycle mod  $M_I$ .

Let  $x^h$  be an ordinary cycle which is an element of an ordinary homology class  $X^h$ . Since  $\bar{\rho}\rho = 0$ ,  $\rho x^h$  is a  $\bar{\rho}$ -cycle.

Lemma 5.14. The totality of classes  $X^h$  with the property that for at least one  $x^h$  in  $X^h$ ,  $\rho x^h \simeq 0$ , is a subgroup of  $H^h$ .

Proof. Let  $X^h, Y^h$  be two such classes, then  $\rho x^h - \rho y^h = \bar{\rho}C_{h+1} - \bar{\rho}C'_{h+1}$  where  $\bar{\rho}C_{h+1} = \bar{\rho}C'_{h+1} = 0$ . Hence  $\rho(x^h - y^h) = F(C_{h+1} - C'_{h+1})$  is an element of some  $Z^h$  of the same type.

Denote this subgroup by  $H_{(\rho)}^h(M, T; G)$  and the corresponding subgroup of  $H_I^h(M, T; G)$  by  $H_{(\rho I)}^h(M, T; G)$ .

Homomorphic mappings  $g$  and  $h$  of the groups  $H_\rho^h(M, T; F_p)$  are defined as follows:

By Lemma 5.4 a  $\rho$ -cycle  $x^h$  in  $X_\rho^h$  has a representation  $x^h = \bar{\rho}C^h + C_I^h$ . Let  $x^{h-1} = FC^h$ . Then  $x^{h-1}$  is a  $\bar{\rho}$ -cycle. Because  $\bar{\rho}C^h$  is a cycle by Lemma 5.7 and  $\bar{\rho}x^{h-1} = \bar{\rho}FC^h = 0$ .

Lemma 5.15. The class  $X^{h-1}$  containing  $x^{h-1}$  is independent of the choice of  $x^h$  in  $X^h$  and  $C^h$  in the representation  $\bar{\rho}C^h + C_I^h$  for  $x^h$ .

Proof. Suppose  $x^h \simeq x'^h$ ,  $x'^h = \bar{\rho}C'^h + C_I'^h$  and  $x'^{h-1} = FC'^h$ . Then  $x^h - x'^h \simeq 0$ ,  $x^h - x'^h = \bar{\rho}(C^h - C'^h) + (C_I^h - C_I'^h)$  and  $x^{h-1} - x'^{h-1} = F(C^h - C'^h)$ , hence by Lemma 5.9 we have  $x^{h-1} - x'^{h-1} \simeq 0$ . Thus  $x^{h-1}$  and  $x'^{h-1}$  are contained in the same class.

Thus the correspondence  $g(x^h) = x^{h-1}$ ,  $g: X_\rho^h \rightarrow X_\rho^{h-1}$ , is a homomorphic mapping of  $H_\rho^h$  into a subgroup  $H_\rho^{h-1}$ . Since  $x^{h-1} \simeq 0$ , the image of  $H_\rho^h$  under  $g$  is a subgroup of  $B_\rho^{h-1}$ .

Lemma 5.16. The image of  $H_\rho^h$  under  $g$  is  $B_\rho^{h-1}$ .

Proof. Let  $X_\rho^{h-1}$  be any element of  $B_\rho^{h-1}$  and  $x^{h-1}$  be a  $\bar{\rho}$ -cycle in  $X_\rho^{h-1}$ . We have  $x^{h-1} \simeq 0$  by definition of  $B_\rho^{h-1}$ , which implies there exists a  $C^h$  such that  $FC^h = x^{h-1}$ . But  $F\rho C^h = \bar{\rho}x^{h-1} = 0$ . Therefore,  $x^h = \bar{\rho}C^h$  is a cycle. In fact,  $x^h$  is a  $\rho$ -cycle, hence we have  $gX_\rho^h = X_\rho^{h-1}$  where  $X_\rho^h$  is the  $\rho$ -homology class containing  $x^h$ .

Lemma 5.17. The kernel of  $g$  is  $K_\rho^h$ .

Proof. If  $gX_\rho^h = 0$ , then  $x^h = \bar{\rho}C + C_I$  where  $FC \simeq 0$ . Therefore,  $FC = F(\rho A + A_I)$  since  $FC$  is a  $\bar{\rho}$ -cycle. Then  $B = C - \rho A - A_I$  is a cycle. Thus  $\bar{\rho}B = \bar{\rho}C$  so that  $x^h = \bar{\rho}B + C_I$ . Hence  $X_\rho^h \subset K_\rho^h$ . Conversely, every element of  $K_\rho^h$  is carried into the zero of  $B_\rho^{h-1}$  by  $g$ . For every element  $X_\rho^h$  of  $K_\rho^h$  we have  $x^h = \bar{\rho}C + C_I$ , where  $C$  is a cycle, therefore  $FC = 0 = x^{h-1}$ .

The elements of a  $\rho$ -homology class  $X_\rho^h$  are contained in a uniquely determined ordinary homology class  $X^h$  and the correspondence

$h: X_{\rho}^h \rightarrow X^h$  is a homomorphism of  $H_{\rho}^h$  into  $H^h$ . The kernel of  $h$  is  $B_{\rho}^h$  by Lemma 5.12.

Lemma 5.18. The image of  $H_{\rho}^h$  under  $h$  is  $H_{(\rho)}^h$ .

Proof. Let  $X_{\rho}^h \subset H_{\rho}^h$ . A  $\rho$ -cycle  $x^h$  which is a member of  $X_{\rho}^h$  satisfies the relation  $\bar{\rho}x^h = 0$ , hence trivially  $\bar{\rho}x^h \simeq 0$ . Therefore  $X_{\rho}^h \subset H_{(\rho)}^h$ . Conversely, let  $X_{(\rho)}^h$  be an element of  $H_{(\rho)}^h$ . To demonstrate that  $X_{(\rho)}^h$  has a pre-image under  $h$ , it is sufficient to show that  $X_{(\rho)}^h$  contains a  $\rho$ -cycle. In any case,  $X_{(\rho)}^h$  contains a cycle  $x^h$  such that  $\rho x^h \simeq 0$ . This implies a relation  $F(\rho C + C_I) = \rho x^h$ . The relations  $\rho x^h \subset M - M_I$  (Lemma 5.2),  $F\rho C \subset M - M_I$  (Lemma 5.3), and  $FC_I \subset M_I$  (Lemma 5.6) imply  $F\rho C = \rho x^h$ . Let  $x'^h = x^h - FC$ . Then  $\rho x'^h = 0$  and  $x'^h \sim x^h$ , thus  $x'^h$  is the desired  $\rho$ -cycle in  $X_{(\rho)}^h$ .

Theorem 5.1. For a simplicial regular primitive  $(M, T)$ ,

$$(a) \quad H_{\rho}^h(M, T; F_p) - K_{\rho}^h(M, T; F_p) = B_{\rho}^{h-1}(M, T; F_p), \quad \text{and}$$

$$(b) \quad H_{\rho}^h(M, T; F_p) - B_{\rho}^h(M, T; F_p) = H_{(\rho)}^h(M, T; F_p).$$

These formulas hold for arbitrary  $G$  if  $\rho$  is replaced by  $\rho I$ .

Proof. The mapping  $g$  is a homomorphism from  $H_{\rho}^h$  onto  $B_{\rho}^{h-1}$  by Lemma 5.16, and  $K_{\rho}^h$  is the kernel of  $g$  by Lemma 5.17. Therefore, by the fundamental theorem of homomorphism of groups, (a) is true. Now  $h$  is a homomorphism from  $H_{\rho}^h$  onto  $H_{(\rho)}^h$  by Lemma 5.18, and  $B_{\rho}^h$  is the kernel of  $h$ . Therefore, (b) is true.

The proof of these formulas for  $\rho I$ -homology groups depends on the properties of the corresponding homomorphisms  $g_I, h_I$ , of  $H_{\rho I}^h$ .

## A Decomposition

It is assumed in this section that  $(M, T)$  is simplicial, primitive, regular and that  $G = F_p$ .

Lemma 5.19. The totality of classes  $X_\rho^h, X_\rho^h \subset H^h$ , with the property that  $x^h \in M - M_I$  for at least one element  $x^h$  in  $X_\rho^h$ , is a subgroup  $D_\rho^h$  of  $H_\rho^h$ .

Proof. Let  $X_\rho^h, Y_\rho^h$  be two such classes, then there exist  $x^h \in X_\rho^h, y^h \in Y_\rho^h$  such that  $x^h, y^h \in M - M_I$ . But the difference of two elements in  $M - M_I$  is also in  $M - M_I$ , therefore  $D_\rho^h$  is a subgroup.

The totality of classes  $X_\rho^h$  with the property that  $x^h \in M_I$  for at least one  $x^h$  in  $X_\rho^h$  is also a subgroup,  $H_{O\rho}^h$ , of  $H_\rho^h$ .

Lemma 5.20. The subgroup  $H_{O\rho}^h$  can be regarded as being identical to  $H_\rho^h(M_I, F_p)$ .

Proof. See Lemma 5.8.

Lemma 5.21. The homology group  $H_\rho^h$  can be decomposed into the subgroups  $D_\rho^h$  and  $H_{O\rho}^h$ .

Proof. Let  $X_\rho^h \subset H_\rho^h$  and  $x$  an element of  $X_\rho^h$ . A  $\rho$ -cycle  $x$  is a  $\rho$ -chain and by Lemma 5.4  $x = \bar{\rho}B + B_I$ . Now  $\bar{\rho}B$  is a  $\rho$ -cycle by Lemma 5.7 and  $\bar{\rho}B \in M - M_{Ih}$ . Also,  $B_I$  is a  $\rho$ -cycle and  $B_I \in M_I$ . Therefore, every  $X_\rho^h \subset H_\rho^h$  can be expressed as  $Y_\rho^h + Z_\rho^h$ , where  $Y_\rho^h \subset D_\rho^h$  and  $Z_\rho^h \subset H_{O\rho}^h$ .

Lemma 5.22. The images of  $D_\rho^h$  and  $H_\rho^h$  under  $g$  are equal to  $B_{\bar{\rho}}^{h-1}$ .

Proof. Let  $X_\rho^h \subset H_\rho^h$ . Then by Lemma 5.21  $gX_\rho^h = gY_\rho^h + gZ_\rho^h$ , where

$Y_\rho^h \subset D_\rho^h$  and  $Z_\rho^h \subset H_{0\rho}^h$ . Therefore,  $gX_\rho^h = gY_\rho^h + 0$  by definition of  $g$ . Hence  $gH_\rho^h \subset gD_\rho^h$ . Conversely, if  $X_\rho^h \subset D_\rho^h$ , then  $X_\rho^h \subset H_\rho^h$ . Hence  $gD_\rho^h \subset gH_\rho^h$ . Also,  $gH_\rho^h = B_{\bar{\rho}}^{h-1}$  by Lemma 5.16.

Lemma 5.23. If  $f$  is the projection  $D_\rho^h \times H_{0\rho}^h \rightarrow H_{0\rho}^h$ , and if  $k = fg$  ( $g$  followed by  $f$ ), then  $kH_\rho^h = kD_\rho^h \subset H_{0\rho}^{h-1}$ .

Proof. By Lemma 5.22,  $gH_\rho^h = gD_\rho^h$ . Hence  $kH_\rho^h = kD_\rho^h$ . Now  $gD_\rho^h = D_\rho^{h-1}$  and  $f$  is the projection  $D_\rho^{h-1} \times H_{0\rho}^{h-1} \rightarrow H_{0\rho}^{h-1}$ , hence  $kD_\rho^h$  is contained in  $H_{0\rho}^{h-1}$ .

For the remainder of this paper no distinction is made between a cycle and its homology class ( $\rho$ - or ordinary). We will regard  $H$  as being composed of cycles,  $H_\rho$  as being composed of  $\rho$ -cycles,  $K_\rho$  as being composed of  $\rho$ -cycles of the form  $\bar{\rho}C + C_I$  where  $C$  is a cycle,  $D_\rho$  as being composed of  $\rho$ -cycles of the form  $\rho C$ , and  $H_{(\rho)}^h$  as being composed of cycles  $X$  such that  $\rho X \cong 0$ .

### Projections of $\rho$ -Homology Classes

Let  $\{U\}$  be a  $T$ -system with nerve  $X$ , and  $T_X$  the transformation induced in  $X$  by  $T$ . Let  $\rho_X = 1 - T_X$  or  $1 + T_X + \dots + T_X^{p-1}$  according as  $\rho = \zeta$  or  $\sigma$ . We may think of  $\rho_X$  as an operator induced in  $X$  by  $\rho$ . Let  $\{V\}$  be a second  $T$ -system with nerve  $Y$ . Suppose that  $\{U\} > \{V\}$  and  $\pi$  is a  $T$ -projection  $\{U\} \rightarrow \{V\}$ . The chain-mapping induced by  $\pi$  will also be denoted by  $\pi$ . The relation  $\pi T = T\pi$  implies that

$$(5.1) \quad \pi \rho_X = \rho_Y \pi$$

An important consequence of (5.1) is that  $\pi$  carries  $\rho_X$ -chains and homologies into  $\rho_Y$ -chains and homologies.

In general,  $\rho_x, \rho_y$  will be denoted by  $\rho$ , since the meaning of  $\rho$  is clear in the context.

Lemma 5.24. Let  $\{U\}$  and  $\{V\}$  be T-systems with nerves  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively and such that  $\{V\}$  is primitive and  $\{U\} > \{V\}$ . Let  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  be T-projections  $\{U\} \rightarrow \{V\}$ . If  $x$  is a  $\rho$ -cycle in  $X$ ,  $\pi_1 x \approx \pi_2 x$ .

Proof. Suppose that the passage from  $\pi_1$  to  $\pi_2$  can be effected by re-defining  $\pi_1$  over the T-images of a single U-vertex. In any case the passage from  $\pi_1$  to  $\pi_2$  can be obtained by a finite number of such steps. Suppose then that  $\pi_1$  differs from  $\pi_2$  only with respect to the T-images of  $U$ . Assume first that  $U$  is contained in a non-invariant V-vertex. Then, since  $\{V\}$  is primitive, the images  $U^q = T^q U$  are mutually exclusive. Let  $\pi_i U^q = V_i^q$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Define an additive operator  $D$  over  $X$ -chains as follows. A simplex  $E$  either has just one vertex among the images of  $U$  (regarded now as vertices of  $X$ ), or has none. If none,  $DE = 0$ . If one, suppose  $E = (U^h S)$  where  $S$  is a simplex with no vertex  $U^1$ , then define  $DE = (v_1^h v_2^h S')$  where  $S' = \pi_1 S = \pi_2 S$ . Let the definition of  $D$  be extended additively to all  $\rho$ -chains. Now  $FD = \pi_2 - \pi_1 - DF$  and  $DT = TD$  for individual simplices. In the case where  $E$  has no vertex among the images of  $U$ , then  $F(DE) = 0$ , and  $\pi_2 E - \pi_1 E - D(FE) = 0$  since  $\pi_2 E = \pi_1 E$ . Also,  $DTE = 0 = TDE$ . If  $E$  has one vertex among the images of  $U$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} F(DE) &= F(v_1^h v_2^h S') \\ &= [(v_1^h v_2^h S'), (v_2^h S')] (v_2^h S') + [(v_1^h v_2^h S'), (v_1^h S')] (v_1^h S') \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} [(v_1^h v_2^h S'), s'_i] (v_1^h v_2^h s'_i) \\
& = v_2^h \pi_2 S - v_1^h \pi_1 S + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} [(v_1^h v_2^h S'), s'_i] (v_1^h v_2^h s'_i),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $S'_i$  is a face of  $S'$  with the  $i+2$  vertex removed.

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
D(FEp) &= D\left\{[(U_h S), S] S + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} [(U_n S), s_i] s_i\right\} \\
&= 0 + D \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} [(U_n S), s_i] s_i \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} [(U_n S), s_i] D s_i \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} [(U_n S), s_i] (v_1^h v_2^h s'_i)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $FD = \pi_2 - \pi_1 - DF$ . The relation  $DT = TD$  follows because  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are  $T$ -projections.

Since  $D$  was extended additively to all chains these formulas hold for all chains. From the first of these formulas it follows that  $\pi_2 x - \pi_1 x = FDx$  and from the second that  $\rho Dx = D\rho x = 0$  since  $x$  is a  $\rho$ -cycle. Hence  $Dx$  is a  $\rho$ -cycle and  $\pi_2 x \simeq \pi_1 x$ .

Now assume that  $U$  is not a subset of a non-invariant  $V$ -simplex. In this case  $\pi_1 U$  and  $\pi_2 U$  are invariant, thus  $\pi_i U^q = V_i$ , ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Suppose the  $X$ -simplex  $E$  has just one vertex among the images of  $U$ , say  $E = (U^h S)$ . Then in this case take  $DE = (V_1 V_2 S)$  but in all other cases take  $DE = 0$ . Then  $FD = \pi_2 - \pi_1 - DF$  and  $DT = TD$  again hold, but in the verification it is necessary to examine the case in which the vertices of  $E$  include more than one image of  $U$ , say for example  $E = (U^h U^k S)$ . Here  $DE = 0$  by definition, hence  $D E = 0$ . Also,  $\pi_1 E$  equals  $(V_1^h V_1^k S)$  which is degenerate, hence zero, and  $D E$  vanishes by cancellation and definition.

## Inverse Systems

The theory of inverse systems which is introduced here is an important concept in this chapter. For the purpose of this thesis it is sufficient to restrict the treatment of inverse systems to Hausdorff spaces and topological groups. (A topological group will be defined later.) The following definitions and theorems can be found in [14].

**Definition 5.3.** A set  $S$  is said to be partially ordered or merely ordered, if certain pairs of elements  $(a, b)$  of  $S$  satisfy an ordering relation which is denoted by  $a < b$  and is subject to the sole condition of transitivity:  $a < b$  and  $b < c$  implies  $a < c$ .

**Definition 5.4.** Let  $S$  be ordered by  $<$ . Then  $S$  is said to be directed by  $<$  (by  $>$ ) whenever given any two elements  $a, b$  of  $S$  there exists a third element  $c$  such that  $c < a$  and  $c < b$  ( $c > a$  and  $c > b$ ). Denote this relation by  $S = \{s; <\} [\{s; >\}]$ .

**Definition 5.5.** Let  $\{M_i\}$  be a system of Hausdorff spaces indexed by a directed set  $S = \{i; >\}$  and suppose that whenever  $i > j$  there is given a mapping, also known as a projection,  $\pi_j^i: M_i \rightarrow M_j$  such that  $i > j > k$  implies  $\pi_k^j \pi_j^i = \pi_k^i$ . The system  $\Sigma = \{M_i; \pi_j^i\}$  of the  $M_i$  and the  $\pi_j^i$  is called an inverse mapping system.

**Definition 5.6.** Let  $M^\pi$  be the product space, and in  $M^\pi$  let  $M$  be the set of all the points  $x = \{x_i\}$  such that  $i > j$  implies  $\pi_j^i x_i = x_j$ . Then  $M$  is called the limit-space of the inverse mapping system  $\Sigma$ . If  $i < j$ , we have  $\pi_j^i x_i = x_j$  and  $\pi_i^i = 1$ .

As a subset of  $M^\pi$  the limit-space  $M$  receives the relative to-

pology and is a Hausdorff space.

Theorem 5.2. If each  $M_i$  is compact and not empty then the limit-space is likewise not empty.

The remainder of this section is concerned with systems of topological groups which are indexed by a directed set.

Definition 5.7. Let the group  $G = \{g\}$ , as a set of elements, be assigned a topology thus turning it into a topological space. Then  $G$  thus topologized is called a topological group whenever it is a  $T_0$ -space (a Hausdorff space is a  $T_0$ -space) and in addition  $g \cdot g'$  is a continuous function of  $G \times G$  to  $G$  in the topology.

Definition 5.8. Let  $\{G_i\}$  be a system of topological groups and let the projection  $\pi_j^i$  be a homomorphism. Then  $S = \{G_i; \pi_j^i\}$  is said to be an inverse system of groups, or merely an inverse system.

Theorem 5.3. Let  $S = \{G_i; \pi_j^i\}$  and  $\Sigma = \{H_i; \xi_j^i\}$  be inverse systems both indexed by  $A = \{i; >\}$  and with limit-groups  $'G, 'H$ . Suppose that for each  $i$  there is a homomorphism  $f_i: G_i \rightarrow H_i$  such that  $\xi_j^i f_i = f_j \pi_j^i$ ,  $\forall i > j$ . Then there exists a homomorphism  $f: 'G \rightarrow 'H$  such that if  $g = \{g_i\} \in 'G$ , then  $fg = \{f_i g_i\}$ .

Theorem 5.4. Under the same assumption as in Theorem 5.3 let the  $G_i$  be compact. If  $H'_j = f_j G_j$ , then  $\Sigma' = \{H'_i; \xi_j^i\}$  is an inverse system with limit-group, say  $'H'$ , and  $f$  is an open homomorphism of  $'G$  onto  $'H'$ .

# $\rho$ -Homology Groups in a Compact Space

It is assumed in this section that  $M$  is compact and that  $T$  is primitive.

Let  $\Sigma = \{U_j\}$  be the totality of primitive special coverings of  $M$ , and let  $T_j$  be the transformation induced by  $T$  in  $X_j$ , the nerve of  $\{U_j\}$ . Each  $(X_j, T_j)$  is primitive and regular by Lemma 4.6. Primitivity implies that each  $T_j$  is of period  $p$ .

By Theorem 4.4  $\Sigma$  is a directed set relative to ordering by refinement and is cofinal with the totality of all finite open coverings of  $M$ . Hence  $\Sigma$  is adequate for carrying the ordinary homology theory of  $M$ . It is now shown that  $\Sigma$  carries a  $\rho$ -homology theory for  $(M, T)$ .

Let  $\{U_i\}$  and  $\{U_j\}$  be coverings in  $\Sigma$  with  $\{U_i\} > \{U_j\}$ . Since  $\{U_j\}$  is primitive, there exists a  $T$ -projection  $\pi_j^i: \{U_i\} \rightarrow \{U_j\}$  by Theorem 4.1. Since  $\pi_j^i$  is permutable with  $\rho$  and  $F$ , it carries  $\rho$ -cycles into  $\rho$ -cycles and preserves  $\rho$ -homologies. The projection  $\pi_j^i$  induces a mapping

$$(5.2) \quad \pi_j^i: H_\rho^h(X_i, T_i; G) \rightarrow H_\rho^h(X_j, T_j; G).$$

It is a consequence of Lemma 5.25 that  $\pi_j^i$  is independent of the particular choice of the  $T$ -projection  $\pi_j^i$ . Thus the groups  $H_\rho^h(X_i, T_i; G)$  and associated mappings  $\pi_j^i$  form an inverse system invariantly related to  $(M, T)$ .

Let

$$H_\rho^h(M, T; G) = \lim \left\{ H_\rho^h(X_i, T_i; G); \pi_j^i \right\}.$$

The elements of  $H_\rho^h(M, T; G)$  may be regarded as a  $\rho$ -homology of  $(M, T)$ .

A  $\rho$ -cycle  $Z^h$  being a collection  $\{Z_i^h\}$  where  $Z_i^h$  is a  $(\rho, X_i)$ -cycle ( $\rho$ -cycle in  $X_i$ ) and where  $\{U_i\} > \{U_j\}$  implies

$$(5.3) \quad \pi_j^i Z_i^h \simeq Z_j^h.$$

We have  $Z^h \simeq 0$  if and only if  $Z_i^h \simeq 0$  for each  $i$ . We call  $Z^h$  a Cech  $\rho$ -cycle.

As in the simplicial case, we frequently make no distinction between  $\rho$ -cycles and their class.

The totality  $\Sigma$  is a topologically definite entity uniquely determined by  $M$  and  $T$ . It follows that the groups  $H_\rho^h(M, T; G)$  are topological invariants of  $(M, T)$ .

Lemma 5.25. The homomorphism  $\pi_j^i$  carries  $B_\rho^h(X_i, T_i; G)$  into a subgroup of  $B_\rho^h(X_j, T_j; G)$ .

Proof. If  $Z_i^h \in B_\rho^h$  then  $Z_i^h \sim 0$ . Also,  $\pi_j^i Z_i^h \simeq Z_j^h$ . Hence  $Z_j^h \sim 0$ , since  $\pi_j^i$  carries  $\rho$ -cycles into  $\rho$ -cycles and preserves  $\rho$ -homologies.

Also,  $\pi_j^i$  carries  $K_\rho^h(X_i, T_i; G)$  into a subgroup of  $K_\rho^h(X_j, T_j; G)$ , and in the same way the homomorphism  $H^h(X_i, G) \rightarrow H^h(X_j, G)$  induced by  $\pi_j^i$  carries  $H_{(\rho)}^h(X_i, T_i; G)$  into a subgroup of  $H_{(\rho)}^h(X_j, T_j; G)$ . Thus  $H_\rho^h(M, T; G)$  and  $H^h(M, G)$  admit subgroups

$$B_\rho^h(M, T; G) = \lim \{B_\rho^h(X_i, T_i; G); \pi_j^i\},$$

$$K_\rho^h(M, T; G) = \lim \{K_\rho^h(X_i, T_i; G); \pi_j^i\},$$

$$\text{and} \quad H_\rho^h(M, T; G) = \lim \{H_{(\rho)}^h(X_i, T_i; G); \pi_j^i\}.$$

The relation  $\pi_j^i T = T \pi_j^i$  implies that  $\pi_j^i$  carries invariant  $X_i$ -simplexes into invariant  $X_j$ -simplexes, hence  $\pi_j^i X_{iI} \subset X_{jI}$ . Thus  $(\pi I, X_j)$ -chains are carried by  $\pi_j^i$  into  $(\pi I, X_j)$ -chains and  $\pi I$ -homolo-

gies are preserved. This leads to inverse systems of groups

$H_{pI}^h(X_i, T_i; G)$  et cetera. The relation  $X_{iI} = X_{iL}$  (see Lemma 4.6) implies that elements in the resulting limit-groups are  $p$ -cycles modulo  $L$  and their limit-groups are therefore properly denoted by  $H_{pL}^h(M, L; G)$  et cetera.

The following remarks are made for future reference.

Remark (5.1). A topology in the coefficient group  $G$  will lead to a topology in  $H_p^h$ ,  $H_{pL}^h$  et cetera. In what follows, the groups are considered as discrete.

Remark (5.2). Like the groups  $H_p^h$ , the groups  $B_p^h$ ,  $K_p^h$ ,  $H_{(p)}^h$  and the corresponding groups  $H_{pL}^h$  et cetera are topological invariants of  $(M, T)$ .

Remark (5.3). Suppose that  $\dim M \leq m$ . Then  $\Sigma$  can be replaced in the preceding discussion by  $\Sigma_k = \{U_i\}$ , the totality of primitive special coverings  $\{U_i\}$  such that  $\dim(X_i - X_{iI}) \leq k$ , by Theorem 4.3.

Let  $h_i, g_i$  denote the mappings  $h, g$  defined as before for  $(X_i, T_i)$ . The mappings  $h_i$  and  $g_i$  do exist since  $(X_i, T_i)$  is regular and primitive.

Lemma 5.26. If  $\{U_i\}$  and  $\{U_j\}$  are contained in  $\Sigma$  with  $\{U_i\} > \{U_j\}$  and if  $\pi_j^i$  is a  $T$ -projection, then  $\pi_j^i g_i = g_j \pi_j^i$  and  $\pi_j^i h_i = h_j \pi_j^i$ .

Proof. By definition of  $g_i$ ,  $\pi_j^i g_i(Z^h) = \pi_j^i Z^{h-1}$  where  $Z^{h-1} = FC^h$  and  $Z^h = \bar{\rho}C^h + C_I^h$ . Hence, since  $F$  is permutable with  $\pi$ , we have  $\pi_j^i Z^{h-1} = \pi_j^i FC^h = F \pi_j^i C^h$ . Now  $g_j \pi_j^i(Z^h) = g_j \pi_j^i(\bar{\rho}C^h + C_I^h) =$

$g_j(\bar{\pi}_j^i C^h + \pi_j^i C_I^h) = F \pi_j^i C^h$  since  $\bar{\pi}$  is permutable with  $\pi$  and by definition of  $g_i$ .

The second part holds similarly. If  $Z^h = \{Z_i^h\}$  is a  $\rho$ -cycle which is an element of  $H_\rho^h(M, T; F_p)$ , then Lemma 5.26 implies that  $\{g_h Z_i^h\}$  is a  $\bar{\rho}$ -cycle. Denote this  $\bar{\rho}$ -cycle by  $gZ^h$ .

Using  $\pi_j^i: H_\rho^h(X_i, T_i; G) \rightarrow H_\rho^h(X_j, T_j; G)$  and the fact that the groups  $H_\rho^h(M, T; F_p)$  are finite and compact, it follows from the general theory of inverse systems that  $g$  actually covers  $B_{\bar{\rho}}^{h-1}$  by Theorem 5.4. Moreover, the fact that the kernel of  $g_i$  is  $K_\rho^h(X_i, T_i; F_p)$  for every  $\{U_i\}$  in  $\Sigma$  implies that the kernel of  $g$  is  $K_\rho^h(M, T; F_p)$ . Similar remarks lead to a homomorphism  $h: H_\rho^h(M, T; F_p) \rightarrow H_{(\rho)}^h(M, T; F_p)$  with kernel  $B_\rho^h(M, T; F_p)$ . Therefore the formulas of Theorem 5.1 hold for every compact  $M$  and primitive  $T$ .

### Homologies in $L$

In this section  $M$  is compact,  $T$  primitive and  $G = F_p$ .

Let  $\pi_j^i$  be a  $T$ -projection and  $\{U_i\}, \{U_j\} \in \Sigma$ . Also, recall that  $\pi_j^i X_{iI} \subset X_{jI}$ . The elements of  $H_{Op}^h(X_i, T_i; F_p)$  are cycles in  $X_{iI}$  and  $H_{Op}^h(X_i, T_i; F_p)$  is identical to  $H^h(X_{iI}, F_p)$  by Lemma 5.20.

Lemma 5.27. The projection  $\pi_j^i$  carries  $H_{Op}^h(X_i, T_i; F_p)$  into a subgroup of  $H_{Op}^h(X_j, T_j; F_p)$ .

Proof. There exists an element  $Z \in H_{Op}^h$  such that  $Z \in X_{iI}$  and  $\pi_j^i(Z) \in X_{jI}$  by Lemma 4.5. Hence the lemma is proved.

Let

$$H_{Op}^h(M, T; F_p) = \lim \left\{ H_{Op}^h(X_i, T_i; F_p); \pi_j^i \right\}.$$

The relation  $X_{iI} = X_{iL}$  and Lemma 5.20 imply that  $H_{Op}^h$  is the group of ordinary homology classes of  $L$ .

That is

$$(5.4) \quad H_{Op}^h(M, T; F_p) \cong H^h(L, F_p).$$

Lemma 5.28. The image of  $D_p^h(X_i, T_i; F_p)$  under  $\pi_j^{-1}$  is contained in  $D_p^h(X_j, T_j; F_p)$ .

Proof. There exists  $Z^h \in D_p^h$  such that  $Z^h \in X_i - X_{iI}$  by definition of  $D_p^h$  and by Lemma 5.5  $Z^h = \bar{\rho}A$ . Also,  $\pi_j^{-1} \bar{\rho} A = \bar{\rho} \pi_j^{-1} A$ . Therefore,  $\pi_j^{-1} Z^h \in X_j - X_{jI}$ .

Let

$$D_p^h(M, T; F_p) = \lim \left\{ D_p^h(X_i, T_i; F_p); \pi_j^{-1} \right\}.$$

If  $Z^h$  is a  $\rho$ -cycle that is an element of  $D_p^h(M, T; F_p)$ , then  $Z_i^h$  may be taken as a  $\rho$ -cycle in  $X_i - X_{iI}$ . If  $X_{iJ}$  is the totality of  $X_i$ -simplexes whose kernel meets  $M - L$ , then  $X_i - X_{iI} = X_i - X_{iL} \subset X_{iJ}$ . Lemma 5.8 and the relation  $Z_i^h \subset X_i - X_{iI}$  imply that  $Z^h$  is a  $\rho$ -cycle of  $M - L$  or at least is  $\rho$ -homologous to such a cycle.

The decomposition of Lemma 5.21, which holds in each  $(X_i, T_i)$ , implies the decomposition

$$(5.5) \quad H_p^h = D_p^h \times H_{Op}^h \quad \text{for } (M, T; F_p)$$

and Lemma 5.22 implies

$$(5.6) \quad gD_p^h = gH_p^h = F_p^{h-1} \quad \text{for } (M, T; F_p).$$

Hence, if we denote by  $f$  the projection mapping  $D_p^h \times H_{Op}^h \rightarrow H_{Op}^h$  and by  $k$  the mapping  $fg$ , then we have by Lemma 5.23

$$(5.7) \quad kH_p^h = kD_p^h \subset H_{Op}^{h-1} \quad \text{for } (M, T; F_p).$$



Denoting by  $k_i$  the mapping of  $H_p^h(X_i, T_i; F_p)$  induced by  $k$ ,  $k_i$  is precisely the mapping  $k$  defined for simplicial  $(M, T)$  with  $M = X_i$  and  $T = T_j$ . Moreover, Lemma 5.26 implies

$$(5.8) \quad \pi_j^i k_i = k_j \pi_j^i,$$

where  $i > j$  and  $\pi_j^i$  is a  $T$ -projection.

Lemma 5.29. If  $D_p^{h-1} = 0$ , then the kernel of  $k$ , as applied to  $H_p^h$ , is  $K_p^h$ .

Proof. If  $D_p^{h-1} = 0$ , then  $gH_p^h \subset H_{Op}^{h-1}$  since  $gH_p^h = B_p^{h-1} = D_p^{h-1} \times H_{Op}^{h-1} = 0 \times H_{Op}^{h-1}$ . Hence  $fgH_p^h = gH_p^h$ , thus  $k$  has the same kernel as  $g$ , namely  $K_p^h$ .

Lemma 5.30. If  $D_p^{h-1} = 0$  and  $H_{(p)}^h = H^h$ , then  $k$  transforms  $D_p^h$  isomorphically.

Proof. The kernel of  $k$  acting on  $D_p^h$  is  $D_p^h \cap K_p^h$  by Lemma 5.29. An element in  $D_p^h \cap K_p^h$  is of the form  $Z^h = \{\bar{p}C_i^h\}$  where  $C^h = \{C_i^h\}$  is an ordinary cycle. Because  $x \in D_p^h$  implies  $x \in M - M_I$  and by Lemma 5.5,  $x = \bar{p}C$ . Also,  $y \in K_p^h$  implies  $y = \bar{p}C + C_I$  where  $C$  is a cycle. Thus  $C^h$  is an element of  $H^h$  and is therefore an element of  $H_{(p)}^h$  by the hypothesis. This implies that  $\bar{p}C^h \approx 0$  by definition of  $H_{(p)}^h$ , hence  $Z^h$  is the zero of  $D_p^h$ . Thus the kernel of  $k$  is the zero. Therefore  $k$  is an isomorphism.

Lemma 5.31. If  $H^{h-1} = 0$ , then  $k$  acting on  $H_p^h$  is equal to  $H_{Op}^{h-1}$ .

Proof. We need to show that a given cycle  $Z^{h-1}$  in  $H_{Op}^{h-1}$  has a pre-image in  $H_p^h$ . Write  $Z^{h-1} = \{Z_i^{h-1}\}$ , where  $Z_i^{h-1} \subset X_{iI}$ . Since  $p-$

homologies imply ordinary homologies,  $Z^{h-1}$  may be regarded as an element of  $H^{h-1}$ , hence  $Z^{h-1} \sim 0$ . Thus each element  $Z_i^{h-1}$  is contained in  $H_{Op}^{h-1}(X_i, T_i; F_p) \cap B_p^{h-1}(X_i, T_i; F_p)$  and so has a pre-image in  $H_p^h(X_i, T_i; F_p)$  under  $k_i$  by Lemma 5.22. Let  $R_i$  denote the totality of these pre-images of  $Z_i^{h-1}$ . If  $\pi_j^i$  is a T-projection, the relation (5.8) implies that  $\pi_j^i R_i \subset R_j$ , since  $\pi_j^i k_i (Z_i^{h-1}) = k_i \pi_j^i (Z_i^{h-1}) = k_i (Z_j^{h-1}) \subset R_j$ . The sets  $R_i$  being finite (hence compact) means that the limit of the inverse system  $\{R_i; \pi_j^i\}$  is not empty. (See Theorem 5.2.) Therefore the limit elements are pre-images of  $Z^{h-1}$  under  $k$ .

Lemma 5.32. Assume that  $M$  is finite-dimensional,  $p$  a prime. Assume further that  $H^h(M, F_p) = 0$  for  $h > n$  while  $H^n(M, F_p)$  is cyclic of order  $p$ . Then

$$H_{(\rho)}^n = H_\rho^n \cong H^n, \quad B_\rho^n = 0; \quad H_\rho^h = 0, \quad h > n, \quad \text{for } (M, T; F_p).$$

Proof. Suppose that the  $\dim M \leq m$ , then  $n \leq m$ . The definition of the  $\rho$ -homology groups can be based on  $\Sigma_k$ ,  $k = pm + p - 1$ , in place of  $\Sigma$  by Remark 5.3. The  $i$ -coordinate of a cycle  $Z^h$  of  $D_\rho^h$  is an  $h$ -dimensional  $(\rho, X_i)$ -cycle in  $X_i - X_{i+1}$ . Therefore, if  $h > k$  and  $\{U_i\}$  is in  $\Sigma_k$ , then  $Z_i^h = 0$  and  $D_\rho^h = 0$ . Let  $\gamma$  be an integer larger than  $k$  such that  $\gamma - k$  is even. Then  $D_\rho^\gamma = 0$ . Now  $H^{\gamma-1} = 0$  since  $\gamma - 1 > n$ , hence  $H_\rho^{\gamma-1} = B_\rho^{\gamma-1} = gD_\rho^\gamma = g0 = 0$ , and  $D_\rho^{\gamma-1} = 0$ . From this  $H_\rho^{\gamma-2} = D_\rho^{\gamma-2} = 0$ , thus  $D_\rho^{n+1} = H_\rho^{n+1} = 0$ , and hence

$$B_\rho^n = gH_\rho^{n+1} = 0.$$

From Theorem 5.1, part (b),  $H_\rho^n = H_{(\rho)}^n$ . Now we need to show that  $H_{(\rho)}^n \cong H^n$ .

Let  $Z^n$  be an  $n$ -cycle  $\neq 0$ . Then  $TZ \sim xZ$  ( $x$  a nonzero integer),  $T^2Z \sim x^2Z$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $Z = T^pZ \sim x^pZ$  since  $H^n$  is cyclic of order  $p$ . Hence,  $x^p = 1 \pmod{p}$  and since  $p$  is prime  $x = 1 \pmod{p}$ . Thus for each  $i$ ,  $Tz_i \sim z_i$ , hence  $\bar{p}z_i \sim 0$ . The collection  $\{\bar{p}z_i\}$  is a  $p$ -cycle since if  $\pi_j^1$  is a  $T$ -projection we have  $\pi_j^1 \bar{p}z_i \sim \bar{p}z_j$ . This is true since  $\pi_j^1 z_i - z_j \sim 0$  and by Lemma 5.10,  $\bar{p}(\pi_j^1 z_i - z_j) \sim 0$  as required. Now  $\bar{p}Z \sim 0$  implies that  $\bar{p}Z$  is an element of the subgroup  $B_\rho^n$  of  $H_\rho^n$ . Therefore, since  $B_\rho^n = 0$  implies  $\bar{p}Z \sim 0$ , we see that  $Z^n$  is a nonzero element of  $H_{(\rho)}^n$ . Thus  $H_{(\rho)}^n \neq 0$  and since it is a subgroup of a cyclic group of prime order,  $H^n$  must be identical to  $H_{(\rho)}^n$ .

### Homological Spheres

Definition 5.9. A space  $M$  is  $n$ -cyclic over  $G$  if  $H^n(M, G) \cong G$  and  $H^i(M, G) = 0$ ,  $i \neq n$ .

Definition 5.10. A space  $M$  is said to be augmented if each of its coverings considered as a complex are augmented.

Definition 5.11. A compact finite-dimensional space is called a homological  $n$ -sphere over  $G$  if, when augmented, it is  $n$ -cyclic over  $G$ . The empty set is regarded as a homological  $(-1)$ -sphere over  $G$ .

Theorem 5.5. Let  $T$  be a transformation operating in  $M$ , of period  $p = q^a$  with  $a \geq 1$ ,  $q$  a prime. If  $M$  is a homological  $n$ -sphere over  $F_q$ , then the fixed-point set  $L$  is a homological  $r$ -sphere over  $F_q$ ,  $-1 \leq r \leq n$ .

Proof. Assume that  $a = 1$ ,  $p = q$ , and  $L \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots$  stand alternately for  $\zeta, \sigma$  beginning with

$\rho_0 = 6$ . Let  $r$  be the dimensional index of the first vanishing group in the sequence

$$(i) \quad D_{\rho_n}^n, D_{\rho_{n-1}}^{n-1}, \dots$$

The definition of  $r$  has meaning since  $D_{\rho_0}^0 = 0$ . Consider an element of  $D_{\rho_0}^0$ . It contains a  $\rho$ -cycle of the form  $Z^0 = \{\bar{\rho}_0 A_1^0\}$  and since  $H^0 = 0$ ,  $X_1$  is connected by section 11, Chapter VII of [14]. Hence if  $E$  is an  $X_1$ -vertex, then  $E \sim 0 \bmod X_{1I}$ . It follows that  $A_1^0 \sim 0 \bmod X_{1I}$ , say,  $FB_1^0 = A_1^0 + A_{1I}^0$ . Then  $F_{\rho_0} B_1^0 = \bar{\rho}_0 A_1^0 = Z_1^0$  and so  $Z_1^0 \sim 0$  for each  $i$  which implies  $Z^0 \in B_{\rho_0}^0$ . Hence our assumption is true.

Next it is shown that  $H_{\text{Op}r}^r$  is cyclic of order  $r$  while  $H_{\text{Op}i}^i = 0$  for  $i \neq r$ . This implies that  $L$  is a homological  $r$ -sphere since the groups  $H_{\text{Op}h}^h$  are identical to the ordinary homology groups of  $L$  by (5.4, page 68). Note that

$$(ii) \quad B_{\rho_i}^i = H_{\rho_i}^i, \quad i \neq n,$$

since  $H^i = 0$  for  $i \neq n$ . By Lemma 5.32,  $H_{\rho_n}^n$  is cyclic of order  $p$ . Assume  $r < n$ . Then  $D_{\rho_n}^n \neq 0$  by definition of  $r$ , hence  $D_{\rho_n}^n = H_{\rho_n}^n$ . We have  $gD_{\rho_n}^n = B_{\rho_{n-1}}^{n-1}$  by (5.6, page 68) and consequently  $B_{\rho_{n-1}}^{n-1}$  is cyclic, possibly zero. If  $r < n-1$ , then  $D_{\rho_{n-1}}^{n-1} \neq 0$  and  $D_{\rho_{n-1}}^{n-1} \subset H_{\rho_{n-1}}^{n-1}$  so that  $B_{\rho_{n-1}}^{n-1} \neq 0$  by (ii). Hence,  $B_{\rho_{n-1}}^{n-1}$  is of order  $p$  and so is  $D_{\rho_{n-1}}^{n-1}$ . By repeating this argument we conclude that the groups (i) with dimensional index exceeding  $r$  are cyclic of order  $p$ . We have also shown that

$$(iii) \quad H_{\rho_i}^i = D_{\rho_i}^i, \quad i = n, n+1, \dots, r+1.$$

Now we need to show that the remaining groups of (i) vanish. We have  $D_{\rho_r}^r = 0$  by definition of  $r$  and  $D_{\rho_{r-1}}^{r-1} \subset H_{\rho_{r-1}}^{r-1} = B_{\rho_{r-1}}^{r-1} = gD_{\rho_r}^r = 0$  by

(ii) and (5.6, page 68). Replacing  $r$  by  $r-1$  and so on we have

$$D_{\rho\ell}^{\ell} = 0 \text{ for all } \ell \leq r.$$

Now by Lemma 5.31 and (iii)

$$(iv) \quad H_{O\rho_i}^i = kH_{\rho_{i+1}}^{i+1} = kD_{\rho_{i+1}}^{k+1} = k0 = 0 \quad i < r.$$

Using the fact that  $H_{\rho_i}^i = 0$  for  $i > n$ , (iv) also holds for  $i > n$ .

Moreover,  $H_{O\rho_i}^i$  vanishes for  $i = r+1, \dots, n$ , since  $H\rho =$

$D_{\rho} \times H_{O\rho}$  and since  $H_{\rho} = D_{\rho}$ . Therefore  $H_{O\rho_i}^i = 0$  when  $i \neq r$ .

It remains to be shown that  $H_{O\rho_i}^r$  is cyclic of order  $p$ . If  $r = n$ , then  $D_{\rho_n}^n = 0$ . Also,  $H_{\rho} = D_{\rho} \times H_{O\rho}$ , hence  $H_{\rho} = H_{O\rho}$ . Now  $H_{\rho_n}^n$  is cyclic of order  $p$ , therefore,  $H_{O\rho}^r$  is cyclic of order  $p$ . If  $r < n$ , then  $D_{\rho_{r+1}}^{r+1}$  is cyclic of order  $p$  and  $kD_{\rho_{r+1}}^{r+1}$  is isomorphic to  $H_{O\rho_r}^r$  by Lemmas 5.30 and 5.31; therefore,  $H_{O\rho_r}^r$  is cyclic of order  $p$ .

The theorem is proved for  $a = 1$ .

Assume  $a > 1$  and that the theorem has been proved for  $p = q^b$ ,  $b < a$ . The transformation  $T^s$ ,  $s = q^{a-1}$ , is a prime of period  $q$ . Hence its fixed point set,  $L_s$ , is a homological  $r$ -sphere,  $r \leq n$ . Now  $T$  transforms  $L_s$  into itself, and the transformation  $T'$  induced in  $L_s$  is either the identity or it is of period  $q^c$  where  $c < a$ . In the first case  $L = L_s$  and the theorem is established. In the second case the fixed point set  $L'$  of  $T'$  is a homological  $r'$ -sphere,  $r' \leq r$ .

### Examples

The results of Theorem 5.5 do not hold for a transformation of period  $p$ ,  $p$  arbitrary. E. E. Floyd constructed examples to show this fact in 1952 [6] and in 1956 [8]. Some of Floyd's examples are given in

this section. First we shall state theorems and definitions that are used in constructing the examples.

Definition 5.12. A decomposition of a space  $M$  is a partition of  $M$  into a family of disjoint subsets of  $M$  whose union is  $M$ .

Definition 5.13. Let  $M$  be a compact Hausdorff space and  $T$  a periodic mapping on  $M$ , then  $\sigma x$ ,  $x \in M$ , is an orbit.

Definition 5.14. The orbit decomposition space  $M^*$  of  $(M, T)$  is the space whose elements are the sets  $\sigma x$ ,  $x \in M$ , with an open set in  $M^*$  being the images of an open set in  $M$  under the orbit decomposition mapping  $f: M \rightarrow M^*$ , where  $f(x) = \{\sigma x\}$ .

The following theorems are used in the construction of our examples. They can be found in [6] and [7].

Theorem 5.6. Let  $X$  be a finite complex and let  $A$  be a subcomplex of  $X$ . Suppose  $A$  is invariant under a simplicial periodic mapping  $T$  on  $X$ . Let  $A^*$  denote the orbit decomposition of the pair  $(A, T/A)$  and let  $F: A \rightarrow A^*$  the orbit decomposition mapping. Suppose that the induced homomorphism  $F^*$  of the homology groups  $H_n(A; G)$  into  $H_n(A^*; G)$  are isomorphisms onto for each  $n$ , where  $G$  is a given coefficient group. Consider the decomposition of  $X$  consisting of orbits of points of  $A$  and of individual points of  $X - A$ . Let  $X^*$  denote the resulting decomposition space. Then  $X^*$  may be triangulated so as to be a finite complex with  $A^*$  a subcomplex. Moreover,  $H_n(X^*; G) \approx H_n(X; G)$ .

Theorem 5.7. Let  $A$  be an  $n$ -dimensional finite complex; let  $T$

be a simplicial periodic homeomorphism of period two of  $A$  onto  $A$  with exactly one fixed point  $p$  and such that if  $C_a$  denotes the closed star of a vertex  $a$  of  $A$ ,  $a \neq p$ , then  $T(C_a) \cap C_a$  is either empty or is  $p$ . There exists a homeomorphism  $f$  of  $A$  into Euclidean  $(2n+1)$ -space  $E_{2n+1}$  such that  $ff = Sf$  where  $S(x) = -x$  for every  $x \in E_{2n+1}$  and such that  $f$  is linear on each simplex of  $A$ .

Notation. Let  $n$  be a positive integer  $\geq 2$ . Let  $P$  denote the solid unit circle in the plane, where we use polar coordinates. Consider the decomposition of  $P$  which has as its elements the individual points  $(r, \theta)$  for  $r < 1$  and the sets  $\{(1, \theta), (1, \theta + 2\pi/n), \dots, (1, \theta + (2n-1)\pi/n)\}$  for  $r = 1$ . Denote the resulting decomposition space by  $P(n)$ . If  $(r, \theta) \in P$  let  $(r, \theta)_n$  denote the generated point of  $P(n)$ . An involution  $R$  of  $P(n)$  onto  $P(n)$  is defined by  $R((r, \theta)_n) = (r, \theta + \pi)_n$ .

Theorem 5.8. If  $n$  is odd, then  $R$  has a single fixed point, and the orbit decomposition space  $P^*(n)$  is homeomorphic to  $P(n)$ . Also, if  $g: P(n) \rightarrow P^*(n)$  denotes the orbit decomposition mapping, then  $g^*: H_n(P(n); I) \rightarrow H_n(P^*(n); I)$  is an isomorphism onto for each  $n$ , where  $I$  denotes the group of integers.

Theorem 5.9. If  $X$  is locally connected and locally simply connected, then so is  $X^*$ .

Example 1. Let  $n$  be an odd positive integer. There exists a finite complex  $K$  and a simplicial mapping  $T$  of  $K$  onto  $K$  of period two such that  $K$  is contractible (and thereby homologically tri-

vial over all groups) and such that the fixed point set of  $T$  is homeomorphic to  $P(n)$ .

Proof. Let  $X$  denote the 5-cube in Euclidean 5-space consisting of all points whose coordinates are between  $-1$  and  $1$ . Denote by  $S: X \rightarrow X$  the involution  $S(x) = -x$  for all  $x \in X$ . Triangulate  $P(n)$  so that the hypothesis of Theorem 5.7 is satisfied with respect to a mapping  $R$ . (See notation above.) By Theorem 5.7 we can consider  $P(n)$  as being imbedded in the interior of  $X$  so that  $R$  is equal to  $S$  on  $P(n)$ . Define  $K$  to be the decomposition space generated by the decomposition of  $X$  whose elements are the individual points of  $X - P(n)$  together with the set  $\{x, -x\}$ ,  $x \in P(n)$ . Let  $h: X \rightarrow K$  be the natural decomposition mapping. Define  $T = h \circ S \circ h^{-1}$ . Then  $T$  is a decomposition mapping of  $K$  onto  $K$  of period two. The transformation  $T$  leaves the sets  $\{x, -x\}$  fixed. These sets are homeomorphic to  $P^*(n)$ . Therefore, by Theorem 5.8 they are homeomorphic to  $P(n)$ . Moreover,  $S/P(n) = R$ , hence  $R: H_n(P(n); I) \rightarrow H_n(P^*(n); I)$  is an isomorphism onto for each  $n$ . Thus by Theorem 5.6  $H_n(K; I) \approx H_n(X; I)$  and since  $H_n(X; I)$  is homologically trivial,  $H_n(K; I)$  is also.

We now prove that  $K$  is simply connected. It will then follow by [10] that  $K$  is contractible. Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be disjoint copies of the 5-cube  $X$ . Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  denote the involution  $x \rightarrow -x$  in  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  respectively. Let  $P_1(n)$  and  $P_2(n)$  denote the copies of  $P(n)$  in  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  respectively. If  $x_1 \in X_2$ , let  $x_2$  be its copy in  $X_2$ . Form the decomposition of  $X_1 \cup X_2$  with elements that are individual points of  $X_i - P_i(n)$ , for  $i = 1, 2$  together with the sets  $\{x_1, x_2\}$ , for  $x_i \in P_i(n)$ . The resulting decomposition space  $X'$  is simply connected being the



union of two simply connected subcomplexes with a connected intersection. Moreover, there can be defined an involution  $S'$  on  $X'$  as follows: If  $x \in X_1$ , define  $S'$  on the element of  $X'$  determined by  $x_1$  to be the element of  $X'$  determined by  $S_2(x_2)$ ; similarly for points  $x_2 \in X_2$ . Points in the orbit decomposition space of the pair  $(X'; S')$  are of the form  $\{x_1, -x_2\}$  for  $s_1 \in X_1 - P_1(n)$  and  $[\{x_1, -x_2\}, \{-x_1, x_2\}]$  for  $x_1 \in P_1(n)$ . Now  $h: K \rightarrow X'$ , where  $h$  is a mapping such that  $h(x_1) = \{x_1, -x_2\}$  for  $x_1 \in X - P(n)$  and  $h\{x_1, -x_1\} = [\{x_1, -x_2\}, \{-x_1, x_2\}]$  for  $x_1 \in P(n)$ , is an homeomorphism from  $K$  to  $X'$ . The set  $S'$  has fixed points since  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  have fixed points and they must be copies of each other. Therefore the orbit decomposition space of  $(X', S')$  is simply connected by Theorem 5.9. Hence  $K$  is simply connected.

Example 2. Let  $G$  be a non-trivial abelian group. There exists a prime period  $p$  such that  $K$  is homologically trivial over  $G$  but such that the fixed point set  $L$  is not homologically trivial over  $G$ .

Proof. If  $P(3)$  is not homologically trivial over  $G$ , then the statement follows from Example 1. If  $P(3)$  is homologically trivial over  $G$ , then let  $K = P(3)$ , and define  $T((r, \theta)n) = (r, \theta + 2\pi/3)n$ . Then  $T$  is a periodic mapping of  $K$  onto  $K$  of period 3 whose fixed point set is the union of a point and a simple closed curve. The point is  $(0, 0)$ , and the simple closed curve is  $(r, \theta)$ ,  $r = 1$ .

Example 3. Let  $n$  be an odd integer. There exist a finite complex  $K$  and a periodic simplicial mapping  $T$  of  $K$  onto  $K$  of period two such that  $K$  has the homology groups of a 5-sphere (over the

integers) and such that the fixed point set of  $T$  is a union of a set  $M$  homeomorphic to  $P(n)$  and a point not on  $M$ .

Proof. Make the following changes in the construction of  $K$  in the proof of Example 1. Let  $X$  be 5-space compactified with a point at infinity so that  $X$  is a 5-sphere. Let  $S$  be the same as in Example 1, and consider  $P(n)$  as being embedded in  $X$ , just as in Example 1. Define  $K$ ,  $h$ , and  $T$  as before. Then  $K$  has the homology groups of a 5-sphere over the integers by Theorem 5.6. Moreover,  $T$  has a fixed point set  $h(\infty) \cup (hP(n))$ .

Example 4. Let  $G$  be the group of integers. There exist a prime number  $p$ , a finite complex  $K$ , and a periodic simplicial mapping  $T$  of  $K$  onto  $K$  of period  $p$  such that  $K$  has the homology groups of a sphere of some dimension over  $G$  but such that the fixed point set does not have the homology groups of a sphere of any dimension over  $G$ .

Proof. If  $P(3)$  is not homologically trivial over  $G$ , the conclusion follows from Example 3. Suppose, then, that  $P(3)$  is homologically trivial over  $G$ . Let  $P_1(3)$  and  $P_2(3)$  be disjoint copies of  $P(3)$ . Let  $A_1$  be the set of all  $(1, \theta) \in P_1(3)$  and let  $A_2$  be its copy in  $P_2(3)$ . In the set  $P_1(3) \cup P_2(3)$  identify a point  $a_1 \in A_1$  with its copy  $a_2$  in  $A_2$ . Call the result  $K$ . Then  $K$  is the union of two complexes that are homologically trivial over  $G$  and whose intersection is a simple closed curve. Hence  $K$  has the homology groups over  $G$  of a 2-sphere. On each  $P_i(3)$  define a mapping of period 3 as follows:  $(r, \theta) \mapsto (r, \theta + 2\pi/3)$ . These induce a mapping  $T$  of  $K$  onto  $K$  of period 3 whose fixed point set is the union of two points and a simple closed curve, which does not have the homology

groups of a sphere.

The above results also hold for  $G$  a non-trivial abelian group.

E. E. Floyd has shown in [8] that there exist a simply-connected homological 2-sphere,  $B$ , and a periodic mapping on  $B$  of period six (the lowest period not covered by Smith's Theorem) whose fixed point set is the disjoint union of two points and a simple closed curve.

The structure of  $L$  when the period of  $T$  is not a power of a prime is one of the unsolved problems. P. A. Smith originally thought that his results could be extended to an arbitrary period. Recall that most of the results in this thesis are obtained in a compact space. It is not known whether or not the compactness is necessary; however, it plays a major part in the proofs of the theorems.

## CHAPTER VI

### SUMMARY AND EDUCATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

This thesis presents a collection of mathematical research reports, each concerned with the fixed point property of a space mapped into itself by a periodic homomorphic transformation. A number of research findings are consolidated in this paper so that understandings in this area can be more accessible to students who might not have the skills necessary to read the technical mathematical journals. A brief history of the fixed point problem and topology in general is included for developing background in this general area. Discussions, explanations, and examples which illustrate the theory are given along with some unsolved problems.

#### Summary

Chapter I contains the statement of the problem and discussions on the justifications, procedures, limitations, and expected outcomes of the thesis. Chapter II, following a brief history of topology, presents definitions of the basic terms such as a homeomorphism, a periodic transformation, a Hausdorff space, and the fixed point property. Some theorems that can be proved by elementary methods are given at this point. Chapter III is a review of homology theory. It includes definitions and some results that are basic in the proofs of the theorems in

## Chapters IV and V.

Chapter IV contains a proof of the existence theorem which follows the one given by P. A. Smith in [17]. This theorem is one of the important results presented in this thesis. The existence theorem, in somewhat different form, has appeared in the journals at least three times and was one of the first results obtained. In Chapter V the set of fixed points of an  $n$ -sphere was classified for a periodic homeomorphic transformation of period  $q$ ,  $q$  a power of a prime. Examples are given to show that the same classification is not possible in general. Many results are obtained in Chapters IV and V that are not of primary importance in this thesis. These results are presented because the proofs of the major theorems are based upon them.

## Educational Implications

Since the study of mathematics is becoming increasingly widespread and the body of knowledge in all areas is expanding rapidly, a collection of the research done in any one area is needed, because it is time-consuming for each interested person to do the library research necessary to collect such information. A study such as this one, in addition to consolidating the research, presents the necessary background needed for understanding the problem and therefore brings this collection of knowledge to many students of mathematics.

As a result of reading this thesis, the student should gain an awareness of the current and past research in this modern branch of mathematics. He should become acquainted with men who have contributed to its research and development. It is of great educational signifi-

cance that the reader, who is a potential teacher at either the public school or the college level, may become sufficiently interested in this phase of mathematics to undertake serious study in this area. He may be challenged by the possibility of contributing to research in mathematics by extending the results given in this thesis and by suggesting solutions to the unsolved problems or by developing new properties of fixed points. The bibliography should be a valuable aid to anyone interested in the research of fixed point theory for periodic transformations.

# SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Alexandroff, P. and Hopf, H., Topologie, Berlin, 1935.
2. Bedgood, D. R., Properties of Fixed Point Spaces in Point Set Topology, Doctoral Dissertation, Stillwater, Oklahoma, 1964.
3. Cech, E., Theorie Generale de L'Homologie dans un Espace Quelconque, Fund. Math., Vol. 18 (1932), pp. 149-183.
4. Eilenberg, Samuel, On a Theorem of P. A. Smith Concerning Fixed Points for Periodic Transformations, Duke Math. J., Vol. 6 (1940), pp. 228-237.
5. ———, Sur les Transformations Periodiques de la Surface de Sphere, Fund. Math., Vol. 22 (1934), pp. 28-41.
6. Floyd, E. E., Examples of Fixed Point Sets of Periodic Maps, Ann. of Math., Vol. 55 (1952), pp. 167-172.
7. ———, On Related Periodic Maps, Amer. J. Math., Vol. 74 (1952), pp. 547-554.
8. ———, Examples of Fixed Point Sets of Periodic Maps, II, Ann. of Math., Vol. 55 (1952), pp. 167-172.
9. Haddock, A. G., Fixed Point Theorems, American Math. Monthly, Vol. 71 (1964), pp. 1095-1099.
10. Hurewicz, W., Beitrage Zur Topology der Deformationen. II Homotopie- und Homologie-gruppen, Proc. A. Kad. Amsterdam (1935), pp. 521-528.
11. Kelley, J. L., General Topology, New York: Van Nostrand, 1955.
12. Klee, V. L., Jr., Fixed-Point Sets of Periodic Homeomorphisms of Hilbert Spaces, Ann. of Math., Vol. 64 (1956), pp. 393-394.
13. Lefschetz, S., Introduction to Topology, New York: Princeton University Press, 1949.
14. ———, Algebraic Topology, American Math. Soc., Colloquium Publication, Vol. 27, 1942.

15. Newman, M. H. A., A Theorem on Periodic Transformations of Spaces, Quart. H., Vol. 94. (1925), pp. 39-41.
16. Smith, P. A., A Theorem on Fixed Points for Periodic Transformations, Ann. of Math., Vol. 35 (1934), pp. 572-578.
17. ———, Fixed Points for Periodic Transformations, American J. Vol. 63 (1941), pp. 1-8.
18. ———, Fixed Points for Periodic Transformations, Appendix B in S. Lefschetz Algebraic Topology, American Math. Soc., Colloquium Publications, Vol. 27, New York, 1945.
19. ———, Transformations of Finite Period, Ann. of Math., Vol. 39 (1938), pp. 127-164.
20. ———, Transformations of Finite Period, II, Ann. of Math., Vol. 40 (1939), pp. 690-771.
21. Whyburn, G. T., Analytic Topology, American Math. Soc., Colloquium Publications, Vol. 28, 1942.
22. Wilder, R. L., Topology of Manifolds, American Math. Soc., Colloquium Publications, Vol. 39, 1949.
23. ———, et al., American Mathematical Society Semicentennial Publication, Vol. 2 (1931), pp. 136.
24. Young, G. S. and Hocking, J. G., Topology, Reading, Massachusetts: Addison-Wesley Pub. Co., Inc., 1961.



## APPENDIX

### INDEX

- Acyclic, 44
- Augment, 23
- Boundary, 22
  - of a cycle, 22
  - of a 0-cycle, 23
  - group, 23
  - operator, 22
- Chain, 21
  - m-dimensional, 21
  - $\rho$ -chain, 51
  - $\rho I$ -chain, 51
  - group, 21
- Cech cycle, 27
  - coordinate of, 27
- Compact, 8
- Complex, 19
  - oriented, 20
- Continuous, 10
- Covering, 8
  - cofinal family, 28
  - special, 33
- Cycle, 22
  - 0-cycle, 22
  - $\rho$ -cycle, 52
  - $\rho I$ -cycle, 52
  - groups, 22
- Decomposition, 58, 74
- Dimension, 34
- Directed set, 62
- Examples, 11, 12, 13, 23, 73
- Finite dimensional, 44
- Fixed point property, 11
- Hausdorff space, 8
- Homological sphere, 71
- Homologies in L, 67
- Homology group, 23
  - $\rho$ -homology group, 54
  - relative, 26
- Homeomorphism, 10
- Homomorphic
  - mapping g, 55
  - mapping h, 55
- Incident number, 20
- Invariant, 30
- Invariant set, 30
- Inverse mapping system, 62
- Inverse system, 63
- Kernel, 20
- Limit space, 62
- Mapping, 10
  - one-to-one, 10
  - onto, 10
  - open, 10
  - continuous, 10
  - periodic, 11
- Nerve, 20
- n-sphere, 11
- Normal, 8
- Ordered, 62
- Operator
  - $\sigma$ -operator, 40
  - $\zeta$ -operator

- Partially ordered, 62
- Periodic function, 11
- Pointwise almost periodic function, 13
- Pointwise periodic function, 14
- Primitive, 30
- Projection, 26
  - of  $p$ -homology classes,
  - T-projection, 32
- Refinement, 26
- Regular, 31
- Simplex, 19
  - star of, 19
  - oriented, 20
- Simplicial, 30
- Simplicial complex, 19
  - oriented, 20
- System, 31
  - T-system, 31
  - primitive, 31
  - T-image, 30
  - T-covering, 31
- Topological group, 63
- Topological space, 8
- Topology, 8

VITA

JOHN L. KENT

Candidate for the Degree of  
Doctor of Education

Thesis: SPACES WITH THE FIXED POINT PROPERTY FOR PERIODIC  
TRANSFORMATIONS

Major Field: Mathematics

Biographical:

Personal Data: Born in Mt. Judea, Arkansas, January 13, 1934,  
the son of Burl D. and Edna Johnson Kent.

Education: Attended grade school in Mt. Judea, Arkansas, and  
Oakland, California, and was graduated from Mt. Judea High  
School, Mt. Judea, Arkansas, in 1952; attended Arkansas  
Polytechnic College, Russellville, Arkansas, from 1952 to  
1956; received the Bachelor of Science degree from Arkansas  
Polytechnic College, with a major in mathematics, in May,  
1956; received the Master of Science degree in mathematics  
from the University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, Arkansas, in  
June, 1960; completed requirements for the Doctor of Education  
degree at Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma, in  
August, 1965.

Professional experience: Served in the United States Army from  
1956 to 1958; teacher of mathematics at Harrison High School,  
Harrison, Arkansas, from 1958 to 1959; Graduate Assistant at  
the University of Arkansas, from 1959 to 1960; instructor of  
mathematics at Arkansas Polytechnic College, Russellville,  
Arkansas, from 1960 to 1962; Graduate Assistant at Oklahoma  
State University, from 1962 to 1964; Assistant Professor of  
mathematics at Arkansas State College, Jonesboro, Arkansas,  
from 1964 to 1965.

Organizations: Member of the Mathematical Association of America.