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## PREFACE

This study attempts to determine the feasility of mutually beneficial tariff reductions on a traditional most-favored-nation basis between the United States and four more-advanced less developed countries-Mexico, Brazil, Taiwan, and Korea. Using the principal supplier rule, dutiable trade flows that could form a basis for trade negotiation are identified and the potential trade expansion following tariff reductions is estimated. Three complicating factors are explicitly taken into account. They are the Generalized System of Preferences, spillovers, and Offshore Assembly Provision of the Tariff Schedule of the United States. The author wishes to express his deep appreciation to his major adviser, Dr. Gerald M. Lage, for his guidance and assistance throughout this study. Appreciation is also expressed to other committee members, Dr. Harold E. Drummond, Dr. Michael R.Edgmand, and Dr. Rudolph W. Trenton, for their invaluable assistance in the preparation of the final manuscript.
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## CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

The key proposal of the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD) held in 1964, was for developed market economies (DMEs) to grant preferential tariff treatment in favor of manufactured and semimanufactured exports originating in less developed countries (LDCs). ${ }^{1}$ On January 1, 1976, the United States (U.S.) implemented its "Generalized System of Preferences" (GSP). ${ }^{2}$ The system is designed to achieve three objectives, namely, an increase in export earnings for the LDCs, the promotion of industrialization in these countries, and an acceleration of economic growth. While it, no doubt, will help alleviate trade problems of some LDCs, the U.S. GSP does have its limitations. For example, not all LDCs nor all manufactured products are covered by the scheme. ${ }^{3}$ Regarding product coverage, it not only excludes from dutyfree treatment many products of considerable export interest to individual LDCs on grounds they are "import-sensitive" articles, but also places

[^0]"competitive need" restrictions on the value of imports that can enter the country at preferential rates for those items that are covered by the scheme. ${ }^{4}$ Specifically, almost all footwear, textiles, and wearing apparel are excluded as are watches, glass articles, and certain steel products. ${ }^{5}$ Preferential treatment does not apply to imports of an article from a particular developing country if the country supplies 50 percent of the total value of U.S. imports, or $\$ 25$ million of the article. ${ }^{6}$ The GSP is essentially an unilateral concession of a temporary nature. Nothing, in effect, is being sought by the U.S. in return from developing countries and the system will expire after ten years. ${ }^{7}$ Under the GSP arrangements, the U.Se is free to restrict imports from LDCs whenever a domestic industry affected by the scheme is in economic difficulty. ${ }^{8}$ It is unlikely that the system will be significantly improved in the future.

For the purpose of trade expansion, a more promising policy approach may be for $L D G$ s to negotiate with the U.S. for reciprocal tariff

[^1]reductions on a traditional most-favored-nation (MFN) basis. ${ }^{9}$ There is a real possibility that mutually beneficial tariff concessions can be identified. This alternative approach offers several advantages to LDCs: First, in all likelihood, MFN tariff cuts would cover a range of products presently excluded from the GSP list. Second, with no limits on the volume of trade, MFN cuts would provide more favorable access to the U.S. market. Third, unlike the GSP which has a fixed duration, any MFN cuts would be permanent. Potential benefits to the U.S. in such negotiations include concessions by LDGs in reducing their own excessive protection of domestic import-substitution industries and/or provisions for adequate access to the supply of raw materials from these countries. This reciprocal approach to tariff negotiations between the U. S. and its LDC trading partners seems to offer a better chance of long term success than the demand for unilateral concessions under the GSP.

The purpose of this study is to examine the structure of bilateral trade between the U.S. and a sample group of more-advanced LDGs. Its objective is to determine, in quantitative terms, the feasibility of mutually beneficial tariff reductions that will stimulate LDC export expansion beyond that obtainable through the GSP arrangements while providing reciprocal benefits to the $U$. S. The idea is to see whether or not there remain significant tariff barriers, in both the $U . S$. and the LDCs, affecting bilateral trade flows. In making such a determination, items among U.S. imports that are of negotiable interest to individual
${ }^{9}$ It is within the authority of the President provided by PL. 93-618, Section 105, "...to enter into trade agreements with developing countries which promote the economic growth of both developing countries and the U.S. and the mutual expansion of market opportunities.

LDC and import items of each LDC that are of negotiable interest to the U.S. are identified; appropriate tariff rates are then attached and the magnitude of the potential trade expansion that would follow total elimination of such trade barriers are estimated. To the extent possible, this coverage will be adjusted for special provisions of national commercial policies. In addition, three special features will be considered. First, any MFN tariff reductions made by the U.S. on commodities of export interest to individual countries within the selected group will generate additional imports from other sources as well. Such "spillover benefits" may be considerable since many LDCs have similar trade patterns. Second, MFN tariff cuts on products presently covered by the GSP will cause erosion in the margin of preference enjoyed by beneficiary LDCs over non-beneficiary countries. Such "spillover costs" need to be compared with potential trade gains. Third, some U.S. imports contain a significant intermediate input component shipped from the U.S. for reimportation under articles 806.30 and 807.00 of the U.S. tariff schedule. Duties on such Offshore Assembly Provision (OAP) imports are levied on the foreign assembly value-added only. Tariff reductions on those imports tend to reduce the demand for domestic components and increase the demand for domestic assembly.

To facilitate calculations, as well as to allow a more in-depth study of the systems of protection in individual countries, attention is limited to four LDCs. Considerations of trading position and regional balance have led to the selection of two countries from Latin America Mexico, Brazil, and two from Asia - Taiwan, Korea. Mexico is the fourth largest trading partner of the U.S. whose proximity to the U.S. puts her in a favorable position for expanded trade relations with this country.

Brazil is the largest and perhaps the most advanced country in South America with abundant natural resources and a strong trade position in world markets for commodities such as coffee and cocoa. That country is also the seventh major trading partner of the $U . S$. In the cases of Taiwan and Korea, largely through export expansion, both countries have achieved exceptionally high rates of economic growth in recent years. They have maintained special political and economic ties with the U.S. for over a quarter of a century. These four countries account for over a quarter of total $U$. $S$. imports from all LDCs excluding petroleum exporting countries. ${ }^{10}$ They are generally considered fairly competitive in world markets for certain product categories and are most likely to benefit from any trade policy of DMEs designed to promote LDC export expansion

[^2]
## U.S. IMPORTS OF NEGOTIABLE INTEREST TO SAMPLE LDCS AND U.S. TARIFF PROTECTION <br> U.S. Imports Principally Supplied by the <br> Selected Group of LDCs

The first step in the investigation is to identify lists of traded articles most likely to be of interest to the U.S. and individual LDCs in tariff negotiations. The criterion to be used is the principal supplier rule. That is, to select items for which the U.S. or any individual LDC is the largest single supplier in bilateral trade. To determine items of interest to LDCs, data from the U.S. Imports For Consumption and General Imports for 1974 (FT246/Annual 1974) were scanned to identify those 7-digit TSUS commodities where at least one of the four countries in the sample group was the principal supplier based on f.a.s. valuation. No minimum value or market share restrictions were imposed. This subset should include virtually all items for which any one of the selected LDCs was the largest supplier at TSUS 5-digit level of disaggregation. By further processing of data, such 5-digit items were identified. ${ }^{1}$

Table I summarizes information on U.S. imports principally supplied
$1_{\text {It }}$ is necessary to identify principal supplier items at 7-digit TSUS level and then aggregate them into 5-digit subgroups because only 7 -digit commodity trade figures are reported in FT 246 but available tariff information are for 5-digit subgroups only.
by the selected group of four countries. Total U.S. imports from all sources in principal supplier (P.S.) items of countries in the sample group amounted to $\$ 8.8$ billion. Over one third of this sum, or $\$ 3.3$ billion came from the principal supplier and the remaining $\$ 5.5$ billion was supplied by all other countries. The distribution between principal suppliers and other sources could indicate the extent of potential spillover effects of MFN tariff reductions on P.S. items. The principal supplier trade represented $38 \%$ of $\$ 8.7$ billion total U.S. imports from the sample group of LDCs. Among individual countries, P.S. trade was of greater importance to Mexico and Taiwan than to Brazil and Korea. For these four countries, the percentage shares of such trade in total U.S. imports were, respectively, $45,47,22$, and 28.

Tables II, III, IV, and V provide further details on the commodity composition of P.S. trade with individual countries. Since there were a large number of 5-digit TSUS subgroups among U.S. imports for which one of the sample LDCs was the principal supplier, for each country total imports were classified by chapters of the TSUS. ${ }^{2}$ For each product group, as well as total imports, the trade flow was divided into "dutiable" and "non-dutiable" components. "Non-dutiable" component included trade in duty-free articles and those eligible for GSP treatment, which indicates the portion of total P.S. trade that is no longer of immediate interest for further tariff reductions. Total "dutiable" trade appears to be the best measure of the amount of trade coverage available for bilateral negotiations. The share of dutiable trade in each product group

2
2 There were 300 such subgroups including 143 for Mexico, 49 for Brazil, 75 for Taiwan, and 33 for Korea.

TABLE I
SUMMARY INFORMATION ON U.S. IMPORTS PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY THE SELECTED GROUP OF LDCS

| Country |  | Mexico | Brazil | Taiwan | Korea | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total U.S. Imports From Each Country | (\$mi1) | 3,386 | 1,705 | 2,108 | 1,460 | 8,659 |
| Total U.S. Imports in P.S. Items From All Sources | (\$mi1) | 4,304 | 861 | 2,708 | 930 | 8,804 |
| U.S. Imports in P.S. Items From Principal Supplier | (\$mi1) | 1,520 | 372 | 989 | 405 | 3,286 |
| Import Share of Principal Supplier in P.S. Trade | (\%) | 35 | 43 | 37 | 44 | 37 |
| Share of P.S. Trade in Total Imports From Each Country | (\%) | 45 | 22 | 47 | 28 | 38 |

[^3]TABLE II

SUMMARY INFORMATION ON U.S. IMPORTS PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY MEXICO

|  | Commodity Group Description | Total U.S. <br> Imports <br> (\$mil) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dutiable } \\ (\$ \mathrm{mil}) \end{gathered}$ | NonDutiable* (\$mil) | ```Share Dutiable %``` | Share of Imports From Mexico ** \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | Animal \& Vegetable Products | 505 | 293 | 213 | 58 | 33 |
| (2) | Wood \& Paper; Printed Matter | 45 | 39 | 6 | 87 | 3 |
| (3) | Textile Fibers \& Products | 81 | 38 | 43 | 47 | 5 |
| (4) | Chemical \& Related Products | 54 | 7 | 46 | 14 | 4 |
| (5) | Nonmetallic Minerals \& Products | 73 | 66 | 7 | 90 | 5 |
| (6) | Metals \& Metal Products | 732 | 220 | 512 | 30 | 48 |
| (7) | Footwear; Headwear; Scientific \& Musical Instruments | 29 | 19 | 9 | 67 | 2 |
|  | Total | 1,520 | 683 | 837 | 45 | 100 |

[^4]TABLE III
SUMMARY INFORMATION ON U.S. IMPORTS PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY BRAZIL

| Commodity Group Description | Total U.S. Imports (\$mil) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dutiable } \\ \text { (\$mil) } \end{gathered}$ | NonDutiable* (\%) | Share Dutiable (\%) | Share of Imports From Brazi1** (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) Animal and Vegetable Products | 259 | 50 | 209 | 19 | 70 |
| (2) Wood and Paper; Printed Matter | 34 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 9 |
| (3) Textile Fibers and Products | 8 | 6 | 2 | 75 | 2 |
| (4) Chemical and Related Products | 40 | 23 | 17 | 58 | 11 |
| (5) Nonmetallic Minerals \& Products | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| (6) Metals and Metal Products | 9 | 7 | 2 | 71 | 3 |
| (7\&9) Mang Ore; Guns; Footwear | 19 | 18 | 2 | 91 | 5 |
| Total | 372 | 103 | 269 | 28 | 100 |

$\begin{aligned} \text { Sources: } & \text { U.S. Department of Commerce, FT 246/Annual 1974. Tariff data supplied by } \\ & \text { Trade Agreements Division, U.S. Department of State. }\end{aligned}$

* Including net GSP trade.
** Total may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

TABLE IV
SUMMARY INFORMATION ON U.S. IMPORTS
PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY TAIWAN

| Commodity Group Description | Total <br> U.S. <br> Imports <br> (\$mil) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dutiable } \\ (\$ \mathrm{mil}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non- } \\ & \text { Dutiable* } \\ & (\$ \text { mil) } \end{aligned}$ | Share Dutiable \% | Share of <br> Imports <br> From <br> Taiwan** \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) Animal \& Vegetable Products | 28 | 28 | 0 | 99 | 3 |
| (2) Wood \& Paper; Printed Matter | 30 | 20 | 10 | 65 | 3 |
| (3) Textile Fibers \& Products | 296 | 296 | 0 | 100 | 30 |
| (4) Chemical \& Related Products | 6 | 6 | 0 | 95 | 1 |
| (5) Nonmetallic Minerals \& Products | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 0 |
| (6) Metals \& Metal Products | 359 | 350 | 9 | 97 | 36 |
| (7) Footwear; Luggage; Sporting Goods; etc. | 267 | 238 | 29 | 89 | 27 |
| Total | 989 | 938 | 49 | 95 | 100 |

* Including net GSP trade.
** Total may not sum to 100 due to rounding.
Sources: U.S. Department of Commerce, FT 246/Annual 1974. Tariff data supplied by Trade Agreements Division, U.S. Department of State.

SUMMARY INFORMATION ON U.S. IMPORTS
PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY KOREA

| Commodity Group Description | Total <br> U.S. <br> Imports <br> (\$mil) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dutiable } \\ (\$ \mathrm{mil}) \end{gathered}$ | NonDutiable* (\$mi1) | Share <br> Dutiable <br> \% | Share of <br> Imports From <br> Korea** \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) Animal \& Vegetable Products | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 1 |
| (2) Wood \& Paper; Printed Matter | 122 | 122 | 0 | 100 | 30 |
| (3) Textile Fibers \& Products | 92 | 92 | 0 | 100 | 23 |
| (4) Chemical \& Related Products | 7 | 7 | 0 | 100 | 2 |
| (5) Metals \& Metal Products | 1 | 1 | 1 | 51 | 0 |
| (7\&9) Footwear; Sporting Goods; Wearing Apparel of Rubber, Plastics; Wigs | 181 | 172 | 9 | 95 | 45 |
| Total | 405 | 396 | 9 | 98 | 101 |

* Including net GSP trade.
** Total may not sum to 100 due to rounding.
Sources: U.S. Department of Commerce, FT 246/Annual 1974. Tariff data supplied by Trade Agreements Division, U.S. Department of State.
and the relative importance of each group in total P.Se trade were determined for each LDC.

Table II illustrates the distribution of P.S. trade of Mexico among individual commodity groups. There appeared to be heavy concentration in animal and vegetable products, as well as metals and metal products. These two groups combined accounted for over four-fifths of total U.S. imports. Among animal and vegetable products, abalone, lobsters, and shrimp were responsible for close to one third of the total value; live cattle, sugar, and tomatoes accounted for another one third of imports in this group. Among metals and metal products, raw materials and finished products were both visible. Silver bullion, metal coins, and electronic components provided the bulk of the import value.

Out of $\$ 1.5$ billion worth of total imports from Mexico, 45 percent were dutiable. For the commodity groups of heavy concentration, the shares were 58 and 30 percent.

Among imports from Brazil, as indicated in Table III, 70 percent were animal and vegetable products. Coffee and cocoa beans were by far the most important commodities. They accounted for 40 percent of total U. S. imports from that country. Castor oil was the only other individual. commodity of considerable importance. Most imports from Brazil enjoyed duty-free treatment; less than a third of the total were dutiable.

Table IV provides the breakdown of the P.S. trade of Taiwan. That country's concentration in manufactures was quite pronounced. Over 90 percent of $U_{s} S$. imports were in three groups of manufactured products - textile products; metal products; and miscellaneous manufactures. Among textile products, women's clothing took the lead, followed by men's and boys' clothing. For metal products group about 95 percent
in television receivers. ${ }^{3}$ Footwear accounted for half of the total imports in the last group. Sporting equipment, luggage, house furnishings and gloves were responsible for most of the remaining trade value. Imports from Taiwan appeared to be more heavily taxed than those from Mexico or Brazil. Only 5 percent of the total were duty free. All of the textile products were dutiable and the dutiable shares for metal products and miscellaneous manufactures were 97 and 89 percent respectively.

In Table $V$, $\mathrm{U} . \mathrm{S}$. imports principally supplied by Korea are summarized. Almost all imports from that country fall in three groups wood and paper, printed matter; Textile fibers and products; miscellaneous manufactures. The first two groups accounted for over half of the total. Plywood was the biggest item in the first group and men's or boys' suits were of equal importance in the second. Among miscellaneous manufactures, footwear of rubber and plastics, and wigs represented two thirds of the entire trade value. Wearing apparel of rubber was the only item with substantial value. Virtually all of the P.S. trade flows from Korea were dutiable. For the three major product groups, tariffs apply to 100 percent of the first two, and 95 percent of the third。

Summary

Based on detailed country considerations for 1974, it appears that much U.S. trade with this sample group of LDCs is highly specialized.
${ }^{3}$ Taiwan's market share in television receivers was $34.3 \%$ as compared to $34.48 \%$ for Japan in 1974. Since Taiwan was so close to becoming the principal supplier and these items had such tremendous trade value, they were included in the analysis.

Principal supplier imports from the two Latin American countries are concentrated in raw materials and semi-manufactures and those from the two Asian countries in manufactured products. Also evident is the relatively small share of Latin American P.S. trade that is dutiable and the much larger share of Asian trade which is subject to tariffs. Appendix Tables XXXIX and XLII contain information on individual principal supplier items and the market shares of the sample group of developing countries.

## U.S. Tariff Protection

The second step in the study consisted of assigning tariff rates to all 5-digit TSUS items principally supplied by the sample group of LDCs. Ad valorem tariff equivalents were available for most items from a printout supplied by the Office of the Special Trade Representatives for specific duties based on volume, tariff, and value data for 1974 trade flows. A few additional tariff rates were obtained from the 1976 TSUS schedule.

Tariff data affecting U.S. imports principally supplied by the four sample countries are summarized in Tables VI and VII. Table VI provides information on unweighted average ad valorem equivalent (Ave) rates for all P.S. items in individual commodity groups for each country. Table VII provides similar information for dutiable items only. The overall average "Ave" rates were respectively 10.5, 4.6, 11.6, and 15.2 percent for Mexico, Brazil, Taiwan, and Korea. However, rates applicable to product groups of major concentration are widely scattered around these national averages. For Mexico, the rate was 12.9 percent on animal and vegetable products; but the rate on metals and metal products was only 4.1 percent. The average rate on Brazil's single most

TABLE VI
SUMMARY INFORMATION ON TARIFF DATA AFFECTING U:S. IMPORTS PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY THE SELECTED GROUP OF LDCS
(For A11 Items)

| Commodity Group Description | Mexico |  | Brazil |  | Taiwan |  | Korea |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\%$ Ave.* |  | \% Ave. |  | \% <br> Ave. |  | \% <br> Ave。 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No: of } \\ & \text { SITC } \\ & \text { Items } \end{aligned}$ |
| Animal \& Vegetable Products | 12.9 | 58 | 6.2 | 19 | 15.2 | 6 | 14.8 | 2 |
| Wood \& Paper; Printed Matter | 2.6 | 6 | 0.0 | 7 | 8.1 | 8 | 15.5 | 3 |
| Textile Fibers \& Products | 13.8 | 19 | 9.7 | 4 | 24.3 | 9 | 21.3 | 6 |
| Chemical \& Related Products | 3.1 | 13 | 0.3 | 6 | 3.0 | 4 | 15.7 | 2 |
| Nonmetallic Minerals \& Products | 10.6 | 15 | 0.0 | 3 | 21.0 | 2 | - | 0 |
| Metals \& Metal Products | 4.1 | 14 | 2.4 | 5 | 6.9 | 12 | 8.0 | 2 |
| All Other Products | 12.5 | 18 | 11.0 | 5 | 10.6 | 34 | 13.9 | 18 |
| Total | 10.5 | 143 | 4.6 | 49 | 11.6 | 75 | 15.2 | 33 |

*Unweighted average ad valorem tariff rate.
Sources: U.S. Department of Commerce, FT 246/Annual 1974. Tariff data supplied by Trade Agreements Division, U.S, Department of State.

## TABLE VII

SUMMARY INFORMATION ON TARIFF DATA AFFECTING U.S. IMPORTS PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY THE SELECTED GROUP OF LDCS (For Dutiable Items Only)

| SITC Section | Mexico |  | Brazil |  | Taiwan |  | Korea |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% <br> Ave.* | No. of SITC Items | \% Ave. | No. of SITC <br> Items | \% <br> Ave. | No . of SITC <br> Items | Ave. | No. of SITC <br> Items |
| Animal \& Vegetable Products | 16.3 | 46 | 19.6 | 6 | 18.2 | 5 | 14.8 | 2 |
| Wood \& Paper; Printed Matter | 3.9 | 4 | 0.0 | 0 | 16.2 | 4 | 15.5 | 3 |
| Textile Fibers \& Products | 16.4 | 16 | 12.9 | 3 | 27.3 | 8 | 21.3 | 6 |
| Chemical \& Related Products | 10.1 | 4 | 1.8 | 1 | 4.0 | 3 | 15.7 | 2 |
| Nonmetallic Minerals \& Products | 13.3 | 12 | 0.0 | 0 | 21.0 | 2 | $\infty$ | 0 |
| Metals \& Metal Products | 8.2 | 7 | 6.0 | 2 | 10.4 | 8 | 16.0 | 1 |
| All Other Products | 17.3 | 13 | 13.8 | 4 | 14.4 | 25 | 16.7 | 15 |
| Total | 14.7 | 102 | 15.0 | 16 | 15.8 | 55 | 17.3 | 29 |

*Unweighted average ad valorem tariff rate.
Sources: U.S. Department of Commerce, FT 246/Annual 1974. Tariff data supplied by Trade Agreements Division, U.S. Department of State.
important product group - animal and vegetable products, was 6.2 percent which was slightly higher than the national average rate. On P. S. imports from Taiwan, the average "Ave" rates on major product groups were respectively $24.3,6.9$, and 10.6 percent for textile products, metal products, and miscellaneous manufactures. U. S. imports principal1y supplied by Korea were under the highest tariff rates among the sample group of LDCs. Relatively high rates of $15.5,21.3$, and 13.9 percent were applicable to major product groups - wood, textile products, and miscellaneous manufactures, respectively.

Average "Ave" rates for dutiable items only were uniformly higher than rates for all P.S. items. The differences reflect the importance of duty free trade including net GSP coverage. 4 For the purpose of this investigation, "Ave" rates on dutiable items only are a better indication of the trade expansion potential. Those rates were $14.7,15$, 15.8, and 17.3 percent overall for all imports principally supplied by Mexico, Brazil, Taiwan, and Korea, respectively. Average "Ave" rates applicable to product groups of major concentration were 16.3 and 8.2 percent for Mexico and 19.6 percent for Brazil. Rates on major import groups from Taiwan were $27.3,10.4$, and 14.4 percent. Korea's major product groups were subject to $15.5,21.3$, and 16.7 percent "Averv rates.

It is evident that both simple average tariff rates associated with all P.S. items and average rates on dutiable items only were significantly higher for the sample group of LDGs than the $5-6$ percent average U.S. tariff often quoted by U.S. International Trade Commission and other

[^5]official agencies. ${ }^{5}$ The combination of high tariff rates and substantial trade coverage would indicate that there might be a considerable trade expansion potential following tariff reductions. Further details on "Ave" tariff rates associated with 5-digit TSUS P.S. items of individual countries in the sample group are available in Appendix Tables XXXIX to XLII.

For purpose of this investigation, it was decided to concentrate on tariff barriers. It is realized that frequently obstacles other than tariffs may be more restrictive. A detailed examination of non-tariffbarriers (NTBs) could not be considered because of the difficulties of estimating quantitative impacts on the high1y disaggregated trade items. However, to the extent possible, in later sections adjustments will be made on the list of P.S. items available for trade negotiations. Specifically, modifications made in $U_{0} S$. tariff schedule on the basis of escape-clause-actions and trade-agreements legislation will be taken into account.

> U. S. Imports Principally Supplied by the Selected Group of LDCs at 7 but not at 5-Digit
> Level of Disaggregation

In this study, it is the principal supplier trade at 5-digit level of disaggregation that is taken as a crude measure of trade of potential interest for tariff negotiations. It is worth noting, however, that there is a nontrivial amount of $U_{0} S_{\text {。 }}$ imports for which the sample group
${ }^{5}$ See, for example, USITC publication 792, Nov. 1976 on Information For Use in Determining Whether to Remove Leather Wearing Appare1 From the List of Articles Eligible For the GSP.
of LDCs are principal suppliers at 7-digit, but not at 5-digit level of disaggregation. These trade flows are not considered because tariff rates are assigned to 5-digit TSUS items only. For trade negotiation purposes 7-digit level of disaggregation is not relevant. Table VIII summarizes information on such trade flows for 1974. There was an additional \$ 562 million worth of principal supplier trade from the sample countries, which represented 41 percent of total U.S. imports on those items from all sources. Exclusion of those 7-digit level P. S. trade affected Mexico the most, which provided 80 percent of the total U.S. imports in this category. Another $\$ 443$ million could be added to that country's $\$ 1.5$ billion worth of P.S. trade in 5 -digit level subgroups. The amount of additional P.S. trade that might be included appeared to be modest for other members of the sample group. The extra P.S. trade values that could be available to Brazil, Taiwan, and Korea were $\$ 28$ million, $\$ 59$ million, and $\$ 33$ million respectively. Detailed observations on individual 7-digit $P . S$. items excluded in this study are contained in Appendix Tables XLII to XLVI.

## $U_{*}$ S. Generalized System of Preferences

To identify items among $U . S_{\text {e }}$ imports that would be of negotiable interest to sample $L D C s$, the first major adjustment required in the tariff data was to account for coverage of the U.S. Generalized System of Preferences. Based on GSP provision of the Trade Act of 1974, the U.S. extends duty-free treatment to certain eligible products imported from beneficiary developing countries for a ten-year period. Since the U. S. tariff on those products covered by the scheme is effectively zero, they are no longer of immediate interest for further negotiations.

TABLE VIII
SUMMARY OF U.S. IMPORTS PRINGIPALLY SUPPLIED BY THE SELECTED group of ldcs at seven but not at five digit LEVEL OF DISAGGREGATION

| Gountry | Total U.S. Imports (\$mil) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Imports } \\ \text { From Pos. } \\ (\$ \text { mil }) \end{gathered}$ | Import Share of P.S. <br> (\%) | No. Items of P.S. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mexico | 1,098 | 443 | 40 | 41 |
| Brazil | 47 | 28 | 59 | 8 |
| Taiwan | 136 | 59 | 43 | 28 |
| Korea | 91 | 33 | 36 | 21 |
| Total | 1,372 | 562 | 41 | 98 |

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, FT 246/Annual 1974.

All four countries in the sample group are eligible to participate in the program, however, there are restrictions on items accorded dutyfree treatment. The law provides for country-specific exclusions on the basis of "competitive need" criterion. That is, when imports from a single country exceeds $\$ 25$ million in value or 50 percent in market share for a single TSUS item, that item would no longer be eligible for preferential tariff treatment for that particular country. Items that are subject to such restriction for individual countries are designated as A* items in the tariff schedule of the U.S. In this study those 5digit TSUS items were defined as specifically excluded from GSP treatment for each country if the trade value exceeded $\$ 25$ million or the country's market share exceeded 50 percent in $1974 .{ }^{6}$

Table IX illustrates the GSP coverage and its relative importance in $U_{0} S_{\text {. }}$ imports principally supplied by the sample group of LDCs. The first two columns indicate the number of $P . S$. items of each country which were eligible for preferential treatment and the number of such items excluded due to ${ }^{\text {" }}$ competitive need" restrictions. Mexico has the largest numbers in both columns followed by Taiwan with the second largest incidence. Both Brazil and Korea have small numbers of items that were qualified for GSP treatment and half of them were "A*" items. Gross value of GSP trade is the sum of import values of all items elgible for GSP treatment. Such values for each sample country as well as the group are recorded in column 3. Mexico and Taiwan appeared to have larger gross values, around $\$ 250$ million each, while Brazil and Korea
${ }^{6}$ Had GSP been in effect in 1974, these rules would have been ap plicable.

TABLE IX
SUMMARY OF (POTENTIAL) U.S. GSP IMPORTS PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED
BY THE SELECTED GROUP OF LDCS

| Country | No. TSUS GSP Items | No. Items Excluded | Gross Value of GSP Trade <br> (\$mil) | Net Value of GSP Trade (\$mi1) | Share of GSP Trade Excluded <br> (\%) | Share of Net GSP Trade in U.S. Imports Row Country (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mexico | 58 | 39 | 257 | 151 | 41 | 10 |
| Brazil | 14 | 6 | 103 | 37 | 64 | 10 |
| Taiwan | 41 | 22 | 245 | 50 | 80 | 5 |
| Korea | 11 | 7 | 88 | 9 | 90 | 2 |
| Total | 124 | 74 | 612 | 247 | 64 | 8 |

Sources: U.S. Department of Commerce, FT 246/Annual 1974. Tariff data supplied by Trade Agreements Division, U.S. Department of State.
each had about 40 percent of that amount. Column 4 shows the net value of GSP trade (TSUS ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime \prime}$ items), which is the difference between gross value of GSP trade and the value of country-specific exclusions.

Among countries in the sample group, Mexico would have benefited the most had GSP been in effect in 1974. Potential benefits accruing to the other three countries combined would be less significant. Shares of GSP trade subject to the "competitive need" exclusions are recorded in column 5. This term indicates the relative importance of countryspecific exclusions. For the group as a whole about two thirds of the potential GSP trade would have been excluded and therefore be subject to full MFN duties. The share of potential GSP trade subject to exclusions was much larger for Asian countries than for Latin American countries. The share of net GSP trade in P.S. imports of the $U_{0}$ S. measures the relative importance of the GSP treatment for these sample developing countries. It appears that net GSP trade would have been of greater significance to the two Latin American countries than to the two Asian Countries. For the group as a whole, about 7.5 percent of P.S. imports would have been accorded duty-free treatment under the GSP. These items were excluded from previous dutiable data.

The relatively small number of P.S. items of sample LDCs that would be eligible for GSP treatment and the rather large share of those GSP trade which would be subject to country-specific exclusions seem to indicate that GSP would be of limited effectiveness in promoting export expansion of these countries. Information on GSP status of individual principal supplier items of sample LDCs are available in Appendix Tables XXXIX to XLII.

## LDC IMPORTS OF NEGOTIABLE INTEREST TO THE UoiS. <br> AND LDC TARIFF PROTECTION

To determine items of negotiable interest to the U.S. in its bilateral trade with most of the sample LDCs - Mexico, Brazil, and Korea, 4-digit SITC import figures in Trade By Commodity publications were scanned ( $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{E}}$ 1973) 。 The objective was to identify articles among imports of individual LDCs for which the $U_{0} S_{0}{ }^{\text {i }}$ was the principal supplier. Due to the nature of data presented, the $U_{0} S_{0}$ was defined as the principal supplier if imports from the $U_{0} S_{\text {。 }}$ exceeded each other country's trade value as well as values recorded for certain economic groups - those with common external tariffs such as the European Economic Community. The figures on $P_{0} S_{0}$ trade of the $U_{0} S_{\theta}{ }^{4}$ could be subject to some downward bias as there might be items among imports of sample LDCs, for which imports from the $U_{0} S_{\theta}$ was greater than that of any individual country within every economic grouping, but not for some group as a whole. Three digit codes were used in some cases where insufficient 4-digit detail was available.

In the case of bilateral trade with Taiwan, information are not available in the $U_{\circ} N_{*}$ publications for recent years. Trade values used in this study were taken from a publication by that country's customs
authority, The Trade of China (Taiwan District) 1975. ${ }^{1}$ Data in 4-digit BTN codes, presented in that volume, were then converted to SITC classification using a BTN - SITC concordance found in an $U_{0} N_{0}$ Series M publication. ${ }^{2}$ The primary data were expressed in New Taiwan dollars ( $\mathrm{N}_{0} \mathrm{~T}_{0}$ ), the basic monetary unit of Taiwan, which is worth approximately 2.63 cents. To compute trade values in dollars the dollar/ $\mathrm{N}_{\circ} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{o}}$ trade conversion factor of $\$ 1.00=N_{0} T_{0} 38.00$ was utilized.

Systematic information on the protective systems of the LDCs is difficult to obtain. The primary source of LDC tariff information used in this study was a tariff study project prepared for the $U_{0} S_{0}$ Department of State by Professor Robert M. Stern of the University of Michigan using 1971 data. Although some variations in technique were required for individual LDCs because of various national tariff directory differences and the existence of preferential duties. ${ }^{3}$ To determine ad valorem equivalent (Ave) tariff rates, the basic procedure used was to assign national tariff item codes to detailed BTN classifications, which were then converted to 5 -digit SITC codes using a State Department concordance. Further aggregation to 4, 3, 2, and l-digit SITC levels typically involved own-import weighting, i.e.g dividing the sum of duties paid by the sum of associated import values. Among sample LDCs, "Ave" calculations were believed to be most successful for Korea and Taiwan but quite satisfactory for Mexico and Brazil. In assigning tariffs to
${ }^{1}$ Inspectorate General of the Customs, Taipei, Taiwan, The Trade of China (Taiwan District) 1975.
${ }^{2}$ U.N. Series $M_{2}$ No. 34/Rev. 2, 1975.
For example, Mexico applies preferential duties to imports from LAFTA countries.

4-digit SITC codes, some tariff information for higher levels of aggregation had to be used where insufficient data were available at this level of disaggregation.

IDC Imports Principally Supplied By the U. S.

Imports of sample LDCs principally supplied by the $U_{0} S_{p}$ are summarized in Table $X$. Total imports of the sample group of LDCs from the U.S. in this country's P.S. items amounted to $\$ 4.2$ billion. They represented about two thirds of total imports of these LDCs in such items from all sources, which is greater than the market share of these countries' trade in their P.S. items among U.S. imports. This predominant market position of the U.S, in its P.S. trade suggests that there would be less of a spillover effect due to MFN tariff cuts by LDCs. The principal supplier trade represented over half of $\$ 8.3$ billion worth of total imports of sample LDCs from the $U$. $S_{:}^{\prime}$ In bilateral trade with sample developing countries, the great importance of $P_{i \prime}$ 'S. imports from the U.S. was apparent in all cases. The percentage shares of such trade in total imports from the $U . S$. were, respectively, 45, 51, 68, and 44 for Mexico, Brazi1, Taiwan, and Korea.

Tables $\mathrm{XI}_{3}$ XII, XIII, and XIV provide additional information pertaining to the commodity composition of U.S.P S. trade with individual countries. In each case, total imports from the U.S. were classified according to SITC section codes. For each section and total imports, trade flows were divided into "dutiable" and "non-dutiablel' components. The share of dutiable trade in each section and the relative importance of each section in total U.S.P.S. trade were determined.

Table XI illustrates the distribution of P.S. trade of the U.S.

TABLE X

SUMMARY INFORMATION ON IMPORTS OF SAMPLE LDCS PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY THE U.S.

| Country |  | Mexico | Brazil | Taiwan | Korea | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Imports From the U.S. | (\$mil) | 3,302 | 2,178 | 1,654 | 1,124 | 8,257 |
| Total Imports in P.S. Items From All Sources | (\$mil) | 2,054 | 1,894 | 2,011 | 576 | 6,534 |
| Imports in P,S. Items From the U.S. | (\$mil) | 1,497 | 1,110 | 1,119 | 498 | 4,224 |
| Import Share of the U.S. in P\&S. Trade | (\%) | 73 | 59 | 56 | 86 | 65 |
| Share of P.S. Trade in Total Imports From the U.S. | (\%) | 45 | 51 | 68 | 44 | 51 |

[^6]TABLE XI
SUMMARY INFORMATION ON MEXICO'S IMPORTS
PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY THE U.S.

| SITC Section | Mexico Imports (\$mil) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dutiable } \\ \text { (\$mil) } \end{gathered}$ | Non- <br> Dutiable <br> (\$mil) | Share <br> Dutiable <br> \% | Share of <br> Imports <br> From U.S. \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (0) Food \& Live Animals | 130 | 230 | 0 | 100 | 8.7 |
| (2) Crude Materials, Inedible, Except Fuels | 108 | 108 | 0 | 100 | 7.2 |
| (3) Mineral Fuels, Lubricants \& Related Materials | 67 | 67 | 0 | 100 | 4.4 |
| (4) Animal \& Vegetable Fats \& Oils | 3 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 0.2 |
| (5) Chemicals | 186 | 186 | 0 | 100 | 12.4 |
| (6) Manufactured Goods Classified Chiefly by Materials | 128 | 128 | 0 | 100 | 8.6 |
| (7) Machinery \& Transport Equipment | 734 | 730 | 4 | 99 | 48.9 |
| (8) Miscellaneous Manufactured Articles | 142 | 142 | 0 | 100 | 9.5 |
| Total | 1,497 | 1,494 | 4 | 99.7 | 100.0 |

Sources: United Nations, Commodity Trade Statistics, Series D, Vol. 23, No. 1-39.
Tariff data supplied by Trade Agreements Division, U.S. Department of State.

TABLE XII
SUMMARY INFORMATION ON BRAZIL'S IMPORTS PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY THE U.S.

| SITC Section | Brazil <br> Imports <br> (\$mil) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dutiable } \\ \text { (\$mil) } \end{gathered}$ | Non- <br> Dutiable <br> (\$mi1) | ```Share Dutiable %``` | Share of Imports <br> From U.S. \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (0) Food \& Live Animals | 254 | 254 | 0 | 100 | 22.8 |
| (1) Beverages \& Tobacco | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 0.0 |
| (2) Crude Materials, Inedible, Except Fuels | 61 | 61 | 0 | 100 | 5.5 |
| (3) Mineral Fuels, Lubricants \& Related Materials | 64 | 64 | 0 | 100 | 5.8 |
| (5) Chemicals | 196 | 196 | 0 | 100 | 17.6 |
| (6) Manufactured Goods Classified Chiefly by Materials | 73 | 73 | 0 | 100 | 6.6 |
| (7) Machinery \& Transport Equipment | 408 | 408 | 0 | 100 | 36.7 |
| (8) Miscellaneous Manufactured Articles | 54 | 54 | 0 | 100 | 4.8 |
| Total | 1,110 | 1,110 | 0 | 100 | 100.0 |

[^7]TABLE XIII
SUMMARY INFORMATION ON TAIWAN'S IMPORTS
PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY THE U.S.

| SITC Section | Taiwan Imports (\$mil) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dutiable } \\ (\$ \mathrm{mil}) \end{gathered}$ | NonDutiable (\$mil) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Share } \\ & \text { Dutiable } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Share of <br> Imports <br> From U.S. <br> \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (0) Food \& Live Animals | 181 | 0 | 181 | 0 | 16.2 |
| (1) Beverages \& Tobacco | 23 | 23 | 0 | 100 | 2.1 |
| (2) Crude Materials, Inedible, Except Fuels | 341 | 119 | 222 | 35 | 30.5 |
| (3) Mineral Fuels, Lubricants \& Related Materials | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 0.1 |
| (4) Animal \& Vegetable Fats \& Oils | 29 | 29 | 0 | 100 | 2.6 |
| (5) Chemicals | 78 | 78 | 0 | 100 | 7.0 |
| (6) Manufactured Goods Classified Chiefly by Materials | 47 | 46 | 1. | 97 | 4.2 |
| (7) Machinery \& Transport Equipment | 351 | 251 | 100 | 72 | 31.4 |
| (8) Miscellaneous Manufactured Articles | 63 | 63 | 0 | 100 | 5.7 |
| (9) Commodities \& Transactions not Classified According to Kind | 3 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 0.2 |
| Total | 1,119 | 615 | 504 | 55 | 100.0 |

Sources: Inspectorate General of Customs, Taipei, Taiwan, The Trade of China (Taiwan District) 1975. Tariff data supplied by U.S. Department of State.

TABLE XIV
SUMMARY INFORMATION ON KOREA'S IMPORTS PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY THE U.S.

| SITC Section | Korea Imports (\$mil) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dutiable } \\ (\$ \operatorname{mi} 1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Non- } \\ \text { Dutiable } \\ (\$ m i l) \end{gathered}$ | Share <br> Dutiable <br> \% | Share of <br> Imports <br> From U.S. \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (0) Food \& Live Animals | 245 | 245 | 0 | 100 | 49.2 |
| (2) Crude Materials, Inedible, Except Fuels | 149 | 141 | 8 | 95 | 30.0 |
| (4) Animals \& Vegetable Fats \& Oils | 16 | 16 | 0 | 100 | 3.3 |
| (7) Machinery \& Transport Equipment | 87 | 65 | 22 | 75 | 17.6 |
| Total | 498 | 468 | 30 | 94 | 100.0 |

Sources: United Nations, Commodity Trade Statistics, Series D, Vol. 23, No. 1-39. Tariff data supplied by Trade Agreements Division, U.S. Department of State.
with Mexico among individual SITC sections. The competitive strength of the U.S. in Machinery and Transport Equipment, Section 7, was quite apparent. Nearly half of the total Mexican imports were in this section. The rest were evenly divided among a number of sections: Food and Live Animals (Section 0), Crude Materials, Inedible, Except Fuels (Section 2), Chemicals (Section 5), Manufactured Goods Classified Chiefly by Materials (Section 6), and Miscellaneous Manufactured Articles (Section 8). In Section 7, road motor vehicles were the most important items. They accounted for close to a third of the import value. The remaining trade value in this section was largely provided by the following items: electrical machinery and apparatus, machinery and non-electrical power generating machinery, and electrical power machinery and switchgear. These items together furnished half of the trade in this section. In other SITC sections of some trade importance, such items as unmilled wheat, organic chemicals, pharmaceutical pror ducts, plastic materials, manufactured natural gas, and scientific and medical instruments were of significant values.

Virtually all U.S.P.S. trade with Mexico was dutiable; the only duty-free item was a certain type of aircraft. Out of $\$ 1.5$ billion worth of total imports from the U.S., on 1 y $\$ 4$ million was not subject to tariffs. Thus, dutiable trade represented 99.7 percent of total U.S.P.S. trade with Mexico. This is more than twice the share of dutiable U.S. imports principally supplied by Mexico.

Distribution of U.S.P.S. trade with Brazil is indicated in Table XII. Among imports of Brazil, 38 percent were in Machinery and Transport Equipment, followed by Food and Live Animals, and Chemicals. These three sections were responsible for three quarters of the total
imports from the U.S. The rest was spread evenly among other SITC sections except Section 4 and Section 9. There was no U.S.P.S. trade in Animal and Vegetable Fats and Oils or Commodities and Transactions not Classified according to kind. Among Section 7 imports, aircraft accounted for one fifth of the total. Agricultural machinery and implements took up another 17 percent. Other items of considerable trade interest were non-electrical machinery and appliance, machines for special industries, office machines, and ships and boats. Imports in Food and Live Animals by Brazil were dominated by one item - unmilled wheat, which accounted for over nine tenths of total trade value. Among chemicals, manufactured fertilizers and organic chemicals were by far the most important. These two items combined represented 70 percent of the import value in Section 5.

Total Brazilian imports in U.S.P.S. items amounted to over $\$ 1.1$ billion. All was subject to tariffs. This represents quite a contrast with the treatment received by U.S. imports from Brazil; less than a third of that was dutiable. ${ }^{4}$

In U.S.P.S. trade with Taiwan, Sections 2 and 7 were the most important. Their values covered over 60 percent of that country's imports from the U.S. The Food and Live Animals Section provided another 16 percent of total trade value. Remaining trade flows were in Sections 5, 8, and 6. Among Machinery and Transport Equipment, non-electrical power generating machinery, electrical machinery and apparatus, and machines for special industries were the largest single items; each accounted for $\$ 50$ million or more in import value. Equipment for

4
Duty-free treatment accorded coffee and cocoa, the major exports of Brazil, may explain the low dutiable share.
distributing electricity, aircraft, non-electrical machinery and appliance were also of considerable importance. These items combined were responsible for three quarters of the trade values in Section 7. A large number of other items accounted for the remaining trade. Among Inedible Crude Materials, Except Fuels, two thirds were in oil seeds. Cotton and scrap iron and steel were the other large items each had $\$ 40$ million in trade value. These three items explained nine tenths of trade in Section 2. In Food and Live Animal Section, unmilled wheat and corn were predominant. They covered the great majority of imports. For all other sections the following items provided most of the trade values: manufactured articles n.e.s., chemical and phamaceutical products, copper, scientific instrument, and leather. Table XIII provides the breakdown on U.S.P.S. trade with Taiwan.

Taiwan's imports principally supplied by the U.S. appeared to be less heavily taxed. Out of $\$ 1.1$ billion worth of trade only 55 percent was dutiable as opposed to 95 percent of U.S. imports from Taiwan that were subject to tariff. ${ }^{5}$ For Sections 2 and 7, the commodity groups of heavy concentration, the respective dutiable shares were 35 and 72 percent. It is worth noting that all imports in Food and Live Animal Section received duty free treatment.

In Table XIV, imports of Korea principally supplied by the U.S. are illustrated. U.S.P.S. trade with Korea were worth half a billion dollars and virtually all of it fell in three SITC Sections - 0, 2, and 7. Food and Live Animal Section appeared to be the most important, which

[^8]was responsible for half of total U.S. exports to that country. The other two major sections provided nearly all of the remaining trade value. Unmilled wheat and rice dominated Section 0. They provided 86 percent of the import value. The only other item with significant trade value was unmilled corn accounting for another 9 percent of the trade flows in this section. Section 2 was heavily influenced by two items cotton and iron and steel scrap. They provided seven tenths of gross import value. Rough wood, nonferrous metal scrap, and crude fertilizers were the more important items for the trade in this section. Electrical machinery and apparatus and aircraft appeared to be principal articles in Section 7.
U.S.P.S. trade with Korea was heavily taxed. The dutiable portion represented 94 percent of total trade flows as compared to an equally large 98 percent of U.S. imports from Korea that was dutiable. For Sections 0,2, and 7 , the sections with heavy trade concentration, dutiable shares were, respectively, 100, 95, and 75 percent.

## Summary

Based on our country considerations, Section 7, Machinery and Transport Equipment, seemed to be the area of greatest competitive strength for the U.S. Widespread importance was also apparent in Section 0, Food and Live Animals, and Section 2, Crude Materials, Inedible, Except Fuels. The trade value in Section 5, Chemicals, was large in total but more concentrated in Mexico and Brazil. It was also evident that substantial portions of U.S. exports to sample LDCs were restricted by tariffs. In fact, import duties applied to more than 90 percent of U.S. trade with Mexico, Brazil, and Korea. Over half of principal supplier
exports to Taiwan was also dutiable. Primary data on imports of the sample group of LDCs principally supplied by the U.S. are contained in Appendix Tables LX to LXIII.

## LDC Tariff Protection

To estimate potential trade expansion available to the U.S. in her bilateral trade with the sample group of LDCs, detailed ad valorem equivalent tariff rates applicable to individual import items of these countries principally supplied by the U.S. were determined. Such tariff information is summarized in Table XV and Table XVI. Table XV indicates unweighted average "Ave" tariff rates for all items in individual SITC commodity sections for each country; where Table XVI provides similar information for dutiable items only. The overall average rates for U.S.P.S. trade with Mexico, Brazil, Taiwan, and Korea were, respectively, $38.7,34.5,31.7$, and 27 percent. The uniformly high tariff rates combined with the broad coverage of principal supplier trade of the U.S. seem to indicate there should be substantial trade expansion potential for the U.S. following tariff reductions by this group of LDCs.

For imports of Mexico, the average rate applicable to the product section of major concentration - Machinery and Transport Equipment was 32.7 percent. For other sections of some importance, tariff rates ranged between 25.5 percent on Chemicals to 46 percent on Food and Live Animals.

Among imports of Brazi1, the three major commodity groups Machinery and Transport Equipment, Food and Live Animals, and Chemicals - were, respectively, taxed at 43.8, 37, and 22.7 percent average rates.

TABLE XV
SUMMARY INFORMATION ON TARIFF DATA AFFECTING IMPORTS OF SAMPLE LDCS PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY THE U.S.*
(For All Items)

|  | Mexico |  | Brazil |  | Taiwan |  | Korea |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SITC Section | \% Ave. |  | \% Ave. |  | \% Ave. |  | \% <br> Ave. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { SITC } \\ & \text { Items } \end{aligned}$ |
| Food \& Live Animals | 46.1 | 17 | 37.0 | 7 | 0.0 | 16 | 52.0 | 9 |
| Beverages \& Tobacco | - | 0 | 142.7 | 1 | 94.3 | 2 | - | 0 |
| Crude Materials, Inedible, Except Fuels | 34.2 | 18 | 20.9 | 13 | 15.0 | 12 | 11.5 | 9 |
| Mineral Fuels, Lubricants \& Related Materials | 87.1 | 6 | 27.5 | 2 | 32.7 | 2 | - | 0 |
| Animal \& Vegetable Fats \& Oils | 11.6 | 1 | - | 0 | 29.8 | 4 | 35.0 | 1 |
| Chemicals | 25.5 | 30 | 22.7. | 11 | 28.8 | 34 | - | 0 |
| Manufactured Goods Classified Chiefly by Materials | 48.3 | 35 | 32.6 | 13 | 40.1 | 39 | - | 0 |
| Machinery \& Transport Equipment | 32.7 | 48 | 43.8 | 17 | 17.3 | 33 | 13.8 | 7 |
| Miscellaneous Manufactured Articles | 40.1 | 25 | 38.0 | 8 | 51.8 | 25 | - | 0 |
| Commodities \& Transactions not Classified According to Kind | - | 0 | - | 0 | 112.5 | 4 | - | 0 |
| Total | 38.7 | 180 | 34.5 | 71 | 31.7 | 171 | 27.0 | 26 |

*Unweighted average ad valorem tariff rate.
Sources: United Nations, Commodity Trade Statistics, Series D, Vol. 23, No. 1-39. Data supplied by Trade Agreements Division, U.S. Department of State.

TABLE XVI
SUMMARY INFORMATION ON TARIFF DATA AFFECTING IMPORTS OF SAMPLE LDCS PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY THE U.S.*
(For Dutiable Items Only)

|  | Mexico |  | Brazil |  | Taiwan |  | Korea |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SITC Section | \% Ave. | No. of SITC <br> Items | \% Ave. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { SITC } \\ & \text { Items } \end{aligned}$ | \% Ave. |  | \% Ave. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { SITC } \\ & \text { Items } \end{aligned}$ |
| Food and Live Animals | 46.1 | 17 | 37.0 | 7 | - | 0 | 52.0 | 9 |
| Beverages and Tobacco | - | 0 | 142.7 | 1 | 94.3 | 2 | - | 0 |
| Crude Minerals, Inedible, except Fuels | 34.2 | 18 | 20.9 | 13 | 18.0 | 10 | 12.9 | 8 |
| Mineral Fuels, Lubricants \& Related Materials | 87.1 | 6 | 27.5 | 2 | 32.7 | 2 | - | 0 |
| Animal \& Vegetable Fats \& Oils | 11.6 | 1 | - | 0 | 29.8 | 4 | 35.0 | 1 |
| Chemicals | 25.5 | 30 | 22.7 | 11 | 28.8 | 34 | - | 0 |
| Manufactured Goods Classified Chiefly by Materials | 48.3 | 35 | 32.6 | 13 | 46.0 | 34 | - | 0 |
| Machinery \& Transport Equipment | 33.4 | 47 | 43.8 | 17 | 26.0 | 22 | 19.3 | 5 |
| Miscellaneous Manufactured Articles | 40.1 | 25 | 38.0 | 8 | 51.8 | 25 | - | 0 |
| Commodities \& Transactions not Classified According to Kind | - | 0 | - | 0 | 112.5 | 4 | - | 0 |
| Total | 38.9 | 179 | 34.5 | 71 | 39.6 | 137 | 30.5 | 23 |

*Unweighted average ad valorem tariff rates.
Sources: United Nations, Commodity Trade Statistics, Series D, Vol. 23, No. 1-39. Data supplied by Trade Agreements Division, U.S. Department of State.

In the case of trade with Taiwan, Machinery and Transport Equipment was subject to a 17.3 percent tariff, whereas Inedible Crude Materials, Except Fuels was taxed at 15 percent rate. Food and Live Animals, however, were not taxed at all.

Among U.S.P.S. exports to Korea, the highest rates applied to the commodity section with the most trade concentration. Food and Live Animals were subject to 52 percent tariff. On the less important sections of Inedible Crude Materials, Except Fuels, and Machinery and Transport Equipment, "Ave" rates of 11.5 and 13.8 percent, respectively, were in effect.

Average tariff rates for dutiable items only were virtually the same as rates for all items in the cases of Mexico and Brazil. This similarity reflects the complete or near complete tariff coverage of all U.S.P.S. exports to these two countries. For the two Asian countries, higher average rates of 39.6 and 30.5 percent "Ave" were applied to dutiable imports principally supplied by the U.S. For comodity sections of major concentration, dutiable trade in Machinery and Transport Equipment were subject to 26 percent tariff in Taiwan and 19.3 percent in Korea. Rates on Inedible Crude Materials, Except Fuels were, respectively, 18 and 12.9 percent for these two countries. Since no imports in Food and Live Animals Section entered duty free in Korea, average "Ave" rates remain unchanged for this section with the largest import value. Ad valorem equivalent tariff rates applicable to individual U.S.P.S. items are presented in Appendix Tables XXXIX to XLII.

## Commercial Policies of Sample LDCs and Their Use of Non-Tariff Barriers

In addition to high tariff rates, it is realized that LDCs typically also resort to the use of non-tariff barriers (NTBs) for protection. NTBs, that are more frequently used, include import surcharges, advanced deposits for imports, multiple exchange rates, import licensing, and exchange controls. These protective devices are quite complex and a detailed quantitative analysis could not be considered because of the difficulties of estimating quantitative impacts on the highly disaggregated trade items. For the purpose of this report, the trade policies and some of the more important instruments of protection used by countries of the sample group are briefly examined.

Mexico ${ }^{6}$

The major objectives of Mexico's trade policy are import substitution by domestic production and geographic diversification of its foreign trade. ${ }^{7}$ Major instruments, other than tariff, used by Mexico to implement these trade policies include import licensing, official valuation, and indirect taxes. Goods representing 65 percent by value of Mexico's total import trade are subject to import licenses. ${ }^{8}$ These
$6^{6}$ For further information on the protective system of Mexico, see, for example, U.S. Department of Commerce, Overseas Business Report, October, 1975. U.S. Department of Commerce, Overseas Business Report, December, 1972. T. King, Mexico: Industrialization and Trade Policies Since 1940 (London: Oxford University Press, 1970).
${ }^{7}$ Import substitution reflects a desire to obtain maximum self sufficiency; geographic diversification reflects a desire to lessen Mexico's dependence upon the U.S.
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${ }^{8}$ Walter Haidar, "Foreign Trade Regulation of Mexico," Overseas Business Report, December, 1972, p. 1 .
licenses are usually not granted for the importation of products which are produced in the country, or for which locally produced goods can be substituted. ${ }^{9}$ Through the use of licensing requirements, Mexican imports of consumer goods have declined drastically in recent years and imports of producer's goods, raw materials and components have become more important.

The current Mexican import tariff was adopted in 1965. The rate structure is composed of a specific duty, based on weight or quantity, plus an ad valorem duty. The latter is assessed on either the invoice value or "official valuation", whichever is higher. Official valuation is usually determined by the domestic price of imports. To the extent domestic price exceeds the foreign price, such practices increase the implicit protection afforded domestic industries.

Mexico levies indirect taxes on a large number of products. The imposition of indirect taxes on the product itself does not affect its protection as long as such taxes are applied at the same rates to domestically produced goods and imports. However, higher rates of indirect taxes often charged on imports, raise the level of protection.
${ }^{9}$ Licensing of imports is inevitable when currency is over-valued. Peso was substantially devalued in fall 1976.

The basic trade policy of Brazil is to facilitate import substitution. As in most developing countries, the importation of consumer goods and other "nonessentials" is discouraged. However, in Brazil, virtually any product is importable provided corresponding duty and taxes are paid. Three protective instruments for import controls are worth noting. They are multiple exchange rates, the "law of similars," and advance deposit requirement. Since 1957, all import products are classified into two categories. They are "general" and "special" and a comprehensive set of ad valorem tariffs was introduced. Most raw materials, intermediate products, and machinery for which domestic suppliers were insufficient were placed in the general category. The exchange rate in this category is determined by the "free market." ${ }^{11}$ Most other goods, considered "nonessential' were placed in the special category. These were mostly consumer goods and some producer goods that were domestically available. The exchange rate in this special category is set at auction, and it generally varies between two and three times the general category rate.

To determine whether individual import items are eligible for duty
${ }^{10}$ Information presented in this section are obtained from the following sources: B. Balassa and associated, The System of Protection in Developing Countries (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins Press, 1971); I. Little, T. Scitovsky, and M. Scott, Industry and Trade in Some Developing Countries (London: Oxford University Press, 1970); U.S. Department of Commerce, Overseas Business Report, February, 1975; U.S. Department of Commerce, Brazil: Survey of $U_{0} S_{0}$ Export Opportunities (Washington: August, 1974).
${ }^{11}$ Brazil uses exchange rate protection adjusted biweekly to take into account extreme inflation. "Free market" is not exactly free.
reductions or exemption, the "law of similars" is used. 12 "Similars" are those imports for which locally produced goods can be substituted. Brazilian manufacturers may at any time request that the Customs Policy Council declare their product "similar" to imported versions. The main criteria used to determine whether a foreign commodity has a national "similar" are that the price of the domestic product not exceed the price of import in national currency (computed on the basis of normal price plus all taxes assessed on imports), that it can be furnished within a normal delivery time for the same type of merchandise, and that its quality is comparable to the import.

Brazilian importers may be required to make advance deposits for part or all of the import value. The tariff equivalent of advance deposits on imports can be calculated on the basis of information on the length of the period for which advanced deposits had to be made, the size of the deposits, and the interest rate on loan designed to make such deposits. For example, if the importers had to make a deposit in U.S. dollars six months in advance for 50 percent of the import value and the going rate for dollar loan was 18 percent a year, 4.5 percent would have been added to the rate of tariff.

```
Taiwan }\mp@subsup{}{}{13
```

The trade policy of Taiwan aims to diversify the country's markets

12 The basic idea is that some or all importers are prohibited from importing a product which is recognized by the government as being available domestically.
${ }^{13}$ For further information, see Margaret $A$. Kellener, "Marketing in Taiwan," Overseas Business Report, U.S. Department of Commerce, March 1975。
and sources of supply, broaden its export base, and protect local industry. Although endorsing freer trade as an ultimate objective, Taiwan's trade policy has long been characterized by protectionism and strict licensing controls on imports.

Import licensing covers all goods brought into Taiwan. Under a comprehensive licensing system, all merchandise is divided into three categories - permissible, controlled, and prohibited imports. Items in demand such as capital equipment, raw materials, and essential consumer goods are classified as permissible and import licenses are freely granted, subject to the availability of foreign exchange. Goods on the permissible list now include about 97 percent of all product classification categories. ${ }^{1.4}$ Products which tend to compete with locally produced goods are controlled. They can only be imported by end-users or government agencies. Prohibited items are largely luxury goods or those regarded as nonessential to the economy.

In addition to import duty and custom surcharge, an importer may also be required to pay a commodity tax. The commodity tax, which ranges from $5 \%$ to $120 \%$ ad valorem is levied on 26 different types of commodities sold for consumption in Taiwan. ${ }^{15}$ The tax rates are the same for both imported and locally produced goods, but the basis or taxable values differ. For imported goods, the taxable value is the value assessed by the customs authority for duty purposes, which is usually higher.

[^9]Korea ${ }^{16}$

While adhering to a general goal of trade liberalization, Korea continues to maintain controls over foreign trade and exchange transactions. Recently, however, the Korean government took some steps toward freer trade including lower tariffs. ${ }^{17}$ The trade policy of Korea is basically implemented by the Semi-Annual Trade Plan which becomes effective on January 1 and July 1 of each year. The plan generally gives priority to the importation of capital goods for development, raw materials not available domestically, and other essential commodities.

For import controls, Korea maintains a "negative list" import licensing system first implemented in 1967. Under this system, all import items not listed as restricted or prohibited in the Semi-Annual Trade Plan are automatically importable into Korea. This system is used in conjunction with a unique export-import linking system to affect import control. ${ }^{18}$ According to the latter restriction, the right to import is linked with the amount of exports achieved by a trading firm. In effect, preferences are given to exporters in the granting of import 1icenses.

Korea levies a commodity tax on a wide variety of items sold for consumption. It varies between $2 \%$ to $100 \%$, depending on the
${ }^{16}$ For more information on the commercial policy of Korea, see U.S. Department of Commerce, Overseas Business Report, December 1973.
${ }^{17}$ Korea abolished the special customs duty and reduced tariffs from an average of $38.8 \%$ to $31.3 \%$ in line with her commitment to GATT.

18
The main objective of this system, however, is export expansion rather than import restriction.
essentiality of the commodity, and is based on wholesale prices in most cases and is applicable to both imported and locally produced goods. 19 In the case of imports, however, the tax is generally levied on the c.i.f. value plus customs levies and normal profit allowance.

While recognizing that frequently non-tariff barriers may be more restrictive, in the computation of possible trade benefits of tariff removal, it is implicitly assumed that binding NTBs will be simultaneously relaxed enough to allow the estimated effects to become effective.

[^10]CHAPTER IV

## THEORETICAL CONSIDERATIONS OF THE IMPACT OF

GENERAL TARIFF REDUCTIONS

Having identified traded articles of negotiable interest to both the U.S. and the sample group of LDCs, the next step is to estimate the potential trade expansion of mutual tariff reductions. Before explaining the actual computations involved it is necessary to first present the analytical model concerning the trade impact and welfare effects of MFN tariff reductions.

Partial equilibrium models of international trade designed to measure trade creation and trade diversion are usually of two types: one assumes homogeneous products, that is imports and domestic products are perfect substitutes; the other recognizes product differentiation therefore denies the homogeneous assumption. The former is associated with names such as Meade, ${ }^{1}$ Viner, ${ }^{2}$ Scitovsky, ${ }^{3}$ and Johnson. ${ }^{4}$ Verdoorn, ${ }^{5}$
${ }^{1}$ J. Meade, The Theory of Customs Unions (Amsterdam: North - Holland, 1956).
${ }^{2}$ J. Viner, The Customs Union Issue (New York: Carnegie: Endowment for International Peace, 1950).
${ }^{3}$ T. Scitovsky, Economic Theory and Western European Integration (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1958) •
${ }^{4} \mathrm{H}_{\circ}$ Go Johnson, Money, Trade, and Economic Growth (London: Allen and Unwin, 1962), pp. 46-74.
${ }^{5}$ PoJ. Verdoorn, "The Intra-Bloc Trade of Benelux," in E.A.G. Robinson, ed., Economic Consequences of the Size of Nations (New York: St. Martins Press, 1960).

Janssen, ${ }^{6}$ Clague, ${ }^{7}$ and Johnson have been credited for the development and modification of the latter. ${ }^{8}$ Harry Johnson, a leading figure in recent discussions of the issue of trade preferences, and has been an important influence on both models, however, has not found it necessary or of overriding importance to stress the distinction. ${ }^{9}$

To analyze the impact of MFN tariff cuts on trade expansion, the analytical model to be used in this study is essentially a partial equilibrium model assuming homogeneous products, developed by Harry Johnson, ${ }^{10}$ applied by Richard Blackhurst to estimate the impact of GSP on Latin America. ${ }^{11}$

The model, assuming perfect competition, utilizes excess demand curves with regard to the importing country and excess supply curves with respect to the exporting country. Excess demand for imports is seen as the difference between domestic demand and supply

$$
Q_{m}=D_{d}-Q_{d}
$$

where $Q_{m}$ represents imports, $D_{d}$ is domestic demand, and $Q_{d}$ is domestic
${ }^{6}$ LoH ${ }_{0}$ Janssen, Free Trade, Protection, and Customs Union (Leiden: H.E. Stenfert Kroese $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}}$ 1961)。
${ }^{7}$ CoK. Clague, "The Trade-Diverting and Trade-Creating Effects of Tariff Discrimination, ${ }^{\text { }}$ mimeographed, College Park, Md.: University of Maryland, 1969.
$8_{H}$ United States and the Balance of Payments Prospects for 1968," Review of Economics and Statistics, 46, 1969, p. 24.

9
${ }^{9}$ HoG. Johnson, "The Theory of Effective Protection and Preferences," $^{\prime}$ Economica 36, 1969, pp. 119-138.
${ }^{10}$ H.G。Johnson, 1962.
$11_{\text {Richard }}$ Blackhurst, "General Versus Preferential Tariff Reduction for LDC Exports: An Analysis of the Welfare Effectss" Southern Economic Journal, January 1972.
supply. Converting to elasticity form

$$
n_{m}=D_{d} / Q_{m} \cdot n_{d}-Q_{d} / Q_{m} \cdot e_{d}
$$

where $n_{m}$ is the price elasticity of demand for imports, $n_{d}$ is the price elasticity of domestic demand, and $e_{d}$ is the price elasticity of domestic supply. ${ }^{12}$ The elasticity of the excess supply of exports is derived in a similar manner. Exports are seen as the difference between domestic supply and domestic demand in the exporting country

$$
Q_{e}=Q_{d}-D_{d}
$$

where $Q_{e}$ is the quantity of exports, $Q_{d}$ is the domestic supply in the exporting country, and $D_{d}$ is domestic demand in the exporting country. Converting to elasticity form

$$
k_{e}=Q_{d} / Q_{e} \cdot k_{d}-D_{d} / Q_{e} \cdot n_{d}
$$

where $k_{e}$ is the elasticity of supply of exports, $k_{d}$ is the elasticity of domestic supply, and $n_{d}$ the domestic demand elasticity。 ${ }^{13}$ Using

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{d}}-\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{d}}  \tag{12}\\
\boldsymbol{\Delta} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{m}} / \boldsymbol{\Delta} \mathrm{P}=\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\mathrm{d}} / \boldsymbol{\Delta} \mathrm{P}-\boldsymbol{\Delta} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{d}} / \boldsymbol{\Delta} \mathrm{P}
\end{gather*}
$$

multiplying through by $P / Q_{m}$,
$P / Q_{m} \cdot \Delta Q_{m} / \Delta P=\Delta D_{d} / \Delta P \cdot P / Q_{m} \cdot D_{d} / D_{d}-\Delta Q_{d} / \Delta P \cdot P / Q_{m} \cdot Q_{d} / Q_{d}$

$$
P / Q_{m} \cdot \Delta Q_{m} / \Delta P=\left(\Delta D_{d} / \Delta P \cdot P / D_{d}\right) \cdot D_{d} / Q_{m}-\left(\Delta Q_{d} / \Delta P \cdot P / Q_{d}\right) \cdot Q_{d} / Q_{m}
$$

$$
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{d}} \cdot \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{d}} / \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{m}}-\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{d}} \cdot \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{d}} / \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{m}}
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{e} & =Q_{d}-D_{d} \\
\Delta Q_{e} / \Delta P & =\Delta Q_{d} / \Delta P-\Delta D_{d} / \Delta P
\end{aligned}
$$

multiplying through by $P / Q_{e}$,
$P / Q_{e} \cdot \Delta Q_{e} / \Delta P=\Delta Q_{d} / \Delta P \cdot P / Q_{e}-\Delta D_{d} / \Delta P \cdot P / Q_{e}$
$P / Q_{e} \cdot \Delta Q_{e} / \Delta P=\left(\Delta Q_{d} / \Delta P \cdot P / Q_{d}\right) \cdot Q_{d} / Q_{e}-\left(\Delta D_{d} / \Delta P \cdot P / D_{d}\right) \cdot D_{d} / Q_{e}$

$$
\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{d}} / \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{e}} \cdot \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{d}}-\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{d}} / \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{e}} \cdot \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{d}}
$$

estimates of the elasticity of demand for imports and the elasticity of supply of exports from the exporting country, and import and export share coefficients, the following equation $c a n$ be derived for estimating the percentage increase in foreign exchange earnings accruing to a foreign source which is granted a tariff reduction by the importing country

$$
d \log V=n_{m}\left(k_{e}+1\right) /\left(k_{e}-n_{m}\right) d \log (1+t)
$$

where $V$, which is equal to $P$ times $Q$, represents foreign exchange earnings, and $t$ is the tariff rate. ${ }^{14}$ The algebraic statement of the impact of a tariff reduction by an importing country on trade expansion accruing to an exporting country can be expanded to three countries and explained by a geometric analysis.

The world is assumed to consist of three countries: A, representing a single importing country, $B$, representing a single exporting country, $C$, representing all other exporting countries. In addition, both $B$ and $C$ are assumed to export to $A$ prior to tariff elimination, and $e_{b}, e_{c}$, and the export supply elasticities of $B$ and $C$ are imperfectly elastic. The effect of MFN tariff cut by A is illustrated by Figure 1.

[^11]

Figure 1. The Effects of MFN Tariff Reductions

Country A's excess demand curve is $D_{a}$. Lines $S_{b}$ and $S_{c}$ are $B^{\prime} s$ and C's excess supply curves exclusive of the tariff, and $S_{b}{ }^{\prime}$ and $S_{c}$ ' are their excess supply curves inclusive of the tariff. $S_{b}$ and $S_{b}{ }^{\prime}$ are not drawn separately. Individual supply curves of $B$ and $C$ have been summed to obtain the joint import supply curves in A.

Prior to tariff elimination, $A$ is importing $P_{1} J$ from $C$ and $J A_{1}$ from B. The whole market price is $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ and the price in $A^{\prime}$ s domestic market is $P_{1}, P_{1} P_{4}$ represents the amount of tariff per unit of the commodity imported. Elimination of tariff would shift the equilibrium point from $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ to $\mathrm{A}_{3}$. At the new price level $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ domestic consumption is 1 arger and domestic production is smaller. The sum of the consumption and production effects is equal to the increase in imports $\left(\mathrm{NA}_{3}\right)$, which is the result of trade creation Imports from $C$ would increase to $P_{3} K$ and $\mathrm{KA}_{3}$ represents the new level of imports from $B_{9}$ which increases by $\mathrm{MA}_{3}$ amount. C's total foreign exchange gain of $P_{3} K^{K R P} 4$ is composed of (1) an increase in the value of the original volume of exports equal to $P_{3} \operatorname{LRP}_{4}$, and (2) an increase of $L K R$ in producer's surplus resulting from additional production for export. Similarly, $B^{\prime}$ s gain can be broken down into (1) an increase equal to LNOR in the value of the original volume of exports, and (2) an increase of $\mathrm{MA}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ in producer's surplus due to expanded production for export. 15

Once the size of the increase in foreign exchange earnings has been calculated, there remains the determination of its composition. The increase will consist of the following items: (1) an income transfer from

15
${ }^{5}$ Since triangles LKR and NMO are equal, B's gain may be measured by either the sum of areas $L N O R$ and $\mathrm{MA}_{3} \mathrm{O}$, or by area $\mathrm{KA}_{3} O R$.

A's tariff revenue into $B^{\prime} s$ producers' surplus; (2) an increase in producers' surplus due to expanded production for export, and (3) the transformation of additional domestic resources into foreign exchange. The first two represent a gain for $B$ g the third one is a gain only if $B$ faces imperfectly elastic demand for its exports, or if there exist certain distortions in B's domestic market. Using Figure 2, we can analyze the composition of the increase in foreign exchange earnings.

Let B's export supply curve be imperfectly elastic. Elimination of the tariff by $A$ produces a rightward shift of $A$ 's demand curve from $D_{a}$ to $D_{a}$ e Exports from $B$ increase $f r o m ~ O Q_{0}$ to $O Q_{n}$, while the price received by $B$ increases from $P_{o}$ to $P_{n}$. The increase in $B^{\prime}$ s foreign exchange is equal to the sum of areas $a, b$, and $c$, which respectively, represent a transfer from $A^{\prime} s$ tariff revenue, increase in producers' surplus, and an exchange of domestic resources for foreign exchange.

For the purpose of this study, it is the merit of MFN tariff cuts on trade expansion, rather than the welfare gains from trade - producers' surplus for developing countries, which is the focus of attention. This choice is justified since foreign exchange shortage, which can be alleviated through trade expansion, is one of the most pressing constraints facing LDCs in their effort to achieve Economic development. 16

Negative Spillovers on Beneficiary Countries of GSP

Non-discriminatory MFN tariff reductions, normally, have trade creation effects only. However, with the existence of GSP trade diversion
${ }^{16}$ For an analysis of the foreign exchange constraint and its implications to development policy of LDCs, see H. Myint, "Economic Theory and Development Policy, ${ }^{n 7}$ Economica, May 1967.


Figure 2. The Composition of Country B's Foreign Exchange Earnings
arises as well. The GSP provision of the TSUS affects potential tariff negotiations with the selected group of LDCs in two ways. First, on commodities designated in the TSUS as "A" items duties are effectively zero for LDC principal suppliers for a period of ten years. In some cases, duties could be reinstated if the value of an import item or the market share of a particular LDC in that item becomes too large. However, in this study is is assumed that LDC principal suppliers have no immediate interest in negotiating tariff reductions on such items.

Second, a substantial part of LDC principal supplier imports are designated as "A*" items. This means the principal supplier imports into the $U_{0} S$. are subject to full MFN duty, but imports from other beneficiary LDCs enter duty free. A reduction in the tariff on these items will increase the total quantity of imports purchased by the U.S., but the preference margin enjoyed by the beneficiary LDCs will be reduced. The essential features of the analysis on the negative spillovers of MFN tariff elimination are illustrated by Figure 3. U.S.'s excess demand curve for " $A^{*}$ " items is $D_{a}$. Lines $S_{c}$ and $S_{b}$ are the excess supply curves of beneficiary LDGs and all other exporters exclusive of the tariff, and $S_{b}$ ' is the excess supply curve of all other tariff paying exporters inclusive of the tariff. Individual excess supply curves $S_{b}, S_{c}$ and $S_{b}, S_{c}$ have been summed to obtain the joint excess supply curves in the UoS. before and after MFN tariff cut. Elimination of the tariff on imports from $B$ shifts the equilibrium from $E_{1}$ to $E_{2}$. At price $P_{2}$ U.S. consumption is larger and domestic production smaller. The sum of the production and consumption effects is equal to the net increase in imports, $Q_{4} E_{2}$, which measures the trade creation effect. Trade diversion effect is measured by the decline of $Q_{1} Q_{2}$ in C's production for


Figure 3. The Negative Spillover Effect of MFN Tariff Reductions
export (down to $P_{2} Q_{1}$ from $P_{1} K$ ). B's exports have increased from $K_{1}$ to $\mathrm{Q}_{1} \mathrm{E}_{2}$, an amount equal to $\mathrm{Q}_{3} \mathrm{E}_{2}$ (line $\mathrm{E}_{1} \mathrm{Q}_{3}$ is parallel to $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c}}$; therefore $K E_{1}=Q_{1} Q_{3}$ ). Since $Q_{3} Q_{4}$ is equal to $Q_{1} Q_{2}$, it is clear that the increase in B's exports is equal to the sum of trade creation and trade diversion effects. Further, the increase in B's exports is the result of (1) an increase in $U_{0} S$. consumption, (2) a decline in C's exports of the commodity to the U.S. Assuming equal supply elasticities, the increase in B's exports can be split between the principal supplier and all other sources according to their prevailing relative market shares.

The exact mix of import redistribution depends on the three underlying elasticities of import supply. In general, it is believed that trade diversion effect is considerably smaller than that of trade creation. In a study on the trade benefit of GSP prepared by Robert Baldwin and Tracy Murry, it is suggested that trade diversion is less than onefifth of the net increase in world trade and most of the trade diverted is at the expense of developed countries. ${ }^{17}$ Trade diversion in the form of export reductions of non-beneficiary LDCs is likely to be no more than $25 \%$ of the total. ${ }^{18}$

In this study the impact of duty reductions have been approximated by assuming that the new imports are split between the principal supplier country and all other countries according to their prevailing market shares. The trade diversion effect on the initial imports would affect only the estimates of spillover benefits.
${ }^{17}$ Robert Baldwin and Tracy Murry, "MFN Tariff reductions and Developing Country Trade Benefits Under the GSP," (mime), New York University, Graduate School of Business Administration Working Paper \#7625, April 1976, p. 11 .
${ }^{18}$ Ibid.

## Special Consideration of Offshore Assembly Provision

Another special provision of U.S. tariff law that affects this analysis on trade with LDCs is the Offshore Assembly Provision (OAP) included under TSUS items 806.30 and 807.00 . This provision allows tariff on certain imported goods to be levied only on the foreign value-added or assembly cost. Tariff on an assembled product is applied on the final value of a good less the value of the domestic components. Take some imported shoes for example. Suppose shoes entered the U.S. under OAP are made from parts which are cut in the U.S. from U.S. leather. The parts are shipped outside of the U.S. where they are sewn with foreign thread into shoes. Upon entry into the U.S. tariff is charged on the "final" value of the shoes, less the value of U.S. made parts. Such provision allows a tariff break on articles assembled abroad if they are made from domestic components. Its impact is to shift demand from domestic to foreign assemblers and from foreign to domestic production of components.

The assembly of imported components for re-exports, is already a major source of earnings for developing countries accounting for as much as one fifth of manufacturing employment in several countries. ${ }^{19}$ For the U.S. almost one fourth of all manufactured imports from LDCs are under OAP which increased by $60 \%$ per year between 1966 and 1972 as compared with $12 \%$ increase for other manufactured imports from LDCs over the same period. ${ }^{20}$ The largest single source country was Mexico, which was the origin of $43 \%$ of $U . S$. OAP imports. About $49 \%$ of U.S. OAP imports came

[^12]from Taiwan, Hong Kong, Singapore, and South Korea, and 6\% from Caribbean countries. 21

What is of more interest in this study is the impact of MFN tariff reductions on products that are already under OAP Treatment. J.M. Finger has developed a five equation model to estimate the impact of OAP on domestic economic activity and on the balance of trade. ${ }^{22}$ For the purpose of this study, it is more suitable to follow a simpler graphic analysis of Finger ${ }^{23}$ and G. Lage. ${ }^{24}$

The model is essentially a partial equilibrium, fixed coefficient, effective protection model, assuming infinite foreign supply elasticity. The domestic economy exports components and imports finished goods, some of which may be assembled from components made in the foreign country. Units are defined so that one unit of components is required per unit of the finished good and that for both assemb1y, "foreign" and "domestic" are perfect substitutes. The essential elements of the model are foreign and domestic supply curves for components and assembly and a domestic demand curve for the finished product.

A tariff on an imported final good $J$ without OAP will cause the domestic price to exceed the foreign price by the amount of the tariff.
${ }^{21}$ Ibid.
22 J . Finger, ${ }^{\prime \prime} T \mathrm{Trade}$ and Domestic Effects of the Offshore Assembly Provision in the U.S. Tariff," American Economic Review, September 1976.
${ }^{23}$ J. Finger, "Tariffs, Provision for $0 f f$ shore Assembly, and Free Trade: A Comparison," Staff Research Study, Washington, D.C.: U.S. Tariff Commission, 1976.

24
Gerald Lage, "The Feasibility of Mutually Beneficial Trade Negotiations Between the U.S. and Advanced LDCs," Faculty Working Papers, Oklahoma State University, September 1976.

In equation form:

$$
P_{d j}=(1+T)\left(P_{f a}+P_{f c}\right)
$$

where P's are prices, $d$ and $f$ are domestic and foreign sources, $c$ is component, a is assembly, and $T$ is the ad valorem tariff rate on the finished good. If the foreign country allows duty free imports of components for re-export, then $P_{f c}=P_{d c}$ and

$$
P_{d a}=P_{f a}+T\left(P_{f a}+P_{f c}\right) .
$$

Since the total value of finished product $J$ is subject to tariff, regardless if it is assembled from domestic or foreign components, domestic components receive no protection over foreign components. Only value added from domestic assembly is protected over foreign assembly. In other words, a tariff on the finished good without an OAP is equivalent to a tariff on foreign assembly. The implicit tariff on foreign assembly, however, exceeds $T$ by the ratio of $P_{f j} / P_{f a}$, i.e.,

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{da}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{fa}}=1+\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{fj}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{fa}}\right)^{25}
$$

If an OAP is in effect, the foreign assembler can avoid a tariff liability of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{of}} \mathrm{fc}$ by using domestic components. This means that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{d c}=(1+T) P_{f c} \\
& P_{d a}=(1+T) P_{f a}
\end{aligned}
$$

The OAP tariff is equivalent to equal tariff rates on foreign assembly and foreign components.

$$
\begin{gathered}
P_{d a}=P_{f a}+T\left(P_{f a}+P_{f c}\right) \\
P_{d a}-P_{f a}=T\left(P_{f a}+P_{f c}\right) \\
\left(P_{d a}-P_{f a}\right) / P_{f a}=T\left(P_{f a}+P_{f c}\right) / P_{f c} \\
P_{d a} / P_{f a}-1=T\left(P_{f j} / P_{f a}\right) \\
P_{d a} / P_{f a}=1+T\left(P_{f j} / P_{f a}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

The impact of tariff removal on articles subject to OAP Provision can be illustrated by Figure 4. With a tariff in effect, foreign supply curves of assembly and components are shown as $F_{a t}$ and $F_{c t}$ respectively. These curves are added vertically to obtain the tariff inclusive foreign supply curve of the finished product $J, F_{j t}$. Given the demand curve domestic consumption of $J$ is $Q^{\prime}$ units at price $P_{6}$. Since units are defined so that one unit of $J$ requires one unit of components and one unit of assembly, DA and DC are the domestic supply curves of assembly and components respectively, imports of foreigh assembly equal $A_{t} Q^{\prime}$ and domestic production is $0 A_{t}$. Similarly, imports of foreign components equal $C_{t} Q^{\prime}$ and domestic production is $O C_{t}$. $A l$ so of interest is the domestic export of components, $A_{t} C_{t}$ for foreign assembly.

Following a tariff reduction, domestic consumption of $J$ increases from $Q^{\prime}$ to $Q$ in response to the lower domestic price. Production of domestic assembly and components fall to $A$ and $C$ respectively. Imports of $J$ increases by $A A_{t} p l u s Q^{\prime} Q$ amount. This rise or fall in the exports of domestic components for foreign assembly depends on the relative size of $A_{t} C_{t}$ and $A C$. If $A_{t} C_{t}>A C$, export of domestic components falls so does domestic assembly.

One feasible way of estimating the trade flow change following tariff reduction seems to be an estimate of the import demand elasticity, the hypothesized tariff reduction and total f.a.s. value of imports of $J$ from all sources. ${ }^{26}$ This value can in turn be separated into direct and spillover benefits by using the principal supplier's market share.

[^13]

Figure 4. The Impact of Tariff Removal on Articles Subject to OAP Provision

For each TSUS item subject to OAP provision, import statistics are reported for $O A P$ imports and non-OAP imports by country. Take a principal supplier item for Mexico for instance, there might be $\$ 100$ OAP imports and $\$ 200$ non-OAP imports listed separately. Similar division is made for imports from other suppliers. Non-OAP imports can be regarded as those whose components were not $U . S$. produced. From alternative data source a breakdown of the customs value of OAP imports of the LDC principal supplier into dutiable and non-dutiable value is available. ${ }^{27}$ Dutiable value measures foreign assembly value added and non-dutiable value represents an estimate of the exports of U.S. components.

Two ratios can be used to determine the relative significance of U.S. component exports. One is the ratio of OAP imports from all sources to total imports in a TSUS classification. The other is the value of U.S. components relative to the customs value of OAP imports of the principal supplier. Large values of both ratios would indicate significant U.S. export sales of components. Items among negotiable U.S. imports affected by OAP provision will be analyzed in Chapter VI.

## CHAPTER V

## ESTIMATED TRADE EXPANSION FROM MFN TARIFF <br> REDUCTIONS BY THE U.S. AND THE SAMPLE GROUP OF LDCS

The task of this chapter is to estimate the potential trade expansion that could be realized by the U.S. and the sample group of LDCs following MFN tariff reductions by both sides on each other's principal supplier imports. Several factors help determine the magnitude of such trade gains: the height of existing tariff rates, changes in these rates, and the responsiveness of the demand for and the supply of traded commodities to price changes in the individual countries.

To estimate the value of increased imports that would be purchased by an importing country from all sources as a result of MFN tariff reductions, the following formula is used

$$
\Delta M=e_{m} \cdot M \cdot t /(1+t) \cdot k
$$

where $e_{m}$ is the price elasticity of demand in the importing country, $M$ is the value of total imports from all sources, $t$ stands for the ad valorem equivalent tariff rate, and $k$ is the percentage reduction of tariff. (i.e., $k=1$ for complete elimination and $k=.6$ for $60 \%$ reduction) Elasticities of import supply are implicitly assumed to be infinite. To compute the potential increase in imports that would be purchased from the principal supplier, the estimated total increase in imports are multiplied by the prevailing percentage market share of the
principal supplier.
The elasticities of import demand used in these computations were taken from a study by Robert M. Stern, conducted for the Office of the Special Representative for Trade Negotiations, March 15, 1975, entitled "Price Elasticities in International Trade: A Compilation and Annotated Bibliography of Recent Research." The specific values for the U.S. and LDCs are as follows:

| SITC Section | U.S. | LDC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 and 1 | -0.80 | -0.74 |
| 2 and 4 | -0.43 | -0.57 |
| 3 | -0.96 | -0.85 |
| $5-9$ | -1.80 | -1.60 |

The values for the U.S. elasticity are taken without adjustment from Stern's "best" estimates. These values are generally the approximate average when several estimated values are available. In the crude materials, Section 2, Stern's estimate of -0.43 is slightly higher than -0.26 , the value computed earlier by Ball and Marwah ${ }^{1}$ but much lower than -1.30 , the value calculated by B.A. Devries. ${ }^{2}$ For SITC Sections 5 through 8 on manufactured products, Stern's estimate of -1.80 is above Kreinin's ${ }^{3}$ average of about -0.85 for approximately 20 industries defined at the 3-digit SITC level, but below the average of -2.30 for the International Trade Commission's estimates for 20 industries defined at

[^14]the 4-digit SITC level. ${ }^{4}$ The import demand elasticities for the LDCs represent an average of elasticities for 18 industrial countries included in Stern's study. They are not much different from the average value for the group excluding major industrial countries of North America, Europe, and Japan. These values, in fact, are quite similar to estimated demand elasticities of the U.S.

Throughout the following computations, $\mathrm{k}=1$ is assumed. It is recognized that complete tariff removal cannot be regarded as realistic goals for tariff negotiations. The Trade Act of 1974 authorizes the President to cut U.S. tariffs by $60 \%$ of the 1975 level at the maximum for rates above 5\%. The objective of this inquiry, however, is to determine the maximum trade gains from tariff reductions that follow bi1ateral negotiations. ${ }^{5}$

Estimated Trade Expansion From MFN Tariff Reductions
By the U.S. Accruing to the Sample Group of LDCs

Table XVII provides summary information on estimated increase in U.S. imports principally supplied by the sample LDCs . Since most textiles are already subject to the quota restrictions of Multifiber Agreement, reducing tariffs on these items is beyond the authority provided by the Trade Act of 1974. It is not meaningful to include them in trade expansion considerations. Duties on products subject to escape clause actions of the Trade Act and the Agricultural Adjustment Act also cannot be reduced. Items on the principal supplier list that are subject

4 USITC, Foreign Trade Elasticities for Twenty Industries, USITC Publication 738, August 1975.
${ }^{5}$ Section 101, P. L. 93-618.

TABLE XVII
SUMMARY INFORMATION ON POTENTIAL INCREASE IN U.S. IMPORTS PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY THE SAMPLE LDCS*

| Description |  | Mexico | Brazil | Taiwan | Korea | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Items of Each Country From All Sources |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potential Trade Expansion in P.S. (\$mil) 71 5 75 78 <br> Items Due Principal Supplier  229    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Share of Principal Supplier in | (\%) | 36 | 68 | 39 | 55 | 43 |
| Total Trade Expansion in its P.S.Items |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potential Trade Expansion as a Share of P.S. Trade |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expansion Due Principal Suppliers of <br> the Sample Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* Excluding items subject to Multifiber Agreement restriction.

Sources: U.S. Department of Commerce, FT 246/Annual 1974, June 1976. Tariff data supplied by Trade Agreements Division, U.S. Department of State.
these quantitative restrictions are specifically excluded from computations.

Following complete tariff elimination, total U.S. imports from all sources are expected to increase in the amount of $\$ 540$ million. Forty three percent of such increase would accrue to the four sample LDCs. Potential trade gains of individual LDCs are $\$ 71$ million, $\$ 5$ million, \$75 million, and $\$ 78$ million for Mexico, Brazil, Taiwan, and Korea, and they represent, respectively, $31,2,33$, and 34 percent of total trade gains accruing to this group. Among sample LDCs, potential trade expansion appears to be the most significant for Korea. It represents 19 percent of that country's gross principal supplier trade with the U.S. The respective shares for Taiwan, Mexico and Brazil are 8, 5, and 1 percent. The relative small increase for Brazil reflects the fact that most of Brazil's exports to the U.S. are already duty free. ${ }^{6}$

The commodity composition of potential trade gains of individual LDCs are presented in Tables XVIII, XIX, XX, and XXI. For each country the total trade expansion is classified by TSUS commodity groups. Total trade expansion and the estimated increase in exports of the principal supplier are computed. Also presented are the shares of the principal suppliers in total trade gaines in each group. For Mexico, the most promising areas are animal and vegetable products, and metals and metal products. These two groups provide $\$ 56$ million of the potential increase. More important product items are live cattle, tomatoes, and berries. Among metal products, various electronic components appear to have the greatest expansion potential. Among exports of Brazil, animal

[^15]TABLE XVIII
POTENTIAL INGREASE IN U.S. IMPORTS PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY MEXICO

|  | TSUS Commodity Group Description | Total <br> Imports <br> (\$mil) | $\frac{\text { Potential }}{\text { Total }} \begin{aligned} & (\$ m i 1) \end{aligned}$ | Mexico (\$mil) | Share of Mexico (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | Animal and Vegetable Products | 362 | 39.0 | 31.0 | 79 |
| (2) | Wood and Paper; Printed Matter | 49 | 4.0 | 2.7 | 75 |
| (3) | Textile Fibers and Products* | 14 | 3.1 | $2.6{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 83 |
| (4) | Chemical and Related Products | 8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 98 |
| (5) | Nonmetallic: Minerals and Products | 98 | 11.5 | 6.1 | 53 |
| (6) | Metals and Metal Products | 1,185 | 129.0 | 24.8 | 19 |
| (7) | Miscellaneous Manufactured Products | 6.2 | 8.6 | 3.5 | 40 |
|  | Total | 1,778 | 196.0 | 71.3 | 36 |

[^16]TABLE XIX

POTENTIAL INCREASE IN U.S. IMPORTS
PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY BRAZIL

|  | TSUS Commodity Group Description | Total <br> Imports <br> (\$mil) | Potential Trade Total (\$mil) | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Expansion }}{\text { Brazil }} \\ & (\$ \mathrm{mil}) \end{aligned}$ | Share of Brazil (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | Animal and Vegetable Products | 65 | 4.31 | 2.89 | 67 |
| (4) | Chemical and Related Products | 29 | 0.82 | 0.67 | 81 |
| (6) | Metals and Metal Products | 7 | 0.63 | 0.58 | 92 |
| (7) | Miscellaneous Manufactured Products | 47 | 1.48 | 0.82 | 56 |
|  | Total | 148 | 7.25 | 4.95 | 68 |

Sources: U.S. Department of Commerce, FT 246/Annual 1974. Tariff data supplied by Trade Agreements Division, U.S. Department of State.

TABLE XX

POTENTIAL INCREASE IN U.S. IMPORTS
PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY TAIWAN

| TSUS Commodity Group Description | Total <br> Imports <br> (\$mil) | Potential Trade Expansion <br> Total Taiwan <br> (\$mil) (\$mil) |  | Share of Taiwan (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) Animal and Vegetable Products | 49.4 | 5.45 | 3.07 | 56 |
| (2) Wood and Paper; Printed Matter | 46.8 | 7.07 | 2.95 | 42 |
| (3) Textile Fibers and Products* | 0.9 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 95 |
| (4) Chemical and Related Products | 15.0 | 3.26 | 0.54 | 17 |
| (5) Nonmetallic Minerals and Products | 3.9 | 1.28 | 0.57 | 49 |
| (6) Metals and Metal Products | 989.8 | 89.12 | 32.73 | 37 |
| (7) Miscellaneous Manufactured Products* | 511.2 | 87.44 | 35.42 | 41 |
| Total | 1,617.0 | 193.70 | 75.46 | 39 |

* Excluding items subject to multifer agreement quota restriction.

Sources: U.S. Department of Commerce, FT 246/Annual 1974.
Tariff data supplied by Trade Agreements Division, U.S. Department of State.

TABLE XXI

POTENTIAL INCREASE IN U.S. IMPORTS PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY KOREA

|  | TSUS Commodity Group Bescription | Total <br> Imports <br> (\$mil) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Potentia } \\ \text { Total } \\ \text { (\$mil } \end{array}$ |  | Share of Korea (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | Animal and Vegetable Products | 12.1 | 1.94 | 0.37 | 19 |
| (2) | Wood and Paper; Printed Matter | 206.0 | 59.91 | 35.79 | 60 |
| (4) | Chemical and Related Products | 11.6 | 12.84 | 1.76 | 62 |
| (6) | Metals and Metal Products | 0.7 | 0.18 | 0.16 | 88 |
| (7) | Miscellaneous Manufactured Products* | 320.0 | 77.67 | 39. 59 | 51 |
|  | Total | 550.4 | 142.53 | 77.67 | 54 |

* Excluding items subject to escape clause adjustment quota restriction.

Sources: U.S. Department of Commerce, FT 246/Annual 1974. Tariff data supplied by Trade Agreements Division, U.S. Department of State.
and vegetable products represent the only commodity group of any importance. Concentrated fruit juice appears to be the single item offering the best hope of increased sales to the U.S. In the case of principal supplier exports of Taiwan, major concentrations are among metal products and miscellaneous manufactured products. Television receivers are expected to provide the bulk of the increase for metal products. Among miscellaneous manufactured products, footwear, handbags, headwear, gloves are items with the most potential. Commodity groups with the best prospects for Korea are wood and paper products and miscellaneous manufactures. Plywood represents most of the potential expansion in wood products; wearing apparel of rubber, boots, wigs and sporting equipment are likely to achieve significant increase among manufactures.

## The Impact of Major U.S. NTBs on Imports Principally <br> Supplied by the Sample LDCs

In addition to tariffs, there exist other trade barriers restricting exports of LDCs to the U.S. Non-tariff barriers are currently being applied to those products which are of particular export interest to LDCs. Major types of NTBs are (1) import quotas, (2) discretionary 1icensing, (3) variable levies, (4) internal or border taxes, (5) health and labeling regulations, and (6) government purchasing requirement. ${ }^{7}$ The most visible are the quantitative restrictions, or import quotas.
${ }^{7}$ For a more complete discussion of NTBs, see R.E. Baldwin, Nontariff Distortions of International Trade (Washington: The Brookings Institution, 1970) and K.E. Jay, "Tariff and Non-Tariff Barriers to Trade with the Developed Countries," Development Digest, July 1972.

Though often referred to as an alternative to tariffs, the general impact of quotas is more restrictive and distorting than tariffs. ${ }^{8}$ A. quota by-passes the price system, and can create monopoly profits for domestic sources. In addition, quotas can be used more explicitly to limit the growth of imports over time. One variant of quota restriction is the "voluntary export quota" ${ }^{9}$ principally affecting textiles. The U.S. was able to "convince" some exporting LDCs that it was in their best interest to restrict their exports by their own quotas. 10 "Voluntary export quotas" are currently in effect on such items as cotton textiles, woolen products, man-made fibers, and shoes exported from Korea and Taiwan. ${ }^{11}$

Other NTBs are problems facing all trading nations and are far less specific to developing countries than quotas. However, developing countries are likely to bear the majority of the burden because firms in LDCs usually have less access to information and have greater difficulty in meeting special requirements of the U.S. In this study, the impact of quotas imposed on the basis of escape clause actions, Trade
${ }^{8}$ See J. Bhagwati, "On the Equivalence of Tariff and Quotas," in R. Baldwin, ed., Trade, Growth, and the Balance of Payments (Chicago: Rand McNally \& Co. 1965); H. Shibata, "Note on the Equivalence of Tariffs and Quotas,"' American Economic Review, March 1968, pp. 137-142.
${ }^{9}$ F. Bergsten, "The Nonequivalence of Import Quotas and Voluntary Export Restraint," in F. Bergsten, ed., Toward a New World Trade Policy: The Maidenhead Papers (Washington: The Brookings Institution, 1974).

10 The exporting countries agree to this arrangement because the alternative is import controls imposed by the U.S. Congress.

11 For a discussion on the pressure for the U.S. to impose quotas on textile, see G.M. Meier, Problems of Trade Policy (Oxford University Press, 1973), pp. 92-181.

Agreements Legislation, and the Agricultural Adjustment Act on P.S. items of sample LDCs are considered. The great majority of traded items affected by these NTBs are textile products and wearing apparel articles. Imports are restricted in quantities by Multifiber Agreement (MFA).

Table XXII presents summary information on the effects of NTBs on the U.S. imports principally supplied by the selected group of LDCs. ${ }^{12}$ For the four sample countries as a group, $\$ 428$ million or 13 percent of total P.S. exports to the U.S. were affected by these provisions. The two Asian countries appear to be more severely handicapped. Nearly a third of Taiwan's principal supplier exports and a fourth of Korea's trade were limited by quotas. In the case of Taiwan, virtually all of its P.S. exports in textile products were covered by MFA. These items could generate $\$ 150$ million worth of extra trade gains which is twice as much as that country's estimated trade expansion in products not affected by quotas. For Korea, NTBs ruled out $\$ 39$ million worth of potential exports, which represent 50 percent of that country's estimated increase in all other items. In addition to items restricted by MFA, some tableware articles from that country were affected by escape clause actions. ${ }^{13}$ It is apparent the $U_{0} S$. position concerning quota reductions is an important consideration in bilateral negotiations with these two countries.
${ }^{12}$ Individual P.S. items of LDCs subject to MFA are identified on the basis of information contained in Correlation: Textile and Apparel Categories With Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated, U.S. Department of Commerce, January 1975, pp. 98-102.

13 For items subject to escape clause action, see Appendix to the Tariff Schedule, Part 2 - Temporary Modifications Proclaimed Pursuit to Trade-Agreements Legislation.

TABLE XXII

THE EFFECT OF NTBS ON U.S. IMPORTS PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY THE SELECTED GROUP OF LDCS

| Country | U.S. Imports | Imports Subject <br> to NTB | Add. Potential <br> Trade Expansion | No.Items <br> Sub. NTB <br> (\$mil1ion) | Import Sh. <br> Sub. NTB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mexico | 1,520 | 26 | 7 | 12 |  |

Sources: U.S. Department of Commerce, FT 246/Annual 1974, June 1976. Data supplied by Trade Agreements Division, U.S. Department of State.

NTBs seem to have much less impact on U.S. imports principally supplied by Mexico and Brazil. They affect only 2 percent of the total P. S. trade for each country. Individual TSUS items among U.S. imports subject to major NTBs are listed in Appendix Tables XLVII, XLIX, LI, and LIII.

> Estimated Trade Expansion From MFN Tariff Reductions By the Sample Group of LDCs Accruing to the U.S.

Table XXIII presents the summary information on estimated export expansion accruing to the U.S. following tariff removal by sample LDCs on their imports principally supplied by the U.S. The U.S. could realize over $\$ 1$ billion worth of additional exports to this group of countries, which represents 55 percent of total estimated increase in imports of these countries. Mexico, with the greatest expansion potential, represents a market for another $\$ 550$ million worth of U.S. exports. Brazil comes in second with a projected increase of $\$ 286$ million. Taiwan and Korea may absorb $\$ 197$ million and $\$ 56$ million U.S. exports each. The respective shares of each country in total trade gains accruing to the U.S. are $50,27,19$, and 5 percent.

Tables XXIV, XXV, XXVI, and XXVII present detailed breakdowns of the expected increase in U.S. exports to each sample LDC by individual SITC Commodity Sections. In trade with Mexico, the U.S. has the best chance to increase exports in Machinery and Transport Equipment Section, followed by Manufactured Goods Classified Chiefly by Materials, and Chemicals. Road motor vehicles can be expected to bring the most extra export values. Paper and paperboards, as well as, plastic materials also could add substantial sums to U.S. exports. In the case of Brazil,

TABLE XXIII

SUMMARY INFORMATION ON POTENTIAL INCREASE IN IMPORTS
OF SAMPLE LDCS PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY THE U.S.

| Description |  | Mexico | Brázil | Taiwan | Korea | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Potential Trade Expansion in P.S. Items of the U.S. From All Sources | (\$mil) | 732 | 492 | 363 | 66 | 1,952 |
| Potential Trade Expansion in P。S。 Items of the U.S. From the U.S. | (\$mil) | 530 | 286 | 199 | 56 | 1,071 |
| Trade Expansion Share of the U.S. in Its P.S. Trade | (\%) | 73 | 58 | 55 | 84 | 55 |
| Potential Trade Expansion as a Share of U.S. P.S. Trade | (\%) | 35 | 26 | 18 | 11 | 31 |
| Share of Each Country in Total Trade Expansion Accruing to the U.S. | (\%) | 50 | 27 | 19 | 5 | 100 |

[^17]TABLE XXIV
POTENTIAL INCREASE IN IMPORTS OF MEXICO PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY THE U.S.


Sources: United Nations, Commodity Trade Statistics, Series D, Vol. 23, No. 1-39.
Tariff data supplied by Trade Agreements Division, U.S. Department of State.

POTENTIAL INCREASE IN IMPORTS OF BRAZIL PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY THE U.S.

| SITC Section | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Imports } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { Potenti }}{\text { Tota }}$ | $\frac{\text { de Expansion }}{\text { U.S. }}$ | Share of U.S. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (\$ million) |  |  | (\%) |
| Food and Live Animals | 406 | 76 | 47 | 62 |
| Beverages and Tobacco | 1 | 0 | 0 | 94 |
| Crude Materials, Inedible, Except Fuels | 95 | 7 | 4 | 65 |
| Mineral Fuels, Lubricants and Related Materials | 85 | 15 | 11 | 75 |
| Chemicals | 311 | 77 | 48 | 63 |
| Manufactured Goods Classified Chiefly by Materials | 159 | 17 | 8 | 46 |
| Machinery and Transport Equipment | 735 | 265 | 148 | 56 |
| Miscellaneous Manufactured Articles | 102 | 34 | 18 | 52 |
| Total | 1,894 | 492 | 286 | 58 |

[^18]
## POTENTIAL INCREASE IN IMPORTS OF TAIWAN

 PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY THE U.S.| SITC Section | Total <br> Imports | $\frac{\text { Potenti }}{\text { Tota }}$ | $\frac{\text { e Expansion }}{\text { U.S. }}$ | Share of U.S. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (\$ million) |  |  | (\%) |
| Food and Live Animals | 342 | 0 | 0 | 53 |
| Beverages and Tobacco | 30 | 12 | 10 | 78 |
| Crude Materials, Inedible, Except Fuels | 523 | 22 | 15 | 67 |
| Mineral Fuels, Lubricants and Related Materials | 2 | 0 | 0 | 98 |
| Animal and Vegetable Fats and Oils | 47 | 6 | 4 | 62 |
| Chemicals | 132 | 53 | 32 | 61 |
| Manufactured Goods Classified Chiefly by Materials | 89 | 33 | 18 | 55 |
| Machinery and Transport Equipment | 730 | 174 | 85 | 49 |
| Miscellaneous Manufactured Articles | 113 | 59 | 33 | 56 |
| Commodities and Transactions not Classified According to Kind | 5 | 4 | 2 | 60 |
| Total | 2,011 | 363 | 199 | 55 |

Sources: Inspectorate General of Customs, Taipei, Taiwan, The Trade of China (Taiwan District) 1975. Tariff data supplied by U.S. Department of State.

TABLE XXVII

POTENTIAL INCREASE IN IMPORTS OF KOREA PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY THE U.S.

| SITC Section | Total Imports | $\frac{\text { Potential Trade Expansion }}{\text { Total }}$ | Share of U.S. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (\$ million) |  | (\%) |
| Food and Live Animals | 269 | 33 30 | 91 |
| Grude Materials, Inedible, Except Fuels | 166 | $8 \quad 7$ | 90 |
| Chemicals | 16 | 22 | 100 |
| Machinery and Transport Equipment | 124 | 2215 | 70 |
| Total | 576 | 6656 | 84 |

Sources: United Nations, Commodity Trade Statistics, Series D, Vo1. 23, No. 1-39. Tariff data supplied by Trade Agreements Division, U.S. Department of State.

Machinery and Transport Equipment, Section 7, and Chemicals, Section 5, are also two of the most promising areas, followed by Section 0, Food and Live Animal. Within Section 7, electric machinery and apparatus appears to offer the greatest potential. Manufactured fertilizers and unmilled wheat are the most important U.S. export expansion to Brazil in Section 5 and Section 0 .

Among U.S.P.S. exports to Taiwan, Machinery and Transport Equipment Section leads Miscellaneous Manufactured Products and Chemicals Sections as the areas to have the best prospects. Within Section 7, non-electric power generating machinery, equipment for distributing electricity, and machines for special industries are the most important items. Among Section 8 items, various precision instruments appear to have excellent market potential. Some chemical products also could enjoy large increases.

Korea appears to offer a good market for U.S. exports in Food and Live Animal Section. Wheat and rice are most likely to achieve large increases. The U.S. could also increase sales in Section 7, electric machinery and apparatus seem to have the best expansion potential.

## Summary

Based on these computations on potential expansion in bilateral trade between the U.S. and each $L D C$, it is clear that both sides have much to gain from trade liberalization. In the case of Mexico, tariff removal by the U.S. on that country's principal supplier exports would lead to $\$ 71$ million worth of trade gains by Mexice. Potential increases in P.S. exports of the U.S. to Mexico are expected to be $\$ 530$ million. Brazil could realize $\$ 5$ million trade gains in its P.S. exports to the
U.S. but the U.S. is expected to gain $\$ 286$ million in Brazilian imports principally supplied by this country. This disparity is mainly due to the fact that major Brazilian exports to the U.S. are already duty free, but major American exports to Brazil are subject to high tariffs. In trade with Taiwan, the U.S. could realize $\$ 199$ million additional P.S. exports to that country and add $\$ 75$ million P.S. imports from Taiwan in return. Korea is the only $L D C$ in the sample group that could achieve greater trade expansion than the U.S. following mutual tariff removal. It would realize $\$ 75$ million in trade gains as compared with $\$ 56$ million for the U.S. The smaller values of potential trade expansion that could accrue to most LDCs in the sample group seems to confirm the belief that complete tariff removal is not a realistic objective for negotiation.

Since the U.S. can expect to realize greater trade expansion than most of the sample LDGs from bilateral tariff reductions, the willingness of the U.S. to include other items of interest to LDGs in trade negotiations, i.e., reduction of MFA restrictions, seems to be an important determinant of potential success in any trade talks with these countries. In the case of trade negotiations with Korea, that country's ability to match U.S. concessions may be the limiting factor. Additional information on estimated trade expansion in individual commodity items can be found in Appendix Tables XLVIII, L, LIX, LX, LXI, LXII, and LXIII.

## ANALYSIS OF BILATERAL NEGOTIATIONS BETWEEN <br> THE U.S. AND INDIVIDUAL LDCS

This chapter presents a detailed account of dutiable items among principal supplier trade which could be of negotiable interest to the U.S. and individual LDC. To determine potential U.S. offers, several guidelines are followed. First, principal supplier imports subject to quota limitations of Multifiber Agreement (MFA) and other temporary modifications in the tariff schedule are excluded from consideration. Other than textile fibers and textile products, only some tableware imports from Korea are affected by escape clause actions. Second, no items designated for GSP duty-free treatment are included unless they are subject to "competitive need" exclusion; that is, the volume of trade in 1974 exceeded $\$ 25$ million or the country's market share in U.S. imports exceeded 50 percent. Third, no items are excluded from consideration due to low principal supplier shares or small trade values.

Among negotiable $U_{\odot}$ S. imports, items subject to Offshore Assembly Provision (OAP) are separated for further consideration. Also, to measure potential spillover effects, detailed market share analyses are presented for major negotiable items.

For potential $I$ DC counter-offers, the main consideration is the existence of sufficient restrictions that could be relaxed to balance the U.S. offer. Major U.S. exports to these countries are listed along with estimated tariff rates.

## Mexi.co

Based on 1974 trade flows, principal supplier items of Mexico. among U.S. imports that could represent a basis for negotiations for U.S. tariff reductions amounted to $\$ 683 \mathrm{mil} 110 \mathrm{n}$. The predicted trade expansion from complete U.S. tariff removal on such items is $\$ 196$ million of which \$71 million would be supplied by Mexico. Table XXVIII presents the list of TSUS items available for negotiations with Mexico. The first two columns indicate the values of total imports from Mexico and its market share in each item. Also included in the table are corresponding ad valorem tariff rates and the potential trade expansion following tariff removal. The last column indicates special features applicable to each item such as the country specific GSP exclusion (A*), and/or Offshore Assembly Provision (OAP). There are 84 specific items on the negotiable list of which about half are food and vegetable products providing nearly one third of the estimated increase in imports from Mexico. Electronic components, such as fixed capacitors, electronic transistors, tubes, and photocells make up the next two largest items. Other items with potential trade gains over $\$ 1$ million include items like wood frames for pictures or mirrors, articles of paper NSPF, miscellaneous cordage, fluorspar, ceramic plumbing fixtures, and glassware.

Estimated trade expansion in negotiable items with Mexico accruing to that country represents only 36 percent of total potential expansion in such items. The remaining 64 percent available to other sources provides a crude measure of spillover effects. The reason for this large spillover ratio can be inferred from the market share analysis of major negotiable items with Mexico. The data indicate that Mexico is barely the principal supplier for items with the most trade expansion

TABLE XXVIII
NEGOTIABLE U.S. IMPORTS PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY MEXICO

| TSUS Number | Description | Total U.S. Imports (\$1,000) | Mkt Share Mexico (\%) | "Ave" <br> (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Potential Trade Ex. } \\ \text { Total Mexico } \\ (\$ 1,000) \end{gathered}$ |  | Special <br> Feature |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10045 | Live cattle | 66,374 | 91 | 6.2 | 3, 100 | 2,821 | - |
| 13065 | Wheat | 877 | 92 | 5.0 | 33 | 31 | - |
| 13516 | Beans, fresh or frozen | 1,950 | 84 | 28.3 | 344 | 289 | - |
| 13590 | Cucumbers, fresh or frozen | 6,024 | 96 | 47.2 | 1,545 | 1,483 | A* |
| 13592 | Cucumbers, fresh or frozen | 2,768 | 93 | 63.5 | 860 | 800 | - |
| 13620 | Eggplant Apr. 1 to Nov. 30 | 318 | 97 | 28.6 | 57 | 55 | - |
| 13622 | Eggplant Dec. 30 to Mar. 31 | 1,037 | 99 | 21.7 | 148 | 146 | - |
| 13630 | Garlic, fresh or frozen | 6,053 | 60 | 2.8 | 132 | 79 | - |
| 13680 | Okra, fresh or frozen | 749 | 95 | 25.0 | 120 | 114 | A* |
| 13691 | Onions, chilled or frozen | 8,669 | 89 | 21.3 | 1,218 | 1,084 | - |
| 13710 | Peppers | 9,918 | 92 | 22.7 | 1,468 | 1,350 | - |
| 13750 | Squash | 2,209 | 97 | 21.3 | 310 | 301 | - |
| 13760 | Tomatoes, Mar. 1 to July 14 | 35,719 | 99 | 20.5 | 4,861 | 4,813 | - |
| 13762 | Tomatoes, July 15 to Aug. 31 | 725 | 95 | 8.1 | 43 | 41 | - |
| 13785 | Asparagus | 4,779 | 57 | 25.0 | 765 | 436 | - |
| 13800 | Vegetables, fresh or frozen | 7,757 | 60 | 17.5 | 924 | 555 | A* |
| 14021 | Chick peas | 2,261 | 80 | 8.3 | 139 | 111 | - |
| 14175 | Vegetables in salt | 9,846 | 52 | 12.0 | 844 | 439 | - |
| 14660 | Strawberries | 8,972 | 99 | 4.1 | 283 | 280 | - |
| 14675 | Berries, prepared or frozen | 29,182 | 91 | 14.0 | 2,867 | 2,609 | - |
| 14722 | Limes | 866 | 96 | 8.2 | 53 | 50 | - |
| 14731 | Oranges | 9,628 | 70 | 10.8 | 751 | 526 | - |
| 14790 | Mangoes | 3,444 | 82 | 16.8 | 396 | 325 | - |
| 14815 | Cantalupes | 13,498 | 100 | 35.0 | 2,800 | 2,800 | - |
| 14820 | Watermelons | 5,379 | 99 | 20.0 | 717 | 710 | - |

TABLE XXVIII (Continued)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { TSUS } \\ & \text { Number } \end{aligned}$ | Description | Total U.S. Imports (\$1,000) | Mkt Share Mexico (\%) | "Ave" (\%) | $\frac{\text { Potenti }}{\text { Total }}$ (\$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { rade Ex. }}{\text { Mexico }} \\ & 0) \end{aligned}$ | Special <br> Feature |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14825 | Melons, Dec. 1 to May 31 | 3, 375 | 67 | 8.5 | 212 | 142 | - |
| 14830 | Melons, July 1 to Nov. 30 | 211 | 65 | 35.0 | 44 | 28 | - |
| 14890 | Pineapples | 506 | 100 | 17.1 | 59 | 59 | - |
| 15274 | Strawberry paste | 2,411 | 71 | 15.0 | 252 | 179 | - |
| 15445 | Pineapple, canned | 1,260 | 86 | 8.5 | 79 | 68 | - |
| 15570 | Honey | 10,613 | 33 | 2.5 | 207 | 68 | - |
| 15575 | Sugars, syrups and molasses | 1,998 | 75 | 15.0 | 208 | 156 | $A^{*}$ |
| 15645 | Cocoa | 1,401 | 70 | 5.0 | 53 | 37 | A* |
| 16180 | Pepper, unground | 751 | 99 | 9.1 | 50 | 50 | - |
| 16850 | Spirits for beverage | 11, 394 | 92 | 49.1 | 3,002 | 2,762 | A* |
| 17060 | Scrap tobacco | 40,488 | 32 | 28.5 | 7,184 | 2,299 | - |
| 19255 | Broom corn | 10, 547 | 98 | 1.0 | 45 | 44 | - |
| 19270 | Processed istle or tampico | 3,995 | 100 | 20.0 | 286 | 286 | $A^{*}$ |
| 19285 | Straw and other firans | 3,451 | 68 | 5.0 | 71 | 48 | A* |
| 20091 | Softwood dowel rods \& pins | 4,051 | 54 | 2.5 | 178 | 96 | $A^{*}$ |
| 20262 | Wood modelings | 14,994 | 100 | 1.5 | 399 | 399 | A* |
| 20660 | Wood frames, picture or mirror | 17,336 | 61 | 6.0 | 1,766 | 1,077 | A* |
| 25685 | Articles of papers, NSPF | 12,783 | 89 | 6.0 | 1, 302 | 1,159 | $\mathrm{A}^{*}, \mathrm{OAP}$ |
| 31525 | Cordage, misc. | 9,265 | 83 | 15.0 | 2,175 | 1,805 | - |
| 31540 | Sisal cordage stands | 4,006 | 89 | 10.1 | 661 | 589 | - |
| 35504 | Webs, waddling, batting | 960 | 70 | 20.0 | 288 | 202 | A* |
| 42276 | Zinx sulfate | 2,450 | 90 | 1.6 | 69 | 63 | A* |
| 47212 | Natural barium sulfate | 609 | 97 | 21.8 | 47 | 45 | - |
| 47352 | Litharge | 4, 271 | 99 | 4.9 | 359 | 356 | A* |
| 47356 | Red lead | 380 | 100 | 6.3 | 41 | 41 | A* |
| 51131 | Concrete floor \& wall tiles | 1,909 | 86 | 21.0 | 596 | 513 | $A^{*}$ |
| 51141 | Other concrete tiles | 401 | 97 | 13.0 | 83 | 81 | A* |

TABLE XXVIII (Continued)

| TSUS <br> Number | Description | Total U.S. Imports (\$1,000) | Mkt Share Mexico (\%) | "Ave" (\%) | Potential Trade Ex.$(\$ 1,000)$ |  | Special <br> Feature |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51244 | Plasters of Paris articles | 964 | 88 | 6.0 | 98 | 86 | $A^{*}$ |
| 51841 | Asbestos varn. silvers | 1,047 | 90 | 2.1 | 39 | 35 | A* |
| 52221 | Fluorspar | 47,284 | 69 | 3.5 | 688 | 474 | - |
| 52224 | Fluorspar | 16,948 | 92 | 23.8 | 1,401 | 1,289 | - |
| 53531 | Ceramic plumbing fixtures | 8,392 | 73 | 15.0 | 1,970 | 1,438 | $A^{*}$, OAP |
| 54047 | Glass bricks blocks, slabs | 156 | 63 | 12.0 | 30 | 19 | $A^{*}$ |
| 54553 | Glass globes \& shades | 7,744 | 52 | 14.0 | 1,712 | 890 | A* |
| 54565 | Glass chimneys | 1,318 | 85 | 15.0 | 309 | 263 | A* |
| 54654 | Glassware | 10,918 | 22 | 30.0 | 4,535 | 998 | - |
| 54751 | Glass amponles | 491 | 67 | 3.5 | 30 | 20 | $A^{*}$ |
| 60548 | Silver unwrought, nes | 476 | 52 | 10.5 | 81 | 42 | A* |
| 60560 | Precious metal plates | 206 | 51 | 12.0 | 40 | 20 | A* |
| 64698 | Harnes with precious metal | 810 | 99 | 7.5 | 102 | 101 | - |
| 64937 | Vises \& clamps, parts | 10,820 | 59 | 5.0 | 927 | 547 | $A^{*}$, OAP |
| 65295 | Stainless steel pillars, posts | 141 | 63 | 6.0 | 14 | 9 | - |
| 68580 | Fixed capacitors | 142,875 | 31 | 10.0 | 23,380 | 7,248 | -, OAP |
| 68760 | Electric transistors, tubes | 1,029,575 | 16 | 6.0 | 104,900 | 16,784 | -, OAP |
| 70029 | Footwear | 26,612 | 23 | 5.0 | 2,281 | 525 | -, OAP |
| 70085 | Footwear, NSPF | 9,709 | 23 | 12.5 | 1,942 | 447 | -, OAP |
| 70235 | Headwear | 366 | 67 | 6.3 | 39 | 26 | $A^{*}$ |
| 70245 | Headwear | 448 | 99 | 25.0 | 161 | 160 | $A^{*}$ |
| 70365 | Headwear of leather | 506 | 75 | 6.0 | 52 | 39 | A* |
| 71030 | Automatic pilots \& parts | 846 | 54 | 5.5 | 79 | 43 | $A^{*}$, OAP |
| 71319 | Parts for stroboscopes | 713 | 100 | 22.5 | 236 | 236 | $\mathrm{A}^{*}, \mathrm{OAP}$ |
| 71614 | Watch movements, nes | 3,696 | 95 | 4.5 | 286 | 272 | -, OAP |
| 72670 | Woodwind instruments | 4, 331 | 53 | 7.5 | 544 | 288 | $A^{*}, \mathrm{OAP}$ |
| 72680 | Piano parts | 11,461 | 29 | 8.5 | 1,616 | 469 | -, OAP |

TABLE XXVIII (Continued)

| TSUS <br> Number | Description | Total U.S. Imports (\$1,000) | Mkt Share Mexico (\%) | "Ave" (\%) | $\frac{\text { Potential Trade Ex. }}{\text { Total Mexico }}$ |  | Special <br> Feature |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 74005 | Silver jewelry | 2,077 | 56 | 27.5 | 806 | 452 | A* |
| 75029 | Brooms etc. of broom corn | 1,289 | 91 | 20.0 | 387 | 352 | - |
| 75030 | Brooms etc. of broom corn | 183 | 81 | 50.1 | 110 | 89 | - |
| 75031 | Brooms etc. of broom corn | 159 | 93 | 32.0 | 69 | 65 | - |
|  | Total | 775,749 | 36 |  | 195,969 | 71,211 |  |

Sources: U.S. Department of Commerce, FT 246/Annual 1974, June 1976. Tariff data supplied by U.S. Department of State.
possibilities. In fact, if a 50 percent market share minimum is imposed for principal supplier items, that country's top four export items to the U.S. would be eliminated from consideration. The spillover ratio of the rest of items with significant trade gains potential is much lower. Major recipient countries of spillover benefits are Japan, Korea, Malaysia, Hong Kong, and Brazil. Japan is likely to benefit greatly in fixed capacitor (68580) and realize some gains in glassware (54654). Korea, to some lesser extent, Malaysia, and Hong Kong, could derive large increases in their exports of electronic transistors and tubes (68760). Brazil would be the chief beneficiary of trade expansion in scrap tobacco (17060). Detailed information on market share analysis are recorded in Table XXIX.

The importance of OAP trade among negotiable U.S. imports from Mexico is quite apparent. Items for which some imports entering the U.S. under OAP included most of the large manufactured exports of that country. The most significant ones are two large items of electronic components--TSUS 68580 and 68760. The combination of high ratios for U.S. components to customs value, and OAP trade to total imports seem to indicate that tariff reductions on OAP items could have significant adverse impact on U.S. exports of components. ${ }^{1}$ Table XXX contains data on negotiable U.S. imports affected by OAP.

In return for U.S. tariff reductions, Mexico has much to offer. Its tariffs on imports principally supplied by the U.S. could restrict U.S. exports by $\$ 530$ million. Among U.S. exports to Mexico, road
${ }^{1}$ To the extent Mexican assemblers are U.S. owned, tariff reductions would not hurt U.S. export of components.

MARKET SHARE ANALYSIS OF MAJOR NEGOTIABLE U.S. IMPORTS FROM MEXICO, BRAZIL, TAIWAN, KOREA

| TSUS <br> Number | Total <br> Trade Expansion | Market <br> Share of Principal Supplier in 1974 | r |  | (2) |  | Percent ing Suppli | age <br> ers | Share of of U.S. <br> (4) |  | $\begin{array}{ll} \\ \\ & \text { (5) }\end{array}$ |  | (6) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MEXICO | * (\$ 1,000 ) | (\%) |  | (\%) |  | (\%) |  | (\%) |  | (\%) |  |  |  | (\%) |
| 10045 | 3,100 | 91 | Canada | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13590 | 1,545 | 96 | Bahamas | 4 | Canada | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13691 | 1,218 | 89 | Italy | 4 | Canada | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13710 | 1,468 | 92 | Dom.Rep. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13760 | 4,861 | 99 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13763 | 2,611 | 99 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14675 | 2,867 | 91 | Poland | 7 | N.Zeal and | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14815 | 2,800 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16850 | 3,002 | 92 | U.S.S.R. | 3 | U.K. | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17060 | 3,184 | 32 | Brazil | 13 | Dom.Rep. | 12 | Arg. | 8 | Colum. | 5 | Korea | 5 | Paraguay | 4 |
| 20660 | 1,766 | 61 | Taiwan | 16 | Italy | 9 | Belgium | 3 | Canada | 2 | Netherlands | 2 | W.Germany | 2 |
| 25685 | 1,302 | 89 | Canada | 7 | W.Germany | 2 | J apan | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31525 | 2,175 | 83 P | Portugal. | 14 | Columbia | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52224 | 1,401 | 92 | Spain | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53531 | 1,970 | 73 | J apan | 13 | U.K. | 3 | Phil.Rep. | 3 | Columbia | 3 | Italy | 2 | Korea | 1 |
| 54654 | 4,535 | 22 | J apan | 12 | W.Germany | 6 | Romania | 6 | Italy | 8 | Taiwan | 5 | Czecho. | 5 |
| 68580 | 23,380 | 31 | J apan | 30 | W.Germany | 11 | Taiwan | 8 | Jamacia | 4 | Netherlands | 2 |  |  |
| 68760 | 104,900 | 16 | Korea | 15 | Malaysia | 12 | HongKong | 11 | Taiwan | 7 | Japan | 7 |  |  |
| BRAZIL * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12120 | 764 | 36 | France | 21 | U.K. | 15 | W.Germany | 15 | Sweden | 4 | Belgium | 3 | Canada | 3 |
| 16535 | 2,739 | 69 | Mexico | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17602 | 715 | 89 | India | : 7 | Japan | 3 | Phil.Rep. | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE XXIX (Continued)

| TSUS <br> Number | Total <br> Trade Expansion | Market Share of Principal Supplier inf 1974 | $\begin{array}{ll}1 & \\ r & \\ 4 & \\ \end{array}$ | (2) |  | Percen ing Supp1 <br> (3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tage } \\ & \text { iers } \end{aligned}$ | Share o of U.S. <br> (4) | Impo | (5) | (6) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BRAZ IL** | (\$1, 000) | (\%) | (\%) |  | (\%) |  | (\%) |  | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) |
| 43764 | 822 | 81 | Taiwan 10 | J apan | 2 | India | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 60780 | 614 | 92 | Canada 5 | S.Africa | 1 | U.K. | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 70052 | 187 | 61 | Korea 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 73041 | 598 | 65 | Canada 30 | Italy | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 91107 | 578 | 35 | Gaban 29 | Austral. | 15 | S.Africa | 7 | Morocco | 7 |  |  |
| TAIWAN * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14420 | 2,574 | 70 | Korea 18 | J apan | 2 | France | 1 | Co Rica | 1 |  |  |
| 20697 | 5,553 | 42 | Japan 13 | Phil.Rep | 11 | Thailand | 5 | Yugus 1v | 3 |  |  |
| 68810 | 5,194 | 72 | Korea 14 | Italy | 11 | $J$ apan | 2 | Mexico | 1 |  |  |
| 70055 | 23,518 | 51 | Italy 28 | Spain | 9 | HongKong | 3 | Austral | 1 |  |  |
| 70535 | 8,770 | 33 | Mexico 17 | HongKong | 15 | Korea | 12 | Phi.Rep | 11 |  |  |
| 70585 | 4,395 | 40 H | HongKong17 | Phil.Rep | 15 | Korea | 14 | J apan ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 9 |  |  |
| 70660 | 28,524 | 26 | Korea 24 | HongKong | 18 | Mexico | 10 | J apan | 9 |  |  |
| 75105 | 5,983 | 58 | HongKong18 | J apan | 9 | Korea | 7 | Canada | 6 |  |  |
| 77235 | 1,714 | 61 | J apan 27 | HongKong | 5 | Korea | 4 | Israel | 3 |  |  |
| 79039 | 1,765 | 67 | Japan 17 | No rway | 5 | Mexico | 2 | U.K. | 2 |  |  |
| 68520 | 81,822 | 34 | Japan 34 | Mexico | 20 | Korea | 3 | Brazil | 2 |  |  |
| KOREA * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24017 | 55,570 | 59 | Taiwan 30 | Phil.Rep | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24025 | 3,249 | 75 | Taiwan 22 | J apan | 1 | Singapore |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70053 | 11,852 | 72 | Taiwan 20 | J apan | 2 | Sweden | 1 | Italy | 1 |  |  |

TABLE XXIX (Continued)


* Items with potential trade expansion of $\$ 1,000,000$ or more.
** Items with potential trade expansion of $\$ 100,000$ or more.
Sources: U.S. Department of Commerce, FT 246/Annual 1974, June 1976.

TABLE XXX
SUMMARY INFORMATION ON NEGOTIABLE U.S.P.S. IMPORTS affected by offshore assembly provision

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { TSUS } \\ & \text { Number } \end{aligned}$ | Commodity <br> Description | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Imports } \end{gathered}$ | OAP <br> Impores Share | OAP Imports From Principal Supplier |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Customs Value | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dutiable } \\ & \text { Value } \end{aligned}$ | U.S. <br> Components | Component Share |
| MEXICO | - 807.00 | (\$1,000) | (\%) | ------ | (\$1,000) - | ------- | (\%) |
| 25685 | Articles of paper | 12,924 | 83 | 10,700 | 7,221 | 3,479 | 33 |
| 53531 | Ceramic plu. fixture | 7,981 | 23 | 1,723 | 183 | 1,540 | 89 |
| 64937 | Clamps | 10,838 | 58 | 6,258 | 1,311 | 4,927 | 79 |
| 68580 | Fixed capacitors | 144,687 | 35 | 27,110 | 12,273 | 14,837 | 55 |
| 68760 | Transistor tubes 1 | 1,041,251 | 68 | 88,698 | 51,271 | 37,427 | 42 |
| 70029 | Leather footwear | 26,617 | 11 | 552 | 318 | 234 | 42 |
| 70085 | Footwear for men | 9,697 | 21 | 2,024 | 1,477 | 547 | 27 |
| 71030 | Auto. pilots \& part | 847 | 54 | 454 | 168 | 286 | 63 |
| 71319 | Parts for stroboscope | e 713 | 100 | 712 | 504 | 208 | 29 |
| 71614 | Watch movements nes | 3,694 | 95 | 3, 508 | 2,677 | 831 | 24 |
| 72670 | Wood-wind instrument | 4,341 | 53 | 68 | 24 | 44 | 65 |
| 72680 | Piano parts | 11,617 | 30 | 2,831 | 1,458 | 1,373 | 48 |
| MEXICO - 806.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 68580 | Fixed capacitors | --- | -- | 7,745 | 3,610 | 4,135 | 53 |
| 68760 | Transistors tubes | --m | -- | 64,015 | 45,989 | 18,027 | 28 |
| 72670 | Wood-wind instrument | - --- | -- | 2,239 | 668 | 1,571 | 70 |
| 72680 | Piano pares | -.-- | -- | 572 | 234 | 337 | 59 |
| TATMAN - 807.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 68520 | Television receivers | 966,991 | 46 | 215,130 | 33,372 | 181,757 | 84 |
| 70055 | Footwear | 230,482 | 1 | 165 | 10 | 150 | 91 |

TABLE XXX (Continued)

| TSUS <br> Number | Commodity Description | Total U.S. <br> Imports | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OAP } \\ & \text { Imports } \\ & \text { Share } \end{aligned}$ | OAP Imports From Principal Supplier |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Customs Value | Dutiable Value | U.S. <br> Components | Component Share |
|  |  | $(\$ 1,000)$ | (\%) | ------ | (\$1, 000) | ------ | (\%) |
| 70535 | Gloves | 38, 092 | 19 | 57 | 29 | 28 | 49 |
| 75035 | Feather dusters | 370 | 29 | 108 | 34 | 74 | 69 |
| KOREA - 807.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70027 | Footwear | 12,891 | 1 | 91 | 4 | 87 | 96 |
| 70053 | Boots | 23,811 | 2 | 573 | 22 | 551 | 96 |
| 71615 | Watch movement nes | 1,664 | 79 | 917 | 623 | 294 | 32 |
| 72025 | Watch bezels | 167 | 67 | 112 | 105 | 7 | 6 |
| 72075 | Watch assembles | 1,413 | 49 | 508 | 479 | 29 | 6 |

Sources: U.S. Department of Commerce, FT 246/Annual 1974, June 1976. Data supplied by U.S. International Trade Commission.
motor vehicles have the best prospects for trade expansion. This single 3-digit SITC Group provides two fifths of total estimated increase in export values. Other U.S. exports with excellent market expansion potential include non-electrical machinery and appliance, electric machinery and apparatus, electric power machinery and swittchgear, organic chemicals, and manufactured natural gas. It is clear that a linear tariff reduction of 15 percent across the board would be more than sufficient to balance any offer the U.S. can make. ${ }^{2}$ A list of largest negotiable items in Mexican imports along with corresponding maximum tariff rates are presented in Table XXXI.

## Brazil

The value of negotiable principal supplier imports from Brazil is relatively insignificant. Total U.S. imports from that country were $\$ 372$ million and over two thirds of such imports already receive dutyfree treatment. Out of $\$ 103$ million dutiable imports, 64 percent would be duty free except for country specific GSP exclusions. Estimated increase in U.S. imports on P.S. items of Brazil following tariff removal amounts to $\$ 7.2$ million of which $\$ 5$ million would be supplied by Brazil. U.S. tariffs on most items of interest to Brazil are relatively low, with the exception of concentrated fruit juice which is subject to 86.9 percent ad valorem duty. Concentrated fruit juice represents 40 percent of Brazil's trade expansion potential and is that country's most important negotiable trade items. Other articles of some negotiable interest
${ }^{2} 15 \%$ of $\$ 530$ million is $\$ 79.5$ million which exceeds $\$ 71$ million, the maximum trade gains accruing to Mexico following complete tariff removal by the U.S. on P.S. items of Mexico.

MAJOR NEGOTIABLE MEXICO IMPORTS PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY THE U.S.

| SITC <br> Number | Description | Mexico | Mkt Share of U.S. (\%) |  | $\frac{\text { Potential Trade Expansion }}{\text { Total }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Imports } \\ (\$ 1,000) \end{array}$ |  | (\%) | Tota | 000) |
| 001.1 | Live animals | 11,695 | 71 | 2.2 | 186 | 132 |
| 022.2 | Milk and cream | 32,406 | 44 | 27.6 | 5,187 | 2,282 |
| 041 | Wheat and mesling unmilled | 46, 526 | 98 | 20.0 | 5,738 | 5,623 |
| 044 | Maize(corn), unmilled | 17,009 | 100 | 1.3 | 162 | 162 |
| 045.9 | Cereals, unmilled, other than wt. | 17,498 | 99 | 5.1 | 628 | 622 |
| 211.1 | Hides and skins, undressed | 20,917 | 98 | 8.5 | 934 | 915 |
| 251.5 | Pulp and waste paper | 18,741 | 73 | 32.9 | 2,644 | 1,930 |
| 282 | Iron and steel scrap | 22,850 | 100 | 40.3 | 3,741 | 3,741 |
| 332.9 | Petroleum products | 11,730 | 90 | 175.0 | 6,345 | 5,710 |
| 341.1 | Gas, natural and manufactured | 46,254 | 82 | 185.3 | 25,535 | 20,939 |
| 512.1 | Organic chemicals | 26,371 | 76 | 23.0 | 7,890 | 5,996 |
| 512.4 | Organic chemicals | 10, 481 | 84 | 49.8 | 5,575 | 4,693 |
| 512.5 | Organic chemicals | 24, 399 | 52 | 36.3 | 10,397 | 5,406 |
| 512.7 | Organic chemicals | 26, 174 | 49 | 18.1 | 6,418 | 3,145 |
| 513.2 | Inorganic chemicals | 11,526 | 86 | 18.3 | 2,853 | 2,453 |
| 513.6 | Inorganic chemicals | 17, 552 | 68 | 18.7 | 4,424 | 3,008 |
| 581.1 | Plastic materials | 24,026 | 49 | 24.9 | 7,664 | 3,755 |
| 581.2 | Plastic materials | 27,737 | 75 | 19.7 | 7,304 | 5,478 |
| 599.9 | Chemical materials \& products | 21,405 | 78 | 30.9 | 8,085 | 6,306 |
| 642.9 | Articles made of paper pulp | 10,099 | 94 | 43.3 | 4,882 | 4, 590 |
| 695.2 | Tools used in the hand or machine | 20, 567 | 53 | 27.8 | 7,158 | 3,794 |
| 698 | Manufactures of metal, nes | 27,476 | 83 | 50.4 | 14,732 | 12,227 |
| 711.4 | Non-electric power generating machinery | 11,212 | 76 | 6.7 | 1,126 | 856 |
| 711.5 | Non-electric power generating machinery | 49,922 | 86 | 13.1 | 9, 252 | 7,956 |
| 712.2 | Agricultural machinery implements | 11,550 | 70 | 5.7 | 997 | 698 |
| 712.5 | Agricultural machinery implements | 41, 566 | 78 | 9.1 | 5,547 | 4,327 |

TABLE XXXI (Continued)

| SITC <br> Number | Description | Mexico <br> Imports <br> (\$ 1, 000) | Mkt Share of U.S. (\%) | "Ave" <br> (\%) | $\frac{\text { Potential Trade Expa }}{\text { Total }(\$ 1,000)}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 714.9 | Office machines | 23,725 | 76 | 14.6 | 4,836 | 3,675 |
| 7-18.4 | Machines for special industries | 33,639 | 83 | 15.4 | 7,183 | 5,962 |
| 719.1 | Non-electric machinery \& appliances | 34,947 | 45 | 26.3 | 11,643 | 5,240 |
| 719.2 | Non-electric machinery \& appliances | 48,239 | 69 | 17.1 | 11,271 | 7,777 |
| 719.3 | Non-electric machinery \& appliances | 17,204 | 71 | 20.4 | 4,664 | 3,311 |
| 719.6 | Non-electric machinery \& appliances | 23, 532 | 57 | 22.0 | 6,790 | 3,870 |
| 719.7 | Non-electric machinery \& appliances | 18,315 | 51 | 10.0 | 2,664 | 1,358 |
| 719.9 | Non-electric machinery \& appliances | 37,986 | 73 | 16.3 | 8, 518 | 6,219 |
| 722.1 | Electric power machinery, switch | 65,527 | 62 | 32.2 | 25,537 | 15,833 |
| 722.2 | Electric power machinery, switch | 43, 709 | 62 | 33.7 | 17,627 | 10,927 |
| 724.1 | Telecommunication apparatus | 11,216 | 89 | 79.9 | 7,970 | 7,094 |
| 729.3 | Other electric machinery apparatus | 47,916 | 92 | 20.2 | 12,884 | 11,853 |
| 729.5 | Other electric machinery apparatus | 16,862 | 68 | 15.4 | 3, 600 | 2,448 |
| 729.9 | Other electric machinery apparatus | 38, 614 | 82 | 23.0 | 11,553 | 9,473 |
| 732.1 | Road motor vehicles | 131,071 | 56 | 76.4 | 90,828 | 50,864 |
| 732.2 | Road motor vehicles | 16,487 | 100 | 115.2 | 14,121 | 14,121 |
| 732.3 | Road motor vehicles | 63,757 | 95 | 28.6 | 22,687 | 21,552 |
| 732.8 | Road motor vehicles | 66, 130 | 84 | 324.9 | 80,906 | 57,961 |
| 734.1 | Aircraft | 13,645 | 97 | 12.5 | 2,426 | 2,353 |
| 841.1 | Clothing, except furs | 39, 118 | 86 | 101.1 | 31,466 | 27,060 |
| 861.6 | Scientific, medical instruments | 10, 510 | 83 | 19.4 | 2,732 | 2, 268 |
| 861.9 | Scientific, medical instruments | 27,477 | 68 | 20.0 | 7,327 | 4,983 |
| 862.4 | Photographic \& cinemato. supply | 18,576 | 57 | 30.1 | 6,876 | 3,920 |
| 891.1 | Musical \& sound instruments | 9,716 | 88 | 36.0 | 4,115 | 3,621 |
| 893 | Articles of artificial materials | 9,514 | 91 | 101.0 | 7,649 | 6,961 |
| 894.2 | Perambulators, toys, games | 17,292 | 91 | 63.8 | 10,776 | 9,806 |
|  | Total | 1,492,413 | 73 |  | 574,053 | 417,254 |

include menthol, castor oil, ferroalloys, and inexpensive shotguns. A11 negotiable U.S. Imports principally supplied by Brazil are listed in Table XXXII.

The spillover benefactors of tariff reductions include other countries in the sample group and a few developed market economies, but few values are particularly large. Brazil could realize significant gains in concentrated fruit juice.

No items of negotiable interest are affected by OAP and the potential loss of preferential margins by beneficiaries of GSP appear to be insignificant since Brazil's market shares in principal supplier "A*" items are quite large and the trade gain potential is quite small. Additional information on potential spillovers can be found in Table XXIX.

Brazil has a highly restrictive import policy. Tariffs of that country could affect $\$ 492$ million potential imports on principal supplier items of the U.S. from all sources, of which $\$ 286$ million would be supplied by the U.S. A diverse group of items are affected. The most important ones are manufactured fertilizers, aircraft, agricultural machinery and implements, and organic chemicals. Since the potential trade expansion is nearly 50 times greater than the U.S' possible Brazilian concessions that could balance any $U_{0} S_{\text {. offers }}$ are almost endless. Table XXXIII presents items of negotiable interest to the U.S. in Brazilian imports.

Taiwan

Table XXXIV presents information on negotiable items among $U_{0} S_{9}$ imports principally supplied by Taiwan. U.S. Tariffs on these items apply to $\$ 938$ million trade flows from that country. About one third of this

TABLE XXXII
NEGOTIABLE U.S. IMPORTS PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY BRAZIL

| TSUS <br> Number | Description | Total U.S. Imports (\$1,000) | Mkt Share Brazil (\%) | "Ave" <br> (\%) | $\frac{\text { Potential Trade Ex. }}{\text { Total }} \underset{(\$ 1,000)}{\text { Brazil }}$ |  | Special Feature |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12120 | Genuine patent leather | 12,557 | 36 | 3.5 | 764 | 275 | - |
| 13160 | Corn feed | 448 | 87 | 8.0 | 27 | 23 | - |
| 14179 | Palm hearts | 885 | 97 | 8.5 | 55 | 54 | A* |
| 16535 | Concentrated fruit juice | 7,368 | 69 | 86.8 | 2,739 | 1,890 | - |
| 17601 | Castor oil, under $20 ¢ / 1 \mathrm{~b}$ | 325 | 100 | 7.5 | 8 | 8 | A* |
| 17602 | Castor oil, over $20 ¢ / 1 \mathrm{~b}$ | 43,224 | 89 | 4.0 | 715 | 636 | $A^{*}$ |
| 43764 | Menthol | 29,010 | 81 | 1.6 | 822 | 666 | A* |
| 60740 | Ferromolybdenum | 140 | 76 | 7.1 | 17 | 13 | - |
| 60780 | Ferroalloys | 7,164 | 92 | 5.0 | 614 | 565 | - |
| 70052 | Rubber or plastic footwear | 519 | 80 | 25.0 | 187 | 149 | - |
| 73027 | Rifles under \$25each | 584 | 69 | 12.9 | 120 | 83 | $A^{*}$ |
| 73041 | Shotguns under \$ 25 each | 2,721 | 65 | 13.9 | 598 | 389 | $A^{*}$ |
| 91107 | Mang, ore | 43, 349 | 35 | 3.2 | 578 | 202 | - |
|  | Total | 148,294 | 68 |  | 7,244 | 4,953 |  |

Sources: U.S. Department of Commerce, FT 246/Annual 1974, June 1976. Tariff data supplied by U.S. Department of State.

## TABLE XXXIII

MAJOR NEGOTIABLE BRAZIL IMPORTS PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY THE U.S.


TABLE XXXIII (Continued)

| SITC <br> Number | Description | Brazil <br> Imports | Mkt Share of U.S. (\%) | "Ave" <br> (\%) | $\frac{\text { Potential Trade Expansion }}{\text { Total }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $(\$ 1,000)$ |  |  |  | , 000 |
| 734.1 | Aircraft | 97,911 | 88 | 7.0 | 10,249 | 9,019 |
| 735.9 | Ships and boats | 42,118 | 54 | 7.0 | 4,409 | 2,381 |
| 861.6 | Scientific \& medical instruments | 33,696 | 81 | 34.3 | 13,769 | 11,153 |
|  | . . Total | 1,598,161 | 58 |  | 440,489 | 253,918 |

Sources: U.N. Series D, Commodity Trade Statistics. Tariff data supplied by U.S. Department of State.

TABLE XXXIV
NEGOTIABLE U.S. IMPORTS PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY TAIWAN

| TSUS <br> Number | Description | Total U.S. Imports (\$1,000) | Mkt Share Taiwan (\%) | "Ave" (\%) | $\frac{\text { Potenti }}{\text { Total }}$ | $\frac{\text { ade Ex. }}{\text { Taiwan }}$ | Special Feature |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14170 | Waterchestnuts | 3,901 | 99 | 17.5 | 465 | 460 | A* |
| 14181 | Vegetables | 18,802 | 32 | 17.5 | 2,240 | 717 | - |
| 14420 | Mushrooms | 25,557 | 70 | 14.4 | 2,574 | 1,802 | - |
| 14560 | Nuts | 815 | 51 | 28.0 | 143 | 73 | A* |
| 15440 | Ginger root | 317 | 50 | 13.5 | 30 | 15 | A* |
| 20665 | Wood blinds | 704 | 58 | 16.7 | 181 | 105 | - |
| 20667 | Blinds, shutters screens | 3,472 | 26 | 20.0 | 1,042 | 271 | - |
| 20697 | Wood garment hanger \& utensils | 41,648 | 42 | 8.0 | 5,553 | 2, 332 | - |
| 22250 | Blinds of vegetable fibers | 995 | 81 | 20.0 | 299 | 242 | - |
| 31590 | Jute cordage | 295 | 88 | 10.5 | 50 | 44 | - |
| 31595 | Jute cordage | 628 | 98 | 13.0 | 130 | 127 | - |
| 42796 | Wood alcohol | 12,191 | 23 | 11.4 | 3,246 | 516 | - |
| 49320 | Natural campor | 102 | 94 | 0.1 | neg | neg | A* |
| 49321 | Natural campor, advanced | 2,672 | 96 | 0.4 | 19 | 18 | A* |
| 54411 | Glass strips | 850 | 55 | 22.0 | 276 | 152 | A* |
| 54635 | Glassware | 3,000 | 47 | 20.0 | 900 | 423 | - |
| 64680 | Padlocks | 3,034 | 38 | 5.3 | 275 | 104 | - |
| 65047 | Barbeque forks with wooden handle | 106 | 59 | 11.9 | 20 | 12 | - |
| 65255 | Bicycle parts | 256 | 66 | 20.0 | 77 | 51 | A* |
| 65385 | Cast articles | 4,163 | 70 | 4.0 | 288 | 202 | A* |
| 68630 | Christmas tree lamps | 4,028 | 52 | 10.0 | 798 | 415 | A* |
| 68810 | Christmas lighting sets | 17,317 | 72 | 20.0 | 5,194 | 3,740 | A* |
| 69635 | Pneumatic craft | 6,306 | 61 | 6.0 | 643 | 392 | A* |
| 70051 | Footwear, polyvinyl exterior | 171 | 85 | 12.5 | 34 | 29 | A* |
| 70055 | Footwear | 230,825 | 51 | 6.0 | 23,518 | 11,994 | -, OAP |
| 70070 | Footwear, slipper socks | 9,372 | 28 | 7.5 | 1,177 | 330 | - |

TABLE XXXIV (Continued)

| TSUS <br> Number | Description | ```Total U.S. Imports ($1,000)``` | Mkt Share Taiwan (\%) | "Ave" (\%) | $\frac{\text { Potential Trade Ex. }}{\text { Total }(\$ 1,000)}$ |  | Special <br> Feature |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70080 | Footwear, non-leather soles | 5,086 | 42 | 12.5 | 1,017 | 427 | - |
| 70305 | Headwear | 5,312 | 48 | 18.0 | 1,459 | 700 | - |
| 70485 | Gloves | 9,910 | 33 | 38.1 | 4,921 | 1,624 | - |
| 70535 | Gloves, horse or cowhide | 37,354 | 33 | 15.0 | 8,770 | 2,894 | - |
| 70585 | Gloves, rubber | 18,719 | 40 | 15.0 | 4,395 | 1,758 | - |
| 70623 | Handbags | 12,105 | 57 | 6.5 | 1,330 | 758 | - |
| 70660 | Handbags \& luggage | 95,081 | 26 | 20.0 | 28,524 | 7,416 | - |
| 71527 | Clocks, nes | 2,675 | 32 | 30.9 | 1,164 | 364 | - |
| 72014 | Clock movements nex | 470 | 28 | 25.9 | 174 | 49 | $A^{*}$ |
| 73130 | Fishing casts or leaders | 634 | 53 | 17.5 | 170 | 90 | - |
| 73224 | Bicycles | 3,750 | 51 | 1.1 | 73 | 37 | A* |
| 73410 | Billard \& pool equipment | 6,582 | 81 | 8.0 | 878 | 711 | A* |
| 73450 | Badminton sets | 5,067 | 78 | 14.0 | 1,120 | 874 | A* |
| 73460 | Crequet equipment | 161 | 80 | 8.0 | 21 | 17 | A* |
| 74840 | Ornamental articles of feathers | 8,460 | 51 | 7.0 | 996 | 508 | $A^{*}, \mathrm{OAP}$ |
| 75035 | Feather dusters | 367 | 66 | 7.0 | 43 | 29 | $A^{*}$ |
| 75105 | Umbrellas | 19,942 | 58 | 20.0 | 5,983 | 3,470 | - |
| 75120 | Umbrella frames | 320 | 67 | 30.0 | 133 | 89 | - |
| 75515 | Fireworks | 5,923 | 31 | 12.3 | 1,168 | 362 | A* |
| 77235 | House furnishings | 16,825 | 61 | 6.0 | 1,714 | 1,046 | - |
| 77257 | Bicycle tubes | 14,029 | 30 | 15.0 | 3,294 | 988 | A* |
| 79039 | Pneumatic mattresses | 17,328 | 67 | 6.0 | 1,765 | 1,183 | A* |
| 68520 | Television receivers | 954, 594 | 34 | 5.0 | 81,822 | 27,820 | -, OAP |
|  | Total | 1,632,221 | 39 |  | 200,106 | 77,780 |  |

Sources: U.S. Department of Commerce, FT 246/Annual 1974, June 1976. Tariff data supplied by U.S. Department of State.
sum was under quota restriction of Multifiber Agreements, which was considered nonnegotiable. The estimated trade expansion from complete tariff elimination on negotiable items is $\$ 194$ million from all sources, of which $\$ 75$ million would be supplied by Taiwan. Miscellaneous manufactured products and metal products are the two commodity categories with the best prospects. Among the first group, a category of footwear with polyvinyl exteriors, subject to 6.0 percent tariff, has the largest trade value. Other items sucil as luggage, umbrella, Christmas lighting sets, wood garment hangers and wooden utensils, rubber and leather gloves, mushrooms and other canned vegetables are also of considerable value and are under quite severe tariff restriction. Other light manufactured products with over $\$ 1$ million trade gain potential include pneumatic mattresses, bicycle tubes, and house furnishings. Among metal products, television receivers account for 95 percent of the trade values. In 1974, Taiwan and Japan had virtually equal market shares in this product which provided $\$ 350$ million in imports from Taiwan. Negotiation on this item would be more complex due to the importance of Offshore Assembly Provision. About 46 percent of U.S. imports entered under either 807.00 or 806.30 provisions. For imports from Taiwan, approximately 84 percent of the customs value consists of U.S. components. Tariff reductions on this item could lead to significant reductions on demand for U.S. components as in the case of some trade with Mexico. All of the negotiable items with Taiwan are listed in Table XXXIV. Table XXX contains information on negotiable items affected by OAP.

Taiwan's share of estimated increase in its principal supplier items represents 39 percent of total increase in such items. Countries
that could realize the most spillover benefits are Japan, Korea, Hong Kong, and Mexico. Japan's market position in television receivers could make her an important benefactor of U.S. tariff reductions on these items. She is also likely to benefit from trade liberalization in a number of other light manufactured products. Korea has the most to gain in items such as Christmas lighting sets, handbags and luggage, as well as wood garment hangers and utensils. Hong Kong could realize trade gains in things like rubber gloves, umbrella, and house furnishings. Mexico is expected to realize sizeable increage in television receiver exports. Spain and Italy could also benefit to some extent from tariff reductions on footwear articles. Market share analysis for major negotiable items in trade with Taiwan are presented in Table XXIX. Taiwan's tariff structure could restrict an estimated $\$ 199$ million of additional U.S. exports. Favorable market conditions exist for diverse group of U.S. products. Nonelectric power generating machinery, equipment for distributing electricity, machines for special industries, cotton, scrap metals, tobacco manufactures, organic chemicals and plastic materials all have substantial expansion potential. An average of 35 percent tariff reductions by $T$ aiwan appear to be adequate to equal any concessions the U.S. could offer. Table XXXV presents major export items of negotiable interest to the U.S. in bilateral trade with Taiwan.

## Korea

Dutiable U.S. imports from Korea amounted to $\$ 396$ million in 1974. Excluding approximately $\$ 90$ million of items restricted by MFA quotas and $\$ 6$ million of tableware articles subject to escape clause actions, \$300 million could form the basis for bilateral negotiations. Following

TABLE XXXV
MAJOR NEGOTIABLE TAIWAN IMPORTS PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY THE U.S.

| SITC <br> Number | Description | Taiwan Imports (\$1,000) | Mkt Share of U.S. (\%) | Potential Trade Expansion |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | (\%) | (\$ | 00) |
| 122 | Tobacco manufacturers | 28,854 | 78 | 130.0 | 12,069 | 9,413 |
| 251.2 | Pulp and waste paper | 15,467 | 69 | 13.0 | 1,014 | 700 |
| 263.1 | Cotton | 190, 599 | 64 | 16.0 | 14,985 | 9,590 |
| 282.0 | Iron and steel scrap | 53, 168 | 85 | 13.0 | 3,487 | 2,964 |
| 411.33 | Animal oils and fats | 21, 501 | 59 | 26.3 | 2, 552 | 1,506 |
| 511.3 | Miscellaneous Chemicals* | 26,717 | 64 | 78.0 | 18,732 | 11,988 |
| 524.1 | Chemicals, unidentified* | 19,152 | 99 | 26.3 | 6,381 | 6,317 |
| 591 | Chemicals, unidentified* | 31, 288 | 42 | 26.9 | 10,612 | 4,457 |
| 514.3 | Medical and pharmaceutical products | 10,536 | 42 | 15.0 | 2,199 | 924 |
| 598.2 | Chemical materials \& products* | 9,526 | 98 | 26.9 | 3,231 | 3,166 |
| 598.98 | Chemical materials \& products* | 21,989 | 36 | 26.9 | 7,458 | 2,685 |
| 611 | Leather | 14,995 | 70 | 57.5 | 8,759 | 6,131 |
| 678.5 | Iron or steel pipe fittings | 12,417 | 53 | 33.0 | 4,929 | 2,613 |
| 682.12 | Copper | 31,099 | 40 | 19.1 | 7,980 | 3,192 |
| 684.1 | Aluminum | 13,014 | 42 | 26.0 | 4,297 | 1,805 |
| 711.1 | Non-electric power generating machinery | 56,402 | 96 | 21.0 | 15,662 | 15,036 |
| 716 | Machinery products, unidentified* | 93,885 | 61 | 21.8 | 26,886 | 16,400 |
| 718.7 | Machines for special industries | 100, 292 | 50 | 27.6 | 34,709 | 17,355 |
| 723.4 | Equipment for distributing elec. | 51,374 | 43 | 52.0 | 28,121 | 12,092 |
| 776 | Electric machinery \& apparatus* | 117,855 | 46 | 24.1 | 36,620 | 16,845 |
| 782.2 | Transport equipment, unidentified* | 11,578 | 66 | 24.1 | 3,597 | 2,374 |
| 791.91 | Railroad equipment* | 20, 569 | 41 | 24.1 | 6,391 | 2,620 |

TABLE XXXV (Continued)

| SITC <br> Number | Description | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Taiwan } \\ & \text { Imports } \\ & (\$ 1,000) \end{aligned}$ | Mkt Share of U.S. <br> (\%) | "Ave" (\%) | $\frac{\text { Potential Trade Expansion }}{\text { Total }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | ${ }^{\text {U.S. }}$ |
| 792.9 | Transport equipment unidentified* | 20, 129 | 95 | 24.1 | 6,254 | 5,942 |
| 874.8 | Miscellaneous manu. unidentified* | 24, 280 | 42 | 38.3 | 10,758 | 4, 519 |
| 885.29 | Mis. manufactures, unidentified* | 19,905 | 32 | 38.3 | 8,820 | 2,822 |
|  | Total | 1,016,591 | 57 |  | 286, 503 | 163,456 |

* Descriptions obtained from corresponding BTN items.

Sources: Inspectorate General of Customs, Taipei, Taiwan, The Trade of China (Taiwan District) 1975. Tariff data supplied by U.S. Department of State.
tariff removal, estimated total trade expansion on this trade flow is approximately $\$ 143 \mathrm{million}$ of which $\$ 78$ million would be supplied by Korea. Two groups of plywood subject to 20 percent ad valorem U. $S_{\text {: }}$ tariffs, represent nearly half of the potential expansion. Considerable value is also provided by rubber boots and other footwear articles severely restricted by high tariff rates. Other products with estimated increase of $\$ 1$ million or more include wigs, wearing apparel of rubber and plastics, and baseball gloves.

The share of trade expansion accruing to Korea represents 54 percent of estimated trade gains leaving 46 percent spillover benefits for other sources. Taiwan would be the major benefactor with only Japan and Hong Kong gaining significant additional exports. For seven negotiable items with estimated increase exceeding $\$ 1$ million, estimated export expansion for Taiwan is $\$ 35 \mathrm{million}$. This factor clearly needs to be taken into consideration in negotiations with Korea and Taiwan. No negotiable items for Korea appear to be seriously affected by OAP. Only a small share of footwear articles and watch imports from that country entered under these provisions.

Complete tariff elimination by Korea on imports principally supplied by the $U . S_{p}$ could lead to $\$ 56$ million in trade gains for the $U . S$. which is $\$ 22$ million below estimated trade gains available to Korea. The ability to balance what the U.S. has to offer may be an important factor in trade talks with Korea. Items with the best expansion potential principally supplied by the U.S, are rice, electrical machinery and apparatus, wheat, corn, cotton, animal fats and oils, and office machines. Tables XXXVI and XXXVII present items of negotiable interest to both Korea and the U.S. in bilateral trade.

TABLE XXXVI
NEGOTIABLE U.S. IMPORTS PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY KOREA

| TSUS <br> Number | Description | ```Total U.S. Imports ($1,000)``` | Mkt Share Korea (\%) | "Ave" (\%) | $\frac{\text { Potential Trade Ex. }}{\text { Total Korea }}$ |  | Special <br> Feature |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11050 | Atlantic ocean perch | 603 | 32 | 3.1 | 15 | 5 | - |
| 17032 | Cigarette leaf | 11,484 | 19 | 26.5 | 1,925 | 366 | - |
| 24017 | P 1ywood | 185, 232 | 59 | 20.0 | 55,570 | 32,786 | - |
| 24025 | Plywood, face finished | 10,830 | 75 | 20.0 | 3,249 | 2,437 | A* |
| 25660 | Albums for photo and records | 9,915 | 52 | 6.5 | 1,089 | 566 | A* |
| 41740 | Ammonium tungstate | 4,123 | 100 | 15.3 | 985 | 985 | - |
| 42530 | Monosodium glutamate | 7,464 | 42 | 16.0 | 1,853 | 778 | - |
| 60345 | Tungsten materials | 715 | 88 | 15.9 | 177 | 155 | A* |
| 70027 | Leather footwear, low value | 12,876 | 41 | 5.0 | 1,104 | 453 | -,OAP |
| 70053 | Boots, rubber or plastic | 24, 143 | 72 | 37.5 | 11,852 | 8, 533 | -, OAP |
| 70060 | Rubber footwear, Oxford ht, | 112,138 | 45 | 20.0 | 33,641 | 15,139 | - |
| 71615 | Watch movement, nes | 1,665 | 55 | 2.9 | 84 | 46 | - |
| 72025 | Watch bezels | 167 | 67 | 17.7 | 45 | 30 | -, OAP |
| 72075 | Watch assemblies | 1,399 | 36 | 22.5 | 463 | 167 | -, OAP |
| 73150 | Fish landing nets | 791 | 63 | 12.5 | 158 | 100 | A* |
| 73454 | Baseball gloves | 23,822 | 55 | 15.0 | 5,593 | 3,076 | A* |
| 75065 | Paint brushes | 409 | 50 | 10.0 | 67 | 33 | A* |
| 77230 | Wearing apparel of rubber, plastic | 78,791 | 31 | 12.5 | 15,758 | 4,885 | - |
| 79070 | Wigs \& hair pieces | 57, 313 | 89 | 7.0 | 6,749 | 6,007 | A* |
|  | Total | 543,880 | 55 |  | 140,377 | 76,547 |  |

[^19]
## TABLE XXXVII

NEGOTIABLE KOREA IMPORTS PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY THE U.S.

| SITC <br> Number | Description | Korea | Mkt Share of U.S. (\%) | "Ave" <br> (\%) | Potential Trade Expansion |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Imports |  |  | Total | U.S. |
|  |  | - (\$1,000) |  |  | (\$1,000) |  |
| 001.1 | Live animals | 2,357 | 75 | 10.6 | 167 | 125 |
| 022.2 | Milk and cream | 3, 501 | 74 | 96.5 | 1,272 | 942 |
| 041 | Wheat, unmilled | 128,136 | 96 | 10.0 | 8,620 | 8,275 |
| 042.1 | Rice | 101,109 | 86 | 25.0 | 14,964 | 12,869 |
| 044 | Maize, unmilled | 22,913 | 99 | 50.0 | 5,652 | 5,595 |
| 046 | Cereals, meal and flour | 3,960 | 70 | 35.0 | 1,079 | 756 |
| 071.3 | Coffee | 1,146 | 100 | 149.8 | 509 | 509 |
| 081.3 | Animal feed | 1,624 | 100 | 25.0 | 240 | 240 |
| 099 | Food preparations | 2,825 | 48 | 65.9 | 830 | 399 |
| 211.1 | Hides and skins | 8,676 | 83 | 25.0 | 989 | 821 |
| 221.4 | Oil seeds, nuts | 5, 124 | 100 | 25.0 | 584 | 584 |
| 242.2 | Rough wood | 14,068 | 75 | 10.0 | 729 | 547 |
| 251.1 | Pulp and waste paper | 2,012 | 80 | 10.0 | 131 | 105 |
| 251.6 | Pulp and waste paper | 2,035 | 74 | 10.0 | 104 | 78 |
| 263.1 | Cotton | 85,477 | 97 | 10.0 | 4,429 | 4,296 |
| 282 | Iron and steel scrap | 27,725 | 81 | 5.0 | 753 | 610 |
| 284 | Non-ferrous metal scrap | 12,893 | 73 | 8.9 | 601 | 438 |
| 411.3 | Animal oils \& fats | 16, 300 | 100 | 35.0 | 2,409 | 2,409 |
| 711.6 | Non-electric power generating | 2,262 | 97 | 10.0 | 329 | 319 |
| 714.2 | Office machine | 5,055 | 61 | 9.9 | 729 | 444 |
| 714.9 | Office machine | 12,956 | 88 | 6.2 | 1,210 | 1,065 |
| 729.3 | Electric machinery \& apparatus | 67,489 | 70 | 20.3 | 18,221 | 12,755 |
| 732.3 | Road motor vehicles | 2,443 | 49 | 50.0 | 1,303 | 638 |
|  | Total | 532,086 | 83 |  | 65,854 | 54,819 |

Sources: U.N. Series D, Commodity Trade Statistics. Tariff data supplied by U.S. Department of State.

## CHAPTER VII

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The purpose of this study is to determine the feasibility of mutual1y beneficial tariff reductions on a traditional most-favored-nation basis between the U.S. and four of the more-advanced less developed countries - Mexico, Brazil, Taiwan, and Korea. The major question to be answered is whether it is wise for these developing countries to look beyond the restriction-ridden Generalized System of Proferences toward general tariff reductions in bilateral negotiations with the U.S. Using the principal supplier rule, dutiable trade flows that could form a basis for trade negotiations are identified and the potential trade expansion following tariff reductions is estimated. Major results of the study are summarized in Table XXXVIII.

There is no doubt about the existence of considerable dutiable U.S. imports principally supplied by the sample LDCs; nor is there any question about the merits of MFN tariff cut. Findings of this investigation indicate that U.S. tariffs applied to over $\$ 2$ billion worth of such imports from sample developing count ries with Taiwan providing nearly half of the total. Mexico and Korea are the next largest sources with $\$ 683$ million and $\$ 396$ million, respectively. Brazil is the last on the list with $\$ 103$ million in trade flows available for potential negotiations. The relatively insignificant negotiable trade value on the part of Brazil may reflect that country's heavy dependence on export of primary

## TABLE XXXVIII

SUMMARY INFORMATION ON MAJOR RESULTS OF THE STUDY


## TABLE XXXVIII (Continued)

| Description |  | Mexico | Brazil | Taiwan | Korea |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The Value of U.S. Imports of Negotiable | (\$mil) | 219 | - | 215 | 2 |
| Interest to Each Sample LDC That Would be |  |  |  |  |  |
| Affected by Offshore Assembly Provision of TSUS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potential Spillover Benefits Accruing to | (\$mil) | 125 | 2 | 119 | 65 |
| Other Source Countries Following U.S. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tariff Reductions on Imports of Negotiable |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest to Each Sample LDC | * |  |  |  |  |
| Potential Spillover Benefits as a Fraction of Total Trade Expansion Following U.S. | (\%) | 64 | 32 | 61 | 45 |
| Tariff Reduction on Imports of Negotiable |  |  |  |  |  |

products which are already duty free in the U.S.
The model used to estimate the impact of MFN tariff cuts on trade expansion is essentially a partial equilibrium model assuming homogeneous products. Imports and domestic products are considered to be perfect substitutes. Foreign export supply elasticities are assumed to be infinite. Excluding dutiable items subject to major NTBs, such as quota restrictions imposed on the basis of Multifiber Agreement (MFA) and escape-clause action of Trade Agreement Legislation, U.S. tariff reductions could bring a considerable amount of trade expansion to most sample LDGs beyond that obtainable under present GSP arrangements. Potential export expansion amounts to over $\$ 70$ million each for Mexico, Taiwan, and Korea. Brazil has some small amount of $\$ 5$ million. Trade items with large expansion potential, however, include many of the labor-intentive, import-sensitive, light manufactured products, such as textiles, watches, electronic products, footwear, and glassware articles. It is likely that U.S. duty reductions on many of these items in which LDCs are particularly interested will be difficult without substantial reciprocal trade liberalization by LDCs on major export items of the U.S.

Most sample LDGs have much to offer in return for potential U.S. concessions. There is evidence that the U.S. could realize several times the maximum benefits that would be available to sample developing countries as a group following mutual tariff removal. Estimated trade expansion that could accrue to the U.S. following tariff reductions by Mexico, Brazil, Taiwan, and Korea are, respectively, $\$ 530$ million, $\$ 286$ million, $\$ 199$ million, and $\$ 56$ million. With the exception of Korea, each sample IDC has more to offer than the U.S. Comparing
maximum trade expansion that would be available to the U.S. and each LDC in the sample group, an average 15 percent tariff cut across the board by Mexico would be sufficient to match any concession the U.S.' can offer in bilateral negotiation. The figure for Taiwan would be 35 percent. In the case of negotiation with Brazil, since the U.S. is expected to realize maximum trade gains 50 times greater, possible Brazilian concessions that could balance any U.S. offers are almost endless. Given the disparity in potential trade expansion, the ability of these LDCs to fully finance their additional imports from the U.S. could be a problem. Korea is the only sample LDC that could realize greater maximum trade gains than the U.S. Her inability to equal what the U.S. could offer may be the limiting factor in potential negotiation with that country. Among U.S. exports, heavy industrial products of machinery and transport equipment are likely to be the biggest gainers of trade liberalization.

To determine trade flows available for potential negotiations, three complicating factors have been explicitly taken into account. They are the Generalized System of Preferences, spillovers, and Offshore Assembly Provision of the U.S. tariff law.

The GSP affects the potential negotiation in two ways. First, many items principally supplied by the sample LDCs are eligible for duty-free treatment for a period of ten years and they are no longer of immediate negotiable interest. Second, some duty-free articles under GSP with substantial trade value and/or large market share are again dutiable due to country specific GSP exclusions. Mexico, with $\$ 151$ million in net GSP trade in 1974, would have been the leading benefactors if the program had been in effect. Potential benefits that could accrue to

Brazil, Taiwan, and Korea were much less significant. It is estimated that about two thirds of the potential GSP trade of sample LDCs would be excluded from duty-free benefits.

There are two types of spillover effects that would result from MFN tariff reductions by the U.S. on items of negotiable interest to sample LDCs. They are spillover benefits available to other suppliers of U.S. imports and potential costs to beneficiary LDCs of the U.S. GSP.

Spillover benefits arise because the market shares of the principal suppliers of the sample group of LDCs represent only a fraction of the total U.S. imports in these countries' P.S. items. Assuming equal foreign supply elasticities and identical domestic demand elasticities for imports from different countries, the expected increase in U.S. imports following tariff reduction can be split between the principal supplier and all other sources according to their prevailing relative market shares in each item. The respective shares of increase in U.S. imports that could accrue to the four sample countries in each country's P. $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{o}}$. items are 34, 32, 61, and 45 percent for Mexico, Brazil, Taiwan, and Korea leaving the rest to other sources of supply. A considerable portion of such spillover benefits go to other LDCs, but potential benefactors include some developed market economies as well. Such spil1overs can be used by the U.S. to her advantage in negotiating tariff reduction packages with sample LDCs, especially in the case of Taiwan and Korea which have similar export patterns.

Negative spillovers arise when tariff is reduced on TSUS "A*" items. These are items for which principal supplier imports into the U.S. are subject to full tariff, but imports from other beneficiary

LDCs enter duty free. A tariff cut on such items would reduce the preference margin enjoyed by beneficiary LDCs. It is predicted that such negative spillovers are relatively insignificant.

The Offshore Assembly Provision included under TSUS items 806.30 and 807.00 allows tariffs on certain imported goods to be levied only on the foreign value-added or assembly cost. The tariff on an assembled product is applied on the value of a final product less the value of domestic components. The duty-free re-entry of $U . S$. components assembled into finished products abroad under OAP could be an important consideration for selected items in trade negotiations with Mexico and Taiwan. These two countries are among the major source countries of U.S. OAP imports. Television receivers, electronic components, watches, and footwear are items most affected by this special tariff provision. For individual items subject to OAP treatment, two ratios have been computed to determine the relative significance of U.S. component exports. One is the ratio of OAP imports from all sources to total imports in a TSUS classification. The other is the value of U.S. components relative to the customs value of OAP imports from the principal supplier country. Large values of both ratios would indicate significant U.S. export sales of components. Duty concessions on OAP imports could discourage foreign demand for U.S. made components. Since the precise nature of input mix of these affected items is not known, the potential change in export sales of U.S. components is not estimated.

There are several limitations in this study which need to be recognized. First of all, the experience of sample developing countries in their bilateral trade with the U.S. may be unique since they are not typical LDCs. Brazil's tremendous size and dominating position in world
coffee market are rather uncommon among LDCs. Mexico comes close to becoming an advanced country and might have long ceased to be a LDC if it were not for her high population growth rate. That country's geographic location makes her relation with the U.S. atypical. Taiwan and Korea are among nations with the highest economic growth rates and have close political ties with the U.S. What appears to be a promising policy approach to these countries might not be advisable to other LDCs to the same extent, especially in the cases of least developed countries which are noncompetitive in world trade.

Another drawback is in the use of principal supplier rule to determine trade flows of negotiable interest. It is implicitly assumed that trade items of expansion potential following tariff reductions by importing country are necessarily those in which exporting countries are already competitive even before tariff reductions. It is quite possible that there are articles of great expansion potential which have insignificant current trade values due to the existence of nearprohibitive tariff barriers. Potential trade gains in such items are not considered.

In this study, nominal tariff rates are taken to measure the level of protection. It is worth noting, however, this choice is an oversimplification. To the extent tariffs are imposed at differential rates on intermediate inputs and final products; and intermediate stages of production are not always integrated vertically within industries, the level of protection of a tariff structure is better analyzed in terms of the value added by domestic factors in the production process. It is well established that the U.S. nominal tariff rates tend to accelerate according to the stage of processing. Higher rates apply to final
products than raw materials or semi-manufactured inputs. The effective rates of protection on domestic assembly tend to be much higher than what the nominal rates on $f$ inal products may suggest. This is especially true on products of special export interest to $\mathrm{LDCs}.{ }^{1}$ In practice, however, there are problems in the computation of effective rates. It requires detailed up-to-date information on input coefficients and in working out practical measures to take into account the effects of tariff changes on these coefficients. As usually calculated, these rates are based on the fixed-input-coefficient assumption and the information on input coefficients are largely outdated. ${ }^{2}$ Future research to surmount these problems would contribute to a better understanding of the effects of tariff protection and the impact of its removal from detailed trade items.

Additional research in the area of quantifying the impact of nontariff barriers on disaggregated commodities is also needed. This is especially true in trade with LDCs since quotas are increasingly being used to restrict exports of special interest to those countries. To measure the degree of protection of U.S. trade barriers, the quantitative impact of various NTBs need to be explicitly included in the determination.

A final consideration is whether the current multilateral trade negotiations among advanced market economies in Tokyo would reduce the

[^20]need for sample LDCs to engage in bilateral trade talks with the U.S. The answer to this question may be found in the experience of previous tariff negotiations under the auspices of GATT. Advanced Countries always sought to grant mutual concessions to obtain balanced changes in trade and employment on a bilateral basis. Trade liberalization achieved in those negotiations in large measures were in products of interest mainly to DMEs. The interests of LDCs were presumably recognized since they were not requested reciprocal tariff reductions by the advanced countries. This concession was in reality mainly a token gesture because LDCs were largely noncompetitive in those products and advanced countries had taken steps to impose quotas on major export items of LDCs such as textiles. Since LDCs are generally excluded from active participation, and given the protective sentiments found in the DMEs, there are no reasons for $L D C s$ to expect additional concessions from advanced countries in the Tokyo Round. ${ }^{3}$ In fact, if the experience of past negotiations among DMEs is relevant, it seems clear that to obtain real tariff concessions from advanced countries, including the U.S., the reciprocal approach to bilateral negotiations offers a better chance of long-term success than any approach seeking unilateral concessions by the less developed countries on equity grounds.
${ }^{3}$ For example, as of this writing, U.S. International Trade Commis sion has recommended to the President to impose additional tariff and quota restrictions on imported color television sets and footwear. New trade barriers are also under consideration for other items.
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APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

This appendix contains the primary data on U.S. imports principally supplied by the selected group of LDC's and potential trade expansion in individual TSUS items following tariff removal. These data are taken from U.S. Imports for Consumption and General Imports, FT $246 /$ Annual 1974 (U.S. Department of Commerce, June 1976).

The "Ave" notation records the ad valorem equivalent of U.S. tariffs as determined by the International Trade Commission for the Office of the Special Trade Representatives. "A*" refers to imports subject to country specific GSP exclusions due to large value (exceeding $\$ 25 \mathrm{mil}$ lion) or substantial market share (exceeding $50 \%$ ). The values recorded in the OAP table are the imports from principal supplier entering under 807.00 and/or 806.30 provisions on the basis of f.a.s. valuation. "M" and "E" notations in NTB column indicate TSUS items that are subject to Multifiber Agreement and Escape Clause Action restrictions. Also contained in this appendix is a TSUS article among U.S. imports subject to additional trade restrictions.

TABLE XXXIX

PRINGIPAL SUPPLIER ITEMS OF MEXICO AMONG U.S. IMPORTS
Value ( 1,000 do 11ars)

| TSUS <br> Number | Commodity Description | Total Value of U.S. Imports | U.S. Imports from Mexico | \% Share of Mexico | AVE | GSP | OAP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10045 | LIVE CATTLE | 66,374 | 60,125 | 91 | . 062 | - | - |
| 10665 | HORSE MEAT, FRESH OR FROZEN | 399 | 399 | 100 | . 000 | - | - |
| 11115 | SHARK FINS | 474 | 274 | 58 | . 001 | A* | - |
| 11445 | ABALONE, LOBSTERS, ETC | 629,360 | 159,615 | 25 | . 000 | - | - |
| 13065 | WHEAT | 877 | 811 | 92 | . 050 | - | - |
| 13255 | STARCHES N.S.P.F. | 546 | 156 | 29 | . 034 | A | - |
| 13516 | BEANS, FRESH OR FROZEN | 1,950 | 1,642 | 84 | . 283 | - | - |
| 13570 | CHICK PEAS OR GARBANZOS | 63 | 62 | 98 | . 042 | A* | - |
| 13581 | COWPEAS | 88 | 88 | 100 | . 000 | - | - |
| 13590 | CUCUMBERS, FRESH, CHILLED, FROZEN | 6,024 | 5,767 | 96 | . 472 | A* | - |
| 13592 | CUCUMBERS, FRESH OR FROZEN | 2,768 | 2,585 | 93 | . 635 | - | - |
| 13620 | EGGPLANT, APR 1 TO NOV 30 | 318 | 307 | 97 | . 286 | - | - |
| 13622 | EGGPLANT, DEC 31 TO MAR 31 | 1,037 | 1,028 | 99 | . 217 | - | - |
| 13630 | GARLIC, FRESH OR FROZEN | 6,053 | 3,619 | 60 | . 028 | - | - |
| 13680 | OKRA, FRESH, CHILLED OR FROZEN | 749 | 708 | 95 | . 250 | A* | - |
| 13691 | ONIONS, CHILLED OR FROZEN | 8,669 | 7,691 | 89 | . 213 | - | - |
| 13701 | PEAS, FRESH, CHILLED OR FROZEN | 2,742 | 1,063 | 39 | . 090 | A | - |
| 13710 | PEPPERS | 9,918 | 9, 154 | 92 | . 227 | - | - |
| 13740 | RADISHES,FRESH,CHILLED OR FROZEN | 488 | 338 | 69 | . 060 | A* | - |
| 13750 | SQUASH | 2,209 | 2,140 | 97 | . 213 | - | - |
| 13760 | TOMATOES MAR 1 TO JUL 14 | 35,719 | 35,460 | 99 | . 205 | - | - |
| 13762 | TOMATOES JUL 15 TO AUG 31 | 725 | 688 | 95 | . 081 | - | - |
| 13763 | TOMATOES NOV 15 TO FEB 28 | 28,370 | 28,208 | 99 | . 130 | - | - |
| 13785 | ASPARAGUS | 4,779 | 2,709 | 57 | . 250 | - | - |
| 13800 | VEGETABLES, FRESH,FROZEN, SLICED | 7,757 | 4,691 | 60 | . 175 | A* | - |

TABLE XXXIX (Continued)

| TSUS <br> Number | Commodity Description | Total Value of U.S. Imports | U.S. Imports from Mexico | \% Share <br> of Mexico | AVE | GSP | OAP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14021 | CHICKPEAS | 2,261 | 1,806 | 80 | . 083 | - | - |
| 14175 | VEGETABLES IN SALT | 9,846 | 5,136 | 52 | . 120 | - | - |
| 14524 | PIGNOLIA NUTS, NOT SHELLED | 91 | 62 | 68 | . 018 | $A^{*}$ | - |
| 14660 | STRAWBETTIES | 8,972 | 8,897 | 99 | . 041 | - | - |
| 14675 | BERRIES, PREPARED OR PRESERVED | 29,182 | 26,485 | 91 | . 140 | - | - |
| 14710 | GRAPEFRUIT | 441 | 188 | 43 | . 079 | - | - |
| 14713 | GRAPEFRUIT OCT ONLY | 233 | 228 | 98 | . 061 | - | - |
| 14722 | LIMES | 866 | 834 | 96 | . 082 | - | - |
| 14731 | ORANGES | 9,628 | 6,715 | 70 | . 108 | - | - |
| 14790 | MANGOES | 3,444 | 2,824 | 82 | . 168 | - | - |
| 14815 | CANTALUPE | 13,498 | 13,431 | 100 | . 350 | - | - |
| 14820 | WATERMELONS | 5,379 | 5,336 | 99 | . 200 | - | - |
| 14825 | MELONS FRESH DEC 1-MAY 31 | 3,375 | 2,252 | 67 | . 085 | - | - |
| 14830 | MELONS FRESH JUN 1-NOV 30 | 211 | 138 | 65 | . 350 | - | - |
| 14890 | PINEAPPLES | 506 | 506 | 100 | . 171 | - | - |
| 15274 | STRAWBERRY PASTE | 2,411 | 1,710 | 71 | . 150 | - | - |
| 15445 | PINEAPPLES, CANDIED | 1, 260 | 1,089 | 86 | . 085 | - | - |
| 15540 | SUGAR, SYRUP, MOLASSES | 122,156 | 37,610 | 31 | . 002 | A | - |
| 15570 | HONEY | 10,613 | 3,467 | 33 | . 025 | - | - |
| 15575 | SUGARS, SYRUPS, MOLASSES BLENDED | 1,998 | 1,491 | 75 | . 150 | A* | - |
| 15645 | COCOA | 1,401 | 979 | 70 | . 050 | A* | - |
| 16180 | PEPPER, UNGROUND | 751 | 744 | 99 | . 091 | - | - |
| 16183 | PEPPER,CAPSICUM OR CAYENNE | 4,759 | 2,284 | 48 | . 063 | A | - |
| 16850 | SPINITS FOR BEVERAGES | 11,394 | 10, 517 | 92 | . 491 | A* | - |
| 17060 | SCRAP TOBACCO | 40,488 | 13,055 | 32 | . 285 | - | - |
| 17545 | SESAME SEED. | 16,829 | 6,221 | 37 | . 000 | - | - |
| 18246 | SAUCES EXCEPT THIN SOY | 5,208 | 1,304 | 25 | . 075 | A | - |
| 18832 | CHICLE, GRUDE | 1,674 | 906 | 54 | . 000 | - | - |
| 19255 | BROOMCORN | 10,547 | 10,300 | 98 | . 010 | - | - |

TABLE XXXIX (Continued)

| TSUS <br> Number | Commodity Description | Total Value of U.S. Imports | U.S. Imports from Mexico | \% Share <br> of Mexico | AVE | GSP | OAP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19265 | ISTLE CRUDE | 133 | 133 | 100 | . 000 | - | - |
| 19270 | ISTLE OR TAMPICO, PROCESSED | 3,995 | 3,992 | 100 | . 200 | A* | - |
| 19280 | CRUDE STRAW | 3,402 | 3,111 | 91 | . 000 | - | - |
| 19285 | STRAW AND OTHER FIBROUS | 3,451 | 2,348 | 68 | . 050 | A* | - |
| 20091 | SOFTWOOD DOWEL RODS, PINS PLN | 4,051 | 2,169 | 54 | . 025 | A* | - |
| 20262 | WOOD MOLDINGS, STANDARD | 14,994 | 14,949 | 100 | . 015 | A** | - |
| 20630 | WOOD DOORS, HARDWARE, FLUSH | 10,084 | 4,633 | 46 | . 075 | A | 1198 |
| 20660 | WOOD FRAMES, PICTURE \& MIRROW | 17,336 | 10,526 | 61 | . 060 | A* | - |
| 22240 | BASKETS \& BAGS, BAMBOO | 3,421 | 1,287 | 38 | . 250 | A | - |
| 25685 | ARTICLES, NSPF OR PAPERS | 12,783 | 11,405 | 89 | . 060 | A* | 10635 |
| 30010 | RAW COTTON NES | 5,669 | 3,706 | 65 | . 000 | - | - |
| 30030 | COTTON LINERS | 3,011 | 2,965 | 98 | . 000 | - | - |
| 30100 | YaRN WH COT NOT BLEACHED | 449 | 223 | 50 | . 040 | - | - |
| 30110 | YaRN WH COT NOT BLEACHED | 1,832 | 1,149 | 63 | . 056 | - | - |
| 30120 | YaRN WH COT NOT BLEACHED | 2,820 | 1,523 | 54 | . 072 | - | - |
| 30130 | YARN WH COT NOT BLEACHED | 3,799 | 2,198 | 58 | . 088 | - | - |
| 30210 | YaRN WH COT BLEACHED | 670 | 291 | 43 | . 089 | - | - |
| 30220 | YaRN WH COT BLEACHED COLORED | 1,059 | 1,018 | 96 | . 105 | - | - |
| 30240 | YARN WH COT COL COMB | 158 | 104 | 66 | . 137 | - | - |
| 31520 | BINDER A BALER TWINE HDVEGFIB | 156,520 | 36,732 | 23 | . 000 | - | - |
| 31525 | OTHER CORDAGE | 9,265 | 7,648 | 83 | . 150 | - | - |
| 31540 | SISAL A HENE CORDAGE STRAND | 4,006 | 3, 562 | 89 | . 101 | - | - |
| 31555 | CORDAGE, SISAL | 176 | 139 | 79 | . 024 | - | - |
| 32200 | SWSF, DENIMS | 16,835 | 7,421 | 44 | . 105 | - | - |
| 35504 | WEBS WADDING BATTING NONWOVEN | 960 | 676 | 70 | . 200 | A* | - |
| 37624 | BRASSIERES | 26,267 | 9,640 | 37 | . 320 | - | 9640 |
| 37805 | MENS OR BOYS UNDERWEAR | 3,156 | 706 | 22 | . 425 | - | 706 |
| 38002 | MENS OR BOYS WOOL TROUSERS | 1,000 | 560 | 56 | . 425 | - | 560 |

TABLE XXXIX (Continued)

| TSUS <br> Number | Commodity Description |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

TABLE XXXIX (Continued)

| TSUS <br> Number | Commodity Description | Total Value of U.S. Imports | U.S. Imports from Mexico | \% Share <br> of Mexico | AVE | GSP | OAP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 54751 | GLASS AMPOULES | 491 | 331 | 67 | . 035 | A* | - |
| 60320 | FLUE DUST | 603 | 603 | 100 | . 000 | - | - |
| 60520 | SILVER BULLION | 442,603 | 164,792 | 37 | . 000 | - | - |
| 60548 | SILVER UNWROUGHT NES | 476 | 248 | 52 | . 105 | A* | - |
| 60560 | ROLLES PRECIOUS METAL PLATES | 206 | 105 | 51 | . 120 | A* | - |
| 64698 | HARNES ETC HARDWARE COATED | 810 | 798 | 99 | . 075 | - | - |
| 64937 | VISES \& CLAMPS EX DTS OF | 10,820 | 6,344 | 59 | . 050 | A* | 6254 |
| 65295 | COLUMNS PILLARS \& POSTS | 141 | 89 | 63 | . 060 | - | - |
| 65322 | METAL COINS NES | 607, 585 | 266,022 | 44 | . 000 | - | - |
| 68580 | FIXED CAPACITORS | 142,875 | 44, 370 | 31 | . 100 | - | 34685 |
| 68590 | SWITCHBOARDS PANELS | 236,819 | 47, 844 | $2-$ | . 085 | A | 46831 |
| 68690 | ELECTR IC FILAMENT LAMPS | 17,925 | 4,915 | 27 | . 040 | A | 4061 |
| 68760 | CATHODE - RAY TUBES | 1029, 575 | 168,428 | 16 | . 060 |  | 151870 |
| 68812 | IGNITION WIRING SETS | 11,663 | 5,092 | 44 | . 050 | A | 4980 |
| 68815 | INSULATED ELECTRICAL CONDUCTOR | 54, 217 | 22,764 | 42 | . 085 | A | 20505 |
| 70029 | FOOTWEAR | 26,612 | 6,241 | 23 | . 050 | - | 547 |
| 70085 | FOOTWEAR FOR MEN \& BOYS | 9,709 | 2,221 | 23 | . 125 | - | 1995 |
| 70235 | HEADWEAR PALM LEAF OR DANDAN | 366 | 247 | 67 | . 063 | A* | - |
| 70245 | HEADWEAR NT CAP VEG FIB NES | 448 | 442 | 99 | . 250 | A* | - |
| 70247 | HEADWEAR EX CAPS VEG-FIB NES | 324 | 93 | 29 | . 168 | A | - |
| 70365 | HEADWEAR OF LEATHER | 506 | 377 | 75 | . 060 | A** | - |
| 71030 | AUTOMATIC PILOTS \& PARTS | 846 | 454 | 54 | . 055 | A* | 454 |
| 71315 | PARTS OF METERS | 1,958 | 622 | 32 | . 225 | A | 622 |
| 71319 | PARTS FOR STROBOSCOPES | 713 | 712 | 100 | . 225 | A* | 712 |
| 71614 | WATCH MOVEMENTS NES | 3,696 | 3,507 | 95 | . 045 | - | 3507 |
| 72670 | WOOD-WIND INSTRUMENT | 4, 331 | 2,304 | 53 | . 075 | A* | 2304 |
| 72680 | PIANO PARTS | 11,461 | 3,376 | 29 | . 085 | A | 3250 |
| 73745 | METAL TOY ANIMALS ETC | 1,587 | 470 | 30 | . 120 | A | 470 |

TABLE XXXIX (Continued)

| TSUS <br> Number | Commodity Description | Total Value of <br> U.S. Imports | U.S. Imports <br> from Mexico | \% Share <br> of Mexico | AVE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | GSP | OAP |
| :--- |

Sources U.S. Bureau of the Census, FT 246/Annual 1974.
Note: Imports are valued on f 。a.s. basis; AVE-Ad valorem equivalent tariff rates; GSP - Generalized system of preferences; A - Import articles subject to GSP treatment; $A^{*}$ - Imports eligible for preferences, ceiling binding;
OAP - The value of imports under off-shore assembly tariff provision.

TABLE XL

PRINGIPAL SUPPLIER ITEMS OF BRAZIL AMONG U.S.' IMPORTS
Value (1,000 dollars)

| TSUS <br> Number | Commodity Description | Total Value of U.S. Imports | U.'S. ${ }^{i}$ Imports <br> from Brazil | \% Share <br> of Brazil | AVE | GSP | OAP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12120 | PATENT LEATHER GENUINE | 12,557 | 4, 561 | 36 | . 035 | - | - |
| 13035 | CORN OR MAIZE | 3,733 | 1,498 | 40 | . 069 | A | - |
| 13160 | CORN FEED | 448 | 391 | 87 | . 080 | - | - |
| 14179 | PALM HEARTS | 885 | 861 | 97 | . 085 | A* | - |
| 14514 | BRAZIL NUTS | 3, 274 | 3,249 | 99 | . 000 | - | - |
| 14542 | BRAZIL NUTS SHELLED | 6,432 | 5,018 | 78 | . 000 | - | - |
| 14785 | GUAUAS | 263 | 128 | 49 | . 040 | A | - |
| 15610 | COCOA BEANS | 316,630 | 77,600 | 25 | . 000 | - | - |
| 15620 | CHOCOLATE | 21,122 | 11,644 | 55 | . 000 | - | - |
| 15635 | COCOA BUTTER | 54,452 | 19, 579 | 36 | . 030 | A | - |
| 16020 | COFFEE | 118,667 | 70,300 | 59 | . 000 | - | - |
| 16021 | GOFFEE EXTRACTS | 245 | 230 | 94 | . 000 | - | - |
| 16535 | FRUIT JUICE CONGENTRATED | 7, 368 | 5, 048 | 69 | . 868 | - | - |
| 17050 | TOBACCO STEMS | 670 | 398 | 59 | . 000 | - | - |
| 17600 | BABASSU OIL | 15,918 | 15,405 | 97 | . 000 | - | - |
| 17601 | CASTOR OIL | 325 | 325 | 100 | . 075 | A* | - |
| 17602 | CASTOR OIL | 43,224 | 38, 651 | 89 | . 040 | A* | - |
| 17620 | CRUTON OIL | 837 | 836 | 100 | . 000 | - | - |
| 17664 | NUT OILS | 3,937 | 3, 560 | 90 | . 000 | - | - |
| 20212 | PARANA PINE | 2,431 | 2,421 | 100 | . 000 | - | - |
| 20234 | LUMBER | 11,975 | 5,082 | 42 | . 000 | - | - |
| 20244 | LUMBER | 36,984 | 12,232 | 33 | . 000 | - | - |
| 20246 | LUMBER | 3,112 | 865 | 28 | . 000 | - | - |
| 20650 | WOOD HANDLES | 3,877 | 1,653 | 42 | . 040 | A | - |
| 24003 | HARDWOOD VENEERS | 25,065 | 3,478 | 14 | . 050 | A | - |
| 24520 | HARD BOARD | 33,019 | 8,624 | 26 | . 075 | A | - |

TABLE XL (Continued)

| TSUS <br> Number | Commodity Description | Total Value of U.S. Imports | U.S. Imports from Brazil | \% Share <br> of Brazil | AVE | GSP | OAP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30446 | SISAL WASTE | 4,649 | 1,935 | 42 | . 000 | - | - |
| 36624 | COTTON TOWELS | 10,464 | 4, 548 | 45 | . 140 | $\sim$ | - |
| 36665 | COTTON TERRY CLOTH | 1,038 | 291 | 28 | . 150 | - | - |
| 38018 | MENS OR BOYS COTTON GOWNS | 2,081 | 931 | 45 | . 080 | - | - |
| 43764 | MENTHOL | 29,010 | 23,354 | 81 | . 016 | A* | - |
| 45222 | CORMINT \& PEPPERMINT OIL | 2,496 | 2,087 | 84 | . 000 | - | - |
| 45240 | BOIS DE ROSE OIL | 2,763 | 2,644 | 96 | . 000 | - | - |
| 45508 | BONES | 4,873 | 2,069 | 42 | . 000 | - | - |
| 49412 | CARNAUBA WAX | 10,224 | 10,224 | 100 | . 000 | - | - |
| 49416 | OURICURY WAX | 78 | 78 | 100 | . 000 | - | - |
| 51631 | MICA BLOCK | 733 | 612 | 83 | . 000 | - | - |
| 51641 | UNMANUFACTURED MICA | 225 | 90 | 40 | . 000 | - | - |
| 52121 | BRAZILIAN PEBBLE | 636 | 518 | 81 | . 000 | - | - |
| 60109 | BERYLLIUM ORE | 414 | 215 | 52 | . 000 | - | - |
| 60121 | COLUMBIUM ORE | 3,205 | 1,956 | 61 | . 000 | - | - |
| 60740 | FERROMOLY BDENUM | 140 | 106 | 76 | . 071 | - | - |
| 60780 | FERROALLOYS | 7,164 | 6,626 | 92 | . 050 | - | - |
| 64948 | DIES FOR CUTTING METAL | 2,048 | 570 | 28 | . 075 | A | - |
| 70052 | FOOTWEAR | 519 | 317 | 80 | . 250 | - | - |
| 73027 | RIFLES | 584 | 403 | 69 | .129 | A* | - |
| 73041 | SHOTGUNS | 2,721 | 1,756 | 65 | . 139 | A* | - |
| 74825 | NATURAL FLOWERS DRIED | 3,967 | 1,758 | 44 | . 050 | A | - |
| 91107 | MANG ORE | 43,349 | 15,105 | 35 | . 032 | - | - |

TABLE XLI
PRINCIPAL SUPPLIER ITEMS OF TAIWAN AMONG U.S. ${ }^{\text {i }}$ IMPORTS
Value ( 1,000 dollars)

| TSUS <br> Number | Commodity Description | Total Value of U.S. Imports | U.S. Imports from Taiwan | \% Share <br> of Taiwan | AVE | GSP | OAP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14170 | WATERCHESTNUTS | 3,901 | 3,846 | 99 | . 175 | A* | - |
| 14181 | VEGETABLES | 18,802 | 6,023 | 32 | . 175 | - | - |
| 14420 | MUSHROOMS | 25, 557 | 17,809 | 70 | . 144 | - | - |
| 14560 | NUTS | 815 | 413 | 51 | . 280 | A* | - |
| 14960 | FRUITS | 458 | 155 | 34 | . 175 | A | - |
| 15440 | GINGER ROOT | 317 | 158 | 50 | . 135 | A* | - |
| 20647 | FORKS \& SPOONS OF WOOD | 2,477 | 1,162 | 47 | . 085 | A | - |
| 20665 | WOOD BLINDS | 704 | 409 | 58 | . 167 | - | - |
| 20667 | WOOD BLINDS | 3,472 | 914 | 26 | . 200 | - | - |
| 20697 | WOOD COAT HANGERS \& UTENSILS | 41,648 | 17,397 | 42 | . 080 | - | - |
| 20700 | ARTICLES OF WOOD | 56,003 | 9,086 | 16 | . 080 | A | - |
| 22250 | BLINDS, SHUTTERS, ETC | 995 | 802 | 81 | . 200 | - | - |
| 22257 | FLOOR COVERINGS | 730 | 161 | 22 | . 080 | A | - |
| 24021 | PLYWOOD, NO FACE FINISH | 522 | 244 | 47 | . 200 | A | - |
| 31590 | JUTE CORDAGE | 295 | 259 | 88 | . 105 | - | - |
| 31595 | JUTE CORDAGE | 628 | 617 | 98 | . 130 | - | $\cdots$ |
| 32220 | COTTON CLOTH | 6,827 | 1,274 | 19 | . 143 | - | - |
| 36415 | COTTON TAPESTRIES | 1, 559 | 479 | 31 | . 150 | A | - |
| 37435 | MAN-MADE FIB HOSIERY | 1,184 | 910 | 77 | . 210 | - | - |
| 38004 | MENS \& BOYS CLOTHING | 21, 552 | 7,313 | 34 | . 425 | - | - |
| 38081 | MENS \& BOYS CLOTHING | 186,860 | 75,165 | 40 | . 378 | - | 1171 |
| 38204 | WOMEN \& GIRLS CLOTHING | 86,445 | 15,468 | 18 | . 425 | - | - |
| 38278 | WOMEN,GIRLS, INFANT CLOTHING | 594,025 | 194, 590 | 33 | . 375 | - | 152 |
| 42796 | WOOD ALCOHOL | 12,191 | 2,847 | 23 | . 114 | - | - |
| 45208 | GAMPHOR OIL | 304 | 284 | 93 | . 000 | - | - |

TABLE XLI (Continued)

| TSUS <br> Number | Commodity Description | Total Value of U.S. Imports | U.S. Imports from Taiwan | \% Share of Taiwan | AVE | GSP | OAP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49320 | NATURAL CAMPHOR | 102 | 96 | 94 | . 001 | A* | - |
| 49321 | NATURAL CAMPHOR ADVANGED | 2,672 | 2, 560 | 96 | . 004 | A* | - |
| 54411 | GLASS STRIPS | 850 | 469 | 55 | . 220 | A* | $\infty$ |
| 54635 | GLASSWARE | 3,000 | 1,415 | 47 | . 200 | - | $\infty$ |
| 64680 | PADLOCKS | 3, 034 | 1,158 | 38 | . 053 | $\infty$ | - |
| 64867 | AXES, HATCHETS, ETC. | 791 | 312 | 39 | . 110 | A | - |
| 65047 | BARBECUE FORKS | 106 | 63 | 59 | . 119 | - | - |
| 65089 | SCISSORS | 586 | 262 | 45 | . 447 | A | - |
| 65153 | HAND TOOLS | 1,693 | 439 | 26 | . 095 | A | - |
| 65255 | BICYCLE PARTS | 256 | 169 | 66 | . 200 | A* | - |
| 65350 | STOVES, FURNACES \& PARTS | 19, 148 | 8,065 | 42 | . 060 | A | - |
| 65385 | CAST ARTICLES | 4, 163 | 2,931 | 70 | . 040 | A* | - |
| 68520 | TELEVISION RECEIVERS | 954, 594 | 327, 383 | 34 | . 050 | - | 212840 |
| 68630 | CHRISTMAS TREE LAMPS | 4, 028 | 2,086 | 52 | . 100 | A* | - |
| 68810 | CHRISTMAS TREE LIGHTING SETS | 17,313 | 12,513 | 72 | . 200 | A* | - |
| 69635 | PNEUMATIC CRAFT | 6,306 | 3,833 | 61 | . 060 | A* | - |
| 70051 | FOOTWEAR | 171 | 145 | 85 | . 125 | - | - |
| 70055 | FOOTWEAR | 230,825 | 118,347 | 51 | . 060 | A* | 153 |
| 70070 | FOOTWEAR | 9, 372 | 2,582 | 28 | . 075 | - | - |
| 70080 | FOOTWEAR | 5,086 | 2,153 | 42 | . 125 | - | - |
| 70305 | HEADWEAR | 5, 312 | 2,533 | 48 | . 180 | - | - |
| 70372 | BATHING CAPS | 5,583 | 1,771 | 32 | . 060 | A | - |
| 70485 | GLOVES | 9,910 | 3,310 | 33 | . 381 | - | - |
| 70535 | GLOVES | 37,354 | 12,362 | 33 | . 150 | - | - |
| 70585 | GLOVES | 18,719 | 7,414 | 40 | . 150 | - | - |
| 70623 | HANDBAGS | 12,105 | 6,888 | 57 | . 065 | - | - |
| 70660 | LUGGAGE, CASES, ETC | 95,081 | 25,123 | 26 | . 200 | - | - |
| 71527 | CLOCKS NES | 2,675 | 847 | 32 | . 309 | - | - |

TABLE XLI (Continued)

| TSUS <br> Number | Commodity Description | Total Value of U.S. Imports | U.S. Imports from Taiwan | \% Share of Taiwan | AVE | GSP | OAP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 72014 | CLOCKS MVTS NES | 470 | 131 | 28 | . 259 | $\infty$ | - |
| 72748 | FURNITURE | 25,330 | 4,421 | 17 | . 060 | A | - |
| 73130 | FISHING CASTS | 634 | 339 | 53 | . 175 | A* | - |
| 73224 | BICYCLES | 3,750 | 19895 | 51 | . 011 | - | - |
| 73410 | BAGATELLE | 6, 582 | 5, 304 | 81 | . 080 | A* | - |
| 73450 | BADMINTON SETS | 5,067 | 3,929 | 78 | . 140 | A* | - |
| 73460 | CROQUET EQUIPMENT | 161 | 128 | 80 | . 080 | A* | - |
| 73487 | LAWN-TENNIS RACKETS | 29,080 | 12,949 | 45 | . 085 | A | $\infty$ |
| 73725 | STUFFED TOY ANIMALS | 4,243 | 2,037 | 48 | . 280 | A | - |
| 73770 | PARTY NOISEMAKERS | 1,108 | 377 | 34 | . 100 | A | - |
| 74565 | CLASPS FASTENERS | 2,634 | 744 | 28 | . 135 | A | - |
| 74840 | ORNAMENTAL ARTICLES FEATHERS | 8,460 | 4,302 | 51 | . 070 | A* | - |
| 75022 | HAIR ORNAMENTS | 714 | 188 | 26 | . 275 | A | - |
| 75035 | FEATHER DUSTERS | 367 | 242 | 66 | . 070 | A* | $\infty$ |
| 75105 | UMBRELLAS | 19,942 | 11,543 | 58 | . 200 | A** | - |
| 75120 | UMBRELLAS FRAMES | 320 | 214 | 67 | . 300 | A* | - |
| 75515 | FIREWORKS | 5,923 | 1,858 | 31 | . 123 | - | - |
| 77220 | CONTAINERS OF RUBBER | 18,431 | 4, 143 | 22 | . 075 | A | - |
| 77235 | HOUSE FURNISHINGS | 16,825 | 10,209 | 61 | . 060 | A* | - |
| 77257 | TUBES BICYCLE | 14, 029 | 4,227 | 30 | . 150 | - | - |
| 79039 | PNEUMATIC MATTRESSES | 17,328 | 11, 569 | 67 | . 606 | A* | - |
| 79160 | BELTS \& BUCKLES | 8, 580 | 2,774 | 32 | . 085 | A | - |

TABLE XLII
PRINCIPAL SUPPLIER ITEMS OF KOREA AMONG U.S, IMPORTS
Value ( 1,000 dollars)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { TSUS } \\ & \text { Number } \end{aligned}$ | Commodity Description | Total Value of U.S. Imports | U.S. Imports from Korea | \% Share of Korea | AVE | GSP | OAP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11050 | ATLANTIC OCEAN PERCH | 603 | 194 | 32 | . 031 | - | - |
| 17032 | CIGARETTE LEAF NOT STEMMED | 11,484 | 2,157 | 19 | . 265 | - | - |
| 24017 | PLYWOOD | 185,232 | 108,388 | 59 | . 200 | - | - |
| 24025 | PLYWOOD FACE FINISHED | 10, 830 | 8, 074 | 75 | . 200 | A* | $\infty$ |
| 25660 | ALBUMS | 9,915 | 5,110 | 52 | . 065 | A* | $\infty$ |
| 36351 | COTTON BEDSPREADS | 3, 820 | 1,271 | 33 | . 060 | - | - |
| 37060 | COTTON HANDKERCHIEFS | 477 | 243 | 51 | . 250 | $\infty$ | - |
| 38051 | MENS OR BOYS SHIRTS | 13,775 | 2,513 | 18 | . 075 | - | - |
| 38066 | MENS OR BOYS WOOL TROUSERS | 55,963 | 16,691 | 30 | . 246 | - | - |
| 38084 | MENS OR BOYS SUITS | 155,087 | 57,736 | 37 | . 330 | - | - |
| 38281 | WOMENS OR GIRLS SKIRTS | 114,756 | 13,191 | 11 | . 319 | - | - |
| 41740 | AMMONIUM TUNGSTATE | 4, 123 | 4,123 | 100 | . 153 | - | - |
| 42530 | MONSODIUM GLUTAMATE | 7,464 | 3,149 | 42 | . 160 | - | - |
| 60345 | MATERIALS CHIEF VALUE TUNGSTEN | 715 | 629 | 88 | . 159 | A* | - |
| 65131 | CHISELS \& OTHER CUTTING TOOLS | 2,100 | 615 | 29 | . 110 | A | - |
| 70027 | FOOTWEAR | 12,876 | 5,263 | 41 | . 050 | - | 89 |
| 70053 | B00TS | 24, 143 | 17,441 | 72 | . 375 | $\sim$ | 559 |
| 70060 | FOOTWEAR, RUBBER \& FABRIC | 112,138 | 50,836 | 45 | . 200 | - | - |
| 70315 | HEADWEAR OF MAN-MADE FABRIC | 2,169 | 1,172 | 54 | . 260 | - | - |
| 70620 | LUGGAGE | 819 | 233 | 28 | . 210 | - | - |
| 71615 | WATCH MOVEMENTS NES | 1,665 | 917 | 55 | . 029 | - | - |
| 72025 | WATCH BEZELS | 167 | 112 | 67 | . 177 | - | 112 |
| 72075 | WATCH ASSEMBLES | 1,399 | 508 | 36 | . 225 | - | 508 |
| 73105 | SNELLED HOOKS | 1,453 | 454 | 31 | . 125 | A | - |
| 73150 | FISH LANDING NETS | 791 | 495 | 63 | . 125 | A* | - |

TABLE XLII (Continued)

| TSUS <br> Number | Commodity Description | Total Value of U.S. Imports | U.S. Imports from Korea | \% Share of Korea | AVE | GSP | OAP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 73454 | BASEBALL GLOVES | 23, 822 | 13,051 | 55 | . 150 | A* | - |
| 73730 | STUFFED TOY ANIMALS | 5, 625 | 1,506 | 27 | . 090 | A | - |
| 75065 | PAINT BRUSHES | 409 | 204 | 50 | . 100 | A* | - |
| 77230 | WEARING APPAREL OF RUBBER PLC | 78,791 | 24, 792 | 31 | . 125 | - | $\infty$ |
| 79070 | WIGS | 57, 313 | 51,010 | 89 | . 070 | A* | - |
| 79115 | FUR WEARING APPAREL | 17,771 | 6,620 | 37 | . 100 | A | - |
| 94906 | TABLEWARES | 6,478 | 3,365 | 52 | . 227 | - | - |
| 94908 | TABLEWARES | 6,074 | 2,845 | 47 | . 170 | $\infty$ | - |

TABLE XLIII
PRINCIPAL SUPPLIER ITEMS OF MEXICO AMONG U.'S. IMPORTS AT SEVEN BUT NOT AT FIVE DIGIT LEVEL OF DISAGGREGATION

Value ( 1,000 dollars)

| TSUS Number | Commodity Description | Total Value of U.S. Imports | U.S. Imports from Mexico | \% Share <br> of Mexico |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1103570 | FISH NES, FRESH OR CHILLED | 2,672 | 2,072 | 78 |
| 1107060 | FISH FILLEATED | 25,372 | 6,186 | 24 |
| 1552050 | CANE O BEET SUGAR | 434,656 | 160,598 | 37 |
| 1682540 | CORDIALS | 5,266 | 2,387 | 45 |
| 1703240 | CIGARETTE LEAF | 679 | 263 | 39 |
| 1885020 | SPIRITS OF TURPENTINE | 1,407 | 1,398 | 99 |
| 3200001 | DUCK SWSF WH COT | 452 | 142 | 31 |
| 3201044 | SHEETING NES WH COT | 4, 044 | 1,648 | 41 |
| 3201064 | TWILL NES WH COT | 1,739 | 1,618 | 93 |
| 3208058 | TWILL NES WH COT | 64 | 64 | 100 |
| 3223058 | OTH TWILL WH COT | 104 | 98 | 94 |
| 3251058 | TWILL OTH WH COT | 686 | 528 | 77 |
| 3260036 | OSNABURG SHEET | 184 | 184 | 100 |
| 3366024 | WOV FAB OF HAIR | 166 | 79 | 48 |
| 3615625 | FLOOR COVERINGS | 532 | 240 | 45 |
| 3762885 | WOMENS \& GIRLS BODY SUPPORT | 567 | 401 | 71 |
| 3786030 | WOMENS GIRLS UNDERWEAR | 2,614 | 997 | 38 |
| 3800417 | MENS \& BOYS T-SHIRTS | 225 | 159 | 71 |
| 3800446 | MENS \& BOYS COATS | 2,966 | 2,412 | 81 |
| 3800467 | MENS \& BOYS SLACKS | 679 | 348 | 51 |
| 3808192 | MENS \& BOYS WEARING APPAREL | 4, 597 | 1,579 | 34 |
| 3808440 | MENS \& BOYS WORK SHIRTS | 1,005 | 828 | 82 |
| 3808455 | MENS \& BOYS TROUSER SHORTS | 18,001 | 8, 731 | 49 |
| 3820413 | WOMENS KNIT DRESSES | 2,241 | 822 | 37 |

## TABLE XLIII (Continued)

| TSUS Number | Commodity Description | Total Value of U.S. Imports | U.S. Imports from Mexico | \% Share <br> of Mexico |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3820423 | WOMENS \& GIRLS KNIT PJS | 1,235 | 937 | 76 |
| 3820472 | WOMENS \& GIRLS ORN PJS | 1,591 | 1,109 | 70 |
| 3827821 | WOMENS \& GIRLS BRESSING GOWNS | 433 | 218 | 50 |
| 3827825 | WOMENS \& GIRLS INFANT PJS | 964 | 690 | 72 |
| 3827841 | WOMENS \& GIRLS PLAYSUITS | 4,270 | 994 | 23 |
| 6035020 | ZINC CONTENT OF ZINGFUME | 3,284 | 3,282 | 100 |
| 6494820 | DIAMOND DIES | 697 | 296 | 42 |
| 6612040 | AIR -CONDITIONING MACHINE PARTS | 17,358 | 6,550 | 38 |
| 6826060 | ELECTRIC POWER MAGHINERY | 67,480 | 15,126 | 22 |
| 6852045 | TUNERS FOR T.V. RECEIVERS | 83,991 | 43,908 | 52 |
| 6852050 | TELEVISION APPARATUS NES | 351,828 | 142,488 | 40 |
| 5861053 | RESISTORS | 1,323 | 1,152 | 87 |
| 6861060 | RESISTOR PARTS | 8,315 | 5,276 | 63 |
| 6921090 | MOTOR VEHICLES NES | 37,931 | 24, 512 | 65 |
| 7004360 | FOOTWEAR FOR WOMEN | 1,381 | 636 | 46 |
| 7044025 | GLOVES AND LININGS | 2,419 | 576 | 24 |
| 91107040 | MANG ORE | 2,997 | 988 | 33 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, FT 246/Annual 1974.
Note: Imports are valued on f.a.s. basis.

TABLE XLIV
PRINCIPAL SUPPLIER ITEMS OF BRAZIL AMONG U.S. IMPORTS AT SEVEN BUT NOT AT FIVE DIGIT LEVEL OF DISAGGREGATION Value (1,000 dollars)

| TSUS Number | Commodity Description | Total Value of U.S. Imports | U.S. Imports from Brazil | \% Share <br> of Brazil |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1107024 | CATFISH, CHILLED OR FROZEN | 3, 509 | 3,201 | 91 |
| 1883830 | LECHI CASPI GUM | 2,815 | 2,727 | 97 |
| 3032040 | COTTON SEWING THREAD | 299 | 125 | 42 |
| 3201094 | FABRIC NES WH COT | 1,662 | 503 | 30 |
| 5238140 | LITHIUM ORES | 333 | 217 | 65 |
| 7004310 | FOOTWEAR FOR WOMEN | 1,937 | 743 | 38 |
| 7004510 | FOOTWEAR FOR WOMEN | 36, 596 | 20,407 | 56 |
| 7004525 | MOCS, SOLED | 92 | 74 | 80 |

TABLE XLV
PRINCIPAL SUPPLIER ITEMS OF TAIWAN AMONG U.S. IMPORTS AT SEVEN BUT NOT AT FIVE DIGIT LEVEL OF DISAGGREGATION

Value ( 1,000 dollars)

| TSUS Number | Commodity Description | Total Value of U.S. Imports | U.S. Imports from Taiwan | \% Share of Taiwan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2401720 | PLYWOOD | 24, 591 | 20,415 | 83 |
| 3202044 | SHEETING NES | 259 | 94 | 36 |
| 3202058 | TWILL NES | 3,729 | 768 | 21 |
| 3220076 | NAP-FAB NES | 64 | 63 | 98 |
| 3221076 | NAP-FAB NES | 1,615 | 716 | 44 |
| 3803909 | MENS OR BOYS COT NIGHTWEAR | 148 | 86 | 58 |
| 3803912 | MENS OR BOYS COT WEAR APPAREL | 180 | 88 | 49 |
| 3805145 | MENS OR BOYS WEAR APPAREL | 462 | 204 | 44 |
| 3828102 | WOMENS BLOUSES | 24,432 | 4,628 | 19 |
| 3828108 | WOMENS GIRLS COATS | 3, 348 | 521 | 16 |
| 3828118 | WOMENS GIRLS PJS | 1,302 | 569 | 44 |
| 6539560 | STEEL COOKING WARE | 765 | 319 | 42 |
| 6541010 | ALUM COOK WARE | 4,772 | 1,063 | 22 |
| 6541045 | ALUM CAST COOK WARE | 150 | 79 | 53 |
| 6785057 | PHONOGRAPH-TAPE PLAYER | 1,870 | 1,007 | 54 |
| 6858030 | FIXED CAPACITORS | 5,456 | 3,156 | 58 |
| 7003535 | FOOTWEAR FOR BOYS | 453 | 314 | 69 |
| 7004535 | FOOTWEAR, NOT WOMEN | 867 | 339 | 39 |
| 7006025 | FOOTWEAR, U.S. TYPE | 3,054 | 1,783 | 58 |
| 7006035 | FOOTWEAR, EXC. U.S. TYPE | 18,057 | 5,669 | 31 |
| 7006045 | FOOTWEAR, EXC. U.S. TYPE, WOMEN | 17,333 | 5,792 | 33 |
| 7006055 | FOOTWEAR, EXC. U.S. TYPE, CHILD | 4, 130 | 1,958 | 47 |
| 7008570 | FOOTWEAR FOR MISSES | 173 | 111 | 64 |
| 7024020 | PAPER HEADWEAR | 1,158 | 806 | 70 |

TABLE XLV (Continued)

|  |  | Total Value of <br> TSUS Number | Commodity Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | U.S. Imports |
| :---: |
| from Taiwan |$\quad$| \% Share |
| :---: |
| of Taiwan |

TABLE XLVI
PRINCIPAL SUPPLIER ITEMS OF KOREA AMONG U.S. IMPORTS AT SEVEN BUT NOT AT FIVE DIGIT LEVEL OF DISAGGREGATION Value (1,000 do11ars)

| TSUS Number | Commodity Description | Total Value of U.S. Imports | U.S. Imports from Korea | \% Share of Korea |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3201002 | DUCK SWSF WH COT | 993 | 365 | 37 |
| 3201004 | DUCK SWSF WH COT | 2,798 | 1,705 | 61 |
| 3661820 | COTTON DISH TOWELS | 392 | 93 | 24 |
| 3800461 | MENS \& BOYS SPORT SHIRTS | 4,208 | 2,102 | 50 |
| 3808143 | MENS \& BOYS SUITS | 7,989 | 3,872 | 48 |
| 3820439 | WOMEN GIRLS T-SHIRTS | 2,444 | 2,088 | 85 |
| 3823328 | WOMEN GIRLS PLAYSUITS | 1,421 | 541 | 38 |
| 3827851 | WOMEN GIRLS T-SHIRTS | 4,922 | 2,137 | 43 |
| 3828720 | WOMEN GIRLS WEAR APPAREL | 131 | 88 | 67 |
| 6535040 | PARTS OF STOVES HEATERS | 4,913 | 2,008 | 41 |
| 7002650 | FOOTWEAR, LEATHER | 382 | 197 | 52 |
| 7002940 | FOOTWEAR FOR WORK | 3,352 | 1,522 | 45 |
| 7004315 | FOOTWEAR, GASUAL | 830 | 315 | 38 |
| 7007520 | FOOTWEAR, YOUTH \& BOY | 561 | 237 | 42 |
| 7007530 | FOOTWEAR FOR WOMEN | 144 | 83 | 58 |
| 7007540 | FOOTWEAR FOR MISSES | 138 | 127 | 92 |
| 7007550 | FOOTWEAR FOR CHILDREN | 236 | 214 | 91 |
| 7008075 | FOOTWEAR FOR CHILDREN | 333 | 237 | 71 |
| 70044090 | GLOVES | 685 | 582 | 85 |
| 7066035 | LUGGAGE, CASES, ETC | 41,479 | 10,982 | 26 |
| 7379060 | TOY PARTS | 12,352 | 3,158 | 26 |

TABLE XLVII
POTENTIAL TRADE EXPANSION FROM COMPLETE TARIFF REMOVAL ON PRINCIPAL SUPPLIER ITEMS OF MEXICO AMONG U.S. IMPORTS

Value (1,000 dollars)

| TSUS Number | Commodity Description | U.S. M | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | $t / 1+t$ | $\stackrel{\text { M }}{ }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10045 | LIVE CATTLE | 66,374 | 0.8 | . $062 / 1.062$ | 3099.95 |
| 11115 | SHARK FINN | 474 | 0.8 | .001/1.001 | . 38 |
| 13065 | WHEAT | 877 | 0.8 | . $05 / 1.05$ | 33.41 |
| 13516 | BEANS, FRESH OR FROZEN | 1,950 | 0.8 | . 283/1.283 | 344.10 |
| 13570 | CHICKPEAS OR GARBANZOS | 63 | 0.8 | .042/1.042 | 2.03 |
| 13590 | CUCUMBERS, FRESH, CHILLED, FROZEN | 6,024 | 0.8 | . $472 / 1.472$ | 1545.29 |
| 13592 | CUCUMBERS,FRESH OR FROZEN | 2,768 | 0.8 | . $635 / 1.635$ | 860.03 |
| 13620 | EGGPLANT APR 1 TO NOV 30 | 318 | 0.8 | . 286/1. 286 | 56.58 |
| 13622 | EGGPLANT DEC 30 TO MAR 31 | 1,037 | 0.8 | . 217/1.217 | 147.92 |
| 13630 | GARLIC FRESH OR FROZEN | 6,053 | 0.8 | .028/1.028 | 131.89 |
| 13680 | OKRA, FRESH, CHILLED OR FROZEN | 749 | 0.8 | . 25 /1.25 | 119.84 |
| 13691 | ONIONS, CHILLED OR FROZEN | 8,669 | 0.8 | . 213/1.213 | 1217.81 |
| 13710 | PEPPERS | 9,918 | 0.8 | . $227 / 1.227$ | 1467.90 |
| 13740 | RADDISHES, FRESH, GHILLED OR FROZEN | 488 | 0.8 | .060/1.060 | 22.10 |
| 13750 | SQUASH | 2,209 | 0.8 | .213/1.213 | 310.32 |
| 13760 | TOMATOES, MAR 1-JULY 14 | 35,719 | 0.8 | . 205/1.205 | 4861.34 |
| 13762 | TOMATOES, JUL 15-AUG 31 | 725 | 0.8 | .081/1.081 | 43.46 |
| 13763 | TOMATOES, NOV 15-FEB 28 | 28,370 | 0.8 | . $13 / 1.13$ | 2611.04 |
| 13785 | ASPARAGUS | 4,779 | 0.8 | . $25 / 1.25$ | 764.64 |
| 13800 | VEGETABLES,FRESH, FROZEN, CUT, SLICES | 7,757 | 0.8 | .175/1.175 | 924.24 |
| 14021 | CHICKPEAS | 2,261 | 0.8 | .083/1.083 | 138.62 |
| 14175 | VEGETABLES IN SALT | 9,846 | 0.8 | . $12 / 1.12$ | 843.94 |
| 14524 | PIGNOLIA NUTS, NOT SHELLED | 91 | 0.8 | .018/1.018 | 1.29 |
| 14660 | STRAWBERRIES | 8,972 | 0.8 | .041/1.041 | 282.69 |
| 14675 | BERRIES, PREPARED OR FRESH | 29, 182 | 0.8 | . $14 / 1.14$ | 2867.00 |

## TABLE XLVII (Continued)

| TSUS Number | Commodity Description | U.S. M | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | $t / 1+t$ | $\stackrel{\text { M }}{ }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14710 | GRAPEFRUIT | 441 | 0.8 | .079/1.079 | 25.83 |
| 14713 | GRAPEFRUIT | 233 | 0.8 | .061/1.061 | 10.72 |
| 14722 | LIMES | 866 | 0.8 | . $082 / 1.082$ | 52.50 |
| 14731 | ORANGES | 9,628 | 0.8 | . 108/1.108 | 750.78 |
| 14790 | MANGOES | 3,444 | 0.8 | . $168 / 1.168$ | 396.30 |
| 14815 | CANTALUPES | 13,498 | 0.8 | . $35 / 1.35$ | 2799.59 |
| 14820 | WATERMELONS | 5,379 | 0.8 | . $2 / 1.2$ | 717.2 |
| 14825 | MELONS, FRESH DEC 1-MAY 31 | 3, 375 | 0.8 | .085/1.085 | 211.52 |
| 14830 | MELONS, FRESH JUL 1-NOV 30 | 211 | 0.8 | . $35 / 1.35$ | 43.76 |
| 14890 | PINEAPPLES | 506 | 0.8 | . $171 / 1.171$ | 59.11 |
| 15274 | STRAWBERRY PASTE | 2,411 | 0.8 | . $15 / 1.15$ | 251.58 |
| 15445 | PINEAPPLES, CANDIED | 1,260 | 0.8 | . $085 / 1.085$ | 78.97 |
| 15570 | HONEY | 10,613 | 0.8 | .025/1.025 | 207.08 |
| 15575 | SUGARS, SYRUPS \& MOLASSES BLENDED | 1,998 | 0.8 | . $15 / 1.15$ | 208.49 |
| 15645 | COCOA | 1,401 | 0.8 | .050/1.050 | 53.37 |
| 16180 | PEPPER, UNGROUND | 751 | 0.8 | .091/1.091 | 50.11 |
| 16850 | SPIRITS FOR BEVERAGE | 11,394 | 0.8 | .491/1.491 | 3001.72 |
| 17060 | SCRAP TOBACCO | 40,488 | 0.8 | . 285/1.285 | 7183.86 |
| 19255 | BROOMCORN | 10,547 | . 43 | . $01 / 1.01$ | 44.90 |
| 19270 | ISTLE OR TAMPICO, PROCESSED | 3,995 | . 43 | . $2 / 1.2$ | 286.31 |
| 19285 | STRAW \& OTHER FIROUS | 3,451 | . 43 | . $05 / 1.05$ | 70.66 |
| 20091 | SOFTWOOD,DOWEL RODS \& PINS PLAIN | 4,051 | 1.8 | .025.1.025 | 177.85 |
| 20262 | WOOD, MOLDINGS, STANDARD | 14,994 | 1.8 | .015/1.015 | 398.86 |
| 20660 | WOOD FRAMES, PICTURES \& MIRROR | 17,336 | 1.8 | . $06 / 1.06$ | 1766.31 |
| 25685 | ARTICLES, NSPF OR PAPERS | 12,783 | 1.8 | .06./1.06 | 1302.42 |
| 30100 | YARN WITH COTTON NOT BLEACHED | 449 | 1.8 | . $04 / 1.04$ | 31.08 |
| 30110 | YARN WITH COTTON NOT BLEACHED | 1,832 | 1.8 | .056/1.056 | 174.87 |
| 30120 | YARN WITH COTTON NOT BLEACHED | 2,820 | 1.8 | .072/1.072 | 340.93 |
| 30130 | YARN WITH COTTON NOT BLEACHED | 3,799 | 1.8 | .088/1.088 | 553.09 |

TABLE XLVII (Continued)

| TSUS Number | Commodity Description | U.S. M | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | t/ 1+t | $\stackrel{\text { M }}{ }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30210 | YARN WITH COTTON BLEACHED | 670 | 1.8 | . $089 / 1.089$ | 98.56 |
| 30220 | YARN WITH COT BLEAGHED, COLORED | 1,059 | 1.8 | . 105/1.105 | 181.13 |
| 30240 | YARN WITH COT COL COMB | 158 | 1.8 | .137/1.137 | 34.27 |
| 31525 | OTHER CORDAGE | 9,265 | 1.8 | . $15 / 1.15$ | 2175.26 |
| 31540 | SISAL A HENE CORDAGE STRAND | 4,006 | 1.8 | . 101/1.101 | 661.49 |
| 31555 | CORDAGE, SISAL | 176 | 1.8 | .024/1.025 | 7.43 |
| 32200 | SWSF DENIMS | 16,835 | 1.8 | . $105 / 1.105$ | 2879.47 |
| 35504 | WABS, WADDING BATTING \& NON WOVEN | 960 | 1.8 | . $2 / 1.2$ | 288. |
| 37624 | BRASSIERES | 26,267 | 1.8 | . $32 / 1.32$ | 11461.96 |
| 37805 | MEN OR BOYS UNDERWEAR | 3,156 | 1.8 | . $425 / 1.425$ | 1694.27 |
| 38002 | MEN OR BOYS WOOL TROUSERS | 1,000 | 1.8 | .425/1.425 | 536.84 |
| 38260 | WOMENS \& GIRLS WOOL BLOUSES | 1, 585 | 1.8 | . 295/1.295 | 649.91 |
| 42276 | ZINC SULFATE | 2,450 | 1.8 | .016/1.016 | 69.45 |
| 47212 | NATURAL BARIUM SULFATE | 609 | . 43 | .218/1.218 | 46.87 |
| 47352 | LITHARGE | 4, 271 | 1.8 | .049/1.049 | 359.11 |
| 47356 | RED LEAD | 380 | 1.8 | .063/1.063 | 40.54 |
| 51131 | CONCRETE FLOOR \& WALL TILES | 1,909 | 1.8 | . $21 . / 1.21$ | 596.37 |
| 51141 | CONCRETE TILES EXCEPT FLOOR \& WALL | 401 | 1.8 | . 13 /1.13 | 83.04 |
| 51244 | PLASTER OF PARIS ARTICLES EX STATUES | 964 | 1.8 | . $06 / 1.06$ | 98.22 |
| 51841 | ASBESTOS YARN, SILVERS ETC | 1,047 | 1.8 | .021/1.021 | 38.76 |
| 52221 | FLUORSPAR 97\% FLUORIDE | 47,284 | . 43 | .035/1.035 | 687.56 |
| 52224 | FLUORSPAR | 16,948 | . 43 | .238/1.238 | 1401.02 |
| 53531 | SANITARY WARE INC PLUMBING FIXTURES | 8,392 | 1.8 | . $15 / 1.15$ | 1970.30 |
| 54047 | GLASS, BR ICKS, BLOCKS, SLABS, SQUARES | 156 | 1.8 | . $12 / 1.12$ | 30.09 |
| 54553 | GLASS GLOBES \& SHADES | 7,744 | 1.8 | . 14 /1.14 | 1711.83 |
| 54565 | GLASS CHIMNEYS | 1,318 | 1.8 | . $15 / 1.15$ | 309.44 |
| 54654 | GLASS WARE | 10,918 | 1.8 | . $3 / 1.3$ | 4535.17 |
| 54751 | GLASS AMPOULES | 491 | 1.8 | .035/1.035 | 29.89 |
| 60548 | SILVER UNWROUGHT NES | 476 | 1.8 | .105/1.105 | 81.42 |
| 60560 | ROLLES PRECIOUS METAL PLATES | 206 | 1.8 | . 12 /1.12 | 39.73 |

TABLE XLVII (Continued)


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, FT 246/Annual 1974.
Note: $\quad M=U_{0} S . M_{0} \times E_{d} \times t / 1+t$.
M, Trade expansion; U.S.M., Total value of U.S. import; $E_{d}$, Price elasticity of demand for import;
$t$, Ad valorem tariff rate.

TABLE XLVIII
POTENTIAL TRADE EXPANSION DUE MEXICO FROM COMPLETE TARIFF REMOVAL
ON PRINCIPAL SUPPLIER ITEMS OF MEXICO AMONG U.S. IMPORTS

$$
\text { Value ( } 1,000 \text { dollars) }
$$

| TSUS Number | Commodity Description | $\dot{M}$ | \% Share <br> of Mexico | $\dot{\mathrm{M}}$ due Mexico | NT B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10045 | LIVE CATTLE | 3099.95 | 91 | 2820.96 | - |
| 11115 | SHARK FINN | . 38 | 58 | . 22 | - |
| 13065 | WHEAT | 33.41 | 92 | 30.74 | - |
| 13516 | BEANS, FRESH OR FROZEN | 344.10 | 84 | 289.04 | - |
| 13570 | CHICKPEAS OR GARBANZOS | 2.03 | 98 | 1.99 | - |
| 13590 | CUCUMBERS, FRESH, CHILLED, FROZEN | 1545.29 | 9.6 | 1483.48 | - |
| 13592 | CUCUMBERS, FRESH OR FROZEN | 860.03 | 93 | 799.82 | - |
| 13620 | EGGPLANT APR 1 TO NOV 30 | 56.58 | 97 | 54.88 | - |
| 13622 | EGGPLANT DEC 30 TO MAR 31 | 147.92 | 99 | 146.44 | - |
| 13630 | GARLIC FRESH OR FROZEN | 131.89 | 60 | 79.14 | - |
| 13680 | OKRA, FRESH, CHILLED OR FROZEN | 119.84 | 95 | 113.85 | - |
| 13691 | ONIONS, CHILLED OR FROZEN | 1217.81 | 89 | 1083.85 | - |
| 13710 | PEPPERS | 1467.90 | 92 | 1350.46 | - |
| 13740 | RADDISHES, FRESH, CHILLED OR FROZEN | 22.10 | 69 | 15.25 | - |
| 13750 | SQUASH | 310.32 | 97 | 301.01 | - |
| 13760 | TOMATOES MAR 1-JULY 14 | 4861.34 | 99 | 4812.73 | - |
| 13762 | TOMATOES JUL 15-AUG 31 | 43.46 | 95 | 41.29 | - |
| 13763 | TOMATOES NOV 15-FEB 28 | 2611.04 | 99 | 2584.93 | - |
| 13785 | ASPARAGUS | 764.64 | 57 | 435.84 | - |
| 13800 | VEGETABLES, FRESH, FROZEN CUT SLICED | 924.24 | 60 | 554.54 | - |
| 14021 | CHICKPEAS | 138.62 | 80 | 110.90 | - |
| 14175 | VEGETABLES IN SALT | 843.94 | 52 | 438.85 | - |
| 14524 | PIGNOLIA NUTS, NOT SHELLED | 1.29 | 68 | . 88 | - |
| 14660 | STRAWBERRIES | 282.69 | 99 | 279.86 | - |

TABLE XLVIII (Continued)

| TSUS Number | Commodity Description | M | \% Share <br> of Mexico | $\dot{M}$ due Mexico | NTB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14675 | BERRIES, PREPARED OR FRESH | 2867.00 | 91 | 2608.97 | - |
| 14710 | GRAPEFRUIT | 25.83 | 43 | 11.11 | - |
| 14713 | GRAPEFRUIT | 10.72 | 98 | 10.50 | - |
| 14722 | LIMES | 52.50 | 96 | 50.40 | - |
| 14731 | ORANGES | 750.78 | 70 | 525.54 |  |
| 14790 | MANGOES | 396.30 | 82 | 324.96 | - |
| 14815 | CANTALUPES | 2799.59 | 100 | 2799.59 | - |
| 14820 | WATERMELONS | 717.2 | 99 | 710.03 | - |
| 14825 | MELONS, FRESH DEC 1-MAY 31 | 211.52 | 67 | 141.72 |  |
| 14830 | MELONS, FRESH JUL 1-NOV 30 | 43.76 | 65 | 28.46 | - |
| 14890 | PINEAPPLES | 59.11 | 100 | 59.11 | - |
| 15274 | STRAWBERRY PASTE | 251.58 | 71 | 178.62 | - |
| 15445 | PINEAPPLES CANDIED | 78.97 | 86 | 67.91 | - |
| 15570 | HONEY | 207.08 | 33 | 68.34 |  |
| 15575 | SUGARS, SYRUPS \& MOLASSES BLENDED | 208.49 | 75 | 156.37 | - |
| 15645 | COCOA | 53.37 | 70 | 37.36 | - |
| 16180 | PEPPER UNGROUND | 50.11 | 99 | 49.51 | - |
| 16850 | SPIRITS FOR BEVERAGE | 3001.72 | 92 | 2761.58 |  |
| 17060 | SCRAP TOBACCO | 7183.86 | 32 | 2298. 84 | - |
| 19255 | BROOMCORN | 44.90 | 98 | 44. | - |
| 19270 | ISTLE OR TAMPICO, PROCESSED | 286.31 | 100 | 286.31 | - |
| 19285 | STRAW \& OTHER FIROUS | 70.66 | 68 | 48.05 | - |
| 20091 | SOFTWOOD, DOWEL RODS \& PINS PLAIN | 177.85 | 54 | 96.04 | - |
| 20262 | WOOD, MOLD INGS, STANDARD | 398.86 | 100 | 398.86 | - |
| 20660 | WOOD FRAMES, PICTURES \& MIRRORS | 1766.31 | 61 | 1077.45 | - |
| 25685 | ARTICLES, NSPF OR PAPERS | 1302.42 | 89 | 1159.15 | - |
| 30100 | YARN WITH COTTON NOT BLEACHED | 31.08 | 50 | 15.54 | M |
| 30110 | YaRN WITH COTTON NOT BLEACHED | 174.87 | 63 | 110.17 | M |
| 30120 | YaRN WITH COTTON NOT BLEACHED | 340.93 | 54 | 184. 10 | M |

$\left.\begin{array}{lllll}\hline & & & \begin{array}{c}\text { \% Share } \\ \text { of }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Mexico }\end{array} \\ \text { TSUS Number } \\ \text { Mexico }\end{array}\right]$

TABLE XLVIII (Continued)

| TSUS Number | Commodity Description | M | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Share } \\ & \text { of Mexico } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M due } \\ & \text { Mexico } \end{aligned}$ | NTB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60548 | SILVER UNWROUGHT NES | 81.42 | 52 | 42.34 | - |
| 60560 | ROLLES PRECIOUS metal plates | 39.73 | 51 | 20.26 | - |
| 64698 | HaRNES ETC HARDWARE COATED | 101.72 | 99 | 100.70 | - |
| 64937 | VISES \& CLAMPS EX PTS OF | 927.43 | 59 | 547.18 | - |
| 65295 | COLUMNS PILLARS \& POSTS | 14.37 | 63 | 9.05 | - |
| 68580 | FIXED CAPACITORS | 23379.55 | 31 | 7247.66 | - |
| 86760 | CATHODE, RAY TUBES | 104900.09 | 16 | 16784.02 | - |
| 70029 | FOOTWEAR | 2281.03 | 23 | 524.64 | - |
| 70085 | FOOTWEAR FOR MEN \& BOYS | 1941.8 | 23 | 446.61 | - |
| 70235 | headwear palm leaf or dandan | 39.04 | 67 | 26.16 | - |
| 70245 | HEADWEAR NT CAP VEG FIB NES | 161.28 | 99 | 159.67 | - |
| 70365 | HEADWEAR OF LEATHER | 51.55 | 75 | 38.67 | - |
| 71030 | AUTOMATIC PILOTS \& PARTS | 79.48 | 54 | 42.92 | - |
| 71319 | PARTS FOR STROBOSCOPES | 235.73 | 100 | 235.73 | - |
| 71614 | WATCH MOVEMENTS NES | 286.48 | 95 | 272.16 | - |
| 72670 | WOOD-WIND INSTRUMENT | 543.89 | 53 | 288.26 | - |
| 72680 | PIANO PARTS | 1616.16 | 29 | 468.69 | - |
| 74005 | JEWELRY ETC | 806.36 | 56 | 451.56 | - |
| 75029 | BROOMS ETC OF BROOM CORN | 386.7 | 91 | 351.90 | - |
| 75030 | BROOMS ETC OF BROOM CORN | 109.95 | 81 | 89.07 | - |
| 75031 | BROOMS OVER \$. 96 EACH | 69.38 | 93 | 64.53 | - |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, FT 246/Annual 1974.
Note: $\quad M$ due Mexico $=M \times \%$ share of Mexico; NTB, Non-tariff trade barriers including multi-fiber adjustment (M), escape clause adjustment (E), and agricultural adjustment (A) actions.

## TABLE XLIX

POTENTIAL TRADE EXPANSION FROM COMPLETE TARIFF REMOVAL ON PRINCIPAL SUPPLIER ITEMS OF BRAZIL AMONG U.S. IMPORTS Value (1,000 dollars)

| TSUS Number | Commodity Description | U.S. M | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | $\mathrm{t} / 1+\mathrm{t}$ | M |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 12120 | PATENT LEATHER GENUINE | 12,557 | 1.8 | $.035 / 1.035$ | 764.34 |
| 13160 | CORN FEED | 448 | 0.8 | $.08 / 1.08$ | 26.55 |
| 14179 | PALM HEARTS | 885 | 0.8 | $.085 / 1.085$ | 55.47 |
| 16535 | FRUIT JUICE CONGENTRATED | 7,368 | 0.8 | $.868 / 1.868$ | 2738.94 |
| 17601 | CASTOR OIL | 325 | .43 | $.075 / 1.075$ | 9.75 |
| 17602 | CASTOR OIL | 43,224 | .43 | $.04 / 1.04$ | 714.86 |
| 36624 | COTTON TOWELS | 10,464 | 1.8 | $.14 / 1.14$ | 2313.09 |
| 36665 | COTTON TERRY CLOTH | 1,038 | 1.8 | $.15 / 1.15$ | 243.70 |
| 38018 | MENS OR BOYS COTTON GOWNS | 2,081 | 1.8 | $.08 / 1.08$ | 277.47 |
| 43764 | MENTHOL | 29,010 | 1.8 | $.016 / 1.016$ | 82.33 |
| 60740 | FERROMOLYBDENUM | 140 | 1.8 | $.071 / 1.071$ | 16.71 |
| 60780 | FERROALLOYS | 7,164 | 1.8 | $.05 / 1.05$ | 614.06 |
| 70052 | FOOTWEAR | 519 | 1.8 | $.25 / 1.25$ | 186.84 |
| 73027 | RIFLES | 584 | 1.8 | $.129 / 1.29$ | 120.11 |
| 73041 | SHOT GUNS | 2,721 | 1.8 | $.139 / 1.139$ | 597.71 |
| 91107 | MANG ORE | 43,349 | .43 | $.032 / 1.032$ | 577.99 |

## TABLE L

POTENTIAL TRADE EXPANSION DUE BRAZIL FROM COMPLETE TARIFF REMOVAL ON PRINCIPAL SUPPLIER ITEMS OF BRAZIL AMONG U.S. IMPORTS

$$
\text { Value ( } 1,000 \text { dollars) }
$$

| TSUS Number | Commodity Description | M | \% Share <br> of Brazil | $\dot{\mathrm{M}}$ due Brazil | NT B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12120 | PATENT LEATHER GENUINE | 764.34 | 36 | 275.16 | - |
| 13160 | CORN FEED | 26.55 | 87 | 23.10 | - |
| 14179 | PALM HEARTS | 55.47 | 97 | 53.80 | - |
| 16535 | FRUIT JUICE CONGENTRATED | 2738.94 | 69 | 1889.87 | - |
| 17601 | CASTOR OIL | 9.75 | 100 | 9.75 | - |
| 17602 | CASTOR OIL | 714.86 | 89 | 636.22 | - |
| 36624 | COTTON TOWELS | 2313.09 | 45 | 1040.89 | M |
| 36665 | COTTON TERRY CLOTH | 243.70 | 28 | 68.24 | M |
| 38018 | MENS OR BOYS COT GOWNS | 277.47 | 45 | 124.86 | M |
| 43764 | MENT HOL | 822.33 | 81 | 666.09 | - |
| 60740 | FERROMOLYBDENUM | 16.71 | 76 | 12.70 | - |
| 60780 | FERROALLOYS | 614.06 | 92 | 564.93 | - |
| 70052 | FOOTWEAR | 186.84 | 80 | 149.47 | - |
| 73027 | RIFLES | 120.11 | 69 | 82.88 | - |
| 73041 | SHOT GUNS | 597.71 | 65 | 388. 51 | - |
| 91107 | MANG ORE | 577.99 | 35 | 202. 30 | - |

TABLE LI
POTENTIAL TRADE EXPANSION FROM COMPLETE TARIFF REMOVAL ON PRINCIPAL SUPPLIER ITEMS OF TAIWAN AMONG U.S. IMPORTS

Value ( 1,000 do 11 ars)

| TSUS Number | Commodity Description | U.S. M | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | t/ $1+t$ | M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14170 | WATERCHESTNUTS | 3,901 | 0.8 | .175/1.175 | 464.8 |
| 14180 | VEGETABLES | 18,802 | 0.8 | . 175/1.175 | 2240.24 |
| 14420 | MUSHROOMS | 25,5.57 | 0.8 | .144/1.144 | 2573.57 |
| 14560 | NUTS | 815 | 0.8 | . 28 /1.28 | 142.63 |
| 15440 | GINGER ROOT | 317 | 0.8 | .135/1.135 | 30.16 |
| 20665 | WOOD BLINDS | 704 | 1.8 | . 167/1.167 | 181.34 |
| 20667 | WOOD BLINDS | 3,472 | 1.8 | . $2 / 1.2$ | 1041.60 |
| *20697 | WOOD COAT HANGERS \& UTENSILS | 41,648 | 1.8 | . $08 / 1.08$ | 5553.07 |
| 22250 | BLINDS SHUTTERS ETC | -995 | 1.8 | . 2 /1.2 | 298.5 |
| 31590 | JUTE CORDAGE | 295 | 1.8 | .105/1.105 | 50.46 |
| 31595 | JUTE CORDAGE | 628 | 1.8 | . 13 /1.13 | 130.05 |
| 32220 | COTTON CLOTH | 6,827 | 1.8 | .143/1.143 | 1537.42 |
| 37435 | MAN-MADE FIB HOSIERY | 1,184 | 1.8 | . $21 / 1.21$ | 369.88 |
| 38004 | MENS \& BOYS CLOTHING | 21,552 | 1.8 | .425/1.425 | 11570.02 |
| 38081 | MENS \& BOYS CLOTHING | 186,860 | 1.8 | . $378 / 1.378$ | 92263.38 |
| 38204 | WOMEN \& GIRLS CLOTHING | 86,445 | 1.8 | .425/1.425 | 46407.31 |
| 38278 | WOMEN, GIRLS, INFANTS CLOTHING | 594,025 | 1.8 | . $375 / 1.375$ | 291612.27 |
| 42796 | WOOD ALCOHOL | 12,191 | 1.8 | . 114/1.114 | 3245.60 |
| 49320 | NATURAL CAMPHOR | 102 | 1.8 | .001/1.001 | . 18 |
| 49321 | NATURAL CAMPHOR ADVANCED | 2,672 | 1.8 | .004/1.004 | 19.16 |
| 54411 | GLASS STRIPS | 850 | 1.8 | . 22 /1.22 | 275.90 |
| 54635 | GLASSWARE | 3,000 | 1.8 | . 2 /1.2 | 900. |
| 64680 | PADLOCKS | 3,034 | 1.8 | . $053 / 1.053$ | 274.88 |
| 65047 | BARBECUE FORKS | 106 | 1.8 | . 119/1.119 | 20.29 |
| 65255 | BICYCLE PARTS | 256 | 1.8 | . 2 /1.2 | 76.8 |
| 65385 | CAST ARTICLES | 4,163 | 1.8 | . $04 / 1.04$ | 288.21 |

TABLE LI (Continued)

| TSUS Number | Commodity Description | U.S. M | $E_{\text {d }}$ | t/1+t | $\stackrel{\text { M }}{ }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 68520 | TELEVISION RECEIVERS | 954, 594 | 1.8 | . $05 / 1.05$ | 81822.34 |
| 68630 | CHRISTMAS TREE LAMPS | 4,028 | 1.8 | . 1 /1.1 | 797.54 |
| 68810 | CHRISTMAS TREE LIGHTING SETS | 17,313 | 1.8 | . 2 /1.2 | 5193.9 |
| 69635 | PNEUMATIC CRAFT | 6,306 | 1.8 | . $06 / 1.06$ | 642.5 |
| 70051 | FOOTWEAR | 171 | 1.8 | .125/1.125 | 34.2 |
| 70055 | FOOTWEAR | 230,825 | 1.8 | . 06 /1.06 | 23518.02 |
| 70070 | FOOTWEAR | 9,372 | 1.8 | .075/1.075 | 1176.95 |
| 70080 | FOOTWEAR | 5,086 | 1.8 | .125/1.125 | 1017.2 |
| 70305 | HEADWEAR | 5,312 | 1.8 | . 18 /1.18 | 1458.55 |
| 70485 | GLOVES | 9,910 | 1.8 | . $381 / 1.381$ | 4921.27 |
| 70535 | GLOVES | 37,354 | 1.8 | . $15 / 1.15$ | 8770.30 |
| 70585 | GLOVES | 18,719 | 1.8 | . $15 / 1.15$ | 4394.9 |
| 70623 | handbags | 12,105 | 1.8 | .065/1.065 | 1329.85 |
| 70660 | LUGGAGE, CASES, ETC | 95,081 | 1.8 | . 2 /1.2 | 28524.3 |
| 71527 | CLOCKS NES | 2,675 | 1.8 | . 309/1.309 | 1136.62 |
| 72014 | CLOCKS MUTS NES | 470 | 1.8 | . $259 / 1.259$ | 174.04 |
| 73130 | FISHING CASTS | 634 | 1.8 | . 175/1.175 | 169.97 |
| 73224 | BICYCLES | 3,750 | 1.8 | .011/1.011 | 73.44 |
| 73410 | BAGATELLE | 6,582 | 1.8 | . $08 / 1.08$ | 877.6 |
| 73450 | badminton sets | 5,067 | 1.8 | . 14 /1.14 | 1120.07 |
| 73460 | CROQUET EQUIPMENT | 161 | 1.8 | .08/1.08 | 21.47 |
| 74840 | ORNAMENTAL ARTICLES OF FEATHERS | 8,460 | 1.8 | . $07 / 1.07$ | 996.22 |
| 75035 | FEATHER DUSTERS | 367 | 1.8 | . $07 / 1.07$ | 43.22 |
| 75105 | UMBRELLAS | 19,942 | 1.8 | .2 /1.2 | 5982.6 |
| 75120 | UMBRELLAS FRAMES | 320 | 1.8 | $.3 / 1.3$ | 132.92 |
| 75515 | FIRE WORKS | 5,923 | 1.8 | .123/1.123 | 1167.72 |
| 77235 | HOUSE FURNISHINGS | 16,825 | 1.8 | . $06 / 1.06$ | 1714.25 |
| 77257 | TUBES BICYCLE | 14,029 | 1.8 | . $15 / 1.15$ | 3293.77 |
| 79039 | PNEUMATIC MATTRESSES | 17,328 | 1.8 | . $06 / 1.06$ | 1765.49 |

## TABLE LII

POTENTIAL TRADE EXPANSION DUE TAIWAN FROM COMPLETE TARIFF REMOVAL
ON PRINCIPAL SUPPLIER ITEMS OF TAIWAN AMONG U.S. IMPORTS Value ( 1,000 dollars)

| TSUS Number | Commodity Description | M | \% Share of Taiwan | M due Taiwan | NT B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14170 | WATERCHESTNUTS | 464.8 | 99 | 460.15 | - |
| 14180 | VEGETABLES | 2240.24 | 32 | 716.88 | - |
| 14420 | MUSHROOMS | 2573.57 | 70 | 1801. 50 | - |
| 14560 | NUTS | 142.63 | 51 | 72.74 | - |
| 15440 | GINGER ROOT | 30.16 | 50 | 15.08 | - |
| 20665 | WOOD BLINDS | 181.34 | 58 | 105.18 | - |
| 20667 | WOOD BLINDS | 1041.60 | 26 | 270.82 | - |
| 20697 | WOOD COAT HANGERS \& UTENSILS | 5553.07 | 42 | 2332.29 | - |
| 22250 | BLINDS SHUTTERS ETC | 298.5 | 81 | 241.79 | _ |
| 31590 | JUTE CORDAGE | 50.46 | 88 | 44.40 | - |
| 31595 | JUTE CORDAGE | 130.05 | 98 | 127.45 | - |
| 32220 | COTTON CLOTH | 1537.42 | 19 | 292.11 | M |
| 37435 | MAN-MADE FIB HOSIERY | 369.88 | 77 | 284.81 | M |
| 38004 | MENS \& BOYS CLOTHING | 11570.02 | 34 | 3933.81 | M |
| 38081 | MENS \& BOYS CLOTHING | 92263.38 | 40 | 36905. 53 | M |
| 38204 | WOMEN \& GIRLS CLOTHING | 46407.31 | 18 | 8353.32 | M |
| 38278 | WOMEN,GIRLS,INFANT CLOTHING | 291612. 27 | 33 | 96232.05 | M |
| 42796 | WOOD ALCOHOL | 3245.60 | 23 | 516.49 | - |
| 49320 | NATURAL CAMPHOR | . 18 | 94 | . 17 | - |
| 49321 | NATURAL CAMPHOR ADVANCED | 19.16 | 96 | 18.40 | - |
| 54411 | GLASS STRIPS | 275.90 | 55 | 151.75 | - |
| 54635 | GLASSWARE | 900. | 47 | 423. | - |
| 64680 | PADLOCKS | 274.88 | 38 | 104.45 | - |
| 65047 | BARBECUE FORKS | 20.29 | 59 | 11.97 | - |
| 65255 | BICYCLE PARTS | 76.8 | 66 | 50.69 | - |

TABLE LII (Continued)

| TSUS Number | Commodity Description | $\dot{M}$ | \% Share of Taiwan | M due Taiwan | NTB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65385 | CAST ARTICLES | 288.21 | 70 | 201.75 | - |
| 68520 | TELEVISION RECEIVERS | 81822.34 | 34 | 27819.60 | - |
| 68630 | CHRISTMAS TREE LAMPS | 797.54 | 52 | 414.72 | - |
| 68810 | CHRISTMAS TREE LIGHTING SETS | 5193.9 | 72 | 3739.61 | - |
| 69635 | PNEUMATIC CRAFT | 642.5 | 61 | 391.74 | - |
| 70051 | FOOTWEAR | 34.2 | 85 | 29.07 | - |
| 70055 | FOOTWEAR | 23518.02 | 51 | 11994.19 | - |
| 70070 | FOOTWEAR | 1176.95 | 28 | 329.55 | - |
| 70080 | FOOTWEAR | 1017.2 | 42 | 427.22 | - |
| 70305 | HEADWEAR | 1458.55 | 48 | 700.10 | M |
| 70485 | GLOVES | 4921.27 | 33 | 1624.02 | M |
| 70535 | GLoVES | 8770.30 | 33 | 2894.20 | - |
| 70585 | GLOVES | 4394.9 | 40 | 1757.96 | - |
| 70623 | HAND BAGS | 1329.85 | 57 | 758.01 | - |
| 70660 | LUGGAGE, CASES ETC | 28524.3 | 26 | 7416.32 | - |
| 71527 | CLOCK NES | 1136.62 | 32 | 363.72 | - |
| 72014 | CLOCKS MUTS NES | 174.04 | 28 | 48.73 | - |
| 73130 | FISHING CASTS | 169.97 | 53 | 90.08 | - |
| 73224 | BICYCLES | 73.44 | 51 | 37.46 | - |
| 73410 | BAGATELLE | 877.6 | 81 | 710.86 | - |
| 73450 | badminton sets | 1120.07 | 78 | 873.66 | - |
| 73460 | CROQUET EQUIPMENT | 21.47 | 80 | 17.17 | - |
| 74840 | ORNAMENTAL ARTICLES OF FEATHERS | 996.22 | 51 | 508.07 | - |
| 75035 | FEATHER DUSTERS | 43.22 | 66 | 28.52 | - |
| 75105 | UMBRELLAS | 5982.6 | 58 | 3469.91 | - |
| 75120 | UMBRELLAS FRAMES | 132.92 | 67 | 89.06 | - |
| 75515 | FIRE WORKS | 1167.72 | 31 | 361.99 | - |

TABLE LII (Continued)

|  |  |  |  | \% Share <br> TSUS Number | Commodity Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

TABLE LIII
POTENTIAL TRADE EXPANSION FROM COMPLETE TARIFF REMOVAL ON PRINCIPAL SUPPLIER ITEMS OF KOREA AMONG U.S. IMPORTS

Value (1,000 dollars)

| TSUS Number | Commodity Description | U.S. M | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | $t / 1+t$ | M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11050 | ATLANTIC OCEAN PERCH | 603 | 0.8 | . $031 / 1.031$ | 14.5 |
| 17032 | GIGARETTE LEAF NOT STEMMED | 11,484 | 0.8 | .265/1.265 | 1924. 59 |
| 24017 | PLYWOOD | -185, 232 | 1.8 | . 2 /1.2 | 55569.60 |
| 24025 | PLYWOOD FACE FINISHED | 10,830 | 1.8 | . $2 / 1.2$ | 3249 . |
| 25660 | ALBUMS | 9,915 | 1.8 | .065/1.065 | 1089.25 |
| 36351 | COTTON BEDSPREADS | 3,820 | 1.8 | . 06 /1.06 | 389.21 |
| 37060 | COTTON HANKERCHIEFS | 477 | 1.8 | . $25 / 1.25$ | 171.72 |
| 38051 | MENS OR BOYS SHIRTS | 13,775 | 1.8 | .075/1.075 | 1729.88 |
| 38066 | MENS OR BOYS WOOL TROUSERS | 55,963 | 1.8 | . 246/1.246 | 19887.97 |
| 38084 | MENS OR BOYS SUITS | 155,087 | 1.8 | . 33 /1.33 | 69264.42 |
| 38281 | WOMENS OR GIRLS SKIRTS | 114,756 | 1.8 | . $319 / 1.319$ | 49956.71 |
| 41740 | AMMONIUM TUNGSTATE | 4,123 | 1.8 | .153/1.153 | 984.80 |
| 42530 | MONSODIUM GLUTAMATE | 7,464 | 1.8 | . $16 / 1.16$ | 1853.13 |
| 60345 | Materials chief value tungsten | 715 | 1.8 | . $159 / 1.159$ | 176.56 |
| 70027 | FOOTWEAR | 12,876 | 1.8 | $.05 / 1.05$ | 1103.66 |
| 70053 | B00TS | 24, 143 | 1.8 | . $375 / 1.375$ | 11852.02 |
| 70060 | FOOTWEAR RUBBER \& FABRIC | 112,138 | 1.8 | . $2 / 1.2$ | 33641.4 |
| 70315 | HEADWEAR OF MAN-MADE FABRIC | 2,169 | 1.8 | . 26 /1.26 | 805.63 |
| 70620 | LUGGAGE | 819 | 1.8 | . $21 / 1.21$ | 255.85 |
| 71615 | WATCH MOVEMENTS NES | 1,665 | 1.8 | .029/1.029 | 84.46 |
| 72025 | WATCH BEZELS | 167 | 1.8 | . $177 / 1.177$ | 45.20 |
| 72075 | WATCH ASSEMBLES | 1,399 | 1.8 | .225/1.225 | 462.53 |
| 73150 | FISH LANDING NETS | 791 | 1.8 | .125/1.125 | 158.2 |
| 73454 | BASEBALL GLOVES | 23,822 | 1.8 | . $15 / 1.15$ | 5592.99 |
| 75065 | PAINT BRUSHES | 409 | 1.8 | . $1 / 1.1$ | 66.93 |

TABLE LIII (Continued)

| TSUS Number | Commodity Description | U.S. M | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | $\mathrm{t} / 1+\mathrm{t}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 77230 | WEARING APPAREL OF RUBBER, PLATCS | 78,791 | 1.8 | $.125 / 1.125$ |
| 79070 | WIGS | 57,313 | 1.8 | $.07 / 1.07$ |
| 94906 | TABLE WARES | 6,478 | 1.8 | $.227 / 1.227$ |
| 94908 | TABLE WARES | 6,074 | 1.8 | $.17 / 1.17$ |

TABLE LIV

POTENTIAL TRADE EXPANSION DUE KOREA FROM COMPLETE TARIFF REMOVAL ON PRINCIPAL SUPPLIER ITEMS OF KOREA AMONG U.S. IMPORTS

Value (1,000 dollars)

| TSUS Number | Commodity Description | М | \% Share of Korea | $\stackrel{M}{\mathrm{M}}$ due Korea | NTB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11050 | ATLANTIC OCEAN PERCH | 14.5 | 32 | 4.64 | - |
| 17032 | CIGARETTE LEAF NOT STEMMED | 1924.59 | 19 | - 365.67 | - |
| 24017 | PLYWOOD | 55569.60 | 59 | 32786.06 | - |
| 24025 | PLYWOOD FACE FINISHED | 3249 . | 75 | 2436.75 | - |
| 25660 | ALBUMS | 1089.25 | 52 | 566.41 | - |
| 36351 | COTTON BEDSPREADS | 389.21 | 33 | 128.44 | M |
| 37061 | COTTON HANDERCHIEFS | 171.72 | 51 | 87.58 | M |
| 38051 | MENS OR BOYS SHIRTS | 1729.88 | 18 | 311.38 | M |
| 38066 | MENS OR BOYS WOOL TROUSERS | 19887.97 | 30 | 5966.39 | M |
| 38084 | MENS OR BOYS SUITS | 69264.42 | 37 | 25627.84 | M |
| 38281 | WOMENS OR GIRLS SKIRTS | 49956.71 | 11 | 5495.24 | M |
| 41740 | AMMONIUM TUNGSTATE | 984.80 | 100 | 984.80 | - |
| 42530 | MONSODIUM GLUTAMATE | 1853.13 | 42 | 778.32 | - |
| 60345 | MATERIALS CHIEF VALUE TUNGSTEN | 176.56 | 88 | 155.37 | - |
| 70027 | FOOTWEAR | 1103.66 | 41 | 452.50 | _ |
| 70053 | B00TS | 11852.02 | 72 | 8533.45 | - |
| 70060 | FOOTWEAR RUBBER \& FABRIC .. | 33641.4 | 45 | 15138.63 | - |
| 70315 | HEADWEAR OF MAN-MADE FABRIC | 805.63 | 54 | 435.04 | M |
| 70620 | LUGGAGE | 255.85 | 28 | 71.64 | M |
| 71615 | WATCH MOVEMENTS NES | 84.46 | 55 | 46.45 | - |
| 72025 | WATCH BEZELS | 45.20 | 67 | 30.29 | _ |
| 72075 | WATCH ASSEMBLES | 462.53 | 36 | 166.51 | - |
| 73150 | FISH LANDING NETS | 158.2 | 63 | 99.67 | - |
| 73454 | BASEBALL GLOVES | 5592.99 | 55 | 3076.15 | - |
| 75065 | PAINT BRUSHES | 66.93 | 50 | 33.46 | - |

TABLE LIV (Continued)

| TSUS Number | Commodity Description | $\dot{M}$ | \% Share of Korea | M due <br> Korea | NTB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 77230 | WEARING APPAREL OF RUBBER, PLTCS | 15758.2 | 31 | 4885.04 | - |
| 79070 | WIGS | 6749. | 89 | 6006.62 | - |
| 94906 | TABLE WARES | 2157.22 | 52 | 1121.75 | - |
| 94908 | TABLE WARES | 1588. 58 | 47 | 746.63 | E |

PRINCIPAL SUPPLIER ITEMS OF MEXICO AMONG U.S. IMPORTS IMPORTED UNDER TARIFF PROVISION 807.00 Value ( 1,000 dollars)

| TSUS Number | Commodity Description | U.S. <br> Component | Duty Value | Custom Value | \% Share of Component |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25685 | ARTICLES OF PAPER | 3,479 | 7,221 | 10,700 | 33 |
| 37624 | WOMEN \& GIRLS BODYSUPPORT EXC COT | 3,519 | 6,432 | 9,951 | 35 |
| 37805 | WOMEN \& GIRLS UNDERWEAR | 218 | 532 | 750 | 29 |
| 38002 | MENS \& BOYS WOOL COATS | 70 | 506 | 576 | 12 |
| 38260 | WOMEN \& GIRLS WOOL BLOUSES | 375 | 708 | 1,083 | 35 |
| 53531 | CERAMIC PLUMBING FIXTURES | 1,540 | 183 | 1,723 | 89 |
| 64937 | CLAMPS | 4,927 | 1,331 | 6,258 | 79 |
| 68580 | FIXED CAPACITORS | 14,837 | 12,273 | 27,110 | 55 |
| 68760 | TRANSISTORS, SEMICONDUCTORS TUB | 37,427 | 51,271 | 88,698 | 42 |
| 70029 | LEATHER FOOTWEAR FOR MEN | 234 | 318 | 552 | 42 |
| 70085 | FOOTWEAR FOR MEN \& BOYS | 547 | 1,477 | 2,024 | 27 |
| 71030 | AUTOMATIC PILOTS \& PARTS | 286 | 168 | 454 | 63 |
| 71319 | PARTS FOR STROBOSCOPES | 208 | 504 | 712 | 29 |
| 71614 | WATCH MOVEMENTS NES | 831 | 2,677 | 3,508 | 24 |
| 72670 | WOOD-WIND INSTRUMENT PARTS | 44 | 24 | 68 | 65 |
| 72680 | PIANO PARTS | 1,373 | 1,458 | 2,831 | 48 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, FT 246/Annual 1974.

TABLE LVI

PRINCIPAL SUPPLIER ITEMS OF MEXICO AMONG U.S. IMPORTS
IMPORTED UNDER TARIFF PROVISION 806. 30 Value (1,000 dollars)

| TSUS Number | Commodity Description | U.S. <br> Component | Duty Value | Custom Value | \% Share of Component |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 68580 | FIXED CAPACITORS | 4,135 | 3,610 | 7,745 | 53 |
| 68760 | TRANSISTORS, SEMICONDUCTORS,TUBES | 18,027 | 45,989 | 64,015 | 28 |
| 72670 | WOOD-WIND INSTRUMENT PARTS | 1,571 | 668 | 2,239 | 70 |
| 72680 | PIANO PARTS | 337 | 234 | 572 | 59 |

TABLE LVII
PRINCIPAL SUPPLIER ITEMS OF TAIWAN AMONG U.S. IMPORTS IMPORTED.UNDER TARIFF PROVISION 807.00 Value ( 1,000 dollars)

| TSUS Number | Commodity Description | U.S. <br> Component | Duty Value | Custom Value | \% Share of Component |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14420 | MUSHROOMS | 1 | 11 | 12 | 8 |
| 38081 | MENS \& BOYS CLOTHING | 667 | 564 | 1,231 | 54 |
| 38278 | WOMEN,GIRLS, INFANTS CLOTHING | 93 | 60 | 153 | 61 |
| 68520 | TELEVISION REGEIVERS | 181,757 | 33,372 | 215,130 | 84 |
| 70055 | FOOTWEAR | 150 | 10 | 165 | 91 |
| 70535 | GLOVES | 28 | 29 | 57 | 49 |
| 75035 | FEATHER DUSTERS | 74 | 34 | 108 | 69 |

PRINCIPAL SUPPLIER ITEMS OF KOREA AMONG U.S. IMPORTS
IMPORTED UNDER TARIFF PROVISION 807.00 Value ( 1,000 dollars)

| TSUS Number | Commodity Description | U.S. <br> Component | Duty Value | Custom Value | \% Share of Component |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38084 | MENS OR BOYS SUITS | 81 | 3 | 84 | 96 |
| 70027 | FOOTWEAR | 87 | 4 | 91 | 96 |
| 70053 | BOOTS | 551 | 22 | 573 | 96 |
| 71615 | WATCH MOVEMENTS NES | 294 | 623 | 917 | 32 |
| 72025 | WATCH BEZELS | 7 | 105 | 112 | 6 |
| 72075 | WATCH ASSEMBLES | 29 | 479 | 508 | 6 |

## ARTICLES AMONG U.S. IMPORTS SUBJECT

TO ADDITIONAL TRADE RESTRICTIONS

| TSUS Number | Commodity Description | Type of Restriction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Escape clause actions |  |  |
| 53328 | Earthware, stoneware for preparing or serving food or beverage ingredients | Higher tariff rates |
| 53331 | Steins \& mugs | Higher tariff rates |
| 53333 | Fine grained earthware for food preparation | Higher tariff rates |
| 53335 | Cups | Higher tariff rates |
| 53336 | Saucers | Higher tariff rates |
| 53338 | Creamers, vegetable dishes | Higher tariff rates |
| 53371 | Steins \& mugs | Higher tariff rates |
| 53373 | Vegetable dish or bowls, platters | Higher tariff rates |
| 53375 | Cups and saucers | Higher tariff rates |
| 68035 | Ball bearings, radial | Higher tariff rates |
|  | Temporary modifications pursuant to Section 252 of the trade expansion | act of 1962 |
| 13250 | Potato. starch | Higher tariff rates |
| 16823 | Brandy | Higher tariff rates |
| 16826 | Brandy | Higher tariff rates |
| 16828 | Brandy | Higher tariff rates |
| 16832 | Brandy | Higher tariff rates |
| 49330 | Dextrine or chemically treated starches | Higher tariff rates |
| 69202 | Automobiles, trucks <br> Other temporary modifications pursuant to trade agreement legislation | Higher tariff rates |
| 94900 | Stainless steel flatware | Quota |
| 94901 | Stainless steel flatware | Quota |
| 94902 | Stainless steel flatware | Quota |

table LVIX (Continued)

| TSUS Number | Commodity Description | Type of Restriction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 94903 | Stainless steel flatware | Quota |
| 94904 | Stainless steel flatware | Quota |
| 94905 | Stainless steel flatware | Quota |
| 94906 | Stainless steel flatware | Quot a |
| 94907 | Stainless steel flatware | Quota |
| 94908 | Stainless steel flatware | Quota |
| 65175 | Stainless steel flatware in sets | Quota |
| 65008 | Knives and forks with handles not containing nickel or $10 \%$ by weight of maganese | Higher tariff rate |
| 65038 | Knives and forks with handles not containing nickel or $10 \%$ by weight of maganese | Higher tariff rate |
| 65010 | Knives and forks with handles containing nickel or more than $10 \%$ by weight of maganese | Higher tariff rate |
| 65040 | Knives and forks with handles containing nickel or more than $10 \%$ by weight of maganese | Higher tariff rate |
| 65054 | Spoons included in sets provided for in item 65175 | Higher tariff rate |
|  | Additional import restrictions proclaimed pursuant to S agricultural adjustment act as amended | the |
| 14520 | Peanuts, shelled or not shelled, prepared or preserved | Quota |
| 14521 | Peanuts, shelled or not shelled, prepared or preserved | Quota |
| 14548 | Peanuts, shelled or not shelled, prepared or preserved | Quota |
| 30010 | Cotton | Quota |
| 30015 | Cotton | Quota |
| 30020 | Cotton | Quota |
| 30030 | Cotton | Quota |
| 30040 | Cotton | Quota |

TABLE LVIX (Continued)

| TSUS Number | Commodity Description | Type of Restriction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 30045 | Cotton | Quota |
| 30050 | Cotton | Quota |
| 30060 | Cotton | Quota |
| 13070 | Wheat product for human consumption | Quota |
| 13140 | Wheat product for human consumption | Quota |

APPENDIX B

## This appendix contains the revised Classification Scheme of the SITC and a listing of product descriptions for 3-digit SITC items.

CLASSIFICATION SCHEME OF THE SITC, REVISED

Code Section and Division Headings Page

## ANIMAL AND VEGETABLE OILS AND FATS

41
42
Animal oils and fats ..... 17
Fixed vegetable oils and fats. . . . . . . . ..... 17
Animal and vegetable oils and fats, processed, and waxes of animal or vegetable origin . . . . . . . . . . . . ..... 17
CHEMICALS
Chemical elements and compounds. . . . . . . ..... 17
Mineral tar and crude chemicals from coal, petroleum and natural gas. . . . . . . . . . ..... 20
Dyeing, tanning and colouring materials. ..... 20
Medicinal and pharmaceutical products ..... 21
Essential oils and perfume materials; toilet, polishing and cleansing preparations ..... 21
Fertilizers, manufactured ..... 21
Explosives and pyrotechnic products ..... 22
Plastic materials, regenerated cellulose and artificial resins. . . . . . . . . . . . . ..... 22
MANUFACTURED GOODS CLASSIFIED CHIEFLY BY MATERIAL
Leather, leather manufactures, n.e.s., and dressed furskins ..... 23
Rubber manufactures, n.e.s. ..... 23
Wood and cork manufures (excluding furniture. ..... 24
Paper, paperboard and manufactures thereof ..... 25
Textile yarn, fabrics, made-up articles and related products ..... 25
Non-metallic mineral manufactures, n.e.s. ..... 28
Iron and steel ..... 30
Non-ferrous metals ..... 32
Manufactures of metal, n.e.s ..... 33
MACHINERY AND TRANSPORT EQUIPMENT
Machinery, other than electric . . . . . . . ..... 35
Electrical machinery, apparatus andappliances . . ...............37
Transport equipment ..... 39
Division
Code Section and Division Headings Page
81 Sanitary, plumbing and lighting fixtures
and fittings • . . . . . . . . . . . . . 40
Furniture. • . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 40
Travel goods, handbags and similar
articles . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 40
Clothing . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 40
Footwear • . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 41
Professional, scientific and controlling
instruments; photographic and optical
goods, watches and clocks. . . . . . . . . . 41
Miscellaneous manufactured articles, n.e.s.. . 42
COMMODITIES AND TRANSACTIONS NOT CLASSIFIED
ACCORDING TO KIND . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 45

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SITC } \\ & \text { Code } \end{aligned}$ | Classification Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| 001 | Live animals |
| 011 | Meat, fresh, chilled or frozen |
| 012 | Meat, dried, salted or smoked, whether or not in airtight containers |
| 013 | Meat in airtight containers, n.e.s. and meat preparations, whether or not in airtight containers |
| 022 | Milk and cream |
| Q23 | Butter |
| 024 | Cheese and curd |
| 025 | Eggs |
| 031 | Fish, fresh and simple preserved |
| 032 | Fish, in airtight containers, n.e.s. and fish preparations, whether or not in airtight containers (including curstacea and mollüscs) |
| 041 | Wheat (including spelt) and mes 1 in , unmilled |
| 042 | Rice |
| 043 | Barley, Unmilled |
| 044 | Maize (corn), unmilled |
| 045 | Cereals, unmilled, other than wheat, rice, barley, and maize |
| $046$ | Meal and flour of wheat or of meslin |
| 047 | Meal and flour of cereals, except meal and flour of wheat or meslin |
| 048 | Cereal preparations and preparations of flour and starch of fruits and vegetables |
| $051$ | Fruit, fresh, and nuts (not including oil nuts), fresh or dried |


| SITC <br> Code | Classification Description |
| :--- | :--- |

SITC
Code
Classification Description

Fuel wood and charcoal

Wood in the rough or rough1y squared
Wood, shaped or simply wo rked

Cork, raw and waste

Pulp and waste paper
Silk

Wool and other animal hair

Cotton

Jute

Vegetable fibres, except cotton and jute
Synthetic and regenerated (artificial) fibres

Waste materials from textile fabrics (including rags)
Fertilizers, crude

Stone, sand and gravel
Sulpher and unroasted iron pyrites
Natural abrasives (including industrial diamonds)
Other crude minerals
Iron ore and concentrates

Iron and steel scrap
Ores and concentrates of non-ferrous base metals
Non-ferrous metal scrap
Silver and platinum ores
Ores and concentrates of uranium and thorium
Crude animal materials, n.ê.s.
Crude vegetable materials, n.e.s.

SITC
Code

## Classification Description

Coal, coke and briquettes
Petroleum, crude and partly refined for further refining (excluding natural gasolene)

Petroleum products
Gas, natural and manufactured
Electric energy
Animal oils and fats
Fixed vegetable oils, soft
Other fixed vegetable oils
Animal and vegetable oils and fats, processed, and waxes of animal or vegetable origin

Organic chemicals
Inorganic chemicals: Elements, oxides and halogen salts
Other inorganic chemicals
Radioactive and associated materials
Mineral tar and crude chemicals from coal, petroleum and natural gas

Synthetic organic dyestuffs, natural indigo and colour lakes.

Dyeing and tanning extracts, and synthetic tanning materials

Pigments, paints, varnishes and related materials
Medicinal and pharmaceutical products
Essential oils, perfume and favour materials
Perfumery and cosmetics, dentifrices and other toilet preparations (except soaps)

Soaps, cleansing and polishing preparations
Fertilizers, manufactured

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SITC } \\ & \text { Code } \end{aligned}$ | Classification Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| 571 | Explosives and pyrotechnic products |
| 581 | Plastic materials, regenerated cellulose and artificial resins |
| 599 | Chemical materials and products, n.e.s. |
| 611 | Leather |
| 612 | Manufactures of leather or artificial or reconstituted leather, n.e.s. |
| 613 | Fur skins, tanned or dressed (including dyed) |
| 621 | Materials of rubber |
| 629 | Articles of rubber, noe.s. |
| 631 | Veneers, plywood boards, "improved" or reconstituted wood and other wood, worked, n.e.s. |
| 632 | Wood manufactures, n.e.s. |
| 633 | Cork manufactures |
| 641 | Paper and paperboard |
| 642 | Articles made of paper pulp, of paper or of paperboard |
| 651 | Textile yarn and thread |
| 652 | Cotton fabrics, woven (not including narrow or special fabrics) |
| 653 | Textile fabrics, woven (not including narrow or special fabrics), other than cotton fabrics |
| $654$ | Tulle, lace, embroidery, ribbons, trimmings and other small wares |
| $655$ | Special textile fabrics and related products |
| 656 | Made-up articles, wholly or chiefly of textile materials, n.e.s. |
| 657 | Floor coverings, tapestries, etc. |
| 661 | Lime, cement and fabricated building materials, except glass and clay materials |

SITC
Code
Classification Description

662

Clay construction materials and refractory construction materials

Mineral manufactures, n.e.s.
Glass
Glassware
Pottery
Pearls and precious and semi-precious stones, unworked or worked

Pig iron, spiegeleisen, sponge iron, iron and steel powders and shot and ferro-alloys

Ingots and other primary forms (including blanks for tubes and pipes), or iron or steel

Iron and steel bars, rods, angles, shapes and sections (including sheet piling)

Universals, plates and sheets of iron and stee 1
Hoop and strip of iron or steel
Rails and railway track construction material of iron or steel

Iron and steel wire (excluding wire rod)
Rubes, pipes and fittings of iron or steel
Iron and steel castings and forgings, unworked, n.e.s.
Silverg platinum and other metals of the platinum group
Copper
Nickel
Aluminum
Lead
Zinc
Tin

SITC
Code
Classification Description

688

Uranium and thorium and their alloys
Miscellaneous non-ferrous base metals employed in metallurgy

Finished structural parts and structures, n.e.s.
Metal containers for storage and transport
Wire products (excluding electric) and fencing grills
Nails, screws, nuts, bolts, rivets and similar articles of irong steel or of copper

Tools for use in the hand or in machines
Cutlery
Household equipment of base metals
Manufactures of steel, n.e.s.
Power generating machinery, other than electric
Agricultural machinery and implements
Office machines
Metalworking machinery
Textile and leather machinery
Machines for special industries
Machinery and appliances (other than electrical) and machine parts, n.e.s.

Electric power machipery and switchgear
Equipment for distributing electriçity
Telecommunications apparatus
Domestic electric equipment
Electric apparatus for medical purposes and radiological apparatus

Other electrical machinery and apparatus

## SITC

Code Classification Description
731 Railway vehicles

732
733
734
735

Road motor vehicles
Road vehicles other than motor vehicles
Aircraft
Ships and boats
Sanitary，plumbing，heating and lighting fixtures and fittings

Furniture
Travel goods，handbags and similar articles
Clothing（except fur clothing）
Fur clothing（not including headgear）and other articles made of furskins；artificial fur and articles thereof

Footwear
Scientific，medical，optical，measuring and controlling instruments and apparatus

Photographic and cinematographic film
Developed cinematographic film
Watches and clocks
Musical instruments，sound recorder and reproducers and parts and accessories therefor

Printed matter
Articles of artificial plastic materials，n．e．s．
Perambulators，toys，games and sporting goods
Office and stationary supplies，n．e．s．
Works of art，collectors＇pieces and antiques
Jewelery and goldsmiths＇and silversmiths＇wares
Manufactures articles，n．e．s．

## APPEND IX C

The tables of this appendix record the 4-digit SITC import items of the selected group of LDC's principally supplied by the U.S. along with ad valorem equivalent foreign duties. Also provided are information on the level of total import, potential trade expansion, market share of the U.S.g and the foreign elasticity of demand for each item.

Import data are taken from U.N. Series D publications; Commodity Trade Statistics, except for Taiwan. Data for that country are taken from The Trade of China (Taiwan District), 1975, by the Inspectorate General of Customs, Republic of China.

Foreign duties and import demand elasticities are based on findings of Professor Robert Stern's research projects prepared for the U.S. Department of State.

TABLE LX
ITEMS AMONG MEXICO IMPORTS PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY THE U.S.

| SITC <br> Number | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Imponts } \\ (\$ 1900) \end{gathered}$ | "Ave" <br> (\%) | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | Total Trade Expansion (\$1,000) | Market Share of U.S. (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 001.1 | 11, 695 | 2.2 | 0.74 | 186 | 71 |
| 001.2 | 1,093 | 18.8 | 0.74 | 128 | 98 |
| 001.4 | 1,863 | 11.2 | 0.74 | 139 | 94 |
| 022.1 | 6,245 | 27.6 | 0.74 | 1,000 | 99 |
| 022.2 | 32,406 | 27.6 | 0.74 | 5,187 | 44 |
| 041 | 46,526 | 20.0 | 0.74 | 5,738 | 98 |
| 044 | 17,009 | 1.3 | 0.74 | 162 | 100 |
| 045.9 | 17,498 | 5.1 | 0.74 | 628 | 99 |
| 0.51 .4 | 1,072 | 112.2 | 0.74 | 419 | 100 |
| 051.7 | 2,364 | 44.8 | 0.74 | 541 | 72 |
| 054.2 | 1,126 | 19.4 | 0.74 | 135 | 98 |
| 054.5 | 1,095 | 11.9 | 0.74 | 86 | 100 |
| 054.8 | 1,204 | $\because 7.9$ | 0.74 | 65 | 99 |
| 062 | 1,349 | 340.4 | 0.74 | 772 | 98 |
| 081.3 | 2,692 | 10.0 | 0.74 | 181 | 100 |
| 091.3 | 2,139 | 53.7 | 0.74 | 555 | 100 |
| 099 | 6,379 | 70.2 | 0.74 | 1,947 | 90 |
| 211.1 | 20,917 | $\therefore 8.5$ | 0.57 | 934 | 98 |
| 221.4 | 2,258 | 45.8 | 0.57 | 404 | 100 |
| 221.9 | 3,091 | 54.6 | 0.57 | 622 | 100 |
| 231.1 | 10, 3.62 | 17.7 | 0.57 | 888 | 40 |
| 231.2 | 6,646 | 27.2 | 0.57 | 810 | 77 |
| 243.2 | 7,875 | 32.9 | 0.57 | 1,111 | 93 |
| 243.3 | 1,115 | 32.9 | 0.57 | 157 | 100 |
| 251.5 | 18,741 | 32.9 | 0.57 | 2,644 | 73 |
| 271.3 | 9,097 | 119.4 | 0.57 | 2,822 | 68 |

TABLE LX (Continued)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SITC } \\ & \text { Number } \end{aligned}$ | Total Imports ( $\$ 1,000$ ) | "Ave" (\%) |  | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | Total Trade Expansion (\$1,000) | Market Share of U.S. (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 273 | 3,932 | 20.2 |  | 0.57 | 377 | 81 |
| 276.2 | 4,989 | 109.1 |  | 0.57 | 1,484 | 95 |
| 276.9 | 2,402 | 6.9 |  | 0.57 | 88 | 72 |
| 282 | 22,850 | 40.3 |  | 0.57 | 3,741 | 100 |
| 283.3 | 1,501 | 11.5 |  | 0.57 | 88 | 100 |
| 283.6 | 3,537 | 11.5 |  | 0.57 | 208 | 88 |
| 283.9 | 1,824 | 11.5 |  | 0.57 | 107 | 97 |
| 291 | 2,089 | 25.3 |  | 0.57 | 240 | 72 |
| 292.5 | 5,537 | 7.8 |  | 0.57 | 228 | 84 |
| 321.8 | 5,456 | 2.0 |  | 0.85 | 91 | 100 |
| 332.4 | 4,626 | 116.4 |  | 0.85 | 2,115 | 100 |
| 332. 5 | 5,547 | 15.2 |  | 0.85 | 622 | 95 |
| 332.6 | 3,721 | 28.8 |  | 0.85 | 707 | 72 |
| 332.9 | 11,730 | 175.0 |  | 0.85 | 6,345 | 90 |
| 341.1 | 46,254 | 185.3 |  | 0.85 | 25,535 | 82 |
| 411.3 | 2,699 | 11.6 |  | 0.57 | 160 | 95 |
| 512.1 | 26, 371 | 23.0 |  | 1.60 | 7,890 | 76 |
| 512.2 | 10,628 | 23.0 |  | 1.60 | 3,180 | 63 |
| 512.3 | 9,136 | 17.9 |  | 1.60 | 2,219 | 83 |
| 512.4 | 10,481 | 49.8 |  | 1.60 | 5,575 | 84 |
| 512.5 | 24, 399 | 36.3 |  | 1.60 | 10,397 | 52 |
| 512.7 | 26, 174 | 18.1 |  | 1.60 | 6,418 | 49 |
| 513.2 | 11,526 | 18.3 | \% | 1.60 | 2,853 | 86 |
| 513.3 | 2,018 | 15.8 |  | 1.60 | 441 | 80 |
| 513.6 | 17,552 | 18.7 | - | 1.60 | 4,424 | 68 |
| 514.1 | 2,807 | 29.0 | - | 1.60 | 1,010 | 56 |
| 514.2 | 4,485 | 16.8 |  | 1.60 | 1,032 | 69 |

TABLE LX (Continued)

| $\begin{gathered} \text { SITC } \\ \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ | $\because$ | Total Imports (\$1, 000) |  | "Ave" <br> (\%) |  | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}$ |  | Total Trade Expansion (\$1,000) | Market Share of U.S. (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 514.3 |  | 4,315 |  | 18.2 |  | 1.60 |  | 1,063 | 77 |
| 521.4 |  | 1, 501 |  | 26.4 |  | 1.60 |  | 502 | 81 |
| 533.3 |  | 1,916 |  | 38.7 |  | 1.60 |  | 855 | 61 |
| 541.6 |  | 4,673 |  | 12.6 |  | 1.60 |  | 837 | 47 |
| 541.7 |  | 3, 292 |  | 38.1 |  | 1.60 |  | 1,453 | 55 |
| 541.9 |  | 1,857 |  | 23.5 |  | 1.60 |  | 565 | 62 |
| 551.1 |  | $\therefore 2,776$ |  | 31.0 |  | 1.60 |  | 1,051 | 52 |
| 553 |  | 3,486 |  | 96.9 |  | 1.60 |  | 2,745 | 72 |
| 554.2 |  | 3,476 |  | 33.6 |  | 1.60 |  | 1,399 | 83 |
| 561.1 |  | 10,001 |  | 3.0 |  | 1.60 |  | 466 | 31 |
| 561.3 |  | 3,041 |  | 2.7 |  | 1.60 |  | 128 | 99 |
| 581.1 |  | 24, 026 |  | 24.9 |  | 1.60 |  | 7,664 | 49 |
| 581.2 |  | 27,737 |  | 19.7 |  | 1.60 | * | 7,304 | 75 |
| 581.3 |  | 8,551 |  | 19.1 |  | 1.60 |  | 2,194 | 79 |
| 599.2 |  | 7,239 |  | 8.8 |  | 1.60 |  | 937 | 68 |
| 599.5 | \% | 3, 148 |  | 19.2 |  | 1.60 |  | 811 | 42 |
| 599.6 |  | 2,008 |  | 19.6 |  | 1.60 |  | 527 | 85 |
| 599.7 |  | -3,246 |  | 30.8 |  | 1. 60 |  | 1,223 | 59 |
| 599.9 611.4 |  | 21,405 |  | 30.9 |  | 1.60 |  | 8,085 | 78 |
| 611.4 621 |  | 1,2.50 |  | 181.1 |  | 1.60 |  | 1,289 | 99 |
| 621 629.1 |  | 2,356 |  | 55.5 |  | 1.60 |  | 1,345 | 78 |
| 629.9 |  | 2,964 |  | 38.8 39.7 |  | 1.60 |  | 1,326 | 70 |
| 631.2 | : | 2,469 | a | 63.7 |  | 1.60 1.60 |  | 1,249 1,537 | 83 58 |
| 641.9 |  | 7,444 |  | 63.3 |  | 1.60 |  | 4,617 | 78 |
| 642.1 |  | 2,772 |  | 88.0 |  | 1.60 |  | 2,076 | 99 |
| 642.9 | ' | 10,099 |  | 43.3 |  | 1.60 |  | 4,882 | 94 |

TABLE LX (Continued)


TABLE LX (Continued)

| SITC <br> Number | Total Impoists ( $\$ 1,000$ ) | "Ave" <br> (\%) | $E_{d}$ | Total Trade Expansion (\$1,000) | Market Share of U.S. (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 711.5 | 49,922 | 13.1 | 1.60 | 9,252 | 86 |
| 711.6 | 4,001 | 78.8 | 1.60 | 835 | 29 |
| 711.8 | 6,318 | 24.7 | 1.60 | 2,002 | 49 |
| 712.1 | 2,727 | 4.7 | 1.60 | 19.6 | 90 |
| 712.1 | 11,550 | 5.7 | 1.60 | 997 | 70 |
| 712.5 | 41,566 | 9.1 | 1.60 | 5,547 | 78 |
| 714.9 | 23,725 | 14.6 | 1.60 | 4,836 | 76 |
| 717.3 | 6,609 | 8.8 | 1.60 | 855 | 35 |
| 718.1 | 11,637 | 21.1 | 1.60 | 3,244 | 51 |
| 718.2 | 14,021 | 19.2 | 1.60 | 3,613 | 51 |
| 718.3 | 8,220 | 22.0 | 1.60 | 2,372 | 46 |
| 718.4 | 33,639 | 15.4 | 1.60 | 7,183 | 83 |
| 719.1 | 34,947 | 26.3 | 1.60 | 11,643 | 45 |
| 719.2 | 48, 239 | 17.1 | 1.60 | 11,271 | 69 |
| 719.3 | 17, 204 | 20.4 | 1.60 | 4,664 | 71 |
| 719.5 | 12,915 | 23.4 | 1.60 | 3,918 | 58 |
| 719.6 | 23, 532 | 22.0 | 1.60 | 6,790 | 57 |
| 719.7 | 18,315 | 10.0 | 1.60 | 2,664 | 51 |
| 719.9 | 37,986 | 16.3 | 1.60 | 8,518 | 73 |
| 722.1 | 65, 527. | 32.2 | 1.60 | 25,537 | 62 |
| 722.2 | 43, 709 | 33.7 | 1.60 | 17,627 | 62 |
| 723.1 | 4,641 | 19.0 | 1.60 | 1,186 | 90 |
| 723.2 | 5,268 | 19.2 | 1.60 | 1,358 | 55 |
| 724.1 | 11,216 | 79.9 | 1.60 | 7,970 | 89 |
| 725 | 3,720 | 28.4 | 1.60 | 1,316 | 65 |
| 726.1 | 3,255 | 17.8 | 1.60 | 787 | 74 |
| 729.1 | 4,944 | 25.6 | 1.60 | 1,612 | 83 |
| 729.2 | 5,617 | 23.0 | 1.60 | 1,681 | 59 |

TABLE LX (Continued)

| SITC Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Imports } \\ & (\$ 1,000) \end{aligned}$ | "Ave" (\%) | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | Total Trade Expansion (\$1,000) | Market Share of U.S. <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 729.3 | 47,916 | 20.2 | 1.60 | 12,884 | 92 |
| 729.4 | 10,032 | 15.9 | 1.60 | 2, 202 | 68 |
| 729.5 | 16,862 | 15.4 | 1.60 | 3,600 | 68 |
| 729.6 | 1,787 | 8.7 | 1.60 | 229 | 75 |
| 729.9 | 38,614 | 23.0 | 1.60 | 11, 553 | 82 |
| 731.6 | 1,690 | 5.3 | 1.60 | 13.6 | 90 |
| 731.7 | 11,045 | 3.4 | 1.60 | 581 | 64 |
| 732.1 | 131,071 | 76.4 | 1.60 | 90, 828 | 56 |
| 732.2 | 16,487 | 115.2 | 1.60 | 14, 121 | 100 |
| 732.3 | 63,757 | 28.6 | 1.60 | 22,687 | 95 |
| 732.4 | 5,879 | 7.0 | 1.60 | 615 | 97 |
| 732.5 | 1,584 | 165.4 | 1.60 | 1,579 | 100 |
| 732.6 | 6,523 | 25.0 | 1.60 | 2,087 | 100 |
| 732.8 | 66, 130 | 324.9 | 1.60 | 80,906 | 84 |
| 733.3 | 2,638 | 51.9 | 1.60 | 1,442 | 100 |
| 734.1 | 13,645 | 12.5 | 1.60 | 2,426 | 97 |
| 734.9 | 4,122 | 0.0 | 1.60 | 0 | 92 |
| 735.3 | 2,547 | 7.6 | 1.60 | 288 | 99 |
| 735.9 | 15,414 | 6.4 | 1.60 | 1,483 | 38 |
| 812.4 | 2,094 | 51.3 | 1.60 | 1,136 | 58 |
| 841.1 | 39, 118 | 101.1 | 1.60 | 31,466 | 86 |
| 841.4 | 3, 163 | 64.8 | 1.60 | 1,990 | 57 |
| 851 | 2,106 | 83.9 | 1.60 | 1,537 | 72 |
| 861.1 | 1,821 | 14.8 | 1.60 | 376 | 71 |
| 861.3 | 2,743 | 10.8 | 1.60 | 428 | 38 |
| 861.4 | 4, 288 | 18.9 | 1.60 | 1,091 | 36 |
| 861.5 | 1,821 | 17.3 | 1.60 | 430 | 58 |

TABLE LX (Continued)

| SITC <br> Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Imports } \\ & (\$ 1,000) \end{aligned}$ | "Ave" <br> (\%) | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | Total Trade Expansion (\$1,000) | Market Share of U.S. (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 861.6 | 10, 510 | 19.4 | 1.60 | 2,732 | 83 |
| 861.7 | 6,495 | 14.9 | 1.60 | 1,348 | 62 |
| 861.8 | 6,686 | 9.3 | 1.60 | 910 | 47 |
| 861.9 | 27,477 | 20.0 | 1.60 | 7,327 | 68 |
| 862.3 | 2,086 | 22.1 | 1. 60 | 604 | 88 |
| 862.4 | 18,576 | 30.1 | 1.60 | 6;8.76 | 57 |
| 863 | 1,630 | 23.4 | 1.60 | 495 | 56 |
| 891.1 | 9,716 | 36.0 | 1.60 | 4, 115 | 88 |
| 891.2 | 8,645 | 103.9 | 1.60 | 7,048 | 90 |
| 891.8 | 3,547 | 42.7 | 1.60 | 1,698 | 29 |
| 892.2 | 4,174 | 46.6 | 1.60 | 2, 123 | 49 |
| 892.4 | 1,971 | 9.7 | 1.60 | 279 | 59 |
| 892.9 | 2,886 | 2.1 | 1.60 | - 95 | 80 |
| 893 | 9,514 | 101.0 | 1.60 | 7,649 | 91 |
| 894.2 | 17, 292 | 63.8 | 1.60 | 10,776 | 91 |
| 894.4 | 1,497 | 35.5 | 1.60 | 628 | 67 |
| 899 | 4,725 | 58.9 | 1.60 | 2,802 | 55 |

Source: U.N. Series D, Cofmodity Trade Statistics, Vol. 23, No. 1-39, 1974. Data supplied by U.S. Department of State.

TABLE LXI
ITEMS AMONG BRAZIL IMPORTS PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY THE U.S.

| SITC <br> Number | Total Imports (\$1,000) | "Ave" <br> (\%) | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | Total Trade Expansion (\$1,000) | Market Share of U.S. (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 001.4 | 1,441 | 22.5 | 0.74 | 192 | 71 |
| 041 | 376,850 | 33.3 | 0.74 | 69,665 | 61 |
| 046 | 1,889 | 33.3 | 0.74 | , 349 | 100 |
| 048.8 | 5, 124 | 57.5 | 0.74 | 1,389 | 99 |
| 054.2 | 13,616 | 53.2 | 0.74 | 3,499 | 67 |
| 054.8 | 4,871 | 42.9 | 0.74 | 1,082 | 71 |
| 081 | 2,078 | 17.5 | 0.74 | 229 | 70 |
| 121 | 1,064 | 142.7 | 0.74 | 463 | 94 |
| 211.1 | 1,926 | 20.4 | 0.57 | 186 | 61 |
| 231.2 | 25,100 | 19.9 | 0.57 | 2,375 | 68 |
| 242.3 | 5,326 | 55.0 | 0.57 | 1,077 | 87 |
| 251.6 | 7,548 | 30.0 | 0.57 | 993 | 69 |
| 267 | 1,897 | 52.3 | 0.57 | 371 | 96 |
| 271.3 | 18,505 | 4.0 | 0.57 | 406 | 67 |
| 274.1 | 13,718 | 0.4 | 0.57 | 31 | 55 |
| 275.2 | 2,010 | 24.0 | 0.57 | 222 | 61 |
| 276.2 | 3,241 | 26.4 | 0.57 | 386 | 77 |
| 282 | 1,717 | 11.9 | 0.57 | 104 | 100 |
| 283.4 | 3,307 | 15.0 | 0.57 | 246 | 76 |
| 289.9 | 5,892 | 11.9 | 0.57 | 357 | 30 |
| 292.5 | 4,634 | 0.8 | 0.57 | 21 | 42 |
| 321.4 | 45,327 | 20.0 | 0.85 | 6,421 | 89 |
| 332.5 | 39,392 | 35.0 | 0.85 | 8,681 | 60 |
| 512.1 | 68,950 | 17.1 | 1.60 | 16,110 | 67 |
| 512.3 | 11,343. | 17.8 | 1.60 | 2,742 | 68 |

TABLE LXI (Continued)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SITC } \\ & \text { Number } \end{aligned}$ | Total <br> Imports <br> (\$1,000) | "Ave" (\%) | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | Total Trade Expansion (\$1,000) | Market Share of U.S. <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 521.4 | 6,398 | 15.2 | 1.60 | 1,351 | 58 |
| 541.6 | 5,383 | 20.8 | 1.60 | 1,483 | 39 |
| 541.9 | 2,004 | 41.4 | 1.60 | 939 | 54 |
| 56.1 .2 | 47,321 | 7.9 | 1.60 | 5,543 | 58 |
| 561.3 | 40,868 | 10.4 | 1.60 | 6,160 | 49 |
| 561.9 | 44,996 | 12.1 | 1.60 | 7,771 | 85 |
| 581.3 | 12,870 | 39.8 | 1.60 | 5,862 | 50 |
| 599.7 | 32, 245 | 29.6 | 1.60 | 11,783 | 67 |
| 599.9 | 38,556 | 37.4 | 1.60 | 16,792 | 55 |
| 664.2 | 2,122 | 9.0 | 1.60 | 280 | 63 |
| 671.3 | 2,086 | 20.0 | 1. 60 | 556 | 54 |
| 672.3 | 10, 581 | 44.7 | 1. 60 | 5,230 | 32 |
| 673.4 | 21,127 | 35.7 | 1.60 | 895 | 39 |
| 673.5 | 2,533 | 35.9 | 1.60 | 1,071 | 76 |
| 674.1 | 73,825 | 32.0 | 1.60 | 28,635 | 42 |
| 676.1 | 12,158 | 23.9 | 1.60 | 3,752 | 64 |
| 678.5 | 4,277 | 55.0 | 1.60 | 2,428 | 44 |
| 681.2 | 4,854 | 12.5 | 1.60 | 863 | 71 |
| 683.1 | 5,729 | 25.4 | 1.60 | 1,857 | 57 |
| 685.1 | 8,983 | 45.2 | 1. 60 | 4,474 | 54 |
| 689.3 | 7,926 | 25.0 | 1.60 | 2,536 | 50 |
| 691.2 711.4 | 2,502 | 60.0 | 1.60 | 1,501 | 57 |
| 711.4 712.5 | 15,261 | 38.6 | 1.60 | 6,800 | 64 |
| 712.5 714.3 | 109,126 | 34.1 | 1.60 | 44,399 | 63 |
| 718.4 | 73,788 | 15.0 27.7 | 1.60 1.60 | 15,399 | 40 |
| 719.3 | 92,614 | 42.3 | 1.60 1.60 | 44,049 | 54 37 |

TABLE LXI (Continued)

| SITC <br> Number | Total Imports (\$ 1,000 ) | "Ave" (\%) | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | Total Trade Expansion (\$1,000) | Market Share of U.S. (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 724.1 | 16,186 | 83.1 | 1.60 | 11,754 | 85 |
| 724.2 | 25,739 | 70.0 | 1.60 | 16,957 | 55 |
| 729.1 | 2,492 | 50.3 | 1.60 | 1, 334 | 53 |
| 729.3 | 52,239 | 29.7 | 1.60 | 19, 140 | 38 |
| 729.4 | 8,306 | 53.7 | 1.60 | 4,643 | 44 |
| 729.5 | 43,454 | 35.5 | 1.60 | 18,215 | 44 |
| 729.9 | 62, 194 | 44.7 | 1.60 | 30,740 | 47 |
| 731.7 | 15,883 | 39.6 | 1.60 | 7,209 | 87 |
| 732.3 | 11,898 | 83.3 | 1.60 | 8,651 | 75 |
| 732.5 | 1,024 | 82.5 | 1.60 | 741 | 100 |
| 734.1 | 97,911 | 7.0 | 1.60 | 10, 249 | 88 |
| 735.9 | 42,118 | 7.0 | 1.60 | 4,409 | 54 |
| 841.1 | 6,318 | 117.5 | 1.60 | 5,461 | 26 |
| 861.1 | 5,212 | 12.9 | 1.60 | 953 | 38 |
| 861.6 | 33,696 | 34.3 | 1.60 | 13,769 | 81 |
| 862.3 | 1,408 | 56.8 | 1.60 | 816 | 76 |
| 862.4 | 35,008 | 19.1 | 1.60 | 8,983 | 37 |
| 863 | 2,852 | 29.5 | 1.60 | 1,039 | 62 |
| 892.1 | 15,642 | 9.2 | 1.60 | 2, 104 | 36 |
| 899.6 | 2,299 | 24.3 | 1.60 | 719 | 50 |

[^21]TABLE LXII
ITEMS AMONG TAIWAN IMPORTS PRINGIPALLY SUPPLIED BY THE U.S.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { SITC } \\ \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Imports } \\ & (\$ 1,000) \end{aligned}$ |  | "Ave" (\%) |  | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | Total Trade Expansion (\$ 1,000$)$ | Market Share of U.S. <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 001.3 | 84 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.74 | 0 | 100 |
| 001.4 | 1,566. | $\therefore$ ¢ | 0.0 |  | 0.74 | 0 | 41 |
| 001.9 | 2,415 | \% | 0.0 |  | 0.74 | 0 | 42 |
| 014.9 | 187 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.74 | 0 | 84 |
| 041 | 105,356 |  | 0.0 | $\sim$ | 0.74 | 0 | 88 |
| 044.0 | 211,452 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.74 | 0 | 36 |
| 054.2 | 7,935 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.74 | 0 | 52 |
| 054.88(b) | 256 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.74 | 0 | 34 |
| 057.4 | 3,536 |  | 0.0 | *: | 0.74 | 0 | 53 |
| 057.99 | 151 |  | 0.0 | $\cdots$ | 0.74 | 0 | 72 |
| 058.5 | 285 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.74 | 0 | 73 |
| 058.64 | 6,058 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.74 | 0 | 43 |
| 071.2日(a) | 281 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.74 | 0 | 84 |
| 073 | 88 |  | 0.0 | 4 | 0.74 | 0 | 45 |
| 081 | 1,227 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.74 | 0 | 80 |
| 098 | 765 | $\cdots$ | 0.0 |  | 0.74 | 0 | 95 |
| 121 | 859 | 0 | 58.5 |  | 0.74 | 234 | 66 |
| 122 | 28,854 |  | 130.0 |  | 0.74 | 12,069 | 78 |
| 222 | 223,687 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.57 | 0 | 99 |
| 223.9 | 31 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.57 | 0 | 100 |
| 251.1 | 6,732 |  | 13.0 |  | 0.57 | 832 | 53 |
| 251.2 | 15,467 |  | 13.0 |  | 0.57 | 1,014 | - 69 |
| 263.1 | 190,599 | $\cdots$ | 16.0 |  | 0.57 | 14,985 | 64 * |
| 271.1 | 15 |  | 6.5 | ! | 0.57 | neg. | 69 |
| 271.4 | 20,423 | \% | 7.0 |  | 0.57 | 762 | 43 |
| 278.21 | 2, 394 | 76 | 18.2 |  | 0.57 | 210 | 99 |

TABLE LXII (Continued)

| SITC <br> Number | Total Imports (\$1,000) | "Ave" $(\%)$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | Total Trade Expansion (\$1,000) | Market Share of U.S. (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 282 | 53, 168 | 13.0 | 0.57 | 3,487 | 85 |
| 291.93 | 1,298 | 30.9 | 0.57 | 175 | 80 |
| 291.96 | 215 | 30.9 | 0.57 | 29 | 9.8 |
| 291.99(b) | 3,195 | 30.9 | 0.57 | 430 | 43 |
| 335.11 | 26 | 32.7 | 0.85 | 5 | 72 |
| 335.4 | 1,505 | 32.7 | 0.85 | 315 | 98 |
| 411.32 | 7,032 | 26.3 | 0.57 | 835 | 72 |
| 411.33 : | 21,501 | 26.3 | 0.57 | 2,552 | 59 |
| 423.2 | 18, 389 | 33.6 | 0.57 | 2,636 | 62 |
| 431.1 | 75 | - 32.8 | 0.57 | 11 | 65 |
| 511.3 | 26,717 | 78.0 | 1.60 | 18,732 | 64 |
| 511.4 | 337 | 78.0 | 1.60 | 236 | 55 |
| 512.37 | 220 | 29.3 | 1.60 | 80 | 55 |
| 515.59 | 169 | 10.0 | 1.60 | 25 | 97 |
| 516.21 | 178 | 35.5 | 1.60 | 75 | 50 |
| 516.31 | 110 | 35.5 | 1.60 | 46 | 64 |
| 522.25 | 306 | 26.3 | 1.60 | 102 | 95 |
| 522.31 | 15 | 26.3 | 1.60 | 5 | 56 |
| 522.42 | 1,054 | 26.3 | 1.60 | 351 | 60 |
| 522. 52 | 2,313 | 26.3 | 1. 60 | 771 | 74 |
| 522.59 (a) | 1 | 26.3 | 1.60 | neg. | 100 |
| 523.11 | 1,530 | 26.3 | 1.60 | 510 | 57 |
| 523.28 | 920 | 26.3 | 1.60 | 317 | 95 |
| 523.29 (a) | - 99 | 26.3 | 1.60 | 33 | 53 |
| 523.32 | . 323 | 26.3 | 1.60 | 108 | 42 |
| 524.1 | 19, 152 | 26.3 | 1.60 | 6,381 | 99 |

TABLE LXII (Continued)

| SITC <br> Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Imports } \\ & (\$ 1,000) \end{aligned}$ | "Ave" <br> (\%) | $E_{\text {d }}$ | Total Trade Expansion (\$1,000) | Market Share of U.S. (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 524.91 | 5 | 26.3 | 1.60 | 2 | 76 |
| 533.53 | 70 | 39.1 | 1. 60 | 31 | 74 |
| 533.54 | 1,009 | 39.1 | 1.60 | 454 | 43 |
| 541.3 | 10,536 | 15.0 | 1.60 | 2,199 | 42 |
| 541.62 | 484 | 10.2 | 1.60 | 72 | 53 |
| 541.64 | 1,428 | 10.2 | 1.60 | 211 | 30 |
| 541.99 | 309 | 32.2 | 1.60 | 120 | 35 |
| 551 | 320 | 38.8 | 1.60 | 143 | 70 |
| 554.1 | 354 | 40.5 | 1.60 | 163 | 48 |
| 572.12 | 38 | 16.0 | 1.60 | 8 | 93 |
| 572.20(a) | 27 | 16.0 | 1.60 | 6 | 94 |
| 572.20(b) | 44 | 16.0 | 1.60 | 10 | 58 |
| 591 | 31,288 | 26.9 | 1.60 | 10,612 | 42 |
| 592.22 | 52 | 26.9 | 1.60 | 18 | 99 |
| 598.2 | 9, 526 | 26.9 | 1.60 | 3,231 | 98 |
| 598.93 | 69 | 26.9 | 1.60 | 23 | 72 |
| 598.97 | 969 | 26.9 | 1.60 | 329 | 33 |
| 598.98 | 21,989 | 26.9 | 1.60 | 7,458 | 36 |
| 611 | 14,995 | 57.5 | 1.60 | 8,759 | 70 |
| 612.9 | 292 | 91.0 | 1.60 | 223 | 91 |
| 621.05 | 2,101 | 45.0 | 1.60 | 1,044 | 56 |
| 634.1 | 820 | 47.4 | 1.60 | 422 | 42 |
| 634.43 | 4 | 47.4 | 1.60 | 2 | 100 |
| 635.1 | 69 | 47.4 | 1.60 | 36 | 94 |
| 635.99(c) | 69 314 | 47.4 | 1.60 | 36 | 51 |
| 642.10(a) | 314 | 65.0 | 1.60 | 198 | 80 |

TABLE LXII (Continued)

| SITC <br> Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Imports } \\ & (\$ 1,000) \end{aligned}$ | "Ave" (\%) | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | Total Trade Expansion (\$1,000) | Market Share of U.S. <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 642.2 | 10 | 65.0 | 1.60 | 6 | 65 |
| 652 | 1,034 | 66.7 | 1.60 | 662 | 100 |
| 658.3 | 70 | 73.5 | 1.60 | 47 | 37 |
| 658.4 | 122 | 73.5 | 1.60 | 83 | 49 |
| 662.43 | 12 | 43.0 | 1.60 | 8 | 96 |
| 664.6 | 1,183 | 78.0 | 1.60 | 829 | 97 |
| 664.93 | 1,353 | 53.2 | 1.60 | 752 | 58 |
| 665.11 | 27 | 56.4 | 1.60 | 16 | 58 |
| 665.81 | 371 | 49.9 | 1.60 | 198 | 37 |
| 665.89 | 249 | 49.9 | 1.60 | 133 | 60 |
| 678.5 | 12,417 | 33.0 | 1.60 | 4,929 | 53 |
| 682.12 | 31,099 | 19.1 | 1.60 | 7,980 | 40 |
| 682.23 | 615 | 29.7 | 1.60 | 225 | 97 |
| 682.26 | 434 | 29.7 | 1.60 | 159 | 89 |
| 683.23 | 91 | 26.1 | 1.60 | 30 | 88 |
| 683.24 | 3 | 26.1 | 1.60 | neg | 100 |
| 684.1 | 13,014 | 26.0 | 1.60 | 4,297 | 42 |
| 684.26 | 216 | 41.3 | 1.60 | 101 | 91 |
| 685.21 | 82 | 27.6 | 1.60 | 28 | 68 |
| 688 | 1,455 | 19.1 | 1.60 | 373 | 24 |
| 691.2 | 127 | 46.0 | 1.60 | 64 | 75 |
| 692.41 | 307 | 39.5 | 1.60 | 139 | 70 |
| 692.42 | 64 | 39.5 | 1.60 | 29 | 71 |
| 692.44 | 2 | 39.5 | 1.60 | neg | 100 |
| 693.12 | 93 | 40.2 | 1.60 | 43 | 36 |
| 695.39 | 3,656 | 24.2 | 1.60 | 1,140 | 40 |

TABLE LXII (Continued)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SITC } \\ & \text { Number } \end{aligned}$ | Total <br> Imports <br> (\$1,000) | "Ave" (\%) | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | Total Trade Expansion (\$1,000) | Market Share of U.S. <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 699. 12 | 594 | 0.0 | 1.60 | 0 | 94 |
| 699.32 | 186 | 0.0 | 1.60 | 0 | 52 |
| 699.63 | 1,295 | 0.0 | 1.60 | 0 | 46 |
| 699.83(a) | 12 | 0.0 | 1.60 | 0 | 100 |
| 699.94(a) | 46 | 0.0 | 1.60 | 0 | 76 |
| 711.1 | 56,402 | 21.0 | 1.60 | 15,662 | 96 |
| 711.2 | 1,676 | 32.0 | 1.60 | 650 | 64 |
| 712 | 733 | 12.3 | 1.60 | 128 | 71 |
| 714 | 1,156 | 34.6 | 1.60 | 478 | 97 |
| 716 | 93,885 | 21.8 | 1.60 | 26,886 | 61 |
| 723.4 | 51,374 | 52.0 | 1.60 | 28,121 | 43 |
| 726.8 | 145 | 30.0 | 1.60 | 54 | 53 |
| 728.7 | 100,292 | 27.6 | 1.60 | 37,709 | 50 |
| 737.2 | 6,404 | 25.1 | 1.60 | 2,056 | 76 |
| 741.31 | 9,553 | 0.0 | 1.60 | 0 | 47 |
| 741.5 | 4,819 | 0.0 | 1.60 | 0 | 72 |
| 741.6 | 55,708 | 0.0 | 1.60 | 0 | 60 |
| 742 | 18,570 | 0.0 | 1.60 | 0 | 42 |
| 743 | 22,553 | 0.0 | 1.60 | 0 | 57 |
| 744.2 | 58,006 | 0.0 | 1.60 | 0 | 34 |
| 745.2 | 809 | 0.0 | 1.60 | 0 | 30 |
| 749.2 | 22,393 | 0.0 | 1.60 | 0 | 43 |
| 749.92 | 1,645 | 0.0 | 1.60 | 0 | 41 |
| 749.99 | 4,178 | 0.0 | 1.60 | 0 | 45 |
| 751.2 | 10,119 | 0.0 | 1.60 | 0 | 56 |
| 773.21 | 1,294 | 24.1 | 1.60 | 402 | 96 |

TABLE LXII (Continued)

| SITC Number | Total <br> Imports <br> (\$1,000) | "Ave" (\%) | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | Total Trade Expansion (\$1,000) | Market Share of U.S. (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 774.2 | 2,400 | 24.1 | 1.60 | 925 | 45 |
| 775 | 6,372 | 24.1 | 1.60 | 1,980 | 79 |
| 776 | 117,855 | 24.1 | 1.60 | 36,620 | 46 |
| 778.1 | 3,391 | 24.1 | 1.60 | 1,054 | 39 |
| 778.85 | 1,744 | 24.1 | 1.60 | 542 | 48 |
| 778.89 | 5,801 | 24.1 | 1.60 | 1,802 | 30 |
| 782.2 | 11,578 | 24.1 | 1.60 | 3,597 | 66 |
| 785.39(a) | 16,839 | 24.1 | 1.60 | 5,232 | 62 |
| 791.4 | 151 | 24.1 | 1.60 | 47 | 91 |
| 791.91 | 20,569 | 24.1 | 1.60 | 6,391 | 41 |
| 792.8 | 1,364 | 24.1 | 1.60 | 424 | 64 |
| 792.9 | 20,129 | 24.1 | 1.60 | 6,254 | 95 |
| 812.2 | 73 | 78.0 | 1.60 | 51 | 55 |
| 812.42 | 2,013 | 53.9 | 1.60 | 1,128 | 45 |
| 871.09 | 668 | 38.3 | 1.60 | 296 | 70 |
| 872.03 | 543 | 38.3 | 1.60 | 241 | 67 |
| 873.1 | 1,843 | 38.3 | 1.60 | 817 | 76 |
| 874.3 | 5,191 | 38.3 | 1.60 | 2,300 | 54 |
| 874.4 | 2,226 | 38.3 | 1.60 | 986 | 46 |
| 874.52 | 385 | 38.3 | 1.60 | 171 | 73 |
| 874.8 | 24,280 | 38.3 | 1.60 | 10,758 | 42 |
| 881.31 | 721 | 38.3 | 1.60 | 319 | 40 |
| 885.29 | 19,905 | 38.3 | 1.60 | 8,820 | 32 |
| 892.13 | 36 | 15.2 | 1.60 | 8 | 41 |
| 892.42 | 46 | 48.8 | 1.60 | 24 | 61 |
| 894.1 | 19 | 39.0 | 1.60 | 9 | 63 |

TABLE LXII (Continued)

| SITC <br> Number | Total. <br> Imports <br> ( $\$ 1,000$ ) | "Ave" <br> (\%) | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | Total Trade Expansion (\$1,000) | Market Share of U.S. (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 894.24 | 124 | 76.5 | 1.60 | - 86 | 63 |
| 894.62 | 1,921 | 67.0 | 1.60 | 1,233 | 100 |
| 894.63 | 2,052 | 67.0 | 1.60 | 1,317 | 9.9 |
| 894.73 | 149 | 67.0 | 1.60 | 96 | 82 |
| 897.32 | 77 | 98.4 | 1.60 | 61 | 43 |
| 898.23 | 30 | 56.6 | 1.60 | 17 | 41 |
| 899.19(b) | 3 | 98.7 | 1.60 | 7 | 100 |
| 899.6 | 666 | 9.5 | 1.60 | 92 | 46 |
| 899.8 | 49,525 | 59.9 | 1.60 | 29,684 | 69 |
| 899.92 | 61 | 56.9 | 1.60 | 35 | 70 |
| 899.95 | 28 | 56.9 | 1.60 | 16 | 94 |
| 951.01 | 131 | 113.4 | 1.60 | 111 | 100 |
| 951.09 | 2 | 113.4 | 1.60 | 2 | 97 |
| 961 | 4,094 | 111.6 | 1.60 | 3,455 | 56 |
| 971.01 | 406 | 111.6 | 1.60 | 343 | 80 |
| Sources: | Inspectorate General of the Customs, Taipei, Taiwan, The Trade of China (Taiwan District), 1975. U.N. Series M, No. 34/Rev. 2, 1975. <br> Data supplied by U.S. Department of State. |  |  |  |  |

TABLE LXIII
ITEMS AMONG KOREA IMPORTS PRINCIPALLY SUPPLIED BY THE U.S.

| SITC <br> Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Imports } \\ & (\$ 1,000) \end{aligned}$ | "Avel" <br> (\%) | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | Total Trade Expansion (\$1,000) | Market Share of U.S. (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 001.1 | 2,357 | 10.6 | 0.74 | 167 | 75 |
| 022. 2 | 3,501 | 96.5 | 0.74 | 1,272 | 74 |
| 041 | 128,136 | 10.0 | 0.74 | 8,620 | 96 |
| 042.1 | 101, 109 | 25.0 | 0.74 | 14,964 | 86 |
| 044 | 22,913 | 50.0 | 0.74 | 5,652 | 99 |
| 0.46 | 5,626 | 35.0 | 0.74 | 1,079 | 70 |
| 071.3 | 1,146 | 149.8 | 0.74 | 509 | 100 |
| 081.3 | 1.624 | 25.0 | 0.74 | 240 | 100 |
| 099 | 2,825 | 65.9 | 0.74 | 830 | 48 |
| 211.1 | 8,676 | 25.0 | 0.57 | 989 | 83 |
| 221.4 | 5, 124 | 25.0 | 0.57 | 584 | 100 |
| 242.2 | 14,068 | 10.0 | 0.57 | 729 | 75 |
| 251.1 | 2,527 | 10.0 | 0.57 | 131 | 80 |
| 251.6 | 2,035 | 10.0 | 0.57 | 105 | 74 |
| 263.1 | 85,477 | 10.0 | 0.57 | 4,429 | 97 |
| 271.3 | 7,697 | 0.0 | 0.57 | 0 | 100 |
| 282 | 27,725 | 5.0 | 0.57 | 753 | 81 |
| 284 | 12,893 | 8.9 | 0.57 | 601 | 73 |
| 411.3 | 16,300 | 35.0 | 0.57 | 2,409 | 100 |
| 711.6 | 2,262 | 10.0 | 1.60 | 329 | 97 |
| 714.2 | 5,055 | 9.9 | 1.60 | 729 | 61 |
| 714.9 | 12,956 | 6.2 | 1.60 | 1,210 | 88 |
| 729.3 | 67,489 | 20.3 | 1.60 | 18,221 | 70 |

TABLE LXIII (Continued)

| SITC <br> Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Imports } \\ & \left(\$ 1_{2} 000\right) \end{aligned}$ | "Ave" (\%) | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | Total Trade Expansion (\$1,000) | Market Share of U.S. (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 732.4 | 2,443 | 50.0 | 1.60 | 1,303 | 49 |
| 734.1 | 31,650 | 0.0 | 1.60 | 0 | 66 |
| 734.9 | 2,439 - | 0.0 | 1.60 | 0 | 58 |

Sources: U.N. Commodity Trade Statistics, Series D, Vol. 23, No. 1-39, 1974. Data supplied by U.S. Department of State.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ For a dispassionate exploration of GSP and skeptical conclusions, see Gardner Patterson, "Would Tariff Preferences Help Economic Development?," Lloyds Bank Review, No. 76, April 1965, pp. 18-30. Harry Johnson presented a rebuttal to Patterson's article in the same review a year later.
    ${ }^{2}$ Title V of the Trade Act of 1974, Public Law 93-618, Dec. 23, 1974, authorized the President to extend duty-free treatment to certain eligible products imported into the U.S. from beneficiary developing countries for a ten year period.

    3
    Twenty-six countries are specifically excluded from GSP treatment.

[^1]:    " "Competitive need" restrictions apply to exports of LDCs that are competitive in the world market without preferences.
    ${ }^{5}$ Import-sensitive articles not eligible for duty-free treatment are explicitly listed in part (c) of Section 503 of PL. 93-618.
    ${ }^{6}$ Section 504 of PL. 93-618 contains limitations on preferential treatment.

    7PL. 93-618, Section 505, Time Limit on Title; Comprehensive Review.
    ${ }^{8}$ Section 2007, Federal Register: "An interested party may submit a request that the duty-free treatment accorded to eligible articles under the GSP be withdrawn, suspended or limited."

[^2]:    10
    Figures are computed from data found in International Monetary Fund, Direction of Trade, 1975.

[^3]:    Sources: U.S. Department of Commerce, FT 246/Annual 1974, June 1976. International Monetary Fund, Direction of Trade, Annual 1970-74.

[^4]:    * Including net GSP trade.

    烟 Total may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

    Sources: U.S. Department of Commerce, FT 246/Annual 1974. Tariff data supplied by Trade Agreements Division, U.S. Department of State.

[^5]:    ${ }^{4}$ It represents the sum of import values of all items eligible for GSP treatment minus the value of excluded imports related to country specific exclusions.

[^6]:    Sources: International Monetary Fund, Direction of Trade, Annual 1970-74.
    United Nations, Commodity Trade Statistics, Series D, Vol. 23; No. 1-39.
    Inspectorate General of Customs, Taipei, Taiwan, The Trade of China (Taiwan District) 1975.

[^7]:    Sources: United Nations, Commodity Trade Statistics, Series D, Vo1. 23, No. 1-39. Tariff data supplied by Trade Agreements Division, U.S. Department of State.

[^8]:    ${ }^{5}$ Low dutiable share of imports from the U.S. may reflect the importance of offshore assembly activities in Taiwan. That country grants duty-free treatment to foreign-made parts imported for final assembly and re-export.

[^9]:    ${ }^{14}$ Ibid.
    ${ }^{15}$ Ibid.

[^10]:    ${ }^{19}$ U.S. Department of Commerce, Overseas Business Report, December 1973.

[^11]:    14
    ${ }^{14}$ Assume the following constant elasticity excess Demand and supply equations:
    (1) $\quad \log Q=a+n_{m} \cdot \log (P r) \quad r=1+t$
    (2) $\quad \log \mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}} \cdot \log (\mathrm{P})$
    from (1), $\quad d \log (Q)=n_{m} \cdot d \log (P)+n_{m} \cdot d \log (r)$
    from (2), $\quad d \log (Q)=k_{e} \cdot d \log (P)$
    $d \log (P)=n_{m} \cdot d \log (r) /\left(k_{e}-n_{m}\right)$
    $d \log (P)+d \log (Q)=n_{m} \cdot d \log (r) /\left(k_{e}-n_{m}\right)+k_{e} \cdot n_{m} \cdot d \log (r) /\left(k_{e}-n_{m}\right)$
    $d \log (P)+d \log (Q)=n_{m^{\circ}}\left(1+k_{e}\right) \cdot d \log (r) /\left(k_{e}-n_{m}\right)$
    $d \log (P Q)=n_{m}\left(1+k_{e}\right) /\left(k_{e}-n_{m}\right) \cdot d \log (1+t)$

[^12]:    ${ }^{19}$ Finger, "Tariff Provision of Offshore Assembly and the Experts of Developing Countries," Economic Journal, June 1975.
    ${ }^{20}$ Ibid.

[^13]:    ${ }^{26}$ If the foreign assembly is controlled by U.S. firms, as is often the case, import demand elasticity may no longer be relevant.

[^14]:    $1_{\text {R.J. Ball and K. Marwah, "The U.S. Demand for Imports, 1948-1958," }}$ The Review of Economics and Statistics, November 1962, pp. 395-401.
    ${ }^{2}$ B.A. Devries, "Price Elasticities of Demand for Individual Commodities Imported into the U.S.," International Monetary Fund Staff Papers, April 1951, pp. 397-419.
    ${ }^{3}$ M.E. Kreinin, "Disaggregated Import Demand Functions--Further Results," Southern Economic Journal, Vo1. 40, No. 1, 1973, pp. 19-25.

[^15]:    ${ }^{6}$ Virtually all export items of large values such as cocoa beans, coffee, castor oil, and lumber are duty free.

[^16]:    * Excluding items subject to multifiber agreement quota restriction.

    Sources: U.S. Department of Commerce, FT 246/Annual 1974.
    Tariff data supplied by Trade Agreements Division, U.S. Department of State.

[^17]:    Sources: United Nations, Commodity Trade Statistics, Series D, Vo1. 23, No. 1-39. Inspectorate General of Customs, Taipei, Taiwan, The Trade of China (Taiwan District) 1975.

[^18]:    Sources: United Nations, Commodity Trade Statistics, Series D, Vo1. 23, No. 1-39. Tariff data supplied by Trade Agreements Division, U.S. Department of State.

[^19]:    Sources: U.S. Department of Commerce, FT 246/Annual 1974, June 1976. Tariff data supplied by U.S. Department of State.

[^20]:    $1_{\text {Bela Balassa, " The Structure of Protection in Industrial Coun- }}$ tries and Its Effects on the Exports of Processed Goods From Developing Countries," The Kennedy Round: Estimated Effects on Tariff Barriers (New York: U.N., 1968).

    2
    ${ }^{2}$ For example, see A.J. Yeats, "Effective Protection by Transportation Costs and Tariff: A Comparison of Magnitudes," Quarterly Journal of Economics, 90, 1976, pp. 169-176.

[^21]:    Sources: U.N. Commodity Trade Statistics, Series D, Vo1. 23, No. 1-39, 1974.
    Data supplied by U.S. Department of State.

