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## NOMENCLATURE

| $a, b, c, d, f, g$ | Geometry of triangular element. |
| :---: | :---: |
| a - superscript | Indicates quantity in elemental system. |
| b - superscript | Indicates quantity in skewed system. |
| b - subscript | Quantity refers to bending action. |
| $E$ | Modulus of elasticity。 |
| F | External loads. |
| i, j, k | Node points of finite element. |
| K | Stiffness matrix. |
| $M_{X}, M_{y}, M_{z}, M_{x y}$ | Moment stress resultants. |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{y}}, \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{xy}}$ | Force stress resultants. |
| n | Number of nodes. |
| - - superscript | Indicates quantity in structural system。 |
| $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{subscript}$ | Quantity refers to plane stress. |
| $Q_{z x}, Q_{z y}$ | Force stress resultants. |
| q | Generalized nodal displacements. |
| $r$ | Number of boundary restraints. |
| S | Actions. |
| SK | Structural stiffness matrix. |
| t | Thickness. |
| U | Internal strain energy. |
| U, V | In-plane node displacements. |
| u, v | In-plane displacements. |



## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Statement of the Problem

The analysis of shells of double curvature, in particular hyperbolic paraboloidal shells, is investigated. Both membrane and bending deformations are considered. The continuous shell is discretized by a number of triangular shaped plane finite elements which are connected together only at their node points. Continuity of deformations is maintained across the boundary lines separating the elements. Equilibrium is established within the element and at the node points. The element properties are determined and combined to define the elastic characteristics of the total shell and deformations corresponding to a particular set of load and boundary conditions are determined. The critical phase of the analysis is the evaluation of the elastic characteristics, expressed in stiffness matrix form, of the individual finite elements and it is with this phase that a major portion of this investigation is concerned.

Material of the shell is assumed to be continuous, homogenous and isotropic. Shell thickness is considered to be small in comparison with other dimensions and the well
known assumptions of small deflection theory are employed. For the purpose of this study, the problem is considered solved when the structural stiffness matris is derived and deformations of the shell are determined.

### 1.2 Historical Notes

In recent years thin shells of double curvature have been frequently used in construction, especially for roof systems. The hyperbolic paraboloid is one of the most popular of the doubly-curved surfaces and has received considerable attention in the past decade. Felix Candella (1) has summarized the many advantages of the hyperbolic paraboloid in one of his numerous contributions to the field of shell construction. One of the advantages he lists is the simplicity of the differential equation which governs the state of stress in the shell provided that the shell acts as a membrane.

The potentialities of this doubly-curved surface were first exploited by Aimond (2) in the early 1930's. Since that time an extensive amount of literature on the subject has been published. However, it has been restricted almost entirely to the membrane, or momentless, stress condition.

Past experience with structures designed by the membrane theory has shown that they perform satisfactorily when subjected to loads that are uniformly distributed without abrupt changes in intensity. For other load conditions however, the membrane theory is inadequate and does not
yield realistic results. There are also a number of support conditions for which the membrane theory yields unsatisfactory solutions. In these cases the effects of bending action must be included in the analysis and design.

Although a complete general theory of shells of arbitrary shape has been formulated, its application to the hyperbolic paraboloid is mathematically complex (3). The complexity of this formulation is greatly reduced by introducing the concept of shallow shells, however, the solution remains difficult. An equivalent shallow shell theory has been given by Margurre (4), while perhaps the most exact existing theory of hyperbolic paraboloids bounded by a rectangular set of characteristics is due to Bongard (5).

A recent paper by Chetty and Tottenham (6) investigates the linear bending analysis of the stresses and deformations of a thin shallow rectangular hyperbolic paraboloid shell subjected to uniform normal pressure. The authors discuss and compare the Vlasov and Bongard governing equations.

The idea of representing a continuous elastic medium by discrete finite elements is by no means new. Hrennikoff (7) used a system of elastic bars to represent a flat plate structure as early as 1941. In the late 1940's and early 1950's several investigators reported contributions in connection with wing deflections and other aircraft related structures by using plate assemblages and influence coefficients ( $8,9,10$ ).

One of the first significant contributions on finite
elements, as such, was presented by Turner, Clough, Martin and Topp (11). They derived a stiffness matrix in implicit form for a triangular and a rectangular element subjected to a plane stress condition. The solution was based on an assumed displacement function over the element.

Clough (12) presented a paper dealing with finite elements in plane stress. He also derived the element stiffness matrix on the basis of an assumed displacement function. In 1961, Melosh (13) contributed a paper concerned with the analysis of thin plates in bending. An assumed displacement function was used to derive the element stiffness matrix. A second paper by Melosh (14) listed what he termed requirements that must be satisfied by an assumed displacement function.

Zienkiewicz and Cheung $(15,16,17)$ have contributed a number of papers to the rapidly growing list of literature related to the use of finite elements in structural analysis. They discussed the successful use of finite elements in the analysis of flat plates and arch dams.

Rectangular elements have been shown to yield accurate displacement results for plate structures and shell structures of single curvature. Results obtained with the use of triangular elements for the same structures have proved to be somewhat less accurate. The inaccuracies appear to be due to the lack of compatibility of deformations along common sides of adjacent elements. Using an assumed displacement function for a rectangular element, compatibility
of vertical displacement and slope tangential to the boundary can be maintained, however, compatibility of slope normal to the boundary is violated. For other shaped elements even the vertical displacements are discontinuous. This appears to have a very significant influence on triangular elements. Because of this, rectangular elements have been used much more frequently than elements of other geometric shape.

Certain types of structures, irregular shaped plates, plates with openings, doubly-curved shells, et cetera, cannot be discretized by rectangular elements and thus it is necessary to use some other geometric shape. The above mentioned disadvantage of the triangular element can be eliminated, or reduced, by using an assumed stress function, rather than displacement function, as the basis for derivation of the element stiffnesses. Such a procedure is discussed by Pian (18) for a plane stress condition. Severn and Taylor (19) use an assumed stress function for solving plate bending problems.

## CHAPTER II

## MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION OF ELEMENT STIFFNESSES

### 2.1 General

A major criticism of triangular elements used for forming the model of a two-dimensional structure is that the resulting accuracy is not as good as that obtained with rectangular elements. Such results have been reported by a number of investigators when using a displacement function to calculate the element stiffnesses (20). The basic reason for this is that the assumed displacement patterns do not satisfy conditions of compatibility across the edges separating the elements. When a cubic displacement function is assumed for a rectangular element, the displacement along any edge may be described by a cubic equation. The form of this cubic equation may be specified by four constants, two slopes and two vertical displacements at the node points which the edge connects. Thus, the vertical displacement along any edge is expressed in terms of only two nodes and continuity is maintained. However, two slopes, one at each node, are not sufficient to determine the three constants in the quadratic slope displacement function. Thus, in general, compatibility of normal slopes at the edges of two
adjacent elements is violated. For geometrical shapes other than the rectangle, the cubic equation for vertical displacement along an edge involves node points not necessarily on that edge and, therefore, vertical displacements are also discontinuous. This incompatibility has a pronounced effect on solutions involving triangular elements.

Compatibility of vertical displacements and slopes along the edges of two adjacent elements can be forced by a procedure discussed by Severn and Taylor (19) and used in this paper. The element stiffness matrix is derived on the basis of an assumed stress distribution rather than a displacement function by applying the principle of minimum complementary energy. Details for a plane stress condition and bending are presented in the following sections of this chapter. The two important quantities to be determined are the strain energy stored in the element and the work performed by equivalent edge forces acting through the edge displacements. Geometry of the triangular element is shown in Figure 1.

The steps in the mathematical procedure for deriving the element stiffness matrix are listed below.
a) Stress functions.
b) Stress resultants.
c) Equilibrium。
d) Stress-strain relationship.
e) Strain energy.
f) Boundary displacements.
g) Edge forces.
h) Work of edge forces.
i) Complementary energy.
j) Element stiffness matrix.

The steps are carried out for a plane stress condition in section 2.2 and for bending in section 2.3.

### 2.2 Plane Stress Stiffness Matrix

a) Stress functions-For any point in the triangular element of Figure 1 it is assumed that the three stress components may be expressed as a function of the coordinates of the point and a set of parameters, $\alpha_{m}$. The three stresses are assumed to be of constant magnitude across the depth of the element while varying parabolically in the plane of the element. An infinitesimal element is isolated and shown in Figure 2a. The assumed stress equations are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{\mathrm{x}}=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} \overline{\mathrm{x}}+\alpha_{3} \overline{\mathrm{y}}^{2}+\alpha_{4} \overline{\mathrm{x}}^{2}+\alpha_{5} \overline{\mathrm{x}} \overline{\mathrm{y}}+\alpha_{6} \overline{\mathrm{y}}^{2}  \tag{1a}\\
& \sigma_{\mathrm{y}}=\alpha_{7}+\alpha_{8} \overline{\mathrm{x}}+\alpha_{9} \overline{\mathrm{y}}+\alpha_{10} \overline{\mathrm{x}}^{2}+\alpha_{11} \overline{\mathrm{x} \bar{y}}+\alpha_{12} \overline{\mathrm{y}}^{2}  \tag{1b}\\
& \sigma_{\mathrm{xy}}=\alpha_{13}+\alpha_{14} \overline{\mathrm{x}}+\alpha_{15} \overline{\mathrm{y}}+\alpha_{16} \overline{\mathrm{x}}^{2}+\alpha_{17} \overline{\mathrm{x}} \overline{\mathrm{y}}+\alpha_{18} \overline{\mathrm{y}}^{2} \tag{1c}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\overline{\mathrm{x}}=\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{a}} \quad \overline{\mathrm{y}}=\frac{\mathrm{y}}{b} .
$$

b) Stress resultants-Stress resultants per unit
length are calculated by integrating equations (1) over the depth of the element and are shown in Figure 2b. They are expressed as:


Figure 1. Element Geometry


Figure 2. In-Plane Stresses and Stress Resultants

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{x}=\int_{-t / 2}^{t / 2} \sigma_{x} d z=t\left(\sigma_{x}\right)  \tag{2a}\\
& N_{y}=\int_{-t / 2}^{t / 2} \sigma_{y} d z=t\left(\sigma_{y}\right)  \tag{2b}\\
& N_{x y}=\int_{-t / 2}^{t / 2} \sigma_{x y} d z=t\left(\sigma_{x y}\right) \tag{2c}
\end{align*}
$$

c) Equilibrium-The assumed stress variation must satisfy the conditions of internal equilibrium:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial N_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial N_{x y}}{\partial y}=0  \tag{3a}\\
& \frac{\partial N_{y}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial N_{x y}}{\partial x}=0 . \tag{3b}
\end{align*}
$$

Performing the operations indicated in equations (3) relates six of the $\alpha^{\prime}$ s in terms of the remaining twelve and leads to the following stress equation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\sigma_{p}\right\}=\left[P_{p}\right]\{\alpha\} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrices of equation (4) are given in Table $I$.
d) Stress-strain relationship-The relationship between stresses and strains for an elastic, isotropic and homogeneous material obeying Hooke's Law may be expressed:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\epsilon_{x}  \tag{5a}\\
\epsilon_{y} \\
\gamma_{x y}
\end{array}\right]=\frac{1}{E}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -v & 0 \\
-v & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \bar{v}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sigma_{x} \\
\sigma_{y} \\
\sigma_{x y}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

TABLE I
STRESS FUNCTION FOR PLANE STRESS

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{x} \\
\sigma_{y} \\
\sigma_{x y}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
1 & \bar{x} & \bar{y} & \bar{x}^{2} & \bar{x} \bar{y} & \bar{u}^{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{b^{2}}{a^{2}} \bar{y}^{2} & 0 & 0 & 1 & \bar{x} & \bar{y} & \bar{x}^{2} & \bar{x} \bar{y} & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{b}{a} \bar{y} & 0 & -\frac{2 b}{a} \bar{x} \bar{y} & -\frac{b}{2 a} \bar{y} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{a}{b} \bar{x} & 0 & -\frac{a}{2 b} \bar{x}^{2} & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{1} \\
\alpha_{2} \\
\alpha_{3} \\
\alpha_{4} \\
\alpha_{5} \\
\alpha_{6} \\
\alpha_{7} \\
\alpha_{8} \\
\alpha_{9} \\
\alpha_{10} \\
\alpha_{11} \\
\alpha_{13}
\end{array}\right]}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Where $v$ is the Poisson's Ratio of the material and $\bar{v}=$ $2(1+v)$. Equation (5a) may simply be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\epsilon_{p}\right\}=\left[N_{p}\right]\left\{\sigma_{p}\right\} \tag{5b}
\end{equation*}
$$

e) Strain energy-The internal strain energy of the element may be expressed in matrix form in terms of stresses and strains.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{U}_{p}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{v}\left\lfloor\sigma_{p}\right\rfloor\left\{\epsilon_{p}\right\} d v \tag{6a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, as indicated, the integration is performed over the volume of the element. Using equations (4) and (5) the strain energy becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{U}_{p}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{V}\lfloor\alpha\rfloor\left[P_{p}\right]^{T}\left[N_{p}\right]\left[P_{p}\right]^{*}\{\alpha\} d v \tag{6b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the $\alpha$-vector is independent of the integration, equation (6b) may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{U}_{p}=\frac{1}{2}\lfloor\alpha\rfloor\left[\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{p}}\right]\{\alpha\} \tag{6c}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[H_{p}\right]=\int_{V}\left[P_{p}\right]^{T}\left[N_{p}\right]\left[P_{p}\right] d v \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Matrices $\left[P_{p}\right]$ and $\left[N_{p}\right]$ are determined from equations (4) and (5), thus, $\left[H_{p}\right]$ may be evaluated. The triple matrix multiplication is performed and the elements of the resulting matrix are integrated over the volume of the triangular elementa Matrix $\left[H_{p}\right]$ is shown in Table II and

TABLE II


TABLE III
COEFFICIENTS FOR MATRIX $\left[\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{p}}\right]$

$$
\{A\}=\frac{b t}{120 E}\left[\begin{array}{l}
60 a \\
20(2 c+d) \\
20 a \\
\frac{10}{a^{2}}\left(3 c^{3}+d^{3}+6 c^{2} d+4 c d^{2}\right) \\
5(3 c+d) \\
10 a \\
\frac{6}{a^{2}\left(4 c^{3}+6 c^{2} d+4 c d^{2}+d^{3}\right)} \\
\frac{2 a c+d)}{\frac{2}{a}\left(6 c^{2}+4 c d+d^{2}\right)} \\
\frac{4}{a^{2}}\left(5 c^{4}+10 c^{3} d^{2}+10 c^{2} d^{2}+5 c d^{3}+d^{4}\right) \\
4 a \\
\frac{1}{a^{2}}\left(10 c^{3}+10 c^{2} d+5 c d^{2}+d^{3}\right) \\
5 c+d \\
\frac{2}{3 a}\left(10 c^{2}+5 c d+d^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

Table III.
f) Boundary displacements-The in-plane boundary displacements are assumed to vary linearly along each edge of the triangular element. They are expressed as a function of coordinate position and generalized nodal displacements. Figure 3 shows the generalized nodal displacements, $U$ in the $x$-direction and $V$ parallel to the y-axis. Edge displacements $u$ and $v$ for each element boundary are shown in Figure 4. They are expressed in matrix form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{u_{p}\right\}=\left[L_{p}\right]\left\{q_{p}\right\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\left\{u_{p}\right\}$ is the vector of in-plane edge displacements, [ $I_{p}$ ] is a coefficient matrix and $\left\{q_{p}\right\}$ is the vector of generalized in-plane nodal displacements. Equation (8) is recorded in Table IV.
g) Edge forces-Equivalent edge forces acting along the boundaries of the finite element are shown in Figure 5. Using stress equations (4) the edge forces are calculated in terms of the $\alpha$-parameters. Letting the six edge forces show in Figure 5 be represented by the matrix $\left\{S_{p}\right\}$, they can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{S_{p}\right\}=\left[R_{p}\right]\{\alpha\} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left\{S_{p}\right\}=\left\lfloor N_{x} N_{y} N_{\eta} N_{\xi} N_{\lambda} N_{\Psi}\right\rfloor
$$

The transpose of matrix $\left[R_{p}\right]$ is shown in Table $V$.


Figure 3. Generalized Nodal Displacements for Plane Stress


Figure 4. Edge Displacements for Plane Stress

TABLE IV
EDGE DISPLACEMENT MATRIX FOR PLANE STRESS



Figure 5. Edge Forces for Plane Stress
h) Work of edge forces-The boundary displacements of section (f) and edge forces of section (g) may now be employed to evaluate the work done by the edge forces. The work is conveniently expressed in matrix notation as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{w}_{p}=\oint\left\lfloor s_{p}\right\rfloor\left\{u_{p}\right\} d s \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integration is performed around the boundary of the element. Substitution of equations (8) and (9) into equation (10) yields

$$
\bar{W}=\oint\lfloor\alpha\rfloor\left[R_{p}\right]^{\mathbb{T}}\left[I_{p}\right]\left\{q_{p}\right\} d s
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{W}=\lfloor\alpha\rfloor\left[\mathbb{T}_{p}\right]\left\{q_{p}\right\} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

TABLE V

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[T_{p}\right]=\oint\left[R_{p}\right]^{T}\left[I_{p}\right] d s \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix multiplication indicated in equation (12) is first performed and the elements of the resulting matrix are then integrated along the appropriate edge. $\left[T_{p}\right]$ is shown in Table VI.
i) Complementary energy-Having formulated the internal strain energy and the work of the edge forces; the complementary energy may now be formulated.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{c p}=\bar{U}_{p}-\bar{W}_{p} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (6c) and (12) are substituted into (13) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{c p}=\frac{1}{2}\lfloor\alpha\rfloor\left[H_{p}\right]\{\alpha\}-\lfloor\alpha\rfloor\left[T_{p}\right]\left\{q_{p}\right\} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The principle of minimum complementary energy requires

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \pi_{c p}}{\partial \alpha_{m}}=0 \quad \text { (For all } \alpha_{m} \text { ) } \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[H_{p}\right]\{\alpha\}=\left[T_{p}\right]\left\{q_{p}\right\} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

j) Element stiffness matrix-Equation (16) may be solved for $\{\alpha\}$ to yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\alpha\}=\left[H_{p}\right]^{-1}\left[\Psi_{p}\right]\left\{q_{p}\right\} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (17) is substituted into equation (6c) to obtain the following expression for strain energy.

TABLE VI
$\operatorname{MATRIX}\left[\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{p}}\right]$

| - $-\frac{b}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{\mathrm{b}}{}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-\frac{b c}{3 a}$ | $\frac{b^{2}}{6 a}$ | $\frac{b}{6 a}(3 c+a)$ | $-\frac{b^{2}}{6 a}$ | $-\frac{5}{6}$ | 0 |
| $-\frac{\mathrm{b}}{6}$ | 0 | $\frac{\mathrm{b}}{6}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| $-\frac{\mathrm{cc}^{2}}{4 a^{2}}$ | $\frac{b^{2} c}{4 a^{2}}$ | $\frac{b}{12 a^{2}}\left(6 c^{2}+d^{2}+4 c d\right)$ | $-\frac{b^{2}}{122^{2}}(4 c+d)$ | $-\frac{b}{12 a}(3 c+d)$ | $\frac{\mathrm{b}^{2}}{12 \mathrm{a}}$ |
| - $\frac{\mathrm{bc}}{8 \mathrm{c}}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{b}^{2}}{24 \mathrm{a}}$ | $\frac{b}{24 a}(4 c+a)$ | $-\frac{b^{2}}{24 a}$ | - $\frac{\mathrm{b}}{24}$ | 0 |
| $-\frac{\mathrm{b}}{12}$ | 0 | $\frac{\mathrm{b}}{12}$ | - | 0 | 0 |
| - | - $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | - $\frac{c}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{a}{2}$ |
| 0 | $-\frac{a}{6 a}(2 c+a)$ |  | $-\frac{c}{6 a}(2 c+a)$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{6}(2 c+a)$ |
| $\frac{d}{6 b}(a+c)$ | $\frac{\square}{3}$ | $\frac{c}{6 E}(2 c+a)$ | $-\frac{1}{6}(3 c+a)$ | $-\frac{a}{b b}(2 c+a)$ | $\frac{2}{6}$ |
| 0 | $\frac{1}{12 a^{2\left(4 c^{3}-a^{3}\right)}}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\frac{1}{12 a a^{2}}\left(-3 a^{3}+6 c^{2} d+8 c d^{2}+3 a^{3}\right)$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{12 a^{2}}\left(3 c^{3}+6 c^{2}+4 c a^{2}+c^{3}\right)$ |
| $\frac{1}{24 a b}\left(a^{3}-c^{3}\right)$ | $\frac{\mathrm{c}^{2}}{8 a}$ | $\frac{c}{24 a b}\left(3 c^{2}+3 c d+a^{2}\right)$ | $-\frac{1}{24 a}\left(6 c^{2}+4 c a+c\right)$ | $-\frac{1}{24 a b}\left(3 c^{3}+6 c^{2} d\right.$ | ) $\frac{1}{24}(3 c+d)$ |
| - $\frac{a}{2}$ | - $\frac{\mathrm{b}}{2}$ | - $\frac{0}{2}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{b}}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{2}$ | 0 |

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{U}_{p}=\frac{1}{2}\left\lfloor q_{p}\right\rfloor\left[T_{p}\right]^{T}\left[H_{p}\right]^{-1}\left[T_{p}\right]\left\{q_{p}\right\} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left[K_{p}\right]$ represents the matrix of stiffness coefficients, strain energy may also be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{U}_{p}=\frac{1}{2}\left\lfloor q_{p}\right\rfloor\left[K_{p}\right]\left\{q_{p}\right\} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparison of equations (18) and (19) yields the stiffness matrix of the element in terms of $\left[H_{p}\right]$ and $\left[T_{p}\right]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[K_{p}\right]=\left[T_{p}\right]^{T}\left[H_{p}\right]^{-1}\left[T_{p}\right] \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (20) represents the end product sought in this section. It is the plane stress stiffness matrix referenced with respect to the elemental system of axes.

In the following section, a similar procedure is employed to develop a bending stiffness matrix.

### 2.3 Bending Stiffness Matrix

a) Stress functions-For any point in the triangular element of Figure 1 it is assumed that the five stress components $\sigma_{x}, \sigma_{y}, \sigma_{x y}, \sigma_{z x}, \sigma_{z y}$ may be expressed as a function of the coordinates of the point and a set of parameters, $\beta_{m} \cdot \sigma_{x}, \sigma_{y}$ and $\sigma_{x y}$ are assumed to have a linear variation across the depth of the element and a quadratic variation in the plane of the element. $\sigma_{z x}$ and $\sigma_{z y}$ have a parabolic variation across the depth of the element and a linear variation in the plane of the element. Figure 6 shows
the assumed stress variation across the element thickness.


Figure 6. Bending Stress Distribution

The five stress equations are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{x}=\bar{z}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \bar{x}+\beta_{3} \overline{\mathrm{y}}+\beta_{4} \overline{\mathrm{x}}^{2}+\beta_{5} \overline{\mathrm{x}} \overline{\mathrm{y}}+\beta_{6} \overline{\mathrm{y}}^{2}\right)  \tag{21a}\\
& \sigma_{y}=\overline{\mathrm{z}}\left(\beta_{7}+\beta_{8} \overline{\mathrm{x}}+\beta_{9} \overline{\mathrm{y}}+\beta_{10} \overline{\mathrm{x}}^{2}+\beta_{11} \overline{\mathrm{x}} \overline{\mathrm{y}}+\beta_{12} \overline{\mathrm{y}}^{2}\right)  \tag{21b}\\
& \sigma_{\mathrm{xy}}=\overline{\mathrm{z}}\left(\beta_{13}+\beta_{14} \overline{\mathrm{x}}+\beta_{15} \overline{\mathrm{y}}+\beta_{16} \overline{\mathrm{x}}^{2}+\beta_{17} \overline{\mathrm{x}} \overline{\mathrm{y}}+\beta_{18} \overline{\mathrm{y}}^{2}\right)  \tag{21c}\\
& \sigma_{z x}=\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{19}+\beta_{20} \overline{\mathrm{x}}+\beta_{21} \overline{\mathrm{y}}\right)  \tag{21d}\\
& \sigma_{z y}=Z^{3}\left(\beta_{22}+\beta_{23} \overline{\mathrm{x}}+\beta_{24} \overline{\mathrm{y}}\right) \tag{21e}
\end{align*}
$$

Where

$$
\bar{z}=\frac{8 z}{t}
$$

$$
z^{\prime}=1-\frac{4 z^{2}}{t^{2}}
$$

and $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{y}$ are as previously defined.
Having assumed stress equations (21), the stress resultants may be determined.
b) Stress resultants-The five stress resultants are
determined by integrating equations (21) across the depth of the element.
$M_{x}=\int_{-t / 2}^{t / 2} \sigma_{x} z d z=\frac{2 t^{2}}{3}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \bar{x}+\beta_{3} \bar{y}+\beta_{4} \bar{x}^{2}+\beta_{5} \bar{x} \bar{y}+\beta_{6} \bar{y}^{2}\right)$
$M_{y}=\int_{-t / 2}^{t / 2} \sigma_{y} z d z=\frac{2 t^{2}}{3}\left(\beta_{7}+\beta_{8} \bar{x}+\beta_{9} \bar{y}+\beta_{10} \bar{x}^{2}+\beta_{11} \bar{x} \bar{y}+\beta_{12} \bar{y}^{2}\right)$
$M_{x y}=\int_{-t / 2}^{t / 2} \sigma_{x y} z d z=\frac{2 t^{2}}{3}\left(\beta_{13}+\beta_{14} \bar{x}+\beta_{15} \bar{y}+\beta_{1} 6^{\bar{x}^{2}+\beta_{17} \bar{x} \bar{y}}\right.$ $\left.+\beta_{18} \overline{\mathrm{y}}^{2}\right)$
$Q_{z x}=\int_{-t / 2}^{t / 2} \sigma_{z x} d z=\frac{2 t}{3}\left(\beta_{19}+\beta_{\left.20^{\bar{x}}+\beta_{21} \bar{y}\right), ~(2)}\right.$
$Q_{z y}=\int_{-t / 2}^{t / 2} \sigma_{z y} d z=\frac{2 t}{3}\left(\beta_{22}+\beta_{23} \overline{\bar{x}}+\beta_{24} \bar{y}\right)$
c) Equilibrium-Application of the conditions of equilibrium of the element show in Figure 7 allows for seven $\beta$-parameters to be solved for in terms of the remaining seventeen. Equations of equilibrium to be satistied are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial Q_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial Q_{y}}{\partial Y}=0  \tag{23a}\\
& \frac{\partial M_{y}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial M_{x y}}{\partial x}-Q_{z y}=0  \tag{23b}\\
& \frac{\partial M_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial M_{x y}}{\partial Y}-Q_{z x}=0 . \tag{23c}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 7. Bending Stress Resultants

Equations (22) are substituted into (23) to obtain the relationship between the $\beta$ parameters. In terms of the reduce number of $\beta^{\prime} s$, stress equations (21) may now be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\sigma_{b}\right\}=\left[P_{b}\right]\{\beta\} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\sigma_{b}\right\}=\left\{\sigma_{x}, \sigma_{y}, \sigma_{x y}, \sigma_{z x}, \sigma_{z y}\right\} \\
& \{\beta\}=\left\{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}, \ldots, \beta_{16}, \beta_{18}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and matrix $\left[P_{b}\right]$ is as shown in Table VII.
d) Stress-strain relationship-The stress-strain relationship for an elastic, isotropic and homogeneous material obeying Hooke's Law may be expressed in the following manner:

TABIE VII
$\operatorname{MATRIX}\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{b}}\right]$

| $\overline{\mathrm{z}}$ | z̄̄ | 23 | $\bar{z} \bar{x}^{2}$ | zzxy | $\bar{z} \bar{y}^{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bar{z}$ | $\bar{z} \bar{X}$ | $\overline{z y}$ |  | zzy | $\bar{z} \bar{y}^{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | $-\frac{b}{a} \bar{z} \bar{x} \bar{y}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $-\frac{a}{b} \bar{z} \bar{x} y$ | $\bar{z}$ | $\bar{z} \bar{x}$ | ży | $\overline{\mathrm{z}} \overline{\mathrm{x}}^{2}$ | $\bar{z} \bar{y}^{2}$ |
| 0 | $\frac{1}{2} z^{\prime}$ |  | $\frac{1}{a} z^{\prime} \bar{x}$ | $\frac{1}{a} z^{\prime} \cdot \bar{y}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $-\frac{a}{b^{2}} z^{\prime} \bar{x}$ | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{6} z^{\prime}$ | 0 | $\frac{2}{b} z \cdot \bar{y}$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | $-\frac{b}{a^{2}} z^{\prime}$ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{6} z$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{b} z!\bar{x}$ | $\frac{1}{b} z^{\prime} \bar{y}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{a} z^{\prime}$ | 0 | $\frac{2}{a} z^{\prime} \bar{x}$ | 0 |

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\epsilon_{\mathrm{x}}  \tag{25a}\\
\epsilon_{\mathrm{y}} \\
\gamma_{\mathrm{xy}} \\
\gamma_{\mathrm{zx}} \\
\gamma_{\mathrm{zy}}
\end{array}\right]=\frac{1}{\mathrm{E}}\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & -v & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-v & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \bar{v} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \bar{v} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \bar{v}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{\mathrm{x}} \\
\sigma_{\mathrm{y}} \\
\sigma_{\mathrm{xy}} \\
\sigma_{\mathrm{zx}} \\
\sigma_{z y}
\end{array}\right]
$$

or, more concisely as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{e_{b}\right\}=\left[N_{b}\right]\left\{\sigma_{b}\right\} \tag{25b}
\end{equation*}
$$

e) Strain energy-Referring to equation (6) the expression for strain energy due to bending may be written:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{U}}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{1}{2}\lfloor\beta\rfloor\left[\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right]\{\beta\} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[H_{b}\right]=\int_{v}\left[P_{b}\right]^{T}\left[N_{b}\right]\left[P_{b}\right] d v \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix $\left[\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right.$ ] is evaluated in the same manner as for the plane stress condition and is presented in Table VIII and Table IX.
f) Boundary displacements-Expressions for boundary displacements are assumed such that compatibility of the three deformations along a line separating two elements is achieved. That is, compatibility of the vertical deflection and the slopes, normal and tangent to the line. Edge displacements are expressed in terms of the generalized nodal displacements at the ends of the line. Consider a general

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { TABLE VIII } \\
& \text { MATRIX }\left[\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$


edge st shown in Figure 8. The orthogonal axes system has a general orientation.


Figure 8. General Displacements

Vertical deflection along st is represented by a third degree polynomial in $\gamma$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(\gamma)=A_{0}+A_{1} \gamma+A_{2} \gamma^{2}+A_{3} \gamma^{3} \tag{28a}
\end{equation*}
$$

This polynomial involves four constants which may be evaluated in terms of the four nodal displacements $W_{s},{ }_{n s}$, $W_{t}$ and $\theta_{\mu t}$. The torsional rotation $\theta_{\gamma}$ is assumed to vary linearly along st and is expressed in terms of $\theta_{\gamma s}$ and ${ }^{\theta} \gamma \mathrm{yt}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\gamma}{ }_{\gamma}={ }^{\theta_{\gamma i}}(\gamma-1)-\theta_{\gamma_{j}} \bar{\gamma} \tag{28b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\bar{\gamma}=\frac{\gamma}{s t} .
$$

Equations (28) are applied to each of the three edges of
the triangular element shown in Figure 9. This yields a set of nine equations which are most conveniently expressed in matrix form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{u_{b}\right\}=\left[L_{b}\right]\left\{q_{b}\right\} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\left\{u_{b}\right\}$ is the vector of edge displacements, $\left[L_{b}\right]$ is a coefficient matrix and $\left\{q_{b}\right\}$ is the vector of generalized nodal displacements. The generalized nodal displacements are shown in Figure 10. Equation (29) is recorded in Table X.


Figure 9. Boundary Displacements Due to Bending
g) Edge actions-Equivalent edge actions are shown in Figure 11. They are evaluated from the stress equations (24)

## TABLE X

EDGE DISPLACEMENT MATRIX FOR BENDING



Figure 10. Generalized Nodal Displacements Due to Bending


1
Figure 11. Edge Actions Due to Bending
and expressed in matrix notation as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{S_{b}\right\}=\left[R_{b}\right]\{\beta\} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{S_{b}\right\}$ is the vector of edge actions due to bending and $\left[R_{b}\right]$ is a coefficient matrix. The transpose of $\left[R_{b}\right]$ is presented in Table XI.
h) Work of edge actions-As previously discussed in connection with equation (10) the work of the bending edge actions acting through their respective displacements may be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{W}_{b}=\lfloor\beta\rfloor\left[T_{b}\right]\left\{q_{b}\right\} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[T_{b}\right]=\oint\left[R_{b}\right]^{T}\left[I_{b}\right] \mathrm{ds} . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The elements of matrix $\left[T_{b}\right.$ ] are listed in Appendix $A$.
i) Complementary energy-Applying the principle of minimum complementary energy leads to results similar to equation (16). The following expression is obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[H_{b}\right]\{\beta\}=\left[T_{b}\right]\left\{q_{b}\right\} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

j) Element stiffness matrix in bending-The matrix algebra involved here is the same as in paragraph (j) of section 2.2 and results in the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[K_{b}\right]=\left[\mathbb{T}_{b}\right]^{T}\left[H_{b}\right]^{-1}\left[T_{b}\right] \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (34) represents the bending stiffness matrix referenced with respect to the elemental system of axes,

> TABLE XI
> MATRIX $\left[R_{b}\right]^{T}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{1}=1-\bar{x} \quad M_{3}=\bar{x}-2 \bar{x}^{2} \quad M_{5}=1-2 \bar{x} \quad \cdots M_{7}=1-4 \bar{x}+3 \bar{x}^{2} \\
& M_{2}=3 \bar{x}^{2}-2 \bar{x} \quad M_{4}=\bar{x}-\bar{x}^{2} \quad M_{6}=1-2 \bar{x}+\bar{x}^{2} \quad M_{8}=1-3 \bar{x}+2 \bar{x}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{y}$ and z .

### 2.4 Total Element Stiffness Matrix

Equations (20) and (34) express the matrix formulations for plane stress stiffnesses and bending stiffnesses respectively. The plane stress stiffness, derived on the basis of the theory of plane stress, indicates that displacements $U$ and $V$ are related only with the in-plane forces $\mathbb{N}_{X}$ and $\mathbb{N}_{y}$. The bending stiffness, derived on the basis of the Lagrangian - Kirchoff Plate Theory, indicates that displacements $\theta_{x}, \theta_{y}$ and $w$ are related only with actions $M_{y}, M_{X}$ and $Q_{z}$. Thus, the total element stiffness matrix may be expressed as

$$
[\mathrm{K}]=\left[\begin{array}{c:c}
K_{p} & 0  \tag{35}\\
\hdashline- & - \\
0 & \\
K_{b}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K=\text { total element stiffness matrix } \\
& K_{p}=\text { plane stress stiffness matrix } \\
& K_{b}=\text { bending stiffness matrix }
\end{aligned}
$$

However, the form of equation (35) may be improved by grouping linear and rotational displacements and the corresponding forces and moments. Displacement and action vectors for a node $i$ of the triangular element are:

$$
\left\{q_{i}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
u_{i}  \tag{36}\\
v_{i} \\
w_{i} \\
\theta_{x i} \\
\theta_{y i} \\
\theta_{z i}
\end{array}\right] \quad\left\{S_{i}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
N_{x i} \\
N_{y i} \\
Q_{z i} \\
M_{y i} \\
M_{x i} \\
M_{z i}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The total element stiffness matrix conforming to equations (36) is built up from the elements of $\left[K_{p}\right]$ and $\left[K_{b}\right]$ as demonstrated in Table XII. The rotation $\theta_{z}$ is taken to be zero in this paper since the angle at which the finite elements meet in a smoothly curving shell is small. For structures in which the plate elements meet at a significant angle, as at the fold line in a folded plate structure, the stiffness corresponding to $\theta_{z}$ could have a controlling influence on the plate bending actions (21). The moment $\mathbb{M}_{z}$ is zero in the elemental system.

If an element has node points $i, j$ and $k$, the total element stiffness matrix (Table XII) may be partitioned into $6 \times 6$ submatrices as

$$
[K]=\left[\begin{array}{c:c:c}
K_{i j} & K_{i j} & K_{i k}  \tag{37}\\
\hdashline K_{j i} & K_{j j} & K_{j k} \\
\hdashline-- & K_{k i} & K_{k j} \\
\hdashline K_{k k}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## ELEMENT STIFFNESS MATRIX

## CHAPIER III

## FORMULATION AND SOLUTION

### 3.1 Axes Transformation

The element stiffness matrix (Table XII) developed in Chapter II is referenced to the axes system of the element. Before the elemental stiffnesses aan be combined to form the structural stiffness it is necessary to transform each elemental stiffness from its own system to one common system, referred to as the structural system。 Figure 12 shows an elemental system and the structural system. Superscript "a" refers to the elemental system while "o" refers to the structural system.


Figure 12. Axes Reference Systems

Displacements and forces are transformed from the elemental to the structural system by performing three rotations. The transformation for a node point i may be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{q_{i}^{a}\right\}=[\Psi]\left\{q_{i}^{0}\right\} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{S_{i}^{a}\right\}=[\Psi] \quad\left\{S_{i}^{0}\right\} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The vectors $\left\{q_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{S_{i}\right\}$ are as in equation (36) and the transformation matrix is:

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{o a x}=\text { cosine of angle between } X^{a} \text { and } X^{0} \text { axes } \\
& \beta_{\text {oax }}=\text { cosine of angle between } X^{a} \text { and } Y^{0} \text { axes } \\
& \gamma_{\text {oax }}=\text { cosine of angle between } X^{a} \text { and } Z^{0} \text { axes } \\
& \% \\
& \% \\
& \gamma_{\text {oaz }}=\text { cosine of angle between } Z^{a} \text { and } Z^{0} \text { axes }
\end{aligned}
$$

The element transformation matrix is constructed from equation (40) and may be expressed as


Elements of the $q$-matrix are derived by expressing each of the elemental axes as a vector in terms of the structural system coordinates of the node points. This results in the following nine equations for the direction cosines.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{\text {oax }}=\frac{x_{j}-x_{i}}{a}  \tag{42a}\\
& \beta_{\text {oax }}=\frac{y_{j}-y_{i}}{a}  \tag{42b}\\
& \gamma_{\text {oax }}=\frac{z_{j}-z_{i}}{a}  \tag{42c}\\
& \alpha_{\text {oay }}=\frac{x_{k}-x_{i}-c \alpha_{\text {oax }}}{b}  \tag{42d}\\
& \beta_{\text {oay }}=\frac{y_{k}-y_{i}-c \beta_{\text {oax }}}{b}  \tag{42e}\\
& \gamma_{\text {oay }}=\frac{z_{k}-z_{i}-c \gamma_{\text {oax }}}{b}  \tag{42f}\\
& \alpha_{\text {oaz }}=\beta_{\text {oax }} \gamma_{\text {oay }}-\beta_{\text {oay }} \gamma_{\text {oax }}  \tag{42g}\\
& \beta_{\text {oaz }}=\alpha_{\text {oay }} \gamma_{\text {oax }}-\alpha_{\text {oax }} \gamma_{\text {oay }}  \tag{42h}\\
& \gamma_{\text {oaz }}=\alpha_{\text {oax }} \beta_{\text {oay }}-\alpha_{\text {oay }} \beta_{\text {oax }} \tag{42i}
\end{align*}
$$

The element stiffness is transformed from the elemental (a) system to the structural (o) system by the following matrix operations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{S^{a}\right\}=\left[K^{a}\right]\left\{q^{a}\right\} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
[\Omega]\left\{S^{0}\right\}=\left[K^{a}\right][\Omega],\left\{q^{0}\right\}
$$

therefore

$$
\left\{S^{0}\right\}=[\Omega]^{T}\left[K^{a}\right][\Omega]\left\{q^{0}\right\}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{S^{0}\right\}=\left[K^{0}\right]\left\{q^{0}\right\} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{o}}\right]=[\Omega]^{\mathrm{T}}\left[\mathrm{~K}^{\mathrm{a}}\right][\Omega] \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2 Structural Stiffness Matrix

Equation (45) expresses the stiffness of a single finite element in reference to the structural system. There are as many such matrices as there are finite elements. These are evaluated and then combined to form the structural stiffness matrix which is of size $6 \mathrm{n} x \mathrm{n}$, where n is the number of node points in the entire structure. This structural stiffness matrix, designated [SK], relates the generalized displacements of each and every node to the external load vector,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{F\}=[S K]\{q\} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\{F\}$ is the vector of external loads, [SK] is the matrix of stiffness coefficients and $\{q\}$ is the vector of
generalized nodal displacements. The superscript designating system of axes is omitted from equation (46) since the formulation requires that all loads and displacements be referenced to the structural system.

### 3.3 Boundary Conditions

The formulation of equation (46) allows for solution of generalized displacements"\{q\} only after proper constraints have been applied to render the structure stable. These constraints are applied in the form of boundary, or support, conditions.

It is in the application of boundary conditions that the finite element method has a distinct advantage over classical theory. Boundary conditions can be physically reasoned without concern for such effects as concentrated corner forces which occur in Kirchoffean plate theory or shear forces which occur along plate edges.

Since there are six degrees of freedom at each node, there are six possible conditions of constraint at each node which may be applied singly or in any combination. For any node point i: these are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{U}_{i}=0 \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{i}}=0 \\
& \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{i}}=0 \\
& \theta_{\mathrm{xi}}=0 \\
& \theta_{\mathrm{yi}}=0 \\
& \theta_{\mathrm{zi}}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For each rigid constraint, the corresponding row and column of the stiffness matrix is deleted and the order of the matrix is reduced by one. Therefore, if $r$ is the number of constraints, the order of the reduced stiffness matrix is ( $6 n-r$ ).

### 3.4 Skewed Boundaries

The ability to work with skewed boundaries is a necessity for analyzing arbitrarily shaped plates or shells. It is also desirable when working with regular structures which have skewed axes. Since computer storage capacity is critical in the finite element method, it is important to take full advantage of symmetry whenever possible. For example, a symmetrical umbrella shell, symmetrically loaded, may be analyzed by considering only one-half of one quadrant.

The stiffness matrix must be modified by transforming the rows and columns which correspond to node points lying on a skewed boundary. Figure 13 represents a boundary which is skewed with respect to the structural system. The angle of skew is in the $X-Y$ plane.


Figure 13. Skewed Boundary Axes

The relation between displacements at node $i$ in the two systems may be expressed: (superscript "b" refers to skewed system of axes).

or

$$
\left\{q_{i}^{0}\right\}=[\omega]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
b  \tag{47}\\
q_{i}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

In a similar manner:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{O}}\right\}=[\omega]\left\{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{b}}\right\} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

The stiffness matrix for a structure in which some of the nodes lie on non-skewed axes while others lie on skewed axes is a "mixed" matrix. That is to say, some of the elements are in the structural system, others are in the skew system while still others are in a structural-skew system. In the mixed matrix there are four different relations between forces and displacements.

$$
\text { i) } F^{\circ} \text { related with } q^{0}
$$

ii) $F^{\circ}$ related with $q^{b}$
iii) $\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{b}}$ related with $\mathrm{q}^{0}$
iv) $\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{b}}$ related with $\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{b}}$

Condition (i) requires no modification in the stiffness matrix. Condition (ii) requires that all columns of [SK] corresponding to a node on the skew boundary be postmultiplied by [ $\omega$ ]. Condition (iii) requires that all rows of [SK] corresponding to a node on the skew boundary be premultiplied by $[\omega]^{T}$. Condition (iv) is satisfied by the operations of (ii) and (iii). These matrix operations are conveniently carried out by working with the $6 x 6$ submatrices which correspond to each node point. It is, of course, possible to have many different skewed boundaries in a single structure. Such would be the case for a circular plate or any irregular shaped plate or shell.

### 3.5 Deformations

The elemental stiffness matrices (Table XII) are transformed to the structural system and combined to form the structural stiffness matrix. This matrix is then reduced in accordance with applied boundary conditions and modified, if necessary, for skewed axes. This results in a set of ( $6 n-r$ ) simultaneous equations which are solved to yield the deformations at each and every node point.

### 3.6 Stresses and Stress Resultants

Having determined the generalized displacements at each node point, stresses in the individual finite elements may be evaluated. This is accomplished by selecting from the displacement vector those values corresponding to the
three nodes of the particular element in question. These displacement values are then transformed from the structural system to the elemental system and used to build vectors $\left\{q_{p}\right\}$ and $\left\{q_{b}\right\}$. Equations (17) and (33) are substituted into equations (4) and (24) respectively to yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\sigma_{p}\right\}=\left[P_{p}\right]\left[H_{p}\right]^{-1}\left[T_{p}\right]\left\{q_{p}\right\} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{a_{b}\right\}=\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{b}}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right]^{-1}\left[\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{b}}\right]\left\{\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{b}}\right\} . \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

After solving equations (49) and (50), the in-plane and bending actions are evaluated from equations (2) and (22) respectively.

## CHAPTER IV

## APPIICATION TO HYPERBOLIC PARABOLOID SHELIS

### 4.1 General Procedure

The first step in applying the finite element method of analysis to a shell, or any elastic continuum, is to idealize the structure by subdividing it into an assemblage of discrete members, triangular elements in the case of doubly curved shells. There are many different ways in which this can be done and, of course, the closer the idealization is to the actual structure, the better the results.

Secondly, the elastic characteristics of the finite element are determined. This has been presented in detail in Chapter II. In this presentation matrices [ $H_{p}$ ] (Tables II and III), $\left[T_{p}\right]$ (Table VI), $\left[\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right]$ (Tables VIII and IX) and [ $\left.T_{b}\right]$ (Appendix A) are hand formulated in terms of the : elastic properties and the geometry of the finite element. They are then coded in FORTRAN and an IBM 7040 Digital Computer is used to evaluate the element stiffness matrices (equations 20 and 34; and Table XII).

The third step consists of the structural analysis of the entire assemblage of elements. Details concerning axes transformation, boundary conditions and skewed axes
are presented in Chapter III。 This step is carried out com－ pletely on the computer．A flow chart of the computer pro－ gram is given in Appendix B．

The procedure outlined above is applied to the analysis of two hyperbolic paraboloidal shells，details of which are given in the next sections．

## 4．2 Edge Supported Shell

The shell shown in Figure 14 is analyzed for defor－ mations due to a uniformly distributed vertical load of 0.3472 pounds per square inch．The middle surface of the shell is defined by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\frac{L_{z} X Y}{L_{x} I_{y}} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

The shell is supported along all four edges by diaphrams which are considered to be incapable of vertical deflections while offering no resistance to normal displacements．Elas－ tic properties and dimensions of the shell are given in Table XIII。

The shell is subdivided into an assemblage of tri－ angular elements as shown in planform in Figure 15．A finer grid is selected near the center of the shell an－ ticipating this to be the region of larger deflections． Since the shell is symmetrically loaded and is also geometri－ cally symmetrical with respect to aoc and bod（Figure 14）， it can be analyzed by considering one quadrant such as cod。


Figure 14. Edge Supported Shell

## TABLE XIII

PROPERTIES OF EDGE SUPPORTED SHELL

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
I_{\mathrm{x}}=180 \text { in。 } & E=3 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{psi} \\
I_{y}=180 \text { in。 } & t=2.5 \mathrm{in} . \\
I_{z}=36 \text { ino } & \nu=0.16
\end{array}
$$



Figure 15. Finite Element Idealization of Edge Supported Shell

Deformations at the node points of the shell are listed in Table XIV while Figure 16 shows a contour plot of vertical deflections.

### 4.3 Inverted Umbrella Shell

The shell shown in Figure 17 is a popular form of the hyperbolic paraboloid and is known as the inverted umbrella shell. This shell is analyzed for deformations due to a uniformly distributed vertical load of 0.4861 pounds per square inch. The middle surface of the shell is defined by the equation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\frac{I_{z}}{I_{x} I_{y}}\left(I_{x}-x\right)\left(I_{y}-y\right) \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

The shell is supported by a single colum at the center and stiffened by ribs and edge beams as shown in Figure 18. The tapered rib is approximated by elements of constant thickness, each having a different thicknessa. Elastic properties and dimensions of the shell are given in Table XV.

The idealized shell is shown in planform in Figure 19. Due to conditions of symmetry the shell can be analyzed by considering one-half of one quadrant. Deformations at the node points are listed in Table XVI and a contour plot of the vertical deflections is shown in Figure 20.


Figure 16. Vertical Deflections For Edge Supported Shell

## TABLE XIV

NODE POINT DEFORMATIONS FOR EDGE SUPPORTED SHELL

| $\begin{gathered} \text { NODE } \\ \text { POINTT } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \left(i n_{0}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{V} \\ \left(\mathrm{in}_{0}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} W \\ \left(\text { in。 }_{0}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\left(\operatorname{rad}_{c}^{\theta}\right)$ | $\left(\begin{array}{r} \theta_{0} \\ \left(\mathrm{r}_{0}\right) \end{array}\right.$ | $\left(\mathrm{rad}_{0}^{\theta_{0}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.028153 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 |
| 2 | -0.000710 | 0.000710 | 0.025991 | 0.000001 | 0.000001 | 0.000000 |
| 3 | -0.000700 | -0.000000 | 0.026559 | 0.000163 | -0.000000 | -0.007813 |
| 4 | -0:000710 | -0.000710 | 0.025991 | 0.000001 | -0.000001 | 0.000000 |
| 5 | -0.001780 | 0.001780 | 0.033467 | 0.000152 | 0.000152 | 0.000000 |
| 6 | -0.001302 | -0.000000 | 0.026027 | 0.000089 | -0.000000 | 0.000414 |
| 7 | -0.001780 | -0.001780 | 0.033467 | 0.000152 | -0.000152 | 0.000000 |
| 8 | -0.002086 | 0.002086 | 0.022003 | -0.000299 | -0.000299 | 0.000000 |
| 9 | -0:003140 | 0.001625 | 0.030958 | -0.000243 | -0.000089 | -0.000606 |
| 10 | -0:002936 | 0.000000 | 0.029764 | 0.000118 | -0.000000 | -0.002285 |
| 11 | -0.003140 | -0.001625 | 0.030958 | -0.000243 | 0.000089 | -0.000606 |
| 12 | -0.002086 | -0.002086 | 0.022003 | -0.000299 | 0.000299 | 0.000000 |
| 13 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 |
| 14 | 0.000000 | -0.000732 | 0.000000 | -0.000440 | 0.000000 | -0.000009 |
| 15 | 0.000000 | -0.000395 | 0.000000 | -0.000691 | 0.000000 | 0.000211 |
| 1.6 | 0:000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.006675 | 0.000000 | -0.036501 |
| 17 | 0.000000 | 0.000395 | 0.000000 | -0.000691 | 0.000000 | 0.000211 |
| 18 | 0.000000 | 0.000732 | 0.000000 | -0.000440 | 0.000000 | -0.000009 |
| 19 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 |



Figure 17. Inverted Umbrella Shell

TABLE XV
PROPERTIES OF INVERTED UMBRELIA SHELI

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
I_{x}=144 \text { in. } & E=3.85 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{psi} \\
I_{y}=144 \text { in. } & t=1.5 \mathrm{in} . \\
I_{z}=36 \text { in. } & v=0.10
\end{array}
$$




Figure 19. Finite Element Idealization of Inverted Umbrella Shell


Figure 20. Vertical Deflections For Inverted

TABLE XVI
NODE POINT DEFORMATIONS FOR INVERTED UMBRELLA SHELL

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { NODE } \\ & \text { POINT } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{U} \\ \left(\mathrm{in} \mathrm{~N}_{0}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{V} \\ \left(\mathrm{in} \mathrm{n}_{0}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} W \\ \left(i n_{0}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\left(\operatorname{rad}_{0}\right)$ | $\left(\operatorname{rad}_{\bullet}{ }_{\mathrm{y}}^{\mathrm{y}}\right)$ | $\left(\begin{array}{r} \theta_{\mathrm{z}} \\ \left(\mathrm{rad}_{0}\right) \end{array}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $0: 000000$ | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 |
| 2 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 |
| 3 | 0.000000 | -0.000024 | 0.013445 | 0.000436 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 |
| 4 | -0:000010 | 0.000325 | 0.013332 | -0.000311 | -0.001072 | -0.004526 |
| 5 | 0.000000 | 0.004634 | 0.041636 | 0.000575 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 |
| 6 | 0.000009 | 0.004886 | 0.041539 | 0.000487 | -0.000221 | -0.001061 |
| 7 | $0: 000000$ | 0.014046 | 0.080419 | 0.001574 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 |
| 8 | 0.000000 | 0.016827 | 0.089709 | 0.001538 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 |
| 9 | 0.000052 | 0.013983 | 0.080457 | 0.001584 | 0.000145 | 0.000630 |
| 10 | 0.003766 | 0.011992 | 0.095786 | 0.001969 | -0.000712 | -0.000078 |
| 11 | 0.004963 | 0.013923 | 0.107720 | 0.001980 | -0.000227 | 0.003232 |
| 12 | 0.006772 | 0.008703 | 0.152754 | 0.002603. | -0.002361 | -0.003781 |
| 13 | 0.008003 | 0.009949 | 0.168241. | 0.002608 | -0.002190 | -0.001030 |
| 14 | 0.008957 | 0.008957 | 0.258872 | 0.002806 | -0.002806 | 0.000000 |
| 15 | 0.009129 | 0.009129 | 0.292626 | 0.002851 | -0.002351 | 0.000000 |
| 16 | -0.003206 | -0.003206 | -0.004403 | -0.000436 | 0.000436 | 0.000000 |
| 17 | -0.003839 | -0.003839 | -0.002405 | 0.000337 | -0.000337 | 0.000000 |
| 18 | 0.000029 | 0:000029 | 0.040601 | 0.001751 | -0.001751 | 0.000000 |
| 19 | 0.006548 | 0.006548 | 0.158948 | 0.002888 | -0.002888 | 0.000000 |
| 20 | -0.000999 | 0.001422 | 0.028810 | 0.001202 | 0.000393 | -0.001361 |

CHAPTER V

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

### 5.1 Summary

A stiffness method for the analysis of shells of double curvature is presented in this dissertation. The shell is idealized as an assemblage of plane triangular shaped elements connected together at their node points. Equilibrium is established within each element and at the node points and compatibility of deformations is satisfied along the line separating adjacent elements. The development consists mainly of formulating the elemental stiffness matrices which are derived on the basis of an assumed stress function. Final solution is effected by the use of a digital computer.

Two hyperbolic paraboloid shells, one supported along all four edges and the other supported by a central column, are analyzed for deformations to demonstrate the method.
5.2 Discussion of Results

The number of doubly curved shells for which solutions are reported in the literature is very limited. The shells selected as examples in this paper have been analyzed by
other methods and the results, to a certain extent, are available for comparison.

A solution by application of Bongard's simplified equations for the edge supported shell shown in Figure 14 is reported by Chetty and Tottenham (6). They list vertical deflections for a section along the y-axis. Figure 21 shows a comparison of deflections obtained by the two methods. There is considerable variation in the deflection pattern al though maximum values, 0.038 inches as opposed to 0.033 inches, compare quite favorably.


Pigure 21. Vertical Deflection Profile Along Y-Axis of Edge Supported Shell

Bending stress resultants are calculated in accordance with the procedure discussed in section 3.6. Discontinuities in the bending moments do occur according to which of the contiguous elements is used in the calculation at a particular node point. As indicated by equations (49) and (50) the stresses, and therefore the stress resultants, are
a function of the generalized displacements at all three nodes of an element. Thus, it is expected that there should be a certain amount of discontinuity in the moment values. The magnitudes of the discontinuities are dependent upon the element size and orientation relative to other elements joining at the node point. Table XVII lists values of $M_{y}$ at several node points as calculated from different elements to illustrate the discrepancies.

TABLE XVII
BENDING MOMENTS FOR SHELI OF FIGURE 14

| Node Point | Moment $M_{\mathrm{V}}(\operatorname{In}-\mathrm{Lb} / \mathrm{In})$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $-22.50$ | -22.50 | $-2.08$ |
| 6 | 27.93 | 12.68 | 21.24 |
| 10 | $-19.24$ | -25.27 | -20.08 |
| 11 | $-11.67$ | -28. 55 | -11.57 |
| 12 | $-45.17$ | $-28.28$ | -19.87 |

The deformations calculated for the inverted umbrella shell (Figure 17) are comparable with experimental results obtained in a laboratory test and reported by the Portland Cement Association (22). The vertical deflection contours calculated in this dissertation are in very close agreement with the experimental results. There is a difference in the magnitude of the deflections as the experimental results include the effects of creep and plastic flow of the concrete. Discontinuities of the stress resultants, similar to those
discussed in the previous paragraph; also occurred for the inverted umbrella shell.

### 5.3 Conclusions and Possible Extensions

The presentation provides a general solution for determining deformations in shells of double curvature. The method applies equally well to other plate and shell structures provided that the middle surface is either flat or smoothly curved. Based on a limited number of applications, it is concluded that the method yields accurate deformations. It is believed that this is the first general solution for shells in which the stiffnesses of ribs and edge beams have been incorporated directly in the analysis.

The method does not admit to accurate results as far as internal forces and moments are concerned. The orientation of the elements within the structure appears to have a significant influence on the results. A considerable amount of work remains to be done in this area and perhaps a method for predicting an optimum orientation can be derived.

The use of curved elements to represent shell surfaces is almost an untouched field and holds pramise as a better way of idealizing complicated shapes. The curved element itself could be idealized as an assemblage of triangular elements and its elastic characteristics evaluated by the method of this dissertation.
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## APPENDIX A

## $\operatorname{MATRIX}\left[\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}}\right]$

The general nature of the geometrical shape of the finite element requires that many of the elements in matrix $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}}$ be lengthy expressions. Therefore, rather than presenting $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}}$ in matrix form it is more convenient to list the individual elements.
$T_{1,1}=\frac{b^{2} c}{2 g^{2}}$
$T_{1,2}=-\frac{b^{3}}{2 g^{2}}$
$T_{1,3}=-\frac{b c}{g^{2}}$
$T_{1,4}=\frac{b^{2} \alpha}{2 f^{2}}$
$T_{1,5}=\frac{b^{3}}{2 f^{2}}$
$\mathrm{T}_{1,6}=-\frac{\mathrm{bd}}{f^{2}}$
$T_{1,7}=\frac{b^{2} c}{2 g^{2}}+\frac{b^{2} d}{2 f^{2}}$
$T_{1,8}=-\frac{b^{3}}{2 g^{2}}+\frac{b^{3}}{2 f^{2}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{1,9}=\frac{b c}{g^{2}}+\frac{b d}{f^{2}} \\
& T_{2,1}=\frac{b^{2}}{12 a g^{2}}\left[4 c^{2}+b^{2}\right] \\
& T_{2,2}=\frac{b c}{12 a g^{2}}\left[2 c^{2}-b^{2}\right] \\
& T_{2,3}=-\frac{b}{2 a g^{2}}\left[b^{2}+2 c^{2}\right] \\
& T_{2,4}=\frac{b^{2}}{12 a f^{2}}\left[6 c d+2 d^{2}-b^{2}\right] \\
& T_{2,5}=\frac{b}{12 a f^{2}}\left[6 b^{2} c+5 b^{2} d+2 d^{3}\right] \\
& T_{2,6}=\frac{b}{2 a f^{2}}\left[b^{2}-2 c d\right] \\
& T_{2,7}=\frac{b^{2} c^{2}}{4 a g^{2}}+\frac{b^{2} d}{4 a f^{2}}[2 c+d] \\
& T_{2,8}=\frac{b c}{12 a g^{2}}\left[-5 b^{2}-2 c^{2}\right]+\frac{b}{12 a f^{2}}\left[6 b^{2} c+b^{2} d-2 d^{3}\right] \\
& T_{2,9}=\frac{b c^{2}}{2 a g^{2}}+\frac{b d}{2 a f^{2}}[2 c+d] \\
& T_{3,1}=\frac{b^{2} c}{4 g^{2}} \\
& T_{3,2}=\frac{b}{12 g^{2}}\left[c^{2}-2 b^{2}\right] \\
& T_{3,3}=-\frac{b c}{2 g^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{3,4}=\frac{b^{2} \alpha}{4 f^{2}} \\
& T_{3,5}=\frac{b}{12 f^{2}}\left[2 b^{2}-d^{2}\right] \\
& T_{3,6}=-\frac{b d}{2 f^{2}} \\
& T_{3 ; 7}=\frac{b^{2} c}{4 g^{2}}+\frac{b^{2} a}{4 f^{2}} \\
& T_{3,8}=\frac{b}{12 g^{2}}\left[-4 b^{2}-c^{2}\right]+\frac{b}{12 f^{2}}\left[4 b^{2}+d^{2}\right] \\
& T_{3,9}=\frac{b c}{2 g^{2}}+\frac{b a}{2 f^{2}} \\
& T_{4,1}=\frac{9 b^{2} c^{3}}{20 a^{2} g^{2}} \\
& T_{4,2}=\frac{b c^{2}}{20 a^{2} g^{2}}\left[4 c^{2}-5 b^{2}\right] \\
& T_{4,3}=-\frac{9 b c^{3}}{10 a^{2} g^{2}} \\
& T_{4,4}=\frac{b^{2} d}{20 a^{2} f^{2}}\left[10 c^{2}-d^{2}\right] \\
& T_{4,5}=\frac{b}{60 a^{2} f^{2}}\left[30 b^{2} c^{2}+20 b^{2} c d+5 b^{2} d^{2}+20 c d^{3}+8 d^{4}\right] \\
& T_{4,6}=\frac{b}{10 a^{2} f^{2}}\left[d^{3}-10 c^{2} d\right] \\
& T_{4,7}=\frac{9 b^{2} c^{3}}{20 a^{2} g^{2}}+\frac{b^{2} a}{20 a^{2} f^{2}}\left[10 c^{2}-d^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
T_{4,8}=\frac{b c^{2}}{20 a^{2} g^{2}}\left[-15 b^{2}-6 c^{2}\right]+\frac{b}{60 a^{2} f^{2}}\left[30 b^{2} c^{2}-20 b^{2} c d-5 b^{2} d^{2}\right. \\
\left.-20 c d^{3}-2 d^{4}\right] \\
T_{4,9}=\frac{b c}{10 a^{2} g^{2}}\left[-10 b^{2}-c^{2}\right]+\frac{b}{10 a^{2} f^{2}}\left[10 c^{2} d+10 c d^{2}+10 b^{2} c-d^{3}\right] \\
T_{5,1}=\frac{b^{2}}{60 a g^{2}}\left[11 c^{2}+2 b^{2}\right] \\
T_{5,2}=\frac{b c}{20 a g^{2}}\left[2 c^{2}-b^{2}\right] \\
T_{5,3}=\frac{b}{20 a g^{2}}\left[-3 b^{2}-9 c^{2}\right] \\
T_{5,4}=\frac{b^{2}}{60 a f^{2}}\left[15 c d+4 d^{2}-2 b^{2}\right] \\
T_{5,5}=\frac{b}{60 a f^{2}}\left[10 b^{2} c+7 b^{2} d-5 c d^{2}+d^{3}\right] \\
T_{5,6}=\frac{b}{20 a f^{2}}\left[3 b^{2}-10 c d-d^{2}\right] \\
T_{5,7}=\frac{b^{2}}{20 a g^{2}}\left[2 c^{2}-b^{2}\right]+\frac{b^{2}}{20 a f^{2}}\left[5 c d+3 d^{2}+b^{2}\right] \\
T_{5,9}=\frac{b c}{20 a g^{2}}\left[-6 b^{2}-3 c^{2}\right]+\frac{b}{20 a g^{2}}\left[-7 b^{2}-c^{2}\right]+\frac{b}{20 a f^{2}}\left[20 b^{2} c+2 b^{2} d+5 c d^{2}-4 d^{3}\right] \\
T_{5}
\end{array}\right]+10 c d+11 d^{2}+7 b^{2}\right] 0
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{6,2}=\frac{b}{60 g^{2}}\left[4 c^{2}-5 b^{2}\right] \\
& T_{6,3}=-\frac{3 b c}{10 g^{2}} \\
& T_{6,4}=\frac{3 b^{2} d}{20 f^{2}} \\
& T_{6,5}=\frac{b}{60 f^{2}}\left[5 b^{2}-4 d^{2}\right] \\
& T_{6,6}=-\frac{3 b d}{10 f^{2}} \\
& T_{6,7}=\frac{3 b^{2} c}{20 g^{2}}+\frac{3 b^{2} d}{20 f^{2}} \\
& T_{6,8}=\frac{b}{20 g^{2}}\left[-5 b^{2}-2 c^{2}\right]+\frac{b}{20 f^{2}}\left[5 b^{2}+2 d^{2}\right] \\
& T_{6,9}=\frac{3 b c}{10 g^{2}}+\frac{3 b d}{10 f^{2}} \\
& T_{7,5}=\frac{b d^{2}}{2 f^{2}} \\
& T_{7,3}=-\frac{b c}{T_{7,2}^{2}}+\frac{c^{3}}{2 g^{2}} \\
& T_{7,4}=-\frac{b c^{2}}{2 g^{2}} \\
& T_{7,2} \\
& T_{6} \\
& T_{6} \\
& T_{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{7,6}=\frac{b a}{f^{2}} \\
& T_{7,7}=\frac{c^{3}}{2 g^{2}}+\frac{d^{3}}{2 f^{2}} \\
& T_{7,8}=-\frac{b c^{2}}{2 g^{2}}+\frac{b d^{2}}{2 f^{2}} \\
& T_{7,9}=-\frac{b c}{g^{2}}-\frac{b d}{f^{2}} \\
& T_{8,1}=-\frac{a}{6}+\frac{c^{2}}{12 a g^{2}}\left[2 c^{2}-b^{2}\right] \\
& T_{8,2}=-\frac{b c^{3}}{4 a g^{2}} \\
& T_{8,3}=\frac{b c^{2}}{2 a g^{2}} \\
& T_{8,4}=-\frac{a}{3}+\frac{d^{2}}{12 a f^{2}}\left[6 c d+4 d^{2}+b^{2}\right] \\
& T_{8,5}=\frac{b d^{2}}{4 a f^{2}}[2 c+d] \\
& T_{8,6}=\frac{b d}{2 a f^{2}}[2 c+d] \\
& T_{8,7}=\frac{c^{2}}{12 a g^{2}}\left[4 c^{2}+b^{2}\right]+\frac{d^{2}}{12 a f^{2}}\left[6 c a+2 a^{2}-b^{2}\right] \\
& T_{8,8}=-\frac{b c^{3}}{4 a g^{2}}+\frac{b d^{2}}{4 a f^{2}}[2 c+a] \\
& T_{8,9}=-\frac{b c^{2}}{2 a g^{2}}-\frac{b a}{2 a f^{2}}[2 c+a]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{9,1}=\frac{c}{12 g^{2}}\left[c^{2}-2 b^{2}\right] \\
& T_{9,2}=\frac{1}{12 b}\left[a^{2}-c^{2}\right]-\frac{b c^{2}}{4 g^{2}} \\
& T_{9,3}=-\frac{d}{2 b}+\frac{b c}{2 g^{2}} \\
& T_{9,4}=\frac{d}{12 f^{2}}\left[d^{2}-2 b^{2}\right] \\
& T_{9,5}=\frac{1}{12 b}\left[d^{2}-a^{2}\right]+\frac{b d^{2}}{4 f^{2}} \\
& T_{9,6}=-\frac{c}{2 b}+\frac{b d}{2 f^{2}} \\
& T_{9,7}=\frac{c}{12 g^{2}}\left[2 b^{2}+5 c^{2}\right]+\frac{d}{12 f^{2}}\left[2 b^{2}+5 d^{2}\right] \\
& T_{9,8}=-\frac{b c^{2}}{4 g^{2}}+\frac{b d^{2}}{4 f^{2}}+\frac{1}{12 b}\left[c^{2}-d^{2}\right] \\
& T_{9,9}=\frac{a}{2 b}-\frac{b c}{2 g^{2}}-\frac{b d}{2 f^{2}} \\
& T_{10,1}=-\frac{a}{12}+\frac{c^{3}}{60 a^{2} g^{2}}\left[5 c^{2}-4 b^{2}\right] \\
& T_{10,2}=-\frac{3 b c^{4}}{20 a^{2} g^{2}} \\
& T_{10,3}=\frac{3 b c^{3}}{10 a^{2} g^{2}} \\
& T_{10,4}=-\frac{a}{4}+\frac{d^{2}}{60 a^{2} f^{2}}\left[30 c^{2} d+40 c d^{2}+15 d^{3}+10 b^{2} c+6 b^{2} d\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{T}_{10,5}=\frac{b d^{2}}{20 a^{2} f^{2}}\left[10 c^{2}+10 c d+3 d^{2}\right] \\
& T_{10,6}=\frac{b d}{20 a^{2} f^{2}}\left[20 c^{2}+20 c d+6 d^{2}\right] \\
& \mathbb{T}_{10,7}=\frac{c^{3}}{20 a^{2} g^{2}}\left[5 c^{2}+2 b^{2}\right]+\frac{d^{2}}{60 a^{2} f^{2}}\left[30 c^{2} d+20 c d^{2}+5 d^{3}\right. \\
& \left.-10 b^{2} c-4 b^{2} d\right] \\
& \mathbb{T}_{10,8}=-\frac{3 b c^{4}}{20 a^{2} g^{2}}+\frac{b d^{2}}{20 a^{2} f^{2}}\left[10 c^{2}+10 c d+3 d^{2}\right] \\
& \mathbb{T}_{10,9}=-\frac{3 b c^{3}}{10 a^{2} g^{2}}-\frac{b d}{10 a^{2} f^{2}}\left[10 c^{2}+10 c d+3 d^{2}\right] \\
& \mathbb{T}_{11,1}=\frac{c^{2}}{20 a g^{2}}\left[c^{2}-2 b^{2}\right] \\
& \mathbb{T}_{11,2}=\frac{1}{60 a b g^{2}}\left[2 a^{3} g^{2}-2 c^{3} g^{2}-9 b^{2} c^{3}\right] \\
& \mathbb{T}_{11,3}=\frac{9}{60 a b g^{2}}\left[-a^{2} g^{2}+c^{2} g^{2}+2 b^{2} c^{2}\right] \\
& \mathbb{T}_{11,4}=\frac{d}{60 a f^{2}}\left[5 c d^{2}-10 b^{2} c-4 b^{2} d+2 d^{3}\right] \\
& \mathbb{T}_{11,5}=\frac{1}{60 a b}\left[-3 a^{3}+5 c d^{2}+3 d^{3}\right]+\frac{b d}{20 a f^{2}}\left[5 c d+2 d^{2}\right] \\
& \mathbb{T}_{11,6}=\frac{c}{20 a b}[-7 c-4 d]+\frac{b d}{20 a f^{2}}[10 c+4 d] \\
& \mathbb{T}_{11,7}=\frac{3 c^{2}}{20 a g^{2}}\left[b^{2}+2 c^{2}\right]+\frac{d}{60 a f^{2}}\left[25 c d^{2}+10 b^{2} c+b^{2} d+7 d^{3}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{11,8}=\frac{c^{3}}{20 a b g^{2}}\left[c^{2}-2 b^{2}\right]+\frac{d^{2}}{60 a b f^{2}}\left[10 b^{2} c+4 b^{2} d-5 c d^{2}-2 d^{3}\right] \\
& T_{11,9}=\frac{c^{2}}{20 a b g^{2}}\left[b^{2}+7 c^{2}\right]+\frac{d^{2}}{20 a b f^{2}}\left[-b^{2}+10 c d+3 d^{2}\right] \\
& T_{12,1}=\frac{c}{60 g^{2}}\left[15 c^{2}-12 b^{2}\right] \\
& T_{12,2}=-\frac{9 b c^{2}}{20 g^{2}} \\
& T_{12,3}=\frac{9 b c}{10 g^{2}} \\
& T_{12,4}=\frac{1}{60 f^{2}}\left[-20 c d^{2}+10 b^{2} c-2 b^{2} d-5 d^{3}\right] \\
& T_{12,5}=\frac{b}{60 f^{2}}\left[-30 c d-3 d^{2}\right] \\
& T_{12,6}=\frac{b}{10 f^{2}}[-10 c-d] \\
& T_{12,7}=\frac{c}{20 g^{2}}\left[15 c^{2}+6 b^{2}\right]+\frac{1}{60 f^{2}}\left[5 d^{3}-40 c d^{2}-10 b^{2} c+8 b^{2} d\right] \\
& T_{12,8}=-\frac{9 b c^{2}}{20 g^{2}}-\frac{3 b d}{60 f^{2}}[d+10 c] \\
& T_{12,9}=\frac{c}{10 b g^{2}}\left[b^{2}+10 c^{2}\right]+\frac{d}{10 b f^{2}}\left[b^{2}-10 c d\right] \\
& T_{13,1}=-\frac{b c^{2}}{g^{2}} \\
& T_{13,2}=\frac{b^{2} c}{g^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{T}_{13,3}=-\frac{2 b^{2}}{g^{2}} \\
& \mathbb{T}_{13,4}=\frac{b d^{2}}{f^{2}} \\
& \mathbb{T}_{13,5}=\frac{b^{2} d}{f^{2}} \\
& \mathbb{T}_{13,6}=\frac{2 b^{2}}{f^{2}} \\
& \mathbb{T}_{13,7}=-\frac{b c^{2}}{g^{2}}+\frac{b d^{2}}{f^{2}} \\
& \mathbb{T}_{13,8}=\frac{b^{2} c}{g^{2}}+\frac{b^{2} d}{f^{2}} \\
& \mathbb{T}_{13,9}=\frac{1}{g^{2}}\left[b^{2}-c^{2}\right]+\frac{1}{f^{2}}\left[d^{2}-b^{2}\right] \\
& \mathbb{T}_{14,1}=-\frac{b c^{3}}{2 a g^{2}} \\
& \left.\mathbb{T}_{14,2}=\frac{a}{6}+\frac{c^{2}}{12 a g^{2}}{ }^{2} 4 b^{2}-2 c^{2}\right] \\
& \mathbb{T}_{14,3}=\frac{c^{3}}{a g^{2}}-1 \\
& T_{14,4}=\frac{b d^{2}}{2 a f^{2}}[2 c+d] \\
& T_{14,5}=-\frac{a}{6}+\frac{d}{6 a f^{2}}\left[6 b^{2} c+4 b^{2} d+d^{3}\right] \\
& T_{14,6}=\frac{1}{a f^{2}}\left[b^{2} a-c d^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{14,7}=-\frac{b c^{3}}{2 a g^{2}}+\frac{b d^{2}}{2 a f^{2}}[2 c+d] \\
& T_{14,8}=\frac{c^{2}}{6 a g^{2}}\left[4 b^{2}+c^{2}\right]+\frac{d}{6 a f^{2}}\left[6 b^{2} c+2 b^{2} d-d^{3}\right] \\
& T_{14,9}=\frac{b^{2} c}{a g^{2}}+\frac{1}{a f^{2}}\left[c d^{2}-b^{2} c+d^{3}\right] \\
& T_{15,1}=\frac{b}{6 g^{2}}\left[b^{2}-2 c^{2}\right] \\
& T_{15,2}=\frac{b^{2} c}{2 g^{2}} \\
& T_{15,3}=-\frac{b^{2}}{g^{2}} \\
& T_{15,4}=\frac{b}{6 f^{2}}\left[2 d^{2}-b^{2}\right] \\
& T_{15,5}=\frac{b^{2} d}{2 f^{2}} \\
& T_{15,6}=\frac{b^{2}}{f^{2}} \\
& T_{15,7}=\frac{b}{6 g^{2}}\left[-b^{2}-4 c^{2}\right]+\frac{b}{6 f^{2}}\left[b^{2}+4 d^{2}\right] \\
& T_{15,8}=\frac{b^{2} c}{2 g^{2}}+\frac{b^{2} d}{2 f^{2}} \\
& T_{15,9}=\frac{d^{2}}{f^{2}}-\frac{c^{2}}{g^{2}} \\
& T_{16,1}=-\frac{3 b c^{4}}{10 a^{2} g^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{16,2}=\frac{2 a}{15}+\frac{c^{3}}{30 a^{2} g^{2}}\left[5 b^{2}-4 c^{2}\right] \\
& T_{16,3}=-\frac{3}{5}+\frac{3 c^{4}}{5 a^{2} g^{2}} \\
& T_{16,4}=\frac{b d}{10 a^{2} f^{2}}\left[10 a c d+3 d^{3}\right] \\
& T_{16,5}=-\frac{a}{5}+\frac{d}{30 a^{2} f^{2}}\left[30 b^{2} c^{2}+40 b^{2} c d+15 b^{2} d^{2}+10 d^{3}+6 d^{4}\right] \\
& T_{16,6}=-\frac{2}{5}+\frac{1}{5 a^{2} f^{2}}\left[-5 c^{2} d^{2}+10 b^{2} c d+5 b^{2} d^{2}+5 b^{2} c^{2}+2 d^{4}\right] \\
& T_{16,7}=-\frac{3 b c^{4}}{10 a^{2} g^{2}}+\frac{b d}{10 a^{2} f^{2}}\left[10 c^{2} d+10 c d^{2}+3 d^{3}\right] \\
& T_{16,8}=\frac{c^{3}}{10 a^{2} g^{2}}\left[5 b^{2}+2 c^{2}\right]+\frac{d}{30 a^{2} f^{2}}\left[30 b^{2} c^{2}+20 b^{2} c d+5 b^{2} d^{2}\right. \\
& T_{16,9}=\frac{c^{2}}{5 a^{2} g^{2}}\left[5 b^{2}+2 c^{2}\right]+\frac{1}{5 a^{2} f^{2}}\left[5 c^{2} d^{2}+10 c d^{3}-4 d^{4}\right] \\
& T_{17,1}=\frac{b}{30 g^{2}}\left[4 b^{2}-5 c^{2}\right] \\
& T_{17,2}=\frac{3 b^{2} c}{10 g^{2}} \\
& T_{17,3}=-\frac{3 b^{2}}{5 g^{2}} \\
& T_{17,4}=\frac{b}{30 f^{2}}\left[5 d^{2}-4 b^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{17,5}=\frac{3 b^{2} d}{10 f^{2}} \\
& T_{17,6}=\frac{3 b^{2}}{5 f^{2}} \\
& T_{17,7}=\frac{b}{10 g^{2}}\left[-2 b^{2}-5 c^{2}\right]+\frac{b}{30 f^{2}}\left[6 b^{2}+15 d^{2}\right] \\
& T_{17,8}=\frac{3 b^{2} c}{10 g^{2}}+\frac{3 b^{2} d}{10 f^{2}} \\
& T_{17,9}=\frac{1}{5 g^{2}}\left[-2 b^{2}-5 c^{2}\right]+\frac{1}{5 f^{2}}\left[2 b^{2}+5 d^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## APPENDIX B

## COMPUTER ANALYSIS

A computer program was written in FORTRAN IV language for the IBM 7040 digital computer for a complete analysis of the shell. All of the matrix algebra was performed by the use of the Scientific Subroutine Package (SSP) as provided by IBM. Due to the limited capacity of core storage, it was necessary to write the program in three phases. Output from the first two phases was recorded on tapes and read into the final phase of the program. A macro flow diagram is given in Figure B-1 to illustrate the basic steps in the solution of a shell.

The program requires the use of two data tapes, one for recording elemental properties and structural stiffness and the other for recording deformations, All node point deformations are determined at the end of Phase II while Phase III calculates the internal actions.

In the flow diagram, ELE is used to represent the elastic constants, number of elements, number of nodes, node identification, element geometry, $[\Psi],\left[H_{p}\right],\left[T_{p}\right],\left[H_{b}\right]$, $\left[\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{K}^{0}\right]$ 。


Figure B-1. Computer Flow Diagram


Figure B-1. Concluded
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