TWO PHOSPHORUS LEVELS

Ву

Emilio Rojas Mendoza

Bachelor of Science

Universidad Agraria de La Molina

Lima, Peru

Submitted to the faculty of the
Graduate College of
the Oklahoma State University
In partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
May, 1967

ÖKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY LIBRARY WAN 18 1968

TWO PHOSPHORUS LEVELS

Thesis Adviser	
A STATE OF THE STA	
α_1 - α_2	
Charles & Deuman	

660243

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is sincerely grateful to his wife, Nelly Matilde, for her patience and encouragement and her spirit of sacrifice.

I wish to thank my parents, Mr. and Mrs. Manuel N. A. Rojas, for their constant encouragement and support during my undergraduate work.

The author is grateful to the Agronomy Department of Oklahoma State University for their teaching, advice and help given to me during my sojourn on this campus and to the Agronomy Department for the facilities given for the research.

A special note of appreciation and gratitude is extended to my major adviser, and chairman of my graduate committee, Professor C. E. Denman. Also I would like to extend my thanks to Dr. J. Q. Lynd, my thesis adviser, and Dr. Wayne W. Huffine and Dr. Wilfred McMurphy for their advice and help.

I am indebted to the A. I. D. Program of U. S. A. Government directed for the North Carolina State University

Mission in Peru, and S. I. P. A. of Agriculture Ministry of Peru of which made it possible for me to attend Oklahoma State University.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter	Pa	ge
1.	Introduction	•
п.	Literature Review	2
m.	Materials and Methods	5
IV.	Results and Discussion	7
V.	Summary and Conclusions	3
LITERA	ATURE CITED	4
APPEN		5

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.	Experiment One. Average Weight of Plant Material Given in Grams per Pot of Oven Dry Matter for Each Treatment	. 9
n.	Experiment Two. Average Weight of Plant Material Given in Grams per Pot of Oven Dry Matter for Each Treatment	.10
m.	Experiment Three. Average Weight of Plant Material Given in Grams per Pot of Oven Dry Matter for Each Treatment	.11
IV.	Temperatures in F Degrees of Both Harvests of Three Experiments	.12
٧.	Total Dry Plant Material of Experiment One. Weight in Grams for Both Harvests from Eufaula Fine Sand	.17
∨I.	Total Dry Plant Material of Experiment Two. Weight in Grams for Both Harvests from Eufaula Fine Sand	.18
VII.	Total Dry Plant Material of Experiment Three. Weight in Grams for Both Harvests from Eufaula Fine Sand	•19
∨m.	Oven Dry Corn Plant Material Weights in Grams from Eufaula fine Sand, First Harvest of Experiment One	.20
ı×.	Oven Dry Corn Plant Material Weights in Grams from Eufaula Fine Sand, First Harvest of Experiment Two	.21
×.	Oven Dry Corn Plant Material Weights in Grams from Eulaula Fine Sand, First Harvest of Experiment Three	.2 2

Table		Page
×I.	Oven Dry Corn Plant Material Weights in Grams from Eufaula Fine Sand, Second Harvest of Experiment One	. 2 3
×II.	Oven Dry Corn Plant Material Weights in Grams from Eulaula Fine Sand, Second Harvest of Experiment Two	. 24
×III.	Oven Dry Corn Plant Material Weights in Grams from Eufaula Fine Sand, Second Harvest of Experiment Three	. 25

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Proper use of nitrogenous fertilizers is very important in the maintenance and improvement of crop production. Proper fertilization contributes to improved crop quality as well as production quantity.

One of the problems confronting agricultural workers is an ability to determine the kinds and optimum amounts of nitrogenous fertilizer materials required to achieve the most desirable results.

Cultivated Oklahoma soils are generally deficient in organic matter and the problem of available soil nitrogen is directly related to the amount and activity of soil organic matter. Many sources of nitrogenous fertilizers are available for use in correcting this deficiency for crop production. These materials differ in relative efficiency depending on soil and climatic factors.

The objective of this study was to determine growth response of corn plants to nitrogen from two different carriers on Eufaula fine sand in combination with two phosphorus levels.

CHAPTER II

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Urea and other types of nitrogenous fertilizer are increasing in importance for crop production in America. When nitrogenous fertilizers are applied in any form to the soil, losses
are known to occur and with urea forms this loss may be
sizeable (7).

The pH reaction of the soil is a factor in nitrogen absorption. Hoagland (3) presented data in 1919 showing that the absorption of several anions, including NO₃, was greater from solutions with acid reactions of pH 5.0 to pH 5.5 than from the neutral pH 6.8.

Mevius and Engel (6) reported an increased rate of absorption of NH₄+ nitrogen by malze from a culture solution that was neutral or slightly alkaline.

Urea is readily soluble in water, and the dissolved urea is hydrolyzed to ammonium carbonate by soil bacteria and enzymes. The rate of hydrolysis apparently varies a great deal between soils and different environmental conditions. The optimum temperature for urea hydrolysis was reported by Van Slyke and Allen

(10) to be about 55 degrees centigrade. Jones (4) reported the hydrolysis of urea to be more rapid at moderate soil moisture conditions than at field capacity. Wahhab, et al. (11) reported the problem of nitrification and loss of urea to be biochemical in nature. The enzyme urease, secreted by Micrococcus ureae, hydrolyzed urea to ammonium carbonate which decomposes to give NH3, CO2, and H2O. NH3 is then oxidized to nitrites and nitrates by Nitrosomonas and Nitrobacter. Under all conditions they found less urea was nitrified and more time was needed for its nitrification in sandy than in sandy loam soil. Nitrification was favored at lower concentrations of urea, at moisture levels equivalent to one third of the moisture holding capacity, and at neutral to alkaline pH.

Scarsbrook and Cope (8) found both ammonium nitrate and urea to be satisfactory sources of nitrogen for corn provided the acidity produced by the acid forming sources was neutralized.

Their experiments showed no evidence of superiority for either the ammonia or nitrate forms of nitrogen for corn provided other factors, such as soil acidity, were not limiting yields. Loomis, et al. (5) found nitrate carriers to be more suitable than ammonium carriers for late season or emergency applications.

App (I) published an article in Crops and Soils stating that ammonia sources of nitrogen produce a much more intense color in many crops. This is readily seen in corn and all leafy

vegetables. With spinach, for example, it was found to be very important to use this form of nitrogen because of the better quality, color, and uniformity of leaves.

Several individuals have noticed some toxic effects of urea on seed germination. According to Brage, et al. (2), transformation processes through which urea proceeds in the soil to the final nitrate form are not entirely clear, but they propose that urea hydrolyzes to carbamate and thence to carbonate. Carbamates are used as weed killers so it might be postulated that carbamate could be the troublesome factor.

CHAPTER III

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two nitrogen carriers, urea and ammonium nitrate, were applied at five levels and phosphorus at two levels on a Eufaula fine sand. Corn was the experimental plant used.

The experiment consisted of five nitrogen treatments:

N	(check) 0 parts per million (ppm)
N_2	100 ppm nitrogen
N ₃	200 ppm nitrogen
N ₄	300 ppm nitrogen
Ns	400 ppm nitrogen

With these levels of nitrogen, two levels of phosphorus were used, 0 (P_1) and 100 ppm (P_2). The phosphorus was supplied by monocalcium phosphorus, $Ca(H_2PO_4)2.H_20$ with 24.57% P.

The pots used for growing the corn plants contained 400 grams of soil. The hybrid corn variety, Texas 31, was used for the experiment. Ten seeds were planted to each pot and they were thinned to five plants per pot after emergence of the seedlings. The study was conducted under both laboratory and greenhouse conditions using artificial and normal light, respectively. Distilled water was used to keep the soil moist at all

times.

The corn plants were harvested when the lower leaves of the plants in the check treatment (0 ppm nitrogen) showed nitrogen deficiency symptoms and began to dry and curl. The corn plants were cut one inch above the soil line to allow for regrowth. A razor blade was used to remove the plants in effort to reduce injury to the stem cells. The plant material was then oven dried and weighed to determine the dry matter from each pot and treatment.

The first harvest in experiment one was made eleven days following planting in the laboratory. The experiment was then moved to the greenhouse and was harvested a second time twenty-six days after replanting. The plants were harvested nineteen days after planting in experiments two and three. The lirst harvest in experiment two was also conducted in the laboratory. This experiment two also was moved to the greenhouse and the second harvest came twenty-seven days after replanting and in three the second harvest came seventeen days following the first harvest.

Statistical analysis of the data was conducted according to Snedecor (9).

CHAPTER IV

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Statistical analysis of the data showed no significant yield difference among the different treatments for the first harvest. This would indicate that there is no real difference in the effect of the nitrogen on corn growth from either of the carriers, urea or ammonium nitrate. There was, however, a significant difference among treatments from the second harvest of experiment two. This difference could have resulted from the extreme variation in temperature which varied from a minimum of 68 F degrees to a maximum of 126 F degrees. The temperature variation for the second harvest in experiment one, and three ranged from a low of 72 F degrees to high of 124 F degrees (Table IV).

Yield results for the various experiments can be observed in Tables I, II, and III for both harvests at all treatment levels. It should be noted that in experiment one and two, the pots were in the laboratory up to the time of the first harvest. The experiments were moved to the greenhouse and replanted for the second harvest. Yields in experiments one and two were

greater for the second harvests. Probably as a result of Increased photosynthetic activity caused by more sunlight.

Experiment three was conducted entirely in the greenhouse without a subsequent yield increase in the second harvest. This may have been the result of sunlight being adequate through the period of growth prior to the first harvest and also because the second harvest was taken from plant regrowth.

In experiment two there was more yield when 100 ppm nitrogen was used for both carriers but the yield was greater when ammonium nitrate was the carrier. The yield of dry matter was decreased at the higher nitrogen levels. Using phosphorus at 100 ppm and no nitrogen produced more yield than check. Phosphorus at 100 ppm increased yields with urea up to 300 ppm N and with ammonium nitrate only at the 100 ppm N level. Higher rates of ammonium nitrate with phosphorus depressed yields.

TABLE I

EXPERIMENT ONE

AVERAGE WEIGHT OF PLANT MATERIAL GIVEN IN GRAMS PER POT OF OVEN DRY MATTER FOR EACH TREATMENT.

Nitrogen and		st Harvest boratory)	Second Harvest (Greenhouse)		
Phosphorus Level	Source	of Nitrogen	Source	of Nitrogen	
	Urea	Ammonium Nitrate	Urea	Ammonium Nitrate	
N _I P _I	0.277	0.277	1.473	1.473	
N ₂ P ₁	0.323	0.390	1.670	1.800	
N ₃ P ₁	0.363	0.373	2.063	1.890	
N ₄ P ₁	0.303	0.373	2.136	1.886	
N ₁ P ₂	0.346	0.346	1.640	1.640	
N_2F_2	0.386	0.356	1.890	1.763	
N ₃ P ₂	0.360	0.363	2.063	2.136	
N ₄ P ₂	0.300	0.373	2.006	1.873	

TABLE II

EXPERIMENT TWO

AVERAGE WEIGHT OF PLANT MATERIAL GIVEN IN GRAMS PER POT OF OVEN DRY MATTER FOR EACH TREATMENT.

Nitrogen and		t Harvest poratory)	Second Harvest (Greenhouse)		
Phosphorus Level	Source	of Nitrogen	Source	of Nitrogen	
	Urea	Ammonium Nitrate	Urea	Ammonium Nitrate	
NP	0.413	0.413	1.926	1.926	
N ₂ P ₁	0.443	0.506	1.910	1.613	
N ₃ P ₁	0.406	0.406	1.773	1.813	
N4PI	0.366	0.380	1.916	1.740	
N ₅ P ₁	0.246	0.370	2.040	1.726	
NIP2	0.533	0.533	1.700	1.700	
N ₂ P ₂	0.466	0.546	1.873	2.026	
N ₃ P ₂	0.446	0.446	1.906	1.976	
N ₄ P ₂	0.436	0.440	1.823	1.900	
N ₅ P ₂	0.206	0.373	1.883	1.880	

TABLE III

EXPERIMENT THREE

AVERAGE WEIGHT OF PLANT MATERIAL GIVEN IN GRAMS PER POT OF OVEN DRY MATTER FOR EACH TREATMENT.

Nitrogen and	\$1.10 mm.	t Harvest poratory)	Second Harvest (Greenhouse)		
Phosphorus Level	Cottone of Allen		Source of Nitroge		
	Urea	Ammonium Nitrate	Urea	Ammonium Nitrate	
NP	0.813	0.813	0.910	0.910	
N ₂ P ₁	1.026	1.263	0.943	1.000	
N3PI	1.170	1.046	1.083	0.916	
N4P1	1.026	1.096	1.030	1.003	
N5PI	0.986	0.933	1.083	0.913	
NP2	1.063	1.063	1.136	1.136	
N2P2	1.190	1.143	1.193	1.166	
N ₃ P ₂	1.193	1.123	1.210	1.370	
N4P2	1.266	0.853	1.186	1,283	
N ₅ P ₂	1.130	0.613	1.230	1.203	

TABLE IV

TEMPERATURES IN F DEGREES OF BOTH HARVESTS OF THREE EXPERIMENTS.

	Min.	Max.		Max. Ave.	Extrem Variation	Average Variation
Experiment one.						
lst. harvest	78	92	83.1	90	14	6.9
2nd. harvest	72	124	83.6	101.8	52	18.2
Experiment two.						
ist, harvest	80	98	87.1	90,8	18	3.7
2nd. harvest	68	126	75.7	111.4	58	35.7
Experiment three.						
ist. harvest	62	112	70.3	105.5	50	35.2
2nd. harvest	72	124	76.5	116.2	52	39.7

Note. The experiments were conducted during May 26 until July 19, 1966.

CHAPTER V

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Corn seedlings were grown in small pots filled with 400 grams soil of the Eufaula sand series in both the laboratory under artificial light and in the greenhouse under natural sunlight. The pots were treated with nitrogen using two carriers, urea and ammonium nitrate at five levels and in combination with phosphorus at two levels.

In the first harvest ammonium nitrate produced more plant growth than did urea. There was a further increase in yield by the addition of 100 ppm of phosphorus to the pots.

Yields were greater in the greenhouse than in the laboratory. This was probably due to increased photosynthetic activity produced by the increased intensity of light.

LITERATURE CITED

- I. App, Frank. Choose the right form of nitrogen fertilizer for the crop you grow. Crops and Solls. 12: 14-15. 1960.
- Brage, B. L., W. R. Zich, and L. O. Fine. Germination of small grains and corn as influenced by urea and other nitrogen fertilizers. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc. 24: 294-296. 1960.
- Hoagland, D. R. Relation of the concentration and reaction of the nutrient medium to the growth and absorption of the plant. Jour. Agr. Res. 18: 73-113. 1919.
- 4. Jones, H. W. Some transformations of urea and their resultant effects on the soil. Soil Sci. 34: 281-299. 1932.
- 5. Loomis, R. S., J. H. Brickey, F. E. Broadbent, and G. F. Worker, Jr. Comparisons of nitrogen source materials for mid-season fertilization of sugar beets.

 Agron. Jour. 52: 97-101. 1960.
- Mevius, W., and H. Engel. Die wirkung der ammoniumsalze in ihrer abhangigkeit von der wasserstoffionen konzentration. II. Planta. 9: 1-83. 1929.
- 7. Mitsu, S., K. Ozaki, and M. Moriyama. The volatilization of ammonia transformed from urea. Jour. Sci. Soil Tokyo. 25: 17-19. 1954.
- 8. Scarsbrook, C. E., and J. T. Coper, Jr. Sources of nitrogen for cotton and corn in Alabama. Ala. Agr. Exp. Bul. 308: I-53. 1957.
- 9. Snedecor, George W. Statistical Methods. 10: 214-252. 1948.

- Van Slyke, D. D., and G. E. Cullen. The mode of action of urease and of enzymes in general. J. Biol. Chem. 19: 141-180. 1914.
- Vahhab, A., Mahmud Khan, and M. Ishaq. Nitrification of urea and its loss through volatilization of ammonia under different soil conditions. Jour. Agric. Sci. 55: 47-51. 1960.

APPENDIX

TABLE V

TOTAL DRY PLANT MATERIAL OF EXPERIMENT ONE. WEIGHT IN GRAMS FOR BOTH HARVESTS FROM EUFAULA FINE SAND.

Carriers Nitrogen levels							
	0 ppm	100 ppm	200 ppm	300 ppm		$\overline{\times}$	
Urea	5.25	5.98	7.28	7.32	25.83	6.4575	
Ammonium nitr.	5.25	6.57	6.79	6.78	25.39	6.3475	
Urea + P	5.96	6.83	7.27	6.92	26.98	6.7450	
Amm. nitr	5.96	6.36	7.50	6.74	26.56	6.6400	
×	5.605	6.435	7.210	27.7 6 6.940	104.76	6.5475	

TABLE VI

TOTAL DRY PLANT MATERIAL OF EXPERIMENT TWO.

WEIGHT IN GRAMS FOR BOTH HARVESTS

FROM EUFAULA FINE SAND.

Carriers	Nitrogen Levels						
	0 ppm	100 ppm	200 ppm	300 ppm	400 ppm		$\overline{\times}$
Urea	7.02	7.06	6.54	6.85	6.86	34.33	6.866
Ammonium nitr.	7.02	6.36	6.66	6.36	6.29	32.69	6.538
Urea + P	6.70	7.02	7.06	6.78	6.27	33,83	6.766
Amm. nitr. + P.	6.70	7.72	7.27	7.02	6.76	35.47	7.094
	27.44	28.16	27.53	27.0	26.18	136.32	
×	6.86	7.04	6.882	6.752	6.545		6.816

TABLE VII

TOTAL DRY PLANT MATERIAL OF EXPERIMENT THREE.

WEIGHT IN GRAMS FOR BOTH HARVESTS

FROM EUFAULA FINE SAND.

Carriers	Nitrogen levels							
	0 ppm	100 ppm	200 ppm	300 ppm	400 ppm		$\overline{\times}$	
Urea	5.17	5.91	6.76	6.17	6.21	30.22	6.044	
Ammonium nitr.	5.17	6.79	5.89	6.30	5.54	29.69	5.938	
Urea + P	6.60	7.15	7.21	7.36	7.08	35.40	7.080	
Amm. nitr. + P	6.60	6.93	7.48	6.4	5.45	32.87	6.574	
	23.54	26.78	27.34	26.24	24.28	128.18		
×	5,885	6,695	6.835	6.560	6.070		6.409	

TABLE VIII

OVEN DRY CORN PLANT MATERIAL WEIGHTS IN GRAMS FROM EUFAULA FINE SAND, FIRST HARVEST OF EXPERIMENT ONE.

	Treat-		Replic	ations			
Number	ment	Carrier	1	11	m		\times \Box
1	NP	Check	0.24	0.30	0.25	0.83	0.277
2	N ₂ P ₁	Urea	0.31	0.32	0.34	0.97	0.323
3	N ₃ P ₁	Urea	0.42	0.33	0.34	1.09	0.363
4	N ₄ P ₁	Urea	0.32	0.31	0.28	0.91	0.303
5	N ₂ P ₁	Amm. nitr.	0.41	0.39	0.37	1.17	0.390
6	N ₃ P ₁	Amm. nitr.	0.35	0.38	0.39	1.12	0.373
7	N ₄ P ₁	Amm. nitr.	0.36	0.36	0.40	1.12	0.373
8	NIP2	P	0.34	0.38	0.32	1.04	0.346
9	N ₂ P ₂	Urea + P	0.37	0.39	0.40	1.16	0.386
10	N ₃ P ₂	Urea + P	0.36	0.37	0.35	1.08	0.360
11	N ₄ P ₂	Urea + P	0, 31	0.36	0.23	0.90	0.300
12	N2P2	Amm. nitr.	0.34	0.37	0.36	1.07	0.356
13	N ₃ P ₂	Amm. nitr.	0.33	0.39	0.37	1.09	0.363
14	N ₄ P ₂	Amm. nitr.	0.36	0.38	0.38	1.12	0.373
			4.86	5.03	4.78	14.67	0.349

^{1/} Not significantly different.

P = Ca(H2PO4)2.H20, 24.57% P.

Urea = 45% N; Amm. nitr. = NH4NO3, 33.5% N.

OVEN DRY CORN PLANT MATERIAL WEIGHTS IN GRAMS FROM EUFAULA FINE SAND,

FIRST HARVEST OF EXPERIMENT TWO.

TABLE IX

Number	Treat- ment	Carrier	Replic	ations II	Ш		<u>×</u> 1∕
1	NP	Check	0.44	0.36	0.44	1.24	0.413
2	N2PI	Urea	0.53	0.40	0.40	1.33	0.443
3	N3PI	Urea	0.50	0.42	0.30	1.22	0.406
4	N4P1	Urea	0.35	0.35	0.40	1.10	0.366
5	N ₅ P ₁	Urea	0.38	0.20	0.16	0.74	0.246
6	N2PI	Amm. nitr.	0.50	0.52	0.50	1.52	0.306
7	N ₃ P ₁	Amm. nitr.	0.37	0.40	0.45	1.22	0.406
8	NAPL	Amm. nitr.	0.35	0.40	0.39	1.14	0.380
9	N ₅ P ₁	Amm. nitr.	0.32	0.40	0.39	1.11	0.370
10	N ₁ P ₂	P	0.60	0.50	0.50	1.60	0.533
1.1	N2P2	Urea + P	0.50	0.40	0.50	1.40	0.466
12	N3 ^{P2}	Urea + P	0.44	0.48	0.42	1.32	0.446
13	N4P2	Urea + P	0.46	0.45	0.40	1.31	0.436
14	N5P2	Lirea + P	0.27	0.20	0.15	0.62	0.206
15	N ₂ P ₂	Amm. nitr.	0.58	0.51	0.55	1.64	0.546
16	N ₃ P ₂	Amm. nitr.	0.41	0.48	0.45	1.34	0.446
17	N ₄ P ₂	Amm. +nig.	0.47	0.44	0.41	1.32	0.440
18	N ₅ P ₂	Amm. nitr.	0.40	0.30	0.42	1.12	0.373
			7.87	7.21	7.23	22.3	0.413

^{1/} Not significantly different.

OVEN DRY CORN PLANT MATERIAL WEIGHTS IN GRAMS FROM EUFAULA FINE SAND, FIRST HARVEST OF EXPERIMENT THREE

Number	Treat- ment	Carrier	Repti	cations II	ш		<u>×</u> 1∕
1	N ₁ P ₁	Check	0.86	0.80	0.78	2.44	0.813
2	N2P1	Urea	1.28	0.90	0.90	3.08	1.026
3	N ₃ P ₁	Urea	1.18	1.32	1.01	3.51	1.170
4	N ₄ P ₁	Urea	1.22	0.80	1.06	3.08	1.026
. 5	N5P1	Urea	1.04	0.60	1.12	2.96	0.986
6	N2P1	Amm. nitr.	1.32	1.22	1.25	3.79	1.263
7	N ₃ □ ₁	Amm. nitr.	1.04	1.02	1.08	3.14	1.046
8	N4P1	Amm. nitr.	0.93	1.20	1.16	3.29	1.096
9	N ₅ P ₁	Amm. nitr.	1.03	0.77	1.00	2.80	0,933
10	N ₁ P ₂	P	0.97	1.12	1.10	3.19	1.063
11	N ₂ P ₂	Urea + P	1.10	1.23	1.24	3.57	1.190
12	N ₃ P ₂	Urea + P	1.10	1.38	1.10	3,58	1.193
13	NAP2	Urea + P	1.30	1.20	1,30	3.80	1.266
14	N5P2	Urea + P	1.20	0.98	1.21	3.39	1.130
15	N2 P2	Amm. nitr.	1.16	1.22	1.05	3.43	1.143
16	N ₃ P ₂	Amm. nitr.	1.10	1.20	1.07	3.37	1.123
17	N ₄ P ₂	Amm. nitr. + P	0.81	0.90	0.85	2.56	0.853
18	N ₅ P ₂	Amm. nitr.	0.72	0.50	0.62	1.84	0.613
⊥/ Not s	significant	ly different.	19.36	18.56	18.90	56.82	1.052

OVEN DRY CORN PLANT MATERIAL WEIGHTS IN GRAMS FROM EUFAULA FINE SAND, SECOND HARVEST OF EXPERIMENT ONE

TABLE XI

Number	Treat- ment	Carrier	Repti	cations II	111		×π∖
1	NIP1	Check	1.30	1.50	1.62	4.42	1.473
2	N ₂ P ₁	Urea	1.77	1.60	1.64	5.01	1.670
3	N3P1	Urea	2.18	1.84	2.17	6.19	2.063
4	N ₄ P ₁	Urea	1.96	2.37	2.08	6.41	2,136
5	N2P1	Amm. nitr.	1.87	1.63	1.90	5.40	1.800
6	N3P1	Amm. nitr.	1.77	2.10	1.80	5.67	1.890
7	N4P1	Amm, nitr.	1.74	2.02	1.90	5.66	1.886
8	N ₁ P ₂	P	1.57	1.84	1.51	4.92	1.640
9	N2P2	Urea + P	1.83	1.97	1.87	5.67	1.890
10	NaP2	Urea + P	1.98	2.10	2.11	6.19	2,063
11	NP2	Urea + P	1.70	2.37	1.95	6.02	2.006
12	N2F2	Amm. nitr.	1.62	1.72	1.95	5 .2 9	1.763
13	N ₃ P ₂	Amm. nitr.	2.21	2,20	2.00	6.41	2.136
14	N ₄ P ₂	Amm. nitr.	1.78	1.84	2.00	5.62	1.873
		3	25.28	27.10	26.50	78.88	1.878

OVEN DRY CORN PLANT MATERIAL WEIGHTS IN GRAMS FROM EUFAULA FINE SAND, SECOND HARVEST OF EXPERIMENT TWO.

Number	Treat- ment	Carrier	Repli	cations II	m		<u>×</u> 1√
1	NIPI	Check	1.60	1.97	2.21	5.78	1.926
2	N2P1	Urea	2.15	1.80	1.78	5.73	1.910
3	N ₃ P ₁	Urea	1.56	1.86	1.90	5.32	1.773
4	N4P1	Urea	1.81	2.17	1.77	5.75	1.916
5	N5P1	Urea	1.68	2.18	2.26	6.12	2.040
6	N2P1	Amm. nitr.	1.53	1.51	1.80	4.84	1.613
7	N ₃ P ₁	Amm, nitr.	1.60	2.21	1.63	5.44	1.813
8	N ₄ P ₁	Amm. nitr.	1.80	2.05	1.37	5.22	1.740
9	N5P1	Amm. nitr.	1.70	1.81	1.67	5.18	1.726
10	N ₁ P ₂	P	1.90	1.32	1.88	5.10	1.700
11	N ₂ P ₂	Urea + P	1.85	2.10	1.67	5.62	1.873
12	N ₃ P ₂	Urea + P	1.75	2.07	1.90	5,72	1.906
13	N4P2	Urea + P	1.62	2.02	1.83	5.47	1.823
14	N5P2	Urea + P	1.80	2.00	1.85	5.65	1.883
15	N ₂ P ₂	Amm. nitr.	1.98	2.14	1.96	6.08	2.026
16	N ₃ P ₂	Amm. nitr.	1.62	2.28	2.03	5.93	1.976
17	N ₄ P ₂	Amm. nitr.	1.70	1.84	2.16	5.70	1.900
18		Amm.,nitr.					
				34.84			

OVEN DRY CORN PLANT MATERIAL WEIGHTS IN GRAMS FROM EUFAULA FINE SAND,

SECOND HARVEST OF EXPERIMENT THREE.

TABLE XIII

Number	Treat- ment	Carrier	Repli	cations II	111		$\overline{\times}$ \mathbb{N}
1	N ₁ P ₁	Check	0.85	0.88	1.00	2.73	0.910
2	N2P1	Urea	1.00	0.93	0.90	2.83	0.943
3	N ₃ P ₁	Urea	1.00	1.20	1.05	3.25	1.083
4	N ₄ P1	Urea	1.07	1.02	1.00	3.09	1.030
5	N ₅ P ₁	Urea	1.00	1.10	1.15	3.25	1.083
6	N2P1	Amm. nitr.	1.08	1.00	0.92	3.00	1.000
7	N3P1	Amm. nitr.	0.80	1.04	0.91	2.75	0.916
8	N4P1	Amm. nitr.	0.97	1.04	1.00	3.01	1.003
9	N5P1	Amm. nitr.	0.98	0.90	0.86	2.74	0.913
10	N ₁ P ₂	P	1.10	1.16	1.15	3.41	1.136
11	N2P2	Urea + P	1.00	1.30	1.28	3.58	1.193
12	N ₃ P ₂	Urea + P	1.03	1.40	1.20	3.63	1.210
13	N4P2	Urea + P	1.28	1.15	1.13	3.56	1.186
14	N ₅ P ₂	Urea + P	1.16	1.13	1.40	3.69	1.230
15	N2P2	Amm.,nig.	1.04	1.15	1.31	3.50	1.166
16	N ₃ P ₂	Amm. nitr. + ₽	1.10	1.23	1.78	4.11	1.370
17	N ₄ P ₂	Amm. nitr.	1.18	1.40	1.27	3.85	1.283
18	N ₅ P ₂	Amm. nitr.	1.20	1.11	1.30	3.61	1.203
		į	18.84	20.14	20.61	59.59	1.103

^{1/} Not significantly different.

VITA

Emilio Rojas Mendoza

Candidate for the Degree of

Master of Science

Thesis: CORN GROWTH RESPONSE WITH TWO NITRO-

GEN CARRIERS AT TWO PHOSPHORUS LEVELS.

Major: Agronomy

Biographical:

Personal Data: Born at Moche, Trujillo, Peru, May 28, 1920, the son of Manuel N. A. Rojas and Carmen Mendoza.

Education: Attended Secondary School in Trujillo, Peru. Received Agronomy degree in 1947 from Universidad Agraria de La Molina, Lima, Peru; graduate study at Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma, 1965-1967.

Professional experience: Employed as Agronomist Peruvian Ministry of Agriculture, In Forage Program.

Member of: Peruvian Society of Agronomy, College of Peruvian Engineers, Latinamerican Association of Fitotecnia, American Society of Agronomy, and Soil Science Society of America.