SOCIO-ECONOMIC FACTORS, SEWING EXPERIENCES, AND CREATIVITY LEVEL OF WOMEN ENROLLED IN A SELECTED SEWING CLASS

Ву

NANCY FADGEN STANFORTH

Bachelor of Science

South Dakota State University

Brookings, South Dakota

1972

Submitted to the Faculty of the Graduate College
of the Oklahoma State University
in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
December, 1974

Thesis 1974 57855 cop.2

MAR 28 1975

SOCIO-ECONOMIC FACTORS, SEWING EXPERIENCES, AND CREATIVITY LEVEL OF WOMEN ENROLLED IN A SELECTED SEWING CLASS

Thesis Approved:

Alovalynn Disler Thesis Adviser
Thesis Adviser
Kathryn n Dreenwood
B. Curtis Hamm
M N Durham
Dean of the Graduate College

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The researcher would like to thank Dr. Grovalynn F. Sisler, thesis adviser, for her interest, encouragement, and guidance throughout the study. Appreciation is extended to Dr. Kathryn M. Greenwood and Dr. B. Curtis Hamm for their assistance in preparation of this thesis.

Thanks to Mrs. Kathy Rains and the women in her sewing classes for participating in the study. Thanks also to Dr. Billie Murphy for her assistance in the early stages of the project.

Finally, the researcher would like to thank her husband, Todd, for his encouragement and tolerance during the course of her study.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapte	Pag	e
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
	Limitations	2
	Definition of Terms	3
II.	REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4
		4
		9
	Socio-Economic Status	
	Dummary	
III.	METHOD AND PROCEDURE	0
	Sample	
	Instruments Used	
	Statistics Used	
	Summary	J
IV.	FINDINGS AND INTERPRETATIONS	4
	Socio-Economic Factors 2	4
	Sewing Experience	
	Creativity	3
V .	SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS	5
	Recommendations for Further Study	7
A SELEC	TED BIBLIOGRAPHY	8
APPEND:	X A - INFORMATION CHECKLIST	3
APPEND	X B - RAW SCORES OF PARTICIPANTS ON CLOTHING CONSTRUCTION EXPERIENCE CHECKLIST, PRE- CONSCIOUS ACTIVITY SCALE, AND INDEX OF CLASS POSITION	1

LIST OF TABLES

Table					Ε	age
I.	Distribution of Scores on Index of Class Position .		•			25
II.	Marital Status of Participants	•	•			.26
III.	Distribution of Respondents by Age					27
IV.	Number of Children Living at Home	•			•	27
٧.	Distribution of Children by Age	•	•		•	28
VI.	Employment Status of Participants			•	•	29
VII.	Distribution of Participants by Educational Level .			•	•	29
VIII.	Home Economics Training of Participants	•	•	•	•	30
IX.	Sewing Classes Taken by Participants at Other Fabric Stores		•	•		31
х.	A Comparison of Sewing Experience Scores with Home Economics Training in High School and College			•	•	32
XI.	Amount of Sewing Done as Reported by Participants .		•	•		32
XII.	Proportion of Own Clothing Made by Participants		•	•		33
XIII.	Distribution of Women Who Had Sewn for Others		•	•		34
xIV.	Reasons for Sewing as Reported by Participants		•		•	34
XV.	Reasons for Not Sewing as Reported by Participants .	•		•	•	35
xvı.	Types of Machines Used by Participants	•				. 36
XVII.	Experience Reported by Participants with Sewing Machine Attachments and Special Features					36
xvIII.	Experience of Participants With Specific Sewing Machine Attachments and Special Features		•			37
XIX.	Pattern Alterations Participants Have Made					38

Table		Page
XX.	Types of Fabrics Used by Participants	. 39
XXI.	Places Where Participants Have Sewn	. 40
XXII.	Experience of Participants With Specific Clothing Construction Techniques	. 4
XXIII.	A Comparison of Creativity Scores with Reasons for Sewing	. 4
XXIV.	Scores of Each Participant on Clothing Construction Experience Checklist, Preconscious Activity Scale, and Index of Class Position	. 6

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Home sewing has become popular in the United States as a leisure time activity for women. There are an estimated 50 million women in the United States who sew, and they make approximately 500 million garments a year (32, 33). These women have created a three billion dollar a year industry in fabrics, patterns, notions, and sewing machine sales (1).

Immediately before and after World War II, sewing was associated with poverty (46). Today evidence shows that sewing is an enjoyable hobby for women in all income categories. The affluence of American society may have contributed to the increase in sewing as a hobby (13, 33). By the early seventies, fashion sewing had become the second fastest growing industry in the country (13).

Sewing is a creative outlet for some women (13). The woman who sews is able to express her individuality through clothes she has sewn herself. Personally selecting patterns and fabrics allows the woman who sews to make clothes especially suited to her personality.

The fabric store has become a place for women to learn how to sew (13). More and more stores are conducting classes to teach women to sew or to improve existing skills. Yet little is known about the women who enroll in sewing courses at fabric stores.

With the growth of the home sewing industry, there has been increased interest in the characteristics of the woman who sews. The purpose of this study was to examine the characteristics of a group of women who had enrolled in a sewing course at a selected fabric store in Stillwater. Variables examined were socio-economic factors, sewing experience, and creativity level.

Objectives

The objectives of this study were:

- 1. To determine the sewing experiences of the participants.
- 2. To investigate the relationship between the sewing experiences of the participants and formalized home economics training.
- To determine the creativity level of the participants.
- 4. To investigate the relationship between the motivation for sewing and creativity level.
- 5. To determine the social class of the participants.
- 6. To investigate selected socio-economic characteristics of the participants.

Limitations

Participants in the study were limited to those who had elected a sewing course at a selected fabric store and who were living in Still-water at the time the interviews were scheduled. The study was limited to only one fabric store in Stillwater and to women as no men had elected a course at the store.

Definition of Terms

- Creativity: the ability to use current knowledge to formulate solutions and relationships new to oneself (42).
- Sewing experience: sewing activities of the women and techniques which they have used in clothing construction.
- Social class: a group of people who are judged by members of the community as equal in social prestige (60).

CHAPTER II

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

In the seventies, sewing is a hobby enjoyed by women in all levels of society. Some women sew to express their creativity and individuality. In this era of inflation, economy has also become an important motive for sewing.

Home Sewing

The home sewing industry has grown rapidly since World War II.

The upwardly mobile society of the World War II era associated home sewing with economy, poor style, bad workmanship, and low social position (31). This attitude toward home sewing caused the decline of the industry during the forties and fifties. Wearing home sewn clothing indicated that the homemaker was poor and needed to sew to save money. Patterns and fabrics available to the woman who sewed were not always attractive and this further contributed to the low interest in sewing.

By the 1960's, sewing had become big business. There was an estimated \$2.5 billion in sales in 1968 (32). This \$2.5 billion was divided as follows: \$1.76 billion in fabric sales; \$400 million in notions and trim sales; \$150 million in pattern sales; and \$200 million in sewing machine sales (32).

Some of the growth of the home sewing industry can be attributed to the improvement in fabrics and patterns available to the woman who

sews (35). The newest fabrics are obtained for the home sewing market, and patterns have improved the styles available to the woman who sews. Designer patterns have made high fashion available in home sewn garments (33).

There are approximately 50 million women in America who sew and the number is thought to be rising rapidly (33). Home sewing is not confined to any age or income group. In a 1963 survey, four out of five teens indicated that they sewed (31). Krall's (37) study of the teen-age market in the home sewing industry suggested that the teen-age market may be the key to the continued growth of the home sewing industry. However, the average age of the home sewer is 33.7 years, indicating that there are more than just teen-agers sewing (32). All age groups are sewing for themselves and their families.

Education has contributed to the growth of home sewing. According to American Fabrics (45) the growth of the industry is based on education and education has closed the gap between ready-to-wear and home sewn garments. The increase in availability of home economics education has helped to teach the woman who sews to produce a professional looking garment and has eliminated much of the home-made look which characterized home sewn garments of the past. As Fessler (16) noted, "... being able to sew a garment doesn't just happen by itself" (p. 12). The woman who wants to sew must learn to accomplish the various techniques, even if it is through a textbook.

The pattern companies have invested in the education of potential customers for their products. The companies distribute information to schools in an effort to create interest in their products. Traveling representatives demonstrate uses for a variety of products. The

Simplicity Pattern Company attributed much of its success to extensive educational programs offered by the company (25).

Commercial fabric stores have established programs of sewing classes for their customers. These classes range from beginning sewing through advanced tailoring. Retailers indicate that sewing classes can increase profits by bringing customers into the store who know more about sewing and fabrics (16).

Research Related to Home Sewing

There are many motives which lead women to sew. Among these are economy, creative expression, enjoyment, better fit, and better quality (32). The increase in leisure time and increased affluence have also contributed to the rise in home sewing. Dr. Joyce Brothers (33) stated that, "the most salient fact about most home-sewing today is that it is a hobby rather than a necessity" (p. 74).

It is difficult to single out one motive for the home sewing increase in recent years. Economy has become a more important motive than it was in the sixties. Rising costs of labor and spiraling inflation have made a 50 to 70 per cent savings over retail cost possible for the woman who sews (16). Ready-to-wear prices have increased so rapidly that home sewing has become a money saving activity (13).

Sewing is also a creative expression of the individual (16). The woman who sews can express her unique personality through the fabric she selects and the pattern she chooses. She can copy a couture design or create an original outfit.

Better fit and better quality of clothing may be reasons for some women to sew their own clothing. Home sewn clothing can accommodate

special figure problems and achieve better fit for those who cannot find acceptable ready-to-wear. The quality of ready-to-wear may be poorer than the woman will accept. By sewing her own clothing, she can achieve the quality she desires.

Women Who Sew

The most rapid growth has been in the teen-age segment of the market, stimulated by the home economics education program in the high schools (37). The high school girl who sews is interested in craft-type hobbies and reads women's magazines, according to Krall's (37) study of the teen-age girl who sews.

In Hammond's (25) study of high school girls' participation in home sewing and their level of creativity and personal values, she found that the high school girl who sewed as a hobby and for self expression scored high on a creativity measure. The motive of sewing for better fit correlated positively with the economic personal value.

Teens are not the only ones sewing today. The median age of women who sewed in 1970 was twenty-three. These women usually had small children and above average incomes. They were also above average in intelligence and were highly interested in fashion (46).

In McElderry's (43) 1965 study of the sewing practices of college graduates, she found that the largest number of women who sewed were in the \$6501-10,000 income category. This income category was above the national average income in 1965. This study also indicated that women who had received some educational instruction in clothing construction sewed more than women who had not received instruction. In this 1965 study, the motivations for sewing were to save money and as a hobby.

Also in 1965, Sweeney and Thompson (57) examined the sewing practices of mothers of preschool children. Sixty per cent of the women interviewed indicated that they sewed. All but one of the mothers owned a sewing machine and used the zipper foot and zigzag apparatus more than other devices. Sweeney and Thompson found that the percentage of mothers who sew increased until the age of 35, when sewing again began to decline. They found no significant correlation between income and amount of sewing, but the greatest amount of sewing was done at the high income level. As formal training in clothing construction increased, the amount of sewing done also increased. Women employed outside the home did more sewing than the full-time homemaker.

Lidolph (38) also studied a group of 4-H leaders in a county in Nebraska to determine their sewing practices and needs. She found no association between the amount of sewing and age of the children. However, the type of sewing was associated with the age of the children. Lidolph also found that the employed homemaker did more sewing than the full-time homemaker.

Crowder (10) studied the purchasing and procedural habits of married women sewing at home in a large metropolitan area. The majority of her sample were in the upper-middle social class. Almost all the women had finished high school, one-fifth had had two years of college and one-third had graduated from college. The largest age group was the 30 to 39 year group. The reasons given by this group for sewing were economy and enjoyment, with the lower-middle class group particularly conscious of the economy motive. Social class had no relationship to the desire to sew. The women made an average of twenty-two garments a year, and dresses were the most popular apparel item made.

Ninety-five per cent of the women sewed for their daughters but fewer than fifty per cent sewed for their sons.

The majority of the women in Crowder's study purchased a pattern first and then purchased the fabric. The price of the fabric and the pattern had a highly significant relationship. The higher the cost of one, the higher the cost of the other. One—third of the women planned to modify purchased patterns in some way. The age of the machine did not affect the number of garments or type of garments sewn. The age of the machine and the age of the woman were highly related.

In 1962, York (63) investigated the practices and opinions of a select group of homemakers with regard to home sewing. Her sample was divided into two groups: one a group of home economic alumnae, the other a random sample of homemakers. The alumnae constructed an average of 20.95 items per year. The non-alumnae group constructed an average of 16.45 items per year. Very little sewing was done for men. A majority of both groups had received formalized home economics training at some time and fifteen per cent had enrolled in adult education classes or commercial courses. Both groups indicated that the main reason for sewing was economy. The second most important reason given by the alumnae group for sewing was "better fit" and "pleasure" ranked third. The group of homemakers rated "pleasure" second and "better fit" third.

Creativity

The literature has indicated that women sew to express their creativity. Sewing provides an outlet for self-expression and individuality for the woman who sews.

Definitions of Creativity

Creativity is a term that describes a number of human behaviors; therefore, it is difficult to define accurately. McDonald (42) defined it as the ability and initiative "to combine understandings and resources into new relationships" (p. 667). The creative person utilizes current knowledge to formulate solutions and relationships new to himself.

Creativity may be defined as "the use of the imagination to find the best solutions to important problems" (4, p. 153). Creativity is more than just being different. The creative product must have value for the society in which it is produced. Sprecher (56) pointed out that the creative idea must also be pragmatic in order to be of value. Ideas which are not feasible are not particularly creative.

Creativity in Adults

All people possess a certain degree of creativity (42, 56, 58).

Each person has a different level of creativity that should be developed to its full potential.

Highly creative people have several personality characteristics in common. One of the prerequisites to creative ability is intelligence. Highly creative people are above average in intelligence. MacKinnon (39) stated that "clearly a certain degree of intelligence, and in general a rather high degree, is required for creativity. . ." (p. 153).

The creative person is also rather independent. He is generally not preoccupied with the impression he makes on others or with their opinions of him (39). Taylor (58) has indicated that the creative person is more autonomous and independent in his judgement. He is less

likely to be swayed by group opinion and retains his own opinion in the face of opposition. This independence may be the source of the difficulty the creative individual experiences in establishing warm relationships with others. Highly creative people are generally introverted, that is, more interested in things and ideas than in people (22).

Creative people are more venturesome, and are more likely to take a calculated risk (58). They are thought to possess the traits of soberness, assertiveness, sensitivity, imagination, forthrightness, self-acceptance, and self-control (58). In general, the creative person is more independent of others and more aware of himself. All creative people in all areas of study are thought to possess these characteristics (20).

Both men and women possess similar personality characteristics, but in general, men who are creative have more feminine interests while creative women have more masculine interests (20). Creative women tend to be more emotional and less controlled than creative men, but sex role expectations may account for the differences.

Creativity in Women

The study of creativity in women is very limited. "In view of the limited representation of women in the ranks of eminence it is not surprising that research on creativity has focused almost exclusively on men or has not distinguished between sexes" (2, p. 312).

Much of the research on the creativity of women has been done with recognized authors and artists. Bachtold and Werner (2) examined the personality characteristics of eminent female authors and artists.

They found that these women tended to be more aloof, intelligent, emotional, aggressive, adventurous, imaginative, radical, and self-sufficient. The women were also less group dependent and controlled than women in the general population. These characteristics are similar to those attributes of creative adults in general.

Studies of the creativity levels of recent college graduates have been conducted by Helson (25) and by Bruch and Morse (6). Helson investigated the personality characteristics of women who had recently graduated from college. The faculty nominated those graduating women who showed creative potential. Helson's research indicated that these women had a high intellectual and artistic interest, as did their parents. She also found that in the group being studied, the women planned to work, either immediately or after having their families. Most planned to work for many years.

Bruch and Morse (6) studied a group of women to determine the stability of the creative characteristics over a period of time. The women in the Bruch and Morse study had been subjects in a creativity study conducted by Torrance twelve years earlier. Torrance had rated those women for their level of creativity during his study. Bruch and Morse repeated this rating and found the creative characteristics were stable over the twelve year period.

Measuring Creativity

Creativity research is based on the assumption that creativity can be measured. Having accepted this assumption, researchers have devised many tests of creativity of varying validity. Although intelligence is necessary to creativity, it is not a good predictor of creativity (58). School grades and sheer knowledge have no effect on the level of creativity. Personality tests have the same failing as intelligence tests (58). While most creative people have similar personalities, all people with this type of personality are not creative. Therefore, neither intelligence or personality tests can measure creativity.

Past history and biographical data are the best predictors of creativity (17, 58). The creative person becomes involved early in life with his field of interest. Self-ratings and direct expressions of goals are next in value as predictors of creativity (58). Generally, people have a fairly good idea of their own creative abilities, which will be reflected in the self-ratings and aspirations (59). Although ratings by others of creativity levels are often used in research, they are not good predictors of creativity (58). Thus biographical information and self-ratings seem to be the best indicators of creativity and creative potential.

Creativity Research in Clothing and Textiles

There has been an increase in interest in creativity research within the textiles and clothing area in the recent years. Much of the research has centered on students and their level of creativity.

Hammond (25) investigated the relationship of high school girls' participation in home sewing and their level of creativity and personal values. Those girls who sewed as a hobby and to express self scored higher on the creativity measure than those girls who did not indicate these reasons as motives for sewing.

Boaz (3) identified creative characteristics in another area of textiles and clothing. Faculty members were asked to rate the products of students in a fashion sketching class on the degree of creativity exhibited. The students were then given three measures of creativity and scores from the tests and the ratings of the faculty were compared. The ratings of the faculty correlated positively with the test scores. Of the three tests administered, the Creative Behavior Inventory was most indicative of creativity in the study.

Socio-Economic Status

Martineau (40) stated that social class is basic to the structures of a society.

All societies place emphasis on some one structure which gives form to the total society and integrates all the other structures such as the family, the clique, the voluntary associations, caste, age, and sex groupings into a social unity (p. 121).

In Warner's terms, a social class is essentially a group of people who are judged by members of the community as equal to one another in social prestige. This group is either superior or inferior to the other social classes in the community (9, 60).

Any means of ranking status by which all members of a society are placed in some kind of superordinate or subordinate position is social stratification. While money and occupation are important in ranking people in social strata, there are more factors involved than these two alone (40). The individuals in a social stratum do not form a reference group in terms of formal associations. The individuals in the stratum hold mutual understandings and common presuppositions which are shared as a result of communication channels (55).

Social class is based on a variety of factors which determine a person's status in the community. Variables which contribute to one's social class are educational level, income, occupation, housing, and community participation.

Social classes are categories of persons whose educational backgrounds are similar, who share patterns of community participation and social interaction, whose life styles and value systems are remarkably similar, and whose similarities sometimes transcend differences in occupation or income. Above all, the members of a social class regard each other as social equals (9, p. 5).

Research Related to Socio-Economic Status

"There is certainly a rough correlation between income and social class. But social class is a much richer dimension of meaning" (40, p. 129). Income level no longer is an indicator of social status since blue collar workers' wages overlap those of the white collar and professional workers. Yet there are differences between blue collar workers and professionals in their housing patterns and buying patterns. Social class is best able to explain the differences in these consumption patterns. Social class is more highly related to occupation, education, housing, and neighborhood than it is to income (61).

In 1940, Sewell (50) constructed a socio-economic status scale for farm families in Oklahoma. His scale was based on four components: cultural possessions, effective income, material possessions, and participation in the group activities of the community. This scale was the first developed specifically for farm families in Oklahoma and was found to be a reliable measure of status in the 1940's. The basic contribution of this scale was that it was the first systematic attempt at making use of products as indicators of social status.

In 1951, Sharp (51) developed a shortened scale from Sewell's original scale. Sharp found that in the eleven year interval since Sewell's original study some of the measuring factors had become invalid. The room/person ratio, living rood decoration, radio, telephone, auto, education of husband and wife, and membership of wife in extension or PTA were no longer valid measures of socio-economic status for farm families. Sharp deleted these items from the scale to construct a shorter version.

Warner (60) constructed a short scale for determining socioeconomic status in a small town, basing his scale on four factors: dwelling area, house type, occupation, and source of income. This scale results in the conclusions that a rank order or hierarchy exists and that the criterion which people use in establishing this hierarchy is "the way they live" (55).

Coleman and Neugarten (9) developed an index of urban social status through the study of the class structure of Kansas City. The social class structure of a large city cannot be based on the same variables as a small town or rural area because of the small proportion of the city's residents known to any one person. More objective than subjective factors must be taken into account. The Kansas City study measured eight dimensions: occupation of male head of household, total family income, neighborhood, quality of housing, education of male head, education of female head or wife, church affiliation, and community associations.

Indexes of Social Status

There are three methods used to establish the individual's position in the class system: the reputational approach, the self-placement approach, and the objective approach (8).

The reputational method uses community leaders to place each person in a social class. The leaders who do the rating are selected for their extensive acquaintances. This system can only be used for a small group or a small community since the rater must know the people he is placing in the classes (8). The self-placement method allows the individual to indicate to which social class he feels his family belongs. It has validity in that people generally have a good conception of their place in the social hierarchy (8). Self-ratings are operational and useful in determining social class.

The objective approach utilizes an index to statistically determine the placement of the family in the social system. The criteria for the index is established by the researcher. This method is commonly used for research purposes. Hollingshead's two factor Index of Social Position is this type (12, 30). The two factors used in the index are occupation and education, which can be applied on a societywide basis (12).

The Index of Class Position developed by Ellis, Lane, and Olesen (12) uses occupation and self-rating of their class position to determine their social class. Occupation ratings are based on Hollingshead's (30) seven point system and social classes are rated from one to five, one being upper class and five the working class. The scores are summed and total scores are divided into six levels. Ellis, Lane, and Olesen stated that their results show the Index of Class Position is

superior to Hollingshead's Index of Social Position. The Index of Class Position utilizes both the objective approach and the self-placement method.

In 1947, the National Opinion Research Center constructed a scale of occupational prestige based on ratings by 651 respondents. The results are the NORC or North-Hatt Scale, used frequently for occupational ratings in socio-economic studies (18, 28). In 1963, Hodge, Rossi, and Siegel (28) repeated the study and found very slight changes, if any, in occupational prestige had occurred between 1947 and 1963. The studies resulted in an exhaustive listing of occupations and their relative rankings of prestige.

The Index of Urban Status is an outgrowth of the Kansas City social class study by Coleman and Neugarten (9). The Index of Urban Status uses seven of the eight dimensions used in the original Kansas City scale. These dimensions are occupation of male head of household, neighborhood of residence, quality of housing, education of male head of household, education of female head or wife, church affiliation, and community associations. The total family income in the original scale was replaced by family head or wife's occupation in the final scale. The scale is useful in large urban areas but requires a great deal of information from the respondent.

Warner's (60) index, developed in the 1940's, is based on reputation of the neighborhood, quality of housing, type of occupation, and source of income. It has been used as the basis for development of many current scales and is based on the reputational method of determining social class.

Summary

The literature indicated that many women are sewing and those women who do sew have some common characteristics. The women who sew are not limited to one social class. Economy motivates some to sew, but self expression is important also. Sewing is not relegated to the woman who stays at home. Women with full-time jobs sew as much as or more than women who are full-time homemakers.

Creativity is the ability to develop new relationships from existing knowledge. The creative person is above average in intelligence and is independent in his thinking. The highly creative person is introverted, that is, more interested in ideas and things than in people. Creative men and women possess similar characteristics. Past history and biographical data are the best indicators of creative abilities. Generally, people have a good conception of their creative abilities.

Social class is a group of people who are essentially equal in social prestige. Differences in consumption patterns of blue collar workers and professional workers can sometimes be explained by social class. Social class is highly related to occupation, education, housing, and neighborhood. Three methods can be used to establish an individual's position in the class system: reputational approach, self-placement approach, and objective approach.

CHAPTER III

METHOD AND PROCEDURE

The purpose of this study was to examine the characteristics of a group of women who had enrolled in a sewing course at a selected fabric store. Variables investigated were socio-economic factors, sewing experience, and creativity level.

Sample

A list of 250 women who had enrolled in the selected sewing course was obtained from the fabric store. All women who were not Stillwater residents were deleted from the list. Each of the women was telephoned to request her participation in the study. One-hundred thirty-one women agreed to participate, and times were arranged for personal interviews. At the time of the interview, the interviewer introduced herself and explained the purpose of the study. The questionnaire was given to the woman and she checked the appropriate responses. The interviewer was available to answer questions. The interview lasted approximately twenty minutes. The interviews were conducted over a period of a month.

Instruments Used

Sewing experience scores for the women were determined by the Clothing Construction Experience Checklist developed by Dr. Beatrice

Kalka, an extension clothing specialist at Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University. Points were given for each of the sewing experiences and the score on the checklist indicated the amount of sewing experience the woman has had. The checklist yields a score of zero to ninety-six, the higher scores indicating more extensive experience with various techniques.

The Preconscious Activity Scale, developed by Holland and Baird (29), is a true-false test designed to measure artistic creativity. It has been tested for validity and reliability. The test yields a score from zero to thirty-eight, the higher score indicating a higher level of creativity. The score on the Preconscious Activity Scale is the number of "correct" answers to the true-false questions. The higher the number of the respondent's answers which agree with the answers indicating originally, the higher the originality of the respondent. In tests of large national samples of college freshmen, the mean score for women was 19.12, with a standard deviation of 4.93. In a later test with three colleges, the mean score for women was 21.91 and the standard deviation was 5.61. The highest correlate of the test was Barron's Complexity-Simplicity Scale, a well-validated originality measure.

The Index of Class Position by Ellis, Lane, and Olesen (12) was selected to identify the class position of the women. The Index of Class Position uses occupation and self-ratings to establish class position. Replies on the self-ratings were numerically weighted on a five point scale ranging from a score of one for upper class to a score of five for lower class. Occupational scores are based on Hollingshead's (30) seven point scale. Class identification and occupational

scores are summated to yield a total Index of Class Position score ranging from two to twelve. The scores are then grouped into five class levels.

Selected socio-economic factors were determined by a check sheet developed by the researcher. Factors included on this check sheet were age, marital status, number and ages of children, employment status, home economics training, educational level, and sewing courses at other fabric stores.

The instruments used are included in Appendix A, page 53.

Statistics Used

Scores were tabulated for the Clothing Construction Experience Checklist, the Preconscious Activity Scale, and the Index of Class Position. The statistics used in the analysis were the mean, the mode, and the median (62). T-tests were used to determine significant differences between selected means.

The mode is the value of the term that appears most frequently.

Consider the following set of five terms:

2

2

4

6

8

The mode is 2 since it appears twice in the list.

The median is the value of the term that is larger than or equal to half of the other terms and equal to or smaller than half of them.

In the set of terms above the median is 4.

The mean is the value that is obtained by adding the terms and then dividing their sum by the number of terms. In the example, the sum of the terms is 22. The sum is then divided by the number of terms. In the example, 22 is divided by 5 and the result is a mean of 4.4.

Summary

The purpose of the study was to examine the characteristics of a group of women who had enrolled in a sewing class at a selected fabric store. Variables examined were socio-economic factors, sewing experience and creativity level. One-hundred thirty-one women participated in the study. The data were analyzed using the mean, mode, and median. T-tests were used to determine significant differences between the means.

CHAPTER IV

FINDINGS AND INTERPRETATIONS

The purpose of this study was to examine the characteristics of a group of women who had enrolled in a sewing course at a selected fabric store in Stillwater. Variables included were socio-economic factors, sewing experience, and creativity level. The findings of this study are grouped according to socio-economic factors, sewing experience, and creativity level of the one-hundred thirty-one respondents. The mean, mode, and median were calculated and t-tests were used to determine significant differences between selected means.

Socio-Economic Factors

The Index of Class Position was used to place the participants in the appropriate social class. A score of two indicated that the participant was in the upper class. Scores of three or four indicated the upper-middle class; scores of five, six or seven indicated the middle-middle class; scores of eight and nine indicated the lower-middle class; and a score of ten or more indicated the lower class. Two-thirds of the participants fell into the middle-middle class as measured by the Index of Class Position. Nearly one-fourth of the 131 participants fell into the upper-middle class, while only three women were in the upper class. Five women were in the lower-middle class and one woman was in the lower class. The scores ranged from two to ten. Both

the mode and median were five and the mean was 5.2. A score of five is in the middle-middle class (Table I).

TABLE I DISTRIBUTION OF SCORES ON INDEX OF CLASS POSITION (N = 131)

Score	N	%
Upper class		
2	3	2.5
Upper-middle class		
3	3	2.5
4	29	22.0
Middle-middle class		
5	49	37.0
6	23	18.0
7	18	13.0
Lower-middle class		
8	4	3.0
9	1	1.0
Lower class		
10	1 .	1.0

One-hundred twenty four (95 per cent) of the women were married. Only two per cent were widows, and two percent were single. One participant was divorced (Table II).

TABLE II

MARITAL STATUS OF PARTICIPANTS
(N = 131)

Marital Status	N	%
Married	124	95
Single	3	2
Widowed	3	2
Divorced	1	1
Single Widowed	3	2

Approximately two-thirds of the women were between the ages of 25 and 49. The median fell within the 35-49 year category. No respondent was under twenty years of age and only eleven were between 20 and 24 years. Slightly under one-fourth of the women were in the 50-64 year age group. Only two respondents were over 65 years of age (Table III).

The respondents were asked to indicate the number of children they had living at home. Two-thirds of the women indicated they had from one to three children living in the home. More than one-fourth of the women had no children in the home. Only seven women had four to six

children living with them at the time. This group comprised only five per cent of the women participating. (See Table IV.)

TABLE III

DISTRIBUTION OF RESPONDENTS BY AGE
(N = 131)

Age	N	%
20-24 years	11	8.4
25-34 years	45	34.0
35-49 years	45	34.0
50-64 years	28	22.0
Over 65 years	2	1.6
50-64 years	28	22.0

TABLE IV

NUMBER OF CHILDREN LIVING AT HOME
(N = 131)

Number of Children	N	%
None	37	28
1 - 3	87	67
4 - 6	7	5

The group had a total of 186 children living at home. Nearly one-half of the children were in the 5-12 age category. The next largest group of children was the 13-18 age category, comprising nearly one-third of all the children listed. Nineteen per cent of the children were under five and two per cent were over eighteen. Participants listed only children living at home at the time of the interview and did not include adult children who lived elsewhere (Table V).

TABLE V

DISTRIBUTION OF CHILDREN BY AGE
(N = 186)

Age	N	%
Under 5 years	36	19
5-12 years	88	48
13-18 years	58	31
Over 18 years	4	2

Slightly less than half of the participants were employed outside the home. Nearly one-third worked more than thirty hours per week. Fifteen per cent worked less than thirty hours per week outside the home (Table VI).

All the women in the group had completed high school. One-third of the women had completed one to three years of college as their

highest educational level. More than one-fourth had completed four years of college and slightly less than one-fourth had completed five or more years of college (Table VII).

TABLE VI

EMPLOYMENT STATUS OF PARTICIPANTS
(N = 131)

Employment Status	N	%
Not employed outside the home	71	54
Employed outside the home more than 30 hours per week	40	31
Employed outside the home less than 30 hours per week	20	15

TABLE VII DISTRIBUTION OF PARTICIPANTS BY EDUCATIONAL LEVEL $(N \ = \ 131)$

N	%
21	16
44	34
36	27
30	23
	21 44 36

Nearly three-fourths of the women had taken home economics at the high school level. Many of the participants indicated that at least one year of home economics was required for them in high school or junior high school. Twenty-eight per cent had not taken home economics in high school. Only one third of the women had taken home economics courses at the college level (Table VIII).

TABLE VIII

HOME ECONOMICS TRAINING OF PARTICIPANTS
(N = 131)

Home Economics	Training	N	%
	Yes	94	72
High School	No	37	28
	Yes	45	34
College	No	86	66
	NO	00	00

Only twenty-two (17 per cent) had taken a sewing course at another fabric store. Three women had taken an adult education class in tailoring through the public school system. One participant had taken a tailoring course through the Cooperative Extension Service and one woman had sewing instructions at a vocational school (Table IX).

TABLE IX SEWING CLASSES TAKEN BY PARTICIPANTS AT OTHER FABRIC STORES $(N=131) \label{eq:table_ix}$

Classes at Other Fabric Stores	N	%
Yes	22	17
No	109	83

Sewing Experience

Sewing experience of the women was measured by the Clothing Construction Experience Checklist. The range of scores for the participants was four to ninety-five. The mean score was 65.35. The median was 67 and the modes were 68 and 71. Each of these scores occurred six times. Individual scores are listed in Appendix B, page 59. Those women who had taken home economics courses in college scored significantly higher than those who had not taken college home economics (p < .05). The scores for those who had high school home economics were not significantly higher than those who had not (Table X). Of the forty-five women who had home economics in college, thirty had also had home economics courses in high school.

Slightly more than one-half of the participants indicated that they sewed "a great deal." Forty per cent indicated that they sewed "some" and only eight per cent sewed "very little." (See Table XI.)

Almost one-half of the participants made "some" of their clothing.

One-third made "most" of their clothing. Only thirteen per cent made

TABLE X

A COMPARISON OF SEWING EXPERIENCE SCORES WITH HOME ECONOMICS TRAINING IN HIGH SCHOOL AND COLLEGE (N = 131)

	N	Mean	Pooled Standard Deviation	Pooled Degrees of Freedom	t-value
High	school	home econor	mics		
Yes	95	66.24	17.60	129	0.714*
No	36	63.78	17.60	129	0.714
Colle	ege home	economics			
Yes	45	69.95	17.31	129	2.06**
No	86	63.38	17.31	129	2.00**

^{*}Not significant at 0.05 level.

Amount of Sewing	N	%
A great deal	67	52
Some	53	40
Very little	11	8
None	0	0

^{**}Significant at 0.05 level.

"all" their clothes and five per cent made "none" of their clothing (Table XII).

Proportion of Clothing	N	%
A11	17	13
Most	43	33
Some	64	49
None	6	5

Eighty-four per cent of the women have sewn for someone other than themselves. Several women mentioned that they have sewn a great deal for their children. Sixteen per cent had only sewn for themselves (Table XIII).

The most frequently checked reason for sewing was economy, checked by 107 women. Self-expression was indicated as a motive for sewing by 77 participants. Approximately one-third of the women indicated recreation and twenty-nine per cent checked that ready-made clothing does not fit. Other reasons for sewing mentioned by the participants were liking to sew, liking to make a durable product, wanting to look

different from everyone else, poor quality of ready made garments, and inability to find appropriate ready made garments (Table XIV).

TABLE XIII

DISTRIBUTION OF WOMEN WHO HAD SEWN FOR OTHERS
(N = 131)

Sewn for Others	N	%
Yes	110	84
No	26	16

TABLE XIV REASONS FOR SEWING AS REPORTED BY PARTICIPANTS (N = 131)

N	%
107	81
77	59
45	34
39	29
8	7
	107 77 45 39

Since most of the women did sew, nearly half did not check a reason for not sewing. Forty-five per cent of the women indicated lack of time as a limiting factor to the amount of sewing they do. Eleven per cent indicated that they were not pleased with the end results of their sewing and that this limited the amount of their sewing (Table XV).

TABLE XV REASONS FOR NOT SEWING AS REPORTED BY PARTICIPANTS (N = 131)

Reasons for not Sewing	N	%
Lack of time	59	45
Am not pleased with end results	15	1,1
Availability of ready made clothing	7	5
Lack of confidence	6	4
Do not know how to sew	2	2

More than ninety per cent of the women had used a zigzag sewing machine. Eighty-four per cent had used a straight stitch machine. Almost half the participants had used a treadle machine (Table XVI). Several women commented during conversation that they had learned to sew on a treadle machine. Most of the women had used more than one kind of machine, and forty-one per cent had used all three types of machines.

TABLE XVI

TYPES OF MACHINES USED BY PARTICIPANTS (N = 131)

		av.
Type of Machine	N	<u>%</u>
Zigzag	122	93
Straight stitch	110	84
Treadle	61	47

Approximately two-thirds of the women indicated that they had limited experience with sewing machine attachments and special features. Only about one-fourth of the women indicated they had extensive experience with attachments and special features (Table XVII).

TABLE XVII $\begin{tabular}{lllll} \hline EXPERIENCE & REPORTED & BY & PARTICIPANTS & WITH SEWING MACHINE \\ & ATTACHMENTS & AND & SPECIAL & FEATURES \\ & & (N = 131) \\ \hline \end{tabular}$

Amount of Experience	N	%
Extensive	37	28
Limited	89	68
None	5	4

The zipper foot was the attachment with which the largest group of women had experience. Ninety-seven per cent of the women indicated that they had used the zipper foot. Eighty-nine per cent of the women had used a buttonhole attachment. The ruffler and the tucker were the attachments used by the fewest number of women (Table XVIII).

TABLE XVIII

EXPERIENCE OF PARTICIPANTS WITH SPECIFIC SEWING MACHINE
ATTACHMENTS AND SPECIAL FEATURES
(N = 131)

Attachments and Special Features	N	%
Zipper foot	127	97
Buttonhole attachment	114	89
Decorative stitch discs	75	57
Seam guide	68	52
Hemmer foot	68	52
Gathering foot	59	45
Button sewing foot	50	38
Double needle	45	35
Tucker	36	27
Ruffler	33	26

The participants had experience with an average of nine alterations of the twenty listed. More than three-fourths of the women had made some type of alteration on each of the five garment sections (Table XIX).

TABLE XIX

PATTERN ALTERATIONS PARTICIPANTS HAVE MADE
(N = 131)

Garment Section	N	%
Bodice front	110	84
Bodice back	102	78
Sleeve	101	78
Skirt front	100	77
Skirt back	98	7 5
		

A variety of fabrics had been used by the participants. Nearly all the women had sewn with double knits. More than three-fourths of the women had sewn with single knits, even plaids, corduroy, bonded fabrics, permanent press, and stretch fabric. Approximately half the women had used lace and velvet in their sewing (Table XX).

Almost one-third of the participants had sewn in junior high school. Sixty-two per cent had sewn in high school. Only fifteen per cent had sewn in 4-H. All the women had sewn at home. Other places the women had sewn were college, vocational school, adult education and

TABLE XX $\begin{tabular}{ll} TYPES OF FABRICS USED BY PARTICIPANTS \\ (N = 131) \end{tabular}$

Types of Fabrics	N	%
Double knit	129	98
Even plaid	116	89
Permanent press	115	88
Single knit	107	82
Corduroy	106	81
Stretch	106	81
Bonded	9.7	74
Uneven plaid	94	72
Sheer	84	64
Velvet	75	57
Lace	75	57

extension classes. Two women were professional seamstresses and had sewn as part of their work (Table XXI).

TABLE XXI

PLACES WHERE PARTICIPANTS HAVE SEWN
(N = 131)

Place	N	%
Home	131	100.0
High school	81	62.0
Junior high school	39	30.0
College	21	16.0
4-H Club	19	15.0
Adult education classes	3	2.5
Vocational school	1	1.0
Extension class	1	1.0

At least half the women had experience with thirty-five of the thirty-seven clothing construction techniques listed. Less than one-half of the participants had experience with making covered snaps and shawl collars (Table XXII).

Construction Techniques	N	%
Transferring pattern markings		
Tailor's tacks	87	66
Tracing wheel and carbon	103	79
Pins	121	93
Chalk	115	88
Working with true bias		
Cutting	125	96
Joining	101	77
Applying	84	64
Special seams		
French	94	72
Flat felled	108	83
Machine gathers	92	70
Collars		
Peter Pan	120	91
Convertible	72	55
Shawl	54	41
Rolled	100	76
Facings		
Shaped	119	91
Bias	111	85
Buttonholes		
Machine	119	91
Bound	95	73
Fasteners		
Buttons	124	95
Snaps	131	99
Hooks and eyes	130	99
Covering snaps	29	22
Gussets	65	50
Sleeves		
Set-in	130	99
Kimono	72	55
Raglan	129	98

TABLE XXII (CONTINUED)

N	%
121	93
79	60
97	74
122	93
116	89
102	78
130	99
110	84
126	97
106	81
108	83
	121 79 97 122 116 102 130 110 126 106

Creativity

The mean score on the Preconscious Activity Scale was 19.07. This score is only slightly below means found in the studies to validate the scale (19.12 and 21.91). The scores ranged from seven to 33 with a median score of 18 and mode of 20. Individual scores for the Preconscious Activity Scale are presented in Appendix B, page 61.

Hammond (25) found a significant relationship between creativity scores and motivation for sewing for high school girls in the 1967 study. In Hammond's study, those girls who sewed for self-expression scored significantly higher on the creativity test than those who did not check self-expression. Because of her findings, the creativity scores and motivations for sewing of this group of women were compared. There was no significant difference in mean creativity scores between those women who checked self-expression as a reason for sewing and those who did not (Table XXIII).

Hammond also found that those girls who sewed for economic reasons scored lower on the creativity test than those who did not sew for economic reasons. There was no significant difference in creativity level for those who sewed for economic motives and those who did not in this study; therefore, this study did not support the findings of Hammond's study (Table XXIII).

There were no significant differences in mean creativity scores for those who indicated "recreation" as a motive and those who did not, or for those who indicated "ready made clothing does not fit" and those who did not.

TABLE XXIII $\begin{tabular}{ll} A COMPARISON OF CREATIVITY SCORES AND REASONS FOR SEWING \\ (N = 131) \end{tabular}$

Reason for Sewing	N	Mean	Pooled Standard Deviation	Pooled Degrees of Freedom	t-value
Self Expression					
Yes	77	19.7	5 0400	100	1 05001
No	54	18.5	5.3439	129	1.2580*
Economy					
Yes	107	19.39	5 250	100	0.70/04
No	24	18.54	5.350	129	0.7940*
Ready Made Clothing	g Does 1	Not Fit			
Yes	41	18.5	5.339	100	1 05254
No	90	19.5	2.339	129	1.0535*
Recreation					
Yes	44	18.36	5.324	120	1 25004
No	87	19.67	5.324	129	1,3500*

^{*}Not significant, p < 0.05.

CHAPTER V

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Many fabric stores currently offer sewing classes to teach their customers to sew or to improve existing skills of women who do sew. The purpose of this study was to examine characteristics of women who had enrolled in a sewing course at a selected fabric store in Still-water. The variables investigated were socio-economic factors, sewing experience, and creativity level. One-hundred thirty-one women participated in the study. Each participant was personally interviewed by the researcher.

The Clothing Construction Experience Checklist was used to measure sewing experience. The highest possible score was 96. The range of scores for the 131 participants was 4 to 95 with a mean score of 65.35. All of the women had done some sewing at home. Half of the women indicated they sewed "a great deal." Eighty-four per cent had sewed for someone other than themselves, particularly other family members.

The most frequently checked reason for sewing was economy (81 per cent) with self expression (59 per cent) the second most frequently checked reason. Only about one-half indicated a reason for not sewing more, and lack of time was the most frequently checked response.

Most of the women had used more than one type of sewing machine. Ninety-three per cent of the women had used a zigzag sewing machine, but less than half had used a treadle machine. Sixty-eight per cent

of the women had only limited experience with sewing machine attachments and special features; however, most of the women had experience with the zipper foot and the buttonhole attachment. Ninety-eight per cent of the women had sewn with double knit and more than one-half had sewn with each of the eleven fabrics listed. Of the 37 specific clothing construction techniques listed, more than half the women had experience with all but two: shawl collars and covered snaps.

Sixty-two per cent had sewn in high school, while only fifteen per cent had sewn in 4-H. Almost three-fourths had taken home economics classes in high school and one-third had taken home economics classes in college. A t-test was used to compare scores on the Clothing Construction Experience Checklist of women who had formalized home economics training and those who did not. The mean score for the women who had taken home economics in college was significantly higher than the mean score for women who had not, but there was no significant difference between mean scores for those who had taken home economics in high school and those who had not.

The Preconscious Activity Scale was used to measure the creativity levels of the women. The group mean was only slightly below the mean established by Holland and Baird (29), developers of the scale. A t-test was used to compare scores on the creativity measure with the reasons checked for sewing. Creativity scores in this study were not significantly higher for those women who sewed to express self. Those who indicated economy as a motivation for sewing did not score significantly lower on the creativity measure than did the women who did not indicate economy as a motive.

Socio-economic characteristics of the 131 women were similar. Two-thirds of the participants were in the middle-middle class and were between 25 and 49 years of age. Ninety-five per cent of the women were married and two-thirds had from one to three children. Half the children living at home were in the five to twelve year category. More than one-half of the women were full-time homemakers. Eighty-four per cent of the women had attended college.

Recommendations for Further Study

Recommendations for further study include the following:

- 1. Further evaluate and refine the Clothing Construction Experience Checklist.
- 2. Investigate amount and type of sewing being done by and for men.
- 3. Investigate the relationship between creativity and individual sewing experiences of a stratified group including individuals from all social classes who do and do not sew.

A SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

- (1) "A Three Billion Dollar Boom in Home Sewing." Business Week, No. 2144 (October 3, 1970), 56-57.
- (2) Bachtold, Louise M. and Emmy E. Werner. "Personality Characteristics of Creative Women." <u>Perceptual and Motor Skills</u>, Vol. 36 (February, 1973), 311-319.
- (3) Boaz, Mary Evelyn. "Identification and Evaluation of Creative Abilities of Students in the Area of Textiles and Clothing." (Unpub. Ed.D. dissertation, Oklahoma State University, 1965.)
- (4) Bolin, Patti and Helma Davis. "Developing Creativity Through Home Economics Teaching." <u>Illinois Teacher of Home Economics</u>, Vol. 4 (1962), 152-193.
- (5) Brockman, Helen. "Creativity in Fashion Design Teaching." <u>Proceedings of Twenty-Third Conference of College Teachers of Textiles and Clothing</u>. Knoxville: University of Tennessee, 1967, pp. 20-30.
- (6) Bruch, Catherine B. and Jean A. Morse. "Initial Study of Creative (Productive) Women Under the Bruch-Morse Model." The Gifted Child Quarterly, Vol. 16 (Winter, 1972), 282-289.
- (7) Carman, James M. The Application of Social Class in Market Segmentation. Berkeley, California: IBER Special Publications, 1965.
- (8) Coleman, John A. "A Paradigm for the Study of Social Strata."

 Social Stratification in the United States. Ed. Jack L.

 Roach, Llewellyn Gross, and Orville R. Gursslin. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1969, pp. 94-102.
- (9) Coleman, Richard P. and Bernice L. Neugarten. Social Status in the City. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Inc., 1971.
- (10) Crowder, Anna Fendrich. "Purchasing and Procedural Habits of Married Women Sewing at Home in a Large Metropolitan Area." (Unpub. M.S. thesis, Texas Women's University, 1972.)
- (11) Eitzer, D. Stanley. "Social Class, Status Inconsistency and Political Attitudes." Social Science Quarterly, Vol. 51 (December, 1970), 602-609.

- (12) Ellis, Robert A., W. Clayton Lane, and Virginia Olesen. "The Index of Class Position." Social Stratification in the United States. Ed. Jack L. Roach, Llewellyn Gross, and Orville R. Gursslin. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1969, pp. 106-115.
- (13) Erwin, Mabel D. and Lila A. Kinchen. <u>Clothing for Moderns</u>. New York: MacMillan Publishing Co., Inc., 1974.
- (14) Falcone, Patricia L. "An Exploratory Study Designed to Ascertain Development of Creative Ability in Selected Senior High School Home Economics Students." Journal of Home Economics, Vol. 55 (March, 1963), 212.
- (15) Featherman, David L. and William H. Sewell. "Toward Comparable Data on Inequality and Stratification: Perspectives on the Second Generation of National Mobility Studies." The American Sociologist, Vol. 9 (February, 1974), 18-25.
- (16) Fessler, Barbara. "Education's Role in Home Sewing Industry."
 What's New in Home Economics, Vol. 35 (April, 1971), 11-13.
- (17) Freeman, James, H. J. Butcher, and T. Christie. <u>Creativity</u>, <u>a</u>

 <u>Selective Review of Research</u>. London: Society for Research into Higher Education Ltd., 1968.
- (18) Gordon, Milton M. "The Logic of Stratification Scales." Social

 Stratification in the United States. Ed. Jack L. Roach,
 Llewellyn Gross, and Orville R. Gursslin. Englewood Cliffs,
 New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1969, pp. 123-132.
- (19) Gross, Llewellyn. "Problems in the Choice and Use of Substantive and Classificatory Concepts in Class Research." Social

 Stratification in the United States. Ed. Jack L. Roach,
 Llewellyn Gross, and Orville R. Gursslin. Englewood Cliffs,
 New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1969, pp. 83-93.
- (20) Guilford, J. P. "Measurement and Creativity." Theory Into Practice, Vol. 5 (October, 1966), 186-190.
- (21) Guilford, J. P. "Some Misconception Regarding Measurement of Creative Talents." <u>Journal of Creative Behavior</u>, Vol. 5 (1971), 77-87.
- (22) Haefele, John W. <u>Creativity and Innovation</u>. New York: Reinhold Publishing Corporation, 1962.
- (23) Haer, John L. "Predictive Utility of Five Indices of Social Stratification." Social Stratification in the United States.

 Ed. Jack L. Roach, Llewellyn Gross, and Orville R. Gursslin. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1969, pp. 115-122.

- (24) Hall, Richard H. Occupations and the Social Structure. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1969.
- (25) Hammond, LeArta. "The High School Girl's Interest in Home Sewing in Relation to Her Values and Creativity." (Unpub. M. S. thesis, Utah State University, 1967.)
- (26) Helson, Ravenna. "Personality Characteristics and Developmental History of Creative College Women." Genetic Psychology Monographs, Vol. 76 (1967), 205-256.
- (27) Hodge, Robert W., Donald J. Treiman, and Peter H. Rossi. "A Comparative Study of Occupational Prestige." <u>Class, Status, and Power</u>. Ed. Reinhard Bendix and Seymour Martin Lipset.

 New York: The Free Press, 1966, pp. 309-321.
- (28) Hodge, Robert W., Paul M. Siegel, and Peter H. Rossi. "Occupational Prestige in the United States: 1925-1963." <u>Class</u>, <u>Status</u>, <u>and Power</u>. Ed. Reinhard Bendix and Seymour Martin Lipset. New York: The Free Press, 1966, pp. 322-334.
- (29) Holland, John L. and Leonard L. Baird. "The Preconscious Activity Scale: The Development and Validation of an Originality Measure." Journal of Creative Behavior, Vol. 2 (Summer, 1968), 217-223.
- (30) Hollingshead, August B. and Frederich C. Redlich. Social Class and Mental Illness. New York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1958.
- (31) "The Home Sewing Circle." American Fabrics, No. 82 (Summer, 1967), 65.
- (32) "Home Sewing Fabrics Unlimited." American Fabrics, No. 85 (Winter, 1969-1970), 31-35.
- (33) "Home Sewing, Sympton of the Seventies." American Fabrics, No. 96 (Winter, 1972), 74-75.
- (34) "Home Sewing: Textile Stepchild Grows Up." <u>Textile World</u>, Vol. 122 (June, 1972), 82.
- (35) Johnson, Doris. "A New Direction in Clothing Construction."

 Journal of Home Economics, Vol. 52 (November, 1960), 752-53.
- (36) Kahl, Joseph A. and James A. Davis. "A Comparison of Indexes of Socio-Economic Status." <u>American Sociological Review</u>, Vol. 20 (1955), 317-325.
- (37) Krall, Ellen Rae Best. "An Investigation and Analysis of the Rapid Expansion of the Teen-Age Market in the Home Sewing Industry." (Unpub. M. S. thesis, University of Maryland, 1972.)

- (38) Lidolph, Twyla Burgess. "An Investigation of Homemaker Sewing Practices, Characteristics, and Storage Space Recommendations for Planning Individual Sewing Centers." (Unpub. M.S. thesis, University of Nebraska, 1969.)
- (39) MacKinnon, Donald W. "What Makes a Person Creative?" Theory Into Practice, Vol. 5 (October, 1966), 152-156.
- (40) Martineau, Pierre. "Social Classes and Spending Behavior."

 Journal of Marketing, Vol. 23 (October, 1958), 121-130.
- (41) Mathews, H. Lee and John W. Slocum, Jr. "Social Class and Commercial Bank Credit Card Usage." <u>Journal of Marketing</u>, Vol. 33 (January, 1969), 71-78.
- (42) McDonald, Alice Oelwein. "Indicators for Creative Teaching."

 <u>Journal of Home Economics</u>, Vol. 53 (October, 1961), 667-669.
- (43) McElderry, Betty Jo. "Clothing Construction and Other Sewing Practices of College Graduates." <u>Journal of Home Economics</u>, Vol. 57 (November, 1965), 36-37.
- (44) Myers, James H., Roger R. Stanton, and Arne F. Haug. "Correlates of Buying Behavior: Social Class Vs. Income." <u>Journal of Marketing</u>, Vol. 35 (October, 1971), 8-15.
- (45) "New Marketing for the New Main Street." American Fabrics, No. 85 (Winter, 1969-70), 36-37.
- (46) Ondovcsik, Maryann. "WWD Takes a Look at: the Home Sewing Explosion." Women's Wear Daily, December 7, 1970, 4-5.
- (47) Ossowski, Stanislaw. "Different Conceptions of Social Class."

 <u>Class, Status, and Power</u>. Ed. Reinhard Bendix and Seymour

 Martin Lipset. New York: The Free Press, 1966, pp. 86-96.
- (48) Peters, William H. "Relative Occupational Class Income: A Significant Variable in Marketing of Automobiles." <u>Journal of Marketing</u>, Vol. 34 (April, 1970), 74-77.
- (49) Rich, Stuart U. and Suhash C. Jain. "Social Class and Life Cycle as Predictors of Shopping Behavior." <u>Journal of Marketing Research</u>, Vol. 5 (February, 1968), 41-49.
- (50) Sewell, William H. "The Construction and Standardization of a Scale for the Measurement of the Socio-Economic Status of Oklahoma Farm Families." Stillwater, Oklahoma: Oklahoma Agriculture and Mechanical College Agriculture Experiment Station. Technical Bulletin No. 9, April, 1940.

- (51) Sharp, Emmit Frederick. "A Factor Analysis Approach to the Construction and Validation of a Socioeconomic Status Scale for Open-Country Families in Oklahoma." (Unpub. M.S. thesis, Oklahoma State University, 1951.)
- (52) Shry, Stephen A. "The Relation of Creativity in College Students to Attention Cues." (Unpub. Ph.D. dissertation, Oklahoma State University, 1968.)
- (53) Simpson, Richard L. and Ida Harper Simpson. "Correlates and Estimation of Occupational Prestige." Social Stratification in the United States. Ed. Jack L. Roach, Llewellyn Gross, and Orville R. Gursslin. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1969, pp. 132-137.
- (54) Slocum, John W., Jr. and H. Lee Mathews. "Social Class and Income as Indicators of Consumer Credit Behavior." <u>Journal of Marketing</u>, Vol. 34 (April, 1970), 69-74.
- (55) Sommers, Montrose S. "Product Symbolism and the Perception of Social Strata." <u>Dimensions of Consumer Behavior</u>. Ed. James McNeal. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1969, pp. 347-61.
- (56) Sprecher, Dr. Thomas B. "Creative Initiation and Creative Response." Proceedings of Twenty-Third Conference of College Teachers of Textiles and Clothing. Knoxville: University of Tennessee, 1967, 5-16.
- (57) Sweeney, Beverly S. and Henrietta M. Thompson. "Sewing Practices of Mothers of Preschool Children." <u>Journal of Home Economics</u>, Vol. 57 (October, 1965), 660-61.
- (58) Taylor, Calvin W. ed. <u>Creativity</u>: <u>Progress and Potential</u>. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1964.
- (59) Walkup, Lewis E. "Detecting Creativity: Some Practical Approaches." <u>Journal of Creative Behavior</u>, Vol. 5 (1971), 88-93.
- (60) Warner, W. L., M. Meeker, and K. Ellis. <u>Social Class in America</u>. Chicago: Science Research Associates, 1949.
- (61) Wasson, Chester R. "Is It Time to Quit Thinking of Income Classes?" Journal of Marketing, Vol. 33 (April, 1969), 54-69.
- (62) Weinberg, George H. and John A. Schumaker. Statistics, An Intuitive Approach. Belmont, California: Wadsworth Publishing Company, Inc., 1962.
- (63) York, Majorie Ouida. "Practices and Opinions of a Select Group of Homemakers with Regard to Home Sewing." (Unpub. M. S. thesis, Oklahoma State University, 1961.)

APPENDIX A

INFORMATION CHECKLIST

INFORMATION CHECKLIST

As part of my work for my masters degree, I am studying some characteristics of women who have taken a commercial sewing course. Your participation in this study is appreciated. Your answers will be kept completely confidential. Only the totals will be used in the study.

1.	What is your approximate age? under 20 years 20 to 24 years 25 to 34 years	35 to 49 years50 to 64 years65 or older
2.	Which of the following descri	bes your marital status?divorced or separatedwidowed
3.	How many children do you havenone1 to 3 children	living at home?4 to 6 children7 or more
4.	How many children living at home under 5 years old 5 to 12 years old 13 to 18 years old	
5.	Do you have a job outside the	home? yes no
6.	If you are employed, how many the home? less than 30 hours per warm more than 30 hours per warm.	hours per week do you work outsid eek eek
7.	Which category most closely destrainment?elementary schoolhigh schooll to 3 years of college4 years of college5 or more years of college	escribes your highest educational
8.	Have you had formalized home high school yes not	0
9.	Have you previously taken sew store?yesno	ing courses at any other fabric
10.	Indicate the occupation of the	e principle breadwinner in your

11.	Indicate which social class you feel you belong in:
	upper class
	middle class
	lower class
12.	If you indicated middle class, are you in the:upper middle
	middle middle
	lower middle

Sewing Experience

Directions: Where appropriate, check the blank or column which describes your experience.

I.		MAKING OF CLOTHING CAN BE AN EERIENCE.	YOUN	ABLE, SATISFYING, CREATIVE
	Α.	My reason(s) for sewing are: Self expression Economy Ready made clothing does not fit Recreation	C.	I sew:A great dealSomeVery littleNone
		Other (list)	D.	I make:
II.		My reason(s) for not sewing are: Lack of confidenceAm not pleased withthe end resultsLack of timeDo not know how to sewAvailability of readymade clothingOther (list) PROPER USE OF THE MACHINE MAKE STRUCTION OF A PROJECT, AND RES		Have Have not sewn for others. WING A PLEASURE, SPEEDS
	Α.			I have used the following special sewing machine attachments and features: Buttonhole attachmentTuckerGathering foot
	В.	My experience using sewing machine attachments and special purpose features has been: ExtensiveLimitedNone		Zipper foot Double needle Special decorative stitch discs Seam guide Ruffler Hemmer foot Button sewing foot

III.	II. MANY FITTING PROBLEMS CAN BE SOLVED BY APPROPRIATE PATTERN ADJUSTMENTS. A. I have made the following pattern alterations:				1			
	A. I have n	made the foll Lengthened S					cressed	Width
	Bodice front		mor cene	I BIILAL	seu wi	den be	creaseu	WIGGII
								
	Bodice back							
	Skirt front							
	Skirt back						- "	
	Sleeve							
	B. The above	ve pattern al	teration	ns were	made 1	by:		
	S1a Fol	ashing lding a tuck		Addir Cutti		f		
IV.	SOME FABRICS	HAVE DIFFER	ENT TEMP	'ERAMEN'I	rs and	REQUI	RE SPECI	IAL
	A. I have n	nade garments	using t	he foll	Lowing	selec	ted fabi	cics:
	Knits Sir	Plaid ngleEve	_	-		Bone		
	Dou	ibleUne	ven	Velvet	<u> </u>	Lace	e	
					_		manent p etch	ress
V.		SS OF MAKING CLOTHING CO					G OF THE	HOW'S
	Please check	the square	that inc	licates	which	of the	ese cate	gories
	of garments	you have mad	le and wh	nere you	ı made	them.		
				<u> </u>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
					Where	e Made		
	Garment		Junior High	High School	4-H	Home	Other (-
	Tailored su	its or coats						
	Dresses or	skirts						
	Blouses or	shirts						
	Slacks or s	shorts						
	Pantsuits							······································
	Lingerie							······

Other (Specify)

VI. ASSEMBLING A GARMENT IS A DECISION MAKING PROCESS. My experience with the various processes of clothing construction has been as follows.

rollows.	 -		-	
Construction Process	Yes	No	Process	Unknown
Transferring pattern markings			1	
Tailor's tacks		1	<u> </u>	
Tracing wheel and carbon				·
Pins				
Chalk	† · · · · · ·		†	
Working with true bias	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ľ 	-	
Cutting				
Joining	<u> </u>			
Applying	1		1	
Special seams			-	*****
French			1	
Flat felled		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Machine gathers		<u> </u>	 	
Collars		 	 	
Peter Pan			1	
Convertible			-	
Shaw1				
Rolled		 	 	
Facings		ļ		
_				
Shaped Bias	ļ			
Buttonholes				
		1		
Machine		-		
Bound			-	
Fasteners	1			
Buttons			<u>_</u>	
Snaps				
Hooks and eyes				
Covering snaps			<u> </u>	
Gussets				
Sleeves				
Set-in				
Kimono				
Raglan				
Waistline treatments			f	
Waistband			1	
Stay				
Covering belting				
Zippers				
Centered		1		
Lapped				
Invisible			1	
Hemming skirts			1	****
Straight skirt	1	1		
Pleated skirt	l		† · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Flared skirt	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·	
Lining		 	+	
Underlining				<u> </u>
V	l	<u> </u>	1	

VII.	to you.	the following question true (T) or false (F) as they apply
	. 1.	I would rather be a senator than a philosopher.
		I would rather be an efficiency expert than a musician.
	3.	
		engineer.
	4.	
		ideas the most distasteful part.
	5.	I rarely come up with novel ideas.
		I would rather be a business promoter than a psychologist.
		I would rather be an engineer than an artist.
		I am occassionally taken in by new books and ideas.
		I often daydream about unsolved problems.
	10.	I enjoy daydreaming about future projects, activities, or problems.
	11.	I would rather be a research scientist than a scientific administrator.
	12.	
		books.
	13.	If I had the talent, I would enjoy being a composer.
	14.	I would rather edit than write a book.
	15.	I would rather be a leader than an inventor.
	_{16.}	I often try to be alone so I can think things through.
	17.	
	_{18.}	I would prefer living a life like that of Thomas Edison
		rather than that of Sigmund Freud.
	19.	Daydreaming is a poor way to solve problems.
	20.	I am interested in psychology and psychiatry.
	21.	I would rather be an influential public figure than a
		creative artist.
	22.	I am quiet rather than an expressive person.
	23.	I prefer teachers who give well-organized courses and
		clear assignments to those who require independent re-
		ports and papers.
	24.	I have to learn things in my own way rather than accept-
		ing ideas or relationships suggested by textbooks, etc.
	25.	The way to solve difficult problems is by thorough plan-
		ning and good organization of your time.
	26.	I often make judgements by my first impressions and feel-
		ings rather than by thinking through of the situation.
	27.	I would like to be an inventor.
	28.	I solve intellectual problems by careful, logical think-
	0.0	ing.
	29.	I would rather be a writer than a banker.
	30.	If I had the necessary talent, I would enjoy being a
	21	sculptor.
	31.	I enjoy problems for which you can obtain a precise
	32.	answer. I would rather be an experimental than a clinical
		psychologist.
	33.	I think I am practical rather than an imaginative person.
	33. 34.	My friends think of me as an objective rather than an
	J4.	emotional or expressive person

35.	I begin projects by daydreaming about how they might be
	done.
36.	My friends regard me as somewhat absent-minded.
37.	I am more of a realist than an idealist.
38.	I often act without thinking.

APPENDIX B

RAW SCORES OF PARTICIPANTS ON CLOTHING

CONSTRUCTION EXPERIENCE CHECKLIST,

PRECONSCIOUS ACTIVITY SCALE, AND

INDEX OF CLASS POSITION

TABLE XXIV

SCORES OF EACH PARTICIPANT ON CLOTHING CONSTRUCTION EXPERIENCE CHECKLIST, PRECONSCIOUS ACTIVITY SCALE, AND INDEX OF CLASS POSITION
(N = 131)

Participant	Clothing Construction Experience Checklist		Index of Class Position
1	4	15	4
2	15	20	6
3	21	24	7
4	28	21	5
5	28	15	5
. 6	28	20	8
7	34	16	5
8	35	9	6
9	37	25	4
10	38	25	4
11	38	21	7
12	39	28	5
13	40	15	5
14	42	25	6
15	42	20	5
16	43	26	4
17	43	17	7
18	44	18	6
19	44	11	4
20	44	23	7
21	48	16	5

TABLE XXIV (CONTINUED)

Participant	Clothing Construction Experience Checklist	Preconscious Activity Scale	Index of Class Position
22	50	26	4
23	50	13	5
24	52	14	5
25	52	19	6
26	53	12	8
27	54	19	5
28	56	26	7
29	56	16	4
30	56	16	4
31	57	9	5
32	57	15	5
33	57	12	5
34	58	20	.5
35	58	17	5
36	59	17	5
37	60	24	5
38	60	23	5
39	60	12	9
40	60	18	6
41	60	20	5
42	61 /	23	6
43	61	18	2
44	61 ,	20	5

TABLE XXIV (CONTINUED)

Participant	Clothing Construction Experience Checklist		Index of Class Position
45	61	25	4
46	61	21	7
47	62	18	4
48	62	20	4
49	62	22	7
50	63	21	4
51	63	20	4
52	63	17	3
53	63	27	2
54	63	21	6
55	64	25	77
56	64	22	7
57	65	29	5
58	65	20	7
59	65	23	5
60	66	18	5
61	67	18	5
62	67	22	8
63	68	21	6
64	68	17	7
65	68	20	7
66	68	22	6
67	68	22	4

TABLE XXIV (CONTINUED)

			<u> </u>
Participant	Clothing Construction Experience Checklist	Preconscious Activity Scale	Index of Class Position
68	68	29	6
69 -	69	24	5
70	69	21	6
71	69	21	6
72	69	23	5
73	70	29	5
74	70	14	5
75	70	24	5
76	70	12	4
77	71	12	5
78	71	9	6
79	71	28	7
80	71	21	7
81	71	18	4
82	71	18	4
83	72	27	5
84	72	15	5
85	72	18	4
86	72	24	5
87	73	17	6
88	75	25	5
89	75	27	6
90	75	15	4

TABLE XXIV (CONTINUED)

Participant	Clothing Construction Experience Checklist	Preconscious Activity Scale	Index of Class Position
91	75	20	5
92	75	16	4
93	76	17	6
94	76	28	7
95	76	15	7
96	76	21	5
97	76	16	4
98	77	14	6
99	77	21	6
100	77	21	4
101	78	17	5
102	79	25	3
103	80	10	5
104	80	11	4
105	82	14	4
106	82	16	4
107	82	14	4
108	83	33	2
109	83	25	5
110	84	20	4
111	84	17	5
112	84	19	5
113	85	· 20	5

TABLE XXIV (CONTINUED)

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Participant	Clothing Construction Experience Checklist		Index of Class Position
114	85	. 8	10
115	86	28	5
116	87	14	6
117	87	15	7
118	87	19	3
119	87	14	5
120	88	17	6
121	88	31	4
122	88	17	5
123	89	15	5
124	89	10	6
125	89	11	8
126	91	7	6
127	92	29	5
128	93	22	7
129	93	23	5
130	93	12	5
131	95	17	5

VITA

Nancy Fadgen Stanforth

Candidate for the Degree of

Master of Science

Thesis: SOCIO-ECONOMIC FACTORS, SEWING EXPERIENCE, AND CREATIVITY LEVEL OF WOMEN ENROLLED IN A SELECTED SEWING CLASS

Major Field: Clothing, Textiles and Merchandising

Biographical:

Personal Data: Born in Hoven, South Dakota, October 16, 1950, daughter of Mr. and Mrs. Dick Fadgen.

Education: Graduated from Huron High School, Huron, South Dakota, May, 1968; received Bachelor of Science degree in Textiles and Clothing from South Dakota State University in December, 1972; completed requirements for the Master of Science degree at Oklahoma State University in December, 1974.

Professional Experience: Graduate teaching assistant at Oklahoma State University, 1973-1974.

Professional Organizations: Phi Upsilon Omicron, American Home Economics Association, South Dakota Home Economics Association, Oklahoma Home Economics Association, Omicron Nu.