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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

Scientific studies have indicated that patterns of child-rearing in the United States have changed appreciably over the past twenty-five years (Bronfenbrenner, 1958). Most of the literature has focused upon the mother in parent-child relationships. Nash (1965) writes:

Our practices appear to be decidedly matricentric and there is a relative lack of studies of the father and his role. The relative neglect of the father may have distorted our understanding of the dynamics of development and have adversely affected the rearing of males. Fathers, it would seem, are greatly undervalued, at least by psychologists, in our culture. The strong interest in mothers to the neglect of fathers seem to have a long history in technical writing. (p. 156).

Benson (1968) in his book, Fatherhood, recognizes the neglect in research emphasizing father-child interpersonal relationships. The father has often been viewed primarily as the economic supporter of family members and as disciplinarian. With the change in life styles today, such as a shorter work week in an industrialized society which permits more leisure time for fathers, more mothers to work, and equal status of women, the father's role is much broader than it was previous$1 y$.

The father's function has been largely unexamined but the literature which is available has focused on father-son relationships, leaving father-daughter relationships relatively unexplored. This is partly due to the belief that a child's identification with his sex role is primarily the result of interaction with the same sex parent. Walters
and Stinnett (1971) in a recent review of literature on parent-child relationships point out the dearth of information on father-daughter relationships and emphasize the need for research in this area.

## Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this study was to investigate perceptions of adolescent girls and boys concerning their fathers. Differences in these perceptions were also examined in relation to selected personality and background factors.

The specific hypotheses examined were:

1. There is no significant difference between male and female adolescents in terms of perceptions concerning their fathers.
2. Perceptions of male and female adolescents are independent of:
(a) Age
(b) Place of abode
(c) Number of children in the family
(d) Ordinal position
(e) Absence of a father-figure in the family for a time
(f) Absence of a mother-figure in the family
(g) Source and strength of discipline
(h) Perceived closeness to father
(i) Perceived closeness to mother
(j) Perceived closeness to peer group
(k) Amount of time spent with father
(1) Behavior and personality characteristics
3. There is no significant difference in perceptions among respondents:
(a) Who perceive their mother as the primary source of discipline and those who perceive their father as the primary source of discipline.
(b) Who in rearing their children would use a different form of discipline than their father and those who would use the same type of discipline.
(c) Who in rearing their children would use a different form of discipline than their mother did and those who would use the same type of discipline.
(d) Who perceive their fathers had the greatest influence on their lives and those who perceive their mothers had the greatest influence on their lives.

## REIATED LITERATURE

Impact of the Father's Behavior on Children

Many studies demonstrate that the lack of fathering impairs the child's future sex orientation. It is normal for a boy to go through a homosexual stage of development before he makes heterosexual attachments, but he may remain fixed at the homosexual stage unless as a small boy he has had a chance to separate from his mother and learn what an affectionate male figure is like. Similarly, a woman may never develop good heterosexual relationships if as a little girl she has had no opportunity to learn from both parents about the vicissitudes and pleasures of such relationships (Brazelton, 1970). Mead (1965) reports the father's relationship with his daughter is never the same as with his son. Girls usually have a more affectionate, warm relationship with their father. The way which he responds to her actions helps her develop her femininity.

Wright, Benjamin and Taska (1966) indicate that "feminine" women view their fathers more favorably than "masculine" women and that through childhood "masculine" women feel less understood by their fathers. Mead (1965) believes a daughter treated with a mixture of roughousing, understanding and unthreatening silence will discover that she is cherished because she is a girl and will learn to trust herself with men and expect that men will be strong and protective in their
care of her.

Tasch (1952) noted a father adds a specifically feminine element to a girl's initial expressiveness by appreciating her not simply for being good, but for being attractive. Fathers participate in the daily care and protection of girls even more than of boys and fall into the habit of thinking of their daughters as dainty and fragile. Kagan and Lemkin (1960) found that girls could communicate with their fathers better than could boys.

Nash (1954), suggests that strong attachments between fathers and daughters are less adverse to the girl's normal development than are strong mother-son attachments to that of the boy. Johnson (1963) collaborates this view and suggests that the girl's normal development of sex-role orientation depends upon her identification with the father.

The importance of warm, satisfying family relationships as a factor influencing identification with parents is also suggested by many studies. Seven authorities (Mowrer, 1950; Stokes, 1954; Payne and Mussen, 1956; Kagan, 1961; Bonfenbrenner, 1961; and Mussen and Distler, 1959) agree that identification occurs with a rewarding, affectionate father. Winch (1962) suggested that males tend to identify with the more functional parent ( the parent who had the greatest influence upon the child's learning experiences); however, this finding did not apply for females.

Early in the lives of their children middle class parents start demanding appropriate sex role behavior from their sons and daughters. Several authorities agree that this pressure occurs earlier for boys than girls. Fathers may be the one to exert undue pressure to be masculine if there is evidence that the son is not measuring up to the
male role (Benson, 1968).

Elias (1949) reported also in connection with sex identification that there is considerable social distance and lack of mutual respect and love between lower class fathers and their daughters. His data were collected from 12 th grade students in Washington. Compared to upper class students, the lower class girls felt their opinions and judgments were not appreciated by their fathers and that often they were in disagreement with them.

Nash (1965) notes that the father in contemporary culture plays an important role in his son's psychosexual development. The son who fails to identify with a male role "is likely to find himself at variance with very strongly held mores, and the stress of this is likely to engender unhappiness, maladjustment, or delinquency" (p. 290).

## Effects of Paternal Deprivation

Many children in the United States live with one parent or none. Numerous studies have been made to determine the effects of father absence upon children but vary little research differentiates between boys and girls, so one cannot tell whether factors are more significant for one sex than the other. Benson (1968) pointed out that the wife's reaction to her husband's departure and the reasons why he is gone may influence children more than the mere fact that he is not present in the home. Kopf (1970) discovered that the mother's attitude and behavior were crucial to the son's school adjustment in father absent homes.

In a study of homes where the father was absent Lynn and Sawyer (1959) found that the male children showed deficiencies in peer
adjustments, were insecure in their masculinity, and were more immature and tense than children in father-present homes.

Winch (1950) studied the courtship behavior of over 1,000 college students, and found that men from a father-absent home situation scored significantly lower, reflecting less desirable attitudes toward marriage, than those in which the father was present, whereas the absence of the mother produced no such effect. Among the women there was no significant finding in relation to the absence of either parent. While his findings showed a relationship between poor adjustment in marriage and mother-dependency in males, there was no such tendency in females who showed a preference for or dependency on the father.

An other factor influencing the effects of father absence is the age of the child. Landy (1968) tested for the effect of father absence in families of different siblings. He found that there was more effect on children during the early and middle years. Boys without brothers were more affected than those with brothers; girls with a younger brother were more affected than other girls. This study is supported by Hooker (1931) and Rosenburg and Sutton-Smith (1964) who further found that females siblings without brothers are more masculine than females with brothers.

Burton and Whiting (1961) have discussed the absent father in relation to sex identity of the son and the possible role of cross sex identity with the mother as a factor in certain types of delinquent behavior. Warren (1957) has presented statistics of clinical referrals which suggest strongly that the father is at least as important as the mother in the etiology of maladjustment. He did not differentiate the effects between boys and girls but his study does reveal that the
place of the father has been underestimated both in clinical and in normal psychology. Some support for the contention that the father is more important than the mother in the cause of male delinquency is given in a study by Chinn (1938) in which 94 of 305 delinquent boys came from homes in which the father was dead, and 48 from homes in which the mother was dead.

McCord, McCord and Thurber (1962) reported findings which they interpret as inconsistent with the view that delinquency and paternal absence are causally related. From an investigation of 55 boys who were living with their natural mothers, but whose fathers were absent by death, desertion, or long confinement elsewhere, they concluded that any relationship between criminal behavior and paternal absence is not due to the latter per se, but to the general instability of a broken home.

Ostrovsky (1959) presents a number of case histories of behavior disorders in young school children, which are related either to an unsatisfactory relationship with the father or to his absence. Eisenberg (1957) in an analysis of 100 autistic children found that the father of 85 children was cold, markedly undemonstrative and very rigid in their ideas on child-rearing. They insulated themselves from both their children and their wives and placed work before family. This study did not analyze for sex differences so it is not possible to deduce whether there are difference effects on boys and girls.

## CHAPTER III

## PROCEDURE

## Selection of Subjects

This study included American-born adolescent boys and girls between the ages of 14 and 18 enrolled in English classes at Pawhuska High School in Pawhuska, Oklahoma during March, 1972. These subjects were chosen on the basis of availability and willingness to participate in the research. Several control factors were operating to insure that students assigned to English classes were representative of the total adolescent population in this locale. These control factors were as follows:

1. A11 high school students in the community attended one municipal high school.
2. A11 high school students were required to complete one course in English in order to graduate.
3. According to information collected by the school administration and personnel, residents of the Pawhuska High School were from the lower-middle and upper-middle socio-economic group. There was a very low percentage of disadvantaged of wealthy families in the school district. Therefore, both the community itse1f and the student body was homogeneous.

Cooperation in administration of the questionnaire was secured from the superintendent of the school district and high school principal. An explanation of the project was given and plans were made for the distribution and completion of the questionnaires.

Measurement of the Background Variables

The first section of the instrument was composed of items concerned with personal background information of the respondents, including: (a) age, (b) class in school, (c) size of family, (d) ordinal position in family, and (e) family history. Also, included in the general information section of the instrument were the following items regarding the respondent's perceptions concerning himself and his relationships with his parents: (a) type of disciplinary control in the home, (b) agent of discipline, (c) degree of closeness of relationship with the father, and (d) degree of childhood happiness.

## Description of the Instrument

A questionnaire entitled Attitudes Toward Parents Scale (Form F) by Itkin (1952) was used in this study. The Form F scale was designed to measure perceptions concerning fathers, and consists of 35 items, including 11 items answered "true or false," eight multiple-choice items, and 16 personality traits that are rated on a five-point scale from "possesses to a very great degree" to "possesses only to a very slight degree or not at all."

In order to ascertain the usefulness of Itkin's instrument, an item analysis of the instrument was undertaken utilizing a chi-square test. The findings of this analysis are reported in the Results chapter. A key of Itkin's weights are included in the Appendix.

```
Description of the Subjects
```


## Background Information

A detailed description of the 227 subjects who participated in this study is presented in Table I. The respondents ranged from 14 to 18 years of age, with the greatest proportion in the age catagory of 16 years (37.44\%). The respondents were in the ninth, tenth, eleventh or twelth grade but the greatest proportion of boys (44.44\%) were in the tenth, with the greatest number of girls (45.19\%) in the eleventh grade. Most of the subjects were the first born child and had either one brother ( $40.56 \%$ ) or one sister ( $44.26 \%$ ). The highest percentage of the sample (66.52\%) had lived in a town of over 25,000 to 50,000 population for a major part of their lives. Of 58 students who experienced father-absence, $42.86 \%$ experienced the absence before the age of five. Most of the subjects' fathers ( $40.00 \%$ ) had completed eleven to twelve years of school and $44.80 \%$ reported their family income was from either salaries, commissions or monthly checks.

## Family Relationships Information

In addition to the background information, the questionnaire also contained items which elicited the students' perceptions of their family

TABLE I

## CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SUBJECTS*

| Description | Males |  |  | Females |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Total |  |  |

Sex

| Male | 119 52.65 <br> Female 107 | 47.35 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Age

| 14 | 1 | .84 | 1 | .93 | 2 | .88 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 15 | 22 | 18.49 | 12 | 11.11 | 34 | 14.98 |
| 16 | 41 | 34.45 | 44 | 40.74 | 85 | 37.44 |
| 17 | 32 | 26.89 | 40 | 37.04 | 72 | 31.72 |
| 18 | 23 | 19.33 | 11 | 10.19 | 34 | 14.98 |

Classification in School

| 9 th grade | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 th grade | 52 | 44.44 | 27 | 25.96 | 79 | 35.59 |
| 11 th grade | 28 | 23.93 | 47 | 45.19 | 75 | 33.78 |
| 12 th grade | 37 | 31.62 | 30 | 28.85 | 1 | .45 |

## Family Size

1 brother
2 brothers

| 42 | 45.16 | 31 | 35.63 | 73 | 40.56 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $24-$ | 25.81 | 26 | 29.89 | 50 | 27.78 |
| 13 | 13.98 | 22 | 25.29 | 35 | 19.44 |

4 brothers
5 brothers
1 sister
$\begin{array}{llllll}6 & 6.15 & 2 & 2.30 & 8 & 4.44\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}8 & 8.60 & 6 & 6.90 & 14 & 7.78\end{array}$

2 sisters
$\begin{array}{llllll}38 & 40.86 & 43 & 47.78 & 81 & 44.26 \\ 28 & 30.11 & 21 & 23.33 & 49 & 26.78\end{array}$

TABLE I (Continued)

| Description | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Family Size (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 sisters | 11 | 11.83 | 13 | 14.44 | 24 | 13.11 |
| 4 sisters | 9 | 9.68 | 4 | 4.44 | 13 | 7.10 |
| 5 sisters | 7 | 7.53 | 9 | 10.00 | 16 | 8.74 |

Ordinal Position

| 1st born | 40 | 33.61 | 33 | 30.84 | 73 | 32.30 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2nd born | 30 | 25.21 | 25 | 23.36 | 55 | 24.34 |
| 3rd born | 19 | 15.97 | 24 | 22.43 | 43 | 19.03 |
| 4th born | 14 | 11.76 | 13 | 12.15 | 27 | 11.95 |
| 5th born | 16 | 13.45 | 12 | 11.21 | 28 | 12.39 |

Head of Household

| Father and Mother | 86 | 72.27 | 81 | 75.00 | 167 | 73.57 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Father alone | 2 | 1.68 | 1 | .93 | 3 | 1.32 |
| Mother alone | 14 | 11.76 | 7 | 6.48 | 21 | 9.25 |
| Mother and step-father | 5 | 4.20 | 8 | 7.41 | 13 | 5.73 |
| Other | 12 | 10.08 | 11 | 10.19 | 23 | 10.13 |
| Father's Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Completed grades 5-7 | 18 | 15.79 | 12 | 11.88 | 30 | 13.95 |
| Completed grades 8-10 | 16 | 14.04 | 23 | 22.77 | 39 | 18.14 |
| Completed grades 11-12 | 44 | 38.60 | 42 | 41.58 | 86 | 40.00 |
| Completed 1 to 3 years college | 20 | 17.54 | 8 | 7.92 | 28 | 13.02 |
| Completed more than 3 years <br> college | 16 | 14.04 | 16 | 15.84 | 32 | 14.88 |

TABLE I (Continued)

| Description | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |

Residence

| Farm or country | 28 | 23.73 | 10 | 9.43 | 38 | 16.96 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Less than 25,000 population | 11 | 9.32 | 17 | 16.04 | 28 | 12.50 |
| 25,000 to 50,000 population | 72 | 61.02 | 77 | 72.64 | 149 | 66.52 |
| Over 50,000 population | 7 | 5.93 | 2 | 1.89 | 9 | 4.02 |

Source of Income

| Hourly wages, weekly checks | 30 | . 25.86 | 29 | 27.62 | 59 | 26.70 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Salary, commissions, monthly <br> checks | 51 | 43.97 | 48 | 45.71 | 99 | 44.80 |  |
| Profits from private business or <br> profession |  | 31 | 26.72 | 27 | 25.71 | 58 | 26.24 |
| Relief, seasonal working | 2 | 1.72 | 1 | .95 | 3 | 1.36 |  |
| Public relief, welfare | 2 | 1.72 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | .90 |  |

Father Absence

| From 1-5 years of age | 14 | 46.67 | 10 | 38.46 | 24 | 42.86 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| From 6-10 years of age | 8 | 26.67 | 8 | 30.77 | 16 | 28.57 |
| From 11-15 years of age | 6 | 20.00 | 5 | 19.23 | 11 | 19.64 |
| From 16-18 years of age | 2 | 6.67 | 3 | 11.54 | 5 | 8.95 |
| Reasons for Father-Absence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Separation | 4 | 12.50 | 1 | 3.85 | 5 | 8.62 |
| Divorce | 9 | 28.13 | 11 | 42.31 | 20 | 34.48 |
| Military service | 6 | 18.75 | 4 | 15.38 | 10 | 17.24 |
| Death | 3 | 9.38 | 2 | 7.69 | 5 | 8.62 |
| Other | 10 | 31.25 | 8 | 30.77 | 18 | 31.03 |

$*$ All of the above percentages are based upon the number of responses to the particular question
relationships (Table II). The greatest proportion of the students (52.94\%) felt very much loved by their fathers. In regard to childhood happiness they had a very happy childhood (37.05\%) with an additional $29.02 \%$ feeling it was above average in happiness.

Most students (31.30\%) reported their discipline to have come equally from their father and mothers. Fifty percent reported the type of disciplinary control in the home was average, while only $8.48 \%$ reported that it was rough.

With regard to the closeness the students felt to their fathers, there were more students who reported being above average in closeness to their fathers (26.34\%) or very close (23.21\%) than there were students who reported being very distant (9.82\%).

In regard to fathers' perceived acceptance, most students felt that they were interested in all that they do (53.25\%) and an additional $49.11 \%$ felt they spent an average amount of time with them. Most fathers were considered very masculine (77.31) and very domineering (50.00\%).

The type of discipline from mothers was considered by most students to be average ( $52.44 \%$ ). The perceived closeness to mothers was felt to be average (50.45\%) and an additional $42.86 \%$ stated it as above average.

In rearing children of their own, in relation to their father, the majority of the respondents answered they would be about the same as their father $(60.83 \%)$. In rearing children of their own in relation to their mother, the majority of the respondents stated they would be about the same as their mother (61.47\%).

With regard to which parent the students felt would have the

PERCEPTIONS CONCERNING FAMILY RELATIONSHIPS

| Description | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Feeling of Love From Father |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Very much | 48 | 41.74 | 69 | 65.09 | 117 | 52.94 |
| Above average | 21 | 18.26 | 12 | 11.32 | 33 | 14.93 |
| Average | 33 | 28.70 | 14 | 13.21 | 47 | 21.27 |
| Below average | 2 | 1.74 | 3 | 2.83 | 5 | 2.26 |
| Very little | 11 | 9.57 | 8 | 7.55 | 19 | 8.60 |
| Degree of Happiness in Childhood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Very happy | 49 | 41.53 | 34 | 32.08 | 83 | 37.05 |
| Above average | 33 | 27.97 | 32 | 30.19 | 65 | 29.02 |
| Average | 29 | 24.58 | 33 | 31.31 | 62 | 27.68 |
| Below average | 6 | 5.08 | 3 | 2.83 | 9 | 4.02 |
| Very unhappy | 1 | . 85 | 4 | 3.77 | 5 | 2.23 |
| Agent of Discipline |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Father | 17 | 14.91 | 6 | 5.83 | 23 | 10.60 |
| Father with some help from mother | 24 | 21.05 | 27 | 26.21 | 51 | 23.50 |
| Father and mother equally | 38 | 33.33 | 31 | 30.10 | 69 | 31.30 |
| Mother with some help from father | 17 | 14.91 | 28 | 27.18 | 45 | 20.74 |
| Mother | 18 | 15.79 | 11 | 10.68 | 29 | 13.36 |
| Type of Disciplinary Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| in the Home |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rough | 14 | 11.86 | 5 | 4.72 | 19 | 8.48 |
| Somewhat severe | 9 | 7.63 | 13 | 12.26 | 22 | 9.82 |
| Average | 58 | 49.15 | 54 | 50.94 | 112 | 50.00 |

TABLE II (Continued)

|  | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |

Type of Disciplinary Control
in the Home (Continued)

| Somewhat mild | 23 | 19.49 | 20 | 18.87 | 43 | 19.20 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mild | 14 | 11.86 | 14 | 13.21 | 28 | 12.50 |

Closeness With Father

| Very close | 31 | 26.50 | 21 | 19.63 | 52 | 23.21 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Above average | 24 | 20.51 | 35 | 32.71 | 59 | 26.34 |
| Average | 35 | 29.91 | 28 | 26.17 | 63 | 28.13 |
| Below average | 16 | 13.68 | 12 | 11.21 | 28 | 12.50 |
| Very distant | 11 | 9.40 | 11 | 10.28 | 22 | 9.82 |

Father's Preceived Acceptance

| Too busy to give attention | 11 | 9.73 | 8 | 7.77 | 19 | 8.80 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Shows interest in school <br> activities | 9 | 7.96 | 13 | 12.62 | 22 | 10.19 |
| Interested in all done | 62 | 54.87 | 53 | 51.46 | 115 | 53.25 |
| Is difficult to talk too | 26 | 23.01 | 23 | 22.33 | 49 | 22.69 |
| Is not interested | 5 | 4.42 | 6 | 5.83 | 11 | 5.09 |

## Perception of Father's Masculinity

| Very masculine | 84 | 74.34 | 83 | 81.37 | 167 | 77.31 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Not very masculine | 29 | 25.66 | 19 | 18.63 | 48 | 22.22 |
| Degree of Control by Father |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Very domineering | 56 | 50.45 | 52 | 50.00 | 108 | 50.00 |
| Not very domineering | 43 | 38.74 | 44 | 42.31 | 87 | 40.28 |
| Submissive | 12 | 10.81 | 8 | 7.69 | 20 | 9.26 |

TABLE II (Continued)

| Description | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |

Type of Discipline from Mother

| Very permissive | 14 | 11.86 | 8 | 7.48 | 22 | 9.78 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Permissive | 24 | 20.34 | 24 | 22.43 | 48 | 21.33 |
| Average | 59 | 50.00 | 59 | 55.14 | 118 | 52.44 |
| Strict | 16 | 13.56 | 13 | 12.15 | 29 | 12.89 |
| Very strict | 5 | 4.24 | 3 | 2.80 | 8 | 3.56 |

Preceived Difference in Rearing Own Children

| More permissive than your father | 34 | 30.09 | 22 | 21.36 | 56 | 25.81 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| About the same as your father | 62 | 54.87 | 70 | 67.96 | 132 | 60.83 |
| Less permissive than your father | 17 | 15.04 | 11 | 10.68 | 28 | 12.90 |
| More permissive than your mother | 29 | 25.89 | 20 | 19.05 | 49 | 22.48 |
| About the same as your mother | 62 | 55.36 | 72 | 68.57 | 134 | 61.47 |
| Less permissive than your mother | 21 | 18.75 | 13 | 12.38 | 34 | 15.60 |

Degree of Closeness to Mother During Childhood

| Above average | 49 | 92.24 | 47 | 43.52 | 96 | 42.86 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average | 61 | 52.59 | 52 | 48.15 | 113 | 50.45 |
| Below average | 6 | 5.17 | 9 | 8.33 | 15 | 6.70 |
| Parent Having Greatest Influence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| on Child |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mother and father equally | 54 | 47.79 | 52 | 48.60 | 106 | 47.96 |
| Mother | 34 | 30.09 | 37 | 34.58 | 71 | 32.13 |
| Father | 25 | 22.12 | 18 | 16.82 | 43 | 19.46 |

```
TABLE II (Continued)
```

| Description | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Amount of Time Father Spends with Child |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| More than average | 28 | 24.35 | 20 | 18.52 | 48 | 21.43 |
| An average amount | 56 | 48.70 | 54 | 50.00 | 110 | 49.11 |
| Less than average | 31 | 26.96 | 34 | 31.48 | 65 | 29.02 |
| Degree of Closeness to Friends |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Very close | 27 | 22.69 | 30 | 27.78 | 57 | 25.11 |
| Above average | 33 | 27.73 | 33 | 30.56 | 66 | 29.07 |
| Average | 8 | 6.72 | 2 | 1.85 | 10 | 9.41 |
| Below average | 50 | 42.02 | 42 | 38.89 | 92 | 40.53 |
| Distant | 1 | . 84 | 1. | . 93 | 2 | . 88 |

greatest influence in determining the find of person they would be, $47.79 \%$ of the males and $48.60 \%$ of the females said their mother and father equally. A greater proportion of the boys (34.58\%) than girls (30.09\%) believed their mother would be the greatest influence on their lives.

With regard to closeness to peers, $22.69 \%$ of the males and $27.78 \%$ of the females felt very close and an additional $27.73 \%$ of the males and $30.56 \%$ of the females indicated above average closeness to their peers.

## Self Perceptions of Subjects Concerning Behavior and Characteristics

Students were asked to respond to questions concerning their perceptions of themselves. Their responses are reported in Table III. It will be noted that considerable similarity between males and females was reflected in the responses obtained. However, some marked differences between the males and females were observed in the way in which they perceived themselves.

The greatest number of males considered themselves as seldom nervous and tense (48.74\%). In comparison, $44.86 \%$ of the females stated they were sometimes nervous and tense and $35.51 \%$ reported they were seldom nervous and tense.

The greatest proportion of the males (51.26\%) indicated that they were sometimes well-1iked while the greatest proportion of the females (51.85\%) reported they are almost always well liked.

Females considered themselves as almost always kind and affectionate (57.41\%) while the larger proportion of males considered themselves only sometimes kind and affectionate (50.85\%).

TABLE III

SELF PERCEPTIONS OF SUBJECTS CONCERNING BEHAVIOR AND CHARACTERISTICS

| Description | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |

Careless Rather than Deliberate

| Almost always | 13 | 11.02 | 15 | 14.02 | 28 | 12.39 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sometimes | 74 | 62.71 | 58 | 54.21 | 132 | 58.41 |
| Seldom | 31 | 26.27 | 34 | 31.78 | 65 | 28.76 |

Hostilely Aggressive

| Almost always | 7 | 5.88 | 4 | 3.74 | 11 | 4.87 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sometimes | 52 | 43.70 | 46 | 42.99 | 98 | 43.36 |
| Seldom | 60 | 50.42 | 57 | 53.27 | 117 | 51.77 |

Physically Active

| Almost always | 74 | 62.18 | 53 | 49.07 | 127 | 55.97 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sometimes | 41 | 34.45 | 46 | 42.59 | 87 | 38.33 |
| Seldom | 4 | 3.36 | .9 | 8.33 | 13 | 5.73 |

Nervous and Tense

| Almost always | 16 | 13.45 | 21 | 19.63 | 37 | 16.37 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sometimes | 45 | 37.82 | 48 | 44.86 | 93 | 41.15 |
| Seldom | 58 | 48.74 | 38 | 33.51 | 96 | 42.48 |
| Well-1iked |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Almost always | 51 | 42.86 | 56 | 51.85 | 107 | 47.14 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sometimes | 61 | 51.21 | 48 | 44.44 | 109 | 48.02 |
| Seldom | 7 | 5.88 | 4 | 3.70 | 11 | 4.85 |

Dependable
Almost always
$\begin{array}{llllll}75 & 63.03 & 73 & 67.59 & 148 & 65.20\end{array}$

TABLE III (Continued)

| Description | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Dependable (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sometimes | 37 | 31.09 | 33 | 30.54 | 70 | 30.84 |
| Seldom | 7 | 5.88 | 2 | 1.85 | 9 | 3.96 |
| Preceived Success |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Almost always | 25 | 21.01 | 25 | 23.15 | 50 | 22.03 |
| Sometimes | 89 | 74.79 | 76 | 70.37 | 165 | 72.69 |
| Se1dom | 5 | 4.20 | 7 | 6.48 | 12 | 5.29 |

Incentive

| A1most always | 10 | 8.40 | 2 | 1.89 | 12 | 5.31 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sometimes | 57 | 47.90 | 50 | 47.17 | 107 | 47.35 |
| Seldom | 52 | 43.70 | 54 | 50.99 | 106 | 46.90 |

## Generous

| Almost always | 51 | 42.86 | 46 | 42.59 | 97 | 42.73 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sometimes | 58 | 48.74 | 59 | 54.63 | 117 | 51.54 |
| Seldom | 16 | 8.40 | 3 | 2.75 | 13 | 5.73 |

## Self-reliant

| Almost always | 53 | 44.92 | 53 | 49.07 | 106 | 46.90 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sometimes | 62 | 52.54 | 50 | 46.30 | 112 | 49.56 |
| Seldom | 3 | 2.54 | 5 | 4.63 | 8 | 3.54 |

## Moody and Emotiona1

| A1most always | 14 | 11.76 | 29 | 26.85 | 43 | 18.94 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sometimes | 52 | 43.70 | 55 | 50.93 | 107 | 47.14 |
| Se1dom | 53 | 44.54 | 24 | 22.22 | 77 | 33.92 |

## TABLE III (Continued)

| Description | Males |  | Females |  | Tota1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | \% | N | \% | N | \% |

Do What is Told

| Almost always | 44 | 36.97 | 40 | 40.74 | 88 | 38.77 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sometimes | 61 | 51.26 | 59 | 54.63 | 120 | 52.86 |
| Seldom | 14 | 11.76 | 5 | 4.63 | 19 | 8.37 |

## Can Stick to Decisions

| A1most a1ways | 42 | 35.29 | 44 | 41.12 | 86 | 38.05 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sometimes | 69 | 57.98 | 55 | 51.40 | 124 | 54.87 |
| Seldom | 8 | 6.72 | 8 | 7.48 | 16 | 7.08 |

Honest

| Almost always | 71 | 59.66 | 77 | 71.30 | 148 | 65.20 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sometimes | 39 | 32.77 | 30 | 27.78 | 69 | 30.40 |
| Seldom | 9 | 7.56 | 1 | .93 | 10 | 4.41 |

Unpredictable

| A1most always | 26 | 22.22 | 25 | 23.15 | 51 | 22.57 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sometimes | 55 | 47.01 | 59 | 54.63 | 114 | 50.44 |
| Seldom | 36 | 30.77 | 24 | 22.22 | 60 | 26.55 |
| Kind and Affectionate |  |  |  |  |  |  |

A1most always
Sometimes
Seldom
Outgoing
A1most always
Sometimes
$\begin{array}{llllll}45 & 38.14 & 62 & 57.41 & 107 & 47.35\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}60 & 50.85 & 44 & 40.74 & 104 & 46.02\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}13 & 11.02 & 2 & 1.85 & 15 & 6.64\end{array}$

| 51 | 42.86 | 39 | 36.11 | 90 | 39.65 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 59 | 49.58 | 51 | 47.22 | 110 | 48.46 |

TABLE III (Continued)

| Description | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Outgoing (Continued) | $\mathrm{N} \quad \%$ |  | N | $\%$ |  |  |
| N | $\%$ |  |  |  |  |  |


| Seldom | 9 | 7.56 | 18 | 16.67 | 27 | 11.89 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Good Self-Concept

| Almost always | 77 | 66.38 | .36 | 33.64 | 113 | 50.67 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sometimes | 32 | 27.59 | 56 | 52.34 | 88 | 39.46 |
| Seldom | 7 | .6 .03 | 15 | 14.02 | 22 | 9.87 |

Shy and Sensitive

| A1most always | 14 | 11.86 | 16 | 14.95 | 30 | 13.33 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sometimes | 43 | 36.44 | 50 | 46.73 | 93 | 4.33 |
| Seldom | 61 | 51.69 | 41 | 38.32 | 102 | 45.33 |
| Cooperative |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Almost always | 64 | 53.78 | 64 | 59.26 | 128 | 56.39 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sometimes | 47 | 39.50 | 41 | 37.96 | 88 | 38.77 |
| Seldom | 8 | 6.72 | 3 | 2.78 | 11 | 4.85 |

## Approves of Own Sex

| Almost always | 115 | 96.64 | 86 | 79.63 | 201 | 88.55 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sometimes | 3 | 2.52 | 19 | 17.59 | 22 | 9.69 |
| Seldom | 1 | .84 | 3 | 2.78 | 4 | 1.76 |

## Takes Charge of Situation

| Almost alwyas | 44 | 36.97 | 19 | 17.92 | 63 | 28.00 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sometimes | 71 | 59.66 | 65 | 61.32 | 136 | 60.44 |
| Seldom | 4 | 3.36 | 22 | 20.75 | 26 | 11.56 |

TABLE III (Continued)

| Description | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |

Desire to Achieve

| Almost always | 86 | 72.27 | 74 | 69.16 | 160 | 70.80 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sometimes | 30 | 25.21 | 30 | 28.04 | 60 | 26.55 |
| Seldom | 3 | 2.52 | 3 | 2.80 | 6 | 2.65 |

## The Item Analysis

A chi-square test was utilized in the present investigation to determine which items on Itkin's Attitudes Toward Parents Scale (Form F) significantly differentiated those subjects scoring in the upper quartile and those subjects scoring in the lower quartile on the basis of total scores. All of the 35 items in the scale were found to be significantly discriminating at the . 001 level, suggesting its usefulness with adolescents of the age groups represented in the present study.

## Responses to Itkin's Scale: Attitudes Toward <br> Parents Scale (Form E)

Most of the boys and girls considered themselves very close to their fathers, and reported that their fathers generally had good reasons for any requests they might make. The majority indicated that they would like to be the same kind of parent that their fathers had been.

The majority were uncertain as to whether their fathers underestimated their abilities (43.26\%), while $40 \%$ believed their fathers underestimated their ability. The majority were uncertain if their fathers were satisfied with them, had sufficient respect for their opinions, took sufficient interest in whether or not they had friends, and whether they treated them fairly.

The majority believed that their fathers were admirable, their best friends, and that they considered the rearing of their children the most important job in life. Also, they believed that their fathers
took a great interest in everything that concerns their children.
In terms of getting along with their fathers, $36.82 \%$ responded very well and an additional $23.18 \%$ responded well. However, the majority rarely if ever felt free to ask their fathers intimate questions. Only $13.27 \%$ did not respect their father while $58.77 \%$ did respect him. The majority indicated that their fathers showed pleasure in what their children did and were generally inclined to think well of their children. The majority indicated that their fathers sometimes did little things to show affection and an additional $25.66 \%$ of the boys and $29.81 \%$ of the girls reported their fathers often did little things to show affection. The majority of males and females indicated that their fathers enjoyed spending some of their time with their children.

The respondents generally rated their fathers average to very fair, unselfish, helpful, not sarcastic, considerate, not bossy, agreeable, kind, not envious, affectionate, understanding, warm, not suspicious, sympathetic, courteous, and trustful. Responses to each item are presented in detail in Tables IV, V, and VI.

> Relationship Between Scores and Selected Background Variables

In order to examine the thypothesis that there is no significant difference between the perceptions of males and females concerning their fathers, a Mann-Whitney $U$ test was utilized to compare the total scores on Itkin's scale. No significant difference was found ( $p=.12$ ).

The Kruskal-Wallis one-way analysis of variance was used to examine perceptions of respondents on Itkin's Attitudes Toward Parents Scale (Form E) which were classified in terms of: (a) the person who

RESPONSES TO ITKIN'S ATTITUDES TOWARD PARENTS SCALE (FORM F) SECTION I


TABLE IV (Continued)


## TABLE V

## RESPONSES TO ITKIN'S ATTITUDES TOWARD PARENTS SCALE (FORM F) SECTION II

| Item | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |

12. My father:

Takes a very great interest in everything that concerns his children.
$51 \quad 43.59 \quad 52 \quad 48.60 \quad 103 \quad 45.98$
Takes a moderate amount of interest in things which $\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { concern his children } & 43 & \begin{array}{llllll}36.75 & 38 & 35.51 & 81 & 36.16\end{array}\end{array}$

Does not take very much interest in things which concern his children.
$\begin{array}{llllll}11 & 9.40 & 6 & 5.16 & 17 & 7.59\end{array}$

Takes little interest in things which concern his $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { children. } & 4 & 3.42 & 6 & 5.61 & 10 & 4.46\end{array}$

Takes no interest in things $\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { which concern his children. } & 8 & 6.84 & 5 & 4.67 & 13 & 5.80\end{array}$
13. I get along with my father:
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { Very well. } & 40 & 34.78 & 41 & 39.05 & 81 & 36.82\end{array}$

We11.
Fairly well.
Not very well.

| 31 | 26.96 | 22 | 20.95 | 53 | 24.09 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 7.83 | 8 | 7.62 | 17 | 4.73 |

$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { Poorly. } & 10 & 8.70 & 8 & 7.62 & 18 & 8.18\end{array}$
14. In regard to taking my father into my confidence, $I$ :

Feel free to ask him intimate $\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { questions. } & 24 & 21.05 & 15 & 14.71 & 39 & 18.06\end{array}$

Often ask him intimate questions.
$\begin{array}{llllll}13 & 11.40 & 5 & 4.90 & 18 & 8.33\end{array}$

TABLE V (Continued)

| Item |  | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
|  | Sometimes ask him intimate questions. | 23 | 20.18 | 21 | 20.59 | 44 | 20.37 |
|  | Rarely, if ever, ask him intimate questions. | 33 | 28.95 | 32 | 31.37 | 65 | 30.09 |
|  | Wouldn't think of asking him any intimate questions. | 21 | 18.42 | 29 | 28.43 | 50 | 23.15 |
| 15. Check whichever of the following terms best describes your feelings toward your father: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | I idealize my father. | 11 | 10.19 | 8 | 7.77 | 19 | 9.00 |
|  | I admire my father. | 19 | 17.59 | 21 | 20.39 | 40 | 18.96 |
|  | I respect my father. | 64 | 59.26 | 60 | 58.25 | 124 | 58.77 |
|  | I do not particularly respect my father at a11. | 8 | 7.41 | 8 | 7.77 | 16 | 7.58 |
|  | I do not respect my father at all. | 6 | 5.56 | 6 | 5.83 | 12 | 5.69 |
| 16. | Check whichever of the following descriptions most nearly fits your father: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Is always critical of his children, and nothing they do seems to please him. | 3 | 2.75 | 8 | 7.84 | 11 | 5.21 |
|  | Is rather critical of his children, and is not often pleased by what they do. | 16 | 14.68 | 15 | 14.71 | 31 | 14.69 |
|  | Is not very critical of his children, but on the other hand, does not show particular pleasure of what they do. | 23 | 21.10 | 20 | 19.61 | 43 | 20.38 |

TABLE V (Continued)

|  | Item | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
|  | Often shows pleasure at what his children do, and often praises them for their accomplishments. | 53 | 48.62 | 47 | 46.08 | 100 | 47.39 |
|  | Very seldom complains about his children, and is liberal in his praises of them. | 14 | 12.84 | 12 | 11.76 | 26 | 12.32 |
| 17. | I consider my father: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Always willing to think only the best of his children. | 31 | 27.43 | 32 | 30.77 | 63 | 29.03 |
|  | Generally inclined to think well of his children. | 49 | 43.36 | 39 | 37.50 | 88 | 40.55 |
|  | Neither inclined to think only well or only poorly of his children. | 14 | 12.39 | 15 | 14.42 | 29 | 13.36 |
|  | Sometimes inclined to be critical of his children. | 12 | 10.62 | 13 | 12.50 | 25 | 11.52 |
|  | Always ready to think only the worst of his children. | 7 | 6.19 | 5 | 4.81 | 12 | 5.53 |
| 18. | My father: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Never does little things for his children to show affection or consideration. | 10 | 8.85 | 8 | 7.69 | 18 | 8.29 |
|  | Seldom does little things for his children to show affection or consideration. | 17 | 15.04 | 14 | 13.46 | 31 | 14.29 |
|  | ```Sometimes does little things for his children to show affection or consideration.``` | 42 | 37.17 | 31 | 29.81 | 73 | 33.64 |
|  | Often does little things for his children to show affection or consideration. | 29 | 25.66 | 31 | 29.81 | 60 | 27.65 |

```
TABLE V (Continued)
```

|  | Item | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
|  | Is always doing little things for his children to show affection or consideration. | 15 | 13.27 | 20 | 19.23 | 35 | 16.13 |
| 19. | In my opinion, my father: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Is so attached to his children that he wants to have them around all of the time. | 13 | 11.71 | 8 | 8.25 | 21 | 10.10 |
|  | Enjoys spending some of his time with his children. | 62 | 55.86 | 55 | 56.70 | 117 | 56.25 |
|  | Likes to spend a little of his time with his children. | 22 | 19.82 | 21 | 21.65 | 43 | 20.67 |
|  | Does not like to spend time with his children. | 7 | 6.31 | 7 | 7.22 | 14 | 6.73 |
|  | Dislikes very much spending any of his time with his children. | 7 | 6.31 | 6 | 6.19 | 13 | 6.25 |

TABLE VI
RESPONSES TO ITKIN'S ATTITUDES TOWARD PARENTS
SCALE (FORM F) SECTION III

| Trait | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| 20. Fair |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Very great degree | 23 | 20.54 | 29 | 30.21 | 52 | 25.00 |
| Greater than average degree | 32 | 28.57 | 17 | 17.71 | 49 | 23.56 |
| Average degree | 41 | 36.61 | 39 | 40.63 | 80 | 38.46 |
| Less than average degree | 13 | 11.61 | 7 | 7.29 | 20 | 9.62 |
| Very slight degree or not at a11 | 3 | 2.68 | 4 | 4.17 | 7 | 3.37 |

21. Selfish

| Very great degree | 2 | 1.94 | 6 | 6.00 | .8 | 3.94 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Greater than average degree | 7 | 6.80 | 2 | 2.00 | 9 | 4.43 |
| Average degree | 17 | 16.50 | 22 | 22.00 | 39 | 19.21 |
| Less than average degree | 54 | 52.43 | 49 | 49.00 | 103 | 50.74 |
| Very slight degree or not |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ at all |  |  |  |  |  |  |

22. Helpful

| Very great degree | 25 | 23.36 | 26 | 27.66 | 51 | 25.37 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Greater than average degree | 37 | 34.58 | 29 | 30.85 | 66 | 32.84 |
| Average degree | 27 | 25.23 | 26 | 27.66 | 53 | 26.37 |
| Less than average degree | 15 | 14.02 | 8 | 8.51 | 23 | 11.44 |
| Very slight degree or not <br> at all | 3 | 2.80 | 5 | 5.32 | 8 | 3.98 |

23. Sarcastic

| Very great degree | 4 | 3.70 | 10 | 10.75 | 14 | 6.97 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Greater than average degree | 13 | 12.04 | 4 | 4.30 | .17 | 8.46 |

TABLE VI (Continued)

| Trait | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | $\overline{\mathrm{N}}$ | \% | $\overline{\mathrm{N}}$ | \% |
| Average degree | 26 | 24.07 | 20 | 21.51 | 43 | 22.89 |
| Less than average degree | 51 | 47.22 | 38 | 40.86 | 89 | 44.28 |
| Very slight degree or not a11 | 14 | 12.96 | 21 | 22.58 | 35 | 17.41 |

24. Considerate

| Very great degree | 20 | 17.86 | 27 | 28.42 | 47 | 22.71 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Greater than average degree | 35 | 31.25 | 29 | 30.53 | 64 | 30.92 |
| Average degree | 38 | 33.93 | 24 | 25.26 | 62 | 29.95 |
| Less than average degree | 14 | 12.50 | 8 | 8.42 | 22 | 10.63 |
| Very slight degree or not at <br> all | 5 | 4.46 | 7 | 7.37 | 12 | 5.80 |

25. Bossy

| Very great degree | 13 | 11.93 | 16 | 16.33 | 29 | 14.01 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Greater than average degree | 16 | 14.68 | 18 | 18.37 | 34 | 16.43 |
| Average degree | 41 | 37.61 | 27 | 27.55 | 68 | 32.85 |
| Less than average degree | 37 | 29.36 | 25 | 25.51 | 57 | 27.54 |
| Very slight degree or not <br> at a11 | 7 | 6.42 | 12 | 12.24 | 19 | 9.18 |

26. Agreeable

| Very great degree | 15 | 12.93 | 18 | 16.98 | 33 | 14.86 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Greater than average degree | 32 | 27.59 | 32 | 30.19 | 64 | 28.83 |
| Average degree | 40 | 34.48 | 35 | 33.02 | 75 | 33.78 |
| Less than average degree | 24 | 20.69 | 16 | 15.09 | 40 | 18.02 |
| Very slight degree or not <br> at all | 5 | 4.31 | 5 | 4.72 | 10 | 4.50 |

## TABLE VI (Continued)

| Trait | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| 27. Kind |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Very great degree | 29 | 24.79 | 32 | 30.19 | 61 | 27.35 |
| Greater than average degree | 30 | 25.64 | 34 | 32.08 | 64 | 28.70 |
| Average degree | 43 | 36.75 | 29 | 27.36 | 72 | 32.29 |
| Less than average degree | 10 | 8.55 | 6 | 5.66 | 16 | 7.17 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Very slight degree or not } \\ & \text { at all } \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 4.27 | 5 | 4.72 | 10 | 4.48 |

28. Envious

| Very great degree | 3 | 2.68 | 5 | 4.76 | 8 | 3.69 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Greater than average degree | 6 | 5.36 | 4 | 3.81 | 10 | 4.61 |
| Average degree | 40 | 35.71 | 22 | 20.95 | 62 | 28.57 |
| Less than average degree | 51 | 45.54 | 49 | 46.67 | 100 | 46.08 |
| Very slight degree or not <br> at all | 12 | 10.71 | 25 | 23.81 | 37 | 17.05 |

29. Affectionate

| Very great degree | 7 | 6.14 | 22 | 20.95 | 29 | 13.24 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Greater than average degree | 26 | 22.81 | 29 | 27.62 | 55 | 25.11 |
| Average degree | 56 | 49.12 | 30 | 28.57 | 86 | 39.27 |
| Less than average degree | 22 | 19.30 | 17 | 16.19 | 39 | 17.81 |
| Very slight degree or not <br> at all | 3 | 2.63 | 7 | 6.67 | 10 | 4.57 |

30. Understanding

| Very great degree | 17 | 15.04 | 23 | 21.50 | 40 | 18.18 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Greater than average degree | 40 | 35.40 | 25 | 23.36 | 65 | 29.55 |
| Average degree | 28 | 24.78 | 35 | 32.71 | 63 | 28.64 |

TABLE VI (Continued)

|  | Trait | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
|  | Less than average degree | 28 | 19.47 | 17 | 15.89 | 39 | 17.73 |
|  | Very slight degree or not at all | 6 | 5.31 | 7 | 6.54 | 13 | 5.91 |
| 31. Cold |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Very great degree | 5 | 4.42 | 6 | 5.66 | 11 | 5.02 |
|  | Greater than average degree | 8 | 7.08 | 7 | 6.60 | 15 | 6.85 |
|  | Average degree | 21 | 18.58 | 17 | 16.04 | 38 | 17.35 |
|  | Less than average degree | 60 | 53.10 | 52 | 49.06 | 112 | 51.14 |
|  | Very slight degree or not at all | 19 | 16.81 | 24 | 22.64 | 43 | 19.63 |

32. Suspicious

| Very great degree | 12 | 10.53 | 13 | 12.50 | 25 | 11.47 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Greater than average degree | 19 | 16.67 | 9 | 8.65 | 28 | 12.84 |
| Average degree | 27 | 23.68 | 29 | 27.88 | 56 | 25.69 |
| Less than average degree | 47 | 41.23 | 39 | 37.50 | 86 | 39.45 |
| Very slight degree or not |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ at all |  |  |  |  |  |  |

33. Sympathetic

| Very great degree | 6 | 5.26 | 15 | 14.71 | 21 | 9.72 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Greater than average degree | 23 | 20.18 | 25 | 24.51 | 48 | 22.22 |
| Average degree | 57 | 50.00 | 37 | 36.27 | 94 | 43.52 |
| Less than average degree | 24 | 21.05 | 18 | 17.65 | 42 | 19.44 |
| Very slight degree or not <br> at all | 4 | 3.51 | 7 | 6.86 | 11 | 5.09 |

```
TABLE VI (Continued)
```

| Trait | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| 34. Courteous |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Very great degree | 19 | 16.38 | 31 | 29.52 | 50 | 22.62 |
| Greater than average degree | 40 | 34.48 | 28 | 26.67 | 68 | 30.77 |
| Average degree | 45 | 38.79 | 32 | 30.48 | 77 | 34.84 |
| Less than average degree | 11 | 9.48 | 9 | 8.57 | 20 | 9.05 |
| Very slight degree or not at all | 1 | 0.86 | 5 | 4.76 | 6 | 2.71 |

35. Trustful

| Very great degree | 44 | 38.26 | 47 | 44.76 | 91 | 41.36 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Greater than average degree | 31 | 26.96 | 20 | 19.05 | 51 | 23.18 |
| Average degree | 27 | 23.48 | 20 | 19.05 | 47 | 21.36 |
| Less than average degree | 12 | 10.43 | 11 | 10.48 | 23 | 10.45 |
| Very slight degree or not <br> at all | 1 | 0.87 | 7 | 6.67 | 8 | 3.64 |

was head of household, (b) education of father, (c) residence, (d) source of income (e) absence of father, (f) reason of absence, (g) love of father, (h) childhood happiness, (i) source of discipline, (j) type of discipline in the home, (k) degree of closeness to the father, (1) father's acceptance, (m) masculinity of father, (n) father's authority role, (o) type of discipline from father and mother, (p) perceptions concerning rearing children of their own, (q) degree of closeness to father and mother, (r) parent having greatest influence in their life, (s) amount of time father spends with children, (t) closeness to peers. In addition to these factors there were twentythree background variables related to boys and girls perceived selfperceptions. The results of these analyses are presented in Table VII. Sixteen of the variables investigated in relation to the total group scores on Itkin's scale revealed significant differences. All of these same sixteen variables investigated in relation to the scores of the females revealed significant differences, although only nine were significant for the males. Those variables which were found to reflect statistically significant differences among groups were then subjected to a Mann-Whitney $U$ test to determine those particular relationships between categories within the variables which accounted for the significance revealed by the Kruskal-Wallis one-way analysis of variance.

Head of the household, love of father, childhood happiness, type of discipline in the home, degree of closeness to father, father acceptance, masculinity of father, perceptions concerning rearing children of their own in relation to the manner in which their father had reared them, parent having greatest influence on them, amount of time the
father spent with them, amount of physical activity, nervousness and tenseness, self reliance, moodiness and being emotional, being predictable, and cooperative were significantly related to the females' positive perceptions of fathers.

Love of father, childhood happiness, type of discipline in the home, degree of closeness in the home, father's acceptance, masculinity of father, perceptions concerning rearing of own children in relation to father, parent having greatest influence on their life and amount of time the father spends with respondent were significantly related to the males' positive perceptions of fathers.

1. Specifically, the directions of the findings reflected by Mann-Whitney U tests were as follows: Female youth living with both their father and mother scored higher on Itkin's scale, i.e., reflected a more positive perception of their fathers, than females who were living with their mother along ( $\mathrm{U}=2.21, \mathrm{p}=.05$ ).
2. Similarly, female youth living with both their father and mother scored higher than females living with mother and step-father $(U=2.91, p=.01)$. Females living with their mother alone scored higher on Itkin's scale than those living with others, $(U=2.09$, $\mathrm{p}=.05)$. Also, those females living with their mother and step-father scored higher than females living with others $(U=2.52, p=.01)$
3. Females who stated they were very much loved by their fathers reflected more favorable scores on Itkin's scale than: females who rated their fathers love as above average $(U=2.60, p=.01)$, average $(\mathrm{U}=4.51, \mathrm{p}=.001)$, below average $(\mathrm{U}=2.83, \mathrm{p}=.01)$, very distant $(U=3.95, p=.001)$.
4. Males who perceive they are very much loved by their fathers
reflect superior attitudes toward their fathers on Itkin.'s scale than: males who rated their father as average ( $\mathrm{U}=3.81, \mathrm{p}=.001$ ), below average ( $U=2.38, p=.05$ ), or very distant $(U=4.64, p=.001)$. Males who perceive they are loved above average on Itkin's scale scored higher than: those who rated their father love as average ( $U=2.82$, $p=.01)$, below average $(U=2.30, p=.05)$, or very distant $(U=4.21$, $p=.001$ ). Those males who felt they were loved an average amount scored higher than the ones who felt they were loved below average ( $\mathrm{U}=2.35, \mathrm{p}=.05$ ) or were very distant $(\mathrm{U}=3.90, \mathrm{p}=.001$ ).
5. Females who indicated they were very happy during their childhood reflected more favorable scores on Itkin's scale than youth who rated their childhood happiness as average ( $\mathrm{U}=3.53, \mathrm{p}=.001$ ) or who rated it as below average ( $\mathrm{U}=2.51, \mathrm{p}=.01$ ). Females who rated their childhood happiness as above average obtained significantly higher scores on Itkin's scale than youth who rated their childhood happiness as average ( $U=2.37, p=.05$ ) or below average ( $U=2.65, p=.01$ ).
6. Boys who indicated they were very happy during their childhood reflected more favorable scores on Itkin's scale than youth who rated their childhood happiness as average ( $\mathrm{U}=3.17, \mathrm{p}=.01$ ).
7. Females who rated their degree of closeness to their father very close reflected significantly more favorable perceptions of fathers than females who rated their closeness as above average $(U=1.96$, $p=.05)$, average $(U=3.52, p=.001)$, below average ( $U=4.29$, $p=.001)$ or very distant $(U=4.10, p=.01)$. Females who rated the degree of closeness to their fathers as above average reflected significantly more favorable perceptions of fathers than females who rated their closeness as average ( $\mathrm{U}=2.50, \mathrm{p}=.01$ ), below average
$(\mathrm{U}=4.61, \mathrm{p}=.001)$, or very distant $(\mathrm{U}=4.30, \mathrm{p}=.001)$. Females who rated their degree of closeness to their father as average reflected significantly more favorable perceptions of fathers than females who rated their closeness as below average $(U=3.80, p=.001)$ or very distant $(U=3.86, p=.001)$. Females who rated their degree of c1oseness to their fathers as below average scored higher on Itkin's scales than those who reported him as very distant $(U=2.00, p=.05)$.
8. The males students who rated the degree of closeness to their fathers as very close reflected more favorable perceptions of fathers on Itkin's scales than youth who rated their fathers as average $(U=3.10, p=.10)$, be1ow average $(U=3.90, p=.001)$, or very distant ( $U=4.42, p=.001$ ). Males who perceived their closeness to their fathers as above average scored higher on Itkin's scale than those who considered it as average ( $\mathrm{U}=2.83, \mathrm{p}=.01$ ), below average $(\mathrm{U}=4.02$, $\mathrm{p}=.001$ ), or very distant $(\mathrm{U}=4.41, \mathrm{p}=.001)$. Ma1es who rated their closeness to their fathers as average scored higher on Itkin's scale than those who considered it as below average ( $\mathrm{U}=2.65, \mathrm{p}=.01$ ) or very distant $(U=4.16, p=.001)$. Males who perceived their closeness to their fathers as below average scored higher on Itkin's scale than ones who rated him as very distant ( $\mathrm{U}=2.67, \mathrm{p}=.01$ ).
9. Females who perceived that their father were interested in almost all that they do, scored higher on Itkin's scale than those females who perceived their fathers as $\not \subset i f f i c u 1 t$ to talk to $(U=5.85$, $\mathrm{p}=.001$ ), or is not interested in what I say ( $\mathrm{U}=3.28, \mathrm{p}=.001$ ). Females who perceived that their fathers were diffiuclt to talk to scored higher on Itkin's scale than those females who said they were not interested in what they said ( $\mathrm{U}=2.05, \mathrm{p}=.05$ ).
10. Males who perceived that their fathers were interested in almost all that they do, signified higher scores on Itkin's scale than those who said they were difficult to talk to ( $\mathrm{U}=4.44, \mathrm{p}=.001$ ), or is not interested in what $I$ do $(U=3.30, p=.001)$. Those males who said their fathers were difficult to talk to had significantly higher scores on Itkint's scale than those who said he is not interested in what I say $(U=2.40, p=.05)$.
11. Females who rated their fathers as very masculine had higher scores on Itkin's scale than those who rated him as not very masculine $(\mathrm{U}=56.99, \mathrm{p}=.001)$.
12. Females who stated they would rear their own child about the same as their father scored higher on Itkin's scale than those who thought they would be less permissive than their fathers ( $U=3.66$, $\mathrm{p}=.001$ ).
13. Boys who in the rearing of their own children responded that they would be about the same as their father reflected more favorable scores on Itkin's scale than those who said they would be less permissive $(U=2.64, p=.01)$.
14. Girls who felt that both their mother and father would equally influence their lives obtained significantly higher scores on Itkin's scale than those who thought their mother was the greatest influence ( $\mathrm{U}=3.12, \mathrm{p}=.001$ ).
15. Boys who felt that both their mother and father would equally influence their lives obtained significantly higher scores on Itkin's scale than those who thought their mother was the greatest influence $(U=4.81, p=.001)$.
16. Females who perceived themselves as almost always cooperative
had better perceptions toward their fathers than those who perceived themselves as sometimes cooperative $(U=2.66, p=.01)$.
17. Female youth whose fathers spent more time than average with them had more favorable perceptions toward their fathers than those who felt they spent average time with them ( $\mathrm{U}=3.81, \mathrm{p}=.001$ ) or less than average time $(U=5.36, p=.02)$. Those females whose fathers spent an average amount of time with them scored higher on Itkin's scale than those who reported he spent below average time with them $(\mathrm{U}=4.81, \mathrm{p}=.001)$.
18. Boys whose fathers spent more than average time with them reflected more positive perceptions concerning their fathers than youth who reported their fathers spent an average amount of time with them $(U=2.81, \quad p=.01)$ or less than average amount of time with them $(\mathrm{U}=5.34, \mathrm{p}=.001)$. Similarly, boys who indicated that their fathers spent an average amount of time with them reflected more positive perceptions concerning fathers than boys who felt their fathers spent less than average amount of time with them $(U=4.53, p=.001)$.
19. Females who perceived themselves as almost average physically active had better perceptions of their fathers than those who perceived themselves as only sometimes physically active ( $U=2.26, p=.05$ ).
20. Females who perceived themselves as sometimes nervous and tense had better perceptions toward their fathers than those who perceived themselves as always nervous and tense $(U=3.17, p=.01)$.
21. Females who perceived themselves as almost always selfreliant had better perceptions toward their fathers than those who perceived themselves as sometimes self-reliant.
22. Females who perceived themselves as sometimes moody and emotional had better perceptions toward their fathers than those who perceived themselves as almost always moody and emotional $(U=2.48$, $p=.05)$.
23. Females who perceived themselves as almost always unpredictable had better perceptions toward their fathers than those who perceived themselves as seldom unpredictable ( $\mathrm{U}=1.98, \mathrm{p}=.05$ ). A1so, those who saw themselves as sometimes unpredictable had better perceptions toward their fathers than those who perceived themselves as seldom unpredictable ( $\mathrm{U}=2.91, \mathrm{p}=.01$ ).

TABLE VII

KRUSKAL－WALLIS ANALYSIS OF SCALE SCORES CLASSIFIED BY SELECTED BACKGROUND VARIABLES

| Background Variable | Females |  | Males |  | Tota1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \％ | p | \％ | $p$ | \％ | $p$ |
| 1．Head of Household | 15.02 | ． 01 | 3.23 | n．s． | 13.97 | ． 01 |
| 2．Education of Father | 7.78 | n．s． | 3.32 | n ， S 。 | 9.07 | n．s． |
| 3．Residence | 1.77 | n．s． | 0.45 | n．s． | 1.40 | $\mathrm{n} . \mathrm{S}$ 。 |
| 4．Source of Income | 4.31 | n．s． | 0.74 | n．s． | 3.32 | n ．s． |
| 5．Age when Father Absent | 8.79 | ． 05 | 2.24 | n．s． | 9.42 | n ．S |
| 6．Reasons for Father Absence | 7.67 | n．s． | 1.46 | $\mathrm{n} . \mathrm{s}$ 。 | 4.60 | n．s． |
| 7．Love of Father | 41.79 | ． 001 | 40.45 | ． 001 | 80.61 | ． 001 |
| 8．Childhood Happiness | 19.23 | ． 001 | 12.26 | ． 05 | 26.24 | ． 001 |
| 9．Agent of Discip1ine | 7.83 | n．s． | 6.99 | n．s． | 14.04 | ． 01 |
| 10．Type of Discipline | 11.12 | ． 05 | 9.52 | ． 05 | 14.91 | ． 01 |
| 11．Degree of C1oseness to Father | 49.63 | ． 001 | 44.76 | ． 001 | 93.94 | ． 001 |
| 12．Father＇s Acceptance | 47.30 | ． 001 | 42.14 | ． 001 | 86.77 | ． 001 |
| 13．Perceived Masculinity of Father | 15.34 | .001 | 8.85 | ． 01 | 24.40 | ． 001 |
| 14．Perception of Father＇s Authority Role | 1.42 | n．s． | 1.29 | n．s． | 2.32 | n．s． |
| 15．Type of Discipline from Mother | 2.08 | n．s． | 6.55 | n．s． | 1.73 | n．s． |
| 16．In Rearing Children in ，Relation to Father | 31.87 | ． 001 | 19.75 | ． 001 | 53.36 | ． 001 |
| 17．In Rearing Children in Re1ation to Mother | 2.83 | n．s． | 4.38 | n．s． | 5.53 | n．s． |
| 18．Degree of Closeness to Mother | 2.34 | n．s． | 0.47 | n．s． | ． 1.37 | $\mathrm{n} . \mathrm{S}$ 。 |

TABLE VII (Continued)

| Background Variable | Females |  | Males |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | p | \% | P | \% | p |
| 19. Parent Having Greatest Influence on Self | 11.43 | . 01 | 25.21 | . 001 | 32.39 | . 001 |
| 20. Amount of Time Father Spends with You | 42.48 | . 001 | 35.79 | . 001 | 75.33 | . 001 |
| 21. Closeness to Peers | 4.41 | n.s. | 7.40 | n.s. | 3.60 | n.s. |
| 22. Careless | 5.81 | n.s. | 1.06 | n.s. | 6.96 | . 05 |
| 23. Aggressive | 5.83 | n.s. | 3.24 | n.s. | 8.43 | . 05 |
| 24. Physically Active | 6.16 | . 05 | 4.40 | n.s. | 8.02 | . 05 |
| 25. Nervous and Tense | 10.70 | . 01 | 0.57 | n.s. | 4.52 | n.s. |
| 26. Well-1iked | 3.13 | n.s. | 1.97 | n.s. | 4.96 | n.s. |
| 27. Dependable | 0.38 | n.s. | 2.01 | n.s. | 1.61 | n.s. |
| 28. Successful | 2.20 | n.s. | 1.69 | n.s. | 0.51 | n.s. |
| 29. Lack Incentive | 2.01 | n.s. | 1.34 | n.s. | 1.49 | n.s. |
| 30. Generous | 1.60 | n.s. | 1.69 | n.s. | 3.01 | n.s. |
| 31. Self-reliant | 6.32 | . 05 | 0.64 | n.s. | 2.58 | n.s. |
| 32. Moody and Emotional | 6.15 | . 05 | 2.19 | n.s. | 4.63 | n.s. |
| 33. Obedient | 2.65 | n.s. | 4.65 | n.s. | 4.43 | n.s. |
| 34. Stick to Decisions | 4.06 | n.s. | 2.97 | n.s. | 7.22 | . 05 |
| 35. Honest | 4.24 | n.s. | 0.32 | n.s. | 2.96 | n.s. |
| 36. Unpredictable | 8.34 | . 05 | 5.10 | n.s. | 7.60 | . 05 |
| 37. Kind and Affectionate | 5.43 | n.s. | 2.14 | n.s. | 6.35 | . 05 |
| 38. Outgoing | 2.09 | n.s. | 0.93 | n.s. | 1.33 | n.s. |
| 39. Like of Self | 2.62 | n.s. | 1.88 | n.s. | 1.81 | n.s. |
| 40. Shy and Sensitive | 1.18 | n.s. | 1.68 | n.s. | 1.67 | n.s. |

## TABLE VII (Continued)

|  | Females |  | Males |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background Variable | \% | $p$ | \% | P | \% | P |
| 41. Cooperative | 7.65 | . 05 | 0.07 | n.s. | 4.30 | n.s. |
| 42. Likes Own Sex | 3.24 | n.s. | 2.88 | n.s. | 2.92 | n.s. |
| 43. Takes Charge of Situations | 3.24 | n.s. | 0.91 | n.s. | 2.06 | n.s. |
| 44. Desire to Achieve | 2.77 | n.s. | 0.74 | n.s. | 1.71 | n.s. |

## CHAPTER V

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The purpose of this research was to examine the perceptions of adolescent males and females concerning their fathers in relation to selected personality and background factors. The study included 227 American born youth between the ages of 14 and 18 enrolled in required English classes at Pawhuska High School in Pawhuska, Oklahoma.

A questionnaire, Attitudes Toward Parents Scale (Form F), by Itkins (1952) was used in the study. The Form F scale is designed to assess perceptions concerning fathers. In order to ascertain the usefulness of Itkins instrument, an item analysis was undertaken utilizing a chi-square test. A11 of the items proved to discriminate high and low scoring students $\left(Q_{1}-Q_{4}\right)$ at the . 001 level of significance, reflecting the instrument's usefulness with the type of sample studied.

In general, the major results were as follows:

1. With regard to the closeness the students felt to their fathers, there were more students who reported being above average in closeness to their fathers or very close than there were students who reported being very distant.
2. With respect to their father's acceptance of them, most of the students reported that their fathers were interested in all that they did.
3. The majority perceived their fathers to be very masculine and
half of the students reported that their fathers were very domineering.
4. The majority of the respondents indicated that they would rear their own children in much the same manner that their fathers had reared them.
5. Nearly half of the students indicated they had been influenced by their mothers and fathers equally.
6. The majority believed that their fathers were admirable, their best friends and that they considered the rearing of their children the most important $j o b$ in 1ife.
7. The majority responded they got along with their father very well however, the majority rarely if ever, felt free to ask their fathers intimate questions.
8. The majority respected their fathers and rated their fathers to be fair, unselfish, helpful, not sarcastic, considerate, not bossy, agreeable, kind, not envious, affectionate, understanding, warm, not suspicious, sympathetic, courteous and trustful.
9. No significant difference was found between males and females in their perceptions concerning their fathers.
10. The following factors were found to be significantly related to female youths' attitudes toward their fathers: (a) the parent who served as head of the household, (b) love of father, (c) childhood happiness, (d) type of discipline in the home, (e) degree of closeness to father, (f) father acceptance, (g) masculinity of father, (h) perceptions concerning rearing children of their own in relation to the manner in which their father had reared them, (i) parent having greatest influence on them, (j) amount of time their father spent with them, (k) amount of physical activity, (1) nervousness and tenseness,
(m) self-reliance, ( $n$ ) moodiness and being emotional, (o) being predictable and (p) being cooperative.
11. The following factors were significantly related to the male youth's perceptions of fathers: (a) love of father, (b) childhood happiness, (c) type of discipline in the home, (d) degree of closeness in the home, (e) father's acceptance, (f) masculinity of the father, (g) perception concerning rearing children of their own in relation to the manner in which their father had reared them, (h) parent having greatest influence on their life, and (i) amount of time the father spends with respondent.
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INFORMATION SHEET

Please answer the following questions as accurately as you can. It is important that you answer ALL questions which are appropriate. Your identity and your answers will be kept strictly confidential. Your cooperation in this research project is greatly appreciated.

Name $\qquad$
Address
Birth date


Were you born in the U. S.?
Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$

1. Age
a. 14
b. 15
c. 16
d. 17
e. 18
2. Sex
a. male
b. female
3. I am presently in grade:
a. 9
d. 12
b. 10
c. 11
4. How many brothers do you have:
a. 1
b. 2
c. 3
d. 4
e. 5
5. How many sisters do you have?
a. 1
b. 2
c. 3
d. 4
e. 5
6. I was number
a. 1
b. 2
c. 3
d. 4
e. 5
7. I am presently living with:
a. Father and mother
d. Mother and step-father e. Other
b. Father alone
c. Mother alone
8. In school, your father completed grades:
a. 5-7
d. completed 1-3 years college
b. 8-10
e. over 4 years of college
c. 11-12
9. The majority of my life so far, I grew up:
a. on a farm
b. in a community of less than 2,500 population
c. in a community of 2,500 to 50,000 population
d. in a community of over 50,000 population
10. The main source of your family's income is:
a. hourly wages, piece work, weekly checks
b. salary, commissions, monthly checks
c. profits or fees from business or profession
d. private relief, odd jobs, seasonal working, share cropping e. public relief
11. If during your childhood, your father was absent from home for a long period, (A YEAR OR MORE) indicate how old you were when he was gone.
a. 1-5
c. 11-15
b. 6-10
d. 16-18
12.: If your father was absent for a long period (A YEAR OR MORE) indicate the reas on for his absence.
a. separation
d. death
b. divorce e. other
c. military service
12. In my home, I feel that I am loved by my father:
a. very much
d. below average
b. above average
e. very little
c. average
13. With respect to happiness, I consider my childhood to be: a. very happy d. somewhat below average b. somewhat above average e. very unhappy c. average
14. In my family, the discipline $I$ receive is mainly from:
a. my father
b. my father with some help from my mother
c. equally my father and my mother
d. my mother with some help from my father
e. my mother
15. I consider discipline in my home as:
a. rough
d. somewhat mild
b. somewhat severe
e. mild
c. average
16. I would rate the degree of closeness that I have with my father as:
a. very close
b. above average
c. average
d. below average
e. very distant
17. In regard to my father's acceptance of me, I find that my father:
a. is too busy to pay much attention to me
b. shows that he is interested in how I am doing at school
c. is interested in almost all that $I$ do
d. is difficult to talk to
e. is not interested in what I say
18. I would consider my father:
a. very masculine
b. not very masculine
19. In my own family, my father is:
a. very domineering
b. not very domineering
c. rather submissive
20. Check the answer which most nearly describes the type of discipline you received from your mother.
a. very permissive
d. strict
b. permissive
e. very strict
c. average
21. In rearing children of your own, do you believe you will be:
a. more permissive than your father
b. about the same as your father
c. less permissive than your father
22. In rearing children of your own, do you believe you will be:
a. more permissive than your mother
b. about the same as your mother
c. less permissive than your mother
23. Which of the following describes the degree of closeness of your relationship with your mother during childhood?
a. above average
b. average
c. below average
24. Which parent had the greatest influence in determining the kind of person you are?
a. mother and father equally
b. mother
c. father
25. In terms of amount of time, do you believe your father:
a. spent more time with you than the average father
b. spent an average amount of time with you c. spent less time with you than the average father
26. Which of the following describes the degree of closeness to friends your own age?
a. very close
d. below average
b. above average
e. distant
d. average

Answer each item with a check in the column which most nearly describes you.

Almost
Always Sometimes Seldom Behavior and Characteristics
(a)
(b)
(c)
(c)
(a)
(b)
(c)
(c)
(c)
(c)
(c)
(c)
(c) 36. I am generous.
(a)
(b)
(c)
37. I am se1f-re1iant.
(a)
(b)
(c)
38. I am moody and emotional.
(a)
(b)
(b)
(c)
39. I do what I am told.
(a)
(b)
(c)
40. I make decisions and stick to them.
(a)
(b)
(c)
41. I am honest.
(a)
(b)
(c)
42. I am unpredictable.

A1most
Always Sometimes Seldom Behavior and Characteristics
(a)
(b)
(c)
43. I am kind and affectionate.
(a)
(b)
(c)
44. I am outgoing.
(a)
(b)
(c)
45. I like the way that I am.
(a)
(b)
(c)
46. I am shy and sensitive.
(a)
(b)
(c)
47. I am cooperative.
(a)
(b)
(c)
48. I like being the sex that I am.
(a)
(b)
(c)
49. I like to take charge of a situation.
(a)
(b)
(c)
50. I desire to achieve.
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