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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

In certain geographic regions of the United States. the importance of obtaining meaningful and pertinent information relative to the measured intelligence and achievement of different ethnic student groups in today's school systems cannot be overemphasized.

In the process of establishing themselves in this Country, the various immigrant groups have tended to $10 \infty$ cate in geographic areas particularly suited to their economic advantage. This factor, along with their incli... nation for cultural compatibility, has resulted in sizable formations of mixed ethnic groups in certain locales.

Since World War II, there has been an increasing disw semination throughout the United States of these various ethnic groups from their original locations, but in one region, the Southwest, the mobility of the SpanishAmerican ethnic group has remained relatively stable. although there has been a numerical increase of Spanisho Americans (128, p. 6).

There is an increasing awareness among concerned educators that the additional number of ethnic student
groups in our schools creates a proportionate increase in the problems directly related to this situation. Public schools in America with their heterogeneity of groups have certain obligations to provide the maximum opportunity for educational and social betterment of the individuals composing these groups. The end product of this procedure will be a contributor, productive or otherwise, to America's society.

Investigated research studies indicate that, although there exists a multiplicity of factors involved in the obtaining of valid information on the intelligence and school achievement of varied ethnic groups, the areas of greatest significance concern the cultural, socioeconomic, and language differences.

Cultural factors often account for group isolation and any form of isolation tends to preserve and augment cultural differentiation. The culture in which an individual is reared may influence his behavioral development. The question is perennial as to what extent these cultural factors influence obtained differences on psychological tests.

Persons exposed to the inconsistent and often incomm patible mores, goals, and social pressures represented by different cultures are likely to develop maladjustments of varying degrees of severity. It must be realized that these maladjustments interfere with and impair intellectual development and functioning of that individual.

The criterion employed in validating intelligence tests has nearly always been a success in this Nation"s social system (7, p. 566). Scores on the test are correm lated with school achievement or with some more general measure of success in this society. It would seem, therefore, that intelligence tests measure the ability to succeed in this particular culture. Since each culture selects certain activities as the most significant, there would be expected differences in test results. DuBois (43. p. 523) reversed the usual procedure of standardizing a test on an American culture and did so on Pueblo Indian children. Anglo children tested in this study obtained an average I.Q. of 74 , or twenty-six points below the normally expected average of 100 . Such research findings seem to substantiate the proposition that those tests commonly used in America's educational systems are designed for use within the Anglo culture.

In American culture, membership in a minority group tends to be associated with low socioeconomic status (? p. 554). In view of the relation between socioeconomic level and intellectual development, the predominance of lower-class membership in minority groups must be taken into account in interpreting their test resuits. Garth ( 54 , pp. 388-401) found a close relationship between mean intelligence test scores of children and socioeconomic level of the group. To a certain extent, these differences in socioeconomic level also represent degree of
assimilation of the Anglo culture. Such assimilation would provide an advantage in performance on tests developed within that culture.

The bilingual situation is so complicated with linguistic and other factors that results of studies are difficult to interpret and sometimes seem to lead to conflicting conclusions (80, p. 148). When children in American schools are examined with the usual verbal intel... ligence tests, those from foreign-speaking homes generaliy make a poorer showing as a group. Goodenough (57, pp. 388 m 397) found a high correlation between the mean I.Q. of children in various immigrant groups and the proportion of parents in each group who had adapted English as the spoken language at home. Bilingualism is generally encountered in Spanish-American children who speak Spanish in the home and English in school. If this situation contributes to a language handicap, it can be most serious in its effect on test performance, since an individual with a mild or moderate English handicap may lack the facility in the use of English to compete fairly on a verbal test. In research in this particular area, Arsenian and Darcy (39, pp. 21-57) found that the inferiority of bilingual groups is greatly diminished and may disappear entirely when non-language tests are employed.

In the state of New Mexico, the Spanish language is legally and officially recognized along with English: howe ever, in the United States, the language of instruction in
the public school is English. In New Mexico and the entire Southwest where there is a large segment of SpanishAmericans, it is likely that Spanish will continue to be the home language for the compelling reason that this region is contiguous to Spanish-speaking Mexico ( 80 , p. 148).

There are some obvious implications in this projection. Bilingualism seems to manifest itself as a handicap when one language is restricted to one set of situations in the child's life, and the other language is restricted to anm other set.

An educational problem of sone magnitude currently exists for the Spanish-American pupil in the Southwestern United States. Investigations have indicated a probable worsening of the situation over the next few years (128, p. 6). Success in our present educational systems is primarily measured by the degree of attained achievement and this achievement is generaliy positively correlated with measured intelligence. It would seem that a determinetion of the test or tests currently in use which would provide the most valid and realistic evaluation of those criteria which the Anglo culture emphasizes could produce much needed educational enlightenment and provide a psychological boost to those affected by the sometimes erroneous mass categorizations into which ethnic groups are placed.

Statement of the Problem

This study will be concerned with the relationship
between certain predictive variables and the academic achievement of Spanish-American and Anglo pupils in grade nine in a selected junior high school.

The primary problem of the study is to determine the effectiveness with which certain independent variables can be used to predict academic achievement of two ethnic groups in grade nine of junior high school. These predicm tive variables are: the LorgemThorndike Verbal and Nonm verbal Tests, the School and College Ability Test (SCAT). and the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Chilaren (WISC). The inclusion of non-verbal subtests and the orally administered WISC in the predictive variables may prove to have differential values of prediction for the two ethnic groups.

Academic achievement will be measured by selected subtests of the Metropolitan Achievement Tests Intermediate Battery (including Reading, Language, Arithmetic Problem Solving and Concepts, Social Studies Information and Science), and by grade point averages in English, mathemat... ics, and science earned during the two semesters of ax acam demic year.

In the course of the studys the following information will be derived: (l) zero order $r^{\prime}$ s for all predictive and criterion variables, (2) differences in $r$ between predico tive and criteria variables for both ethnic groups. (3) difference in means of the predictive and criteria variam bles for each ethnic group, (4) multiple regression equations for each ethnic group with each achievement criterion,
(5) multiple regression equations for the combined groups (Anglo and Spanish-American) with each achievement criterion.

## Hypotheses

Those having done comparative studies of ethnic groups generally agree that differences on psychological testis and academic achievement do exist between Spanish-American and Anglo-American groups. Since this study is primarily one of prediction, the assumption is made that the independent variables used (SCAT, WISC, and Lorge-Thorndike) can be used effectively to predict academic achievement for both Anglo and Spanish-American students in junior high school.

An analysis of the information gathered from the lit. erature indicates that the best approach to the stated problem can be made by developing and testing the following null hypotheses:
l. There will be no significant correlation [ $p<.05$ ] between the independent variables (WISC, SCAT, and Lorge - Thorndike Scores) and the achievement measures for the two ethnic groups.
2. There will be no significant difference $[p<.05]$ between $r^{\prime}$ s of the SCAT scores, the WISC scores, and the LorgemThorndike scores in terms of predicting academic achievement of the two ethnic groups as
measured by the Metropolitan Achievement Tests and the Grade Point Average.
3. There will be no significant difference $[p<.05]$ in ability between the Spanish American and Anglo groups as measured by the SCAT, the WISC, and the Lorge-Thorndike.
4. There will be no significant difference [ $p<.05$ ] in achievement between the SpanishAmerican and Anglo groups as measured by the Metropolitan Achievement Tests and the Grade Point Average.

## Statistical Procedure

These hypotheses will be statistically tested in the following procedural manner:

1. Intercorrelation matrices will be established for all predictive with all criterion variables -- for ethnic groups separately at grade nine.
2. Tests will be made for signjficance of difference between $r^{1} s$ obtained between the predictive variables (SCAT, WISC, and Lorger Thorndike Tests) and the Metropolitan Achievement Tests for the two ethnic groups.
3. Tests will be made for significance of difo ference between $r$ 's obtained between the pređictive variables (SCAT, WISC, and Lorge-

Thorndike Tests) and the Grade Point Average for the two ethnic groups.
4. Tests will be made for significance of corre lation between the predictive variables and both measures of academic achievement for the two ethnic groups.
5. Tests will be made for significant differences between mean scores yielded by the predictive variables for the two ethnic groups.
6. Tests will be made for significant differences between mean scores yielded by the achievement criteria variables for the two ethnic groups.
7. Regression equations will be developed for each ethnic group to predict the two measures of academic achievement.
8. Regression equations will be developed for the combined groups (Spanish-American and Anglo) to predict the two measures of academic achievement.

Need for the Study

There seems to be a real need for a study which would indicate to the junior high school teachers, counselor, or principal, the degree to which certain aptitude or ability scores would actually predict academic performance. It is hoped that the results of this investigation would fill a need in the area of junior high evaluation. Possible modifications in certain instructional phases and curriculura
changes could result from the information obtained here.
The findings of the study should enable counselors and testing specialists to answer questions concerning student achievement with greater accuracy and more confidence. Questions arising when group test scores are in doubt, when such scores do not agree with teachers' opinions, or when a student's work does not seem in keeping with his ability, should be answered with a greater degree of accuracy and objectivity. Counselors and others should be able to answer specific questions concerning the use of these scores with more explicit answers. The results may provide some assistance to the teaching personnel in making allowances and adjustments in classes having both Spanish-American and Anglo students.

During the last several years, there has been a rapidly growing recognition that guidance cannot begin in the eleventh or twelfth grade, but must begin at least as far back as the seventh, eighth, or ninth grade。 It has been discovered that tests administered in junior high school years are about as predictive of future success in college as are tests administered towards the end of semior high school. This conclusion has been supported by many studies (32, p. 305).

The role of prediction and the relevance of predictive studies are of importance in educational guidance in thet decisions as to course of action are usually made by the individual in question rather than by the one making the
prediction. The role of the counselor is not primarily to make decisions, but rather to assist the counselee in obtaining a better understanding of his aims and motives as well as his potentialities, so that he can make more satism fying decisions. The counselee is advised as to his strengths and weaknesses relative to other people. The probability of his success in various goals, courses of study, and the like can be explained to him in terms of the percentage of individuals with similar aptitudes and personality traits who have succeeded in the fields under con sideration. The counselee can then judge the relative desirability of certain courses of action by considering these facts along with training time involved, monetary considerations, social prestige, and similar factors (19. pp. 145-155).

A study of the relationships and comparisons of ethnic groups would also appear to be pertinent, especially in the geographic regions of the United States where clearmcut ethnic and cultural differences exist and where these groups are homogeneously exposed to the same learning environment in our schools.

Ulibarri (123), in his study of teacher awareness of these socio-cultural differences, discovered that teachers show lack of sensitivity toward these differences although they are aware of their existence.

## Clarification of Terms

For the purpose of this study, certain terms which will be regularly used need to be rather explicitly defined. These terms are:

1. Academic Achievement is defined as (a) the composite scores obtained from the Reading, Language, Arithmetic Problem Solving and Concepts, Social Studies Information, and Science subtests of the Metropolitan Achievement Tests Intermediate Battery and (b) the combined grade point average in English, mathematics and science earned dura ing the two semesters of an academic year.
2. Dependent Variable is defined as the variable for which changes are observed. For the purpose of this study, the dependent variables are (a) the composite scores obtained from the selected subtests of the Metropolitan Achievement Intermediate Battery and (b) the grade point average in English, mathematics and science earned during the two semesters of an academic year.
3. Independent Variable is that variable which is introduced into the experimental situation, and which is the primary object of study. For the purpose of this study, the
independent variables referred to are (a) the scores obtained from the Verbal, Performance, and Full Scale tests of the Wechsler IntelIigence Scale for Children, (b) the Verbal, Quantitative, and Total scores obtained from the School and College Ability Tests, and (c) the Verbal and Non-Verbal scores of the LorgeThorndike Verbal and Non-verbal Tests. These variables will be referred to as the WISC, the SCAT, and the Lorge-Thorndike, respectively, throughout the study.
4. Spanish-American is defined by Saunders (IOl, p. 7) as one of those people of the Southwest who constitutes a large, readily-identifiable segment of the population with cultural and demographic characteristics distinguishable from those of the population as a whole. They are a part of a larger group, numbering perhaps three to three and onemhalf million. who are concentrated mainly in the five Southwestern states of California. Arizona. New Mexico, Colorados and Texas, but whos in recent years, have begun to spread over the entire nation and have formed sizable colonies in many Midwestern and Eastern states.
5. Anglo is defined by Saunders (101: p. 7) as a term referring to the numerically dominant,
natively English-speaking population who are, broadly speaking, culturally indistinguishable from the inhabitants of other areas of the nation.

Scope and Limitations

This investigation will be limited to a study of the relationship between the ability and intelligence of two ethnic groups (Spanish-American and Anglo) of ninth grade students and the scholastic achievement of the same two groups. Ability and intelligence will be measured by the Verbal, the Quantitative, and Total scores of the SCAT, the Verbal and Non-verbal scores of the Lorge-Thorndike Test, and the Verbal, Performance, and Full Scale scores of the WISC. Achievement will be expressed by the composite raw scores on the Reading, Language, Arithmetic Problem Solving and Concepts, Social Studies Information and Science subtests of the Metropolitan Achievement Tests Intermediate Battery and by their earned grade point average in English. science, and mathematics at the end of one academic year. The study will be further limited to a sample group of 100 ninth grade students, 50 Spanish-American, and 50 Anglo, each group divided equally by sex and randomly selected. The assumption is made that the sample group is representam tive of the two ethnic groups under investigation.

It is realized that the size of the sample and the restricted geographic locale prohibits the making of a
broad and conclusive generalization relative to the findings of the study. These results will be limited in their use to the community from which the data were gathered.

The relationships between the predictive variables and the criterion variables will be investigated on a statistical basis deemed appropriate for such a study.

## Overview

It has been the purpose of this introductory chapter to afford some background to the nature of the problem being investigated. The need for the study, especially in regional areas directly effected, has been presented and testable hypotheses have been formulated.

A review of research studies concerning the testing instruments used in this study and the appropriateness of their selection for comparing Spanish-American and Anglo ethnic groups will be presented in the following chapter. The literature will be reviewed for studies concerning bilingual testing.

The third section in the presentation will be devoted to a description of the subjects and procedure the treatment of the data and interpretation of the results. Conclusions and implications of the study will be presented in the final chapter.

## CHAPTER II

## REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

The more extensive and knowledgeable usage of tests and the increased emphasis placed on the importance of a well planned and properly functioning testing program has been one of the important influences bringing about changes which have taken place in education during the past fifty years. The value of highly valid and reliable instruments for measuring intelligence and achievement has had a significant influence in the process of educational evolvement.

The broadest classification of intelligence tests is according to the method of administration; i.e., individual and group tests. The Stanford-Binet and the Wechsler Scales are the most widely used of the individual tests. It would be somewhat difficult to select, with any degree of accuracy, any one particular group intelligence test that is more widely used than any other.

The individual test selected for use in this study was the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children (WISC). The two group tests selected are The School and College Ability Tests (SCAT), and the Lorge-Thorndike Intelligence Tests.

## The Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children and Related Studies

The Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children, an orally administered individual mental assessment, was chosen to be used as one of the independent variables in this study in order to aid in alleviating the possible linguistic and reading problems which might arise in working with ethnic groups.
This test, commonly designated as the WISC, was developed in 1949 by David Wechsler. The WISC consists of twelve tests which are divided into two subgroups identio fied as Verbal and Performance. Although the tests identified as verbal and performance differ as the labels indicate, they each tap other factors, among them nonintellective ones, which cut across the groups to produce other classifications that are equally important in evalu... ating the individual's performance. The tests of the scales are grouped as follows: (Verbal) Information, Comprehension, Arithmetic, Similarities, Vocabulary, Digit, Span; (Performance) Picture Completion, Picture Axrangement, Block Design, Object Assembly, Coding, and Mazes. All twelve of these tests were used in the standardization, but in the interest of shortening the time for an examination, the WISC has been reduced to ten tests. In this study,

Digit Span was omitted from the Verbal and Mazes were omitted from the Performance.

The WISC was standardized on an all white sample of 100 boys and 100 girls at each age from five through fifteen years. Each child was tested within one and onemalf months of his mid year. The sample corresponded as nearly as possible to the population per cent of the United States in (1) Geographic Area, (2) Urban-Rural, and (3) Parental Occupation.

Reliability coefficients were computed by the spliteo half technique, with appropriate correction for full length of the test by the Spearman-Brown formula. These derived coefficients, using thirteen and one-half years as a representative age range, were: Verbals. 96; Performance, .90: Full Scale, .94. The standard errors of measurement are: Verbal, 3.00; Performance, 4.74; Full Scale, 3.68. The Total, or Full Scale, deviation I。Q。's are obtained by combining the Verbal and Performance scores. The mean of the WISC is 100 and the standard deviation is 15.

The rationale for the use of the WISC in this study is based on the theory underlying the WISC itself. Briefly, stated, this theory is that intelligence cannot be sepam rated from the rest of the personality, and a deliberate attempt has been made to take into account the other factors which contribute to the total effective intelligence of the individual. This effort is reflected both in the
composition of the Scale and in the impartial weights atm tached to each test. No attempt has been made to get together a series of tests that measure "primary abilities" or to order them into a hierarchy of relative importance. For depth, detail and elaboration on the Scales, one is rew ferred to Wechsler's Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children (126).

Over ninety studies were found which dealt with the results of the WISC in school settings. These studies dealt with a variety of usages, but none were found that dealt with predicting achievement in junior high school。

Thirty-five of the studies investigated compared the WISC to other tests. Twenty-four dealt with either problems of education or special education. Eight dealt with remedial reading. Ten were concerned with validity, relicw ability, or other evaluative criteria applied to the WISC. Eight were involved with the development or evaluation of short forms. Five concerned cultural or sociological studies. Sixteen dealt with clinical usages, three dealt with miscellaneous studies, and ten dealt with the prediction of achievement, either in elementary school or in special education.

Of the thirty-five studies found comparing the WISC to other tests between 1950 and 1963, ten compared it to either the Stanford-Binet, or to the Binet and other tests. Results of these studies were similar. A representative
study is that of Frandsen and Higginson (48, pp. 236-238) in 1951. These authors reported the tests to be comparable within two sigmas on either side of the mean with a correlation of .80. Krugman's (73, pp. 475--483) investigation reported that the Stanford-Binet $I_{0} Q_{0}$ 's tend to be higher than WISC I.Q.'s. Triggs and Carter (122, pp. 27-29) rem ported similar findings. Altus (3, p. 231) in 1952 come pared the WISC and California Test of Mental Maturity and found a r of .81. In 1955, he found the verbal and nonverbal portions of the same two tests markedly comparable (4, pp. 143-144). Stemple (115, pp. 257-261) in 1953 and Holioway (68, pp. 253-264) in 1954 reported the Primary Mental Abilities Test to have no high degree of predictability for WISC scores.

The results of those investigations which compared the WISC to either the Wechsler-Bellevue or Wechsler Adult In telligence Scale were all similar, as is exemplified by the findings of Price and Thorne in 1955 (96, pp. 479-482). They found the WISC and Wechsler-Bellevue, Form I, to be comparable.

Koppitz (72, pp. 413-416), in studying prediction of achievement from the WISC and the Bender-Gestalt Tests in 1958, reported that both instruments seemed to indicate that learning disability, in the primary grades, is basically related to visual-motor perception immaturity. Similar results were found by Rogers and Haworth (99,
pp. 853-859) in using the Goodenough, WISC, and StanfordBinet in 1962.

Identifiable patterns for mental defectives were found by Newman and Loos ( $91, \mathrm{p} .16$ ) as were distinctive patterns for the gifted as found by Lucito and Gallagher (52, pp. 479-481).

In his study involving the use of the WISC with bem lingual children, Holland (67. pp. 42 44 ) in 1960 reported the WISC to predict language barrier as well as to raise the possibility of using it as a direct measure of educa= tional barrier. In a study of the influence of sociom economic status on WISC I.Q. scores, Estes (44, pp. 58-62) found manifest differences in the primary grades.

In the nine studies investigated that dealt with prese diction of achievement, five concerned prediction of achievement for elementary children and four in areas of general achievement. None found dealt directly with achievement on the ninth grade level.

In 1957, Stroud and Blonmers (116, pp. 18w26) correm lated WISC I.Q.'s and scores from the Iowa Test of Basic Skills. The WISC was found to be highly predictive of pex. formance in the elementary grades. The study by Koppitz (72, pp. 413-416) revealed implications for predicting achievement, in that learning disability in the primary grades is basically related to visual motor perceptive immaturity. In one of the studies related to achievement
in general, McLean (79, p. 264) pointed out that verbal I.Q. is related to ability to learn in verbal situations and performance I.Q. is related to ability to learn in nonverbal situations.

Campbell (24), in an unpublished study in 1959, studied high school achievement by correlating Wechsler-Bellerue I.Q.'s and end-of-year grades. He found the performance I.Q. to give some indication of subsequent achievement in shop, $r$. 69; in home economics. $r$.49. The verbal I。Q. gave indication of these subsequent achievements: social studies, r.48; English, r .46; foreign language, r .42; science, r .41; and mathematics, r.39. The full scale gave a Pearson correlation of .50 with grade point average. while the performance I.Q. showed an r of 032 . The verbal I.Q. showed an $r$ of .50 with grade point average. All relationships were positive and statistically significant, but not statistically greater than those expected from group test results.

The eight studies which concerned the use of the WISC for students with reading problems reported it to be effective. All showed similar results in that shere seemed to be subtest patterns which relate to reading disability. Hirst (65, pp. 153-160) reported that patterning would appear to be valuable in the diagnosis and prediction of reading difficulty.

Perhaps the most useful studies of the ten studies of
validity, reliability, and stability of the WISC were the factorial analyses by Cohen (36, pp. 31-40) in 1959 and Maxwell (84, pp. 99-101) in 1961. Both found evidence of identifiable factors. Maxwell reported a verbalintellectual and space*performance factor.

## The Cooperative School and College Ability Tests and Related Studies

The Cooperative School and College Ability Tests, com monly designated as SCAT, were developed by the Cooperative Test Division of the Educational Testing Service and came into school use in 1955.

Reviews in the Mental Measurement Yearbook indicate that these tests were designed to aid in estimating the capacity of a student to undertake the academic work of the next higher level of schooling and that they are a measure of school learned abilities which indicate a student's ability to achieve (23).

The SCAT series contains four subtests or parts. Two of these subtests, Parts I and III, are measures of developed ability in skills that are closely related to student success in the verbal kinds of school learning. Part I in volves comprehending the "sense" of a sentence read and Part III involves the attached meaning to isolated words. The total number of right answers to these two parts of the test is converted into a Verbal score. The other two subtests, Parts II and IV, are measures of ability in certain
quantitative skills of number manipulation and problem solving. Specifically, Part II concerns manipulating numbers and applying number concepts accurately in a computation situation, and Part IV is the solving of quantitative problems. The two subtests, Parts II and IV, yield a Quantitative score. The Total score is based on all four parts.

Statistically derived conversion scores are obtained from the raw scores, or the number of "rights". These converted scores can then be translated into percentile ranks through the use of norms tables.

In the twelve schools used in the validity study, all but one revealed SCAT scores which were significantly correlated with ratings of the abilities that the tests were purported to measure (102, p. 9) 。

Using form 3A on 2800 ninth grade examinees, the computed reliabilities were: Verbal, 。93; Quantitatives .89: Total, .95. Standard errors of measurement on converted scores were: Verbal, 3.15; Quantitative, 2.92; Totai, 4.29 (37, p. 11).

A study of the validity of SCAT over a one year period was undertaken at four grade levels (grades 5, 7, 9, and 11) in 1957. The SCAT-Total score correlated with average end-of-year marks at . 59 (102, pp. 5-9).

A second study was undertaken to determine how well SCAT scores predicted academic achievement over a two year
period. The results showed that, in general, they do not predict quite as well as over a one-year period, except at the lower grades. At fall testing of grade nine, the SCATTotal score correlated with average end-of-year tenth grade marks at .43 as compared with .59 in the one-year testing (102, pp. 5-9). Of the three SCAT scores, the Total score appears to be the best predictor in three subject fields (English, science, and social studies)。

In Cassel's (30, pp. 401-402) study of expected am chievement beta weights on SCAT (Form IA) for college freshmen, it was found that these weights were no more useful in predicting either average achievement-test score or first-semester grade point average than were straightforward SCAT-Total scores.

In Clark's (33, pp. 127-128) study of SCAT validation at the upper division and graduate level, the arrived at correlations, using grade point averages as the criterion. were as follows: Verbal, .44: Quantitative, .27: Total. .35.

Smith (110, pp. 39-42), in a differential prediction study involving the SCAT and the California Test Battery (CTB), found the SCAT scores, Verbal, Quantitative and Total, to be better predictors of success in college freshmen English; however, the California Test Battery quantitative score predicted mathematics grades significantly better than did the SCAT Quantitative and Total scores.

He concluded that if diagnosis or analysis of student weaknesses is to be made in subjects such as English and mathe... matics, and the SCAT is not to be supplemented by the use of achievement tests, the California Test Battery achievem ment tests may be selected over the SCAT.

Davis (40, p. 451) reports that, in grades nine and eleven, the average correlation of SCAT Verbal scores with English grades, of SCAT Quantitative scores with mathemate ics grades, and SCAT Total scores with grades in social studies and science are about. 50 to .55. Goldman (102. p. 24) noted correlations between the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale and SCAT Verbal halves to be .89; this finding was significant at the .Ol level of confidence.

The Lorge-Thorndike Intelligence Tests and Related Studies

The Lorge-Thorndike Intelligence Tests were developed by Drs. Irving Lorge and Robert L. Thorndike of Columbia University in 1954. These are a series of tests of abstract intelligence covering the range from kindergarten to college freshmen. These tests are based on the premise that most abstract ideas with which the school child deals are expressed in verbal symbols, so much so that verbal symbols are the appropriate medium for the testing of abstract intelligence. However, they take into account the fact that for some -- the poor reader, those unfamiliar with the language, the poorly educated -- printed words may
constitute an inadequate basis for appraising an individual's abilities. Consequently, a parallel set of nonverbal tests is provided to accompany the basic verbal series. It was this latter characteristic which led to its inclusion in this study since no effort was being made to examine its value in relation to the possible linguistic handicap of the Spanish-American ethnic group.

The tests are available in five levels with each level having two comparable forms. This makes possible the retesting of pupils whose scores on one testing seem quesw tionable. Level 4, form A, covering the grades seven through nine is the test used in this study.

The Verbal Battery is made up of subtests, each of which uses the verbal medium. Of the different subtests, one also involves numerical tasks. The subtests are Word Knowledge, Sentence Completion, Verbal Classification, Verbal Analogies, and Arithmetic Reasoning。 Experience has indicated ability to deal with these kinds of tasks is related to academic achievement and provides a good index of scholastic aptitude (117, p. 11).

The Non-Verbal Battery is entirely pictorial, diagramm matic, or numerical. The subtests are Figure Analogies. Figure Classification, and Number Series. These tests permit an appraisal of abstract intelligence which is not influenced by specific disability in reading. The working time for Level 4 Verbal is 34 minutes and 27 minutes is required for the Non-Verbal section.

There were 136,000 children used, from a stratified sample of 44 communties in 22 states, to standardize the Lorge-Thorndike Intelligence Tests. Reliability coefficient for Level 4 Verbal is .92; for Non-Verbal, the coefficient is .80. Standard error of measurement for the Verbal is 4.6 and for the Non-Verbal, 7.l. Four types of norms are given for the tests: (1) I.Q.'s, (2) grade perm centiles, (3) grade equivalents, and (4) age equivalent. The tests are primarily power rather than speed tests (113. p. 11).

In a study completed by the Bureau of Educational Research in the Public School System of Cleveland, Ohio, correlations were secured between the Lorge-Thorndike In gelligence Tests, Level 3, Form A and the Stanford Achievement Test, Intermediate Battery, Form KM on 171 pupils in the sixth grade. The correlations between Lorge-Thorndike I.Q's and Stanford Reading Grade Equivalents and Stanford Average Arithmetic Grade Equivalents were. 87 and .76 , respectively (117, p. 15). A study conducted in the School District of Lancaster, Pennsylvania, revealed a correlation of .672 between the Lorge-Thorndike Tests (Level 4) and achievement at the end of the ninth grade. This study was based on 214 pupils (117, p. 15). Freeman (23, p. 481) in a review of the Lorge-Thorndike, observes that the major deficiency of the test is the lack of adequate data on predictive and concurrent validity. Pidgeon (23, p. 481) supports this observation.

## Studies on Bilingual Testing

For the educator, the most important single implication of research on cultural differences is that group membership cannot provide an adequate guide to the complete understanding of individuals. Even when statistically significant differences are found between groups, they are of little practical value when dealing with specific persons. Mean differences between groups are always smaller than differences within groups. Moreover, because of the extensive overlapping of distributions, individuals can be found in the "inferior" group who not only equal but also surpass individuals in the "superior" group.

There are many research studies in the literature which deal with achievement, I.Q., and social comparisons between white and Negro populations. Many studies have been made comparing the American Indian and white groups. There appears to be, however, very few studies that specifically investigate and compare achievement prediction between Anglo and Spanish-American ethnic groups.

In her summarization of research on bilingualism, Anastasi (7, p. 558) reports that when children in American schools are examined with the usual verbal intelligence tests, those from foreign-speaking homes generally make a poorer showing as a group. She also observes that cultural factors which affect test responses are also likely to ins fluence the broader area of behavior which the test is
designed to sample. Inadequate mastery of English may handicap a child not only on an intelligence test, but also in his school work, contact with associates, play activities, and other situations of daily life. Such a condition would, thus, interfere with the child's subsequent intellectual development.

Goodenough (57, pp. 388-397) found a high correlation between the mean I.Q. of children in various immigrant groups and the proportion of parents in each group who had adopted English as the language spoken at home.

Although Anastasi suggests that an inadequate mastery of English can perhaps be remedied without too much effort. research conducted in heavily concentrated Spanish-American districts in Texas in which an extensive eight-week summer program of English was offered pre-first graders, results show that Spanish-American children averaged only a 400 word English vocabulary as compared to a 4000 word vocabulary for their Anglo classmates (128, p. 6) 。

In his study, Cline (34) found that in comparing a-chievement of Spanish-American and Anglos, the Anglos were superior in intelligence quotient and achievement in reading, language, arithmetic, and social studies. However, Starkey (114), in her synthesis and interpretation of research findings pertaining to the teaching of SpanishAmerican children, cautions against interpreting I.Q's in the same manner and with the same assurance as those for

English-speaking Anglos. She also found the SpanishAmerican child is retarded by one or more grade levels.

Zintz (132, p. 12), in a New Mexico study, found a representative sample of fifth grade Indian and Spanishspeaking children from one and one-half to two years educam tionally retarded on a commonly used reading survey test. In addition to this, they were one to two years overage in grade. The same study found that in two of four selected high schools enrolling high percentages of Indian and Spanish-American children, one-half of the eleventh and twelfth grade students were in the bottom decile when compared to norms on a widely used survey high school reading test.

In a study of Spanish-American and Anglo students from the schools of Santa Barbara County, California, Altus (3, p. 231) found a difference of seventeen points on the WISC Verbal Scale in favor of the Anglos, a difference which was highly significant. She found a difference of 2.42 on the Performance Scale to be non-significant, and finally a point difference of 11.76 on the Full Scale which was sig.nificant at the .Ol level.

In research done in the State of Texas (128), it was shown that this State has made few significant advances in improving the educational level of the Spanish-American minority.

The facts, according to the Texas Education Agency,
are these:
--The average Latin American child repeats the first grade three times and drops out of school in the fourth grade.
--Less than two per cent of the eligible
Spanish-American school population of Texas ever attends college.
--In 1961-62, there were 85,000 migrant school children in Texas. Not 100 of these migrant children were in school 180 days anywhere in 1961-62.

## Table I

MEDIAN SCHOOL YEARS COMPLETED IN FIVE SOUTHWESTERN STATES BY SPANISH-AMERICANS AND ANGIOS

|  | Spanish-American |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | 1950 | 1960 | 1950 | Anglo |  |
| Arizona | 6.1 | 7.0 | 10.6 | 11.7 |  |
| California | 7.6 | 8.6 | 11.8 | 12.1 |  |
| Colorado | 6.4 | 8.1 | 10.9 | 12.1 |  |
| New Mexico | 7.4 | 7.7 | 9.5 | 11.5 |  |
| Texas | 3.6 | 4.7 | 9.7 | 10.8 |  |
| (Data collected in the | 1960 Census) |  |  |  |  |

Mitchell (87, pp. 29-37), in an investigation which sought to determine whether an intelligence test administered in English is a fair estimate of a child's I.Q. when a child thinks in a language other than English, studied 236 Spanish-speaking pupils in grades one through three in a public school in Minnesota. The Otis Group Intelligence Test was administered in English and in Spanish to all the subjects. The mean I.Q. which was secured from the Spanish testing was greater in each of the three grades than the mean I.Q. which had been secured from the English testing. For the three grades combined, the mean I.Q. was 9.28 points higher when the test was administered in Spanish.

In a study of bilingual Puerto Rican children using both verbal and non-verbal tests of intelligence, Darcy (39, pp. 21-57) found that the two tests measure the same functions to a fairly large extent, but not to so great an extent as to warrant the substitution of one test for anm other. She concludes that a more valid picture of the intelligence of a bilingual population could be obtained by using both the verbal and non-verbal tests of intelligence.

A relevant study by Stablein, Willey, and Thomson (lll, pp. 73-78), using the Davis Eells (Culture Fair) test, along with the Primary Mental Abilities Test, and a 50 word vocabulary test, on two groups of Anglo and SpanishAmerican children produced results which indicated that the Davis Eells failed to be less influenced by cultural
differences than the other measures of intelligence and achievement.

There are some studies, however, which have concluded that bilingualism contributes little, if any, to existing mental differences among the ethnic groups. Hirsch (65, pp. 153-160), in an extensive study of 5504 subjects, American born, but of foreign ancestory, and ranging from six to eighteen years of age, concluded that, from an inspection of the groups by grades, a language handicap in American-born children of foreign parentage is a negligible factor in accounting for the mental difference found to exist among the groups.

In similar findings, Arsenian (39, pp. 21-57) concluded from his study of American-born Italian and Americanborn Jewish children between the ages of nine and fourteen years of age that bilingualism did not influence favorably or unfavorably the mental development of these children.

These researches seem to support the view that bilingualism may be only one factor, and perhaps not the most significant one, in basic cultural differences which are reflected in performance on ability scales.

Summary

The problem of determining the most appropriate instruments to use in obtaining measures of intelligence of the two ethnic groups (Spanish-American and Anglo-American) for
this study was partially resolved by the selection of those tests which purport to assess the non-verbal aspect of intelligence in addition to the verbal assessment. It was theorized that this procedure may equate the linguistic differential between the two groups.

Some of the studies reported in this review seem to indicate conflicting findings relative to measured intelligence and achievement among the various ethnic groups. This seems especially true with the studies conducted on Negro and white groups, and on American-born Italians and American-born Jews as reported by Arsenian (39, pp. 21-57). However, the studies specifically dealing with SpanishAmerican and American Indians report significant differ... ences in favor of the Anglo-American population. Of particular relevance in this respect is the New Mexico study by Zintz (132, p. 12).

Anastasi's observation that inadequate mastery of English not only affects a child on an intelligence test, but also in his contact with associates and play activities, seems to be substantiated by Witherspoon ${ }^{\circ}$ s (129, pp. 295 299) report that Spanish-American students have more school-connected problems than do the Anglo-American students.

After a rather careful evaluation of the reported studies which had a pertinent bearing on this research, it was decided to establish a framework which was in keeping
with those particular studies that revealed significant differences between Spanish-Americans and Anglo-Americans in intelligence and achievement. The previously stated hypotheses afford such a suitable approach.

## CHAPTER III

## PROCEDURE AND RESULTS

Reported research studies support the conclusion that differences do exist in the average intelligence test performance and academic achievement of Spanish-American and Anglo subjects from the same geographic area. Any clue to the reasons which underlie the lowered intelligence and achievement test performance of Spanish-American subjects would be of significance to educational theory and practice.

This study was designed to investigate the relationship of certain predictive variables and academic achievement of Spanish-American and Anglo pupils in junior high school. The predictive variables used were: (1) the Verbal, Performance, and Total scores of the WISC, (2) the Verbal, Quantitative, and Total scores of the SCAT, and (3) the Verbal and Non-Verbal scores of the Lorge-Thorndike Intelligence Test. Achievement criteria were: (1) Grade point average and (2) selected subtest scores of the Metropolitan Achievement Tests Intermediate Battery.

Subjects and Procedure

The purpose of this study was threefold. The first
was to determine the effectiveness of certain test measures in relation of academic achievement in grade nine of a selected junior high school. The second purpose was to determine significant differences, if any, in the ability and achievement of two ethnic groups (Spanish-American and Anglo-American). The third concern was the development of regression equations for prediction purposes based upon those measures identified as academic achievement.

## Population Description

The population from which this sample was drawn was assumed to be typically representative of ninth grade students from comparably sized schools geographically located in the Southwestern United States, specifically southern and western Texas, southern Colorado, Arizona, New Mexico, and southern California. This school, composed primarily of children from homes of families in lower-middle to middle income brackets, is located in a town of ll,000 population. The average income for families in this community in 1964 was $\$ 6,700$.

Although there are several small industries located here, farming and ranching provide the primary sources of economic support. The presence of a state university in the community substantially contributes to the over-all economy.

The Spanish-American ethnic group comprises
approximately three per cent of the county population; however, the ratio of Anglo-American to Spanish-American in the local public schools is five to one.

The Spanish-American in this community, as in many communities in the Southwest, has been relegated generally to jobs on farms and ranches, factory laborers, and construction crew workers. The lack of job skill and technical training, for whatever reasons, has been the prime contributor to this job relegation. County welfare roles are thirteen per cent Spanish-American.

Selection of the Subjects

The population from which this sample was drawn consisted of 262 ninth grade pupils who were regularly enrolled in a medium sized junior high school of 750 students. The population was divided by sex and ethnic group. By the use of a table of random numbers, a sample of 50 Anglom American students, 25 males and 25 females, was selected. By the same procedure, 25 males and 25 females were sea lected from the Spanish-American group.

## Procedure for Administering Instruments and Collecting Data

In the period between November, 1963, and February, 1964, individual WISC's were administered to the 100 pupils in the total sample. This process yielded the Verbal,

Performance, and Total scores for each pupil in each ethnic group. In February, the SCAT was administered to the entire ninth grade class and, by machine scoring, the Verbal, Quantitative, and Total scores were obtained for each member of the class. This process yielded the 100 sample scores. In April, the Lorge-Thorndike Intelligence Test was administered to the entire class. Hand scoring of these tests yielded Verbal and Non-Verbal scores for the 100-pupil sample. In May, administration of the Metropolitan Achievement Tests Intermediate Battery yielded scores on the subtests of (1) reading, (2) language, (3) arithmetic problem solving and concepts, (4) social studies information, and (5) science. The obtained standard scores on these subtests were averaged to yield a composite score for each subject in the sample. These composite scores were used as one of the selected measures of achievement.

Grades earned in English, science, and mathematics during the academic year of grade nine were used as the second criterion of achievement. The following numerical values were assigned to letter grades: A, 4 points; B, 3 points; C, 2 points; D, 1 point; $F, O$ points. The grades for each student in the sample were averaged to yield the grade point average for that student.

The rationale for using grade point averages as relim able measures of academic achievement is based on the assumption that teachers can evaluate the amount of learning
and assign a letter grade to represent that learning. Since this measure of academic achievement is of a more subjective nature than is the Metropolitan Test criterion, information may be obtained regarding the value of studying the independent variables in relation to different meanings of the dependent variables.

## Treatment of the Data

In order to determine the relationship between certain predictive variables and the academic achievement of Spanish-American and Anglo pupils in grade nine in a selected junior high school and perform the statistical treatment required, the facilities at the Computing Center at Oklahoma State University were used in computing coefficient correlations and beta weights for the regression equations.

The findings from the statistical treatment of the data will be presented by grouping them according to the various hypotheses to which they relate.

Statistical Technique

Problems of multiple correlation which involve more than four variables demand a more systematic scheme of solution than is commonly used when only two or three are to be calculated. Garrett (53, p. 426) states that the mechanics of calculation become almost prohibitive unless a system is adopted.

In this study, six variables were involved in prediction; therefore, the Doolittle method was chosen to provide a method of solving these multiple correlation problems. Since $R$ must be solved for two criteria for each ethnic group, four separate calculations were made. The Doolittle method selects the tests of the battery analytically and adds them one at a time until a maximum $R$ is obtained. The method takes into account the relationship of the independent variables with one another, as well as the relationship of each with the dependent variable. By use of this method, one can compute a multiple regression equation from whcih the criterion can be predicted with the highest precision of which the given list of tests is capable。

Since there were rather long series of scores, ris were calculated by the following formula, which is based on raw or obtained scores:

$$
r=\frac{\Sigma M Y-N M_{X} X M_{Y}}{\sqrt{\left[\Sigma X^{2}-N M_{X}{ }^{2}\right]\left[\Sigma Y^{2}-N M_{Y}{ }^{2}\right]}}
$$

In this formula, $X$ and $Y$ are obtained scores, and $M_{x}$ and $M_{y}$ are the means of the $X$ and $Y$ series, respectively. $X^{2}$ and $Y^{2}$ are the sums of the squared $X$ and $Y$ values and $\mathbb{N}$ is the number of cases.

The significance of the difference between two r's was determined by first converting the r's into Fisher's z function. The significance of the difference between two
z's is calculated. A critical ratio (CR) is then derived and level of significance determined. The formula is:

$$
\sigma_{Z_{1}}-Z_{2}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{N_{1}-3}+\frac{1}{N_{2}-3}} .
$$

(SE of the difference between two $z$ 's)

Tests for differences in means of the two groups were calculated by using the following formula to obtain the standard error of the difference between uncorrelated means:

$$
\sigma_{D}=\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{\mathbb{N}_{1}}+\frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}}{N_{2}}}
$$

From the SE of difference, a critical ratio (CR) is derived and from which a level of significance is obtained.

Results of the Study

The results presented on the following pages will paraliel the arranged sequence of the hypotheses to which they pertain. A summarization of the findings relative to that hypothesis will conclude each section.

Relationship Between Independent and Dependent Variables

Hypothesis I states that there will be no significant correlation at the .05 level of confidence between the independent variables employed in this study and the achievement measures for the two ethnic groups.

To determine the extent, if any, the independent variables employed in this study would predict scholastic achievement of the two ethnic groups, the scores obtained from the test variables were correlated with each group's scores on the achievement criteria. These findings are shown in Tables II and III。

TABLE II
CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS BETWEEN THE INDEPENDENT
VARIABLES AND THE METROPOLITAN ACHIEVEMENT TESTS FOR THE TWO ETHNIC GROUPS

| Independent Variable | Spanish-American | Anglo |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | r | r |
| WISC Verbal | .033 | $.493^{* *}$ |
| WISC Performance | .049 | $.337 *$ |
| WISC Total | .006 | $.476 * *$ |
| SCAT Verbal | $.531 * *$ | $.631 * *$ |
| SCAT Quantitative | $.441 * *$ | $.678 * *$ |
| SCAT Total | $.545 * *$ | $.729 * *$ |
| Lorge-Thorndike Verbal | $.471 * *$ | $.601 * *$ |
| Lorge-Thorndike Non-Verbal | .184 |  |
| $\quad$ *Significant at the | .05 level |  |
| $\quad$ **Significant at the | .01 level |  |

From Table II, significant correlations are observed for all independent variables and the Metropolitan Test criterion for the Anglo group. Positive, but insignificant
correlations are obtained for the three WISC subtest variables and the Lorge-Thorndike Non-Verbal for the SpanishAmerican group against the same criterion. These findings indicate no effectiveness of prediction for these specific test variables for the standardized, objective measure of achievement by the Metropolitan Tests for the SpanishAmerican group, but a rather high degree of predictability for the Anglo group on the same achievement criterion. The SCAT Total subtest showed the single highest correlation for both ethnic groups, but was somewhat stronger for the Anglo group.

## TABLE III

CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS BETWEEN THE INDEPENDENT VARIABLES AND THE GRADE POINT AVERAGE FOR THE TWO ETHNIC GROUPS


From Table III, significance of $r$ at the .01 level is observed for seven of the eight predictive variables for both groups as related to the G.P.A. achievement criterion. No significance of $r$ was obtain between WISC Performance and G.P.A. for the Spanish-American group and significance at the .05 level was obtained between the same two variables by the Anglo group.

Generally lower correlations were observed for both ethnic groups between the WISC subtests and the criterion measurement. The highest correlation for the Anglo group was noted between the SCAT Total with G.P.A. and for the Spanish-American group, correlation was highest between the Lorge-Thorndike Verbal and G.P.A. Verbal tests generally appeared to be better predictors than non-verbal and performance measures for both ethnic groups.

In summary, an examination of the data presented in Tables II and III reveals that support for the stated hypothesis can be seen regarding the predictive value of the three WISC subtests and the Lorge-Thorndike Non-Verbal test for the Spanish-American group. These four variables denote no significant correlation with the Metropolitan Test achievement criterion; however, the three SCAT subtests and the Lorge-Thorndike Verbal test show correlations significant at the . Ol level of confidence with the criterion for this group.

With the Anglo group, correlations significant at the
.Ol level of confidence were found between all predictive variables and the criterion, with the exception of the WISC Performance subtest which showed a correlation significant at the .05 level of confidence with the Metropolitan test criterion.

When using G.P.A. as the achievement criterion, the stated hypothesis is, in general, rejected. With the exception of the WISC Performance, which failed to reach significance for the Spanish-American group, all variables were correlated at appropriate levels of confidence with the criterion. Seven of the eight predictors were correlated at the . Ol level of confidence for both ethnic groups and the WISC Performance reached the .05 level of confidence for the Anglo group.

## Relationship of Difference Between Independent and Dependent Variables

Hypothesis II states that there will be no significant difference at the .05 level of confidence between $r^{\prime}$ s of the SCAT scores, the WISC scores, and the Lorge-Thorndike scores in terms of predicting academic achievement of the two ethnic groups as measured by the Metropolitan Achievement Tests and the Grade Point Average。

The findings relative to this hypothesis are presented on the following pages.

Table IV presents data denoting differences between the r's of each independent variable for the two ethnic groups.

```
DIFFERENCE IN r BETWEEN INDEPENDENT VARIABLES
    FOR THE TWO ETHNIC GROUPS
```

| Independent Variables | Spanish |  | Anglo | Diff。 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | r | z | $r$ | $z$ |
| WISC Verbal and WISC |  |  |  |  |
| Performance | . 664 | .76 | - 552 | .62 |
| WISC Verbal and WISC Total | . 915 | 1.56 | . 899 | 1.47 |
| WISC Verbal and SCAT Verbal | . 364 | . 38 | . 644 | .76 |
| WISC Verbal and SCAT |  |  |  |  |
| Quantitative | . 373 | - 39 | . 364 | . 38 |
| WISC Verbal and SCAT Total | . 391 | . 41 | . 555 | .62 |
| WISC Verbal and Lorge- |  |  |  |  |
| Thorndike Verbal | .475 | .52 | . 782 | 1.05* |
| WISC Verbal and Lorge- |  |  |  |  |
| Thorndike Non-Verbal | . 416 | . 45 | . 558 | .66 |
| WISC Performance and WISC |  |  |  |  |
| WISC Performance and SCAT Verbal | 4 | . 25 | . 269 | . 28 |
| WISC Performance and SCAT |  |  |  |  |
| Quantitative | . 237 | . 24 | . 264 | .27 |
| WISC Performance and SCAT |  |  |  |  |
| WISC Performance and Lorge- |  |  |  |  |
| Thorndike Verbal | . 320 | . 33 | . 421 | . 45 |
| WISC Performance and Lorge- |  |  |  |  |
| Thorndike Non-Verbal | . 449 | . 48 | . 351 | .37 |
| WISC Total and SCAT Verbal | . 339 | . 34 | . 544 | .60 |
| WISC Total and SCAT |  |  |  |  |
| Quantitative | . 339 | . 34 | . 368 | . 38 |
| WISC Total and SCAT Total | . 349 | . 37 | . 508 | . 56 |
| WISC Total and Lorge- |  |  |  |  |
| Thorndike Verbal | .442 | . 47 | . 700 | .87 |
| WISC Total and Lorge- |  |  |  |  |
| Thorndike Non-Verbal | .476 | . 52 | .615 | .72 |
| SCAT Verbal and SCAT |  |  |  |  |
| Quantitative | . 650 | . 78 | . 640 | .76 |
| SCAT Verbal and SCAT Total | . 914 | 1.56 | . 903 | 1.48 |
| SCAT Verbal and Lorge- |  |  |  |  |
| Thorndike Verbal | .793 | 1.07 | . 728 | . 92 |
| SCAT Verbal and Lorge- |  |  |  |  |
| Thorndike Non-Verbal | .477 | . 52 | .477 | . 52 |

TABLE IV (Continued)

| Independent Variables | Spanish |  | Anglo | Diff. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $r$ | z | r | $z$ |
| SCAT Quantitative and SCAT Total | . 894 | 1.44 | -902 | 1.48 |
| SCAT Quantitative and |  |  |  |  |
| Lorge-Thorndike Verbal | . 650 | . 78 | . 483 | . 52 |
| Thorndike Non-Verbal | . 583 | . 66 | . 325 | . 34 |
| SCAT Total and LorgeThorndike Verbal | . 795 | 1.08 | . 677 | . 83 |
| SCAT Total and LorgeThorndike Non-Verbal | . 566 | . 64 | . 442 | .47 |
| Lorge-Thorndike Verbal and Non-Verbal | . 525 | . 59 | . 621 | .73 |
| *Significant at the . 05 | level |  |  |  |

Of the twenty-eight comparisons tested as shown in Table IV, only one - the WISC Verbal with the LorgeThorndike Verbal - showed a difference significant at the . 05 level; however, the WISC Verbal with the SCAT Verbal failed to reach a critical ratio at the .05 level by only . 02 of a point. It will be noted that this one obtained significant difference in $r$ and the one near significant difference concerns the relationship of two variables, one of which, in each instance, is heavily saturated in reading comprehension and the other is not. Although both pairs of variables are measures of verbal ability, reading ability,
per se, is a minor contributor to a high score on the WISC Verbal subtest. This finding seems to indicate a high degree of relationship between group and individual verbal tests for the Anglo group and a low relationship between group and individual verbal tests for the Spanish-American group.

Although all correlations between variables shown in Table IV are positive, it is of some relevance that the lowest correlations for both ethnic groups involve those correlations between WISC Performance and the three SCAT subtest scores. This finding seems to suggest that possible different facets of measurement are tapped when this group test and individual subtest are administered to the same group.

Little difference in WISC correlations for the two groups is noted. The same observation is true regarding the SCAT subscores. Low correlations are observed for WISC with SCAT for both groups, especially the Spanish-American group.

The relationships between independent variables and grade point average are given in Table $V$.

By converting r's into Fisher's z function and testing z's for difference, the data in Table $V$ reveals that the correlations between the independent variables and G.P.A. do not differ signficantly between the two ethnic groups.

## TABIE V

# DIFFERENCE IN r BETWEEN INDEPENDENT VARIABLES AND G.P.A. ACHIEVEMENT CRITERION 

| Independent Variables and G.P.A. | Spanish-American |  | Anglo |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | r | z | r | z |
| WISC Verbal and G.P.A. | . 398 | . 42 | . 541 | . 60 |
| WISC Performance and G.P.A. | . 345 | . 36 | . 263 | . 27 |
| WISC Total | . 411 | . 44 | . 476 | . 52 |
| SCAT Verbal and G.P.A. | . 681 | . 83 | . 635 | . 75 |
| SCAT Quantitative and G.P.A. | . 575 | . 65 | . 560 | . 63 |
| SCAT Total and G.P.A. | .675 | . 82 | .667 | . 80 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lorge-Thorndike Verbal and } \\ & \text { G.P.A. } \end{aligned}$ | .703 | .87 | . 615 | .72 |
| Lorge-Thorndike Non-Verbal and G.P.A. | .573 | .65 | .427 | . 46 |

An interesting, and perhaps relevant, observation should be noted in Table $V$. There is a general tendency for the r's between the predictor variables and G.P.A. to be higher for the Spanish-American group. This finding suggests that certain non-intellective factors, such as interest, class behavior, personality, and attitude enter into the subjective evaluation represented by G.P.A. as opposed to the objective, impersonal measurement of the Metropolitan Achievement Tests.

Garrett (53, p. 242) states that measurement of the significance of the difference between two $r^{\prime}$ s obtained
from the same sample presents certain difficulties, since r's from the same group are presumable correlated. Formulas for computing the correlation between two correlated r's are not entirely satisfactory and there is no method of determining the correlation between two z's directly. Therefore, by inspection, it is observed from Table $V$, that the Lorge-Thorndike Verbal, the SCAT Verbal, and the SCAT Total, respectively, correlate most highly with the G.P.A. for the Spanish-American group. The SCAT Total, the SCAT Verbal, and the Lorge-Thorndike Verbal, respectively, show the highest correlations with G.P.A. for the Anglo group. The designated verbal subtest measures clearly overshadow the purported non-verbal measures for both ethnic groups in degree of correlation with G.P.A.

The differences in $r$ between independent variables and the Metropolitan tests achievement criterion are given in Table VI.

In Table VI, signjficant differences are noted in the WISC Verbal, WISC Total and SCAT Total subscores between the two groups. It would appear that the low correlations existing between all three WISC variables and a standardized, objective measure, such as the Metropolitan Achievement test for the Spanish-American group, is suggestive of norm inadequacy of the WISC for this ethnic group. As was noted in Table $V$, no significant differences in $r$ existed between the two groups when the more subjective measure of
G.P.A. was the achievement criterion. Since the LorgeThorndike Non-Verbal exhibits a similar low correlation with the Metropolitan test, it is further suggested that the non-verbal tests are relatively poor predictors of academic achievement as measured by the Metropolitan Achievement tests for the Spanish-American ethnic group.

## TABLE VI

DIFFERENCE IN r BETWEEN INDEPENDENT VARIABLES AND METROPOLITAN TESTS ACHIEVEMENT CRITERION

| Independent Variables and Metropolitan | Spanish |  | Anglo |  | Diff. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | r | $z$ | r | z |  |
| WISC and Metropolitan | . 033 | . 033 | . 493 | . 54 | * |
| WISC Performance and |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan | . 049 | . 049 | . 337 | . 35 |  |
| WISC Total and Metropolitan | . 006 | . 006 | . 477 | . 52 | * |
| SCAT Verbal and |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan | . 531 | . 59 | . 631 | . 74 |  |
| SCAT Quantitative and |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan | . 441 | . 47 | . 678 | . 82 |  |
| SCAT Total and Metropolitan | . 545 | . 48 | . 728 | . 92 | * |
| Lorge-Thorndike Verbal and Metropolitan | . 471 | . 51 | . 602 | . 69 |  |
| Lorge-Thorndike Non-Verbal |  |  |  |  |  |
| and Metropolitan | . 183 | . 18 | . 521 | . 58 |  |
| *Significant at the . 05 | leve |  |  |  |  |

Inspection of the r's in Table VI reveals that the SCAT Total, the SCAT Verbal, and the Lorge-Thorndike Verbal sub-tests, respectively, are the best predictors of achievement as measured by the Metropolitan Achievement test for the Spanish-American group. The SCAT Total, the SCAT Quantitative and SCAT Verbal subtests, respectively, are the best predictors for the Anglo group.

A comparison of $r^{\prime}$ s for each predictor for the criteria measures for the Spanish-American group is presented in Table VII.

## TABLE VII

COMPARISON OF r's FOR EACH PREDICTOR FOR THE CRITERIA MEASURES FOR THE SPANISH--AMERICAN GROUP

| Independent Variable | G.P.A. |  | Metropolitan |  | Diff. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WISC Verbal | . 398 | . 42 | . 033 | . 033 | * |
| WISC Peformance | . 345 | . 36 | . 049 | . 049 | * |
| WISC Total | . 411 | . 44 | . 006 | . 006 | ** |
| SCAT Verbal | . 681 | . 83 | . 531 | . 59 |  |
| SCAT Quantitative | . 572 | . 65 | . 441 | . 47 |  |
| SCAT Total | . 675 | . 82 | . 545 | . 48 | * |
| Lorge-Thorndike Verbal | . 703 | . 87 | . 471 | . 51 | * |
| Lorge-Thorndike NonVerbal | . 573 | . 65 | . 183 | .18 | * |
| *Significant at the <br> **Significant at the | $\begin{aligned} & .05 \\ & .01 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |

With the exception of two subtests, the SCAT Verbal and the SCAT Quantitative, all independent variables show significant differences in predicting to the achievement criteria for the Spanish-American group. Although the SCAT Verbal and SCAT Quantitative subtests do not, as separate measures, show significant differences in predictive value, the SCAT Total, which is the composite yield of these two subtests, does show a significant difference. These findings seem to further substantiate earlier inferences that reading comprehension is an affecting factor in predicting results of achievement for this ethnic group as measured by the Metropolitan Achievement Tests. The Lorge-Thorndike Verbal is indicated to be the best single predictor for G.P.A. and the SCAT Total shows to be the best single predictor for the Metropolitan Achievement Test.

A comparison of r's for each predictor with the crim teria measures for the Anglo group is given in Table VIII. No significant differences are observed between the ris of any of the independent variables in predicting to either achievement criterion for the Anglo group. Inspection of the r's reveal a high degree of comparability for both crio teria. The SCAT Total is indicated to be the best single predictor for both measures of achievement and the WISC Performance is the least effective single predictor for both measures of achievement.

TABLE VIII
COMPARISON OF r's FOR EACH PREDICTOR WITH THE CRITERIA MEASURES FOR THE ANGLO GROUP

| Independent Variable | G.P.A. |  | Metropolitan |  | Diff. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | r | z | r | z |  |
| WISC Verbal | . 541 | .60 | . 493 | . 54 |  |
| WISC Performance | . 263 | . 27 | .337 | . 35 |  |
| WISC Total | . 476 | . 52 | . 477 | . 52 |  |
| SCAT Verbal | . 635 | . 75 | . 631 | . 74 |  |
| SCAT Quantitative | . 560 | . 63 | .678 | . 82 |  |
| SCAT Total | . 667 | . 80 | . 728 | .92 |  |
| Lorge-Thorndike Verbal | . 615 | . 72 | . 602 | . 69 |  |
| Lorge-Thorndike |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Verbal | . 427 | . 46 | . 521 | . 58 |  |

Relationships Between the Independent Variables

In order to determine the relationships between the independent variables, twenty-eight correlation coefficients were calculated for all variable combinations for each ethnic group. These findings are presented in Table IX。

With few exceptions, Table IX reveals significantly high r's between the independent variables for both ethnic groups. The correlations between the WISC Performance with the SCAT Verbal, SCAT Quantitative, and SCAT Total failed to reach signficance for the Spanish-American group. In the Anglo group, the WISC Performance failed to correlate

## CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS BETWEEN INDEPENDENT VARIABLES FOR THE TWO ETHNIC GROUPS

| Independent Variables | $\underset{\mathrm{r}}{\text { Spanish }}$ | $\operatorname{Ang}_{r} 10$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WISC Verbal and WISC Performance | . $664 * *$ | . $552^{* *}$ |
| WISC Verbal and WISC Total | . $915 \%$ | .899** |
| WISC Verbal and SCAT Verbal | . 364 ** | .644** |
| WISC Verbal and SCAT Quantitative | - $373^{* *}$ | . $364 * *$ |
| WISC Verbal and SCAT Total | . 391 ** | . $555{ }^{* *}$ |
| WISC Verbal and Lorge-Thorndike Verbal | . $475^{\text {\% }}$ * | . $782^{* *}$ |
| WISC Verbal and Lorge-Thorndike Non-Verbal | . $416^{* *}$ | . $558 \%$ |
| WISC Performance and WISC Total | . $898{ }^{* *}$ | . 854 ** |
| WISC Performance and SCAT Verbal | . 245 | . 269 |
| WISC Performance and SCAT Quantitative | . 237 | . 264 |
| WISC Performance and SCAT Total | .234 | . 305 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ |
| WISC Performance and Lorge-Thorndike Verbal | -320* | .421** |
| WISC Performance and Lorge-Thorndike |  |  |
| Non-Verbal | . 449 ** | $.351^{\text {² }}$ |
| WISC Total and SCAT Verbal | . $339^{*}$ | . $544 \%$ \% |
| WISC Total and SCAT Quantitative | . $339^{*}$ | . $3688^{* 3}$ |
| WISC Total and SCAT Total | . $349^{*}$ | - $508 * *$ |
| WISC Total and Lorge-Thorndike Verbal | . $442^{* *}$ | . $700 \%$ * |
| WISC Total and Lorge-Thorndike Non-Verbal | . 476 ** | .615** |
| SCAT Verbal and SCAT Quantitative | . 650 ** | . $640 \% *$ |
| SCAT Verbal and SCAT Total | . $914^{* *}$ | . 903 ** |
| SCAT Verbal and Lorge-Thorndike Verbal | . $793 * *$ | . $728^{* *}$ |
| SCAT Verbal and Lorge-Thorndike Non-Verbal | . 477 ** | . 477 ** |
| SCAT Quantitative and SCAT Totsl | . $894 \%$ ** | $.902^{* *}$ |
| SCAT Quantitative and Lorge-Thorndike Verbal | . 650 ** | $.483^{\text {\%** }}$ |
| SCAT Quantitative and Lorge-Thorndike Non-Verbal | . $583 \%$ \% | . $325 \%$ |
| SCAT Total and Lorge-Thorndike Verbal | . 795 年* | . $677^{* *}$ |
| SCAT Total and Lorge-Thorndike Non-Verbal | . $566^{* * *}$ | . $442^{* *}$ |
| Lorge-Thorndike Verbal and Non-Verbal | . $525 * *$ | . $621^{* *}$ |
| *Significant at the .05 level **Significant at thr .Ol level |  |  |

at a significant level with the SCAT Verbal and SCAT Total.

Relationship Between the Dependent Variables

Table $X$ shows the correlation coefficients and $z$ scores for calcualting differences in $r$ between the two measures of academic achievement for both ethnic groups.

TABLE X
DIFFERENCE IN r BETWEEN DEPENDENT VARIABLES FOR THE TWO ETHNIC GROUPS


The data from Table $X$ reveals that the correlation com efficient between the dependent variables for each ethnic group reached the .Ol level of significance and did not differ significantly, from each other.

The size of the coefficients indicates that the two measures of achievement relate well with each other, and especially so with the Anglo group.

These findings suggest a considerable similarity in
measured achievement for these two ethnic groups as determined by the Metropolitan Tests and G.P.A.

In summary, a significant difference in $r$ at the .05 level of confidence was found between the WISC Verbal and the Lorge-Thorndike Verbal tests in comparing the two ethnic groups, although near significant difference was found between the WISC Verbal and SCAT Verbal variables.

No significant difference in $r$ was obtained between the independent variables for each ethnic group in relation to achievement as measured by the G。P.A.

In computing difference in $r$ between the independent variables and achievement as measured by the Metropolitan Achievement Test criterion, significant differences at the .05 level of confidence were found between the WISC Verbal, WISC Total, and SCAT Total with the criterion in favor of the Anglo group. The appropriateness of the WISC norms for the Spanish-American group is subjected to question here。 The absence of this finding when comparing WISC scores to G.P.A. may possibly be attributed to the subjective nature of earned grades as opposed to the objective nature of the Metropolitan Achievement Test. It is also strongly suggesm tive that a reading comprehension handicap exists for the Spanish-American group since reading comprehension directly affects scores on the Metropolitan Achievement Tests.

When r's were calculated between the independent variables for both ethnic groups, the WISC Performance subtests
failed to relate satisfactorily with the SCAT subtests for either group.

In general, Hypothesis II was rejected. Only one segment of the hypothesis was verified by the findings. There were no significant difference in $r^{\prime} s$ between the independent variables comparing the two ethnic groups relative to predicting academic achievement as measured by G.P.A.

## Differences Between Ethnic Groups in Ability

Hypothesis III states that there will be no significant difference at the .05 level of confidence in ability between the Spanish-American and Anglo groups as measured by the WISC, the SCAT, and the Lorge-Thorndike Tests.

The data pertinent to Hypothesis III is presented in the following section.

The mean differences of the independent variables between the two ethnic groups are given in Table XI.

Analysis of the data in Table XI reveals that highly significant differences (all at the .Ol level) exist between the independent variables in the two ethnic groups. Less group variability is noted among the SpanishoAmericans in all criteria with the exception of scores on the WISC Performance and the Lorge-Thorndike Non-Verbal tests. These are two specific measures in which little or no reading, per se, is involved. The measure showing the greatest difference in variability between the two groups is the

SCAT Verbal, a measure heavily saturated in verbal or reading comprehension. The rather substantial mean differences between the groups in the subtests of SCAT Verbal and LorgeThorndike Verbal are additional indications of reading disabilities on the part of the Spanish-American groups. The Lorge-Thorndike Verbal, along with the SCAT Verbal, concerns itself with the understanding of words and reading comprehension.

TABLE XI
MEAN DIFFERENCES OF THE INDEPENDENT VARIABIES BETWEEN THE TWO ETHNIC GROUPS

|  | $c$ <br> Spanish <br> Independent Variable |  |  | Mean | SD | Meanglo |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SD | Obtained |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diff. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

It is of some interest to note that the smallest difo ference in means, although significantly different, exists
between groups on the WISC Performance subtest. This test, which consists of manipulative and non-verbal skills, may possibly more nearly equate the linguistic factor between the two groups.

The scores on the WISC shown in Table XI are directly comparable to WISC norms since these scores are scaled. The Spanish-American mean WISC Total is shown to be 87.76, which is 12.24 points below the mean, or at the twentyo first percentile. There remains a persistent question relative to the appropriateness of WISC norms for this group.

These findings seem to somewhat confound the proposition that, under normal conditions, an older group of children would attain higher scores on standardized ability measures. This result is possibly best explained by Anastasi (7, p. 234) who states that upward or downward shifts in I.Q. is associated with the cultural milieu。 In general, children from underprivileged environments tend to lose with age in relation to test norms.

The above findings reject the null hypothesis of no major differences in ability between the two ethnic groups as measured by the WISC, the SCAT, and the Lorge-Thorndike Tests.

## Differences in Ethnic Groups in Achievement

Hypothesis IV states that there will be no significant difference at the .05 level of confidence between the

Spanish-American and Anglo groups as measured by the Metropolitan Tests and G.P.A. Table XII below presents data relevant to this hypothesis.

TABLE XII
MEAN DIFHERENCES OF THE DEPENDENT VARIABLES BETWEEN THE TWO ETHNIC GROUPS

|  | Spanish |  | Anglo | Obtained |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dependent Variable | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Diff. |

Metropolitan
Achievement
45.38
6.71
53.28
6.80
$7.90 \% *$
Grade Point Average $\quad 1.70 \quad 0.70 \quad 2.67$. 824 .97** **Significant at the .Ol level of confidence

Data from Table XII indicates that significant mean differences exist between the two ethnic groups on both measures of academic achievement. With specific reference to the G.P.A., it will be noted that almost a full letter grade difference exists between the groups. Somewhat less variability is observed in the Spanish-Americans, thus suggesting a greater degree of homogeneity in the group. The obtained differences are in the same direction as those differences obtained in measures of ability (Table XI).

If one assumes that language is a primary factor in
achievement, these differences in achievement seem to indicate that a functional language barrier exists between the Spanish-American and Anglo group. The rational underlying this inference is based on the knowledge that school achievement and ability, as ordinarily measured, correlates highly with reading ability.

These findings reject the null hypothesis that no sigm nificant differences in achievement, as measured by the Metropolitan Achievement Test and G。P.A。q exists between the Spanish-American and Anglo ethnic groups.

## The Regression Equations

In an attempt at greater accuracys regression equations were developed using the six variables which were determined to be truly independent of each other. It was decided that the WISC Total and SCAT Total score variables be omitted from the calculations since they did represent combinations of scores and were lacking in independence.

Intercorrelations between the six selected predictive variables and the two measures of academic achievement were calculated for both the Anglo and the Spanish-American groups. Table XIII shows the intercorrelations of the predictive variables and their correlation with the Grade Point Average criterion for the Anglo ethnic group.

TABLE XIII
INTERCORRELATIONS OF PREDICTIVE VARIABLES AND THE GRADE POINT AVERAGE OF THE ANGIO-AMERICAN GROUP

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | . 541 | .263 | .635 | . 560 | .615 | .427 |
| 1 |  | . 552 | . 644 | . 366 | . 782 | . 559 |
| 2 |  |  | . 269 | . 264 | .421 | . 535 |
| 3 |  |  |  | .640 | .728 | . 477 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | .483 | . 324 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  | .621 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & C=\text { Grade Point Average } \\ & 1=\text { WISC Verbal } \\ & 2=\text { WISC Performance } \\ & 3=\text { SCAT Verbal } \\ & 4=\text { SCAT Quantitative } \\ & 5=\text { Lorge-Thorndike Verbal } \\ & 6=\text { Lorge-Thorndike Non-Verbal } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The calculation of Multiple $R$ resulted in an obtained correlation of .768 which was, as expected, a higher correlation than that obtained from any independent variable with the achievement criterion. Multiple $R$ was calculated by using the formula $R=\sqrt{\frac{R(B)}{\Sigma X_{2}}}$ in which $R(B)$ represents the difference between the cumulative sum of squares minus the sum of squares, and where $\Sigma Y_{2}$ equals the corrected sum of squares.

Beta weights were derived and their values were as follows: WISC Verbal, .00072; WISC Performance; -.00009;

SCAT Verbal, .00988; SCAT Quantitative, .03732; LorgeThorndike Verbal, .Oll06; Lorge-Thorndike Non-Verbal, . 01766.

Using $X$ to symbolize the obtained score of the predic.tive variables, and $\bar{X}_{c}$ to represent the predicted score, the regression equation in score form is:

$$
\bar{X}_{c} \text { equals } b_{1} X_{1}-b_{2} X_{2}+b_{3} X_{3}+b_{4} X_{4}+b_{5} X_{5}+b_{6} X_{6}-K
$$

Substituting the numerical value of the $B$ weights, the equa tion reads:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{C}} \text { equals } & .00072 \mathrm{X}_{1}-.00009 \mathrm{X}_{2}+.00988 \mathrm{X}_{3}+ \\
& .03732 \mathrm{X}_{4}+.01106 \mathrm{X}_{5}+.01766 \mathrm{X}_{5}- \\
& .33756 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The standard error of estimate for the predicted score is calculated to be .458144. This means that the chances are 2 in 3 that a predicted score will not miss the earned score by $\pm .458144$ points.

Table XXII, Appendix C, shows the obtained G.P.A. scores and the predicted G.P.A. scores using the described regression equation.

Table XIV, on the following page, shows the intercorrelations of the predictive variables and their correlations with the Metropolitan Achievement Test criterion for the Anglo ethnic group.

TABIE XIV
INTERCORREIATIONS OF PREDICTIVE VARIABLES AND THE ACHIEVEMENT TEST CRITERION FOR THE ANGIO-AMERICAN GROUP

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | .493 | .337 | .631 | .678 | .601 | .521 |
| 1 |  | .552 | .643 | .364 | .782 | .559 |
| 2 |  |  | .269 | .264 | .421 | .535 |
| 3 |  |  |  | .640 | .728 | .477 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | .483 | .325 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  | .621 |  |

```
C = Metropolitan Achievement Test
l = WISC Verbal
2 = WISC Performance
3 = SCAT Verbal
4 = SCAT Quantitative
5 = Iorge-Thorndike Verbal
6 = Lorge-Thorndike Non-Verbal
```

The calculated Multiple $R$ for the predictive variables with the Metropolitan Achievement Test was .707.

The derived beta weights and their values were: WISC Verbal, . 08805; WISC Performance, -.04892; SCAT Verbal, .13434; SCAT Quantitative, .18616; Lorge-Thorndike Verbal. .l2176; Lorge-Thorndike Non-Verbal, .04587.

Using $X$ to symbolize the obtained score of the predic. tive variable, and $\bar{X}_{c}$ to represent the predicted score, the regression equation in score form is:

$$
\bar{x}_{C} \text { equals } b_{1} X_{1}-b_{2} X_{2}+b_{3} X_{3}+b_{4} X_{4}+b_{5} X_{5}+b_{8} X_{8}+K_{0}
$$

Substituting the numerical value of the $B$ weights, the equation reads:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{X}_{c} \text { equals } & .08805 X_{1}-.04892 X_{2}+.13434 X_{3}+ \\
& .18616 X_{4}+.12176 X_{5}+.04 .587 X_{6}+ \\
& .31 .70002 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The standard error of estimate for the predicted score is 4.807. Table XXIII, Appendix C, shows the obtained Metropolitan scores and the predicted scores using the above equation.

Table XV shows the intercorrelations of the predictive variables and their correlations with the G.P.A. criterion for the Spanish-American ethnic group.

Multiple $R$ was calculated as previously described and resulted in a correlation of .842 between the independent variables and the G.P.A. criterion for the Spanish-American group.

Beta weights were derived and their values were as follows: WISC Verbal, -.O1143; WISC Performance, -.00684; SCAT Verbal, .03083; SCAT Quantitative, .02007; LorgeThorndike Verbal, .O1374; Lorge-Thorndike Non-Verbal, -. 00658.

Using $X$ to symbolize the obtained score of the predictive variable, and $\bar{X}_{C}$ to represent the predicted score, the regression equation in score form is:

$$
\bar{X}_{c} \text { equals }-b_{1} X_{1}-b_{2} X_{2}+b_{3} X_{3}+b_{4} X_{4}+b_{5} X_{5}-b_{6} X_{6}-K_{0}
$$

TABLE XV
INTERCORRELATIONS OF PREDICTIVE VARIABLES AND THE GRADE POINT AVERAGE CRITERION OF THE SPANISH-AMERICAN GROUP


Substituting the numerical value of the $B$ weights, the equation reads:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{X}_{c} \text { equals }- & .00143 X_{1}-.00684 X_{2}+.03083 X_{3}+ \\
& .02007 X_{4}+.01374 X_{5}-.00658 X_{6}- \\
& .1 .08068
\end{aligned}
$$

The standard error of measurement for the predicted score is .3780. Table XXIV, Appendix C, shows the obtained G.P.A. scores and the predicted G.P.A. scores using the described regression equations for the Spanish-American group.

Table XVI shows the intercorrelations of the predictive variables and their correlations with the Metropolitan Achievement Test achievement criterion for the SpanishAmerican ethnic group.

TABLE XVI
INTERCORRELATIONS OF PREDICTIVE VARIABLES AND THE ACHIEVEMENT TEST CRITERION FOR THE SPANISH-ANERICAN GROUP

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | . 033 | . 049 | . 531 | . 441 | . 471 | . 184 |
| 1 |  | . 644 | . 364 | . 373 | . 475 | . 416 |
| 2 |  |  | . 245 | . 237 | . 320 | . 449 |
| 3 |  |  |  | . 651 | . 793 | . 476 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | . 650 | . 583 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  | . 525 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & C=\text { Metropolitan Achievement Test } \\ & 1=\text { WISC Verbal } \\ & 2=\text { WISC Performance } \\ & 3=\text { SCAT Verbal } \\ & 4 \text { = SCAT Quantitative } \\ & 5 \text { = Lorge-Thorndike Verbal } \\ & 6=\text { Lorge-Thorndike Non-Verbal } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Multiple $R$ was calculated to be .761 between the independent variables and the Metropolitan Achievement Test for the Spanish-American group.

Beta weights were derived and their values were as
follows: WISC Verbal, -.00542; WISC Performance, .05050; SCAT Verbal, .24100; SCAT Quantitative, .03325; LorgeThorndike, .19352, and Lorge-Thorndike Non-Verbal, .12204.

Using X to symbolize the obtained score of the predictive variable, and $\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{c}}$ to represent the predicted score, the regression equation in score form is:

$$
\bar{X}_{c} \text { equals }-b_{1} X_{1}+b_{2} X_{2}+b_{3} X_{3}+b_{4} X_{4}+b_{5} X_{5}+b_{6} X_{6}+K_{0}
$$

Substituting the numerical value of the $B$ weights, the equation reads:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{C}} \text { equals }- & .00542 \mathrm{X}_{1}+.05050 \mathrm{X}_{2}+.241000 \mathrm{X}_{3}+ \\
& .03325 \mathrm{X}_{4}+.19352 \mathrm{X}_{5}+.12204 \mathrm{X}_{6}+ \\
& 23.44120 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The standard error of measurement for the predicted score is 4.3615. Table XXV, Appendix C, shows the obtained Metropolitan Achievement scores and the predicted scores using the given regression equations for the SpanishAmerican group.

A comparison of the derived Multiple $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}$ s obtained by correlating the six independent variables with the the achievement criteria is presented in Table XVII on the following page.

The derived Multiple R's are, in all four calculations, higher than any single correlation between an independent variable and the achievement measurements for either ethnic group.

TABLE XVII
MULTIPLE R's OF THE SPANISH-AMERICAN AND ANGLO ETHNIC GROUPS WITH THE ACHIEVEMENT CRITERIA

| Criteria | Spanish-American <br> $R$ | Anglo <br> $R$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Metropolitan Achievement Test | .761 | .707 |
| G.P.A. | .842 | .768 |

By utilizing all six independent variables, the correw lation was increased from an $r$ of .531 (SCAT Verbal) to an R of .761 for the Spanish-American group with the Metropolitan Achievement test and from an r of .703 (Lorgem Thorndike) to an $R$ of .842 with the G。P.A.

The Anglo group increased an $r$ of .678 (SCAT Quantitative) to an $R$ of .707 with the Netropolitan Achievement test and from an $r$ of .635 (SCAT Verbal) to an $R$ of .768 with the G.P.A.

These increases represent a substantial improvement in the efficiency of prediction and more especially so for the Spanish-American group.

Multiple R's were determined by combining the scores for both ethnic groups. This statistic would conveniently enable the making of calculated predictions and avoiding the separating of students into ethnic divisions.

Table XVIII presents the intercorrelations of the
variables and their correlations with the Metropolitan Achievement test criterion for the combined ethnic groups.

TABLE XVIII
INTERCORRELATIONS OF PREDICTIVE VARIABLES AND THE ACHIEVEMENT TEST CRITERION FOR THE COMBINED ETHNIC GROUPS

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | .593 | . 412 | . 754 | . 631 | .751 | .622 |
| 1 |  | . 649 | . 649 | . 466 | .725 | . 592 |
| 2 |  |  | . 392 | . 337 | . 471 | . 559 |
| 3 |  |  |  | .693 | . 834 | . 617 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | .625 | . 528 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  | .678 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & C=\text { Metropolitan Achievement Test } \\ & 1=\text { WISC Verbal } \\ & 2=\text { WISC Performance } \\ & 3=\text { SCAT Verbal } \\ & 4=\text { SCAT Quantitative } \\ & 5=\text { Iorge-Thorndike Verbal } \\ & 6=\text { Lorge-Thorndike Non-Verbal } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Multiple $R$ was calculated to be .806 between the independent variables and the Metropolitan Achievement Test for the combined ethnic groups.

Beta weights were derived and their values were as follows: WISC Verbal, .O1943; WISC Performance, .O0858;

SCAT Verbal, . 20700; SCAT Quantitative, . 12674; LorgeThorndike Verbal, . 16274; Lorge-Thorndike Non-Verbal, .08675.

Using $X$ to symbolize the obtained score of the predictive variable, and $\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{C}}$ to represent the predicted score, the regression equation in score form is:

$$
\bar{X}_{c} \text { equals }-b_{1} X_{1}+b_{2} X_{2}+b_{3} X_{3}+b_{4} X_{4}+b_{5} X_{5}+b_{5} X_{5}+K_{0}
$$

Substituting the numerical value of the $B$ weightss the equation reads:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{X}_{c} \text { equals } .01943 X_{1}+.00858 X_{2}+.20700 X_{3}+.12674 X_{4}+ \\
.16274 X_{5}+.08675 X_{6}+.26 .336
\end{gathered}
$$

The standard error of measurement for the predicted score is 4.637. Table XXVI, Appendix C, shows the obtained Metropolitan Achievement scores and the predicted scores using the given regression equations for the combined ethnic groups.

Table XIX shows the intercorrelations of the variables and their correlations with the Grade Point Average criterion for the combined ethnic groups.

Multiple R was calculated to be .764 between the independent variables and the G.P.A. achievement criterion for the combined ethnic groups.

TABLE XIX
INTERCORRELATIONS OF PREDICTIVE VARIABLES AND THE GRADE POINT AVERAGE CRITERION OF THE COMBINED ETHNIC GROUPS

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | .466 | .302 | .724 | .652 | .677 | . 513 |
| 1 |  | .649 | . 649 | . 466 | .725 | . 592 |
| 2 |  |  | . 392 | . 337 | . 471 | . 559 |
| 3 |  |  |  | .693 | . 834 | . 617 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | .625 | . 528 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  | . 678 |
|  | C = Grade Point Average |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 = WISC Verbal |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $2=$ WISC Performance |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 = SCAT Verbal |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 = SCAT Quantitative |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 5=\text { Lorge-Thorndike Verbal } \\ & 6=\text { Lorge-Thorndike Non-Verbal } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Beta weights were derived and their values were as follows: WISC Verbal, -.00705: WISC Performance, -.00054; SCAT Verbal, .03000; SCAT Quantitative, .020507: LorgeThorndike Verbal, .O1640; Lorge-Thorndike Non-Verbal. .00240.

Using $X$ to symbolize the obtained score of the predictive variable, and $\bar{X}_{c}$ to represent the predicted score, the regression equation in score form is:
$\bar{X}_{c}$ equals $-b_{1} X_{1}-b_{2} X_{2}+b_{3} X_{3}+b_{4} X_{4}+b_{5} X_{5}+b_{6} X_{6}+K$.

Substituting the numerical value of the B weights, the equation reads:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{X}_{c} \text { equals }-.00705 X_{1}-.00054 X_{2}+.03000 X_{3}+.020507 X_{4}+ \\
.01640 X_{5}+.00240 X_{6}+.11726 .
\end{gathered}
$$

The standard error of measurement for the predicted score is .57984. Table XXVII, Appendix C, shows the obtained G.P.A. scores and the predicted scores using the given regression equations for the combined ethnic groups.

The combined ethnic group Multiple $R$ for the predictive variables with the G。P.A. of .764 closely parallels the $R$ of .768 obtained by the Anglo group, but is considerably lower than the $R$ of .842 obtained by the Spanish Americans.

The $R$ of .806 calculated for the combined groups for the predictive variables with the Metropolitan Achievement test is somewhat larger than that obtained from either the Anglo group (.707) or the Spamish-American group (.761).

Summary and Interpretation of Results

This study was concerned with the relationship between certain predictive variables and the academic achievement of Spanish-American and Anglo pupils in grade nine in a selected junior high school.

The study was based on the testing of four hypotheses and the developing of regression equations for each ethnic group for predictive purposes. The conclusions drawn from
the analysis of data will be presented as they relate to the hypothesis being tested.

The first hypothesis stated that there would be no significant correlation between the independent variables and the achievement measures for the two ethnic groups. Partial affirmation is afforded the hypothesis. In the Spanish-American group, the three WISC variables and the Lorge-Thorndike Non-Verbal test failed to significantiy correlate with the Metropolitan Achievement Test. This raises the question of the appropriateness of the WISC norms for this ethnic group in predicting objectively measured achievement. The Lorge-Thorndike Non-Verbal, composed primarily of symbols and designs, also affords little in the way of predicting objectively measured achievement for this group.

For the Anglo group, all variables correlated significantly high. Seven reached the .OI level of significance and one, the WISC Performance, reached the . 05 level. These findings indicate a relatively high level of prediction for these variables with the Anglo group.

When using Grade Point Average as the achievement cri-. terion, all variables for both groups were significantly correlated with the criterion at the .Ol level, with the exception of the WISC Performance. This subtest failed to reach the confidence limits for the Spanish-American group and reached the .05 level for the Anglo group. These
findings, with the noted exceptions, suggest a high degree of predictive effectiveness on the part of the independent variables when G。P.A. is the achievement criterion.

The sum of these findings relative to Hypothesis I indicate that the criteria measures largely determine the effectiveness of the independent variables as predictors of achievement.

The second tested hypothesis stated that there would be no significant differences in the correlations of predictive test variables in terms of predicting achievement of the two ethnic groups as measured by the achievement criteria. Findings indicated that a partial affirmation of the hypothesis can be made. No significant differences in $r$ between the predictive variables comparing both groups were found relative to the prediction of achievement as measured by G。P.A. When using the Metropolitan Test as the achievement criterion, however, certain differences in $r$ were observed. The WISC Verbal, WISC Total, and SCAT Total correlated significantly higher with the criterion for the Anglo group. It is suggested that since reading ability and comprehension is a fundamental requirement in the obtaining of high scores on the SCAT Total and since this factor also permeated the achievement assessment, a basic inadequacy in English proficiency on the part of the Spanish-American student is evidenced in this finding. Further support for this conclusion is found in the observed
significant differences obtained between groups in correlation between the WISC Verbal with the Lorge-Thorndike Verbal and near significant difference between the WISC Verbal and SCAT Verbal subtests. Both SCAT and Lorge-Thorndike Verbal tests are measures heavily saturated in reading ability.

It is also suggested that an objective measurement of achievement, such as the Metropolitan Test, is more directly influenced by language skill and reading ability than is the more subjective G.P.A. This may well account for lack of significant differences between any predictive variables in the two groups when using G.P.A. as the achievement criterion.

The third hypothesis stated that there will be no significant difference in ability between the Spanish-American and Anglo groups as measured by the independent variables, SCAT, WISC, and Lorge-Thorndike Tests.

The findings failed to confirm this hypothesis. Differences in ability in favor of the Anglo group at the .01 level of confidence were found for all variables. It was noted that, excepting the WISC Performance and Lorge Thorndike Non-Verbal scores, less group variability existed in the Spanish-American group. This finding suggests that, when a reading factor is involved, a more uniform or homogeneous pattern of responses is exhibited by the SpanishAmerican group. The WISC Performance and Lorge..-Thorndike

Non-Verbal Tests consist almost entirely of non-verbal components and, thus, a greater degree of variability from the mean is experienced by this group on these types of measures. Lending additional support to this conclusion is the observation that the measure showing the greatest difference in variability between the two groups is the SCAT Verbal, probably the one measure of the eight that is most heavily saturated with understanding word meanings and reading comprehension.

The fourth hypothesis stated that there will be no significant difference at the .05 level of confidence between the Spanish-American and Anglo groups as measured by the Metropolitan Tests and the Grade Point Average。

The findings failed to confirm this hypothesis. Significant mean differences were obtained on both measures of academic achievement. Reference has already been made sugळ gesting the existence of a functional language barrier in the Spanish-American group and that this barrier directly contributes to the reading inadequacies which are apparently influencing academic achievement. Probable contributors to this difference in achievement are such nonintellective factors as interest, motivation, socio-economic level, and cultural differences. Further studies involving the role of these factors in relation to academic achievement is needed.

For the purpose of developing regression equations
that would best represent truly independent variables, it was decided to omit the WISC Total and SCAT Total scores when deriving these equations. These scores represent combined scores and are, therefore, not completely independent within themselves. Six sets of regression equations were developed; one set for the Spanish-American group for predicting to the Metropolitan Tests achievement measure, and one set for predicting to the G.P.A. Two sets serving the same purpose were developed for the Anglo group. The last two sets of equations were calculated by combining the scores of the ethnic groups and predicting to the achievement criteria. Standard errors of measurement and predicted scores calculated from the regression equations were determined and are presented in the Appendix.

## CHAPTER IV

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

There has been an increasing awareness and concern among educators, especially in the past decade, of the many complex problems pertaining to the opportunities for educational growth afforded America's minority ethnic groups. Although the problem is one of national concern, it is more specifically focused in particular geographic locations for certain ethnic groups. One of these problems involves the relationship of measured mental abilities and school achievement, and it was toward this area that this study was directed.

Numerous studies related to this problem have been made concerning the Negro group in the South and various ethnic groups in the industrial North and Northeast. This study was specifically concerned with the Spanish-American ethnic group in the Southwest, and it was limited to the study of two ethnic groups, composed of Spanish-Americans and Anglo-American, in a single school within the state of New Mexico.

## Implications of the Study

## Implications for Educators

The findings of this study have some rather important implications for those educators working in and involved with an educational situation similar to the environment in which this study was conducted.

The knowledge that a significant difference does exist between these ethnic groups regarding mental abilities, as determined by commonly used measuring instruments, and academic achievement, measured objectively and subjectively, needs to be fully utilized and wisely considered in the over-all approach to the problem of educational development for all the students in the school. The implications, regarding the planning of a curriculum designed purposely to accommodate an educational situation such as this, are simultaneously challenging and somewhat awesome for the director of curriculum. Results from this study have implications for the building principals who are charged with the responsibilities of suitable and effective grouping or tracking of these students.

There are obvious implications for the director of guidance in choosing those measuring instruments which will more effectively yield valid and reliable results for the purposes intended. These findings should substantially aid the school counselor in his knowledge and ability to
interpret test scores and to effectively relate those interpretations in a more meaningful way to his particular counselees.

It has been earlier reported in the literature that teachers have an awareness of these difficulties, yet the implications from these findings should have their greatest relevance with this group of professional workers. The knowledge that a significant difference in aptitute and achievement exists between these ethnic groups should call for possible modifications in classroom methods and techniques. These modifications should be designed with the specific intent of more adequately accommodating the edu-m cational development of the minority ethnic group without, in any way, sacrificing the progress of the remaining student population.

Chief school administrators and the local school board have the responsibility of providing the facilities, equipment, and personnel necessary to implement programs suited to meeting the needs of this minority ethnic group.

## Implications for Further Research

The findings from this study have shown that signifim cant differences exist in ability and achievement between Spanish-American and Anglo ethnic groups. One conclusion drawn from these findings was that a language handicap was one important factor contributing to this difference:
however, it was suggested that other non-intellective factors, such as interest, motivation, cultural differences, i.e., family ties, values, attitudes, and personality, may be the casual factors contributing to the primary language handicap. Research in these areas may provide information leading to ways of eliminating or, at least alleviating, the problem of language inadequacy on the part of the Spanish-American student。

Studies are needed to investigate the effectiveness of devoting considerable time to the Spanish-American pupil during pre-school and at the beginning of his formal school experience to master the English language by placing major emphasis on an aural-oral approach and relegating formalized grammar to a secondary role until later in the student's school career. This approach would require that children learn to habituate the common speech patterns they are going to use and then develop a broad range of concepts in the new language.

There is a need for planned experimentation to study the effectiveness of the use of language laboratories, tape recorders, and other implemented programs in incorporating English idioms, slang, and multiple meanings of simple words into the child's venacular.

There is a need for more exhaustive research relative to the effectiveness of a functional integration of Spanish-American and Anglo students at the grade school
level. Geographic distribution of a minority ethnic groups within an expansive city system produces an imbalance of ethnic students within certain schools. This forced group homogeneity is probably detrimental to bicultural and bilingual efforts and effects.

## Summary

This study was directed to an investigation of the relationship of certain predictive variables and academic achievement of Spanish-American and Anglo pupils in grade nine of a selected junior high school.

A randomly selected sample of fifty Spanish-American and fifty Anglo pupils was administered the WISC, the SCAT, and Lorge-Thorndike Tests, composing a total of eight variables, to be used in predicting achievement as measured by (1) selected subtests composite scores of the Metropolitan Achievement Test Battery and (2) the Grade Point Average earned during the academic year.

The review of literature presented several studies bearing findings pertinent to ethnic group differences, but few studies were found specifically related to SpanishAmerican and Anglo differences.

The study was based on the testing of four hypotheses which stated, in essence, that (l) there would be no differences, significant at the .05 level of confidence, in corm relations between the predictive variables (SCAT, WISC, and

Lorge－Thorndike Tests）and the achievement measures（Metro－ politan Tests and G．P．A。）in the two ethnic groups，（2）no significant correlations，at the .05 level of confidence， would be obtained between the predictive and achievement variables for the two groups，（3）no significant differ－ ences in abilities as measured by the independent variables would be shown between the two groups，and（4）no signifiim cant differences in achievement as measured by the Metro－ politan Tests and G．P．A．would be shown between the two groups．

Partial affirmation was afforded the hypothesis that no significant correlations would be obtained between the predictive and achievement variables for the two ethnic groups．The individually administered WISC，with no read－ ing or writing required on the part of the testee，and the symbol－loaded Lorge－Thorndike Non－Verbal failed to signifi－ cantly correlate with the Metropolitan Achievement Test in the Spanish－American group．For the Anglo group，all vari－ ables correlated significantly high．

The second hypothesis was afforded partial affirmation in that no differences of a significant nature were found in variable correlations with achievement when using G。P。A。 as the criterion；however，significantly higher correla－ tions appeared for the Anglo group in the predictive vari－ ables more directly associated with reading apiitude and word meaning when the Metropolitan Test was the achievement criterion．

The third and fourth hypotheses were rejected since significant differences were found in ability and achievement for all variables for the Anglo group.

Regression equations were derived for both ethnic groups and for the combined groups in terms of predicting from the obtained scores of six of the eight independent variables to achievement as measured by the two criteria measures.

The findings in this study are probably of limited validity outside the setting in which they were obtained, although they provide certain avenues for further investigations and may have values of a generalized nature for schools in similar settings.
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## APPENDIXES

## APPENDIX A

TABIE XX
RAW SCORES OF THE PREDICTIVE AND CRITERION VARIABLES OF THE ANGIO--AMERICAN SAMPLE

| Subject No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Var 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { bles } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 37 | 44 | 81 | 25 | 26 | 51 | 4.5 | 50 | 44 | 2.00 |
| 2 | 66 | 55 | 121 | 45 | 37 | 82 | 69 | 72 | 62 | 2.33 |
| 3 | 38 | 51 | 89 | 21 | 14 | 35 | 40 | 46 | 45 | 2.27 |
| 4 | 51 | 42 | 93 | 35 | 26 | 51 | 45 | 53 | 47 | 2.00 |
| 5 | 43 | 58 | 101 | 33 | 17 | 50 | 53 | 61 | 49 | 2.17 |
| 6 | 42 | 50 | 92 | 25 | 26 | 51 | 45 | 25 | 46 | 1.00 |
| 7 | 45 | 42 | 87 | 33 | 15 | 48 | 52 | 48 | 53 | 2.17 |
| 8 | 37 | 45 | 83 | 13 | 11. | 24 | 47 | 39 | 48 | 1.17 |
| 9 | 59 | 60 | 119 | 52 | 39 | 91 | 76 | 66 | 39 | 3.00 |
| 10 | 59 | 51 | 110 | 40 | 28 | 68 | 47 | 49 | 50 | 1.83 |
| 11 | 66 | 55 | 121 | 55 | 14 | 69 | 67 | 57 | 59 | 2.17 |
| 12 | 63 | 65 | 128 | 44 | 40 | 84 | 64 | 60 | 55 | 3.17 |
| 13 | 68 | 76 | 144 | 51 | 35 | 86 | 70 | 64 | 65 | 3.67 |
| 14 | 58 | 61 | 119 | 53 | 42 | 95 | 64 | 60 | 61 | 3.67 |
| 15 | 52 | 44 | 96 | 35 | 9 | 44 | 56 | 58 | 44 | 0.67 |
| 16 | 59 | 44 | 103 | 45 | 17 | 62 | 58 | 54 | 50 | 2.83 |
| 17 | 70 | 64 | 134 | 50 | 44 | 94 | 75 | 72 | 56 | 4.00 |
| 18 | 79 | 62 | 141 | 31 | 9 | 40 | 76 | 71 | 50 | 2.33 |
| 19 | 66 | 54 | 124 | 44 | 42 | 86 | 71 | 60 | 67 | 3.33 |
| 20 | 51 | 53 | 104 | 35 | 41 | 76 | 56 | 60 | 56 | 3.00 |
| 21 | 54 | 50 | 104 | 21 | 17 | 38 | 45 | 49 | 53 | 2.83 |
| 22 | 50 | 50 | 100 | 35 | 17 | 52 | 42 | 60 | 51 | 2.17 |
| 23 | 57 | 62 | 119 | 31 | 14 | 45 | 56 | 42 | 54 | 2.50 |
| 24 | 68 | 70 | 138 | 38 | 28 | 66 | 67 | 65 | 55 | 2.00 |
| 25 | 42 | 60 | 102 | 35 | 21 | 56 | 52 | 52 | 54 | 1.67 |
| 26 | 34 | 53 | 87 | 27 | 28 | 55 | 52 | 50 | 47 | 2.33 |
| 27 | 68 | 59 | 127 | 52 | 34 | 86 | 66 | 67 | 65 | 3.67 |
| 28 | 47 | 45 | 92 | 40 | 32 | 72 | 52 | 54 | 54 | 3.00 |
| 29 | 49 | 57 | 106 | 20 | 20 | 40 | 42 | 57 | 48 | 3.00 |
| 30 | 44 | 58 | 102 | 35 | 21 | 56 | 53 | 67 | 51 | 2.17 |
| 31 | 48 | 35 | 83 | 31 | 17 | 48 | 65 | 53 | 58 | 2.83 |
| 32 | 41 | 45 | 86 | 30 | 24 | 54 | 50 | 41 | 47 | 1.67 |
| 33 | 45 | 64 | 109 | 35 | 33 | 68 | 64 | 71 | 57 | 3.67 |
| 34 | 37 | 44 | 81 | 33 | 25 | 58 | 39 | 49 | 46 | 2.00 |
| 35 | 52 | 58 | 110 | 33 | 35 | 68 | 47 | 55 | 51 | 3.00 |

TABLE XX (Continued)

| Subject No. |  |  |  |  |  | bles |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 36 | 71 | 62 | 133 | 50 | 37 | 87 | 73. | 48 | 54 | 3.33 |
| 37 | 49 | 52 | 101 | 36 | 30 | 66 | 61 | 65 | 54 | 2.67 |
| 38 | 65 | 54 | 109 | 35 | 27 | 62 | 66 | 64 | 56 | 3.17 |
| 39 | 59 | 68 | 127 | 31 | 14 | 45 | 49 | 52 | 45 | 2.50 |
| 40 | 81 | 64 | 145 | 53 | 40 | 93 | 77 | 68 | 67 | 4.00 |
| 41 | 50 | 44 | 94 | 47 | 28 | 75 | 66 | 62 | 58 | 3.83 |
| 42 | 45 | 55 | 100 | 36 | 20 | 56 | 57 | 66 | 56 | 3.00 |
| 43 | 45 | 65 | 110 | 36 | 22 | 58 | 52 | 58 | 51 | 3.17 |
| 44 | 57 | 40 | 97 | 42 | 22 | 64 | 72 | 53 | 53 | 2.83 |
| 45 | 62 | 45 | 107 | 49 | 37 | 86 | 68 | 58 | 59 | 4.00 |
| 46 | 39 | 45 | 84 | 30 | 28 | 58 | 49 | 52 | 54 | 2.83 |
| 47 | 37 | 34 | 71 | 30 | 21 | 51 | 33 | 34 | 44 | 1.67 |
| 48 | 65 | 55 | 120 | 51 | 43 | 94 | 76 | 52 | 66 | 4.00 |
| 49 | 44 | 37 | 81 | 53 | 42 | 95 | 57 | 47 | 66 | 3.83 |
| 50 | 35 | 33 | 68 | 30 | 17 | 47 | 47 | 38 | 44 | 1.50 |
| M | 52.9852 .80105 .6636 .7426 .5263 .7257 .4255 .4853 .282 .67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SD | 11.90 |  | 9.731 | 19.0712 .1810 .03 |  | 18.2 | 11.5 |  |  | . 824 |
| 1-WISC Verbal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 - WISC Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 - WISC Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 - SCAT Verbal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 - SCAT Quantitative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 - SCAT Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 - Lorge-Thorndike Verbal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 - Lorge-Thorndike Non-Verbal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 - Metropolitan Achievement Test |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 - Grade Point Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE XXI
RAW SCORES OF THE PREDICTIVE AND CRITERION VARIABIES OF THE SPANISH-AMERICAN SAMPLE

| SubjectNo. | Variables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 1 | 32 | 41 | 73 | 33 | 17 | 50 | 45 | 43 | 41 | 1.50 |
| 2 | 50 | 53 | 103 | 49 | 37 | 86 | 67 | 56 | 62 | 3.33 |
| 3 | 42 | 43 | 85 | 17 | 9 | 26 | 40 | 10 | 40 | 2.67 |
| 4 | 35 | 43 | 78 | 20 | 20 | 40 | 38 | 32 | 38 | 1.33 |
| 5 | 55 | 59 | 114 | 19 | 24 | 43 | 54 | 46 | 42 | 1.67 |
| 6 | 42 | 48 | 90 | 16 | 14 | 30 | 33 | 42 | 38 | 1.00 |
| 7 | 31 | 46 | 77 | 22 | 13 | 35 | 22 | 21 | 37 | 1.33 |
| 8 | 63 | 49 | 112 | 25 | 26 | 51 | 44 | 48 | 44 | 0.83 |
| 9 | 31 | 25 | 56 | 24 | 14 | 48 | 42 | 30 | 38 | 2.17 |
| 10 | 39 | 42 | 81 | 20 | 13 | 33 | 44 | 49 | 49 | 2.00 |
| 11 | 53 | 61 | 114 | 21 | 9 | 30 | 32 | 33 | 47 | 1.50 |
| 12 | 55 | 50 | 105 | 28 | 27 | 55 | 49 | 52 | 41 | 1.67 |
| 13 | 33 | 31 | 64 | 36 | 28 | 64 | 59 | 46 | 49 | 4.00 |
| 14 | 70 | 58 | 128 | 40 | 26 | 66 | 62 | 59 | 57 | 2.17 |
| 15 | 34 | 47 | 81 | 25 | 19 | 44 | 46 | 55 | 47 | 2.67 |
| 16 | 64 | 61 | 125 | 33 | 30 | 63 | 66 | 64 | 63 | 2.50 |
| 17 | 36 | 38 | 74 | 24 | 22 | 46 | 40 | 47 | 46 | 1.50 |
| 18 | 22 | 32 | 54 | 30 | 17 | 47 | 40 | 28 | 42 | 1.67 |
| 19 | 30 | 25 | 55 | 14 | 18 | 32 | 29 | 36 | 41 | 1.83 |
| 20 | 41 | 45 | 86 | 21 | 25 | 46 | 39 | 49 | 46 | 1.17 |
| 21 | 35 | 39 | 74 | 15 | 14 | 29 | 33 | 34 | 49 | 2.50 |
| 22 | 30 | 44 | 74 | 33 | 25 | 58 | 51 | 56 | 51 | 2.67 |
| 23 | 38 | 39 | 77 | 27 | 18 | 45 | 50 | 38 | 48 | 1.50 |
| 24 | 45 | 40 | 85 | 21. | 14 | 35 | 37 | 42 | 40 | 1.33 |
| 25 | 23 | 35 | 58 | 25 | 26 | 51. | 44 | 42 | 45 | 2.17 |
| 26 | 32 | 55 | 87 | 17 | 19 | 36 | 40 | 29 | 41. | 1.67 |
| 27 | 31 | 35 | 66 | 19 | 14 | 33 | 36 | 40 | 44 | 1.67 |
| 28 | 32 | 50 | 82 | 18 | 14 | 32 | 28 | 46 | 42 | 0.83 |
| 29 | 34 | 47 | 81 | 19 | 18 | 37 | 36 | 48 | 55 | 2.83 |
| 30 | 47 | 52 | 99 | 20 | 18 | 38 | 32 | 30 | 38 | 1.67 |
| 31 | 45 | 39 | 84 | 30 | 20 | 50 | 47 | 33 | 52 | 1.00 |
| 32 | 41 | 50 | 91 | 21 | 15 | 36 | 43 | 51 | 53 | 1.17 |
| 33 | 40 | 34 | 74 | 18 | 26 | 44 | 39 | 42 | 48 | 1.67 |
| 34 | 49 | 53 | 102 | 18 | 9 | 27 | 48 | 50 | 46 | 1.33 |
| 35 | 38 | 54 | 92 | 15 | 13 | 28 | 27 | 49 | 35 | 0.67 |
| 36 | 33 | 43 | 76 | 18 | 20 | 38 | 42 | 60 | 38 | 0.83 |
| 37 | 48 | 38 | 86 | 21 | 22 | 43 | 50 | 45 | 41 | 0.83 |
| 38 | 48 | 59 | 107 | 24 | 14 | 38 | 47 | 57 | 47 | 1.33 |
| 39 | 42 | 56 | 98 | 13 | 7 | 20 | 30 | 41 | 41 | 1.33 |
| 40 | 38 | 36 | 74 | 13 | 18 | 31 | 40 | 29 | 40 | 1.50 |
| 41 | 41 | 37 | 78 | 13 | 14 | 27 | 36 | 26 | 37 | 1.33 |
| 42 | 50 | 64 | 114 | 36 | 22 | 58 | 61 | 58 | 50 | 1.50 |

## TABLE XXI (Continued)



## APPENDIX B

## DEFINITION OF TERMS

Academic Achievement is defined as (a) the composite scores obtained from the Reading, Language, Arithmetic Problem Solving and Concepts, Social Studies Information, and Science subtests of the Metropolitan Achievement Tests Intermediate Battery and (b) the combined grade point average in English, mathematics and science earned during the two semesters of an academic year.

Beta Weights are beta coefficients expressed in sigma scores, calculated from partial regression coefficients in terms of partial coefficients of correlation and standard errors of estimate for $n$ variables.

Dependent Variable is defined as the variable for which changes are observed. For the purpose of this study, the dependent variables are (a) the composite scores obtained from the selected subtests of the Metropolim tan achievement Intermediate Battery and (b) the grade point average in English, mathematics, and science earned during the two semesters of an academic year. Independent Variable is that variable which is introduced into the experimental situation, and which is the
primary object of study. For the purpose of this study, the independent variables referred to are (a) the scores obtained from the Verbal, Performance, and Full Scale tests of the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children, (b) the Verbal, Quantitative, and Total scores obtained from the SCAT, and (c) the Verbal and Non-Verbal scores of the Lorge-Thorndike Verbal and Non-Verbal Tests. Intercorrelations are the correlations which result from multiple correlation analysis, in which each correlation is an index of the relationship between one variable and two or more other variables.

Multiple correlation is a measure which tells the strength and direction of relationship between one variable called the "criterion" and a combination of other variables. It tells how much weight should be given to each of the variables from which the criterion is being predicted. Those correlations which have the highest value are given the greatest weight, but all variables are used together to make a prediction which is better than the prediction which would be made on the basis of one variable alone. A multiple correlation is an expression of this total relationship. Regression analysis is a statistical study of the functional form of the relationship between variables. This is expressed in the form of a
mathematical function in which the dependent variable is set equal to some expression which depends only on the independent variable and certain constants. This allows one to see how closely the two variables are related through establishing the equation of a line which best represents the joint function of the variables, and for which the variance of estimate is the smallest. From the equation of such a line, one can predict the value of one variable for any known value of the other variable。

Regression equations are mathematical expressions of the functional form of the relationship between variables, as defined under regression analysis.

Significance is a mathematical expression of the degree to which a statistic is representative of the parameter based upon the entire population from which the sample was drawn. For the purposes of this study, significance is considered to be present when the derived statistic is established to be at the five per cent level of confidence.

Non-significant, for the purposes of this study, refers to those statistics which fall below the five per cent level of confidence.

SCAT is the accepted, and commonly used, abbreviation for Cooperative School and College Ability Tests developed by the Cooperative Test Division of the Educational Testing Service in Princeton, New Jersey.

Subtests are defined as single, or individual tests, which make up a general test, or a test battery.

## APPENDIX C

TABLE XXII

## OBTAINED AND PREDICTED G。P.A. SCORES FOR THE ANGLO-AMERICAN GROUP

| Subject | Obtained Score | Predicted Score | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.00 | 2.28 | - . 28 |
| 2 | 2.33 | 3.56 | -1.23 |
| 3 | 2.17 | 1.67 | . 49 |
| 4 | 2.00 | 2.44 | -. 44 |
| 5 | 2.17 | 2.31 | -. 14 |
| 6 | 1.00 | 1. 84 | -. 84 |
| 7 | 2.17 | 2.00 | . 16 |
| 8 | 1.17 | 1.43 | -. 26 |
| 9 | 3.00 | 3.67 | -. 67 |
| 10 | 1.83 | 2.52 | -. 69 |
| 11 | 2.17 | 2.51 | -. 34 |
| 12 | 3.17 | 3.39 | -. 22 |
| 13 | 3.67 | 3.41 | . 25 |
| 14 | 2.67 | 3.55 | . 11 |
| 15 | . 67 | 2.02 | -1.35 |
| 16 | 2.83 | 2.37 | . 45 |
| 17 | 4.00 | 3.94 | . 05 |
| 18 | 2.33 | 2.45 | -. 12 |
| 19 | 3.33 | 3.55 | -. 22 |
| 20 | 3.00 | 3.24 | -. 24 |
| 21 | 2.83 | 1.90 | . 92 |
| 22 | 2.17 | 2.19 | -. 02 |
| 23 | 2.50 | 1.88 | . 61 |
| 24 | 2.00 | 3.01 | +1.01 |
| 25 | 1.67 | 2.31 | -. 64 |
| 26 | 2.33 | 2.45 | -. 12 |
| 27 | 3.67 | 3.40 | . 26 |
| 28 | 3.00 | 2.81 | . 18 |
| 29 | 3.00 | 2.10 | . 89 |
| 30 | 2.17 | 2.58 | -. 41 |
| 31 | 2.83 | 2.28 | . 54 |
| 32 | 1.67 | 2.15 | -. 48 |
| 33 | 3.67 | 3.22 | . 44 |

TABLE XXII (Continued)

| Subject | Obtained <br> Score | Predicted <br> Score | Difference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2.00 | 2.24 |  |
| 34 | 3.00 | 2.81 | .24 |
| 35 | 3.33 | 3.23 | .18 |
| 36 | 2.67 | 2.99 | .09 |
| 37 | 3.17 | 2.91 | .32 |
| 38 | 2.50 | 1.98 | .25 |
| 39 | 4.00 | 3.78 | .51 |
| 40 | 3.83 | 3.02 | .21 |
| 41 | 3.00 | 2.58 | .80 |
| 42 | 3.17 | 2.46 | .71 |
| 43 | 4.83 | 3.66 | .16 |
| 44 | 2.83 | 2.44 | .65 |
| 45 | 1.67 | 1.78 | .34 |
| 46 | 4.00 | 3.57 | .06 |
| 47 | 3.83 | 3.24 | .42 |
| 48 | 1.50 | 1.80 | .58 |
| 49 |  |  | -30 |
| 50 |  |  |  |

TABLE XXIII
OBTAINED AND PREDICTED METROPOLITAN ACHIEVEMENT TEST SCORES FOR THE ANGLO-AMERICAN GROUP

| Subject | Obtained Score | Predicted Score | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 44.00 | 48.77 | $-4.77$ |
| 2 | 62.00 | 59.45 | 2.54 |
| 3 | 45.00 | 44.95 | .04 |
| 4 | 47.00 | 51.58 | - 4.58 |
| 5 | 49.00 | 49.49 | - 0.49 |
| 6 | 46.00 | 47.77 | - 1.77 |
| 7 | 53.00 | 59.36 | 3.63 |
| 8 | 48.00 | 44.01 | 3.98 |
| 9 | 39.00 | 60.48 | -21.48 |
| 10 | 50.00 | 52.95 | - 2.95 |
| 11 | 59.00 | 55.58 | 3.41 |
| 12 | 55.00 | 57.97 | - 2.97 |
| 13 | 65.00 | 58.79 | 6.20 |
| 14 | 61.00 | 59.30 | 1.69 |
| 15 | 44.00 | 49.98 | - 5.98 |
| 16 | 50.00 | 53.49 | - 3.49 |
| 17 | 56.00 | 62.07 | - 6.07 |
| 18 | 50.00 | 53.97 | - 3.97 |
| 19 | 67.00 | 59.99 | 7.00 |
| 20 | 56.00 | 55.50 | 0.49 |
| 21 | 53.00 | 47.72 | 5.27 |
| 22 | 51.00 | 49.38 | 1.61 |
| 23 | 54.00 | 49.20 | 4.79 |
| 24 | 55.00 | 55.72 | - 0.72 |
| 25 | 54.00 | 49.79 | 4.20 |
| 26 | 47.00 | 49.56 | - 2.56 |
| 27 | 65.00 | 59.22 | 5.77 |
| 28 | 54.00 | 53.77 | 0.22 |
| 29 | 48.00 | 47.36 | 0.63 |
| 30 | 51.00 | 50.87 | 0.22 |
| 31 | 58.00 | 51.88 | 6.11 |
| 32 | 47.00 | 49.57 | $=2.57$ |
| 33 | 57.00 | 54.42 | 2.57 |
| 34 | 46.00 | 48.88 | - 2.88 |
| 35 | 51.00 | 52.63 | - 1.63 |
| 36 | 54.00 | 59.61 | - 5.61 |
| 37 | 54.00 | 54.30 | - 0.30 |
| 38 | 56.00 | 55.48 | 0.51 |
| 39 | 45.00 | 48.69 | - 3.69 |
| 40 | 67.00 | 62.76 | 4.23 |
| 41 | 58.00 | 56.35 | 1.64 |
| 42 | 56.00 | 51.49 | 4.50 |

TABIE XXIII (Continued)

| Subject | Obtained <br> Score | Predicted <br> Score | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43 | 51.00 | 50.40 | 0.59 |
| 44 | 53.00 | 55.69 | -2.69 |
| 45 | 59.00 | 59.36 | -0.36 |
| 46 | 54.00 | 50.52 | 3.47 |
| 47 | 44.00 | 46.81 | -8.81 |
| 48 | 66.00 | 61.22 | 4.77 |
| 49 | 66.00 | 57.79 | 8.20 |
| 50 | 44.00 | 47.82 | -3.82 |

## TABLE XXIV

OBTAINED AND PREDICTED G.P.A. SCORES FOR THE SPANISH-AMERICAN GROUP

| Subject | Obtained Score | Predicted Score | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.50 | 2.12 | -. 62 |
| 2 | 3.33 | 2.95 | . 37 |
| 3 | 2.67 | 1.49 | 1.17 |
| 4 | 1.33 | 1.71 | -. 38 |
| 5 | 1.67 | 1.55 | . 11 |
| 6 | 1.00 | 1.22 | -. 22 |
| 7 | 1.33 | 1.51 | -. 18 |
| 8 | . 83 | 1.60 | -. 077 |
| 9 | 2.17 | 1.95 | . 21 |
| 10 | 2.00 | 1.50 | . 49 |
| 11 | 1.50 | 1.10 | .39 |
| 12 | 1.67 | 1.84 | -. 17 |
| 13 | 4.00 | 2.67 | 1:32 |
| 14 | 2.17 | 2.10 | . 06 |
| 15 | 2.67 | 1.79 | .87 |
| 16 | 2.50 | 2.03 | . 46 |
| 17 | 1.50 | 1.83 | -. 33 |
| 18 | 1.67 | 2.24 | -. 57 |
| 19 | 1.83 | 1.52 | .30 |
| 20 | 1.17 | 1.66 | -. 49 |
| 21 | 2.50 | 1.38 | 1.11 |
| 22 | 2.67 | 2.28 | .38 |
| 23 | 1.50 | 2.01 | -. 51 |
| 24 | 1.33 | 1.45 | -. 12 |
| 25 | 2.17 | 2.19 | -. 02 |
| 26 | 1.67 | 1.60 | . 06 |
| 27 | 1.67 | 1.58 | . 08 |
| 28 | . 83 | 1.29 | -. 46 |
| 29 | 2.83 | 1.49 | 1.33 |
| 30 | 1.67 | 1.40 | . 26 |
| 31 | 1.00 | 2.05 | -1.05 |
| 32 | 1.17 | 1.47 | -. 30 |
| 33 | 1.67 | 1.72 | -. 05 |
| 34 | 1.33 | 1.22 | . 10 |
| 35 | . 67 | 1.04 | -. 37 |
| 36 | . 83 | 1.54 | - . .71 |
| 37 | . 83 | 1.75 | -. 92 |
| 38 | 1.33 | 1.41 | -. 08 |
| 39 | 1.33 | . 90 | . 42 |
| 40 | 1.50 | 1.52 | -. 02 |
| 41 | 1.33 | 1.36 | -. 03 |
| 42 | 1.50 | 2.07 | -. 57 |

TABLE XXIV (Continued)

| Subject | Obtained <br> Score | Predicted <br> Score | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43 | 1.50 | 2.18 |  |
| 44 | 2.17 | 1.73 | .68 |
| 45 | 2.83 | 2.29 | .43 |
| 46 | 1.00 | .89 | .53 |
| 47 | 1.83 | 1.34 | .10 |
| 48 | 1.33 | 1.40 | -51 |
| 49 | 2.00 | 2.05 | -07 |
| 50 | 1.96 | -.38 |  |

## OBTAINED AND PREDICTED METROPOLITAN ACHIEVEMENT TEST SCORES FOR THE SPANISH-aMERICAN GROUP

| Subject | Obtained Score | Predicted Score | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 41.00 | 47.81 | -6.81 |
| 2 | 62.00 | 58.68 | 3.31 |
| 3 | 40.00 | 38.74 | 1.25 |
| 4 | 38.00 | 42.16 | - 4.1 .6 |
| 5 | 42.00 | 47.56 | -5.56 |
| 6 | 38.00 | 41.47 | $-3.47$ |
| 7 | 37.00 | 38.15 | - $1: 15$ |
| 8 | 44.00 | 46.83 | - 2.83 |
| 9 | 38.00 | 42.57 | - 4.57 |
| 10 | 49.00 | 45.09 | 3.90 |
| 11 | 47.00 | 41.81 | 5.18 |
| 12 | 41.00 | 49.14 | -8.14 |
| 13 | 49.00 | 51.46 | - 2.46 |
| 14 | 57.00 | 55.69 | 1.30 |
| 15 | 47.00 | 47.90 | - 0.90 |
| 16 | 63.00 | 55.70 | 7.29 |
| 17 | 46.00 | 45.15 | 0.84 |
| 18 | 42.00 | 43.89 | - 1.89 |
| 19 | 41.00 | 38.51 | 2.48 |
| 20 | 46.00 | 44.91 | 1.08 |
| 21 | 49.00 | 39.83 | 9.16 |
| 22 | 51.00 | 50.98 | 0.01 |
| 23 | 48.00 | 46.62 | 1.37 |
| 24 | 40.00 | 43.03 | - 3.03 |
| 25 | 45.00 | 45.61 | - 0.61 |
| 26 | 41.00 | 42.05 | - 1.05 |
| 27 | 44.00 | 41.93 | 2.06 |
| 28 | 42.00 | 41.62 | 0.37 |
| 29 | 55.00 | 43.63 | 11.36 |
| 30 | 38.00 | 41.08 | - 3.08 |
| 31 | 52.00 | 46.18 | 5.81 |
| 32 | 53.00 | 45.84 | 7.15 |
| 33 | 48.00 | 42.81 | 5.18 |
| 34 | 46.00 | 45.88 | 0.11 |
| 35 | 35.00 | 41.21 | -6.21 |
| 36 | 38.00 | 45.88 | - 7.88 |
| 37 | 41.00 | 46.06 | - 5.06 |
| 38 | 47.00 | 48.46 | - 1.46 |
| 39 | 41.00 | 40.21 | 0.78 |
| 40 | 40.00 | 40.06 | - 0.06 |
| 41 | 37.00 | 38.82 | - 1.82 |
| 42 | 50.00 | 54.69 | - 4.69 |

TABLE XXV (Continued)

| Subject | Obtained <br> Score | Predicted <br> Score | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43 | 53.00 | 50.64 | 2.35 |
| 44 | 47.00 | 49.38 | 2.38 |
| 45 | 58.00 | 54.63 | 3.36 |
| 46 | 34.00 | 35.75 | -1.75 |
| 47 | 40.00 | 38.90 | 1.09 |
| 48 | 48.00 | 42.97 | 5.02 |
| 49 | 54.00 | 49.87 | 4.12 |
| 50 | 46.00 | 50.91 | -4.91 |

TABIE XXVI
OBTAINED AND PREDICTED METROPOLITAN ACHIEVEMENT TEST SCORES FOR THE COMBINED ETHNIC GROUPS

| Subject | Obtained Score | Predicted Score | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 44.00 | 47.56 | $-3.56$ |
| 2 | 62.00 | 59.57 | 2.42 |
| 3 | 45.00 | 44.13 | . 86 |
| 4 | 47.00 | 50.14 | - 3.14 |
| 5 | 49.00 | 50.57 | - 1.57 |
| 6 | 46.00 | 45.54 | . 45 |
| 7 | 53.00 | 48.93 | 4.06 |
| 8 | 48.00 | 42.56 | 5.43 |
| 9 | 39.00 | 61.79 | -22.79 |
| 10 | 50.00 | 51.64 | - 1.64 |
| 11 | 59.00 | 57.09 | 1.90 |
| 12 | 55.00 | 57.91 | - 2.91 |
| 13 | 65.00 | 60.24 | 4.75 |
| 14 | 61.00 | 59.90 | 1.09 |
| 15 | 44.00 | 50.25 | - 6.25 |
| 16 | 50.00 | 53.45 | - 3.45 |
| 17 | 56.00 | 62.62 | - 6.62 |
| 18 | 50.00 | 54.48 | - 4.48 |
| 19 | 67.00 | 59.27 | 7.72 |
| 20 | 56.00 | 54.54 | 1.45 |
| 21 | 53.00 | 45.89 | 7.10 |
| 22 | 51.00 | 49.17 | 1.82 |
| 23 | 54.00 | 48.92 | 5.07 |
| 24 | 55.00 | 56.21 | $-1.21$ |
| 25 | 54.00 | 50.54 | 3.45 |
| 26 | 47.00 | 49.39 | -2.39 |
| 27 | 65.00 | 59.79 | 5.20 |
| 28 | 54.00 | 53.11 | . 88 |
| 29 | 48.00 | 46.23 | 1.76 |
| 30 | 51.00 | 52.03 | - 1.03 |
| 31 | 58.00 | 51.31 | 6.68 |
| 32 | 47.00 | 48.46 | - 1.46 |
| 33 | 57.00 | 55.76 | 1.23 |
| 34 | 46.00 | 48.03 | - 2.03 |
| 35 | 51.00 | 51.53 | - 5.53 |
| 36 | 54.00 | 59.33 | - 5.33 |
| 37 | 54.00 | 54.55 | - .57 |
| 38 | 56.00 | 55.02 | .97 |
| 39 | 45.00 | 48.74 | - 3.74 |
| 40 | 67.00 | 62.93 | 4.06 |
| 41 | 58.00 | 57.08 | . .91 |
| 42 | 56.00 | 52.67 | 3.32 |

TABIE XXVI (Continued)

| Subject | Obtained Score | Predicted Score | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43 | 51.00 | 51.50 | - . 50 |
| 44 | 53.00 | 55.58 | - 2.58 |
| 45 | 59.00 | 58.85 | . 14 |
| 46 | 54.00 | 49.72 | 4.27 |
| 47 | 44.00 | 44.53 | - .53 |
| 48 | 66.00 | 60.95 | 5.04 |
| 49 | 66.00 | 57.15 | 8.84 |
| 50 | 44.00 | 46.61 | - 2.61 |
| 51 | 41.00 | 47.34 | $-6.34$ |
| 52 | 62.00 | 58.35 | 3.64 |
| 53 | 40.00 | 37.55 | . 44 |
| 54 | 38.00 | 43.02 | - 5.02 |
| 55 | 42.00 | 47.66 | - 5.66 |
| 56 | 38.00 | 41.66 | - 3.66 |
| 57 | 37.00 | 38.93 | - 1.93 |
| 58 | 44.00 | 47.77 | - 3.77 |
| 59 | 38.00 | 43.33 | - 5.33 |
| 60 | 49.00 | 44.65 | 4.38 |
| 61 | 47.00 | 41.44 | 5.55 |
| 62 | 41.00 | 49.53 | $-8.53$ |
| 63 | 49.00 | 51.83 | - 2.83 |
| 64 | 57.00 | 54.97 | 2.02 |
| 65 | 47.00 | 47.24 | - 0.24 |
| 66 | 63.00 | 55.03 | 7.96 |
| 67 | 46.00 | 45.70 | 0.29 |
| 68 | 42.00 | 44.34 | $-2.34$ |
| 69 | 41.00 | 40.15 | 0.84 |
| 70 | 46.00 | 45.63 | 0.36 |
| 71 | 49.00 | 40.55 | 8.44 |
| 72 | 51.00 | 50.45 | 0.54 |
| 73 | 48.00 | 46.71 | 1.28 |
| 74 | 40.00 | 43.34 | - 3.34 |
| 75 | 45.00 | 46.35 | - 1.35 |
| 76 | 41.00 | 42.38 | - 1.38 |
| 77 | 44.00 | 42.27 | 1.72 |
| 78 | 42.00 | 41.43 | 0.56 |
| 79 | 55.00 | 43.63 | 11.36 |
| 80 | 38.00 | 41.92 | - 3.92 |
| 81 | 52.00 | 46.80 | 5.19 |
| 82 | 53.00 | 45.23 | 7.76 |
| 83 | 48.00 | 44.41 | 3.58 |
| 84 | 46.00 | 44.75 | 1.24 |
| 85 | 35.00 | 40.93 | - 5.93 |
| 86 | 38.00 | 45.64 | - 7.64 |

TABLE XXVI (Continued)

| Subject | Obtained <br> Score | Predicted <br> Score | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 41.00 | 46.77 | -5.77 |
| 87 | 47.00 | 47.11 | -0.11 |
| 88 | 41.00 | 49.65 | 1.34 |
| 89 | 40.00 | 41.38 | -1.38 |
| 90 | 37.00 | 40.03 | -3.03 |
| 91 | 50.00 | 53.05 | -3.05 |
| 92 | 53.00 | 49.95 | -3.04 |
| 93 | 47.00 | 49.28 | 2.28 |
| 94 | 34.00 | 55.36 | -2.99 |
| 95 | 40.00 | 36.99 | 0.71 |
| 96 | 48.00 | 43.23 | 4.80 |
| 97 | 54.00 | 50.37 | 3.62 |
| 98 | 46.00 | 51.41 | -5.41 |
| 99 |  |  |  |
| 00 |  |  |  |

## TABLE XXVII

## OBTAINED AND PREDICTED GRADE POINT AVERAGE ACHIEVEMENT SCORES FOR THE COMBINED ETHNIC GROUP

| Subject | Obtained Score | Predicted Score | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.00 | 2.09 | -. 0.09 |
| 2 | 2.33 | 3.20 | -. 87 |
| 3 | 2.17 | 1.56 | . 60 |
| 4 | 2.00 | 2.30 | -. 30 |
| 5 | 2.17 | 2.21 | -. 04 |
| 6 | 1.00 | 1.99 | -. 99 |
| 7 | 2.17 | 2.11 | . 05 |
| 8 | 1.17 | 1.36 | -. 19 |
| 9 | 3.00 | 3.61 | -. 61 |
| 10 | 1.83 | 2.46 | -. 63 |
| 11 | 2.17 | 2.85 | -. 68 |
| 12 | 3.17 | 3.15 | . 01 |
| 13 | 3.67 | 3.30 | . 36 |
| 14 | 3.67 | 3.51 | . 15 |
| 15 | 0.67 | 2.06 | -1.39 |
| 16 | 2.83 | 2.53 | . 29 |
| 17 | 4.00 | 3.59 | . 40 |
| 18 | 2.33 | 2.09 | . 23 |
| 19 | 3.33 | 3.30 | .02 |
| 20 | 3.00 | 2.86 | . 13 |
| 21 | 2.83 | 1.62 | 1. 20 |
| 22 | 2.17 | 2.04 | . 12 |
| 23 | 2.50 | 1.98 | . 51 |
| 24 | 2.00 | 2.69 | -. 69 |
| 25 | 1.67 | 2.34 | -. 67 |
| 26 | 2.33 | 2.33 | -. 00 |
| 27 | 3.67 | 3.26 | . 40 |
| 28 | 3.00 | 2.74 | . 25 |
| 29 | 3.00 | 1.66 | 1.33 |
| 30 | 3.17 | 2.38 | -. 27 |
| 31 | 2.83 | 2.30 | . 52 |
| 32 | 1.67 | 2.22 | -. 55 |
| 33 | 3.67 | 2.86 | . 80 |
| 34 | 2.00 | 2.20 | - . 20 |
| 35 | 3.00 | 2.38 | . 51 |
| 36 | 3.33 | 3.32 | . 00 |
| 37 | 2.67 | 2.73 | -. 06 |
| 38 | 3.17 | 2.58 | . 57 |
| 39 | 2.50 | 1.87 | . 62 |
| 40 | 4.00 | 3.53 | .46 |
| 41 | 3.83 | 3.08 | . 74 |
| 42 | 3.00 | 2.44 | . 55 |

TABIE XXVII (Continued)

| Subject | Obtained Score | Predicted Score | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43 | 3.17 | 2.38 | .78 |
| 44 | 2.83 | 2.81 | .01 |
| 45 | 4.00 | 2.30 | .69 |
| 46 | 2.83 | 2.34 | . 48 |
| 47 | 1.67 | 1.88 | -. 21 |
| 48 | 4.00 | 3.60 | . 39 |
| 49 | 3.83 | 3.47 | . 35 |
| 50 | 1.50 | 2.04 | -. 54 |
| 51 | 1.50 | 2.12 | -. .62 |
| 52 | 3.33 | 3.36 | -. 0.03 |
| 53 | 2.67 | 1.21 | 1.45 |
| 54 | 1.33 | 1.64 | -. 31 |
| 55 | 1.67 | 1.86 | -. .19 |
| 56 | 1.00 | 1.26 | --. . 26 |
| 57 | 1.33 | 1.27 | . .05 |
| 58 | . 83 | 1.88 | -1.05 |
| 59 | 2.17 | 1.71 | . 45 |
| 60 | 2.00 | 1.58 | .41 |
| 61 | 1.50 | 1.17 | .32 |
| 62 | 1.67 | 2.14 | -. 47 |
| 63 | 4.00 | 2.72 | 1.27 |
| 64 | 2.17 | 2.60 | -. .43 |
| 65 | 2.67 | 1.96 | . 70 |
| 66 | 2.50 | 2.61 | -. 11 |
| 67 | 1.50 | 1.88 | -. 38 |
| 68 | 1.67 | 1.99 | -. 32 |
| 69 | 1.83 | 1.32 | . 50 |
| 70 | 1.17 | 1.81 | -. .64 |
| 71 | 2.50 | 1.27 | 1.22 |
| 72 | 2.67 | 2.47 | . 19 |
| 73 | 1.50 | 2.00 | -. 50 |
| 74 | 1.33 | 1.46 | - 0.13 |
| 75 | 2.17 | 2.16 | . 00 |
| 76 | 1.67 | 1.57 | .09 |
| 77 | 1.67 | 1.48 | . 18 |
| 78 | . 83 | 1.32 | -. 49 |
| 79 | 2.83 | 1.57 | 1.25 |
| 80 | 1.67 | 1.40 | . 26 |
| 81 | 1.00 | 2.03 | -1.03 |
| 82 | 1.17 | 1.63 | -. 46 |
| 83 | 1.67 | 1.74 | -. 07 |
| 84 | 1.33 | 1.41 | -. .08 |
| 85 | . 67 | 1.15 | -. 48 |
| 86 | . 83 | 1.73 | -. 90 |
| 87 | . 83 | 1.86 | -1.03 |

TABLE XXVIII (Continued)

|  | Obtained <br> Score | Predicted <br> Score | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subject | 1.33 | 1.72 | -.39 |
| 88 | 1.33 | .94 | .38 |
| 89 | 1.50 | 1.39 | .10 |
| 90 | 1.33 | 1.20 | .12 |
| 91 | 1.50 | 2.50 | -1.00 |
| 92 | 1.50 | 2.29 | -.79 |
| 93 | 2.17 | 2.08 | .08 |
| 94 | 2.83 | 2.84 | -.01 |
| 95 | 1.00 | 1.76 | .23 |
| 96 | 1.33 | 1.50 | -.34 |
| 97 | 1.67 | 2.41 | -.17 |
| 98 | 2.00 | 2.42 | -.74 |
| 99 |  |  | -.42 |
| 100 |  |  |  |
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