# RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN GRAIN YIELD, YIELD COMPONENTS AND MORPHOLOGIC PLANT <br> parts above the flag leaf node <br> IN WINTER WHEAT 

By
MAHMOOD OSMANZAI
Bachelor of Science
Kabul University
Kabul, Afghanistan
1969

Submitted to the Faculty of the Graduate College
of the Oklahoma State University
in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the Degree of MASTER OF SCIENCE December, 1974

## RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN GRAIN YIELD, YIELD

COMPONENTS AND MORPHOLOGIC PLANT
PARTS ABOVE THE FLAG LEAF NODE
IN WINTER WHEAT

Thesis Approved:


The author wishes to express sincere appreciation to Dr. Lavoy I. Croy, major advisor for his assistance and encouragement throughout the course work and preparation of this thesis. Special appreciation is expressed to. Dr. Edward L. Smith for his assistance in conducting the field experiment and collecting data. Grateful acknowledgment is also extended to the other member of my committee, Dr. Robert M. Reed.

The valuable assistance of Dr. Robert D. Morrison, Professor of Mathematics and Statistics, in the statistical analyses of this study is gratefully acknowledged. I am grateful to Dr. Harry C. Young, Jr. for his assistance in disease readings.

To the Agency for International Development and to the Agronomy Department of Oklahoma State University, the author is grateful for the financial support and the facilities which made this study possible.

I am grateful to my family for their encouragement and understanding during the course of this study.

The author would also like to thank Mrs. Kathy Henslick for the typing of this manuscript.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter Page
I. INTRODUCTION ..... 1
II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE ..... 3
Relation Between Yield and Selected Morphology of Cereals ..... 3
Yield Components and Their Relationship to Yield. ..... 8
Yield and Some Other Factors ..... 13
III. MATERIALS AND METHODS ..... 15
The Field Layout ..... 15
Characters Evaluated ..... 18
Statistical Analyses ..... 21
IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION ..... 23
Grain Yield ..... 28
Photosynthetic Components ..... 31
Yield Components ..... 34
Some Other Plant Characters ..... 35
Relative Importance of Different Characters on Yield ..... 37
V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS ..... 44
LITERATURE CITED ..... 47
APPENDIX ..... 51

## LIST OF TABLES

Table Page
I. Rainfall Received and Deviations from Normal by Month for Crop.Year 1972-1973 at Stillwater, Oklahoma ..... 16
II. Entry Number, Name, and Origin of Wheat Varieties ..... 17
III. Mean Squares for Flag Leaf Area and Other Characters of 25 Winter Wheat Varieties ..... 24
IV. Mean Squares for Peduncle Length and. Other Characters of 25 Winter Wheat Varieties ..... 25
V. Mean Squares for Grain Yield and Other Characters of
25 Winter Wheat Varieties ..... 26
VI. Mean Squares for Some Characters of 25 Winter Wheat Varieties ..... 27
VII. Correlation Coefficients for Grain Yield and Some Other
Plant Characters Among 25 Winter. Wheat Varieties ..... 29
VIII. Simple Correlation Coefficients for Grain Yield and Some Other Plant Characters Among 25 Winter Wheat Varieties ..... 30
IX. ...Relative Importance of Different Plant Characters Grain Yield of 25 Winter Wheat Varieties ..... 40
X. Means and Rank for Grain Yield of Thirty Winter Wheat Varieties ..... 52
XI. Means of Yield Components and Some Characters for Twenty-Nine Winter Wheat Varieties ..... 53
XII. Means of Photosynthetic Components of Twenty-Nine Winter Wheat Varieties ..... 55
XIII. Means of Some Character of Twenty-Nine Winter Wheat Varieties ..... 57

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure Page

1. Regression of Kernels per Spike on Flag Leaf Width . . . . . . ..... 33
2. Regression of Grain Yield on Number of Tillers ..... 36
3. Regression of Grain Yield on Days to Heading ..... 38
4. Regression of Grain Yield on Seed Weigh ..... 41
5. Regression of Grain Yield on Peduncle Length ..... 42
6. Regression of Grain Yield on Kernels per Spike ..... 43

Wheat is one of man's principal foods and is grown nearly everywhere in the world for that purpose. As such, wheat is important in programs for increased world food production. Increased yield potential has always been a basic importance in plant breeding, management and production programs. A knowledge of the relationships of yield in winter wheat (Triticum aestivum L.), the components of yield (spikes per plant, kernels per spike, and kernel weight), and plant morphological structure above the flag-leaf node can be of great assistance to plant breeders in making selection for higher yields. Recently, it has been realized that selection on the basis of yield components alone may not necessarily be the most efficient way to attain yield increases.

Characters such as the number of seed bearing tillers per unit area, number of spikes per plant, number of kernels per spike and weight per kernel were considered as the units which produce high yield. Selection for these characters did not always lead to yield increases, since biological limitation or compensation mechanisms operate among the yield components. Economic yield (grain yield) of wheat and other cereals can be related to the photosynthetic area above the flag leaf node.

A number of reports have supported the importance of the structures
above the flag leaf node in contributing carbohydrate supply to the developing grain of wheat ( $5,15,44$ ). The range of this contribution is estimated to be between 60 to 70 percent.

The carbohydrate in the grain of cereals is largely derived from photosynthesis during the period of grain development, since the stored photosynthate in the stem prior to anthesis does not contribute much to the grain yield. The major source of assimilate for the seed is the photosynthesis by the flag leaf, peduncle and spike. The portion of photosynthesis from the ear in supplying the needs of grain for carbohydrate is influenced by the variety used, in particular, on the presence or absence of awns, since the awns contribute considerably to grain yield (14, 20).

The primary objectives of this study were:

1) to determine the relative importance and relationship between yield, yield components, and morphologic structures above flag leaf node on winter wheat varieties.
2) to evaluate the varieties in the survey for yield components and other plant and seed characters under Stillwater conditions.

## CHAPTER II

## REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Yield per unit area in wheat is the result of yield components and the amount of metabolic input. Light interception can be the factor of determining yield, if water and nutrients are present in the soil in sufficient amount. Selection for yield components have not always led to the yield increases which were expected. A biological limitation or compensation mechanism works among the yield components. Many physiological studies have been undertaken of the association of yield and structure above the flag leaf node.

## Relation Between Yield and Selected Morphology in Cereals

A number of reports have supported the importance of the structures above the flag leaf node in their contributing of carbohydrate supply to the developing grains of wheat. Leaves, sheaths, and that part of the stem below the flag leaf, apparently contribute only a small percentage of the final grain weight. Some estimates of the contribution to grain dry weight from photosynthesis above the flag node are $60 \%$ (3), $85 \%$ (4), $83 \%$ (13) and $80-85 \%$ (38). Langer (28) found that $83 \%$ of the grain carbohydrate in wheat was accounted for by the flag leaf and other green parts of the shoot.

Saghir, et al. (41) studied the relative contribution of different
parts of wheat and barley plants. As a result of shading the spike, yield decreased $59.7 \%$ and shriveling of the grain occurred at maturity. A $22.2 \%$ and an $11.5 \%$ yield decrease were obtained when shading affected upper and lower leaves, respectively. They concluded that varieties with larger spikes and greater leaf area in the upper part would give higher yield. Pendleton and Weibel (37) found that any shading after flowering (anthesis) reduced the grain yield of wheat. The trend of yield reduction was directly related to the degree of shading.

A number of works have shown the importance of the flag leaf area contribution to grain yield of wheat. Watson (51) reviewed the specific contribution of leaf area to plant weight (biological yield). From his study on wheat, sugar beet (Beta vulgaris) and potato (Solanum tuberosim) over a long period of time, he concluded that varietal, fertilizer, and seasonal effects on economic yield were highly correlated with variation in leaf area, but not with net assimilation rate.

Voldeng and Simpson (49), studying shading treatments on wheat, found that the ear and flag leaf contributed the major portion of the grain weight. They indicated a positive correlation coefficient of +0.54 to +0990 between flag leaf area and grain per tiller with seven lines of wheat. Hsu and Waiton (21) studied the relation of yield in spring wheat to some selected morphological characters under field and greenhouse conditions. They reported that ear length, flag leaf sheath length, and flag leaf breadth affected yield and its components. Niciporovic (35) pinpointed the importance of leaf area, a critical determinant of yield. Average optimal leaf area index (LAI) of 3.0 to 3.5 established early in the season and active till the end of the
growing season gave greater yield.
Welbank et al. (52) reported that leaf area duration (area of green leaf for a period of time) above the flag leaf node after anthesis was related to grain yield. Takahashi and Yasuda (46) reported that leaf area duration dictates genetic control of early vs. late maturity. Several workers $(9,47,51)$ emphasized that there is an association between prolonged active photosynthetic area after anthesis and high yield. Thorne (48) stated that leaf area has a great importance on the grain yield after the plant heads. At this period of time, most of the photosynthetic requirement of grain is furnished by photosynthesizing parts located above the flag leaf node. Rawson and Hofstra (39) in a detailed study of the movement of carbohydrates during development of wheat grain supported Thorne's conclusion. Hsu and Walton (21) agreed with Thorne's conclusion that grain yield of cereal plants was closely related to photosynthetic area above the flag leaf. Monteith (33) found that the profile of light absorption in grasses was more uniform than in clover. This was primarily due to the erect structure of the grass which allowed light transmission to the lower part of the plant canopy. Tanner et al. (47) reported that upright-leaved types of small grain varieties performed better under the condition where weeds were not a problem, but under a weedy condition, broad-leaf types produced higher yields.

Soil fertility as it affects plant growth and production may be considered an important factor in growth of plant photosynthetic parts. Langer (27) found that nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium all had a pronounced effect in increasing leaf area per plant in timothy.

A number of workers have reported the importance of ear photo-
synthesis to grain yield. Evans and Rawson (14) emphasized that ear photosynthesis, which was much higher in awned wheat varieties contributed up to $76 \%$ to the total grain requirement during eariy growth. Over the whole period of grain development the contribution of ear photosynthesis was $33 \%$ in "Sonora" (awned) and $20 \%$ in "Gabo" (awnless). In the awned variety, net photosynthesis by ear, flag leaf blade, and stem plus sheath were 50,126 and $42 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ per day, respectively, during rapid grain growth. Evans and Rawson (14) further stated that photosynthesis by ear and flag leaf Blade alone could supply the demands of substrate for grain growth at all times.

A number of methods have been used for estimating the contribution made by cereal ear photosynthesis to grain yield. Lupton and Ali (30) found that the estimate of variatal differences in photosynthesis of wheat differ by measurement techniques as well as by seasons. Kriedemann (26) found that the contribution of ear photosynthesis ranged from 10 to $44 \%$. He showed that ear photosynthesis varies depending on the technique used and on the environmental conditions. He also reported that ear photosynthesis consisted of two processes, "(a) the assimilation of atmospheric $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and (b) the photosynthetic refixation of the ear's respiratory $\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$. Evidence such as dry weight data and measurements of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ exchange showed that the second item contributed much to grain yield.

Awns contribute to grain yield as an important green part through the photosynthetic assimilation. Grundbacher (20) suggested the importance of the awn through photosynthesis and transpiration on grain yield. He indicated that the awn as an assimilatory organ may contribute more than $10 \%$ of total kernel dry weight.

Kjak and Witters (24) studied the physiological activity of awns in isogenic lines differing only in the allels affecting awn length of "Atlas" barley by measuring the exchange rate of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ on intact and deawned spikes in light and darkness from anthesis through 30 days after anthesis. They found that full-awned spikes displayed the greatest photosynthetic rate followed by half-awned, and quarter-awned spikes accounted for an average of 90,80 , and $50 \%$ respectively of the intact spikes photosynthetic rate throughout the measurement period. They concluded that longer awns increased the area of chlorophyll-containing tissue on a spike. Also a greater number of stomata were present and thereby increased the gaseous exchange. Beside the effect on ear photosynthesis, the awn plays a role in dispelling excessive heat energy from heads of barley plants. Maximum ear photosynthetic rates were obtained from flowering to 12 days after flowering. Faris (15) also found that on the average the highest-yielding line was the half-awned type ( $p<0.1$ ) and outyielded the awnless types by $5 \%$. There was a linear relationship between weight per kernel and awn length with a regression coefficient of 0.33 mg per cm of awn. Increases in awn length were related with reduction in number of spikes and florets per plant. He suggested the trend of these yield components was dependent on competition from nutrients during early ontogeny of the spike between the awns at the base and developing floret at the tip of the spike. The long day length was suggested as a factor which might put full-awned types at a disadvantage in this experiment despite the high yield.

As a result of two years study of 22 varieties of spring wheat Nass (34) reported that morphological characters affected plot yields indirectly. The ear area, flag leaf breadth, and total photosynthetic
area above the flag leaf node were associated with yield per ear. Walton (50) using a diallel cross of seven spring wheats showed that flag leaf area, peduncle length, head length, and yield were all controlled by minor genes. Additive gene action and general combining ability were shown to be important genetic factors for the characters mentioned. He concluded that increased "ear extrusion" and large flagleaf areas were both associated with high yield.

Voldeng and Simpson (49) concluded that a combination of large flag leaf plus a large ear area showed promise as an index for selecting higher yielding individuals from a mixture of genotypes. Smocek (44) found that the maximum genetic advance could be expected if the flag leaf area was used in combination with the components of yield. Donald (10) described the wheat ideotype as having a short, strong stem; few, small, erect leaves; a large spike and erect ear, with awns. The design of the crop ideotypes may modify the environmental consideration for seeding rate, fertilizer application, plant management, and weed control. The design was based on obtaining high grain yield as a crop community.

Yield Components and Their Relationship to Yield

A number of workers have dealt with the relation of yield components and their effect on economic yield whereby a system selection on this basis would result in yield increases.

Engledow and Wadham (12) in 1923 tried to separate yield into its components. Characters such as the number of plants per unit area, number of spikes per plant, number of kernels per spike, and weight per kernel were regarded as the units from which high yield might be produced. Selection using these characters did not invariably make the progress
toward increased yield which was expected. The variability of grain yield may be due to complex interaction of the three yield components, number of spikes per unit area, number of seeds per spike, and weight per seed. Increasing one of these components may result in decreasing one or two of the others. Varieties may have the same yield but have it as a result of different yield components.

Grafius and Okoli (19) studied the yield components of 28 F1 crosses from an $8^{x} 8$ diallel cross of barley. They reported that yield components accounted for $72 \%$ of the variation in the yield. Grafius (17) represented yield in oats as the volume of a parallelepiped with 3 edges ( $x, y, z$ ), corresponding to the 3 yield components, panicles per unit area ( $x$ ), the average number of kernels per panicle ( $y$ ), and the average kernel weight ( $z$ ). He suggested the greatest rate of increase in yield occurs with changes in the shortest edge. He further added that it might be easier to increase yield by increasing the smallest yield component. Grafius (18) from his study on corn suggested that studying of yield components individually may account for valuable knowledge in producing high yielding varieties.

McNeal (31) in the study of yield components in F2 and F3 crosses of "Lemi" X "Thatcher" (two spring varieties of wheat) found that spikes per plant and kernels per spike were more high1y correlated with plant yield than was kernel weight. Grafius and 0koli (19) reported a negative correlation between spikes per plant and kernel weight. Ira.e. $-2 d$ yield and increased spikes per plant was achieved at the cost of seed weight. According to Bingham (7) the grain size of wheat increased when the number of kernels per spike decreased. He pointed out that both characteristics are equally important in contributing to
grain yield.
Austenson and Walton (5) in a study carried out on three varieties of spring wheat found that the size of individual seeds planted accounted for 2.5 to $4.5 \%$ of the observed variation in yield and other mature plant characteristics including the number of spikes per plant.

Johnson, et al. (22) compared the yield components of four winter wheat varieties with yield. It was found that the variety "CI 13678" had a uniformly large number of kernels per spike. This trait seemed to be more stable than the affect of the two other components.

Adams, (1) and Adams and Grafius (2) indicated that yield components are largely genetically independent characters which are frequently characterized by negative association. The compensation of one component by another is explained as the contest for both organic and inorganic food. Metabolic input to the yield components varies by external forces or metabolic control as soon as pollination is started. Adams (1), further stated that the development of yield components occurs in a sequential pattern. As the first component in the sequence uses up more or less of the substrate because of genetic or environmental causes, the second component varies in compensating manner using the left over amount regardless of the amount which is left. He concluded that the negative correlation among the components is not the result of linkage, but rather of higher competition of two or more components for a common limited nutrient or a osillatory input which is limiting at a critical stage. Yield is thus a result of genetic physiological response. The goal for obtaining higher yield will be reached when there is a high genetic ceiling, and a flexibility in response to the growth factors present.

Of the three yield components in wheat the one which is a primary yield determinent is a matter of speculation. Knott and Talukar (25) found a highly significant positive correlation between weight per kernel with grain yield and negative correlation with the number of kernels per spike. The correlation of weight per kernel with kernels per plot was highly significant and negative. The number of kernels per spike showed a high negative correlation with the number of spikes per plot. Fonesca and Patterson (16) obtained a positive (+0.24) correlation between tiller number and kernel weight and a negative (-0.22) correlation between kerne1s per spike and kernel weight. There was a highly significant negative correlation between number of spikes and kernels per spike. Hsu and Walton (21) reported that the correlation between yield per plant and 1000 -kernel weight was not significant. A negative correlation between ear number and 1000-kernel weight was found. The spike number per plant was the most important yield component in their study.

Fonseca and Patterson (16) reported from a study of heritability of yield components using a diallel cross. The interrelationships among these components in winter wheat showed that heritability for number of spikes and kernels per spike were generally found to be greater than that for seed weight and grain yield. They also suggested that in order to be effective in using the components for selection, the components, must have high heritability, be genetically independent, and must not be physiologically associated. They concluded that negative correlations between number of spikes and kernels per spike may limit the progress of selection based on yield components.

Sun et al. (45) reported that kernel weight in a study of spring
wheats had a heritability from 51 to $85 \%$. Sharma and Knott (42) indicated that seed weight in wheat is controlled by relatively few genes. Knott and Taluker (25) demonstrated success in transferring high seed weight from "Selkirk" to "Thatcher" spring wheat varieties by backcrossing.

Hsu and Walton (21) from a study with spring wheat found that spike number was the most important component in determining yield; however, in late flowering plants longer spikes made significant contributions to higher yield. They further discussed that fact that flag leaf length was associated with kernel weight, and flag leaf width was associated with kernel number.

Ryle (40) observed in timothy plants that late tillers have a smaller potential to produce spikes. The number of florets per spike is determined during the period between spikelet initiation and spike emergence. Nitrogen in the soil had a direct effect in determining the number of florets per spike.

Borojevic (8) summarized the maximum optimal conditions that should be available for the developthent of yield components in order to assure the utilization of genetic potential. The optimal number of spikes per area for each variety depended on the resistance to lodging and intensity of tillering. High nitrogen rate and top dressing of nitrogen in the spring are vaIuable means of increasing production per spike. Correct planting time and good seedbed preparation are prerequisites for the optimal response of all other factors.

Baker and Dyck (6) crossed four hexaploid spring wheats, which were different only in their D genomes in all combinations. Heterosis
for number of spikes, kernel weight, and grain yield appeared in first and second generations ( $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ ). They were not able to detect specific combining ability among $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ progeny, which suggested that only additive genetic variance is involved in the inheritance of these traits.

## Yield and Some Other Factors

The ratio of grain yield (economic yield) to total yield (biological yield) is harvest index (HI). Singh and Stoskopf (43) as a result of three years study of the HI of winter wheat and other cereals reported that HI of wheat is positively correlated with economic yield, but negatively correlated with biological yield. Improved HI represents increased physiological capacity (sink) to mobilize synthesized nutrients and translocate it to organs having economic value. They further reported that reduction in plant height reduced the sink size of the stem. A significant negative correlation between height and harvest index in winter wheat suggests that HI can be improved by reducing the plant height. Johnson et al. (23) have shown that plant height is correlated with grain yield.

The systems (photosynthetic area and yield components) for producing yield that have been reviewed can be modified by external factors. Pests and diseases can affect yield and alter the growth of the plant and rate of photosynthesis. Last (29) reported that yield losses caused by cereal powdery mildew, Erysiphe graminis Merat, can be attributed to smaller and less efficient photosynthetic systems in the infected plant. He found that inoculating $30 \%$ of the leaves decreased photosynthesis to $7.3 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{dm}^{2} / \mathrm{hr}$ from $12.9 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{dm}^{2} / \mathrm{hr}$ in
the uninoculated controls.
Doodson, et al. (11) reported a direct effect of late yellow rust, Puccina striiformis, on yield reduction and on the indirect effect of early infection greatly reducing the number of florets per spike, number of grains per spike, in some cases the weight per kernel, and on number of leaves, which contribute to yield reductions.

## CHAPTER III

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted on the Fifth International Winter Wheat Performance Nursery (IWWPN) grown at Stillwater, Oklahoma during the 1972-73 crop year. The IWWPN was organized in 1968 by the Nebraska Agricultural Experiment Station and the Agricultural Research Service, U. S. Department of Agriculture, under a contract with the Agency for International Development, U. S. Department of State, and with informal cooperation of the International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center, Mexico, D. F. and FAO Rome, Italy. The nursery is comprised of winter wheat varieties developed by various breeding programs around the world and is grown each year in some 25 countries. The Oklahoma Agricultural Experiment Station is one of the agencies that cooperates in evaluation of this nursery.

The growing season at Stillwater was characterized by above normal precipitation (Table I). The precipitation during the growing season (October to June), was above normal.

The Field Layout

The experiment consisted of 30 varieties. Six of them originated in the U.S.A. and the other 24 cultivars were from different countries (Table II). According to information provided with the experiment the varieties differ widely in maturity, plant height, straw strength,

TABLE I
RAINFALL RECEIVED AND DEVIATIONS FROM NORMAL BY MONTH FOR CROP YEAR 1972-1973 AT STILLWATER, OKLAHOMA

| Year | Month | Rainfall (mm) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Received | Normal | Deviation <br> From Normal |
| 1972 | July | 72.64 | 89.66 | -17.01 |
|  | August | 74.93 | 81.53 | - 6.60 |
|  | September | 63.75 | 85.85 | -21.08 |
|  | October | 125.22 | 70.61 | +54.61 |
|  | November | 95.75 | 46.99 | +48.76 |
|  | December | 39.11 | 34.04 | + 5.08 |
| 1973 | January | 82.29 | 29.46 | +52.83 |
|  | February | 30.48 | 34.29 | - 3.81 |
|  | March | 196.34 | 47.24 | +149.09 |
|  | April | 87.37 | 72.64 | +14.73 |
|  | May | 81.28 | 117.34 | -36.06 |
|  | June | 54.61 | 107.69 | -53.08 |
|  | Total | 1003.80 | 817.37 | +186.43 |

TABLE II

ENTRY NUMBER, NAME, AND ORIGIN OF WHEAT VARIETIES

| Entry No. | Cultivar | Origin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Strampelli | Italy |
| 2 | Probstdorfer Extrem | Austria |
| 3 | Victor I | Italy |
| 4 | Carifen 12 | Chile |
| 5 | Caribo | West Germany |
| 6 | C.I. 15074 | USA (Nebraska) |
| 7 | Golden Valley (Zg 5994/66) | Yugoslavia |
| 8 | Hokuei | Japan |
| 9 | Atlas 66 | USA (No. Carolina) |
| 10 | Diplomat | West Germany |
| 11 | B1ueboy | USA (No. Carolina) |
| 12 | Maris Nimrod | England |
| 13 | Marimp 3 | Italy |
| 14 | Jyva | Finland |
| 15 | Sava | Yugoslavia |
| 16 | NE 701132 | USA (Nebraska) |
| 17 | Bezostaya 1 | USSR |
| 18 | Lilifen | Chile |
| 19 | Vakka | Finland |
| 20 | Zenith | Switzerland |
| 21 | Clarion | Netherlands |
| 22 | Lerma Rojo 64 | Mexico |
| 23 | Centurk | USA (Nebraska) |
| 24 | Backa | Yugoslavia |
| 25 | Roussalka | Bulgaria |
| 26 | Moldova | Romania |
| 27 | Tamwheat 102 (TX 62A4793-7) | USA (Texas) |
| 28 | Dacia | Romania |
| 29 | Starke | Sweden |
| 30 | Kirac 66 | Turkey |

winterhardiness, and reaction to diseases. Entries 6, 9, and 16 possessed genes for high grain protein, and entry 22 was "Lerma Rojo 64" a spring variety. Lerma Rojo 64 was included to obtain a measure of the severity of the different environments where the nurseries are to be grown. The varieties were planted in a randomized complete block design with four replications on October 2, 1972 and emerged on October 9, 1972. The experiment was located on the Stillwater Agronomy Experiment Station on a previously summer-fallowed land. The experiment received a preplant application of $20 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ of N and $50 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on $9-19-72$. A top dressing of $45 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{N}$ was applied on 2-29-73. Each variety was planted in a plot in four rows 3.0 m long and 30 cm apart between rows.

## Characters Evaluated

Data were collected on grain yield, tiller number, kernel weight number of kernels per spike, heading date, maturity date, plant height, flag leaf length, flag leaf width, flag leaf sheath length, peduncle length, peduncle diameter, chaff weight, total dry matter weight, leaf rust severity, powdery mildew, winterkilling, lodging, and grain protein content. Flag leaf area and peduncle area were also estimated.

Yield determination was based on the weight of the threshed and cleaned grain harvested from each $1.486 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ area from plot and was expressed in grams per plot.

Tiller count was based on the number of tillers bearing heads in an area $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 30.4 \mathrm{~cm}=762 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$. Two observations were made at random in two central rows. Each observation was on a 25 cm length of the row from the area where yield was obtained. The averages of the
two measurements were reported.

Kernel weight was determined on a sample of 200 seeds taken at random from the grain harvested from each plot. Broken seeds were removed from each sample and the weight of 200 whole seeds was recorded in grams.

Twenty tillers were taken at random from the border rows of each plot, one inch above the soil surface. The average number of seeds per spike, chaff weight, flag leaf sheath length, peduncle length, diameter, and total dry matter were measured and recorded on these twenty tillers.

Heads of twenty randomly taken samples were threshed using a hand "thresh board" and then the seeds were seperated from the chaff by a "blowing cylinder". Number of seeds per twenty heads were recorded. This character was expressed as the average numbers of seeds per head.

The total weight of 20 heads (excluding rachis) was recorded, then the weight of seeds per 20 heads was measured. The chaff weight was determined by subtracting the grain weight from the total weight. Chaff weight was expressed in mg per head.

Flag leaf length and maximum leaf width (taken at widest point perpendicular to the length measurement) of ten flag leaves on different randomly selected tillers were measured.

Based on individual leaf measurements, simple regression and correlation coefficients between area and length, and between area and width, and between area and length x width were computed.

A constant (K) value was obtained from a linear regression analysis of 261 flag leaves of five varieties. Those varieties which were visually selected seemed to be representative of other entries in this
study. These leaves were traced on paper, and the length, width, and area of individual leaves were measured. The area of traced leaves was measured by a planimeter. The LW of individual traced leaves as an independent variable and area by planimeter as a dependent variable were used for computing the regression coefficient. After testing for zero intercept the value of $K(0.736)$ was estimated using the least square method.

The peduncle length of twenty randomly taken tillers was measured. The measurement was based on the length from auricle to the base of head. Peduncle diameter was estimated by measuring 20 tillers side by side on a smooth surface. The average was calculated and recorded as the average diameter of a peduncle. Peduncle area was estimated by length x II diameter.

Flag leaf sheath length, peduncle length (from auricle to the base of head), and peduncle diameter were measured on samples from each plot.

Heading date was estimated as the date at which approximately 75 percent of the plants in the plot were headed. Data on heading is reported as days to heading from January first.

Maturity was visually estimated as the number of days from January lst to the time when the plants appeared to be physiologically mature. In recording maturity data, physiologic maturity was estimated to have occurred when the peduncles turned yellow.

Plant height was measured from the distance from the ground surface to the tip of terminal spikelet (excluding awns). Four measurements were taken from the two center rows of each plot to represent the height of plants harvested for grain yield. The average of 4 measurements taken in centimeters is reported here.

Grain protein was determined by "Udy" colorimetric method of protein determination.

Winterkill was determined visually by estimating percent dead plants per plot.

Lodging was estimated by a visual rating of the percent of the plants that were not standing erect in a plot. Lodging data was taken when the plants were physiologically mature.

Rust-leaf rust ( $\underline{P}$. recondita) severity was determined as infection type, percent severity and percent prevelance on two replications.

Powdery mildew (Erysiphe graminis t) was measured on a scale of 1-5. A11 data except rust readings were obtained from each plot on all replications.

The total dry matter of twenty randomly selected tillers was measured after oven drying and was recorded in grams.

## Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out on the grain yield and some other plant characters on means of samples per plot. Tiller count analysis was made on the average of two counts per plot. Plant height analysis was done on the average of four measurements per plot. Flag leaf length, width, and area analysis were performed on the average of ten samples per plot. Peduncle length, area, and flag leaf sheath analysis were made on the average of 20 samples per plot. Analysis of variance for each character on the basis of an average measurement per plot was performed on 25 varieties. Four late-maturing varieties and the spring wheat variety were not included in analyses.

The analyses for possible relationship between the grain yield and
other variables was determined on means per plot. Analysis of variance and cross product analysis for obtaining correlations on variety mean, and simple correlations were performed. The coefficient $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{X}_{1}} \mathrm{X}_{2}$ of correlation between two variables $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ is given by the formula:

$$
\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{X}_{1}} \mathrm{X}_{2}=\frac{\Sigma\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}-\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{1}\right)}{\sqrt{\sum\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}-\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{1}\right)}{ }^{2} \frac{\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}-\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{2}\right)}{\sum\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}-\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)}}
$$

Where $\Sigma\left(S_{1}-\bar{X}_{1}\right)^{2}$ is the sum of squares of the deviations of the variable $X_{1}, \Sigma\left(X_{2}-\bar{X}_{2}\right)^{2}$ is the sum of squares of the deviations of the variable $\mathrm{X}_{2}$, and $\sum\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}-\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{1}\right)\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}-\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{2}\right)$ is the sum of products of the deviation of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$.

Simple correlation coefficients were obtained on the basis of cross product of $X_{1} X_{2}$ (total corrected sum of product) as numerator and sum of squares of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ (total corrected) as denominator from analysis of variance of those variables. Correlation (variety mean) was calculated by using cross product of $X_{1}, X_{2}$ (Variety) as numerator and variety sum of squares of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ as denominator from the analysis of variance.

The regression coefficients were obtained by using yield as the dependent variable and the other plant characters as independent variables. The common regression is estimated by the following formula:

$$
r y \cdot x=\frac{\sum x y}{\sum x^{2}}
$$

Where $\Sigma x y$ is cross products of variety and $\Sigma x^{2}$ is sum of squares of variety from the analysis of variance and cross product analysis.

## CHAPTER IV

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

On the basis of analysis of variance of yield and other plant characters, the $F$ value for variety was found to be highly significant ( $\mathrm{p}<.01$ ) . Thus the cultivars grown in this study varied significantly. in yield and other characters.

Analyses of variance of photosynthetic area above the flag leaf node are represented in Tables III and IV; and the means of the data in Appendix Table XII. There was a highly significant difference ( $\mathrm{p}<.01$ ) among varieties for flag leaf length, flag leaf width, flag leaf area, flag leaf sheath length, peduncle length, peduncle diameter, peduncle area ard chaff weight.

Analyses of variance for the three yield components in Table $V$ showed that there was a highly significant difference ( $p<.01$ ) among varieties for the yield components (tillers per unit area, kernels/spike and kernel weight) with coefficients of variability, 9.2, 6.1 and 5.4, respectively.

The varieties in this study were from different geographical areas and showed different responses under climatic conditions prevalent at Stillwater. Some showed susceptibility to cold weather and disease. The analysis of variance (Table VI) and mean of data (Appendix Tables XI and XIII) show a high coefficient of variability (C.V.) for lodging and winterkill. The high C.V. occurred because some varieties had a zero

## TABLE III

MEAN SQUARES FOR FLAG LEAF AREA AND OTHER CHARACTERS OF 25 WINTER WHEAT VARIETIES

| Source | df | F1ag Leaf Area | F1ag Leaf L | Flag Leaf Width <br> Squares | Flag Leaf Sheath <br> Length |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | df | Mean Squares |  |  |  |
| Rep | 3 | 24.937 | 5.920 | 0.04269 | 0.452 |
| Var | 24 | 59.024 | 18.457 | 0.13375 | 15.148 |
| R X V | 72 | 4.587 | 1.579 | 0.00564 | 0.305 |
| Corrected Total | 99 | 18.393 | 5.802 | 0.03782 | 3.908 |
| Cal F |  | 12.89 | 11.68 | 23.69 | 49.52 |
| Prob $>\mathrm{F}$ |  | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |
| C. V. \% |  | 8.5 | 5.0 | 4.9 | 3.0 |

TABLE IV
MEAN SQUARES FOR PEDUNCLE LENGTH AND OTHER CHARACTERS OF 25 WINTER WHEAT VARIETIES

| Source |  | Peduncle Length | Peduncle Diameter | Peduncle Area | Chaff Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | df | Mean Squares |  |  |  |
| Rep | 3 | 16.052 | 0.00003 | 10.235 | 1353.24 |
| Var | 24 | 76.352 | 0.00420 | 62.566 | 7753.87 |
| R X V | 72 | 2.391 | 0.00011 | 1.981 | 637.44 |
| Corrected Total | 99 | 20.735 | 0.00110 | 16.918 | 2384.33 |
| Cal. F |  | 31.92 | 35.44 | 31.57 | 12.16 |
| Prob > F |  | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |
| C. V. \% |  | 11.0 | 4.3 | 17.7 | 11.5 |

TABLE V
MEAN SQUARES FOR GRAIN YIELD AND OTHER CHARACTERS
OF 25 WINTER WHEAT VARIETIES

| Source | df | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grain } \\ & \text { Yield } \end{aligned}$ | Tiller <br> Number | Kernels/ Spike $\qquad$ | Kernel Weight res | Grain Protein Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rep | 3 | 1664.28 | 117.17 | 3.780 | 0.032 | 3.574 |
| Var | 24 | 57210.05 | 547.54 | 85.479 | 4.691 | 8.060 |
| R X V | 72 | 2631.50 | 23.69 | 3.445 | 0.110 | 0.690 |
| Corrected Total | 99 | 15833.25 | 153.52 | 23.312 | 1.218 | 2.564 |
| Cal. F |  | 21.74 | 23.11 | 24.81 | 42.44 | 11.68 |
| Prob. ${ }^{\text {P }}$ F |  | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |
| C. V. \% |  | 8.7 | 9.2 | 6.1 | 5.4 | 6.2 |

TABLE VI
MEAN SQUARES FOR SOME CHARACTERS OF 25 WINTER WHEAT VARIETIES

| Source | df | Days to Heading | Days to Maturity | Height | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lodging } \\ & \frac{\%}{\text { an Squares }} \end{aligned}$ | Winter Kill | Powdéry Mildew | Total Dry Matter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rep | 3 | 0.99 | 11.06 | 78.34 | 449.34 | 8.66 | 1.050 | 20.230 |
| Var | 24 | 249.52 | 140.01 | 596.64 | 956.52 | 49.22 | 1.497 | 226.365 |
| R X V | 72 | 0.43 | 0.65 | 9.44 | 105.55 | 2.59 | 0.265 | 13.008 |
| Corrected Total | 99 | 60.83 | 34.75 | 153.88 | 323.78 | 14.08 | 0.587 | 64.950 |
| Cal F |  | 574.72 | 213.13 | 63.17 | 9.06 | 19.01 | 5.64 | 17.40 |
| Prob > F |  | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |
| C. V. \% |  | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2.9 | 107.57 | 100.5 | 32.39 | 7.0 |

value and some a high value. The zero value lowered the mean which increased the C.V.

Simple correlation analysis as well as correlation using variety means between 14 characters among 25 varieties were carried out. The correlation based on the mean of each variety (average of 4 replications) was used for the discussion.

Grain Yield

The overall mean of the grain yield for 25 varieties was $3794 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ and yields ranged from 992 to $5109 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$. "Golden Valley" was highest with $5109 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$, and ranked eighth, fifth, and 18th, respectively, for kernel weight, kernel per spike, and tillers per area. The low-yielding varieties were mostly late, and their maturity was hastened by hot dry winds. The yield per plot and mean for each variety is reported in Appendix Table $X$. "Centurk" had the highest number of tillers and "C.I. 15074 " was the second highest, and they ranked 12 th and 19 th, respectively, in yield (Appendix Table XI). "Marimp 3" with the highest number of kernels per spike ranked ninth in yield. "Roussalka" with the highest kernel weight ranked sixth in yield.

The high yield of Golden Valley may be the result of a good balance of yield components. In addition it ranked 19th in tiller counts.

The correlation coefficients of yield vs other characters are shown in Tables VII and VIII, but only those in Table VII will be discussed. A highly significant correlation between yield and kernel weight ( $\mathrm{r}=0.646^{* *}$ ) and significant correlation between yield and peduncle length ( $r=0.469^{*}$ ) was observed. Yield was negatively correlated

TABLE VII
CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS FOR GRAIN YIELD AND SOME OTHER PLANT CHARACTERS AMONG 25 WINTER WHEAT VARIETIES ${ }^{1}$

|  | Plant Character |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plant Character 1 | 1 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| 1. Yield g/plot | -. 182 | . 353 | . $646{ }^{* *}$ | -. $664{ }^{* *}$ | . 041 | -. 041 | . 09 | -. 366 | . $469{ }^{*}$ | . $523{ }^{* *}$ | . 277 | -. 268 | $-.759^{* *}$ |
| 2. Tiller count |  | -.663** | -. 459 * | . 034 | -.612** | -. 072 | -. 74 ** | -. 031 | . 165 | -. 153 | -. $518^{* *}$ | . 577 ** | . 299 |
| 3. Kernels/spike |  |  | . 046 | -. 299 | . 541 ** | . 016 | . 683 ** | -. 195 | -. 115 | . 113 | . 359 | -. 543 ** | -. 293 |
| 4. Kernel weight |  |  |  | -. 141 | . 094 | . 03 | . 102 | 0.054 | . $404{ }^{*}$ | . $568{ }^{\text {** }}$ | . $424{ }^{*}$ | -. 098 | -.673** |
| 5. Percent protein |  |  |  |  | . 049 | . 035 | . 018 . | . $412{ }^{*}$ | -. $393{ }^{\text {min }}$ | . 387 | -. 128 | . 367 | . $508 * *$ |
| 6. Flag leaf area |  |  |  |  |  | . $631{ }^{\text {** }}$ | .797** | . 376 | -. 364 | -. 177 | .486* | -. 285 | . 215 |
| 7. Flag leaf length |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 041 | . $695{ }^{\text {** }}$ | -. 154 | -. 142 | . 161 | . 240 | . 325 |
| 8. Flag leaf width |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -. 063 | -. 363 | -. 129 | . 490 | -. 573 | . 018 |
| 9. Flag leaf sheath length |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -. 282 | -. 328 | -. 155 | . $551{ }^{\text {** }}$ | . 573 ** |
| 10. Peduncle length |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 92 ** | . 211 | . 228 | -.621** |
| 11. Peduncle area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 398 * | -. 007 | -. $762^{* *}$ |
| 12. Chaff weight |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -. 347 | -. 261 |
| 13. Plant height |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 384 |
| 14. Days to heading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Significant values for correlations are 0.396 and 0.505 at the $5 \%$ and $1 \%$ level of probability, respectively, ( 23 degrees of freedom).
$1_{\text {Correlation obtained }}$ on mean of the varieties.

TABLE VIII
SIMPLE CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS FOR GRAIN YIELD AND SOME OTHER PLANT CHARACTERS AMONG 25 WINTER WHEAT VARIETIES

| Plant Character $\quad$ I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Yield g/plot | -. 138 | .293** | . $617^{\text {** }}$ | -. $565^{\text {** }}$ | -. 002 | -. 065 | . 056 | -. $340^{\text {** }}$ | .431** | :479** | . $221{ }^{\text { }}$ | -. $222{ }^{\text {® }}$ | $-.713^{* *}$ |
| 2. Tiller count |  | -. 586 ** | -. 409 ** | . 040 | -. $488{ }^{* *}$ | -. 039 | -.638** | -. 039 | . 154 | -. 124 | -.457** | . 540 ** | . 279 ** |
| 3. Kernels/spike |  |  | . 024 | -.222* | .488** | . 048 | . $615{ }^{* *}$ | -. 171 | -. 116 | . 098 | . $362^{* *}$ | -. 519 ** | -.275** |
| 4. Rernel weight |  |  |  | -. 140 | . 041 | -. 003 | . 064 | -. 044 | . $374{ }^{\text {** }}$ | . $527{ }^{* *}$ | . $376{ }^{\text {** }}$ | -. 087 | -.654** |
| 5. Percent Protein |  |  |  |  | . 051 | . 053 | . 013 | . $343^{* *}$ | -.381** | -.371** | -. 067 | . $290{ }^{\text {** }}$ | . $451{ }^{\text {** }}$ |
| 6. Flag leaf area |  |  |  |  |  | . $671{ }^{\text {** }}$ | .785** | . 330 ** | -. $292{ }^{* *}$ | -. 135 | . $401{ }^{\text {** }}$ | -.239* | . $200{ }^{*}$ |
| 7. Flag leaf length |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 077 | . $618{ }^{\text {** }}$ | -. 117 | -. 105 | . 148 | . 229 * | .291** |
| 8. Flag leaf width |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -. 065 | $-.310^{* *}$ | -. 106 | .410** | -. $527{ }^{*}$ | . 024 |
| 9. Flag leaf sheath length |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -. $242{ }^{*}$ | -.280** | . 109 | .537** | . $555^{* *}$ |
| 10. Peduncle length |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . $922{ }^{* *}$ | . 178 | .259** | -. $585{ }^{* *}$ |
| 11. Peduncle area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 354 ** | . 041 | -.720** |
| 12. Chaff weight |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -.315** | -. $227{ }^{*}$ |
| 13. Plant height |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 370 * |
| 14. Days to heading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Significant values for simple correlations are 0.197 and 0.256 at the $5 \%$ and $1 \%$ level of probability, respectively, ( 98 degrees of freedom).
with percent protein, ( $r=-.664^{* *}$ ) and days to heading ( $r=-.759^{* *}$ ). Yield was positively related with kernels per spike, flag leaf area, flag leaf width, and chaff weight, but none of these correlations were statistically significant. In this study it was found that tillers were negatively related to yield, so low tillering apparently favored the development of other yield components. These data agree with Thorne's (48) results in which the ear number was affected by environmental factors occurring during early developmental stages whereas the seed weight is influenced by changes occurring after pollination. A non-significant negative association of yield with tillers per area, flag leaf length, flag leaf sheath length, and plant height was obtained.

Photosynthetic Components

The correlation coefficients of these parts except peduncle length and peduncle area were found to be statistically non-significant to grain yield.

Flag leaf area was obtained as the product of flag leaf length $x$ flag leaf width x K 0.73 , and by using this formula a higher correlation between estimated leaf area and area of the traced leaves were obtained. The results agree with Palaniswamy and Gomez (36).

A positive significant relationship of flag leaf area with chaff was obtained in the study reported herein. Flag leaf length showed a high positive correlation with flag leaf sheath length and a nonsignificant relation to chaff weight. Flag leaf sheath length is highly associated with plant height and days to heading. Peduncle length and area are positively related to chaff weight (Tables VII and VIII).

It was found that peduncle length and area are negatively associated with number of days to heading; this association was highly significant. Late varieties had shorter peduncles, even at the time of harvest, because they did not receive optimum growing conditions to develop their peduncles completely.

The result shows that under the conditions of this study the morphological characters influenced yield indirectly rather than directly. Increase in any one of the morphological structures above the flag leaf node would increase the material availability to supply more kernel substrate resulting in increased kernel size, and hopefully increased yield. Hsu's (21) results support the other research indicating that morphological structures above the flag leaf node are associated with yield components as well as yield. The importance of flag leaf width on kernels per spike (Figure 1) is in agreement with Hsu (21). Flag leaf width was found to be important to yield per plot and yield per spike by Tanner et al. (47). Leaf area was significantly related to kernels per spike and positively related to kernel weight. Also, these results agreed well with those of Smock (44).

At the outset of this study, it was hypothesized that a direct relationship existed between flag leaf area and yield. However, this relationship was found to be non-significant although still positive. This may be due to a larger supply of grain photosynthate as a result of ear photosynthesis. Chaff weight as an estimator of head photosynthesis related more to yield than leaf area. The results agree with those of Volding and Simpson (49) in that ear and flag leaf photosynthesis contribute the major portion of grain dry weight.

Disease infection (Appendix Table XIII) may be another factor in


Figure 1. Regression of Kernels per Spike on Flag Leaf Width. (Varieties whose points are circled were eliminated when calculating the regression line).
decreasing the contribution of leaf area to yield. Leaf rust and powdery mildew may have reduced the yield through decreasing the efficiency of photosynthetic area, as Last (29) observed. The relative contribution of photosynthetate from different organs also can be affected by drought, which seems to increase the contribution of ears, probably because of early leaf senisence.

## Yield Components

There was a highly significant negative correlation between tiller number and the other two components of yield (Tables VII and VIII). Tiller count was positively correlated with peduncle length and days to heading. A strong negative relationship between tiller number and flag leaf area and tillers vs flag leaf width was obtained. A non-zero positive correlation between kernels per spike and kernel weight may be due to their development at different times, when competition for metabolites did not occur. Kernels per spike was highly ( $r=0.541^{* *}$ ) related to flag leaf area and flag leaf width ( $r=0.683^{* *}$ ). A negative relationship between kernels per spike vs plant height and days to heading was obtained. The number of kernels per spike is normally considered to be very sensitive to environmental factors such as drought stress and plant density, and this appears to be true in this study.

Tiller count is negatively associated with yield in this study, but correlation was not statistically significant. Some other workers have showed a positive association of tillers per area with yield. Since ear number is affected by environmental factors occurring during early developmental stages (48), high yielding varieties
could not produce many tillers because of unfavorable environmental factors of the early developmental stages or high yielding varieties did not have the genetic potential of producing many tillers under this study (Figure 2). As an overall mean the number of tillers were high in this study, and probably due to genetic potential, a wide range in tiller number was observed which may have resulted in negative correlation. Seed weight which is influenced by environmental changes after pollination and was positively correlated ( $r=0.646^{* *}$ ) to yield may be a factor in favor of high yielding varieties. As a result of data in Appendix Tables $X$, and $X I$, it can be easily seen that the first three top varieties in seed weight, Entries 25, 17, and 24 ranked sixth, third, and four th in yield respectively. Seed weight showed a positive relationship with above flag leaf node photosynthetic components except flag leaf sheath length.

Some Other Plant Characters

Percent grain protein showed a significant positive relation to flag leaf sheath length and significant negative relation to peduncle length (Tables VII and VIII). Since grain protein had a highly significant negative relation to grain yield its negative correlation with peduncle length is to be expected because of a positive relationship to yield with peduncle length. It was found that grain protein was positively significantly related to number of dys to heading. Plant height was negatively related to grain yield (Tables VII, and VIII). Plant height was also negatively related to both kernels per spike and kernel weight. A highly significant association of both tillers per area and flag leaf sheath length, and a non-significant


Figure 2. Regression of Grain Yield on Number of Tillers. (Varieties whose points are circled were eliminated when calculating the regression line).
positive correlation with flag leaf length and peduncle length was obtained. A high positive association of tillers per area and plant height showed that in dense population the tillers may use the metabolites for biological yield rather than economic yield (grain yield). Singh and Stoskopf (43) found that harvest index (HI) of wheat is positively correlated with economic yield. Thus, improved HI represents increased sink capacity to metabolize photosynthate and translocate it to the organ having economic value.

The r value between days to heading and grain yield was found to be negative and highly significant $\left(r=-0.759^{* *}\right)$. Since the regression coefficient of yield on days to heading is negative (Figure 3), the regression line shows that late heading varieties produced lower yield. The importance of leaf area duration after anthesis was reported by Welbank (52) and can be a factor for the low yield in late varieties. Although it is known that a longer growing season may result in the assimilation of more metabolates that might be used for vegetative production. If the developing seeds are subjected to an unfavorable environment such as hot, dry, winds growth likely will be depressed. In late varieties beside having a relatively short, leaf area duration after heading, the green parts were not efficient in photosynthesis and translocation of photosynthate to the physiological sink, the seed.

## Relative Importance of Different <br> Characters opi Grain Yield

Assuming a linear relationship between the grain yield and some other characters under the study over the four replications, the simple common regression coefficients of yleld on each character can be


Figure 3. Regression of Grain Yield on Days to Heading. (Varieties whose points are circled were eliminated when calculating the regression line).
estimated (Table IX). The coefficient of regression of yield on kernel weight (Figure 4) was the highest and was followed by flag leaf width. The importance of kernel weight in the determination of winter wheat yield has been previously suggested (48). Peduncle area and peduncle length (Figure 5) ranked third and fourth respectively, in the determination of yield. The trend of regression line of yield on kernel per spike in Figure 6 is upward, but it is not as steep as the other figures already presented.

The coefficient of determination $r^{2}$ which represents the fraction of the sum squares of the variation of yield that is due to the variations in each character is presented in Table $X$. The value of this coefficient for seed weight agrees with the regression coefficients. It was found that $71.3 \%$ variation of yield was due to a variation in kernel weight. The results show that the kernel weight is associated more closely to grain yield than tillers per plant, or kernels per spike. Seed weight in wheat has generally been reported to be more highly heritable than other components of yield (Sharma and Knott, (42)). The slope of yield on kernel weight is 71.33 and yield on flag leaf width is 58.91. These two factors should be useful characters for improving wheat yield.

## TABLE IX

RELATIVE IMPORTANCE OF DIFFERENT PLANT CHARACTERS ON GRAIN YIELD OF 25 WINTER WHEAT VARTETIES

| Grain Yield With: | Regression Coefficient |  |  | Coefficient of Determination $\mathrm{r}^{2 *}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Simple | Var (Mean) | RXV | Simple. | Var (Mean) | RXV |
| Tiller Count: | -1.408 | -1.865 | 1.259 | 0.019 | 0.033 | 0.014 |
| Kernels/Spike | 7.641 | 9.141 | -4.601 | 0.085 | 0.124 | 0.027 |
| Kernel Weight | 70.351 | 71.334 | 59.240 | 0.380 | 0.411 | 0.146 |
| Flag Leaf Area | -0.080 | 1.280 | -5.590 | 0.000004 | 0.001 | 0.054 |
| Flag Leaf Length | -3.411 | -2.287 | -9.350 | 0.004 | 0.001 | 0.052 |
| F1ag Leaf Width | 36.532 | 58.919 | -92.540 | 0.003 | 0.008 | 0.018 |
| Flag Leaf Sheath Length | -21.674 | -22.531 | -11.269 | 0.115 | 0.133 | 0.014 |
| Peduncle Length | 11.946 | 12.850 | 5.528 | 0.185 | 0.219 | 0.028 |
| Peduncle Area | 14.625 | 15.829 | 5.411 | 0.229 | 0.213 | 0.021 |
| Chaff Weight | 0.570 | 0.753 | -0.095 | 0.048 | 0.076 | 0.002 |
| Plant Height | -2.258 | -2.63 | 4.288 | 0.049 | 0.071 | 0.065 |
| Days to Heading | -11.516 | -11.506 | -14.761 | 0.508 | 0.576 | 0.035 |

*The coefficient of determination $\mathbf{r}^{2}$ represents the proportion of the sum of squares of the dependent variable (yield) that can be attributed to the independent variable ( $x$ ).


Figure 4. Regression of Grain Yield on Seed Weight. (Varieties whose points are circled were eliminated when calculating the regression line).


Figure 5. Regression of Grain Yield on Peduncle
Length. (Varieties whose points are circled were eliminated when calculating the regression line).


Figure 6. Regression of Grain Yield on Kernels per Spike. (Varieties whose points are not circled were eliminated when calculating the regression 1ine).

## CHAPTER V

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

The study was conducted on the Fifth International Winter Wheat performance nursery which was grown at the Agronomy Research Station, Stillwater, Oklahoma. The nursery consisted of 29 winter wheat varieties and one spring wheat variety (Lerma Rojo 64). The varieties originated from different countries. The objectives of this study were: (1) to determine the relative importance and relationship between grain yield, yield components, and structure above flag leaf node on winter wheat varieties, and (2) to evaluate the varieties in the nursery for yield components and other plant and seed characters under Stillwater conditions. The varieties were planted in a randomized complete block design with four replications. Each variety was planted in a plot of four rows 3.0 m long spaced 30 cm apart. The characters studied were: grain yield, number of tillers per unit area, kernels per spike, kernel weight, flag leaf area, flag leaf length, flag leaf width, flag leaf sheath length, peduncle length, peduncle area, chaff weight, percent grain protein, plant height, and heading date. Some other plant characters were also evaluated such as total dry matter, winterki11, lodging, maturity date, rust severity, and powdery mildew. Statistical analyses were carried out on 25 varieties with the spring wheat and four varieties which headed extremely late being excluded from the analysis.

The analysis of variance of all characters under the study showed that varieties were different $(P<.01)$ for all the characters studied; therefore, the nursery was suitable with presumed different genetic make up for most of the traits, for the purpose of this study. There was no significant difference among the top seven yielding varieties for yield at 5\% Duncan multiple range test. Golden Va1ley (Zg 5994/64) produced the highest yield ranking 8 th, 5 th and 18 th, respectively, for kernel weight, kernel per spike, and tillers.

The data showed that among the yield components, kernel weight was the most important in terms of its influence on grain yield and tiller number the least. Most high yielding varieties had high kernel weight. The low-yielding varieties were mostly late, and their maturity was hastened by hot dry wind.

A highly significant positive correlation between yield and seed weight and significant positive correlation between yield and peduncle length was obtained. Yield was highly negatively correlated to percent protein and days to heading. Yield was positively related to kernels per spike, flag leaf area, flag leaf width, and chaff weight, but none of these correlations were significant. A non-significant negative association of yield with tillers per area, flag leaf length, and plant height was obtained.

Most of above flag leaf photosynthetic parts, were positively assoicated with grain yield. Tall and late varieties generally did not produce high yield as the data showed a negative relationship of these characters with yield under study. While the results of this one year study are preliminary in nature the findings showed that consideration of the above flag leaf photosynthetic area and a good balance of yield
components with more emphasis on seed weight might be helpful in selecting for increased yield.
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APPENDIX

## TABLE X

MEANS AND RANK FOR GRAIN YIELD OF THIRTY WINTER WHEAT VARIETIES


TABIE XI

MEANS OF YIELD COMPONENTS AND SOME CHARACTERS FOR TWENTY-NINE WINTER WHEAT VARIETIES

| Var. | Number of Tillers/ $7.62 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ | No. of Seed per head | Weight of 200 seeds (gm) | \% Grain Protein | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. of Days } \\ \text { to } 75 \% \\ \text { Heading } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Days to } 2 / \\ & \text { Maturity } \end{aligned}$ | Height (cm) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lodging } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 45.50 | 32.8 | 6.78 | 11.7 | 113.7 | 150.7 | 96.7 | 50.0 |
| 2. | 58.50 | 26.9 | 6.38 | 13.5 | 130.2 | 163.2 | 116.0 | 4.0 |
| 3. | 34.50 | 38.0 | 6.15 | 12.6 | 112.5 | 151.2 | 78.0 | 0 |
| 4. | 54.00 | 27.7 | 4.08 | 13.3 | 130.0 | 162.7 | 80.2 | 0 |
| 5. | 49.75 | 28.8 | 5.53 | 15.5 | 134.0 | 166.5 | 107.7 | 0.5 |
| 6. | 77.50 | 22.0 | 5.00 | 13.9 | 126.7 | 160.0 | 119.5 | 2.0 |
| 7. | 48.00 | 34.7 | 6.82 | 11.8 | 115.5 | 152.0 | 86.7 | 1.0 |
| 8. | 55.50 | 30.6 | 5.24 | 11.8 | 126.2 | 162.5 | 110.5 | 18.7 |
| 9. | 57.00 | 25.3 | 6.34 | 16.5 | 124.2 | 160.2 | 120.2 | 30.0 |
| 10. | 47.50 | 22.0 | 5.04 | 16.3 | 138.0 | 168.2 | 99.5 | 1.0 |
| 11. | 62.00 | 32.4 | 5.85 | 12.0 | 118.5 | 158.7 | 111.2 | 6.7 |
| 12. | 45.50 | 27.6 | 4.86 | 14.6 | 133.5 | 166.7 | 99.0 | 2.2 |
| 13. | 39.00 | 38.6 | 6.51 | 12.5 | 114.7 | 152.5 | 103.0 | 4.2 |
| 14. | 48.00 | 24.0 | 4.65 | 15.0 | 138.2 | 168.0 | 109.5 | 3.5 |
| 15. | 48.25 | 36.7 | 6.04 | 11.5 | 115.0 | 152.7 | 93.5 | 1.0 |
| 16. | 72.50 | 24.9 | 6.31 | 13.0 | 124.0 | 159.2 | 1117.5 | 7.5 |
| 17. | 48.50 | 28.4 | 7.86 | 12.3 | 118.5 | 155.7 | 105.7 | 8.0 |
| 18. | 36.00 | 35.3 | 6.59 | 13.8 | 125.7 | 166.0 | 100.5 | 0 |
| 19. | 58.50 | 28.6 | 4.99 | 14.8 | 135.7 | 166.2 | 112.7 | 6.7 |
| 20. | 53.00 | 31.1 | 4.82 | 14.7 | 133.7 | 166.5 | 105.2 | 3.5 |
| 21. | 47.25 | 34.2 | 5.12 | 16.3 | 136.5 | 169.2 | 102.5 | 0.5 |
| 22.* | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 23. | 81.00 | 26.4 | 5.20 | 13.3 | 119.0 | 155.0 | 110.2 | 17.5 |
| 24. | 39.00 | 34.3 | 7.86 | 13.9 | 116.0 | 153.0 | 98.5 | 2.2 |
| 25. | 48.25 | 26.0 | 8.23 | 13.5 | 109.7 | 150.7 | 88.5 | 0 |

"TABLE XI CONTINUED"

| Var. |  | Number of Tillerş/ 760 cm | No, of Seed per head | Weight of 200 Seeds (gm) | \% Grain <br> Protein | No. Days to $75 \%$ Heading | Days to Maturity | Height (cm) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lodging } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26. |  | 44.25 | 29.1 | 7.44 | 15.7 | 112.2 | 150.2 | 109.0 | 60.0 |
| 27. |  | 60.75 | 35.9 | 4.54 | 13.9 | 123.0 | 156.7 | 108.0 | 1.0 |
| 28. |  | 54.50 | 29.7 | 6.83 | 14.3 | 122.5 | 158.0 | 120.2 | 6.7 |
| 29. |  | 35.00 | 25.3 | 4.02 | 17.4 | 141.2 | 172.0 | 101.0 | 2.2 |
| 30. |  | 55.00 | 23.6 | 6.15 | 13.6 | 125.0 | 162.0 | 119.7 | 6.7 |
| LSD | . 01 | 7X9.10 | 3.47 | 0.62 | 1.55 | 1.23 | 1.51 | 5.74 | 19.22 |
|  | . 05 | 6.86 | 2.61 | 0.46 | 1.17 | 0.92 | 1.14 | 4.33 | 14.48 |

*Data were not recorded because of winterkill.
$\underline{1 /}$ Days after January 1 to heading.
$\underline{2}$ Days after January 1 to physiologic maturity, yellowing of peduncle.

TABLE XII
MEANS OF PHOTOSYNTHETIC COMPONENTS OF TWENTY-NINE WINTER WHEAT VARIETIES

| Var. No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Flag Leaf } \\ \text { Length } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Flag Leaf } \\ \text { Width } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Flag Leaf } \\ \text { Area } \\ \left(\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Flag Leaf } \\ & \text { Sheath } \\ & \text { Length (cm) } \end{aligned}$ | Peduncle Length $(\mathrm{cm})$ | Peduncle <br> Diameter (mm) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Peduncle } \\ \text { Area } \\ \left(\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Chaff Weight (mgs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 20.4 | 1.45 | 21.9 | 17.1 | 16.2 | 238 | 12.2 | 221 |
| 2. | 26.2 | 1.42 | 27.5 | 20.2 | 11.4 | 220 | 7.9 | 219 |
| 3. | 21.7 | 1.67 | 26.8 | 16.4 | 13.2 | 311 | 12.9 | 228 |
| 4. | 20.5 | 1.71 | 25.8 | 15.3 | 8.4 | 233 | 6.1 | 240 |
| 5. | 24.8 | 1.70 | 31.1 | 21.5 | 8.0 | 223 | 5.6 | 189 |
| 6. | 19.2 | 1.19 | 17.0 | 16.6 | 18.3 | 220 | 12.6 | 154 |
| 7. | 20.9 | 1.76 | 27.3 | 15.7 | 13.4 | 256 | 10.8 | 231 |
| 8. | 19.0 | 1.43 | 20.1 | 17.5 | 14.2 | 240 | 10.7 | 194 |
| 9. | 19.1 | 1.43 | 20.3 | 18.9 | 12.5 | 207 | 8.2 | 172 |
| 10. | 24.8 | 1.52 | 27.7 | 21.8 | 2.8 | 225 | 2.0 | 158 |
| 11. | 23.4 | 1.59 | 27.6 | 18.7 | 16.8 | 252 | 13.3 | 262 |
| 12. | 23.2 | 1.78 | 30.5 | 20.1 | 4.4 | 237 | 3.3 | 216 |
| 13. | 23.4 | 1.68 | 29.0 | 18.8 | 17.5 | 303 | 16.7 | 197 |
| 14. | 25.5 | 1.49 | 28.0 | 20.0 | 2.5 | 230 | 1.8 | 157 |
| 15. | 21.5 | 1.57 | 25.0 | 16.8 | 15.0 | 270 | 12.7 | 204 |
| 16. | 24.5 | 1.30 | 23.6 | 17.9 | 20.1 | 230 | 14.5 | 241 |
| 17. | 22.8 | 1.48 | 24.9 | 20.6 | 15.4 | 260 | 12.6 | 222 |
| 18. | 24.3 | 1.78 | 32.0 | 19.1 | 16.8 | 292 | 15.4 | 361 |
| 19. | 25.7 | 1.37 | 26.1 | 21.5 | 2.3 | 226 | 1.6 | 156 |
| 20. | 19.9 | 1.74 | 25.7 | 18.8 | 9.8 | 240 | 7.4 | 189 |
| 21. | 22.7 | 1.72 | 29.0 | 20.9 | 6.4 | 232 | 4.6 | 227 |
| 22.* | - | - | - | - | 6.4 | - | - | - |
| 23. | 20.6 | 1.23 | 18.8 | 16.5 | 17.8 | 218 | 12.2 | 150 |
| 24. | 21.7 | 1.86 | 29.8 | 16.3 | 12.6 | 282 | 11.2 | 249 |
| 25. | 20.1 | 1.42 | 21.0 | 16.2 | 14.3 | 306 | 13.7 | 261 |

"TABLE XII CONTINUED"

| Var No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Flag Leaf } \\ & \text { Length } \\ & \text { (cm) } \end{aligned}$ | Flag Leaf Width $(\mathrm{cm})$ | Flag Leaf Area $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ | Flag Leaf Sheath Length (cm) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Peduncle } \\ \text { Length } \\ \text { (cm) } \end{gathered}$ | Peduncle Diameter (mm) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Peduncle } \\ \text { Area } \\ \left(\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Chaff Weight (mgs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26. | 20.7 | 1.44 | 22.1 | 17.6 | 19.3 | 307 | 18.7 | 250 |
| 27. | 21.9 | 1.42 | 22.9 | 17.2 | 13.6 | 222 | 9.5 | 222 |
| 28. | 21.4 | 1.55 | 24.5 | 18.7 | 16.1 | 250 | 12.7 | 240 |
| 29. | 22.1 | 1.79 | 29.4 | 21.6 | 3.2 | 200 | 2.0 | 189 |
| 30. | 25.3 | 1.33 | 24.9 | 22.0 | 19.3 | 222 | 13.5 | 189 |
| L.S.D. | . 012.35 | 0.14 | 3.46 | 1.03 | 2.89 | 20.3 | 2.63 | 47.23 |
|  | . 051.77 | 0.10 | 2.60 | 0.77 | 2.180 | 15.3 | 1.98 | 35.58 |

*Data were not recorded due to winterkill.

TABLE XIII
MEANS OF SOME CHARACTER OF TWENTY-NINE WINTER WHEAT VARIETIES

| Variety No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total dry matter of } \\ 20 \text { Tillers } \\ \text { (gms) } \end{gathered}$ | \% <br> Winterkill | ```Powdery Mildew (1-5)``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Leaf Rust } \\ & \mathrm{T} \text { / Sev. } / \text { /Prev. }{ }^{3 /} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 51.5 | 7.5 | 1.5 | 4 | 15.0 | 99 |
| 2 | 52.1 | 0 | 1.0 | 4 | 10.0 | 99 |
| 3 | 55.5 | 11.2 | 1.0 | 4 | 40.0 | 99 |
| 4 | 40.1 | 0 | 1.5 | 4 | 50.0 | 99 |
| 5 | 51.4 | 0 | 2.7 | 4 | 21.0 | 99 |
| 6 | 35.8 | 0 | 2.0 | 4 | 35.0 | 99 |
| 7 | 54.6 | 0 | 1.5 | 4 | 1.0 | 15 |
| 8 | 45.3 | 0 | 3.0 | 4 | 11.0 | 99 |
| 9 | 51.3 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 4 | 10.0 | 99 |
| 10 | 44.0 | 0 | 3:0 | 4 | 60.0 | 99 |
| 11 | 54.1 | 0 | 2.2 | 4 | 12.0 | 99 |
| 12 | 48.5 | 0 | 1.0 | 4 | 80.0 | 99 |
| 13 | 60.9 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 4 | 30.0 | 99 |
| 14 | 45.3 | 0 | 1.7 | 4 | 50.0 | 99 |
| 15 | 53.5 | 0 | 1.0 | 4 | 3.5 | 99 |
| 16 | 46.2 | 0 | 1.2 | 4 | 1.5 | 55 |
| 17 | 54.2 | 0 | 2.0 | 4 | 2.0 | 44 |
| 18 | 63.7 | 12.5 | 2.2 | 4 | 1.0 | 99 |
| 19 | 43.1 | 0 | 1.7 | 4 | 50.0 | 99 |
| 20 | 51.6 | 0 | 1.0 | 4 | 50.0 | 99 |
| 21 | 51.7 | 0 | 2.0 | 4 | 3.5 | 99 |
| 22* | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 23 | 35.9 | 0 | 1.0 | 4 | 25.0 | 99 |
| 24 | 63.6 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 4 | 40.0 | 99 |
| 25 | 50.8 | 0 | 1.5 | 4 | 0.5 | 10 |
| 26 | 61.4 | 0 | 1.0 | 4 | 20.0 | 20 |
| 27 | 46.5 | 0 | 2.5 | 4 | 12.5 | 13 |
| 28 | 58.3 | 0 | 1.2 | 4 | 1.0 | 32 |
| 29 | 43.1 | 0 | 1.0 | 4 | 11.0 | 99 |
| 30 | 50.6 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 4 | 79.5 | 99 |
| L.S.D. | $1 \quad 6.74$ | 3.01 | 0.93 |  | 34.59 |  |
|  | -5.08 | 2.26 | 0.72 |  | 25.52 |  |

*Data were not recorded because of winterkill.
$\underline{1 /}$ Type 4 (susceptible).
$\underline{2}$ Severity of disease infection in percentage.
3/Prevelance, size and number of rust pustules.
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