FACTORS INFLUENCING MIGRATION, OCCUPATIONAL CHOICE, AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS

OF RURAL YOUTH

By

JOHN ROBERT BOURDETTE Bachelor of Science Oklahoma State University Stillwater, Oklahoma

1968

Submitted to the Faculty of the Graduate College of the Oklahoma State University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of MASTER OF SCIENCE May, 1970 FACTORS INFLUENCING MIGRATION, OCCUPATIONAL CHOICE, AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS

STATE UNIVERSITY

att Plates

12 1970

OF RURAL YOUTH

Thesis Approved:

nald &. Allen Thesis Adviser 1 Dean of the Graduate College

762274

ii

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author expresses his appreciation to Dr. Donald Allen, Professor of Sociology, for his guidance during the course of this study and in the preparation of this thesis.

The financial support received through Grant Number 716 - 15 - 35 of the Cooperative State Research Service, United States Department of Agriculture, to Langston University, Langston, Oklahoma, was greatly appreciated.

Further appreciation is extended to the members of the Logan County Youth Study research team that collected the data which was made available to the author. These members were Dr. Donald Allen, Dr. Oliver Robinson, Richmond Kinnard, Zella Patterson, Glenda Warren, Rebecca Baughman, and Delores Reed,

Acknowledgement is also extended to Dr. Gene Acuff and Dr. Larry Perkins for their invaluable suggestions and assistance during the course of this study.

Special recognition is expressed to my wife, Linda, whose understanding, encouragement, sacrifice, and long hours of typing were instrumental in the preparation of this thesis.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

.

| Chapter | | Page |
|---------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Ι. | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| | Significance of the Problem | 1 2 |
| II. | LITERATURE REVIEW | 5 |
| | Migration | 5 11 19 |
| III. | DATA AND METHODOLOGY | 30 |
| | Introduction | 30 31 |
| | the Instrument | 32 32 36 37 |
| IV. | MIGRATION | 38 |
| | Logan County | 38 54 72 72 73 |
| ۷. | EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS | 75 |
| : | Summary | 96 |
| VI. | OCCUPATIONAL CHOICE | 99 |
| | Summary | 121 |

Chapter

| VII. | SUM | MARY | AN | ID | C0 | NCI | _US | SIC | DNS | δ. | | • | • | • | • | . •• | ŧ | ٩ | • | • | • | • | • | | | 124 |
|----------|-----|----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|------------|---------------|----|-----|----------|-----|------|---------|---|---------|---|---------|------------------|---|----|---|----------------------------------------|
| | | Pu Me Su | rpc thc mma Mig S | ose ods iry ira og ita | o a ti an te | f nd f on Co | Sti Pr Res | udy oc sul nty)kl | / ts / | lur a | e nd ia | D | is | cus , | ss' | io | n • | • | • • • • | • | • • • • | • • • • | • | • | | 124 124 126 126 126 128 |
| | | | Edu Occ | ica :up | ti at | ona i or | al nal | As I C | spi Cho | ira Dic | ti e | on | s | • | • | • | •' • | • | .• | • | • | ••• | | • | | 129 131 |
| SELECTED | BI | BLIO | GRA | ۱PH | ¥ : | • | | • | · , • | • • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | | • | • | • | • | • | • | | 133 |
| APPENDIX | (A | , , | • | • | | • | • • | | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | ٠ | • | • | | · | • | • | • | • | | 139 |
| APPENDIX | КВ | ••• | • | • | • . | • | • | • | • | • | • | ٠ | . • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | · | • | • | | 140 |
| APPENDIX | C) | • • | • | • | • | • | | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | | 141 |
| APPENDIX | CD | • : • | ٠ | • | • . | . i | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | | 142 |
| APPENDIX | E. | •••• | . • | • | • | • • | | • | • | • | : • | • | . • | , | | • | • | • | | • | ę | ٩ | • | • | | 144 |
| APPENDIX | F | • • | • | • | • | • | | • | • | • | • | • | | • | • | ę | • | ÷ | • | • | • | • | • | ٠ | • | 155 |
| APPENDIX | G | • • | • | • | • | • • | • • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | .• | | 160 |
| APPENDIX | (H | | • | • | • • | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | • | | | | | | 165 |

Page

LIST OF TABLES

| Table | | Page |
|-------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| I. | Factors Relating to Students' Migration Plans From Logan County | 39 |
| II. | Sex and Migration Plans From Logan County | 40 |
| III. | Race and Migration Plans From Logan County | 41 |
| IV. | Place of Residence and Migration Plans From Logan County | 41 |
| ۷. | Occupational Aspirations and Migration Plans From Logan County | 42 |
| VI. | Educational Aspirations and Migration Plans From Logan County | 44 |
| VII. | Academic Performance and Migration Plans From Logan County | 45 |
| VIII. | Time Lived in Logan County and Migration Plans From Logan County | 45 |
| IX. | Family Income and Migration Plans From Logan County | 46 |
| Χ. | Father's Job and Migration Plans From Logan County | 47 |
| XI. | College Preparation and Migration Plans From Logan County | 48 |
| XII | Occupational Choice and Migration Plans From Logan County | 50 |
| XIII, | Work Experience and Migration Plans From Logan County | 51 |
| XIV. | Parental Aspirations and Migration Plans From Logan County | 52 |
| XV. | Father's Education and Migration Plans From | 52 |

Table

Work Attitudes and Migration Plans From XVI. 53 XVII. Confidence and Migration Plans From Logan County . . 54 Factors Relating to Students' Migration Plans From XVIII. 56 XIX. Sex and Migration Plans From Oklahoma 57 XX. Race and Migration Plans From Oklahoma 57 XXI: Place of Residence and Migration Plans From 58 Occupational Aspirations and Migration Plans XXII. 59 XXIII. Educational Aspirations and Migration Plans 61 XXIV. Academic Performance and Migration Plans From 62 XXV. Time Lived in Logan County and Migration Plans 62 XXVI. Family Income and Migration Plans From Oklahoma . . 63 XXVII. Father's Job and Migration Plans From Oklahoma . . . 65 College Preparation and Migration Plans From XXVIII. 66 Occupational Choice and Migration Plans From XXIX. 67 XXX. Work Experience and Migration Plans From Oklahoma. . 68 XXXI. Parental Aspirations and Migration Plans From 69 Father's Education and Migration Plans From XXXII. 70 XXXIII. Work Attitudes and Migration Plans From Oklahoma . . 70 XXXIV. Confidence and Migration Plans From Oklahoma 71 Factors Relating to Students' Educational XXXV. 76

Page

| Table | n je stali se stali s | Page |
|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| XXXVI. | Sex and Educational Aspirations | 77 |
| XXXVII. | Race and Educational Aspirations | 7 8 |
| XXXVIII. | Place of Residence and Educational Aspirations . , | 79 |
| XXXIX. | Occupational Aspirations and Educational Aspirations | 80 |
| XXXX. | Academic Performance and Educational Aspirations . | 81 |
| XXXXI. | Family Income and Educational Aspirations | 82 |
| XXXXII. | Father's Job and Educational Aspirations | 83 |
| XXXXIII. | College Preparation and Educational Aspirations . | 84 |
| XXXXIV. | Work Experience and Educational Aspirations | 85 |
| XXXXV. | Parental Aspirations and Educational Aspirations . | 87 |
| XXXXVI. | Course Likes and Educational Aspirations | 88 |
| XXXXVII. | Course Dislikes and Educational Aspirations | 88 |
| XXXXVIII. | Work Attitudes and Educational Aspirations | 89 |
| XXXXIX. | Father's Education and Educational Aspirations | 91 |
| L., | Mother's Education and Educational Aspirations | 91 |
| LI. | Confidence and Educational Aspirations | 93 |
| LII. | Attitude Toward Father's Job and Educational Aspirations | 93 |
| LIII. | Attitude Toward Father's Salary and Educational Aspirations | 94 |
| LIV. | Father's Attitude Toward His Job and Educational Aspirations | 95 |
| LV. | Father's Attitude Toward His Salary and Educational Aspirations | 96 |
| LVI. | Factors Relating to Students' Occupational Choice I | 100 |
| LVII. | Factors Relating to Students' Occupational Choice II | 101 |
| LVIII. | Sex and Occupational Choice | 102 |

viii

| Table | Page |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| LIX. Race and Occupational Choice | 102 |
| LX. Place of Residence and Occupational Cho | ice 103 |
| LXI. Occupational Aspirations and Occupation | al Choice 104 |
| LXII. Educational Aspirations and Occupationa | I Choice 106 |
| LXIII, Academic Performance and Occupational Ch | noice, 107 |
| LXIV. Family Income and Occupational Choice | 108 |
| LXV. Father's Job and Occupational Choice . | 109 |
| LXVI, College Preparation and Occupational Cho | pice 110 |
| LXVII. Work Experience and Occupational Choice | |
| LXVIII. Parental Aspirations and Occupational Ch | noice 112 |
| LXIX. Course Likes and Occupational Choice . | |
| LXX. Course Dislikes and Occupational Choice | ••••• 114 |
| LXXI. Work Attitudes and Occupational Choice | 115 |
| LXXII. Father's Education and Occupational Cho | ice 116 |
| LXXIII. Confidence and Occupational Choice | 117 |
| LXXIV. Attitude Toward Father's Job and Occupat Choice | tional |
| LXXV. Attitude Toward Father's Salary and Occu Choice | upational |
| LXXVI. Father's Attitude Toward His Job and Occ Choice | cupational |
| LXXVII. Father's Attitude Toward His Salary and Choice | Occupational |

ix

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Significance of the Problem

Our present society is a mass-industrial one characterized by one dominant way of life called "urbanized America." The status of American rural society has changed so drastically in the last fifty years that it is now hard to differentiate it from the urban society. Due to advances in technology and scientfic research, the pace of life for the average American has increased tenfold over the last fifty years. In this situation that our society finds itself today the problems of human resources have become apparent on the local, state, and national levels. In order for future manpower plans and policies to be more efficient and accurate, there is a need for accurate knowledge of rural youth.

In our highly industrial society today, the ability to move from place of birth has increased. The increase in migration of young people from their birthplace has created problems in education, transportation, leadership in small communities, cities, politics, etc. This is particularly true of the rural youth of our nation who have left the country for the big city. In order to help solve some of these manpower problems there is a need for the development of a method that would predict the potential young migrator. Future manpower planners

will need to know who is more likely to migrate and why the person decides to migrate.

One reason for migration is the search for employment. In our highly automated society today, the decision of an occupation is the most difficult and most important decision made by young people. The factors that influence this decision have different degrees of effect for each individual. One factor that influences occupational choice is educational aspirations. A knowledge of the variables that influence both occupational choice and educational aspirations are vital for future manpower plans and decisions of this nation. Therefore, this study investigated the effect of several variables on migration from Logan County and the state of Oklahoma, educational aspirations of Logan County high school students, and the occupational choices made by these students in order to provide a foundation for a method that could predict potential migrators, the educational aspirations of students, and the occupational choice of young people.

Objectives of the Study

Sociological theory doesn't contain a method for predicting potential migrators, nor does it incorporate a valid theory which can predict the educational aspirations or occupational choice of young people. The purpose of this research was to examine factors that influenced potential migration, educational aspirations, and occupational choice of rural young people. Specifically, this study sought to answer three questions. First, what were the characteristics of potential migrators from Logan County and the state of Oklahoma? Second, what factors influenced the educational aspirations of rural

youth? Third, what factors affected the occupational choice of rural young adults?

To answer these questions, this study examined several factors for their effect on each dependent variable. Previous research has been concerned with most of the factors considered in this thesis. This research sought to provide in one package the variables that are important for migration plans, educational aspirations, and occupational choice of rural high school students. By investigating a large number of variables, this study provided a foundation for further research. Specifically, this study examined the influence of sixteen variables on potential migrators from Logan County and the state of Oklahoma. These variables were sex, race, place of residence, occupational aspirations, educational aspirations, academic performance, time lived in Logan County, family income, father's job, college preparation, occupational choice, work experience, parental aspirations, father's education, work attitudes, and confidence. Secondly, this thesis sought to determine the effect of twenty variables on educational aspirations of Logan County high school students. The factors investigated were sex, race, place of residence, occupational aspirations, academic performance, family income, father's job, college preparation, work experience, parental aspirations, course likes, course dislikes, work attitudes, father's education, mother's education, confidence, attitude toward father's job, attitude toward father's salary, father's attitude toward his job, and father's attitude toward his salary. Thirdly, this research was concerned with the effect of twenty variables on the occupational choice of Logan County high school students. The twenty variables scrutinized were sex, race, place of residence, occupational aspirations,

educational aspirations, academic performance, family income, father's job, college preparation, work experience, parental aspirations, course likes, course dislikes, work attitudes, father's education, confidence, attitude toward father's job, attitude toward father's salary, father's attitude toward his job, and father's attitude toward his salary.

CHAPTER II

LITERATURE REVIEW

Migration

Gist and Clark (1938), investigating intelligence as a selective factor in rural-urban migration, found a difference in the migratory tendencies of the two sexes. Of the 1,214 males, 446, or 36.74 per cent, moved to the city, while 518 of the 1,330 females, or 38.95 per cent, changed from rural to urban residence. Of the 2,544 students included in this study, 70.08 per cent or 1,783 had moved from the community where they had attended school. When comparing I.Q. scores, it was found that superior persons tended to migrate more frequently to the cities than those of the inferior or average classes. Comparing the mean I.Q.'s for Kansas residents (95.68) with out-of-state migrants (97.79) indicated a selective process in favor of out-of-state migrants. It was also noted that the majority of the out-of-state migrants had gone to an urban community.

Examining migration from three small towns in the southern part of the United States, Mauldin (1940) concluded one, that slightly more than one-half of all the subjects studied had migrated; two, that the largest proportion of migrants came from the superior group; and three, that girls were more migratory than boys except that superior boys were

more mobile than any other group. Looking at the results of each town, Mauldin (1940) found that in Martin, Tennessee, females were more migratory than males and among both sexes the superior group was the most mobile. In Liberty, South Carolina, males were more mobile than females, particularly those in the superior group. The males of McMinnville, Tennessee, were the most mobile.

The results of Sanford's (1940) study of migration in a rural Alabama community clearly indicated evidences of selective migration, as revealed by the comparison of emigrants and immigrants on the basis of education and occupation. The emigrants had an education mean of 12.13 years compared to 10.23 years for the immigrants. Based on a six-point occupational ranking scale with the lower numbers indicating a higher occupational rank, the emigrants ranked 2.79 while the immigrants ranked 2.91.

 \checkmark Gist, et al. (1941) in their study concerning selective aspects of rural migration found that two-thirds of the individuals studied had moved since they had been in school. Comparing scholastic indices of persons residing in different resident zones, the data clearly revealed that better students moved in larger proportions than the poorer students. The results also showed no significant difference in the selective process for the sexes, that is, superior men migrated from rural communities in about the same proportions as superior women.

The findings of Pihlblad and Gregory (1954) indicated that approximately three-fifths of the graduating seniors in 1939 and 1940 had migrated from their home county in 1951 to 1952. Other conclusions from the study were: one, the proportion of migrants was approximately the same for both sexes (61 per cent for males and 63 per cent for

females); two, that there was a consistent tendency for mean test scores (Ohio Psychological Test) to increase with the size of community in which the subjects resided at the time of the study (1952); and three, that there was a significant tendency for mean test scores to increase with formal education as well as educability.

Scudder and Anderson (1954) made three conclusions in their study of migration and vertical occupational mobility in a Kentucky community. One, vocational status of sons was affected by general social status of parents as well as by their vocational rankings. Two, sons who migrated out of small or moderate-size communities were more likely to rise above their parents' occupational status than sons that didn't migrate. Three, the possibility of a son migrating was increased when the father's general social status was high.

Lipset (1955) found a significant relationship between community of orientation and the degree of upward mobility. The results indicated that the larger a person's community of orientation (community in which he spent his teens), the more likely he had been upward-mobile.

The investigation of Payne (1956) of 413 eighth and twelfth-grade boys in a Georgia county revealed that the decision to migrate was almost wholly dependent upon occupational choice and educational expectations. The data suggested that there was a progressing relationship between the three variables, that is, education expectations were formulated first, followed by occupational choice, and finally the decision to migrate was influenced by both preceding variables. It was interesting to note that boys were aware of the "prestige value" of occupations, that they consistently chose occupations above their parents, and the occupations they chose were more urbanlike that their parents'.

7

1.2.2

* Bowles (1957) found that females migrated from farms more than males and that nonwhite migration rates were higher than white migration rates. In general, rates for females were higher or the same as those for males up through the ages 15 to 19; for the ages 20 - 29, the rates for males were usually higher or the same as rates for females; and for persons over 35 the rates were higher for females than for males.

The result of Tarver's (1961) study on predicting migration indicated that migration was not a corollary of a unitary element, but rather a composite of interrelated demographic, economic, and social factors. The analysis demonstrated that independently the three sets of variables explained 72 per cent of the variation in white net migration rates. Interdependently, the three sets of variables accounted for an additional 26 per cent of the variation. Therefore, independently and interdependently the three sets of variables accounted for 98 per cent of the variation in the 1940-50 white net migration rates.

Stub's (1962) study provided evidence to support Rose's hypothesis that higher status persons seeking the better jobs or opportunities must move a greater distance to find the jobs, on the average, than persons whose skills or aspirations direct them to look for less desirable opportunities. The data revealed that the largest proportion of migrants held professional (31 per cent) or managerial (17 per cent) jobs for a total of 48 per cent for both categories.

Tarver (1963) examining interstate migration differentials found no significant difference in interstate migration rates of males and females. Males had slightly higher interstate migration rates than females, but females tended to move at younger ages and reach their peak movement at an earlier age than males. These results coincided with

those found by Bowles (1957).

The findings of Burchinal and Jacobson (1963) substantiated a relationship between their family migration types and educational levels of husbands and wives. The study also established a relationship between their family migration types and occupational achievement of husbands. Husbands and wives with farm backgrounds had the lowest levels of formal education, those who had always lived in Cedar Rapids had intermediate levels of education, and husbands and wives with urban backgrounds had the highest levels of formal education. Men with farm backgrounds had lower occupational achievement levels than any other group.

In a case study of three Eastern Kentucky neighborhoods over a 20year period, Brown, et al. (1963) showed that one, extended family members in 1942 tended to migrate to the same places; two, taking a given town, almost all the migrants from these neighborhoods were related by kinship ties; and three, social class-orientation influenced migration destination. Based on this data, a conclusion was made that the consistency of the directional patterns of Eastern Kentucky's out and in-migration was influenced by kinship ties.

Schwarzweller (1964) found no significant relationship between migrants and non-migrants when comparing them with respect to father's job, status of father's occupation, father and mothers' education, farm or nonfarm background, and completed level of schooling.

Crawford's (1964) investigation of 790 high school seniors revealed that 17 per cent had plans to migrate immediately after graduation, 25 per cent had plans for deferred migration, 11 per cent were not planning to migrate, 24 per cent were undecided, and 23 per cent could not be classified. Analyzing the data displayed the importance of family

systems performing certain functions on migration plans. When family members performed the social-psychological support, economic support and communication-outpost functions, youth planned to migrate significantly more than when family members did not perform these functions. It was also concluded that the immediate family was a barrier to migration plans, but family support could overcome that effect.

Studying the changing character of Negro migration, Taeuber and Taeuber (1965) demonstrated that migration data for 1955 to 1960 suggested that Negro immigrants to several large metropolitan areas to be of substantially higher socio-economic status than the resident Negro population. Furthermore, Negro in-migrants to Northern cities were equal to or slightly higher in educational attainment than the resident white population.

The results of Tarver and Gurley's (1965) elaborate multiple covarient regression analysis showed per cent nonwhite and median family income to be two variables out of five which accounted for an appreciable amount of variation in the 1950-60 net migration rates of counties. Median years of school completed and per cent completing four or more years of high school were two variables that were not significant in explaining county net migration rates.

Bauder and Burchinal's (1965) research provided several results. One, occupational achievement of farm and rural migrants was lower than urban migrants' and natives', but when age, time in city, and education was controlled, the difference in occupational achievement of the groups was not significant. Two, farm migrant parents had lower aspirations for their chidren's education than other parents. Three, aspirations for children's occupations were uniformly high for

all parents.

Using the same data as in 1964 Crawford (1966) examined the influence of family attachment and support on migration plans of 790 high school seniors. The data substantiated his hypothesis that those who had high attachment to the family of orientation and received support to migrate from this family and those with low attachment to the family of orientation were more likely to plan to migrate than those who had high attachm ent, but received no support. This supported the conclusion Crawford made in 1964 that the immediate family was a barrier to migration plans, but family support could overcome that effect.

Developing a theory of farm-nonfarm migration within a costsreturns context, Diehl (1966) found farm income to be significantly and negatively related to migration from farm regions in the southeastern section of the United States. He also noted that the number of Negroes per 100 farm population was consistently and positively associated to migration from farm regions.

A Blevins (1969) examining net migration for 12 SMSA's for the period of 1950 to 1960 discovered no specific trend for any of the migration differentials (age, sex, race, and education). However, the data suggested that one, net migration by educational groups was selective at the extremes; two, migration was affected more by occupational variables than by median income, length of employment, or per cent unemployed; and three, female migration and nonwhite migration were more closely associated to the independent variables than their counterparts.

Educational Aspirations

The now famous study of Kahl (1953) on educational and occupational

aspirations of "common man" boys revealed that I.Q. and family status were useful predictors of educational and occupational ambitions of high school boys. Due to the large variation for highly intelligent boys of low middle class status, further examination of the data suggested that the variation was due to parents' aspirations for their son's future education. Boys who had been trained by their parents, especially the father, to value education, were more likely to have college plans than boys whose parents hadn't trained them in the value of education.

Sewell, et al. (1953) found seven variables to be significantly associated with attitude toward high school education. These variables were educational attainment, socio-economic status, ethnic background, sex, occupational status, size of farm, and age. Looking at each factor respectively, persons who had attended high school favored high school education more than persons who hadn't attended high school, the higher the socio-economic status the more favorable attitude toward high school education, Anglo-Americans favored high school education more than mixed ethnic groups or Continental Europeans, females were more favorable to high school education than males, respondents who lived on farms but worked full time in white or blue collar occupations and those who combined these occupations with farming favored high school education more than those who only farmed, persons living on large farms were more favorable to high school education than persons living on small farms, and respondents 40 and under favored high school education more than those over 40.

The investigation of Slocum (1956) provided several findings about educational plans of high school seniors. One, the percentages of boys

and girls planning to attend college immediately after high school were very similar (38 per cent male, 35 per cent female). Two, there was a positive relationship between father's educational level attained and plans for further education after high school. Three, respondents who had fairly definite plans to attend college immediately after high school tended to come from higher income families. Four, as father's occupational status increased, plans to attend college immediately after high school also increased. Five, there was a greater tendency for respondents from urban areas (41 per cent) to plan immediate college attendance after high school than respondents from rural areas (31 per cent). Six, persons who rated themselves as favorable students, based on grades, were more likely to have plans to attend college than those who rated themselves as unfavorable students. Seven, students who liked school were more likely to plan to attend college than those who disliked school.

Sewell's, et al. (1957) examination of the relationship between educational aspirations and parental occupational status when sex and intelligence were controlled indicated a significant positive association between the two variables. In separate analysis of males and females the relationship was substantiated.

No meaningful difference was found by Haller and Sewell (1957) in educational aspirations of farm and nonfarm senior girls. However, a substantial variation was revealed between farm and nonfarm senior boys in educational aspirations. This led the researchers to conclude that farm males underestimated the value of education in obtaining an occupation.

Testing Lipset's hypothesis that rural youth have lower educational

and girls planning to attend college immediately after high school were very similar (38 per cent male, 35 per cent female). Two, there was a positive relationship between father's educational level attained and plans for further education after high school. Three, respondents who had fairly definite plans to attend college immediately after high school tended to come from higher income families. Four, as father's occupational status increased, plans to attend college immediately after high school also increased. Five, there was a greater tendency for respondents from urban areas (41 per cent) to plan immediate college attendance after high school than respondents from rural areas (31 per cent). Six, persons who rated themselves as favorable students, based on grades, were more likely to have plans to attend college than those who rated themselves as unfavorable students. Seven, students who liked school were more likely to plan to attend college than those who disliked school.

Sewell's, et al. (1957) examination of the relationship between educational aspirations and parental occupational status when sex and intelligence were controlled indicated a significant positive association between the two variables. In separate analysis of males and females the relationship was substantiated.

No meaningful difference was found by Haller and Sewell (1957) in educational aspirations of farm and nonfarm senior girls. However, a substantial variation was revealed between farm and nonfarm senior boys in educational aspirations. This led the researchers to conclude that farm males underestimated the value of education in obtaining an occupation.

Testing Lipset's hypothesis that rural youth have lower educational

aspirations than urban youth, Middleton and Grigg (1959) made several conclusions. One, for both white male and female seniors, rural youth tended to have lower educational aspirations than urban youth. Two, among Negro males and females no significant difference was revealed between educational aspirations and place of residence. This study supported the results of Haller and Sewell (1957) in regard to white male seniors, but disagreed in respect to white female seniors.

Bordua's (1960) study on educational aspirations and parental stress on college plans indicated that variables of sex, religious affiliation, and socio-economic status (father's occupation) were related to the presence or absence of college plans. Furthermore, it was noted that these relationships were mutually independent. He also suggested that parental stress was positively associated with college plans.

Examining the hypothesis that there are certain personal and social characteristics of rural youth which are related to educational achievement, Wilson and Buck (1960) provided these descriptions. One, high school sophomores more likely to attend college were males whose fathers were white collar workers. The family resided in town and the father was considered among the most successful. Two, persons most likely to attend vocational schools after high school were females whose fathers were white collar workers. The family lived in town and were rated fairly successful. Three, students terminating education after high school were more likely to be females whose fathers were blue collar workers. The family lived in town and were rated fairly successful. Three, students terminating education after high school were more likely to be females whose fathers were blue collar workers. The family to be females whose fathers were blue collar workers. The family were nonfarm country residents who had a lower overall status rating in the community than the other two categories.

Burchinal (1961) concluded that tenth and twelfth grade urban boys had higher educational aspirations than small town or farm boys.

Planning to farm seemed to be a depressant on educational aspirations of farm boys. It was also noted that farm residents received less parental encouragement to continue with education after high school.

Morland (1961) provided evidence to support the generalization that lower class children have lower levels of educational aspirations than upper class children. Analyzing his data, Morland found that mill children (representing lower class) had significantly lower levels of educational aspirations than town children (representing upper class). His findings also revealed that mill children shared the American tradition of getting ahead by the fact that they had higher educational aspirations than their parents.

The findings of Ellis and Lane (1963) tended to contradict Kahl's thesis about parental influence on educational plans. The study indicated that it was the mother's reaction to the family's status in life which acted as the catalyst for mobility of the children rather than the father's influence.

Bell's (1963) investigation supported the relationship between parental motivation and aspiration levels of children. The children whose parents provided high motivation tended to have higher aspiration levels than children who received low motivation.

The work of Burchinal, et al. (1963) revealed several relationships. One, as family net worth decreased, plans to attend college also decreased. Two, boys who received low parental encouragement were less likely to have plans to attend college than boys who had high parental encouragement. Three, boys with higher grade point averages were more likely planning to attend college than boys who had lower grade point averages.

In his study of 10,321 Wisconsin high school seniors, Sewell (1964) scrutinized the relationship between community of residence and college plans. As the size of community increased, the percentage of students with college plans increased, ranging from 21.5 per cent for farms to 42.4 per cent for large cities. Sex, intelligence, and socioeconomic status were also noted to be associated to college plans. For girls, the community of residence differences were generally eliminated or greatly reduced when intelligence and socio-economic status was partialled out. For boys, differences in community of residence remained and were generally large for higher intelligence categories and higher socio-economic status groups.

Krauss's (1964) inquiry into sources of educational aspirations among working class youth suggested that father's occupational status was associated with plans to attend college. Among working class youth, high occupational status was related to college aspirations of sons, and this relationship was the strongest when the father had completed high school. It was also noted that for middle class students, fathers' occupational status did not affect college aspirations.

McDill and Coleman (1965) in their study of family and peer influence on college plans of high school students concluded that at the end of the senior year, high school status contributed more to the variation in their stated college plans than did mothers' or fathers' education. Furthermore, the analysis showed that high school status, at the end of the senior year, had assumed an importance only slightly less than parental desires in the freshman year.

The results of Rehberg and Westly's (1967) research indicated that father's job, father's education, and parental encouragement were

positively related to educational expectations. From the inspection of the data, it was noted that the proportion of respondents expressing a college expectation varied positively with occupational level ranging from 20 per cent for unskilled workers' sons to 84 per cent for major executives' and professionals' sons (the degree of association was .50). There was a gamma of .43 for the association between educational expectations and father's education with the relationship ranging from 24 per cent expressing college expectations when father had less than seven years of education, to 79 per cent when father had a graduate education. The data ranged from 13 per cent expressing a college expectation for the lowest encouragement level to 64 per cent for the highest encouragement level with a gamma of .61 for the association between parental encouragement and educational expectations.

Sewell and Shah (1967) studied the effects of socio-economic status and intelligence on college plans, college attendance, and college graduation for both sexes of Wisconsin high school seniors over a seven-year period. All findings implied that both socioeconomic status and intelligence were related to college plans, college attendance, and college graduation. When intelligence was controlled, socio-economic status was positively and significantly associated with college plans, college attendance, and college graduation for both sexes. Likewise, when socio-economic status was controlled, intelligence was affirmatively and substantially related to college plans, college attendance, and college graduation for both sexes. On the whole, socio-economic status had a greater effect on females than intelligence, while intelligence had a greater effect on males than socioeconomic status.

Previous studies have found that farm boys have lower educational aspirations than nonfarm boys, but Slocum's (1967) results contradicted these findings. His data demonstrated that 80.2 per cent of the farm boys compared to 72.3 per cent of the rural nonfarm boys aspired to attend college. There was no real difference between farm (67.2 per cent) and nonfarm (66.7 per cent) girls toward college aspirations. It was also stated that the proportion of farm boys (75.3 per cent) who had college expectations tended to be higher than rural nonfarm (69.0 per cent) boys. This trend was also present between farm (62.8 per cent) and nonfarm (58.1 per cent) girls.

Using part of the same data as in 1967, Sewell and Shah (1968) investigated the relationships of socio-economic status, intelligence and parental encouragement to college plans. It was determined that socio-economic status, intelligence, and parental encouragement had significant independent associations to college plans of both males and females. After elaborate analysis, parental encouragement was definitely a powerful intervening variable between socio-economic status and intelligence of the child and his educational aspirations.

In a more recent study, Sewell and Shah (1968) examined the effects of parental education on children's educational aspirations and achievements. The analysis showed that father's education had a slightly greater consequence than mother's education on educational aspirations and achievements for males, but the influence of both parents' education was the same for females. Mother's education seemed to have an effect independent of father's education that was stronger for females than males.

Kandel and Lesser (1969) concluded that parental desires were more

important than peer influence on future educational plans of their children. The association between mothers' actual plans for their children and adolescents' educational aspirations was .504.

Occupational Choice

The decision of an occupation is one of the most important and difficult decisions made by American youth today, since the American society emphasizes the achieved status of the individual rather than the ascribed status. There are many theories of occupational choice. Ginzberg, et al. (1951) emphasized the developmental process in their theory of occupational choice:

This, then, is our general theory. First, occupational choice is a process which takes place over a minimum of six or seven years, and more typically, over ten years or more. Secondly, since each decision during adolescence is related to one's experience up to that point, and in turn has an influence on the future, the process of decision-making is basically irreversible. Finally, since occupational choice involves the balancing of a series of subjective elements with the opportunities and limitations of reality, the crystallization of occupapational choice inevitably has the quality of a compromise.¹

Super (1953) tried to correct some of Ginzberg's limitations and set forth his comprehensive theory in a series of ten propositions:

- People differ in their abilities, interests and personalities.
- (2) People are qualified, by virtue of these characteristics, each for a number of occupations.
- (3) Each of these occupations requires a characteristic pattern of abilities, interests, and personality traits, with tolerances wide enough, however, to allow both some variety of occupations for each individual and some variety of individuals in each occupation.

(4) Vocational preferences and competencies, the situations

in which people live and work, and hence their self concepts, change with time and experience (although self concepts are generally fairly stable from late adolescence until late maturity), making choice and adjustment a continuous process.

- (5) This process may be summed up in a series of life stages characterized as those of growth, exploration, establishment, maintenance, and decline, and these stages may in turn be subdivided into (a) the fantasy, tentative, and realistic phases of the exploratory stage, and (b) the trial and stable phases of the establishment stage.
- (6) The nature of the career pattern (that is, the occupational level attained and the sequence, frequency, and duration of trial and stable jobs) is determined by the individual's parental socioeconomic level, mental ability, and personality characteristics, and by the opportunities to which he is exposed.
- (7) Development through the life stages can be guided, partly by facilitating the process of maturation of abilities and interests and partly by aiding in reality testing and in the development of the self concept.
- (8) The process of vocational development is essentially that of developing and implementing a self concept: It is a compromise process in which the self concept is a product of the interaction of inherited aptitudes, neutral and endocrine make-up, opportunity to play various roles, and evaluations of the intent to which the results of role playing meet with the approval of superiors and fellows.
- (9) The process and compromise between individual and social factors, between self concept and reality, is one of role playing, whether the role is played in fantasy, in the counseling interview, or in real life activities such as school classes, clubs, parttime work, and entry jobs.
- (10) Work satisfactions and life satisfactions depend upon the extent to which the individual finds adequate outlets for his abilities, interests, personality traits, and values; they depend upon his establishment in a type of work, a work situation, and a way of life in which he can play the kind of role which his growth and exploratory experiences have led him to consider congenial and appropriate.²

Blau, et al. (1956) in their theory of occupational choice,

specifically allowed for the structure of the labor force. Blau's theory can be summarized in these statements.

- The social structure affects occupational choice in two distinct respects: as the matrix of social experience which channels the personality development of potential workers, and as the conditions of occupational opportunity which limit the realization of their choices.
- (2) There are four characteristics of occupations that specifically determine occupational entry: formal opportunities (demands), functional requirements, nonfunctional requirements, and amount and types of rewards.
- (3) There are four characteristics of individuals that specifically determine occupational entry: occupational information, technical qualifications, social role characteristics, and reward value hierarchy.
- (4) Occupational choice is conceived as a process of compromise between preferences for and expectations of being able to get into various occupations. This compromise is continually modified, since the experiences of individuals in the course of searching for suitable careers affect their expectations and often also their preferences.
- (5) The process that occurs in occupational choice must be considered as a series of interrelated decisions rather than a single choice.³

Roe (1957) in her theory of vocational choice, considered experiences the child had in childhood and early adolescense as the major variable in occupational selection. According to her theory, there were three basic parental attitudes which the parents could have toward their children: emotional concentration on the child, avoidance of the child, and acceptance of the child. Within each category of parental attitudes, certain needs were fulfilled more than others. The child would develop certain attitudes depending on his experiences and the type of parental attitude expressed toward him. These attitudes could then be related to occupational choice, since each occupation required certain types of attitudes. The child would select the occupation which accommodated the most of his attitudes.

The theory of occupational choice proposed by Keil, et al. (1966) was concerned with the influences that operate on youth at the point of movement from school to work. They suggested that the movement of entering into the world of work and adjusting to it was a process. That process can be described in the following way:

(a) The socialization of the young person to the world of work, together with (b) Previous work experience, and (c) Wider social influences, lead on the one hand to (d) The formulation of a set of attitudes toward, and expectations about, work. (a), (b) and (c) together, and (d) provide the explanation for (e) The actual job entry, and from this, (f) Experiences as a worker lead to a situation of (g) Adjustment/nonadjustment for the young worker which can be expressed either by a measure of satisfaction, by a re-formulation of (d) above, by ritualized dissatisfaction, or by job change.

The main thesis of Musgrave's (1967) theory of occupational choice was socialization. Socialization was seen strictly as learning to take roles. According to his theory, there were three types of socialization: primary, which referred to roles played in all settings; secondary, roles that were played in some settings; and tertiary, those roles played only in one setting. The concept of economic socialization was introduced as an example of secondary socialization to which occupational choice was mainly related. Economic socialization had four stages that individuals progressed through; these were: pre-work socialization, entry to the labor force, socialization into the labor force, and job changes. At each stage of economic socialization roles cbuld be rehearsed in order to make the transition to the next stage more easily accomplished. The rehearsal of roles was called anticipatory socialization, which was considered very important. The individual,

by progressing through all the types of socialization eventually chose an occupation.

Although there are many disagreements about the factors that affect occupational choice, most theorists agree on these points (Horner, et al., 1967):

- Occupational choice is not a sudden, once-in-a-lifetime phenomenon. Occupational choice is a gradual process that occupies most of the first half of one's life.
- (2) Occupational choice is difficult to separate from aspiration and attainment. Since aspiration is not always fulfilled, attainment must be regarded as a separate aspect.
 - (3) Occupational choice is a portion of the basic life process of growing. Realistically looking at one's potentialities and accepting personal limitations, a student is able to develop a clearer recognizable image of himself--self-concept.

Considerable research has been focused on the factors that affect occupational choice. Only those factors considered in this thesis will be reviewed.

Kahl (1953) revealed the importance that parental aspirations play on determining the occupational aspirations of common man boys. It was also noted that I.Q. and family status influenced occupational aspirations of boys.

The study of Youmans (1954) indicated that fathers' occupation substantially influenced boys' occupational expectations. The analysis also showed that the more work experience boys had, the lower were their occupational expectations.

The investigation of Slocum (1956) provided several findings about occupational choice of high school seniors. One, more than half of all the respondents who had made an occupational choice felt the factor that

influenced them the most was personnel experience in the selected field. Two, 71 per cent of the students believed work to be a pleasant activity. Three, parental interest definitely affected occupational choice of the respondents.

Case (1956) also furnished many results concerning the occupational choice of boys. First, 62.9 per cent of the farm boys as compared to 46.8 per cent of the nonfarm boys believed they could be happy on a job not requiring a college education. Second, farm boys (28.3 per cent) rated their fathers' occupation as an ideal occupation more than nonfarm boys (10.4 per cent). Third, parental influence was designated as the most important factor connected with their occupation choice more by farm males (37.4 per cent) than nonfarm males (25.1 per cent). Fourth, more nonfarm boys (62.8 per cent) than farm boys (46.4 per cent) believed a college degree to be important for the work they planned to do.

Youmans (1956) concluded that social stratification (father's occupation), father's education, place of residence, and work experience significantly affected the occupational choice of twelfth grade Michigan boys. As fathers' occupational status increased, the occupational expectations of their sons also increased. Urban boys (43.7 per cent) expected to become white collar workers more than rural boys (36.1 per cent). The higher the formal educational level of the father, the higher the son's occupational expectations. It was also seen that the less work experience the boys had, the higher were their occupational expectations.

The research of Empey (1956) supported the hypothesis that upper and middle class males have higher occupational aspirations than lower class males.

24

· ·

The examination by Sewell, et al. (1957) of the relationship between occupational aspirations (which by their definition was really occupational choice) of seniors and parental occupational status when sex and intelligence was controlled identified a substantial positive association between the two variables. In separate analysis of males and females, the relationship was maintained.

Haller and Sewell (1957) found no meaningful difference between farm and nonfarm senior girls in occupational choice. They also noted that the variation in occupational choice of farm and nonfarm senior males was not important.

In his study of 1,000 ninth graders, Stephenson (1957) denoted that lower classes had lower occupational expectations than upper classes. Whites tended to have higher occupational expectations than Negroes.

Haller (1958) tested the hypothesis that occupational achievement was related to educational and occupational aspirations. His analysis specified that occupational achievement was significantly correlated to educational and occupational aspirations, r = +.17 and +.46, respectively.

The work of Middleton and Grigg (1959) suggested that the occupational choice of Negro males and females was not affected by place of residence. However, white urban males had higher occupational choices than white rural males, but among white females no relationship was disclosed.

The findings of Haller (1960) revealed several conclusions. First, farm boys' parents had lower occupational aspirations for their sons than nonfarm boys' parents. Second, family income did not influence occupational choice of farm or nonfarm males. Third, father's

25 -

occupation was also not an important factor in determining the occupational choice of farm and nonfarm boys. Fourth, nonfarm respondents tended to be higher in educational aspirations than farm respondents.

Grigg and Middleton's (1960) inquiry into the occupational expectations of 26,313 white ninth grade students substantiated the hypothesis of a positive relationship between size of community of orientation and occupational expectations. The association was present for males, but not for females.

Burchinal (1961) concluded that tenth and twelfth grade urban boys had higher occupational expectations than small town or farm boys. It was reported that farm parents were less involved in their sons' occupational plans than nonfarm parents.

Data from Cowhig's (1962) investigation compared occupational status of farm and nonfarm respondents when age, sex, color, and educational attainment were controlled. Occupational status of white males who failed to complete high school was compared with respondents who had graduated from high school, but had no college education. Among both urban and rural-nonfarm residents, but not rural-farm residents, high school graduation was significantly related to employment in higherstatus occupations.

Simpson's (1962) inquest sustained the supposition that parental influence was a factor in the upward mobility of working class boys. Among boys aspiring to high occupations, the percentage whose parents had advised them to enter professions was much higher than the percentage for low-aspirers.

The results of Straus (1964) furnished several deductions. Boys choosing to farm came from families with greater financial resources.
Boys who planned to farm had parents who placed heavy emphasis on socialization into work roles which correspondingly allowed differences in the work role identification of the two groups of boys. Comparing occupational choice with high school grades revealed that those who chose blue collar occupations had the lowest grades, followed by farm boys with white collar occupations having the highest grades. Of the nonfarm group, 22 per cent perceived their parents as wanting them to attend college as compared to 2 per cent for the farm boys. Finally, parents of boys who chose white collar occupations (42 per cent) wanted their sons to attend college more than parents of boys who chose blue collar occupations (3 per cent).

Sewell and Orenstein (1965) resolved from their study of 9,946 Wisconsin high school seniors that the proportion of respondents choosing high status occupations increased as the size of community of residence increased. In their analysis, they controlled for sex, intelligence, and socio-economic status, since each is associated with both occupational choice and place of residence. The deviation in occupational choice by residence was eliminated for girls but maintained for boys. The incongruences were greatest for boys of low intelligence and for boys from high-status families. The largest diversity was for boys of low intelligence from high-status families.

The study of Brunkan (1965) found no significant correlation between perceived parental attitudes and vocational choice of 289 male college students.

Several conclusions were made by Haller and Sewell (1967) from their survey of 932 high school seniors. First, planning to farm tended to depress levels of concern with the educational means for higher

occupational achievement, but planning not to farm inclined to raise them. Second, boys whose parents encouraged college attendance were more prone to choose a high-status occupation than boys whose parents didn't provide that encouragement. Third, there was no great effect due to father's education on occupational choice of the respondents. Fourth, family income was also found not to substantially influence occupational choice of the sample.

Elder's (1967) research indicated that drive for achievement was more predictive of occupational than of educational status for men of working-class origins, while the reverse pattern held for men of middle-class backgrounds.

The work of Sewell, et al. (1969) led to the formulation of a path model for occupational and educational attainment which emphasized social psychological as well as social structural antecedents. The causal sequence proposed began with parents' stratified position and the individuals' mental ability. Then it moved to performance in school, from there to influence of significant others, then to levels of educational and occupational aspirations, and finally, to educational and occupational attainment. The model seemed to be quite proficient in explaining educational and occupational attainments of farm boys.

FOOTNOTES

¹Eli Ginzberg, Sol W. Ginzburg, Sidney Axelrod, and John L. Herma, <u>Occupational Choice</u>: <u>An Approach to a General Theory</u> (New York, 1951), p. 186.

²Donald E. Super, "The Theory of Vocational Development," <u>American</u> <u>Psychologist</u>, 8 (1953), pp. 189-190.

³Peter M. Blau, John W. Gustard, Richard Jessor, Herbert Parnes, and Richard Wilcock, "Occupational Choice: A Conceptual Framework," <u>Industrial and Labor Relations Review</u>, 9 (July, 1956), pp. 542-543.

⁴Teresa E. Keil, D. S. Riddell, and B. S. R. Green, "Youth and Work: Problems and Perspectives," <u>Sociological Review</u>, 14 (July, 1966), p. 121.

CHAPTER III

DATA AND METHODOLOGY

Introduction

The data used in this thesis came from the Logan County Youth Study project which had as its main objective to gather data which could lead to an improved understanding of the interplay of specific social, economic, cultural, and institutional factors in the evolving educational experience of high school students.

The population of this study consisted of all sophomore, junior, and senior students enrolled in the six public high schools in Logan County during the 1967-68 academic year. Logan County was selected for the study because it represented various social and demographic characteristics that were of interest to the research team. The county was primarily a rural area with a below-average income level population. Since 1910, a steady out-migration had been in process with no changes seen in the future. According to the 1960 census report, the population of Logan County was 18,662, with 9,502 of that population residing in Guthrie, and the remaining 9,160 classified as rural in which 5,800 persons lived in towns with less than 2,500 people and 3,360 lived on farms (Reed, 1968). The six high schools of the county were small enough to allow this survey to be conducted. The tenth, eleventh, and twelfth

grade students were selected because it was felt that since they were near high school graduation they would represent different stages of post-high school planning. Also, by studying the three grade levels, it would be possible to analyze the maturation effect over a three-year period on the variables investigated. Younger students weren't included because the study was interested in aspirations, not imaginations.

The data was collected by a research instrument consisting of three questionnaires: one for each student, the father and the mother (see Appendices E, F, and G). The combined three questionnaires comprised a total of three hundred fifty-four items. There was a total of three hundred twenty-two complete sets, i.e., student, mother, and father questionnaires which this thesis used. Also, questionnaires from Negro families where the father was alive and lived with the family but didn't return the questionnaire were used.

Pilot Test

The research instrument was pilot tested at Cushing High School in Cushing, Oklahoma. Cushing High School was selected for the pretesting because of its closeness to Logan County in location as well as cultural, social, and economic factors. The pretest population consisted of thirty-six senior students from two English classes and their parents. On January 16, 1968, the student questionnaires were administered and the parents' questionnaires were mailed to them with the request to return the completed questionnaires within one week (Reed, 1968).

To increase return rate of parental questionnaires, the reseach project paid fifty cents per parental set of questionnaires returned to the school within one week. This money went to the student. This Procedure helped to obtain a fifty-two per cent return for the parents questionnaires. The pilot test questionnaires were scrutinized for needed changes, after which questionnaires were reproduced for administering to the population of Logan County (Reed, 1968).

Procedure of Administering the Instrument

The student questionnaire was administered by the students' regular classroom teacher in each school according to a predetermined time schedule. At a prior meeting with the teachers, members of the research team established a standard written procedure for the presenting of the instrument. The questionnaire was given to all tenth, eleventh, and twelfth grade students present in the school and in class on the day the questionnaire was administered (Reed, 1968).

The names and addresses of the parents were acquired from the students' questionnaires. The parental questionnaires were mailed to the parents of the student on the same day the student completed his questionnaire. Accompanying the parents' questionnaire was a letter which attempted to procure their cooperation in completing and returning the questionnaires. Each student was paid fifty cents for returning his parents' completed questionnaires back to the school within one week (Reed, 1968).

Description of the Variables

This thesis was concerned with four dependent variables: migration plans from Logan County, migration plans from the state of Oklahoma, educational aspirations, and occupational choice. In this thesis, occupational choice was considered an independent variable when compared to both migration variables. Also, educational aspirations were regarded as an independent variable when associated with the migration variables and occupational choice. Sixteen variables were examined as to their influence on migration from Logan County and the state of Oklahoma (see Appendix A). For educational aspirations, twenty independent factors were investigated by this study (see Appendix B). Twenty variables were also considered for their effect on occupational choice (see Appendix C). A total of twenty-five variables were used in this study, four being dependent, and twenty-one independent (see Appendix D).

Data for the dependent variables, migration from Logan County and the state of Oklahoma, was taken from questions which asked the respondent to state his future plans after finishing high school. The student had three answers to choose from: stay permanently, stay a few years only, and leave immediately. Since the questions asked for future plans, all students planning to migrate were considered potential migrators because plans and actions are two different concepts. Educational aspirations were taken from a question which asked how much education the respondent thought he would need to obtain the kind of work he would like to do ten years from the time of the study if he had the ability, education, and money to acquire that job. The student had five choices: high school, business school, vocational school, college, and professional school. Data for occupational choice was secured from an open-ended question which asked the respondent to list the occupation he expected to be doing in the next ten years, considering his ability, grades, finances, and chances for education. The responses to this question were assigned actual occupational prestige values from a

· 20 6.5 Welling Color and the

modified North-Hatt Occupational Prestige Scale (Appendix H). These values were used when occupational choice was the dependent variable. When occupational choice was utilized as an independent variable on the two migration dependent variables, the modified North-Hatt values were separated into five categories: very high (89-100), high (78-88), medium (76-77), low (55-64), and very low (1-54).

Independent variables of sex and race are obvious. In this study, place of residence was divided into farm, country but not farm, and town even though the questionnaire made a distinction between sizes of towns. Data for occupational aspirations were acquired from an open-ended question that requested the student to list the occupation he would like to be doing in ten years from the time of the study if he had the ability, education, and money. The answers were assigned actual values from the modified North-Hatt Occupational Prestige Scale (Appendix H) and separated into the same five categories as occupational choice. Academic performance was the student's actual grade point average for the last two semesters which was taken from school records. Time lived in Logan County was taken from a statement which allowed the student to mark his age when he moved to Logan County. The number of years lived in Logan County was divided into four categories: 0-5, 6-10, 11-15, and 16-20 years.

Data for family income came from two questions, one from mother's and the other from father's questionnaire. If the two responses were not the same, then an average was calculated between the two. Father's job was acquired from two questions, one on father's and the other on mother's questionnaire. If the answers differed, then father's reply was used. Responses for father's and mother's education was obtained

from one question on the student's questionnaire and one from the corresponding parent's questionnaire. When the replies varied, then the parental acknowledgement was taken. For parental aspirations, both parents were asked what they would most like their child to do as a life work. Here again each reply was given a modified North-Hatt value and placed in one of the categories previously mentioned.

For the independent variable college preparation, measurement was determined from a series of questions which sought to quantify the student's knowledge and awareness of the processes necessary for college admission. An aggregate scale from all of the responses was used. The total possible value was 47. The scale was divided into three categories: low (0-16), medium (17-32), and high (33-47). Data for course likes and dislikes was attained from a question that asked how many courses did the respondent like or dislike. There was a choice of eight different replies. Measurement for work experience was taken from a list of jobs which the student had done for pay. The answers were placed into four groups: unskilled, manual, semi-skilled, and skilled. These groups were very arbitrary. If more than one job was recorded, then the highest skilled level job was utilized. For work attitudes a question was asked as to how the person felt about the work he had to do when he was working. There were six alternatives ranging from won't work to happy to work. Confidence was determined by an inquiry as to how sure the student was in succeeding in his post-high school plans.

Data for the independent variables of attitude toward father's job and salary came from replies as to how the person felt about his father's job and salary. For father's attitude toward his job and

salary, the question "How do you believe your father feels about his job and salary?" was employed. For each of these last four independent variables the student had five responses to choose from, these being: completely dissatisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, accept it, fairly satisfied, and fully satisfied.

Hypotheses of the Study

The null hypothesis for each variable was tested. A total of 72 hypotheses were examined. The hypotheses were placed into four groups of hypotheses, one for each dependent variable.

Group One Hypotheses:

Sex, race, place of residence, occupational aspirations, educational aspirations, academic performance, time lived in Logan County, family income, father's job, college preparation, occupational choice, work experience, parental aspirations, father's education, work attitudes, and confidence have no significant effect on potential migrators from Logan County.

Group Two Hypotheses:

Sex, race, place of residence, occupational aspirations, educational aspirations, academic performance, time lived in Logan County, family income, father's job, college preparation, occupational choice, work experience, parental aspirations, father's education, work attitudes, and confidence have no significant effect on potential migrators from the state of Oklahoma.

Group Three Hypotheses:

Sex, race, place of residence, occupational aspirations, academic performance, family income, father's job, college preparation, work experience, parental aspirations, course likes, course dislikes, work attitudes, father's education, mother's education, confidence, attitude toward father's job, attitude toward father's salary, father's attitude toward his job, and father's attitude toward his job, and father's attitude toward his salary have no significant effect on the educational aspirations of young people living in a rural county. Group Four Hypotheses:

Sex, race, place of residence, occupational aspirations, educational aspirations, academic performance, family income, father's job, college preparation, work experience, parental aspirations, course likes, course dislikes, work attitudes, father's education, confidence, attitude toward father's job, attitude toward father's salary, father's attitude toward his job, and father's attitude toward his salary have no significant effect on the occupational choice of young people living in a rural county. 37

Data Analysis and Statistical Treatment

The data from the Logan County Youth Study had been coded into three hundred fifty-four items and keypunched on IBM cards. In order to acquire a feeling for the data, since the writer was not an initial member of the research team, the researcher went back to the original questionnaires to extract the information he desired.

The statistical treatment of the data was classified as nonparametric. The Chi Square was used for the statistical analysis of the sixteen variables considered for both dependent migration variables and the 20 factors examined for educational aspirations. The degree of association for all significant Chi Squares was determined by a Contingency Coefficient C. The Mann-Whitney U test and the Kruskal-Wallis one-way analysis of variance ranks test was utilized for the statistical inquiry of the 20 variables speculated to affect occupational choice. For testing the significance of the hypothesis by the Chi Square, the Mann-Whitney U test, and the Kruskal-Wallis ranks test, the value of the test required to reject the null hypothesis was assigned the .05 level.

CHAPTER IV

Migration

Logan County

Group One

Sex, race, place of residence, occupational aspirations, educational aspirations, academic per-Hypotheses: formance, time lived in Logan County, family income, father's job, college preparation, occupational choice, work experience, parental aspirations, father's education, work attitudes, and confidence have no significant effect on potential migrators from Logan County.

In this thesis, sixteen variables were examined for their influence on migration from Logan County (Appendix A). Out of the sixteen variables, only four (race, occupational aspirations, father's job, and confidence) indicated significant evidence to reject the null hypothesis (Table I). Several other variables revealed trends that were not substantiated at the criterion level of confidence,

Some very interesting observations were demonstrated when each variable was scrutinized by itself. Even though sex was not a significant factor on migration from Logan County ($x^2 = 5.64$, p < .10), it can be seen, by combining the totals for replies of "staying awhile" and "leaving immediately," that 82.9 per cent of the respondents had plans to eventually leave Logan County (Table II). Close to one-third (31.5%) of the students anticipated moving from Logan County immediately upon finishing high school. There was no great variation between males

TABLE I

| FACTORS | RELATING | TO STUDE | ENTS' MIGRATION |
|---------|------------|----------|-----------------|
| | PLANS FROM | M LOGAN | COUNTY |

| Variable Name | x² Value | df | р | С | N |
|---------------------------|-------------|----|--------|-------|-----|
| Sex | 5.64 | 2 | p<.10 | .136 | 298 |
| Race | 10.54 | 2 | p<.01* | .184 | 299 |
| Place of Residence | 2.97 | 4 | p<.70 | | 293 |
| Occupational Aspirations | 13.05 | 6 | p<.05* | .209 | 285 |
| Educational Aspirations | 15.33 | 8 | p<.10 | .223 | 292 |
| Academic Performance | 5.78 | 6 | p<.50 | .130 | 296 |
| Time Lived in Logan Count | ty 5.28 | 6 | p<.70 | | 285 |
| Family Income | 7.95 | 14 | p<.90 | | 274 |
| Father's Job | 12.91 | 6 | p<.05* | .208 | 283 |
| College Preparation | 8,22 | 4 | p<.10 | .129 | 298 |
| Occupational Choice | 13.71 | 8 | p<.10 | .216 | 278 |
| Work Experience | 6.59 | 4 | p<.20 | | 277 |
| Parental Aspirations | 5.45 | 4 | p<.30 | ~ - ~ | 201 |
| Father's Education | 2.40 | 6 | p<.90 | | 298 |
| Work Attitudes | 5.39 | 6 | p<.50 | | 293 |
| Confidence | 14.09 | 4 | p<.01* | .212 | 295 |

*Significant to reject null hypothesis.

TABLE II

SEX AND MIGRATION PLANS FROM LOGAN COUNTY*

| Male | % | Female | % | Total | % |
|------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 21 | 16.0 | 30 | 18,0 | 51 | 17.1 |
| 77 | 58.8 | 76 | 45.5 | 153 | 51,4 |
| 33 | 25.2 | 61 | 36.5 | 94 | 31.5 |
| 131 | 100.0 | 167 | 100.0 | 298 | 100.0 |
| | Male 21 77 33 131 | Male % 21 16.0 77 58.8 33 25.2 131 100.0 | Male % Female 21 16.0 30 77 58.8 76 33 25.2 61 131 100.0 167 | Male % Female % 21 16.0 30 18.0 77 58.8 76 45.5 33 25.2 61 36.5 131 100.0 167 100.0 | Male % Female % Total 21 16.0 30 18.0 51 77 58.8 76 45.5 153 33 25.2 61 36.5 94 131 100.0 167 100.0 298 |

 $x^{2} = 5.64$, df = 2, p<.10, C = .136

Race was found to significantly affect migration from Logan County $(x^2 = 10.54, p<.01)$. The strength of the association as determined by a Contingency Coefficient (C = .184) was very weak. Negroes (98.1%) were more inclined to have plans for leaving Logan County than Whites (79.7%). Even among the "leave immediately" group, Negroes (35.8%) expected to migrate more than Whites (30.5%). Again 82.9 per cent of the students contemplated moving from Logan County (Table III).

No substantial influence was revealed for place of residence on migration from Logan County ($x^2 = 2.97$, p<.70). Town students (85.7%) were more prone to have plans to leave Logan County than farm (80.6%) or country (76.9%) students (Table IV). Here again, 83.3 per cent of the respondents indicated moving from Logan County.

Table V disclosed the significant relationship between occupational aspirations and migration from Logan County ($x^2 = 13.05$, p<.05). The

TABLE III

RACE AND MIGRATION PLANS FROM LOGAN COUNTY*

| Migration | Negroes | % | Whites | % | Total | % |
|-------------------|---------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| Stay Permanently |] | 1.9 | 50 | 20.3 | 51 | 17.1 |
| Stay Awhile | 33 | 62.3 | 121 | 49.2 | 154 | 51.5 |
| Leave Immediately | 19 | 35.8 | 75 | 30.5 | 94 | 31.4 |
| Total | 53 | 100.0 | 246 | 100.0 | 299 | 100.0 |
| | · · · | | | | | |

*x² = 10.54, df = 2, p<.01, C = .184

TABLE IV

PLACE OF RESIDENCE AND MIGRATION PLANS FROM LOGAN COUNTY*

| Migration | Farm | % | Country | % | Town | % | Total | % | |
|-------------------|------|-------|---------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Stay Permanently | 14 | 19.4 | 9 | 23.1 | 26 | 14.3 | 49 | 16.7 | |
| Stay Awhile | 38 | 52.8 | 17 | 43.6 | 95 | 52.2 | 150 | 51.2 | |
| Leave Immediately | 20 | 27.8 | 13 | 33.3 | 61 | 33.5 | 94 | 32.1 | |
| Total | 72 | 100.0 | 39 | 100.0 | 182 | 100.0 | 293 | 100.0 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

*x² = 2.97, df = 4, p<.70

strength of the association was weak (C = .209). Persons with high (13.6%) or medium (13.5%) occupational aspirations were less likely to stay in Logan County than respondents with very high (21.4%) or low and

TABLE V

| Migration | Very High | % | High | % | Medium | n % | Low and Very Lo | w [%] | Tota] | % |
|----------------------|--------------|-------|------|-------|--------|-------|--------------------|----------------|-------|-------|
| Stay Permanently | 6 | 21.4 | 17 | 13.6 | 13 | 13.5 | 11 | 30.6 | 47 | 16.5 |
| Stay Awhile | 10 | 35.7 | 75 | 60.0 | 50 | 52.1 | 13 | 36.1 | 148 | 51.9 |
| Leave Immediately | 12 | 42.9 | 33 | 26.4 | 33 | 34.4 | 12 | 33.3 | 90 | 31.6 |
| Total | 28 | 100.0 | 125 | 100.0 | 96 | 100.0 | 36 | 100.0 | 285 | 100,0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

OCCUPATIONAL ASPIRATIONS AND MIGRATION PLANS FROM LOGAN COUNTY*

 $x^{2} = 13.05$, df = 6, p<.05, C = .209

very low (30.6%) occupational aspirations. Students with very high occupational aspirations (42.9%) were migrating immediately from Logan County more than any other group. As previously, there was a high proportion (83.5%) of the respondents who hoped to leave Logan County some time in the future.

The effect of educational aspirations on migration from Logan County was not substantial $x^2 = 15.33$, p<.10. A Contingency Coefficient (C = .223) implied a weak correlation between educational aspirations and migration from Logan County. Respondents who aspired to professional training (92.9%) manifested a higher percentage of migration plans than any other category (college 83.9%, vocational 71.4%, business 76.7%, and high school 62.5%). High school aspirers (37.5%) were more apt to be leaving Logan County immediately after high school than business (23.3%), vocational (33.3%), college (31.0%), or professional (32.9%) aspirers (Table VI). The total percentage of students who planned to eventually leave Logan County was again very high (83.2%).

Academic performance had no meaningful mutuality with migration from Logan County ($x^2 = 5.78$, p<.50). However, the data suggested a trend. As grades decreased, the percentage for each grade planning to stay permanently increased (A - 10.0%, B - 14.6%, C - 21.9%, and D and F - 25.0%). It can be stated that these results implied that persons making higher grades anticipated leaving Logan County more than those making low grades (Table VII). A majority (82.8%) of the students expected to migrate from Logan County in the future.

The influence of time lived in Logan County on migration from Logan County was not important ($x^2 = 5.28$, p<.70). People who had lived in Logan County ten years (92.0%) had a greater likelihood of migrating than the others (5 years - 88.6%, 15 years - 78.7%, and 20 years - 80.3%). Again a high magnitude of the students (82.1%) intended leaving Logan County some day (Table VIII).

Migration from Logan County was not essentially connected to family income ($x^2 = 7.95$, p<.90). Children whose parents made 7000 dollars (90.3%) had a greater tendency to have migration plans than any other level of income. A total of 81.7 per cent of the young people predicted moving from Logan County (Table IX).

Father's job significantly affected migration from Logan County $(x^2 = 12.91, p < .05)$. The relationship between the two variables was a weak one (C = .208). Students whose fathers' job was unskilled, machine operator, or skilled (86.8%) were the most prone to have

TABLE VI

EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS AND MIGRATION PLANS FROM LOGAN COUNTY*

| School | % | Business School | % | Vocational School | % | College | 2 % | Profes sional | % | Tota] | % |
|--------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 6 | 37.5 | 7. | 23,3 | 6 | 28.6 | 25 | 16.1 | 5 | 7.1 | 49 | 16.8 |
| 4 | 25.0 | 16 | 53.4 | 8 | 38.1 | 82 | 52.9 | 42 | 60.0 | 152 | 52.1 |
| 6 | 37.5 | 7 | 23.3 | 7 | 33,3 | 48 | 31.0 | 23 | 32.9 | 91 | 31.1 |
| 16 | 100.0 | 30 | 100.0 | 21 | 100.0 | 155 | 100.0 | 70 | 100.0 | 29 2 | 100.0 |
| | Schoo1 6 4 6 16 | School % 6 37.5 4 25.0 6 37.5 16 100.0 | School % School 6 37.5 7 4 25.0 16 6 37.5 7 16 100.0 30 | School % School % 6 37.5 7 23.3 4 25.0 16 53.4 6 37.5 7 23.3 16 100.0 30 100.0 | School % School % School 6 37.5 7 23.3 6 4 25.0 16 53.4 8 6 37.5 7 23.3 7 16 100.0 30 100.0 21 | School % School % School % 6 37.5 7 23.3 6 28.6 4 25.0 16 53.4 8 38.1 6 37.5 7 23.3 7 33.3 16 100.0 30 100.0 21 100.0 | School % School % College 6 37.5 7 23.3 6 28.6 25 4 25.0 16 53.4 8 38.1 82 6 37.5 7 23.3 7 33.3 48 16 100.0 30 100.0 21 100.0 155 | School % School % College % 6 37.5 7 23.3 6 28.6 25 16.1 4 25.0 16 53.4 8 38.1 82 52.9 6 37.5 7 23.3 7 33.3 48 31.0 16 100.0 30 100.0 21 100.0 155 100.0 | School % School % College % sional 6 37.5 7 23.3 6 28.6 25 16.1 5 4 25.0 16 53.4 8 38.1 82 52.9 42 6 37.5 7 23.3 7 33.3 48 31.0 23 16 100.0 30 100.0 21 100.0 155 100.0 70 | School % School % College % sional % 6 37.5 7 23.3 6 28.6 25 16.1 5 7.1 4 25.0 16 53.4 8 38.1 82 52.9 42 60.0 6 37.5 7 23.3 7 33.3 48 31.0 23 32.9 16 100.0 30 100.0 21 100.0 155 100.0 70 100.0 | School % School % College % sional % Total 6 37.5 7 23.3 6 28.6 25 16.1 5 7.1 49 4 25.0 16 53.4 8 38.1 82 52.9 42 60.0 152 6 37.5 7 23.3 7 33.3 48 31.0 23 32.9 91 16 100.0 30 100.0 21 100.0 155 100.0 70 100.0 292 |

ч<u>,</u> 1

*x² = 15.33, df = 8, p<.10, C = .223

TABLE VII

ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE AND MIGRATION PLANS FROM LOGAN COUNTY*

| | 191 | والمراجع المراجع | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|-----|------------------|-----|-------|-----|-------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Migration | А | % | В | % | С | % | D and F | % | Total | % | |
| Stay Permanently | 4 | 10.0 | 19 | 14.6 | 25 | 21.9 | 3 | 25.0 | 51 | 17.2 | |
| Stay Awhile | 24 | 60,0 | 70 | 53.9 | 53 | 46.5 | 7 | 58.3 | 154 | 52.0 | |
| Leave Immediately | 12 | 30.0 | 41 | 31.5 | 36 | 31.6 | 2 | 16.7 | 91 | 30,8 | |
| Total | 40 | 100.0 | 130 | 100.0 | 114 | 100.0 | 12 | 100.0 | 296 | 100.0 | |

 $x^2 = 5.78$, df = 6, p<.50, C = .130

TABLE VIII

TIME LIVED IN LOGAN COUNTY AND MIGRATION PLANS FROM LOGAN COUNTY*

| Migration | 5 Years | % | 10 Years | % | 15 Years | s [%] | 20 Years | % | Tota | 8 |
|----------------------|------------|-------|-------------|-------|-------------|----------------|-------------|-------|------|-------|
| Stay Permanently | 5 | 11.4 | 2 | 8.0 | 19 | 21.3 | 25 | 19.7 | 51 | 17.9 |
| Stay Awhile | 21 | 47.7 | 14 | 56.0 | 45 | 50.6 | 64 | 50.4 | 144 | 50.5 |
| Leave Immediately | 18 | 40.9 | 9 | 36.0 | 25 | 28.1 | . 38 | 29.9 | 90 | 31.6 |
| Total | 4 4 | 100.0 | 25 | 100.0 | 89 | 100.0 | 127 | 100.0 | 285 | 100.0 |

 $x^2 = 5.28$, df = 6, p<.70

| TA | \BLE | IX |
|--------|------|----|
| | | |

FAMILY INCOME AND MIGRATION PLANS FROM LOGAN COUNTY*

| Migra- tion | 0 to 3000 | % | 4000 | D . % | 5000 | % | 6000 | % | 7000 | % | 8000 | % | 9000 | % | 10,000 | % | Total | % |
|-----------------------|--------------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| Stay Per- manently | 8 | 22.2 | 2 5 | 23.8 | 7 | 19.0 | 7 | 20.6 | 3 | 9.7 | 5 | 15.6 | 4 | 16.0 | 11 | 19.0 | 50 | 18.3 |
| Stay Awhile | . 18 | 50.0 | 99 | 42.9 | 15 | 40.5 | 17 | 50.0 | 20 | 64.5 | 13 | 40.6 | 13 | 52.0 | 31 | 53.4 | 136 | 49.6 |
| Leave Immediat | ely 10 | 27.8 | 57 | 33,3 | 15 | 40.5 | 10 | 29.4 | 8 | 25.8 | 14 | 43.8 | 8 | 32.0 | 16 | 27.6 | 88 | 32.1 |
| Total | 36 | 100.0 |) 21 | 100.0 | 37 | 100.0 | 34 | 100.0 | 31 | 100.0 | 32 | 100.0 | 25 | 100.0 | 58 | 100.0 | 274 | 100.0 |

^{*}x² = 7.95, df = 14, p<.90

migration plans, followed by technical and professionals' children (85,1%), farm and business managers' children (81.0%) and office workers' and salesmen's children (71.4%) last. The distribution of respondents who contemplated leaving Logan County in the future was 83.7 per cent (Table X).

TABLE X

| | · · · · · · | 1. Mar. | | | | , in the second s | | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-------|-----|-------|
| Migra- tion | Unskill Machine Operato and Skilled | Offi and Sale man | ce s- % | Farm and Busi ness Mgr. |) - % | Tech- nical and Profes siona | s- % 1 | Tota] | % | |
| Stay Permanently | 18 | 13.2 | 6 | 28.6 | 15 | 19.0 | 7 | 14.9 | 46 | 16.3 |
| Stay Awhile | 66 | 48.5 | 9 | 42.8 | 50 | 63.3 | 23 | 48.9 | 148 | 52.3 |
| Leave Immediately | 52 | 38.3 | 6 | 28.6 | 14 | 17.7 | 17 | 36.2 | 89 | 31.4 |
| Total | 136 | 100.0 | 21 | 100.0 | 79 | 100.0 | 47 | 100.0 | 283 | 100.0 |

FATHER'S JOB AND MIGRATION PLANS FROM LOGAN COUNTY*

*x² = 12.91, df = 6, p<.05, C = .208

College preparation and migration from Logan County were not substantially related ($x^2 = 8.22$, p<.10). A Contingency Coefficient exposed a very weak association (C = .129) between the variables (Table XI). However, the analysis of the data identified that as college preparation increased, the proportion of students staying permanently decreased (low - 25.6%, medium - 13.7%, and high - 13.3%). Looking at each level of college preparation for the migration category of "staying awhile," the same results were observed (low - 48.8%, medium - 51.3%, and high -66.7%). When each level of college preparation was compared with its immediate plans, another picture was denoted. Here, students with medium college preparations (35.0%) had the highest percentage of plans to migrate from Logan County with low preparations (25.6%) next, and high preparations (20.0%) last. As before, a high ratio of the young people expected to leave Logan County (82.9%).

TABLE XI

| COLLEGE PRE | EPARATION | AND MIGRATION | |
|-------------|-----------|---------------|--|
| PLANS | FROM LOG | AN COUNTY* | |

| Migration | Low | % | Medium | % | High | % | Total | % |
|---------------------|-----|-------|--------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| Stay Permanently | 22 | 25.6 | 27 | 13.7 | 2 | 13 3 | 51 | 17 1 |
| Stay Awhile | 42 | 48.8 | 101 | 51.3 | 10 | 66,7 | 153 | 51,3 |
| Leave | 22 | 0F 6 | 60 | 25 0 | ſ | 20.0 | 0.1 | 21 6 |
| Immediately | 22 | 25.0 | 107 | 35.0 | 3 | 20.0 | 94 | 31.0 |
| IOTAI | 86 | 100.0 | 197 | 100.0 | 15 | 100.0 | 298 | 100.0 |

 $x^{2} = 8.22$, df = 4, p<.10, C = .129

The consequence of students' occupational choice was not important on migration from Logan County ($x^2 = 13.71$, p<.10), although a weak mutuality was determined between the variables (C = .216). Except for those that had very high occupational choices, as the status of the occupational choice increased, plans to leave Logan County increased (very high - 69.2%, high - 90.6%, medium - 83.5%, low - 75.0%, and very low - 69.2%). There was no definite picture which held when each occupational choice was compared with migration plans of "staying awhile" and "leaving immediately." It should be noted, however, that those with very low occupational choices (34.6%) were the highest with immediate plans for migration from Logan County after high school (Table XII). There was a great preponderance of people planning to ultimately move from Logan County (83.1%).

The data on work experience divulged no meaningful association between it and migration from Logan County ($x^2 = 6.59$, p<.20). Young people that had manual work experience (20.2%) were more inclined to stay permanently in Logan County than students with unskilled (15.5%) or semiskilled and skilled (11.8%) work experience (Table XIII). The group with the largest proportion of plans to immediately migrate from Logan County was the unskilled work experience set (38.1%). A majority of the students anticipated leaving Logan County some time in the future (83.0%).

The analysis of the influence of parental aspirations on migration from Logan County found no essential linkage ($x^2 = 5.45$, p<.30) interspersing the two factors. Students who had parents with very high and high aspirations (86.1%) were the greatest potential migrators (medium - 77.0%, low and very low - 76.9%). The same observation was found when each level of parental aspirations was examined for the migration category of "staying awhile." However, when each level of parental aspirations was investigated for immediate migration, the data showed

TABLE XII

OCCUPATIONAL CHOICE AND MIGRATION PLANS FROM LOGAN COUNTY*

| Migration | Very High | % | High | % | Medium | % | Low | % | Very Low | % | Total | % |
|----------------------|--------------|-------|------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----|---------------|-------------|------|-------|-------|
| Stay Permanently | 4 | 30.8 | 10 | 9.4 | 16 | 16.5 | 9 | 25.0 | 8 | 30.8 | 47 | 16.9 |
| Stay Awhile | 5 | 38.4 | 64 | 60.4 | 48 | 49.5 | 18 | 50.0 | 9 | 34.6 |]44 | 51.8 |
| Leave Immediately | . 4. | 30.8 | 32 | 30.2 | 33 | 34.0 | 9 | 25.0 | 9 | 34.6 | 87 | 31.3 |
| Total | 13 | 100.0 | 106 | 100.0 | 97 [.] | 100.0 | 36 | 100 .0 | 26] | 0,00 | 278 | 100.0 |

*x² = 13.71, df = 8, p<.10, C = .216

TABLE XIII

| Migration | Un- skilled | % | Manual | % | Semiskilled and Skilled | % | Total | % |
|----------------------|----------------|-------|--------|--------------|----------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Stay Permanently | 15 | 15,5 | 26 | 20.2 | 6 | 11.8 | 47 | 17.0 |
| Stay Awhile | 45 | 46.4 | 72 | 55 .8 | 27 | 52.9 | 144 | 52.0 |
| Leave Immediately | 37 | 38,1 | 31 | 24.0 | 18 | 35.3 | 86 | 31.0 |
| Total | 97 | 100,0 | 129 | 100.0 | 51 | 100.0 | 277 | 100.0 |

WORK EXPERIENCE AND MIGRATION PLANS FROM LOGAN COUNTY*

 $x^2 = 6.59$, df = 4, p<.20

that the low and very low parental aspirations group had the highest migration proportion (42.3%) followed by medium (31.1%) with very high and high (29.7%) parental aspirations last (Table XIV). A large percentage (81.6%) of the respondents had determined to leave Logan County in the future.

No substantial mutuality was established between father's education and migration from Logan County ($x^2 = 2.40$, p < .90). The group less likely to stay in Logan County was children of college educated fathers (14.3%) with the grade school (17.3%) group next followed by the high school (17.5%) and post-graduate (19.1%) groups. Respondents of grade school educated fathers (37.0%) were the most prone to be immediately moving from Logan County (Table XV). A high percentage of the students (82.9%) were planning to eventually migrate from Logan County.

TABLE XIV

PARENTAL ASPIRATIONS AND MIGRATION PLANS FROM LOGAN COUNTY*

| Migration | Very High and High | % | Medium | % | Low and Very Low | % | Total | % |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------|--------|-------|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Stay Permanently | 14 | 13.9 | 17 | 23.0 | 6 | 23.1 | 37 | 18.4 |
| Stay Awhile | 57 . | 56.4 | 34 | 45.9 | 9 | 34.6 | 100 | 49.8 |
| Leave Immediately | 30 | 29.7 | 23 | 31.1 | 11 | 42.3 | 64 | 31.8 |
| Total | 101 | 100.0 | 74 | 100.0 | 26 | 100.0 | 201 | 100.0 |

 $x^{2} = 5.45$, df = 4, p<.30

TABLE XV

FATHER'S EDUCATION AND MIGRATION PLANS FROM LOGAN COUNTY*

| Migration | Grade School | % | High School | % | College | % | Post- Grad. | % | Total | % |
|----------------------|-----------------|-------|----------------|-------|---------|-------|----------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Stay Permanently | 14 | 17.3 | 27 | 17.5 | 6 | 14.3 | 4 | 19.1 | 51 | 17.1 |
| Stay Awhile | 37 | 45.7 | 84 | 54.6 | 23 | 54.8 | 10 | 47.6 | 154 | 51.7 |
| Leave Immediately | 30 | 37.0 | 43 | 27.9 | 13 :: | 30,9 | 7 | 33.3 | 93 | 31.2 |
| Total | 81 | 100.0 | 154 | 100.0 | 42 | 100.0 | 21 | 100.0 | 298 | 100.0 |

 $x^2 = 2.40$, df = 6, p<.90

Work attitudes were not essentially correlated to migration from Logan County ($x^2 = 5.39$, p<.50). Students with the work attitudes of won't, hate to, and prefer not to work (35.7%) had the greatest plans for staying in Logan County permanently. No other clear pattern was observed between the two variables (Table XVI). Again, there was a high ratio (82.6%) of the young people who were certainly planning to leave Logan County some day.

TABLE XVI

Won't, Hate to, Don't Prefer Happy % Migration % Mind to % Total Prefer % to to Work Work Work not to Work Stav Permanently 5 35.7 20 17.1 15.0 17 16.7 17.4 9 51 Stay Awhile 6 42.9 55 47.0 35 58.3 57 55.9 153 52.2 Leave Immediately 3 21.4 42 35.9 16 26.7 28 27.4 89 30.4 Total 14 100.0 117 100.0 60 100.0 102 100.0 293 100.0

WORK ATTITUDES AND MIGRATION PLANS FROM LOGAN COUNTY*

 $x^{2} = 5.39$, df = 6, p<.50

Confidence significantly affected students' plans for migration from Logan County ($x^2 = 14.09$, p<.01). Although the association interweaving the two variables was a weak one (C = .212), a definite trend

%

was indicated. As the degree of confidence increased, the ratio of students planning to migrate from Logan County also increased (very and somewhat doubtful - 57.9%, fairly sure - 80.5%, and very sure - 88.6%). However, the highest group with immediate plans to move from Logan County was the students who were fairly sure about succeeding in their post-high school plans (34.0%). A majority (82.7%) of the respondents had decided to leave Logan County some time (Table XVII).

TABLE XVII

CONFIDENCE AND MIGRATION PLANS FROM LOGAN COUNTY*

| the second s | المدينين المراجع | | e esta productione de la companya d | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | يفاقي والمالي | 1. | · | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|-------|-------|
| Migration | Very Sure | % | Fairly Sure | % | Some- what and Very Doubtf | % ul | Total | % |
| Stay Permanently | / 15 | 11.4 | 28 | 19.5 | 8 | 42.1 | 51 | 17.3 |
| Stay Awhile | 78 | 59.1 | 67 | 46.5 | 6 | 31.6 | 151 | 51.2 |
| Leave Immediately | / 39 | 29.5 | .49 | 34.0 | 5 | 26.3 | 93 | 31.5 |
| Total | 132 | 100.0 | 144 | 100.0 | 19 | 100.0 | 295 | 100.0 |

 $x^{2} = 14.09$, df = 4, p<.01, C = .212

State of Oklahoma

Group Two Hypotheses: Sex, race, place of residence, occupational aspirations, educational aspirations, academic performance, time lived in Logan County, family income, father's job, college preparation, occupational choice, work experience, parental aspirations, father's education, work attitudes, and confidence have no significant effect on potential migrators from the state of Oklahoma.

In this study, sixteen variables were examined for their influence on migration from the state of Oklahoma (Appendix A). Out of the sixteen variables only four (race, place of residence, father's job, and father's education) indicated significant evidence to reject the null hypothesis (Table XVIII). Several other variables revealed patterns that were not substantiated at the criterion level of confidence.

Some very interesting observations were exposed when each variable was inspected by itself. Sex was not a substantial factor on migration from Oklahoma ($x^2 = 1.24$, p<.70). Males (60.7%) had a greater tendency to plan for migration from Oklahoma than females (57.9%). Males (13.3%) were also more apt to be planning to immediately leave Oklahoma than females (9.4%). Over half (59.1%) of all the respondents revealed plans to eventually move from Oklahoma (Table XIX).

Race significantly affected plans for potential migration from the state of Oklahoma ($x^2 = 33.86$, p<.001). The strength of the relationship was fairly strong (C = .315). Negroes (96.0%) were certainly planning to migrate from Oklahoma more than Whites (52.0%). Sixteen per cent of the Negroes had plans for immediate migration from Oklahoma after high school as compared to 10.2 per cent for Whites (Table XX). Only 40.9 per cent of the students implied that they would stay in Oklahoma permanently.

The relationship between place of residence and potential migration from Oklahoma was substantiated ($x^2 = 13.30$, p<.01) even though

TABLE XVIII

| a a a a a a a a a a a | • na | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----|---------|--------------------------------------|-----|
| Variable Name | x ² Value | df | p | С | N |
| Sex | 1.24 | 2 | p<.70 | | 306 |
| Race | 33.86 | 2 . | p<.001* | .315 | 306 |
| Place of Residence | 13.30 | 4 | p<.01* | .205 | 304 |
| Occupational Aspirations | 8.52 | 6 | p<.30 | | 293 |
| Educational Aspirations | 10.57 | 8 | p<.30 | 1990 and and | 294 |
| Academic Performance | 7.30 | 6 | p<.30 | ه مد ه | 303 |
| Time Lived in Logan County | 3.70 | 6 | p<.80 | •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• | 292 |
| Family Income | 12.86 | 8 | p<.20 | .213 | 282 |
| Father's Job | 18.30 | 8 | p<.02* | .241 | 293 |
| College Preparation | 8.70 | 4 | p<.10 | .166 | 306 |
| Occupational Choice | 10.62 | 6 | p<.20 | .188 | 287 |
| Work Experience | 6.09 | 4 | p<.20 | | 285 |
| Parental Aspirations | 8.85 | 4 | p<.10 | .203 | 205 |
| Father's Education | 17.24 | 6 | p<.01* | .232 | 306 |
| Work Attitudes | 6.06 | 6 | p<.50 | | 303 |
| Confidence | 5.15 | 4 | p<.30 | | 313 |
| | | | | | |

FACTORS RELATING TO STUDENTS' MIGRATION PLANS

*Significant to reject null hypothesis

TABLE XIX

SEX AND MIGRATION PLANS FROM OKLAHOMA*

| Migration | Male | % | Female | % | Total | % |
|-------------------|------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| Stay Permanently | 53 | 39.3 | 72 | 42.1 | 125 | 40.9 |
| Stay Awhile | 64 | 47.4 | 83 | 48.5 | 147 | 48.0 |
| Leave Immediately | 18 | 13.3. | 16 | 9.4 | 34 | 11.1 |
| Total | 135 | 100.0 | 171 | 100.0 | 306 | 100.0 |

 $*x^2 = 1.24$, df = 2, p<.70

TABLE XX

RACE AND MIGRATION PLANS FROM OKLAHOMA*

| | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | | | |
|---------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Negroes | % | Whites | % | Total | % |
| 2 | 4.0 | 123 | 48.0 | 125 | 40.9 |
| 40 | 80.0 | 107 | 41.8 | 147 | 48.0 |
| 8 | 16.0 | 26 | 10.2 | 34 | 11.1 |
| 50 | 100.0 | 256 | 100.0 | 306 | 100.0 |
| | Negroes 2 40 8 50 | Negroes % 2 4.0 40 80.0 8 16.0 50 100.0 | Negroes % Whites 2 4.0 123 40 80.0 107 8 16.0 26 50 100.0 256 | Negroes % Whites % 2 4.0 123 48.0 40 80.0 107 41.8 8 16.0 26 10.2 50 100.0 256 100.0 | Negroes % Whites % Total 2 4.0 123 48.0 125 40 80.0 107 41.8 147 8 16.0 26 10.2 34 50 100.0 256 100.0 306 |

 $x^{2} = 33.86$, df = 2, p<.001, C = .315

the strength of the association was weak (C = .205). The same pattern held for each migration category. As place of residence increased from farm to town, the proportion of potential migrators from Oklahoma increased (farm - 43.6%, country, not farm - 51.3%, and town - 66.7%). Over half of the farm students (56.4%) planned to stay in Oklahoma permanently as compared to 48.7 per cent for students living in the country, but not on farms and only 33.3 per cent for town children (Table XXI). More than half of the young people (58.9%) ultimately planned to move from Oklahoma; of that 58.9 per cent, 10.5 per cent planned to migrate immediately after they finished high school.

TABLE XXI

| Migration | Farm | % | Country | % | Town | % | Total | % |
|----------------------|------|-------|---------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| Stay Permanently | 44 | 56.4 | 18 | 48.7 | 63 | 33.3 | 125 | 41.1 |
| Stay Awhile | 28 | 35.9 | 15 | 40.5 | 104 | 55.0 | 147 | 48.4 |
| Leave Immediately | 6 | 7.7 | 4 | 10.8 | 22 | 11.7 | 32 | 10.5 |
| Total | 78 | 100.0 | 37 | 100.0 | 189 | 100,0 | 304 | 100.0 |
| | | | | | | | | |

PLACE OF RESIDENCE AND MIGRATION PLANS FROM OKLAHOMA*

 $x^{2} = 13.30$, df = 4, p<.01, C = .205

There was no meaningful correlation intervening occupational occupational aspirations and potential migration from Oklahoma ($x^2 = 8.52$, p<.30). Students with low and very low occupational aspirations (52.5%) were more likely to stay in Oklahoma permanently than any other student (medium - 39.4%, high - 38.3%, and very high - 38.5%). Very

high occupational aspirations (23.0%) increased plans for potential immediate migration from Oklahoma after high school (high - 8.3%, medium - 12.7%, and low and very low - 7.5%). Over half (59.4%) of all the students had decided to move from Oklahoma some day (Table XXII).

TABLE XXII

| Migration | Very High | % | High | % | Medium | 1 % | Low and Very Low | % | Total | % |
|----------------------|--------------|-------|------|-------|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-------|
| ~ · | | | | | | and the second secon | | and an | nga na mangang nga nga nga nga nga nga nga nga n | |
| Stay Permanently | 10 | 38.5 | 51 | 38.3 | 37 | 39.4 | 21 | 52.5 | 119 | 40.6 |
| Stay Awhile | 10 | 38,5 | 71 | 53.4 | 45 | 47.9 | 16 | 40.0 | 142 | 48.5 |
| Leave Immediately | 6 | 23.0 | 11 | 8.3 | 12 | 12.7 | 3 | 7.5 | 32 | 10.9 |
| Total | 26 | 100.0 | 133 | 100.0 | 94 | 100.0 | 40 1 | 00.0 | 2 9 3 | 100.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

OCCUPATIONAL ASPIRATIONS AND MIGRATION PLANS FROM OKLAHOMA*

 $x^2 = 8.52$, df = 6, p<.30

The influence of educational aspirations on migration from Oklahoma was not essential ($x^2 = 10.57$, p<.30). However, low educational aspirations increased the probability of respondents remaining in Oklahoma (high school - 53.0%, business - 51.9%, vocational - 50.0%, college - 38.6%, and professional - 38.2%). It should also be noted that students with high school aspirations (23.5%) were the most inclined to have immediate migration plans from Oklahoma after high school (Table XXIII). Only 41.5 per cent of the young people implied that they would stay in Oklahoma permanently.

Academic performance had no significant mutuality with migration from Oklahoma ($x^2 = 7.30$, p<.30). No definite trends were established, but several interesting results were observed. Individuals making A's (46.2%) were more prone to stay in Oklahoma than any other group (B -39.3%, C - 43.0%, and D and F - 26.7%). The D and F group (73.3%) had the highest tendency to plan migration from Oklahoma of all groups (A -53.8%, B - 60.7%, and C - 57.0%). Less than one half of the people (40.9%) anticipated staying in Oklahoma forever (Table XXIV).

The effect of time lived in Logan County was not correlated with potential migration from the state of Oklahoma ($x^2 = 3.70$, p<.80). Those who had lived in Oklahoma longest (45.3%) considered staying in Oklahoma more than the other people (5 years - 34.1%, 10 years -39.3%, and 15 years - 39.1%). The category with the greatest immediate plans for migration from Oklahoma was students who had lived in Logan County for 15 years (13.1%). Over half of the students (58.9%) were eventually leaving Oklahoma, with 9.9 per cent of those moving immediately upon finishing high school (Table XXV).

Migration from the state of Oklahoma was not essentially connected to family income ($x^2 = 12.86$, p<.20) even though a weak association was denoted (C = .213). There was absolutely no clear picture identified (Table XXVI). Children of parents from the highest income bracket (51.6%) planned to stay in Oklahoma more than any of the other children. The students most apt to migrate from Oklahoma were from the 8000 and

TABLE XXIII

EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS AND MIGRATION PLANS FROM OKLAHOMA*

| Migration | High Schoo | 1 % | Business School | % | Vocational School | % | Colleg | e % | Profes- sional | % | Total | % |
|----------------------|---------------|-------|--------------------|-------|----------------------|-------|--------|-------|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Stay Permanently | | 53.0 | 14 | 51.9 | 12 | 50.0 | 61 | 38.6 | 26 | 38.2 | 122 | 41.5 |
| Stay Awhile | 4 | 23.5 | נו | 40.7 | 8 | 33.3 | 83 | 52.5 | 34 | 50.0 | 140 | 47.6 |
| Leave Immediately | 4 | 23.5 | 2 | 7.4 | 4 | 16.7 | 14 | 8.9 | 8 | 11.8 | 32 | 10.9 |
| Total | 17 | 100.0 | 27 | 100.0 | 24 | 100.0 | 158 | 100.0 | 6 8 | 100.0 | 294 | 100.0 |

 $x^{2} = 10.57$, df = 8, p<.30

TABLE XXIV

ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE AND MIGRATION PLANS FROM OKLAHOMA*

| and the second second | 12.00 | | | | | $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}$ | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|-----|-------|-----|---------------------------------------------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Migration | A | % | В | % | С | % | D and F | % | Total | % |
| Stay Permanently | 18 | 46.2 | 53 | 39.3 | 49 | 43.0 | 4 | 26.7 | 124 | 40.9 |
| Stay Awhile | 19 | 48.7 | 70 | 51.8 | 47 | 41,2 | 9 | 60.0 | 145 | 47,9 |
| Leave Immediately | 2 | 5.1 | 12 | 8,9 | 18 | 15.8 | 2 | 13.3 | 34 | 11.2 |
| Total | 39 | 100.0 | 135 | 100.0 | 114 | 100.0 | 15 | 100.0 | 303 | 100.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

 $x^{2} = 7.30$, df = 6, p<.30

TABLE XXV

TIME LIVED IN LOGAN COUNTY AND MIGRATION PLANS FROM OKLAHOMA*

| Migration | 5 Year | s [%] | 10 Years | s [%] | 15 Years | % | 20 Years | % | Tota | 1 % |
|----------------------|-----------|----------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|-------|-------------|-------|------|-------|
| Stay Permanently | 15 | 34.1 |]] | 39.3 | 36 | 39.1 | 58 | 45.3 | 120 | 41.1 |
| Stay Awhile | 26 | 59.1 | 15 | 53.6 | 44 | 47.8 | 58 | 45.3 | 143 | 49.0 |
| Leave Immediately | 3 | 6.8 | 2 | 7.1 | 12 | 13.1 | 12 | 9.4 | 29 | 9.9 |
| Total | 44 | 100.0 | 28 | 100.0 | 92 | 100.0 | 128 | 100.0 | 292 | 100.0 |

 $x^2 = 3.70$, df = 6, p<.80
TABLE XXVI

FAMILY INCOME AND MIGRATION PLANS FROM OKLAHOMA*

| Migration | 0 to 3000 | % | 4000 and 5000 | % | 6000 and 7000 | 1 % | 8000 and 9000 | % | 10,00 | 0 % | Total | % |
|----------------------|--------------|-------|------------------|-------|------------------|-------|------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Stay Permanently | 14 | 40.0 | 26 | 44.] | 26 | 38.3 | 16 | 27.6 | 32 | 51.6 | 114 | 40.4 |
| Stay Awhile | 16 | 45.7 | 23 | 39.0 | 36 | 52.9 | 37 | 63.8 | 24 | 38.7 | 136 | 48.2 |
| Leave Immediately | 5 | 14.3 | .10 | 16.9 | 6 | 8.8 | 5 | 8.6 | 6 | 9.7 | 32 | 11.4 |
| Total | 35 | 100.0 | 59 | 100.0 | 68 | 100.0 | 58 | 100.0 | 62 | 100.0 | 282 | 100.0 |

 $x^{2} = 12.86$, df = 8, p<.20, C = .213

9000 dollar family income category (72.4%). The 4000 and 5000 dollar family income level (16.9%) was the largest group of students with immediate plans to migrate after high school. A large number of the respondents expected to move from Oklahoma in the future (59.6%).

Father's job significantly affected potential plans for migration from Oklahoma (x^2 = 18.03, p<.02). The relationship between the two variables was weak (C = .241). Except for the technical and professional category, as the status of father's job increased plans to stay in Oklahoma increased (unskilled and machine operator - 31.0%, skilled - 34.6%, office and salesman - 38.1%, farm and business manager - 58.3%, and technical and professional - 30.8%). The opposite ends of the status scale were the most inclined to migrate from Oklahoma (unskilled and machine operator -69.0% and technical and professional -69,2%). Students of fathers in the lower status jobs were more prepared to leave Oklahoma immediately after high school than students of fathers in the higher status jobs (unskilled and machine operator - 13.8%, skilled - 12.8%, office and salesman - 14.3%, farm and business managers - 7.1%, and technical and professional - 7.7%). Only 40.3 per cent of the young people planned on living in Oklahoma permanently (Table XXVII).

College preparation and migration from Oklahoma were not substantially related ($x^2 = 8.70$, p<.10). A Contingency Coefficient exposed a very weak association (C = .166) between the variables (Table XXVIII). Low college preparation (49.5%) apparently increased the possibility of staying in Oklahoma permanently, while medium (36.5%) and high (37.5%) college preparation increased plans for migration. Low college preparation (15.0%) also seemed to influence the immediate

TABLE XXVII

FATHER'S JOB AND MIGRATION PLANS FROM OKLAHOMA*

| Migration | Un- skilled and Machine Operato | l % pr | Skilled | 1 % | Office and Salesman | % | Farm and Business Manager | % | Technical and Profes- sional | l % | Total | % |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------|---------|-------|---------------------------|-------|------------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------------|--------|-------|-------|
| Stay Permanently | 18 | 31.0 | 27 | 34.6 | 8 | 38.1 | 49 | 58.3 |] 6 | 30.8 | 118 | 40.3 |
| Stay Awhile | 32 | 55.2 | 41 | 52.6 | 10 | 47.6 | 29 | 34.6 | 32 | 61.5 | 144 | 49.1 |
| Leave Immediately | 8 | 13.8 | 10 | 12.8 | 3 | 14.3 | 6 | 7.1 | 4 | 7.7 | 31 | 10.6 |
| Total | 58 | 100.0 | 78 | 100.0 | 21 | 100.0 | 84 | 100.0 | 52 | 100.0 | 293 | 100.0 |

 $x^{2} = 18.30$, df = 8, p<.02, C = .241

migration plans of students (medium - 9.7%, and high - 12.5%). Less than half (40.5%) of the respondents were not planning to leave Oklahoma some day.

TABLE XXVIII

| COLLEG | E PREPARATI | ON AND MIGRATION | |
|------------|-------------|------------------|--|
| | PLANS FROM | OKLAHOMA* | |

| Migration | Low | % | Medium | % | High | % | Total | % |
|----------------------|-----|-------|--------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| Stay Permanently | 46 | 49.5 | 72 | 36.5 | 6 | 37.5 | 124 | 40.5 |
| Stay Awhile | 33 | 35.5 | 106 | 53,8 | 8 | 50.0 | 147 | 48.1 |
| Leave Immediately | 14 | 15.0 | 19 | 9.7 | 2 | 12.5 | 35 | 11.4 |
| Total | 93 | 100.0 | . 197 | 100.0 | 16 | 100,0 | 306 | 100.0 |

 $x^{2} = 8.70$, df = 4, p<.10, C = .166

The consequence of students' occupational choice was not important on potential migration from Oklahoma ($x^2 = 10.62$, p<,20), although a very weak linkage was determined between the variables (C = .188). The opposite ends of the occupational choice scale were more likely to stay permanently in Oklahoma (very high - 54.5%, low and very low - 52.1%), with the categories in between contemplating more on migration from Oklahoma (high - 36.0%, and medium - 38.3%). Students with very high occupational choices (18.2%) were more prone to be leaving Oklahoma immediately after high school than any other group (high - 7.2%, medium - 14.9%, and low and very low - 11.3%). A majority (58.5%) of the students foresaw plans for moving from Oklahoma some time (Table XXIX).

TABLE XXIX

OCCUPATIONAL CHOICE AND MIGRATION PLANS FROM OKLAHOMA*

| Very High | % | High | % | Medium | % | Low and Very Low | , % | Total | % |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 6 | 54.5 | 40 | 36.0 | 36 | 38,3 | 37 | 52.1 | 119 | 41.5 |
| 3 | 27.3 | 63 | 56.8 | 44 | 46,8 | 26 | 36.6 | 136 | 47.4 |
| 2 | 18,2 | . 8 | 7.2 | 14 | 14.9 | 8 | 11.3 | 32 | 11.1 |
| 11 | 100.0 | .111 | 100.0 | 94 | 100.0 | 71 | 100.0 | 287 | 100.0 |
| | Very High 6 3 2 11 | Very High % 6 54.5 3 27.3 2 18.2 11 100.0 | Very % High 6 54.5 40 3 27.3 63 2 18.2 8 11 100.0 111 | Very High%High%654.54036.0327.36356.8218.287.211100.0111100.0 | Very High % High % Medium 6 54.5 40 36.0 36 3 27.3 63 56.8 44 2 18.2 8 7.2 14 11 100.0 111 100.0 94 | Very High%High %%Medium %654.54036.03638.3327.36356.84446.8218.287.21414.911100.0111100.094100.0 | Very High % High % Medium % Low and Very Low 6 54.5 40 36.0 36 38.3 37 3 27.3 63 56.8 44 46.8 26 2 18.2 8 7.2 14 14.9 8 11 100.0 111 100.0 94 100.0 71 | Very High % High % Medium % Low and Very Low % 6 54.5 40 36.0 36 38.3 37 52.1 3 27.3 63 56.8 44 46.8 26 36.6 2 18.2 8 7.2 14 14.9 8 11.3 11 100.0 111 100.0 94 100.0 71 100.0 | Very High % High % Medium % Low and Very Low % Total 6 54.5 40 36.0 36 38.3 37 52.1 119 3 27.3 63 56.8 44 46.8 26 36.6 136 2 18.2 8 7.2 14 14.9 8 11.3 32 11 100.0 111 100.0 94 100.0 71 100.0 287 |

 $x^{2} = 10.62$, df = 6, p<.20, C = .188

The analysis of work experience divulged no meaningful linkage between it and migration from Oklahoma ($x^2 = 6.09$, p<.20). Respondents with manual work experience (52.6%) were less inclined to migrate from Oklahoma than other respondents (unskilled - 61.5%, and semiskilled and skilled - 67.9%). Individuals with unskilled work experience (12.5%) had the highest plans for immediate migration from Oklahoma (Table XXX). Over half (58.6%) of the students were ultimately moving from Oklahoma.

No substantial mutuality was indicated between parental aspirations and potential migration from Oklahoma ($x^2 = 8.85$, p<.10), although a weak correlation (C = .203) was manifested. Low parental aspirations

TABLE XXX

| Un- skilled | % | Manual | % | Semi- skilled and Skilled | % | Total | % |
|----------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 37 | 38,5 | 63 · | 47.4 | 18 | 32.1 | 118 | 41.4 |
| 47 | 49.0 | 57 | 42.9 | 34 | 60.7 | 138 | 48.4 |
| 12 | 12.5 | 13 | 9.7 | 4 | 7.2 | 29 | 10,2 |
| 96 | 100.0 | 133 | 100.0 | 56 | 100.0 | 285 | 100.0 |
| | Un- skilled 37 47 12 96 | Un- skilled % 37 38.5 47 49.0 12 12.5 96 100.0 | Un- % Manual 37 38.5 63 47 49.0 57 12 12.5 13 96 100.0 133 | Un- skilled % Manual % 37 38.5 63 47.4 47 49.0 57 42.9 12 12.5 13 9.7 96 100.0 133 100.0 | Un- % Manual % Semi- skilled % Manual % Skilled and Skilled 37 38.5 63 47.4 18 47 49.0 57 42.9 34 12 12.5 13 9.7 4 96 100.0 133 100.0 56 | Un- skilled % Manual % Semi- skilled and Skilled 37 38.5 63 47.4 18 32.1 47 49.0 57 42.9 34 60.7 12 12.5 13 9.7 4 7.2 96 100.0 133 100.0 56 100.0 | Un- skilled%Manual %%Semi- skilled and Skilled%Total3738.56347.41832.11184749.05742.93460.71381212.5139.747.22996100.0133100.056100.0285 |

WORK EXPERIENCE AND MIGRATION PLANS FROM OKLAHOMA*

 $x^{2} = 6.09$, df = 4, p<.20

(50.0%) influenced students to stay in Oklahoma permanently more than other levels of parental aspirations (very high and high - 40.2%, and medium - 39.7%). Young people whose parents had medium aspirations for their children tended to have the greatest plans for immediate migration from Oklahoma (20.6%). Only 41.5 per cent of the respondents were going to stay in Oklahoma to live (Table XXXI).

Father's education significantly affected plans for potential migration from the state of Oklahoma ($x^2 = 17.24$, p<.01). However, the strength of the relationship was weak (C = .232). Students whose fathers had a post-graduate education (80.0%) were planning to move from Oklahoma more than any of the other students (grade school - 70.0%, high school - 50.9%, and college - 62.2%). Respondents whose fathers had a grade school education (18.7%) were expecting to immediately

TABLE XXXI

| Migration | Very High and High | % | Medium | % | Low and Very Low | % | Total | % |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------|--------|-------|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Stay Permanently | 4] | 40.2 | 29 | 39,7 | 15 | 50.0 | 85 | 41.5 |
| Stay Awhile | 52 | 51.0 | 29 | 39.7 | 14 | 46.7 | 95 | 46.3 |
| Leave Immediately | 9 | 8,8 | 15 | 20.6 | 1 | 3.3 | 25 | 12.2 |
| Total | 102 | 100.0 | 73 | 100.0 | 30 | 100.0 | 205 | 100.0 |
| | | | | | | | | |

PARENTAL ASPIRATIONS AND MIGRATION PLANS FROM OKLAHOMA*

 $x^2 = 8.85$, df = 4, p<.10, C = .203

migrate from Oklahoma, after high school, more than any other persons (high school - 8.7%, college - 11.1%, and post-graduate - 5.0%). Less than half (40.5%) of the sample had decided to stay in Oklahoma permanently (Table XXXII).

Work attitudes were not essentially correlated to potential migration from Oklahoma ($x^2 = 6.06$, p<.50). No clear pattern was disclosed from the data in Table XXXIII. Students with won't work, hate to work, and prefer not to work attitudes (60.0%) had the highest ratio of plans to ramain in Oklahoma permanently. Respondents with happy to work attitudes (13.1%) were the most likely to immediately migrate from Oklahoma after high school. A majority (59.1%) of the students revealed intentions of leaving Oklahoma in the future.

Confidence and migration from the state of Oklahoma were not

TABLE XXXII

FATHER'S EDUCATION AND MIGRATION PLANS FROM OKLAHOMA*

| Migration | Grade School | % | High School | % | College | % | Post- Grad- uate | % | Total | % |
|----------------------|-----------------|-------|----------------|-------|---------|-------|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Stay Permanently | 24 | 30.0 | 79 | 49,1 | 17 | 37.8 | 4 | 20.0 | 124 | 40.5 |
| Stay Awhile | 41 | 51.3 | 68 | 42.2 | 2 23 | 51.1 | 15 | 75.0 | 147 | 48.0 |
| Leave Immediately | 15 | 18.7 | 14 | 8.7 | 7 5 | 11.1 | . 1 | 5.0 | 35 | 11.5 |
| Total | 80 | 100.0 | 161 | 100,0 |) 45 | 100.0 | 20 | 100.0 | 306 | 100.0 |

 $x^{2} = 17.24$, df = 6, p<.01, C = .232

TABLE XXXIII

WORK ATTITUDES AND MIGRATION PLANS FROM OKLAHOMA*

| Migration | Won Hate Prefei not to Work | t, to, r % | Don' Mind to Work | t % | Prefer to Work | % | Happy to Work | % | Total | % |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|--------|----------------------|-------|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Stay Permanently | 9 | 60.0 | 45 | 36.9 | 27 | 45.8 | 43 | 40.2 | 124 | 40.9 |
| Stay Awhile | 5 | 33.3 | 62 | 50.8 | 29 | 49.2 | 50 | 46.7 | 146 | 48,2 |
| Leave Immediately | 1 | 6.7 | 15 | 12.3 | 3 | 5.0 | 14 | 13.1 | 33 | 10.9 |
| Total | 15 | 100.0 | 122 | 100,0 | 59 | 100.0 | 107 | 100.0 | 303 | 100.0 |

 $x^2 = 6.06$, df = 6, p<.50

substantially associated (x 2 = 5.15, p <.30). However, as the degree of confidence increased, the percentage of students remaining in Oklahoma permanently decreased (somewhat and very doubtful - 56.0%, fairly sure - 44.4%, and very sure - 37.8%). The less confident individuals were planning to migrate immediately from Oklahoma more than the more confident individuals (somewhat and very doubtful - 16.0%, fairly sure - 9.8%, and very sure - 11.1%). Only 42.5 per cent of the students were planning to stay in Oklahoma to live (Table XXXIV).

TABLE XXXIV

| | | Sure | % | Very Doubtful | 19 | IOTAI | % |
|----|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 51 | 37.8 | 68 | 44.4 | 14 | 56.0 | 133 | 42.5 |
| 69 | 51.1 | 70 | 45.8 | 7 | 28.0 | 146 | 46.6 |
| 15 | 11.1 | 15 | 9.8 | 4 | 16.0 | 34 | 10,9 |
| 35 | 100.0 | 153 | 100.0 | 25 | 100,0 | 313 | 100,0 |
| | 51 69 15 135 | 51 37.8 69 51.1 15 11.1 135 100.0 | 51 37.8 68 69 51.1 70 15 11.1 15 135 100.0 153 | 51 37.8 68 44.4 69 51.1 70 45.8 15 11.1 15 9.8 135 100.0 153 100.0 | Doubtful 51 37.8 68 44.4 14 69 51.1 70 45.8 7 15 11.1 15 9.8 4 135 100.0 153 100.0 25 | Doubtfu1 51 37.8 68 44.4 14 56.0 69 51.1 70 45.8 7 28.0 15 11.1 15 9.8 4 16.0 135 100.0 153 100.0 25 100.0 | Doubtful 51 37.8 68 44.4 14 56.0 133 69 51.1 70 45.8 7 28.0 146 15 11.1 15 9.8 4 16.0 34 135 100.0 153 100.0 25 100.0 313 |

تد بر

CONFIDENCE AND MIGRATION PLANS FROM OKLAHOMA*

 $x^{2} = 5.15, df = 4, p<.30$

SUMMARY

Logan County

In this thesis, sixteen variables were examined for their effect on migration from Logan County (Appendix A). Out of the sixteen variables, only four provided significant evidence to reject the null hypothesis, these being: race $(x^2 = 10.54, p<.01)$, occupational aspirations $(x^2 = 13.05, p<.05)$, father's job $(x^2 = 12.91, p<.05)$, and confidence $(x^2 = 14.09, p<.01)$. Looking at each significant variable separately, several conclusions can be made. First, Negroes (98.1%) were more likely to migrate from Logan County than Whites (79.7%). Second, students with high (13.6%) and medium (13.5%) occupational aspirations were less inclined to stay in Logan County than students with very high (21.4%) or low and very low (30.6%) occupational aspirations. Third, 42.9 per cent of the students with very high occupational aspirations planned to move from Logan County immediately after high school. Fourth, children whose fathers' job was technical and professional (85,1%) or unskilled, machine operator, and skilled (86.8%) were more prone to leave Logan County than the other students. Fifth, as the degree of confidence increased, the proportion of students planning to migrate from Logan County also increased (very and somewhat doubtful - 57.9%, fairly sure - 80.5%, and very sure - 88.6%). Taking an average for all sixteen variables, it was determined that 17.2 per cent of the students planned to stay permanently in Logan County with 51.4 per cent planning to stay awhile, and 31.4 per cent planning to leave Logan County immediately after high school. Combining the last two categories to obtain the total potential migration

from Logan County for this particular group of students, the proportion of 82.8 per cent was calculated. This implied that Logan County would continue to have a high migration rate in the future.

State of Oklahoma

This study investigated the influence of sixteen variables on potential migration from the state of Oklahoma (see Appendix A). Out of the sixteen variables, only four indicated significant evidence to reject the null hypothesis, these being: race $(x^2 = 33.85, p<.001)$, place of residence $(x^2 = 13.30, p<.01)$, father's job $(x^2 = 18.30, p<.02)$, and father's education ($x^2 = 17.24$, p<.01). Looking at each significant variable separately, several conclusions can be made. First, Negroes (96.0%) were definitely planning to migrate from Oklahoma more than Whites (52.0%). Second, as place of residence increased from farm to town, the percentage of potential migrators from Oklahoma increased (farm - 43.6%, country, but not farm - 51.3%, and town - 66.7%).Third, except for the technical and professional category, as the status of father's job increased, plans to stay in Oklahoma increased (unskilled and machine operator - 31.0%, skilled - 34.6%, office and salesman - 38.1%, farm and business manager - 58.3%, and technical and professional - 30.8%). Fourth, students whose fathers had a postgraduate education (80.0%) were planning to move from Oklahoma more than any of the other students (grade school - 70.0%, high school -50.9%, and college - 62.2%). Again, taking an average for all sixteen variables, it was calculated that 41.0 per cent of the respondents planned to stay in Oklahoma permanently with 48.0 per cent planning to stay awhile, and 11.0 per cent planning to migrate from Oklahoma

immediately after finishing high school. The total potential migration from Oklahoma for this study was 59 per cent. This suggested that Oklahoma may be losing a large proportion of its young people.

CHAPTER V

EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS

Group Three Hypotheses:

Sex, race, place of residence, occupational aspirations, academic performance, family income, father's job, college preparation, work experience, parental aspirations, course likes, course dislikes, work attitudes, father's education, mother's education, confidence, attitude toward father's job, attitude toward father's salary, father's attitude toward father's salary, father's attitude toward his job, and father's attitude toward his salary have no significant effect on the educational aspirations of young people living in a rural county.

This study was concerned with the effect of twenty variables on the educational aspirations of young people living in a rural county (see Appendix B). Out of the twenty variables, seven (occupational aspirations, academic performance, college preparation, parental aspirations, course likes, mother's education, and confidence) provided significant evidence to reject the null hypothesis (see Table XXXV). Several other factors revealed patterns that were not substantiated at the criterion level of confidence.

Several interesting observations were identified when each variable was surveyed by itself. Sex was not meaningfully related to educational aspirations of rural youth ($x^2 = 6.82$, p<.20). A very weak association was implied (C = .142). No particular trend was disclosed. A majority (75.6%) of the students had college and professional aspirations with

TABLE XXXV

| and the second | x ² | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------|---------|-----------------|-----|
| Variable Name | Value | df | р | . C | N |
| Sex | 6.82 | 4 | p<.20 | .142 | 331 |
| Race | 7.66 | 4 | p<,20 | ,151 | 328 |
| Place of Residence | 8,73 | 4 | p<.10 | ,162 | 322 |
| Occupational Aspirations | 95.61 | 8 | p<.001* | .482 | 316 |
| Academic Performance | 34.03 | 8 | p<.001* | .308 | 325 |
| Family Income | 13,65 | 9 | p<,20 | .208 | 305 |
| Father's Job | 3,50 | 9 | p≤,95 | . | 309 |
| College Preparation | 36.81 | 4 | p<.001* | .318 | 327 |
| Work Experience | 5.70 | 8 | p<.70 | | 303 |
| Parental Aspirations | 42.12 | 6 | p<.001* | .401 | 221 |
| Course Likes | 32.71 | 12 | p<.01* | .303 | 323 |
| Course Dislikes | 15.86 | 9 | p<.10 | .233 | 276 |
| Work Attitudes | 5,79 | 8 | p≤.70 | <i>ر م</i> ر مر | 327 |
| Father's Education | 12,59 | 8 | p<.20 | .188 | 327 |
| Mother's Education | 13.22 | 6 | p<.05* | .198 | 325 |
| Confidence | 18.94 | 8 | p<.02* | .236 | 321 |
| Attitude Toward Father's Job | 5.16 | 12 | p<.98 | | 308 |
| Attitude Toward Father's Salary | 8.65 | 9 | p<.50 | | 307 |
| Father's Attitude Toward His Job | 5,27 | 12 | p<.95 | | 309 |
| Father's Attitude Toward His Salary | 8.81 | <u>9</u> | p<.50 | | 310 |

FACTORS RELATING TO STUDENTS' EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS

51.7 per cent being college aspirations. It was interesting to note that females (24.3%) had slightly higher aspirations at the professional level than males (23,3%). Females (13.0%) favored business school more than males (5.5%), while males (10.3%) favored vocational schools more than females (8.1%). A total of 94.3 per cent of the students had educational aspirations beyond high school (Table XXXVI). However, 76.7 per cent of the males aspired to college or higher aspirations as compared to 74.6 per cent of the females.

TABLE XXXVI

| Educational Aspirations | Male | % | Female | % | Total | % |
|----------------------------|------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| High School | 11 | 7.5 | 8 | 4.3 | 19 | 5.7 |
| Business School | 8 | 5,5 | 24 | 13,0 | 32 | 9.7 |
| Vocational School | 15 | 10,3 | 15 | 8.1 | 30 | 9.0 |
| College | 78 | 53,4 | 93 | 50.3 | 171 | 51.7 |
| Professional | 34 | 23.3 | 45 | 24.3 | 79 | 23.9 |
| Total | 146 | 100.0 | 185 | 100.0 | 331 | 100.0 |
| | | | | | | |

SEX AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS*

 $x^{2} = 6.82$, df = 4, p<.20, C = .142

The influence of race on educational aspirations of rural youth was not significant ($x^2 = 7.66$, p<.20). The Contingency Coefficient specified a very weak connection (C = .151). Negroes (65.6%) placed a

higher emphasis on college aspirations than Whites (49.2%). Combining the college and professional educational aspirations categories for each race, Negroes were prone to have higher educational aspirations (Negroes - 87.5%, and Whites - 73.8%). An excess (94.2%) of the respondents had educational aspirations above high school diploma with the heaviest concentration on college (52.4%). Nearly a quarter (24.1%) of the students aspired to a professional education (Table XXXVII).

TABLE XXXVII

| Educational Aspirations | Negroes | % | Whites | % | Total | % |
|----------------------------|---------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| High School | 2 | 3.1 | 17 | 6.5 | 19 | 5,8 |
| Business School | 2 | 3.1 | 30 | 11.4 | 32 | 9.8 |
| Vocational School | . 4 | 6.3 | 22 | 8.3 | 26 | 7.9 |
| College | 42 | 65.6 | 130 | 49.2 | 172 | 52,4 |
| Professional | 14 | 21.9 | 65 | 24.6 | 79 | 24.1 |
| Total | 64 | 100.0 | 264 | 100.0 | 328 | 100.0 |

RACE AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS*

 $x^2 = 7.66$, df = 4, p<.20, C = .151

Place of residence and educational aspirations of rural youth were not essentially correlated ($x^2 = 8.73$, p<.10). There was a very weak linkage denoted (C = .162). Farm and country students (54.0%) had higher college aspirations than town students (51.5%), while town respondents (25.8%) had a larger percentage of professional aspirations than farm and country respondents (21.8%). Town children (3.0%) were less likely to stop with high school educational aspirations than farm and country children (9.7%), and 94.4 per cent of all the students had educational aspirations exceeding high school with the greatest emphasis placed on college (52.5%). See Table XXXVIII.

TABLE XXXVIII

| and the second | a na sangta na ang | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| Educational Aspirations | Farm and Country | % | Town | % | Total | % |
| High School | 12 | 9.7 | 6 | 3.0 | 18 | 5.6 |
| Business School | 8 | 6.4 | 23 | 11.6 | 31 | 9.6 |
| Vocational School | 10 | 8.1 | 16 | 8,1 | 26 | 8.1 |
| College | 67 | 54.0 | 102 | 51.5 | 169 | 52.5 |
| Professional | 27 | 21.8 | 51 | 25.8 | 78 | 24.2 |
| Total | 124 | 100.0 | 198 | 100.0 | 322 | 100,0 |

PLACE OF RESIDENCE AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS*

 $x^{2} = 8.73$, df = 4, p<.10, C = .162

Occupational aspirations significantly affected educational aspirations of rural youth ($x^2 = 95.61$, p<.001). The intensity of the relationship between the variables was strong (C = .482). High occupational aspirations were associated with high educational aspirations (Table XXXIX). Students with very high and high occupational

aspirations (64.3%) accentuated college educational aspirations (medium - 40.2%, and low and very low - 34.1%). Combining the college and professional educational aspirations groups, it was found that those with very high and high occupational aspirations (97.0%) had the highest ratio of these two levels of educational aspirations (medium - 53.3%, and low and very low - 58.5%). It was interesting to note that 24.4 per cent of the students with low and very low occupational aspirations had professional educational aspirations. Over half (52.2%) of the youth stressed college aspirations with 94.6 per cent expressing educational aspirations.

TABLE XXXIX

| Educational Aspirations | Very High and High | % | Medium | % | Low and Very Low | % | Total | % |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|--------|-------|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| High School | 3 | 1.8 | 9 | 8.4 | 5 | 12.2 | 17 | 5.4 |
| Business School | 0 | 0,0 | 28 | 26.2 | 3 | 7.3 | 31 | 9.8 |
| Vocational School | 2 | 1.2 | 13 | 12,1 | 9 | 22.0 | 24 | 7.6 |
| College | 108 | 64.3 | 43 | 40,2 | 14 | 34,1 | 165 | 52.2 |
| Professional | 55 | 32.7 | 14 | 13,1 | 10 | 24.4 | 79 | 25,0 |
| Total | 1 6 8 | 100.0 | 107 | 100.0 | 41 | 100.0 | 316 | 100.0 |

OCCUPATIONAL ASPIRATIONS AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS*

*x² = 95.61, df = 8, p<.001, C = .482

Table XXXX discloses the substantiated influence of academic performance on educational aspirations of rural young people ($x^2 = 34.03$, p<.001). A fairly strong positive relationship was indicated (C = .308). As academic performance increased, educational aspirations increased (Table XXXX). Those who made A's had higher college (59.0%) and professional (35.8%) aspirations than students who made B's (college - 56.1%, professional - 30.2%) or C's, D's, and F's (college -46.9%, professional - 15.0%). Individuals with C's, D's, and F's placed more emphasis on business (15.6%) and vocational schools (11.6%) than students making higher grades. A large ratio (76.3%) of the respondents had college and professional educational aspirations with 94.2 per cent of the students having educational aspirations above high school.

| TABLE XX |
|----------|
|----------|

| Educational Aspirations | Α | % | В | % | C, D, and F | % | Total | % |
|----------------------------|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-------|----------------|---------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| High School | 0 | 0,0 | 3 | 2.2 | 16 | 10.9 | 19 | 5.8 |
| Business School | 1 | 2.6 | 9 | 6.5 | 23 | 15.6 | 33 | 10.2 |
| Vocational School | 1 | 2.6 | 7 | 5.0 | 17 | 11.6 | 25 | 7,7 |
| College | 23 | 59.0 | 78 | 56.1 | 69 | 46,9 | 170 | 52.3 |
| Professional | 14 | 35.8 | 42 | 30.2 | 22 | 15.0 | 78 | 24.0 |
| Total | 39 | 100.0 | 139 | 100.0 | 147 | 100.0 | 325 | 100.0 |
| | | territori de la construction de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la | | | *** | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | ····· |

ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS*

 $x^{2} = 34.03$, df = 8, p<.001, C = .308

The analysis of family income divulged no essential mutuality between it and educational aspirations of rural youth ($x^2 = 13,65$, p<.20). The Contingency Coefficient calculated identified a weak correlation (C = .208). Students from families with a 6000 and 7000 dollar income (29.8%) accentuated business and vocational aspirations more than other individuals (0-3000 - 17.1%, 4000 and 5000 - 13,6%, and 8000-10,000 - 13.7%). Respondents with family incomes of 0 - 3000 dollars (63.4%) had higher college aspirations than other students (4000 and 5000 - 57.6%, 6000 and 7000 - 40.5%, and 8000-10,000 - 55.7%). The group that was more apt to have professional aspirations was students from families with an income of 8000-10,000 dollars (25.8%). A large proportion (94.1%) of the individuals aspired to educational aspirations beyond high school with 53.4 per cent of the students emphasizing college aspirations (Table XXXXI).

TABLE XXXXI

| Educational Aspirations | 0 to 3000 | % | 4000 and 5000 | % | 6000 and 7000 | % | 8000 to 10,000 |) % | Tota | 1 % |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------|-------|---------------------|-------|---------------------|-------|----------------------|-------|------|-------|
| High School | 2 | 4.9 | 4. | 6.1 | 6 | 8.1 | 6 | 4.8 | 18 | 5.9 |
| Business School and Vocational School | 7 | 17.1 | 9 | 13.6 | 22 | 29.8 | 17 | 13.7 | 55 | 18.0 |
| College | 26 | 63.4 | 38 | 57,6 | 30 | 40.5 | 69 | 55.7 | 163 | 53.4 |
| Professional | 6 | 14.6 | 15 | 22.7 | 16 | 21.6 | 32 | 25.8 | 69 | 22.7 |
| Total | 41 | 100.0 | 66 | 100.0 | 74 | 100.0 | 124 | 100.0 | 305 | 100.0 |

FAMILY INCOME AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS* ,

No significant connection was established between father's job and educational aspirations of rural youth ($x^2 = 3.50$, p<.95). Students whose fathers' occupation was an office worker or salesman (27.3%) had the highest business and vocational aspirations (Table XXXXII). Technical and professionals' children (28.0%) were inclined to have professional educational aspirations more than the other children. No clear pattern was observed, but 77.4 per cent of the young people had college and professional educational aspirations with 94.2 per cent wanting educational training after high school.

TABLE XXXXII

| Educational Aspirations | Unskille Machine Operato and Skilled | d, r, % | Offi an Sale man | ce d % s- | Farn and Bus Mgr | n 1 % | Tech- nical and Profes siona | % 1 | Total | 8 |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------|------------------------------------------|--------|-------|-------|
| High School | 9 | 6,0 | 1 | 4.5 | 5 | 5.8 | 3 3 | 6.0 | 18 | 5.8 |
| Business School and Vocational School | 28 | 18.5 | 6 | 27.3 | . 12 | 14.0 |) 6 | 12.0 | 52 | 16.8 |
| College | 81 | 53.6 | 10 | 45.5 | 46 | 53,5 | 5 27 | 54.0 | 164 | 53.1 |
| Professional | 33 | 21.9 | 5 | 22.7 | 23 | 26.7 | 14 | 28.0 | 75 | 24.3 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 22 | 100.0 | 86 | 100.0 |) 50 | 100.0 | 309 | 100,0 |

FATHER'S JOB AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS*

 $x^2 = 3.50, df = 9, p < .95$

College preparation significantly influenced educational aspirations of rural young people ($x^2 = 36.81$, p < .001). The association relating the variables was fairly strong (C = .318). As college preparation increased, educational aspirations increased (Table XXXXIII). Students with low college preparation favored high school (10.6%), business (19.2%), and vocational (14.4%) educational aspirations while students with higher college preparation stressed college (58.3%) and professional (27.8%) aspirations. A majority (76.4%) of the respondents aspired to college and professional educational aspirations with 52.6 per cent hoping for a college education. A large percentage (94.2%) of all the youth expressed wishes for educational aspirations exceeding high school training.

TABLE XXXXIII

| Educational Aspirations | Low | % | Medium and High | % | Total | % |
|----------------------------|-----|-------|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| High School | 11 | 10.6 | 8 | 3.6 | 19 | 5.8 |
| Business School | 20 | 19.2 | 12 | 5.4 | 32 | 9.8 |
| Vocational School | 15 | 14,4 | 11 | 4.9 | 26 | 8,0 |
| College | 42 | 40.4 | 130 | 58.3 | 172 | 52.6 |
| Professional | 16 | 15,4 | 62 | 27.8 | 78 | 23.8 |
| Total | 104 | 100.0 | 223 | 100.0 | 327 | 100.0 |

COLLEGE PREPARATION AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS*

 $x^{2} = 36.81$, df = 4, p<.001, C = .318

The analysis revealed no meaningful linkage between work experience and educational aspirations of rural adolescents ($x^2 = 5.70$, p<.70). No clear trend was manifested by the data (Table XXXXIV), but several interesting results were observed. Young people with unskilled work experience (11.8%) had the highest business school aspirations while students with semiskilled and skilled work experience expressed the greatest vocational educational aspirations (8.8%). It was also noted that adolescents with unskilled work experience (25.5%) and those with semiskilled and skilled work experience (26.3%) exhibited the greatest desire for professional educational aspirations. Most (94.4%) of the students demonstrated educational aspirations surpassing a high school diploma.

TABLE XXXXIV

| | • | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|----------------|-------|--------|-------|------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Educational Aspirations | Un- skilled | % | Manua] | % | Semi- skilled and Skilled | % | Total | % |
| High School | 4 | 3.9 | 12 | 8.3 |] | 1.8 | 17 | 5.6 |
| Business School | 12 | 11.8 | 12 | 8.3 | 5 | 8.8 | 29 | 9,6 |
| Vocational School | 6 | 5.9 | 12 | 8.3 | 5 | 8.8 | 23 | 7.6 |
| College | 54 | 52.9 | 79 | 54,9 | 31 | 54.3 | 164 | 54.1 |
| Professional | 26 | 25.5 | 29 | 20.2 | 15 | 26.3 | 70 | 23.1 |
| Tota] | 102 | 100.0 | 144 | 100.0 | 57 | 100.0 | 303 | 100.0 |
| | | | | | | | | |

WORK EXPERIENCE AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS*

 $x^2 = 5.70$, df = 8, p<.70

Parental aspirations substantially affected educational aspirations of rural youth ($x^2 = 42.12$, p<.001). A strong positive relationship was suggested between the two variables (C = .401). As parental aspirations increased, educational aspirations of rural students increased (Table XXXXV). A preponderance (90.8%) of the students whose parents stated very high and high educational aspirations for their children had college and professional aspirations as compared to 66.2 per cent for medium and 56.2 per cent for low and very low parental aspirations. Students with low and very low parental aspirations (25.0%) manifested the highest desire to terminate their formal education after high school. Respondents with very high and high parental aspirations (32.1%) had the largest percentage of professional educational aspirations. A majority (94.1%) of the young people designated educational aspirations above a high school education.

Table XXXXVI depicted the significant influence of the number of courses liked on educational aspirations of rural young adults ($x^2 = 32.71$, p<.01). The strength of the association interspersing the variables was fairly strong (C = .303). Combining the college and professional educational aspirations levels, it was found that as the number of courses liked increased from 0 to 7, the percentage of the students desiring college and professional aspirations increased (0 - 3 courses - 69.7%, 4 courses - 71.7%, 5 courses - 82.2%, and 6 to 7 courses - 92.4%). Students liking 6 and 7 courses had the highest proportion of professional aspirations (43.4%). Students liking 5 courses (61.6%) emphasized college aspirations as compared to 53.6 per cent for 0 - 3 courses liked, 44.7 per cent for 4 courses liked, and 49.0 per cent for 6 and 7 courses liked. Over half of the respondents (52.3%)

specified college educational aspirations. A large percentage (94.1%) of the adolescents wanted more education after high school.

TABLE XXXXV

PARENTAL ASPIRATIONS AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS*

| Educationa] Aspirations | Very High and High | % | Medium | % | Low and Very Low | % | Total | % |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|--------|-------|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| High School | 2 | 1.8 | 3 | 3.8 | 8 | 25.0 | 13 | 5,9 |
| Business School and Vocational School | 8 | 7.4 | 24 | 30.0 | 6 | 18.8 | 38 | 17,2 |
| College | 64 | 58.7 | 40 | 50.0 | 14 | 43.7 | 118 | 53.4 |
| Professional | 35 | 32.1 | 13 | 16.2 | 4 | 12.5 | 52 | 23.5 |
| Total | 109 | 100.0 | 80 | 100.0 | 32 | 100.0 | 221 | 100,0 |

 $x^{2} = 42.12$, df = 6, p<.001, C = .401

The consequence of courses disliked on educational aspirations of rural young people was not significant ($x^2 = 15.86$, p<.10). However, a weak correlation was implied between the variables (C = .233). Absolutely no patterns were exposed by the data (Table XXXXVII). Students disliking no courses (40.0%) had the highest professional aspirations of all of the young people. Twelve per cent of the respondents disliking 3 to 7 courses expressed no desire for further education after high school. The total distribution for each level of educational

TABLE XXXXVI

| Educational Aspirations C | 0-3 Course | es % | 4 Cours | es % | 5 Cours | es % | 6&7 Course | s % | Total | % |
|------------------------------|---------------|-------|------------|-------|------------|-------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|
| High School | 13 | 11.6 | 2 | 2.4 | 3 | 4.1 | 1 | 1.9 | 19 | 5.9 |
| Business School | 10 | 8.9 | 14 | 16,5 | 6 | 8.2 | | 1.9 | 31 | 9,6 |
| Vocational School | 11 | 9.8 | 8 | 9,4 | 4 | 5.5 | 2 | 3.8 | 25 | 7.7 |
| College | 60 | 53.6 | 38 | 44.7 | 45 | 61.6 | 26 | 49.0 | 169 | 52,3 |
| Professional | 18 | 16.1 | 23 | 27.0 | 15 | 20.6 | 23 | 43.4 | 79 | 24.5 |
| Total | 112 | 100.0 | 85 | 100.0 | 73 | 100.0 | 53 | 100,0 | 323 | 100,0 |

COURSE LIKES AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS*

* x^2 = 32.71, df = 12, p<.01, C = .303

TABLE XXXXVII

COURSE DISLIKES AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS*

| Educational Aspirations | 0 Cours | e % | 1 Cours | es % | 2 Cours | es % | 3-7 Course | es % | Tota | 1 % |
|--------------------------------------------|------------|-------|------------|-------|------------|-------|---------------|-------|------|-------|
| High School | 2 | 4.4 | 6 | 5,9 | . 4 | 5.0 | 6 | 12.0 | 18 | 6.5 |
| Business Schoo and Vocational School | 51 8 | 17.8 | 12 | 11.9 | | 21.3 | 11 | 22.0 | 48 | 17.4 |
| College | 17 | 37.8 | 59 | 58,4 | 44 | 55,0 | 25 | 50.0 | 145 | 52.5 |
| Professional | 18 | 40.0 | 24 | 23.8 | 15 | 18.7 | 8 | 16.0 | 65 | 23,6 |
| Total | 45 | 100.0 | 101 | 100.0 | 80 | 100.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 276 | 100.0 |

 $x^{2} = 15.86$, df = 9, p<.10, C = .233

aspirations was 6.5 per cent for high school, 17.4 per cent for business and vocational schools, 52,5 per cent for college, and 23.6 per cent for professional,

Work attitudes and educational aspirations of rural youth were not essentially related ($x^2 = 5.79$, p<.70). Combining college and professional categories of educational aspirations, the data in Table XXXXVIII showed that educational aspirations decreased as work attitudes became more positive (won't work, hate to work, and prefer not to work - 79.2%, prefer to work - 72.6%, and happy to work - 71.1%). Students who won't work, hate to work and prefer not to work (53.5%) had the highest college aspirations of all the students. A large ratio (94.2%) of the individuals desired educational aspirations beyond high school with 50.8 per cent wanting a college education.

| | | and the second | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Educational Aspirations | Won't, Hate to, Prefer not to and Don't Mind Work | % | Prefer to Work | % | Happy to Work | % | Total | % |
| High School | 7 | 5.0 | 3 | 4.1 | 9 | 7.9 | 19 | 5,8 |
| Business Scho | 0]]] | 7.9 | 6 | 8.2 | 13 | 11.4 | 30 | 9.2 |
| Vocational School | 11 | 7.9 | 11 | 15.1 | 11 | 9.6 | 33 | 10.1 |
| College | 75 | 53.5 | 38 | 52.1 | 53 | 46.5 | 166 | 50.8 |
| Professional | 36 | 25.7 | 15 | 20.5 | 28 | 24.6 | 79 | 24.1 |
| Total | 140 | 100.0 | 73 | 100.0 | 114 | 100.0 | 327 | 100.0 |

TABLE XXXXVIII WORK ATTITUDES AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS*

There was no meaningful mutuality between father's education and educational aspirations of rural adolescents ($x^2 = 12.59$, p<.20). A very weak linkage was calculated for the variables (C = .188). As father's education increased, the educational aspirations of the students also increased (Table XXXXIX). By looking at each level of father's education for college aspirations this pattern was denoted (grade school - 46.8%, high school - 54.4%, and college and postgraduate - 54.7%). The results also occurred for each level of father's education where professional aspirations were considered (grade school - 21.3%, high school - 23.1%, and college and post-graduate - 32.8%). A high proportion of the respondents expressed college and professional aspirations (76.8%).

Mother's education substantially affected educational aspirations of rural young adults ($x^2 = 13.22$, p<.05). The Contingency Coefficient (C = .198) disclosed a very weak relationship. Table L revealed that when mother's education increased, the educational aspirations of rural students increased. Students with college and post-graduate educated mothers were more likely to express college (65.2%) and professional (25.7%) educational aspirations than the other students (high school: 49.8% college, 25.1% professional and grade school: 40.0% college, 22.5% professional). Individuals whose mothers had a high school education (27.5%) had the highest percentage of business and vocational aspirations (high school - 18.7% and college and professional - 7.6%). The majority (94.1%) of the adolescents wanted educational training after high school.

Confidence significantly influenced educational aspirations of rural young people ($x^2 = 18.94$, p<,02). The strength of the association

TABLE XXXXIX

| | 1 | 17 | & | | | | | 1 |
|----------------------------|-----------------|-------|----------------|-------|----------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Educational Aspirations | Grade School | % | High School | % | College and Post- Graduate | % | Total | % |
| High School | 10 | 10,6 | 8 | 4.7 | 1 | 1.6 | 19 | 5.8 |
| Business School | 12 | 12.8 | 15 | 8,9 | 5 | 7.8 | 32 | 9.8 |
| Vocational School | 8 | 8,5 | 15 | 8.9 | 2 | 3.1 | 25 | 7.6 |
| College | 44 | 46.8 | 92 | 54.4 | 35 | 54.7 | 171 | 52.3 |
| Professional | 20 | 21.3 | 39 | 23.1 | 21 | 32.8 | 80 | 24.5 |
| Total | 94 | 100,0 | 169 | 100.0 | 64 | 100.0 | 327 | 100.0 |

FATHER'S EDUCATION AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS*

 x^2 = 12.59, df = 8, p<.20, C = .188

1 - 1 - 1

TABLE L

MOTHER'S EDUCATION AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS*

| Educational Aspirations | Grade School | % | High School | % | College and Post- Graduate | % | Total | % |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------|-------|----------------|-------|----------------------------------|-------|-------------|-------|
| High School | 4 | 10.0 | 14 | 6.4 |] | 1.5 | 19 | 5.9 |
| Business School and Vocational School | 1 | 27,5 | 41 | 18.7 | 5 | 7.6 | 57 · | 17.5 |
| College | 16 | 40,0 | 109 | 49.8 | 43 | 65.2 | 168 | 51.7 |
| Professional | 9 | 22.5 | 55 | 25.1 | 17 | 25.7 | 81 | 24,9 |
| Total | 40 | 100.0 | 219 | 100.0 | 66 | 100.0 | 325 | 100.0 |

 $*x^2 = 13.22$, df = 6, p<.05, C = .198

between the variables was weak (C = .236). As individuals became more confident of accomplishing their post-high school plans, their educational aspirations tended to increase (Table LI). Students who were very sure (53.1%) and fairly sure (53.5%) of obtaining their post-high school plans accentuated college aspirations more than the somewhat doubtful and very doubtful group (26.1%). The pattern was also true when each confidence level was examined for professional aspirations (very sure - 26.6%, fairly sure - 23.9%, and somewhat and very doubtful - 17.4%). The somewhat doubtful and very doubtful students had the largest percentage of business (26.1%) and vocational (21.7%) educational aspirations. Over half (51.4%) of the young people aspired to a college education.

The data on attitude toward father's job signified no important connection between it and educational aspirations of rural youth ($x^2 =$ 5.16, p<.98). Students who were dissatisfied with their fathers' job emphasized business (15.4%) and vocational (11.5%) educational aspirations more than the other students (Table LII). No big difference was found for each attitude toward father's job when college aspirations were investigated (dissatisfied - 53.9%, accept it - 53.2%, fairly satisfied - 50.5%, and fully satisfied - 51.9%). Except for the dissatisfied group, no great variation was observed for each attitude toward father's job when professional aspirations were scrutinized (dissatisfied - 15.4%, accept it - 25.3%, fairly satisfied - 27.2%, and fully satisfied - 26.0%). A quarter (25.3%) of the students stated their educational aspirations to be professional training.

Attitude toward father's salary and educational aspirations of rural high school students were not essentially correlated ($x^2 = 8.65$,

TABLE LI

| Educational Aspirations | Very Sure | % | Fairly Sure | % | Somewhat and Very Doubtful | % | Tota | 1 % |
|----------------------------|--------------|-------|----------------|-------|----------------------------------|-------|------|-------|
| High School | 6 | 4,2 | 11 | 7.1 | 2 | 8.7 | 19 | 5.9 |
| Business School | 15 | 10.5 | 11 | 7,1 | 6 | 26,1 | 32 | 10,0 |
| Vocational School | 8 | 5.6 | 13 | 8.4 | 5 | 21,7 | 26 | 8.1 |
| College | 76 | 53.1 | 83 | 53.5 | 6 | 26.1 | 165 | 51.4 |
| Professional | 38 | 26.6 | 37 | 23.9 | 4 | 17.4 | 79 | 24.6 |
| Total | 143 | 100.0 | 155 | 100.0 | 23 | 100.0 | 321 | 100,0 |

CONFIDENCE AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS*

 $x^{2} = 18.94$, df = 8, p<.02, C = .236

TABLE LII

ATTITUDE TOWARD FATHER'S JOB AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS*

| | | | the second s | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----|-------|--|--|
| Educational Aspirations | Completel and Somewhat D satisfie | y is- [%] d | Acce I | ept _% t | Fair Sat fied | ly is- % t | Ful Sat fie | ly is- % ed | Tot | al % | | |
| High School | 1 | 3.8 | 2 | 2.5 | 7 | 7.1 | 5 | 4.8 | 15 | 4.9 | | |
| Business School | 4 | 15.4 | 10 | 12.7 | 9 | 9.1 | 7 | 6.7 | 30 | 9.7 | | |
| Vocational Schoo | o1 3 | 11.5 | - 5 | 6.3 | 6 | 6.1 | 11 | 10,6 | 25 | 8.1 | | |
| College | 14 | 53.9 | 42 | 53.2 | 50 | 50.5 | 54 | 51.9 | 160 | 52.0 | | |
| Professional | 4 | 15.4 | 20 | 25,3 | 27 | 27.2 | 27 | 26,0 | 78 | 25,3 | | |
| Total | 26 | 100.0 | 79 | 100.0 | 99 | 100.0 | 104 | 100.0 | 308 | 100.0 | | |

*x² = 5,16, df = 12, p<.98

p<.50). Respondents that were fairly satisfied (21.0%) and fully satisfied (20.0%) stressed business and vocational aspirations more than the accept it (14.3%) and the dissatisfied (12.0%) groups (Table LIII). The dissatisfied (62.0%) and accept it (58.4%) sets were more prone to have college aspirations than fairly (46.0%) and fully (46.2%) satisfied individuals. There was no big deviation exhibited for each attitude toward father's salary when professional aspirations were examined, except for the accept it group (dissatisfied - 26.0%, accept it - 19.5%, fairly satisfied - 27.0%, and fully satisfied - 27.5%).

TABLE LIII

| | | • | | · . | | | | | | • |
|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------|------|
| Educational Aspirations | Complete and Some what Diss isfied | ly sat- [%] | Acce It | pt _% | Fair Sat fi | ly is- % ed | Fully Sat fie | / is-% ed | Γota |] % |
| High School | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 7.8 | , 6 | 6,0 | _5 | 6.3 | 17 | 5.5 |
| Business School and Vocational School | 6 | 12.0 | 11 | 14.3 | 21 | 21.0 | 16 | 20.0 | 54 | 17,6 |
| College | 31 | 62.0 | 45 | 58.4 | 46 | 46.0 | 37 | 46 2 | 159 | 51.8 |
| Professional | 13 | 26.0 | 15 | 19,5 | 27 | 27.0 | 22 | 27,5 | 77 | 25.1 |
| Total | 50 | 100.0 | 77 | 100,0 | 100 | 100.0 | 80 | 100.0 | 307 | 1000 |

ATTITUDE TOWARD FATHER'S SALARY AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS*

 $x^{2} = 8.65, df = 9, p < .50$

The effect of father's attitude toward his job was not important

for educational aspirations of rural respondents ($x^2 = 5.27$, p<.95). Students that stated their fathers were dissatisfied with their jobs (60.9%) had the highest ratio of college aspirations (Table LIV). It was also depicted that respondents whose fathers were fully satisfied with their jobs (11.1%) favored vocational aspirations more than other students. Over half (52.4%) of the young people aspired for college educational aspirations.

TABLE LIV

FATHER'S ATTITUDE TOWARD HIS JOB AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS*

| بلي و الانولار به الوالا ا | أأقبر المدا | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------|------|-------|
| Educational Aspirations | Complete and Sor what D satisf | ely ne- % is- ied | Acce It | ept _% | Tair Sat fie | ly is- % ed | Fully Sati fie | s- % d | Tota | al % |
| High School | 1 | 4.3 | 2. | 3.0 | 9 | 7.5 | 4 | 4.0 | 16 | 5.2 |
| Business School | 2 | 8.7 | 8 | 11.9 | 11 | 9,2 | 8 | 8.1 | 29 | 9.4 |
| Vocational Schoo | 1 1 | 4.3 | 4 | 6.0 | 8 | 6.7 | 11 | 11.1 | 24 | 7.8 |
| College | 14 | 60.9 | 34 | 50.7 | 63 | 52.5 | 51 | 51.5 | 162 | 52.4 |
| Professional | 5 | 21.8 | 19 | 28.4 | 29 | 24.1 | 25 | 25.3 | 78 | 25.2 |
| Total | 23 | 100.0 | 67 | 100.0 | 120 | 100.0 | 99 | 100.0 | 309 | 100.0 |

 $x^{2} = 5.27$, df = 12, p<.95

There was no meaningful mutuality interweaving father's attitude toward his salary and educational aspirations of rural youth ($x^2 = 8.81$, p<.50). Students with fathers that were dissatisfied with their salaries (60.8%) desired a college education more than the other individuals (Table LV). Another interesting result was that students with fathers who were fairly satisfied with their salaries had the largest percentage of business and vocational aspirations (23.3 %). A majority (94.5%) of the individuals demonstrated wishes to obtain education beyond high school.

TABLE LV

| FATHER'S | S ATTITUDE | TOWARD | HIS | SALARY |
|----------|------------|---------|-------|--------|
| AND | EDUCATION | AL ASPI | RATIO | DNS* |

| Educational Aspirations | Complete and Som what Di satisfi | ly e- % / s- ed | \cce I | ept % | Fair Sat fie | ly is-% d | ul Sat fi | ly is- % ed | Tota] | % |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|-------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------|-------|
| High School | 1 | 2.0 | 6 | 8.0 | 8 | 6.0 | 2 | 3.9 | 17 | 5.5 |
| Business School and Vocational School | 6 | 11.8 | 8 | 10.7 | 31 | 23,3 | 10 | 19.6 | 55 | 17.7 |
| College | 31 | 60.8 | 42 | 56.0 | 62 | 46.6 | 25 | 49.0 | 160 | 51.6 |
| Professional | 13 | 25.4 | 19 | 25.3 | 32 | 24.1 | 14 | 27.5 | 78 | 25.2 |
| Total | 51 | 100.0 | 75 | 100.0 | 133 | 100.0 | 51 | 100.0 | 310 | 100.0 |

 $x^2 = 8.81$, df = 9, p<.50

SUMMARY

In this study, twenty variables were scrutinized for their effect on educational aspirations of rural high school students (Appendix B). Seven out of the twenty variables provided significant evidence to reject the null hypothesis of no difference. Those variables were:

occupational aspirations ($x^2 = 95.61$, p<.001), academic performance $(x^2 = 34.03, p<.001)$, college preparation $(x^2 = 36.81, p<.001)$, parental aspirations ($x^2 = 42.12$, p<.001), course likes ($x^2 = 32.71$, p<.01), mother's education ($x^2 = 13.22$, p<.05), and confidence ($x^2 = 18.94$, p<.02). Taking each significant variable by itself, several conclusions may be stated. One, high occupational aspirations were associated with high educational aspirations. Two, students who made A's had higher college (59.0%) and professional (35.8%) educational aspirations than students who made B's (college - 56.1%, professional - 30.2%), or C's, D's, and F's (college - 46.9%, professional - 15.0%). Three, high college preparation was associated with college (58.3%) and professional (27.8%) educational aspirations, while low college preparation wasn't (college - 40.4%, professional - 15.4%). Four, 90.8 per cent of the students whose parents stated very high and high educational aspirations for their children had college and professional educational aspirations as compared to 66.2 per cent for medium and 56.2 per cent for low and very low parental aspirations. Five, combining the college and professional educational aspirations levels, it was found that as the number of courses liked increased from 0 to 7, the percentage of the students desiring college and professional aspirations increased (0 - 3 courses -69.7%, 4 courses - 71.7%, 5 courses - 82.2%, and 6 to 7 courses -92.4%). Six, individuals with college or post-graduate educated mothers were more likely to express college (65.2%) and professional (25.7%) educational aspirations than the other students (high school educated mothers: 49.8% college, 25.1% professional and grade school educated mothers: 40.0% college, 22.5% professional). Seven, as students became more confident of accomplishing their post-high school plans, their

educational aspirations tended to increase. Taking the average for all twenty variables, the total percentage for each level of educational aspirations was: high school (5.7%), business school (9.6%), vocational school (8.1%), college (52.3%), and professional (24.3%). These results implied that over three-fourths (76.6%) of these rural youth aspired to education at the college and professional levels.
CHAPTER VI

OCCUPATIONAL CHOICE

Group Four Hypotheses: Sex, race, place of residence, occupational aspirations, educational aspirations, academic performance, family income, father's job, college preparation, work experience, parental aspirations, course likes, course dislikes, work attitudes, father's education, confidence, attitude toward father's job, attitude toward father's salary, father's attitude toward his job, and father's attitude toward his salary have no significant effect on the occupational choice of young people living in a rural county.

This study examined twenty variables for their effect on occupational choice of young people living in a rural county (Appendix C). Out of the twenty variables, twelve were found to provide significant evidence to reject the null hypothesis of no difference. These variables were: sex, place of residence, occupational aspirations, educational aspirations, academic performance, college preparation, work experience, parental aspirations, course likes, course dislikes, father's education, and confidence. (See Table LVI and Table LVII),

In order to discuss the results of the Mann-Whitney and Kruskal-Wallis Rank Tests, the responses for occupational choice were placed into five categories based on the modified North-Hatt Prestige Scale (Appendix H). These categories were used before when occupational choice was considered as an independent variable. The categories were

very high (89 - 100), high (78 - 88), medium (65 - 77), low (55 - 64), and very low (1 - 54). Since the two statistical tests compared the exact scores of the respondents and not these categories, the percentages may not seem to coincide with the results of the statistical tests. The percentages are used only to provide some description of the statistical results.

TABLE LVI

FACTORS RELATING TO STUDENTS' OCCUPATIONAL CHOICE I*

| | a server a server a server a | | · | |
|------------------|------------------------------|------------|-----|----|
| Variable Name | Z | р | N | df |
| Sex | 4.88 | .0000068** | 308 | 1 |
| Race | .388 | .6966 | 308 | |
| | ب بند ، بند ، | · | | |

___Mann-Whitney_test

Significant to reject null hypothesis

Taking each variable separately, many results were observed, Sex significantly affected the occupational choice of Logan County high school students (z = 4.88, p = .0000068). Males preferred high (47.8%) and very high (7.5%) occupations more than females (high - 33.3%, very high - 1.7%). Only 43.8 per cent of the respondents chose high and very high occupations (Table LVIII).

The influence of race on occupational choice of rural youth was not essential (z = .388, p = .6966). Negroes were inclined to choose low (16.7%) and high (48.2%) occupations while Whites selected very

FACTORS RELATING TO STUDENTS' OCCUPATIONAL CHOICE II*

| Variable Name | Н | , | N | df |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------|----------|-----|-----|
| Place of Residence | 10.715 | p<.0]** | 306 | 2 |
| Occupational Aspirations | 107.440 | p<,001** | 303 | 3 |
| Educational Aspirations | 49.7 6 5 | p<.001** | 304 | 4 |
| Academic Performance | 31.813 | p<,001** | 306 | 3 |
| Family Income | 9.208 | p<.30 | 285 | 7 |
| Father's Job | 4,778 | p<.70 | 294 | 7 |
| College Preparation | 31.356 | p<.001** | 308 | 2 |
| Work Experience | 7.943 | p<.02** | 288 | 2 |
| Parental Aspirations | 74.404 | p<.001** | 206 | 3 |
| Course Likes | 20,453 | p<.01** | 305 | 5 |
| Course Dislikes | 16.811 | p<.01** | 259 | 4 |
| Work Attitudes | 1.894 | p<.70 | 303 | 3 |
| Father's Education | 9,116 | p<.05** | 308 | 3 |
| Confidence | 16.543 | p<.001** | 303 | 2 |
| Attitude Toward Father's Job | 5.472 | p<.30 | 295 | 4 |
| Attitude Toward Father's Salary | 3.467 | p<.50 | 294 | . 4 |
| Father's Attitude Toward His Job | 2.064 | p<,70 | 295 | 3 |
| Father's Attitude Toward His Salary | 4.301 | p<.50 | 296 | 4 |

*Kruskal-Wallis Ranks Test Significant to reject null hypothesis

low (11.0%), medium (33.9%), and very high (4.3%) occupations (Table LIX).

| Occupational Choice | Male | % | Female | % | Total | % |
|------------------------|------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| Very Low | 7 | 5,2 | 24 | 13,8 | 31 | 10.1 |
| Low | 22 | 16.4 | 20 | 11,5 | 42 | 13.6 |
| Medium | 31 | 23,1 | 69 | 39.7 | 100 | 32.5 |
| High | 64 | 47.8 | 58 | 33.3 | 122 | 39.6 |
| Very High | 10 | 7.5 | 3 | 1.7 | 13 | 4.2 |
| Total | 134 | 100.0 | 174 | 100.0 | 308 | 100.0 |

TABLE LVIII

SEX AND OCCUPATIONAL CHOICE*

| | | | | | the second s | the second s | | |
|----------|----------|----|-----------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|------|
| L | . | 00 | 10 | · · · · · · · · · | 2 | | 0000 | 000 |
| ~ 7 | = · /L | XX | AT | - | n | | 1 H H H H | |
| · | | | u i | | • U' | ÷ . | CH MAL | ເບເດ |
| _ | | | | • | | | | |

TABLE LIX

RACE AND OCCUPATIONAL CHOICE*

| Occupational Choice | Negroes | % | Whites | % | Total | % |
|---------------------|---------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| Very Low | 3 | 5.5 | 28 | 11.0 | 31 | 10.1 |
| Low | 9 | 16,7 | 33 | 13,0 | 42 | 13.6 |
| Medium | 14 | 25,9 | 86 | 33.9 | 100 | 32.5 |
| High | 26 | 48.2 | 96 | 37.8 | 122 | 39.6 |
| Very High | 2 | 3,7 | 11 | 4.3 | 13 | 4,2 |
| Total | 54 | 100.0 | 254 | 100.0 | 308 | 100.0 |

'z = ,388, df = 1, p = ,6966

Place of residence and occupational choice of rural young adults were substantially related (H = 10.715, p<.01). Farm students (5.1%) had the greatest desire for very high occupations (country - 2.8%, town - 3.7%). Town children (43.4%) were more prone to elect high occupations than farm (38.0%) or country (25.0%) students. The data indicated that country respondents fancied very low (33.3%) and low (16.7%) occupations, while farm respondents liked medium (36.7%) occupations and high (38.0%) occupations (Table LX).

TABLE LX

| Occupational Choice | Farm | % | Country | % | Town | % | Total | % |
|------------------------|------|-------|---------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| Very Low | 8 | 10.1 | 12 | 33.3 | 11 | 5.8 | 31 | 10,1 |
| Low | 8 | 10.1 | 6 | 16.7 | 28 | 14.7 | 42 | 13.7 |
| Medium | 29 | 36.7 | 8 | 22.2 | 62 | 32.4 | 99 | 32,4 |
| High | 30 | 38.0 | 9 | 25.0 | 83 | 43.4 | 122 | 39.9 |
| Very High | 4 | 5.1 | 1 | 2.8 | 7 | 3,7 | 12 | 3.9 |
| Total | 79 | 100.0 | 36 | 100.0 | 191 | 100.0 | 306 | 100.0 |

PLACE OF RESIDENCE AND OCCUPATIONAL CHOICE*

^{*}H = 10.715, df = 2, p<.01

A significant association was determined between occupational aspirations and occupational choice of Logan County students (H = 107.440, p<.001). The trend was very clear; as occupational

aspirations increased occupational choice of individuals increased in prestige (Table LXI). Individuals with very high (42.9%) occupational aspirations chose very high occupations. Students with high (73.5%) occupational aspirations picked high occupations. Those with medium aspirations (73.5%) selected medium occupations. The pattern was consistent for each level of occupational aspirations.

TABLE LXI

| A 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 | | | | | | | 1.4 | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------|---|--------------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Occupationa Choice |] | Very High | % | High | % | Mediu | m % | Low and Very Low | % | Total | % |
| Very Low | | 1 | 3.5 | 8 | 5.9 | 12 | 11,7 | 9 | 24.3 | 30 | 9,9 |
| Low | | 3 | 10.7 | 8 | 5,9 | 4 | 4.0 | 26 | 70.3 | 41 | 13.5 |
| Medium | ÷ | 4 | 14.3 | 19 | 14.0 | 75 | 73.5 | 0 | 0,0 | 98 | 32.4 |
| High | | 8 | 28,6 | 100 | 73.5 | 11 | 10.8 | 2 | 5.4 | 121 | 39,9 |
| Very High | | 12 | 42.9 | 1 | .7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0,0 | 13 | 4,3 |
| Total | | 28 | 100.0 | 136 | 100.0 | 102 | 100.0 | 37 | 100.0 | 303 | 100,0 |

OCCUPATIONAL ASPIRATIONS AND OCCUPATIONAL CHOICE*

*H = 107.440, df = 3, p<.001

The effect of educational aspirations on occupational choice was substantial (H = 49.765, p<.001). Professional (9.2%) educational aspirations were associated with very high occupations. Students with college aspirations (52.8%) were inclined to choose a high prestige occupation. Individuals with vocational (43.5%) and business (70.4%) educational aspirations fancied medium occupations. Respondents who anticipated no further education after high school (47.0%) tended to prefer very low occupations (Table LXII).

An essential correlation between academic performance and occupational choice was revealed (H = 31.813, p<.001). As grades increased from F to A, the percentage for the higher occupations also increased. A-students chose very high (10.0%) and high (65.0%) occupations more than the other students. Individuals making B's preferred high (45.3%) and medium (30.7%) occupations, while C-students elected medium (40.0%) occupations. Respondents making D's and F's exhibited a desire for low (44.5%) and very low (33.3%) occupations (Table LXIII).

No meaningful relationship was implied between family income and occupational choice of Logan County students (H = 9.208, p<.30). The data in Table LXIV depicted no clear patterns. The total percentage for each level of occupational choice was: very low (9.8%), low (13.7%), medium (31.9%), high (40.0%), and very high (4.6%).

The influence of father's job on occupational choice of rural young adults was not significant (H = 4.778, p<.70). No trend was manifested by the data in Table LXV. It was interesting to note that respondents whose fathers had unskilled (10.0%) or machine operator (10.0%) occupations had the highest proportion of very high occupations. Less than half (45.3%) of the students selected high and very high occupations.

College preparation significantly affected occupational choice of rural students (H = 31.356, p<.001). The percentage of students selecting higher occupations increased as college preparation increased from low to high (Table LXVI). Students with high college preparation (6.2%)

TABLE LXII

EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS AND OCCUPATIONAL CHOICE*

| and the second sec | | | | | and the second second | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|-------|-------------------|-------|-----------------------|-------|---------|-------|-------------------|-------|------------|-------|
| Occupational Choice | High Schoo | 1 % | Busines School | s % | Vocationa School | 1 % | College | % | Profes- sional | % | Total | % |
| Very Low | 8 | 47.0 | 4 | 14.8 | 2 | 8.7 | 14 | 8.7 | 3 | 3.9 | 31 | 10.2 |
| Low | 2 | 11.8 | 3 | 11.1 | 9 | 39.1 | 16 | 9.9 | 11 | 14.5 | 41 | 13.5 |
| Medium | 6. | 35.3 | 19 | 70.4 | 10 | 43.5 | 40 | 24.8 | 23 | 30.3 | 9 8 | 32.2 |
| High | 1 | 5.9 | 1 | 3.7 | 2 | 8.7 | 85 | 52.8 | 32 | 42.1 | 121 | 39.8 |
| Very High | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 3.8 | 7 | 9.2 | 13 | 4.3 |
| Tota] | 17 | 100.0 | 27 | 100.0 | 23 | 100.0 | 161 | 100.0 | 76 | 100.0 | 304 | 100.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

*H = 49.765, df = 4, p<.001

TABLE LXIII

| | | A 1 A 1 A 1 A 1 A 1 A | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----|-----------------------|-----|-------|-----|-------|---------------|-------|------|-------|
| Occupational Choice | А | % | В | % | С | %. | D and F | % | Tota | % |
| Very Low | 2 | 5.0 | 11 | 8.0 | 15 | 12.5 | 3 | 33.3 | 31 | 10.1 |
| Low | 1 | 2.5 | 17 | 12.4 | 20 | 16.7 | 4 | 44.5 | 42 | 13.7 |
| Medium | 7 | 17.5 | 42 | 30,7 | 48 | 40.0 | 2 | 22.2 | 99 | 32,4 |
| High | 26 | 65.0 | 62 | 45.3 | 33 | 27.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 121 | 39.5 |
| Very High | 4 | 10.0 | 5 | 3,6 | 4 | 3.3 | 0 | 0,0 | 13 | 4.3 |
| Total | 40 | 100.0 | 137 | 100.0 | 120 | 100.0 | 9 | 100.0 | 306 | 100.0 |

ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE AND OCCUPATIONAL CHOICE*

*H = 31.813, df = 3, p<.001

TABLE LXIV

FAMILY INCOME AND OCCUPATIONAL CHOICE*

| Occupationa Choice | al 0 to 3000 | %) | 4000 | % | 500 | D % (| 500(|) % | 700(|) % | 8000 | D % | 900 | D % | 10,0 | 00 % | Tota | 1 % |
|-----------------------|--------------------|--------|------|------|-----|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|-----|-------|------|-------|------|-------|
| Very Low | 4 | 10.5 | 3 | 16.7 | 6 | 15.0 | 5 | 13.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 15.2 | 2 | 7.7 | 3 | 4.8 | 28 | 9.8 |
| Low | 5 | 13.2 | 3 | 16.7 | 4 | 10.0 | 4 | 11.1 | 5 | 16.1 | 4 | 12.1 | 4 | 15.4 | 10 | 15.9 | 39 | 13.7 |
| Medium | 16 | 42.1 | 9 | 50.0 |]] | 27.5 | 12 | 33.3 | 9 | 29.0 | 8 | 24.2 | 6 | 23.1 | 20 | 31.7 | 91 | 31.9 |
| High | 12 | 31.6 | 2 | 11.1 | 16 | 40.0 | 15 | 41.7 | 15 | 48.4 | 14 | 42.4 | 14 | 53.8 | 26 | 41.3 | 114 | 40.0 |
| Very High | 1 | 2.6 | 1 | 5.5 | 3 | 7.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 6.5 | 2 | 6.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 6.3 | 13 | 4.6 |
| Total | 38 | 100.0 | 181 | 00.0 | 40 | 100.0 | 36 | 100.0 | 31 | 100.0 | 33 | 100.0 | 26 | 100.0 | 63 | 100.0 | 285 | 100.0 |

*H = 9.208, df = 7, p<.30

TABLE LXV

FATHER'S JOB AND OCCUPATIONAL CHOICE*

| Occupa- tional Choice s | Un kil | – Ma led % (| achi Oper tor | ine ra- % S | kil | (led % Sa |)ffic and lesn | ce l % M nan | ⁻ arn Iana gen | n Bu a-% | usir Mar ge | ness na- % er | Tech- _% F nical s | rofe | es- % - al | Tota | 1 % |
|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------|-----|------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|------|---------------|------|-------|
| Very Low |] | 3.3 | 6 | 20.0 | 9 | 10.8 | 2 | 10.0 | 5 | 8.9 | 3 | 10.7 | 0 0.0 | 1 | 3.0 | 27 | 9.1 |
| Low | 5 | 16.7 | 4 | 13.3 |]] | 13.3 | 4 | 20.0 | 6 | 10.7 | 3 | 10.7 | 3 18.8 | 5 | 15.2 | 41 | 13.9 |
| Medium | 6 | 20.0 | 7 | 23.4 | 31 | 37.4 | 6 | 30.0 | 21 | 37.5 | 10 | 35.7 | 5 31.2 | 8 | 24.2 | 94 | 31.7 |
| High | 15 | 50.0 | 10 | 33.3 | 29 | 34.9 | * 8 | 40.0 | 23 | 41.1 | 11 | 39.3 | 8 50.0 | 17 | 51.5 | 121 | 40.9 |
| Very High | 3 | 10.0 | :3 | 10.0 | . 3 | 3,6 | 0 | 0.0 | 1. | 1.8 | 1 | 3.6 | 0.0.0 | 2 | 6.1 | 13 | 4.4 |
| Total | 30 | 100.0 | 30 | 100.0 | 83 | 100.0 | 20 | 100.0 | 56 | 100.0 | 28 | 100.0 | 16 100 0 | 33 | 100.0 | 296 | 100.0 |

*H = 4.778, df = 7, p<.70

TABLE LXVI

| Occupational Choice | Low | % | Medium | % | High | % | Total | % |
|------------------------|-----|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Very Low | 15 | 16.1 | 16 | 8.0 | 0 | 0,0 | 31 | 10.1 |
| Low | 22 | 23.7 | 18 | 9.1 | 2 | 12.5 | 42 | 13.6 |
| Medium | 37 | 39,8 | 58 | 29,2 | 5 | 31.3 | 100 | 32.5 |
| High | 17 | 18,3 | 97 | 48.7 | · 8 - | 50.0 | 122 | 39.6 |
| Very High | . 2 | 2.1 | 10 | 5.0 | 1. | 6.2 | 13 | 4.2 |
| Total | 93 | 100.0 | 199 | 100.0 | 16 | 100,0 | 308 | 100.0 |

COLLEGE PREPARATION AND OCCUPATIONAL CHOICE*

*H = 31.356, df = 2, p<,001

chose very high occupations more than the other respondents (low - 2.1%, medium - 5.0%). Low-prepared college individuals preferred very low (16.1%), low (23.7%), and medium (39.8%) occupations, while mediumprepared students selected medium (29.2%) and high (48.7%) occupations.

An essential effect of work experience on occupational choice of rural youth was identified (H = 7.943, p<.02). Individuals with manual work experience expressed the largest desire for high (45.3%) and very high (5.8%) occupations. Semiskilled and skilled work experience was associated with high (38.2%) and medium (38.2%) occupations. Students who had unskilled work experience tended to pick low (14.6%) and very low (11.4%) occupations more than other respondents. Only 44.1 per cent of the students stated they had decided on a high or very high occupation for their life's work (Table LXVII).

TABLE LXVII

| WORK | EXPERIENCE | AND OCCUPATIONAL | CHOICE* |
|------|------------|------------------|---------|
| | | | |

| Occupational Choice | Un- skille | ed [%] | Manual | % | Semiskilled and Skilled | % | Total | % |
|------------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Very Low | 11 | 11.4 |]] | 8.0 | 5 | 9.1 | 27 | 9.4 |
| Low | 14 | 14.6 | 19 | 13.9 | 7 | 12.7 | 40 | 13.9 |
| Medium | 36 | 37.5 | 37 | 27.0 | 21 | 38,2 | 94 | 32,6 |
| High | 33 | 34.4 | 62 | 45.3 | 21 | 38.2 | 116 | 40.3 |
| Very High | 2 | 2.1 | 8 | 5.8 | 1 | 1.8 | 11 | 3.8 |
| Total | 96 | 100.0 | 137 | 100.0 | 55 | 100.0 | 288 | 100.0 |

^{*}H = 7.943, df = 2, p<.02

Parental aspirations substantially influenced occupational choice of Logan County students (H = 74.404, p<.001). Generally, as the degree of parental aspirations increased, the proportion of higher occupations selected increased (Table LXVIII). Low and very low parental aspirations were related to very low (25.0%), low (40.6%), and medium (31.3%) occupations, while medium parental aspirations (64.3%) were associated with medium occupations. Students with high parental aspirations (72.3%) were more apt to fancy high occupations than any other individual. The results for respondents with very high parental aspirations were very interesting. Even though they had the highest selection of very high occupations (14.3%), 35.7 per cent of them preferred low occupations with 21.4 per cent choosing medium and 28.6 per cent picking high occupations.

PARENTAL ASPIRATIONS AND OCCUPATIONAL CHOICE*

| Occupational Choice | Very High | % | High | n % | Medium | ı % | Low and Very Low | % | Total | % |
|------------------------|--------------|-------|------|-------|--------|-------|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Very Low | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.2 | 7 | 10.0 | 8 | 25.0 | 17 | 8,3 |
| Low | 5 | 35,7 | 4 | 4,4 | 6 | 8.6 | 13 | 40.6 | 28 | 13.6 |
| Medium | 3 | 21.4 | 15 | 16.7 | 45 | 64.3 | 10 | 31.3 | 73 | 35.4 |
| High | .4 | 28.6 | 65 | 72.3 | 12 | 17.1 | 1 | 3.1 | 82 | 39.8 |
| Very High | 2 | 14.3 | 4 | 4.4 | 0 | 0.0 | . O | 0.0 | 6 | 2.9 |
| Total | 14 | 100.0 | 90 | 100.0 | 70 | 100,0 | 32 | 100,0 | 206 | 100.0 |

*H = 74.404, df = 3, p<.001

The number of courses liked and occupational choice of rural students were meaningfully correlated (H = 20.453, p<.01). The higher the number of courses liked, the greater the tendency to choose a higher status occupation. The pattern which resulted can be seen in Table LXIX. Nearly half (43.9%) of the students elected a high or very high occupation.

The relationship between the number of courses disliked and occupational choice was significant (H = 16.811, p<.01). Generally, as the number of courses disliked increased, the percentage of the students selecting higher status occupations decreased. The biggest exception to this general pattern was the fact that 50.0 per cent of the students who disliked 4, 5, 6, or 7 courses chose a higher prestige occupation. Approximately half (40.6%) of the respondents picked high and very high occupations (Table LXX).

| <u></u> | - | _ : <u></u> | | | | | | | · | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|-------|-------------|-----------------|--------------|-------|--------------|-------|--------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Occupa- tional Choice | 0-2 Courses | % | 3 Courses | % | 4 Course | es [%] | 5 Courses | 5 % | 6 Courses | s % | 7 Courses | % | Total | % |
| Very Low | 5 | 20.8 | 13 | 16.1 | 6 | 7.5 | 6 | 8.2 |] | 2.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 31 | 10.2 |
| Low | 3 | 12.5 | 18 | 22,2 | 11 | 13.8 | 8 | 11.0 | 2 | 5.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 42 | 13.8 |
| Medium | 7 | 29.2 | 24 | 29.6 | 31 | 38.7 | 21 | 28.8 | 12 | 31.6 | 3 | 33.3 | 98 | 32.1 |
| High | 9 | 37.5 | 25 | 30.9 | 29 | 36.2 | 33 | 45.2 | 19 | 50.0 | 6 | 66.7 | 121 | 39.6 |
| Very High | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.2 | 3 | 3.8 | 5 | 6.8 | 4 | 10.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 13 | 4.3 |
| Total | 24 | 100.0 | 81 | 100.0 | 80 | 100.0 | 73 | 100.0 | 38 | 100.0 | 9 | 100.0 | 305 | 100.0 |

TABLE LXIX COURSE LIKES AND OCCUPATIONAL CHOICE*

*H = 20.453, df = 5, p<.01

.

| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | <u>n</u> | | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------|-------------|-------|--------------|-------|--------------|-------|---------------------------------------|-------|-------|--|
| Occupational Choice | 0 Courses | % |] Course | % | 2 Courses | % | 3 Courses | % | 4-7 Courses | % | Total | |
| Very Low | 3 | 6.4 | 8 | 8.7 | 9 | 11.3 | 8 | 26.7 |] | 10.0 | 29 | |
| Low | 2 | 4.3 | 12 | 13.0 | 15 | 18.7 | 6 | 20.0 | 2 | 20.0 | 37 | |
| Medium | 19 | 40.4 | 27 | 29.3 | 30 | 37.5 | 10 | 33.3 | 2 | 20.0 | 88 | |
| High | 18 | 38.3 | 42 | 45.7 | 24 | 30.0 | 6 | 20.0 | 5 | 50.0 | 95 | |
| Very High | 5 | 10.6 | 3 | 3.3 | 2 | 2.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | |
| Total | 47 | 100.0 | 92 | 100.0 | 80 | 100.0 | 30 | 100.0 | 10 | 100.0 | 259 | |

TABLE LXX

COURSE DISLIKES AND OCCUPATIONAL CHOICE*

%...

11.1

14.3

34.0

36.7

3.9

100.0

*H = 16.811, df = 4, p<.01

No important association was disclosed between work attitudes and occupational choice of rural youth (H = 1,894, p<.70). The data in Table LXXI displayed no general pattern of influence. It was interesting to observe that 56.2 per cent of the students who wouldn't work, hated to work, or preferred not to work had chosen a high occupation. For each degree of work attitudes the highest occupational category preferred was the high status occupations (won't work, hate to work, and prefer not to work - 56.2%; don't mind to work - 41.8%; prefer to work - 39.3%; and happy to work - 35.8%).

TABLE LXXI

WORK ATTITUDES AND OCCUPATIONAL CHOICE*

| Occupa- tional Choice | Won Hate t Prefe not to Wor | t, co, er %, tk | Don' Mind to Work | t % | Prefe to Work | r % | Happy to Work | % | Tota | 1] % |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------|---------------------|--------|---------------------|-------|------|-------|
| Very Low | 0 | 0,0 | 12 | 9,8 | 8 | 14.3 | 10 | 9.2 | 30 | 9,9 |
| Low | 2 | 12,5 | 16 | 13.1 | 5 | 8.9 | 19 | 17.4 | 42 | 13.9 |
| Medium | 5 | 31.3 | 38 | 31.2 | 18 | 32.1 | 36 | 33.0 | 97 | 32.0 |
| High | 9 | 56.2 | 51 | 41,8 | 22 | 39.3 | 39 | 35.8 | 121 | 39,9 |
| Very High | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 4.1 | 3 | 5.4 | 5 | 4.6 | 13 | 4.3 |
| Total | 16 | 100.0 | 122 | 100.0 | 56 | 100.0 | 109 | 100.0 | 303 | 100,0 |

*H = 1.894, df = 3, p<.70

Father's education significantly affected occupational choice of Logan County students (H = 9.116, p<.05). Children of higher educated fathers tended to choose higher status occupations more than other students (Table LXXII). Students with post-graduate educated fathers were prone to select high (57.1%) and very high (4.8%) occupations, while children of college educated fathers fancied medium (26.8%) and high (51.2%) occupations. High school educated fathers' children had the highest selection of very high status occupations (5.6%). Respondents of high school and grade school educated fathers were inclined to spread their choice of occupation out among all the types of jobs.

TABLE LXXII

| FATHER'S EDUCATION AND | OCCUPATIONAL | CHOICE* |
|------------------------|--------------|---------|
|------------------------|--------------|---------|

| Occupa- tional Choice | Grad Schoo | e % | High Schoo | % | Colle | ege % | Pos Gradu | t- % ate | Tota | a] % |
|-----------------------------|---------------|-------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|--------------|-------------|------|-------|
| Very Low | 12 | 14.3 | 17 | 10.5 | 2 | 5.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 31 | 10.1 |
| Low | 14 | 16.7 | 19 | 11.7 | 6 | 14.6 | 3 | 14.3 | 42 | 13.6 |
| Medium | 31 | 36,9 | 53 | 32.7 | 11 | 26.8 | 5 | 23.8 | 100 | 32.5 |
| High | 25 | 29.8 | 64 | 39.5 | 21 | 51.2 | 12 | 57.1 | 122 | 39.6 |
| Very High | 2 | 2.3 | 9 | 5.6 |] | 2.4 |] | 4.8 | 13 | 4.2 |
| Total | 84 | 100,0 | 162 | 100.0 | 41 | 100,0 | 21 | 100.0 | 308 | 100.0 |

*H = 9,116, df = 3, p<.05

The degree of confidence which the respondent expressed in obtaining his post-high school plans substantially influenced the individual's occupational choice (H = 16.543, p<.001). Students who were very and somewhat doubtful of achieving their post-high school plans (4.8%) had the highest preference for very high occupations (Table LXXIII). Very confident individuals preferred high (48.9%) and medium (30.2%) occupations while fairly confident individuals were spread out in high (36.4%), medium (30.7%), low (16.1%), and very low (12.6%) occupations. The somewhat and very doubtful students mainly selected medium (61.9%) occupations and emphasized to a lesser degree low (19.0%) occupations.

TABLE LXXIII

| Occupational Choice | Very Sure | % | Fairly Sure | % | Somewhat and Very Doubtful | % | Tota] | % |
|------------------------|--------------|-------|----------------|-------|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Very Low | 9 | 6,5 | 18 | 12,6 | 2 | 9.5 | 29 | 9.6 |
| Low | 14 | 10,1 | 23 | 16.1 | 4 | 19.0 | 41 | 13,5 |
| Medium | 42 | 30.2 | 44 | 30,7 | 13 | 61.9 | 99 | 32.7 |
| High | 68 | 48,9 | 52 | 36.4 | 1 | 4.8 | 121 | 39.9 |
| Very High | 6 | 4.3 | 6 | 4,2 | 1 | 4,8 | 13 | 4.3 |
| Total | 139 | 100.0 | 143 | 100.0 | 21 | 100,0 | 303 | 100.0 |

CONFIDENCE AND OCCUPATIONAL CHOICE*

^{*}H = 16.543, df = 2, p<.001

The relationship between student's attitude toward his father's job and occupational choice was not significant (H = 5.472, p<.30). The data in Table LXXIV denoted no clear pattern. Respondents who were completely dissatisfied with their fathers' job (9.0%) had the highest selection for very high occupations (somewhat dissatisfied - 7.1%, accept it - 1.4%, fairly satisfied - 5.2%, and fully satisfied - 5.0%). There was no great variation in the results. Only 44.4 per cent of the respondents chose high and very high occupations.

The data on student's attitude toward his father's salary signified no important connection between it and occupational choice (H = 3.467, p<.50). Again, students who were completely dissatisfied with their fathers' salary (10.0%) had the greatest percentage for very high occupations (Table LXXV). The somewhat dissatisfied students preferred high (57.2%) and medium (25.0%) occupations while the accept it, fairly, and fully satisfied groups were spread out over all of the occupations. It was also interesting to note that 20.0 per cent of the completely dissatisfied individuals chose very low occupations.

The effect of father's attitude toward his job was not significant for occupational choice of rural youth (H = 2.064, p<.70). Table LXXVI identified no clear pattern of the results. Individuals who stated their fathers accepted their jobs were the most inclined to select a very high occupation (6.2%). No great deviation was revealed for each level of father's attitude toward his job when comparison was made for each category of occupations.

There was no meaningful relation between father's attitude toward his salary and occupational choice of Logan County students (H = 4.301, p<.50). Students of fathers who were completely dissatisfied with their

TABLE LXXIV

ATTITUDE TOWARD FATHER'S JOB AND OCCUPATIONAL CHOICE*

| Occupa- tional Choice | Completely Dis- satisfied | % | Somewhat Dis- satisfied | % | Accept It | % | Fairly Satisfied | % | Fully Satisfied | % | Total | %. |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|--------------|-------|---------------------|-------|--------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Very Low | 2 | 18.2 |] | 7.1 | 10 | 13.7 | 6 | 6.3 | 9 | 8.9 | 28 | 9.5 |
| Low | 3 | 27.3 | 2 | 14.3 | 10 | 13.7 | 9 | 9.4 | 16 | 15.8 | 40 | 13.6 |
| Medium | 3 | 27.3 | 7 | 50.0 | 24 | 32.9 | . 34. | 35.4 | 28 | 27.7 | 96 | 32.5 |
| High | 2 | 18,2 | 3 | 21.5 | 28 | 38.3 | 42 | 43.7 | 43 | 42.6 | 118 | 40.0 |
| Very High | 1 | 9.0 | 1 | 7.1 | <u> </u>] | 1.4 | 5 | 5.2 | 5 | 5.0 | 13 | 4.4 |
| Total | 11 | 100.0 | 14 | 100.0 | 73 | 100.0 | 96 | 100.0 | 101 | 100.0 | 295 | 100.0 |

 \star H = 5.472, df = 4, p<.30

TABLE LXXV

ATTITUDE TOWARD FATHER'S SALARY AND OCCUPATIONAL CHOICE*

| Occupa- tional Choice | Completel Dis- satisfied | У % | Somewhat Dis- satisfied | % | Accept It | % | Fairly Satisfied | d % | Fully Satisfied | % | Total | % |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|-------------------------------|-------|--------------|-------|---------------------|-------|--------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Very Low | 4 | 20.0 | 2 | 7.1 | 5 | 6.8 | 6 | 6.5 | 10 | 12.5 | 27 | 9.2 |
| Low | 3. | 15.0 | 3 | 10.7 | 10 | 13.7 | 12 | 12.9 | 13 | 16.3 | 41 | 13.9 |
| Medium | 5 | 25.0 | 7 | 25.0 | 26 | 35.6 | 32 | 34.4 | 25 | 31.2 | 95 | 32.3 |
| High | 6 | 30.0 | 16 | 57.2 | 31 | 42.5 | 37 | 39.7 | 28 | 35.0 | 118 | 40.1 |
| Very High | 2 | 10.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.4 | 6 | 6.5 | 4 | 5.0 | 13 | 4.5 |
| Total | 20 | 100.0 | 28 | 100.0 | 73 | 100.0 | 93 | 100.0 | 80 | 100.0 | 294 | 100.0 |

*H = 3.467, df = 4, p<.50

TABLE LXXVI

FATHER'S ATTITUDE TOWARD HIS JOB AND OCCUPATIONAL CHOICE*

| Occupa- tional Choice | Completely and Somewhat Dissatisfi | % ed | Accept It | % | Fairly Satis- fied | % | Fully Satis fied | - % | Tota | a] % |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------|--------------|-------|--------------------------|-------|------------------------|-------|------|-------|
| Very Low | 2 | 9.5 | 6 | 9.2 | 13 | 11.5 | 7 | 7,3 | 28 | 9.5 |
| Low | 4 | 19,0 | 11 | 16,9 |]] | 9.7 | 14 | 14.6 | 40 | 13,6 |
| Medium | 9 | 42.9 | 21 | 32,3 | 35 | 31.0 | 31 | 32,3 | 96 | 32.5 |
| High | 5 | 23,8 | 23 | 35.4 | 50 | 44.3 | 40 | 41.6 | 118 | 40,0 |
| Very High | 1 | 4.8 | 4 | 6.2 | 4 | 3.5 | 4 | 4.2 | 13 | 4.4 |
| Tota] | 21 | 100.0 | 65 | 100.0 | 113 | 100.0 | 96 | 100.0 | 295 | 100.0 |

^{*}H = 2.064, df = 3, p<.70

salaries were more apt to choose a very high (8.3%) or avery low (25.0%) status occupation than the other respondents. There was no great diversity demonstrated by the data in Table LXXVII. No clear pattern was disclosed by the results.

SUMMARY

This thesis was concerned with the effect of twenty variables on occupational choice of rural high school students (Appendix C). Out of the twenty variables, twelve indicated significant evidence to reject the null hypothesis of no difference. Those variables were: sex (z =4.88, p = .0000068), place of residence (H = 10.715, p<.01), occupational aspirations (H = 107.440, p<.001), educational aspirations

TABLE LXXVII

FATHER'S ATTITUDE TOWARD HIS SALARY AND OCCUPATIONAL CHOICE*

| Occupa- tional Choice | Complete Dis- satisfie | ely ed | % | Somewhat Dis- satisfied | % | Accept It | % | Fairly Satisfi | ed % | Fully Satisfied | % | Total | % |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|--------------|-------|-------------------|-------|--------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Very Low | 3 | 1 | 25.0 | 2 | 5.3 | 4 | 5.9 | 12 | 9.5 | 6 | 11.5 | 27 | 9.1 |
| Low | | | 8.3 | 8 | 21.0 | 7 | 10.3 | 15 | 11.9 | 10 | 19.2 | 41 | 13.9 |
| Medium | 4 | en de la composition de la composition de la composition de la composition de la composition de la composition de la com | 33.4 | 12 | 31.6 | 23 | 33.8 | 41 | 32.5 | 17 | 32.7 | 97 | 32.7 |
| High | 3 | | 25.0 | 15 | 39.5 | 33 | 48.5 | 50 | 39.7 | 17 | 32.7 | 118 | 39.9 |
| Very High | 1 | | 8.3 |] | 2.6 | 1 | 1.5 | 8 | 6.4 | 2 | 3,9 | 13 | 4.4 |
| Total | 12 | • | 100.0 | 38 | 100.0 | 68 | 100.0 | 126 | 100.0 | 52 | 100.0 | 296 | 100.0 |

*H = 4.301, df = 4, p<.50

(H = 49.765, p<.001), academic performance (H = 31.813, p<.001), college preparation (H = 31.356, p<.001), work experience (H = 7.943, p<.02), parental aspirations (H = 74.404, p<.001), course likes (H = 20.453, p<.01), course dislikes (H = 16.811, p<.01), father's education (H = 9.116, p<.05), and confidence (H = 16.543, p<.001). Looking at each significant variable separately, several conclusions were made. One, males preferred high and very high occupations while females were more inclined to choose medium and high occupations. Two, farm and town respondents were not greatly different in their choice of occupations, but they both were more prone to select a higher status occupation than country students. Three, high occupational aspirations were associated with choosing a high status occupation. Four, professional educational aspirations were related to choosing very high prestige occupations. Five, as grades increased from F to A, the percentage for the higher occupations also increased. Six, the percentage of students selecting higher occupations increased as college preparation increased from low to high. Seven, students with manual work experience were more inclined to select high and very high occupations than the other respondents. Eight, generally, as the degree of parental aspirations increased, the proportion of higher occupations chosen increased. Nine, the larger the number of courses liked, the greater the tendency for students to pick a higher status occupation. Ten, generally, as the number of courses disliked increased, the percentage of the individuals selecting higher prestige occupations decreased. Eleven, children of higher educated fathers tended to choose higher status occupations more than other students. Twelve, the higher the degree of confidence in achieving post-high school plans, the more likely the student preferred a higher status occupation.

CHAPTER VII

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Purpose of Study

Sociological theory doesn't contain a method for predicting potential migrators, nor does it incorporate a valid theory which can predict the educational aspirations or occupational choice of young people. The purpose of this research was to examine factors that influence potential migration, educational aspirations, and occupational choice of rural young adults. Specifically, this study sought to answer three questions. First, what are the characteristics of potential migrators from Logan County and the state of Oklahoma? Second, what factors influence the educational aspirations of rural youth? Third, what factors affect the occupational choice of rural high school students?

Methods and Procedures

The data used in this study came from a larger project, The Logan County Youth Study, conducted by Langston University in cooperation with Oklahoma State University. The Logan County Youth Study was a three-year project funded by the United States Department of Agriculture.

The population of this study consisted of all sophomore, junior, and senior students enrolled in the six public high schools in Logan

124

الدير بالمعاجر معكان

County during the 1967-68 academic year. Logan County was selected because it was primarily a rural county with a below-average income level population and a steady stream of out-migration since 1910. Also, the six high schools were small enough to allow this survey to be conducted. The tenth, eleventh, and twelfth grade students were selected because it was felt that since they were near high school graduation they would represent different stages of post-high school planning. Also, by studying the three grade levels, it would be possible to analyze the maturation process over a three-year period on the variables investigated.

The data was collected by a research instrument consisting of three questionnaires: One for each student, the father, and the mother. The combined three questionnaires comprised a total of three hundred fifty-four items. There was a total of three hundred twenty-two complete sets, i.e., student, mother, and father questionnaires which this thesis used. Also, questionnaires from Negro families where the father was alive and lived with the family but didn't return the questionnaire were used.

The student questionnaire was administered by the students' regular classroom teacher in each school according to a predetermined time schedule to all tenth, eleventh, and twelfth grade students attending school on the day the questionnaire was administered. The students were asked to bring the completed parental questionnaires back to school within one week. Each student was paid fifty cents for returning his parents' completed questionnaires.

The dependent variables in this thesis were migration from Logan County and the state of Oklahoma, educational aspirations, and

occupational choice. A total of twenty-five variables were used in this study, four being dependent and twenty-one independent.

The data from the Logan County Youth Study was on IBM cards and tapes. In order to acquire a feeling for the data, since the writer was not an initial member of the research team, the researcher went back to the original questionnaires to extract the information he desired. The statistical treatment of the data was classified as nonparametric. The Chi Square was used for the statistical analysis of the sixteen variables considered for both dependent migration variables and the twenty factors examined for educational aspirations. The degree of association for all significant Chi Squares was determined by a Contingency Coefficient C. The Mann-Whitney U test and the Kruskal-Wallis one-way analysis of variance ranks test was utilized for the statistical inquiry of the twenty variables speculated to affect occupational choice. For testing the significance of the hypothesis by the Chi Square, the Mann-Whitney U test, and the Kruskal-Wallis rank test, the value of the test required to reject the null hypothesis was assigned the .05 level.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Migration

Logan County

Sixteen variables were investigated for their influence on migration from Logan County. Out of the sixteen variables, only four (race, occupational aspirations, father's job, and confidence) significantly influenced migration from Logan County. The results of this study indicated one, that Negroes were more likely to migrate from Logan County than Whites; two, students with high and medium occupational aspirations were less inclined to stay in Logan County than students with very high or low and very low occupational aspirations; three, 42.9 per cent of the students with very high occupational aspirations planned to move from Logan County immediately after high school; four, children whose fathers' job was technical and professional or unskilled, machine operator, and skilled, were more prone to leave Logan County than the other students; and five, as the degree of confidence increased, the proportion of students planning to migrate from Logan County also increased. In other words, this research indicated that the potential migrators from Logan County would more likely be Negroes; students with high and medium occupational aspirations; students whose fathers' job was technical or professional and unskilled, machine operator, or skilled; and students with a high degree of confidence that they would succeed in their post-high school plans.

Taking an average for all sixteen variables, it was determined that 17.2 per cent of the respondents planned to stay permanently in Logan County with another 51.4 per cent planning to stay awhile and 31.4 per cent planning to leave Logan County immediately after high school. Combining the last two categories to obtain the total potential migration from Logan County, it was found that 82.8 per cent of the students planned on eventually leaving Logan County. This implied that Logan County would lose the majority of its young people and that its out-migration rate would continue to be high.

State of Oklahoma

This study examined the effect of sixteen variables on potential migration from the state of Oklahoma. Only four out of the sixteen variables substantially influenced migration from Oklahoma (race, place of residence, father's job, and father's education). The results of this thesis indicated that one, Negroes were definitely planning to migrate from Oklahoma more than Whites; two, as place of residence increased from farm to town, the percentage of potential migrators from Oklahoma increased; three, except for the technical and professional category, as the status of father's job increased, plans to stay in Oklahoma increased; four, students whose fathers had a post-graduate education were planning to move from Oklahoma more than any of the other students. In other words, this research indicated that the potential migrators from Oklahoma would more likely be Negroes, students who lived in towns, students whose fathers' job was technical or professional, and respondents of post-graduate educated fathers.

Taking an average for all sixteen variables, it was calculated that 41.0 per cent of the young people planned to stay in Oklahoma permanently with 48.0 per cent planning to stay awhile and 11.0 per cent planning to migrate from Oklahoma immediately upon finishing high school. The total potential migration from Oklahoma for this study was 59 per cent. It must be remembered that this data represented only students who lived in Logan County, and may not be representative of the whole state, but it suggested that Oklahoma may be losing over half of its rural young adults.

When examining the four variables that significantly affected migration from Logan County and the state of Oklahoma it was revealed

that race and father's job influenced both dependent variables. Negroes were not only going to leave Logan County, but they were also planning to migrate from Oklahoma more than Whites. Respondents whose fathers' job was technical or professional were more prone to be migrating from both Logan County and the state of Oklahoma than any other individuals. In other words, the potential migrators from both Logan County and Oklahoma would be Negroes and students of technical and professional fathers.

Educational Aspirations

Twenty variables were scrutinized for their influence on educational aspirations of rural young people. Seven of the twenty factors were found to significantly affect educational aspirations of rural high school students. These factors were occupational aspirations, academic performance, college preparation, parental aspirations, course likes, mother's education, and confidence. This study indicated that one, high occupational aspirations were associated with high educational aspirations; two, students who made A's had higher college and professional educational aspirations than students who made lower grades; three, high college preparation was associated with college and professional educational aspirations while low college preparation was not; four, high parental aspirations were related to college and professional educational aspirations; five, as the number of courses liked increased from 0 to 7 courses, the percentage of the students desiring college and professional educational aspirations increased; six, individuals with college or post-graduate educated mothers were more likely to express college and professional educational aspirations than the other

students; and seven, as students became more confident of accomplishing their post-high school plans their educational aspirations tended to increase.

Taking the average for all twenty variables, the total percentage for each level of educational aspirations was: high school - 5.7 per cent, business school - 9.6 per cent, vocational school - 8.1 per cent, college - 52.3 per cent, and professional - 24.3 per cent. The results indicated that these rural students had been sufficiently socialized to the white middle class attitudes toward higher education, by the fact that 76.6 per cent of the individuals desired a college or professional education. Although this study was concerned with the students' educational aspirations, not the students' expectations, it was very interesting to note that while there are manpower needs for technical and vocational trained individuals, over three-fourths of these rural youth wanted college and professional education. It may be stated that this data suggested that our priorities on education should be reconsidered on the basis of societal needs rather than whimsical, prestigious values.

The results found in this study were not always in agreement with previous research. One reason for this was the fact that this study was concerned with the students' educational aspirations which was defined as the education required for the occupation that the student wished he could be doing in the next ten years if he had the ability, money, and education. This was completely different from expectations, which was what the student expected to be doing when he considered his ability, money, and education he could obtain. Previous research has used expectations and called them aspirations, but they are two completely different concepts.

Occupational Choice

This study inquired into the effect of twenty variables on occupational choice of rural high school students. Twelve of the twenty variables substantially influenced the occupational choice of Logan County students. These factors were sex, place of residence, occupational aspirations, educational aspirations, academic performance, college preparation, work experience, parental aspirations, course likes, course dislikes, father's education, and confidence. Several conclusions were made by this research. One, males preferred high and very high occupations while females were more inclined to choose medium and high occupations. Two, farm and town respondents were not greatly different in their choice of occupations, but they both were more prone to select a higher status occupation than country students. Three, high occupational aspirations were associated with choosing a high prestige occupation. Four, professional educational aspirations were related to selecting very high status occupations. Five, as academic grades increased from F to A, the percentage aspiring for the higher occupations also increased. Six, the percentage of students selecting higher occupations increased as college preparation increased from low to high. Seven, students with manual work experience were more inclined to select high and very high occupations than the other respondents. Eight, generally, as the degree of parental aspirations increased, the proportion of higher occupations chosen increased. Nine, the larger the number of courses liked, the greater the tendency for students to pick a higher status occupation. Ten, generally, as the number of courses disliked increased, the percentage of the individuals selecting higher prestige occupations decreased. Eleven, children of higher

educated fathers tended to choose higher status occupations more than other students. Twelve, the higher the degree of confidence in achieving post-high school plans, the more likely the student preferred a high prestige occupation.

The findings in this study have provided a foundation for further research on the three areas of migration, educational aspirations and occupational choice of rural youth. Further research needs to be conducted on the interrelations between these variables and the effects of these interrelationships. These findings may be considered applicable to a larger population whose characteristics are similar to those of Logan County, Oklahoma.

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Bauder, Ward W. and Lee G. Burchinal. "Farm Migrants to the City." Iowa Agricultural and Home Economic Experiment Station Bul. No. 534. Ames, Iowa; 1965.
- Bell, Gerald D. "Processes in the Formation of Adolescents' Aspirations." Social Forces, 42 (December, 1963), 179-185.
- Blau, Peter M., John W. Gustad, Richard Jessor, Herbert Parnes, and Richard Wilcock. "Occupational Choice: A Conceptual Framework." Industrial and Labor Relations Review, 9 (July, 1956), 531-543.
- Blevins, Audie L. "Migration Rates in Twelve Southern Metropolitan Areas: A Push-Pull Analysis." <u>Social Science Quarterly</u>, 50 (September, 1969), 336-353.
- Bordua, David J. "Educational Aspirations and Parental Stress on College." Social Forces, 38 (March, 1960), 262-269.
- Bowles, Gladys K. "Migration Patterns of the Rural Farm Population, Thirteen Economic Regions of the United States, 1940-1950." Rural Sociology, 22 (1957), 1-11.
- Brown, James S., Harry Schwarzweller, and Joseph Mangalam. "Kentucky Mountain Migration and the Stem-Family: An American Variation on a Theme by LePlay." <u>Rural</u> <u>Sociology</u>, 28 (1963), 48-69.
- Brunkan, Richard J. "Perceived Parental Attitudes and Parental Identification in Relation to Field of Vocational Choice." Journal of Counseling Psychology, 12 (Spring, 1965), 39-47.
- Burchinal, Lee G. "Differences in Educational and Occupational Aspirations of Farm, Small-Town and City Boys." <u>Rural Sociology</u>, 26 (1961), 107-121.
- Burchinal, Lee G., Donald Kaldor, Eber Eldridge, and I. W. Arthur. "Education Affects Farm Boys' Career Plans." <u>Iowa Farm Science</u>, 17 (March, 1963), 17-19.
- Burchinal, Lee G. and Perry Jacobson. "Migration and Adjustments of Farm and Urban Families and Adolescents in Cedar Rapids, Iowa." Rural Sociology, 28 (1963), 364-378.

- Case, Herman M. "College as a Factor in Occupational Choice: A Study of Different Perceptions by Farm and Nonfarm Youth." <u>Journal of</u> <u>Educational Sociology</u>, 30 (December, 1956), 191-199.
- Cowhig, James D. "Early Occupational Status as Related to Education and Residence." <u>Rural Sociology</u>, 27 (1962), 18-27.
- Crawford, Charles O. "Family Factors in Migration Plans of Youth." Cornell University Agricultural Experiment Station Bul. No. 65. Ithaca, New York: 1964.
- Crawford, Charles O. "Family Attachment, Family Support for Migration Plans of Young People." <u>Rural Sociology</u>, 31 (1966), 293-300.
- Diehl, William D. "Farm Nonfarm Migration in the Southeast: A Costs - Returns Analysis." <u>Journal of Farm Economics</u>, 48 (1966), 1-11.
- Elder, Glen H., Jr. "Achievement Motivation and Intelligence in Occupational Mobility: A Longitudinal Analysis." <u>Sociometry</u>, 31 (December, 1968), 327-354.
- Ellis, Robert A. and W. Clayton Lane. "Structural Supports for Upward Mobility." <u>American Sociological Review</u>, 28 (October, 1963), 743-756.
- Empey, LaMar T. "Social Class and Occupational Aspiration: A Comparison of Absolute and Relative Measurement." <u>American Sociological</u> <u>Review</u>, 21 (1956), 703-709.
- Ginzberg, Eli, Sol W. Ginzburg, Sidney Axelrod, and John L. Herma. <u>Occupational Choice: An Approach to A General Theory</u>. New York: Columbia University Press, 1951.
- Gist, Noel P. and Carroll D. Clark. "Intelligence as a Selective Factor in Rural-Urban Migrations." <u>American Journal of Sociology</u>, 44 (July, 1938), 36-58.
- Gist, Noel P., C. T. Pihlblad, and Cecil L. Gregory. "Selective Aspects of Rural Migrations." <u>Rural Sociology</u>, 6 (1941), 3-15.
 - Grigg, Charles M. and Russell Middleton. "Community of Orientation and Occupational Aspirations of Ninth Grade Students." <u>Social Forces</u>, 38 (1960), 303-308.
 - Haller, Archie O. and William H. Sewell. "Farm Residence and Levels of Educational and Occupational Aspirations." <u>American Journal of</u> <u>Sociology</u>, 62 (January, 1957), 407-411.
 - Haller, Archie O. "Research Problems on the Occupational Achievement Levels of Farm-Reared People." <u>Rural Sociology</u>, 23 (1958), 355-362.
- Haller, Archie O. "The Occupational Achievement Process of Farm-Reared Youth in Urban-Industrial Society." <u>Rural Sociology</u>, 25 (1960), 331-333.
- Haller, Archie O. and William H. Sewell. "Occupational Choices of Wisconsin Farm Boys." <u>Rural Sociology</u>, 32 (1967), 37-55.
- Horner, James T., James G. Buterbaugh, and J. J. Carefoot. "Factors Relating to Occupational and Educational Decision-Making of Rural Youth." Department of Agricultural Education Report No. 1, Lincoln, Nebraska: University of Nebraska, 1967.
- Kahl, Joseph A. "Educational and Occupational Aspirations of Common Man's Boys." <u>Harvard Educational Review</u>, 23 (Summer, 1953), 186-203.
- Kandel, Denise B. and Gerald S. Lesser. "Parental and Peer Influences on Educational Plans of Adolescents." <u>American Sociological</u> <u>Review</u>, 34 (April, 1969), 213-223.
- Keil, E. Teresa, D. S. Riddell, and B. S. R. Green. "Youth and Work: Problems and Perspectives." <u>Sociological Review</u>, 14 (July, 1966), 117-137.
- Krauss, Irving. "Sources of Educational Aspirations Among Working-Class Youth." <u>American Sociological Review</u>, 29 (December, 1964), 867-879.
- Lipset, Seymour M. "Social Mobility and Urbanization." <u>Rural Soci</u>ology, 20 (1955), 220-228.
- Mauldin, W. P. "Selective Migration From Small Towns." <u>American</u> Sociological Review, 5 (October, 1940), 748-758.
 - McDill, Edward L. and James Coleman. "Family and Peer Influences in College Plans of High School Students." <u>Sociology of Education</u>, 38 (1965), 112-126.
 - Middleton, Russell and Charles M. Grigg. "Rural-Urban Differences in Aspirations." Rural Sociology, 24 (1959), 347-354.
 - Miller, Delbert C. <u>Handbook of Research Design and Social Measurement</u>. New York: David McKay Company, Inc., 1967.
 - Morland, J. K. "Educational and Occupational Aspirations of Mill and Town School Children in a Southern Community." <u>Social Forces</u>, 39 (1961), 169-175.
 - Musgrave, P. W. "Towards a Sociological Theory of Occupational Choice." <u>Sociological Review</u>, 15 (1967), 33-46.
 - Payne, Raymond. "Development of Occupational and Migration Expectations and Choices Among Urban, Small Town, and Rural Adolescent Boys." <u>Rural Sociology</u>, 21 (1956), 117-125.

- Pihlblad, C. T. and C. L. Gregory. "Selective Aspects of Migration Among Missouri High School Graduates." <u>American Sociological</u> Review, 19 (June, 1954), 314-324.
- Reed, Delores. "Level of Aspiration: Its Familial Determinants." (Unpub. Master's thesis, Oklahoma State University, 1969).
- Rehberg, Richard A. and David L. Westby. "Parental Encouragement, Occupation, Education and Family Size: Artifactual or Independent Determinants of Adolescent Educational Expectations." <u>Social</u> Forces, 45 (March, 1967), 362-374.
- Roe, Anne. "Early Determinants of Vocational Choice." Journal of Counseling Psychology, 4 (1957), 212-217.
- Sanford, Gilbert A. "Selective Migration in a Rural Alabama Community." American Sociological Review, 5 (October, 1940), 759-766.
- Schwarzweller, Harry K. "Family Ties, Migration, and Transitional Adjustment of Young Men from Eastern Kentucky." Kentucky Agricultural Experiment Station Bul. No. 69. Lexington, Kentucky: 1964.
- Scudder, Richard and C. A. Anderson. "Migration and Vertical Occupational Mobility." <u>American Sociological Review</u>, 19 (June, 1954), 329-340.
- Sewell, William H., D. G. Marshall, A. O. Haller, and W. A. DeHart. "Factors Associated with Attitude Toward High School Education in Rural Wisconsin." Rural Sociology, 18 (1953), 359-365.
- Sewell, William H., A. O. Haller, and Murray A. Straus. "Social Status and Educational Occupational Aspiration." <u>American Sociological Review</u>, 22 (February, 1957), 67-73.
- Sewell, William H. "Community of Residence and College Plans." <u>Ameri-</u> can Sociological Review, 29 (February, 1964), 24-38.
- Sewell, William H. and Alan M. Orenstein. "Community of Residence and Occupational Choice." <u>American Journal of Sociology</u>, 70 (March, 1965), 551-563.
- Sewell, William H. and Vimal P. Shah. "Socioeconomic Status, Intelligence, and the Attainment of Higher Education." <u>Sociology of</u> Education, 40 (Winter, 1967), 1-23.
- Sewell, William H. and Vimal P. Shah. "Social Class, Parental Encouragement, and Educational Aspirations." <u>American Journal of</u> Sociology, 73 (March, 1968), 559-572.
- Sewell, William H. and Vimal P. Shah. "Parents' Education and Children's Educational Aspirations and Achievements." <u>American</u> <u>Sociological Review</u>, 33 (April, 1968), 191-209.

- Sewell, William H., A. O. Haller, and Alejandro Portes. "The Educational and Early Occupational Attainment Process." <u>American</u> Sociological Review, 34 (February, 1969), 82-92.
- Siegel, Sidney. <u>Nonparametric Statistics</u> for the <u>Behavioral Sciences</u>. New York: <u>McGraw-Hill Book Company</u>, Inc., 1956.
- Simpson, Richard L. "Parental Influence, Anticipatory Socialization, and Social Mobility." <u>American Sociological Review</u>, 27 (August, 1962), 517-522.
- Slocum, W. L. "Occupational and Educational Plans of High School Seniors from Farm and Non-farm Homes." Washington Agricultural Experiment Station Bul. No. 564. Pulman, Washington: 1956.
- Slocum, W. L. "The Influence of Reference Group Values on Educational Aspirations of Rural High School Students." <u>Rural Sociology</u>, 32 (1967), 269-277.
- Stephenson, Richard M. "Mobility Orientation and Stratification of 1,000 Ninth Graders." <u>American Sociological Review</u>, 22 (April, 1957), 204-212.
- Straus, Murray A. "Societal Needs and Personal Characteristics in the Choice of Farm, Blue Collar, and White Collar Occupations by Farmers' Sons." <u>Rural Sociology</u>, 29 (1964),408-425.
- Stub, Holger R. "The Occupational Characteristics of Migrants to Duluth: A Retest of Rose's Hypothesis." <u>American Sociological</u> <u>Review</u>, 27 (1962), 87-90.
- Super, Donald E. "The Theory of Vocational Development." <u>American</u> <u>Psychologist</u>, 8 (1953), 185-190.
- Taeuber, Karl E. and Alma F. Taeuber. "The Changing Character of Negro Migration." <u>American Journal of Sociology</u>, 70 (1965), 429-441.
- Tarver, James D. "Predicting Migration." <u>Social Forces</u>, 39 (1961), 207-213.
- * Tarver, James D. "Interstate Migration Differentials." <u>American</u> Sociological Review, 28 (1963), 448-451.
- Tarver, James D. and William R. Gurley. "The Relationship of Selected Variables with County Net Migration Rates in the United States, 1950 to 1960." Rural Sociology, 30 (1965), 3-13.
 - Wilson, Paul B. and Roy C. Buck. "The Educational Ladder." <u>Rural</u> Sociology, 25 (1960), 404-413.

Youmans, E. G. "Social Factors in the Work Attitudes and Interests of Twelfth Grade Michigan Boys." Journal of Educational Sociology, 28 (1954), 35-48. Youmans, E. G. "Occupational Expectations of Twelfth Grade Michigan Boys." <u>Journal of Experimental Education</u>, 24 (1956), 259-271.

APPENDIX A

MIGRATION FROM LOGAN COUNTY AND OKLAHOMA

Variable Name

- 1. Sex
- 2. Race
- 3. Place of Residence
- 4. Occupational Aspirations
- 5. Educational Aspirations
- 6. Academic Performance
- 7. Time Lived in Logan County
- 8. Family Income
- 9. Father's Job
- 10. College Preparation
- 11. Occupational Choice
- 12. Work Experience
- 13. Parental Aspirations
- 14. Father's Education
- 15. Work Attitudes
- 16. Confidence

APPENDIX B

EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS

Variable Name

- 1. Sex
- 2. Race
- 3. Place of Residence
- 4. Occupational Aspirations
- 5. Academic Performance
- 6. Family Income
- 7. Father's Job
- 8. College Preparation
- 9. Work Experience
- 10. Parental Aspirations
- 11. Course Likes
- 12. Course Dislikes
- 13. Work Attitudes
- 14. Father's Education
- 15. Mother's Education
- 16. Confidence
- 17. Attitude Toward Father's Job
- 18. Attitude Toward Father's Salary
- 19. Father's Attitude Toward His Job
- 20. Father's Attitude Toward His Salary

APPENDIX C OCCUPATIONAL CHOICE Variable Name

- 1. Sex
- 2. Race
- 3. Place of Residence
- 4. Occupational Aspirations
- 5. Educational Aspirations
- 6. Academic Performance
- 7. Family Income
- 8. Father's Job
- 9. College Preparation
- 10. Work Experience
- 11. Parental Aspirations
- 12. Course Likes
- 13. Course Dislikes
- 14. Work Attitudes
- 15. Father's Education
- 16. Confidence
- 17. Attitude Toward Father's Job
- 18. Attitude Toward Father's Salary
- 19. Father's Attitude Toward His Job
- 20. Father's Attitude Toward His Salary

APPENDIX D

INDEX OF VARIABLES

| Variable Name | Questionnaire | Page | Item |
|----------------------------|---------------|------|-------|
| Migration Logan County | Student | 6 | 64 |
| Migration Oklahoma | Student | 6 | 65 |
| Occupational Choice | Student | 5 | 38-39 |
| Educational Aspirations | Student | 5 | 37 |
| Sex | Student | 1 | 6 |
| Race | Student | 1 | 9 |
| Place of Residence | Student | 1 | 10 |
| Occupational Aspirations | Student | 5 | 35-36 |
| Academic Performance | Student | 1 | 11-12 |
| Time Lived in Logan County | Student | 6 | 67-68 |
| Family Income | Father | 4 | 57-58 |
| | Mother | 4 | 57-58 |
| Father's Job | Father | 4 | 53-54 |
| | Mother | 3 | 53-54 |
| College Preparation | Student | 2 | 44-50 |
| Work Experience | Student | 6 | 57 |
| Parental Aspirations | Father | 2 | 33-34 |
| | Mother | 2 | 33-34 |
| Course Likes | Student | ļ | 20 |
| Çourse Dislikes | Student | 1 | 21 |
| Work Attitudes | Student | 6 | 59 |

| Variable Name | Questionnaire | Page | Item |
|----------------------------------------|---------------|------|-------|
| Father's Education | Student | 7 | 70-71 |
| | Father | 3 · | 51-52 |
| Mother's Education | Student | 7 | 68-69 |
| | Mother | 3 | 51-52 |
| Confidence | Student | 2 | 43 |
| Attitude Toward Father's Job | Student | 6 | 51 |
| Attitude Toward Father's Salary | Student | 6 | 52 |
| Father's Attitude Toward His Job | Student | 6 | 49 |
| Father's Attitude Toward His Salary | Student | 6 | 50 |

APPENDIX E

CAREER PLANS OF HIGH SCHOOL YOUTH LOGAN COUNTY YOUTH STUDY

1969

144

1. 1. <u>5</u>. . .

CAREER PLANS OF HIGH SCHOOL YOUTH

CD1 1-4 5

As a high school student you have been concerned about preparing for your career and your future. Your family is also interested in what you will do. The following questions seek to determine what you consider important in making plans for what you will do when you leave high school. This information will be used by a research group from Langston and Oklahoma State Universities to better assist educators and high school students in fulfilling their career plans.

Please answer each question as accurately and as promptly as possible. The information that you give will be used exclusively for research purposes and will not be connected with your name.

Thank you for your assistance in this research.

Logan County Youth Study

| | First Last |
|------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | STREET ADDRESS OR ROUTE |
| | City State Zip Code |
| | MOTHER OR STEPMOTHER_(Print) |
| | FATHER OR STEPFATHER (Print) |
| | HICH SCHOOLJUNIOR HIGH |
| 6 | SEX .1 Male2 Female |
| 7 | GRADE .1 10th2 11th3 12th |
| 8 | AGE LAST BIRTHDAY .1 152 163 174 185 over 18 |
| 9 | WHICH DESCRIBES YOU? .1 Indian2 Oriental3 Negro4 White |
| 10 | WHERE DO YOU LIVE? .1 On Farm2 Country, but not Farm .3 Town under 10004 Town of 1000-25005 Town over 2500 |
| 1-12 | MARK X ON THE GRADE NEAREST YOUR AVERAGE FOR THE LAST TWO SEMESTERS: .1 A .2 A3 B+ .4 B .5 B6 C+ .7 C .8 C9 D .10 F |
| 3-15 | IN WHAT SUBJECTS DO YOU GET THE BEST GRADES? .1 |
| | .24 |
| 6-18 | IN WHAT SUBJECTS DO YOU GET YOUR POOREST GRADES? .1 |
| | .24 |
| 19 | HOW MANY COURSES ARE YOU NOW TAKING? .1234567_ |
| 20 | HOW MANY OF THESE COURSES DO YOU LIKE AND DISLIKE? .1 Like 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 2 Dialika 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 |

-1-

IN GENERAL, HOW WOULD YOU RATE YOURSELF AS A STUDENT IN THE FOLLOWING AREAS? CD1 POOR FAIR AVERAGE GOOD EXCELLENT (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) 22 .1 Reading-----23 .2 Writing-----.3 Public Speaking-----24 25 .4 Use of Library-----26 .5 Preparation of Assignments-----27 .6 Taking Essay Tests-----28 .7 Taking Multiple Choice Tests-----29 .8 Extra Curricular Activities-----30 .9 Attendance-----31 .10 Athletics-----

32-34 CONSIDERING YOUR REAL ABILITIES AS A STUDENT, WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING BEST DESCRIBES THE HIGHEST TRAINING LEVEL (1) YOU ARE CAPABLE OF ATTAINING, (2) YOU PLAN TO ATTAIN, AND (3) YOU WOULD LIKE TO ATTAIN?

| and the second | CAPABLE OF | PLAN TO | WOULD LIKE |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|---------|------------|
| | ATTAINING | ATTAIN | TO ATTAIN |
| PH.D. or Profession | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Engineering Degree | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Teaching Certificate | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| College Degree | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Vocational School Certificate | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Business School | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Graduate from High School | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Get a Job | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Work on Farm | 9 | 9 | 9 |

35-36 PLEASE MARK ALL SOURCES OF INFORMATION YOU HAVE USED IN MAKING YOUR PLANS FOR THE FUTURE.

| .1 Mother | .7 Friends |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|
| .2 Father | .8 Books |
| .3 Teachers | .9 Magazines |
| .4 Counselor | .10 Television |
| .5 Minister | .11 Movies |
| .6 Other Adults | .12 Travel or |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Tours |

37-42 WHICH HELPFUL SOURCES OF INFORMATION HAVE BEEN MOST HELPFUL TO YOU?

| | .1 | 2 | .3 | .4 | .5 |
|----|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 43 | HOW SURE ARE YO Very Sure .1 | DU ABOUT SUCC Fairly S .2 | EEDING IN YOUR Sure So | POST HIGH SCHOOI mewhat Doubtful .3 | L PLANS? Very Doubtful .4 |
| | HOW MANY TIMES | HAVE YOU BEE | N ON A SCHOOL C | AMPUS? (MARK X TI | HROUGH ANSWER) |
| 44 | .1 College | 0 | 1 2 3 4 5 | 6 7 8 9 10 | or more |
| 45 | .2 Vocational | School O | 1 2 3 4 5 | 678910 | or more |
| 46 | HOW MANY COLLI YOUR HIGH SC | GE AND VOCAT | IONAL SCHOOL CO | URSE CATALOGUES | HAVE YOU EXAMINED IN |
| | .1 1 2 3 | 4567 | 8 9 10 or m | ore | |
| | .2 None Ava | ilable in Li | brary | • | ; |
| | .3 Never Hea | rd of a Scho | ol Course Catal | ogue | 6 |

-2-

| 47 | .1 Universities | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 50 |
|----|-----------------------|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|
| 48 | .2 Junior Colleges | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 50 |
| 49 | .3 Vocational Schools | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 50 |

50 INDICATE THE STEPS REQUIRED TO GET ADMITTED TO A DEGREE GRANTING VOCATIONAL SCHOOL, COLLEGE, OR UNIVERSITY.

| Apply by | Apply in | Send School | Pass Entrance | Pay | Be Graduate |
|----------|----------|-------------|---------------|------|----------------|
| Mail | Person | Records | Examinations | Fees | Of High School |
| .1 | • 2 | .3 | .4 | .5 | .6 |

51-60 LIST THE FAMILY MEMBERS WITH WHOM YOU LIVE.

| .1 | Mother | .6 Stepfather | |
|-----|------------|--------------------------|--|
| • 2 | Father | .7 Grandmother | |
| .3 | Sister(s) | .8 Grandfather | |
| .4 | Brother(s) | .9 Other Female Relative | |
| • 2 | Stepmother | .10 Other Male Relative | |

THE WORDS MOTHER AND FATHER IN QUESTIONS 27 - 49 REFER TO STEP-PARENT OR GUARDIAN IF APPROPRIATE.

.2 .4 .6 .8 .10 .12 .14 .14 .16 .18 .20 .22

61-64 PLEASE INDICATE THOSE ACTIVITIES IN THE FOLLOWING LIST WHICH YOU DO WITH YOUR MOTHER AND/OR YOUR FATHER. MOTHER FATHER

| | MOTHER |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Eat Meals at Home | .1 |
| Have Confidential Talks | .3 |
| Play Games | .5 |
| Social Events | .7 |
| Go to Movies | .9 |
| Church Activities | .11 |
| Watch Television | .13 |
| Do Housework | .15 |
| Do Yardwork | .17 |
| Do Chores | .19 |
| Help Parents in Occupation | .21 |
| | |

| | IN THE FOLLOWING KINDS OF PROB | LEMS, | HOW MUC | H HELP DO | YOU GET FROM | YOUR PARENTS? |
|-----|--------------------------------|-------|------------|-----------|--------------|---------------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| | HELP WITH MONEY PROBLEMS? | None | A ' | Average | Considerable | A Great |
| · · | | | Little | Amount | Amount | De al |
| 65 | .1 Mother | | | | | |
| 66 | .2 Father | | | | | |
| | HELP WITH PERSONAL PROBLEMS? | | | | | |
| 67 | .1 Mother | | | <u> </u> | | |
| 68 | .2 Father | | | | | |
| | HELP WITH SCHOOL PROBLEMS? | | | | | |
| 69 | .1 Mother | | | | | |
| 70 | .2 Father | | | | | <u></u> |
| | HELP IN MAKING DECISIONS? | | | | | |
| 71 | .1 Mother | | | | · | |
| 72 | .2 Father | | | | | |
| | HELP WHEN YOU ARE IN TROUBLE | ? | | | | |
| 73 | .1 Mother | | | | | |
| 74 | 2 Father | | | | | |
| | | | | | · | |

| | | | and the second second | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| en1 | UPI DEUT ADUTCE? | None | A Little | Considera | ble A Great |
| CD1 | RELIFUL ADVICE: | (1) | (2) | Amount | Dear |
| 75 | 1 M than | (1) | (2) | (5) | (4) |
| 15 | of Mother | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| 6. | .2 Father | | | | |
| | WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING BES | T DESCRIBES YOU | R LOVE FOR YOUR | PARENTS? | . • • • · · · · |
| | Weak N | lot Very Strong | Strong V | erv Strong | Unlimited |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 7 | .1 Mother | | | | |
| 8 | .2 Father | | a de la companya de l | · · · · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| . . . | | · | | | |
| | HOW MUCH LOVE DO YOU THINK | YOUR PARENTS H | AVE FOR YOU? | | and the second states |
| 9 | .1 Mother | | | | and the second second |
| 10 | .2 Father | | | | . |
| e 🕈 🖌 pres | | . . | | · • | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | | Poor Bel | ow Average | Good | Excellent |
| | | Aver | age | | |
| | HOW DO YOU RATE YOUR PAREN | TS?(1) (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 11 | 1 Mother | | | (12 | (-) |
| 12 | 2 Father | | | | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| 1.2.4 | HON WOLLD YOUR MOTHER BATE | | | · · · · | |
| 12 | 1 Herself as a mother | •• | | 1. A. | · . |
| 1.5 | 2 You as a shild | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| 14 | .2 IOU AS A CHIIG | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | | | and the second second | | |
| | 1 Udwaalf as a fether | 2 . | | | |
| 12 | .1 nimseir as a tather | | | | |
| 10 | .2 IOU AS A CALLG | | ار میده در از از مست | | |
| e de la composition de la comp | | - | and the second | 2 | |
| | HOW WOULD YOU RATE YOURSEI | т.: | a station and states and sta | · . | |
| 17 | .I As a child to your moth | ier | | | |
| 18 | .2 As a child to your fath | ier | | | |
| | NON OPPEN ADD VOID DADENDO | | 1 0 | | |
| | HOW OFTEN ARE TOUR PARENTS | | | | |
| | Never | Karely n | | | ways (E) |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 19 | .1 Mother | | | | . |
| 20 | .2 Father | | i and i a | ······ | · · · · |
| 1. | | | - | | |
| | AT HOME HOW OFTEN ARE YOU | IN A GOOD MOOD | TOWARD YOUR PARE | NTS? | and a second second |
| 21 | .1 Towards Mother | · | · | | |
| 22 | .2 Towards Father | | | | - |
| | | · · · | | | 1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1. |
| | IF YOU DO SOMETHING YOUR F | PARENT CONSIDERS | WRONG, HOW DOES | HE REACT? | |
| | Nc | | ÷ | | Very |
| | React | ion Mildly | Moderately | Strongly | Strongly |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 23 | .1 Mother | | · · · · | | |
| 24 | .2 Father | | | | |
| . : | | | | | - |
| | IF YOU DO SOMETHING SERIOU | JSLY WRONG, HOW | DOES YOUR PARENT | PUNISH YOU? | lation of a |
| | Do | | | Reduce | Slap or |
| ÷ . | Nothing | Sulk Scold | Restrict A | 11owance | Hít |
| | (1) | (2) (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| 25 | 1 Mother | | ~~/ | | (-) |
| 26 | 2 Father | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · | |
| | a a a lici | | <u></u> | | · |
| | | | a second a second s | | |

•

| CD2 | WHEN YOU | DO SOMETHI Critical | NG VERY WELL, Indiffere | HOW DOES Y | OUR PAREN | T REACT? plimentary | Enthu | siastic |
|-------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| 27 | 1 Mother | (+) | (2) | (3) | | (4) | () | 0 |
| 20 | 2 Father | | | | | | | 100 |
| 20 | .2 Facher | | | <u></u> | | | | - |
| | IF YOU DO | SOMETHING | VERY WELL, H | OW DOES YOU | R PARENT | REWARD YOU? | | |
| | | No | | | Gra | nt Gi | ft or | Caress |
| | | Reward | Compliment | Praise | Privi | leges M | oney | or Pat |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4 |) | (5) | (6) |
| 29 | .1 Mother | | | | | | | |
| 30 | .2 Father | | | | | | | // |
| 31 | HON OFTEN | DO VOU BE | HAVE AS | Never | Parala | Somotimon | Maat | 1 A1 |
| | VOID PAL | FNTS THIN | VOIL SUOIT D? | (1) | (2) | (3) | most (//) | Ly Always |
| | TOOK TH | ALITIO ININ | x 100 5000001 | (1) | (2) | (5) | (4) | (5) |
| | 112 | | | | | | | |
| | HOW OFTEN | DO YOUR P. | ARENTS BEHAVE | | | | | |
| 32 | AS THEY | SHOULD? | Mother | - | | | - | |
| 33 | | | Father | Company and the second | S | 22222 | | |
| 34 | HOW OFTEN | DO YOUR P. | ARENTS | | | A CONTRACTOR OF A | Statistics. | Contraction of the second |
| | AGREE OF | FAMILY P | ROBLEMS? | | | | | |
| | | and success | | | | | | |
| 35-36 | IF YOU HAI | D THE ABIL | ITY, EDUCATIO | N AND MONEY | , WHAT KI | ND OF WORK W | OULD YOU | REALLY |
| | LIKE TO | BE DOING | TEN YEARS FROM | M NOW? | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | - | | | |
| | | SPECIF | IC NAME OR TI | TLE OF JOB | I WOULD R | EALLY LIKE TO | O HAVE | |
| | | NICATION | NO YOU THINK | | | 1702 | | |
| 31 | 1 High | 2 8 | istness | 3 Vocationa | | College | 5 Pr | ofeestonal |
| | .1 nigh | •2 D | Silless | Sabaal | .4 | COLLEGE | | Cebeel |
| | School | 200 | SChool | School | | | | School |
| | 1 - 1765 mm | | | CONTRACTOR OF | | The sector | | |
| 38-39 | NOW CONSII EDUCATIO FROM NOW | DERING YOU DN, WHAT K C VER | R ACTUAL ABIL IND OF WORK D Y SPECIFIC - 1 | ITIES, GRAD O YOU ACTUA NAME THE JO | DES, FINANG LLY EXPECT DB. | CES, AND CHAN I TO BE DOING | NCES FOR G TEN YE | ARS |
| | | SPE | CIFIC NAME OR | TITLE OF J | OB I REAL | LY EXPECT TO | HAVE | |
| | | | | | NUT STATES OF REAL | and a second | CONTRACTO D | |
| 40 | HOW MUCH H | DUCATION | DO YOU THINK | YOU WILL NE | ED FOR TH | IS? | | |
| | .1 High | .2 B | usiness | 3 Vocationa | 1 .4 | 4 College | .5 Pr | ofessional |
| | School | 1 | School | School | | | | School |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | P DTD YOU | DECTOR ON TH | P TOP VOIL P | VDECT TO | LAVE? | | |
| 41-42 | 12 or Le | ess 13 | 14 15 16 1 | 7 18 19 | APEGI IU | | | |
| 13-14 | AT WHAT AC | E DO YOU | EXPECT TO MAR | RY? (MARK X | THROUGH | OUR ANSWER) | | |
| 43-44 | 16 or | 17 18 1 | 20 21 22 | 23 24 2 | 5 26 27 | Never | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 45 | HOW MUCH H | DUCATION | DO YOU THINK | THE PERSON | YOU MARRY | SHOULD HAVE | ? | |
| | .1 High | .2 | Business . | 3 Vocationa | 1 .4 | 4 College | .5 Pro | fessional |
| | School | | School | School | | | 5 | School |
| | | | | STOP TOTOLS | | | | |
| | | | | 10000 | | | | |

-5-

| CD2 | | Complete | ly Somev | what > Accept | Fairly Satisfied | Fully |
|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------|
| 46 | HOW DO YOU FEEL ABOUT YOUR CHOICE OF OCCUPATION? | (1) | (2 | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| | HOW DO YOUR PARENTS FEEL YOUR CHOICE OF OCCUPATION? | ABOUT | | ļ | | |
| - 47 | .1 Mother | | | | | |
| 48 | .2 Father | | | | • | ` |
| | HOW DOES YOUR FATHER FEEL A | BOUT | | | | |
| 5 - 1973 19 | HIS WORK AND SALARY? | | | | | |
| 49 | .1 Work | | | <u> </u> | | · |
| 50 | .2 Salary | | | | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | |
| | HOW DO YOU FEEL ABOUT YOUR FATHER'S WORK AND SALARY? | | | | | |
| 51 | .1 Work | | | | | |
| 52 | .2 Salary | | | | | |
| | HOW DOES YOUR MOTHER FEEL A | BOUT | | | | |
| 53 | 1 Work | | | | | |
| 54 | 2 Salary | | | | • •••••••• | |
| | . coarary | | | | • | <u> </u> |
| | IF MOTHER WORKS, HOW DOES S FEEL ABOUT HER WORK AND SA | HE LARY? | · . · . | | • | |
| 55 | .1 Work | | | | | |
| 56 | .2 Salary | | | | | |
| 57 | LIST THE TYPES OF WORK YOU | HAVE DONE FO | R PAY1 | | | · · · |
| · . | .2 .3 | | .4 Ne | ver worked fo | r DAV | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | - 8-7 | <u>میں ملامی</u> |
| 58 | LIST THE TYPES OF WORK FOR | WHICH YOU HA | VE SOME TRAI | NING1 | | |
| | .23_ | | .4 | | | |
| 59 | WHEN YOU WORK HOW DO YOU PE | FI. ABOUT THE | WORK YOU HA | VE TO DO? | | |
| | .1 Won't .2 Hate to .3 Pr | efernot . | 4 Don't mind | .5 Prefer t | o .6 Han | |
| | Work Work t | o Work | Work | Work | to No | rk |
| | | | | | | |
| | ······ | | | | | |
| 60 | HOW GOOD A WORKER ARE YOU? | | | | | |
| • | .1 Poor2 Below Aver | age3 | Average | 4 Good | .5 Exce | llent |
| 61-63 | WHAT DO YOU USUALLY DO WITH | YOUR SPARE | TIME? .1 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| · . | .2 | | .3 | | | · |
| | MY PLANS AFTER LEAVING HIGH | SCHOOL: .1 | Stay . manently | 2 Stay a Few Years Only | .3 Leave | el v |
| 64 | .l Staying in Logan County | | | 0.11 | | |
| 65 | .2 Staying in Oklahoma | | | | | - |
| | | | | | | • |
| 66-67 | HOW OLD WERE YOU WHEN YOUR | FAMILY CAME | TO LOGAN COU | INTY? 16 17 18 19 | | |

High School College Post-Graduate 58-69 .1 Mother 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 17 18 19 20 17 18 19 20 13 14 15 16 70-71 .2 Father 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 HOW MUCH MONEY DO YOU EXPECT YOU WOULD ACTUALLY BE ABLE TO EARN PER WEEK UNDER THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS? (1) (2) (3) (4) \$50 \$75 \$100 \$125 \$150 .1 Took permanent job before finishing high school 72 73 .2 Took permanent job after finishing high school .3 Completed Vocational School 74 .4 Completed college 75 CD3 6 PLEASE INDICATE THE ABILITY OF YOUR FAMILY TO HELP YOU ATTEND COLLEGE OR VOCATIONAL SCHOOL: .1 Unable to help _____ 2 Small Amount of Help ______.3 Could give considerable help ______.4 Could give whatever help is needed______. IN ORDER TO ATTEND COLLEGE OR VOCATIONAL SCHOOL, IF NECESSARY, I WOULD BE 7 WILLING TO WORK: .1 Part Time _____.2 Half Time _____.3 During Summer Vacation Only .4 At No Time HOW MUCH MONEY DO YOU THINK A STUDENT CAN EARN PER WEEK WHILE WORKING PART я TIME ON THE SCHOOL CAMPUS IF HE TAKES A FULL COURSE LOAD? \$10 \$20 \$30 \$40 Over \$40 HOW MUCH MONEY DO YOU THINK A STUDENT CAN EARN PER WEEK WITH A PART-TIME JOB ġ AT HOME OR PLACES OTHER THAN THE SCHOOL CAMPUS? .1 \$10-15 .2 \$15-20 .3 \$20-30 .4 \$30-40 .5 More than \$40 DO YOU THINK A STUDENT COULD BORROW MONEY IN ORDER TO GO TO COLLEGE? 10 .2 No_ .1 Yes IF YOU COULD BORROW MONEY FOR A COLLEGE OR VOCATIONAL SCHOOL EDUCATION HOW WOULD 11 YOU FEEL ABOUT BORROWING THE MONEY? .1 I would not borrow_ .2 Somewhat reluctant .3 I would borrow the amount needed WHICH MEALS DID YOU EAT YESTERDAY? 12 .1 Breakfast_ .2 Lunch .3 Dinner .4 Snacks

WHAT WAS THE HIGHEST YEAR OF SCHOOLING COMPLETED BY YOUR FATHER AND MOTHER?

(MARK AN X THROUGH YOUR ANSWER)

CD2

 13
 WHEN DID YOU EAT SNACKS YESTERDAY?

 .1 Morning______.2 Afternoon_____.3 Evening_____.5 None_____

MARK AN X TO SHOW WHETHER YOU LIKE OR DISLIKE THE FOLLOWING KINDS OF FOOD, AND ANOTHER X IF YOU ATE THAT FOOD FOR BREAKFAST TODAY, OR FOR LUNCH, DINNER, OR SNACKS YESTERDAY. IF YOU HAD ANY FOOD NOT LISTED WRITE IT IN THE BLANK AT THE END OF THE PROPER SECTION.

| | · · · | | | Breaktast | Lunch | Dinner | Snacks |
|----|--------------|------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | BEVERAGES | Like | Dislike | Today | Yesterday | Yesterday | Yesterday |
| 14 | Сосоа | | <u></u> | | · | | · <u></u> |
| 15 | Coffee | | | | | | |
| 16 | Fruit Juice | | | | | | |
| 17 | Soft Drinks | · | | | ····· | ····· | |
| 18 | Tea | | | | | | |
| 19 | Tomato Juice | | | ••••• | | | |
| 20 | Milk | | | | | | |
| 21 | | · | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

-7-

Snacks

CEREAL PRODUCTS Today Yesterday Yesterday Yesterday 22 Bread, Wheat Bread, White 23 24 Biscuits, Rolls 25 Cooked Cereal 26 Cornbread 27 Crackers, Chips, etc. 28 Dry Cereal Macaroni, 29 Spaghetti 30 Pancakes 31 Rice 32 DAIRY, MISCELLANEOUS FOODS 33 Butter Cheese 34 Cottage Cheese 35 Cream 36 37 Margarine Peanut Butter 38 39 FRUITS 40 Apples 41 Bananas 42 Grapefruit 43 Nuts Oranges 44 45 Peaches 46 Pears Pineapple 47 48 MEATS 49 Beef Bacon 50 51 Chicken 52 Eggs 53 Fish Ground Beef 54 55 Ham Lamb 56 57 Lunchmeat 58 Liver 59 Pork 60 Sausage 61 Vea1 62 Wieners 63 SWEETS Candy 64 65 Cake Cookies

Like

CD3

66 67

68

Donuts, Rolls

Ice Cream

Dislike

Breakfast

Lunch

Dinner

-8-

.

CD3

CD4

| | | | . | | Breakfast | Lunch | Dinner | Snacks |
|----|-------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| | 69 | Jelly and Ism | Like | Dislike | Today | Yesterday | Yesterday | Yesterday |
| | 70 | Pie | | | | | | |
| | 71 | Pudding | | | | | | |
| | 72 | Syrup | | | | ************ | | |
| | -73 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | VEG. | Boand | | | | | | |
| | 75 | Broccolf | | | August 100 | | | |
| 4 | 6 | Brussell Spro | its | - | | ter de man e artem | | |
| | 7 | Cabbage | | | | | | <u>-</u> |
| | 8 | Carrots | | | | | | ······ |
| | 9 | Celery | | | | | | ***** |
| | 10 | Corn, Hominy | ~~~~ | | | | | |
| | 12 | Green Beans | | | | | | |
| | 13 | Optons | | | | | ····· | |
| | 14 | Peas | | | | | | , |
| | 15 | Potatoes | | | | | | |
| | 16 | Spinach | | | | | | |
| | 17 | Squash | | | · | | ······ | |
| | 18 | Potatoes, Swee | et | | | | · | |
| | 20 | lomatoes | · | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | ······ |
| 21 | IND | ICATE SCHOOL CL .1 Biology .2 General So .3 Home Econo | SSES Wi | IERE YOU HAVI | E LEARNED AB .5 Physicl .6 Physica .7 Other | OUT NUTRII .ogy 11 Educatic | CION: | |
| | | .4 Hygiene | - | | .8 None | | | |
| 22 | HAVI | E YOU LEARNED A .1 4-H .2 FHA or .3 Boy Sco .4 Girl So .5 Other (| FFA outs (Specify | UTRITION IN A | ANY OF THE F | OLLOWING C | LUBS? | |
| | PLEAS | SE RATE YOUR HEA | LTH ON | THE FOLLOWIN | NG FACTORS: | | | |
| | 23 | HEIGHT .1 Tall_ | | Average | 3 Short_ | | | |
| | 24 | WEIGHT .1 Overv | weight_ | .2 About | right | . 3 Short_ | | |
| | 25 | EYES .1 Alway | vs Clear | .2 So | ometimes Irr | itated | .3 Often | Irritated |
| | 26 | SKIN .1 Alway | vs Clear | .2 Sor | netímes brok | en out | .3 Often | broken out_ |
| | 27 | APPETITE .1 Po | or | .2 Fair_ | .3 Go | ood | | |
| | 28 | HAIR .1 Shiny | · | .2 Average_ | .3 Du | .11 | | |
| • | 29 | OUTLOOK ON LIFE .4 Mostly happy | 1 Al | ways unhappy 5 Always haj | /2 Most ppy | ly unhappy | 3 Hal | f and Half |
| | | | | | | | | |

~9-

.

CD4 30 WOULD YOUR HEALTH BE BETTER IF YOUR FAMILY HAD MORE MONEY TO SPEND ON FOOD? .1 Yes_ .2 No____ 31 WHO DOES MOST OF THE COOKING? PLEASE RATE YOUR FAMILY ON THE FOLLOWING FACTORS: 32 HOW MANY MEALS DID THE ENTIRE FAMILY EAT TOGETHER YESTERDAY? .1_____.2_____.3_ .0___ 33 WHICH MEALS DOES THE ENTIRE FAMILY USUALLY EAT TOGETHER? .1 Breakfast_____.2 Lunch_____.3 Dinner____ 34 HOW DO YOU RATE THE COOKING AT HOME? .4 Excellent_ .1 Poor_____.2 Fair_____.3 Good__ 35 HOW ATTRACTIVE WAS THE EVENING MEAL LAST NIGHT? .1 Not very attractive _____.2 Fairly attractive _____.3 Very attractive 36 HOW MUCH DO YOU ENJOY MEALS AT HOME? .1 Not very much_____.2 Average_____.3 Very much_____ 37 WHAT IS THE FAMILY MOOD DURING MEALS? .1 Always strained .2 Mostly strained .3 Half and Half

-10-

.4 Mostly cheerful_____.5 Always cheerful_____

APPENDIX F

FATHER'S QUESTIONNAIRE

1-4 FATHER'S QUESTIONNAIRE (Please fill this out alone) 5 6-7 INDICATE THOSE ACTIVITIES WHICH YOU DO WITH YOUR CHILD: .1 Eat meals at home .7 Watch Television .2 Confidential talks .8 Do housework .3 Play Games .9 Do yardwork .4 Social Events .10 Do chores .5 Go to Movies .11 Child helps parents in parent's occupation

.6 Church attendance or other Activities

| ł | IOW MUCH HELP DO YOU GIVE | YOUR CHILD | WITH THE F | OLLOWING KINI Average | S OF PROBLEMS: Considerable | : A Great | |
|----|---------------------------|-------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| | | None (1) | Little (2) | Amount (3) | Amount (4) | Deal (5) | |
| 8 | WITH MONEY PROBLEMS | | | | | - | |
| 9 | WITH PERSONAL PROBLEMS | | | | · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| 10 | WITH SCHOOL PROBLEMS | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| 11 | IN MAKING DECISIONS | | | | | | - |
| 12 | WHEN IN TROUBLE | | | | | | |
| 13 | HELPFUL ADVICE | | | | | | |
| 14 | CHOICE OF CAREER | | | | | | |

WHICH ONE OF THE FOLLOWINC BEST DESCRIBES:

| | | (1) | Strong | (2) | Strong | |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------|------|------------------|-----------|---------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| 15 | YOUR LOUP FOR YOUR OUTLD | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 17 | FOR LOVE FOR FOR CHILD | | | · · · · · | 6/10/10-10-10 | |
| 16 | THE LOVE YOUR CHILD HAS FOR YOU | J | | | | |
| | | Poor | Below Average | Average | Good | Excellent |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 17 | IN GENERAL, HOW DO YOU RATE YOUR CHILD? | | | | ÷ | - |
| 18 | HOW DO YOU RATE YOURSELF AS A FATHER TO YOUR CHILD? | | | | | مرین میں ان |
| 19 | HOW WOULD YOUR CHILD RATE YOU AS A FATHER? | | | | | |
| 20 | RATE YOUR CHILD'S BEHAVIOR TOWA YOU AS A FATHER? | RD | | | - | |
| 21 | RATE YOUR CHILD'S SENSE OF RESPONSIBILITY? | | | | | |

Weak

Not Very

Strong

Very

Unlimited

CD3

-1-

| CD5 | | Never | Rarely | Half & | Usually | A1ways |
|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| 22 | HOW OFTEN IS YOUR CHILD IN A GOOD MOOD? | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 23 | HOW OFTEN ARE YOU IN A GOOD MOOD TOWARD YOUR CHILD? | | | · | · | - |
| 24 | HOW OFTEN DOES YOUR CHILD BE AS YOU THINK HE SHOULD? | HAVE | | | | |
| 25 | HOW OFTEN DO YOU BEHAVE AS Y CHILD THINKS YOU SHOULD? | OUR | | | <u></u> | |
| 26 | N Rea (WHEN YOUR CHILD DOES WRONG, HOW DO YOU REACT? | o ction Mildly 1) (2) | Moder (3) | ately S) | trongly (4) | Very Strongly (5) |
| 27 | IF YOUR CHILD DOES SOMETHING Do Show .1 Nothing2 Hurt3 Co | SERIOUSLY WR | ONG, WHAT I I old5 Pr | DO YOU DO? Restrict rivileges | Reduce | e Slap or nce7 Hit |
| 28 | WHEN YOUR CHILD DOES SOMETHIN .1 Critical2 Indifferent | NG VERY WELL, 3 Pleased | HOW DO YOU 4 Compl | U REACT? limentary | .5 Enthus: | iastically |
| 29 | WHEN YOUR CHILD DOES SOMETHIN .1 Do Nothing2 Complimen Money6 Caress or Pat | NG VERY WELL, t3 Praise | HOW DO YOU | U REWARD HI ease Privilo | M? eges5 (| Gift or |
| 30 | HOW DO YOU AND YOUR SPOUSE W .1 Always Disagree2 Usua .5 Always Agree | ORK TOGETHER (11y Disagree | ON FAMILY 1 . 3 Half | PROBLEMS? and Half | .4 Usual | ly Agree |
| 31-32 | AT WHAT AGE DO YOU EXPECT YO 16 or less 17 18 19 20 | UR CHILD TO MA 21 22 23 | ARRY? (MARI 24 25 26 | X X THROUGH 27 and Ab | ANSWER) ove Never | |
| 33-34 | WHAT WOULD YOU MOST LIKE YOU | R CHILD TO DO | AS A LIFE | WORK? | • | |
| 2 4 | | | | | | |
| 3 5 | HOW MUCH EDUCATION DO YOU TH High School .1 Business Professional School .5 | INK YOUR CHILL School .2 | O WILL NEE Vocational | D FOR THIS . 1 School .3 | JOB? College | e .4 |
| 36 | HOW MUCH EDUCATION DO YOU FE High School .1 Business Professional School .5 | EL THE PERSON s School .2 | YOUR CHILL Vocation | D MARRIES Si nal School | HOULD HAVE | ? lege .4 |

-2-

| CD | 5 | Completel Dissatisfie (1) | y Somewhat d Dissatisfied (2) | Accept It (3) | Fairly Satisfied (4) | Fully Satisfied (5) |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | HOW DO YOU FEEL | | | | | |
| | ABOUT YOUR WORK ANI | D SALARY? | | | | |
| 37 | .1 Work | | | | | |
| 38 | .2 Salary | | | | | |
| | HOW DOES YOUR WIFE YOUR WORK AND SALA | FEEL ABOUT ARY? | | • | | |
| 10 | 1 Vork | | | - | | |
| - 40 | 2 Salary | · | - | | | |
| . 40 | . L Durary | · | | | <u> </u> | |
| 41 | HOW DO YOU FEEL ABO FUTURE OCCUPATION | OUT YOUR CHILD' | S CHOICE OF | | | |
| | | . · | n.1: | | 01 | Dec. 11 |
| | | roor | Below | Average | 6000 | excertent |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 42 | HOW GOOD A WORKER | IS YOUR | (2) | (3) | | () |
| | CHILD? | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 43 | HOW WOULD YOU RATE | YOUR | | | | |
| 44 | HOW SURE ARE YOU TH | AT YOUR CHILD W | √ILL SUCCEED IN Fu13 Somew | HIS PLANS | AFTER HIGH | SCHOOL? |
| 44 | HOW SURE ARE YOU TH .1 No Confidence5 Absolutely Sure | AT YOUR CHILD T .2 Very Doubt | WILL SUCCEED IN Fu13 Somew | HIS PLANS | AFTER HIGH 114 Fa | SCHOOL? irly Sure |
| 44 5 - 46 | CHILD AS A STUDENT HOW SURE ARE YOU TH .1 No Confidence .5 Absolutely Sure WHAT DO YOU THINK Y HIGH SCHOOL? | AT YOUR CHILD G .2 Very Doubt: | WILL SUCCEED IN fu13 Somew BE DOING THE F | HIS PLANS hat Doubtfu | AFTER HIGH 114 Fa AFTER GRADU | A SCHOOL? Airly Sure |
| 44 5-46 | CHILD AS A STUDENT HOW SURE ARE YOU TH .1 No Confidence .5 Absolutely Sure WHAT DO YOU THINK Y HIGH SCHOOL? .1 Unskilled Labor | AT YOUR CHILD G .2 Very Doubt: | WILL SUCCEED IN fu13 Somew BE DOING THE F .7 Semi | HIS PLANS hat Doubtfr IRST YEAR A | AFTER HIGH 114 Fa AFTER GRADU | A SCHOOL? Airly Sure |
| 44 5 - 46 | CHILD AS A STUDENT HOW SURE ARE YOU TH .1 No Confidence 5 Absolutely Sure WHAT DO YOU THINK Y HIGH SCHOOL? .1 Unskilled Labor .2 Semi-Skilled Lab | AAT YOUR CHILD W .2 Very Doubt: | WILL SUCCEED IN ful3 Somew BE DOING THE F .7 Semi .8 Prof | HIS PLANS hat Doubtfr IRST YEAR A -Profession essional | AFTER HIGH | A SCHOOL? Airly Sure |
| 44 5 - 46 | CHILD AS A STUDENT HOW SURE ARE YOU TH .1 No Confidence 5 Absolutely Sure WHAT DO YOU THINK Y HIGH SCHOOL? .1 Unskilled Labor .2 Semi-Skilled Lab or Farm Worker 2 Stulled worker | AAT YOUR CHILD W .2 Very Doubt: COUR CHILD WILL | HILL SUCCEED IN ful3 Somew BE DOING THE F .7 Semi .8 Prof .9 Busi | HIS PLANS hat Doubtfr IRST YEAR A -Profession essional ness School | AFTER HIGH | A SCHOOL? Airly Sure |
| 44 5 - 46 | CHILD AS A STUDENT HOW SURE ARE YOU TH .1 No Confidence 5 Absolutely Sure WHAT DO YOU THINK Y HIGH SCHOOL? .1 Unskilled Labor .2 Semi-Skilled Lab or Farm Worker .3 Skilled worker of 6 Clarical or Sel | AAT YOUR CHILD G .2 Very Doubt: COUR CHILD WILL | HILL SUCCEED IN ful3 Somew BE DOING THE F .7 Semi .8 Prof .9 Busi .10 Voca 11 Juni | HIS PLANS hat Doubtfr IRST YEAR A -Profession essional ness Schoo tional Schoo or College | AFTER HIGH | A SCHOOL? Airly Sure |
| 44 5 - 46 | CHILD AS A STUDENT HOW SURE ARE YOU TH .1 No Confidence 5 Absolutely Sure WHAT DO YOU THINK Y HIGH SCHOOL? .1 Unskilled Labor .2 Semi-Skilled Lab or Farm Worker .3 Skilled worker of .4 Clerical or Sale 5 Small business of | AT YOUR CHILD G .2 Very Doubt: COUR CHILD WILL For or foreman | BE DOING THE F .7 Semi .8 Prof .9 Busi .10 Voca .11 Juni .12 Coll | HIS PLANS hat Doubtfr IRST YEAR A -Profession essional ness Schoo tional Scho or College | AFTER HIGH | A SCHOOL? Airly Sure |
| 44 5-46 | CHILD AS A STUDENT HOW SURE ARE YOU TH .1 No Confidence 5 Absolutely Sure WHAT DO YOU THINK Y HIGH SCHOOL? .1 Unskilled Labor .2 Semi-Skilled Lab or Farm Worker .3 Skilled worker of .4 Clerical or Sale .5 Small business of .6 Farm owner or of | AT YOUR CHILD V .2 Very Doubt: COUR CHILD WILL For or foreman bowner perator | BE DOING THE F .7 Semi .8 Prof .9 Busi .10 Voca .11 Juni .12 Coll | HIS PLANS hat Doubtfr IRST YEAR A -Profession essional ness Schoo tional Scho or College ege | AFTER HIGH | A SCHOOL? Airly Sure |
| 44 5-46 | CHILD AS A STUDENT HOW SURE ARE YOU TH 1 No Confidence 5 Absolutely Sure WHAT DO YOU THINK Y HIGH SCHOOL? 1 Unskilled Labor 2 Semi-Skilled Labor 3 Skilled worker 3 Skilled worker of the second se | AT YOUR CHILD V .2 Very Doubt: COUR CHILD WILL For or foreman perator | BE DOING THE F .7 Semi .8 Prof .9 Busi .10 Voca .11 Juni .12 Coll | HIS PLANS hat Doubtfr IRST YEAR A -Profession essional ness Schoo tional Scho or College ege | AFTER HIGH 14 Fa AFTER GRADU 1 1 | A SCHOOL? Airly Sure |
| 44 5-46 | CHILD AS A STUDENT HOW SURE ARE YOU TH 1 No Confidence 5 Absolutely Sure WHAT DO YOU THINK Y HIGH SCHOOL? 1 Unskilled Labor 2 Semi-Skilled Labor 3 Skilled worker of A Clerical or Sale 5 Small business of 6 Farm owner or op | AAT YOUR CHILD WILL 2 Very Doubt: COUR CHILD WILL OOR or foreman perator VES SCHOOL WHAT | BE DOING THE F .7 Semi .8 Prof .9 Busi .10 Voca .11 Juni .12 Coll | HIS PLANS hat Doubtfr IRST YEAR A -Profession essional ness Schoo tional Schoo or College ege FOR STAYI | AFTER HIGH 14 Fa AFTER GRADU nal 1 1 NG: | A SCHOOL? Airly Sure |
| 44 5-46 A | CHILD AS A STUDENT HOW SURE ARE YOU TH 1 No Confidence 5 Absolutely Sure WHAT DO YOU THINK Y HIGH SCHOOL? 1 Unskilled Labor 2 Semi-Skilled Labor 3 Skilled worker 3 Skilled worker of 4 Clerical or Sale 5 Small business of 6 Farm owner or op | AAT YOUR CHILD M .2 Very Doubt: COUR CHILD WILL FOR CHILD WILL FOR Our foreman Downer perator VES SCHOOL WHAT Leave | BE DOING THE F .7 Semi .8 Prof .9 Busi .10 Voca .11 Juni .12 Coll ARE YOUR PLANS Leave if the | HIS PLANS hat Doubtfr IRST YEAR A -Profession essional ness Schoo tional Schoo or College ege FOR STAYI | AFTER HIGH 1 4 Fa AFTER GRADU nal 1 1 NG: ay a Few | SCHOOL? dirly Sure ATION FROM |
| 44 5-46 A | CHILD AS A STUDENT HOW SURE ARE YOU TH .1 No Confidence 5 Absolutely Sure WHAT DO YOU THINK Y HIGH SCHOOL? .1 Unskilled Labor .2 Semi-Skilled Labor .3 Skilled worker .3 Skilled worker .4 Clerical or Sale .5 Small business of .6 Farm owner or op FTER YOUR CHILD LEAN | AT YOUR CHILD M .2 Very Doubt: .2 Very Doubt: | BE DOING THE F .7 Semi .8 Prof .9 Busi .10 Voca .11 Juni .12 Coll ARE YOUR PLANS Leave if the Opportunity Ar | HIS PLANS hat Doubtfr IRST YEAR A -Profession essional ness Schoo tional Schoo or College ege FOR STAYI ises Mo | AFTER HIGH 1 4 Fa AFTER GRADU nal 1 1 NG: ay a Few re Years | SCHOOL? dirly Sure ATION FROM Stay Permanently |
| 44 5-46 A 47 | CHILD AS A STUDENT HOW SURE ARE YOU TH .1 No Confidence 5 Absolutely Sure WHAT DO YOU THINK Y HIGH SCHOOL? .1 Unskilled Labor .2 Semi-Skilled Labor or Farm Worker .3 Skilled worker of .4 Clerical or Sale .5 Small business of .6 Farm owner or op FTER YOUR CHILD LEAN IN LOGAN COUNTY | AT YOUR CHILD M .2 Very Doubt: COUR CHILD WILL FOR CHILD WILL FOR Our foreman owner perator VES SCHOOL WHAT Leave Immediately .1 | BE DOING THE F .7 Semi .8 Prof .9 Busi .10 Voca .11 Juni .12 Coll ARE YOUR PLANS Leave if the Opportunity Ar .2 | HIS PLANS hat Doubtfr IRST YEAR A -Profession essional ness Schoo tional Scho or College ege FOR STAYI ises Mo | AFTER HIGH 1 4 Fa AFTER GRADU nal 1 1 NG: ay a Few re Years 3 | SCHOOL? dirly Sure ATION FROM Stay Permanently .4 |
| 44 5-46 A 47 48 | CHILD AS A STUDENT HOW SURE ARE YOU TH .1 No Confidence .5 Absolutely Sure WHAT DO YOU THINK Y HIGH SCHOOL? .1 Unskilled Labor .2 Semi-Skilled Labor .2 Semi-Skilled Labor .3 Skilled worker .3 Skilled worker .3 Skilled worker .4 Clerical or Sale .5 Small business of .6 Farm owner or of FTER YOUR CHILD LEAV IN LOGAN COUNTY IN OKLAHOMA | AAT YOUR CHILD M 2 Very Doubt 2 Very Doubt | WILL SUCCEED IN ful3 Somew BE DOING THE F .7 Semil .8 Prof .9 Busi .10 Voca .11 Juni .12 Coll ARE YOUR PLANS Leave if the Opportunity Ar .2 | HIS PLANS hat Doubtfr IRST YEAR A -Profession essional ness Schoo tional Schoo or College ege FOR STAYI St. ises Mo | AFTER HIGH 14 Fa AFTER GRADU nal 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 3 3 | SCHOOL? dirly Sure WATION FROM Permanently .4 |
| 44 5-46 47 48 9-50 | CHILD AS A STUDENT HOW SURE ARE YOU TH .1 No Confidence .5 Absolutely Sure WHAT DO YOU THINK Y HIGH SCHOOL? .1 Unskilled Labor .2 Semi-Skilled Lab or Farm Worker .3 Skilled worker of .4 Clerical or Sale .5 Small business of .6 Farm owner or of FTER YOUR CHILD LEAN IN LOGAN COUNTY IN OKLAHOMA IN WHAT YEAR DID YO | AAT YOUR CHILD M .2 Very Doubt: .2 Very Doubt: COUR CHILD WILL Dor or foreman or foreman or perator VES SCHOOL WHAT Leave Immediately .1 DU COME TO LOGAN | AILL SUCCEED IN ful3 Someway BE DOING THE F .7 Semi .8 Prof .9 Busi .10 Voca .11 Juni .12 Coll ARE YOUR PLANS Leave if the Opportunity Ar .2 .2 N COUNTY? | HIS PLANS hat Doubtfr IRST YEAR A -Profession essional ness Schoo tional Schoo or College ege FOR STAYII ises Mo | AFTER HIGH 1 4 Fa AFTER GRADU nal 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 3 3 | Stay Permanently .4 |
| 44 5-46 47 48 9-50 | CHILD AS A STUDENT HOW SURE ARE YOU TH .1 No Confidence .5 Absolutely Sure WHAT DO YOU THINK Y HIGH SCHOOL? .1 Unskilled Labor .2 Semi-Skilled Lab or Farm Worker .3 Skilled worker c .4 Clerical or Sale .5 Small business c .6 Farm owner or op FTER YOUR CHILD LEAN IN LOGAN COUNTY IN OKLAHOMA IN WHAT YEAR DID YO I was born here | AAT YOUR CHILD M .2 Very Doubt: .2 Very Doubt: COUR CHILD WILL Dor or foreman or foreman perator VES SCHOOL WHAT Leave Immediately .1 DU COME TO LOGAN | AILL SUCCEED IN ful3 Someway BE DOING THE F 3 Prof 9 Busi 10 Voca 11 Juni 12 Coll ARE YOUR PLANS Leave if the Opportunity Ar N COUNTY? | HIS PLANS hat Doubtfr IRST YEAR A -Profession essional ness Schoo tional Schoo or College ege FOR STAYII ises Mon | AFTER HIGH 1 4 Fa AFTER GRADU nal 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | Stay Permanently .4 |
| 44 5-46 47 48 9-50 | CHILD AS A STUDENT HOW SURE ARE YOU TH .1 No Confidence .5 Absolutely Sure WHAT DO YOU THINK Y HIGH SCHOOL? .1 Unskilled Labor .2 Semi-Skilled Lab or Farm Worker .3 Skilled worker of .4 Clerical or Sale .5 Small business of .6 Farm owner or of FTER YOUR CHILD LEAN IN LOGAN COUNTY IN OKLAHOMA IN WHAT YEAR DID YO I was born here | AAT YOUR CHILD M .2 Very Doubt: .2 Very Doubt: COUR CHILD WILL Dor or foreman or foreman or or or or or or or or or or or or or or or or or or or or or or or or or or or or or OUR CHILD WILL or or OUR CHILD WILL OUR CHILD ON CHILD WILL OUR CHILD ON CHIL | AILL SUCCEED IN ful 3 Someway BE DOING THE F 7 Semine 8 Prof 9 Busine 10 Voca 11 Junine 12 Coll ARE YOUR PLANS Leave if the Opportunity Ar N COUNTY? DUING COMPLETED | HIS PLANS hat Doubtfr IRST YEAR A -Profession essional ness Schoo tional Schoo or College ege FOR STAYII ises Mo | AFTER HIGH 1 4 Fa AFTER GRADU nal 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | Stay Permanently .4 |

-3-

.10 Other Specify 55 PLEASE INDICATE THE MAIN SOURCE OF INCOME FOR YOUR FAMILY: .1 Welfare (AFDC, Disability, Old Age)____.5 Farm Livestock .6 Fees and Commission .7 Business Profits .3 Salary or Wages .4 Farm Crops 56 PLEASE INDICATE YOUR CONDITION OF EMPLOYMENT FOR 1967: .1 Was not seeking employment_____.4 Employed about 6 months .2 Unemployed .5 Employed about 9 months3 Employed irregulary .6 Full-time employment 57-58 PLEASE INDICATE YOUR TOTAL FAMILY INCOME EITHER BY YEAR (COLUMN 1) OR BY MONTH (COLUMN 2) OR BY WEEK (COLUMN 3):

| Less than \$1000 Less than \$ 80 Less than \$ 20 About 1000 About 80 About 20 2000 170 40 40 3000 250 60 | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| About 1000 About 80 About 20 2000 170 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 | |
| 2000 170 40 3000 250 60 | |
| 3000 250 60 | |
| 3 000 | |
| 4000 330 80 | |
| 5000 420 100 | |
| 6000 500 115_ | |
| 7000 580 130 | |
| 8000 670 150_ | |
| 9000 750 170 | |
| 10000 830 190 | |

59 PLEASE INDICATE HOW YOU FEEL ABOUT YOUR FAMILY INCOME: .1 Not nearly enough _____.2 Just enough to get along_____.3 Sufficient____ .4 Ample, allows savings

60 IF PART OF THE FAMILY'S INCOME IS DERIVED FROM A FARM, INDICATE WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING APPLIES: FAMILY HEAD: .1 Owns, operates farm .2 Is farm tenant_____.3 Works on farm for wages_

61 PLEASE INDICATE THE ABILITY OF THE FAMILY TO HELP THE SON OR DAUGHTER ATTEND VOCATIONAL SCHOOL OR COLLEGE AFTER FINISHING HIGH SCHOOL: .2 Give small amount of help_____.3 Give considerable .1 Unable to help____ help____ .4 Give whatever help needed_

-4-

THANK YOU AGAIN FOR YOUR ASSISTANCE IN THIS RESEARCH.

53-54 PLEASE INDICATE THE OCCUPATION OF THE HEAD OF YOUR HOUSEHOLD: .1 Unskilled (odd jobs, common labor)__.6 Farm Manager

CD5

.5 Salesman

.2 Pension

YOUR INITIALS:

APPENDIX G

MOTHER'S QUESTIONNAIRE

CAREER PLANS OF HIGH SCHOOL YOUTH

| 4 MC | OTHER'S | OUESTIONNAIRE | (Please | fill | this | out | alone. |) |
|------|---------|---------------|---------|------|------|-----|--------|---|
|------|---------|---------------|---------|------|------|-----|--------|---|

1-4 5 6-7

CD6

INDICATE THOSE ACTIVITIES WHICH YOU DO WITH YOUR CHILD.

| INDIGHTE INCOL NOILYIIIGO WHICH | TOO DO WITH TOOK ONTED. |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
| .1 Eat meals at home | .7 Watch television |
| .2 Confidential talks | .8 Do housework |
| .3 Play games | .9 Do yardwork |
| .4 Social events | .10 Do chores |
| .5 Go to movies | .11 Child helps parents |
| .6 Church attendance or | in parent's occupation |
| other activities | • • |

HOW MUCH HELP DO YOU GIVE YOUR CHILD WITH THE FOLLOWING KINDS OF PROBLEMS: None Little Average Considerable A Great

| | (1) | (2) | Amount (3) | Amount (4) | Deal |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|------|------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|-----------|
| 8 WITH MONEY PROBELMS | | | | | |
| 9 WITH PERSONAL PROBLEMS | | | · | | |
| 10 WITH SCHOOL PROBLEMS | | | | | |
| 11 IN MAKING DECISIONS | | | . · | | |
| 12 WHEN IN TROUBLE | | | | | |
| 13 HELPFUL ADVICE | | • | | | · · ···· |
| 14 CHOICE OF CAREER | | <u> </u> | • | · | |
| WHICH ONE OF THE FOLLOWING BEST DESCRIBES: | Weak | Not Very | Strong | Very | Unlimited |
| 15 YOUR LOVE FOR YOUR CHILD | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 16 THE LOVE YOUR CHILD HAS FOR YOU | | · | . <u> </u> | <u> </u> | · · · · |
| | Poor | Below Average | Average | Good | Excellent |
| 17 IN GENERAL, HOW DO YOU RATE YOUR CHILD? | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 18 HOW DO YOU RATE YOURSELF AS A MOTHER TO YOUR CHILD? | | - | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| 19 HOW WOULD YOUR CHILD RATE YOU AS A MOTHÉR? | | <u> </u> | | | · |
| 20 RATE YOUR CHILD'S BEHAVIOR TOWAR YOU AS A MOTHER? | .D | • | | | - |
| 21 RATE YOUR CHILD'S SENSE OF RESPONSIBILITY? | | | | | |

| | | | | | | · . |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| CD6 | 5 N | ever | Rarely | Half & Half | Usually | Always |
| 22 | HOW OFTEN IS YOUR CHILD IN A GOOD MOOD? | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 23 | HOW OFTEN ARE YOU IN A GOOD MOOD TOWARD YOUR CHILD? | · · · · | 2 | | | - <u></u> |
| 24 | HOW OFTEN DOES YOUR CHILD BEHAVE AS YOU THINK HE SHOULD? | | ······ | | | |
| 25 26 | HOW OFTEN DO YOU BEHAVE AS YOUR CHILD THINKS YOU SHOULD? No Reaction (1) WHEN YOUR CHILD DOES | n Mildl (2) | y Mode (| rately 3) | Strongly (4) | Very Strongly (5) |
| | WRONG, HOW DO YOU REACT? | ••••••••••• | | | | |
| 27 | IF YOUR CHILD DOES SOMETHING SER Do Show .1 Nothing2 Hurt3 Counse | 10USLY W | RONG, WHA cold5 | T DO YOU D Restrict Privilege | 0? Red s6 Allo | uce Slap o wance7 Hit |
| 28 | WHEN YOUR CHILD DOES SOMETHING VI .1 Critical2 Indifferent2 | ERY WELL 3 Please | , HOW DO d4 Co | YOU REACT? mplimentar | y5 Enth | usiastically |
| 29 | WHEN YOUR CHILD DOES SOMETHING VI .1 Do Nothing2 Compliment Money6 Caress or Pat | ERY WELL .3 Prai | , HOW DO se4 | YOU REWARD Increase P | HIM? rivileges | .5 Gift or |
| 30 | HOW DO YOU AND YOUR SPOUSE WORK ? .1 Always Disagree2 Usually Agree5 Always Agree | fOGETHER Disagre | ON FAMIL e3 H | Y PROBLEMS alf and Ha | ? 1f4 Us | ually |
| 31-32 | 2 AT WHAT AGE DO YOU EXPECT YOUR CI 16 or less 17 18 19 20 | HILD TO T 21 2 | MARRY? (M 2 23 | ARK X THRC 24 25 | UGH ANSWER) 26 27 and | Above Never |
| 33-34 | WHAT WOULD YOU MOST LIKE YOUR CH | ILD TO D | O AS A LI | FE WORK? | | |
| 35 | HOW MUCH EDUCATION DO YOU THINK Y High School .1 Business Schoo Professional School .5 | YOUR CHI | LD WILL N Vocation | EED FOR TH al School | IS JOB? .3 Colle | ge .4 |
| 36 | HOW MUCH EDUCATION DO YOU FEEL TH High School .1 Business Schoo Professional School .5 | HE PERSO | N YOUR CH Vocation | ILD MARRIE al School | S SHOULD HA .3 Colle | VE? ge .4 |
| : : | Complete Dissatisfic (1) | ed Dis | satisfied (2) | It (3) | Satisfied (4) | Satisfied (5) |
| 37 | ABOUT YOUR WORK AND SALARY? .1 Work | | | · | | |
| 38 | .2 Salary HOW DOES YOUR HUSBAND FEEL ABOUT YOUR WORK AND SALARY? | | | | | |
| 40 | .2 Salary | | | | | |

| Δ1 | |
|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | HOW DO YOU FEEL ABOUT YOUR CHILD'S CHOICE OF |
| | FUTURE OCCUPATION? Completely Somewhat Accept Fairly Fully |
| | Dissatisfied Dissatisfied It Satisfied Satisfied |
| 1.1 | (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) |
| | and the second secon |
| | Below |
| | Poor Average Average Good Excellent |
| | (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) |
| 42 | HOW GOOD A WORKER IS YOUR |
| | CHILD? |
| | |
| 43 | HOW WOULD YOU RATE YOUR |
| ÷ | CHILD AS A STUDENT? |
| | |
| 44 | HOW SURE ARE YOU THAT YOUR CHILD WILL SUCCEED IN HIS PLANS AFTER HIGH SCHOOL? |
| | .1 No Confidence2 Very Doubtful3 Somewhat Doubtful4 Fairly Sure |
| | .5 Absolutely Sure |
| | |
| 5-46 | WHAT DO YOU THINK YOUR CHILD WILL BE DOING THE FIRST YEAR AFTER GRADUATION FROM |
| | HIGH SCHOOL? |
| | .1 Unskilled Labor .7 Semi-Professional |
| $\sim 10^{-1}$ | .2 Semi-Skilled Labor .8 Professional |
| | or Farm Worker .9 Business School |
| | .3 Skilled worker or foreman10 Vocational School |
| | .4 Clerical or Sales11 Junior College |
| | .5 Small business owner ,12 College |
| | .6 Farm owner or operator |
| | |
| | |
| $(x_1) \in \mathbb{R}$ | AFTER YOUR CHILD LEAVES SCHOOL WHAT ARE YOUR PLANS FOR STAYING: |
| | AFTER YOUR CHILD LEAVES SCHOOL WHAT ARE YOUR PLANS FOR STAYING: Leave Leave if the Stay a Few Stay |
| | AFTER YOUR CHILD LEAVES SCHOOL WHAT ARE YOUR PLANS FOR STAYING: Leave Leave if the Stay a Few Stay Immediately Opportunity Arises More Years Permanently |
| 47 | AFTER YOUR CHILD LEAVES SCHOOL WHAT ARE YOUR PLANS FOR STAYING: Leave Leave if the Stay a Few Stay Immediately Opportunity Arises More Years Permanently IN LOGAL COUNTY .1234 |
| 47 | AFTER YOUR CHILD LEAVES SCHOOL WHAT ARE YOUR PLANS FOR STAYING: Leave Leave if the Stay a Few Stay Immediately Opportunity Arises More Years Permanently IN LOGAL COUNTY .1234 |
| 47 48 | AFTER YOUR CHILD LEAVES SCHOOL WHAT ARE YOUR PLANS FOR STAYING: Leave Leave if the Stay a Few Stay Immediately Opportunity Arises More Years Permanently IN LOGAL COUNTY .1 .2 .3 .4 IN OKLAHOMA .1 .2 .3 .4 |
| 47 48 | AFTER YOUR CHILD LEAVES SCHOOL WHAT ARE YOUR PLANS FOR STAYING: Leave Leave if the Stay a Few Stay Immediately Opportunity Arises More Years Permanently IN LOGAL COUNTY .1 .2 .3 .4 IN OKLAHOMA .1 .2 .3 .4 |
| 47 48 ⊋-50 | AFTER YOUR CHILD LEAVES SCHOOL WHAT ARE YOUR PLANS FOR STAYING: Leave Leave if the Stay a Few Stay Immediately Opportunity Arises More Years Permanently IN LOGAN COUNTY .1 .2 .3 .4 IN OKLAHOMA .1 .2 .3 .4 IN WHAT YEAR DID YOU COME TO LOGAN COUNTY? |
| 47 48 9-50 | AFTER YOUR CHILD LEAVES SCHOOL WHAT ARE YOUR PLANS FOR STAYING: Leave Leave if the Stay a Few Stay Immediately Opportunity Arises More Years Permanently IN LOGAN COUNTY .1234 .4 |
| 47 48 9-50 | AFTER YOUR CHILD LEAVES SCHOOL WHAT ARE YOUR PLANS FOR STAYING: Leave Leave if the Stay a Few Stay Immediately Opportunity Arises More Years Permanently IN OKLAHOMA .1234 .4 IN WHA'I YEAR DID YOU COME TO LOGAN COUNTY? I was born here .4 |
| 47 48 9-50 | AFTER YOUR CHILD LEAVES SCHOOL WHAT ARE YOUR PLANS FOR STAYING: Leave Leave if the Stay a Few Stay Immediately Opportunity Arises More Years Permanently IN LOGAT COUNTY .1 .2 .3 .4 IN OKLAHOMA .1 .2 .3 .4 IN WHAT YEAR DID YOU COME TO LOGAN COUNTY? .1 .4 IN whAT YEAR OF SCHOOLING COMPLETED BY YOU? .4 |
| 47 48 9-50 L-52 | AFTER YOUR CHILD LEAVES SCHOOL WHAT ARE YOUR PLANS FOR STAYING: Leave Leave if the Stay a Few Stay Immediately Opportunity Arises More Years Permanently IN LOGAL COUNTY .1 .2 .3 .4 IN OKLAHOMA .1 .2 .3 .4 IN WHAT YEAR DID YOU COME TO LOGAN COUNTY? I was born here .4 WHAT W'S THE HICHEST YEAR OF SCHOOLING COMPLETED BY YOU? 1 2 3 4 5 16 17 18 19 20 |
| 47 48 9-50 L-52 | AFTER YOUR CHILD LEAVES SCHOOL WHAT ARE YOUR PLANS FOR STAYING: Leave Leave if the Stay a Few Stay Immediately Opportunity Arises More Years Permanently IN LOGAL COUNTY .1 .2 .3 .4 IN OKLAHOMA .1 .2 .3 .4 IN WHAT YEAR DID YOU COME TO LOGAN COUNTY? I was born here .4 WHAT W S THE HICHEST YEAR OF SCHOOLING COMPLETED BY YOU? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 |
| 47 48 9-50 L-52 3-54 | AFTER YOUR CHILD LEAVES SCHOOL WHAT ARE YOUR PLANS FOR STAYING: Leave if the Stay a Few More Years Permanently IN LOGAN COUNTY .1234 .34 .4 IN OKLAHOMA .1234 .4 IN OKLAHOMA .1234 .4 IN WHAT YEAR DID YOU COME TO LOGAN COUNTY? |
| 47 48 9-50 L-52 3-54 | AFTER YOUR CHILD LEAVES SCHOOL WHAT ARE YOUR PLANS FOR STAYING: Leave Leave if the Stay a Few More Years Permanently IN LOGAN COUNTY .1234 IN OKLAHOMA .1234 IN OKLAHOMA .1234 IN WHAT YEAR DID YOU COME TO LOGAN COUNTY? I was born here WHAT W'S THE HICHEST YEAR OF SCHOOLING COMPLETED BY YOU? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 PLEASE INDICATE THE OCCUPATION OF THE HEAD OF YOUR HOUSEHOLD: .1 Uns 111ed (odd jobs, common 1 abor)6 Farm Manager |
| 47 48 9-50 L-52 3-54 | AFTER YOUR CHILD LEAVES SCHOOL WHAT ARE YOUR PLANS FOR STAYING: Leave Leave if the Stay a Few More Years Permanently IN More Years Permanently IN LOGAN COUNTY .1234 IN OKLAHOMA .1234 IN WHAT YEAR DID YOU COME TO LOGAN COUNTY? I was porn here WHAT W S THE HICHEST YEAR OF SCHOOLING COMPLETED BY YOU? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 PLEASE INDICATE THE OCCUPATION OF THE HEAD OF YOUR HOUSEHOLD: .1 Uns 111ed (odd jobs, common 1 lubor)6 Farm Manager .2 Maci ine or vehicle operator7 Business Manager |
| 47 48 9-50 1-52 3-54 | AFTER YOUR CHILD LEAVES SCHOOL WHAT ARE YOUR PLANS FOR STAYING: Leave Leave if the Stay a Few More Years Permanently IN LOGAL COUNTY .1234 IN OKLAHOMA .1234 IN WHAT YEAR DID YOU COME TO LOGAN COUNTY? I was born here WHAT WS THE HICHEST YEAR OF SCHOOLING COMPLETED BY YOU? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 PLEASE INDICATE THE OCCUPATION OF THE HEAD OF YOUR HOUSEHOLD: .1 Uns illed (odd jobs, common 1 abor)6 Farm Manager .2 Maci ine or vehicle operator7 Business Manager .3 Skilled worker (carpenter, etc.)8 Technician (Laboratory ass't, draftsman, of the second sec |
| 47 48 9-50 1-52 3-54 | AFTER YOUR CHILD LEAVES SCHOOL WHAT ARE YOUR PLANS FOR STAYING: Leave Leave if the Stay a Few Stay Immediately Opportunity Arises More Years Permanently IN LOGAL COUNTY .1234 .4 |
| 47 48 9-50 L-52 3-54 | AFTER YOUR CHILD LEAVES SCHOOL WHAT ARE YOUR PLANS FOR STAYING: Leave Leave if the Stay a Few Stay Immediately Opportunity Arises More Years Permanently IN LOGAL COUNTY .1234 .4 |
| 47 48 9-50 L-52 3-54 | AFTER YOUR CHILD LEAVES SCHOOL WHAT ARE YOUR PLANS FOR STAYING: Leave Leave if the Stay a Few Stay Immediately Opportunity Arises More Years Permanently IN LOGAN COUNTY .1234 .4 IN OKLAHOMA .1234 IN WHAT YEAR DID YOU COME TO LOGAN COUNTY? .4 |
| 47 48 9-50 1-52 3-54 | AFTER YOUR CHILD LEAVES SCHOOL WHAT ARE YOUR PLANS FOR STAYING: Leave Leave if the Stay a Few Stay Immediately Opportunity Arises More Years Permanently IN LOGAL COUNTY .1234 .4 IN OKLAHOMA .1234 IN OKLAHOMA .1234 IN WHAT YEAR DID YOU COME TO LOGAN COUNTY? .4 |
| 47 48)-50 1-52 3-54 | AFTER YOUR CHILD LEAVES SCHOOL WHAT ARE YOUR PLANS FOR STAYING: Leave Leave if the Stay a Few Stay Immediately Opportunity Arises More Years Permanently IN LOGAL COUNTY .1234 .4 IN OKLAHOMA .1234 .4 |
| 47 48 9-50 1-52 3-54 | AFTER YOUR CHILD LEAVES SCHOOL WHAT ARE YOUR PLANS FOR STAYING: Leave Leave if the Stay a Few Stay Immediately Opportunity Arises More Years Permanently IN LOGAL COUNTY .1234 .4 IN OKLAHOMA .1234 .4 |
| 47 48 9-50 1-52 3-54 | AFTER YOUR CHILD LEAVES SCHOOL WHAT ARE YOUR PLANS FOR STAYING: Leave Leave if the Stay a Few Stay Immediately Opportunity Arises More Years Permanently IN LOGAL COUNTY .1234 IN OKLAHOMA .1234 IN OKLAHOMA .1234 IN WHAT YEAR DID YOU COME TO LOGAN COUNTY? .4 |
| 47 48 9-50 1-52 3-54 | AFTER YOUR CHILD LEAVES SCHOOL WHAT ARE YOUR PLANS FOR STAYING: Leave Leave if the Stay a Few Stay Immediately Opportunity Arises More Years Permanently IN LOGAN COUNTY .1234 IN OKLAHOMA .1234 IN WHAT YEAR DID YOU COME TO LOGAN COUNTY? .34 IN WHAT WEAR DID YOU COME TO LOGAN COUNTY? .4 |
| 47 48 9-50 1-52 3-54 | AFTER YOUR CHILD LEAVES SCHOOL WHAT ARE YOUR PLANS FOR STAYING: Leave Leave if the Stay a Few Stay Immediately Opportunity Arises More Years Permanently IN LOGAN COUNTY .1234 .4 IN OKLAHOMA .1234 .4 |
| 47 48 9-50 1-52 3-54 55 | AFTER YOUR CHILD LEAVES SCHOOL WHAT ARE YOUR PLANS FOR STAYING: Leave Leave if the Stay a Few Stay Immediately Opportunity Arises More Years Permanently IN LOGAN COUNTY .1234 IN OKLAHOMA .1234 IN WHAT YEAR DID YOU COME TO LOGAN COUNTY? .34 |
| 47 48 9-50 1-52 3-54 55 56 | AFTER YOUR CHILD LEAVES SCHOOL WHAT ARE YOUR PLANS FOR STAYING: Leave Leave if the Stay a Few Stay Immediately Opportunity Arises More Years Permanently IN LOGAL COUNTY .1? |
| 47 48 9-50 1-52 3-54 55 56 | AFTER YOUR CHILD LEAVES SCHOOL WHAT ARE YOUR PLANS FOR STAYING: Leave I Leave if the Stay a Few Stay Immediately Opportunity Arises More Years Permanently IN LOGAL COUNTY .1 |
| 47 48 9-50 L-52 3-54 55 56 | AFTER YOUR CHILD LEAVES SCHOOL WHAT ARE YOUR PLANS FOR STAYING: Leave if the Stay a Few Stay Immediately Opportunity Arises More Years Permanently Opportunity Arises More Years Permanently IN LOGAL COUNTY .1 |

CD6

57-58 PLEASE INDICATE YOUR TOTAL FAMILY INCOME EITHER BY YEAR (COLUMN 1) OR BY MONTH (COLUMN 2) OR BY WEEK (COLUMN 3): By Year By Month By Week

| | By Year | | By Month | | By Week | |
|-----------|---------|--------|-------------|-------|-------------|-------|
| Under | \$1000 | \$6000 | Under \$ 80 | \$500 | Under \$ 20 | \$115 |
| About | 1000 | 7000 | About 80 | 580 | About 20 | 130 |
| | 2000 | 8000 | 170 | 670 | 40 | 150 |
| | 3000 | 9000 | 250 | 750 | 60 | 170 |
| · · · · · | 4000 | 10000 | 330 | 830 | 80 | 190 |
| | 5000 | | 420 | | 100 | |
| | | | | | | |

- 59 PLEASE INDICATE HOW YOU FEEL ABOUT YOUR FAMILY INCOME: .1 Not nearly enough _____.2 Just enough to get along _____.3 Sufficient____.4 Ample, allows savings _____
- 60 IF PART OF THE FAMILY'S INCOME IS DERIVED FROM A FARM, INDICATE WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING APPLIES: FAMILY HEAD: .1 Owns, operates farm _____.2 Is farm tenant _____.3 Works on farm for wages _____
- 61 PLEASE INDICATE THE ABILITY OF THE FAMILY TO HELP THE SON OR DAUGHTER ATTEND VOCATIONAL SCHOOL OR COLLEGE AFTER FINISHING HIGH SCHOOL:

 1 Unable to help______.2 Give small amount of help______.3 Give considerable help______.
 4 Give whatever help needed______
- 62
 INDICATE THE FOODS LISTED BELOW THAT ARE PRODUCED AT HOME FOR FAMILY CONSUMPTION:

 .1
 Vegetables

 .2
 Milk

 .3
 Meat

 .6
 None
- 63 WHAT MEALS DID YOUR CHILD EAT AT HOME YESTERDAY? .1 Breakfast____.2 Lunch____.3 Dinner____.4 Snacks____
- 64 HOW WOULD YOU RATE YOUR CHILD'S APPETITE? .1 Poor_____.2 Fair_____.3 Good_____.4 Excellent_____

 HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A MEMBER OF:

 65 .1 An adult homemaking class
 .1 No______.2 Yes_____

 66 .2 Farm women's club
 .1 No______.2 Yes_____

| Н | LAVE ANY OF THE FOLLOWING EVER OCCURED: | | |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------|
| 67 | HAVE YOU VISITED THE OFFICE OF LOGAN COUNTY HOME ECONOMIST? | .1 No | .2 Yes |
| 68 | HAS SHE EVER VISITED YOUR HOME? | .1 No | .2 Yes |
| 69 | HAVE YOU EVER VISITED THE OFFICE OF LOGAN COUNTY AGRICULTURAL EXTENSION AGENT? | .1 No | .2 Yes |
| 70 | HAS THE AGRICULTURAL EXTENSION AGENT EVER VISITED YOUR HOME? | .1 No | .2 Yes |
| 71 | HAVE YOU EVER ATTENDED A FOOD DEMONSTRATION BY THE GAS OR ELECTRIC COMPANY? | .1 No | .2 Yes |
| 72 | HAVE YOU EVER ATTENDED A FOOD DEMONSTRATION GIVEN BY THE LOGAN COUNTY HOME ECONOMIST? | .1 No | .2 Yes |
| | | | |

THANK YOU AGAIN FOR YOUR ASSISTANCE IN THIS RESEARCH.

-4-

APPENDIX H

MODIFIED OCCUPATIONAL RATINGS¹

| Occupation | Score |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| President of U.S. | 96 |
| U. S. Supreme Court Justice | 96 |
| Physician | 93 |
| State Governor | 93 [.] |
| Veterinarian | 93 |
| Cabinet Member in the Federal Government | 92 |
| Diplomat in the U. S. Foreign Service | 92 |
| Mayor of a Large City | 90 |
| Astronaut | 89 |
| College Professor | 89 |
| Scientist | - 89 |
| Something in Science | 89 |
| United States Representative in Congress | 89 |
| Banker | 88 |
| Government Scientist | 88 |
| Admiral | 87 |
| County Judge | 87 |
| Head of a Department in a State Government | 87 |

¹Original scale by Paul K. Hatt and C. C. North in Delbert C. Miller, <u>Handbook of Research Design and Social Measurements</u>. New York: David McKay Co., Inc., 1964, pp. 108-110.

| <u>Occupation</u> | Score |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Minister | 87 |
| Architect | 86 |
| Chemist | 86 |
| Dentist | 86 |
| Lawyer | 86 |
| Member of the Board of Directors of a Large Corporation | 86 |
| Nuclear Physicist | 86 |
| Priest | 86 |
| Psychologist | 85 |
| Civil Engineer | 84 |
| Electrical Engineer | 84 |
| Engineer | 84 |
| Air Force Pilot | 83 |
| Airline Pilot | 83 |
| Artist | 83 |
| Artist Who Paints Pictures That are Exhibited in Galleries | 83 |
| Professional Baseball Player | 83 |
| Anthropologist | 82 |
| Owner of Factory That Employs About 100 People | 82 |
| Sociologist | 82 |
| Accountant for a Large Business | 81 |
| Biologist | 81 |
| Geologist | 81 |
| Musician in a Symphony Orchestra | 81 |
| Professional Business | 81 |
| Talented Pianist | 81 |

| Occupation | | Score |
|-----------------------------|---|-------|
| Army Officer | | 80 |
| Captain in the Regular Army | | 80 |
| Coast Guard | | 80 |
| Dramatics | | 80 |
| Fashion Designer | | 80 |
| Building Contractor | | 79 |
| Counselor in Large School | | 79 |
| Dancing Teacher | | 79 |
| Economist | | 79 |
| Forest Ranger | | 79 |
| Public Relations | | 79 |
| Home Economist | | 79 |
| Physical Therapist | | 79 |
| Jet Engineer | | 79 |
| Job Analyst | | 79 |
| Pharmacist | | 79 |
| Registered Nurse | | 79 |
| Agronomist | | 78 |
| Commercial Art | | 78 |
| Choral Director | • | 78 |
| Professional Worker | | 78 |
| Public School Teacher | | 78 |
| Teacher | | 78 |
| Teacher and Counselor | | 78 |
| Vocational Teacher | | 78 |
| County Agricultural Agent | | 77 |

×,,

| Occupation | Score |
|------------------------------------------|-------|
| Railroad Engineer | 77 |
| Farm Owner and Operator | 76 |
| Official of an International Labor Union | 75 |
| Radio Announcer | 75 |
| Newspaper Columnist | 74 |
| Owner-operator of a Printing Shop | 74 |
| Computer Programmer | 73 |
| Drafting | 73 |
| Electronics | 73 |
| Electrician | 73 |
| Federal Government Agriculturist | 73 |
| Lab Technician | 73 |
| Librarian | 73 |
| Peace Corps | 73 |
| Technician | 73 |
| Skilled Craftsman | 73 |
| Undertaker | 72 |
| Mortician | 72 |
| Reporter on a Daily Newspaper | 71 |
| Buyer | 69 |
| General Business | 69 |
| Government Job | 69 |
| Interior Decorator | 69 |
| Manager of a Small Store in a City | 69 |
| Owner of a Machine Shop | 69 |
| Owner of a Small Business | 69 |

| Occupation | Score |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Auctioneer | 68 |
| Bookkeeper | 68 |
| Dairy Farm | 68 |
| Farming | 68 |
| Key Punch Operator | 68 |
| Language Interpreter | 68 |
| Insurance Agent | 68 |
| Office Job | 68 |
| Merchandise and Secretary | 68 |
| Tenant FarmerOne Who Owns Livestock and Machinery and Manages the Farm | 68 |
| Traveling Salesman for a Wholesale Concern | 68 |
| Secretary | 68 |
| Typist | 68 |
| Playground Director | 67 |
| Policeman | 67 |
| Railroad Conductor | 67 |
| Mail Carrier | 66 |
| Carpenter | 65 |
| Painter | 65 |
| Aircraft Mechanic | 63 |
| Automobile Repairman | 63 |
| Auto Parts | 63 |
| Diesel Engineer | 63 |
| Diesel Mechanic | 63 |
| Plumber | 63 |
| Car Mechanic | 62 |

| <u>Occupation</u> | | Score |
|---------------------------------|---|-------|
| Garage Mechanic | | 62 |
| Local Official of a Labor Union | | 62 |
| Mechanical Work | | 62 |
| Owner-operator of a Lunch Stand | J | 62 |
| Skilled Laborer | | 62 |
| Army Skilled Man | | 60 |
| Assembly Line | | 60 |
| Corporal in the Regular Army | | 60 |
| Factory Worker | | 60 |
| Machine Operator in a Factory | | 60 |
| Welder | | 60 |
| Airline Stewardess | | 59 |
| Barber | | 59 |
| Beautician | | 59 |
| Hair Dresser | • | 59 |
| Model | | 59 |
| Practical Nurse | | 59 |
| Work in Hospital | | 59 |
| Clerk in a Store | | 58 |
| Seamstress | | 58 |
| Streetcar Motorman | | 58 |
| Fisherman Who Owns His Own Boat | | 58 |
| Culinary Arts | | 54 |
| Milk Routeman | | 54 |
| Race Car Driver | | 54 |
| Restaurant Cook | | 54 |
| Occupation | Score |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Truck Driver | 54 |
| Hunting Guide | 53 |
| Lumberjack | 53 |
| Filling Station Attendant | 52 |
| Singer in a Night Club | 52 |
| Singer and Comedian | 52 |
| Singer | 52 |
| Tinker Field Worker | 51 |
| Construction | 51 |
| Babysitting | 50 |
| Ditch Digger | 50 |
| Farmhand | 50 |
| Oil Field | 50 |
| Coal Miner | 49 |
| Taxi Driver | 49 |
| Railroad Section Hand | 48 |
| Restaurant Waiter | 48 |
| Dock Worker | 47 |
| Night Watchman | 47 |
| Clothes Presser in a Laundry | 46 |
| Soda Fountain Clerk | 45 |
| Bartender | 44 |
| Janitor | 44 |
| SharecropperOne Who Owns no Livestock or Equipment and Does Not Manage Farm | 40 |
| Garbage Collector | 35 |
| Street Sweeper | 34 |

| <u>Occupation</u> | Score |
|-------------------|-------|
| Shoe Shiner | 33 |
| Housewife | 01 |

VITA

John Robert Bourdette

Candidate for the Degree of

Master of Science

Thesis: FACTORS INFLUENCING MIGRATION, OCCUPATIONAL CHOICE, AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS OF RURAL YOUTH

Major Field: Sociology

Biographical:

- Personal Data: Born in Guthrie, Oklahoma, June 2, 1945, the son of Mr. and Mrs. Loyal Bourdette.
- Education: Graduated from Abilene High School, Abilene, Texas, in May, 1963; received the Bachelor of Science degree in Animal Science from Oklahoma State University in 1968; completed requirments for the Master of Science degree in May, 1970.
- Professional Experience: Laboratory Technician, Nutrition Laboratory, Oklahoma State University, February, 1965 - August, 1968; Manpower fellow, Oklahoma State University, September, 1968 - May, 1970.
- Professional Organizations: American Sociological Association, The Rural Sociological Society, Midwest Sociological Society, Southwestern Social Science Association, The Southern Sociological Society, and Southwestern Sociological Association.