# RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN ASPECTS OF HOUSING, AND FIVE HOUSING-RELATED VALUES AS DETERMINED BY $\qquad \qquad \text{OPINIONS OF MOTHERS OF EXPANDING}$

FAMILIES

Вy

KAREN KAY STEWART

Bachelor of Arts

Oklahoma Baptist University

Shawnee, Oklahoma

1963

Submitted to the faculty of the Graduate School of the Oklahoma State University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of MASTER OF SCIENCE May, 1965

# RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN ASPECTS OF HOUSING AND FIVE HOUSING-RELATED VALUES AS DETERMINED BY OPINIONS OF MOTHERS OF EXPANDING FAMILIES

Thesis Approved:

Maie Aypren
Chesis Adviser
Christian J. Salman
Leever Lepi
Gean of the Graduate School

#### PREFACE

A value is "an internalized standard which materially affects the way in which a person will react when confronted with a situation permitting more than one course of action." Families usually find themselves in choice-making situations when seeking suitable housing. In these situations a family's values enter to influence what will be selected. The purpose of this study is to determine the relationships made by a selected group of women between certain aspects of housing and five housing-related values. It is hoped that the data obtained in this study will be of help to architects, building contractors, and housing specialists as a guide in planning homes for individuals and families that will better meet with the family's value orientation.

The writer wishes to express her sincere gratitude to Dr. Maie
Nygren, Professor and Head of Housing and Interior Design, for her
competent guidance, helpful suggestions, constructive criticism, and
encouragement. Indebtedness is acknowledged also to Mrs. F. C. Salmon,
Associate Professor in Housing and Interior Design and Miss Leevera
Pepin, Assistant Professor in Housing and Interior Design, for their
contributions as members of the advisory committee. The writer also
acknowledges indebtedness to Dr. Carl E. Marshall, Professor of
Statistics and Director of the Statistics Laboratory, for his help in

Talcot Parsons, and Edward A. Shils, eds., <u>Toward a General Theory of Action</u>, (Cambridge, 1951), p. 395.

drawing the sample and directing statistical treatment of the data; to Mr. Wayne Berry, Mr. John Blankenship, Mrs. Evelyn Hamilton, and Mrs. Patti Carpenter for their help in processing the data; and to Miss Cleetis McClain for her assistance in conducting a substantial number of interviews. The writer also expresses appreciation to the women of Stillwater, Oklahoma, who so willingly cooperated in the study.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter		-	Page
I.	INTRODUCTION	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
	Statement of Problem		7
	Purpose	<b>a a a a a a a a</b>	7
	Assumptions		8
	Hypothesis		8
	Description of Variables		8
II.	REVIEW OF LITERATURE	à a a o o a a · a a	12
	Values and Their Measurement		12
	Housing Related Values		17
	Measuring Personal Values		28
	Summary		34
	bummary		J-
III.	METHODOLOGY		35
	Development of Instrument and Its Us	se in	
	Collection of Data		35
	Selection of Sample		38
	Treatment of Data		39
	Description of Sample		42
IV.	INTERPRETATION OF DATA		43
	Doub T		43
	Part I		
	Part II		64
V.	SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	• • • • • • • • •	82
	Summary		82
	Conclusions		84
	Recommendations		86
SELECTE	ED BIBLIOGRAPHY	o a • · · · · · · ·	88
APPENDI	IX A	• • • • • • • • •	91
APPENDI	X B		94
APPENDI	IX C		114

# LIST OF TABLES

Table	P	age
I.	Percentages of Respondents Citing a Relationship Between Aspects of Housing and Selected Values	44
II.	Aspects of Housing Included Within "Top Three."	48
III.	Relationships Between Aspects of Housing and Values According to Education	51
IV.	Relationships Between Aspects of Housing and Values According to Age of Children	53
<b>V</b> .•	Relationships Between Aspects of Housing and Values According to Age of Children	.55
VI.	Relationships Between Aspects of Housing and Values According to Age of Children	57
VII.	Relationships Between Aspects of Housing and Values According to Sex of Children	59
VIII.	Relationships Between Aspects of Housing and Values According to Sex of Children	61
IX.	Relationships Between Aspects of Housing and Values According to Sex of Children	63
х.	Relationships of Aspects of Housing with All of the Values or None of the Values	68
XI.	Relationships Between Aspects of Housing and Combinations of Values Differing Significantly According to Education	71
XII.	Relationships Between Aspects of Housing and Combinations of Values Differing Significantly According to Age of Children	72
XIII.	Relationships Between Aspects of Housing and Combinations of Values Differing Significantly According to Sex of Children	74

# LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

[able		Page
XIV.	Relationships Between Aspects of Housing and Combinations of Values Differing Significantly According to Sex of	
	Children	76
XV.	Relationships Between Aspects of Housing and Combinations of Values Differing Significantly According to Number	
	of Children	77
. IVX	Relationships Between Aspects of Housing and Combinations	
	of Values Differing Significantly According to Number of Children	79

#### CHAPTER I

# INTRODUCTION

A basic assumption of the study is that people have values which operate to determine what people do. Values have been the focus of many social-psychological studies, the findings of which support this assumption. A study of values serves as a means of gaining insights into the formation of norms and social organization since values are a fundamental part of these things. A clear conception of current theories concerning the nature of values may help one to recognize and analyze their function in relation to housing.

"A value is a conception, explicit or implicit, distinctive of an individual or characteristic of a group, of the desirable which influences the selection from available modes, means, and ends of action."

Or to say this another way, a value is "an internalized standard which materially affects the way a person will react when confronted with a situation permitting more than one course of action."

Pointing out some of the major characteristics of values may help to clarify the function served by values. One characteristic of a person's values is that the values are not really seen. What is seen is but the indexes of these values. The values held by a person may be either conscious

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Talcot Parsons, and Edward A. Shils, (eds.), <u>Toward a General</u> <u>Theory of Action</u>, (Cambridge, 1951), p. 395.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Ibid,

or unconscious as they operate within the individual. Another characteristic of values is that values are largely cultural products which come from the society, yet no two individuals within the same society share identical values. The emphasis given each value may be a little more for some and a little less for others. The values that one holds come from his environment and become a part of him quite slowly and unconsciously. A long period of years is required for a value system to develop within an individual. Values, once acquired, are relatively stable but not necessarily permanent. It is possible that values may change over a period of time. Values will be influential in overt behavior only when there is a free choice to be made between two or more courses of action. Values are emotionally charged; they do matter and they are really important. As long as one behaves in terms of agreement with his values he will feel good about the behavior but when he has not followed his value orientation, he may feel anxious or guilty. Conflict between values may exist within a given society and within a given individual.<sup>3</sup>

Williams has identified fifteen major categories of values in our society as being:

- 1. Achievement and success
- 2. Activity and work
- 3. Moral orientation
- 4. Humanitarian mores
- 5. Efficiency and practicality
- 6. Progress
- 7. Material comfort
- 8. Equality

- 9. Freedom
- 10. External conformity
- 11. Science and secular rational ization
- 12. Nationalism-patriotism
- 13. Democracy
- 14. Individual personality

James E. Montgomery, "Housing Values: Meaning, Measurement and Implications," Address to Oklahoma Home Economics Association, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, October 5, 1957.

15. Racism and related group-superiority themes. 4

The term value differs from such closely related terms as preferences, attitudes, and goals. A value differs from a perference inasmuch as a preference generally is based on an individual's range of experience and may not be justified on the basis of any commonly accepted standards or moral judgments. A value differs from an attitude inasmuch as an attitude may refer only to what is desired, while a value is what is desirable. Both preferences and attitudes are likely to change more frequently than values; values tend to endure. A differentiation may be made between values and goals by recognizing that values are not the concrete goals of behavior but rather are aspects of these goals. Values appear as the criteria against which goals are chosen, and as the implications which these goals have in a situation.

Values are characteristic of groups and of individuals. Values are not limited to any one area or activity but they play a part in the many aspects that make up an individual. Since it is known that these values influence overt behavior, it may logically be assumed that certain values will be related to housing and hence will influence what a family does concerning not only the structure in which they live but also the total housing environment. Families usually find themselves in choicemaking situations when seeking suitable housing. In these situations their values enter to influence what they will select.

Robin M. Williams, Jr., American Society: A Sociological Interpretation, (New York, 1955), pp. 391-399.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Glen H. Beyer, <u>Housing and Personal Values</u>, Cornell University Agricultural Experiment Station Memoir 362, (Ithaca, New York, July 1959) pp. 4-5.

Studies done previously on preferences and values have dealt primarily with the isolation of the broad general area defined by large segments of society. A few studies dealing specifically with housing values have revealed considerable amounts of information. Cutler measured ten values which she considered as related to housing; comfort, friends, economy, health, beauty, safety, convenience, location, privacy, and hobbies. Her findings indicate that the values which a number of rural people consider to be the most important differ according to age, sex, social status, marital status, occupation, etc. 7

The Cornell Housing Study measured nine value orientations as they relate to housing: economy, family centrism, physical health, aesthetics, leisure, equality, freedom, mental health, and social prestige. This study produced evidence that values cluster. In their final analysis, the investigators concluded that only four value clusters — economy, family centrism, prestige and personal — represent the scope of housing values. The study also revealed differences in the importance of housing values to home-owner wives and husbands. The most important findings from this study are that the gap between the way wives and husbands behave toward and react to housing is often great, and the higher the socioeconomic status the greater is this domestic disagreement. With the help of architects, plans were drawn up in an effort to plan a house

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Francena L. Nolan, "The Nature of Attitudes, Values, and Preferences and Their Application to Housing Research," <u>Papers on Research Methodology</u>, New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin No. 776, (New Brunswick, n.d.), p. 18.

Virginia F. Cutler, <u>Personal and Family Values in the Choice of a Home</u>, Cornell Univ. Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin 840, (Ithaca, New York, 1947.)

for each of the value clusters.<sup>8</sup>

The Cornell Housing Study, or Buffalo Study as it is sometimes referred to, served as the pilot study for the Triple Cities Study conducted by Beyer. The same nine values were used as in the Buffalo Study but this study did not combine the values into four clusters. Scale analysis was used for an operational criteria in obtaining the data. This study revealed that values tend to fall into two clusters rather than four. The first cluster has the traits of realism and group or collective extroverted activities while the second group has idealism and personal whimsical demands with disregard of basic physical needs. This study concluded that it is necessary to develop a sound understanding of these basic value orientations and how they influence housing requirements in order to better provide satisfactory shelter for families.

In spite of previous studies relating to housing values, there remains some areas that are yet relatively unexplored. One such area concerns values as they may relate to the various stages of the family life cycle. Beyer states that three things are important in influencing the choice of a particular house that a family may select at a given time; values, stage in the life cycle, and income. Furthermore, he says that the degree of satisfaction that will be derived ultimately from the house will be determined by the family's value orientation. The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Glen H. Beyer, Thomas W. Mackesey, and James E. Montgomery, <u>Houses</u>
<u>Are For People</u>: A Study of Home Buyer Motivations, Cornell University
Housing Research Center, (Ithaca, New York, 1955).

Glen H. Beyer, Housing and Personal Values, pp. 5-6.

Glen H. Beyer, Housing: A Factual Analysis, (New York, 1958), p. 176-177.

importance of the stage in the family life cycle as an influence is again emphasized by Beyer when he says,

With respect to changed needs over a period of time, the greatest changes probably will result from changes in the stage in the life cycle, with further changes due to possible changes in income. The values a family holds tend to endure through time and, therefore while they may change to a degree, would be less prone to causing different requirements than some other factors.

As these changes occur, previously unfamiliar demands requiring attention are made on housing.

A study of housing choices and housing selections by Ruth Smith,

Laura Kivlin and Cecile Sinden looked at certain housing factors to

see which were preferred by families at various stages of the life
cycle. The investigators found that significant differences exist be
tween the factors preferred by families in each of the various stages

of the life-cycle. La families are put into choice-making situations

to decide which aspects of housing they prefer, their values come into

play. This leads to a second relatively unexplored area. Little re
search has been done to find out just which value is assigned to

various aspects of housing.

Because limitations of time and money make it impossible to study the values of all families as they relate to housing, this study is limited to one stage of the family life cycle, the expanding family. Home buyers today are showing preferences for features in new homes that are especially planned for the child. It is the expanding family

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Ibid, p. 177.

<sup>12</sup>Ruth H. Smith, Laura D. Kivlin, Cecile P. Sinden, Housing Choices and Selections, Penn. State University, Publication 204, (University Park, May, 1963).

that is concerned most with the child and its care. The expanding family experiences sudden changes in composition which make demands on housing and often force families into choice-making situations. For these reasons the expanding family has been selected as the stage in the family life cycle to be considered in this study.

By determining the prepotent values of expanding families and then further determining which of certain aspects of housing are related to these values, plans could successfully be made for a house that would meet a given family's value orientations. Such information could be used by planners and builders of large housing projects as well as by builders of individual units in an effort to better fit houses to the values of families in the expanding stage.

#### Statement of the Problem

This study seeks to determine which of certain aspects of housing will be assigned to each of five specific, housing-related values by mothers of families in the expanding stage of the family life cycle. The values held by an individual come into play in choice-making situations. The final decision for action will be influenced by the values of the individual. In selecting a house, many decisions must be made in regard to certain aspects of housing in order to select those that most nearly meet the needs of a family.

# Purpose

The purposes of this study are: first, to determine which of certain aspects of housing will be assigned to specific value categories, second, to determine whether the aspects of housing are assigned to only one value or to several, and third, to determine if there is any difference in the aspects of housing assigned to a given value according to family size, family composition, or education of the mother.

#### Assumptions

The first assumption of this study is that mothers of expanding families do have values. The second is that certain aspects of housing can be assigned to value categories.

#### Hypotheses

# Major Hypothesis:

Certain aspects of housing are related to the values held by mothers of expanding families.

# Sub-Hypothesis:

Association made between certain aspects of housing and selected, housing-related values vary according to education of mother, age of children, sex of children, and number of children.

# Description of Variables

# Antecedent Variable

The antecedent variable in this study is stage in the family life cycle. Limitations of time and finances made it impossible to study all stages of the life cycle. The expanding stage was chosen for study because it is during this stage that families experience sudden changes in composition which make demands on housing and often force families into choice-making situations. The expanding stage is defined as that time during which children are still being born into a family. For

purposes of this study, the expanding stage was determined by the childbearing age of the mother. Landis has set the childbearing age for women as the period from twenty—one years of age to twenty—eight years of age. 13 The sample selected for this study was composed of women between the ages of twenty and thirty who have at least one child.

# Independent Variables

- 1. Education of Mothers: Education of the mothers was classified into four categories:
  - a. less than high school graduate
  - b. high school graduate
  - c, high school plus some college
  - d. college graduate

The categories were dichotomized in the analysis so that the only two used were:

- a. high school or less
- b. some college
- 2. Family Composition:

The independent variable of family composition was divided into three parts; number of children, age of children, and sex of children.

A. Number of Children

The range of this variable was from one child to six children. In the analysis this variable was trichotomized as follows:

- 1) One child
- 2) Two children
- 3) Three or more children
- B. Age of Children
  - Only children of preschool age (from birth through five years)
  - 2) Only children of school age (six years and over)
  - 3) Both preschool and school age children

Paul Landis. Making the Most of Marriage, (New York, 1960), p. 596.

In the analysis, this variable was dichotomized as:

- 1) Only children of preschool age (birth through five years)
- 2) At least one child of school age (six years and over)

#### C. Sex of Children

- 1) One child only
- 2) Two or more children of the same sex
- 3) Two or more children of different sex

In the analysis this variable was dichotomized as:

- 1) One child only or two or more children of the same sex
- 2) Two or more children of different sex

# Dependent Variable

The dependent variable for this study is the association or non-association of housing aspects with five selected housing-related value categories. The housing aspects considered in this study are fifty-seven in number and are concerned with structural elements of a house or facilities for activities both inside and outside the home.

The five value categories are beauty, comfort, privacy, prestige and family centeredness. The working definitions established for each of the value categories are as follows:

#### 1. Beauty

Into this category should go those aspects of housing which have a quality or aggregate of qualities which give pleasure to the senses, or those aspects of housing which you feel would add to the attractiveness of a home.

#### 2. Comfort

Into this category should go those aspects of housing which you feel would make a home more restful or easier to live in.

# 3. Privacy

Into this category should go those aspects of housing which you feel would allow for an individual or family to be apart from company or observation if they so desired.

#### 4. Prestige

Into this category should go those aspects of housing

which you feel would create in a house the indication that the residents were of a higher class in society; a house that would demand admiration or esteem.

# 5. Family Centeredness

Into this category should go those aspects of housing which you feel would focus on the family as the center of activities; anything about a house that would make it easier for the family to be together while working or playing in the home.

Measurement of the relationship of the fifty seven aspects of housing to the five selected housing related value categories was based on the opinions of mothers whose families are in the expanding stage of the life cycle.

#### CHAPTER II

#### REVIEW OF LITERATURE

#### Values and Their Measurement

One basic factor which makes families, as well as individuals, differ from each other is human values. A well known anthropologist, Dorothy Lee has pointed out that one important way of helping people to have a meaningful life in a world of change is to aid them in becoming

. . . aware of the value content of their everyday life, to recognize the values channelled through the simple operations they perform, and to be aware of the values at the base of their choices and decisions. 1

Values have been the focus of many social-psychological studies which have given insight into structural elements of norms and social organization. Perry gives a definition of value in his book, Realms of Value.

. . . a thing - any thing - has value, or is valuable, in the original and generic sense when it is the object of an interest - any interest. Or, whatever is object of interest is ipso fact valuable.  $^2$ 

In order to give meaning to this definition of value, Perry thought it necessary to define interest.

Interest is a train of events determined by expectation of its

Dorothy Lee, "The Individual in a Changing Society," <u>Journal</u> of <u>Home Economics</u>, Vol. LII, (February, 1960), pp. 79-82.

Ralph B. Perry, Realms of Value, (Cambridge, Mass., 1954), pp. 2-3.

outcome. Or, a thing is an object of interest when its being expected induces actions looking to its realization or non-realization.  $^3$ 

By this definition, then, the quality that makes something valuable is the amount of interest a person has for that particular. This does not mean that interest creates values but rather that interest is owed to values.

Other definitions have been given to values.

A value is a conception, explicit or implicit, distinctive of an individual or characteristic of a group, or the desirable which influences the selection from available modes, means and ends of action; an internalized standard which materially affects the way a person will react when confronted with a situation permitting more than one course of action.<sup>4</sup>

Robin Williams has done a great deal of research into the study and meaning of values and value systems. He identifies values as being:

. . . things in which people are interested - things that they want, desire to be or become, feel as obligatory, worship or enjoy. Values are modes of organizing conduct - meaningful, affectively invested pattern principles that guide human action.

Value is a continuum with some things being very high on this continuum. The person feels severe guilt if he violates these things which he holds with strong value. Values play an important part in the central institutional structure of the society and concern goals or ends of action. Beliefs and other cognitive elements are closely associated with values. A belief may be distinguished from a value in that a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Ibid., p. 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Clyde Kluckhohn, and others, "Values and Value-Orientations in the Theory of Action," Talcot Parsons, and Edward A. Shils, <u>Toward A General Theory of Action</u>, (Cambridge, Mass., 1951), p. 395.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Robin Williams, <u>American Society</u>: <u>A Sociological Interpretation</u>. (New York, 1957), p. 375.

belief is "a conviction that something is real, but a value is a preference."

Williams enumerated several ways of finding out about the existence of values; (1) a systematic study of the regularity of choices made by a given group or social system, (2) observation of direction of interests, (3) by focusing upon what people say their values are, and (4) by inferring directly from verbal materials such as arguments. He also identified fifteen major clusters of values in our society. They are:

Achievement and success
Activity and work
Moral orientation
Humanitarian mores
Efficiency and practicality
Progress
Material comfort
Equality

Freedom
External conformity
Science and secular rationalization
Nationalism-patriotism
Democracy
Individual personality
Racism and related groupsuperiority themes.

Parsons and Shils attempt to incorporate a systematic definition of valuation into scientific theory of human behavior. They challenge philosophers to review the habit of separating the scientist's world of facts from the philosopher's realm of values and to use skills in philosophy to help science make a sounder and more sophisticated exploration of the value dimensions of human behavior. 10

The Cornell value-study group listed some generic characteristics

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Ibid., p. 379.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Ibid., pp. 378-379.

<sup>8</sup> Ibid., pp. 391-399.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Talcott, Parsons and Edward A. Shils, eds. <u>Toward a General</u> Theory of Action, (Cambridge, Mass., 1951).

Lawrence G. Thomas, "Prospects of Scientific Research into Values." Educational Theory, VI, (October, 1956), p. 1961.

of values which will help define values in a more tangible way.

- 1. Valuing is in some sense conceptual.
- 2. The conceptual element of value may or may not be conscious or explicit.
- 3. Values are "affectively charged."
- 4. Values are not identical with particular segmental "needs" of the organism.
- 5. Values are not the concrete goals of behavior, but rather are aspects of these goals.
- 6. Values as components in conduct-choices are directional.
- 7. Values may or may not be highly organized into "systems."
- 8. Some values are directly involved in the individual's existence as a "self."
- 9. Value is "important;" the quality of which we are speaking is not "trivial" or of "slight concern."
- 10. In groups or social systems values:
  - a. are widespread, permeate many activities.
  - are tangibly supported, fostered, encouraged, rewarded, praised, emulated.
  - c. tend to endure through time.
  - d. are important. 11

In studies by Asahel Woodrull values have been shown to vary with professional attainment and the level of security among college students and staff, and with religious backgrounds. 12 Allport, Vernon, and Lindzey reported from their study that values differ according to education and occupation. 13

Values, housing and otherwise, may be and have been measured and studied. Montgomery in an address to the Oklahoma Home Economics

Association enumerated and defined five techniques for measurement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Summary of Discussions by the Cornell Value-study group (Mimeo.) June 11, 1949, reported in Glenn Beyer, <u>Housing and Personal Values</u>, Memoire 364, Cornell Agricultural Experiment Station, Ithaca, New York, July, 1959, p. 4.

<sup>12</sup> Asahel D. Woodruff, The Psychology of Teaching, (New York, 1951), p. 134.

<sup>13</sup> Gordon Allport, Phillip Vernon, and Gardner Lindzey, <u>Study of Values</u>, <u>A Scale for Measuring the Dominant Interests in Personality</u>, (Boston, 1960).

One technique was used by anthropologists as they attempted delineations of values. In a second technique sociologists determined value profiles by carefully examining literature relating to such forms of institutional behavior as business, industry, government, education, the family, churches, and formal and informal organizations. This method was used by Williams in his work on American values. Although such a technique gives a sweeping account of major values it does not attempt to determine the extent to which a given individual embraces these common values. 14

Content analysis is still another technique for measuring values or value-related behavior. Berelson has defined content analysis as a "technique for the objective, systematic, and quantitiative description of the manifest content of communication." In a study of values by the content analysis technique, Montgomery investigated nine values: social prestige, physical health, mental health, aesthetics, leisure, economy, equality, family centrism, and freedom. His study revealed that physical health, economy, and aesthetics were emphasized far more often than all the other six values combined.

A fourth technique of measuring values is that of paired comparisons which was used by Virginia Cutler in her study of housing values. Her study will be discussed in more detail later in this section. The

<sup>14</sup> James E. Montgomery, "Housing Values" Meaning, Measurement and Implications," An address delivered at the annual meeting of OHEA, Oklahoma City, October 5, 1957, pp. 5-7.

<sup>15</sup> Bernard Berelson, Content Analysis in Communication Research, (Glencoe, Ill., 1952), p. 18.

 $<sup>^{16}</sup>$ James Montgomery, "Housing Value Themes in Selected Consumer Magazines," (Mimeo).

fifth technique defined by Montgomery is that of scalogram or scale analysis. In this method a number of related questions are used to form a continuum on which a given person's scale score can be located by ranking him from high to low or from favorable to unfavorable for any one value. The scalogram analysis was used to measure housing values in the study conducted at Cornell University. This study will be dealt with in more detail later in this section.

# Housing Related Values

Previously mentioned studies of values, along with many others, have dealt primarily with the isolation of the broad general areas defined by large segments of society. The Since these studies have revealed that values influence overt behavior, it may logically be assumed that values relating to housing will influence what that family does concerning not only the structure in which they live, but also the total housing environment. Housing values or housing-related values have been the main focus of three major studies and have been incorporated as a part of other studies since 1946. Housing values may be defined simply as values which are thought to have particular relevance to housing.

Virginia Cutler chose to study personal and family values by doing research on the interest one has in his home. 18 With this study

<sup>17</sup> Nolan, "The Nature of Attitudes, Values, and Preferences and Their Application to Housing Research," p. 18.

Virginia Cutler, <u>Personal and Family Values in the Choice of a Home</u>, Cornell University Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin 840, (Ithaca, New York, 1947).

Cutler has shown that values play a powerful role in determining one's satisfaction with one's house because the house has a decided influence on the possibility of engaging in certain general conditions of living. The technique used for making the home values test was developed with the aid of techniques introduced by Woodruff. Cutler's test rests on six major assumptions:

- 1. That a home value is a condition of the home which offers an individual or family maximum enhancement of home life.
- 2. That a home is a compound of various conditions or values.
- 3. That in any home various values may be present to a large or small degree in a pattern unique to each home.
- 4. That the home values of greatest importance to the individual and family should be allowed for in the structure of the house so that it will contribute maximally to the type of living desired.
- 5. That it is possible by the use of the paired comparison technique to determine the relative importance of one's values and to establish a family pattern made up of the patterns of its members.
- 6. That a knowledge of the relative importance of home values will enable the family to recognize specific features in a home which yield maximum satisfaction. 19

Ten basic home values provided the core of the test designed by Cutler. They were selected following a review of the literature pertaining to housing values in the fields of education, housing, architecture, sociology, and family life. Interviews with authorities in these subjects and interviews with families were used to determine which ten basic values should be used in the instrument. Cutler spoke of these values collectively as "home values" assuming that a home value "is a condition of the home which offers an individual or family maximum enhancement of home life." The ten values selected include beauty,

<sup>19&</sup>lt;sub>Tbid., p. 141.</sub>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup>Ibid., p. 142.

comfort, convenience, location, health, personal interests, privacy, safety, friendship activities, and economy.

Six different methods were used in this values test to elicit responses that would disclose values of the respondents.

- 1. Ten brief descriptions of homes, each reflecting one of the values, were to be ranked in order of preference.
- 2. From the paragraphs describing ten kinds of homes, each reflecting one of the values, the respondent was to choose the three kinds of homes in which he would like best to live and the two in which he would like least to live.
- 3. Forty-five statements arranged in pairs allowed the respondent to compare every kind of home with every other kind of home and to indicate a choice.
- 4. Values were to be ranked according to the responses to the comparisons in the preceding section.
- 5. Each value was to be rated on a three-point scale to indicate how satisfactory the respondent felt his home was in relation to this value.
- 6. The respondent was to make statements about the meaning of each value to him.  $^{21}$

It was Cutler's intention to develop a test that would be a self-teaching device to be used with family members of all ages and with people of high and low educational levels. It was intended to help family members know the extent of satisfaction they are drawing from their home in relation to these values and to provoke thought about the attributes which make up these values. She used 50 families with a total of 201 participants to validate her test. This group was large enough in number to allow comparisons of home value patterns for sex, age, and special interests and to allow comparisons of home value patterns between the different social classes and within each class. The families were of one race and had lived in the community long enough to hold rather

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup>Virginia Cutler, "Personal and Family Values in the Choice of a Home," as reported in Eleanore L. Kohlmann, "Development of an Instrument to Determine Values of Homemakers," (unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, Iowa State University of Science and Technology, 1961), pp. 62-63.

well-defined positions in it.

Cutler found significant differences in sex, socio-economic status, marital status, and occupation. She did not attempt to make any comparisons between families of different stages in the life cycle. The data on children's values seem to indicate that during the early school life of children their values are much alike regardless of social status but that as children mature they take on patterns similar to those of their parents.

The accuracy with which the test measures that which it has been designed to measure was determined in three ways:

- 1. by correlating the results from the paired comparisons with results from other parts of the test.
- 2. by comparing results of the test with conditions in the homes.
- 3. by confirmation of results by the individuals concerned. $^{22}$

The discriminative ability of the test was determined by: (1) comparing the functional patterns for different members in a family, and (2) comparing the composite patterns of groups from widely different sets of homes.  $^{23}$ 

"Since studies of 'human values' have not been widely undertaken, and since research in the application of these values to the field such as housing is especially new," a study was undertaken in 1952 in Buffalo, New York to work with values. The purposes of the research were: (1) to determine more clearly what housing values were held by a sample of urban families, (2) to learn to what extent these values affected the selection of housing and subsequent satisfaction or

<sup>22</sup>Cutler, "A Technique for Improving Family Housing." <u>Journal of Home Economics XXXVIX</u> (March, 1947), pp. 141-142.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup>Ibid., p. 146.

dissatisfaction with the house secured, and (3) to illustrate how values might be incorporated into house plans. 24 The values used in the study were those which might be considered to be linked to housing. This was done by making a search of socio-psychological literature to see what values others had identified and through conferences with authorities on the Cornell campus who were currently engaged in research on values. Certain values were then tentatively chosen for study. Each value was examined for its relation to life in general and to housing in particular. After intensive interviews a question-naire was developed, pretested, subsequently revised and pretested again. An elaborate schedule was finally prepared which included nine values:

Economy Aesthetics Freedom
Family centrism Leisure Mental health
Physical health Equality Social prestige

Seven hundred seventy-three families who had recently purchased new houses were interviewed. Husbands and wives were interviewed separately. Each of the families interviewed had one or more children under 18 years of age living at home.

Six sample groups were obtained, five of which were families living in owner-occupied homes and one of which consisted of families in rental units of various sizes. The measurement technique used in the study was a scalogram analysis. For each of the nine values either four or five related attitudinal questions were asked. The respondent was asked to answer whether he "agreed strongly," "agreed," was "indifferent,"

<sup>24</sup> Glenn Beyer, Thomas Mackesey, and James Montgomery, Houses Are For People, Cornell Univ. Housing Research Center, Research Publication No. 3, (Ithaca, New York, 1955).

"disagreed," or "disagreed strongly" with each of the statements. By use of the scaling technique husbands and wives were arrayed separately on the nine-value continuum. Other additional questions were asked of the wives and of the husbands at the end of the questionnaire.

The nine values were found to cluster into three major groups:

- 1. Certain families placed strong economy value on housing and varied in the degree to which they stressed the other eight values. These families were put in the "economy" group.
- 2. Some families valued physical health, mental health, and family centrism above all others. Since these three values seemed to fall together, the group of families that considered them of prime importance was designated the "family" group.
- 3. A third group of families put greatest stress on a combination of aesthetics, leisure, and equality. They were termed the "personal" group.
- 4. Although social prestige ranked low, it was believed by authorities that houses are the outward symbols of the social status of those who occupy them so social prestige was adopted along with the other three groups. 25

With the help of architects, plans were devised in an effort to design a house for each of the value clusters. Some of the significant findings of the study were that home-owner wives held each of the nine values, except economy, to a significantly greater degree than did their husbands. It was found also that 67% of the 751 wives were "high" on two or more of the nine values as compared with 56% of the 751 husbands; 36% of the wives and 21% of the husbands ranked high on four or more of the values. These findings indicate that people want and/or need houses that will enable them to satisfy several housing values.

Respondents were categorized in terms of education, occupation and income of the head of the family and their responses analyzed in terms of the nine values. Montgomery reports that one of the important findings in the Buffalo research project is the fact that the gap between the

<sup>25</sup> Ibid., p. 55.

way that wives and husbands behave toward and react to housing is often great, and that the higher the socio-economic status, the greater is this domestic disagreement. For women it was found that the higher the emphasis on the values leisure, aesthetics and family centrism, the greater was their satisfaction with their housing. But on the other six values there was no relationship between value positions and housing satisfaction. The fact that values played a relatively minor role for women and men in the selection of their houses might logically be attributed to the fact that few people are aware of their values sufficiently to select a house that will give them satisfaction during their occupancy.

The Buffalo Study served as a pilot study for the Triple Cities

Study conducted by Glenn Beyer. Two important phases or aspects of values are pertinent to his study; "explicitness and implicitness."

The explicit aspect is that which is readily expressed or "asserted"; in other words, it can usually be verbalized by the respondent because he is likely to be conscious of it. The implicit aspect must be inferred from an individual's behavior. Sometimes this is called the operative aspects, and the individual may not be conscious of its existence. Some twenty possible values were considered but only nine were chosen. The same nine values were used as in the Buffalo Study but the investigator did not deem it feasible to combine the values into four clusters as had been done in the Buffalo Study. Scale

Montgomery, "Housing Values: Meaning, Measurement and Implications," pp. 10-11.

Peyer, Housing and Personal Values, pp. 5-6.

analysis was used for an operational criteria. Orientations toward the various values were systematically evaluated in this way.

A continuum of responses were developed for each of the values in order to reflect the agreement or disagreement with the statements. The plan of this study called for identifying a group of values related to housing and the field testing of those values; but it also suggested testing the possible application of the values identified to planning and architecture. Some questions of this nature were included in the schedules of the Buffalo and rural area studies. Three field studies were made, Buffalo, (the pilot study) Triple Cities and the Upstate New York Rural Area. Segment sampling was used in the rural area. In the Triple Cities every house in 22 designated areas was visited but interviews were taken at only every other house if: the family had unmarried children under 21 years of age living in the house, owned the house, was the only family living regularly in the house and had lived in the house 30 days or more.

This study revealed that most of these values tend to fall into two clusters, each having quite distinctive characteristics. One cluster contains equality, family centrism, economy, physical health; and in the other cluster is freedom, aesthetics, mental health, with leisure and privacy being divided into both groups. The first group has the traits of realism and group or collective extroverted activities, while the second group has idealism and personal whimsical demands with disregard for basic physical needs. A sound understanding of these basic value orientations and how they influence housing requirements may lead to new solutions that more nearly fit people's requirements

for shelter. 28

Housing values were a part of a study conducted in Garfield County, Oklahoma by Montgomery, Sutker and Nygren. The purposes of this study were: (1) to describe the characteristics of a sample of rural owner-occupied dwellings and to learn the extent to which families are satisfied with them, (2) to examine housing improvements made within the past year and those planned for the next year, (3) to investigate the processes by which home improvements are made and new houses are built, (4) to discover the images of the house rural homemakers would like to have, and (5) to identify the major values associated with housing behavior. <sup>29</sup>

An area sampling procedure was employed in selecting families to be studied. Interview was the method used to obtain the data. The schedule consisted of several types of questions, including three-level-intensity, forced choice, open-ended, and check list. The schedule was designed to be administered to the homemaker. Six values were examined: comfort, economy, family centeredness, privacy, social prestige, and beauty. One of the techniques by which the importance of these values was assessed was to ask the respondent to indicate if each of the following statements was "very important," "fairly important," or "not very important":

- 1. A house that is comfortable to live in.
- 2. A house that is beautiful to look at.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup>Ibid., p. 32.

James E. Montgomery, Sara S. Sutker, Maie Nygren. Rural Housing in Garfield County, Oklahoma, Oklahoma State Univ. Publication LVI, No. 2, (August 1, 1959), p. 8.

- 3. A house that is economical to maintain.
- 4. A house that has privacy for each family member.
- 5. A house that friends and neighbors will admire.
- 6. A house that will help the family work and play together.

The values of comfort, economy and family-centeredness were the most important to virtually all the women. Values were also measured by asking the respondent to choose among alternatives in that they were asked to select from the six values the ones which they considered most important, second most important, and third most important. Comfort, economy and family-centeredness again were chosen as being either first or second in importance far more often than the other values. It was found that with few exceptions the variables of socio-economic status, family-life cy cle and age were not related to the ranking of the values. Family-life cycle was related only to the ranking of the value family-centeredness. Fifty-five percent of the respondents in the earlier stages of the life cycle placed this value first or second as contrasted with 37% in the later stages.

Values may be revealed in aspects of our housing. The matching of these aspects to the values that a person holds prepotent might bring greater satisfaction from the residence. Smith, Kivlin, and Sinden conducted a study of housing choices and selections. The purposes of this study were:

- 1. to discover the causative factors which impelled families to move from one owned house to another as related to choice-making situations.
- to develop a configuration of housing features with a high value rating for a large range of family situations, and to determine which features have a different value rating in particular family situations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup>Ibid., p. 43.

3. to relate changes in situations to changes in choices concerning housing. 31

The hypothesis was that factors which impel families to move from one owned residence to another owned residence may be indicative of family values as related to housing. Families were interviewed from two geographical locations; small cities and metropolitan areas. An interview was conducted with homemakers using a card sort technique to determine reasons for moving from the former house and choices made in selecting the present house. Fifty-two items were used as factors which caused moves, and 97 items comprised the list of features which influenced the choice of the present house. An adaptation of Stephenson's card sort was used for the instrument. The 97 items included in the card sort were derived from a pilot study as housing features which a family might want to attain. Each item was put on a card and the participants were asked to sort the cards first into groups and then into sub-groups as follows:

- I. Items we wanted and got in our present house and location
  - A. Items we would in insist on in another house
  - B. Items we would not choose again
- II. Items we wanted and did not get in our present house and location
  - A. Items we plan to add later
  - B. Items we would insist on in another house
  - C. Items we would never insist on
- III. Items we did not choose
  - A. Items we got and would want in another house
  - B. Items we got and would not want in another house
  - C. Items we did not get and would not want
  - D. Items we did not get and would want in another house
- IV. Items which did not apply in our situation

<sup>31</sup>Ruth H. Smith, Laura D. Kivlin, Cecile P. Sinden, Housing Choices and Selections as Evidenced by Residential Mobility, Pennsylvania State University, College of Home Ec. Research Publication 204, (University Park, May, 1963), p. 48.

Housing features were considered as having a high value rating in influencing housing choices if they were placed in the following categories: I A, II A, II B, III C, III D, and a low value rating if they were placed in the remaining categories. The hypothesis that housing values have different significance as situations differ was substantiated by the fact that only 15 of the 97 statements were given a high value rating by 75% or more of the families within all the variables studied, in both communities. Stage in the family life cycle was related to the selection of 15 housing features in the Small City and the Suburb. Certain features showed a significant difference in relation to stage of the family-life cycle in one community but not in the other. Family income was significantly related to 18 features chosen by the Small City families in their expressed choices. However, only 14 features were significantly related to the family income of Suburb In this study no attempt was made to determine a hierarchy of housing values. "Because the selection of a house encompasses many choices, and the same value may be expressed through a variety of choices, it could be hypothesized that families may attain similar values through a variety of housing choices."

#### Measuring Personal Values

The need for an instrument to measure personal values which would do so reliably, validly, and as objectively as possible led Eleanore

L. Kohlmann to construct an instrument which would identify values of

<sup>32&</sup>lt;sub>Ibid., p. 51.</sub>

homemakers as individuals and as groups. 33 The study was limited by two factors. One was the number of values that could be included in the instrument and yet have it be of a practical length for use with homemakers of varying ages and amounts of education. Two criteria were selected as guides for choosing the values to be included in the instrument Kohlmann developed: (1) that they be values believed to be closely related to the objectives for home economics education, and (2) that they be selected to be consistent with the definition of values as accepted for the study and thus regarded as values by the writer.

A second limitation of the study was the nature of the population used to test the instrument. It was beyond the scope of the study to try the instrument on a systematically drawn sample of homemakers.

After several revisions and trials the final form of the instrument was administered to 146 homemakers in three groups with 52 homemakers (systematically drawn) in one rural group, 37 homemakers in a second rural group, and 57 homemakers in a town group. Health and family life were the two values which received the top two scores for all groups.

Insofar as it was possible to determine validity, this instrument was believed to be a valid measure of the eight values included in the study. Reliability of the instrument was tested by the "split-half" method corrected by the Spearman-Brown Prophecy Formula. "After using the instrument, "My Portrait as a Homemaker," the following conclusions were reached: (1) it is a valid and reasonably reliable instrument,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup>Eleanore L. Kohlmann, "Development of an Instrument to Determine Values of Homemakers," (unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, Iowa State University of Science and Technology, 1961).

(2) it was well received by homemakers, and (3) it can be checked in a reasonable length of time.  $^{134}$ 

Murray Straus recognized the need for a measurement technique to be used in rural sociological studies. He developed the "Rural Attitudes Profile" to measure values in rural life. This profile measures four value dimensions considered important in contemporary American rural life: innovation proneness, rural life preference, primary group preference, and economic motivation. The technique used in developing this instrument was an adaptation of the "forced-choice" method. Straus chose this technique as the most promising method of measuring value dimensions, because:

- 1. it eliminates response sets toward answering most questions as either "yes" or "no".
- 2. it seems to arouse less respondent resistance than comparable single response questions.
- 3. it controls for the tendency of some respondents to answer in terms of the social desirability of the response rather than their own feelings or behavior.
- 4. measurement of values in terms of choice is theoretically consistent with the concept of value.
- 5. interview time is shortened considerably.

The "Rural Attitude Profile" is a two-page leaflet, containing 12 sets of four items, referred to as a <u>tetrad</u>. Each of the four value variables is represented by an item in the tetrad, with two items describing approximately equally uncomplimentary behaviors. The respondent is asked to select from each tetrad two items; one which best describes and which least describes his typical behavior. A scoring procedure

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup>Ibid., pp. 161-162.

Murray A. Straus, <u>A Technique for Measuring Values in Rural Life</u>, Wash. Ag. Expt. Sta. Technical Bulletin 29, (Pullman, August, 1959).

<sup>36&</sup>lt;sub>Tbid., p. 8.</sub>

was proposed with possible scores ranging from ~12 to +12 for each value variable. The Profile was designed for self-administration by farm men, including those with minimal reading ability. Straus also constructed a parallel form for use with farm homemakers by altering the phrasing of the statements to make them applicable to women. The Profile was tested and found to be both reliable and valid. Some respondents, however, appeared to have difficulty making choices among the four items in the tetrad. For this reason Beyer, in his study, recommended that it might be better to use pairs of items in developing other forced-choice tests. 37

Dr. James Montgomery has stated that "values are most meaningful when they are considered along with such other crucially important housing variables as the family life cycle, place of residence, roles, income, education, and the housing supply available." The various stages of the family life cycle may cause considerable variation in the degree to which one holds a given value and the corresponding value symbols. In 1931, P. Sorokin and others divided the life of a family into four stages which were based on the changing family member constellation within the family. These stages were: (1) married couples just starting their independent economic existence, (2) couples with one or more children, (3) couples with one or more adult self-supporting children, and (4) couples growing old. 39

 $<sup>^{37}</sup> Straus, ~\underline{A}$  Technique for Measuring Values in Rural Life, as reported in Kohlmann.

<sup>38</sup> James Montgomery, "Housing Values, Meaning, Assessment, Fulfillment," Forecast for Home Economics, LXXV(April, 1959), p. 8.

Book in Rural Sociology, II, (Minneapolis, 1931).

Later, E. L. Kirkpatrick and others divided the family life cycle in terms of the place of the children in the educational system and they, too, set up four stages: (1) preschool family, (2) grade-school family, (3) high-school family, and (4) all adult family. Howard Bigelow did research concerning financial patterns through the family life cycle. In his work he elaborated on the school-placement factor and divided the family life cycle into seven periods: (1) establishment, (2) childbearing and preschool period, (3) elementary-school period, (4) high-school period, (5) college, (6) period of recovery, and (7) period of retirement. 41

Evelyn Duvall has given perhaps the most recent division to the family life cycle in her book, <u>Family Development</u>, 1962. <sup>42</sup> In her divisions the age and school placement of the oldest child were used as criteria of family cycle stage placement. After the launching center stage the criteria of division shifts to the situation facing those remaining in the original family. The family cycle divisions outlined by Duvall are:

- 1. Beginning Families
- 2. Childbearing Families
- 3. Families with Preschool Children
- 4. Families with School Children
- 5. Families with Teenagers
- 6. Families as Launching Centers

<sup>40</sup> E. L. Kirkpatrick, et. al. The Life Cycle of the Farm Family in Relation to Its Standard of Living, (Madison, 1934), Research Bulletin No. 121, Wis. Ag. Expt. Sta.

<sup>41</sup> Howard F. Bigelow, "Money and Marriage," in Marriage and the Family, Becker and Hill, eds. (Boston, 1942), pp. 382-386.

<sup>42</sup> Evelyn M. Duvall, <u>Family Development</u>, (New York, 1962).

- 7. Families in the Middle Years
- 8. Aging Families. 43

Family development can be predicted to a degree which helps us know what to expect of families during given life cycles.

The family life cycle, as a frame of reference, is a way of taking a long look at family life. It is based upon the recognition of the successive patterns within the continuity of family living over the years. It opens the way for study of the particular problems and potentials, rewards and hazards, vulnerabilities and strengths of each phase of family experience from beginning to end. 44

Divisions of the family into life cycles is just a convenient way of taking a closer look at the family which in real life flows from one stage to another without pause. One purpose of this study is to take a closer look at that stage of the family life cycle during which the family is expanding with the birth of children.

Paul Glick compiled information taken from the 1950 U. S. Population Census to determine the ages of the mother and father during the different stages of the life cycle. 45 The median age of the wife at first marriage was 20.1 years while the median age of the husband at first marriage was 22.8 years. Median age for mother at the birth of the first child was 22.5 years and for the father, 25.2 years. Birth of the last child occurred when the mother was 26.1 years and the father was 28.8 years. "Because the range of variations in age at first marriage is not very great, these data provide a tolerable

<sup>43&</sup>lt;sub>Ibid., p. 9.</sub>

<sup>44</sup> Ibid., p. 5.

Paul Glick, "The Life Cycle of the Family," Marriage and Family Living, XVII (February, 1955), pp. 3-9.

approximation of family characteristics during the successive periods of married life." Paul Landis has also done research in determining the childbearing years of American wives. In his book, <u>Making the Most of Marriage</u>, he states that the wife's childbearing years begin at age 21 and for most wives childbearing ends by the 28th year of age. 47

#### Summary

Research in values has shown that values are internalized standards which materially affect the way a person will react when confronted with a situation which permits more than one course of action. Since it is known that values influence overt behavior, it may logically be assumed that values will influence what a family does concerning not only the structure in which they live, but also the total housing environment. Families during the childbearing years experience changes in composition which make strong demands on their housing. These families then will find themselves in one or more choice-making situations when they are seeking suitable housing; at this time their values enter to influence what they will select. By determining the housing values that are related to various aspects of housing, one can better plan housing that will meet family needs and provide satisfaction.

<sup>46</sup> Paul Glick, American Families, (New York, 1957), p. 71.

<sup>47</sup> Paul Landis. Making the Most of Marriage. (New York, 1960), p. 596.

#### CHAPTER III

#### METHODOLOGY

# Development of Instrument and Its Use in Collection of Data

The instrument used for the collection of data for this study evolved as the result of several developmental steps. A study of other methods used for measuring values was conducted by reviewing previous housing-related value studies. While reviewing other housing studies, a list of statements was compiled. The statements related to aspects of housing which included both the structural elements of the house and activities carried on in a house. The initial listing consisted of one hundred and twelve statements. The listing was narrowed down to the aspects of housing which were related to the structural elements or to facilities for activities both inside and outside the home. Fifty-seven statements were used in the final instrument. The elimination of statements was based upon pre-tests and upon advice from the investigator's advisory committee.

The value categories selected for this study were also derived from a review of previous housing-related value studies and other related literature. Limitations of time and finances made it impossible to study all possible values so eight value categories were chosen from the total group. These were later narrowed to five. These five value categories were: beauty, prestige, privacy, comfort, and family centeredness.

A card-sorting technique was chosen as the means for obtaining the data. The card-sorting technique was considered to be the best technique because it places the respondent in a choice-making situation. The theory that underlies values is that values operate to determine an individual's behavior in choice-making situations.

In the pre-testing situations each of the fifty-seven statements was put on an individual card. Respondents were asked to sort the statements into one of eight value categories. No statement could go into more than one value category. Pre-tests revealed weaknesses in this technique. Respondents often found they could not say that a given statement was related to only one value. For this reason, the technique was modified.

The major goal of the second approach was to enable the respondent to place a given statement into more than one value category if she felt that it could not be limited to one. The fifty-seven statements, each or an individual card, were again used. In this technique the number of value categories was reduced to five; beauty, prestige, privacy, comfort, and family centeredness. Five decks of cards made up the measuring instrument. Each deck represented a value category and contained all fifty-seven statements. Only a single deck, representing one value category, was presented at a time to the respondent. After having been given a working definition of the value category the respondent was asked to sort the fifty-seven statements into two piles;

1) those statements that were associated with or representative of that value category, 2) those statements that were not associated with or representative of that value category. The respondent was then asked to select three statements which she thought to be the best

representation of the value category under consideration.

When this process had been completed, the respondent was given a working definition of a second value category. Again she was asked to sort the same fifty—seven statements into two piles; those that were associated with this value and those that were not. She again selected three statements as best representatives of this value category. The same procedure was followed for each of the remaining three value categories.

As each respondent was presented with this choice-making situation, she was reminded that it was not a matter of whether she particularly liked these aspects of housing or not or whether she would want them in her home or not. Instead the respondent was asked to select the aspects of housing which she felt would be an expression of each of the value categories, disregarding the location of the housing aspects in any particular house. The decision of the value category into which any of the housing aspects were placed was to be the respondents' own opinion so that the respondents would not be faced with the need for making "right or wrong" answers. The instrument was purposely not directed at the present house of the respondent. It was hoped that this technique would leave the respondent in a position to make free responses.

The cards in each deck were shuffled after a respondent had sorted them so that the statements were never in any particular order. The order of presenting the values to the respondents was also varied. If one respondent was asked to sort on beauty, privacy, prestige, family centeredness, and comfort, the next respondent might be asked to sort on family centeredness, comfort, privacy, beauty, and prestige. By

using this procedure the values would not always come in the same order; nor would one value always be presented first nor last.

The measuring instrument also included a few questions designed to obtain information about the education of the respondent, the composition of her family, the occupation of the household head, and whether the respondent rented or owned her home. Each of one hundred respondents was interviewed individually in her own home.

## Selection of the Sample

The study was designed to determine the relationship between certain aspects of housing and selected housing-related values in the opinion of mothers of families in the expanding stage of the family life cycle. The expanding stage is defined as that period during which children are being born into the family. For purposes of this study, the expanding stage is defined by the childbearing age of women, approximately twenty to thirty years of age. It was also specified that there be at least one child already in the family.

In obtaining the sample a combination of the random sampling technique and the quota sampling technique was used. First, forty blocks were randomly selected from within the city limits of Stillwater, Oklahoma, excluding all university housing. Ten alternate blocks were selected randomly which were to be used if one hundred respondents could not be found within the first forty blocks. The interviewer then started at some point on each block, knocking on doors until she found three respondents who would fit the qualifications and would participate in the study, or until the block had been completely covered. The interviewer proceeded to another block only when the instrument had been

completed by three respondents or when the entire block had been covered. Some blocks contained less than three qualified respondents. When this situation occurred, the interviewer covered the entire block to make sure that all qualified respondents were contacted and then went on to another block. This process continued until one hundred respondents had completed the instrument. All of the first forty blocks and nine of the ten alternate blocks were covered before one hundred instruments were completed.

#### Treatment of Data

## The Antecedent Variable

This study is limited to one stage of the family life cycle, the expanding stage. This stage was selected because home buyers today are showing preferences in new homes for features planned especially for the child. It is the expanding family that is concerned most with children and their care. It is the expanding family which experiences sudden changes in composition that increase demands on housing and often force families into choice—making situations. The stage in the life cycle, therefore, is the antecedent variable in this study.

## Dependent Variable

The major hypothesis for the study is that values held by mothers of expanding families are related to certain aspects of housing. The dependent variable for the study is the association or non-association of housing aspects with five selected housing-related values. The first analysis deals with the overall picture of the way in which the respondents associate the various aspects of housing with the five

values. Tabulations were made to obtain frequency counts of the aspects of housing which were related by the respondents to each value. These totals are expressed in percentages. Aspects of housing are listed for each value in rank order according to percentages.

Frequency counts were obtained also for the aspects of housing which respondents selected as the three best examples of each value. Tabulations were made to obtain: 1) frequency counts of the aspects of housing which were selected as the three best examples for any and all values, 2) frequency counts of the aspects of housing which were selected as one of the three best examples for only one value. These tabulations produced evidence as to which of the aspects of housing were most frequently related to each of the values.

# Independent Variables

The sub-hypothesis for this study is that for expanding families values assigned to certain aspects of housing vary according to family size, composition of the family by sex and ages of children, and education of the mother. The data were analyzed in terms of these independent variables. Age of children was classified into two categories: preschool age children only, and some school age children. The families were classified into two categories according to sex of the children: one child only or two or more children of the same sex, and two or more children of different sex. The variable, number of children was assigned three classifications: one child, two children, or three or more children. Education of mother was classified as: higher education meaning more college had been completed or a college degree had been earned, and lower education meaning the respondent was

a high school graduate or had not earned a high school diploma. Frequency counts of the responses were tabulated for each of the subgroups within each variable.

## Statistical Treatment

The Chi-square Test was used to determine association between the independent variables—education of mother, age of children, sex of children, and number of children—and the dependent variables—the association or non-association of housing aspects with each of the selected, housing-related values. Daniel's table of "Statistically Significant Differences in Observed Per Cents" was used to determine significant differences between proportions of two populations of relatively equal size. This table could not be used in cases where the observed percentage was less than 10. When this situation occurred the Chi-square formula:  $X^2 = \frac{\left(0 - E\right)^2}{E}$ , was used to compute the Chi-square value for that particular response. In this formula, 0 represents the observed frequency in a cell and E represents the expected frequency for a cell.

The variable, number of children, was composed of three groups which also did not permit the use of Daniel's table. The frequency counts for each of these populations were recorded on IRM DAta Cards. Computations were made on an electronic high speed computer in the Computing Center at Oklahoma State University, to obtain the Chi-square values for the responses made by each of these sub-groups. Significant differences were determined at .05 level with two degrees of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Daniel Cuthbert, "Statistically Significant Differences in Observed Per Cents," <u>Journal of Applied Psychology</u>, (1940), pp. 826-827.

freedom. To account for the small sample size and increase the validary of the Chi-square computations a correction factor was used in obtaining Chi-square values when any expected frequency was less than five. The formula used was:  $X^2 = \sum_{E} \frac{\left(0 + E - ...5\right)^2}{E}$ 

## Description of Sample

The sample population for the study was composed of one hundred respondents who were mothers of expanding families. Of these respondents, forty-seven had high school education or less and fifty-three had some college or were college graduates. Sixty-three of the respondents had children of preschool age only, while thirty-seven respondents had some children of school-age. Forty-eight respondents had one child only or two or more children of the same sex and fifty-two respondents had two or more children of different sex. Thirty respondents had only one child, forty-two respondents had two children and twenty-eight respondents had three or more children.

#### CHAPTER IV

### INTERPRETATION OF DATA

#### Part I

The major hypothesis for the study is that certain aspects of housing are related to values held by mothers of expanding families. The first part of the analysis of data is concerned with the overall picture regarding this relationship. The aspects of housing investigated are listed below in Table I. The values studied are:

Beauty Comfort Prestige Privacy Family Centeredness

Respondents were able, with little difficulty, to associate the various aspects of housing with one or more values. The data are examined in more than one way to clearly reveal these associations.

One of the purposes of the study is to determine which of certain aspects of housing will be assigned to specific values. The data in Table I show the percentages of respondents indicating a relationship between the individual aspects of housing and each of the five values. Respondents were free to express a relationship between each aspect of housing and: 1) all of the values, 2) one or more of the values, or 3) none of the values. For this reason, it is possible that the percentages expressed under each of the values for any given aspect of

housing could range from one hundred to zero per cent.

TABLE I

PERCENTAGE OF RESPONDENTS CITING A RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN
ASPECTS OF HOUSING AND SELECTED VALUES

ASPECTS OF HOUSING						
	Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Family Centered ness	
		-	Per Ce	nts		
Wall-to-wall carpeting	95	88	84	14	19	
A backyard patio	86	74	79	48	83	
A special area for washing and						
ironing	45	78	37	69	22	
A place for telephoning without						
interruption	19	63	30	95	28	
A large amount of window area	71	44	35	7	11	
A large kitchen	56	74	54	26	51	
A house located near a school	8	68	21	8	36	
Plenty of space between houses A dressing area that is adjacent	67	68	66	89	32	
to, bath	46	76	64	75	20	
A fence around the yard	57	75	38	87	57	
Bathroom not visible from the						
front door or the living area	60	60	38	85	19	
A house that is owned	36	63	75	51	38	
Air-conditioning	34	97	78	27	27	
Protection from the weather when						
going from house to car	23	84	38	24	17	
Adequate storage in every bed-						
room	51	82	40	32	23	
Landscaping around the house	95	45	86	43	21	
A picture window	74	28	40	5	7	
High, closely-planted shrubbery	36	17	31	66	13	
An entry hall	70	52	67	49	10	
Ceramic tile walls in bathroom	88	46	51	11	6	
Family room and kitchen combined	1 26	41	18	12	64	
A garage	30	62	27	38	16	
Neighborhood made up of families	3					
that are of good social stand-						
ing	25	43	92	22	20	
Indoor traffic patterns which						
permit having conversation						
without interruption	31	70	33	76	32	
Coat closet at front entrance	42	70	46	26	16	
A house located near the places						
where family most often goes	4	54	17	7	50	
Separate bedrooms for each child		79	55	87	35	
A two-car garage	38	54	73	22	15	

TABLE I (Continued)

ASPECTS OF HOUSING VALUES					
	Beauty	Comfort	Presti	ge Privacy	
					Centered
					ness
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Per	Cents	
A house that friends and				•	
neighbors will admire	60	34	84	11	26
A house located away from high-					· <del>F</del> *
ways and busy streets	40	7:70	43	81	42
A fireplace	95	, 62	78	15	58
Brick construction	79	38	79	17	5
Pleasant entrance	9.93	53	, 69	18	22
A separate dining room	55	, 54	64	49	22
Facilities for cooking, relaxin		, , , .	, 01		
and entertaining in the	ь				
backyard	61	. 82	. 66	51	, 92
A special place for children to		₹. 02	. 00	<b>J</b> 1	y . <b>92</b>
	44	<b>82</b>		76	0.2
play inside the house		_< 04	<sub>26</sub> 44	70	,83
Floors of all rooms of the hous		F1	. 10	7	07
on the same level	<sup>1</sup>	. 51	12	. 7	24
Counter surfaces that are the		00	10	10	
right height for you	29	. <b>₹88</b>	1119	12	15
A house that is easy to clean					
and keep clean	68	90	32	17	50
A family room	54	.76	57	<sub>54,</sub> 59	91
A separate living room	∍ <b>69</b>	68	, 65	71	29
A large house	55	73	86	49	44
Second bath (half or full)	42	85	72	., 80	27
Space for family to work and					
play together in the house	38	- 80	, 33	. 48	95
Space which permits the arrangi	ng			*	
of furniture in more than one					
way	i. : 81 .	67	38	<sub>5</sub> 16	28
Space and facilities for eating				* )	*
in the kitchen	. 27	75	17	34	67
An interior which pleases the					
eye	94	74	79	15	41
Space and facilities for sittin					
to work in the kitchen	20	77	22	23	30
Children's outside play area	•	<i>,</i>			
which can be watched from					•
inside	29	83	23	43	69
A place to watch TV without		. 05	20	42	
interruption	25	69	29	76	46
An automatic dryer	15	85	44	23	18
Central heating	32	92	71	23	
Space for other family members	٥,	74	/1	<b>41</b>	22
to be in the kitchen while yo					
are working	u 15	42	47	4 8	00
are working		. <del></del>	17	12	80

The listings below reveal the aspects of housing which are most often associated with each of the selected values. The aspects of housing which were related by the respondent to each value are listed in descending order according to the percentage of respondents indicating an association between the housing aspect and the value with which it is listed.

BEAUTY	PER CENT
Wall-to-wall carpeting	95
Landscaping around the house	95
A fireplace	95
An interior which pleases the eye	94
A pleasant entrance	93
Ceramic tile walls in the bathroom	88
A backyard patio	86
Space and facilities for arranging furniture in more	
than one way	81
Brick construction	79
A picture window	74
A large amount of window area	71
An entry hall	70
COMFORT	
Air-conditioning	97
Central heating	92
A house that is easy to clean and keep clean	90
Counter surfaces that are the right height for you	88
Wall-to-wall carpeting	88
An automatic dryer	85
A second bath (half or full)	85
Protection from the weather when going from the	
house to the car	84
Children's outside play area which can be watched from	
inside the house	83
Space and facilities for cooking, relaxing and enter-	
taining in the backyard	82
A special place for children to play inside the house	82
Adequate storage in every bedroom	82
Separate bedrooms for each of the children	79
Special area for washing and ironing that does not	
interfere with other activities in the house	78
Space and facilities for sitting to work in the kitchen	
Dressing area that is adjacent to the bath	76
Space and facilities for eating in the kitchen	<b>7</b> 5
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

COMFORT (Continued)	PER CENT
Indoor traffic patterns that permit having conver-	
sation without interruption	70
Coat closet at the front entrance	70
A house located near the school	68
A garage	62
A house located near the places where your family most often goes	54
Floors in all rooms of the house on the same level	51
PRESTIGE	
A neighborhood made up of families that are of good	
social standing	92
A large house	86
A house that friends and neighbors will admire	84
Brick construction	.79
A house that is owned	75
Two-car garage	73
An entry hall	67
A separate dining room	64
A large kitchen	54
PRIVACY	
A place for telephoning which keeps conversation from being overheard or from interfering with conversation	
of others	95
Plenty of space between houses	89
A fence around the yard	87
Separate bedrooms for each of the children	87
A bathroom that is not visible from the front door	
or the living area	85
A house located away from highways or busy streets	<i>\$</i> 1
A second bath (half or full)	80
Indoor traffic patterns which permit having conversation	
without interruption	76
A place to watch TV without interruption	76
A dressing area that is adjacent to the bath	75
A separate living room	.71
TANTI V CONTOURNING	•
FAMILY CENTEREDNESS	
Space for the family to work and play together inside the house	95
Facilities for cooking, relaxing and entertaining	,,
in the backyard	92
A family room	.91
A backyard patio	83
A special place for children to play inside the house	83
Space for other family members to be in the kitchen	
while you are working	80
Family rooms Kitchen combined	64

FAMILY CENTEREDNESS (Continued)	]	PER CENT
		* 1 - 1
A family room and kitchen combined		64
A house located near the places where your family		1. 1. 1. 2.
most often goes		50

After identifying those aspects of housing which she felt were related to a given value, each respondent was asked to select three aspects of housing which she felt were the best expression of that value. Respondents repeated this same process for the remaining four values. In Table II are listed the aspects of housing most often selected as one of the three aspects of housing best expressing each value. The three aspects of housing selected according to this criterion are hereafter referred to as the "Top Three." The data in Table II show the percentage of respondents placing a given aspect of housing within the "Top Three" for a given value. This does not mean that this same aspect of housing was not named among the "Top Three" for another value as well. The data also show percentages of respondents placing a given aspect of housing within the "Top Three" for one value only.

TABLE II

ASPECTS OF HOUSING INCLUDED WITHIN
"TOP THREE"

ASPECTS OF HOUSING	BEAUTY	BEAUTY ONLY
	Per C	Cents
Landscaping around house	60	43
Interior which pleases the eye	52	36
Wal1-to-wall carpeting	23	15
Brick construction	21	17
A fireplace	18	15
	COMFORT	COMFORT ONLY
Air-conditioning	41	38
House easy to clean	34	28
Central heating	23	20

TABLE II (Continued)

ASPECTS OF HOUSING	COMFORT Per Cen	COMFORT ONLY
A house that is owned	16	9
A large house	15	7
	PRESTIGE	PRESTIGE ONLY
Neighborhood made up of families		
that are of good social standing	58	56
A large house	44	29
A house that friends will admire	37	34
Landscaping around the house	23	11
An interior which pleases the eye	16	6
	PRIVACY	PRIVACY ONLY
Space between houses	52	36
Separate bedrooms for children	32	28
A fence around the yard	27	24
A house away from busy streets	25	16
A place for telephoning	22	22
FAMIL	Y CENTEREDNESS	FAM. CEN. ONLY
Space for family to work and play		•
together inside the house	73	56
Cooking, relaxing, entertaining in		
the backyard	51	41
A family room	40	27
Space for children to play inside	23	20
Family room and kitchen combined	19	18

### Independent Variables

Analysis of the data in terms of the independent variable is another way to reveal relationships. Data were analyzed in terms of the mother's education, the age and the sex (es) of her children. The entire sample is composed of one hundred respondents. Classifying this small sample into sub-groups for analysis regarding the effect of the independent variables rendered it more difficult to determine if differences in sub-group responses are really significant. The Chi-square Test for independence was used to ascertain whether or not the responses made by

one group differ significantly from the responses made by another group, i.e., if the differences between the responses could be attributed to something other than chance alone. The .05 level of confidence was used as the criterion for significance.

### Education

Informal observation reveals that women of higher education show more discrimination in identifying relationships which they feel exist between the various aspects of housing and the five values. This statement is based on the fact that larger percentages of respondents in the lower education group more often associate a given aspect of housing with all values than do respondents in the more highly educated group.

In discussion which follows, the terms "first," "second," and "third," refer to percentages of respondents relating a given aspect of housing with the various values: "first" meaning the largest percentage of respondents indicating a given relationship, "second" meaning the second largest percentage of respondents and so on. Data in Table III show percentages of respondents of higher and lower education who assign a given aspect of housing to each of the five values. Only data revealing significant differences are presented to supplement the discussion.

"Wall-to-wall carpeting" is related first to BEAUTY, second to COMFORT, and third to PRESTIGE by women of higher education and women of lower education as well. A significant difference emerges, however, in relation to the value, FAMILY CENTEREDNESS. Women of lower education associate "wall-to-wall carpeting" with this value more readily than do women of higher education.

TABLE III

RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN ASPECTS OF HOUSING AND VALUES ACCORDING TO EDUCATION

	<b>EDUCATION</b>		Same			PT 3 T 1 3 T 1
ASPECT OF HOUSING	OF RESPONDEN	Г		VALUES		
			Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Family Centered- ness
				Per Cents		A TOTAL CONTROL OF
Wall-to-wall carpeting	High	94.3	84.2	81.1	9.4	.9.4.
	Low	95.7	91.5	87.2	19.1	29.8*
A large kitchen	High	52.8	64.2	56.6	22.6	47.2
	Low	59.6	85.1	57.1	29.8	55.3
A separate dining room	n High	54.7	41.5 68.1*	67.9	49.1	18.9
	Low	55.3	68.1	59.6	49.9	25.5
Sitting to work in the						
kitchen	High	20.8	73.6	26.4	13.2	28.3
	Low	19.2	80.8	17.0	34.0 ~	31.9

<sup>\*</sup>Significant differences at .05 level of confidence

"A large kitchen" is related first to COMFORT, second to BEAUTY, and third to PRESTIGE by women with lower education. Women with higher education relate "a large kitchen" first to COMFORT, second to PRESTIGE, and third to BEAUTY. Women with higher education indicate a relation—ship between "a separate dining room" and the values PRESTIGE, BEAUTY, and PRIVACY, in that order, whereas, the order in which women with lower education associate "a separate dining room" with is COMFORT, PRESTIGE, and BEAUTY. A significant difference occurs between the two educational groups in regard to the value, COMFORT. In the responses regarding both the statements, "a large kitchen" and "a separate dining room," it is women having lower education who associate these aspects of housing more often with COMFORT.

"Space and facilities for sitting to work in the kitchen" is related more often to COMFORT by women in both educational groups. Although the two educational groups differ in the rank order by percentage
of values with which this housing aspect is associated, the two groups
differ significantly only in regard to the value, PRIVACY. In contrast to 13 per cent of the women of higher education who relate this
aspect of housing to PRIVACY, 34 per cent of the women with lower
education feel that this relationship exists.

No other significant differences emerged in the analysis of responses according to education, however, differences in patterns of response did appear. Had the sample size been larger, permitting a more adequate distribution of responses, some of these differences may have emerged as significant.

## Age of Children

The variable, age of children, was assigned two classifications: those families whose children are all preschool age (including, age 5 years) and those families having at least some or all children of school age. Several significant differences emerged when responses were analyzed according to this variable. Data in Tables IV, V, and VI show percentages of respondents having children of preschool age only and those having some or all children of school age who assign a given aspect of housing to each of the five values.

"A special area for washing and ironing" is related first to COMFORT, second to PRIVACY, and third to BEAUTY by both sub-groups. There was a significant difference, however, between the two groups concerning the value PRESTIGE. A possible explanation for this

difference is that women having only preschool children are younger families who have not yet been able to build or buy their own home and are still renting. Rental property is less likely to have a special utility area which offers "a special place for washing and ironing that would not interfere with other activities in the house." These women could have a strong desire for such facilities, and, therefore, interpret this as a prestige item.

TABLE IV

RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN ASPECTS OF HOUSING AND VALUES

ACCORDING TO AGE OF CHILDREN

ASPECT OF HOUSING	AGE OF CHILDREN	Be <b>a</b> uty Comfort	VALUES Prestige er Cents	Privacy	Family Centeredeness
Place for washing and ironing	Preschool	47.6 79.4 40.4 75.7	44.4 24.3*	71.4 64.9	23.8 18.9
Large amount of windo area	Preschool School	69.8 46.0 73.0 40.5	42.9 21.6	7.9 5.4	
Air-conditioning	Preschool School	41.3, 98.4 21.6 94.6	82.5 70.3	28.6 24.3	
Adequate storage in every bedroom	Preschool School	55.6 84.1 43.2 89.2	44.4 86.5	34.9 10.8	23.8 21.6
Landscaping around the house	Preschoo1 Schoo1	46.8, 44.4 94.6 46.0	85.7 86.5	41.3 46.0	19.1 24.3

<sup>\*</sup>Significant difference at .05 level of confidence

Although "a large amount of window area" is related first to BEAUTY, second to PRESTIGE, and third to COMFORT by both sub-groups, a

significant difference emerged in the relationship indicated between this aspect and the value, PRESTIGE. Mothers of preschool children associate this housing feature with PRESTIGE much more frequently than do mothers of children of school age.

Whereas, "air-conditioning" is related first to COMFORT, and second to PRESTIGE by both sub-groups, the groups differ significantly in regard to the value, BEAUTY. Women whose children are younger associate "air-conditioning" more often with BEAUTY than do the mothers of older children.

Women having some or all children of school age relate "adequate storage in every bedroom" to COMFORT, PRESTIGE, BEAUTY, and FAMILY CENTEREDNESS, in that order. Women whose children are preschool age, on the other hand, relate "adequate storage in every bedroom" to COMFORT, BEAUTY, PRESTIGE, and PRIVACY, in that order. Significant differences emerged in regard to the values, PRESTIGE and PRIVACY. Mothers of older children are more inclined to associate "adequate storage in every bedroom" with PRESTIGE while mothers whose children are younger associate it with PRIVACY.

"Landscaping around the house" is related first to BEAUTY, second to PRESTIGE, and third to COMFORT and PRIVACY by women having some or all children of school age. Women whose children are preschool age relate "landscaping around the house" to PRESTIGE, BEAUTY, and COMFORT, in that order. The two groups differ significantly in their associations of this housing aspect with BEAUTY. Nearly all of the women whose children are of school age perceive "landscaping around the house" as an expression of BEAUTY while only one-half of the women whose children are preschool age perceive this relationship.

TABLE V

RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN ASPECTS OF HOUSING AND VALUES
ACCORDING TO AGE OF CHILDREN

ASPECT OF HOUSING	AGE OF CHILDREN			VALUES		**************************************
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Centered-
			Pe	er Cents		ness
A picture window	Preschool School	81.0 62.1	34.9 16.2	47.6 27.0*	6.4 2.7	9.5 2.7
Located near where family goes	Preschool School		57.1 48.6		9.5 2.7	60.3 32.4*
House that friends and neighbors will admire	Preschool School			84.1 83.8		25.4 27.0
A separate dining room	Preschool School	65.0, 37.8	54.0 54.0	69.8 54.0	50.8 46.0	20.6 24.3
A house that is easy to clean and keep clean	Preschool School	77.8 <sub>,</sub> 51.4	90.5 89.2	39.7 <sub>*</sub> 18.9	19.0 13.5	52.4 46.0

<sup>\*</sup>Significant difference at .05 level of confidence

"A picture window" is related first to BEAUTY, second to PRESTIGE, and third to COMFORT by both sub-groups, however, a significant difference emerged in the relationship indicated between "a picture window" and the value, PRESTIGE. Mothers of younger children more frequently than mothers of older children associated this aspect of housing with this value.

Women having preschool age children perceive "a house located near the places where your family most often goes" as related first to FAMILY CENTEREDNESS and second to COMFORT. The order of these value relationships is reversed for women having school age children. A

significant difference emerged in their association of this aspect of housing with the value FAMILY CENTEREDNESS. Three-fifths of the women having younger children compared to less than one-third of the women having older children indicated this relationship.

"A house that friends and neighbors will admire" is related first to PRESTIGE, second to BEAUTY, and third to COMFORT by the respondents in both sub-groups. The group differs significantly, however, in their relating "a house that friends and neighbors will admire" to the value, COMFORT. Mothers whose children are younger make this association much more frequently than do the mothers whose children are older.

Mothers having preschool age children relate "a separate dining room" first to PRESTIGE, second to BEAUTY, and third to COMFORT, whereas, mothers having school age children relate this same aspect of housing first to PRESTIGE and COMFORT, second to PRIVACY, and third to BEAUTY. A significant difference emerged in their association of this housing aspect with the value, BEAUTY. Women in the former group are much more inclined to make this association than are the women in the latter group. Relating "a house that is easy to clean and keep clean" first to COMFORT and second to BEAUTY is the pattern which emerges from the responses given by both sub-groups. They differ, however, in their association of ease of cleanliness with the values, BEAUTY and PRESTIGE. In both instances, it is again the mothers of younger children more than those of older children who associate this housing aspect with BEAUTY and with PRESTIGE.

Both sub-groups relate "a family room" to FAMILY CENTEREDNESS first, second to COMFORT, and third to PRIVACY. A significant difference emerged in regard to the value, BEAUTY, with the women having younger children

identifying "a family room" with this value more than do mothers having older children. "A separate living room" is related first to BEAUTY, second to COMFORT, and third to PRIVACY by women whose children are preschool age, while women whose children are older relate this same aspect of housing first to PRIVACY, second to COMFORT, and third to BEAUTY and PRESTIGE. The groups differ significantly in their relating the aspects, "a family room" and "a separate living room" to BEAUTY. The mothers of younger children associated these two aspects with BEAUTY much more frequently than mothers of older children.

TABLE VI

RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN ASPECTS OF HOUSING AND VALUES
ACCORDING TO AGE OF CHILDREN

ASPECT OF HOUSING	AGE OF CHILDREN	Beauty		VALUES Prestige er Cents	Privacy	Family Centered- ness
A family room	Preschool School	61.9 40.5		60.3 51.4	65.1 48.6	88.9 94.6
A separate living room	Preschool School	76.2 56.8		69.8 56.8	71.4	28.6 29.7
Arranging furniture in more than one						
way	Preschool School	87.3 70.3	69.8 62.2	46.0 24.3*	20.6 8.1	31.8 21.6
Sitting to work in th	ne	-				
kitchen	Preschool School	22.2 16.2		30.2 8.1*	27.0 10.2	28.6 32.4
Outside play area	Preschool School	31.8 24.3	88.9 72.5	30.2 10.8*	52.4 27.0	69.8 67.6

<sup>\*</sup>Significant difference at .05 level of confidence

Whereas both sub-groups associate "space which permits the arranging of furniture in more than one way" with BEAUTY, COMFORT, and PRESTIGE, in that order, their responses relative to the value, PRESTIGE, differ significantly. This relationship is perceived more frequently by women with younger children than by women whose children are older. "Space and facilities for sitting to work in the kitchen" is related first to COMFORT, second to PRESTIGE, and third to FAMILY CENTEREDNESS by women whose children are preschool age. Women having school age children relate this aspect of housing first to COMFORT, second to FAMILY CENTEREDNESS, and third to BEAUTY. A significant difference occurs in regard to the value, PRESTIGE. Here again, mothers of younger children more than those of older children identify "space and facilities for sitting to work in the kitchen," as a prestige item.

"Children's outside play area which can be watched from inside the house" is related first to COMFORT and second to FAMILY CENTEREDNESS by both of the sub-groups. The groups differ significantly, however, in their associations between this aspect of housing and the values, PRESTIGE and PRIVACY. In both instances the mothers whose children are younger make these associations more frequently than their counterparts with older children.

## Sex of Children

The variable, sex of children, was assigned two classifications: those families having one child only or two or more children of the same sex, and those families having two or more children of different sex. A number of significant differences appear when the responses are analyzed according to this variable. Data in Tables VII, VIII, and

IX show the percentages of responses given according to the mothers' having one child only or children of the same sex, or her having children of different sex.

TABLE VII

RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN ASPECTS OF HOUSING AND VALUES
ACCORDING TO SEX OF CHILDREN

ASPECT OF HOUSING	SEX OF CHILDREN	Beauty		VALUES Prestige er Cents	Privacy	Family Centered- ness
A backyard patio	Same Different	89.6 82.7	83,3,	85.4	52.1 44.2	89.6 76.9
Large amount of window area	Same Different			45.8 25.0	8.3 5.8	12.5 9.6
Dressing area adjacer to the bathroom				70.8 57.7	79.2 71.2	29.2 11.5*
Adequate storage in every bedroom	Same Different			50.0 30.8	37.5 26.9	31.2 15.4
A family room and kitchen combined	Same Different		43.8 38.5	16.7 19.2	14.6 9.6	

<sup>\*</sup>Significant difference at .05 level of confidence

Both sub-groups, women having children of the same sex and those having children of different sex, most often relate "a backyard patio" to BEAUTY, FAMILY CENTEREDNESS, and PRESTIGE. A significant difference emerged, however, in their relating this aspect of housing to the value, COMFORT. Women having one child only or children of the same sex make this relationship more often than do women having both sexes represented among their children.

"A dressing area adjacent to the bathroom" is considered by women having children of the same sex as being related first to PRIVACY, second to COMFORT, and third to PRESTIGE. Women having children of different sex relate this same aspect of housing first to COMFORT, second to PRIVACY, and third to PRESTIGE. Their patterns of association in regard to the value FAMILY CENTEREDNESS proved to differ significantly, with women whose children are of the same sex making this association more frequently than women whose children are of different sex.

"A large amount of window area" is most often associated with BEAUTY by both sub-groups. The second largest percentage of women having children of the same sex relate "a large amount of window area" with PRESTIGE and the third largest percentage relate this aspect of housing with COMFORT. The order for these values is reversed for women having children of different sex. The two sub-groups interpret "adequate storage in every bedroom" as being related first to COMFORT, second to BEAUTY, and third to PRESTIGE. Significant differences emerged, however, in their relating both "a large amount of window area" and "adequate storage in every bedroom" to the value, PRESTIGE. In both instances more mothers who have children of only one sex see these aspects of housing as PRESTIGE items than do those mothers having children of both sexes.

"A family room and kitchen combined" is related first to FAMILY CENTEREDNESS and second to COMFORT by both groups of women. The third largest percentage of women whose children are of the same sex relate this aspect of housing to BEAUTY while the third largest percentage of women whose children are of different sex relate this aspect of housing to PRESTIGE. A significant difference emerged relative to their

association of the family room-kitchen combination with the value,
BEAUTY. Again, it is the mothers whose children are of the same sex
who make this association more than do mothers whose children represent
both sexes.

TABLE VIII

RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN ASPECTS OF HOUSING AND VALUES
ACCORDING TO SEX OF CHILDREN

			talan takan takan dan dan dan dan dan dan dan dan dan d			
ASPECT OF	SEX OF					
HOUSING	CHILDREN			VALUES		:
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Family
						Centered-
						ness
			Pe	er Cents	***	
Near places where					,	
family most often					•	
goes	Same	4.2	2 64.6.	. 20.8	8.3	58.3
<i>4</i>	Same Differen	£ 3.8	3 44 2 ໊	13.5	5.8	42.3
House friends and neighbors will					•	
admire	Same	66.7	7 33.3	87.5	18.8	37.5.
	Differen	t 53.8	3 34.6	80.8		
		i				
Away from highways						
and busy streets	Same	27.1	54.2	. 22.9	37.5	70.8
	Different	t 26.9	9 75°1°	11.5		
		•	M**			
Brick construction	Same	81.3	2 37.5	31.2.	22.9	4.2
	Differen		and the second second	76.9*	11.5	5.8

Significant difference at .05 level of confidence

Although, "a house located near the places where your family most often goes" is related first to COMFORT, second to FAMILY CENTEREDNESS, and third to PRESTIGE by both sub-groups, the groups differ significantly in regard to the value, COMFORT. Women whose children are of the same sex, more than mothers having children of different sex identify location of the house with COMFORT.

Both sub-groups associate "a house that friends and neighbors will admire" most often with PRESTIGE first and with BEAUTY second. Women having children of the same sex feel that the third relationship is with FAMILY CENTEREDNESS. The relationship which emerged third in the responses given by women having children of different sex is relative to the value, COMFORT. Their associations between this aspect of housing and FAMILY CENTEREDNESS differ significantly. Women whose children are of the same sex make the association more often than do women whose children are of different sex.

Women whose children are of the same sex relate "a house located away from highways and busy streets" most often to FAMILY CENTEREDNESS first, COMFORT second, and to PRIVACY third. This same aspect of housing is related first to COMFORT, second to FAMILY CENTEREDNESS, and third to PRIVACY by women whose children are of different sex.

Women whose children are of different sex associate "a location away from highways and busy streets with COMFORT significantly more often than do those whose children are of the same sex.

"Brick construction" is related by the largest percentage of women having children of the same sex to BEAUTY, second to COMFORT, and third to PRESTIGE. Women having children of different sex relate "brick construction" first to BEAUTY and PRESTIGE, equally, and second to COMFORT. This latter group associate "brick construction" with the value PRESTIGE significantly more often than the former group.

Women having children of the same sex relate "a separate dining room" to PRESTIGE first, to BEAUTY second, and to COMFORT third. This same aspect of housing is related first to PRESTIGE, second to BEAUTY and COMFORT, equally, and third to PRIVACY by women whose children are

of different sex. A significant difference emerges in their associations of this aspect of housing with PRESTIGE. Over three-fourths of the women whose children are of the same sex relate "a separate dining room" with PRESTIGE while slightly over one-half of the women whose children are of different sex make this relationship.

TABLE IX

RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN ASPECTS OF HOUSING AND VALUES

ACCORDING TO SEX OF CHILDREN

ASPECT OF HOUSING	SEX OF CHILDREN	Beauty	Comfort	VALUES Prestige	Privacy	Family Centered-
						ness
	Per Cents					
Separate dining room						22.9
	Different	50.0	50.0	51.9	48.1	21.2
House that is easy to clean and keep						
clean	Same	72.9	89.6	41.7	25.0	60.4.
	Different	40.4	76.9	51.9	55.8	
A large house	Same	66.7	7. 81.2	91.7	56.2	50.0
	Different		2 65.4		42.3	38, 5
Space for eating in			•			
the kitchen	Same	27.	1 54.2	22.9	37.5	70.9
	Different			11.5		

<sup>\*</sup>Significant difference at .05 level of confidence

Although both sub-groups associate "a house that is easy to clean and keep clean" first to COMFORT, second to BEAUTY, and third to FAMILY CENTEREDNESS, the groups differ significantly in regard to the value, FAMILY CENTEREDNESS. Here again, more of the women having children of the same sex make this relationship than do women having children of both sexes.

"A large house" is related first to PRESTIGE, second to COMFORT, and third to BEAUTY by both groups of women, but a significant difference emerges between the two groups in the association of this aspect of housing with the value, BEAUTY. Women whose children represent one sex again make this association more than do women whose children are of both sexes.

Women who have children of the same sex interpret "space and facilities for eating in the kitchen" as being related first to FAMILY CENTEREDNESS and second to COMFORT, while with women whose children are of different sex the pattern of relationship is reversed. A significant difference occurs in regard to the relationship between "space and facilities for eating in the kitchen" and COMFORT. Women having children of different sex perceive this relationship more often than it is perceived by women whose children are of the same sex.

#### Part II

A second way in which the data are analyzed is in terms of combinations of values. Associations made by the respondents, including all possible combinations of values, from all to none of the values, were analyzed as a separate response for each of the various statements concerning aspects of housing. In most instances the largest percentages of the respondents relate a given aspect of housing to one or two combinations of values. These combinations most often contain two or three values. In some instances, however, the most often cited response is one value only rather than a combination of two or more values. Since there were a possible thirty-two combinations of values the numbers appearing in the following listings will be smaller than the numbers

and percentages which emerged in the first analysis discussed in Part I.

It was possible for a given aspect of housing not to be related to any of the values. The aspects of housing which received this response by a relatively large number of respondents are:

NO	VALUE	• •	Number
	Frame construction		73
	A house located near relatives		66
	Floors of all rooms in the house on the same level		34
	A garage		28
	A family room and kitchen combined		27
	A house located near the school		27
	A house located near the places where your family	*	
	most often goes		23

It was also possible for a given aspect of housing to be related to <u>only one</u> of the values. The aspects of housing which the respondents related more often to one value only are listed below along with the value with which each was associated. Following the aspect of housing is the number of respondents making this association.

BEAUTY ONLY	Number
A picture window	27
Ceramic tile walls in the bathroom	23
A large amount of window area	21
Space and facilities for arranging furniture in more	
than one way	21
COMFORT ONLY  Counter surfaces that are the right height for you	50
Protection from the weather when going from the house to the car	37
Space and facilities for sitting to work in the kitchen	:37
An automatic dryer	. 36
Adequate storage in every bedroom	17
A coat closet at the front entrance	16

PRESTIGE ONLY	Number
A neighborhood made up of families that are of good	
social standing	42
A house that friends and neighbors will admire	22
A two-car garage	21
PRIVACY ONLY	
High, closely-planted shrubbery around the yard A bathroom that is not visible from the front door	29
or living area	.13
FAMILY CENTEREDNESS ONLY	
A house located near relatives	66
Space and facilities for other family members to be in the kitchen while you are working	30

Patterns of responses emerged for the aspects of housing when data were analyzed in terms of all possible combinations of values. The following listing indicates the combinations of values with which various aspects of housing were related by a relatively large number of respondents.

BEAUTY AND PRESTIGE	Number
Brick construction Landscaping around the house	29 22
COMFORT AND PRESTIGE	
Air-conditioning Central heating	28 26
COMFORT AND PRIVACY	
A place for telephoning which keeps conversation from being overheard or from interfering with conversation	
of others	25
A place to watch television with interruption Indoor traffic patterns which permit having con-	18
versation without interruption Special area for washing and ironing that does not	18
interfere with other activities in the house	16
A house located away from highways and busy streets	14

BEAUTY, COMFORT, AND PRESTIGE	Number
Wall-to-wall carpeting An interior which pleases the eye A pleasant entrance	48 26 22
	2.2
BEAUTY, COMFORT, AND FAMILY CENTEREDNESS	•
A house that is easy to clean and keep clean	17
COMFORT, PRIVACY, AND FAMILY CENTEREDNESS	•
Children's outside play area that can be watched from inside the house	16
BEAUTY, COMFORT, PRESTIGE, FAMILY CENTEREDNESS	
A fireplace	26
A large kitchen	14
BEAUTY, COMFORT, PRESTIGE, AND PRIVACY	
A dressing area that is adjacent to the bath	19
A second bath (half or full)	18
An entry hall	18
A separate dining room	12
ALL VALUES	
A backyard patio	27
Space and facilities for cooking, relaxing and	
entertaining in the backyard	24
A special place for children to play inside the house	23
A family room	21
A fence around the yard	21
A house that is owned	<b>20</b>
Plenty of space between houses	19
Separate living room	.16
Separate bedrooms for each of the children	16

Each of the statements concerning aspects of housing was not related to any of the values by at least a few of the respondents; and
all but two of the statements are related to all values by one or more
of the respondents. The data in Table X indicates the number of respondents perceiving a given aspect of housing as associated with: 1)
none of the values, or 2) all of the values.

TABLE X

RELATIONSHIPS OF ASPECTS OF HOUSING WITH ALL OF
THE VALUES OR NONE OF THE VALUES

ASPECT OF HOUSING	NO VALUE		ALL	VALI	JES
		Numbe	r		-
Wall-to-wall carpeting	1			4	
Adequate storage in every bedroom	10			8	
Separate bedrooms for each of the children	2			16	
Separate living room	3			16	
A backyard patio	2			27	
Landscaping around the yard	1			12	
A two car garage	11			6	
A large house	4		٠	26	
A special area for washing and ironing	6			9	
A picture window	17			1	
A fireplace	1			12	
A second bath	2			13	
A special area for children to play inside	2			23	
A place to watch TV without interruption	10			8	
Bathroom not visible from front door	5			11	
Neighborhood of good social standing	2			5	
All floors of the house on the same level	34			1	
An automatic dryer	7			. 5	
A house that is owned	.9			20	
	. 9			20	
Indoor traffic patterns that permit having				11	
conversation without interruption	8			11	
Counter surfaces that are the right height	, 9			5	
Central heating	3			7	
Air-conditioning	1			7	
Coat closet at front entrance	14		,	5	
House that is easy to clean and keep clean	2			9	
Space for other family members to be in the				4.	
kitchen while you are working	16	**		2	
A pleasant entrance	3			6	
In interior which pleases the eye	1			9	
Plenty of space between houses	2			19	
Family room and kitchen combined	27			3	
Separate dining room	11			1.1	
Space and facilities for sitting to work					
in the kitchen	14			6	
A dressing area adjacent to the bath	2			10	
A garage	28			10	
A children's outside play area that can be					
watched from inside the house	4			-5	
Space and facilities for relaxing, cooking,					
and entertaining in the backyard	.3	· i		24	
A fence around the yard	4*			21*	
A house located near relatives	66*			-0*	
A special place for telephoning where	,	-		-	
conversation will not be overheard or					
interrupt other activities	4			6	
THOULTER COHOL WOLLATETED	•			J	

TABLE X (Continued)

ASPECT OF HOUSING NO	VALUE	ALL VALUES
		Number
High, closely-planted shrubbery around the		
yard	17	3
Brick construction	,6	3
Space and facilities for the family to work		
and play together inside the house	7	11
A large amount of window area	18	4
An entry hall	5	6
A house that friends and neighbors will admire	- 5	6
Space and facilities which permit the arranging		
of furniture in more than one way	18	4
A large kitchen	$11_{\omega}$	13.
Frame construction	73 <sup>°°</sup>	0 ~
A house located away from highways or		•
busy streets	5	10
Space and facilities for eating in the		
kitchen	14	6
A house located near the school	27	2
Ceramic tile walls in the bathroom	.7	4
Protection from the weather when going from		ŗ
the house to the car	9	6
A house located near the places where your	,	
family most often goes	23	2
A family room		
		and the second s

<sup>\*</sup>Indicates notable differences

Two aspects of housing -- a house located near relatives, and frame construction -- appear to be considered quite differently from the others which were investigated, for an extremely large proportion of the women failed to associate them with a value and no one associated them with all of the values. Two other aspects of housing were found by observation to have little association with any value and were, therefore, eliminated from the analysis of the data at an early stage. These aspects of housing are: 1) a small house and 2) a house that is rented.

## Independent Variables

A more detailed analysis reveals variations in associations made

between combinations of values and the various aspects of housing according to the independent variables: the mother's education, ages of children, sex of children, and number of children. In this analysis the limited sample size again affected computations of significant differences. Had the sample been large, significant differences may have been more readily apparent. In order to increase the validity of the Chi-square test for independence, a correction factor was included in the formula whenever the "expected" frequency for a response was less than five.

## Education

Few significant differences were found relative to the combinations of values that women of higher education or lower education associated with various housing features. Data in Table XI show significant differences in the association of aspects of housing to combinations of values when the responses were analyzed according to education of the mother. An "X" in any of the value columns indicates values which emerge as a combination associated with each of the aspects of housing listed in the table.

The combination of values most often associated with "air-conditioning" is COMFORT and PRESTIGE. This is true for both educational
groups, however, the difference in their responses is significant. A
larger percentage of the women with higher education associate both
COMFORT and PRESTIGE with "air-conditioning" than do women with lower
education.

Although the largest percentages of women with higher and with lower education indicated that high, closely-planted shrubbery around

the yard is related to PRIVACY ONLY, significant difference occurs in the combination of values with which this housing aspect is associated. While 11 per cent of the women with lower education thought this aspect of housing was related best to a value combination, BEAUTY and PRESTIGE, none of the women having a higher education made such an association.

TABLE XI

RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN ASPECTS OF HOUSING AND COMBINATIONS
OF VALUES DIFFERING SIGNIFICANTLY ACCORDING
TO EDUCATION

ASPECTS OF HOUSING	EDUCATION High Low Per Cents			
Air-conditioning	X X	35.8	19.2	
High, closely-planted shrubbery around the yard		0.0	10.6	
Frame construction		83.0	61.7	
Eating in the kitchen	<b>X X</b>	9.4	31.9	

 $<sup>^*</sup>B$  = Beauty, C = Comfort,  $P_1$  = Prestige,  $P_2$  = Privacy, and FC = Family Centeredness

"A house of frame construction" is generally not related to any of the values. This also is true of both educational groups but a significant difference occurs in the percentages of women in the two groups who did not associate "frame construction" with any of the values. Eighty-three per cent of the women having higher education felt that "frame construction" was not related to any of the values whereas only 62 per cent of the women having lower education failed to associate this aspect with any of the values.

COMFORT and FAMILY CENTEREDNESS are the values included in the combination most often perceived by women of lower education as

related to "space and facilities for eating in the kitchen. The largest percentage of women with higher education perceived this aspect as not being related to any of the values. The two educational groups differ significantly in relating eating in the kitchen with COMFORT and FAMILY CENTEREDNESS. Only nine per cent of the women with higher education but 32 per cent of the women with lower education express this relationship.

# Age of Children

Centeredness

TABLE XII

RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN ASPECTS OF HOUSING AND COMBINATIONS
OF VALUES DIFFERING SIGNIFICANTLY ACCORDING
TO AGE OF CHILDREN

ASPECTS OF HOUSING		CO	MBI	Y OR VALUE NATIONS $P_2 = FC^*$		CHILDREN School
A fence around the yard	X	Х	X	x x	27.0	Per Cent 10.8
Indoor traffic patterns which permit having con- versation without interruption	<b>-</b>			<b>v</b>	3.2	21.6
A house that friends and neighbors will admire			X	<b>.</b>	14.3	35.1
A pleasant entrance	<b>X</b>	X	X		28.6	10.8

<sup>&</sup>quot;A fence around the yard" is most often associated with PRIVACY
ONLY by women having school age children, but the same statement is
most often identified with a combination of ALL FIVE VALUES by women
having only preschool age children. This may be because the need for
having a confined play area is greater for younger children than for

older children. The fact that mothers of younger children feel "a fence around the yard" is a necessity might be responsible for their relating this aspect of housing to all values. The two groups differ significantly in their association of this housing feature with a combination of ALL VALUES. More mothers having preschool age children relate "a fence around the yard" to ALL FIVE VALUES than do mothers having school age children.

"Indoor traffic patterns permitting conversation without interruption" is related to PRIVACY ONLY by nearly 22 per cent of the women
having some school age children. The same relationship was indicated
by only three per cent of the women having preschool age children, a
difference which proved significant. The combination of values with
which both groups must associate "indoor traffic patterns permitting
conversation without interruption" is COMFORT and PRIVACY.

The largest percentage of women whose children are older related "a house that friends and neighbors will admire" to one value only, PRESTIGE. A significant difference emerged regarding this response. Whereas 35 per cent of the women with older children made this relation—ship, only 14 per cent of the women having younger children perceived a like relationship. The combination of values, BEAUTY and PRESTIGE, are associated with "a house that friends and neighbors will admire" by the largest percentage (21 per cent) of women having younger children.

"A pleasant entrance" is most often associated with the combination of BEAUTY, COMFORT, and PRESTIGE. The groups differ significantly in this association as nearly 29 per cent of the women having preschool age children related "a pleasant entrance" with BEAUTY, COMFORT, and

PRESTIGE, while only 11 per cent of the women having school age children perceived this relationship.

# Sex of Children

TABLE XIII

RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN ASPECTS OF HOUSING AND COMBINATIONS
OF VALUES DIFFERING SIGNIFICANTLY ACCORDING
TO SEX OF CHILDREN

ASPECTS OF HOUSING				OR ATIC	VALUE NS		OF CHILD	REN
	В	C	$\mathbf{P}_{1}$	P <sub>2</sub>	FC *	Same		Differen
A backyard patio	Х	Х	X		X	27.1	Per Cent	11.5
Adequate storage in every bedroom	•	X			-	8.3		25.0
Shrubbery around the yard				X		18.8	•	38.5
House that friends and neighbors will admire	X		X		X	16.7		1,9

B = Beauty, C = Comfort, P<sub>1</sub> = Prestige, P<sub>2</sub> = Privacy, FC = Family Centeredness

Associating "a backyard patio" with a combination of ALL VALUES emerged as the response given most frequently by the women classified according to sex composition of their children. A significant difference occurs in regard to the women's association of "a backyard patio" with a combination of four values. Twenty-seven per cent of the women having one child only or two or more children of the same sex think "a backyard patio" is related to BEAUTY, COMFORT, PRESTICE, and FAMILY CENTEREDNESS while only 11 per cent of the women having two or more children of different sex feel that this relationship exists.

"Adequate storage in every bedroom" is most often related to the combination of BEAUTY, COMFORT, and PRESTIGE by mothers whose children

are of the same sex. Mothers whose children are of different sex most often relate "adequate storage" to COMFORT ONLY. A significant difference emerged between the two groups in their association of this housing aspect with COMFORT ONLY. Twenty-five per cent of the mothers having children of different sex think that "adequate storage" is related to COMFORT ONLY, but a mere eight per cent of the mothers having children of the same sex make this association.

The largest percentage of women having children of the same sex did not relate "high, closely-planted shrubbery around the yard" to any of the values. The largest percentage (38 per cent) of women whose children represent different sexes relate this housing aspect to PRIVACY ONLY. In contrast to this, 19 per cent of the women whose children represent only one sex make this same relationship, a difference which proved significant.

Women of both groups relate "a house that friends and neighbors will admire" most frequently with PRESTIGE ONLY. A significant difference occurs, however, between the two groups in their association of this aspect of housing with the combination of BEAUTY, PRESTIGE, and FAMILY CENTEREDNESS. Seventeen per cent of the women having one child only or two or more of the same sex feel "a house that friends and neighbors will admire" is related to a combination of these three values but a mere two per cent of the women having two or more children of different sexes indicate such a relationship.

"Counter surfaces that are the right height for you" is most often related to COMFORT ONLY by both of the sub-groups, but a significant difference emerged in the proportions of each group responding in this way. Sixty per cent of the women whose children are of different sexes

think that "counter surfaces which are the right height for you" relates to COMFORT ONLY, while 40 per cent of the women whose children are of the same sex responded in this way.

TABLE XIV

RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN ASPECTS OF HOUSING AND COMBINATIONS
OF VALUES DIFFERING SIGNIFICANTLY ACCORDING
TO SEX OF CHILDREN

ASPECTS OF HOUSING COMBINATIONS  B C P <sub>1</sub> P <sub>2</sub> I	S SEX OF CHILDREN
	Per Cent
Counter surfaces that are the right height for you X	39.6 59.6
House that is easy to clean X	6.2 21.2
Interior which pleases the	
eye X X X	16.7 34.6
An automatic dryer X	22.1 42.2

B = Beauty, C = Comfort, P<sub>1</sub> = Prestige, P<sub>2</sub> = Privacy, FC = Family Centeredness

A significant difference emerged between the two sub-groups in their association of "a house that is easy to clean and keep clean" with COMFORT ONLY. Over 20 per cent of the women having children of different sex associate ease of cleaning with COMFORT ONLY, while six per cent of the other group expressed this relationship.

A combination of the values BEAUTY, COMFORT, and PRESTIGE in association with "an interior which pleases the eye" is the response cited by the largest percentage (about 35 per cent) of women whose children represent both sexes. This association differed significantly from the per cent of women (17 per cent) whose children are of one sex perceiving this same relationship. The values, BEAUTY, COMFORT, PRESTIGE,

and FAMILY CENTEREDNESS were included in the responses given most often by the latter group in regard to "an interior which pleases the eye."

"An automatic dryer" is related most often by both groups to COMFORT ONLY. The groups differ significantly in their responses, however. Forty-two per cent of the women having two or more children of different sexes gave this response while only 22 per cent of the women having one child only or two or more of the same sex make this association.

# Number of Children

TABLE XV

RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN ASPECTS OF HOUSING AND COMBINATIONS
OF VALUES DIFFERING SIGNIFICANTLY ACCORDING
TO NUMBER OF CHILDREN

ASPECTS OF HOUSING	VAI				VALUE NS	NTT	MDED	OF CHILDREN
ADIROID OF HOUSING	В	C	P <sub>1</sub>	$\mathbf{P}_{2}$	FC *	One	Two	Three or more
	-						Pe	r Cents
Large amount of window area	X		x			26.7	2.3	7.1
A house-that is owned		X	X	X		20.0	2.4	0.0
Protection from the weather when going from the house								e a
to the car		X				30.0	21.4	67.9
Adequate storage in every	•							
bedroom		X				3.3	14.3	35.7
Separate bedrooms for each	ı .							
child		X				3.3	7.1	28.6

<sup>\*</sup>B = Beauty, C = Comfort,  $P_1$  = Prestige,  $P_2$  = Privacy, FC = Family Centeredness

"A large amount of window area" is associated with BEAUTY and PRESTIGE by the largest proportion of women having one child and to BEAUTY ONLY by most women having three or more children, but the largest proportion of women having two children do not associate this housing aspect with any of the values. The three groups differ significantly in their association of "a large amount of window area" with a combination BEAUTY and PRESTIGE. More women having one child only make this relationship than do women in the other two groups combined.

Women with one child only most often relate "a house that is owned" with a combination of values, COMFORT, PRESTIGE, and PRIVACY (20 per cent). Only two per cent of the women with two children and none of the women with three or more children perceive this relationship. This difference was significant. The largest percentage (29 per cent) of women having two children relate "a house that is owned" to all of the values while the largest percentage (18 per cent) of women having three or more children do not relate this aspect to any of the values.

The largest percentage of women in all groups feel that "protection from the weather when going from the house to the car" is related to COMFORT ONLY. There is a significant difference here, however, as this relationship is expressed by 68 per cent of the women having three or more children, but by only 30 per cent of the women with one child and 21 per cent of the women having two children.

"Adequate storage in every bedroom" is related most frequently to a combination of the values, BEAUTY, COMFORT, and PRESTIGE, by women having only one child. Equal proportions of women having two children relate this aspect to a combination of BEAUTY and COMFORT and to COMFORT ONLY. The three groups differ significantly in their

association between "adequate storage in every bedroom" and COMFORT ONLY. Thirty-six per cent of the women having three or more children, but only 14 per cent of the women having two children and a mere three per cent of the women having one child make this relationship.

"Separate bedrooms for each of the children" is associated with the combination, COMFORT and PRIVACY, by 29 per cent of the women whose children number three or more, while only seven per cent of the women having two children and three per cent of the women having only one child make this relationship. This difference proves to be significant. Women with only one child most often relate (20 per cent) "separate bedrooms for each of the children" to a combination of ALL VALUES.

Women having two children relate this aspect of housing most frequently (27 per cent) to a combination of the values COMFORT, PRESTIGE, and PRIVACY. Women with three or more children relate the same aspect of housing to the combination, COMFORT and PRIVACY (29 per cent) more than to any other combination.

TABLE XVI

RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN ASPECTS OF HOUSING AND COMBINATIONS
OF VALUES DIFFERING SIGNIFICANTLY ACCORDING
TO NUMBER OF CHILDREN

	VAL	UE:	ONLY	OR	VALUE	- CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR		
ASPECTS OF HOUSING			MBIN			NU	MBER.	OF CHILDREN
	В	Ç	$P_1$	$P_2$	FC*	One	Two	Three or more
							Pε	er Cents
A two-car garage		X				3.3	0.0	17.9
A fireplace	x	X			X	0.0	0.0	17.9
Inside play area		X				0.0	0.0	17.9
House that is easy to cle	an	X	•			6.7	7.1	32.1
Outside play area		X			<b>X</b> .	3.3	14.3	32.1

<sup>\*</sup>B = Beauty, C = Comfort, P<sub>1</sub> = Prestige, P<sub>2</sub> = Privacy, FC = Family Centeredness

The largest proportion of all three sub-groups relate "a two-car garage" to PRESTIGE ONLY, but the groups differ significantly in their association of "a two-car garage" with the value COMFORT ONLY.

Almost 18 per cent of the women whose families include three or more children perceive this relationship while only three per cent of the women having one child and none of the women having two children relate "a two-car garage" to COMFORT ONLY.

"A fireplace" is associated by all groups with a combination of the values, BEAUTY, COMFORT, PRESTIGE, and FAMILY CENTEREDNESS. A significant difference emerged in the responses of these three groups relative to this aspect of housing being associated with the three values BEAUTY, COMFORT, and FAMILY CENTEREDNESS. Eighteen per cent of the women with large families feel "a fireplace" is related to these three values but none of the women in the other two groups make this relationship.

The groups differ significantly also in their associations between "a special place for children to play inside the house" with the value COMFORT ONLY. Eighteen per cent of the women having three or more children indicate this relationship but none of the women in the other two groups expressed this association. A combination of ALL VALUES is most often related to this aspect of housing by the women having one or two children. Women with three or more children most often relate "a special place for children to play inside the house" with a combination of only three values, COMFORT, PRIVACY, and FAMILY CENTEREDNESS.

Number of children appears to influence how women perceive "a house that is easy to clean and keep clean." This feature is related to COMFORT ONLY by 32 per cent of the women having three or more children,

while a mere seven per cent of the women having two children and six per cent of the women having only one child make this relationship, a difference which proved significant. Women as a whole (16 per cent), relate ease of cleanliness most often to the value combination, BEAUTY and COMFORT. This same aspect of housing is related most often by women having two children to a value combination of BEAUTY, COMFORT, and FAMILY CENTEREDNESS and by women having only one child to a combination of the values BEAUTY, COMFORT, PRESTIGE, and FAMILY CENTEREDNESS.

"An outside play area for children which can be watched from inside the house" is related most often to a combination of the values, COMFORT, PRIVACY, and FAMILY CENTEREDNESS, by women having one or two children. The combination of values with which this aspect is most often associated by women having three or more children is COMFORT and FAMILY CENTEREDNESS. The groups differ significantly in associating "an outside play area" with a combination of the values, COMFORT and FAMILY CENTEREDNESS. Thirty-two per cent of the women having three or more children, 14 per cent of the women having two children, and three per cent of the women having one child only make the association described above.

### CHAPTER V

## SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## Summary

By determining which of certain aspects of housing are related to values and by identifying which values are most important to a given family, plans could be made for a house that would meet more satisfactorily the value orientation of that family. It is during the expanding stage of the family life cycle that a family experiences sudden changes in composition which often increase demands on housing and force families into choice-making situations. It is in choice-making situations that values operate to influence a family's behavior.

The purposes of this study are: 1) to determine which of certain aspects of housing will be assigned by mothers of expanding families to specific value categories, 2) to ascertain if the statements concerning aspects of housing are assigned to only one value or to several values, and 3) to determine if associations between a given housing aspect and a given value vary according to education of the mother, age of the children, sex of the children, and number of children in a family.

The major hypothesis of the study is: Certain aspects of housing are related to the values held by mothers of expanding families. The sub-hypothesis is: Associations of aspects of housing with values vary

according to education of the mothers of expanding families, age of children, sex of children, and number of children.

The population from which the sample was drawn is defined by the city limits of Stillwater, Oklahoma, excluding all university housing. In obtaining the sample, a combination of random sampling and quota sampling techniques was used. A total of one hundred respondents composed the sample.

An instrument using a card-sorting technique was devised as the means for obtaining data. This technique was considered to be appropriate because it places the respondent in a choice-making situation. The card-sorting technique was designed to identify relationships made by individual respondents between certain aspects of housing and five selected values. The instrument also included a few questions to obtain pertinent information relating to the independent variables: education of mother, age of children, sex of children, and number of children. Data were collected in individual interviews held in the homes of the respondents.

The data were processed on a high speed electronic computer and an IBM tabulator. The Chi-square Test for independence was used to determine significance of association between the dependent variable — the association or nonassociation of housing aspects to five selected housing-related value categories — and the independent variables — age of children, sex of children, number of children, and education of mother. Daniel's table of "Statistically Significant Differences in Observed Per Cents" was used in determining significant differences between two sub-groups of nearly equal size. Chi-squares were computed for the variable, number of children, which had three sub-groups. The

formula used for this computation was:  $X^2 = \frac{(0 - E \sim .5)^2}{E}$ 

## Conclusions

Significant differences emerging from the Chi∞square Test at the .05 level of confidence are designated by an asterisk (\*) in the tables in Appendixes A and B. From the analysis of the data, the following conclusions relating to the hypotheses of the study are drawn:

1. The major hypothesis -- that aspects of housing are related to values -- is supported by the fact that respondents were able with little difficulty to relate a given aspect of housing with at least one of five given values. The aspects of housing which were most often related by the respondents to each of the values are as follows:

## BEAUTY

Wall-to-wall carpeting
Landscaping around the house
A fireplace
An interior which pleases the eye

## COMFORT

Air-conditioning
Central heating
A house that is easy to clean and keep clean
Counter surfaces that are the right height for you

# PRESTIGE

A neighborhood made up of families that are of good social standing
A large house

A house that friends and neighbors will admire Brick construction

## PRIVACY

A place for telephoning which keeps conversation from being overheard or from interfering with conversation of others

# PRIVACY (Continued)

Plenty of space between houses A fence around the yard Separate bedrooms for each of the children

# FAMILY CENTEREDNESS

Space and facilities for the family to work and play together in the house
Facilities for cooking, relaxing and entertaining in the backyard
A family room
A backyard patio

2. Those aspects of housing related by 20 per cent or more of the respondents to ONLY ONE of the values are:

BEAUTY	Per Cent
A pleasant entrance Ceramic tile walls in the bathroom	20 23
COMFORT	
Protection from the weather when going from the	
house to the car	37
Counter surfaces that are the right height for you	50
Facilities for sitting to work in the kitchen	37
An automatic dryer	36
A house located near a school	21
PRESTIGE	
Neighborhood made up of families that are of good	
social standing	42
A house that friends and neighbors will admire	22
A two car garage	21
PRIVACY	
High, closely-planted shrubbery around the yard A place for telephoning which keeps conversation from being overheard or from interfering with the	29
conversation of others	24
FAMILY CENTEREDNESS	
Space for other family members to be in the kitcher	
while you are working	30
Family room and kitchen combined	21
A house located near relatives	20

- The sub-hypothesis proposing that association made between certain aspects of housing and selected housing related values vary according to the independent variables, can be conditionally accepted. Chi-square tests reveal a number of significant differences when the data are analyzed according to the independent variables -- education of mother, age of children, sex of children and number of children. The writer recognizes the need for additional studies using a larger sample to sub-stantiate these findings.
- 4. Fewer significant differences emerge in association patterns when the data are analyzed by education of mother than in analyses concerning the variables: age of children, sex of children, and number of children.
- 5. Aspects of housing which appear to have little relationship to values are:
  - 1. A small house
  - 2. A house that is rented
  - 3. Frame construction
  - 4. Floors of all rooms of the house on the same level

#### Recommendations

The writer submits the following recommendations relative to further study in the area of housing-related values:

- That a comparable study, using a larger sample, be conducted to see if patterns of association and differences indicated in this study will be substantiated.
- 2. That a larger study, including two or three stages in the family life cycle, could ascertain the effect of stage in the

- life cycle on relationships made between aspects of housing and housing-related values.
- 3. That the present study be enlarged using: 1) other aspects of housing, 2) other housing-related values, 3) other factors which may influence patterns of association such as income, socio-economic status, and rural-urban background.
- 4. That results from the present study and from extensions of the study as suggested in recommendations 1, 2, and 3 be collated and used as a basis for constructing two instruments: one for identifying values held by an individual or a family, and the other for architects, home builders, housing specialists, etc., to be used as a guide in planning homes for individuals or families with given value orientations.

## SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

#### Books

- Allport, Gordon, Phillip Vernon, and Gardner. Study of Values, A Scale
  For Measuring the Dominant Interests in Personality. Boston:
  Houghton Mifflin Co., 1960.
- Berelson, Bernard. Content Analysis in Communication Research. Glencoe, Ill.: The Free Press, 1952.
- Beyer, Glenn H. Housing: A Factual Analysis. New York: Macmillan, 1958.
- Bigelow, Howard. Marriage and the Family. Boston: D. C. Heath, 1942.
- Duvall, Evelyn. Family Development. New York: J. B. Lippincott Co., 1962.
- Glick, Paul. American Families. New York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1957.
- Landis, Paul. <u>Making the Most of Marriage</u>. New York: Appleton Century-Crofts, Inc., 1960.
- Parsons, Talcott, Edward A. Shils, eds. <u>Toward a General Theory of Action</u>. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard Press, 1951.
- Perry, Ralph B. Realms of Value. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard Press, 1954.
- Sorokin, P., C. Zimmerman, and C. J. Galpin. A <u>Systematic Source Book</u> in <u>Rural Sociology</u>. Minneapolis: University of Minn. Press, 1931.
- Williams, Robin. American Society: A Sociological Interpretation. New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1957.
- Woodruff, Asahel. The Psychology of Teaching. New York: Longmans, Green and Co. Inc., 1951.

## University Publications

Beyer, Glenn. Housing and Personal Values. Ithaca, New York: Cornell

- Agriculture Experiment Station, Memoire 364, July, 1959.
- Beyer, Glenn, Thomas Mackesey, and James Montgomery. Houses Are For People. Research Publication No. 3, Ithaca, New York: Cornell University Housing Research Center, 1955.
- Cutler, Virginia. Personal and Family Values in the Choice of a Home, Bulletin 840, Ithaca, New York: Cornell University Agriculture Experiment Station, 1947.
- Kirkpatrick, E. L., et al. The Life Cycle of the Farm Family in Relation to Its Standard of Living. Research Bulletin No. 121. Madison: Agriculture Experiment Station, University of Wisconsin, 1934.
- Montgomery, James, Sara S. Sutker, Maie Nygren. Rural Housing in Garfield County, Oklahoma. Publication LVI, No. 2, Stillwater: Oklahoma State University, August 1, 1959.
- Nolan, Francena L. "The Nature of Attitudes, Values, and Preferences and Their Application to Housing Research," <u>Papers on Research Methodology</u>. Bulletin No. 776. New Brunswick: New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station, n.d.
- Smith, Ruth H., Laura D. Kivlin, Cecile P. Sinden, Housing Choices and Selections as Evidenced by Residential Mobility. College of Home Economics, Research Publication 204, University Park: Pennsylvania State University, May, 1963.
- Straus, Murray A. A Technique for Measuring Values in Rural Life.

  Technical Bulletin 29. Pullman: Washington Agricultural Experiment Station, August, 1959.

### Periodicals and Journals

- Cutler, Virginia. "A Technique for Improving Family Housing." Journal of Home Economics, XXXVIX (March, 1947), 141-142.
- Daniel, Cuthbert. "Statistically Significant Differences in Observed Per Cents." <u>Journal of Applied Psychology</u>, VI (December, 1940) 826-827.
- Glick, Paul. "The Life Cycle of the Family." Marriage and Family Living, XVII (February, 1955), 3-9.
- Lee, Dorothy. "The Individual in a Changing Society." <u>Journal of</u>
  <u>Home Economics</u>, LII (1960), 79-82.
- Montgomery, James. "Housing Values, Meaning, Assessment, Fulfillment." Forecast for Home Economics, LXXV (April, 1959) 8.

Thomas, Lawrence G. "Prospects of Scientific Research into Values." Educational Theory, VI (October, 1956) 196.

## Thesis

Kohlmann, Eleanore L. "Development of an Instrument to Determine Values of Homemakers." Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, Iowa State University of Science and Technology, Ames: 1961.

APPENDIX A

	Schedule Number
1.	Who lives in this home?
	a. wife b. husband c. children d. other
2.	Ages and sex of children
	a. Boys
	1. <u> </u>
	b. Girls
	1. 2. 3. 4. manufactures 4.
3.	Occupation of husband (or of wife if widowed or divorced, etc.)
	a
4.	Education of wife
	aless than high school graduate
	b high school graduate
	c. high school graduate plus some college
	dcollege graduate
5.	At present, do you own or rent this house?
	a. own
	b. rent
6.	In the past did you live the major part of your life in owned housing, or rented housing?
	a. owned
	b. rented
	c. both
**	If both, could you say that it was primarily one or the other?
	a primarily owned
	h primarily rented

# RECORDING SHEET

ST	ATEMENTS	B.	Com.	Pres.	Pri.	F.C.	STA	ATEMENTS	B.	Com.	Pres.	Pri.	F.C.
1.	Carpet	1	1	1	1	1	30.	2-Garage	30	30	30	30	<b>3</b> 0
2.	Patio	'2	2	2	2	2	31.	Fire Place	31	31	31	31	31
3.	Utility	3	3	3	3	3	32.	Brick	32	32	32	32	32
4.	Telephone	4	4	4	4	4	33.	Admire	33	33	33	33	33
5.	Window Area	5	5	5	5	5 ,	34.	Highways	34	34	34	34	34
6.	Kitchen, Large	6	6	6	6	6	35.	Entrance	35	35	35	35	35
7.	School	7	7	7	7	7	36.	Dining Room	36	36	36	36	36
8.	Space	8	8	8	8	8	37.	Backyard	37	37	37	37	37
9.	Dressing	9	9	9	9	9	38.	Inside Play	38	38	38	38	38
10.	Fence	10	10	10	10	<sub>4</sub> 10 -	39.	Same Level	39	39	39	39	39
11.	Bathroom	11	11	11	11	11 -	40.	Rented	40	40	40	40	40
12.	Owned	12	12	12	12	12	41.	Small	41	41	41	41	41
13.	Air-Cond.	13	13	13	13	13	42.	Height	42	42	42	42	42
14.	Protection	14	14	14	14	14	43.	Clean	43	43	43	43	43
15.	Storage	15	15	15	15	15	44.	Fam. Room	44	44	44	44	44
16.	Landscaping	16	16	16	16	16	45.	Sep. Living	45	45	45	45	45
17.	Picture	17	17	17	17	17	46.	Large	46	46	46	46	46
18.	Shrubbery	18	18	18	18	18	47.	2-Bath	47	47	47	47	47
19.	Entry Hall	19	19	19	19	19	48.	Fam. Play	48	48	48	48	48
20.	Frame	20	20	20	20	20	49.	Furn. Arr.	49	49	49	49	49
21.	Ceramic	21	21	21	21	21	50.	Eat-Kitchen	50	50	50	50	50
22.	FamKitchen	22	22	22	22	- 22	51.	Interior	51	51	51	51	51
23.	Garage	23	23	23	23	23	52.	Sitting	52	52	52	52	52
24.	Relatives	24	24	24	24	24	53.	Outside Play	53	53	53	53	53
25.	Social	25	25	25	25	25	54.	T. V.	54	54	54	54	54
26.	Traffic	26	26	26	26	26	55.	Dryer	55	55	55	55	. 55
27.	Closet-Front	27	27	27	27	27	56.	Heating	56	56	56	56	56
28.	Places	28	28	28	28	28	57.	Fam. in Kitch.	57	57	57	57	57
29.	Sep. Bedrooms	29	. 29	29	29	29							

APPENDIX B

# DESCRIPTION OF TABLES

Tables in Appendix B show percentages of respondents in each of the various sub-groups who associate a given aspect of housing with each of the five housing-related values.

The number of respondents in each of the sub-groups are as follows:

# Education

High Low	53 47
Age of Children	
Preschool Some school	63 37
Sex of Children	
One only or same	48 52

An asterisk (\*) indicates significant differences at the .05 level of confidence.

TABLE I
WALL-TO-WALL CARPETING

		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Fam. Cen.
EDUCATION	High Low	94.34 95.74	84.19 91.49	81.13 87.23	20.0	9.43* 29.79*
AGE OF CHILDREN	Preschool Some school	93.65 97.30	87.30 89.19	82.54 86.49	15.87 10.81	
	One only or two or more same sex	93.75	85.42	87.50	14.58	
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	96.15	90.38	. 80.77	13.46	23.08

TABLE II

A BACKYARD PATIO

					Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy Cen.
SINICATION	High	86.79	69.81	77.36	47.17 86.79
EDUCATION	Low	85.11	78.92	80.85	48.94 78.12
AGE OF CHILDREN	Preschool	90.48	74.60	79.37	50.79 87.30
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	78.38	72.97	78.38	43.24 75.68
	One only or two	89.58	83,33*	85.42	52.08 89.58
	or more same sex				
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	82.69	65.38*	73.08	44.23 76.92

TABLE III
SPECIAL AREA FOR WASHING AND IRONING

					Fam.
	21227	Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy Cen.
EDUCATION	High	43,40	71.70	39.62	62.26 22.64
DUCALION	Low	48.81	85.11	34.04	76.60 21.28
AGE OF CHILDREN	Preschool	47.62	79.37	44.44*	71.43 23.81
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	40.45	75.68	24.32	64.86 18.92
	One only or two	43.75	81.25	43.75	75.00 22.92
	or more same sex				
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	46.15	75.09	30.77	63.46 21.15

TABLE IV

A PLACE FOR TELEPHONING WITHOUT INTERRUPTION

<del></del>		1 <b>4</b> ( ) 1 ( ) 1				Fam.
·		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCATION	High	16.98	58.49	28.30	98.11	24.53
EDUCALION	Low	21.28	68.09	31.91	91.49	31.91
AGE OF CHILDREN	Preschool	17.46	60.32	30.16	93.65	26.98
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	21.62	67.57	29.73	97.30	29.73
	One only or two	20.83	66.67	35.42	93.75	35.42
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	17.31	59.62	25.00	96.15	21.15

TABLE V

A LARGE AMOUNT OF WINDOW AREA

	<del></del>					Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCATION	High	73.58	35 85	37.74	5.66	9,43
FDUCATION	Low	68.09	53.19	31.91	8.51	12.77
ACE OF CHILDREN	Preschoo1	69.84	46.03	42.86*	7.94	9,52
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	72.97	40 . 54	21.62*	5.41	13.51
	One only or two	75.00	41.67	45.83*	8.33	12.50
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	67.31	46.15	25.00*	5.77	9.62

TABLE VI
A LARGE KITCHEN

						Fam
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCATION	High	52,83	64.15*	56,60	22.64	47.17
EDUCATION	Low	_59.57	85.11*	57.06	29.79	55,32
AGE OF CHILDREN	Preschool	58.73	80.95	58.73	26.98	53.97
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	51.35	62.16	45.95	24.32	45.95
	One only or two	64.58	77.08	62.50	29.17	50.00
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	48.08	71.15	46.15	23.08	51.92

TABLE VII
A HOUSE LOCATED NEAR A SCHOOL

						Fam.
·		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCATION	High	13.21	62.26	20.75	1.89	30.19
EDUCATION	Low	2.13	74.47	21.28	14.89	42.55
AGE OF CHILDREN	Preschool	4.76	69.84	26.98	6.35	38,10
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	13.51	64.86	10.81	10.81	32.43
	One only or two	8.33	72.92	29.17	10.42	35.42
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	7.69	63.46	13.46	5.77	36.54

TABLE VIII

PLENTY OF SPACE BETWEEN HOUSES

						Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDITON TON	High	67.92	67.92	69.81	92.45	24.53
EDUCATION	Low	65.69	68.09	61.70	85.11	40.43
ACE OF CULLIDEN	Preschool	68 <b>.2</b> 5	69.84	65.08	87.30	30.16
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	Beauty Comfort Prestige Privacy Censel Beauty Comfort Privacy Censel Bea	35.14			
	One only or two	66.67	62.50	68.75	89.58	39.58
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	67.31	73.08	63.46	88.46	25.00

TABLE IX

A DRESSING AREA THAT IS ADJACENT TO THE BATH

						Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCATION	High	45.28	77.36	69.81	71.70	18.87
EDUCATION	Low	46.81	74.47	57.45	78.72	21.28
AGE OF CHILDRE	Preschool	49.21	77.78	65.08	71.43	19.05
AGE OF CHILDRE	Some school	40.54	72.97	62,16	81,08	21.62
	One only or two	52.08	77.08	70.83	79.17	29.17*
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDRE	Two or more of different sex	40.38	75.09	57.69	71,.15	11.52*

TABLE X

A FENCE AROUND THE YARD

				- <u></u>		Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
TENTICA DETON	High	60.38	71.70	41.51	86.79	56.60
EDUCATION	Low	55.32	78.72	34.04	87.23	57.45
AGE OF GUILDDEN	Preschool	63.49	77.78	47.62	85.71	61.90
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	45.95	70.27	21.62	89.19	48.65
	One only or two	60.42	72.92	41.67	91.67	59.17
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	53.85	76.92	34.62	82.69	59.62

TABLE XI

BATHROOM NOT VISIBLE FROM THE FRONT DOOR OR THE LIVING AREA

						Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDITON TON	High	56.60	54.72	41.51	88,68	13.21
EDUCATION	Low	63.83	65 96	34.04	80.85	25.53
AGE OF GUTIDDEN	Preschoo1	65.08	61,90	42.86	85.71	17.46
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	51.35	56.76	29.73	83.78	21.62
	One only or two	58.33	60.42	41.67	87.50	25.00
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	61.54	59.62	34.62	84.62	13.46

TABLE XII

A HOUSE THAT IS OWNED

		,						Fam.
	****			Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
יוורים	Դ ለ "ቦ"	TON.	High	39.62	56.60	71.70	52.83	33.96
EDUCATION		TOM	Low	31.91	70.21	78.72	48.94	42.55
AGE OF CHILDREN	CUTI DDEN	Preschool	39.68	63.49	76.19	53.79	38.10	
	CHILDREN	Some school	29.73	62.16	72.97	45.95	37.84	
			One only or two	35.4 <b>2</b>	68.75	81.25	47.92	39.58
		•	or more same sex					
SEX OF	CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	36.54	57.69	69.23	53.85	36.54	

TABLE XIII

AIR-CONDITIONING

	<u></u>					Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
TDISOATTON	High	32.08	100.00	84.91	28.30	24.53
EDUCATION	Low	36.17	93.62	70.21	25.53	29.79
AGE OF CHILDREN	Preschoo1	41.279	98.41	82.54	28.57	25.40
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	21.629	* 94.59	70.27	24.32	29.73
	One only or two	35.42	100.00	83.33	29.17	27.08
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	32.69	94.23	73.08	25.00	26.92

TABLE XIV

PROTECTION FROM THE WEATHER WHEN GOING FROM THE HOUSE TO THE CAR

<u></u>	<del></del>					Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCATION	High	22.64	84.91	35.85	18.87	15.09
EDUCALION	Low	23.40	82.98	40.43	29.79	19.15
AGE OF CHILDREN	Preschoo1	26.98	84.13	41.27	20.63	19.05
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	16.22	83.78	32.43	29.73	13.51
	One only or two	29.17	89.58	43.75	22.92	20.83
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	17.31	78.85	32.69	25.00	13.46

TABLE XV

ADEQUATE STORAGE IN EVERY BEDROOM

	Districtions (DAM) Have Communicated in grant of all Computer supersymmetric (Well Communicated States Communi	NO				Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCATION	Hĭgh	54.72	77.36	47.17	33.96	18.87
EDUCALLON	Low	46.81	87.23	31.91	29.79	27.66
AGE OF CHILDREN	Preschool	55.56	84.13	44.44*	34.92*	23.81
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	43.24	89.19	86.49*	10.81%	21.62
	One only or two	58.33	87.5 <b>0</b>	50.00%	37.50	31.25
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	44.23	76.92	30.77*	26.92	15.38

TABLE XVI

LANDSCAPING AROUND THE HOUSE

			<del></del>				Fam.
			Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCACTO	777	High	100.00	41.51	92.45	45.28	18.87
EDUCATIO	JN	Low	89.36	48.94	78.72	40.43	23.40
ACE OF	CHILDREN	Preschool	46.83	44.44	85.71	41.27	19.05
AGE OF (		Some school	94.59%	45.95	86,49	45.95	24.32
		One only or two	95.83	47.92	89.58	45.83	27.08
		or more same sex					
SEX OF	CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	96.15	42.31	82.69	42.31	15.38

TABLE XVII

A PICTURE WINDOW

		***************************************				Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCATION	High	73.58	28.30	32.08	3.77	5.66
EDUCALION	Low	74.47	27.66	48.94	6.38	8.51
AGE OF CHILDREN	Preschool	80.95	34.92	47.62%	6.35	9.52
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	62.16	16.22	27.03*	2.70	2.70
	One only or two	72.92	33.33	41.67	6.25	8.33
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	75.09	23.08	38.46	3.85	5.77

TABLE XVIII
HIGH, CLOSELY-PLANTED SHRUBBERY AROUND THE YARD

		OnClean Colonia (Carlos Carlos Ca				Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCATION	High	32.08	16.98	24.53	69.81	15.09
EDUCALION	Low	40.43	17.02	38.30	61.70	10.64
AGE OF CHILDREN	Preschool	36.51	17.46	33.33	61.90	14.29
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	35.14	16.22	27.03	72.97	10.18
	One only or two	41.67	16.67	31.25	58,33	16.67
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	30.77	17.31	30.77	73.08	9.62

TABLE XIX

AN ENTRY HALL

						Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCATION	High	71.70	49.06	66.04	62.26	7.55
	Low	68.09	55.32	68.09	34.04	12.77
AGE OF CHILDREN	Preschoo1	76.19	52.38	73.02	52.38	9.52
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	59.46	51.35	56.76	43.24	10.81
	One only or two	72.92	58.33	70.83	47.92	12.50
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	67.31	46.15	63.46	50.00	7.69

TABLE XX

CERAMIC TILE WALLS IN THE BATHROOM

						Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCATION	High	86.79	39.62	58.49	7.55	3.77
EDUCATION	Low	89.36	53.19	42.55	14.89	8.51
AGE OF CHILDREN	Preschool	88.89	44.44	53.97	12.70	6.35
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	86.49	48.65	45.95	8.11	5.41
	One only or two	89.58	50.00	58.33	14.58	8.33
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	86.54	42.31	44.23	7.69	3.85

TABLE XXI
FAMILY ROOM AND KITCHEN COMBINED

						Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCATION	High	26.42	37.74	20.75	7.55	69.81
CDUCA I TON	Low	25.53	44.68	14.89	17.02	57.45
AGE OF CHILDREN	Preschoo1	28.57	41.27	19.05	11.11	65.08
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	21.62	40.54	16.22	13.51	62.16
	One only or two	35.429	÷ 43.75	16.67	14.58	66.67
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	1.7 . 31.4	* 38.46	19.23	9.62	61.54

TABLE XXII

A GARAGE

(						Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCATION	High	32.08	69.81	30.19	41.51	16.98
	Low	27.66	55.32	23.40	34.04	14.89
AGE OF CHILDREN	Preschool	36.51	66.67	33.33	42.86	20.63
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	18.92	54.05	16.22	29.73	8.11
	One only or two	33,33	(6.67	31.25	39.58	18.75
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	26.92	57.69	23.08	36.54	13,46

TABLE XXIII

NEIGHBORHOOD MADE UP OF FAMILIES THAT ARE OF GOOD SOCIAL STANDING

	<del></del>					Fam.
	·	Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCATION	High	24.53	39.62	96.23	16.98	15.09
	Low	25.53	46.81	87.23	27.66	25.53
AGE OF CHILDREN	Preschoo1	30.16	49.21	95,24	26.98	20.63
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	16.22	32.43	86.49	13.51	18.92
	One only or two	27.08	43.75	95.83	29.17	29.17
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	23.08	42.31	88.46	15.38	11.54

TABLE XXIV

INDOOR TRAFFIC PATTERNS WHICH PERMIT HAVING CONVERSATION WITHOUT INTERRUPTION

						Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCATION	High	30.19	69.81	35.85	79.25	32.08
	Low	31.91	70.21	29.79	72.34	31.91
AGE OF CHILDREN	Preschool	36.51	74.60	36.51	77.78	34.92
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	21.62	62.16	27.03	72.97	27.03
	One only or two	37.50	70.83	35.42	75.00	37.50
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	25.00	69.23	30.77	76.92	26.92

TABLE XXV

COAT CLOSET AT FRONT ENTRANCE

	AND THE COMPANY OF THE PARTY OF THE COMPANY OF THE	**************************************	######################################			Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCATION	High	45.28	67.92	47.17	22.64	13,21
	Low	38.30	72.34	44.68	29.79	19.15
ACD OT CITTODIN	Preschool	46.03	71.43	50.79	25.40	15.81
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	35.14	67.57	37.84	27.03	16.22
	One only or two	50.00	68.75	52.08	29.17	22.92
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	34.62	71.15	40.38	23.08	9.62

TABLE XXVI

A HOUSE LOCATED NEAR THE PLACES WHERE YOUR FAMILY MOST OFTEN GOES

					- 4	Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCATION	High	3.77	47.17	20.75	9.43	52.83
EDUCATION	Low	4.26	61.70	12.77	4.26	46.81
AGE OF CHILDREN	Preschoo1	3.17	57.14	20.63	9.52	60.32*
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	5,41	48.65	10.81	2.70	32 <b>.</b> 43*
	One only or two	4.17	64.58*	20.83	8.33	58,33
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	3.85	44.23*	13,46	5.77	42.31

TABLE XXVII
SEPARATE BEDROOMS FOR EACH OF THE CHILDREN

		(a)				Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCATION	High	35 . 85	81.13	62,26	83.02	33.96
EDUCATION	Low	46.81	76,60	46.81	91.49	36.17
AGE OF CHILDREN	Preschool	44.44	79.37	58.73	87 : 30	36.51
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	35,14	78.38	48.05	86.49	32.43
	One only or two	93.75	85.42	87.50	14.58	14.58
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	96.15	90.38	80.77	13.46	23.08
	differenc sex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		*****		

TABLE XXVIII

A TWO~CAR GARAGE

		**************************************				Fam。
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDITO A TON	High	33.96	56.60	77.36	16.98	16.98
EDUCATION	Low	42.55	51.06	68.09	27.66	10.64
ACT OT CUTTODIS	Preschoo1	38.10	55.56	74,60	17.46	14.29
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	37.84	51.35	70.27	29.73	16.22
	One only or two	37.50	43.75	75.00	16.67	10.42
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	38,46	63.46	71.15	26.92	19.23

TABLE XXIX

A HOUSE THAT FRIENDS AND NEIGHBORS WILL ADMIRE

						Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCATION	High	64.15	28.30	81.13	377	28.30
EDUCATION	Low	55,32	40.43	87.23	19.15	23,40
ACE OF CUTIODEN	Prescho <b>o</b> l	66.67	41.27*	84.13	14.29	25.40
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	48.65	21 . 6 2*	83.78	41 ه.5	27,03
	One only or two	66.67	33.33	87,50	18.75	37.50%
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	53.85	34.62	80.77	3.85	15.38*

TABLE XXX

A HOUSE LOCATED AWAY FROM HIGHWAYS OR BUSY STREETS

-					Fam.
	Beauty	Comfort.	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
High	45.28	66.04	49.06	81.13	43,40
Low	34.04	74.47	36,17	80.85	40.43
Preschool	44.44	76.19	39,68	84.13	44.44
Some school	32,43	59.46	48.65	75,68	37.84
One only or two	27.08	54.17*	22,92	37.50	70.83
or more same sex					
Two or more of different sex	26.92	75∡09*	11.52	30.77	63,46
	Low Preschool Some school One only or two or more same sex Two or more of	High 45.28 Low 34.04 Preschool 44.44 Some school 32.43 One only or two or more same sex Two or more of 26.92	High 45.28 66.04 Low 34.04 74.47 Preschool 44.44 76.19 Some school 32.43 59.46 One only or two or more same sex Two or more of 26.92 75.09*	High       45.28       66.04       49.06         Low       34.04       74.47       36.17         Preschool       44.44       76.19       39.68         Some school       32.43       59.46       48.65         One only or two or more same sex       27.08       54.17*       22.92         Two or more of       26.92       75.09*       11.52	Low         34.04         74.47         36.17         80.85           Preschool         44.44         76.19         39.68         84.13           Some school         32.43         59.46         48.65         75.68           One only or two or more same sex         27.08         54.17*         22.92         37.50           Two or more of         26.92         75.09*         11.52         30.77

TABLE XXXI

# A FIREPLACE

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDITOLOTON	High	96.23	62,26	83.02	15.09	56,60
EDUCATION	Low	93,62	61.70	72.34	14.89	59.57
ACT OF CUTTODEN	Preschool	96.83	65,08	82.54	15.87	55.56
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	91.89	56.76	70.27	13.51	62.16
	One only or two	97.92	64.58	83.33	16.67	62.50
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	92,31	59.62	73.08	13.46	53.85

TABLE XXXII

# BRICK CONSTRUCTION

						Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDITOR TITON	High	79.25	37.74	84,91	13.21	3,77
EDUCATION	Low	78.72	38、30	72.34	21 . 28	6.38
AGE OF CHILDREN	Preschool	84.13	42.86	77.78	19.05	4.76
	Some school	70.27	29.73	81.08	13.51	5.41
	One only or two	81,25	37,50	31.25*	22.92	4.17
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	76,92	38,46	76.92*	11.54	5.77

## TABLE XXXIII

# PLEASANT ENTRANCE

						Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCATION	High	96.23	50.94	66.04	18.87	24.53
FDUCATION	Low	89,36	55,32	72.34	17.02	19,15
AGE OF CHILDREN	Preschool	95,24	52.38	73.02	15.87	22.22
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	89,19	54.05	62.16	21.62	21.62
	One only or two	95,83	60.42	72.92	18.75	29,17
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	90,38	46.15	65.38	17.31	15,38

TABLE XXXIV

A SEPARATE DINING ROOM

·		Reguty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Fam. Cen.
TRICLETON	High		41.51*	67.92	49.06	18.87
EDUCATION	Low	55.32	68.09*	59.57	48.94	25,53
AGE OF CHILDREN	Preschool	65 ، 08 ه	53,97	69.84	50.79	20.63
	Some school	37 . 84%	54.05	54.05	45.95	24.32
	One only or two	60,42	58.33	77.08*	50.00	22.92
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	50,00	.50 00	51.92*	48.08	21.15

TABLE XXXV

FACILITIES FOR COOKING, RELAXING, AND ENTERTAINING IN THE BACKYARD

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	······································			Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDICATION	High	64.15	79,25	60,38	45.28	98.11
EDUCATION	Low	57.45	85,11	72.34	57.45	85.11
ACE OF CUITADDEN	Preschool	65.08	80,95	68,25	55.56	90,48
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	54.05	83.78	62.16	43.24	94.59
	One only or two	66.67	77.08	72,92	58.33	89.58
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	55.77	8654	59.62	44.23	92.31

TABLE XXXVI

A SPECIAL PLACE FOR CHILDREN TO PLAY INSIDE THE HOUSE

						Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCATION	High	45.28	79 . 25	45.28	75.47	83.02
EDUCALION	Low	42,55	85.11	42.55	76.60	82.98
AGE OF CHILDREN	Preschool	50.79	82.54	24.44	79.37	85.71
	Some school	32.43	81.08	43.24	70.27	78,38
,	One only or two	45.83	81.25	52.08	85.42	85.42
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	42,31	82.69	36.54	67.31	8077

TABLE XXXVII
FLOORS OF ALL ROOMS OF THE HOUSE ON THE SAME LEVEL

						Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCATION	High	24,53	39.62	96.23	16.98	15.09
	Low	25,53	46.81	87.23	27.66	25.53
AGE OF CHILDREN	Preschool	28.57	52.38	9.52	7.94	25.40
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	16.22	48.65	16.22	5.41	21.62
	One only or two	25,00	56.25	12.50	8.33	25,00
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	23.08	46.15	11.54	5.77	23.08

TABLE XXXVIII

COUNTER SURFACES THAT ARE THE RIGHT HEIGHT

						Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCATION	High	27.66	91,49	17.02	17.02	12.77
EDUCATION	Low	30.19	84.91	20 , 75	7.55	16.98
AGE OF CHILDREN	Preschool	31.85	88.89	25.40	14.29	17.46
	Some school	24.32	86,49	8.11	8.11	10.81
	One only or two	35,42	87.50	25.00	14.58	20.83
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	23,08	88.46	13.46	9.62	9.62

TABLE XXXIX

A HOUSE THAT IS EASY TO CLEAN AND KEEP CLEAN

**************************************				<del></del>		Fame
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCATION	High	73,58	90.57	32,08	11.32	49.06
EDUCATION	Low	61.70	89.36	31.91	23,40	51.06
AGE OF CHILDREN	Preschool	77.78%	90.48	39.68*	19.05	52.38
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	51 35	89.19	18.92*	13.51	45, 95
	One only or two	72.92	89 . 58	41.67	25.00	60.42*
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	63.46	90.38	23,08	9,62	40.38*

TABLE XL

A FAMILY ROOM

			-			Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCATION	High	49.06	73,58	64.15	52.83	86.79
EDUCATION	Low	59.57	78.72	48.94	65.96	95.74
ACE OF CUTIODEN	Preschool	61.90%	77.78	60.32	65.08	88.89
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	40 , 54%	72.97	51.35	48.65	94.59
	One only or two	68,75	75.00	62.50	62.50	85.42
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	40.38	76.92	51.92	55.77	96.15

TABLE XLI

A SEPARATE LIVING ROOM

						Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCATION	High	67.92	64.15	62.26	79.25	20.75
EDUCALION	Low	70.21	72.34	68.09	61.70	38.30
ACE OF CUTIONEN	Preschool	76.19*	73.02	69.84	71.43	28.57
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	56.76%	59.46	56.76	70.27	29.73
	One only or two	75.00	72.92	72.92	72.92	27.08
•	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	63.46	63.46	57.69	69.23	30.77

TABLE XLII

A LARGE HOUSE

				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and the Office Street Seed Security Seed Street Seed Security Seed Seed Seed Security Seed Seed Seed Security Seed Seed Seed Seed Seed Seed Seed See	and you are not to be a second or the second	Fam.
			Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCATION		High	57.45	76.60	8511	48.94	44.68
		Low	52.83	69.81	86.79	49.06	43.40
AGE OF CHILDREN	CHTIDDEN	Preschool	61.90	71.43	82.54	49.21	49.21
AGE OF	CUTEDKEN	Some school	43.24	75.68	91.84	48.65	35,14
		One only or two	66.67*	81.25	91.67	56.25	50.00
		or more same sex					
SEX OF	CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	44.23*	65.38	80.77	42.31	38.46

TABLE XLIII
SECOND BATH (HALF OR FULL)

						Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDITO A TETONI	High	39.62	84.91	75.47	79.25	28.30
EDUCATION	Low	44.68	85.11	6809	80 . 85	25,53
ACE OF CUTIODEN	Preschool	41.27	84.13	73.02	80.95	20.63
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	43.24	86.49	70.27	78.38	37.84
	One only or two	45.83	85.42	70.83	81.25	31.25
,	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	38,46	84.62	73,08	78.85	23,08

TABLE XLIV

SPACE FOR FAMILY TO WORK AND PLAY TOGETHER IN THE HOUSE

						Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDITCATION	High	37.74	81.13	32.08	47.17	100.00
EDUCATION	Low	38,30	78.72	34.04	48.94	89.36
AGE OF GUTIDDEN	Preschool	42.86	77.78	38,10	49.21	98,41
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	29.73	83.78	24.32	45.95	89.19
	One only or two	41,67	77.08	37.50	62.50	97.42
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	34.62	82,69	28 . 85	34.62	92.31

TABLE XLV

SPACE WHICH PERMITS THE ARRANGING OF FURNITURE IN MORE THAN ONE WAY

		<del> </del>			-	Fam
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCATION	High	83.02	62.26	39.62	15.09	30.19
EDUCATION	Low	78.72	72,34	36.17	17.02	25.53
AGE OF CHILDREN	Preschool	87.30	69.84	46.03*	20.63	31.75
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	70.27	62.16	24°32*	8.11	21.62
	One only or two	85.42	75.00	43,75	18.75	29.17
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	76.92	59,62	32,69	13.46	26.92

TABLE XLVI
SPACE AND FACILITIES FOR EATING IN THE KITCHEN

	and the second s					Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCATION	High	32.08	71.70	18.87	39.96	60.38
EDUCATION  ACE OF CHILDREN	Low	21.28	78.72	14.89	34.04	74.47
ACE OF CUITIDEN	Preschool	26.98	77.78	22.22	36.51	69.84
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	27.03	70.27	8.11	29.73	62.16
	One only or two	27.08	54.17%	22.92	37.50	70.88
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	26.92	75.09*	11.52	30.77	63,46

TABLE XLVII

AN INTERIOR WHICH PLEASES THE EYE

						Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCATION	High	98.11	69.81	81.13	13.21	45.28
FDOCULTON	Low	89.36	78.72	76.68	17.02	36.17
AGE OF CHILDREN	Preschool	100.00	71,43	84.13	20.63	44.44
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	83.78	78.38	70.27	5.41	35.14
	One only or two	97.92	72.92	85.92	20.83	50.00
•	or more same sex		•			
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	90.38	75.09	73.08	9.62	32.69

TABLE XLVIII

SPACE AND FACILITIES FOR SITTING TO WORK IN THE KITCHEN

•						Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCATION	High	20.75	73.58	26.42	13.21*	28.30
EDCONALON	Low	19.15	80 85	17.02	34.04%	31.91
ACE OF CHIEDDEN	Preschool	22.22	77.78	30.16*	26.98	28.57
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	16.22	75.68	8.11*	10.22	32.43
	One only or two	20.83	75.00	27.08	29.17	35.42
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	19.23	78.85	17.31	17.31	25.00

TABLE XLIX
CHILDREN'S OUTSIDE PLAY AREA WHICH CAN BE WATCHED FROM INSIDE THE HOUSE

3+2+2+2+3+3+3+3+3+3+3+3+3+3+3+3+3+3+3+3	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCATION  AGE OF CHILDREN	High	28.30	88.68	26.42	39.62	62.26
	Low	29.79	76.60	19.15	46.81	76.60
AGE OF CHILDREN	Preschool	31.75	88.89	30.16*	52.38*	69.84
	Some school	24.32	72.47	10.81*	27.03*	67.57
	One only or two	37.50	83.33	27.08	50.00	64.58
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	21.15	82.69	19.23	36.54	73.08

TABLE L

A PLACE TO WATCH TV WITHOUT INTERRUPTION

		e maintain ann ann ann ann ann ann ann ann ann	MCANCULE PACENCINO DE CONTRACTOR DE CONTRACT	<u> </u>	to any order to the section of the s	Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCATION	High	24.53	66.04	28.30	83.02	37.74
EDUCATION	Low	25.53	72.34	29.79	68.09	55.32
AGE OF CHILDREN	Preschool	26.98	68.25	31.75	77.78	44.44
AGE OF CHILDREN	Some school	21.62	70.27	24.32	72.97	48.65
	One only or two	27.08	64.58	35.42	81.25	47.92
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	23.08	73.08	23.08	71.15	44.23

TABLE LI
AN AUTOMATIC DRYER

				0 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0			Fam.
			Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCATION		High	13.21	83.02	52.83	20.75	13.21
EDUCATION		Low	17.02	87.23	34.04	25.53	23.40
AGE OF CHI	CHILDREN	Preschool	14.29	85.71	50.79	28.57	23.81
AGE OF CHI.	Liderch	Some school	16.22	83.78	32.43	13.51	8.11
		One only or two	18.75	83.33	52.08	29.17	18.75
		or more same sex					
SEX OF CHI	LDREN	Two or more of different sex	11.54	86.54	36.54	17.31	17.31

TABLE LII
CENTRAL HEATING

		_				Fam.
		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen.
EDUCATION	High	30,19	96.23	79.25	18,87	22.64
EDUCALION	Low	34.04	87.23	61.70	23.40	21.28
AGE OF CHILDRE	N Preschool	38.10	95.24	76.19	22.22	20.63
AGE OF CHILDRE	N Some school	21.62	86,49	62.16	18.92	24.32
	One only or two	27.08	100.00	77.08	22.92	22.92
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDRE	N Two or more of different sex	36.54	84.62	65,38	19.23	21.15

TABLE LIII

SPACE FOR OTHER FAMILY MEMBERS TO BE IN THE KITCHEN WHILE YOU ARE WORKING

						Fam.
(		Beauty	Comfort	Prestige	Privacy	Cen,
EDUCATION	High	16.98	39.62	16,98	7.55	83.02
EDUCATION	Low	12.77	44.68	17.02	17.02	76.60
AGE OF CHILDREN	Preschool	20.63	44.44	22.22	14.29	82.54
	Some school	5.41	37.84	8.11	8.11	75.68
	One only or two	18.58	45.83	18.58	12.50	81.25
	or more same sex					
SEX OF CHILDREN	Two or more of different sex	11, 54	38.46	15.38	11.54	78.85

APPENDIX C

### DESCRIPTION OF TABLES

Tables in Appendix C show the percentage of respondents in each of the sub-groups who associate a given aspect of housing with one value only or with combinations of values. An "X" in any of the value columns indicates values which emerge as a combination associated with each of the aspects of housing.

In the table headings: B = Beauty, C = Comfort,  $P_1 = Prestige$ ,  $P_2 = Privacy$ , and FC = Family Centeredness.

The number of respondents in each of the sub-groups are as follows:

#### Education

High 53 Low 47

## Age of Children

Preschool 63 Some school 37

### Sex of Children

Same 48 Different 52

#### Number of Children

 One
 30

 Two
 42

 Three
 28

An asterisk (\*) indicates significant differences at the .05 level of confidence.

TABLE I
WALL-TO-WALL CARPETING

		EDUCATION		AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX OF CHILDREN		NUMBER OF CHILDREN		
B. C. P <sub>1</sub> P <sub>2</sub>	FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or
L X		11.32	4.26	9.52	5.41	8.33	7,69	6.67	11.90	3.57
x x		11.32	8.51	9.52	10.81	4.17	15.38	3.33	7,14	21.43
X		53.83	42,55	44.44	54.05	52.08	44.23	56.67	40.48	50.00
х х х	X	5.66	19.15	12.70	10.81	10.42	13.46	10.00	16.67	7.14
(		5.66	8.51	7.49	5,41	8.33	5.77	3,33	7.14	10.71
x x x x	X	3.77	4.26	3.17	5.41		7.69		9.52	
All Other										
Responses		8.44	12.76	13.16	8.10	1 <b>6.</b> 67	5.78	20.00	7.15	7.15

TABLE II
A BACKYARD PATIO

					EDUC	CATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX O	F CHILDREN	NUM	BER OF	CHILDREN
в.	c.	P <sub>1</sub>	P 2	FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or more
	x	X	×	x	1.89	6.38	3.17	5.41	3.85	4.17	3.33	4.76	3.57
۲.		Х		Х	9.43	4.26	9.52	2.70	8.33	5.77	10.00	9.52	44844
ľ		х	Х	х	5.66	6.38	6.35	5.41	4.17	7.69	6.67	7.14	3.57
	х			X	7.55	6.38	4.76	10.81	2.08	11.54	3.33	4.76	14.29
	X		х	x	3.77	6.38	6.35	2.70	6.25	3.85	6.67	4.76	3.57
	X	X			3.77	8.51	3.17	10.81	4.17	7.69	3.33	4.76	10.71
	х	Х		Х	18.87	19.15	22.22	13.51	27.08*	11.54*	26.67	16.67	14.29
	χ	X	Х	X	28.30	25.53	28.57	24.32	29.79	24.53	33.33	28,57	17.86
١1	10	the	r										
le	spo	nse	8		20.76	17.03	15.89	24.33	14.28	23.22	6.67	19.06	32.14

TABLE III
A SPECIAL AREA FOR WASHING AND IRONING

					EDU	CATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX O	F CHILDREN	NUI	IBER OF	CHILDREN
в. с		P <sub>1</sub>	P 2	FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or more
					7.55	4.26	6.35	5.41	4.17	7.69	3.33	9.52	3,57
			Х		1.89	4.26	1.59	5.41	3.85	2.08	3.33		7.14
		X	Х		3.77	2.13	3.17	2.70	1.92	4.17	6.67	2.38	
, X					16.98	8.51	11,11	16.22	12.50	13.46	10.00	9.52	21.43
Х			Х		9.43	23.40	14.29	18.92	10,42	21.15	10.00	14.29	25.00
X		Х			3.77		3.17		4,17		6.67		
X		Х	Х		7.55	2.13	6.35	2.70	10.42		10.00	2.38	3.57
X		χ	Х	x	1.89	6.38	4.76	2.70	6.25	1.92	10.00	2.38	
X			Х		5.66	2.13	4.76	2.70	4.17	3.85	6.67	2.38	3.57
х х			Х		3.77	17.02	9.52	10.81	14.58	5.77	16.67	9.52	3.57
x x			Х	. Х	5.66	2.13	3.17	5.41	4.17	3.85	3.33	4.76	3.57
х х		х			3.77	4.26	3.17	5.41	2.08	5.77		9.52	
X X		Х	Х		5.66	6.38	6.35	5.41	6,25	5.77	6.67	4.76	7.14
x x		Х	х	Х	7.55	10.64	12.70	2.70	8.33	9.62	6.67	14.29	3.57
A11 (	O t	her	r										
Resp					15.10	6.37	9.54	13.50	6.72	14.90	.0	14.30	17.37

 $\begin{tabular}{lllll} \textbf{TABLE} & \textbf{IV} \\ \hline \textbf{A PLACE FOR TELEPHONING WHICH KEEPS CONVERSATION FROM BEING OVERHEARD} \\ \end{tabular}$ 

					EDUC	ATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX O	F CHILDREN	NU	BER OF	CHILDREN
В.	c.	P	P <sub>2</sub>	FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or more
		_	х		30.19	17.02	25.40	21,62	16.67	30.77	23.33	16.67	35.71
	Х		X		22.64	27.66	22.22	29.73	22,92	26.92	13.33	26.19	35.71
	X ·		Х	х	9.43	6.38	9.52	5.41	10.42	5.77	16.67	4.76	3.57
	X	х	X		5.66	4.26	7.94		6.25	3.85	6.67	7,14	
	X	Х	Х	Х	7.55	6.38	4.76	10.81	14.58	3.85	10.00	7.14	3.57
K	X		X	x		8.51	3.17	5.41	6.25	1.92	10.00		3,57
X	X	х	X		3.77	6.38	3.17	8.11	2.08	7.69		9.52	3.57
X	X	Х	X	X	5.66	6.38	6.35	5.41	6.25	5.77	6,67	7.14	3.57
A11	l o	the	r										
Res	spo:	nse	s		15.10	17.03	17.47	13.50	14.58	13.46	13.33	21,44	10.73

TABLE V

A LARGE AMOUNT OF WINDOW AREA

			EDUC	CATION	AGE OF	CH1LDREN	SEX C	F CHILDREN	NUM	BER OF C	HILDREN
в. с	. P <sub>1</sub> P	2 FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or
			18.87	17.02	15.87	21,62	12.50	23.08	16.67	23.81	10.71
	X		5.66	2.13	4.76	2.70	6.25	1.92	10.00		3,57
X			3.77	8.51	7.94	2.70	8.33	3.85	6.67	7.14	3.57
K			20.75	21,28	17.46	27.03	18,75	23.08	6.67	21.43	35.71
ζ.	Х		15.09	7.14	14.29	5.41	16.67	5,66	26.67*	2.38*	7.14*
<b>X</b>			18.87	17.02	14.29	24.31	12.50	23.08	3.33	21.43	25.57
X X	Х		5.66	8.51	9.25	2.70	8.33	5.77	10.00	7.14	3,57
X X	Х	X	3.77	4.26	4.76	2.70	6.25	1.92	10.00	2.38	
All	Other										
Resp	onses		7.56	14.13	11.38	10.83	10.42	11.64	9.99	14.29	10.16

TABLE VI
A LARGE KITCHEN

•					EDUC	CATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX O	F CHILDREN	NUM	BER OF	HILDREN
в.	c.	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or more
_					15.09	6.38	6.35	18.92	6.25	15.38	6.67	9.52	17.86
				X	9.43	2.13	6.35	5.41	4.17	7.69		7.14	10.71
	Х				3.77	12.77	7.94	8.11	6.25	9.62	3,33	7.14	14.29
(	X				5.66	8.51	4.76	10.81	8.33	5.77	6.67	2.38	14.29
	х			X		10.64	6.35		4.17	7.69	3.33	4.76	7.14
	х	Х			11.32	4.26	. 9.52	5.41	12.50	3.85	16.67	4.76	3.57
	Х	х	•	Х	15.09	12.77	17.46	8.11	1.4.58	13.46	23.33	14.29	3.57
ζ.	х	Х	х	Х	7,55	19.15	11.11	16.22	10.42	15.38	6.67	19.05	10.71
11	1 0	ther									100		
		uses			32.09	23.39	30.16	27.01	33,33	21.16	33.33	30.96	17.86

TABLE VII
A HOUSE LOCATED NEAR A SCHOOL

High 30.19 18.87	23.40 23.40	23.81 22.22	32.43 18.92	Same 20.83	Different	One	33,33	Three or more
	-							
18.87	23.40	22.22	10 02	00.00	01 15	06 67		
			10,74	20.83	21.15	26.67	11.90	28.57
16.98	23,40	20,63	18.92	14.58	25.00	13.33	21,43	25.00
11.32	6.38	11.11	5.41	12.50	5.77	13.33	4.76	10.71
3.77	8.51	7.94	2.70	10.42	1.92	13.33	4.76	
7.55		1.59	8.11	4.17	3.85		9.52	
			A 12 22 47 5					7,15
	3.77	3.77 8.51 7.55	3.77 8.51 7.94 7.55 1.59	3.77 8.51 7.94 2.70 7.55 1.59 8.11	3.77 8.51 7.94 2.70 10.42 7.55 1.59 8.11 4.17	3.77 8.51 7.94 2.70 10.42 1.92 7.55 1.59 8.11 4.17 3.85	3.77 8.51 7.94 2.70 10.42 1.92 13.33 7.55 1.59 8.11 4.17 3.85	3.77     8.51     7.94     2.70     10.42     1.92     13.33     4.76       7.55      1.59     8.11     4.17     3.85      9.52

TABLE VIII
PLENTY OF SPACE BETWEEN HOUSES

					EDU	CATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX O	F CHILDREN	NO	CBER OF	CHILDREN
в.	c.	Pı	P <sub>2</sub>	PC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or more
			X		5.66	6.38	3.17	10.81	2.08	9.62	3.33	2.38	14.29
	X	X	Х		9.43	10.64	11.11	8.11	12.50	7.69	3.33	16.67	7.14
X			х		5.66	10.64	11.11	2.70	8.33	7.69	13.33	7.14	3.57
X		Х	X		9.43	2.13	3.17	10.81	8.33	3.85	3,33	7.14	7.14
X	X				3.77	**	3.17		6.25		10,00		
K	X		х	Х	3,77	6.38	4.76	5.41	6.25	3.85	10,00	4.76	
X	X	X	X		18.87	12.77	15.87	16.22	10.42	21.15	13.33	11,90	25.00
X	X	X	X.	Х	13.21	25.53	17.46	21.62	20.83	17.31	20.00	23.81	10,71
Al:	1 0	the	r				*						
Re:	spo	nse	8		30.20	25.53	30.18	24.32	25.01	28.84	23,35	26.20	32, 15

TABLE IX

A DRESSING AREA THAT IS ADJACENT TO THE BATH

					EDUC	CATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX O	F CHILDREN	NUR	IBER OF	CHILDREN
в.	c.	Pi	P <sub>2</sub>	FC	High	Low.	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or
			Х		5.66	6.38	4.76	8.11	4.17	7.69	6.67	4.76	7.14
		X			5.66	***	4.76	****	4.17	1.92	3.33	4.76	W CE CO #1 %
	X				5.66	6.38	6.35	5.41	4.17	7.69	6.67		14.29
	X		Х		11.32	8.51	7.94	13.51	12.42	9.62	10.00	9.52	10,71
	X	Х	Х		9.43	21.28	14.29	16.22	14.58	15.38	10.00	21.43	10.71
X	X		X			8.51	4.76	2.70	2.08	5.77	3.33	4.76	3.57
X	Х	Х	Х		24.53	12.77	22,22	13.51	16.67	21.15	13.33	21.43	21.43
Х	X	Х	X	χ.	11.32	8.51	7.94	13.51	16.67	3.85	20.00	7.14	3,57
A1	1 0	the	r										
Res	รบด	nse	6		26.42	27.66	26.98	27.03	25.07	26,93	26,67	26.20	28,58

TABLE X

A FENCE AROUND THE YARD

					EDU	CATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX O	F CHILDREN	NI	MBER OF	CHILDREN
В.	C. 1	P <sub>1</sub> F	.2	FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or more
					5.66	2.13	4.76	2.70	4.17	3.85	6.67		7.14
		)			13.21	8.51	6.35	18.92	10.42	11.54	6.67	11.90	14.29
	Х	)	(		3,77	6.38	1.59	10.81	4.17	5.77	3.33	2.38	10.71
	х	)		Х	7.55	14.89	9.52	13.51	6.25	15.38	6.67	9.52	17.86
	х	>			7.55	6.38	7.49	5.41	12.50	1.92	13,33	2.38	7.14
	х ·	>		Х	11.32	12.77	9.52	16.22	10.42	13.46	6.67	14.29	7.14
	x :	x >	[		7.55	4.26	6.35	5.41	4.17	7.69	3,33	4.76	10.71
	x :	x x	t	X .	22.64	19.15	26.98*	10.81*	22.92	19.23	26.67	28.57	3,57
11	Oth	ner											
	pon				20.75	25,53	27.44	16.21	24.98	21.16	26.66	26,20	21.44

TABLE XI

A BATHROOM THAT IS NOT VISIBLE FROM THE FRONT DOOR OR LIVING AREA

	EDU	CATION	AGE OF	CHI LDREN	SEX O	F CHILDREN	ทบเ	MBER OF C	CHILDREN
. c. P <sub>1</sub> P <sub>2</sub> FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or more
	5,66	4.26	7.94		10.42		10.00	4.76	
. <b>X</b>	13.21	12.77	7.94	21.62	2.08	23.08	3,33	11.90	25.00
х х	15.09	6.38	11.11	10.81	14.58	7.69	13.33	7.14	14.29
x	11.32	10.64	12,70	8.11	12.50	9.62	10.00	14.29	7.14
хх	9.43		6.35	2.70	8.33	1.92	10.00	2.38	3.57
х х	7.55	17.02	14.29	8.11	6.25	17.31	10.00	16.67	7.14
x	11.32	8.51	11.11	8.11	10.42	9.62	10.00	14.29	3.57
X	12.77	9.43	12.70	8.11	12.50	9.62	13.33	14.29	3.57
11 Other									
esponses	13.65	30.99	15.86	32.43	22.92	21.14	20.01	14.28.	35.72

A HOUSE THAT IS OWNED

			EDU	CATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX C	F CHILDREN	NIM	BER OF C	HILDREN
в. с.	P <sub>1</sub> P <sub>2</sub>	FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or
			9,43	8.57	7.94	10.81	6,25	11.54	10.00	2.38	17.86
	X		3.77	4.26	4.76	2.70	2.08	5.77	3.33	2.38	7.14
	x		20.75	1.2.77	15.87	18.92	16.67	17.31	13.33	21.43	14.29
Х			3.77	6.38	4.76	5.41	7.69			7.14	7.14
X :	X		5.66	12.77	7.94	10.81	12.50	5.77	10.00	7.14	10.71
Х.	X X		7.55	6.38	9:52	2.70	12.50	1.92	20.00*	2.38*	
X :	$\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}$	X		12.77	3.17	10.81	4.17	7.69	3.33	7.14	7.14
X X	х х	x	16.98	23,40	20.63	18.92	14.58	25.00	13.33	28.57	14.29
411 Ot	her	•								20.57	24.22
Respon	ses		32.09	12.70	25.41	18.92	23.56	25,00	26.54	21.44	78.57

TABLE XIII
AIR-CONDITIONING

					. EDUC	ATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX O	F CHILDREN	NUM	BER OF	CHILDREN
в.	c.	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or more
	Х				13.21	19.15	12.70	21.62	14.58	17.31	13.33	11.90	25.00
	Х				35.85*	19.15*	26.98	29.73	29.79	26.92	23.33	26.19	35.71
	Х	х	Х		7.55	8.51	7.94	8.11	8.33	7.69	6,67	9.52	7.14
	Х	Х	Х	Х	5.66	2.13	4.76	2.70	6.25	1.92	10.00	2.38	
(	Х	Х		-	11.32	10.64	17.46		10.42	11.54	16.67	14.29	
(	X	Х		Х	7.55	8,51	6.35	10.81	8.33	7.69	10.00	4.76	10.71
	Х	Х	X		5.66	4.26	4.76	5.41	8.33	1.92	10.00	2.38	3.57
ζ.	х	X	Х	X	7.55	6.38	7.94	5.41	4.17	9.62	3.33	14.29	
¥1	1 0	the	r										
۱e	spo	nse	s		5.65	21.27	11.11	16.21	9.80	15.39	6.67	14.29	17.87

TABLE XIV

PROTECTION FROM THE WEATHER WHEN GOING FROM HOUSE TO THE CAR

		CATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX (	OF CHILDREN	NUM	BER OF	HI LDREN
B. C. P <sub>1</sub> P <sub>2</sub> FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or
	11.32	6.38	4.52	8.11	6.25	11.54	6.67	14.29	3.57
X	41.51	31.91	33.33	43.24	29.17	44.23	30.00*	21.43*	67.86*
х х	1.89	10.64	3.17	10.81	8.33	3.85	6.67	4.76	7.14
х х	9.43	6.38	11.11	2.70	14.58	1.92	13.33	9.52	
х х х	3.77	4.26	4.76	2.70	4.17	3:85	6.67	4.76	
. х	5.66	4.26	7.94		6.25	3.85	10.00	4.16	
X X	3.77	4.26	3.17	5.41	6.25	1.92	6.67	4.16	
X X X X	5.66	6.38	6.35	5.41	4.17	7.69	6.67	7.14	3.57
11 Other									
Responses	16.99	25.53	25,65	21.62	79.17	21.15	13,32	29,78	17.86

TABLE XV
LANDSCAPING AROUND THE HOUSE

					EDUC	ATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX O	F CHILDREN	NUM	BER OF	CHILDREN
В.	c.	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or more
ζ					5.66	8.51	7.94	5.41	4.17	9.62	3.33	7.14	10.71
K		х		· ·	28.30	14.89	20.63	24.32	18.75	24.53	16.67	16.67	35.71
		х		Х	3.77	2,13	3.17	2.70	6.25		10.00		
		х	Х		16.98	12.77	14.29	16.22	12.50	17.31	10.00	16.67	17.86
	Х	х			15.09	17.02	20.63	8.11	18.75	13.46	20.00	14.29	14.29
1	Х	x	х		13.21	8.51	9.52	13.51	10.42	11.54	6.67	19.05	3,57
	х	х	Х	Х	9.43	14.89	9.52	16,22	12.50	11.54	13.33	11.90	10.71
11	0	the	τ			-							
		nse			7.56	21.28	14.30	13.51	16,66	12.00	20.00	14.28	7.15

TABLE XVI A PICTURE WINDOW

	EDU High	CATION Low	AGE OF	CHILDREN Some School	SEX OF	CHILDREN Different	NUI One	IBER OF	CHILDREN Three or
B. C. P <sub>1</sub> P <sub>2</sub> FC									more
	20.75	12.77	12.70	24.32	18.75	15.38	13,33	14.29	25.00
X	3.77	6.38	3.17	8.11	6.25	3.85	6.67	4.76	3.57
X	28.30	25.53	23.81	32.43	22.92	30.77	23.33	23.81	35.71
X X	15.09	17.02	17.46	13.51	12.50	19.23	16.67	14.29	17.86
х х	11.32	8.51	11.11	10.81	10.42	11.54	3.33	16.67	10.71
X X X All Other	7.55	10.64	12.70	2.70	12.50	5.77	16.67	7.14	3,57
Responses	13.22	19.15	80.95	8.12	16.66	13.46	20.00	19.04	3.58

TABLE XVII

ION	AGE OF	CH1 LDREN	SEX OF	CHILDREN	NUR	IBER OF	CHILDREN
Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or more
12.77	20.63	10,81	22.92	11.54	20.00	21.43	7.14
25.53	23.81	37.84	18.75*	38.46*	16.67	28.57	42.86
6.38	4.76	5.41	4.17	5.77	3.33	4.76	7.14
6.38	11.11	2.70	10.42	5.77	13.33	4.76	7.14
				2 05	30.00		2 63

EDUCATIO High B. C. P P2 FC 20.75 32.08 3.77 9.43 ----\* 3.77 5.66 1.89 6.38 10.64\* 6.38 6.38 4.26 2.70 8.11 5.41 2.70 3.85 3.85 5.77 3.85 10.00 3.57 7.14 10.71 6,35 3,17 6,35 3,17 6.25 6.25 6.25 2.08 2.38 4.76 7.14 4.76 20.45 22.65 21.28 27.02 21.14 14.30 22.91 30.01 21.44

HIGH CLOSELY-PLANTED SHRUBBERY AROUND THE YARD

TABLE XVIII ADEQUATE STORAGE IN EVERY BEDROOM

		•	E	DUCATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX O	F CHILDREN	NUM	BER OF	CHILDREN
ş. с.	$\mathbf{P_1}$	2 FC	High	low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or
			13.21	6.38	7.94	13,51	8.33	11.54	6.67	9.52	14,29
'Χ			13.21	21.28	14.29	21.62	8.33*	25.00*	3.33*	14.29*	35.71*
· х		K	7.55	4.26	7.94	2,70	6.25	5.77	10.00	4.76	3.57
X	х :	X X		6.38	3.17	2.70	4.17	1.92	3.33	4.76	
( X			7.55	19.15	14,29	10.81	12.50	13.46	10.00	14.29	14.29
×Χ		X	3,77	6.38	3.17	8.11	6.25	3.85	.6.67	7.14	
XX	X		13.21	4.26	12,70	2.70	12.50	5.77	13.33	11,90	
k X	x	X	7.55		4.76	5.41	6,25	3.85	6.67	4.76	3.57
×Χ	X :	χ	7.55		4.76	5.41	2.08	7.69	3.33	7.14	3.57
x x	Х	x x	5.66		9.52	5.41	10.42	5.77	10.00	7.14	7.14
	ther) onses		20,74	15.87	17.46	21.62	22.92	14.47	26,67	14.30	17.86

TABLE XIX AN ENTRY HALL

					EDU	CATION	AGE OF	CHI LDREN	- SEX O	F CHILDREN	. NUN	ABER OF C	HI LDREN
В.	c.	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	Qne .	Two	Three or more
					5.66	4.26	3.17	8.11	4.17	5.77	3.33	7.14	3.57
		х			7.55	8.51	7.94	8.11	4.17	11.32	6.67	7.14	10.71
	х	Х	X		3.77	7.14	4.76	5.41	8.33	1.92	10.00	2,38	3.57
į					5.66	14.89	12.70	5.41	10.42	9.62	6.67	9.52	14.29
			X		7.55		4.76	2.70	2.08	5.77	3.33	7.14	
:		х		٠.	5,66	7.14	4.76	8.11	8.33	3.85	10.00	2.38	7.14
		х	х		11.32	2.13	9.25	2.70	8.33	5.77	10.00	9.52	
:	х				5.66	4.26	1.59	10.81	6.25	8.85		4.76	10.71
	х	x			5.66	14.89	12.70	5.41	12.50	7.69	13.33	4.76	14.29
	x	x	Х		22,64	12.77	19.05	16,22	12,50	23.08	20.00	19.05	14.29
	Х	Х		X	5.66	7.14	6.35	5.41	8.33	3.85	6:.67	9.52	
		the nse			13.21	16.87	12.97	32,41	14.59	12.51	10.00	16.69	21,43

TABLE XX
FRAME CONSTRUCTION

•	EDU	CATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX O	F. CHILDREN	NU	IBER OF	CHILDREN
3. C. P <sub>1</sub> P <sub>2</sub> F	C High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same .	Different	One	Two	Three or more
ÿ	83.02*	61.70*	73.02	72.97	72.92	73.08	70.00	71.43	78.57
X	3.77		3.17		4.17	****	6.67		
Х	3.77	8.51	7.94	5.41	4.17	9.62	6.67	7.14	7,14
	5.66	8.51	6,35	8.11	8.33	5.77	6.67	4.76	10.71
X 11 Other	3.77	6.38	4.76	5.41	6.25	3.85	6.67	7.14	
lesponses	. 0	14.90	4.76	8.10	4.16	7.68	3.32	9.53	3.58

TABLE XXI
CERAMIC TILE WALLS IN THE BATHROOM

	EDUG	CATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX O	F CHILDREN	NUN	IBER OF	CHILDREN
B. C. P <sub>1</sub> P <sub>2</sub> FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or more
	11.32	2.13	6.35	8.11	8.33	5 <b>.7</b> 7	10.00	2,38	10.71
(	20.75	25,33	23.81	21.62	20.83	25.00	26.67	9,52	39.29
Х	26.42	12.77	22.22	16,22	18.75	21.15	20.00	23.81	14.29
Х	9.43	14.89	9.52	16.22	6,25	17.31	6.67	14.29	14.29
X X	22.64	19.15	20.63	21.62	27.08	15.38	23.33	26.19	10.71
X X X X X 11 Other	1.89	6.38	4.76	2.70	4.17	3.85	6.67	4.76	
Responses	7.55	19.35	12.71	13.51	14.59	11.54	6.66	19.05	10.71

TABLE XXII
FAMILY ROOM AND KITCHEN COMBINED

		EDUC	CATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	. SEX O	F CHILDREN	NUI	ABER OF	CHILDREN
B. C. P <sub>1</sub> P	2 FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	0ne	Two	Three or more
		28,30	25.53	26,98	27.03	22.92	30.77	33.33	23.81	25.00
	Х	28.30	12.77	22, 22	18.92	20.83	21.15	20.00	16,67	28.57
х	X	5.66	2.13	4.76	2.70	4.17	3.85	6.67	2.38	3.57
х			8,51	6,35		6.25	1.92	10.00	2,38	
х .	х	9.43	8.51	4.76	16,22	6.25	11.54	3,33	4.76	21.43
χ .	х	1.89	2.13	1,59	2.70	4,17	****	6,67		
хх	х	5.66	12.77	11.11	5.41	10.42	7.69	6.67	14.29	3,57
$x \times x$	х	9.43	4.26	9.52	2.70	8.33	5,77	6.67	11,90	
All Other					*					
Responses		11.33	23,39	12,71	24.32	16.67	17.31	6.66	23,81	82,14

TABLE XXIII

Α	HOUSE	LOCATED	NEAR	RELATIVES

		EDUC	CATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX O	F CHILDREN	NUA	IBER OF	CHILDREN
B. C. P <sub>1</sub> P	2 FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or more
		67.92	63.83	65.08	67.57	6 <b>6.</b> 67	65.38	60.00	76.19	57.14
	х	24.53	14.89	22,22	16,22	20.83	19.23	23.33	11.90	28.57
· x		3.77	4.26	4.76	2.70	. 6.25	1.92	6.67	2.38	3.57
X All Other	х	3.77	8.51	7.49	5.41	6.25	7.69	10.00	2.38	10.71
Responses		0	8.51	. 45	8.10	60.00	5.78	0	7.15	Ø

TABLE XXIV

				EDU	CATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX O	F CHILDREN	NUM	BER OF	CHILDREN
. С	. Р	1	P <sub>2</sub> FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or
				24.53	31.91	22.22	37.84	25.00	30.77	13.33	33.33	35.71
			K,	1.89	4.26	3,17	2.70	2.08	3.85	3,33	4.76	
Х				13.21	8.51	5.92	13.51	10.42	11.54	6,67	9.52	17.86
X		:	K	9.43	6.38	7.94	8.11	6.25	9.62	10.00	4.76	10.71
X			K X	5.66	6.38	4.76	8.11	4.17	7.69	3.33	7.14	7.14
X	Х			9.43	2,13	6.35	5.41	8.33	3.85	10.00	2.38	7.14
X				6.38	7.55	7.94	5,41	6.25	7.69	3.33	9.52	7.14
Х			K .	3.77	4.26	4.76	2.70	8.33		13.33	~~~~	
X	Х	:		3.77	6.38	6.35	2.70	6.25	3.85	10.00	4.76	
X	Х	χ		7.58	4.26	4.76	8.11	4.17	7.69	6.67	4.76	7,14
X	X	X	x	5.66	4.26	7.94		4.17	5.77 °	6,67	7.14	
	Oth		•							-		
	ons			8.69	13.73	17.89	5.40	29.16	26.77	13.34	19.07	7.16

TABLE XXV

NEIGHBORHOOD MADE UP OF FAMILIES THAT ARE OF A GOOD SOCIAL STANDING

				EDUC	CATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX O	F CHILDREN .	NU	MBER OF	CHILDREN
. с.	P <sub>1</sub>	P 2	FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or more
				3,77		3.17		4.17		6.67		
	X			45.28	38.30	36.51	51.35	35.42	48.08	30.00	38.10	60.71
	Х	х		3,77	4.26	3.17	5.41	8.33	~	6.67	2.38	3.57
Х					4.26		5.41		3.85		2.38	3.57
X	х			15.09	6.38	12.70	8.11	8.33	13.46	10.00	11.90	10.71
х	Х		Х	5.66	6.38	7.94	2.70	8.33	3.85	13.33	4.76	
	Х			5.66		4.76		6.25		10.00		
X	х			5.66	4.26	6.35	2.70	2.08	7.69		11.90	4
х	х	х		1.89	6.38	6.35		4.17	3.85	3.33	4.76	3.57
X	Х	X.	Х	3.77	6.38	4.76	5.41	6.25	3.85	6.67	4.76	3.57
11 0												
espor				9.55	23.40	14.29	18.91	16.67	15.37	13.33	19.06	14.30

TABLE XXVI

INDOOR TRAFFIC PATTERNS WHICH PERMIT HAVING CONVERSATION WITHOUT INTERRUPTION

				EDUC	CATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX O	F CHILDREN	NUM	BER OF	CHILDREN
в. с	. Р	1 P	FC FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	0ne	Two	Three or more
				7.55	8.51	11.11	2.70	8.33	7.69	10.00	11.90	
		· x		11.32	8.51	3.17*	21.62*	4.17	15.38	3.33	9.52	17.86
	х				6.38	1.59	5.41	4.17	1.92		7.14	
	X	х	•	5,66		4.76		6.25		10.00		
· x		•		7,55	8.51	7.94	8.11	8.33	7.69	6.67	7.14	10.71
X		х		18.87	17.02	14.29	24.32	16.67	19.23	13.33	16.67	25.00
·X		x		3.77	4.26	3.17	5.41		7.69		2.38	10.71
x				3.77	6.38	6.35	2.70	4.17	5 <b>.7</b> 7		4.76	10.71
x				5,66	2.13	6.35	+	6.25	1.92	10.00	2.38	
ίx		x		5.66	6.38	9.52		8.33	3.85	6.67	4.76	7.14
X			х	3.77	10.64	9.52	2,70	12,50	1.92	16.67	2.38	3.57
		X		9.43	12.77	9.52	13,51	8.33	13.46	10.00	20.00	7.14
lli Resp				16.99	8.51	12.71	13,52	12.50	13.48	13.33	10.97	7.16

TABLE XXVII
COAT CLOSET AT THE FRONT ENTRANCE

	EDU	CATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX O	F CHILDREN	NU	ABER OF	HILDREN
B. C. P <sub>1</sub> P <sub>2</sub> FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or
	16.98	10.64	12.70	16.22	10.42	17.31	6.67	16.67	17.86
X	3.77	4.26	6.35		6.25	1.92	3.33	7.14	
<b>X</b>	18.87	12.77	12.70	21.62	10.42	21.15	6.67	14.29	28.57
х х	3.77	6.38	11.11	5.41	4.17	5.77	3.33	4.76	7.14
X X	7.55	10.64	11.11	5.41	10.42	7.69	10.00	7.14	10.71
$\mathbf{x}  \mathbf{x}  \mathbf{x}$	****	4.26		5.41		3.85		4.76	~~~~
K	5.66	1.89	4.76	2.70	8.33	****	10.00	2.38	
K X	3.77	6.38	4.76	5.41	2.08	7.69		2.38	19,29
X X	1.89	4.26	4.76		6.25		6.67		3,57
x	15.09	8.51	14.29	8.11	10.42	13.46	16.67	11.90	7.14
x	3.77	6.38	4.76	5.41	6.25	3,85	10,00	2.38	3.57
x	5.66	4.26	4.76	5.41	4.17	5.77	6.67	7.14	
All Other									
Responses	13.22	19.37	7.94	18.89	20.82	11.54	19.99	19.06	1.25

TABLE XXVIII
SEPARATE BEDROOMS FOR EACH OF THE CHILDREN

					EDU	CATION	AGE OF	CHI LDREN	SEX O	F CHILDREN	NUM	BER OF C	HILDREN
в. с	. 1	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	FC	High	Low	Preschoo1	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or more
			х		7.55	4.26	6.35	5.41	4.17	7.69	6.67	2.38	10.71
	)	X	х		5.66	6.38	3.17	10.81	4.17	7.69	3,33	9.52	3.57
. X					3.77	2.70	1.59	5.41		5.77		2.38	7.14
Х			х		11.32	12.77	7.34	10.92	12.50	11.54	3.33*	7.14*	28.57*
Х			Х	x	9.43	4.26	6.35	8.11	6.25	7.69	6.67	7.14	7.14
Х		х	х		15.09	12.77	17.46	8.11	14.58	13.46	13.33	26.67	7.14
: x	:		х		1.89	12.77	7.94	5.41	8.33	5.77	10.00	7.14	3.57
( X			х	x	1.89	8.51	4.76	5.41	6.25	3.85	6.67	2.38	7.14
X		х	Х		13.21	4.26	9.52	8.11	8.33	9.62	10.00	9.52	7.14
C X	: 1	X.	X	х .	15.09	17.02	17.46	13.51	14.58	17.31	20.00	19.05	7.14
111	0 tł	hei	r										
lest					15.10	14.30	17.46	18.79	20.84	12.61	20.00	6.68	10.71

TABLE XXIX
A TWO CAR GARAGE

	EDU	CATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX O	F CHILDREN	NUM	BER OF	HILDREN
6. C. P <sub>1</sub> P <sub>2</sub> FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or more
	9.43	12.77	14.29	5.41	12.50	9.62	13,33	14.29	3,57
X	24.53	17.02	19.05	24.32	27.08	15,38	23.33	19.05	21,43
X	5.66	6.38	6.35	5.41	2.08	9.62	3.33*	*	17.86*
х х	18.87	4.26	14.29	8.11	10,42	13.46	13,33	7.14	17.86
X X X	3.77	4 . 26	3.17	5,41	2.08	<b>5.</b> 77	3.33	4.76	3.57
X	3.77	8.51	7.94	2.70	6.25	5.77	3.33	4.76	10.71
X	5.66	2.13	1.59	8.11	4.17	3.85		4.76	7.14
X X	5.66	10.64	11.11	2.70	10,42	5.77	13.33	9.52	
X X X	3.77	8.51	4.76	8.11	4.17	7.69	3.33	9.52	3.57
x x x x	7.55	4.26	6.35	5.41	2.08	9.62	3.33	11.90	
11 Other									
esponses	11.33	21.26	11.10	24.31	18.75	13.45	20.03	14.30	14.29

TABLE XXX

A HOUSE LOCATED NEAR THE PLACES WHERE YOUR FAMILY MOST OFTEN GOES

	EDUC	CATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX O	F CHILDREN	NUN	BER OF	CHILDREN
B. C. P <sub>1</sub> P <sub>2</sub> FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or more
	26.42	19.15	19.05	29,73	16.67	28.85	13.33	28.57	25.00
X ·	20.75	12.77	17.46	16.22	16.67	17.31	20.00	14.29	17.86
X	2.13	1.89	3.17		2.08	1.92		4.16	
$\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{x}$	3.77		3.17		2.08	1.92	3.33	2,38	~~~~.
X	15.09	25.53	17.46	24.32	18.75	21.15	16.67	11,90	35.71
x x	16.98	25.53	25.40	13.51	27.08	15.38	33.33	14.05	10.71
х х	3.77	2.13	1.59	5.91	4.17	1.92		4.16	3.57
$\mathbf{x}  \mathbf{x}  \mathbf{x}  \mathbf{x}$	1.89	2.13	3.17		4.17		6.67		
11 Other									
lesponses	7.20	10.87	9.53	10.31	8.33	11.55	6.67	20.49	7.15

TABLE XXXI

			4.7		EDUC	CATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX C	F CHILDREN	NUM	BER OF C	HILDREN
В.	c.	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> F	С	High	Low	Preschoo1	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or more
(				•	1.89	8.51	4.76	5.41	4.17	5.77	3.33	4.76	7.14
(		Χ.			22.64	10.64	17.46	16.22	14.58	19.23	13.33	16.67	21.43
(		X	,	Ç.	7.55	10.64	6.35	13.51	12.50	5.77	6.67	11.90	7.14
	х				3.77	6.38	4.76	5.41	2.08	7.69	3.33	7.14	3.57
	Х		. }	(	5.66	4.26	3.17	8.11	4.17	5.77	k	*	17.86*
	х	Х			11.32	8.51	14.29	2.70	16.67	3.85	20.00	7.14	3.57
	Х	χ.	)	(	24.53	27.66	26.48	24.32	25.00	26.92	23.33	28.57	25,00
(	Х	X	X 3	٠.	11.32	12.77	12.70	10.81	12.50	11.54	16.67	14.29	3.57
١.	10	the											
le:	apo.	nses	3		1.32	10.63	10.03	13.51	8.33	13,46	13.34	4.53	10.72

TABLE XXXII
BRICK CONSTRUCTION

			EDU	CATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX O	F CHILDREN	NUM	IBER OF	CHILDREN
в. с.	P <sub>1</sub>	2 FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or more
			5.66	6.38	7.94	2.70	8.32	3.85	10,00	2.38	7.14
	X		15.09	12.77	7.94	24.32	10.42	17.31	6.67	21.43	10.71
			5.66	12.77	7.94	10.81	10.42	7.69	10.00	4.76	14.29
	X.		33.96	23.40	30.16	27.03	27.08	30.77	30.00	23.81	35.17
X			3.77	4.26	4.76	2.70		7.69		4.76	7.14
Х	Χ.		22.64	14.89	20.63	16.22	20.83	17.31	23.33	16,67	17.86
x	хх		5.66	10.64	7.94	8.11	10.42	5.77	10.00	9.52	3.57
11 C	ther										
	nses		7.56	14.89	12.69	8.11	12.51	9.61	10.00	16.67	4.12

TABLE XXXIII
A HOUSE THAT FRIENDS AND NEIGHBORS WILL ADMIRE

			EDU	CATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX O	CHILDREN	NU	IBER OF	CHILDREN
в. с.	P <sub>1</sub> P	2 FC	High		Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or more
	x		18.87	25,53	14.29*	35.14*	22.92	21.15	20,00	16,67	32,14
Х	X		1.89	8.51	4.76	5.41		9.62		4.76	10.71
(			7.55	2.13	4.76	5.41	8.33	1.92	3.33	7.14	3.57
	Χ -		24.53	12.77	20.63	16.22	12.50	25,00	16.67	16.67	25.00
	Х	Х	9.43	8.51	9.25	8,11	16.67*	1.92*	20.00	4.76	3,57
X	X		9.43	10.64	14.29	2,70	10.42	9.67	6.67	16.67	3.57
X	X	X	7.55	2.13	3.17	8.11	2.08	7.89	3.33	7.14	3.57
( X	X X Other	X.	1.89	10.64	6.35	5.41	10.42	1,92	10.00	2.38	7.14
	nses		18.86	19.14	22.50	13,49	16.66	20.91	20.00	23.81	10.73

TABLE XXXIV
A HOUSE LOCATED AWAY FROM HIGHWAYS AND BUSY STREETS

					EDUC	ATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX O	F CHILDREN	NUN	BER OF	CHILDREN
в.	C.	P	ı P	2 FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	T\v0	Three or
_				TR'2	7.55	2,13	4.76	5.41	4.17	5.77	* * # * *	9.52	3.57
			Х		3,66	12.77	7.94	10.81	10.42	7,69	13.33	9,52	3.57
	X		X		11.32	17.02	17.46	8.11	12.50	15.38	13.33	7.14	25.00
	X		Х	X	5.66	2,13	3.17	5.41	2.08	5.77	3,33	4.76	3.57
	X	X	X		7.55	****	3.17	5.41	4.17	3.85	3.33	2.38	7.14
	X	X	Х	X	7.55	10.64	7.94	10.81	6.25	11.54	6:67	14.29	3,57
ĸ	X		X		1.89	8.51	7.94		4.17	5.77	3.33	7.14	3,57
K	X		X	X.	3.77	6.38	6.35	2.70	8.33	1.92	10.00	2.38	3.57
X	X	Х	X		9.43	6.38	7,94	8.11	6.25	9.62	3.33	9.52	10.71
X	X	Х	X	X	9,43	10.64	11.11	8.11	12.50	7.69	13.33	11,90	3,57
A1	1 0	the	er										
		ons			30.19	23,40	22, 22	35,12	29,16	25.00	30.02	21.45	32.16

TABLE XXXV

				EDUC	CATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX O	FCHILDREN	NU	ABER OF	CHILDREN
. с.	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	0ne	Two	Three or more
				24.53	14.89	20.63	18.92	14.58	25.00	13,33	19.05	28.57
	Х			13.21	21.28	14.29	21.62	16.67	17.31	13.33	16.67	21.43
	Х	Х		5.66	2.13	4.76	2.70	4.17	3.85	3.33	4.76	3.57
Х			X	3.77	2.13		8.11	4.17	1.92	3.33		7.14
X	X			22.64	21.28	28.57*	10.81*	22.92	21.15	26.67	21.43	17.86
Х	х		Х	11.32	10.64	11.11	10.81	18.75	5.77	20.00	9.52	3.57
X	Х	х		3.77	6.38	1.59	10.81	4.17	5.77	3,33	4.76	7.14
· x	Х	Х	X.	5.66	6.38	7.94	2.70	6.25	5.77	6.67	9.52	
11 0	thei	r										
espos	nser	5		9.44	14.89	11.11	13.52	8.32	13.46	10.01	14.29	10.72

TABLE XXXVI

A SEPARATE DINING ROOM

		٠.			EDU	CATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX O	F CHILDREN	NUN	BER OF C	CHILDREN
в. с		P <sub>1</sub>	P 2	FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or more
					9.43	12.77	9.52	13.51	10.42	11.54	10.00	4.76	21.43
			Х		9.43	4.26	6.35	8.11	4.17	9.62	3.33	9.52	7.14
		X			13.21	6.38	7.94	13.51	12.50	7.69	6,67	14.29	7.14
Х					3.77	6.38	3.17	8.11	4.17	5.77	6.67	2.38	7.14
(		Х			3.77	4.26	6.35		2.08	5.77	3.33	4.76	3.57
ι		Х	X		13.21	2.13	7.94	8.11	8.33	7.69	10.00	7.14	7.14
( )					5.66	2.13	4.76	2.70	4.17	3.85	3.33	4.67	3.57
( X			Х	Х		6.38		8.11	2.08	3.85	3,33	2.38	3.57
<b>(</b> )		Х		X		4.26		5.41	2.08	1.92		2.38	3,57
X		X.	X	X	9.43 11.32	14.89 10.64	14.29 14.29	8.11 5.41	18.75 12.5 <b>0</b>	5.77 9.62	20.00 16.67	9.52 11.90	7.14 3.57
ll Res	0 t	ne	s		20.77	25.52	25.39	18.91	18.75	26.91	16.67	26.30	25.02

TABLE XXXVII FACILITIES FOR COOKING, RELAXING, AND ENTERTAINING IN THE BACKYARD

•					EDUC	CATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX 0	F CHILDREN	NUM	IBER OF	CHILDREN
3. c	•	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or more
х				х	7.55	6.38	4.76	10.81	4.17	9.62	6.67	7.14	7.14
X		Х		х	7.55	2.13	4.76	5.41	8.33	1.92	6.67	4.76	3.57
Х	٠.	Х	X	Х	12.77	11.32	11.11	13.51	6.25	17.31	10.00	14.29	10.71
K				х	7.55		6.35		6.25	1.92	6.67	4.76	
(			Х	X	5.66		3.17	2.38	6.25		6.67	2,38	
€ X				х	9.43	1.89	3.17	10.81	2.08	9.62	3.33	4.76	10.71
C X			x	X.	3.77	6.38	7.94		4.17	5.77	3.33	4.76	7.14
( X		X		X	13.21	14.89	14.29	13.51	10.42	17.31	10.00	21.43	7.14
K X		X	х	X ·	18.87	29.79	23.81	24.32	31.25	17.31	36.67	11.90	28.57
A11	0 t	her											
Resp	on	ė e s	3		13.64	27.22	20.64	19.25	20.83	19.22	9.99	23.82	25.02

TABLE XXXVIII

A SPECIAL SPACE FOR CHILDREN TO PLAY INSIDE THE HOUSE

					EDUC	ATION	AGE OF	CHI LDREN	SEX O	F CHILDREN	NUM	BER OF	CHILDREN
в.	с.	P <sub>1</sub>	. P 2	FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or more
				х	5.66		3.17	2.70	2.08	3.85	3.33	4.76	
			Х	Х	1.89	6.38	3.17	5.41	4.17	3.85	3.33	4.76	3,57
		Х	Х	х	5.66		4.76		6.25		10:00		
:	X.				5.66	4.26	1.59	10.81	2.08	4,69		*	17.86*
	X			X	5.66	6.38	4.76	8.11	2.08	9.62	3.33	2.38	14.29
. :	X		x	Х	13.21	21.28	19.05	13.51	14.58	19.23	16.67	16.67	17.86
:	Х	х	х	х	9.43	10.64	4.76	18.92	14.58	5.77	10.00	7.14	14.29
X :	X			X	3.77	2.13	3.17	2.70	2.08	3.85		2.38	7.14
х :	х		х	X	7.55	8.51	9.52	5.41	12.50	5.77	16.67	4.76	3.57
X :	х	x	X	X	22.64	23.40	25.40	18.92	20.83	25.00	26,67	28.51	10.71
A11													
Res					26.42	17.12	20.65	13.51	18.77	18.37	10.00	28.64	10.71

 $\begin{tabular}{lllll} \textbf{TABLE XXXIX} \\ \hline \textbf{FLOORS OF ALL ROOMS OF THE HOUSE ON THE SAME LEVEL} \\ \end{tabular}$ 

в. с. Р <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> FC	EDU High	CATION Low	AGE OF Preschool	CHILDREN Some School	SEX O Same	F CHILDREN Different	NU One	MBER OF Two	CHILDREN Three or more
	<del></del>	35.85	31.91	36.51	29.73	33.33	34.62	30.00	40.48	28.57
**	X	3.77	4.26	3.17	5.41	8.33		3.33	4.76	3.57
, X			4.26		5.41		3.85		2.38	3.57
X		16.98	25.53	14.29	32.43	22.92	19.23	23.33	11.90	32.14
X	X	9.43	4.26	11.11		4.17	9.62	3.33	9,52	7.14
X X		3.77	2.13	4.76	,	4.17	1.92	3.33	2.38	3.57
		5.66	2.13	3.17	5.41		7.69		4.76	7.14
, X		9.43	6.38	11.11	2.70	10.42	5.77	16.67	7.14	
X	X	3.77	4.26	3.17	5.41	2.08	5.77 ↔	3.33	4.76	3.57
X	х х	1.89	2.13	3.17		4.17		6,67		
11 Other								- 101		
esponses	,	9.45	12.75	9,54	13.50	10.41	11.53	10.01	11.92	10.73

TABLE XL

COUNTER SURFACES THAT ARE THE RIGHT HEIGHT FOR YOU

	EDU	CATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN.	SEX O	F CHILDREN	NU	BER OF C	CHILDREN
B. C. P <sub>1</sub> P <sub>2</sub> FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or more
	11.32	6.38	9.52	8.11	8.33	9.62	10.00	7.14	10.71
X	47.17	53.19	46.03	56.76	39.58*	59.62*	40.00	47.62	64.29
X X	5.66		4.76		4.17	1.92	6.67	2.38	
X	13.21	10.64	12.70	10.81	14.58	9.62	13.33	11.90	10.71
X X		4.26	1.59	2.70	2.08	1.92		2.38	3.57
X X .	1.89	2.13	3.17		4.17		6.67		
X X X X	5,66	4.26	6.35	2.70	6.25	3.85	10.00	4.76	
All Other Responses	15.09	19.14	15.88	18,92	20.84	13.45	25.33	23.82	10.72

TABLE XLI

A HOUSE THAT IS EASY TO CLEAN AND KEEP CLEAN

				EDUG	CATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX O	F CHILDREN	NUM	BER OF C	HILDREN
. с.	P	1 P	FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or more
х				11.32	17.02	11.11	18.92	6.25*	21.15*	6,67*	7.14*	32.14*
Х			X	5.66	8.51	4.76	10.81	8.33	5.77	10.00	7.14	3.57
				5.66	2.13	6.35		4.17	3.85	3.33	7.14	
Х		*		16.98	14.89	17.46	13.51	10.42	21.15	6.67	11.90	32.14
Х			Х	22.64	10.64	15.87	18.92	16.67	17.31	20.00	23.81	3.57
		X			4.26	1.59	2.70	4.17		3.33		3.57
Х	X			9.43	2.13	6.35	5.41	4.17	7.69	3.33	9.52	3.57
Х	Х	:	X	9.43	12.77	14.29	5.41	16.67	5.77	20.00	9.52	3.57
·X	Х	X	х	5.66	12.77	12.70	2.70	10.42	7.69	10.00	11.90	3,57
11 0	th	er										
espo	ns	es		13.22	18.22	9.52	21.62	18.73	9.62	16.67	11.93	14.30

TABLE XLII
A FAMILY ROOM

				EDUC	CATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX O	F CHILDREN	NUM	BER OF	CHILDREN
ь. с.	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	0ne	Two	Three or
,			X	5.66	10.64	4.76	13.51	6.25	9.62		9.52	14.29
		х	Х	1.89	4.26	3.17	2.70		5.77			10.71
×			χ.	11.32	4.26	6.35	10.81		15.38		9,52	14.29
'X		X	х -	3.77	6.38	4.76	5.41	4.17	5.77	6,67	4.16	3.57
х	X	. *	х	5.66	4.26	3.17	8.11	2.08	7.69		4.16	10.71
X	X	х	X	13.21	6.38	9.52	10.81	10.42	9.62	3.33	14,29	3.57
X		х	X.	5.66	19.15	12.70	10.81	16.67	7.69	13,33	11.90	10.71
X	x	,	X	9.43	8.51	9.52	8.11	10.42	7.69	13.33	9,52	3,57
. X	Х		x	16.98	25,53	25.40	13.51	20.83	21.15	26.67	19,05	17.86
e				26,42	10.63	20.65	16.22	29,16	9.62	36,67	17.88	10,72

TABLE XLIII
SEPARATE LIVING ROOM

					EDUC	ATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX 0	F CHILDREN	NUM	ABER OF	CHILDREN
3.	c.	<b>P</b> 1	P <sub>2</sub>	FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or more
			Х		7.55		3.17	5.41	4.17	3.85	3.33	2.38	7.14
		х	Х		11.32	2.70	6.35	8.11	4.17	9.62	3,33	9.52	7.14
	Х		х		5,66	4.26	6.35	2.70	4.17	5.77	6.67	2.38	7.14
	X	Х	Х		1.89	2.70		5.41	4.17			2.38	3.57
		х	х		7.55	4.26	4.76	8.11	4.17	7.69	3,33	4.76	10.71
	X		Х		7.55	6.38	4.76	10,81	6.25	7.69	3.33	4.76	14.29
:	х	х			7.55	12.77	14.29	2.70	14.58	5.77	23.33	4.76	3.57
	х	х	х		18.87	10.64	14.05	8.11	16.67	13.46	20.00	16,67	7.14
(	X	х	х	х	11.32	21.28	15.87	16.22	16.67	15.38	20.00	16.67	10.71
11	0	the	r					-					
		nse			20.74	35.01	30.40	32.42	24.98	30.77	16.68	35.72	28.59

TABLE XLIV
A LARGE HOUSE

				EDUC	CATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX O	F CHILDREN	NUM	BER OF	CHILDREN
В.	c.	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One '	Two	Three or more
				3.77	4.26	6.35		2.08	5.77	3.33	4.76	3.57
		X		15.09	8.51	11.11	13.51	8.33	15.38	10.00	14.29	10.71
		Х	Х	3.77	6.38	3.17	8.11	4.17	5.77	3.33	7.14	3.57
		х	X	7.55	8.51	6.35	10.81	4.17	11.54	3.33	9.52	10.71
	Х	Х	X	3.77	4.26		10.81	4.17	3.85		2.38	10.71
x	х	x		7.55	8.51	7.94	8.11	10.42	5.77	10.00	4.76	10.71
ĸ	х	x	х	7.55	8.51	9.52	5.41	12.50	3.85	10.00	7.14	7.14
X	х	Х	x	7.55	6.38	6.35	8.11	10.42	3.85	10.00		14.29
			x x	22.64	29.79	28.57	21.62	27.08	24.53	30.00	33.33	10.71
		the					•					
		nse		. 20.76	14.89	20.64	13.51	16.66	19.69	20.01	16.68	17.88

TABLE XLV
SECOND BATH (HALF OR FULL)

		EDU	CATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX O	F CHILDREN	NUR	BER OF	CHILDREN
в. с. <sub>Р</sub> 1	P <sub>2</sub> FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or more
			4.26	3.17		4.17		6.67		
- X	X	7.55	2.13	4.76	5.41	2.08	7.69	3.33	2.38	10.71
. X		5.66	4.26	3.17	8.11	4.17	5 <b>.7</b> 7	3.33	2.38	10.71
X	X	15.09	8.51	14.29	8.11	10.42	13.46	13.33	9.52	14.29
х х		5.66	4.26	6.35	2.70	6.25	3.85	6.67	7.14	
X X	Х	16.98	14.86	17.46	13.51	14.58	17.31	13.33	10.05	14.29
х х	X X	5.66	6.38	4.76	8.11	8.33	3.85	6.67	7.14	3.57
X X	Х	11.32	23.40	19.05	16.22	16.67	19.23	13.33	19.05	21.43
хх	X X	11.32	12.77	11.11	16.22	12.50	13.46	16.67	14.29	7.14
11 Othe	r									
esponse		20.76	19.17	15.88	21.61	20.83	15.38	16.67	28.05	17.86

 $\begin{tabular}{lllll} TABLE & XLVI \\ \hline SPACE FOR THE FAMILY TO WORK AND PLAY TOGETHER INSIDE THE HOUSE \\ \hline \end{tabular}$ 

					EDU	CATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX C	F CHILDREN	Nu	IBER OF	CHILDREN
В.	с.	P <sub>1</sub>	P 2	FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or more
					7.55	6.38	7.94	5.41	4.17	9,62	3.33	7.14	10,71
	Х			Х	20.75	21.28	17.46	27.03	14.58	26.92	13.33	14.29	39.29
	Х		Х	X	16.98	8.51	14,29	10.81	18,75	7.69	23.33	7.14	10.71
	Х	Х		X	1.89	6.38	1.59	8.11	2.08	5.77		4.76	7.14
	Х	Х	Х	х	9.43	2,13	7.94	2.70	8,33	3.85	10.00	4.76	3.57
K				X	3.77		3,17		4.17		6,67	F - H	
K	Х			Х	9.43	6.38	9.52	5.41	2.08	13.46		9.52	14.29
ĸ	Х		х	х	3.77	10.64	4.76	10.81	10,42	3.85	13.33	2.38	7.14
X	Х	Х		x	7.55	4.26	7.94	2,70	8.33	3.85	10.00	7,14	
х	x	X	х	х	9.43	12.77	12.70	8.11	10.42	11.54	6.67	19.05	3,57
		the											
		nse			9.45	21.27	12.69	18.91	16.67	13.38	23.34	23.82	7.15

TABLE XLVII

SPACE WHICH PERMITS ARRANGING OF FURNITURE IN MORE THAN ONE WAY

	EDU High	CATION Low	AGE OF Preschool	CHILDREN Some School	SEX O	F CHILDREN Different	Nut One	IBER OF	CHILDREN Three or
B. C. P <sub>1</sub> P <sub>2</sub> FC	0								more
	9.43	8.51	4.76	16.22	4,17	13.46	3.33	7.14	17.86
Х	3.77	7.14	3.17	8.11	6.25	3.85	~ ~ ~ ~ ~	4.76	10.71
X	18.87	14.89	19.05	13.51	16.67	17.31	16.67	14.29	21.43
X X	16.98	21.28	19.05	18.92	18.75	19.23	16.67	19.05	21.43
x x x	3.77	2.13		8.11	2.08	3.85		2.38	7.14
x x x	9.43	14.89	11.11	13.51	14.58	9.62	16,67	14.29	3,57
x	13.21	8.51	15.87	2.70	12.50	9.62	20.00	9.52	3.57
x x x x x	7.55	10.64	11.11	5.41	10.42	7.69	10.00	11.90	3,57
All Other Responses	16.99	12.01	15.88	12.51	14,58	15.37	16.67	16.67	10.72

TABLE XLVIII

SPACE AND FACILITIES FOR EATING IN THE KITCHEN

					EDUC	ATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX O	F CHILDREN	NUI	MBER OF	CHILDREN
. (	С.	Pı	P <sub>2</sub>	FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	T\vo	Three or more
					16.98	10,64	11.11	18.92	10.42	17.31	10.00	14.29	17.86
				Х	5.66	2.13	6.35		6.25	1.92	6.67	4.76	
2	K				11.32	8.51	9.52	10.81	6,25	13.46	6.67	14.29	7.14
)	X			х	9.43*	31.91*	20,63	18,92	18.75	21.15	16.67	16.67	28.57
2	X		Х	Х	11.32	10.64	12.70	8.11	12,50	9,62	13.33	7.14	14,29
)	X	X	Х	Х	1.89	6.38	4.76	2.70	6.25	1.92	6.67	4.76	
2	ĸ			х	7.55	6.38	3.17	13.51	6.25	7.69	3.33	7.14	10.71
. )	ĸ		Х	х	9.43	6.38	6.35	10.81	6.25	9.62	10.00	9.52	3.57
. )	X.	X	Х	X.	5.66	6.38	9.52		6.25	5.77	3.33	9.52	3.57
11	01	the	r										
est	oor	ise	s		20.76	10.65	15.89	16,22	20.83	11.54	23.33	11.91	14.29

TABLE XLIX

SPACE AND FACILITIES FOR SITTING TO WORK IN THE KITCHEN

		EDU	EDUCATION		CHILDREN	SEX O	F CHILDREN	NUM	ABER OF C	HILDREN
s. c. P	P <sub>2</sub> FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or
		15.09	12.77	12,70	16.22	12.50	15.38	10,00	19.05	10.71
X		37.74	36,17	34.92	40.54	31.25	42,31	30.00	35.71	46.43
X	X	7.55	6.38	6.35	8.11	4.17	9.62	6.67	4.76	10.71
х	х х	3.77	8.51	6.35	5.41	10.42	1.92	10.00	2.38	7.14
х х		5.66	2.13	4.76	2.70	4.17	3,85	3.33	4.76	3.57
. x	х		4.26		5.41	2.08	1.92	3.33		3,57
Х	Х		4.26	1.59	2.70	2.08	1.92	3.33	2.38	
x x x	х х	5.66	6.38	9.52		8.33	3.85	6.67	7.14	3.57
11 Othe	r									
lesponse	28	24.53	19.14	23.81	18.91	25.00	19.23	26.67	76.18	14.30

TABLE L

AN INTERIOR WHICH PLEASES THE EYE

•		EDUC	ATION	AGE OF CHILDREN		SEX O	F CHILDREN	NUMBER OF CHILDREN		
. c. P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> FC	High	Low .	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or more
хх		3,77	4,26	1.59	8.11	4.17	3.85	3.33	4.76	3.57
		9.43	6.38	6.35	10.81	8.33	7.69	10.00	2.38	14.29
: x		11.32	8.51	14.29	2.70	10.42	9.62	10.00	9,52	10.71
X X	х	5.66		3.17	2.70	6.25		6.67	2.38	
x		3.77	8.51	4.76	8.11	4.17	7.69		4.76	14.29
хх		24.53	27.66	23.81	29.73	16.67*	34.62*	13.33	26.19	39.29
X X	x	24.53	21.28	23.81	21.62	29.17	17,31	33.33	26,19	7.14
X X :	x x	7.55	10.64	12.70	2.70	12.50	5.77	13.33	9.52	3.57
11 Other										
esponses		9.44	12.76	9.52	13.52	8.32	13.45	10.01	14.30	7.14

TABLE LI
CHILDREN'S OUTSIDE PLAY AREA WHICH CAN DE WATCHED FROM INSIDE THE HOUSE

				EDUC	CATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX O	F CHILDREN	NUMBER OF CHILDREN		
в. с.	. Р	P <sub>1</sub> P <sub>2</sub>	FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or more
					8.51	1.59	8.11	2.08	5.77		7.04	3.57
			X	7,55	6.38	4.76	10.81	6.25	7.69	6,67	4.76	10.11
Х				15.09	6.38	12.70	8.11	14,58	7.69	16.67	7.14	10.71
Х			Χ.	15.09	17.02	5.92	27.03	4.17	26.92	3.33*	14,29*	32.14*
X		х		5.66	2.13	4.76	2.70	6.25	1.92	3.33	4.76	3.57
Х		Х	Х	15.09	17.02	20.63	8.11	14.58	17.31	20.00	16.67	10.71
Х	X			5.66		3.17	2.70	4.17	1.92	3,33	2.38	3.57
Х	Х	Х	х	3.77	6.38	6.35	2.70	6.25	3.85	10.00	4.76	
X		- X		3.77	4.26		10.81	6.25	1.92	3.33	2.38	7.14
x - 3		Х	х	377	10.64	5.92	2.70	10.42	3.85	16.67	2.38	3.57
Х	X		x	7.55	2.13	6.35	2.70	2.08	7.69	3.33	7.14	3.57
( X		. X er	X	3.77	6.38	6.35	2.70	6.25	3.85	3.33	7.14	3.57
Respo				13.23	12.77	21.50	10.82	16.67	9.62	10.01	18,46	7.77

TABLE LII

SPACE FOR OTHER FAMILY MEMBERS TO BE IN THE KITCHEN WHILE YOU ARE WORKING

		EDUCATION		AGE OF CHILDREN		SEX OF CHILDREN		NUMBER OF CHILDREN		
3. C. P <sub>1</sub> P	2 FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or more
		15.09	17.02	15.87	16.22	14.58	. 17 . 31	16.67	21.43	7.14
	Х	35.85	23.40	25.40	37.84	29.79	30.77	26.67	21.43	46.43
· X	Х	3.77	4.26	6.35		4.17	3.85	3.33	7.14	
X	Х	20.75	27.66	23.81	24.32	22.92	25.00	26.67	21.43	25.00
X X	X		6.38	3.17	2.70	2.08	3.85	3.33	2.38	3.57
х х	X	3,77	2.13	1.59	5.41	4.17	1.92		4.76	3.57
X X	Х	5,66	2.13	4.76	2.70	4.17	3.85	6.67	2.38	3.57
11 Other										
tesponses		15.11	17.02	19.05	10.81	18.12	13.45	16.67	19.05	10.72

TABLE LIII
A PLACE TO WATCH T.V. WITHOUT INTERRUPTION

•			EDUC	CATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX O	F CHILDREN	NUMBER OF CHILDREN			
е. с.	P <sub>1</sub>	P 2	FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	One	Two	Three or more
				9.43	10.64	11.11	8.11	4.17	13.46	10.00	9.52	10.71
		X		11.32	+	6.35	5.41	8.33	3.85	6.67	7.14	3.57
	X	Х		5.66	2.13	3.17	5.41	6.25	1.92	3,33	7.14	
X		X		20.75	14.89	20.63	13.51	10.42	25.00	10.00	16.67	28.57
X		Х	X	15.09	10.64	7.49	21.62	12.50	13.46	13.33	9.52	17.86
х	Х	Х	х	5.66	8.51	7.49	5.41	10.42	3.85	16.67	2.38	3.57
Х		Х	x	3.77	14.89	9.52	8.11	10.42	7.69	13.33	9.52	3,57
x x	X	х	х	7.55	8.51	7.49	8.11	4.17	11.54		14.29	7.14
11 Ot	he	r	-									
Respon	ıse	s		20.77	29.79	26.75	24.31	33.32	19.23	26,67	23.82	25.01

TABLE LIV

AN AUTOMATIC DRYER

	EDUCATION		AGE OF CHILDREN		SEX OF CHILDREN		NUMBER OF CHILDREN		
B. C. P <sub>1</sub> P <sub>2</sub> FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	0ne	Two	Three or more
	7.55	6.38	6.35	8.11	8,33	5.77	6.67	9.52	3,57
X	9.43	2.13	7.94	2.70	6.25	5,77	10.00	4.76	3.57
X	33,96	38.30	31.75	43.24	22.08*	42.23*	20.00	33,33	57.14
X X	3,77	8.51	4.76	8.11	6.25	5.77	6.67	7.14	3.57
X X	20.75	8.51	14.29	16.22	16.67	13.46	16.67	14.28	17.86
· x x x	1.89	6.38	6.35		4.17	3,85	6.67	4.76	
x x x x	5.66		4.76		2.08	3,85	3.33	4.76	
x		4.26	1.59	2.70	2.08	1.92			7.14
X	3.77	6.38	6.35	2.70	6,25	3.85	6.67	7,14	
All Other									
Responses	13,22	19.15	15.86	16:22	25.84	13.53	23.32	14.31	7.15

TABLE LV
CENTRAL HEATING

				EDUC	CATION	AGE OF	CHILDREN	SEX C	F CHILDREN	NUMBER OF CHILDREN		
в.	c.	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> FC	High	Low	Preschool	Some School	Same	Different	0ne	Two	Three or more
-	x			18.87	17.02	14.29	24.32	17.02	19.23	13.33	14.29	28.57
	х		х	1.89	4.26	4.76		4.17	1.92	6.67	2.38	
	X	х		32.08	19.15	26.98	24.32	33.33	19.23	26.67	26.19	25.00
	x	х	χ.	7.55	2.13	4.76	5.41	8,33	1.92	6.67	7.14	
	x	x	x		6.38	3.17	2.70	6.25		3.33		7.14
ĸ	Х				6.38	4.76		2.08	3.85	3.33	2.38	3.57
ī	х	x		15.09	10.64	15.87	8.11	10.42	15.38	13.33	11.90	14.29
č	X		х .	3.77	6.38	6.35	2.70	6.25	3.85	10.00	4.76	
×				5.66		4.76		4.17	1.92	6.67	2.38	
x			хх	5.66	8.51	6.35	8.11	4.17	9.62	3.33	11.90	3.57
ΑI	10	the	r						the second second			
Re:	spo:	nse	g	9.43	27.66	7.95	24.33	3.81	. 23.08	16. <b>67</b>	16.68	17.86

### VITA

### Karen Kay Stewart

### Candidate for the degree of

#### Master of Science

Thesis: RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN CERTAIN ASPECTS OF HOUSING AND FIVE HOUSING~RELATED VALUES AS DETERMINED BY OPINIONS OF MOTHERS

OF EXPANDING FAMILIES

Major Field: Housing and Interior Design

Biographical:

Personal Data: Born in Cassville, Missouri, the daughter of Earl George and Lucy Jean Craig Stewart.

Education: Attended Benton Grade School in Neosho, Missouri; graduated from Neosho High School in Neosho, Missouri, May, 1959; received Bachelor of Arts degree from Oklahoma Baptist University, Shawnee, Oklahoma, June, 1963; completed requirements for the Master of Science degree, May, 1965.

Organizations: Phi Upsilon Omicron, Kappa Delta Pi Educational Fraternity, Who's Who in American Universities and Colleges, 1963-64.