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## CHAPTER I

## DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS

## Introduction

Historically, dynamical systems developed from the qualitative theory of differential equations, and hence, is often considered to be a topic in the theory of differential equations. Some mathematicians prefer to consider dynamical systems as applied topology. Others consider dynamical systems to be an independent mathematical discipline. Due to the development of topological dynamics as an independent mathematical discipline, it seems feasible to consider dynamical systems as a topic of topological dynamics with results applicable to certain classes of differential equations. The author's point of view lies with the latter two concepts but is by no means fixed.

The theory of dynamical systems appears to have evolved from the pioneering studies of the topological properties of solutions of autonomus systems of ordinary differential equations with planar phase spaces by Poincare and Bendixson at the turn of the century. At about the same time Lyapunov introduced his theory of stability of motions. Birkhoff in his 1927 monograph "Dynamical Systems" was the first to undertake a systematic development of the theory and may well be considered the founder. The definition of an abstract dynamical system has been attributed to the independent works of Markov and Whitney in the early 1930's. In 1947, Nemytskii and Stepanov published their
"Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations" which contains a survey of differential dynamical systems. Much effort in the late 1940's and 1950's was directed toward generalizing the concept of a dynamical system to transformation groups. In 1955, Gottschalk and Hedlund published their book "Topological Dynamics" which surveys this work. The recent developments in dynamical systems are surveyed in four recent monographs. The major feature of Hajek's book, "Dynamical Systems in the P1ane," is the extension of the Poincare-Bendixson theory to dichotomic 2-manifolds. Although Bhatia and Szego give a very adequate survey of recent thought on dynamical systems in "Stability Theory of Dynamical Systems," the treatment is limited to metric spaces, Even though somewhat limited in scope, the notes "Theory of Dynamical Systems" and "Local Semi-Dynamical Systems" by Bhatia and Hajek present the major developments of modern dynamical systems in the most general form.

In [14] Ura introduced his theory of prolongations and pointed out its connection with stability theory. He suggested the importance of studying dynamical systems of characteristic $0^{+}$which are flows satisfying a certain stability criterion expressed in terms of prolongation. Ahmad classified such planar flows in [1] in terms of their critical points.

In this paper we characterize planar flows of characteristic $0^{+}$as well as flows satisfying the bilateral concept of characteristic 0 . In each case the characterization is given in terms of the set $S$ of critical points and is based on three mutually exclusive and exhaustive cases: $S=\emptyset, S$ nonempty and compact, and $S$ noncompact. Examples of dynamical systems of characteristic $0^{+}$satisfying ail of the properties obtained by Ahmad in [1] for the noncompact case are given
including one example which nontrivially satisfies every property. Examples of the nontrivial flows of characteristic 0 are given. Finally, as a consequence of the characterization theorem for flows of characteristic 0, we extend a well-known result from differential planar flows to arbitrary planar flows.

This study essentially completes the planar versions of the characteristic $0^{+}, 0^{-}, 0^{ \pm}$, and 0 problems.

## Basic Definitions and Notations

We shall denote the real numbers, nonnegative real numbers, nonpositive real numbers, and Euclidean plane with the usual topelogy by $R, R^{+}, R^{-}$, and $R^{2}$, respectively.

Definition 1.1: A pair ( $X, \pi$ ) consisting of a topological space $X$, called the phase space, and a continuous mapping $\pi: X \times R \rightarrow X$ from the product space $X \times R$ into $X$ is called a dynamical system or (continuous) flow whenever the following conditions are satisfied.
(1) Identity axiom: $\pi(x, 0)=x$ for each $x \in X$.
(2) Homomorphism axiom: $\pi(\pi(x, t), s)=\pi(x, t+s)$ for each $\mathrm{x} \varepsilon \mathrm{X}$ and $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{s} \varepsilon \mathrm{R}$.
(3) Continuity axiom: $\pi$ is continuous on $X \times R$.

Throughout this paper the phase space $X$ of a dynamical system ( $\mathrm{X}, \pi$ ) will be Hausdorff. We shall denote $\pi(x, t)$ by $x t$ for brevity.

Unless otherwise specified, we shall let. ( $X, \pi$ ) denote a fixed but arbitrary flow throughout this chapter. When we refer to a point or a set without mention of the location, then they are assumed to be in $X$.

Definition 1.2: For each $x, C(x)=x R=\{x t: t \varepsilon R\}, \quad C^{+}(x)=x R^{+}=$ $\left\{x t: t \in R^{+}\right\}$, and $C^{-}(x)=x R^{-}=\left\{x t: t \in R^{-}\right\}$are called the trajectory (or orbit), positive semi-trajectory, and negative semi-trajectory through $x$, respectively.

Let $F: X \rightarrow P(X)$ be a function from $X$ into the power set. $P(X)$ of $X$. We denote $\bigcup\{F(x): x \in M\}$ by $F(M)$ for any set $M$. When $F(M)$ is a singleton $\{x\}$, we write $F(M)=x$. If $M$ is a singleton $\{x\}$, we write $F(x)$.

Definition 1.3: A point $\mathbf{x}$ is called a critical or rest point if $C(x)=x$. If $C(x) \notin x$ but $x t=x$ for some $t>0$ then $x$ is called periodic.

Proposition 1.4: The set of all critical points in $X$ is closed. (See [7], I, p. 14 and [8], p.17.)

Remark: In each of the remaining sections of this chapter, we shall state definitions, propositions, and theorems which are basic to the development of the succeeding chapters. Since these results are all well-known, we shall not prove any of them; however, we shall give a reference for each. Several results are simple exercises; but they are included for completeness.

Almost every definition, proposition, and theorem in this chapter has a positive, negative, and bilateral version. Since the positive and negative versions are duals, it is customary to state only the positive versions of results. In this chapter, we shall state the positive versions except in definitions and shall usually nete when bilateral versions hold.

## Invariance

Definition 1.5: A set $M$ is called invariant if $C(M)=M$ and positively (negatively) invariant if $C^{+}(M)=M \quad\left(C^{-}(M)=M\right)$.

Proposition 1.6: A set $M$ is positively (bilaterally) invariant if and only if $X-M$ is negatively (bilaterally) invariant. Furthermore, M is positively (bilaterally) invariant if and only if each of its components is positively (bilaterally) invariant. (See [7], I, pp. 26-27 and [8], p.13.)

Proposition 1.7: If $\left\{M_{i}: i \varepsilon I\right\}$ is a family of positively (bilaterally) invariant sets, then $\circlearrowleft\left\{M_{i}: i \varepsilon I\right\}$ and $\bigcap\left\{M_{i}: i \varepsilon I\right\}$ are positively (bilaterally) invariant: (See [7], I, p. 26 and [8], p.12.)

We shall denote the boundary, interior, and closure of a set $M$ by $\quad \partial M, M^{\circ}$, and $\bar{M}$, respectively.

Proposition 1.8: If a set $M$ is positively (bilaterally) invariant, then $\bar{M}$ and $M^{0}$ are positively (bilaterally) invariant... (See [7], I, p. 27 and [8], p.13.)

Proposition 1.9: If a set $M$ is invariant, then $\partial M$ is invariant. The converse holds if $M$ is open or closed. (See [7], I, p. 28 and [8], p.13.)

For any simple closed curve $C$ in $R^{2}$ we shall denote the bounded and unbounded components of $R^{2}-C$ by int $C$ and ext $C$, respective$1 y$.

Theorem 1.10: Let $X=R^{n}$ be Euclidean $n$ space and let $M$ be a positively (bilaterally) invariant set homeomorphic to the closed unit ball in $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$. Then M contains a critical point. (See [7], $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{p} .30$. ) Corollary 1.11: Let $X=R^{2}$ and $x$ be a periodic point. Then int $C(x)$ contains a critical point. (See [11], p.175.)

Theorem 1.12: Let $X^{\prime}$ be an invariant subset of $X$ and $\pi^{\prime}=\pi / X^{\prime}$ (the restriction of $\pi$ to $X^{\prime}$ ). Then ( $X^{\prime}, \pi^{\prime}$ ) is a dynamical system. (See [7], I, p.32.)

## Limit Sets

For each $x$ we let $K(x)=\overline{C(x)}, K^{+}(x)=\overline{C^{+}(x)}$, and $K^{-}(x)=\overline{C^{-}(x)}$.

Proposition 1.13: For each $\mathbf{x}$,
(1) $\mathrm{K}^{+}(\mathrm{x})$ is closed and positively invariant;
(2) $K^{+}(x t) \subset K^{+}(x)$ for each $t \varepsilon R^{+}$, and
(3) $\cdot K(x)=K^{+}(x) \bigcup K^{-}(x)$.

The bilateral versions hold for (1) and (2). (See [6], p.50; [7], I, p. 30; and [8], pp.22-23.)

Definition 1.14: The positive (negative) limit set of $x$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L^{+}(x)=\left\{y: x t_{i} \rightarrow y \text { for some net } t_{i} \rightarrow+\infty\right\} \\
& \left(L^{-}(x)=\left\{y: x t_{i} \rightarrow y \text { for some net } t_{i} \rightarrow-\infty\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The limit set of $x$ is $L(x)=L^{+}(x) \bigcup L^{-}(x)$.

Proposition 1.15: For each $x$,
(I) $\mathrm{L}^{+}(\mathrm{x})=\bigcap\left\{\mathrm{K}^{+}(\mathrm{x} t): \mathrm{t} \varepsilon \mathrm{R}\right\}$,
(2) $\mathrm{L}^{+}(\mathrm{x})$ is closed and invariant,
(3) $L^{+}(x)=L^{+}(x t)$ for each $t \in R$,
(4) $\mathrm{K}^{+}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{C}^{+}(\mathrm{x}) \cup \mathrm{L}^{+}(\mathrm{x})$,
(5) $K(x)=L^{+}(x) \bigcup C(x) \bigcup L^{-}(x)$, and
(6) $K(x)=K(x t)$ for each $t \varepsilon R$.

The bilateral versions hold for (1) through (4). (See [6], p.50; [7], I, p.35; and [8], pp.22-23,58.)

We shall let $\eta(x)$ and $\eta(M)$ denote the neighborhood filters of the point $x$ and set $M$, respectively.

Definftion 1.16: A space $Y$ is called rim-compact if for each $y \in Y$ and $V \varepsilon \eta(y)^{\prime}$ there is a $U \in \eta(y)$ such that $U \subset V$ and $\partial U$ is compact.

Proposition 1.17: If $X$ is rim-compact, then for any point $x ; K^{+}(x)$ is compact if and only if. $L^{+}(x)$ is nonempty and compact. (See [6], p. 54 and [7], I, p.36.)

Theorem 1.18: Let $X$ be a subspace of $R^{2}$. Then, for any point $x$, $x \in L^{+}(x)$ if and only if $x$ is either periodic or critical. (See [13].)

Proposition 1.19: If $x$ is a point of $X=R^{2}$ and $L^{+}(x) \neq \emptyset$, then either $L^{+}(x)$ is a periodic trajectory or $L^{+}(y)$ and $L^{-}(y)$ consist of critical points for each $y \varepsilon L^{+}(x)$. (See [11], p.184.)

Theorem 1.20: Let $X$ be locally compact and $X^{*}=X \bigcup\{\infty\}$ be the one point compactification of. $X$. Then there is a uniquely determined dynamical system $\left(X^{*}, \pi^{*}\right)$ such that $\pi=\pi^{*} \mid X$; furthermore, $\infty$ is a critical point. (See [7], I, p.16.)

We shall refer to $\left(X^{*}, \pi^{*}\right)$ as the extended flow. For each point $x \in X^{*}$, we let $K^{*+}(x)$ and $L^{*+}(x)$ denote $\overline{C^{+}(x)}$ and the positive limit set relative to the extended flow, respectively: Similar notation will be used in the next section for prolongation sets and prolongational limit sets.

Proposition 1.21: Let $X$ be locally compact. For each $x \in X$,

$$
K^{*+}(x)= \begin{cases}K^{+}(x) & \text { if } K^{+}(x) \text { is compact } \\ K^{+}(x) \cup\{\infty\} & \text { if } K^{+}(x) \text { is not compact }\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
L^{*+}(x)= \begin{cases}L^{+}(x) & \text { if } L^{+}(x) \text { is compact } \\ L^{+}(x) \bigcup\{\infty\} & \text { if } L^{+}(x) \text { is not compact }\end{cases}
$$

Furthermore, the bilateral versions of these statements hold. (See [7], I, p.36.)

Prolongation

Definition 1.22: For each point $x$, the pasitive (negative) prolongation of $x$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D^{+}(x)=\left\{y \varepsilon X: x_{i} t_{i} \rightarrow y \text { for some nets } x_{i} \rightarrow x \text { and } t_{i} \geq 0\right\} \\
& \left(D^{-}(x)=\left\{y \varepsilon X: x_{i} t_{i} \rightarrow y \text { for some nets } x_{i} \rightarrow x \text { and } t_{i} \leq 0\right\}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The prolongation of $x$ is $D(x)=D^{+}(x) \bigcup D^{-}(x)$.

Proposition 1.23: For each $x$,
(1) $\mathrm{D}^{+}(\mathrm{x})$ is closed and positively invariant,
(2) ${D^{+}}^{+}(x t) \subset D^{+}(x)$ for each $t \in R^{+}$;
(3) $\mathrm{K}^{+}(\mathrm{x}) \backsim \mathrm{D}^{+}(\mathrm{x})$, and
(4) $D^{+}(x)=\bigcap\left\{\overline{C^{+}(M)}: M \varepsilon \eta(x)\right\}$.

The bilateral versions of these statements hold. (See [6], p.60; [7], I, pp.42-44; and [8], p.26.)

Definition 1.24: For each point $x$, the positive (negative) prolongational limit set of $x$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
J^{+}(x) & =\left\{y \in X: x_{i} t_{i} \rightarrow y \text { for some nets } x_{i} \rightarrow x \text { and } t_{i} \rightarrow+\infty\right\} \\
\left(J^{-}(x)\right. & \left.=\left\{y \varepsilon X: x_{i} t_{i} \rightarrow y \text { for some nets } x_{i} \rightarrow x \text { and } t_{i} \rightarrow-\infty\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The prolongational limit set of $x \cdot$ is $J(x)=J^{+}(x) \cup J^{-}(x)$.

Proposition 1.25: For each x ,
(1) $\mathrm{J}^{+}(\mathrm{x})$ is closed and invariant,
(2) $J^{+}(x t)=J^{+}(x)$ for each $t \in R$,
(3) $L^{+}(x) \subset J^{+}(x)$,
(4) $J^{+}(x)=\bigcap\left\{D^{+}(x t): t \varepsilon R\right\}$, and
(5) ${ }^{\prime} D^{+}(x)=C^{+}(x) \cup J^{+}(x)$.

The bilateral versions of these statements hold. (See [6], p.60; [7], I, pp.44-45; and [8], pp. 26;58.)

Proposition 1.26: If y $\varepsilon K(x)$, then $J^{+}(x) . \square J^{+}(y)$. (See [6], p. 72 and [7], I, p.51.)

Proposition 1.27: If $y, z \varepsilon L^{+}(x)$, then': $y \in J^{+}(z) . \quad$ (See [6], p.71.)

Proposition 1.28: $y \varepsilon D^{+}(x)$ if and only if $x \in D^{-}(y)$. Moreover, $y \in J^{+}(x)$ if and only if $x \in J^{-}(y)$. (See [7], I, p.46; [8], p.29; and [14], p.127.)

Theorem 1.29: Let $X$ be locally compact and $x \in X$. If $D^{+}(x)$ is compact, then it is connected. Furthermore, if $D^{+}(x)$ is not connected, then it has no compact components. The bilateral versions of these statements hold. (See [7], I, pp.46-48 and [8], pp.26-27.)

Proposition 1.30: Let $X$ be locally compact. Then

$$
D^{*+}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
D^{+}(x) & \text { if } D^{+}(x) & \text { is compact } \\
D^{+}(x) & \{\infty\} & \text { if } D^{+}(x) \\
\text { is not compact }
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
J^{*+}(x)= \begin{cases}J^{+}(x) & \text { if } J^{+}(x) \text { is compact } \\ J^{+}(x) \bigcup\{\infty\} & \text { if } J^{+}(x) \text { is not compact. }\end{cases}
$$

The bilateral versions of these statements hold. (See [7], I, pp.45-46.)

Definition 1.31: A set $M$ is called positively d-invariant or $\mathrm{d}^{+}$-invariant if $\mathrm{D}^{+}(\mathrm{M})=\mathrm{M}$. The negative and bilateral versions are defined similarly.

Proposition 1.32: Let $\left\{M_{i}: i \varepsilon I\right\}$ be a family of $d^{+}$-invariant (d-invariant) sets. Then $\bigcup\left\{M_{i}: i \varepsilon I\right\}$ and $\bigcap\left\{M_{i}: i \varepsilon I\right\}$ are $d^{+}$-invariant (d-invariant). (See [7], II, p.3.)

Proposition 1.33: If $X$ is locally compact, then a compact subset is $d^{+}$-invariant (d-invariant) if and only if each of its components is such. (See [7], II, p.4.)

Proposition 1.34: A set $M$ is $d^{+}$-invariant (d-invariant) if and only if $X-M$ is $d^{-}$-invariant (d-invariant). (See [7], II, p.4.)

## Dispersion and Parallelizability

$\cdots$
Definition 1.35: A point $x$ is called dispersive if $J^{+}(x)=\emptyset$. The flow ( $\mathrm{X}, \pi$ ) is called dispersive if each of its points is dispersive.

Theorem 1.36: The flow ( $\mathrm{X}, \pi$ ) is dispersive if and only if $D^{+}(x)=C^{+}(x)$ for each $x \varepsilon X$ and there are no periodic or critical points. (See [7], I, p. 79 and [8], pp. 44 ,47.)

Definition 1.37: Two flows ( $X, \pi$ ) and ( $X^{\prime}, \pi^{\prime}$ ) are dynamically isomorphic if and only if there exists a homeomorphism $f: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ such that $f(x t)=f(x) t$ for each $x \in X$ and $t \in R$. Let $g: X \rightarrow Y$ be $a$ homeomorphism from $X$ to $X$. We call (Y, $\pi^{\prime \prime}$ ) where $\pi^{\prime \prime}(y, t)=$ $g\left(\pi\left(g^{-1}(y), t\right)\right)$ for each $y \varepsilon Y$ and $t \varepsilon R$ the flow induced on $Y$ from (X, $\pi$ ) by: g.

Definition 1.38: A dynamical system ( $Y \times R, \pi^{\prime}$ ) is called parallel if and only if $(y, s) t=(y, s+t)$ for each $y \varepsilon Y$ and $s, t \varepsilon R$.

Definition 1.39: The flow $(X, \pi)$ is called parallelizable if and only if it is dynamically isomorphic to a parallel flow.

Theorem 1.40: If $X$ is a locally compact separable metric space, then ( $\mathrm{X}, \pi$ ) is parallelizable if and only if it is dispersive. (See [3], p. 548 and [5], p.91.)

Stability

Definition 1.41: A set $M$ is called positively stable if for every $U \varepsilon \eta(M)$ there exists a $V \varepsilon \eta(M)$ such that $C^{+}(V) \subset U$ (or equivalently, such that $V \subset U$ and $\left.C^{+}(V)=V\right)$. The negative and bilateral versions are defined in the obvious manner.

It is customary to drop the adjective "positive" but never the adjectives "negative" or "bilateral" when referring to stability. We shall adopt this procedure. We shall refer to a point $\mathbf{x}$ as (negatively, bilaterally) stable if $\{x\}$ is such.

The bilateral versions of the next seven results hold. This fact is stated in Theorem 1.46 for emphasis.

Proposition 1.42: Every open positively invariant set is stable. (See [7.]. II, p.6.)

Proposition 1.43: The union of stable sets is stable: (See [7], II, p.6.)

Proposition 1.44: If $M$ is stable, then $M$ is positively invariant. (See [7], II, p. 6 and [8], p.60.)

Proposition 1.45: Let $X$ be regular and $M$ be a closed set. If $M$ is stable then it is $\mathrm{d}^{+}$-invariant. (See [7], II, p.8.)

Theorem 1.46: Let $X$ be locally compact and $\partial M$ be compact. Then $M$ is stable (negatively stable) if and only if $D^{+}(M)=M \quad\left(D^{-}(M)=M\right)$. Furthermore, $M$ is bilaterally stable if and only if $D(M)=M$. (See [6], p.77; [7], II, p.8; and [14], p.127.)

Proposition 1.47: If $X$ is locally compact, then a compact set $M$ is stable if and only if each of its components is such. (See [7], II, p. 10 and [8], p.62.)

Proposition 1.48: If each component of a set $M$ is a stable set, then so is $M$. Conversely, if $M$ is compact and stable, then so is each of its components. (See [7], II, p.13.)

Attraction and Asymptotic Stability

Unless otherwise specified, we shall consider $R$ to be a directed set directed by the usual order. Thus, for each x , the mapping $\pi$ with $x$ fixed is a net on $R$ which we shall denote by ( $x t$ ). The statement " $(x t)$ is ultimately in $V^{\prime \prime}$ means that there exists a $T \varepsilon R$ such that $x t \in V$ for $t \geq T$. Similarly, the negative net is defined relative to the reverse of the usual order on $R$.

The bilateral versions of the results which follow are valid through Proposition 1.56.

Definition 1.49: For any set $M$ and point $x$ we say $x$ is positively attracted to $M$ if and only if for any $V \in \eta(M)$ there exists a $T(V) \varepsilon R^{+}$such that $x t \varepsilon ; V$ whenever $t \geq T(V)$. The negative version is defined relative to the negative net ( xt ) and the bilateral version is the conjunction of the positive and negative versions.

We shall drop the adjective "positive" as we did for stability. If $M=\{p\}$, then we say $x$ is attracted to $p$ rather than $\{p\}$.

Definition 1.50: If $M$ is a set, then $A^{+}(M)=\{x \in X: x$ is attracted to MJ. The negative and bilateral versions are defined similarly and denoted by $A^{-}(M)$ and $A(M)$, respectively.

Proposition 1.51: If $x$ is a point and $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are sets, then
(1) $x \in A^{+}\left(M_{1}\right)$ if and only if $C(x) \subset A^{+}\left(M_{1}\right)$,
(2) $M_{1} \subset M_{2}$ implies $A^{+}\left(M_{1}\right) \subset A^{+}\left(M_{2}\right)$,
(3) $C\left(M_{1}\right)=M_{1}$ implies $M_{1} \subset A^{+}\left(M_{1}\right)$, and
(4) $A^{+}\left(M_{1}\right) \cup A^{+}\left(M_{2}\right) \subset A^{+}\left(M_{1} \cup M_{2}\right)$.
(See [7], II, pp.17,25,26 and [6], p.81.)

Theorem 1.52: Let $M$ be a closed set with $\partial M$ compact. If $X \varepsilon A^{+}(M)$, then either ( $x t$ ) is ultimately in $M$ or $\emptyset \neq L^{+}(x) \subset M$. The converse holds whenever $X$ is rim-compact. (See [6], p.84.)

Definition 1.53: A set $M$ is called an attractor whenever $A^{+}(M) \varepsilon \eta(M)$. The term global attractor is used in case $A^{+}(M)=X$. The negative and bilateral versions are defined similarly.

Proposition 1.54: A set $M$ is an attractor if and only if $A^{+}(M)$ is the smallest open invariant set containing. M. (See [6], p. 81 and [7], II, pp.27-28.)

Proposition 1.55: Let $M$ be an attractor. Then every set $M_{1}$ with $M \subset M_{1} \subset A^{+}(M)$ is also an attractor and $A^{+}\left(M_{1}\right)=A^{+}(M)$. (See [7], II, p.27.)

Proposition 1.56: For any set $M, J^{+}\left(A^{+}(M)\right) \subset J^{+}(M)$. (See [6], p. 90 and [7], II, p. 32. )

Definition 1.57: A set $M$ is called asymptotically stable if and only if it is a stable attractor. The negative and bilateral versions are defined similarly.

Theorem 1.58: If $X=R^{2}$ and $x$ is a periodic point of $X$ with $G$ either of the components of $X-C(x)$, then either
(1) relative to $\bar{G}, C(x)$ is asymptotically stable or negatively asymptotically stable, and there exists a $V \varepsilon \eta(C(x))$ such that $V \bigcap G$ contains no periodic points; or
(2) $C(x)$ is bilaterally stable relative to $\bar{G}$, and for each $V \varepsilon \eta(C(x))$ there exists a periodic trajectory in $V \bigcap G$. (See [11], p.196.)

Theorem 1.59: If $X=R^{2}$ and $x$ is an isolated stable critical point, then $x$ satisfies precisely one of the following conditions.
(1) $x$ is a focus ( $x \in L^{+}(y)$ for some point $\left.y \neq x\right)$.
(2) $x$ is a Poincaré center (there is a neighborhood of periodic points surrounding $x$ ).
(3) $x$ is a center focus (every neighborhood of $x$ contains both periodic and nonperiodic trajectories).
(See [11], p.198.)

Flows of Characteristic $0^{+}, 0^{-}, 0^{ \pm}$, and 0

Definition 1.60: The flow $(X, \pi)$ is said to have characteristic $0^{+}$ $\left(0^{-}\right)$if and only if $D^{+}(x)=K^{+}(x) \quad\left(D^{-}(x)=K^{-}(x)\right)$ for each $x \in X$. If the flow has both characteristic $0^{+}$and $0^{-}$, then it is said to have characteristic $0^{ \pm}$. The flow has characteristic 0 if and only if $D(x)=K(x)$ for each $x \varepsilon X$.

Theorem 1.61: The flow $(X, \pi)$ has characteristic $0^{+}$if and only if $J^{+}(x)=L^{+}(x)$ for each $x \in X$. The negative and bilateral versions hold. (See [6], p.138.)

As we have already noted in the first section, the concepts of characteristics $0^{+}, 0^{-}$, and $0^{ \pm}$were introduced by Ahmad in [1] where he classified such planar flows and characterized planar flows of characteristic $0^{ \pm}$.

In [1] Ahmad defined a point $\mathbf{x}$ to be attracted to a closed invariant set $M$ if $\emptyset \neq L^{+}(x) \subset M$. This is not equivalent to Definition 1.49. However, under suitable conditions the definitions are equivalent. Since we shall use the results of [1] in this paper, we show by means of the following proposition that the definitions are equivalent for the sets and spaces which we study.

Proposition 1.62: Let $X$ be regular and let $M$ be a closed stable set with $\emptyset \neq L^{+}(x)$ for each point $x$ in $M$. Then, $y \varepsilon A^{+}(M)$ if and only if $\emptyset \neq L^{+}(y) \subset M$. The negative and bilateral versions hold.

Proof: Let $y \varepsilon X$ such that $\emptyset \neq L^{+}(y) \subset M$ and let $U \varepsilon \eta(M)$. Then there exists a $V \varepsilon \eta(M)$ such that $C^{+}(V)=V \subset U$. Since
$L^{+}(y) \subset V$, the net (yt) must eventually be in $V$. The invariance of $V$ implies ( $y t$ ) is ultimately in $U$.

Conversely, let $y \in A^{+}(M)$. By virtue of the regularity of $X$, there exist disjoint neighborhoods $U \varepsilon \eta(M)$ and $V \varepsilon \eta(z)$ for any $z \notin M$. The stability of $M$ implies that ( $y t$ ) is ultimately in $U$, so that $z \notin L^{+}(y)$. Hence, $\emptyset \neq \mathrm{L}^{+}(\mathrm{y}) \subset \mathrm{M}$.

The negative and bilateral versions follow similarly. The proof is complete.

The definition of attraction in [1] is applied to sets and spaces satisfying the criterion of Proposition 1.62. Consequently, the results obtained in [1] are valid with respect to Definition 1.49.

The following theorem is a compilation of the results obtained in [1] for planar flows of characteristic $0^{+}$.

Theorem 1.63: Let $\left(R^{2}, \pi\right)$ be of characteristic $0^{+}$and $S$ be the set of critical points. Then one of the following results.
(1) $S=\emptyset$ and the flow is parallelizable.
(2) $S$ is compact and one of the following holds.
(a) S consists of a global Poincaré center.
(b) $S$ consists of a local Poincaré center $s$. The set $N$ consisting of $s$ and the periodic orbits surrounding $s$ is a globally asymptotically stable simply connected continuum.
(c) $S$ is a globally asymptotically stable simply connected continuum.
(3) $S$ is unbounded and $S=R^{2}$.
(4) $S$ is unbounded and the following hold.
(a) $R^{2}-S$ is unbounded.
(b) S is asymptotically stable.
(c) $A^{+}(S)$ has a countable number of components each being homeomorphic to $R^{2}$ and unbounded.
(d) $A^{+}\left(S_{0}\right)$ is a component of $A^{+}(S)$ if and only if $S_{0}$ is a component of $S$.
(e) For each component $S_{0}$ of $S, \partial A^{+}\left(S_{0}\right)$ consists of a countable number of trajectories $C(x)$ such that $L^{ \pm}(x)=\emptyset$.
(f) $S$ has a countable number of components, each being noncompact and simply connected.
(g) For each $s \varepsilon \partial S$ there is a nonperiodic noncritical point $y$ with $L^{+}(y)=\{s\}$.
(h) For each $x \in R^{2}, L^{+}(x)$ is either empty or consists of a single rest point. Further, $L^{+}(x)=\emptyset$ for all $x \in R^{2}-A^{+}(S)$ and $L^{-}(x)=\emptyset$ for all $x \in R^{2}-S$.

Corollary 1.64: Under the conditions of Theorem 1.63 part (4)(e) we have $\partial A^{+}\left(S_{0}\right)$ dispersive.

Proof: By Theorem 1.61, $\mathrm{J}^{+}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{L}^{+}(\mathrm{x})=\emptyset$ for each $\mathrm{x} \varepsilon \partial \mathrm{A}^{+}\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)$.

The following theorem based on Theorem 5.1 of [1] characterizes planar flows of characteristic $0^{ \pm}$.

Theorem 1.65: A flow $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}, \pi\right)$ has characteristic $0^{ \pm}$if and only if one of the following holds where $S$ is the set of critical points.
(1) $S=\emptyset$ and the flow is parallelizable.
(2) $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{R}^{2}$.
(3) S consists of a global Poincare center.

# DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS OF CHARACTERISTIC $0^{+}$ 

## Characterization of Planar Flows of Characteristic $0^{+}$

Throughout this chapter we shall denote the set of rest points for a given flow $\left(R^{2}, \pi\right)$ by $S$.

The following proposition is given in order to sharpen Theorem 2.2 which completely characterizes dynamical systems of characteristic $0^{+}$ on the plane. Dual results hold for flows of characteristic $0^{-}$.

Proposition 2.1: Let $\left(R^{2}, \pi\right)$ be a flow and $S=\left\{s_{0}\right\}$ where $s_{0}$ is a local Poincaré center. If the set $N=\left\{x \varepsilon R^{2}: x\right.$ is periodic.or critical\} is a connected global attractor, then $N=\overline{\text { int } C(x)}$ for some point $x$ in $N$ and $N$ is globally asymptotically stable.

Proof: Suppose that for some point $p$ of $N$ we have int $C(p) \not \subset N$. If $y \varepsilon$ (int $C(p))-N$, then $C(y) \square$ int $C(p)$. There is a point $z$ in $N-S$ such that $y \in(\operatorname{int} C(p))$ - int $C(z)$ since $s_{0}$ is a Poincare center. Now $L^{+}(y) \subset \overline{\text { int } C(p)}-$ int $C(z)$ and $s_{0} \varepsilon$ int $C(z)$ (see Corollary 1.11), so that $L^{+}(y) \neq \emptyset$ and $L^{+}(y) \cap S=\emptyset$. Thus, $L^{+}(y)$ is a períodic orbit (see Proposition 1:19). The component $G$ of $R^{2}-L^{+}(y)$ to which $y$ belongs does not contain periodic points near $L^{+}(y)$ (see Theorem 1.58). Thus, if $G=$ int $L^{+}(y)$, then there is a simple closed curve $C$ such that $\bar{G}($ int $C$ and
$\overline{(\text { int } C}-\bar{G}) \bigcap N=\emptyset . \quad$ Since the connected set $N$ meets int $C$ and ext C it meets $C$ which is absurd. Similarly, $G=\operatorname{ext} L^{+}(y)$ leads to a contradiction. Hence, int $C(p) \subset N$.

Next, we show that $N$ is compact. Suppose, that $N \bigcap \partial N=\emptyset$. Then $N$ is an open invariant set, and hence, $N=A^{+}(N)=R^{2}$ (see Proposition 1.54) which is a contradiction. Hence, $N \cap \partial N \neq \emptyset$. Let $x \in N \bigcap \partial N$. If $y \varepsilon N-$ int $C(x)$, then int $C(x) \subset$ int $C(y)$. Since int $C(y) \subset \mathrm{N}^{0}$ and $C(x) \subset \partial N$ we have $C(x)=C(y)$. Hence, $N=\overline{\operatorname{int} C(x)}$.

Finally, $N$ is stable (see Theorem 1.58) and $A^{+}(N)=R^{2}$, so that it is globally asymptotically stable. The proof is complete.

In case ( $i$ ) of the following theorem we characterize flows of characteristic $0^{+}$which have a compact set of rest points. Parts (a), (b), and (c) of case (i) are mutually exclusive and exhaustive. Properties ( $i$ ) (a) and (i).(b) characterize those flows where $S$ is empty (we regard $\emptyset$ as compact) and $S$ consists of exactly one Poincare center, respectively. All other flows are characterized by property (i)(c).

Theorem 2.2: A flow $\left(R^{2}, \pi\right)$ is of characteristic $0^{+}$if and only if either case ( $i$ ) or ( $i i$ ) is satisfied.
(i) S is compact and one of the following holds.
(a) $\left(R^{2}, \pi\right)$ is parallelizable.
(b) $S=\left\{s_{0}\right\}$ where $s_{0}$ is either a global Poincare center or a local Poincaré center such that the set $N$ consisting of $s_{0}$ and all the periodic points is a connected global attractor.
(c) $\{s\}$ is stable for each $s \in \partial S$ and $S$ is a global attractor.
(ii) $S$ is not compact and each of the following holds.
(a) \{s\} is stable for each $s \varepsilon \partial S$.
(b) Each $x \in \partial A^{+}(S)$ is dispersive.
(c) The flow restricted to $\mathrm{R}^{2}-\overline{A^{+}(S)}$ is parallelizable.

Proof: By virtue of Theorem 1.63, the properties in case (i) are necessary. Parallelizability of. $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}, \pi\right)$ requires that $\mathrm{D}^{+}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{K}^{+}(\mathrm{x})$ for each $x \in R^{2}$ in view of Theorems 1.36 and 1.40 since $K^{+}(x) \subset D^{+}(x)=C^{+}(x)$; therefore, property (i) (a) is also sufficient. Next, if property (i) (b) holds, then Theorem 1.58 and Proposition 2.1 infer that every periodic or critical orbit $C(x)$ is stable, and hence, $D^{+}(x)=D^{+}(C(x))=C(x)=K^{+}(x)$ (see Proposition 1.23 and Theorem 1.46). Furthermore, Proposition 2.1 implies that $L^{+}(x)=\partial N$ for each $x \in R^{2}-N$ where $N$ is the set of periodic and critical points. Also $J^{+}(x) \subset D^{+}(N)=N$ (see Proposition 1.56 and Theorem 1.46) and $J^{+}(x) \bigcap N^{o}=\emptyset$, so that we must have $J^{+}(x)=L^{+}(x)$. Hence, property (i) (b) is sufficient (see Theorem 1.61). Finally, suppose that (i) (c) holds. Then $D^{+}(s)=K^{+}(s)$ for any point $s$ in $S$ since $\{s\}$ is stable (see Theorem 1.46). For any point $x$ in $A^{+}(S)-S$ we have $\emptyset \neq L^{+}(x)\left[s\right.$ by virtue of Proposition 1.62. If $s, s_{1} \in L^{+}(x)$, then $s_{1} \varepsilon D^{+}(s)=\{s\}$ (see Proposition 1.27). Thus, $\{s\}=L^{+}(x) \subset J^{+}(x) \subset J^{+}(s)=L^{+}(s)=\{s\} \quad$ (see Proposition 1.26), and hence, $L^{+}(x)=J^{+}(x)$. The proof of case ( $i$ ) is now complete.

The necessity of case (ii) follows from Theorem 1.63 and Corollary 1.64, and so we assume that (ii) holds. For any point $s$ in $S$, $D^{+}(s)=\{s\}=K^{+}(s)$. No point of $S$ is in $\partial A^{+}(S)$ since $J^{+}(s) \neq \emptyset$
for each point $s$ in $S$. Thus, $S$ is an attractor. By an argument similar to the one used to prove the sufficiency of property (i).(c) we obtain $L^{+}(x)=J^{+}(x)$ for, each point $x$ in $A^{+}(S)-S$. For any point $x$ in $\partial A^{+}(S)$ we have $J^{+}(x)=\varnothing$, so that $L^{+}(x)=J^{+}(x)$. The parallelizability of the flow restricted to $R^{2}-\overline{A^{+}(S)}$ implies that, relative to $R^{2}-\overline{A^{+}(S)}, D^{+}(x)=K^{+}(x)$ for each point $x$ in $R^{2}-\overline{A^{+}(S)}$ (see Theorems 1.36 and 1.40). Since $R^{2}-\overline{A^{+}(S)}$ is open we also have $D^{+}(x)=K^{+}(x)$. The proof of the theorem is complete.

The following four examples show that all conditions given in properties ( $i$ ) (b) and ( $i$ ) (c) are needed. Since ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}, \pi$ ) is parallelizable if and only if it is dispersive (see Theorem 1.40) property (i) (a) cannot be weakened.

Example 2.3: The flow defined by the system of differential equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{r}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } 0 \leq r \leq 1 \\
(r-1) \ln (r-1) & \text { for } 1<r<2 \\
2 r \ln \frac{2}{r} & \text { for } 2 \leq r\end{cases} \\
& \dot{\theta}=1 \text { for } r \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

(see Figure 2.1) shows that the connectedness of $N$ in property ( $i$ ) (b) is necessary. Note that $A^{+}(N)=R^{2}$ but, since $J^{+}((2,0)) \neq L^{+}((2,0))$, the flow is not of characteristic $0^{+}$.

Example 2.4: The system of differential equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{r}=-r^{2} \sin \theta \\
& \dot{\theta}=1
\end{aligned}
$$
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for $r \geq 0$ defines a flow for which $N$ is connected but. $A^{+}(N) \neq R^{2}$ (see Figure 2.2). Since $J^{+}(x)=C(x)$ and $L^{+}(x)=\emptyset$ for any point $x$ in $\partial N$, the flow is not of characteristic $0^{+}$.

Example 2.5: The dynamical system defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{r}= \begin{cases}(r-1) \ln (1-r) & \text { for } 0 \leq r<1 \\
-r \ln r & \text { for } 1 \leq r\end{cases} \\
& \dot{\theta}=0 \text { for } r \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

(see Figure 2.3) does not satisfy the stability condition of property (i) (c) since $\{(0,0)\}$ is not stable. However, the set of rest points is a global attractor. Note that $\mathrm{J}^{+}((0,0)) \not \not \mathrm{L}^{+}((0,0))$ which implies that the flow is not of characteristic $0^{+}$.
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Example 2.6: For the flow ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}, \pi$ ) defined by the system of differedtaal equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{r}= \begin{cases}(1-r) \ln (1-r) & \text { for } 0 \leq r<1 \\
-r \ln r & \text { for } 1 \leq r\end{cases} \\
& \dot{\theta}=1 \text { for } r \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

(see Figure 2.4) each point of $\partial S$ is stable but $A^{+}(S) \neq R^{2}$ and $J^{+}((1,0)) \neq L^{+}((1,0))$, whence global attraction is necessary in property (i) (c).


Figure 2.4

The fact that we cannot weaken the noncompact case for $S$ in Theorem 2.2 follows by virtue of the next three examples.

Example 2.7: The flow $\left(R^{2}, \pi\right)$ defined by the system of differential equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{r}= \begin{cases}r(1-r) & \text { for } 0 \leq r \leq 1 \\
0 & \text { for } 1<r\end{cases} \\
& \dot{\theta}=0 \text { for } r \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

(see Figure 2.5) satisfies properties (ii) (b) and (ii) (c) vacuously since. $S$ is a global attractor. However, the point $(0,0)$ in $\partial S$ is not stable. Since $J^{+}((0,0)) \neq L^{+}((0,0))$, the flow is not of characteristic $0^{+}$.
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Example 2.8: Define a flow ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}, \pi$ ) by the system of differential equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{x}= \begin{cases}x & \text { for } x^{2} y^{2} \geq 1 \text { and } y>0 \\
2 x^{3} y^{2}-x & \text { for } x^{2} y^{2}<1 \text { and } y>0 \\
-x & \text { for } y \leq 0\end{cases} \\
& \dot{y}= \begin{cases}-y & \text { for } y>0 \\
0 & \text { for } y \leq 0\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

(see Figure 2.6 and [4], p.118). Each point of $S$ is stable and, since $J^{+}(x)=\emptyset$ for each point $x$ in $R^{2}-\overline{A^{+}(S)}$, the flow restricted to $R^{2}-\overline{A^{+}(S)}$ is parallelizable. Thus, properties (ii)(a) and (ii)(c) are satisfied. However, for any point $p$ in $\partial A^{+}(S)=\left\{(x, y): x^{2} y^{2}=1\right.$ and $y>0\}$ we have $(0,0) \& J^{+}(p)$ which implies that $p$ is not
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dispersive, and hence, that property (ii)(b) is not satisfied. Note that $J^{+}(p) \neq \emptyset=L^{+}(p)$, and so the flow is not of characteristic $0^{+}$.


Figure 2.7

Example 2.9: If we define a dynamical system ( $\left.\mathrm{R}^{2}, \pi\right)$ by the system of differential equations

$$
\dot{x}= \begin{cases}\frac{\pi}{2} \sin \mathrm{y} & \text { for } \frac{3 \pi}{2} \leq \mathrm{y} \\ -\frac{\pi}{2} & \text { for } \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \mathrm{y}<\frac{3 \pi}{2} \\ -\mathrm{y} & \text { for } 0 \leq \mathrm{y}<\frac{\pi}{2} \\ 0 & \text { for } \mathrm{y}<0\end{cases}
$$

$$
\dot{y}= \begin{cases}\frac{\pi}{2} \cos ^{2} y & \text { for } \frac{3 \pi}{2} \leq y \\ 0 & \text { for } \frac{\pi}{2} \leq y<\frac{3 \pi}{2} \\ -y \cos ^{2} y & \text { for } 0 \leq y<\frac{\pi}{2} \\ 0 & \text { for } y<0\end{cases}
$$

(see Figure 2.7 and [12], pp.30-31), then case (ii) is satisfied except for property (ii) (c). Each point of $S$ is stable and, since $J^{+}(p)=\emptyset$ for any point $p$ in $\partial A^{+}(S)$, each point of $\partial A^{+}(S)$ is dispersive. The flow restricted to $R^{2}-\overline{A^{+}(S)}$ is not parallelizable because $J^{+}\left(\left(0, \frac{3 \pi}{2}\right)\right) \neq \emptyset$. Since $J^{+}\left(\left(0, \frac{3 \pi}{2}\right)\right) \neq \mathrm{L}^{+}\left(\left(0, \frac{3 \pi}{2}\right)\right)$ the f1ow is not of characteristic $0^{+}$.

Examples of Flows of Characteristic $0^{+}$

The purpose of this section is to present nontrivial examples of dynamical systems of characteristic $0^{+}$on the plane. Since flows of characteristic $0^{+}$having compact sets of rest points are easily found, we will give examples for which the sets of rest points are not compact. In particular, the examples will satisfy the statements (a) through (h) in case (4) of Theorem 1.63 and Corollary 1.64.

Note that Example 2.8 satisfies all of the conditions given in case (4) of Theorem 1.63. However, it is not of characteristic $0^{+}$. According to Theorem 2.2 the condition given in Corollary 1.64 must be satisfied in order for a flow to have characteristic $0^{+}$.

The first three examples lead us to Example 2.13 which nontrivially satisfies all eight statements of Theorem 1.63 case (4) and Coro1lary 1.64.

Example 2.10: The flow defined by the system of differential equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{x}= \begin{cases}2 n \pi-y & \text { for } \frac{4 n-1}{2} \pi \leq y \leq \frac{4 n+1}{2} \pi \\
y-(2 n+1) \pi & \text { for } \frac{4 n+1}{2} \pi<y<\frac{4 n+3}{2} \pi\end{cases} \\
& \dot{y}= \begin{cases}(2 n \pi-y) \cos ^{2} y & \text { for } \frac{4 n-1}{2} \pi \leq y \leq \frac{4 n+1}{2} \pi \\
{[(2 n+1) \pi-y] \cos ^{2} y} & \text { for } \frac{4 n+1}{2} \pi<y<\frac{4 n+3}{2} \pi\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$ nontrivially satisfies all of the statements in case (4) of Theorem 1.63 except (e). Figure 2.8 illustrates the trajectories for $n=0$.
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Example 2.11: We give this example in order to facilitate the construction of Example 2.12.

The flow defined by the system of differential equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{x}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } \frac{\pi}{2}<y \\
\frac{\pi}{2}-y & \text { for } 0 \leq y \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \\
\frac{\pi}{2} & \text { for } y<0\end{cases} \\
& \dot{y}= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-y\right) \sin ^{2} y & \text { for } 0 \leq y \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \\
0 & \text { for } y<0, \frac{\pi}{2}<y\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Is of characteristic $0^{+}$and its trajectories are illustrated in Figure 2.9.


Figure 2.9

Example 2.12: The flow defined in this example nontrivially satisfies statement (4) (e) of Theorem 1.63. We will induce it on $R^{2}$ by means of homeomorphisms from the phase plane of the flow of Example 2.11 .

Let $a=\frac{\pi(2+\pi)}{4}$ and $I_{n}=((2 n-1) a,(2 n+1) a)$ for $n=0$, $\pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$. For each such $n$ define mappings $f_{n}: R^{2} \rightarrow I_{n} \times R$ by

$$
f_{n}(x, y)= \begin{cases}\left(\tan ^{-1} x+2 n a, \sqrt{\left.1+x^{2}-y\right)}\right. & \text { for } y<0 \\ \left((1+y) \tan ^{-1} x+2 n a, \sqrt{1+x^{2}}-y\right) & \text { for } 0 \leq y \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \\ \left(\left(1+\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \tan ^{-1} x+2 n a, \sqrt{1+x^{2}}-y\right) & \text { for } \frac{\pi}{2}<y\end{cases}
$$

The mapping $f_{n}$ carries horizontal lines in $R^{2}$ bijectively onto nonintersecting secant curves filling the strip $I_{n} \times R$. Thus, by examining the images of basic open sets in $R^{2}$ consisting of rectangles having sides parallel to the coordinate axes, we can easily see that these mappings are homeomorphisms.

Let us denote the flow of Example 2.11 by $\left(R^{2}, \pi_{1}\right)$. Define a flow $\left(R^{2}, \pi\right)$ by

$$
\pi((x, y), t)= \begin{cases}f_{n}\left(\pi_{I}\left(f_{n}^{-1}(x, y), t\right)\right) & \text { for }(x, y) \varepsilon I_{n} x R \\ (x, y) & \text { for } x=(2 n-1) a\end{cases}
$$

for $n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$. The trajectories of this flow are illustrated in Figure 2.10. Note that, although $S$ is connected, $\partial A^{+}(S)$ contains infinitely many trajectories.

Example 2.13: We now describe a flow ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}, \pi$ ) that nontrivially satisfies all of the statements in case (4) of Theorem 1.63. The trajectories of the flow are illustrated in Figure 2.11.

In the regions $R \times(2 n \pi,(2 n+1) \pi)$ for $n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$ define the flow by the system of differential equations of Example 2.10.
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Next, induce the flow on $R \times[-\pi, 0]$ from the region $[-a, a] \times R$ of the flow in Example 2.12 by means of the homeomorphisms

$$
g_{n}:[-a, a] \times R \rightarrow R \times\left[\left(2^{-n}-1\right) \pi,\left(2^{1-n}-1\right) \pi\right]
$$

for $n=1,2,3, \ldots$ where

$$
g_{n}(x, y)=\left(y+\frac{\pi}{2}+n-1, \frac{\pi x}{2^{n+1} a}+\left(\frac{3}{2^{n+1}}-1\right) \pi\right)
$$

The effect of the $g_{n}$ 's is one of rotation, contraction, and translation of $[-a, a] \times R$. Finally, induce the flow on $R \times[(2 n-1) \pi, 2 n \pi]$ for $n= \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$ by the translation mapping

$$
h_{n}: R \times[-\pi, 0] \rightarrow R \times[(2 n-1) \pi, 2 n \pi]
$$
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where

$$
h_{n}(x, y)=(x, y+2 n \pi)
$$

Thus, if we let $\left(R^{2}, \pi_{1}\right)$ and $\left(R^{2}, \pi_{2}\right)$ denote the flows of Examples 2.10 and 2.12, respectively, then for any $x \varepsilon R^{2}$ and $t \varepsilon R$ we have
$\pi(x, t)= \begin{cases}\pi_{1}(x, t) & \text { for } x \in R \times(2 n \pi,(2 n+1) \pi), n \in A \\ g_{n}\left(\pi_{2}\left(g_{n}^{-1}(x), t\right)\right) & \text { for } x \in R \times\left[\left(2^{-n}-1\right) \pi,\left(2^{1-n}-1\right) \pi\right], n \varepsilon B \\ h_{n} g_{n}\left(\pi_{2}\left(g_{n}^{-1} h_{n}^{-1}(x), t\right)\right) & \text { for } x \in R \times[(2 n-1) \pi, 2 n \pi], n \varepsilon C\end{cases}$
where $A=\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots\}, \quad B=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$, and $C=\{ \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \ldots\}$.

## CHAPTER III

DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS OF CHARACTERISTIC 0

## Planar Flows of Characteristic 0

It seems natural to ask whether there is a connection between flows of characteristic 0 and flows of characteristic $0^{+}, 0^{-}$, or $0^{ \pm}$. Since $D^{+}(x)=K^{+}(x)$ and $D^{-}(x)=K^{-}(x)$ for each $x \in R^{2}$ implies $D(x)=K(x)$, any flow of characteristic $0^{ \pm}$is a flow of characteristic 0. A flow which has characteristic $0^{+}\left(0^{-}\right)$but not characteristic 0 is given below in Example 3.1. Examples 3.2 and 3.3 consist of flows of characteristic 0 that are not of characteristic $0^{+}, 0^{-}$, or $0^{ \pm}$.

Example 3.1: The system of differential equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{x}=-x \\
& \dot{y}=-y
\end{aligned}
$$

defines a flow of characteristic $0^{+}$in which the origin is a proper node. Note, however, that $D((0,0))=R^{2} \neq\{(0,0)\}=K((0,0))$, and so the flow does not have characteristic 0 .

Similarly, the flow defined by $\dot{x}=x$ and $\dot{y}=y$ is of characteristic $0^{-}$but not of characteristic 0 .
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Example 3.2: Let a flow be defined by the system

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{r}=-r^{2} \sin \theta  \tag{1}\\
& \dot{\theta}=1
\end{align*}
$$

for $r \geq 0$. Figure 2.2 illustrates the trajectories of the flow.
This flow is of characteristic 0 but not characteristic $0^{+}, 0^{-}$, or $0^{ \pm}$. For let $x_{o}$ be a point on the parabolic boundary of the region consisting of the pole and the periodic orbits surrounding the pole. Then $D^{ \pm}\left(x_{0}\right)=C\left(x_{0}\right)$, and hence, $D^{ \pm}\left(x_{0}\right) \notin K^{ \pm}\left(x_{0}\right)$ whereas $D(x)=C(x)=K(x)$ for each $x \varepsilon R^{2}$.

Example 3.3: The flow defined by the system of differential equations (2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{x}=-x y \\
& \dot{y}=\left\{\begin{aligned}
& x-1-y^{2} \text { for } \\
&-x \geq \geq 0 \\
&-1-y^{2} \text { for } \\
& x<0
\end{aligned}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

is of characteristic 0 . System (2) can be obtained from system (1) by changing system (1) to Cartesian coordinates, translating to obtain the equations of (2) for $\mathbf{x} \geq 0$, and then reflecting the trajectories of (2) for $x \geq 0$ in the $y$ axis to obtain the trajectories of (2) for $\mathbf{x}<0$. The orbits of the flow defined by (2) are illustrated in Figure 3.2 .
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## Characterization of Planar Flows <br> of Characteristic 0

The purpose of this section is to give necessary and sufficient conditions for a flow $\left(R^{2}, \pi\right)$ to have characteristic 0 . Unless otherwise specified, we shall let $\left(R^{2}, \pi\right)$ be a fixed flow of characteristic 0 and $S$ be the set of critical points. We shall first prove a few lemmas.

Lemma 3.4: If $L^{+}(x) \neq \emptyset \quad\left(L^{-}(x) \neq \emptyset\right)$ for some $x \in R^{2}$, then $x$ is either periodic or critical.

Proof: Let $y \in L^{+}(x)$. Then $x \in J^{-}(y)$ since $y \varepsilon J^{+}(x)$ (see Proposition 1.28). Hence, $x \varepsilon D(y)=K(y) L^{+}(x)$. By Theorem 1.18, $x \in L^{+}(x)$ if and only if $x$ is either a critical point or a periodic point. The result for $L^{-}(x) \neq \emptyset$ follows similarly.

Lemma 3.5: If $x \in S$ or $x$ is periodic, then $C(x)$ is bilaterally stable.

Proof: The proof follows from Theorem 1.46 since $D(x t)=K(x t)=$ $K(x)=C(x)$ for each $t$ in $R$ implies $D(C(x))=C(x)$.

Notation: For any $s \in S$ we shall henceforth let

$$
N_{s}=\left\{x \in R^{2}: x=s \text { or } x \text { is periodic and } s \cap \operatorname{int} C(x)=\{s\}\right\}
$$

Lemma 3.6: If $s_{o}$ is an isolated point of $S$, then $s_{o}$ is a Poincare center and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{O}}}$. is an unbounded connected open set. If $N_{S_{O}} \neq R^{2}$, then $\partial N_{S_{o}}$ is a single trajectory and $N_{S_{O}}$ is a simply connected component of $R^{2}-\partial N_{S_{O}}$.

Proof: Let $C$ be a simple closed curve with $S \cap$ int $C=\left\{s_{o}\right\}$. By virtue of Lemma 3.5, there exists a $V \in \eta\left(s_{0}\right)$ such that $C(V) \subset$ int $C$. Since $L^{+}(x) \neq \emptyset$ for each $x \in V, V-\left\{s_{0}\right\}$ consists of periodic points. If $x \in V-\left\{s_{o}\right\}$, then

$$
\emptyset \neq s \bigcap \operatorname{int} c(x) \subset s \bigcap \text { int } c=\left\{s_{0}\right\}
$$

Thus, $V$ consists of $s_{o}$ and periodic orbits surrounding $s_{o}$ implying that $s_{o}$ is a Poincare center.

Let $x \in N_{s_{o}}-\left\{s_{o}\right\}$ and $y \varepsilon($ int $C(x))-\left\{s_{o}\right\}$. Since $L^{+}(y) \neq \emptyset, \quad y$ is periodic. We have

$$
\emptyset \notin S \bigcap \operatorname{int} C(y) \subset s \bigcap \operatorname{int} C(x)=\left\{s_{0}\right\}
$$

so that $y \in N_{S_{O}}$. Hence, int $C(x) \subset N_{S_{O}}$. Furthermore, $N_{S_{O}}$ is connected since $N_{S_{O}}=\bigcup\left\{\overline{\text { int } C(x)}: x \in N_{S_{O}}\right\}$ is the union of connected sets each containing the point $s_{0}$.

If $\partial N_{S_{o}}=\emptyset$, then $N_{S_{o}}=R^{2}$ and $s_{o}$ is a global Poincare center. Suppose $\partial N_{S_{0}} \neq \emptyset$. Note that $\partial N_{S_{0}}$ is invariant since $N_{S_{o}}$ is invariant. We shall show that in this case $\partial N_{S_{o}}$. contains no critical points or periodic points. First, suppose $s \varepsilon \partial N_{S_{o}} \bigcap S$. There is an open simply connected neighborhood $U$ in $\eta(s)$ such that $s_{o} \notin U$. By Lemma 3.5 there exists a $V_{1} \varepsilon \eta(s)$ such that $C\left(V_{1}\right) \subset$ U. Let $x \in V_{1} \bigcap N_{S_{0}}$. Then $C(x) \subset U$. Since $U$ is simply connected and int $C(x) \subset N_{S_{o}}, s_{o} \varepsilon$ int $C(x) \subset U$ which is a contradiction. Hence, $s \bigcap \partial N_{S_{0}}=\emptyset$. Next, suppose there is a periodic point $x$ in $\partial N_{S_{O}}$. Let $S_{o}=S \bigcap$ int $C(x)$. There is a simply connected neighborhood $U \in \eta(C(x))$ such that $S \bigcap U=S_{0}$. By Lemma 3.5 there is a $V_{2} \varepsilon n(C(x))$ such that $V_{2}=C\left(V_{2}\right) \subset u$. For any periodic point $y$
in $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{o}}} \bigcap \mathrm{V}_{2}$ we have $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{y}) \subset \mathrm{V}_{2}$. Since U is simply connected, int $C(y) \subset U$. Hence, $s_{o} \in S \bigcap U=S_{o}$. The sets $S_{o}$ and $S-S_{o}$ are closed implying that there are simple closed curves $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ contained in int $C(x)$ and ext $C(x)$, respectively, such that $S \bigcap\left(\operatorname{ext} C_{1}\right) \bigcap\left(\right.$ int $\left.C_{2}\right)=\emptyset$. By Lemma 3.5 there is a $V_{3} \varepsilon \eta(C(x))$ such that $C\left(V_{3}\right) \subset\left(\right.$ ext $\left.C_{1}\right) \bigcap\left(\right.$ int $\left.C_{2}\right)$. Now $N_{S_{0}}$ is connected with $s_{0} \in N_{S_{0}}$ and $C(x) \subset \partial N_{S_{0}}$, so that we can select a point $y$ from $N_{s_{0}} \bigcap v_{3} \bigcap \operatorname{int} C(x)$. Thus, $s_{o} \bigcap$ int $C(y) \neq \emptyset, s_{o} \subset$ int $C_{1}$, and $C(y) \subset \mathrm{V}_{3} \subset$ ext $C_{1}$ imply $s_{0} \subset$ int $C(y)$. Hence, $s_{0}=\left\{s_{0}\right\}$ and $x \in N_{S_{0}}$. Finally, for any point $z \varepsilon V_{3} \bigcap$ ext $C(x), L^{+}(x) \neq \emptyset$ implying $z$ is periodic. Since $C(z) \subset C\left(V_{3}\right) \subset$ int $C_{2}$ we have $S \bigcap$ int $C(z)=S_{0}$. The point $z$ is in $N_{S_{0}}$ and $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{x}) \subset$ int $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{z}) \subset \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{O}}}^{\mathrm{O}}$. This contradicts $\mathrm{x} \varepsilon \partial \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{O}}}$. Therefore, the points of $\partial \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{O}}}$ are neither periodic nor critical.

By virtue of Lemma 3.4 and the fact that $\partial N_{s_{0}}$ contains no periodic or rest points, $L^{ \pm}(x)=\emptyset$ for each $x \in \partial N_{s_{0}}$. Thus, $\partial N_{S_{0}}$ is not bounded and hence $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s}_{0}}$ is an unbounded open set.

We now show that $\partial N_{S_{0}}$ is a single trajectory, Let $x$ and $y$ be distinct points of $\partial N_{S_{O}}$. Let $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ be simple closed curves such that $\mathrm{x} \varepsilon$ int $C_{1}, y \in \operatorname{int} C_{2}$, and $\overline{\operatorname{int} C_{1}} \bigcap \overline{\operatorname{int} C_{2}}=\emptyset$. For $z$ in $N_{s_{0}} \bigcap$ int $C_{1}$, we have int $\overline{C(z)} \subset N_{S_{0}}$, and so ext $C(z) \notin \eta(y)$. Thus, (int $\left.C_{2}\right) \bigcap($ ext $C(z)) \varepsilon \eta(y)$ and $\left(\right.$ int $\left.C_{2}\right) \bigcap($ ext $C(z)) \bigcap N_{S_{0}} \neq \emptyset$. Let

$$
\mathrm{w} \varepsilon\left(\operatorname{int} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right) \bigcap(\operatorname{ext} \mathrm{C}(z)) \bigcap \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{o}}} .
$$

Then $C(z) \subset$ int $C(w) \subset N_{S_{0}}$. We have $z \varepsilon$ int $C(w)$ and $X \in \operatorname{ext} C(w)$. Since $x, z \varepsilon$ int $C_{1}$ and int $C_{1}$ is connected, it
follows that $C(w) \bigcap$ int $C_{1} \neq \varnothing$. Hence, we can find nets $\left(w_{i}\right)$ and ( $w_{i} t_{i}$ ) converging to $y$ and $x$, respectively. In other words, $x \in D(y)=K(y)=C(y)$.

Suppose $N_{S_{O}}$ is not a component of $R^{2}-\partial N_{S_{O}}$. Since $N_{S_{O}}$ is connected, it is a subset of some component . B. If $N_{s_{0}} \neq B$, then $\partial N_{s_{O}} \bigcap B \neq \emptyset$ contradicting $B \subset R^{2}-\partial N_{S_{o}}$. Hence, $N_{S_{o}}$ is a component of $R^{2}-\partial N_{S_{0}}$.

Finally, let $R^{2} \neq N_{S_{O}}$. Suppose that $C$ is a simple closed curve lying in $N_{s_{0}}$ with int $C \not N_{S_{0}}$. Then int $C$ connected and $N_{s_{0}} \bigcap$ int $C \neq$ int $C$ imply that $\partial N_{s_{0}} \bigcap$ int $C \neq \emptyset$. Furthermore, $\partial N_{s_{0}} \bigcap$ ext $C \neq \emptyset$ since $\partial N_{s_{0}}$ is unbounded. Thus, $C \bigcap \partial N_{s_{o}} \neq \emptyset$. contradicting $C \subset N_{s_{o}}$. Therefore, $N_{s_{0}}$ is simply connected.

Lemma 3.7: If $S_{0}=S \bigcap$ int $C\left(x_{0}\right)$ for some periodic point $x_{0}$, then $S_{o}$ consists of exactly one Poincare center.

Proof: Let $N=\left\{x \in \operatorname{int} C\left(x_{0}\right): x\right.$ is periodic and $S_{0}=S \bigcap$ int $\left.C(x)\right\}$ and $D=\bigcap\{\overline{\text { Int } C(x)}: x \in N\}$. At least $x_{0} \in N$, and so, $D \neq \emptyset$. Also, $D$ is the intersection of closed invariant sets containing $S_{o}$ so that $D$ is a closed invariant set and $S_{0} \subset D$. It also follows that $\partial D$ is invariant.

In order to facilitate the argument we show that: $V \in \eta(C(y))$ implies $V \bigcap N \neq \emptyset$ for all $y \varepsilon \partial D$. Suppose $V \bigcap N=\emptyset$ for some $\mathrm{V} \varepsilon \eta(C(y))$. By Lemma 3.5 there is a connected set $U \varepsilon \eta(C(y))$ such that $C(U) \subset V$. For $x \in N, U \bigcap C(x)=\emptyset$. Since $y \varepsilon$ int $C(x)$ and $U$ is connected, we have $U \subset$ int $C(x)$. The point $x$ was arbitrary, so that $U \subset$ D. But this implies y $\varepsilon D^{0}$ which contradicts $y \varepsilon \partial D$.

Suppose that $D$ is not a singleton. We first show that there exists a point $y \varepsilon N$ such that $D=\overline{\operatorname{int} C(y)}$. If $D=\overline{\operatorname{lnt} C\left(x_{0}\right)}$, then we are done. Assume $D \neq \overline{\operatorname{int} C\left(x_{0}\right)}$ and choose points $x$ in $D$ and $y$ in $\partial D$ such that $x \neq y$. Either $y \varepsilon S_{o}$ or $y$ is periodic. Suppose there exists a simple closed curve $C$ such that $\mathbf{x} \varepsilon$ ext $C$ and $C(y) \subset$ int $C . B y$ Lemma 3.5 there is a $V \varepsilon \eta(C(y))$ such that $C(V)$ (int $C$. We have shown that $V \bigcap N \neq \emptyset$. Let $z \varepsilon V \bigcap N$. Then $C(z) \subset C(V) \subset$ int $C$. But this implies that

$$
x \varepsilon \text { int } C(z) \subset \text { int } C
$$

contradicting $x \varepsilon$ ext $C$. Thus, $y$ is periodic and $x \varepsilon$ int $C(y)$. Since. $x$ was an arbitrary point of $D$, we have $D \subset$ int $C(y)$. Furthermore, $C(y) \square \partial D \subset$ int $C(z)$ for each $z \varepsilon N$ implying $\overline{\text { int } C(y)} \square \bigcap\{\overline{\text { int } C(z)}: z \varepsilon N\}=D$. Hence,$D=\overline{\text { int } C(y)}$.

Since $S_{o}$ is compact there exists a simple closed curve $C$ ( int $C(y)$ with $S_{0}$ ( int $C$. By Lemma 3.5 there is a $V \cdot n(C(y))$ such that $C(V) \subset$ ext $C$. Each point $z$ in $V \bigcap$ int $C(y)$ is periodic by Lemma 3.4, and so, $S_{o} \cap$ int $C(z) \neq \emptyset$. Since $C(z) \subset$ ext $C$, int $C(z) \bigcap$ int $C \neq \emptyset$, and int $C$ is connected, we have $S_{0} \subset$ int $C$ int $C(z)$ and $z \varepsilon$ N. Thus, $D$ (int $C(z)$ and $C(z) \subset$ int $C(y)$ imply that $D \subset$ int $C(y)$ which contradicts $y \in D$. Consequently, $D$ must be a singleton.

Finally, $\emptyset \neq S_{0} \square D$ implies that $D$ is composed of an isolated critical point. By Lemma $3.6, S_{0}$ consists of a Poincaré center. Lemma 3.8: If $S \neq \emptyset$ and $S \neq R^{2}$, then $S$ consists of Poincaré centers.

Proof: Let $S_{0}$ denote the set of Poincaré centers. We can select a point $s$ from $\partial S$ since $S \neq \emptyset$ and $S \notin R^{2}$. For any compact set $\mathrm{U} \varepsilon \eta(\mathrm{s})$ there exists a $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{\eta}(\mathrm{~s})$ such that $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{V}) \subset \mathrm{U}$ by Lemma 3.5. For any $x \in V \bigcap\left(R^{2}-S\right), L^{+}(x) \neq \emptyset$ implying that $x$ is periodic. Thus, Lemma 3.7 implies $S_{o} \neq \emptyset$.

Suppose $s \in \partial\left(S-S_{0}\right)$. Since $s$ is bilaterally stable, $\eta(s)$. contains a compact connected simply connected invariant set $V$. Either V contains a regular point or a Poincaré center. If it contains a regular point $x$, then $x$ must be periodic so that int $C(x)$, and hence $V$, must contain a Poincaré center. Therefore, we can assume that $V$ contains a Poincare center $s_{0}$. Now, for each $x \in N_{S_{0}}-\left\{s_{0}\right\}$, $s_{0} \varepsilon$ int $C(x)$ and, by Lemma 3.7 , $s \varepsilon$ ext $C(x)$. Thus, $V$ must meet $C(x)=$ dint $C(x)$ since it is connected. But this implies $C(x)$ © and hence $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{V}$, contradicting Lemma 3.6. Therefore, $\partial\left(S-S_{0}\right)=\emptyset, \quad$ and so $S=S_{0}$.

Lemma 3.9: If $S \neq \emptyset$ and $S \neq R^{2}$, then $S$ consists of at most two Poincaré centers.

Proof: Suppose $s_{1}, s_{2}$, and $s_{3}$ are distinct points of $S$. We shall show that this supposition leads to a countable collection of mutually disjoint closed sets whose union is $R^{2}$ which is impossible. Unless explicitly stated; the remainder of the proof will be considered relative to the extended dynamical system on $\mathrm{R}^{2 *}$. We denote the closure of the trajectory through $x$. in the extended system by $K^{*}(x)$. Since the sets $N_{s}$ are disjoint and open relative to $R^{2}$, $A=R^{2}-\bigcup\left\{N_{s}: s \in S\right\}$ is nonempty. For each $x \varepsilon A$, $K^{*}(x)=C(x) \circlearrowleft\{\infty\}$ is a simple closed curve. Let
$M=\left\{x \in A: N_{S_{1}} \subset A_{x}\right.$, and $N_{S_{2}} \bigcup N_{S_{3}} \subset B_{x}$ where $A_{x}$ and $B_{x}$ are the components of $\left.R^{2 *}-K^{*}(x)\right\}$. By Lemma 3.6, $M \neq \emptyset$ since $\partial N_{S_{1}}-\{\infty\} \subset M$. Note that $\bar{A}_{x}=A_{x} \cup K^{*}(x)$ and let

$$
F_{S_{1}}=\bigcup\left\{\overline{A_{x}}: x \in M\right\}
$$

Each set,$~ \overline{A_{x}}$ is connected and contains $N_{s_{1}}$, and so $F_{s_{1}}$ is : connected.

For any point $p_{1}$ in $\partial \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{s}_{1}}-\{\infty\}$ we have $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{s}_{1}}=\bar{A}_{\mathrm{P}_{1}}$. For let $p_{1}$ and $q_{1}$ be distinct points in $\partial F_{s_{1}}-\{\infty\}$ and let $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ be simple closed curves in $R^{2}$ surrounding $p_{1}$ and $q_{1}$, respectively, such that $\overline{\text { int } C_{1}} \cap \overline{\text { int } C_{2}}=\varnothing$. There exists a point $p$ for which $A_{p} \cap$ int $C_{1}$, and hence. $C(p) \bigcap$ int $C_{1}$, are nonempty sets. Since $B_{p} \bigcap$ int $C_{2} \varepsilon n\left(q_{1}\right)$ there exists a point $q$ such that

$$
A_{q} \bigcap B_{p} \bigcap \text { int } C_{2} \neq \emptyset ;
$$

hence, $C(q) \bigcap$ int $C_{2} \neq \emptyset$. Now, $A_{q}$ meets $A_{p}$ and $B_{p}$, so that $A_{p} \subset A_{q}$. Thus, $A_{q}$ is a connected set which meets both int $C_{1}$ and ext $C_{1}$ implying that $C(q) \bigcap$ int $C_{1} \neq \emptyset$. We can find nets ( $x_{i}$ ) and ( $x_{i} t_{i}$ ) converging to $q_{1}$ and $p_{1}$, respectively; hence, $p_{1} \varepsilon D\left(q_{1}\right)=K\left(q_{1}\right)=C\left(q_{1}\right)$ and $\partial F_{s_{1}}-\{\infty\}=C\left(p_{1}\right)$. Now, $C\left(p_{1}\right) \notin N_{s}$ for any $s$ in $S$ since $N_{s} \bigcap F_{S_{1}} \notin \emptyset$ implies there exists an $x$ in $M$ such that $C\left(p_{1}\right) \subset N_{s} \subset A_{x} \subset \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{s}_{1}}^{\circ}$ contradicting $C\left(p_{1}\right) \subset \partial F_{S_{1}}$. Thus, $C\left(p_{1}\right) \subset A$. Since $F_{s_{1}}$ is an invariant set, either $C\left(p_{1}\right) \subset F_{S_{1}}$ or $C\left(p_{1}\right) \bigcap F_{S_{1}}=\emptyset$. Suppose.

$$
C\left(p_{1}\right) \bigcap F_{s_{1}}=\emptyset .
$$

Then $F_{s_{1}}-\{\infty\}$ is the connected set $F_{s_{1}}^{0}$, and so it is a component
of $R^{2 *}-K^{*}\left(p_{1}\right)=R^{2 *}-\partial F_{S_{1}}$. Also,

$$
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s}_{2}} \bigcup \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{s}_{3}} \subset \bigcap\left\{\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{x}}: \mathbf{x} \varepsilon \mathrm{M}\right\}=\mathrm{R}^{2 *}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{S}_{1}}
$$

which means $p_{1} \varepsilon \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{S}_{1}}$, contradicting $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}\right) \bigcap \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{S}_{1}}=\emptyset$. Hence, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{S}_{1}}=\overline{\mathrm{A}}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}$.

Analogously, for $s_{2}$ and $s_{3}$ there exist points $p_{2}$ and $p_{3}$ in $A$ and sets $F_{s_{2}}$ and $F_{s_{3}}$ such that $F_{s_{2}}=\overline{A_{p_{2}}^{+}}$and $F_{s_{3}}=\overline{A_{p_{3}}^{11}}$. Note that $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{S}_{1}}=\overline{\mathrm{A}}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{S}_{2}} \subset \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}$. If $\quad \partial \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{S}_{1}}=\mathrm{K}^{*}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{K}^{*}\left(\mathrm{p}_{2}\right)=$ $\partial \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{S}_{2}}$, then $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{S}_{1}} \bigcup \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{s}_{2}}=\mathrm{R}^{2 *}$ which contradicts $\mathrm{s}_{3} \notin \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{s}_{1}} \bigcup \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{S}_{2}}$. Hence, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{S}_{1}} \bigcap \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{s}_{2}}=\{\infty\}$. Similarly, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{S}_{1}} \bigcap \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{S}_{3}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{S}_{2}} \bigcap \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{S}_{3}}=\{\infty\}$.

Let $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{S}_{1}} \bigcup \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{S}_{2}} \bigcup \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{S}_{3}}$. Obviously, $\mathrm{R}^{2 *} \neq \mathrm{F}$, and so $R^{2^{*}}-F \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that $A \bigcap\left(R^{2^{*}}-F\right)=\emptyset$. Then $R^{2 *}-F$ must consist of periodic and rest points, so that $N_{s} \subset R^{2 *}-F$ for some $s \varepsilon S$. Furthermore, $\partial N_{s}-\{\infty\} \subset A$ implies that

$$
\partial N_{s} \subset \partial F=K^{*}\left(p_{1}\right) \bigcup K^{*}\left(p_{2}\right) \bigcup K^{*}\left(p_{3}\right) .
$$

By letting $\partial N_{s}=K^{*}\left(p_{k}\right)$ we have $R^{2 *}=N_{s} \cup E_{S_{k}}$ since $N_{s}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{k}}}^{0}$ are components of $\mathrm{R}^{2 *}-\mathrm{K}^{*}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$. But this implies that $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}} \varepsilon \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{k}}}$ for $i \neq k$ which is clearly not possible. Therefore,

$$
A \bigcap\left(R^{2 *}-F\right) \neq \emptyset .
$$

For each point $x$ in $A \cap\left(R^{2 *}-F\right)$ one component of $R^{2 *}-K^{*}(x)$ contains $F$ since $K^{*}(x)$ does not separate any of the sets $N_{s_{1}}, N_{S_{2}}$, and $N_{S_{3}}$ from the other two. Denote the components of $R^{2 *}-K^{*}(x)$ by $G_{x}$ and $H_{x}$ where $F \subset H_{x}$. For any point $y$ in $A \cap\left(R^{2 *}-F\right)$, let $M_{y}=\left\{x \cdot \varepsilon A \bigcap\left(R^{2 *}-F\right): G_{y} \subset G_{x}\right\}$. Note that $M_{y} \neq \emptyset$ since $y \in M_{y}$. Let $F_{y}^{\prime}=\bigcup\left\{G_{x}: x \in M_{y}\right\}$. By arguing
as we did for $F_{S_{1}}$, we can find a point $w$ in $\partial F_{y}^{\prime} \cap A$ such that $F_{y}^{\prime}=\bar{G}_{w}$. For each point $p$ in $A \bigcap\left(R^{2^{*}}-F\right)$ for which $F_{p}^{\prime}=F_{y}^{\prime}$, select a point $y_{o}$ in $C(w)$ and denote $F_{p}^{\prime}$ by $F_{y_{0}}$. Let $I^{\prime}$ be the index set for all the $F_{y_{0}}$ sets and let $I=I^{\prime} \cup\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right\}$.

If, $x$ and $z$ are distinct points in $I$, then $F_{X} \cap F_{z}=\{\infty\}$. For suppose $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}} \bigcap \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{z}} \neq\{\infty\}$. The sets $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{O}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{o}}$ are components of $R^{2 *}-\partial F_{x}$ and $R^{2 *}-\partial F_{z}$, respectively, where $\partial F_{x}$ and $\partial F_{z}$ are simple closed curves each consisting of $\{\infty\}$ and a single trajectory. Thus, either $\partial \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}}-\{\infty\} \subset \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{O}}, \partial \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{z}}-\{\infty\} \subset \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}}^{0}$, or $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}}^{0} \bigcap \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{O}}=\emptyset$. The first two statements imply that $F_{x}=F_{z}$, and hence $x=z$, contradicting $x \neq z$. The third statement implies that $F_{x} \bigcup F_{z}=R^{2 *}$ which is impossible. Therefore, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}} \bigcap \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{z}}=\{\infty\}$.

Next, $R^{2 *}=\bigcup\left\{F_{x}: x \varepsilon I\right\}$. For let $z$ belong to $R^{2 *}-E$ where $\left.E=\bigcup_{\left\{F_{x}\right.}: x \in I\right\}$. Since $A \subset E$, there is a point $s$ in $S$ such that $z \varepsilon N_{s}$. For some point $y$ in $E, K^{*}(y)=\partial N_{s}$. Furthermore, there is a point $x$ in $I$ such that $K^{*}(y)=\partial F_{x}$ since $K^{*}(y) \subset \partial E$. The sets $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{O}}$ are disjoint components of $R^{2 *}-K^{*}(y)$, and so $R^{2 *}=N_{s} \bigcup F_{x}$. This implies $F_{x}=E$, and thus $s_{i} \varepsilon F_{X}$ for $i=1,2,3$, which is clearly impossible. Hence, $R^{2^{*}}=E$.

The set $\left\{F_{\mathbf{x}}: \mathbf{x} \varepsilon \mathrm{I}\right\}$ is a countable collection of closed sets such that $F_{x} \bigcap F_{z}=\{\infty\}$, for $x \neq z$. Hence, $\left\{F_{x}-\{\infty\}: x \in I\right\}$, is a countable collection of mutually disjoint sets closed in $R^{2}$ and $R^{2}=\bigcup\left\{F_{x}-\{\infty\}: x \in I\right\}$. This is not possible as we indicated at the outset of our argument. Therefore, $s_{1}, s_{2}$, and $s_{3}$ are not distinct.

Lemma 3.10: Let $S \not \not \mathrm{R}^{2}$. Then the flow restricted to

$$
R^{2}-\bigcup\left\{N_{s}: s \varepsilon s\right\}
$$

is parallelizable.

Proof: Let $x \in R^{2}-\bigcup\left\{N_{s}: s \in S\right\}$. Then $L^{ \pm}(x)=\varnothing$. Recalling that $J(y)=L(y)$ for each $y \varepsilon R^{2}$, we have $J^{+}(x)=\emptyset$. Hence, $D^{+}(x)=C^{+}(x)$. The result follows by Theorem 1.36.

Theorem 3.11: A flow $\left(R^{2}, \pi\right)$ has characteristic 0 if and only if one of the following holds.
(1) $S=\emptyset$ and $\left(R^{2}, \pi\right)$ is parallelizable.
(2) $S$ consists of at most two Poincare centers. For each $s \varepsilon S$, either $s$ is a global Poincare center or $N_{s}$ is unbounded and $\partial N_{s}$ is a single trajectory. The restriction of the flow to $R^{2}-\bigcup\left\{N_{s}: s \varepsilon S\right\}$ is parallelizable. (3) $S=R^{2}$.

Proof: The necessity of the conditions follows from the lemmas. Conversely, Theorem 1.36 shows that condition (1) is sufficient. Similarly, if condition (2) holds, we get $D(x)=K(x)$ for each $x \in R^{2}-\bigcup\left\{N_{s}: s \varepsilon S\right\}$. For each $s \varepsilon S, N_{s}$ is a component of $R^{2}-\partial N_{s}$ since $\partial N_{s}$ is a single trajectory. Thus, $N_{s}$ is a connected simply connected set. Obviously, $\mathbf{x} \varepsilon \bar{N}_{s}$ implies $D(x)=K(x)$. Hence, condition (2) is sufficient. Condition (3) is trivially sufficient.

Corollary 3.12: A flow $\left(R^{2}, \pi\right)$ has characteristic 0 if and only if $D(x)=C(x)$ for each $x \varepsilon R^{2}$.

Remark: Theorem 3.11 implies that there are six basic types of planar flows having characteristic 0; namely,
(1) parallelizable flows,
(2) flows having a global Poincare center,
(3) flows similar to Example 2.4,
(4) flows similar to Example.3.3,
(5) flows similar to Example 3.3 except that $\partial N_{s}=\partial N_{t}$ where $S=\{s, t\}, \quad$ and
(6) flows having only critical points.

PERIODIC DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS

Many well known properties of differential flows have proven to be valid for general dynamical systems. In this chapter we show that certain properties of planar differential flows having only periodic and critical points generalize to planar dynamical systems.

Definition 4.1: We shall call a flow ( $\mathrm{X}, \pi$ ) having only periodic and critical points a periodic flow.

Throughout the remainder of this chapter we shall denote the set of critical points for a given flow by $S$.

Theorem 4.2: Let $\left(R^{2}, \pi\right)$ be a periodic flow. If $S_{0}$ is a compact component of $S$, then $S_{o}$ is bilaterally stable.

Proof: For each point $x$ in $R^{2}-S, C(x)$ is bilaterally stable (see Theorem 1.58 ) yielding $D(x)=D(C(x))=C(x)$ (see Theorem 1.46). Thus, $D\left(R^{2}-S\right)=R^{2}-S$, and hence, $D(S)=S$. Suppose $s_{0}$ is a point of $S_{0}$ such that $D\left(s_{0}\right) \not \subset S_{0}$. Since $D\left(s_{0}\right)$ is a subset of $S$ which meets both the component $S_{0}$ and the set $S-S_{o}$, it is not connected. The set $D\left(s_{0}\right) \bigcap S_{0}$ is a compact component of $D\left(s_{0}\right)$ which is absurd (see Theorem 1.29). Hence, $D\left(S_{0}\right)=S_{0}$ and $S_{0}$ is bilaterally stable (see Theorem 1.46).

Corollary 4.3: Let $\left(R^{2}, \pi\right)$ be a periodic flow. If $s_{o}$ is an isolated point of $S$, then $s_{o}$ is a Poincare center.

Proof: In view of Theorem 1.59 we need only observe that $s_{o}$ is an isolated bilaterally stable point.

Corollary 4.4: Let $\left(R^{2}, \pi\right)$ be a periodic flow. If $S$ is a finite set, then $S$ consists of a global Poincare center.

Proof: By Corollary 4.3, S consists of Poincare centers. Each trajectory is bilaterally stable (see Theorem 1.58 and Theorem 4.2), so that $D(x)=D(C(x))=C(x)=K(x)$ for each $x \varepsilon R^{2}$ (see Theorem 1.46). Thus, $\left(R^{2}, \pi\right)$ has characteristic 0 and the desired result follows from Theorem 3.11.

Corollary 4.5: Let $x$ be a periodic point of a flow $\left(R^{2}, \pi\right)$. If the restriction of the flow to int $C(x)$ is periodic and $S \bigcap$ int $C(x)$ is finite, then it consists of exactly one Poincaré center.

Proof: Define a flow $\left(R^{2}, \pi^{\prime}\right)$ which agrees with $\left(R^{2}, \pi\right)$ on int $\overline{C(x)}$ and consists of periodic trajectories surrounding $C(x)$ on ext $C(x)$. The proof follows from Corollary 4.4.

In Corollary 4.5 the components of $S \bigcap$ int $C(x)$ are assumed to be a finite number of isolated critical points. The following example illustrates that there can be countably many isolated critical points if the set. $S \bigcap$ int $C(x)$ is not finite.

Example 4.6: Let. $\left(R^{2}, \pi\right)$ be defined by the system of differential equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{x}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for }(x, y) \varepsilon B-A \\
y \sqrt{\left(x-\frac{1}{2^{n}}\right)^{2}+y^{2}}\left(\sqrt{\left(x-\frac{1}{2^{n}}\right)^{2}+y^{2}}-\frac{1}{2^{n+2}}\right) & \text { for }(x, y) \varepsilon A_{n}, n=1,2,3, \ldots \\
y\left(1-\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}\right) & \text { for }(x, y) \varepsilon R^{2}-B\end{cases} \\
& \dot{y}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for }(x, y) \varepsilon B-A \\
\left(x-\frac{1}{2^{n}}\right) \sqrt{\left(x-\frac{1}{2^{n}}\right)^{2}+y^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2^{n+2}}-\sqrt{\left(x-\frac{1}{2^{n}}\right)^{2}+y^{2}}\right) & \text { for }(x, y) \varepsilon A_{n}, n=1,2,3, \ldots \\
x\left(\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}-1\right) & \text { for }(x, y) \varepsilon R^{2}-B\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
B=\left\{(x, y): x^{2}+y^{2} \leq 1\right\}, \\
A_{n}=\left\{(x, y): \sqrt{\left(x-\frac{1}{2^{n}}\right)^{2}-y^{2}}<\frac{1}{2^{n+2}}\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
A=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n} .
$$

The phase plane is illustrated in Figure 4.1. Note that the points $\left(\frac{1}{2^{n}}, 0\right)$ for $n=1,2,3, \ldots$ are local Poincaré centers.


Figure 4.1

## CHAPTER V

## SUMMARY

In Chapter I we listed the basic properties of dynamical system theory used in this thesis. Included is a survey of the known results for planar dynamical systems having characteristic $0^{+}, 0^{-}, 0^{ \pm}$, or 0 .

Chapter II contains a characterization of dynamical systems of characteristic $0^{+}\left(0^{-}\right)$in terms of the set of critical points. Examples are given to show that the conditions of the characterization are sharp. Examples of dynamical systems satisfying all of the properties obtained by Ahmad in [1] for flows having noncompact sets of critical points are given including one which nontrivially satisfies all of the properties.

In Chapter III we characterize dynamical systems of characteristic 0 in terms of the set of critical points. Examples of the nontrivial types of planar flows having characteristic 0 are given.

Finally, in Chapter IV we show that the set of critical points of a planar periodic flow having a finite number of critical points consists of a global Poincare center. Also, if the interior of a planar periodic orbit is a periodic flow and the set of critical points is finite, then the set of critical points consists of a single Poincare center.

There are many questions suggested by the results of this thesis. One might attempt similar characterizations of planar dynamical systems
of characteristic $\alpha^{+}, \alpha^{-}, \alpha^{ \pm}$; and $\alpha$ where $\alpha$ is any ordinal number; that is, flows where $D_{\alpha}^{+}(x)=D_{\alpha-1}^{+}(x)$ for each $x$ where $D_{\alpha}^{+}(x)$ represents the $\alpha$ th prolongation of $\mathbf{x}$ and so forth. Dynamical systems for which $D_{\alpha}^{+}(x)=D_{\beta}^{+}(x)$ for each $x$ where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are fixed ordinal numbers as well as their negative, conjunctive, and bilateral versions can be studied. Any of these problems can be studied for arbitrary rather than planar phase spaces. Transformation groups having any of these properties can be studied. Planar periodic flows can be classified and characterized in terms of their critical points.
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