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Abstract

Empirical and anecdotal evidence shows that the news media are male-dominated. This study updates the extant literature on women’s representation in the op-ed pages of ten U.S. newspapers. A content analysis showed that female authors are moving beyond topics traditionally linked to females and are writing columns on topics such as politics and economy. However, they remain a minority, and, thus, women’s voices have yet to gain more visibility in the world of opinion writing in U.S. journalism.
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Opinion pages illustrate an area of American journalism notorious for its lack of diversity. Deborah Howell of the Washington Post explained the problem simply when she wrote the opinion page is often “too male and too white.”¹ Scholars offer various explanations for why women are not featured more on opinion pages, such as gender enculturation, by which women are raised to believe they are not qualified nor have the right to voice their opinions; the male-dominated culture of newsrooms, where women have traditionally been marginalized and offered fewer opportunities; and
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women’s opting out of these intensive and time-consuming positions because of parenting and family responsibilities. The reasons then for the lack of women’s voices on opinion pages include both women’s self-selection out of the job, as well as their inability to get the job. The interplay of these cultural and organizational explanations makes the issue quite complicated.

Catherine Orenstein, founder of the U.S.-based The Op-Ed Project, designed to include more women in public conversations, especially in media outlets, said women often “discount themselves and their knowledge.” Historically, women have been discouraged to speak up because any display of knowledge for women indicated unladylike qualities, such as aggression and conceit.

Nick Coleman, a former columnist for the (Minneapolis, Minnesota) Star Tribune, noted another reason women may be less interested in opinion writing, at least in the United States: a gender gap in hate mail. Coleman said, “My wife is also a columnist at the St. Paul Pioneer, and there is a huge difference between the types of abuse I get, and what she gets. It’s much worse for her.” The content of the hate mail his wife, Laura Billings Coleman, received focused on the fact that she is a woman and references were often made to her appearance.

Despite progress, U.S. newsrooms remain very much male domains. Females made up more than 64% of journalism school graduates in 2010, but statistics released by the American Society of News Editors (ASNE) showed females only represented 36.9% of full-time, daily newsroom journalists at that time—that is, 15,400 females, whereas males totaled more than 26,300. In fact, females have been graduating from journalism schools at a higher rate than males since the 1970s, so a lack of capable women cannot be seen as the problem. While clearly not all of these females graduating from journalism schools seek newspaper jobs, it is likely there are enough women—who are capable and willing—to occupy 50% of the positions available in U.S. newsrooms. However, the research available tells a story of male-dominated spaces that can be both overtly and subtly unfriendly to females, resulting in women occupying fewer management positions, being paid less than their male counterparts, and leaving the newsroom earlier in their careers. It follows, then, that for newspapers to feature more female columnists on the editorial pages, the culture of newsrooms must be more inclusive of women overall.

Surprisingly, or perhaps not, there is a dearth of research surrounding female columnists and the columns they write. This study provides evidence of women’s presence and voices on opinion pages in U.S. dailies. Examining ten newspapers from across the country—selected based on circulation size and nine regions of the country and including USA Today for its status as a national newspaper—the present study offers a status report on the numbers of women sharing their opinions and includes details about what topics these women are likely to cover, what sources they rely on, and how or if they might use a so-called woman’s voice. Such state-of-the-issue research is a crucial first step in understanding women’s opinion writing in contemporary American journalism. It is essential because it lays the groundwork for further investigations that go beyond merely stating numbers by including considerations of how female columnists write as well.
Feminist Theoretical Framework

Relying on feminist theories as a foundation for understanding women’s roles in journalism, feminist media scholars have recognized the troubled history of gender and journalism. Historically women have been discouraged from speaking up in public and participating in public discourse. Although significant progress has been made, women are still often moved to the margins of the mainstream media and have struggled to be treated as equals in the newsroom. Even when women appear in news, they often do so in stereotypical roles, such as wives, mothers, or victims, and are often invisible in other areas of the public sphere. Beyond problems with news media content are concerns with women in newsrooms. Women less often write for the front pages of top newspapers in the United States and are often relegated to covering stories about family, food, fashion, and entertainment, rather than the so-called “hard news” subjects of business, politics, and international news. Women also hold fewer decision-making positions in the newsroom. Data from ASNE showed that in 2013, women made up only 34.6% of supervising positions in U.S. dailies. All of these issues—women’s (lack of) presence in content, as writers, and as decision-makers—are significant to the (lack of) presence of women’s voices on opinion pages. Furthermore, not only could women’s opinions be heard through female authors, but also through the voices opinion writers use in quotes and as sources.

Feminist theorizing focuses on the ways power in conjunction with gender organizes both the material and symbolic worlds. According to feminist media scholars, media provide ideological support for hegemonic power structures. In an American context, this includes a patriarchal power structure. However, rather than simply identifying the problems with ideology, hegemony, and media, feminism is committed to intervening and transforming these systems of power in terms of both media practices and content. Fraser noted how dominant groups by gender, race, and class control the ways a society communicates and interprets information. In other words, the hegemonic group drives the use of official vocabularies, rhetorical devices, idioms for communicating one’s needs, and the paradigms of argumentation accepted as authoritative. For these reasons, it is essential that on opinion pages and in commentary writing—where issues about our communities and world are defined and solutions are offered—that a diverse group of voices be represented. This notion of diversifying the newsroom to add female voices in equal numbers to men’s voices does not necessarily mean that with more women’s voices will come a louder and distinctly gendered women’s voice. On the contrary, it ensures diversity by allowing various women’s perspectives to be represented. This reflects a contemporary understanding of feminism, unlike the feminism marked by Betty Friedan’s seminal book, *The Feminine Mystique*, that essentially ignored differences between women as she argued for what women wanted. Contemporary feminist theorizing strives to acknowledge the differences among women. Within the context of opinion pages of American newspapers—whether published in print or online—a contemporary feminist goal would be to have a diverse number of females (and diversity of people in general) writing and appearing on these pages. This does not ensure a distinct feminist voice, but rather a broad array
of voices. With this call for female voices of varied perspectives, we also acknowledge that males can have a feminist voice, as feminist is a social and political perspective and not a sex or gender trait.20

From within a feminist theoretical framework, feminist ethics offers not only a means from which to analyze problems that emerge in media content and the workplace, but recommends ways to resist oppressive practices and subscribe to alternatives that promote emancipation.21 As such, Steiner explains, “Feminist ethics is concerned with how people can live together with others in healthy, productive ways and how we can build social or political structures to support this.”22 Feminist ethics is thus particularly relevant to the discussion of women’s voices on opinion pages because of the ethical considerations essential to speech, the silencing of speech, who gets to speak, who does not, and who is listened to and not listened to.23 Ethical reflection, often a philosophical pursuit, leads to questions about what should be done. From a feminist ethical standpoint, a multitude of women’s points of view should be heard and integrated into public discourse. Opinion pages and columnists in American newspapers hold a privileged position in cultural discourse, occupying a sanctioned space from which to analyze, critique, and offer solutions on relevant societal issues.24 Thus, from a critical standpoint, it is an ethical obligation to provide diversity in those voices, including gender diversity.

Literature Review

Gender and the News Industry

Research consistently shows that women are not treated equally in or by the news media industry.25 In the late 1970s, Tuchman labeled the pervasive exclusion of women and women’s issues as legitimate topics of news media “symbolic annihilation.”26 Such exclusion of women from a mediated view is important because mass media inform people’s understanding of women as subjects and actors in society.27 News coverage too frequently tells a rather monolithic account of women’s concerns (e.g., home, family, domestic violence, and sexual relations), and constrains them to the realm of the private world, while the proper masculine interests “are left to constitute the real substance of public sphere discourse.”28 This framing of issues perpetuates a narrow and dichotomous understanding of our world. Feminist scholars stress that mainstream news media are strongly linked to the political and economic interests of men, to the extent that news media are largely produced for a male—and white—audience and based upon masculine definitions of newsworthiness.29

Empirical evidence shows that the penchant toward erasing women and their interests is widespread and remains an issue in the news industry today, particularly daily newspapers.30 Indeed, women are less likely than men to be sources or appear in authoritative roles,31 are consistently depicted less frequently than males,32 and have a lesser prominence, such as appearing further down in stories, with fewer quotes or only paraphrased, and in relation to topics deemed less substantive, such as parenting, education, or gardening.33
When examining women working in the industry, the story reveals a similar tale. The world of journalism is male-dominated, with men comprising the majority in newsrooms and editorial positions. According to ASNE, in 2013, women accounted for 36.3% of all newspaper jobs and 34.6% of supervising positions—percentages that have remained stable for two decades. While women have made up the majority of journalism graduates in the United States since 1977, currently females write only one in seven news articles. In a recent study measuring the number of female bylines in the New York Times for the first two months in 2013, the results showed that men had twice the number of bylines as females. The scenario is even gloomier in traditionally male-dominated beats, such as sports. It is clear, then, that there are barriers in the industry that limit women’s participation and advancement in the news industry.

The underrepresentation of women in the media is not a minor issue. It shows the inability of media to reflect different life experiences and perspectives, and thus presents an inaccurate picture of the world. As San Jose Mercury News former executive editor David Yarnold argued, “If readers don’t see themselves and hear their voices in your pages, they won’t view you as a credible source of information.” ASNE itself has acknowledged that diversity—including gender, age, race/ethnicity, and sexual orientation—is not only important, but also necessary. Furthermore, the importance of preserving viewpoint diversity is an argument used by advocates of legal regulation of media ownership to limit ownership consolidation.

Diversity in the Op-Ed Pages

Another place where newspaper editors could embrace more gender diversity is on their editorial boards and opinion pages. The historically scarce presence of women in editorial positions conveys the message that women are not worth listening to and that a woman’s point of view is not valued in an editorial setting. Indeed, if the news industry is male-dominated, the op-ed pages are essentially a male kingdom. Studies published in 2005 by Editor & Publisher found that the percentage of female columnists in syndication was “low” and that the number of female editorial cartoonists was “pathetic”—accounting for only about 4% of the total.

Writing a column is deemed an important and coveted assignment in print (including online) journalism, but considering the constraints for women in the newspaper industry and gender bias in newsrooms and culturally, it is not a surprise that it is an endeavor dominated by men. Interestingly, the first American to work as a newspaper columnist as the job is understood today—“someone paid a salary to write a regular, signed opinion piece”—was a female, Sara Willis Parton, who wrote under the pen-name of Fanny Fern. At $100 per column when she began writing for the New York Ledger in 1856, she was the highest paid newspaper writer at the time.

But Fanny Fern was an exception, not the rule, and throughout the twentieth century the majority of female columnists—few as they were—appeared mostly in the
women’s pages of U.S. newspapers. Such segregated underrepresentation creates a pervasive impression that women’s voices and opinions are unimportant compared to male counterparts, and that females have little that is meaningful to say outside of the stereotypical domestic domain of family, food, fashion, and furnishings. The message seems to have reached females themselves, as anecdotal and empirical evidence suggest that many women do not think they have the merits or credentials to contribute to opinion pages. As Andsager and Mastin argued, “the fact that white males dominate the op-ed pages of many [American] newspapers might lead one to believe that readers perceive white males to be the most expert and trustworthy sources for opinion on political, economic, and social issues.” However, in their experiment, these authors found female columnists were ascribed greater credibility than males in general, but the difference was not statistically significant, suggesting that the underrepresentation of women and minorities has more to do with media institutions’ male perspectives and hiring practices than with audience biases.

Some evidence also suggests the inclusion of more females is not only a matter of gender equality, but also a better reflection of society as a whole because the typical American woman communicates differently than the typical man, resulting in a distinct female voice. Tannen, for instance, argues that women’s way of making sense of the world tends to integrate personal experience to a greater extent than men. Research also shows female and male journalists display subtle professional differences when it comes to journalism and reporting—for instance, how they frame issues or the preference for a negative or positive tone—and some women in the news industry argue that their perspective is different. According to Everbach’s study of newsroom culture, the same goes for editorial boards and other leadership positions, where individuals make important decisions regarding news content and newsroom culture. While we do not subscribe to the notion of an essential female or male voice and also recognize the controversial nature of such research, these cited studies suggesting differences in male and female practices of communication and journalism offer a further argument for the importance of diversifying opinion pages.

In the context of previous research, an exploration of female columnists in the United States’s most prominent newspapers is a timely endeavor offering an updated account of inroads and a marker for setting pending goals in women’s representations in the news media. Mass communication scholarship “has largely ignored the op-ed page,” and thus we hope to fill a void in current journalism and feminist literature.

**Research Questions and Hypothesis**

Based on past literature and the ongoing debate on female representation among op-ed pages, we posit the following research questions and hypothesis:

**RQ1:** How gender-balanced are the editorial boards of major U.S. newspapers?

**RQ2:** Currently, what kind of topics do female columnists write about?

**RQ3:** How often do female columnists include personal details in their columns?

**H1:** Most female columnists will be experienced journalists who have spent a significant amount of time in the field of journalism.
Method

To answer our research questions and test our hypothesis, we conducted a content analysis of columns published by major newspapers across the United States. This approach allowed for a quantitative assessment of newspaper columns penned by women.

Based on the U.S. Circulation Bureau’s nine regional divisions, we selected the newspaper with the largest circulation in each division: the Boston Globe (New England), the New York Times (Mid-Atlantic),55 the Chicago Tribune (East North Central), the Minneapolis Star Tribune (West North Central), the Washington Post (South Atlantic), the Louisville (KY) Courier-Journal (East South Central), the Dallas Morning News (West South Central), the Arizona Republic (Mountain), and the Los Angeles Times (Pacific). To complete our sample, we included USA Today as a national newspaper. Arguably, these newspapers, the most prominent in their respective regions, have the financial and journalistic means to have a more gender-balanced pool of commentary writers and editorial board members. They might also set the standard for the industry in regards to diversity and the inclusion of female voices.

We collected all columns written by a female columnist, or at least one female author in the case of multiple authors, in these newspapers during October 2011 and April 2012. The purposive sample responded to an effort to tap into different time periods while avoiding ones with slower news cycles, like summer and the end-of-year holidays.

This resulted in 312 columns (for a breakdown, see Table 1), excluding duplications and pieces published in more than one newspaper due to syndication. In addition to identification variables, we coded for the column’s primary and secondary topic (including politics, lifestyle, education, health, economics, arts, parenting, armed forces, legal affairs, and fashion), whether the author was syndicated, whether newspaper staff or a guest writer penned the column, and the years of experience in the news industry of each author. In addition, we coded for the basis of the arguments used in the columns (i.e., citation/sources, personal accounts and anecdotes, statistics and numbers, and other), and counted the number of both males and females cited (quoted or paraphrased), as well as males and females mentioned (but not cited) in the columns. The codebook also asked whether the discussion addressed women’s issues from a feminist standpoint, and whether the column included the words “feminist(s)” or “feminism.” Inter-coder reliability was calculated using Scott’s pi in a subsample of 10% of the columns. The scores were .87 for primary topic and .85 for secondary topic. All the other variables had a pi value of 1.0.

We used the quantitative data from the content analysis as generalizable evidence, but also relied on a qualitative assessment of the arguments, tone, and issues discussed in the columns. Descriptive statistics and cross-tabulations helped to analyze the results. Because this is a census of columns written by women, inferential statistics were not necessary for the analysis.

In addition, we collected information on the gender and racial makeup of each newspaper’s editorial board, as research suggests that more gender-balanced newsrooms and boards may influence newspaper content.58 Our goal was to get an updated picture of women’s leadership positions and prominent opinion-sharing posts.
Results

In answering RQ1, our analysis shows that the editorial boards of the newspapers are overwhelmingly male. On average, there are eleven members on each board, but only four women. Whites also dominate the board, averaging eight of eleven members. When looking at the list of editorial columnists, the picture is just as grim: on average, there are twenty-two columnists—six of them females, and only one of these an ethnic or racial minority. As Figure 1 illustrates, the editorial boards and editorial columnists of these ten prominent national American newspapers are overwhelmingly male and white. Furthermore, the Boston Globe stood as the newspaper with the lowest proportion of women on their editorial board (20%, or two members out of ten), whereas the Minneapolis Star Tribune had the highest proportion (57%, or four out of seven members).

In addition, our analysis yielded ninety-nine different female authors, thirty-eight of them syndicated. Only seven of the columns (2.2%) listed a co-author, usually a man. Laurie Roberts, from the Arizona Republic topped the list as the most prolific columnist, followed by syndicated authors Kathleen Parker and Juliet Kayyem. Overall, syndicated columns were more likely to be published on a Tuesday, whereas staff-penned columns tended to appear more often on Fridays. Guest writers—invited

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Division</th>
<th>States</th>
<th>Newspaper</th>
<th>Circulation</th>
<th>Columns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td>Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut</td>
<td>Boston Globe</td>
<td>303,000</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-Atlantic</td>
<td>New York, Pennsylvania, New Jersey</td>
<td>New York Times</td>
<td>1,040,000</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East North Central</td>
<td>Wisconsin, Michigan, Illinois, Indiana, Ohio</td>
<td>Chicago Tribune</td>
<td>501,000</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West North Central</td>
<td>Missouri, North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Kansas, Minnesota, Iowa</td>
<td>Minneapolis Star Tribune</td>
<td>378,316</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Atlantic</td>
<td>Delaware, Maryland, District of Columbia, Virginia, West Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida</td>
<td>Washington Post</td>
<td>735,617</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East South Central</td>
<td>Kentucky, Tennessee, Mississippi, Alabama</td>
<td>Louisville Courier-Journal</td>
<td>215,734</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West South Central</td>
<td>Oklahoma, Texas, Arkansas, Louisiana</td>
<td>Dallas Morning News</td>
<td>425,000</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mountain</td>
<td>Idaho, Montana, Wyoming, Nevada, Utah, Colorado, Arizona, New Mexico</td>
<td>Arizona Republic</td>
<td>390,000</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific</td>
<td>Alaska, Washington, Oregon, California, Hawaii</td>
<td>Los Angeles Times</td>
<td>894,943</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>USA Today</td>
<td>2,114,000</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
columnists—were more likely to write about miscellaneous topics, such as lifestyle, as well as education and health.

RQ2 asked what topics female columnists write about. The columns proved to cover a wide array of topics. Surprisingly, as women have traditionally more often covered issues related to family and home, politics—a traditionally masculine topic—was the most popular topic covered in these columns (34.0%), particularly at the Washington Post and among syndicated columnists (see Table 2). This signals an important inroad for female columnists, as women are usually marginalized in debates regarding public affairs and policy issues. Of course, the fact that opinion pages traditionally cover political issues might help to explain this finding. Other popular topics included business and economics (8.0%)—another masculine domain—health (5.8%), education (5.4%), and sports (4.2%). A total of eighteen stories (almost 6% of the sample) discussed gender issues, often times with regards to the so-called “war on women” in several legislatures or gender gaps discussed in the context of the 2012 election campaign. Thus, in answering RQ2, female columnists have broadened somewhat beyond stereotypically feminine topics, with two-fifths of the columns covering politics and economic issues.
A breakdown by newspaper shows the diversity of female columnists, in numbers as well as their status (see Table 2). The Chicago Tribune had the highest number of women-penned columns \((n = 59)\), most of them by staff writers or regular contributors. Conversely, USA Today had only fourteen columns penned by women, by thirteen different authors, eight of them syndicated. As for topics, the Boston Globe (1) and USA Today (1) were the only newspapers with columns that included the armed forces topic, and the Arizona Republic had the highest proportion of columns dealing with both parenting (11.4\%, \(n = 5\)) and legal affairs (6.8\%, \(n = 3\)). In the Dallas Morning News education proved particularly prominent (21.4\%, \(n = 4\)), while the Los Angeles Times had the only column on fashion.

In addressing RQ3 inquiring how frequently female columnists included personal details in their columns or wrote from a distinctly women’s voice, this study revealed that the personal was not popular. Arguably, this has to do with journalistic norms dictating that journalists keep themselves out of their work,\(^61\) and while this is largely understood within the context of news objectivity, the normative value may bleed into opinion writing as well. The most common basis for commentary provided were citation or sources—76.6\% of the columns relied on this kind of evidence to make their case. An example of a column coded as such was one penned by Juliette Kayyem regarding Saudi Arabia’s stance on female Olympians. In the article, citing Prince bin Faisal, Kayyem wrote, “But Saudi Arabia just confirmed that they will not be ‘endorsing any female Saudi participation.’ Sports head Prince bin Faisal noted ‘there are hundreds, if not thousands, of women who practice sports, but in private.’”\(^62\)

A quarter (25.6\%) of columns relied on personal anecdotes or accounts, most prominently in the Dallas Morning News and the Arizona Republic, and particularly in invited (non-staff) columns. For example, in a column by LaDawn Fletcher on childhood sexual assault, she wrote, “And in my own personal experience, I have found that to be true. As I began to share what I was learning, people in my rainbow coalition of friends started sharing their own personal experiences.”\(^63\) In another example of a

---

**Table 2. Female Columnist Profile by Newspaper.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Newspaper</th>
<th>Number of Authors</th>
<th>Number of Staff Writers</th>
<th>Number of Guest Writers</th>
<th>Most common topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arizona Republic</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Politics (36.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston Globe</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Politics (40.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago Tribune</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Business and economy (23.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas Morning News</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Politics (36.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles Times</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Politics (42.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisville Courier-Journal</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Politics (22.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minneapolis Star Tribune</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Politics (32.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York Times</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Politics (32.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington Post</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Politics (75.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA Today</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Health (28.6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
columnist using a personal account, found in a column by Ada Brown of the *Dallas Morning News*, the author wrote,

When I was growing up, every time my family drove by the public pool in a neighboring town, my dad said that someday he was going to stop and swim. When he was a kid, the pool was for whites only. Now that he can swim there if he wants to, he says he’s no longer interested.64

Other columns relied on statistical information (28.5%), knowledge claims, analyses or something similar (24.4%), or a combination of these sources. For example, in an opinion piece by Joanna Weiss at the *Boston Globe*, coded as relying on statistical information, she stated, “According to Census data, in 2010, only 23 percent of families with married parents and children under 15 had stay-at-home moms.”65

On average, the authors cited 1.1 male and 0.6 females per column; they also mentioned 1.1 males and 0.5 females. Almost 15% of the stories cited 3 or more men, but fewer than 5% cited 3 or more women. A breakdown by newspaper shows that the *Louisville Courier-Journal* cited on average almost 2 men per column, whereas the columns in the *Washington Post*, with a mean of 0.2, were the least likely to cite women. Regarding names mentioned, none of the newspapers averaged more than 1 female mentioned or discussed in the columns, while columns in newspapers like the *New York Times* or the *Washington Post* averaged 2.7 and 2.4 males mentioned per column. The gap increased in columns addressing topics such as politics, business and economy, and sports.

Likewise, only thirty-two (10.3%) columns discussed topics from a feminist standpoint, and only nine stories included the word “feminist(s)” or “feminism”—and not necessarily within a positive or meaningful frame. In an example that does demonstrate a positive portrayal of feminism, Joan Wickersham wrote a column discussing her favorite children’s book. A paragraph in the column stated,

Yet 70 years ago, in its gentle, pastel way, ‘The Country Bunny’ was presciently, bracingly feminist, with its story of a female in a male world who, against all odds, is chosen for a job by a discerning employer who sees and values her intelligence, strength, and wisdom.66

Another example that contained the term “feminist,” but not discussed as either positive or negative, was Joan Vennochi’s article regarding the pope’s treatment of nuns. In a paragraph, she wrote, “An American bishop is now charged with reeling them in, after the investigation revealed ‘serious doctrinal problems’ relating to ‘radical feminist themes incompatible with the Catholic faith.’”67 The article detailed primarily convictions of several priests’ sexual abuse cases and did not mention feminism after that initial term.

**H1** hypothesized most female columnists will be experienced journalists who have spent a significant amount of time in the field of journalism. The hypothesis was supported. Most of the female columnists have had long careers in journalism. On average, these columnists had been in the field for twenty-four years and more than a third
(35.6%) of the staff op-ed writers had been in the business for at least thirty years. Admittedly, commentary writing is hardly an entry-level position, and these prominent newspapers are likely to hire well-known, established writers. However, in the context of research that has found some women tend to leave full-time journalism jobs at early stages in their careers,68 this reality is both explanatory and concerning. The fact that only four columnists had less than ten years of experience also reinforces this point. Together the data indicate that within the current realities of the journalism culture, women—by leaving the profession early—might be effectively cutting off their already slim chances to become column writers.

Discussion and Conclusion

This research is a status report, offering data on women’s roles as columnists and opinion writers in U.S. dailies. The results offer reasons for cautious celebration, as well as frustration. This study revealed that although inroads have been made to include more females in the public sphere of commentary and opinion, there remains much work to be done before female voices achieve the same level of participation as male writers. Such research is important and has broad implications because, as Braden argued two decades ago,69 putting a column by a woman on the same page as columns written by men has symbolic value and sends a message that women’s opinions matter and women are worth taking seriously. Moreover, scholars have argued that gender equality cannot be achieved in the United States if females do not have access to and equal representation in cultural symbols of power such as the op-ed pages.70 If on a daily basis female voices are silenced within the dialogue fostered by (print and online) newspapers—a marker of the public sphere—how can women be viewed as valid interlocutors in public debate?

Our study shows that while there have been some important inroads and there are signs of greater gender equality, opinion writing remains a male kingdom. A look at the editorial boards of the newspapers analyzed shows that most members are white men. Thus, the editorial voice of a newspaper—its opinions, its endorsements, its critiques—has much more to do with the perspectives, interests, and concerns of men, particularly white men. While in no way do we suggest that all white males think alike or that all females think alike, we argue that diversity of all kinds on the editorial board and pages of newspapers is essential to ensure diverse perspectives in the public sphere. In other words, while race and gender differences do not translate automatically into differences in thought, experiences—whether they are based on gender, race and ethnicity, or sexual orientation—can influence one’s perspective. A multitude of experiences represented by a diverse group of voices will better reflect a culturally diverse community and help to achieve goals set out by contemporary feminist ethics and philosophy.

Furthermore, within the framework of democratic theory and the role of journalism within that perspective, it is a matter of fairness that representation be more equal. With females making up more than 50% of the population in the United States, the
diverse perspectives females bring to public dialogue should be more frequently represented on the pages of American newspapers.

The fact that in eight out of the ten newspapers analyzed politics was the most common topic addressed by female columnists suggests that women are gaining ground in areas traditionally and discursively reserved for men. Our research clearly indicates that female columnists—when they are permitted to join the conversation—are participating in opinion exchanges related to public affairs, policy, and politics, and are not limited to domestic and private concerns, such as food or parenting. That said, most of the columns about politics were written by guest columnists and not staff writers, and the overall analysis shows that on average, women constitute fewer than a quarter of the op-ed writers of these newspapers. Also discouraging, most of the female staff columnists had more than twenty years of career experience, a fact that might be an indication of how the glass ceiling still exists in the news industry and its op-ed pages. Why more female staff are not writing for opinion pages and how their experiences compare to their male counterparts in the newsroom need further research.

As Steinem noted, feminist ethics is concerned with promoting means for changing oppressive practices. Rockwell stated, “a goal of feminism is to produce work that emerges organically to change the material lives of those influenced by it.”

Monitoring women’s representation in editorial boards and op-ed pages—and thus, creating awareness—is just one step in promoting change. Organized campaigns—in the form of letters to editors—demanding that editorial pages offer a balanced and diverse group of voices might be a productive next step. Organizations like the Op-Ed Project that strives to increase the range of voices heard in the public sphere and has had more than 3,000 female experts participate in its program are an excellent starting point. This effort alone has resulted in hundreds of opinion pieces by females published in major media outlets.

Feminist ethics is crucial to this discussion because theoretically the voices that are able to participate most actively in the public sphere are the ones who are heard most often and have the most impact. These voices on the opinion pages then are theoretically able through mainstream media to ignite change. Female voices in this arena are important, but may become especially so when, for example, particular issues related to females become part of public discourse. One such case is the recent rancorous debate regarding women’s health and reproductive rights playing out in the U.S. political arena.

The results of this study are important for providing groundwork for future research and are part of a larger project that includes qualitative textual analysis of female columnists’ work. While the sample is not representative (results are at most generalizable to the ten newspapers analyzed), the findings did show a pattern of exclusion that should be further investigated. A content analysis like ours can only offer a descriptive account of newspaper content and cannot illuminate the audience’s response to the scarce numbers of female columnists and their lack of voice in the press. Thus, more studies and other methods are needed to more fully understand the issue and consequences of the results found. Examinations of online publications would be especially
beneficial considering the state of the newspaper industry and the move to online content.

The authors of this study also acknowledge that gender is only one element of diversity. There are also issues of class, race/ethnicity, and sexual orientation that should be addressed as well. Nonetheless, gender offers a means to begin to understand power relationships and hegemony within the op-ed pages. In addition, we firmly believe a feminist theoretical framework indicates interest in and conclusions beyond that of only women. The influence of feminist research expands well beyond the bounds of male/female power relationships. This expansion addresses marginalization or political oppression of all humanity with regard to the materiality of language and bodies. In that sense, research on communication and gender focuses a critical eye on the powerful, and grants genuine empathy toward Others who suffer.73

That said, this study updates and expands previous research, addresses the largely ignored area of opinion writing in U.S. newspapers, and provides an update on where female columnists in ten major news publications stand today. It is important to constantly measure the progress of female columnists, as there needs to be vigilance in keeping track of whether female columnists are breaking barriers or if they are regressing toward a weaker presence on the opinion pages. Having a strong presence on the opinion pages does not end at merely having the privilege to write columns. It is a nod of acceptance that the columnist’s opinions matter and that they are worthy of having a personal opinion in a major publication. It is a seal of approval that this columnist deserves space to express her ideas. And so with these implicit connotations that accompany the role of a columnist, it is imperative that more females have a stronger presence on these pages to send the message that, yes, women’s voices are worthy to participate in the public sphere.
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